# SURFACE COAL MINING IN THE NORTHERN GREAT PLAINS OF THE WESTERN UNITED STATES



AN INTRODUCTION AND INVENTORY UTILIZING AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

COLLECTED IN 1974-75

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY

ROCKY MOUNTAIN - PRAIRIE REGION
REGION VIII



## SURFACE COAL MINING IN THE NORTHERN GREAT PLAINS OF THE WESTERN UNITED STATES

An Introduction and Inventory Utilizing Aerial Photography Collected in 1974 and 1975

June, 1976

#### Prepared by:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

★Office of Energy Activities★
Region VIII, Denver, Colorado
★Office of Energy, Minerals, and Industry★
Office of Research and Development
Washington, D.C.

#### Assisted by:

★Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory★
Las Vegas, Nevada
★States of North Dakota, Wyoming, and Montana★

Report Cooperatively Funded by:
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Denver, Colorado and Washington, D.C.
Old West Regional Commission
Billings, Montana
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Surface Environment and Mining Program
Billings, Montana

#### **PREFACE**

Approximately one half of the nation's coal resources, forty percent of the now economically recoverable uranium resources, and all of the present-day commercially feasible oil shale resources are contained within a six state area of the western sector. This six state area encompases the states of Colorado, Montana, North and South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming. Also widespread in this area are present and future energy resources in the form of oil, gas, "tight gas", tar sands, geothermal, and hydropower developments. The existence of somewhat ideal conditions have led to experimentation in the utilization of wind and solar power within the area - another immense and virtually untapped resource. Major-scale energy initiations dependent upon these resources are presently occurring and proposed.

Other valuable resources play equally important roles within the six-state area. These resources include vast and fertile agricultural lands, extensive wildlife habitat and production areas, wild and scenic areas, national park areas, national forests, and abundant natural beauty. The area is not industrialized. Settlement has, however, been typically and greatly influenced by natural resource developments within the area such as mining.

In order to establish the environmental datum and management processes necessary for the orderly and sound development of the area's immense energy resources, the EPA has established a regional energy-environment program contained within the early stages of regional planning. This report is one of a series that provides the interested and concerned reader with a comprehensive understanding for the meaning of near-future extraction of western national coal resources. It is also hoped that this report will provide the proper introduction to a tool in the field of environmentally managed energy development - that of aerial color imagery. It is thought that this aerial color imagery will experience increased and widespread application.

We invite you to share with us your thoughts and experiences as you read and utilize this report. We also wish to express our sincere appreciation to all those public, industrial, and governmental entities which helped so willingly in providing information.

Cooper H. Wayman, Director Office of Energy Activities

John A. Green
Regional Administrator

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tab	les, Plates, and Figuresiii
Abstract	
Chapter 1	Introduction and Background1
Chapter 2	Coal in the Western United States4
Chapter 3	Coal Mining Methods in the Western United States9
Chapter 4	Characteristic Responses of Aerial Photography18
Chapter 5	Inventory of Surface Coal Mines in the Northern Great Plains.   21
Chapter 6	Satellite Imagery134
Chapter 7	Acknowledgements142
Appendix I	Mineral Resource Terminology143

#### LIST OF TABLES, PLATES, AND FIGURES

NUMBER	TITLE	PAGE
TABLES		
1	Comparison of Three Great Plains Coal Other U.S. Coals	
2	General Specifications of Imagery Aqu Systems	isition
3	Aerial Distribution of Land Use - Dav ston Mine	e John-
<u>PLATES</u>		
1	Location of Operating Surface Mines i Northern Great Plains 1974-75	/
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23 24 25	Dave Johnston Mine.  Dave Johnston Mine.  East Antelope Mine.  Belle Ayr Mine.  Belle Ayr Mine.  Wyodak Mine.  Wyodak Mine.  Welch Strip Mine.  Big Horn No. 1 Mine.  Decker No. 1 Mine.  Decker No. 1 Mine.  Absaloka Mine.  Big Sky Mine.  Big Sky Mine.  Rosebud Mine.  Rosebud Mine.  Rosebud Mine.  Savage Mine.  Savage Mine.  Savage Mine.  Savage Mine.  Center Mine.  Center Mine.  Glenharold Mine.	
26 27a	Glenharold Mine Beulah South Mine	

27b 28 29 30 31 32	Beulah North Mine	&130
33	Highland Mine133	
F IGURES		
1	Revegetation of Dave Johnston Mine	
2	Satellite Image of Dave Johnston Mine	
3	Classification Map of Dave Johnston Mine139	
4	A Comparison of Dave Johnston Mine	
	Classification Maps140	

#### **ABSTRACT**

Color aerial photography collected in 1974 and 1975 is presented to portray 21 surface coal mines and one surface uranium mine located in the Northern Great Plains Coal Province of Montana, North Dakota, and Wyoming. Geologic, hydrologic, engineering, operational, and other data are also presented. Through a brief description of surface coal mining operations, the uses of aerial photography, both color and color infrared, are introduced as tools for planning and regulating surface coal mining and secondary impacts thereof. A brief synopsis and example of a related effort involving satellite imagery is provided. The report provides a basis for planning and assessing surface mining of minerals in the interior western states.

#### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

This report is one of a series published dealing with coal mining by the Environmental Protection Agency. Previous reports have dealt with acid mine drainage most typical of many Eastern and Midwestern coal mines. More recently, the Agency has been involved in the full spectrum of pollution control activities surrounding energy development and has concentrated significant effort in assisting other Federal and State authorities in developing standards of performance that provide greater assurance that coal mining will be conducted in a manner that insures environmental protection. In so doing, the EPA believes it is important that the public understands the technology applicable to mining coal, the options and trade-offs available when planning and operating a coal mine, and the ramifications of preventing pollution from coal mines. This activity within the EPA involves responsibilities of the Office of Energy, Minerals, and Industry, Office of Research and Development and the Office of Energy Activities, Office of the Regional Administrator (Denver). It also involves responsibilities of water and enforcement-related programs.

Land disturbance caused by surface coal mining has potential for significant impacts in the form of sediments washed into streams of the affected watershed. As a result, sediment loads are increased. Exploration activities, haul and access roads, housing and service facilities all can disturb the land surface to a sufficient degree so as to increase sediment loads. Such activities can also expose sufficient soil and disturbed geologic strata and thereby create a larger potential for dust problems. Earth materials previously buried and compacted are broken and exposed to weathering. the East and Midwest, lands disturbed by coal mining are exposed to high annual rainfalls which erode the lands and oxidize sulfur compounds contained in the coal beds. Where high concentrations of iron sulfide exist, these weathering processes may result in the creation of acid waters. Western coals are not generally associated with deposits of iron sulfides and acid drainage does not generally occur. High annual rainfall facilitates fast vegetative growth which in turn can stabilize the disturbed areas and hasten the formation of organic material in the surface materials. In the coal-rich plains and mountain foothills of the Western United States, average annual precipitation of six to twenty inches\* somewhat reduces the total erosion and

<sup>\*</sup>Approximate average annual total (rain and snow) precipitation in areas in which coal is currently mined using surface mining methods. If on the order of 30% of the total annual precipitation is in the form of snow, much of this snow-moisture will be evaporated in the semi-arid climate as the result of sublimation. It is not, therefore, available to vegetation.

acid-forming potential from pyrites in coal, but makes it difficult for vegetation to grow. In an often confusing manner then, the precipitation-related reduction in erosion potential in the West is "compensated" for by the resulting sparseness of vegetative cover in terms of stabilizing soils, making the sediment problem as important in the West as the East.

From this brief discussion, it easily follows that vegetation is important in mined land reclamation since successful establishment of vegetation on disturbed lands stabilizes those lands. Such stabilization reduces sediment yields in a manner that can require a minimum of caretaking by the mine operator after mining. That caretaking can involve maintenance of sediment catchment basins, reshaping the land surface, maintaining drainage diversion systems, mulching, and so on. It is equally apparent that pre-mining environmental assessment and comprehensive operation controls are key elements in assuring that coal mining in any region is environmentally acceptable.

Housing and service facilities for the mines, if improperly sited and constructed, can also cause water and air pollution. Transportation systems have high potentials for interfering with man's and wildlife activities. Thus, mechanisms such as aerial imagery that help to identify geologic and hydrologic hazards (e.g., landslides and floods), soils and vegetative systems, and hydrologic interrelationships facilitate the pre-activity planning and design necessary to mitigate and avoid these potential pollution problems.

This report addresses the tool of aerial imagery\*, often included under the term of "remote sensing"\*\*, principally as a mechanism to assist with planning and regulation of surface mining for coal. Aerial imagery provides a status report on numerous characteristics of mined land such as surface water hydrology, soil types, vegetative species and their condition, shallow ground water hydrology as it affects the surface ecosystem\*, physical modifications of the land surface caused by man, including changes in topography, and so on. This document, in presenting aerial imagery, provides a view of a spectrum of effects of

<sup>\*</sup>Aerial imagery refers to acquisition of information on film and is limited here to recording reflectance of electromagnetic radiation in the visible and near infrared ranges.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Remote sensing is the acquisition of information by a recording device that is not in close proximity to the phenomenon under study.

<sup>\*</sup>Surface ecosystem includes in this context principally the vegetative species dependent upon shallow ground water and the soil or rock at the surface. In the total sense, however, the phrase encompasses the terrestrial biological system which contains vegetation, soils, vertebrates, invertebrates, and thus includes man as he interacts with that system.

surface coal mining, not the least of which is the visible effect on water quality. The characteristic responses of the aerial imagery presented are discussed in a later section. Ongoing research in this area is sponsored by the EPA at its Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Aerial imagery is a useful means not only in the identification of reclamation activities in terms of topographic changes and viability of the vegetative ecosystem, but also in laying out a mining plan in a readily understandable format, with geologic data and upto-date land surface information included in the imagery.

This report is also issued to relay selected information that various agencies have obtained over the past four years in the course of visits to surface coal mines. We feel strongly that it is necessary to describe reclamation activities in the same breath as one describes mining activities. It is both difficult and inappropriate to separate the planning for and operation of a coal mining operation from the reclamation of disturbed lands of a coal mine. For example, as a dragline removes rock laying over the coal, at which point does the dumping of that rock become reclamation? Further, surface mining of coal is viewed as a temporary imposition on other land uses. Therefore, reclamation of the land to a post-mining land use has to be an integral part of the mining plan. Lastly, the method of mining dictates the type and cost of reclamation (and vice-versa). Thus, this report presents data reflecting both mining and reclamation.

We have also found that the aerial imagery is invaluable when trying to assess the implications of proposed legislation or regulations on existing surface mining operations. It is equally useful in assessing the possible effects of planned operations.

Sometimes, it is equally rewarding to view the photography and just enjoy it. We invite you to use your imagination as well as your technical expertise as you proceed.

One last note of caution: most of the imagery presented was collected in 1974. A lesser amount was collected in mid-1975. Production of coal from the Northern Great Plains has increased significantly since then. Activities have changed. You should not, therefore, expect the imagery to accurately reflect current status of the mines. Nor should one expect the statistical information to accurately describe the current status. Larger areas have been mined and larger areas have been graded and seeded.

#### CHAPTER 2

#### COAL IN THE WESTERN UNITED STATES

The mines shown in this report lie within the Northern Great Plains Coal Province as that geographical area is defined by geologists. As such, the whole province includes all coal in Western North Dakota, coal occurring in the Missouri River drainage and East of the Rocky Mountains of Montana and Wyoming, coal in Western South Dakota, coal in the Denver Basin of Colorado and Raton Mesa of Colorado, and Eastern New Mexico. The information presented was derived from surface mines operating in the shallow coals of lignite and sub-bituminous rank occurring principally in Western North Dakota, Eastern Montana and Eastern Wyoming. Time has not permitted acquisition of equivalent information for Colorado, New Mexico, or Western Wyoming, but the aerial imagery methodology portrayed for the northern mines is equally applicable to surface mines in those areas as well as in Southern Utah and Arizona. The discussions center on the mining of coals that lie within about 60 meters (200 feet) of the land surface.

In excess of 1.5 trillion tons of coal are estimated to lie at all depths within this entire province. About 10 percent of this total amount is actually measured or at least strongly indicated by local measurements, and is also considered mineable; about 30 percent inferred (based on geologic studies), but not considered recoverable; and the remaining 60 percent only hypothesized (based on the statistical principles of geology and coal exploration). The 10 percent "actually measured or strongly indicated," or so-called "mineable reserve base," equals 160 billion tons and presently represents 37 percent (by weight) of the Nation's coal reserve base. Of this 160 billion tons, half is located within the Northern Great Plains such that mining by surface methods\* is feasible. Assuming an 80 percent recovery during mining of this 80 billion tons, 64 billion tons of surface mineable coal might presently (1976) be considered "available" or as a "recoverable resource" using current mining and processing techniques, current economic criteria, and assuming that mining is permitted.

One must keep in mind that the estimated quantities of recoverable coal resources change as exploration and mining proceed. Over the last few years, the estimates of recoverable coal in the West have risen, primarily as the result of data obtained from increased exploration

<sup>\*</sup>The feasibility of surface mining is related to the thickness, quality and depth of a coal bed. Thin coal beds are economical to mine if they lie near the surface; the thicker the coal bed, the deeper the mine can be. Presently Northern Great Plains coals within 60 meters of the land surface, if thicker than about 2 meters, may be considered minable by surface mining techniques. A discussion of the standard classifications of coal resources is contained in Appendix I.

and more comprehensive analysis of existing information and to some undertermined degree as a result of rises in market prices for coal. However, there is still only a limited amount of information available to accurately estimate the quantity and quality of shallow western coals throughout the Northern Great Plains and the Rocky Mountain Coal Province.

It is also noteworthy that the quantity of coal resource is nonetheless much more accurately estimated than is the quality. Coal of the Northern Great Plains, as it comes from the mines, differs in several aspects from many eastern coals.

- Sulfur content as percent by weight is often lower averaging perhaps 0.6 percent and ranging from 0.1 percent to 4.0 percent in the coals presently mined. Sulfur is organic and inorganic (pyrite, marcasite). The relative percentage of organic sulfur tends to be high in low sulfur coals.
- Ash content is moderate, ranging to almost 20 percent, but averaging 7 percent.
- Water content is high, ranging to almost 45 percent and averaging 30 percent.
- Heat content, on a run-of-mine basis, ranges from about 5,600 BTU per pound to 11,000 BTU per pound.

Table 1 provides some more detailed information, drawn from another report, on the content of various elements in these coals. These data are representative of coals presently mined and may not accurately reflect the nature of coals that may be mined in the future.

Their low heat and low fixed carbon content place most of these coals in the lignite and sub-bituminous ranking categories.\* Since many of the coals in the rest of the Nation are of higher BTU values, in terms of heat content, the Northern Great Plains coal region does not contain quite as high a percentage of the Nation's coal in terms of heat value or energy as the tonnage figure of 37 percent previously noted

\*Principal coal rank classifications are lignite, sub-bituminous, bituminous and anthracite. Heat content and fixed carbon tend to increase from the lignites to the anthracites, while moisture content tends to decrease. Coal is also classified by grade, which is a function of its ash content, sulfur content, and content of other elements that affect use. DeCarlo, J.A., Sheridan, E.T., and Murphy, Z.E., (1966 "Sulfur Content of United States Coal": U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 8312) indicate that sulfur content of bituminous coals is higher than for higher or lower rank coals.

TABLE I

COMPARISON OF THREE NORTHERN GREAT PLAINS COALS WITH OTHER U.S. COALS

	Coa	ı1 I	Coal		Coal		Average of (Illinois Basin)	Average of (Illinois Basin)	
Element	16/10 <sup>9</sup> BTU	ppm Dry Weight	16/10 <sup>9</sup> BTU	ppm Dry Weight	16/10 <sup>9</sup> BTU	ppm Dry Weight	82 Coals 1b/10 <sup>9</sup> BTU	82 Coals Dry Weight	
Sulfur	760	0.72%	420	0.49%	1460	1.44%	2750	3.51%	
Mercury	0.014	0.13	0.012	0.14	0.0075	0.074	0.016	0.21	
Chlorine	4.6	44	0.80	9.4	5.6	55	120	0.15%	
Antimony	0.056	0.53	0.014	0.16	0.041	0.40	0.11	1.35	
Fluorine	15	140	5.7	67	5.8	57	4.7	59.3	
Selenium	0.23	2.2	0.14	1.6	0.13	1.3	0.16	1.99	
Lead	0.44	4.2	0.20	2.3	0.087	0.86	3.1	39.83	
Molybdenum	0.42	4.0	0.055	0.64	0.20	2.0	0.62	7.96	
Nickel	0.95	9.0	0.18	2.1	0.55	5.4	1.7	22.35	
Boron	5.4	51	2.6	31	15	150	8 <b>.9</b>	113.79	
Zinc	2.5	24	0.35	4.1	0.79	7.8	25	313.04	
Cadmium	0.019	0.18	<0.008	< 0.1	0.020	0.20	2.3	2.89	6
Chromium	2.2	21	0.79	9.3	1.3	13	1.1	14.10	
Copper	3.6	34	2.6	31	1.1	10.5	1.1	14.09	
Cobalt	0.22	2.1	0.13	1.5	0.076	0.75	7.2	9.15	
Uranium	0.14	1.3	0.076	0.89	0.15	1.5			
Arsenic	0.087	0.83	0.21	2.5	0.81	8.0	1.2	14.9	
Silver	0.0047	0.045	0.0041	0.048	0.0035	0.034			
Barium	14	130	39	460	45	440			
Beryllium	0.086	0.82	0.025	0.29	0.061	0.60	0.13	1.72	
Vanadium	5.4	51	1.7	20	1.5	15	2.6	33.13	
Aluminum	2420	2.3%	610	0.71%	750	0.74%	960	1.22%	
Calcium	1850	1.76%	930	1.09%	1400	1.38%	580	0.74%	
Iron	420	0.40%	180	0.21%	760	0.75%	1610	2.06%	
Manganese	18	170	2.0	24	8.0	79	4.2	53.16	
Magnestum	300	0.29%	130	0.15%	380	0.37%	39	0.05%	
Titanium	120	1100	48	565	35	350	47	0.06%	
BTU/1b(dry)		9511		11708		9838		12750	
Ash (dry basis)	21700	20.6%	6150	7.2%	12600	12.4%	8850	11.28%	
Moisture	38800	27.0%	35200	29.2%	59300	36.8%	8730	10.02%	

suggests. If one adopted an average heat content for western coals of 9,000 BTU per pound, and an average of 12,000 BTU per pound for midwestern and eastern coals, the national coal energy reserve base, on a BTU basis, for the Northern Great Plains would be on the order of 30 percent. But then this does not reduce the demand for these western coals and the low heat content is often compensated for by a lower cost of mining the thick, shallow coals.

Coal was formed from thick and extensive accumulations of biological, principally vegetative, matter buried through geologic time. of the thick coal seams of the West required very large flooded areas (swamps) which slowly subsided while growth of vegetation was optimal.\* The paleoenvironment often produced a cyclic sequence of sedimentary strata, ideally consisting of coal overlain by marine shale and limestone and underlain by a sequence consisting, in progressively deeper manner, clay, fresh water argillaceous strata and sandstone and shale.\*\* Paleogeographic conditions for western coals have not been thoroughly described. In general, the deeper the coal was buried through geologic history, the higher its present-day heat content. Coals mined underground are often of higher rank or sufficient quality to be used for coke. The volatiles of the vegetative matter of coal are reduced by the increased pressures and temperatures of burial. The fixed carbon percentage increases commensurately. The higher rank coals tend to occur in thinner beds and in deformed attitudes (folded and faulted).

The lower rank coals of the Northern Great Plains often occur in horizontal to gently dipping beds. The Northern Great Plains coals, especially those beds shallow enough to be considered surface mineable, increase in rank from lignite to bituminous westward across the Province. In the Rocky Mountain Coal Province, adjoining the Northern Great Plains Province on the west, coal beds tend to have been folded as well as buried deeper. The coal beds in the western part of the Northern Great Plains Province are also generally thinner and a number of beds may occur in close proximity to each other. The number of beds is not, however, a function of coal rank, but rather of depositional conditions. "Multiple seam (bed) surface mining" is practiced in western Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, and Arizona where individual coal beds, sequentially mined, may be vertically separated by 10 meters (33 feet) or more.

<sup>\*</sup>See "Final Environmental Impact Statement - Proposed Federal Coal Leasing" pages I-32 through I-39 for a concise, useful description. \*\*The sequence lacks a limestone in western coals thereby leading to questions as to the depositional environments that facilitated formation of these western coals.

In one western location, seven individual coal beds may be sequentially mined.

