U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY WORKING PAPER SERIES



REPORT
ON
ROCHDALE POND
WORCESTER COUNTY
MASSACHUSETTS
EPA REGION I
WORKING PAPER No. 222

PACIFIC NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

An Associate Laboratory of the NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - CORVALLIS, OREGON and

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPORT
ON
ROCHDALE POND
WORCESTER COUNTY
MASSACHUSETTS
EPA REGION I
WORKING PAPER No. 222

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE

MASSACHUSETTS DIVISION OF WATER POLLUTION CONTROL

AND THE

MASSACHUSETTS NATIONAL GUARD

MARCH, 1975

CONTENTS

			Page
For	reword		ii
Lis	t of Massachusetts Study Lakes		iv
Lak	e and Drainage Area Map		٧
Sec	tions	·.	
I.	Conclusions		1
II.	Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics		4
III.	Lake Water Quality Summary		5
IV.	Nutrient Loadings		10
٧.	Literature Reviewed		15
VI.	Appendices		16

FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nation-wide threat of accelerated eutrophication to fresh water lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control for professional involvement and to the Massachusetts National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.


Thomas C. McMahon, Director, John R. Elwood, Supervisory Sanitary Engineer, Eben Chesebrough, Senior Chemist, and Peter A. Tennant, Senior Sanitary Engineer of the Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the course of the Survey.

Major General Vahan Vartanian, the Adjutant General of Massa-chusetts, and Project Officer Major William Flaherty, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Massachusetts National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY STUDY LAKES

STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS

LAKE NAME		COUNTY
Assabet-Concord River Impoundments Northboro Hudson Maynard Billerica Hager Pond Matfield Impoundment Rochdale Pond Woods Pond	٠	Worcester Middlesex Middlesex Middlesex Middlesex Plymouth Worcester Berkshire



ROCHDALE POND

STORET NO. 2509

I. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Rochdale Pond, one of several small impoundments in the headwaters of the French River system, is characterized by slightly acid, brownish colored waters with low transparency and low to moderate productivity. Rooted aquatic plants are scarce, and the relatively low phytoplankton crops are represented primarily by diatoms and Chlorococcales of the types commonly associated with eutrophic water bodies. The high humic content and acid nature of the water are suggestive of dystrophic conditions.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

From a nutrient standpoint, the results of the algal assay indicate that Rochdale Pond was nitrogen limited at the time the sample was collected (10/06/72). The pond data also indicate nitrogen limitation; i.e., the inorganic nitrogen/dissolved phosphorus ratios ranged from 4/1 to 8/1, and nitrogen limitation would be expected.

However, the humic colored water, the composition and quantity of phytoplankton associated with relatively high nutrient levels, and the Secchi disc transparency, indicate that the primary productivity of the pond more likely was light-limited.

C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--During the sampling year, Rochdale Pond received a total phosphorus load at a rate over three times that proposed by Vollenweider (in press) as "dangerous"; i.e., a eutrophic rate (see page 14). Now, Vollenweider's model probably does not apply to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times, and the mean hydraulic retention time of Rochdale Pond is a short $4\frac{1}{2}$ days. Nonetheless, the existing trophic condition of the pond is evidence of excessive nutrient loads.

It is calculated that the Village of Leicester contributed 9% of the total phosphorus load to the pond during the sampling year. Even complete removal of phosphorus at this source would only reduce the total phosphorus load to the pond to 61.5 lbs/acre/yr (6.9 g/m²/yr); and it does not appear likely that phosphorus control at the Leicester wastewater treatment plant at this time would result in a significant improvement in the trophic condition of Rochdale Pond. However, if the phosphorus contribution of the plant is increased in the future, the need for phosphorus removal should be re-evaluated.

It is noted that there was an apparent loss of phosphorus from the pond during the sampling year. It is believed that the "loss" is the result of underestimation of phosphorus loads in the process wastewater of the Carleton Woolen Company which

is discharged to the French River below the outlet of Rochdale Pond but upstream from the Survey outlet sampling station. The loads from this source (subtracted from Survey outlet loads) were calculated on the basis of a 12-hour-day operation (Anonymous, 1973); however, the mill more commonly operates 24 hours a day (Chesebrough, 1975).

