U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

WORKING PAPER SERIES



DISTRIBUTION OF PHYTOPLANKTON
IN MISSISSIPPI LAKES

WORKING PAPER NO. 685

CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON and ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

DISTRIBUTION OF PHYTOPLANKTON IN MISSISSIPPI LAKES

WORKING PAPER NO. 685

DISTRIBUTION OF PHYTOPLANKTON IN MISSISSIPPI LAKES

by

Llewellyn R. Williams¹, W. D. Taylor¹, F. A. Hiatt², S. C. Hern¹,

J. W. Hilgert², V. W. Lambou¹, F. A. Morris²,

R. W. Thomas¹, and M. K. Morris².

¹Water and Land Quality Branch Monitoring Operations Division Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

> ²Department of Biological Sciences The University of Nevada, Las Vegas Las Vegas, Nevada 89154

> > Working Paper No. 685

National Eutrophication Survey Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

March 1977

Table of Contents

Foreword	111
Introduction	1
Materials and Methods Lake and Site Selection Sample Preparation Examination Quality Control	3 3 4 5 5
Results	6
Nygaard's Trophic State Indices	6
Palmer's Organic Pollution Indices	8
Species Diversity and Abundance Indices	9
Species Occurrence and Abundance	11
Literature Cited	12
Appendix: Summary of Phytoplankton Data	1.3

FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nationwide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs. The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with State environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and State management practices relating to point source discharge reduction and nonpoint source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

The Survey collected physical, chemical, and biological data from 815 lakes and reservoirs throughout the contiguous United States. To date, the Survey has yielded more than two million data points. In-depth analyses are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's freshwater lakes.

INTRODUCTION

The collection and analysis of phytoplankton data were included in the National Eutrophication Survey in an effort to determine relationships between algal characteristics and trophic status of individual lakes.

During spring, summer, and fall of 1973, the Survey sampled 250 lakes in 17 states. Over 700 algal species and varieties were identified and enumerated from the 743 water samples examined.

This report presents the species and abundance of phytoplankton in the 5 lakes sampled in the State of Mississippi (Table 1). The Nygaard's Trophic State (Nygaard 1949), Palmer's Organic Pollution (Palmer 1969), and species diversity and abundance indices are also included.

Table 1. Lakes Sampled in the State of Mississippi

STORET #	LAKE NAME	COUNTY
2801	Arkabutla Reservoir	Desoto, Tate
2802	Enid Lake	Yalo Busha, Panola
2804	Ross Barnett Reservoir	Hinds, Madison, Rankin
2805	Sardis Lake	Panola, Lafayette
2806	Grenada Lake	Grenada, Yalo Busha

MATERIALS AND METHODS

LAKE AND SITE SELECTION

Lakes and reservoirs included in the Survey were selected through discussions with State water pollution agency personnel and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Regional Offices (U.S. EPA 1975). Screening and selection strongly emphasized lakes with actual or potential accelerated eutrophication problems. As a result, the selection was limited to lakes:

- (1) Impacted by one or more municipal sewage treatment plant outfalls either directly into the lake or by discharge to an inlet tributary within approximately 40 kilometers of the lake;
- (2) 40 hectares or larger in size; and
- (3) With a mean hydraulic retention time of at least 30 days.

Specific selection criteria were waived for some lakes of particular State interest.

Sampling sites for a lake were selected based on available information on lake morphometry, potential major sources of nutrient input, and on-site judgment of the field limnologist (U.S. EPA 1975). Primary sampling sites were chosen to reflect the deepest portion of each major basin in a test lake. Where many basins were present, selection was guided by nutrient source information on hand. At each sampling site, a depth-integrated phytoplankton sample was taken. Depth-integrated samples were a uniform mixture of water from the surface to a depth of 15 feet (4.6 meters) or from the surface to the lower limit of the photic zone representing 1 percent of the incident light, whichever was greater. If the depth at the sampling site was less than 15 feet (4.6 meters), the sample was taken from just off the bottom to the surface. Normally, a lake was sampled three times in 1 year, providing information on spring, summer, and fall conditions.

SAMPLE PREPARATION

Four milliliters (ml) of Acid-Lugol's solution (Prescott 1970) were added to each 130-ml sample from each site at the time of collection for preservation. The samples were shipped to the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada, where equal volumes from each site were mixed to form two 130-ml composite samples for a given lake. One composite sample was put into storage and the other was used for the examination.

Prior to examination, the composite samples were concentrated by the settling method. Solids were allowed to settle for at least 24 hours prior to siphoning off the supernatant. The volume of the removed supernatant and the volume of the remaining concentrate were measured and concentrations determined. A small (8 ml) library subsample of the concentrate was then taken. The remaining concentrate was gently agitated to resuspend the plankton and poured into a capped, graduated test tube. If a preliminary examination of a sample indicated the need for a more concentrated sample, the contents of the test tube were further concentrated by repeating the settling method. Final concentrations varied from 15 to 40 times the original.

Permanent slides were prepared from concentrated samples after analysis was complete. A drop of superconcentrate from the bottom of the test tube was placed in a ring of clear Karo Corn Syrup with phenol (a few crystals of phenol were added to each 100 ml of syrup) on a glass slide, thoroughly mixed, and topped with a coverglass. After the syrup at the edges of the coverglass had hardened, the excess was scraped away and the mount was sealed with clear fingernail polish. Permanent diatom slides were prepared by drying sample material on a coverglass, heating in a muffle furnace at 400°C for 45 minutes, and mounting in Hyrax. Finally, the mounts were sealed with clear fingernail polish.

Backup samples, library samples, permanent sample slides, and Hyrax-mounted diatom slides are being stored and maintained at the U.S. EPA's Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory-Las Vegas.

EXAMINATION

The phytoplankton samples were examined with the aid of binocular compound microscopes. A preliminary examination was performed to precisely identify and list all forms encountered. The length of this examination varied depending on the complexity of the sample. An attempt was made to find and identify all of the forms present in each sample. Often forms were observed which could not be identified to species or to genus. Abbreviated descriptions were used to keep a record of these forms (e.g., lunate cell, blue-green filament, Navicula #1). Diatom slides were examined using a standard light microscope. If greater resolution was essential to accurately identify the diatoms, a phase-contrast microscope was used.

After the species list was compiled, phytoplankton were enumerated using a Neubauer Counting Chamber with a 40x objective lens and a 10x ocular lens. All forms within each field were counted. The count was continued until a minimum of 100 fields had been viewed, or until the dominant form had been observed a minimum of 100 times.

QUALITY CONTROL

Internal quality control checks on species identifications and counts were performed on a regular basis between project phycologists at the rate of 7 percent. Although an individual had primary responsibility for analyzing a sample, taxonomic problems were discussed among the phycologists.

Additional quality control checks were performed on the Survey samples by Dr. G. W. Prescott of the University of Montana at the rate of 5 percent. Quality control checks were made on 75 percent of these samples to verify species identifications while checks were made on the remaining 25 percent of the samples to verify genus counts. Presently, the agreement between quality control checks for species identification and genus enumerations is satisfactory.

