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## PREFACE

The National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) of EPA's Office of Research and Development (ORD) has prepared this handbook to address factors commonly used in exposure assessments. This handbook was first published in 1989 in response to requests from many EPA Program and Regional offices for additional guidance on how to select values for exposure factors.

Several events sparked the efforts to revise the Exposure Factors Handbook. First, since its publication in 1989, new data have become available. Second, the Risk Assessment Council issued a memorandum titled, "Guidance on Risk Characterization for Risk Managers and Risk Assessors," dated February 26, 1992, which emphasized the use of multiple descriptors of risk (i.e., measures of central tendency such as average or mean, or high end), and characterization of individual risk, population risk, important subpopulations. A new document was issued titled "Guidance for Risk Characterization," dated February 1995. This document is an update of the guidance issued with the 1992 policy. Third, EPA published the revised Guidelines for Exposure Assessment in 1992.

As part of the efforts to revise the handbook, the EPA Risk Assessment Forum sponsored a two-day peer involvement workshop which was conducted during the summer of 1993. The workshop was attended by 57 scientists from academia, consulting firms, private industry, the States, and other Federal agencies. The purpose of the workshop was to identify new data sources, to discuss adequacy of the data and the feasibility of developing statistical distributions and to establish priorities.

As a result of the peer involvement workshop, three new chapters were added to the handbook.
These chapters are: Consumer Product Use, Residential Building Characteristics, and Intake of Grains. This document also provides a summary of the available data on consumption of drinking water; consumption of fruits, vegetables, beef, dairy products, grain products, and fish; breast milk intake; soil ingestion; inhalation rates; skin surface area; soil adherence; lifetime; activity patterns; and body weight.

A new draft handbook that incorporated comments from the 1993 workshop was published for peer review in June 1995. A peer review workshop was held in July 1995 to discuss comments on the draft handbook. A new draft of the handbook that addressed comments from the 1995 peer review workshop was submitted to the Science Advisory Board (SAB) for review in August 1996. An SAB workshop meeting was held December 19-20, 1996, to discuss the comments of the SAB reviewers. Comments from the SAB review have been incorporated into the current handbook.

## FOREWORD

The National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) of EPA's Office of Research and Development (ORD) has five main functions: (1) providing risk assessment research, methods, and guidelines; (2) performing health and ecological assessments; (3) developing, maintaining, and transferring risk assessment information and training; (4) helping ORD set research priorities; and (5) developing and maintaining resource support systems for NCEA. The activities under each of these functions are supported by and respond to the needs of the various program offices. In relation to the first function, NCEA sponsors projects aimed at developing or refining techniques used in exposure assessments.

This handbook was first published in 1989 to provide statistical data on the various factors used in assessing exposure. This revised version of the handbook provides the up-to-date data on these exposure factors. The recommended values are based solely on our interpretations of the available data. In many situations different values may be appropriate to use in consideration of policy, precedent or other factors.
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Director
National Center for Environmental Assessment Washington Office
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of the Exposure Factors Handbook is to: (1) summarize data on human behaviors and characteristics which affect exposure to environmental contaminants, and (2) recommend values to use for these factors. These recommendations are not legally binding on any EPA program and should be interpreted as suggestions which program offices or individual exposure assessors can consider and modify as needed. Most of these factors are best quantified on a site or situation-specific basis. The handbook has strived to include full discussions of the issues which assessors should consider in deciding how to use these data and recommendations. The handbook is intended to serve as a support document to EPA's Guidelines for Exposure Assessment (U.S. EPA, 1992a). The Guidelines were developed to promote consistency among the various exposure assessment activities that are carried out by the various EPA program offices. This handbook assists in this goal by providing a consistent set of exposure factors to calculate dose.

- update of homegrown food intake;
- expansion of data in the dermal chapter;
- update of fish intake data;
- expansion of data for time spent at residence;
- update of body weight data;
- addition of body weight data for infants;
- update of population mobility data;
- addition of new data for average time spent in different locations and various microenvironments;
- addition of data for occupational mobility;
- addition of breast milk ingestion;
- addition of consumer product use; and
- addition of reference residence factors.


## Variation Among Studies

This handbook is a compilation of available data from a variety of different sources. With very few exceptions, the data presented are the analyses of the individual study authors. Since the studies included in this handbook varied in terms of their objectives, design, scope,

### 1.2. INTENDED <br> AUDIENCE

The Exposure Factors Handbook is addressed to exposure assessors inside the Agency as well as outside, who need to obtain data on standard factors needed to calculate human exposure to toxic chemicals.

## Purpose

- Summarize data on human behaviors and characteristics affecting exposure
- Recommend exposure factor values presentation of results, etc., the level of detail, statistics, and terminology may vary from study to study and from factor to factor. For example, some authors used geometric means to present their results, while others used arithmetic means or distributions.
Authors have sometimes used different terms to describe the same racial populations. Within the constraint of presenting the original material as accurately as possible, EPA has made an effort to present discussions and results in a consistent manner. Further, the strengths and limitations of each study are discussed to provide the reader with a better understanding of the uncertainties associated with the values derived from the study.


### 1.3.1. Selection of Studies for the Handbook

Information in this handbook has been summarized from studies documented in the scientific literature and
other available sources. Studies were chosen that were seen as useful and appropriate for estimating exposure factors. The handbook contains summaries of selected studies published through August 30, 1997.

## General Considerations

Many scientific studies were reviewed for possible inclusion in this handbook. Studies were selected based on the following considerations:

- Level of peer review: Studies were selected predominantly from the peer-reviewed literature and final government reports. Internal or interim reports were therefore avoided.
- Accessibility: Studies were preferred that the user could access in their entirety if needed.
- Reproducibility: Studies were sought that contained sufficient information so that methods could be reproduced, or at least so the details of the author's work could be accessed and evaluated.
- Focus on exposure factor of interest: Studies were chosen that directly addressed the exposure factor of interest, or addressed related factors that have significance for the factor under consideration. As an example of the latter case, a selected study contained useful ancillary information concerning fat content in fish, although it did not directly address fish consumption.
- Data pertinent to the U.S.: Studies were selected that addressed the U.S. population. Data from populations outside the U.S. were sometimes included if behavioral patterns and other characteristics of exposure were similar.
- Primary data: Studies were deemed preferable if based on primary data, but studies based on secondary sources were also included where they offered an original analysis. For example, the handbook cites studies of food consumption based on original data collected by the USDA National Food Consumption Survey.
- Current information: Studies were chosen only if they were sufficiently recent to represent current exposure conditions. This is an important consideration for those factors that change with time.
- Adequacy of data collection period: Because most users of the handbook are primarily addressing chronic exposures, studies were sought that utilized the most appropriate techniques for collecting data to characterize long-term behavior.
- Validity of approach: Studies utilizing experimental procedures or approaches that more likely or closely capture the desired measurement were selected. In general, direct exposure data collection techniques, such as direct observation, personal monitoring devices, or other known methods were preferred where available. If studies utilizing direct measurement were not available, studies were selected that rely on validated indirect measurement methods such as surrogate measures (such as heart rate for inhalation rate), and use of questionnaires. If questionnaires or surveys were used, proper design and procedures include an adequate sample size for the population under consideration, a response rate large enough to avoid biases, and avoidance of bias in the design of the instrument and interpretation of the results.
- Representativeness of the population: Studies seeking to characterize the national population, a particular region, or sub-population were selected, if appropriately representative of that population. In cases where data were limited, studies with limitations in this area were included and limitations were noted in the handbook.
- Variability in the population: Studies were sought that characterized any variability within populations.
- Minimal (or defined) bias in study design: Studies were sought that were designed with minimal bias, or at least if biases were
suspected to be present, the direction of the bias (i.e., an over or under estimate of the parameter) was either stated or apparent from the study design.
- Minimal (or defined) uncertainty in the data: Studies were sought with minimal uncertainty in the data, which was judged by evaluating all the considerations listed above. At least, studies were preferred that identified uncertainties, such as those due to inherent variability in environmental and exposure-related parameters or possible measurement error. Studies that documented Quality Assurance/Quality Control measures were preferable.
- Guidelines for Exposure Assessment (U.S. EPA 1992a);
- Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications (U.S. EPA 1992b);
- Methodology for Assessing Health Risks Associated with Indirect Exposure to Combustor Emissions (U.S. EPA, 1990);
- Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (U.S. EPA, 1989);
- Estimating Exposures to Dioxin-Like Compounds (U.S. EPA, 1994);
- Superfund Exposure Assessment Manual (U.S. EPA, 1988a);
- Selection Criteria for Mathematical Models Used in Exposure Assessments (U.S. EPA 1988b);


## Key versus relevant studies

Certain studies described in this handbook are designated as "key," that is, the most useful for deriving exposure factors. The recommended values for most exposure factors are based on the results of the key studies. Other studies are designated "relevant," meaning applicable or pertinent, but not necessarily the most important. This distinction was made on the strength of the attributes listed in the "General Considerations." For example, in Chapter 14 of Volume III, one set of studies is deemed to best address the attributes listed and is designated as "key." Other applicable studies, including foreign data, believed to have value to handbook users, but having fewer attributes, are designated "relevant."

### 1.3.2. Using the Handbook in an Exposure Assessment

Some of the steps for performing an exposure assessment are (1) determining the pathways of exposure, (2) identifying the environmental media which transports the contaminant, (3) determining the contaminant concentration, (4) determining the exposure time, frequency, and duration, and (5) identifying the exposed population. Many of the issues related to characterizing exposure from selected exposure pathways have been addressed in a number of existing EPA guidance documents. These include, but are not limited to the following:

## Key vs. Relevant Studies

- Key studies used to derive recommendations
- Relevant studies included to provide
- Selection Criteria for Mathematical Models Used in Exposure Assessments (U.S. EPA 1987);
- Standard Scenarios for Estimating Exposure to Chemical Substances During Use of Consumer Products (U.S. EPA 1986a);
- Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivisions K and U (U.S. EPA, 1984, 1986b); and
- Methods for Assessing Exposure to Chemical Substances, Volumes 1-13 (U.S. EPA, 1983-1989).

These documents may serve as valuable information resources to assist in the assessment of exposure. The reader is encouraged to refer to them for more detailed discussion.

In addition to the references listed above, this handbook discusses the recommendations provided by the American Industrial Health Council (AIHC) - Exposure Factors Sourcebook (May 1994) for some of the major exposure factors. The AIHC Sourcebook summarizes and evaluates statistical data for various exposure factors used in risk assessments. Probability distributions for
specific exposure factors were derived from the available scientific literature using @Risk simulation software. Each factor is described by a specific term, such as lognormal, normal, cumulative type, or triangular. Other distributions included Weibull, beta logistic, and gamma. Unlike this handbook, however, the Sourcebook does not provide a description and evaluation of every study available on each exposure factor.

Most of the data presented in this handbook are derived from studies that targeted (1) the general population (e.g., USDA food consumptin surveys); and (2) a sample population from a specific area or group (e.g., Calabrese's et al. (1989) soil ingestion study using children from the Amherst, Massachusetts, area). Due to unique activity patterns, preferences, practices and biological differences, various segments of the population may experience exposures that are different from those of the general population, which, in many cases, may be greater. It is necessary for risk or exposure assessors characterizing a diverse population, to identify and enumerate certain groups within the general population who are at risk for greater contaminant exposures

## Recommendations and Confidence Ratings

- Recommendations based on data from single or multiple key studies
- Variability and limitation of the data evaluated
- Recommendations rated as low, medium, and
consistent with the exposure factors for a population of interest. This should serve as a guide for when this issue is a concern.


### 1.3.3. Approach Used to Develop Recommendations for Exposure Factors

As discussed above, EPA first reviewed all literature pertaining to a factor and determined relevant and key studies. The key studies were used to derive recommendations for the values of each factor. The recommended values were derived solely from EPA's interpretation of the available data. Different values may be appropriate for the user to select in consideration of policy, precedent, strategy, or other factors such as site-specific information. EPA's procedure for developing recommendations was as follows:
or exhibit a heightened sensitivity to particular chemicals. For further guidance on addressing susceptible populations, it is recommended to consult the EPA, National Center for Environmental Assessment document Socio-demographic Data Used for Identifying Potentially Highly Exposed Subpopulations (to be released as a final document in the Fall of 1997).

Most users of the handbook will be preparing estimates of exposure which are to be combined with doseresponse factors to estimate risk. Some of the exposure factors (e.g., life time, body weight) presented in this document are also used in generating dose-response relationships. In order to develop risk estimates properly, assessors must use dose-response relationships in a manner consistent with exposure conditions. Although, it is beyond the scope of this document to explain in detail how assessors should address this issue, a discussion (see Appendix A of this chapter) has been included which describes how dose-response factors can be modified to be
2. If only one study was classified as key for a particular factor, the mean value from that study was selected as the recommended central value for that population. If there were multiple key studies, all with reasonably equal quality, relevance, and study design information were available, a weighted mean (if appropriate, considering sample size and other statistical factors) of the studies were chosen as the recommended mean value. If the key studies were judged to be unequal in quality, relevance, or study design, the range of means were presented and the user of this handbook must employ judgment in selecting the most appropriate value for the population of interest. In cases where the national population was of interest, the mid-point of the
range was usually judged to be the most appropriate value.
3. The variability of the factor across the population was discussed. If adequate data were available, the variability was described as either a series of percentiles or a distribution.
4. Limitations of the data were discussed in terms of data limitations, the range of circumstances over which the estimates were (or were not) applicable, possible biases in the values themselves, a statement about parameter uncertainties (measurement error, sampling error) and model or scenario uncertainties if models or scenarios have been used in the derivation of the recommended value.
5. Finally, EPA assigned a confidence rating of low, medium or high to each recommended value. This rating is not intended to represent an uncertainty analysis, rather it represents EPA's judgment on the quality of the underlying data used to derive the recommendation. This judgment was made using the guidelines shown in Table 1-1. Table 1-1 is an adaptation of the General Considerations discussed earlier in Section 1.3.1. Clearly this is a continuum from low to high and judgment was used to determine these ratings. Recommendations given in this handbook are accompanied by a discussion of the rationale for their rating.

Table 1-2 summarizes EPA's recommendations and confidence ratings for the various exposure factors.

It is important to note that the study elements listed in Table 1-1 do not have the same weight when arriving at the overall confidence rating for the various exposure factors. The relative weight of each of these elements depend on the exposure factor of interest. Also, the relative weights given to the elements for the various factors were subjective and based on the professional judgement of the authors of this handbook. In general, most studies would rank high with regard to "level of peer review," "accessibility," "focus on the factor of interest," and "data pertinent to the U.S." These elements are important for the study to be included in this handbook. However, a high score of these elements does not necessarily translate into a high overall score. Other elements in Table 1-1 were also examined to determine the overall score. For example, the adequacy of data collection period may be more important
when determining usual intake of foods in a population. On the other hand, it is not as important for factors where longterm variability may be small such as tapwater intake. In the case of tapwater intake, the currency of the data was a critical element in determining the final rating. In addition, some exposure factors are more easily measured than others. For example, soil ingestion by children is estimated by measuring, in the feces, the levels of certain elements found in soil. Body weight, however, can be measured directly and it is, therefore, a more reliable measurement. This is reflected in the confidence rating given to both of these factors. In general, the better the methodology used to measure the exposure factor, the higher the confidence in the value.

### 1.3.4. Characterizing Variability

This document attempts to characterize variability of each of the factors. Variability is characterized in one or more of three ways: (1) as tables with various percentiles or ranges of values; (2) as analytical distributions with specified parameters; and/or (3) as a qualitative discussion. Analyses to fit standard or parametric distributions (e.g., normal, lognormal) to the exposure data have not been performed by the authors of this handbook, but have been reproduced in this document wherever they were found in the literature. Recommendations on the use of these distributions are made where appropriate based on the adequacy of the supporting data. The list of exposure factors and the way that variability has been characterized (i.e., average, upper percentiles, multiple percentiles, fitted distribution) are presented in Table 1-3. The term upper percentile is used throughout this handbook and it is intended to represent values in the upper tail (i.e., between 90th and 99.9th percentile) of the distribution of values for a particular exposure factor.

An attempt was made to present percentile values in the recommendations that are consistent with the exposure estimators defined in the Exposure Guidelines (i.e., mean, 50th, 90th, 95th, 98th, and 99.9th percentile). This was not, however, always possible because either the data available were limited for some factors, or the authors of the study did not provide such information. It is important to note, however, that these percentiles were discussed in the Exposure Guidelines within the context of risk descriptors and not individual exopusure factors. For example, the Guidelines stated
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Table 1-2. Summary of Exposure Factor Recommendations and Confidence Ratings

| EXPOSURE FACTOR | RECOMMENDATION | CONFIDENCE RATING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drinking water intake rate | $21 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{kg}$-day $/ 1.4 \mathrm{~L} /$ day (average) <br> $34 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{kg}$-day $/ 2.3 \mathrm{~L} /$ day (90th percentile) <br> Percentiles and distribution also included <br> Means and percentiles also included for pregnant and lactating women | Medium Medium |
| Total fruit intake rate | $3.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day ( per capita average) <br> $12.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (per capita 95 th percentile) <br> Percentiles also included <br> Means presented for individual fruits | Medium Low |
| Total vegetable intake rate | $4.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day ( per capita average) <br> $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (per capita 95 th percentile) <br> Percentiles also included <br> Means presented for individual vegetables | Medium Low |
| Total meat intake rate | $2.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day ( per capita average) <br> $5.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (per capita 95th percentile) <br> Percentiles also included <br> Percentiles also presented for individual meats | Medium Low |
| Total dairy intake rate | $8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (per capita average) <br> $29.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (per capita 95 th percentile) <br> Percentiles also included <br> Means presented for individual dairy products | Medium Low |
| Grain intake | $4.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (per capita average) <br> $10.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (per capita 95 th percentile) <br> Percentiles also included | High <br> Low in long-term upper percentiles |
| Breast milk intake rate | $742 \mathrm{ml} /$ day (average) <br> $1,033 \mathrm{ml} /$ day (upper percentile) | Medium Medium |
| Fish intake rate | General Population <br> $20.1 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (total fish) average <br> $14.1 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (marine) average <br> $6.0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (freshwater/estuarine) average <br> $63 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (total fish) 95 th percentile long-term <br> Percentiles also included <br> Serving size <br> 129 g (average) <br> 326 g (95th percentile) <br> Recreational marine anglers <br> 2-7 g/day (finfish only) <br> Recreational freshwater <br> $8 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (average) <br> $25 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( 95 th percentile) <br> Native American Subsistence Population <br> $70 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (average) <br> $170 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (95th percentile) | $\begin{gathered} \text { High } \\ \text { High } \\ \text { High } \\ \text { Medium } \\ \text { High } \\ \text { High } \\ \text { Medium } \\ \text { Medium } \\ \text { Medium } \\ \text { Medium } \\ \text { Low } \end{gathered}$ |


| EXPOSURE FACTOR | RECOMMENDATION | CONFIDENCE RATING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home produced food intake | Total Fruits <br> $2.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (consumer only average) <br> $11.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (consumer only 95th percentile) <br> Percentiles also included <br> Total vegetables <br> $2.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day ( consumer only average) <br> $7.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (consumer only 95 th percentile) <br> Percentiles also included <br> Total meats <br> $2.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (consumer only average) <br> $6.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (consumer only 95 th percentile) <br> Percentiles also included <br> Total dairy products <br> $14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (consumer only average) <br> $44 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (consumer only 95 th percentile) <br> Percentiles also included | Medium (for means and short-term distributions) <br> Low (for long-term distributions) |
| Inhalation rate | Children ( $<1$ year) <br> $4.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ (average) <br> Children (1-12 years) <br> $8.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day (average) <br> Adult Females <br> $11.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day (average) <br> Adult Males <br> $15.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day (average) | High <br> High <br> High <br> High |
| Surface area | Water contact (bathing and swimming) <br> Use total body surface area for children in Tables 6-6 through 6-8; for adults use Tables 6-2 through 6-4 <br> (percentiles are included) <br> Soil contact (outdoor activities) <br> Use whole body part area based on Table 6-6 through 6-8 for children and 6-2 through 6-4 for adults (percentiles are included) | High High |
| Soil adherence | Use values presented in Table 6-16 depending on activity and body part (central estimates only) | Low |
| Soil ingestion rate | $\frac{\text { Children }}{100 \mathrm{mg} / \text { day (average) }}$$400 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (upper percentile)$\frac{\text { Adults }}{50 \mathrm{mg} / \text { day (average) }}$Pica child <br> $10 \mathrm{~g} /$ day | Medium <br> Low <br> Low |
| Life expectancy | 75 years | High |
| Body weight for adults | 71.8 kg <br> Percentiles also presented in tables 7-4 and 7-5 | High |
| Body weights for children | Use values presented in Tables 7-6 and 7-7 (mean and percentiles) | High |
| Body weights for infants (birth to 6 months) | Use values presented in Table 7-1 (percentiles) | High |
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| EXPOSURE FACTOR | RECOMMENDATION | CONFIDENCE RATING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Showering/Bathing | Showering time <br> $10 \mathrm{~min} /$ day (average) <br> $35 \mathrm{~min} /$ day ( 95 th percentile) <br> (percentiles are also included) <br> Bathing time <br> $20 \mathrm{~min} /$ event (median) <br> $45 \mathrm{~min} /$ event ( 90 th percentile) <br> Bathing/showering frequency <br> 1 shower event/day | High <br> High <br> High |
| Swimming | Frequency <br> 1 event/month <br> Duration <br> $60 \mathrm{~min} /$ event (median) <br> $180 \mathrm{~min} /$ event (90th percentile) | High <br> High |
| Time indoors | Children (ages 3-11) <br> $19 \mathrm{hr} /$ day (weekdays) <br> $17 \mathrm{hr} /$ day (weekends) <br> Adults (ages 12 and older) <br> $21 \mathrm{hr} /$ day <br> Residential <br> 16.4 hrs/day | Medium <br> Medium <br> High |
| Time outdoors | $\frac{\text { Children (ages 3-11) }}{5 \mathrm{hr} / \text { day (weekdays) }}$ $7 \mathrm{hr} /$ day (weekends) $\frac{\text { Adults }}{1.5 \mathrm{hr} / \text { day }}$ $\frac{\text { Residential }}{2 \text { hrs/day }}$ | Medium <br> Medium <br> High |
| Time spent inside vehicle | $\frac{\text { Adults }}{1 \mathrm{hr} 20 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{day}}$ | Medium |
| Occupational tenure | 6.6 years (16 years old and older) | High |
| Population mobility | 9 years (average) <br> 30 years ( 95 th percentile) | Medium Medium |
| Residence volume | $369 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (average) <br> $217 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (conservative) | Medium <br> Medium |
| Residential air exchange | $\begin{aligned} & 0.45 \text { (median) } \\ & 0.18 \text { (conservative) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Low } \end{aligned}$ |
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| Exposure Factors | Average | Upper percentile | Multiple Percentiles | Fitted Distributions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drinking water intake rate | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Total fruits and total vegetables intake rate | $\checkmark$ | Qualitative discussion for longterm | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Individual fruits and individual vegetables intake rate | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Total meats and dairy products intake rate | $\checkmark$ | Qualitative discussion for longterm | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Individual meats and dairy products intake rate | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Grains intake | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Breast milk intake rate | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Fish intake rate for general population, recreational marine, recreational freshwater, and native american | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Serving size for fish | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Homeproduced food intake rates | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Soil intake rate | $\checkmark$ | Qualitative discussion for longterm |  |  |
| Inhalation rate | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Surface area | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Soil adherence | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Life expectancy | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Body weight | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Time indoors | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Time outdoors | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Showering time | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Occupational tenure | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Population mobility | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Residence volume | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Residential air exchange | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |

that the assessor may derive a high-end estimate of exposure by using maximum or near maximum values for one or more sensitive exposure factors, leaving others at their mean value.

The use of Monte Carlo or other probabilistic analysis require a selection of distributions or histograms for the input parameters. Although this handbook is not intended to provide a complete guidance on the use of Monte Carlo and other probabilistic analyses, the following should be considered when using such techniques:

- The exposure assessor should only consider using probabilistic analysis when there are credible distribution data (or ranges) for the factor under consideration. Even if these distributions are known, it may not be necessary to apply this technique. For example, if only average exposure values are needed, these can often be computed accurately by using average values for each of the input parameters. Probabilistic analysis is also not necessary when conducting assessments for screening
purposes, i.e., to determine if unimportant pathways can be eliminated. In this case, bounding estimates can be calculated using maximum or near maximum values for each of the input parameters.
- It is important to note that the selection of distributions can be highly site specific and will always involve some degree of judgment. Distributions derived from national data may not represent local conditions. To the extent possible, an assessor should use distributions or frequency histograms derived from local surveys to assess risks locally. When distributional data are drawn from national or other surrogate population, it is important that the assessor address the extent to which local conditions may differ from the surrogate data.

In addition to a qualitative statement of uncertainty, the representativeness assump-tion should be appropriately addressed as part of a sensitivity analysis.

- Distribution functions to be used in Monte Carlo analysis may be derived by fitting an appropriate function to empirical data. In doing this, it should be recognized that in the lower and upper tails of the distribution the data are scarce, so that several functions, with radically different shapes in the extreme tails, may be consistent with the data. To avoid introducing errors into the analysis by the arbitrary choice of an inappropriate function, several techniques can be used. One way is to avoid the problem by using the empirical data itself rather than an analytic function. Another is to do separate analyses with several functions which have adequate fit but form upper and lower bounds to the empirical data. A third way is to use truncated analytical distributions. Judgment must be used in choosing the appropriate goodness of fit test. Information on the theoretical basis for fitting distributions can be found in a standard statistics text such as Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring, Gilbert, R.O., 1987, Van Nostrand Reinhold; off-the-shelf computer software such as Best-Fit by Palisade Corporation can be used
to statistically determine the distributions that fit the data.
- If only a range of values is known for an exposure factor, the assessor has several options.
- keep that variable constant at its central value;
- assume several values within the range of values for the exposure factor;
- calculate a point estimate(s) instead of using probabilistic analysis; and
- assume a distribution (The rationale for the selection of a distribution should be discussed at length.) There are, however, cases where assuming a distribution is not recommended. These include:
-- data are missing or very limited for a key parameter - examples include: soil ingestion by adults;
-- data were collected over a short time period and may not represent long term trends (the respondent usual behavior) examples include: food consumption surveys; activity pattern data;
-- data are not representative of the population of interest because sample size was small or the population studied was selected from a local area and was therefore not representative of the area of interest - examples include: soil ingestion by children; and
-- ranges for a key variable are uncertain due to experimental error or other limitations in the study design or methodology - examples include: soil ingestion by children.


### 1.4. GENERAL EQUATION FOR CALCULATING DOSE

The definition of exposure as used in the Exposure Guidelines (U.S. EPA, 1992a) is "condition of a
chemical contacting the outer boundary of a human." This means contact with the visible exterior of a person such as the skin, and openings such as the mouth, nostrils, and lesions. The process of a chemical entering the body can be described in two steps: contact (exposure), followed by entry (crossing the boundary). The magnitude of exposure (dose) is the amount of agent available at human exchange boundaries (skin, lungs, gut) where absorption takes place during some specified time. An example of exposure and dose for the oral route as presented in the the EPA Exposure Guidelines is shown in Figure 1-1. Starting with a general integral equation for exposure (U.S. EPA 1992a), several dose equations can be derived depending upon boundary assumptions. One of the more useful of these derived equations is the Average Daily Dose (ADD). The ADD, which is used for many noncancer effects, averages exposures or doses over the period of time over which exposure occurred. The ADD can be calculated by averaging the potential dose ( $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{pot}}$ ) over body weight and an averaging time.

```
\(\mathrm{ADD}_{\text {pot }}=\frac{\text { Total Potential Dose }}{\text { Body Weight } \times \text { Averaging Time } \quad \text { (Eqn. 1-1) }}\)
```

For cancer effects, where the biological response is usually described in terms of lifetime probabilities, even though exposure does not occur over the entire lifetime, doses are often presented as lifetime average daily doses (LADDs). The LADD takes the form of the Equation 1-1 with lifetime replacing averaging time. The LADD is a very common term used in carcinogen risk assessment where linear non-threshold models are employed.

The total exposure can be expressed as follows:

```
Total Potential Dose = C x IR x ED
Where:
\(\mathrm{C}=\) Contaminant Concentration
\(\mathrm{IR}=\) Intake Rate
\(\mathrm{ED}=\) Exposure Duration
```

(Eqn. 1-2)

Contaminant concentration is the concentration of the contaminant in the medium (air, food, soil, etc.) contacting the body and has units of mass/volume or mass/mass.

The intake rate refers to the rates of inhalation, ingestion, and dermal contact depending on the route of exposure. For ingestion, the intake rate is simply the amount of food containing the contaminant of interest that an individual ingests during some specific time period (units of mass/time). Much of this handbook is devoted to rates of ingestion for some broad classes of food. For inhalation, the intake rate is the rate at which contaminated air is inhaled. Factors that affect dermal exposure are the amount of material that comes into contact with the skin, and the rate at which the contaminant is absorbed.

The exposure duration is the length of time that contaminant contact lasts. The time a person lives in an area, frequency of bathing, time spent indoors versus outdoors, etc. all affect the exposure duration. The Activity Factors Chapter (Volume III, Chapter 15) gives some examples of population behavior patterns, which may be useful for estimating exposure durations to be used in the exposure calculations.

When the above parameter values remain constant over time, they are substituted directly into the exposure equation. When they change with time, a summation approach is needed to calculate exposure. In either case, the exposure duration is the length of time exposure occurs at the concentration and intake rate specified by the other parameters in the equation.

Dose can be expressed as a total amount (with units of mass, e.g., mg ) or as a dose rate in terms of mass/time (e.g., $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$ ), or as a rate normalized to body mass (e.g., with units of mg of chemical per kg of body weight per day ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day)). The LADD is usually expressed in terms of $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day or other mass/mass-time units.

In most cases (inhalation and ingestion exposure) the dose-response parameters for carcinogen risks have been adjusted for the difference in absorption across body barriers between humans and the experimental animals used to derive such parameters. Therefore, the exposure assessment in these cases is based on the potential dose with no explicit correction for the fraction absorbed. However, the exposure assessor needs to make such an adjustment when calculating dermal exposure and in other specific cases when current information indicates that the human absorption factor
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Figure 1-1. Schematic of Dose and Exposure: Oral Route
Source: U.S. EPA, 1992a
used in the derivation of the dose-response factor is inappropriate.

The lifetime value used in the LADD version of Equation 1-1 is the period of time over which the dose is averaged. For carcinogens, the derivation of the doseresponse parameters usually assumes no explicit number of years as the duration of a lifetime, and the nominal value of 75 years is considered a reasonable approximation. For exposure estimates to be used for assessments other than carcinogenic risk, various averaging periods have been used. For acute exposures, the administered doses are usually averaged over a day or a single event. For nonchronic noncancer effects, the time period used is the actual period of exposure. The objective in selecting the exposure averaging time is to express the exposure in a way which can be combined with the dose-response relationship to calculate risk.

The body weight to be used in the exposure Equation 1-1 depends on the units of the exposure data presented in this handbook. For food ingestion, the body weights of the surveyed populations were known in the USDA surveys and they were explicitly factored into the food intake data in order to calculate the intake as grams per day per kilogram body weight. In this case, the body weight has already been
included in the "intake rate" term in Equation 1-2 and the exposure assessor does not need to explicitly include body weight.

The units of intake in this handbook for the ingestion of fish, breast milk, and the inhalation of air are not normalized to body weight. In this case, the exposure assessor needs to use (in Equation 1-1) the average weight of the exposed population during the time when the exposure actually occurs. If the exposure occurs continuously throughout an individual's life or only during the adult ages, using an adult weight of 71.8 kg should provide sufficient accuracy. If the body weight of the individuals in the population whose risk is being evaluated is non-standard in some way, such as for children or for first-generation immigrants who may be smaller than the national population, and if reasonable values are not available in the literature, then a model of intake as a function of body weight must be used. One such model is discussed in Appendix 1A of this chapter. Some of the parameters (primarily concentrations) used in estimating exposure are exclusively site specific, and therefore default recommendations could not be used.

The food ingestion rate values provided in this handbook are generally expressed as "as consumed" since this is the fashion in which data are reported by survey
respondents. This is of importance because concentration data to be used in the dose equation are generally measured in uncooked food samples. In most situations, the only practical choice is to use the "as consumed" ingestion rate and the uncooked concentration. However, it should be recognized that cooking generally results in some reductions in weight (e.g., loss of moisture), and that if the mass of the contaminant in the food remains constant, then the concentration of the contaminant in the cooked food item will increase. Therefore, if the "as consumed" ingestion rate and the uncooked concentration are used in the dose equation, dose may be underestimated. On the other hand, cooking may cause a reduction in mass of contaminant and other ingredients such that the overall concentration of contaminant does not change significantly. In this case, combining cooked ingestion rates and uncooked concentration will provide an appropriate estimate of dose. Ideally, food concentration data should be adjusted to account for changes after cooking, then the "as consumed" intake rates are appropriate. In the absence of data, it is reasonable to assume that no change in contaminant concentration occurs after cooking. Except for general population fish consumption and home produced foods, uncooked intake rate data were not available for presention in this handbook. Data on the general population fish consumption have been presented in this handbook (Section 10.2) in both "as consumed" and uncooked basis. It is important for the assessor to be aware of these issues and choose intake rate data that best matches the concentration data that is being used.

The link between the intake rate value and the exposure duration value is a common source of confusion in defining exposure scenarios. It is important to define the duration estimate so that it is consistent with the intake rate:

- The intake rate can be based on an individual event, such as 129 g of fish eaten per meal (U.S. EPA, 1996). The duration should be based on the number of events or, in this case, meals.
- The intake rate also can be based on a longterm average, such as $10 \mathrm{~g} /$ day. In this case the duration should be based on the total time interval over which the exposure occurs.

The objective is to define the terms so that when multiplied, they give the appropriate estimate of mass of contaminant contacted. This can be accomplished by basing the intake rate on either a long-term average (chronic exposure) or an event (acute exposure) basis, as long as the duration value is selected appropriately. Consider the case in which a person eats a $129-\mathrm{g}$ fish meal approximately five times per month (long-term average is $21.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day) for 30 years; or $21.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day of fish every day for 30 years.

```
(129 g/meal)(5 meals/mo)(mo/30 d)(365 d/yr)(30 yrs)=235,425 g
(21.5 g/day)(365 d/yr)(30 yrs)=235,425 g
```

Thus, a frequency of either 60 meals/year or a duration of 365 days/year could be used as long as it is matched with the appropriate intake rate.

### 1.5. RESEARCH NEEDS

In an earlier draft of this handbook, reviewers were asked to identify factors or areas where further research is needed. The following list is a compilation of areas for future research identified by the peer reviewers and authors of this document:

- The data and information available with respect to occupational exposures are quite limited. Efforts need to be directed to identify data or references on occupational exposure.
- Further research is necessary to refine estimates of fish consumption, particularly by subpopulations of subsistence fishermen.
- Research is needed to better estimate soil intake rates, particularly how to extrapolate short-term data to chronic exposures. Data on soil intake rates by adults are very limited. Research in this area is also recommended. Research is also needed to refine methods to calculate soil intake rate (i.e., inconsistencies among tracers and input/output misalignment errors indicate a fundamental problem with the methods). Research is also needed to obtain more data to better estimate soil adherence.
evaluate and present the uncertainty associated with exposure scenario estimates.

Chapter 3 Provides factors for estimating human exposure through ingestion of water.

Chapter 4 Provides factors for estimating exposure through ingestion of soil.

Chapter 5 Provides factors for estimating exposure as a result of inhalation of vapors and particulates.

Chapter 6 Presents factors for estimating dermal exposure to environmental contaminants that come in contact with the skin.

Chapter 7 Provides data on body weight.
Chapter 8 Provides data on life expectancy.

## Volume II - Ingestion Factors

Chapter 9 Provides factors for estimating exposure through ingestion of fruits and vegetables.

Chapter 10 Provides factors for estimating exposure through ingestion of fish.

Chapter 11 Provides factors for estimating exposure through ingestion of meats and dairy products.

Chapter 12 Presents data for estimating exposure through ingestion of grain products.

Chapter 13 Presents factors for estimating exposure through ingestion of home produced food.

Chapter 14 Presents data for estimating exposure through ingestion of breast milk.

Chapter 2 Presents an analysis of uncertainty and
Chapter $2 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Presents an analysis of uncertainty and } \\ & \text { discusses methods that can be used to }\end{aligned}$

## Volume I - General Factors

Chapter 1 Provides the overall introduction to the handbook

### 1.6. ORGANIZATION

The handbook is organized into three volumes as follows:

- In cases where several studies of equal quality and data collection procedures are available for an exposure factor, procedures need to be developed to combine the data in order to create a single distribution of likely values for that factor.
- Reviewers recommended that the handbook be made available in CD ROM and that the data presented be made available in a format that will allow the users to conduct their own analysis. The intent is to provide a comprehensive factors tool with interactive menu to guide users to areas of interest, word searching features, and data base files.
- Reviewers recommended that EPA derive distribution functions using the empirical data for the various exposure factors to be used in Monte Carlo or other probabilistic analysis.
- Research is needed to derive a methodology to extrapolate from short-term data to long-term or chronic exposures.
- Reviewers recommended that the consumer products chapter be expanded to include more products. A comprehensive literature search needs to be conducted to investigate other sources of data.
- Breastmilk intake.
- More recent data on tapwater intake.
- SAB recommended analysis of 1994 and 1995 CSFII data. rs Handbook
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## Volume III - Activity Factors <br> Chapter 15 Presents data on activity factors (activity patterns, population mobility, and occupational mobility). <br> Chapter 16 Presents data on consumer product use. <br> Chapter 17 Presents factors used in estimating residential exposures.

Figure 1-2 provides a roadmap to assist users of this handbook in locating recommended values and confidence ratings for the various exposure factors presented in these chapters. A glossary is provided at the end of Volume III.
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## RISK CALCULATIONS USING EXPOSURE FACTORS HANDBOOK DATA AND DOSE-RESPONSE INFORMATION FROM IRIS

## 1. INTRODUCTION

When calculating risk estimates for a specific population, whether the entire national population or some sub-population, the exposure information (either from this handbook or from other data) must be combined with dose-response information. The latter typically comes from the IRIS data base, which summarizes toxicity data for each agent separately. Care must be taken that the assumptions about population parameters in the dose-response analysis are consistent with the population parameters used in the exposure analysis. This Appendix discusses procedures for insuring this consistency.

In the IRIS derivation of threshold based dose-response relationships (U.S. EPA, 1996), such as the RfD and the RfCs based on adverse systemic effects, there has generally been no explicit use of human exposure factors. In these cases the numerical value of the RfD and RfC comes directly from animal dosing experiments (and occasionally from human studies) and from the application of uncertainty factors to reflect issues such as the duration of the experiment, the fact that animals are being used to represent humans and the quality of the study. However in developing cancer dose-response (D-R) assessments, a standard exposure scenario is assumed in calculating the slope factor (i.e., human cancer risk per unit dose) on the basis of either animal bioassay data or human data. This standard scenario has traditionally been assumed to be typical of the U.S. population: 1) body weight $=70 \mathrm{~kg} ; 2$ ) air intake rate $=20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day; 3) drinking water intake $=2$ liters $/$ day; 4) lifetime $=70$ years. In RfC derivations for cases involving an adverse effect on the respiratory tract, the air intake rate of $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day is assumed. The use of these specific values has depended on whether the slope factor was derived from animal or human epidemiologic data:

- Animal Data: For dose-resopnse (D-R) studies based on animal data, scale animal doses to human equivalent doses using a human body weight assumption of 70 kg . No explicit lifetime adjustment is necessary because the assumption is made that events occurring in the lifetime animal bioassay will occur with equal probability in a human lifetime, whatever that might happen to be.
- Human Data - In the analysis of human studies (either occupational or general population), the Agency has usually made no explicit assumption of body weight or human lifetime. For both of these parameters there is an implicit assumption that the population usually of interest has the same descriptive parameters as the population analyzed by the Agency. In the rare situation where this assumption is known to be wrong, the Agency has made appropriate corrections so that the dose-response parameters represent the national average population.

When the population of interest is different than the national average (standard) population, the dose-response parameter needs to be adjusted. In addition, when the population of interest is different than the population from which the exposure factors in this handbook were derived, the exposure factor needs to be adjusted. Two generic examples of situations where these adjustments are needed are as follows:
A) Detailed study of recent data, such as are presented in this handbook, show that EPA's standard assumptions (i.e., 70 kg body weight, $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day air inhaled, and $2 \mathrm{~L} /$ day water intake) are inaccurate for the national population and may be inappropriate for sub-populations under consideration. The handbook addresses most of these situations by providing gender- and age-specific values and by normalizing the intake values to body weight when the data are available, but it may not have covered all possible situations. An example of a sub-population with a different mean body weight would be females, with an average body weight of 60 kg or children with a body weight dependent on age. Another example of a nonstandard sub-population would be a sedentary hospital population with lower than $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day air intake rates.
B) The population variability of these parameters is of interest and it is desired to estimate percentile limits of the population variation. Although the detailed methods for estimating percentile limits of exposure and risk in a population are beyond the scope of this document, one would treat the body weight and the intake rates discussed in Sections 2 to 4 of this appendix as distributions, rather than constants.

## 2. CORRECTIONS FOR DOSE-RESPONSE PARAMETERS

The correction factors for the dose-response values tabulated in the IRIS data base for carcinogens are summarized in Table 1A-1. Use of these correction parameters is necessary to avoid introducing errors into the risk analysis. The second column of Table 1A-1 shows the dependencies that have been assumed in the typical situation where the human doseresponse factors have been derived from the administered dose in animal studies. This table is applicable in most cases that will be encountered, but it is not applicable when: a) the effective dose has been derived with a pharmacokinetic model and b) the dose-response data has been derived from human data. In the former case, the subpopulation parameters need to be incorporated into the model. In the latter case, the correction factor for the dose-response parameter must be evaluated on a case-by case basis by examining the specific data and assumptions in the derivation of the parameter.

Table 1A-1. Procedures for Modifying IRIS Risk Values for Non-standard Populations ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$

| IRIS Risk Measure [Units] | IRIS Risk Measure is Proportional to: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Correction Factor (CF) for modifying IRIS Risk Measures: ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slope Factor [per mg/(kg/day)] | $\left(\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{1 / 3}=(70)^{1 / 3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{P}} / 70\right)^{1 / 3}$ |
| Water Unit Risk [per $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$ ] | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{W}}{ }^{\mathrm{S}} /\left[\left(\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{2 / 3}\right]=2 /\left[(70)^{2 / 3}\right]$ | $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{W}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}\right) / 2 \times\left[70 /\left(\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)\right]^{2 / 3}$ |
| Air Unit Risk: <br> A. Particles or aerosols [per $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ], air concentration by weight | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{S}} /\left[\left(\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{2 / 3}\right]=20 /\left[(70)^{2 / 3}\right]$ | $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}\right) / 20 \times\left[70 /\left(\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)\right]^{2 / 3}$ |
| Air Unit Risk: <br> B. Gases [per parts per million], air concentration by volume, | No explicit proportionality to body weight or air intake is assumed. | 1.0 <br> ppm by volume is assumed to be the effective dose in both animals and humans. |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{W}=$ Body weight (kg)
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{W}}=$ Drinking water intake (liters per day)
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ Air intake (cubic meters per day)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{S}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{\mathrm{S}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ denote standard parameters assumed by IRIS
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Modified risk measure $=(\mathrm{CF}) \times$ IRIS value
$W^{P}, I_{W}{ }^{P}, I_{A}{ }^{P}$ denote non-standard parameters of the actual population

As one example of the use of Table 1A-1, the recommended value for the average consumption of tapwater for adults in the U. S. population derived in this document (Chapter 3), is 1.4 liters per day. The drinking water unit risk for dichlorvos, as given in the IRIS information data base is $8.3 \times 10^{-6}$ per $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$, and was calculated from the slope factor assuming the standard intake, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{\mathrm{S}}$, of 2 liters per day. For the United States population drinking 1.4 liters of tap water per
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day the corrected drinking water unit risk should be $8.3 \times 10^{-6} \times(1.4 / 2)=5.8 \times 10^{6}$ per $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$. The risk to the average individual is then estimated by multiplying this by the average concentration in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$.

Another example is when the risk for women drinking water contaminated with dichlorvos is to be estimated. If the women have an average body weight of 60 kg , the correction factor for the drinking water unit risk is (disregarding the correction discussed in the above paragraph), from Table $1 \mathrm{~A}-1$, is $(70 / 60)^{2 / 3}=1.11$. Here the ratio of 70 to 60 is raised to the power of $2 / 3$. The corrected water unit risk for dichlorvos is $8.3 \times 10^{-6} \times 1.11=9.2 \times 10^{-6}$ per $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$. As before, the risk to the average individual is estimated by multiplying this by the water concentration.

When human data are used to derive the risk measure, there is a large variation in the different data sets encountered in IRIS, so no generalizations can be made about global corrections. However, the typical default exposure values used for the air intake of an air pollutant over an occupational lifetime are: air intake is $10 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for an 8 -hour shift, 240 days per year with 40 years on the job. If there is continuous exposure to an ambient air pollutant, the lifetime dose is usually calculated assuming a 70-year lifetime.

## 3. CORRECTIONS FOR INTAKE DATA

When the body weight, $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{P}}$, of the population of interest differs from the body weight, $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{E}}$, of the population from which the exposure values in this handbook were derived, the following model furnishes a reasonable basis for estimating the intake of food and air (and probably water also) in the population of interest. Such a model is needed in the absence of data on the dependency of intake on body size. This occurs for inhalation data, where the intake data are not normalized to body weight, whereas the model is not needed for food and tap water intakes if they are given in units of intake per kg body weight.

The model is based on the dependency of metabolic oxygen consumption on body size. Oxygen consumption is directly related to food (calorie) consumption and air intake and indirectly to water intake. For mammals of a wide range of species sizes (Prosser and Brown, 1961), and also for individuals of various sizes within a species, the oxygen consumption and calorie (food) intake varies as the body weight raised to a power between 0.65 and 0.75 . A value of $0.667=2 / 3$ has been used in EPA as the default value for adjusting cross-species intakes, and the same factor has been used for intra-species intake adjustments.
[NOTE: Following discussions by an interagency task force (Federal Register, 1992), the agreement was that a more accurate and defensible default value would be to choose the power to $3 / 4$ rather than $2 / 3$. A recent article (West et al., 1997) has provided a theoretical basis for the $3 / 4$ power scaling. This will be the standard value to be used in future assessments, and all equations in this Appendix will be modified in future risk assessments. However, because risk assessors now use the current IRIS information, this discussion is presented with the previous default assumption of 2/3].

With this model, the relation between the daily air intake in the population of interest, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}=\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}\right)^{\mathrm{P}}$, and the intake in the population described in this handbook, $I_{A}{ }^{E}=\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \text { day }\right)^{\mathrm{E}}$ is:

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)^{2 / 3}
$$

## 4. CALCULATION OF RISKS FOR AIR CONTAMINANTS

The risk is calculated by multiplying the IRIS air unit risk, corrected as described in Table 1A-1, by the air concentration. But since the correction factor involves the intake in the population of interest $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$, that quantity must be included in the equation, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { Risk })^{\mathrm{P}} & =(\text { air unit risk })^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{x}(\text { air concentration) } \\
& =(\text { air unit risk })^{\mathrm{S}} \times\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{P}} / 20\right) \times\left(70 / \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)^{2 / 3} \times \text { (air concentration) }
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(\text { air unit risk })^{\mathrm{S}} \times\left[\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{E}} \times\left(\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)^{2 / 3} / 20\right)\right] \times\left(70 / \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)^{2 / 3} \times \text { (air concentration) } \\
& =(\text { air unit risk })^{\mathrm{S}} \times\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{E}} / 20\right) \times\left(70 / \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)^{2 / 3} \times \text { (air concentration) }
\end{aligned}
$$

In this equation the air unit risk from the IRIS data base (air unit risk) ${ }^{s}$, the air intake data in the handbook for the populations where it is available $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ and the body weight of that population $\left(\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ are included along with the standard IRIS values of the air intake ( $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day ) and body weight ( 70 kg ).

For food ingestion and tap water intake, if body weight-normalized intake values from this handbook are used, the intake data do not have to be corrected as in Section 3 above. In these cases, corrections to the dose-response parameters in Table 1A-1 are sufficient.
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## 2. VARIABILITY AND UNCERTAINTY

The chapters that follow will discuss exposure factors and algorithms for estimating exposure. Exposure factor values can be used to obtain a range of exposure estimates such as average, high-end and bounding estimates. It is instructive here to return to the general equation for potential Average Daily Dose $\left(\mathrm{ADD}_{\text {pot }}\right)$ that was introduced in the opening chapter of this handbook:

commonly has been treated as it relates to the overall process of conducting risk assessments; because exposure assessment is a component of risk-assessment process, the general concepts apply equally to the exposure-assessment component.

### 2.1. VARIABILITY VERSUS UNCERTAINTY

While some authors have treated variability as a specific type or component of uncertainty, the U.S. EPA (1995) has advised the risk assessor (and, by analogy, the exposure assessor) to distinguish between variability and uncertainty. Uncertainty represents a lack of knowledge about factors

With the exception of the contaminant concentration, all parameters in the above equation are considered exposure factors and, thus, are treated in fair detail in other chapters of this handbook. Each of the exposure factors involves humans, either in terms of their characteristics (e.g., body weight) or behaviors (e.g., amount of time spent in a specific location, which affects exposure duration). While the topics of variability and uncertainty apply equally to contaminant concentrations and the rest of the exposure factors in equation 2-1, the focus of this chapter is on variability and uncertainty as they relate to exposure factors. Consequently, examples provided in this chapter relate primarily to exposure factors, although contaminant concentrations may be used when they better illustrate the point under discussion.

This chapter also is intended to acquaint the exposure assessor with some of the fundamental concepts and precepts related to variability and uncertainty, together with methods and considerations for evaluating and presenting the uncertainty associated with exposure estimates. Subsequent sections in this chapter are devoted to the following topics:

- Distinction between variability and uncertainty;
- Types of variability;
- Methods of confronting variability;
- Types of uncertainty and reducing uncertainty;
- Analysis of variability and uncertainty; and
- Presenting results of variability/uncertainty analysis.

Fairly extensive treatises on the topic of uncertainty have been provided, for example, by Morgan and Henrion (1990), the National Research Council (NRC, 1994) and, to a lesser extent, the U.S. EPA $(1992 ; 1995)$. The topic
affecting exposure or risk, whereas variability arises from true heterogeneity across people, places or time. In other words, uncertainty can lead to inaccurate or biased estimates, whereas variability can affect the precision of the estimates and the degree to which they can be generalized. Most of the data presented in this handbook concerns variability.

Variability and uncertainty can complement or confound one another. An instructive analogy has been drawn by the National Research Council (NRC, 1994: Chapter 10), based on the objective of estimating the distance between the earth and the moon. Prior to fairly recent technology developments, it was difficult to make accurate measurements of this distance, resulting in measurement uncertainty. Because the moon's orbit is elliptical, the distance is a variable quantity. If only a few measurements were to be taken without knowledge of the elliptical pattern, then either of the following incorrect conclusions might be reached:

- That the measurements were faulty, thereby ascribing to uncertainty what was actually caused by variability; or
- That the moon's orbit was random, thereby not allowing uncertainty to shed light on seemingly unexplainable differences that are in fact variable and predictable.

A more fundamental error in the above situation would be to incorrectly estimate the true distance, by assuming that a few observations were sufficient. This latter pitfall -- treating a highly variable quantity as if it were invariant or only uncertain -- is probably the most relevant to the exposure or risk assessor.

Now consider a situation that relates to exposure, such as estimating the average daily dose by one exposure
route -- ingestion of contaminated drinking water. Suppose that it is possible to measure an individual's daily water consumption (and concentration of the contaminant) exactly, thereby eliminating uncertainty in the measured daily dose. The daily dose still has an inherent day-to-day variability, however, due to changes in the individual's daily water intake or the contaminant concentration in water.

It is impractical to measure the individual's dose every day. For this reason, the exposure assessor may estimate the average daily dose (ADD) based on a finite number of measurements, in an attempt to "average out" the day-to-day variability. The individual has a true (but unknown) ADD, which has now been estimated based on a sample of measurements. Because the individual's true average is unknown, it is uncertain how close the estimate is to the true value. Thus, the variability across daily doses has been translated into uncertainty in the ADD. Although the individual's true ADD has no variability, the estimate of the ADD has some uncertainty.

The above discussion pertains to the ADD for one person. Now consider a distribution of ADDs across individuals in a defined population (e.g., the general U.S. population). In this case, variability refers to the range and distribution of ADDs across individuals in the population. By comparison, uncertainty refers to the exposure assessor's state of knowledge about that distribution, or about parameters describing the distribution (e.g., mean, standard deviation, general shape, various percentiles).

As noted by the National Research Council (NRC, 1994), the realms of variability and uncertainty have fundamentally different ramifications for science and judgment. For example, uncertainty may force decisionmakers to judge how probable it is that exposures have been overestimated or underestimated for every member of the exposed population, whereas variability forces them to cope with the certainty that different individuals are subject to exposures both above and below any of the exposure levels chosen as a reference point.

### 2.2. TYPES OF VARIABILITY

Variability in exposure is related to an individual's location, activity, and behavior or preferences at a particular point in time, as well as pollutant emission rates and physical/chemical processes that affect concentrations in various media (e.g., air, soil, food and water). The variations in pollutant-specific emissions or processes, and in individual locations, activities or behaviors, are not necessarily independent of one another. For example, both personal activities and pollutant concentrations at a specific
location might vary in response to weather conditions, or between weekdays and weekends.

At a more fundamental level, three types of variability can be distinguished:

- Variability across locations (Spatial Variability);
- Variability over time (Temporal Variability); and
- Variability among individuals (Interindividual Variability).

Spatial variability can occur both at regional (macroscale) and local (microscale) levels. For example, fish intake rates can vary depending on the region of the country. Higher consumption may occur among populations located near large bodies of water such as the Great Lakes or coastal areas. As another example, outdoor pollutant levels can be affected at the regional level by industrial activities and at the local level by activities of individuals. In general, higher exposures tend to be associated with closer proximity to the pollutant source, whether it be an industrial plant or related to a personal activity such as showering or gardening. In the context of exposure to airborne pollutants, the concept of a "microenvironment" has been introduced (Duan, 1982) to denote a specific locality (e.g., a residential lot or a room in a specific building) where the airborne concentration can be treated as homogeneous (i.e., invariant) at a particular point in time.

Temporal variability refers to variations over time, whether long- or short-term. Seasonal fluctuations in weather, pesticide applications, use of woodburning appliances and fraction of time spent outdoors are examples of longer-term variability. Examples of shorter-term variability are differences in industrial or personal activities on weekdays versus weekends or at different times of the day.

Inter-individual variability can be either of two types: (1) human characteristics such as age or body weight, and (2) human behaviors such as location and activity patterns. Each of these variabilities, in turn, may be related to several underlying phenomena that vary. For example, the natural variability in human weight is due to a combination of genetic, nutritional, and other lifestyle or environmental factors. Variability arising from independent factors that combine multiplicatively generally will lead to an approximately lognormal distribution across the population, or across spatial/temporal dimensions.

### 2.3. CONFRONTING VARIABILITY

According to the National Research Council (NRC 1994), variability can be confronted in four basic ways (Table 2-1) when dealing with science-policy questions surrounding issues such as exposure or risk assessment. The first is to ignore the variability and hope for the best. This strategy tends to work best when the variability is relatively small. For example, the assumption that all adults weigh 70 kg is likely to be correct within $\pm 25 \%$ for most adults.

The second strategy involves disaggregating the variability in some explicit way, in order to better understand it or reduce it. Mathematical models are appropriate in some cases, as in fitting a sine wave to the annual outdoor concentration cycle for a particular pollutant and location. In other cases, particularly those involving human characteristics or behaviors, it is easier to disaggregate the data by considering all the relevant subgroups or subpopulations. For example, distributions of body weight could be developed separately for adults, adolescents and children, and even for males and females within each of these subgroups. Temporal and spatial analogies for this concept involve measurements on appropriate time scales and choosing appropriate subregions or microenvironments.

The third strategy is to use the average value of a quantity that varies. Although this strategy might appear as tantamount to ignoring variability, it needs to be based
on a decision that the average value can be estimated reliably in light of the variability (e.g., when the variability is known to be relatively small, as in the case of adult body weight).

The fourth strategy involves using the maximum or minimum value for an exposure factor. In this case, the variability is characterized by the range between the extreme values and a measure of central tendency. This is perhaps the most common method of dealing with variability in exposure or risk assessment -- to focus on one time period (e.g., the period of peak exposure), one spatial region (e.g., in close proximity to the pollutant source of concern), or one subpopulation (e.g., exercising asthmatics). As noted by the U.S. EPA (1992), when an exposure assessor develops estimates of high-end individual exposure and dose, care must be taken not to set all factors to values that maximize exposure or dose -- such an approach will almost always lead to an overestimate.

### 2.4. CONCERN ABOUT UNCERTAINTY

Why should the exposure assessor be concerned with uncertainty? As noted by the U.S. EPA (1992), exposure assessment can involve a broad array of information sources and analysis techniques. Even in situations where actual exposure-related measurements exist, assumptions or inferences will still be required because data are not likely to be available for all aspects of the exposure assessment. Moreover, the data that are available may be of questionable or unknown quality. Thus, exposure assessors have a responsibility to present not just numbers, but also a clear and explicit explanation of the implications and limitations of their analyses.

|  | Table 2-1. Four Strategies for Confronting Variability |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strategy | Example | Comment |
| Ignore variability | Assume that all adults weigh <br> 70 kg | Works best when variability is small |
| Disaggregate the <br> variability | Develop distributions of body <br> weight for age/gender groups | Variability will be smaller in each group |
| Use the average value | Use average body weight for <br> adults | Can the average be estimated reliably given what is <br> known about the variability? |
| Use a maximum or <br> minimum value | Use a lower-end value from <br> the weight distribution | Conservative approach -- can lead to unrealistically <br> high exposure estimate if taken for all factors |

Morgan and Henrion (1990) provide an argument by analogy. When scientists report quantities that they have measured, they are expected to routinely report an estimate of the probable error associated with such measurements. Because uncertainties inherent in policy analysis (of which exposure assessment is a part) tend to be even greater than those in the natural sciences, exposure assessors also should be expected to report or comment on the uncertainties associated with their estimates.

Additional reasons for addressing uncertainty in exposure or risk assessments (U.S. EPA, 1992, Morgan and Henrion, 1990) include the following:

- Uncertain information from different sources of different quality often must be combined for the assessment;
- Decisions need to be made about whether or how to expend resources to acquire additional information,;
- Biases may result in so-called "best estimates" that in actuality are not very accurate; and
- Important factors and potential sources of disagreement in a problem can be identified.

Addressing uncertainty will increase the likelihood that results of an assessment or analysis will be used in an appropriate manner. Problems rarely are solved to everyone's satisfaction, and decisions rarely are reached on the basis of a single piece of evidence. Results of prior analyses can shed light on current assessments, particularly if they are couched in the context of prevailing uncertainty at the time of analysis. Exposure assessment tends to be an iterative process, beginning with a screening-level assessment that may identify the need for more in-depth assessment. One of the primary goals of the more detailed assessment is to reduce uncertainty in estimated exposures. This objective can be achieved more efficiently if guided by presentation and discussion of factors thought to be primarily responsible for uncertainty in prior estimates.

### 2.5. TYPES OF UNCERTAINTY AND REDUCING UNCERTAINTY

The problem of uncertainty in exposure or risk assessment is relatively large, and can quickly become too complex for facile treatment unless it is divided into smaller and more manageable topics. One method of division (Bogen, 1990) involves classifying sources of uncertainty according to the step in the risk assessment process (hazard identification, dose-response assessment, exposure
assessment or risk characterization) at which they can occur. A more abstract and generalized approach preferred by some scientists is to partition all uncertainties among the three categories of bias, randomness and true variability. These ideas are discussed later in some examples.

The U.S. EPA (1992) has classified uncertainty in exposure assessment into three broad categories:

1. Uncertainty regarding missing or incomplete information needed to fully define exposure and dose (Scenario Uncertainty).
2. Uncertainty regarding some parameter (Parameter Uncertainty).
3. Uncertainty regarding gaps in scientific theory required to make predictions on the basis of causal inferences (Model Uncertainty).

Identification of the sources of uncertainty in an exposure assessment is the first step in determining how to reduce that uncertainty. The types of uncertainty listed above can be further defined by examining their principal causes. Sources and examples for each type of uncertainty are summarized in Table 2-2.

Because uncertainty in exposure assessments is fundamentally tied to a lack of knowledge concerning important exposure factors, strategies for reducing uncertainty necessarily involve reduction or elimination of knowledge gaps. Example strategies to reduce uncertainty include (1) collection of new data using a larger sample size, an unbiased sample design, a more direct measurement method or a more appropriate target population, and (2) use of more sophisticated modeling and analysis tools.

### 2.6. ANALYZING VARIABILITY AND UNCERTAINTY

Exposure assessments often are developed in a phased approach. The initial phase usually screens out the exposure scenarios or pathways that are not expected to pose much risk, to eliminate them from more detailed, resource-intensive review. Screening-level assessments typically examine exposures that would fall on or beyond the high end of the expected exposure distribution. Because screening-level analyses usually are included in the final exposure assessment, the final document may contain scenarios that differ quite markedly in
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|  | Table 2-2. Three Types of Uncertainty and Associated Sources and Examples |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type of Uncertainty | Sources | Examples |
| Scenario Uncertainty | Descriptive errors | Incorrect or insufficient information |
|  | Aggregation errors | Spatial or temporal approximations |
|  | Judgment errors | Selection of an incorrect model |
| Parameter Uncertainty | Incomplete analysis | Overlooking an important pathway |
|  | Measurement errors | Imprecise or biased measurements |
|  | Sampling errors | Small or unrepresentative samples |
| Model Uncertainty | Variability | In time, space or activities |
|  | Relationship errors | Structurally-related chemicals |
|  | Modeling errors | Incorrect inference on the basis for correlations |
|  |  | Excluding relevant variables |

sophistication, data quality, and amenability to quantitative expressions of variability or uncertainty.

According to the U.S. EPA (1992), uncertainty characterization and uncertainty assessment are two ways of describing uncertainty at different degrees of sophistication. Uncertainty characterization usually involves a qualitative discussion of the thought processes used to select or reject specific data, estimates, scenarios, etc. Uncertainty assessment is a more quantitative process that may range from simpler measures (e.g., ranges) and simpler analytical techniques (e.g., sensitivity analysis) to more complex measures and techniques. Its goal is to provide decision makers with information concerning the quality of an assessment, including the potential variability in the estimated exposures, major data gaps, and the effect that these data gaps have on the exposure estimates developed.

A distinction between variability and uncertainty was made in Section 2.1. Although the quantitative process mentioned above applies more directly to variability and the qualitative approach more so to uncertainty, there is some degree of overlap. In general, either method provides the assessor or decision-maker with insights to better evaluate the assessment in the context of available data and assumptions. The following paragraphs describe some of the more common procedures for analyzing variability and uncertainty in exposure assessments. Principles that pertain to presenting the results of variability/uncertainty analysis are discussed in the next section.

Several approaches can be used to characterize uncertainty in parameter values. When uncertainty is high,
the assessor may use order-of-magnitude bounding estimates of parameter ranges (e.g., from 0.1 to 10 liters for daily water intake). Another method describes the range for each parameter including the lower and upper bounds as well as a "best estimate" (e.g., 1.4 liters per day) determined by available data or professional judgement.

When sensitivity analysis indicates that a parameter profoundly influences exposure estimates, the assessor should develop a probabilistic description of its range. If there are enough data to support their use, standard statistical methods are preferred. If the data are inadequate, expert judgment can be used to generate a subjective probabilistic representation. Such judgments should be developed in a consistent, well-documented manner. Morgan and Henrion (1990) and Rish (1988) describe techniques to solicit expert judgment.

Most approaches to quantitative analysis examine how variability and uncertainty in values of specific parameters translate into the overall uncertainty of the assessment. Details may be found in reviews such as Cox and Baybutt (1981), Whitmore (1985), Inman and Helton (1988), Seller (1987), and Rish and Marnicio (1988). These approaches can generally be described (in order of increasing complexity and data needs) as: (1) sensitivity analysis; (2) analytical uncertainty propagation; (3) probabilistic uncertainty analysis; or (4) classical statistical methods (U.S. EPA 1992). The four approaches are summarized in Table 2-3.

|  | Table 2-3. Approaches to Quantitative Analysis of Uncertainty |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approach | Description | Example |
| Sensitivity Analysis | Changing one input variable at a time while <br> leaving others constant, to examine effect on <br> output | Fix each input at lower (then upper) bound <br> while holding others at nominal values (e.g., <br> medians) |
| Analytical Uncertainty Propagation | Examining how uncertainty in individual <br> parameters affects the overall uncertainty of the <br> exposure assessment | Analytically or numerically obtain a partial <br> derivative of the exposure equation with respect <br> to each input parameter |
| Probabilistic Uncertainty Analysis | Varying each of the input variables over various <br> values of their respective probability distributions | Assign probability density function to each <br> parameter; randomly sample values from each <br> distribution and insert them in the exposure <br> equation (Monte Carlo) |
| Classical Statistical Methods | Estimating the population exposure distribution <br> directly, based on measured values from a <br> representative sample | Compute confidence interval estimates for <br> various percentiles of the exposure distribution |

### 2.7. PRESENTING RESULTS OF VARIABILITY AND UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS

Comprehensive qualitative analysis and rigorous quantitative analysis are of little value for use in the decision-making process, if their results are not clearly presented. In this chapter, variability (the receipt of different levels of exposure by different individuals) has been distinguished from uncertainty (the lack of knowledge about the correct value for a specific exposure measure or estimate). Most of the data that are presented in this handbook deal with variability directly, through inclusion of statistics that pertain to the distributions for various exposure factors.

Not all approaches historically used to construct measures or estimates of exposure have attempted to distinguish between variability and uncertainty. The assessor is advised to use a variety of exposure descriptors, and where possible, the full population distribution, when presenting the results. This information will provide risk managers with a better understanding of how exposures are distributed over the population and how variability in population activities influences this distribution.

Although incomplete analysis is essentially unquantifiable as a source of uncertainty, it should not be ignored. At a minimum, the assessor should describe the rationale for excluding particular exposure scenarios; characterize the uncertainty in these decisions as high, medium, or low; and state whether they were based on data, analogy, or professional judgment. Where uncertainty is
high, a sensitivity analysis can be used to credible upper limits on exposure by way of a series of "what if" questions.

Although assessors have always used descriptors to communicate the kind of scenario being addressed, the 1992 Exposure Guidelines establish clear quantitative definitions for these risk descriptors. These definitions were established to ensure that consistent terminology is used throughout the Agency. The risk descriptors defined in the Guidelines include descriptors of individual risk and population risk. Individual risk descriptors are intended to address questions dealing with risks borne by individuals within a population, including not only measures of central tendency (e.g., average or median), but also those risks at the high end of the distribution. Population risk descriptors refer to an assessment of the extent of harm to the population being addressed. It can be either an estimate of the number of cases of a particular effect that might occur in a population (or population segment), or a description of what fraction of the population receives exposures, doses, or risks greater than a specified value. The data presented in the Exposure Factors Handbook is one of the tools available to exposure assessors to construct the various risk descriptors.

However, it is not sufficient to merely present the results using different exposure descriptors. Risk managers should also be presented with an analysis of the uncertainties surrounding these descriptors. Uncertainty may be presented using simple or very sophisticated techniques, depending on the requirements of the
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assessment and the amount of data available. It is beyond the scope of this handbook to discuss the mechanics of uncertainty analysis in detail. At a minimum, the assessor should address uncertainty qualitatively by answering questions such as:

- What is the basis or rationale for selecting these assumptions/parameters, such as data, modeling, scientific judgment, Agency policy, "what if" considerations, etc.?
- What is the range or variability of the key parameters? How were the parameter values selected for use in the assessment? Were average, median, or upper-percentile values chosen? If other choices had been made, how would the results have differed?
- What is the assessor's confidence (including qualitative confidence aspects) in the key parameters and the overall assessment? What are the quality and the extent of the data base(s) supporting the selection of the chosen values?

Any exposure estimate developed by an assessor will have associated assumptions about the setting, chemical, population characteristics, and how contact with the chemical occurs through various exposure routes and pathways. The exposure assessor will need to examine many sources of information that bear either directly or indirectly on these components of the exposure assessment. In addition, the assessor will be required to make many decisions regarding the use of existing information in constructing scenarios and setting up the exposure equations. In presenting the scenario results, the assessor should strive for a balanced and impartial treatment of the evidence bearing on the conclusions with the key assumptions highlighted. For these key assumptions, one should cite data sources and explain any adjustments of the data.

The exposure assessor also should qualitatively describe the rationale for selection of any conceptual or mathematical models that may have been used. This discussion should address their verification and validation status, how well they represent the situation being assessed (e.g., average versus high-end estimates), and any plausible alternatives in terms of their acceptance by the scientific community.

Table 2-2 summarizes the three types of uncertainty, associated sources, and examples. Table 2-3 summarizes four approaches to analyze uncertainty quantitatively. These are described further in the 1992 Exposure Guidelines.
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## 3. DRINKING WATER INTAKE

### 3.1. BACKGROUND

Drinking water is a potential source of human exposure to toxic substances. Contamination of drinking water may occur by, for example, percolation of toxics through the soil to ground water that is used as a source of drinking water; runoff or discharge to surface water that is used as a source of drinking water; intentional or unintentional addition of substances to treat water (e.g., chlorination); and leaching of materials from plumbing systems (e.g., lead). Estimating the magnitude of the potential dose of toxics from drinking water requires information on the quantity of water consumed. The purpose of this section is to describe key published studies that provide information on drinking water consumption (Section 3.2) and to provide recommendations of consumption rate values that should be used in exposure assessments (Section 3.6).

Currently, the U.S. EPA uses the quantity of 2 L per day for adults and 1 L per day for infants (individuals of 10 kg body mass or less) as default drinking water intake rates (U.S. EPA, 1980; 1991). These rates include drinking water consumed in the form of juices and other beverages containing tapwater (e.g., coffee). The National Academy of Sciences (NAS, 1977) estimated that daily consumption of water may vary with levels of physical activity and fluctuations in temperature and humidity. It is reasonable to assume that some individuals in physically-demanding occupations or living in warmer regions may have high levels of water intake.

Numerous studies cited in this chapter have generated data on drinking water intake rates. In general, these sources support EPA's use of $2 \mathrm{~L} /$ day for adults and 1 L/day for children as upper-percentile tapwater intake rates. Many of the studies have reported fluid intake rates for both total fluids and tapwater. Total fluid intake is defined as consumption of all types of fluids including tapwater, milk, soft drinks, alcoholic beverages, and water intrinsic to purchased foods. Total tapwater is defined as water consumed directly from the tap as a beverage or used in the preparation of foods and beverages (i.e., coffee, tea, frozen juices, soups, etc.). Data for both consumption categories are presented in the sections that follow. However, for the purposes of exposure assessments involving source-specific contaminated drinking water, intake rates based on total tapwater are more representative of source-specific tapwater intake. Given the assumption that purchased foods and beverages are widely distributed and less likely to contain source-specific water, the use of total fluid intake
rates may overestimate the potential exposure to toxic substances present only in local water supplies; therefore tapwater intake, rather than total fluid intake, is emphasized in this section.

All studies on drinking water intake that are currently available are based on short-term survey data. Although short-term data may be suitable for obtaining mean intake values that are representative of both short- and long-term consumption patterns, upper-percentile values may be different for short-term and long-term data because more variability generally occurs in short-term surveys. It should also be noted that most drinking water surveys currently available are based on recall. This may be a source of uncertainty in the estimated intake rates because of the subjective nature of this type of survey technique.

The distribution of water intakes is usually, but not always, lognormal. Instead of presenting only the lognormal parameters, the actual percentile distributions are presented in this handbook, usually with a comment on whether or not it is lognormal. To facilitate comparisons between studies, the mean and the 90th percentiles are given for all studies where the distribution data are available. With these two parameters, along with information about which distribution is being followed, one can calculate, using standard formulas, the geometric mean and geometric standard deviation and hence any desired percentile of the distribution. Before doing such a calculation one must be sure that one of these distributions adequately fits the data.

The available studies on drinking water consumption are summarized in the following sections. They have been classified as either key studies or relevant studies based on the applicability of their survey designs to exposure assessment of the entire United States population. Recommended intake rates are based on the results of key studies, but relevant studies are also presented to provide the reader with added perspective on the current state-ofknowledge pertaining to drinking water intake.

### 3.2. KEY GENERAL POPULATION STUDIES ON DRINKING WATER INTAKE

 Canada Department of Health and Welfare (1981) - Tapwater Consumption in Canada - In a study conducted by the Canadian Department of Health and Welfare, 970 individuals from 295 households were surveyed todetermine the per capita total tapwater intake rates for various age/sex groups during winter and summer seasons (Canadian Ministry of National Health and Welfare, 1981). Intake rate was also evaluated as a function of physical activity. The population that was surveyed matched the Canadian 1976 census with respect to the proportion in different age, regional, community size and dwelling type
at home and tapwater consumed away from home. The survey also did not attempt to estimate intake rates for fluids other than tapwater. Consequently, no intake rates for total fluids were reported.

Daily consumption distribution patterns for various age groups are presented in Table 3-1. For adults (over 18 years of age) only, the average total tapwater intake rate was

| Table 3-1. Daily Total Tapwater Intake Distribution for Canadians, by Age Group (approx. 0.20 L increments, both sexes, combined seasons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount Consumed ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 and under |  | \% 6-17 Number |  | 18 and over |  |
| L/day | \% | Number |  |  | \% | Number |
| 0.00-0.21 | 11.1 | 9 | 2.8 | 7 | 0.5 | 3 |
| 0.22-0.43 | 17.3 | 14 | 10.0 | 25 | 1.9 | 12 |
| 0.44-0.65 | 24.8 | 20 | 13.2 | 33 | 5.9 | 38 |
| 0.66-0.86 | 9.9 | 8 | 13.6 | 34 | 8.5 | 54 |
| 0.87-1.07 | 11.1 | 9 | 14.4 | 36 | 13.1 | 84 |
| 1.08-1.29 | 11.1 | 9 | 14.8 | 37 | 14.8 | 94 |
| 1.30-1.50 | 4.9 | 4 | 9.6 | 24 | 15.3 | 98 |
| 1.51-1.71 | 6.2 | 5 | 6.8 | 17 | 12.1 | 77 |
| 1.72-1.93 | 1.2 | 1 | 2.4 | 6 | 6.9 | 44 |
| 1.94-2.14 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 3 | 5.6 | 36 |
| 2.15-2.36 | 1.2 | 1 | 4.0 | 10 | 3.4 | 22 |
| 2.37-2.57 | - | 0 | 0.4 | 1 | 3.1 | 20 |
| 2.58-2.79 | - | 0 | 2.4 | 6 | 2.7 | 17 |
| 2.80-3.00 | - | 0 | 2.4 | 6 | 1.4 | 9 |
| 3.01-3.21 | - | 0 | 0.4 | 1 | 1.1 | 7 |
| 3.22-3.43 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.9 | 6 |
| 3.44-3.64 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.8 | 5 |
| 3.65-3.86 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| >3.86 | - | 0 | 1.6 | 4 | 2.0 | 13 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 81 | 100.0 | 250 | 100.0 | 639 |
| a Includes tapwater and foods and beverages derived from tapwater. Source: Canadian Ministry of National Health and Welfare, 1981. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

groups. Participants monitored water intake for a 2-day period ( 1 weekday, and 1 weekend day) in both late summer of 1977 and winter of 1978. All 970 individuals participated in both the summer and winter surveys. The amount of tapwater consumed was estimated based on the respondents' identification of the type and size of beverage container used, compared to standard sized vessels. The survey questionnaires included a pictorial guide to help participants in classifying the sizes of the vessels. For example, a small glass of water was assumed to be equivalent to 4.0 ounces of water, and a large glass was assumed to contain 9.0 ounces of water. The study also accounted for water derived from ice cubes and popsicles, and water in soups, infant formula, and juices. The survey did not attempt to differentiate between tapwater consumed
1.38 L/day, and the 90th percentile rate was $2.41 \mathrm{~L} /$ day as determined by graphical interpolation. These data follow a lognormal distribution. The intake data for males, females, and both sexes combined as a function of age and expressed in the units of milliliters (grams) per kilogram body weight are presented in Table 3-2. The tapwater survey did not include body weights of the participants, but the body weight information was taken from a Canadian health survey dated 1981 ; it averaged 65.1 kg for males and 55.6 kg for females. Intake rates for specific age groups and seasons are presented in Table 3-3. The average daily total tapwater intake rates for all ages and seasons combined was $1.34 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{day}$, and the 90th percentile rate was $2.36 \mathrm{~L} /$ day. The summer intake rates are nearly the same as the winter intake rates. The authors speculate that the reason for the
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small seasonal variation here is that in Canada, even in the summer, the ambient temperature seldom exceeded 20 degrees C and marked increase in water consumption with high activity levels has been observed in other studies only when the ambient temperature has been higher than 20 degrees. Average daily total tapwater intake rates as a function of the level of physical activity, as estimated subjectively, are presented in Table 3-4. The amounts of tapwater consumed that are derived from various foods and beverages are presented in Table 3-5. Note that the consumption of direct "raw" tapwater is almost constant across all age groups from school-age children through the oldest ages. The increase in total tapwater consumption beyond school age is due to coffee and tea consumption.

| Table 3-2. Average Daily Tapwater Intake of Canadians (expressed as milliliters per kilogram body weight) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Daily Intake (mL/kg) |  |  |
| Age Group (years) | Females | Males | Both Sexes |
| <3 | 53 | 35 | 45 |
| 3-5 | 49 | 48 | 48 |
| 6-17 | 24 | 27 | 26 |
| 18-34 | 23 | 19 | 21 |
| 35-54 | 25 | 19 | 22 |
| 55+ | 24 | 21 | 22 |
| Total Population | 24 | 21 | 22 |
| Source: Canadian Ministry of National Health and Welfare, 1981. |  |  |  |


| Table 3-3. Average Daily Total Tapwater Intake of Canadians, by Age and Season (L/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <3 | 3-5 | 6-17 | 18-34 | 35-54 | $\leq 55$ | All Ages |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summer | 0.57 | 0.86 | 1.14 | 1.33 | 1.52 | 1.53 | 1.31 |
| Winter | 0.66 | 0.88 | 1.13 | 1.42 | 1.59 | 1.62 | 1.37 |
| Summer/Winter | 0.61 | 0.87 | 1.14 | 1.38 | 1.55 | 1.57 | 1.34 |
| 90th Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summer/Winter | 1.50 | 1.50 | 2.21 | 2.57 | 2.57 | 2.29 | 2.36 |
| a Includes tapwater and foods and beverages derived from tapwater.Source: $\quad$ Canadian Ministry of National Health and Welfare, 1981. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 3-4. Average Daily Total Tapwater Intake of Canadians as a Function of Level of Physical Activity at Work and in Spare Time (16 years and older, combined seasons, L/day) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Work |  | Spare Time |  |
| Activity Level ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Consumption ${ }^{\text {b }}$ L/day | Number of Respondents | Consumption ${ }^{\text {b }}$ L/day | Number of Respondents |
| Extremely Active | 1.72 | 99 | 1.57 | 52 |
| Very Active | 1.47 | 244 | 1.51 | 151 |
| Somewhat Active | 1.47 | 217 | 1.44 | 302 |
| Not Very Active | 1.27 | 67 | 1.52 | 131 |
| Not At All Active | 1.30 | 16 | 1.35 | 26 |
| Did Not State | 1.30 | 45 | 1.31 | $\underline{26}$ |
| TOTAL |  | 688 |  | 688 |

a The levels of physical activity listed here were not defined any further by the survey report, and categorization of activity level by survey participants is assumed to be subjective.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes tapwater and foods and beverages derived from tapwater.
Source: Canadian Ministry of National Health and Welfare, 1981

> Table 3-5. Average Daily Tapwater Intake by Canadians, Apportioned Among Various Beverages (both sexes, by age, combined seasons, L/day)

|  | Age Group (years) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 3 | 3-5 | 6-17 | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55 and Over |
| Total Number in Group 34 | 47 | 250 | 232 | 254 | 153 |  |
| Water | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Ice/Mix | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Tea | * | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.42 |
| Coffee | 0.01 | * | 0.06 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.42 |
| "Other Type of Drink" | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.11 |
| Reconstituted Milk | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| Soup | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 |
| Homemade Beer/Wine | * | * | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
| Homemade Popsicles | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | * | * |
| Baby Formula, etc. | 0.09 | * | * | * | * | * |
| TOTAL | 0.61 | 0.86 | 1.14 | 1.38 | 1.55 | 1.57 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes tapwater and foods and beverages derived from tapwater. <br> * Less than 0.01 L/day |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Canadian Min | ealth and |  |  |  |  |  |

Data concerning the source of tapwater (municipal, well, or lake) was presented in one table of the study. This categorization is not appropriate for making conclusions about consumption of ground versus surface water.

This survey may be more representative of total tapwater consumption than some other less comprehensive surveys because it included data for some tapwatercontaining items not covered by other studies (i.e., ice cubes, popsicles, and infant formula). One potential source of error in the study is that estimated intake rates were based on identification of standard vessel sizes; the accuracy of this type of survey data is not known. The cooler climate of Canada may have reduced the importance of large tapwater intakes resulting from high activity levels, therefore making the study less applicable to the United States. The authors were not able to explain the surprisingly large variations between regional tapwater intakes; the largest regional difference was between Ontario ( 1.18 liters/day) and Quebec ( 1.55 liters/day).

Ershow and Cantor (1989) - Total Water and Tapwater Intake in the United States: Population-Based Estimates of Quantities and Sources - Ershow and Cantor (1989) estimated water intake rates based on data collected by the USDA 1977-1978 Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (NFCS). Daily intake rates for tapwater and total water were calculated for various age groups for males, females, and both sexes combined. Tapwater was defined
as "all water from the household tap consumed directly as a beverage or used to prepare foods and beverages." Total water was defined as tapwater plus "water intrinsic to foods and beverages" (i.e., water contained in purchased food and beverages). The authors showed that the age, sex, and racial distribution of the surveyed population closely matched the estimated 1977 U. S. population.

Daily total tapwater intake rates, expressed as mL (grams) per day by age group are presented in Table 3-6. These data follow a lognormal distribution. The same data, expressed as mL (grams) per kg body weight per day are presented in Table 3-7. A summary of these tables, showing the mean, the 10th and 90th percentile intakes, expressed as both $\mathrm{mL} /$ day and $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day as a function of age, is presented in Table 3-8. This shows that the mean and 90th percentile intake rates for adults (ages 20 to $65+$ ) are approximately $1,410 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day and $2,280 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day and for all ages the mean and 90th percentile intake rates are 1,190 $\mathrm{mL} /$ day and $2,090 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day. Note that older adults have greater intakes than do adults between age 20 and

Table 3-6. Total Tapwater Intake ( $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{day}$ ) for Both Sexes Combine ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Age (years) | Table 3-6. Total Tapwater Intake ( $\mathrm{mL} /$ day) for Both Sexes Combined̃ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Observations | Mean | SD | S.E. of Mean | Percentile Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 |
| <0.5 | 182 | 272 | 247 | 18 | * | 0 | 0 | 80 | 240 | 332 | 640 | 800 | * |
| 0.5-0.9 | 221 | 328 | 265 | 18 | * | 0 | 0 | 117 | 268 | 480 | 688 | 764 | * |
| 1-3 | 1498 | 646 | 390 | 10 | 33 | 169 | 240 | 374 | 567 | 820 | 1162 | 1419 | 1899 |
| 4-6 | 1702 | 742 | 406 | 10 | 68 | 204 | 303 | 459 | 660 | 972 | 1302 | 1520 | 1932 |
| 7-10 | 2405 | 787 | 417 | 9 | 68 | 241 | 318 | 484 | 731 | 1016 | 1338 | 1556 | 1998 |
| 11-14 | 2803 | 925 | 521 | 10 | 76 | 244 | 360 | 561 | 838 | 1196 | 1621 | 1924 | 2503 |
| 15-19 | 2998 | 999 | 593 | 11 | 55 | 239 | 348 | 587 | 897 | 1294 | 1763 | 2134 | 2871 |
| 20-44 | 7171 | 1255 | 709 | 8 | 105 | 337 | 483 | 766 | 1144 | 1610 | 2121 | 2559 | 3634 |
| 45-64 | 4560 | 1546 | 723 | 11 | 335 | 591 | 745 | 1057 | 1439 | 1898 | 2451 | 2870 | 3994 |
| 65-74 | 1663 | 1500 | 660 | 16 | 301 | 611 | 766 | 1044 | 1394 | 1873 | 2333 | 2693 | 3479 |
| 75+ | 878 | 1381 | 600 | 20 | 279 | 568 | 728 | 961 | 1302 | 1706 | 2170 | 2476 | 3087 |
| Infants (ages <1) | 403 | 302 | 258 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 240 | 424 | 649 | 775 | 1102 |
| Children (ages 1-10) | 5605 | 736 | 410 | 5 | 56 | 192 | 286 | 442 | 665 | 960 | 1294 | 1516 | 1954 |
| Teens (ages 11-19) | 5801 | 965 | 562 | 7 | 67 | 240 | 353 | 574 | 867 | 1246 | 1701 | 2026 | 2748 |
| Adults (ages 20-64) | 11731 | 1366 | 728 | 7 | 148 | 416 | 559 | 870 | 1252 | 1737 | 2268 | 2707 | 3780 |
| Adults (ages 65+) | $2541$ | $1459$ | 643 | 13 | 299 | 598 | 751 | 1019 | 1367 | 1806 | 2287 | 2636 | 3338 |
| All | 26081 | 1193 | 702 | 4 | 80 | 286 | 423 | 690 | 1081 | 1561 | 2092 | 2477 | 3415 |

a Total tapwater is defined as "all water from the household tap consumed directly as a beverage or used to prepare foods and beverages."
Value not reported due to insufficient number of observations.
Source: Ershow and Cantor, 1989.

| Table 3-7. Total Tapwater Intake (mL/kg-day) for Both Sexes Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Observations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (years) | Actual Count | Weighted Count | Mean | SD | S.E. of Mean | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 |
| $<0.5$ | 182 | 201.2 | 52.4 | 53.2 | 3.9 | * | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 37.8 | 66.1 | 128.3 | 155.6 | * |
| 0.5-0.9 | 221 | 243.2 | 36.2 | 29.2 | 2.0 | * | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 32.2 | 48.1 | 69.4 | 102.9 | * |
| 1-3 | 1498 | 1687.7 | 46.8 | 28.1 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 11.8 | 17.8 | 27.2 | 41.4 | 60.4 | 82.1 | 101.6 | 140.6 |
| 4-6 | 1702 | 1923.9 | 37.9 | 21.8 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 10.3 | 14.9 | 21.9 | 33.3 | 48.7 | 69.3 | 81.1 | 103.4 |
| 7-10 | 2405 | 2742.4 | 26.9 | 15.3 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 7.4 | 10.3 | 16.0 | 24.0 | 35.5 | 47.3 | 55.2 | 70.5 |
| 11-14 | 2803 | 3146.9 | 20.2 | 11.6 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 11.9 | 18.1 | 26.2 | 35.7 | 41.9 | 55.0 |
| 15-19 | 2998 | 3677.9 | 16.4 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 9.6 | 14.8 | 21.5 | 29.0 | 35.0 | 46.3 |
| 20-44 | 7171 | 13444.5 | 18.6 | 10.7 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 11.2 | 16.8 | 23.7 | 32.2 | 38.4 | 53.4 |
| 45-64 | 4560 | 8300.4 | 22.0 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 8.0 | 10.3 | 14.7 | 20.2 | 27.2 | 35.5 | 42.1 | 57.8 |
| 65-74 | 1663 | 2740.2 | 21.9 | 9.9 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 15.1 | 20.2 | 27.2 | 35.2 | 40.6 | 51.6 |
| 75+ | 878 | 1401.8 | 21.6 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 15.0 | 20.5 | 27.1 | 33.9 | 38.6 | 47.2 |
| Infants (ages <1) | 403 | 444.3 | 43.5 | 42.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 35.3 | 54.7 | 101.8 | 126.5 | 220.5 |
| Children (ages 1-10) | 5605 | 6354.1 | 35.5 | 22.9 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 19.6 | 30.5 | 46.0 | 64.4 | 79.4 | 113.9 |
| Teens (ages 11-19) | 5801 | 6824.9 | 18.2 | 10.8 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 10.6 | 16.3 | 23.6 | 32.3 | 38.9 | 52.6 |
| Adults (ages 20-64) | 11731 | 21744.9 | 19.9 | 10.8 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 5.9 | 8.0 | 12.4 | 18.2 | 25.3 | 33.7 | 40.0 | 54.8 |
| Adults (ages 65+) | 2541 | 4142.0 | 21.8 | 9.8 | 0.2 | 4.5 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 15.0 | 20.3 | 27.1 | 34.7 | 40.0 | 51.3 |
| All | 26081 | 39510.2 | 22.6 | 15.4 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 8.2 | 13.0 | 19.4 | 28.0 | 39.8 | 50.0 | 79.8 |

a Total tapwater is defined as "all water from the household tap consumed directly as a beverage or used to prepare foods and beverages."

* Value not reported due to insufficient number of observations.
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| Table 3-8. Summary of Tapwater Intake by Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Intake (mL/day) | Intake (mL/kg-day) |  |  |
|  | Mean | 10th-90th Percentiles | Mean | 10th-90th Percentiles |
| Infants (<1 year) | 302 | $0-649$ | 43.5 | $0-100$ |
| Children (1-10 years) | 736 | $286-1,294$ | 35.5 | $12.5-64.4$ |
| Teens (11-19 years) | 965 | $353-1,701$ | 18.2 | $6.5-32.3$ |
| Adults (20 -64 years) | 1,366 | $559-2,268$ | 19.9 | $8.0-33.7$ |
| Adults (65+ years) | 1,459 | $751-2,287$ | 21.8 | $10.9-34.7$ |
| All ages | 1,193 | $423-2,092$ | 22.6 | $8.2-39.8$ |
| Source: Ershow and Cantor (1989) |  |  |  |  |

65, an observation bearing on the interpretation of the Cantor, et al. (1987) study which surveyed a population that was older than the national average (see Section 3.3).

Ershow and Cantor (1989) also measured total water intake for the same age groups and concluded that it averaged $2,070 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day for all groups combined and that tapwater intake ( $1,190 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day ) is 55 percent of the total water intake. (The detailed intake data for various age groups are presented in Table 3-9). Ershow and Cantor (1989) also concluded that, for all age groups combined, the proportion of tapwater consumed as drinking water, foods, and beverages is 54 percent, 10 percent and 36 percent, respectively. (The detailed data on proportion of tapwater consumed for various age groups are presented in Table 3-10). Ershow and Cantor (1989) also observed that males of all age groups had higher total water and tapwater consumption rates than
females; the variation of each from the combined-sexes mean was about 8 percent.

Ershow and Cantor (1989) also presented data on total water intake and tapwater intake for children of various ages. They found, for infants and children between the ages of 6 months and 15 years, that the total water intake per unit body weight increased smoothly and sharply from 30 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day above age 15 years to $190 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for ages less than 6 months. This probably represents metabolic requirements for water as a dietary constituent. However, they found that the intake of tapwater alone went up only slightly with decreasing age (from 20 to $45 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day as age decreases from 11 years to less than 6 months). Ershow and Cantor (1989) attributed this small effect of age on tapwater intake to the large number of alternative water sources (besides tapwater) used for the younger age groups.

| Age (years) | Mean | Percentile Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 |
| <1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 22 | 37 | 55 | 62 | 82 |
| 1-10 | 45 | 6 | 19 | 24 | 34 | 45 | 57 | 67 | 72 | 81 |
| 11-19 | 47 | 6 | 18 | 24 | 35 | 47 | 59 | 69 | 74 | 83 |
| 20-64 | 59 | 12 | 27 | 35 | 49 | 61 | 72 | 79 | 83 | 90 |
| 65+ | 65 | 25 | 41 | 47 | 58 | 67 | 74 | 81 | 84 | 90 |

a Does not include pregnant women, lactating women, or breast-fed children.
b Total tapwater is defined as "all water from the household tap consumed directly as a beverage or used to prepare foods and beverages." $0=$ Less than 0.5 percent.

Source: Ershow and Cantor, 1989.

| Table 3-10. General Dietary Sources of Tapwater for Both Sexes ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | Source | \% of Tapwater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 95 | 99 |
| <1 | Food ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 11 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 100 |
|  | Drinking Water | 69 | 37 | 0 | 39 | 87 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Other Beverages | 20 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 100 | 100 |
|  | All Sources | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-10 | Food ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 15 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 44 | 100 |
|  | Drinking Water | 65 | 25 | 0 | 52 | 70 | 84 | 96 | 100 |
|  | Other Beverages | 20 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 32 | 63 | 93 |
|  | All Sources | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11-19 | Food ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 13 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 17 | 38 | 100 |
|  | Drinking Water | 65 | 25 | 0 | 52 | 70 | 85 | 98 | 100 |
|  | Other Beverages | 22 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 34 | 68 | 96 |
|  | All Sources | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-64 | Food ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 25 | 49 |
|  | Drinking Water | 47 | 26 | 0 | 29 | 48 | 67 | 91 | 100 |
|  | Other Beverages | 45 | 26 | 0 | 25 | 44 | 63 | 91 | 100 |
|  | All Sources | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65+ | Food ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 38 |
|  | Drinking Water | 50 | 23 | 0 | 36 | 52 | 66 | 87 | 99 |
|  | Other Beverages | 42 | 23 | 3 | 27 | 40 | 57 | 85 | 100 |
|  | All Sources | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | Food ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 31 | 64 |
|  | Drinking Water | 54 | 27 | 0 | 36 | 56 | 75 | 95 | 100 |
|  | Other Beverages | 36 | 27 | 0 | 14 | 34 | 55 | 87 | 100 |
|  | All Sources | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { Does not include pregnant women, lactating women, or breast-fed children. } \\ & \mathrm{b} \\ & \mathrm{I} \text { Individual values may not add to totals due to rounding. } \\ & \text { Food category includes soups. } \\ & 0 \quad \text { = Less than } 0.5 \text { percent. } \\ & \text { Source: } \quad \text { Ershow and Cantor, } 1989 . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

With respect to region of the country, the northeast states had slightly lower average tapwater intake ( 1,200 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{day}$ ) than the three other regions (which were approximately equal at $1,400 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day).

This survey has an adequately large size $(26,446$ individuals) and it is a representative sample of the United States population with respect to age distribution, sex, racial composition, and residential location. It is therefore suitable as a description of national tapwater consumption. The chief limitation of the study is that the data were collected in 1978 and do not reflect the expected increase in the consumption of soft drinks and bottled water or changes in the diet within the last two decades. Since the data were
collected for only a three-day period, the extrapolation to chronic intake is uncertain

Roseberry and Burmaster (1992) - Lognormal Distributions for Water Intake - Roseberry and Burmaster (1992) fit lognormal distributions to the water intake data reported by Ershow and Cantor (1989) and estimated population-wide distributions for total fluid and total tapwater intake based on proportions of the population in each age group. Their publication shows the data and the fitted log-normal distributions graphically. The mean was estimated as the zero intercept, and the standard deviation was estimated as the slope of the best fit line for the natural logarithm of the intake rates plotted against their
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corresponding z-scores (Roseberry and Burmaster, 1992). Least squares techniques were used to estimate the best fit straight lines for the transformed data. Summary statistics for the best-fit lognormal distribution are presented in Table $3-11$. In this table, the simulated balanced population represents an adjustment to account for the different age distribution of the United States population in 1988 from the age distribution in 1978 when Ershow and Cantor (1989) collected their data. Table 3-12 summarizes the quantiles and means of tapwater intake as estimated from the best-fit distributions. The mean total tapwater intake rates for the two adult populations (age 20 to 65 years, and $65+$ years) were estimated to be 1.27 and $1.34 \mathrm{~L} /$ day.

| Table 3-11. Summary Statistics for Best-Fit Lognormal Distributions for Water Intake Rates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | ln Total Fluid Intake Rate |  |  |
| (age in years) | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| $0<$ age < 16.979 | 0.291 | 0.996 |  |
| $1 \leq$ age <11 | 7.182 | 0.340 | 0.953 |
| $11 \leq$ age <20 | 7.490 | 0.347 | 0.966 |
| $20 \leq$ age <65 | 7.563 | 0.400 | 0.977 |
| $65 \leq$ age | 7.583 | 0.360 | 0.988 |
| All ages | 7.487 | 0.405 | 0.984 |
| Simulated balanced population | 7.492 | 0.407 | 1.000 |
|  |  | al Tapw |  |
| Group (age in years) |  | Intake <br> $\sigma$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| $0<$ age <1 5.587 | 0.615 | 0.970 |  |
| $1 \leq$ age < 11 | 6.429 | 0.498 | 0.984 |
| $11 \leq$ age <20 | 6.667 | 0.535 | 0.986 |
| $20 \leq$ age <65 | 7.023 | 0.489 | 0.956 |
| $65 \leq$ age | 7.088 | 0.476 | 0.978 |
| All ages | 6.870 | 0.530 | 0.978 |
| Simulated balanced population | 6.864 | 0.575 | 0.995 |
| a These values ( $\mathrm{mL} /$ day) were used in the following equations to estimate the quantiles and averages for total tapwater intake shown in Tables 3-12. |  |  |  |
| 97.5 percentile intake rate $=\exp [\mu+(1.96 \cdot \sigma)]$ |  |  |  |
| 75 percentile intake rate $=\exp [\mu+(0.6745 *)]$ |  |  |  |
| 50 percentile intake rate $=\exp [\mu]$ |  |  |  |
| 25 percentile intake rate $=\exp \left[\mu-\left(0.6745{ }^{\circ} \sigma\right)\right]$ |  |  |  |
| 2.5 percentile intake rate $=\exp [\mu-(1.96 \cdot \sigma)]$ |  |  |  |
| Mean intake rate $-\exp \left[\mu+0.5 \sigma^{2}\right)$ ] |  |  |  |
| Source: Roseberry and Burmaster, 1992. |  |  |  |

These intake rates were based on the data originally presented by Ershow and Cantor (1989). Consequently, the same advantages and disadvantages associated with the Ershow and Cantor (1989) study apply to this data set.

### 3.3. RELEVANT GENERAL POPULATION STUDIES ON DRINKING WATER INTAKE National Academy of Sciences (1977) - Drinking

 Water and Health - NAS (1977) calculated the average per capita water (liquid) consumption per day to be 1.63 L . This figure was based on a survey of the following literature sources: Evans (1941); Bourne and Kidder (1953); Walker et al. (1957); Wolf (1958); Guyton (1968); McNall and Schlegel (1968); Randall (1973); NAS (1974); and Pike and Brown (1975). Although the calculated average intake rate was 1.63 L per day, NAS (1977) adopted a larger rate (2 L per day) to represent the intake of the majority of water consumers. This value is relatively consistent with the total tapwater intakes rate estimated from the key studies presented previously. However, the use of the term "liquid" was not clearly defined in this study, and it is not known whether the populations surveyed are representative of the adult U.S. population. Consequently, the results of this study are of limited use in recommending total tapwater intake rates and this study is not considered a key study.Hopkins and Ellis (1980) - Drinking Water Consumption in Great Britain - A study conducted in Great Britain over a 6-week period during September and October 1978, estimated the drinking water consumption rates of 3,564 individuals from 1,320 households in England, Scotland, and Wales (Hopkins and Ellis, 1980). The participants were selected randomly and were asked to complete a questionnaire and a diary indicating the type and quantity of beverages consumed over a 1 -week period. Total liquid intake included total tapwater taken at home and away from home; purchased alcoholic beverages; and non-tapwater-based drinks. Total tapwater included water content of tea, coffee, and other hot water drinks; homemade alcoholic beverages; and tapwater consumed directly as a beverage. The assumed tapwater contents for these beverages are presented in Table 3-13. Based on responses from 3,564 participants, the mean intake rates and frequency distribution data for various beverage categories were estimated by Hopkins and Ellis (1980). These data are listed in Table 3-14. The mean per capita total liquid intake rate for all individuals surveyed was 1.59 L/day, and the mean per capita total tapwater intake rate was $0.95 \mathrm{~L} /$ day, with a 90 th percentile


| Table 3-13. Assumed Tapwater Content of Beverages |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Beverage | \% Tapwater |
| Cold Water | 100 |
| Home-made Beer/Cider/Lager | 100 |
| Home-made Wine | 100 |
| Other Hot Water Drinks | 100 |
| Ground/Instant Coffee: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Black | 100 |
| White | 80 |
| Half Milk | 50 |
| All Milk | 0 |
| Tea | 80 |
| Hot Milk | 0 |
| Cocoa/Other Hot Milk Drinks | 0 |
| Water-based Fruit Drink | 75 |
| Fizzy Drinks | 0 |
| Fruit Juice $1^{\text {b }}$ | 0 |
| Fruit Juice $2^{\text {b }}$ | 75 |
| Milk | 0 |
| Mineral Water ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 |
| Bought cider/beer/lager | 0 |
| Bought Wine | 0 |
| a Black - coffee with all water, milk not added; White - coffee with $80 \%$ water, $20 \%$ milk; <br> Half Milk - coffee with $50 \%$ water, $50 \%$ milk; All Milk - coffee with all milk, water not added; |  |
| Fruit juice: individuals were asked in the questionnaire if they consumed ready-made fruit juice (type 1 above), or the variety diluted (type 2); |  |
| Information on volume of "number of bottles per we the volume was split so th weekends, and $5 / 7$ during <br> Source: Hopkins and Ellis, 1980 | btained only as 500 mL , and med on |

value of about 1.3 L/day (which is the value of the percentile for the home tapwater alone in Table 3-14). Liquid intake rates were also estimated for males and females in various age groups. Table 3-15 summarizes the total liquid and total tapwater intake rates for 1,758 males and 1,800 females grouped into six age categories (Hopkins and Ellis, 1980). The mean and 90th percentile total tapwater intake values for adults over age 18 years are,
respectively, $1.07 \mathrm{~L} /$ day and $1.87 \mathrm{~L} /$ day, as determined by pooling data for males and females for the three adult age ranges in Table 3-15. This calculation assumes, as does Table 3-14 and 3-15, that the underlying distribution is normal and not lognormal.

The advantage of using these data is that the responses were not generated on a recall basis, but by recording daily intake in diaries. The latter approach may result in more accurate responses being generated. Also, the use of total liquid and total tapwater was well defined in this study. However, the relatively short-term nature of the survey make extrapolation to long-term consumption patterns difficult. Also, these data were based on the population of Great Britain and not the United States. Drinking patterns may differ among these populations as a result of varying weather conditions and socio-economic factors. For these reasons this study is not considered a key study in this document.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) (1981) - Report to the Task Group on Reference Man - Data on fluid intake levels have also been summarized by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) in the Report of the Task Group on Reference Man (ICRP, 1981). These intake levels for adults and children are summarized in Table 3-16. The amount of drinking water (tapwater and water-based drinks) consumed by adults ranged from about $0.37 \mathrm{~L} /$ day to about $2.18 \mathrm{~L} /$ day under "normal" conditions. The levels for children ranged from 0.54 to $0.79 \mathrm{~L} /$ day. Because the populations, survey design, and intake categories are not clearly defined, this study has limited usefulness in developing recommended intake rates for use in exposure assessment. It is reported here as a relevant study because the findings, although poorly defined, are consistent with the results of other studies.


Table 3-15. Summary of Total Liquid and Total Tapwater Intake for Males and Females (L/day)

| Beverage | Age Group (years) | Number |  | Mean Intake |  | Approx. Std. Error of Mean |  | Approx 95\% Confidence Interval for Mean |  | 10 and 90 Percentiles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Total Liquid Intake | 1-4 | 88 | 75 | 0.853 | 0.888 | 0.0557 | 0.0660 | 0.742-0.964 | 0.756-1.020 | 0.38-1.51 | 0.39-1.48 |
|  | 5-11 | 249 | 201 | 0.986 | 0.902 | 0.0296 | 0.0306 | 0.917-1.045 | 0.841-0.963 | 0.54-1.48 | 0.51-1.39 |
|  | 12-17 | 180 | 169 | 1.401 | 1.198 | 0.0619 | 0.0429 | 1.277-1.525 | 1.112-1.284 | 0.75-2.27 | 0.65-1.74 |
|  | 18-30 | 333 | 350 | 2.184 | 1.547 | 0.0691 | 0.0392 | 2.046-2.322 | 1.469-1.625 | 1.12-3.49 | 0.93-2.30 |
|  | 31-54 | 512 | 551 | 2.112 | 1.601 | 0.0526 | 0.0215 | 2.007-2.217 | 1.558-1.694 | 1.15-3.27 | 0.95-2.36 |
|  | 55+ | 396 | 454 | 1.830 | 1.482 | 0.0498 | 0.0356 | 1.730-1.930 | 1.411-1.553 | 1.03-2.77 | 0.84-2.17 |
| Total Tapwater Intake | 1-4 | 88 | 75 | 0.477 | 0.464 | 0.0403 | 0.0453 | 0.396-0.558 | 0.373-0.555 | 0.17-0.85 | 0.15-0.89 |
|  | 5-11 | 249 | 201 | 0.550 | 0.533 | 0.0223 | 0.0239 | 0.505-0.595 | 0.485-0.581 | 0.22-0.90 | 0.22-0.93 |
|  | 12-17 | 180 | 169 | 0.805 | 0.725 | 0.0372 | 0.0328 | 0.731-0.8790 | 0.659-0.791 | 0.29-1.35 | 0.31-1.16 |
|  | 18-30 | 333 | 350 | 1.006 | 0.991 | 0.0363 | 0.0304 | 0.933-1.079 | 0.930-1.052 | 0.45-1.62 | 0.50-1.55 |
|  | 31-54 | 512 | 551 | 1.201 | 1.091 | 0.0309 | 0.0240 | 1.139-1.263 | 1.043-1.139 | 0.64-1.88 | 0.62-1.68 |
|  | 55+ | 396 | 454 | 1.133 | 1.027 | 0.0347 | 0.0273 | 1.064-1.202 | 0.972-1.082 | 0.62-1.72 | 0.54-1.57 |
| Source: Hopkin and Ellis, 1980. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 3-16. Measured Fluid Intakes (mL/day) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Total Fluids | Milk | Tapwater | Water-Based Drinks ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Adults ("normal" conditions) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1000-2400 | 120-450 | 45-730 | 320-1450 |
| Adults (high environmental temperature to $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 2840-3410 \\ & 3256 \pm \\ & \mathrm{SD}=900 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Adults (moderately active) | 3700 |  |  |  |
| Children (5-14 yr) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000-1200 \\ & 1310-1670 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 330-500 \\ & 540-650 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ca. } 200$ | ca. 380 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes tea, coffee, soft drinks, beer, cider, wine, etc. <br> b "Normal" conditions refer to typical environmental temperature and activity levels. <br> Source: ICRP, 1981. |  |  |  |  |

Gillies and Paulin (1983) - Variability of Mineral Intakes from Drinking Water - Gillies and Paulin (1983) conducted a study to evaluate variability of mineral intake from drinking water. A study population of 109 adults (75 females; 34 males) ranging in age from 16 to 80 years (mean age $=44$ years) in New Zealand was asked to collect duplicate samples of water consumed directly from the tap or used in beverage preparation during a 24 -hour period. Participants were asked to collect the samples on a day when all of the water consumed would be from their own home. Individuals were selected based on their willingness to participate and their ability to comprehend the collection procedures. The mean total tapwater intake rate for this population was $1.25( \pm 0.39) \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{day}$, and the 90 th percentile rate was $1.90 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{day}$. The median total tapwater intake rate ( $1.26 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{day}$ ) was very similar to the mean intake rate (Gillies and Paulin, 1983). The reported range was 0.26 to $2.80 \mathrm{~L} /$ day.

The advantage of these data are that they were generated using duplicate sampling techniques. Because this approach is more objective than recall methods, it may result in more accurate response. However, these data are based on a short-term survey that may not be representative of long-term behavior, the population surveyed is small and the procedures for selecting the survey population were not designed to be representative of the New Zealand population, and the results may not be applicable to the United States. For these reasons the study is not regarded as a key study in this document.

Pennington (1983)-Revision of the Total Diet Study Food List and Diets - Based on data from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) Total Diet Study, Pennington (1983) reported average intake rates for various foods and beverages for five age groups of the population. The Total Diet Study is conducted annually to monitor the nutrient and contaminant content of the U.S. food supply
and to evaluate trends in consumption. Representative diets were developed based on 24 -hour recall and 2 -day diary data from the 1977-1978 U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (NFCS) and 24 -hour recall data from the Second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES II). The number of participants in NFCS and NHANES II was approximately 30,000 and 20,000 , respectively. The diets were developed to "approximate 90 percent or more of the weight of the foods usually consumed" (Pennington, 1983). The source of water (bottled water as distinguished from tapwater) was not stated in the Pennington study. For the purposes of this report, the consumption rates for the food categories defined by Pennington (1983) were used to calculate total fluid and total water intake rates for five age groups. Total water includes water, tea, coffee, soft drinks, and soups and frozen juices that are reconstituted with water. Reconstituted soups were assumed to be composed of 50 percent water, and juices were assumed to contain 75 percent water. Total fluids include total water in addition to milk, ready-to-use infant formula, milk-based soups, carbonated soft drinks, alcoholic beverages, and canned fruit juices. These intake rates are presented in Table 3-17. Based on the average intake rates for total water for the two adult age groups, 1.04 and $1.26 \mathrm{~L} /$ day, the average adult intake rate is about $1.15 \mathrm{~L} /$ day. These rates should be more representative of the amount of source-specific water consumed than are total fluid intake rates. Because this study was designed to measure food intake, and it used both USDA 1978 data and NHANES II data, there was not necessarily a systematic attempt to define tapwater intake per se, as distinguished from bottled
water. For this reason, it is not considered a key tapwater study in this document.

| Table 3-17. Intake Rates of Total Fluids and Total Tapwater by Age Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Daily Consumption Rate (L/day) |  |  |
| Age Group | Total Fluids ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total Tapwater ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 6-11 months | 0.80 | 0.20 |
| 2 years | 0.99 | 0.50 |
| 14-16 years | 1.47 | 0.72 |
| 25-30 years | 1.76 | 1.04 |
| 60-65 years | 1.63 | 1.26 |
| a Includes milk, "ready-to-use" formula, milk-based soup, carbonated soda, alcoholic beverages, canned juices, water, coffee, tea, reconstituted juices, and reconstituted soups. Does not include reconstituted infant formula. <br> Includes water, coffee, tea, reconstituted juices, and reconstituted soups. <br> Source: Derived from Pennington, 1983. |  |  |

U.S. EPA (1984) - An Estimation of the Daily Average Food Intake by Age and Sex for Use in Assessing the Radionuclide Intake of the General Population - Using data collected by USDA in the 1977-78 NFCS, U.S. EPA (1984) determined daily food and beverage intake levels by age to be used in assessing radionuclide intake through food consumption. Tapwater, water-based drinks, and soups were identified subcategories of the total beverage category. Daily intake rates for tapwater, water-based drinks, soup, and total beverage are presented in Table 3-18. As seen in Table 3-18, mean tapwater
intake for different adult age groups (age 20 years and older) ranged from 0.62 to $0.76 \mathrm{~L} /$ day, water-based drinks intake ranged from 0.34 to $0.69 \mathrm{~L} /$ day, soup intake ranged from 0.03 to $0.06 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{day}$, and mean total beverage intake levels ranged from 1.48 to $1.73 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{day}$. Total tapwater intake rates were estimated by combining the average daily intakes of tapwater, water-based drinks, and soups for each age group. For adults (ages 20 years and older), mean total tapwater intake rates range from 1.04 to $1.47 \mathrm{~L} /$ day, and for children (ages <1 to 19 years), mean intake rates range from 0.19 to $0.90 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{day}$. These intake rates do not include reconstituted infant formula. The total tapwater intake rates, derived by combining data on tapwater, water-based drinks, and soup should be more representative of sourcespecific drinking water intake than the total beverage intake rates reported in this study. These intake rates are based on the same USDA NFCS data used in Ershow and Cantor (1989). Therefore, the data limitations discussed previously also apply to this study.

Cantor et al. (1987) - Bladder Cancer, Drinknig Water Source, and Tapwater Consumption - The National Cancer Institute (NCI), in a population-based, case control study investigating the possible relationship between bladder cancer and drinking water, interviewed approximately 8,000 adult white individuals, 21 to 84 years of age ( 2,805 cases and 5,258 controls) in their homes, using a standardized questionnaire (Cantor et al., 1987). The cases and controls resided in one of five metropolitan areas (Atlanta, Detroit, New Orleans, San

|  | Table 3-18. Mean and Standard Error for the Daily Intake of Beverages and Tapwater by Age |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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surface versus groundwater since a large fraction (24 percent) of municipal water intake in this survey could not be specifically attributed to either ground or surface water.

| Table 3-19. Average Total Tapwater Intake Rate by Sex Age, and Geographic Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group/Subgroup | Number of Respondents | Average Total Tapwater Intake, ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ L/day |
| Total group | 5,258 | 1.39 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Males | 3,892 | 1.40 |
| Females | 1,366 | 1.35 |
| Age, years |  |  |
| 21-44 | 291 | 1.30 |
| 45-64 | 1,991 | 1.48 |
| 65-84 | 2,976 | 1.33 |
| Geographic area |  |  |
| Atlanta | 207 | 1.39 |
| Connecticut | 844 | 1.37 |
| Detroit | 429 | 1.33 |
| Iowa | 743 | 1.61 |
| New Jersey | 1,542 | 1.27 |
| New Mexico | 165 | 1.49 |
| New Orleans | 112 | 1.61 |
| San Francisco | 621 | 1.36 |
| Seattle | 316 | 1.44 |
| Utah | 279 | 1.35 |
| a Standard deviations not reported in Cantor et al. (1987). <br> b Total tapwater defined as all water and beverages derived from tapwater. <br> Source: Cantor et al., 1987. |  |  |


| Table 3-20. Frequency Distribution of Total Tapwater Intake Rates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumption <br> Rate (L/day) | Frequency ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) | Cumulative Frequency ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) |
| $\leq 0.80$ | 20.6 | 20.6 |
| 0.81-1.12 | 21.3 | 41.9 |
| 1.13-1.44 | 20.5 | 62.4 |
| 1.45-1.95 | 19.5 | 81.9 |
| $\geq 1.96$ | 18.1 | 100.0 |
| a Represents consumption of tapwater and beverages derived from tapwater in a "typical" winter week. <br> b Extracted from Table 3 in Cantor et al. (1987). |  |  |
| Source: Cantor, et al., 1987. |  |  |

AIHC (1994) - Exposure Factors Handbook - The Exposure Factors Sourcebook (AIHC, 1994) presented
drinking water intake rate recommendations for adults. Although AIHC (1994) provided little information on the studies used to derive mean and upper percentile recommendations, the references indicate that several of the studies used were the same as ones categorized as relevant studies in this handbook. The mean adult drinking water recommendations in AIHC (1994) and this handbook are in agreement. However, the upper percentile value recommended by AIHC (1994) ( $2.0 \mathrm{~L} /$ day) is slightly lower than that recommended by this handbook (2.4 L/day). Based on data provided by Ershow and Cantor (1989), 2.0 L/day corresponds to only approximately the 84th percentile of the drinking water intake rate distribution. Thus, a slightly higher value is appropriate for representing the upper percentile (i.e., 90 to 95 th percentile) of the distribution. AIHC (1994) also presents simulated distributions of drinking water intake based on Roseberry and Burmaster (1992). These distributions are also described in detail in Section 3.2 of this handbook. AIHC (1994) has been classified as a relevant rather than a key study because it is not the primary source for the data used
to make recommendations for this document.
USDA (1995) - Food and Nutrient Intakes by Individuals in the United States, 1 Day, 1989-91. - USDA (1995) collected data on the quantity of "plain drinking water" and various other beverages consumed by individuals in 1 day during 1989 through 1991. The data were collected as part of USDA's Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII). The data used to estimate mean per capita intake rates combined one-day dietary recall data from 3 survey years: 1989, 1990, and 1991 during which 15,128 individuals supplied one-day intake data. Individuals from all income levels in the 48 conterminous states and Washington D.C. were included in the sample. A complex three-stage sampling design was employed and the overall response rate for the study was 58 percent. To minimize the biasing effects of the low response rate and adjust for the seasonality, a series of weighting factors was incorporated into the data analysis. The intake rates based on this study are presented in Table 3-21. Table 3-21 includes data for: a) "plain drinking water", which might be assumed to mean tapwater directly

| Sex and Age (years) | Plain Drinking Water | Coffee | Tea | Fruit Drinks and Ades ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males and Females: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1 | 194 | 0 | $<0.5$ | 17 | 211.5 |
| 1-2 | 333 | <0.5 | 9 | 85 | 427.5 |
| 3-5 | 409 | 2 | 26 | 100 | 537 |
| 5 \& Under | 359 | 1 | 17 | 86 | 463 |
| Males: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 537 | 2 | 44 | 114 | 697 |
| 12-19 | 725 | 12 | 95 | 104 | 936 |
| 20-29 | 842 | 168 | 136 | 101 | 1,247 |
| 30-39 | 793 | 407 | 136 | 50 | 1,386 |
| 40-49 | 745 | 534 | 149 | 53 | 1,481 |
| 50-59 | 755 | 551 | 168 | 51 | 1,525 |
| 60-69 | 946 | 506 | 115 | 34 | 1,601 |
| 70-79 | 824 | 430 | 115 | 45 | 1,414 |
| 80 and over | 747 | 326 | 165 | 57 | 1,295 |
| 20 and over | 809 | 408 | 139 | 60 | 1,416 |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 476 | 1 | 40 | 86 | 603 |
| 12-19 | 604 | 21 | 87 | 87 | 799 |
| 20-29 | 739 | 154 | 120 | 61 | 1,074 |
| 30-39 | 732 | 317 | 136 | 59 | 1,244 |
| 40-49 | 781 | 412 | 174 | 36 | 1,403 |
| 50-59 | 819 | 438 | 137 | 37 | 1,431 |
| 60-69 | 829 | 429 | 124 | 36 | 1,418 |
| 70-79 | 772 | 324 | 161 | 34 | 1,291 |
| 80 and over | 856 | 275 | 149 | 28 | 1,308 |
| 20 and over | 774 | 327 | 141 | 46 | 1,288 |
| All individuals | 711 | 260 | 114 | 65 | 1,150 |

a Includes regular and low calorie fruit drinks, punches, and ades, including those made from powdered mix and frozen concentrate. Excludes fruit juices and carbonated drinks.
Source: USDA, 1995.
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consumed rather than bottled water; b) coffee and tea, which might be assumed to be constituted from tapwater; and 3 ) fruit drinks and ades, which might be assumed to be reconstituted from tapwater rather than canned products; and 4) the total of the three sources. With these assumptions, the mean per capita total intake of water is estimated to be $1,416 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day for adult males (i.e., 20 years of age and older), $1,288 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day for adult females (i.e., 20 years of age and older) and $1,150 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day for all ages and both sexes combined. Although these assumptions appear reasonable, a close reading of the definitions used by USDA (1995) reveals that the word "tapwater" does not occur, and this uncertainty prevents the use of this study as a key study of tapwater intake.

The advantages of using these data are that; 1) the survey had a large sample size; 2) the authors attempted to represent the general United States population by oversampling low-income groups and by weighting the data to compensate for low response rates; and 3) it reflects more recent intake data than the key studies. The disadvantages are that: 1) the response rate was low; 2) the word "tapwater" was not defined and the assumptions that must be used in order to compare the data with the other tapwater studies might not be valid; 3) the data collection period reflects only a one-day intake period, and may not reflect long-term drinking water intake patterns; and 4) data on the percentiles of the distribution of intakes were not given.

Tsang and Klepeis (1996) - National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS) - The U.S. EPA collected information on the number of glasses of drinking water and juice reconstituted with tapwater consumed by the general population as part of the National Human Activity Pattern Survey (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996). NHAPS was conducted between October 1992 and September 1994. Over 9,000 individuals in the 48 contiguous United States provided data on the duration and frequency of selected activities and the time spent in selected microenvironments via 24 -hour diaries. Over 4,000 NHAPS respondents also provided information of the number of 8 -ounce glasses of water and the number of 8 -ounce glasses of juice reconstituted with water than they drank during the 24 -hour survey period (Tables 3-22 and 3-23). The median number of glasses of tapwater consumed was 1-2 and the median number of glasses of juice with tapwater consumed was 1-2.

For both individuals who drank tapwater and individuals who drank juices reconstituted with tapwater, the number of glasses ranged from 1 to 20 . The highest percentage of the population ( 37.1 percent) who drank tapwater consumed 3-5 glasses and the highest percentage of the population ( 51.5 percent) who consumed juice reconstituted with tapwater drank 1-2 glasses. Based on the assumption that each glass contained 8 ounces of water $(226.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, the total volume of tapwater and juice with tapwater consumed would range from $0.23 \mathrm{~L} /$ day ( 1 glass) to $4.5 \mathrm{~L} /$ day ( 20 glasses) for respondents who drank tapwater. Using the same assumption, the volume of tapwater consumed for the population who consumed 3-5 glasses would be $0.68 \mathrm{~L} /$ day to $1.13 \mathrm{~L} /$ day and the volume of juice with tapwater consumed for the population who consumed 1-2 glasses would be $0.23 \mathrm{~L} /$ day to $0.46 \mathrm{~L} /$ day. Assuming that the average individual consumes 3-5 glasses of tapwater plus 1-2 glasses of juice with tapwater, the range of total tapwater intake for this individual would range from $0.9 \mathrm{~L} /$ day to $1.64 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{day}$. These values are consistent with the average intake rates observed in other studies.

The advantages of NHAPS is that the data were collected for a large number of individuals and that the data are representative of the U.S. population. However, evaluation of drinking water intake rates was not the primary purpose of the study and the data do not reflect the total volume of tapwater consumed. However, using the assumptions described above, the estimated drinking water intake rates from this study are within the same ranges observed for other drinking water studies.

### 3.4. PREGNANT AND LACTATING WOMEN

Ershow et al. (1991) - Intake of Tapwater and Total Water by Pregnant and Lactating Women - Ershow et al. (1991) used data from the 1977-78 USDA NFCS to estimate total fluid and total tapwater intake among pregnant and lactating women (ages 15-49 years). Data for 188 pregnant women, 77 lactating women, and 6,201 nonpregnant, non-lactating control women were evaluated. The participants were interviewed based on 24 hour recall, and then asked to record a food diary for the next 2 days. "Tapwater" included tapwater consumed directly as a beverage and tapwater used to prepare food and tapwaterbased beverages. "Total water" was defined as all water from tapwater and non-tapwater sources, including water contained in food. Estimated total fluid and total tapwater intake rates for the three groups are

| Population Group | Total N | Number of Glasses in a Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-9 | 10-19 | 20+ | DK |
| Overall | 4,663 | 1,334 | 1,225 | 1,253 | 500 | 151 | 31 | 138 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 2,163 | 604 | 582 | 569 | 216 | 87 | 25 | 65 |
| Female | 2,498 | 728 | 643 | 684 | 284 | 64 | 6 | 73 |
| Refused | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 263 | 114 | 96 | 40 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 5-11 | 348 | 90 | 127 | 86 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 20 |
| 12-17 | 326 | 86 | 109 | 88 | 22 | 7 | - | 11 |
| 18-64 | 2,972 | 908 | 751 | 769 | 334 | 115 | 26 | 54 |
| > 64 | 670 | 117 | 127 | 243 | 112 | 20 | 2 | 42 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3,774 | 1,048 | 1,024 | 1,026 | 416 | 123 | 25 | 92 |
| Black | 463 | 147 | 113 | 129 | 38 | 9 | 1 | 21 |
| Asian | 77 | 25 | 18 | 23 | 6 | 1 | - | 4 |
| Some Others | 96 | 36 | 18 | 22 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Hispanic | 193 | 63 | 42 | 40 | 28 | 10 | 2 | 7 |
| Refused | 60 | 15 | 10 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4,244 | 1,202 | 1,134 | 1,162 | 451 | 129 | 26 | 116 |
| Yes | 347 | 116 | 80 | 73 | 41 | 18 | 4 | 13 |
| DK | 26 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Refused | 46 | 11 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 2,017 | 637 | 525 | 497 | 218 | 72 | 18 | 40 |
| Part-time | 379 | 90 | 94 | 120 | 50 | 13 | 7 | 5 |
| Not Employed | 1,309 | 313 | 275 | 413 | 188 | 49 | 3 | 54 |
| Refused | 32 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < High School | 399 | 89 | 95 | 118 | 51 | 14 | 2 | 28 |
| High School Graduate | 1,253 | 364 | 315 | 330 | 132 | 52 | 13 | 37 |
| < College | 895 | 258 | 197 | 275 | 118 | 31 | 5 | 9 |
| College Graduate | 650 | 195 | 157 | 181 | 82 | 19 | 4 | 6 |
| Post Graduate | 445 | 127 | 109 | 113 | 62 | 16 | 3 | 12 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 1,048 | 351 | 262 | 266 | 95 | 32 | 7 | 28 |
| Midwest | 1,036 | 243 | 285 | 308 | 127 | 26 | 9 | 33 |
| South | 1,601 | 450 | 437 | 408 | 165 | 62 | 11 | 57 |
| West | 978 | 290 | 241 | 271 | 113 | 31 | 4 | 20 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 3,156 | 864 | 840 | 862 | 334 | 96 | 27 | 106 |
| Weekend | 1,507 | 470 | 385 | 391 | 166 | 55 | 4 | 32 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 1,264 | 398 | 321 | 336 | 128 | 45 | 5 | 26 |
| Spring | 1,181 | 337 | 282 | 339 | 127 | 33 | 10 | 40 |
| Summer | 1,275 | 352 | 323 | 344 | 155 | 41 | 9 | 40 |
| Fall | 943 | 247 | 299 | 234 | 90 | 32 | 7 | 32 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4,287 | 1,232 | 1,137 | 1,155 | 459 | 134 | 29 | 115 |
| Yes | 341 | 96 | 83 | 91 | 40 | 16 | 1 | 13 |
| DK | 35 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4,500 | 1,308 | 1,195 | 1,206 | 470 | 143 | 29 | 123 |
| Yes | 125 | 18 | 25 | 40 | 27 | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| DK | 38 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4,424 | 1,280 | 1,161 | 1,189 | 474 | 142 | 29 | 124 |
| Yes | 203 | 48 | 55 | 58 | 24 | 9 | 1 | 5 |
| DK | 36 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 9 |
| NOTE: "•" = Missing Data <br> "DK" = Don't know <br> $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size <br> Refused $=$ respondent refused to answer <br> Source: Tsang and Kleipeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Population Group | Total N | Number of Glasses in a Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-9 | 10-19 | 20+ | DK |
| Overall | 4,663 | 1,877 | 1,418 | 933 | 241 | 73 | 21 | 66 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 2,163 | 897 | 590 | 451 | 124 | 35 | 17 | 33 |
| Female | 2,498 | 980 | 826 | 482 | 117 | 38 | 4 | 33 |
| Refused | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Age (years) 7106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 263 | 126 | 71 | 48 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| 5-11 | 348 | 123 | 140 | 58 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 11 |
| 12-17 | 326 | 112 | 118 | 63 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 4 |
| 18-64 | 2,972 | 1,277 | 817 | 614 | 155 | 46 | 16 | 30 |
| > 64 | 670 | 206 | 252 | 133 | 43 | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3,774 | 1,479 | 1,168 | 774 | 216 | 57 | 16 | 44 |
| Black | 463 | 200 | 142 | 83 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 7 |
| Asian | 77 | 33 | 27 | 15 | 1 | - | - | 0 |
| Some Others | 96 | 46 | 19 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Hispanic | 193 | 95 | 51 | 30 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| Refused | 60 | 24 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | 9 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4,244 | 1,681 | 1,318 | 863 | 226 | 64 | 17 | 49 |
| Yes | 347 | 165 | 87 | 61 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 7 |
| DK | 26 | 11 | 6 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 3 |
| Refused | 46 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 7 |
| Employment 807103030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 2,017 | 871 | 559 | 412 | 103 | 32 | 9 | 20 |
| Part-time | 379 | 156 | 102 | 88 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Not Employed | 1,309 | 479 | 426 | 265 | 75 | 20 | 7 | 21 |
| Refused | 32 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < High School | 399 | 146 | 131 | 82 | 25 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
| High School Graduate | 1,253 | 520 | 355 | 254 | 68 | 21 | 7 | 17 |
| < College | 895 | 367 | 253 | 192 | 47 | 18 | 5 | 11 |
| College Graduate | 650 | 274 | 201 | 125 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 5 |
| Post Graduate | 445 | 182 | 130 | 92 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Census Region 4000513 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 1,048 | 440 | 297 | 220 | 51 | 13 | 4 | 15 |
| Midwest | 1,036 | 396 | 337 | 200 | 63 | 17 | 4 | 14 |
| South | 1,601 | 593 | 516 | 332 | 84 | 26 | 10 | 28 |
| West | 978 | 448 | 268 | 181 | 43 | 17 | 3 | 9 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 3,156 | 1,261 | 969 | 616 | 162 | 51 | 11 | 46 |
| Weekend | 1,507 | 616 | 449 | 307 | 79 | 22 | 10 | 20 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 1,264 | 529 | 382 | 245 | 66 | 23 | 4 | 10 |
| Spring | 1,181 | 473 | 382 | 215 | 54 | 19 | 8 | 17 |
| Summer | 1,275 | 490 | 389 | 263 | 68 | 18 | 6 | 28 |
| Fall | 943 | 385 | 265 | 210 | 53 | 13 | 3 | 11 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4,287 | 1,734 | 1,313 | 853 | 216 | 69 | 20 | 55 |
| Yes | 341 | 130 | 102 | 74 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| DK | 35 | 13 | 3 | 6 | - | 1 | - | 6 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4,500 | 1,834 | 1,362 | 900 | 231 | 67 | 20 | 59 |
| Yes | 125 | 31 | 53 | 25 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| DK | 38 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 1 | - | 6 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4,424 | 1,782 | 1,361 | 882 | 230 | 65 | 21 | 57 |
| Yes | 203 | 84 | 53 | 44 | 10 | 6 | - | 3 |
| DK | 36 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 2 | - | 6 |
| NOTE: "••" = Missing Data <br> "DK" = Don't know <br> $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size <br> Refused $=$ Respondent refused to answer <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

presented in Tables 3-24 and 3-25, respectively. Lactating women had the highest mean total fluid intake rate (2.24 L/day) compared with both pregnant women (2.08 L/day) and control women ( $1.94 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $)$. Lactating women also had a higher mean total tapwater intake rate ( $1.31 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $)$ than pregnant women ( $1.19 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $)$ and control women ( 1.16 L/day). The tapwater distributions are neither normal nor lognormal, but lactating women had a higher mean tapwater intake than controls and pregnant women. Ershow et al. (1991) also reported that rural women $(\mathrm{n}=1,885)$ consumed more total water ( $1.99 \mathrm{~L} /$ day) and tapwater (1.24 L/day) than urban/suburban women ( $\mathrm{n}=4,581,1.93$ and 1.13 L/day, respectively). Total water and tapwater intake rates were lowest in the northeastern region of the United States (1.82 and $1.03 \mathrm{~L} /$ day) and highest in the western region of the United States ( $2.06 \mathrm{~L} /$ day and $1.21 \mathrm{~L} /$ day). Mean intake per unit body weight was highest among lactating women for both total fluid and total tapwater intake. Total tapwater intake accounted for over 50 percent of mean total fluid in all
these data sets (Section 3.2). A further advantage of this study is that it provides information on estimates of total waterand tapwater intake rates for pregnant and lactating women. This topic has rarely been addressed in the literature.

### 3.5. HIGH ACTIVITY LEVELS/HOT CLIMATES McNall and Schlegel (1968) - Practical Thermal

 Environmental Limits for Young Adult Males Working in Hot, Humid Environments - McNall and Schlegel (1968) conducted a study that evaluated the physiological tolerance of adult males working under varying degrees of physical activity. Subjects were required to pedal pedal-driven propeller fans for 8 -hour work cycles under varying environmental conditions. The activity pattern for each individual was: cycled at 15 minute pedalling and 15 miute rest for each 8 -hour period. Two groups of eight subjects each were used. Work rates were divided into three categories as follows: high activity level [ 0.15 horsepower (hp) per person], medium activity level (0.1| Table 3-24. Total Fluid Intake of Women 15-49 Years Old |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percentile Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reproductive Status ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | Standard Deviation | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 |
| mL/day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 1940 | 686 | 995 | 1172 | 1467 | 1835 | 2305 | 2831 | 3186 |
| Pregnant | 2076 | 743 | 1085 | 1236 | 1553 | 1928 | 2444 | 3028 | 3475 |
| Lactating | 2242 | 658 | 1185 | 1434 | 1833 | 2164 | 2658 | 3169 | 3353 |
| $\underline{\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{kg} / \text { day }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 32.3 | 12.3 | 15.8 | 18.5 | 23.8 | 30.5 | 38.7 | 48.4 | 55.4 |
| Pregnant | 32.1 | 11.8 | 16.4 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 30.5 | 40.4 | 48.9 | 53.5 |
| Lactating | 37.0 | 11.6 | 19.6 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 35.1 | 45.0 | 53.7 | 59.2 |

${ }^{a}$ Number of observations: nonpregnant, nonlactating controls ( $n=6,201$ ); pregnant ( $n=188$ ); lactating ( $n=77$ ).
Source: Ershow et al., 1991.
three groups of women (Table 3-25). Drinking water accounted for the largest single proportion of the total fluid intake for control ( 30 percent), pregnant ( 34 percent), and lactating women ( 30 percent) (Table 3-26). All other beverages combined accounted for approximately 46 percent, 43 percent, and 45 percent of the total water intake for control, pregnant, and lactating women, respectively. Food accounted for the remaining portion of total water intake.

The same advantages and limitations associated with the Ershow and Cantor (1989) data also apply to
hp per person), and low activity level ( 0.05 hp per person). Evidence of physical stress (i.e., increased body temperature, blood pressure, etc.) was recorded, and individuals were eliminated from further testing if certain stress criteria were met. The amount of water consumed by the test subjects during the work cycles was also recorded. Water was provided to the individuals on request. The water intake rates obtained at the three different activity levels and the various environmental temperatures are presented in Table 3-27. The data
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| Table 3-25. Total Tapwater Intake of Women 15-49 Years Old |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reproductive Status ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation | Percentile Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 |
| mL/day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 1157 | 635 | 310 | 453 | 709 | 1065 | 1503 | 1983 | 2310 |
| Pregnant | 1189 | 699 | 274 | 419 | 713 | 1063 | 1501 | 2191 | 2424 |
| Lactating | 1310 | 591 | 430 | 612 | 855 | 1330 | 1693 | 1945 | 2191 |
| $\underline{\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 19.1 | 10.8 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 11.7 | 17.3 | 24.4 | 33.1 | 39.1 |
| Pregnant | 18.3 | 10.4 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 10.7 | 16.4 | 23.8 | 34.5 | 39.6 |
| Lactating | 21.4 | 9.8 | 7.4 | 9.8 | 14.8 | 20.5 | 26.8 | 35.1 | 37.4 |
| Fraction of daily fluid intake that is tapwater (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 57.2 | 18.0 | 24.6 | 32.2 | 45.9 | 59.0 | 70.7 | 79.0 | 83.2 |
| Pregnant | 54.1 | 18.2 | 21.2 | 27.9 | 42.9 | 54.8 | 67.6 | 76.6 | 83.2 |
| Lactating | 57.0 | 15.8 | 27.4 | 38.0 | 49.5 | 58.1 | 65.9 | 76.4 | 80.5 |



| Table 3-27. Water Intake at Various Activity Levels (L/hr) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Room } \\ \text { Temperature }{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Activity Level |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | High ( $0.15 \mathrm{hp} / \mathrm{man})^{\text {c }}$ |  | Medium ( $0.10 \mathrm{hp} / \mathrm{man}$ ) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Low (0.05 hp/man) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  | No. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Intake | No. | Intake | No. | Intake |
| 100 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.653 \\ & (0.75) \end{aligned}$ |
| 95 | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.540 \\ & (0.31) \end{aligned}$ | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.345 \\ & (0.59) \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ (0.31) \end{gathered}$ |
| 90 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.286 \\ & (0.26) \end{aligned}$ | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.385 \\ & (0.26) \end{aligned}$ | 16 | $\begin{gathered} 0.23 \\ (0.20) \end{gathered}$ |
| 85 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.218 \\ & (0.36) \end{aligned}$ | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.213 \\ & (0.20) \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- |
| 80 | 16 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.222 \\ (0.14) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| a Data expressed as mean intake with standard deviation in parentheses. <br> b Humidity $=80$ percent; air velocity $=60 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{min}$. <br> c The symbol "hp" refers to horsepower. <br> d Number of subjects with continuous data. <br> Source: $\quad$ McNall and Schlegel, 1968. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

presented are for test subjects with continuous data only (i.e., those test subjects who were not eliminated at any stage of the study as a result of stress conditions). Water intake was the highest at all activity levels when environmental temperatures were increased. The highest intake rate was observed at the low activity level at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ( $0.65 \mathrm{~L} /$ hour) however, there were no data for higher activity levels at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. It should be noted that this study estimated intake on an hourly basis during various levels of physical activity. These hourly intake rates cannot be converted to daily intake rates by multiplying by 24 hours/day because they are only representative of intake during the specified activity levels and the intake rates for the rest of the day are not known. Therefore, comparison of intake rate values from this study cannot be made with values from the previously described studies on drinking water intake.