Measured coal bed thicknesses have been reported to range up to 60 meters (200 feet) in the Northern Great Plains. However, it is likely that any such "beds" contain partings\* and therefore consist of a number of coal beds, closely spaced in a stratigraphical or vertical sense. The thickest coal bed mined presently is the Anderson Canyon ("Wyodak") seam mined immedaitely east of Gillette, Wyoming, comprised of two twelve-meter thick (40 feet) coal beds with only a thin shaley parting in between for an aggregate thickness of twenty-four meters of coal.

It may be useful to note that coal beds may thin out and end, may split into more than one bed and then "regroup" into perhaps one bed again. Coal bed nomenclature can thus become complex and it is not surprising to find the same bed with different names and different beds with the same name. The degree of confusion is inversely proportional to the amount of exploration conducted.

Adding to the confusion is the extensive natural (in situ) burning of coals in Montana and Wyoming which results in loss of coal outcrops and, possibly, subsidence of overlying shales and siltstone. Lastly, the coals are more than occasionally faulted resulting in challenges to mapping and correlations. Such discontinuities are compounded by the divisions in land and mineral ownership and in leasing. Conversely, the extensive outcrops of burned coal (clinker) and baked clay and shale ("porcellanite") facilitate exploratory mapping of coal seams and appear to play an important role in the shallow ground water aquifer systems of Montana and Wyoming.

<sup>\*</sup>Parting usually refers to a bed of shaley strata occurring between two coals where the thickness of the shale is thinner than the coal. In the West, this material tends to be saline and toxic to certain vegetative species when present in thicknesses of more than a meter.

#### CHAPTER 3

#### COAL MINING METHODS IN THE WESTERN UNITED STATES

Surface coal mining methods are becoming better known as a result, perhaps, of renewed national interest in coal. Surface mining can be described as extraction of a mineral resource in a manner that first requires removal of all earth materials that overlie the desired mineral resource. The majority of coal mining in the Western United States is surface mining. However, in western Colorado and in Utah, and thus, in that portion of the Rocky Mountain Coal Province, underground mining prevails. Surface mining is currently a highly efficient method of producing coal from those vast coal deposits lying near the land surface. Underground mining of those same coals is inefficient and dangerous. However, our dependence upon underground mining will increase as the shallow coals are removed.

The first stage of coal mine development, after certain entry rights are acquired and whether subsequent extraction is by surface or underground methods, is exploration. Despite the advanced age of the science of geology and the high degree of knowledge of geologic mechanisms that create coal, it remains necessary to conduct drilling, perform chemical analysis, and implement other exploration procedures even prior to removing relatively shallow coals. Exploration efforts are directed toward determining the precise depths to coal, and locations, thickness and quality of coal. To plan a safe and economic mine, the operator needs to determine accurately the depth to coal and overburden characteristics (the latter, for example, to determine roof strength in the case of an underground mine and for blasting data in the case of the surface mine). the quality of coal (which affects the mining sequence), its thickness, and the rock conditions beneath the coal. Pre-mining determinations of overburden strength are critical both for underground mining and are essential for determining surface mining methods. Major overburden removal equipment may be determined by the hardness of the overburden. Detailed coal quality (BTU content, ash, sulfur, volatiles) varies both vertically and horizontally within a single bed and from bed to bed, so that mined coal may need to be blended to meet contract specifications. Coal may occur in lenses and thus disappear within a short horizontal distance. A coal bed's location, thickness and depth cannot be precisely predicted, and therefore, equipment specification dependent on these data may not be finalized until exploration data are sufficient to answer such questions. For example, it may be necessary to mine from

<sup>\*</sup>At present, large surface coal mines in the western United States have been located principally where an operator has first gained control of the surface overlying coal through lease, agreement, or purchase. Only then does there begin a detailed resource analysis.

a number of individual pits in order to meet contract specifications for coal quality and this may determine the size and the type of mining equipment required.

Exploration procedures include interpretation of aerial imagery and acquisition of geochemical and geophysical (seismic, electrical, magnetic) data. As noted earlier, the purpose of exploration is to define the resource and its surroundings to reduce the risk in developing the coal resource -- to reduce the unknowns. The information is used in planning the mining operation to meet applicable laws and regulations.

Exploration activities usually involve the use of drilling rigs, either truck or trailer mounted; in the region of western coals, such rigs are equivalent to small water well drilling outfits with capabilities to drill at least 80 meters (250 feet). Many drilling operations use air and minimal water for drilling. The greatest potential that exploration activities hold for adverse impact on the land lies in off-road travel. Truck and dozer trails are susceptible to erosion and to future unauthorized use unless the vegetative (biological) system is encouraged to return. In some isolated cases, improperly plugged drill holes may serve to allow water under artesian pressure to escape to the surface.

Exploratory drilling is being used to a greater extent to obtain data on the occurrence of subsurface water at the mine site and to subsequently determine the quality of overburden in terms of its reclamation potential. In these cases, water and soil samples are collected and analyzed to project the impact of surface mining and reclamation on water quality in terms of the leachability of overburden and the ability of the overburden to maintain vegetation. This activity has increased importance in surface mining of western coals because the shallow coals are usually portions of locally-significant aquifers.

Exploration activities are also carried on after a mine is in operation. This activity is principally "development drilling". In the case of underground mines, most extensive development drilling may be necessary prior to production. In the case of surface coal mines in the western United States, pre-mining exploration activities generally produce sufficient information to permit development of an accurate mining plan for ten or more years of mining. In the case of the Exxon Highland Uranium Mine located in eastern Wyoming and included in the aerial photography of this report, development drilling is most extensive druring mining and the surface result of close-spaced drilling shows clearly on the imagery.

The surface mining process is often initiated on a new lease area

in the western United States by excavation of a relatively small pit from which a number of thousand tons of coal are extracted for a test burn at a use or conversion point, usually a power plant. Access and haul roads are then constructed for delivery of materials and later transport of coal. At the same time, a rail spur may be constructed if rail transport of coal is planned. Two or more large coal storage silos will often be constructed (or a pit and shed) to store and protect the coal. Also possible is the construction of a slurry pipeline and associated preparation, water supply and pumping facilities. An early use of a railroad spur is often for the delivery of a shovel and/or dragline. The dragline and other large equipment is then constructed on-site and takes on the order of a year to complete.

Using diesel-powered tractors, scrapers, or other conventional earth-moving equipment, the first major earth-moving activity at a surface mine is the removal of soil. For dragline operations, a relatively flat bench must be created upon which the dragline operates. This bench may not be a straight path, but will rather follow an area of equal thickness of overburden or follow a route dictated by haul routes, land ownership, quality of coal, or other factors. Certain surface mining operations may not be required to preserve the unconsolidated soil. In these instances, a dozer may be used to level vegetation and soil so that stripping equipment has access to the overburden, or the soils and vegetation may be moved as overburden using draglines. In locations where soil is saved or segregated for replacement, scrapers are employed. In select cases, front-end loaders or shovels and trucks are used. On occasion, a dragline or large shovel may be used to move soil separately.

This period is an extremely sensitive one in terms of erosion potential of the disturbed soil and overburden. This sensitivity is increased in areas of new mines where often the surface runoff diversions and retention facilities are inadequate to control higher flows or pricipitation events.

In most areas of the Northern Great Plains Coal Province, it is necessary to prevent large amounts of runoff from reaching the mine pit. Thus, diversion dams and ditches may be seen uphill from many of the mines. Sedimentation ponds and discharge points are visible. Dust is no stranger in western mining operations, even in these early stages of mining such as soil removal. Under certain conditions, it becomes possible to see, in aerial imagery, dust accumulations in patterns downwind of the mining and hauling operations. Control measures such as sprinkling are often visible in the aerial imagery. In some cases, perennial streams are diverted around the areas to be mined. The increased probability of this stream diversion activity has created significant interest in obtaining a better understanding of stream

dynamics during and after such diversions.

If the overburden is hard (indurated), it will be blasted prior to removal using ammonium-nitrate and fuel oil packed in 8 to 30 centimeter diameter holes drilled on 3 to 6 meter centers. The drill hole pattern is often reflected in the aerial imagery. In mines such as the Belle Ayr South Mine of AMAX Coal Company, overburden has not been blasted, but has been removed directly by shovel and front-end loaders. Similar solutions exist for removal of the coal. But it appears "normal" to blast the overburden and coals prior to removal in the West. A bulk tank for ammonium-nitrate is located on the mine site in most cases.

Removal of the overburden is often achieved using equipment called a "dragline". The usual dragline is best described as "huge". Standing perhaps 20 meters tall and with a higher boom, they suggest a drawworks and maintenance shop, if not a house, on "wheels." Draglines operate by pulling (toward the operator or away from the operator) on a bucket in contrast to shovels which undercut by digging out away from the shovel drawworks and up. Both large shovels and draglines are used almost exclusively to handle overburden in the West. Draglines are generally characterized by the capacity of the bucket and the "throwing" radius within which the draglines can excavate and dump. This radius is dictated by the length of the boom that supports the bucket. The boom length must be scaled (inversely proportioned or otherwise strengthened proportionally) to bucket capacity. The boom length also determines the practical depth capabilities of the dragline. All of these dimensions are affected by the type of overburden encountered and, in turn, certain dimensions affect the method of overburden placement. More specifically, hard overburden may require a reduction in boom length. A longer boom may allow more selective placement of overburden material. The latter is an important consideration since it permits material conducive to plant growth to be segregated from potentially toxic spoils with a minimum of rehandling and/or hauling. Today's large draglines are "walking". The walking mechanism consists of a shaft, cam and elongated pontoon-like box commonly called a "shoe" which moves up and down. The shoe serves as the base for the cam-jacking arrangement. The dragline is supported by the cam-jack as it moves. The shoes are retracted

<sup>\*</sup>The material removed from above the coal beds in surface mining is often called overburden though it may include material that lies between two or more coal beds. As the overburden is removed and placed elsewhere, it is called "spoil" because the material is essentially useless to the coal recovery process.

while operating and the dragline rotates on a circular rail supported by a steel rim or tub.

An alternative method of overburden removal uses large shovels. Shovels must work from below the material excavated. The excavation procedure, as noted earlier, is "up and out." Shovels may be as large as draglines, but (1) generally require a hard coal since they may work off the top of the coal, (2) generally are designed to move material as far away from the pit as can a large dragline, and (3) are normally used in conjunction with trucks that haul away the materials. Of course, those generalities are functions of design. Shovels could match draglines and do in midwestern coal mines.

There is an increasing tendency for surface coal mining operations in the Northern Great Plains states to look toward "truck and shovel" operations. The phrase refers to the use of small electric- or diesel-powered shovels which remove overburden and load it into trucks, often rear dump trucks, for hauling to dump sites. Since delivery times for large equipment are long, the use of smaller equipment allows the operators to achieve production of shallow, thick coals; that is, the Northern Great Plains coals, within a shorter start-up time than possible with draglines. Further, truck and shovel operations allow greater mining flexibility and can facilitate reclamation by selective dumping and spreading of earth materials. It seems possible to initiate a major "truck and shovel" operation in about one year considering only the time required to obtain equipment and place it into operation.

In other cases, all overburden removal is accomplished with equipment also suited for road construction -- namely scrapers and dozers. Scrapers and dozers (tractor", "cat", "blade", "bulldozer", etc.) are a part of almost every major mine's equipment roster. They are usually used to remove, possibly to stockpile, and to place soils. They are used to rehandle spoil after dumping by dragline or shovel. These activities are in addition to "clean up" behind a dragline or shovel and access or haul road construction. In the case of the Big Horn #1 Mine, North of Sheridan, Wyoming, overburden and partings from the South pit are removed entirely by scrapers. If the overburden is sufficiently soft not to require blasting prior to removal or if when blasted, the overburden is relatively fine, the mine could depend upon scrapers for the majority of the earth movement.

Bucket wheel excavators (BWE's) may be used to remove either overburden or coal. BWE's consist of a series of shovel buckets, perhaps eight, arranged around a large diameter wheel which can dig up into relatively unconsolidated material and dump the material onto an articulated conveyor system designed to either transfer to another conveyor or to load trucks or trains. BWE's cannot operate efficiently in indurated (hard) material or material containing boulders. Nor can one generally expect to blast material and then remove it with BWE's. A BWE was reported used without success during the initial mining of the Glenharold mine (Colsolidation Coal Company) in Western North Dakota. A BWE type of machine started operating in the Belle Ayr South Mine (AMAX Coal Company), South of Gillette, Wyoming in 1975. The Belle Ayr South operations have involved BWE excavation of both coal and overburden. This equipment is more a bucket wheel loader compared to the BWE's in use in Europe or in the midwestern United States. It has been reported the BWE design modifications show promise for the equipment used for coal in the Belle Ayr South Mine. It is reported to increase the loading rate for coal considerably.

In the West, shovels, generally of moderate size, are used to remove coal. Coal shovels and front-end loaders fill trucks which haul the coal to a central preparation (crushing) and transfer point. Small draglines can also be used to load coal, but this might be considered only when the coal or "underburden" (geologic strata underlying the coal) is not strong enough to support the coal shovel or coal hauling equipment. At certain mines, some coal is left in the mine as support for the spoil dumped in the low wall\* side. An inverted "V" - shaped ridge of coal left on the low wall side allows spoil to be piled higher and/or closer to the working pit.

Coal is hauled from the pit using off-road trucks with capacities ranging up to 200 tons (about 90 cubic yards). Truck haul distances vary and may end at power plants or, more frequently, at rail loading facilities. Eight to ten miles is currently considered the economical limit for truck haul. Trucks are generally diesel-fueled, although some gasoline-fueled equipment remains. Many of the coal haul trucks use electric motor drives powered by diesel engine-driven generators. Bottom-dump trucks are common. A few end-dump trucks are in operation, but the newer ones are used for hauling overburden.

When more than one bed of coal is mined, the parting material separating the beds may be removed using a dragline if the parting is sufficiently thick; scrapers or front-end loaders are used where the parting is thinner. Thick partings are usually blasted. Thin hard partings may be ripped by dozers and loaded into trucks.

<sup>\*</sup>Low wall refers to the side of an open pit adjacent to the previously mined area or what is generally the area of thinner overburden. "High wall" refers to the face of freshly exposed earth material not yet or not planned to be mined.

Most of the Western mines use rail transport for coal. Coal is dumped from the haul trucks into crushing equipment, sized to less than two inches, and delivered by a short conveyor system to silos or other covered facilities for storage. Such storage is required to permit quick loading of the trains and to even out mine production requirements. Trains are quite frequently loaded semi-automatically from the silos. Silo capacities are usually lo,000 or 12,000 tons, while individual rail car capacities are usually l00 tons. Thus, one silo can store sufficient coal to load a l00 car train.

Such trains are termed "unit coal trains"\* in that they haul one comodity to a single location. Unit coal trains may be smaller or larger than 100 cars, but that number seems to be a frequent one for relatively long hauls. Increasingly common destinations for such trains are bargeloading facilities in the Midwest.

An important consideration when shipping coal long distances is the BTU content or heat value of the material. The lower the heat content, the more it costs to ship a certain amount of heat. Similarly, with higher water content in the coal, the cost to ship a given amount of heat is higher. High water content can also cause problems in emptying coal cars in cold weather since the coal freezes in the cars. Fuel oil sprays have been used to mitigate this problem.

Coals mined from the Northern Great Plains are not now washed. However, since washing removes sulfur and upgrades the heat content of the coal, there may be some coal washing proposed in the near future. However, the initially low sulfur content, principally organic sulfur, constrains the efficiency of conventional washing in terms of sulfur removal. To the West, in the Rocky Mountain Province, many coals are or are proposed to be washed.

"Captive mines" or mines located near the coal conversion point often require fly ash and bottom ash to be dumped back into the surface pit. The gray color of the ash serves to identify it in photography. This material is then covered prior to seeding. At the Navajo Mine in New Mexico, a mine not photographically examined in this report, bottom ash is also used as an experimental mulch and soil conditioner over spoil. Experimental work has also been conducted in the Northern Great Plains.

<sup>\*</sup>Unit trains are dedicated to hauling a single commodity. Unit coal trains return to mines empty. Generally the same cars and motive power service one mine and one unloading facility and thus travel the same route at all times and seldom uncouple.

After spoils are dumped by the dragline or other equipment, they are graded to the planned post-mining configuration, with the exception of active haul roads which may intersect the graded areas at regular intervals. If appropriate and possible, soil material is respread over the graded spoil to enhance the potential for revegetation. The post-mining configuration acheived often has the appearance of rolling terrain in which a somewhat regular "wave length" and "frequency" of low hills is introduced into the topography. This occurs where the overburden to coal ratio is large; where the ratio is small, the configuration may be superimposed on a shallow basin. Post-mining slopes may be graded as shallow as 1:10 (vertical:horizontal) depending upon the post-mining land use plans. However, typical post-mining grades are 1:3 and 1:4. Of course, some areas are almost flat. Grading should be performed as close to the mining operation as does not physically interfere with the operation and so as to prevent erosion problems.

Final grading can, in select cases, involve the retention of high walls (vertical cuts), the creation or replacement of lakes or impoundments, and the creation or replacement of drainage paths. In certain instances haul roads are retained. Thus, the visual patterns displayed by aerial imagery of mined and reclaimed areas may be varied.

Grading may be followed by placement of soil amendments (fertilizer, soil conditioners such as gypsum) and the creation of small-scale surface configurations (e.g., dozer basins or terraces to retain water and seed and to limit erosion). Graded and seeded areas are generally fenced to limit grazing. Seeding is usually done using conventional agricultural equipment with seed drills preferred by most operators, though a return to broadcast seeding has been noted. Seedling transplant of shrubs or trees is not widely practiced. Mulching has been practiced at most mines with widely different results in terms of producing notable improvements in seedling emergence and soil stability. Hay and netting have been tried as mulches. Planting of annuals to provide stubble has been employed. Most recent visits show increased use of hydromulching using wood fibers.

Most agronomists are recommending careful reestablishment of native species as the most appropriate revegetation procedure. Such reestablishment does not preclude the use of non-noxious introduced species especially on a temporary basis. The reestablished vegetative ecosystems evidenced on aerial imagery of revegetated mined lands may thus be similar to the systems shown on undisturbed land, though some degree of regularity may initially be evidenced.

Seeding is rarely followed by irrigation at the mines examined in this report. The only large-scale commitment to irrigation at Western coal mines as a whole is the sprinkler system installed at the Navajo

Mine in New Mexico. Annual precipitation rates there of about 15 centimeters (6 inches) have necessitated the use of supplemental water for at least 1.5 years. This area, of course, is outside the Northern Great Plains.

Though vegetated areas may be recultivated, reseeded, refertilized, and harvested, the overriding activity following seeding is one of waiting to see if the desired vegetative ecosystem will develop and survive.

The physical disturbances of a mining operation are not necessarily limited to the mine site itself. Employee housing and service facilities, rail lines, roads, pipelines and power transmission lines all can make their mark. The physical effects of these activities are evidenced in various ways on aerial imagery. Similarly, land uses not related to coal mining provide visual contrasts that are obvious on aerial photographs. Fence lines are notable examples, especially where range management practices differ on sides of the fence.

#### CHAPTER 4

#### CHARACTERISTIC RESPONSES OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

Two forms of aerial photography are presented here. The principal form used is a print from the normal color process film. Experience suggests that true-color imagery provides more information to the typical area than does black and white photography. Any land characteristics differing in visible color are detected on the film and the grays of black and white are further differentiated into the hues of the visible spectrum.

The second type of film used for this report is "color-infrared" or "false color." This film responds also to electromagnetic or infrared (IR) radiation (longer wave length) beyond the red limit of the visible range or, quantitatively, out of the 1,100 nanometer wave length\*. Color as well as black and white IR are possible. Color IR imagery is collected through a yellow filter (filters out lower wave length blue) which assists in the shift of greens to blues on color IR positives. The IR radiation shows as red on the film. What is normally red becomes green. Principal "false" colors for Western mines flown with color IR are hues of red and blue respectively, then, IR and green. The red is response to IR energy reflected from that part of vegetation dependent upon moisture for its health. Thus, a bright red or magenta color on color IR prints is indicative of higher soil moisture (unsaturated) or even ground water (saturated) supplying the vegetation. Water can appear black on color IR film if the angle of view is right since water absorbs IR radiation. Color IR tends, obviously, to enhance detection of healthy vegetation and water boundaries. Color IR can therefore reflect degrees of vegetative stress.

There are, of course, limitations to the use of aerial photography. Weather is obviously an important factor. Planning is necessary to insure adequate coverage of the area. Film and print processing require professional assistance. Knowledge of climatic conditions preceding image acquisition is most useful. Above all, the photography will not answer all questions and it may raise some. Therefore, field work to collect ground truth data will still be required.

<sup>\*</sup>This range is short of the heat-sensitive range for thermal IR sensing (8,000 to 14,000 nanometers).

Finally, the aerial photography shows large-scale contrasts in the geology of the areas. Contacts between geologic formations often provide striking linear contrasts. The different formations also produce different soils which then support different vegetative species.

