#### Technical Report

Exhaust and Evaporative Emissions of High Mileage Passenger Cars

Project Officer: Craig A. Harvey

Branch Technical Representative:
Bruce Michael

February 1985

#### NOTICE

Technical Reports do not necessarily represent final EPA decisions or positions. They are intended to present technical analysis of issues using data which are currently available. The purpose in the release of such reports is to facilitate the exchange of technical information and to inform the public of technical developments which may form the basis for a final EPA decision, position or regulatory action.

Technical Support Staff
Emission Control Technology Division
Office of Mobile Sources
Office of Air and Radiation
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

#### PREFACE

This report gives the results of exhaust and evaporative emissions tests Southwest Research Institute performed on 27 vehicles. Test cars were selected randomly from a registration list of all 1981 passenger cars in Bexar County, Texas (which includes the greater San Antonio area and some surrounding suburban and rural areas). Seven of the 27 cars underwent restorative maintenance after baseline testing (replaced canister or gas cap) and evaporative emissions were measured again after maintenance.

The Southwest Research Institute report was originally submitted to EPA with three appendices attached. The first, "Appendix A: Project Set-Up and Car Selection," has been retained. The second, "Appendix B: Data and Coding Sheets," and the third, "Appendix C: Computer Printouts of the Emissions Results" have been omitted. However, the vehicle emissions results contained in Appendices B and C have been added to EPA's Emission Factor Program (MICRO files) as part of a larger in-use vehicle emissions test data base. The results of these emissions tests are summarized in Tables 6 and 7 of this report.

POST OFFICE DRAWER 28510 • 6220 CULEBRA ROAD • SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, USA 78284 • (512) 684-5111-TELEX 76-7357

September 28, 1984

TO: Mr. Craig A. Harvey, Project Officer

Mr. Bruce Michael, Branch Technical Representative

Environmental Protection Agency

2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105

FROM: Terry L. Ullman, Charles M. Urban, and Charles T. Hare

Department of Emissions Research

Southwest Research Institute

6220 Culebra Road San Antonio, TX 78284

SUBJECT: Summary of Work Conducted for the period May 30 through

September 28, 1984; Work Assignment No. 20, Contract 68-03-3162, "Testing of High Mileage Taxicabs and Pas-

senger Cars," SwRI Project No. 03-7338-020.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The objective of this Work Assignment, as conducted, has been to accumulate regulated emissions data on randomly selected, privately owned 1981 passenger cars. The scope of this effort was to test as many cars as possible within the time and funding allocated to this Work Assignment.

The original objective of this Work Assignment was to accumulate emissions data on several high mileage taxicabs and privately owned passenger cars. At the direction of the Project Officer on July 9, the objective was changed to testing randomly selected, privately owned 1981 passenger cars; this change in technical direction was defined in the letter from the undersigned to the EPA Project Officer dated July 16, 1984. Work conducted in accord with the original objective of this Assignment was reported in Monthly Progress Report No. 1, dated July 15, 1984; and that initial effort is included in this summary by reference to that progress report, a copy of which is in Appendix A-1 of this summary report.

#### II. CAR SELECTION

Test cars were selected randomly from a registration list of all 1981 passenger cars in Bexar County, Texas (which includes the greater San Antonio area and some surrounding rural and suburban areas) to fill a test matrix provided by the Project Officer. The test car matrix, given in Table 1, included 35 cars of various make and engine size. Due to the time constraints in this Work Assignment, with the likelihood of not having time to test 35 cars, the test matrix was initially reduced to ten cars as shown in Table 2. The matrix was subsequently increased to 21 cars and then to the 27 cars actually tested. The test matrices for 21 and 27 cars are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.



TABLE 1. THIRTY-FIVE (35) CAR TEST MATRIX FOR 1981 PASSENGER CARS

Make/Engine	4 cyl.	6 cyl.	8 cyl.
GMC	5 vehicles code: 49, G, 5	6 vehicles code: X, Z, K, 3 A, 4	3 vehicles code: aS, F, J, T, W, H, Y, L, 6
Ford	6 vehicles code: 2, A	3 vehicles code: B	l vehicle code: D, F, G
Chrysler	3 vehicles code: A, C, D		<b></b>
Datsun	l vehicle code: all		
Toyota	3 vehicles code: all		
Honda	2 vehicles code: all		
Subaru	l vehicle code: all		

aVIN code "9" for GM designates a 4-cylinder engine in most cases, except for Cadillac. For GM Cadillac, "9" designates a V-8 engine.

