

FOOD HABITS AND RADIONUCLIDE TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS  
OF NEVADA DESERT BIGHORN SHEEP 1972-1973

Monitoring Systems Research and Development Division  
Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory  
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
Las Vegas, NV 89114

Published June 1976

This study performed under a Memorandum  
of Understanding No. AT(26-1)-539  
for the  
U.S. ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, sub-contractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately-owned rights.

AVAILABLE FROM THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
SPRINGFIELD, VA 22161

PRICE: PAPER COPY \$5.45 MICROFICHE \$2.25

FOOD HABITS AND RADIONUCLIDE TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS  
OF NEVADA DESERT BIGHORN SHEEP 1972-1973

by  
K. W. Brown, D. D. Smith, D. E. Bernhardt,<sup>\*</sup>  
K. R. Giles, and J. B. Helvie<sup>\*\*</sup>  
Monitoring Systems Research and Development Division  
Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory  
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
Las Vegas, NV 89114

Published June 1976

This study performed under a Memorandum  
of Understanding No. AT(26-1)-539  
for the  
U.S. ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

<sup>\*</sup>Mr. Bernhardt is Chief, Artificial Radioactivity Section, Office of Radiation Programs, Las Vegas Facility.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Mr. Helvie is Refuge Manager of the Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, Rt. 1, Box 311, Willows, CA 95988.

Effective June 29, 1975, the National Environmental Research Center-Las Vegas (NERC-LV) was designated the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory-Las Vegas (EMSL-LV). This Laboratory is one of three Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratories of the Office on Monitoring and Technical Support in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Research and Development.

FOOD HABITS AND RADIONUCLIDE TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS OF NEVADA DESERT  
BIGHORN SHEEP 1972-1973\*

Kenneth W. Brown, Donald D. Smith,  
David E. Bernhardt, and Kenneth R. Giles  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory  
P. O. Box 15027  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

Jack B. Helvie  
Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services  
Rt. 1, Box 311  
Willows, CA 95988

Abstract: The botanical composition of the diet and radionuclide content of selected tissues of desert bighorn sheep (Ovis canadensis nelsoni) collected during the 1972 and 1973 hunting seasons were determined by analyzing rumen contents, and lung, liver, kidney, and bone tissues.

Botanical examination of the rumen contents showed that grass exceeded 50% of the diet of 10 of 14 animals collected in 1972 and 12 of 18 animals collected in 1973. Desert needlegrass (Stipa speciosa), Indian rice grass (Oryzopsis hymenoides), and squirrel tail (Sitanion hystrix) were the major grasses utilized. The dominant shrub species consumed included the joint firs (Ephedra viridis) and (Ephedra nevadensis), Mohave yucca (Yucca schidigera), and cliff rose (Cowania mexicana).

---

\* Presented at the 19th Annual Meeting of the Desert Bighorn Council, April 9-11, 1975, Indio, California.

With the exception of potassium-40, gamma-emitting radionuclides were not detected in desert bighorn sheep tissue. The tritium levels reported were within environmental levels. Strontium-90 levels averaged 4.9 and 4.1 pCi/gram of bone ash for 1972 and 1973, respectively, continuing the downward trend observed in recent years. Uranium levels were similar to those reported from cattle grazing the same general geographic areas. The daily consumption for one year of 500 grams of liver containing the highest levels of plutonium and uranium would result in a dose to the human bone, the tissue expected to receive the highest dose, of approximately 1 mrem/year. This is less than 1% of the radiation protection guides for the general population.

---

## INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service of the U.S. Department of Interior and the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory-Las Vegas (EMSL-LV) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency have in past years initiated and cooperated in many investigations concerning the desert bighorn sheep (Ovis canadensis nelsoni). The information and data obtained from these cooperative studies such as reported by Helvie and Smith (1970), Kramp (1965), and Cohen (1968), have contributed not only to a greater understanding of this animal's behavior, but also to better management practices.

Investigations to determine radionuclide concentrations in various tissues of wildlife species have been conducted for many years by the Animal Investigation Program (AIP) of EMSL-LV. This program was established in 1957 by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC). (Recently renamed the Energy Research and Development Administration, ERDA). The program assesses fission and activation products in biological samples, maintains veterinary relations with off-site populations, and investigates alleged damage to domestic animals from the ERDA testing activities.

During the past few years, a number of studies have been conducted in Nevada in areas inhabited by desert bighorn sheep. These investigations have been conducted in both southern Nevada and central Nevada. The emphasis has been either on habitat analysis or vegetative surveys and, in some cases, food habit analyses. A few reports have been published concerning radionuclide concentration in selected tissues of big game animals in the southern Nevada area.