TABLE 2 - General Specifications of Imagery Acquisition Systems

Date Photography Acquired	<u>Specifications</u>
1974	B-26 Aircraft (approx. 6,000 feet or 1,800 meters) RC-8 9-Inch Mapping Camera, 6 inch (15 cm) Focal Length Lens EK 2443 Color Infrared Film KA-76 5-Inch Reconnaissance Camera, 3 inch (7.6 cm) Focal Length Lens EK 2445 Aerocolor Negative Film
1975	High Altitude (approx. 56,000 feet or 17,000 meters)  NASA RB-57 Aircraft  ZEISS 9-Inch Mapping Camera, 12 inch (30 cm) Focal Length Lens  EK 2443 Color Infrared Film  ZEISS 9-Inch Mapping Camera, 6 inch (15 cm) Focal Length Lens  EK 2402 Plus X B&W Negative Film
1976	Low Altitude (approx 6,000 feet or 1,800 meters) NASA P3A Aircraft ZEISS 9-Inch Mapping Camera, 6 inch (15 cm) Focal Length Lens EK 2443 Color Infrared Film ZEISS 9-Inch Mapping Camera, 6 inch (15 cm) Focal Length Lens EK S-397 Ektachrome Aerographic Film

This report provides a brief summary of one detailed computer analysis of satellite imagery analyzed in a similar fashion for the majority of the mines in the Northern Great Plains area. A more extensive presentation of the analysis procedures and results thereof may be obtained in the referenced report prepared by the EPA National Field Investigation Center in Denver.\*

<sup>\*</sup>EPA National Field Investigation Center, "An Application of ERTS Technology to the Evaluation of Coal Strip Mining and Reclamation in the Northern Great Plains," Feb., 1975.

#### CHAPTER 5

### INVENTORY OF SURFACE COAL MINES IN THE NORTHERN GREAT PLAINS

This chapter provides a graphic inventory of major coal mine sites present in the Northern Great Plains area of Montana, North Dakota, and Wyoming. The graphic inventory takes the form of color aerial photography presented in an introductory fashion as a technique that has applicability to planning and regulation of coal mining. The principal photography was collected in July of 1974. The 1974 imagery was collected by the EPA Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory in Las Vegas, Nevada using the equipment noted in Table 2 at altitudes close to 1,800 meters (6,000 feet). The remainder of the imagery is comprised mainly of color-infrared photography flown in 1975. This later imagery was collected while flying at an altitude of approximately 17,000 meters (55,000 feet). The lower altitude photography was collected to provide a high degree of resolution, and to thus provide some ground data\* in the photography itself. However, the lower altitude photography is more cumbersome to handle in that numerous frames have to be mosaicked\*\* or otherwise combined to obtain the desired perspective of a mine covering a large area. The higher altitude photography often embraces a single surface mine on one frame, thus allowing, if adequate spatial resolution is achieved, expeditious enlargements of the imagery to various scales compatible with other data such as that contained in topographic maps.

Near infrared (IR) aerial (or "color infrared") imagery was collected on color IR film simultaneously with the low altitude color imagery but is not presented in its entirety since the additional photography would require more extensive interpretation than is available for this report. This chapter presents color IR-instigated (or "false color") imagery for selected mines to enable the reader to make some comparisons between the true and false color imagery. The high altitude photography collected in 1975 and presented in this report is also color IR.

<sup>\*</sup>Ground data, also called ground truth data, refers to data that identify the vegetative species, soil types, mining procedures, types of equipment, land uses, or other land surface characteristics that produce a visual pattern on the photography but which, on higher altitude photography, cannot be completely or accurately defined.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Mosaic refers to the careful cutting of individual photographic prints along visual-lineations or other natural marks to produce a composite aerial photograph covering a large area. Using principally the center of each print reduces the distortion of the image and facilitates matching.

Along with the aerial imagery are presented tabulated summaries of technical information describing the mining activity. Perhaps the greatest value of that information will come if the technical information is regularly updated and verified. The data are considered preliminary at this stage, but are presented for two reasons. First, the photography shows varied visual appearances caused both by the physical environment and the mining practice. The summaries may help to explain some of the Second, it is necessary to provide statistics that can be differences. used, albeit carefully, to estimate, for example, the numbers of people involved in the various mining situations, equipment requirements, and transportation arrangements. The data were obtained from numerous sources and at various times. In obtaining the data, we attempted not to impose upon the already full schedule of the operators any more than we had Only in North Dakota did we go to the operators (through the North Dakota REAP\*) to refine the information. The Bureau of Mines\*\* of the U.S. Department of the Interior has adopted a system that is capable of keeping track of this descriptive information as the information characterizes technical considerations, areas affected, and equipment used at a mine site. Operators are therefore encouraged to refine these data and provide them on a regular basis.

Plate 1 (in rear pocket) is a location map for the mines inventoried.

<sup>\*</sup>REAP stands for Regional Environmental Assessment Program. This organization was formulated by North Dakota State Legislature. \*\*Intermountain Field Operations Center, Denver, Colorado

Section 5-1

<u>Dave Johnston Mine</u> - Plates 2 and 3

The Dave Johnston Mine, or "Dave Johnston Fuel Recovery Pit" as it is formally called, takes on the appearance of a snake and is represented here in both true color and color infrared photography. The true color photograph (Plate 2) is a low altitude mosaic collected on 29 July 1974. The color infrared film was exposed at an altitude of 17,450 meters (57,200 feet) on 28 January 1975. The mine is also the subject of an investigation of the applicability of satellite imagery (See Chapter 6). This mine is considered a "captive mine" and ships coal by rail to the Dave Johnston Power Plant nearby at Glenrock, Wyoming.

The effects of more arid climate limit the infrared photographic response to vigorous vegetative growth in the 1975 photography of this mine. However, the varied vegetation and earth patterns along the southwest side of the mine reflect the vegetation and reclamation efforts. Along the extreme south-southwestern edge, the rows extending out from roads represent areas from which soil was removed for use over the older spoils. The 1974 lower altitude photography shows only tinges of green in the revegetated areas. The remainder of the affected land looks not too unlike the undisturbed lands except in terms of density of vegetative growth.

The mined area northwest of the mine offices and coal loading area and west of the eastern extension of the mine was seeded in 1969. Progressing northwest, areas were reseeded in 1970, 1971, 1972, and 1973. Most of the mined areas with vegetation to the southeast were seeded in 1972. Note the termination of drainage channels by the mine as shown in the plates.

Comparison of the two photographs (Plates 2 and 3) facilitates an assessment of mining and reclamation progress. The separate pit on the northeast side of the mine has progressed north and east and additional excavation has been performed preparatory to mining the deeper bed. Grading activities east of the loading area have been extended toward the pit and emergent vegetation can be seen north of the loading area near the pit. In the newer pit area, spoil has been placed on the highwall side (Plate 3).

#### DESCRIPTION OF MINE

1.	. Mine: <u>Dave Johnston Fuel Recovery</u>	Pit	<del> </del>
2.	(all acreage on which present	: Converse	Township: <u>36N</u>
	corporate owners have conducted or are conducting operations) Range:		21,28,33,24
	County	: Converse	Township: <u>36N</u>
	Range:		3, 10, 11
3.	. Mine Operators: Pacific Power and Li	ght Company	,
4.	. Production Rates: For Year 1974:2	,897,383	tons per year
	Estimated or Project	ed for Year 1974:	2,687,045 tpy
	Monthly Average for	1974: 224,000	tons per month
5.	. Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons	per year ( <u>2,68</u>	37,045 to
	Glenrock, W City, State	Y, Dave Johnston	Plant (EGS) 750 MW Use
6.	. Description of Long-Haul Transportation	(reference 5 abo	ove):
	(A) None (e.g., unit tr	ain), Ownership:	
	, # Cars	per train	
	(B) Yes (e.g., unit tr	ain), Ownership:	Pacific Power
	and Light Company , # Cars	per train	
	Approximate rate of car loading	min. per ca	r (100 ton)
	Storage Capacity for Loading	tons	
7.	. Stratigraphic Data:		
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness <u>110</u>	feet; range _	60' to 200 feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Averag	e Thickness in fe	eet: (youngest to oldest
	Badger		16.
	School School	<del></del>	37'
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Betwe		(youngest to oldest)
	Deeper thickn mined	Seams ess and approxima seams.	ate separation from
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Averag	e <u>140'</u>	Maximum: <u>180'</u>
	Minimu	m: <u>80</u> *	<del></del>
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils:	% (measured; es	stimated)
8.	. Major Operating Equipment:		
	Draglines: Page 752 LR , 39 model cap	cuyd), 261 acity boom	(ft),(kw) length power rqmts
	Shovels: BE-150-B , 10 model capa	city max.	(ft), 350/875 (hp) radius power rqmts
	Marion 151M , 14	(cuyd),	(ft), (kw)

Trucks:	4,	LW (Rear dump) model/power	,65	_(tons)
	number	model/power	capacity	
	1 .	Dart (Rear Dump) model/power	, 65	(tons)
	number	model/power	capacity	_
Scrapers	: 1 .	Euclid	,	(cuyd)
	number	Euclid model/power	capacity	
	1 .	L/W Self-loading B70	•	(cuyd)
	number	L/W Self-loading B70 model/power	capacity	_, , ,
	number	Terex S-24 model/power	capacity	
Dozers:	3 ,	Cat D9 Dozers	,	(cuyd)
	number	Cat D9 Dozers model/power	capacity	
	1 .	Cat 14 Grader	•	(cuyd)
	number	Cat 14 Grader model/power	capacity	_, , ,
	1 .	Austin Western 3000 Grader	•	(cuyd)
	number	Austin Western 3000 Grader model/power	capacity	_, ,
Drills:	1 .	B40122 Coal Drill		(cuvd)
2	number	B40L-22 Coal Drill model/power	capacity	_(0 - /
Front Fno	d: 1 .	Michigan 275B	•	(cuvd)
Loaders	number	Michigan 275B model/power	capacity	_( 0 - )
	number	Cat 950 model/power	capacity	_(57
	number	Michigan 275B model/power	capacity	_(5-7
	Veg .	2	. ?	(cuvd)
	number	? model/power	capacity	_(04)4)
Water				(cuvd)
Trucks:	number	model/power	capacity	_(00)0)
Employme	nt•			
(A) Numb	er of full-	time employees at the site: Av	erage for 1973: <u>    9</u>	6
<del></del>	109 i	ո July, 1974		
(R) Numb	er of other	full-time employees employed o	ff_site but who ar	· p
		tially full-time for this mine:		
In 1	ocal area:	, In Headquart	ers:	
			•	
(C) Con	tract Labor	: Average for 1973:	_, % or year work	ea <i>‰</i>
		Type of Work:		
(D) P1a	ces of Resi	dence of Full-Time Employees (a	nd approximate numb	er
	siding ther			
	Glenrock			
	Town		Number	
	Douglas			
	Town		Number	
	Casper			
	Town	···	Number	

9.

10. Coal Ownership: As of July 1974, currently covered by Mining and Reclamation Plan and by Permit:
Federal:%, State:%, Private:%
11. Coal Lease and "Permit" Numbers: (as of July 1974)
Federal Lease: <u>wo38597,wo41355</u> , State Permit:
W038602, C054769
12. Disposition of Surface in July 1974: Estimated from Photography.
(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: 612 acres(Includes scraped areas and (including areas leveled for (300 ac. pit and miscellaneous disturbed dragline or shovel) spoils alone) land.)
(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: 640 acres
(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing):
(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: (27) acres (This acreage is within active pit areas and is limited to ponded water.)
(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: > 65+ acres (doesn't include transportation)
TOTAL disturbed acreage (A+B+E) = 1317 acres
13. Average Analyses of Coal:
Name of Coal Seam <u>School</u> , Moisture <u>22</u> %, Ash (wet) <u>11</u> %
Na <sub>2</sub> O(Ash) <u>.13</u> %,Sulfur (wet) <u>.6</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>8200</u> per lb.
Name of Coal Seam <u>Badger</u> , Moisture <u>27</u> %, Ash (wet) <u>8</u> %
Na <sub>2</sub> O(Ash) <u>.13</u> %,Sulfur (wet) <u>.45</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>7950</u> per lb.
Name of Coal Seam <u>Average</u> , Moisture <u>26</u> %, Ash (wet) <u>12</u> %
Sulfur (wet)0.5 %, Btu (wet)7800 per lb.
14. Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
Estimated Reserves: 150,000,000 tons.

## **PAGE NOT**

AVAILABLE

DIGITALLY

Section 5-2
East Antelope Mine - Plate 4

This mine is the small operation shown on the right of Plate 4, which is a mosaic of low altitude, true color photography collected on 19 July 1974. The water-filled pit to the west (left) is an abandoned coal mine. Obviously, the East Antelope operation is one of the smaller surface coal mines in the Northern Great Plains.

We have not yet determined the significance of the X-like mark located in the west center of the mosaic, but it continues to be of interest.

#### DESCRIPTION OF MINE

١.	Mine:	t Antelope						
2.	(all acreage corporate of	tate: <u>Wyom</u> e on which p wners have c	resent onducted		· <del>-</del>			
	or are cond	ucting opera	tions)	Range:	/1W :	Sec:	35	
3.	Mine Operate	ors: Best	Coal Com	pany				
4.	Production	Rates: For	Year 197	4:1	,195		tons p	er year
		Esti	mated or	Projected	for Year	1974:1	None	tpy
		Mont	hly Aver	age for 19	74:1	None	tons pe	r month
5.	Destination	of Coal: (A	) Estima	ted tons p	er year (_		) t	0
			Miscel City,	laneous us State	ers in Wyor	ning - he	omes Use	
6.	Description	of Long-Hau	1 Transpo	ortation (	reference :	5 above)	:	
	(A) <u>1</u>		(e.g.,	truck),Own	nership: <u>B</u> e	est Coal	Company	_
			, # Ca	rs	_ per tra	in		
		mate rate of			_		00 ton)	
	Storage	Capacity fo	r Loadin	gto	ns.			
7.	Stratigraph	ic Data:						
	(A) Average	Overburden	Thicknes	s <u>30</u>	_feet; ran	ge <u>5</u>	to	40 fe
	(B) Name of	Coal Seams	Mined and	d Average	Thickness	in feet:	(younges	t to ol
		(cal	led "D"	coal also)				
	A	nderson				35	·	
	(C) Average	Thickness o	f Parting	gs Between	Seams (fee	et): (you	ıngest to	oldest
		,		thickness	s and appro	oximate :	separatio	n from
				mined sea				
	(D) Depth o	f Active Pit	: (feet)	Average _	401	, Max	kimum: 6	0'.
				Minimum:	25'			
	(E) Bulking	Factor for	Spoils:		(measure	d; estima	ated)	
8.	Major Opera	ting Equipme	nt:					
	Draglines:	None			(cuvd).	(f	t).	(k
	<b>-</b>	None model		capac	ity bo	oom leng	th power	rqmts
	Shovels:	None		capacity	_(cuyd), _	(f	t),	(k
				,		_	-	•
	Trucks:	number,	5-ton	gasoline /nower	<del> </del>	,	5 anacity	( tons
	Scrapers: _	None, number	model	/power		—, <u> </u>	apacity	( cuyd
	_	None .						(cuyd
	Dozers:	number .	mode	1/power		• <sub>(</sub>	capacity	( cuya
	Drills:	None .						(cuvd
	n	umber	mod	el/power			capacity	·
	Front End:	1.	sm	all odel/power		•	1-3	(cuyd
	Loaders	number'	m	odel/power			capacity	—, -w

	Water None , (cuyd) Trucks: number model/power capacity
9.	Employment:
	(A) Number of full-time employees at the site: Average for 1973:
	(B) Number of other full-time employees employed off-site, but who are working essentially full-time for this mine: (Average for 1973)
	In local area:, In Headquarters:
	(C) Contract Labor: Average for 1973:, % of year worked%
	Type of Work:
	(D) Places of Residence of Full-Time Employees (and approximate number residing there):
	At Mine 1
10.	Town Number  Coal Ownership: As of July 1974, currently covered by Mining and Reclamation
	Plan and by Permit:
	Federal: 100 %, State:%, Private:%
11.	Coal Lease and "Permit" Numbers: (as of July 1974)
	Federal Lease: B031719 , State Permit: (if any)
12.	Disposition of Surface in July 1974: Estimated from Photography.
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: 6 acres (including areas leveled for dragline or shovel)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: acres
	Total: $A+B+C+D = 24$ ac (C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing): 0 acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: 18 acres (4-acre pond included)
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes:3 acres
	TOTAL DISTURBED ACREAGE: 27 acres.
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam <u>Anderson</u> ("D"), Moisture 26 %, Ash (wet) 6 %
	Sulfur (wet) .2 %, Btu (wet) 8650 per 1b.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining
	including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	Pit does have to be pumped out prior to each year's operation - usually open October-March.



EAST ANTELOPE MINE
Best Coal Company

Converse County, Wyoming 19Jul74 1201-1202 hrs



Section 5-3
Belle Ayr South Mine - Plates 5 and 6

This mine is represented in two sets of aerial photography; true color flown on 29 July 1974 and color infrared collected from an altitude of 16,900 meters (55,500 feet) on 23 June 1975. The true color low altitude imagery is presented in mosaic form. The two imagery collection dates, almost one year apart, allow examination of the northward movement of the pit and the initial return of spoils to the southern part of the mine. Growth of perennial vegetation is evidenced in plots at the extreme southeast corner of the mine, but significant moisture availability to vegetation on disturbed lands is not evidenced elsewhere except along the haul road. The area east of the coal load silos has been seeded in the past and vegetative growth of annuals is visible in the 1974 photography, but not in the 1975 photography.

Caballo Creek has been diverted around the working pit on the west and south. The continued subsurface flow of water is shown by the magenta hues east of the south part of the mine in the 1975 photography. The availability of water to vegetation in the undisturbed valley of Caballo Creek is quite apparent. The pinkish color of roads and parking areas in the 1974 photography comes from baked shale or clinker used to surface the roads.

The soil patterns shown in both sets of photography are noteworthy. The yellowish area of the 1975 photography extending south-southeast from the mine is most likely related to the burn line of the coal bed and shows as a reddish tinge at the bottom of the 1974 imagery.

1.	Mine: Belle Ayr - South (Presently 'Belle Ayr')
2.	Location: State: Wyoming County: Campbell Township: 71N (all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted or are conducting operations) Range: 48W Sec: 34,35
3.	Mine Operators: AMAX Coal Company
	Production Rates: For Year 1974: 867,544 tons per year
٠.	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 3,301,472 tpy
	Monthly Average for 1974: 275,000 tons per month
_	
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year (1,300,000) to
	Pueblo, Colorado Commanche Plant (EGS)  City, State Use  (Public Service of Colo 350 MW Sta)
	(B) Estimated tons per year (1,000,000) tp
	Denver and Boulder, Colorado (EGS)
	City, State Use (Public Service of Colo.)
	(C) Estimated tons per year (_500,000 ) to
	Burlington, IA (EGS)
	City, State Use (Kansas City Pwr & Light - Burlington)
	(D) Estimated tons per year ( 500,000 ) to
	East St. Louis MO to barges (EGS)
	City, State Use
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transportation (reference 5 above):
	(A) 4 Destinations (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Burlington -
	Northern , # Cars 100-124 per train
	Approximate rate of car loading $1$ min. per car (100 ton)
	Storage Capacity for loading <u>24,000</u> tons two silos plus 2 under construction. primary crusher to 4", secondary crusher to 2" topsize
7.	Stratigraphic Data:
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness 35 feet; range 20 to 60 feet (Max 200' in future) (Soft, sandy shale)
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest)
	Anderson-Canyon (also called Roland-Smith or Wyodak Coals)
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)
	Few inches to no visible partings.  Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from mined seams. (underlain by hard, sandy shale)
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average 105, Maximum: 130
	Minimum:0-
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils: % (measured; estimated)

8.	Major Operat			
	Draglines:	mode	,(cuyd),boom 1	_(ft),(kw) ength power rqmts
		ea B-E mode	295B , 24 (cuyd), 55 max. r	(ft), <u>800/2000</u> (hp) power rqmts
	_	295B mode	, 20 (cuyd), capacity max. r	_(ft),(kw) adius power rqmts
	Trucks:	5 umber	Dart - Diesel Dumps model/power (17 each end dump 120 ton)	75 (tons)
	n	8,	Lectrahauls 1000 HP model/power	(tons)
	n	ıumber .	Terex End Dump model/power	(tons)
	Scrapers:	umber .	model/power ,	capacity (cuyd)
	Dozers:	umber,	Michigan Dozers model/power	capacity (cuyd)
	<u></u>	ıumber ,	Motor Grader Mod 12 model/power	capacity (cuyd)
	Drills:	ımber	Coal Drill (from Wyodak) model/power	capacity (cuyd)
			Cat 988 model/power	
	-	2 number	model/power	, 10,000 gal (cuyd) capacity
	Water Trucks: no	umber,	model/power	_,(cuyd)
9.	Employment:			
			ime employees at the site: Average	
	51	ir	July, 1974 2 shifts, 6 days June 75 88	
	(B) Number worki	of other ng essent	full-time employees employed off-si ially full-time for this mine: (Av	te, but who are erage for 1973)
	In loca	l area: _	, In Headquarters:	
	(C) Contra	ct Labor:	Average for 1973:,	% of year worked%
			Type of Work:	
		of Resid	dence of Full-Time Employees (and ape):	proximate number
	G11 Tow	llette, W	yoming	Number
10		ship: As	of July 1974, currently covered by M n and by Permit:	
	Federal:		<pre>%, State: %, Private:</pre>	24 %

11.	Coal Lease and "Permit" Numbers: (as of July 1974)
	Federal Lease: Wyoming 0317682 , State Permit: (if any)
12.	Disposition of Surface in July 1974:
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: 71 acres (includes 10 acres (including areas leveled for of topsoil storage and 9 acres miscell.)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: <u>100</u> acres
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and/or growing):acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: <a href="lacre pond">1 acre</a> acres (included in regraded figure)
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: 46 acres
	Total Disturbed Acreage: 217 acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam: Wyodak ; Moisture: 30.4%; Ash: 6.4% (wet)
	Na <sub>2</sub> O Ash dry: <u>1.27%</u> ; Sulfur (wet): <u>0.48%</u> ; BTU (wet): <u>8020</u> per 1b.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	Reportedly pump 90 gallons/minute for dewatering of mine Reserves estimated 300,000,000 tons Blasting ANFO or slurry - coal only 4:1 or 3:1 slope on spoiled overburden Pumping about 100,000 gpm from pit Caballo Creek diverted, Creek bed filled in part Wheat grasses and sweet clover - 15 acres in 1972 (Spring) 65 acres seeded in Fall 1973 Inside rail loop: Spring 1973 - 35 acres seeded with Western Wheatgrass and four-wing salt brush Annual precipitation: 15" Bucketwheel loader undergoing tests - Mechanical Excavators, Inc.