TABLE 2. TEN (10) CAR TEST MATRIX FOR 1981 PASSENGER CARS

Make/Engine	4 cyl.	6 cyl.	8 cyl.
GMC	2 vehicles code: a9, G, 5	2 vehicles code: X, Z, K, 3 A, 4	l vehicle code: <sup>a</sup> S, F, J, T, W, H, Y, L, 6
Ford	2 vehicles code: 2, A	l vehicle code: B	O vehicle code: D, F, G
Chrysler	l vehicle code: A, C, D		
Datsun	0 vehicle code: all		·
Toyota	l vehicle code: all		
Honda	0 vehicle code: all		
Subaru	O vehicle code: all		

Figure 2. Ten (10) Car Test Matrix for 1981 Passenger Cars

aVIN code "9" for GM designates a 4-cylinder engine in most cases, except for Cadillac. For GM Cadillac, "9" designates a V-8 engine.

TABLE 3. TWENTY-ONE (21) CAR TEST MATRIX FOR 1981 PASSENGER CARS

Make/Engine	4-cylinder	6-cylinder	8-cylinder
GMC	4 vehicles code: a9, G, 5	4 vehicles code: X, Z, K, 3 A, 4	<pre>2 vehicles code: as, F, J, T, W, H, Y, L, 6</pre>
Ford	4 vehicles code: 2, A	2 vehicles code: B	0 vehicle code: D, F, G
Chrysler	2 vehicles code: A, C, D		· <b></b>
Datsun	0 vehicle code: all		
Toyota	2 vehicles code: all		
Honda	l vehicle code: all		
Subaru	0 vehicle code: all	<b></b>	<b></b>

aVIN code "9" for GM designates a 4-cylinder engine in most cases, except for Cadillac. For GM Cadillac, "9" designates a V-8 engine.

TABLE 4. TWENTY-SEVEN (27) CAR TEST MATRIX FOR 1981 PASSENGER CARS

Make/Engine	4-cylinder	6-cylinder	8-cylinder
GMC	5 vehicles code: 49, G, 5	5 vehicles code: X, Z, K, 3 A, 4	2 vehicles code: <sup>a</sup> S, F, J, T, W, H, Y, L, 6
Ford	6 vehicles code: 2, A	2 vehicles code: B	1 vehicle code: D, F, G
Chrysler	3 vehicles code: A, C, D		
Datsun	0 vehicle code: all		
Toyota	2 vehicles code: all		
Honda	l vehicle code: all		
Subaru	0 vehicle code: all		

aVIN code "9" for GM designates a 4-cylinder engine in most cases, except for Cadillac. For GM Cadillac, "9" designates a V-8 engine.

The registration list of 42,000 1981 passenger cars in Bexar County, Texas was obtained on magnetic tape, sorted by make and VIN. This list was broken into smaller files by make and number of engine cylinders. Using a standard statistical computer program, The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), a set percentage of a smaller file was selected randomly and printed (usually about 200 registrations from each of the smaller files). Using a random number table, these lists were recompiled to establish random priority for specific vehicle selection.

Randomized lists for GM cars were obtained and preparations were completed to mail out letters soliciting the randomly-picked test cars. Prior to mailing, however, it was noted that no Cadillacs were in the listing for GM 8-cylinder cars, but many Cadillacs were noted in the GM 4-cylinder list. The VIN codes were reviewed and found to be in error. The "9" for the eighth digit on most GM cars designates a 4-cylinder engine, but for Cadillac, a "9" designates an 8-cylinder engine. All letters were withdrawn, all codes were checked, and new GM lists were generated.

Telephone contact was made with the persons to whom letters were sent. Of those contacted, most were interested in participating. A set of the forms developed to solicit and obtain test cars from the public is given in Appendix A-2.

#### III. TEST AND INSPECTION PROCEDURES

The emission testing and car inspections were conducted in accord with entries on the computer coding sheets provided by the EPA Branch Technical Representative, copies of which are included in Appendix B. The Branch Technical Representative deleted the requirements for a propane gain check and measurement of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  in the steady-state tests involving undiluted exhaust.

