United States Environmental Protection Agency Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory Research Triangle Park NC 27711

Research and Development

Research Triangle Park NC 2771 EPA/600/S7-88/015 Dec. 1988



Project Summary

Fired Heaters: Nitrogen Oxides Emissions and Controls

S. Anwar Shareef, Carol L. Jamgochian, and Lawrence E. Keller

The petroleum refining and chemical manufacturing industries account for most of the fired heater energy use. An estimated 4,600 fired heaters are in operation in these two industries, Nitrogen oxides (NO_x) are formed in fired heaters by two mechanisms: thermal NO_x and fuel NO_x. This study briefly describes the design and operation of fired heaters. Descriptions of the two major industries with fired heaters and the various heater applications are presented. An estimate is made of the growth in fired heater energy demand and the number of new fired heaters to be built in the next 5 years in these industries. The factors affecting NO_x emissions from fired heaters are discussed and quantitative relationships are presented, where available. Combustion modifications and flue gas treatment controls for NO_x emissions are described. Low excess air (LEA) operation and low-NO $_{\rm X}$ burners are discussed in detail. Long-term continuous NO_{χ} emissions data for 12 petroleum refinery heaters are presented. Results of a regression model to predict the effect of stack oxygen level on NO_x emissions are used to evaluate LEA performance. This study also presents capital and annualized costs for LEA and low-NO_x burner controls.

This Project Summary was developed by EPA's Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory, Re-

search Triangle Park, NC, to announce key findings of the research project that is fully documented in a separate report of the same title (see Project Report ordering information at back).

Introduction

The purpose of this study is to characterize NO_x emissions form fired heaters, identify applicable control techniques, and present the costs associated with these control techniques. A fired heater is a heat transfer device in which heat liberated by the combustion of fuels is transferred to fluids contained in tubes. The major applications of fired heaters are in the petroleum refining and chemical manufacturing industries. There are two basic functional categories of fired heaters applications: (1) the simplest are heaters designed to increase the temperature of a feedstock stream prior to additional processing (e.g., distillation column feed preheaters and reboilers); and (2) fired reactors in which high-temperature chemical reactions are carried out in the heater tubes (e.g., steam-hydrocarbon reformers used in ammonia and methanol manufacturing, and pyrolysis furnaces used in ethylene manufacturing). The fuels used for fired heaters include natural gas, refinery gas, and various grades of fuel oil.

 NO_{x} is formed in fired heaters by two mechanisms: thermal NO_{x} formation and fuel NO_{x} formation. Thermal NO_{x} is the result of the reaction between atmospheric nitrogen and oxygen, while fuel

 ${
m NO_X}$ is the result of the reaction between fuel-bound nitrogen and oxygen. The various heater designs and operating parameters that affect ${
m NO_X}$ emissions are described in this study. When available, quantitative data are presented from previous studies showing the relationship between these parameters and ${
m NO_X}$ emissions

This study also summarizes shortterm (1-2 hour) NO_x emissions data for about 150 fired heaters in various applications. While most of these data were collected and reported in previous studies, some were obtained directly form various plants specifically for this study In addition, continuous long-term NO_x emissions data were obtained in this study for 12 heaters at three petroleum refineries, two of which continuously monitor NO_x emissions for regulatory compliance purposes. Data on one heater at the third refinery were collected over a 45-day period in a previous EPA study Table 1 describes the heaters in the continous long-term data base.

The continuous data included from about 540 to 3,400 hourly data points for each heater. The hourly measurements included NO_x emission rates, stack oxygen levels, fuel firing rate, fuel gas hydrogen content, and the energy basis ratio of gas to oil (where applicable). Table 2 presents a summary of the long-term data. These data were analyzed statistically to quantify the effect of stack oxygen level on NO_x emissions. A multiplicative functional form based on the Zeldovich mechanism for thermal NO_x formation was found to adequately correlate the data.