BELLE AYR MINE AMAX Coal Company Campbell County, Wyoming 29Jul74 1020-1021 hrs



## PAGE NOT

**AVAILABLE** 

DIGITALLY

Section 5-4 Wyodak Mine - Plates 7 and 8

This mine is represented in low altitude photography collected on 19 July 1974 (Plate 7). The active pit is that lying south of the highway. Obviously, the coal is thick and mining equipment requirements are minimal to date. The mine is also shown in low-level oblique color photography flown on the same day (Plate 8).

The Neil Simpson power plant lies between and to the east of the two mine pits with some grading evident east of the plant which is related to the plant's expansion. Some grading and subsequent vegetative growth is shown by the green vegetative hues on the north of the south pit and the south of the north pit. In 1974-5, this mine was operating in the thickest coal (two beds with minimal partings aggregating 24 meters or 80 feet) currently mined in the Northern Great Plains and probably in the United States.

The green color of Donkey Creek is related to algae nourished by sewage effleunt from the town of Gillette. Seepage into the Wyodak Pit from the diverted creek has been noted.

1.	Mine: Wyodak Mine - Wyodak South Pit
2.	Location: State: Wyoming County: Campbell Township: 50N (all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted or are conducting operations) Range: 71W Sec: 27,28
3.	
	707 010 tong non V020
4.	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 738,248 tpy
	Monthly Average for 1974: 61,500 tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year (_250,000) to
	Osage, WY Osage Station EGS 34.5 MW City, State Use
	(Black Hills Power & Lght)
	(B) Estimated tons per year (230,000) to
	Wyodak, WY Niel Simpson Station EGS 26.8 MW
	oregy state
	(C) Estimated tons per year ( <u>130,000</u> ) to
	Lead, SD Kirk Station EGS 31.5 MW Use
	(D) Estimated tons per year ( <u>120,000</u> ) to
	Rapid City, SD Ben French Station EGS 22.5 MW
	City, State Use
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transportation (reference 5 above):
	(A) Conveyor to Steam Plant
	(B) Yes (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Chicago and
	Northwestern , # Cars 25-30 per train
	Approximate rate of car loadingmin. per car (100 ton)
	Storage Capacity for Loading 80 tons.
7.	Stratigraphic Data:
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness 30 feet; range 15 to 40 feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest (also called Roland-Smith or Wyodak coal).
	(also called Roland-Smith or Wyodak coal).  Anderson 40
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)  Deeper Seams
	0.7' to 1.5', "Mud" thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average 110', Maximum: 160'
	Minimum: 85'
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils:  % (measured; estimated)
	the control of the co

8.	Major Ope	rating Equipment:
	Draglines	2 , 2.5 (cuyd), Clam Shell (kw)
	-	2 , 2.5 (cuyd), Clam Shell (kw) model capacity boom length power rqmts
		(not used)
	Shovels:	None , (cuyd), (ft), (kw) max. radius power rqmts
	Trucks:	1 number model/power , 25 (tons) capacity
		5 , Euclid Diesel , 20 (tons) number model/power capacity
		2, Cat Diesel , 70 (tons) number model/power capacity
	•	number model/power capacity (ordering 100 ton - going to conveyor from pit)
	Scrapers:	$\frac{2}{\text{number}}$ , $\frac{\text{Cat 621}}{\text{model/power}}$ , $\frac{15}{\text{capacity}}$
		1 , Road Grader Cat Model 12 , (cuyd) model/power capacity
	Dozers:	3, Cat D8H & Cat D7E , (cuyd) number model/power capacity
	Drills:	1, Coal Drill Salem-McCarthy 108, 6" model/power capacity
		$\frac{1}{\text{number}}$ , Hough 400 , $\frac{14}{\text{capacity}}$ (cuyd)
	Water Trucks:	1 , Ford , 2000 (gal) number model/power capacity
9.	Employmen	t:
	(A) Numbe	r of full-time employees at the site: Average for 1973: 29
	28	in July, 1974 one shift
	(B) Numbe wor	r of other full-time employees employed off-site, but who are king essentially full-time for this mine: (Average for 1973)
	In lo	cal area: None , In Headquarters: None
	(C) Contr	act Labor: Average for 1973: <u>None</u> , % of year worked <u>%</u>
		Type of work:
		s of Residence of full-time employees (and approximate number ding there):
		Gillette
		Town Number
10.		rship: As of July 1974, currently covered by Mining and Recla- Plan and by Permit:
	Federal:	90 %, State:%, Private:%
11.	Coal Leas	e and "Permit" Numbers : (as of July 1974)
	Federal L	ease: W073289, W0111833 , State Permit:
		(if any) W0313666, B037423

12.	Disposition of Surface in July 1974: Estimated from Photography.
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: 73 acres (includes 16 acres in inactive North pit) dragline or shovel)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: acres
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing): 45 acres (portion of part B)
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: 45 (orphan), 4 ac. pond acres
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: 9 acres (includes old power plant, but none of the new construction)
	TOTAL DISTURBED ACREAGE (A+B+D+E) = 186 acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam Anderson-Canyon , Moisture 28 %, Ash (wet) 5.9 %
	Na <sub>2</sub> O(Ash) 1.3 %, Sulfur (wet) .52 %, Btu (wet) <u>8300</u> per lb.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	Recoverable coal 160,000,000 tons.  Blasting AN-FO with dynamite and primacord slurry, E-Cord & primer 24' spacing to 120' deep.  Annual precipitation 14".  Currently terracing but will slope.  Using crested wheat, western wheat grass, alfalfa, sweet clover.  Water enters both North & South Pits (total) at 150 gpm.



WYODAK MINE

Wyodak Resource Development Company Campbell County, Wyoming 19Jul74 1526-1527 hrs



# PAGE NOT

AVAILABLE

DIGITALLY

Section 5-5 Welch Strip Mine - Plate 9

This is one of the smaller surface coal mines presently in operation in the Northern Great Plains. It is located in the Tongue River valley. The mine is viewed here through low altitude true color photography collected on 20 July 1974. The photograph (Plate 9) shows great distinction between the ground water dependent vegetation of the oxbow at the top of the photograph and the brownish cast of the range grasses on lands surrounding the mine.

Large portions of the mine have been graded but the darker gray color of the graded areas shows a relatively high concentration of waste coal (carbonaceous sandstone and shale) on the surface. Experiments performed at the Dave Johnston Mine have suggested that placement of such material on the surface materials can serve to complicate the revegetation process.

Surface drainage from the mined area appears along the north boundary of the disturbed lands and is impounded near there.

١.	Mine: Welch Strip Mine
2.	(all acreage on which present
	corporate owners have conducted or are conducting operations) Range: 85W Sec: 22
3.	Mine Operators: Welch Coal Company (Subsidiary of Montana-Dakota
	Utilities)
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1974:18,708 tons per year
	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: tpy
	Average for 1974: 1700 tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year () to
	Acme, WY Acme Plant EGS City, State Use
6.	
	(A) None (e.g., unit train), Ownership:
	, # Cars per train
	(B) Yes - 1 (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Welch Coal
	Company , # Cars per train
	Approximate rate of car loadingmin. per car (100 ton)
	Storage Capacity for Loadingtons.
7.	<del></del>
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness 50 feet; range 20 to 70 feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest (Seam is split by many partings that are not mined.)
	Monarch 13'
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)
	Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average, Maximum:80
	Minimum:30
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils:% (measured; estimated)
8.	Major Operating Equipment:
	Draglines: None (cuyd), (ft), (kw) model capacity boom length power rqmts
	Shovels: ? , 2-4 (cuyd), (ft), Gas or diesel model , capacity max. radius power rgmts
	mode; capacity max. radius power rights

	Trucks:,	model/power	(tons)
	number	model/power	capacity
	Scrapers: 1,	Towed model/power	
		model/power	
	Drills:,	model/power	(cuyd) capacity
	Front End: 1	Rubber Wheeled model/power	, small (cuyd)
	Water Trucks: number	model/power	,(cuyd)
9.	Employment:		
	(A) Number of full-t	ime employees at the site: Aver	age for 1973: 3
	2 in		
	<del></del>		
	working essent	full-time employees employed offi ially full-time for this mine:	(Average for 1973)
	In local area: _	, In Headquarter	s:
	(C) Contract Labor:	Average for 1973:,	% of year worked%
		Type of Work:	
	(D) Places of Residence residing there	ence of Full-Time Employees (and ):	approximate number
	Sheridan		
	Town		Number
10.	Coal Ownership: As o	f July 1974, currently covered by and by Permit:	y Mining and Reclamation
	Federal:	%, State:%, Private	: <u>100</u> %
11.	Coal Lease and "Perm	it" Numbers: (as of July 1974)	
	Federal Lease:	, State Permit: (if any)	
12.	Disposition of Surface	ce in July 1974: Estimated	from photography.
	(A) Active Pit and "A (including areas dragline or sho	Active" Spoils: 7.5 acres leveled for vel)	
	(B) Spoils Regraded	or Being Regraded: 29 ac	res
	(C) Revegetated (See have proba	ded and for Growing): 12 ably been seeded, but no evidence	acres (remaining 17 acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils"	and Open Water:1.1 acres	of ponded water
	(E) Support Facilit	ies, Transportation Routes:	B acres
	TOTAL: A+B+D+E	= 38.4 acres disturbed.	
13.	Average Analyses of	Coal:	
	Name of Coal Seam	Monarch , Moisture 19	%, Ash (wet) <u>11</u> %
		lfur (wet) <u>1.5</u> %, Btu (wet)	



WELCH STRIP MINE
Welch Coal Company
Sheridan County, Wyoming
20Jul74 1110 hrs



Section 5-6 Big Horn Mine - Plates 10 and 11

This mine is located north of Sheridan, Wyoming in the topographic valley of the Tongue River. It is represented in this report in low altitude true color photography flown on 28 July 1974. It is also shown in oblique and aerial photography flown the same day. The surface mining activity is located in the southeast corner of the intersection of the Tongue River and Goose Creek. In fact, Goose Creek and the Tongue River flow in part through open areas where coal was mined. The large pond in Goose Creek near the south edge of the mosaic is a mined-out area as are the rectangular ponds to the north of the Tongue River. The town of Acme, Wyoming lies near the center of the mosaic.

The northern part of the area shown is characterized by subsidence features resulting from collapse of underground workings in the same (age) coal beds not being surface-mined. However, little surface mining is being done in the areas that were once underground mined. Surface mining has not extended into these areas for a variety of reasons related to equipment problems, underground fires, and ownership of coal and surface.

The Big Horn Mine area also contains a large clinker (baked shale) recovery operation located to the west of the coal mine, across Goose Creek. One can see the reddish gravel-like material both at the mine and over the coal mine haul roads. Note also the extension of the reddish hue along both sides of the Tongue River. These are "burn lines" where coal outcrops have caught fire and left baked clay and shale and some clinker from the coal bed. This material is called "scoria" by some, but bears no relationship to volcanic processes producing scoria rock.

The portion of the mine to the south is a scraper-shovel-truck operation while the mine area to the north is a dragline operation to date. Grading and seeding activities are evidenced by the appearance of vegetative growth along the area between Goose Creek and the exposed coal. A varying density of growth is evident.

This mine has a potential to interfere with typical alluvial valley floor systems, but the south mined area is generally in a steeper area which rises abruptly from the drainage channels. If mining were feasible either to the west along the Tongue River or northeastward, then it would appear to compete, at least temporarily, with agricultural

#### Section 5-6

activities in the alluvial valley. It is not certain what lasting impact the mining may have on downstream water resources. The possibilities for increases in dissolved solids has not been assessed.

Encroachment upon the river is in evidence along the northeast edge of the mine at the time of collection of this photography.

In portions of the area currently mined and to the north of the area shown in Plate 10, extensive underground mining has been conducted. Subsidence features exist over hundreds of acres. Various areas of that coal are presently burning. Impressive columns of smoke are sometimes evident for short periods when portions of the old underground mines collapse up to the land surface.

١.	Min	e: Big Hor	n #1					
2.	(al	ation: State: White acreage on who porate owners h	ich present		Sherida	an	Township:	57N
		are conducting			84W	Sec: _	15,22	
3.	Min	e Operators:	Big Horn C	oal Compan	y (Subsic	liary of	Peter Kiew	it Sons,
			Company)					
4.	Pro	duction Rates:	For Year 19	74: <u>44</u>	4,545		tons	per year
			Estimated o	r Projecte	d for Yea	ır 1974:	997,274	tpy
			Monthly Ave	rage for 1	974:	83,000	tons p	er month
5.	Des	tination of Coa						
				s City, MO State	; Havana,	IL; Act	ne, WY; Mar	shalltown,
				itchell, S State	D; Sioux	Falls, S	SD; Aberdee Use	n, SD (EGS)
			(B) Estima	ated tons	per year	(113	<u>,000</u> ) to	)
			Nebra State		na, Minne		gar & other	<u>industri</u> es
			Scatt	=		Use	(to include	cement)
6.	Des	cription of Lon	g-Haul Trans	portation	(referenc	e 5 abov	/e):	
	(A)	None	(e.g.	, unit tra	in), Owne	rship: _		
			, # Ca	ars	per t	rain		
	(B)	Yes	(e.g.	, unit tra	in), Owne	rship: <u>I</u>	Kansas City	- Burling-
		ton Northern		ars	per t	rain		
		Approximate ra		·			(100 ton)	
		Storage Capaci						
7.	Stra	atigraphic Data			<del></del>			
		Average Overbu		ss <u>100</u>	feet; r	ange <u>1</u>	.5 to	<u>200</u> feet
	(B)	Name of Coal S	eams Mined ar	nd Average	Thicknes	s in fee	t: (younges	t to oldest
		Dietz Number 2				11'		<del></del>
		Dietz Number 3				19'		
		Monarch				22'		<del></del>
	(c)	Average Thickn				feet): (	voungest to	oldest)
	, -,	50 , 20 ,		Deeper S	Seams ss and ap	15'	e separatio	80'
	(D)	Depth of Activ	e Pit: (feet)	Average	150'	•	Maximum: _2	240'
				Minimum	: <u>40'</u>		_	
	(E)	Bulking Factor	for Spoils:		% (measu	red; est	imated)	
	(E)	<b>Bulking Factor</b>	for Spoils:		_% (measu	red; est	imated)	

8. Major Oper	rating Equipme	nt:	
Draglines	: <u>B-E 450W</u> model	, <u>12 (cuyd),</u> b	200 (ft), (kw) oom length power rqmts
Shovels:	B-E 54B	4.5 (cuyd), m	(ft), <u>Diesel</u> max. radius power rqmts
	B-E 88B	, 9 (cuyd), capacity	(ft), <u>Diesel</u> max. radius power reqmts
		model/power (lp gas)	
Scrapers:	: 8,	651 Cat model/power	, 32 (cuyd)
	,	Euclid TTS-14 model/power	capacity (cuyd)
Dozers:	,	Cat D8H with ripper model/power	capacity (cuyd)
	<u>3</u> ,	Cat 12F road grader model/power	capacity (cuyu)
	1 , _	Koehring 1066 backhoe model/power	capacity (Cuyu)
	number, -	Cat D96 tractors model/power	capacity caya,
Drills:	number,	Hardscog Drills BE 1-40R 0/ model/power	capacity
Front E Loaders	nd:,	model/power	capacity (cuyd)
Water	1 ,	Southwest model/power	, <u>8000</u> (gal))
Trucks:	number	model/power	capacity
9. Employm	ent:		
(A) Num	ber of full-t	ime employees at the site: /	Average for 1973: <u>40</u>
• •		July, 1974 one shift, f	ive days (80 employees work s, then to construction activies)
(B) Nun	mber of other working essent	full-time employees employed ially full-time for this min	off-site, but who are e: (Average for 1973)
In	local area: _	, In Headqua	rters:
(c) c	ontract Labor	Average for 1973:	, % of year worked%
<b>\</b> - •		Type of Work:	
(D) P	laces of Resi residing ther	dence of Full-Time Employees e):	(and approximate number
_	Acme		
-	Town		Number
_	Sheridan		
_	Town		Number
_	Rancheste	r	
_	Town		Number

10.	Coal Ownership: As of July 1974, currently covered by Mining and Reclamation Plan and by Permit:
	Federal:%, State:%, Private:%
11.	Coal Lease and "Permit" Numbers: (as of July 1974)
	Federal Lease:, State Permit: (if any)
12.	Disposition of Surface in July 1974: Estimated from Photography.
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: <u>360</u> acres (including areas leveled for dragline or shovel)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: 593 acres (includes 23 acres of miscellaneous)
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing): _530 acres (part of part B)
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: 50 (orphan); 100 (ponded) acres
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: 190 acres
	TOTAL Disturbed (A+B+D+E) = 1293 acres.
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam <u>Dietz #2</u> , Moisture <u>23.3</u> %, Ash (wet) <u>6</u> %
	Na <sub>2</sub> O(Ash) <u>1.49</u> %, Sulfur (wet) <u>84</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>9300</u> per 1b.
	Name of Coal Seam <u>Dietz #3</u> , Moisture <u>19</u> %, Ash (wet) <u>4.4</u> %
	Na <sub>2</sub> O(Ash) <u>4.25</u> %,Sulfur (wet) <u>.5</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>9700</u> per lb.
	Name of Coal Seam Monarch , Moisture 21 %, Ash (wet) 5.8 %
	Na <sub>2</sub> O(ash) 3.4 %,Sulfur (wet) 6 %, Btu (wet) 9550 per lb.
	Name of Coal Seam <u>Average</u> , Moisture <u>24</u> %, Ash (wet) <u>5.3</u> %
	Na <sub>2</sub> O(ash)%, Sulfur (wet) <u>0.61</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>9300</u> per 1b.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	Considerable old underground mining in this area (see attachment); also, underground mine fire and subsidence north of the Tongue River (Northern half of section 15); old mining normally removed no more than 10 feet of coal leaving rest, as roof; water is pumped from workings especially portion in Section 15.  Blasting AN-FO & dynamite 9' spacing coal, 25' spacing overburden 0.3#/ton coal; 0.7#/yd³ overburden  Pit width \$\simes\$ 600'  Rye is "Nurse Crop", wheat grasses.  Pit summ pumped to Googa Grask

## **PAGE NOT**

AVAILABLE

DIGITALLY

Section 5-7
Decker No. 1 Mine - Plates 12 and 13

Both true color and color infrared photography are provided for this mine. The true color was collected on 28 July 1974 from low altitude and is a mosaic. The color infrared was collected on 23 June 1975 from 17,300 meters (56,700 feet) and is a portion of a single frame. The large body of water to the east of the mine is the Tongue River Reservoir. A significant amount of infiltration enters the mine from that reservoir.

