



Continued Analysis And Derivation Of A Method To Model Pit Retention

EPA-450/4-86-003

CONTINUED ANALYSIS AND DERIVATION OF A METHOD TO MODEL PIT RETENTION

By
K.D. Wings
C.F. Cole

TRC Environmental Consultants, Inc.
Englewood, Colorado 80112

Contract No. 68-02-3886

EPA Project Officers:
J.L. Dicke
J.S. Touma

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGIONAL OFFICE
2000 B STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20007

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Office of Air and Radiation
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27711

January 1986

DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication as received from TRC Environmental Consultants, Inc. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names for commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use. Copies of this report are available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>SECTION</u> | <u>TITLE</u> | <u>PAGE</u> |
|----------------|---|-------------|
| 1.0 | SUMMARY AND PURPOSE | 1 |
| 2.0 | BACKGROUND | 5 |
| | 2.1 SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS DATA ANALYSIS. | 6 |
| | 2.2 OVERVIEW OF CURRENT DATA ANALYSIS. | 9 |
| 3.0 | SIGMA-THETA DATA ANALYSIS | 11 |
| | 3.1 SIGMA-THETA AUDIT. | 11 |
| | 3.2 DATA AVERAGING | 13 |
| | 3.3 METEOROLOGICAL DATA ANALYSIS | 15 |
| 4.0 | ANALYSIS OF ESCAPE FRACTION EQUATIONS | 23 |
| | 4.1 DERIVATION OF THE CANDIDATE ESCAPE FRACTION EQUATIONS. | 24 |
| | 4.1.1 THE ORIGINAL WINGES EQUATION | 25 |
| | 4.1.2 ALTERNATIVE 1 -- CONSTANT-K USING LINEAR MODEL | 29 |
| | 4.1.3 ALTERNATIVE 2 -- CONSTANT-K USING A MORE DETAILED MODEL. | 32 |
| | 4.1.4 ALTERNATIVE 3 -- VARIABLE-K USING LINEAR MODEL | 35 |
| | 4.1.5 ALTERNATIVE 4 -- VARIABLE-K USING THE MORE DETAILED MODEL | 38 |
| | 4.2 EVALUATION OF CANDIDATE EQUATIONS WITH EXPERIMENTAL DATA | 39 |
| | 4.2.1 EVALUATION DATA | 39 |
| | 4.2.2 COMPARISON OF THE CANDIDATE EQUATIONS WITH THE EVALUATION DATA | 44 |
| 5.0 | ESCAPE FRACTION ALGORITHM FOR ISC | 51 |
| 6.0 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 53 |
| | 6.1 CONCLUSIONS | 53 |
| | 6.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK. | 56 |
| | REFERENCES | 61 |
| | APPENDIX A - AIR SCIENCES AUDIT REPORT | A-1 |
| | APPENDIX B - HOURLY METEOROLOGICAL DATA BASE | B-1 |
| | APPENDIX C - FORTRAN LISTING OF MODIFIED ISCST PROGRAM | C-1 |
| | APPENDIX D - TEST RUNS AND SAMPLE INPUT FILE | D-1 |

1.0 SUMMARY AND PURPOSE

This report continues the analysis of pit retention meteorology and predictive escape fraction equations begun in EPA's "Dispersion of Airborne Particulates in Surface Coal Mines" (EPA, 1985). The purpose of this work, which is described in this report, was three-fold:

- Examine the existing meteorological and smoke release data base to determine the relationship between in-pit and out-of-pit sigma-theta and alphabetic stability class in order to identify trends and other systematic behavior.
- Incorporate other physical or meteorological parameters (particularly wind speed) into the original Winges escape fraction equation. Refinements to the basic equation are to be tested against the existing field data.
- Prepare and document a computer algorithm to predict escape fraction for use in the ISC model.

The analysis of the meteorological data in and out of the pit yields an important finding: the sigma-theta (standard deviation of horizontal wind direction) inside the pit is almost always greater than the sigma-theta value measured simultaneously outside the pit. This indicates that the horizontal turbulence in the pit is greater than outside, and it is suspected that the enhanced in-pit sigma-thetas are induced by mechanical turbulence as air passes over, and in the wake of, the mine pit wall. The degree to which the in-pit sigma-theta exceeds that out-of-pit⁽¹⁾ increases with wind speed, but is not related to Pasquill-Gifford stability class.

Both the in-pit and out-of-pit sigma-thetas appear to provide a reasonably good measure of alphabetic stability class, when computed over a one-hour time period. The alphabetic stability classes measured in and out of the mine pits are identical to, or only one class removed from, the Pasquill-Gifford stability class for roughly 80% of the data base hours.

1. As measured by the ratio of out-of-pit sigma theta divided by in-pit sigma-theta.

In an effort to incorporate other physical and meteorological parameters (especially wind speed) into the original Wings escape fraction equation, four alternative modifications to the Wings equation were derived. The alternative escape fraction equations differ in simplifying assumptions and in complexity:

ALTERNATIVE 1: CONSTANT-K LINEAR MODEL. The derivation of this equation assumes a constant value of eddy diffusivity with pit depth, and assumes that eddy diffusivity varies linearly with wind speed.

ALTERNATIVE 2: CONSTANT-K DETAILED MODEL. Like the previous derivation, the alternative 2 escape fraction equation assumes that vertical diffusivity is constant with pit depth. However the influence of both wind speed and stability class on diffusivity is taken into account by introducing the Monin-Obukhov length as a measure of stability.

ALTERNATIVE 3: VARIABLE-K LINEAR MODEL. The derivation of this equation recognizes that eddy diffusivity is not constant with pit depth.

ALTERNATIVE 4: VARIABLE-K DETAILED MODEL. The most complicated of the four alternatives, this derivation uses variable eddy diffusivity with pit depth, and incorporates Monin-Obukhov length as a measure of stability. An involved numerical solution is required to compute escape fraction with this alternative.

The four alternative escape fraction equations were evaluated by comparing values of escape fraction computed from the alternative equations with values of escape fraction inferred from the smoke release data. In general, the alternative equations predicted smaller escape fractions than did the original Wings equation. Furthermore, all of the alternative equations exhibit a much greater change in escape fraction with wind speed than does the original Wings equation, and the increase in predicted escape fractions with wind speed matches the trend observed in the smoke release data. In this sense, the introduction of wind speed into the Wings equation is successful.

However, the overall conclusion drawn from examining all of the alternative equations' predicted escape fractions is that they do not perform as well as would be liked. The correlation coefficients between predicted escape fractions and those inferred from the smoke release data are never greater than 0.39, and attempts at optimizing the agreement by introducing linear coefficients into the alternative escape fraction equations show very little improvement. Discrepancies between analytically predicted escape fractions and those inferred from the smoke release data are attributed to two factors. First, it must be remembered that the smoke release data do not provide a direct measure of escape fraction, and it is possible that some differences in measured and predicted escape fractions are due to misinterpretation of the smoke data. Second, the original Winges equation, and all of the alternative equations, assume that dust is removed from the mine pits by dispersion rather than by convection. This suggests that the Winges equations may be better predictors of escape fraction during stable conditions than during unstable or neutral conditions. A re-examination (and possibly re-interpretation) of the smoke release data gathered during stable conditions may be warranted, particularly since it is the stable atmospheres that induce peak concentrations downwind of surface mines.

Each of the four alternative escape fraction equations was coded into a FORTRAN algorithm, and tested in the ISC model with input data from a hypothetical surface coal mine. Run times for the four different algorithms were recorded during the tests. As expected, the equations using the more detailed analysis technique required more computer processing time. The two techniques based on the linear model (Alternatives 1 and 3) required approximately the same processing time as the original version of ISCST. Alternative 2 (Constant-K, detailed model) increased the run time by roughly a factor of 1.5, while Alternative 4 (Variable-K, detailed model) increased the run time by roughly a factor of 5.

2.0 BACKGROUND

Pit retention is the term used to describe the tendency for particulate matter released inside a surface mine pit to remain inside the pit. The pit retention phenomenon is important because most air quality models that are used to simulate particulate dispersion from surface mines treat these emissions as if they occurred at grade level, and ignore the possibility that a portion of the particulate matter may be trapped inside the pit, or that the characteristics of the dust plume may be altered by the presence of the pit.

Two years ago the U.S. EPA's Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards initiated a comprehensive study of the pit retention phenomenon (EPA, 1985). This investigation began with a data collection field study at four Western surface coal mines. Meteorological parameters were measured simultaneously in and out of the mine pits for a total duration of approximately 300 hours. In addition, a smoke release program was conducted to provide data concerning air motion within the pits. At each of the four mines, smoke generators at the bottoms of the pits were used to release discrete 10-second puffs of diesel fuel smoke. An observer positioned at the top of the pit filmed each smoke release on a video cassette recorder (VCR). Roughly 800 such smoke release experiments were conducted at the four mines, and the VCR observations were synchronized with the in-pit and out-of-pit meteorological measurements.

These field data were later reduced and interpreted in order to investigate relationships between meteorological variables and the behavior of the smoke puffs. For each smoke release experiment, the time from initial smoke release until the smoke puff exited the pit, or until the smoke puff was no longer visible, was determined by viewing the VCR tape. This time was used to define a discrete smoke release "episode". All of the data determined by analyzing the VCR tapes, were organized into episodes. Meteorological data (wind speeds, wind directions, temperatures, etc.) were averaged over the episode duration for analysis, along with subjectively determined variables

(characteristic flow pattern and location of plume exit), and elapsed time duration of the smoke release episode. This information formed the data base for subsequent analysis.

2.1 SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS DATA ANALYSIS

Several different kinds of analyses were made with the data base, as discussed in "Dispersion of Airborne Particulates in Surface Coal Mines" (EPA, 1985). A comparison of winds in and out of the pits during smoke releases showed that in-pit wind speeds are, on the average, 25% less than the out-of-pit wind speeds, and wind speeds both in and out of the pit were positively correlated. Wind direction in and out of the pits, however, was not correlated, so that a knowledge of wind direction at the top of the pit (ie., at grade level) cannot predict wind direction within the pit.

The smoke puff observations by themselves did not provide a quantitative measure of particulate pit retention.⁽¹⁾ Consequently, a part of the data analysis was devoted to inferring escape fraction from the smoke puff observations by using two independent methods --- one based on a simple settling model, the other based on the source depletion particle deposition model. Both methods relied on assumed particle size distributions: one for particles smaller than 30 microns aerodynamic diameter (called the universal distribution), and one for particles up to 130 microns aerodynamic diameter (called the EDS distribution). It was found that the value of escape fraction inferred from both the settling and the deposition models is greater for unstable and neutral atmospheric conditions, as shown in Table 2.1 This suggests that stable atmospheres may suppress vertical motion causing particulate matter to be retained in the mine pits. In a similar manner, the

1. A quantitative measure of pit retention is expressed by the escape fraction, ϵ , which is equal to the total mass of particulate that escapes from the pit, divided by the mass of particulate emitted within the pit.

TABLE 2.1
ESCAPE FRACTION SHOWN BY STABILITY

| PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION | STABILITY ⁽¹⁾ | SETTLING MODEL | DEPOSITION MODEL | WINGES EQUATION |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| UNIVERSAL | UNSTABLE | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.99 |
| | NEUTRAL | 1.00 | 0.81 | 0.92 |
| | STABLE | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| EDS | UNSTABLE | 0.81 | 0.59 | 0.90 |
| | NEUTRAL | 0.90 | 0.36 | 0.59 |
| | STABLE | 0.70 | 0.21 | 0.20 |

1. "A" stability class used for unstable; "D" used for neutral; "F" used for stable.

values of escape fraction determined by the settling and deposition models were grouped by National Weather Service wind speed class, as shown in Table 2.2. This analysis indicates that the escape fraction increases with increasing wind speed, as would be expected --- higher wind speeds tend to remove more particulate matter from the pits.

TABLE 2.2
ESCAPE FRACTION BY WIND SPEED

| DISTRIBUTION | WIND SPEED (CLASS) | EXIT VELOCITY (SETTLING) | SOURCE DEPLETION (DEPOSITION) | WINGES EQUATION |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| UNIVERSAL | 1 | 1.00 | 0.78 | 0.90 |
| | 2 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.91 |
| | 3 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.95 |
| | 4 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.95 |
| | 5 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.96 |
| EDS | 1 | 0.75 | 0.35 | 0.70 |
| | 2 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.70 |
| | 3 | 0.96 | 0.43 | 0.73 |
| | 4 | 0.96 | 0.43 | 0.69 |
| | 5 | 0.99 | 0.43 | 0.76 |

Two analytical expressions which predict escape fraction from meteorological and mine pit parameters were tested. The Winges equation (Winges, 1981), which expresses escape fraction as a function of pit depth, vertical diffusivity, and deposition velocity, was found to be superior:

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{V_d}{K_z}\right) H}$$

where ϵ is the escape fraction

V_d is the larger of deposition or settling velocity, m/s

K_z is vertical diffusivity, m^2/sec

H is pit depth, m.

The Winges equation was applied independently to each of the smoke release episodes, and the average values of predicted escape fraction were grouped by Pasquill-Gifford stability class and by wind speed. These predicted escape fractions are shown in Tables 2.1 and 2.2, where they are compared with the escape fractions inferred from the measured field data. Reasonably good agreement is indicated between the escape fractions inferred from the settling and deposition models and those predicted by the Winges equation when the data are grouped by stability class. The values of the Winges escape fraction decrease as the atmosphere becomes more stable, just as the measured values do.

When the data are grouped according to wind speed, as in Table 2.2, the agreement between escape fraction inferred from the field data, and escape fraction predicted by the Winges equation, is not especially good. One reason for this may be that the Winges equation does not include wind speed explicitly in estimating escape fraction. This suggests that the performance of the Winges equation may be improved by incorporating wind speed into the equation.

2.2 OVERVIEW OF CURRENT DATA ANALYSIS

The findings from the previous analyses (EPA, 1985) suggest two kinds of follow-on investigations. First, the moderate success of the Wings equation in predicting escape fractions inferred from the field data leads to a question of whether the Wings equation can be improved. In particular, can the agreement between predicted and inferred escape fractions be improved by introducing new variables (eg., wind speed), or by modifying the equation to take into account more accurate representations of dispersion. These questions are explored in Chapter 4 of this report.

The second follow-on investigation concerns the meteorological data collected in and out of the pits. EPA's analysis in January 1985 looked at meteorological conditions that were coincident with smoke puff releases, and were averaged over a time period equal to the episode duration of the smoke puff release. This meant that the values of sigma-theta measured in and out of the pits were converted to alphabetic stability class over time periods equal to the smoke puff episodes, which were generally between 30 seconds to ten minutes in duration. The equivalent alphabetic stability class for these short sampling times was predominantly "D", and as a consequence, further analyses of sigma-theta stability class were not performed. In this present report the values of sigma-theta stability class are recomputed over fifteen minute and one-hour time intervals, as described in the "Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised)" (EPA, 1984). The details and findings of this investigation are discussed in Chapter 3.

3.0 SIGMA-THETA DATA ANALYSIS

The use of sigma-theta as a measure of atmospheric stability is especially attractive in the analysis of the field data because this is the only turbulence parameter that was measured independently and simultaneously inside and outside the pit.⁽¹⁾ In addition, the recent "Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised)" (EPA, 1984) recommends the use of sigma-theta as an acceptable measure of stability class, and provides a uniform method to convert short-term values of sigma-theta to one-hour stability classes. Because a majority of the alphabetic stability classes computed previously were Category "D", there was some question about the accuracy of the field data itself. A quality assurance audit of the instrumentation and the software used to measure sigma-theta was made.

3.1 SIGMA-THETA AUDIT

Air Sciences, Inc., the company responsible for collecting the field data, was asked to perform an audit of the wind direction and wind speed instrumentation and the software in the data logger that were used to collect the 1983 field data. Their findings are included in Appendix A of this report. In summary, there were two separate causes of error discovered in the collection and calculation of sigma-theta values:

- POLLING FREQUENCY. The data logger used to interrogate the wind direction sensor was programmed to poll once every 10 seconds, and then compute a one-minute standard deviation from these six samples. This is a low polling frequency. The effect of the low polling frequency would be to introduce random errors in computed one-minute values of sigma-theta. That is, some values of sigma-theta would be artificially too big, and some values would be too small, but over

1. Sigma w, the standard deviation of vertical wind speed, was only measured out of the pit (EPA, 1985).

a large number of computed sigma-thetas the random errors would cancel one another. The effect of this error would tend to diminish with the number of computed sigma-theta values, and it would be expected that over a full one-hour time interval the error inherent in individual one-minute sigma-theta values would cancel out. Consequently, the polling frequency error is not important in one-hour sigma-theta values.

- COMPUTATION OF STANDARD DEVIATION. In computing sigma-theta, the software used in the data logger employed an equation for sample variance⁽¹⁾, as opposed to population variance. The difference in variance computed with the two equations is insignificant for a large number of samples, but when the number of samples, n, is small, the difference can be significant (Mendenhall, 1968):

"...It can be shown that for small samples (n small) the sample variance tends to underestimate [sigma squared], and that the formula

$$s^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{n - 1}$$

provides better estimates."

The error introduced in this manner is systematic, and can be corrected very easily by multiplying the individual one-minute sigma-theta values by 1.1, which is derived as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sigma}_{\text{corrected}} &= (6/5)^{1/2} \text{sigma}_{\text{one-minute}} \\ &= 1.1 \text{sigma}_{\text{one-minute}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{sigma}_{\text{corrected}}$ = corrected value of sigma-theta

$\text{sigma}_{\text{one-minute}}$ = value of sigma-theta from field data

(6/5) = ratio of n and n-1

1. Variance is standard deviation squared.

The conclusion from the instrumentation and software audit is that sigma-theta values computed from the field data will be accurate if 1) the averaging time for computation of overall sigma-theta is increased so that random errors will cancel, and 2) individual one-minute sigma-theta values are multiplied by 1.1 before processing.

3.2 DATA AVERAGING

When the instrument and data logger software audit was completed, the field data were averaged into discrete, consecutive, one-hour time intervals. The one-hour averaging time was chosen since this is the standard time interval used for dispersion model input, and because the "Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised)" (EPA, 1984) relates alphabetic stability classes to one-hour sigma-theta values. The field data base was that submitted at the conclusion of the field data gathering effort (Hittman and Air Sciences, 1983), except that spurious, illegible characters introduced into the data base during transcription from cassette to magnetic tape had been removed. The period of record for the field data base is shown in Table 3.1, by mine.

TABLE 3.1
FIELD DATA PERIOD OF RECORD IN 1983

| MINE | PERIOD OF RECORD |
|--------------|--|
| YAMPA | JUNE 28 (1000 - 1400 HRS) JUNE 29 (0800 - 1400 HRS) JUNE 30 (0800) - JULY 2 (0700) |
| CABALLO | JULY 11 (1200)- JULY 16 (0200) |
| SPRING CREEK | JULY 19 (0700) - JULY 22 (1000) |
| ROSEBUD | AUGUST 1 (1100) - AUGUST 5 (1000) |

One-hour averages of wind speed (in and out of the pit) and wind direction (in and out of the pit) were computed by scalar averaging and unit vector averaging, respectively.

The one-hour averages of sigma-theta in and out of the pit were computed as follows. First, individual sigma-theta values were multiplied by 1.1 to correct for the error in the data logger software. Next, fifteen consecutive one-minute values of sigma-theta were summed and averaged by the root-mean-squared (rms) method for each quarter hour within a fixed one-hour time period. Finally, the four consecutive 15 minute averages of sigma-theta were combined into an hourly sigma-theta value with the equation

$$\sigma_{1\text{-hour}} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{15}^2 + \sigma_{15}^2 + \sigma_{15}^2 + \sigma_{15}^2}{4} \right)^{1/2}$$

where $\sigma_{1\text{ hour}}$ is one-hour average sigma theta

σ_{15} is fifteen minute sigma-theta

This procedure has been recommended to compute average sigma-theta values in order to minimize wind direction meander effects (EPA, 1984).

Hourly daytime stability classes were computed using sigma-theta classifications and wind speed criteria shown in Table 9-2 and hourly nighttime stabilities were computed using Table 9-3 criteria (EPA, 1984). Both of these methodologies eliminate unrealistic occurrences of stable and unstable conditions that would not occur with the Pasquill-Gifford stability typing scheme. For each hour of data, two independent sigma-theta stabilities (one in the pit, one outside the pit) were calculated.

The Pasquill-Gifford (P-G) stability class was determined from cloud cover and ceiling recorded in the field observer's logs, combined with average out-of-pit wind speed during each hour. The procedures used to compute P-G stability class were those used by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) in deriving STAR distributions.

The final parameter computed was the ratio of the hourly average sigma-theta measured out of the pit, divided by the sigma-theta measured in

the pit. This ratio of sigma-theta values is a dimensionless variable that indicates whether turbulence (as measured by sigma-theta) is greater in or out of the pit. Values of sigma-theta ratio smaller than 1.0 indicate greater turbulence in the pit than out of the pit.

The processed hourly data averages are included in Appendix B of this report. Each data record (one horizontal line) shows a one-hour average of the meteorological parameters. Data fields filled with 999s indicate missing or invalid data.

The one-hour averaged data base shown in Appendix B is different from the data base used previously (EPA, 1985) to examine escape fractions in this respect: the data base in Appendix B presents one-hour averages of meteorological variables, as opposed to averages computed over the smoke puff release episode time.

3.3 METEOROLOGICAL DATA ANALYSIS

It is seen from the one-hour meteorological data in Appendix B that the value of sigma-theta outside the pit is almost always smaller than sigma-theta inside the pit. This is indicated by the value of the parameter RAT (ratio of the hourly sigma-theta out of the pit, divided by the hourly sigma-theta in the pit) which is almost always less than 1.0. In fact, of the 247 valid hourly observations during which both sigma-theta (out) and sigma-theta (in) were present, only 21 of the observations⁽¹⁾ indicate that the sigma-theta ratio is greater than 1.0. This indicates that the horizontal

1. The first measured value of sigma-theta ratios on Julian day 181, at time 8:59, was discarded from the data set. This is the first reading of a new measurement run, and it appears to be erroneous. The subsequent value of sigma-theta in the pit at time 9:59 was flagged as incorrect by Air Sciences, Inc.

wind direction fluctuation inside the pit is greater than outside the pit, which is as expected. The in-pit wind sensor responds to the mechanical turbulence caused by airflow over the edge of the pit, and by the wake created downwind of the pit walls. The out-of-pit sensor is not subject to these wake effects since it is located above the mechanically induced pit turbulence region. It should be remembered that sigma-theta does not necessarily measure vertical mixing, and it would be a mistake to conclude that pollutants inside the pit would be more thoroughly dispersed vertically than those outside the pit.

To examine the relationship of sigma-thetas in and out of the mine pits, all data were segregated into groups defined by values of sigma-theta ratio less than and greater than 1.0. The average wind speeds in and out of the mine pits, segregated by sigma-theta ratios, are shown in Table 3.2.

TABLE 3.2
AVERAGE WIND SPEED (kts.) OUT AND IN PIT
GROUPED BY SIGMA-THETA RATIOS

| WIND SPEED LOCATION | SIGMA-THETA RATIO < 1.0 | SIGMA-THETA RATIO > 1.0 |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| OUT | 7.99 | 4.62 |
| IN | 5.71 | 4.12 |

Table 3.2 shows that when the ratio of sigma-thetas is less than 1.0 (ie., when sigma-theta out of the pit is less than in the pit) that wind speeds are appreciably larger than when the sigma-theta ratio is greater than 1.0. The relationship of sigma-theta ratios with wind speed can be seen by listing average values of sigma-theta ratio with wind speed categories, in Table 3.3. It is evident that the ratio of in-pit and out-of-pit sigma-thetas depends strongly on wind speed. Values of the ratio decrease with increasing wind speed.

TABLE 3.3
COMPARISON OF WIND SPEED AND
SIGMA-THETA RATIO

| OUT OF PIT WIND SPEED (kts.) | SIGMA-THETA RATIO |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| 0 - 3 | 0.80 |
| 4 - 6 | 0.66 |
| 7 - 10 | 0.60 |
| 11 - 16 | 0.52 |
| 17 - 21 | 0.35 |
| GREATER THAN 21 | 0.40 |

The relationship in Table 3.3 could be caused by either 1) increased turbulence in the pit with greater wind speeds, or 2) decreased turbulence out of the pit with greater wind speeds. Examining the sigma-theta values in and out of the pit as a function of wind speeds, suggests that the second explanation is correct.

TABLE 3.4
SIGMA-THETA OUT AND IN PITS
AS A FUNCTION OF WIND SPEED

| OUT OF PIT WIND SPEED (kts.) | SIGMA-THETA OUT (deg) | SIGMA-THETA IN (deg) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 - 3 | 14.0 | 19.5 |
| 4 - 6 | 13.9 | 21.7 |
| 7 - 10 | 13.4 | 23.7 |
| 11 - 16 | 10.2 | 20.2 |
| 17 - 21 | 8.3 | 24.8 |
| GREATER THAN 21 | 7.2 | 17.8 |

The values of sigma-theta out of the pit decrease with increasing wind speed, as seen in Table 3.4. This means that the horizontal fluctuations of wind direction decrease with greater wind speed out of the pit, as would be expected, since higher wind speeds tend to increase wind direction persistence. Inside the pit, however, mechanical turbulence of the pit itself

dominates the flow, and horizontal wind directions are more nearly constant regardless of wind speed.

Table 3.5 shows the number of occurrences of alphabetic stability class determined by the Pasquill-Gifford method versus those indicated by sigma-theta in the pit. If the two methods agreed perfectly, then all of the values in Table 3.5 would lie along a line drawn from the upper left of the Table to the lower right. When grouped by general stability category (ie, unstable, neutral, and stable) the agreement is fairly good. For any given value of Pasquill-Gifford stability class, the sigma-theta stability class in the pit tends to be slightly more unstable. Similarly, the comparison of Pasquill-Gifford stability with out-of-pit sigma-theta stability (shown in Table 3.6) exhibits similar general agreement, with the sigma-theta method showing more neutral stability ("D" class) than the Pasquill-Gifford method. Finally, a comparison of sigma-theta stabilities in and out of the pits shown in Table 3.7 indicates that stabilities inside the pit are, by and large, more diverse than stabilities outside the pit. While there are 136 occurrences of neutral ("D") stability measured out of the pit, there are only 55 measured in the pit.

TABLE 3.5
NUMBER OF OCCURRENCES OF STABILITY CLASS
DETERMINED BY PASQUILL-GIFFORD AND
SIGMA-THETA MEASURED IN-PIT

| PASQUILL-GIFFORD | SIGMA-THETA IN-PIT | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|----|---|----|----|----|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| A | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 31 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 30 | 21 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| D | 3 | 10 | 2 | 24 | 1 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 10 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 38 |

TABLE 3.6
NUMBER OF OCCURRENCES OF STABILITY CLASS
DETERMINED BY PASQUILL-GIFFORD AND
SIGMA-THETA MEASURED OUT-OF-PIT

| PASQUILL-GIFFORD | SIGMA-THETA OUT-OF-PIT | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| A | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 13 | 19 | 22 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 1 | 7 | 26 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 7 | 0 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 32 | 18 |

TABLE 3.7
NUMBER OF OCCURRENCES OF STABILITY CLASS
DETERMINED BY SIGMA-THETA OUT-OF-PIT
AND SIGMA-THETA MEASURED IN-PIT

| SIGMA THETA IN-PIT | SIGMA-THETA OUT-OF-PIT | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|----|----|----|----|---|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| A | 12 | 14 | 20 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 2 | 13 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| D | 1 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 7 | 1 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 4 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 17 | 7 |

In general, however, the agreement between all three stability typing schemes (Pasquill-Gifford, sigma-theta in the pit, and sigma-theta out of the pit) is reasonably good. Table 3.8 shows the number of hours in which the various stability classes differ by 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 categories. Table 3.8 shows that the Pasquill-Gifford method and the sigma-theta in-pit yield the same alphabetic category 87 hours out of a possible 251 hours, and they differ by one category 106 hours. From this, it can be seen that stability class determined by the Pasquill-Gifford method and by measuring sigma-theta in the pit are within one stability category for $(87 + 106/251 = .77)$ 77% of the valid data hours. Similarly, the P-G and the sigma-theta (out-of-pit) stabilities agree within one stability class 82% of the hours, and sigma-theta stabilities in and out of the pit agree within one stability class 64% of the time.

TABLE 3.8
DIFFERENCES IN STABILITY CLASSES

| CLASSES DIFFER BY | P-G & SIGMA-THETA IN-PIT | P-G & SIGMA-THETA OUT OF PIT | SIGMA-THETA IN & OUT OF PIT |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0 | 87 | 112 | 77 |
| 1 | 106 | 138 | 82 |
| 2 | 55 | 54 | 69 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 19 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 251 | 305 | 247 |

This good agreement between sigma-theta and Pasquill-Gifford stabilities seemingly contradicts the poor agreement between sigma-theta and P-G stability detected in the previous examination of smoke release episode stabilities (EPA, 1985) in which the majority of the sigma-theta stability classes were found to be "D" class. The most likely reason for poor agreement between sigma-theta stabilities and P-G stabilities in the previous investigation is that the data sampling times in the smoke release data were limited to the episode duration, which varied from one minute to, at most, 20 minutes. Over these short time periods the horizontal wind direction fluctuations are small.

4.0 ANALYSIS OF ESCAPE FRACTION EQUATIONS

In the previous report (EPA, 1985), TRC evaluated two equations for computing the escape fraction. The evaluation data were the inferred escape fractions from the video-tape interpretations. The comparison of the data with the available formula indicated that the equation developed by Winges offered promise. This section details the efforts to extend the original Winges formula.

The original Winges equation was based on a theoretical analysis of diffusion of particles from an open depression in the ground. The derivation of the equation will be presented later in this document, but a general discussion of the overall technique and assumptions is pertinent here. The diffusion of particles from a sub-surface depression can be treated as a steady-state process, such that three phenomena are in constant mass-balance: the emission of dust in the pit, the deposition of dust on the surfaces of the pit and the flux of dust out of the pit.

The mass-balance approach was augmented by the key assumption that the transport of material within the pit could be completely characterized by the diffusion process -- that is, that the mean properties of the wind do not result in any transport of the material out of the pit, rather only the random motions of the wind are responsible for dust loss to the atmosphere. This assumption may be paraphrased as saying that there is no vertical wind within the pit, but there is vertical turbulent diffusion. It is important to emphasize that the concern here is only with vertical motion of the air since the emissions are assumed to occur within a cut from a flat surface and vertical motion is necessary for the escape of particles.

The key parameters are those that concern the vertical diffusion of particles from the pit. In the original Winges equation a simple gradient transfer approach was taken in which the vertical flux was assumed to be proportional to the gradient of concentration of dust within each vertical layer in the pit and the proportionality constant, called the eddy

diffusivity, was assumed to be a constant for all heights. This approach, which will be called the Constant-K approach, yielded a simple equation for computation of the escape fraction. For implementation of the above equation, a value of the eddy diffusivity was taken from the literature. Evidence from Draxler (1979) suggests that different eddy diffusivities should be used for different stabilities.

In addition to the experimental evidence offered by TRC, there is ample evidence from the scientific literature that the eddy diffusivity, and hence the escape fraction, is related to the wind speed (Draxler, 1979). The purpose of the current investigation is to determine if wind speed could be incorporated into the previous equation. The logical place to incorporate the wind speed into the earlier formula is through the characterization of diffusion (the eddy diffusivity). Horizontal wind speed influences the vertical diffusion near a surface because a considerable portion of the turbulence near the surface results from frictional shearing caused by the wind as it passes over the surface. If the wind exerts more force on the surface (as a result of greater wind speeds), then it can be expected to create more turbulence.

The current report addresses two general avenues for incorporation of the effect of wind speed on pit retention and within each of these avenues there are options. All of these techniques are presented rather than presenting a single method in the interest of completeness. Later in this chapter, all of the newly-developed techniques will be compared with the experimental data. In addition to a comparison with the experimental data as interpreted in the earlier study, this report also offers a new interpretation of the video tape data. Without detailed experimental data it is not possible to determine if the more complex techniques result in greater accuracy.

4.1 DERIVATION OF THE CANDIDATE ESCAPE FRACTION EQUATIONS

As stated earlier, the original Wings equation was based on the assumption that the eddy diffusivity is a constant throughout the pit. The assumption of a constant eddy diffusivity is based in large part on the lack

of understanding of what the dispersion behavior inside a pit really is. It is likely that the flow and turbulence patterns inside a pit are highly complex and not easily represented. Most research on turbulence characteristics are for flow over uniform flat surfaces or simple geometric shapes. Even the few studies which have been performed on shapes similar to a mine pit would not be expected to generalize to all orientations or configurations. Thus, the simple assumption of a horizontally well mixed volume of air with a single value of the eddy diffusivity was used in the original Winges equation because the actual behavior of the eddy diffusivity in the pit is unknown.

4.1.1 THE ORIGINAL WINGES EQUATION

The derivation of the original Winges equation is not in the open literature and may not be available to some readers. The fraction of material which escapes the pit may be represented by the following equation:

$$\epsilon = \frac{F}{E} \quad (1)$$

where: ϵ = escape fraction (dimensionless)

F = flux of material from the pit (g/sec-m²)

E = emission rate within the pit (g/sec-m²)

By a simple mass balance argument, the dust emitted in the pit must either be deposited on the internal surfaces of the pit or transported as a flux out of the pit. Mathematically this is represented by:

$$E = F + D \quad (2)$$

where: D = deposition in the pit (g/sec-m²)

The original Winges equation attempted to treat a very simplified dispersion scenario, and a number of assumptions were made to simplify the mathematical solution. These include:

1. All emissions occur at the bottom of the pit.
2. The only mechanism for transport of material out of the pit is turbulent diffusion. This assumption, discussed earlier, means that vertical wind speeds will be ignored.
3. The vertical flux of material is constant with height. This must occur if the flow is in steady-state, otherwise concentrations would be building-up inside the pit.
4. The turbulence within the pit is constant throughout the pit. This is the constant eddy diffusivity assumption.
5. Deposition occurs at the bottom of the pit and is proportional to the concentration at the bottom of the pit. The assumption of deposition being proportional to concentration at the ground is well supported in the literature (see for example, Chamberlain and Chadwick, 1953). The proportionality constant has the units of a velocity and is termed the "deposition velocity".
6. Concentrations directly above the pit, resulting from pit emissions, fall to zero at some height above the pit. This condition is necessary as a boundary condition for the differential equations to be solved. It is a reasonable assumption, since emissions that are mixed to the top of the pit would be carried away by the prevailing wind, so that the wind would provide a constant supply of "clean" air at the top of the pit. The original Winges equation used the assumption that concentrations fall to zero at the top of the pit, because it turns out that this results in the greatest percentage of material being lost and thus may be viewed as a conservative assumption. This assumption is generalized here to simply say that concentrations must fall to zero at some height above the bottom of the pit, H , and that height may be specified by the user. To be conservative, the user may select a value of H equal to the depth of the pit so that the zero height is the top of the pit and thereby maximize the escape of emissions.

The gradient transfer approach for dealing with turbulent diffusion is to model the turbulent behavior using equations that match laminar flow. In laminar flow the flux of material across any surface resulting from diffusion is proportional to the concentration gradient between the two bodies of fluid on either side of the surface. The proportionality constant is called diffusivity. The turbulent motions called eddies result in far more transfer of material than the laminar diffusion process. However, it is still the gradient in concentration between two bodies of fluid that results in transfer of material, since the eddy motions result in exchange of fluid across the

boundary. Thus, the concept evolved of assuming the diffusion to be proportional to the concentration gradient, but here a much larger proportionality constant, called the "eddy diffusivity" was used (Bird et al., 1960).