The data from most of these reports have been incorporated into a soon to be published book entitled, The Desert Bighorn, Its Life, History, Ecology, and Management, edited by Lowell Sumner and Gale Monson (1971). Other data, which will be extremely useful to investigators, include bighorn habitat evaluations by Ferrier and Bradley (1971) and Breyen (1971), and the floras of the Virgin and the McCullough Mountains by Armstrong (1969) and Bostick (1973).

Most of the data dealing with radionuclide concentrations in desert bighorn sheep have been reported in AIP annual progress reports. Some additional data have been published in Desert Bighorn Council Transactions. These papers were authored by Smith (1971), Kramp (1965), and Fountain (1962).

In 1972, a cooperative study between the two Federal agencies mentioned and the Nevada Fish and Game Commission was initiated to identify species composition of vegetation in the rumen ingesta of desert bighorn sheep and to determine the radioactive concentration in selected tissues. The data presented in this report are from animals collected during the 1972 hunt from November 16 to December 17, and from the 1973 hunt from November 17 to December 16.

The authors are indebted to personnel of the Region III Headquarters of the Nevada Department of Fish and Game for disseminating sample collection kits and receiving samples from participating hunters. The cooperation of these sportsmen in collecting samples from their kills made this study possible.

## METHODS

Prior to the 1972 and 1973 hunting seasons, hunters were given an indoctrination course which emphasized the identification of legally harvestable rams. During this course, each hunter was requested, on a voluntary basis, to collect the following tissues,



lung, liver, kidney, and bone, and to collect a sample of rumen ingesta.

The samples were delivered to EMSL-LV for radionuclide and food habit analyses. All samples except the bone were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides on a 4- by 4-inch NaI(Tl) crystal attached to a 400-channel pulse height analyzer. Kidney samples were analyzed for tritium using the method described previously by Moghissi et al. (1973).

The samples for strontium, plutonium, and uranium analyses were prepared by dry ashing. Plutonium and uranium were analyzed by alpha spectroscopy (Talvitie, 1971 and 1972). Other radionuclide analytical procedures used at the NERC-LV are described by Johns (1970).

The botanical analysis of the rumen ingesta was accomplished by examining a random aliquot of the ingesta with a binocular microscope. The sample was washed on a fine mesh screen preparatory to examination. After the species in the sample were identified, a visual estimate of the percentage of each species was made and recorded (Leach, 1956). No effort was made to determine the radionuclide content of individual species found in the rumen ingesta.

The browse and forb species were identified according to Munz (1965) and grasses according to Hitchcock (1950).

In 1972, the tissues and rumen ingesta from 14 animals were analyzed. All were harvested in southern Nevada with eight collected on the Desert National Wildlife Range, two from the Meadow Valley Range, two from the Muddy Mountain area, and one each from the McCullough Mountains and the Eldorado Mountains. These rams ranged from 4 to 11 years of age.

In 1973, 18 animals were sampled. Similar to the 1972 hunt, most of these animals were collected in southern Nevada with 10 coming from the Desert National Wildlife Range. Of the remaining eight animals, three were collected in the Muddy Mountains-Boulder Wash area, two from the Eldorado Mountains, one from the Meadow Valley Range area, one from the Highland Range, and one from central Nevada near the Lone Mountain in Esmeralda County. These rams ranged from 4 to 10 years of age.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Food Habits Analysis

The animals collected on the Desert National Wildlife Range will be discussed and presented as a single grouping, because of their geographical relationship. The data from the remaining animals, except the ram harvested in Esmeralda County during the 1973 hunt, will be composited and presented as another separate group. The

compositing of data from the latter group was done because of the small sample size collected from any specific geographical area.

Tables 1 and 2 show the botanical composition of forage utilized by desert bighorn sheep collected on the Desert National Wildlife Range in 1972 and 1973, respectively. The data show that in 1972 grasses exceeded 70% of the diet of five of the eight animals collected, with two having less than 15% and 40%. Browse species contributed an average of 40% composition, with animals No. 1 and No. 4 having in excess of 85%. The forbs in the rumen contents of these eight animals averaged less than 1.0%. However, wild buckwheat (Eriogonum spp.) was present in 75% of the desert bighorn sheep collected. Identified grasses included blue grass (Poa spp.) and desert needlegrass (Stipa speciosa) which contributed an average of 2.4% and 1.0%, respectively, to the diet of these animals while unidentified grasses constituted 53.2% of the diet. Cliff rose (Cowania mexicana), the joint firs (Ephedra viridis) and (Ephedra nevadensis), and big sagebush (Artemisia tridentata) were the major browse species consumed, contributing 12.8%, 10.6%, 9.8%, and 5.9% of the diet, respectively.