United States Army (1983) - Water Consumption Planning Factors Study - The U.S. Army has developed water consumption planning factors to enable them to transport an adequate amount of water to soldiers in the field under various conditions (U.S. Army, 1983). Both
climate and activity levels were used to determine the appropriate water consumption needs. Consumption factors have been established for the following uses: 1) drinking, 2) heat treatment, 3) personal hygiene, 4) centralized hygiene, 5) food preparation, 6) laundry, 7) medical treatment, 8) vehicle and aircraft maintenance, 9) graves registration, and 10) construction. Only personal drinking water consumption factors are described here.

Drinking water consumption planning factors are based on the estimated amount of water needed to replace fluids lost by urination, perspiration, and respiration. It assumes that water lost to urinary output averages one quart/day ( $0.9 \mathrm{~L} /$ day) and perspiration losses range from almost nothing in a controlled environment to 1.5 quarts/day (1.4 L/day) in a very hot climate where individuals are performing strenuous work. Water losses to respiration are typically very low except in extreme cold where water losses can range from 1 to 3 quarts/day ( 0.9 to $2.8 \mathrm{~L} /$ day). This occurs when the humidity of inhaled air is near zero, but expired air is 98 percent saturated at body temperature (U.S. Army, 1983). Drinking water is defined by the U.S. Army (1983)
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as "all fluids consumed by individuals to satisfy body needs for internal water." This includes soups, hot and cold drinks, and tapwater. Planning factors have been established for hot, temperate, and cold climates based on the following mixture of activities among the work force: 15 percent of the force performing light work, 65 percent of the force performing medium work, and 20 percent of the force performing heavy work. Hot climates are defined as tropical and arid areas where the temperature is greater than $80^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Temperate climates are defined as areas where the mean daily temperature ranges from $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Cold regions are areas where the mean daily temperature is less than $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Drinking water consumption factors for these three climates are presented in Table 3-28. These factors are based on research on individuals and small unit training exercises. The estimates are assumed to be conservative because they are rounded up to account for the subjective nature of the activity mix and minor water losses that are not considered (U.S. Army, 1983). The advantage of using these data is that they provide a conservative estimate of drinking water intake among individuals performing at various levels of physical activity in hot, temperate, and cold climates. However, the planning factors described here are based on assumptions about water loss from urination, perspiration, and respiration, and are not based on survey data or actual measurements.

### 3.6. RECOMMENDATIONS

The key studies described in this section were used in selecting recommended drinking water (tapwater) consumption rates for adults and children. The studies on other subpopulations were not classified as key versus
relevant. Although different survey designs and populations were utilized by key and relevant studies described in this report, the mean and upper-percentile estimates reported in these studies are reasonably similar. The general design of both key and relevant studies and their limitations are summarized in Table 3-29. It should be noted that studies that surveyed large representative samples of the population provide more reliable estimates of intake rates for the general population. Most of the surveys described here are based on short-term recall which may be biased toward excess intake rates. However, Cantor et al. (1987) noted that retrospective dietary assessments generally produce moderate correlations with "reference data from the past." A summary of the recommended values for drinking water intake rates is presented in Table 3-30.

Adults - The total tapwater consumption rates for adults (older than 18 or 20 years) that have been reported in the key surveys can be summarized in Table 3-31. For comparison, values for daily tapwater intake for the relevant studies are shown in Table 3-32.

Note that both Ershow and Cantor (1989) and Pennington (1983) found that adults above 60 years of age had larger intakes than younger adults. This is difficult to reconcile with the Cantor et al. (1987) study because the latter, older population had a smaller average intake. Because of these results, combined with the fact that the Cantor et al. (1987) study was not intended to be representative of the U. S. population, it is not included here in the determination of the recommended value. The

Table 3-28. Planning Factors for Individual Tapwater Consumption

| Environmental Condition | Recommended Planning Factor (gal/day) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Recommended Planning Factor (L/day) ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hot | $3.0^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 11.4 |
| Temperate | $1.5^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 5.7 |
| Cold | $2.0^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 7.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on a mix of activities among the work force as follows: $15 \%$ light work; $65 \%$ medium work; $20 \%$ heavy work. These factors apply to the conventional battlefield where no nuclear, biological, or chemical weapons are used.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Converted from gal/day to L/day.
c This assumes 1 quart/12-hour rest period/man for perspiration losses and 1 quart/day/man for urination plus 6 quarts/12-hours light work/man, 9 quarts/12-hours moderate work/man, and 12 quarts/12-hours heavy work/man.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ This assumes 1 quart/12-hour rest period/man for perspiration losses and 1 quart/day/man for urination plus 1 quart/12-hours light work/man, 3 quarts/12-hours moderate work/man, and 6 quarts/12-hours heavy work/man.
e This assumes 1 quart/12-hour rest period/man for perspiration losses, 1 quart/day/man for urination, and 2 quarts/day/man for respiration losses plus 1 quart/12-hours light work/man, 3 quarts/12-hours moderate work/man, and 6 quarts/6-hours heavy work/man.

Source: U.S. Army, 1983.
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Table 3-29. Drinking Water Intake Surveys

| Study | Number of Individuals | Type of Water Consumed | Time Period/ Survey Type | Population Surveyed | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KEY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canadian Ministry of National Health and Welfare, 1981 | 970 | Total tapwater consumption | Weekday and weekend day in both summer and winter; estimation based on sizes and types of containers used | All ages; Canada | Seasonal data; includes many tapwater-containing items not commonly surveyed; possible bias because identification of vessel size used as survey techniques; short-term study |
| Ershow and Cantor, 1989 | Based on data from NFCS; approximately 30,000 individuals | Total tapwater; total fluid consumption | 3-day recall, diaries | All ages; large sample representative of U.S. population | Short-term recall data; seasonally balanced data |
| Rosenberry and Burmaster, 1992 | Based on data from Ershow and Cantor, 1989 | Total tapwater; total fluid consumption | 3-day recall, diaries | All ages; large sample representative of US population | Short-term recall data; seasonally balanced; suitable for Monte Carlo simulations |
| RELEVANT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cantor et al., 1987 | 5,258 | Total tapwater; total fluid consumption | 1 week/usual intake in winter based on recall | Adults only; weighted toward older adults; U.S. population | Based on recall of behavior from previous winter; short-term data; population not representative of general U.S. population |
| Gillies and Paulin, 1983 | 109 | Total tapwater consumption | 24 hours; duplicate water samples collected | Adults only; New Zealand | Based on short-term data |
| Hopkin and Ellis, 1980 | 3,564 | Total tapwater, total liquid consumption | 1 week period, diaries | All ages; Great Britain | Short-term diary data |
| ICRP, 1981 | Based on data from several sources | Water and water-based drinks; milk; total fluids | $N A^{\text {a }}$ | $N A^{\text {a }}$ | Survey design and intake categories not clearly defined |
| NAS, 1977 | Calculated average based on several sources | Average per capita "liquid" consumption | $N A^{\text {a }}$ | $N A^{\text {a }}$ | Total tapwater not reported; population and survey design not reported |



| Table 3-29. Drinking Water Intake Surveys (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Number of Individuals | Type of Water Consumed | Time Period/ Survey Type | Population Surveyed | Comments |
| Pennington, 1983 | Based on NFCS and NHANES II; approximately 30,000 and 20,000 participants, respectively | Total tapwater; total fluid consumption | NFCS:24-hour recall on 2-day dairy; NHANES II:24-hour recall | NFCS: 1 month to 97 years; NHANES II: 6 months to 74 years; representative samples of U.S. population | Based on short-term recall data |
| USDA, 1995 | Based on 89-91 CSF11; approximately 15,000 individuals | Plain drinking water, coffee, tea, fruit drinks and ades | 1-day recall | All ages, large sample representative of U.S. population | Short-term recall data; seasonally adjusted |
| U.S. EPA, 1984 | Based on NFCS; <br> approximately 30,000 individuals | Tapwater; water based foods and beverages; soups; beverage consumption | 3-day recall, diaries | All ages; large sample representative of U.S. population | Short-term recall data; seasonally balanced |
| U.S. EPA, 1995 | Over 4,000 participants of NHAPS | Number of glasses of drinking water and juice with tapwater | 24-hour diaries | All ages, large representative sample of U.S. population | Does not provide data on the volume of tapwater consumed |
| McNall and <br> Schlegel, 1968 | Based on 2 groups of 8 subjects each | Tapwater | 8-hour work cycle | Males between 17-25 years of age; small sample; high activity levels/hot climates | Based on short-term data |
| U.S. Army, 1983 | NA | All fluids consumed to satisfy body needs for internal water; includes soups, hot and cold drinks and tapwater | NA | High activity levels/hot climates | Study designed to provide water consumption planning factors for various activities and field conditions; based on estimated amount of water required to account for losses from urination, perspiration, and respiration |

Not applicable
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| Table 3-30. Summary of Recommended Drinking Water Intake Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |
| Age Group/ Population | Mean | 50th | 90th | 95th | Multiple | Fitted <br> Distributions |
| <1 year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.30 \mathrm{~L} /$ day <br> $44 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $0.24 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $35 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $0.65 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $102 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $0.76 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $127 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tables 3-6, } \\ & 3-7 \text {, and 3-8 } \end{aligned}$ | Table 3-11 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| <3 years ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.61 L/day | -- | $1.5 \mathrm{~L} /$ day | -- | Table3-3 |  |
| 3-5 years ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.87 \mathrm{~L} /$ day | -- | 1.5 L/day | -- | Table3-3 |  |
| $1-10$ years $^{\text {a }}$ | 0.74 L/day <br> $35 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | 0.66 L/day <br> $31 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | 1.3 L/day $64 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | 1.5 L/day $79.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tables 3-6, } \\ & 3-7 \text {, and 3-8 } \end{aligned}$ | Table 3-11 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 11-19 years ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.97 \mathrm{~L} /$ day <br> $18 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $0.87 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $16 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $1.7 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $32 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | 2.0 L/day $40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tables 3-6, } \\ & 3-7 \text {, and 3-8 } \end{aligned}$ | Table 3-11 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Adults ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1.4 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $21 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $1.3 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $19 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | 2.3 L/day $34 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tables 3-6, } \\ & 3-7 \text {, and 3-8 } \end{aligned}$ | Table 3-11 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Pregnant Women ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.2 L/day $18.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | 1.1 L/day $16 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | 2.2 L/day $35 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \mathrm{~L} / \text { day } \\ 40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg} \text {-day } \end{gathered}$ | Table 3-25 |  |
| Lactating Women ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $1.3 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $21.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $1.3 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $21 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | $1.9 \mathrm{~L} /$ day $35 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | 2.2 L/day $37 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day | Table 3-25 |  |
| Adults in High <br> Activity/Hot Climate Conditions ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0.21 to $0.65 \mathrm{~L} /$ hour, depending on ambient temperature and activity level; see Table 3-27. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Active Adults ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | $6 \mathrm{~L} /$ day (temperate climate) to $11 \mathrm{~L} /$ day (hot climate); see Table 3-28. |  |  |  |  |  |
| a Source: Ershow and Cantor, 1989 <br> b Source: Roseberry and Burmaster, 1992 <br> c Source: Canadian Ministry of Health and Welfare, 1981 <br> d Ershow et al. (1991) presented data for pregnant women, lactating women, and control women. <br> e Source: McNall and Schlegal, 1968 <br> f Source: U.S. Army, 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 3-31. Total Tapwater Consumption Rates From Key Studies |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Mean <br> (L/day) | 90th <br> Percentile <br> (L/day) | Number in <br> Survey | Reference |
| 1.38 | 2.41 | 639 | Canadian Ministry of Health <br> and Welfare, 1981 <br> Ershow and Cantor, 1989 |
| 1.41 | 2.28 | 11,731 | End |


| Table 3-32. Daily Tapwater Intake Rates From Relevant Studies |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean (L/day) | 90th <br> Percentile | Reference |
| $1.30^{\text {a }}$ | 2.40 | Cantor et al., 1987 |
| 1.63 (calculated) | -- | NAS, 1977 |
| 1.25 | 1.90 | Gillies and Paulin, 1983 |
| 1.04 (25 to 30 yrs ) | -- | Pennington, 1983 |
| 1.26 (60 to 65 yrs ) | -- | Pennington, 1983 |
| 1.04-1.47 (ages 20+) | -- | U.S. EPA, 1984 |
| 1.37 (20 to 64 yrs ) | 2.27 | Ershow and Cantor, 1989 |
| 1.46 (65+yrs) | 2.29 | Ershow and Cantor, 1989 |
| 1.15 | -- | USDA, 1995 |
| 1.07 | 1.87 | Hopkins and Ellis, 1980 |

USDA (1995) data are not included because tapwater was not defined in the survey and because the response rate was low, although the results (showing lower intakes than the studies based on older data) may be accurately reflecting an expected lower use of tapwater (compared to 1978) because of increasing use of bottled water and soft drinks in recent years.

A value of $1.41 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{day}$, which is the populationweighted mean of the two national studies (Ershow and Cantor, 1989 and Canadian Ministry of Health and Welfare, 1981) is the recommended average tapwater intake rate.

The average of the 90th percentile values from the same two studies ( $2.35 \mathrm{~L} /$ day) is recommended as the appropriate upper limit. (The commonly-used $2.0 \mathrm{~L} /$ day intake rate corresponds to the 84th percentile of the intake rate distribution among the adults in the Ershow and Cantor (1989) study). In keeping with the desire to incorporate body weight into exposure assessments without introducing extraneous errors, the values from the

Volume I - General Factors

## Chapter 3 - Drinking Water Intake

Ershow and Cantor (1989) study (Tables 3-7 and 3-8) expressed as $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day are recommended in preference to the liters/day units. For adults, the mean and 90th percentile values are $21 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day and $34.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day, respectively.

In the absence of actual data on chronic intake, the values in the previous paragraph are recommended as chronic values, although the chronic 90th upper percentile may very well be larger than $2.35 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{day}$. If a mathematical description of the intake distribution is needed, the parameters of lognormal fit to the Ershow and Cantor (1989) data (Tables 3-11 and 3-12) generated by Roseberry and Burmaster (1992) may be used. The simulated balanced population distribution of intakes generated by Roseberry and Burmaster is not recommended for use in the post-1997 time frame, since it corrects the 1978 data only for the differences in the age structure of the U.S. population between 1978 and $1988 . \quad$ T h e s e recommended values are different than the 2 liters/day commonly assumed in EPA risk assessments. Assessors are encouraged to use values which most accurately reflect the exposed population. When using values other than 2 liters/day, however, the assessors should consider if the dose estimate will be used to estimate risk by combining with a dose-response relationship which was derived assuming a tap water intake of 2 liters/day. If such an inconsistency exists, the assessor should adjust the doseresponse relationship as described in Appendix 1 of Chapter 1. IRIS does not use a tap water intake assumption in the derivation of RfCs and RfDs, but does make the 2 liter/day assumption in the derivation of cancer slope factors and unit risks.

Children - The tapwater intake rates for children reported in the key studies are summarized in Table 3-33.

The intake rates, as expressed as liters per day, generally increase with age, and the data are consistent across ages for the two key studies except for the Canadian Ministry of Health and Welfare (1981) data for ages 6 to 17 years; it is recommended that any of the liters/day values that match the age range of interest except the Canada data for ages 6 to 17 years be used. The $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{kg}$-day intake values show a consistent downward trend with increasing ages; using the Ershow and Cantor (1989) data in preference to the Canadian Ministry of National Health and Welfare (1981) data is recommended where the age ranges overlap.

The intakes for children as reported in the relevant studies are shown in Table 3-34.

| Table 3-33. Key Study Tapwater Intake Rates for Children |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age <br> (years) | Mean <br> (L/day) | 90th <br> Percentile <br> (L/day) | Reference |
| $<1$ | 0.30 | 0.65 | Ershow and Cantor, 1989 |
| $<3$ | 0.61 | 1.50 | Canadian Ministry of <br> National Health and <br> Welfare, 1981 |
| $3-5$ | 0.87 | 1.50 | Canadian Ministry of <br> National Health and <br> Welfare, 1981 |
| $1-10$ | 0.74 | 1.29 | Ershow and Cantor, 1989 <br> $6-17$ |
| 1.14 | 2.21 | Canadian Ministry of <br> National Health and <br> Welfare, 1981 |  |
| $11-19$ | 0.97 | 1.70 | Ershow and Cantor, 1989 |


|  | Table 3-34. Summary of Intake Rates for <br> Children in Relevant Studies |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | Mean <br> (L/day) | Reference |
| 6-11 months | 0.20 | Pennington, 1983 |
| $<1 \mathrm{yr}$ | 0.19 | U.S. EPA, 1984 |
| $<1 \mathrm{yr}$ | 0.32 | Roseberry and Burmaster, 1992 |
| 2 yrs | 0.50 | Pennington, 1983 |
| $1-4 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0.58 | U.S. EPA, 1984 |
| $5-9 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0.67 | U.S. EPA, 1984 |
| $1-10 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0.70 | Roseberry and Burmaster, 1992 |
| $10-14 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0.80 | U.S. EPA, 1984 |
| $14-16 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0.72 | Pennington, 1983 |
| $15-19 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0.90 | U.S. EPA, 1984 |
| $11-19 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0.91 | Roseberry and Burmaster, 1992 |

Disregarding the Roseberry and Burmaster study, which is a recalculation of the Ershow and Cantor (1989) study, the non-key studies generally have lower mean intake values than the Ershow and Cantor (1899) study. The reason is not known, but the results are not persuasive enough to discount the recommendations based on the latter study. Intake rates for specific percentiles of the distribution may be selected using the lognormal distribution data generated by Roseberry and Burmaster (1992) (Tables 3-11 and 3-12).

Pregnant and Lactating Women -The data on tapwater intakes for control, pregnant, and lactating
women are presented in Table 3-25. The recommended intake values are presented in Table 3-30.

High Activity/Hot Climates - Data on intake rates for individuals performing strenuous activities under various environmental conditions are limited. None of these is classed as a key study because the populations in these studies are not representative of the general U.S. population. However, the data presented by McNall and Schlegel (1968) and U.S. Army (1983) provide bounding intake values for these individuals. According to McNall and Schlegel (1968), hourly intake can range from 0.21 to $0.65 \mathrm{~L} /$ hour depending on the temperature and activity level. Intake among physically active individuals can range from $6 \mathrm{~L} /$ day in temperate climates to $11 \mathrm{~L} /$ day in hot climates (U.S. Army, 1983).

A characterization of the overall confidence in the accuracy and appropriateness of the recommendations for drinking water is presented in Table 3-35. Although the study of Ershow and Cantor (1989) is of high quality and consistent with the other surveys, the low currency of the information (1978 data collection), in the presence of anecdotal information (not presented here) that the consumption of bottled water and beverages has increased since 1980 was the main reason for lowering the confidence score of the overall recommendations from high to medium.
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| Table 3-35. Confidence in Tapwater Intake Recommendations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | The study of Ershow and Cantor (1989) had a thorough expert panel review. Review procedures were not reported in the Canadian study; it was a government report. Other reports presented are published in scientific journals. | High |
| - Accessibility | The two monographs are available from the sponsoring agencies; the others are library-accessible. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Methods are well-described. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The studies are directly relevant to tapwater. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | See "representativeness" below. | NA |
| - Primary data | The two monographs used recent primary data (less than one week) on recall of intake. | High |
| - Currency | Data were all collected in the 1978 era. Tapwater use may have changed since that time period. | Low |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | These are one- to three-day intake data. However, long term variability may be small. Their use as a chronic intake measure can be assumed. | Medium |
| - Validity of approach | The approach was competently executed. | High |
| - Study size | This study was the largest monograph that had data for 11,000 individuals. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The Ershow and Cantor (1989) and Canadian surveys were validated as demographically representative. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | The full distributions were given in the main studies. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Bias was not apparent. | High |
| - Measurement error | No physical measurements were taken. The method relied on recent recall of standardized volumes of drinking water containers, and was not validated. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | There were two key studies for the adult and child recommendations. There were six other studies for adults, one study for pregnant and lactating women, and two studies for high activity/hot climates. | High for adult and children. <br> Low for the other recommended subpopulation values. |
| - Agreement between researchers | This agreement was good. | High |
| Overall Rating | The data are excellent, but are not current. | Medium |
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## 4. SOIL INGESTION AND PICA

### 4.1. BACKGROUND

The ingestion of soil is a potential source of human exposure to toxicants. The potential for exposure to contaminants via this source is greater for children because they are more likely to ingest more soil than adults as a result of behavioral patterns present during childhood. Inadvertent soil ingestion among children may occur through the mouthing of objects or hands. Mouthing behavior is considered to be a normal phase of childhood development. Adults may also ingest soil or dust particles that adhere to food, cigarettes, or their hands. Deliberate soil ingestion is defined as pica and is considered to be relatively uncommon. Because normal, inadvertent soil ingestion is more prevalent and data for individuals with pica behavior are limited, this section focuses primarily on normal soil ingestion that occurs as a result of mouthing or unintentional hand-to-mouth activity.

Several studies have been conducted to estimate the amount of soil ingested by children. Most of the early studies attempted to estimate the amount of soil ingested by measuring the amount of dirt present on children's hands and making generalizations based on behavior. More recently, soil intake studies have been conducted using a methodology that measures trace elements in feces and soil that are believed to be poorly absorbed in the gut. These measurements are used to estimate the amount of soil ingested over a specified time period. The available studies on soil intake are summarized in the following sections. Studies on soil intake among children have been classified as either key studies or relevant studies based on their applicability to exposure assessment needs. Recommended intake rates are based on the results of key studies, but relevant studies are also presented to provide the reader with added perspective on the current state-of-knowledge pertaining to soil intake. Information on soil ingestion among adults is presented based on available data from a limited number of studies. This is an area where more data and more research are needed. Relevant information on the prevalence of pica and intake among individuals exhibiting pica behavior is also presented.

### 4.2. KEY STUDIES ON SOIL INTAKE AMONG CHILDREN

Binder et al. (1986) - Estimating Soil Ingestion: Use of Tracer Elements in Estimating the Amount of Soil Ingested by Young Children - Binder et al. (1986) studied the ingestion of soil among children 1 to 3 years of age who wore diapers using a tracer technique modified from a
method previously used to measure soil ingestion among grazing animals. The children were studied during the summer of 1984 as part of a larger study of residents living near a lead smelter in East Helena, Montana. Soiled diapers were collected over a 3-day period from 65 children ( 42 males and 23 females), and composited samples of soil were obtained from the children's yards. Both excreta and soil samples were analyzed for aluminum, silicon, and titanium. These elements were found in soil, but were thought to be poorly absorbed in the gut and to have been present in the diet only in limited quantities. This made them useful tracers for estimating soil intake. Excreta measurements were obtained for 59 of the children. Soil ingestion by each child was estimated based on each of the three tracer elements using a standard assumed fecal dry weight of $15 \mathrm{~g} /$ day, and the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{i, e}= \frac{f_{i, e} x F_{i}}{S_{i, e}} \\
& \text { (Eqn. 4-1) } \\
& \text { where: } \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}}=\begin{array}{l}
\text { estimated soil ingestion for child } \mathrm{i} \text { based on element e } \\
(\mathrm{g} / \text { day }) ;
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}}=\begin{array}{l}
\text { concentration of element e in fecal sample of child } \mathrm{i} \\
(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) ;
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}=\text { fecal dry weight }(\mathrm{g} / \text { day }) ; \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}}=\text { concentration of element } \mathrm{e} \text { in child i's yard soil }(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The analysis conducted by Binder et al. (1986) assumed that: (1) the tracer elements were neither lost nor introduced during sample processing; (2) the soil ingested by children originates primarily from their own yards; and (3) that absorption of the tracer elements by children occurred in only small amounts. The study did not distinguish between ingestion of soil and housedust nor did it account for the presence of the tracer elements in ingested foods or medicines.

The arithmetic mean quantity of soil ingested by the children in the Binder et al. (1986) study was estimated to be $181 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (range 25 to 1,324 ) based on the aluminum tracer; $184 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (range 31 to 799) based on the silicon tracer; and $1,834 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (range 4 to 17,076 ) based on the titanium tracer (Table 4-1). The
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|  | Table 4-1. Estimated Daily Soil Ingestion Based on Aluminum, Silicon, and Titanium Concentrations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

overall mean soil ingestion estimate based on the minimum of the three individual tracer estimates for each child was $108 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (range 4 to 708). The 95th percentile values for aluminum, silicon, and titanium were $584 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, 578 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day, and $9,590 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, respectively. The 95 th percentile value based on the minimum of the three individual tracer estimates for each child was $386 \mathrm{mg} /$ day.

The authors were not able to explain the difference between the results for titanium and for the other two elements, but speculated that unrecognized sources of titanium in the diet or in the laboratory processing of stool samples may have accounted for the increased levels. The frequency distribution graph of soil ingestion estimates based on titanium shows that a group of 21 children had particularly high titanium values (i.e., $>1,000 \mathrm{mg} /$ day). The remainder of the children showed titanium ingestion estimates at lower levels, with a distribution more comparable to that of the other elements.

The advantages of this study are that a relatively large number of children were studied and tracer elements were used to estimate soil ingestion. However, the children studied may not be representative of the U.S. population and the study did not account for tracers ingested via foods or medicines. Also, the use of an assumed fecal weight instead of actual fecal weights may have biased the results of this study. Finally, because of the short-term nature of the survey, soil intake estimates may not be entirely representative of long-term behavior, especially at the upper-end of the distribution of intake.

Clausing et al. (1987) - A Method for Estimating Soil Ingestion by Children - Clausing et al. (1987) conducted a soil ingestion study with Dutch children using a tracer element methodology similar to that of Binder et al. (1986). Aluminum, titanium, and acid-insoluble residue (AIR) contents were determined for fecal samples from
children, aged 2 to 4 years, attending a nursery school, and for samples of playground dirt at that school. Twenty-seven daily fecal samples were obtained over a 5-day period for the 18 children examined. Using the average soil concentrations present at the school, and assuming a standard fecal dry weight of $10 \mathrm{~g} /$ day, Clausing et al. (1987) estimated soil ingestion for each tracer. Clausing et al. (1987) also collected eight daily fecal samples from six hospitalized, bedridden children. These children served as a control group, representing children who had very limited access to soil.

The average quantity of soil ingested by the school children in this study was as follows: $230 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (range 23 to $979 \mathrm{mg} /$ day) for aluminum; $129 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (range 48 to 362 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day ) for AIR; and $1,430 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (range 64 to 11,620 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day) for titanium (Table 4-2). As in the Binder et al. (1986) study, a fraction of the children ( $6 / 19$ ) showed titanium values well above $1,000 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, with most of the remaining children showing substantially lower values. Based on the Limiting Tracer Method (LTM), mean soil intake was estimated to be $105 \mathrm{mg} /$ day with a population standard deviation of $67 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (range 23 to $362 \mathrm{mg} /$ day). Use of the LTM assumed that "the maximum amount of soil ingested corresponded with the lowest estimate from the three tracers" (Clausing et al., 1987). Geometric mean soil intake was estimated to be $90 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. This assumes that the maximum amount of soil ingested cannot be higher than the lowest estimate for the individual tracers.

Mean soil intake for the hospitalized children was estimated to be $56 \mathrm{mg} /$ day based on aluminum (Table 4-3). For titanium, three of the children had estimates well in excess of $1,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$, with the remaining three children in the range of 28 to $58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$. Using the LTM

Volume I - General Factors

Chapter 4-Soil Ingestion and Pica


method, the mean soil ingestion rate was estimated to be 49 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day with a population standard deviation of $22 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (range 26 to $84 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$ ). The geometric mean soil intake rate was $45 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. The data on hospitalized children
suggest a major nonsoil source of titanium for some children, and may suggest a background nonsoil source of aluminum. However, conditions specific to hospitalization (e.g., medications) were not considered. AIR
measurements were not reported for the hospitalized children. Assuming that the tracer-based soil ingestion rates observed in hospitalized children actually represent background tracer intake from dietary and other nonsoil sources, mean soil ingestion by nursery school children was estimated to be $56 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, based on the LTM (i.e., 105 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day for nursery school children minus $49 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for hospitalized children) (Clausing et al. 1987).

The advantages of this study are that Clausing et al. (1987) evaluated soil ingestion among two populations of children that had differences in access to soil, and corrected soil intake rates based on background estimates derived from the hospitalized group. However, a smaller number of children were used in this study than in the Binder et al. (1986) study and these children may not be representative of the U.S. population. Tracer elements in foods or medicines were not evaluated. Also, intake rates derived from this study may not be representative of soil intake over the long-term because of the short-term nature of the study. In addition, one of the factors that could affect soil intake rates is hygiene (e.g., hand washing frequency). Hygienic practices can vary across countries and cultures and may be more stringently emphasized in a more structured environment such as child care centers in The Netherlands and other European countries than in child care centers in the United States.

Calabrese et al. (1989) - How Much Soil do Young Children Ingest: An Epidemiologic Study - Calabrese et al. (1989) studied soil ingestion among children using the basic tracer design developed by Binder et al. (1986). However, in contrast to the Binder et al. (1986) study, eight tracer elements (i.e., aluminum, barium, manganese, silicon, titanium, vanadium, yttrium, and zirconium) were analyzed instead of only three (i.e., aluminum, silicon, and titanium). A total of 64 children between the ages of 1 and 4 years old were included in the study. These children were all selected from the greater Amherst, Massachusetts area and were predominantly from two-parent households where the parents were highly educated. The Calabrese et al. (1989) study was conducted over eight days during a two week period and included the use of a mass-balance methodology in which duplicate samples of food, medicines, vitamins, and others were collected and analyzed on a daily basis, in addition to soil and dust samples collected from the child's home and play area. Fecal and urine samples were also collected and analyzed for tracer elements. Toothpaste, low in tracer content, was provided to all participants.

In order to validate the mass-balance methodology used to estimate soil ingestion rates among children and to
determine which tracer elements provided the most reliable data on soil ingestion, known amounts of soil (i.e., 300 mg over three days and $1,500 \mathrm{mg}$ over three days) containing eight tracers were administered to six adult volunteers (i.e., three males and three females). Soil samples and feces samples from these adults and duplicate food samples were analyzed for tracer elements to calculate recovery rates of tracer elements in soil. Based on the adult validation study, Calabrese et al. (1989) confirmed that the tracer methodology could adequately detect tracer elements in feces at levels expected to correspond with soil intake rates in children. Calabrese et al. (1989) also found that aluminum, silicon, and yttrium were the most reliable of the eight tracer elements analyzed. The standard deviation of recovery of these three tracers was the lowest and the percentage of recovery was closest to 100 percent (Calabrese, et al., 1989). The recovery of these three tracers ranged from 120 to 153 percent when 300 mg of soil had been ingested over a three-day period and from 88 to 94 percent when $1,500 \mathrm{mg}$ soil had been ingested over a threeday period (Table 4-4).

Using the three most reliable tracer elements, the mean soil intake rate for children, adjusted to account for the amount of tracer found in food and medicines, was estimated to be $153 \mathrm{mg} /$ day based on aluminum, 154 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day based on silicon, and $85 \mathrm{mg} /$ day based on yttrium (Table 4-5). Median intake rates were somewhat lower (29 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day for aluminum, $40 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for silicon, and $9 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for yttrium). Upper-percentile (i.e., 95th) values were 223 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day for aluminum, $276 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for silicon, and 106 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day for yttrium. Similar results were observed when soil and dust ingestion was combined (Table 4-5). Intake of soil and dust was estimated using a weighted average of tracer concentration in dust composite samples and in soil composite samples based on the timechildren spent at home and away from home, and indoors and outdoors. Calabrese et al. (1989) suggested that the use of titanium as a tracer in earlier studies that lacked food ingestion data may have significantly overestimated soil intake because of the high levels of titanium in food. Using the median values of aluminum and silicon, Calabrese et al. (1989) estimated the quantity of soil ingested daily to be $29 \mathrm{mg} /$ day and $40 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, respectively. It should be noted that soil
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|  | Table 4-4. Mean and Standard Deviation Percentage Recovery of Eight Tracer Elements |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 300 mg Soil Ingested |  | 1500 mg Soil Ingested |  |
| Tracer Element | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Al | 152.8 | 107.5 | 93.5 | 15.5 |
| Ba | 2304.3 | 4533.0 | 149.8 | 69.5 |
| Mn | 1177.2 | 1341.0 | 248.3 | 183.6 |
| Si | 139.3 | 149.6 | 91.8 | 16.6 |
| Ti | 251.5 | 316.0 | 286.3 | 380.0 |
| V | 345.0 | 247.0 | 147.6 | 66.8 |
| Y | 120.5 | 42.4 | 54.5 | 12.6 |
| Zr | 80.6 |  |  | 33.4 |
| Source: Adapted from Calabrese et al., 1989 |  |  |  |  |


| Tracer Element |  | Intake (mg/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean | Median | SD | 95th Percentile | Maximum |
| Aluminum |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| soil | 64 | 153 | 29 | 852 | 223 | 6,837 |
| dust | 64 | 317 | 31 | 1,272 | 506 | 8,462 |
| soil/dust combined | 64 | 154 | 30 | 629 | 478 | 4,929 |
| Silicon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| soil | 64 | 154 | 40 | 693 | 276 | 5,549 |
| dust | 64 | 964 | 49 | 6,848 | 692 | 54,870 |
| soil/dust combined | 64 | 483 | 49 | 3,105 | 653 | 24,900 |
| Yttrium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| soil | 62 | 85 | 9 | 890 | 106 | 6,736 |
| dust | 64 | 62 | 15 | 687 | 169 | 5,096 |
| soil/dust combined | 62 | 65 | 11 | 717 | 159 | 5,269 |
| Titanium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| soil | 64 | 218 | 55 | 1,150 | 1,432 | 6,707 |
| dust | 64 | 163 | 28 | 659 | 1,266 | 3,354 |
| soil/dust combined | 64 | 170 | 30 | 691 | 1,059 | 3,597 |
| a Corrected for Tracer Concentrations in Foods <br> Source: Adapted from Calabrese et al., 1989. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ingestion for one child in the study ranged from approximately 10 to 14 grams/day during the second week of observation. Average soil ingestion for this child was 5 to $7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$, based on the entire study period.

The advantages of this study are that intake rates were corrected for tracer concentrations in foods and medicines and that the methodology was validated using adults. Also, intake was observed over a longer time period in this study than in earlier studies and the number of tracers
used was larger than for other studies. A relatively large population was studied, but they may not be entirely representative of the U.S. population because they were selected from a single location.

Davis et al. (1990) - Quantitative Estimates of Soil Ingestion in Normal Children Between the ages of 2 and 7 years: Population-Based Estimates Using Aluminum, Silicon, and Titanium as Soil Tracer Elements - Davis et
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al. (1990) also used a mass-balance/tracer technique to estimate soil ingestion among children. In this study, 104 children between the ages of 2 and 7 years were randomly selected from a three-city area in southeastern Washington State. The study was conducted over a seven day period, primarily during the summer. Daily soil ingestion was evaluated by collecting and analyzing soil and house dust samples, feces, urine, and duplicate food samples for aluminum, silicon, and titanium. In addition, information on dietary habits and demographics was collected in an attempt to identify behavioral and demographic characteristics that influence soil intake rates among children. The amount of soil ingested on a daily basis was estimated using the following equation:

The soil intake rates were corrected by adding the amount of tracer in vitamins and medications to the amount of tracer in food, and adjusting the food quantities, feces dry weights, and tracer concentrations in urine to account for missing samples.

Soil ingestion rates were highly variable, especially those based on titanium. Mean daily soil ingestion estimates were $38.9 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for aluminum, $82.4 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for silicon and $245.5 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for titanium (Table 4-6). Median values were $25 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for aluminum, $59 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for silicon, and $81 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for titanium. Davis et al. (1990) also evaluated the extent to which differences in tracer concentrations in house dust and yard soil impacted estimated soil ingestion rates. The value used in the denominator of the mass balance equation was recalculated to represent a weighted average of the tracer concentration in yard soil and house dust based on the proportion of time the child spent indoors and outdoors. The adjusted mean soil/dust intake rates were 64.5 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day for aluminum, $160.0 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for silicon, and $268.4 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for titanium. Adjusted median soil/dust intake rates were: $51.8 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for aluminum, $112.4 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for silicon, and $116.6 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for titanium. Davis et al. (1990) also observed that the following demographic characteristics were associated with high soil intake rates: male sex, non-white racial group, low income, operator/laborer as the principal

occupation of the parent, and city of residence. However, none of these factors were predictive of soil intake rates when tested using multiple linear regression.
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number of children were sampled. Also, demographic and behavioral information was collected for the survey group. However, although a relatively large sample population was surveyed, these children were all from a single area of the U.S. and may not be representative of the U.S. population as a whole. The study was conducted over a one-week period during the summer and may not be representative of long-term (i.e., annual) patterns of intake.

Van Wïnen et al. (1990) - Estimated Soil Ingestion by Children - In a study by Van Wijnen et al. (1990), soil ingestion among Dutch children ranging in age from 1 to 5 years was evaluated using a tracer element methodology similar to that used by Clausing et al. (1987). Van Wïjnen et al. (1990) measured three tracers (i.e., titanium, aluminum, and AIR) in soil and feces and estimated soil ingestion based on the LTM. An average daily feces weight of 15 g dry weight was assumed. A total of 292 children attending daycare centers were sampled during the first of two sampling periods and 187 children were sampled in the second sampling period; 162
of these children were sampled during both periods (i.e., at the beginning and near the end of the summer of 1986). A total of 78 children were sampled at campgrounds, and 15 hospitalized children were sampled. The mean values for these groups were: $162 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for children in daycare centers, $213 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for campers and $93 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for hospitalized children. Van Wïjnen et al. (1990) also reported geometric mean LTM values because soil intake rates were found to be skewed and the log transformed data were approximately normally distributed. Geometric mean LTM values were estimated to be $111 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for children in daycare centers, $174 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for children vacationing at campgrounds (Table 4-7) and $74 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for hospitalized children ( $70-120 \mathrm{mg} /$ day based on the 95 percent confidence limits of the mean). AIR was the limiting tracer in about 80 percent of the samples. Among children attending daycare centers, soil intake was also found to be higher when the weather was good (i.e., <2 days/week precipitation) than when the weather was bad (i.e., >4 days/week precipitation (Table 4-8). Van Wijnen et al. (1990) suggest that the mean LTM value for hospitalized infants represents background intake of tracers and should be used to correct the soil intake rates based on LTM values for other sampling groups. Using mean values, corrected soil intake rates were $69 \mathrm{mg} /$ day ( $162 \mathrm{mg} /$ day minus 93 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day $)$ for daycare children and $120 \mathrm{mg} /$ day ( $213 \mathrm{mg} /$ day minus $93 \mathrm{mg} /$ day) for campers.

| Table 4-7. Geometric Mean (GM) and Standard Deviation (GSD) LTM Values for Children at Daycare Centers and Campgrounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (yrs) | Sex | Daycare Centers |  |  | Campgrounds |  |  |
|  |  | n | GM LTM (mg/day) | GSD LTM (mg/day) | n | GM LTM <br> (mg/day) | GSD LTM <br> (mg/day) |
| <1 | Girls | 3 | 81 | 1.09 | - | - | - |
|  | Boys | 1 | 75 | - | - | - | - |
| $1-<2$ | Girls | 20 | 124 | 1.87 | 3 | 207 | 1.99 |
|  | Boys | 17 | 114 | 1.47 | 5 | 312 | 2.58 |
| $2-<3$ | Girls | 34 | 118 | 1.74 | 4 | 367 | 2.44 |
|  | Boys | 17 | 96 | 1.53 | 8 | 232 | 2.15 |
| 3-4 | Girls | 26 | 111 | 1.57 | 6 | 164 | 1.27 |
|  | Boys | 29 | 110 | 1.32 | 8 | 148 | 1.42 |
| 4-<5 | Girls | 1 | 180 | - | 19 | 164 | 1.48 |
|  | Boys | 4 | 99 | 1.62 | 18 | 136 | 1.30 |
| All girls |  | 86 | 117 | 1.70 | 36 | 179 | 1.67 |
| All boys |  | 72 | 104 | 1.46 | 42 | 169 | 1.79 |
| Total |  | $162^{\text {a }}$ | 111 | 1.60 | $78^{\text {b }}$ | 174 | 1.73 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} \quad$ Age and/or sex not registered for eight children. <br> b Age not registered for seven children. <br> Source: Adapted from Van Wijnen et al., 1990. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Corrected geometric mean soil intake was estimated to range from 0 to 90 mg /day with a 90th percentile value of $190 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for the various age categories within the daycare group and 30 to $200 \mathrm{mg} /$ day with a 90th percentile value of $300 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for the various age categories within the camping group.

The advantage of this study is that soil intake was estimated for three different populations of children; one expected to have high intake, one expected to have "typical" intake, and one expected to have low or background-level intake. Van Wïjnen et al. (1990) used the background tracer measurements to correct soil intake rates for the other two populations. Tracer concentrations in food and medicine were not evaluated. Also, the population of children studied was relatively large, but may not be representative of the U.S. population. This study was conducted over a relatively short time period. Thus, estimated intake rates may not reflect long-term patterns, especially at the high-end of the distribution. Another limitation of this study is that values were not reported element-by-element which would be the preferred way of reporting. In addition, one of the factors that could affect soil intake rates is hygiene (e.g., hand washing frequency). Hygienic practices can vary across countries and cultures and may be more stringently emphasized in a more
structured environment such as child care centers in The Netherlands and other European countries than in child care centers in the United States.

Stanek and Calabrese (1995a) - Daily Estimates of Soil Ingestion in Children - Stanek and Calabrese (1995a) presented a methodology which links the physical passage of food and fecal samples to construct daily soil ingestion estimates from daily food and fecal trace-element concentrations. Soil ingestion data for children obtained from the Amherst study (Calabrese et al., 1989) were reanalyzed by Stanek and Calabrese (1995a). In the Amherst study, soil ingestion measurements were made over a period of 2 weeks for a non-random sample of sixtyfour children (ages of 1-4 years old) living adjacent to an academic area in western Massachusetts. During each week, duplicate food samples were collected for 3 consecutive days and fecal samples were collected for 4 consecutive days for each subject. The total amount of each of eight trace elements present in the food and fecal samples were measured. The eight trace elements are aluminum, barium, manganese, silicon, titanium, vanadium, yttrium, and zirconium. The authors expressed the amount of trace element in food input or fecal output as a "soil equivalent," which was defined as the amount of the element in average daily food intake (or average daily fecal output) divided by
the concentration of the element in soil. A lag period of 28 hours between food intake and fecal output was assumed for all respondents. Day 1 for the food sample corresponded to the 24 hour period from midnight on Sunday to midnight on Monday of a study week; day 1 of the fecal sample corresponded to the 24 hour period from noon on Monday to noon on Tuesday (Stanek and Calabrese, 1995a). Based on these definitions, the food soil equivalent was subtracted from the fecal soil equivalent to obtain an estimate of soil ingestion for a trace element. A daily "overall" ingestion estimate was constructed for each child as the median of trace element values remaining after tracers falling outside of a defined range around the overall median were excluded. Additionally, estimates of the distribution of soil ingestion projected over a period of 365 days were derived by fitting log-normal distributions to the "overall" daily soil ingestion estimates.

Table 4-9 presents the estimates of mean daily soil ingestion intake per child (mg/day) for the 64 study participants. (The authors also presented estimates of the median values of daily intake for each child. For most risk assessment purposes the child mean values, which are proportional to the cumulative soil intake by the child, are needed instead of the median values.) The approach adopted in this paper led to changes in ingestion estimates from those presented in Calabrese et al. (1989).

Specifically, among elements that may be more useful for estimation of ingestion, the mean estimates decreased for Al ( $153 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$ to $122 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$ ) and $\mathrm{Si}(154 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$ to $139 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$ ), but increased for $\mathrm{Ti}(218 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$ to $271 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$ ) and $\mathrm{Y}(85$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$ to $165 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$ ). The "overall" mean estimate from this reanalysis was $179 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$. Table $4-9$ presents the empirical distribution of the the "overall" mean daily soil ingestion estimates for the 8 -day study period (not based on lognormal modeling). The estimated intake based on the "overall" estimates is $45 \mathrm{mg} /$ day or less for 50 percent of the children and $208 \mathrm{mg} /$ day or less for 95 percent of the children. The upper percentile values for most of the individual trace elements are somewhat higher. Next, estimates of the respondents soil intake averaged over a period of 365 days were presented based upon the lognormal models fit to the daily ingestion estimates (Table $4-10)$. The estimated median value of the 64 respondents' daily soil ingestion averaged over a year is $75 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, while the 95 th percentile is $1,751 \mathrm{mg} /$ day.

A strength of this study is that it attempts to make full use of the collected data through estimation of daily ingestion rates for children. The data are then screened to remove less consistent tracer estimates and the remaining values are aggregated. Individual daily estimates of ingestion will be subject to larger errors than are weekly average values, particularly since the assumption of a constant lag time between food intake and fecal output may be not be correct for many subject days. The aggregation approach used to arrive at the "overall" ingestion estimates rests on the assumption that the mean

| Type of Estimate Number of Samples | Overall (64) | $\begin{gathered} \text { A1 } \\ \text { (64) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ba} \\ (33) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Mn} \\ \text { (19) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Si} \\ (63) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ti} \\ (56) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { V } \\ (52) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Y} \\ (61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Zr} \\ (62) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 179 | 122 | 655 | 1,053 | 139 | 271 | 112 | 165 | 23 |
| 25th Percentile | 10 | 10 | 28 | 35 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 50th Percentile | 45 | 19 | 65 | 121 | 32 | 31 | 47 | 15 | 15 |
| 75th Percentile | 88 | 73 | 260 | 319 | 94 | 93 | 177 | 47 | 41 |
| 90th Percentile | 186 | 131 | 470 | 478 | 206 | 154 | 340 | 105 | 87 |
| 95th Percentile | 208 | 254 | 518 | 17,374 | 224 | 279 | 398 | 144 | 117 |
| Maximum | 7,703 | 4,692 | 17,991 | 17,374 | 4,975 | 12,055 | 845 | 8,976 | 208 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ For each child, estimates of soil ingestion were formed on days 4-8 and the mean of these estimates was then evaluated for each child. The values in the column "overall" correspond to percentiles of the distribution of these means over the 64 children. When specific trace elements were not excluded via the relative standard deviation criteria, estimates of soil ingestion based on the specific trace element were formed for 108 days for each subject. The mean soil ingestion estimate was again evaluated. The distribution of these means for specific trace elements is shown.
Source: Stanek and Calabrese, 1995a.
ingestion estimates across acceptable tracers provides the most reliable ingestion estimates. The validity of this assumption depends on the particular set of tracers used in the study, and is not fully assessed.


In developing the 365 day soil ingestion estimates, data that were obtained over a short period of time (as is the case with all available soil ingestion studies) were extrapolated over a year. The 2-week study period may not reflect variability in tracer element ingestion over a year. While Stanek and Calabrese (1995a) attempt to address this through lognormal modeling of the long term intake, new uncertainties are introduced through the parametric modeling of the limited subject day data. Also, the sample population size of the original study was small and site limited, and, therefore, is not representative of the U.S. population. Study mean estimates of soil ingestion, such as the study mean estimates presented in Table 4-9, are substantially more reliable than any available distributional estimates.

Stanek and Calabrese (1995b) - Soil Ingestion Estimates for Use in Site Evaluations Based on the Best Tracer Method - Stanek and Calabrese (1995b) recalculated ingestion rates that were estimated in three previous mass-balance studies (Calabrese et al., 1989 and Davis et al., 1990 for children's soil ingestion, and Calabrese et al., 1990 for adult soil ingestion) using the Best Tracer Method (BTM). This method allows for the selection of the most recoverable tracer for a particular subject or group of subjects. The selection process involves ordering trace elements for each subject based on food/soil (F/S) ratios. These ratios are estimated by dividing the total amount of the tracer in food by the tracer concentration in soil. The F/S ratio is small when the tracer concentration in food is almost zero when compared to the tracer concentration in soil. A small F/S ratio is desirable because it lessens the impact of transit time error (the error that occurs when fecal output does not reflect food ingestion,
due to fluctuation in gastrointestinal transit time) in the soil ingestion calculation. Because the recoverability of tracers can vary within any group of individuals, the BTM uses a ranking scheme of F/S ratios to determine the best tracers for use in the ingestion rate calculation. To reduce biases that may occur as a result of sources of fecal tracers other than food or soil, the median of soil ingestion estimates based on the four lowest F/S ratios was used to represent soil ingestion among individuals.

For adults, Stanek and Calabrese (1995b) used data for 8 tracers from the Calabrese et al. (1990) study to estimate soil ingestion by the BTM. The lowest F/S ratios were Zr and Al and the element with the highest $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S}$ ratio was Mn . For soil ingestion estimates based on the median of the lowest four F/S ratios, the tracers contributing most often to the soil ingestion estimates were $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{V}$, and Zr . Using the median of the soil ingestion rates based on the best four tracer elements, the average adult soil ingestion rate was estimated to be $64 \mathrm{mg} /$ day with a median of $87 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$. The 90th percentile soil ingestion estimate was $142 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. These estimates are based on 18 subject weeks for the six adult volunteers described in Calabrese et al. (1990).

For children, Stanek and Calabrese (1995b) used data on 8 tracers from Calabrese et al., 1989 and data on 3 tracers from Davis et al. (1990) to estimate soil ingestion rates. The median of the soil ingestion estimates from the lowest four F/S ratios from the Calabrese et al. (1989) study most often included $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Zr . Based on the median of soil ingestion estimates from the best four tracers, the mean soil ingestion rate was $132 \mathrm{mg} /$ day and the median was $33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$. The 95th percentile value was $154 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$. These estimates are based on data for 128 subject weeks for the 64 children in the Calabrese et al. (1989) study. For the 101 children in the Davis et al. (1990) study, the mean soil ingestion rate was $69 \mathrm{mg} /$ day and the median soil ingestion rate was $44 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. The 95 th percentile estimate was 246 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day. These data are based on the three tracers (i.e., Al, Si , and Ti ) from the Davis et al. (1990) study. When the Calabrese et al. (1989) and Davis et al. (1990) studies were combined, soil ingestion was estimated to be $113 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (mean); $37 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (median); and $217 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (95th percentile), using the BTM.

This study provides a reevaluation of previous studies. Its advantages are that it combines data from 2 studies for children, one from California and one from Massachusetts, which increases the number of observations. It also corrects for biases associated with the differences in tracer metabolism. The limitations associated with the data
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used in this study are the same as the limitations described in the summaries of the Calabrese et al. (1989), Davis et al. (1990) and Calabrese et al. (1990) studies.

### 4.3. RELEVANT STUDIES ON SOIL INTAKE AMONG CHILDREN

Lepow et al. (1975) - Investigations Into Sources of Lead in the Environment of Urban Children - Lepow et al. (1975) used data from a previous study (Lepow et al., 1974) to estimate daily soil ingestion rates of children. Lepow et al. (1974) estimated ingestion of airborne lead fallout among urban children by: (1) analyzing surface dirt and dust samples from locations where children played; (2) measuring hand dirt by applying preweighed adhesive labels to the hands and weighing the amount of dirt that was removed; and (3) observing "mouthing" behavior over 3 to 6 hours of normal play. Twenty-two children from an urban area of Connecticut were included in the study. Lepow et al. (1975) used data from the 1974 study and found that the mean weight of soil/dust on the hands was 11 mg . Assuming that a child would put fingers or other "dirty" objects into his mouth about 10 times a day ingesting 11 mg of dirt each time, Lepow et al. (1975) estimated that the daily soil ingestion rate would be about $100 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. According to Lepow et al. (1975), the amount of hand dirt measured with this technique is probably an underestimate because dirt trapped in skin folds and creases was probably not removed by the adhesive label. Consequently, mean soil ingestion rates may be somewhat higher than the values estimated in this study.

Day et al. (1975) - Lead in Urban Street Dust - Day et al. (1975) evaluated the contribution of incidental ingestion of lead-contaminated street dust and soil to children's total daily intake of lead by measuring the amount of lead in street dust and soil and estimating the amount of dirt ingested by children. The amount of soil that might be ingested was estimated by measuring the amount of dirt that was transferred to a "sticky sweet" during 30 minutes of play and assuming that a child might eat from 2 to 20 such sweets per day. Based on "a small number of direct measurements," Day et al. (1975) found that 5 to 50 mg of dirt from a child's hands may be transferred to a "sticky sweet" during 30 minutes of "normal playground activity. Assuming that all of the dirt is ingested with the 2 to 20 "sticky sweets," Day et al. (1975) estimated that intake of soil among children could range from 10 to $1000 \mathrm{mg} /$ day.

Duggan and Williams (1977) - Lead in Dust in City Streets - Duggan and Williams (1977) assessed the risks associated with lead in street dust by analyzing street dust
from areas in and around London for lead, and estimating the amount of hand dirt that a child might ingest. Duggan and Williams (1977) estimated the amount of dust that would be retained on the forefinger and thumb by removing a small amount of dust from a weighed amount, rubbing the forefinger and thumb together, and reweighing to determine the amount retained on the finger and thumb. The results of "a number of tests with several different people" indicated that the mean amount of dust retained on the finger and thumb was approximately 4 mg with a range of 2 to 7 mg (Duggan and Williams, 1977). Assuming that a child would suck his/her finger or thumb 10 times a day and that all of the dirt is removed each time and replaced with new dirt prior to subsequent mouthing behavior, Duggan and Williams (1977) estimated that 20 mg of dust would be ingested per day.

Hawley et al. (1985) - Assessment of Health Risk from Exposure to Contaminated Soil - Using existing literature, Hawley (1985) developed scenarios for estimating exposure of young children, older children, and adults to contaminated soil. Annual soil ingestion rates were estimated based on assumed intake rates of soil and housedust for indoor and outdoor activities and assumptions about the duration and frequency of the activities. These soil ingestion rates were based on the assumption that the contaminated area is in a region having a winter season. Housedust was assumed to be comprised of 80 percent soil.

Outdoor exposure to contaminated soil among young children (i.e., 2.5 years old) was assumed to occur 5 days per week during only 6 months of the year (i.e., mid-April through mid-October). Children were assumed to ingest 250 mg soil/day while playing outdoors based on data presented in Lepow et al. $(1974 ; 1975)$ and Roels et al. (1980). Indoor exposures among this population were based on the assumption that young children ingest 100 mg of housedust per day while spending all of their time indoors during the winter months, and 50 mg of housedust per day during the warmer months when only a portion of their time is spent indoors. Based on these assumptions, Hawley (1985) estimated that the annual average soil intake rate for young children is $150 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (Table 4-11). Older children (i.e., 6 year olds) were assumed to ingest 50 mg of soil per day from an area equal to the area of the
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|  | Table 4-11. | Estimates of Soil Ingestion for Children |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenarios |  |  |  |  |  |

fingers on one hand while playing outdoors. This assumption was based on data from Lepow et al. (1975). Outdoor activities were assumed to occur each day over 5 months of the year (i.e., during May through October). These children were also assumed to ingest $3 \mathrm{mg} /$ day of housedust from the indoor surfaces of the hands during indoor activities occurring over the entire year. Using these data, Hawley (1985) estimated the annual average soil intake rate for older children to be $23.4 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (Table 411).

Thompson and Burmaster (1991) - Parametric Distributions for Soil Ingestion by Children - Thompson and Burmaster (1991) developed parameterized distributions of soil ingestion rates for children based on a reanalysis of the data collected by Binder et al. (1986). In the original Binder et al. (1986) study, an assumed fecal weight of $15 \mathrm{~g} /$ day was used. Thompson and Burmaster reestimated the soil ingestion rates from the Binder et al. (1986) study using the actual stool weights of the study participants instead of the assumed stool weights. Because the actual stool weights averaged only $7.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$, the soil ingestion estimates presented by Thompson and Burmaster (1991) are approximately one-half of those reported by Binder et al. (1986). Table 4-12 presents the distribution of estimated soil ingestion rates calculated by Thompson and Burmaster (1991) based on the three tracers elements (i.e., aluminum, silicon, and titanium), and on the arithmetic average of soil ingestion based on aluminum and silicon. The mean soil intake rates were $97 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for aluminum, $85 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for silicon, and $1,004 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for titanium. The 90th percentile estimates were $197 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for aluminum, $166 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for silicon, and $2,105 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for titanium. Based on the arithmetic average of aluminum and silicon for
each child, mean soil intake was estimated to be $91 \mathrm{mg} /$ day and 90th percentile intake was estimated to be $143 \mathrm{mg} /$ day.

Thompson and Burmaster (1991) tested the hypothesis that soil ingestion rates based on the adjusted Binder et al. (1986) data for aluminum, silicon and the average of these two tracers were lognormally distributed. The distribution of soil intake based on titanium was not tested for lognormality because titanium may be present in food in high concentrations and the Binder et al. (1986) study did not correct for food sources of titanium (Thompson and Burmaster, 1991). Although visual inspection of the distributions for aluminum, silicon, and the average of these tracers all indicated that they may be lognormally distributed, statistical tests indicated that only silicon and the average of the silicon and aluminum tracers were lognormally distributed. Soil intake rates based on aluminum were not lognormally distributed. Table 4-12 also presents the lognormal distribution parameters and underlying normal distribution parameters (i.e., the natural logarithms of the data) for aluminum, silicon, and the average of these two tracers. According to the authors, "the parameters estimated from the underlying normal distribution are much more reliable and robust" (Thompson and Burmaster, 1991).

The advantages of this study are that it provides percentile data and defines the shape of soil intake distributions. However, the number of data points used to fit the distribution was limited. In addition, the study did not generate "new" data. Instead, it provided a reanalysis of previously-reported data using actual fecal weights. No corrections were made for tracer intake from food or
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| Table 4-12. Estimated Soil Ingestion Rate Summary Statistics and Parameters for Distributions Using Binder et al. (1986) Data with Actual Fecal Weights |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trace Element Basis | Soil Intake ( $\mathrm{mg} /$ day ) |  |  |  |
|  | A1 | Si | Ti | MEAN ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Mean | 97 | 85 | 1,004 | 91 |
| Min | 11 | 10 | 1 | 13 |
| 10th | 21 | 19 | 3 | 22 |
| 20th | 33 | 23 | 22 | 34 |
| 30th | 39 | 36 | 47 | 43 |
| 40th | 43 | 52 | 172 | 49 |
| Med | 45 | 60 | 293 | 59 |
| 60th | 55 | 65 | 475 | 69 |
| 70th | 73 | 79 | 724 | 92 |
| 80th | 104 | 106 | 1,071 | 100 |
| 90th | 197 | 166 | $2,105$ | 143 |
| Max | 1,201 | 642 | 14,061 | 921 |
| Lognormal Distribution Parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Median | 45 | 60 | -- | 59 |
| Standard Deviation | $169$ | $95$ | -- | $126$ |
| Arithmetic Mean | 97 | $85$ | -- | 91 |
| Underlying Normal Distribution Parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 4.06 | 4.07 | -- | 4.13 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.88 | 0.85 | -- | 0.80 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ MEAN $=$ arithmetic average of soil ingestion based on aluminum and silicon. Source: Thompson and Burmaster, 1991. |  |  |  |  |

medicine and the results may not be representative of longterm intake rates because the data were derived from a short-term study.

Sedman and Mahmood (1994) - Soil Ingestion by Children and Adults Reconsidered Using the Results of Recent Tracer Studies - Sedman and Mahmood (1994) used the results of two recent children's (Calabrese et al. 1989; Davis et al. 1990) tracer studies to determine estimates of average daily soil ingestion in young children and for over a lifetime. In the two studies, the intake and excretion of a variety of tracers were monitored, and concentrations of tracers in soil adjacent to the children's dwellings were determined (Sedman and Mahmood, 1994). From a mass balance approach, estimates of soil ingestion in these children were determined by dividing the excess tracer intake (i.e., quantity of tracer recovered in the feces in excess of the measured intake) by the average concentration of tracer in soil samples from each child's dwelling. Sedman and Mahmood (1994) adjusted the mean estimates of soil ingestion in children for each tracer (Y) from both studies to reflect that of a 2-year old child using the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{xe} \mathrm{e}^{(-0.112 * \mathrm{yr})} \tag{Eqn.4-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ adjusted mean soil ingestion $(\mathrm{mg} /$ day $)$
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}$ constant
$\mathrm{yr}=$ average age $(2$ years $)$

In addition to the study in young children, a study (Calabrese et al., 1989) in adults was conducted to evaluate the tracer methodology. In the adult studies, percent recoveries of tracers were determined in six adults who ingested known quantities of tracers in 1.5 or 0.3 grams of soil. The distribution of tracer recoveries from adults was evaluated using data analysis techniques involving visualization and exploratory data analysis (Sedman and Mahmood, 1994). From the results obtained in these studies, the distribution of tracer recoveries from adults were determined. In addition, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's multiple comparison methodologies
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were employed to identify differences in the recoveries of the various tracers (Sedman and Mahmood, 1994).

From the adult studies, the ANOVA of the natural logarithm of the recoveries of tracers from 0.3 or 1.5 g of ingested soil showed a significant difference ( $\propto=0.05$ ) among the estimates of recovery of the tracers regardless of whether the recoveries were combined or analyzed separately (Sedman and Mahmood, 1994). Sedman and Mahmood (1994) also reported that barium, manganese, and zirconium yielded significantly different estimates of soil ingestion than the other tracers (aluminum, silicon, yttrium, titanium, and vanadium). Table 4-13 presents the Tukey's multiple comparison of mean log tracer recovery in adults ingesting known quantities of soil.

The average ages of children in the two recent studies were 2.4 years in Calabrese, et al. (1989) and 4.7 years in Davis et al. (1990). The mean of the adjusted levels of soil ingestion for a two year old child was 220 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for the Calabrese et al. (1989) study and $170 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for the Davis et al. (1990) study (Sedman and Mahmood, 1994). From the adjusted soil ingestion estimates, based on a normal distribution of means, the mean estimate for a 2year old child was $195 \mathrm{mg} /$ day and the overall mean of soil ingestion and the standard error of the mean was $53 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (Sedman and Mahmood, 1994). Based on uncertainties associated with the method employed, Sedman and Mahmood (1994) recommended a conservative estimate of soil ingestion in young children of $250 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. Based on the $250 \mathrm{mg} /$ day ingestion rate
in a 2-year old child, an average daily soil ingestion over a lifetime was estimated to be $70 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. The lifetime estimates were derived using the equation presented above that describes changes in soil ingestion with age (Sedman and Mahmood, 1994).

AIHC Exposure Factors Sourcebook (1994) - The Exposure Factors Sourcebook (AIHC, 1994) uses data from the Calabrese et al. (1990) study to derive soil ingestion rates using zirconium as the tracer. More recent papers indicate that zirconium is not a good tracer. Therefore, the values recommended in the AIHC Sourcebook are not appropriate. Furthermore, because individuals were only studied for a short period of time, deriving a distribution of usual intake is not possible and is inappropriate.

Calabrese and Stanek (1995) - Resolving Intertracer Inconsistencies in Soil Ingestion Estimation Calabrese and Stanek (1995) explored sources and magnitude of positive and negative errors in soil ingestion estimates for children on a subject-week and trace element basis. Calabrese and Stanek (1995) identified possible sources of positive errors to be the following:

- Ingestion of high levels of tracers before the study starts and low ingestion during study period may result in over estimation of soil ingestion; and
- Ingestion of element tracers from a non-food or non-soil source during the study period.

|  | Table 4-13. Tukey's Multiple Comparison of Mean Log Tracer Recovery in Adults Ingesting Known Quantities of Soil |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
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Possible sources of negative bias identified by Calabrese and Stanek (1995) are the following:

- Ingestion of tracers in food, but the tracers are not captured in the fecal sample either due to slow lag time or not having a fecal sample available on the final study day; and
- Sample measurement errors which result in diminished detection of fecal tracers, but not in soil tracer levels.

The authors developed an approach which attempted to reduce the magnitude of error in the individual trace element ingestion estimates. Results from a previous study conducted by Calabrese et al. (1989) were used to quantify these errors based on the following criteria: (1) a lag period of 28 hours was assumed for the passage of tracers ingested in food to the feces (this value was applied to all subject-day estimates); (2) daily soil ingestion rate was estimated for each tracer for each 24-hr day a fecal sample was obtained; (3) the median tracer-based soil ingestion rate for each subject-day was determined. Also, upper and lower bound estimates were determined based on criteria formed using an assumption of the magnitude of the relative standard deviation (RSD) presented in another study conducted by Stanek and Calabrese (1995a). Daily soil ingestion rates for tracers that fell beyond the upper and lower ranges were excluded from subsequent calculations, and the median soil ingestion rates of the remaining tracer elements were
considered the best estimate for that particular day. The magnitude of positive or negative error for a specific tracer per day was derived by determining the difference between the value for the tracer and the median value; (4) negative errors due to missing fecal samples at the end of the study period were also determined (Calabrese and Stanek, 1995).

Table 4-14 presents the estimated magnitude of positive and negative error for six tracer elements in the children's study (i.e., conducted by Calabrese et al., 1989). The original mean soil ingestion rates ranged from a low of $21 \mathrm{mg} /$ day based on zirconium to a high of $459 \mathrm{mg} /$ day based on titanium (Table 4-14). The adjusted mean soil ingestion rate after correcting for negative and positive errors ranged from $97 \mathrm{mg} /$ day based on yttrium to 208 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day based on titanium (Table 4-14). Calabrese and Stanek (1995) concluded that correcting for errors at the individual level for each tracer element provides more reliable estimates of soil ingestion.

This report is valuable in providing additional understanding of the nature of potential errors in trace element specific estimates of soil ingestion. However, the operational definition used for estimating the error in a trace element estimate was the observed difference of that tracer from a median tracer value. Specific identification of sources of error, or direct evidence that individual tracers were indeed in error was not developed. Corrections to individual tracer means were then made according to how different values for that tracer were from the median values. This approach is based on the hypothesis that the median tracer value is the most

| Table 4-14. Positive/Negative Error (bias) in Soil Ingestion Estimates in the Calabrese et al. (1989) Mass-balance Study: Effect on Mean Soil Ingestion Estimate (mg/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Negative Error |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lack of Fecal Sample on Final Study Day | Other Causes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total Negative Error | Total Positive Error | Net Error | Original Mean | Adjusted Mean |
| Aluminum | 14 | 11 | 25 | 43 | +18 | 153 | 136 |
| Silicon | 15 | 6 | 21 | 41 | +20 | 154 | 133 |
| Titanium | 82 | 187 | 269 | 282 | +13 | 218 | 208 |
| Vanadium | 66 | 55 | 121 | 432 | +311 | 459 | 148 |
| Yttrium | 8 | 26 | 34 | 22 | -12 | 85 | 97 |
| Zirconium | 6 | 91 | 97 | 5 | -92 | 21 | 113 |

a How to read table: for example, aluminum as a soil tracer displayed both negative and positive error. The cumulative total negative error is estimated to bias the mean estimate by $25 \mathrm{mg} /$ day downward. However, aluminum has positive error biasing the original mean upward by 43 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$. The net bias in the original mean was $18 \mathrm{mg} /$ day positive bias. Thus, the original $156 \mathrm{mg} /$ day mean for aluminum should be corrected downward to $136 \mathrm{mg} /$ day.
b Values indicate impact on mean of 128-subject-weeks in milligrams of soil ingested per day.
Source: Calabrese and Stanek, 1995.
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accurate estimate of soil ingestion, and the validity of this assumption depends on the specific set of tracers used in the study and need not be correct. The approach used for the estimation of daily tracer intake is the same as in Stanek and Calabrese (1995a), and some limitations of that approach are mentioned in the review of that study.

Sheppard (1995) - Parameter Values to Model the Soil Ingestion Pathway - Sheppard (1995) summarized the available literature on soil ingestion to estimate the amount of soil ingestion in humans for the purposes of risk assessment. Sheppard (1995) categorized the available soil ingestion studies into two general approaches: (1) those that measured the soil intake rate with the use of tracers in the soil, and (2) those that estimated soil ingestion based on activity (e.g., hand-to-mouth) and exposure duration. Sheppard (1995) provided estimates of soil intake based on previously published tracer studies. The data from these studies were assumed to be lognormally distributed due to the broad range, the concept that soil ingestion is never zero, and the possibility of very high values. In order to account for skewness in the data, geometric means rather than arithmetic means, were calculated by age, excluding pica and geophagy values. The geometric mean for soil ingestion rate for children under six was estimated to be $100 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. For children over six and adults, the geometric mean intake rate was estimated to be $20 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. Sheppard (1995) also provided soil ingestion estimates for indoor and outdoor activities based on data from Hawley (1985) and assumptions regarding duration of exposure (Table 4-15).

Sheppard's (1995) estimates, based on activity and exposure duration, are quite similar to the mean values from intake rate estimates described in previous sections. The advantages of this study are that the model can be used to calculate the ingestion rate from non-food sources with variability in exposure ingestion rates and exposure durations. The limitation of this study is that it does not introduce new data; previous data are re-evaluated. In addition, because the model is based on previous data, the same advantages and limitations of those studies apply.

### 4.4. SOIL INTAKE AMONG ADULTS

Hawley 1985-Assessment of Health Risk from Exposure to Contaminated Soil - Information on soil ingestion among adults is very limited. Hawley (1985) estimated soil ingestion among adults based on assumptions regarding activity patterns and corresponding ingestion amounts. Hawley (1985) assumed that adults ingest outdoor soil at a rate of $480 \mathrm{mg} /$ day while engaged in yardwork or other physical activity. These outdoor exposures were assumed to occur 2 days/week during 5 months of the year (i.e., May through October). The ingestion estimate was based on the assumption that a 50 $\mu \mathrm{m} /$ thick layer of soil is ingested from the inside surfaces of the thumb and fingers of one hand. Ingestion of indoor housedust was assumed to occur from typical living space activities such as eating and smoking, and work in attics or other uncleaned areas of the house. Hawley (1985) assumed that adults ingest an average of 0.56 mg housedust/day during typical living space activities and 110 mg housedust/day while working in attics. Attic work

|  |  | Table 4-15. Soil Ingestion Rates for Assessment Purposes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Hawley (1985) assumed the child spent all the time at home, so that the indoor time was 8,760 hours/year minus the outdoor time. Source: Sheppard, 1995
was assumed to occur 12 days/year. Hawley (1985) also assumed that soil comprises 80 percent of household dust.

Based on these assumptions about soil intake and the frequency of indoor and outdoor activities, Hawley (1985)
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estimated the annual average soil intake rate for adults to be $60.5 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (Table 4-16).

The soil intake value estimated by Hawley (1985) is consistent with adult soil intake rates suggested by other researchers. Calabrese et al. (1987) suggested that soil intake among adults ranges from 1 to $100 \mathrm{mg} /$ day.
during each of the 3 weeks. In addition, all medications and vitamins ingested by the adults were collected. Total excretory output were collected from Monday noon through Friday midnight over 3 consecutive weeks. Table 4-17 provides the mean and median values of soil ingestion for each element by week. Data obtained from the first week,

| Table 4-16. Estimates of Soil Ingestion for Adults |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenarios | Media | Exposure (mg/day) | Days/Year Activity | Fraction Soil Content | Annual Average Soil Intake (mg/day) |
| Adult |  |  |  |  |  |
| Work in attic (year-round) | Dust | 110 | 12 | 0.8 | 3 |
| Living Space (year-round) | Dust | 0.56 | 365 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Outdoor Work (summer) | Soil | 480 | 43 | 1 | 57 |
| TOTAL SOIL INTAKE |  |  |  |  | 60.5 |
| Source: Hawley, 1985. |  |  |  |  |  |

According to Calabrese et al. (1987), these values "are conjectural and based on fractional estimates" of earlier Center for Disease Control (CDC) estimates. In an evaluation of the scientific literature concerning soil ingestion rates for children and adults (Krablin, 1989), Arco Coal Company suggested that $10 \mathrm{mg} /$ day may be an appropriate value for adult soil ingestion. This value is based on "extrapolation from urine arsenic epidemiological studies and information on mouthing behavior and time activity patterns" (Krablin, 1989).

Calabrese et al. (1990) - Preliminary Adult Soil Ingestion Estimates: Results of a Pilot Study- Calabrese et al. (1990) studied six adults to evaluate the extent to which they ingest soil. This adult study was originally part of the children soil ingestion study conducted by Calabrese and was used to validate part of the analytical methodology used in the children study. The participants were six healthy adults, three males and three females, 25-41 years old. Each volunteer ingested one empty gelatin capsule at breakfast and one at dinner Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday during the first week of the study. During the second week, they ingested 50 mg of sterilized soil within a gelatin capsule at breakfast and at dinner (a total of 100 mg of sterilized soil per day) for 3 days. For the third week, the participants ingested 250 mg of sterilized soil in a gelatin capsule at breakfast and at dinner (a total of 500 mg of soil per day) during the three days. Duplicate meal samples (food and beverage) were collected from the six adults. The sample included all foods ingested from breakfast Monday, through the evening meal Wednesday
when empty gelatin capsules were ingested, may be used to derive an estimate of soil intake by adults. The mean intake rates for the eight tracers are: Al, 110 mg ; $\mathrm{Ba},-232 \mathrm{mg}$; $\mathrm{Mn}, 330 \mathrm{mg}$; Si, 30 mg ; Ti, 71 mg ; V, $1,288 \mathrm{mg}$; Y, 63 mg ; and $\mathrm{Zr}, 134 \mathrm{mg}$.

The advantage of this study is that it provides quantitative estimates of soil ingestion for adults. The study also corrected for tracer concentrations in foods and medicines. However, a limitation of this study is that a limited number of subjects were studied. In addition, the subjects were only studied for one week before soil capsules were ingested.

### 4.5. PREVALENCE OF PICA

The scientific literature define pica as "the repeated eating of non-nutritive substances" (Feldman, 1986). For the purposes of this handbook, pica is defined as an deliberately high soil ingestion rate. Numerous articles have been published that report on the incidence of pica among various populations. However, most of these papers describe pica for substances other than soil including sand, clay, paint, plaster, hair, string, cloth, glass, matches, paper, feces, and various other items. These papers indicate that the pica occurs in approximately half of all children

| Table 4-17. Adult Daily Soil Ingestion Estimates by Week and Tracer Element After Subtracting Food and Capsule Ingestion, Based on Median Amherst Soil Concentrations: Means and Medians Over Subjects (mg) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | Al | Ba | Mn | Si | Ti | V | Y | Zr |
| Means |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 110 | -232 | 330 | 30 | 71 | 1,288 | 63 | 134 |
| 2 | 98 | 12,265 | 1,306 | 14 | 25 | 43 | 21 | 58 |
| 3 | 28 | 201 | 790 | -23 | 896 | 532 | 67 | -74 |
| Medians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 60 | -71 | 388 | 31 | 102 | 1,192 | 44 | 124 |
| 2 | 85 | 597 | 1,368 | 15 | 112 | 150 | 35 | 65 |
| 3 | 66 | 386 | 831 | -27 | 156 | 047 | 60 | -144 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Data were converted to milligrams <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Negative values occur because of correction for food and capsule ingestion. <br> Source: Calabrese et al., 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

between the ages of 1 and 3 years (Sayetta, 1986). The incidence of deliberate ingestion behavior in children has been shown to differ for different subpopulations. The incidence rate appears to be higher for black children than for white children. Approximately 30 percent of black children aged 1 to 6 years are reported to have deliberate ingestion behavior, compared with 10 to 18 percent of white children in the same age group (Danford, 1982). There does not appear to be any sex differences in the incidence rates for males or females (Kaplan and Sadock, 1985). Lourie et al. (1963) states that the incidence of pica is higher among children in lower socioeconomic groups (i.e., 50 to 60 percent) than in higher income families (i.e., about 30 percent). Deliberate soil ingestion behavior appears to be more common in rural areas (Vermeer and Frate, 1979). A higher rate of pica has also been reported for pregnant women and individuals with poor nutritional status (Danford, 1982). In general, deliberate ingestion behavior is more frequent and more severe in mentally retarded children than in children in the general population (Behrman and Vaughan 1983, Danford 1982, Forfar and Arneil 1984, Illingworth 1983, Sayetta 1986).

It should be noted that the pica statistics cited above apply to the incidence of general pica and not soil pica. Information on the incidence of soil pica is limited, but it appears that soil pica is less common. A study by Vermeer and Frate (1979) showed that the incidence of geophagia (i.e., earth-eating) was about 16 percent among children from a rural black community in Mississippi. However, geophagia was described as a cultural practice among the community surveyed and may not be representative of the general population. Average daily consumption of soil was estimated to be $50 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. Bruhn and Pangborn (1971) reported the incidence of pica for "dirt" to be 19 percent in children, 14 percent in pregnant women, and 3 percent in
nonpregnant women. However, "dirt" was not clearly defined. The Bruhn and Pangborn (1971) study was conducted among 91 non-black, low income families of migrant agricultural workers in California. Based on the data from the five key tracer studies (Binder et al., 1986; Clausing et al., 1987; Van Wïjnen et al., 1990; Davis et al., 1990; and Calabrese et al., 1989) only one child out of the more than 600 children involved in all of these studies ingested an amount of soil significantly greater than the range for other children. Although these studies did not include data for all populations and were representative of short-term ingestions only, it can be assumed that the incidence rate of deliberate soil ingestion behavior in the general population is low. However, it is incumbent upon the user to use the appropriate value for their specific study population.

### 4.6. DELIBERATE SOIL INGESTION AMONG CHILDREN

Information on the amount of soil ingested by children with abnormal soil ingestion behavior is limited. However, some evidence suggests that a rate on the order of $10 \mathrm{~g} /$ day may not be unreasonable.

Calabrese et al. (1991) - Evidence of Soil Pica Behavior and Quantification of Soil Ingestion - Calabrese et al. (1991) estimated that upper range soil ingestion values may range from approximately 5-7 grams/day. This estimate was based on observations of one pica child among the 64 children who participated in the study. In the study, a 3.5-year old female exhibited extremely high soil ingestion behavior during one of the two weeks of observation. Intake ranged from $74 \mathrm{mg} /$ day to $2.2 \mathrm{~g} /$ day during the first week of observation and 10.1 to $13.6 \mathrm{~g} /$ day during the second week of observation (Table 4-18). These results are based on mass-balance analyses for seven (i.e.,
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aluminum, barium, manganese, silicon, titanium, vanadium, and yttrium) of the eight tracer elements used. Intake rates based on zirconium was significantly lower but Calabrese et al. (1991) indicated that this may have "resulted from a limitation in the analytical protocol."

| Table 4-18. <br> Daily Soil Ingestion Estimation in a Soil-Pica Child by <br> Tracer and by Week (mg/day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Week 1 | Week 2 |
| Tracer | Estimated Soil Ingestion | Estimated Soil Ingestion |
| Al | 74 | 13,600 |
| Ba | 458 | 12,088 |
| Mn | 2,221 | 12,341 |
| Si | 142 | 10,955 |
| Ti | 1,543 | 11,870 |
| V | 1,269 | 10,071 |
| Y | 147 | 13,325 |
| Zr | 86 | 2,695 |
| Source: Calabrese et al., 1991 |  |  |

Calabrese and Stanek (1992) - Distinguishing Outdoor Soil Ingestion from Indoor Dust Ingestion in a Soil Pica Child - Calabrese and Stanek (1992) quantitatively distinguished the amount of outdoor soil ingestion from indoor dust ingestion in a soil pica child. This study was based on a previous mass-balance study (conducted in 1991) in which a 3-1/2 year old child
ingested 10-13 grams of soil per day over the second week of a 2-week soil ingestion study. Also, the previous study utilized a soil tracer methodology with eight different tracers ( $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Zr}$ ). The reader is referred to Calabrese et al. (1989) for a detailed description and results of the soil ingestion study. Calabrese and Stanek (1992) distinguished indoor dust from outdoor soil in ingested soil based on a methodology which compared differential element ratios.

Table 4-19 presents tracer ratios of soil, dust, and residual fecal samples in the soil pica child. Calabrese and Stanek (1992) reported that there was a maximum total of 28 pairs of tracer ratios based on eight tracers. However, only 19 pairs of tracer ratios were available for quantitative evaluation as shown in Table 4-19. Of these 19 pairs, 9 fecal tracer ratios fell within the boundaries for soil and dust (Table 4-19). For these 9 tracer soils, an interpolation was performed to estimate the relative contribution of soil and dust to the residual fecal tracer ratio. The other 10 fecal tracer ratios that fell outside the soil and dust boundaries were concluded to be 100 percent of the fecal tracer ratios from soil origin (Calabrese and Stanek, 1992). Also, the 9 residual fecal samples within the boundaries revealed that a high percentage (71-99 percent) of the residual fecal tracers were estimated to be of soil origin. Therefore, Calabrese and Stanek (1992) concluded that the predominant proportion of the fecal tracers was from outdoor soil and not from indoor dust origin.

|  |  | Table 4-19. Ratios of Soil, Dust, and Residual Fecal Samples in the Soil Pica Child |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Estimated $\%$ of Residual Fecal Tracers of Soil <br> Origin as Predicted by Specific Tracer Ratios |
| Tracer Ratio Pairs |  | Soil | Fecal | Dust |
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In conducting a risk assessment for TCDD, U.S. EPA (1984) used $5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day to represent the soil intake rate for pica children. The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) also investigated the potential for exposure to TCDD through the soil ingestion route. CDC used a value of $10 \mathrm{~g} /$ day to represent the amount of soil that a child with deliberate soil ingestion behavior might ingest (Kimbrough et al., 1984). These values are consistent with those observed by Calabrese et al. (1991).

### 4.7. RECOMMENDATIONS

The key studies described in this section were used to recommend values for soil intake among children. The key and relevant studies used different survey designs and study populations. These studies are summarized in Table 4-20. For example, some of the studies considered food and nonfood sources of trace elements, while others did not. In other studies, soil ingestion estimates were adjusted to account for the contribution of house dust to this estimate. Despite these differences, the mean and upper-percentile estimates reported for these studies are relatively consistent. The confidence rating for soil intake recommendations is presented in Table 4-21.

It is important, however, to understand the various uncertainties associated with these values. First, individuals were not studied for sufficient periods of time to get a good estimate of the usual intake. Therefore, the values presented in this section may not be representative of long term exposures. Second, the experimental error in measuring soil ingestion values for individual children is also a source of uncertainty. For example, incomplete sample collection of both input (i.e., food and nonfood sources) and output (i.e., urine and feces) is a limitation for some of the studies conducted. In addition, an individual's soil ingestion value may be artificially high or low depending on the extent to which a mismatch between input and output occurs due to individual variation in the gastrointestinal transit time. Third, the degree to which the tracer elements used in these studies are absorbed in the human body is uncertain. Accuracy of the soil ingestion estimates depends on how good this assumption
is. Fourth, there is uncertainty with regard to the homogeneity of soil samples and the accuracy of parent's knowledge about their child's playing areas. Fifth, all the soil ingestion studies presented in this section with the exception of Calabrese et al. (1989) were conducted during the summer when soil contact is more likely.

Although the recommendations presented below are derived from studies which were mostly conducted in the
summer, exposure during the winter months when the ground is frozen or snow covered should not be considered as zero. Exposure during these months, although lower than in the summer months, would not be zero because some portion of the house dust comes from outdoor soil.

Soil Ingestion Among Children - Estimates of the amount of soil ingested by children are summarized in Table 4-22. The mean values ranged from $39 \mathrm{mg} /$ day to $271 \mathrm{mg} /$ day with an average of $146 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for soil ingestion and $191 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for soil and dust ingestion. Results obtained using titanium as a tracer in the Binder et al. (1986) and Clausing et al. (1987) studies were not considered in the derivation of this recommendation because these studies did not take into consideration other sources of the element in the diet which for titanium seems to be significant. Therefore, these values may overestimate the soil intake. One can note that this group of mean values is consistent with the 200 mg /day value that EPA programs have used as a conservative mean estimate. Taking into consideration that the highest values were seen with titanium, which may exhibit greater variability than the other tracers, and the fact that the Calabrese et al. (1989) study included a pica child, $100 \mathrm{mg} /$ day is the best estimate of the mean for children under 6 years of age. However, since the children were studied for short periods of time and the prevalence of pica behavior is not known, excluding the pica child from the calculations may underestimate soil intake rates. It is plausible that many children may exhibit some pica behavior if studied for longer periods of time. Over the period of study, upper percentile values ranged from $106 \mathrm{mg} /$ day to $1,432 \mathrm{mg} /$ day with an average of 383 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day for soil ingestion and $587 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for soil and dust ingestion. Rounding to one significant figure, the recommended upper percentile soil ingestion rate for children is $400 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. However, since the period of study was short, these values are not estimates of usual intake. The recommended values for soil ingestion among children and adults are summarized in Table 4-23.

Data on soil ingestion rates for children who deliberately ingest soil are also limited. An ingestion rate of $10 \mathrm{~g} /$ day is a reasonable value for use in acute exposure assessments, based on the available information. It should be noted, however, that this value is based on only one pica child observed in the Calabrese et al. (1989) study.

Soil Ingestion Among Adults - Only three studies have attempted to estimate adult soil ingestion. Hawley (1985) suggested a value of $480 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for adults engaged in outdoor activities and a range of 0.56 to $110 \mathrm{mg} /$ day of house dust during indoor activities. These estimates were
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derived from assumptions about soil/dust levels on hands and mouthing behavior; no supporting measurements were made. Making further assumptions about frequencies of indoor and outdoor activities, Hawley (1985) derived an annual average of $60.5 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. Given the lack of supporting measurements, these estimates must be considered conjectural. Krablin (1989) used arsenic levels in urine ( $\mathrm{n}=26$ ) combined with information on mouthing behavior and activity patterns to suggest an estimate for adult soil ingestion of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$. The study protocols are not well described and has not been formally published. Finally, Calabrese et al. (1990) conducted a tracer study on 6 adults and found a range of 30 to $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$. This study is probably the most reliable of the three, but still has two significant uncertainties: (1)
representativeness of the general population is unknown due to the small study size ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ); and (2) representativeness of long-term behavior is unknown since the study was conducted over only 2 weeks. In the past, many EPA risk assessments have assumed an adult soil ingestion rate of 50 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day for industrial settings and $100 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for residential and agricultural scenarios. These values are within the range of estimates from the studies discussed above. Thus, $50 \mathrm{mg} /$ day still represents a reasonable central estimate of adult soil ingestion and is the recommended value in this handbook. This recommendation is clearly highly uncertain; however, and as indicated in Table 4-21, is given a low confidence rating. Considering the uncertainties in the central estimate, a recommendation for an upper percentile value would be inappropriate. Table 4-23 summarizes soil ingestion recommendations for adults.

|  | Table 4-20. Soil Intake Studies |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Study | Study Type | Number of Observations | Age | Population Studied | Comments |
|  | CHILDREN KEY STUDIES: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Binder et al., 1986 | Tracer study using aluminum, silicon, and titanium | 59 children | 1-3 years | Children living near lead smelter in Montana | Did not account for tracer in food and medicine; used assumed fecal weight of $15 \mathrm{~g} /$ day; short-term study conducted over 3 days |
|  | Calabrese et al., 1989 | Tracer - mass balance study using aluminum, barium, manganese, silicon, titanium, vanadium, ytrium, and zirconium | 64 Children | 1-4 years | Children from greater Amherst area of Massachusetts; highlyeducated parents | Corrected for tracer in food and medicine; study conducted over twoweek period; used adults to validate methods; one pica child in study group. |
|  | Clausing et al., 1987 | Tracer study using aluminum, acid insoluble residue, and titanium | 18 nursery school children; 6 hospitalized children | 2-4 years | Dutch children | Did not account for tracer in food and medicines; used tracer-based intake rates for hospitalized children as background values; short-term study conducted over 5 days |
|  | Davis et al., 1990 | Tracer - mass balance study using aluminum silicon and titanium | 104 children | 2-7 years | Children from 3-city area in Washington State | Corrected for tracer in food and medicine; short-term study conducted over seven-day period; collected information on demographic characteristics affecting soil intake. |
|  | Stanek and Calabrese, 1995a | Adjusted soil intake estimates | 64 children | 1-4 years | Same children as in Calabrese et al., 1989 | Based on data from Calabrese et al., 1989 |
|  | Stanek and Calabrese, 1995b | Recalculated intake rates based on three previous mass-balance studies using the Best Tracer Method | 164 children 6 adults | 1-7 years 25-41 years | Children from three massbalance studies | Based on studies of Calabrese et al., 1989; Davis et al., 1990; and Calabrese et al., 1990. |
|  | Van Wïnnen et al., 1990 | Tracer study using aluminum, acid insoluble residue, and titanium | 292 daycare children; 78 campers; 15 hospitalized children | 1-5 years | Dutch children | Did not account for tracer in food and medicines; used tracer-based intake for hospitalized children as background values; evaluated population (campers) with greater access to soil; evaluated differences in soil intake due to weather conditions. |
|  | CHILDREN RELEVANT STUDIES |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AIHC, 1994 | Reanalysis of data from Calabrese et al., 1990 | 6 adults | 21-41 years | Health adults | Used data from Calabrese et al. (1990) study to derive soil ingestion rates using zirconium as a tracer; recent studies indicate that zirconium is not a good tracer |
|  | Calabrese and Stanek, 1995 | Evaluated errors in soil ingestion estimates | 64 children | 1-4 years | Study population of Calabrese et al., 1989 | Based on Calabrese et al., 1989 data. |


|  | Table 4-20. Soil Intake Studies (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}$ | Study | Study Type | Number of Observations | Age | Population Studied | Comments |
|  | CHILDREN RELEVANT STUDIES(continued): |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Day et al., 1977 | Measured dirt on sticky sweets and assumed number of sweets eaten per day | Not specified | Not specified | Not specified | Based on observations and crude measurements. |
|  | Duggan and Williams, 1977 | Measured soil on fingers and observed mouthing behavior | Not specified | Not specified | Areas around London | Based on observations and crude measurements. |
|  | Hawley, 1985 | Assumed soil intake rates based on nature and duration of activities | Not specified | Young children, older children, adults | Not specified | No data on soil intake collected; estimates based on assumptions regarding data from previous studies. |
|  | Lepow et al., 1974; 1975 | Measured soil on hands and observed mouthing behavior | 22 children | 2-6 years | Urban children from Connecticut | Based on observations over 3-6 hours of play and crude measurement techniques. |
|  | Sedman and Mahmood, 1994 | Adjusted data from earlier tracer-mass balance studies to generate mean soil intake rates for a 2 -year old child | 64 children from Calabrese et al., 1989 study and 104 children from Davis et al., 1990 study | Adjusted to 2-year old child | Same children as in Calabrese et al., 1989 and Davis et al., 1990 study | Based on data from Calabrese et al., 1989 and Davis et al., 1990. |
|  | Sheppard, 1995 | Provides estimates based on the current literature on soil ingestion from tracer methods and recommends values for use in assessments | Not specified | 1 year-adults (age not specified) | Various | Presents mean estimates for children and adults; provides ingestion estimates for indoor and outdoor activities based on Hawley, 1985. |
|  | Thompson and Burmaster, 1991 | Re-evaluation of Binder et al., 1986 data | 59 children | 1-3 years | Children living near lead smelter in Montana | Re-calculated soil intake rates from Binder et al., 1986 data using actual fecal weights instead of assumed weights. |
|  | ADULT SOIL INTAKE STUD |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hawley, 1985 | Assumed soil intake rates based on nature and duration of activities | Not specified | Young children, older children, adults | Not specified | No data on soil intake collected; estimates based on assumptions regarding data from previous studies. |
|  | Calabrese et al., 1990 | Measured excretory output after ingestion of capsules with sterilized soil | 6 adults | 21-41 years | Healthy adult volunteers | Data used to validate the analytical methodology used in the children's study (Calabrese, 1989). |
|  | PICA STUDIES: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Calabrese et al., 1991 | Tracer - mass balance | 1 pica child | 3.5 years | 1 pica child from greater <br> Amherst area of Massachusetts | Child was observed as part of the Calabrese et al., 1989 study. |
|  | Calabrese and Stanek, 1992 | Reanalysis of data from Calabrese et al., 1991 | 1 pica child | 3.5 years | 1 pica child from greater <br> Amherst area of <br> Massachusetts | Distinguished between outdoor soil ingestion and indoor dust ingestion in a soil pica child. |
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| Table 4-21. Confidence in Soil Intake Recommendation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | All key studies are from peer review literature. | High |
| - Accessibility | Papers are widely available from peer review journals. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Methodology used was presented, but results are difficult to reproduce. | Medium |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The focus of the studies was on estimating soil intake rate by children; studies did not focus on intake rate by adults. | High (for children) Low (for adults) |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | Two of the key studies focused on Dutch children; other studies used children from specific areas of the U.S. | Medium |
| - Primary data | All the studies were based on primary data. | High |
| - Currency | Studies were conducted after 1980. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Children were not studied long enough to fully characterize day to day variability. | Medium |
| - Validity of approach | The basic approach is the only practical way to study soil intake, but refinements are needed in tracer selection and matching input with outputs. The more recent studies corrected the data for sources of the tracers in food. There are, however, some concerns about absorption of the tracers into the body and lag time between input and output. | Medium |
| - Study size | The sample sizes used in the key studies were adequate for children. However, only few adults have been studied. | Medium (for children) <br> Low (for adults) |
| - Representativeness of the population | The study population may not be representative of the U.S. in terms of race, socio-economics, and geographical location; Studies focused on specific areas; two of the studies used Dutch children. | Low |
| - Characterization of variability | Day-to-day variability was not very well characterized. | Low |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | The selection of the population studied may introduce some bias in the results (i.e., children near a smelter site, volunteers in nursery school, Dutch children). | Medium |
| - Measurement error | Errors may result due to problems with absorption of the tracers in the body and mismatching inputs and outputs. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | There are 7 key studies. | High |
| - Agreement between researchers | Despite the variability, there is general agreement among researchers on central estimates of daily intake for children. | Medium |
| Overall Rating | Studies were well designed; results were fairly consistent; sample size was adequate for children and very small for adults; accuracy of methodology is uncertain; variability cannot be characterized due to limitations in data collection period. Insufficient data to recommend upper percentile estimates for both children and adults. | Medium (for children - <br> long-term central estimate) <br> Low (for adults) <br> Low (for upper percentile) |
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Table 4-23. Summary of Recommended Values for Soil Ingestion

| Population | Mean | Upper Percentile |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Children | $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Adults | $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | -- |
| Pica child | $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | --- |

$200 \mathrm{mg} /$ day may be used as a conservative estimate of the mean (see text). Study period was short; therefore, these values are not estimates of usual intake.
To be used in acute exposure assessments. Based on only one pica child (Calabrese et al., 1989).
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## 5. INHALATION ROUTE

This chapter presents data and recommendations for inhalation rates that can be used to assess exposure to contaminants in air. The studies discussed in this chapter have been classified as key or relevant. Key studies are used as the basis for deriving recommendations and the relevant studies are included to provide additional background and perspective. The recommended inhalation rates are summarized in Section 5.2.4 and cover adults, children, and outdoor workers/athletes.

Inclusion of this chapter in the Exposure Factors Handbook does not imply that assessors will always need to select and use inhalation rates when evaluating exposure to air contaminants. In fact, it is unnecessary to calculate inhaled dose when using dose-response factors from Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) (U.S. EPA, 1994). This is due to the fact that IRIS methodology accounts for inhalation rates in the development of "doseresponse" relationships. When using IRIS for inhalation risk assessments, "dose-response" relationships require only an average air concentration to evaluate health concerns:

- For non-carcinogens, IRIS uses Reference Concentrations (RfC) which are expressed in concentration units. Hazard is evaluated by comparing the inspired air concentration to the RfC.
- For carcinogens, IRIS uses unit risk values which are expressed in inverse concentration units. Risk is evaluated by multiplying the unit risk by the inspired air concentration.

Detailed descriptions of the IRIS methodology for derivation of inhalation reference concentrations can be found in two methods manuals produced by the Agency (U.S. EPA, 1992; 1994).

IRIS employs a default inhalation rate of $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day. This is greater than the recommendated value in this chapter. When using IRIS, adjustments of dose-response relationships using inhalation rates other than the default, 20 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day, are not currently recommended. There are instances where the inhalation rate data presented in this chapter may be used for estimating average daily dose. For example, the inhalatino average daily dose is often estimated in cases where a compative pathway analysis is desired or to determine a total dose by adding across
pathways in cases where RfCs and unit risk factors are not available.

### 5.1. EXPOSURE EQUATION FOR INHALATION

For those cases where the average daily dose (ADD) needs to be estimated, the general equation is:

```
ADD = [[C x IR x ED] / [BW x AT]]
    (Eqn. 5-1)
where:
    ADD = average daily dose (mg/kg-day);
    C = contaminant concentration in inhaled air ( }\mu\textrm{g}/\mp@subsup{\textrm{m}}{}{3})\mathrm{ ;
    IR = inhalation rate (m}\mp@subsup{}{}{3}/\mathrm{ day);
    ED = exposure duration (days);
    BW = body weight (kg); and
    AT = averaging time (days), for non-carcinogenic effects AT
        = ED, for carcinogenic or chronic effects AT = 70
        years or 25,550 days (lifetime).
```

The average daily dose is the dose rate averaged over a pathway-specific period of exposure expressed as a daily dose on a per-unit-body-weight basis. The ADD is used for exposure to chemicals with non-carcinogenic non-chronic effects. For compounds with carcinogenic or chronic effects, the lifetime average daily dose (LADD) is used. The LADD is the dose rate averaged over a lifetime. The contaminant concentration refers to the concentration of the contaminant in inhaled air. Exposure duration refers to the total time an individual is exposed to an air pollutant.

### 5.2. INHALATION RATE

### 5.2.1. Background

The Agency defines exposure as the chemical concentration at the boundary of the body (U.S. EPA, 1992). In the case of inhalation, the situation is complicated by the fact that oxygen exchange with carbon dioxide takes place in the distal portion of the lung. The anatomy and physiology of the respiratory system diminishes the pollutant concentration in inspired air (potential dose) such that the amount of a pollutant that actually enters the body through the lung (internal dose) is less than that measured at the boundary of the body (Figure 5-1). When constructing risk assessments that concern the inhalation route of exposure, one must be aware if any adjustments have been employed in the estimation of the pollutant concentration to account for this reduction in potential dose.

The respiratory system is comprised of three regions: nasopharyngeal, tracheobronchial, and pulmonary. The


Figure 5-1. Schematic of Dose and Exposure: Respiratory Route
Source: U.S. EPA, 1992.
nasopharyngeal region extends from the nose to the larynx. The tracheobronchial region forms the conducting airways between nasopharynx and alveoli where gas exchange occurs. It consists of the trachea, bronchi, and bronchioles. The pulmonary regions consists of the acinus which is the site where gas exchange occurs; it is comprised of respiratory bronchioles, alveolar ducts and sacs, and alveoli. A detailed discussion of pulmonary anatomy and physiology can be found in: Benjamin (1988) and U.S. EPA (1989 and 1994).

Each region in the respiratory system can be involved with removing pollutants from inspired air. The nasopharyngeal region filters out large inhaled particles, moderates the temperature, and increases the humidity of the air. The surface of the tracheobronchial region is covered with ciliated mucous secreting cells which forms a mucociliary escalator that moves particles from deep regions of the lung to the oral cavity where they may be swallowed and then excreted. The branching pattern and physical dimensions of the these airways determine the pattern of deposition of airborne particles and absorption of gases by the respiratory tract. They decrease in diameter as they divide into a bifurcated branching network dilutes gases by axial diffusion of gases along the streamline of airways and radial diffusion of gases due to an increase in
cross sectional area of the lungs. The velocity of the airstream in this decreasing branching network creates a turbulent force such that airborne particles can be deposited along the walls of these airways by impaction, interception, sedimentation, or diffusion depending on their size. The pulmonary region contains macrophages which engulf particles and pathogens that enter this portion of the lung.

Notwithstanding these removal mechanisms, both gaseous and particulate pollutants can deposit in various regions of the lung. Both the physiology of the lung and the chemistry of the pollutant influences where the pollutant tends to deposit.

Gaseous pollutants are evenly dispersed in the air stream. They come into contact with a large portion of the lung. Generally, their solubility and reactivity determines where they deposit in the lung. Water soluble and chemically reactive gases tend to deposit in the upper respiratory tract. Lipid soluble or non-reactive gases usually are not removed in the upper airways and tend to deposit in the distal portions of the lung. Gases can be absorbed into the blood stream or react with lung tissue. Gases can be removed from the lung by reaction with tissues or by expiration. The amount of gas retained in the lung or other parts of the body is mainly due to their solubility in blood.
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Chemically, particles are quite heterogenous. They range from aqueous soluble particles to solid insoluble particles. Their size, chemical composition, and the physical forces of breathing dictate where they tend to deposit in the lung. Large particles, those with a diameter of greater than 0.5 micrometers (um), not filtered out in the nasopharynx, tend to deposit in the upper respiratory tract at airway branching points due to impaction. The momentum of these particles in the air stream is such that they tend to collide with the airway wall at branching points in the tracheobronchial region of the lung. Those particles not removed from the airstream by impaction will likely be deposited in small bronchi and bronchioles by sedimentation, a process where by particles settle out of the airstream due to the decrease in airstream velocity and the gravitational force on the particles. Small particles, less than 0.2 um , acquire a random motion due to bombardment by air molecules. This movement can cause particles to be deposited on the wall of an air way throughout the lungs.

A special case exists for fibers. Fibers can deposit along the wall of an airway by a process known as interception. This occurs when a fiber makes contact with an airway wall. The likelihood of interception increases as airway diminish in diameter. Fiber shape influences deposition too. Long, thin, straight fibers tend to deposit in the deep region of the lung compared to thick or curved fibers.

The health risk associated with human exposure to airborne toxics is a function of concentration of air pollutants, chemical species, duration of exposure, and inhalation rate. The dose delivered to target organs (including the lungs), the biologically effective dose, is dependent on the potentail dose, the applied dose and the internal dose (Figure 5-1) A detailed discussion of this concept can be found in Guidelines for Exposure Assessment (U.S. EPA, 1992).

The estimation of applied dose for a given air pollutant is dependent on inhalation rate, commonly described as ventilation rate (VR) or breathing rate. VR is usually measured as minute volume, the volume in liters of air exhaled per minute $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}\right) . \bigvee$ is the product of the number of respiratory cycles in a minute and the volume of air respired during each respiratory cycle, the tidal volume( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ).

When interested in calculating internal dose, assessors must consider the alveolar ventilation rate. This is the amount of air available for exchange with alveoli per unit time. It is equivalent to the tidal volume( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) minus the anatomic dead space of the lungs (the space containing air
that does not come into contact with the alveoli). Alveolar ventilation is approximately 70 percent of total ventilation; tidal volume is approximately 500 milliliters ( ml ) and the amount of anatomic dead space in the lungs is approximately 150 ml , approximately $30 \%$ of the amount of air inhaled (Menzel and Amdur, 1986).

Breathing rates are affected by numerous individual characteristics, including age, gender, weight, health status, and levels of activity (running, walking, jogging, etc.). VRs are either measured directly using a spirometer and a collection system or indirectly from heart rate (HR) measurements. In many of the studies described in the following sections, HR measurements are usually correlated with VR in simple and multiple regression analysis.

The available studies on inhalation rates are summarized in the following sections. Inhalation rates are reported for adults and children (including infants) performing various activities and outdoor workers/ athletes. The activity levels have been categorized as resting, sedentary, light, moderate, and heavy. In most studies, the sample population kept diaries to record their physical activities, locations, and breathing rates. Ventilation rates were either measured, self-estimated or predicted from equations derived using VR-HR calibration relationships.

### 5.2.2. Key Inhalation Rate Studies

Linn et al. (1992) - Documentation of Activity Patterns in "High-Risk" Groups Exposed to Ozone in the Los Angeles Area - Linn et al. (1992) conducted a study that estimated the inhalation rates for "high-risk" subpopulation groups exposed to ozone $\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ in their daily activities in the Los Angeles area. The population surveyed consisted of seven subject panels: Panel 1: 20 healthy outdoor workers ( 15 males, 5 females, ages 19-50 years); Panel 2: 17 healthy elementary school students ( 5 males, 12 females, ages 10-12 years); Panel 3: 19 healthy high school students ( 7 males, 12 females, ages 13-17 years); Panel 4: 49 asthmatic adults (clinically mild, moderate, and severe, 15 males, 34 females, ages 18-50 years); Panel 5: 24 asthmatic adults from 2 neighborhoods of contrasting $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ air quality ( 10 males, 14 females, ages 19-46 years); Panel 6: 13 young asthmatics ( 7 males, 6 females, ages 11-16 years); Panel 7: construction workers (7 males, ages 26-34 years).

Initially, a calibration test was conducted, followed by a training session. Finally, a field study was conducted which involved subjects' collecting their own heart rate and diary data. During the calibration tests, VR and HR were measured simultaneously at each exercise level. From the calibration data an equation was developed using linear
regression analysis to predict VR from measured HR (Linn et al., 1992).

In the field study, each subject (except construction workers) recorded in diaries: their daily activities, change in locations (indoors, outdoors, or in a vehicle), selfestimated breathing rates during each activity/location, and time spent at each activity/location. Healthy subjects recorded their HR once every 60 seconds, Asthmatic subjects recorded their diary information once every hour using a Heart Watch. Construction workers dictated their diary information to a technician accompanying them on the job. Subjective breathing rates were defined as slow (walking at their normal pace); medium (faster than normal walking); and fast (running or similarly strenuous
exercise). Table 5-1 presents the calibration and field protocols for self-monitoring of activities for each subject panel.

Table 5-2 presents the mean VR, the 99th percentile VR , and the mean VR at each subjective activity level (slow, medium, fast). The mean VR and 99th percentile VR were derived from all HR recordings (that appeared to be valid) without considering the diary data. Each of the three activity levels was determined from both the concurrent diary data and HR recordings by direct calculation or regression (Linn et al., 1992). The mean VR for healthy adults was $0.78 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ while the mean VR for asthmatic adults was $1.02 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 5-2). The preliminary data for construction workers indicated that during a $10-\mathrm{hr}$ work shift, their mean VR ( $1.50 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) exceeded the VRs of all other subject panels (Table 5-2). Linn et al. (1992) reported that the diary data showed that most individuals except construction workers spent most of their time (in a typical day) indoors at slow activity level. During slow activity, asthmatic subjects had higher VRs than healthy subjects, except construction workers (Table 5-2). Also, Linn et al. (1992) reported that in every panel, the predicted VR correlated significantly with the subjective estimates of activity levels.

| Panel | Calibration Protocol | Field Protocol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panel 1 - Healthy Outdoor Workers - 15 female, 5 male, age 19-50 | Laboratory treadmill exercise tests, indoor hallway walking tests at different self-chosen speeds, 2 outdoor tests consisted of 1 -hour cycles each of rest, walking, and jogging. | 3 days in 1 typical summer week (included most active workday and most active day off); HR recordings and activity diary during waking hours. |
| Panel 2 - Healthy Elementary School <br> Students - 5 male, 12 female, age 10-12 | Outdoor exercises each consisted of 20 minute rest, slow walking, jogging and fast walking | Saturday, Sunday and Monday (school day) in early autumn; HR recordings and activity diary during waking hours and during sleep. |
| Panel 3 - Healthy High School Students - 7 male, 12 female, age 13-17 | Outdoor exercises each consisted of 20 minute rest, slow walking, jogging and fast walking | Same as Panel 2, however, no HR recordings during sleep for most subjects. |
| Panel 4 - Adult Asthmatics, clinically mild, moderate, and severe - 15 male, 34 female, age 18-50 | Treadmill and hallway exercise tests | 1 typical summer week, 1 typical winter week; hourly activity/health diary during waking hours; lung function tests 3 times daily; HR recordings during waking hours on at least 3 days (including most active work day and day off). |
| Panel 5 - Adult Asthmatics from 2 neighborhoods of contrasting $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ air quality - 10 male, 14 female, age 19-46 | Treadmill and hallway exercise tests | Similar to Panel 4, personal $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and acid exposure monitoring included. (Panels 4 and 5 were studied in different years, and had 10 subjects in common). |
| Panel 6 - Young Asthmatics - 7 male, 6 female, age 11-16 | Laboratory exercise tests on bicycles and treadmills | Similar to Panel 4, summer monitoring for 2 successive weeks, including 2 controlled exposure studies with few or no observable respiratory effects. |
| Panel 7 - Construction Workers - 7 male, age 26-34 | Performed similar exercises as Panel 2 and 3, and also performed job-related tests including lifting and carrying a $9-\mathrm{kg}$ pipe. | HR recordings and diary information during 1 typical summer work day. |
| Source: Linn et al., 1992 |  |  |
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A limitation of this study is that calibration data may overestimate the predictive power of HR during actual field monitoring. The wide variety of exercises in everyday activities may result in greater variation of the VR-HR relationship than calibrated. Another limitation of this study is the small sample size of each subpopulation surveyed. An advantage of this study is that diary data can provide rough estimates of ventilation patterns which are useful in exposure assessments. Another advantage is that inhalation rates were presented for various subpopulations (i.e., healthy outdoor adult workers, healthy children, asthmatics, and construction workers).

Spier et al. (1992) - Activity Patterns in Elementary and High School Students Exposed To Oxidant Pollution Spier et al. (1992) investigated activity patterns of 17 elementary school students (10-12 years old) and 19 high school students (13-17 years old) in suburban Los Angeles from late September to October
(oxidant pollution season). Calibration tests were conducted in supervised outdoor exercise sessions. The exercise sessions consisted of 5 minutes for each: rest, slow walking, jogging, and fast walking. HR and VR were measured during the last 2 minutes of each exercise. Individual VR and HR relationships for each individual were determined by fitting a regression line to HR values and $\log$ VR values. Each subject recorded their daily activities, change in location, and breathing rates in diaries for 3 consecutive days. Self-estimated breathing rates were recorded as slow (slow walking), medium (walking faster than normal), and fast (running). HR was recorded during
the 3 days once per minute by wearing a Heart Watch. VR values for each self-estimated breathing rate and activity type were estimated from the HR recordings by employing the VR and HR equation obtained from the calibration tests.

The data presented in Table 5-3 represent HR distribution patterns and corresponding predicted VR for each age group during hours spent awake. At the same selfreported activity levels for both age groups, inhalation rates were higher for outdoor activities than for indoor activities. The total hours spent indoors by high school students (21.2 hours) were higher than for elementary school students ( 19.6 hours). The converse was true for outdoor activities; 2.7 hours for high school students, and 4.4 hours for elementary school students (Table 5-4). Based on the data presented in Tables 5-3 and 5-4, the average activityspecific inhalation rates for elementary (10-12 years) and high school (13-17 years) students were calculated in Table 5-5. For elementary school students, the average daily inhalation rates (based on indoor and outdoor locations) are $15.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for light activities, $4.62 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for moderate activities, and $0.98 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for heavy activities. For high school students the daily inhalation rates for light, moderate, and heavy activities are estimated to be $16.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}, 3.1$ $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day, and $0.54 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day, respectively (Table 5-5).
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| Age <br> (yrs) | Student | Location | Activity Level | \% Recorded Time ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Inhalation Rates (m3/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentile Rankings ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean $\pm$ SD | 1st | 50th | 99.9th |
| 10-12 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EL}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \left(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{d}}=17\right) \end{gathered}$ | Indoors | slow | 49.6 | $0.84 \pm 0.36$ | 0.18 | 0.78 | 2.34 |
|  |  |  | medium | 23.6 | $0.96 \pm 0.42$ | 0.24 | 0.84 | 2.58 |
|  |  |  | fast | 2.4 | $1.02 \pm 0.60$ | 0.24 | 0.84 | 3.42 |
|  |  | Outdoors | slow | 8.9 | $0.96 \pm 0.54$ | 0.36 | 0.78 | 4.32 |
|  |  |  | medium | 11.2 | $1.08 \pm 0.48$ | 0.24 | 0.96 | 3.36 |
|  |  |  | fast | 4.3 | $1.14 \pm 0.60$ | 0.48 | 0.96 | 3.60 |
| 13-17 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HS}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \left(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{d}}=19\right) \end{gathered}$ | Indoors | slow | 70.7 | $0.78 \pm 0.36$ | 0.30 | 0.72 | 3.24 |
|  |  |  | medium | 10.9 | $0.96 \pm 0.42$ | 0.42 | 0.84 | 4.02 |
|  |  |  | fast | 1.4 | $1.26 \pm 0.66$ | 0.54 | 1.08 | $6.84{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  |  | Outdoors | slow | 8.2 | $0.96 \pm 0.48$ | 0.42 | 0.90 | 5.28 |
|  |  |  | medium | 7.4 | $1.26 \pm 0.78$ | 0.48 | 1.08 | 5.70 |
|  |  |  | fast | 1.4 | $1.44 \pm 1.08$ | 0.48 | 1.02 | 5.94 |
| Recorded time averaged about 23 hr per elementary school student and 33 hr . per high school student, over 72 -hr. periods. Geometric means closely approximated 50th percentiles; geometric standard deviations were 1.2-1.3 for HR, 1.5-1.8 for VR. EL = elementary school student; HS = high school student. <br> $\mathrm{N}=$ number of students that participated in survey. <br> Highest single value. <br> ource: Spier et al., 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | vity Level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Student } \\ \left(\mathrm{EL}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{n}^{\left.\mathrm{c}=17 ; \mathrm{HS}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{c}}=19\right)}\right. \end{gathered}$ | Location | Slow | Medium | Fast | Total Time Spent (hrs/day) |
| EL | Indoor | 16.3 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 19.6 |
| EL | Outdoor | 2.2 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 4.4 |
| HS | Indoor | 19.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 21.2 |
| HS | Outdoor | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 2.7 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} \quad$ Elementary school (EL) students were between 10-12 years old. <br> b High school (HS) students were between 13-17 years old. <br> c $\quad \mathrm{N}$ corresponds to number of school students. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Spier et al., 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Students | Age <br> (yrs) | Location | Activity type ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean IR $^{\text {b }}$ ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ ) | Percentile Rankings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1st | 50th | 99.9th |
| $\operatorname{EL}\left(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{c}}=17\right)$ | 10-12 | Indoor | Light | 13.7 | 2.93 | 12.71 | 38.14 |
|  |  |  | Moderate | 2.8 | 0.70 | 2.44 | 7.48 |
|  |  |  | Heavy | 0.4 | 0.096 | 0.34 | 1.37 |
| EL |  | Outdoor | Light | 2.1 | 0.79 | 1.72 | 9.50 |
|  |  |  | Moderate | 1.84 | 0.41 | 1.63 | 5.71 |
|  |  |  | Heavy | 0.57 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 1.80 |
| HS ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | 13-17 | Indoor | Light | 15.2 | 5.85 | 14.04 | 63.18 |
|  |  |  | Moderate | 1.4 | 0.63 | 1.26 | 6.03 |
|  |  |  | Heavy | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 1.37 |
| HS |  | Outdoor | Light | 1.15 | 0.50 | 1.08 | 6.34 |
|  |  |  | Moderate | 1.64 | 0.62 | 1.40 | 7.41 |
|  |  |  | Heavy | 0.29 | 0.096 | 0.20 | 1.19 |
| For this report, activity type presented in Table 5-2 was redefined as light activity for slow, moderate activity for medium, and heavy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily inhalation rate was calculated by multiplying the hours spent at each activity level (Table 5-4) by the corresponding inhalation rate (Table 5-3). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Number of elementary (EL) and high school students (HS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Adapted from Spier et al., 1992 (Generated using data from Tables 5-3 and 5-4). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A limitation of this study is the small sample size. The results may not be representative of all children in these age groups. Another limitation is that the accuracy of the self-estimated breathing rates reported by younger age groups is uncertain. This may affect the validity of the data set generated. An advantage of this study is that inhalation rates were determined for children and adolescents. These data are useful in estimating exposure for the younger population.

Adams (1993)- Measurement of Breathing Rate and Volume in Routinely Performed Daily Activities Adams (1993) conducted research to accomplish two main objectives: (1) identification of mean and ranges of inhalation rates for various age/gender cohorts and specific activities; and (2) derivation of simple linear and multiple regression equations used to predict inhalation rates through other measured variables: heart rate (HR), breathing frequency $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, and oxygen consumption $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O} 2}\right)$. A total of 160 subjects participated in the primary study. There were four age dependent groups: (1) children 6 to 12.9 years old, (2) adolescents between 13 and 18.9 years old, (3) adults between 19 and 59.9 years old, and (4) seniors $>60$ years old (Adams, 1993). An additional 40 children from 6 to 12 years old and 12 young children from 3 to 5 years old were
identified as subjects for pilot testing purposes in this age group (Adams, 1993).

Resting protocols conducted in the laboratory for all age groups consisted of three phases ( 25 minutes each) of lying, sitting, and standing. They were categorized as resting and sedentary activities. Two active protocols, moderate (walking) and heavy (jogging/ running) phases, were performed on a treadmill over a progressive continuum of intensities made up of 6 minute intervals, at 3 speeds, ranging from slow to moderately fast. All protocols involved measuring VR, $H R, f_{B}$ (breathing frequency), and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O} 2}$ (oxygen consumption). Measurements were taken in the last 5 minutes of each phase of the resting protocol, and the last 3 minutes of the 6 minute intervals at each speed designated in the active protocols.

In the field, all children completed spontaneous play protocols, while the older adolescent population (16-18 years) completed car driving and riding, car maintenance (males), and housework (females) protocols. All adult females (19-60 years) and most of the senior (60-77 years) females completed housework, yardwork, and car driving and riding protocols. Adult and senior males completed car driving and riding, yardwork, and mowing protocols. HR, VR, and $f_{B}$ were measured during each protocol. Most
protocols were conducted for 30 minutes. All the active field protocols were conducted twice.

During all activities in either the laboratory or field protocols, IR for the children's group revealed no significant gender differences, but those for the adult groups demonstrated gender differences. Therefore, IR data presented in Appendix Tables 5A-1 and 5A-2 were categorized as young children, children (no gender), and for adult female, and adult male by activity levels (resting, sedentary, light, moderate, and heavy). These categorized data from the Appendix tables are summarized as IR in $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ in Tables 5-6 and 5-7. The laboratory protocols are shown in Table 5-6. Table 5-7 presents the mean inhalation rates by group and activity levels (light, sedentary, and moderate) in field protocols. A comparison of the data shown in Tables 5-6 and 5-7 suggest that during light and sedentary activities in laboratory and field protocols, similar inhalation rates were obtained for adult females and adult males. Accurate predictions of IR across all population groups and activity types were obtained by including body surface area (BSA), HR, and $f_{B}$ in multiple regression analysis (Adams, 1993). Adams (1993) calculated BSA from measured height and weight using the equation:

$$
\text { BSA }=\text { Height }^{(0.725)} \mathrm{x} \text { Weight }{ }^{(0.425)} \times 71.84
$$

(Eqn. 5-2)

A limitation associated with this study is that the population does not represent the general U.S. population. Also, the classification of activity types (i.e., laboratory and field protocols) into activity levels may bias the inhalation rates obtained for various age/gender cohorts. The estimated rates were based on short-term data and may not reflect long-term patterns. An advantage of this study is that it provides inhalation data for all age groups.

Linn et al. (1993) - Activity patterns in Ozone Exposed Construction Workers - Linn et al. (1993) estimated the inhalation rates of 19 construction workers who perform heavy outdoor labor before and during a typical work shift. The workers (laborers, iron workers, and carpenters) were employed at a site on a hospital campus in suburban Los Angeles. The construction site included a new hospital building and a separate medical office complex. The study was conducted between mid-July and early November, 1991. During this period, ozone ( $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) levels were typically high. Initially, each subject was calibrated with a 25 -minute exercise test that included slow walking, fast walking, jogging, lifting, and carrying. All calibration tests were conducted in the mornings. VR

| Age Group | Resting ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sedentary ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Light ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Moderate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Heavy ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Young Children ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.65 | DNP ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | DNP |
| Children ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.95 | 1.74 | 2.23 |
| Adult Females ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.43 | 0.48 | 1.33 | 2.76 | $2.96{ }^{\text {j }}$ |
| Adult Males ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 0.54 | 0.60 | 1.45 | 1.93 | 3.63 |
| Resting defined as lying (see Appendix Table 5A-1 for original data). <br> Sedentary defined as sitting and standing (see Appendix Table 5A-1 for original data). <br> Light defined as walking at speed level 1.5-3.0 mph (see Appendix Table 5A-1 for original data). <br> Moderate defined as fast walking (3.3-4.0 mph) and slow running (3.5-4.0 mph) (see Appendix Table 5A-1 for original data). <br> Heavy defined as fast running (4.5-6.0 mph) (see Appendix Table 5A-1 for original data). <br> Young children (both genders) 3-5.9 yrs old. <br> DNP. Group did not perform this protocol or N was too small for appropriate mean comparisons. All young children did not run. <br> Children (both genders) 6-12.9 yrs old. <br> Adult females defined as adolescent, young to middle aged, and older adult females. <br> Older adults not included in mean value since they did not perform running protocols at particular speeds. <br> Adult males defined as adolescent, young to middle aged, and older adult males. <br> Source: Adapted from Adams, 1993. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5-7. Summary of Average Inhalation Rates ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) by Age $\square$ Group and Activity Levels in Field Protocols
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| Young Children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | DNP ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | DNP | 0.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | DNP | DNP |  |
| Adult Females ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $1.10^{\text {h }}$ | 0.51 | DNP |
| Adult Males ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 1.40 | 0.62 | $1.78{ }^{\text {j }}$ |
| Light activity was defined as car maintenance (males), housework (females), and yard work (females) (see Appendix Table 5A-2 for original data). <br> Sedentary activity was defined as car driving and riding (both genders) (see Appendix Table 5A-2 for original data). <br> Moderate activity was defined as mowing (males); wood working (males); yard work (males); and play (children) (see Appendix Table 5A-2 for original data). <br> Young children $($ both genders) $=3-5.9$ yrs old. <br> DNP. Group did not perform this protocol or N was too small for appropriate mean comparisons. <br> Children (both genders) $=6-12.9$ yrs old. <br> Adult females defined as adolescent, young to middle aged, and older adult females. <br> Older adults not included in mean value since they did not perform this activity. <br> Adult males defined as adolescent, young to middle aged, and older adult males. <br> Adolescents not included in mean value since they did not perform this activity. <br> Source: Adams, 1993. |  |  |  |

and HR were measured simultaneously during the test. The data were analyzed using least squares regression to derive an equation for predicting VR at a given HR. Following the calibration tests, each subject recorded the type of activities to be performed during their work shift (i.e., sitting/standing, walking, lifting/carrying, and "working at trade" - defined as tasks specific to the individual's job classification). Location, and self-estimated breathing rates ("slow" similar to slow walking, "medium" similar to fast walking, and "fast" similar to running) were also recorded in the diary. During work, an investigator recorded the diary information dictated by the subjects. HR was recorded minute by minute for each subject before work and during the entire work shift. Thus, VR ranges for each breathing rate and activity category were estimated from the HR recordings by employing the relationship between VR and HR obtained from the calibration tests.

A total of 182 hours of HR recordings were obtained during the survey from the 19 volunteers; 144 hours reflected actual working time according to the diary records. The lowest actual working hours recorded was 6.6 hours and the highest recorded for a complete work shift was 11.6 hours (Linn et al., 1993). Summary statistics for predicted VR distributions for all subjects, and for job or site defined
subgroups are presented in Table 5-8. The data reflect all recordings before and during work, and at break times. For all subjects, the mean IR was $1.68 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ with a standard deviation of $\pm 0.72$ (Table 5-8). Also, for most subjects, the 1st and 99th percentiles of HR were outside of the calibration range (calibration ranges are presented in Appendix Table 5A-3). Therefore, corresponding IR percentiles were extrapolated using the calibration data (Linn et al., 1993).

The data presented in Table 5-9 represent distribution patterns of IR for each subject, total subjects, and job or site defined subgroups by self-estimated breathing rates (slow, medium, fast) or by type of job activity. All data include working and non-working hours. The mean inhalation rates for most individuals showed statistically significant increases with higher self-estimated breathing rates or with increasingly strenuous job activity (Linn et al., 1993). Inhalation rates were higher in hospital site workers when compared with office site workers (Table 5-9). In spite of their higher predicted VR workers at the hospital site reported a higher percentage of slow breathing time (31 percent) than workers at the office site (20 percent), and a lower percentage of fast breathing time, 3 percent and 5 percent, respectively (Linn et al., 1993). Therefore, individuals whose work was objectively heavier than average (from VR predictions) tended to describe their work as lighter than average (Linn et al., 1993). Linn et al. (1993) also concluded that during an $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ pollution episode, construction workers should experience similar microenvironmental $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ exposure concentrations as other healthy outdoor workers, but with approximately twice as high a VR. Therefore, the inhaled dose of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ should be almost two times higher for typical heavy-construction workers than for typical healthy adults performing less strenuous outdoor jobs.

A limitation associated with this study is the small sample size. Another limitation of this study is that calibration data were not obtained at extreme conditions.

Volume I - General Factors

Chapter 5-Inhalation

|  |  | Ventilation Rate (VR) ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentile |  |  |
| Population Group and Subgroup ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean $\pm$ SD | 1 | 50 | 99 |
| All Subjects ( $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{b}}=19$ ) | $1.68 \pm 0.72$ | 0.66 | 1.62 | 3.90 |
| Job |  |  |  |  |
| GCW'/Laborers ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) | $1.44 \pm 0.66$ | 0.48 | 1.32 | 3.66 |
| Iron Workers ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) | $1.62 \pm 0.66$ | 0.60 | 1.56 | 3.24 |
| Carpenters ( $\mathrm{n}=11$ ) | $1.86 \pm 0.78$ | 0.78 | 1.74 | 4.14 |
| Site |  |  |  |  |
| Medical Office Site ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) | $1.38 \pm 0.66$ | 0.60 | 1.20 | 3.72 |
| Hospital Site ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) | $1.86 \pm 0.78$ | 0.72 | 1.80 | 3.96 |
| a Each group or subgroup mean was calculated from individual means, not from pooled data. $\mathrm{n}=$ number of individuals performing specific jobs or number of individuals at survey sites. GCW - general construction worker. |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Linn et al., 1993. |  |  |  |  |


| Population Group and Subgroup | Self-Estimated Breathing Rate ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  | Job Activity Category ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Slow | Med | Fast | Sit/Std | Walk | Carry | Trade ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| All Subjects ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | 1.44 | 1.86 | 2.04 | 1.56 | 1.80 | 2.10 | 1.92 |
| Job |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GCW/Laborers ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) | 1.20 | 1.56 | 1.68 | 1.26 | 1.44 | 1.74 | 1.56 |
| Iron Workers ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) | 1.38 | 1.86 | 2.10 | 1.62 | 1.74 | 1.98 | 1.92 |
| Carpenters ( $\mathrm{n}=11$ ) | 1.62 | 2.04 | 2.28 | 1.62 | 1.92 | 2.28 | 2.04 |
| Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Site ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) | 1.14 | 1.44 | 1.62 | 1.14 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.44 |
| Hospital Site ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) | 1.62 | 2.16 | 2.40 | 1.80 | 2.04 | 2.34 | 2.16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a GCW - general construction worker } \\ & \text { b Trade - "Working at Trade" (i.e., tasks specific to the individual's job classification) } \\ & \text { Source: } \quad \text { Linn et al., } 1993 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Therefore, it was necessary to predict IR values that were outside the calibration range. This may introduce an unknown amount of uncertainty to the data set. Subjective
self-estimated breathing rates may be another source of uncertainty in the inhalation rates estimated. An advantage is that this study provides empirical data useful in exposure
assessments for a subpopulation thought to be the most highly exposed common occupational group (outdoor workers).

Layton (1993) - Metabolically Consistent Breathing Rates for Use in Dose Assessments - Layton (1993) presented a new method for estimating metabolically consistent inhalation rates for use in quantitative dose assessments of airborne radionuclides. Generally, the approach for estimating the breathing rate for a specified time frame was to calculate a time-weighted-average of ventilation rates associated with physical activities of varying durations (Layton, 1993). However, in this study, breathing rates were calculated based on oxygen consumption associated with energy expenditures for short (hours) and long (weeks and months) periods of time, using the following general equation to calculate energydependent inhalation rates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{E} \times \mathrm{Hx} \mathrm{VQ} \quad \text { (Eqn. 5-3) } \\
& \text { where: } \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=\text { ventilation rate }\left(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} \text { or } \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}\right) \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{E}=\text { energy expenditure rate; [kilojoules/minute (KJ/min) } \\
& \text { or megajoules/hour (MJ/hr)]; } \\
& \mathrm{H}=\text { volume of oxygen [at standard temperature and } \\
& \text { pressure, dry air (STPD) consumed in the production } \\
& \text { of } 1 \text { kilojoule (KJ) of energy expended (L/KJ or } \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{MJ}\right)\right] \text {; and } \\
& \mathrm{VQ}=\text { ventilatory equivalent (ratio of minute volume } \\
& \text { ( } \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} \text { ) to oxygen uptake ( } \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} \text { )) unitless. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Three alternative approaches were used to estimate daily chronic (long term) inhalation rates for different age/gender cohorts of the U.S. population using this methodology.

## First Approach

Inhalation rates were estimated by multiplying average daily food energy intakes for different age/gender cohorts, volume of oxygen $(\mathrm{H})$, and ventilatory equivalent (VQ), as shown in the equation above. The average food energy intake data (Table 5-10) are based on approximately 30,000 individuals and were obtained from the USDA 1977-78 Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (USDANFCS). The food energy intakes were adjusted upwards by a constant factor of 1.2 for all individuals 9 years and older (Layton, 1993). This factor compensated for a consistent bias in USDA-NFCS attributed to under reporting of the foods consumed or the methods used to ascertain dietary intakes. Layton (1993) used a weighted average oxygen uptake of $0.05 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{KJ}$ which was determined from data
reported in the 1977-78 USDA-NFCS and the second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES II). The survey sample for NHANES II was approximately 20,000 participants. The ventilatory equivalent (VQ) of 27 used was calculated as the geometric mean of VQ data that were obtained from several studies by Layton (1993).

The inhalation rate estimation techniques are shown in footnote (a) of Table 5-11. Table 5-11 presents the daily inhalation rate for each age/gender cohort. The highest daily inhalation rates were reported for children between the ages of 6-8 years ( $10 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ ), for males between $15-18$ years ( $17 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day), and females between $9-11$ years (13 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day). Estimated average lifetime inhalation rates for males and females are $14 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day and $10^{3} \mathrm{~m} /$ day, respectively (Table 5-11). Inhalation rates were also calculated for active and inactive periods for the various age/gender cohorts.

The inhalation rate for inactive periods was estimated by multiplying the basal metabolic rate (BMR) times the oxygen uptake ( H ) times the VQ. BMR was defined as "the minimum amount of energy required to support basic cellular respiration while at rest and not actively digesting food"(Layton, 1993). The inhalation rate for active periods was calculated by multiplying the inactive inhalation rate by the ratio of the rate of energy expenditure during active hours to the estimated BMR. This ratio is presented as F in Table 5-11. These data for active and inactive inhalation rates are also presented in Table 5-11. For children, inactive and active inhalation rates ranged between 2.35 and $5.95 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day and 6.35 to $13.09 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day, respectively. For adult males (19-64 years old), the average inactive and active inhalation rates were approximately 10 and $19 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day, respectively. Also, the average inactive and active inhalation rates for adult females (19-64 years old) were approximately 8 and $12 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day, respectively.

## Second Approach

Inhalation rates were calculated by multiplying the BMR of the population cohorts times A (ratio of total daily energy expenditure to daily BMR) times H times VQ. The BMR data obtained from literature were statistically analyzed and regression equations were developed to predict BMR from body weights of various age/gender cohorts (Layton, 1993). The statistical data used to develop the regression equations are presented in Appendix Table 5A-4. The data obtained from the second
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| Table 5-10. Comparisons of Estimated Basal Metabolic Rates (BMR) with Average Food-Energy Intakes for Individuals Sampled in the 1977-78 NFCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort/Age | Body Weight |  |  | Energy Intake (EFD) |  | Ratio |
| (years) | $\mathrm{kg}$ | MJ d ${ }^{-1 \mathrm{~b}}$ | $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{d}^{-1 \mathrm{c}}$ | MJ d ${ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ | EFD/BMR |
| Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1 | 7.6 | 1.74 | 416 | 3.32 | 793 | 1.90 |
| 1 to 2 | 13 | 3.08 | 734 | 5.07 | 1209 | 1.65 |
| 3 to 5 | 18 | 3.69 | 881 | 6.14 | 1466 | 1.66 |
| 6 to 8 | 26 | 4.41 | 1053 | 7.43 | 1774 | 1.68 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 to 11 | 36 | 5.42 | 1293 | 8.55 | 2040 | 1.58 |
| 12 to 14 | 50 | 6.45 | 1540 | 9.54 | 2276 | 1.48 |
| 15 to 18 | 66 | 7.64 | 1823 | 10.8 | 2568 | 1.41 |
| 19 to 22 | 74 | 7.56 | 1804 | 10.0 | 2395 | 1.33 |
| 23 to 34 | 79 | 7.87 | 1879 | 10.1 | 2418 | 1.29 |
| 35 to 50 | 82 | 7.59 | 1811 | 9.51 | 2270 | 1.25 |
| 51 to 64 | 80 | 7.49 | 1788 | 9.04 | 2158 | 1.21 |
| $65 \text { to } 74$ | 76 | 6.18 | 1476 | 8.02 | 1913 | 1.30 |
| $75+$ | 71 | 5.94 | 1417 | 7.82 | 1866 | 1.32 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 to 11 | 36 | 4.91 | 1173 | 7.75 | 1849 | 1.58 |
| 12 to 14 | 49 | 5.64 | 1347 | 7.72 | 1842 | 1.37 |
| 15 to 18 | 56 | 6.03 | 1440 | 7.32 | 1748 | 1.21 |
| 19 to 22 | 59 | 5.69 | 1359 | 6.71 | 1601 | 1.18 |
| 23 to 34 | 62 | 5.88 | 1403 | 6.72 | 1603 | 1.14 |
| 35 to 50 | 66 | 5.78 | 1380 | 6.34 | 1514 | 1.10 |
| 51 to 64 | 67 | 5.82 | 1388 | 6.40 | 1528 | 1.10 |
| 65 to 74 | 66 | 5.26 | 1256 | 5.99 | 1430 | 1.14 |
| $75+$ | 62 | 5.11 | 1220 | 5.94 | 1417 | 1.16 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} \quad$ Calculated from the appropriate age and gender-based BMR equations given in Appendix Table 5A-4. <br> MJ d ${ }^{-1}$ - mega joules/day <br> kcal d ${ }^{-1}$ - kilo calories/day <br> Source: Layton, 1993. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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a Daily inhalation rate was calculated by multiplying the EFD values (see Table 5-10) by $\mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{VQ} \times\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} 1,000 \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ for subjects under 9 years of age and by $1.2 \times \mathrm{H} \times$ VQ $\times\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} 1,000 \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ (for subjects 9 years of age and older (see text for explanation).
Where:
EFD = Food energy intake (Kcal/day) or (MJ/day)
$\mathrm{H}=$ Oxygen uptake $=0.05 \mathrm{LO}_{2} / \mathrm{KJ}$ or $0.21 \mathrm{LO}_{2} / \mathrm{Kcal}$
$\mathrm{VQ}=$ Ventilation equivalent $=27=$ geometric mean of VQs (unitless)
b MET $=$ Metabolic equivalent
c Inhalation rate for inactive periods was calculated as BMR x $\mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{VQ} \times\left(\mathrm{d} 1,440 \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ and for active periods by multiplying inactive inhalation rate by F (See
footnote f); BMR values are from Table 5-10.
Where:
$\mathrm{BMR}=$ Basal metabolic rate (MJ/day) or ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
d $\quad L$ is the number of years for each age cohort.
e For individuals 9 years of age and older, A was calculated by multiplying the ratio for EFD/BMR (unitless) (Table 5-10) by the factor 1.2 (see text for explanation).
${ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{F}=(24 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{S}) /(24-\mathrm{S})$ (unitless), ratio of the rate of energy expenditure during active hours to the estimated BMR (unitless)
Where:
$\mathrm{S} \quad=$ Number of hours spent sleeping each day (hrs)
g Lifetime average was calculated by multiplying individual inhalation rate by corresponding $L$ values summing the products across cohorts and dividing the result by 75 , the total of the cohort age spans.

Source: Layton, 1993.
approach are presented in Table 5-12. Inhalation rates for children ( 6 months - 10 years) ranged from 7.3-9.3 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day for male and 5.6 to $8.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for female children and (1018 years) was $15 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for males and $12{ }^{3} \mathrm{~m} /$ day for females. Adult females (18 years and older) ranged from 9.9-11 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day and adult males (18 years and older) ranged from $13-17 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day. These rates are similar to the daily inhalation rates obtained using the first approach. Also, the inactive inhalation rates obtained from the first approach are lower than the inhalation rates obtained using the second approach. This may be attributed to the BMR multiplier employed in the equation of the second approach to calculate inhalation rates.

## Third Approach

Inhalation rates were calculated by multiplying estimated energy expenditures associated with different levels of physical activity engaged in over the course of an average day by VQ and H for each age/gender cohort. The energy expenditure associated with each level of activity was estimated by multiplying BMRs of each activity level by the metabolic equivalent (MET) and by the time spent per day performing each activity for each age/gender population. The time-activity data used in this approach were obtained from a survey conducted by Sallis et al. (1985) (Layton, 1993). In that survey, the physical-activity categories and associated MET values used were
sleep, MET=1; light-activity, $\mathrm{MET}=1.5$; moderate activity, $\mathrm{MET}=4$; hard activity, MET=6; and very hard activity, $\mathrm{MET}=10$. The physical activities were based on recall by the test subject (Layton, 1993). The survey sample was 2,126 individuals ( 1,120 women and 1,006 men) ages 20 74 years that were randomly selected from four communities in California. The BMRs were estimated using the metabolic equations presented in Appendix Table 5A-4. The body weights were obtained from a study conducted by Najjar and Rowland (1987) which randomly sampled individuals from the U.S. population (Layton, 1993). Table 5-13 presents the inhalation rates $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ in $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day and $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for adult males and females aged 20-74 years at five physical activity levels. The total daily inhalation rates ranged from $13-17 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for adult males and 11-15 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day for adult females.