There is a large difference between a laminar diffusivity and an eddy diffusivity. The laminar diffusivity is a function of the physical properties of the fluid, such as its viscosity and temperature. The eddy diffusivity is a property of the flow, and for a given fluid and temperature can vary widely depending on the energy of motion of the fluid and the shearing forces and other phenomena. For these purposes here, it is assumed the vertical motion of particles emitted in the pit can be represented by a gradient transfer equation:

$$F = -K \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial z} \quad (3)$$

where: K = eddy diffusivity (m^2/sec)
 χ = concentration (g/m^3)
 z = vertical dimension (m)

The general approach in the Constant-K Model is to assume that the eddy diffusivity, K , is a constant with respect to height of the pit. This constant assumption allows easy integration of equation (3) as follows:

$$\chi = -\frac{F}{K} z + C \quad (4)$$

where: C = constant of integration

It is necessary to evaluate the constant of integration with a boundary condition, and for this purpose, we use the assumption that concentration falls to zero at some height above the surface, H . This is accomplished as follows:

$$0 = -\frac{F}{K} H + C \quad (5)$$

$$C = \frac{F}{K} H \quad (6)$$

where: H = height at which $\chi = 0$ (m)

Now, equation (4) becomes:

$$\chi = \frac{F}{K}(H - z) \quad (7)$$

The above equation can be used to evaluate the term "D" in equation (2) with one additional assumption. The deposition at the surface must be proportional to the concentration at the surface. Mathematically this can be represented as (Chamberlain, 1953):

$$D = \chi_{z_0} u_d \quad (8)$$

where: χ_{z_0} = concentration at the surface (g/m^3)
 u_d = deposition velocity (m/sec)

Equation (7) allows one to compute the concentration at the surface as follows:

$$\chi_{z_0} = \frac{F}{K}(H - z_0) \quad (9)$$

where: z_0 = some small height, usually called the roughness height (further detail provided later) (m)

Reforming equation (1) and substituting from above as follows:

$$\epsilon = \frac{F}{F + D} \quad (10)$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{D}{F}} \quad (11)$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{u_d}{K}(H - z_0)} \quad (12)$$

Since the roughness height is usually very small when compared to H, it is possible to ignore the roughness height and express the equation as follows:

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{u_d}{K}(H)} \quad (13)$$

The above equation is the one used previously (EPA, 1985) in the evaluation of the alternate pit retention formulae and referred to as the original Winges equation.

4.1.2 ALTERNATIVE 1 -- CONSTANT-K USING LINEAR MODEL

The simplest method of incorporating the wind speed into the above formula is to keep the assumption of a constant eddy diffusivity and calculate the value of the eddy diffusivity to be used as a function of the wind speed. This report investigates two general methods for computation of the eddy diffusivity as a function of wind speed. The first of these is based on an assumed linear relationship between wind speed and eddy diffusivity. The second, which will be presented later, involves a more detailed approach for characterizing the eddy diffusivity. The linear assumption results from a number of other assumptions about the relationship between turbulence and wind speed and the derivation of this relationship is presented in the following paragraphs.

The wind speed will generally be measured outside of the pit at some reference height. It is well known that the wind speed in the lower layers of the atmospheric boundary layer increases with height above the surface (Turner, 1970). The shape of the wind speed profile, as it is called, is reflective of the momentum balance of the flow at the surface. In one simplified analysis, the wind speed profile is characterized by two parameters, and these are usually expressed in a logarithmic equation known as the logarithmic profile (Monin and Yaglom, 1971).

$$u = \frac{u_*}{k} \ln\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right) \quad (14)$$

where: u = wind speed (m/sec)
 u_* = friction velocity (m/sec)
 k = von Karman constant, usually
assumed to be 0.35

The two parameters, friction velocity and roughness height, will be used extensively in the analysis throughout this document, and need further explanation. The wind moving over the surface of the earth creates a shear stress at the surface. This shear stress, when divided by the density of the air to reduce it to its kinematic properties, has the units of a velocity squared, and when the square root is taken the result is called the friction velocity. The friction velocity, then, may be thought of as a measure of the shear stress exerted by the wind on the surface of the earth. The surface roughness height is a measure of the surface protrusions which create drag on the wind as it passes. The greater the surface area offered by these protrusions, the greater the drag, and the more gradual the increase of the wind speed with height.

The shear stress at the surface is a way of expressing the transfer of momentum by turbulent motion to the surface of the earth (Monin and Yaglom, 1971). The process of the transfer of momentum in turbulent flows is very similar to the process of the transfer of particles, thus it is useful to examine the momentum transfer process as reflected in the wind speed profile to see what it says about the particulate diffusion process. As with the diffusion of particles, a gradient transfer representation can also be used for the transfer of momentum. In the momentum transfer case, the gradient is of the wind speed rather than the concentration of particles. The proportionality constant here is customarily called the kinematic eddy viscosity instead of the eddy diffusivity as used for the diffusion of particles earlier.

However, the mechanism for momentum transport is exactly the same as the mechanism for turbulent transfer of gasses and particles, and consequently, researchers have used the eddy viscosity as a measure of the eddy diffusivity. The mathematical characterization of this process is as follows (Monin and Yaglom, 1971):

$$u_*^2 = - K_v \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \quad (15)$$

where: K_v = kinematic eddy viscosity (m^2/sec)

Differentiate the wind speed profile (equation 14), to develop the following:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{u_*}{k} \frac{1}{z} \quad (16)$$

Substituting and reforming, obtain the following:

$$u_*^2 = - \frac{K_v u_*}{kz} \quad (17)$$

$$K_v = - u_* kz \quad (18)$$

As stated earlier, the wind speed will be measured at some reference height, and consequently, one can compute the resulting eddy viscosity at the same reference height by reforming the logarithmic profile to solve for the friction velocity and substituting the resulting equation into the above equation for the eddy viscosity. This is shown in the next few equations:

$$u_* = \frac{uk}{\ln\left(\frac{z_{ref}}{z_0}\right)} \quad (19)$$

where: z_{ref} = reference height of wind speed measurement (m)

$$K_v = \frac{uk^2}{\ln\left(\frac{z_{ref}}{z_0}\right)} z_{ref} \quad (20)$$

Inserting the solution for the eddy viscosity in place of the eddy diffusivity in equation (13) for the escape fraction yields a solution:

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{u_d \ln\left(\frac{z_{ref}}{z_0}\right) H}{u k^2 z_{ref}}} \quad (21)$$

4.1.3 ALTERNATIVE 2 -- CONSTANT-K USING A MORE DETAILED MODEL

A major shortcoming of the previous approach is that, while it incorporates wind speed in the equation, it has lost the capability to include stability. The original Wings equation allowed the user to select eddy diffusivities based on stability, if desired. The equation in Alternative 1 has used a simplified measure of the turbulence in the atmosphere to substitute for the eddy diffusivity. A problem arises because the logarithmic profile, while a reasonable approximation to the wind speed profile in uniform flow over a flat plate, ignores the effect of the temperature structure of the atmosphere in enhancing or inhibiting vertical mixing. Temperature structure can have a significant effect on the vertical mixing of both mass and momentum, and the atmospheric stability is an often-used concept to characterize this influence.

There is an alternative approach to the one presented in section 4.1.2. It involves considerably more detail and will be presented but not derived here. It is fundamentally different than the previous approach in that it is an empirical approach rather than a theoretical approach. It uses a parameter called the Monin-Obukhov length to characterize the stability aspects of the flow. The Monin-Obukhov length characterization of temperature structure influences on dispersion is viewed as an improvement over the previous stability classification scheme by the meteorological community.

The eddy diffusivity is computed using the following formula (Draxler, 1979):

$$K = \frac{k u_* z}{\phi_h} \quad (22)$$

where: ϕ_h = normalized temperature profile

The normalized temperature profile may be computed by one of two formulas and uses the Monin-Obukhov length L . In fact, it is necessary to compute the Monin-Obukhov length first because the choice of formulas to use for the normalized temperature profile is made with the quantity Z/L (also used as a measure of the stability). If the stability is unstable ($Z/L < 0$) then the following formula is used for the normalized temperature profile:

$$\phi_h = \frac{0.74}{(1 - 9\frac{Z}{L})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (23)$$

If the stability is stable or neutral ($Z/L \geq 0$) then the following formula is used for the normalized temperature profile:

$$\phi_h = 0.74 + 5\frac{Z}{L} \quad (24)$$

The computation of the Monin-Obukhov length is complicated. First, one must compute the Bulk Richardson Number, B , using the following equation:

$$B = \frac{gz^2}{T} \frac{\Delta\theta}{u^2} \quad (25)$$

where: g = gravitational acceleration
(9.81 m/sec²)
 T = ambient temperature (°K)
 $\Delta\theta$ = potential temperature gradient
(°K/m)

Then the Richardson Number itself, Ri , is calculated from the Bulk Richardson using the following equation:

$$B = \frac{Ri}{\ln(\frac{z_{ref}}{z_o}) - \psi_m} \quad (26)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\phi_m}{\phi_m} \right\}^2$$

where: ψ_m and ϕ_m are defined below

For stable and neutral conditions:

$$\phi_m = \frac{1}{(1 - 5Ri)} \quad (27)$$

$$\psi_m = \frac{-5Ri}{(1 - 5Ri)} \quad (28)$$

While during unstable conditions:

$$\phi_m = \frac{1}{(1 - 15Ri)^{1.4}} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_m = \ln\left(\frac{z_{ref}}{z_0}\right) - \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{(\zeta-1)(\zeta_0+1)}{(\zeta+1)(\zeta_0-1)}\right) \right. \\ \left. + 2(\tan^{-1}\zeta - \tan^{-1}\zeta_0) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\zeta = (1 - 15Ri)^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad (31)$$

$$\zeta_0 = \left(1 - 15Ri \frac{z_0}{z_{ref}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad (32)$$

It will be noted that equation (26) cannot be solved directly for the Richardson Number. In fact, solution of the equation is a tedious numerical process. A computer algorithm for the solution of this complicated set of equations is included in Appendix C. Alternative numerical solution techniques may be an improvement and should be investigated. Once the Richardson Number has been computed, the Monin-Obukhov length is computed by one of two formulas. If the Richardson Number is less than zero, the following formula applies:

$$\frac{z}{L} = Ri \quad (33)$$

If the Richardson Number is greater than or equal to zero, the following formula applies:

$$\frac{z}{L} = \frac{Ri}{(1 - 5Ri)} \quad (34)$$

The friction velocity is also computed using an empirical equation of the form:

$$u_* = \frac{ku}{\ln\left(\frac{z_{ref}}{z_0}\right) - \psi_m} \quad (35)$$

Once the eddy diffusivity is computed using the above analysis, it is again assumed to be a constant within the pit and escape fraction is computed using equation (13), which has been repeated here for convenience.

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{u_d}{K}(H)} \quad (13)$$

4.1.4 ALTERNATIVE 3 -- VARIABLE-K USING LINEAR MODEL

The previous two sections presented alternate methods of extending the earlier equation to include wind speed, while at the same time maintaining the assumption that the eddy diffusivity is a constant throughout the pit. It will be noted that both of the alternatives presented thus far require the input of height in the computation of the eddy diffusivity. Section 4.1.2 used the reference height of the wind speed monitor as the height to input when computing the eddy diffusivity. Since the equations imply that eddy diffusivity is a function of height, it seems logical to investigate the implications on the escape fraction if the eddy diffusivity is input into the current analysis as a function of height.

As with the Constant-K methods, the Variable-K methods will investigate two separate options for characterization of the eddy diffusivity: the linear model and the more complex model, using the Monin-Obukhov length.

Equation (20) shows how the eddy viscosity can be calculated using the linear model. The eddy viscosity is then assumed to be equivalent to the eddy diffusivity based on the similarity of mass and momentum transfer processes (Bird, et al, 1960). The height used to compute the eddy diffusivity appears in two places in equation (20). To generalize the equation for application at all heights, the z_{ref} is replaced in one of the occurrences by z . Equation (20) then becomes:

$$K_v = \frac{uk^2}{\ln\left(\frac{z_{ref}}{z_0}\right)} z \quad (36)$$

It should be noted that z_{ref} was not replaced by z in the logarithmic term because the friction velocity is still a constant established by a single measurement of u at a reference height (using equation 19 which was then substituted into equation 18 to produce equation 36). The variable relationship for the eddy diffusivity in equation (36) is then substituted into equation (3) to develop a new relationship for the vertical flux:

$$F = - \frac{uk^2}{\ln\left(\frac{z_{ref}}{z_0}\right)} z \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial z} \quad (37)$$

It is important to note that the vertical flux is still a constant, as required by the steady-state assumption. Therefore, the integration of equation (37) is still possible to develop a relationship for calculating concentration as a function of height. The details of the integration are as follows:

$$\partial \chi = - \frac{\text{Fln}(\frac{z_{\text{ref}}}{z_0})}{uk^2} \frac{1}{z} \partial z \quad (38)$$

$$\int_{z_0}^H \partial \chi = - \frac{\text{Fln}(\frac{z_{\text{ref}}}{z_0})}{uk^2} \int_{z_0}^H (\frac{1}{z}) \partial z \quad (39)$$

$$\chi|_{z=H} - \chi|_{z=z_0} = - \frac{\text{Fln}(\frac{z_{\text{ref}}}{z_0})}{uk^2} \ln(\frac{H}{z_0}) \quad (40)$$

Since $\chi|_{z=H} = 0$

$$\chi_{z_0} = \frac{\text{Fln}(\frac{z_{\text{ref}}}{z_0})}{uk^2} \ln(\frac{H}{z_0}) \quad (41)$$

The deposition at the surface is computed using equations (8) and (41) as follows:

$$D = u_d \chi_{z_0} = \frac{u_d \text{Fln}(\frac{z_{\text{ref}}}{z_0})}{uk^2} \ln(\frac{H}{z_0}) \quad (42)$$

Finally, the escape fraction is computed from equations (11) and (42) as follows:

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{u_d \text{Fln}(\frac{z_{\text{ref}}}{z_0})}{uk^2} \ln(\frac{H}{z_0})} \quad (43)$$

The above equation can be used much as equation (21) is used.

4.1.5 ALTERNATIVE 4 -- VARIABLE-K USING THE MORE DETAILED MODEL

Similar to the Constant-K models, equation (43) fails to allow the escape fraction to be computed as a function of stability. It is possible to overcome this limitation by using the more complex technique for computing the eddy diffusivity as a function of the Monin-Obukhov length. The more complex technique also reveals eddy diffusivity to be a function of height. As with the Linear Model, for the more detailed approach in Section 4.1.3, this report recommended using the reference height of the wind speed monitor to compute the constant eddy diffusivity to be used in the escape fraction computation. It is possible to generalize this process by allowing the eddy diffusivity to vary with height in the computation of the escape fraction. The following equation illustrates the generalization of equation (3):

$$F = - K(z) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial z} \quad (44)$$

Equation (44) can be integrated over the range of z from the roughness height to H as follows:

$$\partial \chi = - \frac{F}{K(z)} \partial z \quad (45)$$

$$\int_{z_0}^H \partial \chi = - F \int_{z_0}^H \left(\frac{1}{K(z)} \right) \partial z \quad (46)$$

$$\chi|_{z=H} - \chi|_{z=z_0} = - F \int_{z_0}^H \left(\frac{1}{K(z)} \right) \partial z \quad (47)$$

Since the concentration is zero at $z=H$, the following relationship is developed for the concentration at the roughness height:

$$\chi_{z_0} = F \int_{z_0}^H \left(\frac{1}{K(z)} \right) \partial z \quad (48)$$

Substituting equation (48) in equation (8) and the result into equation (11) yields the following expression for the escape fraction:

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{1 + u_d \int_{z_0}^H \left(\frac{1}{K(z)} \right) dz} \quad (49)$$

The integral of the inverse of the eddy diffusivity can be evaluated numerically, by dividing the vertical extent of the pit (from the roughness height to H) into a series of finite elements and computing the eddy diffusivity at each height using the procedures outlined in equations (22) through (35). The process is not as complicated as it appears. The Richardson Number, Ri, the Monin-Obukhov length, L, and the friction velocity, u_* , need only be computed once with the height of the wind speed monitor, z_{ref} , being used for z in all places in equations (25) through (35). Only when computing the eddy diffusivity itself and the normalized temperature profile in equations (22) through (24) should the actual height in the pit be used. Once the eddy diffusivities are computed at each height, the inverse of each is taken, and multiplied by the depth of each finite vertical element. Finally, the resulting values are summed to calculate the integral in equation (49).

4.2 EVALUATION OF CANDIDATE EQUATIONS WITH EXPERIMENTAL DATA

4.2.1 EVALUATION DATA

The only data available for the evaluation of the theoretical escape fraction equations presented in the previous section are the video tape recordings of the smoke releases documented in the earlier report. The major problem with using the smoke release data to evaluate the escape fraction for

mining dust is that the particle size distribution for the smoke particles and the mining dust are very different. In fact, the density and size of the smoke particles are sufficiently small to behave virtually like a gas, for which no pit retention would be expected.

The video tapes do, however, give some information on the residence times of the smoke in the pit. From these residence times it is possible to infer some information about the pit retention behavior of actual mining dust. In the earlier work (EPA, 1985) the escape fraction was inferred from the measurement data using two separate techniques. The first involved the computation of an escape velocity by dividing the vertical depth of the smoke release by the residence time. For any fugitive dust source particles of all different sizes would be released. Each particle would have a different gravitational settling velocity and a deposition velocity. The particles were grouped into classes dependant on size and a characteristic gravitational settling velocity and deposition velocity were assumed for each class. The escape velocity was then compared to the larger of the two characteristic velocities (gravitational settling or deposition) for each class. If the escape velocity was larger, all particles in the size class were assumed to escape. If the escape velocity was smaller, all particles in the size class were assumed to be retained.

Two separate particle size distributions were evaluated with the above technique, and an overall escape fraction was computed for each distribution. One particle size distribution came from the PEDCo and TRC 1982 study of coal mines (PEDCo and TRC, 1982). The second size distribution came from a similar study conducted by TRC for the mining industry (Shearer, et al, 1981). The PEDCo/TRC study of size distributions considered only particulate matter smaller than 30 microns, while the TRC mining industry study looked at particles as large as 130 microns.

The second technique for computation of the escape fraction developed in the earlier study involved using an additional theoretical expression. It computed the escape fraction from the source depletion equation developed by Van der Hoven (1968) and the meteorological data collected for each smoke release.

The escape fraction was calculated using each of the above techniques and each of the two particle size distributions for all of the roughly 800 smoke releases. The results of this analysis have been reported in the earlier study.

One observation in the course of the earlier study was that escape fraction computed using the first of the two techniques above (using the escape velocity) revealed little or no pit retention for virtually all cases analyzed. This conclusion disagrees with that of the source depletion analysis. Since the video tape data do not measure escape fraction directly, but rather require the user to infer the escape fraction from the measure of the escape velocity, one effort which was undertaken in the current study was to re-evaluate the data extracted from the video tapes to determine if there were other interpretations which could be used to infer the escape fraction.

The end result is that an alternate interpretation of the data was developed. The escape fractions computed by this new technique provided a different yardstick by which the various escape fraction equations could be evaluated. The derivation of the new technique will be presented in the following paragraphs.

It is necessary to compute how a fugitive dust particle would behave if exposed to the conditions that the smoke puff was exposed to. It is assumed that the residence time in the pit would be unaffected by the change from a smoke puff to a fugitive dust puff, but during the residence time, many of the fugitive dust particles would be deposited on the surface and walls of the pit. Those particles which do not deposit during the residence time are assumed to escape. For a puff of fugitive dust, the rate of deposition is constantly changing as is the concentration within the puff. However, if a mathematical characterization of the rate of deposition over time can be established, the total deposition during the puff's residence in the pit can be computed by integrating the deposition rate over time from the release time to the exit time. This analysis is performed with four equations. Generally, the techniques used to calculate the escape fraction here are the same as equation (1). However, in equation (1) the concern was for a continuous release.

Here the concern is for an instantaneous release. Consequently, the flux term in equation (1) has been replaced by a term representing the amount of material which escapes after a certain residence time in the pit, R.

$$\epsilon = \frac{E - \text{DEPO}(t) \big|_R}{E} \quad (50)$$

where: E = amount emitted in pit
 DEPO(t) = amount deposited on the surface from
 the release time through t
 R = residence time (evaluate DEPO(t) at t=R)

The function DEPO(t) is the total deposition in the pit from the time of release of the smoke puff until some time, t, later (but not later than the residence time, R). The term DEPO is evaluated at t=R in the equation above to determine the total deposition that occurs from the time of release until the puff exits the pit. The function DEPO(t) is defined as follows:

$$\text{DEPO}(t) = \int_0^t D(t)WL \, dt \quad (51)$$

where: D(t) = the average deposition rate over
 the area of the pit in g/m²-sec at
 any time t
 W = the width of the pit
 L = the length of the pit

Note that the deposition rate, D(t), is a different function than DEPO(t). D(t) is the instantaneous value of the deposition rate at any point in time. As discussed earlier for equation (8), the deposition is assumed to be proportional to the concentration at the surface for uniformly sized particles and in the absence of any change in the meteorological conditions or surface conditions. The concentration is also continuously changing variable in the puff analysis, so a mathematical representation of this proportionality, similar to equation (8), is as follows:

$$D(t) = \chi(t)u_d \quad (52)$$

where: $\chi(t)$ = average concentration in the pit
at any time t
 u_d = deposition velocity (m/sec)

Finally, define the concentration as a function of time by simply dividing the remaining suspended emissions (amount emitted minus amount deposited from release time until some later time, t) by the dimensions of the pit. This assumes the emissions are well mixed throughout the pit. It is represented as:

$$\chi(t) = \frac{E - \text{DEPO}(t)}{\text{HWL}} \quad (53)$$

where: H = depth of the pit

The above system of four equations can be solved by first substituting equation (53) into equation (52) as follows:

$$D(t) = \frac{u_d E - u_d \text{DEPO}(t)}{\text{HWL}} \quad (54)$$

$$D(t) = \frac{u_d E}{\text{HWL}} - \frac{u_d \text{DEPO}(t)}{\text{HWL}} \quad (55)$$

Now, equation (51) is substituted into equation (55) and the result is:

$$D(t) = \frac{u_d E}{\text{HWL}} - \frac{u_d}{\text{HWL}} \int_0^t D(t) \text{WL} dt \quad (56)$$

$$D(t) = \frac{u_d E}{\text{HWL}} - \frac{u_d}{H} \int_0^t D(t) dt \quad (57)$$

$$D(t) + \frac{u_d}{H} \int_0^t D(t) dt = \frac{u_d E}{\text{HWL}} \quad (58)$$

Equation (58) is an integral expression which is solved by the following expression for $D(t)$:

$$D(t) = \frac{u_d E}{HWL} e^{-\frac{u_d}{H}t} \quad (59)$$

Now use equations (51) and (59) to evaluate the function $DEPO(t)$:

$$DEPO(t) = \int_0^t \frac{u_d E}{H} e^{-\frac{u_d}{H}t} dt \quad (60)$$

$$DEPO(t) = \frac{u_d E}{H} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{u_d}{H}t} dt \quad (61)$$

$$DEPO(t) = \frac{u_d E}{H} \left(-\frac{H}{u_d} e^{-\frac{u_d}{H}t} + \frac{H}{u_d} \right) \quad (62)$$

$$DEPO(t) = -E e^{-\frac{u_d}{H}t} + E \quad (63)$$

Finally, evaluate the escape fraction by substituting equation (63) into equation (50) as follows:

$$\epsilon = \frac{E + E e^{-\frac{u_d}{H}(R)} - E}{E} \quad (64)$$

$$\epsilon = e^{-\frac{u_d}{H}(R)} \quad (65)$$

Using equation (65), which will be called the residence time analysis technique, the escape fraction was computed for each of the roughly 800 smoke releases and for each of the two particle size distributions. This established an additional measurement interpretation for evaluation of the theoretical escape fractions.

4.2.2 COMPARISON OF THE CANDIDATE EQUATIONS WITH THE EVALUATION DATA

There are three different evaluation data sets: one based on the escape velocity, one based on the source depletion equation, and one based on the

residence time analysis. For each of these evaluation data sets, the escape fraction has been computed for two different particle size distributions: the Universal Size Distribution from the PEDCo/TRC Study of 1982 and the Emission Factor Development Study (EDS) size distribution.

For each of the smoke releases a Pasquill-Gifford stability class determined in the original study (EPA, 1985) was used here. Most of the parameters needed by the candidate escape fraction equations were available from the original data. It was necessary to specify some of the additional parameters needed by the candidate equations presented earlier. Table 4.1 illustrates the values of the various parameters assumed in this analysis.

TABLE 4.1
PARAMETERS USED IN THE ESCAPE
FRACTION COMPUTATIONS

| PARAMETER | VALUE |
|---|--------|
| Potential Temperature Gradient ($^{\circ}\text{K/m}$) | |
| Stability A | -0.010 |
| Stability B | -0.007 |
| Stability C | -0.005 |
| Stability D | 0.000 |
| Stability E | 0.020 |
| Stability F | 0.035 |
| Reference Height for Wind Monitor | 10 m |
| Surface Roughness Height | 0.03 m |

As depicted in Table 4.2, all of the candidate escape fraction equations exhibit smaller escape fractions for stable conditions than for unstable and neutral conditions, as would be expected. Alternative 2, based on equations (22)-(35) and using equation (13) to compute the escape fraction, demonstrates somewhat better agreement with escape fractions inferred from the source depletion model than do the other alternatives.

TABLE 4.2
ESCAPE FRACTIONS BY STABILITY CLASS^a

| Universal Size Distribution | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Equation | Stability Class | | | | |
| | <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> | <u>D</u> | <u>F</u> |
| Evaluation Data: | | | | | |
| Escape Velocity | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Source Depletion | 0.93 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.81 | 0.58 |
| Residence Time | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.91 |
| Theoretical Formulae: | | | | | |
| Original Wings | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.58 |
| Alternative 1 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
| Alternative 2 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.11 |
| Alternative 3 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.48 | 0.24 |
| Alternative 4 | 0.78 | 0.70 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.11 |

| EDS Size Distribution | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Equation | Stability Class | | | | |
| | <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> | <u>D</u> | <u>F</u> |
| Evaluation Data: | | | | | |
| Escape Velocity | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.70 |
| Source Depletion | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.21 |
| Residence Time | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.51 |
| Theoretical Formulae: | | | | | |
| Original Wings | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.73 | 0.59 | 0.20 |
| Alternative 1 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.17 |
| Alternative 2 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.03 |
| Alternative 3 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.11 |
| Alternative 4 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.02 |

^a Escape fractions were not computed for P-G stability E due to the infrequent occurrence of this stability class (EPA, 1985).

A similar comparison, stratified by wind speed instead of stability is shown in Table 4.3. All of the candidate escape fraction equations show a much greater change in escape fraction with wind speed than does the original Winges equation. The increase in predicted escape fraction with wind speed matches the trend observed in the evaluation data. In this sense, the introduction of wind speed into the escape fraction computation is successful.

However, the overall conclusion made from examining all of the candidate equations' predicted escape fractions, stratified by both wind speed and stability class, is that none of the candidate escape fraction equations match the evaluation data very closely.

The reasons for the discrepancies are not known; however, it is likely that a number of effects contribute to the error in prediction. Included among these effects are the assumption that there is no vertical component to the wind. It is possible that the vertical component of the wind is responsible for considerably more direct transport of the smoke puff out of the pit than turbulent diffusion. Another source of error is the interpretation of the measurement data. Although the computation of the escape fraction in the evaluation data sets is based on the measurement of residence time for a smoke plume, it may not be possible to infer one from the other. Only by actual measurement of particulate release data could such a quantification be made.

Additional attempts were made to examine the degree of agreement of the candidate equations with the evaluation data using linear regression. It is not possible to perform a linear regression for one of the evaluation data sets (the escape velocity techniques with the Universal Size Distribution) because this technique yielded a value of 1.0 for the escape fraction in every one of the puff releases experiments. Linear regressions were performed, however, for the residence time evaluation data set and for the escape velocity evaluation data set using the EDS particle size distribution. The results of the linear regression using the escape velocity evaluation data set (with the EDS particle size distribution) are depicted in Table 4.4. As the table shows, the observed and predicted comparisons in all cases revealed a

TABLE 4.3
ESCAPE FRACTIONS BY WIND SPEED CLASS^a

| Universal Size Distribution | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Equation | Wind Speed Category | | | | |
| | <u>1</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>5</u> |
| Evaluation Data: | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Escape Velocity | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Source Depletion | 0.78 | 0.84 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Residence Time | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Theoretical Formulae: | | | | | |
| Original Wings | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 |
| Alternative 1 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.72 | 0.80 |
| Alternative 2 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.85 |
| Alternative 3 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.61 |
| Alternative 4 | 0.61 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 0.77 |

| EDS size Distribution | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Equation | Wind Speed Category | | | | |
| | <u>1</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>5</u> |
| Evaluation Data: | | | | | |
| Escape Velocity | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| Source Depletion | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| Residence Time | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.76 |
| Theoretical Formulae: | | | | | |
| Original Wings | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.76 |
| Alternative 1 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.53 | 0.66 |
| Alternative 2 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.45 |
| Alternative 3 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.38 |
| Alternative 4 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.34 |

^a Wind speed categories are those used by the National Climatic Data Center, defined as follows:

Category 1: 0 - 3 knots
 2: 4 - 6
 3: 7 -10
 4: 11 -16
 5: 17 -21
 6: above 21

TABLE 4.4
 LINEAR REGRESSION RESULTS FOR THE ESCAPE VELOCITY
 EVALUATION DATA SET AND THE EDS PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

| | Regression Parameters | | r^2 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------|
| | <u>a</u> | <u>b</u> | |
| Original Winges Equation | 0.73 | 0.17 | 0.03 |
| Alternative 1 | 0.65 | 0.53 | 0.23 |
| Alternative 2 | 0.76 | 0.29 | 0.04 |
| Alternative 3 | 0.59 | 1.02 | 0.39 |
| Alternative 4 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.11 |

very low correlation. This implies that it will be extremely difficult to improve the prediction accuracy by adjustment of the theoretical formulae with arbitrary constants.

Similarly, linear regressions were performed with the residence time evaluation data set and each of the candidate equations for both the Universal and the EDS size distributions. The results are depicted in Table 4.5. As with the earlier table, the agreement between measured and predicted is not encouraging.

TABLE 4.5
 LINEAR REGRESSION RESULTS FOR THE
 RESIDENCE TIME EVALUATION DATA SET

| | Universal Size Distribution | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| | Regression Parameters | | |
| | <u>a</u> | <u>b</u> | <u>r²</u> |
| Original Winges Equation | 0.86 | 0.10 | 0.11 |
| Alternative 1 | 0.90 | 0.09 | 0.22 |
| Alternative 2 | 0.92 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| Alternative 3 | 0.90 | 1.15 | 0.34 |
| Alternative 4 | 0.91 | 0.06 | 0.11 |

| | EDS Size Distribution | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------|
| | Regression Parameters | | |
| | <u>a</u> | <u>b</u> | <u>r²</u> |
| Original Winges Equation | 0.57 | 0.12 | 0.03 |
| Alternative 1 | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.22 |
| Alternative 2 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.00 |
| Alternative 3 | 0.48 | 0.94 | 0.39 |
| Alternative 4 | 0.59 | 0.26 | 0.03 |

5.0 ESCAPE FRACTION ALGORITHM FOR ISC

The previous sections have detailed the development of four separate equations for computing the escape fraction as a function of commonly measurable parameters. This chapter discusses the adaptation of these equations into one of the standard air pollution models, the Industrial Source Complex Model (ISC). Subroutines are developed for each of the four techniques in the previous section for incorporation into the ISC Short-Term Model (ISCST). In addition, it was necessary to make certain changes to the main section of the program and two existing subroutines, INCHK and MODEL.

Appendix C contains a listing of the complete program as modified for this purpose. The version of the ISCST Model that is shown in Appendix C is identical to the version currently available from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) in the UNAMAP series, with a few changes. These changes are listed as follows:

1. The version of ISCST in Appendix C has been adapted to run on an IBM-PC Computer. The changes necessary to accomplish this were very minor. OPEN statements were added and the character strings were explicitly declared. Also, all quotation marks were changed to apostrophes.
2. A new subroutine called ESCAPE for computation of the escape fraction was added. In Appendix C there are four separate versions of this subroutine corresponding to the four separate techniques developed for the escape fraction computation in the previous chapter.
3. The addition of the subroutine ESCAPE required several new user inputs which were added to the ISCST main program and the subroutines INCHK and MODEL through the addition of a new COMMON block called DEPO. The new parameters were deposition velocity array (a separate deposition velocity for each source and each particle size group within each source - very similar to the way gravitational settling velocities are included in the original code), the reference height, ZREF, the surface roughness height, Z0, and a pit depth for each source (incorporated in one of the previously unused storage spaces in the SOURCE array). Changes were made to the ISCST program to allow for user input of these variables. ZREF and Z0 were added to the end of the card group 2, card number 2. The pit depth is read for each source at the end of card group 6, card number 1 (after the building height) and the deposition velocities are read as a new card appearing after card group 6, card number 4.

4. The call to the new subroutine was added to the MODEL subroutine at two places: in the loop over particle size classes for the concentration calculation and in the loop over particle size classes in the deposition calculation. The subroutine ESCAPE returns the values of the escape fraction, ESCP, which is then used to reduce the vertical distribution function, V.

Using each separate version of the new subroutine, ESCAPE, four separate versions of a compiled and linked ISCST Model were made and tested with a sample data set to verify that they were operational. Appendix D presents the sample outputs for each of these test data sets. The input file is also shown in Appendix D. The same input file is used for all four versions of the model.

Run times for the four different versions of the model were recorded during the tests. While the absolute values of the runtime is not of interest here, the relative times are significant. As might be expected, the equations using the more detailed analysis technique required more computer processing time. The two techniques based on the linear model (alternatives 1 and 3) required approximately the same processing time as the original version of ISCST. Alternative 2 (Constant-K, detailed model) increased the run time by roughly a factor of 1.5, while Alternative 4 (Variable-K, detailed model) increased the run time by roughly a factor of 5.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 CONCLUSIONS

A number of conclusions can be formulated based on the foregoing analysis. Some of these conclusions have been stated in the previous report (EPA, 1985) and will be restated here for completeness. Other conclusions presented here have not been previously stated and will be discussed in more detail.

It is clear from the analysis of stabilities discussed in chapter 3.0 that the standard deviation of the wind direction measured inside the pit is always larger than that measured outside the pit. This suggests that the horizontal turbulence is greater inside the pit than outside, and a reasonable explanation for this would be that sensors inside the pit respond to mechanical turbulence caused by airflow over, and in the wake of, the pit wall. Outside the pit this mechanically induced turbulence is absent. It must be remembered that the measurement of sigma-theta in the pit says nothing about vertical turbulence inside or outside the pit.

Another observation concerning the stabilities calculated from the standard deviation of the wind direction is that they agree well with the stabilities estimated from the Pasquill-Gifford method which uses cloud cover and ceiling height. The stabilities computed in and out of the pits are either identical, or only one class different from, the P-G stability for about 80% of the data hours.

