United States
Environmental Protection
Agency

Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory P.O. Box 15027 Las Vegas NV 89114 EPA-600/3-79-119 December 1979

**SEPA** 

Research and Development

# Distribution of Phytoplankton in Oregon Lakes

# RESEARCH REPORTING SERIES

Research reports of the Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, have been grouped into nine series. These nine broad categories were established to facilitate further development and application of environmental technology. Elimination of traditional grouping was consciously planned to foster technology transfer and maximum interface in related fields. The nine series are:

- 1. Environmental Health Effects Research
- 2. Environmental Protection Technology
- 3. Ecological Research
- 4. Environmental Monitoring
- 5. Socioeconomic Environmental Studies
- 6. Scientific and Technical Assessment Reports (STAR)
- 7. Interagency Energy—Environment Research and Development
- 8. "Special" Reports
- 9. Miscellaneous Reports

This report has been assigned to the ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH series. This series describes research on the effects of pollution on humans, plant and animal species, and materials. Problems are assessed for their long-and short-term influences. Investigations include formations, transport, and pathway studies to determine the fate of pollutants and their effects. This work provided the technical basis for setting standards to minimize undesirable changes in living organisms in the aquatic, terrestrial, and atmospheric environments.

# DISTRIBUTION OF PHYTOPLANKTON IN OREGON LAKES

by

W. D. Taylor, L. R. Williams, S. C. Hern, V. W. Lambou, F. A. Morris\*, and M. K. Morris\*

Water and Land Quality Branch
Monitoring Operations Division
Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory
Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

\*Department of Biological Sciences University of Nevada, Las Vegas Las Vegas, Nevada 89154

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND SUPPORT LABORATORY
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114

# DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory-Las Vegas, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

#### FOREWORD

Protection of the environment requires effective regulatory actions which are based on sound technical and scientific information. This information must include the quantitative description and linking of pollutant sources, transport mechanisms, interactions, and resulting effects on man and his environment. Because of the complexities involved, assessment of specific pollutants in the environment requires a total systems approach which transcends the media of air, water, and land. The Environmental Monitoring and Support Lahoratory-Las Vegas contributes to the formation and enhancement of a sound monitoring data base for exposure assessment through programs designed to:

- develop and optimize systems and strategies for monitoring pollutants and their impact on the environment
- demonstrate new monitoring systems and technologies by applying them to fulfill special monitoring needs of the Agency's operating programs

This report presents the species and abundance of phytoplankton in the 8 lakes sampled by the National Eutrophication Survey in the State of Oregon, along with results from the calculation of several commonly used biological indices of water quality and community structure. These data can be used to biologically characterize the study lakes, and as baseline data for future investigations. This report was written for use by Federal, State, and local governmental agencies concerned with water quality analysis, monitoring, and/or regulation. Private industry and individuals similarly involved with the biological aspects of water quality will find the document useful. For further information contact the Water and Land Quality Branch, Monitoring Operations Division.

George B. Morgan

Director

Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory
Las Vegas

# CONTENTS

<u>P</u>	age
Foreword	iii
Introduction	1
Materials and Methods	2
Lake and Site Selection	2
Sample Preparation	2
Examination	3
Quality Control	4
Results	5
Nygaard's Trophic State Indices	5
Palmer's Organic Pollution Indices	5
Species Diversity and Abundance Indices	7
Species Occurrence and Abundance	9
Literature Cited	10
Appendix A. Phytoplankton Species list for the State of Oregon	11
Appendix B. Summary of Phytoplankton Data	13

# INTRODUCTION

The collection and analysis of phytoplankton data were included in the National Eutrophication Survey in an effort to determine relationships between algal characteristics and trophic status of individual lakes.

During spring, summer, and fall of 1975, the Survey sampled 156 lakes in 11 States. Over 450 algal species and varieties were identified and enumerated from the 430 water samples examined.

This report presents the species and abundance of phytoplankton in the 8 lakes sampled in the State of Oregon (Table 1). The Nygaard's Trophic State (Nygaard 1949), Palmer's Organic Pollution (Palmer 1969), and species diversity and abundance indices are also included.

TABLE 1. LAKES SAMPLED IN THE STATE OF OREGON

STORET No.	Lake Name	County
4101	Brownlee Reservoir	Baker (Washington in Idaho)
4102	Diamond	Douglas
4103	Hells Canyon Reservoir	Wallowa, Baker (Adams in Idaho)
4104	Hills Creek Reservoir	Lane
4105	Owyhee	Malheur
4106	Oxbow Reservoir	Baker (Adams in Idaho)
4107	Suttle Lake	Jefferson
4108	Waldo Lake	Lane

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# LAKE AND SITE SELECTION

Lakes and reservoirs included in the Survey were selected through discussions with State water pollution agency personnel and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Regional Offices (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1975). Screening and selection strongly emphasized lakes with actual or potential accelerated eutrophication problems. As a result, the selection was limited to lakes:

- (1) impacted by one or more municipal sewage treatment plant outfalls either directly into the lake or by discharge to an inlet tributary within approximately 40 kilometers of the lake;
- (2) 40 hectares or larger in size; and
- (3) with a mean hydraulic retention time of at least 30 days.

Specific selection criteria were waived for some lakes of particular State interest.

Sampling sites for a lake were selected based on available information on lake morphometry, potential major sources of nutrient input, and on-site judgment of the field limnologist (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1975). Primary sampling sites were chosen to reflect the deepest portion of each major basin in a test lake. Where many basins were present, selection was guided by nutrient source information on hand. At each sampling site, a depth-integrated phytoplankton sample was taken. Depth-integrated samples were uniform mixtures of water from the surface to a depth of 15 feet (4.6 meters) or from the surface to the lower limit of the photic zone representing 1 percent of the incident light, whichever was greater. If the depth at the sampling site was less than 15 feet (4.6 meters), the sample was taken from just off the bottom to the surface. Normally, a lake was sampled three times in 1 year, providing information on spring, summer, and fall conditions.

# SAMPLE PREPARATION

To preserve the sample 4 milliliters (ml) of Acid-Lugol's solution (Prescott 1970) were added to each 130-ml sample from each site at the time of collection. The samples were shipped to the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada, where equal volumes from each site

were mixed to form two 130-ml composite samples for a given lake. One composite sample was put into storage and the other was used for the examination.

Prior to examination, the composite samples were concentrated by the settling method. Solids were allowed to settle for at least 24 hours prior to siphoning off the supernate. The volume of the removed supernate and the volume of the remaining concentrate were measured and concentrations determined. A small (8-ml) library subsample of the concentrate was then taken. The remaining concentrate was gently agitated to resuspend the plankton and poured into a capped, graduated test tube. If a preliminary examination of a sample indicated the need for a more concentrated sample, the contents of the test tube were further concentrated by repeating the settling method. Final concentrations varied from 15 to 40 times the original.