As defined by the Branch Technical Representative, the checks of the emission control components on the engine primarily consisted of a relatively thorough visual check. In general, only the EGR and evaporative emissions systems received any functional checking. All decisions concerning restorative maintenance were made by the Branch Technical Representative. The criteria for consideration of restorative maintenance and retesting were as follows:

- 1. Total evaporative HC greater than 5.0 grams
- 2. Disabled or tampered emission components
- 3. FTP exhaust HC greater than 1.5 gm/mile
- 4. FTP exhaust CO greater than 50 gm/mile
- 5. FTP exhaust NO<sub>X</sub> greater than 2.5 gm/mile

The above conditions are listed in order of their maintenance importance. Generally, only one type of maintenance was performed, to correct one problem. However, no exact guidelines were given for maintenance, and so the EPA Branch Technical Representative had to be contacted. In general, restorative maintenance was conducted only when the evaporative emissions exceeded five grams per test.

The CVS-diluted exhaust emission tests and the evaporative emissions tests were conducted in accord with appropriate sections of the Code of Federal Regulations. The I-M short tests were conducted in accord with instructions provided by the EPA, as summarized in the I-M Short Test data sheets included in Appendix B-1. Hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide were measured using a Beckman Model 590 HC/CO infrared vehicle exhaust analyzer (garage-type analyzer). Carbon dioxide was measured using a Beckman Model 315B infrared analyzer, and oxygen was measured using a Beckman Model OM-11EA. As backups, HC and CO were also analyzed using Beckman Model 315B infrared analyzers.

Each car tested went through the specific series of inspections and evaluations. The record sheet and the test sequence used are given in Appendix B-2.

The data obtained were processed as necessary to enable making a decision on restorative maintenance and filling out the computer coding sheets provided by the Branch Technical Representative. Copies of the coding sheets are included in Appendix B-3.

#### IV. TEST RESULTS

A summary of all cars tested and the tests conducted is given in Table 5. The computer printouts of the results of FTP, HFET, and bagged idle emissions tests are given in Appendix C. These results are summarized, along with the results of the evaporative emissions tests, in Tables 6 and 7. The fuel economy results are summarized in Table 8. All other items of information and test results are reported on the computer coding sheets, which have been provided to EPA in shipments separate from this summary report. Data provided for each car tested are as follows:

- Computer Coding Sheets
- Computer Printouts of the Emissions Test Results, Evaporative Emission Calculations, Restorative Maintenance, I-M Short Test Data Sheets, and Original Chart of the I-M Short Tests

#### IV. SUMMARY AND COMMENTS

A total of 27 cars were evaluated under this Work Assignment, seven of which also underwent restorative maintenance. The data were recorded on computer coding sheets, and a separate packet of data for each car was provided to the Branch Technical Representative.

This Work Assignment required considerable initial effort for technical redirection, car selection, and setting up for the test effort, and the extensive data reporting requirements. After processing several cars through the laboratory, operations were streamlined and the effort per car decreased.

TABLE 5. VEHICLES TESTED AND TESTS CONDUCTED UNDER WORK ASSIGNMENT NO. 20

Test Car Number	Make	Model	Number of Cylinders	Emission Test No.	S.H.E.D. Test No.	Comments
01	GMC	Phoenix	4	01-1	01-1	
02	Ford	Zephyr	<b>6</b> .	02-1	02-1 & -6	new gas cap
03	Toyota	Corolla	4	03-1	03-1	
04	<b>GMC</b>	Firebird	6	04-1	04-1	
05	GMC	Cutlass	8	05-1	05-1 & -6	new canister
06	GMC	Century	6	06-1	06-1	
07	Ford	Futura	4	07-1	07-1 & -6	new canister
08	Chrysler	Reliant	4	08-1	08-1	
09	Ford	Mustang	4	09-1	09-1	
10	GMC	Skylark	4	10-1	10-1	
11	Ford	Cougar	6	11-1	11-1	
12	GMC	Chevette	4	12-1	12-1 & -6	new canister
13	GMC	Omega	4	13-1	13-1 & -6	new canister
14	GMC	Monte Carlo	6	14-1	14-1	
15	Ford	Escort	4	15-1	15-1	
16	Ford	Zephyr	4	16-1	16-1	
17	GMC	Skylark	6	17-1	17-1	
18	Chrysler	Reliant	4	18-1	18-1	
19	Toyota	Corolla	4	19-1	19-1	
20	Honda	Accord	. 4	20-1	20-1	
21	GMC	Chevette	4	21-1	21-1 & -6	new canister
22	Ford	Zephyr	4	22-1	22-1	
23	GMC	Cutlass	6	23-1	23-1	
24	GMC	Delta 88	8	24-1	24-1 & -6	new canister
25	Ford	Capri	4	25-1	25-1	
26	Ford	Marquis	8	26-1	26-1	
27	Chrysler	Reliant	4	27-1	27-1	