RESULTS

The Appendix summarizes all of the phytoplankton data collected from the State by the Survey. It is organized by lake, including an alphabetical phytoplankton species list with concentrations for individual species given by sampling date. Results from the application of several indices are presented (Nygaard's Trophic State, Palmer's Organic Pollution, and species diversity and abundance). Each lake has been assigned a four-digit STORET number. [STORET (STOrage and RETrieval) is the U.S. EPA's computer system which processes and maintains water quality data.] The first two digits of the STORET number identify the State; the last two digits identify the lake.

NYGAARD'S TROPHIC STATE INDICES

Five indices devised by Nygaard (1949) were proposed under the assumption that certain algal groups are indicative of levels of nutrient enrichment. These indices were calculated in order to aid in determining the surveyed lakes' trophic status. As a general rule, Cyanophyta, Euglenophyta, centric diatoms, and members of the Chlorococcales are found in waters that are eutrophic (rich in nutrients), while desmids and many pennate diatoms generally cannot tolerate high nutrient levels and so are found in oligotrophic waters (poor in nutrients).

In applying the indices to the Survey data, the number of taxa in each major group was determined from the species list for each sample. The ratios of these groups give numerical values which can be used as a biological index of water richness. The five indices and the ranges of values established for Danish lakes by Nygaard for each trophic state are presented in Table 2. The appropriate symbol, (E) eutrophic and (O) oligotrophic, follows each calculated value in the tables in the Appendix. A question mark (?) was entered in these tables when the calculated value was within the range of both classifications.

Table 2. Nygaard's Trophic State Indices adapted from Hutchinson (1967)

Index	Calculation	<u>Oligotrophic</u>	Eutrophic
Myxophycean	<u>Myxophyceae</u> Desmideae	0.0-0.4	0.1-3.0
Chlorophycea	n <u>Chlorococcales</u> Desmideae	0.0-0.7	0.2-9.0
Diatom	Centric Diatoms Pennate Diatoms	0.0-0.3	0.0-1.75
Euglenophyte	Euglenophyta Myxophyceae + Chlorococcales	0.0-0.2	0.0-1.0
	Myxophyceae + Chlorococcales + Centric Diatoms + Euglenophyta Desmideae	0.0-1.0	1.2-25

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

Palmer (1969) analyzed reports from 165 authors and developed algal pollution indices for use in rating water samples with high organic pollution. Two lists of organic pollution-tolerant forms were prepared, one containing 20 genera, the other, 20 species (Tables 3 and 4). Each form was assigned a pollution index number ranging from 1 for moderately tolerant forms to 6 for extremely tolerant forms. Palmer based the index numbers on occurrence records and/or where emphasized by the authors as being especially tolerant of organic pollution.

Table 3. Algal Genus Pollution Index (Palmer 1969)

	Pollution Index		Pollution Index
Anacystis	1	Micractinium	1
Ankistrodesmus	2	Navicula	3
Chlamydomonas	4	Nitzschia	3
Chlorella	3	Oscillatoria	5
Closterium	1	Pandorina	1
Cyclotella	1	Phacus	2
Euglena	5	Phormidium	1
Gomphonema	1	Scenedesmus	4
Lepocinclis	l	Stigeoclonium	2
Melosira	1 .	Synedra	2

Table 4. Algal Species Pollution Index (Palmer 1969)

	Pollution Index		Pollution Index
Ankistrodesmus falcatus Arthrospira jenneri Chlorella vulgaris Cyclotella meneghiniana Euglena gracilis Euglena viridis Gomphonema parvulum Melosira varians Navicula cryptocephala	2 2	Nitzschia palea Oscillatoria chlorina Oscillatoria limosa Oscillatoria princeps Oscillatoria putrida Oscillatoria tenuis Pandorina morum Scenedesmus quadricaud Stigeoclonium tenue	5 2 4 1 1 4 3
Nitzschia acicularis	i	Synedra ulna	3

In analyzing a water sample, any of the 20 genera or species of algae present in concentrations of 50 per ml or more are recorded. The pollution index numbers of the algae present are totaled, providing a genus score and a species score. Palmer determined that a score of 20 or more for either index can be taken as evidence of high organic pollution, while a score of 15 to 19 is taken as probable evidence of high organic pollution. Lower figures suggest that the organic pollution of the sample is not high, that the sample is not representative, or that some substance or factor interfering with algal persistence is present and active.

SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

"Information content" of biological samples is being used commonly by biologists as a measure of diversity. Diversity in this connection means the degree of uncertainty attached to the specific identity of any randomly selected individual. The greater the number of taxa and the more equal their proportions, the greater the uncertainty, and hence, the diversity (Pielou 1966). There are several methods of measuring diversity, e.g., the formulas given by Brillouin (1962) and Shannon and Weaver (1962). The method which is appropriate depends on the type of biological sample on hand.

Pielou (1966) classifies the types of biological samples and gives the measure of diversity appropriate for each type. The Survey phytoplankton samples are what she classifies as larger samples (collections in Pielou's terminology) from which random subsamples can be drawn. According to Pielou (1966), the average diversity per individual for these types of samples can be estimated from the Shannon-Wiener formula (Shannon and Weaver 1962):

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} P_i \log_X P_i,$$

where P is the proportion of the ith taxon in the sample, which is calculated from n_i/N ; n_i is the number of individuals per ml of the ith taxon, N is the total number of individuals per ml and S is the total number of taxa.

However, Basharin (1959) and Pielou (1966) have pointed out that H calculated from the subsample is a biased estimator of the sample H, and if this bias is to be accounted for, we must know the total number of taxa present in the sample since the magnitude of this bias depends on it.

Pielou (1966) suggests that if the number of taxa in the subsample falls only slightly short of the number in the larger sample, no appreciable error will result in considering S, estimated from the subsample, as being equal to the sample value. Even though considerable effort was made to find and identify all taxa, the Survey samples undoubtedly contain a fair number of rare phytoplankton taxa which were not encountered.

In the Shannon-Wiener formula, an increase in the number of taxa and/or an increase in the evenness of the distribution of individuals among taxa will increase the average diversity per individual from its minimal value of zero. Sager and Hasler (1969) found that the richness of taxa was of minor importance in determination of average diversity per individual for phytoplankton and they concluded that phytoplankton taxa in excess of the 10 to 15 most abundant ones have little effect on H, which was verified by our own calculations. Our counts are in number per ml and since logarithms to the base 2 were used in our calculations, H is expressed in units of bits per individual. When individuals of a taxon were so rare that they were not counted, a value of 1/130 per ml or 0.008 per ml was used in the calculations since at least one individual of the taxon must have been present in the collection.

