United States Environmental Protection Agency Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory P.O. Box 15027 Las Vegas NV 89114 EPA-600/3-79-117 V December 1979

**SEPA** 

Research and Development

# Distribution of Phytoplankton in Nevada Lakes

#### RESEARCH REPORTING SERIES

Research reports of the Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, have been grouped into nine series. These nine broad categories were established to facilitate further development and application of environmental technology. Elimination of traditional grouping was consciously planned to foster technology transfer and maximum interface in related fields. The nine series are:

- 1. Environmental Health Effects Research
- 2. Environmental Protection Technology
- 3. Ecological Research
- 4. Environmental Monitoring
- 5. Socioeconomic Environmental Studies
- 6. Scientific and Technical Assessment Reports (STAR)
- 7. Interagency Energy—Environment Research and Development
- 8. "Special" Reports
- 9. Miscellaneous Reports

This report has been assigned to the ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH series. This series describes research on the effects of pollution on humans, plant and animal species, and materials. Problems are assessed for their long-and short-term influences. Investigations include formations, transport, and pathway studies to determine the fate of pollutants and their effects. This work provided the technical basis for setting standards to minimize undesirable changes in living organisms in the aquatic, terrestrial, and atmospheric environments.

# DISTRIBUTION OF PHYTOPLANKTON IN NEVADA LAKES

by

V. W. Lambou, F. A. Morris\*, M. K. Morris\*, W. D. Taylor, L. R. Williams, and S. C. Hern

Water and Land Quality Branch
Monitoring Operations Division
Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory
Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

\*Department of Biological Sciences University of Nevada, Las Vegas Las Vegas, Nevada 89154

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND SUPPORT LABORATORY
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114

# DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory-Las Vegas, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

#### FOREWORD

Protection of the environment requires effective regulatory actions which are based on sound technical and scientific information. This information must include the quantitative description and linking of pollutant sources, transport mechanisms, interactions, and resulting effects on man and his environment. Because of the complexities involved, assessment of specific pollutants in the environment requires a total systems approach which transcends the media of air, water, and land. The Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory-Las Vegas contributes to the formation and enhancement of a sound monitoring data base for exposure assessment through programs designed to:

- develop and optimize systems and strategies for monitoring pollutants and their impact on the environment
- demonstrate new monitoring systems and technologies by applying them to fulfill special monitoring needs of the Agency's operating programs

This report presents the species and abundance of phytoplankton in the 10 lakes sampled by the National Eutrophication Survey in the State of Nevada, along with results from the calculation of several commonly used biological indices of water quality and community structure. These data can be used to biologically characterize the study lakes, and as baseline data for future investigations. This report was written for use by Federal, State, and local governmental agencies concerned with water quality analysis, monitoring, and/or regulation. Private industry and individuals similarly involved with the biological aspects of water quality will find the document useful. For further information contact the Water and Land Quality Branch, Monitoring Operations Division.

George B. Morgan

Director

Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory Las Vegas

# CONTENTS

<u> 1</u>	Page
Foreword	iii
Introduction	1
Materials and Methods	2
Lake and Site Selection	2
Sample Preparation	2
Examination	3
Quality Control	4
Results	5
Nygaard's Trophic State Indices	5
Palmer's Organic Pollution Indices	5
Species Diversity and Abundance Indices	7
Species Occurrence and Abundance	9
Literature Cited	10
Appendix A. Phytoplankton Species list for the State of Nevada	11
Appendix B. Summary of Phytoplankton Data	14

#### INTRODUCTION

The collection and analysis of phytoplankton data were included in the National Eutrophication Survey in an effort to determine relationships between algal characteristics and trophic status of individual lakes.

During spring, summer, and fall of 1975, the Survey sampled 156 lakes in 11 States. Over 450 algal species and varieties were identified and enumerated from the 430 water samples examined.

This report presents the species and abundance of phytoplankton in the 10 lakes sampled in the State of Nevada (Table 1). The Nygaard's Trophic State (Nygaard 1949), Palmer's Organic Pollution (Palmer 1969), and species diversity and abundance indices are also included.

TABLE 1. LAKES SAMPLED IN THE STATE OF NEVADA

STORET No.	Lake Name	County
3201	Lake Mead	Clark (Mohave in Ariz.)
3202	Lahontan Reservoir	Lyon, Churchill
3204	Rye Patch Reservoir	Pershing
3205	Lake Tahoe	Washoe, Carson City Douglas (Placer, El Dorado in Calif.
3206	Topaz Reservoir	Douglas (Mono in Calif.)
3207	Upper Pahranagat Lake	Lincoln
3208	Washoe Lake	Washoe
3209	Wildhorse Reservoir	Elko
3210	Wilson Sink Reservoir	Elko
3211	Walker Lake	Mineral

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### LAKE AND SITE SELECTION

Lakes and reservoirs included in the Survey were selected through discussions with State water pollution agency personnel and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Regional Offices (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1975). Screening and selection strongly emphasized lakes with actual or potential accelerated eutrophication problems. As a result, the selection was limited to lakes:

- (1) impacted by one or more municipal sewage treatment plant outfalls either directly into the lake or by discharge to an inlet tributary within approximately 40 kilometers of the lake;
- (2) 40 hectares or larger in size; and
- (3) with a mean hydraulic retention time of at least 30 days.

Specific selection criteria were waived for some lakes of particular State interest.

Sampling sites for a lake were selected based on available information on lake morphometry, potential major sources of nutrient input, and on-site judgment of the field limnologist (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1975). Primary sampling sites were chosen to reflect the deepest portion of each major basin in a test lake. Where many basins were present, selection was guided by nutrient source information on hand. At each sampling site, a depth-integrated phytoplankton sample was taken. Depth-integrated samples were uniform mixtures of water from the surface to a depth of 15 feet (4.6 meters) or from the surface to the lower limit of the photic zone representing 1 percent of the incident light, whichever was greater. If the depth at the sampling site was less than 15 feet (4.6 meters), the sample was taken from just off the bottom to the surface. Normally, a lake was sampled three times in 1 year, providing information on spring, summer, and fall conditions.

#### SAMPLE PREPARATION

To preserve the sample 4 milliliters (ml) of Acid-Lugol's solution (Prescott 1970) were added to each 130-ml sample from each site at the time of collection. The samples were shipped to the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada, where equal volumes from each site

were mixed to form two 130-ml composite samples for a given lake. One composite sample was put into storage and the other was used for the examination.

Prior to examination, the composite samples were concentrated by the settling method. Solids were allowed to settle for at least 24 hours prior to siphoning off the supernate. The volume of the removed supernate and the volume of the remaining concentrate were measured and concentrations determined. A small (8-ml) library subsample of the concentrate was then taken. The remaining concentrate was gently agitated to resuspend the plankton and poured into a capped, graduated test tube. If a preliminary examination of a sample indicated the need for a more concentrated sample, the contents of the test tube were further concentrated by repeating the settling method. Final concentrations varied from 15 to 40 times the original.

Permanent slides were prepared from concentrated samples after analysis was complete. A ring of clear Karo® corn syrup with phenol (a few crystals of phenol were added to each 100 ml of syrup) was placed on a glass slide. A drop of superconcentrate from the bottom of the test tube was placed in the ring. This solution was thoroughly mixed and topped with a coverglass. After the syrup at the edges of the coverglass had hardened, the excess was scraped away and the mount was sealed with clear fingernail polish. Permanent diatom slides were prepared by drying sample material on a coverglass, heating in a muffle furnace at 400° C for 45 minutes, and mounting in Hyrax®. Finally, the mounts were sealed with clear fingernail polish.

Backup samples, library samples, permanent sample slides, and Hyrax mounted diatom slides are being stored and maintained at the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory-Las Vegas.

#### EXAMINATION

The phytoplankton samples were examined with the aid of binocular compound microscopes. A preliminary examination was performed to precisely identify and list all forms encountered. The length of this examination varied depending on the complexity of the sample. An attempt was made to find and identify all of the forms present in each sample. Often forms were observed which could not be identified to species or to genus. Abbreviated descriptions were used to keep a record of these forms (e.g., lunate cell, blue-green filament, Navicula #1). Diatom slides were examined using a standard light microscope. If greater resolution was essential to accurately identify the diatoms, a phase-contrast microscope was used.

After the species list was compiled, phytoplankton were enumerated using a Neubauer Counting Chamber with a 40% objective lens and a 10% ocular lens. All forms within each field were counted. The count was continued until a minimum of 100 fields had been viewed, or until the dominant form had been observed a minimum of 100 times.

