BACTERIAL WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR RECREATIONAL WATERS

(FRESHWATER AND MARINE WATERS)

STATUS REPORT

June 2003

BACTERIAL WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR RECREATIONAL WATERS (FRESHWATER AND MARINE WATERS) STATUS REPORT

June 2003

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water (4305T) 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460

EPA-823-R-03-008

Contents

| Introduction | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Water Quality Standard | ls Background |
| EPA Criteria for Bathin |)E |
| | ······ 4 |
| | 4 |
| Acknowledgments | 5 |
| Bacterial Water Ouality | Standards - Summary Information |
| | Sacterial Water Quality Standards for States, Tribes, and Territories (By EPA Region) 6 |
| | mary |
| 1101101110 | unitary |
| Detailed Overview | |
| | on 1 |
| | Connecticut 10 |
| | Maine |
| | Massachusetts |
| | New Hampshire |
| | Rhode Island |
| | Vermont 11 |
| Dogic | |
| Kegi | on 2 |
| | New York 12 |
| | Puerto Rico |
| | Virgin Islands |
| Dogic | on 3 |
| Kegic | Delaware 14 |
| | District of Columbia 14 |
| | Maryland |
| | Pennsylvania 14 |
| | Virginia |
| | West Virginia |
| Regio | on 4 |
| | Alabama 15 |
| | Florida |
| | Georgia |
| | Kentucky |
| | Mississippi |
| | North Carolina |
| | South Carolina |
| | Tennessee |
| | Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida |
| | Seminole Tribe of Florida |
| Regio | on 5 |
| | Illinois |
| | Indiana |
| | Michigan |
| | Minnesota |
| | Ohio |
| | Wisconsin |
| | Fond du Lac Band of the Chippewa Tribe |
| | Sokaogon Chinnewa Community of the Mole Lake Band of Chinnewa Indians 17 |

| Region 6 | |
|---|------|
| Arkansas | 18 |
| Louisiana | . 18 |
| New Mexico | 18 |
| Oklahoma | . 18 |
| Texas | . 18 |
| Pueblo of Acoma | . 19 |
| Pueblo of Isleta | 19 |
| Pueblo of Nambe | . 19 |
| Pueblo of Picuris | |
| Pueblo of Pojoaque | |
| Pueblo of Sandia | |
| Pueblo of San Juan | |
| Pueblo of Santa Clara | . 20 |
| Pueblo of Tesuque | . 20 |
| Region 7 | |
| Iowa | |
| Kansas | |
| Missouri | |
| Nebraska | |
| Region 8 | |
| Utah | . 21 |
| Wyoming | |
| Colorado | |
| Montana | |
| North Dakota | |
| South Dakota | |
| Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Indian Reservation | |
| Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation | |
| Region 9 | |
| Arizona | |
| California | |
| Hawaii | |
| Nevada | |
| American Samoa | |
| Common wealth of the Northern Mariana Islands | |
| Guam | |
| Hoopa Valley Tribe | |
| White Mountain Apache Tribe | |
| Region 10 | |
| Alaska | |
| Idaho | |
| Oregon | |
| Washington | |
| Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation | |
| Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation | |
| Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation | |
| Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Reservation | |
| Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation | |
| Spokane Tribe | |

Introduction

In 1997, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency established the Beaches Environmental Assessment, and Coastal and Health (BEACH) Program. The goal of the BEACH program is to reduce risks to human health caused by exposure to pathogens in recreational waters. Surveys and ongoing scientific studies continue to document the presence of, or the potential for, disease-carrying bacteria, viruses, and other pathogens present in local beach water, primarily from sewage and stormwater runoff. Humans can be exposed to pathogens in recreational waters through ingestion, inhalation, and body contact.

The BEACH Program focuses on the following five areas to improve public health and environmental protection programs for beach goers, and to provide the public with information about the quality of their beach water:

Strengthening beach standards and testing Providing faster laboratory test methods Predicting pollution
Investing in health and methods research Informing the public

The Beaches Environmental Assessment and Coastal Health (BEACH) Act of 2000 authorized EPA to award grants for development and implementation of programs to notify the public of the potential exposure to disease-causing microorganisms in coastal recreation waters. Program development and implementation grants to eligible States, Territories, Tribes, and local governments support microbiological testing and monitoring of coastal recreation waters, including the Great Lakes, that are adjacent to beaches or similar points of access used by the public. The BEACH Act also amended Section 303 of the Clean Water Act to require by April 10, 2004 that coastal and Great Lakes states adopt EPA's published indicators for pathogens with criteria as protective as those published by EPA, in their water quality standards.

EPA is working with states and tribes to assist them in adopting water quality criteria for EPA's published pathogen indicators, E. coli and/or enterococcus bacteria, in their water quality standards. The Agency is also working with states, tribes, and local governments to strengthen local beach health monitoring efforts and procedures to achieve these standards. EPA assistance includes awarding grants for beach monitoring and public notification as well as providing technical assistance and training.

This document, Bacterial Water Quality Standards for Recreational Waters (Freshwater and Marine Waters) - Status Report provides a brief overview of the bacterial water quality standards that have been adopted by states for their marine and fresh recreational waters in the United States. This report is based on consultations with EPA water quality standards coordinators. The report is accurate as of September 2002; however, there may be revisions to standards that are not reflected in this report. EPA will update the report periodically to reflect new information. The information in the report is presented in summary format for both states/territories and tribes. The summary is organized first by EPA region, and then by state, territory, and tribe within each region.

For the precise regulatory language applicable to a particular state, the reader should consult the water quality standards of the state. Copies of state water quality standards may be obtained from the state's water quality management agency or its equivalent (EPA houses a repository of state, tribal, and territorial water quality standards on its website at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/). Readers should also note that standards in this report may not be the only guidelines or standards in effect for recreational waters in a particular location. It is not uncommon for local health agencies to develop and adopt site-specific guidelines as part of their public health codes. One should consult the appropriate local health agency to obtain detailed information.

EPA's BEACH Program is improving public access to information about the quality of the water at their beaches and health risks associated with swimming in those waters. More information about water quality at our nation's beaches, local protection programs, and other beach-related programs is available on EPA's "Beach Watch" internet website at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/beaches/.

Water Quality Standards Background

In response to widespread public concern about the condition of our nation's waters, the United States Congress enacted landmark legislation in 1972. This statute, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (referred to as the Clean Water Act of 1972, or CWA), expanded and built upon existing laws designed to control and prevent water pollution. Successive amendments to the 1972 CWA (the Clean Water Act of 1977 and the Water Quality Act of 1987) have continued to strengthen the law to better protect our nation's waters.