A Survey sample for a given lake represents a composite of all phytoplankton collected at different sampling sites on a lake during a given sampling period. Since the number of samples (M) making up a composite is a function of both the complexity of the lake sampled and its size, it should affect the richness of taxa component of the diversity of our phytoplankton collections. The maximum diversity (MaxH) (i.e., when the individuals are distributed among the taxa as evenly as possible) was estimated from log, S, the total diversity (D) was calculated from HN, and the evenness component of diversity (J) was estimated from H/MaxH (Pielou 1966). Also given in the Appendix are L (the mean number of individuals per taxa per ml) and K (the number of individuals per ml of the most abundant taxon in the sample).

Zand (1976) suggests that diversity indices be expressed in units of "sits", i.e., in logarithms to base S (where S is the total number of taxa in the sample) instead of in "bits", i.e., in logarithms to base 2. Zand points out that the diversity index in sits per individual is a normalized number ranging from 1 for the most evenly distributed samples to 0 for the least evenly distributed samples. Also, it can be used to compare different samples, independent of the number of

taxa in each. The diversity in bits per individual should not be used in direct comparisons involving various samples which have different numbers of species. Since MaxH equals log S, the expression in sits is equal to log S or 1. Therefore diversity in sits per individual is numerically equivalent to J, the evenness component for the Shannon-Wiener formula.

SPECIES OCCURRENCE AND ABUNDANCE

The alphabetic phytoplankton species list for each lake, presented in the Appendix, gives the concentrations of individual species by sampling date. Concentrations are in cells, colonies, or filaments (CEL, COL, FIL) per ml. An "X" after a species name indicates the presence of the species on that date in such a low concentration that it did not show up in the count. A blank space indicates that the organism was not found in the sample collected on that date. Column S is used to designate the examiner's subjective opinion of the five dominant taxa in a sample, based upon relative size and concentration of the organism. The percent column (%C) presents, by abundance, the percentage composition of each taxon.

LITERATURE CITED

- Basharin, G. P. 1959. On a statistical estimate for the entrophy of a sequence of independent random variables, pp. 333-336. In N. Artin (ed.), Theory of Probability and Its Applications (translation of "Teoriya Veroyatnosei i ee Premeneniya") 4. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia.
- Brillouin, L. 1962. Science and Information Theory (2nd ed.).
 Academic Press, New York. 351 pp.
- Hutchinson, G. E. 1967. A Treatise on Limnology. II. Introduction to Lake Biology and the Limnoplankton. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 1,115 pp.
- Nygaard, G. 1949. Hydrobiological studies of some Danish ponds and lakes. II. (K danske Vidensk. Selsk.) Biol. Sci. 7:293.
- Palmer, C. M. 1969. A composite rating of algae tolerating organic pollution. J. Phycol. 5:78-82.
- Pielou, E. C. 1966. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. J. Theor. Biol. 13:131-144.
- Prescott, G. W. 1970. How to Know the Freshwater Algae. William C. Brown Company, Dubuque. 348 pp.
- Sager, P. E. and A. D. Hasler. 1969. Species diversity in laucustrine phytoplankton. I. The components of the index of diversity from Shannon's formula. Amer. Natur. 103(929): 51-59
- Shannon, C. E. and W. Weaver. 1962. The Mathematical Theory of Communication. University of Illinois Press, Urbana. 117 pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1975. National Eutrophication Survey Methods 1973-1976. Working Paper No. 175. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory. Las Vegas, Nevada, and Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon. 91 pp.
- Zand, S. M. 1976. Indexes associated with information theory in water quality. Journal WPCF. 48(8): 2026-2031.

APPENDIX

SUMMARY OF PHYTOPLANKTON DATA

The Appendix format was computer generated. Because it was only possible to use upper case letters in the printout, all scientific names are printed in upper case and are not italicized.

The alphabetic phytoplankton lists include taxa without species names (e.g., EUNOTIA, EUNOTIA #1, EUNOTIA?, FLAGELLATE, FLAGELLATES, MICROSYSTIS INCERTA?, CHLOROPHYTAN COCCOID CELLED COLONY). When species determinations were not possible, symbols or descriptive phrases were used to separate taxa for enumeration purposes. Each name on a list, however, represents a unique species different from any other name on the same list, unless otherwise noted, for counting purposes.

Numbers were used to separate unidentified species of the same genus. A generic name listed alone is also a unique species. A question mark (?) is placed immediately after the portion of a name which was assigned with uncertainty. Numbered, questioned, or otherwise designated taxa were established on a lake-by-lake basis; therefore NAVICULA #2 from lake A cannot be compared to NAVICULA #2 from lake B. Pluralized categories (e.g., FLAGELLATES, CENTRIC DIATOMS, SPP.) were used for counting purposes when taxa could not be properly differentiated on the counting chamber.

LAKE NAME: ARKABUTLA RES. STOPET NUMBER: 2801

NYGAARD	TROPH	IC S	STATE	IN	DI	CES

DATE	06 13 73	08 28 73	11 01 73
MYXOPHYCEAN	02/0 E	03/0 E	3.00 E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	01/0 E	02/0 E	1.00 E
EUGLENOPHYTE	1.33 E	1.20 E	1.25 E
DIATOM	02/0 E	5.00 E	3.00 E
COMPOUND	09/0 E	16/0 E	12.0 E

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	06 13 73	08 28 73	11 01 73
GENUS	06	02	06
SPECIES	00	00	00

SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

	DATE	06 13 73	08 28 73	11 01 73
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	Н	2.82	2.46	3.27
NUMBER OF TAXA	S	16.00	24.00	17.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	3.00	3.00	3.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	MAXH	4.00	4.58	4.09
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	2538.CO	2988.90	1988.16
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	900.00	1215.00	608.00
EVENESS COMPONENT	J	0.71	0.54	0.80
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	56.25	50.63	35.76
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	232.00	633.00	152-00