<sup>®</sup>Registered trademark

#### QUALITY CONTROL

Project phycologists performed internal quality control intercomparisons regularly on 7 percent of the species identification and counts. Although an individual had primary responsibility for analyzing a sample, taxonomic problems were discussed among the phycologists.

Additional quality control checks were performed on the Survey samples by Dr. G. W. Prescott of the University of Montana at the rate of 5 percent. Quality control checks were made on 75 percent of these samples to verify species identifications while checks were made on the remaining 25 percent of the samples to verify genus counts. Presently, the agreement between quality control checks for species identification and genus enumerations is satisfactory.

#### RESULTS

A phytoplankton species list for the State is presented in Appendix A. Appendix B summarizes all of the phytoplankton data collected from the State by the Survey. The latter is organized by lake, and includes an alphabetical phytoplankton species list with concentrations for individual species given by sampling date. Results from the application of several indices are presented (Nygaard's Trophic State, Palmer's Organic Pollution, and species diversity and abundance). Each lake has been assigned a four-digit STORET number. (STORET (STOrage and RETrieval) is the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's computer system which processes and maintains water quality data.) The first two digits of the STORET number identify the State; the last two digits identify the lake.

#### NYGAARD'S TROPHIC STATE INDICES

Five indices devised by Nygaard (1949) were proposed under the assumption that certain algal groups are indicative of levels of nutrient enrichment. These indices were calculated in order to aid in determining the surveyed lakes' trophic status. As a general rule, Cyanophyta, Euglenophyta, centric diatoms, and members of the Chlorococcales are found in waters that are eutrophic (rich in nutrients), while desmids and many pennate diatoms generally cannot tolerate high nutrient levels and so are found in oligotrophic waters (poor in nutrients).

In applying the indices to the Survey data, the number of taxa in each major group was determined from the species list for each sample. The ratios of these groups give numerical values which can be used as a biological index of water richness. The five indices and the ranges of values established for Danish lakes by Nygaard for each trophic state are presented in Table 2. The appropriate symbol, (E) eutrophic and (O) oligotrophic, follows each calculated value in the tables in Appendix B. A question mark (?) following a calculated value in these tables was entered when that value was within the range of both classifications.

# PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

Palmer (1969) analyzed reports from 165 authors and developed algal pollution indices for use in rating water samples with high organic pollution. Two lists of organic-pollution-tolerant forms were prepared, one containing 20 genera, the other, 20 species (Tables 3 and 4). Each form was assigned a pollution index number ranging from 1 for moderately tolerant forms to 6 for

TABLE 2. NYGAARD'S TROPHIC STATE INDICES ADAPTED FROM HUTCHINSON (1967)

Index	Calculation	Oligotrophic	Eutrophic
Myxophycean	Myxophyceae Desmideae	0.0-0.4	0.1-3.0
Chlorophycean	<u>Chlorococcales</u> <u>Desmideae</u>	0.0-0.7	0.2-9.0
Diatom	<u>Centric Diatoms</u> Pennate Diatoms	0.0-0.3	0.0-1.75
Euglenophyte	Euglenophyta Myxophyceae + Chlorococcales	0.0-0.2	0.0-1.0
Compound	Myxophyceae + Chlorococcales + Centric Diatoms + Euglenophyta Desmideae	0.0-1.0	1.2-25

TABLE 3. ALGAL GENUS POLLUTION INDEX (Palmer 1969)

TABLE 4. ALGAL SPECIES POLLUTION INDEX (Palmer 1969)

	Pollution		Pollution
Genus	Index	Species	Index
Anacystis	1	Ankistrodesmus falcatus	3
Ankistrodesmus	2	Arthrospira jenneri	2
Ch1 amydomonas	4	Chlorella vulgaris	2
Chlorella	3	Cyclotella meneghiniana	2
Closterium	1	Euglena gracilis	ī
Cyclotella	$\bar{1}$	Euglena viridis	6
Euglena	5	Gomphonema parvulum	ĭ
Gomphonema	1	Melosira varians	2
Lepocinclis	1	Navicula cryptocephala	$\bar{1}$
Melosira	1	Nitzschia acicularis	1
Micractinium	1	Nitzschia palea	5
Navicula	3	Oscillatoria chlorina	2
Nitzschia	3	Oscillatoria limosa	4
Oscillatoria	5	Oscillatoria princeps	1
Pandorina	1	Oscillatoria putrida	1
Phacus	2	Oscillatoria tenuis	4
Phormidium	1	Pandorina morum	3
Scenedesmus	4	Scenedesmus quadricauda	4
Stigeoclonium	2	Stigeoclonium tenue	3
Synedra	2	Synedra ulna	3

extremely tolerant forms. Palmer based the index numbers on occurrence records and/or where emphasized by the authors as being especially tolerant of organic pollution.

In analyzing a water sample, any of the 20 genera or species of algae present in concentrations of 50 per milliliter or more are recorded. The pollution index numbers of the algae present are totaled, providing a genus score and a species score. Palmer determined that a score of 20 or more for either index can be taken as evidence of high organic pollution, while a score of 15 to 19 is taken as probable evidence of high organic pollution. Lower figures suggest that the organic pollution of the sample is not high, that the sample is not representative, or that some substance or factor interfering with algal persistence is present and active.

#### SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

"Information content" of biological samples is being used commonly by biologists as a measure of diversity. Diversity in this connection means the degree of uncertainty attached to the specific identity of any randomly selected individual. The greater the number of taxa and the more equal their proportions, the greater the uncertainty, and hence, the diversity (Pielou 1966). There are several methods of measuring diversity, e.g., the formulas given by Brillouin (1962) and Shannon and Weaver (1963). The method which is appropriate depends on the type of biological sample on hand.

Pielou (1966) classifies the types of biological samples and gives the measure of diversity appropriate for each type. The Survey phytoplankton samples are what she classifies as larger samples (collections in Pielou's terminology) from which random subsamples can be drawn. According to Pielou, the average diversity per individual (H) for these types of samples can be estimated from the Shannon-Wiener formula (Shannon and Weaver 1963):

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} P_i \log_{x} P_i$$

where P is the proportion of the ith taxon in the sample, which is calculated from  $n_i/N$ ;  $n_j$  is the number of individuals per milliliter of the ith taxon; N is the total number of individuals per ml; and S is the total number of taxa. However, Basharin (1959) and Pielou (1966) have pointed out that H calculated from the subsample is a biased estimator of the sample H, and if this bias is to be accounted for, we must know the total number of taxa present in the sample since the magnitude of this bias depends on it.

Pielou (1966) suggests that if the number of taxa in the subsample falls only slightly short of the number in the larger sample, no appreciable error will result in considering S, estimated from the subsample, as being equal to the sample value. Even though considerable effort was made to find and identify all taxa, the Survey samples undoubtedly contain a fair number of rare phytoplankton taxa which were not encountered.

In the Shannon-Wiener formula, an increase in the number of taxa and/or an increase in the evenness of the distribution of individuals among taxa will increase the average diversity per individual from its minimal value of zero. Sager and Hasler (1969) found that the richness of taxa was of minor importance in determination of average diversity per individual for phytoplankton and they concluded that phytoplankton taxa in excess of the 10 to 15 most abundant ones have little effect on H. This was verified by our own calculations. Our counts are in number per milliliter and since logarithms to the base 2 were used in our calculations, H is expressed in units of bits per individual. When individuals of a taxon were so rare that they were not counted, a value of 1/130 per milliliter or 0.008 per milliliter was used in the calculations since at least one individual of the taxon must have been present in the collection.

A Survey sample for a given lake represents a composite of all phytoplankton collected at different sampling sites on the lake during a given sampling period. Since the number of samples (M) making up a composite is a function of both the complexity of the lake sampled and its size, it should affect the richness-of-taxa component of the diversity of our phytoplankton collections. The maximum diversity (MaxH) (i.e., when the individuals are distributed among the taxa as evenly as possible) was estimated from log<sub>2</sub> S (Pielou 1966), while the minimum diversity (MinH), was estimated from the formula:

MinH = 
$$-\frac{S-1}{N} \log_2 \frac{1}{N} - \frac{N-(S-1)}{N} \log_2 \frac{N-(S-1)}{N}$$

given by Zand (1976). The total diversity (D) was calculated from HN (Pielou 1966). Also given in Appendix B are L (the mean number of individuals per taxa per milliliter) and K (the number of individuals per milliliter of the most abundant taxon in the sample).