TABLE 6. SUMMARY OF THE FTP AND EVAPORATIVE EMISSIONS TEST RESULTS

Test	Ca	r	FTP I	Emissions	s, g/mi	Evapor	ative H	C, grams
No.	Make	Model	HC	CO	NOx	DBL	HSL	Total
	s Standards		0.41	3.4	1.0			2.0
Restorat	ive Maintenan	ce	1.5	50	2.5			5.0
	ava.	Dha an far	0.74	8.4	1.04	1.6	2.2	3.8
01-1	GMC	Phoenix	3.42	41.0	2.93	10.9	8.6	19.5
02-1 02-6 <sup>a</sup>	Ford	Zephyr	J. 42	71.0		3.9	8.5	12.4
	Ford	Zephyr Corolla	2.41	54.1	1.28	0.6	0.7	1.3
03-1	Toyota	COLOILA	2.41	J4 • T	1.20	0.0	0.7	`
04-1	GMC	Firebird	2.93	33.2	1.17	2.8	0.9	3.7
05-1 <sub>b</sub>	GMC	Cutlass	2.15	15.3	0.80	3.1	3.3	6.4,
05-6 <sup>b</sup>	GMC	Cutlass				0.4	2.5	6.4 2.9 <sup>b</sup>
06-1	GMC	Century	0.67	11.7	0.72	2.1	0.7	2.8
	_ ,		1 62	20.0	0.83	6.0	2 0	0.8
07-1 <sub>b</sub>	Ford	Futura	1.53	30.0	0.83	6.0 2.7	3.8 2.5	9.8 <sub>5</sub>
0/-0	Ford	Futura	0 22	10.2	0.82			5.25
08-1	Chrysler	Reliant	0.32	10.2		0.6	1.0	1.6
09-1	Ford	Mustang	0.60	6.0	0.53	0.7	1.0	1.7
10-1	GMC	Skylark	1.08	10.6	1.03	2.7	1.2	3.9
11-1	Ford	Cougar	0.60	8.2	0.69	4.0	0.8	4.8
12-1	GMC	Chevette	0.41	5.3	1.36	5.1	4.5	
12-6 <sup>b</sup>	GMC	Chevette				3.6	1.3	9.6 <sub>6</sub> 4.9
	er 15	•	0.70	2.2	1 02	2.6	2 0	
13-1 <sub>b</sub>	GMC	Omega	0.78	3.3	1.03	3.6	2.8	6.4 <sub>b</sub>
13-0	GMC	Omega		<del></del>		0.5	1.6	2.1
14-1	GMC	Monte Carlo	0.33	4.9	1.55	1.6	0.6	2.2
15-1	Ford	Escort	0.94	12.4	0.34	0.3	0.7	1.0
16-1	Ford	Zephyr	0.35	8.3	0.78	1.0	1.0	2.0
17-1	GMC	Skylark	0.31	1.5	1.10	0.4	1.8	2.2
18-1	Chrysler	Reliant	0.35	10.9	0.72	1.9	1.2	2.9
	_		0.00	, ,			٥ -	2 *
19-1	Toyota	Corolla	0.29	4.8	0.72	1.8	0.7	2.5
20-1	Honda	Accord	0.51		0.52	0.2	0.5	0.7
2·1-1,	GMC	Chevette	0.74	7.4	0.68	0.6	5.1	5.7 2.9
21-6	GMC	Chevette				0.3	2.6	2.9
22-1	Ford	Zephyr	0.85	7.7	1.05	0.3	0.6	0.9
23-1	GMC	Cutlass	0.33	6.0	1.09	0.7	0.7	1.4
24-1,	GMC	Delta 88	0.60	4.0	1.26	1.3	4.0	
24-6 <sup>b</sup>	GMC	Delta 88				1.8	4.0	5.3. 5.8 <sup>5</sup>
25_1	Ford	Coomi	0 55	6 3	0.00	0.7	0 0	, ,
25 <b>-</b> 1	Ford	Capri	0.55	6.3	0.99	0.6	0.8	1.4
26-1 27-1	Ford	Marquis	5.92	107.7	1.25	1.6	1.9	3.5
4/ <del>-</del> 1	Chrysler	Reliant	0.20	6.1	2.96	0.5	0.8	1.3