LAKE NAME: ARKABUTLA RES. CONTINUED

STORET NUMBER: 2801

											
TAXA	FORM	 S	%C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	%C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	
ANABAENA	FIL	121	3.21	29	1 1	1		1			
CENTRIC DIATOM	CEL	1 1	1			Į		. []	11.01	67	
CLCSTERIUM #1	CEL	1 1	1			ļ			2-8	17	
CRYPTOMONAS	CEL	1 1	6-41	58				[2]	13.8	84	
CRYPTOMONAS EROSA	CEL	1 1	1	X	1 1						
CRYPTOMONAS REFLEXA	CEL	!!	1		131	6.3	76	ŀ	1 1		
CYANOPHYTAN FILAMENT	FIL	111	25.8	232	1 1	1		1	1		
CYCLOTELLA MENEGFINIANA	CEL	1 1			1 1		X	. !	!		
CYCLGTELLA SPP.	CEL	1 1	1			6.3	76	ļ	!!		
CYCLOTELLA STELLIGERA	CEL	1 1	1				X		!!		
CYMBELLA	CEL	1 1	1							X	
DACTYLCCCCCOPSIS	CEL	1 1	1		1 (1 1	X	- 1	!!		
DACTYLOCOCCOPSIS IRREGULARIS	FIL	1 1						15	25.0	152	
EUGLENA #1	CEL	[3]	12.9	116			X		((X	
EUGLENA ACUS	CEL	1 1		X	1 1	1 1	X	1			
EUGLENA GRACILIS	CEL	1 1			1 1	1 1			2.8	17	
FLAGELLATE #1	CEL	151	11.31	102	1 1	6.3	76	1	!!		
FLAGELLATE #9	CEL	1 1	1	X		l {		- 1	1		
GLENODINIUM OCULATUM	CEL	f 1	1			1 1	X	- 1	{ !		
GYMNCDINIUM ?	CEL	1 1	1	X	1	1		ı	1 <u> </u>		
GYMNODINIUM ORDINATUM	CEL	1 (3-2	29	15	,	76	•	2.8	17	
LYNG BY A	FIL	1 1	1		1	1 2.11	25	1	1		
MALLEMENAS ACARGIDES	CEL		1		1 1	1			113.8		
MELOSIRA DISTANS	CEL	1 1	6.41	58		1 1	X	14	1 5.61		
MELOSIRA GRANULATA	CEL	14	24-21	218	11	52.1	633	13	5.6	34	
MELOSIRA GRANULATA		1 1	!		1	1 1		- 1	1 1		
V. ANGUSTISSIMA	CEL				14	1 6.31	76	1	1 1		
MICRCCYSTIS INCERTA	COL	•	ĺ		1	1 1		1	1 2.81		
OSCILLATORIA LIMNETICA	FIL	1		<i></i>	1	1 1	X	- {	1 8.41	51	
PENNATE CIATOM	CEL		İ		1	1	X	1	1 1		
PHACUS CAUCATUS	CEL	i	ĺ		1	1	X	1	1 1	X	
PHACUS DENNISII ?	CEL		į]	ı	1	X ,	1	! !		

06 13 73 08 28 73 11 01 73

LAKE NAME: ARKABUTLA RES. CONTINUED

STORET NUMBER: 2801

				06 13 73 			08 28 73	} 		11 01 73	1
TAXA	FORM	! ! !s	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 s	*C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 { {
PTEROMONAS	ČEL		1	X	11		X	1 1	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
FTERCMONAS ANGULOSA	CEL	ii	İ		11	4.21	51	ii	1		- 1
SCENEDESMUS DENTICULATUS	COL	- 1 1	ĺ		1 1	i	X	1 1	1		-
SCENEDESMUS QUADRICAUDA	CCL	1 1			11	-		1 1	2.81	17	ı
TETRAEDRON MINIMUM		1 1	i		1 1	- 1		1 1	1		1
V. SCROBICULATUM	CEL	1 1		X	1 1	1		1 1	1		1
TRACHELOMONAS PULCHELLA	CEL		6.41	58	121	8.31	101	1 1	2.8	17	1
TRACHELCMONAS URCEOLATA	CEL	1 1	-		1 1	2.11	25		1		1
TRACHELOMONAS VOLVOCINA	CEL	1 1	1	X	11	1		1 1	i	X	1
TREUBARIA TRIAPPENDICULATA	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	I	X	1 1	1		i
TOTAL				900			1215			808	

LAKE NAME: ENID LAKE STORET NUMBER: 2802

NYG	AARD	TRO	PHIC	STA	TF '	INDI	CES

DATE	05 12 73	08 27 73	11 01 73
MYXOPHYCEAN	3.00 E	1.00 E	04/0 E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	1.00 E	1.67 E	03/0 E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0.50 E	1.37 E	0.43 E
DIATEM	4.00 E	0.50 E	0.50 E
COMPOUND	10.0 E	7.33 E	13/0 E

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	06 12 73	08 27 73	11 01 73
GENUS	01	04	02
SPECIES	00	02	00

SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNCANCE INDICES

	DATE	05 12 73	08 27 73	11 01 73
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	3. 14	2.79	2.29
NUMBER OF TAXA	S	16.00	37.00	23.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	3.00	3.00	3.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	MA XH	4.00	5.21	4.52
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	1465.38	6439.32	5018.28
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	467.00	2308.00	2201.00
EVENESS COMPONENT	J	0.79	0.54	0.50
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	29.19	62.38	95.70
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	116.00	1124.00	1024.00

LAKE NAME: ENID LAKE CONTINUED STORET NUMBER: 2802

				06 12 73			08 27 73			11 01 73	
TA XA	FORM	 s	яc	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	*C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	! ! ! S	ЯC	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1
ANABAENA	FIL	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	X	7-1	1		- -			-ī
ANKISTRODE SMUS .	CEL		1		1 1	2.01	46	1 1	i 1	X	1
APHANIZCHENEN ? FLOS-AQUAE	FIL	131	13.91	6 5		1					· F
APHANIZCHENON FLOS-AQUAE	FIL		- 1			13.8	319	1 1	2.3	51	1
CLOSTERIUM	CEL	1 1	. 1	X	- [- [0.6	15				Į
COELASTRUM MICROFORUM	COL	4	5.61	26	1 1						1
CRUCIGENIA TETRAPEDIA	COL					1	X				į
CRYPTOMCNAS	CEL]			1			1.2	25	Į.
CRYPTOMENAS OVATA	CEL	1 1	5-6	26	2	9.2					ļ
CRYFTOMONAS REFLEXA	CEL	1 1	1			1.31					1
CYCLOTELLA MENEGFINIANA	CEL		j			3.31	76		1		1
CYCLETELLA STELLIGERA	CEL	151	8.4	39		l		13	8.1	179	1 8
CYMBELLA	CEL	1 1	1		1	1	X				
CYST	CEL		1		1	1				X	ļ
DACTYLOCOCCOPSIS IRREGULARIS	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	l į		1	4.6	102	Į.
EUASTRUM DENTICULATUM	CEL	1 1	- 1		1 1	1	X				Į
EUDORINA ELEGANS	CEL	1 (1	X	1 1	1		1 1			Į.
EUGLENA ? #2	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1	X	1 1	1		į.
EUGLENA #1	CEL		1	X	1 1	0.61	15	1	! (ļ
EUGLENA #3	CEL	1 1			-1-1	1		1	{	X	F
EUGLENA #4	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1	X	1 1	1		1
EUGLENA ACUS	CEL	1 1	1		1 (1	X	1 !	1		f
FLAGELLATE #1	CEL	1 1	8.41	39	1 1	1		1 1	1		ŧ
LYNGBYA	FIL	1 1	1		131	48.71	1124	1 1	1		-
MALLCMCNAS ACARDIDES	CEL	1 1	- 1		1 1	4		15	2.3	51	1
MELOSIRA DISTANS	CEL	121	16.7	78	- ()	3.9	91	12	46.5	1024	f
MELOSIRA GRANULATA	CEL	1 1		X	1 1	1		111	19.8	435	1
MELOSIRA ITALICA	CEL	111	24.81	116	14	3.31	76	1	1		1
MERISMOPEDIA TENUISSIMA	COL	- 1 1	İ		1 1	0.61	15	1	1		1
MICRECYSTIS INCERTA	COL	1 1	1		1	1			1.2	26	1
NAVICULA #1	CEL		i		1	Í	X	1			1
NAVICULA ANGLICA		1 1	Ì			Ì		1	1		1
V. SUESALSA	CEL	ĺĺ	į		İ	(1 (X	ŧ