The evenness component of diversity (J) was estimated from H/MaxH (Pielou 1966). Relative evenness (RJ) was calculated from the formula:

$$RJ = \frac{H-MinH}{MaxH-MinH}$$

given by Zand (1976). Zand suggests that RJ be used as a substitute for both J and the redundancy expression given by Wilhm and Dorris (1968). As pointed out by Zand, the redundancy expression given by Wilhm and Dorris does not properly express what it is intended to show, i.e., the position of H in the range between MaxH and MinH. RJ may range from 0 to 1; being 1 for the most even samples and 0 for the least even samples.

Zand (1976) suggests that diversity indices be expressed in units of "sits", i.e., in logarithms to base S (where S is the total number of taxa in the sample) instead of in "bits", i.e., in logarithms to base 2. Zand points out that the diversity index in sits per individual is a normalized number ranging from 1 for the most evenly distributed samples to 0 for the least evenly distributed samples. Also, it can be used to compare different samples, independent of the number of taxa in each. The diversity in bits per

individual should not be used in direct comparisons involving various samples which have different numbers of taxa. Since MaxH equals log S, the expression in sits is equal to log<sub>S</sub> S, or 1. Therefore diversity in sits per individual is numerically equivalent to J, the evenness component for the Shannon-Wiener formula.

#### SPECIES OCCURRENCE AND ABUNDANCE

The alphabetic phytoplankton species list for each lake, presented in Appendix B, gives the concentrations of individual species by sampling date. Concentrations are in cells, colonies, or filaments (CEL, COL, FIL) per milliliter. An "X" after a species name indicates that the species identified in the preliminary examination was in such a low concentration that it did not appear in the count. A blank space indicates that the organism was not found in the sample collected on that date. Column S is used to designate the examiner's subjective opinion of the five dominant taxa in a sample, based upon relative size and concentration of the organism. The percent column (%C) presents, by abundance, the percentage composition of each taxon.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Basharin, G. P. 1959. On a statistical estimate for the entropy of a sequence of independent random variables, pp. 333-336. <u>In</u>: Theory of Probability and Its Applications (translation of "Teoriya Veroyatnosei i ee Premeneniya"). N. Artin (ed). 4. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia.
- Brillouin, L. 1962. Science and Information Theory (2nd ed.). Academic Press, New York. 351 pp.
- Hutchinson, G. E. 1967. A Treatise on Limnology. II. Introduction to Lake Biology and the Limnoplankton. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 1.115 pp.
- Nygaard, G. 1949. Hydrobiological studies of some Danish ponds and lakes. II. (K danske Vidensk. Selsk.) Biol. Sci. 7:293.
- Palmer, C. M. 1969. A composite rating of algae tolerating organic pollution. J. Phycol. 5:78-82.
- Pielou, E. C. 1966. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. J. Theor. Biol. 13:131-144.
- Prescott, G. W. 1970. How to Know the Freshwater Algae. William C. Brown Company, Dubuque. 348 pp.
- Sager, P. E., and A. D. Hasler. 1969. Species diversity in lacustrine phytoplankton. I. The components of the index of diversity from Shannon's formula. Amer. Natur. 103(929):51-59.
- Shannon, C. E., and W. Weaver. 1963. The Mathematical Theory of Communication. University of Illinois Press, Urbana. 117 pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1975. National Eutrophication Survey Methods 1973-1976. Working Paper No. 175. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada, and Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon. 91 pp.
- Wilhm, V. L., and T. C. Dorris. 1968. Biological parameters for water quality criteria. Bio-Science. 18:477.
- Zand, S. M. 1976. Indexes associated with information theory in water quality. J. Water Pollut. Contr. Fed. 48(8):2026-2031.

# APPENDIX A PHYTOPLANKTON SPECIES LIST FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA

Actinastrum hantzschii

v. fluviatile Anabaena Ankistrodesmus falcatus Ankistrodesmus falcatus

v. mirabilis
Aphanizomenon flos-aquae
Aphanothece
Asterionella formosa
Botryococcus braunii
Caloneis amphisbaena
Ceratium hirundinella
Ceratium hirundinella

f. furcoides Chlamydamonas Chroococcus Closterium Cocconeis pediculus Cocconeis placentula Coelastrum microporum Coelastrum sphaericum Cosmarium Crucigenia quadrata Crucigenia tetrapedia Cryptomonas erosa Crytomonas marssonii Cyclotella meneghiniana Cylindrotheca gracilis Cylindrotheca spiralis Cymatopleura solea Cymbella affinis Dactul ococcopsis Diatoma vulgare Dictyosphaerium pulchellum Dinobryon divergens Entomoneis ornata Epithemia turgida Euglena acus Eunotia pectinalis

v. ventricosa Fragilaria brevistriata Fragilaria brevistriata

V. trigibba
Fragilaria crotomensis
Glenodinium
Gloeocapsa
Gloeotrichia echinulata
Gomphonema olivaceum
Gomphonema truncatum
Gymnodinium ordinatum
Gyrosigma

Hantzschia amphioxys Lynghya Melosira distans Melosira granulata Melosira granulata

V. angustissima Melosira italica Melosira varians Merismopedia minima Mesostigma viridis Microcustis aeruainosa Microcystis incerta Mougeotia Navicula exigua Nitzschia filiformis Nodularia Oocystis Opephora Oscillatoria Pandorina morum Pediastrum boruanum Pediastrum duplex

v. reticulatum
Phacus helikoides
Phormidium mucicola
Pinnularia
Quadrigula chodatii
Raphidiopsis curvata
Rhoicosphenia curvata
Rhopalodia gibba
Scenedesmus acuminatus
Scenedesmus intermedius

V. acaudatus
Scenedesmus quadricauda
Schroederia setigera
Skeletonema potamos
Sphaerocystis schroeteri
Staurastrum
Stauroneis
Stephanodiscus
Surirella ovalis
Surirella ovata
Synedra ulna
Tabellaria fenestrata
Tetraedron minimum
Tetraedron minimum

V. scrobiculatum Tetraedron muticum Tetrastrum glabrum Trachelamonas acanthostoma ? Trachelomonas oblonga
V. australica
Trachelomonas volvocina

Trachelomonas volvocina V. punctata

#### APPENDIX B. SUMMARY OF PHYTOPLANKTON DATA

This appendix was generated by computer. Because it was only possible to use upper case letters in the printout, all scientific names are printed in upper case and are not italicized.

The alphabetic phytoplankton lists include taxa without species names (e.g., EUNOTIA, EUNOTIA #1, FLAGELLATE, FLAGELLATES, MICROCYSTIS INCERTA?, CHLOROPHYTAN COCCOID CELLED COLONY). When species determinations were not possible, symbols or descriptive phrases were used to separate taxa for enumeration purposes. Each name on a list, however, represents a unique species different from any other name on the same list, unless otherwise noted, for counting purposes.

Numbers were used to separate unidentified species of the same genus. A generic name listed alone is also a unique species. A question mark (?) is placed immediately after the portion of a name which was assigned with uncertainty. Numbered, questioned, or otherwise designated taxa were established on a lake-by-lake basis; therefore NAVICULA #2 from lake A cannot be compared to NAVICULA #2 from lake B. Pluralized categories (e.g., FLAGELLATES, CENTRIC DIATOMS, SPP.)-were used for counting purposes when taxa could not be properly differentiated on the counting chamber.