a bReplaced faulty gas cap Replaced saturated canister

TABLE 7. MEANS OF THE FTP AND EVAPORATIVE EMISSIONS TESTS

	FTP En	missions <u> </u>	, g/mi NOx	Evap DBL	orative HO <u>HSL</u>	C, grams Total
All vehicles as received*	1.11	15.95	1.08	2.1	1.9	4.0
Seven vehicles before restorative maintenance	1.38	15.19	1.27	4.4	4.6	9.0
Seven vehicles after restorative maintenance**				1.9	3.3	5.2

<sup>\*</sup> All 27 vehicles are carbureted 1981 passenger cars (no fuel injected vehicles) on which exhaust and evaporative emissions tests were run as received.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Only evaporative emissions were measured after restorative maintenance was performed on the seven vehicles that required maintenance.

TABLE 8. SUMMARY OF FUEL ECONOMY RESULTS

				Fuel E	conomy, mph	l.
Test	Car	Engine	Test	Results	Gas Mile	age Guide
No.	No.	CID	FTP	HFET	City	Highway
<del></del>						••
01	GM4-1	151	22	32	22	35
02	FD6-1	200	19	26	20	26
03	T04-1	110	23	35	28	40
04	GM6-1	231	19	27	19	30
05	GM8-1	260	17	25	19	26
06	GM6-2	231	19	28	21	
07	FD4-1	140	17	25	22	
08	CR4-1	156	22	29	23	32
09	FD4-2	140	22	35	23	34
10	GM4-2	151	21	29	22	32
11	FD6-2	200	18	25	18	
12	GM4-3	98	25	31	26	28
13	GM4-4	151	21	32	23	32
14	GM6-3	229	20	31	19	26
15	FD4-3	98	25	40	28	44
16	FD4-4	140	20	30	22	
						2.2
17	GM6-4	173	20	31	21	31
: 18	CR4-2	156	19	33	23	32
19	T04-2	89	31	43	36	47
20	HO4-1	107	24	36	27	35
21	GM4-5	98	26	38	30	
22	FD4-5	140	21	33	23	
23	GM6-5	231	21	31	21	30
24	GM8-2	260	17	25	17	24
25	FD4-6	140	20	28	22	
26	FD8-1	302	14	22	16	24
27	CR4-3	156	23	29	23	32
41	C-+70	170	43	47	43	32

Submitted by:

Terry L. Ullman

Research Engineer

Department of Emissions Research

Charles M. Urban

Senior Research Engineer

Department of Emissions Research

Charles T. Hare

Manager, Advanced Technology

Department of Emissions Research

Approved:

Karl J. Springer

Director

Department of Emissions Research

#### APPENDIX A

PROJECT SET-UP AND CAR SELECTION

- A-1 INITIAL PROJECT EFFORT TOWARD OBTAINING HIGH MILEAGE VEHICLES
- A-2 FORMS USED TO SOLICIT AND OBTAIN TEST CARS

POST OFFICE ORAWER 28510 • 6220 CULEBRA ROAD • SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, USA 78284 • (512) 684-5111-TELEX 76-7357

July 15, 1984

TO: Mr. Robert J. Garbe, Project Officer

Mr. Bruce Michael, Branch Technical Representative

Environmental Protection Agency

2565 Plymouth Road

Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105

FROM:

Terry L. Ullman, and Charles T. Hare Department of Emissions Research Southwest Research Institute

6220 Culebra Road

San Antonio, Texas 78284

SIIB.TECT:

Monthly Progress Report No. 1 for the period May 30 through June 30, 1984; Work Assignment No. 20, Contract 68-03-3162, "Testing of High Mileage Taxicabs and Passenger Cars", SwRI

Project No. 03-7338-020.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The objective of this Work Assignment was to accumulate emissions data on several high mileage taxicabs and privately owned passenger cars. At the direction of the Project Officer, the program objective has been redefined to accumulate regulated emissions data on randomly selected privately owned 1981 passenger cars.