The rates for adult females were higher when compared with the other two approaches. Layton (1993) reported that the estimated inhalation rates obtained from the third approach were particularly sensitive to the MET value that represented the energy expenditures for light activities. Layton (1993) stated further that in the original time-activity survey (i.e., conducted by Sallis et al., 1985), time spent performing light activities was not presented. Therefore, the time spent at light activities was estimated

| Table 5-12. Daily Inhalation Rates Obtained from the Ratios of Total Energy Expenditure to Basal Metabolic Rate (BMR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Gender/Age } \\ (\mathrm{yrs}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Body Weighta } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BMR}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \text { (MJ/day) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | VQ | $\mathrm{A}^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{MJ}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Inhalation Rate, }, V_{E} \\\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \text { day }\right)^{d}}}{ }$ |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5-<3 | 14 | 3.4 | 27 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 7.3 |
| 3-<10 | 23 | 4.3 | 27 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 9.3 |
| 10-<18 | 53 | 6.7 | 27 | 1.7 | 0.05 | 15 |
| 18-<30 | 76 | 7.7 | 27 | 1.59 | 0.05 | 17 |
| 30-<60 | 80 | 7.5 | 27 | 1.59 | 0.05 | 16 |
| 60+ | 75 | 6.1 | 27 | 1.59 | 0.05 | 13 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5-<3 | 11 | 2.6 | 27 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 5.6 |
| 3-<10 | 23 | 4.0 | 27 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 8.6 |
| 10-<18 | 50 | 5.7 | 27 | 1.5 | 0.05 | 12 |
| 18-<30 | 62 | 5.9 | 27 | 1.38 | 0.05 | 11 |
| $30-<60$ | 68 | 5.8 | 27 | 1.38 | 0.05 | 11 |
| 60+ | 67 | 5.3 | 27 | 1.38 | 0.05 | 9.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Body weight was based on the average weights for age/gender cohorts in the U.S. population.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The BMRs (basal metabolic rate) are calculated using the respective body weights and BMR equations (see Appendix Table 5A-4).
${ }^{c}$ The values of the BMR multiplier (EFD/BMR) for those 18 years and older were derived from the Basiotis et al. (1989) study: Male $=1.59$, Female $=1.38$. For males and females under 10 years old, the mean BMR multiplier used was 1.6. For males and females aged 10 to $<18$ years, the mean values for A given in Table 5-11 for 12-14 years and 15-18 years, age brackets for males and females were used: male $=1.7$ and female $=1.5$.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Inhalation rate $=\mathrm{BMR} \times \mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{VQ} ; \mathrm{VQ}=$ ventilation equivalent and $\mathrm{H}=$ oxygen uptake.
Source: Layton, 1993.
0

| Table 5-13. Daily Inhalation Rates Based on Time-Activity Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (yrs) and Activity | MET | Males |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Body Weight ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (kg) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BMR}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & (\mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | Duration ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (hr/day) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{d}} \\ (\mathrm{MJ} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{e}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \text { day }\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{f}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (kg) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BMR}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & (\mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | Duration ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (hr/day) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{d}} \\ (\mathrm{MJ} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{e}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{f}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 20-34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep | 1 | 76 | 320 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 62 | 283 | 7.2 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 0.4 |
| Light | 1.5 | 76 | 320 | 14.5 | 7.0 | 9.4 | 0.7 | 62 | 283 | 14.5 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 0.6 |
| Moderate | 4 | 76 | 320 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 62 | 283 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Hard | 6 | 76 | 320 | 0.64 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 62 | 283 | 0.64 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| Very Hard | 10 | 76 | 320 | 0.23 | 0.74 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 62 | 283 | 0.23 | 0.65 | 0.88 | 3.8 |
| Totals |  |  |  | 24 | 17 | 17 |  |  |  | 24 | 11 | 15 |  |
| 35-49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep | 1 | 81 | 314 | 7.1 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 67 | 242 | 7.1 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| Light | 1.5 | 81 | 314 | 14.6 | 6.9 | 9.3 | 0.6 | 67 | 242 | 14.6 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 0.5 |
| Moderate | 4 | 81 | 314 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 67 | 242 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Hard | 6 | 81 | 314 | 0.59 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 67 | 242 | 0.59 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Very Hard | 10 | 81 | 314 | 0.29 | 0.91 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 67 | 242 | 0.29 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 3.2 |
| Totals |  |  |  | 24 | 13 | 17 |  |  |  | 24 | 9.9 | 13 |  |
| 50-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep | 1 | 80 | 312 | 7.3 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 68 | 244 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.3 |
| Light | 1.5 | 80 | 312 | 14.9 | 7.0 | 9.4 | 0.6 | 68 | 244 | 14.9 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 0.5 |
| Moderate | 4 | 80 | 312 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 68 | 244 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Hard | 6 | 80 | 312 | 0.50 | 0.94 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 68 | 244 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Very Hard | 10 | 80 | 312 | 0.14 | 0.44 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 68 | 244 | $0.14$ | $0.34$ | $0.46$ | 3.3 |
| Totals |  |  |  | 24 | 12 | 16 |  |  |  | $24$ | $9.4$ | $13$ |  |
| 65-74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep | 1 | 75 | 256 | 7.3 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 67 | 221 | 7.3 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 0.3 |
| Light | 1.5 | 75 | 256 | 14.9 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 0.5 | 67 | 221 | 14.9 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 0.4 |
| Moderate | 4 | 75 | 256 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 67 | 221 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Hard | 6 | 75 | 256 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 67 | 221 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| Very Hard | 10 | 75 | 256 | 0.14 24 | 0.36 98 | 0.48 13 | 3.5 | 67 | 221 | $0.14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.31 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | 0.42 | 3.0 |
| Totals |  |  |  | 24 | 9.8 | 13 |  |  |  | 24 | 8.5 | 11 |  |

a Body weights were obtained from Najjar and Rowland (1987)
b The basal metabolic rates (BMRs) for the age/gender cohorts were calculated using the respective body weights and the BMR equations (Appendix Table 5A-4)
Duration of activities were obtained from Sallis et al. (1985)
Energy expenditure rate (E) was calculated by multiplying BMR ( $\mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{hr)}$ x ( $\mathrm{MJ} / 1000 \mathrm{KJ}$ ) x duration (hr/day) x MET
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$ (inhalation rate) was calculated by multiplying E (MJ/day) by $\mathrm{H}\left(0.05 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right.$ oxygen/MJ) by VQ (27)
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{m}\right.$ hr) was calculated by multiplying BMR (KJ/hr) x (MJ/1000 KJ) x MET x H ( $0.05 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ oxygen/MJ) x VQ (27)
Source:
Layton, 1993.
by subtracting the total time spent at sleep, moderate, heavy, and very heavy activities from 24 hours (Layton, 1993). The range of inhalation rates for adult females were 9.6 to $11 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day, 9.9 to $11 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day, and 11 to $15 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day, for the first, second, and third approach, respectively. The inhalation rates for adult males ranged from 13 to $16 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for the first approach, and 13 to $17 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for the second and third approaches.

Inhalation rates were also obtained for short-term exposures for various age/gender cohorts and five energyexpenditure categories (rest, sedentary, light, moderate, and heavy). BMRs were multiplied by the product of MET, H, and VQ. The data obtained for short term exposures are presented in Table 5-14.

The major strengths of the Layton (1993) study are that it obtains similar results using three different approaches to estimate inhalation rates in different age groups and that the populations are large, consisting of men, women, and children. Explanations for differences in results due to metabolic measurements, reported diet, or activity patterns are supported by observations reported by other investigators in other studies. Major limitations of this study are that activity pattern levels estimated in
this study are somewhat subjective, the explanation that activity pattern differences is responsible for the lower level obtained with the metabolic approach ( 25 percent) compared to the activity pattern approach is not well supported by the data, and different populations were used in each approach which may introduce error.

### 5.2.3. Relevant Inhalation Rate Studies

International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) (1981) - Report of the Task Group on Reference Man - The International Commission of Radiological Protection (ICRP) estimated daily inhalation rates for reference adult males, adult females, children (10 years old), infant ( 1 year old), and newborn babies by using a time-activity-ventilation approach. This approach for estimating inhalation rate over a specified period of time was based on calculating a time weighted average of inhalation rates associated with physical activities of varying durations. ICRP (1981) compiled reference values (Appendix Table 5A-5) of minute volume/inhalation rates from various literature sources. ICRP (1981) assumed that the daily activities of a reference man and woman, and child (10 yrs) consisted of
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8 hours of rest and 16 hours of light activities. It was also assumed that 16 hours were divided evenly between occupational and nonoccupational activities. It was assumed that a day consisted of 14 hours resting and 10 hours light activity for an infant (1 yr). A newborn's daily activities consisted of 23 hours resting and 1 hour light activity. Table $5-15$ presents the daily inhalation rates obtained for all ages/genders. The estimated inhalation rates were $22.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for adult males, 21.1 m /day for adult females, $14.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for children (age 10 years), 3.76 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day for infants (age 1 year), and $0.78 \mathrm{~m} /$ day for newborns.

| Inhalation Rate (IR) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Resting ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Light Activity ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Daily Inhalation Rate (DIR) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ ) |
| Adult Man | 0.45 | 1.2 | 22.8 |
| Adult Woman | 0.36 | 1.14 | 21.1 |
| Child (10 yrs) | 0.29 | 0.78 | 14.8 |
| Infant (1 yr) | 0.09 | 0.25 | 3.76 |
| Newborn | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.78 |
| a Assumptions made were based on 8 hours resting and 16 hours light activity for adults and children ( 10 yrs ); 14 hours resting and 10 hours light activity <br> b for infants ( 1 yr ); 23 hours resting and 1 hour light activity for newborns. $\begin{aligned} & \quad \text { DIR }=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \\ & \mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=\text { Corresponding inhalation rate at } \mathrm{i}^{\text {th }} \text { activity } \\ & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \text { = Hours spent during the } \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { activity } \\ & \mathrm{k} \quad=\text { Number of activity periods } \\ & \mathrm{T} \quad=\text { Total time of the exposure period (i.e., a day) } \end{aligned}$ <br> Source: ICRP, 1981 |  |  |  |

A limitation associated with this study is that the validity and accuracy of the inhalation rates data used in the compilation were not specified. This may introduce some degree of uncertainty in the results obtained. Also, the approach used involved assuming hours spent by various age/gender cohorts in specific activities. These assumptions may over/under-estimate the inhalation rates obtained.
U.S. EPA (1985) - Development of Statistical Distributions or Ranges of Standard Factors Used in Exposure Assessments - Due to a paucity of information in the literature regarding equations used to develop statistical distributions of minute ventilation/ventilation rate at all activity levels for male and female children and adults, the U.S. EPA (1985) compiled measured values of minute ventilation for various age/gender cohorts from early studies. In more recent investigations, minute ventilations have been measured more as background information than
as research objective itself and the available studies have been for specific subpopulations such as obese, asthmatics, or marathon runners. The data compiled by the U.S. EPA (1985) for each age/gender cohorts were obtained at various activity levels. These levels were categorized as light, moderate, or heavy according to the criteria developed by the EPA Office of Environmental Criteria and Assessment for the Ozone Criteria Document. These criteria were developed for a reference male adult with a body weight of 70 kg (U.S. EPA, 1985). The minute ventilation rates for adult males based on these activity level categories are detailed in Appendix Table 5A-6.

Table 5-16 presents a summary of inhalation rates by age, gender, and activity level (detailed data are presented in Appendix Table 5A-7). A description of activities included in each activity level is also presented in Table 516. Table $5-16$ indicates that at rest, the average adult inhalation rate is $0.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$. The mean inhalation rate for children at rest, ages 6 and 10 years, is $0.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$. Table 517 presents activity pattern data aggregated for three microenvironments by activity level for all age groups. The total average hours spent indoors was 20.4, outdoors was 1.77, and in transportation vehicle was 1.77. Based on the data presented in Tables 5-16 and 5-17, a daily inhalation rate was calculated for adults and children by using a time-activity-ventilation approach. These data are presented in Table 5-18. The calculated average daily inhalation rate is $16 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for adults. The average daily inhalation rate for children ( 6 and 10 yrs ) is $18.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day ( $[16.74+21.02] / 2$ ).

A limitation associated with this study is that many of the values used in the data compilation were from early studies. The accuracy and/or validity of the values used and data collection method were not presented in U.S. EPA (1985). This introduces uncertainty in the results obtained. An advantage of this study is that the data are actual measurement data for a large number of subjects and the data are presented for both adults and children.

Shamoo et al. (1990) - Improved Quantitation of Air Pollution Dose Rates by Improved Estimation of Ventilation Rate- Shamoo et al. (1990) conducted this study to develop and validate new methods to accurately estimate ventilation rates for typical individuals during their normal activities. Two practical approaches were tested for estimating ventilation rates indirectly: (1) volunteers were trained to estimate their own VR at
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|  | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {b }}$ | Resting ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | n | Light ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | n | Moderate ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | n | Heavy ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adult male | 454 | 0.7 | 102 | 0.8 | 102 | 2.5 | 267 | 4.8 |
| Adult female | 595 | 0.3 | 786 | 0.5 | 106 | 1.6 | 211 | 2.9 |
| Average adult ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |  | 0.5 |  | 0.6 |  | 2.1 |  | 3.9 |
| Child, age 6 years | 8 | 0.4 | 16 | 0.8 | 4 | 2.0 | 5 | 2.3 |
| Child, age 10 years | 10 | 0.4 | 40 | 1.0 | 29 | 3.2 | 43 | 3.9 |
| a Values of inhalation rates for males, females, and children (male and female) presented in this table represent the mean of values reported for each activity level in 1985. (See Appendix Table 5A-7 for a detailed listing of the data from U.S. EPA, 1985.) <br> $\mathrm{n}=$ number of observations at each activity level. <br> Includes watching television, reading, and sleeping. <br> Includes most domestic work, attending to personal needs and care, hobbies, and conducting minor indoor repairs and home improvements. <br> Includes heavy indoor cleanup, performance of major indoor repairs and alterations, and climbing stairs. <br> Includes vigorous physical exercise and climbing stairs carrying a load. <br> Derived by taking the mean of the adult male and adult female values for each activity level. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 5-17. Activity Pattern Data Aggregated for Three Microenvironments by Activity Level for all Age Groups |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Microenvironment | Activity Level | Average Hours Per Day in Each Microenvironment at Each Activity Level |
| Indoors | Resting | 9.82 |
|  | Light | 9.82 |
|  | Moderate | 0.71 |
|  | Heavy | 0.098 |
|  | TOTAL | 20.4 |
| Outdoors | Resting | 0.505 |
|  | Light | 0.505 |
|  | Moderate | 0.65 |
|  | Heavy | 0.12 |
|  | TOTAL | 1.77 |
| In Transportation | Resting | 0.86 |
| Vehicle | Light | 0.86 |
|  | Moderate | 0.05 |
|  | Heavy | 0.0012 |
|  | TOTAL | 1.77 |


| Table 5-18. Summary of Daily Inhalation Rates Grouped byAge and Activity level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily Inhalation Rate ( $\left.\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}\right)^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Subject | Resting | Light | Moderate | Heavy | $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \text { day }\right)$ |
| Adult Male | 7.83 | 8.95 | 3.53 | 1.05 | 21.4 |
| Adult <br> Female | 3.35 | 5.59 | 2.26 | 0.64 | 11.8 |
| Adult <br> Average ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5.60 | 6.71 | 2.96 | 0.85 | 16 |
| Child (age 6) | 4.47 | 8.95 | 2.82 | 0.50 | 16.74 |
| Child (age 10) | 4.47 | 11.19 | 4.51 | 0.85 | 21.02 |
| a Daily inhalation rate was calculated using the following$I R=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{i}} \\ & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \\ & \mathrm{k} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | inhala <br> hours <br> numb <br> total | rate at <br> nt per f activit of the | activity (Tab <br> during $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ ac <br> eriods <br> osure period | 5-18) <br> (Table <br> g., a day | ) |
| b Total daily inhalation rate was calculated by summing the specific activity (resting, light, moderate, heavy) daily inhalation rate. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Generated using the data from U.S. EPA (1985) as shown in } \\ & \text { Tables 5-16 and 5-17. }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

various controlled levels of exercise; and (2) individual VR and HR relationships were determined in another set of volunteers during supervised exercise sessions (Shamoo et
al., 1990). In the first approach, the training session involved 9 volunteers ( 3 females and 6 males) from 21 to 37 years old. Initially the subjects were trained on a
treadmill with regularly increasing speeds. VR measurements were recorded during the last minute of the 3-minute interval at each speed. VR was reported to the subjects as low ( $1.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), medium ( $1.5-2.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), heavy (2.4-3.8 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), and very heavy ( $3.8^{3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{hr}$ or higher) (Shamoo et al., 1990).

Following the initial test, treadmill training sessions were conducted on a different day in which 7 different speeds were presented, each for 3 minutes in arbitrary order. VR was measured and the subjects were given feedback with the four ventilation ranges provided previously. After resting, a treadmill testing session was conducted in which seven speeds were presented in different arbitrary order from the training session. VR was measured and each subject estimated their own ventilation level at each speed. The correct level was then revealed to each subject after his/her own estimate. Subsequently, two 3-hour outdoor supervised exercise sessions were conducted in the summer on two consecutive days. Each hour consisted of 15 minutes each of rest, slow walking, jogging, and fast walking. The subjects' ventilation level and VR were recorded; however, no feedback was given to the subjects. Electrocardiograms were recorded via direct connection or telemetry and HR was measured concurrently with ventilation measurement for all treadmill sessions.

The second approach consisted of two protocol phases (indoor/outdoor exercise sessions and field testing). Twenty outdoor adult workers between 19-50 years old were recruited. Indoor and outdoor supervised exercises similar to the protocols in the first approach were conducted; however, there were no feedbacks. Also, in this approach, electrocardiograms were recorded and HR was measured concurrently with VR. During the field testing phase, subjects were trained to record their activities during three different 24 -hour periods during one week. These periods included their most active working and nonworking days. HR was measured quasi-continuously during the 24 -hour periods that activities were recorded. The subjects recorded in a diary all changes in physical activity, location, and exercise levels during waking hours. Selfestimated activities in supervised exercises and field studies were categorized as slow (resting, slow walking or equivalent), medium (fast walking or equivalent), and fast (jogging or equivalent).

Inhalation rates were not presented in this study. In the first approach, about 68 percent of all self-estimates were correct for the 9 subjects sampled (Shamoo et al., 1990). Inaccurate self-estimates occurred in the younger male population who were highly physically fit and were
competitive aerobic trainers. This subset of sample population tended to underestimate their own physical activity levels at higher VR ranges. Shamoo et al. (1990) attributed this to a "macho effect." In the second approach, a regression analysis was conducted that related the logarithm of VR to HR. The logarithm of VR correlated better with HR than VR itself (Shamoo et al., 1990).

A limitation associated with this study is that the population sampled is not representative of the general U.S. population. Also, ventilation rates were not presented. Training individuals to estimate their VR may contribute to uncertainty in the results because the estimates are subjective. Another limitation is that calibration data were not obtained at extreme conditions; therefore, the VR/HR relationship obtained may be biased. An additional limitation is that training subjects may be too laborintensive for widespread use in exposure assessment studies. An advantage of this study is that HR recordings are useful in predicting ventilation rates which in turn are useful in estimating exposure.

Shamoo et al. (1991) - Activity Patterns in a Panel of Outdoor Workers Exposed to Oxidant Pollution Shamoo et al. (1991) investigated summer activity patterns in 20 adult volunteers with potentially high exposure to ambient oxidant pollution. The selected volunteer subjects were 15 men and 5 women ages 19-50 years from the Los Angeles area. All volunteers worked outdoors at least 10 hours per week. The experimental approach involved two stages: (1) indirect objective estimation of VR from HR measurements; and (2) self estimation of inhalation/ventilation rates recorded by subjects in diaries during their normal activities.

The approach consisted of calibrating the relationship between VR and HR for each test subject in controlled exercise; monitoring by subjects of their own normal activities with diaries and electronic HR recorders; and then relating VR with the activities described in the diaries (Shamoo et al., 1991). Calibration tests were conducted for indoor and outdoor supervised exercises to determine individual relationships between VR and HR. Indoors, each subject was tested on a treadmill at rest and at increasing speeds. HR and VR were measured at the third minute at each 3-minute interval speed. In addition, subjects were tested while walking a 90 -meter course in a corridor at 3 self-selected speeds (normal, slower than normal, and faster than normal) for 3 minutes.

Two outdoor testing sessions (one hour each) were conducted for each subject, 7 days apart. Subjects exercised on a 260 -meter asphalt course. A session
involved 15 minutes each of rest, slow walking, jogging, and fast walking during the first hour. The sequence was also repeated during the second hour. HR and VR measurements were recorded starting at the 8th minute of each 15-minute segment. Following the calibration tests, a field study was conducted in which subject's self-monitored their activities by filling out activity diary booklets, selfestimated their breathing rates, and their HR. Breathing rates were defined as sleep, slow (slow or normal walking); medium (fast walking); and fast (running) (Shamoo et al., 1991). Changes in location, activity, or breathing rates during three $24-\mathrm{hr}$ periods within a week were recorded. These periods included their most active working and nonworking days. Each
subject wore Heart Watches which recorded their HR once per minute during the field study. Ventilation rates were estimated for the following categories: sleep, slow, medium, and fast.

Calibration data were fit to the equation $\log (V R)=$ intercept + (slope x HR), each individual's intercept and slope were determined separately to provide a specific equation that predicts each subject's VR from measured HR (Shamoo et al., 1991). The average measured VRs were $0.48,0.9,1.68$, and $4.02 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for rest, slow walking or normal walking, fast walking and jogging, respectively (Shamoo et al., 1991). Collectively, the diary recordings showed that sleep occupied about 33 percent of the subject's time; slow activity 59 percent; medium activity 7 percent; and fast activity 1 percent. The diary data covered an average of 69 hours per subject (Shamoo et al., 1991). Table 5-19 presents the distribution pattern of predicted ventilation rates and equivalent ventilation rates (EVR) obtained at the four activity levels. EVR was defined as the VR per square meter of body surface area, and also as a percentage of the subjects average VR over the entire field monitoring period (Shamoo et al., 1991).

|  |  | $\mathrm{VR}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}\right)^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{EVR}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ body surface $)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self-Reported Activity Level | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Arithmetic <br> Mean $\pm$ SD |  | Geometric <br> Mean $\pm$ SD |  | Arithmetic <br> Mean $\pm$ SD |  | Geometric <br> Mean $\pm$ SD |  |
| Sleep | 18,597 |  | $0.42 \pm 0.16$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slow | 41,745 |  | $0.71 \pm 0.4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium | 3,898 |  | $0.84 \pm 0.47$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fast | 572 |  | $2.63 \pm 2.16$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentile Rankings, VR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 5 | 10 | 50 | 90 | 95 | 99 | 99.9 |
| Sleep |  | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.66 | 0.72 | 0.90 | 1.20 |
| Slow |  | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.66 | 1.08 | 1.32 | 1.98 | 4.38 |
| Medium |  | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.48 | 0.72 | 1.32 | 1.68 | 2.64 | 3.84 |
| Fast |  | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.60 | 1.74 | 5.70 | 6.84 | 9.18 | 10.26 |
| Percentile Rankings, EVR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 5 | 10 | 50 | 90 | 95 | 99 | 99.9 |
| Sleep |  | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.60 |
| Slow |  | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 1.08 | 2.40 |
| Medium |  | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.72 | 0.90 | 1.38 | 2.28 |
| Fast |  | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.90 | 3.24 | 3.72 | 4.86 | 5.52 |

a Data presented by Shamoo et al. (1991) in liters/minute were converted to $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$.
b $\quad \mathrm{EVR}=\mathrm{VR}$ per square meter of body surface area.
c Number of minutes with valid appearing heart rate records and corresponding daily records of breathing rate.
Source: Shamoo et al., 1991
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The overall mean predicted VR was $0.42 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for sleep; $0.71 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for slow activity; $0.84 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for medium activity; and $2.63 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for fast activity.

The mean predicted VR and standard deviation, and the percentage of time spent in each combination of VR, activity type (essential and non-essential), and location (indoor and outdoor) are presented in Table 5-20. Essential activities include income-related work, household chores, child care, study and other school activities, personal care and destination-oriented travel. Non-essential activities include sports and active leisure, passive leisure, some travel, and social or civic activities (Shamoo et al., 1991). Table 5-20 shows that inhalation rates were higher outdoors than indoors at slow, medium, and fast activity levels. Also, inhalation rates were higher for outdoor non-essential activities than for indoor non-essential activity levels at slow, medium, and fast self-reported breathing rates (Table 5-20).

An advantage of this study is that subjective activity diary data can provide exposure modelers with useful rough estimates of VR for groups of generally healthy people. A limitation of this study is that the results obtained show high within-person and between-person variability in VR at each diary-recorded level, indicating that VR estimates from diary reports could potentially be
substantially misleading in individual cases. Another limitation of this study is that elevated HR data of slow activity at the second hour of the exercise session reflect persistent effects of exercise and/or heat stress. Therefore, predictions of VR from the VR/HR relationship may be biased.

Shamoo et al. (1992) - Effectiveness of Training Subjects to Estimate Their Level of Ventilation - Shamoo et al. (1992) conducted a study where nine non-sedentary subjects in good health were trained on a treadmill to estimate their own ventilation rates at four activity levels: low, medium, heavy, and very heavy. The purpose of the study was to train the subjects self-estimation of ventilation in the field and assess the effectiveness of the training (Shamoo et al., 1992). The subjects included 3 females and 6 males between 21 to 37 years of age. The tests were conducted in four stages. First, an initial treadmill pretest was conducted indoors at various speeds until the four ventilation levels were experienced by each subject; VR was measured and feedback was given to the subjects. Second, two treadmill training sessions which involved seven 3-minute segments of varying speeds based on initial tests were conducted; VR was measured and feedback was given to the subjects. Another similar session was conducted; however, the subjects estimated

their own ventilation level during the last 20 seconds of each segment and VR was measured during the last minute of each segment. Immediate feedback was given to the subject's estimate; and the third and fourth stages involved 2 outdoor sessions of 3 hours each. Each hour comprised 15 minutes each of rest, slow walking, jogging, and fast walking. The subjects estimated their own ventilation level at the middle of each segment. The subject's estimate was verified by a respirometer which measured VR in the middle of each 15 -minute activity. No feedback was given to the subject. The overall percent correct score obtained for all ventilation levels was 68 percent (Shamoo et al., 1992). Therefore, Shamoo et al. (1992) concluded that this training protocol was effective in training subjects to correctly estimate their minute ventilation levels.

For this handbook, inhalation rates were analyzed from the raw data provided by Shamoo et al. (1992). Table 5-21 presents the mean inhalation rates obtained from this analysis at four ventilation levels in two microenvironments (i.e., indoors and outdoors) for all subjects. The mean inhalation rates for all subjects were $0.93,1.92,3.01,4.80$ $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for low, medium, heavy, and very heavy activities, respectively.

| Table 5-21. Actual Inhalation Rates Measured at Four Ventilation Levels |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean Inhalation Rate ${ }^{\text {a }}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}\right)^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subject | Location | Low | Medium | Heavy | Very <br> Heavy |
| All subjects | Indoor (Treadmill post) | $1.23$ | $1.83$ | 3.13 | 4.13 |
|  | Outdoor | 0.88 | 1.96 | 2.93 | 4.90 |
|  | Total | 0.93 | 1.92 | 3.01 | 4.80 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Original data were presented in $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}$. Conversion to $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ was obtained as follows:$60 \frac{\min }{\mathrm{hr}} \times \frac{\mathrm{m}^{3}}{1000 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\min }$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Adapted from Shamoo et al., 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |

The population sample size used in this study was small and was not selected to represent the general U.S. population. The training approach employed may not be cost effective because it was labor intensive; therefore, this approach may not be viable in field studies especially for field studies within large sample sizes.

AIHC (1994) - The Exposure Factors Sourcebook AIHC (1994) recommends an average adult inhalation rate of $18 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day and presents values for children of various ages. These recommendations were derived from data presented in U.S. EPA (1989). The newer study by Layton (1993) was not considered. In addition, the Sourcebook presents probability distributions derived by Brorby and Finley (1993). For each distribution, the @Risk formula is provided for direct use in the @Risk simulation software (Palisade, 1992). The organization of this document makes it very convenient to use in support of Monte Carlo analysis. The reviews of the supporting studies are very brief with little analysis of their strengths and weaknesses. The Sourcebook has been classified as a relevant rather than key study because it is not the primary source for the data used to make recommendations in this document. The Sourcebook is very similar to this document in the sense that it summarizes exposure factor data and recommends values. As such, it is clearly relevant as an alternative information source on inhalation rates as well as other exposure factors.

### 5.2.4. Recommendations

In the Ozone Criteria Document prepared by the U.S. EPA Office of Environmental Criteria and Assessment, the EPA identified the collapsed range of activities and its corresponding VR as follows: light exercise ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}<23 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ or $1.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ); moderate/ medium exercise ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=24-43 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ or $1.4-2.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ); heavy exercise $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=43-63 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}\right.$ or $\left.2.6-3.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}\right)$; and very heavy exercise ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}>64 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ or $3.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), (Adams, 1993).

Recent peer reviewed scientific papers and an EPA report comprise the studies that were evaluated in this Chapter. These studies were conducted in the United States among both men and women of different age groups. All are widely available. The confidence ratings in the inhalation rate recommendations are shown in Table 5-22.

Each study focused on ventilation rates and factors that may affect them. Studies were conducted among randomly selected volunteers. Efforts were made to include men, women, different age groups, and different kinds of activities. Measurement methods are indirect, but reproducible. Methods are well described (except for questionnaires) and experimental error is well
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| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Elements | Studies are from peer reviewed journal articles and an EPA peer reviewed report. | High |
| - Accessibility | Studies in journals have wide circulation. EPA reports are available from the National Technical Information Service. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Information on questionnaires and interviews were not provided. | Medium |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Studies focused on ventilation rates and factors influencing them. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | Studies conducted in the U.S. | High |
| - Primary data | Both data collection and re-analysis of existing data occurred. | Medium |
| - Currency | Recent studies were evaluated. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Effort was made to collect data over time. | High |
| - Validity of approach | Measurements were made by indirect methods. | Medium |
| - Representativeness of the population | An effort has been made to consider age and gender, but not systematically. | Medium |
| - Characterization of variability | An effort has been made to address age and gender, but not systematically. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design | Subjects were selected randomly from volunteers and measured in the same way. | High |
| - Measurement error | Measurement error is well documented by statistics, but procedures measure factor indirectly. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | Five key studies and six relevant studies were evaluated. |  |
| - Agreement between researchers | There is general agreement among researchers using different experimental methods. | High |
| Overall Rating | Several studies exist that attempt to estimate inhalation rates according to age, gender and activity. | High |

documented. There is general agreement with these estimates among researchers.

The recommended inhalation rates for adults, children, and outdoor workers/athletes are based on the key studies described in this chapter (Table 5-23). Different survey designs and populations were utilized in the studies described in this Chapter. A summary of these designs, data generated, and their limitations/advantages are presented in Table 5-24. Excluding the study by Layton (1993), the population surveyed in all of the key studies described in this report were limited to the Los Angeles area. This regional population may not represent the general U.S. population and may result in biases. However, based on other aspects of the study design, these studies were selected as the basis for recommended inhalation rates.

The selection of inhalation rates to be used for exposure assessments depends on the age of the exposed population and the specific activity levels of this population during various exposure scenarios. The recommended values for adults, children (including infants), and outdoor workers/athletes for use in various exposure scenarios are discussed below. These rates were calculated by averaging the inhalation rates for each activity level from the various key studies (see Table 5-25).

Adults (19-65+ yrs) - Adults in this recommendation include young to middle age adults (19-64
yrs), and older adults ( $65+\mathrm{yrs}$ ). The daily average inhalation rates for long term exposure for adults are: 11.3 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day for women and $15.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ for men. These values are averages of the inhalation rates provided for males and females in each of the three approaches of Layton (1993) (Tables 5-11 through 5-14). An upper percentile is not recommended. Additional research and analysis of activity pattern data and dietary data in the future is necessary to attempt to calculate upper percentiles.

The recommended value for the general population average inhalation rate, $11.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for women and 15.2 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day for men, is different than the $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day which has commonly been assumed in past EPA risk assessments. In addition, recommendations are presented for various ages and special populations (athletes, outdoor workers) which also differ from $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$. Assessors are encouraged to use values which most accurately reflect the exposed population.

For exposure scenarios where the distribution of activity patterns is known, the following results, calculated from the studies referenced are shown in Table 5-25. Based on these key studies, the following recommendations are made: for short term exposures in

| Population | Mean | Upper Percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term Exposures |  |  |
| Infants |  |  |
| <1 year | $4.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | --- |
| Children |  |  |
| 1-2 years | $6.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ | --- |
| 3-5 years | $8.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | --- |
| 6-8 years | $10 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | --- |
| 9-11 years |  |  |
| males | $14 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | --- |
| females | $13 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | --- |
| 12-14 years |  |  |
| males | $15 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | --- |
| females | $12 \mathrm{~m} /$ /day | --- |
| 15-18 years |  |  |
| males | 17 m / day | --- |
| females | $12 \mathrm{~m} /{ }^{3}$ day | --- |
| Adults (19-65+ yrs) |  |  |
| females | $11.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | --- |
| males | $15.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ | --- |
| Short-term Exposures |  |  |
| Adults |  |  |
| Rest | $0.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | --- |
| Sedentary Activities | $0.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | --- |
| Light Activities | $1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | --- |
| Moderate Activities | $1.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | --- |
| Heavy Activities | $3.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | --- |
| Children |  |  |
| Rest | $0.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | --- |
| Sedentary Activities | $0.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | --- |
| Light Activities | $1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | --- |
| Moderate Activities | $1.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | --- |
| Heavy Activities | $1.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | --- |
| Outdoor Workers |  |  |
| Hourly Average | $1.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $3.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Slow Activities | $1.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Moderate Activities | $1.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Heavy Activities | $2.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Note: See Tables 5-25, 5-2 | 5-27 for refe | ce studies. |

which distribution of activity patterns are specified, the recommended average rates are $0.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ during rest; 0.5 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for sedentary activities; $1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for light activities; $1.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for moderate activities; and $3.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for heavy activities.

Children (18 yrs old or less including infants) - For the purpose of this recommendation, children are defined as males and females between the ages of 1-18 years old, while infants are individuals less than 1 year old. The inhalation rates for children are presented below according to different exposure scenarios. The daily inhalation rates
for long-term dose assessments, are based on the first approach of Layton (1993) (Table 5-11) and are summarized in Table 5-26.

Based on the key study results (i.e., Layton, 1993), the recommended daily inhalation rate for infants (children less than 1 yr ), during long-term dose assessments is $4.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day. For children 1-2 years old, 3-5 years old, and 6-8 years old, the recommended daily inhalation rates are $6.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day, $8.3 \mathrm{~m} /$ day, and $10 \mathrm{~m} /$ day, respectively. Recommended values for children aged 9-11 years are 14 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day for males and $13 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for females. For children aged 12-14 years and 15-18 years, the recommended values are shown in Table 5-23.

For short-term exposures for children aged 18 years and under, in which activity patterns are known, the data are summarized in Table 5-27. For short term exposures, the recommended average hourly inhalation rates are based on these key studies. They are averaged over each activity held as follows: $0.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ during rest; $0.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for sedentary activities; $1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for light activities; $1.2^{3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{hr}$ for moderate activities; and $1.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ for heavy activities. The recommended short-term exposure data also include infants (less than 1 yr ). These values represent averages of the activity level data from key studies (Table 5-27).

Outdoor Worker - Inhalation rate data for outdoor workers/athlete are limited. However, based on the key studies (Linn et al., 1992 and 1993), the recommended average hourly inhalation rate for outdoor workers is $1.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ and the upper-percentile rate is $3.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{hr}$ (see Tables 5-5 and 5-8). This is calculated as the weighted mean of the 99th percentile values reported for the individuals on Panels 1 and 7 in Tables 5-5 and the 19 subjects in Table 5-8. The recommended average inhalation rates for outdoor workers based on the activity levels categorized as slow (light activities), medium (moderate activities), and fast (heavy activities) are 1.1 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}, 1.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$, and $2.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$, respectively. These values are based on the data from Linn et al. (1992 and 1993) and are the weighted mean of the values for the individuals on Panels 1 and 7 in Table 5-5 and the 19 outdoor workers in Table 5-9. Inhalation rates may be higher among outdoor workers/athletes because levels of activity outdoors may be higher. Therefore, this subpopulation group may be more susceptible to air pollutants and are considered a "high-risk" subgroup (Shamoo et al., 1991; Linn et al., 1992).

| $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | Table 5-24. Summary of Inhalation Rate Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | Study | Population Surveyed | Survey Time Period | Data Generated | Limitations/Advantages |
|  | KEY INHALATION RATE STUDIES |  |  |  |  |
|  | Adams, 1993 | $\mathrm{n}=160$, ages 6-77; $\mathrm{n}=40$, ages 3-12. | Three 25 min phases of resting protocol in the lab 6 mins of active protocols in the lab. 30 min phases of field protocols repeated once. | Mean values of IR for adult males and females and children by their activity levels. | HR correlated poorly with IR. |
|  | Layton, 1993 | NFCS survey: $n \approx 30,000$; NHANES survey: $\mathrm{n} \approx 20,000$ <br> Time Activity survey: 1 f 2,126 |  | Daily IRs; IRs at 5 activity levels; and IR for short-term exposures at 5 activity levels. | Reported food biases in the dietary surveys employed; time activity survey was based on recall. |
|  | Linn et al., 1992 | Panel 1-20 healthy outdoor workers, ages 1950; Panel 2-17 healthy elementary school students, ages 10-12; Panel 3-19 healthy high school students, ages 13-17; Panel 4-49 adult asthmatics, ages 18-50; Panel 5-24 adult asthmatics, ages 19-46; Panel 6-13 young asthmatics, ages 11-16; Panel 7-7 construction workers, ages 26-34. | Late spring and early autumn. 3 diary days. Construction workers' diary day. | Mean and upper estimates of IR; Mean IR at 3 activity levels. | Small sample size; Calibration data not obtained over full HR range; activities based on short-term diary data. |
|  | Linn et al., 1993 | $\mathrm{n}=19$ construction workers. | (Mid-July-early November, 1991) Diary recordings before work, during work and break times | Distribution patterns of hourly IR by activity level. | Small sample population size; breathing rates subjective in nature; activities based on short-term diary data. |
|  | Spier et al., 1992 | $\mathrm{n}=36$ students, ages 10-17. | (Late September - October) Involved 3 consecutive days of diary recording | Distribution patterns of hourly IR by activity levels and location | Activities based on short-term diary data; self-estimated breathing rate by younger population was biased; small sample population size. |
|  | RELEVANT INHA | TION RATE STUDIES |  |  |  |
|  | ICRP, 1974 | Based on data from other references | -- | Reference daily IR for adult females, adult males, children ( 10 yrs ), and infant ( 1 yr ) | Validity and accuracy of data set employed not defined; IR was estimated not measured. |
|  | Shamoo et al., 1990 | $\mathrm{n}=9$ volunteer workers ages $21-37, \mathrm{n}=20$ outdoor workers, 19-50 years old. | Involved 3-min indoor session/two 3hr outdoor session at 4 activity levels | No IR data presented. | No useful data were presented for dose assessments studies. |
|  | Shamoo et al., 1991 | $\mathrm{n}=20$ outdoor workers, ages 19-50 | Diary recordings of three $24-\mathrm{hr}$. periods within a week. | Distribution patterns of IR and EVR by activity levels and location. | Small sample size; short-term diary data. |
|  | Shamoo et al., 1992 | $\mathrm{n}=9$ non-sedentary subjects, ages 21-37. | 3-min. intervals of indoor exercises/two 3-hr outdoor exercise sessions at 4 activity levels. | Actual measured ventilation rates presented. | Small sample size; training approach may not be cost-effective; VR obtained for outdoor workers which are sensitive subpopulation. |
|  | U.S. EPA, 1985 | Based on data from several literature sources | -- | Estimated IR for adult males, adult females and children (ages 6 and 10) by various activity levels. | Validity and accuracy of data set employed not defined; IR was estimated not measured. |
|  | Note: $\quad$ IR = inhal | rate; $\mathrm{HR}=$ heart rate; $\mathrm{EVR}=$ equivalent ventilatio | n rate. |  |  |


| Table 5-25. Summary of Adult Inhalation Rates for Short-Term Exposure Studies |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic Mean (m³/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Activity L |  |  |  |
| Rest | Sedentary |  | Moderate | High | Reference |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.3 | Adams, 1993 (Lab protocols) |
| -- | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | -- | Adams, 1993 (Field protocols) |
| 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 3.6 | Layton, 1993 (Short-term exposure) |
| 0.4 | -- | 0.6 | 1.5 | 3.0 | Layton, 1993 (3rd approach) |
| -- | -- | 1.0 | 1.6 | 3.0 | Linn et al., 1992 |


| Arithmetic Mean ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Males | Females | Males and Females | Reference |
| less than 1 yr | -- | -- | 4.5 | Layton, 1993 |
| 1-2 years | -- | -- | 6.8 | Layton, 1993 |
| 3-5 years | -- | -- | 8.3 | Layton, 1993 |
| 6-8 years | -- | -- | 10 | Layton, 1993 |
| 9-11 years | 14 | 13 | -- | Layton, 1993 |
| 12-14 years | 15 | 12 | -- | Layton, 1993 |
| 15-18 years | 17 | 12 | -- | Layton, 1993 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Layton, 199 |  |  |  |  |


| Table 5-27. Summary of Children's Inhalation Rates for Short-Term Exposure Studies |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic Mean ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Activity |  |  |  |
| Rest | Sedentary | Light | Moderate | High | Reference |
| 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | -- | -- | Adams, 1993 (Lab protocols) |
| -- | -- | -- | 0.9 | -- | Adams, 1993 (Field protocols) |
| 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.5 | Layton, 1993 (Short-term data) |
| -- | -- | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | Spier et al., 1992 (10-12 yrs) |
| -- | -- | 0.8 | 1.0 | 11 | Linn et al., 1992 (10-12 yrs) |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Calib |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subj. \# | Age (years) | Ht. (in.) | Wt. (lb.) | Ethnic Group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Job ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Site ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | HR Range ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{r}^{2 e}$ |
| 1761 | 26 | 71 | 180 | Wht | GCW | Ofc | 69-108 | . 91 |
| 1763 | 29 | 63 | 135 | Asn | GCW | Ofc | 80-112 | . 95 |
| 1764 | 32 | 71 | 165 | Blk | Car | Ofc | 56-87 | . 95 |
| 1765 | 30 | 73 | 145 | Wht | GCW | Ofc | 66-126 | . 97 |
| 1766 | 31 | 67 | 170 | His | Car | Ofc | 75-112 | . 89 |
| 1767 | 34 | 74 | 220 | Wht | Car | Ofc | 59-114 | . 98 |
| 1768 | 32 | 69 | 155 | Blk | GCW | Ofc | 62-152 | . 95 |
| 1769 | 32 | 77 | 230 | Wht | Car | Hosp | 69-132 | . 99 |
| 1770 | 26 | 70 | 180 | Wht | Car | Hosp | 63-106 | . 89 |
| 1771 | 39 | 66 | 150 | Wht | Car | Hosp | 88-118 | . 91 |
| 1772 | 32 | 71 | 260 | Wht | Car | Hosp | 83-130 | . 97 |
| 1773 | 39 | 69 | 170 | Wht | Irn | Hosp | 77-128 | . 95 |
| 1774 | 23 | 68 | 150 | His | Car | Hosp | 68-139 | . 98 |
| 1775 | 42 | 67 | 150 | Wht | Irn | Hosp | 76-118 | . 88 |
| 1776 | 29 | 70 | 180 | His | Car | Hosp | 68-152 | . 99 |
| 1778 | 35 | 76 | 220 | Ind | Car | Hosp | 70-129 | . 94 |
| 1779 | 40 | 70 | 175 | Wht | Car | Hosp | 72-140 | . 99 |
| 1780 | 37 | 75 | 242 | His | Irn | Hosp | 68-120 | . 98 |
| 1781 | 38 | 65 | 165 | His | Lab | Hosp | 66-121 | . 89 |
| Mean | 33 | 70 | 181 |  |  |  | 70-123 | . 94 |
| SD | 5 | 4 | 36 |  |  |  | 8-16 | . 04 |

a Abbreviations are interpreted as follows. Ethnic Group: Asn = Asian-Pacific, Blk = Black, His = Hispanic, Ind =American Indian, Wht = White
b Job: Car = carpenter, GCW = general construction worker, Irn = ironworker, Lab = laborer
c Site: Hosp = hospital buidling, $\mathrm{Ofc}=$ medical office complex. Calibration data
HR range $=$ range of heart rates in calibration study
$r^{2}=$ coefficient of determination (proportion of ventilation rate variability explainable by heart rate variability under calibration-study conditions, using quadratic prediction equation).
Source: Linn et al., 1993.

| Gender/Age |  |  |  | Body Weight |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (y) | MJ d ${ }^{-1}$ | $\pm$ SD | $\mathrm{CV}^{\text {a }}$ | (kg) | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | BMR Equation ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{r}^{\text {d }}$ |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 3 | 1.51 | 0.918 | 0.61 | 6.6 | 162 | 0.249 bw - 0.127 | 0.95 |
| 3 to < 10 | 4.14 | 0.498 | 0.12 | 21 | 338 | 0.095 bw + 2.110 | 0.83 |
| 10 to < 18 | 5.86 | 1.171 | 0.20 | 42 | 734 | 0.074 bw + 2.754 | 0.93 |
| 18 to < 30 | 6.87 | 0.843 | 0.12 | 63 | 2879 | $0.063 \mathrm{bw}+2.896$ | 0.65 |
| 30 to < 60 | 6.75 | 0.872 | 0.13 | 64 | 646 | 0.048 bw +3.653 | 0.6 |
| $60+$ | 5.59 | 0.928 | 0.17 | 62 | 50 | $0.049 \mathrm{bw}+2.459$ | 0.71 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 3 | 1.54 | 0.915 | 0.59 | 6.9 | 137 | 0.244 bw - 0.130 | 0.96 |
| 3 to $<10$ | 3.85 | 0.493 | 0.13 | 21 | 413 | $0.085 \mathrm{bw}+2.033$ | 0.81 |
| 10 to < 18 | 5.04 | 0.780 | 0.15 | 38 | 575 | $0.056 \mathrm{bw}+2.898$ | 0.8 |
| 18 to < 30 | 5.33 | 0.721 | 0.14 | 53 | 829 | $0.062 \mathrm{bw}+2.036$ | 0.73 |
| 30 to < 60 | 5.62 | 0.630 | 0.11 | 61 | 372 | $0.034 \mathrm{bw}+3.538$ | 0.68 |
| $60+$ | 4.85 | 0.605 | 0.12 | 56 | 38 | 0.038 bw + 2.755 | 0.68 |
| Coefficient of variation (SD/mean) <br> $\mathrm{N}=$ number of subjects <br> Body weight (bw) in kg coefficient of correlation <br> Source: Layton, 1993. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Col. | 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | Subject | W (kg) | Resting |  |  | Light Activity |  |  | Heavy Work |  |  | Maximal Work During Exercise |  |  |
|  |  |  | $f$ | VT | $\mathrm{V}^{*}$ | $f$ | VT | $\mathrm{V}^{*}$ | $f$ | VT | V* | $f$ | VT | $\mathrm{V}^{*}$ |
|  | Adult |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Man | 68.5 | 12 | 750 | 7.4 | 17 | 1670 | 29 | 21 | 2030 | 43 |  |  |  |
| 2 | $1.7 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{SA}$ |  | 12 | 500 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $30 \mathrm{y} ; 170 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}$ |  | 15 | 500 | 7.5 | 16 | 1250 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 20-33 y | 70.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | 3050 | 111 |
| 5 | Woman | 54 | 12 | 340 | 4.5 | 19 | 860 | 16 | 30 | 880 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 6 | $30 \mathrm{y} ; 160 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}$ |  | 15 | 400 | 6 | 20 | 940 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $20-25 \mathrm{y} ; 165.8 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}$ | 60.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 2100 | 90 |
| 8 | Pregnant (8th mo) |  | 16 | 650 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Adolescent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | male, 14-16 y |  | 16 | 330 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 2520 | 113 |
| 10 | male, 14-15 y | 59.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | female, 14-16 y |  | 15 | 300 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1870 |  |
| 12 | female, 14-15 y; 164.9 cm L | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 1870 | 88 |
|  | Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 10 y ; 140 cm L |  | 16 | 300 | 4.8 | 24 | 600 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | males, 10-11 y | 36.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 1330 | 71 |
| 15 | males, $10-11 \mathrm{y} ; 140.6 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}$ | 32.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61 | 1050 | 61 |
| 16 | fermales, 4-6 y | 20.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 600 | 40 |
| 17 | females, 4-6 y: 111.6 cmL . | 18.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 | 520 | 34 |
| 18 | Infant, 1 y |  | 30 | 48 | $1.4^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Newborn | 2.5 | 34 | 15 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | $20 \mathrm{hrs}-13 \mathrm{wk}$ | 2.5-5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $68^{\text {b }}$ | $51^{\text {a,b }}$ | $3.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 21 | 9.6 hrs | 3.6 | 25 | 21 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 6.6 days | 3.7 | 29 | 21 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{W}=$ body weights referable to the dimension quoted in column $1 ; \mathrm{f}=$ frequency (breaths $/ \mathrm{min}$ ); $\mathrm{VT}=$ tidal volume ( ml ); $\mathrm{V}^{*}=$ minute volume (l/min); $\mathrm{SA}=\mathrm{surface}$ area; $\mathrm{cm} L=$ length $/$ height; $y=$ years of age; $w k=$ week.
a Calculated from $V^{*}=f \times V T$.
b Crying
Source: ICRP, 1981
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| Level of work | L/min | Representative activities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Light | 13 | Level walking at 2 mph ; washing clothes |
| Light | 19 | Level walking at 3 mph ; bowling; scrubbing floors |
| Light | 25 | Dancing; pushing wheelbarrow with 15-kg load; simple construction; stacking firewood |
| Moderate | 30 | Easy cycling; pushing wheelbarrow with $75-\mathrm{kg}$ load; using sledgehammer |
| Moderate | 35 | Climbing stairs; playing tennis; digging with spade |
| Moderate | 40 | Cycling at 13 mph ; walking on snow; digging trenches |
| Heavy | 55 | Cross-country skiing; rock climbing; stair climbing |
| Heavy | 63 | with load; playing squash or handball; chopping |
| Very heavy | 72 | with axe |
| Very heavy | 85 | Level running at 10 mph ; competitive cycling |
| Severe | 100+ | Competitive long distance running; cross-country skiing |
| a Average adult assumed to weigh 70 kg . Source: Adapted from U.S. EPA, 1985 |  |  |


| Table 5A-7. Minute Ventilation Ranges by Age, Sex, and Activity Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ventilation ranges (liters/minute) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Sex | n | Resting <br> Range | Mean | n | Light <br> Range | Mean | $n$ | Moderate |  | n | Heavy <br> Range | Mean |
| (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Range | Mean |  |  |  |
| Infants | M/F | 316 | 0.25-2.09 | 0.84 |  |  |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |
| 2 | F |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |
|  | M |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |
| 3 | F |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |
|  | M |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | -- |  |  | --- |  |
| 4 | F |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  | 2 | 32.0-32.5 | 32.3 |
|  | M |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  | 4 | 39.3-43.3 | 41.2 |
| 5 | F |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  | 3 | 31.0-35.0 | 32.8 |
|  | M |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  | 3 | 30.9-42.6 | 37.5 |
| 6 | F |  | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $35.9-38.9$ | $37.4$ |
|  |  | 8 | $5.0-7.0$ | 6.5 | 16 | $5.0-32.0$ | 13.9 | 4 | 28.0-43.0 | 33.3 | 3 | $35.5-43.5$ | $40.3$ |
| 7 | F |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  | 3 | 48.2-51.4 | 49.6 |
|  | M |  | --- |  |  | - |  |  | --- |  | 2 | 44.1-55.8 | 50.0 |
| 8 | F |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  | 4 | 51.2-67.6 | 57.6 |
|  | M |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  | 3 | $59.3-62.2$ | $60.7$ |
| 9 | F |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  | 27 | 55.8-63.4 | 50.9 |
|  | M |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  | 7 | 59.5-75.2 | 65.7 |
| 10 |  |  | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | $46.2-71.1$ | 60.4 |
|  | M | 10 | 5.2-8.3 | 7.1 | 20 | 5.2-35.0 | 17.2 | 9 | 41.0-68.0 | 53.4 | 6 | $63.9-74.6$ | $70.5$ |
|  | F |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  | 7 | 49.7-80.9 | 63.5 |
|  | M |  | --- |  | 20 | --- | 20.3 | 20 | --- | 33.1 | 9 | 47.6-77.5 | 65.5 |
| 12 | F | 54 | 4.1-16.1 | 15.4 |  | --- |  | 4 | 19.6-46.3 | 26.5 | 31 | 65.5-79.9 | 71.8 |
|  | M | 56 | 7.2-16.3 | $15.4$ |  | --- |  | 6 | 18.5-46.3 | 34.1 | 9 | 58.1-84.7 | 67.7 |
| 13 | F | 5 | 7.2-15.4 | 9.9 |  | --- |  | 5 | 18.5-46.3 | 30.3 | 7 | 67.6-102.6 | 87.7 |
|  | M | 16 | 3.1-15.4 | 8.9 | 30 | 3.1-24.9 | 16.4 | 29 | 14.4-48.4 | 32.8 | 38 | 27.8-105.0 | 57.9 |
| 14 | F | 53 | 3.1-15.6 | 14.9 |  | --- |  | 3 | 21.6-37.1 | 28.1 | 5 | 80.7-100.7 | 88.9 |
|  | M | 77 | 3.1-27.8 | 14.2 |  | --- |  | 24 | 24.7-55.0 | 39.7 | 16 | 42.2-121.0 | 86.9 |
| 15 | F | 1 | --- | 6.2 |  | --- |  | 1 | --- | 26.8 | 6 | 68.4-97.1 | 87.1 |
|  | M | 8 | 3.1-26.8 | 11.1 |  | --- |  | 7 | 27.8-46.3 | 39.3 | 6 | 48.4-140.3 | 110.5 |
| 16 | F | $50$ | --- | $15.2$ |  | --- | --- |  | --- |  | 8 | 73.6-119.1 | 93.9 |
|  | M | 50 | --- | $15.6$ |  | - |  |  | --- |  | 3 | 79.6-132.2 | $102.5$ |
| 17 | F |  | --- |  |  |  |  |  | --- |  | 2 | 91.9-95.3 | 93.6 |
|  | M | 12 | 5.8-9.0 | 7.3 |  | --- |  | 12 | 40.0-63.0 | 48.6 | 3 | 89.4-139.3 | 107.7 |
| 18 | F |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |  | --- |  |
|  | M |  | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 99.7-143.0 |  |
| Adults | F | 595 | 4.2-11.66 | 5.7 | 786 | 4.2-29.4 | 8.1 | 106 | 20.7-34.2 | 26.5 | 211 | 23.4-114.8 | 47.9 |
| Adults | M | 454 | 2.3-18.8 | 12.2 | 102 | 2.3-27.6 | 13.8 | 102 | 14.4-78.0 | 40.9 | 267 | 34.6-183.4 | 80.0 |

$\mathrm{n}=$ number of observations
Note:
Values in liters/minute can be converted to units of $\mathrm{m} /$ hour by multiplying by the conversion facto 00 minutes/hour
Source: Adapted from U.S. EPA, 1985.
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## Chapter 6 - Dermal

## 6. DERMAL ROUTE

Dermal exposure can occur during a variety of activities in different environmental media and microenvironments (U.S. EPA, 1992). These include:

- Water (e.g., bathing, washing, swimming);
- Soil (e.g., outdoor recreation, gardening, construction);
- Sediment (e.g., wading, fishing);
- Liquids (e.g., use of commercial products);
- Vapors/fumes (e.g., use of commercial products); and
- Indoors (e.g., carpets, floors, countertops).

The major factors that must be considered when estimating dermal exposure are: the chemical concentration in contact with the skin, the potential dose, the extent of skin surface area exposed, the duration of exposure, the absorption of the chemical through the skin, the internal dose, and the amount of chemical that can be delivered to a target organ (i.e., biologically effective dose) (see Figure 6-1). A detailed discussion of these factors can be found in Guidelines for Exposure Assessment (U.S. EPA, 1992a).

This chapter focuses on measurements of body surface areas and various factors needed to estimate dermal exposure to chemicals in water and soil. Information concerning dermal exposure to pollutants in indoor environments is limited. Useful information concerning estimates of body surface area can be found in "Development of Statistical Distributions or Ranges of Standard Factors Used in Exposure Assessments" (U.S. EPA, 1985). "Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications (U.S. EPA, 1992b), provides detailed information concerning dermal exposure using a stepwise guide in the exposure assessment process.

The available studies have been classified as either key or relevant based on their applicability to exposure assessment needs and are summarized in this chapter. Recommended values are based on the results of the key studies. Relevant studies are presented to provide an added perspective on the state-of-knowledge pertaining to dermal exposure factors. All tables and figures presenting data from these studies are shown at the end of this chapter.

### 6.1. EQUATION FOR DERMAL DOSE

The average daily dose (ADD) is the dose rate averaged over a pathway-specific period of exposure
expressed as a daily dose on a per-unit-body-weight basis. The ADD is used for exposure to chemicals with noncarcinogenic non-chronic effects. For compounds with carcinogenic or chronic effects, the lifetime average daily dose (LADD) is used. The LADD is the dose rate averaged over a lifetime.

For dermal contact with chemicals in soil or water, dermally absorbed average daily dose can be estimated by (U.S. EPA, 1992b):

$$
\mathrm{ADD}=\frac{\mathrm{DA}_{\text {event }} \times \mathrm{EV} \times \mathrm{ED} \times \mathrm{EF} \times \mathrm{SA}}{\mathrm{BW} \times \mathrm{AT}}
$$

(Eqn. 6-1)
where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ADD }= & \text { average daily dose }(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\text {-day }) ; \\
\mathrm{DA}_{\text {event }}= & \text { absorbed dose per event }\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \text {-event }\right) ; \\
\mathrm{EV} \mathrm{~F} & \text { event frequency (events/day); } \\
\mathrm{ED}= & \text { exposure duration (years); } \\
\mathrm{EF}= & \text { exposure frequency (days/year); } \\
\mathrm{SA}= & \text { skin surface area available for contact }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right) ; \\
\mathrm{BW}= & \text { body weight }(\mathrm{kg}) ; \text { and } \\
\mathrm{AT}= & \text { averaging time (days) for noncarcinogenic } \\
& \text { effects, AT }=\text { ED and for carcinogenic effects, } \\
& \text { AT }=70 \text { years or } 25,550 \text { days. }
\end{array}
$$

This method is to be used to calculate the absorbed dose of a chemical. Total body surface area (SA) is assumed to be exposed for a period of time (ED).

For dermal contact with water, the $\mathrm{DA}_{\text {event }}$ is estimated with consideration for the permeability coefficient from water, the chemical concentration in water, and the event duration. The approach to estimate $\mathrm{DA}_{\text {event }}$ is different for inorganic and organic compounds. The nonsteady-state approach to estimate the dermally absorbed dose from water is recommended as the preferred approach for organics which exhibit octanol-water partitioning (U.S. EPA, 1992b). First, this approach more accurately reflects normal human exposure conditions since the short contact times associated with bathing and swimming generally mean that steady state will not occur. Second, the approach accounts for uptake that can occur after the actual exposure event due to absorption of residual chemical trapped in skin tissue. Use of the nonsteady-state model for organics has implications for selecting permeability coefficient ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) values (U.S. EPA, 1992b). It is recommended that the traditional steady-state approach be applied to inorganics (U.S. EPA, 1992b). Detailed information concerning how to estimate absorbed dose per event $\left(\mathrm{DA}_{\text {event }}\right)$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$
values can be found in Section 5.3 .1 of "Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications" (U.S. EPA, 1992b).

For dermal contact with contaminated soil, estimation of the $\mathrm{DA}_{\text {event }}$ is different from the estimation for dermal contact with chemicals in water. It is based on the concentration of the chemical in soil, the adherence factor of soil to skin, and the absorption fraction. Information for $\mathrm{DA}_{\text {event }}$ estimation from soil contact can be found in U.S. EPA (1992b), Section 6.4.

The apparent simplicity of the absorption fraction (percent absorbed) makes this approach appealing. However, it is not practical to apply it to water contact scenarios, such as swimming, because of the difficulty in estimating the total material contacted (U.S. EPA, 1992b). It is assumed that there is essentially an infinite amount of material available, and that the chemical will be replaced continuously, thereby increasing the amount of material (containing the chemical) available by some large unknown amount. Therefore, the permeability coefficient
-based approach is recommended over the absorption fraction approach for determining the dermally absorbed dose of chemicals in aqueous media.

Before the absorption fraction approach can be used in soil contact scenarios, the contaminant concentration in soil must be established. Not all of the chemical in a layer of dirt applied to skin may be bioavailable, nor is it assumed to be an internal dose. Because of the lack of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ data for compounds bound to soil, and reduced uncertainty in defining an applied dose, the absorption fraction-based approach is suggested for determining the internal dose of chemicals in soil. More detailed explanation of the equations, assumptions, and approaches can be found in "Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications" (U.S. EPA. 1992b).

### 6.2. SURFACE AREA

### 6.2.1. Background

The total surface area of skin exposed to a contaminant must be determined using measurement or estimation techniques before conducting a dermal exposure assessment. Depending on the exposure scenario, estimation of the surface area for the total body or a specific body part can be used to calculate the contact rate for the pollutant. This section presents estimates for total body surface area and for body parts and presents information on the application of body surface area data.

### 6.2.2. Measurement Techniques

Coating, triangulation, and surface integration are direct measurement techniques that have been used to measure total body surface area and the surface area of specific body parts. Consideration has been given for differences due to age, gender, and race. The results of the various techniques have been summarized in "Development of Statistical Distributions or Ranges of Standard Factors Used in Exposure Assessments" (U.S. EPA, 1985). The coating method consists of coating either the whole body or specific body regions with a substance of known or measured area. Triangulation consists of marking the area of the body into geometric figures, then calculating the figure areas from their linear dimensions. Surface integration is performed by using a planimeter and adding the areas.

The triangulation measurement technique developed by Boyd (1935) has been found to be highly reliable. It estimates the surface area of the body using geometric approximations that assume parts of the body resemble geometric solids (Boyd, 1935). More recently, Popendorf and Leffingwell (1976), and Haycock et al. (1978) have developed similar geometric methods that assume body parts correspond to geometric solids, such as the sphere and cylinder. A linear method proposed by DuBois and DuBois (1916) is based on the principle that the surface areas of the parts of the body are proportional, rather than equal to the surface area of the solids they resemble.

In addition to direct measurement techniques, several formulae have been proposed to estimate body surface area from measurements of other major body dimensions (i.e., height and weight) (U.S. EPA, 1985). Generally, the formulae are based on the principles that body density and shape are roughly the same and that the relationship of surface area to any dimension may be represented by the curve of central tendency of their plotted values or by the algebraic expression for the curve. A discussion and comparison of formulae to determine total body surface area are presented in Appendix 6A.

### 6.2.3. Key Body Surface Area Studies

U.S. EPA (1985) - Development of Statistical Distributions or Ranges of Standard Factors Used in Exposure Assessments - U.S. EPA (1985) analyzed the direct surface area measurement data of Gehan and George (1970) using the Statistical Processing System (SPS) software package of Buhyoff et al. (1982). Gehan and George (1970) selected 401 measurements made by
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Boyd (1935) that were complete for surface area, height, weight, and age for their analysis. Boyd (1935) had reported surface area estimates for 1,114 individuals using coating, triangulation, or surface integration methods (U.S. EPA, 1985).
U.S. EPA (1985) used SPS to generate equations to calculate surface area as a function of height and weight. These equations were then used to calculate body surface area distributions of the U.S. population using the height and weight data obtained from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) II and the computer program QNTLS of Rochon and Kalsbeek (1983).

The equation proposed by Gehan and George (1970) was determined by U.S. EPA (1985) to be the best choice for estimating total body surface area. However, the paper by Gehan and George (1970) gave insufficient information to estimate the standard error about the regression. Therefore, U.S. EPA (1985) used the 401 direct measurements of children and adults and reanalyzed the data using the formula of Dubois and Dubois (1916) and SPS to obtain the standard error (U.S. EPA, 1985).

Regression equations were developed for specific body parts using the Dubois and Dubois (1916) formula and using the surface area of various body parts provided by Boyd (1935) and Van Graan (1969) in conjunction with SPS. Regression equations for adults were developed for the head, trunk (including the neck), upper extremities (arms and hands, upper arms, and forearms) and lower extremities (legs and feet, thighs, and lower legs) (U.S. EPA, 1985). Table 6-1 presents a summary of the equation parameters developed by U.S. EPA (1985) for calculating surface area of adult body parts. Equations to estimate the body part surface area of children were not developed because of insufficient data.

Percentile estimates of total surface area and surface area of body parts developed by U.S. EPA (1985) using the regression equations and NHANES II height and weight data are presented in Tables 6-2 and 6-3 for adult males and adult females, respectively. The calculated mean surface areas of body parts for men and women are presented in Table 6-4. The standard deviation, the minimum value, and the maximum value for each body part are included. The median total body surface area for men and women and the corresponding standard errors about the regressions are also given. It has been assumed that errors associated with height and weight are negligible (U.S. EPA, 1985). The data in Table 6-5
present the percentage of total body surface by body part for men and women.

Percentile estimates for total surface area of male and female children presented in Tables 6-6 and 6-7 were calculated using the total surface area regression equation, NHANES II height and weight data, and using QNTLS. Estimates are not included for children younger than 2 years old because NHANES height data are not available for this age group. For children, the error associated with height and weight cannot be assumed to be zero because of their relatively small sizes. Therefore, the standard errors of the percentile estimates cannot be estimated, since it cannot be assumed that the errors associated with the exogenous variables (height and weight) are independent of that associated with the model; there are insufficient data to determine the relationship between these errors.

Measurements of the surface area of children's body parts are summarized as a percentage of total surface area in Table 6-8. Because of the small sample size, the data cannot be assumed to represent the average percentage of surface area by body part for all children. Note that the percent of total body surface area contributed by the head decreases from childhood to adult, while the percent contributed by the leg increases.

Phillips et al. (1993) - Distributions of Total Skin Surface Area to Body Weight Ratios - Phillips et al. (1993) observed a strong correlation ( 0.986 ) between body surface area and body weight and studied the effect of using these factors as independent variables in the LADD equation. Phillips et al. (1993) concluded that, because of the correlation between these two variables, the use of body surface area to body weight (SA/BW) ratios in human exposure assessments is more appropriate than treating these factors as independent variables. Direct measurement (coating, triangulation, and surface integration) data from the scientific literature were used to calculate body surface area to body weight (SA/BW) ratios for three age groups (infants aged 0 to 2 years, children aged 2.1 to 17.9 years, and adults 18 years and older). These ratios were calculated by dividing body surface areas by corresponding body weights for the 401 individuals analyzed by Gehan and George (1970) and summarized by U.S. EPA (1985). Distributions of SA/BW ratios were developed and summary statistics were calculated for each of the three age groups and the combined data set. Summary statistics for these populations are presented in Table 6-9. The shapes of these SA/BW distributions were determined using

D'Agostino's test. The results indicate that the SA/BW ratios for infants are lognormally distributed and the SA/BW ratios for adults and all ages combined are normally distributed (Figure 6-2). SA/BW ratios for children were neither normally nor lognormally distributed. According to Phillips et al. (1993), SA/BW ratios should be used to calculate LADDs by replacing the body surface area factor in the numerator of the LADD equation with the SA/BW ratio and eliminating the body weight factor in the denominator of the LADD equation.

The effect of gender and age on SA/BW distribution was also analyzed by classifying the 401 observations by gender and age. Statistical analyses indicated no significant differences between SA/BW ratios for males and females. SA/BW ratios were found to decrease with increasing age.

### 6.2.4. Relevant Surface Area Studies

Murray and Burmaster (1992) - Estimated Distributions for Total Body Surface Area of Men and Women in the United States - In this study, distributions of total body surface area for men and women ages 18 to 74 years were estimated using Monte Carlo simulations based on height and weight distribution data. Four different formulae for estimating body surface area as a function of height and weight were employed: Dubois and Dubois (1916); Boyd (1935); U.S. EPA (1985); and Costeff (1966). The formulae of Dubois and Dubois (1916); Boyd (1935); and U.S. EPA (1985) are based on height and weight. They are discussed in Appendix 6A. The formula developed by Costeff (1966) is based on 220 observations that estimate body surface area based on weight only. This formula is:

| $\mathrm{SA}=4 \mathrm{~W}+7 / \mathrm{W}+90$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| where: |  |
|  |  |
|  | SA $=$ Surface Area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$; and |
| $\mathrm{W}=$ Weight $(\mathrm{kg})$. |  |

Formulae were compared and the effect of the correlation between height and weight on the body surface area distribution was analyzed.

Monte Carlo simulations were conducted to estimate body surface area distributions. They were based on the bivariate distributions estimated by Brainard and Burmaster (1992) for height and natural logarithm of
weight and the formulae described above. A total of 5,000 random samples each for men and women were selected from the two correlated bivariate distributions. Body surface area calculations were made for each sample, and for each formula, resulting in body surface area distributions. Murray and Burmaster (1992), found that the body surface area frequency distributions were similar for the four models (Table 6-10). Using the U.S. EPA (1985) formula, the median surface area values were calculated to be $1.96 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for men and $1.69 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for women. The median value for women is identical to that generated by U.S. EPA (1985) but differs for men by approximately 1 percent. Body surface area was found to have lognormal distributions for both men and women (Figure 6-3). It was also found that assuming correlation between height and weight influences the final distribution by less than 1 percent.

AIHC (1994) - Exposure Factors Sourcebook - The Exposure Factors Sourcebook (AIHC, 1994) provides similar body surface area data as presented here. Consistent with this document, average and percentile values are presented on the basis of age and gender. In addition, the Sourcebook presents point estimates of exposed skin surface areas for various scenarios on the basis of several published studies. Finally, the Sourcebook presents probability distributions based on U.S. EPA (1989) and as derived by Thompson and Burmaster (1991); Versar (1991); and Brorby and Finley (1993). For each distribution, the @Risk formula is provided for direct use in the @Risk simulation software (Palisade, 1992). The organization of this document, makes it very convenient to use in support of Monte Carlo analysis. The reviews of the supporting studies are very brief with little analysis of their strengths and weaknesses. The Sourcebook has been classified as a relevant rather than key study because it is not the primary source for the data used to make recommendations in this document. The Sourcebook is very similar to this document in the sense that it summarizes exposure factor data and recommends values. As such, it is clearly relevant as an alternative information source on body surface area as well as other exposure factors.

### 6.2.5. Application of Body Surface Area Data

In many settings, it is likely that only certain parts of the body are exposed. All body parts that come in contact with a chemical must be considered to estimate the total surface area of the body exposed. The data in Table 6-4 may be used to estimate the total surface area of
the particular body part(s). For example, to assess exposure to a chemical in a cleaning product for which only the hands are exposed, surface area values for hands from Table 6-4 can be used. For exposure to both hands and arms, mean surface areas for these parts from Table 6-4 may be summed to estimate the total surface area exposed. The mean surface area of these body parts for men and women is as follows:

|  | Surface Area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{\text { Men }}$ | $\underline{\text { Women }}$ |
| Arms (includes upper arms and forearms) | 0.228 | 0.210 |
| Hands | 0.084 | 0.075 |
| Total area | 0.312 | 0.285 |

Therefore, the total body part surface area that may be in contact with the chemical in the cleaning product in this example is $0.312 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for men and $0.285 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for women.

A common assumption is that clothing prevents dermal contact and subsequent absorption of contaminants. This assumption may be false in cases where the chemical may be able to penetrate clothing, such as in a fine dust or liquid suspension. Studies using personal patch monitors placed beneath clothing of pesticide workers exposed to fine mists and vapors show that a significant proportion of dermal exposure may occur at anatomical sites covered by clothing (U.S. EPA, 1992b). In addition, it has been demonstrated that a "pumping" effect can occur which causes material to move under loose clothing (U.S. EPA, 1992b). Furthermore, studies have demonstrated that hands cannot be considered to be protected from exposure even if waterproof gloves are worn (U.S. EPA, 1992b). This may be due to contamination to the interior surface of the gloves when donning or removing them during work activities (U.S. EPA, 1992b). Depending on the task, pesticide workers have been shown to experience 12 percent to 43 percent of their total exposure through their hands, approximately 20 percent to 23 percent through their heads and necks, and 36 percent to 64 percent through their torsos and arms, despite the use of protective gloves and clothing (U.S. EPA, 1992b).

For swimming and bathing scenarios, past exposure assessments have assumed that 75 percent to 100 percent of the skin surface is exposed (U.S. EPA, 1992b). As shown in Table 6-4, total adult body surface areas can vary from about $17,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ to $23,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. The mean is reported as approximately $20,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.

For default purposes, adult body surface areas of $20,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ (central estimate) to $23,000 \mathrm{~cm}$ (upper percentile) are recommended in U.S. EPA (1992b). Tables 6-2 and 6-3 can also be used when the default values are not preferred. Central and upper-percentile values for children should be derived from Table 6-6 or 6-7.

Unlike exposure to liquids, clothing may or may not be effective in limiting the extent of exposure to soil. The 1989 Exposure Factors Handbook presented two adult clothing scenarios for outdoor activities (U.S. EPA, 1989):

Central tendency mid range: Individual wears long sleeve shirt, pants, and shoes. The exposed skin surface is limited to the head and hands ( 2,000 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ).
Upper percentile: Individual wears a short sleeve shirt, shorts, and shoes. The exposed skin surface is limited to the head, hands, forearms, and lower legs $\left(5,300 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$.

The clothing scenarios presented above, suggest that roughly 10 percent to 25 percent of the skin area may be exposed to soil. Since some studies have suggested that exposure can occur under clothing, the upper end of this range was selected in Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications (U.S. EPA, 1992b) for deriving defaults. Thus, taking 25 percent of the total body surface area results in defaults for adults of $5,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ to $5,800 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. These values were obtained from the body surface areas in Table 6-2 after rounding to $20,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and $23,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, respectively. The range of defaults for children can be derived by multiplying the 50th and 95 th percentiles by 0.25 for the ages of interest.

When addressing soil contact exposures, assessors may want to refine estimates of surface area exposed on the basis of seasonal conditions. For example, in moderate climates, it may be reasonable to assume that 5 percent of the skin is exposed during the winter, 10 percent during the spring and fall, and 25 percent during the summer.

The previous discussion, has presented information about the area of skin exposed to soil. These estimates of exposed skin area should be useful to assessors using the traditional approach of multiplying the soil adherence factor by exposed skin area to estimate the total amount of soil on skin. The next section presents soil adherence data specific to activity and body part and is designed to be
combined with the total surface area of that body part. No reduction of body part area is made for clothing coverage using this approach. Thus, assessors who adopt this approach, should not use the defaults presented above for soil exposed skin area. Rather, they should use Table 6-4 to obtain total surface areas of specific body parts. See detailed discussion below.

### 6.3. SOIL ADHERENCE TO SKIN

### 6.3.1. Background

Soil adherence to the surface of the skin is a required parameter to calculate dermal dose when the exposure scenario involves dermal contact with a chemical in soil. A number of studies have attempted to determine the magnitude of dermal soil adherence. These studies are described in detail in U.S. EPA (1992b). This section summarizes recent studies that estimate soil adherence to skin for use as exposure factors.

### 6.3.2. Key Soil Adherence to Skin Studies

Kissel et al. (1996a) - Factors Affecting Soil Adherence to Skin in Hand-Press Trials: Investigation of Soil Contact and Skin Coverage - Kissel et al. (1996a) conducted soil adherence experiments using five soil types (descriptor) obtained locally in the Seattle, Washington, area: sand (211), loamy sand (CP), loamy sand (85), sandy loam (228), and silt loam (72). All soils were analyzed by hydrometer (settling velocity) to determine composition. Clay contents ranged from 0.5 to 7.0 percent. Organic carbon content, determined by combustion, ranged from 0.7 to 4.6 percent. Soils were dry sieved to obtain particle size ranges of $<150,150-250$, and $>250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. For each soil type, the amount of soil adhering to an adult female hand, using both sieved and unsieved soils, was determined by measuring the difference in soil sample weight before and after the hand was pressed into a pan containing the test soil. Loadings were estimated by dividing the recovered soil mass by total hand area, although loading occurred primarily on only one side of the hand. Results showed that generally, soil adherence to hands could be directly correlated with moisture content, inversely correlated with particle size, and independent of clay content or organic carbon content.

Kissel et al. (1996b) - Field Measurement of Dermal Soil Loading Attributable to Various Activities: Implications for Exposure Assessment - Further experiments were conducted by Kissel et al. (1996b) to estimate soil adherence associated with various indoor and
outdoor activities: greenhouse gardening, tae kwon do karate, soccer, rugby, reed gathering, irrigation installation, truck farming, and playing in mud. A summary of field studies by activity, gender, age, field conditions, and clothing worn is presented in Table 6-11. Subjects' body surfaces (forearms, hands, lower legs in all cases, faces, and/or feet; pairs in some cases) were washed before and after monitored activities. Paired samples were pooled into single ones. Mass recovered was converted to loading using allometric models of surface area. These data are presented in Table 6-12. Results presented are based on direct measurement of soil loading on the surfaces of skin before and after occupational and recreational activities that may be expected to have soil contact (Kissel et al., 1996b).

### 6.3.3. Relevant Soil Adherence to Skin Studies

Lepow et al. (1975) - Investigations into Sources of Lead in the Environment of Urban Children - This study was conducted to identify the behavioral and environmental factors contributing to elevated lead levels in ten preschool children. The study was performed over 6 to 25 months. Samples of dirt from the hands of subjects were collected during the course of play around the areas where they lived. Preweighed self-adhesive labels were used to sample a standard area on the palm of the hands of 16 male and female children. The labels were pressed on a single area, often pressed several times, to obtain an adequate sample. In the laboratory, labels were equilibrated in a desiccant cabinet for 24 hours (comparable to the preweighed desiccation), then the total weight was recorded. The mean weight of dirt from the 22 hand sample labels was 11 mg . This corresponds to $0.51 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Lepow et al. (1975) reported that this amount ( 11 mg ) represented only a small fraction (percent not specified) of the total amount of surface dirt present on the hands, because much of the dirt may be trapped in skin folds and creases or there may be a patchy distribution of dirt on hands.

Roels et al. (1980) - Exposure to Lead by the Oral and the Pulmonary Routes of Children Living in the Vicinity of a Primary Lead Smelter - Roels et al. (1980) examined blood lead levels among 661 children, 9 to 14 years old, who lived in the vicinity of a large lead smelter in Brussels, Belgium. During five different study periods, lead levels were assessed by rinsing the childrens' hands in 500 mL dilute nitric acid. The amount of lead on the hands was divided by the concentration of lead in soil to
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estimate the amount of soil adhering to the hands. The mean soil amount adhering to the hands was 0.159 grams.

Que Hee et al. (1985) - Evolution of Efficient Methods to Sample Lead Sources, Such as House Dust and Hand Dust, in the Homes of Children - Que Hee et al. (1985) used soil having particle sizes ranging from $\leq 44$ to $833 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameters, fractionated into six size ranges, to estimate the amount that adhered to the palm of the hand that are assumed to be approximately $160 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ (test subject with an average total body surface area of $16,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and a total hand surface area of $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ). The amount of soil that adhered to skin was determined by applying approximately 5 g of soil for each size fraction, removing excess soil by shaking the hands, and then measuring the difference in weight before and after application. Several assumptions were made to apply these results to other soil types and exposure scenarios: (a) the soil is composed of particles of the indicated diameters; (b) all soil types and particle sizes adhere to the skin to the degree observed in this study; and an equivalent weight of particles of any diameter adhere to the same surface area of skin. On average, 31.2 mg of soil adhered to the palm of the hand.

Driver et al. (1989) - Soil Adherence to Human Skin - Driver et al. (1989) conducted soil adherence experiments using various soil types collected from sites in Virginia. A total of five soil types were collected: Hyde, Chapanoke, Panorama, Jackland, and Montalto. Both top soils and subsoils were collected for each soil type. The soils were also characterized by cation exchange capacity, organic content, clay mineralogy, and particle size distribution. The soils were dry sieved to obtain particle sizes of $\leq 250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\leq 150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. For each soil type, the amount of soil adhering to adult male hands, using both sieved and unsieved soils, was determined gravimetrically (i.e., measuring the difference in soil sample weight before and after soil application to the hands).

An attempt was made to measure only the minimal or "monolayer" of soil adhering to the hands. This was done by mixing a pre-weighed amount of soil over the entire surface area of the hands for a period of approximately 30 seconds, followed by removal of excess soil by gently rubbing the hands together after contact with the soil. Excess soil that was removed from the hands was collected, weighed, and compared to the original soil sample weight. The authors measured average adherence of $1.40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for particle sizes less than $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0.95 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for particle sizes less than 250
$\mu \mathrm{m}$, and $0.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for unsieved soils. Analysis of variance statistics showed that the most important factor affecting adherence variability was particle size ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). The next most important factor is soil type and subtype (p $<0.001$ ). The interaction of soil type and particle size was also significant, but at a lower significance level ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ).

Driver et al. (1989) found statistically significant increases in soil adherence with decreasing particle size; whereas, Que Hee et al. (1985) found relatively small changes with changes in particle size. The amount of soil adherence found by Driver et al. (1989) was greater than that reported by Que Hee et al. (1985).

Sedman (1989) - The Development of Applied Action Levels for Soil Contact: A Scenario for the Exposure of Humans to Soil in a Residential Setting Sedman (1989) used the estimate from Roels et al. (1980), 0.159 g , and the average surface area of the hand of an 11 year old, $307 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ to estimate the amount of soil adhering per unit area of skin to be $0.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. This assumed that approximately 60 percent ( $185 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) of the lead on the hands was recovered by the method employed by Roels et al. (1980).

Sedman (1989) used estimates from Lepow et al. (1975), Roels et al. (1980), and Que Hee et al. (1985) to develop a maximum soil load that could occur on the skin. A rounded arithmetic mean of $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ was calculated from these three studies. According to Sedman (1989), this was near the maximum load of soil that could occur on the skin but it is unlikely that most skin surfaces would be covered with this amount of soil (Sedman, 1989).

Yang et al. (1989) - In vitro and In vivo Percutaneous Absorption of Benzo[a]pyrene from Petroleum Crude - Fortified Soil in the Rat - Yang et al. (1989) evaluated the percutaneous absorption of benzo[a]pyrene (BAP) in petroleum crude oil sorbed on soil using a modified in vitro technique. This method was used in preliminary experiments to determine the minimum amount of soil adhering to the skin of rats. Based on these results, percutaneous absorption experiments with the crude-sorbed soil were conducted with soil particles of $<150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ only. This particle size was intended to represent the composition of the soil adhering to the skin surface. Approximately $9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of soil was found to be the minimum amount required for a "monolayer" coverage of the skin surface in both in vitro and in vivo experiments. This value is larger than reports for human skin in the studies of Kissel et al., 1996a,b; Lepow et al., 1975; Roels et al., 1980; and Que Hee et al.,
1985. Differences between the rat and human soil adhesion findings may be the result of differences in rat and human skin texture, the types of soils used, soil moisture content or possibly the methods of measuring soil adhesion (Yang et al., 1989).

### 6.4. RECOMMENDATIONS <br> 6.4.1. Body Surface Area

Body surface area estimates are based on direct measurements. Re-analysis of data collected by Boyd (1935) by several investigators (Gehan and George, 1970; U.S. EPA, 1985; Murray and Burmaster, 1992; Phillips et al., 1993) constitutes much of this literature. Methods are highly reproducible and the results are widely accepted. The representativeness of these data to the general population is somewhat limited since variability due to race or gender have not been systematically addressed.

Individual body surface area studies are summarized in Table 6-13 and the recommendations for body surface area are summarized in Table 6-14. Table 6-15 presents the confidence ratings for various aspects of the recommendations for body surface area. The U.S. EPA (1985) study is based on generally accepted measurements that enjoy widespread usage, summarizes and compares previous reports in the literature, provides statistical distributions for adults, and provides data for total body surface area and body parts by gender for adults and children. However, the results are based on 401 selected measurements from the original 1,114 made by Boyd (1935). More than half of the measurements are from children. Therefore, these estimates may be subject to selection bias and may not be representative of the general population nor specific ethnic groups. Phillips et al. (1993) analyses are based on direct measurement data that provide distributions of body surface area to calculate LADD. The results are consistent with previous efforts to estimate body surface area. Analyses are based on 401 measurements selected from the original 1,114 measurements made by Boyd (1935) and data were not analyzed for specific body parts. The study by Murray and Burmaster (1992) provides frequency distributions for body surface area for men and women and produces results that are similar to those obtained by the U.S. EPA (1985), but do not provide data for body parts nor can results be applied to children.

For most dermal exposure scenarios concerning adults, it is recommended that the body surface areas presented in Table 6-4 be used after determining which body parts will be exposed. Table $6-4$ was selected
because these data are straightforward determinations for most scenarios. However, for others, additional considerations may need to be addressed. For example, (1) the type of clothing worn could have a significant effect on the surface area exposed, and (2) climatic conditions will also affect the type of clothing worn and, thus, the skin surface area exposed.

Frequency, event, and exposure duration for water activities and soil contact are presented in Activity Patterns, Volume III, Chapter 15 of this report. For each parameter, recommended values were derived for average and upper percentile values. Each of these considerations are also discussed in more detail in U.S. EPA (1992b). Data in Tables 6-2 and 6-3 can be used when surface area distributions are preferred. A range of recommended values for estimates of the skin surface area of children may be taken from Tables 6-6 and 6-7 using the 50th and 95th percentile values for age(s) of concern. The recommended 50th and 95th percentile values for adult skin surface area provided in U.S. EPA (1992b) are presented in Table 6-16.

### 6.4.2. Soil Adherence to Skin

Table 6-17 summarizes the relevant and key studies addressing soil adherence to skin. Both Lepow et al. (1975) and Roels et al. (1980) monitored typical exposures in children. They attempted to estimate typical exposure by recovery of accumulated soil from hands at specific time intervals. The efficiency of their sample collection methods is not known and may be subject to error. Only children were studied which may limit generalizing these results to adults. Later studies (Que Hee et al., 1985 and Driver et al., 1989) attempted to characterize both soil properties and sample collection efficiency to estimate adherence of soil to skin. However, the experimental conditions used to expose skin to soil may not reflect typical dermal exposure situations. This provides useful information about the influence of soil characteristics on skin adherence, but the intimate contact of skin with soil required under the controlled experimental conditions in the studies by Driver et al. (1989) and Que Hee et al. (1985) may have exaggerated the amount of adherence over what typically occurs.

More recently, Kissel et al. (1996a; 1996b) have related dermal adherence to soil characteristics and to specific activities. In all cases, experimental design and measurement methods are straightforward and reproducible, but application of results is limited. Both controlled experiments and field studies are based on a
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limited number of measurements. Specific situations have been selected to assess soil adherence to skin. Consequently, variation due to individuals, protective clothing, temporal, or seasonal factors remain to be studied in more detail. Therefore, caution is required in interpretation and application of these results for exposure assessments.

These studies are based on limited data, but suggest:

- Soil properties influence adherence. Adherence increases with moisture content, decreases with particle size, but is relatively unaffected by clay or organic carbon content.
- Adherence levels vary considerably across different parts of the body. The highest levels were found on common contact points such as hands, knees, and elbows; the least was detected on the face.
- Adherence levels vary with activity. In general, the highest levels of soil adherence were seen in outdoor workers such as farmers and irrigation system installers, followed by outdoor recreation, and gardening activities. Very high adherence levels were seen in individuals contacting wet soils such as might occur during wading or other shore area recreational activities.

In consideration, of these general observations and the recent data from Kissel et al. (1996a, 1996b), changes are needed from past EPA recommendations which used one adherence value to represent all soils, body parts, and activities. One approach would be to select the activity from Table 6-11 which best represents the exposure scenario of concern and use the corresponding adherence value from Table 6-12. Although this approach represents an improvement, it still has shortcomings. For example, it is difficult to decide which activity in Table 6-12 is most representative of a typical residential setting involving a variety of activities. It may be useful to combine these activities into general classes of low, moderate, and high contact. In the future, it may be possible to combine activity-specific soil adherence estimates with surveyspecific soil adherence estimates with survey-derived data on activity frequency and duration to develop overall average soil contact rates.

EPA is sponsoring research to develop such an approach. As this information becomes availble, updated recommendations will be issued.

Table 6-12 provides the best estimates available on activity-specific adherence values, but are based on limited data. Therefore, they have a high degree of uncertainty such that considerable judgment must be used when selecting them for an assessment. The confidence ratings for various aspects of this recommendation are summarized in Table 618. Insufficient data are available to develop a distribution or a probability function for soil loadings.

Past EPA guidance has recommended assuming that soil exposure occurs primarily to exposed body surfaces and used typical clothing scenarios to derive estimates of exposed skin area. The approach recommended above for estimating soil adherence addresses this issue in a different manner. This change was motivated by two developments. First, increased acceptance that soil and dust particles can get under clothing and be deposited on skin. Second, recent studies of soil adherence have measured soil on entire body parts (whether or not they were covered by clothing) and averaged the amount of soil adhering to skin over the area of entire body part. The soil adherence levels resulting from these new studies must be combined with the surface area of the entire body part (not merely unclothed surface area) to estimate the amount of contaminant on skin. An important caveat, however, is that this approach assumes that clothing in the exposure scenario of interest matches the clothing in the studies used to derive these adherence levels such that the same degree of protection provided by clothing can be assumed in both cases. If clothing differs significantly between the studies reported here and the exposure scenarios under investigation, considerable judgment is needed to adjust either the adherence level or surface area assumption.

The dermal adherence value represents the amount of soil on the skin at the time of measurement. Assuming that the amount measured on the skin represents its accumulation between washings and that people wash at least once per day, these adherence values can be interpreted as daily contact rates (U.S. EPA, 1992b). However, this is not recommended because the residence time of soils on skin has not been studied. Instead, it is recommended that these adherence values be interpreted on an event basis (U.S. EPA, 1992b).
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Figure 6-1. Schematic of Dose and Exposure: Dermal Route
Source: U.S. EPA, 1992a.

| Table 6-1. Summary of Equation Parameters for Calculating Adult Body Surface Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body Part | N | Equation for surface areas ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |  |  | P | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | S.E. |
|  |  | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {o }}$ | $\mathrm{W}^{\text {al }}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a} 2}$ |  |  |  |
| Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 57 | 0.0256 | 0.124 | 0.189 | 0.01 | 0.302 | 0.00678 |
| Male | 32 | 0.0492 | 0.339 | -0.0950 | 0.01 | 0.222 | 0.0202 |
| Trunk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 57 | 0.188 | 0.647 | -0.304 | 0.001 | 0.877 | 0.00567 |
| Male | 32 | 0.0240 | 0.808 | -0.0131 | 0.001 | 0.894 | 0.0118 |
| Upper Extremities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 57 | 0.0288 | 0.341 | 0.175 | 0.001 | 0.526 | 0.00833 |
| Male | 48 | 0.00329 | 0.466 | 0.524 | 0.001 | 0.821 | 0.0101 |
| Arms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 13 | 0.00223 | 0.201 | 0.748 | 0.01 | 0.731 | 0.00996 |
| Male | 32 | 0.00111 | 0.616 | 0.561 | 0.001 | 0.892 | 0.0177 |
| Upper Arms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forearms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 6 | 0.326 | 0.858 | -0.895 | 0.05 | 0.897 | 0.0207 |
| Hands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | $12^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0131 | 0.412 | 0.0274 | 0.1 | 0.447 | 0.0172 |
| Male | 32 | 0.0257 | 0.573 | -0.218 | 0.001 | 0.575 | 0.0187 |
| Lower Extremities ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 105 | 0.00286 | 0.458 | 0.696 | 0.001 | 0.802 | 0.00633 |
| Legs | 45 | 0.00240 | 0.542 | 0.626 | 0.001 | 0.780 | 0.0130 |
| Thighs | 45 | 0.00352 | 0.629 | 0.379 | 0.001 | 0.739 | 0.0149 |
| Lower legs | 45 | 0.000276 | 0.416 | 0.973 | 0.001 | 0.727 | 0.0149 |
| Feet | 45 | 0.000618 | 0.372 | 0.725 | 0.001 | 0.651 | 0.0147 |
| a $\quad \mathrm{SA}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{a} 1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a} 2}$ <br> $\mathrm{W}=$ Weight in kilograms $; \mathrm{H}=$ Height in centimeters; $\mathrm{P}=$ Level of significance; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ Coefficient of determination; <br> SA = Surface Area; S.E. = Standard error; N = Number of observations <br> b One observation for a female whose body weight exceeded the 95 percentile was not used. <br> c Although two separate regressions were marginally indicated by the F test, pooling was done for consistency with individual components of lower extremities. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1985. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 6-2. Surface Area of Adult Males in Square Meters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body part | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 85 | 90 | 95 | S.E. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 1.66 | 1.72 | 1.76 | 1.82 | 1.94 | 2.07 | 2.14 | 2.20 | 2.28 | 0.00374 |
| Head | 0.119 | 0.121 | 0.123 | 0.124 | 0.130 | 0.135 | 0.138 | 0.140 | 0.143 | 0.0202 |
| Trunk ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.591 | 0.622 | 0.643 | 0.674 | 0.739 | 0.807 | 0.851 | 0.883 | $0.935^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0118 |
| Upper extremities | 0.321 | 0.332 | 0.340 | 0.350 | 0.372 | 0.395 | 0.408 | 0.418 | $0.432^{\text {c }}$ | 0.00101 |
| Arms | 0.241 | 0.252 | 0.259 | 0.270 | 0.291 | $0.314^{\text {c }}$ | $0.328^{\text {c }}$ | $0.339^{\text {c }}$ | $0.354^{\text {c }}$ | 0.00387 |
| Forearms | 0.106 | 0.111 | 0.115 | 0.121 | 0.131 | $0.144^{\text {c }}$ | $0.151^{\text {c }}$ | $0.157^{\text {c }}$ | $0.166^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0207 |
| Hands | 0.085 | 0.088 | 0.090 | 0.093 | 0.099 | 0.105 | 0.109 | 0.112 | 0.117 | 0.0187 |
| Lower extremities | 0.653 | 0.676 | 0.692 | 0.715 | 0.761 | 0.810 | 0.838 | 0.858 | $0.888^{\text {c }}$ | 0.00633 |
| Legs | 0.539 | 0.561 | 0.576 | 0.597 | 0.640 | $0.686^{\text {c }}$ | $0.714^{\text {c }}$ | $0.734^{\text {c }}$ | $0.762^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0130 |
| Thighs | 0.318 | 0.331 | 0.341 | 0.354 | 0.382 | $0.411^{\text {c }}$ | $0.429^{\text {c }}$ | $0.443^{\text {c }}$ | $0.463{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0149 |
| Lower legs | 0.218 | 0.226 | 0.232 | 0.240 | 0.256 | 0.272 | 0.282 | 0.288 | 0.299 | 0.0149 |
| Feet | 0.114 | 0.118 | 0.120 | 0.124 | 0.131 | 0.138 | 0.142 | 0.145 | 0.149 | 0.0147 |
| Standard error for the 5-95 percentile of each body part. <br> Trunk includes neck. <br> Percentile estimates exceed the maximum measured values upon which the equations are based. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1985. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 6-3. Surface Area of Adult Females in Square Meters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body part | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 85 | 90 | 95 | S.E. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 1.45 | 1.49 | 1.53 | 1.58 | $1.69^{\text {c }}$ | 1.82 | 1.91 | 1.98 | 2.09 | 0.00374 |
| Head | 0.106 | 0.107 | 0.108 | 0.109 | 0.111 | 0.113 | 0.114 | 0.115 | 0.117 | 0.00678 |
| Trunk ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.490 | 0.507 | 0.518 | 0.538 | 0.579 | 0.636 | 0.677 | 0.704 | 0.752 | 0.00567 |
| Upper extremities | 0.260 | 0.265 | 0.269 | 0.274 | 0.287 | 0.301 | 0.311 | 0.318 | 0.329 | 0.00833 |
| Arms | 0.210 | 0.214 | 0.217 | 0.221 | 0.230 | $0.238^{\text {c }}$ | $0.243{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.247^{\text {c }}$ | $0.253{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.00996 |
| Hands | 0.0730 | 0.0746 | 0.0757 | 0.0777 | 0.0817 | $0.0868^{\text {c }}$ | $0.0903^{\text {c }}$ | $0.0927^{\text {c }}$ | $0.0966^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0172 |
| Lower extremities | 0.564 | 0.582 | 0.595 | 0.615 | 0.657 | 0.704 | 0.736 | 0.757 | 0.796 | 0.00633 |
| Legs | 0.460 | 0.477 | 0.488 | 0.507 | 0.546 | 0.592 | 0.623 | 0.645 | $0.683{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0130 |
| Thighs | 0.271 | 0.281 | 0.289 | 0.300 | 0.326 | 0.357 | 0.379 | 0.394 | $0.421^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0149 |
| Lower legs | 0.186 | 0.192 | 0.197 | 0.204 | 0.218 | 0.233 | 0.243 | 0.249 | 0.261 | 0.0149 |
| Feet | 0.100 | 0.103 | 0.105 | 0.108 | 0.114 | 0.121 | 0.126 | 0.129 | 0.134 | 0.0147 |
| Standard error for the 5-95 percentile of each body part. <br> Trunk includes neck. <br> Percentile estimates exceed the maximum measured values upon which the equations are based. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1985. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 6-4. Surface Area by Body Part for Adults ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body part | Men |  |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | (sd) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Min. | - | Max. | N | Mean | (sd) | Min. | - | Max. |
| Head | 32 | 0.118 | (0.0160) | 0.090 | - | 0.161 | 57 | 0.110 | (0.00625) | 0.0953 | - | 0.127 |
| Trunk <br> (Incl. Neck) | 32 | 0.569 | (0.104) | 0.306 | - | 0.893 | 57 | 0.542 | (0.0712) | 0.437 | - | 0.867 |
| Upper extremities | 48 | 0.319 | (0.0461) | 0.169 | - | 0.429 | 57 | 0.276 | (0.0241) | 0.215 | - | 0.333 |
| Arms | 32 | 0.228 | (0.0374) | 0.109 | - | 0.292 | 13 | 0.210 | (0.0129) | 0.193 | - | 0.235 |
| Upper arms | 6 | 0.143 | (0.0143) | 0.122 | - | 0.156 | - | - | . | - | - | - |
| Forearms | 6 | 0.114 | (0.0127) | 0.0945 | - | 0.136 | - |  |  | - |  | - |
| Hands | $32$ | 0.084 | (0.0127) | 0.0596 | - | 0.113 | 12 | $0.0746$ | $(0.00510)$ | 0.0639 |  | 0.0824 |
| Lower extremities | 48 | 0.636 | (0.0994) | 0.283 | - | 0.868 | 57 | 0.626 | (0.0675) | 0.492 | - | 0.809 |
| Legs | 32 | 0.505 | (0.0885) | 0.221 | - | 0.656 | 13 | 0.488 | (0.0515) | 0.423 | - | 0.585 |
| Thighs | 32 | 0.198 | (0.1470) | 0.128 | - | 0.403 | 13 | 0.258 | (0.0333) | 0.258 | - | 0.360 |
| Lower legs | 32 | 0.207 | (0.0379) | 0.093 | - | 0.296 | 13 | 0.194 | (0.0240) | $0.165$ | - | 0.229 |
| Feet | 32 | 0.112 | (0.0177) | 0.0611 | - | 0.156 | 13 | 0.0975 | (0.00903) | 0.0834 | - | 0.115 |
| TOTAL |  | $1.94{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $(0.00374)^{\text {d }}$ | 1.66 | - | $2.28{ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | $1.69^{\text {c }}$ | $(0.00374)^{\text {d }}$ | 1.45 | - | $2.09^{\text {e }}$ |
| ${ }^{a}$ number of observations. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ standard deviation. <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ median (see Table 6-2). <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ standard error. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ percentiles (5th - 95th). <br> Source: Adapted from U.S. EPA, 1985. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 6-5. Percentage of Total Body Surface Area by Part for Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men |  |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body part | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | (s.d.) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Min. | - | Max. | N | Mean | (s.d.) | Min. | - | Max. |
| Head | 32 | 7.8 | (1.0) | 6.1 | - | 10.6 | 57 | 7.1 | (0.6) | 5.6 | - | 8.1 |
| Trunk | 32 | 35.9 | (2.1) | 30.5 | - | 41.4 | 57 | 34.8 | (1.9) | 32.8 | - | 41.7 |
| Upper extremities | 48 | 18.8 | (1.1) | 16.4 | - | 21.0 | 57 | 17.9 | (0.9) | 15.6 | - | 19.9 |
| Arms | 32 | 14.1 | (0.9) | 12.5 | - | 15.5 | 13 | 14.0 | (0.6) | 12.4 | - | 14.8 |
| Upper arms | 6 | 7.4 | (0.5) | 6.7 | - | 8.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Forearms | 6 | 5.9 | (0.3) | 5.4 | - | 6.3 | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hands | 32 | 5.2 | (0.5) | 4.6 | - | 7.0 | 12 | 5.1 | (0.3) | 4.4 |  | 5.4 |
| Lower extremities | 48 | 37.5 | (1.9) | 33.3 | - | 41.2 | 57 | 40.3 | (1.6) | 36.0 | - | 43.2 |
| Legs | 32 | 31.2 | (1.6) | 26.1 | - | 33.4 | 13 | 32.4 | (1.6) | 29.8 | - | 35.3 |
| Thighs | 32 | 18.4 | (1.2) | 15.2 | - | 20.2 | 13 | 19.5 | (1.1) | 18.0 | - | 21.7 |
| Lower legs | 32 | 12.8 | (1.0) | 11.0 | - | 15.8 | 13 | 12.8 | (1.0) | 11.4 | - | 14.9 |
| Feet | 32 | 7.0 | (0.5) | 6.0 | - | 7.9 | 13 | 6.5 | (0.3) | 6.0 | - | 7.0 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { a } & \text { Number of ob } \\ \text { b } & \text { Standard devi } \end{array}$ Source: Adapt | U.S | $\text { A, } 198$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 6-6. Total Body Surface Area of Male Children in Square Meters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (yr) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 85 | 90 | 95 |
| $2<3$ | 0.527 | 0.544 | 0.552 | 0.569 | 0.603 | 0.629 | 0.643 | 0.661 | 0.682 |
| $3<4$ | 0.585 | 0.606 | 0.620 | 0.636 | 0.664 | 0.700 | 0.719 | 0.729 | 0.764 |
| $4<5$ | 0.633 | 0.658 | 0.673 | 0.689 | 0.731 | 0.771 | 0,796 | 0.809 | 0.845 |
| $5<6$ | 0.692 | 0.721 | 0.732 | 0.746 | 0.793 | 0.840 | 0.864 | 0.895 | 0.918 |
| 6<7 | 0.757 | 0.788 | 0.809 | 0.821 | 0.866 | 0.915 | 0.957 | 1.01 | 1.06 |
| $7<8$ | 0.794 | 0.832 | 0.848 | 0.877 | 0.936 | 0.993 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 1.11 |
| $8<9$ | 0.836 | 0.897 | 0.914 | 0.932 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 1.12 | 1.17 | 1.24 |
| $9<10$ | 0.932 | 0.966 | 0.988 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 1.13 | 1.16 | 1.25 | 1.29 |
| $10<11$ | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.06 | 1.10 | 1.18 | 1.28 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 1.48 |
| $11<12$ | 1.00 | 1.06 | 1.12 | 1.16 | 1.23 | 1.40 | 1.47 | 1.53 | 1.60 |
| $12<13$ | 1.11 | 1.13 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.34 | 1.47 | 1.52 | 1.62 | 1.76 |
| $13<14$ | 1.20 | 1.24 | 1.27 | 1.30 | 1.47 | 1.62 | 1.67 | 1.75 | 1.81 |
| $14<15$ | 1.33 | 1.39 | 1.45 | 1.51 | 1.61 | 1.73 | 1.78 | 1.84 | 1.91 |
| $15<16$ | 1.45 | 1.49 | 1.52 | 1.60 | 1.70 | 1.79 | 1.84 | 1.90 | 2.02 |
| $16<17$ | 1.55 | 1.59 | 1.61 | 1.66 | 1.76 | 1.87 | 1.98 | 2.03 | 2.16 |
| $17<18$ | 1.54 | 1.56 | 1.62 | 1.69 | 1.80 | 1.91 | 1.96 | 2.03 | 2.09 |
| $3<6$ | $0.616$ | $0.636$ | 0.649 | 0.673 | 0.728 | 0.785 | 0.817 | 0.842 | 0.876 |
| 6<9 | 0.787 | 0.814 | 0.834 | 0.866 | 0.931 | 1.01 | 1.05 | 1.09 | 1.14 |
| $9<12$ | 0.972 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.07 | 1.16 | 1.28 | 1.36 | 1.42 | 1.52 |
| $12<15$ | 1.19 | 1.24 | 1.27 | 1.32 | 1.49 | 1.64 | 1.73 | 1.77 | 1.85 |
| $15<18$ | 1.50 | 1.55 | 1.59 | 1.65 | 1.75 | 1.86 | 1.94 | 2.01 | 2.11 |

a Lack of height measurements for children <2 years in NHANES II precluded calculation of surface areas for this age group.
Estimated values calculated using NHANES II data.
Source: U.S. EPA, 1985.

| Table 6-7. Total Body Surface Area of Female Children in Square Meters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (yr) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 85 | 90 | 95 |
| $2<3$ | 0.516 | 0.532 | 0.544 | 0.557 | 0.579 | 0.610 | 0.623 | 0.637 | 0.653 |
| $3<4$ | 0.555 | 0.570 | 0.589 | 0.607 | 0.649 | 0.688 | 0.707 | 0.721 | 0.737 |
| $4<5$ | 0.627 | 0.639 | 0.649 | 0.666 | 0.706 | 0.758 | 0.777 | 0.794 | 0.820 |
| $5<6$ | 0.675 | 0.700 | 0.714 | 0.735 | 0.779 | 0.830 | 0.870 | 0.902 | 0.952 |
| $6<7$ | 0.723 | 0.748 | 0.770 | 0.791 | 0.843 | 0.914 | 0.961 | 0.989 | 1.03 |
| $7<8$ | 0.792 | 0.808 | 0.819 | 0.854 | 0.917 | 0.977 | 1.02 | 1.06 | 1.13 |
| $8<9$ | 0.863 | 0.888 | 0.913 | 0.932 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.11 | 1.18 |
| $9<10$ | 0.897 | 0.948 | 0.969 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 1.14 | 1.22 | 1.31 | 1.41 |
| $10<11$ | 0.981 | 1.01 | 1.05 | 1.10 | 1.17 | 1.29 | 1.34 | 1.37 | 1.43 |
| $11<12$ | 1.06 | 1.09 | 1.12 | 1.16 | 1.30 | 1.40 | 1.50 | 1.56 | 1.62 |
| $12<13$ | 1.13 | 1.19 | 1.24 | 1.27 | 1.40 | 1.51 | 1.62 | 1.64 | 1.70 |
| $13<14$ | 1.21 | 1.28 | 1.32 | 1.38 | 1.48 | 1.59 | 1.67 | 1.75 | 1.86 |
| $14<15$ | 1.31 | 1.34 | 1.39 | 1.45 | 1.55 | 1.66 | 1.74 | 1.76 | 1.88 |
| $15<16$ | 1.38 | 1.49 | 1.43 | 1.47 | 1.57 | 1.67 | 1.72 | 1.76 | 1.83 |
| $16<17$ | 1.40 | 1.46 | 1.48 | 1.53 | 1.60 | 1.69 | 1.79 | 1.84 | 1.91 |
| $17<18$ | 1.42 | 1.49 | 1.51 | 1.56 | 1.63 | 1.73 | 1.80 | 1.84 | 1.94 |
| $3<6$ | $0.585$ | $0.610$ | 0.630 | 0.654 | $0.711$ | $0.770$ | $0.808$ | $0.831$ | 0.879 |
| $6<9$ | 0.754 | 0.790 | 0.804 | 0.845 | 0.919 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.13 |
| $9<12$ | 0.957 | 0.990 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 1.16 | 1.31 | 1.38 | 1.43 | 1.56 |
| $12<15$ | 1.21 | 1.27 | 1.30 | 1.37 | 1.48 | 1.61 | 1.68 | 1.74 | 1.82 |
| $15<18$ | 1.40 | 1.44 | 1.47 | 1.51 | 1.60 | 1.70 | 1.76 | 1.82 | 1.92 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} \quad$ Lack of height measurements for children <2 years in NHANES II precluded calculation of surface areas for this age group. <br> b Estimated values calculated using NHANES II data. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1985. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Table 6-9. Descriptive Statistics for Surface Area/Body Weight (SA/BW) Ratios (m²/kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ercentile |  |  |  |
| Age (yrs.) | Mean | Range Min-Max | $\mathrm{SD}^{\text {a }}$ | SE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 |
| 0-2 | 0.0641 | 0.0421-0.1142 | 0.0114 | $7.84 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 0.0470 | 0.0507 | 0.0563 | 0.0617 | 0.0719 | 0.0784 | 0.0846 |
| 2.1-17.9 | 0.0423 | 0.0268-0.0670 | 0.0076 | $1.05 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 0.0291 | 0.0328 | 0.0376 | 0.0422 | 0.0454 | 0.0501 | 0.0594 |
| $\geq 18$ | 0.0284 | 0.0200-0.0351 | 0.0028 | 7.68e-6 | 0.0238 | 0.0244 | 0.0270 | 0.0286 | 0.0302 | 0.0316 | 0.0329 |
| All ages | 0.0489 | 0.0200-0.1142 | 0.0187 | $9.33 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 0.0253 | 0.0272 | 0.0299 | 0.0495 | 0.0631 | 0.0740 | 0.0788 |
| a Standard deviation.$\mathrm{b} \quad$ Standard error of the mean.Source: $\quad$ Phillips et al., 1993. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Infant SA/BW Ratios: Lognorm(0.0641.0.0114)


All Ages SA/BW Ratios: Normal(0.0489.0.0187)


Aduit SA/BW Ratios: Normal(0.0284,0.0028)


Figure 6-2. SA/BW Distributions for Infants, Adults, and All Ages Combined Source: Phillips et al., 1993.

## Surface Area: Men

Frequency Distribution


Surface Area: Women
Frequency Distribution


Area in $\mathrm{m}^{2}, n=5,000$. LHS

Figure 6-3. Frequency Distributions for the Surface Area of Men and Women
Source: Murray and Burmaster, 1992.
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| Table 6-11. Summary of Field Studies (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Month | Event ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> (hrs) | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | M | F |  | Age | Conditions | Clothing |
| Gardeners No. 2 | Aug. | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 |  | 26-52 | Weeding, pruning, digging a trench, picking fruit, cleaning | 3 of 7 long pants, 5of 7 short sleeves, 1 sleeveless, socks, shoes, no gloves |
| Rugby No. 1 | Mar. | 1.75 | 8 | 8 | 0 |  | 20-22 | Mixed grass-barewet field | All in short sleeve shirts, shorts, variable sock lengths |
| Rugby No. 2 | July | 2 | 8 | 8 | 0 |  | 23-33 | Grass field ( $80 \%$ oftime) and allweather field (mix of gravel, sand, and clay) ( $20 \%$ oftime) | All in shorts, 7 of 8 in short sleeve shirts, 6 of 8 in low socks |
| Rugby No. 3 | Sept. | 2.75 | 7 | 7 | 0 |  | 24-30 | Compacted mixedgrass and bare earth field | All short pants, 7 of 8 short or rolled up sleeves, socks, shoes |
| Archeologists | July | 11.5 | 7 | 3 | 4 |  | 16-35 | Digging withtrowel, screening dirt, sorting | 6 of 7 short pants,all short sleeves, 3 no shoes or socks, 2 sandals |
| Construction Workers | Sept. | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 |  | 21-30 | Mixed bare earth and concrete surfaces, dust and debris | 5 of 8 pants, 7 of 8 short sleeves, all socks and shoes |
| Utility Workers No. 1 | July | 9.5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |  | 24-45 | Cleaning, fixing mains, excavation (backhoe and shovel) | All long pants,short sleeves, socks, boots, gloves sometimes |
| Utility Workers No. 2 | Aug. | 9.5 | 6 | 6 | 0 |  | 23-44 | Cleaning, fixing mains, excavation (backhoe and shovel) | All long pants, 5 of 6 short sleeves, socks, boots, gloves sometimes |
| Equip. Operators No. 1 | Aug. | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  | 21-54 | Earth scraping withheavy machinery, dusty conditions | All long pants, 3 of 4 short sleeves, socks, boots, 2 of 4 gloves |
| Equip. Operators No. 2 | Aug. | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  | 21-54 | Earth scraping withheavy machinery, dusty conditions | All long pants, 3 of 4 short sleeves, socks, boots, 1 gloves |
| Farmers No. 1 | May | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  | 39-44 | Manual weeding,mechanical cultivation | All in long pants, heavy shoes, short sleeve shirts, no gloves |
| Farmers No. 2 | July | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 |  | 18-43 | Manual weeding,mechanical cultivation | 2 of 6 short, 4 of 6 long pants, 1 of 6 long sleeve shirt, no gloves |
| Reed Gatherers | Aug. | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 42-67 | Tidal flats | 2 of 4 shortsleeve shirts/knee length pants, all wore shoes |
| Kids-in-mud No. 1 | Sept. | 0.17 | 6 | 5 | 1 |  | 9-14 | Lake shoreline | All in short sleeve T-shirts, shorts, barefoot |
| Kids-in-mud No. 2 | Sept. | 0.33 | 6 | 5 | 1 |  | $9-14$ | Lake shoreline | All in short sleeveT-shirts, shorts, barefoot |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Outdoor Totals } & 181 & 125 & 56\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a Event duration <br> b Number of subject <br> c Activities were confined to the house <br> Sources: Kissel et al., 1996b; Holmes et al., 1996 (submitted for publication). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 6-12. Geometric Mean and Geometric Standard Deviations of Soil Adherence by Activity and Body Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Post-activity Dermal Soil Loadings (mg/cm2) |  |  |  |  |
| Activity | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {a }}$ | Hands | Arms | Legs | Faces | Feet |
| Indoor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tae Kwon Do | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0063 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0019 \\ 4.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0020 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0022 \\ 2.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| GreenhouseWorkers | 2 | $0.043$ | $0.0064$ | $0.0015$ | $0.0050$ |  |
| Indoor Kids No. 1 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0073 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0042 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0041 \\ 2.3 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.012 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| Indoor Kids No. 2 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 0.014 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0041 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0031 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0091 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ |
| Daycare Kids No. 1a | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.026 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.030 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.079 \\ 2.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| Daycare Kids No. 1b | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 0.15 \\ 2.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.031 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ 1.2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.13 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| Daycare Kids No. 2 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 0.073 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.011 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.044 \\ 1.3 \end{gathered}$ |
| Daycare Kids No. 3 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.036 \\ 1.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.012 \\ 1.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.014 \\ 3.0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0053 \\ 5.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Outdoor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soccer No. 1 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.011 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.031 \\ 3.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.012 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Soccer No. 2 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 0.035 \\ 3.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0043 \\ 2.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.014 \\ 5.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.016 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Soccer No. 3 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 0.019 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0029 \\ 2.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0081 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.012 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Groundskeepers No. 1 | 2 | $0.15$ | $0.005$ |  | $0.0021$ | $0.018$ |
| Groundskeepers No. 2 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 0.098 \\ 2.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0021 \\ 2.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0010 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.010 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Groundskeepers No. 3 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 0.030 \\ 2.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0022 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0009 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0044 \\ 2.6 \end{gathered}$ | 0.0040 |
| Groundskeepers No. 4 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 0.045 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.014 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0008 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0026 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $0.018$ |
| Groundskeepers No. 5 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 0.032 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.022 \\ 2.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0010 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0039 \\ 2.1 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Landscape/Rockery | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.072 \\ 2.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.030 \\ 2.1 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0057 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Irrigation Installers | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 0.19 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $0.018$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0054 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0063 \\ 1.3 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Gardeners No. 1 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.050 \\ 2.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.072 \\ -- \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.058 \\ 1.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.17 \\ \hline-- \end{gathered}$ |
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| Table 6-12. Geometric Mean and Geometric Standard Deviations of Soil Adherence by Activity and Body Region (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Post-activity Dermal Soil Loadings (mg/cm2) |  |  |  |  |
| Activity | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {a }}$ | Hands | Arms | Legs | Faces | Feet |
| Gardeners No. 2 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.18 \\ 3.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.054 \\ 2.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.022 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.047 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{0.26}$ |
| Rugby No. 1 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 0.40 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.36 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.059 \\ 2.7 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Rugby No. 2 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 0.14 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.15 \\ 1.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.046 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Rugby No. 3 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 0.049 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.031 \\ 1.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.057 \\ 1.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.020 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Archeologists | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 0.14 \\ 1.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.041 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.028 \\ 4.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.050 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.24 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| Construction Workers | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 0.24 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.098 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.066 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.029 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Utility Workers No. 1 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 0.32 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ 2.7 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Utility Workers No. 2 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ 2.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.30 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Equip. Operators No. 1 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.26 \\ 2.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.089 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Equip. Operators No. 2 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.32 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.23 \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Farmers No. 1 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.41 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.059 \\ 3.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0058 \\ 2.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.018 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Farmers No. 2 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 0.47 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.13 \\ 2.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.037 \\ 3.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.041 \\ 3.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Reed Gatherers | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.66 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.036 \\ 2.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \\ 9.2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.63 \\ 7.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Kids-in-mud No. 1 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 6.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 3.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Kids-in-mud No. 2 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5 \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ 12.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| ${ }^{2}$ Number of subjects. <br> Sources: Kissel et al., 1996b; Holmes et al., 1996 (submitted for publication). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 6-14. Summary of Recommended Values for Skin Surface Area

| Surface Area | Central Tendency | Upper Percentile | Multiple Percentiles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Adults

Whole body and body parts

Bathing/swimming
Outdoor soil contact
Children
Whole body
Body parts
see Tables 6-4 and 6-5
$20,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
$23,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
$5,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
$5,800 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
see Tables 6-6 and 6-7
see Table 6-8
see Tables 6-6 and 6-7 see Table 6-8

| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of Peer Review | Studies were from peer reviewed journal articles. EPA report was peer reviewed before distribution. | High |
| - Accessibility | The journals used have wide circulation. <br> EPA report available from National Technical Information Service. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Experimental methods are well-described. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Experiments measured skin area directly. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | Experiments conducted in the U.S. | High |
| - Primary data | Re-analysis of primary data in more detail by two different investigators . | Low |
| - Currency | Neither rapidly changing nor controversial area; estimates made in 1935 deemed to be accurate and subsequently used by others. | Low |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Not relevant to exposure factor; parameter not time dependent. | NA |
| - Validity of approach | Approach used by other investigators; not challenged in other studies. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | Not statistically representative of U.S. population. | Medium |
| - Characterization of variability | Individual variability due to age, race, or gender not studied. | Low |
| - Lack of bias in study design | Objective subject selection and measurement methods used; results reproduced by others with different methods. | High |
| - Measurement error | Measurement variations are low; adequately described by normal statistics. | Low/Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | 1 experiment; two independent re-analyses of this data set. | Medium |
| - Agreement among researchers | Consistent results obtained with different analyses; but from a single set of measurements. | Medium |
| Overall Rating | This factor can be directly measured. It is not subject to dispute. Influence of age, race, or gender have not been detailed adequately in these studies. | High |

Exposure Factors Handbook

|  | Table 6-16. Recommendations for Adult Body Surface Area |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Water Contact |  |  |
| Bathing and Swimming | 50 th | 95 th |
|  | $20,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | $23,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ |
|  | Soil Contact |  |
| Outdoor Activities | 50 th | 95 th |
| Source: U.S. EPA, 1992. | $5,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | $5,800 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ |


| Table 6-17. Summary of Soil Adherence Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Size Fraction ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Soil <br> Adherence ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) | Population Surveyed | Comments |
| KEY STUDIES |  |  |  |  |
| Kissel et al., 1995a | $\begin{aligned} & <150,150- \\ & 200,>250 \end{aligned}$ | Various | 28 adults <br> 24 children | Data presented for soil loadings by body part. See Table 6-11. |
| Kissell et al., 1996b | -- | Various | 12 children 89 adults | Data presented by activity and body part. |
| RELEVANT STUDIES |  |  |  |  |
| Driver et al., 1989 | $\begin{gathered} <150 \\ <250 \\ \text { unsieved } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.40 \\ & 0.95 \\ & 0.58 \end{aligned}$ | Adults Adults Adults | Used 5 soil types and 2-3 soil horizons (top soils and subsoils); placed soil over entire hand of test subject, excess removed by shaking the hands. |
| Lepow et al., 1975 | -- | 0.5 | 10 children | Dirt from hands collected during play. Represents only fraction of total present, some dirt may be trapped in skin folds. |
| Que Hee et al., 1985 | -- | 1.5 | 1 adult | Assumed exposed area $=20 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Test subject was 14 years old. |
| Roels et al., 1980 | -- | 0.9-1.5 | 661 children | Subjects lived near smelter in Brussels, Belgium. Mean amount adhering to soil was 0.159 g . |
| Sedman, 1989 | -- | 0.9; 0.5 | Children | Used estimate of Roels et al. (1980) and average surface of hand of an 11 year old; used estimates of Lepow et al. (1975), Roels et al. (1980), and Que Hee et al. (1985) to develop mean of $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. |
| Yang et al., 1989 | <150 | 9 | Rats | Rat skin "monolayer" (i.e., minimal amount of soil covering the skin); in vitro and in vivo experiments. |
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| Table 6-18. Confidence in Soil Adherence to Skin Recommendations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of Peer Review | Studies were from peer reviewed journal articles. | High |
| - Accessibility | Articles were published in widely circulated journals. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Reports clearly describe experimental method. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The goal of the studies was to determine soil adherence to skin. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | Experiments were conducted in the U.S. | High |
| - Primary data | Experiments were directly measure soil adherence to skin; exposure and dose of chemicals in soil were measured indirectly or estimated from soil contact. | High |
| - Currency | New studies were presented. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Seasonal factors may be important, but have not been studied adequately. | Medium |
| - Validity of approach | Skin rinsing technique is a widely employed procedure. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | Studies were limited to the State of Washington and may not be representative of other locales. | Low |
| - Characterization of variability | Variability in soil adherence is affected by many factors including soil properties, activity and individual behavior patterns. | Low |
| - Lack of bias in study design | The studies attempted to measure soil adherence in selected activities and conditions to identify important activities and groups. | High |
| - Measurement error | The experimental error is low and well controlled, but application of results to other similar activities may be subject to variation. | Low/High |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | The experiments were controlled as they were conducted by a few laboratories; activity patterns were studied by only one laboratory. | Medium |
| - Agreement among researchers | Results from key study were consistent with earlier estimates from relevant studies and assumptions, but are limited to hand data. | Medium |
| Overall Rating | Data are limited, therefore it is difficult to extrapolate from experiments and field observations to general conditions . | Low |
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## APPENDIX 6A

## FORMULAE FOR TOTAL BODY SURFACE AREA

Most formulae for estimating surface area (SA), relate height to weight to surface area. The following formula was proposed by Gehan and George (1970):

$$
\begin{equation*}
S A=K W^{2 / 3} \tag{Eqn.6A-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
SA $=$ surface area in square meters;
$\mathrm{W}=$ weight in kg ; and
$\mathrm{K}=$ constant.
While the above equation has been criticized because human bodies have different specific gravities and because the surface area per unit volume differs for individuals with different body builds, it gives a reasonably good estimate of surface area.

A formula published in 1916 that still finds wide acceptance and use is that of DuBois and DuBois. Their model can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SA}=\mathrm{a}_{0} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{a}_{2}} \tag{Eqn.6A-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

SA $=$ surface area in square meters;
$\mathrm{H}=$ height in centimeters; and
$\mathrm{W}=$ weight in kg .
The values of $\mathrm{a}_{0}(0.007182), \mathrm{a}_{1}(0.725)$, and $\mathrm{a}_{2}(0.425)$ were estimated from a sample of only nine individuals for whom surface area was directly measured. Boyd (1935) stated that the Dubois formula was considered a reasonably adequate substitute for measuring surface area. Nomograms for determining surface area from height and mass presented in Volume I of the Geigy Scientific Tables (1981) are based on the DuBois and DuBois formula. In addition, a computerized literature search conducted for this report identified several articles written in the last 10 years in which the DuBois and DuBois formula was used to estimate body surface area.

Boyd (1935) developed new constants for the DuBois and DuBois model based on 231 direct measurements of body surface area found in the literature. These data were limited to measurements of surface area by coating methods (122 cases), surface integration ( 93 cases), and triangulation (16 cases). The subjects were Caucasians of normal body build for whom data on weight, height, and age (except for exact age of adults) were complete. Resulting values for the constants in the DuBois and DuBois model were $\mathrm{a}_{0}=0.01787, \mathrm{a}_{1}=0.500$, and $\mathrm{a}_{2}=0.4838$. Boyd also developed a formula based exclusively on weight, which was inferior to the DuBois and DuBois formula based on height and weight.

Gehan and George (1970) proposed another set of constants for the DuBois and DuBois model. The constants were based on a total of 401 direct measurements of surface area, height, and weight of all postnatal subjects listed in Boyd (1935). The methods used to measure these subjects were coating (163 cases), surface integration ( 222 cases), and triangulation (16 cases).

Gehan and George (1970) used a least-squares method to identify the values of the constants. The values of the constants chosen are those that minimize the sum of the squared percentage errors of the predicted values of surface area. This approach was used because the importance of an error of 0.1 square meter depends on the surface area of the individual. Gehan and George (1970) used the 401 observations summarized in Boyd (1935) in the least-squares method. The following estimates of the constants were obtained: $\mathrm{a}_{0}=0.02350, \mathrm{a}_{1}=0.42246$, and $\mathrm{a}_{2}=0.51456$. Hence, their equation for predicting surface area (SA) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SA}=0.02350 \mathrm{H}^{0.42246} \mathrm{~W}^{0.51456} \tag{Eqn.6A-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in logarithmic form:

$$
\ln \mathrm{SA}=-3.75080+0.42246 \ln \mathrm{H}+0.51456 \ln \mathrm{~W}
$$

(Eqn. 6A-4)
where:

SA $=$ surface area in square meters;
$\mathrm{H}=$ height in centimeters; and
$\mathrm{W}=$ weight in kg .
This prediction explains more than 99 percent of the variations in surface area among the 401 individuals measured (Gehan and George, 1970).

The equation proposed by Gehan and George (1970) was determined by the U.S. EPA (1985) as the best choice for estimating total body surface area. However, the paper by Gehan and George gave insufficient information to estimate the standard error about the regression. Therefore, the 401 direct measurements of children and adults (i.e., Boyd, 1935) were reanalyzed in U.S. EPA (1985) using the formula of Dubois and Dubois (1916) and the Statistical Processing System (SPS) software package to obtain the standard error.

The Dubois and Dubois (1916) formula uses weight and height as independent variables to predict total body surface area (SA), and can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{a}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{al}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{a} 2} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{Eqn.6A-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in logarithmic form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (\mathrm{SA})_{\mathrm{i}}=\ln \mathrm{a}_{0}+\mathrm{a}_{1} \ln \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \ln \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}}+\ln \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{Eqn.6A-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

| Sai | $=$ surface area of the i-th individual $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) ;$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hi | $=$ height of the i-th individual $(\mathrm{cm}) ;$ |
| Wi | $=$ weight of the i-th individual $(\mathrm{kg}) ;$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | $=$ parameters to be estimated; and |
| $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $=$ a random error term with mean zero and constant variance. |
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Using the least squares procedure for the 401 observations, the following parameter estimates and their standard errors were obtained:

$$
\mathrm{a}_{0}=-3.73(0.18), \mathrm{a}_{1}=0.417(0.054), \mathrm{a}_{2}=0.517(0.022)
$$

The model is then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SA}=0.0239 \mathrm{H}^{0.417} \mathrm{~W}^{0.517} \tag{Eqn.6A-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in logarithmic form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \mathrm{SA}=-3.73+0.417 \ln \mathrm{H}+0.517 \ln \mathrm{~W} \tag{Eqn.6A-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a standard error about the regression of 0.00374 . This model explains more than 99 percent of the total variation in surface area among the observations, and is identical to two significant figures with the model developed by Gehan and George (1970).

When natural logarithms of the measured surface areas are plotted against natural logarithms of the surface predicted by the equation, the observed surface areas are symmetrically distributed around a line of perfect fit, with only a few large percentage deviations. Only five subjects differed from the measured value by 25 percent or more. Because each of the five subjects weighed less than 13 pounds, the amount of difference was small. Eighteen estimates differed from measurements by 15 to 24 percent. Of these, 12 weighed less than 15 pounds each, 1 was overweight ( 5 feet 7 inches, 172 pounds), 1 was very thin ( 4 feet 11 inches, 78 pounds), and 4 were of average build. Since the same observer measured surface area for these 4 subjects, the possibility of some bias in measured values cannot be discounted (Gehan and George 1970).

Gehan and George (1970) also considered separate constants for different age groups: less than 5 years old, 5 years old to less than 20 years old, and greater than 20 years old. The different values for the constants are presented below:

Table 6A-1. Estimated Parameter Values for Different Age Intervals

| Age <br> group | Number <br> of persons | $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All ages | 401 | 0.02350 | 0.42246 | 0.51456 |
| $<5$ years old | 229 | 0.02667 | 0.38217 | 0.53937 |
| $\geq 5-<20$ years old | 42 | 0.03050 | 0.35129 | 0.54375 |
| $\geq 20$ years old1 | 30 | 0.01545 | 0.54468 | 0.46336 |

The surface areas estimated using the parameter values for all ages were compared to surface areas estimated by the values for each age group for subjects at the 3rd, 50th, and 97th percentiles of weight and height. Nearly all differences in surface area estimates were less than 0.01 square meter, and the largest difference was $0.03 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for an 18-year-old at the 97th percentile. The authors concluded that there is no advantage in using separate values of $a_{0}, a_{1}$, and $a_{2}$ by age interval.

Haycock et al. (1978) without knowledge of the work by Gehan and George (1970), developed values for the parameters $\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ for the DuBois and DuBois model. Their interest in making the DuBois and DuBois model more accurate resulted from their work in pediatrics and the fact that DuBois and DuBois (1916) included only one child in their study group, a severely undernourished girl who weighed only 13.8 pounds at age 21 months. Haycock et al. (1978) used their own geometric method for estimating surface area from 34 body measurements for 81 subjects. Their study included newborn infants ( 10 cases), infants ( 12 cases), children ( 40 cases), and adult members of the medical and secretarial staffs of 2 hospitals ( 19 cases). The subjects all had grossly normal body structure, but the sample included subjects of widely varying physique ranging from thin to obese. Black, Hispanic, and white children were included in their sample. The values of the model parameters were solved for the relationship between surface area and height and weight by multiple regression analysis. The least squares best fit for this equation yielded the following values for the three coefficients: $\mathrm{a}_{0}=0.024265$, $\mathrm{a}_{1}=0.3964$, and $\mathrm{a}_{2}=0.5378$. The result was the following equation for estimating surface area:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SA}=0.024265 \mathrm{H}^{0.3964} \mathrm{~W}^{0.5378} \tag{Eqn.6A-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

expressed logarithmically as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \mathrm{SA}=\ln 0.024265+0.3964 \ln \mathrm{H}+0.5378 \ln \mathrm{~W} \tag{Eqn.6A-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients for this equation agree remarkably with those obtained by Gehan and George (1970) for 401 measurements.

George et al. (1979) agree that a model more complex than the model of DuBois and DuBois for estimating surface area is unnecessary. Based on samples of direct measurements by Boyd (1935) and Gehan and George (1970), and samples of geometric estimates by Haycock et al. (1978), these authors have obtained parameters for the DuBois and DuBois model that are different than those originally postulated in 1916. The DuBois and DuBois model can be written logarithmically as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \mathrm{SA}=\ln \mathrm{a}_{0}+\mathrm{a}_{1} \ln \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \ln \mathrm{~W} \tag{Eqn.6A-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values for $\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ obtained by the various authors discussed in this section are presented to follow:
Table 6A-2. Summary of Surface Area Parameter Values for the DuBois and DuBois Model

| Author <br> (year) | Number <br> of persons | $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DuBois and DuBois (1916) | 9 | 0.007184 | 0.725 | 0.425 |
| Boyd (1935) | 231 | 0.01787 | 0.500 | 0.4838 |
| Gehan and George (1970) | 401 | 0.02350 | 0.42246 | 0.51456 |
| Haycock et al. (1978) | 81 | 0.024265 | 0.3964 | 0.5378 |

The agreement between the model parameters estimated by Gehan and George (1970) and Haycock et al. (1978) is remarkable in view of the fact that Haycock et al. (1978) were unaware of the previous work. Haycock et al. (1978) used an entirely different set of subjects, and used geometric estimates of surface area rather than direct measurements. It has been determined that the Gehan and George model is the formula of choice for estimating total surface area of the body since it is based on the largest number of direct measurements.
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## Nomograms

Sendroy and Cecchini (1954) proposed a graphical method whereby surface area could be read from a diagram relating height and weight to surface area. However, they do not give an explicit model for calculating surface area. The graph was developed empirically based on 252 cases, 127 of which were from the 401 direct measurements reported by Boyd (1935). In the other 125 cases the surface area was estimated using the linear method of DuBois and DuBois (1916). Because the Sendroy and Cecchini method is graphical, it is inherently less precise and less accurate than the formulae of other authors discussed above.
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## 7. BODY WEIGHT STUDIES

There are several physiological factors needed to calculate potential exposures. These include skin surface area (see Volume I, Section 6), inhalation rate (see Volume I, Section 5) life expectancy (see Volume I, Section 8), and body weight. The average daily dose is typically normalized to the average body weight of the exposed population. If exposure occurs only during childhood years, the average child body weight during the exposure period should be used to estimate risk (U.S. EPA, 1989). Conversely, if adult exposures are being evaluated, an adult body weight value should be used.

The purpose of this section is to describe published studies on body weight for the general U.S. population. The studies have been classified as either key or relevant studies, based on the criteria described in Volume I, Section 1.3.1. Recommended values are based on the results of key studies, but relevant studies are also presented to provide the reader with added perspective on the current state of knowledge pertaining to body weight.

### 7.1. KEY BODY WEIGHT STUDY

Hamill et al. (1979) - Physical Growth: National Center for Health Statistics Percentiles - A National

Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Task Force that included academic investigators and representatives from CDC Nutrition Surveillance Program selected, collated, integrated, and defined appropriate data sets to generate growth curves for the age interval: birth to 36 months developed (Hamill et al., 1979). The percentile curves were for assessing the physical growth of children in the U.S. They are based on accurate measurements made on large nationally representative samples of children (Hamill et al., 1979). Smoothed percentile curves were derived for body weight by age (Hamill et al., 1979). Curves were developed for boys and for girls. The data used to construct the curves were provided by the Fels Research Institute, Yellow Springs, Ohio. These data were from an ongoing longitudinal study where anthromopetric data from direct measurements are collected regularly from participants $(\sim 1,000)$ in various areas of the U.S. The NCHS used advanced statistical and computer technology to generate the growth curves. Table 7-1 presents the percentiles of weight by sex and age. Figures 7-1 and 7-2 present weight by age percentiles for boys and for girls aged birth to 36 months, respectively. Limitations of this study are that mean body weight values were not reported and the data are more

|  | Table 7-1. Smoothed Percentiles of Weight (in kg) by Sex and Age: <br> Statistics from NCHS and Data from Fels Research Institute, Birth to 36 Months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Smoothed ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5th | 10th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th | 95th |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  | tt in Kil |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth | 2.54 | 2.78 | 3.00 | 3.27 | 3.64 | 3.82 | 4.15 |
| 1 Month | 3.16 | 3.43 | 3.82 | 4.29 | 4.75 | 5.14 | 5.38 |
| 3 Months | 4.43 | 4.78 | 5.32 | 5.98 | 6.56 | 7.14 | 7.37 |
| 6 Months | 6.20 | 6.61 | 7.20 | 7.85 | 8.49 | 9.10 | 9.46 |
| 9 Months | 7.52 | 7.95 | 8.56 | 9.18 | 9.88 | 10.49 | 10.93 |
| 12 Months | 8.43 | 8.84 | 9.49 | 10.15 | 10.91 | 11.54 | 11.99 |
| 18 Months | 9.59 | 9.92 | 10.67 | 11.47 | 12.31 | 13.05 | 13.44 |
| 24 Months | 10.54 | 10.85 | 11.65 | 12.59 | 13.44 | 14.29 | 14.70 |
| 30 Months | 11.44 | 11.80 | 12.63 | 13.67 | 14.51 | 15.47 | 15.97 |
| 36 Months | 12.26 | 12.69 | 13.58 | 14.69 | 15.59 | 16.66 | 17.28 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth | 2.36 | 2.58 | 2.93 | 3.23 | 3.52 | 3.64 | 3.81 |
| 1 Month | 2.97 | 3.22 | 3.59 | 3.98 | 4.36 | 4.65 | 4.92 |
| 3 Months | 4.18 | 4.47 | 4.88 | 5.40 | 5.90 | 6.39 | 6.74 |
| 6 Months | 5.79 | 6.12 | 6.60 | 7.21 | 7.83 | 8.38 | 8.73 |
| 9 Months | 7.00 | 7.34 | 7.89 | 8.56 | 9.24 | 9.83 | 10.17 |
| 12 Months | 7.84 | 8.19 | 8.81 | 9.53 | 10.23 | 10.87 | 11.24 |
| 18 Months | 8.92 | 9.30 | 10.04 | 10.82 | 11.55 | 12.30 | 12.76 |
| 24 Months | 9.87 | 10.26 | 11.10 | 11.90 | 12.74 | 13.57 | 14.08 |
| 30 Months | 10.78 | 11.21 | 12.11 | 12.93 | 13.93 | 14.81 | 15.35 |
| 36 Months | 11.60 | 12.07 | 12.99 | 13.93 | 15.03 | 15.97 | 16.54 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Smoothed by cubic-spline approximation. Source: Hamill et al., 1979. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Exposure Factors Handbook | Page |
| :--- | ---: |
| August 1997 | $7-1$ |



Figure 7-1. Weight by Age Percentiles for Boys Aged Birth-36 Months
Source: Hamill et al., 1979.
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Figure 7-2. Weight by Age Percentiles for Girls Aged Birth-36 Months
Source: Hamill et al., 1979
that 15 years old. However, this study does provide body weight data for infants less than 6 months old.