Water quality standards are the cornerstone of a state's water quality management program. States, territories, and Indian tribes set water quality standards for waters within their jurisdictions. Water quality standards define a use for a waterbody and describe the specific water quality criteria to achieve that use. The water quality standards also contain antidegradation policies to protect existing water quality. These are the goals by which success is ultimately gauged for a given waterbody or watershed.

The water quality standards program is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Congress has mandated that EPA is responsible for providing water quality criteria recommendations; approving state-adopted standards for waters of the United States; evaluating adherence to the standards; and overseeing enforcement of standards compliance. Guidance for the development of standards by individual states, tribes, and territories is contained in the EPA documents *Water Quality Standards Handbook*, Second Edition (1983) and *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria* (1986).

Fecal bacteria have been used as an indicator of the possible presence of pathogens in surface waters and the risk of disease, based on epidemiological evidence of gastrointestinal disorders from ingestion of contaminated surface water or raw shellfish. Contact with contaminated water can lead to ear or skin infections, and inhalation of contaminated water can cause respiratory diseases. The pathogens responsible for these diseases can be bacteria, viruses, protozoans, fungi, or parasites that live in the gastrointestinal tract and are shed in the feces of warm-blooded animals.

However, because of the difficulties in analyzing for and detecting the many possible pathogens or parasites, concentrations of fecal bacteria, including fecal coliforms, enterococci, and *Escherichia coli*, are used as the primary indicators of fecal contamination. The latter two indicators are considered to have a higher degree of association with outbreaks of certain diseases than fecal coliforms and were recommended as the basis for bacterial water quality standards in the 1986 *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria* document (both for fresh waters, enterococci for marine waters). The standards are defined as a concentration of the indicator above which the health risk from waterborne disease is unacceptably high.

Prior to the 1986 revision to the National criterion, there were recommendations in the report of the National Technical Advisory Committee to the Secretary of the Interior, *Water Quality Criteria* (1967) and by EPA in *Quality Criteria for Water* (1976). Both of these documents were based on fecal coliforms and recommended that maximum densities not exceed geometric means of 200 organisms per 100 ml in recreational waters.

The 1986 criteria statement for bacteriological criteria follows:

EPA Criteria for Bathing (Full Body Contact) Recreational Waters

Freshwater

Based on a statistically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than 5 samples equally spaced over a 30-day period), the geometric mean of the indicated bacterial densities should not exceed one or the other of the following:¹

E. coli 126 per 100 ml; or Enterococci 33 per 100 ml.

No sample should exceed a one sided confidence limit (C.L.) calculated using the following as guidance:

Designated bathing beach 75% C.L. Moderate use for bathing 82% C.L. Light use for bathing 90% C.L. Infrequent use for bathing 95% C.L.

based on a site-specific log standard deviation, or if site data are insufficient to establish a log standard deviation, then using 0.4 as the log standard deviation for both indicators.

Marine Water

Based on a statistically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than 5 samples equally spaced over a 30-day period), the geometric mean of the enterococci densities should not exceed 35 per 100 ml.

No sample should exceed a one sided confidence limit using the following as guidance:

Designated bathing beach 75% C.L. Moderate use for bathing 82% C.L. Light use for bathing 90% C.L. Infrequent use for bathing 95% C.L.

based on a site-specific log standard deviation, or if site data are insufficient to establish a log standard deviation, then using 0.7 as the log standard deviation.

¹Only one indicator should be used. The regulatory agency should select the appropriate indicator for its conditions.

Acknowledgments

This report is an update of the 1997 Bacterial Water Quality Standards Report and was compiled by Susan Emerson in the Office of Science and Technology. To ensure the completeness and accuracy of this overview, the following EPA Regional Water Quality Standards Coordinators were consulted:

Region 1: Matt Liebman, Office of Ecosystem Protection

Boston, MA

Region 2: Wayne Jackson, Division of Environmental Planning and Protection

New York, NY

Region 3: Cheryl Atkinson, Water Protection Division

Philadelphia, PA

Region 4: Fritz Wagener, Water Management Division

Atlanta, GA

Region 5: Holly Wirick, Water Division

Chicago, IL

Region 6: Russell Nelson, Water Quality Protection Division

Dallas, TX

Region 7: Larry Shepard, Water, Wetlands and Pesticides Division

Kansas City, KS

Region 8: Dave Moon, Office of Ecosystem Protection and Remediation

Denver, CO

Region 9: Phil Woods, Water Division

San Francisco, CA

Region 10: Marcia Lagerloef, Office of Water

Seattle, WA

Bacterial Water Quality Standards - Summary Information

Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States, Tribes, and Territories (By EPA Region)

| State / Tribe / Territory | Cı | riteria ^{1,2} | State / Tribe / Territory | Criteria ^{1,2} | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------|--|
| | Freshwater | Marine Water | | Freshwater | Marine Water | |
| Region 1 | | | Region 2 | | | |
| Connecticut | EN/FC/TC | EN | New Jersey | EN/FC | EN/FC | |
| Maine | EC | EN | New York | FC/TC | FC/TC | |
| Massachusetts | FC | FC | Puerto Rico | FC/TC | EN/FC/TC | |
| New Hampshire | EC | EN | Virgin Islands | • | FC | |
| Rhode Island | FC/TC | FC/TC | | | | |
| Vermont | EC | • | | | | |
| Region 3 | | | Region 4 | | | |
| Delaware | EN | EN | Alabama | FC | FC | |
| District of Columbia | FC | • | Florida | FC | FC | |
| Maryland | EC/EN/FC | EN/FC | Georgia | FC | FC | |
| Pennsylvania | FC | • | Kentucky | FC | • | |
| Virginia | EC/FC | EN/FC | Mississippi | FC | FC | |
| West Virginia | FC | • | North Carolina | FC | FC | |
| | | ł | South Carolina | FC | FC | |
| | | | Tennessee | EC/FC | • | |
| | | | Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida | FC/TC | • | |
| | | | Seminole Tribe of Florida | FC | • | |

FC = fecal coliforms; TC = total coliforms; EN = enterococci; EC = Escherichia coli.

² Many jurisdictions use both the 1986 indicator criteria and fecal coliforms; some continue to use total coliforms. Even if a state has the authority to use the 1986 indicators, it may use another indicator at its discretion.

Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States, Tribes, and Territories (By EPA Region)

| Cr | iteria ^{1.2} |
|------------|-----------------------|
| Freshwater | Marine Water |
| | |
| FC | • |
| EC | • |
| EC/FC | • |
| FC | • |
| EC/FC | • |
| FC | • |
| EC | • |
| EC/EN | • |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | FC EC/FC FC EC/FC FC |

| State / Tribe / Territory | Cr | iteria ^{1,2} |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| | Freshwater | Marine Water |
| Region 6 | | |
| Arkansas | FC | • |
| Louisiana | FC | FC |
| New Mexico | FC | • |
| Oklahoma | EC/EN/FC | • |
| Texas | EC/EN/FC | EN/FC |
| Pueblo of Acoma | EC/EN/FC | • |
| Pueblo of Isleta | EC/FC | • |
| Pueblo of Nambe | FC | • |
| Pueblo of Picuris | EC/FC | • |
| Pueblo of Pojoaque | EC/FC | • |
| Pueblo of Sandia | FC | • |
| Pueblo of San Juan | EC/FC | • |
| Pueblo of Santa Clara | FC | • |
| Pueblo of Tesuque | FC | • |

¹ FC = fecal coliforms; TC = total coliforms; EN = enterococci; EC = Escherichia coli.

² Many jurisdictions use both the 1986 indicator criteria and fecal coliforms; some continue to use total coliforms. Even if a state has the authority to use the 1986 indicators, it may use another indicator at its discretion.

Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States, Tribes, and Territories (By EPA Region)

| State / Tribe / Territory | Cri | teria ^{1,2} | State / Tribe / Territory | Criteria ^{1,2} | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------|
| | Freshwater | Marine Water | | Freshwater | Marine Wate |
| Region 7 | | | Region 8 | | <u> </u> |
| Iowa | FC | • | Colorado | EC/FC | • |
| Kansas | FC | • | Montana | FC | • |
| Missouri | FC | • | North Dakota | FC | • |
| Nebraska | FC | • | South Dakota | FC | • |
| | | | Utah | FC/TC | • |
| | | } | Wyoming | FC | • |
| | | | Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Res. | EC/FC | • |
| | | | Confederated Salish & Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation | FC/TC | • |
| Region 9 | | | Region 10 | | |
| Агіzопа | EC | • | Alaska | FC | FC |
| California ³ | EC/EN/FC/TC | EN/FC/TC | Idaho | EC | • |
| Hawaii | FC | EN | Oregon | EC | FC |
| Nevada | EC/FC | . | Washington | FC | FC |
| American Samoa | FC | EN | Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation | FC | FC |
| Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands | EC/EN/FC | FC/EN | Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation | EN | • |
| Guam | EC/EN | EN | Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Reservation | EC | • |
| White Mountain Apache Tribe of the Fort Apache | EC/FC | | Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation | EC | |
| Reservation | | | Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation | FC | FC |
| Hoopa Valley Tribe | EC/EN | | Spokane Tribe | EC | • |

¹ FC = fecal coliforms; TC = total coliforms; EN = enterococci; EC = *Escherichia coli*.

² Many jurisdictions use both the 1986 indicator criteria and fecal coliforms; some continue to use total coliforms.

³ California has 9 Regional Boards; some use the 1986 indicator criteria, whereas some use fecal coliform and total coliform entirely or for other purposes.

Narrative Summary

Eighteen states, twelve tribes, and two territories have adopted an E. coli standard for freshwaters:

States: Tribes: Arizona Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes California of the Fort Peck Reservation Colorado Confederated Tribes of the Idaho Umatilla Reservation Illinois Confederated Tribes of the Indiana Warm Springs Reservation Maine Fond du Lac Band of the Maryland Chippewa Tribe Michigan Hoopa Valley Tribe Nevada Pueblo of Acoma New Hampshire Pueblo of Isleta Pueblo of Picuris Ohio Oklahoma Pueblo of Pojoaque Pueblo of San Juan Oregon Tennessee Spokane Tribe

Texas White Mountain Apache Tribe

Vermont of the Fort Apache
Virginia Reservation

Six states, three tribes, and two territories use enterococci as a standard for freshwaters:

States: Tribes:
California Confederated Tribes of the
Connecticut Colville Reservation
Delaware Hoopa Valley Tribe
Maryland Pueblo of Acoma
New Jersey

Territories:
Commonwealth of the
Northern Mariana Islands

Guam

Territories:

Guam

Commonwealth of the

Northern Mariana Islands

Nine states and four territories use enterococci as a standard for marine waters:

States: Territories:
California American Samoa
Connecticut Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana

Delaware Islands
Hawaii Guam
Maine Puerto Rico

Maryland New Hampshire New Jersey Texas Virginia

Oklahoma

Bacterial Water Quality Standards - Detailed Overview

| | | | Fre | shwater | M | Iarine |
|----------|---------------|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary |
| Region 1 | Connecticut | Class AA | 100 TC | | | |
| | | | - | mple to exceed or contact recrea | | AA waters are |
| | | Class A/SA | 33 EN | 100 TC | 33 EN | - |
| | | | | | | value is monthly TC samples may |
| | | Class B/SB | 33 EN | 200 FC | 33 EN | |
| | | _ | No single sample may exceed 61 EN. No more than 1 FC single samples may exceed 400. | | | |
| - | Comments: | EC criteria do not a established bathing | apply to all primary contact recreation waters, only waters. | | | s, only |
| | Maine | Class AA & A/SA | AA & A/SA (see note) | | (see note) | /// |
| | | | Note: Bacte | ria content may | be as naturally | occurs. |
| | | Class B/SB | 64 EC | | 8 EN | |
| | | | For season May 15-September 30. No Class B sample exceed 427 EC. No Class SB sample may exceed 54 EN | | | |
| | | Class C/SC | 142 EC | | 14 EN | |
| | | | | May 15-Septem EC. No Class SC | | ss C sample may exceed 94 EN. |
| | Massachusetts | Class A/SA | 20 FC | | 200 FC | |
| | | | Primary freshwater value based on arithr than 10% of FC samples may exceed 10 400 for marine waters, respectively. A applied seasonally. | | ny exceed 100 f | or freshwater and |
| | | Class B/SB | 200 FC 200 FC . | | | • |
| | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. M value may be applied seasonally. | | | eed 400. Marine |
| | | Class C/SC | | 1000 FC | | 1000 FC |
| | | | No more tha | n 10% of FC sa | mples may exc | eed 2000. |

| | | | Fre | shwater | N | Sarine |
|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary |
| Region 1 | New Hampshire | Class A | 47 EC | | 35 EN | |
| (cont'd.) | | | "beach," no | | may exceed 8 | or 104 EN. For 38 EC. Based on eriod. |
| | | Class B | 126 EC | | 35 EN | • |
| | | | | ample may excee ninimum of 3 san | | |
| | | Class B (beaches) | 47 EC | | | |
| | | | No single sa samples tak | on minimum of 3 | | |
| | | Temporary Partial Use | (none) | (none) | (none) | (none) |
| | Rhode Island | Class A/SA | 100 TC 20 FC | | 70 TC 15 FC | |
| | | | samples may | | 330, respective | e than 10% of TC ely. No more than 0, respectively. |
| | | Class B/SB | 1000 TC | | 700 TC | |
| | | | | d on median. No y exceed 2400 ai | | % and 10% of TC ctively. |
| | | | 200 FC | | 50 FC | |
| | | | | d on median. No y exceed 500 and | | % and 10% of FC vely. |
| | | Class C/SC | | (see note) | | (see note) |
| | | | Note: Non assigned to | | ons that would | impair any uses |
| | Comments: | Marine FC criteria | are guides p | ending further r | esearch. | |
| | Vermont | Class A | 18 EC | | | |
| | | Class B | 77 EC | | | |
| | | | Secretary m | ay waive Octobe | er 31–April 1. | |