# LAKE NAME: LAKE MEAD STORET NUMBER: 3201

# NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	02 24	75	06 11	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	01/0	E	0/01	0
CHLOROPHYCEAN	02/0	E	2.00	E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/03	?	0/02	?
DIATOM	1.00	E	0.33	E
COMPOUND	04/0	E	3,00	E

#### PALMER'S URGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	02 24 75	5 06 11 75
GENUS	00	00
SPECIES	00	00

	DATE	02 24 75	06 11 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	. H	1.35	0.87
N(IMAKR OF TAX)		8.00	11.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES CUMPOSITES	M	14.00	14.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSIT	HXAM	3.00	3.46
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.12	0.56
TOTAL DIVERSITY	t D	812.70	133.98
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/MI	N	602.00	154.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT		0.45	0.25
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ RJ	0.43	0.11
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAX	L	75.25	14.00
NUMBER/ML OF MUST ABUNDANT TAXO		417,00	110.00

LAKE NAME: LAKE MEAD STORET NUMBER: 3201

# CONTINUED

							06 11 75		
	<u> </u>		I ALGAL I UNITS		- •		ALGAL UNITS		
TAXA	FORM	16	9C	PER MI	15	€C	PER ML		
CENTRIC DIATOM	CEL	11		X					
CERATIUM HIRUNDINELLA	CEL	ii	i	••	- i i	i	X		
CHRUDMONAS ?	CEL	13115	. ai	93	- 1	: :	•		
CHROOMOMAE ? ACUTA	CEL	11169		417	111	71.41	110		
CRYPTUMONAS	CEL	iii	•••		- 1		×		
CRYPTUHUNAS EHOFA	CEL	121 7	. 6 i	46	- i i	: i	•		
DIATOMA VULGARE	CEL	- ; ; ;	•••	<b>4</b>	- ; ;		x		
DINDBRYON DIVERGENA	CEL	ii	·				â		
FRAGILARIA CROTONENSIS	CEL	ii	•	X	- 1		Ŷ		
MERISMOPEDIA MINIMA	cor	ii	- :	î		:	^		
DOCYSTIS	COL	- ; ;	:	•			x		
RHOICOSPHENIA CURVATA	CEL	::	:		12	28.6	44		
CHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	- ; ;	:	x	14	20.01	•••		
SPHAEROCYSTIS SCHROETERI	COL	::	:	^	- 1 1	:			
STAURASTRUM	CEL	::	:		- : :		X		
STEPHANODISCUS	CEL	: :	:		- 1 1	:	Ŷ		
PETRAEDRON MUTICUM	CEL	141 7	. 6 i	46	- 1 1				
CANDEDON NORTEDE	CED	. 41 /	, - 1	40					
TOTAL				602			154		

## LAKE NAME: LAHONTAN RES. STORET NUMBER: 3202

#### NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	03 17	75	07 09	75	11 07	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	01/0	E	01/0	E	0/0	0
CHLOROPHYCEAN	0/0	0	01/0	E	01/0	E
EUGLENOPHYTE	2.00	E	0/02	?	0/01	?
DIATOM	0.33	E	1,00	E	0.40	C
COMPOUND	06/0	E	04/0	E	05/0	E

#### PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	03 17 75	07 09 75	11 07 75
GENUS	07	01	07
SPECIES	00	00	00

	DATE	03 17 75	07 09 75	11 07 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	2,25	1,13	2.93
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	20.00	9.00	17.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	4.00	4.00	4.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	MAXH	4,32	3.00	4.09
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.12	0.02	0.16
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	4461,75	5209.30	3395,87
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	1983.00	4610.00	1159.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.52	0.38	0.72
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.51	0.38	0.71
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	99.15	576,25	69.18
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	Ř	684,00	3646,00	316,00

	02 17 76					07 09 75			11 07 78	
TAXA	FORM	1	, <b>%</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1	•c	ALGAL Unita PER ML	1	•c	ALGAL UNITS PER NL
AMABAENA	FIL	11	<u>-</u>	·	17		<u>_</u>	1		
ASTERIONELLA FORMUSA	CEL	1 1	1.71	34	141		69	ii		x
CENTRIC DIATOM	CKL		34.51	414	i'i		••		13.6	
CHLANYDONONAS	CEL		0.4	171	ii			- i i	,-	
CHLOROPHYTAN LUNATE CELL	CEL	ii		i	ii	•		. i i		,
CHROOMONAS 7 ACUTA	CEL		18.51	100	isi	•	413		13.6	184
COCCONEIS PLACENTULA	CEL	i'i		··i	ii		41.5	- 1 - 1		
CRYPTOHONAS EROSA	CEL	isi	1.71	34	::	•		14	4.6	
CYLINDROTHECA GRACILIS	CEL	i i	•••		ii	•		- 17	7,0	X
CYMBELLA	CEL	ii	:		ii	•		: :		Î
DACTYLOCOCCOPATA	CEL	ii	3,4	60	::			: :		•
DIATONA VULGARE	CEL	- 1 1	3,41	·i		:			:	
EUGLENA	CEL	::	:	34	1 1	•		: :		
PRAGILARIA CROTOMENSIA	CEL	::	1,71	34	!.!	!		• •	•	
GLENGDINIUM	CEL	11				79.1	3646	!!	•	×
MELOSINA 61	CEL	::	•	1	!!	. !	x	!!	•	
MELOSIRA DISTANS	CEL	::	•	X.	!!	·		1.1	!	
MELOSIRA GRANULATA	CEL	- 1 1	•		! !	!		isi	9.1	105
MELOSIRA GRANULATA	C#1	: :	:		131	7.51	344	ij		
V. ANGUSTISSINA	CEL	!!	•		1.1	!		!!	·	
MELOSIRA VARIANA	CEL	: :	•	-	iei	1.81	69	!!		
WAVICULA		- : :	•	I		Ļ		!!	!	
MITZECHIA #1	CEL	• • •	•			į		1 1	13,61	150
WITZSCHIA #2	CEL	. ! !	. !	X	1 1	Ļ		1 1	ļ	
MITERCHIA 43	CEL			X		. !		1 1		
WITISCHIA SPP.	CEL	1.1	!		1 1			111	27,31	314
	CEL		12.0	650		į		1 1	Ī	
RHOICOSPHENIA CURVATA	CEL	ii		X	1 1	!		1 1	ı	X :
SCHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	1 1	į		1 1	1.51	69	1 1		1
AKELETONEMA POTAMOS	CEL	1 1			1 1			1 1	9,11	105
STEPHANODISCUS	CEL		1		1 1			1 1		X I
SURIRELLA OVATA	CEL	1 1		X	1 1			1 1	4.61	53 (
SYNEDRA ULNA	CEL	1.1	1	x	1 1			1 1		1
TABELLARIA FENESTRATA	CEL	1.1		X	1 1	ı		111	4.61	6)
TETRALDRON MINIMUM	CRT	ii	ļ		1 1	ı		1 1	1	X (
TRACHELONOMAR	CEL	1 1	ı	X	1 1	1		1 1	1	
TOTAL				1903			4610			1159

#### LAKE NAME: RYE PATCH RES. STORET NUMBER: 3204

NYGAAR	N #5A1	<b>DLYA 61</b>	DE CHEST DE	
PIGARE	D TEAL	Luic Oi	MAE I	NUICEO

DATE	06 01	75	07 11	75	11 07	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	01/0	E	1.00	C	01/0	E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	0/0	0	1.00	E	04/0	E
EUGLENOPHYTE	1.00	E	0.50	E	0.20	?
DIATOM	0.50		0.50	E	0.67	E
COMPOSINO	04/0		4.00		08/0	E

#### PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	06 01 75	07 11 75	11 07 75
GENUS	0 <b>0</b>	0 0	05
SPECIES		0 0	03

	DATE	06 01 75	07 11 75	11 07 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	0.02	1.01	2,35
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	8.00	8.00	15.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	2.00	5.00	9.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXXH	3.00	3.00	3.91
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	1.41	0.76	0.07
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	0.60	68.68	6457.80
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	30.00	68.00	2748.00
EVENNERS COMPONENT	J	0.01	0.34	0.60
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RĴ	-0.87	0.12	0.60
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	Ľ	3.75	8.50	183.20
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	Ř	30.00	34,00	889.00