The thick coal bed (about 16 meters or 52 feet) has permitted mining at a rate approaching 6 to 7 million tons per year without much change in the mine plan from July 1974 to June of 1975. Reclamation activities are represented by the plot work centered on the test pit at the lower center of the photographs, and by the grading and emergence of seedlings shown at the north (upper) end of the pit beyond the mining operation. Spoils to the east of the exposed coal bed are not yet graded to any great degree since the mining plan also incorporates eastward mining of the same coal bed. The results of initial grading and reseeding of the spoils are evident in the color infrared 1975 photography by the strip of magenta paralleling the pit in the west and north.

Drainage diversion ditches produce the white or brown paths along the western side of the mine. These lead drainage away from the mine into drainages not affected by the mine. The 1974 color mosaic shows the sedimentation pond at the extreme southeast corner of the mine. One can see coal haul trucks in the 1974 photography, but only the dragline is as clearly shown in the 1975 imagery.

The 1975 IR imagery vividly portrays strong vegetative growth in the valley of the Tongue River. Comparison of the two Plates shows the differences between a full (1975) and a drained (1974) reservoir.

Additional mining is planned for the east and northwest side of the reservoir.

1.	Mine: Decker Number 1
2.	Location: State: Montana County: Big Horn Township: 9S  (all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted or are conducting operations) Range: 40E Sec: 15,16
2	Mine Operators: Decker Coal Company ("Wytana, Inc") Peter Kiewit and
٥.	
	Pacific Power & Light
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1974: 4,159,287 tons per year  Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 7,000,000 tpy
	Monthly Average for 1974:tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year () to
	Havanna, IL Steam Electric City, State Use
	(B) Commonwealth Edison Transfer to Barge
	(B) Estimated tons per year ( <u>6 X 10<sup>6</sup></u> ) to
	City, State Use Detreit Edison
	(C) Estimated tons per year ( <u>1.25 X 10<sup>6</sup></u> ) to
	City, State Use
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transportation (reference 5 above): via rail spur (19 miles) to Burlington Northern main line near Ucross, Wyoming.
	(A) Unit Train (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Burlington
	Northern , # Cars 100 per train 13 to 21/week (w/slave unit)
	Approximate rate of car loading $21.2$ min. per car (100 ton)
	Storage Capacity for loading 27,000 tons 2 silos, 700-ton truck bin, primary crushers to 8", secondary crusher to 2"
7.	Stratigraphic Data:
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness 70 feet; range to 150 feet O/B = Sandstone & Shale, Faulted on South by Burn Line
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest)
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)  Deeper Seams Dietz #2 15  T0-75, thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.
	(20+30) mined seams.
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average, Maximum:150
	Minimum: 30
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils: % (measured; estimated)

8.	Major Operating Equi	pment:		
	Draglines: B-E 130	00-W	capacity 28	5 (ft), 1750 (hp)
	BE 1570	)	71 (cuyd), unde	r construction
			• •	
	Shovels:2 e <u>a BE 195</u> mode	<u>B</u> ,	16 (cuyd), >49 max. (extend	(ft), 600/1500(hp) radius power rqmts led range)
	mode	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\frac{16}{\text{capacity}}$ (cuyd), $\frac{1}{\text{max.r}}$	(ft), (kw)
	Trucks: 9 number	Cat PW 660	ower	, 65-70 (tons)
		•		, -
	number,	model/p	ower	capacity (tons)
	(150	-ton WABCO on	order)	
	Scranore 3	Cat 637	•	(amid)
	number	model/p	ower	capacity
	Dozers: 3,	n-	9 power	, (cuyd)
	number	mode1/	power	capacity
	1 numbon	Cat 9	88 power	,(cuyd)
			•	• •
	number,	B-E 60- model	R Overburden Drill /power	capacity
	number '	model	enver Coal Drill /power	capacity
	Front End: 1	Dart	el/power	_,23(cuyd)
	Water	mode	1/power	,(cuyd)
9.			., pone.	oupuo. sy
э.				
			at the site: Average	
	220 in (180 mining)	July, 1974	Three shifts - seve Two shifts - six da One shift - five da	ys for loading
	(B) Number of other working essent	full-time emp ially full-tir	loyees employed off-sine for this mine: (Av	te, but who are erage for 1973)
	In local area: _		, In Headquarters:	
	(C) Contract Labor:	Average for	1973:,	% of year worked%
		Type of Worl	k:	
	(D) Places of Residence residing there		Time Employees (and ap	proximate number
	Town			Number
1.0		6 11 3074		
IU.	Coal Ownership: As of Plan	f July 1974, ( and by Permit	currently covered by Mit:	ining and Reclamation
	Federal:	%. State:	%. Private.	q

11.	Coal Lease and "Permit" Numbers: (as of July 1974) Lease Area: 16,000 acres
	Federal Lease:, State Permit: (if any)
12.	Disposition of Surface in 1975:
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: 680 acres (including areas leveled for (Pit: 3100 acres) dragline or shovel)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded:268 acres
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing): acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: acres 2185
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam <u>Dietz #1</u> , Moisture <u>23 %</u> , Ash (wet) <u>3.7 %</u>
	Sulfur (wet) <u>0.4</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>9650</u> per 1b.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	Reserves Estimated at 1,000,000,000 tons.  Blasting AN-FO 15' spacing to 150' deep, overburden and coal - methane encountered in coal
	Pit Width: 120-150'. Coal mined in two benches. Plan irrigation. Research areas for Montana State; USDA-Forest Service.

## **PAGE NOT**

AVAILABLE

DIGITALLY

Section 5-8 Sarpy Creek (Absaloka) Mine - Plate 14

This mine is one of the newest in the Northern Great Plains. It is represented in this report by low-level aerial photography flown on 21 July 1974 presented in Plate 14 in true color. The area is characteristic of biological ecosystems at the moderate altitudes of eastern Montana as evidenced by the higher density of evergreen trees and shrubs.

The mine has more recently been called "Absaloka." It is located on Ceded lands adjacent to the Crow Indian Reservation.

A sediment pond dam is visible at the upper right (northwest) corner of the disturbed area, just east of the rail line embankment. Some grading had taken place at the time of this photograph. Those areas are located principally along the southwestern boundary of the mine. One seeded stockpile of topsoil is visible immediately south of the upper sedimentation pond.

The mine borders agricultural land on the east and northeast. Also visible is reddish baked shale at the southeast edge of the mine and along the northwestern side of the disturbed area.

1.	Mine: Sarpy Creek (Absaloka)	
2.	Location: State: Montana County: Big Horn Township: IN (all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted	
	or are conducting operations) Range: 37E Sec: 23, 25, 26	
3.	Mine Operators: Westmoreland Coal Company - Morrison-Knudsen(Operators) -	
	Kewanee Oil Company - Penn Virginia Corporation	
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1974: New tons per year	
	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 1,500,000 tpy	
	Monthly Average for 1974:tons per month	
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year () to	
	Steam Electric City, State Use	
	Northern States Pwr (Minn)	
	Interstate Pwr (Minn) Dairyland Coop (Wis) Wisconsin Pwr & Light	
	(B) Estimated tons per year $(4.048 \times 10^6)$ to	
	Peroria, Ill (1 X 10 <sup>6</sup> tpy) Steam Electric	
	City, State Use Central Illinois Lghtg	
	Northern States Pwr (Minn. - Major	
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transportation (reference 5 above): via 37-mile spur to Burlington Northern near Hysham, Montana.	
	(A) (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Burlington	
	Northern , # Cars 110 per train 11/wk	
	Approximate rate of car loading: min. pr car (100 ton)	
	Storage Capacity for Loading tons	
7.	Stratigraphic Data:	
•	(A) Average Overburden Thickness 80 feet; range 20 to 200 feet	
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldes	Ł)
		• •
	octay #1	
	Stray #2 4'	
	Robinson 20'	
	Average total thickness - 58'	
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)  Deeper Seams  thickness and approximate separation from	
	30 , 8 , 60 thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.	
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average, Maximum:	
	Minimum:	

	(E) Bulki	ng Factor f	or Spoils:	30 % (n	neasured;	estimated)	
8.	Major Ope	rating Equi	oment:				
	Draglines	: <u>Marion</u> mode	3200-11R ,	75 (cu	ıyd), <u>32</u> boom	5 (ft), length pov	(kw) ver rqmts
	Shovels:	mode	, 1	(cu	ıyd), <u>boom</u>	(ft), length pov	(kw)
	Trucks:	number,	Mack model/p (Haul 320	ower 0' + 500' ea	ich year)	, <u>100 (115)</u> capacity	tons
	Scrapers:	number ,	Terex model/p	T24 ower		· capacity	(cuyd)
	Dozers:	number	D-9 Cat model/	power	<del>2. 2 </del>	capacit	(cuyd)
		number,	C-8 Cat model/	power		capacit	(cuyd)
	Drills:	number,	BE45R O/I	B Drill (11" power	<u>')                                    </u>	_,	(cuyd)
			Gard-Den model				
	Front End Loaders	: <u>2</u> number	Michigan 4	75 e <b>1</b> /power		, <u>18</u> capacit	(cuyd)
	Water Trucks:	number ,	mode	/power	<del></del>	, capaci	ty (cuyd)
9.	Employment	:					
	(A) Numbe	r of full-t	ime employees	at the site	: Average	e for 1973:	70
		in	July, 1974	Two Shif	ts		
	(B) Numbe	r of other 1	full-time emp ally full-time	ovees emplo	ved off-s	ite, but who verage for l	are 973)
			Average for				
			Type of Worl	<b>:</b>			
		es of Reside	ence of Full-1	ime Employe	es (and ap	pproximate n	umber
	To	own		<del> </del>	<del></del>	Number	
10.	Coal Owne		July 1974, o		vered by M	Mining and R	eclamation
	Federal:	9	, State:	100% Crow %,			%
11.	Coal Lease	e and "Permi	t" Numbers:	(as of July	1974)		_
	Federal L	ease:		State Perm (if any)			14,746 Acres
12.	Disposition	on of Surfac	e in January	1976:			
	(incl	e Pit and "A uding areas line or show	ctive" Spoils leveled for el)	i:	_ acres		157
	(B) Spoils	s Regraded o	r Being Regra	ided:	acres	s	

	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing): acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: acres 187
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam Rosebud-McKay, Moisture $\frac{23.75}{8}$ , Ash (wet) $\frac{9.22}{8}$
	Sulfur (wet)
	Name of Coal Seam Stray #2, Moisture $23.59\%$ , Ash (wet) $12.84\%$
	Sulfur (wet) $1.53$ %, Btu (wet) $8223$ per lb.
	Name of Coal Seam Robinson, Moisture $\frac{23.85\%}{}$ , Ash (wet) $\frac{7.79}{\%}$
	Sulfur (wet) <u>0.52</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>8594</u> per lb.
	Name of Coal Seam, Moisture $\frac{25}{}$ %, Ash (wet) $\frac{9}{}$ %
	Sulfur (wet) <u>0.7</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>8450</u> per 1b.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	Reserves Estimated at 800,000,000 tons; 625,000,000 "minable" tons Projected Production: 4 X $10^6$ tpy 1975; 5 X $10^6$ tpy 1976; 15 X $10^6$ tpy $\approx$ 1988 Overburden Pattern: $\approx$ 30' X 30' ANFO used



ABSALOKA MINE (SARPY CREEK)

Westmoreland Coal Company Big Horn County, Montana 21Jul74 1130-1132 hrs



Section 5-9
<u>Big Sky Mine</u> - Plates 15 and 16

This mine was missed during the 1974 data gathering exercise and is therefore represented by color infrared imagery collected on 23 June 1975 from an altitude of 17,450 meters (57,300 feet) (Plate 16) and by color infrared imagery collected on 11 July 1975 from an altitude of 1,830 meters (6,000 feet) (Plate 15). The mine is relatively small in comparison to the nearby Rosebud Mine and is located south of Colstrip, Montana and west-southwest of the lower portion of the Rosebud Mine (See Section 5-10). The railroad loop is evident on the right-hand (east) side of the upper pit. The magenta tones of the color infrared photograph trace the principal drainages of the area while contrasting with the whites of the gravel roads and mined areas, and with the black of the exposed coal (along the left side of the upper pit) and coal in the coal preparation area. The color infrared imagery has not identified much vegetation growth over the disturbed areas except at the right bottom of the lower disturbed area in Plate 15 where some extremely vigorous vegetation is mixed in with more barren material. A portion of this is also represented in the 1975 photography of Plate 16. The light blue areas show accumulation of water. Greyish spoil and some less vigorous vegetative growth also appear across the disturbed areas.

This mine is located, as was the previously discussed one, in some evergreen areas representative of upland drainage areas.

١.	Mine: Big Sky
2.	(all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted
	or are conducting operations) Range: <u>41E</u> Sec: <u>13,14,15,22,23,26,27</u>
3.	Mine Operators: Peabody Coal Company
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1974: 1,971,643 tons per year
	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 2,700,000 tpy
	Monthly Average for 1974:tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year ( <u>1,560,000</u> ) to
	Cohasset & Aurora, Minn Steam Electric City, State Use (Minnesota Pwr & Light)
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transportation (reference 5 above):
	(A) Unit Train (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Burlington
	Northern , # Cars 100 per train 3 trains per week
	Approximate rate of car loading 1.2 min.per car (100 ton)
	Storage Capacity for loading 25,000 tons
7.	
•	(A) Average Overburden Thicknessfeet; range _50 to _90 feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest)
	Rosebud 26
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)  Deeper Seams
	8-35', shale thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average, Maximum:
	Minimum:
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils:% (measured; estimated)
8.	
	Draglines: Marion 7800 , 30 (cuyd), (ft), North Pit
	Draglines: Marion 7800 , 30 (cuyd), (ft), North Pit model , 14 (cuyd), 175 (ft), South Pit model capacity boom length
	model capacity boom length
	Shovels: Marion 191 , 16 (cuyd), (ft), (kw) model capacity max. radius power rqmts
	Trucks: 4 , KW Dart , 120 (tons) number model/power capacity
	number model/power capacity
	(Changing to Euclid) $\approx$ 3 D-9 Cats 1 Ford 5000 Tractor

	Scrapers: 1	WABCO	(cuyd)
	number	model/power	(cuyd)
	Dozers: 2 number	Cat D-8 model/power	(cuyd)
	Drills: 1	BE 50-R Overburden Drill 10 5/8' model/power	
	1 number		
	Front End:, Loaders number	model/power	,(cuyd)
	Water Trucks: number	model/power	,(cuyd)
9.	Employment:		
	in (B) Number of other	ime employees at the site: Average 1974 full-time employees employed off-sit ially full-time for this mine: (Ave	e, but who are
	In local area: _	, In Headquarters:	
	(C) Contract Labor:	Average for 1973:, %	of year worked
		Type of Work:	
	(D) Places of Residence residing there	ence of Full-Time Employees (and app):	roximate number
10.	Coal Ownership: As o	f July 1974, currently covered by Mi and by Permit:	
	Federal:	%, State:%, Private:	%
11.	Coal Lease and "Perm	it" Numbers: (as of July 1974)	
	Federal Lease:	, State Permit:(if any)	
12.	Disposition of Surfac	ce in 1974:	
	(A) Active Pit and "/ (including areas dragline or show	Active" Spoils: <u>226</u> acres leveled for vel)	
	(B) Spoils Regraded	or Being Regraded: acres	
	(C) Revegetated (See	ded and for Growing): acr	es
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" a	and Open Water: acres	397
	(E) Support Facility	ies, Transportation Routes:	acres
13.	Average Analyses of (	Coal:	
	Name of Coal Seam R	osebud , Moisture 24.9 %, A	sh (wet) 8.5 %
	Su	Ifur (wet) %, Btu (wet) 860	o per 1b.

	Name of Coal Sea	am <u>McKay</u>	_, Moisture%, Ash	(wet)%
		Sulfur (wet)	%, Btu (wet)	per 1b.
	Name of Coal Se	am Average	_, Moisture <u>20-25</u> %, Ash	(wet) <u>8.4</u> %
		Sulfur (wet) 0.8	%, Btu (wet)8750	per lb.
14.	including under	Interest such as pre ground, water-bearing rkings, water qualit	vious (historical) meth g strata encountered, a y data.	ods of mining mount of water

Blasting AN-F0  $\approx$  20-30' spacing. Interburden shale is aquifer.

AVAILABLE

Section 5-10 Rosebud Mine - Plates 17, 18, and 19

This mine was photographed in July 1974 and again in June 1975. Imagery from the first flight, on 21 July 1974, is presented in true color (see Plate 17). The imagery for 23 June 1975 is color infrared. The true color is a mosaic of low altitude photography while the color infrared was obtained at an altitude of 17,450 meters (57,330 feet). We are afforded then the opportunity to again compare types of imagery and changes in time. Plate 19 provides an oblique view of the operation which includes the power plant, also collected on 28 July 1974.

Examination of the plowed fields show the alternating stubble and emerging June growth. Very healthy growth is evidenced in the irregular plots intersected by the roads by the green-brown and deep magenta hues. The southeast portion of the disturbed area (lower right) shows this quite well. The less vigorous growth immediately south of the coal train loading facilities is apparent in the June 1975 photography. Note also the completion of mining along the west edge of this same southern portion of the mine. The 1975 imagery shows the expansion of mining to the west, plus the additional construction associated with the town of Colstrip and the two units of the power plant (located just east of the town). Expanded trailer court facilities in Colstrip are also evident.

Vegetative growth at the bottom of the old Burlington Northern spoils is evidenced in both photographs (east of the town and plant site).

Most assuredly this site offers a full spectrum of examples of energy development activities. Note also the new activities being conducted in the creek valley to the west of Colstrip (Plate 18).

This mine is the site of numerous experimental activities regarding hydrology of spoils and revegetation.

١.	Mine: Rosebud
2.	Location: State: Montana County: Rosebud Township: IN (all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted
	or are conducting operations) Range: 41E Sec: 1,2,11,12
3.	Mine Operators: Western Energy Company - Montana Power (Long Construction
	Company Operators)
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1974: 4,253,781 tons per year
	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 2,837,000 tpy
	Monthly Average for 1974:tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year ( <u>780,000</u> ) to
	Billings, MT Steam Electric City, State Use
	Use (Montana Power & E., Corvette Sta
	(B) Estimated tons per year $(1,456,000)$ to
	St. Paul, Minn Steam Electric
	City, State Use (Northern States Pwr)
	(C) Estimated tons per year (_2,600,000) to
	Chicago, Ill Steam Electric City, State Use
	City, State Use (Commonwealth Edison)
	(Total: 4,836,000)
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transportation (reference 5 above): via 35-mile rail spur North to Burlington-Northern main line.
	(A)(e.g., unit train), Ownership:
	, # Cars 50 per train 3 trains per week
	(B) Unit Train (e.g., unit train), Ownership:
	, # Cars
	(C) Unit Train (e.g., unit train), Ownership:
	, # Cars <u>100</u> per train 3-7 trains per week
	Approximate rate of car loadingmin. per car (100 ton)
	Storage Capacity for loading tons
7.	Stratigraphic Data:
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness 90 feet; range 30 to 160 feet Clay Sandstone, 30 in new pit
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest)
	Rosebud 27
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)
	thickness and approximate separation from
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest  Rosebud

	(D) Depth o	of Active F	Pit: (feet)	Average	, Ma	ıximum:	_
				Minimum:			
	(E) Bulking	Factor fo	or Spoils: _	% (mea	asured; estim	nated)	
8.	Major Opera	ating Equip	oment:				
	Draglines:	Marion mode	360 I	, 60 (cuyo	d), (f	t), under th construction	n
		mode		, 8 (cuyo capacity	d), (f	t), <u>700/1750 hp</u> ' th power rqmts	'o1d'
		BE 105 mode	0-B ,	24 (cuyd capacity	), <u>150</u> (ft boom Teng	stripping	
	Shovels:	BE 550- mode	-B	$\frac{17}{\text{capacity}}$ (cuy	d), (f	t),(k us power rqmts	w)
	-	BE 280- mode	-в	17 (cuyd capacity	), <u>54</u> (ft max. radiu	c), (kw is power rqmts	1)
	Trucks:	number ·	model/	power	· · · · · ·	100 (tons apacity	)
						120 (tons	
						cuyd apacity	
						capacity (cuyd	
						(cuyd capacity	
						capacity (cuy	d)
				45-R O/B Drill T/power			
	-7	ıumber		•		capacity (cuyd	!)
				en drill, 2 co			
	Front End: Loaders	number,				capacity (cuyd	
	Water Trucks: r	number '	mod	el/power	, _	capacity (cuyd	)
9.	Employment						
	(A) Number	of full-ti	ime employee	s at the site:	Average for	1973: 120	-
	150 (80 m	ining)	July, 1974	Two sh	lfts, five da	ays	
	(B) Number worki	of other ing essenti	full-time em ially full-t	ployees employe ime for this m	ed off-site, ine: (Averag	but who are le for 1973)	
	In loca	ıl area: _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, In Headqı	uarters:		
	(C) Contra	ct Labor:	Average fo	r 1973:	, % of	year worked	_% %
			Type of Wo	rk:			

	(D)	Places o residi		sidence d ere):	of Full-	Time Emp	loyees	s (and	appro	ximate	numbe	r
		Town								Number		
	01			6 3 . 3	1074							
10.	Coal	0wnersh	p: As	s of July lan and b	y 1974, o by Permii	current! t:	y cove	ered b	y Mini	ng and	Recla	mation
	Fede	ral:		%, Sta	ite:		%, Pr	^ivate	:		%	
11.	Coal	Lease ar	nd "Pe	ermit" Nu	ımbers:	(as of	July 1	974)				
	Fede	ral Lease	»:	7,175 ac	res	, State (if an	Permit y)	:: <u></u>		<del></del>		
12.	Disp	osition (	of Su	rface in	July 197	74:						
	(A)	Active Pi (includin dragline	ng are	eas level	e" Spoils led for	s:		acres				
	(B)	Spoils Re	grade	ed or Bei	ing Regra	aded:		ac	res			
	(c)	Revegetat	ted (	Seeded ar	nd for Gi	rowing):			acres			
	(D)	"Orphan S	Spoil:	s" and Op	oen Water	r:		acres				
	(E)	Support	Faci'	lities, T	[ransport	tation R	outes:	:		acres		
13.	Aver	age Analy	/ses (	of Coal:				-				
	Name	of Coal	Seam	Rosebud	i	, Moi	sture	24.3	%, Ash	(wet)	8.1	%
					(wet) (							_
	Name	of Coal	Seam									%
					(wet)							<del></del>
	Name	of Coal	Seam						<b>*</b>	kange i	8600-9	000 %
		J. 000.	00.4		(wet) <u>1</u> .							~
	Namo	of Cool	C									ď
	наше	of Coal	Seam									_ <b>^</b> b
			_		(wet)			-				
	Name	of Coal	Seam									%
				Sulfur (	(wet)	0.8 %,	Btu (	wet)	8800	per	16.	
14.	incl	r Items o uding und ved from	lergro	ound, wat	er-bearl	ing stra	ta enc	rical counte	) methored, ar	ods of nount o	mining of wate	J er
	Blas Pit Firs 4" o Some 26"	t Year pl f coal le	70 & 1 L20' ( Lant of eft or election oeline	Dynamite to 160'.  rye, oats of floor storets efforts under conductions.	coal and s; then n as cushic ain spoil irrigate (ellowsto	d overbureplace on over l. éd. one Rive	with w gray u	nheat ; inderc	grasse lay; w	8. edge 3	' wide	at

**AVAILABLE** 

Section 5-11 Savage Mine - Plates 20 and 21

This mine is represented by low altitude, true color photography mosaicked for Plate 20 as well as by two enlargements of the true color and of concurrently collected color infrared imagery presented in Plate 20. All information was collected on 22 July 1974.