| | | | Free | hwater | M | larine | |
|----------|------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | |
| Region 2 | New Jersey | Freshwater 1 | (see note) | (see note) | | | |
| | | (FW1) | shall be main | ntained as to qua | ality in the natu | ral state. | |
| | | Pinelands Waters | (see note) | (see note) | | | |
| | | (PL) | | | ality in the natu | | |
| | | Freshwater 2 (FW2) | 33 EN 200 FC | | | | |
| | | | | n 10% of FC sa N sample may e | mples may exc | | |
| | | Saline Estuary 1 (SE1) | | | 35 EN 200 FC | | |
| | | | | n 10% of FC sa N sample may e | | eed 400. | |
| | | Saline Estuary 2 (SE2) | | | | 770 FC | |
| | | Saline Estuary 3 (SE3) | | | | 1500 FC | |
| | | Saline Coastal (SC) Waters | | | 35 EN 50 FC (within coastline) 200 FC (150 | | |
| | | | | n 10% of FC sa I sample may e | mples may exc | ************************* | |
| | Mainste | em Delaware River and | Delaware Bay | : | | | |
| | | Zones 1C,1D,1E,6 | 200 FC | | | | |
| | | Zone 2 | 200 FC | 770 FC | | | |
| | | | Primary RM 133.4-117.81; secondary RM 133.4-108.4 | | | | |
| | | Zones 3,4 | 770 FC | | | | |
| | | Zone 5 | 200 FC | 770 FC | | | |
| | | | Primary RM | 59.5–48.2; seco | ondary RM 78. | 8–59.5 | |
| | New York | Class AA | 50 TC | . | | | |
| | | • | | | | % of TC samples ring periods of | |
| | | Class A | 2400 TC 200 FC | | • | | |
| | | | TC value based on median. No more than 20 may exceed 20,000. | | | | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | M | rine | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | | |
| Region 2 (cont'd.) | New York (continued) | Class B/SB | 2400 TC 200 FC | | 2400 TC 200 FC | | | |
| | | | | based on media exceed 5000. | n. No more th | an 20% of TC | | |
| | | Class C/SC | 2400 TC 200 FC | | 2400 TC 200 FC | | | |
| | | | - | based on media exceed 5000. | n. No more th | an 20% of TC | | |
| | | Class D/SD | 2400 TC 200 FC | | | | | |
| | | | TC values based on median. No more than 20% of samples may exceed 5000. Criteria apply only to Cl waters. There are no bacterial criteria for Class SD waters are not meant for recreational purpose | | | | | |
| | | Class I | | 10000 TC 2000 FC | - " | | | |
| | | Class A-Special (A-S) | 1000 TC | | | | | |
| | | Fresh Surface Water | 200 FC | | | | | |
| | Puerto Rico | Class SA | | | (see note) | | | |
| | | | Note: May | not be altered ex | cept by natural | causes. | | |
| | | Class SB | | | 35 EN 200 FC | | | |
| | | | | intensely used w 0% of FC sample | | | | |
| | | Class SC | | | | 10,000 TC 2000 FC | | |
| | | | No more tha | n 20% of FC sar | nples may exce | ed 4000. | | |
| | | Class SD | | 10,000 TC 2000 FC | | | | |
| | | | No more tha | n 20% of FC sar | nples may exce | d 4000. | | |
| | | Class SE | (see note) | • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | | | e of the parame | | ered, except by | | |
| | Virgin Islands | Class A | | | (see note) | | | |
| | | | Note: Exist | ing natural condi | tions are not to | be changed. | | |
| | | Class B | | | 70 FC | | | |
| | | Class C | | | 200 FC | | | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | M | Sarine | |
|----------|---------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | |
| Region 3 | Delaware | | 100 EN | | 10 EN | | |
| | | Bathing beaches | 193 EN | ***** | 35 EN | | |
| | | | marine sam | | 2,212 EN, or 46 | 60 EN. No single 60 EN within one- | |
| | Comments: | All samples with an excess of 104 EN are re-sampled, with advisories be based on consecutive samples in excess of the 104 EN criteria. Bathing criteria are not part of the Delaware water quality standards regulations. | | | | | |
| | District of | | 200 FC | 1000 FC | | | |
| | Columbia | | Does not apply for 24 hr following high flow condit. Maximum 30 day geometric for 5 samples. | | | | |
| | Maryland | Public bathing beach | 126 EC 33 EN | | 35 EN | | |
| | Other than public bathing beach | | 200 FC | 200 FC | 200 FC | 200 FC | |
| | - | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Based on no less than 5 samples taken over a 30-day period. | | | | |
| | Pennsylvania | Bac 1 | 200 FC | *********************** | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| | | Swimming season (May 1-September 30). 2000 FC during the rest of the year. | | | | | |
| | | Bac 2 | | | | | |
| | | | No more than 20% of samples may exceed 5000 FC. No more than 5% of samples may exceed 20,000 FC. For public water supplies. | | | | |
| | Comments: | Criteria adopted by the Delaware River Basin Commission (200 FC for the Delaware River from the Burlington Bristol Bridge to the Pennsylvania / Delaware line, 770 FC for the Delaware River from the head of tide to the Burlington Bristol Bridge) apply when they are more stringent than Pennsylvania's criteria. | | | | sylvania / tide to the | |
| | Virginia | | 126 EC 200 FC | 200 FC | 35 EN 200 FC | 200 FC | |
| | Comments: | Based on two or more samples over a calendar month. No more than 10% of FC samples taken over a calendar month may exceed 400 FC. No sample may exceed 1000 FC. FC criteria do not apply after a sampling station has 12 or more data points for EC or EN, or after June, 2008, whichever comes first. Single sample maximum based on 75% upper confidence limit and site-speciflog standard deviation. Until sufficient data are acquired 0.4 will be used for fresh waters, and 0.7 will be used for marine waters (235 EC and 104 EN) | | | | | |
| | West Virginia | Categories A&C | 200 FC | | | | |
| | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. | | | | |
| | | Ohio River | 2000 FC | ***** | PC#44477777 | | |
| | | (Category C) | For nonreco | eation season No | vember-April | only. | |
| | Comments: | Based on minimum | of 5 samples | per month | | | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | N | Marine | |
|----------|----------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | |
| Region 4 | Alabama | Swimming | 200 FC | 200 FC | 100 FC | 100 FC | |
| | | | season (Octo | | of season mea | pplies for out of n 2000 FC; 4000 arine waters. | |
| | | Fish and Wildlife | 200 FC | 1000 FC | 100 FC | 1000 FC | |
| | Florida | | 200 FC | | 200 FC | | |
| | | | any one day more than 20 | . 1000 TC max % of TC single: | imum for mon samples may ex | d 400; 800 FC on thly average. No acceed 1000. 2400 minimum of 10 | |
| | Georgia | Recreation | 200 FC | 200 FC | 100 FC | 200 FC | |
| | | | For May through October, primary recreation criteria apply. All other months, secondary recreation criteria apply with a 4000 FC maximum of any sample. | | | | |
| | | Fishing | 200 FC | 1000 FC | 200 FC | 1000 FC | |
| | Kentucky | | 200 FC | 1000 FC | | | |
| , | | | For May-October; no more than 20% of FC samples may exceed 400 and 2000, respectively. Out of season, secondary contact criteria used for primary waters. | | | | |
| | Mississippi | Recreation | 200 FC | | 200 FC | | |
| | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. | | | | |
| | | Fish & Wildlife | 200 FC | 2000 FC | 200 FC | 2000 FC | |
| | | | November to | | ry applies and r | sceed 400. From no more than 10% | |
| | North Carolina | Class SA | 14 FC | | | | |
| | | (shellfishing) | may exceed | 43 in those areas on during the mo | s most probably | % of FC samples rexposed to fecal hydrographic and | |
| | | Class B/SB (Primary | 200 FC | | 200 FC | | |
| | | Recreation, Fresh\Tidal Salt) | No more tha | n 20% of FC sa | mples may exc | eed 400. | |
| | | Class C/SC | | 200 FC | | 200 FC | |
| | | (Secondary Recreation, Fresh\Tidal Salt) | Violations a rainfall in se | re expected im | mediately folk uncontrollable | ay exceed 400. owing periods of nonpoint source | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | M | Iarine | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | | |
| Region 4 | South Carolina | Class FW/SA | 200 FC | | 200 FC | | | |
| (cont'd.) | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. | | | | | |
| | | Class SB | | | 200 FC | 1000 FC | | |
| | | | | n 20% of FC sa | | eed 2000. | | |
| | Tennessee | Recreation | 200 FC 126 EC | | | | | |
| | | | Based on minexceed 1000 | | nples. No sing | le FC sample may | | |
| | | Domestic Water Supply | 1000 FC | 1000 FC | | | | |
| | | | Based on a minimum of 10 samples. No single FC s may exceed 5000. | | | | | |
| | | Fish & Wildlife | 1000 FC | 1000 FC | | | | |
| | | | Based on a minimum of 10 samples. No single FC may exceed 5000. | | | | | |
| | Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of | | 1000 TC 200 FC | | 45 4.5.0. 940.5.0.7.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | |
| • | Florida | | 1000 FC. 400 FC. | | | | | |
| | Seminole Tribe of | | 200 FC | | | | | |
| | Florida | | No more than 10% of samples may exceed 400 FC. No sample may exceed 800 FC. | | | | | |
| Region 5 | Illinois | • | 200 FC | | | | | |
| | | | No more tha | n 10% of FC sa | | | | |
| | | Lake Michigan | 20 FC | | | | | |
| | Comments: | Illinois monitors 9 | 99% of its recre | ational waters u | ising EC. | | | |
| | Indiana | | 125 EC | | | ., | | |
| | | _ | | April through (| | ngle sample may | | |
| | Michigan | | 130 EC 200 FC | | | | | |
| | | | due to uncon be tempora emergencies system. Can | umple may exce strollable nonpointly suspended that affect a | ed 300 EC. Ma nt sources, Prindue to floo sewer or wast ovember 1-Apr | ay be exceeded if mary standard can od, accident, or ewater treatment il 30. The criteria | | |
| | Comments: | The EC value is u | used for ambient monitoring; the FC value is used for assessing | | | | | |