2. Non-point sources--During the sampling year, the phosphorus export rates of the French River and the unnamed tributary (E-1) were appreciably greater than the export rate of Grindstone Brook (see page 14). The higher export rate of the French River may be due to underestimation of the Leicester load, but the much higher export rate of the unnamed stream may be due to urban drainage in the community of Rochdale.

It is calculated that the non-point phosphorus exports of the measured tributaries total about 86% of the total phosphorus load to the pond during the sampling year.

II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS

- A. Lake Morphometry[†]:
 - 1. Surface area: 41 acres.
 - 2. Mean depth: 5.9 feet.
 - 3. Maximum depth: 16 feet.
 - 4. Volume: 242 acre-feet.
 - Mean hydraulic retention time: 4½ days.
- B. Tributary and Outlet: (See Appendix A for flow data)
 - 1. Tributaries -

Na	ame_	Drainage area*	Mean	flow*
Gr Un Mi	rench River rindstone Brook nnamed tributary (E-1) nor tributaries &	14.0 mi ² 2.5 mi ² 0.7 mi ²		
i	mmediate drainage -	1.6 mi ²	2.4	cfs
	Totals	18.8 mi ²	26.6	cfs
0u	ıtlet -			

2.

18.9 mi²** 26.6 cfs French River

- C. Precipitation***:
 - 1. Year of sampling: 71.7 inches.
 - 2. Mean annual: 45.2 inches.

⁺ Chesebrough, 1975; mean depth by random-dot method.

^{*} Drainage areas are accurate within ±1%; gaged mean daily, monthly, and normalized monthly flows are accurate within ±15%; and ungaged mean daily, monthly, and normalized flows are accurate within $\pm 20\%$.

^{**} Includes area of lake.

^{***} See Working Paper No. 1, "Survey Methods, 1972".

III. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Rochdale Pond was sampled three times during the open-water season of 1972 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from two stations on the pond and from a number of depths at each station (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the two stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the last visit, a single five-gallon depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll <u>a</u> analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 10 feet at station 1 and 8 feet at station 2.

The results obtained are presented in full in Appendix B, and the data for the fall sampling period, when the pond essentially was well-mixed, are summarized below. Note, however, the Secchi disc summary is based on all values.

For differences in the various parameters at the other sampling times, refer to Appendix B.

A. Physical and chemical characteristics:

FALL VALUES

(10/06/72)

Parameter	<u>Minimum</u>	Mean	Median	Maximum
Temperature (Cent.) Dissolved oxygen (mg/l) Conductivity (µmhos) pH (units) Alkalinity (mg/l) Total P (mg/l) Dissolved P (mg/l) NO ₂ + NO ₃ (mg/l) Ammonia (mg/l)	14.2 6.0 80 6.6 10 0.045 0.021 0.030 0.070	14.7 7.4 124 6.7 12 0.059 0.027 0.040 0.075	14.8 7.4 135 6.7 10 0.063 0.026 0.040 0.070	15.0 8.6 155 6.9 16 0.072 0.033 0.050 0.090
	26	ALL VALUES	-	5.7
Secchi disc (inches)	36 -	43	38	57

B. Biological characteristics:

Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Number per ml
06/04/72	 Scenedesmus Asterionella Synedra Fragilaria Nitzschia Other genera 	226 226 93 75 69 218
	Total	907
08/04/72	 Dictyosphaerium Dinobryon Gloeocapsa Scenedesmus Cyclotella Other genera 	831 470 145 136 118 316
	Total	2,016
`10/06/72	 Scenedesmus Flagellates Dinobryon Fragilaria Asterionella Other genera 	1,175 331 316 271 151 1,084
	Total	3,328

2. Chlorophyll <u>a</u> - (Because of instrumentation problems during the 1972 sampling, the following values may be in error by plus or minus 20 percent.)

Sampling Date	Station Number	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> (µg/l)
06/04/72	01 02	(not done) 5.0
08/04/72	01 02	19.8 23.7
10/06/72	01 02	12.1 11.3

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

Spike (mg/l)	Ortho P Conc. (mg/1)	Inorganic N Conc. (mg/1)	Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)
Control	0.033	0.248	5.5
0.010 P	0.043	0.248	5.3
0.020 P	0.053	0.248	5.2
0.050 P	0.083	0.248	5.3
0.050 P + 5.0 N	0.083	5.248	27.2
0.050 P + 10.0 N	0.083	10.248	27.4
10.0 N	0.033	10.248	11.1.

