

DIRECTIVE NUMBER: 9441.20(85)
TITLE: Use/Reuse Exemption as Applied to Spent Pickle Liquor
APPROVAL DATE: 6-5-85
EFFECTIVE DATE: 6-5-85
ORIGINATING OFFICE: Office of Solid Waste
☑ FINAL
☐ DRAFT [] A- Pending OMB approval [] B- Pending AA-OSWER approval
STATUS: [] C- For review &/or comment [] D- In development or circulating
headquarters
REFERENCE (other documents):

OSWER OSWER OSWER /E DIRECTIVE DIRECTIVE DI

DOC: 9441.20(85)

Key Words: Pickle Liquor, Recycling, Surface Impoundment, Exclusion

Regulations:

Subject: Use/Reuse Exemption as Applied to Spent Pickle Liquor

Addressee: James H. Scarbrough, Chief, Residuals Management Branch,

Region IV

Originator: John Skinner, Director, Office of Solid Waste

Source Doc: #9441.20(85)

Date: 6-5-85

Summary:

The January 4, 1985, use/reuse exclusion applies to spent pickle liquor that is beneficially used as a wastewater conditioner. A surface impoundment that receives the discharged wastewater is subject to RCRA regulations only if the sludge that builds up in the impoundment exhibits one or more of the characteristics of hazardous waste.

JUN 5 1985

MEMORANDUN

SUBJECT: Use/Rouse Exemption as Applied to Spent Pickle Liquor

FROM: John Skinner, Director Office of Solid Waste

TO: James H. Scarbrough, Chief Residuals Management Branch Region IV

This memo is in response to your memorandum dated May 10, regarding the use/reuse exclusion as it relates to spent pickle liquor that is used as a wastewater conditioner. In particular, U.S. Steel uses spent pickle liquor as a substitute for ferric chloride (as a wastewater conditioner) and adds it to their wastewater treatment system; however, the Region and the State of Alabama question whether the use/reuse exclusion applies if the spent pickle liquor is discharged to a wastewater that is contained in an open unlined ditch. Furthermore, you expect the build-up of EP sludge/precipitate on the bottom of the unit. You question whether you can regulate the unit as a hazardous waste surface impoundment.

While we agree with your conclusion that you can regulate the unit as a hazardous waste impoundment, we do not agree with the logic that led you to that conclusion. The sludge that forms in the impoundment is a solid waste and if it is hazardous (i.e., exhibits one or more of the characteristics of hazardous waste), it is subject to regulation; thus, the impoundment would be subject to hazardous waste control.

Bouver, we do not agree with your logic concerning the use/reuse exclusion. The January 4 regulations (and preamble) to these exclusions indicates that spent pickle liquor that is used as a wastewater conditioner is considered to be covered under the use/reuse exclusion, provided that the material is not speculatively accumulated. See, for example, Part I, Section III. B. (Secondary Materials That Are Not Solid Wastes), pg. 619 and Part II, Section III. H. (Section 261.2(e): Secondary Materials That Are Not Solid Wastes When Recycled), pg. 637. We also address this point indirectly in footnote 15 (pg. 628) where it states:

"We gote, however, that we do not consider secondary materials that are used as washevater conditioners to be with the scope of this provision (use constituting distribly provision). The activity is not similar to land disposal because the secondary material is chemically combined as part of a conditioning process and is subsumed as an ingredient in the conditioned water.

We, therefore, cannot agree with you (or the State of Alabema) that this activity constitutes land disposal (under the Federal program). Rather, the January 4 rules indicate that the spent pickle liquor (if beneficially used as a wastewater conditioner) would be excluded from control under MCMA. However, as indicated above, the impoundment would still be regulated if it contains a characteristic hazardeus waste or any other listed hazardous waste. Please give Matthew A. Straus a call if you have any further questions.

WH-562B/MSTRAUS/pes/475-8551/5-24-85/Disk M8850129 OEM-090 DUE DATE: 5/26/85 Corrected/pes/6-4-85