| - | | | Fres | hwater | Marine | | | |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | | |
| Region 5 | Minnesota | Class A | 200 FC | | | | | |
| (cont'd.) | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Criteric applies only during the March 1-October 31 season. | | | | | |
| | | Class B | 200 FC | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ay exceed 2000. October 31 season. | | |
| | Ohio | Lake Erie & Ohio River Uses | 200 FC ses 126 EC | | | | | |
| | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. No more than 10% of EC samples may exceed 235. Based on not less than 5 samples taken during any 30-day period. | | | | | |
| | | Rest of state | 1000 FC 126 EC | 5000 FC 576 EC | <u>_</u> | | | |
| | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 2000 and 5000, respectively. No more than 10% of EC samples may exceed 298 [primary] and 576 [secondary]. | | | | | |
| | Comments: | designation, at leas | nd the Ohio River are designated as bathing waters. For east one of the two bacterial standards (FC or EC) must be moly outside the mixing zone. | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin | | 200 FC | | _ | | | |
| | | | | | | eed 400. Specific v 1000 FC. | | |
| | Fond du Lac Band | | 126 EC | 126 EC | | | | |
| | of the Chippewa Tribe | | | than five sample | | d in a thirty day | | |
| | Sokaogon Chippewa | | (See note) | (See note) | | | | |
| | Community of the Mole Lake Band of Chippewa Indians | | | ased on either E entrations, whi | | | | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | M | l arine | | |
|----------|------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | | |
| Region 6 | Arkansas | | 200 FC | 1000 FC | | | | |
| | | | respectively standard alw | . For extraord ays applies; for | linary resource other waters, pr | ed 400 and 2000, waters, primary rimary standard in econdary applies. | | |
| | Louisiana | | 200 FC | 1000 FC | 200 FC | 1000 FC | | |
| | | | annually, m secondary co | ay exceed 400 f | for primary con criteria apply M | ay period, or 25% tact and 2000 for ay 1 - October 31. | | |
| | New Mexico | | 200 FC | 1000 FC | | | | |
| | | | No single respectively | • | exceed 400 F | C or 2000 FC, | | |
| | | Select Segments: | 100 FC | | | | | |
| | | | No single sample may exceed 200 FC | | | | | |
| | Comments: | waters of the state u | ne state shall be virtually free of pathogens. In particular, surface used for irrigation of table crops such as lettuce shall be virtually and shingella species. | | | | | |
| • | Oklahoma | | 126 EC Narrative 33 EN 200 FC | | | | | |
| | | | Primary criteria apply May 1 – September 30; rest of year secondary criteria apply. No more than 10% of FC sample may exceed 400. For lakes and high use waterbodies, no single sample may exceed 235 EC and 61 EN. For all othe waters, no single sample may exceed 406 EC and 108 EN. | | | | | |
| | Comments: | Adopted WQS to a | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | Texas | | 126 EC 200 FC | 605 EC 2000 FC | 35 EN 200 FC | 168 EN 2000 FC | | |
| | | | samples may | | or 89 EN for pr | nary contact. No imary contact and | | |
| | | Houston Ship Channel | 168 EN | | | | | |
| | | | or a single sa | ample (if fewer t | han 10 samples | than 10 samples) may exceed 500, the Houston Ship | | |
| | Comments: | Texas Department Texas Commission methodology. FC I recreational suitabi segments designate indicator of recreat suitability of oyster | on Environn pacteria can t lity until suff d as oyster w ional suitabil | nental Quality us se used as an alt icient data are a vaters, FC can co | ses membrane f ernative instrea vailable for EC ontinue to be us | Iltration (MF) m indicator of or EN. For sed as an | | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | Marine | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | | | |
| Region 6 (cont'd.) | Pueblo of Acoma | | 126 EC 33 EN 200 FC | | | | | | |
| | | | and high use ceremonial a the total san The criteria | No sample may exceed 235 EC or 61 EN for Acomita Lab and high use water bodies and 406 EC or 108 EN for all othe ceremonial and recreational use areas. No more than 10% of the total samples in any 30-day period may exceed 400 FC. The criteria for partial body contact is 10 times the criteris specified for primary contact recreation. | | | | | |
| | Comments: | Compliance for primary contact recreation based on meeting the criteria for one of the indicators. | | | | | | | |
| | Pueblo of Isleta | Primary Contact Ceremonial | ntact 47 EC 100 FC | | | | | | |
| | | | No sample may exceed 200 FC or 88 EC for primary contact ceremonial and recreational uses. | | | | | | |
| | Pueblo of Nambe | | 200 FC | | | | | | |
| | | | No sample n | nay exceed 400 l | | | | | |
| | Comments: | No secondary cont | act recreation | use. | | <u></u> | | | |
| • | Pueblo of Picuris | | 126 EC 200 FC | *************************************** | ,} & } > = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = | *************************************** | | | |
| | | | No sample n | nay exceed 400 | FC or 235 EC. | | | | |
| | Comments: | No secondary cont | act recreation | use. | | | | | |
| | Pueblo of Pojoaque | | 126 EC 200 FC | | ·•···· | ****************************** | | | |
| | | | No sample n | nay exceed 400 | FC or 235 EC. | | | | |
| | Comments: | No secondary cont | act recreation | use. | | | | | |
| | Pueblo of Sandia | Ceremonial | 100 FC | | ···· | | | | |
| | | Recreational (April 1- September 30) | 100 FC | | | | | | |
| | | (All other times) | · | 200 FC | | | | | |
| | Comments: | No sample may excontact ceremonial | | | | | | | |
| | Pueblo of San Juan | Ceremonial | 100 FC 47 EC | | - | - | | | |
| | | Recreational (April 1 - September 30) | 47 EC 100 FC | 200 FC | 44. | | | | |
| | | (All other times): | | 200 FC | | | | | |
| | Comments: | No sample may ex primary contact ce | | | | | | | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | M | arine | | | |
|-----------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | | | |
| Region 6 | Pueblo of Santa | | 200 FC | | | | | | |
| (cont'd.) | Clara | | No sample may exceed 400 FC. | | | | | | |
| | Comments: | No secondar | No secondary contact recreation use. | | | | | | |
| | Pueblo of Tesuque | | 200 FC | | | | | | |
| | | | No sample may exceed 400 FC. | | | | | | |
| | Comments: | No secondar | y contact recreation | use. | | | | | |
| Region 7 | Iowa | | 200 FC | | | | | | |
| | Comments: | For April 1-October 31 season. Excepted when waters are materially affected by surface runoff, but FC levels downstream from discharge may not be >200 more than the background level upstream. | | | | | | | |
| | Kansas | 200 FC 2000 FC | | | | | | | |
| | | | | may exceed 900 ober 31. Seconda | | ntact use applies ies year-round. | | | |
| | Comments: | Classified surface waters may be excluded from the application of the numeric criteria for fecal coliform when stream flow exceeds 50% of the estimated 2-year flood flow. | | | | | | | |
| | Missouri | | 200 FC | | | | | | |
| | | | - | when the stream Applies April | | iffected by storm | | | |
| | Comments: | State applies FC to designated losing streams also, but on a year-round b | | | | | | | |
| | Nebraska | 200 FC | | | | | | | |
| | | | es may exceed 0. | 400 FC. | | | | | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | Marine | | |
|----------|-----------|---|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | |
| Region 8 | Utah | Class 2A | 1000 TC 200 FC | | | | |
| | | | | use for action is | | is unusually high meeting permit | |
| | | Class 2B | | 5000 TC 200 FC | | | |
| | Comments: | Although the state same primary cont use classifications "swimmable." | tact level of pro | otection is applie | d to both. The | state retained two | |
| | Wyoming | | 200 FC | 1000 FC | | | |
| | Comments: | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 and 2000, respectively. For recreational season May 1 –September 30. The geometric mean of 3 samples collected within a 24 hour period may not exceed 400 FC. All waters of the state are designated for primary contact. Standards apply throughout the year. | | | | | |
| | Colorado | Class 1A | 200 FC 126 EC | | | | |
| | | Class 1B | 325 FC 205 EC | | | | |
| | | Class 2 | | 2000 FC 630 EC | | | |
| | Comments: | Colorado has two categories of primary contact recreation use in addition to their secondary contact recreation use. The Recreation Class 1a use is the default use category. In these waters, primary contact recreation uses have been documented or are presumed to be present. The Recreation Class 1b use is intended to protect waters with the potential to support primary contact uses, and may be assigned only if a reasonable level of inquiry has failed to identify any existing primary contact recreation uses of the waterbody. The Rec 1b use category is assigned geometric mean <i>E. coli</i> criteria based on an illness rate of 10 per 1000 swimmers (compared to 8 per 1000 for Class 1a). Finally, the Recreation Class 2 use may be assigned only where a use attainability analysis has demonstrated that there is no reasonable potential for primary contact recreation uses to occur within the next 20-year period. | | | | | |
| | Montana | Class A | 50 FC | | - | | |
| | | Class A1 | 50 FC | | , | | |
| | | Classes B1, B2, B3, C1, C2, C3 | 200 FC | | | _ | |
| | | <u></u> | No more tha | ın 10% of sampl | es may exceed | 400 FC. | |
| | Comments: | Classes A and A1 are protected as primary drinking water sources. Criteria for B and C classes only apply when the water is above 60 degrees F. All waters of the state are given an A, B, or C classification. | | | | | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | M | larine | | |
|-----------|--|---|---|------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | | |
| Region 8 | North Dakota | | 200 FC | | | | | |
| (cont'd.) | | | Only during | recreation seaso | n May 1-Septe | mber 30. | | |
| | Comments: | The primary conta III waters have lin and intermittent fl | nited potential | for immersion re | ecreation becau | | | |
| | South Dakota | | 200 FC | 1000 FC | | | | |
| | | | No sample may exceed 400 FC for primary contact recreation and 2000 FC for secondary contact recreation. No more than 20% of samples may exceed 200 FC for primary contact recreation and 1000 FC for secondary contact recreation. | | | | | |
| | Comments: | The primary and secondary contact standards apply May 1-September 30. | | | | | | |
| | Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the | | 126 EC 200 FC | 126 EC 200 FC | | | | |
| | Fort Peck Indian Reservation | | 10% of the total samples during a 30-day period cannot exceed 400 FC. No sample may exceed 235 EC for prima contact recreation and 406 EC for secondary contact recreation. | | | | | |
| | Comments: | The recreational standards apply when the water temperature exceeds 15.5 degrees C. The only difference in the level of protection between primary and secondary is the single sample maximum for EC. | | | | | | |
| | Confederated | | 200 FC | 200 FC | | | | |
| | Salish and Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead | Class A - closed basin | 50 TC | 50 TC | | | | |
| | Reservation | Class Ai | 50 TC | 50 TC | | | | |
| | | | 10% of the texceed 400 l | otal samples dur | ring a 30-day p | eriod cannot | | |
| | Comments: | | Reservation are designated for primary contact recreation. Class A use is primary contact recreation. | | | | | |