Permanent slides were prepared from concentrated samples after analysis was complete. A ring of clear Karo® corn syrup with phenol (a few crystals of phenol were added to each 100 ml of syrup) was placed on a glass slide. A drop of superconcentrate from the bottom of the test tube was placed in the ring. This solution was thoroughly mixed and topped with a coverglass. After the syrup at the edges of the coverglass had hardened, the excess was scraped away and the mount was sealed with clear fingernail polish. Permanent diatom slides were prepared by drying sample material on a coverglass, heating in a muffle furnace at 400° C for 45 minutes, and mounting in Hyrax®. Finally, the mounts were sealed with clear fingernail polish.

Backup samples, library samples, permanent sample slides, and Hyrax®mounted diatom slides are being stored and maintained at the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory-Las Vegas.

# EXAMINATION

The phytoplankton samples were examined with the aid of binocular compound microscopes. A preliminary examination was performed to precisely identify and list all forms encountered. The length of this examination varied depending on the complexity of the sample. An attempt was made to find and identify all of the forms present in each sample. Often forms were observed which could not be identified to species or to genus. Abbreviated descriptions were used to keep a record of these forms (e.g., lunate cell, blue-green filament, Navicula #1). Diatom slides were examined using a standard light microscope. If greater resolution was essential to accurately identify the diatoms, a phase-contrast microscope was used.

After the species list was compiled, phytoplankton were enumerated using a Neubauer Counting Chamber with a 40% objective lens and a 10% ocular lens. All forms within each field were counted. The count was continued until a minimum of 100 fields had been viewed, or until the dominant form had been observed a minimum of 100 times.

<sup>®</sup>Registered trademark

# **OUALITY CONTROL**

Project phycologists performed internal quality control intercomparisons regularly on 7 percent of the species identification and counts. Although an individual had primary responsibility for analyzing a sample, taxonomic problems were discussed among the phycologists.

Additional quality control checks were performed on the Survey samples by Dr. G. W. Prescott of the University of Montana at the rate of 5 percent. Quality control checks were made on 75 percent of these samples to verify species identifications while checks were made on the remaining 25 percent of the samples to verify genus counts. Presently, the agreement between quality control checks for species identification and genus enumerations is satisfactory.

# RESULTS

A phytoplankton species list for the State is presented in Appendix A. Appendix B summarizes all of the phytoplankton data collected from the State by the Survey. The latter is organized by lake, and includes an alphabetical phytoplankton species list with concentrations for individual species given by sampling date. Results from the application of several indices are presented (Nygaard's Trophic State, Palmer's Organic Pollution, and species diversity and abundance). Each lake has been assigned a four-digit STORET number. (STORET (STOrage and RETrieval) is the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's computer system which processes and maintains water quality data.) The first two digits of the STORET number identify the State; the last two digits identify the lake.

#### NYGAARD'S TROPHIC STATE INDICES

Five indices devised by Nygaard (1949) were proposed under the assumption that certain algal groups are indicative of levels of nutrient enrichment. These indices were calculated in order to aid in determining the surveyed lakes' trophic status. As a general rule, Cyanophyta, Euglenophyta, centric diatoms, and members of the Chlorococcales are found in waters that are eutrophic (rich in nutrients), while desmids and many pennate diatoms generally cannot tolerate high nutrient levels and so are found in oligotrophic waters (poor in nutrients).

In applying the indices to the Survey data, the number of taxa in each major group was determined from the species list for each sample. The ratios of these groups give numerical values which can be used as a biological index of water richness. The five indices and the ranges of values established for Danish lakes by Nygaard for each trophic state are presented in Table 2. The appropriate symbol, (E) eutrophic and (O) oligotrophic, follows each calculated value in the tables in Appendix B. A question mark (?) following a calculated value in these tables was entered when that value was within the range of both classifications.

# PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

Palmer (1969) analyzed reports from 165 authors and developed algal pollution indices for use in rating water samples with high organic pollution. Two lists of organic-pollution-tolerant forms were prepared, one containing 20 genera, the other, 20 species (Tables 3 and 4). Each form was assigned a pollution index number ranging from 1 for moderately tolerant forms to 6 for

TABLE 2. NYGAARD'S TROPHIC STATE INDICES ADAPTED FROM HUTCHINSON (1967)

Index	Calculation	Oligotrophic	Eutrophic
Myxophycean	Myxophyceae Desmideae	0.0-0.4	0.1-3.0
Chlorophycean	<u>Chlorococcales</u> <u>Desmideae</u>	0.0-0.7	0.2-9.0
Diatom	Centric Diatoms Pennate Diatoms	0.0-0.3	0.0-1.75
Euglenophyte	Euglenophyta Myxophyceae + Chlorococcales	0.0-0.2	0.0-1.0
Compound	Myxophyceae + Chlorococcales + Centric Diatoms + Euglenophyta Desmideae	0.0-1.0	1.2-25

TABLE 3. ALGAL GENUS POLLUTION INDEX (Palmer 1969)

TABLE 4. ALGAL SPECIES POLLUTION INDEX (Palmer 1969)

	Pollution		Pollution
Genus	Index	Species	Index
denus	Index	Speciles	muex
Anacystis	1	Ankistrodesmus falcatus	3
Ankistrodesmus	2	Arthrospira jenneri	2
Chlamydomonas	4	Chlorella vulgaris	2
Chlorella	3	Cyclotella meneghiniana	2
Closterium	1	Euglena gracilis	1
Cyclotella	1	Euglena viridis	6
Euglena	5	Gomphonema parvulum	1
Gomphonema	1	Melosira varians	2
Lepocinclis	1	Navicula cryptocephala	1
Melosira	1	Nitzschia acicularis	1
Micractinium	1	Nitzschia palea	5
Navicula	3	Oscillatoria chlorina	2
Nitzschia	3	Oscillatoria limosa	4
Oscillatoria	5	Oscillatoria princeps	1
Pandorina	1	Oscillatoria putrida	1
Phacus	2	Oscillatoria tenuis	4
Phormidium	1	Pandorina morum	3
Scenedesmus	4	Scenedesmus quadricauda	4
Stigeoclonium	2	Stigeoclonium tenue	3
Synedra	2	Synedra ulna	3

extremely tolerant forms. Palmer based the index numbers on occurrence records and/or where emphasized by the authors as being especially tolerant of organic pollution.

In analyzing a water sample, any of the 20 genera or species of algae present in concentrations of 50 per milliliter or more are recorded. The pollution index numbers of the algae present are totaled, providing a genus score and a species score. Palmer determined that a score of 20 or more for either index can be taken as evidence of high organic pollution, while a score of 15 to 19 is taken as probable evidence of high organic pollution. Lower figures suggest that the organic pollution of the sample is not high, that the sample is not representative, or that some substance or factor interfering with algal persistence is present and active.

# SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

"Information content" of biological samples is being used commonly by biologists as a measure of diversity. Diversity in this connection means the degree of uncertainty attached to the specific identity of any randomly selected individual. The greater the number of taxa and the more equal their proportions, the greater the uncertainty, and hence, the diversity (Pielou 1966). There are several methods of measuring diversity, e.g., the formulas given by Brillouin (1962) and Shannon and Weaver (1963). The method which is appropriate depends on the type of biological sample on hand.

Pielou (1966) classifies the types of biological samples and gives the measure of diversity appropriate for each type. The Survey phytoplankton samples are what she classifies as larger samples (collections in Pielou's terminology) from which random subsamples can be drawn. According to Pielou, the average diversity per individual (H) for these types of samples can be estimated from the Shannon-Wiener formula (Shannon and Weaver 1963):

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} P_{i} \log_{X} P_{i}$$

where P is the proportion of the ith taxon in the sample, which is calculated from  $n_i/N$ ;  $n_i$  is the number of individuals per milliliter of the ith taxon; N is the total number of individuals per ml; and S is the total number of taxa. However, Basharin (1959) and Pielou (1966) have pointed out that H calculated from the subsample is a biased estimator of the sample H, and if this bias is to be accounted for, we must know the total number of taxa present in the sample since the magnitude of this bias depends on it.

Pielou (1966) suggests that if the number of taxa in the subsample falls only slightly short of the number in the larger sample, no appreciable error will result in considering S, estimated from the subsample, as being equal to the sample value. Even though considerable effort was made to find and identify all taxa, the Survey samples undoubtedly contain a fair number of rare phytoplankton taxa which were not encountered.

In the Shannon-Wiener formula, an increase in the number of taxa and/or an increase in the evenness of the distribution of individuals among taxa will increase the average diversity per individual from its minimal value of zero. Sager and Hasler (1969) found that the richness of taxa was of minor importance in determination of average diversity per individual for phytoplankton and they concluded that phytoplankton taxa in excess of the 10 to 15 most abundant ones have little effect on H. This was verified by our own calculations. Our counts are in number per milliliter and since logarithms to the base 2 were used in our calculations, H is expressed in units of bits per individual. When individuals of a taxon were so rare that they were not counted, a value of 1/130 per milliliter or 0.008 per milliliter was used in the calculations since at least one individual of the taxon must have been present in the collection.

A Survey sample for a given lake represents a composite of all phytoplankton collected at different sampling sites on the lake during a given sampling period. Since the number of samples (M) making up a composite is a function of both the complexity of the lake sampled and its size, it should affect the richness-of-taxa component of the diversity of our phytoplankton collections. The maximum diversity (MaxH) (i.e., when the individuals are distributed among the taxa as evenly as possible) was estimated from log<sub>2</sub> S (Pielou 1966), while the minimum diversity (MinH), was estimated from the formula:

MinH = 
$$-\frac{S-1}{N} \log_2 \frac{1}{N} - \frac{N - (S-1)}{N} \log_2 \frac{N - (S-1)}{N}$$

given by Zand (1976). The total diversity (D) was calculated from HN (Pielou 1966). Also given in Appendix B are L (the mean number of individuals per taxa per milliliter) and K (the number of individuals per milliliter of the most abundant taxon in the sample).

The evenness component of diversity (J) was estimated from H/MaxH (Pielou 1966). Relative evenness (RJ) was calculated from the formula:

$$RJ = \frac{H-MinH}{MaxH-MinH}$$

given by Zand (1976). Zand suggests that RJ be used as a substitute for both J and the redundancy expression given by Wilhm and Dorris (1968). As pointed out by Zand, the redundancy expression given by Wilhm and Dorris does not properly express what it is intended to show, i.e., the position of H in the range between MaxH and MinH. RJ may range from 0 to 1; being 1 for the most even samples and 0 for the least even samples.

Zand (1976) suggests that diversity indices be expressed in units of "sits", i.e., in logarithms to base S (where S is the total number of taxa in the sample) instead of in "bits", i.e., in logarithms to base 2. Zand points out that the diversity index in sits per individual is a normalized number ranging from 1 for the most evenly distributed samples to 0 for the least evenly distributed samples. Also, it can be used to compare different samples, independent of the number of taxa in each. The diversity in bits per

individual should not be used in direct comparisons involving various samples which have different numbers of taxa. Since MaxH equals  $\log S$ , the expression in sits is equal to  $\log_S S$ , or 1. Therefore diversity in sits per individual is numerically equivalent to J, the evenness component for the Shannon-Wiener formula.

# SPECIES OCCURRENCE AND ABUNDANCE

The alphabetic phytoplankton species list for each lake, presented in Appendix B, gives the concentrations of individual species by sampling date. Concentrations are in cells, colonies, or filaments (CEL, COL, FIL) per milliliter. An "X" after a species name indicates that the species identified in the preliminary examination was in such a low concentration that it did not appear in the count. A blank space indicates that the organism was not found in the sample collected on that date. Column S is used to designate the examiner's subjective opinion of the five dominant taxa in a sample, based upon relative size and concentration of the organism. The percent column (%C) presents, by abundance, the percentage composition of each taxon.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Basharin, G. P. 1959. On a statistical estimate for the entropy of a sequence of independent random variables, pp. 333-336. <a href="In: Theory of Probability and Its Applications">Its Applications</a> (translation of "Teoriya Veroyatnosei i ee Premeneniya"). N. Artin (ed). 4. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia.
- Brillouin, L. 1962. Science and Information Theory (2nd ed.). Academic Press, New York. 351 pp.
- Hutchinson, G. E. 1967. A Treatise on Limnology. II. Introduction to Lake Biology and the Limnoplankton. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 1,115 pp.
- Nygaard, G. 1949. Hydrobiological studies of some Danish ponds and lakes. II. (K danske Vidensk. Selsk.) Biol. Sci. 7:293.
- Palmer, C. M. 1969. A composite rating of algae tolerating organic pollution. J. Phycol. 5:78-82.
- Pielou, E. C. 1966. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. J. Theor. Biol. 13:131-144.
- Prescott, G. W. 1970. How to Know the Freshwater Algae. William C. Brown Company, Dubuque. 348 pp.
- Sager, P. E., and A. D. Hasler. 1969. Species diversity in lacustrine phytoplankton. I. The components of the index of diversity from Shannon's formula. Amer. Natur. 103(929):51-59.
- Shannon, C. E., and W. Weaver. 1963. The Mathematical Theory of Communication. University of Illinois Press, Urbana. 117 pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1975. National Eutrophication Survey Methods 1973-1976. Working Paper No. 175. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada, and Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon. 91 pp.
- Wilhm, V. L., and T. C. Dorris. 1968. Biological parameters for water quality criteria. Bio-Science. 18:477.
- Zand, S. M. 1976. Indexes associated with information theory in water quality. J. Water Pollut. Contr. Fed. 48(8):2026-2031.