Four new equations were developed for the computation of the escape fraction as a function of commonly measured parameters. When compared to escape fractions inferred from the smoke release data, none of these new equations were seen to provide accurate predictions of the escape fraction over the full range of stability classes and wind speeds. There are many reasons for this discrepancy between measured and predicted values, but it is important to reiterate that the smoke release experiments did not measure all of the important quantities which define the escape fraction in and out of the pit.

Another possible reason for the discrepancy between measured and predicted escape fractions is that none of the techniques used to calculate the escape fraction considered the vertical motion of the wind (called convection). It is clear from the smoke release video tapes that in many of the experiments, the smoke was moved from the pit by convection of the air rather than by dispersion. In all four of the escape fraction analysis techniques developed here, an essential assumption is that the only mechanism for transfer of material from the pit to the external air is by dispersion -- convection is ignored.

The question of the influence of stability is very important. The smoke release data imply that during unstable and neutral conditions a large percentage of the dust emitted in the pit escapes. The various theoretical escape fraction equations disagree with the inferred escape fractions from the smoke releases for unstable and neutral conditions. It is likely that for these conditions, the escape fractions inferred from the measurement data are more accurate than the theoretically calculated escape fractions, because the vertical motion of the air may be quite significant for the unstable and neutral conditions and the theoretical formulae do not consider such motion while the residence time extracted from the video tapes is influenced by the vertical winds. Although analysis of vertical wind speeds might be instructive in these instances, characterization of the chaotic flow in the pit would be extremely difficult.

For stable cases, however, the situation is quite different. Here again the smoke release data infer that a large percentage of the emitted dust escapes the pit, but all the theoretical formulae conclude that only a small fraction of the emitted dust escapes. For stable conditions one would expect very little vertical motion of the air, thus the primary mechanism for vertical transport should be dispersion, and in fact that is precisely the assumption made in the development of the candidate equations. While confidence increases in the candidate equations for stable conditions, confidence in the experimental data, and in particular in the ability to infer the escape fraction from the smoke release video tapes, decreases for the stable cases. The reason for this is that in both the interpretations of the smoke release data (the escape velocity evaluation data set and the residence time evaluation data set) the escape fraction is computed from the ratio of

the pit depth to the residence time -- a quantity called the escape velocity. Vertical motion is implicit in both evaluations, when in fact for stable conditions, there may be no vertical motion at all, and the residence time of the puff in the pit may be as long as the stable conditions persist. Material will leave the pit, but the mechanism is by dispersion, not by a vertical escape velocity. The centerpoint of the puff (the point of maximum concentration) remains in the pit. The smoke release video tapes did not allow for such long residence times, and in fact the residence time in many cases where the puff appeared to disperse in the pit without any vertical motion was arbitrarily defined based on the time when the camera was turned off, or when the puff was no longer visible.

Focus on the stable cases here is appropriate because they are the most important cases to consider. Computer modeling studies done for permitting of surface mining operations typically predict the peak concentrations under stable, low-wind-speed conditions. The inferred escape fractions from the smoke release data imply that a large percentage of the dust escapes the pit during these conditions, while the four candidate equations predict low escape percentages. Since the ability to infer the escape fraction from the smoke release data is the least reliable for the stable conditions, and since the assumptions made to develop the theoretical formulae are most representative of the stable conditions, we conclude that the theoretical formulae are likely to be more correct for these stable conditions than the escape fractions inferred from the smoke releases.

Selecting between the four theoretical formulae for calculation of the escape fraction is not an easy task. None of the equations work particularly well for unstable and neutral conditions, and for the most important conditions, the stable conditions, the evaluation data are suspect and do not provide reliable selection criteria. In all the techniques the escape fraction is defined by the amount of mixing in the pit which allows emissions at the surface of the pit to be mixed upward to where the external flow of wind can carry them away. The amount of mixing is characterized by the eddy diffusivity. For the two models based on the linear model, the amount of mixing is determined from the shearing of the wind speed profile caused by the

surface drag of the earth -- a reasonable assumption for small scale dispersion over a uniform flat plat in the open boundary layer. The other two techniques, called the more detailed models, allow consideration of the stability of the atmosphere as it affects the vertical mixing in the pit.

It is our conclusion, therefore, that one of the more detailed techniques (Alternatives 2 and 4) should offer improved prediction accuracy for the escape fraction for stable conditions. It is also evident from the data evaluation that for particles smaller than 30 microns (the universal particle size distribution) there is little difference between Alternative 2 and Alternative 4 (Constant-K vs Variable-K). We conclude that Alternative 2 is the most reasonable method to use for the escape fraction computation for stable conditions for several reasons. There is no basis on which to postulate a relationship for the eddy diffusivity with height within a mining pit and the assumption of a constant value is the simplest assumption which can be made. Also, the Variable-K method (Alternative 4) significantly increases the computation time when used in the ISC Model, without providing significantly different values than the Constant-K method (Alternative 2).

6.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

The attempt to develop a characterization for the escape fraction for mining pits is made difficult by the complexity of the dispersion scenario and the difficulty in collecting meaningful data. In the course of our work, we have identified a number of shortcomings in the data and the analysis techniques and we have considered numerous methods for overcoming these shortcomings. However, we believe that our recommendations should be guided by the practical applications of the analysis techniques that are to be developed. Consequently, we will not attempt to provide recommendations for the resolution of every uncertainty we have identified in the course of our study.

While it would be interesting to determine the vertical motions inside mining pits that result in the escape of dust via vertical winds during neutral and unstable conditions, it is very likely that such motions are too

complicated to be predicted and simulated in an operational air quality model. The ultimate use of the air quality model is for permitting purposes, and the most important consideration is the conditions which produce the peak impacts. Invariably it is stable low-wind speed conditions that result in the peak concentrations. We will therefore not recommend such studies as wind tunnel investigations of the flow in and around mining pits, because such studies cannot yet adequately reproduce all atmospheric stability classes. We would also not recommend further equation development to take vertical wind velocities into account, since velocities are likely to be highly dependent on site specific conditions, which would not be known prior to a mine's construction, and ultimately it is not such vertical wind conditions which lead to peak impacts as predicted by the air quality model.

Since we have developed several equations for prediction of the escape fraction, which are hoped to work best for the stable conditions of most concern, our primary recommendation concerns the need to develop a data base for the escape fraction during stable conditions which can be used to evaluate the theoretical formulas. One of the fundamental problems with the smoke release data was that they were collected entirely during daylight hours, when stable conditions rarely exist. The best technique for measuring the escape velocity would be the use of dual tracer experiments where a tracer gas is emitted simultaneously with a tracer particle, such as zinc-sulfide. By measuring the relative concentrations of the two tracers downwind, the amount deposited can be determined and the escape fraction readily determined. There are problems with such techniques, because re-entrainment of the tracer particles from previous experiments could contaminate later experiments, thus restricting the number of experiments which could be run at a single mining operation. The dual tracers could be run at night, however, when the stable conditions are most persistent. We have not attempted to estimate costs for such a program but it is assumed that such a program would be a relatively high cost option.

An alternative program which would be less costly, and would not suffer the problems associated with the re-entrainment of tracer particles is to perform a series of experiments with a single tracer gas such as sulfur-hexafluoride. The single tracer experiments could still be run at night when the stable conditions persist, and would provide a direct measure of the ground-level concentration from pollutants emitted at the surface of the pit. While such tracer gases would not undergo deposition, the knowledge of ground-level concentrations at a grid of points throughout the pit as a function of time after release would allow us to fully quantify the dispersion process in the pit. With some assumptions concerning the deposition velocities, the deposition rates of particles on the surface of the pit could be inferred (with much greater accuracy than the smoke releases) and the escape fraction determined. The disadvantage to this technique is that it would require measurement of the tracer concentration at a large number of locations in the pit and it would still involve assumptions concerning the deposition velocities, which would be directly measured in the dual-tracer experiments described earlier. The single tracer program would be significantly cheaper than the dual tracer program, because the sampling equipment for tracer gases is typically low-cost gas syringes which can be analyzed in a remote laboratory with a gas chromatograph.

Another option for establishing a data base for evaluation of the escape fraction equations during stable conditions is to re-evaluate the video-tape recordings. Although the bulk of the experiments were in unstable or neutral conditions, there were roughly 60 experiments during stable conditions. At the time the original viewing of these tapes was performed, the viewers did not know the stability. If given the opportunity to examine these tapes again, the limited number of tapes and the knowledge of the stability class might allow the evaluator to more accurately determine the residence time in the pit. The particular items desired would be the trajectory of the puff and the amount of surface contact experienced by the puff. Also those cases where the puff stayed in the pit and dispersed will be evaluated using a much longer residence time than previously used. It is possible that by reviewing the tapes a more accurate representation of the escape fraction for the stable cases can be established. If a new data base for evaluation of the escape fraction equations can be developed, the equations can be evaluated with the same technique used in the current study.

A final option for future work would be a very simple investigation to determine a "ballpark" estimate of the magnitude of pit retention. Using existing hi-vol data and meteorological data already collected in the vicinity of surface mines, a comparison can be made of actual measured concentrations just downwind of a pit (C_{measured}), and modeled concentrations determined from the ISCST model (C_{modeled}), which idealizes the terrain as flat and unaffected by the presence of the pit. Emission rates could be estimated from AP-42, Supplement 14 fugitive dust factors, and a representative background concentration (perhaps from an upwind hi-vol) would be subtracted from the measured concentrations. Any departure in the value of ($C_{\text{measured}}/C_{\text{modeled}}$) from 1.0 would be due to errors in the emission factors, or to errors in the model. If a long time period is considered -- perhaps by examining annual average concentrations -- then random errors in the model and emission factors will cancel out. Difference in the value of ($C_{\text{measured}}/C_{\text{modeled}}$) from unity would be due to systematic errors, such as pit retention or plume perturbation caused by the pit. In the absence of systematic errors in the emission factors or in idealizing the dust plume, the ratio of ($C_{\text{measured}}/C_{\text{modeled}}$) would be just equal to the escape fraction for the particle size distribution collected by the hi-vols. This approach would be a "first-cut" at estimating the magnitude of pit retention. Of course, it would offer no insight into the physical mechanisms that control dispersion from the pit, but it would provide an evaluation of the performance of the emission factors and the ISC dispersion model. A study of this sort, using existing data, would cost from \$10,000 to \$20,000.

REFERENCES

- Air Sciences, 1985, letter from R. G. Steen, Principal, Air Sciences, Inc., to C. F. Cole, TRC Environmental Consultants, Inc., April 17, 1985.
- Bird, R. B., W. E. Stewart, and E. N. Lightfoot, 1960, Transport Phenomena, J. Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Chamberlain, A. C. and R. C. Chadwick, 1953, "Deposition of Airborne Radioiodine Vapor," Nucleonics 2, 22-25.
- Draxler, R. R., 1979, "Estimating Vertical Diffusion from Routine Meteorological Tower Measurements," Atmospheric Environment, Vol 13, pp 1559-1564.
- EPA, 1984, "Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised): Draft," U.S. EPA, OAQPS, Research Triangle Park, NC, November 1984.
- EPA, 1985, "Dispersion of Airborne Particulates in Surface Coal Mines -- Data Analysis," EPA-450/4-85-001, prepared for U.S. EPA, OAQPS, Research Triangle Park, NC, January 1985 (NTIS No. PB 85-185411).
- Fabrick, A. J., 1982, "Technical Note: Calculation of the Effective Emissions from Mine Pit Operations by Incorporating Particulate Deposition in the Evacuated Pit," MEF Environmental, Del Mar, CA, 1982.
- Hittman and Air Sciences, 1983, "Studies Related to Retention of Airborne Particulates in Coal Mine Pits -- Data Collection Phase," prepared for U.S. EPA, IERL, Cincinnati, Ohio, contract #68-03-3037, August 1983.
- Mendenhall, W., 1968, Introduction to Probability and Statistics, 3rd ed., Duxbury Press, Belmont, CA., January 1968.
- Monin, A. S. and A. M. Yaglom, 1971, Statistical Fluid Mechanics, The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- PEDCo & TRC, 1982, "Characterization of PM-10 and TSP Air Quality Around Western Surface Coal Mines," prepared for EPA, Air Management Technology Branch, contract #68-02-3512, June 1982.
- Shearer, D. L., et al, 1981, "Coal Mining Emission Factor Development Study," prepared by TRC Environmental Consultants, Inc., 0908-D10-15, Englewood, CO, July 1981.
- Turner, D. B., 1970, "Workbook of Atmospheric Dispersion Estimates," U.S. EPA, OAQPS, AP-26, Research Triangle Park, NC, 1970.
- Van der Hoven, I., 1968, "Deposition of Particles and Gases," appearing in Meteorology and Atomic Energy 1968, ed. D. H. Slade, Technical Information Center, U.S. DOE, TID-24190.
- Winges, K. D., 1981, "Description of the ERTEC Mining Air Quality (EMAQ) Model," ERTEC Northwest, Inc., Seattle, WA.

APPENDIX A

AIR SCIENCES AUDIT REPORT



AIR SCIENCES INC.

12687 West Cedar Drive
Lakewood, Colorado 80228
303/988-2960

April 17, 1985
Project No. 5-2

TRC Environmental Consultants, Inc.
7002 South Revere Parkway
Englewood, CO 80112

Attn: Mr. Cliff Cole
Senior Project Manager

RE: Sigma theta audit for TRC project 2990-V82

Gentlemen:

Air Sciences has performed an audit of the wind direction standard deviation signal generated for EPA in the summer of 1983. The audit included an electronic evaluation of the speed and direction circuits used in generating the input for the sigma calculation, and a checking of the software aspects of the calculation. The audit confirms the data as approximately correct as presented in the August 1983 report to EPA.

The direction deviation was calculated on-site by a Campbell Scientific CR-21 data logger taking instantaneous wind speed and direction data from the meteorological sensors. Samples of speed and direction data were taken every ten seconds and from these one minute averages were calculated. Thus, six instantaneous values make up each minute calculation. Note that wind speed and direction vector average was also calculated by the data logger as one-minute averages and any electronic error arising from the sensors, signal conditioning or logger input programming would also affect the wind speed and direction vector averages which were provided in the 1983 report to EPA.

There are several points in the signal conditioning and calculation process where error could arise and a list of them is given below.

- 1 calibration error in direction sensor
- 2 excess friction in speed sensor
- 3 calibration error in electronic conditioning for direction
- 4 calibration error in electronic conditioning for speed
- 5 improper matching of the output of conditioning cards to input of logging unit

- 6 incorrect algorithm built into the digital processing unit
- 7 incorrect field programming of inputs
- 8 incorrect field programming of outputs
- 9 error in transferring data from field tapes to archive tape

Each of these nine items has been investigated as a part of the audit.

1-4 The speed sensor, direction sensor, signal conditioning circuitry, and data logger in the pit were identical to those out of the pit. All calibrations and alterations made to the in-pit sensors and circuits were made also to the out-of-pit sensors. The sensors and signal conditioning circuits from the out-of-pit station have not been used since the 1983 study. These components were recalibrated as a part of this audit and the calibrations compared with their 1983 calibrations. The in-pit sensors are not available, but because of the similarity of the in-pit and out-of-pit systems, we consider a thorough study of the out-of-pit sensors sufficient to demonstrate the condition of the in-pit system also. The calibration documentation is attached. The comparison shows that the components are still in calibration. The checking of the friction of the speed sensor is not documented on the forms. It was checked by touch of an experienced technician and no excessive friction was detected.

5 The speed and direction conditioning card outputs were checked and found to be in the range of 0 to 1 VDC as was earlier assumed. The conditioning cards had been altered in 1983 to produce an instantaneous signal output rather than an averaged signal. This alteration was checked and found to be correct. The logger units were programmed to accept 0 to 1 VDC inputs as designated by the input program No. 1 (as shown on the logger documentation form, input programming section). Logger program No. 1 scales the data to engineering units by a linear equation. That equation requires a slope and an intercept. Note from the programming list that after the input program number the slope and intercept are given. Slope for speed is 50 mph/VDC and for direction is 540 degrees/VDC. The intercepts are both zero by default since they were not programmed in. Thus, the logger was receiving the data in the proper units and performing the proper scaling.

6 The wind direction standard deviation calculational algorithm is attached. It is a numerical procedure for estimating direction standard deviation with listed error of less than 1 percent for deviations less than 40 degrees, which is well within the precision of the measurement. Because direction is a circular function rather than a scalar, the exact mathematical formulation is lengthy and the algorithm in the data logger is only an

approximation. It is based on the assumption that there is no correlation of speed deviation with direction deviation (page B-9). This assumption has been experimentally verified under certain conditions as stated with the algorithm explanation. It is possible that with 10-second scans making up the rather short one-minute averages in the EPA program that this assumption may lead to some error, but we suspect that with several minutes of data averaged together random error of the type we are addressing will cancel.

The standard deviation routine calculates a variance by dividing by (N) rather than (N-1). This introduces an error (underestimate) of about 10 percent in the EPA application where the standard deviation was composed of only six values.

7-8 The programming of inputs and outputs has been documented in the report to EPA. These steps have been verified with the programming manual and found to be correct. Whether these steps were followed in the field cannot be checked, but since the speed, direction and deviation data appears to be consistent among sites we assume that no mistakes were made in the field.

9 Data were collected in the field on cassette tapes and transferred to other magnetic media in the office. It is conceivable that in this transferral process a column of field data could have been truncated. The data is logged in engineering units in the field and this truncation would not have affected the location of the decimal point. The data transferral program (a trivial program) cannot be located and rechecked, but the data has been studied and truncation error is not apparent. Only truncation of the left column would be of concern to us and if the left-most column were truncated it could only be the hundreds column. Most sigma data is in the range of 0 to 40 degrees, well below the hundred level.

These steps complete the Air Sciences audit of the sigma theta calculation. We will be happy to answer questions that should arise from this audit.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Rodger G. Steen". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Rodger G. Steen
Principal

AIR SCIENCES INC.

APPENDIX B

HOURLY METEOROLOGICAL DATA BASE

In this Appendix, hourly averaged values of the parameters defined in Table B2.1 are shown for each hour of the meteorological data base.

TABLE B2.1
DEFINITION OF VARIABLES

| NAME | MEANING |
|--------|--|
| DAY | JULIAN DAY ON WHICH DATA WERE RECORDED |
| NTIME | TIME AT END OF HOURLY AVERAGE (HHMM) |
| WDOUT | OUT-OF-PIT AVERAGE WIND DIRECTION |
| WSOUT | OUT-OF-PIT AVERAGE WIND SPEED (mph) |
| SGOUT | OUT-OF-PIT AVERAGE SIGMA-THETA (deg) |
| ISGOUT | OUT-OF-PIT SIGMA-THETA STABILITY CLASS |
| WDIN | IN-PIT AVERAGE WIND DIRECTION |
| WSIN | IN-PIT AVERAGE WIND SPEED |
| SGIN | IN-PIT AVERAGE SIGMA-THETA (deg) |
| ISGIN | IN-PIT SIGMA-THETA STABILITY CLASS |
| RAT | SGOUT/SGIN |
| IPG | PASQUILL-GIFFORD STABILITY CLASS |
| IWS | WIND SPEED CLASS |

| DAY | NTIME | WDOUT (DEG) | WSOUT (MPH) | SGOUT (DEG) | ISGOUT | WDIN (DEG) | WSIN (MPH) | SGIN (DEG) | ISGIN | RAT | IPG |
|-----|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|------|-----|
| 179 | 10 59 1059 | 164.3 | 5.1 | 20.91 | 2 | 257.9 | 4.1 | 32.31 | 1 | .65 | 2 |
| 179 | 11 59 1159 | 15.6 | 6.2 | 16.12 | 3 | 48.8 | 7.5 | 18.52 | 2 | .87 | 2 |
| 179 | 12 59 1259 | 11.7 | 6.3 | 12.12 | 4 | 57.2 | 6.6 | 26.03 | 1 | .47 | 1 |
| 179 | 13 59 1359 | 349.9 | 7.2 | 11.01 | 4 | 51.2 | 7.2 | 32.48 | 1 | .34 | 2 |
| 180 | 8 59 859 | 15.3 | 3.3 | 16.59 | 3 | 37.5 | 3.2 | 29.85 | 1 | .56 | 2 |
| 180 | 9 59 959 | 351.2 | 3.2 | 15.84 | 3 | 67.7 | 3.5 | 38.93 | 1 | .41 | 2 |
| 180 | 10 59 1059 | 354.4 | 3.5 | 16.58 | 3 | 43.7 | 4.1 | 18.15 | 2 | .91 | 2 |
| 180 | 11 59 1159 | 359.0 | 4.1 | 13.99 | 3 | 34.6 | 4.2 | 25.28 | 1 | .55 | 2 |
| 180 | 12 59 1259 | 329.2 | 6.4 | 16.44 | 3 | 74.7 | 5.9 | 43.26 | 1 | .38 | 2 |
| 180 | 13 59 1359 | 320.8 | 10.8 | 12.52 | 3 | 71.5 | 8.8 | 32.97 | 1 | .38 | 2 |
| 181 | 8 59 859 | 257.3 | 4.3 | 27.37 | 1 | 330.6 | 3.2 | 2.91 | 4 | 9.42 | 3 |
| 181 | 9 59 959 | 288.5 | 9.0 | 21.32 | 2 | 318.3 | 3.6 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 3 |
| 181 | 10 59 1059 | 268.6 | 15.0 | 11.33 | 4 | 228.9 | 11.2 | 29.07 | 1 | .39 | 4 |
| 181 | 11 59 1159 | 273.8 | 16.0 | 10.15 | 4 | 226.6 | 10.8 | 19.77 | 2 | .51 | 4 |
| 181 | 12 59 1259 | 273.4 | 17.3 | 9.80 | 4 | 224.6 | 13.2 | 20.31 | 2 | .48 | 3 |
| 181 | 13 59 1359 | 272.3 | 13.7 | 11.50 | 4 | 234.8 | 10.9 | 22.68 | 1 | .51 | 3 |
| 181 | 14 59 1459 | 280.1 | 14.3 | 11.49 | 4 | 226.1 | 11.5 | 21.67 | 2 | .53 | 3 |
| 181 | 15 59 1559 | 275.7 | 17.0 | 9.50 | 4 | 222.8 | 12.5 | 19.51 | 2 | .49 | 4 |
| 181 | 16 59 1659 | 280.6 | 15.6 | 8.94 | 4 | 215.3 | 12.6 | 19.66 | 2 | .45 | 4 |
| 181 | 17 59 1759 | 266.5 | 11.6 | 10.58 | 4 | 228.2 | 9.1 | 19.11 | 2 | .55 | 3 |
| 181 | 18 59 1859 | 253.5 | 11.6 | 9.42 | 4 | 238.4 | 8.6 | 15.43 | 3 | .61 | 4 |
| 181 | 19 59 1959 | 223.2 | 6.9 | 7.20 | 5 | 253.8 | 5.7 | 15.54 | 4 | .46 | 6 |
| 181 | 20 59 2059 | 196.9 | 6.0 | 10.99 | 4 | 253.7 | 4.6 | 21.51 | 6 | .51 | 6 |
| 181 | 21 59 2159 | 199.7 | 8.8 | 5.83 | 5 | 247.2 | 4.9 | 21.76 | 6 | .27 | 5 |
| 181 | 22 59 2259 | 203.5 | 12.5 | 4.88 | 4 | 270.7 | 8.3 | 13.51 | 4 | .36 | 4 |
| 181 | 23 59 2359 | 203.2 | 14.5 | 5.12 | 4 | 274.8 | 10.4 | 10.13 | 4 | .51 | 4 |
| 182 | 0 59 59 | 209.3 | 15.4 | 5.58 | 4 | 271.7 | 12.3 | 9.44 | 4 | .59 | 4 |
| 182 | 1 59 159 | 206.0 | 14.0 | 5.77 | 4 | 270.7 | 10.8 | 11.82 | 4 | .49 | 4 |
| 182 | 2 59 259 | 96.7 | 3.3 | 21.12 | 6 | 312.0 | 5.5 | 25.20 | 6 | .84 | 6 |
| 182 | 3 59 359 | 139.0 | 2.9 | 16.77 | 5 | 176.2 | 1.7 | 29.78 | 6 | .56 | 6 |
| 182 | 4 59 459 | 154.7 | 4.0 | 16.92 | 5 | 175.3 | 2.0 | 31.74 | 6 | .53 | 6 |
| 182 | 5 59 559 | 239.4 | 3.7 | 22.76 | 6 | 285.2 | 8.3 | 18.41 | 4 | 1.24 | 6 |
| 182 | 6 59 659 | 218.6 | 5.0 | 23.33 | 6 | 265.8 | 4.7 | 30.37 | 6 | .77 | 6 |
| 182 | 7 59 759 | 320.9 | 2.1 | 23.66 | 1 | 303.8 | 4.4 | 26.62 | 1 | .89 | 2 |
| 182 | 8 59 859 | 277.6 | 2.6 | 30.88 | 1 | 334.5 | 4.2 | 31.20 | 1 | .99 | 2 |
| 182 | 9 59 959 | 224.6 | 4.3 | 27.09 | 1 | 10.7 | 4.5 | 29.35 | 1 | .92 | 2 |
| 182 | 10 59 1059 | 341.8 | 5.5 | 23.86 | 1 | 123.9 | 6.7 | 36.09 | 1 | .66 | 2 |
| 182 | 11 59 1159 | 286.5 | 10.1 | 13.19 | 3 | 224.7 | 8.5 | 27.37 | 1 | .48 | 3 |
| 182 | 12 59 1259 | 257.6 | 17.2 | 10.39 | 4 | 234.9 | 12.8 | 16.02 | 3 | .65 | 3 |
| 182 | 13 59 1359 | 248.8 | 17.3 | 11.25 | 4 | 241.3 | 13.3 | 15.54 | 3 | .72 | 3 |
| 182 | 14 59 1459 | 231.0 | 13.7 | 11.94 | 4 | 262.6 | 11.3 | 19.44 | 2 | .61 | 3 |
| 182 | 15 59 1559 | 212.8 | 13.1 | 14.10 | 3 | 276.8 | 10.8 | 21.68 | 2 | .65 | 3 |
| 182 | 16 59 1659 | 261.1 | 12.3 | 12.12 | 4 | 237.3 | 9.7 | 19.48 | 2 | .62 | 3 |
| 182 | 17 59 1759 | 247.6 | 8.8 | 12.73 | 3 | 241.0 | 7.2 | 20.25 | 2 | .63 | 3 |
| 182 | 18 59 1859 | 245.9 | 8.6 | 9.80 | 4 | 241.5 | 7.9 | 14.25 | 3 | .69 | 3 |
| 182 | 19 59 1959 | 220.3 | 10.7 | 9.53 | 4 | 263.7 | 8.4 | 15.35 | 4 | .62 | 5 |
| 182 | 20 59 2059 | 182.0 | 5.9 | 11.67 | 4 | 280.8 | 4.0 | 23.14 | 6 | .50 | 6 |
| 182 | 21 59 2159 | 139.5 | 4.9 | 11.78 | 4 | 179.9 | 2.2 | 25.74 | 6 | .46 | 6 |
| 182 | 22 59 2259 | 191.6 | 6.0 | 17.95 | 5 | 242.5 | 3.3 | 26.43 | 6 | .68 | 6 |
| 182 | 23 59 2359 | 203.6 | 9.4 | 14.22 | 4 | 265.0 | 6.6 | 21.62 | 5 | .66 | 5 |
| 183 | 0 59 59 | 200.9 | 11.4 | 7.19 | 4 | 280.8 | 10.1 | 11.63 | 4 | .62 | 5 |
| 183 | 1 59 159 | 190.3 | 9.3 | 15.10 | 4 | 254.7 | 5.7 | 30.46 | 6 | .50 | 5 |
| 183 | 2 59 259 | 200.4 | 9.7 | 13.24 | 4 | 244.0 | 4.6 | 33.18 | 6 | .40 | 5 |
| 183 | 3 59 359 | 221.9 | 13.9 | 8.66 | 4 | 246.4 | 9.4 | 15.50 | 4 | .56 | 4 |
| 183 | 4 59 459 | 214.4 | 15.4 | 8.00 | 4 | 262.9 | 10.7 | 15.67 | 4 | .51 | 4 |
| 183 | 5 59 559 | 216.7 | 15.1 | 7.39 | 4 | 257.5 | 10.6 | 12.60 | 4 | .59 | 4 |
| 183 | 6 59 659 | 223.7 | 13.9 | 9.14 | 4 | 247.7 | 9.1 | 46.77 | 4 | .20 | 4 |

| DAY | NTIME | WDOUT (DEG) | WSCUT (MPH) | SGOUT (DEG) | ISGOUT | WDIN (DEG) | WSIN (MPH) | SGIN (DEG) | ISGIN | RAT | IPG | IWE |
|-----|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| 192 | 12 59 1259 | 203.4 | 6.7 | 21.93 | 2 | 332.3 | 5.4999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 2 | 2 |
| 192 | 13 59 1359 | 158.2 | 8.6 | 19.29 | 2 | 209.9 | 5.9 31.40 | 1 | .61 | | 2 | 3 |
| 192 | 14 59 1459 | 183.5 | 7.7 | 24.45 | 1 | 174.8 | 5.6 31.79 | 1 | .77 | | 2 | 3 |
| 192 | 15 59 1559 | 174.8 | 8.3 | 23.57 | 1 | 213.1 | 6.0 29.49 | 1 | .80 | | 2 | 3 |
| 192 | 16 59 1659 | 209.6 | 8.0 | 16.84 | 3 | 251.6 | 6.5 25.56 | 1 | .66 | | 2 | 3 |
| 192 | 18 59 1859 | 221.1 | 5.1 | 17.17 | 3 | 272.5 | 4.4 22.85 | 1 | .75 | | 3 | 2 |
| 192 | 19 59 1959 | 322.4 | 4.2 | 9.11 | 4 | 302.8 | 3.9 13.16 | 5 | .69 | | 6 | 2 |
| 192 | 20 59 2059 | 27.0 | 5.0 | 7.10 | 5 | 22.3 | 3.7 13.62 | 5 | .52 | | 6 | 2 |
| 192 | 21 59 2159 | 22.5 | 4.4 | 20.51 | 6 | 286.1 | 2.6 15.89 | 5 | 1.29 | | 6 | 2 |
| 192 | 23 59 2359 | 152.1 | 4.1 | 9.90 | 4 | 132.0 | 2.0 24.87 | 6 | .40 | | 6 | 2 |
| 193 | 0 59 59 | 169.2 | 5.8 | 9.75 | 4 | 180.3 | 3.0 31.94 | 6 | .31 | | 6 | 2 |
| 193 | 1 59 159 | 186.4 | 5.3 | 11.12 | 4 | 241.3 | 1.6 30.64 | 6 | .36 | | 6 | 2 |
| 193 | 2 59 259 | 162.8 | 5.6 | 6.46 | 5 | 136.2 | 2.0 29.27 | 6 | .22 | | 6 | 2 |
| 193 | 3 59 359 | 180.0 | 4.4 | 5.70 | 5 | 191.5 | 2.2 17.70 | 6 | .32 | | 6 | 2 |
| 193 | 4 59 459 | 152.2 | 3.6 | 6.66 | 5 | 194.6 | 2.0 15.73 | 5 | .42 | | 6 | 1 |
| 193 | 5 59 559 | 130.4 | 2.7 | 6.48 | 5 | 269.4 | 1.1 13.23 | 5 | .49 | | 6 | 1 |
| 193 | 6 59 659 | 136.9 | 5.5 | 5.45 | 5 | 209.9 | 1.8 21.05 | 6 | .26 | | 6 | 2 |
| 193 | 7 59 759 | 150.7 | 6.2 | 8.45 | 4 | 148.7 | 3.3 31.47 | 1 | .27 | | 3 | 2 |
| 193 | 8 59 859 | 161.5 | 9.6 | 7.68 | 4 | 186.8 | 5.1 31.25 | 1 | .25 | | 3 | 3 |
| 193 | 9 59 959 | 181.8 | 10.0 | 12.96 | 3 | 200.6 | 7.2 19.06 | 2 | .68 | | 3 | 3 |
| 193 | 10 59 1059 | 193.6 | 10.4 | 11.54 | 4 | 205.9 | 7.4 23.85 | 1 | .48 | | 3 | 3 |
| 193 | 11 59 1159 | 226.9 | 14.4 | 12.27 | 4 | 235.3 | 10.3 20.59 | 2 | .60 | | 4 | 4 |
| 193 | 12 59 1259 | 234.8 | 16.6 | 10.46 | 4 | 248.8 | 11.5 21.77 | 2 | .48 | | 3 | 4 |
| 193 | 13 59 1359 | 231.3 | 14.3 | 11.70 | 4 | 232.5 | 10.5 19.38 | 2 | .60 | | 3 | 4 |
| 193 | 14 59 1459 | 216.3 | 13.5 | 11.65 | 4 | 221.2 | 9.7 24.98 | 1 | .47 | | 3 | 4 |
| 193 | 15 59 1559 | 201.0 | 12.8 | 11.78 | 4 | 205.0 | 8.9 23.49 | 1 | .50 | | 3 | 4 |
| 193 | 16 59 1659 | 207.0 | 13.0 | 11.48 | 4 | 225.1 | 9.0 24.86 | 1 | .46 | | 3 | 4 |
| 193 | 17 59 1759 | 212.4 | 13.3 | 10.69 | 4 | 232.1 | 9.7 21.18 | 2 | .50 | | 4 | 4 |
| 193 | 18 59 1859 | 215.4 | 13.4 | 10.05 | 4 | 233.1 | 8.0 24.90 | 1 | .40 | | 4 | 4 |
| 193 | 19 59 1959 | 210.9 | 10.3 | 7.81 | 4 | 244.2 | 5.3 29.83 | 6 | .26 | | 5 | 3 |
| 193 | 20 59 2059 | 188.5 | 5.0 | 5.69 | 5 | 234.9 | 1.9 26.26 | 6 | .22 | | 6 | 2 |
| 193 | 21 59 2159 | 150.0 | 5.4 | 4.48 | 5 | 237.3 | 1.1 10.33 | 4 | .43 | | 6 | 2 |
| 193 | 22 59 2259 | 168.0 | 4.7 | 10.09 | 4 | 267.0 | 1.6 14.71 | 5 | .69 | | 6 | 2 |
| 193 | 23 59 2359 | 113.8 | 2.5 | 17.81 | 6 | 279.2 | 1.2 17.02 | 5 | 1.05 | | 6 | 1 |
| 194 | 0 59 59 | 144.2 | 1.8 | 13.30 | 5 | 271.3 | 1.0 13.17 | 5 | 1.01 | | 6 | 1 |
| 194 | 1 59 159 | 150.5 | 3.9 | 12.47 | 4 | 174.6 | 1.1 14.08 | 5 | .89 | | 6 | 1 |
| 194 | 2 59 259 | 151.7 | 2.2 | 19.70 | 6 | 184.6 | 1.3 16.72 | 5 | 1.18 | | 6 | 1 |
| 194 | 3 59 359 | 296.5 | 1.9 | 16.31 | 5 | 290.2 | 1.3 15.43 | 5 | 1.06 | | 6 | 1 |
| 194 | 4 59 459 | 311.5 | 2.6 | 8.71 | 4 | 286.5 | 1.9 12.38 | 4 | .70 | | 6 | 1 |
| 194 | 5 59 559 | 315.4 | 3.0 | 8.06 | 4 | 319.2 | 3.0 10.54 | 4 | .76 | | 6 | 1 |
| 194 | 6 59 659 | 330.3 | 3.0 | 8.75 | 4 | 333.1 | 2.6 10.47 | 4 | .84 | | 6 | 1 |
| 194 | 7 59 759 | 132.9 | 4.1 | 6.92 | 4 | 38.7 | 1.5 23.54 | 1 | .29 | | 3 | 2 |
| 194 | 8 59 859 | 86.2 | 3.4 | 19.39 | 2 | 51.7 | 2.2 26.33 | 1 | .74 | | 2 | 1 |
| 194 | 9 59 959 | 337.0 | 8.0 | 9.69 | 4 | 314.9 | 5.6 21.95 | 2 | .44 | | 2 | 3 |
| 194 | 10 59 1059 | 330.9 | 9.0 | 11.24 | 4 | 337.4 | 6.5 21.83 | 2 | .51 | | 3 | 3 |
| 194 | 11 59 1159 | 326.0 | 10.8 | 9.73 | 4 | 338.1 | 7.0 22.46 | 2 | .43 | | 3 | 3 |
| 194 | 12 59 1259 | 334.5 | 9.7 | 13.84 | 3 | 322.6 | 7.0 23.75 | 1 | .58 | | 2 | 3 |
| 194 | 13 59 1359 | 351.5 | 7.5 | 19.29 | 2 | 332.5 | 6.4999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 2 | 2 |
| 194 | 14 59 1459 | 347.3 | 7.2 | 18.16 | 2 | 999.0999.0999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 2 | 2 | |
| 194 | 15 59 1559 | 265.3 | 6.9 | 18.40 | 2 | 999.0999.0999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 2 | 2 | |
| 194 | 16 59 1659 | 342.6 | 6.0 | 19.23 | 2 | 999.0999.0999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 2 | 2 | |
| 194 | 17 59 1759 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 13.42 | 3 | 999.0999.0999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 2 | 2 | |
| 194 | 18 59 1859 | 7.5 | 4.6 | 9.93 | 4 | 999.0999.0999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 3 | 2 | |
| 194 | 19 59 1959 | 21.3 | 4.6 | 6.10 | 5 | 999.0999.0999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 6 | 2 | |
| 194 | 20 59 2059 | 42.2 | 7.4 | 2.06 | 5 | 999.0999.0999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 6 | 2 | |
| 194 | 21 59 2159 | 69.4 | 8.6 | 3.83 | 5 | 999.0999.0999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 5 | 3 | |
| 194 | 22 59 2259 | 100.0 | 5.7 | 5.59 | 5 | 999.0999.0999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 6 | 2 | |
| 194 | 23 59 2359 | 141.7 | 2.6 | 18.54 | 6 | 999.0999.0999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | | 6 | 1 | |