| | | | Freshwater | | Marine | | |
|----------|------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | |
| Region 9 | Arizona | | 126 EC | 126 EC | | | |
| | | | | le maximum is a al body contact. | | ly contact and | |
| | California | North Coastal | 50 FC | | 50 FC | | |
| | | Regional Board 1 | No more tha | n 10% of FC sa | mples may exc | eed 400. | |
| | | San Francisco Bay Regional Board 2 | 126 EC† 33 EN† 200 FC 240 TC | 2000 FC | 35 EN 200 FC 240 TC | 2000 FC | |
| | | | Marine waters: No sample may exceed 104 - 500 EN based on frequency of use. Fresh waters: No sample may exceed 61-151 EN or 235-576 EC based on frequency of use. No sample may exceed 4000 FC for secondary contact. No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. No sample to exceed 10,000 TC. | | | | |
| | | Central Coast | 200 FC | 2000 FC | 200 FC | 2000 FC | |
| | | Los Angeles 12 | water contac | n 10% of FC sa et recreation (RE tion (REC-2). | | | |
| | | | 126 EC 200 FC | 2000 FC | 35 EN 200 FC 1000 TC | 2000 FC | |
| | | | 104 EN. | le sample maxim | | , 10,000 TC, and and 400 FC. | |
| | | Central Valley | 126 EC | | | | |
| | | Regional Board 5 | Single samp | le maximum is 2 | 235 EC. | | |
| | | Folsom Lake | 100 FC | | | | |
| | | (In Central Valley) | No more tha | n 10% of samp | les may exceed | 1 200 FC. | |
| | | Lahontan | 20 FC | | | | |
| | · | Regional Board 6 | No more that No more that Eagle Drains exceeding 20 | n 10% of FC sa n 10% of FC sa age Hydrologic 0/100 mi for any this objective ev | mples may exc mples may exc Area. A log me 30-day period | eed 40. eed 75 for the ean concentration I shall indicate | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | M | f arine | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | |
| Region 9 (cont'd.) | California (continued) | Colorado River Basin Regional Board 7 | 126 EC 33 EN 200 FC | 630 EC 165 EN | | | |
| | | | No sample may exceed 100 EN and 400 EC for primary contact and 500 EN and 2000 EC for secondary contact. For the Colorado River, no sample may exceed 61 EN and 235 EC for freshwater primary contact. For secondary contact, no sample may exceed 305 EN and 1175 EC. No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Also maximum limits for EN and EC vary by level of use. | | | | |
| | | Santa Ana | 200 FC | 2000 FC | 200 FC | 2000 FC | |
| | | Regional Board 8 | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 for primary contact and 4000 for secondary contact; 100 TC maximum in lakes and streams designated as domestic water supply. The marine water criteria also apply to bays and estuaries. | | | | |
| | | San Diego Regional Board 9 | 126 EC 33 EN 200 FC | 2000 FC | 35 EN 200 FC | 2000 FC | |
| | | | 400 FC for p contact. Sin EN and 235 | ater, no more that primary contact a gle sample maxin EC - 576 EC for the waters based | and 4000 FC for mum ranges fr r fresh waters | or secondary om 61 EN - 151 and 104 EN - 500 | |
| | | Ocean Plan | | | 24 EN for 30 12 EN for 6 200 FC 1000 TC | 0 day period month period | |
| | | | No more than 20% of TC samples may exceed 1000 in bays and estuaries. No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. | | | | |
| | Comments: | Essentially all Calwith the exception | | | | stact recreation | |
| | Hawaii | | 200 FC | - | 7 EN | | |
| | | | Inland: based on minimum of 10 samples. No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Marine: based on minimum of 5 samples. | | | | |
| | Comments: | Revisions pending | for fresh wat | ers and marine w | vaters. | | |