# APPENDIX A PHYTOPLANKTON SPECIES LIST FOR THE STATE OF OREGON

Achnanthes exigua Anabaena planctonica Ankistrodesmus falcatus Ankistrodesmus falcatus

v. acicularis Ankistrodesmus falcatus

V. mirabilis
Aphanizomenon flos-aquae
Asterionella formosa
Ceratium hirundinella
Ceratium hirundinella

f. piburgense Ceratium hirundinella

f. scotticum Chlamydomonas Closteridium Closterium Cocconeis Coelastrum microporum Coelosphaerium naegelianum Cryptomonas erosa Cryptomonas ovata Cyclotella glomerata Cymatopleura solea Cymbella minuta Dactylococcopsis irregularis Diatoma vulgare Dinobryon sociale Epithemia sorex Epithemia turgida Eualena Eunotia Fragilaria capucina

V. mesolepta
Fragilaria crotonensis
Glenodinium gymnodinium
Glenodinium oculatum
Gomphonema acuminatum
Gomphonema olivaceum
Gomphonema parvulum

Gymnodinium fuscum Melosira granulata Melosira granulata

V. angustissima
Melosira italica
Melosira varians
Microcystis aeruginosa
Navicula cuspidata
Navicula tripunctata
Navicula tripunctata

V. schizonemoides
Nitzschia acicularis
Nitzschia apiculata
Nitzschia vermicularis
Oocystis
Pandorina morum
Pediastrum boryanum
Pediastrum duplex

V. clathratum
Peridinium inconspicuum
Peridinium umbonatum
Phormidium mucicola
Rhoicosphenia curvata
Scenedesmus acuminatus
Scenedesmus bijuga
Scenedesmus quadricauda
Schroederia setigera
Skeletonema potamos
Sphaerocystis schroeteri
Staurastrum
Stephanodiscus astraea

V. minutula
Stephanodiscus dubius
Stephanodiscus niagarae
Surirella ovata
Synedra ulna
Tabellaria fenestrata
Tetraedron minimum
Trachelomonas

# APPENDIX B. SUMMARY OF PHYTOPLANKTON DATA

This appendix was generated by computer. Because it was only possible to use upper case letters in the printout, all scientific names are printed in upper case and are not italicized.

The alphabetic phytoplankton lists include taxa without species names (e.g., EUNOTIA, EUNOTIA #1, FLAGELLATE, FLAGELLATES, MICROCYSTIS INCERTA?, CHLOROPHYTAN COCCOID CELLED COLONY). When species determinations were not possible, symbols or descriptive phrases were used to separate taxa for enumeration purposes. Each name on a list, however, represents a unique species different from any other name on the same list, unless otherwise noted, for counting purposes.

Numbers were used to separate unidentified species of the same genus. A generic name listed alone is also a unique species. A question mark (?) is placed immediately after the portion of a name which was assigned with uncertainty. Numbered, questioned, or otherwise designated taxa were established on a lake-by-lake basis; therefore NAVICULA #2 from lake A cannot be compared to NAVICULA #2 from lake B. Pluralized categories (e.g., FLAGELLATES, CENTRIC DIATOMS, SPP.) were used for counting purposes when taxa could not be properly differentiated on the counting chamber.

#### LAKE NAME: BROWNLEF RES, STORET NUMBER: 4101

# NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	04 09	75	09 04	75	09 15	75
MYXODHYCEAN	0/0	0	3.00	E	01/0	E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	02/0	E	1.00	E	0/0	0
EUGLENOPHYTE	0.50	E	0/04	7	0/01	7
DIATOM	0.17	?	3.00	E	2.00	E
COMPOUND	05/0	E	7.00	E	03/0	E

# PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	04 09 75	08 04 75	09 15 75
GENUS	04	01	01
SPECIES	00	00	00

# SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

	DATE	04 09 75	08 04 75	09 15 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	0.86	1.02	1,22
AXAT TO REGNUM	5	19.00	12.00	7.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	5.00	5,00	5.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	4,25	3.58	2.81
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.02	0.08	0.03
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	9568,36	1681,98	3766.14
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	11126.00	1649.00	3087.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.20	0.28	0.43
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.20	0.27	0.43
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	585,58	137,42	441.00
NUMBER/ML OF MUST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	9749.00	1312.00	2254,00

				04 09 75			08 04 75			09 15 75
TAXA	F ORM	! ! 18	<b>e</b> c	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 1 1 5	<b>€</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1	<b>€</b> C	ALGAL Units Per ML
ANKISTRODESHUS FAUCATUS	CEL	77	1	x				-7-	ī	<del></del>
APHANIZOMENON FLOS-AQUAE	FIL	ii	i		1 1	i	X	13	110.1	312
ASTERIONELLA FORMOSA	CEL	121	5.41	603	1 1			i	1	1
CERATIUN HIRUNDINELLA		i i	1		1 1	ì		1	i i	ĺ
F. PIBURGENAE	CEL	1 1	i		i i	i	X	i	i i	ĺ
CHROOMONAS ? ACUTA	CEL	ii	0.31	34	141	9.11	150	i	i i	x
OCCONEIS	CEL	ii		X	1 1	i		ì	i i	· ·
HYPTOMONAS	CEL	ii	i		131	2.21	37	ì	i i	ĺ
YMATOPLEURA BOLEA	CEL	1.1	0.31	34	1 1	1		ì	i	i
IATOHA VULGARE	CEL	ii		X		i		i		i
IIGI,ENA	CEL	1 1	i	Ä	ii	i		i		
RAGILARIA CROTONENSIS	CEL	ii	0.6		iıi	79.61	1312	i	i	
LENODINIUM GYMNODINIUM	CEL	ii	0.31	34				ī	i i	i
OMPHONEMA #1	CEL	ii	- 4	x	ii	i		i		
OMPHONEMA #2	CEL	ii	i	x	ii	i		i		
ELUBIRA GRANULATA	CEL	151	0.91		121	9.11	150	iı	173.0	2254
ELOSIRA ITALICA	CEL	ii	.,		ii				114,6	
ICROCYSTIS AERUGINOSA	COL	ii	i		: i	;	x	i-		431
AVICULA TRIPUNCTATA	CEL		1,91	168	ii	·	•		i 1.1	35
ITESCHIA VERMICULARIS	CEL	ii	7,00	X	ii	- ;		•	:	, ,,
HURNIDIUM NUCICOLA	Pil	ii	i	•	: :	:	x	•	: :	
CENEDESMUS ACUMINATUS	COL	ii	0.3	34	ii	:	•	i	:	
CHPODERIA BETIGERA	CEL	ii	••••	,	ii	i			i 1.1	35
CHROEDERIA BETIGERA	CEL	1 1	i			:	x			, ,,
KELETONEMA PUTAMOS	CEL	ii	i		; ;		â	:	i	
TAURASTRUM	CEL	1 1	i			:	â	:	: :	
TEPHANOPISCUS	CEL	ii	i		- ; ;	:	î	:	: :	
TEPHANODIRCUS ASTRAEA		ii	ī		- i i	:	•	:	: :	
V. MINUTULA	CEL	iıi	07.6i	9749	: i	:		:	: :	1
UPIRELLA UVATA	CEL		2,11	235	ii	:		:		1
YNEDRA ULNA	CEL	ii		, X	ii	:		i	: :	
ABELLARIA FENESTRATA	CEL	ii	0.6	67	i i	:		:	: :	) 
OOSPORE	CEL	ii	1	•	1 1	i		i	i :	x
TOTAL				11126			1649			3087

# NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

PATE 07 16 75 10 31 75

MYXOPHYCEAN 01/0 E 1.00 E CHLOROPHYCEAN 0/0 D 2.00 E EUGLENOPHYTE 0/01 ? 0/03 ? DIATOM 0.60 E 0.09 ? COMPOUND 04/0 E 4.00 E

# PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE 07 16 75 10 31 75

GENUS 00 01 SPECIES 00 00

# SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

DATE U7 16 75 10 31 75

AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	0.22	1.71
NUMBER OF TAXA	5	10.00	29.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	2.00	2.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	3.32	4,32
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.05	0,25
TUTAL DIVERSITY	D	478.50	1456,92
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	2175.00	852,00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.07	0.40
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.06	0,36
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	217,50	42,60
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	2113.00	402,00

# CONTINUED

		07 16			75 10 31 75			
TAXA	FORM	1 1 1 5	<b>€</b> C	ALGAL Units Per ML		•c	ALGAL Units Per Ml	! !
ACHNANTHES EXIGUA	CEL	1			1 1		<del></del> x	
ANABAENA	FIL		1.4	31		2.8		•
ASTERIONELLA FORMOSA	CEL		97.1		i i		• •	- ;
CHRODHUNAS ?	CEL		1.4			:		•
CHROOMONAS ? ACUTA	CEL	i			: i	5.5	47	•
COCCONEIS	CEL	i			: :	3,31	Ϋ́	•
CRYPTOHONAS	CEL	- i i			; ;	:	Ŷ	•
CYCLOTELLA	CEL		:	×	ii	•	•	•
CYCLOTELLA GLOMERATA	CEL	•		_		30.81	331	:
CYMBELLA	CEL			x		2.81		•
EPITHENIA	CEL	- : :		_		2.01		:
EPITHEMIA TURGIDA	CEL			X	ii			:
FRAGILARIA CAPUCINA	CEL			^	ii			:
Y. MESOLEPTA	CEL		:		: i		·	:
FRAGILARIA CROTONENSIS	CEL	•		x	: :		X	:
GOMPHONEMA ACUMINATUM	CEL	•		•	: :	•	x	:
MELDSIRA	CEL	•		x	: :	•		•
WAVICULA #1	CEL	- : :		^	: :		x	•
NAVICULA #2	CEL	- 1 3			: :	•	X	•
MAVICULA CUSPIDATA	CEL	- : :		×	: :	•	^	:
PANDORINA HORUM	COP	- : :		•	: :	•		•
PENNATE DIATON	CEL	- : :			- : :	•	X	•
RHOICOSPHENIA CURVATA	CEL	- : :			: :	•	â	•
SCENEDESNUS QUADRICAUDA	COL	- : :			!!	•	X	•
SCHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	- : :			: :	•		•
STAURASTRUM	CEL	- 1 1			!!		X	•
STEPHANDISCUS NIAGARAF	CFL	- ! !			!	47.2	X 402	•
STEPHANDDISCUS	CEL	- 1 1		x	11	17.21	402	i
TOTAL				2175			852	

# NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	04 08	75	08 04	75	09 15	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/0	0	01/0	E	1,00	E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	02/0	E	02/0	E	3.00	£
EUGLENOPHYTE	1.00	Ē	0/03	?	0/04	?
DIATOM	0.23	?	1.50	E	0.50	E
COMPOUND	07/0	E	06/0	E.	7.00	

# PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	04 08 75	09 04 75	09 15 75
GENUS	06	01	01
BPECIES	00	00	00

# SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

	DATE	04 08 75	08 04 75	09 15 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	0.90	1,54	1.40
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	24.00	9,00	19.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	3,00	3.00	3.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	4,58	3,17	4,25
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.04	0.01	0.14
TOTAL DIVERSITY	Ð	7497.90	17845.52	2123.80
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	8331.00	11588.00	1517.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.20	0.49	0.33
PELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.19	0.49	0.31
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	347.13	1287.56	79.84
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	ĸ	7332,00	6928.00	795.00

				04 OR 75			08 04 75			09 15 75
TAXA	FORM	! ! !8	<b>1</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1	€C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	!	<b>€</b> C	ALGAL Units Per ML
ANABARA	FIL	77	1							<u> </u>
ANKISTRUDESHUS FALCATUS	CEL	ii		37	ii			ii		
APHANIZONENON FLOS-AQUAE	FIL	ii	i	•	141		122	ii		
ASTERIONELLA FORMOSA	CEL	izi	1,6	296	iii		608	i i		Æ
CERATIUM HIRUNDINEGLA	CEL	ii	i	•	ii		400	: i		î
CHROOMONAS ?	CEL	1 1	i		ii	•		12	52.4	798
CLOSTERIDIUM	CEL	ii	i		ii			11		7,75
COCCONEIS	CEL	ii	·	x	ii			11	•	î
CRYPTOMONAS EROSA	CEL	ii	0.9	74	151		162	11		î
CRYPTOMONAS OVATA	CEL	ii	***		ii		104		2.4	36
CIMBELLA #1	CEL	ii	:	x	ii	-			4,41	36
CIMBELLA 12	CEL	ii	:	x	ii			- : :		
CYMBELLA MINUTA	CEL	ii	:	^		-		!!		_
DIATONA VULGARE	CEL	ii	:		1 1					K.
DINOBRYON SOCIALE	CEL		!		1 1			1 1		X
EUGLENA	CEL	!!	0.41	37	! !			1 1		
FRAGILARIA 81		-!!	0.91	74	1 1			1 1	1	
FRAGILARIA CROTONENSIS	CEL	1.1	!	X	1.1			1 1	ı	
GOMPHONEMA OLIVACEUM	CEL	131	1.31	111		20.01	6928	1 1	1	X
MELOSIRA GRANULATA		1.!	!	X	1 1			1 1		
MELOSIRA VARIANS	CEL	151	1.01	140		28.71	3322		40,51	
NAVICULA 01	CEL	!!	!		1 1	•		1 1		X
NAVICULA #2	CEL	!!	i	X	1 1	•		1 1		
NITZBCHIA #1	CEL	1 1		x	1 1			11		
NITZSCHIA ACICULARIS	CEL	!!	!	X	1 1			1 1	1	
MITESCHIA APICULATA	CEL	1 !	0.41	37	1 1	•		-1-1		
	CEL	1 1	1	X	1 1			1.1		
PED LASTRUM DUPLEX		1 1	1		1 1			1 1		
V. CLATHRATUN	COL	1 1	ı		1 1			-1-1		X
PERIDINIUM	CEL	1 1	0.41	37	1 1			1 1		
SCENEDERNUS	COF	1 1	ŧ	X	1 1			1 1		
SCHRUEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	1 1			1 1	1	X	1 1	1	
SHRUEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	1 1	ţ		1 1	1		151	2,41	35
SKELETONEMA PUTAMOS	CEL	1 1	- 1		1 1	3,51	405	1 1	1	
SPHAEROCYSTIS SCHROETERI	COL	1 1	ı		1 1	1	X	1 1		X
STAURASTRUM	CEL	1 1	ı		1 1	1		1 1		x
STEPHANUDISCUS	CEL	1 4	- 1		1 1	0.41	41	131	2.4	36
STEPHANODISCUS #1	CEF	1 1		X	1 1	1		1 (	Ì	
STEPHANODISCUS DUBIUS	CEL	1 1	1	X	1 1			1 1	Ì	
STEPHANODISCUS SPP.	CEL	111	10.08	7332	1 1	i		1 1	ì	
SURIRELLA OVATA	CEL		1,31	111	ii			ii	i	
BYNEDRA	CEL	ii	i		ii	i		ii	ì	x
TRACHEDOMUNAS	CEL	ii	0.41	37	ii			ii	i i	-
TUTAL				0331			1150R			1517