LAKE NAME: ENID LAKE STORET NUMBER: 2802

06 12 73

08 27 73

11 01 73

<u> </u>		#C	İs	UNITS PER ML	% C	15	UNITS PER ML	% C	İ	FORM	TAXA
		<u>_</u>	1 1	15	0.61	11		1	777	CEL	NITZSCHIA
!	X	1	1 1	Į.		1 1			1 1	CEL	NITZSCHIA #1
ļ	X	1		l	ł	1 1		- 1	1 1	CEL	NITZSCHIA TRYBLICNELLA ?
ļ		- !	1 (15	0.5	- 1 1		1	1 1	CEL	CPHIOCYTIUM CAPITATUM
. !	X	1	1 (1	1	1 1	13	2.81	1 1	FIL	OSCILLATORIA LIMNETICA
1		1	1 1	1 X	1	1 1		- 1	-	COL	PANDORINA PROTUBERANS
		f	1 1	1		1 1		1	1 1		PEDIASTRUM DUPLEX
Į.	l X	1	1 (1	1	1 1		ŧ	1 1	COL	V. GRACILIMUM
l l		1	- 1 1	1	1	1 1		1	1 1		PEDIASTRUM CUPLEX
1	1	1	1 (15	0.5	1 1		- 1	1 1	COL	V. RETICULATUM
ļ	307	13.9	141	f	f	- 1 - 1		1	1 1	CEL	PENNATE DIATOMS
l l		1	1 (46	2.0	1 1		1	1 1	CEL	PERIDINIUM INCONSPICUUM
1	X	1		[X	[1 1		1	1 1	CEL	PERIDINIUM PENARCIFORME
1		•	-1-1	Į X	Į	1 1		- 1	1 1	CEL	PHACUS
1	1	1	1 1	1 X	1	1 1		1	1 1	CEL	PHACUS GLABER
1	1	1		(X	1	1 1		1	1 1	CEL	PHACUS LCNGICAUDA
1	X	f	1 1	1	1	1 1		Ì	Ì	CEL	PHACUS PLEURONECTES
1	1	1	1 (f	1	1 1		ſ	1 1		PINNULARIA BRAUNII
-	X	1	1 1	ĺ	ĺ	1 1		i	ii	CEL	
į			1 (Ì	(1 1		į	1 1		
1	1 X	1	1 1	1	1	1 1		i	ii	CEL	
1	1	1	1 1	ĺ		1 1	13	2.8	ii		
1	X	f	1 1	1	1	1 1		i		_	
1	1	1	1) X		1 1		ĺ	Ì		
- 1	1	1	1 1	15	0.6	ii		İ	ii		
1	1	1	1	1 X		ii		i	1		
1	ĺ		1	İ		ii	26	5-61	ii		
1	•	1		61	2.6	ji		1	1		
1	1	1		•		151		i	i		
1	1 .	İ	1	İ		ji		i	ii		
1	!	Ì	1	1 X		i		i	Ì	CEL	
į) X	1 İ	1	i x		i		i	i		
	x x x x	13.9		46 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	0.6		26	2.8		COL CEL CEL CEL CEL CEL CEL	PEDIASTRUM DUPLEX V. GRACILIMUM PEDIASTRUM DUPLEX V. RETICULATUM PENNATE DIATOMS PERIDINIUM INCONSPICUUM PERIDINIUM PENARCIFORME PHACUS PHACUS GLABER PHACUS LENGICAUDA

_

				06 12 73			08 27 73	3		11 01 73	
TAXA	FORM	 S	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 s	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	%C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	!
TRACHELOMONAS INTERMEDIA ?	CÉL				77	1.31	30	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>		-ī
TRACHELOMONAS PULCHELLA	CEL	11	5.6	26	ii	i		İİ	i		1
TRACHELOMONAS URCEOLATA	CEL	ii	i		11	0.6	15	11	Í		1
TRACHELOMONAS VOLVOCINA	CEL	1 1	Ì		1 1	į	X	İ	Ì		1
TOTAL				467			2308			2201	

LAKE NAME: ROSS EARNETT RES. STORET NUMBER: 2804

N١	YG	A L	NR	n	TROP	THO	\mathbf{c}	ST	AT	F	TN	ו חו	CE	ς
IVI	U	~ ~	11	u	INUI		•	. J	H 1	L.	7 17		LLE	

DATE	06 14 73	08 27 73	11 02 73
MYXOPHYCEAN	05/0 F	4.00 E	2.25 E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	07/0 E	3.50 E	3.75 E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0.38 E	0.33 E	0.12 ?
DIATOM	6.00 E	0.60 E	0.50 E
COMPOUND	24/0 E	11.5 E	7.75 E

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	06 14 7	3 08 27 73	11 02 73
GENUS	01	07	22
SPECIES	00	00	04

SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

	DATE	06 14 73	08 27 73	11 02 73
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	2.21	2.42	4.13
NUMBER OF TAXA	S	32.00	36.00	54.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	4.00	4.00	4.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	MAXH	5.00	5.17	5.75
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	2934.88	14435-30	33147.38
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	1328.00	5965.00	8026.00
EVENESS COMPONENT	J	0.44	0.47	0.72
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	41.50	165.69	148.63
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	865.00	2419.00	1569.00