| DAY | NTIME | WDOUT (DEG) | WSOUT (MPH) | SGOUT (DEG) | ISGOUT | WDIN (DEG) | WSIN (MPH) | SGIN (DEG) | ISGIN | RAT | IPG |
|-----|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|------|-----|
| 195 | 0 59 59 | 158.4 | 3.2 | 12.19 | 4 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 |
| 195 | 1 59 159 | 310.5 | 3.2 | 17.35 | 5 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 |
| 195 | 2 59 259 | 317.7 | 3.6 | 3.00 | 6 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 |
| 195 | 3 59 359 | 305.7 | 2.4 | 10.62 | 4 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 |
| 195 | 4 59 459 | 319.3 | 3.2 | 3.21 | 6 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 |
| 195 | 5 59 559 | 325.0 | 2.7 | 3.60 | 6 | 327.0 | 2.6 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 |
| 195 | 6 59 659 | 301.3 | 1.4 | 10.67 | 4 | 241.1 | 1.4 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 |
| 195 | 7 59 759 | 146.9 | 5.5 | 6.00 | 4 | 52.7 | 2.2 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 3 |
| 195 | 8 59 859 | 151.4 | 7.9 | 7.24 | 4 | 163.4 | 3.9 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 3 |
| 195 | 9 59 959 | 157.0 | 8.6 | 9.44 | 4 | 165.7 | 6.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 2 |
| 195 | 11 59 1159 | 157.2 | 9.5 | 15.49 | 3 | 177.8 | 7.3 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 3 |
| 195 | 12 59 1259 | 160.9 | 9.7 | 13.43 | 3 | 153.9 | 6.4 | 34.70 | 1 | .39 | 2 |
| 195 | 13 59 1359 | 147.3 | 11.8 | 11.85 | 4 | 143.7 | 7.2 | 29.05 | 1 | .41 | 3 |
| 195 | 14 59 1459 | 132.9 | 11.1 | 16.20 | 3 | 125.0 | 6.9 | 30.47 | 1 | .53 | 3 |
| 195 | 15 59 1559 | 191.8 | 9.6 | 16.20 | 3 | 206.9 | 6.1 | 30.88 | 1 | .52 | 3 |
| 195 | 16 59 1659 | 197.8 | 11.1 | 14.40 | 3 | 224.0 | 7.4 | 25.07 | 1 | .57 | 3 |
| 195 | 17 59 1759 | 207.1 | 9.7 | 12.77 | 3 | 234.3 | 7.2 | 24.30 | 1 | .53 | 3 |
| 195 | 18 59 1859 | 198.8 | 9.0 | 10.59 | 4 | 224.8 | 6.5 | 20.93 | 2 | .51 | 3 |
| 195 | 19 59 1959 | 229.5 | 10.6 | 8.84 | 4 | 255.1 | 8.0 | 17.75 | 4 | .50 | 5 |
| 195 | 20 59 2059 | 209.8 | 5.6 | 9.65 | 4 | 264.3 | 2.9 | 21.53 | 6 | .45 | 6 |
| 195 | 22 59 2259 | 226.8 | 19.1 | 8.38 | 4 | 237.9 | 12.3 | 29.74 | 4 | .28 | 4 |
| 195 | 23 59 2359 | 332.2 | 32.3 | 6.41 | 4 | 326.2 | 20.2 | 17.64 | 4 | .36 | 4 |
| 196 | 0 59 59 | 332.2 | 24.3 | 7.96 | 4 | 323.7 | 14.8 | 17.90 | 4 | .44 | 4 |
| 196 | 1 59 159 | 333.8 | 23.5 | 8.27 | 4 | 327.0 | 13.9 | 18.95 | 4 | .44 | 4 |
| 196 | 2 59 259 | 345.6 | 21.5 | 8.18 | 4 | 340.7 | 10.2 | 25.60 | 4 | .32 | 4 |
| 196 | 3 59 359 | 331.3 | 16.8 | 7.32 | 4 | 325.4 | 10.5 | 16.01 | 4 | .46 | 4 |
| 196 | 4 59 459 | 335.6 | 17.4 | 7.57 | 4 | 332.0 | 10.0 | 19.60 | 4 | .39 | 4 |
| 196 | 5 59 559 | 343.6 | 18.8 | 7.56 | 4 | 344.5 | 9.5 | 23.75 | 4 | .32 | 4 |
| 196 | 6 59 659 | 341.7 | 16.1 | 7.43 | 4 | 333.6 | 9.7 | 19.49 | 4 | .38 | 4 |
| 196 | 7 59 759 | 335.6 | 17.5 | 7.52 | 4 | 327.6 | 12.3 | 15.65 | 3 | .48 | 4 |
| 196 | 8 59 859 | 340.4 | 17.5 | 7.20 | 4 | 339.2 | 10.5 | 21.18 | 2 | .34 | 4 |
| 196 | 9 59 959 | 357.0 | 16.2 | 8.63 | 4 | 11.9 | 8.6 | 25.00 | 1 | .35 | 4 |
| 196 | 10 59 1059 | 8.1 | 16.3 | 8.71 | 4 | 37.5 | 10.7 | 19.69 | 2 | .44 | 4 |
| 196 | 11 59 1159 | 346.0 | 14.9 | 9.22 | 4 | 349.0 | 9.6 | 20.66 | 2 | .45 | 4 |
| 196 | 12 59 1259 | 332.1 | 15.5 | 9.27 | 4 | 324.8 | 11.1 | 15.72 | 3 | .59 | 3 |
| 196 | 13 59 1359 | 343.8 | 16.7 | 8.11 | 4 | 349.5 | 10.0 | 23.60 | 1 | .34 | 3 |
| 196 | 14 59 1459 | 349.0 | 12.6 | 11.32 | 4 | 345.2 | 8.3 | 23.53 | 1 | .48 | 3 |
| 196 | 15 59 1559 | 8.6 | 11.7 | 13.38 | 3 | 30.1 | 7.9 | 22.50 | 1 | .59 | 3 |
| 196 | 16 59 1659 | 323.4 | 6.1 | 17.54 | 2 | 331.5 | 5.2 | 23.65 | 1 | .74 | 2 |
| 196 | 18 59 1859 | 79.8 | 6.7 | 7.90 | 4 | 91.8 | 4.8 | 19.55 | 2 | .40 | 3 |
| 196 | 19 59 1959 | 121.3 | 16.4 | 5.72 | 4 | 113.1 | 11.7 | 15.66 | 4 | .37 | 4 |
| 196 | 20 59 2059 | 140.5 | 8.5 | 6.62 | 5 | 137.5 | 4.2 | 28.65 | 6 | .23 | 5 |
| 196 | 21 59 2159 | 188.6 | 7.0 | 8.69 | 4 | 225.0 | 4.4 | 18.72 | 6 | .46 | 6 |
| 196 | 23 59 2359 | 315.9 | 8.1 | 7.22 | 5 | 302.0 | 5.6 | 14.74 | 4 | .49 | 5 |
| 197 | 0 59 59 | 319.4 | 9.6 | 6.91 | 5 | 323.7 | 14.8 | 17.90 | 4 | .39 | 5 |
| 197 | 1 59 159 | 347.2 | 4.7 | 7.02 | 5 | 327.0 | 13.9 | 18.95 | 4 | .37 | 6 |
| 200 | 7 59 759 | 116.6 | 5.4 | 999.90 | 9 | 94.8 | 2.5 | 11.38 | 4 | 9.99 | 3 |
| 200 | 10 59 1059 | 116.0 | 9.9 | 999.90 | 9 | 134.3 | 4.6 | 25.43 | 1 | 9.99 | 3 |
| 200 | 11 59 1159 | 106.5 | 10.2 | 999.90 | 9 | 91.4 | 4.9 | 20.55 | 2 | 9.99 | 3 |
| 200 | 12 59 1259 | 153.3 | 11.3 | 999.90 | 9 | 102.6 | 5.8 | 23.21 | 1 | 9.99 | 3 |
| 200 | 13 59 1359 | 131.7 | 5.7 | 21.46 | 2 | 33.1 | 4.5 | 27.65 | 1 | .78 | 1 |
| 200 | 14 59 1459 | 144.1 | 7.2 | 25.35 | 1 | 89.2 | 5.7 | 22.50 | 1 | 1.13 | 2 |
| 200 | 15 59 1559 | 130.1 | 7.8 | 22.46 | 2 | 110.8 | 6.4 | 21.83 | 2 | 1.03 | 2 |
| 200 | 16 59 1659 | 108.8 | 8.1 | 17.10 | 3 | 125.9 | 6.2 | 22.60 | 1 | .76 | 2 |
| 200 | 17 59 1759 | 118.2 | 10.3 | 12.71 | 3 | 110.9 | 9.1 | 11.79 | 4 | 1.08 | 3 |
| 200 | 19 59 1959 | 212.0 | 14.9 | 9.47 | 4 | 323.8 | 7.5 | 19.35 | 4 | .49 | 4 |
| 200 | 22 59 2259 | 226.9 | 5.0 | 12.77 | 5 | 303.4 | 4.1 | 18.42 | 6 | .69 | 6 |

| DAY | | N | T | TIME | WDOUT | WSOUT | SGOUT | ISGOUT | WDIN | WSIN | SGIN | ISGIN | RAT | IFG | IWS |
|-----|----|----|------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | (DEG) | (MPH) | (DEG) | | (DEG) | (MPH) | (DEG) | | | | |
| 201 | 1 | 59 | 159 | | 281.9 | 6.6 | 9.22 | 4 | 274.8 | 4.4 | 17.80 | 6 | .52 | 6 | 2 |
| 201 | 2 | 59 | 259 | | 280.3 | 6.2 | 7.95 | 4 | 273.1 | 3.8 | 22.16 | 6 | .36 | 6 | 2 |
| 201 | 3 | 59 | 359 | | 323.0 | 3.8 | 18.78 | 6 | 119.1 | 3.9 | 15.71 | 5 | 1.20 | 6 | 1 |
| 201 | 4 | 59 | 459 | | 358.0 | 3.9 | 12.32 | 4 | 142.8 | 3.0 | 16.92 | 5 | .73 | 6 | 1 |
| 201 | 6 | 59 | 659 | | 279.3 | 4.2 | 9.66 | 4 | 290.9 | 3.4 | 16.25 | 5 | .59 | 6 | 2 |
| 201 | 7 | 59 | 759 | | 258.5 | 3.2 | 8.46 | 4 | 322.0 | 2.2 | 14.01 | 3 | .60 | 2 | 1 |
| 201 | 8 | 59 | 859 | | 106.1 | 2.3 | 11.80 | 4 | 113.7 | 2.1 | 12.84 | 3 | .92 | 2 | 1 |
| 201 | 9 | 59 | 959 | | 153.8 | 4.6 | 14.26 | 3 | 99.7 | 4.1 | 16.43 | 3 | .87 | 2 | 2 |
| 201 | 10 | 59 | 1059 | | 66.2 | 3.0 | 9.33 | 4 | 106.4 | 3.0 | 11.64 | 4 | .80 | 2 | 1 |
| 201 | 11 | 59 | 1159 | | 11.9 | 2.3 | 17.20 | 3 | 142.9 | 1.8 | 24.21 | 1 | .71 | 2 | 1 |
| 201 | 12 | 59 | 1259 | | 90.4 | 2.8 | 13.10 | 3 | 122.6 | 2.8 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 1 | 1 |
| 201 | 13 | 59 | 1359 | | 23.8 | 3.6 | 20.71 | 2 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 1 | 1 |
| 201 | 14 | 59 | 1459 | | 102.7 | 8.6 | 8.04 | 4 | 106.8 | 8.7 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 2 | 3 |
| 201 | 15 | 59 | 1559 | | 101.3 | 14.0 | 6.27 | 4 | 109.9 | 11.3 | 9.69 | 4 | .65 | 4 | 4 |
| 201 | 16 | 59 | 1659 | | 107.2 | 10.7 | 7.66 | 4 | 109.6 | 9.0 | 6.94 | 4 | 1.10 | 3 | 3 |
| 201 | 17 | 59 | 1759 | | 116.8 | 6.0 | 10.21 | 4 | 103.6 | 4.9 | 11.57 | 4 | .88 | 2 | 2 |
| 201 | 18 | 59 | 1859 | | 112.6 | 6.5 | 9.26 | 4 | 108.0 | 6.4 | 8.69 | 4 | 1.07 | 3 | 2 |
| 201 | 19 | 59 | 1959 | | 134.4 | 7.5 | 5.93 | 5 | 111.9 | 4.9 | 10.62 | 4 | .56 | 5 | 2 |
| 201 | 20 | 59 | 2059 | | 266.3 | 15.8 | 9.96 | 4 | 286.1 | 11.6 | 18.83 | 4 | .53 | 4 | 4 |
| 202 | 0 | 59 | 59 | | 306.9 | 7.4 | 10.88 | 4 | 293.8 | 4.2 | 10.46 | 4 | 1.04 | 6 | 2 |
| 202 | 1 | 59 | 159 | | 265.2 | 4.7 | 20.58 | 6 | 266.3 | 3.3 | 21.56 | 6 | .95 | 6 | 2 |
| 202 | 2 | 59 | 259 | | 290.6 | 5.1 | 13.37 | 5 | 281.2 | 5.3 | 8.13 | 4 | 1.64 | 6 | 2 |
| 202 | 4 | 59 | 459 | | 277.5 | 6.5 | 7.96 | 4 | 274.7 | 6.6 | 7.87 | 4 | 1.01 | 6 | 2 |
| 202 | 5 | 59 | 559 | | 284.0 | 6.1 | 9.81 | 4 | 279.5 | 5.2 | 17.18 | 5 | .57 | 6 | 2 |
| 202 | 6 | 59 | 659 | | 278.0 | 5.1 | 8.98 | 4 | 97.0 | 2.6 | 15.63 | 5 | .57 | 6 | 2 |
| 202 | 7 | 59 | 759 | | 60.5 | 3.5 | 10.45 | 4 | 108.7 | 4.3 | 5.33 | 4 | 1.96 | 2 | 1 |
| 202 | 8 | 59 | 859 | | 94.6 | 3.8 | 11.75 | 4 | 108.1 | 3.6 | 11.43 | 4 | 1.03 | 2 | 1 |
| 202 | 9 | 59 | 959 | | 102.2 | 6.0 | 15.11 | 3 | 106.7 | 5.9 | 13.79 | 3 | 1.10 | 2 | 2 |
| 202 | 10 | 59 | 1059 | | 107.2 | 5.5 | 23.64 | 1 | 136.4 | 5.6 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 2 | 2 |
| 202 | 11 | 59 | 1159 | | 97.4 | 6.0 | 20.79 | 2 | 270.0 | 6.6 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 2 | 2 |
| 202 | 12 | 59 | 1259 | | 107.3 | 7.6 | 20.52 | 2 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 2 | 3 |
| 202 | 13 | 59 | 1359 | | 105.1 | 10.3 | 15.16 | 3 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 2 | 3 |
| 202 | 14 | 59 | 1459 | | 103.0 | 9.7 | 18.87 | 2 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 2 | 3 |
| 202 | 15 | 59 | 1559 | | 127.8 | 10.5 | 13.35 | 3 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 3 | 3 |
| 202 | 16 | 59 | 1659 | | 95.0 | 8.1 | 16.32 | 3 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 2 | 3 |
| 202 | 17 | 59 | 1759 | | 106.8 | 7.0 | 18.73 | 2 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 2 | 2 |
| 202 | 19 | 59 | 1959 | | 83.7 | 9.2 | 7.23 | 5 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 5 | 3 |
| 202 | 21 | 59 | 2159 | | 32.8 | 3.1 | 24.15 | 6 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 1 |
| 202 | 23 | 59 | 2359 | | 270.8 | 4.6 | 7.57 | 5 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 2 |
| 203 | 1 | 59 | 159 | | 281.9 | 3.4 | 12.79 | 5 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 1 |
| 203 | 3 | 59 | 359 | | 271.1 | 6.2 | 3.87 | 5 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 2 |
| 203 | 5 | 59 | 559 | | 269.8 | 4.8 | 5.87 | 5 | 266.4 | 3.0 | 19.78 | 6 | .30 | 6 | 2 |
| 203 | 6 | 59 | 659 | | 282.5 | 5.5 | 8.76 | 4 | 288.3 | 4.2 | 11.67 | 4 | .75 | 6 | 2 |
| 203 | 7 | 59 | 759 | | 353.1 | 2.0 | 14.60 | 3 | 121.3 | 1.9 | 19.63 | 2 | .74 | 2 | 1 |
| 203 | 8 | 59 | 859 | | 307.7 | 7.0 | 14.66 | 3 | 276.8 | 6.0 | 13.81 | 3 | 1.06 | 3 | 2 |
| 203 | 9 | 59 | 959 | | 299.5 | 6.4 | 11.71 | 4 | 281.7 | 6.4 | 18.20 | 2 | .64 | 2 | 2 |

| DAY | NTIME | WDOUT (DEG) | WSOUT (MPH) | SGOUT (DEG) | ISGOUT | WDIN (DEG) | WSIN (MPH) | SGIN (DEG) | ISGIN | RAT | IPG |
|-----|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|------|-----|
| 213 | 11 59 1159 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 352.8 | 13.2 | 24.69 | 1 | 9.99 | 9 |
| 213 | 12 59 1259 | 999.0 | 999.0 | 999.90 | 9 | 345.7 | 11.6 | 21.46 | 2 | 9.99 | 9 |
| 213 | 14 59 1459 | 246.3 | 13.7 | 18.02 | 4 | 341.1 | 12.9 | 24.56 | 1 | .73 | 3 |
| 213 | 15 59 1559 | 153.0 | 15.0 | 8.46 | 4 | 105.4 | 8.8 | 20.47 | 2 | .41 | 4 |
| 213 | 16 59 1659 | 150.2 | 12.9 | 8.59 | 4 | 114.5 | 9.6 | 10.74 | 4 | .80 | 3 |
| 213 | 17 59 1759 | 151.7 | 11.4 | 9.09 | 4 | 115.5 | 9.1 | 10.94 | 4 | .83 | 3 |
| 213 | 18 59 1859 | 249.9 | 8.7 | 18.14 | 2 | 47.5 | 7.3 | 23.72 | 1 | .76 | 3 |
| 213 | 19 59 1959 | 227.9 | 7.9 | 14.72 | 4 | 7.3 | 5.3 | 27.45 | 6 | .54 | 5 |
| 213 | 20 59 2059 | 186.1 | 7.2 | 13.68 | 4 | 95.3 | 3.2 | 38.09 | 6 | .36 | 6 |
| 213 | 21 59 2159 | 218.4 | 10.8 | 17.63 | 4 | 346.5 | 4.8 | 29.65 | 6 | .59 | 5 |
| 213 | 22 59 2259 | 234.1 | 11.6 | 13.41 | 4 | 345.8 | 7.8 | 15.60 | 4 | .86 | 5 |
| 213 | 23 59 2359 | 215.4 | 12.6 | 15.15 | 4 | 337.7 | 8.7 | 17.97 | 4 | .84 | 4 |
| 214 | 0 59 59 | 216.0 | 13.7 | 14.45 | 4 | 355.5 | 7.8 | 28.42 | 5 | .51 | 4 |
| 214 | 1 59 159 | 228.6 | 11.7 | 15.34 | 4 | 1.6 | 8.9 | 21.80 | 4 | .70 | 5 |
| 214 | 2 59 259 | 232.0 | 11.2 | 13.30 | 4 | 358.4 | 7.4 | 24.71 | 5 | .54 | 5 |
| 214 | 3 59 359 | 231.1 | 10.6 | 13.75 | 4 | 357.4 | 7.6 | 23.23 | 5 | .59 | 5 |
| 214 | 4 59 459 | 227.4 | 9.3 | 15.01 | 4 | 357.2 | 7.3 | 20.03 | 4 | .75 | 5 |
| 214 | 5 59 559 | 229.9 | 10.6 | 11.70 | 4 | 1.9 | 7.1 | 21.40 | 4 | .55 | 5 |
| 214 | 6 59 659 | 240.1 | 9.0 | 14.92 | 4 | 359.1 | 6.2 | 21.57 | 5 | .69 | 5 |
| 214 | 7 59 759 | 244.4 | 10.4 | 15.38 | 3 | 349.3 | 8.3 | 18.16 | 2 | .85 | 3 |
| 214 | 8 59 859 | 246.6 | 10.1 | 17.48 | 3 | 353.0 | 8.7 | 23.39 | 1 | .75 | 3 |
| 214 | 9 59 959 | 270.8 | 11.2 | 16.91 | 3 | 357.8 | 8.4 | 29.35 | 1 | .58 | 3 |
| 214 | 10 59 1059 | 264.0 | 10.6 | 17.83 | 2 | 351.6 | 8.5 | 25.96 | 1 | .69 | 3 |
| 214 | 11 59 1159 | 297.8 | 11.2 | 12.70 | 3 | 316.7 | 9.4 | 14.47 | 3 | .88 | 3 |
| 214 | 12 59 1259 | 283.3 | 13.6 | 15.08 | 4 | 335.5 | 10.7 | 22.11 | 2 | .68 | 3 |
| 214 | 13 59 1359 | 271.8 | 13.3 | 18.73 | 2 | 344.9 | 11.0 | 23.12 | 1 | .81 | 3 |
| 214 | 14 59 1459 | 286.9 | 12.1 | 15.76 | 3 | 331.4 | 9.7 | 25.66 | 1 | .61 | 3 |
| 214 | 15 59 1559 | 290.9 | 11.3 | 13.33 | 3 | 320.4 | 9.2 | 20.16 | 2 | .66 | 3 |
| 214 | 16 59 1659 | 280.7 | 8.6 | 14.33 | 3 | 332.7 | 7.1 | 22.14 | 2 | .65 | 3 |
| 214 | 17 59 1759 | 199.1 | 9.1 | 11.50 | 4 | 94.0 | 5.2 | 31.57 | 1 | .36 | 3 |
| 214 | 18 59 1859 | 163.8 | 19.0 | 11.29 | 4 | 111.9 | 11.7 | 18.41 | 2 | .61 | 4 |
| 214 | 19 59 1959 | 164.2 | 17.6 | 8.89 | 4 | 114.4 | 9.5 | 18.67 | 4 | .48 | 4 |
| 214 | 20 59 2059 | 174.2 | 14.3 | 14.54 | 4 | 108.4 | 8.2 | 30.31 | 4 | .48 | 4 |
| 214 | 21 59 2159 | 195.4 | 11.1 | 19.40 | 4 | 83.7 | 4.9 | 41.81 | 6 | .46 | 5 |
| 214 | 22 59 2259 | 182.7 | 10.6 | 14.53 | 4 | 112.7 | 6.7 | 35.44 | 5 | .41 | 5 |
| 214 | 23 59 2359 | 203.4 | 7.1 | 15.21 | 4 | 74.9 | 4.2 | 37.12 | 6 | .41 | 6 |
| 215 | 0 59 59 | 308.0 | 4.4 | 8.67 | 4 | 305.9 | 3.7 | 13.44 | 5 | .65 | 6 |
| 215 | 1 59 159 | 274.0 | 6.2 | 10.20 | 4 | 315.7 | 4.0 | 13.50 | 5 | .76 | 6 |
| 215 | 2 59 259 | 227.8 | 3.6 | 13.76 | 5 | 257.1 | 2.0 | 21.06 | 6 | .65 | 6 |
| 215 | 3 59 359 | 207.9 | 3.5 | 22.58 | 6 | 204.9 | 2.9 | 19.49 | 6 | 1.16 | 6 |
| 215 | 4 59 459 | 202.4 | 5.7 | 23.12 | 6 | 126.7 | 3.9 | 35.26 | 6 | .66 | 6 |
| 215 | 5 59 559 | 223.8 | 4.8 | 26.72 | 6 | 128.9 | 4.4 | 28.48 | 6 | .94 | 6 |
| 215 | 6 59 659 | 230.5 | 3.7 | 22.28 | 6 | 123.2 | 2.9 | 29.66 | 6 | .75 | 6 |
| 215 | 7 59 759 | 276.1 | 6.1 | 17.67 | 2 | 354.7 | 4.6 | 17.45 | 3 | 1.01 | 3 |
| 215 | 8 59 859 | 266.7 | 7.7 | 18.36 | 2 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 24.77 | 1 | .74 | 3 |
| 215 | 9 59 959 | 237.7 | 11.3 | 15.51 | 3 | 354.4 | 10.0 | 21.76 | 2 | .71 | 3 |
| 215 | 10 59 1059 | 266.6 | 8.8 | 18.25 | 2 | 353.6 | 7.0 | 33.23 | 1 | .55 | 3 |
| 215 | 11 59 1159 | 259.9 | 8.1 | 20.06 | 2 | 356.1 | 6.5 | 25.56 | 1 | .78 | 2 |
| 215 | 12 59 1259 | 246.2 | 7.5 | 23.24 | 1 | .1 | 6.4 | 29.42 | 1 | .79 | 2 |
| 215 | 13 59 1359 | 251.9 | 6.8 | 20.09 | 2 | 346.1 | 5.7 | 25.87 | 1 | .78 | 2 |
| 215 | 14 59 1459 | 228.2 | 6.4 | 21.91 | 2 | 359.2 | 5.5 | 30.34 | 1 | .72 | 2 |
| 215 | 15 59 1559 | 187.1 | 7.4 | 24.15 | 1 | 90.2 | 5.2 | 32.21 | 1 | .75 | 2 |
| 215 | 16 59 1659 | 204.5 | 5.5 | 25.60 | 1 | 80.0 | 4.7 | 29.61 | 1 | .86 | 2 |
| 215 | 17 59 1759 | 173.3 | 6.4 | 18.33 | 2 | 96.4 | 4.8 | 23.94 | 1 | .77 | 2 |
| 215 | 18 59 1859 | 145.4 | 7.0 | 12.57 | 3 | 131.2 | 5.3 | 16.14 | 3 | .78 | 3 |
| 215 | 19 59 1959 | 154.6 | 8.7 | 9.26 | 4 | 129.0 | 5.4 | 21.30 | 6 | .43 | 5 |
| 215 | 20 59 2059 | 156.1 | 11.7 | 6.19 | 4 | 120.7 | 5.9 | 21.84 | 5 | .28 | 5 |
| 215 | 21 59 2159 | 164.9 | 9.2 | 8.64 | 4 | 117.0 | 5.1 | 27.08 | 6 | .32 | 5 |
| 215 | 22 59 2259 | 214.7 | 6.4 | 17.70 | 5 | 299.1 | 3.7 | 27.73 | 6 | .64 | 6 |
| 215 | 23 59 2359 | 112.3 | 4.8 | 11.80 | 4 | 159.2 | 3.4 | 21.57 | 6 | .55 | 6 |

| DAY | NTIME | WDOUT (DEG) | WSOUT (MPH) | SGOUT (DEG) | ISGOUT | WDIN (DEG) | WSIN (MPH) | SGIN (DEG) | ISGIN | RAT | IPG | IWS |
|-----|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|------|-----|-----|
| 216 | 0 59 59 | 130.4 | 3.6 | 13.01 | 5 | 124.6 | 2.5 | 22.13 | 6 | .59 | 6 | 1 |
| 216 | 1 59 159 | 45.6 | 2.2 | 6.97 | 5 | 108.6 | 2.1 | 18.74 | 6 | .37 | 6 | 1 |
| 216 | 2 59 259 | 170.7 | 3.4 | 14.82 | 5 | 301.7 | 2.4 | 23.95 | 6 | .62 | 6 | 1 |
| 216 | 3 59 359 | 161.9 | 2.5 | 10.65 | 4 | 58.5 | 2.5 | 20.09 | 6 | .53 | 6 | 1 |
| 216 | 4 59 459 | 200.3 | 2.3 | 11.84 | 4 | 36.0 | 2.0 | 17.91 | 6 | .66 | 6 | 1 |
| 216 | 5 59 559 | 185.4 | 1.9 | 9.94 | 4 | 92.7 | 1.7 | 16.86 | 5 | .59 | 6 | 1 |
| 216 | 6 59 659 | 259.3 | 4.2 | 13.12 | 5 | 333.2 | 2.7 | 23.53 | 6 | .56 | 6 | 2 |
| 216 | 8 59 859 | 350.1 | 2.8 | 14.12 | 3 | 296.4 | 2.3 | 22.22 | 2 | .64 | 2 | 1 |
| 216 | 9 59 959 | 262.0 | 5.0 | 19.85 | 2 | 356.2 | 4.4 | 20.53 | 2 | .97 | 2 | 2 |
| 216 | 10 59 1059 | 272.1 | 5.9 | 26.82 | 1 | 355.2 | 5.2 | 27.39 | 1 | .98 | 2 | 2 |
| 216 | 11 59 1159 | 310.5 | 5.4 | 23.58 | 1 | 323.6 | 4.4 | 29.97 | 1 | .79 | 2 | 2 |
| 216 | 12 59 1259 | 336.2 | 6.2 | 18.88 | 2 | 278.6 | 6.8 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 1 | 2 |
| 216 | 13 59 1359 | 64.2 | 4.9 | 21.88 | 2 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 1 | 2 |
| 216 | 14 59 1459 | 136.9 | 8.3 | 14.12 | 3 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 2 | 3 |
| 216 | 15 59 1559 | 163.8 | 10.9 | 14.21 | 3 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 3 | 3 |
| 216 | 16 59 1659 | 93.0 | 12.7 | 13.06 | 3 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 3 | 4 |
| 216 | 17 59 1759 | 96.5 | 17.5 | 10.19 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 4 | 4 |
| 216 | 18 59 1859 | 114.5 | 12.8 | 8.02 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 4 | 4 |
| 216 | 19 59 1959 | 157.0 | 10.4 | 8.65 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 5 | 3 |
| 216 | 20 59 2059 | 207.7 | 4.9 | 11.55 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 2 |
| 216 | 21 59 2159 | 127.6 | 3.4 | 7.69 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 1 |
| 216 | 22 59 2259 | 280.4 | 3.2 | 9.93 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 1 |
| 216 | 23 59 2359 | 282.4 | 11.4 | 11.71 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 5 | 3 |
| 217 | 0 59 59 | 271.6 | 8.7 | 10.35 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 5 | 3 |
| 217 | 1 59 159 | 246.7 | 5.8 | 13.59 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 2 |
| 217 | 2 59 259 | 90.6 | 5.9 | 13.63 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 2 |
| 217 | 3 59 359 | 99.6 | 4.0 | 11.82 | 4 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 1 |
| 217 | 4 59 459 | 144.8 | 2.9 | 13.21 | 5 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 1 |
| 217 | 5 59 559 | 196.0 | 2.7 | 21.64 | 6 | 999.0999 | 0.999 | 999.90 | 9 | 9.99 | 6 | 1 |
| 217 | 6 59 659 | 273.1 | 3.4 | 11.24 | 4 | 317.6 | 1.5 | 23.37 | 6 | .48 | 6 | 1 |
| 217 | 7 59 759 | 293.6 | 3.4 | 14.23 | 3 | 33.3 | 2.0 | 16.29 | 3 | .87 | 2 | 1 |
| 217 | 8 59 859 | 285.6 | 6.6 | 14.04 | 3 | 337.7 | 5.8 | 17.97 | 2 | .78 | 3 | 2 |
| 217 | 9 59 959 | 277.4 | 8.1 | 16.49 | 3 | 343.0 | 6.8 | 18.74 | 2 | .88 | 2 | 3 |

