



Project Summary

A Plan to Develop and Implement a Quality Assurance Program for the Ames/*Salmonella* Test

N. Sexton, L. Myers, and T. Hughes

This document discusses a plan to develop and carry out a quality assurance program for the Ames/*Salmonella* test. The Ames test is in one of the 13 categories of tests mentioned in the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act legislation and is chosen as a model for presenting a QA program for all test categories.

There are three main sections contained herein. An introductory section describes the laws requiring this testing and the objectives of this quality assurance plan. The second section defines quality assurance terms, describes applicable quality assurance methods, and discusses the mailable audit materials approach that was chosen for these purposes. The third section details the mailable audit materials program and discusses selection of chemicals, preparation of samples, shipment of audit samples, pilot-scale audits, scheduling, other audit programs as models, data gathering procedures, data analysis, reporting of results, and costs.

This Project Summary was developed by EPA's Health Effects Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC, to announce key findings of the research project that is fully documented in a separate report of the same title (see Project Report ordering information at back).

Introduction

The 1972 Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) specified that no pesticide be registered or reregistered that would cause unreasonable risk to man or to the environment. After subsequent promulgation and public comments, tentative guidelines were issued containing 13 kinds of tests grouped into three categories: gene mutations, chromosomal aberrations, and primary DNA damage. Because of its wide usage, the Ames/*Salmonella* test, a test for gene mutation, was selected as a model for the preparation of a quality assurance (QA) plan.

Quality Assurance Strategies

The stated objective of this QA plan is to present a means of ensuring that laboratories submitting data to the Office of Pesticide Programs concerning pesticide registration can produce information that is reliable and valid. After consideration and discussion of the various means of implementing a QA plan, a mailable audit materials approach was selected because:

1. Many laboratories are submitting data, therefore, onsite evaluations would be costly and time consuming.

- 2 Neither systems audits nor split-sample schemes would provide an evaluation of a laboratory's accuracy. In the case of split-sample schemes, where there were large variances among laboratories there may be no useful information obtained for this task. Further, there is no practical way to insert blind samples into a laboratory's analysis stream.
3. There is no widely accepted standard method for *all* aspects of performing the Ames/*Salmonella* test. Parts of the systems audit are geared toward comparing an individual laboratory's performance to well-established standards and protocols. This lack of a validated protocol would also preclude a formal certification program.

The Mailable Audits Program

Factors considered in the mailable audits program were selection of chemicals, preparation of samples, shipment of samples, pilot-scale audits, data gathering procedures, analysis of data, schedule of audits, reporting of results, and costs. These topics are discussed in subsequent sections.

Selection of Chemicals

Some chemicals giving a positive (mutagenic) response and some chemicals giving a negative response should be included as audit materials. The physical characteristics of the chemicals should be known and should be compatible with a mailable materials program. A proposed set of audit materials would include:

- A direct-acting (no metabolic activation required) compound in a solvent
- An indirect-acting compound in a solvent
- A mixture of direct-acting compounds in solvent(s) for activating only frameshift or only base-pair sensitive bacterial strains
- A mixture of direct-acting compounds that elicit a positive response in all five bacterial strains

- A mixture of pure compounds that require metabolic activation
- A complex mixture/environmental sample
- A mixture that requires chemical fractionation to show mutagenicity.
- Nonmutagenic materials

The importance of preaudit verification of audit materials was emphasized.

Preparation of Samples

American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) methods could be used to ensure the homogeneity of solid samples. Choice of solvents would be dependent on solubility of the test materials, stability, and toxicity of the solvents to bacteria. Laboratories preparing samples should adhere to the *Guides for Quality Assurance in Environmental Health Research* and the proposed "good laboratory practices" (*Federal Register*, 11/19/76, 1/7/77, and 1/28/77).

Shipment of Audit Samples

Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, covers all modes of transport of materials within the United States, and Parts 100-177 deal with the transport of hazardous materials. In view of Title 49 regulations, three modes of transportation were considered: Federal Express, United Parcel Service (UPS), and U.S. Mail. Details of the additional regulations covering each of the three modes of transportation, as well as costs, are discussed in an appendix.

Pilot-Scale Audits

A pilot-scale audit of seven laboratories was proposed to test clarity of instructions, stability of materials during transport, time required for shipment, applicability of data entry forms, and schemes for data interpretation. A consensus among eight laboratories (the verification laboratory plus seven others) would constitute a statistically significant probability (at the 1 percent level) that the answers were, in fact, correct and not purely chance occurrences.