| | | | Freshwater | | M | arine | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | | |
| Region 9 | Nevada | Class A and B | 200 FC | | | | | |
| (cont'd.) | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. | | | | | |
| | | Class C (includes noncontact recreation) | 1) The FC comore than 20 2) The FC cocharacteristic may the FC | exceed the more oncentration mu 0% of total samp oncentration mu c of natural conc concentration in racteristic of nat | st not exceed 10 des exceed 240 st not exceed th litions by more a single sample | 0. at which is than 200, nor e exceed that | | |
| | | Waters not listed | 200 FC | 1000 FC | | | | |
| | | below | | | | | | |
| | • | Lake Tahoe and Tributaries and Humboldt River | 126 EC | | | | | |
| | | Basin, Walker River, and Walker Lake | (median) for | Basin also has offshore and un iver Basin has si | developed lake | | | |
| | Comments: | Nevada adopts water quality standards on a water body specific basis. The is in the process of replacing waters with FC criteria with EC criteria as revisions are made basin-by-basin. | | | | | | |
| | American Samoa | | 100 FC | | 35 EN | | | |
| | | | Open Ocean Coastal Wat Fagatele Bay EN. For Pag | , no sample may ers and all Emba y, and Pala Lago | es may exceed 200 FC. For vexceed 276 EN. For Open ayments except Pago Harbor, oon, no sample may exceed 124 ele Bay, and Pala Lagoon, no | | | |
| | Comments: | Revisions pending | for fresh wate | ers. | | | | |
| | Common wealth of | All waters | 200 FC | | 200 FC | <u> </u> | | |
| | the Northern Mariana Islands | | No FC samp | les may exceed | 400 at any time | ······································ | | |
| | IVEW ROLEW ASSUME | Class AA | | | 35 EN | ······································ | | |
| | | Class 1 | 125 EC 33 EN | | | | | |
| | | Class A | | , | 125 EN | | | |
| | | Class 2 | 300 EC 90 EN | - | | | | |
| | Comments: | All Mariana Island One element of the | | | | S. | | |