NCHS (1987) - Anthropometric Reference Data and Prevalence of Overweight, United States, 1976-80 Statistics on anthropometric measurements, including body weight, for the U.S. population were collected by NCHS through the second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES II). NHANES II was conducted on a nationwide probability sample of approximately 28,000 persons, aged 6 months to 74 years, from the civilian, non-institutionalized population of the United States. Of the 28,000 persons, 20,322 were interviewed and examined, resulting in a response rate of 73.1 percent. The survey began in February 1976 and was completed in February 1980. The sample was selected so that certain subgroups thought to be at high risk of malnutrition (persons with low incomes, preschool children, and the elderly) were oversampled. The estimates were weighted to reflect national population estimates. The weighting was accomplished by inflating examination results for each subject by the reciprocal of selection probabilities adjusted to account for those who were not examined, and post stratifying by race, age, and sex (NCHS, 1987).

The NHANES II collected standard body measurements of sample subjects, including height and weight, that were made at various times of the day and in different seasons of the year. This technique was used because one's weight may vary between winter and summer and may fluctuate with recency of food and water intake and other daily activities (NCHS, 1987). Mean body weights of adults, by age, and their standard deviations are presented in Table 7-2 for men, women, and both sexes combined. Mean body weights and standard deviations for children, ages 6 months to 19 years, are presented in Table 7-3 for boys, girls, and boys and girls combined. Percentile distributions of the body weights of adults by age and race for males are presented in Table 7-4, and for females in Table 7-5. Data for children by age are presented in Table 7-6 for males, and for females in Table 7-7.

Results shown in Tables 7-4 and 7-5 indicate that the mean weight for adult males is 78.1 kg and for adult females, 65.4 kg . It also shows that the mean weight for White males ( 78.5 kg ) is greater than for Black males ( 77.9 kg ). Additionally, mean weights are greater for Black females $(71.2 \mathrm{~kg})$ than for White females $(64.8 \mathrm{~kg})$. From Table 7-3, the mean body weights for girls and boys are approximately the same from ages 6 months to 14 years. Starting at years 15-19, the difference in mean body weight ranges from 6 to 11 kg .

| Table 7-2. Body Weights of Adults ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (kilograms) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men |  | Women |  | Men and Women |
|  | Mean (kg) | Std. <br> Dev. | Mean (kg) | Std. <br> Dev. | Mean (kg) |
| $18<25$ | 73.8 | 12.7 | 60.6 | 11.9 | 67.2 |
| $25<35$ | 78.7 | 13.7 | 64.2 | 15.0 | 71.5 |
| $35<45$ | 80.9 | 13.4 | 67.1 | 15.2 | 74.0 |
| $45<55$ | $80.9$ | 13.6 | 68.0 | 15.3 | 74.5 |
| $55<65$ | $78.8$ | $12.8$ | $67.9$ | $14.7$ | $73.4$ |
| $65<75$ | 74.8 | 12.8 | 66.6 | 13.8 | 70.7 |
| $18<75$ | 78.1 | 13.5 | 65.4 | 14.6 | 71.8 |

Note: $1 \mathrm{~kg}=2.2046$ pounds.
${ }^{a}$ Includes clothing weight, estimated as ranging from 0.09 to 0.28 kilogram. Source: Adapted from National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), 1987.

| Table 7-3. Body Weights of Children ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (kilograms) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys |  | Girls |  | Boys and Girls |
| Age | Mean (kg) | Std. <br> Dev. | Mean (kg) | Std. <br> Dev. | Mean (kg) |
| 6-11 months | 9.4 | 1.3 | 8.8 | 1.2 | 9.1 |
| 1 year | 11.8 | 1.9 | 10.8 | 1.4 | 11.3 |
| 2 years | 13.6 | 1.7 | 13.0 | 1.5 | 13.3 |
| 3 years | 15.7 | 2.0 | 14.9 | 2.1 | 15.3 |
| 4 years | 17.8 | 2.5 | 17.0 | 2.4 | 17.4 |
| 5 years | 19.8 | 3.0 | 19.6 | 3.3 | 19.7 |
| 6 years | 23.0 | 4.0 | 22.1 | 4.0 | 22.6 |
| 7 years | 25.1 | 3.9 | 24.7 | 5.0 | 24.9 |
| 8 years | 28.2 | 6.2 | 27.9 | 5.7 | 28.1 |
| 9 years | 31.1 | 6.3 | 31.9 | 8.4 | 31.5 |
| 10 years | 36.4 | 7.7 | 36.1 | 8.0 | 36.3 |
| 11 years | 40.3 | 10.1 | 41.8 | 10.9 | 41.1 |
| 12 years | 44.2 | 10.1 | 46.4 | 10.1 | 45.3 |
| 13 years | 49.9 | 12.3 | 50.9 | 11.8 | 50.4 |
| 14 years | 57.1 | 11.0 | 54.8 | 11.1 | 56.0 |
| 15 years | 61.0 | 11.0 | 55.1 | 9.8 | 58.1 |
| 16 years | 67.1 | 12.4 | 58.1 | 10.1 | 62.6 |
| 17 years | 66.7 | 11.5 | 59.6 | 11.4 | 63.2 |
| 18 years | 71.1 | 12.7 | 59.0 | 11.1 | 65.1 |
| 19 years | 71.7 | 11.6 | 60.2 | 11.0 | 66.0 |
| Note: $1 \mathrm{~kg}=$ <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes clo <br> Source: Adap | pound <br> veight, <br> m Nati | mated <br> Cente | ing fro ealth S | 09 to tics (N | ilogram. <br> ), 1987. |

### 7.2. RELEVANT BODY WEIGHT STUDIES

Brainard and Burmaster (1992) - Bivariate Distributions for Height and Weight of Men and Women in the United States - Brainard and Burmaster (1992) examined data on the height and weight of adults published by the U.S. Public Health Service and fit bivariate distributions to the tabulated values for men and women, separately.

Table 7-4. Weight in Kilograms for Males 18-74 Years of Age--Number Examined, Mean, Standard
Deviation, and Selected Percentiles, by Race and Age: United States, 1976-1980²

|  | Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Race and Age }}$ | Persons <br> Examined | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Mean } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Standard <br> Deviation | 5th | 10th | 15th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |
| All races ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-74 years ....... | 5,916 | 78.1 | 13.5 | 58.6 | 62.3 | 64.9 | 68.7 | 76.9 | 85.6 | 91.3 | 95.7 | 102.7 |
| 18-24 years ......... | 988 | 73.8 | 12.7 | 56.8 | 60.4 | 61.9 | 64.8 | 72.0 | 80.3 | 85.1 | 90.4 | 99.5 |
| 25-34 years ........ | 1,067 | 78.7 | 13.7 | 59.5 | 62.9 | 65.4 | 69.3 | 77.5 | 85.6 | 91.1 | 95.1 | 102.7 |
| 35-44 years ........ | 745 | 80.9 | 13.4 | 59.7 | 65.1 | 67.7 | 72.1 | 79.9 | 88.1 | 94.8 | 98.8 | 104.3 |
| 45-54 years ......... | 690 | 80.9 | 13.6 | 50.8 | 65.2 | 67.2 | 71.7 | 79.0 | 89.4 | 94.5 | 99.5 | 105.3 |
| 55-64 years ........ | 1,227 | 78.8 | 12.8 | 59.9 | 63.8 | 66.4 | 70.2 | 77.7 | 85.6 | 90.5 | 94.7 | 102.3 |
| 65-74 years ........ | 1,199 | 74.8 | 12.8 | 54.4 | 58.5 | 61.2 | 66.1 | 74.2 | 82.7 | 87.9 | 91.2 | 96.6 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-74 years ....... | 5,148 | 78.5 | 13.1 | 59.3 | 62.8 | 65.5 | 69.4 | 77.3 | 85.6 | 91.4 | 95.5 | 102.3 |
| 18-24 years ......... | 846 | 74.2 | 12.8 | 56.8 | 60.5 | 62.0 | 65.0 | 72.4 | 80.6 | 85.5 | 91.0 | 100.0 |
| 25-34 years ......... | 901 | 79.0 | 13.1 | 59.9 | 63.7 | 65.9 | 69.8 | 78.0 | 85.6 | 91.3 | 95.3 | 102.7 |
| 35-44 years ......... | 653 | 81.4 | 12.8 | 62.3 | 66.6 | 68.8 | 72.9 | 80.1 | 88.2 | 94.6 | 98.7 | 104.1 |
| 45-54 years ........ | 617 | 81.0 | 13.4 | 62.0 | 66.1 | 67.3 | 71.9 | 79.0 | 89.4 | 94.2 | 99.0 | 104.5 |
| 55-64 years ........ | 1,086 | 78.9 | 12.4 | 60.5 | 64.5 | 66.6 | 70.6 | 78.2 | 85.6 | 90.4 | 94.5 | 101.7 |
| 65-74 years ........ | 1,045 | 75.4 | 12.4 | 55.5 | 59.5 | 62.5 | 67.0 | 74.7 | 83.0 | 87.9 | 91.2 | 96.0 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-74 years ......... | 649 | 77.9 | 15.2 | 58.0 | 61.1 | 63.6 | 67.2 | 75.3 | 85.4 | 92.9 | 98.3 | 105.4 |
| 18-24 years ......... | 121 | 72.2 | 12.0 | 58.3 | 60.9 | 62.3 | 64.9 | 70.8 | 77.1 | 81.8 | 83.7 | 93.6 |
| 25-34 years ......... | 139 | 78.2 | 16.3 | 58.7 | 63.4 | 64.9 | 68.4 | 75.3 | 84.4 | 90.6 | 92.2 | 106.3 |
| 35-44 years ......... | 70 | 82.5 | 15.4 | * | 61.7 | 65.2 | 69.7 | 83.1 | 94.8 | 100.4 | 104.2 | * |
| 45-54 years .......... | 62 | 82.4 | 14.5 | * | 64.7 | 67.0 | 73.2 | 81.8 | 93.0 | 100.0 | 102.5 | * |
| 55-64 years ......... | 129 | 78.6 | 14.7 | 56.8 | 61.4 | 64.3 | 68.0 | 77.0 | 86.5 | 93.8 | 98.6 | 104.7 |
| 65-74 years ......... | 128 | 73.3 | 15.3 | 52.5 | 56.7 | 58.0 | 61.0 | 71.2 | 81.1 | 90.8 | 97.3 | 105.1 |

[^0]Note: $1 \mathrm{~kg}=2.2046$ pounds.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes clothing weight, estimated as ranging from 0.09 to 0.28 kilogram
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes all other races not shown as separate categories.
Data not available.
Source: National Center for Health Statistics, 1987.




|  |  | 7-6. | ight in Kilo Deviation, | gram nd Sel | Males <br> d Perce | Months es, by | Years <br> x and | f Agege: Un | mber <br> State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nined, } \\ & 76-198 \end{aligned}$ | n, Sta |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce |  |  |  |
| Age | Persons <br> Examined | Mean $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Standard Deviation | 5th | 10th | 15th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 85th | 90th | 95th |
| 6-11 months ..... | 179 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 10.7 | 10.9 | 11.4 |
| 1 years ........... | 370 | 11.8 | 1.9 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 14.4 |
| 2 years ........... | 375 | 13.6 | 1.7 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 12.6 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 16.5 |
| 3 years ........... | 418 | 15.7 | 2.0 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 16.8 | 17.4 | 17.9 | 19.1 |
| 4 years ........... | 404 | 17.8 | 2.5 | 14.1 | 15.0 | 15.3 | 16.0 | 17.6 | 19.0 | 19.9 | 20.9 | 22.2 |
| 5 years ........... | 397 | 19.8 | 3.0 | 16.0 | 16.8 | 17.1 | 17.7 | 19.4 | 21.3 | 22.9 | 23.7 | 25.4 |
| 6 years ........... | 133 | 23.0 | 4.0 | 18.6 | 19.2 | 19.8 | 20.3 | 22.0 | 24.1 | 26.4 | 28.3 | 30.1 |
| 7 years ........... | 148 | 25.1 | 3.9 | 19.7 | 20.8 | 21.2 | 22.2 | 24.8 | 26.9 | 28.2 | 29.6 | 33.9 |
| 8 years ........... | 147 | 28.2 | 6.2 | 20.4 | 22.7 | 23.6 | 24.6 | 27.5 | 29.9 | 33.0 | 35.5 | 39.1 |
| 9 years ........... | 145 | 31.1 | 6.3 | 24.0 | 25.6 | 26.0 | 27.1 | 30.2 | 33.0 | 35.4 | 38.6 | 43.1 |
| 10 years | 157 | 36.4 | 7.7 | 27.2 | 28.2 | 29.6 | 31.4 | 34.8 | 39.2 | 43.5 | 46.3 | 53.4 |
| 11 years | 155 | 40.3 | 10.1 | 26.8 | 28.8 | 31.8 | 33.5 | 37.3 | 46.4 | 52.0 | 57.0 | 61.0 |
| 12 years ........... | 145 | 44.2 | 10.1 | 30.7 | 32.5 | 35.4 | 37.8 | 42.5 | 48.8 | 52.6 | 58.9 | 67.5 |
| 13 years ........... | 173 | 49.9 | 12.3 | 35.4 | 37.0 | 38.3 | 40.1 | 48.4 | 56.3 | 59.8 | 64.2 | 69.9 |
| 14 years .......... | 186 | 57.1 | 11.0 | 41.0 | 44.5 | 46.4 | 49.8 | 56.4 | 63.3 | 66.1 | 68.9 | 77.0 |
| 15 years ........... | 184 | 61.0 | 11.0 | 46.2 | 49.1 | 50.6 | 54.2 | 60.1 | 64.9 | 68.7 | 72.8 | 81.3 |
| 16 years .......... | 178 | 67.1 | 12.4 | 51.4 | 54.3 | 56.1 | 57.6 | 64.4 | 73.6 | 78.1 | 82.2 | 91.2 |
| 17 years .......... | 173 | 66.7 | 11.5 | 50.7 | 53.4 | 54.8 | 58.8 | 65.8 | 72.0 | 76.8 | 82.3 | 88.9 |
| 18 years ........... | 164 | 71.1 | 12.7 | 54.1 | 56.6 | 60.3 | 61.9 | 70.4 | 76.6 | 80.0 | 83.5 | 95.3 |
| 19 years .......... | 148 | 71.7 | 11.6 | 55.9 | 57.9 | 60.5 | 63.8 | 69.5 | 77.9 | 84.3 | 86.8 | 92.1 |
| Note: $1 \mathrm{~kg}=2.2046$ pounds. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: National Center for Health Statistics, 1987. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Height and weight of 5,916 men and 6,588 women in the age range of 18 to 74 years were taken from the NHANES II study and statistically adjusted to represent the U.S. population aged 18 to 74 years with regard to age structure, sex, and race. Estimation techniques were used to fit normal distributions to the cumulative marginal data and goodness-of-fit tests were used to test the hypothesis that height and lognormal weight follow a normal distribution for each sex. It was found that the marginal distributions of height and lognormal weight for both men and women are Gaussian (normal) in form. This conclusion was reached by visual observation and the high $R^{2}$ values for best-fit lines obtained using linear regression. The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values for men's height and lognormal weight are reported to be 0.999 . The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values for women's height and lognormal weight are 0.999 and 0.985 , respectively.

Brainard and Burmaster (1992) fit bivariate distributions to estimated numbers of men and women aged 18 to 74 years in cells representing 1 inch height intervals and 10 pound weight intervals. Adjusted height and lognormal weight data for men were fit to a single bivariate normal distribution with an estimated mean height of 1.75 meters (69.2 inches) and an estimated mean weight of 78.6 kg ( 173.2 pounds). For women, height and lognormal weight data were fit to a pair of superimposed bivariate normal distributions (Brainard and Burmaster, 1992). The average height and weight for women were estimated from the combined bivariate analyses. Mean height for women was estimated to be 1.62 meters ( 63.8 inches) and mean weight was estimated to be 65.8 kg ( 145.0 pounds). For women, a calculation using a single bivarite normal distribution gave poor results (Brainard and Burmaster, 1992). According to Brainard and Burmaster, the distributions are suitable for use in Monte Carlo simulation.

Burmaster et al. (1994) (Submitted 2/19/94 to Risk Analysis for Publication) - Lognormal Distributions of Body Weight as a Function of Age for Female and Male Children in the United States - Burmaster et al. (1994), performed data analysis to fit normal and lognormal distributions to the body weights of female and male children at age 6 months to 20 years (Burmaster et al., 1994).

Data used in this analysis were from the second survey of the National Center for Health Statistics, NHANES II, which included responses from 4,079
females and 4,379 males 6 months to 20 years of age in the U.S. (Burmaster et al., 1994). The NHANES II data had been statistically adjusted for non-response and probability of selection, and stratified by age, sex, and race to reflect the entire U.S. population prior to reporting (Burmaster et al., 1994). Burmaster et al. (1994) conducted exploratory and quantitative data analyses, and fit normal and lognormal distributions to percentiles of body weight for children. Cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) were plotted for female and male body weights on both linear and logarithmic scales.

Two models were used to assess the probability density functions (PDFs) of children's body weight. Linear and quadratic regression lines were fitted to the data. A number of goodness-of-fit measures were conducted on data generated by the two models. Burmaster et al. (1994) found that lognormal distributions give strong fits to the body weights of children, ages 6 months to 20 years. Statistics for the lognormal probability plots are presented in Tables $7-8$ and 7-9. These data can be used for further analyses of body weight distribution (i.e., application of Monte Carlo analysis).

| Table 7-8. Statistics for Probability Plot Regression Analyses Female's Body Weights 6 Months to 20 Years of Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lognormal Probability PlotsLinear Curve |  |  |
|  | $\mu_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\sigma_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 6 months to 1 year | 2.16 | 0.145 |
| 1 to 2 years | 2.38 | 0.128 |
| 2 to 3 years | 2.56 | 0.112 |
| 3 to 4 years | 2.69 | 0.137 |
| 4 to 5 years | 2.83 | 0.133 |
| 5 to 6 years | 2.98 | 0.163 |
| 6 to 7 years | 3.10 | 0.174 |
| 7 to 8 years | 3.19 | 0.174 |
| 8 to 9 years | 3.31 | 0.156 |
| 9 to 10 years | 3.46 | 0.214 |
| 10 to 11 years | 3.57 | 0.199 |
| 11 to 12 years | 3.71 | 0.226 |
| 12 to 13 years | 3.82 | 0.213 |
| 13 to 14 years | 3.92 | 0.216 |
| 14 to 15 years | 3.99 | 0.187 |
| 15 to 16 years | 4.00 | 0.156 |
| 16 to 17 years | 4.06 | 0.167 |
| 17 to 18 years | 4.08 | 0.165 |
| 18 to 19 years | 4.07 | 0.147 |
| 19 to 20 years | 4.10 | 0.149 |
| a $\mu_{2}, \sigma_{2}$ - correspond to the mean and standard deviation, respectively, of the lognormal distribution of body weight $(\mathrm{kg})$. <br> Source: Burmaster et al., 1994. |  |  |

Table 7-9. Statistics for Probability Plot Regression Analyses

| Age | Lognormal Probability PlotsLinear Curve |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mu_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\sigma_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 6 months to 1 year | 2.23 | 0.132 |
| 1 to 2 years | 2.46 | 0.119 |
| 2 to 3 years | 2.60 | 0.120 |
| 3 to 4 years | 2.75 | 0.114 |
| 4 to 5 years | 2.87 | 0.133 |
| 5 to 6 years | 2.99 | 0.138 |
| 6 to 7 years | 3.13 | 0.145 |
| 7 to 8 years | 3.21 | 0.151 |
| 8 to 9 years | 3.33 | 0.181 |
| 9 to 10 years | 3.43 | 0.165 |
| 10 to 11 years | 3.59 | 0.195 |
| 11 to 12 years | 3.69 | 0.252 |
| 12 to 13 years | 3.78 | 0.224 |
| 13 to 14 years | 3.88 | 0.215 |
| 14 to 15 years | 4.02 | 0.181 |
| 15 to 16 years | 4.09 | 0.159 |
| 16 to 17 years | 4.20 | 0.168 |
| 17 to 18 years | 4.19 | 0.167 |
| 18 to 19 years | 4.25 | 0.159 |
| 19 to 20 years | 4.26 | 0.154 |
| $\mu_{2}, \sigma_{2}$ - correspond to the mean and standard deviation, respectively, of the lognormal distribution of body weight (kg). <br> Source: Burmaster et al., 1994. |  |  |

AIHC - Exposure Factors Sourcebook - The Exposure Factors Sourcebook (AIHC, 1994) provides similar body weight data as presented here. Consistent with this document, an average adult body weight of 72 kg is recommended on the basis of the NHANES II data (NCHS, 1987). These data are also used to derive probability distributions for adults and children. In addition, the Sourcebook presents probability distributions derived by Brainard and Burmaster (1992), Versar (1991) and Brorby and Finley (1993). For each distribution, the @Risk formula is provided for direct use in the @Risk simulation software (Palisade, 1992). The organization of this document, makes it very convenient to use in support of Monte Carlo analysis. The reviews of the supporting studies are very brief with little analysis of their strengths and weaknesses. The Sourcebook has been classified as a relevant rather than key study because it is not the primary source for the data used to make recommendations in this document. The Sourcebook is very similar to this document in the sense that it summarizes exposure factor data and recommends values. As such, it is clearly relevant as an alternative information source on body weights as well as other exposure factors.

### 7.3. RECOMMENDATIONS

The key studies described in this section was used in selecting recommended values for body weight. The general description of both the key and relevant studies are summarized in Table 7-10. The recommendations for body weight are summarized in Table 7-11. Table 7-12 presents the confidence ratings for body weight recommendations. The mean body weight for all adults (male and female, all age groups) combined is 71.8 kg as shown in Table 7-2. The mean values for each age group in Table 7-2 were derived by adding the body weights for men and women and dividing by 2 . If age and sex distribution of the exposed population is known, the mean body weight values in Table $7-2$ can be used. If percentile data are needed or if race is a factor, Tables 7-4 and 7-5 can be used to select the appropriate data for percentiles or mean values.

For infants (birth to 6 months), appropriate values for body weight may be selected from Table 7-1. These data (percentile only) are presented for male and female infants.

For children, appropriate mean values for weights may be selected from Table 7-3. If percentile values are needed, these data are presented in Table 7-6 for male children and in Table 7-7 for female children.

Body weight is a function of age, gender, and race and populations of many geographic regions may vary from the general population across geographic regions. Therefore, the user should make appropriate adjustments when applying the percentiles to other geographic regions.

The mean recommended value for adults ( 71.8 kg ) is different than the 70 kg commonly assumed in EPA risk assessments. Assessors are encouraged to use values which most accurately reflect the exposed population. When using values other than 70 kg , however, the assessors should consider if the dose estimate will be used to estimate risk by combining with a dose-response relationship which was derived assuming a body weight of 70 kg . If such an inconsistency exists, the assessor should adjust the doseresponse relationship as described in the appendix to Chapter 1. The Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) does not use a 70 kg body weight assumption in the derivation of RfCs and RfDs, but does make this assumption in the derivation of cancer slope factors and unit risks.

Chapter 7 - Body Weight Studies

| Study | Number of Subjects | Population | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KEY STUDIES |  |  |  |
| Hamill et al. (1979) | $\sim 1,000$ | U.S. general population | Authors noted that data are accurate measurements from a large nationally representative sample of children. |
| NCHS, 1987 <br> (NHANES II) | 20,322 | U.S. general population | Based on civilian non-institutionalized population aged 6 months to 74 years. Response rate was 73.1 percent. |
| RELEVANT STUDIES |  |  |  |
| Brainard and Burmaster, 1992 | 12,501 (5,916 men and 6,588 women) | U.S. general population | Used data from NHANES II to fit bivarite distributions to women and men age 18 to 74 years. |
| Burmaster et al., 1994 | 8,458 (4,079 females and 4,379 males) | U.S. general population | Used data from NHANES II to develop fitted distributions for children aged 6 to 20 years old. Adjusted for nonresponse by age, gender, and race. |


| Table 7-11. Summary of Recommended Values for Body Weight |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Population | Mean | Upper Percentile | Multiple Percentiles |
| Adults | 71.8 kg (See Table 7-2) | See Tables 7-4 and 7-5 | See Tables 7-4 and 7-5 |
| Children | See Table 7-3 | See Tables 7-6 and 7-7 | See Tables 7-6 and 7-7 |
| Infants | Not Available | See Table 7-1 | See Table 7-1 |
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| Table 7-12. Confidence in Body Weight Recommendations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | NHANES II was the major source of data for NCHS (1987). This is a published study which received a high level of peer review. The Hamill et al. (1979) is a peer reviewed journal publication. | High |
| - Accessibility | Both studies are available to the public. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Results can be reproduced by analyzing NHANES II data and the Fels Research Institute data. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The studies focused on body weight, the exposure factor of interest. | High |
| - Data pertinent to US | The data represent the U.S. population. | High |
| - Primary data | The primary data were generated from NHANES II data and Fels studies, thus these data are secondary. | Medium |
| - Currency | The data were collected between 1976-1980. | Low |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | The NHANES II study included data collected over a period of 4 years. Body weight measurements were taken at various times of the day and at different seasons of the year. | High |
| - Validity of approach | Direct body weights were measured for both studies. For NHANES II, subgroups at risk for malnutrition were over-sampled. Weighting was accomplished by inflating examination results for those not examined and were stratified by race, age, and sex. The Fels data are from an ongoing longitudinal study where the data are collected regularly. | High |
| - Study size | The sample size consisted of 28,000 persons for NHANES II. Author noted in Hamill et al. (1979) that the data set was large. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | Data collected focused on the U.S. population for both studies. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | Both studies characterized variability regarding age and sex. Additionally NHANES II characterized race (for Blacks, Whites and total populations) and sampled persons with low income. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | There are no apparent biases in the study designs for NHANES II. The study design for collecting the Fels data was not provided. | MediumHigh |
| - Measurement error | For NHANES II, measurement error should be low since body weights were performed in a mobile examination center using standardized procedures and equipment. Also, measurements were taken at various times of the day to account for weight fluctuations as a result of recent food or water intake. The authors of Hamill et al. (1979) report that study data are based on accurate direct measurements from an ongoing longitudinal study. | High |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | There are two studies. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | There is consistency among the two studies. | High |
| Overall Rating |  | High |
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## 8. LIFETIME

The length of an individual's life is an important factor to consider when evaluating cancer risk because the dose estimate is averaged over an individual's lifetime. Since the averaging time is found in the denominator of the dose equation, a shorter lifetime would result in a higher potential risk estimate, and conversely, a longer life expectancy would produce a lower potential risk estimate.

### 8.1. KEY STUDY ON LIFETIME

Statistical data on life expectancy are published annually by the U.S. Department of Commerce in the publication: "Statistical Abstract of the United States." The latest year for which statistics are available is 1993. Available data on life expectancies for various subpopulations born in the years 1970 to 1993 are presented in Table 8-1. Data for 1993 show that the life expectancy for an average person born in the United States in 1993 is 75.5 years (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1995). The table shows that the overall life expectancy has averaged approximately 75 years since 1982. The average life expectancy for males in 1993 was 72.1 years, and 78.9 years for females. The data consistently show an approximate 7 years difference in life expectancy for males and females from 1970 to present. Table 8-1 also indicates that life expectancy for white males ( 73.0 years) is consistently longer than for Black males ( 64.7 years). Additionally, it indicates that life expectancy for White females (79.5 years) is longer than for Black females (73.7), a difference of almost 6 years. Table 8-2 presents data for expectation of life for persons who were at a specific age in year 1990. These data are available by age, gender, and race and may be useful for deriving exposure estimates based on the age of a specific subpopulation. The data show that expectation of life is longer for females and for Whites.

### 8.2. RECOMMENDATIONS

Current data suggest that 75 years would be an appropriate value to reflect the average life expectancy of the general population and is the recommended value. If gender is a factor considered in the assessment, note that the average life expectancy value for females is higher than for males. It is recommended that the assessor use the appropriate value of 72.1 years for males or 78.9 years for females. If race is a consideration in assessing exposure for male individuals, note that the life expectancy is about 8 years longer for Whites than for Blacks. It is recommended that the assessor use the values of 73 years and 64.7 years for White males and Black males, respectively. Table 8-3 presents the confidence rating for life expectancy recommendations.

This recommended value is different than the 70 years commonly assumed for the general population in EPA risk assessments. Assessors are encouraged to use values which most accurately reflect the exposed population. When using values other than 70 years, however, the assessors should consider if the dose estimate will be used to estimate risk by combining with a dose-response relationship which was derived assuming a lifetime of 70 years. If such an inconsistency exists, the assessor should adjust the dose-response relationship by multiplying by (lifetime/70). The Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) does not use a 70 year lifetime assumption in the derivation of RfCs and RfDs, but does make this assumption in the derivation of some cancer slope factors or unit risks.

### 8.3. REFERENCES FOR CHAPTER 8

U.S. Bureau of the Census. (1995) Statistical abstracts of the United States.

| Table 8-1. Expectation of Life at Birth, 1970 to 1993, and Projections, 1995 to 2010 (years) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR |  | TOTAL |  |  | WHITE |  |  | BLACK AND OTHER ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | BLACK |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 1970 |  | 70.8 | 67.1 | 74.7 | 71.7 | 68.0 | 75.6 | 65.3 | 61.3 | 69.4 | 64.1 | 60.0 | 68.3 |
| 1975 |  | 72.6 | 68.8 | 76.6 | 73.4 | 69.5 | 77.3 | 68.0 | 63.7 | 72.4 | 66.8 | 62.4 | 71.3 |
| 1980 |  | 73.7 | 70.0 | 77.4 | 74.4 | 70.7 | 78.1 | 69.5 | 65.3 | 73.6 | 68.1 | 63.8 | 72.5 |
| 1981 |  | 74.1 | 70.4 | 77.8 | 74.8 | 71.1 | 78.4 | 70.3 | 66.2 | 74.4 | 68.9 | 64.5 | 73.2 |
| 1982 |  | 74.5 | 70.8 | 78.1 | 75.1 | 71.5 | 78.7 | 70.9 | 66.8 | 74.9 | 69.4 | 65.1 | 73.6 |
| 1983 |  | 74.6 | 71.0 | 78.1 | 75.2 | 71.6 | 78.7 | 70.9 | 67.0 | 74.7 | 69.4 | 65.2 | 73.5 |
| 1984 |  | 74.7 | 71.1 | 78.2 | 75.3 | 71.8 | 78.7 | 71.1 | 67.2 | 74.9 | 69.5 | 65.3 | 73.6 |
| 1985 |  | 74.7 | 71.1 | 78.2 | 75.3 | 71.8 | 78.7 | 71.0 | 67.0 | 74.8 | 69.3 | 65.0 | 73.4 |
| 1986 |  | 74.7 | 71.2 | 78.2 | 75.4 | 71.9 | 78.8 | 70.9 | 66.8 | 74.9 | 69.1 | 64.8 | 73.4 |
| 1987 |  | 74.9 | 71.4 | 78.3 | 75.6 | 72.1 | 78.9 | 71.0 | 66.9 | 75.0 | 69.1 | 64.7 | 73.4 |
| 1988 |  | 74.9 | 71.4 | 78.3 | 75.6 | 72.2 | 78.9 | 70.8 | 66.7 | 74.8 | 68.9 | 64.4 | 73.2 |
| 1989 |  | 75.1 | 71.7 | 78.5 | 75.9 | 72.5 | 79.2 | 70.9 | 66.7 | 74.9 | 68.8 | 64.3 | 73.3 |
| 1990 |  | 75.4 | 71.8 | 78.8 | 76.1 | 72.7 | 79.4 | 71.2 | 67.0 | 75.2 | 69.1 | 64.5 | 73.6 |
| 1991 |  | 75.5 | 71.0 | 78.9 | 76.3 | 72.9 | 79.6 | 71.5 | 67.3 | 75.5 | 69.3 | 64.6 | 73.8 |
| 1992 |  | 75.8 | 72.3 | 79.1 | 76.5 | 73.2 | 79.8 | 71.8 | 67.7 | 75.7 | 69.6 | 65.0 | 73.9 |
| 1993 |  | 75.5 | 72.1 | 78.9 | 76.3 | 73.0 | 79.5 | 71.5 | 67.4 | 75.5 | 69.3 | 64.7 | 73.7 |
| Projections ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1995 | 76.3 | 72.8 | 79.7 | 77.0 | 73.7 | 80.3 | 72.5 | 68.2 | 76.8 | 70.3 | 65.8 | 74.8 |
|  | $2000$ | 76.7 | 73.2 | 80.2 | 77.6 | 74.3 | 80.9 | 72.9 | 68.3 | 77.5 | 70.2 | 65.3 | 75.1 |
|  | 2005 | 77.3 | 73.8 | 80.7 | 78.2 | 74.9 | 81.4 | 73.6 | 69.1 | 78.1 | 70.7 | 65.9 | 75.5 |
|  | 2010 | 77.9 | 74.5 | 81.3 | 78.8 | 75.6 | 81.0 | 74.3 | 69.9 | 78.7 | 71.3 | 66.5 | 76.0 |
| a Excl <br> b Racia <br> c Bas <br> Source: Bur | udes de al descrip d on m <br> au of | hs of no tions we dle mort Census, | esidents <br> not pro ity assum <br> 995. | the Unite ded in the tions; for | States. ata source tails, se | U.S. Bu | $u$ of the | sus, Cu | ent Popu | ion Report | , Series | 25, No. | 04. |
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| Table 8-2. Expectation of Life by Race, Sex, and Age: 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expectation of Life in Years |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age in } 1990 \\ & \text { (years) } \end{aligned}$ | White |  |  | Black |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| At birth | 75.8 | 73.2 | 79.8 | 65.0 | 73.9 |
| 1 | 75.4 | 72.8 | 79.3 | 65.2 | 74.1 |
| 2 | 74.5 | 71.8 | 78.3 | 64.3 | 73.1 |
| 3 | 73.5 | 70.9 | 77.3 | 63.4 | 72.2 |
| 4 | 72.5 | 69.9 | 76.3 | 62.4 | 71.2 |
| 5 | 71.6 | 68.9 | 75.4 | 61.4 | 70.3 |
| 6 | 70.6 | 67.9 | 74.4 | 60.5 | 69.3 |
| 7 | 69.6 | 66.9 | 73.4 | 59.5 | 68.3 |
| 8 | 68.6 | 65.9 | 72.4 | 58.5 | 67.3 |
| 9 | 67.6 | 65.0 | 71.4 | 57.5 | 66.3 |
| 10 | 66.6 | 64.0 | 70.4 | 56.5 | 65.4 |
| 11 | 65.6 | 63.0 | 69.4 | 55.5 | 64.4 |
| 12 | 64.6 | 62.0 | 68.4 | 54.6 | 63.4 |
| 13 | 63.7 | 61.0 | 67.4 | 53.6 | 62.4 |
| 14 | 62.7 | 60.0 | 66.5 | 52.6 | 61.4 |
| 15 | 61.7 | 59.1 | 65.5 | 51.7 | 60.4 |
| 16 | 60.7 | 58.1 | 64.5 | 50.7 | 59.5 |
| 17 | 59.8 | 57.2 | 63.5 | 49.8 | 58.5 |
| 18 | 58.8 | 56.2 | 62.5 | 48.9 | 57.5 |
| 19 | 57.9 | 55.3 | 61.6 | 48.1 | 56.6 |
| 20 | 56.9 | 54.3 | 60.6 | 47.2 | 55.6 |
| 21 | 56.0 | 53.4 | 59.6 | 46.3 | 54.6 |
| 22 | 55.1 | 52.5 | 58.7 | 45.5 | 53.7 |
| 23 | 54.1 | 51.6 | 57.7 | 44.6 | 52.7 |
| 24 | 53.2 | 50.6 | 56.7 | 43.8 | 51.8 |
| 25 | 52.2 | 49.7 | 55.7 | 42.9 | 50.8 |
| 26 | 51.3 | 48.8 | 54.8 | 42.1 | 49.9 |
| 27 | 50.4 | 47.8 | 53.8 | 41.2 | 48.9 |
| 28 | 49.4 | 46.9 | 52.8 | 40.4 | 48.0 |
| 29 | 48.5 | 46.0 | 51.8 | 39.5 | 47.1 |
| 30 | 47.5 | 45.1 | 50.9 | 38.7 | 46.1 |
| 31 | 46.6 | 44.1 | 49.9 | 37.8 | 45.2 |
| 32 | 45.7 | 43.2 | 48.9 | 37.0 | 44.3 |
| 33 | 44.7 | 42.3 | 48.0 | 36.2 | 43.4 |
| 34 | 43.8 | 41.4 | 47.0 | 35.3 | 42.4 |
| 35 | 42.9 | 40.5 | 46.0 | 34.5 | 41.5 |
| 36 | 42.0 | 39.6 | 45.1 | 33.7 | 40.6 |
| 37 | 41.0 | 38.7 | 44.1 | 32.9 | 39.7 |
| 38 | 40.1 | 37.8 | 43.2 | 32.1 | 38.8 |
| 39 | 39.2 | 36.9 | 42.2 | 31.3 | 37.9 |
| 40 | 38.3 | 36.0 | 41.2 | 30.5 | 37.1 |
| 41 | 37.4 | 35.1 | 40.3 | 29.7 | 36.2 |
| 42 | 36.5 | 34.2 | 39.3 | 28.9 | 35.3 |
| 43 | 35.6 | 33.3 | 38.4 | 28.2 | 34.4 |
| 44 | 34.7 | 32.4 | 37.5 | 27.4 | 33.6 |
| 45 | 33.8 | 31.5 | 36.5 | 26.7 | 32.7 |
| 46 | 32.9 | 30.6 | 35.6 | 25.9 | 31.9 |
| 47 | 32.0 | 29.7 | 34.7 | 25.2 | 31.0 |
| 48 | 31.1 | 28.8 | 33.7 | 24.4 | 30.2 |
| 49 | 30.2 | 28.0 | 32.8 | 23.7 | 29.3 |
|  |  | ion of L | x, and Age |  |  |
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| Table 8-3. Confidence in Lifetime Expectancy Recommendations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | Data are published and have received extensive peer review. | High |
| - Accessibility | The study was widely available to the public (Census data). | High |
| - Reproducibility | Results can be reproduced by analyzing Census data. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Statistical data on life expectancy were published in this study. | High |
| - Data pertinent to US | The study focused on the U.S. population. | High |
| - Primary data | Primary data were analyzed. | High |
| - Currency | The study was published in 1995 and discusses life expectancy trends from 1970 to 1993. The study has also made projections for 1995 until the year 2010. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | The data analyzed were collected over a period of years. | High |
| - Validity of approach | Census data is collected and analyzed over a period of years. | High |
| - Study size | This study was based on U.S. Census data, thus the population study size is expected to be greater than 100 . | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The data are representative of the U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | Data were averaged by gender and race but only for Blacks and Whites; no other nationalities were represented within the section. | Medium |
| - Lack of bias in study design (High rating is desirable) | There are no apparent biases. | High |
| - Measurement error | Measurement error may be attributed to portions of the population that avoid or provide misleading information on census surveys. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | Data presented in the section are from the U.S. Bureau of the Census publication. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | Recommendation was based on only one study, but it is widely accepted. | High |
| Overall Rating |  | HIGH |
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## 9. INTAKE OF FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

 9.1. BACKGROUNDIngestion of contaminated fruits and vegetables is a potential pathway of human exposure to toxic chemicals. Fruits and vegetables may become contaminated with toxic chemicals by several different pathways. Ambient pollutants from the air may be deposited on or absorbed by the plants, or dissolved in rainfall or irrigation waters that contact the plants. Pollutants may also be absorbed through plant roots from contaminated soil and ground water. The addition of pesticides, soil additives, and fertilizers may also result in food contamination.

The primary source of information on consumption rates of fruits and vegetables among the United States population is the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (NFCS) and the USDA Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII). Data from the NFCS have been used in various studies to generate consumer-only and per capita intake rates for both individual fruits and vegetables and total fruits and total vegetables. CSFII data from the 1989-1991 survey have been analyzed by EPA to generate per capita intake rates for various food items and food groups.

Consumer-only intake is defined as the quantity of fruits and vegetables consumed by individuals who ate these food items during the survey period. Per capita intake rates are generated by averaging consumer-only intakes over the entire population of users and non-users. In general, per capita intake rates are appropriate for use in exposure assessment for which average dose estimates for the general population are of interest because they represent both individuals who ate the foods during the survey period and individuals who may eat the food items at some time, but did not consume them during the survey period. Total fruit intake refers to the sum of all fruits consumed in a day including canned, dried, frozen, and fresh fruits. Likewise, total vegetable intake refers to the sum of all vegetables consumed in a day including canned, dried, frozen, and fresh vegetables. For the purposes of this handbook, the distinctions between fruits and vegetables are those commonly used, not the botanical definitions. For example, in this report, tomatoes are considered vegetables, although technically they are fruits.

Intake rates may be presented on either an as consumed or dry weight basis. As consumed intake rates (g/day) are based on the weight of the food in the form that it is consumed. In contrast, dry weight intake rates are based on the weight of the food consumed after the moisture content has been removed. In calculating exposures based
on ingestion, the unit of weight used to measure intake should be consistent with those used in measuring the contaminant concentration in the produce. Intake data from the individual component of the NFCS and CSFII are based on "as eaten" (i.e., cooked or prepared) forms of the food items/groups. Thus, corrections to account for changes in portion sizes from cooking losses are not required.

Estimating source-specific exposures to toxic chemicals in fruits and vegetables may also require information on the amount of fruits and vegetables that are exposed to or protected from contamination as a result of cultivation practices or the physical nature of the food product itself (i.e., those having protective coverings that are removed before eating would be considered protected), or the amount grown beneath the soil (i.e., most root crops such as potatoes). The percentages of foods grown above and below ground will be useful when the concentrations of contaminants in foods are estimated from concentrations in soil, water, and air. For example, vegetables grown below ground may be more likely to be contaminated by soil pollutants, but leafy above ground vegetables may be more likely to be contaminated by deposition of air pollutants on plant surfaces.

The purpose of this section is to provide: (1) intake data for individual fruits and vegetables, and total fruits and total vegetables; (2) guidance for converting between as consumed and dry weight intake rates; and (3) intake data for exposed and protected fruits and vegetables and those grown below ground. Recommendations are based on average and upper-percentile intake among the general population of the U.S. Available data have been classified as being either a key or a relevant study based on the considerations discussed in Volume I, Section 1.3.1 of the Introduction. Recommendations are based on data from the CSFII 1989-1991 survey, which was considered the only key intake study for fruits and vegetables. Other relevant studies are also presented to provide the reader with added perspective on this topic. It should be noted that many of the relevant studies are based on data from USDA's NFCS and CSFII. The USDA NFCS and CSFII are described below.

### 9.2. INTAKE STUDIES

### 9.2.1. U.S. Department of Agriculture Nationwide Food Consumption Survey and Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals

USDA conducts the NFCS approximately every 10
years. The three most recent NFCSs were conducted in 1965-66, 1977-78, and 1987-88. The purpose of these
surveys was to "analyze the food consumption behavior and dietary status of Americans" (USDA, 1992a). The survey uses a statistical sampling technique designed to ensure that all seasons, geographic regions of the U.S., and demographic and socioeconomic groups are represented. There are two components of the NFCS. The household component collects information on the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of households, and the types, value, and sources of foods consumed over a 7-day period. The individual component collects information on food intakes of individuals within each household over a 3-day period (USDA, 1992b).

The same basic survey design was used for the three most recent NFCSs, but the sample sizes and statistical classifications used were somewhat different (USDA, 1992a). In 1965-66, 10,000 households were surveyed (USDA, 1972). The sample size increased to 15,000 households (over 36,000 individuals) in 1977-78, but decreased to 4,500 households in 1987-88 because of budgetary constraints and a low response rate ( 37 percent). Data from the 1977-78 NFCS are presented in this handbook because the data have been published by USDA in various publications and reanalyzed by various EPA offices according to the food items/groups commonly used to assess exposure. Published 1-day data from the 1987-88 NFCS data are also presented.

USDA also conducts the Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals. The purpose of the survey is to "assess food consumption behavior and nutritional content of diets for policy implications relating to food production and marketing, food safety, food assistance, and nutrition education" (USDA, 1995). An EPA analysis of the 198991 CSFII data set is presented in this handbook. During 1989 through 1991, over 15,000 individuals participated in the CSFII (USDA, 1995). Using a stratified sampling technique, individuals of all ages living in selected households in the 48 conterminous states and Washington, D.C. were surveyed. Individuals provided 3 consecutive days of data, including a personal interview on the first day followed by 2-day dietary records. The 3-day response rate for the 1989-91 CSFII was approximately 45 percent. Published 1-day data from the 1994 and 1995 CSFII are also presented. The 1994 and 1995 CSFII included data for 2 non-consecutive survey days (although 2 days of data have been collected, only data for the first survey day have been analyzed and published by USDA). Over 5,500 individuals participated in these surveys (USDA, 1996a; 1996b).

Individual average daily intake rates calculated from NFCS and CSFII data are based on averages of reported individual intakes over one day or three consecutive days. Such short term data are suitable for estimating mean average daily intake rates representative of both short-term and long-term consumption. However, the distribution of average daily intake rates generated using short term data (e.g., 3 day) do not necessarily reflect the long-term distribution of average daily intake rates. The distributions generated from short term and long term data will differ to the extent that each individual's intake varies from day to day; the distributions will be similar to the extent that individuals' intakes are constant from day to day.

Day to day variation in intake among individuals will be great for food item/groups that are highly seasonal and for items/groups that are eaten year around but that are not typically eaten every day. For these foods, the intake distribution generated from short term data will not be a good reflection of the long term distribution. On the other hand, for broad categories of foods (e.g., vegetables) which are eaten on a daily basis throughout the year with minimal seasonality, the short term distribution may be a reasonable approximation of the true long term distribution, although it will show somewhat more variability. In this and the following section, distributions are shown only for the following broad categories of foods: fruits, vegetables, meats and dairy. Because of the increased variability of the short-term distribution, the short-term upper percentiles shown here will overestimate somewhat the corresponding percentiles of the long-term distribution.

### 9.2.2. Key Fruits and Vegetables Intake Study Based on the USDA CSFII

U.S. EPA Analysis of USDA 1989-91 CSFII Data EPA analyzed three years of data from USDA's CSFII to generate distributions of intake rates for various fruit and vegetable items/groups. Data from the 1989, 1990, and 1991 CFSII were combined into a single data set to increase the number of observations available for analysis. Approximately 15,000 individuals provided intake data over the three survey years. The fruit and vegetable items/groups selected for this analysis included total fruits and total vegetables; individual fruits such as: apples, peaches, pears, strawberries, and other berries; individual vegetables such as: asparagus, beets, broccoli, cabbage, carrots, corn, cucumbers, lettuce, lima beans, okra, onions, peas, peppers, pumpkin, snap beans, tomatoes, and white potatoes; fruits and vegetables categorized as exposed, protected and roots; and various USDA categories (i.e.,
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citrus and other fruits, and dark green, deep yellow, and other vegetables). These fruit and vegetable categories were selected to be consistent with those evaluated in the homegrown food analysis presented in Chapter 13. Intake rates of total vegetables, tomatoes, and white potatoes were adjusted to account for the amount of these food items eaten as meat and grain mixtures as described in Appendix 9A. Food items/groups were identified in the CSFII data base according to USDA-defined food codes. Appendix 9B presents the codes used to determine the various food groups. Intake rates for these food items/groups represent intake of all forms of the product (i.e., home produced and commercially produced).

Individual identifiers in the database were used throughout the analysis to categorize populations according to demographics. These identifiers included identification number, region, urbanization, age, sex, race, body weight, weighting factor, season, and number of days that data were reported. Distributions of intake were determined for individuals who provided data for all three days of the survey. Individuals who did not provide information on body weight, or for which identifying information was unavailable, were excluded from the analysis. Three-day average intake rates were calculated for all individuals in the database for each of the food items/groups. These average daily intake rates were divided by each individual's reported body weight to generate intake rates in units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. The data were also weighted according to the three-day weights provided in the 1991 CSFII. USDA sample weights are calculated to account for inherent biases in the sample selection process, and to adjust the sample population to reflect the national population. Summary statistics for individual intake rates were generated on a per capita basis. That is, both users and non-users of the food item were included in the analysis. Mean consumer only intake rates may be calculated by dividing the mean per capita intake rate by the percent of the population consuming the food item of interest. Summary statistics included are: number of weighted and unweighted observations, percentage of the population using the food item/group being analyzed, mean intake rate, standard error, and percentiles of the intake rate distribution (i.e., $0,1,5$, $10,25,50,75,90,95,99$, and 100th percentile). Data were provided for the total population using the food item being evaluated and for several demographic groups including: various age groups (i.e., <1, 1-2, 3-5, 6-11, 1219, 20-39, 40-69, and 70+ years); regions (i.e., Midwest, Northeast, South, and West); urbanizations (i.e., Central City, Nonmetropolitan, and Suburban; seasons (i.e., winter,
spring, summer, and fall); and races (i.e., White, Black, Asian, Native American, and other). Table 9-1 provides the codes, definitions, and a description of the data in these categories. The total numbers of individuals in the data set, by demographic group are presented in Table 9-2. The food analysis was accomplished using the SAS statistical programming system (SAS, 1990).

The results of this analysis are presented in Tables 93 and 9-4 for total fruits and total vegetables, Table 9-5 for individual fruits and vegetables, and Table 9-6 for the various USDA categories. The data for exposed/protected and root food items are presented in Tables 9-7 through 911. These tables are presented at the end of this Chapter. The results are presented in units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. Thus, use of these data in calculating potential dose does not require the body weight factor to be included in the denominator of the average daily dose (ADD) equation. It should be noted that converting these intake rates into units of $\mathrm{g} /$ day by multiplying by a single average body weight is inappropriate, because individual intake rates were indexed to the reported body weights of the survey respondents. However, if there is a need to compare the intake data presented here to intake data in units of g/day, a body weight less than 70 kg (i.e., approximately 60 kg ; calculated based on the number of respondents in each age category and the average body weights for these age groups, as presented in Chapter 7 of Volume I) should be used because the total survey population included children as well as adults.

The advantages of using the 1989-91 CSFII data set are that the data are expected to be generally representative of the U.S. population and that it includes data on a wide variety of food types. However, it should be noted that the survey covers only the 48 coterminous U.S. States; Hawaii, Alaska, and U.S. Territories are not included. The data set was the most recent of a series of publicly available USDA data sets (i.e., NFCS 1977-78; NFCS 1987-88; CSFII 1989-91) at the time that EPA conducted the analysis for this handbook, and should reflect recent eating patterns in the United States. The data set includes three years of intake data combined. However, the 1989-91 CSFII data are based on a three day survey period. Short-term dietary data may not accurately reflect long-term eating patterns. This is particularly true for the tails (extremes) of the distribution of food intake. In addition, the adjustment for including mixtures adds uncertainty to the intake rate distributions. The calculation for including mixtures assumes that intake of any mixture includes all of the foods identified in Appendix Table 9A-1 in the proportions
specified in that table. This may under- or over-estimate intake of certain foods among some individuals.

The data presented in this handbook for the USDA 1989-91 CSFII is not the most up-to-date information on food intake. USDA has recently made available the data from its 1994 and 1995 CSFII. Over 5,500 people nationwide participated in both of these surveys, providing recalled food intake information for 2 separate days. Although the 2-day data analysis has not been conducted, USDA published the results for the respondents' intakes on the first day surveyed (USDA, 1996a; 1996b). USDA 1996 survey data will be made available later in 1997. As soon as 1996 data are available, EPA will take steps to get the 3-year data (1994, 1995, and 1996) analyzed and the food ingestion factors updated. Meanwhile, Table 9-12 presents a comparison of the mean daily intakes per individual in a day for fruits and vegetables from the USDA survey data from years 1977-78, 19887-88, 1989-91, 1994, and 1995. This table shows that food consumption patterns have changed for fruits when comparing 1977 and 1995 data. Consumption of fruits increased by 72 percent, but vegetable intake remained relatively constant, when comparing data from 1977 and 1995. However, only an 11 percent increase was observed when comparing fruit intake values from 1989-91 with the most recent data from 1994 and 1995. This indicates that the 1989-91 CSFII data are probably adequate for assessing ingestion exposure for current populations.

### 9.2.3. Relevant Fruits and Vegetables Intake Studies The U.S. EPA's Dietary Risk Evaluation System

 (DRES) - USEPA, Office of Pesticide Programs - The U.S. EPA, Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) uses the Dietary Risk Evaluation System (formerly the Tolerance Assessment System) to assess the dietary risk of pesticide use as part of the pesticide registration process. OPP sets tolerances for specific pesticides on raw agricultural commodities based on estimates of dietary risk. These estimates are calculated using pesticide residue data for the food item of concern and relevant consumption data. Intake rates are based primarily on the USDA 1977-78 NFCS although intake rates for some food items are based on estimations from production volumes or other data (i.e., some items were assigned an arbitrary value of 0.000001 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) (Kariya, 1992). OPP has calculated per capita intake rates of individual fruits and vegetables for 22 subgroups (age, regional, and seasonal) of the population by determining the composition of NFCS food items anddisaggregating complex food dishes into their component raw agricultural commodities (RACs) (White et al., 1983).

The DRES per capita, as consumed intake rates for all age/sex/demographic groups combined are presented in Table 9-13. These data are based on both consumers and non consumers of these food items. Data for specific subgroups of the population are not presented here, but are available through OPP via direct request. The data in Table 9-13 may be useful for estimating the risks of exposure associated with the consumption of individual fruits and vegetables. It should be noted that these data are indexed to the reported body weights of the survey respondents and are expressed in units of grams of food consumed per kg bodyweight per day. Consequently, use of these data in calculating potential dose does not require the body weight factor in the denominator of the ADD equation. It should also be noted that conversion of these intake rates into units of $\mathrm{g} /$ day by multiplying by a single average body weight is not appropriate because the DRES data base did not rely on a single body weight for all individuals. Instead, DRES used the body weights reported by each individual surveyed to estimate consumption in units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day.

The advantages of using these data are that complex food dishes have been disaggregated to provide intake rates for a very large number of fruits and vegetables. These data are also based on the individual body weights of the respondents. Therefore, the use of these data in calculating exposure to toxic chemicals may provide more representative estimates of potential dose per unit body weight. However, because the data are based on NFCS short-term dietary recall the same limitations discussed previously for other NFCS data sets also apply here. In addition, consumption patterns may have changed since the data were collected in 1977-78. OPP is in the process of translating consumption information from the USDA CSFII 1989-91 survey to be used in DRES.

Food and Nutrient Intakes of Individuals in One Day in the U.S., USDA (1980, 1992b, 1996a, 1996b) USDA calculated mean intake rates for total fruits and total vegetables using NFCS data from 1977-78 and 1987-88 (USDA, 1980; USDA, 1992b) and CSFII data from 1994 and 1995 (USDA, 1996a; 1996b). The mean per capita total intake rates are presented in Tables 9-14 and 9-15 for fruits and Tables 9-16 and 9-17 for vegetables. These values are based on intake data for one day from the 197778 and 1987-88 USDA NFCSs, respectively. Data from both surveys are presented here to demonstrate that although the 1987-88 survey had fewer respondents, the mean per capita intake rates for all individuals are in good
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agreement with the earlier survey. Also, slightly different age classifications were used in the two surveys providing a wider range of age categories from which exposure assessors may select appropriate intake rates. Tables 9-18 and $9-19$ present similar data from the 1994 and 1995 CSFII. The age groups used in this data set are the same as those used in the 1987-88 NFCS. Tables 9-14 through 919 include both per capita intake rates and intake rates for consumers-only for various ages of individuals. Intake rates for consumers-only were calculated by dividing the per capita consumption rate by the fraction of the population using vegetables or fruits in a day. The average per capita vegetable intake rate is $201 \mathrm{~g} /$ day based on the 1977-78 data (USDA, 1980), $182 \mathrm{~g} /$ day based on the 1987-88 data (USDA, 1992b), $186 \mathrm{~g} /$ day based on the 1994 data, and $188 \mathrm{~g} /$ day based on the 1995 data. For fruits the average per capita intake rate is $142 \mathrm{~g} /$ day based on the two most recent USDA NFCSs (USDA, 1980; USDA, 1992b), and $171 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and $173 \mathrm{~g} /$ day based on the 1994 and 1995 CSFII, respectively (USDA, 1996a, 1996b). One-day per capita intake data for fats or oils from the 1994 and 1995 CSFII surveys are presented in Table 9-20. This total fats and oils food category includes table and cooking fats, vegetable oils, salad dressings, nondairy cream substitutes, and sauces such as tartar sauce that are mainly fat or oil (USDA, 1996a). It does not include oils or fats that were ingredients in food mixtures.

The advantages of using these data are that they provide intake estimates for all fruits, all vegetables, or all fats combined. Again, these estimates are based on one-day dietary data which may not reflect usual consumption patterns.
U.S. EPA - Office of Radiation Programs - The U.S. EPA Office of Radiation Programs (ORP) has also used the USDA 1977-78 NFCS to estimate daily food intake (U.S. EPA, 1984a; 1984b). ORP uses food consumption data to assess human intake of radionuclides in foods. The 197778 NFCS data have been reorganized by ORP, and food items have been classified according to the characteristics of radionuclide transport. Data for selected agricultural products are presented in Table 9-21 and Table 9-22. These data represent per capita, as consumed intake rates for total, leafy, exposed, and protected produce. Exposed produce refers to products (e.g., apples, pears, berries, etc.) that can intercept atmospherically deposited materials. The term protected refers to products (e.g., citrus fruit, carrots, corn, etc.) that are protected from deposition from the atmosphere. Although the fruit and vegetable classifications used in the study are somewhat limited in number, they
provide alternative food categories that may be useful to exposure assessors. Because this study was based on the USDA NFCS, the limitations discussed previously regarding short-term dietary recall data also apply to the intake rates reported here. Also, consumption patterns may have changed since the data were collected in 1977-78.
U.S. EPA - Office of Science and Technology - The U.S. EPA Office of Science and Technology (OST) within the Office of Water (formerly the Office of Water Regulations and Standards) used data from the FDA revision of the Total Diet Study Food Lists and Diets (Pennington, 1983) to calculate food intake rates (U.S. EPA, 1989). OST uses these consumption data in its risk assessment model for land application of municipal sludge. The FDA data used are based on the combined results of the USDA 1977-78, NFCS and the second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES II), 1976-80 (U.S. EPA, 1989). Because food items are listed as prepared complex foods in the FDA Total Diet Study, each item was broken down into its component parts so that the amount of raw commodities consumed could be determined. Table 9-23 presents intake rates of various fruit and vegetable categories for various age groups and estimated lifetime ingestion rates that have been derived by U.S. EPA. Note that these are per capita intake rates tabulated as grams dry weight/day. Therefore, these rates differ from those in the previous tables because U.S. EPA (1984a, 1984b) report intake rates on an as consumed basis.

The EPA-OST analysis provides intake rates for additional food categories and estimates of lifetime average daily intake on a per capita basis. In contrast to the other analyses of USDA NFCS data, this study reports the data in terms of dry weight intake rates. Thus, conversion is not required when contaminants are to be estimated on a dry weight basis. These data, however, may not reflect current consumption patterns because they are based on data from 1977-78.

Canadian Department of National Health and Welfare Nutrition Canada Survey - The Nutrition Canada Survey was conducted between 1970 and 1972 to "(a) examine the mean consumption of selected food groups and their contribution to nutrient intakes of Canadians, (b) examine patterns of food consumption and nutrient intake at various times of the day, and provide information on the changes in eating habits during pregnancy." (Canadian Department of National Health and Welfare, n.d.). The method used for collecting dietary intake data was 24 -hour recall. The recall method relied on interview techniques in which the interviewee was asked to recall all foods and
beverages consumed during the day preceding the interview. Intake rates were reported for various age/sex groups of the population and for pregnant women (Table 924). The report does not specify whether the values represent per capita or consumer-only intake rates. However, they appear to be consistent with the as consumed intake rates for consumers-only reported by USDA (1980, 1992b). It should be noted that these data are also based on short-term dietary recall and are based on the Canadian population.

USDA (1993) - Food Consumption, Prices, and Expenditures, 1970-92 - The USDA's Economic Research Service (ERS) calculates the amount of food available for human consumption in the United States on an annual basis (USDA, 1993). Supply and utilization balance sheets are generated, based on the flow of food items from production to end uses for the years 1970 to 1992. Total available supply is estimated as the sum of production and imports (USDA, 1993). The availability of food for human use commonly termed as "food disappearance" is determined by subtracting exported foods from the total available supply (USDA, 1993). USDA (1993) calculates the per capita food consumption by dividing the total food disappearance by the total U.S. population. USDA (1993) estimated per capita consumption data for various fruit and vegetable products from 1970-1992 (1992 data are published). In this section, the 1991 values, which are the most recent published final data, are presented. Retail weight per capita data are presented in Table 9-25. These data have been derived from the annual per capita values in units of pounds per year, presented by USDA (1993), by converting to units of $\mathrm{g} /$ day.

One of the limitations of this study is that disappearance data do not account for losses from the food supply from waste or spoilage. As a result, intake rates based on these data may overestimate daily consumption because they are based on the total quantity of marketable commodity utilized. Thus, these data represent bounding estimates of intake rates only. It should also be noted that per capita estimates based on food disappearance are not a direct measure of actual consumption or quantity ingested, instead the data are used as indicators of changes in usage over time (USDA, 1993). An advantage of this study is that it provides per capita consumption rates for fruits and vegetables that are representative of long-term intake because disappearance data are generated annually.

AIHC, 1994 - Exposure Factors Sourcebook - The AIHC Sourcebook (AIHC, 1994) uses the data presented in the 1989 version of the Exposure Factors Handbook which
reported data from the USDA 1977-78 NFCS. Distributions are provided in the @Risk format and the @Risk formula is also provided. In this handbook, new analyses of more recent data from the USDA 1989-91 CSFII are presented. Numbers, however, cannot be directly compared with previous values since the results from the new analysis are presented on a body weight basis.

The Sourcebook was classified as a relevant study because it was not the primary source for the data to make recommendations in this document. However, it can be used as an alternative source of information.

The advantage of using the CSFII and USDA NFCS data sets are that they are the largest publicly available data source on food intake patterns in the United States. Data are available for a wide variety of fruit and vegetable products and are intended to be representative of the U.S. population.

### 9.2.4. Relevant Fruits and Vegetables Serving Size Study Based on the USDA NFCS

Pao et al. (1982) - Foods Commonly Eaten by Individuals - Using data gathered in the 1977-78 USDA NFCS, Pao et al. (1982) calculated distributions for the quantities of individual fruit and vegetables consumed per eating occasion by members of the U.S. population (i.e., serving sizes), over a 3-day period. The data were collected during NFCS home interviews of 37,874 respondents, who were asked to recall food intake for the day preceding the interview, and record food intake the day of the interview and the day after the interview.

Serving size data are presented on an as consumed (g/day) basis. The data presented in Table 9-26 are for all ages of the population, combined. If age-specific intake data are needed, refer to Pao et al. (1982). Although serving size data only are presented in this handbook, percentiles for the average quantities of individual fruits and vegetables consumed by members of the U.S. population who had consumed these fruits and vegetables over a 3-day period can be found in Pao et al. (1982).

The advantages of using these data are that they were derived from the USDA NFCS and are representative of the U.S. population. This data set provides serving size distributions for a number of commonly eaten fruits and vegetables, but the list of foods is limited and does not account for fruits and vegetables included in complex food dishes. Also, these data represent the quantity of fruits and vegetables consumed per eating occasion. Although these estimates are based on USDA NFCS 1977-78 data, serving size data have been collected but not published for the more
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recent USDA surveys. These estimates may be useful for assessing acute exposures to contaminants in specific foods, or other assessments where the amount consumed per eating occasion is necessary. However, it should be noted that serving sizes may have changed since the data were collected in 1977-78.

### 9.2.5. Conversion Between As Consumed and Dry Weight Intake Rates

As noted previously, intake rates may be reported in terms of units as consumed or units of dry weight. It is essential that exposure assessors be aware of this difference so that they may ensure consistency between the units used for intake rates and those used for concentration data (i.e., if the unit of food consumption is grams dry weight/day, then the unit for the amount of pollutant in the food should be grams dry weight).

If necessary, as consumed intake rates may be converted to dry weight intake rates using the moisture content percentages presented in Table 9-27 and the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}}=\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}} *[(100-\mathrm{W}) / 100] \tag{Eqn.9-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

"Dry weight" intake rates may be converted to "as consumed" rates by using:
$\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}}=\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}} /[(100-\mathrm{W}) / 100]$
(Eqn. 9-2)
where:
$\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}}=$ dry weight intake rate;
$\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}} \quad=$ as consumed intake rate; and
$\mathrm{W} \quad=$ percent water content.

### 9.3. RECOMMENDATIONS

The 1989-91 CSFII data described in this section were used in selecting recommended fruit and vegetable intake rates for the general population and various subgroups of the United States population. The general design of both key and relevant studies are summarized in Table 9-28. Table $9-29$ presents a summary of the recommended values for fruit and vegetable intake and Table 9-30 presents the confidence ratings for the fruit and
vegetable intake recommendations. Based on the CSFII 1989-91, the recommended per capita fruit intake rate for the general population is $3.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day and the recommended per capita vegetable intake rate for the general population is $4.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. Per capita intake rates for specific food items, on a g/kg-day basis, may be obtained from Table 9-5. Percentiles of the per capita intake rate distribution in the general population for total fruits and total vegetables are presented in Tables 9-3 and 9-4. From these tables, the 95th percentile intake rates for fruits and vegetables are $12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day and $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, respectively. It is important to note that the distributions presented in Tables 9-3 through 9-4 are based on data collected over a 3-day period and may not necessarily reflect the long-term distribution of average daily intake rates. However, for these broad categories of food (i.e., total fruits and total vegetables), because they are eaten on a daily basis throughout the year with minimal seasonality, the short term distribution may be a reasonable approximation of the long-term distribution, although it will display somewhat increased variability. This implies that the upper percentiles shown here will tend to overestimate the corresponding percentiles of the true long-term distribution. Intake rates for the home-produced form of these fruit and vegetable products are presented in Volume II, Chapter 13. It should be noted that because these recommendations are based on 1989-91 CSFII data, they may not reflect the most recent changes that may have occurred in consumption patterns. However, as indicated in Table 9-12, intake has remained fairly constant between 1989-91 and 1995. Thus, the 1989-91 CSFII data are believed to be appropriate for assessing ingestion exposure for current populations.
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| Table 9-1. Sub-category Codes and Definitions Used in the CSFII 1989-91 Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Definition | Description |
| Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| 1 | Northeast | Includes Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont |
| 2 | Midwest | Includes Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin |
| 3 | South | Includes Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia |
| 4 | West | Includes Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming |
| Urbanization |  |  |
| 1 | Central City | Cities with populations of 50,000 or more that is the main city within the metropolitan statistical area (MSA). |
| 2 | Suburban | An area that is generally within the boundaries of an MSA, but is not within the legal limit of the central city. |
| 3 | Nonmetropolitan | An area that is not within an MSA. |
| Season |  |  |
| Spring | - | April, May, June |
| Summer | - | July, August, September |
| Fall | - | October, November, December |
| Winter | - | January, February, March |
| Race |  |  |
| 1 | -- | White (Caucasian) |
| 2 | -- | Black |
| 3 | -- | Asian and Pacific Islander |
| 4 | -- | Native American, Aleuts, and Eskimos |
| 5,8,9 | Other/NA | Don't know, no answer, some other race |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Alaska and Hawaii were not included. Source: CSFII 1989-91. |  |  |


| Table 9-2. Weighted and Unweighted Number of Observations for 1989-91 CSFII Data Used in Analysis of Food Intake |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic Factor | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Total | 242,707,000 | 11,912 |
| Age |  |  |
| $<01$ | 7,394,000 | 424 |
| 01-02 | 7,827,000 | 450 |
| 03-05 | 11,795,000 | 603 |
| 06-11 | 21,830,000 | 1,147 |
| 12-19 | 26,046,000 | 1,250 |
| 20-39 | 78,680,000 | 3,555 |
| 40-69 | 71,899,000 | 3,380 |
| 70+ | 17,236,000 | 1,103 |
| Season |  |  |
| Fall | 60,633,000 | 3,117 |
| Spring | 60,689,000 | 3,077 |
| Summer | 60,683,000 | 2,856 |
| Winter | 60,702,000 | 2,862 |
| Urbanization |  |  |
| Central City | 73,410,000 | 3,607 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 53,993,000 | 3,119 |
| Suburban | 115,304,000 | 5,186 |
| Race |  |  |
| Asian | 2,871,000 | 149 |
| Black | 29,721,000 | 1,632 |
| Native American | 2,102,000 | 171 |
| Other/NA | 7,556,000 | 350 |
| White | 200,457,000 | 9,610 |
| Region |  |  |
| Northeast | 59,285,000 | 3,007 |
| Midwest | 50,099,000 | 2,180 |
| South | 83,741,000 | 4,203 |
| West | 49,582,000 | 2,522 |


|  | Table 9-3. Per Capita Intake of Total Fruits (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{array}$ | Population <br> Group | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
| ล | Total | 69.0\% | 3.381 | 0.068 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.68 | 4.16 | 7.98 | 12.44 | 26.54 | 210.72 |
| $\dot{i}$ | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ஸ. | < 01 | 67.9\% | 14.898 | 1.285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.80 | 21.90 | 35.98 | 42.77 | 88.42 | 210.72 |
|  | 01-02 | 76.7\% | 11.836 | 0.582 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.80 | 9.76 | 17.99 | 25.70 | 30.69 | 52.27 | 80.19 |
|  | 03-05 | 80.8\% | 8.422 | 0.364 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.22 | 6.37 | 12.53 | 19.29 | 22.78 | 32.83 | 52.87 |
|  | 06-11 | 79.2\% | 5.047 | 0.160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.30 | 3.86 | 7.17 | 11.79 | 14.49 | 21.53 | $30.37$ |
| $8$ | 12-19 | 62.6\% | 2.183 | 0.095 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.36 | 3.38 | 5.66 | 7.24 | 11.80 | 16.86 |
| त | 20-39 | 58.8\% | 1.875 | 0.056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 2.82 | 5.08 | 6.43 | 10.26 | 41.58 |
|  | 40-69 | 71.0\% | 2.119 | 0.051 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.36 | 3.24 | 5.20 | 6.73 | 10.52 | 23.07 |
|  | 70 + | 83.3\% | 2.982 | 0.087 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 | 2.42 | 4.28 | 6.77 | 8.31 | 11.89 | 15.00 |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 68.9\% | 3.579 | 0.169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.66 | 3.94 | 8.20 | 13.41 | 32.62 | 204.28 |
|  | Spring | 68.3\% | 3.249 | 0.116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.73 | 4.14 | 7.43 | 12.22 | 23.71 | 88.42 |
|  | Summer | 70.4\% | 3.381 | 0.131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.80 | 4.29 | 7.87 | 12.26 | 23.11 | 210.72 |
|  | Winter | 68.4\% | 3.314 | 0.119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.52 | 4.27 | 8.33 | 12.17 | 26.54 | 75.52 |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 68.8\% | 3.288 | 0.114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.66 | 4.00 | 7.82 | 11.94 | 23.73 | 210.72 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 67.4\% | 3.107 | 0.113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.51 | 3.94 | 7.52 | 12.25 | 26.04 | 84.34 |
|  | Suburban | 70.1\% | 3.567 | 0.113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.80 | 4.40 | 8.43 | 13.19 | 28.13 | 204.28 |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 77.2\% | 5.839 | 0.632 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.24 | 4.20 | 6.76 | 17.30 | 20.65 | 29.61 | 38.95 |
|  | Black | 63.7\% | 3.279 | 0.188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.51 | 4.25 | 7.70 | 12.34 | 26.54 | 210.72 |
|  | Native American | 61.4\% | 3.319 | 0.490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.58 | 4.31 | 7.57 | 16.02 | 22.66 | 29.24 |
|  | Other/NA | 64.9\% | 4.027 | 0.465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.77 | 5.10 | 10.92 | 14.96 | 47.78 | 53.89 |
|  | White | 70.1\% | 3.337 | 0.075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.66 | 4.06 | 7.87 | 12.21 | 26.48 | 204.28 |
|  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 69.9\% | 3.236 | 0.120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.58 | 4.07 | 7.87 | 11.30 | 28.64 | 84.34 |
|  | Northeast | 73.9\% | 3.665 | 0.143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.07 | 1.84 | 4.70 | 8.37 | 12.75 | 31.67 | 88.42 |
|  | South | 62.0\% | 3.017 | 0.105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.42 | 3.80 | 7.39 | 11.67 | 24.67 | 210.72 |
|  | West | 75.4\% | 3.880 | 0.187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 2.08 | 4.45 | 9.18 | 14.61 | 25.49 | 204.28 |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { NOTE: } & \mathrm{SE}= \\ & \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P} \end{array}$ <br> Source: Based on | ndard error entile of the PA's analyses | bution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 9-4. Per Capita Intake of Total Vegetables (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 97.2\% | 4.259 | 0.029 | 0 | 0.75 | 1.29 | 2.26 | 3.60 | 5.37 | 7.93 | 10.00 | 15.65 | 44.99 |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 01 | 74.8\% | 6.802 | 0.375 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.52 | 10.41 | 15.27 | 19.29 | 29.61 | 44.99 |
|  | 01-02 | 95.6\% | 7.952 | 0.228 | 0 | 1.33 | 2.32 | 4.65 | 7.28 | 10.26 | 14.77 | 16.32 | 21.24 | 32.10 |
|  | 03-05 | 97.2\% | 7.125 | 0.200 | 0 | 1.11 | 2.15 | 3.79 | 5.83 | 9.64 | 13.87 | 15.43 | 25.09 | 35.56 |
|  | 06-11 | 97.6\% | 5.549 | 0.109 | 0 | 1.03 | 1.72 | 3.09 | 4.82 | 7.31 | 10.06 | 11.74 | 18.39 | 31.30 |
|  | 12-19 | 98.1\% | 3.807 | 0.070 | 0 | 0.85 | 1.30 | 2.16 | 3.49 | 4.71 | 6.80 | 8.52 | 12.26 | 27.84 |
|  | 20-39 | 98.2\% | 3.529 | 0.037 | 0 | 0.75 | 1.22 | 2.06 | 3.16 | 4.54 | 6.36 | 7.63 | 10.69 | 17.07 |
|  | 40-69 | 98.3\% | 3.741 | 0.039 | 0 | 0.85 | 1.34 | 2.19 | 3.43 | 4.94 | 6.56 | 7.78 | 10.91 | 24.51 |
|  | 70 + | 98.3\% | 4.068 | 0.071 | 0 | 0.96 | 1.47 | 2.47 | 3.67 | 5.35 | 6.89 | 8.17 | 11.96 | 18.92 |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 97.8\% | 4.366 | 0.063 | 0 | 0.86 | 1.31 | 2.28 | 3.56 | 5.28 | 8.33 | 10.52 | 17.95 | 35.56 |
|  | Spring | 96.9\% | 4.095 | 0.055 | 0 | 0.72 | 1.20 | 2.19 | 3.45 | 5.19 | 7.67 | 9.85 | 15.33 | 44.99 |
|  | Summer | 97.0\% | 4.181 | 0.059 | 0 | 0.58 | 1.16 | 2.21 | 3.54 | 5.34 | 7.73 | 9.54 | 15.14 | 41.68 |
|  | Winter | 97.0\% | 4.394 | 0.056 | 0 | 0.86 | 1.40 | 2.36 | 3.78 | 5.67 | 8.03 | 9.69 | 15.23 | 29.69 |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 97.4\% | 4.059 | 0.053 | 0 | 0.67 | 1.22 | 2.08 | 3.34 | 5.17 | 7.74 | 9.51 | 16.04 | 44.99 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 96.3\% | 4.450 | 0.060 | 0 | 0.86 | 1.41 | 2.44 | 3.72 | 5.66 | 8.28 | 10.08 | 16.27 | 35.56 |
|  | Suburban | 97.6\% | 4.296 | 0.044 | 0 | 0.82 | 1.31 | 2.30 | 3.64 | 5.38 | 7.86 | 10.17 | 15.39 | 41.68 |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 93.3\% | 4.913 | 0.330 | 0 | 0 | 1.53 | 2.06 | 3.66 | 7.52 | 10.32 | 14.84 | 15.43 | 16.76 |
|  | Black | 96.1\% | 4.228 | 0.093 | 0 | 0.36 | 0.85 | 1.99 | 3.19 | 5.46 | 8.80 | 11.35 | 18.39 | 32.10 |
|  | Native American | 87.1\% | 4.880 | 0.277 | 0 | 0 | 0.58 | 2.40 | 4.22 | 6.85 | 8.87 | 11.37 | 13.89 | 21.77 |
| \% | Other/NA | 96.6\% | 4.762 | 0.183 | 0 | 0 | 1.11 | 2.46 | 4.24 | 6.20 | 9.33 | 11.93 | 15.02 | 22.14 |
| $0$ | White | 97.6\% | 4.229 | 0.031 | 0 | 0.86 | 1.37 | 2.30 | 3.60 | 5.32 | 7.74 | 9.75 | 15.31 | 44.99 |
| $\stackrel{5}{3}$ | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0$ | Midwest | 97.0\% | 4.123 | 0.061 | 0 | 0.75 | 1.20 | 2.09 | 3.35 | 5.16 | 8.03 | 9.87 | 16.90 | 35.56 |
| 3 | Northeast | 97.2\% | 4.494 | 0.073 | 0 | 0.69 | 1.29 | 2.37 | 3.77 | 5.70 | 8.42 | 11.00 | 15.86 | 41.68 |
| స్ | South | 97.4\% | 4.268 | 0.047 | 0 | 0.86 | 1.39 | 2.31 | 3.66 | 5.32 | 7.76 | 9.80 | 15.31 | 44.99 |
|  | West | 96.9\% | 4.168 | 0.060 | 0 | 0.60 | 1.22 | 2.25 | 3.57 | 5.38 | 7.78 | 9.53 | 15.28 | 35.56 |
|  | $\begin{array}{lr}\text { NOTE: } & \begin{array}{r}\text { SE }=\text { S } \\ P=P \\ \\ \text { Source: Based on }\end{array}\end{array}$ | ndard error entile of the PA's analyses | ibution he 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | Apples |  |  | Asparagus |  |  | Bananas |  |  | Beets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE |
| Total | 28.4\% | 0.854 | 0.052 | 1.5\% | 0.012 | 0.008 | 20.9\% | 0.27 | 0.02 | 1.8\% | 0.009 | 0.010 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 01 | 41.7\% | 5.042 | 0.823 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 24.3\% | 1.33 | 0.27 | 1.2\% | 0.045 | 0.296 |
| 01-02 | 42.9\% | 4.085 | 0.508 | 0.2\% | 0.003 | 0.041 | 23.3\% | 0.86 | 0.17 | 0.7\% | 0.006 | 0.055 |
| 03-05 | 44.1\% | 3.004 | 0.312 | 0.2\% | 0.001 | 0.038 | 20.1\% | 0.46 | 0.09 | 0.5\% | 0.006 | 0.056 |
| 06-11 | 41.6\% | 1.501 | 0.123 | 0.3\% | 0.001 | 0.019 | 16.2\% | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.9\% | 0.008 | 0.040 |
| 12-19 | 23.0\% | 0.394 | 0.062 | 0.3\% | 0.003 | 0.033 | 13.3\% | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.6\% | 0.001 | 0.010 |
| 20-39 | 21.3\% | 0.337 | 0.033 | 1.1\% | 0.008 | 0.012 | 14.4\% | 0.13 | 0.02 | 1.3\% | 0.004 | 0.007 |
| 40-69 | 26.0\% | 0.356 | 0.027 | 2.5\% | 0.025 | 0.016 | 26.0\% | 0.22 | 0.02 | 2.4\% | 0.009 | 0.009 |
| 70 + | 30.8\% | 0.435 | 0.052 | 3.5\% | 0.026 | 0.028 | 37.4\% | 0.36 | 0.03 | 5.2\% | 0.029 | 0.022 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 33.7\% | 1.094 | 0.116 | 0.8\% | 0.005 | 0.013 | 19.3\% | 0.25 | 0.03 | 1.2\% | 0.009 | 0.040 |
| Spring | 25.9\% | 0.667 | 0.078 | 2.7\% | 0.023 | 0.017 | 21.3\% | 0.27 | 0.03 | 2.0\% | 0.009 | 0.012 |
| Summer | 23.2\% | 0.751 | 0.122 | 1.1\% | 0.006 | 0.014 | 20.5\% | 0.23 | 0.03 | 1.7\% | 0.005 | 0.008 |
| Winter | 30.4\% | 0.905 | 0.095 | 1.3\% | 0.015 | 0.018 | 22.6\% | 0.31 | 0.03 | 2.3\% | 0.011 | 0.013 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 27.4\% | 0.749 | 0.081 | 1.1\% | 0.013 | 0.018 | 19.6\% | 0.25 | 0.03 | 1.3\% | 0.008 | 0.031 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 26.8\% | 0.759 | 0.104 | 1.3\% | 0.011 | 0.015 | 20.5\% | 0.24 | 0.03 | 1.8\% | 0.010 | 0.013 |
| Suburban | 29.9\% | 0.965 | 0.083 | 1.8\% | 0.013 | 0.012 | 21.9\% | 0.29 | 0.03 | 2.0\% | 0.008 | 0.009 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 38.3\% | 0.871 | 0.327 | 2.7\% | 0.067 | 0.123 | 33.6\% | 0.54 | 0.20 | 0.7\% | 0.040 | 0.320 |
| Black | 22.7\% | 0.688 | 0.159 | 0.3\% | 0.003 | 0.019 | 14.4\% | 0.19 | 0.04 | 1.1\% | 0.007 | 0.024 |
| Native American | 20.5\% | 0.407 | 0.273 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 17.5\% | 0.36 | 0.16 | 1.2\% | 0.003 | 0.028 |
| Other/NA | 24.9\% | 0.964 | 0.256 | 0.6\% | 0.001 | 0.009 | 20.6\% | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0.9\% | 0.015 | 0.101 |
| White | 29.4\% | 0.879 | 0.057 | 1.7\% | 0.013 | 0.009 | 21.8\% | 0.27 | 0.02 | 1.9\% | 0.008 | 0.010 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 29.1\% | 0.782 | 0.082 | 1.8\% | 0.015 | 0.016 | 18.8\% | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.8\% | 0.010 | 0.049 |
| Northeast | 31.5\% | 0.953 | 0.116 | 1.6\% | 0.015 | 0.022 | 23.0\% | 0.26 | 0.04 | 2.3\% | 0.008 | 0.012 |
| South | 23.6\% | 0.828 | 0.099 | 1.0\% | 0.010 | 0.014 | 19.3\% | 0.28 | 0.03 | 1.8\% | 0.009 | 0.011 |
| West | 32.7\% | 0.885 | 0.121 | 1.8\% | 0.012 | 0.015 | 24.0\% | 0.27 | 0.03 | 2.4\% | 0.008 | 0.009 |


| Table 9-5. Per Capita Intake of Individual Fruits and Vegetables (g/kg-day as consumed) (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Broccoli |  |  | Cabbage |  |  | Carrots |  |  | Corn |  |  |
| Population Group | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE |
| Total | 10.9\% | 0.107 | 0.012 | 12.2\% | 0.088 | 0.009 | 16.9\% | 0.115 | 0.010 | 24.1\% | 0.206 | 0.010 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <01 | 4.2\% | 0.142 | 0.224 | 2.4\% | 0.023 | 0.078 | 13.4\% | 0.379 | 0.165 | 17.5\% | 0.356 | 0.128 |
| 01-02 | 7.6\% | 0.234 | 0.134 | 5.1\% | 0.086 | 0.089 | 13.3\% | 0.214 | 0.085 | 32.9\% | 0.587 | 0.091 |
| 03-05 | 10.1\% | 0.307 | 0.118 | 7.5\% | 0.107 | 0.081 | 15.1\% | 0.148 | 0.052 | 31.5\% | 0.490 | 0.070 |
| 06-11 | 6.8\% | 0.098 | 0.052 | 7.5\% | 0.049 | 0.027 | 17.1\% | 0.154 | 0.037 | 35.8\% | 0.367 | 0.032 |
| 12-19 | 8.2\% | 0.065 | 0.028 | 8.5\% | 0.065 | 0.028 | 11.8\% | 0.056 | 0.018 | 24.0\% | 0.173 | 0.024 |
| 20-39 | 11.4\% | 0.081 | 0.015 | 10.6\% | 0.070 | 0.015 | 15.2\% | 0.076 | 0.013 | 23.8\% | 0.154 | 0.013 |
| 40-69 | 13.8\% | 0.102 | 0.016 | 17.1\% | 0.115 | 0.015 | 20.1\% | 0.120 | 0.016 | 20.4\% | 0.138 | 0.013 |
| $70+$ | 11.8\% | 0.115 | 0.028 | 21.1\% | 0.151 | 0.025 | 21.3\% | 0.132 | 0.022 | 19.0\% | 0.140 | 0.027 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 10.8\% | 0.089 | 0.024 | 12.3\% | 0.092 | 0.019 | 17.7\% | 0.100 | 0.017 | 23.6\% | 0.171 | 0.018 |
| Spring | 11.7\% | 0.122 | 0.022 | 12.4\% | 0.086 | 0.018 | 16.5\% | 0.117 | 0.022 | 24.7\% | 0.204 | 0.019 |
| Summer | 8.8\% | 0.120 | 0.032 | 12.3\% | 0.097 | 0.018 | 13.9\% | 0.083 | 0.017 | 24.8\% | 0.244 | 0.022 |
| Winter | 12.3\% | 0.098 | 0.020 | 11.9\% | 0.076 | 0.014 | 19.2\% | 0.160 | 0.022 | 23.2\% | 0.205 | 0.020 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 10.6\% | 0.119 | 0.024 | 10.8\% | 0.073 | 0.015 | 15.5\% | 0.111 | 0.019 | 22.4\% | 0.182 | 0.017 |
| Nonmetropoli tan | 9.0\% | 0.067 | 0.017 | 13.7\% | 0.102 | 0.016 | 14.4\% | 0.095 | 0.017 | 27.6\% | 0.255 | 0.020 |
| Suburban | 12.2\% | 0.119 | 0.019 | 12.4\% | 0.091 | 0.014 | 19.2\% | 0.127 | 0.015 | 23.1\% | 0.198 | 0.015 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 15.4\% | 0.209 | 0.166 | 27.5\% | 0.400 | 0.100 | 28.2\% | 0.177 | 0.101 | 14.1\% | 0.134 | 0.080 |
| Black | 8.3\% | 0.154 | 0.047 | 13.9\% | 0.129 | 0.029 | 7.0\% | 0.066 | 0.036 | 24.6\% | 0.226 | 0.028 |
| Native <br> American | 5.3\% | 0.021 | 0.045 | 4.7\% | 0.037 | 0.068 | 11.1\% | 0.097 | 0.075 | 30.4\% | 0.373 | 0.099 |
| Other/NA | 10.3\% | 0.180 | 0.100 | 6.0\% | 0.041 | 0.044 | 12.9\% | 0.104 | 0.063 | 16.9\% | 0.160 | 0.065 |
| White | 11.4\% | 0.097 | 0.012 | 12.1\% | 0.080 | 0.009 | 18.6\% | 0.122 | 0.011 | 24.3\% | 0.204 | 0.011 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 8.4\% | 0.077 | 0.025 | 10.1\% | 0.065 | 0.016 | 16.2\% | 0.100 | 0.018 | 26.8\% | 0.242 | 0.020 |
| Northeast | 13.5\% | 0.113 | 0.026 | 11.6\% | 0.083 | 0.022 | 19.0\% | 0.151 | 0.027 | 23.3\% | 0.208 | 0.026 |
| South | 9.8\% | 0.109 | 0.022 | 14.4\% | 0.106 | 0.015 | 12.4\% | 0.074 | 0.015 | 24.9\% | 0.219 | 0.016 |
| West | 13.4\% | 0.135 | 0.025 | 11.8\% | 0.088 | 0.016 | 23.3\% | 0.166 | 0.021 | 20.1\% | 0.138 | 0.018 |

[^2]Volume II - Food Ingestion Factors


| Table 9-5. Per Capita Intake of Individual Fruits and Vegetables (g/kg-day as consumed) (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cucumbers |  |  | Lettuce |  |  | Lima Beans |  |  | Okra |  |  |
| Population Group | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE |
| Total | 15.8\% | 0.063 | 0.006 | 41.3\% | 0.224 | 0.006 | 0.9\% | 0.006 | 0.007 | 1.3\% | 0.009 | 0.007 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 01 | 2.4\% | 0.021 | 0.107 | 6.8\% | 0.025 | 0.026 | 0.5\% | 0.005 | 0.055 | 0.5\% | 0.003 | 0.040 |
| 01-02 | 7.3\% | 0.062 | 0.069 | 18.2\% | 0.116 | 0.039 | 0.4\% | 0.006 | 0.069 | 0.2\% | 0.004 | 0.068 |
| 03-05 | 12.1\% | 0.083 | 0.046 | 29.4\% | 0.191 | 0.031 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.7\% | 0.013 | 0.046 |
| 06-11 | 14.9\% | 0.086 | 0.032 | 36.3\% | 0.247 | 0.027 | 0.3\% | 0.002 | 0.017 | 0.3\% | 0.005 | 0.028 |
| 12-19 | 12.6\% | 0.050 | 0.017 | 40.4\% | 0.187 | 0.014 | 0.5\% | 0.003 | 0.019 | 1.4\% | 0.011 | 0.027 |
| 20-39 | 17.0\% | 0.057 | 0.009 | 44.4\% | 0.231 | 0.010 | 0.7\% | 0.005 | 0.012 | 1.0\% | 0.008 | 0.016 |
| 40-69 | 19.8\% | 0.070 | 0.008 | 51.0\% | 0.264 | 0.010 | 1.5\% | 0.010 | 0.013 | 1.8\% | 0.008 | 0.010 |
| $70+$ | 14.8\% | 0.055 | 0.016 | 37.4\% | 0.203 | 0.017 | 1.9\% | 0.008 | 0.019 | 2.7\% | 0.015 | 0.021 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 14.3\% | 0.056 | 0.014 | 38.1\% | 0.175 | 0.010 | 0.8\% | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.9\% | 0.004 | 0.009 |
| Spring | 15.8\% | 0.060 | 0.009 | 43.5\% | 0.259 | 0.011 | 1.0\% | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0.8\% | 0.009 | 0.020 |
| Summer | 19.0\% | 0.092 | 0.014 | 42.3\% | 0.218 | 0.012 | 0.9\% | 0.006 | 0.014 | 2.2\% | 0.016 | 0.015 |
| Winter | 14.3\% | 0.044 | 0.010 | 41.5\% | 0.243 | 0.013 | 1.0\% | 0.007 | 0.013 | 1.3\% | 0.006 | 0.012 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 15.1\% | 0.061 | 0.011 | 37.9\% | 0.196 | 0.009 | 0.5\% | 0.004 | 0.011 | 1.0\% | 0.004 | 0.008 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 15.1\% | 0.071 | 0.013 | 39.9\% | 0.221 | 0.012 | 1.5\% | 0.015 | 0.018 | 1.8\% | 0.013 | 0.015 |
| Suburban | 16.7\% | 0.060 | 0.008 | 44.6\% | 0.242 | 0.009 | 0.9\% | 0.004 | 0.007 | 1.2\% | 0.010 | 0.012 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 16.1\% | 0.065 | 0.036 | 40.3\% | 0.231 | 0.050 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 4.7\% | 0.084 | 0.074 |
| Black | 7.8\% | 0.040 | 0.021 | 27.1\% | 0.134 | 0.014 | 0.9\% | 0.006 | 0.021 | 2.1\% | 0.024 | 0.029 |
| Native American | 6.4\% | 0.037 | 0.042 | 42.7\% | 0.146 | 0.034 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| Other/NA | 10.9\% | 0.038 | 0.029 | 41.1\% | 0.186 | 0.027 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 1.7\% | 0.004 | 0.023 |
| White | 17.5\% | 0.067 | 0.007 | 43.7\% | 0.239 | 0.007 | 1.0\% | 0.006 | 0.007 | 1.1\% | 0.006 | 0.007 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 15.1\% | 0.074 | 0.014 | 36.1\% | 0.191 | 0.012 | 0.4\% | 0.005 | 0.019 | 0.2\% | 0 | 0.004 |
| Northeast | 18.9\% | 0.097 | 0.018 | 43.9\% | 0.246 | 0.014 | 0.5\% | 0.003 | 0.013 | 0.6\% | 0.009 | 0.031 |
| South | 13.8\% | 0.042 | 0.007 | 39.3\% | 0.210 | 0.009 | 1.8\% | 0.011 | 0.011 | 3.2\% | 0.016 | 0.010 |
| West | 17.2\% | 0.050 | 0.011 | 48.7\% | 0.263 | 0.013 | 0.5\% | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.2\% | 0.005 | 0.022 |


| L66I ${ }^{\text {Snsinv }}$ | I- |
| :---: | :---: |
| yooqpun' s.opvng $^{\text {d.insodxg }}$ | 28 |


| Table 9-5. Per Capita Intake of Fruits and Vegetables (g/kg-day as consumed) (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Onions |  |  | Other Berries |  |  | Peaches |  |  | Pears |  |  |
| Population Group | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE |
| Total | 17.4\% | 0.040 | 0.003 | 2.5\% | 0.029 | 0.017 | 8.6\% | 0.131 | 0.019 | 4.8\% | 0.098 | 0.036 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 01 | 1.9\% | 0.004 | 0.022 | 0.9\% | 0.092 | 0.369 | 14.2\% | 0.855 | 0.268 | 12.3\% | 1.286 | 0.598 |
| 01-02 | 6.4\% | 0.012 | 0.017 | 1.3\% | 0.053 | 0.248 | 8.9\% | 0.286 | 0.158 | 2.7\% | 0.105 | 0.243 |
| 03-05 | 8.0\% | 0.023 | 0.016 | 2.2\% | 0.039 | 0.073 | 10.0\% | 0.283 | 0.121 | 4.5\% | 0.144 | 0.141 |
| 06-11 | 9.7\% | 0.033 | 0.015 | 1.4\% | 0.014 | 0.056 | 13.8\% | 0.250 | 0.063 | 7.8\% | 0.147 | 0.057 |
| 12-19 | 12.2\% | 0.030 | 0.010 | 0.8\% | 0.011 | 0.029 | 6.9\% | 0.084 | 0.037 | 3.4\% | 0.025 | 0.027 |
| 20-39 | 20.5\% | 0.040 | 0.005 | 2.3\% | 0.024 | 0.030 | 4.2\% | 0.037 | 0.019 | 2.4\% | 0.026 | 0.019 |
| 40-69 | 24.0\% | 0.054 | 0.005 | 3.2\% | 0.031 | 0.023 | 8.7\% | 0.090 | 0.021 | 5.2\% | 0.062 | 0.022 |
| 70 + | 16.5\% | 0.043 | 0.012 | 5.1\% | 0.049 | 0.040 | 16.1\% | 0.161 | 0.033 | 7.8\% | 0.087 | 0.037 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 16.3\% | 0.045 | 0.007 | 2.6\% | 0.024 | 0.023 | 6.4\% | 0.113 | 0.043 | 5.5\% | 0.159 | 0.107 |
| Spring | 19.7\% | 0.040 | 0.005 | 1.9\% | 0.019 | 0.024 | 8.4\% | 0.107 | 0.037 | 4.3\% | 0.071 | 0.041 |
| Summer | 18.7\% | 0.040 | 0.005 | 3.4\% | 0.032 | 0.027 | 12.5\% | 0.166 | 0.033 | 4.2\% | 0.076 | 0.066 |
| Winter | 14.8\% | 0.033 | 0.006 | 2.0\% | 0.042 | 0.058 | 7.4\% | 0.136 | 0.041 | 5.1\% | 0.088 | 0.039 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 16.4\% | 0.043 | 0.006 | 2.9\% | 0.033 | 0.030 | 7.3\% | 0.121 | 0.035 | 4.5\% | 0.120 | 0.091 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 15.7\% | 0.033 | 0.005 | 1.6\% | 0.016 | 0.019 | 9.8\% | 0.156 | 0.034 | 5.4\% | 0.083 | 0.033 |
| Suburban | 19.1\% | 0.041 | 0.004 | 2.7\% | 0.033 | 0.028 | 8.8\% | 0.125 | 0.029 | 4.6\% | 0.092 | 0.050 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 20.8\% | 0.090 | 0.042 | 2.7\% | 0.014 | 0.057 | 6.7\% | 0.202 | 0.235 | 2.7\% | 0.053 | 0.151 |
| Black | 9.6\% | 0.034 | 0.014 | 0.9\% | 0.008 | 0.034 | 5.6\% | 0.111 | 0.053 | 2.9\% | 0.066 | 0.056 |
| Native American | 5.3\% | 0.018 | 0.022 | 2.3\% | 0.072 | 0.165 | 9.9\% | 0.192 | 0.158 | 1.2\% | 0.003 | 0.053 |
| Other/NA | 15.1\% | 0.057 | 0.022 | 0.9\% | 0.015 | 0.069 | 4.3\% | 0.118 | 0.145 | 5.1\% | 0.063 | 0.089 |
| White | 19.0\% | 0.039 | 0.003 | 2.8\% | 0.033 | 0.019 | 9.3\% | 0.132 | 0.021 | 5.2\% | 0.106 | 0.042 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 13.8\% | 0.033 | 0.006 | 2.3\% | 0.022 | 0.020 | 9.6\% | 0.155 | 0.040 | 6.0\% | 0.121 | 0.054 |
| Northeast | 20.6\% | 0.057 | 0.009 | 3.2\% | 0.023 | 0.024 | 9.0\% | 0.132 | 0.048 | 5.7\% | 0.108 | 0.064 |
| South | 17.2\% | 0.034 | 0.004 | 1.7\% | 0.030 | 0.037 | 7.9\% | 0.113 | 0.027 | 3.6\% | 0.051 | 0.023 |
| West | 19.2\% | 0.039 | 0.006 | 3.3\% | 0.043 | 0.045 | 8.3\% | 0.131 | 0.042 | 4.5\% | 0.142 | 0.142 |

[^3]

|  |  | Table 9-5. Per Capita Intake of Individual Fruits and Vegetables (g/kg-day as consumed) (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Peas |  |  | Peppers |  |  | Pumpkins |  |  | Snap Beans |  |  |  |  |
|  | Population Group | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE |  |  |
|  | Total | 12.8\% | 0.095 | 0.009 | 6.5\% | 0.022 | 0.005 | 1.0\% | 0.026 | 0.032 | 21.5\% | 0.146 | 0.008 | $\underset{Z}{\mathrm{~K}}$ | 3 |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{8}{8}$ | 2 |
|  | <01 | 13.7\% | 0.294 | 0.142 | 0.7\% | 0.003 | 0.025 | 5.2\% | 0.497 | 0.363 | 16.7\% | 0.439 | 0.154 | 0 | $\underset{N}{ }$ |
|  | 01-02 | 13.6\% | 0.174 | 0.083 | 2.4\% | 0.011 | 0.031 | 0.4\% | 0.030 | 0.253 | 24.9\% | 0.383 | 0.070 | $\underset{1}{2}$ | cor |
|  | 03-05 | 12.9\% | 0.199 | 0.077 | 3.0\% | 0.014 | 0.032 | 0.7\% | 0.018 | 0.148 | 25.0\% | 0.274 | 0.048 | a | $\stackrel{5}{7}$ |
|  | 06-11 | 13.2\% | 0.120 | 0.029 | 4.7\% | 0.019 | 0.016 | 0.4\% | 0.012 | 0.118 | 25.6\% | 0.183 | 0.024 | \% |  |
|  | 12-19 | 8.4\% | 0.053 | 0.021 | 5.3\% | 0.017 | 0.014 | 0.2\% | 0 | 0.007 | 18.3\% | 0.112 | 0.018 | $\xi$ | $2$ |
|  | 20-39 | 10.9\% | 0.067 | 0.013 | 7.9\% | 0.026 | 0.009 | 0.6\% | 0.007 | 0.026 | 19.0\% | 0.096 | 0.010 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 40-69 | 14.8\% | 0.084 | 0.011 | 8.6\% | 0.027 | 0.008 | 1.2\% | 0.011 | 0.018 | 22.3\% | 0.124 | 0.011 | $\underset{0}{ }$ | $\overline{3}$ |
|  | $70+$ | 16.4\% | 0.117 | 0.024 | 4.7\% | 0.010 | 0.008 | 1.7\% | 0.034 | 0.053 | 25.5\% | 0.149 | 0.019 | $\begin{gathered} 00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathfrak{G}$ |  |
|  | Fall | 13.2\% | 0.120 | 0.023 | 6.0\% | 0.023 | 0.009 | 1.9\% | 0.043 | 0.056 | 21.5\% | 0.164 | 0.018 | $8$ |  |
|  | Spring | 12.6\% | 0.077 | 0.015 | 7.3\% | 0.021 | 0.009 | 0.6\% | 0.034 | 0.105 | 18.9\% | 0.109 | 0.013 |  |  |
|  | Summer | 11.2\% | 0.074 | 0.019 | 7.9\% | 0.023 | 0.009 | 0.4\% | 0.012 | 0.064 | 22.3\% | 0.147 | 0.016 |  |  |
|  | Winter | 14.1\% | 0.111 | 0.017 | 4.7\% | 0.019 | 0.010 | 1.0\% | 0.015 | 0.037 | 23.7\% | 0.163 | 0.017 |  |  |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 11.7\% | 0.085 | 0.018 | 6.5\% | 0.023 | 0.009 | 1.1\% | 0.035 | 0.068 | 20.2\% | 0.133 | 0.015 |  |  |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 14.5\% | 0.113 | 0.020 | 6.0\% | 0.017 | 0.006 | 0.5\% | 0.015 | 0.068 | 22.3\% | 0.141 | 0.013 |  |  |
|  | Suburban | 12.5\% | 0.094 | 0.014 | 6.8\% | 0.023 | 0.007 | 1.3\% | 0.025 | 0.041 | 22.0\% | 0.156 | 0.013 |  |  |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 8.1\% | 0.047 | 0.071 | 8.1\% | 0.102 | 0.112 | 0.7\% | 0.005 | 0.057 | 13.4\% | 0.059 | 0.050 |  |  |
|  | Black | 17.0\% | 0.143 | 0.032 | 3.6\% | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.3\% | 0.037 | 0.238 | 24.1\% | 0.188 | 0.022 |  |  |
|  | Native American | 2.9\% | 0.007 | 0.035 | 5.3\% | 0.015 | 0.031 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 21.1\% | 0.119 | 0.048 |  |  |
|  | Other/NA | 6.9\% | 0.037 | 0.058 | 11.1\% | 0.037 | 0.024 | 0.9\% | 0.024 | 0.208 | 15.1\% | 0.168 | 0.073 |  |  |
|  | White | 12.5\% | 0.092 | 0.010 | 6.8\% | 0.022 | 0.005 | 1.2\% | 0.025 | 0.030 | 21.5\% | 0.140 | 0.009 |  |  |
|  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 10.9\% | 0.071 | 0.014 | 4.7\% | 0.016 | 0.011 | 1.2\% | 0.027 | 0.050 | 22.4\% | 0.146 | 0.014 |  |  |
|  | Northeast | 12.5\% | 0.101 | 0.026 | 9.0\% | 0.036 | 0.012 | 1.4\% | 0.061 | 0.106 | 19.7\% | 0.131 | 0.020 |  |  |
|  | South | 16.2\% | 0.126 | 0.017 | 5.8\% | 0.015 | 0.006 | 0.5\% | 0.002 | 0.026 | 24.3\% | 0.177 | 0.014 |  |  |
|  | West | 9.5\% | 0.067 | 0.018 | 7.6\% | 0.025 | 0.010 | 1.3\% | 0.030 | 0.060 | 17.5\% | 0.107 | 0.019 |  |  |
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| Population Group | Dark Green Vegetables |  |  | Deep Yellow Vegetables |  |  | Citrus Fruits |  |  | Other Fruits |  |  | Other Vegetables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE |
| Total | 19.1\% | 0.180 | 0.012 | 20.0\% | 0.147 | 0.010 | 38.0\% | 1.236 | 0.039 | 57.7\% | 2.141 | 0.063 | 83.1\% | 1.316 | 0.016 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 01 | 7.5\% | 0.180 | 0.177 | 10.1\% | 0.178 | 0.157 | 24.8\% | 1.929 | 0.586 | 61.6\% | 12.855 | 1.284 | 41.7\% | 1.346 | 0.200 |
| 01-02 | 12.4\% | 0.364 | 0.137 | 14.4\% | 0.281 | 0.109 | 43.6\% | 4.237 | 0.459 | 66.4\% | 7.599 | 0.498 | 73.6\% | 2.077 | 0.136 |
| 03-05 | 14.8\% | 0.390 | 0.119 | 16.3\% | 0.177 | 0.063 | 41.0\% | 2.596 | 0.267 | 70.0\% | 5.826 | 0.348 | 78.9\% | 1.979 | 0.102 |
| 06-11 | 13.3\% | 0.150 | 0.044 | 19.1\% | 0.185 | 0.043 | 40.5\% | 1.805 | 0.138 | 70.1\% | 3.242 | 0.126 | 83.2\% | 1.534 | 0.062 |
| 12-19 | 14.3\% | 0.112 | 0.030 | 14.0\% | 0.080 | 0.020 | 37.0\% | 1.130 | 0.085 | 47.3\% | 1.053 | 0.070 | 81.0\% | 0.950 | 0.035 |
| 20-39 | 18.8\% | 0.137 | 0.016 | 17.5\% | 0.100 | 0.015 | 33.4\% | 0.903 | 0.049 | 44.9\% | 0.972 | 0.042 | 84.1\% | 1.081 | 0.022 |
| 40-69 | 24.4\% | 0.187 | 0.016 | 24.8\% | 0.164 | 0.017 | 39.9\% | 0.864 | 0.045 | 60.9\% | 1.255 | 0.038 | 88.3\% | 1.374 | 0.026 |
| $70+$ | 24.6\% | 0.255 | 0.034 | 29.4\% | 0.245 | 0.028 | 46.8\% | 1.155 | 0.069 | 76.1\% | 1.827 | 0.067 | 87.7\% | 1.615 | 0.046 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 19.6\% | 0.169 | 0.023 | 22.7\% | 0.156 | 0.020 | 38.3\% | 1.211 | 0.074 | 57.6\% | 2.354 | 0.171 | 82.5\% | 1.276 | 0.032 |
| Spring | 21.0\% | 0.187 | 0.020 | 19.7\% | 0.144 | 0.023 | 38.4\% | 1.225 | 0.072 | 56.4\% | 2.024 | 0.102 | 83.3\% | 1.297 | 0.030 |
| Summer | 15.4\% | 0.182 | 0.029 | 15.6\% | 0.094 | 0.017 | 33.8\% | 1.136 | 0.093 | 60.8\% | 2.245 | 0.112 | 83.1\% | 1.332 | 0.032 |
| Winter | 20.0\% | 0.180 | 0.024 | 21.9\% | 0.192 | 0.023 | 41.3\% | 1.371 | 0.073 | 56.0\% | 1.943 | 0.106 | 83.4\% | 1.361 | 0.031 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 20.5\% | 0.197 | 0.021 | 18.6\% | 0.133 | 0.019 | 39.8\% | 1.187 | 0.072 | 55.3\% | 2.090 | 0.100 | 81.4\% | 1.245 | 0.027 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 16.0\% | 0.133 | 0.020 | 18.4\% | 0.138 | 0.021 | 34.2\% | 1.153 | 0.074 | 57.8\% | 1.954 | 0.100 | 83.2\% | 1.407 | 0.033 |
| Suburban | 19.9\% | 0.190 | 0.019 | 22.0\% | 0.160 | 0.016 | 39.1\% | 1.306 | 0.058 | 59.2\% | 2.262 | 0.110 | 84.1\% | 1.319 | 0.023 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 30.9\% | 0.327 | 0.127 | 29.5\% | 0.221 | 0.118 | 51.0\% | 2.479 | 0.453 | 69.8\% | 3.360 | 0.547 | 85.2\% | 2.228 | 0.205 |
| Black | 25.9\% | 0.318 | 0.039 | 12.5\% | 0.104 | 0.029 | 40.1\% | 1.474 | 0.135 | 46.2\% | 1.806 | 0.156 | 78.1\% | 1.232 | 0.044 |
| Native American | 9.4\% | 0.126 | 0.092 | 10.5\% | 0.081 | 0.060 | 33.3\% | 0.945 | 0.219 | 50.9\% | 2.375 | 0.431 | 75.4\% | 1.077 | 0.107 |
| Other/NA | 15.1\% | 0.224 | 0.087 | 13.4\% | 0.106 | 0.071 | 40.3\% | 1.439 | 0.229 | 52.0\% | 2.589 | 0.452 | 76.3\% | 1.116 | 0.104 |
| White | 18.1\% | 0.156 | 0.012 | 21.6\% | 0.154 | 0.011 | 37.4\% | 1.178 | 0.041 | 59.8\% | 2.154 | 0.071 | 84.2\% | 1.326 | 0.017 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 12.6\% | 0.125 | 0.026 | 18.7\% | 0.128 | 0.020 | 35.5\% | 1.099 | 0.077 | 59.8\% | 2.137 | 0.108 | 81.2\% | 1.186 | 0.029 |
| Northeast | 21.1\% | 0.185 | 0.026 | 22.1\% | 0.175 | 0.026 | 45.6\% | 1.430 | 0.079 | 60.5\% | 2.235 | 0.132 | 84.5\% | 1.445 | 0.040 |
| South | 20.5\% | 0.206 | 0.021 | 16.8\% | 0.119 | 0.018 | 33.5\% | 1.090 | 0.067 | 50.3\% | 1.927 | 0.095 | 83.2\% | 1.346 | 0.026 |
| West | 22.6\% | 0.195 | 0.022 | 25.2\% | 0.187 | 0.021 | 41.8\% | 1.449 | 0.092 | 65.0\% | 2.414 | 0.182 | 83.8\% | 1.293 | 0.033 |
| NOTE: $\quad$ SE $=$ Standard error <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ Percentile of the distribution <br> Source: Based on EPA's analyses of the 1989-91 CSFII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| -90 | Table 9-7. Per Capita Intake of Exposed Fruits (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 44.1\% | 1.435 | 0.062 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.402 | 3.496 | 6.075 | 17.823 | 204.28 |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 01 | 54.7\% | 9.224 | 1.247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.897 | 12.336 | 26.98 | 33.216 | 75.353 | 204.28 |
|  | 01-02 | 55.3\% | 5.682 | 0.486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.897 | 8.598 | 15.187 | 19.107 | 33.353 | 80.189 |
|  | 03-05 | 56.9\% | 4.324 | 0.344 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.305 | 5.766 | 11.65 | 19.049 | 24.123 | 48.728 |
|  | 06-11 | 58.8\% | 2.316 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.379 | 3.32 | 5.879 | 8.585 | 15.318 | 25.367 |
|  | 12-19 | 36.4\% | 0.682 | 0.065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.871 | 2.158 | 3.214 | 6.703 | 10.766 |
|  | 20-39 | 32.7\% | 0.596 | 0.038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.754 | 1.984 | 2.858 | 5.911 | 28.486 |
|  | 40-69 | 44.3\% | 0.716 | 0.031 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.102 | 2.139 | 3.048 | 5.127 | 13.206 |
|  | 70 + | 57.7\% | 1.032 | 0.058 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.534 | 1.452 | 2.894 | 4.042 | 6.983 | 10.631 |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 45.5\% | 1.753 | 0.179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.521 | 3.64 | 7.537 | 25.206 | 204.28 |
|  | Spring | 42.6\% | 1.184 | 0.078 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.283 | 3.208 | 5.505 | 14.872 | 84.336 |
|  | Summer | 45.3\% | 1.44 | 0.113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.389 | 3.451 | 6.313 | 17.427 | 98.133 |
|  | Winter | 43.0\% | 1.362 | 0.097 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.441 | 3.54 | 5.703 | 18.752 | 59.848 |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 42.4\% | 1.322 | 0.088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.328 | 3.481 | 6.075 | 15.927 | 80.189 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 44.0\% | 1.335 | 0.097 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.445 | 3.32 | 5.505 | 16.057 | 84.336 |
|  | Suburban | 45.3\% | 1.553 | 0.112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.442 | 3.686 | 6.614 | 20.444 | 204.28 |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 52.3\% | 2.118 | 0.541 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.654 | 1.674 | 4.299 | 8.678 | 25.206 | 27.337 |
|  | Black | 34.6\% | 1.132 | 0.149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.045 | 2.888 | 4.618 | 17.351 | 80.189 |
|  | Native American | 35.7\% | 0.939 | 0.316 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.922 | 2.271 | 4.157 | 15.635 | 17.684 |
|  | Other/NA | 34.0\% | 1.614 | 0.408 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.659 | 4.084 | 8.529 | 35.073 | 36.71 |
| $8$ | White | 46.1\% | 1.468 | 0.07 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.441 | 3.593 | 6.104 | 17.427 | 204.28 |
| $\mathfrak{I}$ | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0$ | Midwest | 47.3\% | 1.422 | 0.091 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.645 | 3.501 | 6.114 | 16.438 | 84.336 |
| 2 | Northeast | 47.3\% | 1.518 | 0.118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.49 | 3.898 | 6.834 | 19.393 | 75.353 |
|  | South | 36.9\% | 1.271 | 0.092 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.177 | 3.104 | 5.695 | 19.91 | 80.189 |
|  | West | 49.4\% | 1.643 | 0.198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.443 | 3.774 | 7.009 | 15.947 | 204.28 |
|  | NOTE: SE $=$ St <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ Per <br> Source: Based on | rd error le of the distri s analyses of | $89-91$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | Table 9-8. Per Capita Intake of Protected Fruits (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 | ¢ |
|  | Total | 52.9\% | 1.692 | 0.037 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.598 | 2.316 | 4.687 | 6.717 | 13.019 | 136.69 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | < 01 | 38.9\% | 3.097 | 0.528 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.353 | 9.963 | 15.242 | 23.624 | 136.69 | 2 0 |
|  | 01-02 | 56.7\% | 5.518 | 0.455 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.618 | 9.049 | 15.677 | 20.912 | 27.432 | 49.904 | त1 2 |
|  | 03-05 | 57.0\% | 3.443 | 0.235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.948 | 5.606 | 9.826 | 13.018 | 17.729 | 35.141 | O ${ }_{\text {O }}$ |
|  | 06-11 | 56.2\% | 2.339 | 0.125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.079 | 3.727 | 6.92 | 8.688 | 12.807 | 27.945 | 0 |
|  | 12-19 | 47.7\% | 1.401 | 0.081 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.598 | 2.234 | 4.341 | 5.761 | 7.894 | 15.503 | ล. ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | 20-39 | 45.4\% | 1.188 | 0.047 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.108 | 1.694 | 3.645 | 4.844 | 8.205 | 29.275 | ह |
|  | 40-69 | 57.3\% | 1.284 | 0.043 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.583 | 2.009 | 3.541 | 4.596 | 7.719 | 21.372 | $\cdots 3$ |
|  | 70 + | 67.5\% | 1.78 | 0.072 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.236 | 2.706 | 4.363 | 5.779 | 8.611 | 15.003 | $\sum \frac{2}{3}$ |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ ज जे |
|  | Fall | 50.2\% | 1.539 | 0.071 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.269 | 2.04 | 4.323 | 6.509 | 13.595 | 26.751 | 2 |
|  | Spring | 53.9\% | 1.75 | 0.072 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.688 | 2.407 | 4.681 | 6.787 | 13.032 | 44.68 | $\Sigma$ |
|  | Summer | 54.1\% | 1.754 | 0.082 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.672 | 2.471 | 4.732 | 6.571 | 15.503 | 136.69 | $8$ |
|  | Winter | 53.7\% | 1.727 | 0.071 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.621 | 2.423 | 4.941 | 6.905 | 12.166 | 30.692 |  |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 53.3\% | 1.632 | 0.069 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.625 | 2.276 | 4.497 | 6.099 | 11.535 | 136.69 |  |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 49.4\% | 1.55 | 0.069 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.334 | 2.115 | 4.368 | 6.961 | 12.076 | 29.275 |  |
|  | Suburban | 54.7\% | 1.797 | 0.056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.667 | 2.472 | 4.897 | 6.826 | 14.399 | 44.68 |  |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 69.8\% | 3.279 | 0.429 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.052 | 4.382 | 6.981 | 17.729 | 17.729 | 18.792 |  |
|  | Black | 49.6\% | 1.861 | 0.126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.621 | 2.695 | 5.64 | 7.241 | 13.572 | 136.69 |  |
|  | Native American | 46.8\% | 2.019 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.851 | 2.701 | 5.995 | 10.354 | 11.554 | 15.244 |  |
|  | Other/NA | 51.7\% | 2.014 | 0.263 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.845 | 2.472 | 5.759 | 8.88 | 14.279 | 44.68 |  |
|  | White | 53.4\% | 1.629 | 0.039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.574 | 2.238 | 4.527 | 6.425 | 12.53 | 49.904 |  |
|  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 49.5\% | 1.501 | 0.072 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.265 | 2.07 | 4.353 | 6.099 | 12.53 | 49.904 |  |
|  | Northeast | 59.4\% | 1.887 | 0.08 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.838 | 2.675 | 5.371 | 7.268 | 13.018 | 42.347 |  |
|  | South | 47.6\% | 1.56 | 0.064 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.465 | 2.147 | 4.443 | 6.39 | 12.076 | 136.69 |  |
|  | West | 60.1\% | 1.947 | 0.084 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.854 | 2.613 | 4.88 | 7.836 | 16.064 | 44.68 |  |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lr} \text { NOTE: } & \mathrm{SE}=\mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Pe} \\ \text { Source: } & \text { Based on } \end{array}\right.$ | ard error tile of the distri 's analyses of | on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | čus |


|  | Table 9-9. Per Capita Intake of Exposed Vegetables (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cicco |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 | 53 |
|  | Total | 84.9\% | 1.49 | 0.016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.367 | 1.043 | 2.067 | 3.403 | 4.515 | 7.727 | 20.492 |  |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 01 | 42.7\% | 1.208 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.55 | 3.834 | 6.451 | 11.524 | 18.592 |  |
|  | 01-02 | 78.0\% | 2.268 | 0.145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.299 | 1.132 | 3.616 | 5.855 | 7.404 | 12.808 | 20.492 |  |
|  | 03-05 | 83.6\% | 2.245 | 0.119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.329 | 1.411 | 3.061 | 5.433 | 7.664 | 12.493 | 17.872 |  |
|  | 06-11 | 84.7\% | 1.606 | 0.059 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.293 | 1.062 | 2.222 | 3.769 | 5.118 | 9.161 | 15.741 |  |
|  | 12-19 | 83.6\% | 1.181 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.253 | 0.804 | 1.696 | 2.756 | 3.84 | 5.699 | 12.139 |  |
|  | 20-39 | 86.3\% | 1.3 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.331 | 0.923 | 1.87 | 2.968 | 3.692 | 6.327 | 14.837 |  |
|  | 40-69 | 89.9\% | 1.568 | 0.026 | 0 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.557 | 1.22 | 2.177 | 3.42 | 4.443 | 6.274 | 13.624 |  |
|  | 70 + | 86.4\% | 1.603 | 0.044 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.672 | 1.326 | 2.214 | 3.344 | 4.206 | 5.928 | 12.814 |  |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 82.8\% | 1.383 | 0.033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.29 | 0.951 | 1.824 | 3.151 | 4.283 | 8.783 | 18.592 |  |
|  | Spring | 85.0\% | 1.475 | 0.031 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.383 | 1.028 | 2.075 | 3.406 | 4.562 | 7.403 | 20.492 |  |
|  | Summer | 87.1\% | 1.634 | 0.033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.432 | 1.272 | 2.289 | 3.68 | 4.765 | 7.399 | 18.283 |  |
|  | Winter | 84.9\% | 1.468 | 0.033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.367 | 0.999 | 2.09 | 3.109 | 4.464 | 7.664 | 16.152 |  |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 83.6\% | 1.413 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.302 | 0.957 | 1.952 | 3.278 | 4.331 | 8.17 | 20.492 |  |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 85.8\% | 1.55 | 0.031 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.471 | 1.185 | 2.146 | 3.499 | 4.59 | 7.283 | 17.872 | $\frac{2}{2}$ |
|  | Suburban | 85.2\% | 1.511 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.356 | 1.055 | 2.098 | 3.464 | 4.683 | 7.664 | 16.152 | $\frac{8}{8}$ |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\hat{9}$ |
|  | Asian | 83.2\% | 2.133 | 0.195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.606 | 1.537 | 3.135 | 4.746 | 6.883 | 10.325 | 11.841 |  |
|  | Black | 81.8\% | 1.472 | 0.051 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.308 | 0.908 | 1.88 | 3.217 | 4.989 | 9.219 | 16.141 | $\cdots$ - |
|  | Native American | 75.4\% | 1.501 | 0.141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.168 | 1.018 | 2.423 | 3.445 | 4.155 | 6.424 | 8.189 | $\frac{5}{5}$ |
| N | Other/NA | 85.4\% | 1.682 | 0.092 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.338 | 1.287 | 2.748 | 3.644 | 4.697 | 6.933 | 8.368 | $\underset{0}{2}$ N |
|  | White | 85.6\% | 1.476 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.371 | 1.045 | 2.067 | 3.376 | 4.464 | 7.359 | 20.492 | 0 |
|  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\geqslant 0$ |
|  | Midwest | 80.9\% | 1.215 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.239 | 0.824 | 1.683 | 2.843 | 3.834 | 6.35 | 20.492 | E 2 |
| 2 | Northeast | 84.7\% | 1.561 | 0.041 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.378 | 1.051 | 2.126 | 3.564 | 4.994 | 8.243 | 18.283 | E, N |
|  | South | 86.7\% | 1.609 | 0.027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.434 | 1.208 | 2.254 | 3.575 | 4.562 | 7.404 | 14.568 | B ${ }^{2}$ |
| I | West | 86.6\% | 1.546 | 0.035 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.424 | 1.127 | 2.158 | 3.524 | 4.7 | 7.664 | 16.152 | 2 2. |
|  | NOTE: $\quad$ SE $=$ Standard error <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ Percentile of the distribution <br> Source: Based on EPA's analyses of the 1989-91 CSFII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | Table 9-11. Per Capita Intake of Root Vegetables (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population <br> Group | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 80.7\% | 1.245 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.226 | 0.832 | 1.675 | 2.974 | 4.029 | 7.074 | 30.609 |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 01 | 52.4\% | 1.857 | 0.204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.184 | 2.66 | 5.337 | 8.233 | 12.5 | 30.609 |
|  | 01-02 | 76.2\% | 2.398 | 0.129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.52 | 1.879 | 3.542 | 5.695 | 7.084 | 10.449 | 16.27 |
|  | 03-05 | 77.9\% | 1.914 | 0.096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.203 | 1.344 | 2.998 | 4.596 | 6.14 | 7.505 | 17.416 |
|  | 06-11 | 84.4\% | 1.85 | 0.065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.381 | 1.23 | 2.638 | 4.449 | 6.018 | 8.165 | 17.107 |
|  | 12-19 | 81.4\% | 1.29 | 0.045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.279 | 0.909 | 1.739 | 3.051 | 4.177 | 5.74 | 24.949 |
|  | 20-39 | 81.6\% | 0.988 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.182 | 0.717 | 1.37 | 2.385 | 3.096 | 5.025 | 8.002 |
|  | 40-69 | 82.8\% | 1.059 | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.244 | 0.807 | 1.488 | 2.454 | 3.087 | 4.983 | 9.043 |
|  | 70 + | 80.6\% | 1.109 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.312 | 0.821 | 1.549 | 2.535 | 3.203 | 5.636 | 10.723 |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 80.6\% | 1.324 | 0.032 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.213 | 0.893 | 1.756 | 3.238 | 4.402 | 7.484 | 15.625 |
|  | Spring | 80.5\% | 1.204 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.228 | 0.858 | 1.557 | 2.752 | 3.889 | 6.644 | 30.609 |
|  | Summer | 80.3\% | 1.102 | 0.031 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.152 | 0.655 | 1.452 | 2.669 | 3.858 | 7.751 | 24.949 |
|  | Winter | 81.5\% | 1.348 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.339 | 0.97 | 1.953 | 3.1 | 4.137 | 5.989 | 17.416 |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 77.6\% | 1.167 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.176 | 0.755 | 1.545 | 2.826 | 3.903 | 7.505 | 30.609 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 82.3\% | 1.33 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.311 | 0.893 | 1.795 | 3.256 | 4.422 | 6.946 | 19.449 |
|  | Suburban | 81.9\% | 1.254 | 0.023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.861 | 1.708 | 2.972 | 4.017 | 7.079 | 17.416 |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 55.0\% | 0.743 | 0.146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.274 | 0.814 | 1.764 | 3.546 | 7.269 | 10.702 |
|  | Black | 73.8\% | 1.309 | 0.052 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.134 | 0.761 | 1.627 | 3.337 | 5.358 | 7.968 | 17.534 |
|  | Native American | 78.9\% | 1.791 | 0.137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.655 | 1.47 | 2.762 | 3.858 | 4.705 | 7.067 | 13.578 |
|  | Other/NA | 65.4\% | 1.239 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.635 | 1.75 | 3.38 | 4.861 | 8.253 | 10.415 |
|  | White | 82.9\% | 1.237 | 0.016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.858 | 1.673 | 2.887 | 3.942 | 6.651 | 30.609 |
|  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 82.2\% | 1.361 | 0.033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.29 | 0.889 | 1.844 | 3.238 | 4.386 | 7.968 | 19.449 |
|  | Northeast | 80.2\% | 1.304 | 0.037 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.912 | 1.781 | 3.212 | 4.246 | 7.022 | 24.949 |
|  | South | 81.2\% | 1.183 | 0.024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.796 | 1.591 | 2.82 | 3.906 | 6.926 | 30.609 |
|  | West | 78.5\% | 1.15 | 0.032 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 | 0.786 | 1.56 | 2.673 | 3.683 | 7.269 | 13.578 |
|  | NOTE: $\quad \mathrm{SE}=$ Standard error <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ Percentile of the distribution <br> Source: Based on EPA's analyses of the 1989-91 CSFII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