# LAKE NAME: HILLS CREEK RES. STORET NUMBER: 4104

# NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	03 28	75	07 16	75	10 30	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/0	0	0/0	0	0/0	0
CHLOROPHYCEAN	0/0	0	01/0	E	0/0	0
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/0	?	0/01	?	0/0	2
DIATOM	0/0	?	0/03	7	1.00	Ē
COMPOUND	0/0	Ó	01/0	Ē	02/0	F

# PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	03 28 75	07 16 75	10 30 75	
GENUS SPECIES	00	00	05 00	

# SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

	DATE	03 28 75	07 16 75	10 30 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	0.37	1.16	0.89
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	2.00	7.00	7.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	2.00	2.00	2,00
MAX1MUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	1.00	2.81	2.81
MINIMUM DIVERBITY	MINH	0.04	0.04	0.02
TOTAL DIVERSITY	ā	87.32	2459,20	4258.65
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	236.00	2120.00	4785.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.37	0.41	0.32
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.35	0.41	0.32
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	Ĺ	118.00	302.86	683.57
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	219.00	1569.00	4118.00

# LAKE NAME: HIGHS CREEK RES, CONTINUED STORET NUMBER: 4104

			03 28 75		07 16 75		10 30 75
TAXA	FORM	1 18	ALGAL UNITS C PER MT,	 	ALGAL UNITE PER ML	1 1 18 %C	ALGAL 1 UNITS 1 PFR ML 1
ANKISTRODESMUS FALCATUS	CEL	11	1	1 1	X	1 1 1	
ASTERIONELLA FORMOSA	CEL	ii	i	13110.01	212	121 4.71	223
CENTRIC DIATON	CEL	ii	i	11		151 2.31	111 i
CERATIUM HIRUNDINELLA I. SCOTTICUM	CEL	1 1	i	1 1 1	X	1 1	i
CHLANYDOMONAS	CEL	ii	i	i i i		141 2.31	111 i
CHRUOMUNAS T ACUTA	CEL	11192.	81 219	141 2.0	42	1 1 1.51	74 1
CRIPTONONAS	CEL	121 7.	21 17	12114.0	297	1 1 1	i
FLAGELLATE	CEL	1.1	i	11	1	1 1	x i
FRAGILARIA CROTONENSIS	CEL	ii	i	11174.0	1569	131 3,11	148 (
GOMPHONEMA	CEL	1 1	i	11	ı x	1 1 1	
MELOSIRA GRANULATA		ii	i	11	i	ilı	
Y, ANGUSTISSIMA	CEL	i i	i	ii	i	11196,11	4110 j
TOTAL			236		2120		4785

# LAKE NAME: OWYHEE STORET NUMBER: 4105

# NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	04 08	75	08 01	75	09 16	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	01/0	£	04/0	E	01/0	E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	01/0	E	02/0	£	0/0	0
EUGLENOPHYTE	0.50	E	0.17	?	0/01	?
DIATOM	0.50	E	1.00	E	2,00	E
COMPOUND	05/0	E	09/0	E	03/0	3

# PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	04 08 75	08 01 75	09 16 75
GENUS	0 6	05	00
SPECIES	0 0	00	

# SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

	DATE	04 08 75	08 01 75	09 16 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	2.40	2.08	0.92
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	13.00	13.00	8.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	4.00	4.00	4.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	3.70	3.70	3.00
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.20	0,18	0.12
TOTAL DIVERSITY	υ	1569.60	1474.72	563,96
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	654.00	709.00	613.00
EVENNESS COMPUNENT	J	0.65	0.56	0.31
RELATIVE EVENNESS	ŖJ	0.63	0.54	0.28
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	50.31	54.54	76.63
NUMBERIAL OF MUST ABUNDANT TAXON	ĸ	207.00	304.00	409.00

# CONTINUED

				04 08 75			08 01 75			09 16 75	
TAKA	FORM	1 18	<b>9</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	! !	<b>€</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	! !	•c	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 1
ANADAENA	FIL	7-7					<u>x</u>			<del></del>	7
APHANIZUMENON FLOS-AQUAE	FIL	ii	·			14.21			66.71	409	i
CERATIUM HIRUNDINELLA	CEL	ii	;		1 1	1			i i	X	i
CHLAMYDOMONAS	CEL		10.6	69	- 1	; ;		· i ·	ii	••	i
CHROOMONAS 7 ACUTA	CEL		31.71		- 1			•	33.3	204	i
COELOSPHAERIUM NAEGELIANUM	COL	i'i	•••	•••	- 11	42.9	304	i-		•••	i
CRYPTOMONAS	CEL	- ; ;	:		1		300	·		X	i
CRYPTOMONAS EROSA	780	isi	5,21	34		21.4	152	·		-	·
CYCLOTELLA	CEL		31.7		i		, , ,	i	ii		·
EUGLENA	CEL	- 1 - 1	,			: :	x	·			·
FRAGILARIA CROTONENSIS	CEL	- ; ;	:			: :	î	•	•		i
GLENODINIUM #1	CEL	i i	5,2	34			•	i			·
GLENDDINIUM #2	CEL	i i			i	•		•	•	x	•
GOMPHONEMA	CEL	- ; ;	·		•	i		•		x	- ;
GOMPHONEMA PARVULUM	CEL	ii	5.2	34	•	i		•		^	·
MELOSIRA GRANULATA	CEL	: i				i		i	;	X	·
MELOBIRA GRANULATA		- ; ;			·	;		- ;	;	•	·
V. ANGUSTISSIMA	CEL	: i		x	·	i	x	i	i	i	i
NAVICULA	CEL	ii	1	, ,	i	i	-	i			i
NITESCHIA	CEL	ii	,	_	i		i x	i	i	i	i
PENNATE DIATON	CEL	ii		x	i		, "	i	i		i
PERIDINUM UMBONATUM	CEL	ii			i		X X	i	i		i
PHORMIDIUM	FIL		10.6	69	i	i	í	i	i	i	i
PHORMIDIUM MUCICOLA	FIL	171		, ,	- 14	114.2	101	i	ì		i
RHDICOSPHENIA CURVATA	CEL	ii		i x	i	1		i	i	i	i
SCENEDERHUS BIJUGA	COL	ii		i "	•	7.2		i	ì	i	i
SCHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	ii		X X		i	ΪX	i	i	l .	ì
STEPHANODISCUS	CEL	íi		i	ì	i	1	i	i	i x	i
TRACHELOMONAS	CEL	ii		i x	i	i	i	i	i	i	i
TOTAL				654			709			613	