The basic form of the model used is:

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{C_{1}L}^{\mathbf{C_{2}}}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{C_{3}}}_{(1+\mathbf{R})}^{\mathbf{C_{4}}}_{(1+\mathbf{H})}^{\mathbf{C_{5}}}_{\mathbf{5}}$$

where:

 $E = NO_v$ emissions (lb/MM Btu)

L = fuel firing rate expressed as a fraction of the design thermal energy release

$$(L = \frac{Fuel firing rate, Btu/hr}{design thermal capacity, Btu/hr})$$

 $A = \text{stack oxygen (volume fraction of } O_2)$

R = oil fraction, ratio of oil-fired heat release to total fuel-fired heat release. When no oil is fired, R = O and model parameter C₄ has no meaningful value.

H =fuel gas hydrogen content (volume fraction of H_2). When no fuel gas hydrogen data are available, model parameter C_5 is assigned a value of zero.

 C_1 , C_2 , C_3 , C_4 , and C_5 = model coefficients that indicate the effect of the corresponding variables on NO_x emissions.

The model coefficients were fit to the NO_x emissions data using a statistical procedure known as autocorrelative regression. The autoregressive model accounts for any effects on the coefficients of autocorrelation associated with the sequential nature of the data. Using the results of the regression analysis, NO levels were predicted for the 12 heaters at various oxygen levels. This allowed for a determination of the effectiveness of low excess air (LEA) operation on NO_x emissions as well as for a comparison of NO_X emissions from different heater/burner types at the same stack oxygen level.

The two major combustion modification control techniques discussed in this report were LEA operation and low-NO_x burners. LEA operation of fired heaters can be achieved by (1) manual damper control systems based on oxygen monitoring and increased operator attention, and (2) automatic damper control systems based on oxygen monitoring (and/or other process monitoring) and microprocessor control. Low-NO_x burners can be used alone or in combination with LEA operation. The major type of low-NO_x burner is the staged air burner which employs staged air addition to the fuel stream. A more recent type of low-NOx burner is the staged fuel burner which also uses staged combustion to reduce NO_x formation, but with reversed staging.

Cost estimates were made for LEA and low- $NO_{\rm X}$ burner controls. The energy credits associated with LEA operation were also estimated

Conclusions

The following conclusions were derived from this study:

 The petroleum refining and petrochemical industries account for the major fired heater use. The estimated fired heater energy consumption in the petroleum refining industry in 1985 is approximately 2.2 x 10¹⁴ Btu/yr.* The 1985 chemical industry fired heater energy consumption is estimated to be 6.8 x 10¹⁴ Btu/yr.

• The annual increase in fired heater energy consumption in the petroleum refinery industry is estimated to be 14.6 x 10¹² Btu/hr. It is projected tha approximately 80 new fired heaters will be built in the petroleum refining industry over the next 5 years.

 The annual increase in fired heater energy consumption in the chemical industry is estimated to be about 14.7 x 1012 Btu/hr. About 100 fired heaters are projected to be built in the chemical industry over the next 5 years.

• Almost 100 percent of the fired heate applications in the petroleum refining industry are low and medium temperature heaters. About 81 percen (energy basis) of the fired heate applications in the chemical industry are high temperature heaters (ethylene pyrolysis furnaces and steam hydrocarbon reformers).

 The major heater design parameters affecting NO_x emissions are fuel type (i.e., N₂ content of the fuel), burne type, use of combustion preheat, and firebox temperature.

 The major heater operating parameter affecting NO_x emissions are excess ai level, degree of combustion as preheat, and oil/gas ratio for heater firing combined fuel.

The control techniques that have been used on fired heaters in commercial applications include low excess ai (LEA) operation, low-NO_X burners staged air lances, flue gas recirculation selective catalytic reduction, an selective noncatalytic reduction.

 LEA control systems are applicable to all fired heaters. Manual and automatic damper control systems designed to reduce excess air levels can be used with natural or mechanical draft and with gas, oil, or gas/oil combination

 At least 55 fired heaters use automati LEA control systems and many other use manual LEA control system based on oxygen monitoring.

 The target stack oxygen level for mos heaters operating with LEA is 2-39.
 The lowest long-term average oxyge level for heaters in the data base wa 2%.

 The average stack oxygen level for about 180 heaters for which data were obtained in this study was 5.5%.