TAXA	FORM	! ! !S	%C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	₹C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	
ANABAENA	FIL				7-1		X	111	3.01	244	- 7
ANABAENA ? #2	FIL	1 1	1-4	18	1 1			1 1			F
ANABAENA #1	FIL	1 1	1	X		1	•	1 1	1		1
ANABAENOPSIS	FIL	1 1	•		- 1 - 1	3.3	194	1 (•		1
ANKI STRODE SMUS	CEL	1 1	1.4	18	1 1	1		1 1	1		1
ANKISTRODESMUS ?	CEL	1 1			-1-1	0.3	16	1 1	1		ł
APHANIZCMENON FLCS-AQUAE	FIL	1 1	1.41	18	1 1	0.3	16	1	1	X	ŧ
ATTHEYA	CEL	1 [1.41	18	1 1			1 1	1		1
CHLAMYDEMONAS	CEL	1 1	1		-1-1				0.6	51	1
CHLOROGONI UM	CEL	1 1	. !		1 1	· 1			1	X	1
CHLOFOPHYTAN COCCOID CELL	CEL	1 1	1		1 1		X	11	1		ſ
COELASTRUM MICROPORUM	COL	151	1.41	18	-			1 1	•		122
COELASTRUM RETICULATUM	COL	1 1	1		1 1	1		1 1		X	1
COSMARIUM	CEL	1 1			1 1	0.5	32	1 1	0.31	26	i
CRUCIGENIA FENESTRATA	COL	1 1	f		1 1			1 1	0.51	39	1
CRUCIGENIA TETRAPEDIA	COL	1 1	1		1 1	•		1 1	0.61	51	1
CRYPTOMONAS	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	0.5	32	1 1	1		1
CRYPTOMONAS EROSA	CEL	1 1	1		1 1			121	3.51	283	1
CRYPTOMONAS EROSA		1 1	1		1 1	(1 1	1		1
V. REFLEXA	CEL	1 1	1		11	- {		1 1	ĺ	X	1
CRYPTOMONAS OVATA	CEL	131	4-01	53	1 1	(1 1	1		1
CRYPTOMONAS REFLEXA ?	CEL	1 1		X	1 1	1		1	1		1
CYCLOTELLA MENEGHINIANA	CEL	1 1	1		1 1		•		1	X	1
CYCLCTELLA SPP.	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1		1 1	5.3	424	1
CYCLOTELLA STELLIGERA	CEL	1 1	1		141	3.3	194	1 1	.		1
CYMBELLA	CEL	1 1	•		1 1	1		1 1	1	X	1
CACTYLOCOCCOPSIS	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1		141	19.51	1569	į
DINOBRYON BAVARICUM	CEL	1 1	ĺ		1 1	i		1 1	2.11	167	1
EPITHEMIA	CEL	1 1	İ		1 1			1 1	1	X	1
EUASTRUM	CEL	1 1			1 1		X	1 1	0.3	26	ı
EUGL EN A	CEL	1 1	İ		1 (1		1 1	0.21	13	1
EUGLENA #1	CEL	1	İ	X	1 1		X		1		1

LAKE NAME: ROSS BARNETT RES. CONTINUED

STORET NUMBER: 2804

TAXA	FORM	 S	*C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 - S	%C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 1 1 s	%C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML]. -
											-7
EUGLENA #2	CEL	! !	!		!!	0-8					1
EUGLENA GRACILIS	CEL	Į.	!		!!	!	X	1 1		720	1
FLAGELLATE #1	CEL	. !	4.01	53	!!	ļ			9.0	120	ļ
FLAGELLATE #7	CEL		1.4	18	!!	1					1
FRANCEIA DROESCHERI	CEL		!		!!	1	×	1		24	1
FRANCEIA QUACRISETA	CEL	!	1		! !		•		0.3	26	l e
GLENODINIUM OCULATUM	CEL		!!		1 !		X	1 1		20	ļ
GOLENKINIA	CEL	1	!!		!!		,		0.5	39	•
GOLENKINIA RADIATA	CEL		!!		į į	0.3		•			!
GOMPHONEMA ANGUSTATUM	CEL		ļ		!!	!	X	1		•	į
GYMNODINIUM	CEL	1	!!		!!		_		0.3	26	1
GYMNODINIUP ORDINATUM	CEL	1	1		1 1	0.8		1 1	!		23
GYROSIGMA ?	CEL	1	1 1		- ()		X			-	Į.
KIRCHNERIELLA	CEL	1	1 1					13	7.1	566	ļ
KIRCHNERIELLA CONTORTA	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	Į	X				Ţ
LYNGBYA	FIL	ı	1 1		- 1 1	1		1 1	4.0	322	ļ
LYNGBYA LIMNETICA	FIL	1	1 1			6.8	403	l			Į.
MALLEMONAS	CEL		1		1 1	ĺ		1	0.2	13.	ļ
MALL CMCNAS ACAROIDES	CEL	1	1 1		131	3.5	210	- 1		X	Į.
MELOSIRA	CEL	1	1 1	X	1 1			1	l 1		ł
MELOSIRA DISTANS	CEL	1	5.3	71	- 1 1	0.3	16	15	12.0		1
MELOSIPA GRANULATA	CEL	11	65.1	865	1 1			1	1.9	154	ł
MELOSIRA GRANULATA		İ	1		1 1			1	1 1	ı	•
V. ANGUSTISSIMA	CEL	Í	1 1	X				1	1 1		ŧ
MELOSIRA ITALICA	CEL	1	1 1		151	2.4	145	1	1 1	i	1
MERISMOPEDIA TENUISSIMA	COL	ĺ	i i	X	1	0.3	16	1	4.81	386	1
MICROCYSTIS	COL	i	i i		1 1			1	1	X	1
MICROCYSTIS AERUGINOSA	COL	Ì	ìi	X	1 1		1	1	1 1		1
MICROCYSTIS INCERTA	COL	i	i i	•	i		i x	İ	9.1	733	1
NAVICULA	CEL	ì	i i		i		1	İ	1.9		1
NAVICULA #1	CEL	i	i		i	,	X	İ	1		í
NAVICULA #2	CEL	i	i i		i	j	i X	İ	i i		1
	V-L	•	•		•	-	•	•	•		

06 14 73 08 27 73 11 02 73

STORET NUMBER: 2804

•		33 21 13									
TAXA	FORM	 s	*C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 S	3 C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 1
NI TZ SCHI A	CEL	I	1	X	- <u>-</u> -	i		1 1	<u> </u>		- -
NITZSCHIA ACICULARIS	CEL	1	1		İ	İ		11	1	X	1
NITZSCHIA HOLSATICA	CEL	1 1			1	0.51	32	1 1	1	X	1
NITZSCHIA PALEA	CEL	- (1		1	1 1		1 1	ł	X	1
NITZSCHIA SPP.	CEL				ı	1 1		1 1	3.21	257	ł
OSCILLATORIA	FIL		1		1	1		1 1	1.01	77	1
OSCILLATORIA LIMNETICA	FIL	1 1	1.4	18	11	40.61	2419	1 1	1		1
PANDORINA PROTUBERANS	COL	1	1.4	18	1	1		- 1 1			1
PEDIASTRUM	COL	1 1	1		1	1 1		1 1	0.3	26	1
PEDIASTRUM DUPLEX		1			[1		1 1	1		1
V. CLATHRATUM	COL	1 1	1		1	1 1		1 1		X	1
PEDIASTRUM DUPLEX		1 1	1		1	1 1		1 1	ſ		124
V. RETICULATUM	COL	1 1		X	1	0.31	16	1 1	ı		1 *
PERIDINIUM INCONSPICUUM	CEL	1 1	1.41	18		0.51	32	- 1 1	0.21	13	1
PHACUS CURVICAUDA	CEL	1 1	1	X	1	1 1	X	1 1	•		1
RAPHIDIOPSIS ? CURVATA	FIL	1 1			12	34.11	2032	1 1	1		1
SCENEDESMUS ABUNDANS	COL	1 1	2.61	35	1	1 1		1 1	1		1
SCENEDESMUS BICALDATUS	CCL	1 1	1		1	1 1		1 1	0.6	51	1
SCENEDESMUS BIJUGA	COL	1 1	1	X	1	0.31	16	- 1 1	1.8	142	ŧ
SCENEDESMUS DENTICULATUS	COL	1 1			1	1 1		1 1	1.0	77	1
SCENEDESMUS DIMORPHUS	COL	1 1				1 1			0.31	26	1
SCENEDESMUS QUADRICAUDA	COL	1 1	1	X	1	1 1	X	1 1	1.9	154	1
SPHAEROCYSTIS SCHROETERI	COL	1 1	1	X		1 1		1 1	1		1
SPIRULINA	FIL		1		1	1 1		1 1	0.2	13	1
STAURASTRUM #1	CEL	1 1	1		1	1 1		1 1	•	X	1
STAURASTRUM #2	CEL	1 1			1	1 1		1 1	0.61	51	ł
STEPHANODISCUS	CEL	12	5.31	71	1	1 1		1 1	1		1
SURIRELLA	CEL	1 1	1			1		1 1) X	1
SYNEDRA	CEL	1	1			1		1 1	0.21	13	1
SYNURA ?	CEL		l i	X	1	1 1		1 1	1		1
TETRAEDRON CAUDATUM		1	1		i	1		1 1	1		1
V. LONGISPINUM	CEL	1	1		1	1		1 1	0.61	51	1