LAKE NAME: RYE PATCH RES. CONTINUED STORET NUMBER: 3204

		ge oi te				_07 11 76 _ 11 07			11 07 76	
TAXA	ronn	1	<b>&amp;</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER HL	1	ec_	AI-GAL UNITA PER HL	1	<b>N</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER NL
AMABARNA	FIL	11	1	X	1 1	1	<u> </u>	1	1	
ENTRIC DIATON	CEL	111	100. i	10	ii	Ĭ		i,	ii	
HLANYDOMONAS	CEL	1 1	i		ii	Ī		14	20.61	566
HRDOMONAS ? ACUTA	CEL	ii	i		ii	i			32.41	
LOSTERIUN	CEL	ı i	ì		11	i	x	1	1	
RYPTOMONAS ERGSA	CEL	ii	i		iti	80.0ì	34	Ī	1 2.7	81
YANOPHYTAN PILAMENT	FIL	ii	i		Ϊİ	Ť		1	ı i	X
TCLOTELLA	CEL	ΙÌ	i		ii	i		ì	i i	X
YMATOPLEURA	CEL	i i	i	Ł	1 1	i		1	1 1	
YMBELLA	CEL	1 1	ì	1	1 1	t			1 1	
UGLENA	CEL	i i	ĺ	1	1 1	Ì		i.	l i	
OMPHOMENA	CEL	1 1	ĺ	ı	1:1			1	i	
YROSIGNA	CEL	1 1	i		1 1		x	1 :	ĺĺ	
ELOSIRA GRANULATA	CEL	1 1	i	¥	1.1	i	I	1 1	l i	X
<b>AAICHFY</b>	CEL	1 1	- 1		1 1	Ì		i.	1 1	x
ITESCHIA	CEL	1 1	i		121	50.01	34	1 :	1 1	
DCYSTIA	COL	1 1	ı		1 1	1		16	10,01	242
ANDORINA MORUM	COL	1 1			1 1			13	1 1,11	163
ENNATE DIATON	CEL	4 1	ı		1 1			1	l I	X
MACUS	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	1	x	1	l l	
HOPALGUIA GIBMA	CEL	1 1	1	X	1.1	ı		1	ıı	
CENEDESNUS GUADRICAUDA	COL	1 1	1		1 1			1 .	1 1	X
CHROEDERIA BETIGERA	CEL	1 1	į		ı i	Ī		12	24.81	727
PHAEROCYSTIS SCHROETERI	COL	1 1	ı		1 1		I		1 1	
URIRELLA OVATA	CEL	1 1			1 1	1		ı	1 2,91	91
ETRASTRUM GLABRUM	COL	1 1	1		1 1				1 1	x
RACHELOMONAS ACANTHOSTOMA †	CEL	1 1	ı		1 1	1		ŧ	, ,	x
TOTAL				10			48			2740

#### LAKE NAME: LAKE TAHUE STORET NUMBER: 3205

# NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	03 18	75	07 02	75	11 04	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/01	n	0/01	0	0/01	O
CHLOROPHYCEAN	0/01	0	0/01	0	0/01	0
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/0	?	01/0	E	0/0	?
DIATUM	2,00	E	1,00	E	0.50	E
COMPOUND	2,00	E	2,00	E	1.00	Û

#### PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	03 18 75	07 02 75	11 04 75
GENUS	00	03	00
SPECIES	00	00	00

DATE 03 18 75 07 02 75 11 04 75

H	1.00	0,00	1.58
8	5.00	4.00	5.00
M	10.00	8.00	10.00
HXA	2.32	2.00	2.32
	• •	· ·	1.75
D	•	0.00	12.64
N	70.00	302.00	8.00
J	0.43	0.00	0,68
RJ	0.31	-0.05	-0.29
Ĺ	14.00	75.50	1.60
ĸ	35,00	302.00	3,00
	S M M IAXH INH D N J RJ	8 5.00 M 10.00 JAXH 2.32 JINH 0.43 D 70.00 N 70.00 J 0.43 RJ 0.31 L 14.00	8 5.00 4.00 M 10.00 8.00 IAXH 2.32 2.00 INH 0.43 0.10 D 70.00 0.00 N 70.00 302.00 J 0.43 0.00 RJ 0.31 -0.05 L 14.00 75.50

LAKE NAME: LAKE TAHOE STURET NUMBER: 3205 CONTINUED

	03 10 7			3	07 02 75		11 04 75		
TAXA	FORM	1.5	ALGAL UNITS C PER ML	! ! !s •c	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 15 %C	ALGAL I UNITS I PER ML I		
CENTRIC DIATOM	CEL	1 1	<u> </u>	1 1	1	1 137.51	3 1		
CHROOMONAS 7 ACUTA	CEL	ii	i x	ii	i	11 1	i		
COSMARIUM	CEL	i i	i x	ii	į x	1 1	X i		
CYMBELLA	CEL	ii	i	il	ı	1 137.5	3 1		
GYMNODINIUM OHDINATUM	CEL	1 1	1	ii	1	1 125,01	2 i		
MELOBIRA ITALICA	CEL	ii	į x	i i	i	1 1	i		
WITZSCHIA	CEL	11150.	01 35	111100.	1 302	1 1 1	1		
STEPHANODISCUS	CEL	12150.	01 35	1.1	i x	i i i	i		
SYNEDRA ULNA	CEL	11	1	1 1	i	1 1	X I		
TRACHELOHONAS	CEL	1 1	1	1 1	1 X	1 1			
TOTAL			70		302		•		

## LAKE NAME: TUPAZ RES. STORET NUMBER: 3206

#### NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	03 19	75	06 30	75	11 05	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/01	0	04/0	E	2.00	£
CHLOROPHYCEAN	2,00	E	02/0	E	2.00	Ē
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/02	?	0/06	?	0/04	7
DIATOM	1.00	E	0.40	E	2.00	E
COMPOUND	5.00	E	09/0	E	6.00	E

#### PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	03 19 75	06 30 75	11 05 75
GENUS	01	06	01
SPECIES	00	0.0	00

	DATE	03 19 75	06 30 75	11 05 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	H	2,44	1,29	2,29
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	11,00	17.00	11.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	2.00	2.00	2.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	3,46	4,09	3,46
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.15	0.02	0.19
TOTAL DIVERSITY	p	1742,16	16829.34	1284,69
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	714,00	13046.00	561.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.71	0,32	0.66
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.70	0.32	0.65
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	64.91	767.41	51,00
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	ĸ	198.00	9825.00	224,00

LAKE NAME: TOPAE RES. STORET NUMBER: 3206 CONTINUED

	-		-	03 19 75			06 30 75			11 05 75	
TAXA	FORM	1	•c	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	! !	<b>₽</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1	a.c	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	
•											
HABAEHA	FIL	1 1			3 1		X			X	
PHANIZOMENON PLOS-AQUAE	PIL	!!	. !		131		01075	151	3,4	19	
STERIONELLA FORMOSA	CEP		!	X	111	9.11	01191		ı		
ENTRIC DIATON #1	CEL	191	27.7!	198	1 1			. ! !		-	
ERATIUM HIRUNDINELLA	CEL	1 !	!		1 1	1			!	X	
HROOMONAS 7 ACUTA	CEL	1 1:	11.1!	79	151	5,01	00655	!	3,41	19	
DCCONEIS	CEL	1.1	!	X	1.1	!		1.1			
RYPTOMONAS	CEL	141	5,61	40	151	0.21	30	131	39,91	224	
RYPTOMONAS EROSA	CEL	ii			1 1		X	i i	ļ		
KABAGAUNT WYBROALL	CEL				1 1	ı	X				
IATOMA VULGARE	CEL	1.1	!		1 1		x		1		
ICTYOSPHARRIUM PULCHELLUM	COL	1111	16.71	119	1 1						
PITHEMIA	CEL	1 1	!		1.1	!	X	1 1			
RAGILARIA CROTONENSIS	CEL		33.31	159		75.31			30.01		
ELOSIRA	CEL		16.71	119	141	1,21	160	1 1	10.01	56	
OCYSTIS	COL	1 1			1 1	!	X				
BCILLATORIA	FIL	1 1			1 1	0.81		i i			
HORNIDIUM MUCICOLA	FIL	1 1	1	_	11		X	1.1			
CHPOEDERIA BETIGERA	CEL	1.1	- 1	X	1 1	Į.		1.1	ı	X	
PHAEROCYSTIS SCHROFTERI	COL	ıı	1		1 1	1	X	1 (		x	
TAURASTRUM	Cel	1 1	ŀ	X	1 1	1			20.01		
TEPHANODISCUS	CEL	1 1		X	1 1	1	X	141	3,41	19	
URIRELLA OVATA	CEL	1 1	1		1 1	ı	x	1 1			
TOTAL				714			13046			861	

#### LAKE NAME: UPPER PAHRANAGAT LAKE STORET NUMBER: 3207

NYGAARD	TROPHIC	STATE	INDICES
---------	---------	-------	---------

DATE	05 06	75	08 21	75	11 21 7	5
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/0	0	03/0	E	2.00 E	
CHLOROPHYCEAN	04/0	E	13/0	E	3.00 E	
EUCLENOPHYTE	0.25	£	0.25	Σ	0.40 E	
DIATOM	0/03	7	0.14	?	0.12 ?	
COMPOUND	05/0	E	22/0	£	9.00 E	

#### PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	05 06 75	08 21 75	11 21 75
GENUS	02	15	12
SPECIES	03	05	02

	DATE	05 06 75	08 21 75	11 21 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	2,13	0.74	2.85
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	11.00	39.00	28,00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	3.00	2,00	2.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	MAXH	3.46	5,29	4.81
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.12	0.02	0.13
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	2017.11	30936.44	7623.75
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	947.00	41806.00	2675.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.62	0.14	0.59
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.61	0.14	0.59
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	86.09	1071.95	95.54
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	ĸ	425.00	37834.00	R99.00