Scheduling of Audits

It was proposed that laboratories wishing to enter the QA program receive samples when they initially submitted data or when they asked to participate. Otherwise, all participating laboratories would receive a set of audit samples each year. For laboratories that did not show acceptable performance, second, then a third set of audit samples would be provided. After failing three attempts, a laboratory would have to wait one year before reapplying for evaluation.

Data Gathering Procedures

Of the several data systems for bioassay information that are currently available, the system designed and in current use at the Environmental Protection Agency's Health Effect Research Laboratory in Research Triangle Park (HERL-RTP) was selected as most applicable for this QA program. Data entry forms and instructions are presented as an appendix. A supplementary questionnaire, also presented in the appendixes, was recommended for initial evaluation of a laboratory.

Data Analysis

The HERL-RTP pesticide QA program served as a model. In this QA program for the Ames test, five major points will be used for evaluation:

- 1 The mutagenic/nonmutagenic (+/-) determination by the testing laboratory as compared with the expected results. The expected results would be based on published response values for the chemicals chosen as audit materials and on the preliminary and pilot audit analyses.
- 2 The mutagenic/nonmutagenic (+/-) determination by the testing laboratory as compared with the +/- determination by a reviewer of the raw data. Mathematical models have been developed that consider all raw data (plate counts on controls and samples), factor in toxicity, and give an assessment of the mutagenic or nonmutagenic nature of the sample.
- 3 The mutagenic/nonmutagenic (+/-) determination by the testing laboratory as compared to the +/-

determination by other laboratories submitting data

- 4 Positive and negative control values as reported by a testing laboratory and compared with the appropriate normal ranges. Normal ranges for controls will be determined from values published in a consensus report by NIEHS, from values determined in the preliminary tests and pilot audits program, and from a statistical analysis of the values reported by all laboratories submitting data
- 5 Ranking by the testing laboratory of the set of audit samples, from the most mutagenic to the least mutagenic within each strain/S-9 combination of bacteria, with and without metabolic activation, compared to a similar ranking according to expected results. Again, expected results will be based on determination from preliminary and pilot studies and values reported by all laboratories submitting data.

It is proposed that the following weighting scheme be applied for evaluation of a laboratory's capabilities in the Ames/Salmonella test.

Criteria	Points
1	50
2	25
3	5
4	10
5	10
	<hr/>
	100

The data interpretation model mentioned in point 1 is an extension of the Poisson model developed by Stead, Hasselblad, Creason, and Claxton of HERL-RTP. These models are described in an appendix

Reporting of Results

The QA program would generate five types of reports. First, a report of a laboratory's raw data, as encoded for interpretation, would be mailed to that laboratory. Then an executive summary of a laboratory's performance, based on the previously mentioned evaluative criteria, would be prepared for the Office of Pesticide Programs. Third, an

annual report on all aspects of the program would be produced. A fourth report would be the evaluative report mailed back to submitting laboratories. Fifth, it is anticipated that results of such a QA program would be of general interest to the scientific community and could be published in the appropriate technical and scientific journals

Costs

Costs were considered for preliminary planning (\$27,000), the pilot program (2,180 man-hours; sample preparation, sample testing, small scale audits), and the full-scale audit program (1,100 man-hours plus \$15,000 computer time, packaging and mailing, data compilation and evaluation, reporting, mailing second and third sets). For 270 sample sets and given \$30.00 per man-hour, the cost per sample set is \$520.00.

N. Sexton, L. Myers, and T. Hughes are with the Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

Larry Claxton is the EPA Project Officer (see below).

The complete report, entitled "A Plan to Develop and Implement a Quality Assurance Program for the Ames/Salmonella Test," (Order No. PB 81-182 065;

Cost: \$9.50, subject to change) will be available only from.

National Technical Information Service

5285 Port Royal Road

Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone 703-487-4650

The EPA Project Officer can be contacted at:

Health Effects Research Laboratory

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

United States
Environmental Protection
Agency

Center for Environmental Research
Information
Cincinnati OH 45268

Postage and
Fees Paid
Environmental
Protection
Agency
EPA 335



Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

PS 0000329
U S ENVIR PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 5 LIBRARY
230 S DEARBORN STREET
CHICAGO IL 60604