The mine is a relatively small export operation and provides an example of grading and emergent vegetation over mined lands in agricultural areas. Mining is progressing westward. Grading has been accomplished over the southern pit. That southern area is again represented in the two enlargements of Plate 20. The magenta hues of the emergent vegetation in Plate 21b are noteworthy. The pit area to the north on Plate 20 also shows vegetative growth. A number of impoundments are visible. The false color (color infrared) print of Plate 21b defines the natural drainage channels and a rapidly greening area just southwest of the coal loading facilities which appears as a green area on Plate 21a.

New mining activity is apparent to the south of the older mined areas.

Some reclamation-related experiments are being carried out at this site.

١.	. Mine: Savage	_
2.	Location: State: Montana County: Richland Township: 20N (all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted or are conducting operations) Range: 57E Sec: 22,27	
2		<del>_</del>
3.		
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1974: 312,785 tons per y	
	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 320,000	
	Monthly Average for 1974:tons per mo	onth
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year ( <u>320,000</u> ) to	
	Sidney, MT Steam Electric City, State Use	
	(50MW Lewis & Clark St	ation)
6.	. Description of Long-Haul Transportation (reference 5 above): Cecil Spui	•
	(A)(e.g., unit train), Ownership: Burlington	
	Northern , # Cars per train	
	Approximate rate of car loading:min. per car	
	Storage capacity for loadingtons	
7.	Stratigraphic Data:	
	(A) Average Overburden Thicknessfeet; range to	_feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to	الممادات
		o idest
	Pust 9-20'	o ordest
	Pust 9-20'	o ordest
	Pust 9-20'	lest)
	Pust 9-20'  Total 20'  (C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to old Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from the separation of the s	dest)
	Pust 9-20'  Total 20'  (C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to old Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.  (D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average , Maximum: 90	dest)
	Pust 9-20'  Total 20'  (C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to old Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.	dest)
8.	Pust 9-20'  Total 20'  (C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to old Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.  (D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average , Maximum: 90 Minimum:  (E) Bulking Factor for Spoils: % (measured; estimated)	dest)
8.	Pust 9-20'  Total 20'  (C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to old Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.  (D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average , Maximum: 90 Minimum: (E) Bulking Factor for Spoils: % (measured; estimated)	dest)
8.	Pust  Total  20'  (C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to old Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.  (D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average, Maximum:	(kw)
8.	Total  Total  Z0'  (C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to old Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.  (D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average, Maximum:90  Minimum:  (E) Bulking Factor for Spoils:% (measured; estimated)  Major Operating Equipment:  Draglines:%	(kw) its (kw)
8.	Pust   20'	(kw) tts (kw) tts cons)
8.	Total  Total  Z0'  (C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to old Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.  (D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average, Maximum:90  Minimum:  (E) Bulking Factor for Spoils:% (measured; estimated)  Major Operating Equipment:  Draglines:%	(kw) its (kw) its cons)

	Water (cuyd Trucks: number model/power capacity
9.	
	(A) Number of full-time employees at the site: Average for 1973:18
	in July, 1974 one shift
	(B) Number of other full-time employees employed off-site, but who are working essentially full-time for this mine: (Average for 1973)
	In local area:, In Headquarters:
	(C) Contract Labor: Average for 1973:, % of year worked
	Type of Work:
	(D) Places of Residence of Full-Time Employees (and approximate number residing there):
	Town
10.	Coal Ownership: As of July 1974, currently covered by Mining and Reclamatio Plan and by Permit:
	Federal:%, State:%, Private:%
11.	Coal Lease and "Permit" Numbers: (as of July 1974)
	Federal Lease:, State Permit: (if any)
12.	Disposition of Surface in 1976:
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: <u>170</u> acres (including areas leveled for dragline or shovel)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: acres
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing): acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: acres
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam <u>Average</u> , Moisture <u>38</u> %, Ash (wet) <u>7-7.5</u> %
	Sulfur (wet)0.5 %, Btu (wet)6500_ per lb.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.

AVAILABLE

Section 5-12 Peerless (Gascoyne) Mine - Plate 22

This mine is represented in true color photography flown on 23 July 1974. The mine has recently expanded and construction activities associated with that expansion are in evidence on the mosaic. The mine is, as are many North Dakota mines, located in farmed (cultivated) areas. Reclamation activity has increased and the graded spoils to the east as well as areas immediately north of the mine facilities show evidence of successful seeding and of invasion of volunteer growth.

Substantial water appears to be present in the open, non-working pits and the entire area presents the impression of a relatively shallow ground water table.

This mine is a site of a detailed hydrologic investigation of the effects of mining and reclamation.

١.	rine: Gascoyte (reeriess)
2.	Location: State: North Dakota County: Bowman Township:131N (all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted
	or are conducting operations) Range: 99W Sec: 32,33,34,35,27,28,29
3,	Mine Operators: Knife River Coal Mining Company
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1973: 185,011 tons per year
	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 223,039 tpy
	Monthly Average for 1974: 18,500 tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year ( <u>114,000</u> ) to
	Ortonville, MN Otter Tail Power Co. City, State Use
	• •
	(B) Estimated tons per year ( <u>29,000</u> ) to
	Mobridge, SD Montana-Dakota Utilities City, State Use
	(C) Estimated tons per year ( 37,500 ) to
	Gascoyne, ND American Colloid Co. City, State Use
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transportation (reference 5 above):
٠.	best iporon or going maar transporterior (teresente e estre).
	(A) Rail Road Cars (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Milwaukee
	Railroad , # Cars Varies per train
	(B) Rail Road Cars (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Milwaukee
	Approximate rate of car loading 30 min. per car (100 ton)
	——————————————————————————————————————
	Storage capacity for loading <u>None</u> tons
7.	
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness 20 feet; range 10 to 30 feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest
	Not Named 8,12,8
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)
	Deeper Seams  6, 6, thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average, Maximum:30
	Minimum: 10
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils: 20 % (measured: estimated)

8.	Major Oper	ating Equipm	ent:				
	Draglines:	7620 model	, _32	capacity	235 ( boom len	ft), 250 gth powe	00 hp Under con- r rqmts struction
		955 model	, 3	(cuyd), capacity	95 ( boom len	ft), Die	r rqmts
	Shovels:	BE 195-B model	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(cuyd),	59 ( max. rad	ft), 600, lius powe	<u>'1500 (</u> kw) r rqmts
		51-B model	,	3 (cuyd),	,( max. rad	ft), Diese	<u>l</u> (kw)
	Trucks:	number,	DM-831SX model/power	r	<b></b> , -	20 capacity	( tons )
	Scrapers:	number,	model/powe	637	· _	capacity	(cuyd)
		number,	MRS model/power	<del>,</del>	· -	15 capacity	(cuyd)
	Dozers: _	None ,	mode1/pow	er		capacity	(cuyd)
	Drills:	None ,	model/po	wer	······································	capacity	(cuyd)
	Front End: Loaders	number,	Hough model/power			capacity	(cuyd)
	Water Trucks: I	number, _	B-835X model/po	ower	··	capacit	(cuyd) y
9.	Employment	:					
	•	of full-tim	e employees at	the site: A	verage fo	r 1973: _	22
	(B) Number	of other fu	uly, 1974 ll-time employo lly full-time 1	ees employed	off-site,	but who	are 73)
			None None				
	(C) Contro	act Labor:	Average for 19	73:	, % o	f year wo	rked%
			Type of Work:				
		s of Residen ding there):	ce of Full-Time	e Employees (	and appro	ximate num	nber
	Tov	Reeder		·		11 Number	
		Scranton				10	
	Tov	wn			_	Number	
		Bowman	······			1 Numbon	<del></del>
	To		_			Number	
10.		ship: As of	: <u>3</u> July 1974, curi nd by Permit:	- rently covere	ed by Mini	ng and Re	clamation
	Federal:		State: Non	e%, Priv	vate:8	37.4	%
11.	Coal Lease	and "Permit	" Numbers: (as	of July 197	·4)		
	Federal Lea	ase: <u>019</u>	127 , Si	tate Permit: if any)		<del></del>	

12.	Disposition of Surface in July 1974:
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: 35 acres (including areas leveled for dragline or shovel)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: <u>140</u> acres
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing): 42 acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: <u>None</u> acres
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: 65 acres
	(F) "Inactive" : 39 acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam <u>2nd</u> , Moisture <u>41</u> %, Ash (wet) <u>7.6</u> %
	Sodium (wet) 3.4%. Sulfur (wet)
	Name of Coal Seam <u>Average</u> , Moisture <u>40</u> %, Ash (wet) <u>8</u> %
	Sulfur (wet) <u>1-1.5</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>6100</u> per lb.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	During 1974, this mine was expanding - the actual mining operation had no contract labor. All contract labor was for expansion purposes.

**AVAILABLE** 

Section 5-13 Lehigh (Husky) Mine - Plate 23

This mine is represented by true color aerial photography collected on 23 July 1974. The mine is a relatively small one supplying a briquette and chemical plant. Reclamation has been and is taking place along south and east portions of the mine and grading is suggested at the northwest corner of the mosaic. The limit of seeding along the north appears to follow a fence line.

Note the subsidence features along the west side of the mine pit and plant caused by collapse of older underground drifts and haulage ways mined into the same coals now being mined from the surface.

1.	Mine: Lehigh (Husky) Mine
2.	Location: State: North Dakota County: Stark Township: 139N  (all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted or are conducting operations) Range: 95W Sec: 7,8, 17
3.	Mine Operators: Husky Industries
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1974: 160,657 tons per year
	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 160,000 tpy
	Monthly Average for 1974: 13,300 tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year (160,000) to
	North Dakota Husky Industries Inc. City, State Use
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transportation (reference 5 above): Not applicable. For $\sigma$ wn use.
	(A)(e.g., unit train), Ownership:
	Approximate rate of car loadingmin. per car (100 ton)
	Storage Capacity for Loading tons
7.	Stratigraphic Data:
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness 67 feet; range 50 to 75 feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest
	9 feet
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)  Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average67, Maximum:75
	Minimum: 50
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils: % (measured; estimated)
8.	Major Operating Equipment:
	Draglines: Bucyrus , 6 (cuyd), 100 (ft), (kw) model capacity boom length power rqmts
	Shovels: Bucyrus , 3 1/2 (cuyd), (ft), (kw) model , capacity , mx. radius , power rqmts
	P&H , 2 (cuyd), (ft), (kw) model capacity max. radius power rqmts
	Trucks: 2 , 91FDB Euclid , 13 (tons) number model/power capacity
	1 , LRUSW Mack , 26 (tons) number model/power capacity

	Scrapers: <u>None</u> ,	•	(cuyd)
		del/power ,	
	Dozers: None number m	odel/power	
	Drills: <u>None</u> ,	model/power	capacity (cuyd)
	Front End: None , Loaders number		
	Water 1 , I	nternational	,(cuyd)
0		inode 17 power	cupucity
9.	Employment:	of the site. Average	for 1072.
	(A) Number of full-time empl	oyees at the site: Average	101 1975:8
	8 in 1974		1 1 1
	(B) Number of other full-tim working essentially fu	e employees employed off-sit ll-time for this mine: (Ave	rage for 1973)
	In local area:	, In Headquarters: _	
	(C) Contract Labor: Averag	e for 1973: <u>5</u> , %	of year worked <u>75</u> %
	Type o	f Work:	
	(D) Places of Residence of residing there):	Full-Time Employees (and app	proximate number
	Dickinson		8 Number
	Town		
10.	Coal Ownership: As of July 1 Plan and by	974, currently covered by Mi Permit:	ining and Reclamation
	Federal:%, State	:%, Private:	100 %
11.	Coal Lease and "Permit" Numb	ers: (as of July 1974)	
	Federal Lease:	State Permit: #20 (Expire	es 1/1/76) (14 acres)
12.	Disposition of Surface in Ju	ly 1974:	
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" (including areas leveled dragline or shovel)	Spoils: <u>16</u> acres	
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being	Regraded: <u>11</u> acres	
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and	for Growing): <u>18</u> ac	res
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open	Water: acres	
	(E) Support Facilities, Tra	nsportation Routes: <u>5</u>	acres
	(F) "Inactive": <u>37</u>	acres	
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:		
	Name of Coal Seam	, Moisture <u>32</u> %,	Ash (wet) <u>7</u> %
	Sulfur (we	et) <u>1</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>64</u>	00 per 1b.
14.	Other Items of Interest such including underground, water removed from workings, water	-bearing strata encountered	ethods of mining , amount of water

Underground mining PRE 1948. No water-bearing strata encountered.

AVAILABLE

Section 5-14 Center Mine - Plate 24

This mine is portrayed in a true color photography flown on 27 July 1974 and mosaicked. The mine provides coal to the Milton R. Young plant of Minnkota Power. The large lake is Nelson Lake, which provides cooling water for the plant.

A portion of the mine extends off to the left (west) of the mosaic. Older, ungraded spoils are evident along the south part of the mine while grading and seeding efforts are visible along the southeast (nearer the plant) and northern mined areas.

١.	Mine: Center								
2.	(all acreage on which present	County: Oliver Township: 142N							
	corporate owners have conducted or are conducting operations)	Range: 84W Sec: 23,24,25,35,36							
		County: Oliver Township: 142N							
		Range: 83W Sec: 31,30							
		County: Oliver Township: 141N							
		Range: 84W Sec: 1							
3.	Mine Operators: Baukol Noc	onan Inc.							
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1974	4:tons per year							
	Estimated or	Projected for Year 1974:							
	Monthly Avera	age for 1974: <u>130,287</u> tons per month							
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimat	ted tons per year ( <u>1,563,446</u> ) to							
	Center	r, ND EGS (Mine-Mouth oper)							
	City, S	State Use (Minnkota Power Co.)							
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transpo								
	(A) (Short Haul) RR (e.g.,	unit train), Ownership:							
	, # Car	rs per train							
	(B) 120 ton coal haulers (e.g.,	truck), Ownership: Baukol Noonan							
	, # Car	rsper train							
	Approximate rate of car load	dingmin. per car (100 ton)							
	Storage capacity for loading								
7.	Stratigraphic Data:								
•	• •	s <u>45</u> feet; range <u>30</u> to <u>75</u> feet							
		(clay shale w/boulders)							
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldes (Top seam has been encountered, a minimal amount of our production is fr top seam.)								
	Hagel	11							
	(C) Average Thickness of Parting variable	gs Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest) Deeper Seams							
	,,	thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.							
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet)	Average, Maximum:							
		Minimum:							
	(E) Bulking Eacton for Spails.	25 % (measured: estimated)							

8.	Major Ope	rating Equipmen	t:				
	Draglines	: Page model	•	21 (cuyd) capacity	, <u>190</u>	(ft), ength power	(kw)
	Shovels:	P&N 1500	<del></del> ,	10 (cuyd)	, max	(ft),	(kw)
	Trucks:	number,	KW Dart model/po	ower	•	120 capacity	(tons)
	Scrapers:	number,	Cat model/po	: 637 Dwer	,	30 capacity	(cuyd)
	Dozers:	number,	Grader model/p	es Dower	•	capacity	(cuyd)
	Drills:	number ,	model,	/power	. <u> </u>	capacity	(cuyd)
		: 1 number					
	Water Trucks:	number -	Cate: mode	rpillar  /power		capacit	(cuyd) y
9.	Employmen	t:					
	(A) Numbe	r of full-time	employees	at the site:	Average	for 1973: _	30
		30	in July,	1974			
	(B) Numbe	r of other full king essentiall	-time emp y full-tir	loyees employed me for this min	off-sit e: (Ave	e, but who rage for 19	are 73)
	In lo	cal area:	5	, In Headqua	rters: _		
		ract Labor: Av					
	Type of Wor			<u> </u>	<b></b> '	<b></b>	
	(D) Places of Residence of Full-Time Employees residing there):				(and app	roximate nu	mber
	C	Senter, ND				33	
		own				Number	
	H	lazen, ND own	-			2 Number	
10.	_	ership: As of Ju	ily 1974, o I by Permi	currently cover t:	ed by Mi		clamation
	Federal:	<u> </u>	state:	15 %, Pri	vate:	85	%
11.	Coal Leas	se and "Permit"	Numbers:	(as of July 19	74)		
	Federal L	.ease:	<del></del>	, State Permit: (if any)	24 Expires	(375	acres)
12.	Dispositi	ion of Surface i	in July 19	74:			
	(incl	ve Pit and "Acti luding areas lev pline or shovel)	eled for	s: <u>≈100</u> 8	icres		
	(B) Spoil	ls Regraded or B	Being Regr	aded: 😕 220	acres		
	(C) Reve	getated (Seeded	and for G	rowing):20	5acr	es	
	(D) "Orph	nan Spoils" and	Open Wate	r: <u>None</u>	cres		
		ort Facilities,		-		acres	
		antivalle se				<del></del>	

13.	Average	Analyses	of	Coal:	

Name of Coal Seam <u>Hagel</u>, Moisture36-40 %, Ash (wet) <u>8-11 %</u>

Sodium <u>1</u> %, Sulfur (wet) <u>0.7</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>6200-6800</u>per 1b.

14. Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.

Blasting - coal only 8-10' spacing. Haul roads on 1500 to 1200 centers. Pit width - 120'.

Ash is returned to pits and covered with >25' of overburden.

New MW unit under construction.

One area planted with grain rye; another treated with leonardite.

**AVAILABLE** 

Section 5-15 Glenharold Mine - Plates 25 and 26

The Glenharold Mine, a mine of moderate size supplying a nearby power plant, is represented by low-level true color imagery collected on 27 July 1974. Color oblique photography collected at the same time is presented in Plate 25. The Missouri River is visible at the upper right corner of the mosaic as are two power plants. The unit on the right is supplied from this mine; the unit on the left is the United Power Association Stanton Plant and is supplied with coal from the Indian Head mine near Beulah, North Dakota, using unit trains (see Plate 27 in the Indian Head Mine).