APPENDIX C

FORTRAN LISTING OF MODIFIED
ISCST PROGRAM


```

PROGRAM ISCSTE
C*****
C THE FOLLOWING LINE OF CODE HAS BEEN ALTERED TO RUN ON IBM-PC
CHARACTER*4 TITLE,IQUN,ICHIUN,CONDEP S0106000
C*****
COMMON QF(43500) S0106010
DIMENSION IZERO(161),IQF(1) S0106020
COMMON /LOGIX/ ISW(40),NSOURC,NXPNTS,NYPNTS,NXWYPT,NGROUP, S0106030
1 NSOGRP(150),IDSOR(200),IPERD,NPNTS,NAVG,NHOURS,NDAYS,NTDAY,LINE, S0106040
2 IO,IN,TITLE(15),IQUN(3),ICHIUN(7),CONDEP(6),LIMIT,I13 S0106050
C*****
C THE FOLLOWING LINE OF CODE HAS BEEN ADDED TO COMPUTE ESCAPE FRACTION
COMMON/DEPO/UD(200,20),ZREF,ZO TRC 001
C*****
EQUIVALENCE (ISW,IZERO),(QF,IQF) S0106060
C SET MAXIMUM LIMIT FOR "QF" ARRAY. MUST AGREE WITH VALUE USED TO S0106070
C DIMENSION "QF". S0106080
LIMIT = 43500 S0106090
C*****
C THE FOLLOWING LINE OF CODE HAS BEEN ADDED TO RUN ON IBM-PC
OPEN (6,FILE='LPT1:')
C*****
WRITE (6,5432)
5432 FORMAT ('1',21X,'ISCST (VERSION 80339)'/
1 22X,'AN AIR QUALIT/ DISPERSION MODEL IN'/
2 22X,'SECTION 3. MODELS PROPOSED SEP80 FOR 81 GUIDELINES.'/
3 22X,'IN UNAMAP (VERSION 4) DEC 80'/
4 22X,'SOURCE% FILE 16 ON UNAMAP MAGNETIC TAPE FROM NTIS.')
C CLEAR "QF" ARRAY AND "LOGIX" BLOCK. S0106100
DO 10 I = 1,LIMIT S0106110
10 QF(I) = 0.0 S0106120
DO 20 I = 1,161 S0106130
20 IZERO(I) = 0 S0106140
C SET INPUT AND OUTPUT LOGICAL UNIT NUMBERS. S0106150
IN = 5 S0106160
IO = 6 S0106170
C*****
C THE FOLLOWING LINE OF CODE HAS BEEN ADDED TO RUN ON IBM-PC
OPEN (5,FILE='ISCIN')
C*****
C INPUT TITLE. S0106180
READ(IN,9001) (TITLE(I),I=1,15) S0106190
C INPUT LOGIC OPTIONS. S0106200
READ(IN,9002) (ISW(I),I=1,40) S0106210
C INPUT SOURCE & RECEPTOR SIZE VALUES. S0106220
C*****
C THE FOLLOWING LINES OF CODE HAVE BEEN ALTERED TO COMPUTE ESCAPE FRACTION
READ(IN,9003) NSOURC,NXPNTS,NYPNTS,NXWYPT,NGROUP,IPERD,NHOURS, S0106230
1 NDAYS,ZREF,ZO S0106240
C*****
C DETERMINE NUMBER OF TIME PERIODS TO BE CALCULATED. S0106250
NAVG = 0 S0106260
DO 30 I = 7,14 S0106270
IF (ISW(I) .LE. 0) GOTO 30 S0106280
NAVG = NAVG + 1 S0106290
30 CONTINUE S0106300
C CALCULATE TOTAL NUMBER OF RECEPTORS. S0106310
NPNTS = NXPNTS*NYPNTS + NXWYPT S0106320
NGROPS = NGROUP S0106330
IF(NGROUP .LE. 0) NGROPS = 1 S0106340
NN = NAVG*NPNTS*NGROPS S0106350
C CALCULATE INDICES FOR STORAGE ALLOCATION. S0106360
I1 = NPNTS + NPNTS + 1 S0106370
I2 = I1 + NN S0106380
I3 = I2 S0106390
IF(ISW(15) .EQ. 1) I3 = I2 + NPNTS*NGROPS S0106400
I4 = I3 S0106410
I5 = I3 S0106420
IF(NXPNTS .EQ. 0 .OR. NYPNTS .EQ. 0) GOTO 40 S0106430
I4 = I3 + NXPNTS S0106440

```

| | | |
|--------|--|----------|
| | I5 = I4 + NYPNTS | S0106450 |
| 40 | I6 = I5 | S0106460 |
| | I7 = I5 | S0106470 |
| | IF(NXWYPT .EQ. 0) GOTO 50 | S0106480 |
| | I6 = I5 + NXWYPT | S0106490 |
| | I7 = I6 + NXWYPT | S0106500 |
| 50 | I8 = I7 | S0106510 |
| | IF(ISW(4) .NE. 0) I8 = I7 + NPNTS | S0106520 |
| | I9 = I8 | S0106530 |
| | IF(ISW(17) .NE. 0) I9 = I8 + 4*NN | S0106540 |
| | I10 = I9 | S0106550 |
| | I11 = I10 | S0106560 |
| | I12 = I10 | S0106570 |
| | IF(ISW(18) .LE. 0) GOTO 60 | S0106580 |
| | I10 = I9 + 150*NAV*NGROPS | S0106590 |
| | I11 = I10 + 50*NAV*NGROPS | S0106600 |
| | I12 = I11 + NAV*NGROPS | S0106610 |
| 60 | I13 = I12 + 215*NSOURC - 1 | S0106620 |
| C | DETERMINE IF CALCULATED STORAGE ALLOCATION EXCEEDS LIMIT. | S0106630 |
| | IF(I13 .LE. LIMIT) GOTO 70 | S0106640 |
| | WRITE(ID,9004) I13,LIMIT | S0106650 |
| | STOP | S0106660 |
| C | CALL INPUT ROUTINE. | S0106670 |
| 70 | CALL INCHK(QF(1),QF(11),QF(12),QF(13),QF(14),QF(15),QF(16),QF(17), | S0106680 |
| | 1 QF(18),QF(19),IQF(I10),IQF(I11),QF(I12)) | S0106690 |
| C | CALL MODEL ROUTINE. | S0106700 |
| | CALL MODEL(QF(1),QF(11),QF(12),QF(13),QF(14),QF(15),QF(16),QF(17), | S0106710 |
| | 1 QF(18),QF(19),IQF(I10),IQF(I11),QF(I12)) | S0106720 |
| | STOP | S0106730 |
| | 9001 FORMAT(15A4) | S0106740 |
| | 9002 FORMAT(40I2) | S0106750 |
| C***** | | |
| C | THE FOLLOWING LINE OF CODE HAS BEEN ALTERED TO COMPUTE ESCAPE FRACTION | |
| | 9003 FORMAT(8I6,2F10.0) | S0106760 |
| C***** | | |
| 9004 | FORMAT('1',58H ***ERROR*** CALCULATED STORAGE ALLOCATION LIMIT | S0106770 |
| | 1EQUALS,I6,/52H AND EXCEEDS THE MAXIMUM STORAGE ALLOCATION LIMIT OF | S0106780 |
| | 2,I6,/16H RUN TERMINATED.) | S0106790 |
| | END | S0106800 |

```

SUBROUTINE MODEL(CALC,CHIAV,CHIAN,GRIDX,GRIDY,XDIS,YDIS,GRIDZ,      S0300010
1 CHIMAX,CHISO,IPNT,ICOUNT,SOURCE)                                S0300020
C      SUBROUTINE MODEL (VERSION 80339), PART OF ISCST.
C      THIS ROUTINE CONTAINS THE MODEL EQUATIONS FOR CALCULATING GROUND- S0300030
C      LEVEL CONCENTRATION OR DEPOSITION INCLUDING THE PLUME RISE      S0300040
C      EQUATIONS. THIS ROUTINE ALSO CONTROLS THE CALCULATION AND OUTPUT S0300050
C      OF ALL TABLES THE PROGRAM PRODUCES.                          S0300060
C                                                                    S0300070
C      INTEGER QFLG,QFLGS                                           S0300080
C*****
C      THE FOLLOWING LINE OF CODE ADDED TO RUN ON IBM-PC
C      CHARACTER*4 TITLE,IQUN,ICHIUN,CONDEP
C*****
C      LOGICAL FZERO,WAKE,POLAR,DONE,SGZDON,IFLAG(8),ISW24          S0300090
C      COMMON /LOGIX/ ISW(40),NSOURC,NXPNTS,NYPNTS,NXWYPT,NGROUP,  S0300100
C      1 NSOGRP(150),IDSDR(200),IPERD,NPNTS,NAVG,NHOURS,NDAYS,NTDAY,LINF, S0300110
C      2 IQ,IN,TITLE(15),IQUN(3),ICHIUN(7),CONDEP(6),LIMIT,MIMIT    S0300120
C      COMMON /MET/ IDAY(366),ISTAB(24),AWS(24),TEMP(24),AFV(24),    S0300130
C      1 AFVR(24),HLH(24,2),P(24),DTHDZ(24),DECAY(24),PDEF(6,6),    S0300140
C      2 DTHDEF(6,6),GAM1I,GAM2I,ZR,DDECAY,IMET,ITAP,TK,UCATS(5)    S0300150
C*****
C      THE FOLLOWING LINE OF CODE ADDED TO COMPUTE ESCAPE FRACTION
C      COMMON/DEPO/UD(200,20),ZREF,ZO                                TRC 002
C*****
C      DIMENSION CALC(1),CHIAV(1),CHIAN(1),GRIDX(1),GRIDY(1),XDIS(1), S0300160
C      1 YDIS(1),GRIDZ(1),CHIMAX(1),CHISO(150,1),IPNT(50,1),ICOUNT(1), S0300170
C      2 SOURCE(215,1)                                                S0300180
C      DIMENSION COSNUM(360),SINNUM(451),RLH(48),SASIGZ(36),SBSIGZ(36), S0300190
C      1 SP(6),SQ(6),SC(6),SD(6),KAVG(8),MSTAB(24),IMOS(11),ISEAS(12) S0300200
C      EQUIVALENCE (COSNUM(1),SINNUM(91)),(ISW20,ISW(40)),(VS,SIGYD,XD), S0300210
C      1 (TS,SIGZD),(POLAR,DONE),(ISW(23),QFLGS)                     S0300220
C      DATA SASIGZ / 158.08,170.22,179.52,217.41,258.89,346.75,2*453.85, S0300230
C      1 90.673,98.483,109.3,61.141,34.459,32.093,32.093,33.504,36.65, S0300240
C      2 44.053,23.331,21.628,21.628,22.534,24.703,26.97,35.42,47.618, S0300250
C      3 15.209,14.457,13.953,13.953,14.823,16.187,17.836,22.651,27.074, S0300260
C      4 34.219 /                                                     S0300270
C      DATA SBSIGZ / 1.0542,1.0932,1.1262,1.2644,1.4094,1.7283,2*2.1166, S0300280
C      1 .93198,.98332,1.0971,.91465,.86974,.81066,.64403,.60486,.56589, S0300290
C      2 .51179,.81956,.75660,.63077,.57154,.50527,.46713,.37615,.29592, S0300300
C      3 .81558,.78407,.68465,.63227,.54503,.46490,.41507,.32681,.27436, S0300310
C      4 .21716 /                                                     S0300320
C      DATA SC,SD / 24.1667,18.333,12.5,8.333,6.25,4.1667,2.5334,1.8096, S0300330
C      1 1.0857,.72382,.54287,.36191 / , SP,SQ / .004781486,.006474168, S0300340
C      2 .009684292,.014649868,.019584802,.029481132,1.1235955,1.1086475, S0300350
C      3 1.0905125,1.0881393,1.0857763,1.0881393 /                  S0300360
C      DATA KAVG / 1,2,3,4,6,8,12,24 /                               S0300370
C      DATA IMOS / 32,61,92,122,153,183,214,245,275,306,336 /      S0300380
C      DATA ISEAS / 1,1,2,2,2,3,3,3,4,4,4,1 /                      S0300390
C                                                                    S0300400
C*** INITIALIZE.                                                    S0300410
C                                                                    S0300420
C      ISW24 = ISW(24) .EQ. 1                                         S0300430
C      INITIALIZE COSNUM & SINNUM ARRAYS WITH COSINE & SINE VALUES OF S0300440
C      INTEGER WIND DIRECTIONS.                                       S0300450
C      DO 10 I = 1,451                                                S0300460
C      A1 = I                                                          S0300470
C      10 SINNUM(I) = SIN(A1*.017453293)                               S0300480
C      IF MAX 50 TABLES ARE NOT COMPUTED, ICOUNT & IPNT DO NOT EXIST. S0300490
C      IF(ISW(18) .LE. 0) GOTO 30                                     S0300500
C      INITIALIZE POINTER ARRAY & COUNTER FOR MAXIMUM FIFTY TABLES. S0300510
C      I1 = NAVG                                                       S0300520
C      IF(NGROUP .GT. 0) I1 = I1*NGROUP                               S0300530
C      DO 20 I = 1,I1                                                  S0300540
C      ICOUNT(I) = 0                                                  S0300550
C      DO 20 J = 1,50                                                  S0300560
C      20 IPNT(J,I) = J                                               S0300570
C      CALCULATE VIRTUAL DISTANCES FOR ALL SOURCES AND STABILITY      S0300580
C      CATEGORIES. ALSO CHECK SOURCE-RECEPTOR DISTANCES.          S0300590

```

| | | |
|-----|---|----------|
| 30 | LINE = 100 | S0300600 |
| | DO 310 I = 1, NSOURC | S0300610 |
| | ITYPE = SOURCE(1, I) | S0300620 |
| | IWAK = ITYPE/8192 | S0300630 |
| | ITYPE = ITYPE - (ITYPE/16)*16 | S0300640 |
| | IF (ITYPE-1) 40 , 110, 140 | S0300650 |
| 40 | HB = SOURCE(11, I) | S0300660 |
| | HW = SOURCE(12, I) | S0300670 |
| | IF (HB .LE. 0.0 .AND. HW .LE. 0.0) GOTO 190 | S0300680 |
| | H = HB | S0300690 |
| | IF (HW .LT. HB) H = HW | S0300700 |
| | DO 50 J = 1, 36 | S0300710 |
| 50 | SOURCE(81+J, I) = (1.2*H/SASIGZ(J))* (1./SBSIGZ(J)) - .01*H | S0300720 |
| | IF (HW .GE. HB) GOTO 70 | S0300730 |
| | DO 60 J = 1, 6 | S0300740 |
| 60 | SOURCE(75+J, I) = (.85*HW*SP(J))*SQ(J) - .01*HW | S0300750 |
| | GOTO 160 | S0300760 |
| 70 | IF (HW .GT. 5.*HB) GOTO 90 | S0300770 |
| | DO 80 J = 1, 6 | S0300780 |
| 80 | SOURCE(75+J, I) = ((.35*HW+.5*HB)*SP(J))*SQ(J) - .01*HB | S0300790 |
| | GOTO 160 | S0300800 |
| 90 | H = .85*HB | S0300810 |
| | IF (IWAK .EQ. 1) H = 2.25*HB | S0300820 |
| | DO 100 J = 1, 6 | S0300830 |
| 100 | SOURCE(75+J, I) = (H*SP(J))*SQ(J) - .01*HB | S0300840 |
| | GOTO 160 | S0300850 |
| 110 | SIGYD = SOURCE(9, I) | S0300860 |
| | SIGZD = SOURCE(8, I) | S0300870 |
| | DO 120 J = 1, 6 | S0300880 |
| 120 | IF (SIGYD .GT. 0.0) SOURCE(75+J, I) = (SIGYD*SP(J))*SQ(J) | S0300890 |
| | DO 130 J = 1, 36 | S0300900 |
| 130 | IF (SIGZD .GT. 0.0) SOURCE(81+J, I) = (SIGZD/SASIGZ(J))* | S0300910 |
| | 1 (1./SBSIGZ(J)) | S0300920 |
| | GOTO 160 | S0300930 |
| 140 | XD = SOURCE(9, I) | S0300940 |
| | DO 150 J = 1, 36 | S0300950 |
| 150 | SOURCE(81+J, I) = .001*XD | S0300960 |
| C | NO VIRTUAL DISTANCES CAN BE LESS THAN ZERO. | S0300970 |
| 160 | DO 170 J = 1, 6 | S0300980 |
| 170 | IF (SOURCE(75+J, I) .LT. 0.0) SOURCE(75+J, I) = 0.0 | S0300990 |
| | DO 180 J = 1, 36 | S0301000 |
| 180 | IF (SOURCE(81+J, I) .LT. 0.0) SOURCE(81+J, I) = 0.0 | S0301010 |
| 190 | A1 = 99.99 | S0301020 |
| | IF (ITYPE-1) 200 , 210 , 220 | S0301030 |
| 200 | XDP = 0.0 | S0301040 |
| | H = HB | S0301050 |
| | IF (HW .LT. HB) H = HW | S0301060 |
| | A1 = 3.*H | S0301070 |
| | IF (A1 .LT. 99.99) A1 = 99.99 | S0301080 |
| | GOTO 230 | S0301090 |
| 210 | XDP = 2.15*SIGYD | S0301100 |
| | GOTO 230 | S0301110 |
| 220 | XDP = .5641876*SOURCE(9, I) | S0301120 |
| 230 | NSD = SOURCE(2, I) | S0301130 |
| | XS = SOURCE(4, I) | S0301140 |
| | YS = SOURCE(5, I) | S0301150 |
| | IF (NXPNTS .EQ. 0 .OR. NYPNTS .EQ. 0) GOTO 270 | S0301160 |
| | POLAR = .FALSE. | S0301170 |
| | IF (ISW(2) .EQ. 2 .OR. ISW(2) .EQ. 4) POLAR = .TRUE. | S0301180 |
| | DO 260 J = 1, NYPNTS | S0301190 |
| | YR = GRIDY(J) | S0301200 |
| | I1 = YR | S0301210 |
| | DO 260 K = 1, NXPNTS | S0301220 |
| | XR = GRIDX(K) | S0301230 |
| | IF (.NOT. POLAR) GOTO 240 | S0301240 |
| | YR = XR*COSNUM(I1) | S0301250 |
| | XR = XR*SINNUM(I1) | S0301260 |
| 240 | A3 = YR - YS | S0301270 |
| | XR = XR - XS | S0301280 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| A2 = SQRT(XR*XR + A3*A3) - XDP | S0301290 |
| IF(A2 .GE. A1) GOTO 260 | S0301300 |
| IF(LINE .LT. 57) GOTO 250 | S0301310 |
| WRITE(ID,9011) | S0301320 |
| WRITE(ID,9005) TITLE | S0301330 |
| WRITE(ID,9002) CONDEP | S0301340 |
| LINE = 16 | S0301350 |
| 250 WRITE(ID,9003) NSD,GRIDX(K),GRIDY(J),A2 | S0301360 |
| LINE = LINE + 1 | S0301370 |
| 260 CONTINUE | S0301380 |
| 270 IF(NXWYPT .EQ. 0) GOTO 310 | S0301390 |
| POLAR = .FALSE. | S0301400 |
| IF(ISW(3) .EQ. 2) POLAR = .TRUE. | S0301410 |
| DO 300 J = 1,NXWYPT | S0301420 |
| YR = YDIS(J) | S0301430 |
| XR = XDIS(J) | S0301440 |
| IF(.NOT.POLAR) GOTO 280 | S0301450 |
| I1 = YR | S0301460 |
| YR = XR*COSNUM(I1) | S0301470 |
| XR = XR*SINNUM(I1) | S0301480 |
| 280 YR = YR - YS | S0301490 |
| XR = XR - XS | S0301500 |
| A2 = SQRT(XR*XR + YR*YR) - XDP | S0301510 |
| IF(A2 .GE. A1) GOTO 300 | S0301520 |
| IF(LINE .LT. 57) GOTO 290 | S0301530 |
| WRITE(ID,9005) TITLE | S0301540 |
| WRITE(ID,9002) CONDEP | S0301550 |
| LINE = 16 | S0301560 |
| 290 WRITE(ID,9003) NSD,XDIS(J),YDIS(J),A2 | S0301570 |
| LINE = LINE + 1 | S0301580 |
| 300 CONTINUE | S0301590 |
| 310 CONTINUE | S0301600 |
| C INITIALIZE NUMBER DAYS, HOURS & HOURS PER DAY. SET MIXING HEIGHT | S0301610 |
| C INDEX. | S0301620 |
| NTDAY = 0 | S0301630 |
| IF(ISW(19) .GT. 1) GOTO 320 | S0301640 |
| NHOURS = 24 | S0301650 |
| 320 IHM = 1 | S0301660 |
| IF(ISW(20) .GT. 0) IHM = 2 | S0301670 |
| C | S0301680 |
| C*** BEGIN LOOP OVER DAYS OF METEOROLOGICAL DATA. | S0301690 |
| C | S0301700 |
| DO 1690 IDY = 1,NDAYS | S0301710 |
| WRITE(*,*) ' STARTED DAY NO.',IDY | |
| IF(ISW(19) .EQ. 1) GOTO 380 | S0301720 |
| C INPUT A DAY OF CARD MET DATA. | S0301730 |
| DO 370 I = 1,NHOURS | S0301740 |
| READ(IMET,9004) JDAY,AFV(I),AWS(I),HLH(I,1),TEMP(I),DTHDZ(I), | S0301750 |
| 1 ISTAB(I),P(I),DECAY(I) | S0301760 |
| IF(ISTAB(I) .GT. 6) ISTAB(I) = 6 | S0301770 |
| AFVR(I) = AFV(I) | S0301780 |
| IF(JDAY .LT. 1) JDAY = 1 | S0301790 |
| IF(I.EQ.1) JDY=JDAY | S0301795 |
| IF(ISW(21) .EQ. 3 .AND. ISW(22) .EQ. 3) GOTO 350 | S0301800 |
| C COMPUTE WIND SPEED CATEGORY IN ORDER TO LOAD DEFAULT VALUE FOR | S0301810 |
| C P OR DTHDZ. | S0301820 |
| IST = ISTAB(I) | S0301830 |
| DO 330 J = 1,5 | S0301840 |
| ISP = J | S0301850 |
| IF(UCATS(J) .GE. AWS(I)) GOTO 340 | S0301860 |
| 330 CONTINUE | S0301870 |
| ISP = 6 | S0301880 |
| 340 IF(ISW(21) .NE. 3) P(I) = PDEF(ISP,IST) | S0301890 |
| IF(ISW(22) .NE. 3) DTHDZ(I) = DTHDEF(ISP,IST) | S0301900 |
| 350 IF(ISW(6) .NE. 2) GOTO 370 | S0301910 |
| IF(I .GT. 1) GOTO 360 | S0301920 |
| WRITE(ID,9001) JDAY | S0301940 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| WRITE(ID,9005) TITLE | 50301950 |
| WRITE(ID,9007) JDAY | 50301960 |
| WRITE(ID,9006) | 50301970 |
| 360 WRITE(ID,9008) I,AFV(I),AWS(I),HLH(I,1),TEMP(I),DTHDZ(I), | 50301980 |
| 1 ISTAB(I),P(I),DECAY(I) | 50301990 |
| 370 CONTINUE | 50302000 |
| LINE = 0 | 50302010 |
| GOTO 480 | 50302020 |
| C INPUT PRE-PROCESSED MET DATA. | 50302030 |
| 380 IF(IDAY(IDY) .GT. 0) GOTO 410 | 50302040 |
| II = IDY + 1 | 50302050 |
| IF(IDAY(II) .GT. 0) GOTO 390 | 50302060 |
| READ(IMET) ISTAB | 50302070 |
| GOTO 1690 | 50302080 |
| 390 READ(IMET) JYR,IMO,DAY,ISTAB | 50302090 |
| LSTAB = ISTAB(I) | 50302100 |
| IF(LSTAB .GT. 6) LSTAB = 6 | 50302110 |
| DO 400 I = 2,24 | 50302120 |
| IF(ISTAB(I) .GT. 6) ISTAB(I) = 6 | 50302130 |
| KSTT = ISTAB(I) - LSTAB | 50302140 |
| IF(KSTT .GT. 1) ISTAB(I) = LSTAB + 1 | 50302150 |
| IF(KSTT .LT. -1) ISTAB(I) = LSTAB - 1 | 50302160 |
| 400 LSTAB = ISTAB(I) | 50302170 |
| GOTO 1690 | 50302180 |
| 410 READ(IMET) JYR,IMO,DAY,ISTAB,AWS,TEMP,AFV,AFVR,HLH | 50302190 |
| C REARRANGE MIXING HEIGHTS. | 50302200 |
| DO 420 I = 1,2 | 50302210 |
| DO 420 J = 1,24 | 50302220 |
| K = (24*(I-1)) + J | 50302230 |
| 420 RLH(K) = HLH(J,I) | 50302240 |
| DO 430 I = 1,48,2 | 50302250 |
| J = .5*I + 1 | 50302260 |
| 430 HLH(J,1) = RLH(I) | 50302270 |
| DO 440 I = 2,49,2 | 50302280 |
| J = .5*I | 50302290 |
| 440 HLH(J,2) = RLH(I) | 50302300 |
| IF(IDY .EQ. 1) LSTAB = ISTAB(I) | 50302310 |
| IF(LSTAB .GT. 6) LSTAB = 6 | 50302320 |
| C DO NOT ALLOW STABILITY TO VARY RAPIDLY & ADJUST FOR URBAN MODES. | 50302330 |
| DO 460 I = 1,24 | 50302340 |
| IF(ISTAB(I) .GT. 6) ISTAB(I) = 6 | 50302350 |
| MSTAB(I) = ISTAB(I) | 50302360 |
| KSTT = ISTAB(I) - LSTAB | 50302370 |
| IF(KSTT .GT. 1) ISTAB(I) = LSTAB + 1 | 50302380 |
| IF(KSTT .LT. -1) ISTAB(I) = LSTAB - 1 | 50302390 |
| IF(ISW(20) .EQ. 0) GOTO 460 | 50302400 |
| IF(ISW(20) .EQ. 1) GOTO 450 | 50302410 |
| IF(ISTAB(I) .EQ. 6) ISTAB(I) = ISTAB(I) - 1 | 50302420 |
| GOTO 460 | 50302430 |
| 450 IF(ISTAB(I) .GT. 4) ISTAB(I) = 4 | 50302440 |
| 460 LSTAB = ISTAB(I) | 50302450 |
| IF(ISW(6) .NE. 2) GOTO 480 | 50302460 |
| WRITE(ID,9001) IDY | 50302470 |
| WRITE(ID,9005) TITLE | 50302480 |
| WRITE(ID,9007) IDY | 50302490 |
| WRITE(ID,9009) | 50302500 |
| DO 470 I = 1,24 | 50302510 |
| 470 WRITE(ID,9010) I,AFV(I),AFVR(I),AWS(I),HLH(I,IHM),TEMP(I), | 50302520 |
| 1 MSTAB(I),ISTAB(I) | 50302530 |
| LINE = 0 | 50302540 |
| 480 CONTINUE | 50302550 |
| C SET JULIAN DAY. | 50302560 |
| IF(ISW(19) .EQ. 1) JDY = IDY | 50302570 |
| C FETCH SEASON & MONTH. | 50302580 |
| IF(ISW(19) .EQ. 1) GOTO 500 | 50302590 |
| DO 490 I = 1,11 | 50302600 |
| IMO = I | 50302610 |
| IF(IMOS(I) .GT. JDY) GOTO 500 | 50302620 |

| | | |
|------|--|----------|
| 490 | CONTINUE | S0302630 |
| | IMO = 12 | S0302640 |
| 500 | CONTINUE | S0302650 |
| | ISEA = ISEAS(IMO) | S0302660 |
| C | | S0302670 |
| C*** | BEGIN LOOP OVER MET DATA FOR EACH HOUR. | S0302680 |
| C | | S0302690 |
| | DO 1670 IHR = 1,NHOURS | S0302700 |
| | IST = ISTAB(IHR) | S0302710 |
| C | IF URBAN MODE 2, ADJUST STABILITY FOR CALCULATION OF SIGY & SIGZ. | S0302720 |
| | ISTUM2 = IST | S0302730 |
| | IF(ISW(20) .EQ. 2) ISTUM2 = IST - 1 | S0302740 |
| | IF(ISTUM2 .LT. 1) ISTUM2 = 1 | S0302750 |
| | UBAR = AWS(IHR) | S0302760 |
| | FV = AFV(IHR) | S0302770 |
| | FVR = AFVR(IHR) | S0302780 |
| | HM = HLH(IHR,IHM) | S0302790 |
| C | SET MIXING HEIGHT TO 10000.0 SO THAT ONLY FIRST TERM OF VERTICAL | S0302800 |
| C | EQUATION IS COMPUTED (RURAL MODE, E & F STABILITIES ONLY). | S0302810 |
| | IF(ISW(20) .EQ. 0 .AND. IST .GT. 4) HM = 10000.0 | S0302820 |
| | TA = TEMP(IHR) | S0302830 |
| | IF(HM .GT. 0.0) HMI = 1./HM | S0302840 |
| C | COMPUTE WIND SPEED CATEGORY FOR THIS HOUR. | S0302850 |
| | DO 510 I = 1,5 | S0302860 |
| | ISP = I | S0302870 |
| | IF(UCATS(I) .GE. UBAR) GOTO 520 | S0302880 |
| 510 | CONTINUE | S0302890 |
| | ISP = 6 | S0302900 |
| 520 | IF(ISW(19) .EQ. 2) GOTO 530 | S0302910 |
| | PP = PDEF(ISP,IST) | S0302920 |
| | DTH = DTHDEF(ISP,IST) | S0302930 |
| | DECAY(IHR) = DDECAY | S0302940 |
| | GOTO 540 | S0302950 |
| 530 | PP = P(IHR) | S0302960 |
| | DTH = DTHDZ(IHR) | S0302970 |
| 540 | CONTINUE | S0302980 |
| C | CLEAR CALCULATION ARRAY FOR SOURCE SUMMATIONS. | S0302990 |
| | NPNTS2 = NPNTS + NPNTS | S0303000 |
| | DO 550 I = 1,NPNTS2 | S0303010 |
| 550 | CALC(I) = 0.0 | S0303020 |
| C | SET IFLAG FOR DAILY TABLES IF HOUR/TIME PERIOD = INTEGER MULTIPLE. | S0303030 |
| | DO 560 I = 1,8 | S0303040 |
| | IF(ISW(I+6) .NE. 1) GOTO 560 | S0303050 |
| | IFLAG(I) = .FALSE. | S0303060 |
| | IF(MOD(IHR,KAVG(I)) .EQ. 0) IFLAG(I) = .TRUE. | S0303070 |
| 560 | CONTINUE | S0303080 |
| | IF(HM .LE. 0.0) GOTO 1490 | S0303090 |
| C | COMPUTE X & Y SCALARS OF RANDOM FLOW VECTOR. | S0303100 |
| | FVRCOS = (FVR+180.)*.017453293 | S0303110 |
| | FVRSIN = SIN(FVRCOS) | S0303120 |
| | FVRCOS = COS(FVRCOS) | S0303130 |
| C | | S0303140 |
| C*** | BEGIN LOOP OVER SOURCES. | S0303150 |
| C | | S0303160 |
| | DO 1480 IS = 1,NSOURC | S0303170 |
| C | CLEAR CALCULATION ARRAY FOR EACH SOURCE. | S0303180 |
| | DO 570 I = 1,NPNTS | S0303190 |
| 570 | CALC(I) = 0.0 | S0303200 |
| | HS = SOURCE(7,IS) | S0303210 |
| | IF(HS .GT. HM) GOTO 1480 | S0303220 |
| | ITYPE = SOURCE(1,IS) | S0303230 |
| | XS = SOURCE(4,IS) | S0303240 |
| | YS = SOURCE(5,IS) | S0303250 |
| | ZS = SOURCE(6,IS) | S0303260 |
| | VS = SOURCE(9,IS) | S0303270 |
| | HB = SOURCE(11,IS) | S0303280 |
| | HW = SOURCE(12,IS) | S0303290 |
| | D = SOURCE(10,IS) | S0303300 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| TS = SOURCE(8,IS) | 50303310 |
| NSO = SOURCE(2,IS) | 50303320 |
| IWAK = ITYPE/8192 | 50303330 |
| QFLG = ITYPE/512 - (ITYPE/8192)*16 | 50303340 |
| NVS = ITYPE/16 - (ITYPE/512)*32 | 50303350 |
| ITYPE = ITYPE - (ITYPE/16)*16 | 50303360 |
| XY = SOURCE(ISTUM2+75,IS) | 50303370 |
| FZERO = .FALSE. | 50303380 |
| XMAX = 0.0 | 50303390 |
| C RETRIEVE SOURCE EMISSIONS RATE (IF ANY). | 50303400 |
| QTK = 1.0 | 50303410 |
| IF(QFLG .LE. 0 .AND. QFLGS .LE. 0) GOTO 640 | 50303420 |
| I1 = IS | 50303430 |
| IF(QFLGS .LE. 0) GOTO 580 | 50303440 |
| I1 = 1 | 50303450 |
| QFLG = QFLGS | 50303460 |
| 580 I2 = ISEA | 50303470 |
| GOTO (630,590 ,600 ,610 ,620) ,QFLG | 50303480 |
| 590 I2 = IMD | 50303490 |
| GOTO 630 | 50303500 |
| 600 I2 = IHR | 50303510 |
| GOTO 630 | 50303520 |
| 610 I2 = (IST-1)*6 + ISP | 50303530 |
| GOTO 630 | 50303540 |
| 620 I2 = (ISEA - 1)*24 + IHR | 50303550 |
| 630 QTK = SOURCE(I2+119,I1) | 50303560 |
| 640 QTK = SOURCE(3,IS)*TK*QTK | 50303570 |
| C CALCULATE EFFECTIVE WIND SPEED. | 50303580 |
| UBARS = UBAR | 50303590 |
| IF(PP) 670,670,650 | 50303600 |
| 650 IF(HS) 670,670,660 | 50303610 |
| C NOTE% ZR IS IN RECIPROCAL FORM. | 50303620 |
| 660 A1 = HS | 50303630 |
| IF(HS .LT. 10.0) A1 = AMIN1(10.0,1./ZR) | 50303640 |
| UBARS = UBAR*(A1*ZR)**PP | 50303650 |
| 670 UBARI = 1./UBARS | 50303660 |
| C BEGIN PLUME RISE CALCULATIONS FOR STACK-TYPE SOURCES. | 50303670 |
| IF(ITYPE-1) 680,840,840 | 50303680 |
| 680 WAKE = .FALSE. | 50303690 |
| IF(VS) 690,690,700 | 50303700 |
| C CHECK FOR DOWNWASH STACK HEIGHT ADJUSTMENT, VS = 0. | 50303710 |
| 690 IF(ISW(25) .EQ. 2) HS = HS -3.*D | 50303720 |
| C IF EXIT VELOCITY, VS, EQUALS 0 THEN DHA = 0. | 50303730 |
| DHAWAK = HS | 50303740 |
| IF(HS .LT. 2.5*HB .AND. HS .LT. HB+1.5*HW) WAKE = .TRUE. | 50303750 |
| GOTO 840 | 50303760 |
| 700 VSD = VS*D | 50303770 |
| C CHECK FOR DOWNWASH STACK HEIGHT ADJUSTMENT, VS > 0. | 50303780 |
| IF(ISW(25) .EQ. 2 .AND. VS .LT. 1.5*UBARS) HS = HS + (VS*UBARI | 50303790 |
| 1 -1.5)*(D+D) | 50303800 |
| GAMJI = 1./(.33333333+UBARS/VS) | 50303810 |
| GAMJI = GAMJI*GAMJI | 50303820 |
| IF(DTH .LE. 0.0) GOTO 710 | 50303830 |
| S = 9.8*DTH/TA | 50303840 |
| SI = 1./S | 50303850 |
| SS = SQRT(S) | 50303860 |
| SSI = 1./SS | 50303870 |
| 710 IF(TS-TA) 730,730,720 | 50303880 |
| C IF SOURCE TEMPERATURE = 0, SET EQUAL TO AMBIENT AIR TEMP. | 50303890 |
| 720 IF(TS) 730,730,740 | 50303900 |
| 730 FM = VSD*VSD*.25 | 50303910 |
| F = 0.0 | 50303920 |
| FZERO = .TRUE. | 50303930 |
| GOTO 770 | 50303940 |
| 740 TOT = TA/TS | 50303950 |
| FM = TOT*VSD*VSD*.25 | 50303960 |
| F = 2.45*VSD*D*(1.-TOT) | 50303970 |
| IF(F .GT. 55.0) GOTO 750 | 50303980 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| FC = .0727*VSD**1.3333333 | S0303990 |
| GOTO 760 | S0304000 |
| 750 FC = .0141*VSD**1.6666667 | S0304010 |
| 760 IF(F .GT. FC) GOTO 770 | S0304020 |
| FZERO = .TRUE. | S0304030 |
| F = 0.0 | S0304040 |
| 770 IF(HB .LE. 0.0) GOTO 800 | S0304050 |
| IF(DTH .GT. 0.0) GOTO 780 | S0304060 |
| C TEST FOR WAKE EFFECTS-CALCULATE XPLUME. | S0304070 |
| DHA = 3.*FM*(HB+HB)*GAMJI*UBARI*UBARI | S0304080 |
| DHAWAK = DHA**3.3333333 | S0304090 |
| GOTO 790 | S0304100 |
| 780 DHA = 3.*FM*GAMJI*UBARI*SSI | S0304110 |
| IF(1.570796327*UBARS*SSI.GT.HB+HB) DHA = DHA*SIN(SS*(HB+HB)*UBARI) | S0304115 |
| DHAWAK = DHA**3.3333333 | S0304120 |
| DHA1 = 3.*VSD*UBARI | S0304130 |
| IF(DHAWAK .GT. DHA1) DHAWAK = DHA1 | S0304140 |
| 790 DHAWAK = HS + DHAWAK | S0304150 |
| IF(DHAWAK.LT.2.5*HB .AND. DHAWAK.LT.HB+1.5*HW) WAKE = .TRUE. | S0304160 |
| 800 IF(DTH .GT. 0.0) GOTO 830 | S0304180 |
| IF(FZERO) GOTO 820 | S0304190 |
| IF(F .GT. 55.0) GOTO 810 | S0304200 |
| XPLUME = 49.*F**6.25 | S0304210 |
| GOTO 840 | S0304220 |
| 810 XPLUME = 119.*F**4 | S0304230 |
| GOTO 840 | S0304240 |
| 820 XPLUME = 4.*D*UBARI*(VS+3.*UBARS)**2/VS | S0304250 |
| GOTO 840 | S0304260 |
| 830 XPLUME = 1.570796327*UBARS*SSI | S0304270 |
| IF(.NOT.FZERO) XPLUME = XPLUME + XPLUME | S0304280 |
| 840 CONTINUE | S0304290 |
| C CHECK FOR FINAL PLUME RISE OPTION. | S0304300 |
| IF(.NOT.ISW24) GOTO 880 | S0304310 |
| IF(DTH .GT. 0.0) GOTO 850 | S0304320 |
| DHA = 3.*FM*XPLUME*GAMJI*UBARI*UBARI | S0304330 |
| IF(.NOT.FZERO) DHA = DHA + 1.5*F*XPLUME*XPLUME*GAM1I*UBARI**3 | S0304340 |
| GOTO 870 | S0304350 |
| 850 IF(FZERO) GOTO 860 | S0304360 |
| DHA = 6.*F*GAM2I*UBARI*SI | S0304370 |
| GOTO 870 | S0304380 |
| 860 DHA = 3.*FM*GAMJI*UBARI*SSI | S0304390 |
| 870 DHA = DHA**3.3333333 | S0304400 |
| 880 CONTINUE | S0304410 |
| C | S0304420 |
| C*** BEGIN LOOP OVER RECEPTOR POINTS. | S0304430 |
| C | S0304440 |
| IF(NXPNTS .NE. 0 .AND. NYPNTS .NE. 0) GOTO 900 | S0304450 |
| 890 IF(NXWYPT .EQ. 0) GOTO 1400 | S0304460 |
| GOTO 910 | S0304470 |
| 900 J = 0 | S0304480 |
| POLAR = .FALSE. | S0304490 |
| IF(ISW(2) .EQ. 2 .OR. ISW(2) .EQ. 4) POLAR = .TRUE. | S0304500 |
| NEXTR = 1 | S0304510 |
| GOTO 920 | S0304520 |
| 910 I = 0 | S0304530 |
| NEXTR = 3 | S0304540 |
| POLAR = .FALSE. | S0304550 |
| IF(ISW(3) .EQ. 2) POLAR = .TRUE. | S0304560 |
| 920 CONTINUE | S0304570 |
| IF(NEXTR-2) 930,950,970 | S0304580 |
| 930 J = J + 1 | S0304590 |
| IF(J .GT. NYPNTS) GOTO 890 | S0304600 |
| YR = GRIDY(J) | S0304610 |
| IF(.NOT.POLAR) GOTO 940 | S0304620 |
| IYR = YR | S0304630 |
| YRS = SINNUM(IYR) | S0304640 |
| YRC = COSNUM(IYR) | S0304650 |
| 940 IJ = (J-1)*NXPNTS | S0304660 |
| I = 0 | S0304670 |