2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u>, indicates that the potential primary productivity of Rochdale Pond was moderately high at the time the assay sample was collected (10/06/72).

Also, the results indicate the pond was nitrogen limited. Note that spikes of phosphorus alone did not stimulate a growth response, but the addition of nitrogen alone resulted in a yield significantly greater than the control yield.

The pond data indicate nitrogen limitation in August as well (the N/P ratio was 6/1) but phosphorus limitation in June (the N/P ratio was 30/1).

IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS (See Appendix C for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Massachusetts National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page v), except for the high runoff months of March and April when two samples were collected at most stations. Sampling was begun in September, 1972, and was completed in September, 1973.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the New England District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings*. Nutrient loadings for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated by using the nutrient loads, in lbs/mi²/yr, in Grindstone Brook at station C-l and multiplying by the ZZ area in mi².

The community of Leicester did not participate in the Survey, and nutrient loads were determined using nutrient and flow data obtained by the Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control**.

^{*} See Working Paper No. 1.

^{**} Anonymous, 1973.

Also, Carleton Woolen Company discharges untreated process wastewater to the French River below the outlet of Rochdale Pond but upstream from the Survey pond outlet sampling station (D-1). Nutrient loads from this source also were calculated using nutrient and flow data obtained by the Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control*, and these loads (1,760 lbs P and 54,950 lbs N) were subtracted from the Survey outlet nutrient loads measured at station D-1.

A. Waste Sources*:

1. Known municipal -

Name	Pop. <u>Served</u>	Treatment	Mean Flow (mgd)	Receiving Water
Leicester	100**	sand filter	0.025	Trib. to Town Meadow Brook
2. Known indu	strial -	·		
Name	Product	<u>Treatment</u>	Mean Flow (mgd)	Receiving Water
Carleton Woolen	woolens	none	0.743 (12-hour	French River

day)

Survey site D-1)

Mills

^{*} Anonymous, 1973.

^{**} Estimated from measured total phosphorus load (2.5 lbs/capita/year).

B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

	Sou	<u>rce</u>	lbs P/	% of total		
	a.	. Tributaries (non-point load) -				
		French River Grindstone Brook Unnamed tributary (E-1)	1,980 200 200	71.5 7.2 7.2		
	b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	130	4.7		
	с.	Known municipal STP's -				
		Leicester	250	9.0		
	d.	Septic tanks - Unknown	-	-		
	e.	Known industrial - None	-	-		
	f.	Direct precipitation* -	10	0.4		
		Total	2,770	100.0		
2.	Out	puts -				
	Pon	d outlet - French River	3,460			
3.	Net	annual P loss - 690 pounds				

^{*} See Working Paper No. 1.

C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

	Sou	<u>rce</u>	lbs N/	% of total
	a.	Tributaries (non-point load)	_	
		French River Grindstone Brook Unnamed tributary (E-1)	41,160 13,370 3,290	60.4 19.6 4.8
	b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -		12.6
	с.	Known municipal STP's -		
		Leicester	1,400	2.0
	d.	Septic tanks - Unknown	-	-
	e.	Known industrial - None	-	-
	f.	Direct precipitation* -	390	0.6
		Total	68,170	100.0
2.	Out	puts -		
	Pon	d outlet - French River	55,950	
3.	Net	annual N accumulation - 12,22	0 pounds	

^{*} See Working Paper No. 175.

D. Mean Annual Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

Tributary	lbs P/mi ² /yr	1bs N/mi ² /yr
French River	141	2,940
Grindstone Brook	80	5,348
Unnamed tributary (E-1)	286	4,700

E. Yearly Loading Rates:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loading rates are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (in press). Essentially, his "dangerous" rate is the rate at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" rate is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic rate would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Note that Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with very short hydraulic retention times.

	Tota	1 Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	
<u>Units</u>	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
lbs/acre/yr grams/m ² /yr	67.7 7.57	loss* -	1,662.7 186.4	298.0 33.4

Vollenweider loading rates for phosphorus (g/m²/yr) based on mean depth and mean hydraulic retention time of Rochdale Pond:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic rate) 2.26
"Permissible" (oligotrophic rate) 1.13

^{*} See discussion, page 2.