Volume II - Food Ingestion Factors

Volume II - Food Ingestion Factors
Chapter 9 - Intake of Fruits and Vegetables

| Food Product | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77-78 Data } \\ & \text { (g/day) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87-88 Data } \\ & \text { (g/day) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89-91 Data } \\ & \text { (g/day) } \end{aligned}$ | 94 Data (g/day) | 95 Data (g/day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fruits | 142 | 142 | 156 | 171 | 173 |
| Vegetables | 201 | 182 | 179 | 186 | 188 |
| Source: USDA, 1980; 1992; 1996a; 1996b. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Raw Agricultural Commodity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Average Consumption (Grams/kg Body Weight-Day) | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa Sprouts | 0.0001393 | 0.0000319 |
| Apples-Dried | 0.0002064 | 0.0000566 |
| Apples-Fresh | 0.4567290 | 0.0142203 |
| Apples-Juice | 0.2216490 | 0.0142069 |
| Apricots-Dried | 0.0004040 | 0.0001457 |
| Apricots-Fresh | 0.0336893 | 0.0022029 |
| Artichokes-Globe | 0.0032120 | 0.0007696 |
| Artichokes-Jerusalem | 0.0000010 | * |
| Asparagus | 0.0131098 | 0.0010290 |
| Avocados | 0.0125370 | 0.0020182 |
| Bamboo Shoots | 0.0001464 | 0.0000505 |
| Bananas-Dried | 0.0004489 | 0.0001232 |
| Bananas-Fresh | 0.2240382 | 0.0088206 |
| Bananas-Unspecified | 0.0032970 | 0.0004938 |
| Beans-Dry-Blackeye Peas (cowpeas) | 0.0024735 | 0.0005469 |
| Beans-Dry-Broad Beans (Mature Seed) | 0.0000000 | * |
| Beans-Dry-Garbanzo (Chick Pea) | 0.0005258 | 0.0001590 |
| Beans-Dry-Great Northern | 0.0000010 | * |
| Beans-Dry-Hyacinth (Mature Seeds) | $0.0000000$ | * |
| Beans-Dry-Kidney | 0.0136313 | 0.0045628 |
| Beans-Dry-Lima | 0.0079892 | 0.0016493 |
| Beans-Dry-Navy (Pea) | 0.0374073 | 0.0023595 |
| Beans-Dry-Other | 0.0398251 | 0.0023773 |
| Beans-Dry-Pigeon Beans | 0.0000357 | 0.0000357 |
| Beans-Dry-Pinto | 0.0363498 | 0.0048479 |
| Beans-Succulent-Broad Beans (Immature Seed) | 0.0000000 | * |
| Beans-Succulent-Green | 0.2000500 | 0.0062554 |
| Beans-Succulent-Hyacinth (Young Pods) | 0.0000000 | * |
| Beans-Succulent-Lima | 0.0256648 | 0.0021327 |
| Beans-Succulent-Other | 0.0263838 | 0.0042782 |
| Beans-Succulent-Yellow, Wax | 0.0054634 | 0.0009518 |
| Beans-Unspecified | 0.0052345 | 0.0012082 |
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| Raw Agricultural Commodity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Average Consumption (Grams/kg Body Weight-Day) | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beets-Roots | 0.0216142 | 0.0014187 |
| Beets-Tops (Greens) | 0.0008287 | 0.0003755 |
| Bitter Melon | 0.0000232 | 0.0000233 |
| Blackberries | 0.0064268 | 0.0007316 |
| Blueberries | 0.0090474 | 0.0008951 |
| Boysenberries | 0.0007313 | 0.0006284 |
| Bread Nuts | 0.0000010 | * |
| Bread Fruit | 0.0000737 | 0.0000590 |
| Broccoli | 0.0491295 | 0.0032966 |
| Brussel Sprouts | 0.0068480 | 0.0009061 |
| Cabbage-Chinese/Celery, Inc. Bok Choy | 0.0045632 | 0.0020966 |
| Cabbage-Green and Red | 0.0936402 | 0.0039046 |
| Cactus Pads | 0.0000010 | * |
| Cantaloupes | 0.0444220 | 0.0029515 |
| Carambola | 0.0000010 | * |
| Carob | 0.0000913 | 0.0000474 |
| Carrots | 0.1734794 | 0.0041640 |
| Casabas | 0.0007703 | 0.0003057 |
| Cassava (Yuca Blanca) | 0.0002095 | 0.00001574 |
| Cauliflower | 0.0158368 | 0.0011522 |
| Celery | 0.0609611 | 0.0014495 |
| Cherimoya | 0.0000010 | * |
| Cherries-Dried | 0.0000010 | * |
| Cherries-Fresh | 0.0321754 | 0.0024966 |
| Cherries-Juice | 0.0034080 | 0.0009078 |
| Chicory (French or Belgian Endive) | 0.0006707 | 0.0001465 |
| Chili Peppers | 0.0000000 | * |
| Chives | 0.0000193 | 0.0000070 |
| Citrus Citron | 0.0001573 | 0.0000324 |
| Coconut-Copra | 0.0012860 | 0.0000927 |
| Coconut-Fresh | 0.0001927 | 0.0000684 |
| Coconut-Water | 0.0000005 | 0.0000005 |


| Raw Agricultural Commodity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Average Consumption (Grams/kg Body Weight-Day) | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collards | 0.0188966 | 0.0032628 |
| Corn, Pop | 0.0067714 | 0.0003348 |
| Corn, Sweet | 0.2367071 | 0.0062226 |
| Crabapples | 0.0003740 | * |
| Cranberries | 0.0150137 | 0.0006153 |
| Cranberries-Juice | 0.0170794 | 0.0022223 |
| Crenshaws | 0.0000010 | * |
| Cress, Upland | 0.0000010 | * |
| Cress, Garden, Field | 0.0000000 | * |
| Cucumbers | 0.0720821 | 0.0034389 |
| Currants | 0.0005462 | 0.0000892 |
| Dandelion | 0.0005039 | 0.0002225 |
| Dates | 0.0006662 | 0.0001498 |
| Dewberries | 0.0023430 | * |
| Eggplant | 0.0061858 | 0.0007645 |
| Elderberries | 0.0001364 | 0.0001365 |
| Endive, Curley and Escarole | 0.0011851 | 0.0001929 |
| Fennel | 0.0000000 | * |
| Figs | 0.0027847 | 0.0005254 |
| Garlic | 0.0007621 | 0.0000230 |
| Genip (Spanish Lime) | 0.0000010 | * |
| Ginkgo Nuts | 0.0000010 | * |
| Gooseberries | 0.0003953 | 0.0001341 |
| Grapefruit-Juice | 0.0773585 | 0.0053846 |
| Grapefruit-Pulp | 0.0684644 | 0.0032321 |
| Grapes-Fresh | 0.0437931 | 0.0023071 |
| Grapes-Juice | 0.0900960 | 0.0058627 |
| Grapes-Leaves | 0.0000119 | 0.0000887 |
| Grapes-Raisins | 0.0169730 | 0.0009221 |
| Groundcherries (Poha or CapeGooseberries) | 0.0000000 | * |
| Guava | 0.0000945 | 0.0000558 |
| Honeydew Melons | 0.0183628 | 0.0042879 |
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| Raw Agricultural Commodity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Average Consumption (Grams/kg Body Weight-Day) | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Huckleberries (Gaylussacia) | 0.0000010 | * |
| Juneberry | 0.0000010 | * |
| Kale | 0.0015036 | 0.0006070 |
| Kiwi | 0.0000191 | 0.0000191 |
| Kohlrabi | 0.0002357 | 0.0001028 |
| Kumquats | 0.0000798 | 0.0000574 |
| Lambsquarter | 0.0000481 | 0.0000481 |
| Leafy Oriental Vegetables | 0.0000010 | * |
| Leeks | 0.0000388 | 0.0000221 |
| Lemons-Juice | 0.0189564 | 0.0009004 |
| Lemons-Peel | 0.0002570 | 0.0001082 |
| Lemons-Pulp | 0.0002149 | 0.0000378 |
| Lemons-Unspecified | 0.0020695 | 0.0003048 |
| Lentiles-Split | 0.0000079 | 0.0000064 |
| Lentiles-Whole | 0.0012022 | 0.0002351 |
| Lettuce-Head Varieties | 0.2122803 | 0.0059226 |
| Lettuce-Leafy Varieties | 0.0044328 | 0.0003840 |
| Lettuce-Unspecified | 0.0092008 | 0.0004328 |
| Limes-Juice | 0.0032895 | 0.0005473 |
| Limes-Pulp | 0.0000941 | 0.0000344 |
| Limes-Unspecified | 0.0000010 | * |
| Loganberries | 0.0002040 | * |
| Logan Fruit | 0.0000010 | * |
| Loquats | 0.0000000 | * |
| Lychee-Dried | 0.0000010 | * |
| Lychees (Litchi) | 0.0000010 | * |
| Maney (Mammee Apple) | 0.0000010 | * |
| Mangoes | 0.0005539 | 0.0002121 |
| Mulberries | 0.0000010 | * |
| Mung Beans (Sprouts) | 0.0066521 | 0.0006462 |
| Mushrooms | 0.0213881 | 0.0009651 |
| Mustard Greens | 0.0145284 | 0.0024053 |


| Raw Agricultural Commodity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Average Consumption (Grams/kg Body Weight-Day) | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nectarines | 0.0129663 | 0.0013460 |
| Okra | 0.0146352 | 0.0017782 |
| Olives | 0.0031757 | 0.0002457 |
| Onions-Dehydrated or Dried | 0.0001192 | 0.0000456 |
| Onions-Dry-Bulb (Cipollini) | 0.1060612 | 0.0021564 |
| Onions-Green | 0.0019556 | 0.0001848 |
| Oranges-Juice | 1.0947265 | 0.0283937 |
| Oranges-Peel | 0.0001358 | 0.0000085 |
| Oranges-Pulp | 0.1503524 | 0.0092049 |
| Papayas-Dried | 0.0009598 | 0.0000520 |
| Papayas-Fresh | 0.0013389 | 0.0005055 |
| Papayas-Juice | 0.0030536 | 0.0012795 |
| Parsley Roots | 0.0000010 | * |
| Parsley | 0.0036679 | 0.0001459 |
| Parsnips | 0.0006974 | 0.0001746 |
| Passion Fruit (Granadilla) | 0.0000010 | * |
| Pawpaws | 0.0000010 | * |
| Peaches-Dried | 0.0000496 | 0.0000152 |
| Peaches-Fresh | 0.2153916 | 0.0078691 |
| Pears-Dried | 0.0000475 | 0.0000279 |
| Pears-Fresh | 0.1224735 | 0.0050442 |
| Peas (Garden)-Green Immature | 0.1719997 | 0.0067868 |
| Peas (Garden)-Mature Seeds, Dry | 0.0017502 | 0.0002004 |
| Peppers, Sweet, Garden | 0.0215525 | 0.0010091 |
| Peppers-Other | 0.0043594 | 0.0004748 |
| Persimmons | 0.0004008 | 0.0002236 |
| Persian Melons | 0.0000010 | * |
| Pimentos | 0.0019485 | 0.0001482 |
| Pineapple-Dried | 0.0000248 | 0.0000195 |
| Pineapple-Fresh, Pulp | 0.0308283 | 0.0017136 |
| Pineapple-Fresh, Juice | 0.0371824 | 0.0026438 |
| Pitanga (Surinam Cherry) | 0.0000010 | * |
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| Raw Agricultural Commodity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Average Consumption (Grams/kg Body Weight-Day) | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plantains | 0.0016370 | 0.0007074 |
| Plums, Prune-Juice | 0.0137548 | 0.0017904 |
| Plums (Damsons)-Fresh | 0.0248626 | 0.0020953 |
| Plums-Prunes (Dried) | 0.0058071 | 0.0005890 |
| Poke Greens | 0.0002957 | 0.0001475 |
| Pomegranates | 0.0000820 | 0.0000478 |
| Potatoes (White)-Whole | 0.3400582 | 0.0102200 |
| Potatoes (White)-Unspecified | 0.0000822 | 0.0000093 |
| Potatoes (White)-Peeled | 0.7842573 | 0.0184579 |
| Potatoes (White)-Dry | 0.0012994 | 0.0001896 |
| Potatoes (White)-Peel Only | 0.0000217 | 0.0000133 |
| Pumpkin | 0.0044182 | 0.0004354 |
| Quinces | 0.0001870 | * |
| Radishes-Roots | 0.0015558 | 0.0001505 |
| Radishes-Tops | 0.0000000 | * |
| Raspberries | 0.0028661 | 0.0005845 |
| Rhubarb | 0.0037685 | 0.0006588 |
| Rutabagas-Roots | 0.0027949 | 0.0009720 |
| Rutabagas-Tops | 0.0000000 | * |
| Salsify (Oyster Plant) | 0.0000028 | 0.0000028 |
| Shallots | 0.0000000 | * |
| Soursop (Annona Muricata) | 0.0000010 | * |
| Soybeans-Sprouted Seeds | 0.0000000 | * |
| Spinach | 0.0435310 | 0.0030656 |
| Squash-Summer | 0.0316479 | 0.0022956 |
| Squash-Winter | 0.0324417 | 0.0026580 |
| Strawberries | 0.0347089 | 0.0020514 |
| Sugar Apples (Sweetsop) | 0.0000010 | * |
| Sweetpotatoes (including Yams) | 0.0388326 | 0.0035926 |
| Swiss Chard | 0.0016915 | 0.0004642 |
| Tangelos | 0.0025555 | 0.0006668 |
| Tangerine-Juice | 0.0000839 | 0.0000567 |


| Table 9-13. Mean Per Capita Intake Rates (as consumed) for Fruits and Vegetables Based on All Sex/Age/Demographic Subgroups (continued) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average Consumption } \\ \text { Raw Agricultural Commodity }{ }^{\text {a }}\end{array}$ |  |
| (Grams/kg Body Weight-Day) |  |$]$| Standard Error |
| :---: |
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| Age (yr) | Per Capita Intake (g/day) | Percent of Population Using Fruit in a Day | Intake (g/day) for Users Only ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |
| 1 and under | 169 | 86.8 | 196 |
| 1-2 | 146 | 62.9 | 231 |
| 3-5 | 134 | 56.1 | 239 |
| 6-8 | 152 | 60.1 | 253 |
| Males |  |  |  |
| 9-11 | 133 | 50.5 | 263 |
| 12-14 | 120 | 51.2 | 236 |
| 15-18 | 147 | 47.0 | 313 |
| 19-22 | 107 | 39.4 | 271 |
| 23-34 | 141 | 46.4 | 305 |
| 35-50 | 115 | 44.0 | 262 |
| 51-64 | 171 | 62.4 | 275 |
| 65-74 | 174 | 62.2 | 281 |
| 75 and over | 186 | 62.6 | 197 |
| Females |  |  |  |
| 9-11 | 148 | 59.7 | 247 |
| 12-14 | 120 | 48.7 | 247 |
| 15-18 | 126 | 49.9 | 251 |
| 19-22 | 133 | 48.0 | 278 |
| 23-34 | 122 | 47.7 | 255 |
| 35-50 | 133 | 52.8 | 252 |
| 51-64 | 171 | 66.7 | 256 |
| 65-74 | 179 | 69.3 | 259 |
| 75 and over | 189 | 64.7 | 292 |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |
| All ages | 142 | 54.2 | 263 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on USDA Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (1977-1978) data for one day. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Intake for users only was calculated by dividing the per capita intake rate by the fraction of the population using fruit in a day. Source: USDA, 1980. |  |  |  |


| Age (yr) | Per Capita Intake (g/day) | Percent of Population Using Fruit in 1 Day | Intake (g/day) for Users Only ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |
| 5 and under | 157 | 59.2 | 265 |
| Males |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 182 | 63.8 | 285 |
| 12-19 | 158 | 49.4 | 320 |
| 20 and over | 133 | 46.5 | 286 |
| Females |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 154 | 58.3 | 264 |
| 12-19 | 131 | 47.1 | 278 |
| 20 and over | 140 | 52.7 | 266 |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 142 | 51.4 | 276 |
| Based on USDA Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (1987-1988) data for one day. <br> Intake for users only was calculated by dividing the per capita intake rate by the fraction of the population using fruits in a day. <br> ource: USDA, 1992b. |  |  |  |


| Age (yr) | Per Capita Intake (g/day) | Percent of Population Using Vegetables in a Day | Intake (g/day) for Users Only ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |
| 1 and under | 76 | 62.7 | 121 |
| 1-2 | 91 | 78.0 | 116 |
| 3-5 | 100 | 79.3 | 126 |
| 6-8 | 136 | 84.3 | 161 |
| Males |  |  |  |
| 9-11 | 138 | 83.5 | 165 |
| 12-14 | 184 | 84.5 | 217 |
| 15-18 | 216 | 85.9 | 251 |
| 19-22 | 226 | 84.7 | 267 |
| 23-34 | 248 | 88.5 | 280 |
| 35-50 | 261 | 86.8 | 300 |
| 51-64 | 285 | 90.3 | 316 |
| 65-74 | 265 | 88.5 | 300 |
| 75 and over | 264 | 93.6 | 281 |
| Females |  |  |  |
| 9-11 | 139 | 83.7 | 166 |
| 12-14 | 154 | 84.6 | 183 |
| 15-18 | 178 | 83.8 | 212 |
| 19-22 | 184 | 81.1 | 227 |
| 23-34 | 187 | 84.7 | 221 |
| 35-50 | 187 | 84.6 | 221 |
| 51-64 | 229 | 89.8 | 255 |
| 65-74 | 221 | 87.2 | 253 |
| 75 \& over | 198 | 88.1 | 226 |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 201 | 85.6 | 235 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} \quad$ Based on USDA Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (1977-1978) data for one day. <br> Intake for users only was calculated by dividing the per capita intake rate by the fraction of the population using vegetables in a day. <br> Source: USDA, 1980. |  |  |  |


| Age (yr) | Per Capita Intake (g/day) | Percent of Population Using Vegetables in a Day | Intake (g/day) for Users Only ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |
| 5 and under | 81 | 74.0 | 109 |
| Males |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 129 | 86.8 | 149 |
| 12-19 | 173 | 85.2 | 203 |
| 20 and over | 232 | 85.0 | 273 |
| Females |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 129 | 80.6 | 160 |
| 12-19 | 129 | 75.8 | 170 |
| 20 and over | 183 | 82.9 | 221 |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 182 | 82.6 | 220 |
| Based on USDA Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (1987-1988) data for one day. <br> Intake for users only was calculated by dividing the per capita intake rate by the fraction of the population using vegetables in a day. ource: USDA, 1992b. |  |  |  |
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|  | Total Fats and Oils ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Table Fats ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Salad Dressings ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1994 | 1995 | 1994 | 1995 |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 and under | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| 12-19 | 11 | 14 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| 20 and over | 19 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 10 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 12-19 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| 20 and over | 16 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 7 |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 14 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 8 |
| Based on USDA CSFII 1994 and 1995 data for one day. <br> Table fats, cooking fats, vegetable oils, salad dressings, nondairy cream substitutes, sauces that are mainly fat and oil. <br> Butter, margarines, blends of butter with margarines or vegetable oils, and butter replacements. <br> Regular and reduced- and low-calorie dressings and mayonnaise. <br> Source: USDA, 1996a; 1996b. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | US population | Northeast | North Central | South | West |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Produce | $282.6 \pm 3.5$ | $270.6 \pm 6.9$ | $282.4 \pm 6.7$ | $280.7 \pm 5.6$ | $303.1 \pm 8.2$ |
| Leafy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $39.2 \pm 0.8$ | $38.1 \pm 1.5$ | $37.1 \pm 1.5$ | $38.4 \pm 1.2$ | $45.3 \pm 1.8$ |
| Exposed ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $86.0 \pm 1.5$ | $88.5 \pm 3.0$ | $87.8 \pm 2.9$ | $76.9 \pm 2.4$ | $95.5 \pm 3.6$ |
| Protected ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $150.4 \pm 2.3$ | $137.2 \pm 4.5$ | $150.1 \pm 4.3$ | $160.1 \pm 3.6$ | $152.5 \pm 5.3$ |
| Other | $7.0 \pm 0.3$ | $6.9 \pm 0.6$ | $7.3 \pm 0.5$ | $5.4 \pm 0.4$ | $9.8 \pm 0.7$ |
| Produce belonging to this category include: cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli, celery, lettuce, and spinach. <br> Produce belonging to this category include: apples, pears, berries, cucumber, squash, grapes, peaches, apricots, plums, prunes, string beans, pea pods, and tomatoes. <br> Produce belonging to this category include: carrots, beets, turnips, parsnips, citrus fruits, sweet corn, legumes (peas, beans, etc.), melons, onion, and potatoes. |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: | aine, New Ham $=$ Ohio, Illinois, and, Delaware, nessee, Alabam na, Idaho, Wyom | ermont, Mass <br> Wisconsin, <br> of Columbia, ssippi, Arkans <br> ah, Colorado, | Connecticut, Minnesota, Iow West Virginia, iana, Texas, and xico, Arizona, N | d, New York, <br> i, North Dako <br> lina, South C <br> shington, Ore | and Pennsylv kota, Nebrask gia, Florida, fornia. |
| Source: U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 9-22.Mean and Standard Error for the Daily Intake of Food Subclasses Per Capita by Age (g/day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | Leafy produce ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Exposed produce ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Protected produce ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Other produce |
| All Ages | $39.2 \pm 0.8$ | $86.0 \pm 1.5$ | $150.4 \pm 2.3$ | $7.0 \pm 0.3$ |
| $<1$ | $3.2 \pm 4.9$ | $75.5 \pm 9.8$ | $50.8 \pm 14.7$ | $25.5 \pm 1.8$ |
| 1-4 | $9.1 \pm 2.4$ | $55.6 \pm 4.8$ | $94.5 \pm 7.2$ | $5.1 \pm 0.9$ |
| 5-9 | $20.1 \pm 2.0$ | $69.2 \pm 4.8$ | $128.9 \pm 6.1$ | $4.3 \pm 0.8$ |
| 10-14 | $26.1 \pm 1.9$ | $76.8 \pm 3.8$ | $151.7 \pm 5.7$ | $8.1 \pm 0.7$ |
| 15-19 | $31.4 \pm 2.0$ | $71.9 \pm 4.0$ | $156.6 \pm 6.0$ | $6.2 \pm 0.7$ |
| 20-24 | $35.3 \pm 2.6$ | $65.6 \pm 5.2$ | $144.5 \pm 7.8$ | $5.0 \pm 1.0$ |
| 25-29 | $41.4 \pm 2.7$ | $73.4 \pm 5.3$ | $149.8 \pm 8.0$ | $7.0 \pm 1.0$ |
| 30-39 | $44.4 \pm 2.1$ | $77.1 \pm 4.2$ | $150.5 \pm 6.3$ | $6.1 \pm 0.8$ |
| 40-59 | $51.3 \pm 1.6$ | $94.7 \pm 3.3$ | $162.9 \pm 4.9$ | $6.9 \pm 0.6$ |
| $\geq 60$ | $45.4 \pm 1.8$ | $114.2 \pm 3.6$ | $163.9 \pm 5.5$ | $7.6 \pm 0.7$ |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Produce belonging to this category include: cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli, celery, lettuce, and spinach. <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Produce belonging to this category include: apples, pears, berries, cucumber, squash, grapes, peaches, apricots, plums, prunes, string beans, pea pods, and tomatoes. <br> c Produce belonging to this category include: carrots, beets, turnips, parsnips, citrus fruits, sweet corn, legumes (peas, beans, etc.), melons, onion, and potatoes. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1984a (based on 1977-78 NFCS data). |  |  |  |  |



|  | Table 9-24. Mean Daily Intake of Foods (grams) Based on the Nutrition Canada Dietary Survey ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (yrs) | Sample Size | Fruit and <br> Fruit Products | Vegetables Not <br> Including Potatoes | Potatoes |

a Report does not specify whether means were calculated per capita or for consumers only. The reported values are consistent with the as consumed intake rates for consumers only reported by USDA (1980).
Source: Canadian Department of National Health and Welfare, n.d

| Table 9-25. Per Capita Consumption of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables in 1991 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fresh Fruits |  | Fresh Vegetables |  |
| Food Item | Per Capita Consumption $(\mathrm{g} / \text { day })^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Food Item | Per Capita Consumption $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Citrus |  | Artichokes | 0.62 |
| $\overline{\text { Oranges (includes Temple oranges) }}$ | 10.2 | Asparagus | 0.75 |
| Tangerines and Tangelos | 1.6 | Snap Beans | 1.4 |
| Lemons | 3.1 | Broccoli | 3.5 |
| Limes | 0.9 | Brussel Sprouts | 0.4 |
| Grapefruit | 7.1 | Cabbage | 9.5 |
| Total Fresh Citrus | 22.9 | Carrots | 9.0 |
|  |  | Cauliflower | 2.2 |
| Noncitrus |  | Celery | 7.8 |
| Apples | 21.8 | Sweet Corn | 6.6 |
| Apricots | 0.1 | Cucumber | 5.2 |
| Avocados | 1.7 | Eggplant | 0.5 |
| Bananas | 31.2 | Escarole/Endive | 0.3 |
| Cherries | 0.5 | Garlic | 1.6 |
| Cranberries | 0.4 | Head Lettuce | 30.2 |
| Grapes | 8.2 | Onions | 18.4 |
| Kiwi Fruit | 0.5 | Bell Peppers | 5.8 |
| Mangoes | 1.0 | Radishes | 0.6 |
| Peaches \& Nectarines | 7.6 | Spinach | 0.9 |
| Pears | 3.7 | Tomatoes | 16.3 |
| Pineapple | 2.2 | Total Fresh Vegetables | 126.1 |
| Papayas | 0.3 |  |  |
| Plums and Prunes | 1.7 |  |  |
| Strawberries | 4.1 |  |  |
| Total Fresh Noncitrus | 85.0 |  |  |
| Total Fresh Fruits | 107.7 |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on retail-weight equivalent. Includes imports; excludes exports and foods grown in home gardens. Data for 1991 used. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Original data were presented in $\mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yr}$; data were converted to $\mathrm{g} /$ day by multiplying by a factor of $454 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{lb}$ and dividing by 365 days $/ \mathrm{yr}$. Source: USDA, 1993. |  |  |  |



| Food | Moisture Content (Percent) |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw | Cooked |  |
| Fruit |  |  |  |
| Apples - dried | 31.76 | 84.13* | sulfured; *without added sugar |
| Apples 83.93* | 84.46** | *with | t skin |
| Apples - juice |  | 87.93 | canned or bottled |
| Applesauce |  | 88.35* | *unsweetened |
| Apricots | 86.35 | 86.62* | *canned juice pack with skin |
| Apricots - dried | 31.09 | 85.56* | sulfured; *without added sugar |
| Bananas | 74.26 |  |  |
| Blackberries | 85.64 |  |  |
| Blueberries | 84.61 | 86.59* | *frozen unsweetened |
| Boysenberries | 85.90 |  | frozen unsweetened |
| Cantaloupes - unspecified | 89.78 |  |  |
| Casabas | 91.00 |  |  |
| Cherries - sweet | 80.76 | 84.95* | *canned, juice pack |
| Crabapples | 78.94 |  |  |
| Cranberries | 86.54 |  |  |
| Cranberries - juice cocktail | 85.00 |  | bottled |
| Currants (red and white) | 83.95 |  |  |
| Elderberries | 79.80 |  |  |
| Grapefruit | 90.89 |  |  |
| Grapefruit - juice | 90.00 | 90.10* | * canned unsweetened |
| Grapefruit - unspecified | 90.89 |  | pink, red, white |
| Grapes - fresh | 81.30 |  | American type (slip skin) |
| Grapes - juice | 84.12 |  | canned or bottled |
| Grapes - raisins | 15.42 |  | seedless |
| Honeydew melons | 89.66 |  |  |
| Kiwi fruit | 83.05 |  |  |
| Kumquats | 81.70 |  |  |
| Lemons - juice | 90.73 | 92.46* | *canned or bottled |
| Lemons - peel | 81.60 |  |  |
| Lemons - pulp | 88.98 |  |  |
| Limes - juice | 90.21 | 92.52* | *canned or bottled |
| Limes - unspecified | 88.26 |  |  |
| Loganberries | 84.61 |  |  |
| Mulberries | 87.68 |  |  |
| Nectarines | 86.28 |  |  |
| Oranges - unspecified | 86.75 |  | all varieties |
| Peaches | 87.66 | 87.49* | *canned juice pack |
| Pears - dried | 26.69 | 64.44* | sulfured; *without added sugar |
| Pears - fresh | 83.81 | 86.47* | *canned juice pack |
| Pineapple | 86.50 | 83.51* | *canned juice pack |
| Pineapple - juice |  | 85.53 | canned |
| Plums |  | 85.20 |  |
| Quinces | 83.80 |  |  |
| Raspberries | 86.57 |  |  |
| Strawberries | 91.57 | 89.97* | *frozen unsweetened |
| Tangerine - juice | 88.90 | 87.00* | *canned sweetened |
| Tangerines | 87.60 | 89.51* | *canned juice pack |
| Watermelon | 91.51 |  |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa sprouts | 91.14 |  |  |
| Artichokes - globe \& French | 84.38 | 86.50 | boiled, drained |
| Artichokes - Jerusalem | 78.01 |  |  |
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| Food | Moisture Content (Percent) |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw | Cooked |  |
| Asparagus | 92.25 | 92.04 | boiled, drained |
| Bamboo shoots | 91.00 | 95.92 | boiled, drained |
| Beans - dry |  |  |  |
| Beans - dry - blackeye peas (cowpeas) | 66.80 | 71.80 | boiled, drained |
| Beans - dry - hyacinth (mature seeds) | 87.87 | 86.90 | boiled, drained |
| Beans - dry - navy (pea) | 79.15 | 76.02 | boiled, drained |
| Beans - dry - pinto | 81.30 | 93.39 | boiled, drained |
| Beans - lima | 70.24 | 67.17 | boiled, drained |
| Beans - snap - Italian - green - yellow | 90.27 | 89.22 | boiled, drained |
| Beets | 87.32 | 90.90 | boiled, drained |
| Beets - tops (greens) | 92.15 | 89.13 | boiled, drained |
| Broccoli | 90.69 | 90.20 | boiled, drained |
| Brussel sprouts | 86.00 | 87.32 | boiled, drained |
| Cabbage - Chinese/celery, including bok choy | 95.32 | 95.55 | boiled, drained |
| Cabbage - red | 91.55 | 93.60 | boiled, drained |
| Cabbage - savoy | 91.00 | 92.00 | boiled, drained |
| Carrots | 87.79 | 87.38 | boiled, drained |
| Cassava (yucca blanca) | 68.51 |  |  |
| Cauliflower | 92.26 | 92.50 | boiled, drained |
| Celeriac | 88.00 | 92.30 | boiled, drained |
| Celery | 94.70 | 95.00 | boiled, drained |
| Chili peppers | 87.74 | 92.50* | * canned solids \& liquid |
| Chives | 92.00 |  |  |
| Cole slaw | 81.50 |  |  |
| Collards | 93.90 | 95.72 | boiled, drained |
| Corn - sweet | 75.96 | 69.57 | boiled, drained |
| Cress - garden - field | 89.40 | 92.50 | boiled, drained |
| Cress - garden | 89.40 | 92.50 | boiled, drained |
| Cucumbers | 96.05 |  |  |
| Dandelion - greens | 85.60 | 89.80 | boiled, drained |
| Eggplant | 91.93 | 91.77 | boiled, drained |
| Endive | 93.79 |  |  |
| Garlic | 58.58 |  |  |
| Kale | 84.46 | 91.20 | boiled, drained |
| Kohlrabi | 91.00 | 90.30 | boiled, drained |
| Lambsquarter | 84.30 | 88.90 | boiled, drained |
| Leeks | 83.00 | 90.80 | boiled, drained |
| Lentils - whole | 67.34 | 68.70 | stir-fried |
| Lettuce - iceberg | 95.89 |  |  |
| Lettuce - romaine | 94.91 |  |  |
| Mung beans (sprouts) | 90.40 | 93.39 | boiled, drained |
| Mushrooms | 91.81 | 91.08 | boiled, drained |
| Mustard greens | 90.80 | 94.46 | boiled, drained |
| Okra | 89.58 | 89.91 | boiled, drained |
| Onions | 90.82 | 92.24 | boiled, drained |
| Onions - dehydrated or dried | 3.93 |  |  |
| Parsley | 88.31 |  |  |
| Parsley roots | 88.31 |  |  |
| Parsnips | 79.53 | 77.72 | boiled, drained |
| Peas (garden) - mature seeds - dry | 88.89 | 88.91 | boiled, drained |
| Peppers - sweet - garden | 92.77 | 94.70 | boiled, drained |
| Potatoes (white) - peeled | 78.96 | 75.42 | baked |


| Food | Moisture Content (Percent) |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw | Cooked |  |
| Potatoes (white) - whole | 83.29 | 71.20 | baked |
| Pumpkin | 91.60 | 93.69 | boiled, drained |
| Radishes - roots | 94.84 |  |  |
| Rhubarb | 93.61 | 67.79 | frozen, cooked with added sugar |
| Rutabagas - unspecified | 89.66 | 90.10 | boiled, drained |
| Salsify (oyster plant) | 77.00 | 81.00 | boiled, drained |
| Shallots | 79.80 |  |  |
| Soybeans - sprouted seeds | 69.05 | 79.45 | steamed |
| Spinach | 91.58 | 91.21 | boiled, drained |
| Squash - summer | 93.68 | 93.70 | all varieties; boiled, drained |
| Squash - winter | 88.71 | 89.01 | all varieties; baked |
| Sweetpotatoes (including yams) | 72.84 | 71.85 | baked in skin |
| Swiss chard | 92.66 | 92.65 | boiled, drained |
| Tapioca - pearl | 10.99 |  | dry |
| Taro - greens | 85.66 | 92.15 | steamed |
| Taro - root | 70.64 | 63.80 |  |
| Tomatoes - juice |  | 93.90 | canned |
| Tomatoes - paste |  | 74.06 | canned |
| Tomatoes - puree |  | 87.26 | canned |
| Tomatoes - raw | 93.95 |  |  |
| Tomatoes - whole | 93.95 | 92.40 | boiled, drained |
| Towelgourd | 93.85 | 84.29 | boiled, drained |
| Turnips - roots | 91.87 | 93.60 | boiled, drained |
| Turnips - tops | 91.07 | 93.20 | boiled, drained |
| Water chestnuts | 73.46 |  |  |
| Yambean - tuber | 89.15 | 87.93 | boiled, drained |

Source: USDA, 1979-1986.

|  | Table 9-28. Summary of Fruit and Vegetable Intake Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Study | Survey Population Used in Calculating Intake | Types of Data Used | Units | Food Items |
|  | KEY STUDIES |  |  |  |  |
|  | EPA Analysis of 1989-91 USDA CSFII data | Per capita data; consumer only data can be calculated | 1989-91 CSFII data; <br> Based on 3-day average individual intake rate | g/kg-day; as consumed | Major food groups; individual food items; exposed and protected fruits and vegetables; USDA food categories |
|  | RELEVANT STUDIES |  |  |  |  |
|  | AIHC, 1994 | Per Capita | Based on the 1977-78 USDA NFCS data provided in the 1989 version of the Exposure Factors Handbook. | g/day | Distributions for vegetables using @Risk software. |
|  | Canadian Department of National Health and Welfare, n.d. | Not known if per capita or consumers only | 1970-72 survey based on 24-hour dietary recall | g/day; not known if as consumed | Fruit and fruit products, vegetables not including potatoes and nuts and legumes |
|  | EPA's DRES | Per capita (i.e., consumers and nonconsumers) | 1977-78 NFCS <br> 3-day individual intake data | g/kg-day; as consumed | Intake for a wide variety of fruits and vegetables presented; complex food groups were disaggregated |
|  | Pao et al., 1982 | Consumers only serving size data provided | 1977-78 NFCS <br> 3-day individual intake data | g ; as consumed | Serving sizes for only a limited number of products |
|  | USDA, 1980; 1992b; 1996a; 1996b | Per capita and consumer only | 1977-78 and 1987-88 NFCS, and 1994 and 1995 CSFII 1-day individual intake data | g/day; as consumed | Total fruits and total vegetables |
|  | USDA, 1993 | Per capita consumption based on "food disappearance" | Based on food supply and utilization data provided by the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), Customs Service Reports, and trade associations | g/day; as consumed | Various food groups |
|  | U.S. EPA/ORP, 1984a; 1984b | Per capita | 1977-78 NFCS <br> Individual intake data | g/day; as consumed | Exposed, protected, and leafy produce |
|  | U.S. EPA/OST, 1989 | Estimated lifetime dietary intake | Based on FDA Total Diet Study Food List which used 1977-78 NFCS data, and NHANES II data | g/day; dry weight | Various food groups; complex foods disaggregated |

Table 9-29. Summary of Recommended Values for Per Capita Intake of Fruits and Vegetables
Mean
Multiple Percentiles
Study
Total Fruit Intake
$3.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day
$12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day
see Table 9-3
EPA Analysis of CSFII 1989-91 Data

Total Vegetable Intake
$4.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day
$10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day
see Table 9-4
EPA Analysis of CSFII 1989-91 Data

Individual Fruit and Vegetables Intake
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| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | USDA CSFII survey receives high level of peer review. EPA analysis of these data has been peer reviewed outside the Agency. | High |
| - Accessibility | CSFII data are publicly available. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Enough information is included to reproduce results. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Analysis is specifically designed to address food intake. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | Data focuses on the U.S. population. | High |
| - Primary data | This is new analysis of primary data. | High |
| - Currency | Were the most current data publicly available at the time the analysis was conducted for the Handbook. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Survey is designed to collect short-term data. | Medium confidence for average values; Low confidence for long term percentile distribution |
| - Validity of approach | Survey methodology was adequate. | High |
| - Study size | Study size was very large and therefore adequate. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The population studied was the U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | Survey was not designed to capture long term day-to-day variability. Short term distributions are provided. | Medium |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Response rate was adequate. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | No measurements were taken. The study relied on survey data. | N/A |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | 1; CSFII 1989-91 was the most recent data set publicly available at the time the analysis was conducted for the Handbook. Therefore, it was the only study classified as key study. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | Although the CSFII was the only study classified as key study, the results are in good agreement with earlier data. | High |
| Overall Rating | The survey is representative of U.S. population. Although there was only one study considered key, these data are the most recent and are in agreement with earlier data. The approach used to analyzed the data was adequate. However, due to the limitations of the survey design estimation of longterm percentile values (especially the upper percentiles) is uncertain. | High confidence in the average; Low confidence in the long-term upper percentiles |
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## Appendix 9B

## APPENDIX 9A <br> Calculations Used in the 1989-91 CSFII Analysis to Correct for Mixtures

Distributions of intake for various food groups were generated for the food/items groups using the USDA 1989-91 CSFII data set as described in Sections 9.2.2. and 11.1.2. However, several of the food categories used did not include meats, dairy products, and vegetables that were eaten as mixtures with other foods. Thus, adjusted intake rates were calculated for food items that were identified by USDA (1995) as comprising a significant portion of grain and meat mixtures. To account for the amount of these foods consumed as mixtures, the mean fractions of total meat or grain mixtures represented by these food items were calculated (Table 9A-1) using Appendix C of USDA (1995). Mean values for all individuals were used to calculate these fractions. These fractions were multiplied by each individual's intake rate for total meat mixtures or grain mixtures to calculate the amount of the individual's food mixture intake that can be categorized into one of the selected food groups. These amounts were then added to the total intakes rates for meats, grains, total vegetables, tomatoes, and white potatoes to calculate an individual's total intake of these food groups, as shown in the example for meats below.

$$
I R_{\text {meat-adjusted }}=\left(I R_{g r \text { mixtures }} * F r_{\text {meatt } g r}\right)+\left(I R_{\text {mt mixtures }} * F r_{\text {meatm } m}\right)+\left(I R_{\text {meat }}\right)
$$

where:

| $\mathrm{IR}_{\text {meat-adjusted }}$ | $=$ | adjusted individual intake rate for total meat; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{gr} \text { mixtures }}$ | $=$ | individual intake rate for grain mixtures; |
| $\mathrm{IR}_{\text {mt mixtures }}$ | $=$ | individual intake rate for meat mixtures; |
| $\mathrm{IR}_{\text {meat }}$ | $=$ | individual intake rate for meats; |
| $\mathrm{Fr}_{\text {meatgr }}$ | $=$ | fraction of grain mixture that is meat; and |
| $\mathrm{Fr}_{\text {meat } / \mathrm{mt}}$ | $=$ | fraction of meat mixture that is meat. |

Population distributions for mixture-adjusted intakes were based on adjusted intake rates for the population of interest.

|  | Table 9A-1. Fraction of Grain and Meat Mixture Intake Represented by Various Food Items/Groups |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grain Mixtures |  |
| total vegetables | 0.2360 |
| tomatoes | 0.1685 |
| white potatoes | 0.0000 |
| total meats | 0.0787 |
| beef | 0.0449 |
| pork | 0.0112 |
| poultry | 0.0112 |
| dairy | 0.1348 |
| total grains | 0.3146 |
| Meat Mixtures |  |
| total vegetables | 0.2778 |
| tomatoes | 0.1111 |
| white potatoes | 0.0333 |
| total meats | 0.3556 |
| beef | 0.2000 |
| pork | 0.0222 |
| poultry | 0.0778 |
| dairy | 0.0556 |
| total grains | 0.1333 |

## APPENDIX 9B

FOOD CODES AND DEFINITIONS USED IN ANALYSIS OF THE 1989-91 USDA CSFII DATA

Appendix 9B. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1989-91 USDA CSFII Data

| Food | Food Codes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAJOR FOOD GROUPS |  |  |
| Total Fruits | 6- Fruits <br> citrus fruits and juices dried fruits other fruits fruits/juices \& nectar fruit/juices baby food | (includes baby foods) |
| Total <br> Vegetables | 7- Vegetables (all forms) white potatoes \& PR starchy dark green vegetables deep yellow vegetables tomatoes and tom. mixtures other vegetables veg. and mixtures/baby food veg. with meat mixtures | 411- Beans/legumes <br> 412- Beans/legumes <br> 413- Beans/legumes <br> (includes baby foods; mixtures, mostly vegetables; does not include nuts and seeds) |
| Total Meats | 20- Meat, type not specified <br> 21- Beef <br> 22- Pork <br> 23- Lamb, veal, game, carcass meat <br> 24- Poultry <br> 25- Organ meats, sausages, lunchmeats, meat spreads | (excludes meat, poultry, and fish with non-meat items; frozen plate meals; soups and gravies with meat, poultry and fish base; and gelatin-based drinks; includes baby foods) |
| Total Dairy | 1- Milk and Milk Products milk and milk drinks cream and cream substitutes milk desserts, sauces, and gravies cheeses | (includes regular fluid milk, human milk, imitation milk products, yogurt, milk-based meal replacements, and infant formulas) |
| INDIVIDUAL FOODS |  |  |
| White <br> Potatoes | 71- White Potatoes and PR Starchy Veg. <br> baked, boiled, chips, sticks, creamed, scalloped, au gratin, fried, mashed, stuffed, puffs, salad, recipes, soups, Puerto Rican starchy vegetables | (does not include vegetables soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Peppers | 7512100 Pepper, hot chili, raw <br> 7512200 Pepper, raw <br> 7512210 Pepper, sweet green, raw <br> 7512220 Pepper, sweet red, raw <br> 7522600 Pepper, green, cooked, NS as to fat added <br> 7522601 Pepper, green, cooked, fat not added <br> 7522602 Pepper, green, cooked, fat added <br> 7522604 Pepper, red, cooked, NS as to fat added <br> 7522605 Pepper, red, cooked, fat not added | 7522606 Pepper, red, cooked, fat added <br> 7522609 Pepper, hot, cooked, NS as to fat added <br> 7522610 Pepper, hot, cooked, fat not added <br> 7522611 Pepper, hot, cooked, fat added <br> 7551101 Peppers, hot, sauce <br> 7551102 Peppers, pickled <br> 7551105 Peppers, hot pickled <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or  <br> vegetable with meat mixtures)  |
| Onions | 7510950 Chives, raw <br> 7511150 Garlic, raw <br> 7511250 Leek, raw <br> 7511701 Onions, young green, raw <br> 7511702 Onions, mature <br> 7521550 Chives, dried <br> 7521740 Garlic, cooked <br> 7521840 Leek, cooked <br> 7522100 Onions, mature cooked, NS as to fat added <br> 7522101 Onions, mature cooked, fat not added | 7522102 Onions, mature cooked, fat added <br> 7522103 Onions, pearl cooked <br> 7522104 Onions, young green cooked, NS as to fat <br> 7522105 Onions, young green cooked, fat not added <br> 7522106 Onions, young green cooked, fat added <br> 7522110 Onion, dehydrated <br> 7541501 Onions, creamed <br> 7541502 Onion rings <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or  <br> vegetable with meat mixtures)  |
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| Appendix 9B. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1989-91 USDA CSFII Data (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food <br> Product |  | Food Codes |
| Pork | 22- Pork <br> pork, nfs; ground dehydrated chops steaks, cutlets ham roasts <br> Canadian bacon bacon, salt pork other pork items pork baby food | (excludes meat, poultry, and fish with non-meat items; frozen plate meals; soups and gravies with meat, poultry and fish base; and gelatin-based drinks; includes baby food) |
| Game | 233- Game | (excludes meat, poultry, and fish with non-meat items; frozen plate meals; soups and gravies with meat, poultry and fish base; and gelatin-based drinks) |
| Poultry | 24- Poultry chicken turkey duck other poultry poultry baby food | (excludes meat, poultry, and fish with non-meat items; frozen plate meals; soups and gravies with meat, poultry and fish base; and gelatin-based drinks; includes baby food) |
| Eggs | 3-Eggs  <br>  eggs <br>  egg mixtures <br>  egg substitutes <br>  eggs baby food <br> froz. meals with egg as main ingred.  | (includes baby foods) |
| Broccoli | 722- Broccoli (all forms) | (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Carrots | $7310-$ Carrots (all forms) <br> 7311140 Carrots in Sauce <br> 7311200 Carrot Chips <br> $76201-$ Carrots, baby | (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures; includes baby foods except mixtures) |
| Pumpkin | 732- Pumpkin (all forms) <br> 733- Winter squash (all forms) <br> $76205-$ Squash, baby | (does not include vegetable soups; vegetables mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures; includes baby foods) |
| Asparagus | 7510080 Asparagus, raw <br> $75202-$ Asparagus, cooked <br> 7540101 Asparagus, creamed or with cheese | (does not include vegetable soups; vegetables mixtures, or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Lima Beans | 7510200 Lima Beans, raw <br> 752040- Lima Beans, cooked <br> 752041- Lima Beans, canned <br> 75402- Lima Beans with sauce | (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures; does not include succotash) |
| Cabbage | 7510300 Cabbage, raw <br> 7510400 Cabbage, Chinese, raw <br> 7510500 Cabbage, red, raw <br> 7514100 Cabbage salad or coleslaw <br> 7514130 Cabbage, Chinese, salad <br> $75210-$ Chinese Cabbage, cooked <br> $75211-$ Green Cabbage, cooked | $75212-$ Red Cabbage, cooked <br> $752130-$ Savoy Cabbage, cooked <br> $75230-$ Sauerkraut, cooked <br> 7540701 Cabbage, creamed <br> 755025- Cabbage, pickled or in relish <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or  <br> vegetable with meat mixtures)  |
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| Appendix 9B. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1989-91 USDA CSFII Data (continued) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food Product | Food Codes |  |  |
| Lettuce | $\begin{aligned} & 75113- \\ & 75143- \\ & 7514410 \\ & 7522005 \end{aligned}$ | Lettuce, raw <br> Lettuce salad with other veg. <br> Lettuce, wilted, with bacon dressing <br> Lettuce, cooked | (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Okra | $\begin{aligned} & 7522000 \\ & 7522001 \\ & 7522002 \\ & 7522010 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Okra, cooked, NS as to fat Okra, cooked, fat not added Okra, cooked, fat added Lufta, cooked (Chinese Okra) | 7541450 Okra, fried <br> 7550700 Okra, pickled <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Peas | $\begin{aligned} & 7512000 \\ & 7512775 \\ & 75223- \\ & 75224- \\ & 75225- \\ & 75231- \\ & 7541650 \end{aligned}$ | Peas, green, raw <br> Snowpeas, raw <br> Peas, cowpeas, field or blackeye, cooked <br> Peas, green, cooked <br> Peas, pigeon, cooked <br> Snowpeas, cooked <br> Pea salad | 7541660 Pea salad with cheese <br> $75417-$ Peas, with sauce or creamed <br> $76409-$ Peas, baby <br> $76411-$ Peas, creamed, baby <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or  <br> vegetable with meat mixtures; includes baby foods except  <br> mixtures)  |
| Cucumbers | $\begin{aligned} & 7511100 \\ & 75142- \\ & 752167- \\ & 7550301 \\ & 7550302 \\ & 7550303 \\ & 7550304 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Cucumbers, raw <br> Cucumber salads <br> Cucumbers, cooked <br> Cucumber pickles, dill <br> Cucumber pickles, relish <br> Cucumber pickles, sour Cucumber pickles, sweet | 7550305 Cucumber pickles, fresh <br> 7550307 Cucumber, Kim Chee <br> 7550311 Cucumber pickles, dill, reduced salt <br> 7550314 Cucumber pickles, sweet, reduced salt <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Beets | $\begin{aligned} & 7510250 \\ & 752080- \\ & 752081- \\ & 7540501 \end{aligned}$ | Beets, raw <br> Beets, cooked <br> Beets, canned <br> Beets, harvard | 7550021 Beets, pickled <br> 76403- Beets, baby <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures; includes baby foods except mixtures) |
| Strawberries | $\begin{aligned} & 6322- \\ & 6413250 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Strawberries Strawberry Juice | (includes baby food; except mixtures) |
| Other Berries | $\begin{aligned} & 6320- \\ & 6321- \\ & 6341101 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Other Berries Other Berries Cranberry salad | 6410460 Blackberry Juice <br> 64105- Cranberry Juice <br> (includes baby food; except mixtures)  |
| Peaches | $\begin{aligned} & 62116- \\ & 63135- \\ & 6412203 \\ & 6420501 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Dried Peaches <br> Peaches <br> Peach Juice <br> Peach Nectar | 67108- Peaches , baby <br> 6711450 Peaches, dry, baby (includes baby food; except mixtures) |
| Pears | $\begin{aligned} & 62119- \\ & 63137- \\ & 6341201 \\ & 6421501 \end{aligned}$ | Dried Pears <br> Pears <br> Pear salad <br> Pear Nectar | 67109- Pears, baby <br> 6711455 Pears, dry, baby <br> 6721200 Pear juice, baby <br> (includes baby food; except mixtures)  |
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| Appendix 9B. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1989-91 USDA CSFII Data (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food Product | Food Codes |  |  |  |
| Exposed Veg. | 721- | Dark Green Leafy Veg. | 752167 - | Cucumber, cooked |
|  | 722- | Dark Green Nonleafy Veg. | 752170- | Eggplant, cooked |
|  | $74-$ | Tomatoes and Tomato Mixtures | 752171- | Fern shoots |
|  | 7510050 | Alfalfa Sprouts | 752172- | Fern shoots |
|  | 7510075 | Artichoke, Jerusalem, raw | 752173- | Flowers of sesbania, squash or lily |
|  | 7510080 | Asparagus, raw | 7521801 | Kohlrabi, cooked |
|  | 75101- | Beans, sprouts and green, raw | $75219-$ | Mushrooms, cooked |
|  | 7510260 | Broccoflower, raw | 75220- | Okra/lettuce, cooked |
|  | 7510275 | Brussel Sprouts, raw | 7522116 | Palm Hearts, cooked |
|  | 7510280 | Buckwheat Sprouts, raw | 7522121 | Parsley, cooked |
|  | 7510300 | Cabbage, raw | 75226 - | Peppers, pimento, cooked |
|  | 7510400 | Cabbage, Chinese, raw | 75230- | Sauerkraut, cooked/canned |
|  | 7510500 | Cabbage, Red, raw | 75231- | Snowpeas, cooked |
|  | 7510700 | Cauliflower, raw | 75232- | Seaweed |
|  | 7510900 | Celery, raw | 75233- | Summer Squash |
|  | 7510950 | Chives, raw | 7540050 | Artichokes, stuffed |
|  | 7511100 | Cucumber, raw | 7540101 | Asparagus, creamed or with cheese |
|  | 7511120 | Eggplant, raw | 75403- | Beans, green with sauce |
|  | 7511200 | Kohlrabi, raw | $75404-$ | Beans, yellow with sauce |
|  | 75113- | Lettuce, raw | 7540601 | Brussel Sprouts, creamed |
|  | 7511500 | Mushrooms, raw | 7540701 | Cabbage, creamed |
|  | 7511900 | Parsley | $75409-$ | Cauliflower, creamed |
|  | 7512100 | Pepper, hot chili | 75410- | Celery/Chiles, creamed |
|  | $75122-$ | Peppers, raw | 75412- | Eggplant, fried, with sauce, etc. |
|  | 7512750 | Seaweed, raw | 75413- | Kohlrabi, creamed |
|  | 7512775 | Snowpeas, raw | $75414-$ | Mushrooms, Okra, fried, stuffed, creamed |
|  | 75128- | Summer Squash, raw | 754180- | Squash, baked, fried, creamed, etc. |
|  | 7513210 | Celery Juice | 7541822 | Christophine, creamed |
|  | 7514100 | Cabbage or cole slaw | 7550011 | Beans, pickled |
|  | 7514130 | Chinese Cabbage Salad | 7550051 | Celery, pickled |
|  | 7514150 | Celery with cheese | 7550201 | Cauliflower, pickled |
|  | 75142- | Cucumber salads | 755025- | Cabbage, pickled |
|  | 75143- | Lettuce salads | 7550301 | Cucumber pickles, dill |
|  | 7514410 | Lettuce, wilted with bacon dressing | 7550302 | Cucumber pickles, relish |
|  | 7514600 | Greek salad | 7550303 | Cucumber pickles, sour |
|  | 7514700 | Spinach salad | 7550304 | Cucumber pickles, sweet |
|  | 7520060 | Algae, dried | $7550305$ | Cucumber pickles, fresh |
|  | 75201- | Artichoke, cooked | $7550307$ | Cucumber, Kim Chee |
|  | 75202- | Asparagus, cooked | 7550308 | Eggplant, pickled |
|  | 75203- | Bamboo shoots, cooked | 7550311 | Cucumber pickles, dill, reduced salt |
|  | 752049- | Beans, string, cooked | 7550314 | Cucumber pickles, sweet, reduced salt |
|  | 75205- | Beans, green, cooked/canned | 7550500 | Mushrooms, pickled |
|  | 75206- | Beans, yellow, cooked/canned | 7550700 | Okra, pickled |
|  | 75207- | Bean Sprouts, cooked | 75510- | Olives |
|  | 752085- | Breadfruit | 7551101 | Peppers, hot |
|  | 752087- | Broccoflower, cooked | $7551102$ | Peppers,pickled |
|  | 752090- | Brussel Sprouts, cooked | 7551104 | Peppers, hot pickled |
|  | 75210- | Cabbage, Chinese, cooked | 7551301 | Seaweed, pickled |
|  | 75211- | Cabbage, green, cooked | 7553500 | Zucchini, pickled |
|  | 75212- | Cabbage, red, cooked | 76102- | Dark Green Veg., baby |
|  | 752130- | Cabbage, savoy, cooked | 76401- | Beans, baby (excl. most soups \& mixtures) |
|  | 75214- | Cauliflower | 411- | Beans/legumes |
|  | 75215- | Celery, Chives, Christophine (chayote) | $\begin{aligned} & 412- \\ & 413- \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Beans/legumes <br> Beans/legumes |


| Appendix 9B. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1989-91 USDA CSFII Data (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food <br> Product | Food Codes |  |  |  |
| Protected Veg. | $732-$ Pumpkin <br> $733-$ Winter Squash <br> 7510200 Lima Beans, raw <br> 7510550 Cactus, raw <br> 7510960 Corn, raw <br> 7512000 Peas, raw <br> 7520070 Aloe vera juice <br> $752040-$ Lima Beans, cooked <br> $752041-$ Lima Beans, canned <br> 7520829 Bitter Melon <br> $752083-$ Bitter Melon, cooked <br> 7520950 Burdock <br> $752131-$ Cactus <br> $752160-$ Corn, cooked <br> $752161-$ Corn, yellow, cooked <br> $752162-$ Corn, white, cooked <br> $752163-$ Corn, canned <br> 7521749 Hominy <br>   |  | $\begin{aligned} & 752175- \\ & 75223- \\ & 75224- \\ & 75225- \\ & 75301- \\ & 75402- \\ & 75411- \\ & 7541650 \\ & 7541660 \\ & 75417- \\ & 7550101 \\ & 76205- \\ & 76405- \\ & 76409- \\ & 76411- \\ & \text { (does not } \\ & \text { vegetable } \end{aligned}$ | Hominy <br> Peas, cowpeas, field or blackeye, cooked <br> Peas, green, cooked <br> Peas, pigeon, cooked <br> Succotash <br> Lima Beans with sauce <br> Corn, scalloped, fritter, with cream <br> Pea salad <br> Pea salad with cheese <br> Peas, with sauce or creamed <br> Corn relish <br> Squash, yellow, baby <br> Corn, baby <br> Peas, baby <br> Peas, creamed, baby <br> clude vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or with meat mixtures) |
| Root <br> Vegetables | $71-$ White Potatoes and Puerto Rican St. Veg. <br> $7310-$ Carrots <br> 7311140 Carrots in sauce <br> 7311200 Carrot chips <br> $734-$ Sweetpotatoes <br> 7510250 Beets, raw <br> 7511150 Garlic, raw <br> 7511180 Jicama (yambean), raw <br> 7511250 Leeks, raw <br> $75117-$ Onions, raw <br> 7512500 Radish, raw <br> 7512700 Rutabaga, raw <br> 7512900 Turnip, raw <br> $752080-$ Beets, cooked <br> $752081-$ Beets, canned <br> 7521362 Cassava <br> 7521740 Garlic, cooked <br> 7521771 Horseradish <br> 7521840 Leek, cooked <br> 7521850 Lotus root <br> $752210-$ Onions, cooked |  | 7522110 $752220-$ $75227-$ $75228-$ $75229-$ $75234-$ $75235-$ 7540501 $75415-$ 7541601 7541810 7550021 7550309 7551201 7553403 $76201-$ $76209-$ $76403-$ does- not vegetable | Onions, dehydrated <br> Parsnips, cooked <br> Radishes, cooked <br> Rutabaga, cooked <br> Salsify, cooked <br> Turnip, cooked <br> Water Chestnut <br> Beets, harvard <br> Onions, creamed, fried <br> Parsnips, creamed <br> Turnips, creamed <br> Beets, pickled <br> Horseradish <br> Radishes, pickled <br> Turnip, pickled <br> Carrots, baby <br> Sweetpotatoes, baby <br> Beets, baby <br> clude vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or with meat mixtures) |
| USDA SUBCATEGORIES |  |  |  |  |
| Dark Green <br> Vegetables | 72- Dark Green Vegetables all forms leafy, nonleafy, dk. gr. veg. soups |  |  |  |
| Deep Yellow <br> Vegetables | 73- Deep Yellow Vegetables <br> all forms carrots, pumpkin, squash, sweetpotatoes, dp. yell. veg. soups |  |  |  |
| Other <br> Vegetables | 75- Other Vegetables all forms |  |  |  |
| Citrus Fruits | 61672050 6720600 | Citrus Fruits and Juices Orange Juice, baby food Orange-Apricot Juice, baby food | $\begin{aligned} & 6720700 \\ & 6721100 \\ & \text { (excludes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Orange-Pineapple Juice, baby food Orange-Apple-Banana Juice, baby food dried fruits) |
| Appendix 9B. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1989-91 USDA CSFII Data (continued) |  |  |  |  |
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| Food Product | Food Codes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Fruits | 62- <br> 63- <br> 64- <br> 671- <br> 67202- <br> $67203-$ | Dried Fruits <br> Other Fruits <br> Fruit Juices and Nectars Excluding Citrus <br> Fruits, baby <br> Apple Juice, baby <br> Baby Juices | $\begin{aligned} & 67204- \\ & 67212- \\ & 67213- \\ & 6725- \\ & 673- \\ & 674- \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Baby Juices Baby Juices Baby Juices Baby Juice Baby Fruits Baby Fruits |
| MIXTURES |  |  |  |  |
| Meat <br> Mixtures | 27- Meat Mixtures$28-$ |  | (includes frozen plate meals and soups) |  |
| Grain <br> Mixtures | 58- Grain Mixtures |  | (includes frozen plate meals and soups) |  |
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## 10. INTAKE OF FISH AND SHELLFISH 10.1. BACKGROUND

Contaminated finfish and shellfish are potential sources of human exposure to toxic chemicals. Pollutants are carried in the surface waters, but also may be stored and accumulated in the sediments as a result of complex physical and chemical processes. Consequently, finfish and shellfish are exposed to these pollutants and may become sources of contaminated food.

Accurately estimating exposure to a toxic chemical among a population that consumes fish from a polluted water body requires an estimation of intake rates of the caught fish by both fishermen and their families. Commercially caught fish are marketed widely, making the prediction of an individual's consumption from a particular commercial source difficult. Since the catch of recreational and subsistence fishermen is not "diluted" in this way, these individuals and their families represent the population that is most vulnerable to exposure by intake of contaminated fish from a specific location.

This section focuses on intake rates of fish. Note that in this section the term fish refers to both finfish and shellfish. The following subsections address intake rates for the general population, and recreational and subsistence fishermen. Data are presented for intake rates for both marine and freshwater fish, when available. The available studies have been classified as either key or relevant based on the guidelines given in Volume I, Section 1.3. Recommended intake rates are based on the results of key studies, but other relevant studies are also presented to provide the reader with added perspective on the current state-of-knowledge pertaining to fish intake.

Survey data on fish consumption have been collected using a number of different approaches which need to be considered in interpreting the survey results. Generally, surveys are either "creel" studies in which fishermen are interviewed while fishing, or broader population surveys using either mailed questionnaires or phone interviews. Both types of data can be useful for exposure assessment purposes, but somewhat different applications and interpretations are needed. In fact, results from creel studies have often been misinterpreted, due to inadequate knowledge of survey principles. Below, some basic facts about survey design are presented, followed by an analysis of the differences between creel and population based studies.

The typical survey seeks to draw inferences about a larger population from a smaller sample of that population. This larger population, from which the survey
sample is to be taken and to which the results of the survey are to be generalized, is denoted the target population of the survey. In order to generalize from the sample to the target population, the probability of being sampled must be known for each member of the target population. This probability is reflected in weights assigned to each survey respondent, with weights being inversely proportional to sampling probability. When all members of the target population have the same probability of being sampled, all weights can be set to one and essentially ignored.

In a mail or phone study of licensed anglers, the target population is generally all licensed anglers in a particular area, and in the studies presented, the sampling probability is essentially equal for all target population members. In a creel study, the target population is anyone who fishes at the locations being studied; generally, in a creel study, the probability of being sampled is not the same for all members of the target population. For instance, if the survey is conducted for one day at a site, then it will include all persons who fish there daily but only about $1 / 7$ of the people who fish there weekly, $1 / 30$ th of the people who fish there monthly, etc. In this example, the probability of being sampled (or inverse weight) is seen to be proportional to the frequency of fishing. However, if the survey involves interviewers revisiting the same site on multiple days, and persons are only interviewed once for the survey, then the probability of being in the survey is not proportional to frequency; in fact, it increases less than proportionally with frequency. At the extreme of surveying the same site every day over the survey period with no re-interviewing, all members of the target population would have the same probability of being sampled regardless of fishing frequency, implying that the survey weights should all equal one.

On the other hand, if the survey protocol calls for individuals to be interviewed each time an interviewer encounters them (i.e., without regard to whether they were previously interviewed), then the inverse weights will again be proportional to fishing frequency, no matter how many times interviewers revisit the same site. Note that when individuals can be interviewed multiple times, the results of each interview are included as separate records in the data base and the survey weights should be inversely proportional to the expected number of times that an individual's interviews are included in the data base.

In the published analyses of most creel studies, there is no mention of sampling weights; by default all
weights are set to 1 , implying equal probability of sampling. However, since the sampling probabilities in a creel study, even with repeated interviewing at a site, are highly dependent on fishing frequency, the fish intake distributions reported for these surveys are not reflective of the corresponding target populations. Instead, those individuals with high fishing frequencies are given too big a weight and the distribution is skewed to the right, i.e., it overestimates the target population distribution.

Price et al. (1994) explained this problem and set out to rectify it by adding weights to creel survey data; he used data from two creel studies (Puffer et al., 1981 and Pierce et al., 1981) as examples. Price et al. (1994) used inverse fishing frequency as survey weights and produced revised estimates of median and 95th percentile intake for the above two studies. These revised estimates were dramatically lower than the original estimates. The approach of Price et al. (1994) is discussed in more detail in Section 10.5 where the Puffer et al. (1981) and Pierce et al. (1981) studies are summarized.

When the correct weights are applied to survey data, the resulting percentiles reflect, on average, the distribution in the target population; thus, for example, an estimated 90 percent of the target population will have intake levels below the 90th percentile of the survey fish intake distribution. There is another way, however, of characterizing distributions in addition to the standard percentile approach; this approach is reflected in statements of the form " 50 percent of the income is received by, for example, the top 10 percent of the population, which consists of individuals making more than $\$ 100,000$ ", for example. Note that the 50th percentile (median) of the income distribution is well below $\$ 100,000$. Here the $\$ 100,000$ level can be thought of as, not the 50th percentile of the population income distribution, but as the 50th percentile of the "resource utilization distribution" (see Appendix 10A for technical discussion of this distribution). Other percentiles of the resource utilization distribution have similar interpreta-tions; e.g., the 90th percentile of the resource utilization distribution (for income) would be that level of income such that 90 percent of total income is received by individuals with incomes below this level and 10 percent by individuals with income above this level. This alternative approach to characterizing distributions is of particular interest when a relatively small fraction of individuals consumes a relatively large fraction of a resource, which is the case with regards to recreational fish consumption. In the studies of recreational anglers,
this alternative approach, based on resource utilization, will be presented, where possible, in addition to the primary approach of presenting the standard percentiles of the fish intake distribution.

It has been determined that the resource utilization approach to characterizing distributions has relevance to the interpretation of creel survey data. As mentioned above, most published analyses of creel surveys do not employ weights reflective of sampling probability, but instead give each respondent equal weight. For mathematical reasons that are explained in Appendix 10A, when creel analyses are performed in this (equal weighting) manner, the calculated percentiles of the fish intake distribution do not reflect the percentiles of the target population fish intake distribution but instead reflect (approximately) the percentiles of the "resource utilization distribution". Thus, one would not expect 50 percent of the target population to be consuming above the median intake level as reported from such a creel survey, but instead would expect that 50 percent of the total recreational fish consumption would be individuals consuming above this level. As with the example above, and in accordance with the statement above that creel surveys analyzed in this manner overestimate intake distributions, the actual median level of intake in the target population will be less (probably considerably so) than this level and, accordingly, (considerably) less than 50 percent of the target population will be consuming at or above this level. These considerations are discussed when the results of individual creel surveys are presented in later sections and should be kept in mind whenever estimates based on creel survey data are utilized.

The U.S. EPA has prepared a review of and an evaluation of five different survey methods used for obtaining fish consumption data. They are:

- Recall-Telephone Survey;
- Recall-Mail Survey;
- Recall-Personal Interview;
- Diary; and
- Creel Census.

The reader is referred to U.S. EPA 1992-Consumption Surveys for Fish and Shellfish for more detail on these survey methods and their advantages and limitations.

### 10.2. KEY GENERAL POPULATION STUDIES

Tuna Research Institute Survey - The Tuna Research Institute (TRI) funded a study of fish
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consumption which was performed by the National Purchase Diary (NPD) during the period of September, 1973 to August, 1974. The data tapes from this survey were obtained by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), which later, along with the FDA, USDA and TRI, conducted an intensive effort to identify and correct errors in the data base. Javitz (1980) summarized the TRI survey methodology and used the corrected tape to generate fish intake distributions for various sub-populations.

The TRI survey sample included 6,980 families who were currently participating in a syndicated national purchase diary panel, 2,400 additional families where the head of household was female and under 35 years old; and 210 additional black families (Javitz, 1980). Of the 9,590 families in the total sample, 7,662 families $(25,162$ individuals) completed the questionnaire, a response rate of 80 percent. The survey was weighted to represent the U.S. population based on a number of census-defined controls (i.e., census region, household size, income, presence of children, race and age). The calculations of means, percentiles, etc. were performed on a weighted basis with each person contributing in proportion to his/her assigned survey weight.

The survey population was divided into 12 different sample segments and, for each of the 12 survey months, data were collected from a different segment. Each survey household was given a diary in which they recorded, over a one month period, the date of any fish meals consumed and the following accompanying information: the species of fish consumed, whether the fish was commercially or recreationally caught, the way the fish was packaged (canned, frozen fresh, dried, smoked), the amount of fish prepared and consumed, and the number of servings consumed by household members and guests. Both meals eaten at home and away from home were recorded. The amount of fish prepared was determined as follows (Javitz, 1980): "For fresh fish, the weight was recorded in ounces and may have included the weight of the head and tail. For frozen fish, the weight was recorded in packaged ounces, and it was noted whether the fish was breaded or combined with other ingredients (e.g., TV dinners). For canned fish, the weight was recorded in packaged ounces and it was noted whether the fish was canned in water, oil, or with other ingredients (e.g., soups)".

Javitz (1980) reported that the corrected survey tapes contained data on 24,652 individuals who consumed fish in the survey month and that tabulations performed by

NPD indicated that these fish consumers represented 94 percent of the U.S. population. For this population of "fish consumers", Javitz (1980) calculated means and percentiles of fish consumption by demographic variables (age, sex, race, census region and community type) and overall (Tables 10-1 through 10-4). The overall mean fish intake rate among fish consumers was calculated at 14.3 $\mathrm{g} /$ day and the 95 th percentile at $41.7 \mathrm{~g} /$ day .

As seen in Table 10-1, the mean and 95 th percentile of fish consumption were higher for Asian-Americans as compared to the other racial groups. Other differences in intake rates are those between gender and age groups. While males ( $15.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ ) eat slightly more fish than females ( $13.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ ), and adults eat more fish than children, the corresponding differences in body weight would probably compensate for the different intake rates in exposure calculations (Javitz, 1980). There appeared to be no large differences in regional intake rates, although higher rates are shown in the New England and Middle Atlantic census regions.

The mean and 95th percentile intake rates by agegender groups are presented in Table 10-2. Tables 10-3 and 10-4 present the distribution of fish consumption for females and males, respectively, by age; these tables give the percentages of females/males in a given age bracket with intake rates within various ranges. Table 10-5 presents mean total fish consumption by fish species.

The TRI survey data were also utilized by Rupp et al. (1980) to generate fish intake distributions for three age groups (<11, 12-18, and 19+ years) within each of the 9 census regions and for the entire United States. Separate distributions were derived for freshwater finfish, saltwater finfish and shellfish; thus, a total of $90(3 * 3 * 10)$ different distributions were derived, each corresponding to intake of a specific category of fish for a given age group within a given region. The analysis of Rupp et al. (1980) included only those respondents with known age. This amounted to 23,213 respondents.

Ruffle et al. (1994) used the percentiles data of Rupp et al. (1980) to estimate the best fitting lognormal parameters for each distribution. Three methods (non-linear optimization, first probability plot and second probability plot) were used to estimate optimal parameters. Ruffle et al. (1994) determined that, of the three methods, the nonlinear optimization method (NLO) generally gave the best results. For some of the distributions fitted by the NLO method, however, it was determined that the lognormal model did not adequately fit the empirical fish intake distribution. Ruffle et al.
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(1994) used a criterion of minimum sum of squares (min SS) less than 30 to identify which distributions provided adequate fits. Of the 90 distributions studied, 77 were seen to have min SS < 30; for these, Ruffle et al. (1994) concluded that the NLO modeled lognormal distributions are "well suited for risk assessment". Of the remaining 13 distributions, 12 had min SS > 30; for these Ruffle et al. (1994) concluded that modeled lognormal distributions "may also be appropriate for use when exercised with due care and with sensitivity analyses". One distribution, that of freshwater finfish intake for children < 11 years of age in New England, could not be modeled due to the absence of any reported consumption.

Table 10-6 presents the optimal lognormal parameters, the mean ( $\mu$ ), standard deviation (s), and min SS, for all 89 modeled distributions. These parameters can be used to determine percentiles of the corresponding distribution of average daily fish consumption rates through the relation $\operatorname{DFC}(\mathrm{p})=\exp [\mu+\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{s}]$ where $\operatorname{DFC}(\mathrm{p})$ is the pth percentile of the distribution of average daily fish consumption rates and $\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{p})$ is the z -score associated with the pth percentile (e.g., $z(50)=0$ ). The mean average daily fish consumption rate is given by $\exp \left[\mu+0.5 \mathrm{~s}^{2}\right]$.

The analyses of Javitz (1980) and Ruffle et al. (1994) were based on consumers only, who are estimated to represent 94.0 percent of the U.S. population. U.S. EPA estimated the mean intake in the general population by multiplying the fraction consuming, 0.94 , by the mean among consumers reported by Javitz (1980) of $14.3 \mathrm{~g} /$ day; the resulting estimate is $13.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. The 95th percentile estimate of Javitz (1980) of $41.7 \mathrm{~g} /$ day among consumers would be essentially unchanged when applied to the general population; $41.7 \mathrm{~g} /$ day would represent the 95.3 percentile (i.e., $100 *[0.95 * 0.94+0.06]$ ) among the general population.

Advantages of the TRI data survey are that it was a large, nationally representative survey with a high response rate ( 80 percent) and was conducted over an entire year. In addition, consumption was recorded in a daily diary over a one month period; this format should be more reliable than one based on one-month recall. The upper percentiles presented are derived from one month of data, and are likely to overestimate the corresponding upper percentiles of the long-term (i.e., one year or more) average daily fish intake distribution. Similarly, the standard deviation of the fitted lognormal distribution probably overestimates the standard deviation of the long-term distribution. However, the period of this survey (one month) is considerably longer than those of many
other consumption studies, including the USDA National Food Consumption Surveys, which report consumption over a 3 day to one week period.

Another obvious limitation of this data base is that it is now over twenty years out of date. Ruffle et al. (1994) considered this shortcoming and suggested that one may wish to shift the distribution upward to account for the recent increase in fish consumption. Adding $\ln (1+x / 100)$ to the $\log$ mean $\mu$ will shift the distribution upward by x percent (e.g., adding $0.22=\ln (1.25)$ increases the distribution by 25 percent). Although the TRI survey distinguished between recreationally and commercially caught fish, Javitz (1980), Rupp et al. (1980), and Ruffle et al. (1994) (which was based on Rupp et al., 1980) did not present analyses by this variable.
U.S. EPA (1996a) - Daily Average Per Capita Fish Consumption Estimates Based on the Combined USDA 1989, 1990, and 1991 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII) - The USDA conducts the CSFII on an ongoing basis. U.S. EPA used the 1989, 1990, and 1991 CSFII data to generate fish intake estimates. Participants in the CSFII provided 3 consecutive days of dietary data. For the first day's data, participants supplied dietary recall information to an in-home interviewer. Second and third day dietary intakes were recorded by participants. Data collection for the CSFII started in April of the given year and was completed in March of the following year.

The CSFII contains 469 fish-related food codes; survey respondents reported consumption across 284 of these codes. Respondents estimated the weight of each food that they consumed. The fish component (by weight) of these foods was calculated using data from the recipe file for release 7 of the USDA's Nutrient Data Base for Individual Food Intake Surveys. The amount of fish consumed by each individual was then calculated by summing, over all fish containing foods, the product of the weight of food consumed and the fish component (i.e., the percentage fish by weight) of the food.

The recipe file also contains cooking loss factors associated with each food. These were utilized to convert, for each fish containing food, the as-eaten fish weight consumed into an uncooked equivalent weight of fish. Analyses of fish intake were performed on both an as-eaten and uncooked basis.

Each (fish-related) food code was assigned by EPA a habitat type of either freshwater/estuarine or marine. Food codes were also designated as finfish or shellfish. Average daily individual consumption (g/day) for a given
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fish type-by-habitat category (e.g., marine finfish) was calculated by summing the amount of fish consumed by the individual across the three reporting days for all fish-related food codes in the given fish-by-habitat category and then dividing by 3 . Individual consumption per day consuming fish (g/day) was calculated similarly except that total fish consumption was divided by the specific number of survey days the individual reported consuming fish; this was calculated for fish consumers only (i.e., those consuming fish on at least one of the three survey days). The reported body-weight of the individual was used to convert consumption in $\mathrm{g} /$ day to consumption in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day.

There were a total of 11,912 respondents in the combined data set who had three-day dietary intake data. Survey weights were assigned to this data set to make it representative of the U.S. population with respect to various demographic characteristics related to food intake.
U.S. EPA (1996a) reported means, medians, upper percentiles, and 90-percent interval estimates for the 90th, 95th, and 99th percentiles. The 90-percent interval estimates are nonparametric estimates from bootstrap techniques. The bootstrap estimates result from the percentile method which estimates the lower and upper bounds for the interval estimate by the $100 \alpha$ percentile and $100(1-\alpha)$ percentile estimates from the non-parametric distribution of the given point estimate (U.S. EPA, 1996a).

Analyses of fish intake were performed on an aseaten as well as on an uncooked equivalent basis and on a $\mathrm{g} /$ day and $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day basis. Table 10-7 gives the mean and various percentiles of the distribution of per-capita fish intake rates ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$ ) based on uncooked equivalent weight by habitat and fish type, for the general population. The mean per capita intake rate of finfish and shellfish from all habitats was $20.1 \mathrm{~g} /$ day. Per-capita consumption estimates by species are shown in Appendix 10C. Table 10-8 displays the mean and various percentiles of the distribution of total fish intake per day consuming fish, by habitat for consumers only. Also displayed is the percentage of the population consuming fish of the specified habitat during the three day survey period. Tables 10-9 and 10-10 present similar results as above but on a $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day basis; Tables 10-11 and 10-12 present results in the same format for fish intake (g/day) on an as-eaten (cooked) basis.

Tables 10-13 through 10-44 present data for daily average per capita fish consumption by age and gender. These data are presented by selected age grouping (4 and
under, 15-44, 45 and older, all ages) and gender. Tables 10-13 through 10-20 present fish intake data (g/day and $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) on an as consumed basis for the general population and Tables 10-21 through 10-28 for consumers only. Tables 10-29 through 10-44 provide intake data (g/day and $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) on an uncooked equivalent basis for the same population groups described above.

The advantages of this study are its large size, its relative currency and its representativeness. In addition, through use of the USDA recipe files, the analysis identified all fish-related food codes and estimated the percent fish content of each of these codes. By contrast, some analyses of the USDA National Food Consumption Surveys (NFCSs) which reported per capita fish intake rates ( e.g., Pao et al., 1982; USDA, 1992a), excluded certain fish containing foods (e.g., fish mixtures, frozen plate meals) in their calculations.

Results from the 1977-1978 NFCS survey (Pao et al., 1982) showed that only a small percentage of consumers ate fish on more than one occasion per day. This implies that the distribution presented for fish intake per day consuming fish can be used as a surrogate for the distribution of fish intake per (fish) eating occasion (Table 10-8).

Also, it should be noted that the 1989-91 CSFII data are not the most recent intake survey data. USDA has recently made available data from its 1994 and 1995 CSFII. Over 5,500 people nationwide participated in both of these surveys, providing recalled food intake information for two separate days. Although the 2-day data analysis has not been conducted, USDA published results for the respondents' intakes on the first day surveyed (USDA, 1996a; USDA, 1996b). USDA 1996 survey data will be made available later in 1997. As soon as 1996 data are available, EPA will take steps to get the 3-year data (1994, 1995, 1996) analyzed and the food ingestion factors updated. Meanwhile, comparisons between the mean daily fish intake per individual in a day from the USDA survey data from years 1977-78, 1987-88, 1989-91, 1994, and 1995 indicate that fish intake has been relatively constant over time. The 1-day fish intake rates were $11 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}, 11$ $\mathrm{g} /$ day, $13 \mathrm{~g} /$ day, $9 \mathrm{~g} /$ day, and $11 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for survey years 1977-78, 1987-88, 1989-91, 1994, and 1995, respectively. This indicates that the 1989-91 CSFII data presented in this handbook are probably adequate for assessing fish ingestion exposure for current populations.
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### 10.3. RELEVANT GENERAL POPULATION STUDIES

Pao et al. (1982) - Foods Commonly Eaten by Individuals: Amount Per Day and Per Eating Occasion The USDA 1977-78 Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (NFCS) was described in Chapter 9. The survey consisted of a household and individual component. For the individual component, all members of surveyed households were asked to provide 3 consecutive days of dietary data. For the first day's data, participants supplied dietary recall information to an in-home interviewer. Second and third day dietary intakes were recorded by participants. A total of 15,000 households were included in the 1977-78 NFCS and about 38,000 individuals completed the 3-day diet records. Fish intake was estimated based on consumption of fish products identified in the NFCS data base according to NFCS-defined food codes. These products included fresh, breaded, floured, canned, raw and dried fish, but not fish mixtures or frozen plate meals.

Pao et al. (1982) used the 1977-78 NFCS to examine the quantity of fish consumed per eating occasion. For each individual consuming fish in the 3 day survey period, the quantity of fish consumed per eating occasion was derived by dividing the total reported fish intake over the 3 day period by the number of occasions the individual reported eating fish. The distributions, by age and sex, for the quantity of fish consumed per eating occasion are displayed in Table 10-13 (Pao et al., 1982). For the general population, the average quantity of fish consumed per fish meal was 117 g , with a 95 th percentile of 284 g . Males in the age groups 19-34, 35-64 and 65-74 years had the highest average and 95th percentile quantities among the age-sex groups presented.

Pao et al. (1982) also used the data from this survey set to calculate per capita fish intake rates. However, because these data are now almost 20 years out of date, this analysis is not considered key with respect to assessing per capita intake (the average quantity of fish consumed per fish meal should be less subject to change over time than is per capita intake). In addition, fish mixtures and frozen plate meals were not included in the calculation of fish intake. The per capita fish intake rate reported by Pao et al. (1982) was $11.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. The 1977-1978 NFCS was a large and well designed survey and the data are representative of the U.S. population.

USDA Nationwide Food Consumption Survey 1987-88 - The USDA 1987-88 Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (NFCS) was described in Chapter 9.

Briefly, the survey consisted of a household and individual component. The household component asked about household food consumption over the past one week period. For the individual component, each member of a surveyed household was interviewed (in person) and asked to recall all foods eaten the previous day; the information from this interview made up the "one day data" for the survey. In addition, members were instructed to fill out a detailed dietary record for the day of the interview and the following day. The data for this entire 3-day period made up the " 3 day diet records". A statistical sampling design was used to ensure that all seasons, geographic regions of the U.S., demographic, and socioeconomic groups were represented. Sampling weights were used to match the population distribution of 13 demographic characteristics related to food intake (USDA, 1992a).

Total fish intake was estimated based on consumption of fish products identified in the NFCS data base according to NFCS-defined food codes. These products included fresh, breaded, floured, canned, raw and dried fish, but not fish mixtures or frozen plate meals.

A total of 4,500 households participated in the 198788 survey; the household response rate was 38 percent. One day data were obtained for 10,172 ( 81 percent) of the 12,522 individuals in participating households; 8,468 ( 68 percent) individuals completed 3-day diet records.

USDA (1992b) used the one day data to derive per capita fish intake rate and intake rates for consumers of total fish. These rates, calculated by sex and age group, are shown in Table 10-14. Intake rates for consumers-only were calculated by dividing the per capita intake rates by the fractions of the population consuming fish in one day.

The 1987-1988 NFCS was also utilized to estimate consumption of home produced fish (as well as home produced fruits, vegetables, meats and dairy products) in the general U.S. population. The methodology for estimating home-produced intake rates was rather complex and involved combining the household and individual components of the NFCS; the methodology, as well as the estimated intake rates, are described in detail in Chapter 12. However, since much of the rest of this chapter is concerned with estimating consumption of recreationally caught, i.e., home produced fish, the methods and results of Chapter 12, as they pertain to fish consumption, are summarized briefly here.
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A total of 2.1 percent of the survey population reported home produced fish consumption during the survey week. Among consumers, the mean intake rate was 2.07 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day and the 95th percentile was $7.83 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day; the per-capita intake rate was $0.04 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. Note that intake rates for home-produced foods were indexed to the weight of the survey respondent and reported in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day.

It is possible to compare the estimates of homeproduced fish consumption derived in this analyses with estimates derived from studies of recreational anglers (described in Sections 10.4-10.8); however, the intake rates must be put into a similar context. The home-produced intake rates described refer to average daily intake rates among individuals consuming home-produced fish in a week; results from recreational angler studies, however, usually report average daily rates for those eating homeproduced fish (or for those who recreationally fish) at least some time during the year. Since many of these latter individuals eat home-produced fish at a frequency of less than once per week, the average daily intake in this group would be expected to be less than that reported.

The NFCS household component contains the question "Does anyone in your household fish?". For the population answering yes to this question ( 21 percent of households), the NFCS data show that 9 percent consumed home-produced fish in the week of the survey; the mean intake rate for these consumers from fishing households was $2.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. (Note that 91 percent of individuals reporting home grown fish consumption for the week of the survey indicated that a household member fishes; the overall mean intake rate among home-produced fish consumers, regardless of fishing status, was the above reported 2.07 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day). The per capita intake rate among those living in a fishing household is then calculated as $0.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day ( 2.2 * 0.09). Using the estimated average weight of survey participants of 59 kg , this translates into $11.8 \mathrm{~g} /$ day. Among members of fishing households, home-produced fish consumption accounted for 32.5 percent of total fish consumption.

As discussed in Chapter 12 of this volume, intake rates for home-produced foods, including fish, are based on the results of the household survey, and as such, reflect the weight of fish taken into the household. In most of the recreational fish surveys discussed later in this section, the weight of the fish catch (which generally corresponds to the weight taken into the household) is multiplied by an edible fraction to convert to an uncooked equivalent of the amount consumed. This fraction may be species specific,
but some studies used an average value; these average values ranged from 0.3 to 0.5 . Using a factor of 0.5 would convert the above $11.8 \mathrm{~g} /$ day rate to $5.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. This estimate, $5.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$, of the per-capita fish intake rate among members of fishing households is within the range of the per-capita intake rates among recreational anglers addressed in sections to follow.

An advantage of analyses based on the 1987-1988 USDA NFCS is that the data set is a large, geographically and seasonally balanced survey of a representative sample of the U.S. population. The survey response rate, however, was low and an expert panel concluded that it was not possible to establish the presence or absence of nonresponse bias (USDA, 1992b). Limitations of the homeproduced analysis are given in Chapter 12 of this volume.

Tsang and Klepeis (1996) - National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS) - The U.S. EPA collected information for the general population on the duration and frequency of time spent in selected activities and time spent in selected microenvironments via 24 -hour diaries. Over 9,000 individuals from 48 contiguous states participated in NHAPS. Approximately 4,700 participants also provided information on seafood consumption. The survey was conducted between October 1992 and September 1994. Data were collected on the (1) number of people that ate seafood in the last month, (2) the number of servings of seafood consumed, and (3) whether the seafood consumed was caught or purchased (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996). The participant responses were weighted according to selected demographics such as age, gender, and race to ensure that results were representative of the U.S. population. Of those 4,700 respondents, 2,980 ( 59.6 percent) ate seafood (including shellfish, eels, or squid) in the last month (Table 10-15). The number of servings per month were categorized in ranges of 1-2, 3-5, 6-10, 11-19, and 20+ servings per month (Table 10-16). The highest percentage (35 percent) of respondent population had an intake of 3-5 servings per month. Most ( 92 percent) of the respondents purchased the seafood they ate (Table 10-17).

Intake data were not provided in the survey. However, intake of fish can be estimated using the information on the number of servings of fish eaten from this study and serving size data from other studies. The recommended mean value in this handbook for fish serving size is $129 \mathrm{~g} /$ serving (Table 10-8). Using this mean value for serving size and assuming that the average
individual eats 3-5 servings per month, the amount of seafood eaten per month would range from 387 to 645 grams/month or 12.9 to $21.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for the highest percentage of the population. These values are within the range of mean intake values for total fish (20.1 g/day) calculated in the U.S. EPA analysis of the USDA CSFII data. It should be noted that an all inclusive description for seafood was not presented in Tsang and Klepeis (1996). It is not known if processed or canned seafood and seafood mixtures are included in the seafood category.

The advantages of NHAPS is that the data were collected for a large number of individuals and are representative of the U.S. general population. However, evaluation of seafood intake was not the primary purpose of the study and the data do not reflect the actual amount of seafood that was eaten. However, using the assumption described above, the estimated seafood intake from this study are comparable to those observed in the EPA CSFII analysis.

### 10.4. KEY RECREATIONAL (MARINE FISH STUDIES) <br> National Marine Fisheries Service (1986a, b, c;

 1993) - The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) conducts systematic surveys, on a continuing basis, of marine recreational fishing. These surveys are designed to estimate the size of the recreational marine finfish catch by location, species and fishing mode. In addition, the surveys provide estimates for the total number of participants in marine recreational finfishing and the total number of fishing trips. The surveys are not designed to estimate individual consumption of fish from marine recreational sources, primarily because they do not attempt to estimate the number of individuals consuming the recreational catch. Intake rates for marine recreational anglers can be estimated, however, by employing assumptions derived from other data sources about the number of consumers.The NMFS surveys involve two components, telephone surveys and direct interviewing of fishermen in the field. The telephone survey randomly samples residents of coastal regions, defined generally as counties within 25 miles of the nearest seacoast, and inquires about participation in marine recreational fishing in the resident's home state in the past year, and more specifically, in the past two months. This component of the survey is used to estimate, for each coastal state, the total number of coastal region residents who participate
in marine recreational fishing (for finfish) within the state, as well as the total number of (within state) fishing trips these residents take. To estimate the total number of participants and fishing trips in the state, by coastal residents and others, a ratio approach, based on the field interview data, was used. Thus, if the field survey data found that there was a $4: 1$ ratio of fishing trips taken by coastal residents as compared to trips taken by non-coastal and out of state residents, then an additional 25 percent would be added to the number of trips taken by coastal residents to generate an estimate of the total number of within state trips.

The field intercept survey is essentially a creel type survey. The survey utilizes a national site register which details marine fishing locations in each state. Sites for field interviews are chosen in proportion to fishing frequency at the site. Anglers fishing on shore, private boat, and charter/party boat modes who had completed their fishing were interviewed. The field survey included questions about frequency of fishing, area of fishing, age, and place of residence. The fish catch was classified by the interviewer as either type A, type B1 or type B2 catch. The type A catch denoted fish that were taken whole from the fishing site and were available for inspection. The type B1 and B2 catch were not available for inspection; the former consisted of fish used as bait, filleted, or discarded dead while the latter was fish released alive. The type A catch was identified by species and weighed, with the weight reflecting total fish weight, including inedible parts. The type B1 catch was not weighed, but weights were estimated using the average weight derived from the type A catch for the given species, state, fishing mode and season of the year. For both the A and B1 catch, the intended disposition of the catch (e.g., plan to eat, plan to throw away, etc.) was ascertained.

EPA obtained the raw data tapes from NMFS in order to generate intake distributions and other specialized analyses. Fish intake distributions were generated using the field survey tapes. Weights proportional to the inverse of the angler's reported fishing frequency were employed to correct for the unequal probabilities of sampling; this was the same approach used by NMFS in deriving their estimates. Note that in the field survey, anglers were interviewed regardless of past interviewing experience; thus, the use of inverse fishing frequency as weights was justified (see Section 10.1).

For each angler interviewed in the field survey, the yearly amount of fish caught that was intended to be eaten

by the angler and his/her family or friends was estimated by EPA as follows:
number of coastal residents who participated in marine finfishing in their home state was 8 million; an additional
> $\mathrm{Y}=\left[(\mathrm{wt}\right.$ of A catch $) * \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}+(\mathrm{wt}$ of B1 catch $\left.) * \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}\right] *[$ Fishing frequency $]$ (Eqn. 10-1)

750,000 non-coastal residents participated in marine finfishing in their home state.
Table 10-19 presents the estimated
where $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ are indicator variables equal to 1 if the type A (B1) catch was intended to be eaten and equal to 0 otherwise. To convert Y to a daily fish intake rate by the angler, it was necessary to convert amount of fish caught to edible amount of fish, divide by the number of intended consumers, and convert from yearly to daily rate. Although theoretically possible, EPA chose not to use species specific edible fractions to convert overall weight to edible fish weight since edible fraction estimates were not readily available for many marine species. Instead, an average value of 0.5 was employed. For the number of intended consumers, EPA used an average value of 2.5 which was an average derived from the results of several studies of recreational fish consumption (Chemrisk, 1991; Puffer et al., 1981; West et al., 1989). Thus, the average daily intake rate (ADI) for each angler was calculated as

$$
\mathrm{ADI}=\mathrm{Y} *(0.5) /[2.5 * 365]
$$

(Eqn. 10-2)

Note that ADI will be 0 for those anglers who either did not intend to eat their catch or who did not catch any fish. The distribution of ADI among anglers was calculated by region and coastal status (i.e., coastal versus non-coastal counties). A mean ADI for the overall population of a given area was calculated as follows: first the estimated number of anglers in the area was multiplied by the average number of intended fish consumers (2.5) to get a total number of recreational marine finfish consumers. This number was then multiplied by the mean ADI among anglers to get the total recreational marine finfish consumption in the area. Finally, the mean ADI in the population was calculated by dividing total fish consumption by the total population in the area.

The results presented below are based on the results of the 1993 survey. Samples sizes were 200,000 for the telephone survey and 120,000 for the field surveys. All coastal states in the continental U.S. were included in the survey except Texas and Washington.

Table 10-18 presents the estimated number of coastal, non-coastal, and out-of-state fishing participants by state and region of fishing. Florida had the greatest number of both Atlantic and Gulf participants. The total
total weight of the A and B1 catch by region and time of year. For each region, the greatest catches were during the six-month period from May through October. This period accounted for about 90 percent of the North and Mid-Atlantic catch, about 80 percent of the Northern California and Oregon catch, about 70 percent of the Southern Atlantic and Southern California catch and 62 percent of the Gulf catch. Note that in the North and Mid-Atlantic regions, field surveys were not done in January and February due to very low fishing activity. For all regions, over half the catch occurred within 3 miles of the shore or in inland waterways.

Table 10-20 presents the mean and 95th percentile of average daily intake of recreationally caught marine finfish among anglers by region. The mean ADI among all anglers was $5.6,7.2$, and $2.0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for the Atlantic, Gulf, and Pacific regions, respectively. Also given is the percapita ADI in the overall population (anglers and nonanglers) of the region and in the overall coastal population of the region. Table 10-21 gives the distribution of the catch by species for the Atlantic and Gulf regions and Table 10-22 for Pacific regions.

The NMFS surveys provide a large, up-to-date, and geographically representative sample of marine angler activity in the U.S. The major limitation of this data base in terms of estimating fish intake is the lack of information regarding the intended number of consumers of each angler's catch. In this analysis, it was assumed that every angler's catch was consumed by the same number (2.5) of people; this number was derived from averaging the results of other studies. This assumption introduces a relatively low level of uncertainty in the estimated mean intake rates among anglers, but a somewhat higher level of uncertainty in the estimated intake distributions. It should be noted that under the above assumption, the distributions shown here pertain not only to the population of anglers, but also to the entire population of recreational fish consumers, which is 2.5 times the number of anglers. If the number of consumers was changed, to, for instance, 2.0, then the distribution would be increased by a factor of 1.25 (2.5/2.0), but the estimated population of recreational fish consumers to which the distribution would apply would decrease by a factor of $0.8(2.0 / 2.5)$. Note that the mean
intake rate of marine finfish in the overall population is independent of the assumption of number of intended fish consumers.

Another uncertainty involves the use of 0.5 as an (average) edible fraction. This figure is somewhat conservative (i.e., the true average edible fraction is probably lower); thus, the intake rates calculated here may be biased upward somewhat.

It should be noted again that the recreational fish intake distributions given refer only to marine finfish. In addition, the intake rates calculated are based only on the catch of anglers in their home state. Marine fishing performed out-of-state would not be included in these distributions. Therefore, these distributions give an estimate of consumption of locally caught fish.

### 10.5. RELEVANT RECREATIONAL MARINE STUDIES

Puffer et al. (1981) - Intake Rates of Potentially Hazardous Marine Fish Caught in the Metropolitan Los Angeles Area - Puffer et al. (1981) conducted a creel survey with sport fishermen in the Los Angeles area in 1980. The survey was conducted at 12 sites in the harbor and coastal areas to evaluate intake rates of potentially hazardous marine fish and shellfish by local, non-professional fishermen. It was conducted for the full 1980 calendar year, although inclement weather in January, February, and March limited the interview days. Each site was surveyed an average of three times per month, on different days, and at a different time of the day. The survey questionnaire was designed to collect information on demographic characteristics, fishing patterns, species, number of fish caught, and fish consumption patterns. Scales were used to obtain fish weights. Interviews were conducted only with anglers who had caught fish, and the anglers were interviewed only once during the entire survey period.

Puffer et al. (1981) estimated daily consumption rates (grams/day) for each angler using the following equation:

```
(K x N x W x F)/[E x 365]
    (Eqn. 10-3)
where:
    K = edible fraction of fish (0.25 to 0.5 depending on species);
    N = number of fish in catch;
    W = average weight of (grams) fish in catch;
    F = frequency of fishing/year; and
    E = number of fish eaters in family/living group.
```

(Eqn. 10-3)
where:
$\mathrm{K}=$ edible fraction of fish ( 0.25 to 0.5 depending on species);
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of fish in catch;
$\mathrm{F}=$ frequency of fishing/year; and
$\mathrm{E}=$ number of fish eaters in family/living group.

No explicit survey weights were used in analyzing this survey; thus, each respondent's data was given equal weight.

A total of 1,059 anglers were interviewed for the survey. The ethnic and age distribution of respondents is shown in Table 10-23; 88 percent of respondents were male. The median intake rate was higher for Oriental/Samoan anglers (median $70.6 \mathrm{~g} /$ day) than for other ethnic groups and higher for those ages over 65 years (median $113.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ ) than for other age groups. Puffer et al. (1981) found similar median intake rates for seasons; $36.3 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for November through March and $37.7 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for April through October. Puffer et al. (1981) also evaluated fish preparation methods; these data are presented in Appendix 10B. The cumulative distribution of recreational fish (finfish and shellfish) consumption by survey respondents is presented in Table 10-24; this distribution was calculated only for those fishermen who indicated they eat the fish they catch. The median fish consumption rate was $37 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and the 90th percentile rate was $225 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (Puffer et al., 1981). A description of catch patterns for primary fish species kept is presented in Table 10-25.

As mentioned in the Background to this Chapter, intake distributions derived from analyses of creel surveys which did not employ weights reflective of sampling probabilities will overestimate the target population intake distribution and will, in fact, be more reflective of the "resource utilization distribution". Therefore, the reported median level of $37.3 \mathrm{~g} /$ day does not reflect the fact that 50 percent of the target population has intake above this level; instead 50 percent of recreational fish consumption is by individuals consuming at or above $37.3 \mathrm{~g} /$ day. In order to generate an intake distribution reflective of that in the target population, weights inversely proportional to sampling probability need to be employed. Price et al. (1994) made this attempt with the Puffer et al. (1981) survey data, using inverse fishing frequencies as the sampling weights. Price et al. (1994) was unable to get the raw data for this survey, but using frequency tables and the average level of fish consumption per fishing trip provided in Puffer et al. (1981), generated an approximate revised intake distribution. This distribution was dramatically lower than that obtained by Puffer et al. (1981); the median was estimated at $2.9 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (compared with 37.3 from Puffer et al., 1981) and the 90th percentile at $35 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (compared to $225 \mathrm{~g} /$ day from Puffer et al., 1981).
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There are several limitations to the interpretation of the percentiles presented by both Puffer et al. (1981) and Price et al. (1994). As described in Appendix 10A, the interpretation of percentiles reported from creel surveys in terms of percentiles of the "resource utilization distribution" is approximate and depends on several assumptions. One of these assumptions is that sampling probability is proportional to inverse fishing frequency. In this survey, where interviewers revisited sites numerous times and anglers were not interviewed more than once, this assumption is not valid, though it is likely that the sampling probability is still highly dependant on fishing frequency so that the assumption does hold in an approximate sense. The validity of this assumption also impacts the interpretation of percentiles reported by Price et al. (1994) since inverse frequency was used as sampling weights. It is likely that the value ( $2.9 \mathrm{~g} /$ day) of Price et al. (1994) underestimates somewhat the median intake in the target population, but is much closer to the actual value than the Puffer et al. (1981) estimate of $37.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. Similar statements would apply about the 90th percentile. Similarly, the $37.3 \mathrm{~g} /$ day median value, if interpreted as the 50th percentile of the "resource utilization distribution", is also somewhat of an underestimate.

It should be noted again that the fish intake distribution generated by Puffer et al. (1981) (and by Price et al., 1994) was based only on fishermen who caught fish and ate the fish they caught. If all anglers were included, intake estimates would be somewhat lower. In contrast, the survey assumed that the number of fish caught at the time of the interview was all that would be caught that day. If it were possible to interview fishermen at the conclusion of their fishing day, intake estimates could be potentially higher. An additional factor potentially affecting intake rates is that fishing quarantines were imposed in early spring due to heavy sewage overflow (Puffer et al., 1981).

Pierce et al. (1981) - Commencement Bay Seafood Consumption Study - Pierce et al. (1981) performed a local creel survey to examine seafood consumption patterns and demographics of sport fishermen in Commencement Bay, Washington. The objectives of this survey included determining (1) seafood consumption habits and demographics of non-commercial anglers catching seafood; (2) the extent to which resident fish were used as food; and (3) the method of preparation of the fish to be consumed. Salmon were excluded from the survey since it was believed that they had little potential for contamination. The first half of this survey was
conducted from early July to mid-September, 1980 and the second half from mid-September through most of November. During the summer months, interviewers visited each of 4 sub-areas of Commencement Bay on five mornings and five evenings; in the fall the areas were sampled 4 complete survey days. Interviews were conducted only with persons who had caught fish. The anglers were interviewed only once during the survey period. Data were recorded for species, wet weight, size of the living group (family, place of residence, fishing frequency, planned uses of the fish, age, sex, and race (Pierce et al., 1981). The analysis of Pierce et al. (1981) did not employ explicit sampling weights (i.e., all weights were set to 1 ).

There were 304 interviews in the summer and 204 in the fall. About 60 percent of anglers were white, 20 percent black, 19 percent Oriental and the rest Hispanic or Native American. Table 10-26 gives the distribution of fishing frequency calculated by Pierce et al. (1981); for both the summer and fall, more than half of the fishermen caught and consumed fish weekly. The dominant (by weight) species caught were Pacific Hake and Walleye Pollock. Pierce et al. (1981) did not present a distribution of fish intake or a mean fish intake rate.

The U.S. EPA (1989a) used the Pierce et al. (1981) fishing frequency distribution and an estimate of the average amount of fish consumed per angling trip to create an approximate intake distribution for the Pierce et al. (1981) survey. The estimate of the amount of fish consumed per angling trip ( $380 \mathrm{~g} /$ person-trip) was based on data on mean fish catch weight and mean number of consumers reported in Pierce et. al. (1981) and on an edible fraction of 0.5 . U.S. EPA (1989a) reported a median intake rate of 23 g/day.

Price et al. (1994) obtained the raw data from this survey and performed a re-analysis using sampling weights proportional to inverse fishing frequency. The rationale for these weights is explained in Section 10.1 and in the discussion above of the Puffer et al. (1981) study. In the reanalysis, Price et al. (1994) found a median intake rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and a 90 th percentile rate of $13 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. The distribution of fishing frequency generated by Price et al. (1994) is shown in Table 10-27. Note that when equal weights were used, Price et al. (1994) found a median rate of $19 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$, which was close to the approximate U.S. EPA (1989a) value reported above of $23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$.

The same limitations apply to interpreting the results presented here to those presented above in the
discussion of Puffer et al. (1981). The median intake rate found by Price et al. (1994) (using inverse frequency weights) is more reflective of median intake in the target population than is the value of $19 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (or $23 \mathrm{~g} /$ day); the latter value reflects more the 50th percentile of the resource utilization distribution, (i.e., that anglers with intakes above $19 \mathrm{~g} /$ day consume 50 percent of the recreational fish catch). Similarly, the fishing frequency distribution generated by Price et al. (1994) is more reflective of the fishing frequency distribution in the target population than is the distribution presented in Pierce et al. (1981). Note the target population is those anglers who fished at Commencement Bay during the time period of the survey.

As with the Puffer et al. (1981) data, these values ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and $19 \mathrm{~g} /$ day) are both probably underestimates since the sampling probabilities are less than proportional to fishing frequency; thus, the true target population median is probably somewhat above $1.0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and the true 50th percentile of the resource utilization distribution is probably somewhat higher than $19 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. The data from this survey provide an indication of consumption patterns for the time period around 1980 in the Commencement Bay area. However, the data may not reflect current consumption patterns because fishing advisories were instituted due to local contamination.
U.S. DHHS (1995) - Health Study to Assess the Human Health Effects of Mercury Exposure to Fish Consumed from the Everglades - A health study was conducted in two phases in the Everglades, Florida for the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (U.S. DHHS, 1995). The objectives of the first phase were to: (a) describe the human populations at risk for mercury exposure through their consumption of fish and other contaminated animals from the Everglades and (b) evaluate the extent of mercury exposure in those persons consuming contaminated food and their compliance with the voluntary health advisory. The second phase of the study involved neurologic testing of all study participants who had total mercury levels in hair greater than $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$. Study participants were identified by using special targeted screenings, mailings to residents, postings and multi-media advertisements of the study throughout the Everglades region, and direct discussions with people fishing along the canals and waterways in the contaminated areas. The contaminated areas were identified by the interviewers and long-term Everglade residents. Of a total of 1,794 individuals sampled, 405 individuals were eligible to participate in the study
because they had consumed fish or wildlife from the Everglades at least once per month in the last 3 months of the study period. The majority of the eligible participants (> 93 percent) were either subsistence fishermen, Everglade residents, or both. Of the total eligible participants, 55 individuals refused to participate in the survey. Useable data were obtained from 330 respondents ranging in age from 10-81 years of age (mean age 39 years $\pm 18.8$ ) (U.S. DHHS, 1995). Respondents were administered a three page questionnaire from which demographic information, fishing and eating habits, and other variables were obtained (U.S. DHHS, 1995).

Table 10-28 shows the ranges, means, and standard deviations of selected characteristics by subgroups of the survey population. Sixty-two percent of the respondents were male with a slight preponderance of black individuals (43 percent white, 46 percent black non-Hispanic, and 11 percent Hispanic) (Table 10-28). Most of the respondents reported earning an annual income of $\$ 15,000$ or less per family before taxes (U.S. DHHS, 1995). The mean number of years fished along the canals by the respondents was 15.8 years with a standard deviation of 15.8 . The mean number of times per week fish consumers reported eating fish over the last 6 months and last month of the survey period was 1.8 and 1.5 per week with a standard deviation of 2.5 and 1.4 , respectively (Table 10-28). Table 10-28 also indicates that 71 percent of the respondents reported knowing about the mercury health advisories. Of those who were aware, 26 percent reported that they had lowered their consumption of fish caught in the Everglades while the rest (74 percent) reported no change in consumption patterns (U.S. DHHS, 1995).

A limitation of this study is that fish intake rates (g/day) were not reported. Another limitation is that the survey was site limited, and, therefore, not representative of the U.S. population. An advantage of this study is that it is one of the few studies targeting subsistence fishermen.

### 10.6. KEY FRESHWATER RECREATIONAL STUDIES

West et al. (1989) - Michigan Sport Anglers Fish Consumption Survey, 1989 - surveyed a stratified random sample of Michigan residents with fishing licences. The sample was divided into 18 cohorts, with one cohort receiving a mail questionnaire each week between January and May 1989. The survey included both a short term recall component recording respondents' fish intake over
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a seven day period and a usual frequency component. For the short-term component, respondents were asked to identify all household members and list all fish meals consumed by each household member during the past seven days. The source of the fish for each meal was requested (self-caught, gift, market, or restaurant). Respondents were asked to categorize serving size by comparison with pictures of 8 oz. fish portions; serving sizes could be designated as either "about the same size", "less", or "more" than the 8 oz . picture. Data on fish species, locations of self-caught fish and methods of preparation and cooking were also obtained.

The usual frequency component of the survey asked about the frequency of fish meals during each of the four seasons and requested respondents to give the overall percentage of household fish meals that come from recreational sources. A sample of 2,600 individuals were selected from state records to receive survey questionnaires. A total of 2,334 survey questionnaires were deliverable and 1,104 were completed and returned, giving a response rate of 47.3 percent among individuals receiving questionnaires.

In the analysis of the survey data by West et. al. (1989), the authors did not attempt to generate the distribution of recreationally caught fish intake in the survey population. EPA obtained the raw data of this survey for the purpose of generating fish intake distributions and other specialized analyses.

As described elsewhere in this handbook, percentiles of the distribution of average daily intake reflective of longterm consumption patterns can not in general be estimated using short-term (e.g., one week) data. Such data can be used to estimate mean average daily intake rates (reflective of short or long term consumption); in addition, short term data can serve to validate estimates of usual intake based on longer recall.

EPA first analyzed the short term data with the intent of estimating mean fish intake rates. In order to compare these results with those based on usual intake, only respondents with information on both short term and usual intake were included in this analysis. For the analysis of the short term data, EPA modified the serving size weights used by West et al. (1989), which were 5, 8 and 10 oz., respectively, for portions that were less, about the same, and more than the 8 oz . picture. EPA examined the percentiles of the distribution of fish meal sizes reported in Pao et al. (1982) derived from the 1977-1978 USDA National Food Consumption Survey and observed that a lognormal distribution provided a good visual fit to
the percentile data. Using this lognormal distribution, the mean values for serving sizes greater than 8 oz . and for serving sizes at least 10 percent greater than 8 oz . were determined. In both cases a serving size of 12 oz . was consistent with the Pao et al. (1982) distribution. The weights used in the EPA analysis then were 5,8 , and 12 oz . for fish meals described as less, about the same, and more than the 8 oz. picture, respectively. It should be noted that the mean serving size from Pao et al. (1982) was about 5 oz., well below the value of 8 oz . most commonly reported by respondents in the West et al. (1989) survey.

Table 10-29 displays the mean number of total and recreational fish meals for each household member based on the seven day recall data. Also shown are mean fish intake rates derived by applying the weights described above to each fish meal. Intake was calculated on both a grams/day and grams/kg body weight/day basis. This analysis was restricted to individuals who eat fish and who reside in households reporting some recreational fish consumption during the previous year. About 75 percent of survey respondents (i.e., licensed anglers) and about 84 percent of respondents who fished in the prior year reported some household recreational fish consumption.

The EPA analysis next attempted to use the short term data to validate the usual intake data. West et al. (1989) asked the main respondent in each household to provide estimates of their usual frequency of fishing and eating fish, by season, during the previous year. The survey provides a series of frequency categories for each season and the respondent was asked to check the appropriate range. The ranges used for all questions were: almost daily, 2-4 times a week, once a week, 2-3 times a month, once a month, less often, none, and don't know. For quantitative analysis of the data it is necessary to convert this categorical information into numerical frequency values. As some of the ranges are relatively broad, the choice of conversion values can have some effect on intake estimates. In order to obtain optimal values, the usual fish eating frequency reported by respondents for the season during which the questionnaire was completed was compared to the number of fish meals reportedly consumed by respondents over the seven day short-term recall period. The results of these comparisons are displayed in Table 10-30; it shows that, on average, there is general agreement between estimates made using one year recall and estimates based on seven day recall. The average number of meals ( $1.96 /$ week) was at the bottom of the range for the most frequent consumption
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group with data ( $2-4$ meals/week). In contrast, for the lower usual frequency categories, the average number of meals was at the top, or exceeded the top of category range. This suggests some tendency for relatively infrequent fish eaters to underestimate their usual frequency of fish consumption. The last column of the table shows the estimated fish eating frequency per week that was selected for use in making quantitative estimates of usual fish intake. These values were guided by the values in the second column, except that frequency values that were inconsistent with the ranges provided to respondents in the survey were avoided.

Using the four seasonal fish eating frequencies provided by respondents and the above conversions for reported intake frequency, EPA estimated the average number of fish meals per week for each respondent. This estimate, as well as the analysis above, pertain to the total number of fish meals eaten (in Michigan) regardless of the source of the fish. Respondents were not asked to provide a seasonal breakdown for eating frequency of recreationally caught fish; rather, they provided an overall estimate for the past year of the percent of fish they ate that was obtained from different sources. EPA estimated the annual frequency of recreationally caught fish meals by multiplying the estimated total number of fish meals by the reported percent of fish meals obtained from recreational sources; recreational sources were defined as either self caught or a gift from family or friends.

The usual intake component of the survey did not include questions about the usual portion size for fish meals. In order to estimate usual fish intake, a portion size of 8 oz . was applied (the majority of respondents reported this meal size in the 7 day recall data). Individual body weight data were used to estimate intake on a $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day basis. The fish intake distribution estimated by EPA is displayed in Table 10-31.

The distribution shown in Table 10-31 is based on respondents who consumed recreational caught fish. As mentioned above, these represent 75 percent of all respondents and 84 percent of respondents who reported having fished in the prior year. Among this latter population, the mean recreational fish intake rate is $14.4 * 0.84=12.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$; the value of $38.7 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( 95 th percentile among consumers) corresponds to the 95.8th percentile of the fish intake distribution in this (fishing) population.

The advantages of this data set and analysis are that the survey was relatively large and contained both short-
term and usual intake data. The presence of short term data allowed validation of the usual intake data which was based on long term recall; thus, some of the problems associated with surveys relying on long term recall are mitigated here.

The response rate of this survey, 47 percent, was relatively low. In addition, the usual fish intake distribution generated here employed a constant fish meal size, 8 oz.. Although use of this value as an average meal size was validated by the short-term recall results, the use of a constant meal size, even if correct on average, may seriously reduce the variation in the estimated fish intake distribution.

This study was conducted in the winter and spring months of 1988. This period does not include the summer months when peak fishing activity can be anticipated, leading to the possibility that intake results based on the 7 day recall data may understate individuals' usual (annual average) fish consumption. A second survey by West et al. (1993) gathered diary data on fish intake for respondents spaced over a full year. However, this later survey did not include questions about usual fish intake and has not been reanalyzed here. The mean recreational fish intake rates derived from the short term and usual components were quite similar, however, 14.0 versus $14.4 \mathrm{~g} /$ day.

Chemrisk (1991) - Consumption of Freshwater Fish by Maine Anglers - Chemrisk conducted a study to characterize the rates of freshwater fish consumption among Maine residents (Chemrisk, 1991; Ebert et al., 1993). Since the only dietary source of local freshwater fish is recreational fish, the anglers in Maine were chosen as the survey population. The survey was designed to gather information on the consumption of fish caught by anglers from flowing (rivers and streams) and standing (lakes and ponds) water bodies. Respondents were asked to recall the frequency of fishing trips during the 1989-1990 ice-fishing season and the 1990 open water season, the number of fish species caught during both seasons, and estimate the number of fish consumed from 15 fish species. The respondents were also asked to describe the number, species, and average length of each sport-caught fish consumed that had been gifts from other members of their households or other household. The weight of fish consumed by anglers was calculated by first multiplying the estimated weight of the fish by the edible fraction, and then dividing this product by the number of intended consumers. Species specific regression equations were utilized to estimate weight from the reported fish length.
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The edible fractions used were 0.4 for salmon, 0.78 for Atlantic smelt, and 0.3 for all other species (Ebert et al., 1993).

A total of 2,500 prospective survey participants were randomly selected from a list of anglers licensed in Maine. The surveys were mailed in during October, 1990. Since this was before the end of the open fishing season, respondents were also asked to predict how many more open water fishing trips they would undertake in 1990.

Chemrisk (1991) and Ebert et al. (1993) calculated distributions of freshwater fish intake for two populations, "all anglers" and "consuming anglers". All anglers were defined as licensed anglers who fished during either the 1989-1990 ice-fishing season or the 1990 open-water season (consumers and non-consumers) and licensed anglers who did not fish but consumed freshwater fish caught in Maine during these seasons. "Consuming anglers" were defined as those anglers who consumed freshwater fish obtained from Maine sources during the 1989-1990 ice fishing or 1990 open water fishing season. In addition, the distribution of fish intake from rivers and streams was also calculated for two populations, those fishing on rivers and streams ("river anglers") and those consuming fish from rivers and streams ("consuming river anglers").

A total of 1,612 surveys were returned, giving a response rate of 64 percent; 1,369 ( 85 percent) of the 1,612 respondents were included in the "all angler" population and 1,053 ( 65 percent) were included in the "consuming angler" population. Freshwater fish intake distributions for these populations are presented in Table 10-32. The mean and 95th percentile was $5.0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and $21.0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day, respectively, for " all anglers," and $6.4 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and 26.0 g/day, respectively, for "consuming anglers." Table 10-32 also presents intake distributions for fish caught from rivers and streams. Among "river anglers" the mean and 95th percentiles were $1.9 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and $6.2 \mathrm{~g} /$ day, respectively, while among "consuming river anglers" the mean was $3.7 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and the 95th percentile was $12.0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day. Table $10-33$ presents fish intake distributions by ethnic group for consuming anglers. The highest mean intake rates reported are for Native Americans ( $10 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ) and French Canadians (7.4 g/day). Because there was a low number of respondents for Hispanics, Asian/Pacific Islanders, and African Americans, intake rates within these subgroups were not calculated (Chemrisk, 1991).

The consumption, by species, of freshwater fish caught is presented in Table 10-34. The largest specie consumption was salmon from ice fishing ( $\sim 292,000$
grams); white perch ( 380,000 grams) for lakes and ponds; and Brooktrout (420,000 grams) for rivers and streams (Chemrisk, 1991).

EPA obtained the raw data tapes from the marine anglers survey and performed some specialized analyses. One analysis involved examining the percentiles of the "resource utilization distribution" (this distribution was defined in Section 10.1). The 50th, or more generally the pth percentile of the resource utilization distribution, is defined as the consumption level such that $p$ percent of the resource is consumed by individuals with consumptions below this level and 100-p percent by individuals with consumptions above this level. EPA found that 90 percent of recreational fish consumption was by individuals with intake rates above $3.1 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and 50 percent was by individuals with intakes above $20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. Those above 3.1 g/day make up about 30 percent of the "all angler" population and those above $20 \mathrm{~g} /$ day make up about 5 percent of this population; thus, the top 5 percent of the angler population consumed 50 percent of the recreational fish catch.

EPA also performed an analysis of fish consumption among anglers and their families. This analysis was possible because the survey included questions on the number, sex, and age of each individual in the household and whether the individual consumed recreationally caught fish. The total population of licensed anglers in this survey and their household members was 4,872 ; the average household size for the 1,612 anglers in the survey was thus 3.0 persons. Fifty-six percent of the population was male and 30 percent was 18 or under.

A total of 55 percent of this population was reported to consume freshwater recreationally caught fish in the year of the survey. The sex and ethnic distribution of the consumers was similar to that of the overall population. The distribution of fish intake among the overall household population, or among consumers in the household, can be calculated under the assumption that recreationally caught fish was shared equally among all members of the household reporting consumption of such fish (note this assumption was used above to calculate intake rates for anglers). With this assumption, the mean intake rate among consumers was $5.9 \mathrm{~g} /$ day with a median of $1.8 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and a 95th percentile of $23.1 \mathrm{~g} /$ day; for the overall population the mean was $3.2 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and the 95th percentile was $14.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$.

The results of this survey can be put into the context of the overall Maine population. The 1,612
anglers surveyed represent about 0.7 percent of the estimated 225,000 licensed anglers in Maine. It is reasonable to assume that licensed anglers and their families will have the highest exposure to recreationally caught freshwater fish. Thus, to estimate the number of persons in Maine with recreationally caught freshwater fish intake above, for instance, $6.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (the 80th percentile among household consumers in this survey), one can assume that virtually all persons came from the population of licensed anglers and their families. The number of persons above 6.5 $\mathrm{g} /$ day in the household survey population is calculated by taking 20 percent (i.e., 100 percent -80 percent) of the consuming population in the survey; this number then is $0.2 *(0.55 * 4872)=536$. Dividing this number by the sampling fraction of 0.007 ( 0.7 percent) gives about 77,000 persons above $6.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day of recreational freshwater fish consumption statewide. The 1990 census showed the population of Maine to be 1.2 million people; thus the 77,000 persons above $6.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day represent about 6 percent of the state's population.

Chemrisk (1991) reported that the fish consumption estimates obtained from the survey were conservative because of assumptions made in the analysis. The assumptions included: a 40 percent estimate as the edible portion of landlocked and Atlantic salmon; inclusion of the intended number of future fishing trips and an assumption that the average success and consumption rates for the individual angler during the trips already taken would continue through future trips. The data collected for this study were based on recall and self-reporting which may have resulted in a biased estimate. The social desirability of the sport and frequency of fishing are also bias contributing factors; successful anglers are among the highest consumers of freshwater fish (Chemrisk, 1991). Over reporting appears to be correlated with skill level and the importance of the activity to the individual; it is likely that the higher consumption rates may be substantially overstated (Chemrisk, 1991). Additionally, fish advisories are in place in these areas and may affect the rate of fish consumption among anglers. The survey results showed that in 1990, 23 percent of all anglers consumed no freshwater fish, and 55 percent of the river anglers ate no freshwater fish. An advantage of this study is that it presents area-specific consumption patterns and the sample size is rather large.

West et al. (1993) - Michigan Sport Anglers Fish Consumption Study, 1991-1992 - This survey, financed by the Michigan Great Lakes Protection Fund, was a follow-
up to the earlier 1989 Michigan survey described previously. The major purpose of 1991-1992 survey was to provide short-term recall data of recreational fish consumption over a full year period; the 1989 survey, in contrast, was conducted over only a half year period (West et al., 1993).

This survey was similar in design to the 1989 Michigan survey. A sample of 7,000 persons with Michigan fishing licenses was drawn and surveys were mailed in 2-week cohorts over the period January, 1991 to January, 1992. Respondents were asked to report detailed fish consumption patterns during the preceding seven days, as well as demographic information; they were also asked if they currently eat fish. Enclosed with the survey were pictures of about a half pound of fish. Respondents were asked to indicate whether reported consumption at each meal was more, less or about the same as the picture. Based on responses to this question, respondents were assumed to have consumed 10,5 or 8 ounces of fish, respectively.

A total of 2,681 surveys were returned. West et al. (1993) calculated a response rate for the survey of 46.8 percent; this was derived by removing from the sample those respondents who could not be located or who did not reside in Michigan for at least six months.

Of these 2,681 respondents, 2,475 ( 93 percent) reported that they currently eat fish; all subsequent analyses were restricted to the current fish eaters. The mean fish consumption rates were found to be $16.7 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for sport fish and $26.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for total fish (West et al., 1993). Table 1035 shows mean sport-fish consumption rates by demographic categories. Rates were higher among minorities, people with low income, and people residing in smaller communities. Consumption rates in g/day were also higher in males than in females; however, this difference would likely disappear if rates were computed on a $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day basis.

West et al. (1993) estimated the 80th percentile of the survey fish consumption distribution. More extensive percentile calculations were performed by U.S. EPA (1995) using the raw data from the West et al. (1993) survey and calculated 50th, 90th, and 95th percentiles. However, since this survey only measured fish consumption over a short (one week) interval, the resulting distribution will not be indicative of the long-term fish consumption distribution and the upper percentiles reported from the EPA analysis will likely considerably overestimate the corresponding long term percentiles. The overall 95th percentile calculated by
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U.S. EPA (1995) was 77.9; this is about double the 95th percentile estimated using year long consumption data from the 1989 Michigan survey.

The limitations of this survey are the relatively low response rate and the fact that only three categories were used to assign fish portion size. The main study strengths were its relatively large size and its reliance on short-term recall.

Connelly et al. (1996) - Sportfish Consumption Patterns of Lake Ontario Anglers and the Relationship to Health Advisories, 1992 - The objectives of this study were to provide accurate estimates of fish consumption (overall and sport caught) among Lake Ontario anglers and to evaluate the effect of Lake Ontario health advisory recommendations (Connelly et al., 1996). To target Lake Ontario anglers, a sample of 2,500 names was randomly drawn from 1990-1991 New York fishing license records for licenses purchased in six counties bordering Lake Ontario. Participation in the study was solicited by mail with potential participants encouraged to enroll in the study even if they fished infrequently or consumed little or no sport caught fish. The survey design involved three survey techniques including a mail questionnaire asking for 12 month recall of 1991 fishing trips and fish consumption, self-recording information in a diary for 1992 fishing trips and fish consumption, periodic telephone interviews to gather information recorded in the diary and a final telephone interview to determine awareness of health advisories (Connelly et al., 1996).

Participants were instructed to record in the diary the species of fish eaten, meal size, method by which fish was acquired (sport-caught or other), fish preparation and cooking techniques used and the number of household members eating the meal. Fish meals were defined as finfish only. Meal size was estimated by participants by comparing their meal size to pictures of 8 oz . fish steaks and fillets on dinner plates. An 8 oz. size was assumed unless participants noted their meal size was smaller than 8 oz ., in which case a 4 oz . size was assumed, or they noted it was larger than 8 oz., in which case a 12 oz . size was assumed. Participants were also asked to record information on fishing trips to Lake Ontario and species and length of any fish caught.

From the initial sample of 2,500 license buyers, 1,993 (80 percent) were reachable by phone or mail and 1,410 of these were eligible for the study, in that they intended to fish Lake Ontario in 1992. A total of 1,202 of these 1,410 , or 85 percent, agreed to participate in the study. Of the 1,202 participants, 853 either returned the
diary or provided diary information by telephone. Due to changes in health advisories for Lake Ontario which resulted in less Lake Ontario fishing in 1992, only 43 percent, or 366 of these 853 persons indicated that they fished Lake Ontario during 1992. The study analyses summarized below concerning fish consumption and Lake Ontario fishing participation are based on these 366 persons.

Anglers who fished Lake Ontario reported an average of $30.3($ S.E. $=2.3)$ fish meals per person from all sources in 1992; of these meals 28 percent were sport caught (Connelly et al., 1996). Less than 1 percent ate no fish for the year and 16 percent ate no sport caught fish. The mean fish intake rate from all sources was $17.9 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and from sport caught sources was $4.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. Table 10-36 gives the distribution of fish intake rates from all sources and from sport caught fish. The median rates were $14.1 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for all sources and $2.2 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for sport caught; the 95th percentiles were $42.3 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and $17.9 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for all sources and sport caught, respectively. As seen in Table 10-37, statistically significant differences in intake rates were seen across age and residence groups, with residents of large cities and younger people having lower intake rates on average.

The main advantage of this study is the diary format. This format provides more accurate information on fishing participation and fish consumption, than studies based on 1 year recall (Ebert et al., 1993). However, a considerable portion of diary respondents participated in the study for only a portion of the year and some errors may have been generated in extrapolating these respondents' results to the entire year (Connelly et al., 1996). In addition, the response rate for this study was relatively low, 853 of 1,410 eligible respondents, or 60 percent, which may have engendered some non-response bias.

The presence of health advisories should be taken into account when evaluating the intake rates observed in this study. Nearly all respondents (>95 percent) were aware of the Lake Ontario health advisory. This advisory counseled to eat none of 9 fish species from Lake Ontario and to eat no more than one meal per month of another 4 species. In addition, New York State issues a general advisory to eat no more than 52 sport caught fish meals per year. Among participants who fished Lake Ontario in 1992, 32 percent said they would eat more fish if health advisories did not exist. A significant fraction of respondents did not totally adhere to the fish advisory; however, 36 percent of respondents, and 72 percent of
respondents reporting Lake Ontario fish consumption, ate at least one species of fish over the advisory limit. Interestingly, 90 percent of those violating the advisory reported that they believed they were eating within advisory limits.

### 10.7. RELEVANT FRESHWATER RECREATIONAL STUDIES

Fiore et al. (1989) - Sport Fish Consumption and Body Burden Levels of Chlorinated Hydrocarbons: A Study of Wisconsin Anglers. This survey, reported by Fiore et al. (1989), was conducted to assess sociodemographic factors and sport fishing habits of anglers, to evaluate anglers' comprehension of and compliance with the Wisconsin Fish Consumption Advisory, to measure body burden levels of PCBs and DDE through analysis of blood serum samples and to examine the relationship between body burden levels and consumption of sport-caught fish. The survey targeted all Wisconsin residents who had purchased fishing or sporting licenses in 1984 in any of 10 pre-selected study counties. These counties were chosen in part based on their proximity to water bodies identified in Wisconsin fish advisories. A total of 1,600 anglers were sent survey questionnaires during the summer of 1985.

The survey questionnaire included questions about fishing history, locations fished, species targeted, kilograms caught for consumption, overall fish consumption (including commercially caught) and knowledge of fish advisories. The recall period was one year.

A total of 801 surveys were returned ( 50 percent response rate). Of these, 601 ( 75 percent) were from males and 200 from females; the mean age was 37 years. Fiore et al. (1989) reported that the mean number of fish meals for 1984 for all respondents was 18 for sport-caught meals and 24 for non-sport caught meals. Fiore et al. (1989) assumed that each fish meal consisted of 8 ounces ( 227 grams) of fish to generate means and percentiles of fish intake. The reported per-capita intake rate of sport-caught fish was 11.2 $\mathrm{g} /$ day; among consumers, who comprised 91 percent of all respondents, the mean sport-caught fish intake rate was $12.3 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and the 95 th percentile was $37.3 \mathrm{~g} /$ day. The mean daily fish intake from all sources (both sport caught and commercial) was $26.1 \mathrm{~g} /$ day with a 95 th percentile of $63.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. The 95 th percentile of $37.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ of sport caught fish represents 60 fish meals per year; $63.4 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (the 95th percentile of total fish intake) represents 102 fish meals per year.

Fiore et al. (1989) assumed a (constant) meal size of 8 ounces (227 grams) of fish which may over-estimate average meal size. Pao et al. (1982), using data from the 1977-78 USDA NFCS, reported an average fish meal size of slightly less than 150 grams for adult males. EPA obtained the raw data from this study and calculated the distribution of the number of sport-caught fish meals and the distribution of fish intake rates (using 150 grams/meal); these distributions are presented in Table 10-38. With this average meal size, the per-capita estimate is $7.4 \mathrm{~g} /$ day .

This study is limited in its ability to accurately estimate intake rates because of the absence of data on weight of fish consumed. Another limitation of this study is that the results are based on one year recall, which may tend to over-estimate the number of fishing trips (Ebert et al.,1993). In addition, the response rate was rather low (50 percent).

Connelly et al. (1992) - Effects of Health Advisory and Advisory Changes on Fishing Habits and Fish Consumption in New York Sport Fisheries - Connelly et al. (1992) conducted a study to assess the awareness and knowledge of New York anglers about fishing advisories and contaminants found in fish and their fishing and fish consuming behaviors. The survey sample consisted of 2,000 anglers with New York State fishing licenses for the year beginning October 1, 1990 through September 30, 1991. A questionnaire was mailed to the survey sample in January, 1992. The questionnaire was designed to measure catch and consumption of fish, as well as methods of fish preparation and knowledge of and attitudes towards health advisories (Connelly et al., 1992). The survey adjusted response rate was 52.8 percent ( 1,030 questionnaires were completed and 51 were not deliverable).

The average and median number of fishing days per year were 27 and 15 days respectively (Connelly et al. 1992). The mean number of sport-caught fish meals was 11. About 25 percent of anglers reported that they did not consume sport-caught fish.

Connelly et al. (1992) found that 80 percent of anglers statewide did not eat listed species or ate them within advisory limits and followed the 1 sport-caught fish meal per week recommended maximum. The other 20 percent of anglers exceeded the advisory recommendations in some way; 15 percent ate listed species above the limit and 5 percent ate more than one sport caught meal per week.
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Connelly et al. (1992) found that respondents eating more than one sport-caught meal per week were just as likely as those eating less than one meal per week to know the recommended level of sport-caught fish consumption, although less than $1 / 3$ in each group knew the level. An estimated 85 percent of anglers were aware of the health advisory. Over 50 percent of respondents said that they made changes in their fishing or fish consumption behaviors in response to health advisories.

The advisory included a section on methods that can be used to reduce contaminant exposure. Respondents were asked what methods they used for fish cleaning and cooking. Summary results on preparation and cooking methods are presented in Section 10.9 and in Appendix 10B.

A limitation of this study with respect to estimating fish intake rates is that only the number of sport-caught meals was ascertained, not the weight of fish consumed. The fish meal data can be converted to an intake rate (g/day) by assuming a value for a fish meal such as that from Pao et al. (1982) (about 150 grams as the average amount of fish consumed per eating occasion for adult males - males comprised 88 percent of respondents in the current study). Using 150 grams $/$ meal the mean intake rate among the angler population would be $4.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day; note that about 25 percent of this population reported no sport-caught fish consumption.

The major focus of this study was not on consumption, per se, but on the knowledge of and impact of fish health advisories; Connelly et al. (1992) provides important information on these issues.

Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc. (1993) Hudson River Angler Survey - Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc. (1993) conducted a survey of adherence to fish consumption health advisories among Hudson River anglers. All fishing has been banned on the upper Hudson River where high levels of PCB contamination are well documented; while voluntary recreational fish consumption advisories have been issued for areas south of the Troy Dam (Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc., 1993).

The survey consisted of direct interviews with 336 shore-based anglers between the months of June and November 1991, and April and July 1992. Sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents are presented in Table 10-39. The survey sites were selected based on observations of use by anglers, and legal accessibility. The selected sites included upper, mid-, and lower Hudson River sites located in both rural and urban
settings. The interviews were conducted on weekends and weekdays during morning, midday, and evening periods. The anglers were asked specific questions concerning: fishing and fish consumption habits; perceptions of presence of contaminants in fish; perceptions of risks associated with consumption of recreationally caught fish; and awareness of, attitude toward, and response to fish consumption advisories or fishing bans.

Approximately 92 percent of the survey respondents were male. The following statistics were provided by Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc. (1993). The most common reason given for fishing was for recreation or enjoyment. Over 58 percent of those surveyed indicated that they eat their catch. Of those anglers who eat their catch, 48 percent reported being aware of advisories. Approximately 24 percent of those who said they currently do not eat their catch, have done so in the past. Anglers were more likely to eat their catch from the lower Hudson areas where health advisories, rather than fishing bans, have been issued. Approximately 94 percent of Hispanic Americans were likely to eat their catch, while 77 percent of African Americans and 47 percent of Caucasian Americans intended to eat their catch. Of those who eat their catch, 87 percent were likely to share their meal with others (including women of childbearing age, and children under the age of fifteen).

For subsistence anglers, more low-income than upper income anglers eat their catch (Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc., 1993). Approximately 10 percent of the respondents stated that food was their primary reason for fishing; this group is more likely to be in the lowest per capita income group (Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc., 1993).

The average frequency of fish consumption reported was just under one ( 0.9 ) meal over the previous week, and three meals over the previous month. Approximately 35 percent of all anglers who eat their catch exceeded the amounts recommended by the New York State health advisories. Less than half ( 48 percent) of all the anglers interviewed were aware of the State health advisories or fishing bans. Only 42 percent of those anglers aware of the advisories have changed their fishing habits as a result.

The advantages of this study include: in-person interviews with 95 percent of all anglers approached; fieldtested questions designed to minimize interviewer bias; and candid responses concerning consumption of fish from contaminated waters. The limitations of this
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study are that specific intake amounts are not indicated, and that only shore-based anglers were interviewed.

### 10.8. NATIVE AMERICAN FRESHWATER STUDIES

Wolfe and Walker (1987) - Subsistence Economies in Alaska: Productivity, Geography, and Development Impacts - Wolfe and Walker (1987) analyzed a dataset from 98 communities for harvests of fish, land mammals, marine mammals, and other wild resources. The analysis was performed to evaluate the distribution and productivity of subsistence harvests in Alaska during the 1980s. Harvest levels were used as a measure of productivity. Wolfe and Walker (1987) defined harvest to represent a single year's production from a complete seasonal round. The harvest levels were derived primarily from a compilation of data from subsistence studies conducted between 1980 to 1985 by various researchers in the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Subsistence.

Of the 98 communities studied, four were large urban population centers and 94 were small communities. The harvests for these latter 94 communities were documented through detailed retrospective interviews with harvesters from a sample of households (Wolfe and Walker, 1987). Harvesters were asked to estimate the quantities of a particular species that were harvested and used by members of that household during the previous 12-month period. Wolfe and Walker (1987) converted harvests to a common unit for comparison, pounds dressed weight per capita per year, by multiplying the harvests of households within each community by standard factors converting total pounds to dressed weight, summing across households, and then dividing by the total number of household members in the household sample. Dressed weight varied by species and community but in general was 70 to 75 percent of total fish weight; dressed weight for fish represents that portion brought into the kitchen for use (Wolfe and Walker, 1987).

Harvests for the four urban populations were developed from a statewide data set gathered by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Divisions of Game and Sports Fish. Urban sport fish harvest estimates were derived from a survey that was mailed to a randomly selected statewide sample of anglers (Wolfe and Walker, 1987). Sport fish harvests were disaggregated by urban residency and the dataset was analyzed by converting the harvests into pounds and dividing by the 1983 urban population.

For the overall analysis, each of the 98 communities was treated as a single unit of analysis and the entire group of communities was assumed to be a sample of all communities in Alaska (Wolfe and Walker, 1987). Each community was given equal weight, regardless of population size. Annual per capita harvests were calculated for each community. For the four urban centers, fish harvests ranged from 5 to 21 pounds per capita per year ( $6.2 \mathrm{~g} /$ day to $26.2 \mathrm{~g} /$ day).

The range for the 94 small communities was 25 to 1,239 pounds per capita per year ( $31 \mathrm{~g} /$ day to $1,541 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ). For these 94 communities, the median per capita fish harvest was 130 pounds per year ( $162 \mathrm{~g} /$ day $)$. In most ( 68 percent) of the 98 communities analyzed, resource harvests for fish were greater than the harvests of the other wildlife categories (land mammal, marine mammal, and other) combined.

The communities in this study were not made up entirely of Alaska Natives. For roughly half the communities, Alaska Natives comprised 80 percent or more of the population, but for about 40 percent of the communities they comprised less than 50 percent of the population. Wolfe and Walker (1987) performed a regression analysis which showed that the per capita harvest of a community tended to increase as a function of the percentage of Alaska Natives in the community. Although this analysis was done for total harvest (i.e., fish, land mammal, marine mammal and others) the same result should hold for fish harvest since fish harvest is highly correlated with total harvest.

A limitation of this report is that it presents (percapita) harvest rates as opposed to individual intake rates. Wolfe and Walker (1987) compared the per capita harvest rates reported to the results for the household component of the 1977-1978 USDA National Food Consumption Survey (NFCS). The NFCS showed that about 222 pounds of meat, fish, and poultry were purchased and brought into the household kitchen for each person each year in the western region of the United States. This contrasts with a median total resource harvest of $260 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yr}$ in the 94 communities studied. This comparison, and the fact that Wolfe and Walker (1987) state that "harvests represent that portion brought into the kitchen for use," suggest that the same factors used to convert household consumption rates in the NFCS to individual intake rates can be used to convert per capita harvest rates to individual intake rates. In Section 10.3, a factor of 0.5 was used to convert fish consumption from household to individual intake rates. Applying this factor, the median
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per capita individual fish intake in the 94 communities would be $81 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and the range 15.5 to $770 \mathrm{~g} /$ day.

A limitation of this study is that the data were based on 1-year recall from a mailed survey. An advantage of the study is that it is one of the few studies that present fish harvest patterns for subsistence populations.

AIHC (1994) - Exposure Factors Sourcebook - The Exposure Factors Sourcebook (AIHC, 1994) provides data for non-marine fish intake consistent with this document. However, the total fish intake rate recommended in AIHC (1994) is approximately 40 percent lower than that in this document. The fish intake rates presented in this handbook are based on more recent data from USDA CSFII (19891991). AIHC (1994) presents probability distributions in grams fish per kilogram of body weight for fish consumption based on data from U.S. EPA Guidance Manual, Assessing Human Health Risks from Chemically Contaminated Fish and Shellfish (U.S. EPA, 1989b). The @Risk formula is provided for direct use in the @Risk simulation software. The @Risk formula was provided for the distributions that were provided for the ingestion of freshwater finfish, saltwater finfish, and fish (unspecified) in the U.S. general population, children ages 1 to 6 years, and males ages 13 years and above. Distributions were also provided for saltwater finfish ingestion in the general population and for females and for males 13 years of age and older. Distributions for shellfish ingestion were provided for the general population, children ages 1 to 6 years, and for males and females 13 years of age and above. Additionally, distributions for "unspecified" fish ingestion were presented for the above mentioned populations.

The Sourcebook has been classified as a relevant rather than key study because it was not the primary source for the data used to make recommendations in this document. The Sourcebook is very similar to this document in the sense that it summarizes exposure factor data and recommends values. Therefore, it can be used as an alternative information source on fish intake.

Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission (CRITFC) (1994) - A Fish Consumption Survey of the Umatilla, Nez Perce, Yakama, and Warm Springs Tribes of the Columbia River Basin - CRITFC (1994) conducted a fish consumption survey among four Columbia River Basin Indian tribes during the fall and winter of 1991-1992. The target population included all adult tribal members who lived on or near the Yakama, Warm Springs, Umatilla or Nez Perce reservations. The survey
was based on a stratified random sampling design where respondents were selected from patient registration files at the Indian Health Service. Interviews were performed in person at a central location on the member's reservation.

Information requested included annual and seasonal numbers of fish meals, average serving size per fish meal, species and part(s) of fish consumed, preparation methods, changes in patterns of consumption over the last 20 years and during ceremonies and festivals, breast feeding practices and 24 hour dietary recall (CRITFC, 1994). Foam sponge food models approximating four, eight, and twelve ounce fish fillets were provided to help respondents estimate average fish meal size. Fish intake rates were calculated by multiplying the annual frequency of fish meals by the average serving size per fish meal.

The study was designed to give essentially equal sample sizes for each tribe. However, since the population sizes of the tribes were highly unequal, it was necessary to weight the data (in proportion to tribal population size) in order that the survey results represent the overall population of the four tribes. Such weights were applied to the analysis of adults; however, because the sample size for children was considered small, only an unweighted analysis was performed for this population (CRITFC, 1994).

The survey respondents consisted of 513 tribal members, 18 years old and above. Of these, 58 percent were female and 59 percent were under 40 years old. In addition, information for 204 children 5 years old and less was provided by the participating adult respondent. The overall response rate was 69 percent.

The results of the survey showed that adults consumed an average of 1.71 fish meals/week and had an average intake of 58.7 grams/day (CRITFC, 1994). Table 10-40 shows the adult fish intake distribution; the median was between 29 and $32 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and the 95 th percentile about $170 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. A small percentage ( 7 percent) of respondents indicated that they were not fish consumers. Table 10-41 shows that mean intake was slightly higher in males than females ( $63 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ versus $56 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ ) and was higher in the over 60 years age group ( $74.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ ) than in the 18-39 years ( 57.6 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d}$ ) or $40-59$ years ( $55.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ ) age groups. Intake also tended to be higher among those living on the reservation. The mean intake for nursing mothers, $59.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$, was similar to the overall mean intake.

A total of 49 percent of respondents reported that they caught fish from the Columbia River basin and its
tributaries for personal use or for tribal ceremonies and distributions to other tribe members and 88 percent reported that they obtained fish from either self-harvesting, family or friends, at tribal ceremonies or from tribal distributions. Of all fish consumed, 41 percent came from self or family harvesting, 11 percent from the harvest of friends, 35 percent from tribal ceremonies or distribution, 9 percent from stores and 4 percent from other sources (CRITFC, 1994).

The analysis of seasonal intake showed that May and June tended to be high consumption months and December and January low consumption months. The mean adult intake rate for May and June was $108 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ while the mean intake rate for December and January was $30.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$. Salmon was the species eaten by the highest number of respondents ( 92 percent) followed by trout ( 70 percent), lamprey ( 54 percent), and smelt ( 52 percent). Table 10-42 gives the fish intake distribution for children under 5 years of age. The mean intake rate was $19.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ and the 95th percentile was approximately $70 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$.

The authors noted that some non-response bias may have occurred in the survey since respondents were more likely to live near the reservation and were more likely to be female than non-respondents. In addition, they hypothesized that non fish consumers may have been more likely to be non-respondents than fish consumers since non consumers may have thought their contribution to the survey would be meaningless; if such were the case, this study would overestimate the mean intake rate. It was also noted that the timing of the survey, which was conducted during low fish consumption months, may have led to underestimation of actual fish consumption; the authors conjectured that an individual may report higher annual consumption if interviewed during a relatively high consumption month and lower annual consumption if interviewed during a relatively low consumption month. Finally, with respect to children's intake, it was observed that some of the respondents provided the same information for their children as for themselves, thereby the reliability of some of these data is questioned.

Although the authors have noted these limitations, this study does present information on fish consumption patterns and habits for a Native American subpopulation. It should be noted that the number of surveys that address subsistence subpopulations is very limited.

Peterson et al. (1994) - Fish Consumption Patterns and Blood Mercury Levels in Wisconsin Chippewa Indians - Peterson et al. (1994) investigated the extent of exposure of methylmercury to Chippewa Indians living on
a Northern Wisconsin reservation who consume fish caught in northern Wisconsin lakes. The lakes in northern Wisconsin are known to be contaminated with mercury and the Chippewa have a reputation for high fish consumption (Peterson et al., 1994). The Chippewa Indians fish by the traditional method of spearfishing. Spearfishing (for walleye) occurs for about two weeks each spring after the ice breaks, and although only a small number of tribal members participate in it, the spearfishing harvest is distributed widely within the tribe by an informal distribution network of family and friends and through traditional tribal feasts (Peterson et al., 1994).

Potential survey participants, 465 adults, 18 years of age and older, were randomly selected from the tribal registries (Peterson et al., 1994). Participants were asked to complete a questionnaire describing their routine fish consumption and, more extensively, their fish consumption during the two previous months. They were also asked to give a blood sample that would be tested for mercury content. The survey was carried out in May 1990. A follow-up survey was conducted for a random sample of 75 non-respondents ( 80 percent were reachable), and their demographic and fish consumption patterns were obtained. Peterson et al. (1994) reported that the non-respondents' socioeconomic and fish consumption were similar to the respondents.