The mine provides examples of many stages of reclamation. At the bottom right (southeast) little grading and only sparse vegetation is evident. Progressing northwestward across the mine, grading activities are more extensive. The area is dissected by natural drainages which have subsequently been interrupted by the rows of spoils. Test area revegetation efforts are represented by the darker green areas near the southwest corner of the mined area.

A more advanced (by virtue of relatively concurrent grading) mining sequence is shown in the northern part of the mine where the coal bed is shown exposed. Soil spreading on the light gray spoils is represented by the striated browner hues. Some vegetative growth is also evident in an area in the lower middle of the northern mined area.

This mine, as are the remainder of the North Dakota coal mines addressed here, lies in the glaciated region of North Dakota.

1.	Min	e: Glenharold						<del></del>			
2.	(a1	ation: State: <u>North</u> 1 acreage on which p porate owners have c	resent	County:	Oliver		Township:	143N			
		are conducting opera		Range: _	84W	Sec:	5, 6	·-··-			
				County:	Mercer		Township:	144N			
				Range: _	84N	Sec:	3-5,8-10,1 23,24,28-	3 <b>-15,18-</b> 20,			
3.	Min	e Operators:C	onsolidat	ion Coal	Company			<del></del>			
4.	Pro	duction Rates: For	rear 1974	1:	2,921		tons	per year			
		Esti	nated or	Projected	for Year	1974:	1,300,00	00 tpy			
		Mont	nly Avera	ige for 197	74:		tons p	er month			
5.	Des	tination of Coal: (A	) Estimat	ed tons pe	er year (_			to			
			Stanton	, North Da	ikota		Steam Elect	ric			
			City, S	State		/B	Use asin Elec (	'own)			
c	Daa	audobian ag Lana Havi	l Tuenene		. <b></b>	•		,01p)			
6.		cription of Long-Hau	•								
	(A)	Truck	(e.g.,	unit trair	ı), Owners	hip:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			, # Car	·s	_ per tra	in					
	(B)	(B) Conveyor (e.g., unit train), Ownership:									
			, # Car	·s	_per trai	n					
	(c)	Rail	_(e.g.,	unit train	n), Owners	hip:	Burlington	<u>.                                    </u>			
		Northern	, # Car	·s	_per trai	n					
		Approximate rate of	car load	ling	min. pe	r car	(100 ton)				
		Storage Capacity for	·loading	l	tons						
7.	Stra	atigraphic Data:									
	(A)	Average Overburden	hickness	50	_feet; ran	ge	to	80 feet			
	(B)	Name of Coal Seams N (Clay with mud-silt Lignite #3	fined and stone bo	Average T	hickness	in fe	et: (younge	st to oldest			
		Lignite #2			<u> </u>	4					
		Lignite #3				8					
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to old										
		30-35, 7-25,		Deeper Se thickness mined sea	and appr	oxima	te separati	on from			
	(D)	Depth of Active Pit:	(feet)	Average _	80	•	Maximum: _	<del></del>			
				Minimum:			_				
	(E)	Bulking Factor for S	poils:				timated)				

8.	Major Oper	ating Equipme	nt:					
	Draglines:	B-E 1250B		, <u>32</u> (cuy	d), 200	_(ft),	1450	hp (kw)
						-	•	
		(scheduled	)	, 45 (cuy	d),	_(ft), enath	nower	(kw) romts
	Charalan							
	Shovels:	Marion 181- model	М	, <u>17</u> (cuy capacity	max. r	_(ft), adius	power	rqmts
		R_F 858		. 5.5 (cuv	νd).	(ft).		(kw)
		model	<del></del>	, 5.5 (cuy capacity	max. r	adius	power	rqmts
	Trucks:	number,	Euclid 24 model/	TDT power	,	capa	60 city	_(tons)
		10 .	Cat DW 2	0			45	(tons)
		number	model/	0 power		capa	city	
		_1,	Unit	Rig power	,	1	96	_(tons)
	Scrapers:	,	model /	power	·	6303	city	_(cuyd)
	_							
	Dozers: _	number,	model	D-41 Tractor /power	·	cap	24 hp acity	_(cuya)
	_	number	model	9 Tractor /power	·	cap	acity	(, -,
	_	,		0-8 Tractor /power				_(cuyd)
		number	model	/power		cap	acity	
	Drills: _	number -	Pari mode	s Mfg. Company	2 1/4"	, <u>Coa</u> cap	1 Drill acity	L_(cuyd)
	Front End:		Ca	it 988 del/power		.,	6.5	_(cuyd)
	Water Trucks:	number '	mod	le1/power		-' <u></u>	pacity	(cuyd)
۵	Employment						,	
٧.						e 10	70.	70
	•		, ,	es at the site:	_		/3:	/6
	7	3	_ in July,	1974 1	shift			
				nployees employ ime for this m				
	In loc	cal area:		, In Heado	quarters: _			
	(C) Contr	ract Labor: /	Average fo	or 1973:		of ye	ar worl	ked
		7	Type of Wo	ork:				
	(D) Places of Residence of Full residing there):			-Time Employee	es (and app	oroxima	te numi	ber
	To	own			<del></del> -	Numb	er	<del></del>
10	. Coal Owner		July 1974, nd by Perm	, currently co	vered by M	ining a	ınd Rec	lamation
	Federal: _	%,	•	%, I	Private: _		%	

11.	Coal Lease and "Permit" Numbers: (as of July 1974)
	Federal Lease:, State Permit: $\frac{\#3-1/1/76}{\#26-10/1/77}, \frac{\#19-1/1/76}{\#28-11/19/77}$
12.	Disposition of Surface in July 1974:
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: <u>1975</u> acres (including areas leveled for dragline or shovel)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: acres
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing): acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: acres
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam, Moisture 38 %, Ash (wet) 6.8 %
	Sulfur (wet) <u>0.65</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>6950</u> per lb.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	Blasting Coal only, AN-FO and dynamite, 10' spacing. Pit width, 120' + 50' access on bench. Two 220MW units under construction. Located on South-sloping Missouri River flood plain. Bucket-wheel excavator used initially. Trees planted during 1973.

AVAILABLE

Section 5-16
Beulah (North and South) Mine - Plates 27 a & b

The southern portion of this mine is also represented by true color photography collected on 23 July 1974 at low altitude. Plate 27a, a mosaic, presents this imagery and shows a relatively regular mining pattern which is generally followed rather closely by grading and it would appear, "top-soiling". There are some signs of emerging vegetation on the graded and "top-soiled" areas along the south strip of the mine. A few areas along the edges of the mined strips are not graded.

The northern portion of this mine is represented on true color collected on 23 July 1974 at low altitude and mosaicked. Plate 27b presents not only the visible remnants of surface mining, at the left top (north) and right (east), but also, the perhaps more impressive remnants of underground mining of the shallow coals. Note throughout the area west of the Beulah North Mine, the regular pattern of depressions caused by subsdience into the drifts extending perpendicular from the main underground haulage ways. In the case of the subsidence shown in the north-central part of the mosaic, even the main haulage ways appear to have collapsed.

Extensive grading has been accomplished along the southern border of the northern mined area. Vegetative growth is also apparent there. Vegetative growth is similarly apparent on the north and east, (and northwest) facing spoil slopes. Trees and shrubs occur principally at the bottom of the spoil rows. Grading is also apparent in the northern section of this north mine but vegetative growth is not.

1.	Mine: Beulah Mine North and South
2.	Location: State: North Dakota (all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted or are conducting operations)  County: Mercer Township: 143,144N  Range: 87, 88W Sec: 1, 2, 11, 12, 13,
	14, 5, 8, 9, 16, 17
	County: Oliver Township: 143
	Range:87 Sec:6,7
3.	Mine Operators: Knife River Coal Mining Company
	Production Rates: For Year 1974: 1,726,349 tons per year
•	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 1,500,000 tpy
	Monthly Average for 1974: 143,000 tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year (_750,000) to
	Fergus Falls, MN Otter Tail Pwr Company
	City, State Use
	(B) Estimated tons per year ( 500,000 ) to
	Mandan, ND Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. City, State Use
	(C)Estimated tons per year (
	Numerous small power plants
	City, State Use
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transportation (reference 5 above):
	(A) Unit Train (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Burlington
	Northern , # Cars 60 per train
	(B) Rait Road Cars (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Burlington
	Northern , # Cars 15 per train
	(C) Rail Road Cars (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Burlington
	Northern , # Cars <u>Varies</u> per train
	Approximate rate of car loading6 min. per car (100 ton)
	Storage capacity for loading <u>none</u> tons
7.	Stratigraphic Data:
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness $40$ feet; range $10$ to $90$ feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest)
	Beulah-Zap bed 6', 12', 4'
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)  Deeper Seams
	thickness and approximate separation from mined seams.

	(D) Depth o	f Active P	it: (feet)	Average	40_	, Maximum:	90
				Minimum: _	10	<del></del>	
	(E) Bulking	Factor for	Spoils: _	20 %	(measure	ed; estimated)	
8.	Major Opera	ting Equip	ment:				
	Draglines:	BE 480 model	-W	, <u>16 (</u> capacit	cuyd), i	175 (ft), 10 boom length pow	00 (kw) er rqmts
		BE 500 model	- <b>W</b>	, <u>12 (</u>	cuyd), y I	195 (ft), 9 boom length pow	00 (kw) er rqmts
	Shovels:	BE 110 model	-В	· 8 capacity	cuyd), ī	41 (ft), 25 max. radius pow	0 elec (kw) er rqmts
						(ft), 7 max. radius pow	
		BE 71- model	В	$\frac{3}{\text{capacity}}$	cuyd), ī	(ft), 25 max. radius pow	0 (kw) er rqmts
	Trucks: _	9 number	Euc1 model/	id 35 Ldt power		, 65 capacity	(tons)
	Scrapers: _	2 number	637 mode 1/	Cat power		,30 capacity	(cuyd)
	_	number,	M-R-S	I-80S Dower		, 10 capacity	(cuyd)
						capacit	
						capacit	
						capacit	
	Water Trucks: r	number	mod	deT/power		capaci	ty (cuyd)
9.	Employment:	:					
	(A) Number	of full-ti	me employe	es at the si	te: Av	erage for 1973:	59
	72	in	July, 1974	Total	. two sh	ifts	
	(B) Number work	of other f ing essenti	ull-time er ally full-	nployees emp time for th	oloyed o is mine:	ff-site, but who (Average for l	are 973)
	In loca	al area: <u> </u>	one	, In He	eadquart	ers: None	
	(C) Contra	act Labor:	Average fo	or 1973: <u> </u>	None	, % of year w	orked%
			Type of W	ork:			
		s of Reside ding there)		l-Time Emplo	oyees (a	nd approximate r	number
		Beulah	····			62 Number	
	Tol	Out- of-	Town			10	
	To					Number	
10.	North	Plan	July 1974 and by Pen		covered	l by Mining and F	Reclamation
	Federal:	12 9	, State: _	<u>-0-</u> %	, Priva	ite: <u>88</u>	_%
	South Federal:	8.2	, State: _	13.3 %	, Priva	te:	_%

11.	Coal Lease and "Permit" Numbers: (as of July 1974)
	North: Federal Lease: <u>USGS021807</u> , State Permit: <u>KR-57B</u> (if any)
	South: Federal Lease: USGSO41765 , State Permit: (if any)
12.	Disposition of Surface in July 1974:
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: <u>168</u> acres (including areas leveled for dragline or shovel)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: 406 acres
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing):acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: acres See #14 below
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: <u>165</u> acres
	(F) "Inactive" <u>352</u> acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam $2nd$ , Moisture $35.17\%$ , Ash (wet) $7.21\%$
	Sodium (wet)3.56%Sulfur (wet)78%, Btu (wet)7000per lb.
	Name of Coal Seam <u>Average</u> , Moisture 36 %, Ash (wet) 8 %
	Sulfur (wet) <u>1-1.5</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>6900</u> per lb.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	*Knife River and the North Dakota Game and Fish Department have a lease agreement covering this area. We are developing the area for wildlife habitat and fishing. Two ponds are under development presently, one of which is stocked with trout.
	The unleveled spoil bank areas are serving as habitat for pheasant, deer, rabbits, etc.

AVAILABLE

Section 5-17 Indian Head Mine - Plate 28

This mine is represented by a mosaic of true color, low altitude, photography flown on 23 July 1974. As do most of the established mines in North Dakota, the Indian Head Mine shows extensive grading and seeding, "bevelled" spoil piles and "no-grading" topography, somewhat a function of the accepted mining practices of the past and present.

Grading and seeding is most extensive in the areas surrounding the two major elongated impoundments in the southern portion of the mine and in the more recently-mined area to the north. Emergence of vegetation is shown by the green tinges in the southern areas. The brownish area straddling the road bordering the north edge of the southern mined area has also received varied reclamation treatments with some success.

This mine is now also the site of extensive experiments relating to hydrology and reclamation activities.

### DESCRIPTION OF MINE

١.	Mine:indian Head		
2.	(all acreage on which present	ounty: Mercer	Township: 144N
	corporate owners have conducted or are conducting operations) Ra	ange: <u>88W</u> Sec:	28-33, 19
	Co	ounty: Mercer	Township: 143N
	Ra	inge: <u>88w</u> Sec:	6
	Co	ounty: <u>Mercer</u>	Township: 144N
	Ra	inge: <u>89W</u> Sec:	24-26, 36
	Co	ounty: Mercer	Township: 143N
	Ra	inge: <u>89W</u> Sec:	1
3.	Mine Operators: North Amercian Coa	al Corporation	
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1974:	1,090,144	tons per year
	Estimated or Pro	ojected for Year 1974:	1,270,259 tpy
	Monthly Average	for 1974: 105,854	tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated	tons per year (1,04	0,000 ) to
	Stanton,	ND	
	City, Star		Use ited Power Assoc)
6.	Description of Long-Haul Transporta	ation (reference 5 abo	ve):
	(A) <u>Unit Train</u> (e.g., uni		
	Northern , # Cars	40 per train 30	-mile, 1 per day
	Approximate rate of car loading	, <u>10</u> min. per car	(100 ton)
	Storage Capacity for loading _	250 tons.	
7.	Stratigraphic Data:		
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness	45feet; range	20 to 65 feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Av (Clay, Samdetone)	verage Thickness in fe	et: (youngest to oldes
	Beulah-Zap		0
	,, ti		d 20' te separation from
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Av	verage <u>45</u> ,	
		nimum: 20	_
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils:2	0 % (estimated)	

5	B-E 800W	, 28 (cuvd).	200 (ft), 965/1240 (h
	model		oom length power rqmts
Shovels:	B-F 1501	8 . 10 (cuyd).	43 (ft) 350/875 (f
31104613.	model	B <u>10</u> (cuyd), ma	ax. radius power rqmts
Trucks:	2	VII Dont DASE!	120 (tons
Trucks:	number -	KW Dart D4651 model/power	capacity cons
	numbon, _	Mack-Dart model/power	,(tons
Scrapers:	,	Cat #637 model/power	,(cuyo
	number	model/power	capacity
Dozers:	1 ,	Cat #12 Grader model/power	,(cuyo
_	number	model/power	capacity
	2	Cat 87/R Dozer	. (cuvo
-	number '	Cat 824B Dozer model/power	capacity
-	number -	Let-West 777 Grader model/power	,(cuy
	number		
_	number -	Cat D9G Dozer model/power	,(cuy
	number	model/power	capacity
Drills:	1 .	Twin-Arm Parmanco Coal Dril	11 <b>,</b> (cuy
-	number	Twin-Arm Parmanco Coal Dril model/power	capacity
Event End	. 1		6 (cuv
Loaders	number' -	model/power	capacity
Water _	numbon -	model/power	,(cuy
Trucks.	Tuiliber	lilode 17 power	cupacity
(B) Number	50 r of other f	in July, 1974	5 days dragline load f-site, but who are
wor	king essenti	ally full-time for this mine:	(Average for 1973)
	cal area:	43 , In Headquarte	rs: 3
In lo			
		4	امميلييمي ويمين عمالا
	ract Labor:	Average for 1973:	, % of year worked _
	ract Labor:	Average for 1973: Type of Work:	, % of year worked _
(C) Cont		Type of Work:	
(C) Cont	es of Reside iding there) <u>Hazen</u>	Type of Work:	d approximate number
(C) Cont	es of Reside iding there)	Type of Work: nce of Full-Time Employees (and :	d approximate number
(C) Cont	es of Reside iding there) <u>Hazen</u> own	Type of Work: nce of Full-Time Employees (and :	d approximate number
(C) Cont	es of Reside iding there) <u>Hazen</u> own	Type of Work: nce of Full-Time Employees (and : , North Dakota	d approximate number
(C) Cont	es of Reside iding there) Hazen own Beula	Type of Work:  nce of Full-Time Employees (and its action in the control of the c	d approximate number  2 Number  23 Number
(C) Cont	es of Reside iding there) Hazen own Beula	Type of Work: nce of Full-Time Employees (and : , North Dakota	d approximate number  2  Number  23
(C) Cont  (D) Place res  To	es of Reside iding there)  Hazen own  Beula own	Type of Work: ince of Full-Time Employees (and: North Dakota h, North Dakota  Zap, North Dakota	d approximate number  2 Number 23 Number 23
(C) Cont  (D) Place res  To	es of Reside iding there) Hazen own Beula	Type of Work: ince of Full-Time Employees (and: North Dakota h, North Dakota  Zap, North Dakota	d approximate number  2 Number 23 Number 23

11.	Coal Lease and "Permit" Numbers: (as of July 1974)
	Federal Lease: #020273 (Bismarck) State Permit: No. 25 (P.S.C. #) (if any)
12.	Disposition of Surface in July 1974:
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: 250 acres (including areas leveled for dragline or shovel) (including inventory areas)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: 675 acres
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing):575 acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: 600 acres
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes:50 acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam <u>Beulah-Zap</u> , Moisture <u>30-35%</u> , Ash (wet) <u>6-7</u> %
	Sulfur (wet) 2-7 %, Btu (wet) 6800-7200 per 1b.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	Reserves estimated 200,000,000 tons.  Blasting Coal AN-FO and 60% dynamite, 10' spacing.  Pit Width: 100' to 120'  Minor water inflow to nit requiring periodic numping.

**AVAILABLE** 

Section 5-18 Underwood Mine - Plate 29

This mine, in the past a relatively small operation and closed in 1973, is represented in true color, low altitude photography collected on 27 July 1974. Extensive reclamation is not apparent, but vegetative growth has migrated into the disturbed lands, especially where the spoil piles are somewhat leveled. The area shows signs of a relatively shallow ground water table and water is standing in the open pit areas.

A new mine is now planned for this Underwood area and is to be called Falkirk. This new activity is not represented in aerial photography in this report, but extends east from the existing mine. No data are available for the previous operation.



PLATE 29

UNDERWOOD MINE
Underwood Coal Company
McClain County, North Dakota
27Jul74 1230-1232 hrs



Section 5-19 Velva Mine - Plate 30

This mine has been a relatively extensive one as is shown by the low altitude photography presented as a mosaic in Plate 30. The imagery was collected on 22 July 1974. The northeastern area of the mined land shows vegetative growth on the north and east sides of spoil ridges. The southern portion of the mined area shows the effect of leveling the top of larger spoil ridges. There, vegetation occurs in a relatively even pattern over much of the area. Along the extreme western area of the disturbed lands, the vegetation shown in the imagery appears to simulate the vegetation and biological ecosystem south of the mined area.

Mining is progressing southwestward in the center of the mine. A number of impoundments are visible. Again, this is in a region of glaciation.