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

- Anonymous, 1973. French and Quinebaug rivers, 1972: Part B. List of wastewater discharges. MA Div. of Water Poll. Contr., Boston.
- Chesebrough, Eben W., 1975. Personal communication (review of preliminary report; pond morphometry; Carleton Woolen Company discharge). MA Div. of Water Poll. Contr., Westboro.
- Vollenweider, Richard A. (in press). Input-output models. Scheiwz. Z. Hydrol.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR MASSACHUSETTS

11/26/74

LAKE CODE 2509 ROCHDALE POND

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE 18.90

St	B-DRAINAGE						NORMALIZED FLOWS							
TRIBUTARY	AREA	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	MEAN
250941	14.00	21.40	21.60	40.70	41.20	22,50	14.10	9.14	9.88	11.20	10.70	16.40	18.20	19.73
250901	2.47	3.78	3.80	7.18	7.26	3.98	2.49	1.62	1.74	1.98	1.89	2.89	3.21	3.48
250901	18.90	28.90	29.10	55.00	55.60	30.40	19.10	12.40	13.30	15.10	14.50	22.10	24.60	26.64
2509El	0.72	1.10	1.11	2.10	2.12	1.16	0.73	0.47	0.51	0.58	0.55	0.84	0.94	1.02
2509ZZ	1.71	2.62	2.63	4.98	5.03	2.75	1.73	1.12	1.21	1.37	1.31	2.00	2.22	2.41

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 18.90 TOTAL FLOW IN = 320.01 SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS = 18.90 TOTAL FLOW OUT = 320.10

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
2509Al	9	72	10.10	9	9.40				
	10	72	12.50	14	15.30				
	11	72	40.50	11	46.10				
	12	72	54.10	9	80.90				
		73	31.50	13	22.80				
	1 2 3	73	11.00	10	10.30				
	3	73	31.80	10	60.30	24	24.10		
	4	73	44.50	14	40.70	28	70.70		
	4 5 6	73	31.70	12	43.80				
	6	73	21.30	9	10.70				
	7	73	25.20	14	16.50				
	8	73	14.10						
	9	73	12.50	8	9.40				
250901	9	72	1.80	9	1.70				
	10	72	2.20	14	2.70				
	11	72	7.10	11	8.10				
	12	72	9.50	9	14.30				
	1	73	5.60	1'3	4.00				
	3 1	73	1.90	10	1.80				
		73 -	5.60	10	10.60	24	4.20		
	4	73	7.80	14	7.20	28	12.50		
	5	73	5.60	15	7.70				•
	6	7 3	3.80	9	1.90				
	7	73	4.50	14	2.90			•	
	8	73	2.50	11	2.40				
	9	73	2.20	8	1.70				

LAKE CODE 2509 ROCHDALE POND

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
2509D1	9	72	13.60	9	12.70				
	10	72	17.00	14	20.60				
	11	72	54.60	11	62.20				
	12	72	73.10	9	109.00				
	1	73	42.50	13	30.80				
	5	73	14.90	10	13.90				
	? 3 4	73	43.00	10	81.50	24	32.50		
	4	73	60.00	14.	55.00	28	95.40		
	5	73	42.90	12	59.20				
	6	73	28.80	9	14.50				
	7	73	34.20	14	22.30				
	В	73	19.00	26	12.70				
	9	73	16.90	8	12.70				
2509E1	9	72	0.50	9	0.50				
	10	72	0.60	14	0.80				
	11	72	2.10	11	2.40				
	12	72	2.80	9	4.20				
	1	73	1.60	13	1.20				
	1 2	73	0.60	10	0.50				
	3	73	1.60	10	3.10	24	1.20		
		73	2.30	14	2.10	28	3.60		
	5	73	1.60	12	2.30				
	4 5 6	73	1.10	9	0.55				
	7	73	1.30	14	0.85				
	8	73	0.70	26	0.48				
	9	73	0.60	8	0.48				
2509ZZ	9	72	1.20		_				
	10	72	1.50						
	11	72	4.90						
	12	72	6.60						
	1	73	3.90						
	1 2 3	73	1.30						
	3	73 -	3.90		•				
	4	73	5.40						
	5	73	3.90						
	6	73	2.60						
	7	73	3.10						
	8	73	1.70						
	8 9	73	1.50						