In 1973, the type of vegetation consumed by the ten animals collected on the Desert National Wildlife Range was comparable to that utilized by the eight animals collected in 1972. Grasses

made up slightly more than 50% of the diet with browse species contributing 45%. Forb species consumption increased over 1972 with the spurge (Euphorbia spp.) and other unidentified species contributing over half of the forbs utilized. A total of seven grasses were identified in the ingesta with four of these contributing an average of 39.4% of the diet. The frequency of occurrence of these four species in the rumen was 80% for Stipa speciosa, 70% for Indian rice grass (Oryzopsis hymenoides), 50% for squirrel tail (Sitanion hystrix), and 60% for Hilaria jamesii. The major difference in browse species utilized between the two years was the presence of the Mohave yucca (Yucca schidigera). This species, which was absent in 1972, contributed over 17.0% of the diet and occurred in 70% of the animals in 1973. Other important browse species included Ephedra viridis, Cowania mexicana, four-winged saltbush (Atriplex canescens), and Artemisia tridentata.

Tables 3 and 4 show the average botanical composition and frequency of occurrence of plant species eaten by the second group of animals harvested in southern Nevada from areas other than the Desert National Wildlife Range during the 1972 and 1973 hunting seasons. Grasses were the dominant type of vegetation by composition found in these animals with 73% in 1972 and 72% in 1973. Forbs exceeded 13% of the diet in the 1973 animals with Euphorbia spp. accounting for 7.8% of this total. The amount of forbs in the ingesta of the 1972 animals, 1.2% (Table 3), and that consumed by the 1973 animals,

harvested on the Desert National Wildlife Range, 0.7% (Table 1), are comparable. The occurrence of browse species for both groups was approximately the same with Ephedra viridis heading the list followed by Cowania mexicana, Ephedra nevadensis, and Artemisia tridentata. Yucca schidigera was present in both groups of 1973 animals (Tables 2 and 4); however, the difference is quite significant. An explanation for this difference is difficult as Yucca schidigera is commonly found in all the bighorn habitats. The preferred grasses were approximately the same as those found in the animals collected on the Desert National Wildlife Range, with Oryzopsis hymenoides, Stipa speciosa, Sitanion hystrix, and Hilaria jamesii being dominant.

The single ram harvested on the Lone Mountain in Esmeralda County had nearly 100% grass in its rumen. There was only a trace amount of Eriogonium spp. in the ingesta and no browse species. The preferred grasses included Stipa speciosa followed by Sitanion hystrix, and giant wild rye (Elymus cinereus). The data obtained from this animal substantiated the work done by Yoakum (1964). He concluded that grasses were the preferred food during the fall and winter months in this area.

The results of the food habit analysis from all these animals which were collected in a variety of geographical areas in southern Nevada, support previous investigations by Barrett (1964),

Yoakum (1966), and Browning (1971). They reported that grasses made up more than 50% of the diet of animals collected on the Desert National Wildlife Range, the Meadow Valley Range, and near the Potosi Mountain.

#### Radionuclide Content of Selected Tissues

The radionuclide data for each tissue from each animal sampled during 1972 and 1973 are presented in the AIP annual reports authored by Smith et al. (1975 a, b). These data are summarized in Tables 5 and 6 and in the following discussion. The data are expressed as pCi or fCi. A pCi is  $10^{-12}$  Curies and a fCi is  $10^{-15}$  Curies. The Curie is a group of units indicating  $3.7 \times 10^{10}$  disintegrations per second or the disintegration rate of one gram of radium.

Other than the naturally occurring potassium-40, gamma-emitting radionuclides did not exceed minimum detectable activities (MDA) in any of the tissues sampled during 1972 or 1973. The rumen contents occasionally contained detectable levels of zirconium-95, ruthenium-103, cesium-137, and cerium-141.

Tritium levels in the aqueous portion of the kidneys during 1972 ranged from 260 to 1,800 pCi/liter (median value 355 pCi/liter) while the 1973 levels ranged from 240 to 730 pCi/liter (median value of 390 pCi/liter). These values are considered to be within

environmental limits as the water from Lake Mead in 1973 averaged 890 pCi/liter (range of 640 to 1,300 pCi/liter) as reported by the Monitoring Operations Division of EMSL-LV (1974).

The average strontium-90 content in the 1972 bones was 4.9 pCi/gram of ash and 4.1 pCi/gram of ash in the 1973 samples. This continues the downward trend observed in recent years; e.g., the average for 1971 was 5.8 pCi/gram of ash.

As reflected in Tables 5 and 6, bone (hock) was the only tissue sampled during both years in sufficient numbers to allow meaningful comparison of actinide values. The actinide levels in desert bighorn sheep are generally of the same magnitude as reported in cattle from similar areas. Uranium values were higher in animals collected in southern Nevada than in animals from the Desert National Wildlife Range. This was also found in a 1972 study with cattle from an area near Searchlight, Nevada, and from the Nevada Test Site (Smith et al. 1976). This probably reflects the levels of naturally occurring uranium present in the soils of the two areas (Garside 1973).