^{*}To convert to metric 1 Btu = 10543 J or 0 252 Kcal

- Statistical analysis of continuous long-term NO_x emissions data for 12 petroleum refinery heaters indicated an average of 9% reduction in NO_x emissions per 1% reduction in stack oxygen level. The individual reduction in NO_x emissions ranged from 4.4 to 16.4%. Table 3 shows the predicted variation in NO_x at 100% load and varying stack oxygen levels.
- No effect of burner or draft type was found on the NO_x/O₂ relationship.
- Two major burner vendors have indicated that about 50 to 65% of their new burner sales are low-NO_x burners.
- No conclusion about the performance of low-NO_x burners could be derived from the continuous monitoring data because other design differences between heaters obscure the effect of low-NO_x burners
- Test-scale data from burner vendors indicate that NO_x emission reduction with the use of staged air burners ranges from 38 to 40% compared to conventional burners NO_x emission reduction associated with the use of staged fuel burners ranges from 70 to 72% compared to conventional burners
- Staged combustion air lances have been demonstrated for 15 days on a natural-gas-fired, natural draft heater. The same heater was retrofitted with forced draft and tests were conducted for 30 days with staged air lances
- Regression modeling results showed that at a fixed stack oxygen level, natural draft air lances reduced NO_x emissions by 50 to 60% relative to baseline (without staged air lances). Forced draft air lances further reduced emissions by 30 to 50% relative to natural draft air lances.

Table 1 Description of Heaters in the Long Term Continuous Monitoring NO_x Emissions Data Base

| Data Source ^b | | ۴ | 1 | 1 | r | ~ | 2 | ო | Fa - Fa | 1 | 8 | 2 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Burner Model No. | #30 FA VHESP | VYD-PC-22 | VPM-12 | VDPM-18 | VDPM-18 | DBAc | LN-PC-14 | LNC-16 | MA-PC-16 ^d MA-PC-20 ^d | PLNV-50 | LN-PC-18 | LN-PC-16 |
| Burner Manufacturer | National Airoil | John Zink | John Zink | John Zink | John Zink | John Zink | John Zink | John Zink | John Zink | John Zink | John Zink | John Zink |
| Number of Burners | 9 | 1 | 02 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 80 | ო | 8 1 | 1 | 80 | 4 |
| Heater Capacity (MMBtu.hr) | 287 | 8.6 | 708 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 87.0 | 39.6 | 18 | 86.3 | 9.8 | 110.6 | 37.0 |
| Heater Designation | Vacuum Crude | Naphtha Desulfurizer | Naphtha Reformer | Desulfurizer | Gas-Oil | Reformer Charge | Light Ends | Atmospheric Crude | Atmospheric Crude | Naphtha Desulfurizer | Atmospheric Crude | Atmospheric Crude |
| Plant Heater Designation | F-20 | F-603 | F-601 | F-602 | F-602 | 9H1-9H4 (common stock) | 12 - H1 | į | F-1 | F-603 | 10 - H1 | 11 - H1 |
| Water Burnera Classification | G - RGB - ND | G - RGB - ND | G - PMB - ND | G - PMB - ND | G - PMB - ND | G - SAB - ND | G - SAB - ND | G - SAB - ND | G - SAB - ND | G - RGB - MD/PH | 0.G - SAB - ND | O.G - SAB - ND |
| Heater Code | ¥ | В | O | Q | ш | щ | G | I | - | 7 | × | 7 |

a Fuel Type - burner type - draft type, preheat.

Notation G = gas-fired, O.G = combination of oil and gas fired, RGB = conventional raw gas burner; PMB = conventional premix burner; SAB = staged air burner;

ND = natural draft, MD = mechanical draft, PH = combustion air preheat.

ND = natural draft, MD = mechanical draft, PH = combustion air preheat.

Data Source Key

1 = USA Petrochem refinery in Ventura, CA.

2 = Getty Refining and Marketing Company refinery in Bakersfield, CA.

3 = Report EPA,600.7-83.010 (NTIS PB 83-168 633).

C Modified with steam injection for lower NOx production.

d Modified with air staging for lower NOx production.

d Modified with air staging for lower NOx production.

e To convert to metric. MMBtu/hr (106 Btu/hr) = 0.2929 MW_t.