### DESCRIPTION OF MINE

١.	Mine: <u>Velva</u>
2.	(all acreage on which present corporate owners have conducted
	or are conducting operations) Range: 81W Sec: 35,36, 28, 34
	County: <u>Ward (McHenry)</u> Township: <u>151N</u>
	Range: 81W Sec: 1, 2
3.	Mine Operators: Consolidation Coal Company
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1974: 428,163 tons per year
	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 548,000 tpy
	Monthly Average for 1974:tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year ( 70,000 ) to
	East Grand Forks, Minnesota Sugar Beet Plant City, State Use
	(B) Estimated tons per year ( 24,000 ) to
	Voltaire, North Dakota Private Homes
	City, State Use
	(C) Estimated tons per year ( <u>330,000</u> ) to
	Voltaire, North Dakota Steam Electric City, State Use
	0103, 50000
6.	(A) Rail Road Cars (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Soo Line
	, # Cars _20 per train
	(B) Rail Road Cars (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Consolidation
	Coal Company , # Cars per train
	(C) Rail Road Cars (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Basin Electric
	Power Company , # Cars 16 per train
	Approximate rate of car loading 10 min. per car (100 ton)
	Storage Capacity for Loading 2,000 tons
7	Stratigraphic Data:
•	(A) Average Overburden Thickness 68 feet; range 60 to 80 feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest)
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)
	Deeper Seams
	mined seams.
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average, Maximum:
	Minimum:
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils: 20 % (measured; estimated)

8.	Major Oper	ating Equip	ment:				
	Draglines:	7400-M model		, 12 (cuyd), capacity	178 (ft) boom length	power r	(kw)
	Shovels:	P&H 14 model	00	$\frac{6}{\text{capacity}}$	(ft)	power r	(kw)
	Trucks:	5 number	DN-20 mode1/	power	capa	40 icity	(tons)
	Scrapers:	number	mode1/	power	capa	icity	(cuyd)
	Dozers: _			/power			
	Drills: _	number	mode	1/power	,	acity	
	Front End: Loaders	number,	988 mo	del/power	, car	6 pacity	(cuyd)
		number,	mo	del/power	, car	pacity	(cuyd)
	Water Trucks:	number,	Euclid mo	del/power	,	2500 pacity	(gal)
9.	Employment						
	(A) Number of full-time employees at the site: Average for 1973: 33						
	(ii) iidiibei			1974 one shi			
	work In loc	ing essenti	None	ployees employed of ime for this mine, In Headquar	: (Average 1 ters: <u>None</u>	For 1973)	
	(C) Contr	act Labor:	Average fo	r 1973: <u>None</u>	, % of ye	ar worke	d9
			Type of Wo	rk:			
•		s of Reside ding there)		-Time Employees (	and approxima	ite numbe	r
		Velva			2	2	
	To	wn			Numb	er	
		Sawyer			- Normal	4	
	10	wn			Nunt	er.	
	<del>- T</del>	Wn	,		Numl	3 Der	
		n named tow	n 8 Numbe	<u>~</u>	1101110		
10.	Coal Owner			currently covere	d by Mining a	and Recla	mation
	Federal: _	%	, State:	%, Priv	ate: <u>100</u>	%	
11.	Coal Lease	and "Permi	t" Numbers:	(as of July 197	4)		
	Federal Le	ase:		_, State Permit: (if any)	#19 (80 a (Expires		
				State Permit:	#27 (124 (Expires		)

12.	Disposition of Surface in July 1974:
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils: 319 acres (including areas leveled for dragline or shovel)
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: acres
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing): 10 acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: acres
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam, Moisture%, Ash (wet)%
	Sulfur (wet)
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.
	Highwall instability has resulted in slides. No blasting. Pit width 100 - 110'.

**AVAILABLE** 

Section 5-20 Noonan Mine - Plate 31

This mine, which has extended over a very large area, is represented on Plate 31. This true color photography was collected at low altitude on 22 July 1974. The area shows numerous areas of standing water and the imagery suggests a shallow water table. This is a region of thick glacial deposits.

Coal loading facilities are located at the north-central edge of the mined area. Other dark gray areas bordering the mined areas show where coal has been piled. New mining activities appear at the end of the road extending southeast from the central coal loading facilities. The total area represented in the mosaic extends a little over eighteen kilometers or eleven miles.

### DESCRIPTION OF MINE

١.	Mine: Noonan Mine (Larson)
2.	Location: State: North Dakota County: Burke Township: 162N corporate owners have conducted
	or are conducting operations) Range: 94W Sec: 3,4,5,8,9,10,11,14,15,1
3.	Mine Operators:Baukol-Noonan, Inc.
4.	Production Rates: For Year 1973: 482,299 tons per year
	Estimated or Projected for Year 1974: 400,000 tpy
	Monthly Average for 1974: 40,192 tons per month
5.	Destination of Coal: (A) Estimated tons per year () to
	Larson, ND City, State Use
	(B) Estimated tons per year ( <u>300,000</u> ) to
	Drayton, ND, Moorhead & Crookston, MN Process Sugar City, State Use
	(C) Estimated tons per year ( <u>20,000</u> ) to
	Grand Forks, ND EGS City, State Use
	(A) Rail Road Cars (e.g., unit train), Ownership: Burlington  Northern & Soo Line , # Cars 35 per train  Approximate rate of car loading 12 min. per car (100 ton)
	Storage capacity for loading <u>minimal</u> tons
7.	Stratigraphic Data:
	(A) Average Overburden Thickness <u>40</u> feet; range <u>25</u> to <u>60</u> feet
	(B) Name of Coal Seams Mined and Average Thickness in feet: (youngest to oldest
	Noonan 6
	(C) Average Thickness of Partings Between Seams (feet): (youngest to oldest)  Deeper Seams thickness and approximate separation from
	mined seams.
	(D) Depth of Active Pit: (feet) Average, Maximum:
	Minimum:
	(E) Bulking Factor for Spoils: % (measured; estimated)

8.	Major Opera	ting Equipmen	t:			
	Draglines:	B-E 9W model	, <u>11 (</u> capacity	cuyd), <u>150 (f</u> boom leng	t), th power r	qmts (kw)
	Shovels:	None mode I	capacity	cuyd), <u>(</u> (f max. radi	t), us pwr ro	(kw)
	Trucks:	number,	Euclid model/power	,	20 apacity	(tons)
	Scrapers: _	number	Cat model/power	,	21 apacity	_(cuyd)
	Dozers:	number	Graders model/power	······································	capacity	_(cuyd)
			model/power			
	Front End: Loaders	number,	Caterpillar 988 model/power		8 capacity	_(cuyd)
	Water Trucks: n	umber	model/power		capacity	_(cuyd)
9.	Employment:					
			employees at the si			
	In loca	l area:	1 , In He	adquarters:		
	(C) Contra	ct Labor: Av	verage for 1973: <u>N</u>	lone, % of	year worke	ed%
		Ty	pe of Work:			
	(D) Places resid	of Residence ing there):	e of Full-Time Emplo	yees (and approx	imate numbe	er
		onan, ND			15	
	Tow	'n		N	lumber	
		Lumbus, ND			10	
	Tow	m		ľ	lumber	
		axton, ND			2 lumber	
	Tow Not in	named town:	14	ľ	iumber.	
10.		- hip: As of Ju	aly 1974, currently by Permit:	covered by Minin	g and Recla	amation
	Federal: _	0 %, 9	State:0 %,	Private:	100%	
11.	Coal Lease	and "Permit"	Numbers: (as of Ju	ly 1974)		
	Federal Lea	se:	, State Pe	ermit: 24 (2	10 acres)	

12.	Disposition of Surface in July 1974:
	(A) Active Pit and "Active" Spoils:
	(B) Spoils Regraded or Being Regraded: <u>≈ 145</u> acres
	(C) Revegetated (Seeded and for Growing): $\approx 150$ acres
	(D) "Orphan Spoils" and Open Water: #2450 * acres
	(E) Support Facilities, Transportation Routes: acres
	(F) "Inactive": 360 acres
13.	Average Analyses of Coal:
	Name of Coal Seam Noonan, Moisture 33-36%, Ash (wet) 6-7 %
	Sodium: <u>3-7</u> %, Sulfur (wet) <u>.5</u> %, Btu (wet) <u>7250</u> per lb.
14.	Other Items of Interest such as previous (historical) methods of mining including underground, water-bearing strata encountered, amount of water removed from workings, water quality data.

\*A great deal of work was done during the years 1963 to 1969 in converting the mined land into a wildlife habitat. Approximately 35,000 trees and shrubs were planted and a program of aerial seeding of grasses and legumes was carried out during this period. The results have been good and the area abounds with wildlife of all kinds.

**AVAILABLE** 

Section 5-21 Highland Uranium Mill and Mine - Plates 32 and 33

This uranium mine, located north of Douglas and Glenrock, Wyoming is represented here in low altitude true color photography collected on 19 and 24 July 1974. The middle "strip" of the mosaic (includes the mill and the tailings pond) was flown first and shows natural drainage channels in a darker brown hue since the remainder of the imagery was collected after some additional seepage and evapotranspiration of the springtime precipitation had taken place.

Vertical aerial imagery makes it somewhat difficult to "see" the open pit of this uranium mine located along the southern boundary of the mosaic. Therefore, we have provided an aerial oblique view of the mine area (Plate 33) and a ground-level view of the mine for perspective. The aerial oblique was collected on 19 July 1974 while the ground-level view was photographed in early June 1974.

"Statistical information" is not provided for this operation. Exploratory drilling is evident along the left (west) side of the mosaic. A north-south fracture pattern is also shown by striations in the area east of the mill. The area to the northeast of the mine pit is an overburden dump and shows vegetative growth. Diversion dams and ditches are located along the northern border of the tailings pond area. Seepage is evident downstream of the tailings pond dam.

**AVAILABLE** 

#### CHAPTER 6

### SATELLITE IMAGERY

During 1974, the EPA's National Field Investigations Center in Denver studied LANDSAT (or ERTS for Earth Resource Technology Satellite) imagery to determine its potential for showing the location, configuration, size, and condition of various "classes" of land at a surface coal mine site. These "classes" or conditions of land were defined as follows:

- 1. Area in which mining was active;
- 2. Area in which spoils have been deposited, but not yet regraded;
- Area of spoils recontoured or graded;
- 4. Area of regraded spoils seeded, planted, or revegetated;
- 5. Areas on which vegetation is growing and the condition of the vegetation.

The results of this study are discussed in more detail in a report published by the EPA.\* The following discussion represents a summary of the essential findings of the study.

The study utilized computer classification to identify various coal mine related land use activities in four spectral wave length bands (green, red, near infrared, and far infrared). The computerassisted program was an adaptation of a routine developed by Purdue University designed to classify agricultural lands. The program operates by reading the optical intensity of a small area of the imagery, a function of reflectance of sunlight, and determining, based upon readings made in areas of known land uses, whether new areas correlate with "training" areas. The program examines each increment of the computer tape of the satellite imagery where an increment is determined by the scanning capacity of the satellite equipment and equals a ground area of 4,860 square meters for the imagery used. This increment is characterized by a single optical intensity which is equal to the average reflectance obtained by the sensor as it scanned that 4,860 square meter area on the ground. The single data point or value is often termed a "pixel". The larger the size of the pixel, the less definition or resolution contained in the imagery.

The classification program is "trained" by having it examine pixels on all four wave length bands collected over an area of known land use

<sup>\*</sup>EPA National Field Investigation Center, "An Application of ERTS Technology to the Evaluation of Coal Strip Mining and Reclamation in the Northern Great Plains," Feb., 1975.

or an area where the optical intensities of the pixels are equal on any one wave length band (i.e., a "training area"). The program then examines a different area and statistically determines the confidence within which each new pixel matches previously-examined "training" areas. Obviously, the program lends itself to improving classifications as an analyst compares the statistical confidences with ground truth data.

The ERTS imagery study attempted land classifications according to the degree of disturbance (e.g., active mine, spoil piles, graded, revegetated, undisturbed). It was found that the program could distinguish between land uses which had high contrast in terms of reflectance such as water and open pits delineated by abrupt topographic breaks (highwalls and low walls) as compared to natural or regraded terrain. However, the boundaries of vegetated areas were less accurately defined. Areas from which vegetation had been leveled or soil had been removed could not be easily distinguished from spoils or graded lands.

It is concluded that satellite imagery requires detailed ground truth data that can also be obtained to a large degree through the use of lower altitude photography. Thus, it is felt that the satellite imagery does not have the same potential for use as a planning and regulatory tool for surface coal mining as does imagery obtained from altitudes of 2,000 to 20,000 meters.

A representative analysis of the Dave Johnston Mine, located north of Glenrock, Wyoming, using satellite imagery is provided in Figures 1 through 4. Figure 1 is a map provided by the operating companies showing the revegetated areas as of 1973. Figure 2 shows the satellite image of the mine site obtained on 18 August 1973. Figure 3 is the classification map prepared after use of the 1973 map and low altitude photography. Figure 4 compares the classifications with the mine. Table 3 summarizes the land areas affected by the mining activities at the Dave Johnston Mine in Wyoming as of 1973.

TABLE 3

Aerial Distribution of Land Use
Dave Johnston Mine

Land Use	Pixels	Area Hectares	Acres
Highwall and Spoils and Active Pit	508	247	610
Northwest Portion of Mine Spring 1973 Revegetation Topsoil Borrow Spring 1972 Planting Spring 1971 Planting Fall 1970 Planting Fall 1969 Planting	26 7 67 17 33 <u>46</u>	13 3 33 8 16 22	31 8 80 20 40 <u>55</u>
	196	95	234
Southeast Portion of the Mine Spring 1972 Planting Fall 1972 Planting Fall 1972 Planting and Topsoil Borrow in Southwesternmost	30 36	15 18	36 43
Corner	<u>368</u>	<u>179</u>	442
	434	212	521
Total Mine Area	1,138	554	1,365

As shown in Figure 1 about 55 percent of the disturbed areas of the mine has been replanted. The computer classification defined the disturbed areas but difficulty was encountered in separating older revegetated areas from undisturbed native vegetation. This separation was achieved using Figure 1. Difficulty was also encountered in defining the highwall location in the northwestern area of the mine during the initial classification. The highwall was then defined by preparing a ratio image of the green band to the infrared 1 band. The composite map (Figure 3)\* of the classifications of various mine areas was prepared using both the ratio image and the multi-spectral classification.

<sup>\*</sup>Some scale distortion is inherent in producing an overlay map (on the computer line printer) which can be avoided only by additional processing. In scaling overlay maps to USGS topographic maps, the longitudinal axis of the former must be reduced to 80% of its normal value.

**AVAILABLE** 




FIGURE 2. SATELLITE IMAGE OF DAVE JOHNSTON MINE





FIGURE 3. CLASSIFICATION MAP OF DAVE JOHNSTON MINE GLENROCK, WYOMING PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY



In the northwestern corner of the mine, land revegetated in Spring 1973 was discernible from grass in an adjacent area planted one year earlier. A topsoil borrow area on the west side was also identified. Proceeding to the southeast, revegetated areas planted in 1969, 1970, and 1971 as designated on the map produced spectral signatures the same as undisturbed native vegetation thus indicating that a comparable density of vegetation had been achieved.

The southeastern portion of the mine contains much revegetated land and many topsoil borrow areas. In the borrow areas, a scraper has removed strips of topsoil leaving native vegetation in alternate strips. Some natural revegetation has taken place in the disturbed strips. The revegetated land and the borrow areas were spectrally indistinguishable from one another as both consist of areas of vegetation mingled with bare soil.

The land north of the highwall in the southeastern part of the mine had a signature characteristic of revegetation or topsoil borrow. No activity in this area was indicated in Figure 1. Lower altitude aerial photography verified that this was a topsoil borrow area.

By counting pixels, the computer keeps track of the area in each classification. The area of each classification for the Dave Johnston Mine is presented in Table 3. Of the 554 hectares (1,365 acres) of disturbed area, about 55 percent has been revegetated, a figure which agrees with the information given on Figure 1.

This technique has been applied to eastern areas on which surface clay mining has been practiced and vegetation has encroached back into the disturbed areas.\*

<sup>\*</sup>Garofalo, Donald and Frank J. Wobber, 1973, "Remote Sensing For Environmental Studies in Mined Areas", pp 32-48, in Photographic Applications in Science, Technology, and Medicine, Sept. 1973.

#### CHAPTER 7

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are indebted to Mr. Gary Glass, Staff Coal Geologist of the Wyoming Geological Survey for his assistance, guidance and interest in preparing this report. We are similarly indebted to Dr. A. William Johnson of North Dakota's Regional Environmental Assessment Program (REAP) and to Mr. John Smith of the North Dakota Lignite Council, for their efforts in tracking pertinent data for North Dakota operations. We wish to thank Mr. Doss White, Bureau of Mines, Department of Interior, for his invaluable assistance and Mr. C. C. McCall, Director of Reclamation, Montana Department of Lands, for his staff's reviews.

We are also pleased to have this opportunity to publicly acknowledge the assistance afforded us over the past four years by coal mine operators who have graciously allowed us to view the details of their mining operations and have been most responsive in answering our questions.

Messrs. Ed Arthur and Al Pressman of EPA's Remote Sensing Laboratory in Las Vegas, Nevada, are to be commended for their assistance in acquiring and processing all of the photography and for their advice in preparing the report.

The report is published with the support of Region VIII and the Office of Energy, Minerals and Industry, Office of Research and Development, of the Environmental Protection Agency.

Mr. Edward Mangold and Mr. Arthur Dybdahl of the EPA organized and conducted the evaluation of mined lands using satellite imagery and thus provided the information used to prepare Chapter 5.

The author retains responsibility for any errors contained in this report and apologizes, in advance, for them.


#### APPENDIX I

MINERAL RESOURCE TERMINOLOGY ADOPTED BY INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

### **DEFINITIONS:**

Resource - A concentration of naturally occurring solid, liquid, or gaseous materials in or on the earth's crust in such form that economic extraction of a commodity is currently or potentially feasible.

Identified Resources - Specific bodies of mineral-bearing material whose location, quality, and quantity are known from geologic evidence supported by engineering measurements with respect to the demonstrated category.



<u>Undiscovered Resources</u> - <u>Unspecified bodies of mineral-bearing material</u> surmised to exist on the basis of broad geologic knowledge and theory.

Reserve - That portion of the identified resource from which a usable mineral and energy commodity can be economically and legally extracted at the time of determination. The term ore is also used for reserves of some minerals.

## APPENDIX I (cont'd)

- The following definitions for measured, indicated, and inferred are applicable to both the Reserve and Identified-Subeconomic resource components (see chart).
- Measured Material for which estimates of the quality and quantity have been computed, within a margin of error of less than 20 percent, from analyses and measurements from closely spaced and geologically well-known sample sites.
- Indicated Material for which estimates of the quality and quantity have been computed partly from sample analyses and measurements and partly from reasonable geologic projections.
- <u>Demonstrated</u> A collective term for the sum of materials in both measured and indicated resources.
- <u>Inferred</u> Material in unexplored but identified deposits for which estimates of the quality and size are based on geologic evidence and projection.
- <u>Identified-Subeconomic Resources</u> Known deposits not now minable economically.
- Paramarginal The portion of subeconomic resources that (a) borders on being economically producible or (b) is not commercially available solely because of legal or political circumstances.
- Submarginal The portion of subeconomic resources which would require a substantially higher price (more than 1.5 times the price at the time of determination) or a major cost-reducing advance in technology.
- Hypothetical Resources Undiscovered materials that may reasonably be expected to exist in a known mining district under known geologic conditions. Exploration that confirms their existence and reveals quantity and quality will permit their reclassification as a Reserve or identified-subeconomic resource.
- Speculative Resources Undiscovered materials that may occur either in known types of deposits in a favorable geologic setting where no discoveries have been made, or in as yet unknown types of deposits that remain to be recognized. Exploration that confirms their existence and reveals quantity and quality will permit their reclassification as reserves of identified-subeconomic resources.

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA (Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)				
1. REPORT NO.  OEA 76-1	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Surface Coal Mining In t	5. REPORT DATE  June 1976			
of the Western United St	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE			
7. AUTHOR(S)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.		
John E. Hardaway		0EA 76-1		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS EPA, Office of Energy Activities		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO,		
1860 Lincoln Street, Sui Denver, Colorado 80203	11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.			
Benter, 66161 and 15116		None		
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND AD	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED			
EPA, Office of Energy Activities 1860 Lincoln Street, Suite 103		Information: 1973-5 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE		
Denver, Colorado 80203		EPA - Region VIII		

#### 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

#### 16. ABSTRACT

This report deals with the information portrayal of twenty-one surface coal mines and one surface uranium mine within the Northern Great Plains Coal Province of Montana, North Dakota and Wyoming. The aid of color aerial photography enables not only the diagrammatic layout of the mine to be presented, but also provides for the analysis, discussion, and conveyence of other interesting data. These other data include pertinent geologic, hydraulic, engineering, and operational information.

With the aid of a brief description of surface coal mining operational procedures, the uses of aerial photography, both color and infrared, are introduced to the readers as a tool for the environmentally oriented regulation and planning of surface coal mining facilities. Environmentally oriented regulation deals not only with the present physical disturbance of a natural area, but also with its sound management and eventual reclamation for further beneficial uses.

See attached sheet for continuation .--

17.		KEY WORDS AN	D DOCUMENT ANALYSIS	
a	DESCRI	PTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
	Coal Geologic Strata Remote Sensing Surface Ecosystem Revegetation	Surface Mining Exploration Spoil Reclamation Photography	Aerial Imagery Satellite Imagery Color Infrared Ranking Categories BTU ERTS Land sat	
18. [	Release to Public		19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) Unclassified	21. NO. OF PAGES
	Ne lease to rubiic		20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) Unclassified	22. PRICE

16 con't

The close of this report includes a brief synopsis and example of related efforts involving the utilization of satellite imagery. A basis for the future planning, regulation and environmental assessment of surface mineral mining in the interior western states has been proposed within and by this report.

**AVAILABLE**