Human dose estimates, for assumed ingestion of the liver from bighorn sheep, are given in Table 7. The estimates are for human bone, based on postulated consumption of 500 grams of liver per day from the animal containing the highest measured concentrations of

plutonium and uranium. The concentrations of radionuclides, in pCi per kilogram of wet tissue versus gram of ash, are taken from the tabulation by Smith et al. (1975 a, b).

The dose calculation parameters are based on the data and assumptions of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (1959, 1968). Details concerning the calculations of the dose conversion parameters are given by Smith et al. (1976).

The total dose commitment to the bone, accrued over a 50-year period after ingestion for one year, is 7 mrem.\* The total dose rate at the end of one year's ingestion (not given in Table 7) is about 1 mrem/year. This is less than 1% of the radiation protection guides of the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (1971) for a sample of the general population.

It is obvious from these calculations that the consumption of the flesh of these animals would pose little hazard to the public.

Insufficient data are available to draw any conclusions on possible radiation effects on the desert bighorn sheep. However, detrimental or deleterious effects have not been observed in domestic animals whose tissues contained similar levels of radionuclides. Moreover, pathological lesions have not been found at necropsy that could be attributed to ionizing radiation.

---

\*The mrem is 0.001 rem, a radiation dosimetry quantity that considers energy absorption and biological effectiveness.



## LITERATURE CITED

- Armstrong, J. D., 1969. Vegetation of the Virgin Mountains, Clark County, Nevada. A thesis. University of Nevada, Las Vegas. 106 pp.
- Barrett, R. H., 1964. Seasonal Food Habits of the Bighorn at the Desert Game Range, Nevada. Desert Bighorn Council Transactions (8) pp. 85-93.
- Bostick, V. B., 1973. Vegetation of the McCullough Mountains, Clark County, Nevada. A thesis. University of Nevada, Las Vegas. 232 pp.
- Breyen, L. J., 1971. Desert Bighorn Habitat Evaluation in the Eldorado Mountains of Southern Nevada. A thesis. University of Nevada, Las Vegas. 96 pp.
- Browning, B. M., 1972. The Desert Bighorn, Its Life History, Ecology and Management. Edited for the Desert Bighorn Council by Lowell Sumner and Gale Monson. Chapter 4. Food. (Preprint).
- Cohen, S. L., 1968. Amputation of the Forelimb of a Desert Bighorn Sheep. Desert Bighorn Council Transactions (12) pp. 28-39.
- The Desert Bighorn, Its Life History, Ecology and Management. Edited for the Desert Bighorn Council by Lowell Sumner and Gale Monson. 1971. (In review).
- Ferrier, G. J. and W. G. Bradley, 1970. Bighorn Habitat in the Highland Range. Desert Bighorn Council Transactions (14) pp. 66-93.
- Fountain, E. L., 1962. Nuclear Test Program as It is Related to the Desert Bighorn Sheep. Desert Bighorn Council Transactions (6) pp. 62-67.
- Garside, L. J., 1973. Radioactive Mineral Occurrences in Nevada. Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology. University of Nevada, Reno. Bulletin 81. 121 pp.
- Helvie, J. B. and D. D. Smith, 1970. Summary of Necropsy Findings in Desert Bighorn Sheep. Desert Bighorn Council Transactions (14) pp. 28-42.

- Hitchcock, A. S., 1950. Manual of the Grasses of the United States. U.S. Department of Agriculture Misc. Publ. 200, 1051 pp. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection, 1959. Report of Committee II on Permissible Dose for Internal Radiation, Report 2.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection, 1968. Evaluation of Radiation Doses to Body Tissues from Internal Contamination Due to Occupational Exposure, Report 10.
- Johns, F. B., 1970. Southwestern Radiological Health Laboratory Handbook of Radiochemical Analytical Methods. SWRHL-11. Southwestern Radiological Health Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada. 122 pp.
- Kramp, R. C., 1965. Preliminary Report on <sup>90</sup>Sr Levels in Hock Joints of Desert Bighorn Sheep and Mule Deer. Desert Bighorn Council Transactions (9) pp. 87-92.
- Leach, H. R., 1956. Food Habits of the Great Basin Deer Herds of California. California Fish and Game No. 4. Vol. 42. pp. 243-308.
- Moghissi, A. A., E. W. Bretthauer, and E. H. Compton, 1973. Separation of Water from Biological and Environmental Samples for Tritium Analysis. Analytical Chem. Vol. 45. pp. 1565-1566.
- Monitoring Operations Laboratory, 1974. Environmental Monitoring Report for the Nevada Test Site and Other Test Areas Used for Underground Nuclear Detonations. NERC-LV-539-31. National Environmental Research Center-Las Vegas, Las Vegas, Nevada. 106 pp.
- Munz, P. A. and D. D. Keck, 1965. A California Flora. University of California Press. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, 1971. Basic Radiation Protection Criteria. NCRP Report No. 39.
- Smith, D. D., 1971. Radiation Surveillance of Ruminants On and About the Nevada Test Site. Desert Bighorn Council Transactions (15) pp. 101-108.

