United States
Environmental Protection
Agency

Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response

SEPA

DIRECTIVE	NUMBER: 9434.00-2			
	licability of RCRA to Department of Energy			
APPROVAL	DATE: 05-01-85			
EFFECTIVE	DATE: 05-01-85			
ORIGINAT	NG OFFICE: Office of Solid Waste			
☐ FINAL				
□ DRAFT				
STATUS	[] C- For review &/or comment [] D- In development or circulating			
REFERENCE (other documents): headquarters				

OSWIFR OSWIFR OSWIFR E DIRECTIVE DIRECTIVE D

SEPA OSWER Directive Initiation Request			Directive Number		
			juest 9434.00-2		
2. Originator Information					
Name of Contact Person George Garland	Mail Code WH-563	Office OSW	Telephone Code (202) 382-2210		
3. Title Applicability of RCRA to De	partment of Er	nergy Faciliti	es		
4. Summary of Directive (include brief statement of Provides information and guregulation mixed wastes at	idance on the		of RCRA to the		
5. Kerwords Mixed Waste/Federal Agency/F		у			
5a. Does This Directive Supersede Previous Direc	ctive(s)?	Yes	What directive (number, title)		
b. Does It Supplement Previous Directive(s)?	X	Yes	What directive (number, title)		
7. Draft Level A - Signed by AA/DAA B -	Signed by Office Direct	tor C-Fo	r Review & Comment D - In Development		
8. Document to be distrib	outed to States	by Headquar	ters? Yes X No		
This Request Meets OSWER Directives System	n Format Standards.				
9. Signature of Lead Office Directives Coordinator	,		Date		
10. Name and Title of Approving Official			Date		
John H. Skinner, Director,	OSW		05-01-85		
PA Form 1315-17 (Rev. 5-87) Previous editions	are obsolete.				

OSWER OSWER OSWER OVE DIRECTIVE DIRECTIVE

MAY 1 1985

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Applicability of RCRA to Department of

Bnergy Facilities

FROM:

John H. Skinner

Director

Office of Solid Waste (WH-562)

TO:

Directors, Hazardous Waste Division

Regions I-X

This memorandum will provide you with information on three issues related to the applicability of RCRA to Department of Energy (DOE) facilities. First, I want to update you on the status of our negotiations with DOE. Second, I want to provide guidance as to how the Agency will treat DOE facilities, both for the present and in the future. Last, I want to provide some information and guidance on what we will be expecting the States and how we will be judging their programs, relative to DOE, for purposes of authorization.

We are continuing to negotiate and define with DOE the legal and technical parameters under which the two access will operate. As a result of the U.S. District Court decision regarding DOE's Y-12 facility in Tennessee and the subsequent acceptance by DOE that the Court's decision would apply to all DOE's facilities, both agencies have agreed that RCRA applies to DOE facilities for both hazardous wastes and certain radioactive mixed wastes. We are currently developing policy and drafting regulations and guidance that will formalize our operations.

Three joint EPA-DOE committees have been formed to establish this policy. The first committee is a policy committee to write and interpret regulations, including the legal definition of source, special nuclear, and byproduct wastes. The second committee is looking at the technical application of the regulations. The third committee is discussing security issues, especially as a required to inspect handlers and to review data. The third committee is discussing security issues, especially as a result of these committees are developed as a result of these committees.

to 40 CFR Parts 124, 260-265, 271 and 10 CFR Part 692. The revisions should include a working definition of "byproduct material," procedures for review and approval of variances (e.g., exemption from reporting certain waste analyses), procedures for the handling of classified information, and requirements for State programs.

In addition to establishing regulatory requirements for State authorization, EPA may assume a limited role in mediating disputes between DOE and an authorized State. Upon the request of either DOE or an authorized State, EPA might issue an advisory opinion as to whether the application of particular State hazardous waste regulations is inconsistent with the Atomic Energy Act. The opinion would not bind either party. However, if DOE and the State are unable to resolve their differences and must seek a legal remedy, a court could consider EPA's opinion in rendering a decision, whether that opinion favored the State or DOE.