A total of 175 of the original random sample (38 percent) participated in the study. In addition, 152 nonrandomly selected participants were surveyed and included in the data analysis; these participants were reported by Peterson et al. (1994) to have fish consumption rates similar to those of the randomly selected participants. Results from the survey showed that fish consumption varied seasonally, with 50 percent of the respondents reporting April and May (spearfishing season) as the highest fish consumption months (Peterson et al., 1994). Table 10-43 shows the number of fish meals consumed per week during the last 2 months (recent consumption) before the survey was conducted and during the respondents' peak consumption months grouped by gender, age, education, and employment level. During peak consumption months, males consumed more fish ( 1.9 meals per week) than females ( 1.5 meals per week), respondents under 35 years of age consumed more fish ( 1.8 meals per week) than respondents 35 years of age and over ( 1.6 meals per week), and the unemployed consumed more fish (1.9 meals per week) than the employed ( 1.6 meals per week). During the highest fish consumption season (April and May), 50 percent of respondents
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reported eating one or less fish meals per week and only 2 percent reported daily fish consumption (Figures 101 and 10-2). A total of 72 percent of respondents reported Walleye consumption in the previous two months. Peterson et al. (1994) also reported that the mean number of fish meals usually consumed per week by the respondents was 1.2.

The mean fish consumption rate reported (1.2 fish meals per week, or 62.4 meals per year) in this survey was compared with the rate reported in a previous survey of Wisconsin anglers (Fiore et al., 1989) of 42 fish meals per year. These results indicate that the Chippewa Indians do not consume much more fish than the general Wisconsin angler population (Peterson et al., 1994). The differences in the two values may be attributed to differences in study methodology (Peterson et al., 1994). Note that this number (1.2 fish meals per week) includes fish from all sources. Peterson et al. (1994) noted that subsistence fishing, defined as fishing as a major food source, appears rare among the Chippewa. Using the recommended rate in this handbook of $129 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{meal}$ as the average weight of fish consumed per fish meal in the general population, the rate reported here of 1.2 fish meals per week translates into a mean fish intake rate of $22 \mathrm{~g} /$ day in this population.

Fitzgerald et al. (1995) - Fish PCB Concentrations and Consumption Patterns Among Mohawk Women at Akwesasne - Akwesasne is a native American community of ten thousand plus persons located along the St. Lawrence River (Fitzgerald et al., 1995). The local food chain has been contaminated with PCBs and some species have levels that exceed the U.S. FDA tolerance limits for human consumption (Fitzgerald et al., 1995). Fitzgerald et al. (1995) conducted a recall study from 1986 to 1992 to determine the fish consumption patterns among nursing Mohawk women residing near three industrial sites. The study sample consisted of 97 Mohawk women and 154 nursing Caucasian controls. The Mohawk mothers were significantly younger (mean age 24.9) than the controls (mean age 26.4) and had significantly more years of education (mean 13.1 for Mohawks versus 12.4 for controls). A total of 97 out of 119 Mohawk nursing women responded, a response rate of 78 percent; 154 out of 287 control nursing Caucasian women responded, a response rate of 54 percent.

Potential participants were identified prior to, or shortly after, delivery. The interviews were conducted at home within one month postpartum and were structured to collect information for sociodemographics, vital statistics, use of medications, occupational and residential histories,
behavioral patterns (cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption), drinking water source, diet, and fish preparation methods (Fitzgerald et al., 1995). The dietary data collected were based on recall for food intake during the index pregnancy, the year before the pregnancy, and more than one year before the pregnancy.

The dietary assessment involved the report by each participant on the consumption of various foods with emphasis on local species of fish and game (Fitzgerald et al., 1995). This method combined food frequency and dietary histories to estimate usual intake. Food frequency was evaluated with a checklist of foods for indicating the amount of consumption of a participant per week, month or year. Information gathered for the dietary history included duration of consumption, changes in the diet, and food preparation method.

Table 10-44 presents the number of local fish meals per year for both the Mohawk and control participants. The highest percentage of participants reported consuming between 1 and 9 local fish meals per year. Table 10-44 indicates that Mohawk respondents consumed statistically significantly more local fish than did control respondents during the two time periods prior to pregnancy; for the time period during pregnancy there was no significant difference in fish consumption between the two groups. Table 10-45 presents the mean number of local fish meals consumed per year by time period for all respondents and for those ever consuming (consumers only). A total of 82 ( 85 percent) Mohawk mothers and 72 (47 percent) control mothers reported ever consuming local fish. The mean number of local fish meals consumed per year by Mohawk respondents declined over time, from 23.4 (over one year before pregnancy) to 9.2 (less than one year before pregnancy) to 3.9 (during pregnancy); a similar decline was seen among consuming Mohawks only. There was also a decreasing trend over time in consumption among controls, though it was much less pronounced.

Table 10-46 presents the mean number of fish meals consumed per year for all participants by time period and selected characteristics (age, education, cigarette smoking, and alcohol consumption). Pairwise contrasts indicated that control participants over 34 years of age had the highest fish consumption of local fish meals (22.1) (Table 10-46). However, neither the overall nor pairwise differences by age among the Mohawk women over 34 years old were statistically significant, and may be due to the small sample size ( $\mathrm{N}=6$ ) (Fitzgerald et al., 1995). The most common fish consumed by Mohawk
mothers was yellow perch; for controls the most common fish consumed was trout.

An advantage of this study is that it presents data for fish consumption patterns for Native Americans as compared to a demographically similar group of Caucasians. Although the data are based on nursing mothers as participants, the study also captures consumption patterns prior to pregnancy (up to 1 year before and more than 1 year before). Fitzgerald et al. (1995) noted that dietary recall for a period more than one year before pregnancy may be inaccurate, but these data were the best available measure of the more distant past. They also noted that the observed decrease in fish consumption among Mohawks from the period one year before pregnancy to the period of pregnancy is due to a secular trend of declining fish consumption over time in Mohawks. This decrease, which was more pronounced than that seen in controls, may be due to health advisories promulgated by tribal, as well as state, officials. The authors note that this decreasing secular trend in Mohawks is consistent with a survey from 1979-1980 that found an overall mean of 40 fish meals per year among male and female Mohawk adults.

The data are presented as number of fish meals per year; the authors did not assign an average weight to fish meals. If assessors wanted to estimate the weight of fish consumed, some average value of weight per fish meal would have to be assumed. Pao et al. (1982) reported 104 grams as the average weight of fish consumed per eating occasion for females 19-34 years old.
contaminants in cooked fish when compared with raw fish (San Diego County, 1990). Several studies cited in this section have addressed fish preparation methods and parts of fish consumed. Table 10-47 provides summary results from these studies on fish preparation methods; further details on preparation methods, as well as results from some studies on parts of fish consumed, are presented in Appendix 10B.

The moisture content (percent) and total fat content (percent) measured and/or calculated in various fish forms (i.e., raw, cooked, smoked, etc.) for selected fish species are presented in Table 10-48, based on data from USDA (1979-1984). The total percent fat content is based on the sum of saturated, monounsaturated, and polyunsaturated fat. The moisture content is based on the percent of water present.

In some cases, the residue levels of contaminants in fish are reported as the concentration of contaminant per gram of fat. These contaminants are lipophilic compounds. When using residue levels, the assessor should ensure consistency in the exposure assessment calculations by using consumption rates that are based on the amount of fat consumed for the fish species of interest. Alternately, residue levels for the "as consumed" portions of fish may be estimated by multiplying the levels based on fat by the fraction of fat (Table 10-48) per product as follows:
The resulting residue levels may then be used in conjunction
residue level $/ \mathrm{g}$ product $=\left(\frac{\text { residue level }}{\mathrm{g} \text {-fat }}\right) \times\left(\frac{\mathrm{g} \text {-fat }}{\mathrm{g} \text {-product }}\right)$
(Eqn. 10-4)

### 10.9. OTHER FACTORS

Other factors to consider when using the available survey data include location, climate, season, and ethnicity of the angler or consumer population, as well as the parts of fish consumed and the methods of preparation. Some contaminants (for example, some dioxin compounds) have the affinity to accumulate more in certain tissues, such as the fatty tissue, as well as in certain internal organs. The effects of cooking methods for various food products on the levels of dioxin-like compounds have been addressed by evaluating a number of studies in U.S. EPA (1996b). These studies showed various results for contamination losses based on the methodology of the study and the method of food preparation. The reader is referred to U.S. EPA (1996b) for a detailed review of these studies. In addition, some studies suggest that there is a significant decrease of
with "as consumed" consumption rates.
Additionally, intake rates may be reported in terms of units as consumed or units of dry weight. It is essential that exposure assessors be aware of this difference so that they may ensure consistency between the units used for intake rates and those used for concentration data (i.e., if the unit of food consumption is grams dry weight/day, then the unit for the amount of pollutant in the food should be grams dry weight). If necessary, as consumed intake rates may be converted to dry weight intake rates using the moisture content percentages of fish presented in Table 10-48 and the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}}=\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}} *[(100-\mathrm{W}) / 100]
$$

(Eqn. 10-5)
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"Dry weight" intake rates may be converted to "as consumed" rates by using:

```
IR
    (Eqn. 10-6)
where:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}} & =\text { dry weight intake rate; } \\
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}} & =\text { as consumed intake rate; and } \\
\mathrm{W} & =\text { percent water content. }
\end{array}
\]
```


### 10.10. RECOMMENDATIONS

Fish consumption rates are recommended based on the survey results presented in the key studies described in the preceding sections. Considerable variation exists in the mean and upper percentile fish consumption rates obtained from these studies. This can be attributed largely to the characteristics of the survey population (i.e., general population, recreational anglers) and the type of water body (i.e., marine, estuarine, freshwater), but other factors such as study design, method of data collection and geographic location also play a role. Based on these study variations, recommendations for consumption rates were classified into the following categories:

- General Population;
- Recreational Marine Anglers;
- Recreational Freshwater Anglers; and
- Native American Subsistence Fishing Populations

The recommendations for each of these categories were rated according to the level of confidence the Agency has in the recommended values. These ratings were derived according to the principles outlined in Volume I, Section 1.3 ; the ratings and a summary of the rationale behind them are presented in tables which follow the discussion of each category.

For exposure assessment purposes, the selection of the appropriate category (or categories) from above will depend on the exposure scenario being evaluated. Assessors should use the recommended values (or range of values) unless specific studies are felt to be particularly relevant to their needs, in which case results from a specific study or studies may be used. This is particularly true for the last two categories where no nationwide key studies exist. Even where national data exist, it may be advantageous to use regional estimates if the assessment targets a particular region. In addition, seasonal, age, and gender variations should be considered when appropriate.

It should be noted that the recommended rates are based on mean (or median) values which represent a typical intake or central tendency for the population studied, and on upper estimates (i.e., 90th-99th percentiles) which represent the high-end fish consumption of the population studied. For the recreational angler populations, the recommended means and percentiles are based on all persons engaged in recreational fishing, not just those consuming recreationally caught fish.

### 10.10.1. Recommendations - General Population

The key study for estimating mean fish intake (reflective of both short-term and long-term consumption) is U.S. EPA (1996a) analysis of USDA CSFII 1989-1991. The recommended values for mean intake by habitat and fish type are shown in Table 10-49.

For all fish (finfish and shellfish), the recommended values are $6.6 \mathrm{~g} /$ day for freshwater/ estuarine fish, 13.5 $\mathrm{g} /$ day for marine fish, and $20.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ for all fish. Note that these values are reported as uncooked fish weight. This is important because the concentration of the contaminants in fish are generally measured in the uncooked samples. Assuming that cooking results in some reductions in weight (e.g., loss of moisture), and the mass of the contaminant in the fish tissue remains constant, then the contaminant concentration in the cooked fish tissue will increase. Although actual consumption may be overestimated when intake is expressed in an uncooked basis, the net effect on the dose may be canceled out since the actual concentration may be underestimated when it is based on the uncooked sample. On the other hand, if the "as consumed" intake rate and the uncooked concentration are used in the dose equation, dose may be underestimated since the concentration in the cooked fish is likely to be higher, if the mass of the contaminant remains constant after cooking. Therefore, it is more conservative and appropriate to use uncooked fish intake rates. If concentration data can be adjusted to account for changes after cooking, then the "as consumed" intake rates are appropriate. For example, concentration may be expressed on a dry weight basis and, if data are available, loss of contaminant mass after cooking may be accounted for in the concentration. However, data on the effects of cooking in contaminant concentrations are limited and assessors generally make the conservative assumption that cooking has no effect on the contaminant mass. Both "as consumed" and uncooked fish intake values have been
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presented in this handbook so that the assessor can choose the intake data that best matches the concentration data that is being used.

CSFII data were based on a short-term survey and could not be used to estimate the distribution over the long term of the average daily fish intake. The long-term average daily fish intake distribution can be estimated using the TRI study which provided dietary data for a one month period. However, because the data from the TRI study are now over 20 years old, the value presented in Table 10-49 (56 $\mathrm{g} /$ day) has been adjusted by upward 25 percent based on Ruffle et al. (1994) to reflect the increase in fish consumption since the TRI survey was conducted. In addition to the arguments provided by Ruffle et al. (1994) for adjusting the data upward, recent data from CSFII 198991 indicate an increase of fish intake of 33 percent when compared to USDA NFCS data from 1977-78. Therefore, the adjustment recommended by Ruffle et al. (1994) of 25 percent seems appropriate. Then, as suggested by Ruffle et al. (1994) the distributions generated from TRI should be shifted upward by 25 percent to estimate the current fish intake distribution. Thus, the recommended percentiles of long-term average daily fish intake are those of Javitz (1980) adjusted 25 percent upward (see Tables 10-3, 10-4). Alternatively, the log-normal distribution of Ruffle et al. (1994) (Table 10-6) may be used to approximate the long term fish intake distribution; adjusting the $\log$ mean $\mu$ by adding $\log (1.5)=0.4$, will shift the distribution upward by 25 percent.

It is important to note that a limitation with these data is that the total amount of fish reported by respondents included fish from all sources (e.g., fresh, frozen, canned, domestic, international origin). Neither the TRI nor the CSFII surveys identified the source of the fish consumed. This type of information may be relevant for some assessments. It should be noted that because these recommendations are based on 1989-91 CSFII data, they may not reflect the most recent changes that may have occurred in consumption patterns. However, as indicated in Section 10.2, the 1989-91 CSFII data are believed to be appropriate for assessing ingestion exposure for current populations because the rate of fish ingestion did not change dramatically between 1977-78 and 1995.

The distribution of serving sizes may be useful for acute exposure assessments. The recommended values are 129 grams for mean serving size and 326 grams for
the 95th percentile serving size based on the CSFII analyses (Table 10-50).

### 10.10.2. Recommendations - Recreational Marine

 AnglersThe recommended values presented in Table 10-51 are based on the surveys of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS, 1993). The intake values are based on finfish consumption only.

### 10.10.3. Recommendations - Recreational Freshwater Anglers

The data presented in Table 10-52 are based on mailed questionnaire surveys (Ebert et al., 1993 and West et al., 1989; 1993) and a diary study (Connelly et al., 1992; 1996). The mean intakes ranged from 5-17 g/day. The recommended mean and 95th percentile values for recreational freshwater anglers are $8 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and $25 \mathrm{~g} /$ day, respectively; these were derived by averaging the values from the three populations surveyed in the key studies. Since the two West et al. surveys studied the same population, the average of the means from the two studies was used to represent the mean for this population. The estimate from the West et al. (1989) survey was used to represent the 95 th percentile for this population since the long term consumption percentiles could not be estimated from the West et al. (1993) study.

### 10.10.4. Recommendations - Native American Subsistence Populations

Fish consumption data for Native American subsistence populations are very limited. The CRITFC (1994) study gives a per-capita fish intake rate of $59 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and a 95th percentile of $170 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. The report by Wolfe and Walker (1987) presents harvest rates for 94 small communities engaged in subsistence harvests of natural resources. A factor of 0.5 was employed to convert the percapita harvest rates presented in Wolfe and Walker (1987) to per capita individual consumption rates; this is the same factor used to convert from per capita household consumption rates to per capita individual consumption rates in the analysis of homegrown fish consumption from the 1987-1988 NFCS. Based on this factor, the median per-capita harvest in the 94 communities of $162 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (and the range of $31-1,540 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ) is converted to the median per capita intake rate of $81 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (range $16-770 \mathrm{~g} /$ day) shown in Table 10-53. The recommended value for mean intake is $70 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and the recommended 95 th percentile is 170 g/day.
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It should be emphasized that the above recommendations refer only to Native American subsistence fishing populations, not the Native American general population. Several studies show that intake rates of recreationally caught fish among Native Americans with state fishing licenses (West et al., 1989; Ebert et al., 1993) are somewhat higher ( $50-100$ percent) than intake rates among other anglers, but far lower than the rates shown above for Native American subsistence populations.

In addition, the studies of Peterson et al. (1994) and Fiore et al. (1989) show that total fish intake among a Native American population on a reservation (Chippewa in Wisconsin) is roughly comparable ( 50 percent higher) to total fish intake among licensed anglers in the same state. Also, the study of Fitzgerald et al. (1995) showed that pregnant women on a reservation (Mohawk in New York) have sport-caught fish intake rates comparable to those of a local white control population.

The survey designs, data generated, and limitations/advantages of the studies described in this report are summarized and presented in Table 10-54. The confidence in recommendations is presented in Table 1055. The confidence rating for recreational marine anglers is presented in Table 10-56. Confidence in fish intake recommendations for recreational freshwater fish consumption is presented in Table 10-57. The confidence in intake recommendations for Native American subsistence populations is presented in Table 10-58.
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| Table 10-1. Total Fish Consumption by Demographic Variables ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic Category | Intake (g/person/day) |  |
|  | Mean | 95th Percentile |
| Race |  |  |
| Caucasian | 14.2 | 41.2 |
| Black | 16.0 | 45.2 |
| Oriental | 21.0 | 67.3 |
| Other | 13.2 | 29.4 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Female | 13.2 | 38.4 |
| Male | 15.6 | 44.8 |
| Age (years) |  |  |
| 0-9 | 6.2 | 16.5 |
| 10-19 | 10.1 | 26.8 |
| 20-29 | 14.5 | 38.3 |
| 30-39 | 15.8 | 42.9 |
| 40-49 | 17.4 | 48.1 |
| 50-59 | 20.9 | 53.4 |
| 60-69 | 21.7 | 55.4 |
| 70+ | 13.3 | 39.8 |
| Census Region |  |  |
| New England | 16.3 | 46.5 |
| Middle Atlantic | 16.2 | 47.8 |
| East North Central | 12.9 | 36.9 |
| West North Central | 12.0 | 35.2 |
| South Atlantic | 15.2 | 44.1 |
| East South Central | 13.0 | 38.4 |
| West South Central | 14.4 | 43.6 |
| Mountain | 12.1 | 32.1 |
| Pacific | 14.2 | 39.6 |
| Community Type |  |  |
| Rural, non-SMSA | 13.0 | 38.3 |
| Central city, 2M or more | 19.0 | 55.6 |
| Outside central city, 2 M or more | 15.9 | 47.3 |
| Central city, 1M-2M | 15.4 | 41.7 |
| Outside central city, 1M-2M | 14.5 | 41.5 |
| Central city, 500K-1M | 14.2 | 41.0 |
| Outside central city, 500K-1M | 14.0 | 39.7 |
| Outside central city, 250K - 500K | 12.2 | 32.1 |
| Central city, 250K-500K | 14.1 | 40.5 |
| Central city, 50K-250K | 13.8 | 43.4 |
| Outside central city, $50 \mathrm{~K}-250 \mathrm{~K}$ | 11.3 | 31.7 |
| Other urban | 13.5 | 39.2 |
| a The calculations in this table are based on respondents who consumed fish during the survey month. These respondents are estimated to represent 94 percent of the U.S. population. <br> Source: Javitz, 1980. |  |  |
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| Table 10-2. Mean and 95th Percentile of Fish Consumption (g/day) by Sex and Age ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Fish |  |  |
|  | Age (years) | Mean | 95th Percentile |
| Female | 0-9 | 6.1 | 17.3 |
|  | 10-19 | 9.0 | 25.0 |
|  | 20-19 | 13.4 | 34.5 |
|  | 30-39 | 14.9 | 41.8 |
|  | 40-49 | 16.7 | 49.6 |
|  | 50-59 | 19.5 | 50.1 |
|  | 60-69 | 19.0 | 46.3 |
|  | 70+ | 10.7 | 31.7 |
| Male | 0-9 | 6.3 | 15.8 |
|  | 10-19 | 11.2 | 29.1 |
|  | 20-19 | 16.1 | 43.7 |
|  | 30-39 | 17.0 | 45.6 |
|  | 40-49 | 18.2 | 47.7 |
|  | 50-59 | 22.8 | 57.5 |
|  | 60-69 | 24.4 | 61.1 |
|  | 70+ | 15.8 | 45.7 |
| Overall |  | 14.3 | 41.7 |
| Source: | ndents who co ation. | month | These responden |
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| Table 10-5. Mean Total Fish Consumption by Species ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Mean consumption (g/day) | Species | Mean consumption (g/day) |
| Not reported Abalone Anchovies Bass $^{\mathrm{b}}$ Bluefish $^{\text {Bluegills }^{\mathrm{b}}}$ Bonito Buffalofish Butterfish Carp Catfish (Freshwater) Catfish (Marine) Clams ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Cod Crab, King Crab, other than King ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Crappie ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Croaker Dolphin Drums Flounders Groupers Haddock Hake Halibut Herring Kingfish Lobster (Northern) Lobster (Spiny) Mackerel, Jack Mackerel, other than Jack | 1.173 0.014 0.010 0.258 0.070 0.089 0.035 0.022 0.010 0.016 0.292 0.014 0.442 0.407 0.030 0.254 0.076 0.028 0.012 0.019 1.179 0.026 0.399 0.117 0.170 0.224 0.009 0.162 0.074 0.002 0.172 | Mullet $^{\mathrm{b}}$ Oysters $^{\mathrm{b}}$ Perch (Freshwater) $)^{\mathrm{b}}$ Perch (Marine) Pike (Marine) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Pollock Pompano Rockfish Sablefish Salmon ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Scallops $^{\mathrm{b}}$ Scup Sharks Shrimp Smelt ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Snapper Snook Spot ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Squid and Octopi Sunfish Swordfish Tilefish Trout (Freshwater) Trout (Marine) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Tuna, light Tuna, White Albacore Whitefishs Other finfish Other shellfish | $\begin{aligned} & 0.029 \\ & 0.291 \\ & 0.062 \\ & 0.773 \\ & 0.154 \\ & 0.266 \\ & 0.004 \\ & 0.027 \\ & 0.002 \\ & 0.533 \\ & 0.127 \\ & 0.014 \\ & 0.001 \\ & 1.464 \\ & 0.057 \\ & 0.146 \\ & 0.005 \\ & 0.046 \\ & 0.016 \\ & 0.020 \\ & 0.012 \\ & 0.003 \\ & 0.294 \\ & 0.070 \\ & 3.491 \\ & 0.008 \\ & 0.141 \\ & 0.403 \\ & 0.013 \end{aligned}$ |
| a The calculations in this table are based upon respondents who consumed fish during the month of the survey. These respondents are estimated to represent $94 \%$ percent of the U.S. population. <br> b Designated as freshwater or estuarine species by Stephan (1980). <br> Source: Javitz, 1980. |  |  |  |


|  | Table 10-6. Best Fits of Lognormal Distributions Using the NonLinear Optimization (NLO) Method |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shellfish | Adults | Teenagers | Children |
| $\mu$ |  |  | 0.854 |
| $\sigma$ | 1.370 | -0.183 | 0.730 |
| (min SS) | 0.858 | 16.06 |  |
| Finfish (freshwater) | 27.57 | 1.19 | -0.559 |
| $\mu$ |  |  | 1.141 |
| $\sigma$ | 0.334 | 0.578 | 2.19 |
| (min SS) | 1.183 | 0.822 | 0.881 |
| Finfish (saltwater) | 6.45 | 23.51 | 0.970 |
| $\mu$ |  | 1.691 | 4.31 |
| $\boldsymbol{m i n ~ S S )}$ | 2.311 | 0.830 | 0.33 |

The following equations may be used with the appropriate $\mu$ and $\sigma$ values to obtain an average Daily Consumption Rate (DCR), in grams, and percentiles of the DCR distribution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DCR50 }=\exp (\mu) \\
& \text { DCR90 }=\exp [\mu+\mathrm{z}(0.90) \cdot \sigma] \\
& \text { DCR99 }^{2}=\exp [\mu+\mathrm{z}(0.99) \cdot \sigma] \\
& \text { DCR }_{\text {avg }}=\exp \left[\mu+0.5 \cdot \sigma^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Source: Ruffle et al., 1994

| Table 10-7. Per Capita Distribution of Fish Intake (g/day) by Habitat and Fish Type for the U.S. Population (Uncooked Fish Weight) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Estimate (90\% Interval) |  |  |
| Habitat | Statistic | Finfish | Shellfish | Total |
| Fresh/Estuarine | Mean | 3.6 (3.0-4.1) | 2.4 (2.0-2.8) | 6.0 (5.3-6.7) |
|  | 50th\% | 0.0 (0.0-0.0) | 0.0 (0.0-0.0) | 0.0 (0.0-0.0) |
|  | 90th\% | $0.4(0.00-0.7)$ | 0.0 (0.0-0.3) | $15.9(14.4-17.8)$ |
|  | 95th\% | 21.7 (14.8-25.8) | 13.3 (11.7-17.8) | 40.0 (37.9-44.8) |
|  | 99th\% | 87.3 (80.1-98.0) | 63.6 (60.4-68.5) | 107.6 (98.3-109.1) |
| Marine | Mean | 12.5 (11.5-13.5) | 1.6 (1.3-1.9) | 14.1 (13.1-15.1) |
|  | 50th\% | 0.0 (0.0-0.0) | 0.0 (0.0-0.0) | $0.0(0.0-0.0)$ |
|  | 90th\% | 47.5 (43.6-49.8) | 0.0 (0.0-0.0) | 52.1 (47.8-55.9) |
|  | 95th\% | 74.6 (70.3-76.3) | 0.0 (0.0-6.8) | 76.5 (74.6-80.9) |
|  | 99th\% | 133.0 (127.8-143.2) | 50.3 (44.5-59.0) | $138.2(133.0-155.1)$ |
| All Fish | Mean | 16.1 (15.0-17.2) | 4.0 (3.4-4.6) | 20.1 (18.8-21.4) |
|  | 50th\% | 0.0 (0.0-0.0) | 0.0 (0.0-0.0) | 0.0 (0.0-0.0) |
|  | 90th\% | $59.1(54.6-62.3)$ | $0.0(0.0-3.5)$ | $70.1(65.4-74.2)$ |
|  | 95th\% | 84.4 (81.3-89.6) | 22.7 (21.8-26.6) | 102.0 (99.3-106.7) |
|  | 99th\% | 156.7 (148.7-168.1) | 99.0 (87.8-109.6) | 173.2 (162.8-176.5) |
| Note: Percentile confidence intervals estimated using the bootstrap method with 1,000 replications; percent consuming gives the percentage of individuals consuming the specified category of fish during the 3-day survey period. Estimates are projected from a sample of 11,912 individuals to the U.S. population. |  |  |  |  |
| Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-8. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) by Habitat for Consumers Only (Uncooked Fish Weight) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habitat | Statistic | Estimate | 90\% Interval |
| Fresh/Estuarine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | 86.2 | 78.4-94.0 |
|  | 50th\% | 48.8 | 45.6-54.9 |
|  | 90th\% | 217.9 | 205.3-237.3 |
|  | 95th\% | 290.0 | 267.1-325.6 |
|  | 99th\% | 489.3 | 424.9-534.2 |
|  | Percent Consuming | 18.5 |  |
| Marine ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | 113.1 | 107.8-118.4 |
|  | 50th\% | 93.3 | 92.0-94.9 |
|  | 90th\% | 222.7 | 216.5-225.6 |
|  | 95th\% | 271.7 | 260.6-279.9 |
|  | 99th\% | 415.9 | 367.3-440.5 |
|  | Percent Consuming | 30.1 |  |
| All Fish ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | 129.0 | 123.7-134.3 |
|  | 50th\% | 101.9 | $98.9-103.9$ |
|  | 90th\% | 249.1 | 241.0-264.1 |
|  | 95th\% | 326.0 | 306.1-335.6 |
|  | 99th\% | 497.5 | 469.2-519.7 |
|  | Percent Consuming | 36.9 |  |
| Note: Percentile confidence intervals estimated using the bootstrap method with 1,000 replications; percent consuming gives the percentage of individuals consuming the specified category of fish during the 3-day survey period. |  |  |  |
| a Sample size $=1,892$; population size $=44,946,000$ <br> b Sample size $=3,184$; population size $=73,100,000$ <br> c Sample size $=3,927$; population size $=89,800,000$ |  |  |  |
| Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |


|  | Table 10-9. Per Capita Distribution of Fish Intake (mg/kg-day) by Habitat and Fish Type for U.S. Population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Uncooked Fish Weight) |  |

Note: Percentile intervals were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Estimates are projected from a sample of 11,912 individuals to the U.S. population.

Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a.
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$\left.\begin{array}{|ccc|}\hline \text { Table 10-10. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) by Habitat for Consumers Only } \\ \text { (Uncooked Fish Weight) }\end{array}\right]$.

|  | Table 10-11. Per Capita Distribution of Fish Intake (g/day) by Habitat and Fish Type for the U.S. Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Cooked Fish Weight - As Consumed) |  |

Volume II - Food Ingestion Factors
Chapter 10 - Intake of Fish and Shellfish

| Table 10-12. Per Capita Distribution of Fish Intake (g/day) by Habitat for Consumers Only (Cooked Fish Weight - As Consumed) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habitat | Statistic | Estimate | 90\% Interval |
| Fresh/Estuarine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | 68.0 | 61.9-74.1 |
|  | 50th\% | 39.5 | 36.2-44.7 |
|  | 90th\% | 170.8 | 158.7-181.8 |
|  | 95th\% | 224.8 | 212.9-246.0 |
|  | 99th\% | 374.7 | 336.5-341.3 |
|  | Percent Consuming | 18.5 |  |
| Marine ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | 87.8 | 83.7-91.8 |
|  | 50th\% | 71.8 | 69.7-74.2 |
|  | 90th\% | 169.4 | 167.0-173.7 |
|  | 95th\% | $208.5$ | 198.1-221.7 |
|  | 99th\% | 320.4 | 292.8-341.9 |
|  | Percent Consuming | 30.1 |  |
| All Fish ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | 100.6 | 96.7-104.6 |
|  | 50th\% | $80.8$ | $79.3-83.9$ |
|  | 90th\% | 197.4 | 188.7-205.1 |
|  | 95th\% | 253.4 | 231.5-264.5 |
|  | 99th\% | 371.6 | 359.3-401.6 |
|  | Percent Consuming | 36.9 |  |
| Note: Percentile confidence intervals estimated using the bootstrap method with 1,000 replications; percent consuming gives the percentage of individuals consuming the specified category of fish during the 3-day survey period. |  |  |  |
| a Sample size $=1,892$; population size $=44,946,000$ <br> b Sample size $=3,184$; population size $=73,100,000$ <br> c Sample size $=3,927$; population size $=89,800,000$ |  |  |  |
| Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |


| Table 10-13. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - As Consumed (Freshwater and Estuarine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 1.58 (1.06-2.10) | 1.44 (0.00-4.07) | 12.51 (6.00-14.20) | 36.09 (28.53-43.20) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 4.28 (3.55-5.02) | 10.90 (8.79-13.84) | 28.80 (26.26-33.53) | 70.87 (64.74-90.56) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 5.27 (4.21-6.32) | 18.72 (15.19-22.12) | 34.67 (29.17-39.38) | 85.35 (71.71-100.50) |
| All ages | 6662 | 4.02 (3.43-4.61) | 10.66 (8.11-13.19) | 28.11 (23.14-31.27) | 71.98 (60.38-86.40) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 2.17 (1.32-3.02) | 0.99 (0.21-6.67) | 14.94 (11.88-22.33) | 48.72 (37.48-52.29) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 6.14 (5.08-7.19) | 18.19 (10.21-24.20) | 48.61 (35.42-54.65) | 96.32 (85.60-115.75) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 7.12 (5.87-8.38) | 22.67 (19.28-27.83) | 46.62 (41.27-58.01) | 103.07 (86.41-125.11) |
| All ages | 5250 | 5.46 (4.81-6.11) | 16.05 (12.41-19.30) | 40.29 (35.92-43.73) | 86.40 (78.37-103.07) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 1.88 (1.36-2.40) | 1.31 (0.00-4.33) | 13.90 (9.32-15.05) | 40.77 (35.15-44.82) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 5.17 (4.46-5.87) | 13.88 (12.05-17.21) | 36.21 (28.64-47.31) | 86.14 (74.67-96.67) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 6.11 (5.20-7.02) | 21.48 (16.69-23.33) | 40.55 (35.80-47.31) | 88.18 (85.33-103.07) |
| All ages | 11912 | 4.71 (4.17-5.25) | 12.62 (10.91-13.98) | 32.16 (29.81-35.15) | 82.45 (77.17-86.40) |

Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications.
Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a.

| Table 10-14. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - As Consumed (Marine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 6.60 (5.16-8.05) | 24.84 (18.67-31.20) | 37.32 (32.27-42.05) | 87.05 (63.26-112.06) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 9.97 (8.94-11.01) | 36.83 (31.42-41.99) | 55.53 (47.67-59.59) | 105.32 (96.98-112.00) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 12.59 (11.36-13.82) | 42.92 (38.92-47.66) | 63.85 (57.27-72.36) | 103.08 (91.61-121.52) |
| All ages | 6662 | 10.10 (9.27-10.93) | 36.97 (34.86-37.33) | 55.54 (51.67-56.98) | 102.01 (97.67-110.69) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 7.25 (5.72-8.79) | 24.85 (19.92-33.85) | 49.89 (42.09-56.45) | 92.64 (65.87-132.39) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 13.33 (11.89-14.77) | 52.73 (48.34-55.80) | 71.49 (63.99-80.00) | 116.51 (106.06-143.31) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 13.32 (11.73-14.92) | 50.39 (47.13-53.33) | 64.51 (61.64-74.58) | 116.86 (106.93-144.94) |
| All ages | 5250 | 11.85 (10.75-12.95) | 47.13 (44.52-49.80) | 64.50 (62.46-67.53) | 113.94 (103.47-130.00) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 6.93 (5.63-8.23) | 24.88 (22.64-28.08) | 42.07 (38.15-48.96) | 91.64 (68.59-112.06) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 11.58 (10.55-12.60) | 44.24 (39.84-46.70) | 62.18 (57.88-69.72) | 110.07 (103.50-120.49) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 12.92 (11.86-13.98) | 46.51 (38.98-50.97) | 64.19 (60.67-72.00) | 113.33 (104.59-119.53) |
| All ages | 11912 | 10.94 (10.14-11.73) | 39.51 (37.29-42.91) | 59.62 (57.03-61.84) | 106.84 (104.59-114.55) |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-15. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - As Consumed (All Fish) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 8.19 (6.53-9.84) | 32.28 (26.78-37.33) | 43.09 (37.99-51.55) | 95.19 (63.26-113.96) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 14.25 (12.96-15.55) | 47.13 (41.95-55.83) | 71.58 (64.74-82.11) | 120.84 (110.69-132.79) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 17.86 (16.19-19.52) | 56.70 (54.13-62.99) | 81.94 (74.63-88.23) | 130.51 (122.02-140.21) |
| All ages | 6662 | 14.13 (13.07-15.18) | 46.44 (43.63-49.67) | 70.23 (67.27-73.91) | 120.22 (112.06-126.07) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 9.42 (7.60-11.25) | 34.85 (27.77-42.09) | 52.85 (49.93-62.50) | 98.36 (71.74-132.39) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 19.46 (17.75-21.18) | 68.60 (65.74-74.70) | 93.65 (85.60-96.96) | 149.07 (142.73-154.41) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 20.45 (18.41-22.49) | 64.44 (61.33-69.27) | 87.21 (85.33-100.19) | 168.49 (143.78-174.55) |
| All ages | 5250 | 17.31 (16.04-18.59) | 60.23 (56.91-62.99) | 85.69 (80.61-93.32) | 143.91 (135.35-154.15) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 8.82 (7.39-10.24) | 32.88 (27.97-37.11) | 50.95 (44.64-53.86) | 98.33 (86.40-113.96) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 16.74 (15.54-17.94) | 57.88 (56.00-60.85) | 84.59 (79.91-90.83) | 138.21 (122.84-149.15) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 19.03 (17.54-20.52) | 61.32 (56.00-65.74) | 86.21 (77.42-94.70) | 143.91 (131.12-171.37) |
| All ages | 11912 | 15.65 (14.67-16.63) | 55.02 (51.38-56.00) | 78.34 (75.21-80.56) | 133.46 (125.27-140.21) |

Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications.
Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a.

| Table 10-16. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for the U.S. Population Aged 18 Years and Older by Habitat - As Consumed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grams/day |  |  |  |  |
| Habitat | Statistic | Estimate | 90\% Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Fresh/Estuarine | Mean | 5.59 | 4.91 | 6.28 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 17.80 | 14.89 | 20.63 |
|  | 95th \% | 39.04 | 36.13 | 42.16 |
|  | 99th \% | 86.30 | 81.99 | 96.67 |
| Marine | Mean | 12.42 | 11.55 | 13.29 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 45.98 | 44.48 | 48.34 |
|  | 95th \% | 64.08 | 61.61 | 68.05 |
|  | 99th \% | 111.38 | 101.94 | 120.49 |
| All Fish | Mean | 18.01 | 16.85 | 19.17 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 60.64 | 57.06 | 64.63 |
|  | 95th \% | 86.25 | 80.29 | 91.00 |
|  | 99th \% | 142.96 | 134.23 | 154.15 |
| Percentile intervals were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. <br> Note: Estimates are projected from a sample of 8,478 individuals of age 18 and older to the U.S. population of 177,807,000 individuals of age 18 and older using 3 -year combined survey weights. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-17. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - As Consumed <br> (Freshwater and Estuarine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 67.12 (46.16-88.09) | 57.30 (0.00-128.52) | 460.16 (218.56-559.86) | 1356.54 (1295.24-2118.93) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 66.22 (55.35-77.08) | 174.96 (115.11-205.05) | 451.04 (421.65-505.49) | 1188.16 (977.85-1278.63) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 78.29 (63.27-93.30) | 273.63 (209.63-300.11) | 548.66 (466.18-633.87) | 1251.00 (1038.97-1324.90) |
| All ages | 6662 | 70.32 (60.09-80.55) | 177.91 (132.69-212.30) | 497.30 (442.20-558.85) | 1269.76 (1093.19-1328.24) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 73.93 (44.89-102.96) | 28.10 (8.86-231.33) | 723.93 (423.52-785.58) | 1290.10 (1279.82-1355.11) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 75.35 (62.00-88.70) | 230.13 (132.30-309.85) | 577.84 (410.09-706.31) | 1132.23 (1028.61-1416.47) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 86.75 (70.91-102.58) | 291.50 (230.15-364.24) | 584.96 (512.66-630.77) | 1231.60 (1115.58-1566.68) |
| All ages | 5250 | 78.36 (69.10-87.61) | 231.57 (186.27-276.04) | 589.22 (549.64-630.09) | 1265.10 (1133.18-1355.11) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 70.59 (53.29-87.89) | 53.24 (0.00-118.48) | 556.34 (417.11-683.80) | 1347.67 (1279.82-1390.82) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 70.58 (61.27-79.89) | 197.11 (154.78-229.29) | 502.26 (410.09-604.29) | 1167.57 (1021.96-1279.82) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 82.12 (70.19-94.05) | 286.93 (228.49-332.88) | 566.30 (505.10-625.21) | 1251.55 (1115.58-1324.90) |
| All ages | 11912 | 74.16 (65.74-82.57) | 204.00 (177.97-225.16) | 547.64 (505.10-565.37) | 1274.55 (1197.29-1324.90) |

Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications.
Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a.

| Table 10-18. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - As Consumed <br> (Marine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 256.90 (207.04-306.76) | 936.94 (723.73-1055.43) | 1545.15 (1260.24-1760.26) | 3060.22 (2403.50-4354.46) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 159.79 (142.76-176.82) | 573.49 (493.39-663.16) | 873.73 (780.56-929.55) | 1700.21 (1578.65-1815.48) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 191.08 (171.33-210.83) | 644.33 (608.39-725.83) | 978.84 (881.06-1103.01) | 1694.58 (1488.32-1791.84) |
| All ages | 6662 | 190.61 (172.89-208.33) | 658.64 (627.61-700.33) | 1024.76 (958.94-1096.14) | 1979.45 (1793.40-2137.78) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 230.25 (188.33-272.17) | 846.57 (734.83-987.18) | 1504.37 (1320.60-1749.26) | 2885.08 (2631.87-3430.60) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 165.92 (147.73-184.12) | 626.85 (593.90-680.90) | 933.05 (833.43-982.30) | 1472.98 (1411.97-1525.47) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 164.37 (144.87-183.87) | 621.00 (562.90-691.03) | 839.06 (800.23-946.97) | 1422.94 (1293.89-1791.31) |
| All ages | 5250 | 181.08 (163.00-199.15) | 670.19 (622.62-714.53) | 981.87 (934.45-1071.54) | 1923.63 (1802.17-1972.86) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 243.31 (202.43-284.18) | 873.87 (741.53-1093.69) | 1522.52 (1371.10-1587.20) | 3059.93 (2732.63-3430.60) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 162.72 (148.13-177.31) | 602.58 (564.88-648.54) | 893.82 (856.58-940.85) | 1576.09 (1503.11-1697.71) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 178.99 (164.13-193.84) | 628.06 (555.84-700.65) | 914.67 (825.21-1040.75) | 1568.85 (1483.71-1760.74) |
| All ages | 11912 | 186.06 (170.81-201.31) | 663.00 (627.39-717.18) | 991.96 (960.40-1044.69) | 1942.17 (1815.48-2042.99) |
| Percentile intervals were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-19. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - As Consumed <br> (All Fish) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 324.02 (264.25-383.80) | 1091.52 (929.29-1407.54) | 1690.99 (1513.97-2072.35) | 3982.60 (3219.32-4568.45) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 226.01 (205.01-247.01) | 755.51 (641.02-879.29) | 1126.02 (975.49-1269.56) | 2195.86 (1762.90-2310.54) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 269.37 (243.36-295.38) | 862.18 (796.63-955.82) | 1296.64 (1186.00-1344.85) | 2147.32 (1791.84-2354.25) |
| All ages | 6662 | 260.93 (239.15-282.72) | 873.61 (796.63-911.89) | 1323.29 (1269.56-1418.85) | 2361.12 (2272.41-2598.14) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 304.17 (251.91-356.43) | 1172.17 | 1575.43 (1496.19-1943.82) | 3393.84 (2731.95-3733.22) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 241.27 (219.25-263.29) | (1085.62-1320.60) | 1208.43 (1101.68-1266.32) | 1760.48 (1611.45-1851.26) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 251.12 (225.48-276.76) | 867.70 (814.06-919.25) | 1122.80 (1041.28-1266.18) | 1922.33 (1786.53-2275.93) |
| All ages | 5250 | 259.43 (239.81-279.06) | 797.83 (762.30-858.52) | 1298.95 (1224.82-1366.86) | 2346.64 (1972.86-2631.87) |
|  |  |  | 894.96 (842.29-938.16) |  |  |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 313.90 (268.42-359.38) | 1128.26 | 1679.91 (1546.20-1848.43) | 3419.49 (3184.04-3733.22) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 233.30 (216.16-250.44) | (1005.58-1320.60) | 1155.30 (1102.57-1212.19) | 2003.46 (1787.65-2182.19) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 261.10 (240.34-281.87) | 828.12 (771.73-868.89) | 1249.97 (1101.32-1323.53) | 1967.01 (1796.52-2257.50) |
| All ages | 11912 | 260.22 (242.60-277.83) | 818.10 (771.23-882.53) | 1308.54 (1267.15-1346.71) | 2356.54 (2224.54-2556.68) |
|  |  |  | 880.47 (844.35-918.79) |  |  |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-20. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for the U.S. Population Aged 18 Years and Older by Habitat - As Consumed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habitat | Statistic | Estimate | 90\% Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Fresh/Estuarine | Mean | 75.56 | 66.37 | 84.75 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 242.49 | 205.05 | 277.26 |
|  | 95th \% | 547.61 | 493.47 | 587.37 |
|  | 99th \% | 1,171.84 | 1,123.52 | 1,252.78 |
| Marine | Mean | 172.86 | 160.73 | 184.99 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 624.83 | 598.84 | 670.34 |
|  | 95th \% | 911.05 | 877.29 | 952.66 |
|  | 99th \% | 1,573.20 | 1,468.43 | 1,713.17 |
| All Fish | Mean | 248.42 | 232.19 | 264.64 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 829.02 | 791.06 | 872.61 |
|  | 95th \% | 1,197.36 | 1,133.18 | 1,264.74 |
|  | 99th \% | 2,014.67 | 1,839.55 | 2,180.87 |
| Percentile intervals were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. <br> Note: Estimates are projected from a sample of 8,478 individuals of age 18 and older to the population of $177,807,000$ individuals of age 18 and older using 3 -year combined survey weights. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-21. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for Consumers Only by Age and Gender - As Consumed (Freshwater and Estuarine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 138 | 38.44 | 91.30 | 128.97 | 182.66 |
| 15-44 | 445 | 61.40 | 148.83 | 185.44 | 363.56 |
| 45 or older | 453 | 62.49 | 150.67 | 214.91 | 296.69 |
| All ages | 1036 | 58.82 (51.57-66.06) | 145.65 (130.73-152.24) | 190.28(173.88-219.03) | 330.41 (259.20-526.69) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 157 | 52.44 | 112.05 | 154.44 | 230.74 |
| 15-44 | 356 | 81.56 | 224.01 | 275.02 | 371.53 |
| 45 or older | 343 | 82.23 | 192.31 | 255.68 | 449.09 |
| All ages | 856 | 77.50 (70.21-84.80) | 197.93 (169.51-224.85) | 253.48 (216.54-290.00) | 404.65 (371.63-421.60) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 295 | 45.73 | 108.36 | 136.24 | 214.62 |
| 15-44 | 801 | 71.44 | 180.67 | 230.95 | 371.52 |
| 45 or older | 796 | 71.81 | 174.54 | 231.38 | 427.73 |
| All ages | 1892 | 68.00 (61.92-74.07) | 170.84 (158.74-181.79) | 224.78 (212.91-245.98) | 374.74 (336.50-431.34) |

Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications.
Acute Consumers only are individuals with reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period.
Source: U.S. EPA, 1996 a.

| Table 10-22. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for Consumers Only by Age and Gender - As Consumed (Marine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 315 | 69.04 | 114.23 | 162.37 | 336.59 |
| 15-44 | 774 | 76.53 | 149.78 | 178.74 | 271.06 |
| 45 or older | 715 | 85.24 | 167.11 | 218.35 | 264.8 |
| All ages | 1804 | 78.47 (74.43-82.51) | 155.38 (147.00-166.64) | 195.15 (179.12-212.07) | 279.79 (263.48-336.17) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 348 | 78.44 | 160.97 | 190.68 | 336.98 |
| 15-44 | 565 | 104.57 | 191.29 | 227.56 | 316.69 |
| 45 or older | 467 | 101.46 | 188.77 | 259.85 | 333.18 |
| All ages | 1380 | 98.59 (93.16-104.03) | 184.53 (173.46-194.13) | 224.89 (210.00-250.28) | 328.18 (310.42-348.49) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 663 | 73.62 | 153.2 | 176.9 | 337.24 |
| 15-44 | 1339 | 89.93 | 171.88 | 209.17 | 308.06 |
| 45 or older | 1182 | 92.19 | 178.33 | 223.82 | 314.44 |
| All ages | 3184 | 87.77 (83.74-91.80) | 169.39 (167.00-173.65) | 209.50 (198.11-221.73) | 320.41 (292.80-341.88) |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Acute Consumers only are individuals with reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996 a. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-23. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for Consumers Only by Age and Gender - As Consumed (All Fish) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 378 | 69.54 | 126.22 | 165.27 | 338.04 |
| 15-44 | 952 | 88.8 | 170.01 | 212.56 | 361.04 |
| 45 or older | 879 | 96.47 | 184.42 | 226.25 | 310.12 |
| All ages | 2209 | 88.47 (83.98-92.97) | 170.10 (166.63-173.88) | 220.56 (201.97-236.00) | 340.71 (289.17-368.51) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 429 | 79.72 | 161.62 | 190 | 308.59 |
| 15-44 | 702 | 124.78 | 230.77 | 296.66 | 397.7 |
| 45 or older | 587 | 119.44 | 224.82 | 262.43 | 434.28 |
| All ages | 1718 | 114.18 (108.79-119.56) | 219.96 (209.17-229.91) | 272.49 (254.99-301.51) | 411.68 (371.43-447.85) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 807 | 74.8 | 153.7 | 178.08 | 337.46 |
| 15-44 | 1654 | 106.06 | 203.33 | 271.66 | 372.77 |
| 45 or older | 1466 | 106.62 | 209.34 | 254.69 | 407.14 |
| All ages | 3927 | 100.63 (96.66-104.60) | 197.44 (188.74-205.12) | 253.38 (231.51-264.45) | 371.59 (359.29-401.61) |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Acute Consumers only are individuals with reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-24. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for Consumers Only Aged 18 Years and Older by Habitat - As Consumed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habitat | Statistic | Estimate | 90\% Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fresh/Estuarine } \\ & \mathrm{n}=1,541 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=37,166,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 70.91 | 64.16 | 77.65 |
|  | 50th \% | 42.45 | 37.24 | 46.91 |
|  | 90th \% | 176.58 | 165.08 | 193.26 |
|  | 95th \% | 230.41 | 224.00 | 255.55 |
|  | 99th \% | 402.56 | 358.58 | 518.41 |
| Marine$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=2,432 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=57,830,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 91.49 | 87.35 | 95.64 |
|  | 50th \% | 77.56 | 74.89 | 78.52 |
|  | 90th \% | 172.29 | 168.00 | 182.00 |
|  | 95th \% | 215.62 | 201.99 | 225.63 |
|  | 99th \% | 313.05 | 292.80 | 324.81 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { All Fish } \\ \mathrm{n}=3,007 \\ \mathrm{~N}=70,949,000 \end{array}$ | Mean | 106.39 | 102.37 | 110.41 |
|  | 50th \% | 85.36 | 84.00 | 87.36 |
|  | 90th \% | 206.76 | 197.84 | 213.00 |
|  | 95th \% | 258.22 | 241.00 | 266.86 |
|  | 99th \% | 399.26 | 336.50 | 423.56 |
| Percentile intervals were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. <br> Note: Consumers only are individuals who consumed fish at least once during the 3-day reporting period; $\mathrm{n}=$ sample size; $\mathrm{N}=$ population size. Estimates are projected from a sample of consumers only 18 years of age and older to the population of consumers only 18 years of age and older using 3-year combined survey weights. The population for this survey consisted of individuals in the 48 conterminous states. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-25. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for Consumers Only by Age and Gender - As Consumed (Freshwater and Estuarine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 or under | 138 | 1639.20 | 3915.56 | 6271.09 | 10113.24 |
| 15-44 | 445 | 961.58 | 2578.81 | 3403.75 | 6167.24 |
| 45 or older | 453 | 927.85 | 2229.97 | 2894.18 | 4338.36 |
| All ages | 1036 | 1037.29 (905.50-1169.09) | 2582.5 (2248.8-2734.5) | 3434.16 (2927.72-3979.82) | 6923.5 (4757.8-9134.9) |
| Males | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 or under | 157 | 1798.24 | 3759.29 | 3952.99 | 7907.38 |
| 15-44 | 356 | 1004.96 | 2744.61 | 3348.86 | 4569.62 |
| 45 or older | 343 | 992.11 | 2448.54 | 3281.38 | 5716.41 |
| All ages | 856 | 1117.74 | 2789.95 | 3399.26 (3256.87-3907.77) | 5259.97 (4834.34-6593.97) |
|  |  | (1011.55-1223.94) | (2526.87-3132.65) |  |  |
| Both Sexes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 or under | 295 | 1721.99 | 3760.67 | 4208.18 | 9789.49 |
| 15-44 | 801 | 983.19 | 2616.63 | 3360.85 | 5089.78 |
| 45 or older | 796 | 958.20 | 2394.21 | 3121.09 | 5157.95 |
| All ages | 1892 | 1076.80 (980.00-1173.61) | 2695.81 | 3399.46 (3132.65-3839.47) | 6526.10 (5270.61-6931.61) |
|  |  |  | (2546.77-2819.33) |  |  |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Consumers only are individuals with reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-26. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for Consumers Only by Age and Gender - As Consumed <br> (Marine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 315 | 2591.57 | 5074.80 | 6504.67 | 9970.44 |
| 15-44 | 774 | 1227.41 | 2469.67 | 3007.98 | 4800.68 |
| 45 or older | 715 | 1293.99 | 2642.60 | 3565.34 | 4237.73 |
| All ages | 1804 | 1486.90 (1400.58-1573.23) | 2992.38 (2841.13-3303.96) | 3961.24 (3768.48-4192.13) | 6521.73 (5792.54-7794.41) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 348 | 2471.15 | 4852.33 | 5860.72 | 8495.57 |
| 15-44 | 565 | 1302.62 | 2390.20 | 2882.91 | 3887.23 |
| 45 or older | 467 | 1242.49 | 2251.43 | 2877.73 | 4016.80 |
| All ages | 1380 | 1505.19 (1411.84-1598.55) | 2899.23 (2797.30-3199.05) | 3836.02 (3563.32-4581.61) | 5859.85 (5247.79-7895.62) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 663 | 2532.95 | 5068.69 | 6376.47 | 8749.02 |
| 15-44 | 1339 | 1263.35 | 2464.80 | 2961.92 | 4251.47 |
| 45 or older | 1182 | 1271.92 | 2461.37 | 3383.46 | 4220.78 |
| All ages | 3184 | 1495.37 (1422.63-1568.12) | 2956.38 (2838.46-3083.70) | 3887.52 (3770.65-4113.22) | 6510.73 (5772.57-6852.01) |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Consumers only are individuals with reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-27. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for Consumer Only by Age and Gender - As Consumed (All Fish) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 378 | 2683.51 | 5299.68 | 7160.73 | 12473.65 |
| 15-44 | 952 | 1414.54 | 2726.46 | 3740.83 | 6703.25 |
| 45 or older | 879 | 1449.43 | 2838.76 | 3736.61 | 4693.94 |
| All ages | 2209 | 1637.08 (1546.08-1728.08) | 3122.82 (2992.63-3308.93) | 4312.16 (3969.22-4710.75) | 7163.38 (6852.67-7794.41) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 429 | 2568.93 | 4714.97 | 5818.08 | 9350.89 |
| 15-44 | 702 | 1545.93 | 2854.49 | 3773.51 | 5254.04 |
| 45 or older | 587 | 1451.06 | 2841.35 | 3366.84 | 5091.31 |
| All ages | 1718 | 1715.79 (1636.68-1794.90) | 3399.26 (3290.97-3766.18) | 4244.32 (4015.03-4581.61) | 6818.35 (5792.54-7588.15) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 807 | 2624.35 | 5020.14 | 6904.83 | 10384.82 |
| 15-44 | 1654 | 1477.57 | 2798.37 | 3747.88 | 5386.43 |
| 45 or older | 1466 | 1450.15 | 2839.04 | 3515.81 | 4922.99 |
| All ages | 3927 | 1674.31 (1606.79-1741.83) | 3299.54 (3133.69-3462.35) | 4258.69 (4065.32-4483.83) | 7126.90 (6644.11-7794.41) |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Consumers only are individuals with reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-28. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day)for Consumers Only Aged 18 Years and Older by Habitat - As Consumed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milligrams/kilogram/person/day |  |  |  |  |
| Habitat | Statistic | Estimate | 90\% Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Fresh/Estuarine$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=1,541 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=37,166,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 959.15 | 867.58 | 1,050.72 |
|  | 50th \% | 601.88 | 532.31 | 656.86 |
|  | 90th \% | 2,442.97 | 2,233.16 | 2,606.66 |
|  | 95th \% | 3,116.28 | 2,839.90 | 3,303.96 |
|  | 99th \% | 5,151.98 | 4,432.30 | 6,931.61 |
| Marine$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=2,432 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=57,830,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 1,270.78 | 1,214.65 | 1,326.90 |
|  | 50th \% | 1,062.93 | 1,019.60 | 1,087.06 |
|  | 90th \% | 2,467.68 | 2,331.88 | 2,585.09 |
|  | 95th \% | 3,116.74 | 2,906.16 | 3,264.98 |
|  | 99th \% | 4,250.22 | 4,037.74 | 4,387.96 |
| All Fish$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=3,007 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=70,949,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 1,461.71 | 1,406.34 | 1,517.09 |
|  | 50th \% | 1,189.29 | 1,156.77 | 1,225.43 |
|  | 90th \% | 2,802.28 | 2,685.81 | 2,868.73 |
|  | 95th \% | 3,588.11 | 3,308.93 | 3,798.54 |
|  | 99th \% | 5,355.90 | 5,095.58 | 5,766.99 |
| Percentile intervals were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. <br> Note: Consumers only are individuals who consumed fish at least once during the 3-day reporting period; $\mathrm{n}=$ sample size; $\mathrm{N}=$ population size Estimates are projected from a sample of consumers only 18 years of age and older to the population of consumers only 18 years of age and older using 3-year combined survey weights. The population for this survey consisted of individuals in the 48 conterminous states. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1996 a. |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-29. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (Freshwater and Estuarine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 1.99 (1.34-2.64) | 1.81 (0.00-4.63) | 15.88 (7.89-18.38) | 46.82 (36.72-54.55) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 5.50 (4.53-6.48) | 13.62 (9.99-18.11) | 36.68 (32.53-40.31) | 94.93 (75.74-114.34) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 6.65 (5.30-8.00) | 24.18 (18.11-27.41) | 46.91 (37.94-52.92) | 108.90 (92.06-123.72) |
| All ages | 6662 | 5.13 (4.37-5.88) | 13.31 (10.48-16.67) | 35.63 (28.92-40.07) | 94.61 (77.70-109.09) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 2.69 (1.62-3.76) | 1.07 (0.33-8.67) | 18.47 (14.39-25.91) | 57.07 (47.32-65.37) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 7.87 (6.46-9.29) | 22.10 (13.43-31.80) | 63.26 (50.62-70.12) | 126.61 (108.54-162.80) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 8.87 (7.32-10.43) | 28.74 (24.23-33.07) | 61.15 (52.57-71.59) | 125.90 (112.28-147.62) |
| All ages | 5250 | 6.91 (6.07-7.75) | 19.00 (14.99-23.69) | 51.43 (47.32-54.82) | 112.11 (108.54-127.19) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 2.35 (1.70-3.00) | 1.72 (0.00-5.00) | 17.46 (12.78-18.68) | 50.14 (43.58-55.00) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 6.64 (5.71-7.56) | 18.30 (14.99-21.14) | 47.31 (36.22-59.65) | 109.66 (94.43-127.19) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 7.66 (6.50-8.81) | 26.11 (21.95-28.85) | 52.92 (45.73-61.51) | 113.10 (107.18-133.74) |
| All ages | 11912 | 5.98(5.29-6.67) | 15.89(14.39-17.76) | 40.03(37.94-44.75) | 107.63(98.25-109.09) |


| Table 10-30. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (Marine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 8.61 (6.67-10.56) | 31.23 (26.85-37.29) | 49.75 (41.46-57.49) | 104.26 (83.35-140.07) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 12.84 (11.51-14.18) | 46.66 (38.35-54.30) | 72.16 (63.12-77.18) | 133.69 (121.33-142.82) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 16.26 (14.68-17.84) | 56.01 (50.00-61.97) | 84.71 (75.05-93.29) | 131.43 (112.07-156.01) |
| All ages | 6662 | 13.05 (11.97-14.12) | 46.70 (44.49-49.72) | 72.22 (65.55-75.47) | 130.73 (121.33-137.18) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 9.40 (7.36-11.45) | 31.32 (25.20-44.12) | 65.37 (54.60-73.39) | 118.42 (82.34-176.52) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 17.11 (15.31-18.90) | 66.06 (62.21-73.20) | 93.32 (81.26-106.67) | 155.16 (136.77-181.18) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 17.22 (15.19-19.25) | 62.64 (59.39-68.44) | 84.96 (79.93-99.44) | 146.78 (142.58-185.44) |
| All ages | 5250 | 15.27 (13.86-16.68) | 61.12 (56.59-63.09) | 81.89 (77.91-87.16) | 147.09 (134.55-174.31) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 9.02 (7.28-10.75) | 31.52 (30.19-35.75) | 56.35 (50.22-62.25) | 117.75 (91.82-140.07) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 14.88 (13.57-16.19) | 55.99 (53.04-61.33) | 80.70 (75.19-87.16) | 138.23 (128.40-157.23) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 16.69 (15.34-18.04) | 59.12 (52.84-64.53) | 84.92 (76.67-93.32) | 142.92 (134.55-155.13) |
| All ages | 11912 | 14.11(13.07-15.14) | 52.10(47.83-55.93) | 76.51(74.58-80.89) | 138.22(132.98-155.13) |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-31. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight <br> (All Fish) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 10.60 (8.40-12.81) | 41.10 (35.80-47.57) | 56.16 (49.78-65.55) | 130.78 (83.35-160.66) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 18.35 (16.67-20.02) | 62.21 (54.47-73.56) | 93.13 (82.29-108.03) | 155.75 (137.18-174.31) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 22.91 (20.78-25.04) | 74.56 (65.37-79.67) | 107.66 (97.64-111.71) | 159.97 (157.17-173.74) |
| All ages | 6662 | 18.17 (16.82-19.53) | 61.08 (56.94-63.12) | 92.03 (86.94-96.11) | 157.08 (147.34-168.83) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 12.09 (9.70-14.49) | 45.59 (34.69-53.11) | 68.18 (64.28-79.90) | 127.20 (87.29-176.52) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 24.98 (22.79-27.17) | 87.15 (80.89-94.63) | 122.29 (111.05-124.83) | 197.15 (179.86-198.87) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 26.09 (23.52-28.67) | 81.76 (76.67-88.03) | 112.33 (109.65-130.36) | 211.20 (190.74-223.72) |
| All ages | 5250 | 22.18 (20.52-23.83) | 76.13 (74.22-79.92) | 110.88 (108.54-118.56) | 180.90 (174.39-198.87) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 11.36 (9.49-13.24) | 43.00 (34.69-47.32) | 65.34 (56.28-68.51) | 130.41 (107.12-160.66) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 21.51 (19.97-23.06) | 75.15 (73.56-79.71) | 109.57 (106.72-117.47) | 175.73 (162.80-198.63) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 24.35 (22.46-26.24) | 77.57 (72.07-84.02) | 110.13 (100.42-119.87) | 180.74 (164.76-210.75) |
| All ages | 11912 | 20.08(18.82-21.35) | 70.11 (65.37-74.20) | 102.01 (99.26-106.67) | 173.18 (162.80-176.52) |

Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications.
Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a.

| Table 10-32. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for the U.S. Population Aged 18 Years and Older by Habitat - Uncooked Fish Weight |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habitat | Statistic | Estimate | 90\% Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Fresh/Estuarine | Mean | 7.09 | 6.22 | 7.96 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 21.72 | 18.52 | 25.82 |
|  | 95th \% | 49.89 | 47.32 | 54.67 |
|  | 99th \% | 111.13 | 107.18 | 116.38 |
| Marine | Mean | 16.01 | 14.89 | 17.12 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 59.35 | 56.59 | 61.49 |
|  | 95th \% | 82.95 | 80.37 | 88.36 |
|  | 99th \% | 142.78 | 131.02 | 156.89 |
| All Fish | Mean | 23.10 | 21.62 | 24.58 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 76.84 | 74.37 | 80.13 |
|  | 95th \% | 110.28 | 106.67 | 115.32 |
|  | 99th \% | 177.44 | 171.73 | 198.63 |
| Percentile intervals were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. NOTE: Estimates are projected from a sample of 8,478 individuals of age 18 and older to the U.S. population of 177,807,000 individuals of age 18 and older using 3 -year combined survey weights. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-33. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (Freshwater and Estuarine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample Size |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 84.78 (58.06-111.50) | 70.75 (0.00-143.13) | 599.06 (266.71-722.58) | 1713.06 (1511.78-2313.50) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 85.15 (70.68-99.62) | 202.83 (153.48-259.97) | 584.79 (538.05-631.86) | 1411.42 (1236.72-1659.15) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 98.97 (79.89-118.04) | 333.38 (269.96-379.98) | 733.74 (606.36-820.68) | 1561.40 (1331.46-1667.88) |
| All ages | 6662 | 89.54 (76.51-102.58) | 225.51 (176.38-280.11) | 625.30 (552.99-713.85) | 1558.08 (1394.99-1659.15) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 91.62 (55.18-128.05) | 38.98 (12.26-281.50) | 868.97 (485.33-1063.50) | 1642.60 (1599.78-1693.88) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 96.91 (78.91-114.90) | 281.17 (165.37-387.46) | 740.91 (546.79-850.52) | 1589.97 (1353.43-1992.23) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 107.87 (88.47-127.28) | 361.99 (304.96-455.29) | 702.35 (628.25-810.62) | 1612.49 (1344.07-1848.39) |
| All ages | 5250 | 98.86 (87.19-110.52) | 292.58 (217.42-342.11) | 755.53 (677.47-790.85) | 1596.61 (1538.89-1711.41) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 88.26 (66.69-109.83) | 66.00 (0.00-143.13) | 717.37 (485.60-880.64) | 1688.55 (1511.78-1824.44) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 90.77 (78.37-103.16) | 250.26 (194.04-289.19) | 631.31 (538.05-773.91) | 1529.94 (1352.50-1659.15) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 103.00 (87.86-118.15) | 345.69 (291.80-423.39) | 719.81 (637.94-790.85) | 1590.13 (1373.97-1668.93) |
| All ages | 11912 | 93.99 (83.41-104.57) | 251.82 (222.54-282.58) | 677.66 (631.86-729.11) | 1593.28 (1511.78-1659.15) |


| Table 10-34. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (Marine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 333.99 (267.25-400.72) | 1132.99 (864.83-1407.24) | 1959.91 (1780.61-2347.02) | 3776.60 (3173.86-5736.90) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 206.03 (183.95-228.11) | 762.54 (617.86-857.55) | 1137.58 (1036.38-1211.86) | 2174.21 (2014.41-2393.16) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 246.73 (221.45-272.00) | 829.52 (777.87-944.26) | 1236.00 (1174.14-1413.34) | 2161.65 (1952.51-2303.80) |
| All ages | 6662 | 246.47 (223.28-269.66) | 847.60 (811.19-893.29) | 1305.49 (1215.53-1385.66) | 2615.85 (2365.65-2857.62) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 296.99 (241.85-352.13) | 1089.46 (1003.46-1256.97) | 1907.65 (1685.30-2186.58) | 3723.81 (3274.93-4574.13) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 212.88 (190.31-235.44) | 800.79 (741.29-859.61) | 1191.75 (1096.61-1245.94) | 1890.42 (1685.30-1969.63) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 212.15 (187.25-237.04) | 792.86 (747.56-890.31) | 1100.20 (1039.02-1210.66) | 1842.38 (1749.67-2219.32) |
| All ages | 5250 | 233.07 (209.65-256.49) | 859.01 (798.27-907.76) | 1255.35 (1204.46-1382.05) | 2520.94 (2263.58-2733.15) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 315.12 (260.95-369.29) | 1123.28 (993.12-1371.24) | 1909.37 (1785.09-2062.64) | 3820.21 (3370.59-4574.13) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 209.30 (190.68-227.92) | 780.16 (722.86-843.41) | 1174.69 (1104.42-1215.53) | 2019.59 (1918.45-2237.22) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 231.06 (212.18-249.95) | 813.12 (747.56-907.76) | 1193.22 (1076.85-1333.72) | 2029.16 (1863.17-2219.32) |
| All ages | 11912 | 240.07 (220.14-260.01) | 855.63 (809.67-909.76) | 1271.54 (1227.16-1371.24) | 2575.29 (2393.16-2708.59) |
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| Table 10-35. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for the U.S. Population by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (All Fish) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample <br> Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1431 | 418.76 (339.58-497.95) | 1389.10 (1150.77-1785.09) | 2341.90 (2062.64-2860.52) | 4985.96 (3971.54-5736.90) |
| 15-44 | 2891 | 291.18 (263.86-318.50) | 993.92 (854.63-1127.32) | 1436.00 (1234.66-1631.25) | 2726.50 (2406.11-3044.81) |
| 45 or older | 2340 | 345.69 (312.49-378.90) | 1122.26 (1050.15-1230.68) | 1669.72 (1556.83-1784.37) | 2684.71 (2303.80-3064.38) |
| All ages | 6662 | 336.01 (307.83-364.20) | 1120.91 (1054.05-1172.38) | 1720.84 (1642.63-1855.69) | 3093.76 (2973.66-3265.54) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 1546 | 388.61 (320.66-456.56) | 1476.31 (1371.24-1632.55) | 2038.58 (1909.00-2631.42) | 4294.12 (3556.31-4574.13) |
| 15-44 | 2151 | 309.78 (281.55-338.02) | 1096.57 (1044.57-1194.06) | 1566.39 (1410.20-1609.35) | 2275.15 (2047.18-2465.77) |
| 45 or older | 1553 | 320.02 (287.79-352.25) | 1013.05 (955.37-1096.43) | 1459.73 (1340.97-1601.79) | 2392.05 (2233.16-2806.51) |
| All ages | 5250 | 331.93 (306.46-357.40) | 1126.66 (1081.06-1225.66) | 1621.80 (1599.78-1696.20) | 3031.31 (2806.51-3274.93) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 2977 | 403.38 (343.65-463.12) | 1442.72 (1279.82-1672.75) | 2191.90 (2021.16-2536.75) | 4425.27 (4000.27-4669.59) |
| 15-44 | 5042 | 300.06 (277.94-322.19) | 1040.98 (1003.55-1097.08) | 1514.82 (1421.34-1572.40) | 2481.23 (2383.54-2773.15) |
| 45 or older | 3893 | 334.07 (307.87-360.26) | 1069.14 (978.95-1140.98) | 1579.43 (1373.97-1696.20) | 2653.45 (2292.45-2806.51) |
| All ages | 11912 | 334.06 (311.25-356.88) | 1123.14 (1090.76-1178.95) | 1684.23 (1620.48-1718.51) | 3092.77 (2973.66-3250.20) |


| Table 10-36. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for the U.S. Population Aged 18 Years and Older by Habitat - Uncooked Fish Weight |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habitat | Statistic | Estimate | 90\% Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Fresh/Estuarine | Mean | 95.99 | 84.30 | 107.69 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 306.74 | 259.97 | 334.58 |
|  | 95th \% | 677.39 | 626.01 | 734.34 |
|  | 99th \% | 1,547.81 | 1,411.56 | 1,599.78 |
| Marine | Mean | 222.86 | 207.34 | 238.37 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 810.43 | 778.50 | 859.61 |
|  | 95th \% | 1,190.45 | 1,145.61 | 1,219.60 |
|  | 99th \% | 2,033.92 | 1,870.09 | 2,263.58 |
| All Fish | Mean | 318.85 | 298.20 | 339.49 |
|  | 50th \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 1,061.14 | 1,016.87 | 1,105.01 |
|  | 95th \% | 1,548.77 | 1,464.72 | 1,609.14 |
|  | 99th \% | 2,559.07 | 2,444.24 | 2,764.50 |
| Percentile intervals were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. NOTE: Estimates are projected from a sample of 8,478 individuals of age 18 and older to the population of $177,807,000$ individuals of age 18 and older using 3 -year combined survey weights. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-37. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for Consumers Only by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (Freshwater and Estuarine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 138 | 48.3 | 117.27 | 161.44 | 230.63 |
| 15-44 | 445 | 78.56 | 191.95 | 242.76 | 472.21 |
| 45 or older | 453 | 78.77 | 192.32 | 258.56 | 368.84 |
| All ages | 1036 | 74.67 (65.46-83.88) | 181.08 (171.19-197.59) | 239.59 (220.69-284.70) | 409.00 (345.96-671.54) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 157 | 64.91 | 141.35 | 193.79 | 287.28 |
| 15-44 | 356 | 104.86 | 269.96 | 343.66 | 494.38 |
| 45 or older | 343 | 102.56 | 234.28 | 326.96 | 539.77 |
| All ages | 856 | 98.12 (88.60-107.64) | 246.93 (212.93-283.90) | 324.53 (283.28-381.58) | 499.19 (488.41-532.32) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 295 | 56.95 | 134.89 | 166.32 | 262.87 |
| 15-44 | 801 | 91.66 | 237.27 | 322.06 | 494.64 |
| 45 or older | 796 | 90 | 220.76 | 295.41 | 523.94 |
| All ages | 1892 | 86.19 (78.41-93.97) | 217.92 (205.28-237.27) | 290.04 (267.10-325.61) | 489.29 (424.87-534.20) |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Consumers only are individuals reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-38. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for Consumers Only by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (Marine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 315 | 89.92 | 169.23 | 198.62 | 432.51 |
| 15-44 | 774 | 98.53 | 194.59 | 231.22 | 317.42 |
| 45 or older | 715 | 110 | 214.73 | 279.67 | 345.37 |
| All ages | 1804 | 101.30 (95.90-106.69) | 195.37 (186.67-213.33) | 252.43 (231.53-278.16) | 372.17 (314.67-428.00) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 348 | 101.5 | 205.49 | 242.28 | 408.68 |
| 15-44 | 565 | 133.86 | 244.46 | 297.67 | 393.14 |
| 45 or older | 467 | 131.2 | 243.33 | 327.14 | 428.72 |
| All ages | 1380 | 126.85 (119.75-133.94) | 238.64 (225.57-247.01) | 296.68 (279.95-316.81) | 425.98 (403.66-481.95) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 663 | 95.56 | 189.32 | 231.72 | 442.87 |
| 15-44 | 1339 | 115.41 | 223.99 | 263.76 | 383.16 |
| 45 or older | 1182 | 119.08 | 226.55 | 288.16 | 418.23 |
| All ages | 3184 | 113.11 (107.79-118.43) | 222.67 (216.50-225.56) | 271.70 (260.62-279.95) | 415.88 (367.26-440.45) |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Consumers only are individuals with reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-39. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for Consumers Only by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (All Fish) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 378 | 89.73 | 163.47 | 204.14 | 476.56 |
| 15-44 | 952 | 114.04 | 220.63 | 277.69 | 461.54 |
| 45 or older | 879 | 123.61 | 236.3 | 298.66 | 397.43 |
| All ages | 2209 | 113.58 (107.69-119.47) | 220.44 (206.27-226.80) | 287.08 (257.09-312.42) | 448.57 (393.68-531.63) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 429 | 102.01 | 205.25 | 244.46 | 386.47 |
| 15-44 | 702 | 160.06 | 305.61 | 379.38 | 495.51 |
| 45 or older | 587 | 152.52 | 292.95 | 350.26 | 555.11 |
| All ages | 1718 | 146.18 (138.99-153.38) | 283.46 (261.72-297.95) | 350.99 (328.70-382.33) | 520.51 (488.41-591.47) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 807 | 96.07 | 195.35 | 232.85 | 466.09 |
| 15-44 | 1654 | 136.12 | 262.15 | 343.86 | 488.9 |
| 45 or older | 1466 | 136.38 | 263.95 | 326.94 | 510.25 |
| All ages | 3927 | 129.00 (123.74-134.27) | 249.09 (240.99-264.10) | 326.00 (306.02-335.58) | 497.54 (469.23-519.67) |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Consumers only are individuals reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-40. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (g/day) for Consumers Only Aged 18 Years and Older by Habitat - Uncooked Fish Weight |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 90\% Interval |  |
| Habitat | Statistic | Estimate | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Fresh/Estuarine$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=1,541 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=37,166,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 89.88 | 81.41 | 98.35 |
|  | 50th \% | 53.64 | 46.44 | 57.81 |
|  | 90th \% | 223.11 | 206.58 | 237.27 |
|  | 95th \% | 296.89 | 283.90 | 325.61 |
|  | 99th \% | 502.93 | 448.23 | 654.55 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Marine } \\ & \mathrm{n}=2,432 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=57,830,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 117.83 | 112.47 | 123.20 |
|  | 50th \% | 98.79 | 95.69 | 100.76 |
|  | 90th \% | 225.51 | 222.67 | 234.00 |
|  | 95th \% | 279.50 | 261.47 | 289.44 |
|  | 99th \% | 403.48 | 369.10 | 427.73 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { All Fish } \\ & \mathrm{n}=3,007 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=70,949,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 136.33 | 131.11 | 141.55 |
|  | 50th \% | 111.50 | 108.53 | 112.00 |
|  | 90th \% | 262.03 | 253.24 | 272.71 |
|  | 95th \% | 328.66 | 323.61 | 340.52 |
|  | 99th \% | 506.02 | 435.44 | 531.63 |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. <br> Note: Consumers only are individuals who consumed fish at least once during the 3-day reporting period; $\mathrm{n}=$ sample size; and $\mathrm{N}=$ population size. Estimates are projected from a sample of consumers only 18 years of age and older to the population of consumers only 18 years of age and older using 3-year combined survey weights. The population for this survey consisted of individuals in the 48 conterminous states. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-41. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for Consumers Only by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (Freshwater and Estuarine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 138 | 2070.41 | 4450.54 | 6915.31 | 13269.61 |
| 15-44 | 445 | 1229.97 | 3045.41 | 4191.25 | 7711.43 |
| 45 or older | 453 | 1171.17 | 2886.48 | 3519.87 | 5577.34 |
| All ages | 1036 | $\begin{gathered} 1317.18 \\ (1150.10-1484.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3250.31 \\ (2988.81-3491.38) \end{gathered}$ | 4240.89 (3710.16-5025.02) | 8912.52 (6385.55-11533.98) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 157 | 2229.31 | 4638.34 | 5071.41 | 9622.15 |
| 15-44 | 356 | 1294.27 | 3318.89 | 4275.83 | 5974.96 |
| 45 or older | 343 | 1235.55 | 2898.00 | 4097.24 | 7217.68 |
| All ages | 856 | $\begin{gathered} 1411.35 \\ (1278.61-1544.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3579.06 \\ (3225.84-4060.30) \end{gathered}$ | 4615.66 (4121.91-5081.65) | 6594.61 (5980.19-7944.55) |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 295 | 2153.11 | 4634.82 | 5756.93 | 12388.27 |
| 15-44 | 801 | 1261.99 | 3276.06 | 4246.63 | 6625.15 |
| 45 or older | 796 | 1201.57 | 2892.52 | 3981.84 | 6378.11 |
| All ages | 1892 | $\begin{gathered} 1363.44 \\ (1242.24-1484.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3325.14 \\ (3232.58-3676.99) \end{gathered}$ | 4408.18 (4085.55-4781.34) | 7957.50 (6979.20-8920.99) |

Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications.
Consumers only are individuals with reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period.
Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a.

| Table 10-42. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for Consumers Only by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (Marine) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 or under | 315 | 3359.10 | 6058.97 | 8573.62 | 13050.09 |
| 15-44 | 774 | 1582.77 | 3129.41 | 3854.14 | 5961.80 |
| 45 or older | 715 | 1669.73 | 3429.24 | 4397.07 | 5476.02 |
| All ages | 1804 | $\begin{gathered} 1920.77 \\ (1804.28-2037.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3793.20 \\ (3618.55-4328.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5083.63 \\ (4953.40-5552.65) \end{gathered}$ | 8576.60 (7527.83-9743.01) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males <br> 14 or under 15-44 45 or older All ages | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 348 | 3180.45 | 6434.20 | 8089.26 | 10764.01 |
|  | 565 | 1666.42 | 3102.24 | 3651.10 | 4998.14 |
|  | 467 | 1604.71 | 2931.17 | 3725.63 | 5373.82 |
|  | 1380 | 1934.12 | 3736.16 | 4884.60 | 8066.96 (6852.67-9869.52) |
|  |  | (1812.97-2055.28) | (3548.08-4072.42) | (4454.15-5710.83) |  |
| Both Sexes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 or under | 663 | 3272.13 | 6278.74 | 8424.77 | 11838.54 |
| 15-44 | 1339 | 1622.75 | 3120.60 | 3682.17 | 5517.95 |
| 45 or older | 1182 | 1641.87 | 3320.87 | 4328.34 | 5406.76 |
| All ages | 3184 | 1926.95 | 3752.89 | 5018.74 | 8448.28 (7215.72-9136.89) |
|  |  | (1829.50-2024.39) | (3631.98-4001.16) | (4852.08-5267.31) |  |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Consumers only are individuals with reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period. Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |  |

Volume II - Food Ingestion Factors


Chapter 10 - Intake of Fish and Shellfish

| Table 10-43. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) for Consumer Only by Age and Gender - Uncooked Fish Weight (All Fish) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Sample Size | Mean (90\% C.I.) | 90th \% (90\% B.I.) | 95th \% (90\% B.I.) | 99th \% (90\% B.I.) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 378 | 3448.73 | 7100.43 | 9012.18 | 15381.13 |
| 15-44 | 952 | 1818.32 | 3506.20 | 4661.96 | 8789.33 |
| 45 or older | 879 | 1857.64 | 3520.90 | 4740.11 | 6561.13 |
| All ages | 2209 | $2102.20$ | $4092.51$ | $5545.07$ | 9630.23 (8166.44-9796.61) |
|  |  | (1982.89-2221.51) | (3842.15-4282.08) | (5080.72-6007.28) |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 429 | 3273.63 | 5734.46 | 7570.83 | 11891.85 |
| 15-44 | 702 | 1983.16 | 3720.05 | 4769.44 | 6121.56 |
| 45 or older | 587 | 1850.69 | 3534.61 | 4311.83 | 6374.34 |
| All ages | 1718 | 2193.24 | 4385.06 | 5351.38 | 8596.82 (7816.70-10199.24) |
|  |  | (2089.20-2297.28) | (4121.91-4776.34) | (5055.10-5727.01) |  |
| Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 or under | 807 | 3358.33 | 6333.46 | 8611.73 | 12406.35 |
| 15-44 | 1654 | 1897.40 | 3674.88 | 4709.78 | 7276.18 |
| 45 or older | 1466 | 1854.57 | 3522.43 | 4615.22 | 6440.17 |
| All ages | 3927 | 2145.26 $(2055.92-2234.61)$ | 4223.91 $(4085.76-454.15)$ | 5477.86 $(5163.33-5686.04)$ | 9171.52 (8605.35-9796.61) |
|  |  | (2055.92-2234.61) | (4085.76-4454.15) | (5163.33-5686.04) |  |

Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications.
Consumers only are individuals with reported fish consumption at least once during the three day reporting period.
Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a.

| Table 10-44. Per Capita Distribution of Fish (Finfish and Shellfish) Intake (mg/kg-day) for Consumers Only Aged 18 Years and Older by Habitat - Uncooked Fish Weight |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 90\% Interval |  |
| Habitat | Statistic | Estimate | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Fresh/Estuarine$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=1,541 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=37,166,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 1,216.82 | 1,101.74 | 1,331.90 |
|  | 50th \% | 740.93 | 639.11 | 822.65 |
|  | 90th \% | 3,050.95 | 2,931.26 | 3,270.80 |
|  | 95th \% | 4,025.44 | 3,639.76 | 4,121.91 |
|  | 99th \% | 6,638.62 | 6,007.28 | 8,920.99 |
| Marine$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=2,432 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=57,830,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 1,637.10 | 1,564.27 | 1,709.92 |
|  | 50th \% | 1,370.42 | 1,302.29 | 1,422.69 |
|  | 90th \% | 3,169.02 | 3,006.55 | 3,328.98 |
|  | 95th \% | 3,926.74 | 3,632.70 | 4,156.98 |
|  | 99th \% | 5,452.75 | 5,353.12 | 5,596.31 |
| All Fish$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=3,007 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=70,949,000 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 1,873.84 | 1,801.93 | 1,945.75 |
|  | 50th \% | 1,515.91 | 1,477.99 | 1,570.40 |
|  | 90th \% | 3,599.04 | 3,443.64 | 3,676.99 |
|  | 95th \% | 4,665.15 | 4,264.03 | 4,812.97 |
|  | 99th \% | 7,022.47 | 6,459.64 | 7,294.80 |
| Percentile intervals (B.I.) were estimated using the percentile bootstrap method with 1,000 bootstrap replications. <br> Note: Consumers only are individuals who consumed fish at least once during the 3-day reporting period; $\mathrm{n}=$ sample size; and $\mathrm{N}=$ population size. Estimates are projected from a sample of consumers only 18 years of age and older to the population of consumers only 18 years of age and older using 3-year combined survey weights. The population for this survey consisted of individuals in the 48 conterminous states. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1996a. |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | centile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years)-Sex Group | Mean | SD | 5th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th | 95th | 99th |
| 1-2 Male-Female | 52 | 38 | 8 | 28 | 43 | 58 | 112 | 125 | 168 |
| 3-5 Male-Female | 70 | 51 | 12 | 36 | 57 | 85 | 113 | 170 | 240 |
| 6-8 Male-Female | 81 | 58 | 19 | 40 | 72 | 112 | 160 | 170 | 288 |
| 9-14 Male | 101 | 78 | 28 | 56 | 84 | 113 | 170 | 255 | 425 |
| 9-14 Female | 86 | 62 | 19 | 45 | 79 | 112 | 168 | 206 | 288 |
| 15-18 Male | 117 | 115 | 20 | 57 | 85 | 142 | 200 | 252 | 454 |
| 15-18 Female | 111 | 102 | 24 | 56 | 85 | 130 | 225 | 270 | 568 |
| 19-34 Male | 149 | 125 | 28 | 64 | 113 | 196 | 284 | 362 | 643 |
| 19-34 Female | 104 | 74 | 20 | 57 | 85 | 135 | 184 | 227 | 394 |
| 35-64 Male | 147 | 116 | 28 | 80 | 113 | 180 | 258 | 360 | 577 |
| 35-64 Female | 119 | 98 | 20 | 57 | 85 | 152 | 227 | 280 | 480 |
| 65-74 Male | 145 | 109 | 35 | 75 | 113 | 180 | 270 | 392 | 480 |
| 65-74 Female | 123 | 87 | 24 | 61 | 103 | 168 | 227 | 304 | 448 |
| 75+ Male | 124 | 68 | 36 | 80 | 106 | 170 | 227 | 227 | 336 |
| 75+ Female | 112 | 69 | 20 | 61 | 112 | 151 | 196 | 225 | 360 |
| Overall | 117 | 98 | 20 | 57 | 85 | 152 | 227 | 284 | 456 |
| Source: Pao et al., 1982. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-46. Mean Fish Intake in a Day, by Sex and Age ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex <br> Age (year) | Per capita intake (g/day) | Percent of population consuming fish in 1 day | Mean intake (g/day) for consumers only ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Males or Females 5 and under | 4 | 6.0 | 67 |
| Males | 3 | 3.7 | 79 |
| 6-11 | 3 | 2.2 | 136 |
| 12-19 | 15 | 10.9 | 138 |
| 20 and over |  |  |  |
| Females | 7 | 7.1 | 99 |
| 6-11 | 9 | 9.0 | 100 |
| 12-19 | 12 | 10.9 | 110 |
| 20 and over |  |  |  |
| All individuals | 11 | 9.4 | 117 |
| Based on USDA Nationwide Food Consumption Survey 1987-88 data for one day. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Intake for users only was calculated by dividing the per capita consumption rate by the fraction of the population consuming fish in one day. Source: USDA, 1992b. |  |  |  |
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| Population Group | Total N | Response |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No |  | Yes |  | DK |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Overall | 4663 | 1811 | 38.8 | 2780 | 59.6 | 72 | 1.5 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , | 2 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | * | * |
| Male | 2163 | 821 | 38.0 | 1311 | 60.6 | 31 | 1.4 |
| Female | 2498 | 989 | 39.6 | 1468 | 58.8 | 41 | 1.6 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 84 | 25 | 29.8 | 42 | 50.0 | 17 | 20.2 |
| 1-4 | 263 | 160 | 60.8 | 102 | 38.8 | 1 | 0.4 |
| 5-11 | 348 | 177 | 50.9 | 166 | 47.7 | 5 | 1.4 |
| 12-17 | 326 | 179 | 54.9 | 137 | 42.0 | 10 | 3.1 |
| 18-64 | 2972 | 997 | 33.5 | 1946 | 65.5 | 29 | 1.0 |
| $>64$ | 670 | 273 | 40.7 | 387 | 57.8 | 10 | 1.5 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 60 | 20 | 33.3 | 22 | 36.7 | 18 | 30.0 |
| White | 3774 | 1475 | 39.1 | 2249 | 59.6 | 50 | 1.3 |
| Black | 463 | 156 | 33.7 | 304 | 65.7 | 3 | 0.6 |
| Asian | 77 | 21 | 27.3 | 56 | 72.7 | * | * |
| Some Others | 96 | 39 | 40.6 | 56 | 58.3 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Hispanic | 193 | 100 | 51.8 | 93 | 48.2 | * | * |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 46 | 10 | 21.7 | 412 | 43.0 | 28 | 41.3 |
| No | 4243 | 1625 | 31.2 | 1366 | 67.7 | 21 | 1.2 |
| Yes | 348 | 165 | 35.4 | 236 | 62.3 | 9 | * |
| DK | 26 | 11 | 40.4 | 766 | 58.5 | 14 | * |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 958 | 518 | 54.1 | 412 | 43.0 | 28 | 2.9 |
| Full Time | 2017 | 630 | 31.2 | 1366 | 67.7 | 21 | 1.0 |
| Part Time | 379 | 134 | 35.4 | 236 | 62.3 | 9 | 2.4 |
| Not Employed | 1309 | 529 | 40.4 | 766 | 58.5 | 14 | 1.1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 1021 | 550 | 53.9 | 434 | 42.5 | 37 | 3.6 |
| < High School | 399 | 196 | 49.1 | 198 | 49.6 | 45 | 1.3 |
| High School Graduate | 1253 | 501 | 40.0 | 739 | 59.0 | 13 | 1.0 |
| < College | 895 | 304 | 34.0 | 584 | 65.3 | 7 | 0.8 |
| College Graduate | 650 | 159 | 24.5 | 484 | 74.5 | 7 | 1.1 |
| Post Graduate | 445 | 101 | 22.7 | 341 | 76.6 | 3 | 0.7 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 1048 | 370 | 35.3 | 655 | 62.5 | 23 | 2.2 |
| Midwest | 1036 | 449 | 43.3 | 575 | 55.5 | 12 | 1.2 |
| South | 1601 | 590 | 36.9 | 989 | 61.8 | 22 | 1.4 |
| West | 978 | 402 | 41.1 | 561 | 57.4 | 15 | 1.5 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 3156 | 1254 | 39.7 | 1848 | 58.6 | 54 | 1.7 |
| Weekend | 1507 | 557 | 37.0 | 932 | 61.8 | 18 | 1.2 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 1264 | 462 | 36.6 | 780 | 61.7 | 22 | 1.7 |
| Spring | 1181 | 469 | 39.7 | 691 | 58.5 | 21 | 1.8 |
| Summer | 1275 | 506 | 39.7 | 745 | 58.4 | 24 | 1.9 |
| Fall | 943 | 374 | 39.7 | 564 | 59.8 | 5 | 0.5 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4287 | 1674 | 39.0 | 2563 | 59.8 | 50 | 1.2 |
| Yes | 341 | 131 | 38.4 | 207 | 60.7 | 3 | 0.9 |
| DK | 35 | 6 | 17.7 | 10 | 28.6 | 19 | 54.3 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4500 | 1750 | 38.9 | 2698 | 60.0 | 52 | 1.2 |
| Yes | 125 | 56 | 44.8 | 68 | 54.4 | 1 | 0.8 |
| DK | 38 | 50 | 13.2 | 14 | 36.8 | 19 | 50.0 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4424 | 1726 | 9.0 | 2648 | 59.6 | 50 | 1.1 |
| Yes | 203 | 80 | 39.4 | 121 | 59.6 | 2 | 1.0 |
| DK | 36 | 5 | 13.9 | 11 | 30.6 | 20 | 55.6 |


| Population Group | Total N | Number of Servings in a Month |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-10 | 11-19 | 20+ | DK |
| Overall | 2780 | 918 | 990 | 519 | 191 | 98 | 64 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 1311 | 405 | 458 | 261 | 101 | 57 | 29 |
| Male | 1468 | 512 | 532 | 258 | 90 | 41 | 35 |
| Female | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 42 | 13 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 1-4 | 102 | 55 | 29 | 12 | 2 | * | 4 |
| 5-11 | 166 | 72 | 57 | 21 | 6 | 4 | 6 |
| 12-17 | 137 | 68 | 54 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 18-64 | 1946 | 603 | 679 | 408 | 145 | 79 | 32 |
| >64 | 387 | 107 | 155 | 64 | 32 | 13 | 16 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 2249 | 731 | 818 | 428 | 155 | 76 | 41 |
| White | 304 | 105 | 103 | 56 | 16 | 10 | 14 |
| Black | 56 | 15 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| Asian | 56 | 22 | 18 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Some Others | 93 | 41 | 25 | 14 | 9 | 2 | 2 |
| Hispanic | 22 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2566 | 844 | 922 | 480 | 175 | 88 | 57 |
| No | 182 | 68 | 52 | 34 | 15 | 8 | 5 |
| Yes | 15 | 5 | 8 | 2 | * | * | * |
| DK | 17 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 399 | 190 | 140 | 40 | 11 | 5 | 13 |
| Full Time | 1366 | 407 | 466 | 307 | 107 | 57 | 22 |
| Part Time | 236 | 70 | 95 | 46 | 14 | 8 | 3 |
| Not Employed | 766 | 249 | 285 | 124 | 57 | 26 | 25 |
| Refused | 13 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 434 | 205 | 149 | 47 | 12 | 7 | 14 |
| < High School | 198 | 88 | 62 | 20 | 6 | 10 | 12 |
| High School Graduate | 739 | 267 | 266 | 119 | 46 | 21 | 20 |
| < College | 584 | 161 | 219 | 122 | 48 | 26 | 8 |
| College Graduate | 484 | 115 | 183 | 121 | 43 | 17 | 5 |
| Post Graduate | 341 | 82 | 111 | 90 | 36 | 17 | 5 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 655 |  | 241 | 137 | 62 | 12 | 12 |
| Midwest | 575 | 199 | 221 | 102 | 17 | 22 | 14 |
| South | 989 | 336 | 339 | 175 | 70 | 41 | 28 |
| West | 561 | 192 | 189 | 105 | 42 | 23 | 10 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 1848 | 602 | 661 | 346 | 129 | 70 | 40 |
| Weekend | 932 | 316 | 329 | 173 | 62 | 28 | 24 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 780 |  | 284 | 131 |  | 28 | 15 |
| Spring | 691 | 240 | 244 | 123 | 45 | 25 | 14 |
| Summer | 745 | 220 | 249 | 160 | 59 | 31 | 26 |
| Fall | 564 | 196 | 213 | 105 | 27 | 14 | 9 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2563 | 846 | 917 | 475 | 180 | 88 | 57 |
| Yes | 207 | 69 | 71 | 42 | 11 | 9 | 5 |
| DK | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | * | 1 | 2 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2698 | 896 | 960 | 509 | 183 | 95 | 55 |
| Yes | 68 | 19 | 27 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
| DK | 14 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2648 | 877 | 940 | 495 | 185 | 91 | 60 |
| Yes | 121 | 37 | 47 | 23 | 6 | 6 | 2 |
| DK | 11 | 4 | 3 | 1 | * | 1 | 2 |
| Note: * = Missing data; DK = Don't know; \% = Row percentage; $\mathrm{N}=$ Sample size; Refused $=$ Respondent refused to answer. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Population Group | Total N | * | Mostly Purchased | Mostly Caught | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 2780 | 3 | 2584 | 154 | 39 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 1311 | 1 | 1206 | 85 | 19 |
| Male | 1468 | 2 | 1377 | 69 | 20 |
| Female | 1 | * | 1 | * | * |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 42 | * | 39 | 3 | * |
| 1-4 | 102 | * | 94 | 8 | * |
| 5-11 | 166 | * | 153 | 9 | 4 |
| 12-17 | 137 | * | 129 | 6 | 2 |
| 18-64 | 1946 | 3 | 1810 | 106 | 27 |
| >64 | 387 | * | 359 | 22 | 6 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 2249 | 1 | 2092 | 124 | 32 |
| White | 304 | 1 | 280 | 19 | 4 |
| Black | 56 | * | 50 | 4 | 2 |
| Asian | 56 | * | 55 | * | 1 |
| Some Others | 93 | * | 86 | 7 | * |
| Hispanic | 22 | 1 | 21 | * | * |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 2566 | 2 | 2387 | 140 | 37 |
| No | 182 | * | 169 | 13 | * |
| Yes | 15 | * | 12 | 1 | 2 |
| DK | 17 | 1 | 16 | * | * |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 399 | * | 368 | 25 | 6 |
| Full Time | 1366 | 2 | 1285 | 64 | 15 |
| Part Time | 236 | 1 | 217 | 15 | 3 |
| Not Employed | 766 | * | 701 | 50 | 15 |
| Refused | 13 | * | 13 | * | * |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 434 | * | 401 | 26 | 7 |
| < High School | 198 | * | 174 | 20 | 4 |
| High School Graduate | 739 | * | 680 | 48 | 11 |
| < College | 584 | 2 | 547 | 28 | 7 |
| College Graduate | 484 | * | 460 | 19 | 5 |
| Post Graduate | 341 | 1 | 322 | 13 | 5 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 655 | 2 | 627 | 21 | 5 |
| Midwest | 575 | * | 547 | 20 | 8 |
| South | 989 | 1 | 897 | 73 | 18 |
| West | 561 | * | 513 | 40 | 8 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 1848 | 2 | 1724 | 100 | 22 |
| Weekend | 932 | 1 | 860 | 54 | 17 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 780 | * | 741 | 35 | 4 |
| Spring | 691 | * | 655 | 27 | 9 |
| Summer | 745 | 2 | 674 | 54 | 15 |
| Fall | 564 | 1 | 514 | 38 | 11 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2563 | 2 | 2384 | 142 | 35 |
| Yes | 207 | 1 | 190 | 12 | 4 |
| DK | 10 | * | 10 | * | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| No | 2698 | 3 | 2507 | 151 | 2 |
| Yes | 68 | * | 63 | 3 | * |
| DK | 14 | * | 14 | * |  |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2648 | 3 | 2457 | 149 | 39 |
| Yes | 121 | * | 116 | 5 | * |
| DK | 11 | * | 11 | * | * |


| Subregion | State | Coastal Participants | Non Coastal Participants | Out of State ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total Participants ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pacific | So. California | 902 | 8 | 159 | 910 |
|  | N. California | 534 | 99 | 63 | 633 |
|  | Oregon | 265 | 19 | 78 | 284 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,701 | 126 |  |  |
| North Atlantic | Connecticut | 186 | * ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 47 | 186 |
|  | Maine | 93 | 9 | 100 | 102 |
|  | Massachusetts | 377 | 69 | 273 | 446 |
|  | New Hampshire | 34 | 10 | 32 | 44 |
|  | Rhode Island | 97 | * | 157 | 97 |
|  | TOTAL | 787 | 88 |  |  |
| Mid-Atlantic | Delaware | 90 | * | 159 | 90 |
|  | Maryland | 540 | 32 | 268 | 572 |
|  | New Jersey | 583 | 9 | 433 | 592 |
|  | New York | 539 | 13 | 70 | 552 |
|  | Virginia | 294 | $\underline{29}$ | 131 | 323 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,046 | 83 |  |  |
| South Atlantic | Florida | 1,201 | * | 741 | 1,201 |
|  | Georgia | 89 | 61 | 29 | 150 |
|  | N. Carolina | 398 | 224 | 745 | 622 |
|  | S. Carolina | 131 | 77 | 304 | 208 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,819 | 362 |  |  |
| Gulf of Mexico | Alabama | 95 | 9 | 101 | 104 |
|  | Florida | 1,053 | * | 1,349 | 1,053 |
|  | Louisiana | 394 | 48 | 63 | 442 |
|  | Mississippi | 157 | $\underline{42}$ | 51 | 200 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,699 | 99 |  |  |
|  | GRAND TOTAL | 8,053 | 760 |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Not additive across states. One person can be counted as "OUT OF STATE" for more than one state. <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ An asterisk (*) denotes no non-coastal counties in state. <br> Source: NMFS, 1993. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-51. Estimated Weight of Fish Caught (Catch Type A and B1) by Marine Recreational Fishermen, by Wave and Subregion |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atlantic and Gulf |  | Pacific |  |
|  | Region | Weight ( 1000 kg ) | Region | Weight (1000 kg) |
| Jan/Feb | South Atlantic | 1,060 | So. California | 418 |
|  | Gulf | $\underline{3,683}$ | N. California | 101 |
|  |  |  | Oregon | $\underline{165}$ |
|  | TOTAL | 4,743 | TOTAL | 684 |
| Mar/Apr | North Atlantic | 310 | So. California | 590 |
|  | Mid Atlantic | 1,030 | N. California | 346 |
|  | South Atlantic | 1,913 | Oregon | 144 |
|  | Gulf | 3,703 | TOTAL | 1,080 |
|  | TOTAL | 6,956 |  |  |
|  |  |  | So.California | 1,195 |
| May/Jun | North Atlantic | 3,272 | N. California | 563 |
|  | Mid Atlantic | 4,815 | Oregon | 581 |
|  | South Atlantic | $4,234$ | TOTAL | 2,339 |
|  | Gulf | 5,936 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | 18,257 | So. California | 1,566 |
|  |  |  | N. California | $1,101$ |
| Jul/Aug | North Atlantic | 4,003 | Oregon | 39 |
|  | Mid Atlantic | 9,693 | TOTAL | 2,706 |
|  | South Atlantic | $4,032$ |  |  |
|  | Gulf | 5,964 | So. California | 859 |
|  | TOTAL | 23,692 | N. California | 1,032 |
|  |  |  | Oregon | 724 |
| Sep/Oct | North Atlantic | 2,980 | TOTAL | 2,615 |
|  | Mid Atlantic | 7,798 |  |  |
|  | South Atlantic | 3,296 | So. California | 447 |
|  | Gulf | 7,516 | N. California | 417 |
|  | TOTAL | 21,590 | Oregon | $\underline{65}$ |
|  |  |  | TOTAL | 929 |
| Nov/Dec | North Atlantic | 456 |  |  |
|  | Mid Atlantic | 1,649 | GRAND TOTAL | 10,353 |
|  | South Atlantic | 2,404 |  |  |
|  | Gulf | $\underline{4,278}$ |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | 8,787 |  |  |
|  | GRAND TOTAL | 84,025 |  |  |
| Source: N |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-52. Average Daily Intake (g/day) of Marine Finfish, by Region and Coastal Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intake Among Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | 95th Percentile | Per-Capita (Coastal) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Per-Capita <br> (Coastal \& Non-Coastal) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Proportion of Population Coastal |
| N. Atlantic | 6.2 | 20.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.82 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 6.3 | 18.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.70 |
| S. Atlantic | 4.7 | 15.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.51 |
| All Atlantic | 5.6 | 18.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.66 |
| Gulf | 7.2 | 26.1 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 0.60 |
| S. California | 2.0 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 |
| N. California | 2.0 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.70 |
| Oregon | 2.2 | 8.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.87 |
| All Pacific | 2.0 | 6.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.86 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ N. Atlantic - ME, NH, MA, RI, and CT; Mid-Atlantic - NY, NJ, MD, DE, and VA; S. Atlantic - NC, SC, GA, and FL (Atlantic Coast); Gulf - AL, MS, LA, and FL (Gulf Coast). <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Mean intake rate among entire coastal population of region. <br> c Mean intake rate among entire population of region. <br> Source: NMFS, 1993. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-53. Estimated Weight of Fish Caught (Catch Type A and B1) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ by Marine Recreational Fishermen by Species Group and Subregion, Atlantic and Gulf |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North Atlantic ( $1,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) | Mid Atlantic $(1,000 \mathrm{~kg})$ | South Atlantic $(1,000 \mathrm{~kg})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gulf } \\ (1,000 \mathrm{~kg}) \end{gathered}$ | All Regions ( $1,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) |
| Cartilaginous fishes | 66 | 1,673 | 162 | 318 | 2,219 |
| Eels | 14 | 9 | * ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0^{\text {c }}$ | 23 |
| Herrings | 118 | 69 | 1 | 89 | 177 |
| Catfishes | 0 | 306 | 138 | 535 | 979 |
| Toadfishes | 0 | 7 | 0 | * | 7 |
| Cods and Hakes | 2,404 | 988 | 4 | 0 | 1,396 |
| Searobins | 2 | 68 | * | * | 70 |
| Sculpins | 1 | * | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Temperate Basses | 837 | 2,166 | 22 | 4 | 2,229 |
| Sea Basses | 22 | 2,166 | 644 | 2,477 | 5,309 |
| Bluefish | 4,177 | 3,962 | 1,065 | 158 | 5,362 |
| Jacks | 0 | 138 | 760 | 2,477 | 3,375 |
| Dolphins | 65 | 809 | 2,435 | 1,599 | 4,908 |
| Snappers | 0 | * | 508 | 3,219 | 3,727 |
| Grunts | 0 | 9 | 239 | 816 | 1,064 |
| Porgies | 132 | 417 | 1,082 | 2,629 | 4,160 |
| Drums | 3 | 2,458 | 2,953 | 9,866 | 15,280 |
| Mullets | 1 | 43 | 382 | 658 | 1,084 |
| Barracudas | 0 | * | 356 | 244 | 600 |
| Wrasses | 783 | 1,953 | 46 | 113 | 2,895 |
| Mackerels and Tunas | 878 | 3,348 | 4,738 | 4,036 | 13,000 |
| Flounders | 512 | 4,259 | 532 | 377 | 5,680 |
| Triggerfishes/Filefishes | 0 | 48 | 109 | 544 | 701 |
| Puffers | - | 16 | 56 | 4 | 76 |
| Other fishes | 105 | 72 | 709 | 915 | 1,801 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For Catch Type A and B1, the fish were not thrown back. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ An asterisk (*) denotes data not reported. <br> c Zero $(0)=<1000 \mathrm{~kg}$. <br> Source: NMFS, 1993. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-54. Estimated Weight of Fish Caught (Catch Type A and B1) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ by Marine Recreational Fishermen by Species Group and Subregion, Pacific |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species Group | Southern California $(1,000 \mathrm{~kg})$ | Northern California $(1,000 \mathrm{~kg})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oregon } \\ (1,000 \mathrm{~kg}) \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Cartilaginous fish | 35 | 162 | 1 | 198 |
| Sturgeons | $0^{\text {b }}$ | 89 | 13 | 102 |
| Herrings | 10 | 15 | 40 | 65 |
| Anchovies | * | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| Smelts | 0 | 71 | 0 | 71 |
| Cods and Hakes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Silversides | 58 | 148 | 0 | 206 |
| Striped Bass | 0 | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| Sea Basses | 1,319 | 17 | 0 | 1,336 |
| Jacks | 469 | 17 | 1 | 487 |
| Croakers | 141 | 136 | 0 | 277 |
| Sea Chubs | 53 | 1 | 0 | 54 |
| Surfperches | 74 | 221 | 47 | 342 |
| Pacific Barracuda | 866 | 10 | 0 | 876 |
| Wrasses | 73 | 5 | 0 | 78 |
| Tunas and Mackerels | 1,260 | 36 | 1 | 1,297 |
| Rockfishes | 409 | 1,713 | 890 | 3,012 |
| California Scorpionfish | 86 | 0 | 0 | 86 |
| Sablefishes | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Greenlings | 22 | 492 | 363 | 877 |
| Sculpins | 6 | 81 | 44 | 131 |
| Flatfishes | 106 | 251 | 5 | 362 |
| Other fishes | 89 | 36 | 307 | 432 |
| ${ }^{a}$ For Catch Type A and B1, the fish were not thrown back. <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Zero $(0)=<1000 \mathrm{~kg}$. <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ An asterisk (*) denotes data not reported. <br> Source: NMFS, 1993. |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 10-55. Median Intake Rates Based on Demographic Data of Sport Fishermen and Their Family/Living Group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |


| Table 10-56. Cumulative Distribution of Total Fish/Shellfish Consumption by Surveyed Sport Fishermen <br> in the Metropolitan Los Angeles Area |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percentile | Intake rate (g/person-day) |
| 5 | 2.3 |
| 10 | 4.0 |
| 20 | 8.3 |
| 30 | 15.5 |
| 40 | 23.9 |
| 50 | 36.9 |
| 60 | 53.2 |
| 70 | 79.8 |
| 80 | 120.8 |
| 90 | 224.8 |
| 95 | 338.8 |
| Source: Puffer et al. (1981). |  |


|  | Table 10-57. Catch Information for Primary Fish Species Kept by Sport Fishermen ( $\mathrm{n}=1059$ ) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Average Weight (Grams) | Percent of Fishermen who Caught |
| White Croaker | 153 | 34 |
| Pacific Mackerel | 334 | 25 |
| Pacific Bonito | 717 | 18 |
| Queenfish | 143 | 17 |
| Jacksmelt | 223 | 13 |
| Walleye Perch | 115 | 10 |
| Shiner Perch | 54 | 7 |
| Opaleye | 307 | 6 |
| Black Perch | 196 | 5 |
| Kelp Bass | 440 | 5 |
| California Halibut | 1752 | 4 |
| Shellfish |  |  |
| a Crab, mussels, lobster, abalone. | 421 | 3 |
| Source: Modified from Puffer et al., 1981. |  |  |


|  | Table 10-58. Percent of Fishing Frequency During the Summer and Fall Seasons in Commencement Bay, Washington |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishing Frequency | Frequency Percent <br> in the Summer |  |  |
| Daily | 10.4 | Frequency Percent <br> in the Fall | Frequency Percent <br> in the Fall |
| Weekly | 50.3 | 8.3 | 5.8 |
| Monthly | 20.1 | 52.3 | 51.0 |
| Bimonthly | 6.7 | 15.9 | 21.1 |
| Biyearly | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.2 |
| Yearly | 8.1 | 6.1 | 6.3 |

a Summer - July through September, includes 5 survey days and 4 survey areas (i.e., area \#1, \#2, \#3 and \#4)
Fall - September through November, includes 4 survey days and 4 survey areas (i.e., area \#1, \#2, \#3 and \#4)
Fall - September through November, includes 4 survey days described in footnote ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ plus an additional survey area ( 5 survey areas) (i.e., area
\#1, \#2, \#3, \#4 and \#5)
Source: Pierce et al., 1981.
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| Table 10-59. Selected Percentile Consumption Estimates (g/day) for the Survey and Total Angler Populations Based on the Reanalysis of the Puffer et al. (1981) and Pierce et al. (1981) Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 50th Percentile | 90th Percentile |
| Survey Population |  |  |
| Puffer et al. (1981) | 37 | 225 |
| Pierce et al. (1981) | $\underline{19}$ | $\underline{155}$ |
| Average | 28 | 190 |
| Total Angler Population |  |  |
| Puffer et al. (1981) | $2.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $35^{\text {b }}$ |
| Pierce et al. (1981) | 1.0 | $\underline{13}$ |
| Average | 2.0 | 24 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimated based on the average intake for the $0-90$ th percentile anglers. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimated based on the average intake for the 91st - 96th percentile anglers. Source: Price et al., 1994. |  |  |


| Table 10-60. Means and Standard Deviations of Selected Characteristics by Subpopulation Groups in Everglades, Florida |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variables $\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{a}}=330\right)$ | Mean $\pm$ Std. Dev. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Range |
| Age (years) | $38.6 \pm 18.8$ | 2-81 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Female | 38\% | -- |
| Male | 62\% | -- |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |
| Black | 46\% | -- |
| White | 43\% | -- |
| Hispanic | 11\% | -- |
| Number of Years Fished | $15.8 \pm 15.8$ | 0-70 |
| Number Per Week Fished in Past 6 Months of Survey Period | $1.8 \pm 2.5$ | 0-20 |
| Number Per Week Fished in Last Month of Survey Period | $1.5 \pm 1.4$ | 0-12 |
| Aware of Health Advisories | 71\% | -- |
| Number of respondents who reported consuming fish <br> Std. Dev. = standard deviation <br> Source: U.S. DHHS, 1995 |  |  |


| Table 10-61. Mean Fish Intake Among Individuals Who Eat Fish and Reside in Households With Recreational Fish Consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | All Fish meals/week | Recreational Fish meals/week | n | Total Fish grams/day | Recreational Fish grams/day | Total Fish grams/ kg/day | Recreational Fish grams/ kg/day |
| All household members | 0.686 | 0.332 | 2196 | 21.9 | 11.0 | 0.356 | 0.178 |
| Respondents (i.e., licensed anglers) | 0.873 | 0.398 | 748 | 29.4 | 14.0 | 0.364 | 0.168 |
| Age Groups (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-5 | 0.463 | 0.223 | 121 | 11.4 | 5.63 | 0.737 | 0.369 |
| 6 to 10 | 0.49 | 0.278 | 151 | 13.6 | 7.94 | 0.481 | 0.276 |
| 1 to 20 | 0.407 | 0.229 | 349 | 12.3 | 7.27 | 0.219 | 0.123 |
| 21 to 40 | 0.651 | 0.291 | 793 | 22 | 10.2 | 0.306 | 0.139 |
| 40 to 60 | 0.923 | 0.42 | 547 | 29.3 | 14.2 | 0.387 | 0.186 |
| 60 to 70 | 0.856 | 0.431 | 160 | 28.2 | 14.5 | 0.377 | 0.193 |
| 71 to 80 | 1.0 | 0.622 | 45 | 32.3 | 20.1 | 0.441 | 0.271 |
| 80+ | 0.8 | 0.6 | 10 | 26.5 | 20 | 0.437 | 0.345 |
| Source: U.S. EPA analysis using data from West et al., 1989. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-62. Comparison of Seven-Day Recall and Estimated Seasonal Frequency for Fish Consumption |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Usual Fish Consumption | Mean Fish Meals/Week | Usual frequency Value Selected |
| Frequency Category | 7-day Recall Data | for Data Analysis (times/week) |
|  | no data | 4 [if needed] |
| Almost daily | 1.96 | 2 |
| 2-4 times a week | 1.19 | 1.2 |
| Once a week | $0.840(3.6$ times $/$ month $)$ | $0.7 \quad(3$ times $/$ month $)$ |
| 2-3 times a month | $0.459 \quad(1.9$ times $/$ month $)$ | $0.4 \quad(1.7$ times $/$ month $)$ |
| Once a month | $0.306 \quad(1.3$ times $/$ month $)$ | $0.2 \quad(0.9$ times $/$ month $)$ |
| Less often |  |  |
| Source: U.S. EPA analysis using data from West et al., 1989. |  |  |


| Table 10-63. Distribution of Usual Fish Intake Among Survey Main Respondents Who Fished and Consumed Recreationally Caught Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Fish Meals/Week | Recreational Fish Meals/Week | All Fish Intake grams/day | Recreational Fish Intake grams/day | All Fish Intake grams/ kg/day | Recreational Fish Intake grams $/ \mathrm{kg} /$ day |
| n | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 726 | 726 |
| mean | 0.859 | 0.447 | 27.74 | 14.42 | 0.353 | 0.1806 |
| 10\% | 0.300 | 0.040 | 9.69 | 1.29 | 0.119 | 0.0159 |
| 25\% | 0.475 | 0.125 | 15.34 | 4.04 | 0.187 | 0.0504 |
| 50\% | 0.750 | 0.338 | 24.21 | 10.90 | 0.315 | 0.1357 |
| 75\% | 1.200 | 0.672 | 38.74 | 21.71 | 0.478 | 0.2676 |
| 90\% | 1.400 | 1.050 | 45.20 | 33.90 | 0.634 | 0.4146 |
| 95\% | 1.800 | 1.200 | 58.11 | 38.74 | 0.747 | 0.4920 |
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|  | Table 10-64. Estimates of Fish Intake Rates of Licensed Sport Anglers in Maine During the 1989-1990 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ice Fishing or 1990 Open-Water Seasons ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |

a Estimates are based on rank except for those of arithmetic mean.
b All waters based on fish obtained from all lakes, ponds, streams and rivers in Maine, from other household sources and from other nonhousehold sources.
c Licensed anglers who fished during the seasons studied and did or did not consume freshwater fish, and licensed anglers who did not fish but ate freshwater fish caught in Maine during those seasons.
d Licensed anglers who consumed freshwater fish caught in Maine during the seasons studied.
e Those of the "all anglers" who fished on rivers or streams (consumers and nonconsumers).
Values in brackets [ ] are percentiles at the mean consumption rates.
Source: Chemrisk, 1991; Ebert et al., 1993.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Species | Ice Fishing |  | Lakes and Ponds |  | Rivers and Streams |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity Consumed (\#) | Grams (x10 ${ }^{3}$ ) Consumed | Quantity Consumed <br> (\#) | Grams $\left(x 10^{3}\right)$ <br> Consumed | Quantity Consumed <br> (\#) | Grams (x10 ${ }^{3}$ ) Consumed |
| Landlocked salmon | 832 | 290 | 928 | 340 | 305 | 120 |
| Atlantic salmon | 3 | 1.1 | 33 | 9.9 | 17 | 11 |
| Togue (Lake trout) | 483 | 200 | 459 | 160 | 33 | 2.7 |
| Brook trout | 1,309 | 100 | 3,294 | 210 | 10,185 | 420 |
| Brown trout | 275 | 54 | 375 | 56 | 338 | 23 |
| Yellow perch | 235 | 9.1 | 1,649 | 52 | 188 | 7.4 |
| White perch | 2,544 | 160 | 6,540 | 380 | 3,013 | 180 |
| Bass (smallmouth and largemouth) | 474 | 120 | 73 | 5.9 | 787 | 130 |
| Pickerel | 1,091 | 180 | 553 | 91 | 303 | 45 |
| Lake whitefish | 111 | 20 | 558 | 13 | 55 | 2.7 |
| Hornpout (Catfish and bullheads) | 47 | 8.2 | 1,291 | 100 | 180 | 7.8 |
| Bottom fish (Suckers, carp and sturgeon) | 50 | 81 | 62 | 22 | 100 | 6.7 |
| Chub | 0 | 0 | 252 | 35 | 219 | 130 |
| Smelt | 7,808 | 150 | 428 | 4.9 | 4,269 | 37 |
| Other | 201 | 210 | 90 | 110 | 54 | 45 |
| TOTALS | 15,463 | 1,583.4 | 16,587 | 1,590 | 20,046 | 1,168 |


| Table 10-67. Mean Sport-Fish Consumption by Demographic Variables, Michigan Sport Anglers Fish Consumption Study, 1991-1992 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean (g/day) | 95\% C.I. |
| Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| <\$15,000 | 290 | 21.0 | 16.3-25.8 |
| \$15,000-\$24,999 | 369 | 20.6 | 15.5-25.7 |
| \$25,000-\$39,999 | 662 | 17.5 | 15.0-20.1 |
| >\$40,000 | 871 | 14.7 | 12.8-16.7 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| Some High School | 299 | 16.5 | 12.9-20.1 |
| High School Degree | 1,074 | 17.0 | 14.9-19.1 |
| Some College-College Degree | 825 | 17.6 | 14.9-20.2 |
| Post Graduate | 231 | 14.5 | 10.5-18.6 |
| Residence Size ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| Large City/Suburb (>100,000) | 487 | 14.6 | 11.8-17.3 |
| Small City (20,000-100,000) | 464 | 12.9 | 10.7-15.0 |
| Town (2,000-20,000) | 475 | 19.4 | 15.5-23.3 |
| Small Town (100-2,000) | 272 | 22.8 | 16.8-28.8 |
| Rural, Non Farm | 598 | 17.7 | 15.1-20.3 |
| Farm | 140 | 15.1 | 10.3-20.0 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |
| $16-29$ | 266 | 18.9 | 13.9-23.9 |
| 30-39 | 583 | 16.6 | 13.5-19.7 |
| 40-49 | 556 | 16.5 | 13.4-19.6 |
| 50-59 | 419 | 16.5 | 13.6-19.4 |
| 60+ | 596 | 16.2 | 13.8-18.6 |
| Sex ${ }^{a}$ |  |  |  |
| Male | 299 | 17.5 | 15.8-19.1 |
| Female | 1,074 | 13.7 | 11.2-16.3 |
| Race/Ethnicity ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| Minority | 160 | 23.2 | 13.4-33.1 |
| White | 2,289 | 16.3 | 14.9-17.6 |
| ${ }^{a} \mathrm{P}<.01, \mathrm{~F}$ test <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{P}<.05, \mathrm{~F}$ test <br> Source: West et al., 1993 |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-68. Distribution of Fish Intake Rates <br> (from all sources and from sport-caught sources) <br> For 1992 Lake Ontario Anglers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentile of Lake Ontario Anglers | Fish from All Sources (g/day) | Sport-Caught Fish (g/day) |
| $25 \%$ | 8.8 | 0.6 |
| $50 \%$ | 14.1 | 2.2 |
| $75 \%$ | 23.2 | 6.6 |
| $90 \%$ | 34.2 | 13.2 |
| $95 \%$ | 42.3 | 17.9 |
| $99 \%$ | 56.6 | 39.8 |
| Source. Connelly et al., 1996. |  |  |


| Table 10-69. Mean Annual Fish Consumption (g/day) for Lake Ontario Anglers, 1992, by Sociodemographic Characteristics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Consumption |  |
| Demographic Group | Fish from all Sources | Sport-Caught Fish |
| Overall | 17.9 | 4.9 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Rural | 17.6 | 5.1 |
| Small City | 20.8 | 6.3 |
| City (25-100,000) | 19.8 | 5.8 |
| City (> 100,000) | 13.1 | 2.2 |
| Income |  |  |
| < \$20,000 | 20.5 | 4.9 |
| \$21,000-34,000 | 17.5 | 4.7 |
| \$34,000-50,000 | 16.5 | 4.8 |
| >\$50,000 | 20.7 | 6.1 |
| Age (years) |  |  |
| $<30$ | 13.0 | 4.1 |
| 30-39 | 16.6 | 4.3 |
| 40-49 | 18.6 | 5.1 |
| 50+ | 21.9 | 6.4 |
| Education |  |  |
| < High School | 17.3 | 7.1 |
| High School Graduate | 17.8 | 4.7 |
| Some College | 18.8 | 5.5 |
| College Graduate | 17.4 | 4.2 |
| Some Post Grad. | 20.5 | 5.9 |
| Note - Scheffe's test showed statistically significant differences between residence types (for all sources and sport caught) and age groups (all sources). <br> Source: Connelly et al., 1996. |  |  |


|  | Table 10-70. Percentile and Mean Intake Rates for Wisconsin Sport Anglers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentile | Annual Number of Sport Caught Meals | Intake Rate of Sport-Caught Meals (g/day) |
| 25 th | 4 | 1.7 |
| 50th | 10 | 4.1 |
| 75th | 25 | 10.2 |
| 90th | 50 | 20.6 |
| 95th | 60 | 24.6 |
| 98th | 100 | 41.1 |
| 100th | 365 | 150 |
| Mean | 18 | 7.4 |
| Source: | Raw data on sport-caught meals from Fiore et al., 1989. EPA calculated intake rates using a value of 150 grams per fish |  |
| meal; this value is dervied from Pao et al., 1982. |  |  |
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| Table 10-72. Number of Grams Per Day of Fish Consumed by All Adult Respondents (Consumers and Non-consumers Combined) - Throughout the Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Grams/Day | Cumulative Percent | Number of Grams/Day | Cumulative Percent |
| 0.00 | 8.9\% | 64.8 | 80.6\% |
| 1.6 | 9.0\% | 72.9 | 81.2\% |
| 3.2 | 10.4\% | 77.0 | 81.4\% |
| 4.0 | 10.8\% | 81.0 | 83.3\% |
| 4.9 | 10.9\% | 97.2 | 89.3\% |
| 6.5 | 12.8\% | 130 | 92.2\% |
| 7.3 | 12.9\% | 146 | 93.7\% |
| 8.1 | 13.7\% | 162 | 94.4\% |
| 9.7 | 14.4\% | 170 | 94.8\% |
| 12.2 | 14.9\% | 194 | 97.2\% |
| 13.0 | 16.3\% | 243 | 97.3\% |
| 16.2 | 22.8\% | 259 | 97.4\% |
| 19.4 | 24.0\% | 292 | 97.6\% |
| 20.2 | 24.1\% | 324 | 98.3\% |
| 24.3 | 27.9\% | 340 | 98.7\% |
| 29.2 | 28.1\% | 389 | 99.0\% |
| 32.4 | 52.5\% | 486 | 99.6\% |
| 38.9 | 52.9\% | 648 | 99.7\% |
| 40.5 | 56.5\% | 778 | 99.9\% |
| 48.6 | 67.6\% | 972 | 100\% |
| $\mathrm{N}=500$ |  |  |  |
| Weighted Mean $=58.7 \mathrm{grams} /$ day $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |  |  |  |
| Weighted SE $=3.64$ |  |  |  |
| 90th Percentile: $97.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}<(90 \mathrm{th})<130 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ |  |  |  |
| 95th Percentile $\approx 170 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ |  |  |  |
| 99th Percentile $=389 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ |  |  |  |
| Source: CRITFC, 1994 |  |  |  |


|  | Table 10-73. Fish Intake Throughout the Year by Sex, Age, and Location by All Adult Respondents |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Weighted Mean <br> (grams/day) | Weighted SE |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Female | 278 | 55.8 | 4.78 |
| Male | 222 | 62.6 | 5.60 |
| Total | 500 | 58.7 | 3.64 |
| Age (years) |  |  | 4.87 |
| $18-39$ | 287 | 57.6 | 4.88 |
| $40-59$ | 155 | 55.8 | 15.3 |
| 60 \& Older | 58 | 74.4 | 3.64 |
| Total | 500 | 58.7 | 3.98 |
| Location |  |  | 8.25 |
| On Reservation | 440 | 60.2 | 3.64 |
| Off Reservation | 60 | 47.9 |  |
| Total | 500 | 58.7 |  |
| Source: CRITFC, 1994. |  |  |  |


| Table 10-74. Children's Fish Consumption Rates - Throughout Year |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of Grams/Day | Unweighted Cumulative Percent |
| 0.0 | $21.1 \%$ |
| 0.4 | $21.6 \%$ |
| 0.8 | $22.2 \%$ |
| 1.6 | $24.7 \%$ |
| 2.4 | $25.3 \%$ |
| 3.2 | $28.4 \%$ |
| 4.1 | $32.0 \%$ |
| 4.9 | $33.5 \%$ |
| 6.5 | $35.6 \%$ |
| 8.1 | $47.4 \%$ |
| 9.7 | $48.5 \%$ |
| 12.2 | $51.0 \%$ |
| 13.0 | $51.5 \%$ |
| 16.2 | $72.7 \%$ |
| 19.4 | $73.2 \%$ |
| 20.3 | $74.2 \%$ |
| 24.3 | $76.3 \%$ |
| 32.4 | $87.1 \%$ |
| 48.6 | $91.2 \%$ |
| 64.8 | $94.3 \%$ |
| 72.9 | $96.4 \%$ |
| 81.0 | $97.4 \%$ |
| 97.2 | $98.5 \%$ |
| 162.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Unweighted SE =1.94 |  |
| Source: CRITFC, 1994. |  |


|  | Peak Consumption ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Recent Consumption ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\geq 3^{\text {d }}(\%)$ | Walleye | N. Pike | Muskellunge | Bass |
| All participants ( $\mathrm{N}-323$ ) | 1.7 | 20 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male ( n -148) | 1.9 | 26 | 5.1 | $0.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.5 | $0.7^{\text {a }}$ |
| Female ( $\mathrm{n}-175$ ) | 1.5 | 15 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Age (y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <35 ( $\mathrm{n}-150$ ) | 1.8 | 23 | $5.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| $\geq 35$ ( $\mathrm{n}-173$ ) | 1.6 | 17 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| High School Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No ( n -105) | 1.6 | 18 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Yes ( $\mathrm{n}-218$ ) | 1.7 | 21 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes (n-78) | 1.9 | 27 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| No (n-245) | 1.6 | 18 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| ```Highest number of fish meals consumed/week. \\ Number of meals of each species in the previous 2 months. \\ Average peak fish consumption. \\ Percentage of population reporting peak fish consumption of \(\geq 3\) fish meals/week. \\ Source: Peterson et al., 1994.``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |



- Participants could list more then one month.

Figure 10-1. Sesonal Fish Consumption: Wisconsin Chippewa, 1990


Figure 10-2. Peak Fish Consumption: Wisconsin Chippewa, 1990.
Source: Peterson et al., 1994.

| Number of Local Fish <br> Meals Consumed Per Year | Time Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During Pregnancy |  |  |  | $\leq 1$ Yr. Before Pregnancy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | >Yr. Before Pregnancy ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Mohawk |  | Control |  | Mohawk |  | Control |  | Mohawk |  | Control |  |
|  | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | \% | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | \% | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | \% | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | \% | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | \% | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | \% |
| None | 63 | 64.9 | 109 | 70.8 | 42 | 43.3 | 99 | 64.3 | 20 | 20.6 | 93 | 60.4 |
| 1-9 | 24 | 24.7 | 24 | 15.6 | 40 | 41.2 | 31 | 20.1 | 42 | 43.3 | 35 | 22.7 |
| 10-19 | 5 | 5.2 | 7 | 4.5 | 4 | 4.1 | 6 | 3.9 | 6 | 6.2 | 8 | 5.2 |
| 20-29 | 1 | 1.0 | 5 | 3.3 | , | 3.1 | 3 | 1.9 | 9 | 9.3 | 5 | 3.3 |
| 30-39 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.9 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 40-49 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 50+ | 4 | 4.1 | 6 | 3.9 | 7 | 7.2 | 11 | 7.1 | 18 | 18.6 | 11 | 7.1 |
| Total | 97 | 100.0 | 154 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 97 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 154 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 97 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 154 | 100.0 |
| a p <0.05 for Mohawk vs. Control. <br> b p <0.001 for Mohawk vs. Control. <br> c $\quad \mathrm{N}=$ number of respondents. <br> Source: Fitzgerald et al., 1995. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10-77. Mean Number of Local Fish Meals Consumed Per Year by Time Period for All Respondents and Consumers Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Respondents (N=97 Mohawks and 154 Controls) |  |  | Consumers Only ( $\mathrm{N}=82$ Mohawks and 72 Controls) |  |  |
|  | During Pregnancy | $\leq 1$ Yr. Before Pregnancy | >1 Yr. Before Pregnancy | During Pregnancy | $\leq 1$ Yr. Before Pregnancy | $>1$ Yr. Before Pregnancy |
| Mohawk | 3.9 (1.2) | 9.2 (2.3) | 23.4 (4.3) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.6 (1.3) | 10.9 (2.7) | 27.6 (4.9) |
| Control | 7.3 (2.1) | 10.7 (2.6) | 10.9 (2.7) | 15.5 (4.2) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 23.0 (5.1) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 23.0 (5.5) |
| a $\quad$ p $<0.001$ for Mohawk vs. Control. <br> p<0.05 for Mohawk vs. Control <br> ( ) = standard error. <br> Test for linear trend: <br> $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ for Mohawk (All participants and consumers only); <br> $\mathrm{p}=0.07$ for Controls (All participants and consumers only). <br> Source: Fitzgerald et al., 1995. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 10-78. Mean Number of Local Fish Meals Consumed Per Year by Time Period and Selected Characteristics for All Respondents (Mohawk, N=97; Control, N=154) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background Variable | During Pregnancy |  | $\leq 1$ Year Before Pregnancy |  | >1 Year Before Pregnancy |  |
|  | Mohawk | Control | Mohawk | Control | Mohawk | Control |
| Age (Yrs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 7.7 | 0.8 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 27.4 | 10.4 |
| 20-24 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 14.5 | 20.4 | 15.9 |
| 25-29 | 3.9 | 9.9 | 15.5 | 6.2 | 25.1 | 5.4 |
| 30-34 | 12.0 | 7.6 | 9.5 | 2.9 | 12.0 | 5.6 |
| >34 | 1.8 | 11.2 | 1.8 | 26.2 | 52.3 | $22.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Education (Yrs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <12 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 14.8 | 12.4 | 24.7 | 8.6 |
| 12 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 15.3 | 11.4 |
| 13-15 | 1.7 | 10.1 | 8.0 | 15.4 | 29.2 | 13.3 |
| >15 | 0.9 | 6.8 | 10.7 | 0.8 | 18.7 | 2.1 |
| Cigarette Smoking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 3.8 | 8.8 | 10.4 | 13.0 | 31.6 | 10.9 |
| No | 3.9 | 6.4 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 18.1 | 10.8 |
| Alcohol Consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 4.2 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 13.8 | 18.0 | 14.8 |
| No | 3.8 | $6.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 12.1 | $4.7^{\text {c }}$ | 29.8 | $2.9{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}(4,149)=2.66, \mathrm{p}=0.035 \text { for Age Among Controls. } \\ & \mathrm{F}(1,152)=3.77, \mathrm{p}=0.054 \text { for Alcohol Among Controls. } \\ & \text { F }(1,152)=5.20, \mathrm{p}=0.024 \text { for Alcohol Among Controls. } \\ & \mathrm{F}(1,152)=6.42, \mathrm{p}=0.012 \text { for Alcohol Among Controls. } \end{aligned}$ <br> Source: Fitzgerald et al., 1995. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Volume II - Food Ingestion Factors
Chapter 10-Intake of Fish and Shellfish

| Table 10-80. Percent Moisture and Fat Content for Selected Species ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Moisture Content $\qquad$ | Total Fat Content (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ $\qquad$ | Comments |
| FINFISH |  |  |  |
| Anchovy, European | 73.37 | 4.101 | Raw |
|  | 50.30 | 8.535 | Canned in oil, drained solids |
| Bass | 75.66 | 3.273 | Freshwater, mixed species, raw |
| Bass, Striped | 79.22 | 1.951 | Raw |
| Bluefish | 70.86 | 3.768 | Raw |
| Butterfish | 74.13 | NA | Raw |
| Carp | 76.31 | 4.842 | Raw |
|  | 69.63 | 6.208 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Catfish | 76.39 | 3.597 | Channel, raw |
|  | 58.81 | 12.224 | Channel, cooked, breaded and fried |
| Cod, Atlantic | 81.22 | 0.456 | Atlantic, raw |
|  | 75.61 | 0.582 | Canned, solids and liquids |
|  | 75.92 | 0.584 | Cooked, dry heat |
|  | 16.14 | 1.608 | Dried and salted |
| Cod, Pacific | 81.28 | 0.407 | Raw |
| Croaker, Atlantic | 78.03 | 2.701 | Raw |
|  | 59.76 | 11.713 | Cooked, breaded and fried |
| Dolphinfish, Mahimahi | 77.55 | 0.474 | Raw |
| Drum, Freshwater | 77.33 | 4.463 | Raw |
| Flatfish, Flounder and Sole | 79.06 | 0.845 | Raw |
|  | 73.16 | 1.084 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Grouper | 79.22 | 0.756 | Raw, mixed species |
|  | 73.36 | 0.970 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Haddock | 79.92 | 0.489 | Raw |
|  | 74.25 | 0.627 | Cooked, dry heat |
|  | 71.48 | 0.651 | Smoked |
| Halibut, Atlantic \& Pacific | 77.92 | 1.812 | Raw |
|  | 71.69 | 2.324 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Halibut, Greenland | 70.27 | 12.164 | Raw |
| Herring, Atlantic \& Turbot, domestic species | 72.05 | 7.909 | Raw |
|  | 64.16 | 10.140 | Cooked, dry heat |
|  | 59.70 | 10.822 | Kippered |
|  | 55.22 | 16.007 | Pickled |
| Herring, Pacific | 71.52 | 12.552 | Raw |
| Mackerel, Atlantic | 63.55 | 9.076 | Raw |
|  | 53.27 | 15.482 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Mackerel, Jack | 69.17 | 4.587 | Canned, drained solids |
| Mackerel, King | 75.85 | 1.587 | Raw |
| Mackerel, Pacific \& Jack | 70.15 | 6.816 | Canned, drained solids |
| Mackerel, Spanish | 71.67 | 5.097 | Raw |
|  | 68.46 | 5.745 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Monkfish | 83.24 | NA | Raw |
| Mullet, Striped | 77.01 | 2.909 | Raw |
|  | 70.52 | 3.730 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Ocean Perch, Atlantic | 78.70 | 1.296 | Raw |
|  | 72.69 | 1.661 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Perch, Mixed species | 79.13 | 0.705 | Raw |
|  | 73.25 | 0.904 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Pike, Northern | 78.92 | 0.477 | Raw |
|  | 72.97 | 0.611 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Pike, Walleye | 79.31 | 0.990 | Raw |
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| Table 10-80. Percent Moisture and Fat Content for Selected Species ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (continued) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Moisture Content (\%) | Total Fat Content (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Comments |
| Pollock, Alaska \& Walleye | 81.56 | 0.701 | Raw |
|  | 74.06 | 0.929 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Pollock, Atlantic | 78.18 | 0.730 | Raw |
| Rockfish, Pacific, mixed species | 79.26 | 1.182 | Raw (Mixed species) |
|  | 73.41 | 1.515 | Cooked, dry heat (mixed species) |
| Roughy, Orange | 75.90 | 3.630 | Raw |
| Salmon, Atlantic | 68.50 | 5.625 | Raw |
| Salmon, Chinook | 73.17 | 9.061 | Raw |
|  | 72.00 | 3.947 | Smoked |
| Salmon, Chum | 75.38 | 3.279 | Raw |
|  | 70.77 | 4.922 | Canned, drained solids with bone |
| Salmon, Coho | 72.63 | 4.908 | Raw |
|  | 65.35 | 6.213 | Cooked, moist heat |
| Salmon, Pink | 76.35 | 2.845 | Raw |
|  | 68.81 | 5.391 | Canned, solids with bone and liquid |
| Salmon, Red \& Sockeye | 70.24 | 4.560 | Raw |
|  | 68.72 | 6.697 | Canned, drained solids with bone |
|  | 61.84 | 9.616 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Sardine, Atlantic | 59.61 | 10.545 | Canned in oil, drained solids with bone |
| Sardine, Pacific | 68.30 | 11.054 | Canned in tomato sauce, drained solids with bone |
| Sea Bass, mixed species | 78.27 | 1.678 | Cooked, dry heat |
|  | 72.14 | 2.152 | Raw |
| Seatrout, mixed species | 78.09 | 2.618 | Raw |
| Shad, American | 68.19 | NA | Raw |
| Shark, mixed species | 73.58 | 3.941 | Raw |
|  | 60.09 | 12.841 | Cooked, batter-dipped and fried |
| Snapper, mixed species | 76.87 | 0.995 | Raw |
|  | 70.35 | 1.275 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Sole, Spot | 75.95 | 3.870 | Raw |
| Sturgeon, mixed species | 76.55 | 3.544 | Raw |
|  | 69.94 | 4.544 | Cooked, dry heat |
|  | 62.50 | 3.829 | Smoked |
| Sucker, white | 79.71 | 1.965 | Raw |
| Sunfish, Pumpkinseed | 79.50 | 0.502 | Raw |
| Swordfish | 75.62 | 3.564 | Raw |
|  | 68.75 | 4.569 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Trout, mixed species | 71.42 | 5.901 | Raw |
| Trout, Rainbow | 71.48 | 2.883 | Raw |
|  | 63.43 | 3.696 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Tuna, light meat | 59.83 | 7.368 | Canned in oil, drained solids |
|  | 74.51 | 0.730 | Canned in water, drained solids |
| Tuna, white meat | 64.02 | NA | Canned in oil |
|  | 69.48 | 2.220 | Canned in water, drained solids |
| Tuna, Bluefish, fresh | 68.09 | 4.296 | Raw |
|  | 59.09 | 5.509 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Turbot, European | 76.95 | NA | Raw |
| Whitefish, mixed species | 72.77 | 5.051 | Raw |
|  | 70.83 | 0.799 | Smoked |
| Whiting, mixed species | 80.27 | 0.948 | Raw |
|  | 74.71 | 1.216 | Cooked, dry heat |
| Yellowtail, mixed species | 74.52 | NA | Raw |


| Table 10-80. Percent Moisture and Fat Content for Selected Species ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (continued) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Moisture Content (\%) | Total Fat Content $(\%)^{b}$ | Comments |
| SHELLFISH |  |  |  |
| Crab, Alaska King | 79.57 | NA |  |
|  | 77.55 | 0.854 | Cooked, moist heat Imitation, made from surimi |
| Crab, Blue | 79.02 | 0.801 | Raw |
|  | 79.16 | 0.910 | Canned (dry pack or drained solids of wet pack) |
|  | 77.43 | 1.188 | Cooked, moist heat |
|  | 71.00 | $6.571$ | Crab cakes |
| Crab, Dungeness | 79.18 | 0.616 | Raw |
| Crab, Queen | 80.58 | 0.821 | Raw |
| Crayfish, mixed species | 80.79 | 0.732 | Raw |
|  | 75.37 | 0.939 | Cooked, moist heat |
| Lobster, Northern | 76.76 | NA | Raw |
|  | 76.03 | 0.358 | Cooked, moist heat |
| Shrimp, mixed species | 75.86 | 1.250 | Raw |
|  | 72.56 | 1.421 | Canned (dry pack or drained solids of wet pack) |
|  | 52.86 | 10.984 | Cooked, breaded and fried |
|  | 77.28 | 0.926 | Cooked, moist heat |
| Spiny Lobster, mixed species | 74.07 | 1.102 | Imitation made from surimi, raw |
| Clam, mixed species | 81.82 | 0.456 |  |
|  | 63.64 | $0.912$ | Canned, drained solids |
|  | 97.70 | NA | Canned, liquid |
|  | 61.55 | 10.098 | Cooked, breaded and fried |
|  | 63.64 | 0.912 | Cooked, moist heat |
| Mussel, Blue | 80.58 | 1.538 | Raw |
|  | 61.15 | 3.076 | Cooked, moist heat |
| Octopus, common | 80.25 | 0.628 | Raw |
| Oyster, Eastern | 85.14 | 1.620 | Raw |
|  | 85.14 | 1.620 | Canned (solids and liquid based) raw |
|  | 64.72 | 11.212 | Cooked, breaded and fried |
|  | 70.28 | 3.240 | Cooked, moist heat |
| Oyster, Pacific | 82.06 | 1.752 | Raw |
| Scallop, mixed species | 78.57 | 0.377 | Raw |
|  | 58.44 | 10.023 | Cooked, breaded and fried |
|  | 73.82 | NA | Imitation, made from Surimi |
| Squid | 78.55 | 0.989 | Raw |
|  | 64.54 | 6.763 | Cooked, fried |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \quad \text { Data are reported as in th } \\ & \text { b } \quad \text { Total Fat Content }- \text { satur } \\ & \text { NA }=\text { Not available } \end{aligned}$ | polyun |  |  |
| Source: USDA, 1979-1984- U.S. Agricultural Handbook No. 8 |  |  |  |
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Table 10-81. Recommendations - General Population
95th Percentile of Long-term Intake Distribution (g/day) Study (Reference)

63 (Value of 42 from Javitz was adjusted TRI (Javitz, 1980; Ruffle et al., 1994) upward by 50 percent to account for recent increase in fish consumption)
U.S. EPA Analysis of CSFII, 1989-91
20.1 (Total Fish)
14.1 (Marine Fish)
6.0 (Freshwater/Estuarine Fish)

Table 10-82. Recommendations - General Population Fish Serving Size

| Mean Intake (grams) | 95th Percentile (grams) | Study (Reference) |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 129 | 326 | $1989-1991$ CSFII (U.S. EPA, 1996) |

Table 10-83. Recommendations - Recreational Marine Anglers

| Mean Intake (g/day) | 95th Percentile (g/day) | Study Location | Study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18.0 | Atlantic | NMFS, 1993 |
| 7.2 | 26.0 | Gulf |  |
| 2.0 | 6.8 | Pacific |  |

Table 10-84. Recommendations - Freshwater Anglers

| Mean Intake (g/day) | Upper Percentile (g/day) | Study Location | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 5 | $13(95$ th percentile) | Maine | Ebert et al., 1992 |
| 5 | $18(95$ th percentile) | New York | Connelly et al., 1996 |
| 12 | $39(96$ th percentile $)$ | Michigan | West et al, 1989 |
| 17 | --- | Michigan | West et al, 1993 |

## Exposure Factors Handbook

Table 10-85. Recommendations - Native American Subsistence Populations

| Per-Capita (or Mean) Intake (g/day) | Upper Percentile (g/day) | Study Population | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | 170 (95th) | 4 Columbia River Tribes | CRITFC, 1994 |
| 16 | --- | 94 Alaska Communities (Lowest of 94) | Wolfe and Walker, 1989 |
| 81 | --- | 94 Alaska Communities (Median of 94) | Wolfe and Walker, 1989 |
| 770 | --- | 94 Alaska Communities (Highest of 94) | Wolfe and Walker, 1989 |

Table 10-86. Summary of Fish Intake Studies
Source of Data (Reference) $\quad$ Population Surveyed $\quad$ Survey Time Period/Type

Analyses Performed (References)
Limitations/Advantages

## General Population <br> Key Studies

Javitz, 1980 - TRI Survey
25,162 individuals -
general population; general population; the TRI Survey sample
U.S. EPA, 1996

11,912 individuals general population

Sept. 1973-Aug. 1974 (1 year survey) Completed diary over 1 month period on date of meal consumption, species of fish, packaging type, amount of fish prepared, number of servings consumed, etc.