Table 2. Summary of Data in the Long Term Continuous Monitoring NO_x Emissions Data Base

| Heater Code | 2.0 | Water/Burner ^a Classification | Test Period | Parameter | Number ^c Data Points | Average | Range | Standard Deviation |
|----------------|-----|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| ₹ | 5 | G - RGB - ND | 11/81 to 4/82 | Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtu:hr) ^d NO _x Emissions (Ib:MMBtu) ^d | 3448 3445 3445 | 5.06 23.6 0.11 | 0.3-12.3 13.2-40.4 0.03-0.24 | 1.92 6.94 0.042 |
| В | 5 | G - RGB - ND | 11/81 to 12/81 | Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtuihr) NO _x Emissions (Ib!MMBtu) | 1399 1401 1399 | 8.76 5.6 0.10 | 1.4-15.7 2.1-7.1 0.03-0.17 | 3.10 0.45 0.026 |
| O | 5 | G - PMB - ND | 7/78 to 12/78 | Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr) NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr) | 2096 3095 3095 3321 | 3.15 48.9 0.09 3.81 | 0.1-17.0 13.0-65.0 0-0.28 0.3-12.9 18.0-43.1 | 7.43 6.4 0.03 7.75 2.8 |
| Q | 5 | G - PMB - ND | 7/78 to 12/78 | NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firng Rate (MMBtu/hr) NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) | 3321 3088 3096 3084 | 0.10 2.85 7.6 0.10 | 0 01-0.27 0.2-16.5 0.5-12.3 0.004-0.29 | 0.03 1.65 1.5 0.028 |
| E | 9 | G - PMB - ND | 11/81 to 4/82 | Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr) NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) | 3035 3034 3030 | 8.52 8.1 0.13 | 1.7-16.0 4.7-13.2 0.005-0.26 | 3.19 1.4 0.046 |
| u. | Ġ | G - SAB - ND | 2/81 to 10/81 3/82 to 4/82 | Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr) Gas Fuel H ₂ , Content (%) NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr) Gas Fuel H ₂ , Content (%) | 1079 1084 1149 1076 547 546 533 | 5.5 71.3 39.1 0.10 7.3 45.0 | 2.7-8.4 4.7-83.8 30.1-49.6 0.05-0.16 3.7-9.1 12.7-96.3 40.1-50.5 0.09-0.17 | 2. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. |
| g | Ġ | G - SAB - ND | 3/82 to 4/82 | Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr) Gas Fuel H ₂ , Content (%) NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) | 544 544 712 544 | 4.4 35.4 45.0 0.13 | 1.6-12.4 6.0-77 6 40.1-50.5 0.07-0.18 | 1.2 5.6 3.7 0.02 |
| I | 6 | G - SAB - ND | 7/81 to 9/81 | Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr) NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) | 2786 2827 2786 | 5.85 14.16 0.103 | 0.80-14.0 0.0-16.83 0.003-0.133 | 1.9 1.6 0.012 |

Table 2. (Continued)

| Standard Deviation | 2.09 7.7 0.021 | 3.61 0.51 0.049 1.85 1.07 0.045 | 7.5 7.8.7 7.00 0.00 9.3 7.5 0.00 0.00 | 20.3 20.3 8.0 0.01 3.3 10.9 |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| Range | 0.1-19.0 6.9-69.3 0.008-0.16 | 0.6-17.8 2.6-6.3 0.07-0.37 1.1-11.0 2.67-6.19 0.046-0.309 | 1.0-9.0 19.8-136.7 6.6-61.7 20.0-45.0 0.07-0.16 1.1-9.3 45.8-171.0 40.1-50.5 2.2-51.4 0.10-0.18 | 0.9-11.4 19.8-44.5 6.6-61.7 0.0-40.0 0.04-0.12 0.4-12.1 3.6-36.1 40.1-50.5 0.02-45.4 |
| Average | 2.11 56.0 0.08 | 9.04 3.9 0.22 5.77 6.05 | 3.5 4.3.4 3.7.0 4.1.2 4.5.0 4.5.0 4.0.3 4.0.0 | 3.9 2.7.3 6.0 3.0 3.9 6.0 6.0 6.0 0.10 |
| Number ^c Data Points | 2987 2997 2987 | 1888 1888 1877 721 721 | 933 934 934 934 933 712 712 914 | 757 758 935 758 757 741 712 541 |
| Parameter | Stack O_2 (%) Fuel Fring Rate (MMBtuihr) ^b NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) | Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr) NO _x Emissions (Ib:MMBtu) Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr) NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) | Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtulhr) Gas Fuel H ₂ , Content (%) Oil: Gas Ratio (%) NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtulhr) Gas Fuel H ₂ , Content (%) Oil: Gas Ratio (%) | Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Fring Rate (MMBtulhr) Gas Fuel H ₂ , Content (%) Oil: Gas Ratio (%) NO _x Emissions (Ib/MMBtu) Stack O ₂ (%) Fuel Firing Rate (MMBtulhr) Gas Fuel H ₂ , Content (%) Oil: Gas Ratio (%) |
| Test Penod | 7/78 to 12/78 | 1/82 to 4/82 7/84 to 8/84 | 2/81 to 10/81 3/82 to 4/82 | 2/81 to 10/81 3/82 to 4/82 |
| Water/Burner ^a Classification | G - SAB - ND | G - RGB - MD/РН | 0/G - SAB - ND | 0/G - SAB - ND |
| Heater Code | _ | `` | × | 7 |