- Smith, D. D., S. C. Black, D. E. Bernhardt, R. R. Kinnison, and K. R. Giles, 1976. Tissue Burdens of Selected Radionuclides in Beef Cattle On and Around the Nevada Test Site. NERC-LV-539-29. National Environmental Research Center-Las Vegas, Las Vegas, Nevada. 84 pp.
- Smith, D. D., K. R. Giles, and D. E. Bernhardt, 1975a. Animal Investigation Program 1972 Annual Report. NERC-LV-539-35. National Environmental Research Center-Las Vegas, Las Vegas, Nevada. 82 pp. (in press)
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1975b. Animal Investigation Program 1973 Annual Report. National Environmental Research Center-Las Vegas, Las Vegas, Nevada. (in preparation)
- Talvitie, N. A., 1971. Radiochemical Determination of Plutonium in Environmental and Biological Samples by Ion Exchange. Western Environmental Research Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada. Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 43, pp. 1827-1830.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1972. Electrodeposition of Actinides for Alpha Spectrometric Determination. Western Environmental Research Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada. Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 44, pp. 280-283.
- Yoakum, J., 1964. Bighorn Food Habits - Range Relationships in the Silver Peak Range, Nevada. Desert Bighorn Council Transactions (8) pp. 95-102.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1966. Comparison of Mule Deer and Desert Bighorn Seasonal Food Habits. Desert Bighorn Council Transactions (10) pp. 65-70.

Table 1

Botanical Composition by Percent of Forage Eaten by Bighorn  
Sheep Collected on the Desert National Wildlife Range, Nevada-1972

Species	Animal								Av. Compo- sition (%)	Frequency (%)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Other (unidentified)	6.0	67.0	74.0	10.0	33.0	89.0	76.0	71.0	53.2	100
<u>Poa</u> spp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	2.0	10.0	2.4	37
<u>Stipa speciosa</u>	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	12
<u>Hilaria jamesii</u>	1.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	25
<u>Festuca</u> spp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.6	25
<u>Stipa</u> spp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	12
<u>Oryzopsis hymenoides</u>	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	25
<u>Bromus tectorium</u>	0.0	0.0	T	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	T	12
Total grass	7.0	79.0	75.0	12.0	40.0	95.0	78.0	84.0	58.7	
Other (unidentified)	T	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	50
<u>Eriogonum</u> spp.	0.0	1.0	T	0.0	1.0	T	T	T	0.2	75
<u>Chaenactis</u> spp.	0.0	T	0.0	0.0	0.0	T	0.0	0.0	T	25
<u>Phacelia</u> spp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	T	0.0	T	12
Total forbs	T	1.0	T	1.0	3.0	1.0	T	T	0.7	
<u>Cowania mexicana</u>	89.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	9.0	1.0	12.8	75
<u>Ephedra viridis</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.6	12
<u>Ephedra nevadensis</u>	0.0	2.0	20.0	0.0	54.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	9.8	62
<u>Artemisia tridentata</u>	4.0	15.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	T	12.0	14.0	5.9	75
Other (unidentified)	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.1	62
<u>Cercocarpus intricatus</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	25
<u>Ceanothus greggii</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	12
<u>Pinus monophylla</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	T	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	T	12
Total shrubs	93.0	20.0	25.0	87.0	57.0	4.0	22.0	16.0	40.6	

T = trace

Table 2

Botanical Composition by Percent of Forage Eaten by Bighorn  
Sheep Collected on the Desert National Wildlife Range, Nevada-1973

Species	Animal										Av. Compo- sition (%)	Frequency (%)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
<u>Stipa speciosa</u>	6.0	6.0	19.0	10.0	34.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	21.0	19.0	13.9	80
<u>Oryzopsis hymenoides</u>	9.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	13.0	36.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	10.3	70
<u>Sitanion hystrix</u>	17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.0	16.0	15.0	21.0	9.5	50
Other (unidentified)	0.0	18.0	9.0	26.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	14.0	8.5	60
<u>Hilaria jamesii</u>	0.0	0.0	6.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	19.0	17.0	6.0	4.0	5.7	60
<u>Stipa</u> spp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	10
<u>Elymus cinereus</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	10
<u>Bromus tectorium</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	10
Total grass	32.0	44.0	34.0	54.0	47.0	34.0	81.0	59.0	51.0	64.0	50.1	
Other (unidentified)	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	40
<u>Euphorbia</u> spp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	1.0	30
<u>Sphaeralcea</u> spp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.6	20
<u>Eriogonum</u> spp.	0.0	T	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	30
<u>Erodium cicutarium</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	10
<u>Linum lewisii</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.3	10
<u>Astragalus</u> spp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	T	T	10
Total forbs	2.0	2.0	5.0	0.0	8.0	8.0	6.0	0.0	11.0	T	4.2	