Participants provided 3 consecutive days of dietary data. Three survey years (1989-1991) combined into one data set.

Mean and distribution of fish consumption rates grouped by race, age, gender, census region, fish species, community type, and religion. Lognormal distribution fit to fish intake distribution by age and region by Ruffle et al. (1994).

Analysis of CSFII 1989-91. Fish grouped by habitat (freshwater vs. marine) and type (finfish vs. shellfish). Per capita fish intake rates calculated using cooked and uncooked equivalent weight and reported in $g /$ day an $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day; also intake distribution per day eating fish.

High response rate (80\%); population was large and geographically and seasonally representative; consumption rates based on one month of diary data; survey data is over 20 years out of date

Large, geographically representive study elatively recent. Based on short-term (3 day) data so long-term percentiles of fish intake distribution could not be estimated.

## Distributions using @Risk simulation

 softwareMean and distribution of average daily fish intake and average fish intake per eating occasion; by age-sex groups and overall.

Frequency of eating fish and number of servings per month provided.

Per capita fish intake rates and percent of population consuming fish in one day; by and sex.

## Relevant Studies

| AIHC, 1994 | -- | -- | Distributions using @Risk simulation software. | Limited reviews of supporting studies; good alternative source of information. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pao et al., 1982 | 37,874 individuals general population | Participants provided 3 consecutive days of dietary data. Survey conducted between April 1977 and March 1978. | Mean and distribution of average daily fish intake and average fish intake per eating occasion; by age-sex groups and overall. | Population was large and geographically representative; data were based on shortterm dietary recall; data are almost 20 years out of date. |
| Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 | 9,386 individuals general population | Participants provided 24-hour diary data. Follow-up questionnaires, survey conducted between October 1992 and September 1994. | Frequency of eating fish and number of servings per month provided. | Population large and geographically and seasonally balanced; data based on recall; intake data not provided. |
| USDA, 1992 | 10,000 individualsgeneral population | Participants provided 3 consecutive days of dietary data. Survey conducted between April 1987 and March 1988. | Per capita fish intake rates and percent of population consuming fish in one day; by age and sex. | Population was large and geographically and seasonally balanced; data based on shortterm dietary recall. |



| Table 10-86. Summary of Fish Intake Studies (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of Data (Reference) | Population Surveyed | Survey Time Period/Type | Analyses Performed (References) | Limitations/Advantages |
| Recreational Fresh Water Fish Key Studies |  |  |  |  |
| Chemrisk, 1991; Ebert et al., 1993 | 1,612 licensed Maine anglers | 1989-1990 ice fishing season and 1990 open water season; mailed survey; one year recall of frequency of fishing trips, number and length of fish species caught. | Mean and distribution of fish consumption rates by ethnic groups and overall. Mean and distribution of fish consumption rates for fish from rivers and streams. EPA analysis of fish intake for household members. | Data based on one year recall; high response rate; area-specific consumption patterns. |
| Connelly et al., 1996 | 825 anglers with NY State fishing licenses intending to fish Lake Ontario. | Survey consisted of self-recording information in a diary for 1992 fishing trips and fish consumption. | Distribution of intake rates of sport caught fish. | Meal size estimated by comparison with pictures of 8 oz . fish meals. |
| West et al., 1993 | 2,681 persons with Michigan fishing licenses | January 1991 through January 1992; mailed survey; 7-day recall; demographics information requested, and quantity of fish eaten, if any, at each meal based on a photograph of $1 / 2 \mathrm{lb}$ of fish (more about same, or less). | Mean consumption rate for sport and total fish by demographic category (West et al., 1993) and 50th, 90th, and 95th percentile (U.S. EPA, 1995). | Relatively low response made and only three categories were used to assign fish portion size. Relatively large-scale study and reliance on short-term recall. |
| West et al., 1989 | 1,171 Michigan residents with fishing licenses | January-May 1988; anglers completed questionnaires based on 7-day and 1-year recall. | Mean intake rates of self-caught fish based on 7-day recall period and mean and percentiles of self-caught fish intake based on one year recall. | Weight of fish consumed was estimated using a picture of an 8 oz . fish meal; smaller meals were judged to be 5 oz ., larger ones 10 oz . |
| Relevant Studies |  |  |  |  |
| Connelly et al., 1992 | 1,030 anglers licensed in New York | Survey mailed out in Jan. 1992; one year recall of the period Oct. 1990-Sept. 1991 | Knowledge and effects of fish health advisories. Mean number of sport-caught fish meals. | Response rate of $52.8 \%$; only number of fish mealsreported. |
| Fiore et al., 1989 | 801 individuals with Wisconsin fish or sporting licenses | 1985 summer; mailed survey; one year recall of sport fish consumption. | Mean number of sport caught fish meals of Wisconsin anglers. | Constant meal size assumed. |
| Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc. (1993) | 336 shore-based anglers | Survey conducted June-November 1991; April-July 1992. Onsite interview with anglers | Knowledge and adherance to health advsisories | Data collected from personal interviews; intake data not provided; fish meal data provided. |



FMS - National Marine Fisheries Services.
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| Table 10-87. Confidence in Fish Intake Recommendations for General Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | Peer reviewed by USDA and EPA. | High |
| - Accessibility | CSFII data are publicly available. Javitz is a contractor report to EPA. | High (CSFII) <br> Medium (Javitz) |
| - Reproducibility | Enough information is available to reproduce results. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The studies focused on fish ingestion. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | The studies were conducted for U.S. population. | High |
| - Primary data | The studies are primary studies. | High |
| - Currency | Studies were conducted from 1973-1974 to 19891991. | Medium (mean) <br> Low (Long-Term Distribution) |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Long-term distribution are based on one month data collection period. | High (Mean) <br> Medium (Long-term distribution) |
| - Validity of approach | Data are collected using diaries and one-day recall. However, data adjusted to account for changes in eating pattern. | Medium |
| - Study size | The Range of samples was 10,000-37,000. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The data are representative of overall U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | Long-term distribution (generated from 1973-1974 data) was shifted upward based on recent increase in mean consumption. | Medium |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Response rates were fairly high; there was no obvious source of bias. | High |
| - Measurement error | Estimates of intake amounts were imprecise. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | There was 1 study for the mean, the results of 2 studies were utilized for long-term distribution. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers |  | Medium |
| Overall Rating |  | Medium (Mean) <br> Low (Long-term distribution) |

## Exposure Factors Handbook

| Table 10-88. Confidence in Fish Intake Recommendations for Recreational Marine Anglers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | Data were reviewed by NMFS and EPA. | High |
| - Accessibility | The analysis of the NMFS data is presented in the Handbook and NMFS data can be found in NMFS publications. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Enough information is available to reproduce results. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Studies focused on fish catch rather than fish consumption per se. | Medium |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | The studies were conducted in the U.S. | High |
| - Primary data | Data are from primary studies. | High |
| - Currency | The data were based on 1993 studies. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Data were collected once for each angler. The yearly catch of anglers were estimated from catch on intercepted trip and reported fishing frequency. | Medium |
| - Validity of approach | The creel survey provided data on fishing frequency and fish weight; telephone survey data provided number of anglers. An average value was used for the number of intended fish consumers and edible fraction. | Medium |
| - Study size | Studies encompassed a population of over 100,000. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | Data were representative of overall U.S. coastal state population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | Distributions were generated. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Response rates were fairly high; There was no obvious source of bias. | High |
| - Measurement error | Fish were weighed in the field. | High |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | There was 1 study. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | N/A |  |
| Overall Rating |  | Medium |
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| Table 10-89. Confidence in Recommendations for Fish Consumption - Recreational Freshwater |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | Studies can be found in peer reviewed journals and has been reviewed by the EPA. | High |
| - Accessibility | The original study analyses are reported in accessible journals. Subsequent EPA analyses are detailed in Handbook. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Enough information is available to reproduce results. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Studies focused on ingestion of fish by the recreational freshwater angler. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | The studies were conducted in the U.S. | High |
| - Primary data | Data are from primary references. | High |
| - Currency | Studies were conducted between 1988-1992. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Data were collected for one year period for 3 studies; and a one week period for one study. | High |
| - Validity of approach | Data presented are as follows: one year recall of fishing trips (2 studies), one week recall of fish consumption (1 study), and one year diary survey (1 study). Weight of fish consumed was estimated using approximate weight of fish catch and edible fraction or approximate weight of fish meal. | Medium |
| - Study size | Study population ranged from 800-2600. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | Each study was localized to a single state or area. | Low |
| - Characterization of variability | Distributions were generated. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Response rates were fairly high. One year recall of fishing trips may result in overestimate. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | Weight of fish portions were estimated in one study, fish weight was estimated from reported fish length in another study. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | There are 4 key studies. | High |
| - Agreement between researchers | Intake rates in different parts of country may be expected to show some variation. | Medium |
| Overall Rating | The main drawback is that studies are not nationally representative and not representative of long-term consumption. | Medium |


| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | Studies are from peer reviewed journal (1 study), and technical reports (1study). | Medium |
| - Accessibility | Journal articles are publicly available. CRITFC is a technical report. | Medium |
| - Reproducibility | The studies were adequately detailed. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Studies focused on fish ingestion and fish harvest. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | All studies were specific to area in the U.S. | High |
| - Primary data | One study used primary data, the other used secondary data. | Medium |
| - Currency | Data were from early 1980's to 1992. | Medium |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Data collected for one year period. | High |
| - Validity of approach | One study used fish harvest data; EPA used a factor to convert to individual intake. Other study measured individual intake directly. | Medium |
| - Study size | The sample population was 500 for the study with primary data. | Medium |
| - Representativeness of the population | Only two states were represented. | Low |
| - Characterization of variability | Individual variation were not described in summary study. | Medium |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | The response rate was $69 \%$ in study with primary data. Bias was hard to evaluate in summary study. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | The weight of the fish was estimated. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | There were two studies; only one study described individual variation in intake. | Medium |
| - Agreement between researchers | Range of per-capita rates from summary study includes per-capita rate from study with primary data. | High |
| Overall Rating | Studies are not nationally representative. Upper percentiles are based on only one study. | Medium (per capita intake) Low (upper percentiles) |
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## APPENDIX 10A

## RESOURCE UTILIZATION DISTRIBUTION
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## Appendix 10A. Resource Utilization Distribution

The percentiles of the resource utilization distribution of Y are to be distinguished from the percentiles of the (standard) distribution of Y. The latter percentiles show what percentage of individuals in the population are consuming below a given level. Thus, the 50th percentile of the distribution of Y is that level such that 50 percent of individuals consume below it; on the other hand, the 50th percentile of the resource utilization distribution is that level such that 50 percent of the overall consumption in the population is done by individuals consuming below it.

The percentiles of the resource utilization distribution of Y will always be greater than or equal to the corresponding percentiles of the (standard) distribution of Y, and, in the case of recreational fish consumption, usually considerably exceed the standard percentiles.

To generate the resource utilization distribution, one simply weights each observation in the data set by the Y level for that observation and performs a standard percentile analysis of weighted data. If the data already have weights, then one multiplies the original weights by the Y level for that observation, and then performs the percentile analysis.

Under certain assumptions, the resource utilization percentiles of fish consumption may be related (approximately) to the (standard) percentiles of fish consumption derived from the analysis of creel studies. In this instance, it is assumed that the creel survey data analysis did not employ sampling weights (i.e., weights were implicitly set to one); this is the case for many of the published analyses of creel survey data. In creel studies the fish consumption rate for the ith individual is usually derived by multiplying the amount of fish consumption per fishing trip (say $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) by the frequency of fishing (say $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ). If it is assumed that the probability of sampling of an angler is proportional to fishing frequency, then sampling weights of inverse fishing frequency $\left(1 / f_{i}\right)$ should be employed in the analysis of the survey data. Above it was stated that for data that are already weighted the resource utilization distribution is generated by multiplying the original weights by the individual's fish consumption level to create new weights. Thus, to generate the resource utilization distribution from the data with weights of $\left(1 / f_{i}\right)$, one multiplies $\left(1 / f_{i}\right)$ by the fish consumption level of $f_{i} C_{i}$ to get new weights of $C_{i}$.

Now if $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (amount of consumption per fishing trip) is constant over the population, then these new weights are constant and can be taken to be one. But weights of one is what (it is assumed) were used in the original creel survey data analysis. Hence, the resource utilization distribution is exactly the same as the original (standard) distribution derived from the creel survey using constant weights.

The accuracy of this approximation of the resource utilization distribution of fish by the (standard) distribution of fish consumption derived from an unweighted analysis of creel survey data depends then on two factors, how approximately constant the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's are in the population and how approximately proportional the relationship between sampling probability and fishing frequency is. Sampling probability will be roughly proportional to frequency if repeated sampling at the same site is limited or if re-interviewing is performed independent of past interviewing status.

Note: For any quantity $Y$ that is consumed by individuals in a population, the percentiles of the "resource utilization distribution" of Y can be formally defined as follows: $Y_{p}(R)$ is the pth percentile of the resource utilization distribution if $p$ percent of the overall consumption of $Y$ in the population is done by individuals with consumption below $Y_{p}(R)$ and 100-p percent is done by individuals with consumption above $Y_{p}(R)$.
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| Residence Size | Large City/Suburb | Small City | Town | Small Town | Rural NonFarm | Farm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooking Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan Fried | 32.7 | 31.0 | 36.0 | 32.4 | 38.6 | 51.6 |
| Deep Fried | 19.6 | 24.0 | 23.3 | 24.7 | 26.2 | 15.7 |
| Boiled | 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| Grilled/Broiled | 23.6 | 20.8 | 13.8 | 21.4 | 13.7 | 13.1 |
| Baked | 12.4 | 12.4 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 12.7 | 6.4 |
| Combination | 2.5 | 6.0 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 7.0 |
| Other (Smoked, etc.) | 3.2 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| Don't Know | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.5 | 0.2 | -- |
| Total (N) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 393 | 317 | 388 | 256 | 483 | 94 |
| Sport Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan Fried | 45.8 | 45.7 | 47.6 | 41.4 | 51.2 | 63.3 |
| Deep Fried | 12.2 | 14.5 | 17.5 | 15.2 | 21.9 | 7.3 |
| Boiled | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 0 |
| Grilled/Broiled | 20.2 | 17.6 | 10.6 | 25.3 | 8.2 | 10.4 |
| Baked | 11.8 | 8.8 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 6.9 |
| Combination | 2.7 | 8.5 | 10.4 | 6.7 | 1.9 | 9.3 |
| Other (smoked, etc.) | 4.5 | 2.7 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 2.8 |
| Don't Know | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (N) | 205 | 171 | 257 | 176 | 314 | 62 |
| Large City $=$ over 100,000; Small City $=20,000-100,000 ;$ Town $=2,000-20,000 ;$ Small Town $=100-2,000$. <br> $\mathrm{N}=$ Total number of respondents <br> Source: West et al., 1993. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10B-2. Percent of Fish Meals Prepared Using Various Cooking Methods by Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | 17-30 | 31-40 | 41-50 | 51-64 | $>64$ | Overall |
| Total Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooking Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan Fried | 45.9 | 31.7 | 30.5 | 33.9 | 40.7 | 35.3 |
| Deep Fried | 23.0 | 24.7 | 26.9 | 23.7 | 14.0 | 23.5 |
| Boiled | 0.0000 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| Grilled or Boiled | 15.6 | 15.2 | 24.3 | 16.1 | 18.8 | 17.8 |
| Baked | 10.8 | 13.0 | 8.7 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 11.4 |
| Combination | 3.1 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 4.7 |
| Other (Smoked, etc.) | 1.6 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 3.2 |
| Don't Know | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0000 | 0.2 |
| Total (N) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 246 | 448 | 417 | 502 | 287 | 1946 |
| Sport Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan Fried | 57.6 | 42.6 | 43.4 | 46.6 | 54.1 | 47.9 |
| Deep Fried | 18.2 | 21.0 | 17.3 | 14.8 | 7.7 | 16.5 |
| Boiled | 0.0000 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.4 |
| Grilled/Broiled | 15.0 | 10.1 | 25.9 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 14.8 |
| Baked | 3.6 | 10.4 | 6.4 | 11.7 | 9.9 | 8.9 |
| Combination | 3.8 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 5.9 |
| Other (Smoked, etc.) | 1.7 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 3.5 |
| Don't Know | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4 | 0.0000 | 0.1 |
| Total (N) | 174 | 287 | 246 | 294 | 163 | 1187 |
| ${ }^{a} \mathrm{~N}=$ Total number of Source: West et al., |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 10B-3. Percent of Fish Meals Prepared Using Various Cooking Methods by Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Black | Native American | Hispanic | White | Other |
| Total Fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooking Method |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan Fried | 40.5 | 37.5 | 16.1 | 35.8 | 18.5 |
| Deep Fried | 27.0 | 22.0 | 83.9 | 22.7 | 18.4 |
| Boiled | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 4.3 | 0 |
| Grilled/Broiled | 19.4 | 9.8 | 0 | 17.7 | 57.6 |
| Baked | 1.9 | 16.3 | 0 | 11.7 | 5.4 |
| Combination | 9.5 | 6.2 | 0 | 4.5 | 0 |
| Other (Smoked, etc.) | 1.6 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 4.0 |
| Don't Know | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Total (N) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 52 | 84 | 12 | 1,744 | 33 |
| Sport Fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan Fried | 44.9 | 47.9 | 52.1 | 48.8 | 22.0 |
| Deep Fried | 36.2 | 20.2 | 47.9 | 15.7 | 9.6 |
| Boiled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.7 | 0 |
| Grilled/Broiled | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 14.7 | 61.9 |
| Baked | 5.3 | 18.2 | 0 | 8.6 | 6.4 |
| Combination | 13.6 | 8.6 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 |
| Other (Smoked, etc.) | 0 | 3.6 | 0 | 3.7 | 0 |
| Total (N) | 19 | 60 | 4 | 39 | 0 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{~N}=$ Total number of respondents.
Source: West et al., 1993.
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| Income | 0-\$24,999 | \$25,000-\$39,999 | \$40,000 - or more |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Fish |  |  |  |
| Cooking Method |  |  |  |
| Pan Fried | 44.8 | 39.1 | 26.5 |
| Deep Fried | 21.7 | 22.2 | 23.4 |
| Boiled | 2.1 | 3.5 | 5.6 |
| Grilled/Broiled | 11.3 | 15.8 | 25.0 |
| Baked | 9.1 | 12.3 | 13.3 |
| Combination | 8.7 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| Other (Smoked, etc.) | 2.4 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| Don't Know | 0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total (N) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 544 | 518 | 714 |
| Sport Fish |  |  |  |
| Pan Fried | 51.5 | 51.4 | 42.0 |
| Deep Fried | 15.8 | 15.8 | 17.2 |
| Boiled | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3.7 |
| Grilled/Broiled | 12.0 | 12.2 | 19.4 |
| Baked | 7.2 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Combination | 9.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| Other (Smoked, etc.) | 2.7 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| Don't Know | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Total (N) | 387 | 344 | 369 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{N}=$ Total number of Source: West et al., |  |  |  |


|  | Total Fish |  | Sport Fish |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | Trimmed Fat (\%) | Skin Off (\%) | Trimmed Fat (\%) | Skin Off (\%) |
| Residence Size |  |  |  |  |
| Large City/Suburb | 51.7 | 31.6 | 56.7 | 28.9 |
| Small City | 56.9 | 34.1 | 59.3 | 36.2 |
| Town | 50.3 | 33.4 | 51.7 | 33.7 |
| Small Town | 52.6 | 45.2 | 55.8 | 51.3 |
| Rural Non-Farm | 42.4 | 32.4 | 46.2 | 34.6 |
| Farm | 37.3 | 38.1 | 39.4 | 42.1 |
| $\underline{\text { Age (years) }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 17-30 | 50.6 | 36.5 | 53.9 | 39.3 |
| 31-40 | 49.7 | 29.7 | 51.6 | 29.9 |
| 41-50 | 53.0 | 32.2 | 58.8 | 37.0 |
| 51-65 | 48.1 | 35.6 | 48.8 | 37.2 |
| Over 65 | 41.6 | 43.1 | 43.0 | 42.9 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 25.8 | 37.1 | 16.0 | 40.1 |
| Native American | 50.0 | 41.4 | 56.3 | 36.7 |
| Hispanic | 59.5 | 7.1 | 50.0 | 23.0 |
| White | 49.3 | 34.0 | 51.8 | 35.6 |
| Other | 77.1 | 61.6 | 75.7 | 65.5 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| Some High School | 50.8 | 43.9 | 49.7 | 47.1 |
| High School Degree | 47.2 | 37.1 | 49.5 | 37.6 |
| College Degree | 51.9 | 31.9 | 55.9 | 33.8 |
| Post-Graduate | 47.6 | 26.6 | 53.4 | 38.7 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |
| <\$25,000 | 50.5 | 43.8 | 50.6 | 47.3 |
| \$25-39,999 | 47.8 | 34.0 | 54.9 | 34.6 |
| \$40,000 or more | 50.2 | 28.6 | 51.7 | 27.7 |
| Overall | 49.0 | 34.7 | 52.1 | 36.5 |
| Source: Modified from West et. al., 1993. |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Table 10B-8. Adult Consumption of Fish Parts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted Percent Consuming Specific Parts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Species | Consuming | Fillet | Skin | Head | Eggs | Bones | Organs |
| Salmon | 473 | 95.1\% | 55.8\% | 42.7\% | 42.8\% | 12.1\% | 3.7\% |
| Lamprey | 249 | 86.4\% | 89.3\% | 18.1\% | 4.6\% | 5.2\% | 3.2\% |
| Trout | 365 | 89.4\% | 68.5\% | 13.7\% | 8.7\% | 7.1\% | 2.3\% |
| Smelt | 209 | 78.8\% | 88.9\% | 37.4\% | 46.4\% | 28.4\% | 27.9\% |
| Whitefish | 125 | 93.8\% | 53.8\% | 15.4\% | 20.6\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Sturgeon | 121 | 94.6\% | 18.2\% | 6.2\% | 11.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.3\% |
| Walleye | 46 | 100\% | 20.7\% | 6.2\% | 9.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.9\% |
| Squawfish | 15 | 89.7\% | 34.1\% | 8.1\% | 11.1\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Sucker | 42 | 89.3\% | 50.0\% | 19.4\% | 30.4\% | 9.8\% | 2.1\% |
| Shad | 16 | 93.5\% | 15.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Source: CRITFC, 1994. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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PER CAPITA ESTIMATES BY SPECIES BASED ON THE USDA CSFII DATA

Notes: Estimates are projected from a sample of 11,912 individuals to the U.S. population of $242,707,000$ using 3 -year combined survey weights. The population for this survey consisted of individuals in the 48 conteminous states.
Source of individual consumption data: USDA Combined 1989, 1990, and 1991 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII).
The fish component of foods containing fish was calculated using data from the recipe file for release 7 of the USDA's Nutrient Data Base for Individual Food Intake Surveys.

| Table 10C-2. Daily Average Per Capita Estimates of Fish Consumption U.S. Population - Mean Consumption by Species Within Habitat - Uncooked Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habitat | Species | Estimated Mean Grams/Person/Day | Habitat | Species | Estimated Mean Grams/Person/Day | Habitat | Species | Estimated Mean Grams/Person/Day |
| Estuarine | Shrimp | 1.78619 | Marine (Cont) | Swordfish | 0.17903 | All Species (Cont) | Flounder | 0.28559 |
|  | Perch | 0.66494 |  | Squid | 0.14420 |  | Lobster | 0.27563 |
|  | Flatfish (Estuarine) | 0.50832 |  | Sardine | 0.13750 |  | Sea Bass | 0.26661 |
|  | Crab (Estuarine) | 0.40848 |  | Pompano | 0.12160 |  | Scallop (Marine) | 0.26199 |
|  | Flounder | 0.28559 |  | Mackerel | 0.09866 |  | Oyster | 0.18827 |
|  | Oyster | 0.18827 |  | Sole | 0.08339 |  | Swordfish | 0.17903 |
|  | Mullet | 0.08959 |  | Whiting | 0.06514 |  | Squid | 0.14420 |
|  | Croaker | 0.06539 |  | Mussels | 0.03718 |  | Sardine | 0.13750 |
|  | Smelts | 0.03470 |  | Halibut | 0.03030 |  | Pompano | 0.12160 |
|  | Herring | 0.03408 |  | Shark | 0.02385 |  | Mackarel | 0.09866 |
|  | Clam (Estuarine) | 0.03339 |  | Whitefish | 0.00916 |  | Mullet | 0.08958 |
|  | Anchovy | 0.00304 |  | Snapper | 0.00551 |  | Sole | 0.08339 |
|  | Scallop (Estuarine) | 0.00297 |  | Octopus | 0.00457 |  | Croaker | 0.06539 |
|  | Scup | 0.00050 |  | Barracuda | 0.00130 |  | Whiting | 0.06514 |
|  | Sturgeon | 0.00040 |  | Abalone | 0.00094 |  | Carp | 0.06012 |
|  |  |  |  | Seafood | 0.00043 |  | Mussels | 0.03718 |
| Freshwater | Catfish | 1.38715 | UnknownAll Species |  | 0.00248 |  | Smelts | 0.03470 |
|  | Trout | 0.53777 |  | Fish |  |  | Herring | 0.03406 |
|  | Carp | 0.06012 |  |  |  |  | Clam (Estuarine) | 0.03339 |
|  | Pike | 0.02244 |  | Tuna | 5.67438 |  | Halibut | 0.03030 |
|  | Salmon (Freshwater) | 0.01183 | All Species | Shrimp | 1.78619 |  | Shark | 0.02385 |
|  |  |  |  | Cod | 1.47609 |  | Pike | 0.02244 |
| Marine | Tuna | 5.67438 |  | Catfish | 1.38715 |  | Salmon (Freshwater) | 0.01183 |
|  | Cod | 1.47609 |  | Flatfish (Marine) | 1.24268 |  | Whitefish | 0.00916 |
|  | Flatfish (Marine) | 1.24268 |  | Salmon (Marine) | 0.99093 |  | Snapper | 0.00551 |
|  | Salmon (Marine) | 0.99093 |  | Perch | 0.66494 |  | Octopus | 0.00457 |
|  | Haddock | 0.62219 |  | Haddock | 0.62219 |  | Anchovy | 0.00304 |
|  | Pollock | 0.52906 |  | Trout | 0.53777 |  | Scallop (Estuarine) | 0.00297 |
|  | Crab (Marine) | 0.47567 |  | Pollock | 0.52906 |  | Fish | 0.00248 |
|  | Porgy | 0.42587 |  | Flatfish (Estuarine) | 0.50832 |  | Barracuda | 0.00130 |
|  | Ocean Perch | 0.39327 |  | Crab (Marine) | 0.47567 |  | Abalone | 0.00094 |
|  | Clam (Marine) | 0.37982 |  | Porgy | 0.42587 |  | Scup | 0.00050 |
|  | Lobster | 0.27583 |  | Crab (Estuarine) | 0.40848 |  | Seafood | 0.00043 |
|  | Sea Bass | 0.26661 |  | Ocean Perch | 0.39327 |  | Sturgeon | 0.00040 |
|  | Scallop (Marine) | 0.26199 |  | Clam (Marine) | 0.37982 |  |  |  |

Notes: Estimates are projected from a sample of 11,912 individuals to the U.S. population of $242,707,000$ using 3 -year combined survey weights. The population for this survey consisted of individuals in the 48 conteminous states.

Source of individual consumption data: USDA Combined 1989, 1990, and 1991 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII),
Amount of consumed fish recorded by survey respondents was converted to uncooked fish quantities using data from the recipe file for release 7 of USDA's Nutrient Data Base for Individual Food Intake Surveys. The fish component of foods containing fish was calculated using data from the recipe file for release 7 of the USDA's Nutrient Data Base for Individual Food Intake Surveys.

$\hat{3} 0$
$\hat{2} 0$
in


TABLE OF CONTENTS
Page No.
11. INTAKE OF MEAT AND DAIRY PRODUCTS ..... 1
11.1. INTAKE STUDIES ..... 1
11.1.1. U.S. Department of Agriculture Nationwide Food Consumption Survey and Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals ..... 1
11.1.2. Key Meat and Dairy Products Intake Study Based on the CSFII ..... 2
11.1.3. Relevant Meat and Dairy Products Intake Studies ..... 3
11.2. FAT CONTENT OF MEAT AND DAIRY PRODUCTS ..... 6
11.3. CONVERSION BETWEEN AS CONSUMED AND DRY WEIGHT INTAKE RATES ..... 7
11.4. RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 7
11.5. REFERENCES FOR CHAPTER 11 ..... 7
11. INTAKE OF MEAT AND DAIRY PRODUCTS

Consumption of meat, poultry, and dairy products is a potential pathway of exposure to toxic chemicals. These food sources can become contaminated if animals are exposed to contaminated media (i.e., soil, water, or feed crops).

The U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (NFCS) and Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII) are the primary sources of information on intake rates of meat and dairy products in the United States. Data from the NFCS have been used in various studies to generate consumer-only and per capita intake rates for both individual meat and dairy products and total meat and dairy products. CSFII 1989-91 survey data have been analyzed by EPA to generate per capita intake rates for various food items and food groups. As described in Volume II, Chapter 9 - Intake of Fruits and Vegetables, consumer-only intake is defined as the quantity of meat and dairy products consumed by individuals who ate these food items during the survey period. Per capita intake rates are generated by averaging consumer-only intakes over the entire population of users and non-users. In general, per capita intake rates are appropriate for use in exposure assessments for which average dose estimates for the general population are of interest because they represent both individuals who ate the foods during the survey period and individuals who may eat the food items at some time, but did not consume them during the survey period.

Intake rates may be presented on either an as consumed or dry weight basis. As consumed intake rates (g/day) are based on the weight of the food in the form that it is consumed. In contrast, dry weight intake rates are based on the weight of the food consumed after the moisture content has been removed. In calculating exposures based on ingestion, the unit of weight used to measure intake should be consistent with those used in measuring the contaminant concentration in the produce. Fat content data are also presented for various meat and dairy products. These data are needed for converting between residue levels on a whole-weight or as consumed basis and lipid basis. Intake data from the individual component of the NFCS and CSFII are based on "as eaten" (i.e., cooked or prepared) forms of the food items/groups. Thus, corrections to account for changes in portion sizes from cooking losses are not required.

The purpose of this section is to provide: (1) intake data for individual meat and dairy products, total meat, and total dairy; (2) guidance for converting between as
consumed and dry weight intake rates; and (3) data on the fat content in meat and dairy products. Recommendations are based on average and upper-percentile intake among the general population of the U.S. Available data have been classified as being either a key or a relevant study based on the considerations discussed in Volume I, Section 1.3.1 of the Introduction. Recommendations are based on data from the 1989-91 CSFII survey, which was considered the only key intake study for meats and dairy products. Other relevant studies are also presented to provide the reader with added perspective on this topic. It should be noted that most of the studies presented in this section are based on data from USDA's NFCS and CSFII. The USDA NFCS and CSFII are described below.

### 11.1. INTAKE STUDIES

11.1.1. U.S. Department of Agriculture Nationwide Food Consumption Survey and Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals
The NFCS and CSFII are the basis of much of the data on meat and dairy intake presented in this section. Data from the 1977-78 NFCS are presented because the data have been published by USDA in various reports and reanalyzed by various EPA offices according to the food items/groups commonly used to assess exposure. Published one-day data from the 1987-88 NFCS and 1994 and 1995 CSFII are also presented. Recently, EPA conducted an analysis of USDA's 1989-91 CSFII. These data were the most recent food survey data that were available to the public at the time that EPA analyzed the data for this Handbook. The results of EPA's analyses are presented here. Detailed descriptions of the NFCS and CSFII data are presented in Volume II, Chapter 9 - Intake of Fruits and Vegetables.

Individual average daily intake rates calculated from NFCS and CSFII data are based on averages of reported individual intakes over one day or three consecutive days. Such short term data are suitable for estimating average daily intake rates representative of both short-term and long-term consumption. However, the distribution of average daily intake rates generated using short term data (e.g., 3 day) do not necessarily reflect the long-term distribution of average daily intake rates. The distributions generated from short term and long term data will differ to the extent that each individual's intake varies from day to day; the distributions will be similar to the extent that individuals' intakes are constant from day to day.

Day-to-day variation in intake among individuals will be great for food item/groups that are highly seasonalExposure Factors Handbook
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and for items/groups that are eaten year around but that are not typically eaten every day. For these foods, the intake distribution generated from short term data will not be a good reflection of the long term distribution. On the other hand, for broad categories of foods (e.g., total meats) which are eaten on a daily basis throughout the year with minimal seasonality, the short term distribution may be a reasonable approximation of the true long term distribution, although it will show somewhat more variability. In this and the following section then, distributions are shown only for the following broad categories of foods: total meats and total dairy products. Because of the increased variability of the short-term distribution, the short-term upper percentiles shown will overestimate somewhat the corresponding percentiles of the long-term distribution.

### 11.1.2. Key Meat and Dairy Products Intake Study Based on the CSFII

U.S. EPA Analysis of 1989-91 USDA CSFII Data EPA conducted an analysis of USDA's 1989-91 CSFII data set. The general methodology used in analyzing the data is presented in Volume II, Chapter 9 - Intake of Fruits and Vegetables of this Handbook. Intake rates were generated for the following meat and dairy products: total meats, total dairy, beef, pork, poultry, game, and eggs. Appendix 9B presents the food categories and codes used in generating intake rates for these food groups. These data have been corrected to account for mixtures as described in Volume II, Chapter 9 - Intake of Fruits and Vegetables and Appendix 9A. However, it should be noted that although total meats account for items such as luncheon meats, sausages, and organ meats, these items are not included in the individual meat groups (i.e., beef, poultry, etc.). Per capita intake rates for total meat and total dairy are presented in Tables 11-1 and 11-2 at the end of this Chapter. Tables 11-3 to 11-7 present per capita intake data for individual meats and eggs. The results are presented in units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. Thus, use of these data in calculating potential dose does not require the body weight factor to be included in the denominator of the average daily dose (ADD) equation. It should be noted that converting these intake rates into units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$ by multiplying by a single average body weight is inappropriate, because individual intake rates were indexed to the reported body weights of the survey respondents. However, if there is a need to compare the intake data presented here to intake data in units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$, a body weight less than 70 kg (i.e., approximately 60 kg ; calculated based on the number of respondents in each age category and the average body weights for these age groups, as
presented in Volume I, Chapter 7, Body Weight) should be used because the total survey population included children as well as adults.

The advantages of using the 1989-91 CSFII data set are that the data are expected to be representative of the U.S. population and that it includes data on a wide variety of food types. The data set was the most recent of a series of publicly available USDA data sets (i.e., NFCS 1977-78; NFCS 1987-88; CSFII 1989-91) at the time the analysis was conducted for this Handbook, and should reflect recent eating patterns in the United States. The data set includes three years of intake data combined. However, the 1989-91 CSFII data are based on a three day survey period. Shortterm dietary data may not accurately reflect long-term eating patterns. This is particularly true for the tails of the distribution of food intake. In addition, the adjustment for including mixtures adds uncertainty to the intake rate distributions. The calculation for including mixtures assumes that intake of any mixture includes all of the foods identified and the proportions specified in Appendix Table 9A-1. This assumption yields valid estimates of per capita consumption, but results in overestimates of the proportion of the population consuming individual meats; thus, the quantities reported in Tables 11-3 to 11-7 should be interpreted as upper bounds on the proportion consuming beef, pork, poultry, game, and eggs.

The data presented in this handbook for the USDA 1989-91 CSFII is not the most up-to-date information on food intake. USDA has recently made available the data from its 1994 and 1995 CSFII. Over 5,500 people nationwide participated in both of these surveys, providing recalled food intake information for 2 separate days. Although the two-day data analysis has not been conducted, USDA published the results for the respondents' intakes on the first day surveyed (USDA, 1996a,b). USDA 1996 survey data will be made available later in 1997. As soon as 1996 data are available, EPA will take steps to get the 3 -year data $(1994,1995$, and 1996) analyzed and the food ingestion factors updated. Meanwhile, Table 11-8 presents a comparison of the mean daily intakes per individual in a day for the major meat and dairy groups from USDA survey data from years 1977-78, 1987-88, 1989-91, 1994, and 1995. This table shows that food consumption patterns have changed for beef and meat mixtures when comparing 1977 and 1995 data. In particular, consumption of beef decreased by 50 percent when comparing data from 1977 and 1995, while consumption of meat mixtures increased by 44 percent. However, consumption of the food items presented in Table 11-8 has remained fairly constant when
comparing values from 1989-91 with the most recent data from 1994 and 1995. Meat mixtures show the largest change with an increase of 16 percent from 1989 to 1995. This indicates that the 1989-91 CSFII data are probably adequate for assessing ingestion exposure for current populations; however, these data should be used with caution.

It is interesting to note that there was not much variation in beef and poultry consumption from 1989-91 to 1995. This seems to contradict the other USDA reports that show that in recent years the U.S. population has been substituting beef for other sources of protein such as poultry and fish. One of those reports is the report titled Meat and Poultry Inspection; 1994 Report of the Secretary of Agriculture to the U.S. Congress (USDA, 1994). This USDA report shows a $39 \%$ increase in the number of poultry inspected at federally inspected plants in 1994 compared to 1984. In contrast, the number of meat animals inspected at federally inspected plants increased only by $2 \%$ from 1984 to 1994. This trend in food consumption patterns was also reported in the USDA report titled Food Consumption, Prices, and Expenditures, 1970-92 (USDA, 1993). This report shows that in 1992, consumption among Americans averaged 18 pounds less red meat, 26 pounds more poultry, and 3 pounds more fish and shellfish than in 1970. This apparent contradiction may be explained by assuming that most of the increase in poultry consumption has occured in the meat mixtures and grain mixtures categories. There has been a considerable shift from consuming individual food items to food in mixtures (such as pizza, tacos, burritos, frozen entrees, and salads from grocery stores). This may explain why, in Table 11-8, domestic consumption has remained fairly constant in the past few years.

### 11.1.3. Relevant Meat and Dairy Products Intake Studies

The U.S. EPA's Dietary Risk Evaluation System (DRES) - U.S. EPA, Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) EPA OPP's DRES contains per capita intake rate data for various items of meat, poultry, and dairy products for 22 subgroups (age, regional, and seasonal) of the population. As described in Volume II, Chapter 9 - Intake of Fruits and Vegetables, intake data in DRES were generated by determining the composition of 1977/78 NFCS food items and disaggregating complex food dishes into their component raw agricultural commodities (RACs) (White et al., 1983). The DRES per capita, as consumed intake rates for all age/sex/demographic groups combined are presented
in Table 11-9. These data are based on both consumers and non-consumers of these food items. Data for specific subgroups of the population are not presented in this section, but are available through OPP via direct request. The data in Table 11-9 may be useful for estimating the risks of exposure associated with the consumption of the various meat, poultry, and dairy products presented. It should be noted that these data are indexed to the reported body weights of the survey respondents and are expressed in units of grams of food consumed per kg body weight per day. Consequently, use of these data in calculating potential dose does not require the body weight factor in the denominator of the average daily dose (ADD) equation. It should also be noted that conversion of these intake rates into units of g /day by multiplying by a single average body weight is not appropriate because the DRES data base did not rely on a single body weight for all individuals. Instead, DRES used the body weights reported by each individual surveyed to estimate consumption in units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day.

The advantages of using these data are that complex food dishes have been disaggregated to provide intake rates for a variety of meat, poultry, and dairy products. These data are also based on the individual body weights of the respondents. Therefore, the use of these data in calculating exposure to toxic chemicals may provide more representative estimates of potential dose per unit body weight. However, because the data are based on NFCS short-term dietary recall, the same limitations discussed previously for other NFCS data sets also apply here. In addition, consumption patterns may have changed since the data were collected in 1977-78. OPP is in the process of translating consumption information from the USDA CSFII 1989-91 survey to be used in DRES.

Food and Nutrient Intakes of Individuals in One Day in the U.S., USDA (1980, 1992, 1996a, 1996b) USDA calculated mean per capita intake rates for meat and dairy products using NFCS data from 1977-78 and 1987-88 (USDA, 1980; 1992) and CSFII data from 1994 and 1995 (USDA, 1996a; 1996b). The mean per capita intake rates for meat and dairy products are presented in Tables 11-10 and 11-11 for meats and Tables 11-12 and 11-13 for dairy based on intake data for one day from the 1977-78 and 1987-88 USDA NFCSs. Tables 11-14 and 11-15 present similar data from the 1994 and 1995 CSFII for meats and dairy products, respectively.

The advantages of using these data are that they provide mean intake estimates for all meat, poultry, and dairy products. The consumption estimates are based on
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short-term (i.e., 1-day) dietary data which may not reflect long-term consumption.
U.S. EPA - Office of Radiation Programs - The U.S. EPA Office of Radiation Programs (ORP) has also used the USDA 1977-78 NFCS to estimate daily food intake. ORP uses food consumption data to assess human intake of radionuclides in foods (U.S. EPA, 1984a; 1984b). The 1977-78 NFCS data have been reorganized by ORP, and food items have been classified according to the characteristics of radionuclide transport. The mean per capita dietary intake of food sub classes (milk, other dairy products, eggs, beef, pork, poultry, and other meat) grouped by age for the U.S. population is presented in Table 11-16. The mean daily intake rates of meat, poultry, and dairy products for the U.S. population grouped by regions are presented in Table 11-17. Because this study was based on the USDA NFCS, the limitations and advantages associated with the USDA NFCS data also apply to these data. Also, consumption patterns may have changed since the data were collected in 1977-78.
U.S. EPA - Office of Science and Technology - The U.S. EPA Office of Science and Technology (OST) within the Office of Water (formerly the Office of Water Regulations and Standards) used data from the FDA revision of the Total Diet Study Food Lists and Diets (Pennington, 1983) to calculate food intake rates. OST uses these consumption data in its risk assessment model for land application of municipal sludge. The FDA data used are based on the combined results of the USDA 1977-78 NFCS and the second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES II), 1976-80 (U.S. EPA, 1989). Because food items are listed as prepared complex foods in the FDA Total Diet Study, each item was broken down into its component parts so that the amount of raw commodities consumed could be determined. Table 11-18 presents intake rates for meat, poultry, and dairy products for various age groups. Estimated lifetime ingestion rates derived by U.S. EPA (1989) are also presented in Table 11-18. Note that these are per capita intake rates tabulated as grams dry weight/day. Therefore, these rates differ from those in the previous tables because Pao et al. (1982) and U.S. EPA (1984a, 1984b) report intake rates on an as consumed basis.

The EPA-OST analysis provides intake rates for additional food categories and estimates of lifetime average daily intake on a per capita basis. In contrast to the other analyses of USDA NFCS data, this study reports the data in terms of dry weight intake rates. Thus, conversion is not required when contaminants are provided on a dry weight
basis. These data, however, may not reflect current consumption patterns because they are based on 1977-78 data.

USDA (1993) - Food Consumption, Prices, and Expenditures, 1970-92 -The USDA's Economic Research Service (ERS) calculates the amount of food available for human consumption in the United States annually. Supply and utilization balance sheets are generated. These are based on the flow of food items from production to end uses. Total available supply is estimated as the sum of production (i.e., some products are measured at the farm level or during processing), starting inventories, and imports (USDA, 1993). The availability of food for human use commonly termed as "food disappearance" is determined by subtracting exported foods, products used in industries, farm inputs (seed and feed) and end-of-the year inventories from the total available supply (USDA, 1993). USDA (1993) calculates the per capita food consumption by dividing the total food disappearance by the total U.S. population.

USDA (1993) estimated per capita consumption data for meat, poultry, and dairy products from 1970-1992 (1992 data are preliminary). In this section, the 1991 values, which are the most recent final data, are presented. The meat consumption data were reported as carcass weight, retail weight equivalent, and boneless weight equivalent. The poultry consumption data were reported as ready-to-cook (RTC) weight, retail weight, and boneless weight (USDA, 1993). USDA (1993) defined beef carcass weight as the chilled hanging carcass, which includes the kidney and attached internal fat (kidney, pelvic, and heart fat), excludes the skin, head, feet, and unattached internal organs. The pork carcass weight includes the skin and feet, but excludes the kidney and attached internal fat. Retail weight equivalents assume all food was sold through retail foodstores; therefore, conversion factors (Table 11-19) were used to correct carcass or RTC to retail weight to account for trimming, shrinkage, or loss of meat and chicken at these retail outlets (USDA, 1993). Boneless equivalent values for meat (pork, veal, beef) and poultry excludes all bones, but includes separable fat sold on retail cuts of red meat. Pet food was considered as an apparent source of food disappearance for poultry in boneless weight estimates, while pet food was excluded for beef, veal, and pork (USDA, 1993). Table 11-19 presents per capita consumption in 1991 for red meat (carcass weight, retail equivalent, and boneless trimmed equivalent) and poultry (RTC, retail equivalent for chicken only, and boneless trimmed equivalent). Per capita consumption estimates
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based on boneless weights appear to be the most appropriate data for use in exposure assessments, because boneless meats are more representative of what people would actually consume. Table 11-20 presents per capita consumption in 1991 for dairy products including eggs, milk, cheese, cream, and sour cream.

One of the limitations of this study is that disappearance data do not account for losses from the food supply from waste, spoilage, or foods fed to pets. Thus, intake rates based on these data will overestimate daily consumption because they are based on the total quantity of marketable commodity utilized. Therefore, these data may be useful for estimating bounding exposure estimates. It should also be noted that per capita estimates based on food disappearance are not a direct measure of actual consumption or quantity ingested, instead the data are used as indicators of changes in usage over time (USDA, 1993). An advantage of this study is that it provides per capita consumption rates for meat, poultry, and dairy products which are representative of long-term intake because disappearance data are generated annually. Daily per capita intake rates are generated by dividing annual consumption by 365 days/year.

National Live Stock and Meat Board (1993) Eating in America Today: A Dietary Pattern and Intake Report - The National Live Stock and Meat Board (NLMB) (1993) assessed the nutritional value of the current American diet based on two factors: (1) the composition of the foods consumed, and (2) the amount of food consumed. Data used in this study were provided by MRCA Information Services, Inc. through MRCA's Nutritional Marketing Information Division. The survey conducted by MRCA consisted of a 2,000 household panels of over 4,700 individuals. The survey sample was selected to be representative of the U.S. population. Information obtained from the survey by MRCA's Menu Census included food and beverage consumption over a period of 14 consecutive days. The head of the household recorded daily food and beverage consumption in-home and away-from-home in diaries for each household member. The survey period was from July 1, 1990 through June 30, 1991. This ensured that all days carried equal weights and provided a seasonally balanced data set. In addition, nutrient intake data calculated by the MRCA's Nutrient Intake Database (NID) (based on the 1987-88 USDA Food Intake Study) and information on food attitudes were also collected. It should be noted, however, that the 14 daily diaries provided only the incidence of eating each food product by an individual, but not the quantity eaten by each person. The intake rate
for each individual was estimated by multiplying the eating frequency of a particular food item by the average amount eaten per eating occasion. The data on the average amount eaten per eating occasion were obtained from the USDA NFCS survey.

Table 11-21 presents the adult daily mean intake of meat and poultry grouped by region and gender. The adult population was defined as consumers ages 19 and above (NLMB, 1993). Beef consumption was high in all regions compared to other meats and poultry (Table 11-21). The average daily consumption of meat in the U.S. was 114.2 $\mathrm{g} /$ day which included beef ( 57 percent), veal ( 0.5 percent), lamb ( 0.5 percent), game/variety meats ( 8 percent), processed meats (18 percent), and pork (16 percent) (NLMB, 1993). Table 11-22 shows the amount of meat consumed by the adult population grouped as non-meat eaters ( 1 percent), light meat eaters ( 30 percent), medium meat eaters ( 33 percent), and heavy meat eaters (36 percent).

The advantage of this study is that the survey period is longer (i.e., 14 days) than any other food consumption survey. The survey is also based on a nationally representative sample. The survey also accounts for foods eaten as mixtures. However, only mean values are provided. Therefore, distribution of long-term consumption patterns cannot be derived. In addition, the survey collects data on incidence of eating each food item and not actual consumption rates. This may introduce some bias in the results. The direction of this bias is unknown.

AIHC (1994) - Exposure Factors Sourcebook - The AIHC Sourcebook (AIHC, 1994) uses the data presented in the 1989 version of the Exposure Factors Handbook which reported data from the USDA 1977-78 NFCS. In this Handbook, new analyses of more recent data from the USDA 1989-91 CSFII are presented. Numbers, however, cannot be directly compared with previous values since the results from the new analysis are presented on a body weight basis. The Sourcebook was selected as a relevant study because it was not the primary source for the data used to make recommendations in this document. However, it is an alternative information source.

Pao et al. (1982) - Foods Commonly Eaten by Individuals - Using data gathered in the 1977-78 USDA NFCS, Pao et al. (1982) calculated percentiles for the quantities of meat, poultry, and dairy products consumed per eating occasion by members of the U.S. population. The data were collected during NFCS home interviews of 37,874 respondents, who were asked to recall food intake for the day preceding the interview, and record food intake
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the day of the interview and the day after the interview. Quantities consumed per eating occasion, are presented in Table 11-23.

The advantages of using these data are that they were derived from the USDA NFCS and are representative of the U.S. population. This data set provides distributions of serving sizes for a number of commonly eaten meat, poultry, and dairy products, but the list of foods is limited and does not account for meat, poultry, and dairy products included in complex food dishes. Also, these data are based on short-term dietary recall and may not accurately reflect long-term consumption patterns. Although these data are based on the 1977-78 NFCS, serving size data have been collected but not published for the more recent USDA surveys.

### 11.2. FAT CONTENT OF MEAT AND DAIRY PRODUCTS

In some cases, the residue levels of contaminants in meat and dairy products are reported as the concentration of contaminant per gram of fat. This may be particularly true for lipophilic compounds. When using these residue levels, the assessor should ensure consistency in the exposure assessment calculations by using consumption rates that are based on the amount of fat consumed for the meat or dairy product of interest. Alternately, residue levels for the "as consumed" portions of these products may be estimated by multiplying the levels based on fat by the fraction of fat per product as follows:


The resulting residue levels may then be used in conjunction with "as consumed" consumption rates. The percentages of lipid fat in meat and dairy products have been reported in various publications. USDA's Agricultural Handbook Number 8 (USDA, 1979-1984) provides composition data for agricultural products. It includes a listing of the total saturated, monounsaturated, and polyunsaturated fats for various meat and dairy items. Table 11-24 presents the total fat content for selected meat and dairy products taken from Handbook Number 8. The total percent fat content is based on the sum of saturated, monounsaturated, and polyunsaturated fats.

The National Livestock and Meat Board (NLMB) (1993) used data from Agricultural Handbook Number 8
and consumption data to estimate the fat contribution to the U.S. diet. Total fat content in grams, based on a 3-ounce $(85.05 \mathrm{~g})$ cooked serving size, was reported for several categories (retail composites) of meats. These data are presented in Table 11-25 along with the corresponding percent fat content values for each product. NLMB (1993) also reported that 0.17 grams of fat are consumed per gram of meat (i.e., beef, pork, lamb, veal, game, processed meats, and variety meats) ( 17 percent) and 0.08 grams of fat are consumed per gram of poultry ( 8 percent).

The average total fat content of the U.S. diet was reported to be $68.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$. The meat group (meat, poultry, fish, dry beans, eggs, and nuts) was reported to contribute the most to the average total fat in the diet (41 percent) (NLMB, 1993). Meats (i.e., beef, pork, lamb, veal, game, processed meats, and variety meats) reportedly contribute less than 30 percent to the total fat of the average U.S. diet. The milk group contributes approximately 12 percent to the average total fat in the U.S. diet (NLMB, 1993). Fat intake rates and the contributions of the major food groups to fat intake for heavy, medium, and light meat eaters, and non meat eaters are presented in Table 11-26 (NLMB, 1993). NLMB (1993) also reported the average meat fat intake to be $19.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$, with beef contributing about 50 percent of the fat to the diet from all meats. Processed meats contributed 31 percent; pork contributed 14 percent; game and variety meats contributed 4 percent; and lamb and veal contributed 1 percent to the average meat fat intake.

The Center for Disease Control (CDC) (1994) used data from NHANES III to calculate daily total food energy intake (TFEI), total dietary fat intake, and saturated fat intake for the U.S. population during 1988 to 1991. The sample population comprised 20,277 individuals ages 2 months and above, of which 14,001 respondents (73 percent response rate) provided dietary information based on a 24 -hour recall. TFEI was defined as "all nutrients (i.e., protein, fat, carbohydrate, and alcohol) derived from consumption of foods and beverages (excluding plain drinking water) measured in kilocalories (kcal)." Total dietary fat intake was defined as "all fat (i.e., saturated and unsaturated) derived from consumption of foods and beverages measured in grams."

CDC (1994) estimated and provided data on the mean daily TFEI and the mean percentages of TFEI from total dietary fat grouped by age and gender. The overall mean daily TFEI was $2,095 \mathrm{kcal}$ for the total population and 34 percent (or 82 g ) of their TFEI was from total dietary fat (CDC, 1994). Based on this information, the mean daily fat intake was calculated for the various age groups and
genders (see Appendix 11A for detailed calculation). Table 11-27 presents the grams of fat per day obtained from the daily consumption of foods and beverages grouped by age and gender for the U.S. population, based on this calculation.

### 11.3. CONVERSION BETWEEN AS CONSUMED AND DRY WEIGHT INTAKE RATES

As noted previously, intake rates may be reported in terms of units as consumed or units of dry weight. It is essential that exposure assessors be aware of this difference so that they may ensure consistency between the units used for intake rates and those used for concentration data (i.e., if the unit of food consumption is grams dry weight/day, then the unit for the amount of pollutant in the food should be grams dry weight). If necessary, as consumed intake rates may be converted to dry weight intake rates using the moisture content percentages of meat, poultry and dairy products presented in Table 11-28 and the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}}=\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}} *[(100-\mathrm{W}) / 100] \tag{Eqn.11-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dry weight" intake rates may be converted to "as consumed" rates by using:
$\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}}=\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}} /[(100-\mathrm{W}) / 100]$
(Eqn. 11-3)
where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}} & =\text { dry weight intake rate; } \\
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}} & =\text { as consumed intake rate; and } \\
\mathrm{W} & =\text { percent water content }
\end{array}
$$

### 11.4. RECOMMENDATIONS

The 1989-91 CSFII data described in this section were used in selecting recommended meat, poultry, and dairy product intake rates for the general population and various subgroups of the United States population. The general design of both key and relevant studies are summarized in Table 11-29. The recommended values for intake of meat and dairy products are summarized in Table 11-30 and the confidence ratings for the recommended values for meat and dairy intake rates are presented in Table 11-31. Per capita intake rates for specific meat items, on a $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day basis, may be obtained from Tables 11-3 to 11-7. Percentiles of the intake rate distribution in the general population for total meat and total dairy are presented in

Tables 11-1 and 11-2. From these tables, the mean and 95th percentile intake rates for meats are $2.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day and $5.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, respectively. The mean and 95 th percentile intake rates for dairy products are $8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day and 29.7 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. It is important to note that the data presented in Tables 11-1 through 11-7 are based on data collected over a 3-day period and may not necessarily reflect the long-term distribution of average daily intake rates. However, for these broad categories of food (i.e., total meats and total dairy products), because they may be eaten on a daily basis throughout the year with minimal seasonality, the short-term distribution may be a reasonable approximation of the longterm distribution, although it will display somewhat increased variability. This implies that the upper percentiles shown here will tend to overestimate the corresponding percentiles of the true long-term distribution. Intake rates for the homeproduced form of these food items/groups are presented in Volume II, Chapter 13. It should be noted that because these recommendations are based on 1989-91 CSFII data, they may not reflect recent the most changes in consumption patterns. However, as indicated in Table 11-8, intake has remained fairly constant between 1989-91 and 1995. Thus, the 1989-91 CSFII data are believed to be appropriate for assessing ingestion exposure for current populations.
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|  | Table 11-1. Per Capita Intake of Total Meats (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{2} \\ & \underset{2}{2} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population <br> Group <br> Total <br> Age (years) | PercentConsuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2.146 | $0.014$ | 0 | 0.33 | 0.63 | 1.13 | 1.84 | 2.78 | 4.06 | 5.06 | 7.67 | 25.67 |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $N$ | 3 |
|  | <01 | 66.7\% | 2.867 | 0.187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.34 | 4.72 | 6.52 | 8.56 | 11.52 | 25.67 | $\pi$ | 8 |
|  | 01-02 | 95.6\% | 4.384 | 0.116 | 0 | 1.07 | 1.58 | 2.70 | 4.13 | 5.38 | 7.69 | 8.41 | 11.88 | 21.61 | त्ञ | $\underset{3}{ }$ |
|  | 03-05 | 97.5\% | 3.873 | 0.092 | 0 | 1.12 | 1.38 | 2.21 | 3.50 | 5.04 | 6.64 | 8.23 | 11.25 | 15.00 | 0 | 00 |
|  | 06-11 | 97.6\% | 3.011 | 0.052 | 0 | 0.66 | 1.02 | 1.80 | 2.78 | 3.98 | 5.12 | 6.08 | 8.38 | 11.68 | $3$ | 5 |
|  | 12-19 | 97.7\% | 2.078 | 0.034 | 0 | 0.42 | 0.67 | 1.19 | 1.99 | 2.79 | 3.49 | 4.40 | 5.95 | 8.28 | $2$ | $0$ |
|  | 20-39 | 97.9\% | 1.923 | 0.019 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.64 | 1.09 | 1.73 | 2.54 | 3.49 | 4.14 | 5.46 | 8.37 | $\frac{7}{2}$ | $\underset{y}{*}$ |
|  | 40-69 | 97.3\% | 1.700 | 0.017 | 0 | 0.36 | 0.59 | 1.03 | 1.58 | 2.20 | 2.95 | 3.47 | 4.73 | 7.64 |  | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
|  | $70+$ | 97.1\% | 1.531 | 0.028 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.89 | 1.42 | 2.03 | 2.73 | 3.20 | 4.28 | 6.63 | $\underset{0}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathfrak{Z} .$ | E |
|  | Fall | 97.1\% | 2.182 | 0.029 | 0 | 0.37 | 0.66 | 1.15 | 1.85 | 2.80 | 4.11 | 5.16 | 8.06 | 25.67 | $E$ |  |
|  | Spring | 95.8\% | 2.053 | 0.027 | 0 | 0.26 | 0.61 | 1.09 | 1.75 | 2.63 | 3.93 | 4.91 | 7.31 | 15.00 | $1$ |  |
|  | Summer | 96.3\% | 2.178 | 0.031 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.63 | 1.11 | 1.86 | 2.84 | 4.10 | 5.18 | 7.86 | 18.19 | $0$ |  |
|  | Winter | 96.4\% | 2.173 | 0.029 | 0 | 0.30 | 0.63 | 1.18 | 1.88 | 2.87 | 4.06 | 5.05 | 7.35 | 14.61 |  |  |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{2}{5}$ |  |
|  | Central City | 96.7\% | 2.163 | 0.028 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.59 | 1.09 | 1.79 | 2.82 | 4.14 | 5.22 | 7.97 | 25.67 |  |  |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 95.7\% | 2.168 | 0.028 | 0 | 0.30 | 0.63 | 1.15 | 1.90 | 2.79 | 4.04 | 5.12 | 7.69 | 14.61 |  |  |
|  | Suburban | 96.6\% | 2.126 | 0.021 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.64 | 1.13 | 1.84 | 2.74 | 4.03 | 4.94 | 7.31 | 15.00 |  |  |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 89.3\% | 2.233 | 0.131 | 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 1.10 | 1.86 | 3.23 | 4.49 | 4.66 | 6.86 | 8.13 |  |  |
|  | Black | 95.5\% | 2.434 | 0.053 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.15 | 1.94 | 3.02 | 5.03 | 6.14 | 9.87 | 25.67 |  |  |
|  | Native American | 86.5\% | 2.269 | 0.131 | 0 | 0 | 0.41 | 1.32 | 1.87 | 3.38 | 4.64 | 5.09 | 7.32 | 8.57 |  |  |
|  | Other/NA | 95.1\% | 2.628 | 0.109 | 0 | 0 | 0.65 | 1.40 | 2.29 | 3.34 | 4.90 | 6.03 | 11.25 | 11.25 |  |  |
|  | White | 96.9\% | 2.083 | 0.015 | 0 | 0.34 | 0.63 | 1.12 | 1.81 | 2.72 | 3.87 | 4.87 | 7.18 | 18.19 |  |  |
|  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 96.5\% | 2.204 | 0.029 | 0 | 0.44 | 0.69 | 1.21 | 1.85 | 2.82 | 4.08 | 5.05 | 7.86 | 21.61 |  |  |
|  | Northeast | 96.5\% | 2.148 | 0.033 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.67 | 1.16 | 1.89 | 2.75 | 3.98 | 4.99 | 8.27 | 15.00 |  |  |
|  | South | 96.7\% | 2.249 | 0.025 | 0 | 0.37 | 0.68 | 1.18 | 1.90 | 2.88 | 4.35 | 5.34 | 7.73 | 13.42 |  |  |
|  | West | 95.8\% | 1.903 | 0.030 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 0.92 | 1.60 | 2.54 | 3.69 | 4.57 | 6.64 | 25.67 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { NOTE: } \quad \begin{array}{r} \mathrm{SE}= \\ \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P} \\ \text { Source: } \\ \text { Based on } \end{array} \end{array}$ | rd error ile of the distr analyses of the | -91 CSFII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 11-2. Per Capita Intake of Total Dairy Products (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population <br> Group | Percent <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 97.1\% | 8.015 | 0.147 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 1.36 | 3.61 | 8.18 | 18.55 | 29.72 | 72.16 | 390.53 |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 01 | 89.6\% | 62.735 | 2.800 | 0 | 0 | 0.61 | 24.68 | 45.78 | 91.12 | 136.69 | 170.86 | 210.72 | 390.53 |
|  | 01-02 | 95.6\% | 26.262 | 0.743 | 0 | 2.69 | 8.19 | 15.22 | 23.48 | 36.13 | 45.72 | 55.07 | 69.42 | 108.95 |
|  | 03-05 | 97.5\% | 21.149 | 0.517 | 0 | 3.27 | 6.75 | 11.89 | 19.52 | 28.31 | 39.54 | 44.16 | 57.58 | 62.88 |
|  | 06-11 | 97.4\% | 13.334 | 0.264 | 0 | 1.81 | 3.54 | 6.72 | 11.88 | 18.58 | 25.38 | 28.76 | 39.60 | 62.55 |
|  | 12-19 | 97.9\% | 6.293 | 0.147 | 0 | 0.27 | 0.61 | 2.31 | 5.29 | 9.20 | 12.75 | 15.12 | 23.58 | 53.47 |
|  | 20-39 | 97.9\% | 3.618 | 0.062 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.95 | 2.64 | 5.04 | 8.15 | 10.64 | 17.23 | 43.31 |
|  | 40-69 | 96.9\% | 3.098 | 0.053 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.94 | 2.23 | 4.36 | 6.99 | 9.05 | 12.99 | 34.42 |
|  | $70+$ | 97.6\% | 3.715 | 0.104 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 1.46 | 3.03 | 4.93 | 8.03 | 9.63 | 16.49 | 26.33 |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 97.7\% | 8.262 | 0.286 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 1.32 | 3.53 | 8.31 | 20.16 | 32.71 | 75.83 | 351.48 |
|  | Spring | 96.8\% | 8.273 | 0.335 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.39 | 1.37 | 3.50 | 7.88 | 18.02 | 27.02 | 116.00 | 390.53 |
|  | Summer | 96.8\% | 7.561 | 0.257 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 1.37 | 3.51 | 7.93 | 18.01 | 30.86 | 64.95 | 347.93 |
|  | Winter | 97.1\% | 7.964 | 0.293 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 1.39 | 3.90 | 8.77 | 17.60 | 27.34 | 63.27 | 307.54 |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 97.2\% | 8.528 | 0.309 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 1.44 | 3.78 | 8.05 | 18.25 | 29.51 | 106.93 | 318.93 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 96.6\% | 7.224 | 0.261 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 1.08 | 3.34 | 7.82 | 17.28 | 24.70 | 59.17 | 390.53 |
|  | Suburban | 97.4\% | 8.058 | 0.209 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 1.42 | 3.61 | 8.45 | 19.50 | 32.04 | 69.42 | 351.48 |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 94.0\% | 8.730 | 1.264 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.63 | 3.86 | 7.23 | 21.62 | 36.16 | 72.01 | 124.26 |
|  | Black | 94.8\% | 7.816 | 0.498 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.64 | 2.49 | 7.29 | 17.28 | 27.78 | 116.00 | 347.93 |
|  | Native American | 88.9\% | 6.987 | 1.057 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.81 | 2.83 | 8.06 | 20.20 | 24.17 | 66.71 | 139.37 |
|  | Other/NA | 97.1\% | 10.727 | 1.002 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 1.03 | 4.15 | 11.28 | 34.64 | 40.33 | 121.50 | 166.48 |
| E | White | 97.7\% | 7.943 | 0.156 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.49 | 1.50 | 3.76 | 8.24 | 18.16 | 28.76 | 66.11 | 390.53 |
| In | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | Midwest | 97.3\% | 9.291 | 0.341 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 1.66 | 4.20 | 9.61 | 21.33 | 34.35 | 90.88 | 390.53 |
|  | Northeast | 97.2\% | 7.890 | 0.330 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.42 | 1.42 | 3.41 | 7.54 | 18.07 | 32.04 | 78.15 | 307.54 |
|  | South | 97.3\% | 6.926 | 0.225 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 1.01 | 3.10 | 7.49 | 15.86 | 25.76 | 54.94 | 347.93 |
| $\hat{9}$ | West | 96.7\% | 8.454 | 0.313 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.49 | 1.60 | 3.93 | 8.67 | 19.88 | 29.89 | 84.46 | 174.65 |
|  | NOTE: $\quad \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SE}= \\ & \mathrm{P}=\end{aligned}$ Source: Based on | d error le of the distri analyses of the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Population Group | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 91\% | 0.825 | 0.007 | 0 | 0 | 0.055 | 0.268 | 0.626 | 1.163 | 1.804 | 2.327 | 3.478 | 7.959 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<01$ | 64\% | 0.941 | 0.075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.488 | 1.417 | 2.536 | 3.205 | 5.776 | 7.959 |
| 01-02 | 93\% | 1.46 | 0.056 | 0 | 0 | 0.187 | 0.531 | 1.339 | 2.166 | 2.783 | 3.65 | 4.741 | 7.571 |
| 03-05 | 95\% | 1.392 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.506 | 1.162 | 1.905 | 3.163 | 3.573 | 5.908 | 6.769 |
| 06-11 | 95\% | 1.095 | 0.028 | 0 | 0.028 | 0.102 | 0.337 | 0.924 | 1.56 | 2.376 | 2.92 | 3.944 | 6.024 |
| 12-19 | 95\% | 0.83 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.032 | 0.114 | 0.3 | 0.654 | 1.204 | 1.775 | 2.192 | 3.108 | 4.508 |
| 20-39 | 94\% | 0.789 | 0.012 | 0 | 0 | 0.087 | 0.297 | 0.644 | 1.109 | 1.662 | 2.165 | 3.059 | 6.086 |
| 40-69 | 90\% | 0.667 | 0.011 | 0 | 0 | 0.031 | 0.221 | 0.536 | 0.977 | 1.458 | 1.76 | 2.474 | 4.968 |
| 70 + | 87\% | 0.568 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.151 | 0.427 | 0.817 | 1.324 | 1.651 | 2.62 | 4.02 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 92\% | 0.834 | 0.014 | 0 | 0 | 0.063 | 0.296 | 0.665 | 1.167 | 1.785 | 2.277 | 3.339 | 6.086 |
| Spring | 91\% | 0.797 | 0.014 | 0 | 0 | 0.046 | 0.254 | 0.595 | 1.132 | 1.788 | 2.295 | 3.531 | 7.959 |
| Summer | 90\% | 0.845 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0.045 | 0.254 | 0.605 | 1.187 | 1.887 | 2.519 | 3.707 | 7.085 |
| Winter | 92\% | 0.823 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0.066 | 0.272 | 0.636 | 1.157 | 1.767 | 2.271 | 3.266 | 7.571 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 91\% | 0.808 | 0.013 | 0 | 0 | 0.037 | 0.271 | 0.611 | 1.13 | 1.777 | 2.329 | 3.325 | 6.182 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 91\% | 0.841 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0.064 | 0.269 | 0.637 | 1.196 | 1.852 | 2.308 | 3.531 | 6.66 |
| Suburban | 92\% | 0.828 | 0.011 | 0 | 0 | 0.059 | 0.265 | 0.63 | 1.163 | 1.797 | 2.337 | 3.511 | 7.959 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 89\% | 0.895 | 0.072 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.228 | 0.694 | 1.251 | 2.065 | 2.444 | 3.135 | 5.862 |
| Black | 87\% | 0.665 | 0.019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.151 | 0.42 | 0.963 | 1.488 | 2.177 | 3.126 | 6.769 |
| Native American | 82\% | 0.995 | 0.088 | 0 | 0 | 0.016 | 0.182 | 0.73 | 1.299 | 2.338 | 2.825 | 4.958 | 6.66 |
| Other/NA | 90\% | 1.159 | 0.069 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.389 | 0.739 | 1.63 | 2.756 | 3.269 | 5.908 | 6.182 |
| White | 93\% | 0.833 | 0.008 | 0 | 0 | 0.068 | 0.284 | 0.651 | 1.18 | 1.784 | 2.28 | 3.41 | 7.959 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 92\% | 0.853 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.31 | 0.66 | 1.191 | 1.853 | 2.345 | 3.65 | 6.468 |
| Northeast | 93\% | 0.805 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0.054 | 0.253 | 0.595 | 1.136 | 1.816 | 2.352 | 3.41 | 6.769 |
| South | 90\% | 0.846 | 0.013 | 0 | 0 | 0.058 | 0.268 | 0.648 | 1.195 | 1.805 | 2.324 | 3.511 | 7.959 |
| West | 92\% | 0.775 | 0.016 | 0 | 0 | 0.039 | 0.235 | 0.562 | 1.105 | 1.73 | 2.226 | 3.219 | 6.66 |
| NOTE: $\quad \mathrm{SE}=$ Standard error <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ Percentile of the distribution <br> Source: Based on EPA's analyses of the 1989-91 CSFII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\underset{\substack{I I-I I \\ \boldsymbol{\partial b} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{d}}}}{ }$
Source: Based on EPA's analyses of the 1989-91 CSFII

| NO | Table 11-4. Per Capita Intake of Pork (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population <br> Group | Percent Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 90.2\% | 0.261 | 0.005 | 0 | 0 | 0.005 | 0.031 | 0.083 | 0.263 | 0.735 | 1.137 | 2.384 | 8.231 |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 01 | 63.0\% | 0.291 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.078 | 0.228 | 0.69 | 1.671 | 3.269 | 5.431 |
|  | 01-02 | 92.4\% | 0.492 | 0.041 | 0 | 0 | 0.033 | 0.071 | 0.182 | 0.424 | 1.525 | 2.633 | 3.633 | 6.94 |
|  | 03-05 | 95.0\% | 0.473 | 0.035 | 0 | 0 | 0.021 | 0.057 | 0.147 | 0.362 | 1.372 | 2.35 | 3.309 | 8.231 |
|  | 06-11 | 94.5\% | 0.352 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0.015 | 0.052 | 0.116 | 0.311 | 1.098 | 1.418 | 2.869 | 5.024 |
|  | 12-19 | 94.0\% | 0.27 | 0.013 | 0 | 0 | 0.012 | 0.039 | 0.09 | 0.289 | 0.742 | 1.118 | 2.699 | 5.157 |
|  | 20-39 | 92.5\% | 0.23 | 0.007 | 0 | 0 | 0.009 | 0.031 | 0.08 | 0.233 | 0.704 | 1.039 | 1.747 | 6.363 |
|  | 40-69 | 88.3\% | 0.212 | 0.007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 | 0.068 | 0.242 | 0.613 | 0.915 | 1.865 | 4.342 |
|  | 70 + | 86.5\% | 0.207 | 0.011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.016 | 0.061 | 0.223 | 0.667 | 0.924 | 1.74 | 3.035 |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 91.9\% | 0.254 | 0.008 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.037 | 0.098 | 0.267 | 0.723 | 1.045 | 2.118 | 5.338 |
|  | Spring | 88.8\% | 0.264 | 0.009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.027 | 0.076 | 0.265 | 0.728 | 1.19 | 2.762 | 6.94 |
|  | Summer | 89.4\% | 0.245 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.027 | 0.072 | 0.22 | 0.688 | 1.097 | 2.43 | 8.231 |
|  | Winter | 90.6\% | 0.279 | 0.009 | 0 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.032 | 0.084 | 0.3 | 0.819 | 1.195 | 2.608 | 5.946 |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 89.5\% | 0.258 | 0.009 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.027 | 0.076 | 0.235 | 0.736 | 1.085 | 2.699 | 6.94 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 90.3\% | 0.299 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.007 | 0.038 | 0.099 | 0.324 | 0.863 | 1.212 | 2.808 | 8.231 |
|  | Suburban | 90.6\% | 0.244 | 0.006 | 0 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.03 | 0.078 | 0.253 | 0.678 | 1.098 | 2.269 | 5.946 |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 85.9\% | 0.256 | 0.049 | 0 | 0 | 0.003 | 0.027 | 0.057 | 0.192 | 0.72 | 1.157 | 2.487 | 3.966 |
|  | Black | 89.2\% | 0.418 | 0.019 | 0 | 0 | 0.002 | 0.035 | 0.123 | 0.48 | 1.19 | 2.108 | 3.178 | 8.231 |
|  | Native American | 83.6\% | 0.188 | 0.024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.027 | 0.08 | 0.179 | 0.473 | 0.889 | 1.317 | 1.662 |
|  | Other/NA | 88.3\% | 0.191 | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.027 | 0.075 | 0.183 | 0.48 | 0.845 | 1.638 | 5.252 |
| $8$ | White | 90.6\% | 0.241 | 0.005 | 0 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.031 | 0.081 | 0.249 | 0.685 | 1.061 | 2.035 | 5.946 |
| ${ }_{5}^{2}$ | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\hat{0}$ | Midwest | 91.3\% | 0.284 | 0.009 | 0 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.034 | 0.095 | 0.318 | 0.776 | 1.113 | 2.487 | 6.362 |
| 3 | Northeast | 90.4\% | 0.236 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.005 | 0.027 | 0.071 | 0.227 | 0.699 | 1.064 | 2.11 | 5.338 |
|  | South | 89.5\% | 0.283 | 0.008 | 0 | 0 | 0.005 | 0.032 | 0.09 | 0.281 | 0.802 | 1.212 | 2.769 | 8.231 |
|  | West | 89.7\% | 0.22 | 0.009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.028 | 0.072 | 0.198 | 0.59 | 1.009 | 1.944 | 5.946 |
|  | $\begin{array}{lr} \hline \text { NOTE: } & \mathrm{SE}=\mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Pe} \\ \text { Source: Based on } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | error <br> of the distrib analyses of the | 9-91 C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]
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| Food Product | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77-78 Data } \\ & \text { (g-day) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87-88 Data } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \text { day }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 89-91 Data } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | 94 Data (g/day) | 95 Data (g/day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beef | 52 | 32 | 26 | 24 | 27 |
| Poultry | 25 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 24 |
| Meat Mixtures ${ }^{1}$ | 69 | 86 | 90 | 95 | 104 |
| Dairy Products ${ }^{2}$ | 314 | 290 | 286 | 277 | 284 |
| Includes mixtures having meat, poultry, or fish as a main ingredient; frozen meals in which the main course is a meat, poultry, or fish item; meat, poultry, or fish sandwiches coded as a single item; and baby-food meat and poultry mixtures. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Includes total milk, cream, milk desserts, and cheese. Total milk includes fluid milk, yogurt, flavored milk, milk drinks, meal replacements with milk, milk-based infant formulas, and unreconstituted dry milk and powdered mixtures. <br> Sources: USDA, 1980; 1992; 1996a; 1996b. |  |  |  |  |  |
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Chapter 11 - Intake of Meat and Dairy Products

| Table 11-9. Mean Per Capita Intake Rates for Meat, Poultry, and Dairy Products ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{d}$ as consumed) Based on All Sex/Age/Demographic Subgroups |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw Agricultural Commodity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Average Consumption (Grams/kg Body Weight/Day) | Standard Error |
| Milk-Non-Fat Solids | 0.9033354 | 0.0134468 |
| Milk-Non-Fat Solids (Food additive) | 0.9033354 | 0.0134468 |
| Milk-Fat Solids | 0.4297199 | 0.0060264 |
| Milk-Fat Solids (Food additive) | 0.4297199 | 0.0060264 |
| Milk Sugar (Lactose) | 0.0374270 | 0.0033996 |
| Beef-Meat Byproducts | 0.0176621 | 0.0005652 |
| Beef (Organ Meats) - Other | 0.0060345 | 0.0007012 |
| Beef - Dried | 0.0025325 | 0.0004123 |
| Beef (Boneless) - Fat (Beef Tallow) | 0.3720755 | 0.0048605 |
| Beef (Organ Meats) - Kidney | 0.0004798 | 0.0003059 |
| Beef (Organ Meats) - Liver | 0.0206980 | 0.0014002 |
| Beef (Boneless) - Lean (w/o Removeable Fat) | 1.1619987 | 0.0159453 |
| Goat-Meat Byproducts | 0.0000000 | NA |
| Goat (Organ Meats) - Other | 0.0000000 | NA |
| Goat (Boneless) - Fat | 0.0000397 | 0.0000238 |
| Goat (Organ Meats) - Kidney | 0.0000000 | NA |
| Goat (Organ Meats) - Liver | 0.0000000 | NA |
| Goat (Boneless) - Lean (w/o Removeable Fat) | 0.0001891 | 0.0001139 |
| Horse | 0.0000000 | NA |
| Rabbit | 0.0014207 | 0.00003544 |
| Sheep - Meat Byproducts | 0.0000501 | 0.0000381 |
| Sheep (Organ Meats) - Other | 0.0000109 | 0.0000197 |
| Sheep (Boneless) - Fat | 0.0042966 | 0.0005956 |
| Sheep (Organ Meats) - Kidney | 0.0000090 | 0.0000079 |
| Sheep (Organ Meats) - Liver | 0.0000000 | NA |
| Sheep (Boneless) - Lean (w/o Removeable Fat) | 0.0124842 | 0.0015077 |
| Pork - Meat Byproducts | 0.0250792 | 0.0022720 |
| Pork (Organ Meats) - Other | 0.0038496 | 0.0003233 |
| Pork (Boneless) - Fat (Including Lard) | 0.2082022 | 0.0032032 |
| Pork (Organ Meats) - Kidney | 0.0000168 | 0.0000106 |
| Pork (Organ Meats) - Liver | 0.0048194 | 0.0004288 |
| Pork (Boneless) - Lean (w/o Removeable Fat) | 0.3912467 | 0.0060683 |
| Meat, Game | 0.0063507 | 0.0010935 |
| Turkey - Byproducts | 0.0002358 | 0.0000339 |
| Turkey - Giblets (Liver) | 0.0000537 | 0.0000370 |
| Turkey - Flesh (w/o Skin, w/o Bones) | 0.0078728 | 0.0007933 |
| Turkey - Flesh (+ Skin, w/o Bones) | 0.0481655 | 0.0026028 |
| Turkey - Unspecified | 0.0000954 | 0.0000552 |
| Poultry, Other - Byproducts | 0.0000000 | NA |
| Poultry, Other - Giblets (Liver) | 0.0002321 | 0.0001440 |
| Poultry, Other - Flesh (+ Skin, w/o Bones) | 0.0053882 | 0.0007590 |
| Eggs - Whole | 0.5645020 | 0.0076651 |
| Eggs - White Only | 0.0092044 | 0.0004441 |
| Eggs - Yolk Only | 0.0066323 | 0.0004295 |
| Chicken - Byproducts | 0.0000000 | NA |
| Chicken - Giblets (Liver) | 0.0050626 | 0.0005727 |
| Chicken - Flesh (w/o Skin, w/o Bones) | 0.0601361 | 0.0021616 |
| Chicken - Flesh (+ Skin, w/o Bones) | 0.3793205 | 0.0104779 |
| NA = Not applicable <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Consumed in any raw or prepared form. <br> Source: DRES database (based on 1977-78 NFCS) |  |  |
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| Group Age (yrs.) | Total Meat, Poultry and Fish | Beef | Pork | Lamb, Veal, Game | Frankfurters, Sausages, Luncheon Meats, Spreads | Total Poultry | Chicken Only | Meat <br> Mixtures ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 and Under | 72 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 51 |
| 1-2 | 91 | 18 | 6 | (b) | 15 | 16 | 13 | 32 |
| 3-5 | 121 | 23 | 8 | (b) | 15 | 19 | 19 | 49 |
| 6-8 | 149 | 33 | 15 | 1 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 55 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-11 | 188 | 41 | 22 | 3 | 19 | 24 | 21 | 71 |
| 12-14 | 218 | 53 | 18 | (b) | 25 | 27 | 24 | 87 |
| 15-18 | 272 | 82 | 24 | 1 | 25 | 37 | 32 | 93 |
| 19-22 | 310 | 90 | 21 | 2 | 33 | 45 | 43 | 112 |
| 23-34 | 285 | 86 | 27 | 1 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 94 |
| 35-50 | 295 | 75 | 28 | 1 | 26 | 31 | 28 | 113 |
| 51-64 | 274 | 70 | 32 | 1 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 86 |
| 65-74 | 231 | 54 | 25 | 2 | 22 | 29 | 26 | 72 |
| 75 and Over | 196 | 41 | 39 | 7 | 19 | 28 | 25 | 54 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-11 | 162 | 38 | 17 | 1 | 20 | 27 | 23 | 55 |
| 12-14 | 176 | 47 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 23 | 22 | 61 |
| 15-18 | 180 | 46 | 14 | 2 | 16 | 28 | 27 | 61 |
| 19-22 | 184 | 52 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 26 | 24 | 61 |
| 23-34 | 183 | 48 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 24 | 22 | 66 |
| 35-50 | 187 | 49 | 19 | 2 | 14 | 24 | 21 | 63 |
| 51-64 | 187 | 52 | 19 | 2 | 12 | 26 | 24 | 60 |
| 65-74 | 159 | 34 | 21 | 4 | 12 | 30 | 25 | 47 |
| 75 and Over | 134 | 31 | 17 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 16 | 49 |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 207 | 54 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 27 | 24 | 72 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Based on USDA Nationwide Food Consumption Survey 1977-78 data for one day. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Less than $0.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day but more than 0 . <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes mixtures containing meat, poultry, or fish as a main ingredient. <br> Source: USDA, 1980. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 11-11. Mean Meat Intakes Per Individual in a Day, by Sex and Age (g/day as consumed) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for 1987-1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group Age (yrs.) | Total Meat, Poultry, and Fish | Beef | Pork | Lamb, Veal, Game | Frankfurters, Sausages, Luncheon Meats | Total Poultry | Chicken Only | Meat <br> Mixturess ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 and Under | 92 | 10 | 9 | $<0.5$ | 11 | 14 | 12 | 39 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 156 | 22 | 14 | <0.5 | 13 | 27 | 24 | 74 |
| 12-19 | 252 | 38 | 17 | 1 | 20 | 27 | 20 | 142 |
| 20 and over | 250 | 44 | 19 | 23 | 2 | 31 | 25 | 108 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 151 | 26 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 20 | 17 | 74 |
| 12-19 | 169 | 31 | 10 | $<0.5$ | 18 | 17 | 13 | 80 |
| 20 and over | 170 | 29 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 24 | 18 | 73 |
| All individuals | 193 | 32 | 14 | 1 | 17 | 26 | 20 | 86 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on USDA Nationwide Food Consumption Survey 1987-88 data for one day.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes mixtures containing meat, poultry, or fish as a main ingredient.
Source: USDA, 1992.
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|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Group Age (yrs.) | Total Fluid Milk | Whole Milk | Lowfat/Skim Milk | Cheese | Eggs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 and under | 347 | 177 | 129 | 7 | 11 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 439 | 224 | 159 | 10 | 17 |
| 12-19 | 392 | 183 | 168 | 12 | 17 |
| 20 and over | 202 | 88 | 94 | 17 | 27 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 310 | 135 | 135 | 9 | 14 |
| 12-19 | 260 | 124 | 114 | 12 | 18 |
| 20 and over | 148 | 55 | 81 | 15 | 17 |
| All individuals | 224 | 99 | 102 | 14 | 20 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on USDA Nationwide Food Consumption Survey 1987-88 data for one day. Source: USDA, 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |



| Table 11-16. Mean and Standard Error for the Dietary Intake of Food Sub Classes Per Capita by Age (g/day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (yrs.) | Fresh Cows' Milk | Other Dairy Products | Eggs | Beef | Pork | Poultry | Other Meat |
| All Ages | $253.5 \pm 4.9$ | $55.1 \pm 1.2$ | $26.9 \pm 0.5$ | $87.6 \pm 1.1$ | $28.2 \pm 0.6$ | $31.3 \pm 0.8$ | $25.1 \pm 0.4$ |
| <1 | $272.0 \pm 31.9$ | $296.7 \pm 7.6$ | $4.9 \pm 3.2$ | $18.4 \pm 7.4$ | $5.8 \pm 3.6$ | $18.4 \pm 4.9$ | $2.6 \pm 2.8$ |
| 1-4 | $337.3 \pm 15.6$ | $41.0 \pm 3.7$ | $19.8 \pm 1.6$ | $42.2 \pm 3.7$ | $13.6 \pm 1.8$ | $19.0 \pm 2.4$ | $17.6 \pm 1.4$ |
| 5-9 | $446.2 \pm 13.1$ | $47.3 \pm 3.1$ | $17.0 \pm 1.3$ | $63.4 \pm 3.1$ | $18.2 \pm 1.5$ | $24.7 \pm 2.0$ | $22.3 \pm 1.2$ |
| 10-14 | $456.0 \pm 12.3$ | $53.3 \pm 2.9$ | $19.3 \pm 1.2$ | $81.9 \pm 2.9$ | $22.2 \pm 1.4$ | $30.0 \pm 1.9$ | $26.1 \pm 1.1$ |
| 15-19 | $404.8 \pm 12.9$ | $52.9 \pm 3.1$ | $24.8 \pm 1.3$ | $99.5 \pm 3.0$ | $29.5 \pm 1.5$ | $33.0 \pm 2.0$ | $27.6 \pm 1.1$ |
| 20-24 | $264.3 \pm 16.4$ | $44.2 \pm 4.0$ | $28.3 \pm 1.7$ | $103.7 \pm 3.9$ | $29.6 \pm 1.9$ | $33.0 \pm 2.6$ | $28.8 \pm 1.5$ |
| 25-29 | $217.6 \pm 17.2$ | $51.5 \pm 4.1$ | $27.9 \pm 1.7$ | $103.8 \pm 4.0$ | $31.8 \pm 2.0$ | $33.8 \pm 2.7$ | $28.9 \pm 1.5$ |
| 30-39 | $182.9 \pm 13.5$ | $53.8 \pm 3.2$ | $30.1 \pm 1.4$ | $105.8 \pm 3.2$ | $33.0 \pm 1.5$ | $34.0 \pm 2.1$ | $28.4 \pm 1.2$ |
| $40-59$ | $169.1 \pm 10.5$ | $52.0 \pm 2.5$ | $31.1 \pm 1.0$ | $99.0 \pm 2.5$ | $33.5 \pm 1.2$ | $33.8 \pm 1.6$ | $27.4 \pm 0.9$ |
| $\geq 60$ | $192.4 \pm 11.8$ | $55.9 \pm 2.8$ | $28.7 \pm 1.2$ | $74.3 \pm 2.8$ | $27.5 \pm 1.3$ | $31.5 \pm 1.8$ | $21.1 \pm 1.0$ |


|  | US Population | Northeast | North Central | South | West |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy Products (Total) | $308.6 \pm 5.3$ | $318.6 \pm 10.4$ | $336.1 \pm 10.0$ | $253.6 \pm 8.4$ | $348.1 \pm 12.3$ |
| Fresh Cows Milk | $253.5 \pm 4.9$ | $256.1 \pm 9.7$ | $279.7 \pm 9.4$ | $211.0 \pm 7.8$ | $283.5 \pm 11.5$ |
| Other | $55.1 \pm 1.2$ | $62.5 \pm 2.3$ | $56.5 \pm 2.2$ | $42.6 \pm 1.9$ | $64.6 \pm 2.7$ |
| Eggs | $26.9 \pm 0.5$ | $23.8 \pm 1.0$ | $23.5 \pm 0.9$ | $31.0 \pm 0.8$ | $29.1 \pm 1.2$ |
| Meats (Total) | $172.2 \pm 1.6$ | $169.9 \pm 3.3$ | $176.9 \pm 3.1$ | $171.9 \pm 2.6$ | $168.6 \pm 3.9$ |
| Beef and Veal | $87.6 \pm 1.1$ | $82.3 \pm 2.3$ | $92.9 \pm 2.2$ | $84.0 \pm 1.8$ | $92.9 \pm 2.7$ |
| Pork | $28.2 \pm 0.6$ | $28.8 \pm 1.1$ | $29.6 \pm 1.1$ | $30.1 \pm 0.9$ | $22.1 \pm 1.3$ |
| Poultry | $31.3 \pm 0.8$ | $31.7 \pm 1.5$ | $26.6 \pm 1.4$ | $36.5 \pm 1.2$ | $28.9 \pm 1.8$ |
| Other | $25.1 \pm 0.4$ | $27.1 \pm 0.9$ | $27.8 \pm 0.8$ | $21.3 \pm 0.7$ | $24.7 \pm 1.0$ |
| NOTE: | Northeast = Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania. |  |  |  |  |
|  | North Central = Ohio, Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin, Michigan, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas. |  |  |  |  |
|  | South = Maryland, Delaware, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, and Oklahoma. |  |  |  |  |
|  | West = Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Utah, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Nevada, Washington, Oregon, and California. |  |  |  |  |
| Source: U.S. EPA, | U.S. EPA, 1984b (based on 1977-78 NFCS). |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 11-18. Consumption of Meat, Poultry, and Dairy Products for Different Age Groups (averaged across sex), and Estimated Lifetime Average Intakes for 70 Kg Adult Citizens Calculated from the FDA Diet Data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Produce | $\begin{gathered} \text { Baby } \\ (0-1 \text { yrs }) \end{gathered}$ | Toddler $1-6 \text { yrs) }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Child } \\ (6-14 \mathrm{yrs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Teen } \\ (14-20 \mathrm{yrs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adult } \\ (20-45 \mathrm{yrs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Old } \\ (45-70 \mathrm{yrs}) \end{gathered}$ | Estimated Lifetime Intake |
| g - dry weight/day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beef | 3.99 | 9.66 | 15.64 | 21.62 | 23.28 | 18.34 | 19.25 |
| Beef Liver | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 1.08 | 1.2 | 0.89 |
| Lamb | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.20 |
| Pork | 1.34 | 4.29 | 6.57 | 8.86 | 10.27 | 9.94 | 9.05 |
| Poultry | 2.27 | 3.76 | 5.39 | 7.03 | 7.64 | 6.87 | 6.70 |
| Dairy | 40.70 | 32.94 | 38.23 | 43.52 | 27.52 | 22.41 | 28.87 |
| Eggs | 3.27 | 6.91 | 7.22 | 7.52 | 8.35 | 9.33 | 8.32 |
| Beef Fat | 2.45 | 6.48 | 11.34 | 16.22 | 20.40 | 14.07 | 15.50 |
| Beef Liver Fat | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.25 |
| Lamb Fat | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.21 |
| Dairy Fat | 38.99 | 16.48 | 20.46 | 24.43 | 18.97 | 14.51 | 18.13 |
| Pork Fat | 2.01 | 8.19 | 10.47 | 12.75 | 14.48 | 13.04 | 12.73 |
| Poultry Fat | 1.10 | 0.83 | 1.12 | 1.41 | 1.54 | 1.31 | 1.34 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The estimated lifetime dietary intakes were estimated by: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| where IR = the intake rate for a specific age group. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1989 (based in 1977-78 NFCS and NHANES II data). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | Table $11-19$. Per Capita Consumption of Meat and Poultry in $1991^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Table 11-20. Per Capita Consumption of Dairy Products in 1991 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food Item | Per Capita Consumption $(\mathrm{g} / \text { day })^{\mathrm{j}}$ | Food Item | Per Capita <br> Consumption (g/day) ${ }^{i}$ |
| Eggs |  | Cheese |  |
| Farm Weight ${ }^{\text {b,e }}$ | 37.8 | American |  |
| Retail Weight ${ }^{\text {c,e }}$ | 37.3 | Cheddar | 11.2 |
|  |  | Other ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.5 |
| Fluid Milk and Cream | 289.7 | Italian |  |
| Plain Whole Milk | 105.3 | Provolone | 0.8 |
| Lowfat Plain Milk (2\%) | 98.1 | Romano | 0.2 |
| Lowfat Plain Milk (1\%) | 25.8 | Parmesan | 0.6 |
| Skim Plain Milk | 29.7 | Mozzarella | 9.0 |
| Whole Flavored Milk and Drink | 3.4 | Ricotta | 1.0 |
| Lowfat Flavored Milk and Drink | 8.5 | Other | 0.07 |
| Buttermilk (lowfat and skim) | 4.2 | Miscellaneous |  |
| Half and Half Cream | 3.9 | Swiss ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1.5 |
| Light Cream | 0.4 | Brick | 0.07 |
| Heavy Cream | 1.6 | Muenster | 0.5 |
| Sour Cream | 3.2 | Cream | 1.9 |
| Eggnog | 0.5 | Neufchatel | 0.3 |
|  |  | Blue ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 0.2 |
| Evaporated and Condensed Milk ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  | Other | 1.2 |
| Canned Whole Milk | 2.6 | Processed Products |  |
| Bulk Whole Milk | 1.4 | Cheese | 6.1 |
| Bulk and Canned Skim MilkTotal ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 6.2 | Foods and spreads | 4.7 |
|  | 10.2 | Cheese Content | 8.5 |
|  |  | Consumed as Natural | 22.6 |
| Dry Milk Products ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  | Cottage Cheese (lowfat) | 1.6 |
| Dry Whole Milk | 0.5 |  |  |
| Nonfat Dry Milk | 3.2 | Frozen Dairy Products |  |
| Dry Buttermilk ${ }^{\text {Total }}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0.3 | Ice Cream | 20.3 |
|  | 4.0 | Ice Milk | 9.2 |
| Dried Whey | 4.5 | Sherbet | 1.5 |
|  |  | Other Frozen Products ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 5.3 |
| Butter | 5.2 | Total ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 36.4 |
|  |  | All Diary Products |  |
|  |  | USDA Donations | 17.1 |
|  |  | Commercial Sales | 685.2 |
|  |  | Total | 702.4 |
| a All per capita consumption figures |  |  |  |
| population. For eggs, excludes shipments to U.S. territories, uses U.S. total population, July 1, which does not include U.S. territories. |  |  |  |
| b A dozen eggs converted at 1.57 pounds. |  |  |  |
| c The factor for converting farm weight to retail weight was 0.97 in 1960 and was increased 0.003 per year until 0.985 was reached in 1990 . |  |  |  |
| d Includes Colby, washed curd, Monterey, and Jack. |  |  |  |
| e Computed from unrounded data. |  |  |  |
| f Includes imports of Gruyere and Emmenthaler. |  |  |  |
| g Includes Gorgonzola. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Includes mellorine, frozen yogurt beginning 1981, and other nonstandardized frozen diary products. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {i }}$ I Includes quantities used in other dairy products. |  |  |  |
| j Original data were presented in lbs, conversions to g/day were calculated by multiplying by a factor of 453.6 and dividing by 365 days. Source: USDA, 1993. |  |  |  |
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|  | Table 11-22. Amount (as consumed) of Meat Consumed by Adults Grouped by Frequency of Eatings ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| Table 11-24. Percentage Lipid Content (Expressed as Percentages of 100 Grams of Edible Portions) of Selected Meat and Dairy Products ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product | Fat Percentage | Comment |
| Meats |  |  |
| Beef |  |  |
| Lean only | 6.16 | Raw |
| Lean and fat, $1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. fat trim | 9.91 | Cooked |
| Brisket (point half) | 19.24 | Raw |
| Lean and fat | 21.54 | Cooked |
| Brisket (flat half) |  |  |
| Lean and fat | 22.40 | Raw |
| Lean only | 4.03 | Raw |
| Pork |  |  |
| Lean only | 5.88 | Raw |
|  | 9.66 | Cooked |
| Lean and fat | 14.95 | Raw |
|  | 17.18 | Cooked |
| Cured shoulder, blade roll, lean and fat | 20.02 | Unheated |
| Cured ham, lean and fat | 12.07 | Center slice |
| Cured ham, lean only | 7.57 | Raw, center, country style |
| Sausage | 38.24 | Raw, fresh |
| Ham | 4.55 | Cooked, extra lean (5\% fat) |
| Ham | 9.55 | Cooked, (11\% fat) |
| Lamb |  |  |
| Lean | 5.25 | Raw |
|  | 9.52 | Cooked |
| Lean and fat | 21.59 | Raw |
|  | 20.94 | Cooked |
| Veal |  |  |
| Lean | 2.87 | Raw |
|  | 6.58 | Cooked |
| Lean and fat | 6.77 | Raw |
|  | 11.39 | Cooked |
| Rabbit |  |  |
| Composite of cuts | 5.55 | Raw |
|  | 8.05 | Cooked |
| Chicken |  |  |
| Meat only | 3.08 | Raw |
|  | 7.41 | Cooked |
| Meat and skin | 15.06 | Raw |
|  | 13.60 | Cooked |
| Turkey |  |  |
| Meat only | 2.86 | Raw |
|  | 4.97 | Cooked |
| Meat and skin | 8.02 | Raw |
|  | 9.73 | Cooked |
| Ground | 6.66 | Raw |
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|  | Table 11-25. Fat Content of Meat Products |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Meat Product |  |  |
| 3-oz cooked serving $(85.05 \mathrm{~g})$ | Total Fat | Percent Fat |
|  | $(\mathrm{g})$ | Content $(\%)$ |
| Beef, retail composite, lean only | 8.4 | 9.9 |
| Pork, retail composite, lean only | 8.0 | 9.4 |
| Lamb, retail composite, lean only | 8.1 | 9.5 |
| Veal, retail composite, lean only | 5.6 | 6.6 |
| Broiler chicken, flesh only | 6.3 | 7.4 |
| Turkey, flesh only | 4.2 | 4.9 |
| Source: National Livestock and Meat Board, 1993 |  |  |


| Table 11-26. Fat Intake, Contribution of Various Food Groups to Fat Intake, and Percentage of the Population in Various Meat Eater Groups of the U.S. Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Population | Heavy Meat Eaters | Medium Meat Eaters | Light Meat Eaters | Non-Meat Eaters |
| Average Fat Intake (g) | 68.3 | 84.5 | 62.5 | 53.5 | 32.3 |
| Percent of Population | 100 | 36 | 33 | 30 | 1 |
| Meat Group (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 41 | 44 | 40 | 37 | 33 |
| Bread Group (\%) | 24 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 25 |
| Milk Group (\%) | 12 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| Fruits (\%) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vegetables (\%) | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| Fats/oil/sweets (\%) | 13 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 17 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Meat Group includes meat, poultry, dry beans, eggs, and nuts. Source: National Livestock and MeatBoard, 1993. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 11-27. Mean Total Daily Dietary Fat Intake (g/day) Grouped by Age and Gender ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Age (yrs) | N | Mean Fat Intake (g/day) | N | Mean Fat Intake (g/day) | N | Mean Fat Intake (g/day) |
| 2-11 (months) | 871 | 37.52 | 439 | 38.31 | 432 | 36.95 |
| 1-2 | 1,231 | 49.96 | 601 | 51.74 | 630 | 48.33 |
| 3-5 | 1,647 | 60.39 | 744 | 70.27 | 803 | 61.51 |
| 6-11 | 1,745 | 74.17 | 868 | 79.45 | 877 | 68.95 |
| 12-16 | 711 | 85.19 | 338 | 101.94 | 373 | 71.23 |
| 16-19 | 785 | 100.50 | 308 | 123.23 | 397 | 77.46 |
| 20-29 | 1,882 | 97.12 | 844 | 118.28 | 638 | 76.52 |
| 30-39 | 1,628 | 93.84 | 736 | 114.28 | 791 | 74.06 |
| 40-49 | 1,228 | 84.90 | 626 | 99.26 | 602 | 70.80 |
| 50-59 | 929 | 79.29 | 473 | 96.11 | 456 | 63.32 |
| 60-69 | 1,108 | 69.15 | 646 | 80.80 | 560 | 59.52 |
| 70-79 | 851 | 61.44 | 444 | 73.35 | 407 | 53.34 |
| $\geq 80$ | 809 | 54.61 | 290 | 68.09 | 313 | 47.84 |
| Total | 14,801 | 81.91 | 7,322 | 97.18 | 7,479 | 67.52 |
| $\geq 2$ | 13,314 | 82.77 | 6,594 | 98.74 | 8,720 | 68.06 |
| Total dietary fat intake includes all fat (i.e., saturated and unsaturated) derived from consumption of foods and beverages (excluding plain drinking water). <br> Source: Adapted from CDC, 1994. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Volume II - Food Ingestion Factors
Chapter 11 - Intake of Meat and Dairy Products

| Food | Moisture Content Percent | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat |  |  |
| Beef | 71.60 | Raw, composite, trimmed, retail cuts |
| Beef liver | 68.99 | Raw |
| Chicken (light meat) | 74.86 | Raw, without skin |
| Chicken (dark meat) | 75.99 | Raw, without skin |
| Duck - domestic | 73.77 | Raw |
| Duck - wild | 75.51 | Raw |
| Goose - domestic | 68.30 | Raw |
| Ham - cured | 66.92 | Raw |
| Horse | 72.63 | Raw, roasted |
|  | 63.98 | Cooked, roasted |
| Lamb | 73.42 | Raw, composite, trimmed, retail cuts |
| Lard | 0.00 |  |
| Pork | 70.00 | Raw |
| Rabbit - domestic | 72.81 | Raw |
|  | 69.11 | Raw, roasted |
| Turkey | 74.16 | Cooked, roasted |
| Dairy Products |  |  |
| Eggs | 74.57 | Raw |
| Butter | 15.87 | Raw |
| Cheese American pasteurized | 39.16 | Regular |
| Cheddar | 36.75 |  |
| Swiss | 37.21 |  |
| Parmesan, hard | 29.16 |  |
| Parmesan, grated | 17.66 |  |
| Cream, whipping, heavy | 57.71 |  |
| Cottage, lowfat | 79.31 |  |
| Colby | 38.20 |  |
| Blue | 42.41 |  |
| Cream | 53.75 |  |
| Yogurt |  |  |
| Plain, lowfat | 85.07 |  |
| Plain, with fat | 87.90 | Made from whole milk |
| Human milk - estimated <br> from USDA Survey |  |  |
| Human | 87.50 | Whole, mature, fluid |
| Skim | 90.80 |  |
| Lowfat | 90.80 | 1\% |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on the water content in Source: USDA, 1979-1984. |  |  |


| Table 11-29. Summary of Meat, Poultry, and Dairy Intake Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Survey Population Used in Calculating Intake | Types of Data Used | Units | Food Items |
| KEY STUDIES |  |  |  |  |
| EPA Analysis of 1989-91 CSFII Data | Per capita | 1989-91 CSFII data; Based on 3-day average individual intake rates. | g/kg-day; as consumed | Distributions of intake rates for total meats and total dairy; individual food items. |
| RELEVANT STUDIE |  |  |  |  |
| AIHC, 1994 | Adults, Per Capita | USDA NFCS 1977-78 data presented in the 1989 version of the Exposure Factors Handbook that were analyzed by Finley and Paustenbach (1992). | g/day | Distribution for beef consumption presented in @Risk format. |
| EPA's DRES <br> (White et al., 1983) | Per capita (i.e., consumers and nonconsumers) | 1977-78 NFCS <br> 3-day individual intake data | g/kg-day; as consumed | Intake for a wide variety of meats, poultry, and dairy products presented; complex food groups were disaggregated |
| NLMB, 1993 | Adult daily mean intake rates | MRCA's Menu Census | g/day; as consumed | Intake rates for various meats by region and gender. |
| Pao et al., 1982 | Consumers only serving size data provided | 1977-78 NFCS <br> 3-day individual intake data | g ; as consumed | Distributions of serving sizes for meats, poultry, and diary products. |
| USDA, 1980; 1992; <br> 1996a; 1996b | Per capita and consumer only grouped by age and sex | 1977-78 and 1987-88 NFCS, and 1994 and 1995 CSFII <br> 1-day individual intake data | g/day; as consumed | Total meat, poultry and fish, total poultry, total milk, cheese and eggs. |
| USDA, 1993 | Per capita consumption based on "food disappearance" | Based on food supply and utilization data which were provided by National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), Customs Service reports, and trade associations. | g/day; as consumed | Intake rates of meats, poultry, and diary products; intake rates of individual food items. |
| U.S. EPA/ORP, 1984a; 1984b | Per capita | 1977-78 NFCS <br> Individual intake data | g/day; as consumed | Mean intake rates for total meats, total diary products, and individual food items. |
| U.S. EPA/OST, 1989 | Estimated lifetime dietary intake | Based on FDA Total Diet Study Food List which used 1977-78 NFCS data, and NHANES II data | g/day; dry weight | Various food groups; complex foods disaggregated |
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Table 11-30. Summary of Recommended Values for Per Capita Intake of Meat and Dairy Products and Serving Size
Mean $\quad$ 95th Percentile $\quad$ Multiple Percentiles $\quad$ Study

Total Meat Intake
$2.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day $\quad 5.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day $\quad$ see Table 11-1 EPA Analysis of CSFII 1989-91 Data
Total Dairy Intake
$8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day
29.7 g/kg-day
see Table 11-2
EPA Analysis of CSFII 1989-91 Data
Individual Meat and Dairy Products
see Tables 11-3 to 11-7
see Tables 11-3 to 11-7
see Tables $11-3$ to 11-7
EPA Analysis of CSFII 1989-91 Data
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| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | USDA CSFII survey receives high level of peer review. EPA analysis of these data has been peer reviewed outside the Agency. | High |
| - Accessibility | CSFII data are publicly available. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Enough information is included to reproduce results. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Analysis is specifically designed to address food intake. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | Data focuses on the U.S. population. | High |
| - Primary data | This is new analysis of primary data. | High |
| - Currency | Were the most current data publicly available at the time the analysis was conducted for this Handbook. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Survey is designed to collect short-term data. | Medium confidence for average values; Low confidence for long term percentile distribution |
| - Validity of approach | Survey methodology was adequate. | High |
| - Study size | Study size was very large and therefore adequate. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The population studied was the U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | Survey was not designed to capture long term day-to-day variability. Short term distributions are provided for various age groups, regions, etc. | Medium |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Response rate was adequate. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | No measurements were taken. The study relied on survey data. | N/A |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | 1 <br> CSFII was the most recent data set publicly available at the time the analysis was conducted for this Handbook. Therefore, it was the only study classified as key study. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | Although the CSFII was the only study classified as key study, the results are in good agreement with earlier data. | High |
| Overall Rating | The survey is representative of U.S. population. Although there was only one study considered key, these data are the most recent and are in agreement with earlier data. The approach used to analyze the data was adequate. However, due to the limitations of the survey design, estimation of long-term percentile values (especially the upper percentiles) is uncertain. | High confidence in the average; Low confidence in the longterm upper percentiles |
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Sample Calculation of Mean Daily Fat Intake Based on CDC (1994) Data

CDC (1994) provided data on the mean daily total food energy intake (TFEI) and the mean percentages of TFEI from total dietary fat grouped by age and gender. The overall mean daily TFEI was $2,095 \mathrm{kcal}$ for the total population and 34 percent (or 82 g ) of their TFEI was from total dietary fat (CDC, 1994). Based on this information, the amount of fat per kcal was calculated as shown in the following example.

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.34 \times 2,095 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\text { day }} \times \mathrm{X} \frac{\mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{fat}}{\text { day }}=82 \frac{\mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{fat}}{\mathrm{day}} \\
\therefore \mathrm{X}=0.12 \frac{\mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{fat}}{\mathrm{kcal}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where 0.34 is the fraction of fat intake, 2,095 is the total food intake, and X is the conversion factor from $\mathrm{kcal} /$ day to g fat/day.

Using the conversion factor shown above (i.e., 0.12 g -fat/kcal) and the information on the mean daily TFEI and percentage of TFEI for the various age/gender groups, the daily fat intake was calculated for these groups. An example of obtaining the grams of fat from the daily TFEI ( $1,591 \mathrm{kcal} /$ day $)$ for children ages 3-5 and their percent TFEI from total dietary fat ( 33 percent) is as follows:

$$
1,591 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\text { day }} \times 0.33 \times 0.12 \frac{\mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{fat}}{\mathrm{kcal}}=63 \frac{\mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{fat}}{\text { day }}
$$
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## 12. INTAKE OF GRAIN PRODUCTS

Consumption of grain products is a potential pathway of exposure to toxic chemicals. These food sources can become contaminated by absorption or deposition of ambient air pollutants onto the plants, contact with chemicals dissolved in rainfall or irrigation waters, or absorption of chemicals through plant roots from soil and ground water. The addition of pesticides, soil additives, and fertilizers may also result in contamination of grain products.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (NFCS) and Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII) are the primary sources of information on intake rates of grain products in the United States. Data from the NFCS have been used in various studies to generate consumeronly and per capita intake rates for both individual grain products and total grains. CSFII 1989-91 survey data have been analyzed by EPA to generate per capita intake rates for various food items and food groups. As described in Volume II, Chapter 9 - Intake of Fruits and Vegetables, consumer-only intake is defined as the quantity of grain products consumed by individuals who ate these food items during the survey period. Per capita intake rates are generated by averaging consumer-only intakes over the entire population of users and non-users. In general, per capita intake rates are appropriate for use in exposure assessments for which average dose estimates for the general population are of interest because they represent both individuals who ate the foods during the survey period and individuals who may eat the food items at some time, but did not consume them during the survey period.

This Chapter provides intake data for individual grain products and total grains. Recommendations are based on average and upper-percentile intake among the general population of the U.S. Available data have been classified as being either a key or a relevant study based on the considerations discussed in Volume I, Section 1.3.1 of the Introduction. Recommendations are based on data from the 1989-91 CSFII survey, which was considered the only key intake study for grain products. Other relevant studies are also presented to provide the reader with added perspective on this topic. It should be noted that most of the key and relevant studies presented in this Chapter are based on data from USDA's NFCS and CSFII. The USDA NFCS and CSFII are described below.

### 12.1. INTAKE STUDIES

### 12.1.1. U.S. Department of Agriculture Nationwide Food Consumption Survey and Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals

The NFCS and CSFII are the basis of much of the data on grain intake presented in this section. Data from the 1977-78 NFCS are presented because the data have been published by USDA in various reports and reanalyzed by various EPA offices according to the food items/groups commonly used to assess exposure. Published one-day data from the 1987-88 NFCS and 1994 and 1994 CSFII are also presented. Recently, EPA conducted an analysis of USDA's 1989-91 CSFII. These data were the most recent food survey data available to the public at the time that EPA analyzed the data for this Handbook. The results of EPA's analyses are presented here. Detailed descriptions of the NFCS and CSFII data are presented in Volume II, Chapter 9 - Intake of Fruits and Vegetables.

Individual average daily intake rates calculated from NFCS and CSFII data are based on averages of reported individual intakes over one day or three consecutive days. Such short term data are suitable for estimating average daily intake rates representative of both short-term and long-term consumption. However, the distribution of average daily intake rates generated using short term data (e.g., 3-day) do not necessarily reflect the long-term distribution of average daily intake rates. The distributions generated from short term and long term data will differ to the extent that each individual's intake varies from day to day; the distributions will be similar to the extent that individuals' intakes are constant from day to day.

Day-to-day variation in intake among individuals will be great for food item/groups that are highly seasonal and for items/groups that are eaten year around, but that are not typically eaten every day. For these foods, the intake distribution generated from short term data will not be a good reflection of the long term distribution. On the other hand, for broad categories of foods (e.g., total grains) which are eaten on a daily basis throughout the year with minimal seasonality, the short term distribution may be a reasonable approximation of the true long term distribution, although it will show somewhat more variability. In this Chapter, distributions are shown for the various grain categories. Because of the increased variability of the short-term distribution, the short-term upper percentiles shown will overestimate somewhat the corresponding percentiles of the long-term distribution.

### 12.1.2. Key Grain Products Intake Studies Based on the CSFII

U.S. EPA Analysis of 1989-91 USDA CSFII Data EPA conducted an analysis of USDA's 1989-91 CSFII data set. The general methodology used in analyzing the data is presented in Volume II, Chapter 9 - Intake of Fruits and Vegetables of this Handbook. Intake rates were generated for the following grain products: total grains, breads, sweets, snacks, breakfast foods, pasta, cooked cereals, rice, ready-to-eat cereals, and baby cereals. Appendix 12A provides the food codes and descriptions used in this grain analysis. The data for total grains have been corrected to account for mixtures as described in Volume II, Chapter 9 Intake of Fruits and Vegetables and Appendix 9A using an assumed grain content of 31 percent for grain mixtures and 13 percent for meat mixtures. Per capita intake rates for total grains are presented in Tables 12-1. Table 12-2 through 12-10 present per capita intake data for individual grain products. The results are presented in units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ day. Thus, use of these data in calculating potential dose does not require the body weight factor to be included in the denominator of the average daily dose (ADD) equation. It should be noted that converting these intake rates into units of $\mathrm{g} /$ day by multiplying by a single average body weight is inappropriate, because individual intake rates were indexed to the reported body weights of the survey respondents. However, if there is a need to compare the intake data presented here to intake data in units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$, a body weight less than 70 kg (i.e., approximately 60 kg ; calculated based on the number of respondents in each age category and the average body weights for these age groups, as presented in Volume I, Chapter 7) should be used because the total survey population included children as well as adults.

The advantages of using the 1989-91 CSFII data set are that the data are expected to be representative of the U.S. population and that it includes data on a wide variety of food types. The data set was the most recent of a series of publicly available USDA data sets (i.e., NFCS 1977-78; NFCS 1987-88; CSFII 1989-91) at the time the analysis was conducted for this Handbook, and should reflect recent eating patterns in the United States. The data set includes three years of intake data combined. However, the 1989-91 CSFII data are based on a three day survey period. Shortterm dietary data may not accurately reflect long-term eating patterns. This is particularly true for the tails of the distribution of food intake. In addition, the adjustment for including mixtures adds uncertainty to the intake rate distributions. The calculation for including mixtures
assumes that intake of any mixture includes grains in the proportions specified in Appendix Table 9A-1. This assumption yields valid estimates of per capita consumption, but results in overestimates of the proportion of the population consuming total grains; thus, the quantities reported in Table 12-1 should be interpreted as upper bounds on the proportion of the population consuming grain products.

The data presented in this handbook for the USDA 1989-91 CSFII is not the most up-to-date information on food intake. USDA has recently made available the data from its 1994 and 1995 CSFII. Over 5,500 people nationwide participated in both of these surveys providing recalled food intake informatin for 2 separate days. Although the 2-day data analysis has not been conducted, USDA published the results for the respondents' intakes on the first day surveyed (USDA, 1996a; 1996b). USDA 1996 survey data will be made available later in 1997. As soon as 1996 data are available, EPA will take steps to get the 3-year data (1994, 1995, and 1996) analyzed and the food ingestion factors updated. Meanwhile, Table 12-11 presents a comparison of the mean daily intakes per individual in a day for grains from the USDA survey data from years 1977-78, 1987-88, 1989-91, 1994, and 1995. This table shows that food consumption patterns have changed for grains and grain mixtures when comparing 1977 and 1995 data. When comparing data from 1977 and 1995, consumption of grains mixtures and grain increased by 106 percent and 41 percent, respectively. However, consumption of grains has remained fairly constant when comparing values from 1989-91 with the most recent data from 1994 and 1995. Grain mixtures and grains increase 20 percent and 11 percent, respectively from 1989 to 1995. The 1989-91 CSFII data are probably adequate for assessing ingestion exposure for current populations, but these data should be used with caution.

### 12.1.3. Relevant Grain Products Intake Studies

The U.S. EPA's Dietary Risk Evaluation System (DRES) - USEPA, Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) EPA OPP's DRES contains per capita intake rate data for various grain products for 22 subgroups (age, regional, and seasonal) of the population. As described in Volume II, Chapter 9 - Intake of Fruits and Vegetables, intake data in DRES were generated by determining the composition of 1977/78 NFCS food items and disaggregating complex food dishes into their component raw agricultural commodities (RACs) (White et al., 1983). The DRES per capita, as consumed intake rates for all age/sex/demographic groups

combined are presented in Table 12-12. These data are based on both consumers and non-consumers of these food items. Data for specific subgroups of the population are not presented in this section, but are available through OPP via direct request. The data in Table 12-12 may be useful for estimating the risks of exposure associated with the consumption of the various grain products presented. It should be noted that these data are indexed to the reported body weights of the survey respondents and are expressed in units of grams of food consumed per kg body weight per day. Consequently, use of these data in calculating potential dose does not require the body weight factor in the denominator of the average daily dose (ADD) equation. It should also be noted that conversion of these intake rates into units of $\mathrm{g} /$ day by multiplying by a single average body weight is not appropriate because the DRES data base did not rely on a single body weight for all individuals. Instead, DRES used the body weights reported by each individual surveyed to estimate consumption in units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day.

The advantages of using these data are that complex food dishes have been disaggregated to provide intake rates for a variety of grains. These data are also based on the individual body weights of the respondents. Therefore, the use of these data in calculating exposure to toxic chemicals may provide more representative estimates of potential dose per unit body weight. However, because the data are based on NFCS short-term dietary recall, the same limitations discussed previously for other NFCS data sets also apply here. In addition, consumption patterns may have changed since the data were collected in 1977-78. OPP is in the process of translating consumption information from the USDA CSFII 1989-91 survey to be used in DRES.

Food and Nutrient Intakes of Individuals in One Day in the U.S., USDA (1980, 1992; 1996a; 1996b) USDA calculated mean per capita intake rates for total and individual grain products using NFCS data from 1977-78 and 1987-88 (USDA 1980; 1992) and CSFII data from 1994 and 1995 (USDA, 1996a; 1996b). The mean per capita intake rates for grain products are presented in Tables 12-13 and 12-14 for the two NFCS survey years, respectively. Table $12-15$ presents similar data from the 1994 and 1995 CSFII for grain products.

The advantages of using these data are that they provide mean intake estimates for various grain products. The consumption estimates are based on short-term (i.e., 1-day) dietary data which may not reflect long-term consumption.
U.S. EPA - Office of Radiation Programs - The U.S. EPA Office of Radiation Programs (ORP) has also used the

USDA 1977-78 NFCS to estimate daily food intake. ORP uses food consumption data to assess human intake of radionuclides in foods (U.S. EPA, 1984a; 1984b). The 1977-78 NFCS data have been reorganized by ORP, and food items have been classified according to the characteristics of radionuclide transport. The mean dietary per capita intake of grain products, grouped by age, for the U.S. population are presented in Table 12-16. The mean daily intake rates of grain products for the U.S. population grouped by regions are presented in Table 12-17. Because this study was based on the USDA NFCS, the limitations and advantages associated with the USDA-NFCS data also apply to this data set. Also, consumption patterns may have changed since the data were collected in 1977-78.
U.S. EPA - Office of Science and Technology - The U.S. EPA Office of Science and Technology (OST) within the Office of Water (formerly the Office of Water Regulations and Standards) used data from the FDA revision of the Total Diet Study Food Lists and Diets (Pennington, 1983) to calculate food intake rates. OST uses these consumption data in its risk assessment model for land application of municipal sludge. The FDA data used are based on the combined results of the USDA 1977-78 NFCS and the second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES II), 1976-80 (U.S. EPA, 1989). Because food items are listed as prepared complex foods in the FDA Total Diet Study, each item was broken down into its component parts so that the amount of raw commodities consumed could be determined. Table 12-18 presents intake rates for grain products for various age groups. Estimated lifetime ingestion rates derived by U.S. EPA (1989) are also presented in Table 12-18. Note that these are per capita intake rates tabulated as grams dry weight/day. Therefore, these rates differ from those in the previous tables because USDA $(1980 ; 1992)$ and U.S. EPA (1984a, 1984b) report intake rates on an as consumed basis.

The EPA-OST analysis provides intake rates for additional food categories and estimates of lifetime average daily intake on a per capita basis. In contrast to the other analyses of USDA NFCS data, this study reports the data in terms of dry weight intake rates. Thus, conversion is not required when contaminants are provided on a dry weight basis. These data, however, may not reflect current consumption patterns because they are based on 1977-78 data.

USDA (1993) - Food Consumption, Prices, and Expenditures, 1970-92 - The USDA's Economic Research Service (ERS) calculates the amount of food available for
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human consumption in the United States annually. Supply and utilization balance sheets are generated. These are based on the flow of food items from production to end uses. Total available supply is estimated as the sum of production (i.e., some products are measured at the farm level or during processing), starting inventories, and imports (USDA, 1993). The availability of food for human use commonly termed as "food disappearance" is determined by subtracting exported foods, products used in industries, farm inputs (seed and feed) and end-of-the year inventories from the total available supply (USDA, 1993). USDA (1993) calculates the per capita food consumption by dividing the total food disappearance by the total U.S. population.

USDA (1993) estimated per capita consumption data for grain products from 1970-1992 (1992 data are preliminary). In this section, the 1991 values, which are the most recent final data, are presented. Table 12-19 presents per capita consumption in 1991 for grains.

One of the limitations of this study is that disappearance data do not account for losses from the food supply from waste, spoilage, or foods fed to pets. Thus, intake rates based on these data may overestimate daily consumption because they are based on the total quantity of marketable commodity utilized. Therefore, these data may be useful for estimating bounding exposure estimates. It should also be noted that per capita estimates based on food disappearance are not a direct measure of actual consumption or quantity ingested, instead the data are used as indicators of changes in usage over time (USDA, 1993). An advantage of this study is that it provides per capita consumption rates for grains which are representative of long-term intake because disappearance data are generated annually. Daily per capita intake rates are generated by dividing annual consumption by 365 days/year.

### 12.1.4. Key Grain Products Serving Size Study Based on the USDA NFCS

Pao et al. (1982) - Foods Commonly Eaten by Individuals - Using data gathered in the 1977-78 USDA NFCS, Pao et al. (1982) calculated percentiles for the quantities of grain products consumed per eating occasion by members of the U.S. population. The data were collected during NFCS home interviews of 37,874 respondents, who were asked to recall food intake for the day preceding the interview, and record food intake the day of the interview and the day after the interview. Quantities consumed per eating occasion, are presented in Table 1220.

The advantages of using these data are that they were derived from the USDA NFCS and are representative of the U.S. population. This data set provides distributions of serving sizes for a number of commonly eaten grain products, but the list of foods is limited and does not account for grain products included in complex food dishes. Also, these data are based on short-term dietary recall and may not accurately reflect long-term consumption patterns. Although these data are based on the 1977-78 NFCS, serving size data have been collected, but not published, for the more recent USDA surveys.