aSee Table 1, footnote (a) for explanation of notation.
bBased on fuel gas meter reading and assuming 1000 Btu/scf.
cNumber of hourly averages.
dFor conversion to metric: MMBtu/hr (10⁶ Btu/hr) = 0.2929 MW_t, and 1 lb/MMBtu (1 lb/10⁶ Btu) = 430 ng/J.

Table 3. Variation of NO_x Emissions with Stack Oxygen for Heaters in the Long Term Continuous Monitoring NO_x Emissions Data Base

| ; | | | Pred | icted NO _x Emissions at Fi | Predicted NO _x Emissions at Full Load and Varying Stack Oxygen Levels, (Ib/MMBtu/b | Oxygen Levels, (Ib/MMBt | du |
|----------------|---|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Heater Code | Heater, Burner Classification ^a | Test Period | 2% O ₂ | 3% O ₂ | 4% O ₂ | 5% O ₂ | 5.5% O ₂ |
| A | G - RGB - ND | 11,81 to 4,82 First Part | 0.091 (0.086, 0.096) | 0 107 (0 102, 0 113) | 0.121 (0.115, 0.127) | 0.133 (0.126, 0.140) | 0.140 (0.133, 0.147) |
| | | Second Part | 0 049 (0.048, 0.051) | 0.062 (0.059, 0.064) | 0.072 (0.070, 0.075) | 0.082 (0.079, 0.084) | 0.085 (0.082, 0.087) |
| В | G - RGB - ND | 11,81 to 12/81 | 0.065 (0.060, 0.070) | 0.073 (0.068, 0.078) | 0.079 (0.074, 0.084) | 0.084 (0.079, 0.089) | 0.089 (0.084, 0.094) |
| U | G - PMB - ND | 7,78 to 12,78 | 0 074 (0.069, 0.079) | 0.089 (0.083, 0.095) | 0.101 (0.094, 0.108) | 0.111 (0.104, 0.119) | 0.117 (0.109, 0.125) |
| | | 11.81 to 4.82 First Part | 0.061 (0.051, 0.073) | 0.082 (0.069, 0.098) | 0.101 (0.085, 0.122) | 0.120 (0.100, 0.143) | 0.130 (0.110, 0.150) |
| | | Second Part | 0 076 (0.073, 0 080) | 0.111 (0.105, 0.166) | 0.144 (0.137, 0.152) | 0.177 (0.168, 0.187) | 0.193 (0.183, 0.203) |
| Q | G - PMB - ND | 7.78 to 12.78 | 0.097 | 0.208 (0.104, 0.122) | 0.117 (0.113, 0.122) | 0.125 (0.120, 0.130) | 0.129 |
| E | G - PMB - ND | 11.81 to 4.82 First Part | 0 081 (0 070, 0.093) | 0 092 (0.081, 0.104) | 0 100 (0 090, 0 112) | 0.108 (0.097, 0.119) | 0.110 (0.099, 0.121) |
| | | Second Part | 0 036 (0.031, 0 042) | 0.054 (0.046, 0.062) | 0.071 | 0.088 (0.076, 0.101) | 0.096 (0.083, 0.154) |
| ī | G - SAB - ND | 2.81 to 10.81 First Part $(H_2 = 37.4\%)$ | 0 060 (0 050, 0 073) | 0 77 (0 068. 0.088) | 0.092 (0.085, 0.100) | 0.105 | 0.113 (0.107, 0.119) |
| | | Second Part $(H_2 = 39.8\%)$ | 0 046 (0 043, 0.048) | 0 060 (0 058. 0 063) | 0.074 (0.072, 0.076) | 0.086 (0.084, 0.088) | 0.091 (0.089, 0.093) |
| | | 3 82 to 4 82 | 0 083 (0 074, 0 092) | 0 093 (0 086, 0 100) | 0.101 | 0.107 | 0.111 (0.106, 0.116) |