Table 2 (contd)

Botanical Composition by Percent of Forage Eaten by Bighorn  
Sheep Collected on the Desert National Wildlife Range, Nevada-1973

Species	Animal										Av. Compo- sition (%)	Frequency (%)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
<u>Yucca schidigera</u>	36.0	32.0	38.0	0.0	4.0	28.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	32.0	17.4	70
<u>Ephedra viridis</u>	0.0	0.0	11.0	17.0	12.0	14.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	60
<u>Cowania mexicana</u>	7.0	16.0	6.0	6.0	19.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	70
<u>Atriplex canescens</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	28.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	20
<u>Artemisia tridentata</u>	20.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	50
<u>Juniperus monosperma</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.0	0.0	2.8	20
<u>Cercocarpus intricatus</u>	0.0	4.0	4.0	9.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	40
Other (unidentified)	3.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	40
<u>Yucca brevifolia</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	20
<u>Encelia spp.</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	1.2	10
<u>Colegyme ramosissima</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	20
<u>Atriplex spp.</u>	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	10
Total shrubs	66.0	54.0	61.0	46.0	45.0	58.0	13.0	41.0	38.0	34.0	45.7	

T = trace

Table 3

Botanical Composition and Frequency of Occurrence  
of Plant Species Eaten by Six Bighorn Sheep  
Collected in Southern Nevada-1972\*

<u>Species</u>	<u>Common Name</u>	<u>Average Composition (%)</u>	<u>Frequency (%)</u>
Other (unidentified)		69.0	100
<u>Hilaria jamesii</u>	Galleta grass	1.3	83
<u>Festuca</u> spp.	Fescue	1.0	16
<u>Stipa speciosa</u>	Desert needlegrass	0.5	16
<u>Oryzopsis hymenoides</u>	Indian rice grass	0.3	16
<u>Poa</u> spp.	Blue grass	0.2	16
<u>Hordeum</u> spp.	Wild barley	0.2	16
	Total grass	73.0	
<u>Eriogonum</u> spp.	Wild buckwheat	0.5	100
Other (unidentified)		0.3	16
<u>Helianthus</u> spp.	Sunflower	0.2	33
<u>Sphaeralcea</u> spp.	Mallow	0.2	16
	Total forbs	1.2	
<u>Ephedra viridis</u>	Joint fir	12.0	33
Other (unidentified)		7.3	66
<u>Ephedra nevadensis</u>	Nevada joint fir	2.7	66
<u>Ceanothus greggii</u>	Desert ceanothus	1.3	33
<u>Ceanothus</u> spp.	Ceanothus	0.8	50
<u>Yucca</u> spp.	Yucca	0.6	16
<u>Artemisia tridentata</u>	Big sagebrush	0.5	50
<u>Cowania mexicana</u>	Cliff rose	0.2	33
<u>Cercocarpus intricatus</u>	Little-leaved mahogany	0.2	33
<u>Coleogyne ramosissima</u>	Blackbrush	0.2	33
<u>Encelia</u> spp.	Encelia	T	16
<u>Atriplex canescens</u>	Four-winged saltbush	T	33
	Total browse	25.8	

T = trace

\*Excluding the Desert National Wildlife Range

Table 4

Botanical Composition and Frequency of Occurrence  
of Plant Species Eaten by Seven Bighorn Sheep  
Collected in Southern Nevada-1973\*

Species	Common Name	Average Composition (%)	Frequency (%)
<u>Oryzopsis hymenoides</u>	Indian rice grass	23.4	100
<u>Stipa speciosa</u>	Desert needlegrass	22.8	100
<u>Sitanion hystrix</u>	Squirrel tail	13.9	57
Other (unidentified)		8.1	57
<u>Elymus cinereus</u>	Giant wild rye	1.4	29
<u>Hilaria jamesii</u>	Galleta grass	1.4	29
<u>Sporobolus</u> spp.	Dropseed	0.9	14
Total grass		<u>71.9</u>	
<u>Euphorbia</u> spp.	Spurge	7.8	71
Other (unidentified)		2.6	57
<u>Argemone</u> spp.	Poppy	0.8	29
<u>Eriogonum</u> spp.	Wild buckwheat	0.7	43
<u>Erodium cicutarium</u>	Heron's bill	0.6	29
<u>Chaenactis</u> spp.	Pincushion	0.6	29
<u>Linum lewisii</u>	Blue flax	0.1	14
Total forbs		<u>13.2</u>	
<u>Cowania mexicana</u>	Cliff rose	5.1	29
Other (unidentified)		3.8	71
<u>Artemisia tridentata</u>	Big sagebrush	2.0	14
<u>Ephedra viridis</u>	Joint fir	1.4	43
<u>Yucca schidigera</u>	Mohave yucca	0.9	29
<u>Ephedra nevadensis</u>	Nevada joint fir	0.9	14
<u>Quercus gambelii</u>	Gambel's oak	0.4	14
<u>Coleogyne ramosissima</u>	Black brush	0.3	29
<u>Arctostaphylos</u> spp.	Manzanita	0.1	14
Total browse		<u>14.9</u>	