#### II. PROGRESS DURING THE PERIOD MAY 30, 1984 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1984

During this reporting period, efforts to obtain high mileage passenger cars and taxicabs were begun. A "survey" letter, given as Attachment A, was circulated to the 2000+ staff of Southwest Research Institute and the Southwest Foundation for Biomedical Research. From this survey, only six positive respondents were obtained. Additional efforts were made to obtain high mileage cars from leasing organizations, public utilities, state and city properties, as well as courier services. These additional contacts resulted in potentially obtaining one more car. Table 1 lists these prospective test cars. Due to the

TABLE 1. HIGH MILEAGE CARS AVAILABLE FOR TESTING

<u> No</u>	Model Year	Make	Model	Mileage
1	1931	Ford	Fairmont	>75K
2	1981	Mazda	GLC	alk
3	1981	Datsun	210	113K
4	1981	Chevrolet	Impala	82K
5.	1981	Mercury	Marquis	95K
6	1981	Bulck	LaSabre	39K
7	1981	Heroury	Zephyr	93K



SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS FITM OFFICES IN TOUSTON TEXAS AND MASHINGTON OF C rather limited selection, auto auctions and small used car dealerships were contacted, but with no success.

Sixteen taxicab companies (all those listed in the 1984 Yellow Pages for San Antonio) were contacted concerning temporary use of their cabs for test purposes. All but two of the companies are independent (meaning "small"), and although many agreed to allow us to use their cabs, the use would have to be scheduled with the assigned driver's absence and the fee would be close to \$100/day. Table 2 lists the high mileage taxicabs potentially available from independent cab owners.

TABLE 2. HIGH MILEAGE TAXICABS AVAILABLE FOR TESTING

No.	Model Year	Make	Model	Mileage
1	1981	Ford	LTD	100K+
2	1982	Dodge	Ex. Police	100K+
3	1982	Mercury	Zephyr	100K+
4	1981	Chevrolet	Malibu	100K+
5	1981	Plymouth	Grand Fury	100K+
6	1981	Plymouth	Grand Fury	100K+
7	1982	Ford	LTD	100K+

The other two cab companies (Yellow and Checker Cab Co.) were owned by the same individual. Since these companies are larger, they have greater driver turnover and more cars available. Obtaining the cabs for testing would be easier and would cost about \$66/day. Between these two major cab companies, all the cars meeting the model year and mileage criteria were 1982 Dodge Diplomats, numbering about 20.

In order to start testing, plans were initiated to obtain and begin testing of one of the passenger cars for 2 July 1984. Based on the limited success of finding candidate high mileage passenger cars or taxicabs, Bruce Michael was concerned and the scheduled tests were put on hold June 29th. On July 9, 1984, Mr. Michael redefined the objective of the program, such that only 1981 passenger cars would be tested. These test cars would be randomly selected from a registration list of all 1981 passenger cars from Bexar County, Texas (which includes the greater San Antonio area and some surrounding rural and suburban areas). Cars would be randomly selected from the list in order to fill a test matrix to be supplied by the Project Officer. This matrix would be similar to that used in an earlier EPA program conducted by EPA, Ann Arbor.

#### III. PLANS FOR THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

Plans to obtain the necessary registration list are underway and the list is expected by July 19, 1984. We hope to acquire the first test car by July 25, 1984.