Table 3. (Continued)

| Heater | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Heater/Burner Classification ^a | Test Period | 2% 02 | 3% O ₂ | 4% O ₂ | 5% O ₂ | 5.5% O ₂ |
| o o | G - SAB - ND | 3/82 to 4/82 | 0 093 (0.091, 0.096) | 0.109 (0.106, 0.111) | 0.121 (0.118, 0.124) | 0.131 (0.128, 0.135) | 0.137 (0.133, 0.141) |
| I | G - SAB - ND | 8/81 to 9/81 | 0.093 (0 064, 0.122) | 0.100 (0.071, 0.129) | 0.106 (0.078, 0.134) | 0.110 (0.082, 0.138) | 0.112 (0.084, 0.140) |
| _ | G - SAB - ND | 7/78 to 12/78 | 0.104 (0.099, 0.109) | 0 111 (0.105, 0.116) | 0.116 (0.110, 0.122) | 0.119 (0.113, 0.126) | 0.123 (0.116, 0.130) |
| 2 | G - RGB - MD/PH | 1/82 to 4/82 | 0 125 (0.115, 0.136) | 0.150 (0.139, 0.163) | 0.172 (0.159, 0.186) | 0.190 (0.176, 0.206) | 0.198 (0.182, 0.214) |
| | ! | 7,84 to 8/84 | 0 136 | 0.173 | 0.205 | 0.234 | 0.247 |
| × | O/G - SAB - ND | 2/81 to $10/81$ $(H_2 = 43.4\%)$ (Oil = 31.0%) (% N = 0.63) | 0.099 (0 093, 0.104) | 0.111 | 0.120 (0.114, 0.127) | 0.128 (0.121, 0.136) | 0.131 (0.123, 0.139) |
| | | 3.82 to 4.82 $(H_2 = 46.6\%)$ (Oil = 17%) (%N = 0.63) | 0.112 (0.107, 0.117) | 0 127 (0.124, 0 131) | 0.140 (0.137, 0.143) | 0.150 (0.147, 0.154) | 0.157 (0.153, 0.161) |
| 7 | O.G - SAB - ND | 2/81 to 10/81 First Part (Oil = 3%) (%N = 0.63) | 0 051 (0 046, 0 057) | 0.057 (0.051, 0.064) | 0.062 (0.055, 0.069) | 0.065 (0.058, 0.073) | 0.068 (0.060, 0.076) |
| | | Second Part $(Oil = 7\%)$ | 0 051 (0 049, 0 054) | 0.058 (0.055, 0.061) | 0.063 (0.059, 0.066) | 0.067 (0.063, 0.071) | 0.068 (0.064, 0.072) |
| | | 3.82 to 4.82 (Oil = 13%) (%N = 0.63) | 0 086 (0 083, 0 089) | 0.100 (0.097, 0.103) | 0.111 (0.107, 0.115) | 0.120 (0.116, 0.124) | 0.124 (0.120, 0.128) |

^aSee Table 1, footnote (a) for explanation of notation. ^bThe confidence interval for each predicted value is given in parentheses.

S. A. Shareef, C. L. Jamgochian, and L. E. Keller are with Radian Corp., Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

John H. Wasser is the EPA Project Officer (see below).

The complete report, entitled "Fired Heaters: Nitrogen Oxides Emissions and Controls," (Order No. PB 88-245 741/AS; Cost: \$21.95, subject to change) will be available only from:

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone: 703-487-4650 The EPA Project Officer can be contacted at:

Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Center for Environmental Research Information Cincinnati OH 45268

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

EPA/600/S7-88/015

PS 0000329 U S ENVIR PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 LIBRARY 230 S DEARBORN STREET CHICAGO IL 60604