| | |
|---|----------|
| NEXTR = 2 | S0304680 |
| 950 I = I + 1 | S0304690 |
| IF(I .LE. NXPNTS) GOTO 960 | S0304700 |
| NEXTR = 1 | S0304710 |
| GOTO 920 | S0304720 |
| 960 IJ = IJ + 1 | S0304730 |
| XR = GRIDX(I) | S0304740 |
| GOTO 990 | S0304750 |
| 970 I = I + 1 | S0304760 |
| IF(I .GT. NXWYPT) GOTO 1400 | S0304770 |
| YR = YDIS(I) | S0304780 |
| IF(.NOT.POLAR) GOTO 980 | S0304790 |
| IYR = YR | S0304800 |
| YRS = SINNUM(IYR) | S0304810 |
| YRC = COSNUM(IYR) | S0304820 |
| 980 IJ = NXPNTS*NYPNTS + I | S0304830 |
| XR = XDIS(I) | S0304840 |
| 990 CONTINUE | S0304850 |
| IF(POLAR) GOTO 1000 | S0304860 |
| XR1 = XR - XS | S0304870 |
| YR1 = YR - YS | S0304880 |
| GOTO 1010 | S0304890 |
| 1000 XR1 = XR*YRS - XS | S0304900 |
| YR1 = XR*YRC - YS | S0304910 |
| C CHECK IF TERRAIN ELEVATION IS LOWER THAN STACK HEIGHT. | S0304920 |
| 1010 IF(ISW(4).NE.1.OR.HS+ZS-GRIDZ(IJ).GT.0.0.OR.ITYPE.EQ.2) GOTO 1020 | S0304930 |
| IF(LINE .EQ. 0) WRITE(10,9011) | S0304940 |
| WRITE(10,9012) NSQ,XR,YR | S0304950 |
| STOP | S0304960 |
| C CALCULATE DOWNWIND DISTANCE, XBAR. | S0304970 |
| 1020 XBAR = -(XR1*FVRSIN + YR1*FVRCOS) | S0304980 |
| IF(XBAR .LE. 0.0) GOTO 920 | S0304990 |
| IF(XMAX .LE. 0.0) GOTO 1030 | S0305000 |
| IF(XBAR .GT. XMAX .AND. ISW(4) .EQ. 0) GOTO 920 | S0305010 |
| C CALCULATE CROSSWIND DISTANCE. | S0305020 |
| 1030 YBAR = XR1*FVRCOS - YR1*FVRSIN | S0305030 |
| XOP = 0.0 | S0305040 |
| C $1./\text{SQRT}(3.14159265) = .5641896$ (CALCULATE EFFECTIVE RADIUS.) | S0305050 |
| IF(ITYPE .EQ. 2) XOP = .5641896*XO | S0305060 |
| IF(ITYPE .EQ. 1) XOP = 2.15*SIGYO | S0305070 |
| A1 = 3.*HB | S0305080 |
| IF(HW .LT. HB) A1 = 3.*HW | S0305090 |
| IF(A1 .LT. 99.99) A1 = 99.99 | S0305100 |
| IF((XBAR-XOP) .LT. 0.0) GOTO 920 | S0305110 |
| A2 = $\text{SQRT}(XBAR*XBAR + YBAR*YBAR) - XOP$ | S0305120 |
| IF(A2 .LT. A1) GOTO 920 | S0305130 |
| YP = XBAR*1.19175359 | S0305140 |
| IF(YBAR .GT. YP) GOTO 920 | S0305150 |
| C ADJUST XBAR TO DOWNWIND EDGE OF AREA SOURCE. | S0305160 |
| IF(ITYPE .EQ. 2) XBAR = XBAR - XOP | S0305170 |
| C RESUME PLUME RISE CALCULATIONS. | S0305180 |
| H = HS | S0305190 |
| IF(ITYPE .GT. 0) GOTO 1095 | S0305200 |
| IF(ISW24) GOTO 1090 | S0305210 |
| IF(VS .LE. 0.0) GOTO 1095 | S0305220 |
| IF(DTH .GT. 0.0) GOTO 1040 | S0305230 |
| XP = XPLUME | S0305240 |
| IF(XBAR .LT. XPLUME) XP = XBAR | S0305250 |
| DHA = 3.*FM*XP*UBARI*UBARI*GAMJI | S0305260 |
| IF(.NOT.FZERO) DHA = DHA + 1.5*F*XP*XP*GAM1I*UBARI**3 | S0305270 |
| GOTO 1060 | S0305280 |
| 1040 IF(FZERO) GOTO 1070 | S0305290 |
| IF(XBAR .LT. XPLUME) GOTO 1050 | S0305300 |
| DHA = 6.*F*GAM2I*UBARI*SI | S0305310 |
| GOTO 1060 | S0305320 |
| 1050 XP1 = SS*XBAR*UBARI | S0305330 |
| DHA = 3.*FM*GAMJI*UBARI*SSI*SIN(XP1) + 3.*F*GAM2I*UBARI*SI* | S0305340 |
| 1 (1.-COS(XP1)) | S0305350 |

| | | |
|------|--|----------|
| 1060 | DHA = DHA** .33333333 | 50305360 |
| | GOTO 1090 | 50305370 |
| 1070 | DHA = 3.*FM*GAMJI*UBARI*SSI | 50305380 |
| | IF(XBAR .GE. XPLUME) GOTO 1080 | 50305390 |
| | XP1 = SS*XBAR*UBARI | 50305400 |
| | DHA = DHA*SIN(XP1) | 50305410 |
| 1080 | DHA = DHA** .33333333 | 50305420 |
| | DHA1 = 3.*VSD*UBARI | 50305430 |
| | IF(DHA .GT. DHA1) DHA = DHA1 | 50305440 |
| C | EFFECTIVE PLUME HEIGHT. | 50305450 |
| 1090 | H = HS + DHA | 50305460 |
| C | ADJUST H DUE TO TERRAIN | 50305470 |
| 1095 | IF(ISW(4).NE.1.OR.ISW(1).NE.1.OR.NVS.NE.0.OR.ITYPE.EQ.2) GOTO 1100 | 50305480 |
| | A1 = ZS - GRIDZ(IJ) | 50305490 |
| | IF(A1 .GT. 0.0) GOTO 1100 | 50305500 |
| | H = H + A1 | 50305510 |
| 1100 | CONTINUE | 50305520 |
| | IF(H .LE. HM) GOTO 1110 | 50305530 |
| | XMAX = XBAR | 50305540 |
| C | IF POLAR & NEXTR=2 & NO TERRAIN, SKIP RINGS FOR THIS RADIAL. | 50305550 |
| | IF(.NOT.POLAR .OR. NEXTR .NE. 2 .OR. ISW(4) .NE. 0) GOTO 920 | 50305560 |
| | NEXTR = 1 | 50305570 |
| | GOTO 920 | 50305580 |
| 1110 | XBARK = .001*XBAR | 50305590 |
| | XBARY = XBARK | 50305600 |
| | XBARZ = XBARK | 50305610 |
| C | CALL SIGMAZ TO COMPUTE EFFECTIVE DOWNWIND DISTANCE INDEX, IxDIST. | 50305620 |
| | I1 = 3 | 50305630 |
| | IF(ITYPE .EQ. 0 .AND. .NOT.WAKE) I1 = 4 | 50305640 |
| | CALL SIGMAZ(XBARK,SIGZ,BBAR,ISTUM2,IxDIST,I1,SASIGZ,SBSIGZ, | 50305650 |
| | 1 SOURCE(B2,IS)) | 50305660 |
| C | CALCULATE LATERAL AND VERTICAL SIGMAS. | 50305670 |
| | SGZDON = .FALSE. | 50305680 |
| | IF(ITYPE .GT. 0) GOTO 1130 | 50305690 |
| 1120 | IF(.NOT.WAKE) GOTO 1190 | 50305700 |
| | A1 = HB | 50305710 |
| | IF(HW .LT. HB) A1 = HW | 50305720 |
| | IF(XBAR .GE. 10.*A1) GOTO 1130 | 50305730 |
| | SGZDON = .TRUE. | 50305740 |
| | SIGZ = .7*A1 + .067*(XBAR-3.*A1) | 50305750 |
| | IF(ISTUM2 .GT. 2) GOTO 1140 | 50305760 |
| | A3 = XBARK + SOURCE(IxDIST+81,IS) | 50305770 |
| | CALL SIGMAZ(A3,A2,BBAR,ISTUM2,IxDIST,1,SASIGZ,SBSIGZ,DUMMY) | 50305780 |
| | SIGZ = AMAX1(SIGZ,A2) | 50305790 |
| | GOTO 1140 | 50305800 |
| 1130 | XBARZ = XBARK + SOURCE(IxDIST+81,IS) | 50305810 |
| 1140 | IF(ITYPE .GT. 0) GOTO 1180 | 50305820 |
| | IF(DHAWAK .GT. 1.2*HB) GOTO 1190 | 50305830 |
| | IF(XBAR .GE. 10.*A1) GOTO 1180 | 50305840 |
| | IF(HW .LE. 5.*HB) GOTO 1160 | 50305850 |
| | IF(IWAK .EQ. 1) GOTO 1150 | 50305860 |
| | SIGY = .35*HB + .067*(XBAR-3.*HB) | 50305870 |
| | GOTO 1170 | 50305880 |
| 1150 | SIGY = 1.75*HB + .067*(XBAR - 3.*HB) | 50305890 |
| | GOTO 1170 | 50305900 |
| 1160 | SIGY = .35*HW + .067*(XBAR - 3.*A1) | 50305910 |
| 1170 | IF(ISTUM2 .GT. 2) GOTO 1200 | 50305920 |
| | A3 = XBARK + XY | 50305930 |
| | TH = .017453293*(SC(ISTUM2)-SD(ISTUM2)*ALOG(A3)) | 50305940 |
| | A2 = 465.11628*A3*TAN(TH) | 50305950 |
| | SIGY = AMAX1(SIGY,A2) | 50305960 |
| | GOTO 1200 | 50305970 |
| 1180 | XBARY = XBARK + XY | 50305980 |
| 1190 | TH = .017453293*(SC(ISTUM2)-SD(ISTUM2)*ALOG(XBARY)) | 50305990 |
| | SIGY = 465.11628*XBARY*TAN(TH) | 50306000 |
| 1200 | SIGYI = 1./SIGY | 50306010 |
| | IF(ITYPE .EQ. 2) GOTO 1210 | 50306020 |
| | A1 = .5*(YBAR*SIGYI)**2 | 50306030 |
| | IF(A1 .GT. 50.0) GOTO 920 | 50306040 |

| | | |
|------|--|----------|
| 1210 | IF(SGZDON) GOTO 1220 | S0306050 |
| | CALL SIGMAZ(XBARZ,SIGZ,BBAR,ISTUM2,IXDIST,1,SASIGZ,SBSIGZ,DUMMY) | S0306060 |
| | IF(SIGZ .GT. 5000. .AND. NVS .EQ. 0) SIGZ = 5000. | S0306070 |
| 1220 | SIGZI = 1./SIGZ | S0306080 |
| C | CALCULATE DECAY TERM. | S0306090 |
| | XBARU = XBAR*UBARI | S0306100 |
| | DECAYT = 1.0 | S0306110 |
| | IF(DECAY(IHR) .GT. 0.0) DECAYT = EXP(-DECAY(IHR)*XBARU) | S0306120 |
| C | CHECK CONCENTRATION-DEPOSITION SWITCH. | S0306130 |
| | IF(ISW(1) .EQ. 2) GOTO 1320 | S0306140 |
| C | CONCENTRATION EQUATION. | S0306150 |
| C | CHECK FOR PARTICULATES WITH SETTLING VELOCITIES. | S0306160 |
| | IF(NVS .GT. 0) GOTO 1260 | S0306170 |
| | IF(SIGZ*HMI .LT. 1.6) GOTO 1240 | S0306180 |
| C | CALCULATE "BOX-MODEL" CONCENTRATION | S0306190 |
| | IF(ITYPE .EQ. 2) GOTO 1230 | S0306200 |
| | CHI = QTK*UBARI*SIGYI*HMI*EXP(-A1)*DECAYT*.39894228 | S0306210 |
| | GOTO 1390 | S0306220 |
| 1230 | A3 = .70710678*SIGYI | S0306230 |
| | A4 = (XOP+YBAR)*A3 | S0306240 |
| | A5 = -(XOP-YBAR)*A3 | S0306250 |
| | A3 = ERFX(A4,A5) | S0306260 |
| | CHI = QTK*XO*HMI*UBARI*A3*.5*DECAYT | S0306270 |
| | GOTO 1390 | S0306280 |
| C | CALCULATE VERTICAL TERM FOR ALL SOURCE TYPES W/O PARTICLE | S0306290 |
| C | SETTLING VELOCITIES. | S0306300 |
| 1240 | V = 0.0 | S0306310 |
| | A2 = 0.0 | S0306320 |
| 1250 | VL = V | S0306330 |
| | A2 = A2 + 2.0 | S0306340 |
| | HMA2 = A2*HM | S0306350 |
| | A3 = (HMA2-H)*SIGZI | S0306360 |
| | A4 = (HMA2+H)*SIGZI | S0306370 |
| | A3 = -.5*A3*A3 | S0306380 |
| | A4 = -.5*A4*A4 | S0306390 |
| | A5 = 0.0 | S0306400 |
| | IF(A3 .GT. -50.) A5 = EXP(A3) | S0306410 |
| | A6 = 0.0 | S0306420 |
| | IF(A4 .GT. -50.) A6 = EXP(A4) | S0306430 |
| | V = V + A5 + A6 | S0306440 |
| | IF(ABS(V-VL) .GT. 1.E-8) GOTO 1250 | S0306450 |
| | A2 = H*SIGZI | S0306460 |
| | V = EXP(-.5*A2*A2) + V | S0306470 |
| | GOTO 1300 | S0306480 |
| C | CALCULATE VERTICAL TERM FOR ALL SOURCE TYPES WITH SETTLING | S0306490 |
| C | VELOCITIES. | S0306500 |
| 1260 | V = 0.0 | S0306510 |
| | DO 1290 K=1,NVS | S0306515 |
| | SUM = 0.0 | S0306520 |
| | SUM1 = 0.0 | S0306530 |
| | JP70 = K + 35 | S0306550 |
| | XBARUV = SOURCE(JP70,IS)*XBARU | S0306560 |
| | JP70 = K + 55 | S0306570 |
| | GAMMA = SOURCE(JP70,IS) | S0306580 |
| | JP70 = K + 15 | S0306590 |
| | PHI = SOURCE(JP70,IS) | S0306600 |
| | A2 = 0.0 | S0306610 |
| | A3 = (-H+XBARUV)*SIGZI | S0306620 |
| | A5 = -.5*A3*A3 | S0306630 |
| | IF(A5 .GT. -50.) SUM = EXP(A5) | S0306640 |
| | IF(GAMMA .LE. 0.0) GOTO 1270 | S0306650 |
| | A4 = (H - XBARUV)*SIGZI | S0306660 |
| | A5 = -.5*A4*A4 | S0306670 |
| | IF(A5 .GT. -50.) SUM = SUM + EXP(A5)*GAMMA | S0306680 |
| | CALL VERT(H,HM,XBARUV,SIGZI,GAMMA,A2,SUM) | S0306690 |
| 1270 | A2 = 2.0 | S0306700 |

```

      A3 = (HM+HM-H+XBARUV)*SIGZI                      S0306710
      A5 = -.5*A3*A3                                    S0306720
      IF(A5 .GT. -50.) SUM1 = EXP(A5)                  S0306730
C*****
C   THE FOLLOWING LINE OF CODE ALTERED TO COMPUTE ESCAPE FRACTION
      IF(GAMMA .LE. 0.0) GOTO 1280                      S0306740
C*****
      A4 = (HM+HM-H-XBARUV)*SIGZI                      S0306750
      A5 = -.5*A4*A4                                    S0306760
      IF(A5 .GT. -50.) SUM1 = SUM1 + EXP(A5)*GAMMA      S0306770
      CALL VERT(H,HM,XBARUV,SIGZI,GAMMA,A2,SUM1)        S0306780
C*****
C   THE FOLLOWING LINES OF CODE ALTERED/ADDED TO COMPUTE ESCAPE FRACTION
      1280 CALL ESCAPE(ZREF,ZO,TA,IST,UBAR,UD(15,K),SOURCE(14,IS),ESCP) TRC 003
      V = V + .5*PHI*(SUM+SUM1)*ESCP                    S0306790
C*****
      1290 CONTINUE                                     S0306800
C   CALCULATE CONCENTRATION FOR ALL SOURCE TYPES WITH VERTICAL TERM.
      1300 IF(ITYPE .EQ. 2) GOTO 1310                   S0306810
      CHI = QTK*UBARI*SIGYI*SIGZI*EXP(-A1)*V*DECAYT*.31830989 S0306820
      GOTO 1390                                          S0306830
      1310 A3 = .70710678*SIGYI                          S0306840
      A4 = (XOP+YBAR)*A3                                S0306850
      A5 = -(XOP-YBAR)*A3                                S0306860
      A3 = ERFX(A4,A5)                                   S0306870
      CHI = QTK*XO*SIGZI*UBARI*V*DECAYT*A3*.39894228   S0306880
      GOTO 1390                                          S0306890
C   BEGIN DEPOSITION CALCULATIONS.
      1320 IF(NVS .GT. 0) GOTO 1330                     S0306900
      IF(LINE .EQ. 0) WRITE(IO,9011)                   S0306910
      WRITE(IO,9013) NSQ                                S0306920
      STOP                                              S0306930
C   CALL SIGMAZ TO COMPUTE AVERAGE EFFECTIVE DOWNWIND DISTANCE, BBAR.
      1330 CALL SIGMAZ(XBARZ,SIGZ,BBAR,ISTUM2,IXDIST,2,SASIGZ,SBSIGZ,DUMMY) S0306940
      V = 0.0                                           S0306950
      DO 1370 K = 1,NVS                                S0306960
      JP70 = K + 55                                     S0306970
      GAMMA = SOURCE(JP70,IS)                           S0306980
      JP70 = K + 15                                     S0306990
      PHI = SOURCE(JP70,IS)                             S0307000
      JP70 = K + 35                                     S0307010
      XBARUV = XBARU*SOURCE(JP70,IS)                   S0307020
      A5 = (1.-BBAR)*XBARUV                             S0307030
      GAM1 = 1.0                                         S0307040
      GAM2 = GAMMA                                       S0307050
      A2 = 0.0                                           S0307060
      SUM = 0.0                                          S0307070
      1340 SUML = SUM                                    S0307080
      A2 = A2 + 2.                                       S0307090
      HMA2 = A2*HM                                       S0307100
      A3 = (HMA2-H+XBARUV)*SIGZI                        S0307110
      A6 = 0.0                                           S0307120
      A3 = -.5*A3*A3                                     S0307130
      IF(A3 .GT. -50.) A6 = EXP(A3)*GAM1*(BBAR*(HMA2-H)-A5) S0307140
      IF(GAMMA .GT. 0.0) GOTO 1350                      S0307150
      SUM = A6                                           S0307160
      GOTO 1360                                          S0307170
      1350 A4 = (HMA2+H-XBARUV)*SIGZI                   S0307180
      A7 = 0.0                                           S0307190
      A4 = -.5*A4*A4                                     S0307200
      IF(A4 .GT. -50.) A7 = EXP(A4)*GAM2*(BBAR*(HMA2+H)+A5) S0307210
      SUM = SUM + A6 + A7                               S0307220
      IF(ABS(SUM-SUML) .LT. 1.E-8) GOTO 1360            S0307230
      GAM1 = GAM2                                         S0307240
      GAM2 = GAM2*GAMMA                                  S0307250
      GOTO 1340                                          S0307260
      1360 A3 = (H-XBARUV)*SIGZI                        S0307270
      A7 = -.5*A3*A3                                     S0307280
      A3 = 0.0                                           S0307290

```

```

      IF(A7 .GT. -50.) A3 = (BBAR*H + AS)*EXP(A7)
C*****
C THE FOLLOWING LINES OF CODE ALTERED/ ADDED TO COMPUTE ESCAPE FRACTION
      CALL ESCAPE(ZREF,ZO,TA,IST,UBAR,UD(15,K),SOURCE(14,15),ESCP)
      V = V + (1.-GAMMA)*PHI*(A3 + SUM)*ESCP
C*****
1370 CONTINUE
C FINISH DEPOSITION CALCULATIONS.
      IF(ITYPE .EQ. 2) GOTO 1380
      CHI = QTK*SIGYI*SIGZI/XBAR*EXP(-A1)*DECAYT*V*.15915494
      GO TO 1390
1380 CHI = QTK*XO*SIGZI/XBAR*DECAYT*V*ERFX((XOP+YBAR)*SIGYI*.70710678
1 ,-(XOP-YBAR)*SIGYI*.70710678)*.39894228
C STORE CONCENTRATION OR DEPOSITION INTO CALC ARRAY. GO GET
C NEXT RECEPTOR.
1390 CALC(IJ) = CHI
      IJP = IJ + NPNTS
      CALC(IJP) = CALC(IJP) + CHI
      GOTO 920
1400 CONTINUE
      IF(NGROUP .EQ. 0) GOTO 1480
      NSUM = 0
      DO 1470 IG = 1,NGROUP
      NS = NSOGRP(IG)
      DO 1460 N = 1,NS
      NNSO = IDSOR(NSUM+1)
      IF(NNSO .GT. 0) GOTO 1410
      NNSO = -NNSO
      MNSO = IDSOR(NSUM) + 1
      IF(NSO .LT. MNSO .OR. NSO .GT. NNSO) GOTO 1460
      GOTO 1420
1410 IF(NNSO .NE. NSO) GOTO 1460
C LOAD THIS SOURCE CHI INTO APPROPRIATE CHIAV ARRAYS.
1420 IAVG = 0
      DO 1440 I = 1,8
      IF(ISW(I+6) .NE. 1) GOTO 1440
      I1 = NPNTS*((IG-1)*NAVG + IAVG)
      IAVG = IAVG + 1
      DO 1430 J = 1,NPNTS
      IP7 = I1 + J
1430 CHIAV(IP7) = CHIAV(IP7) + CALC(J)
1440 CONTINUE
      IF(ISW(15) .NE. 1) GOTO 1460
C LOAD SOURCE CHI FOR ANNUAL TABLE FOR THIS SOURCE GROUP.
      I2 = (IG-1)*NPNTS
      DO 1450 J = 1,NPNTS
      IP7 = I2 + J
1450 CHIAN(IP7) = CHIAN(IP7) + CALC(J)
1460 NSUM = NSUM + 1
1470 CONTINUE
C GET NEXT SOURCE
1480 CONTINUE
1490 IF(NGROUP .GT. 0) GOTO 1520
C LOAD ALL SOURCE CHI'S INTO APPROPRIATE CHIAV ARRAYS.
      IAVG = 0
      DO 1510 I = 1,8
      IF(ISW(I+6) .NE. 1) GOTO 1510
      IP6 = IAVG*NPNTS
      IAVG = IAVG + 1
      DO 1500 J = 1,NPNTS
      I2 = IP6 + J
      IP7 = NPNTS + J
1500 CHIAV(I2) = CHIAV(I2) + CALC(IP7)
1510 CONTINUE
C
C BEGIN LOOP OVER ALL SOURCE GROUPS.
C
1520 NSUM = 1

```

| | |
|---|----------|
| IG = 1 | 50307960 |
| IF(NGROUP .LE. 0) GOTO 1540 | 50307970 |
| 1530 NS = NSGRF(IG) | 50307980 |
| ITO = NSUM + NS - 1 | 50307990 |
| C | 50308000 |
| C BEGIN LOOP OVER ALL TIME PERIODS FOR THIS HOUR. | 50308010 |
| C | 50308020 |
| 1540 IAVG = 0 | 50308030 |
| DO 1640 I = 1,8 | 50308040 |
| C FOR DAILY TABLES COMPUTE AVERAGES, WRITE TO TAPE & PRINT. | 50308050 |
| IF(ISW(I+6) .NE. 1) GOTO 1640 | 50308060 |
| IAVG = IAVG + 1 | 50308070 |
| IF(.NOT. IFLAG(I)) GOTO 1640 | 50308080 |
| I1 = NPNTS*((IG-1)*NAVG + IAVG - 1) | 50308090 |
| IF(KAVG(I) .EQ. 1.OR. ISW(1) .EQ. 2) GOTO 1560 | 50308100 |
| A1 = 1./KAVG(I) | 50308110 |
| DO 1550 J = 1,NPNTS | 50308120 |
| IP7 = I1 + J | 50308130 |
| 1550 CHIAV(IP7) = CHIAV(IP7)*A1 | 50308140 |
| 1560 IF(ISW(5) .EQ. 1) WRITE(ITAP) IHR,JDY,IG,(CHIAV(I1+J),J=1,NPNTS) | 50308150 |
| IF(IPERD .GT. 0 .AND. IPERD .NE. IHR/KAVG(I)) GOTO 1570 | 50308160 |
| IF(ISW(16) .NE. 1) GOTO 1570 | 50308170 |
| IP7 = I1 + 1 | 50308180 |
| CALL DYOUT(GRIDX,GRIDY,XDIS,YDIS,CHIAV(IP7),KAVG(I),JDY,IHR,1, | 50308190 |
| 1 NSUM,ITO,IG) | 50308200 |
| C CALCULATE HIGHEST & SECOND HIGHEST TABLES IF DESIRED. | 50308210 |
| 1570 IF(ISW(17) .NE. 1) GOTO 1600 | 50308220 |
| NPNTS2 = NPNTS + NPNTS | 50308230 |
| NPNTS3 = NPNTS2 + NPNTS | 50308240 |
| IP4 = 4*I1 | 50308250 |
| C 512 = 2**9 SHIFT HOUR VALUE & STORE WITH DAY. | 50308260 |
| IHRT5 = 512*IHR | 50308270 |
| DO 1590 J = 1,NPNTS | 50308280 |
| JP4 = IP4 + J | 50308290 |
| JP5 = I1 + J | 50308300 |
| JP2 = JP4 + NPNTS2 | 50308310 |
| JP3 = JP4 + NPNTS3 | 50308320 |
| IF(CHIMAX(JP4) .GE. CHIAV(JP5)) GOTO 1580 | 50308330 |
| JP1 = JP4 + NPNTS | 50308340 |
| CHIMAX(JP2) = CHIMAX(JP4) | 50308350 |
| CHIMAX(JP4) = CHIAV(JP5) | 50308360 |
| CHIMAX(JP3) = CHIMAX(JP1) | 50308370 |
| CHIMAX(JP1) = JDY + IHRT5 | 50308380 |
| GOTO 1590 | 50308390 |
| 1580 IF(CHIMAX(JP2) .GE. CHIAV(JP5)) GOTO 1590 | 50308400 |
| CHIMAX(JP2) = CHIAV(JP5) | 50308410 |
| CHIMAX(JP3) = JDY + IHRT5 | 50308420 |
| 1590 CONTINUE | 50308430 |
| C CALCULATE 50 HIGHEST CONCENTRATIONS(DEPOSITIONS). | 50308440 |
| 1600 IF(ISW(18) .NE. 1) GOTO 1610 | 50308450 |
| IP7 = (IG-1)*NAVG | 50308460 |
| IP6 = I1 + 1 | 50308470 |
| IP7 = IP7 + IAVG | 50308480 |
| CALL MAX50(CHIAV(IP6),CHI50(1,IP7),IPNT(1,IP7),ICOUNT(IP7), | 50308490 |
| 1 IHR,JDY) | 50308500 |
| C CLEAR "CHIAV" ARRAY FOR THIS SOURCE GROUP & APPROPRIATE TIME | 50308510 |
| C PERIOD. | 50308520 |
| 1610 DO 1620 J = 1,NPNTS | 50308530 |
| 1620 CHIAV(I1+J) = 0.0 | 50308540 |
| 1630 CONTINUE | 50308550 |
| 1640 CONTINUE | 50308560 |
| IG = IG + 1 | 50308570 |
| IF(IG .GT. NGROUP) GOTO 1650 | 50308580 |
| NSUM = NSUM + NS | 50308590 |
| GOTO 1530 | 50308600 |
| C STORE ANNUAL AVERAGE. | 50308610 |
| 1650 IF(ISW(15) .NE. 1 .OR. NGROUP .GT. 0) GOTO 1670 | 50308620 |