ACTIMATEUM HANTZECHII  ACTIMATEUM HANTZECHII  CCL				<del></del> -	05 06 75			00 21 75			11 21 75	
TY PLUYIATILE  OLI 15 6,9 65 1  ANKIERRODESHUS PALCATUS  ANKIERRODESHUS PALCATUS  OLI 15 6,9 65 1  ANKIERRODESHUS PALCATUS  OLI 15 6,9 65 1  O.9 378 1  O.9 378 1  O.9 23  CALOMETS AMPRIESSENS  CEL 1	TAXA	FURM	1	<b>₽</b> C	UNITE	1	)C	UNITS	ŧ	<b>9</b> C	BTINU	i
TY, PLUYIATILE  ANKIETRODESHUS FALCATUS  CEL 15 6,31 65 1	ACTINASTRUM HANTESCHIL		1 1		<del></del>	ī			<del>-</del> -	1		7
AMETSPRODUCHUS BARACATUS  V. MIRABLIS  SOTRUCOCCUS BARANII  COL			1 1		1	1	0,2	63	1	i i		i
V. MIRABILIS SOTRHOGOCOLOS BRANNII COL		CEL		6.9	65	-			- 1	: :		i
CALOMETS AMPHIBBASHAN CEL	V. MIRABILIS	CEL		i	į			376	•	•	1	i
CERATIUM HIRUMOINELLA					1			X	-			ì
CRATION HEROMOINELLA  F, FUNCHIDES  CEL						-			-			į
F. FURCOIDES CEL 12144,9 425   1				i				•	•	: :		i
COCCONETS  CREDITION CREDI				i				X	-	i i		i
COMPATION CEL   0.6 952   X CRUCIGENTA UNDARTA CUL   0.6 952   X CRUCIGENTA TETRAPECIA COL   1 0.2 63   1   CRYPTOMORAS ROSA CEL   1 0.2 63   1   CRYPTOMORAS MARSSONI CEL   1 0.2 63   1   CRYPTOMORAS MARSSONI CEL   1 10   1   CRYPTOMORAS MARSSONI CEL   1 10   1   CRYPTOMORAS MARSSONI CEL   1 12   1   CRYPTOMORAS MARSSONI CEL   1												į
CAUCIGENTA TETRAPPOID  CRETIONOMAS  CRE CRETIONOMAS  CRE CRE CRE CRE CRE CRE CRE CRE CRE CR	- · - ·		_						-	. :		į
CRIPTIONOMAS EROSA  CEL   1   0,2   63   CRYPTOMONAS EROSA  CEL   1   1   2   1   CRYPTOMONAS MARAGONII   CEL   1   1   2   2   6.6   231   CYLINDROTHECI GRACILIS   CEL   1   2   8.6   231   CYLINDROTHECI GRACILIS   CEL   1   2   8.6   231   CYLINDROTHECI GRACILIS   CEL   1   2   8.6   231   CYNATOPLEURS 51   CEL   1   2   8.6   231   CYNATOPLEURS 51   CEL   1   7   7   CYNATOPLEURS 50   CEL   1   7   7   CYNATOPLEURS 60   CEL   1   7   7   CYNATOPLEURS 60   CEL   1   7   7   CYNATOPLEURS 70   CEL   1   7   7   CYNATOPLEURS 60   CEL   1   7   7   CYNATOPLEURS 70   CEL   7		COL						252		•	_	i
CRYPTOHONNAS MARABONIT CEL 1117.2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1												ŀ
CRIPTOMONAB MARABONIT CEL 1117,22 163 1				- 1				63				!
CYLINGROTHECA GRACILIS  CYMATOPLEURA 51  CYMATOPLEURA 50LEA  CEL  L  L  L  L  L  L  L  L  L  L  L  L	CRYPTOMONAS MARSSONII						7			: :		i
CYMATOPLEURA 51 CYMATOPLEURA CEL CYMATOP			1.1	ļ				103				į
CYMBELLA SPP, CEL							•				231	_
CYMBELLA SPP, CEL							•		•		x	i
DACTILOCOCCUPSIS EDITHRIA CEL				i				-			x	i
EPITHENIA			!!				•	x	-			į
EUGLEMA  CET.  SPOSIGNA  CET.  SEDERA VARIAMS  CET.  SEDERA CONTRA CURVATA  CET.  SEDERA CURVATA  CET.  SEDERE CONTRA CURVATA  CET.  SENEDE CONTRA CURVATA  CET.  SEDERE CONTRA CURVATA  CET.  SEDERA CURVATA  SEDERA CURVATA  CET.  SEDERA CURVATA  SEDERA			-	- !				¥	-		44	!
MELOSIRA VARIARS  CEL										: :	x	i
MERISPOPEDIA MINIMA  COL			1.1	į			1		1		x	Ì
MEGROSTIGNA VIRIDIS  CEL				!							23	ŀ
MICROTISTIS INCERTA  CEL				i					-		23	i
MAYICULA 11				į								į
MAYICULA #2								6.1			x	ł
NITESCHIA SPP.  OGCILLATORIA  CEL         0,6   252												i
OCCIBETS				!					-			i
USCILLATORIA				•				252				
DEDIASTRUM BORYANUM				i								i
Y. PETICULATUM         COL         1         1         0,9         23         1         0,9         23         1         1         0,9         23         1         1         0,9         23         1         1         0,9         23         1         1         0,9         23         1         1         0,9         23         1	PEDIATRUM BORYANUM	COL						X				į
PRINATE DIATOMS  CEL		co.		,					-		23	!
PHACUS HELIKOIDES				7				568				i
PINNULARIA 02	PHACUS HELIKOIDES						0.61	252				į
QUADRIGULA CHODATII				ţ					•		X	!
RHOICOSPHENIA CURVATA			7 . 7	6.9	65		•	•		: :		ì
### SCENEPLESHUS ACUMINATUS   COL	RHOICOSPHENIA CURVATA	CEL	i i	!		1			1	i		į
SCENEDESHUS DIMORPHUS							•	X				ļ
SCENEDESHUS INTERMEDIUS				•				x	•	; ;		i
SCENEDESMUS QUADRICAUDR		•				i	i		7	ii		i
SCHROEDERIA SETIGERA   CEL   13/20,7  196						1 1			-	!		1
######################################												ļ
### AURITELLA ###################################						-		^				i
### RURTRELLA OVATA CEL		CEP	1.1	ļ	X				1	1 1		
### STREET OF THE PROPERTY OF				-								
SYMBORA ULNA TETRAEDROH MINIMUM Y, SCROBICULATUM CEL				1								i
TETRAEDRON MINIMUN  Y, SCROBICULATUM  CEL				i	X	1 1	i	X				i
TETRASTRUM GLARRUM COL		<b></b>		į						! !		ţ
TRACHELOMONAS  CEL				!		-	•					ļ
TRACHELONIAS OBLONGS	TRACHELOMONAS								•			i
TRACHELOMONAS VOLVOCINA CEL II I II X II X I			- j i	•		1 1	. 1		į į	1		į
												_
TOTAL 947 41806 2675			• •	•			•	^	•	, ,	^	•
	TUTAL				947			41806			2675	

#### LAKE NAME: WASHOE LAKE STORET NUMBER: 3208

#### NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE 03 17 75 06 27 75 11 11 75 MYXOPHYCEAN 1.00 E 02/0 E 0/0 0 CHLOROPHYCEAN 2.00 E 01/0 E 01/0 E 0.67 E EUGLENOPHYTE 0,67 E 0/01 ? 0,18 ? DIATOM 0.50 E COMPOUND 07/0 E 8.00 E 06/0 E

#### PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE 03 17 75 06 27 75 11 11 75

GENUS 01 07 01

SPECIES 00 00 00

#### SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

DATE 03 17 75 06 27 75 11 11 75 2,39 AVERAGE DIVERSITY 2.59 н 1.89 16.00 NUMBER OF TAXA 8 25.00 19.00 3.00 NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED 3.00 MAXIMUM DIVERSITY MAXH 4,64 4,25 4,00 MINIMUM DIVERSITY MINH 0,13 0.11 0,30 4029.54 4330,48 1716,12 TOTAL DIVERSITY 0 TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML EVENNESS COMPONENT 1672.00 1686.00 N 908.00 J 0.41 0.61 0,60 KELATIVE EVENNESS 0.37 0.60 0.59 RJ MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA 105,38 88.00 36,32 NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON 474.00 859.00 881.00 K