LAKE NAME: ROSS BARNETT RES.

CCNTINUED

STORET NUMBER: 2804

·			06 14 73			08 27 73			11 02 73		
TAXA	FORM	1	%C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	*C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	%C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1
TETRAEDRON MUTICUM	ČEL		- -		1 1			1 1	0.31	26	-
TRACHELOMONAS	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	0.51	32	1 1	1		i
TRACHELCMONAS INTERMEDIA	CEL	1 1	ı	X	1 1	1		1 1	1		- 1
TRACHELOMONAS PULCHELLA	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1	•	1 1	0.31	26	ı
TRACHELEMONAS URCEOLATA	CEL	141	1.4	18	1 1	1		1 1	1		ı
TRACHELOMONAS VOLVOCINA	CEL	1 1	1	X	1 1	1		1 1	0-31	26	i
TOTAL				1328			5965			8026	

NIGAARD IRDFEIC SIA'E INDICE	YGA.	AARD	TROPH	10	STAT	E IND	ICES
------------------------------	------	------	-------	----	------	-------	------

DATE	06 13 73	08 27 73	11 01 73
MYXOPHYCEAN	02/0 E	2.00 E	2.50 E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	01/0 E	0/01 C	1.50 E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0.33 E	1.50 E	0-25 E
DIATOM	1.33 E	3.00 E	1.25 E
COMPOUND	08/0 E	8.00 E	7.50 E

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	06 13 73	08 27 73	11 01 73
GENUS	01	01	04
SPECIES	00	00	00 ;

DATE 06 13 73 08 27 73 11 01 73

SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

AVERAGE DIVERSITY	Н	1.05	1.33	1.79
NUMBER OF TAXA	S	16.00	15.00	29.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	4.00	4.00	4.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	4.00	3.91	4.86
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	3535.35	2276.96	4390.87
TCTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	3367.00	1712.00	2453.00
EVENESS COMPONENT	J	0 • 26	0.34	0.37
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	210.44	114.13	84.59
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	2782.00	1289.00	1699.00

LAKE NAME: SARDIS LAKE CONTINUED STORET NUMBER: 2805

				05 13 73			08 27 73			11 01 73	
TAXA	FORM	 S	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	₹C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	ЗС	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	!
ANABAENA	FIL	- 	1		77-7			ī-		X	ī
ANABAENA PLANCTONICA	FIL	ii	i	X	ìi	i		İ	i i		İ
ANKIST RODESMUS FALCATUS	CEL	ii	i		ii	i		i :	i i	X	i
CENTRIC DIATOM	CEL	ii	i		ii	Ì	Х	i.	i		ĺ
CERATIUM HIRUNDINELLA	CEL	ii	i		ii	i	•	i	i	X	j
CHLOROPHYTAN COLONY	COL	ìi	i	X	ìi	i		İ	i		1
CLCSTERIUM	CEL	ii	i		ii	ì	X	i	j	X	1
CRYPTOMONAS	CEL	ii	0.91	29	iзi	5.9	101	13	4.9	120	1
CRYPTOMENAS #1	CEL	ii	i		1		. — 	Ì		X	1
CRYPTOMONAS REFLEXA	CEL	ii	i		14	4.7	81	Ì	1	X	1
CYANOPHYTAN COCCOID CELLED COLONY	CEL	ii	i		ii	į		1	ĺĺ	X	1
CYANGPHYTAN FILAMENT	FIL	ii	i	X				1	0.7	17	27
CYCLOTELLA STELLIGERA	CEL	İ	i		İ	1		1	6.3	154	1
CYMBELLA	CEL	1 (0.4	15	1 1	1		1	1 1		1
DINOBRYON BAVARICUM	CEL	1 4	1		1 1			1	1 0-7	17	1
EUASTRUM	CEL		Ì		1 1	1		1	1 1	X	1
EUGLENA	CEL	1 1	1	X	1.1	1		15	0.7	17	1
EUGLENA #1	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1		1	1 1	X	l
FLAGELLATE #1	CEL	121	6.1	205	1 1	1		ı	• •		1
FLAGELLATE #2	CEL		1	X				1	1 1) X	1
FLAGELLATE #9	CEL	1 1		X	1 1			1	1 (1
FLAGELLATES	CEL	1	1 1		1			12	69.3	1699	1
LAGERHEIMIA	CEL	1 (1		1 1	{			1	X	1
LEPOCINCLIS	CEL	1 1	1	•	1 1	1	X	1	1 1	ł	1
LYNGBYA LIMNETICA ?	FIL	1 1	1 1		[1]	75.3	1289	1	1 1	X	I
MALLCMCNAS	CEL	1 1	1		15	2.3	40	1	1.4	.	1
MELOSIRA DISTANS	CEL	13	5.61	190	1 1	1	X	14	•		1
MELOSIRA GRANULATA	CEL	1 1	1		12	9.4	161	11	7.7	189	Ţ
MELOSIRA GRANULATA		1 1	1		1 1				!	!	ļ
V. ANGUSTISSIMA	CEL		1 2.61	88	1			ļ	1		1
MELOSIRA ITALICA	CEL	11	182.51	2782				1	<u> </u>	X	ļ
NAVICULA	CEL	1	∮ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1			1	1	(X	ţ