| | |
|---|----------|
| DO 1660 I = 1, NPNTS | S0308630 |
| IP6 = I + NPNTS | S0308640 |
| 1660 CHIAN(I) = CHIAN(I) + CALC(IP6) | S0308650 |
| C END HOURLY LOOP. | S0308660 |
| 1670 CONTINUE | S0308670 |
| C CLEAR DAILY AVERAGES ARRAY BEFORE GOING TO NEXT DAY. | S0308680 |
| NPNTS2 = NAVG*NPNTS | S0308690 |
| IF(NGROUP .GT. 0) NPNTS2 = NPNTS2*NGROUP | S0308700 |
| DO 1680 I = 1, NPNTS2 | S0308710 |
| 1680 CHIAV(I) = 0.0 | S0308720 |
| NTDAY = NTDAY + 1 | S0308730 |
| 1690 CONTINUE | S0308740 |
| C END OF MET DATA. | S0308750 |
| NDAYS = NTDAY | S0308760 |
| NSUM = 1 | S0308770 |
| IG = 1 | S0308780 |
| IF(NGROUP .LE. 0) GOTO 1710 | S0308790 |
| 1700 NS = NSOGRP(IG) | S0308800 |
| ITD = NSUM + NS - 1 | S0308810 |
| C PRINT "N"-DAY TABLE | S0308820 |
| 1710 IF(ISW(15) .NE. 1) GOTO 1730 | S0308830 |
| NHTOT = NTDAY*24 | S0308840 |
| IF(ISW(19) .NE. 1) NHTOT = NDAYS*NHOURS | S0308850 |
| HTOT = 1./FLOAT(NHTOT) | S0308860 |
| IF(ISW(1) .EQ. 2) HTOT = 1.0 | S0308870 |
| I1 = (IG-1)*NPNTS + 1 | S0308880 |
| I2 = I1 + NPNTS - 1 | S0308890 |
| DO 1720 I = I1, I2 | S0308900 |
| 1720 CHIAN(I) = CHIAN(I)*HTOT | S0308910 |
| CALL DYOUT(GRIDX, GRIDY, XDIS, YDIS, CHIAN(I1), 75, IDY, IHR, 1, NSUM, ITD, | S0308920 |
| 1 IG) | S0308930 |
| IF(ISW(5) .EQ. 1) WRITE(ITAP) NHOURS, NTDAY, NGROUP, (CHIAN(I), | S0308940 |
| 1 I=I1, I2) | S0308950 |
| C | S0308960 |
| C BEGIN LOOP OVER TIME PERIODS. | S0308970 |
| 1730 IAVG = 0 | S0308980 |
| DO 1750 I = 1, 8 | S0308990 |
| IF(ISW(I+6) .NE. 1) GOTO 1750 | S0309000 |
| IAVG = IAVG + 1 | S0309010 |
| C PRINT HIGHEST & SECOND HIGHEST CONCENTRATION(DEPOSITION) TABLES. | S0309020 |
| IF(ISW(17) .NE. 1) GOTO 1740 | S0309030 |
| IP6 = 4*NPNTS*((IG-1)*NAVG + IAVG - 1) + 1 | S0309040 |
| CALL DYOUT(GRIDX, GRIDY, XDIS, YDIS, CHIMAX(IP6), KAVG(I), IDY, IHR, 2, | S0309050 |
| 1 NSUM, ITD, IG) | S0309060 |
| IP6 = IP6 + NPNTS + NPNTS | S0309070 |
| CALL DYOUT(GRIDX, GRIDY, XDIS, YDIS, CHIMAX(IP6), KAVG(I), IDY, IHR, 3, | S0309080 |
| 1 NSUM, ITD, IG) | S0309090 |
| C PRINT MAXIMUM 50 | S0309100 |
| 1740 IF(ISW(18) .NE. 1) GOTO 1750 | S0309110 |
| IP6 = (IG-1)*NAVG + IAVG | S0309120 |
| CALL MAXOT(CHISO(1, IP6), GRIDX, GRIDY, XDIS, YDIS, IFNT(1, IP6), | S0309130 |
| 1 ICOUNT(IP6), KAVG(I), NSUM, ITD, IG) | S0309140 |
| 1750 CONTINUE | S0309150 |
| IG = IG + 1 | S0309160 |
| IF(IG .GT. NGROUP) GOTO 1760 | S0309170 |
| NSUM = NSUM + NS | S0309180 |
| GOTO 1700 | S0309190 |
| 1760 IF(ISW(5) .NE. 1) GOTO 1770 | S0309200 |
| ENDFILE ITAP | S0309210 |
| ENDFILE ITAP | S0309220 |
| 1770 RETURN | S0309230 |
| 9001 FORMAT('1', 121X, 9HMET. DATA/122X, 3HDAY, 14) | S0309240 |
| 9002 FORMAT(31X, 69H* SOURCE-RECEPTOR COMBINATIONS LESS THAN 100 METERS | S0309250 |
| 1OR THREE BUILDING/34X, 25HHEIGHTS IN DISTANCE. NO ,6A4, | S0309260 |
| 2 16H IS CALCULATED *///46X, 25H- RECEPTOR LOCATION - -/51X, | S0309270 |
| 3 1HX, 8X, 10HY (METERS), 10X, 8HDISTANCE/31X, 6HSOURCE, 11X, | S0309280 |
| 4 23HOR RANGE OR DIRECTION, 9X, 7HBETWEEN/31X, 6HNUMBER, 11X, | S0309290 |
| 5 21H(METERS) (DEGREES), 11X, 8H(METERS)/30X, 30(2H-)/) | S0309300 |

```

9003 FORMAT(31X,I5,8X,2F13.1,7X,F10.2) S0309310
9004 FORMAT(I8,5F8.0,I8,2F8.0) S0309320
9005 FORMAT(32X,4H***,15A4,4H ***/) S0309330
9006 FORMAT(/ /68X,10HPOT. TEMP./29X,4HFLOW,7X,15HWIND MIXING,13X, S0309340
1 8HGRADIENT,17X,16HWIND DECAY/28X,16HVECTOR SPEED,5X, S0309350
264HHEIGHT TEMP. (DEG. K STABILITY PROFILE COEFFICIENS0309360
3T/20X,92HHOUR (DEGREES) (MPS) (METERS) (DEG. K) PER METERS0309370
4) CATEGORY EXPONENT (PER SEC)/19X,47(2H -)/) S0309380
9007 FORMAT(49X,29H* METEOROLOGICAL DATA FOR DAY,14,2H *) S0309390
9008 FORMAT(21X,I2,F11.1,F10.2,F11.1,F9.1,F12.4,I9,F13.4,E15.6) S0309400
9009 FORMAT(/ /47X,6HRANDOM/38X,2(4HFLOW,6X),16H WIND MIXING,15X, S0309410
1 19HINPUT ADJUSTED/37X,2(6HVECTOR,4X),27H SPEED HEIGHT S0309420
2 TEMP.,2(3X,9HSTABILITY)/29X,6HHOUR ,2(10H (DEGREES)),3X, S0309430
3 30H(MPS) (METERS) (DEG. K) ,2(8HCATEGORY,4X)/27X,40(2H -)/) S0309440
9010 FORMAT(30X,I2,F11.1,F10.1,F10.2,F11.1,F9.1,I9,I12) S0309450
9011 FORMAT('1') S0309460
9012 FORMAT(10X,46H*** ERROR *** PHYSICAL STACK HEIGHT OF SOURCE,15/ S0309470
1 10X,52HIS LOWER THAN THE TERRAIN ELEVATION FOR THE RECEPTOR/10X, S0309480
1 12HLOCATED AT (,F 9.1,1H,,F 9.1,19H). RUN TERMINATED.) S0309490
9013 FORMAT(10X,25H***ERROR*** SOURCE NUMBER,16,41H HAS NO GRAVITATIONAS0309500
1L SETTLING CATEGORIES,/10X,52HWITH WHICH TO CALCULATE DEPOSITION. S0309510
2 RUN TERMINATED.) S0309520
END S0309530

```

```

C      SUBROUTINE INCHK(CALC,CHIAV,CHIAN,GRIDX,GRIDY,XDIS,YDIS,GRIDZ,      S0200010
1 CHIMAX,CHISO,IPNT,ICOUNT,SOURCE)      S0200020
C      SUBROUTINE INCHK (VERSION 80339), PART OF ISCST.
C      THIS ROUTINE READS THE REST OF THE INPUT VARIABLES AND PROVIDES      S0200030
C      DEFAULT VALUES IF REQUIRED. ALSO TABLES LISTING THE INPUT VARI-      S0200040
C      ABLES ARE CONTROLLED BY THIS ROUTINE.      S0200050
C      S0200060
C*****
C THE FOLLOWING LINES OF CODE ALTERED TO RUN ON IBM-PC
CHARACTER*1 ATHRUF
CHARACTER*4 TITLE,METER,SEASON,IBLANK,IQUN,ICHUIN,CONDEP
C*****
LOGICAL DONE      S0200070
INTEGER WAKE,QFLG,QFLGS      S0200080
COMMON /LOGIX/ ISW(40),NSOURC,NXPNTS,NYPNTS,NXWYPT,NGROUP,      S0200090
1 NSOGRP(150),IDSOR(200),IPERD,NPNTS,NAVG,NHOURS,NDAYS,NTDAY,LINE,      S0200100
2 IO,IN,TITLE(15),IQUN(3),ICHUIN(7),CONDEP(6),LIMIT,MIMIT      S0200110
COMMON /MET/ IDAY(366),ISTAB(24),AWS(24),TEMP(24),AFV(24),      S0200120
1 AFVR(24),HLH(24,2),P(24),DTHDZ(24),DECAY(24),PDEF(6,6),      S0200130
2 DTHDEF(6,6),GAM1I,GAM2I,ZR,DDECAY,IMET,ITAP,TK,UCATS(5)      S0200140
C*****
C THE FOLLOWING LINE OF CODE ADDED TO COMPUTE ESCAPE FRACTION
COMMON/DEPO/UD(200,20),ZREF,ZO      TRC 005
C*****
DIMENSION GRIDX(1),GRIDY(1),XDIS(1),YDIS(1),GRIDZ(1),SOURCE(215,1) S0200150
DIMENSION METER(2),SEASON(2,4),ATHRUF(6),UCTDEF(5)      S0200160
EQUIVALENCE (ISW(23),QFLGS)      S0200170
DATA ATHRUF / 'A','B','C','D','E','F' /      S0200180
DATA UCTDEF / 1.54,3.09,5.14,8.23,10.8 /      S0200190
DATA METER / '(MET','ERS)' /      S0200200
DATA SEASON / 'WINT','ER ','SPRI','NG ','SUMM','ER ','AUTU',      S0200210
1 'MN ' /      S0200220
DATA IBLANK / ' ' /      S0200230
C CHECK "ISW" AND SET DEFAULT VALUES.      S0200240
C DEFAULT TO CONCENTRATION ON RECTANGULAR GRID & DISCRETE POINTS.      S0200250
10 IF(ISW(1) .LE. 0) ISW(1) = 1      S0200260
IF(ISW(2) .LE. 0) ISW(2) = 1      S0200270
IF(ISW(3) .LE. 0) ISW(3) = 1      S0200280
C DEFAULT CARD MET PARAMETERS.      S0200290
IF(NDAYS .LE. 0) NDAYS = 1      S0200300
C DEFAULT TO PRE-PROCESSED MET DATA WITH RURAL OPTION.      S0200310
IF(ISW(19) .LE. 0) ISW(19) = 1      S0200320
IF(ISW(19) .EQ. 2) ISW(20) = 0      S0200330
C DEFAULT TO PROGRAM'S WIND PROFILE EXPONENT AND VERTICAL POTENTIAL      S0200340
C TEMPERATURE GRADIENT VALUES.      S0200350
IF(ISW(21) .LT. 1) ISW(21) = 1      S0200360
IF(ISW(22) .LT. 1) ISW(22) = 1      S0200370
C DEFAULT TO FINAL PLUME RISE FOR ALL RECEPTORS.      S0200380
IF(ISW(24) .LT. 1) ISW(24) = 1      S0200390
C DEFAULT TO NO STACK DOWNWASH ADJUSTMENT.      S0200400
IF(ISW(25) .LT. 1) ISW(25) = 1      S0200410
C READ GRID THEN DISCRETE POINTS      S0200420
IF(NXPNTS .EQ. 0 .OR. NYPNTS .EQ. 0) GOTO 70      S0200430
IF(ISW(2) .NE. 3) READ(IN,9020) (GRIDX(I),I=1,NXPNTS)      S0200440
IF(ISW(2) .LT. 3) READ(IN,9020) (GRIDY(I),I=1,NYPNTS)      S0200450
IF(ISW(2) .NE. 3) GOTO 30      S0200460
C GENERATE GRID, THEN READ DISCRETE POINTS.      S0200470
READ(IN,9020) GRIDX(1),DX      S0200480
I2 = NXPNTS - 1      S0200490
DO 20 I = 1,I2      S0200500
I1 = I + 1      S0200510
20 GRIDX(I1) = GRIDX(I) + DX      S0200520
30 IF(ISW(2) .LT. 3) GOTO 50      S0200530
READ(IN,9020) GRIDY(1),DY      S0200540
I2 = NYPNTS - 1      S0200550
DO 40 I = 1,I2      S0200560
I1 = I + 1      S0200570

```

| | | |
|-----|--|----------|
| 40 | GRIDY(I1) = GRIDY(I) + DY | S0200580 |
| 50 | IF(ISW(2) .NE. 2 .AND. ISW(2) .NE. 4) GOTO 70 | S0200590 |
| C | SET DEFAULT DIRECTION VALUES. | S0200600 |
| | DO 60 I = 1,NYPNTS | S0200610 |
| 60 | IF(GRIDY(I) .LE. 0.0 .OR. GRIDY(I) .GT. 360.0) GRIDY(I) = 360.0 | S0200620 |
| 70 | IF(NXWYPT .EQ. 0) GOTO 90 | S0200630 |
| | READ(IN,9020) (XDIS(I),I=1,NXWYPT) | S0200640 |
| | READ(IN,9020) (YDIS(I),I=1,NXWYPT) | S0200650 |
| | IF(ISW(3) .NE. 2) GOTO 90 | S0200660 |
| C | SET DEFAULT DIRECTION VALUES. | S0200670 |
| | DO 80 I = 1,NXWYPT | S0200680 |
| 80 | IF(YDIS(I) .LE. 0.0 .OR. YDIS(I) .GT. 360.0) YDIS(I) = 360.0 | S0200690 |
| C | CHECK FOR TERRAIN HEIGHTS | S0200700 |
| 90 | IF(ISW(4) .NE. 1) GOTO 125 | S0200710 |
| | IF(NXPNTS .EQ. 0 .OR. NYPNTS .EQ. 0) GOTO 110 | S0200720 |
| C | READ TERRAIN FOR GRID AND DISCRETE REC"S; READ NO OF SOURCE GROUPS | S0200730 |
| | DO 100 J = 1,NYPNTS | S0200740 |
| | I1 = (J-1)*NXPNTS | S0200750 |
| | I2 = I1 + NXPNTS | S0200760 |
| | I1 = I1 + 1 | S0200770 |
| 100 | READ(IN,9020) (GRIDZ(I),I=I1,I2) | S0200780 |
| 110 | IF(NXWYPT .EQ. 0) GOTO 120 | S0200790 |
| | I1 = NXPNTS*NYPNTS + 1 | S0200800 |
| | READ(IN,9020) (GRIDZ(I),I=I1,NPNTS) | S0200810 |
| 120 | DO 121 I=1,NPNTS | S0200820 |
| 121 | GRIDZ(I) = GRIDZ(I) * .3048006 | S0200830 |
| 125 | IF(NGROUP .EQ. 0) GOTO 140 | S0200840 |
| | READ(IN,9023) (NSOGRP(I),I=1,NGROUP) | S0200850 |
| | I1 = 0 | S0200860 |
| | DO 130 I = 1,NGROUP | S0200870 |
| 130 | I1 = I1 + NSOGRP(I) | S0200880 |
| | READ(IN,9024) (IDSOR(I),I=1,I1) | S0200890 |
| C | DEFAULT OR READ WIND PROFILE EXPONENTS, VERTICAL POTENTIAL | S0200900 |
| C | TEMPERATURE GRADIENTS. | S0200910 |
| 140 | IF(ISW(21) .NE. 2) GOTO 160 | S0200920 |
| | DO 150 J = 1,6 | S0200930 |
| 150 | READ(IN,9020) (PDEF(I,J),I=1,6) | S0200940 |
| 160 | IF(ISW(22) .NE. 2) GOTO 180 | S0200950 |
| | DO 170 J = 1,6 | S0200960 |
| 170 | READ(IN,9020) (DTHDEF(I,J),I=1,6) | S0200970 |
| C | ENTER QFLGS AND WIND SPEED CATEGORIES. | S0200980 |
| 180 | READ(IN,9020) ZR, (UCATS(I),I=1,5) | S0200990 |
| | DO 190 I = 1,5 | S0201000 |
| | IF(UCATS(I) .GT. 0.0) GOTO 190 | S0201010 |
| | UCATS(I) = UCTDEF(I) | S0201020 |
| 190 | CONTINUE | S0201030 |
| C | READ GENERAL INPUT VARIABLES & SET DEFAULT VALUES. | S0201040 |
| 200 | READ(IN,9021) TK,BETA1,BETA2,DDECAY, (IQUN(I),I=1,3), | S0201050 |
| | 1 (ICHIUN(I),I=1,7),IMET,ITAP | S0201060 |
| | IF(TK .LE. 0.0 .AND. ISW(1) .EQ. 1) TK = 1.E6 | S0201070 |
| | IF(TK .LE. 0.0 .AND. ISW(1) .EQ. 2) TK = 1.0 | S0201080 |
| | IF(BETA1 .LE. 0.0) BETA1 = .6 | S0201090 |
| | IF(BETA2 .LE. 0.0) BETA2 = .6 | S0201100 |
| | IF(IMET .LE. 0 .AND. ISW(19) .EQ. 1) IMET = 9 | S0201110 |
| | IF(IMET .LE. 0 .AND. ISW(19) .EQ. 2) IMET = IN | S0201120 |
| | IF(ITAP .LE. 0) ITAP = 3 | S0201130 |
| | IF(ZR .LE. 0.0) ZR = 10.0 | S0201140 |
| | DO 210 I = 1,3 | S0201150 |
| | IF(IQUN(I) .NE. IBLANK) GOTO 230 | S0201160 |
| 210 | CONTINUE | S0201170 |
| | IF(ISW(1) .EQ. 2) GOTO 220 | S0201180 |
| | IQUN(1) = 'GRA' | S0201190 |
| | IQUN(2) = 'MS/S' | S0201200 |
| | IQUN(3) = 'EC' | S0201210 |
| | GOTO 230 | S0201220 |
| 220 | IQUN(1) = 'G' | S0201230 |
| | IQUN(2) = 'RAMS' | S0201240 |
| | IQUN(3) = '' | S0201250 |
| 230 | DO 240 I = 1,7 | S0201260 |

| | | |
|--------|--|----------|
| | IF(ICHUUN(1) .NE. IBLANK) GOTO 260 | S0201270 |
| 240 | CONTINUE | S0201280 |
| | IF(ISW(1) .EQ. 2) GOTO 250 | S0201290 |
| | ICHUUN(1) = 'MIC' | S0201300 |
| | ICHUUN(2) = 'ROGR' | S0201310 |
| | ICHUUN(3) = 'AMS/' | S0201320 |
| | ICHUUN(4) = 'CUBI' | S0201330 |
| | ICHUUN(5) = 'C ME' | S0201340 |
| | ICHUUN(6) = 'TER' | S0201350 |
| | ICHUUN(7) = ' ' | S0201360 |
| | GOTO 260 | S0201370 |
| 250 | ICHUUN(1) = '(GRA' | S0201380 |
| | ICHUUN(2) = 'MS/S' | S0201390 |
| | ICHUUN(3) = 'QUAR' | S0201400 |
| | ICHUUN(4) = 'E ME' | S0201410 |
| | ICHUUN(5) = 'TER' | S0201420 |
| | ICHUUN(6) = ' ' | S0201430 |
| | ICHUUN(7) = ' ' | S0201440 |
| C | READ "DAY" ARRAY & MET IDENTIFICATION. | S0201450 |
| 260 | IF(ISW(19) .NE. 1) GOTO 270 | S0201460 |
| | READ(IN,9022) (IDAY(I),I=1,366) | S0201470 |
| | READ(IN,9024) ISS,ISY,IUS,IUY | S0201480 |
| | NDAYS = 365 | S0201490 |
| | IF(MOD(ISY,4) .EQ. 0) NDAYS = 366 | S0201500 |
| | READ(IMET) ISSI,ISYI,IUSI,IUYI | S0201510 |
| | IF(ISS.EQ. ISSI.AND. ISY.EQ. ISYI.AND. IUS.EQ. IUSI.AND. IUY.EQ. IUYI) | S0201520 |
| 1 | GOTO 280 | S0201530 |
| | WRITE(IO,9025) ISS,ISSI,ISY,ISYI,IUS,IUSI,IUY,IUYI | S0201540 |
| | STOP | S0201550 |
| C | FOR CARD MET DATA SET RURAL-URBAN SWITCH TO RURAL. | S0201560 |
| 270 | ISW(20) = 0 | S0201570 |
| 280 | IF(NSOURC .GT. 0) GOTO 290 | S0201580 |
| | WRITE(IO,9026) | S0201590 |
| | STOP | S0201600 |
| C* | | S0201610 |
| C | READ SOURCE DATA. | S0201620 |
| C | MOST VARIABLES ARE READ DIRECTLY INTO THE "SOURCE" ARRAY WHICH | S0201630 |
| C | HAS 215 STORAGE LOCATIONS ALLOCATED PER SOURCE. STORAGE LOCATION | S0201640 |
| C | 1 CONTAINS WAKE, QFLG, NVS & ITYPE PACKED INTO THE FIRST LOCATION. | S0201650 |
| C | STORAGE LOCATIONS 2-13 CONTAIN% NSO, Q, X, Y, ZS, HS, TS OR | S0201660 |
| C | SIGZO, VS OR SIGYO OR XO, D, HB, BUILDING LENGTH, AND BUILDING | S0201670 |
| C | WIDTH, RESPECTIVELY. STORAGE LOCATIONS 16-35 CONTAIN PHI, 36-55 | S0201680 |
| C | CONTAIN SETTLING VELOCITIES AND 56-75 CONTAIN GAMMA. STORAGE | S0201690 |
| C | LOCATIONS 76-81 CONTAIN STABILITY-DEPENDENT LATERAL VIRTUAL | S0201700 |
| C | DISTANCES AND LOCATIONS 82-117 CONTAIN STABILITY AND XBAR- | S0201710 |
| C | DEPENDENT VERTICAL VIRTUAL DISTANCES BOTH OF WHICH ARE COMPUTED | S0201720 |
| C | IN SUBROUTINE MODEL. STORAGE LOCATIONS 120-215 CONTAIN Q | S0201730 |
| C | ADJUSTMENT FACTORS AS A FUNCTION OF EITHER TIME OF DAY-SEASONAL OR | S0201740 |
| C | STABILITY-WIND SPEED VARIATIONS. STORAGE LOCATIONS 14, 15, 118, & | S0201750 |
| C | 119 ARE CURRENTLY NOT BEING USED. | S0201760 |
| C | | S0201770 |
| 290 | II = 1 | S0201780 |
| 300 | IF(II .GT. NSOURC) GOTO 320 | S0201790 |
| C***** | | |
| C | THE FOLLOWING LINES OF CODE ALTERED TO COMPUTE ESCAPE FRACTION | |
| | READ(IN,9027) NSO,ITYPE,WAKE,NVS,QFLG,(SOURCE(I,II),I=3,13), | S0201800 |
| 1 | PITDEP(II) | TRC 006 |
| C***** | | |
| | IF(NVS .LE. 0) GOTO 310 | S0201810 |
| C | INPUT VARIABLES RELATED TO PARTICULATE SOURCES. | S0201820 |
| | READ(IN,9020) (SOURCE(15+I,II),I=1,NVS) | S0201830 |
| | READ(IN,9020) (SOURCE(35+I,II),I=1,NVS) | S0201840 |
| | READ(IN,9020) (SOURCE(55+I,II),I=1,NVS) | S0201850 |
| | READ(IN,9020) (UD(II,I),I=1,NVS) | |
| 310 | CONTINUE | S0201860 |
| C | PACK SOURCE VARIABLES WAKE, QFLG, NVS & ITYPE INTO FIRST LOCATION. | S0201870 |
| C | ALSO STORE SOURCE NUMBER. | S0201880 |
| | SOURCE(1,II) = ITYPE + NVS*16 + QFLG*512 + WAKE*8192 | S0201890 |

| | |
|---|----------|
| SOURCE(2,II) = NSO | S0201900 |
| II = II + 1 | S0201910 |
| GOTO 300 | S0201920 |
| C ENTER SOURCE EMISSION RATE SCALARS. | S0201930 |
| 320 II = 1 | S0201940 |
| IF(QFLGS .LT. 1 .OR. QFLGS .GT. 5) GOTO 330 | S0201950 |
| DONE = .TRUE. | S0201960 |
| QFLG = QFLGS | S0201970 |
| GOTO 350 | S0201980 |
| 330 DONE = .FALSE. | S0201990 |
| 340 IF(II .GT. NSOURC) GOTO 430 | S0202000 |
| ITYPE = SOURCE(1,II) | S0202010 |
| QFLG = ITYPE/512 - (ITYPE/8192)*16 | S0202020 |
| IF(QFLG .LT. 1 .OR. QFLG .GT. 5) GOTO 420 | S0202030 |
| 350 J = 1 | S0202040 |
| I = 4 | S0202050 |
| GOTO (400,360,370,380,390), QFLG | S0202060 |
| 360 I = 12 | S0202070 |
| GOTO 400 | S0202080 |
| 370 I = 24 | S0202090 |
| GOTO 400 | S0202100 |
| 380 J = 6 | S0202110 |
| I = 6 | S0202120 |
| GOTO 400 | S0202130 |
| 390 J = 4 | S0202140 |
| I = 24 | S0202150 |
| 400 DO 410 I1 = 1,J | S0202160 |
| IFR = (I1-1)*I + 120 | S0202170 |
| ITO = IFR + I - 1 | S0202180 |
| 410 READ(IN,9020) (SOURCE(I2,II),I2=IFR,ITO) | S0202190 |
| IF(DONE) GOTO 430 | S0202200 |
| 420 II = II + 1 | S0202210 |
| GOTO 340 | S0202220 |
| C LIST ALL INPUT VARIABLES IF DESIRED. | S0202230 |
| 430 IF(ISW(6) .LE. 0) GOTO 820 | S0202240 |
| WRITE(IO,9029) (TITLE(I),I=1,15) | S0202250 |
| WRITE(IO,9030) (ISW(I),I=1,14) | S0202260 |
| WRITE(IO,9031) (ISW(I),I=15,25) | S0202270 |
| WRITE(IO,9032) NSOURC,NGROUP,IPERD,NXPNTS,NYPNTS,NXWYPT | S0202280 |
| IF(ISW(19) .EQ. 2) WRITE(IO,9033) NHOURS,NDAYS | S0202290 |
| WRITE(IO,9034) TK,BETA1,BETA2,ZR,IMET | S0202300 |
| IF(ISW(19) .NE. 1) GOTO 440 | S0202310 |
| WRITE(IO,9035) DDECAY,ISS,ISY,IUS,IUY | S0202320 |
| 440 CONTINUE | S0202330 |
| IF(ISW(5) .GT. 0) WRITE(IO,9036) ITAP | S0202340 |
| WRITE(IO,9056) LIMIT,MIMIT | S0202350 |
| WRITE(IO,9029) (TITLE(I),I=1,15) | S0202360 |
| LINE = 6 | S0202370 |
| IF(ISW(19) .NE. 1) GOTO 450 | S0202380 |
| C PRINT "DAY" ARRAY. | S0202390 |
| LINE = 18 | S0202400 |
| WRITE(IO,9037) (IDAY(I),I=1,366) | S0202410 |
| 450 IF(NGROUP .EQ. 0) GOTO 470 | S0202420 |
| C PRINT SOURCE GROUP INFO. | S0202430 |
| LINE = LINE + 12 | S0202440 |
| WRITE(IO,9057) (NSOGRP(I),I=1,NGROUP) | S0202450 |
| I3 = 0 | S0202460 |
| DO 460 I = 1,NGROUP | S0202470 |
| 460 I3 = I3 + NSOGRP(I) | S0202480 |
| WRITE(IO,9058) (IDSOR(I),I=1,I3) | S0202490 |
| C PRINT UPPER BOUND OF FIRST 5 WIND SPEED CATEGORIES. | S0202500 |
| 470 LINE = LINE + 6 | S0202510 |
| WRITE(IO,9001) (UCATS(I),I=1,5) | S0202520 |
| IF(ISW(19).EQ.2.AND.ISW(6).EQ.2) GOTO 530 | S0202530 |
| IF(ISW(21) .EQ. 3) GOTO 500 | S0202540 |
| C PRINT WIND PROFILE EXPONENTS. | S0202550 |
| LINE = LINE + 12 | S0202560 |
| IF(LINE .LT. 57) GOTO 480 | S0202570 |