APPENDIX B

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

250901 42 11 45.0 071 54 17.0 ROCHDALE POND 25 MASSACHUSETTS

						11EP/	ALES		1202 FEET DEP	тн		
DATE FROM	TIME OF	DEPTH	00010 WATER TEMP	00300 DO	00077 TRANSP SECCHI	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD	00400 PH	00410 T ALK CACO3	00630 N026N03 N-TOTAL	00610 NH3-N TOTAL	00665 PHOS-TOT	00666 PHOS-DIS
TO	DAY	FEET	CENT	MG/L	INCHES	MICROMHO	SU	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/06/04	12 3	0 0000	20.5	8.8	38	70	6.60	10K	0.090	0.040	0.063	0.027
	12 3	0 0010	14.6	6.0		950	6.20	10K	0.730	0.960	0.062	0.028
72/08/04	13 0	5 0000			36	199	6.55	12	0.100	0.240	0.109	0.071
	13 0	5 0004	8.65	6.0		248	6 •5 5	12	0.240	0.450	0.094	0.064
72/10/06	12 4	5 0000			57	80	6.80	10K	0.040	0.070	0.072	0.033
	12 4	5 0004	15.0	8.6		90	6.90	16	0.040	0.070	0.065	0.027
	12 4	5 0007	14.7	8.2		, 120	6.75	14	0.050	0.090	0.064	0.030

DATE FROM TO	OF	DEPTH FEET	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
72/08/04 72/10/06			19.8 12.1

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

J VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

250902 42 11 51.0 071 54 33.0 ROCHDALE POND 25 MASSACHUSETTS

						11EP	ALES	2111202 0008 FEET DEPTH				
DATE FROM	TIME OF	DEPTH	00010 WATER TEMP	00300 DO	00077 Transp Secchi	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD	00400 PH	00410 T ALK CACO3	00630 8002500 N-TOTAL	00610 NH3-N Total	00665 PH0S-T0T	00666 PHOS-DIS
TO	DAY	FEET	CENT	MG/L	INCHES	MICROMHO	SU	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/06/04			20.5	9.0	37	90	6.90	10	-	0.060	0.039	0.009
	12 5	8000 0	12.3	6.2		460	6.50	108	(0.360	0.380	0.042	0.025
72/08/04	13 1	5 0000			36	190	6.70	10	0.070	0.140	0.079	0.053
	13 1	5 0004	24.3	7.6		185	6.80	11	0.080	0.130	0.082	0.055
72/10/06	13 0	0000			55	150	6.70	106	0.030	0.080	0.062	0.021
	13 0	0 0004	14.9	6.7		150	6.65	10	0.040	0.070	0.048	0.023
	13 0	0 0006	14.2	6.0		155	6.65	10	0.040	0.070	0.045	0.026

DATE FROM TO	TIN OF DAY	-	DEPTH FEET	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
72/06/04 72/08/04 72/10/06	13	15	0000	5.0J 23.7J 11.3J

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

J .VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY DATA

2509A1 LS2509A1
42 12 00.0 071 55 00.0
OUTLET OF GREENVILLE POND
25 7.5 LEICESTER
I/ROCHDALE POND
PLEASANT ST BRDG
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

			00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
DATE	TIME	DEPTH	K0N920N	TOT KJEL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHOS-TOT
FROM	0F		N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO	
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/09/09	11 30	0	0.046	0.500	0.076	0.018	0.068
72/10/14	17 40)	0.100	0.850	0.085	0.024	0.071
72/11/11	08 00)	0.195	1.200	0.005K	0.029	0.065
72/12/09	09 00	0	0.189	0.400	0.046	0.017	0.037
73/01/13	08 15	5	0.168	0.400	0.048	0.012	0.025
73/02/10	09 00	0	0.176	0.420	0.110	0.025	0.035
73/03/10	09 00	0	0.198	1.200	0.357	0.040	0.065
73/03/24			0.170	3.300	0.088	0.013	0.045
73/04/28			0.120	0.520	0.035	0.038	0.075
73/05/12	09 00	0	0.110	0.560	0.020	0.027	0.065
73/06/09	13 30	0	0.022	1.200	0.066	0.029	0.065
73/07/14	12 00)	0.240	0.840	0.120	0.032	0.060
73/09/08			0.034	0.960	0.063	0.008	0.060