				03 17 75			06 27 75			11 11 75
7 AX2	FORM	1 1 5	<b>9</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER HL	1 15	ac.	ALGAL UNITA PER ML	1 1 8	9C	ALGAL Units Per Ml
CHROOMONAS 7 ACUTA	CEL	191	0,71	79	1 1					
CLOSTERIUM CGCCONEIS	CET	1 1	i	X	!!			!		
COCCONEIS PLACENTULA	CEL	1 1	ì		1 1		45	1	4,6	77
COELASTRUM SPHAERICUM	COL	: i	i		11		43	1		x
CHYPTOMONAS EPOSA	CEL	141	0,71	79	151		136	i 1		•
CYCLOTELLA	CEL	i'i	•		ijij	7				x
CYMATOPLEURA	CEL	ii	i	x	ii			i	•	. •
CAMBETTY	CEL	ii	i	X	ii	•	X	i (	ii	X
DACTYLOCOCCOPSIS	CEL	1 1	i	x	ii	i	•	1	ii	
ENTOMONEIS URNATA	CEL	1 1	i	x	1.1	i		i	i i	
EPITHENIA	CEP	1 1	- 1		1 1	1		12	6.01	115
EPITHENIA 11	CEL	1 1		X	1 1			1		
EPITHENIA 12	CEL	1 1			141		45	1 :	-	
EUGLENA ACUA	CEL	!!	!		121		136	-	!!	
EUNGTIA	CEL	!!	!		!!					X
FRAGILARIA GLOEOCAPSA	COL	11	:		!!		90	1		115
GOMPHONEMA	CEL	- i i	•		1 1		45			
GOMPHONEMA #1	ČEL	ii	i	x	ii			-	: :	X
GOMPHONEMA 12	CEL	ii	·	•	: i	1	x	1		
GOMPHONEMA TRUNCATUM	CEL	ii	i	x	ii		^	1		
PANTESCHIA	CEL	ii	i		ii			-	2,3	10
HANTESCHIA AMPHIDAYS	CEL	ii	i	x	ii					•••
MELOSIRA GRANULATA	CEL		21.7	197	ii		x		52.3	881
MELGSIRA GRANULATA		1 1	Ť		1 1	i		1		
Y. ANGUSTISSIMA	CEL	1115	32.21	474	111	51,41	159	1	113,61	230
MELOBIRA ITALICA	CEL	1.1	ı		1 1			13	1 4,61	. 77
MELOBIRA VARIANS	CEL	1 1	1	×	1 1			1	1 1	
MICROCYSTIS INCERTA	cor	1 1	ı		1 1		45	•	, ,	
NAVICULA #1	CEL	1 1	1	X	1 1	•		•	!!	
NAVICULA #2	CEL	: 1	ı		1 1		×	•		
NITZBCHIA FILIFORMIS	CEL	1.1			1 1			•	! !	X
ODCIETIS	COL	11	1	).	1 1			•	1 1	
PHACUS	CEL	1 1	ļ	X.	1 1			ı	!!	
PINNULARIA	CEL	11	į	X	1 1	2.7		•	!!	
RHOICOSPHENIA CURVATA	CEL	1.1	!		1.1	!	X	1	!!	
RHOPALODIA GIBBA	CEL	131	1,71	79	131			•		
SCHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEP	11	!	X	1 1		X	-	!	••
STAURONEIS	CEL	-	!		1 1			15		
STEPHANODISCUS	CEP		ŀ					14	,	
BURIRELLA Surirella (1	CEL	1 1	i	x	1 1	_			1 4,3	
SURIRELLA DVATA	CEP	1 1	i	x	11		45		: ;	
SYNEDRA ULNA	CEL	11	- 1	â	11			•	ii	
TABELLARIA FENESTRATA	CEL	ii	i	î	ii		73		ii	
TRACHELOMONAS OBLONGA	720	ii	i	-	ii			-	i i	
Y. AUSTPALICA	CEL	ii	i	x	ii	-		-	i i	
TRACHELOHONAS YOUVOCINA	CEL	ii	i	-	ii				i i	

#### LAKE NAME: WILDHORSE RES. STORET NUMBER: 3209

# NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	05 30	75	08 01	75	11 06	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	03/0	E	04/0	E	02/0	E
CHLUROPHYCEAN	01/0	E	0/0	0	01/0	E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0.50	3	0/04	?	0.33	£
DIATOM	0.14	?	0.11	7	0/02	?
COMPOUND	07/0	ε	05/0	E	04/0	E

#### PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	05 30 75	08 01 75	11 06 75
GENUS	04	01	00
SPECIES	00	00	00

DATE	05 30 75	08 01 75	11 06 75
Y H	0,60	2,55	1.45
A 8	16.00	15,00	7.00
D M		1.00	5.00
	•	3.91	2.81
	•		0.20
-	•		427.75
			295.00
	. •		0.52
	•	•	0.48
	• "	•	42.14
			196.00
	Y H	Y H 0.60 A 8 16.00 D N 5.00 Y MAXH 4.00 Y MINH 0.02 Y D 5983.00 L N 9805.00 T J 0.15 B RJ 0.15 A L 612.81	Y H 0.60 2.55 A S 16.00 15.00 D M 5.00 1.00 Y MAXH 4.00 3.91 Y MINH 0.02 0.10 Y D 5883.00 4365.60 L N 9805.00 1712.00 T J 0.15 0.65 B RJ 0.15 0.65 A L 612.81 114.13

		05 30 75			08 01 75			11 06 75		
TAXA	FORM	! !	<b>₽</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1	3C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 18	<b>€</b> C	ALGAL UNITA PER ML
APHANIZOMENON FLOS-AQUAE	FIL	11	i		12	15,01	210	111	1,21	33
APHANOTHECE	COL	ii	i			5,31	90	iii		•••
CENTRIC DIATON	CEL	iıi	91.4	8958	11			ii	i	
CHROOMONAS 7 ACUTA	CEL	1 1			1.1				6.6	196
CUCCONEIS PEDICULUS	CEL	ii	i			5,3	90	1 1	i	•••
COELOSPHAERIUM 7	COL	ii	i		-11			ii	i	X.
RYPTOMONAS	CEL	ii	i				45	ii	i	•
CRYPTOMUNAS EFOSA	CEL	iji	1,21	121		-,-,		i i	·	
THATOPLEURA	CEL	ii	;	•	i i		X	; ;	i	
YMBELLA	CEL	ii	i		- i i		45	: :	·	
PITHEMIA TURGIDA	CEL		·		- 1		X	: :	:	
UGLENA ACUS	CEL	: i	i	x	- 1 3	:	•	: :	:	
UNUTIA PECTINALIS		- ; ;	•	•	- 1 1	•		: :	:	
V. VENTRICOBA	CEL	- ; ;	:	x	1 1	: :		: :	:	
LAGELLATE	CEL		:	â	: :	:		: :	:	
PAGILARIA	CEL		:	^	1 :	1		: :	:	x
RAGILARIA BREVISTRIATA	CEL	- ; ;	:		- 14	29.01	496	: :	:	
RAGILARIA BREVISTRIATA	CEB	- ; ;	:			24,01	470	: :	:	
V. TRIGIONA	CEL	121	4.5	444	: :	•		: :	:	
LOTOTRICHIA ECHINULATA	COL		4.5	***	1.1			: :		
ONPHONEMA	CEL	::	:	x		31,61	541	!!	:	
NUBARY OLIVACEUM	CEL	- : :	:		!!	•				
THENOREM OUTTREEN		!.!	!	••	!!		x	1 !		
ELUSIRA VARIANS	FIL	141	0.61	•1	1 !		-	!!	!	
	CEL	3 1	. !		1 1	. !	X	1 !	. !	
AVICULA EXIGUA	CEL	!!	!		, ,		X	1 1	į	
ITESCHIA	CEL	!!	0.41	40	i i			1 1	į	
ITZECHIA FILIFORMIE	CEP	!_!	0.41	40		2.61	45	1 1	į	
HORNIDIUM	FIL	121	1.21	121	1 1	. !	X	1 1	•	
APHIDIOPSIS CURVATA	FIL	!!		x	1 1	!		1 1		
HUICOSPHENIA CURVATA	CEL	1 1		X	!!	5.31	90	! . ! .	!	
CHROEDFRIA BETIGERA	CEL	!!		X	!!				1.71	33
YNEDRA	CEL	!!	:	_	!!			!!	. !	×
YNCDRA ULMA	CEL	!!	!	X	1 1	. !		1.1.	!	
HACHELONOMAS	CEL	, ,	. !		1 1	!			1.2	33
NACHELDHONAS VOLVOCINA			. !	_	1 1			1 1		
Y. PUNCTATA	CEL	1 1	ı	X	1 1	1		1 1	,	
TOTAL				9805			1712			295