| | | |
|-----|---|----------|
| | LINE = 15 | S0202580 |
| | WRITE(10,9029) TITLE | S0202590 |
| 480 | WRITE(10,9059) | S0202600 |
| | WRITE(10,9016) (I1,I1=1,6) | S0202610 |
| | DO 490 I = 1,6 | S0202620 |
| 490 | WRITE(10,9017) ATHRUF(I), (PDEF(J,I),J=1,6) | S0202630 |
| 500 | IF(ISW(22) .EQ. 3) GOTO 530 | S0202640 |
| C | PRINT VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENTS. | S0202650 |
| | LINE = LINE + 12 | S0202660 |
| | IF(LINE .LT. 57) GOTO 510 | S0202670 |
| | LINE = 15 | S0202680 |
| | WRITE(10,9029) TITLE | S0202690 |
| 510 | WRITE(10,9060) | S0202700 |
| | WRITE(10,9016) (I1,I1=1,6) | S0202710 |
| | DO 520 I = 1,6 | S0202720 |
| 520 | WRITE(10,9017) ATHRUF(I), (DTHDEF(J,I),J=1,6) | S0202730 |
| C | PRINT RECEPTOR INFO. | S0202740 |
| 530 | IF(NXPNTS .EQ. 0 .OR. NYPNTS .EQ. 0) GOTO 550 | S0202750 |
| | LINE = LINE + 20 | S0202760 |
| | IF(LINE .LT. 57) GOTO 540 | S0202770 |
| | LINE = 6 | S0202780 |
| | WRITE(10,9029) TITLE | S0202790 |
| 540 | IF(ISW(2) .EQ. 1 .OR. ISW(2) .EQ. 3) WRITE(10,9038) | S0202800 |
| | IF(ISW(2) .EQ. 2 .OR. ISW(2) .EQ. 4) WRITE(10,9039) | S0202810 |
| | WRITE(10,9040) (GRIDX(I),I=1,NXPNTS) | S0202820 |
| | IF(ISW(2) .EQ. 1 .OR. ISW(2) .EQ. 3) WRITE(10,9041) | S0202830 |
| | IF(ISW(2) .EQ. 2 .OR. ISW(2) .EQ. 4) WRITE(10,9042) | S0202840 |
| | WRITE(10,9040) (GRIDY(I),I=1,NYPNTS) | S0202850 |
| 550 | IF(NXWYPT .EQ. 0) GOTO 570 | S0202860 |
| | LINE = LINE + 5 + NXWYPT/5 | S0202870 |
| | IF(LINE .LT. 57) GOTO 560 | S0202880 |
| | LINE = 6 | S0202890 |
| | WRITE(10,9029) TITLE | S0202900 |
| 560 | IF(ISW(3) .EQ. 1) WRITE(10,9043) | S0202910 |
| | IF(ISW(3) .EQ. 2) WRITE(10,9044) | S0202920 |
| | WRITE(10,9045) (XDIS(I),YDIS(I),I=1,NXWYPT) | S0202930 |
| C | PRINT TERRAIN HEIGHTS. | S0202940 |
| 570 | IF(ISW(4) .NE. 1) GOTO 580 | S0202950 |
| | CONDEP(3) = 'HGT ' | S0202960 |
| | CALL DYOUT(GRIDX,GRIDY,XDIS,YDIS,GRIDZ,99,IDY,IHR,1,0,0,0) | S0202970 |
| C | PRINT OUT SOURCE INFO. | S0202980 |
| 580 | CONTINUE | S0202990 |
| | LINE = 100 | S0203000 |
| | I3 = 0 | S0203010 |
| | DO 600 I = 1,NSOURC | S0203020 |
| | IF(LINE .LE. 56) GOTO 590 | S0203030 |
| | WRITE(10,9029) (TITLE(J),J=1,15) | S0203040 |
| | WRITE(10,9046) ((IQUN(J),J=1,3),I2=1,2), (METER(1),METER(2),J=1,10) | S0203050 |
| | LINE = 18 | S0203060 |
| 590 | CONTINUE | S0203070 |
| | ITYPE = SOURCE(1,I) | S0203080 |
| C | GET WAKE OPTION, SOURCE NO., NVS & TYPE FROM FIRST WORD. | S0203090 |
| | NSO = SOURCE(2,I) | S0203100 |
| | WAKE = ITYPE/8192 | S0203110 |
| | QFLG = ITYPE/512 - (ITYPE/8192)*16 | S0203120 |
| | NVS = ITYPE/16 - (ITYPE/512)*32 | S0203130 |
| | ITYPE = ITYPE - (ITYPE/16)*16 | S0203140 |
| | IF(NVS .GT. 0) I3 = 1 | S0203150 |
| | WRITE(10,9047) NSO,ITYPE,WAKE,NVS, (SOURCE(J,I),J=3,13) | S0203160 |
| | LINE = LINE + 1 | S0203170 |
| 600 | CONTINUE | S0203180 |
| | IF(I3 .NE. 1) GOTO 630 | S0203190 |
| C | PRINT OUT PARTICLE CATEGORY INFORMATION. | S0203200 |
| | LINE = 100 | S0203210 |
| | DO 620 I = 1,NSOURC | S0203220 |
| | IF(LINE .LT. 43) GOTO 610 | S0203230 |
| | WRITE(10,9029) (TITLE(J),J=1,15) | S0203240 |
| | WRITE(10,9049) | S0203250 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| LINE = 10 | S0203260 |
| 610 CONTINUE | S0203270 |
| ITYPE = SOURCE(1,I) | S0203280 |
| NSO = SOURCE(2,I) | S0203290 |
| NVS = ITYPE/16 - (ITYPE/512)*32 | S0203300 |
| IF(NVS .LE. 0) GOTO 620 | S0203310 |
| WRITE(ID,9050) NSO | S0203320 |
| I2 = 15 + NVS | S0203330 |
| WRITE(ID,9051) (SOURCE(J,I),J=16,I2) | S0203340 |
| I2 = 35 + NVS | S0203350 |
| WRITE(ID,9052) (SOURCE(J,I),J=36,I2) | S0203360 |
| I2 = 55 + NVS | S0203370 |
| WRITE(ID,9053) (SOURCE(J,I),J=56,I2) | S0203380 |
| LINE = LINE + 14 | S0203390 |
| 620 CONTINUE | S0203400 |
| C PRINT SOURCE EMISSION RATE SCALARS. | S0203410 |
| 630 I = 1 | S0203420 |
| IF(QFLGS .LT. 1 .OR. QFLGS .GT. 5) GOTO 640 | S0203430 |
| DONE = .TRUE. | S0203440 |
| QFLG = QFLGS | S0203450 |
| LINE = 100 | S0203460 |
| GOTO 670 | S0203470 |
| 640 DONE = .FALSE. | S0203480 |
| J = 1 | S0203490 |
| 650 IF(J .GT. 5) GOTO 820 | S0203500 |
| LINE = 100 | S0203510 |
| I = 1 | S0203520 |
| 660 IF(I .GT. NSOURC) GOTO 810 | S0203530 |
| ITYPE = SOURCE(1,I) | S0203540 |
| QFLG = ITYPE/512 - (ITYPE/8192)*16 | S0203550 |
| IF(QFLG .NE. J) GOTO 800 | S0203560 |
| NSO = SOURCE(2,I) | S0203570 |
| 670 GOTO (680,700,720,740,770), QFLG | S0203580 |
| 680 IF(LINE .LT. 54) GOTO 690 | S0203590 |
| WRITE(ID,9029) TITLE | S0203600 |
| WRITE(ID,9002) | S0203610 |
| IF(DONE) WRITE(ID,9003) | S0203620 |
| WRITE(ID,9004) ((SEASON(I1,I2),I1=1,2),I2=1,4) | S0203630 |
| LINE = 14 | S0203640 |
| 690 IF(.NOT.DONE) WRITE(ID,9005) NSO | S0203650 |
| WRITE(ID,9006) (SOURCE(I1,I),I1=120,123) | S0203660 |
| IF(DONE) GOTO 820 | S0203670 |
| LINE = LINE + 3 | S0203680 |
| GOTO 800 | S0203690 |
| 700 IF(LINE .LT. 54) GOTO 710 | S0203700 |
| WRITE(ID,9029) TITLE | S0203710 |
| WRITE(ID,9007) | S0203720 |
| IF(DONE) WRITE(ID,9003) | S0203730 |
| WRITE(ID,9008) | S0203740 |
| WRITE(ID,9013) | S0203750 |
| LINE = 14 | S0203760 |
| 710 IF(.NOT. DONE) WRITE(ID,9009) NSO | S0203770 |
| WRITE(ID,9010) (SOURCE(I1,I),I1=120,131) | S0203780 |
| IF(DONE) GOTO 820 | S0203790 |
| LINE = LINE + 3 | S0203800 |
| GOTO 800 | S0203810 |
| 720 IF(LINE .LT. 50) GOTO 730 | S0203820 |
| WRITE(ID,9029) TITLE | S0203830 |
| WRITE(ID,9011) | S0203840 |
| IF(DONE) WRITE(ID,9003) | S0203850 |
| WRITE(ID,9012) | S0203860 |
| WRITE(ID,9013) | S0203870 |
| LINE = 14 | S0203880 |
| 730 IF(.NOT.DONE) WRITE(ID,9009) NSO | S0203890 |
| WRITE(ID,9014) (I1,SOURCE(119+I1,I),I1=1,24) | S0203900 |
| IF(DONE) GOTO 820 | S0203910 |
| LINE = LINE + 7 | S0203920 |
| GOTO 800 | S0203930 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----------|
| 740 | IF(LINE .LT. 49) GOTO 750 | S0203940 |
| | WRITE(ID,9029) TITLE | S0203950 |
| | WRITE(ID,9015) | S0203960 |
| | IF(DONE) WRITE(ID,9003) | S0203970 |
| | WRITE(ID,9016) (I1,I1=1,6) | S0203980 |
| | WRITE(ID,9013) | S0203990 |
| | LINE = 16 | S0204000 |
| 750 | IF(.NOT.DONE) WRITE(ID,9009) NSO | S0204010 |
| | DO 760 I1 = 1,6 | S0204020 |
| | IFR = (I1-1)*6 + 120 | S0204030 |
| | ITO = IFR + 5 | S0204040 |
| 760 | WRITE(ID,9017) ATHRUF(I1), (SOURCE(I2,I), I2=IFR, ITO) | S0204050 |
| | IF(DONE) GOTO 820 | S0204060 |
| | LINE = LINE + 8 | S0204070 |
| | GOTO 800 | S0204080 |
| 770 | IF(LINE .LT. 37) GOTO 780 | S0204090 |
| | WRITE(ID,9029) TITLE | S0204100 |
| | WRITE(ID,9018) | S0204110 |
| | IF(DONE) WRITE(ID,9003) | S0204120 |
| | WRITE(ID,9012) | S0204130 |
| | WRITE(ID,9013) | S0204140 |
| | LINE = 14 | S0204150 |
| 780 | IF(.NOT.DONE) WRITE(ID,9009) NSO | S0204160 |
| | DO 790 I1 = 1,4 | S0204170 |
| | IFR = (I1-1)*24 + 119 | S0204180 |
| | WRITE(ID,9019) SEASON(1,I1), SEASON(2,I1) | S0204190 |
| 790 | WRITE(ID,9014) (I2, SOURCE(I2+IFR, I), I2=1, 24) | S0204200 |
| | IF(DONE) GOTO 820 | S0204210 |
| | LINE = LINE + 22 | S0204220 |
| 800 | I = I + 1 | S0204230 |
| | GOTO 660 | S0204240 |
| 810 | J = J + 1 | S0204250 |
| | GOTO 650 | S0204260 |
| C | STORE RECIPROCAL SQUARED OF BETA1, BETA2 AS GAM1I, GAM2I AND STORE | S0204270 |
| C | RECIPROCAL OF ZR. | S0204280 |
| 820 | CONTINUE | S0204290 |
| | GAM1I = 1./(BETA1*BETA1) | S0204300 |
| | GAM2I = 1./(BETA2*BETA2) | S0204310 |
| | ZR = 1./ZR | S0204320 |
| C | COMPUTE EFFECTIVE BUILDING WIDTH FOR ALL SOURCES & STORE IN | S0204330 |
| C | LOCATION 12 OF "SOURCE" ARRAY. BUILDING LENGTH & WIDTH WILL NO | S0204340 |
| C | LONGER BE NEEDED. ALSO, RELOCATE AREA SOURCE COORDINATES FROM | S0204350 |
| C | THE SOUTHWEST CORNER TO THE CENTER OF THE AREA SOURCE. | S0204360 |
| | DO 830 I = 1, NSOURC | S0204370 |
| C | 2/SQRT(3.14159265) = 1.1283792 | S0204380 |
| | SOURCE(12, I) = 1.1283792*SQRT(SOURCE(12, I)*SOURCE(13, I)) | S0204390 |
| | ITYPE = SOURCE(1, I) | S0204400 |
| | IF(ITYPE-(ITYPE/16)*16 .NE. 2) GOTO 830 | S0204410 |
| | A1 = .5*SOURCE(9, I) | S0204420 |
| | SOURCE(4, I) = SOURCE(4, I) + A1 | S0204430 |
| | SOURCE(5, I) = SOURCE(5, I) + A1 | S0204440 |
| 830 | CONTINUE | S0204450 |
| C | SET HEADING. | S0204460 |
| | IF(ISW(1) .EQ. 1) GOTO 840 | S0204470 |
| | CONDEP(1) = ' TO ' | S0204480 |
| | CONDEP(2) = ' TAL ' | S0204490 |
| | CONDEP(3) = ' DEPO ' | S0204500 |
| | CONDEP(4) = ' SITI ' | S0204510 |
| | CONDEP(5) = ' ON ' | S0204520 |
| | CONDEP(6) = ' ' | S0204530 |
| | GOTO 850 | S0204540 |
| 840 | CONDEP(1) = ' AVER ' | S0204550 |
| | CONDEP(2) = ' AGE ' | S0204560 |
| | CONDEP(3) = ' CONC ' | S0204570 |
| | CONDEP(4) = ' ENTR ' | S0204580 |
| | CONDEP(5) = ' ATIO ' | S0204590 |
| | CONDEP(6) = ' N ' | S0204600 |
| 850 | CONTINUE | S0204610 |
| | RETURN | S0204620 |

```

9001 FORMAT(/34X,64H*** UPPER BOUND OF FIRST THROUGH FIFTH WIND SPEED CS0204630
1 ATEGORIES ***/60X,12H(METERS/SEC)//46X,5(F7.2,1H,)) S0204640
9002 FORMAT(39X,54H* SOURCE EMISSION RATE SCALARS WHICH VARY SEASONALLY S0204650
1 **/) S0204660
9003 FORMAT(56X,19H* FOR ALL SOURCES **/) S0204670
9004 FORMAT(40X,4(2A4,7X)/20X,40(2H- )) S0204680
9005 FORMAT(/20X,12HSOURCE NO. =,I6) S0204690
9006 FORMAT(38X,4(E10.5,5X)) S0204700
9007 FORMAT(41X,51H* SOURCE EMISSION RATE SCALARS WHICH VARY MONTHLY * S0204710
1 **/) S0204720
9008 FORMAT(7X,51HJANUARY FEBRUARY MARCH APRIL MAY , S0204730
1 58HJUNE JULY AUGUST SEPTEMBER OCTOBER NOVEMBER , S0204740
2 8HDECEMBER/) S0204750
9009 FORMAT(/13H SOURCE NO. =,I6) S0204760
9010 FORMAT(5X,12E10.4) S0204770
9011 FORMAT(32X,68H* SOURCE EMISSION RATE SCALARS WHICH VARY FOR EACH HS0204780
1 OUR OF THE DAY **/) S0204790
9012 FORMAT(5X,6(14HHOUR SCALAR,6X)) S0204800
9013 FORMAT(1X,65(2H- )) S0204810
9014 FORMAT(4(5X,6(I3,3X,E10.5,4X)/)) S0204820
9015 FORMAT(30X,73H* SOURCE EMISSION RATE SCALARS WHICH VARY WITH STABIS0204830
1 LITY AND WIND SPEED **/) S0204840
9016 FORMAT(16X,9HSTABILITY,29X,19HWIND SPEED CATEGORY/16X,8HCATEGORY, S0204850
1 9X,6(I1,14X)) S0204860
9017 FORMAT(19X,A1,5X,6(5X,E10.5)) S0204870
9018 FORMAT(32X,68H* SOURCE EMISSION RATE SCALARS WHICH VARY SEASONALLY S0204880
1 AND DIURNALLY **/) S0204890
9019 FORMAT(59X,9HSEASON = ,2A4) S0204900
9020 FORMAT(8F10.0) S0204910
9021 FORMAT(8B.0,3F8.0,3A4,7A4,2I2) S0204920
9022 FORMAT(80I1) S0204930
9023 FORMAT(20I4) S0204940
9024 FORMAT(13I6) S0204950
9025 FORMAT('1',10X,63H*** ERROR *** MET DATA REQUESTED DOES NOT MATCHS0204960
1 MET DATA READ./10X,28H"REQUESTED/READ" VALUES ARE%/10X, S0204970
2 21HSURFACE STATION NO. =,I6,1H/,I6,23H YEAR OF SURFACE DATA =,I6, S0204980
3 1H/,I6/10X,23HUPPER AIR STATION NO. =,I6,1H/,I6, S0204990
4 25H YEAR OF UPPER AIR DATA =,I6,1H/,I6/10X,15HRUN TERMINATED.) S0205000
9026 FORMAT('1',10X,73H*** ERROR *** NUMBER OF SOURCES TO BE READ EQUAS0205010
1 LS ZERO. RUN TERMINATED.) S0205020
C*****
C THE FOLLOWING LINE OF CODE ALTERED TO COMPUTE ESCAPE FRACTION
9027 FORMAT(I5,2I1,I2,I1,8B.0,2F7.0,9F6.0) S0205030
C*****
9028 FORMAT(9X,I1,5F10.0) S0205040
9029 FORMAT('1'//32X,4H*** ,15A4,4H ***/) S0205050
9030 FORMAT(18X,40HCALCULATE (CONCENTRATION=1,DEPOSITION=2),29X, S0205060
1 8HISW(1) =,I4/18X,55HRECEPTOR GRID SYSTEM (RECTANGULAR=1 OR 3, POS0205070
2 LAR=2 OR 4),14X,8HISW(2) =,I4/ S0205080
3 18X,48HDISCRETE RECEPTOR SYSTEM (RECTANGULAR=1,POLAR=2),21X, S0205090
4 8HISW(3) =,I4/,18X,40HTERRAIN ELEVATIONS ARE READ (YES=1,NO=0), S0205100
5 29X,8HISW(4) =,I4/,18X, S0205110
6 45HCALCULATIONS ARE WRITTEN TO TAPE (YES=1,NO=0),24X,8HISW(5) =, S0205120
7 I4/18X, S0205130
8 48HLIST ALL INPUT DATA (NO=0,YES=1,MET DATA ALSO=2),21X, S0205140
9 8HISW(6) =,I4//18X,39HCOMPUTE AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (OR TOTAL, S0205150
0 12H DEPOSITION)/18X,32HWITH THE FOLLOWING TIME PERIODS%/20X, S0205160
1 19HHOURLY (YES=1,NO=0),48X,8HISW(7) =,I4/20X, S0205170
2 19H2-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0),48X,8HISW(8) =,I4/20X, S0205180
3 19H3-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0),48X,8HISW(9) =,I4/20X, S0205190
4 19H4-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0),47X,9HISW(10) =,I4/20X, S0205200
5 19H6-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0),47X,9HISW(11) =,I4/20X, S0205210
6 19H8-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0),47X,9HISW(12) =,I4/20X, S0205220
7 20H12-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0),46X,9HISW(13) =,I4/20X, S0205230
8 20H24-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0),46X,9HISW(14) =,I4) S0205240
9031 FORMAT(18X,35HPRINT "N"-DAY TABLE(S) (YES=1,NO=0),33X, S0205250
1 9HISW(15) =,I4//18X,58HPRINT THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF TABLES WHOSE S0205260
2 TIME PERIODS ARE/18X,36HSPECIFIED BY ISW(7) THROUGH ISW(14)%/20X, S0205270

```

```

3 25HDAILY TABLES (YES=1,NO=0),41X,9HISW(16) =,I4/20X, SO205280
4 44HHIGHEST & SECOND HIGHEST TABLES (YES=1,NO=0),22X,9HISW(17) =, SO205290
5 I4/20X,30HMAXIMUM 50 TABLES (YES=1,NO=0),36X,9HISW(18) =,I4/18X, SO205300
6 57HMETEOROLOGICAL DATA INPUT METHOD (PRE-PROCESSED=1,CARD=2),11X,SO205310
7 9HISW(19) =,I4/18X,58HRURAL-URBAN OPTION (RURAL=0,URBAN MODE 1=1,SO205320
8 URBAN MODE 2=2),10X,9HISW(20) =,I4/18X,57HWIND PROFILE EXPONENT VASO205330
9 LUES (DEFAULTS=1,USER ENTERS=2,3),11X,9HISW(21) =,I4/18X, SO205340
10 64HVERTICAL POT. TEMP. GRADIENT VALUES (DEFAULTS=1,USER ENTERS=2,SO205350
11 13),4X,9HISW(22) =,I4/18X,49HSCALE EMISSION RATES FOR ALL SOURCES (SO205360
12 2NO=0,YES>0),19X,9HISW(23) =,I4/18X,53HPROGRAM CALCULATES FINAL PLUSO205370
13 ME RISE ONLY (YES=1,NO=2),15X,9HISW(24) =,I4/18X, SO205380
14 59HPROGRAM ADJUSTS ALL STACK HEIGHTS FOR DOWNWASH (YES=2,NO=1), SO205390
15 9X,9HISW(25) =,I4) SO205400
9032 FORMAT(/18X,23HNUMBER OF INPUT SOURCES,46X,8HNSOURC =,I4/18X, SO205410
1 40HNUMBER OF SOURCE GROUPS (=0,ALL SOURCES),29X,8HNGROUP =,I4/18XSO205420
2,53HTIME PERIOD INTERVAL TO BE PRINTED (=0,ALL INTERVALS),17X, SO205430
3 7HIPERD =,I4/18X,31HNUMBER OF X (RANGE) GRID VALUES,38X,8HNPPTS SO205440
4=,I4/18X,31HNUMBER OF Y (THETA) GRID VALUES,38X,8HNPPTS =,I4/18X,SO205450
5 28HNUMBER OF DISCRETE RECEPTORS,41X,8HNPPTS =,I4) SO205460
9033 FORMAT(18X,46HNUMBER OF HOURS PER DAY IN METEOROLOGICAL DATA,23X, SO205470
1 8HNDAYS =,I4/18X,37HNUMBER OF DAYS OF METEOROLOGICAL DATA,32X, SO205480
2 8H NDAYS =,I4) SO205490
9034 FORMAT(18X,44HSOURCE EMISSION RATE UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR,27X, SO205500
1 6H TK =,E10.5/18X,47HENTRAINMENT COEFFICIENT FOR UNSTABLE ATMOSP SO205510
2 HERE,22X,8H BETA1 =,F5.3/18X,45HENTRAINMENT COEFFICIENT FOR STABLESO205520
3 ATMOSPHERE,24X,8H BETA2 =,F5.3/18X, SO205530
4 52HHEIGHT ABOVE GROUND AT WHICH WIND SPEED WAS MEASURED,18X, SO205540
5 7H ZR =,F7.2,8H METERS/18X, SO205550
6 42HLOGICAL UNIT NUMBER OF METEOROLOGICAL DATA,29X,6HIMET =,I4) SO205560
9035 FORMAT(18X,52HDECAY COEFFICIENT FOR PHYSICAL OR CHEMICAL DEPLETIONSO205570
1 ,18X,7HDECAY =,E12.6/18X,19HSURFACE STATION NO., SO205580
3 53X,5HIS =,I6/18X,20HYEAR OF SURFACE DATA,52X,5HISY =,I3/18X, SO205590
4 21HUPPER AIR STATION NO.,51X,5HIUS =,I6/18X, SO205600
5 22HYEAR OF UPPER AIR DATA,50X,5HIUY =,I3) SO205610
9036 FORMAT(18X,39HLOGICAL UNIT OF CALCULATION "SAVE" TAPE,30X, SO205620
1 8H ITAP =,I4) SO205630
9037 FORMAT(44X,43H*** METEOROLOGICAL DAYS TO BE PROCESSED ***/ SO205640
1 63X,6H(IF=1)/8(11X,5(10I2,2X)/)) SO205650
9038 FORMAT(/42X,48H*** X-COORDINATES OF RECTANGULAR GRID SYSTEM ***/ SO205660
1 62X,8H(METERS)/) SO205670
9039 FORMAT(/47X,35H*** RANGES OF POLAR GRID SYSTEM ***/62X, SO205680
1 8H(METERS)/) SO205690
9040 FORMAT(100(5X,10(F10.1,1H,)/)) SO205700
9041 FORMAT(/42X,48H*** Y-COORDINATES OF RECTANGULAR GRID SYSTEM *** SO205710
1 /62X,8H(METERS)/) SO205720
9042 FORMAT(/45X,42H*** RADIAL ANGLES OF POLAR GRID SYSTEM ***/ SO205730
1 /62X,9H(DEGREES)/) SO205740
9043 FORMAT(/47X,45H*** X,Y COORDINATES OF DISCRETE RECEPTORS ***/ SO205750
1 62X,8H(METERS)/) SO205760
9044 FORMAT(/39X,53H*** RANGE,THETA COORDINATES OF DISCRETE RECEPTORS SO205770
1 ***/58X,16H(METERS,DEGREES)/) SO205780
9045 FORMAT(100(6X,5(1H(,F9.1,1H, ,F9.1,4H), )/)) SO205790
9046 FORMAT(55X,19H*** SOURCE DATA ***/21X,13HEMISSION RATE,38X, SO205800
1 5HTEMP.,4X,9HEXIT VEL./24X,8HTYPE=0,1,40X,2(6HTYPE=0,4X)/10X, SO205810
2 3HT W,8X,3A4,38X,18H(DEG.K); (M/SEC);,12X,3(5HBLDG.,4X)/10X, SO205820
3 20HY A NUMBER TYPE=2,25X,4HBASE,12X,53HVERT.DIM HORIZ.DIM DIAMSO205830
4ETER HEIGHT LENGTH WIDTH/3X,19HSOURCE P K PART. ,3A4,5X, SO205840
5 1HX,8X,43HY ELEV. HEIGHT TYPE=1 TYPE=1,2 ,4(6HTYPE=0,SO205850
6 3X)/3X,31HNUMBER E E CATS. *PER METER**2,2(5(1X,2A4),1X)/ SO205860
7 63(2H -)/) SO205870
9047 FQRMAT(18,13,12,15,3X,E11.5,2F10.1,F8.1,2F9.2,1X,5F9.2) SO205880
9048 FORMAT(18,13,12,15,3X,2A4,A3,1X,2F9.1,3F9.2,1X,5F9.2) SO205890
9049 FORMAT(50X,31H*** SOURCE PARTICULATE DATA ***/)) SO205900
9050 FORMAT(/10X,19H*** SOURCE NUMBER =,I6,4H *** SO205910
9051 FORMAT(/10X,15HMASS FRACTION =/2(10X,10(F7.5,1H,)/)) SO205920
9052 FORMAT(/10X,31HSETTLING VELOCITY(METERS/SEC) =/2(10X,10(F7.4,1H,) SO205930
1 /)) SO205940
9053 FORMAT(/10X,32HSURFACE REFLECTION COEFFICIENT =/2(10X,10(F7.5,1H, SO205950
1 /)) SO205960

```

```

9054 FORMAT(34X,27H* SEASONAL SOURCE STRENGTHS,3A4,          S0205970
      1 26HFOR EACH HOUR OF THE DAY *//20H *** SOURCE NUMBER =,I5,4H ***)S0205980
9055 FORMAT(/4X,A4,A2/2(/4X,4HHOUR,I8,11I10/2X,8HSTRENGTH,12E10.4)) S0205990
9056 FORMAT(18X,22HALLOCATED DATA STORAGE,48X,7HLIMIT =,I6,6H WORDS/ S0206000
      1 18X,42HREQUIRED DATA STORAGE FOR THIS PROBLEM RUN,28X, S0206010
      2 7HLIMIT =,I6,6H WORDS) S0206020
9057 FORMAT('1',33X,65H*** NUMBER OF SOURCE NUMBERS REQUIRED TO DEFINE S0206030
      1SOURCE GROUPS ***/62X,8H(NSOGRP)//3(15X,20(I4,1H,))//) S0206040
9058 FORMAT('1',43X,45H*** SOURCE NUMBERS DEFINING SOURCE GROUPS ***/ S0206050
      1 62X,7H(IDSOR)//8(15X,14(I6,1H,))//) S0206060
9059 FORMAT(/51X,30H*** WIND PROFILE EXPONENTS ***///) S0206070
9060 FORMAT(/42X,48H*** VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENTS ***/ S0206080
      1 53X,26H(DEGREES KELVIN PER METER)//) S0206090
      END S0206100

```

ESCAPE FRACTION SUBROUTINE

ALTERNATIVE 1

CONSTANT-K, LINEAR MODEL


```

      SUBROUTINE ESCAPE(ZREF,ZO,TA,IS,U,UD,H,ESCP)
C  SUBROUTINE ESCAPE, ALTERNATIVE-1 CONSTANT-K LINEAR MODEL
      A5=ALOG(ZREF/ZO)
      A6=1./0.123/ZREF
      A1=UD*A5*H/U*A6
      ESCP=1./(1.+A1)
      RETURN
      END

```

```

SUBROUTINE ESCAPE(ZREF,Z0,TA,IS,U,UD,H,ESCP)
  DIMENSION DTDZ(6)
DATA DTDZ/-.01,-.007,-.005,0.,.02,.035/
  A5=ALOG(ZREF/Z0)
  A6=1./1.123/ZREF
  B=9.81*ZREF*ZREF*DTDZ(IS)/TA/U/U
  A1=ALOG(ZREF/Z0)
  IF(B.LT.0.) GOTO 1
  XH=0.05
  X=0.15
  FH=XH/((A1+.33333)/1.33333)**2-B
10  IF(X.EQ.0.2) X=0.19999999
  IF(X.LT.0.2) GOTO 12
  XTEST=-A1/5/(1-A1)
  IF(X.GE.XTEST) X=XTEST-.0001
12  F=X/(A1*(1.-5*X)+5*X)**2-B
  PH=1./(1.-5*X)
  PS=-5*X*PH
  IF(ABS(F).LT.0.0001) GOTO 100
  IF(X.EQ.XH) GOTO 100
  SL=(F-FH)/(X-XH)
  BINT=F-SL*X
  XNEW=-BINT/SL
  IF(ABS(XNEW-X).LT.0.0001) GOTO 100
  XH=X
  FH=F
  X=XNEW
  GOTO 10
1  XH=0.
  FH=-B
  X=-.05
20  IF(X.GE..06667) X=.06666
  IF(X.EQ.0.) X=0.0001
  PH=1.0/(1.0-15*X)**.25
  ZETA=(1.0-15*X)**.25
  ZETA0=(1.0-15*X*Z0/ZREF)**.25
  ARG1=ALOG((ZETA-1.0)*(ZETA+1.0)/((ZETA+1.0)*(ZETA0-1.0)))
  ARG2=2.0*(ATAN(ZETA)-ATAN(ZETA0))
  F=X/((A1-PS)/PH)**2-B
  IF(ABS(F).LT..0001) GOTO 100
  SL=(F-FH)/(X-XH)
  BINT=F-SL*X
  XNEW=-BINT/SL
  IF(ABS(XNEW-X).LT.0.0001) GOTO 100
  XH=X
  FH=F
  X=XNEW
  GOTO 20
100 IF(X.LT.0.) ZOL=X
  IF(X.GE.0.) ZOL=X/(1.0-5*X)
  USTAR=0.35*U/(A1-PS)
  IF(ZOL.LT.0.) PHH=0.74/(1.-9*ZOL)**.5
  IF(ZOL.GE.0.) PHH=.74+5*ZOL
  EDDY=.35*USTAR*ZREF/PHH
  ESCP=1.0/(1.0+UD*H/EDDY)
  RETURN
END

```


ESCAPE FRACTION SUBROUTINE

ALTERNATIVE 3

VARIABLE-K, LINEAR MODEL

```
SUBROUTINE ESCAPE(ZREF,ZO,TA,IS,U,UD,H,ESCP)
A5=ALOG(ZREF/ZO)
A6=1./ .123/ZREF
A1=UD*A5*ALOG(H/ZO)/U/.123
ESCP=1./(1.+A1)
RETURN
END
```

ESCAPE FRACTION SUBROUTINE

ALTERNATIVE 4

VARIABLE-K, DETAILED MODEL

```

SUBROUTINE ESCAPE(ZREF,ZO,TA,IS,U,UD,H,ESCP)
  DIMENSION DTDZ(6)
  DATA DTDZ/-.01,-.007,-.005,0.,.02,.035/
  A5=ALOG(ZREF/ZO)
  A6=1./123/ZREF
  DZ=H/10.
  TEDDY=0.
  DO 3 I=1,10
    Z=DZ*I-DZ/2
    CALL KCAL(ZREF,Z,ZO,TA,DTDZ(IS),U,UD,H,EDDY)
    TEDDY=TEDDY+1./EDDY*DZ
3  CONTINUE
  ESCP=1./(1.+UD*TEDDY)
  RETURN
  END
SUBROUTINE KCAL(ZREF,Z,ZO,TA,DTDZ,U,UD,H,EDDY)
  B=9.81*ZREF*ZREF*DTDZ/TA/U/U
  A1=ALOG(ZREF/ZO)
  IF(B.LT.0.) GOTO 1
  XH=0.05
  X=0.15
  FH=XH/((A1+.33333)/1.33333)**2-B
10 IF(X.EQ.0.2) X=0.19999999
  IF(X.LT.0.2) GOTO 12
  XTEST=-A1/5/(1-A1)
  IF(X.GE.XTEST) X=XTEST-.0001
12 F=X/(A1*(1.-5*X)+5*X)**2-B
  PH=1./(1.-5*X)
  PS=-5*X*PH
  IF(ABS(F).LT.0.0001) GOTO 100
  IF(X.EQ.XH) GOTO 100
  SL=(F-FH)/(X-XH)
  BINT=F-SL*X
  XNEW=-BINT/SL
  IF(ABS(XNEW-X).LT.0.0001) GOTO 100
  XH=X
  FH=F
  X=XNEW
  GOTO 10
1  XH=0.
  FH=-B
  X=-.05
20 IF(X.GE..06667) X=.06666
  IF(X.EQ.0.) X=0.0001
  PH=1.0/(1.0-15*X)**.25
  ZETA=(1.0-15*X)**.25
  ZETA0=(1.0-15*X*ZO/ZREF)**.25
  ARG1=ALOG((ZETA-1.0)*(ZETA+1.0)/((ZETA+1.0)*(ZETA0-1.0)))
  ARG2=2.0*(ATAN(ZETA)-ATAN(ZETA0))
  F=X/((A1-PS)/PH)**2-B
  IF(ABS(F).LT.0.0001) GOTO 100
  SL=(F-FH)/(X-XH)
  BINT=F-SL*X
  XNEW=-BINT/SL
  IF(ABS(XNEW-X).LT.0.0001) GOTO 100
  XH=X
  FH=F
  X=XNEW
  GOTO 20
100 IF(X.LT.0.) ZOL=X
  IF(X.GE.0.) ZOL=X/(1.0-5*X)
  USTAR=0.35*U/(A1-PS)
  IF(ZOL.LT.0.) PHH=0.74/(1.-9*ZOL)**.5
  IF(ZOL.GE.0.) PHH=.74+5*ZOL
  EDDY=.35*USTAR*Z/PHH
  RETURN
  END

```

APPENDIX D

TEST RUNS AND SAMPLE INPUT FILE

(1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32)

[illegible]

| No. | | No. | |
|-----|--|-----|--|
| 10. | | 10. | |

DAILY% 1
24-HR/PD 1
SGROUP# 1

*** TEST CASE FOR EPA PROJECT

* DAILY 24-HOUR AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS/CUBIC METER) *

* ENDING WITH HOUR 24 FOR DAY 1 *

* FROM ALL SOURCES *

* FOR THE RECEPTOR GRID *

* MAXIMUM VALUE EQUALS 5.36375 AND OCCURRED AT (7500.0, 9000.0) *

X-AXIS (METERS)

Y-AXIS /
(METERS) /

| Y-AXIS (METERS) | X-AXIS (METERS) | CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS/CUBIC METER) |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 12000.0 | 7500.0 | .00000 |
| 11000.0 | 7500.0 | .00000 |
| 10000.0 | 7500.0 | 1.07853 |
| 9000.0 | 7500.0 | 5.36375 |
| 8000.0 | 7500.0 | 4.28522 |

SAMPLE OUTPUT FILE

ALTERNATIVE 1

Constant-K, Linear Model

DAILY% 1
24-HR/PD 1
SGROUP# 1

*** TEST CASE FOR EPA PROJECT

* DAILY 24-HOUR AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS/CUBIC METER) *

* ENDING WITH HOUR 24 FOR DAY 1 *

* FROM ALL SOURCES *

* FOR THE RECEPTOR GRID *

* MAXIMUM VALUE EQUALS 4.24774 AND OCCURRED AT (7500.0, 9000.0) *

| Y-AXIS (METERS) | 6500.0 | 7500.0 | X-AXIS (METERS) |
|--------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 12000.0 / | .00000 | .00000 | |
| 11000.0 / | .00005 | .00000 | |
| 10000.0 / | 1.20241 | 1.06225 | |
| 9000.0 / | 3.42940 | 4.24774 | |
| 8000.0 / | 2.22967 | 3.18549 | |

SAMPLE OUTPUT FILE

ALTERNATIVE 2

Constant-K, Detailed Model

DAILY% 1
24-HR/PD 1
SGROUP# 1

*** TEST CASE FOR EPA PROJECT

* DAILY 24-HOUR AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS/CUBIC METER) *
* ENDING WITH HOUR 24 FOR DAY 1 *
* FROM ALL SOURCES *
* FOR THE RECEPTOR GRID *

* MAXIMUM VALUE EQUALS 3.59801 AND OCCURRED AT (7500.0, 9000.0) *

| Y-AXIS (METERS) | 6500.0 | 7500.0 | X-AXIS (METERS) |
|--------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 12000.0 / | .00000 | .00000 | |
| 11000.0 / | .00003 | .00000 | |
| 10000.0 / | .90017 | .75939 | |
| 9000.0 / | 2.91143 | 3.59801 | |
| 8000.0 / | 2.01318 | 2.83861 | |

SAMPLE OUTPUT FILE
ALTERNATIVE 3
Variable-K, Linear Model

DAILY% 1
24-HR/PD 1
SGROUP# 1

*** TEST CASE FOR EPA PROJECT

* DAILY 24-HOUR AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS/CUBIC METER) *
* ENDING WITH HOUR 24 FOR DAY 1 *
* FROM ALL SOURCES *
* FOR THE RECEPTOR GRID *

* MAXIMUM VALUE EQUALS 3.66439 AND OCCURRED AT (7500.0, 9000.0) *

X-AXIS (METERS)

| Y-AXIS / (METERS) / | 6500.0 | 7500.0 |
|------------------------|---------|---------|
| 12000.0 / | .00000 | .00000 |
| 11000.0 / | .00004 | .00000 |
| 10000.0 / | 1.04998 | .94175 |
| 9000.0 / | 2.94972 | 3.66439 |
| 8000.0 / | 1.90222 | 2.72264 |

SAMPLE OUTPUT FILE

ALTERNATIVE 4

Variable-K, Detailed Model

| TECHNICAL REPORT DATA <i>(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)</i> | | |
|---|--|--|
| 1. REPORT NO. EPA-450/4-86-003 | 2. | 3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO. |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Continued Analysis and Derivation of a Method to Model Pit Retention | 5. REPORT DATE January 1986 | 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE |
| 7. AUTHOR(S) K. D. Wings C. F. Cole | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO. | |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS TRC Environmental Consultants, Inc. 7002 South Revere Parkway, Suite 60 Englewood CO 80112 | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. | 11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO. 68-02-3886 |
| 12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Monitoring and Data Analysis Division Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 | 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED | 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE EPA/200/04 |
| 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Project Officer: J. S. Touma | | |
| 16. ABSTRACT This report summarizes the results of a continuing effort to better understand the dispersion and transport of particulate matter released within surface coal mines. The report examines the relationship between critical meteorological parameters in an effort to refine an existing model algorithm to determine escape fraction. Methods to incorporate calculating particulate matter escape fraction into a regulatory air quality model are proposed and FORTRAN program listings of four alternatives are included. | | |
| 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS | | |
| a. DESCRIPTORS | b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS | c. COSATI Field/Group |
| Air Pollution Coal Mining Emissions Particulates - Escape Fraction Meteorology | | |
| 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Unlimited | 19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) Unclassified | 21. NO. OF PAGES 133 |
| | 20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) Unclassified | 22. PRICE |

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **REPORT NUMBER**
Insert the EPA report number as it appears on the cover of the publication.
2. **LEAVE BLANK**
3. **RECIPIENTS ACCESSION NUMBER**
Reserved for use by each report recipient.
- TITLE AND SUBTITLE**
Title should indicate clearly and briefly the subject coverage of the report, and be displayed prominently. Set subtitle, if used, in smaller type or otherwise subordinate it to main title. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number and include subtitle for the specific title.
5. **REPORT DATE**
Each report shall carry a date indicating at least month and year. Indicate the basis on which it was selected (*e.g., date of issue, date of approval, date of preparation, etc.*).
6. **PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE**
Leave blank.
7. **AUTHOR(S)**
Give name(s) in conventional order (*John R. Doe, J. Robert Doe, etc.*). List author's affiliation if it differs from the performing organization.
8. **PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER**
Insert if performing organization wishes to assign this number.
9. **PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS**
Give name, street, city, state, and ZIP code. List no more than two levels of an organizational hierarchy.
10. **PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER**
Use the program element number under which the report was prepared. Subordinate numbers may be included in parentheses.
11. **CONTRACT/GRANT NUMBER**
Insert contract or grant number under which report was prepared.
12. **SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS**
Include ZIP code.
13. **TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED**
Indicate interim final, etc., and if applicable, dates covered.
14. **SPONSORING AGENCY CODE**
Insert appropriate code.
15. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES**
Enter information not included elsewhere but useful, such as: Prepared in cooperation with, Translation of, Presented at conference of, To be published in, Supersedes, Supplements, etc.
16. **ABSTRACT**
Include a brief (200 words or less) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report. If the report contains a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here.
17. **KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS**
 - (a) **DESCRIPTORS** - Select from the Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms the proper authorized terms that identify the major concept of the research and are sufficiently specific and precise to be used as index entries for cataloging.
 - (b) **IDENTIFIERS AND OPEN-ENDED TERMS** - Use identifiers for project names, code names, equipment designators, etc. Use open-ended terms written in descriptor form for those subjects for which no descriptor exists.
 - (c) **COSATI FIELD GROUP** - Field and group assignments are to be taken from the 1965 COSATI Subject Category List. Since the majority of documents are multidisciplinary in nature, the Primary Field/Group assignment(s) will be specific discipline, area of human endeavor, or type of physical object. The application(s) will be cross-referenced with secondary Field/Group assignments that will follow the primary posting(s).
18. **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT**
Denote releasability to the public or limitation for reasons other than security for example "Release Unlimited." Cite any availability to the public, with address and price.
19. & 20. **SECURITY CLASSIFICATION**
DO NOT submit classified reports to the National Technical Information service.
21. **NUMBER OF PAGES**
Insert the total number of pages, including this one and unnumbered pages, but exclude distribution list, if any.
22. **PRICE**
Insert the price set by the National Technical Information Service or the Government Printing Office, if known.