K VALUE KNOWN TO HE LESS THEN INDICATED

250981 LS250981
42 12 00.0 071 55 00.0
NO NAME
25 7.5 LEICESTER
T/ROCHDALE POND
UNIMPROVED RD BRDG S EDGE OF GREENVILLE
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO26NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
72/09/09 72/10/14 72/11/11 73/02/10 73/03/10 73/03/24 73/04/14 73/04/28 73/05/12	07 45 08 15 09 15 09 15	5 5 5	0.350 0.180 0.221 0.600 0.510 0.450 0.350 0.147 0.072	1.000 0.350 0.420 0.660 1.150 1.680 0.540 0.880 0.650	0.100 0.132 0.005K 0.080 0.460 0.037 0.031 0.058 0.025	0.015 0.005K 0.005K 0.007 0.010 0.005K 0.009 0.006	0.189 0.010 0.022 0.010 0.020 0.010 0.015 0.010
73/06/09 73/07/14 73/08/11 73/09/08	12 05 11 50 12 15)	0.380 0.210 0.210 0.160	0.420 1.760 0.690 2.600	0.006 0.170 0.079 0.147	0.006 0.012 0.009 0.005K	0.020 0.020 0.020 0.040

K VALUE KNOWN TO HE LESS THAN INDICATED

2509C1 LS2509C1 42 12 30.0 071 55 00.0 GRINDSTONE BROOK 25 7.5 LEICESTER T/ROCHDALE POND ST HWY 56 BRDG 11EPALES 2111204 4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM	TIME DEPTH	00630 006300 N-TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL N	00610 NH3-N Total	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO	00665 PHOS-TOT
TO	DAY FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/09/09	12 00	0.400	1.200	0.189	0.022	0.073
72/10/14		0.062	1.300	0.115	0.012	0.012
72/11/11	08 20	0.044	0.630	0.005K	0.005K	0.017
72/12/09	10 00	0.160	1.470	0.031	0.006	0.013
73/01/13	07 35	0.154	0.710	0.075	0.005K	0.015
73/02/10	10 00	0.151	0.880	0.144	0.010	0.010
73/03/10	08 00	0.138	5.800	0.200	0.007	0.015
73/03/24		0.115	0.895	0.037	0.005K	0.010
73/04/14	11 52	0.050	0.690	0.052	0.005K	0.005K
73/04/28		0.052	0.860	0.039	0.008	0.012
73/05/12	08 15	0.062	1.000	0.044	0.009	0.025
73/06/09	11 00	0.130	2.300	0.120	0.025	0.050
73/07/14	12 05	0.175	2.900	0.200	0.028	0.065
73/08/11	10 30	0.154	2.400	0.105	0.036	0.070
73/09/08		0.410	3.700	0.176	0.010	0.045

K VALUE ****)**** TO A LESS THAN INDICATED

2509D1 LS2509D1
42 12 00.0 071 54 30.0
FRENCH RIVER
25 7.5 LEICESTER
0/ROCHDALE POND
BELO MILL DAM AT ROCHDALE
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM	TIME OF	DEPTH	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL N	00610 NH3-N TOTAL	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO	00665 PHOS-TOT
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/09/09 72/10/14			0.042 0.150	1.150 0.900	0.120 0.180	0.017 0.036	0.077 0.095
72/11/11	07 30		00130		00100	0.030	0.058
72/12/09	10 15		0.230	0.840	0.087	0.017	0.046
73/01/13	07 30		0.168	0.560	0.052	0.013	0.035
73/02/10	10 30		0.189		0.940	0.011	0.063
73/03/10	08 45		0.260	1.260	0.190	0.032	0.055
73/03/24	08 00		0.210	1.100	0.090	0.013	0.030
73/04/14	11 50		0.160	3.900	0.510	0.088	0.125
73/04/29			0.132	0.930	0.051		0.073
73/05/12	09 15		0.079	0.660	0.017	0.018	0.055
73/06/09	13 10		0.060	3.200	0.290	0.068	0.220
73/07/14	13 45		0.330	2.800	0.140	0.048	0.230
73/08/26	11 45		0.046	5.400	1.800	0.132	0.230
73/09/08			0.028	2.600	0.260	0.021	0.090