# LAKE NAME: OXBOW RES. STORET NUMBER: 4106

# NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	04 09	75	08 04	75	09 15	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/0	0	01/0	E	0/02	0
CHLOROPHYCEAN	03/0	E	0/0	O.	2.00	E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0.33	E	0/01	?	0/04	?
DIATOM	0.37	E	0.50	Ē	0.67	E:
COMPOUND	07/0	E	04/0	E	3.00	E

# PALMER'S URGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	04 09 75	08 04 75	09 15 75
GENUS	01	00	02
SPECIES	00	00	00

# SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

	DATE	04 09 75	08 04 75	09 15 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	0.69	0.36	0.62
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	17.00	11.00	12.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	3.00	3,00	3.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	MAXH	4.09	3.46	3.58
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.04	0.22	0.14
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	4351.83	167.04	565.44
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	6307.00	464.00	912.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.17	0.10	0.17
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.17	0.05	0.14
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	Ĺ	371.00	42.18	76.00
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	ĸ	5621.00	433,00	807.00

# CONTINUED

				04 09 75			08 04 75			09 15 75
TAXA	FORM	1 1 5	<b>♦</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 1 18	<b>1</b> C	ALGAU Unite Per Mu	1 18	<b>1</b> C	ALGAL Unite Per ML
ANKIBERGDESHUS FALCATUS	********	11						1		
V. ACICULARIS	CEL	i i	i	X	ii			i		
ANKISTRODESMUS PALCATUR		1.1	ŧ		i i	i		i	i	
V. KIRABILIB	CEL	1.1	ı	X	1 1	1		1	l i	
APHANIZOMENON PLOS-AGUAE	F11	1.1	1		1 1	ı	X	i	ı i	
ASTERIONELLA FORMOSA	CEL	121	4.81	300	1.1	1		1		
CHROOPONAS 7	CFL	151	0.71	43	1 1	i		i	i	
CLOSTERIUM	CEL	- 1 1	1		1 1			i	ıi	X
COCCOPEIB	CEL	1.1	i	X	1.1	i		i	ii	
CUELASTRUM MICROPORUM	COL	1.1	ĺ		1.1			i	i i	x
CRYPTOMONAS	CEL	1.1	i		ii		x	i	i	
CPYPTOMONAR EROBA	CEL	1.1	í		i i	i		13		35
CYCLOTELLA	CEL	1.1	i	X	i i			12		
EUGLENA	CEL	1 1	0.7	43	i	i		i	i '''i	
TPAGILARIA	CEL	ii	i		1		x	i	i	
FPAGILARIA CROTONENSIS	CEL	1 1	i	X	111	93.3		i	i	
GLENODINIUM OCULATUM	CEL	1 1	i	X	1			i	i i	i
MELOSIRA GRANULATA	CEL	1 1	i		iii	1	x	-		807
MELOSIPA ITALICA	C E I	131	4.11	257	i	i	<del></del>	i-	1	, ,,
MELDSIPA VARIANS	CEL	1 1	· i		i	i i	x	i	i	í
NAVICULA	CEL	ii	ì		i	i i	Ÿ	-	i	i x
NAVICULA TRIPUNCTATA		ii	i		1 1		-		i i	•
V. BCHIZONENDICLES	CEL	ii	i	X	i			i		
MAVICULA TRIPUNCTATA		ii	i		i i			:	:	·
V. SCHIZONENDIDES	CEL	ii	i		i i		x	;		
WITZSCHIA	CEL	ii	ì	x	i		-			
NITESCHIA VERMICULARIS	CEL	ii	i	, ,	i			•	:	
ODCYSTIS	CEL	ii	i		1			i	: :	x
PEDIASTRUM BORGANUM	COL	ii	i	x	iii			i		^
PEDIAFTRUM DUPLEX		ii	i		i			i		1
V. CLATHRATUM	COL	ii	i					·	: :	i x
RHUICUBPHENIA CURVATA	ČEL	ii	i		i :		x	i		•
SPHAEROCYSTIS SCHPOETERI	COL	ii	i		1		•	i	i i	×
ATAUPASTPUM	CEL	ii	i		1	i i		i	i	ī
STEPHANODISCUS	CEL	• •	••.i	5621	12	6.7	31	i	i	•
SURIRELLA	CEL	141			1			i	: :	
SYMEDRA ULNA	CLL	ii	/ 1	•	- 1		•	•	ì	i x
TABELLARIA FENESTRATA	CEL	ii	i	X	1				i	i ^
TOTAL				6307			464	-		912

# LAKE NAME: SUTTLE LAKE STURET NUMBER: 4107

NYGAARD 1	TROPHIC	STATE	INDICES
-----------	---------	-------	---------

DATE	03 28	75	07 16	75	10 31	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	02/0	E	01/0	E	0/01	0
CHLOROPHYCEAN	0/0	D	0/0	0	3.00	E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/02	?	0/01	?	0/03	7
DIATOM	0.75	Ē	0.67	E	0.40	Ē
COMPOUND	05/0	E	03/0	E	-	E

# PALMER'S URGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	03 28 75	07 16 75	10 31 75
GENUB	01	00	00
8PECIES	00	00	00

# SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

	DATE	03 28 75	07 16 75	10 31 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	0.62	0.35	2,31
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	11.00	7.00	17.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	1.00	1.00	1.00
MAXIMUM DIVEPSITY	HXAM	3.46	2.81	4.09
MINIMUM DIVERBITY	MINH	0.08	0.05	0.11
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	970.30	473.55	3917.76
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/MU	N	1565.00	1353.00	1696.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.18	0.12	0.56
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.16	0.11	0.56
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	142.27	193.29	99.76
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	1374.00	1263,00	637.00