LAKE NAME: SARDIS LAKE STORET NUMBER: 2805 CONTINUED

				06 13 73	3		08 27 73			11 01 73	
TA XA FORM	 S	*C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 s	2 C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 s	#C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 1	
CSCILLATORIA LIMNETICA	FIL	7-7	<u>-</u>		1 1	·ī	X		- -	x	- - -
PEDIASTRUM DUPLEX	•	11	ĺ		1 1	-			1		1
V. RETICULATUM ?	COL	11	1		1 1	- 1		1 1	1	X	ı
PENNATE DIATOMS	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1		f 1	0.71	17	1
PERIDINIUM INCONSPICUUM	CEL	11	1		1 1	Į.	X	1	l 1		1
PERIDINIUM UMBONATUM ?	CEL	1 1	ı		1 1	1	X	1 1			ļ
PHACUS ORBICULARIS ?	CEL	1 1	- 1		1 1	•	X		1		ı
RHIZOS OL EN IA	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1			1	X	l l
SCHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	1 1	1	X	1 1	•			1 1		ļ
STEPHANODI SCUS	CEL	151	1.31	43	1 1	1			1		ļ
SYNEDRA	CEL	1 1	0.41	15	- 1 1	2.3	40		0.7	17	1
SYNEDRA #1	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	- 1		1 1	2.8	69	28
TABELLARIA FENESTRATA	CEL	11	1	X	1 1				!		1 -
TRACHELCMONAS DUBIA ?	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1	X	1	1		1
TCTAL				3367			1712			2453	

STORET NUMBER: 2806

NYCAARD	TROPHIC	STATE	INCICES
HIGAANU	INCLINE	JIMIL	11101663

DATE	06 14	73	08 28	73	11 02	73
MYXOPHYCEAN	02/0	E	5.00	Ē	06/0	E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	0/0	0	4.00	E	0/0	0
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/02	?	0.56	Ε	0.83	Ε
DIATOM	1.50	E	2.50	Ē	2.00	E
COMPOUND	05/0	Ε	19.0	Ε	17/0	Ε

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	06 14 73	08 28 73	11 02 73
GENUS	01	01	01
SPECIES	00	00	00

SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

	DATE	06 14 73	08 28 73	11 02 73
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	1.95	2.77	1.52
NUMBER OF TAXA	S	9.00	28.00	27.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	3.00	3.00	3.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	MAXH	3.17	4.81	4.75
TOTAL DIVERSITY	0	2591.55	1282.51	3734.64
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	1329.00	463.00	2457.00
EVENESS COMPONENT	J	0.62	0.58	0.32
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	147.67	16.54	91.00
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	772.00	141.00	1796.00

		00 14 75				00 25 75				11 02 13	
TAXA	FORM	 s	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	#C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 s	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	
ANABAENA #2	FIL	15	4.21	56		2.2	10	14	0.81	19	- - - - - - - - - -
ANABAENA PLANCTONICA	FIL		15.9		1 1		X	1	1	X	1
ANKI STRODE SMUS	CEL	1	1		1 1		X				1
CRYPTOMONAS	CEL	13	0.91	12	1 (1			1	X	1
CRYPTOMCNAS OVATA	CEL		Í		13	13.2	61	13	2.01	49	1
CYCLOTELLA MENEGHINIANA	CEL		l		1 1		X	1 1	0-41	10	- 1
CYCLOTELLA STELLIGERA	CEL	1 1	l İ		1 1	2.2	10		0.81	19	1
CYMBELLA	CEL	1 1	Í	X	1 1	1	X				1
DINCBRYON BAVARICUM	CEL	1	İ		1 1	İ	X	1	1		- 1
DINOFLAGELLATE CYST	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1		1 1		X	ł
EUGLENA #1	CEL	1 1	ĺ		1 1		X	-	1 1		1
EUGLENA GRACILIS	CEL		l		1 1			15	0.8	19	<u> </u> 6
EUGLENA CXYURIS	CEL	1	1		1 1			1	1	X	ا ر
FLAGELLATE #1	CEL	1 1	11.4	152	121	26.1	121	1	0.81	19	1
GLENODINIUM OCULATUM ?	CEL	1 1	İ		- 1 1	1	X		1		1
GLENODINIUM PENARDIFORME	CEL	1			1 1	1		1	0.41	10	1
GYMNODINIUM ORDINATUM	CEL	1	1		1 1			1	0-41	10	1
KIRCHNERIELLA CONTORTA	COL	[]	1		1 1	2.2	10	1			1
LYNGBYA	FIL	1 1	1		1 1	4.3	20		1		1
MALLCMCNAS ACAROIDES	CEL	1	1		1 1					X	1
MELOSIRA	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1				X	1
PELOSIRA #4	CEL	1 1	ĺĺ		1 1	1		1	0.41	10	1
MELOSIRA DISTANS	CEL	1	3.51	47	111	30.5	141	12	15.8		1
MELOSIRA GRANULATA	CEL	1 1			141	6.5	30	11	73.1	1796	1
MELOSIRA GRANULATA		1 (1		1 1	t			1		
V. ANGUSTISSIMA	CEL	14	4.21	56	1 1		X	1			-1
MELOSIRA ITALICA	CEL	12	58.1	772	- 1 - 1	[1	1		ł
MERISMOPEDIA TENUISSIMA	COL	1	1 1		151	8.61	40		0-41	10	1
MICROCYSTIS AERUGINOSA	COL	1	1 1		1 (X	1	1 1	X	1
NITZSCHIA ?	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1		1	1.6	39	1
NITZ SCHIA ACICULARIS	CEL	1	1		1 1	1	X	1			
OSCILLATORIA	FIL	1	1 1				_	1	0.41	10	ı

		06 14 73				08 28 73				11 02 73		
AXA	FORM	 S	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 S	% C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1	
OSCILLATORIA LIMESA	FIL							-7-7	0.41	10	- 7	
PANDERINA MERUM	COL	1 1	i		1 1		x	ii		. 20	i	
PENNATE DIATOM	CEL				ii		^	ii	0.8	19	i	
PENNATE DIATOMS	CEL	1 1	1.7	23	1 1		İ	ii		• •	ì	
PHACUS CAUDATUS	CEL	ii			1		х	ii	i		i	
PHACLS SUECICUS ?	CEL						i x	ii	i		i	
PINNULARIA BRAUNII	CLL	1 1			i		î	ii	i		i	
V. AMPHICEPHALA	CEL	ìi		; 	ì			ii	i	· X	i	
PTERCMONAS	CEL	ii	i	İ	ii	2.2	10	ii	i	•	i	
SCENEDESMUS DENTICULATUS	022	ìi					•	ii	i		ì	
V. LINEARIS	COL	ii			ii	İ	x	ii	i		i	
SPHAEROCYSTIS SCHROETERI	COL	ii	i		i	i i	i X	ii	i		ĺω	
STAURASTRUM LEPTCCLADUM	CEL	ii			i		X	ìi	İ		1	
TRACHELOMONAS INTERMEDIA ?	CEL	ii	İ		i		X	ii	i		İ	
TRACHELOMONAS PULCHELLA	CEL	i	i		i		ĺ	ìi	0.4	10	1	
TRACHELCHONAS URCEDLATA	CEL	ii			ì		i	Ì	İ	X	1	
TRACHELEMONAS VOLVOCINA	CEL	i	i		i	2.2	10	İ	0.41	10	j,	
TOTAL				1329			463			2457	•	