2509E1 LS2509E1
42 12 00.0 071 54 30.0
NO NAME
25 7.5 LEICESTER
T/ROCHDALE POND
RIVER ST BRDG NW EDGE OF ROCHDALE
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM	TIME OF	DEPTH	00630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL N	00610 NH3-N Total	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO	00665 PHOS-TOT
10	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/09/09			0.390	1.050	0.470	0.044	0.300
72/10/14	07 50)	0.170	0.750	0.140	0.022	0.036
72/11/11	07 00)	0.120	1.700	0.005K	0.015	0.190
72/12/09	12 00)	0.210	1.600	0.099	0.021	0.044
73/01/13	08 30)	1.060	0.630	0.135	0.007	0.025
73/02/10	10 30)	0.890	0.170	0.072	0.005K	0.015
73/03/10	08 39	5	0.600	2.400	0.140	0.006	0.025
73/03/24			0.600	0.690	0.048	0.009	0.020
73/04/14	11 4	7	0.620	0.820	0.042	0.011	0.015
73/04/28			0.360	0.400	0.027	0.012	0.020
73/05/12	09 30)	0.210	0.410	0.025	0.012	0.025
73/06/09	12 30)	0.200	2.100	0.250	0.026	0.070
73/07/14	12 00)	0.300	1.470	0.060	0.019	0.060
73/08/26	11 20)	0.252	1.800	0.240	0.054	0.250
73/09/08			0.014	2.500	0.980	0.076	0.370

K VALUE KNOWN TO AP LESS THAN INDICATED

2509F1 LS2509F1
42 12 30.0 071 55 30.0
TOWN MEADOW BROOK
25 7.5 LEICESTER T
/ROCHDALE POND
GREEN ST BRDG BELO LEICESTER STP
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM	TIME	DEPTH	00630 N02&N03	00625	00610 NH3-N	00671 PHOS-DIS	00665 PHOS-TOT
TO	OF Day	FEET	N-TOTAL MG/L	N MG/L	TOTAL MG/L	OPTHO MG/L P	MG/L P
70 / 00 / 00		_					
72/09/09	11 13	5	0.470	0.450	0.092	0.210	0.292
72/10/14	07 3	5	0.140	0.500	0.085	0.054	0.079
72/11/11	07 1	5	0.195	0.790	0.005K	0.040	0.068
73/01/13	08 0	0	0.390	0.560	0.168	0.084	0.140
73/03/10	08 2	0	0.168	1.680	0.120	0.028	0.050
73/03/29			0.220	0.780	0.105	0.038	0.050
73/04/28			0.126	0.480	0.056	0.060	0.085
73/05/12	08 1	5	0.160	0.630	0.046	0.061	0.100
73/06/09	11 30	0	0.280	1.600	0.050	0.108	0.155
73/07/14	11 3	0	0.016	0.925	0.100	0.023	0.185
73/08/26	12 1	5	0.189	0.270	0.054	0.154	0.210
73/09/08			0.210	1.570	0.068	0.189	0.260

K VALUE KNOWN TO PE

2509F2 LS2509F2
42 14 30.0 071 55 00.0
TOWN MEADOW BROOK
25 705 LEICESTER
T/ROCHDALE POND
RT 9 BRDG ABOV LEICESTER STP
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

			00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
DATE	TIME	DEPTH	M058N03	TOT KJEL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHOS-TOT
FROM	OF		N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO	
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
72/09/09	11 59	5	0.530	0.950	0.152	0.160	0.250
72/10/14	07 30)	0.082	0.550	0.115	0.005K	0.018
72/11/11	09 00)	0.100	2.940	0.005K	0.006	0.019
72/12/09	12 39	5	0.115	0.290	0.027	0.005K	0.014
73/02/10	12 00)	0.090		0.033	0.005K	0.020
73/03/10	08 19	5	0.110	1.540	0.530	0.011	0.020
73/04/28			0.026	0.280	0.010	0.006	0.010
73/05/12	08 00)	0.023	0.440	0.019	0.006	0.015
73/06/09	14 09	5	0.010K	0.630	0.065	0.007	0.012
73/07/14	11 00)	0.240	2.200	0.176	0.005K	0.020
73/08/26	13 30)	0.014	0.935	0.072	0.005K	0.015
73/09/08			0.016	1.540	0.060	0.007	0.025

K VALUE KNOWN TO THE LESS THAN INDICATED