# CONTINUED

		03 20 75			07 16 75				10 31 75		
TAXA	FORM	1 18	<b>QC</b>	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 18	•c	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1	<b>\$</b> C	ALGAL UNITS PER NG	1 1
AMABAENA	FIL			<u>x</u>	11						
ANABAENA PLANCTONICA	FIL	ii	:	•		93.3	1263	i i	i		i
ANABENA	711	ii	i		171	,,,,,		i i	i	1	i
ANABERA PLANCTONICA	FIL	ii	·		ii			_ i i	i	ñ	i
ABTERIONELLA FORMOSA	CEL	ii	i			6.7	90	151	2.1	35	i
CERATIUM HIRUNDINELLA	CEL	- ; ;			1 1	••••	x	i		••	ì
CHLOPOPHITAN FILAMENT	FIL	- ; ;	:		- ; ;	- 1		iii	2.1	35	- ;
CHRODHONAS ?	CEL	- ; ;	:		- ; ;				16.71		•
COCCONEIS	ČĒL	ii	i		: i	i			2.11		· i
CRYPTOMUNAS EROBA	CEL	- ; ;	:		: :	,	<u>.</u> 1	-	6.2	-	·
CYMBELLA	CEL	- : :	:		- : :		, 1			X	:
DACTYLPCOCCOPSIS IRREGULARIS	CEL	isi	7.0	153	- ; ;					•	·
EPITHEMIA SOREL	CEL	171	-,,,,		ii					<b>.</b>	:
EPITHEMIA TURGIDA	CEL	: i	•	X	- i i		;		: :	•	·
FRAGILARIA	CEL	: i	:	x	- ; ;						·
FRAGILARIA CRUTONENSIS	CEL	ii		•	i i		i x	12	29.2	495	i
FRAGILARTA	CEL	ii	i		ii		· ·	1	1	X	i
GLENODINIUM OCULATUM	CEL	ii	ï	x			1	- i :	i	. ~	i
GYMNODINIUM FUSCUM	CEL	_ i i	i	Ÿ	- ; ;		i	i	i	i	i
MELOSIRA GRANULATA	CEL	iii	87.8	1374	- i i		i	i	i	i	i
MELOBIRA ITALICA	CET	ii		i i				i		i x	i
DOCYSTIS	CEL	ii			i i			i	i	î	i
SPHAEROCYSTIS SCHROLTERI	COL	- i i			i i		i	i	2,1		i
STAURASTRUM	CEL	- ; ;			- i i	i	i	i	1	i k	- 1
STEPHANODISCUS NIAGAPAE	CEL	ii		x	- ; ;			i,	137.6		i
STREDRA	ČEL		2.4			i	i î	ï	1	i	i
STREDRA ULNA	CEL	i-i		X	ii	i	i ^	i	i i	i	i
TETRAEDRON MINIMUM	CEL	i i		i	i i		ī	•	2.1	) 5	i
TOTAL				1565			1353			1696	

#### LAKE NAME: WALDO LAKE STORET NUMBER: 4108

#### NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE 07 16 75 10 31 75

NYXOPHYCEAN 0/0 0 0/0 0

CHLOROPHYCEAN 0/0 0 0/0 0

EUGLENOPHYTE 0/0 7 0/0 7

DIATOM 0,20 7 0,50 E

COMPOUND 01/0 E 01/0 E

#### PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE 07 16 75 10 31 75

GENUS 00 00

APECIES 00 00

#### SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

07 16 75 10 31 75 DATE AVERAGE DIVERSITY 1.49 1.81 н NUMBER OF TAXA 5 7.00 4,00 2.00 NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED 2.00 MAXIMUM DIVERSITY MAXH 2.81 2,00 MINIMUM DIVERSITY MINH 1.78 0,59 57,92 TOTAL DIVERSITY D 25.33 TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML 17.00 32,00 0.53 0.91 EVENNESS COMPONENT J Rj -0.28 RELATIVE EVENNESS 0.87 MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA L NUMBER/ML OF HOST ABUNDANT TAXON K A.00 2.43 9.00 12.00

LAKE NAME: WALDO LAKE STORET NUMBER: 4108

# CONTINUED

		07 16 75			10 31 75			
TAXA	FORM	1	•c	ALGAL UNITS PER HL	1	•c	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 1
ASTERIONETILA FORMOSA	CEL	1111	52,91	y	111	37.51	12	•
CYMBELLA	CEL	1 1	ı	X	1 1	- 1		- 1
EUNOTIA	CEL	1 1	1	X	1 1	1		ı
GLENODINIUM OCULATUM	CEL	1 1	1		141	12,51	•	1
MELOBIRA ITALICA	CEL	1.1	1		121	37,51	12	- 1
NAVICULA CUSPIDATA	CEL	i i	i	X	1 1			- 1
PERIDINIUM INCOMSPICUUM	CEL	121	23.51	4	1 1	. 1		
STEPHANODISCUS	CEL	ii	<b>,</b> - i	x	ii	i		i
SYNEDRA	CEL	131	23,5Ï	4	131	12,5	4	1
TOTAL				17			32	

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA (Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)						
1. REPORT NO. EPA-600/3-79-119	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.				
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5. REPORT DATE December 1979				
DISTRIBUTION OF PHYTOPLANKT	ON IN OREGON LAKES	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE				
7. AUTHOR(S) W.D. Taylor, L.R. Williams, F.A. Morris, and M.K. Morri	, S.C. Hern, V.W. Lambou,	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.				
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AN Environmental Monitoring ar	nd Support Laboratory	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 1BD884				
Office of Research and Deve U.S. Environmental Protecti Las Vegas, NV 89114	ion Agency	11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.				
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADD U.S. Environmental Protection	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED					
Office of Research and Deve Environmental Monitoring ar	·	14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE				
Las Vegas, NV 89114	id Support Eaboratory	EPA/600/07				

#### 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

# 16. ABSTRACT

This is a data report presenting the species and abundance of phytoplankton in the 8 lakes sampled by the National Eutrophication Survey in the State of Oregon. Results from the calculation of several water quality indices are also included (Nygaard's Trophic State Index, Palmer's Organic Pollution Index, and species diversity and abundance indices).

7. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS								
a. DESCRIPTORS	c. COSATI Field/Group							
*aquatic microbiology lakes *phytoplankton water quality	Oregon lake eutrophication Nygaard's trophic indices Palmer's organic pollu- tion indices Species diversity and abundance	06 C, M 08 H 13 B						
RELEASE TO PUBLIC	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report)  UNCLASSIFIED  20. SECURITY CLASS (This page)  UNCLASSIFIED	21. NO. OF PAGES 36 22. PRICE						