\* Excluding the Desert National Wildlife Range



Table 5

Summary of Actinide and Strontium Levels in the Ash from Selected Tissues from  
Desert Bighorn Sheep Collected on the Desert National Wildlife Range, Nevada, 1972-1973

Tissue	Year	$^{238}\text{Pu}$	$^{239}\text{Pu}$	$^{234}\text{U}$	$^{235}\text{U}$	$^{238}\text{U}$	$^{89}\text{Sr}$	$^{90}\text{Sr}$
		fCi/g	fCi/g	fCi/g	fCi/g	fCi/g	pCi/kg	pCi/kg
		# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median
Bone	1972	6 0 <0.87-<2.2 <2	6 4 <1.3-5.2 3.1	6 6 1.2-7.7 6.4	6 1 <0.31-<0.88 <0.43	6 6 1.1-7.3 6	6 0 <3.4-<4.7 <3.5	6 6 3.4-9.4 4.8
	1973	8 7 0.77-45 2.4	8 4 <0.41-2.6 1.6	8 8 1.8-6.4 2.85	8 0 <0.28-<1.2 <0.43	8 8 1-3.9 1.8	8 2 <1-<28 1.75	8 8 2.4-7.7 4.3
Liver	1972	NO SAMPLES COLLECTED						
	1973	7 5 <1.6-790 11	7 7 5.4-210 83	2 2 4.5-49 26.7	2 0 <2.4-<12 7.2	2 2 5.5-25 15.3	NA	NA
Lung	1972	1 0 <5.4	1 1 18	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1973	10 7 3.3-130 11	10 6 <7.1-190 19	6 6 5.8-140 14	6 1 <4.6-6.4 <1.75	6 6 1.7-150 10.5	NA	NA

NA = Not analyzed.

Table 6

Summary of Actinide and Strontium Levels in the Ash from Selected Tissues  
from Desert Bighorn Sheep Collected in Southern Nevada, 1972-1973\*

Tissue	Year	$^{238}\text{Pu}$ fCi/g	$^{239}\text{Pu}$ fCi/g	$^{234}\text{U}$ fCi/g	$^{235}\text{U}$ fCi/g	$^{238}\text{U}$ fCi/g	$^{89}\text{Sr}$ pCi/kg	$^{90}\text{Sr}$ pCi/kg
		# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median	# Samples # >MDA Range Median
Bone	1972	5 0 <0.76-<2.9 <2.2	5 4 <1.3-17 2.9	5 5 4.7-16 10	5 2 <0.4-2 <0.63	5 5 4.4-15 9.2	5 0 <3.4-<5.2 <4	5 5 2.6-7.9 2.6
		7 6 <1.7-77 17	7 2 <0.62-15 <1.5	7 7 1.5-16 3.8	7 1 <0.41-1.5 <0.49	7 6 <0.91-13 2.5	7 0 <0.83-<22 <2	7 7 1.2-11 2.6
		NO SAMPLES COLLECTED						
	1973	1 1 1,800 ± 310	1 1 670 ± 140	1 1 710 ± 78	1 1 35 ± 14	1 1 610 ± 72	NA	NA
Liver	1972	NO SAMPLES COLLECTED						
	1973	8 7 <6.9-1,000 33	8 4 <5.2-29 <14	7 6 <12-170 46	7 2 <2.5-10 <5	7 7 8.9-170 34	NA	NA
		NO SAMPLES COLLECTED						
Lungs	1972	NO SAMPLES COLLECTED						
	1973	NO SAMPLES COLLECTED						

NA = Not analyzed.

\*Excluding the Desert National Wildlife Range

Table 7

Estimated Human Bone Doses from Ingestion of Liver  
 Dose Accrued Over 50-Year Period After  
 Ingestion of 500 Grams per Day for 1 Year

Nuclide	Maximum Observed Concentration pCi/kg of Wet Tissue	Dose Factor mrem:pCi/day	50-Year Dose mrem
$^{238}\text{Pu}$	33	0.27	4.5
$^{239}\text{Pu}$	12	0.31	1.9
$^{234}\text{U}$	13	0.036	0.2
			TOTAL 7