Let me turn to the second point of this memorandum—
treatment of DOE facilities. Both DOE and EPA consider them
Pebruary 22, 1984, Memorandum of Agreement to be no longer in
effect. No Hazardous Waste Compliance Plans will be issued.

All DOE facilities are required to obtain a RCRA permit for
certain RCRA regulated mixed wastes as well as for their
hazardous wastes. Until we promulgate new regulations defining
mixed wastes and establishing the standards for DOE handlers,
we recommend that permits be issued for all wastes which exhibit
a characteristic or are listed, and those mixed wastes which
are clearly RCRA wastes, i.e., where DOE agrees that a particular
mixed waste is subject to RCRA. Thus, where FPA is the permitting
authority, we can add conditions at a later date for handling
any subsequently defined mixed wastes. The Agency need not
defer all action on DOE permits pending promulgation of the
regulations.

You should also be following the same protocol and schedule for inappeding DOB handlers as you do now for all hazardous waste-handlers. Reep in mind that starting in November 1985, Pederal Reep in mind that starting in November 1985, Pederal Respected by EPA on an annual basis as required Research and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984. Security creatances may be needed for individuals performing these inspections. If the inspection documents the presence of one or more Class I violations, a Notice of Violation/Compliance Demand (NOV/CD) should be developed, which recites for the record all violations present at the handler, specifies in detail the necessary remedies for each and establishes a reasonable implementation schedule. The NOV/CD should be accompanied by a cover letter that advises the handler of its options for response and specifically allows it to reach consensual settlement of the case. This would be accomplished by the handler agreeing in

writing, within ten days of receipt of the NOV/CD to implement the remedies as indicated in the schedule. The WOV/CD is still effective upon receipt, notwithstanding any efforts to resolve the case through the consent mechanism. Thirty days should be provided in the NOV/CD to reach a negotiated settlement before moving ahead with an administrative order.

Failing that, you should work with your Region's Federal Facilities Coordinator and also notify us of your problems. Headquarters involvement may not be appropriate, but we would like to remain informed of any difficulties. Where it is appropriate, Tony Baney of the Office of Waste Programs Enforcement should be informed, as well as OSW's Federal Facilities Coordinator, Andrea Pearl who will work with EPA's Office of Federal Activities and DOE Headquarters' staff to try to facilitate a resolution. Tony's number is FTS 475-6173 and Andrea's number is FTS 382-2210.

We will also continue, for the time being, to follow the policy outlined in Lee Thomas' February 21, 1984, memorandum to Ernesta Barnes (copy attached) regarding the applicability of States' regulations to DOE facilities. That is, States do not have to regulate mixed waste at the present time as an authorization requirement. A State may indeed regulate such wastes under State law; however, under RCRA, States cannot yet receive authorization to do so. We intend to publish a Federal Register notice describing our interpretation of the radioactive waste exclusion. At such time, States will be required to obtain authorization for an equivalent provision by amending their programs, where necessary. Where amendment is not necessary, a certification from the Attorney General will be required. The time frames contained in 40 CFR \$271.21(e) will apply.

In the meantime, where a State has legal authority over RCRA-exempted mixed wastes, such State is not authorized to issue RCRA permits to facilities which handle those mixed wastes. State-imposed requirements which are beyond the scope of the Federal program (such as the management of these mixed wastes as hazardous) are not part of the Federally approved TA program. It should be noted that in an authorized State, EPA also cannot issue permits for handling such mixed wastes. Section 3006(c)(4) of the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 allows joint Federal-State permits to be issued where a State is not yet authorized for a particular new requirement of the Amendments. However, the mixed waste issue is not addressed in the Amendments and, therefore, that provision is inapplicable.

3

I hope this discussion has been helpful. We will be keeping you informed as we progress. In the meantime, I would urge you to begin making the States aware of our plans.

Attachment

cc: Hazardous Waste Branch Chiefs, Regions I-X
Federal Facilities Coordinators, Regions I-X
Jack McGraw
Gene Lucero
Mike Cook
John Lehman
Eileen Claussen
Lisa Friedman
Lee Herwig