Submitted by:

Terry L. Ullman Research Engineer

Department of Emissions Research

Charles M. Urban

Senior Research Engineer

Department of Emissions Research

Charles T. Hare

Manager, Advanced Technology

Department of Emissions Research

Approved:

Karl J. Springer

Director

Department of Emissions Research

#### INTER-DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANOUM

TO:	SwRI and SFBR Staff			
FROM:	Terry Ullman - Dept of	Emissions Research		
SUBJECT:	Exhaust Emissions and F on Employee-owned Cars	uel Economy Tests		
DATE:	June 12, 1984			
miles, using to allow it at the Departest will red  If your the use of your the use of your car may qual completion o	only unleaded gasoline? to be used in an exhaust tment of Emissions Researcher approximately five car is selected and useour car and will be furnults from initial inspectify for restorative main f testing, your car will line and, if desired, it	It has been driven over 75,000  If so, would you be willing emission survey to be conducted arch starting in July 1984? The e(5) days.  ed, you will be given \$75.00 for aished a car for transportation. etion and emissions tests, your atenance at no cost to you. Upon be returned to you with a full es emissions and fuel economy (mpg)		
return it to		ate, please fill in the form and ag 87. If you have any questions, 554.		
	·			
Yes, I would like to participate in the exhaust emissions survey to be conducted at the Department of Emissions Research.				
My car i best of my k	has actual minowledge, only unleaded	les on the odometer and, to the fuel has been used.		
Year	Make	Model		
Name		Dept Telephone Ext		



POST OFFICE DRAWER 28610 . 6220 CULEBRA ROAD . SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78284 . (512) 684-5111

1984

Name Address City, State Zip

Your 1981 Mercury, VIN 1MEBP7ZA1BK639546, License No. ZKT365, car is one of a very few (about 30 in Bexar County) selected for a special test program sponsored by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This program consists of performing tests on automobiles to measure their exhaust emissions and is being conducted by the Department of Emissions Research, Southwest Research Institute.

If you agree to have your car tested, you will receive \$75.00 and free use of a current model rental car while yours is being tested for three or four days. Based on results from initial inspection and emissions tests, your car may qualify for restorative maintenance at no cost to you. Upon completion of testing, your car will be returned to you with a full tank of gas.

You will be contacted by telephone in the next few days to determine your interest in volunteering for this special project. The engineer calling will explain how the program works and will be glad to discuss any questions you may have concerning the program or scheduling.

Sincerely,

Terry L. Ullman
Program Manager
Southwest Research Institute
Department of Emissions Research

TLU/sat



POST OFFICE DRAWER 28510 . 6220 CULEBRA ROAD . SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78284 . (512) 684-5111

#### TEST AGREEMENT

Your vehicle is being loaned to Southwest Research Institute (hereinafter referred to as SwRI), for use in a government sponsored program for cleaner air. This Agreement, signed by the Director of the Department of Emissions Research, SwRI, is your assurance of full protection against any loss sustained by accident or damage to the vehicle while in the possession of SwRI, or its designated representative.

1. I,	, agree to loan my vehicle		
described as a (Year)	(Make and		
Model), registered in the State of	of under License Plate No.		
to SwRI, for a	a period of approximately days for a		
series of tests. I further agree that, should testing not be completed within the			
time period specified above, I w	ill execute the Agreement Renewal which is an		
addendum to this Agreement,	for the additional time required to complete		
testing. I understand that I may	rescind this loan of the vehicle to SwRI at any		
time and that I am under no oblig	gation whatsoever.		

- 2. SwRI agrees to be fully responsible for any and all damage to the vehicle occurring while the vehicle is in their possession. Possession is hereby defined as care, control, custody, operation, inspection or storage between the time the vehicle is received from the owner by SwRI and the time the vehicle is returned to the owner.
- 3. SwRI agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the vehicle owner of any repairs, damage, loss of liability sustained by the vehicle owner by reason of accident or damage to the vehicle while in its possession.



CONTROL NO.

Data Form No. 7338.1

Page 2 of 2

	4.	SwRI	agrees to	provide	primary	automobil	le insurance	on the	vehicle
while	in its	posse	ssion.			•	•		

5.	SwRI	agrees to	execute e	xtreme ca	re in the u	se of the	vehicle and
agrees (	to return	the vehi	le to the	owner in	as good e	exterior, i	nterior and
operatin	ng conditi	ions, exce	t for norm	al wear ar	nd tear, as	when the	vehicle was
received	by SwR	L.					•

ived by SwRL		
AGREED TO this	_ day of _	, 19
		VEHICLE OWNER
		Ву:
		SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE Department of Emissions Research
		By: Karl J. Springer, Director

POST OFFICE DRAWER 28510 6220 CULEBRA ROAD SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78284 (512) 684-5111

CONTROL NO:	
Data Form No. 7338.2	
Page 1 of 1	

#### TEST AGREEMENT ADDENDUM

I,	owner ( ) and/or joint-owner ( )
and/or principal driver (	) of the vehicle described as a (Year)
(Make & Model)	, registered in the
State of	, agree to extend original testing agreement
dated	, 19 for a period of days.
•	VEHICLE OWNER
	Ву:
	Date:



POST OFFICE DRAWER 28510 6220 CULEBRA ROAD SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78284 (512) 684-5111

CONTROL NO.