# LAKE NAME: WILSON SINK RES. STORET NUMBER: 3210

NYGAARD	TRADUTE	STATE	THREE
NYGAAND	TRUPMIC	OTATE.	INDICES

DATE	05 31	75	08 01	75	11 06	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	01/0	E.	01/0	E	1.00	E
CHLUROPHYCEAN	0/0	0	03/0	E	0.33	?
EUGLENOPHYTE	1.00	E	0/04	?	0.25	£
DIATOM	0/06	?	0/0	?	0/07	?
COMPOUND	02/0	E	04/0	E	1.67	E

# PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	05 31 75	08 01 75	11 06 75
GENUS	05	00	05
SPECIES -	0.3	00	00

	DATE	05 31 75	09 01 75	11 06 75
AVERAGE DIVERBITY	н	1.47	1,66	2,57
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	10,00	7.00	19.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	1.00	1.00	3.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	3,32	2.81	4.25
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	HINH	0.05	0.16	0.14
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	3739.68	627.48	4055,46
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML		2544.00	378.00	1578,00
EVENNESS COMPONENT		0.44	0.59	0.60
RELATIVE EVENNESS	ŖĴ	0.44	0.57	0.60
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA		254.40	54.00	83.05
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	ĸ	1609.00	210.00	446.00

CONTINUED

				05 31 75		08 01 75				11 06 75	
TREA	EDRK	 	<b>\$</b> C ,	ALGAL UNITS PER HL	i i ; 5	<b>3</b> €	ALGAL UNITA PER HL	1	,90	ALGAL Units Per mu	1 1
ANABAENA	FIL	11	<u></u>		11	ī	1	1		X	ī
AFHANIZOMENON FLOS-AGUAE	FIL	1 1	1		1 1	ı			0,71	137	1
CERATIUM HIRUNDINELLA	CEL	1 1	1		: 1	1	X	1			1
CHROOMONAB ? ACUTA	CEL	121	24,56	623	1 1	ı			21,71		1
CLOSTERIUM	CEP	11	t		1 1	1		1 3		X	1
COCCONEIS PLACENTULA	CEP	1 1	1		1 1	1		15	6,81	103	1
COELASTRUM MICROPORIIN	CDL	1 1	ı		1 1	ı		1	١ ١	K	ı
COSMARIUM	CEL	1.1	ı		1 1	ŧ		- 1	2,21	34	t
CRYPTONONAS ERGAA	CEL	111	63,21	1609	1 1	1		1		X	1
CRYPTONONAS MARBRONII	CEL	1 1	. 1		1 1	1		1		X	1
CYMBELLA	CEL	1.1	4		1 1	ı			ı i	X	ı
CYMBELLA AFFINIS	CEL	1 1		X	1.4	- 1		1	ı		1
EPITHEMIA	CEL	1.1	1		1.1	1		1	4,41	69	ı
EUGLENA	CEL	1 1	į	X	1 1	i		1	1 (	X	ı
FLAGELLATES	CEL	i i	i		121	55.6	210	1	ı i		ı
FRAGILARIA	CEL	- i i	Ĺ		1.1	i		12	23.91	377	1
GOMPHONEMA DLIVACEUM	CEL	ii	Ĩ	X	11	i		t.	i j		ı
CYMNODINIUM	CEL	ii	Ì		111	22.21	84	1.	1		ŧ
MOUGEDTIA	FIL	ii	ĺ		1 1	i		1	1	X	į
WITZSCHIR #1	CEL	151	4.1	104	ii	ì		i	l i		- 1
NITZSCHIA 12	CEL	141	6.11		ii	i		i	1 1		1
ОРЕРНОВА	CEL	ii		X	ii	i		i			ŧ
DECILLATORIA	FIL	ii	i	Ÿ	ii	i		i	4.4	69	ŧ
PENNATE DIATON	CEL	ii	i	-	ii	i		13	28,31	446	- 1
RHOPALODIA GIBBA	CEL	- ; ;	i		ii	i		1	1 1	K	1
SCENEDERHUS	COL	ii	i		141	11.1	42	1	1 1		1
SCHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	ii	i		ii	1	X	1	1 1		1
BIAURASTRUM	CEL	ii	ì		1 1	- 1		1		X X	ı
STREDRA ULNA	CEL		2.01	52	1 1	1			! 1	X X	ı
TETRALDROW MINIMUM	•••	ii	1		1 1	- 1			1 1	ļ.	1
V. BERDAICULATUM	CEL	ii	Ĩ		131	11.11	42	ı,	1 1	l.	-1
44 REMEDITED TO SERVED.	•										
TOTAL				2544			370			1570	

#### LAKE NAME: WALKER LAKE STORET NUMBER: 3211

#### NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	03 17	75	07 11 7	75 11 06 7	5
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/0	0	02/0	E 0/01 C	)
CHLOROPHYCEAN	01/0	E	0/0 (	0/01 0	)
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/01	?	0/02	7 0/0 1	?
DIATOM	0/01	?	0/0	7 0/01 1	}
COMPOUND	01/0	E	02/0 1	E 0/01 C	)

# PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	03 17 75	07 11 75	11 06 75
GENUS	00	0 0	00
Species	00	0 0	

	DATE	03 17 75	07 11 75	11 06 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	1,34	0.01	0.03
NUMBER OF TAXA	5	3.00	2.00	2.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	3,00	3,00	3,00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	1.58	1.00	1,00
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	HINH	0.29	0.44	0,53
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	64.32	0.10	0.09
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	48.00	10,00	3.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.85	0.01	0.03
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.82	-0.76	-1,06
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	Ĺ	16.00	5.00	1.50
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	27.00	10.00	3,00

LAKE NAME: WALKER LAKE STORET NUMBER: 3211 CONTINUED

				03,17 75			0] 11 75			11 06	75
TAXA	FORM	10	<b>€</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1	٩c	ALGAL UNITS PER MU	1	•c	ALGAL UNITS PER M	
CHROOCOCCUS	COL	11	<u>-</u>		- <del></del>	100.1	10		1		
CHRUDMONAS ?	CEL	iii	3.31	16	ii		• •	i	ii		
NAVICULA	CEL	i i	1		ii	i		i.	100.1	3	
MODULARIA	FIL	ii	i		1 1	i	x	1	1 1		
PENNATE DIATOM	CEL	i is	6.21	27	ii	i		ì			
STAURASTRUM	CEL	i i	i	_	iı	1		1	1 1	X	
TETRAEDRON MINIMUM	CEL	1 11	0.41	5	1 1	1		i	1 1		
POTAL				48			10			3	

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA (Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)						
1. REPORT NO. EPA-600/3-79-117	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.				
4. TITLE AND SUBTITUE	5. REPORT DATE December 1979					
DISTRIBUTION OF PHYTOPLANKT	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE					
<sup>7</sup> AUTHOR(S) V.W. Lambou, F.A. Morris, M L.R. Williams, and S.C. Her		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.				
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME A		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.				
Environmental Monitoring ar		1BD884				
Office of Research and Deve		11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.				
U.S. Environmental Protecti	on Agency					
Las Vegas, NV 89114						
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND AD	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED					
U.S. Environmental Protecti	02-21-75 to 12-11-75 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE					
Office of Research and Deve						
Environmental Monitoring ar	EPA/600/07					
Las Vegas, NV 89114						

# 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

#### 16. ABSTRACT

This is a data report presenting the species and abundance of phytoplankton in the 10 lakes sampled by the National Eutrophication Survey in the State of Nevada. Results from the calculation of several water quality indices are also included (Nygaard's Trophic State Index, Palmer's Organic Pollution Index, and species diversity and abundance indices).

 17.	7. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS							
a.	DESCRIPTORS	b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group					
*aquatic mic lakes *phytoplankt water quali	on	Nevada lake eutrophication Nygaard's trophic indices Palmer's organic pollu- tion indices Species diversity and abundance	06 C, M 08 H 13 B					
RELEASE TO P		19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report)  UNCLASSIFIED  20. SECURITY CLASS (This page)  UNCLASSIFIED	21. NO. OF PAGES 42 22. PRICE					