| | | | Fre | shwater | M | I arine | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | | |
| Region 9 (cont'd.) | Guam | S1/S2 Fresh Waters | 126 EC 33 EN | | | | | |
| | | | No sample arithmetic n | may exceed 235 | | Values based on | | |
| | | S3 Fresh Waters | 126 EC 33 EN | | | | | |
| | | | Values based on arithmetic mean. No sample may exceed 40 EC. No sample may exceed 108 EN. | | | | | |
| | | M1/M2 Marine Waters | 35 EN | | | | | |
| | | | No sample | nay exceed 104 | | | | |
| | | M3 Marine Waters | 35 EN | | | | | |
| | | | | nay exceed 276 | | *************************************** | | |
| | Comments: | All waters are des | esignated for contact recreation. | | | | | |
| - | Hoopa Valley Tribe | | 126 EC 33 EN | | | - | | |
| | White Mountain Apache Tribe | | 47 EC | 1000 FC 2000 FC with 10% | | | | |
| | | | No sample may exceed 88 EC for primary contact and 4000 EC for secondary contact. | | | | | |
| | Comments: | | creation criteria apply May 1 - September 30, secondary contact apply October 1 - April 30. | | | | | |
| Region 10 | Alaska | | 100 FC | 200 FC | 100 FC | 200 FC | | |
| | | | more than l | 0 samples, may water and mar | exceed 200 FO | mples if there are C and 400 FC for and secondary, | | |
| | Comments: | Alaska designates all waters for all uses, and the most stringent criteria must be used. Therefore, for freshwater, the drinking water use criterion of 20 FC usually drives most NPDES permit actions, 303(d) listings, and TMDL development. For marine waters, the most stringent bacterial criterion is for the seafood processing use = 20 FC (no more than 10% of the samples may exceed 40 FC). Even though Alaska has 100 FC/200 FC as its recreation criteria, more stringent criteria for other use categories take precedence. | | | | | | |
| | Idaho | | 126 EC | 126 EC | | | | |
| | | | primary onl may exceed | y; secondary ap | plies all other to mary contact re | September 30 for times. No sample ecreation and 576 | | |

| | - | | Fre | shwater | Marine | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Region | State | Class | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | |
| Region 10 | Oregon | | 126 EC | | 14 FC | <u> </u> | |
| (cont'd.) | | | than 10% of waters othe | FC marine samp r than shellfis riterion. For estu | les may exceed h growing, sa | 06 EC. No more 43. For estuarine ume criterion as ith shellfish, same | |
| • | Washington | Class AA | 50 FC | | 14 FC | | |
| | | (extraordinary) | No more that respectively. | | ımples may ex | ceed 100 and 43, | |
| | | Class A | 100 FC | | 14 FC | | |
| | | (excellent) | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 200 and 43, respectively. | | | | |
| | | Class B (good) | | 200 FC | | 100 FC | |
| | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 respectively. Only designated for secondary conta | | | | |
| | | Class C (fair) | | | | 200 FC | |
| | | | No more that | •••• | amples may ex | ceed 400. Only | |
| | | Lake Class | 50 FC | 94 24 9 5 5 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | | | |
| | | | | n 10% of sampl | | | |
| | Confederated | Class AA | 50 FC | | 14 FC | | |
| | Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation | (extraordinary) | No more that respectively. | | mples may ex | ceed 100 and 43, | |
| | | Class A | 100 FC | | 14 FC | | |
| | | (excellent) | No more that respectively. | | amples may ex | ceed 200 and 43, | |
| | | Class B (good) | | 200 FC | | 100 FC | |
| | | | | | mples may exc | eed 400 and 200, | |
| | | Class C (fair) | | | | 200 FC | |
| | | | | an 10% of FC s or secondary co | | cceed 400. Only | |
| | - | Lake Class | 50 FC | DI I PROGRESI DE EVOÇOSI I DE PARA | | | |
| | | | No more than 10% of samples may exceed 100 FC. | | | | |

| Region | State | Class | Freshwater | | Marine | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|-----------------|---------|-----------|--|
| | | | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | |
| Region 10 (cont'd.) | Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation | Class I (extraordinary) | 8 EN | | | , <u></u> | |
| | | | No sample r | nay exceed 35 E | N. | | |
| | | Class II (excellent) | 16 EN | | | | |
| | | | No sample r | nay exceed 75 E | | | |
| | | Class III (good) | 33 EN | | | | |
| | | | No sample may exceed 150 EN. Only designated for secondary contact. | | | | |
| | | Lake Class | 33 EN | | | | |
| | | | No sample may exceed 150 EN. | | | | |
| | Confederated Tribes of the | | 126 EC | | | | |
| | Warm Springs Reservation | | No sample r | nay exceed 406 | EC. | | |
| | Comments: | | public and private domestic water supply, water contact e and hunting, fishing, and boating/recreation. | | | | |
| | Confederated | | 126 EC | | | | |
| | Tribes of the Umatilla Reservation | | No sample may exceed 406 EC. | | | | |
| | Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation | Class AA (extraordinary) | 50 FC | | 14 FC | | |
| | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 100 and 43, respectively. | | | | |
| | | Class A (excellent) | 100 FC | 14 FC | | | |
| | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 200 and 43 respectively. | | | | |
| | | Class B (good) | | 200 FC | | 100 FC | |
| | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 and 200, respectively. Only designated for secondary contact. | | | | |
| | | Class C (fair) | | | | 200 FC | |
| | | | No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Only designated for secondary contact. | | | | |
| | | Lake Class | 50 FC | | | | |
| | | | No more than 10% of samples may exceed 100 FC. | | | | |
| | Spokane Tribe of | | 126 EC | | | | |
| | Indians | | No more than 10% of samples may exceed 406 EC. This applies to single samples if less than 10 samples are taken. | | | | |

Sources: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Regional Offices and Office of Science and Technology, Standards and Health Protection Division.