Data Form No. 7338.3

Page of 1 of 2

#### STANDARD VEHICLE LOAN AGREEMENT

In consideration of the undersigned/Participant (hereinafter referred to as Participant) who has agreed to assist in this Environmental Protection Agency sponsored program for cleaner air, Southwest Research Institute (hereinafter referred to as SwRI), hereby loans to Participant the vehicle described below, subject to the terms and conditions of this agreement.

- 1. Participant agrees to exercise care in the use of the loan vehicle and agrees to return the loan vehicle within a period of time not to exceed ten working days, together with all tires, tools, and accessories, to SwRI in as good exterior, interior, and operating condition, except for normal wear and tear, as when the vehicle was received by the Participant.
- 2. Participant agrees that loan vehicle will not be operated to carry passengers or property for a consideration, expressed or implied, or to push or tow any vehicle or trailer. Loan vehicle will be operated only by Participant's immediate family, provided that Participant's permission be given first and that all such operators shall be at least 21 years of age and duly qualified and licensed.
- 3. Participant expressly acknowledges personal liability for: (a) gasoline and all charges, fines, and costs for parking, traffic, or other legal violations assessed against loan vehicle, Participant, or SwRI except where caused through fault of SwRI; (b) SwRI's costs including reasonable attorney's fees, where permitted by law, incurred collecting payments due from Participant hereunder; (c) SwRI's costs to repair collision or upset damages to loan vehicle provided. However, if loan vehicle is operated in accordance with all terms hereof, Participant's liability for such damage will not exceed \$75.00.
- 4. Participant will not be liable for noncollision losses to loan vehicle caused by perils normally protected against by a comprehensive physical damage insurance policy.

SA'N ANTONIO, TEXAS.
WITH OFFICES IN HOUSTON, TEXAS, AND WASHINGTON, D.C.

- 5. Participant and any authorized operator as prescribed in paragraph above, agrees to participate as an insured in benefits of an automobile liability insurance policy, a copy of which is available from SwRI. Said policy is primary in respect to other insurance carried by Participant or authorized operator and contains bodily injury or death liability limits of \$250,000 for each person in each accident and subject to the foregoing limitation; \$500,000 limits for all persons in each accident and property damage liability limits of \$50,000 for each accident. Participant is bound by and agrees to the terms, conditions, limitations, and restrictions of the policy; its being understood that the policy is a standard motor vehicle policy in the state that garages the vehicle.
- 6. Participant agrees to release SwRI from any liability for loss of or damage to any property left, stored, or transported by Participant or any other person in or upon vehicle after receipt, during term of this loan, or after return of loan vehicle to SwRI. Participant further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless SwRI against all claims arising out of such loss or damage.

7.	AGREED TO this	day of, 19
		PARTICIPANT
		Ву:
		Driver's License #
		Expiration Date:
		Southwest Research Institute
		Deparmtent of Emissions Research
		By: Kar () there zer
		Karl J. Springer, Director

### PRELIMINARY VEHICLE INSPECTION REPORT

		Date		
Vehicle Owner				
	, License No.			
Year, Make & Model				
		Emission Label		
Exterior Damage:				
Left Front				
Right Front				
Left Rear Right Rear		<u> </u>		
Other				
Interior Damage:				
Seats	_			
Head Liner				
Floor Carpet				
Radio Other				
Other		<del></del>		
Condition of:				
Metal				
Paint Chrome				
Glass				
Tires				
Other				
Contents of:		•		
Glove Compart	ment			
Trunk				
Other				
Notes of Operation:				
Document all significant p	roblems with pictures			
SwRI Representative		Date:		
		Date:		
Comments:			<del></del>	
			<del></del>	