## DISTRIBUTION

- 1 - 40 Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory -Las Vegas
- 41 Mahlon E. Gates, Manager, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 42 Troy E. Wade, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 43 Bennie G. DiBona, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 44 David G. Jackson, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 45 Arthur J. Whitman, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 46 Elwood M. Douthett, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 47 - 48 Ernest M. Campbell, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 49 - 50 Paul B. Dunaway, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 51 - 52 Mary G. White, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 53 Roger Ray, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 54 Robert W. Taft, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 55 Leon Silverstrom, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 56 John O. Cummings, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 57 Bruce W. Church, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 58 - 59 Technical Library, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 60 Chief, NOB/DNA, ERDA/NV, Las Vegas, NV
- 61 Martin B. Biles, DOS, ERDA/HQ, Washington, DC
- 62 Tommy F. McCraw, DOS, ERDA/HQ, Washington, DC
- 63 Major General Joseph K. Bratton, Asst. Gen. Mgr.,  
DMA, ERDA/HQ, Washington, DC
- 64 Gordon F. Facer, DMA, ERDA/HQ, Washington, DC
- 65 James L. Liverman, Director, DBER, ERDA/HQ, Washington, DC
- 66 Robert L. Watters, DBER, ERDA/HQ, Washington, DC
- 67 John S. Kirby-Smith, DBER, ERDA/HQ, Washington, DC
- 68 L. Joe Deal, DOS, ERDA/HQ, Washington, DC
- 69 Charles L. Osterberg, DBER, ERDA/HQ, Washington, DC
- 70 Robert W. Wood, DBER, ERDA/HQ, Washington, DC
- 71 Harold F. Mueller, ARL, NOAA, Las Vegas, NV
- 72 Gilbert J. Ferber, ARL, NOAA, Silver Spring, MD

- 75 William A. Mills, Dir., Div. of Criteria and Standards,  
ORP, EPA, Washington, DC
- 76 Floyd L. Galpin, Dir., Field Operations Div., ORP, EPA,  
Washington, DC
- 77 E. David Harward, Dir., Technology Assessment Div.,  
ORP, EPA, Washington, DC
- 78 Albert C. Printz, Jr., Dir., Office of Technical Analysis,  
Washington, DC
- 79 Library, EPA, Washington, DC
- 80 Richard L. Blanchard, Director, Radiochemistry and Nuclear  
Engineering Branch, EPA, Cincinnati, OH
- 81 Peter Halpin, Chief, APTIC, EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC
- 82 Paul DeFalco, Jr., Regional Admin., Region IX, EPA,  
San Francisco, CA
- 83 James K. Channell, Regional Radiation Representative,  
Region IX, EPA, San Francisco, CA
- 84 Charles R. Porter, Dir., Eastern Environmental Radiation  
Facility, EPA, Montgomery, AL
- 85 K. M. Oswald, Mgr., Health and Safety, LLL, Mercury, NV
- 86 Bernard W. Shore, LLL, Livermore, CA
- 87 James E. Carothers, LLL, Livermore, CA
- 88 Howard W. Tewes, LLL, Livermore, CA
- 89 Lawrence S. Germain, LLL, Livermore, CA
- 90 Paul L. Phelps, LLL, Livermore, CA
- 91 Mortimer L. Mendelsohn, LLL, Livermore, CA
- 92 J. C. Hopkins, LASL, Los Alamos, NM
- 93 George E. Tucker, Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM
- 94 Harry S. Jordan, LASL, Los Alamos, NM
- 95 Arden E. Bicker, REECO, Mercury, NV
- 96 Savino W. Cavender, REECO, Mercury, NV
- 97 Carter D. Broyles, Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM
- 98 Melvin L. Merritt, Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM
- 99 Richard S. Davidson, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH
- 100 Steven V. Kaye, Oak Ridge National Lab., Oak Ridge, TN
- 101 Leo K. Bustad, College of Veterinary Medicine, Washington  
State University, Pullman, WA
- 102 Leonard A. Sagan, Palo Alto Medical Clinic, Palo Alto, CA

- 101 Leo K. Bustad, College of Veterinary Medicine, Washington State University, Pullman, WA
- 102 Leonard A. Sagan, Palo Alto Medical Clinic, Palo Alto, CA
- 103 Vincent Schultz, Washington State University, Pullman, WA
- 104 Arthur Wallace, University of California, Los Angeles, CA
- 105 Wesley E. Niles, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV
- 106 Robert C. Pendleton, University of Utah, Salt Lake, UT
- 107 William S. Twenhofel, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, CO
- 108 Lloyd P. Smith, President, Desert Research Institute, University of Nevada, Reno, NV
- 109 Paul R. Fenske, Desert Research Institute, University of Nevada, Reno, NV
- 110 Verle R. Bohman, University of Nevada, Reno, NV
- 111 Manager, Desert National Wildlife Range, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Las Vegas, NV
- 112 Supervisor, Region III, Nevada Fish and Game Department Las Vegas, NV
- 113 Paul Lyons, Nevada Wildlife Research, Division of Archives, Capitol Building Annex, Carson City, NV
- 114 Deward W. Efurd, McClellan Central Laboratory, McClellan Air Force Base, CA
- 115 L. L. Skolil, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA
- 116 - 142 Technical Information Center, ERDA, Oak Ridge, TN (for public availability)