### 12.2. CONVERSION BETWEEN AS CONSUMED AND DRY WEIGHT INTAKE RATES

As noted previously, intake rates may be reported in terms of units as consumed or units of dry weight. It is essential that exposure assessors be aware of this difference so that they may ensure consistency between the units used for intake rates and those used for concentration data (i.e., if the unit of food consumption is grams dry weight/day, then the unit for the amount of pollutant in the food should be grams dry weight). If necessary, as consumed intake rates may be converted to dry weight intake rates using the moisture content percentages of grain products presented in Table 12-21 and the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}}=\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}} *[(100-\mathrm{W}) / 100]
$$

(Eqn. 12-1)

Dry weight" intake rates may be converted to "as consumed" rates by using:
$\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}}=\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}} /[(100-\mathrm{W}) / 100]$
(Eqn. 12-2)
where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{dw}} & =\text { dry weight intake rate; } \\
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{ac}} & =\text { as consumed intake rate; and } \\
\mathrm{W} & =\text { percent water content }
\end{array}
$$

### 12.3. RECOMMENDATIONS

The 1989-91 CSFII data described in this section were used in selecting recommended grain, product intake rates for the general population and various subgroups of the United States population. The general design of both key and relevant studies are summarized in Table 12-22 The recommended values for intake of grain products are
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summarized in Table 12-23 and the confidence ratings for the recommended values for grain intake rates are presented in Table 12-24. Per capita intake rates for specific grain items, on a $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day basis, may be obtained from Tables 12-2 through 12-10. Percentiles of the intake rate distribution in the general population for total grains, are presented in Table 12-1. From these tables, the mean and 95th percentile intake rates for grains are $4.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day and $10.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, respectively. It is important to note that the data presented in Tables 12-1 through 12-10 are based on data collected over a 3-day period and may not necessarily reflect the long-term distribution of average daily intake rates. However, for the broad categories of foods (i.e., total grains, breads), because they may be eaten on a daily basis throughout the year with minimal seasonality, the short-term distribution may be a reasonable approximation of the longterm distribution, although it will display somewhat increased variability. This implies that the upper percentiles shown will tend to overestimate the corresponding percentiles of the true long-term distribution. It should be noted that because these recommendations are based on 1989-91 CSFII data, they may not reflect the most recent changes in consumption patterns. However, as indicated in Table 12-11, intake has remained fairly constant between 1989-19 and 1995. Thus, the 1989-91 CSFII data are believed to be appropriate for assessing ingestion exposure for current populations.
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|  | Table 12-1. Per Capita Intake of Total Grains Including Mixtures (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group | Percent Consuming | MEAN | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 97.5\% | 4.061 | 0.033 | 0 | 0.74 | 1.16 | 1.90 | 3.06 | 4.96 | 8.04 | 10.77 | 18.53 | 42.98 |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 01 | 80.4\% | 7.049 | 0.361 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.46 | 6.05 | 10.18 | 16.75 | 19.50 | 27.61 | 37.41 |
|  | 1-2 | 95.8\% | 10.567 | 0.285 | 0 | 2.86 | 4.34 | 6.55 | 9.59 | 14.06 | 18.92 | 21.57 | 28.22 | 42.98 |
|  | 3-5 | 97.5\% | 9.492 | 0.201 | 0 | 3.13 | 4.35 | 6.09 | 8.91 | 11.88 | 15.13 | 19.14 | 23.87 | 33.08 |
|  | 6-11 | 97.7\% | 6.422 | 0.117 | 0 | 2.14 | 2.88 | 4.07 | 5.70 | 7.82 | 10.26 | 12.85 | 21.40 | 31.93 |
|  | 12-19 | 98.2\% | 3.764 | 0.065 | 0 | 1.15 | 1.52 | 2.16 | 3.31 | 4.81 | 6.46 | 8.03 | 10.92 | 19.30 |
|  | 20-39 | 98.4\% | 3.095 | 0.035 | 0 | 0.70 | 1.08 | 1.75 | 2.73 | 4.00 | 5.47 | 6.55 | 9.57 | 25.71 |
|  | 40-69 | 98.3\% | 2.792 | 0.031 | 0 | 0.69 | 0.98 | 1.59 | 2.47 | 3.54 | 4.96 | 6.09 | 8.40 | 20.34 |
|  | 70 + | 98.7\% | 3.263 | 0.066 | 0.38 | 0.89 | 1.24 | 1.86 | 2.72 | 4.04 | 5.81 | 7.63 | 10.47 | 21.45 |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 97.9\% | 4.282 | 0.066 | 0 | 0.84 | 1.24 | 2.07 | 3.19 | 5.19 | 8.54 | 11.88 | 19.10 | 37.77 |
|  | Spring | 97.0\% | 3.983 | 0.071 | 0 | 0.70 | 1.10 | 1.79 | 2.95 | 4.73 | 7.78 | 10.52 | 23.87 | 31.93 |
|  | Summer | 97.5\% | 3.948 | 0.062 | 0 | 0.74 | 1.13 | 1.82 | 2.99 | 4.96 | 7.98 | 10.16 | 15.34 | 30.13 |
|  | Winter | 97.6\% | 4.031 | 0.063 | 0 | 0.70 | 1.17 | 1.95 | 3.17 | 4.99 | 8.00 | 10.48 | 16.86 | 42.98 |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 97.6\% | 4.159 | 0.061 | 0 | 0.75 | 1.13 | 1.91 | 3.06 | 5.07 | 8.71 | 11.61 | 17.69 | 37.77 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 96.9\% | 4.013 | 0.067 | 0 | 0.60 | 1.11 | 1.85 | 3.12 | 4.93 | 7.81 | 10.08 | 21.05 | 31.93 |
|  | Suburban | 97.8\% | 4.02 | 0.049 | 0 | 0.80 | 1.18 | 1.90 | 3.04 | 4.91 | 7.79 | 10.63 | 18.53 | 42.98 |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 94.0\% | 6.479 | 0.402 | 0 | 0 | 1.46 | 3.02 | 5.44 | 9.07 | 14.13 | 14.63 | 20.65 | 23.78 |
|  | Black | 96.9\% | 4.372 | 0.103 | 0 | 0.55 | 0.94 | 1.81 | 3.05 | 5.69 | 9.47 | 12.47 | 18.96 | 40.07 |
|  | Native American | 87.7\% | 3.98 | 0.276 | 0 | 0 | 0.61 | 1.63 | 3.67 | 5.81 | 6.90 | 9.00 | 20.43 | 21.84 |
|  | Other/NA | 97.1\% | 4.561 | 0.208 | 0 | 0 | 1.21 | 2.26 | 3.56 | 5.36 | 8.87 | 11.72 | 22.07 | 30.51 |
|  | White | 97.9\% | 3.962 | 0.035 | 0 | 0.79 | 1.18 | 1.90 | 3.03 | 4.80 | 7.79 | 10.20 | 18.07 | 42.98 |
| $2$ | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{3}{8}$ | Midwest | 97.3\% | 4.016 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.79 | 1.17 | 1.90 | 2.92 | 4.69 | 7.80 | 11.04 | 20.36 | 31.93 |
| 7 | Northeast | 97.6\% | 4.255 | 0.079 | 0 | 0.78 | 1.26 | 2.02 | 3.19 | 5.37 | 8.44 | 11.61 | 17.73 | 42.98 |
| ก | South | 97.9\% | 3.943 | 0.052 | 0 | 0.71 | 1.10 | 1.83 | 3.06 | 4.89 | 8.13 | 10.20 | 16.42 | 40.07 |
| $\frac{2}{2}$ | West | 97.2\% | 4.116 | 0.072 | 0 | 0.69 | 1.13 | 1.92 | 3.13 | 5.03 | 7.98 | 10.90 | 19.50 | 25.89 |
|  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes breads; s <br> Note: $\quad \mathrm{SE}=\mathrm{Stand} \mathrm{a}$ <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ Percentil <br> Source: Based on EP | such as cakes or he distribution nalysis of the | , and pastri <br> 9-91 CSFI | snack | reakfa |  |  | ; past |  | -to-eat, |  | als, rice | grain 1 |  |

$\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 8 \\ N & 08 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$


| Table 12-2. Per Capita Intake of Breads (g/kg-day as consumed) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group | Percent Consuming | MEAN | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
| Total | 91.6\% | 1.133 | 0.010 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.48 | 0.90 | 1.50 | 2.31 | 3.04 | 4.67 | 12.99 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 01 | 50.9\% | 1.072 | 0.102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.34 | 1.65 | 3.29 | 4.06 | 6.09 | 12.99 |
| 1-2 | 88.9\% | 2.611 | 0.089 | 0 | 0 | 0.44 | 1.17 | 2.39 | 3.86 | 4.68 | 5.42 | 8.23 | 10.29 |
| 3-5 | 91.9\% | 2.217 | 0.063 | 0 | 0 | 0.44 | 1.19 | 2.03 | 3.04 | 4.01 | 5.14 | 6.95 | 12.35 |
| 6-11 | 93.4\% | 1.668 | 0.037 | 0 | 0 | 0.40 | 0.88 | 1.44 | 2.18 | 3.16 | 3.98 | 5.95 | 9.17 |
| 12-19 | 91.8\% | 1.068 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.45 | 0.91 | 1.46 | 2.15 | 2.78 | 3.43 | 7.44 |
| 20-39 | 92.9\% | 0.936 | 0.012 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 0.81 | 1.27 | 1.81 | 2.27 | 3.41 | 7.04 |
| 40-69 | 93.7\% | 0.915 | 0.011 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.46 | 0.81 | 1.25 | 1.77 | 2.08 | 2.83 | 11.16 |
| 70 + | 95.1\% | 0.976 | 0.021 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 0.56 | 0.87 | 1.31 | 1.76 | 2.15 | 2.76 | 11.81 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 91.3\% | 1.181 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 0.94 | 1.57 | 2.45 | 3.16 | 5.27 | 11.81 |
| Spring | 91.4\% | 1.095 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.48 | 0.89 | 1.45 | 2.18 | 2.91 | 4.54 | 12.35 |
| Summer | 92.4\% | 1.126 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.48 | 0.90 | 1.51 | 2.24 | 2.98 | 4.43 | 9.17 |
| Winter | 91.2\% | 1.129 | 0.019 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 0.89 | 1.50 | 2.37 | 3.07 | 4.66 | 12.99 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 91.2\% | 1.127 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.49 | 0.91 | 1.50 | 2.33 | 2.98 | 4.50 | 11.81 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 91.7\% | 1.184 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.48 | 0.93 | 1.54 | 2.51 | 3.24 | 4.97 | 12.99 |
| Suburban | 91.8\% | 1.113 | 0.014 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.49 | 0.89 | 1.49 | 2.20 | 2.89 | 4.68 | 12.35 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 78.5\% | 0.981 | 0.078 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.34 | 0.86 | 1.51 | 2.57 | 2.61 | 3.34 | 3.34 |
| Black | 88.8\% | 1.159 | 0.030 | 0 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.37 | 0.84 | 1.55 | 2.59 | 3.29 | 5.58 | 8.94 |
| Native American | 81.3\% | 1.336 | 0.133 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.41 | 0.72 | 1.80 | 2.91 | 4.13 | 9.09 | 11.71 |
| Other/NA | 89.1\% | 1.333 | 0.067 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.62 | 1.11 | 1.70 | 2.66 | 3.79 | 6.16 | 9.98 |
| White | 92.5\% | 1.121 | 0.010 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.51 | 0.91 | 1.48 | 2.23 | 2.95 | 4.51 | 12.99 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 91.2\% | 1.109 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 0.90 | 1.49 | 2.22 | 2.91 | 4.43 | 7.97 |
| Northeast | 91.1\% | 1.104 | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.51 | 0.90 | 1.48 | 2.26 | 2.83 | 4.50 | 9.98 |
| South | 91.8\% | 1.155 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.46 | 0.92 | 1.54 | 2.41 | 3.13 | 4.89 | 12.99 |
| West | 92.1\% | 1.153 | 0.022 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.49 | 0.91 | 1.48 | 2.35 | 3.12 | 5.14 | 12.35 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes breads, rolls, muffins, bagels, biscuits, cornbread, and tortillas.
Note: SE = Standard error
$\mathrm{P}=$ Percentile of the distribution
$\mathrm{P}=$ Percentile of
Source: Based on EPA's analysis of the 1989-91 CSFII.

|  | Table 12-3. Per Capita Intake of Sweets (g/kg-day as consumed) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group | Percent Consumin | MEAN | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 50.2\% | 0.508 | 0.011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.71 | 1.50 | 2.12 | 3.96 | 13.39 |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 01 | 28.1\% | 0.447 | 0.096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.41 | 1.42 | 2.26 | 5.51 | 9.35 |
|  | 1-2 | 49.6\% | 1.144 | 0.111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.43 | 1.75 | 3.32 | 4.87 | 6.51 | 13.39 |
|  | 3-5 | 59.2\% | 1.139 | 0.079 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.56 | 1.82 | 3.01 | 4.33 | 6.78 | 9.25 |
|  | 6-11 | 63.7\% | 0.881 | 0.046 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.43 | 1.29 | 2.33 | 3.28 | 5.39 | 12.97 |
|  | 12-19 | 54.0\% | 0.511 | 0.030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.75 | 1.47 | 1.99 | 3.25 | 9.65 |
|  | 20-39 | 45.0\% | 0.383 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.59 | 1.24 | 1.66 | 2.48 | 7.45 |
|  | 40-69 | 49.1\% | 0.381 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.55 | 1.13 | 1.58 | 2.70 | 5.70 |
|  | 70 + | 56.3\% | 0.444 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.63 | 1.29 | 1.64 | 2.73 | 6.94 |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 52.9\% | 0.533 | 0.022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.76 | 1.55 | 2.21 | 3.82 | 13.39 |
|  | Spring | 48.3\% | 0.466 | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.65 | 1.36 | 1.82 | 3.58 | 9.35 |
|  | Summer | 48.5\% | 0.527 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.70 |  | 2.35 | 4.54 | 8.73 |
|  | Winter | 51.2\% | 0.508 | 0.022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 10.84 |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 45.3\% | 0.495 | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.65 | 1.55 | 2.12 | 4.24 | 9.94 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 52.3\% | 0.593 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.82 | 1.58 | 2.34 | 4.52 | 13.39 |
|  | Suburban | $52.4 \%$ | 0.477 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.69 | 1.42 | 2.00 | 3.55 | 9.65 |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian | 37.6\% | 0.515 | 0.101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.78 | 1.82 | 2.22 | 2.52 | 4.06 |
|  | Black | 39.3\% | 0.387 | 0.030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.46 | 1.20 | 1.71 | 3.51 | 9.67 |
|  | Native American | 33.9\% | 0.325 | 0.075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 1.47 | 1.48 | 2.44 | 3.78 |
|  | Other/NA | 32.3\% | 0.283 | 0.088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.64 | 1.45 | 3.04 | 9.94 |
| S | White | 53.2\% | 0.537 | 0.012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.77 | 1.55 | 2.17 | 4.09 | 13.39 |
| $0$ | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 53.0\% | 0.573 | 0.024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.79 | 1.65 | 2.41 | 4.00 | 12.97 |
| $\pm$ | Northeast | 55.9\% | 0.587 | 0.027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.83 | 1.63 | 2.21 | 4.60 | 13.39 |
| $\mathrm{i}$ | South | 47.5\% | 0.471 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.09 | 0.65 | 1.39 | 1.98 | 3.89 | 10.84 |
| $\frac{2}{3}$ | West | 46.7\% | 0.416 | 0.022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.55 | 1.25 | 1.91 | 3.33 | 9.65 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes cakes, cookies, pies, pastries, doughnuts, breakfast bars, and coffee cakes.
NOTE: SE = Standard error
$\mathrm{P}=$ Percentile of the distribution
Source: Based on EPA's analysis of the 1989-91 CSFII


| Table 12-4. Per Capita Intake of Snacks Containing Grain (g/kg-day as consumed) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group | Percent Consuming | MEAN | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
| Total | 40.3\% | 0.160 | 0.005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.47 | 0.78 | 1.74 | 6.73 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 01 | 31.4\% | 0.321 | 0.064 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.35 | 1.24 | 1.82 | 4.66 | 5.73 |
| 1-2 | 46.7\% | 0.398 | 0.040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.65 | 1.30 | 1.61 | 2.03 | 6.73 |
| 3-5 | 48.9\% | 0.393 | 0.034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.58 | 1.22 | 1.65 | 2.20 | 4.76 |
| 6-11 | 43.1\% | 0.269 | 0.023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.86 | 1.24 | 2.43 | 4.00 |
| 12-19 | 40.2\% | 0.170 | 0.016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 0.74 | 1.94 | 3.51 |
| 20-39 | 38.2\% | 0.123 | 0.007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.41 | 0.60 | 1.21 | 4.60 |
| 40-69 | 40.3\% | 0.104 | 0.006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 1.06 | 2.85 |
| 70 + | 40.9\% | 0.074 | 0.007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.70 | 1.47 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 41.6\% | 0.180 | 0.012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 0.87 | 1.99 | 6.73 |
| Spring | 38.3\% | 0.136 | 0.009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.43 | 0.67 | 1.29 | 3.43 |
| Summer | 37.5\% | 0.165 | 0.010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.52 | 0.86 | 1.72 | 5.73 |
| Winter | 43.9\% | 0.160 | 0.010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.44 | 0.76 | 1.77 | 4.60 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 36.5\% | 0.158 | 0.010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 0.81 | 1.81 | 3.70 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 39.8\% | 0.144 | 0.009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.44 | 0.66 | 1.32 | 4.76 |
| Suburban | 43.3\% | 0.169 | 0.008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 0.80 | 1.75 | 6.73 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 22.1\% | 0.077 | 0.035 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 1.09 | 1.34 |
| Black | 25.9\% | 0.107 | 0.014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.59 | 1.19 | 4.76 |
| Native American | 30.4\% | 0.142 | 0.050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.44 | 1.29 | 4.60 |
| Other/NA | 28.3\% | 0.139 | 0.026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0.69 | 1.27 | 1.91 |
| White | 43.7\% | 0.170 | 0.006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.49 | 0.81 | 1.80 | 6.73 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 45.2\% | 0.202 | 0.012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0.57 | 0.99 | 1.95 | 6.73 |
| Northeast | 35.8\% | 0.113 | 0.010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.61 | 1.28 | 5.73 |
| South | 39.8\% | 0.162 | 0.008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.46 | 0.80 | 1.63 | 4.76 |
| West | 39.4\% | 0.155 | 0.011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 0.76 | 1.81 | 4.60 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes grain snacks such as crackers, salty snacks, popcorn, and pretzels.
NOTE: SE = Standard error $P=$ Percentile of the distribution
Source: Based on EPA's analysis of the 1989-91 CSFII.


## 

Volume II - Food Ingestion Factors


| Table 12-6. Per Capita Intake of Pasta (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group | Percent Consuming | MEAN | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
| Total | 13.6\% | 0.233 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.90 | 1.60 | 3.67 | 24.01 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 01 | 7.3\% | 0.172 | 0.124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1.18 | 3.79 | 6.43 |
| 1-2 | 14.0\% | 0.569 | 0.212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.72 | 5.14 | 6.68 | 24.01 |
| 3-5 | 15.3\% | 0.543 | 0.142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.19 | 3.37 | 6.51 | 7.72 |
| 6-11 | 15.9\% | 0.338 | 0.063 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.47 | 2.35 | 3.43 | 7.72 |
| 12-19 | 14.3\% | 0.194 | 0.047 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.77 | 1.47 | 3.36 | 7.24 |
| 20-39 | 15.2\% | 0.232 | 0.027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.96 | 1.57 | 2.83 | 7.17 |
| 40-69 | 12.5\% | 0.172 | 0.028 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.62 | 1.32 | 2.67 | 10.20 |
| 70 + | 9.9\% | 0.083 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.76 | 1.57 | 2.62 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 14.0\% | 0.239 | 0.038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.94 | 1.72 | 3.77 | 24.01 |
| Spring | 13.9\% | 0.250 | 0.036 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.96 | 1.65 | 3.28 | 9.47 |
| Summer | 13.6\% | 0.251 | 0.039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.97 | 1.72 | 3.80 | 11.12 |
| Winter | 12.9\% | 0.193 | 0.034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.68 | 1.33 | 3.22 | 8.73 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 12.9\% | 0.197 | 0.034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.65 | 1.34 | 3.43 | 24.01 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 11.4\% | 0.171 | 0.032 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.63 | 1.33 | 2.48 | 11.12 |
| Suburban | 15.4\% | 0.286 | 0.028 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.12 | 1.96 | 3.92 | 10.20 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 18.8\% | 0.918 | 0.355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.70 | 3.80 | 5.78 | 6.51 | 10.20 |
| Black | 6.6\% | 0.138 | 0.054 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 3.27 | 5.14 |
| Other/NA | 8.6\% | 0.115 | 0.083 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1.16 | 2.43 | 3.86 |
| White | 15.1\% | 0.243 | 0.019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.94 | 1.65 | 3.46 | 24.01 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 12.8\% | 0.182 | 0.030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.74 | 1.24 | 2.76 | 9.46 |
| Northeast | 21.9\% | 0.367 | 0.043 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.47 | 2.14 | 4.62 | 24.01 |
| South | 9.2\% | 0.179 | 0.035 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 1.32 | 3.63 | 11.12 |
| West | 14.7\% | 0.252 | 0.038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.07 | 1.63 | 3.25 | 10.20 |

NOTE: $\quad \mathrm{SE}=$ Standard error
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { NOTE: } & \text { SE }=\text { Standard error } \\ & \mathrm{P}=\text { Percentile of the distribution }\end{array}$
Source: Based on EPA's analysis of the 1989-91 CSFII.

|  | Table 12-7. Per Capita Intake of Cooked Cereals (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group | Percent Consuming | MEAN | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total <br> Age (years) | 17.1\% | 0.441 | 0.035 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.37 | 2.79 | 8.18 | 28.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 01 | 17.9\% | 1.350 | 0.417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.17 | 8.60 | 20.47 | 24.16 |
|  | 1-2 | 23.6\% | 1.783 | 0.365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.39 | 7.00 | 9.41 | 14.84 | 28.63 |
|  | 3-5 | 21.2\% | 1.335 | 0.258 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.99 | 8.18 | 12.51 | 18.66 |
|  | 6-11 | 18.1\% | 0.669 | 0.142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.32 | 4.49 | 10.76 | 16.42 |
|  | 12-19 | 11.0\% | 0.156 | 0.065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.26 | 3.34 | 11.85 |
|  | 20-39 | 10.5\% | 0.166 | 0.040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.33 | 3.33 | 13.18 |
|  | 40-69 | 18.3\% | 0.307 | 0.036 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.30 | 2.20 | 3.97 | 18.23 |
|  | 70 + | 35.3\% | 0.782 | 0.079 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.08 | 2.71 | 3.80 | 7.37 | 10.03 |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 21.2\% | 0.573 | 0.066 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.90 | 3.71 | 9.15 | 28.63 |
|  | Spring | 15.8\% | 0.439 | 0.082 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.07 | 2.29 | 12.28 | 21.84 |
|  | Summer | 12.1\% | 0.288 | 0.069 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.55 | 1.98 | 5.37 | 24.16 |
|  | Winter | 19.1\% | 0.463 | 0.062 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.57 | 3.12 | 7.00 | 24.34 |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 19.3\% | 0.523 | 0.068 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.52 | 3.27 | 10.03 | 28.63 |
|  | Nonmeropolitan | 20.0\% | 0.483 | 0.066 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.52 | 2.72 | 7.41 | 20.94 |
|  | Suburban | 13.9\% | 0.369 | 0.052 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.09 | 2.35 | 7.37 | 24.34 |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Black | 30.3\% | 0.838 | 0.092 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.65 | 2.95 | 4.45 | 10.03 | 28.63 |
|  | NativeAmerican | 17.5\% | 0.372 | 0.196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.15 | 2.99 | 4.80 | 5.73 |
|  | Other/NA | 12.6\% | 0.510 | 0.293 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.12 | 3.18 | 7.60 | 20.94 |
|  | White | 15.1\% | 0.382 | 0.039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.11 | 2.32 | 7.38 | 24.34 |
| $8$ | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{E}$ | Midwest | 15.5\% | 0.507 | 0.083 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.39 | 3.01 | 10.32 | 21.85 |
| $\overrightarrow{0}$ | Northeast | 13.2\% | 0.395 | 0.093 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 2.73 | 7.02 | 24.34 |
| $2$ | South | 21.4\% | 0.396 | 0.044 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.40 | 2.48 | 5.53 | 28.63 |
| $2$ | West | 15.2\% | 0.483 | 0.086 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.45 | 3.12 | 9.41 | 16.47 |
|  | NOTE: SE = S <br>  $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Pe}$ <br> Source: Based | tandard error centile of the on EPA's anal |  | $-91 \mathrm{CS}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



$\begin{array}{ll}\text { NOTE: } & \text { SE }=\text { Standard error } \\ & \mathrm{P}=\text { Percentile of the distribution }\end{array}$
Source: Based on EPA's analysis of the 1989-91 CSFII.
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| Table 12-9. Per Capita Intake of Ready-to-Eat Cereals (g/kg-day as consumed ${ }^{\text {P }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group | Percent Consuming | MEAN | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
| Total | 45.6\% | 0.306 | 0.007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0.92 | 1.37 | 2.61 | 7.12 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 01 | 38.9\% | 0.431 | 0.059 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.64 | 1.55 | 1.94 | 3.40 | 4.40 |
| 1-2 | 70.7\% | 0.954 | 0.057 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.74 | 1.46 | 2.28 | 2.89 | 4.77 | 6.47 |
| 3-5 | 77.3\% | 1.026 | 0.044 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 | 0.83 | 1.48 | 2.35 | 2.99 | 3.67 | 5.65 |
| 6-11 | 69.0\% | 0.631 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.92 | 1.55 | 1.97 | 3.12 | 7.12 |
| 12-19 | 50.8\% | 0.317 | 0.019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.48 | 0.90 | 1.14 | 2.61 | 4.06 |
| 20-39 | 34.3\% | 0.174 | 0.010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0.61 | 0.88 | 1.51 | 5.11 |
| 40-69 | 37.1\% | 0.166 | 0.008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 1.32 | 3.36 |
| 70 + | 52.4\% | 0.222 | 0.013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.64 | 0.83 | 1.55 | 2.71 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 45.2\% | 0.293 | 0.014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.40 | 0.94 | 1.42 | 2.38 | 7.12 |
| Spring | 45.6\% | 0.320 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.44 | 0.95 | 1.42 | 2.69 | 5.88 |
| Summer | 46.6\% | 0.330 | 0.016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.99 | 1.42 | 2.82 | 5.65 |
| Winter | 44.8\% | 0.280 | 0.014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.81 | 1.22 | 2.61 | 6.47 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 46.6\% | 0.319 | 0.014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.43 | 0.94 | 1.42 | 2.86 | 5.11 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 43.6\% | 0.283 | 0.014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0.85 | 1.33 | 2.52 | 7.12 |
| Suburban | 46.0\% | 0.307 | 0.011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.44 | 0.93 | 1.36 | 2.46 | 6.47 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 33.6\% | 0.218 | 0.065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.24 | 0.81 | 1.28 | 2.79 | 3.12 |
| Black | 41.1\% | 0.269 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.40 | 0.82 | 1.16 | 2.50 | 4.46 |
| Native American | 38.6\% | 0.298 | 0.078 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.76 | 1.23 | 3.26 | 4.40 |
| Other/NA | 42.9\% | 0.340 | 0.050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.43 | 1.12 | 1.59 | 2.69 | 4.18 |
| White | 46.7\% | 0.311 | 0.008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0.94 | 1.39 | 2.61 | 7.12 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 48.7\% | 0.328 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.98 | 1.37 | 2.55 | 7.12 |
| Northeast | 46.9\% | 0.286 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0.89 | 1.33 | 2.70 | 6.47 |
| South | 41.4\% | 0.284 | 0.012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.40 | 0.81 | 1.26 | 2.34 | 5.88 |
| West | 47.7\% | 0.336 | 0.016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.46 | 1.05 | 1.47 | 2.84 | 5.11 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Incluldes dry ready-to-eat corn, rice, wheat, and bran cereals in the form of flakes, puffs, etc.
NOTE: $\quad$ SE = Standard error
$\mathrm{P}=$ Percentile of the distribution
Source: Based on EPA's analysis of the 1989-91 CSFII.


| Table 12-10. Per Capita Intake of Baby Cereals (g/kg-day as consumed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group | Percent Consuming | MEAN | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
| Total | 1.1\% | 0.037 | 0.051 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.57 |
| Age (years) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 01 | 28.5\% | 1.205 | 0.280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.64 | 4.59 | 6.94 | 16.99 | 22.57 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 1.1\% | 0.036 | 0.075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.69 | 14.94 |
| Spring | 1.1\% | 0.059 | 0.138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 16.99 |
| Summer | 1.0\% | 0.017 | 0.068 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.03 |
| Winter | 1.0\% | 0.035 | 0.107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.57 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 1.3\% | 0.048 | 0.088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.05 | 22.57 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 0.9\% | 0.011 | 0.040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.41 |
| Suburban | 1.0\% | 0.042 | 0.093 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.99 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 0.7\% | 0.017 | 0.137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
| Black | 2.1\% | 0.092 | 0.151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.59 | 22.57 |
| Native American | 1.2\% | 0.010 | 0.088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.63 |
| Other/NA | 3.1\% | 0.050 | 0.133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.94 | 13.42 |
| White | 0.8\% | 0.029 | 0.059 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.99 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 1.1\% | 0.020 | 0.050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.50 |
| Northeast | 1.0\% | 0.084 | 0.208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.25 | 16.99 |
| South | 1.0\% | 0.016 | 0.060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.57 |
| West | 1.1\% | 0.046 | 0.101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.18 | 10.18 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data presented only for children less than 1 year of age. Available data for other age groups was based on a very small number of observations
NOTE: $\quad \mathrm{SE}=\mathrm{Standard}$ error
$\mathrm{P}=$ Percentile of the distribution
Source: Based on EPA's analysis of the 1989-91 CSFII
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| Table 12-11. Mean Daily Intakes of Grains Per Individual in a Day for USDA 1977-78, 87-88, 89-91, 94, and 95 Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food Product | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77-78 Data } \\ & \text { (g/day) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 87-88 Data } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89-91 Data } \\ & \text { (g/day) } \end{aligned}$ | 94 Data (g/day) | 95 Data (g/day) |
| Grains | 215 | 237 | 273 | 300 | 303 |
| Grains Mixtures | 52 | 72 | 89 | 112 | 107 |

Source: USDA, 1980; 1992; 1996a; 1996 b.

|  | Table 12-12. Mean Per Capita Intake Rates for Grains Based on All Sex/Age/Demographic Subgroups |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Group Age (years) | Total Grains | Breads, Rolls, Biscuits | Other Baked Goods | Cereals, Pasta | Mixtures, Mainly Grain ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1 | 42 | 4 | 5 | 30 | 3 |
| 1-2 | 158 | 27 | 24 | 44 | 63 |
| 3-5 | 181 | 46 | 37 | 54 | 45 |
| 6-8 | 206 | 53 | 56 | 60 | 38 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-11 | 238 | 67 | 56 | 51 | 64 |
| 12-14 | 288 | 76 | 80 | 57 | 74 |
| 15-18 | 303 | 91 | 77 | 53 | 82 |
| 19-22 | 253 | 84 | 53 | 64 | 52 |
| 23-34 | 256 | 82 | 60 | 40 | 74 |
| 35-50 | 234 | 82 | 58 | 44 | 50 |
| 51-64 | 229 | 78 | 57 | 48 | 46 |
| 65-74 | 235 | 71 | 60 | 69 | 35 |
| 75 and Over | 196 | 70 | 50 | 58 | 19 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-11 | 214 | 58 | 59 | 44 | 53 |
| 12-14 | 235 | 57 | 61 | 45 | 72 |
| 15-18 | 196 | 57 | 43 | 41 | 55 |
| 19-22 | 161 | 44 | 36 | 33 | 48 |
| 23-34 | 163 | 49 | 38 | 32 | 44 |
| 35-50 | 161 | 49 | 37 | 32 | 43 |
| 51-64 | 155 | 52 | 40 | 36 | 27 |
| 65-74 | 175 | 57 | 42 | 47 | 29 |
| 75 and Over | 178 | 54 | 44 | 58 | 22 |
| Males and Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 204 | 62 | 49 | 44 | 49 |


| Group Age (years) | Total Grains | Yeast Breads and Rolls | Quick <br> Breads, Pancakes, French Toast | Cakes, <br> Cookies, <br> Pastries, <br> Pies | Crackers, <br> Popcorn, Pretzels, Corn Chips | Cereals and Pastas | Mixtures, Mostly Grain ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males and Females 5 and Under | 167 | 30 | 8 | 22 | 4 | 52 | 51 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  | 74 | 83 |
| 6-11 | 268 | 51 | 16 | 37 | 8 | 72 | 82 |
| 12-19 | 304 | 65 | 28 | 45 | 10 | 58 | 83 |
| 20 and Over | 272 | 65 | 20 | 37 | 8 |  |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 231 | 43 | 19 | 30 | 6 | 66 | 68 |
| 12-19 | 239 | 45 | 13 | 29 | 7 | 52 | 91 |
| 20 and Over | 208 | 45 | 14 | 28 | 6 | 53 | 62 |
| All Individuals | 237 | 52 | 16 | 32 | 7 | 57 | 72 |
| Based on USDA Nationwide Food Consumption Survey 1987-88 data for one day. Includes mixtures containing grain as the main ingredient. <br> Source: USDA, 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Group <br> Age (years) | Total Grains |  | Yeast Breads and Rolls |  | Quick Breads, Pancakes, French Toast |  | Cakes, Cookies, Pastries, Pies |  | Crackers, <br> Popcorn, Pretzels, Corn Chips |  | Cereals and Pastas |  | Mixtures, Mostly Grain ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1994 | 1995 | 1994 | 1995 | 1994 | 1995 | 1994 | 1995 | 1994 | 1995 | 1994 | 1995 |
| Males and Females 5 and Under | 213 | 210 | 26 | 28 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 23 | 8 | 7 | 58 | 57 | 89 | 84 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 285 | 341 | 51 | 45 | 15 | 21 | 42 | 46 | 12 | 18 | 66 | 97 | 101 | 115 |
| 12-19 | 417 | 364 | 53 | 54 | 30 | 21 | 54 | 43 | 17 | 22 | 82 | 84 | 180 | 138 |
| 20 and Over | 357 | 365 | 64 | 61 | 22 | 24 | 43 | 46 | 13 | 15 | 86 | 91 | 128 | 128 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | 260 | 286 | 43 | 46 | 16 | 21 | 37 | 51 | 11 | 14 | 57 | 54 | 94 | 100 |
| 12-19 | 317 | 296 | 40 | 37 | 16 | 14 | 39 | 35 | 17 | 16 | 63 | 52 | 142 | 143 |
| 20 and Over | 254 | 257 | 44 | 45 | 16 | 15 | 33 | 34 | 9 | 10 | 59 | 69 | 92 | 83 |
| All Individuals | 300 | 303 | 50 | 49 | 18 | 19 | 38 | 39 | 12 | 13 | 70 | 76 | 112 | 107 |
| Based on USDA CSFII 1994 and 1995 data for one day. <br> Includes mixtures containing grain as the main ingredient. <br> Source: USDA, 1996a; 1996b. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Age (years) | Breads | Cereals | Other Grains |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All ages | $147.3 \pm 1.4$ | $29.9 \pm 1.3$ | $22.9 \pm 1.7$ |
| Under 1 | $16.2 \pm 9.2$ | $37.9 \pm 8.2$ | $1.8 \pm 10.9$ |
| $1 \text { to } 4$ | $104.6 \pm 4.5$ | $38.4 \pm 4.0$ | $14.8 \pm 5.4$ |
| $5 \text { to } 9$ | $154.3 \pm 3.8$ | $39.5 \pm 3.4$ | $22.7 \pm 4.5$ |
| 10 to 14 | $186.2 \pm 3.6$ | $36.4 \pm 3.2$ | $25.6 \pm 4.2$ |
| $15 \text { to } 19$ | $188.5 \pm 3.7$ | $28.8 \pm 3.3$ | $27.8 \pm 4.4$ |
| $20 \text { to } 24$ | $166.5 \pm 4.9$ | $20.2 \pm 4.3$ | $25.0 \pm 5.8$ |
| $25 \text { to } 29$ | $170.0 \pm 5.0$ | $18.2 \pm 4.4$ | $26.6 \pm 5.9$ |
| 30 to 39 | $156.8 \pm 3.9$ | $18.8 \pm 3.5$ | $26.4 \pm 4.6$ |
| $40 \text { to } 59$ | $144.4 \pm 3.1$ | $24.7 \pm 2.7$ | $23.3 \pm 3.6$ |
| 60 and over | $122.1 \pm 3.4$ | $42.5 \pm 3.0$ | $19.3 \pm 4.0$ |
| Source: U.S. EPA, 1984a (based on 1977-78 NFCS). |  |  |  |
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|  | Table 12-17. Mean and Standard Error for the Daily Intake of Grains, by Region (g/day as consumed) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Table 12-18. Consumption of Grains (g dry weight/day) for Different Age Groups and Estimated Lifetime Average Daily Food Intakes for a U.S. Citizen (averaged across sex) Calculated from the FDA Diet Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  | Estimattiffẻtime |
|  | (0-1) | (1-5) | (6-13) | (14-19) | (20-44) | (45-70) |  |
| Wheat | 27.60 | 42.23 | 60.80 | 79.36 | 65.86 | 55.13 | 60.30 |
| Corn | 4.00 | 15.35 | 19.28 | 23.21 | 12.83 | 14.82 | 12.01 |
| Rice | 2.22 | 4.58 | 5.24 | 5.89 | 5.78 | 4.21 | 5.03 |
| Oats | 3.73 | 2.65 | 2.27 | 1.89 | 1.32 | 2.00 | 1.85 |
| Other Grain | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.41 | 0.73 | 13.45 | 4.41 | 6.49 |
| Total Grain | 37.56 | 64.82 | 87.58 | 110.34 | 90.59 | 76.12 | 84.19 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The estimat <br> Estim <br> where IR = th <br> Source: U.S. |  |  | $8 \mathrm{yrs} * \mathrm{IR}$ | $\frac{+6 \mathrm{yrs} *}{\text { ears }}$ | $-19)+25$ | $\mathrm{R}(20-44)$ | $(45-70)$ |
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|  |  |  |  | Table 12-19. Per Capita Consumption of Flour and Cereal Products in $1991^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Capita Consumption |  |  |  |
| Food Item | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |


| Table 12-20. Quantity (as consumed) of Grain Products Consumed Per Eating Occasion and the Percentage of Individuals Using These Foods in Three Days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food category | \% Indiv. using food in 3 days | Quantity consumed per eating occasion (g) |  | Consumers-onlyQuantity consumed per eating occasion at specified percentiles (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average | Standard <br> Deviation | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 |
| Yeast Breads | 93.7 | 46 | 26 | 21 | 25 | 44 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 140 |
| Pancakes | 8.3 | 113 | 85 | 27 | 54 | 81 | 146 | 219 | 282 | 438 |
| Waffles | 2.9 | 87 | 74 | 20 | 40 | 78 | 100 | 158 | 200 | 400 |
| Tortillas | 2.9 | 69 | 39 | 28 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 140 | 210 |
| Cakes and Cupcakes | 25.5 | 79 | 59 | 23 | 41 | 63 | 99 | 144 | 184 | 284 |
| Cookies | 30.8 | 32 | 30 | 7 | 14 | 26 | 40 | 60 | 84 | 144 |
| Pies | 11.9 | 129 | 60 | 57 | 97 | 120 | 150 | 195 | 236 | 360 |
| Doughnuts | 9.9 | 64 | 40 | 26 | 42 | 43 | 84 | 106 | 126 | 208 |
| Crackers | 26.2 | 22 | 21 | 6 | 12 | 15 | 24 | 42 | 57 | 113 |
| Popcorn | 5.6 | 19 | 22 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 18 | 36 | 45 | 108 |
| Pretzels | 2.2 | 29 | 28 | 3 | 12 | 21 | 36 | 57 | 85 | 160 |
| Corn-based Salty Snacks | 5.9 | 33 | 30 | 9 | 18 | 21 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 156 |
| Pasta | 11.4 | 153 | 108 | 35 | 70 | 140 | 210 | 280 | 320 | 560 |
| Rice | 18.5 | 147 | 91 | 41 | 88 | 165 | 125 | 263 | 350 | 438 |
| Cooked Cereals | 12.4 | 203 | 110 | 31 | 123 | 240 | 245 | 360 | 480 | 490 |
| Ready-to-Eat Cereals | 43.4 | 36 | 25 | 8 | 22 | 29 | 45 | 60 | 84 | 120 |
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| Food | Moisture Content (Percent) |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw | Cooked |  |
| Barley - pearled | 10.09 | 68.80 |  |
| Corn - grain - endosperm | 10.37 |  |  |
| Corn - grain - bran | 3.71 |  | crude |
| Millet | 8.67 | 71.41 |  |
| Oats | 8.22 |  |  |
| Rice - rough - white | 11.62 | 68.72 |  |
| Rye - rough | 10.95 |  |  |
| Rye - flour - medium | 9.85 |  |  |
| Sorghum (including milo) | 9.20 |  |  |
| Wheat - rough - hard white | 9.57 |  |  |
| Wheat - germ | 11.12 |  | crude |
| Wheat - bran | 9.89 |  | crude |
| Wheat - flour - whole grain | 10.27 |  |  |
| Source: USDA, 1979-1986. |  |  |  |
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| Table 12-24. Confidence in Grain Products Intake Recommendation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | USDA CSFII survey receives high level of peer review. EPA analysis of these data has been peer reviewed outside the Agency. | High |
| - Accessibility | CSFII data are publicly available. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Enough information is included to reproduce results. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Analysis is specifically designed to address food intake. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | Data focuses on the U.S. population. | High |
| - Primary data | This is new analysis of primary data. | High |
| - Currency | Were the most current data publicly available at the time the analysis was conducted for this Handbook. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Survey is designed to collect short-term data. | Medium confidence for average values; Low confidence for long term percentile distribution |
| - Validity of approach | Survey methodology was adequate. | High |
| - Study size | Study size was very large and therefore adequate. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The population studied was the U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | Survey was not designed to capture long term day-to-day variability. Short term distributions are provided for various age groups, regions, etc. | Medium |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Response rate was adequate. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | No measurements were taken. The study relied on survey data. | N/A |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | 1 <br> CSFII was the most recent data set publicly available at the time the analysis was conducted for this Handbook. Therefore, it was the only study classified as key study. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | Although the CSFII was the only study classified as key study, the results are in good agreement with earlier data. | High |
| Overall Rating | The survey is representative of U.S. population. Although there was only one study considered key, these data are the most recent and are in agreement with earlier data. The approach used to analyze the data was adequate. However, due to the limitations of the survey design estimation of long-term percentile values (especially the upper percentiles) is uncertain. | High confidence in the average; Low confidence in the longterm upper percentiles |
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## 13. INTAKE RATES FOR VARIOUS HOME PRODUCED FOOD ITEMS

### 13.1. BACKGROUND

Ingestion of contaminated foods is a potential pathway of exposure to toxic chemicals. Consumers of home produced food products may be of particular concern because exposure resulting from local site contamination may be higher for this subpopulation. According to a survey by the National Gardening Association (1987), a total of 34 million (or 38 percent) U.S. households participated in vegetable gardening in 1986. Table 13-1 contains demographic data on vegetable gardening in 1986 by region/section, community size, and household size.

| Table 13-1. 1986 Vegetable Gardening by Demographic Factors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic Factor | Percentage of total households that have gardens (\%) | Number of households (million) |
| Total | 38 | 34 |
| Region/section |  |  |
| East | 33 | 7.3 |
| New England | 37 | 1.9 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 32 | 5.4 |
| Midwest | 50 | 11.0 |
| East Central | 50 | 6.6 |
| West Central | 50 | 4.5 |
| South | 33 | 9.0 |
| Deep South | 44 | 3.1 |
| Rest of South | 29 | 5.9 |
| West | 37 | 6.2 |
| Rocky Mountain | 53 | 2.3 |
| Pacific | 32 | 4.2 |
| Size of community |  |  |
| City | 26 | 6.2 |
| Suburb | 33 | 10.2 |
| Small town | 32 | 3.4 |
| Rural | 61 | 14.0 |
| Household size |  |  |
| Single, separated, divorced, widowed | 54 | 8.5 |
| Married, no children | 45 | 11.9 |
| Married, with children | 44 | 13.2 |
| Source: National Gardening Association, 1987. |  |  |

Table 13-2 contains information on the types of vegetables grown by home gardeners in 1986. Tomatoes, peppers, onions, cucumbers, lettuce, beans, carrots, and corn are among the vegetables grown by the largest percentage of
gardeners. Home produced foods can become contaminated in a variety of ways. Ambient pollutants in the air may be deposited on plants, adsorbed onto or absorbed by the plants, or dissolved in rainfall or

| Table 13-2. Percentage of Gardening Households <br> Growing Different Vegetables in 1986 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Vegetable | Percent |
| Artichokes | 0.8 |
| Asparagus | 8.2 |
| Beans | 43.4 |
| Beets | 20.6 |
| Broccoli | 19.6 |
| Brussel sprouts | 5.7 |
| Cabbage | 29.6 |
| Carrots | 34.9 |
| Cauliflower | 14.0 |
| Celery | 5.4 |
| Chard | 3.5 |
| Corn | 34.4 |
| Cucumbers | 49.9 |
| Dried peas | 2.5 |
| Dry beans | 8.9 |
| Eggplant | 13.0 |
| Herbs | 9.8 |
| Kale | 3.1 |
| Kohlrabi | 3.0 |
| Leeks | 1.2 |
| Lettuce | 41.7 |
| Melons | 21.9 |
| Okra | 13.6 |
| Onions | 50.3 |
| Oriental vegetables | 2.1 |
| Parsnips | 2.2 |
| Peanuts | 11.9 |
| Peas | 29.0 |
| Peppers | 57.7 |
| Potatoes | 25.5 |
| Pumpkins | 10.2 |
| Radishes | 30.7 |
| Rhubarb | 12.2 |
| Spinach | 10.2 |
| Summer squash | 25.7 |
| Sunflowers | 8.2 |
| Sweet potatoes | 5.7 |

irrigation waters that contact the plants. Pollutants may also be adsorbed onto plants roots from contaminated soil and water. Finally, the addition of pesticides, soil additives, and fertilizers to crops or gardens may result in contamination of food products. Meat and dairy products can become contaminated if animals consume contaminated soil, water, or feed crops. Intake rates for home produced food
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products are needed to assess exposure to local contaminants present in homegrown or home caught foods. Recently, EPA analyzed data from the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (NFCS) to generate distributions of intake rates for home produced foods. The methods used and the results of these analyses are presented below.

### 13.2. METHODS

Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (NFCS) data were used to generate intake rates for home produced foods. USDA conducts the NFCS every 10 years to analyze the food consumption behavior and dietary status of Americans (USDA, 1992). The most recent NFCS was conducted in 1987-88. The survey used a statistical sampling technique designed to ensure that all seasons, geographic regions of the 48 conterminous states in the U.S., and socioeconomic and demographic groups were represented (USDA, 1994). There were two components of the NFCS. The household component collected information over a seven-day period on the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of households, and the types, amount, value, and sources of foods consumed by the household (USDA, 1994). The individual intake component collected information on food intakes of individuals within each household over a threeday period (USDA, 1993). The sample size for the 198788 survey was approximately 4,300 households (over 10,000 individuals). This is a decrease over the previous survey conducted in 1977-78 which sampled approximately 15,000 households (over 36,000 individuals) (USDA, 1994). The sample size was lower in the 1987-88 survey as a result of budgetary constraints and low response rate (i.e., 38 percent for the household survey and 31 percent for the individual survey) (USDA, 1993). However, NFCS data from 1987-88 were used to generate homegrown intake rates because they were the most recent data available and were believed to be more reflective of current eating patterns among the U.S. population.

The USDA data were adjusted by applying the sample weights calculated by USDA to the data set prior to analysis. The USDA sample weights were designed to "adjust for survey non-response and other vagaries of the sample selection process" (USDA, 1987-88). Also, the USDA weights are calculated "so that the weighted sample total equals the known population total, in thousands, for several characteristics thought to be correlated with eating behavior" (USDA, 1987-88).

For the purposes of this study, home produced foods were defined as homegrown fruits and vegetables, meat and
dairy products derived from consumer-raised livestock or game meat, and home caught fish. The food items/groups selected for analysis included major food groups (i.e., total fruits, total vegetables, total meats, total dairy, total fish and shellfish), individual food items for which $>30$ households reported eating the home produced form of the item, fruits and vegetables categorized as exposed, protected, and roots, and various USDA fruit and vegetable subcategories (i.e., dark green vegetables, citrus fruits, etc.). Food items/groups were identified in the NFCS data base according to NFCS-defined food codes. Appendix 13A presents the codes used to determine the various food groups.

Although the individual intake component of the NFCS gives the best measure of the amount of each food item eaten by each individual in the household, it could not be used directly to measure consumption of home produced food because the individual component does not identify the source of the food item (i.e., as home produced or not). Therefore, an analytical method which incorporated data from both the household and individual survey components was developed to estimate individual home produced food intake. The USDA household data were used to determine (1) the amount of each home produced food item used during a week by household members and (2) the number of meals eaten in the household by each household member during a week. Note that the household survey reports the total amount of each food item used in the household (whether by guests or household members); the amount used by household members was derived by multiplying the total amount used in the household by the proportion of all meals served in the household (during the survey week) that were consumed by household members.

The individual survey data were used to generate average sex- and age-specific serving sizes for each food item. The age categories used in the analysis were as follows: 1 to 2 years; 3 to 5 years; 6 to 11 years; 12 to 19 years; 20 to 39 years; 40 to 69 years; and over 70 years (intake rates were not calculated for children under 1; the rationale for this is discussed below). These serving sizes were used during subsequent analyses to generate homegrown food intake rates for individual household members. Assuming that the proportion of the household quantity of each homegrown food item/group was a function of the number of meals and the mean sex- and age-specific serving size for each family member, individual intakes of home produced food were calculated for all members of the survey population using SAS programming in which the following general equation was used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{i}=W_{f} \cdot\left[\frac{m_{i} q_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} q_{i}}\right] \quad \text { (Eqn. 13-1) } \\
& w_{i}=\text { Homegrown amount of food item/group attributed to } \\
& \text { member i during the week ( } \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{week} \text { ); } \\
& \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{f}}=\text { Total quantity of homegrown food item/group used by } \\
& \text { the family members ( } \mathrm{g} / \text { week); } \\
& \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=\text { Number of meals of household food consumed by } \\
& \text { member i during the week (meals/week); and } \\
& q_{i}=\text { Serving size for an individual within the age and sex } \\
& \text { category of the member ( } \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{meal} \text { ). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Daily intake of a homegrown food item/group was determined by dividing the weekly value $\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ by seven. Intake rates were indexed to the self-reported body weight of the survey respondent and reported in units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. Intake rates were not calculated for children under one year of age because their diet differs markedly from that of other household members, and thus the assumption that all household members share all foods would be invalid for this age group. In Section 13.5, a method for estimating percapita homegrown intake in this age group is suggested.

For the major food groups (fruits, vegetables, meats, dairy, and fish) and individual foods consumed by at least 30 households, distributions of home produced intake among consumers were generated for the entire data set and according to the following subcategories: age groups, urbanization categories, seasons, racial classifications, regions, and responses to the questionnaire.

Consumers were defined as members of survey households who reported consumption of the food item/group of interest during the one week survey period. In addition, for the major food groups, distributions were generated for each region by season, urbanization, and responses to the questionnaire. Table 13-3 presents the codes, definitions, and a description of the data included in each of the subcategories. Intake rates were not calculated for food items/groups for which less than 30 households reported home produced usage because the number of observations may be inadequate for generating distributions that would be representative of that segment of consumers. Fruits and vegetables were also classified as exposed, protected, or roots, as shown in Appendix 13A of this document. Exposed foods are those that are grown above ground and are likely to be contaminated by pollutants deposited on surfaces that are eaten. Protected products are those that have outer protective coatings that are typically
removed before consumption. Distributions of intake were tabulated for these food classes for the same subcategories listed above. Distributions were also tabulated for the following USDA food classifications: dark green vegetables, deep yellow vegetables, other vegetables, citrus fruits, and other fruits. Finally, the percentages of total intake of the food items/groups consumed within survey households that can be attributed to home production were tabulated. The percentage of intake that was homegrown was calculated as the ratio of total intake of the homegrown food item/group by the survey population to the total intake of all forms of the food by the survey population.

As disccussed in Section 13.3, percentiles of average daily intake derived from short time intervals (e.g., 7 days) will not, in general, be reflective of long term patterns. This is especially true regarding consumption of many homegrown products (e.g., fruits, vegetables), where there is often a strong seasonal component associated with their use. To try to derive, for the major food categories, the long term distribution of average daily intake rates from the short-term data available here, an approach was developed which attempted to account for seasonal variability in consumption. This approach used regional "seasonally adjusted distributions" to approximate regional long term distributions and then combined these regional adjusted distributions (in proportion to the weights for each region) to obtain a U.S. adjusted distribution which approximated the U.S. long term distribution.

The percentiles of the seasonally adjusted distribution for a given region were generated by averaging the corresponding percentiles of each of the four seasonal distributions of the region. More formally, the seasonally adjusted distribution for each region is such that its inverse cumulative distribution function is the average of the inverse cumulative distribution functions of each of the seasonal distributions of that region. The use of regional seasonally adjusted distributions to

| Table 13-3. Sub-category Codes and Definitions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Definition | Description |
| Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| 1 | Northeast | Includes Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont |
| 2 | Midwest | Includes Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin |
| $3$ | South | Includes Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia |
| 4 | West | Includes Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming |
| Urbanization |  |  |
| 1 | Central City | Cities with populations of 50,000 or more that is the main city within the metropolitan statistical area (MSA). |
| $2$ | Suburban | An area that is generally within the boundaries of an MSA, but is not within the legal limit of the central city. |
| 3 | Nonmetropolitan | An area that is not within an MSA. |
| Race |  |  |
| 1 | -- | White (Caucasian) |
| 2 | -- | Black |
| 3 | -- | Asian and Pacific Islander |
| 4 | -- | Native American, Aleuts, and Eskimos |
| 5,8,9 | Other/NA | Don't know, no answer, some other race |
| Responses to Survey Questions |  |  |
| Grow | Question 75 | Did anyone in the household grow any vegetables or fruit for use in the household? |
| Raise Animals | Question 76 | Did anyone in the household produce any animal products such as milk, eggs, meat, or poultry for home use in your household? |
| Fish/Hunt | Question 77 | Did anyone in the household catch any fish or shoot game for home use? |
| Farm | Question 79 | Did anyone in the household operate a farm or ranch? |
| Season |  |  |
| Spring | - | April, May, June |
| Summer | - | July, August, September |
| Fall | - | October, November, December |
| Winter | - | January, February, March |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Alaska and H <br> Source: USDA | waii were not include 987-88. |  |

approximate regional long term distributions is based on the assumption that each individual consumes at the same regional percentile levels for each season and consumes at a constant weekly rate throughout a given season. Thus, for instance, if the 60th percentile weekly intake level in the South is 14.0 g in the summer and 7.0 g in each of the three other seasons, then an individual in the South with an average weekly intake of 14.0 g over the summer would be assumed to have an intake of 14.0 g for each week of the
summer and an intake of 7.0 g for each week of the other seasons.

Note that the seasonally adjusted distributions derived above were generated using the overall distributions, i.e., both consumers and non-consumers. However, since all the other distributions presented in this section are based on consumers only, the percentiles for the adjusted distributions have been revised to reflect the percentiles among consumers only. Given the above assumption about
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how each individual consumes, the percentage consuming for the seasonally adjusted distributions give an estimate of the percentage of the population consuming the specified food category at any time during the year.

The intake data presented here for consumers of home produced foods and the total number of individuals surveyed may be used to calculate the mean and the percentiles of the distribution of home produced food consumption in the overall population (consumers and nonconsumers) as follows:

Assuming that $\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the homegrown intake rate of food item/group at the $p^{\text {th }}$ percentile and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the weighted number of individuals consuming the homegrown food item, and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the weighted total number of individuals surveyed, then $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is the weighted number of individuals who reported zero consumption of the food item. In addition, there are $\left(\mathrm{p} / 100 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ individuals below the $\mathrm{p}^{\text {th }}$ percentile. Therefore, the percentile that corresponds to a particular intake rate $\left(\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ for the overall distribution of homegrown food consumption (including consumers and nonconsumers) can be obtained by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {overall }}^{\text {th }}=100 \times \frac{\left(\frac{P}{100} \times N_{c}+\left(N_{T}-\Lambda\right.\right.}{N_{T}} \tag{Eqn.13-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, the percentile of the overall population that is equivalent to the 50th percentile consumer only intake rate for homegrown fruits would be calculated as follows:

From Table 13-8, the 50th percentile homegrown fruit intake rate $\left(\mathrm{IR}_{50}\right)$ is $1.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. The weighted number of individuals consuming fruits $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is $14,744,000$. From Table 13-4, the weighted total number of individuals surveyed $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ is 188,019,000. The number of individuals consuming fruits below the 50th percentile is:
$\mathrm{p} / 100 \times \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=(0.5) \times(14,744,000)$

$$
=7,372,000
$$

The number of individuals that did not consume fruit during the survey period is:
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad=188,019,000-14,744,000$

$$
=173,275,000
$$

The total number of individuals with homegrown intake rates at or below $1.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day is
$\left(\mathrm{p} / 100 \times \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \quad=7,372,000+173,275,000$

$$
=180,647,000
$$

The percentile of the overall population that is represented by this intake rate is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\text {overall }}^{\text {th }} & =100 \times(180,647,000 / 188,109,000) \\
& =96 \text { th percentile }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, an intake rate of $1.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day of homegrown fruit corresponds to the 96 th percentile of the overall population.

Following the same procedure described above, 5.97 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, which is the 90th percentile of the consumers only population, corresponds to the 99th percentile of the overall population. Likewise, $0.063 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day, which is the 1st percentile of the consumers only population, corresponds to the 92nd percentile of the overall population. Note that the consumers only distribution corresponds to the tail of the distribution for the overall population. Consumption rates below the 92 nd percentile are very close to zero. The mean intake rate for the overall population can be calculated by multiplying the mean intake rate among consumers by the proportion of individuals consuming the homegrown food item, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}$.

Table 13-4 displays the weighted numbers $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}$, as well as the unweighted total survey sample sizes, for each subcategory and overall. It should be noted that the total unweighted number of observations in Table 13-4 $(9,852)$ is somewhat lower than the number of observations reported by USDA because this study only used observations for family members for which age and body weight were specified.

| Table 13-4. Weighted and Unweighted Number of Observations (Individuals) for NFCS Data Used in Analysis of Food Intake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Regions |  | Northeast |  | Midwest |  | South |  | West |  |
| Total | wgtd | unwgtd | wgtd | unwgtd | wgtd | unwgtd | wgtd | unwgtd | wgtd | unwgtd |
|  | 188019000 | 9852 | 41167000 | 2018 | 46395000 | 2592 | 64331000 | 3399 | 36066000 | 1841 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 01 | 2814000 | 156 | 545000 | 29 | 812000 | 44 | 889000 | 51 | 568000 | 32 |
| 01-02 | 5699000 | 321 | 1070000 | 56 | 1757000 | 101 | 1792000 | 105 | 1080000 | 59 |
| 03-05 | 8103000 | 461 | 1490000 | 92 | 2251000 | 133 | 2543000 | 140 | 1789000 | 95 |
| 06-11 | 16711000 | 937 | 3589000 | 185 | 4263000 | 263 | 5217000 | 284 | 3612000 | 204 |
| 12-19 | 20488000 | 1084 | 4445000 | 210 | 5490000 | 310 | 6720000 | 369 | 3833000 | 195 |
| 20-39 | 61606000 | 3058 | 12699000 | 600 | 15627000 | 823 | 21786000 | 1070 | 11494000 | 565 |
| 40-69 | 56718000 | 3039 | 13500000 | 670 | 13006000 | 740 | 19635000 | 1080 | 10577000 | 549 |
| 70 + | 15880000 | 796 | 3829000 | 176 | 3189000 | 178 | 5749000 | 300 | 3113000 | 142 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 47667000 | 1577 | 9386000 | 277 | 14399000 | 496 | 13186000 | 439 | 10696000 | 365 |
| Spring | 46155000 | 3954 | 10538000 | 803 | 10657000 | 1026 | 16802000 | 1437 | 8158000 | 688 |
| Summer | 45485000 | 1423 | 9460000 | 275 | 10227000 | 338 | 17752000 | 562 | 7986000 | 246 |
| Winter | 48712000 | 2898 | 11783000 | 663 | 11112000 | 732 | 16591000 | 961 | 9226000 | 542 |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 56352000 | 2217 | 9668000 | 332 | 17397000 | 681 | 17245000 | 715 | 12042000 | 489 |
| Nonmetropolitan | 45023000 | 3001 | 5521000 | 369 | 14296000 | 1053 | 19100000 | 1197 | 6106000 | 382 |
| Surburban | 86584000 | 4632 | 25978000 | 1317 | 14702000 | 858 | 27986000 | 1487 | 17918000 | 970 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 2413000 | 114 | 333000 | 13 | 849000 | 37 | 654000 | 32 | 577000 | 32 |
| Black | 21746000 | 1116 | 3542000 | 132 | 2794000 | 126 | 13701000 | 772 | 1709000 | 86 |
| Native American | 1482000 | 91 | 38000 | 4 | 116000 | 6 | 162000 | 8 | 1166000 | 73 |
| Other/NA | 4787000 | 235 | 1084000 | 51 | 966000 | 37 | 1545000 | 86 | 1192000 | 61 |
| White | 157531000 | 8294 | 36170000 | 1818 | 41670000 | 2386 | 48269000 | 2501 | 31422000 | 1589 |
| Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Do you garden? | 68152000 | 3744 | 12501000 | 667 | 22348000 | 1272 | 20518000 | 1136 | 12725000 | 667 |
| Do you raise animals? | 10097000 | 631 | 1178000 | 70 | 3742000 | 247 | 2603000 | 162 | 2574000 | 152 |
| Do you hunt? | 20216000 | 1148 | 3418000 | 194 | 6948000 | 411 | 6610000 | 366 | 3240000 | 177 |
| Do you fish? | 39733000 | 2194 | 5950000 | 321 | 12621000 | 725 | 13595000 | 756 | 7567000 | 392 |
| Do you farm? | 7329000 | 435 | 830000 | 42 | 2681000 | 173 | 2232000 | 130 | 1586000 | 90 |

## Volume II - Food Ingestion Factors

## Chapter 13 - Intake Rates for Various Home Produced Food Items

As mentioned above, the intake rates derived in this section are based on the amount of household food consumption. As measured by the NFCS, the amount of food "consumed" by the household is a measure of consumption in an economic sense, i.e., a measure of the weight of food brought into the household that has been consumed (used up) in some manner. In addition to food being consumed by persons, food may be used up by spoiling, by being discarded (e.g., inedible parts), through cooking processes, etc.

USDA estimated preparation losses for various foods (USDA, 1975). For meats, a net cooking loss, which includes dripping and volatile losses, and a net post cooking loss, which involves losses from cutting, bones, excess fat, scraps and juices, were derived for a variety of cuts and cooking methods. For each meat type (e.g., beef) EPA has averaged these losses across all cuts and cooking methods to obtain a mean net cooking loss and a mean net post cooking loss; these are displayed in Table 13-5. For individual fruits and vegetables, USDA (1975) also gave cooking and post-cooking losses. These data are presented in Tables 13-6 and 13-7.

The following formulas can be used to convert the intake rates tabulated here to rates reflecting actual consumption:

| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{I} \times\left(1-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{l}}\right) \times\left(1-\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)$ | (Eqn. 13-3) |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{I} \times\left(1-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ | (Eqn. 13-4) |

where $I_{A}$ is the adjusted intake rate, $I$ is the tabulated intake rate, $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ is the cooking loss, $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ is the post-cooking loss and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{P}}$ is the paring or preparation loss. For fruits, corrections based on postcooking losses only apply to fruits that are eaten in cooked forms. For raw forms of the fruits, paring or preparation loss data should be used to correct for losses from removal of skin, peel, core, caps, pits, stems, and defects, or draining of liquids from canned or frozen forms. To obtain preparation losses for food categories, the preparation losses of the individual foods making up the category can be averaged.

In calculating ingestion exposure, assessors should use consistent forms in combining intake rates with contaminant concentrations. This issue has been previously discussed in the other food Chapters.

### 13.3. RESULTS

The intake rate distributions (among consumers) for total home produced fruits, vegetables, meats, fish and dairy products are shown, respectively, in Tables 13-8 through 13-32 (displayed at the end of Chapter 13). Also shown in these tables is the proportion of respondents consuming the item during the (one-week) survey period. Homegrown vegetables were the most commonly consumed of the major food groups ( $18.3 \%$ ), followed by fruit ( $7.8 \%$ ), meat ( $4.9 \%$ ), fish ( $2.1 \%$ ), and dairy products ( $0.7 \%$ ). The intake rates for the major food groups vary according to region, age, urbanization code, race, and response to survey questions. In general, intake rates of home produced foods are higher among populations in non-metropolitan and suburban areas and lowest in central city areas. Results of the regional analyses indicate that intake of homegrown fruits, vegetables, meat and dairy products is generally highest for individuals in the Midwest and South and lowest for those in the Northeast. Intake rates of home caught fish were generally highest among consumers in the South. Homegrown intake was generally higher among individuals who indicated that they operate a farm, grow their own vegetables, raise animals, and catch their own fish. The results of the seasonal analyses for all regions combined indicated that, in general, homegrown fruits and vegetables were eaten at a higher rate in summer, and home caught fish was consumed at a higher rate in spring; however, seasonal intake varied based on individual regions. Seasonally adjusted intake rate distributions for the major food groups are presented in Table 13-33.

Tables 13-34 through 13-60 present distributions of intake for individual home produced food items for households that reported consuming the homegrown form of the food during the survey period. Intake rate distributions among consumers for homegrown foods categorized as exposed fruits and vegetables, protected fruits and vegetables, and root vegetables are presented in Tables 13-61 through 13-65; the intake distributions for various USDA classifications (e.g., dark green vegetables) are presented in Tables 13-66 through 13-70. The results are presented in units of g/kg-day. Table 13-71 presents the fraction of household intake attributed to home produced forms of the food items/groups evaluated. Thus, use of these data in calculating potential dose does not require the body weight factor to be included in the denominator of the average daily dose (ADD) equation. It should be noted that converting these intake rates into units of $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$ by multiplying by a single average body

| Table 13-5. Percent Weight Losses from Preparation of Various Meats |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat Type | Mean Net Cooking Loss (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Mean Net Post Cooking Loss (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
|  | Mean | Range of Means | Standard <br> Deviation | Mean | Range of Means | Standard <br> Deviation |
| Beef | 27 | 11 to 42 | 7 | 24 | 10 to 46 | 9 |
| Pork | 28 | 1 to 67 | 10 | 36 | 14 to 52 | 11 |
| Chicken | 32 | 7 to 55 | 9 | 31 | 16 to 51 | 8 |
| Turkey | 32 | 11 to 57 | 7 | 28 | 8 to 48 | 10 |
| Lamb | 30 | 25 to 37 | 5 | 34 | 14 to 61 | 14 |
| Veal | 29 | 10.to 45 | 11 | 25 | 18 to 37 | 9 |
| Fish ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 30 | -19 to 81 | 19 | 11 | 1 to 26 | 6 |
| Shellfish ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 33 | 1 to 94 | 30 | 10 | 10 to 10 | 0 |
| $\text { a } \quad \text { In }$ | Includes dripping and volatile losses during cooking. Averaged over various cuts and preparation methods. |  |  |  |  |  |
| In | Includes losses from cutting, shrinkage, excess fat, bones, scraps, and juices. Averaged over various cuts and preparation methods. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Averaged over a variety of fish, to include: bass, bluefish, butterfish, cod, flounder, haddock, halibut, lake trout, makerel, perch, porgy, red snapper, rockfish, salmon, sea trout, shad, smelt, sole, spot, squid, swordfish steak, trout, and whitefish. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Averaged over a variety of shellfish, to include: clams, crab, crayfish, lobster, oysters, and shrimp and shrimp dishes. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: USDA, 1975. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 13-7. Percent Weight Losses from Preparation of Various Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Net Cooking Loss (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Mean Net Post Cooking Loss (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| Type of Vegetable | Mean | Range of Means | Standard <br> Deviation | Mean | Range of Means | Standard <br> Deviation |
| Asparagus | 23 | 5 to 47 | 16 | -- | -- | -- |
| Beets | 28 | 4 to 60 | 17 | -- | -- | -- |
| Broccoli | 14 | 0 to 39 | 13 | -- | -- | -- |
| Cabbage | 11 | 4 to 20 | 6 | -- | -- | -- |
| Carrots | 19 | 2 to 41 | 12 | -- | -- | -- |
| Corn | 26 | -1 to 64 | 22 | -- | -- | -- |
| Cucumbers | 18 | 5 to 40 | 14 | -- | -- | -- |
| Lettuce | 22 | 6 to 36 | 12 | -- | -- | -- |
| Lima Beans | -12 | -143 to 56 | 69 | -- | -- | -- |
| Okra | 12 | -10 to 40 | 16 | -- | -- | -- |
| Onions | 5 | -90 to 63 | 38 | -- | -- | -- |
| Peas, green | 2 | -147 to 62 | 63 | -- | -- | -- |
| Peppers | 13 | 3 to 27 | 9 | -- | -- | -- |
| Pumpkins | 19 | 8 to 30 | 11 | -- | -- | -- |
| Snap Beans | 18 | 5 to 42 | 13 | -- | -- | -- |
| Tomatoes | 15 | 2 to 34 | 10 | -- | -- | -- |
| Potatoes | -22 | -527 to 46 | 121 | 22 | 1 to 33 | 11 |
| Includes losses due to paring, trimming, flowering the stalk, thawing, draining, scraping, shelling, slicing, husking, chopping, and dicing and gains from the addition of water, fat, or other ingredients. Averaged over various preparation methods. Includes losses from draining or removal of skin. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: USDA, 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

weight is inappropriate, because individual intake rates were indexed to the reported body weights of the survey respondents. However, if there is a need to compare the total intake data presented here to other intake data in units of $\mathrm{g} /$ day, a body weight less than 70 kg (i.e., approximately 60 kg ; calculated based on the number of respondents in each age category and the average body weights for these age groups, as presented in Volume I, Chapter 7) should be used because the total survey population included children as well as adults.

### 13.4. ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS

The USDA NFCS data set is the largest publicly available source of information on food consumption habits in the United States. The advantages of using this data set are that it is expected to be representative of the U.S. population and that it provides information on a wide variety of food groups. However, the data collected by the USDA NFCS are based on short-term dietary recall and the intake distributions generated from them may not accurately reflect long-term intake patterns, particularly with respect to the tails (extremes) of the distributions. Also, the two
survey components (i.e., household and individual) do not define food items/groups in a consistent manner; as a result, some errors may be introduced into these analyses because the two survey components are linked. The results presented here may also be biased by assumptions that are inherent in the analytical method utilized. The analytical method may not capture all high-end consumers within households because average serving sizes are used in calculating the proportion of homegrown food consumed by each household member. Thus, for instance, in a twoperson household where one member had high intake and one had low intake, the method used here would assume that both members had an equal and moderate level of intake. In addition, the analyses assume that all family members consume a portion of the home produced food used within the household. However, not all family members may consume each home produced food item and serving sizes allocated here may not be entirely representative of the portion of household foods consumed by each family member. As was mentioned in Section 13.2, no analyses were performed for the under 1 year age group due to the above concerns. Below, in Section 13.5, a
recommended approach for dealing with this age group is presented.

The preparation loss factors discussed in Section 13.2 are intended to convert intake rates based on "household consumption" to rates reflective of what individuals actually consume. However, these factors do not include losses to spoilage, feeding to pets, food thrown away, etc.

It should also be noted that because this analysis is based on the 1987-88 NFCS, it may not reflect recent changes in food consumption patterns. The low response rate associated with the 1987-88 NFCS also contributes to the uncertainty of the homegrown intake rates generated using these data.

### 13.5. RECOMMENDATIONS

The distribution data presented in this study may be used to assess exposure to contaminants in foods grown, raised, or caught at a specific site. Table 13-72 presents the confidence ratings for homegrown food intake. The recommended values for mean intake rates among consumers for the various home produced foods can be taken from the tables presented here; these can be converted to per capita rates by multiplying by the fraction consuming. The data presented here for consumers of home produced foods represent average daily intake rates of food items/groups over the seven-day survey period and do not account for variations in eating habits during the rest of the year; thus the percentiles presented here (except the seasonally adjusted) are only valid when considering exposures over time periods of about one week. Similarly, the figures for percentage consuming are also only valid over a one week time period. Since the tabulated percentiles reflect the distribution among consumers only, Eqn. 13-2 must be used to convert the percentiles shown here to ones valid for the general population.

In contrast, the seasonally adjusted percentiles are designed to give percentiles of the long term distribution of average daily intake and the percentage consuming shown with this distribution is designed to estimate the percent of the population consuming at any time during a year. However, because the assumptions mentioned in Section 13.2 can not be verified to hold, these upper percentiles must be assigned a low confidence rating. Eqn. 13-2 may also be used with this distribution to convert percentiles among consumers to percentiles for the general population.

For all the rates tabulated here, preparation loss factors should be applied, where appropriate. The form of
the food used to estimate intake should be consistent with the form used to measure contaminant concentration.

As described above, the tables do not display rates for children under 1 year of age. For this age group, it is recommended that per-capita homegrown consumption rates be estimated using the following approach. First, for each specific home produced food of interest, the ratio of per capita intake for children under 1 year compared to that of children 1 to 2 years is calculated using the USDA CSFII 1989-1991 results displayed in Volume II, Chapters 9 and 11. Note these results are based on individual food intakes; however, they consider all sources of food, not just home produced. Second, the per-capita intake rate in the 1 to 2 year age group of the home produced food of interest is calculated as described above by multiplying the fraction consuming by the mean intake rate among consumers (both these numbers are displayed in the tables). Finally, the per capita homegrown intake rate in children under 1 year of the food of interest is estimated by multiplying the homegrown per-capita intake rate in the 1 to 2 year age group by the above ratio of intakes in the under 1 year age group as compared to the 1 to 2 year age group.

The AIHC Sourcebook (AIHC, 1994) used data presented in the 1989 version of the Exposure Factors Handbook which reported data from the USDA 1977-78 NFCS. In this Handbook, new analyses of more recent data from USDA were conducted. Numbers, however, cannot be directly compared with previous values since the results from the new analyses are presented on a body weight basis.
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| $\wedge 0$ | Table 13-11. Consumer Only Intake of Homegrown Fruits (g/kg-day) - South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group |  | Nc unwgtd | \% <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total <br> Season | 4148000 | 208 | 6.45 | $2.97 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.00E-01 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.97E-01 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.01 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.18 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Fall | 896000 | 29 | 6.80 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.39E-01 | $3.92 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.27E-01 | 4.46E-01 | 6.50E-01 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.96 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.97E+00 | $8.18 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.06E+01 |
|  | Spring | 620000 | 59 | 3.69 | $2.05 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.55E-01 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.11 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.09 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.01 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.58 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7.05E+00 | $7.05 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Summer | 1328000 | 46 | 7.48 | $2.84 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6.50E-01 | $8.14 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.67E-01 | 4.41E-01 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.83 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.10 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Winter | 1304000 | 74 | 7.86 | $4.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6.51E-01 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.92 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.97 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 1066000 | 39 | 6.18 | 3.33E+00 | 5.39E-01 | 2.36E-01 | $3.92 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.55E-01 | $8.34 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.55 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.77E+00 | 8.18E+00 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.43E+01 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 1548000 | 89 | 8.10 | $2.56 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.87E-01 | $8.14 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.38 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.12 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.83 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.97 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Suburban | 1534000 | 80 | 5.48 | $3.14 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6.02E-01 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.08 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.29 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Households who garden | 3469000 | 174 | 16.91 | 2.82E+00 | 2.94E-01 | 1.56E-01 | 2.84E-01 | 3.84E-01 | 6.50E-01 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.94 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6.10E+00 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Households who farm | 296000 | 16 | 13.26 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | * Intake data not provided for subpopulatins for which there were less than 20 observations <br> NOTE: <br> $\mathrm{SE}=$ standard error <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ percentile of the distribution <br> Nc wgtd = weighted number of consumers; Nc unwgtd = unweighted number of consumers in survey. <br> Source: Based on EPA's analyses of the 1987-88 NFCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Table 13-12. Consumer Only Intake of Homegrown Fruits (g/kg-day) - West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Population Group | Nc wgtd | Nc unwgtd | \% <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 4574000 | 233 | 12.68 | $2.62 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.07 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.17 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.42 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.39E+00 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.49 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.83 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 843000 | 28 | 7.88 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.49 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.95 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.83 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.15 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.65 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.39E+00 |
|  | Spring | 837000 | 78 | 10.26 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.10 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.81 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.61 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.95E+00 | $5.29 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.68 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7.02E+00 |
|  | Summer | 1398000 | 44 | 17.51 | $2.47 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.72 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.04 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.17 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.14 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.26 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.30 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.30 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Winter | 1496000 | 83 | 16.22 | 4.10E+00 | $7.91 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.14 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.74E-01 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.74 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.83 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.83 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 1494000 | 59 | 12.41 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.24 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.14E-02 | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.42 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.26E-01 | $8.63 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.04 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.63E+00 | $9.52 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.93E+01 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 474000 | 32 | 7.76 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.24 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.68 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.64 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.25 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Suburban | 2606000 | 142 | 14.54 | $3.04 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.63 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.10E-01 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.14 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.81 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.03 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.22 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.83 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Households who garden | 4170000 | 207 | 32.77 | $2.76 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.29 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.81E+00 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.49 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.83 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Households who farm | 795000 | 35 | 50.13 | $1.85 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.59 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.98 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.10E-01 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.63 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.81 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.81 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | NOTE: $\mathrm{SE}=$ standard erro $\mathrm{P}=$ percentile of th Nc wgtd $=$ weighte <br> Based on EPA's ana | tribution mber of consu of the 1987-8 | umers; Nc 88 NFCS | unwgtd = unwei | eighted numb $\qquad$ | of consume $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 13-13. Consumer Only Intake of Homegrown Vegetables (g/kg-day) - All Regions Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group | Nc <br> wgtd | Nc <br> unwgtd | \% <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 34392000 | 1855 | 18.29 | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.76 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.79E-03 | 1.10E-01 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.47E-01 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.47 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.20 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.54 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.70E+01 |
|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 01-02 | 951000 | 53 | 16.69 | $5.20 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.47E-01 | 2.32E-02 | $2.45 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.82E-01 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.27 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.83E+00 | 1.31E+01 | $1.96 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.70E+01 | 2.70E+01 |
|  | 03-05 | 1235000 | 76 | 15.24 | $2.46 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.94 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.13 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.91 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.35 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.74 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.28E+01 |
|  | 06-11 | 3024000 | 171 | 18.10 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.95 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.86 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.64 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.16 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | 12-19 | 3293000 | 183 | 16.07 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.46 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.22 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.09 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.83 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.03 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.04 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | 20-39 | 8593000 | 437 | 13.95 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.59 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.77 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.61 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.44 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.92 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | 40-69 | 12828000 | 700 | 22.62 | $2.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.14 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.26 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.47 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.12E+00 | $6.94 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.29 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | $70+$ | 4002000 | 211 | 25.20 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.21E-03 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.81 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.37 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.35 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.20 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Seasons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 11026000 | 394 | 23.13 | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.98 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.80E-01 | 4.13E-01 | $9.83 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.88 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.94 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.89 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Spring | 6540000 | 661 | 14.17 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.23 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.47E-02 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.04E-01 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.37 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.35 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Summer | 11081000 | 375 | 24.36 | $2.86 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.93 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.12 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.44 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.75 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Winter | 5745000 | 425 | 11.79 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.73 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.49 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.69 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.85 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.01 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Urbanizations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 6183000 | 228 | 10.97 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.01E-02 | 6.59E-02 | 1.50E-01 | 3.00E-01 | 7.50E-01 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.83 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.96 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.66 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 13808000 | 878 | 30.67 | $2.68 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.99 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.27 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.35 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.33 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Suburban | 14341000 | 747 | 16.56 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.12 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.34E-03 | 1.10E-01 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.63 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.18 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.32 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.78 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Black | 1872000 | 111 | 8.61 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.33 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7.77E-02 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.32 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.68 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.70E+00 | $8.20 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.89 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | White | 31917000 | 1714 | 20.26 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.09 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.34E-03 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.18 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.68 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Households who farm | 4319000 | 262 | 58.93 | $3.29 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.92 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.46 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.61 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.88 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | NOTE: $\quad$ SE = standard error <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ percentile of the distribution <br> Nc wgtd $=$ weighted number of consumers; Nc unwgtd $=$ unweighted number of consumers in survey. <br> Source: Based on EPA's analyses of the 1987-88 NFCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






| Table 13-19. Consumer Only Intake of Home Produced Meats (g/kg-day) - Northeast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population <br> Group | Nc wgtd | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Nc} \\ \text { unwgtd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
| Total | 1113000 | 52 | 2.70 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.92 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.52E-01 | $6.44 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.89 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| Seasons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 569000 | 18 | 6.06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Spring | 66000 | 8 | 0.63 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Summer | 176000 | 6 | 1.86 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Winter | 302000 | 20 | 2.56 | 2.02E+00 | 5.56E-01 | 2.92E-01 | 3.14E-01 | 4.30E-01 | 6.19E-01 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.93E+00 | 7.46E+00 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| Urbanizations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonmetropolitan | 391000 | 17 | 7.08 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Suburban | 722000 | 35 | 2.78 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.92 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.52E-01 | 4.30E-01 | 6.80E-01 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.89 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.61 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.61 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households who raise animals | 509000 | 25 | 43.21 | 2.03E+00 | $3.85 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.19E-01 | 6.46E-01 | 6.46E-01 | 8.78E-01 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.38E+00 | 2.93E+00 | 7.46E+00 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.09E+01 |
| Households who farm | 373000 | 15 | 44.94 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| * Intake data not provided for subpopulations for which there were less than 20 observations NOTE: $\quad \mathrm{SE}=$ standard error |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: $\quad$ SE = standard error <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ percentile of the distribution <br> Nc wgtd $=$ weighted number of consumers; Nc unwgtd $=$ unweighted number of consumers in sur |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Based on EPA's analyses of the 1987-88 NFCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| A 0 | Table 13-40. Consumer Only Intake of Homegrown Carrots (g/kg-day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population <br> Group | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Nc} \\ \text { wgtd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Nc} \\ \text { unwgtd } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 4322000 | 193 | 2.30 | 4.38E-01 | 4.29E-02 | 4.12E-02 | 6.35E-02 | $9.23 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.79E-01 | 3.28E-01 | 5.25E-01 | 7.95E-01 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7.79E+00 |
|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 01-02 | 51000 | 4 | 0.89 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 03-05 | 53000 | 3 | 0.65 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 06-11 | 299000 | 14 | 1.79 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 12-19 | 389000 | 17 | 1.90 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 20-39 | 1043000 | 46 | 1.69 | $2.83 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.46E-02 | 4.47E-02 | 5.02E-02 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.56E-01 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | 40-69 | 1848000 | 82 | 3.26 | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.42 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 6.74E-02 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.67 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.76 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | $70+$ | 574000 | 24 | 3.61 | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.39 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 1810000 | 66 | 3.80 | $4.61 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.77 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.09 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.10E-01 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.08E-01 | $5.09 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.76E-01 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.79 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Spring | 267000 | 28 | 0.58 | $5.55 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.92 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.09E-01 | $9.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.94 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.94 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Summer | 1544000 | 49 | 3.39 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.95 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.12E-02 | 5.02E-02 | 6.74E-02 | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.76 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.13E-01 | $8.40 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.64E-01 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Winter | 701000 | 50 | 1.44 | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.44 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.34 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.35 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.40 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 963000 | 29 | 1.71 | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.86 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.90E-02 | 6.35E-02 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.85 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.88E-01 | $9.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 1675000 | 94 | 3.72 | $5.18 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.98 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.12 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.36E-02 | 6.81E-02 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.28 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.13 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.55 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.79 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.79 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Suburban | 1684000 | 70 | 1.94 | $4.48 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.02 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.74 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.09 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.16E-01 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.77 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.35 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.95 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Black | 107000 | 7 | 0.49 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | 3970000 | 178 | 2.52 | $4.13 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.34E-02 | 7.96E-02 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.27 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.76E-01 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.59 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { B } \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 2001000 | 97 | 4.31 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.99E-02 | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 8.00E-02 | 1.37E-01 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.73E-01 | 5.39E-01 | $9.55 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Northeast | 735000 | 29 | 1.79 | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.79E-02 | $4.12 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.36E-02 | 6.15E-02 | $9.34 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.35 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | South | 378000 | 20 | 0.59 | $6.27 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.47 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.47E-02 | 5.02E-02 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.72 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.79 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.79 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | West | 1208000 | 47 | 3.35 | $3.68 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.74 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.11 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.90E-01 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.59E-01 | $7.56 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.40 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
|  | Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Households who garden | 4054000 | 182 | 5.95 | $4.04 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.67E-02 | 4.12E-02 | 6.81E-02 | $9.34 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.28 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.09E-01 | 7.62E-01 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Households who farm | 833000 | 40 | 11.37 | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.95 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.09 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.34 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.10E-01 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.59 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.19 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.94 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | * Intake data not provided for subpopulations for which there were less than 20 observations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NOTE: $\quad$ SE $=$ standa <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ percentil <br> Nc wgtd = w <br> Source: Based on EPA' an | distribution number of the 1987-88 | onsumers NFCS | Nc unwgtd = un | eighted numb | ber of consu | mers in surv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| [ ${ }^{2}$ | Table 13-43. Consumer Only Intake of Home Produced Eggs (g/kg-day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group | Nc wgtd | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Nc} \\ \text { unwgtd } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 2075000 | 124 | 1.10 | 7.31E-01 | 1.00E-01 | 7.16E-02 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.66 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.58 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 01-02 | 21000 | 3 | 0.37 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 03-05 | 20000 | 2 | 0.25 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 06-11 | 170000 | 12 | 1.02 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 12-19 | 163000 | 14 | 0.80 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 20-39 | 474000 | 30 | 0.77 | 6.32E-01 | $9.23 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.16E-02 | 7.16E-02 | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.16E-01 | $8.14 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | 40-69 | 718000 | 43 | 1.27 | 5.91E-01 | 5.77E-02 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.17 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.14 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.44 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.30 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | $70+$ | 489000 | 18 | 3.08 | , | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Seasons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 542000 | 18 | 1.14 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Spring | 460000 | 54 | 1.00 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.02E-01 | 6.66E-01 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.10E+00 | $3.26 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Summer | 723000 | 26 | 1.59 | 4.96E-01 | 8.14E-02 | 7.16E-02 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.32E-01 | $5.41 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Winter | 350000 | 26 | 0.72 | $8.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.50 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 251000 | 9 | 0.45 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 1076000 | 65 | 2.39 | 7.34E-01 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.16E-02 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.74E-01 | $9.16 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.58 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.16 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Suburban | 748000 | 50 | 0.86 | $8.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.88 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Black | 63000 | 9 | 0.29 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | 2012000 | 115 | 1.28 | 7.41E-01 | 1.05E-01 | $7.16 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.82 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.03 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.58 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 665000 | 37 | 1.43 | 7.93E-01 | 1.96E-01 | 7.16E-02 | 1.37E-01 | 1.41E-01 | $2.17 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.39E-01 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.10E+00 | $9.16 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.16 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Northeast | 87000 | 7 | 0.21 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | South | 823000 | 44 | 1.28 | $5.36 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.46E-02 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.96E-01 | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.99 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.18E+00 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | West | 500000 | 36 | 1.39 | $9.21 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.66 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Households who raise animals | 1824000 | 113 | 18.06 | 7.46E-01 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.16E-02 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.82 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.58 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Households who farm | 741000 | 44 | 10.11 | $8.98 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.70E-01 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.77E-01 | $2.72 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.66E-01 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.58 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.16 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | * Intake data not provided for sub | pulations f | or which th | ere were less th | n 20 observa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NOTE: $\quad$ SE = standard erro <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ percentile of th <br> Nc wgtd $=$ weight <br> Source: Based on EPA's analyses | distribution number of f the 1987-8 | onsumers 88 NFCS | Nc unwgtd $=u$ | weighted num | ber of consu | mers in surve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |







|  | Table 13-49. Consumer Only Intake of Homegrown Other Berries (g/kg-day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population <br> Group | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Nc} \\ \text { wgtd } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Nc unwgtd | \% <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 1626000 | 99 | 0.86 | 4.80E-01 | $4.24 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.68E-02 | $9.24 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.32 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.84 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.89 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 01-02 | 41000 | 2 | 0.72 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 03-05 | 53000 | 3 | 0.65 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 06-11 | 106000 | 10 | 0.63 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 12-19 | 79000 | 5 | 0.39 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 20-39 | 309000 | 20 | 0.50 | 3.90E-01 | $6.31 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.95E-02 | 9.18E-02 | 9.18E-02 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.30E-01 | 5.52E-01 | 7.94E-01 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | 40-69 | 871000 | 51 | 1.54 | $4.89 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.72 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.69 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.89 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.12 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.68E-01 | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | $70+$ | 159000 | 7 | 1.00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 379000 | 13 | 0.80 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Spring | 287000 | 29 | 0.62 | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.11 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.69E-02 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.08 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.40 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.24E-01 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Summer | 502000 | 18 | 1.10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Winter | 458000 | 39 | 0.94 | 5.35E-01 | $7.39 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.32E-01 | $3.89 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.23 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.95 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 378000 | 15 | 0.67 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 466000 | 37 | 1.04 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.96 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.24 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Suburban | 722000 | 45 | 0.83 | $4.48 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.32 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.18 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.84 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.35 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.89 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Black | 76000 | 4 | 0.35 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | 1490000 | 93 | 0.95 | $5.03 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.43 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.18 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.95 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.04 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 736000 | 56 | 1.59 | 4.57E-01 | $6.26 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.69 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.18 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.87E-01 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Northeast | 211000 | 11 | 0.51 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | South | 204000 | 12 | 0.32 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | West | 415000 | 18 | 1.15 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Households who garden | 1333000 | 84 | 1.96 | 4.72E-01 | $4.83 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.18 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.53 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Households who farm | 219000 | 16 | 2.99 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | * Intake data not provided | subpopulat | ons for whi | ch there were le | ss than 20 ob | ervations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NOTE: $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SE}=\text { standa } \\ & \mathrm{P}=\text { percentil } \end{aligned}$ <br> Nc wgtd $=$ <br> Source: Based on EPA's a | rror $f$ the distrib hted numb ses of the | ution <br> $r$ of consu <br> 97-88 NF | ers; Nc unwgt S | = unweighted | number of | nsumers in su | vey. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





|  | Table 13-53. Consumer Only Intake of Homegrown Peppers (g/kg-day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Group | Nc wgtd | Nc unwgtd | \% <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 5153000 | 208 | 2.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 01-02 | 163000 | 6 | 2.86 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 03-05 | 108000 | 5 | 1.33 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 06-11 | 578000 | 26 | 3.46 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.03 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 8.99E-02 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.70E-01 | $8.45 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.45 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
|  | 12-19 | 342000 | 16 | 1.67 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 20-39 | 1048000 | 40 | 1.70 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.10E-02 | 1.74E-02 | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.66E-02 | 8.55E-02 | 1.19E-01 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.97 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.24E-01 | 2.48E+00 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | 40-69 | 2221000 | 88 | 3.92 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.78 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.32E-03 | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.52E-02 | $7.58 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.66E-01 | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.77E-01 | $7.44 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | 70 + | 646000 | 25 | 4.07 | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.47 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.24 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 1726000 | 53 | 3.62 | 1.97E-01 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.05E-02 | 8.55E-02 | 1.66E-01 | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.49 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.97 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Spring | 255000 | 28 | 0.55 | $2.95 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.15 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.86E-02 | 6.93E-02 | 1.47E-01 | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Summer | 2672000 | 94 | 5.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Winter | 500000 | 33 | 1.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 865000 | 30 | 1.53 | 2.46E-01 | $4.23 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.86E-02 | 5.66E-02 | 6.72E-02 | 1.10E-01 | 1.84E-01 | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.61 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.10E+00 | 1.10E+00 |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 1982000 | 89 | 4.40 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.93 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.32E-03 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.34 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 6.93E-02 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.72 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.37 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.70 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.48E+00 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Suburban | 2246000 | 87 | 2.59 | $2.47 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.55 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.90 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.73 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Black | 127000 | 6 | 0.58 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | 4892000 | 198 | 3.11 | 2.47E-01 | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.74E-02 | 2.96E-02 | 4.05E-02 | 8.55E-02 | 1.54E-01 | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.90E-01 | $9.24 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 1790000 | 74 | 3.86 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.06E-02 | 5.32E-03 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.26E-02 | 5.98E-02 | 1.47E-01 | 2.57E-01 | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.45 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.48E+00 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Northeast | 786000 | 31 | 1.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | South | 1739000 | 72 | 2.70 | 2.30E-01 | $2.89 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.34 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 6.74E-02 | $7.60 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.66E-01 | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.25E-01 | 5.26E-01 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | West | 778000 | 29 | 2.16 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.04 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.58 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.53 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.37E-01 | $9.24 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Households who garden | 4898000 | 199 | 7.19 | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.00E+00 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.58 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.54E-01 | $2.85 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.77E-01 | $8.45 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.50E+00 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Households who farm | 867000 | 35 | 11.83 | $3.03 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.50E-02 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.96E-02 | $7.11 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.66E-01 | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.00E-01 | $8.45 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | * Intake data not provided | population | for which | there were less | than 20 obs | rvations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NOTE: $\quad$ SE $=$ standa <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ percentil <br> Nc wgtd $=$ <br> Source: Based on EPA's an | e distributio d number of of the 1987 |  | ; Nc unwgtd | unweighted | number of con | sumers in sur |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






[^6]


## 








Nc wgtd = weighted number of consumers; Nc unwgtd = unweighted number of consumers in surve
$\qquad$



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Nc} \\ \text { wgtd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Nc} \\ \text { unwgtd } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
| Total | 5467000 | 245 | 2.91 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.44E-02 | 4.34E-02 | 6.70E-02 | 1.26E-01 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.17E-01 | 7.74E-01 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.63 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-02 | 124000 | 8 | 2.18 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 03-05 | 61000 | 4 | 0.75 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 06-11 | 382000 | 17 | 2.29 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 12-19 | 493000 | 21 | 2.41 | $4.73 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.18E-02 | 6.05E-02 | 6.05E-02 | 6.29E-02 | $9.07 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.63 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.79E-01 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.44 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.58 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.58 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 20-39 | 1475000 | 63 | 2.39 | $5.32 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.54E-02 | 4.89E-02 | 5.55E-02 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.05E-01 | $5.11 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.22 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.67E+00 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 40-69 | 2074000 | 96 | 3.66 | 5.39E-01 | $5.15 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.90E-02 | $9.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.33 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $70+$ | 761000 | 32 | 4.79 | 7.81E-01 | 9.20E-02 | $7.64 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.72E-01 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.61 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 2664000 | 97 | 5.59 | 7.38E-01 | 8.18E-02 | 9.21E-02 | 1.22E-01 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.51E-01 | 9.74E-01 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.23 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.63 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Spring | 315000 | 34 | 0.68 | $5.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.52E-02 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.47 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.45 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.42 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.41 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.41 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Summer | 1619000 | 52 | 3.56 | 5.09E-01 | $6.37 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.16E-02 | 5.49E-02 | $6.48 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.10 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.35 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Winter | 869000 | 62 | 1.78 | $6.29 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.15 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.34E-02 | $6.29 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.96 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.54 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.23 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.37 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.37 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 1308000 | 43 | 2.32 | 5.07E-01 | 7.07E-02 | 3.90E-02 | 6.29E-02 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.88 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.24 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.24 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Nonmetropolitan | 2100000 | 118 | 4.66 | $6.66 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.72E-02 | 4.16E-02 | 5.55E-02 | $9.07 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.65E-01 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.12 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.37 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.63 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Suburban | 2059000 | 84 | 2.38 | 7.07E-01 | $6.99 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.48 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.74 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 129000 | 8 | 0.59 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| White | 5093000 | 229 | 3.23 | $6.45 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.89 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.21 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.96E-01 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.37 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwest | 2792000 | 128 | 6.02 | 7.52E-01 | 6.01E-02 | 4.34E-02 | 1.32E-01 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.82E-01 | 5.09E-01 | 9.55E-01 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.23 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.37E+00 |
| Northeast | 735000 | 29 | 1.79 | $3.96 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.06E-02 | 4.16E-02 | 5.55E-02 | 6.05E-02 | $9.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.35 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.37 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| South | 557000 | 30 | 0.87 | $5.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.89 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.49E-02 | $7.74 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.05 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.74E-01 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.63 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.63 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| West | 1383000 | 58 | 3.83 | 5.97E-01 | 7.07E-02 | $6.48 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.10E-01 | $6.42 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.44 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.89 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households who garden | 5177000 | 233 | 7.60 | $6.23 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.93E-02 | 4.16E-02 | 9.07E-02 | 1.32E-01 | 2.32E-01 | 4.15E-01 | 7.50E-01 | 1.42E+00 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.37E+00 |
| Households who farm | 1088000 | 51 | 14.85 | $6.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.52E-02 | $9.21 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.40 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| * Intake data not provided for subpopulations for which there were less than 20 observations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: $\quad$ SE $=$ standa $\mathrm{P}=\text { percentil }$ <br> Nc wgtd $=\mathrm{w}$ <br> Source: Based on EPA's an | error <br> of the distrib ghted numb yses of the | bution er of cons 1987-88 N | ners; Nc unwg CS | unweighted | number of c | nsumers in su | rvey. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| A 0 | Table 13-70. Consumer Only Intake of Homegrown Other Fruit (g/kg-day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population <br> Group | Nc wgtd | Nc unwgtd | \% <br> Consuming | Mean | SE | P1 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P100 |
|  | Total | 12615000 | 706 | 6.71 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.86E-01 | $5.41 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.59 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.12E+00 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.26 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 01-02 | 306000 | 19 | 5.37 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 03-05 | 499000 | 31 | 6.16 | $2.66 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7.60E-01 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.54 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.30 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.32E+01 | $3.32 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | 06-11 | 915000 | 68 | 5.48 | $2.60 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.86 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.37 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.21 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | 12-19 | 1021000 | 54 | 4.98 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.40 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.57 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.86 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.09 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.92 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.81 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.12E+00 | $8.12 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | 20-39 | 2761000 | 146 | 4.48 | $1.85 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.72 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.94E-02 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.07 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.20E-01 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.64 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | 40-69 | 4610000 | 259 | 8.13 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.08 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.52 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.68 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.77 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.17 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.77 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.33 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | $70+$ | 2326000 | 119 | 14.65 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.41E-02 | $2.07 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.56 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.71E-01 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.21 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fall | 2923000 | 102 | 6.13 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.59 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.81 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.67 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.89 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.54E+00 |
|  | Spring | 2526000 | 268 | 5.47 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.66E-02 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.33E-01 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.89 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.59 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.26 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.32 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Summer | 4327000 | 144 | 9.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Winter | 2839000 | 192 | 5.83 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.15E-02 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.54E-01 | 8.33E-01 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.79 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.06E+00 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Urbanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Central City | 2681000 | 102 | 4.76 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.41E-02 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.91E-01 | 5.21E-01 | 8.87E-01 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.54 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.54 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Nonmetropolitan | 4118000 | 278 | 9.15 | $2.43 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.52E-02 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.60 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.12E+00 | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 5.33E+01 |
|  | Suburban | 5756000 | 324 | 6.65 | $2.25 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.46 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.72 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.61 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.26 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Black | 250000 | 12 | 1.15 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | 12256000 | 690 | 7.78 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.91E-01 | $6.96 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.59 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.66E-01 | $9.16 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.94 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.65 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.26E+00 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.26 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Midwest | 4619000 | 298 | 9.96 | $3.07 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.25E-01 | 4.41E-02 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.35E-01 | 4.54E-01 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.35 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.73 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.42E+01 | 5.33E+01 | $6.26 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Northeast | 1279000 | 72 | 3.11 | $9.32 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.98 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.55 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.11 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.75E-01 | $8.12 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.16 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | South | 3004000 | 157 | 4.67 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.59 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.94E-02 | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.99 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.46 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.10E+00 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.06E+00 | $6.30 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | West | 3653000 | 177 | 10.13 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.44 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.71 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.04 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.35 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.75 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.30 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.30 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Response to Questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Households who garden | 10926000 | 619 | 16.03 | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.12E-01 | 4.41E-02 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.57 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.74E-01 | $9.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.96 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.94 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.26 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | Households who farm | 1917000 | 112 | 26.16 | $2.57 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.65 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.96E-02 | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.61 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.33E-01 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.62 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.80 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $\frac{2}{6}$ | * Intake data not provided for subpopulations for which there were less than 20 observations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { NOTE: } & \text { SE }=\text { standar } \\ & P=\text { percentil } \\ & \text { Nc wgtd }=w \end{array}$ <br> Source: Based on EPA's an | of the distrib ighted number lyses of the | bution er of cons 1987-88 N | mers; Nc unwg CS | $d=\text { unweighte }$ | number of | consumers in | arvey. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Chapter 13 - Intake Rates for Various Home Produced Food Items

| Table 13-72. Confidence in Homegrown Food Consumption Recommendations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of Peer Review | USDA and EPA review | High |
| - Accessibility | Methods described in detail in Handbook | High |
| - Reproducibility | see above | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Yes | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | U.S. population | High |
| - Primary data | Yes | High |
| - Currency | 1987-88 | Medium |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Statistical method used to estimate long-term distribution from one-week survey data. | High (Means \& Short-term distributions) <br> Low (Long-term distributions) |
| - Validity of approach | Individual intakes inferred from household consumption. | Medium (Means) <br> Low (Distributions) |
| - Study size | 10,000 individuals, 4500 households | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | Nationwide survey representative of general U.S. population | High |
| - Bias in study design (high rating desirable) | Non-response bias can not be ruled out due to low response rate. | Medium |
| - Measurement Error (high rating desirable) | Individuals' estimates of food weights imprecise | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | 1 | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | N/A |  |
| Overall Rating | Highest confidence in means, lowest confidence in long term percentiles | Medium (Means) <br> Medium <br> (Short-term distributions) <br> Low (Long-term distributions) |
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Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data

| Food Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAJOR FOOD GROUPS |  |  |
| Total Fruits | 50- Fresh Fruits citrus other vitamin-C rich other fruits <br> 512- Commercially Canned Fruits <br> 522- Commercially Frozen Fruits <br> 533- Canned Fruit Juice <br> 534- Frozen Fruit Juice <br> 535- Aseptically Packed Fruit Juice <br> 536- Fresh Fruit Juice <br> 542- Dried Fruits <br> (includes baby foods) | 6- Fruits <br> citrus fruits and juices <br> dried fruits <br> other fruits <br> fruits/juices \& nectar <br> fruit/juices baby food <br> (includes baby foods) |
| Total <br> Vegetables | 48- Potatoes, Sweetpotatoes <br> 49- Fresh Vegetables <br> dark green <br> deep yellow <br> tomatoes <br> light green <br> other <br> 511- Commercially Canned Vegetables <br> 521- Commercially Frozen Vegetables <br> 531- Canned Vegetable Juice <br> 532- Frozen Vegetable Juice <br> 537- Fresh Vegetable Juice <br> 538- Aseptically Packed Vegetable Juice <br> 541- Dried Vegetables <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures/dinners) | 7- Vegetables (all forms) <br> white potatoes \& PR starchy <br> dark green vegetables <br> deep yellow vegetables tomatoes and tom. mixtures other vegetables veg. and mixtures/baby food veg. with meat mixtures <br> (includes baby foods; mixtures, mostly vegetables) |
| Total Meats | 44- Meat <br> beef <br> pork <br> veal <br> lamb <br> mutton <br> goat <br> game <br> lunch meat <br> mixtures <br> 451- Poultry <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 20- Meat, type not specified <br> 21- Beef <br> 22- Pork <br> 23- Lamb, veal, game, carcass meat <br> 24- Poultry <br> 25- Organ meats, sausages, lunchmeats, meat spreads (excludes meat, poultry, and fish with non-meat items; frozen plate meals; soups and gravies with meat, poultry and fish base; and gelatin-based drinks; includes baby foods) |
| Total Dairy | 40- Milk Equivalent <br> fresh fluid milk <br> processed milk <br> cream and cream substitutes <br> frozen desserts with milk <br> cheese <br> dairy-based dips <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners) | 1- Milk and Milk Products <br> milk and milk drinks <br> cream and cream substitutes <br> milk desserts, sauces, and gravies <br> cheeses <br> (includes regular fluid milk, human milk, imitation milk products, yogurt, milk-based meal replacements, and infant formulas) |

Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Fish | 452- Fish, Shellfish <br> various species <br> fresh, frozen, commercial, dried <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners) | 26- Fish, Shellfish <br> various species and forms <br> (excludes meat, poultry, and fish with non-meat items; frozen plate meals; soups and gravies with meat, poultry and fish base; and gelatin-based drinks) |
| INDIVIDUAL FOODS |  |  |
| White Potatoes | 4811- White Potatoes, fresh <br> 4821- White Potatoes, commercially canned <br> 4831- White Potatoes, commercially frozen <br> 4841- White Potatoes, dehydrated <br> 4851- White Potatoes, chips, sticks, salad <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners) | 71- White Potatoes and PR Starchy Veg. baked, boiled, chips, sticks, creamed, scalloped, au gratin, fried, mashed, stuffed, puffs, salad, recipes, soups, Puerto Rican starchy vegetables <br> (does not include vegetables soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Peppers | 4913- Green/Red Peppers, fresh <br> 5111201 Sweet Green Peppers, commercially canned <br> 5111202 Hot Chili Peppers, commercially canned <br> 5211301 Sweet Green Peppers, commercially frozen <br> 5211302 Green Chili Peppers, commercially frozen <br> 5211303 Red Chili Peppers, commercially frozen <br> 5413112 Sweet Green Peppers, dry <br> 5413113 Red Chili Peppers, dry <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners) | 7512100 Pepper, hot chili, raw <br> 7512200 Pepper, raw <br> 7512210 Pepper, sweet green, raw <br> 7512220 Pepper, sweet red, raw <br> 7522600 Pepper, green, cooked, NS as to fat added <br> 7522601 Pepper, green, cooked, fat not added <br> 7522602 Pepper, green, cooked, fat added <br> 7522604 Pepper, red, cooked, NS as to fat added <br> 7522605 Pepper, red, cooked, fat not added <br> 7522606 Pepper, red, cooked, fat added <br> 7522609 Pepper, hot, cooked, NS as to fat added <br> 7522610 Pepper, hot, cooked, fat not added <br> 7522611 Pepper, hot, cooked, fat added <br> 7551101 Peppers, hot, sauce <br> 7551102 Peppers, pickled <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Onions | 4953- Onions, Garlic, fresh <br> onions <br> chives <br> garlic <br> leeks <br> 5114908 Garlic Pulp, raw <br> 5114915 Onions, commercially canned <br> 5213722 Onions, commercially frozen <br> 5213723 Onions with Sauce, commercially frozen <br> 5413103 Chives, dried <br> 5413105 Garlic Flakes, dried <br> 5413110 Onion Flakes, dried <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners) | 7510950 Chives, raw <br> 7511150 Garlic, raw <br> 7511250 Leek, raw <br> 7511701 Onions, young green, raw <br> 7511702 Onions, mature <br> 7521550 Chives, dried <br> 7521740 Garlic, cooked <br> 7522100 Onions, mature cooked, NS as to fat added <br> 7522101 Onions, mature cooked, fat not added <br> 7522102 Onions, mature cooked, fat added <br> 7522103 Onions, pearl cooked <br> 7522104 Onions, young green cooked, NS as to fat <br> 7522105 Onions, young green cooked, fat not added <br> 7522106 Onions, young green cooked, fat added <br> 7522110 Onion, dehydrated <br> 7541501 Onions, creamed <br> 7541502 Onion rings <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
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Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corn | 4956- Corn, fresh <br> 5114601 Yellow Corn, commercially canned <br> 5114602 White Corn, commercially canned <br> 5114603 Yellow Creamed Corn, commercially canned <br> 5114604 White Creamed Corn, commercially canned <br> 5114605 Corn on Cob, commercially canned <br> 5114607 Hominy, canned <br> 5115306 Low Sodium Corn, commercially canned <br> 5115307 Low Sodium Cr. Corn, commercially canned <br> 5213501 Yellow Corn on Cob, commercially frozen <br> 5213502 Yellow Corn off Cob, commercially frozen <br> 5213503 Yell. Corn with Sauce, commercially frozen <br> 5213504 Corn with other Veg., commercially frozen <br> 5213505 White Corn on Cob, commercially frozen <br> 5213506 White Corn off Cob, commercially frozen <br> 5213507 Wh. Corn with Sauce, commercially frozen <br> 5413104 Corn, dried <br> 5413106 Hominy, dry <br> 5413603 Corn, instant baby food <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby food) | 7510960 Corn, raw <br> 7521600 Corn, cooked, NS as to color/fat added <br> 7521601 Corn, cooked, NS as to color/fat not added <br> 7521602 Corn, cooked, NS as to color/fat added <br> 7521605 Corn, cooked, NS as to color/cream style <br> 7521607 Corn, cooked, dried <br> 7521610 Corn, cooked, yellow/NS as to fat added <br> 7521611 Corn, cooked, yellow/fat not added <br> 7521612 Corn, cooked, yellow/fat added <br> 7521615 Corn, yellow, cream style <br> 7521616 Corn, cooked, yell. \& wh./NS as to fat <br> 7521617 Corn, cooked, yell. \& wh./fat not added <br> 7521618 Corn, cooked, yell. \& wh./fat added <br> 7521619 Corn, yellow, cream style, fat added <br> 7521620 Corn, cooked, white/NS as to fat added <br> 7521621 Corn, cooked, white/fat not added <br> 7521622 Corn, cooked, white/fat added <br> 7521625 Corn, white, cream style <br> 7521630 Corn, yellow, canned, low sodium, NS fat <br> 7521631 Corn, yell., canned, low sod., fat not add <br> 7521632 Corn, yell., canned, low sod., fat added <br> 7521749 Hominy, cooked <br> 752175- Hominy, cooked <br> 7541101 Corn scalloped or pudding <br> 7541102 Corn fritter <br> 7541103 Corn with cream sauce <br> 7550101 Corn relish <br> 76405- Corn, baby <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures; includes baby food) |

Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apples | 5031- Apples, fresh <br> 5122101 Applesauce with sugar, commercially canned 5122102 Applesauce without sugar, comm. canned 5122103 Apple Pie Filling, commercially canned 5122104 Apples, Applesauce, baby/jr., comm. canned 5122106 Apple Pie Filling, Low Cal., comm. canned 5223101 Apple Slices, commercially frozen 5332101 Apple Juice, canned <br> 5332102 Apple Juice, baby, Comm. canned <br> 5342201 Apple Juice, comm. frozen <br> 5342202 Apple Juice, home frozen <br> 5352101 Apple Juice, aseptically packed <br> 5362101 Apple Juice, fresh <br> 5423101 Apples, dried <br> (includes baby food; except mixtures) | 6210110 Apples, dried, uncooked <br> 6210115 Apples, dried, uncooked, low sodium <br> 6210120 Apples, dried, cooked, NS as to sweetener <br> 6210122 Apples, dried, cooked, unsweetened <br> 6210123 Apples, dried, cooked, with sugar <br> 6310100 Apples, raw <br> 6310111 Applesauce, NS as to sweetener <br> 6310112 Applesauce, unsweetened <br> 6310113 Applesauce with sugar <br> 6310114 Applesauce with low calorie sweetener <br> 6310121 Apples, cooked or canned with syrup <br> 6310131 Apple, baked NS as to sweetener <br> 6310132 Apple, baked, unsweetened <br> 6310133 Apple, baked with sugar <br> 6310141 Apple rings, fried <br> 6310142 Apple, pickled <br> 6310150 Apple, fried <br> 6340101 Apple, salad <br> 6340106 Apple, candied <br> 6410101 Apple cider <br> 6410401 Apple juice <br> 6410405 Apple juice with vitamin C <br> 6710200 Applesauce baby fd., NS as to str. or jr. <br> 6710201 Applesauce baby food, strained <br> 6710202 Applesauce baby food, junior <br> 6720200 Apple juice, baby food <br> (includes baby food; except mixtures) |
| Tomatoes | 4931- Tomatoes, fresh <br> 5113- Tomatoes, commercially canned <br> 5115201 Tomatoes, low sodium, commercially canned <br> 5115202 Tomato Sauce, low sodium, comm. canned <br> 5115203 Tomato Paste, low sodium, comm. canned <br> 5115204 Tomato Puree, low sodium, comm. canned <br> 5311- Canned Tomato Juice and Tomato Mixtures <br> 5321- Frozen Tomato Juice <br> 5371- Fresh Tomato Juice <br> 5381102 Tomato Juice, aseptically packed <br> 5413115 Tomatoes, dry <br> 5614- Tomato Soup <br> 5624- Condensed Tomato Soup <br> 5654- Dry Tomato Soup <br> (does not include mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners) | 74- Tomatoes and Tomato Mixtures raw, cooked, juices, sauces, mixtures, soups, sandwiches |
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Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snap Beans | 4943- Snap or Wax Beans, fresh <br> 5114401 Green or Snap Beans, commercially canned <br> 5114402 Wax or Yellow Beans, commercially canned <br> 5114403 Beans, baby/jr., commercially canned <br> 5115302 Green Beans, low sodium, comm. canned <br> 5115303 Yell. or Wax Beans, low sod., comm. canned <br> 5213301 Snap or Green Beans, comm. frozen <br> 5213302 Snap or Green w/sauce, comm. frozen <br> 5213303 Snap or Green Beans w/other veg., comm. fr. <br> 5213304 Sp. or Gr. Beans w/other veg./sc., comm. fr. <br> 5213305 Wax or Yell. Beans, comm. frozen <br> (does not include soups, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods) | 7510180 Beans, string, green, raw <br> 7520498 Beans, string, cooked, NS color/fat added <br> 7520499 Beans, string, cooked, NS color/no fat <br> 7520500 Beans, string, cooked, NS color \& fat <br> 7520501 Beans, string, cooked, green/NS fat <br> 7520502 Beans, string, cooked, green/no fat <br> 7520503 Beans, string, cooked, green/fat <br> 7520511 Beans, str., canned, low sod.,green/NS fat <br> 7520512 Beans, str., canned, low sod.,green/no fat <br> 7520513 Beans, str., canned, low sod.,green/fat <br> 7520600 Beans, string, cooked, yellow/NS fat <br> 7520601 Beans, string, cooked, yellow/no fat <br> 7520602 Beans, string, cooked, yellow/fat <br> 7540301 Beans, string, green, creamed <br> 7540302 Beans, string, green, w/mushroom sauce <br> 7540401 Beans, string, yellow, creamed <br> 7550011 Beans, string, green, pickled <br> 7640100 Beans, green, string, baby <br> 7640101 Beans, green, string, baby, str. <br> 7640102 Beans, green, string, baby, junior <br> 7640103 Beans, green, string, baby, creamed <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or <br> vegetable with meat mixtures; includes baby foods) |
| Beef | 441- Beef (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 21- Beef <br> beef, nfs <br> beef steak <br> beef oxtails, neckbones, ribs <br> roasts, stew meat, corned, brisket, sandwich steaks <br> ground beef, patties, meatballs <br> other beef items <br> beef baby food <br> (excludes meat, poultry, and fish with non-meat items; frozen plate meals; soups and gravies with meat, poultry and fish base; and gelatin-based drinks; includes baby food) |
| Pork | 442- Pork <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 22- Pork <br> pork, nfs; ground dehydrated <br> chops <br> steaks, cutlets <br> ham <br> roasts <br> Canadian bacon <br> bacon, salt pork <br> other pork items <br> pork baby food <br> (excludes meat, poultry, and fish with non-meat items; frozen plate meals; soups and gravies with meat, poultry and fish base; and gelatin-based drinks; includes baby food) |
| Game | 445- Variety Meat, Game (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 233- Game <br> (excludes meat, poultry, and fish with non-meat items; frozen plate meals; soups and gravies with meat, poultry and fish base; and gelatin-based drinks) |

Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poultry | 451- Poultry <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 24- Poultry <br> chicken <br> turkey <br> duck <br> other poultry <br> poultry baby food <br> (excludes meat, poultry, and fish with non-meat items; frozen plate meals; soups and gravies with meat, poultry and fish base; and gelatin-based drinks; includes baby food) |
| Eggs | 46- Eggs (fresh equivalent) <br> fresh <br> processed eggs, substitutes <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 3- Eggs eggs $\quad$ egg mixtures egg substitutes eggs baby food froz. meals with egg as main ingred. (includes baby foods) |
| Broccoli | 4912- Fresh Broccoli (and home canned/froz.) <br> 5111203 Broccoli, comm. canned <br> 52112- Comm. Frozen Broccoli <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready- <br> to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 722- Broccoli (all forms) <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Carrots | 4921- Fresh Carrots (and home canned/froz.) <br> 51121- Comm. Canned Carrots <br> 5115101 Carrots, Low Sodium, Comm. Canned <br> 52121- Comm. Frozen Carrots <br> 5312103 Comm. Canned Carrot Juice <br> 5372102 Carrot Juice Fresh <br> 5413502 Carrots, Dried Baby Food <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready- <br> to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 7310- Carrots (all forms) <br> 7311140 Carrots in Sauce <br> 7311200 Carrot Chips <br> 76201- Carrots, baby <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures; includes baby foods except mixtures) |
| Pumpkin | 4922- Fresh Pumpkin, Winter Squash (and home canned/froz.) <br> 51122- Pumpkin/Squash, Baby or Junior, Comm. Canned <br> 52122- Winter Squash, Comm. Frozen <br> 5413504 Squash, Dried Baby Food <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 732- Pumpkin (all forms) <br> 733- Winter squash (all forms) <br> 76205- Squash, baby <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetables mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures; includes baby foods) |
| Asparagus | 4941- Fresh Asparagus (and home canned/froz.) <br> 5114101 Comm. Canned Asparagus <br> 5115301 Asparagus, Low Sodium, Comm. Canned <br> 52131- Comm. Frozen Asparagus <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready- <br> to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 7510080 Asparagus, raw <br> 75202- Asparagus, cooked <br> 7540101 Asparagus, creamed or with cheese <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetables mixtures, or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
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Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lima Beans | 4942- Fresh Lima and Fava Beans (and home canned/froz.) <br> 5114204 Comm. Canned Mature Lima Beans <br> 5114301 Comm. Canned Green Lima Beans <br> 5115304 Comm. Canned Low Sodium Lima Beans <br> 52132- Comm. Frozen Lima Beans <br> 54111- Dried Lima Beans <br> 5411306 Dried Fava Beans <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures; does not include succotash) | 7510200 Lima Beans, raw <br> 752040- Lima Beans, cooked <br> 752041- Lima Beans, canned <br> 75402- Lima Beans with sauce <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures; does not include succotash) |
| Cabbage | 4944- Fresh Cabbage (and home canned/froz.) <br> 4958601 Sauerkraut, home canned or pkgd <br> 5114801 Sauerkraut, comm. canned <br> 5114904 Comm. Canned Cabbage <br> 5114905 Comm. Canned Cabbage (no sauce; incl. baby) <br> 5115501 Sauerkraut, low sodium., comm. canned <br> 5312102 Sauerkraut Juice, comm. canned <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 7510300 Cabbage, raw <br> 7510400 Cabbage, Chinese, raw <br> 7510500 Cabbage, red, raw <br> 7514100 Cabbage salad or coleslaw <br> 7514130 Cabbage, Chinese, salad <br> 75210- Chinese Cabbage, cooked <br> 75211- Green Cabbage, cooked <br> 75212- Red Cabbage, cooked <br> 752130- Savoy Cabbage, cooked <br> 75230- Sauerkraut, cooked <br> 7540701 Cabbage, creamed <br> 755025- Cabbage, pickled or in relish <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Lettuce | 4945- Fresh Lettuce, French Endive (and home canned/froz.) <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 75113- Lettuce, raw <br> 75143- Lettuce salad with other veg. <br> 7514410 Lettuce, wilted, with bacon dressing <br> 7522005 Lettuce, cooked <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Okra | 4946- Fresh Okra (and home canned/froz.) <br> 5114914 Comm. Canned Okra <br> 5213720 Comm. Frozen Okra <br> 5213721 Comm. Frozen Okra with Oth. Veg. \& Sauce <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 7522000 Okra, cooked, NS as to fat <br> 7522001 Okra, cooked, fat not added <br> 7522002 Okra, cooked, fat added <br> 7522010 Lufta, cooked (Chinese Okra) <br> 7541450 Okra, fried <br> 7550700 Okra, pickled <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Peas | 4947- Fresh Peas (and home canned/froz.) <br> 51147- Comm Canned Peas (incl. baby) <br> 5115310 Low Sodium Green or English Peas (canned) <br> 5115314 Low Sod. Blackeye, Gr. or Imm. Peas (canned) <br> 5114205 Blackeyed Peas, comm. canned <br> 52134- Comm. Frozen Peas <br> 5412- Dried Peas and Lentils <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 7512000 Peas, green, raw <br> 7512775 Snowpeas, raw <br> 75223- Peas, cowpeas, field or blackeye, cooked <br> 75224- Peas, green, cooked <br> 75225- Peas, pigeon, cooked <br> 75231- Snowpeas, cooked <br> 7541650 Pea salad <br> 7541660 Pea salad with cheese <br> 75417- Peas, with sauce or creamed <br> 76409- Peas, baby <br> 76411- Peas, creamed, baby <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures; includes baby foods except mixtures) |

Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cucumbers | 4952- Fresh Cucumbers (and home canned/froz.) (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 7511100 Cucumbers, raw <br> 75142- Cucumber salads <br> 752167- Cucumbers, cooked <br> 7550301 Cucumber pickles, dill <br> 7550302 Cucumber pickles, relish <br> 7550303 Cucumber pickles, sour <br> 7550304 Cucumber pickles, sweet <br> 7550305 Cucumber pickles, fresh <br> 7550307 Cucumber, Kim Chee <br> 7550311 Cucumber pickles, dill, reduced salt <br> 7550314 Cucumber pickles, sweet, reduced salt <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
| Beets | 4954- Fresh Beets (and home canned/froz.) <br> 51145- Comm. Canned Beets (incl. baby) <br> 5115305 Low Sodium Beets (canned) <br> 5213714 Comm. Frozen Beets <br> 5312104 Beet Juice <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 7510250 Beets, raw <br> 752080- Beets, cooked <br> 752081- Beets, canned <br> 7540501 Beets, harvard <br> 7550021 Beets, pickled <br> 76403- Beets, baby <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures; includes baby foods except mixtures) |
| Strawberries | 5022- Fresh Strawberries <br> 5122801 Comm. Canned Strawberries with sugar <br> 5122802 Comm. Canned Strawberries without sugar <br> 5122803 Canned Strawberry Pie Filling <br> 5222- Comm. Frozen Strawberries <br> (does not include ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 6322- Strawberries 6413250 Strawberry Juice (includes baby food; except mixtures) |
| Other <br> Berries | 5033- Fresh Berries Other than Strawberries <br> 5122804 Comm. Canned Blackberries with sugar <br> 5122805 Comm. Canned Blackberries without sugar <br> 5122806 Comm. Canned Blueberries with sugar <br> 5122807 Comm. Canned Blueberries without sugar <br> 5122808 Canned Blueberry Pie Filling <br> 5122809 Comm. Canned Gooseberries with sugar <br> 5122810 Comm. Canned Gooseberries without sugar <br> 5122811 Comm. Canned Raspberries with sugar <br> 5122812 Comm. Canned Raspberries without sugar <br> 5122813 Comm. Canned Cranberry Sauce <br> 5122815 Comm. Canned Cranberry-Orange Relish <br> 52233- Comm. Frozen Berries (not strawberries) <br> 5332404 Blackberry Juice (home and comm. canned) <br> 5423114 Dried Berries (not strawberries) <br> (does not include ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 6320- Other Berries <br> 6321- Other Berries <br> 6341101 Cranberry salad 6410460 Blackberry Juice 64105- Cranberry Juice (includes baby food; except mixtures) |
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Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peaches | 5036- Fresh Peaches <br> 51224- Comm. Canned Peaches (incl. baby) <br> 5223601 Comm. Frozen Peaches <br> 5332405 Home Canned Peach Juice <br> 5423105 Dried Peaches (baby) <br> 5423106 Dried Peaches <br> (does not include ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 62116- Dried Peaches <br> 63135- Peaches <br> 6412203 Peach Juice <br> 6420501 Peach Nectar <br> 67108- Peaches,baby <br> 6711450 Peaches, dry, baby <br> (includes baby food; except mixtures) |
| Pears | 5037- Fresh Pears <br> 51225- Comm. Canned Pears (incl. baby) <br> 5332403 Comm. Canned Pear Juice, baby <br> 5362204 Fresh Pear Juice <br> 5423107 Dried Pears <br> (does not include ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 62119- $\quad$ Dried Pears 63137- Pears 6341201 Pear salad 6421501 Pear Nectar 67109- Pears, baby 6711455 Pears, dry, baby (includes baby food; except mixtures) |
| EXPOSED/PROTECTED FRUITS/VEGETABLES, ROOT VEGETABLES |  |  |
| Exposed <br> Fruits | 5022- Strawberries, fresh <br> 5023101 Acerola, fresh <br> 5023401 Currants, fresh <br> 5031- Apples/Applesauce, fresh <br> 5033- Berries other than Strawberries, fresh <br> 5034- Cherries, fresh <br> 5036- Peaches, fresh <br> 5037- Pears, fresh <br> 50381- Apricots, Nectarines, Loquats, fresh <br> 5038305 Dates, fresh <br> 50384- Grapes, fresh <br> 50386- Plums, fresh <br> 50387- Rhubarb, fresh <br> 5038805 Persimmons, fresh <br> 5038901 Sapote, fresh <br> 51221- Apples/Applesauce, canned <br> 51222- Apricots, canned <br> 51223- Cherries, canned <br> 51224- Peaches, canned <br> 51225- Pears, canned <br> 51228- Berries, canned <br> 5122903 Grapes with sugar, canned <br> 5122904 Grapes without sugar, canned <br> 5122905 Plums with sugar, canned <br> 5122906 Plums without sugar, canned <br> 5122907 Plums, canned, baby <br> 5122911 Prunes, canned, baby <br> 5122912 Prunes, with sugar, canned <br> 5122913 Prunes, without sugar, canned <br> 5122914 Raisin Pie Filling <br> 5222- Frozen Strawberries <br> 52231- Apples Slices, frozen <br> 52233- Berries, frozen <br> 52234- Cherries, frozen <br> 52236- Peaches, frozen <br> 52239- Rhubarb, frozen <br> 53321- Canned Apple Juice <br> 53322- Canned Grape Juice | 62101- Apple, dried <br> $62104-$ Apricot, dried <br> $62108-$ Currants, dried <br> $62110-$ Date, dried <br> $62116-$ Peaches, dried <br> $62119-$ Pears, dried <br> $62121-$ Plum, dried <br> $62122-$ Prune, dried <br> $62125-$ Raisins <br> $63101-$ Apples/applesauce <br> $63102-$ Wi-apple <br> $63103-$ Apricots <br> $63111-$ Cherries, maraschino <br> $63112-$ Acerola <br> $63113-$ Cherries, sour <br> $63115-$ Cherries, sweet <br> $63117-$ Currants, raw <br> $63123-$ Grapes <br> 6312601 Juneberry <br> $63131-$ Nectarine <br> $63135-$ Peach <br> $63137-$ Pear <br> $63139-$ Persimmons <br> $63143-$ Plum <br> $63146-$ Quince <br> $63147-$ Rhubarb/Sapodillo <br> $632-$ Berries <br> $64101-$ Apple Cider <br> $64104-$ Apple Juice <br> $64105-$ Cranberry Juice <br> $64116-$ Grape Juice <br> $64122-$ Peach Juice <br> $64132-$ Prune/Strawberry Juice <br> 6420101 Apricot Nectar <br> $64205-$ Peach Nectar <br> $64215-$ Pear Nectar <br> $67102-$ Applesauce, baby <br> $67108-$ Peaches, baby <br>   <br> 6  |

Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exposed Fruits (continued) | 5332402 Canned Prune Juice <br> 5332403 Canned Pear Juice <br> 5332404 Canned Blackberry Juice <br> 5332405 Canned Peach Juice <br> 53421- Frozen Grape Juice <br> 5342201 Frozen Apple Juice, comm. fr. <br> 5342202 Frozen Apple Juice, home fr. <br> 5352101 Apple Juice, asep. packed <br> 5352201 Grape Juice, asep. packed <br> 5362101 Apple Juice, fresh <br> 5362202 Apricot Juice, fresh <br> 5362203 Grape Juice, fresh <br> 5362204 Pear Juice, fresh <br> 5362205 Prune Juice, fresh <br> 5421- Dried Prunes <br> 5422- Raisins, Currants, dried <br> 5423101 Dry Apples <br> 5423102 Dry Apricots <br> 5423103 Dates without pits <br> 5423104 Dates with pits <br> 5423105 Peaches, dry, baby <br> 5423106 Peaches, dry <br> 5423107 Pears, dry <br> 5423114 Berries, dry <br> 5423115 Cherries, dry <br> (includes baby foods) | 67109- Pears, baby <br> 6711450 Peaches, baby, dry <br> 6711455 Pears, baby, dry <br> 67202- Apple Juice, baby <br> 6720380 White Grape Juice, baby <br> 67212- Pear Juice, baby <br> (includes baby foods/juices except mixtures; excludes fruit mixtures) |
| Protected Fruits | 501- Citrus Fruits, fresh <br> 5021- Cantaloupe, fresh <br> 5023201 Mangoes, fresh <br> 5023301 Guava, fresh <br> 5023601 Kiwi, fresh <br> 5023701 Papayas, fresh <br> 5023801 Passion Fruit, fresh <br> 5032- Bananas, Plantains, fresh <br> 5035- Melons other than Cantaloupe, fresh <br> 50382- Avocados, fresh <br> 5038301 Figs, fresh <br> 5038302 Figs, cooked <br> 5038303 Figs, home canned <br> 5038304 Figs, home frozen <br> 50385- Pineapple, fresh <br> 5038801 Pomegranates, fresh <br> 5038902 Cherimoya, fresh <br> 5038903 Jackfruit, fresh <br> 5038904 Breadfruit, fresh <br> 5038905 Tamarind, fresh <br> 5038906 Carambola, fresh <br> 5038907 Longan, fresh <br> 5121- Citrus, canned <br> 51226- Pineapple, canned <br> 5122901 Figs with sugar, canned <br> 5122902 Figs without sugar, canned <br> 5122909 Bananas, canned, baby <br> 5122910 Bananas and Pineapple, canned, baby <br> 5122915 Litchis, canned | 61- Citrus Fr., Juices (incl. cit. juice mixtures) <br> 62107- Bananas, dried <br> 62113- Figs, dried <br> 62114- Lychees/Papayas, dried <br> 62120- Pineapple, dried <br> 62126- Tamarind, dried <br> 63105- Avocado, raw <br> 63107- Bananas <br> 63109- Cantaloupe, Carambola <br> 63110- Cassaba Melon <br> 63119- Figs <br> 63121- Genip <br> 63125- Guava/Jackfruit, raw <br> 6312650 Kiwi <br> 6312651 Lychee, raw <br> 6312660 Lychee, cooked <br> 63127- Honeydew <br> 63129- Mango <br> 63133- Papaya <br> 63134- Passion Fruit <br> 63141- Pineapple <br> 63145- Pomegranate <br> 63148- Sweetsop, Soursop, Tamarind <br> 63149- Watermelon <br> 64120- Papaya Juice <br> 64121- Passion Fruit Juice <br> 64124- Pineapple Juice <br> 64133- Watermelon Juice <br> 6420150 Banana Nectar |
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Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protected <br> Fruits (continued) | 5122916 Mangos with sugar, canned <br> 5122917 Mangos without sugar, canned <br> 5122918 Mangos, canned, baby <br> 5122920 Guava with sugar, canned <br> 5122921 Guava without sugar, canned <br> 5122923 Papaya with sugar, canned <br> 5122924 Papaya without sugar, canned <br> 52232- Bananas, frozen <br> 52235- Melon, frozen <br> 52237- Pineapple, frozen <br> 5331- Canned Citrus Juices <br> 53323- Canned Pineapple Juice <br> 5332408 Canned Papaya Juice <br> 5332410 Canned Mango Juice <br> 5332501 Canned Papaya Concentrate <br> 5341- Frozen Citrus Juice <br> 5342203 Frozen Pineapple Juice <br> 5351- Citrus and Citrus Blend Juices, asep. packed <br> 5352302 Pineapple Juice, asep. packed <br> 5361- Fresh Citrus and Citrus Blend Juices <br> 5362206 Papaya Juice, fresh <br> 5362207 Pineapple-Coconut Juice, fresh <br> 5362208 Mango Juice, fresh <br> 5362209 Pineapple Juice, fresh <br> 5423108 Pineapple, dry <br> 5423109 Papaya, dry <br> 5423110 Bananas, dry <br> 5423111 Mangos, dry <br> 5423117 Litchis, dry <br> 5423118 Tamarind, dry <br> 5423119 Plantain, dry <br> (includes baby foods) | 64202- Cantaloupe Nectar <br> 64203- Guava Nectar <br> 64204- Mango Nectar <br> 64210- Papaya Nectar <br> 64213- Passion Fruit Nectar <br> 64221- Soursop Nectar <br> 6710503 Bananas, baby <br> 6711500 Bananas, baby, dry <br> 6720500 Orange Juice, baby <br> 6721300 Pineapple Juice, baby <br> (includes baby foods/juices except mixtures; excludes fruit mixtures) |

Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exposed Veg. | 491- Fresh Dark Green Vegetables <br> 493- Fresh Tomatoes <br> 4941- Fresh Asparagus <br> 4943- Fresh Beans, Snap or Wax <br> 4944- Fresh Cabbage <br> 4945- Fresh Lettuce <br> 4946- Fresh Okra <br> 49481- Fresh Artichokes <br> 49483- Fresh Brussel Sprouts <br> 4951- Fresh Celery <br> 4952- Fresh Cucumbers <br> 4955- Fresh Cauliflower <br> 4958103 Fresh Kohlrabi <br> 4958111 Fresh Jerusalem Artichokes <br> 4958112 Fresh Mushrooms <br> 4958113 Mushrooms, home canned <br> 4958114 Mushrooms, home frozen <br> 4958118 Fresh Eggplant <br> 4958119 Eggplant, cooked <br> 4958120 Eggplant, home frozen <br> 4958200 Fresh Summer Squash <br> 4958201 Summer Squash, cooked <br> 4958202 Summer Squash, home canned <br> 4958203 Summer Squash, home frozen <br> 4958402 Fresh Bean Sprouts <br> 4958403 Fresh Alfalfa Sprouts <br> 4958504 Bamboo Shoots <br> 4958506 Seaweed <br> 4958508 Tree Fern, fresh <br> 4958601 Sauerkraut <br> 5111- Dark Green Vegetables (all are exposed) <br> 5113- Tomatoes <br> 5114101 Asparagus, comm. canned <br> 51144- Beans, green, snap, yellow, comm. canned <br> 5114704 Snow Peas, comm. canned <br> 5114801 Sauerkraut, comm. canned <br> 5114901 Artichokes, comm. canned <br> 5114902 Bamboo Shoots, comm. canned <br> 5114903 Bean Sprouts, comm. canned <br> 5114904 Cabbage, comm. canned <br> 5114905 Cabbage, comm. canned, no sauce <br> 5114906 Cauliflower, comm. canned, no sauce <br> 5114907 Eggplant, comm. canned, no sauce <br> 5114913 Mushrooms, comm. canned <br> 5114914 Okra, comm. canned <br> 5114918 Seaweeds, comm. canned <br> 5114920 Summer Squash, comm. canned | 721- Dark Green Leafy Veg. <br> 722- Dark Green Nonleafy Veg. <br> 74- Tomatoes and Tomato Mixtures 7510050 Alfalfa Sprouts <br> 7510075 Artichoke, Jerusalem, raw <br> 7510080 Asparagus, raw <br> 75101- Beans, sprouts and green, raw <br> 7510275 Brussel Sprouts, raw <br> 7510280 Buckwheat Sprouts, raw <br> 7510300 Cabbage, raw <br> 7510400 Cabbage, Chinese, raw <br> 7510500 Cabbage, Red, raw <br> 7510700 Cauliflower, raw <br> 7510900 Celery, raw <br> 7510950 Chives, raw <br> 7511100 Cucumber, raw <br> 7511120 Eggplant, raw <br> 7511200 Kohlrabi, raw <br> 75113- Lettuce, raw <br> 7511500 Mushrooms, raw <br> 7511900 Parsley <br> 7512100 Pepper, hot chili <br> 75122- Peppers, raw <br> 7512750 Seaweed, raw <br> 7512775 Snowpeas, raw <br> 75128- Summer Squash, raw <br> 7513210 Celery Juice <br> 7514100 Cabbage or cole slaw <br> 7514130 Chinese Cabbage Salad <br> 7514150 Celery with cheese <br> 75142- Cucumber salads <br> 75143- Lettuce salads <br> 7514410 Lettuce, wilted with bacon dressing <br> 7514600 Greek salad <br> 7514700 Spinach salad <br> 7520600 Algae, dried <br> 75201- Artichoke, cooked <br> 75202- Asparagus, cooked <br> 75203- Bamboo shoots, cooked <br> 752049- Beans, string, cooked <br> 75205- Beans, green, cooked/canned <br> 75206- Beans, yellow, cooked/canned <br> 75207- Bean Sprouts, cooked <br> 752085- Breadfruit <br> 752090- Brussel Sprouts, cooked <br> 75210- Cabbage, Chinese, cooked <br> 75211- Cabbage, green, cooked |
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Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exposed Veg. (cont.) | 5114923 Chinese or Celery Cabbage, comm. canned <br> 51152- Tomatoes, canned, low sod. <br> 5115301 Asparagus, canned, low sod. <br> 5115302 Beans, Green, canned, low sod. <br> 5115303 Beans, Yellow, canned, low sod. <br> 5115309 Mushrooms, canned, low sod. <br> 51154- Greens, canned, low sod. <br> 5115501 Sauerkraut, low sodium <br> 5211- Dark Gr. Veg., comm. frozen (all exp.) <br> 52131- Asparagus, comm. froz. <br> 52133- Beans, snap, green, yellow, comm. froz. <br> 5213407 Peapods, comm froz. <br> 5213408 Peapods, with sauce, comm froz. <br> 5213409 Peapods, with other veg., comm froz. <br> 5213701 Brussel Sprouts, comm. froz. <br> 5213702 Brussel Sprouts, comm. froz. with cheese <br> 5213703 Brussel Sprouts, comm. froz. with other veg. <br> 5213705 Cauliflower, comm. froz. <br> 5213706 Cauliflower, comm. froz. with sauce <br> 5213707 Cauliflower, comm. froz. with other veg. <br> 5213708 Caul., comm. froz. with other veg. \& sauce <br> 5213709 Summer Squash, comm. froz. <br> 5213710 Summer Squash, comm. froz. with other veg. <br> 5213716 Eggplant, comm. froz. <br> 5213718 Mushrooms with sauce, comm. froz. <br> 5213719 Mushrooms, comm. froz. <br> 5213720 Okra, comm. froz. <br> 5213721 Okra, comm. froz., with sauce <br> 5311- Canned Tomato Juice and Tomato Mixtures <br> 5312102 Canned Sauerkraut Juice <br> 5321- Frozen Tomato Juice <br> 5371- Fresh Tomato Juice <br> 5381102 Aseptically Packed Tomato Juice <br> 5413101 Dry Algae <br> 5413102 Dry Celery <br> 5413103 Dry Chives <br> 5413109 Dry Mushrooms <br> 5413111 Dry Parsley <br> 5413112 Dry Green Peppers <br> 5413113 Dry Red Peppers <br> 5413114 Dry Seaweed <br> 5413115 Dry Tomatoes <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 75212- Cabbage, red, cooked <br> 752130- Cabbage, savoy, cooked <br> 75214- Cauliflower <br> 75215- Celery, Chives, Christophine (chayote) <br> 752167- Cucumber, cooked <br> 752170- Eggplant, cooked <br> 752171- Fern shoots <br> 752172- Fern shoots <br> 752173- Flowers of sesbania, squash or lily <br> 7521801 Kohlrabi, cooked <br> 75219- Mushrooms, cooked <br> 75220- Okra/lettuce, cooked <br> 7522116 Palm Hearts, cooked <br> 7522121 Parsley, cooked <br> 75226- Peppers, pimento, cooked <br> 75230- Sauerkraut, cooked/canned <br> 75231- Snowpeas, cooked <br> 75232- Seaweed <br> 75233- Summer Squash <br> 7540050 Artichokes, stuffed <br> 7540101 Asparagus, creamed or with cheese <br> 75403- Beans, green with sauce <br> 75404- Beans, yellow with sauce <br> 7540601 Brussel Sprouts, creamed <br> 7540701 Cabbage, creamed <br> 75409- Cauliflower, creamed <br> 75410- Celery/Chiles, creamed <br> 75412- Eggplant, fried, with sauce, etc. <br> 75413- Kohlrabi, creamed <br> 75414- Mushrooms, Okra, fried, stuffed, creamed <br> 754180- Squash, baked, fried, creamed, etc. <br> 7541822 Christophine, creamed <br> 7550011 Beans, pickled <br> 7550051 Celery, pickled <br> 7550201 Cauliflower, pickled <br> 755025- Cabbage, pickled <br> 7550301 Cucumber pickles, dill <br> 7550302 Cucumber pickles, relish <br> 7550303 Cucumber pickles, sour <br> 7550304 Cucumber pickles, sweet <br> 7550305 Cucumber pickles, fresh <br> 7550307 Cucumber, Kim Chee <br> 7550308 Eggplant, pickled <br> 7550311 Cucumber pickles, dill, reduced salt <br> 7550314 Cucumber pickles, sweet, reduced salt <br> 7550500 Mushrooms, pickled <br> 7550700 Okra, pickled <br> 75510- Olives <br> 7551101 Peppers, hot <br> 7551102 Peppers,pickled <br> 7551301 Seaweed, pickled <br> 7553500 Zucchini, pickled <br> 76102- Dark Green Veg., baby <br> 76401- Beans, baby (excl. most soups \& mixtures) |

Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protected <br> Veg. | 4922- Fresh Pumpkin, Winter Squash <br> 4942- Fresh Lima Beans <br> 4947- Fresh Peas <br> 49482- Fresh Soy Beans <br> 4956- Fresh Corn <br> 4958303 Succotash, home canned <br> 4958304 Succotash, home frozen <br> 4958401 Fresh Cactus (prickly pear) <br> 4958503 Burdock <br> 4958505 Bitter Melon <br> 4958507 Horseradish Tree Pods <br> 51122- Comm. Canned Pumpkin and Squash (baby) <br> 51142- Beans, comm. canned <br> 51143- Beans, lima and soy, comm. canned <br> 51146- Corn, comm. canned <br> 5114701 Peas, green, comm. canned <br> 5114702 Peas, baby, comm. canned <br> 5114703 Peas, blackeye, comm. canned <br> 5114705 Pigeon Peas, comm. canned <br> 5114919 Succotash, comm. canned <br> 5115304 Lima Beans, canned, low sod. <br> 5115306 Corn, canned, low sod. <br> 5115307 Creamed Corn, canned, low sod. <br> 511531- Peas and Beans, canned, low sod. <br> 52122- Winter Squash, comm. froz. <br> 52132- Lima Beans, comm. froz. <br> 5213401 Peas, gr., comm. froz. <br> 5213402 Peas, gr., with sauce, comm. froz. <br> 5213403 Peas, gr., with other veg., comm. froz. <br> 5213404 Peas, gr., with other veg., comm. froz. <br> 5213405 Peas, blackeye, comm froz. <br> 5213406 Peas, blackeye, with sauce, comm froz. <br> 52135- Corn, comm. froz. <br> 5213712 Artichoke Hearts, comm. froz. <br> 5213713 Baked Beans, comm. froz. <br> 5213717 Kidney Beans, comm. froz. <br> 5213724 Succotash, comm. froz. <br> 5411- Dried Beans <br> 5412- Dried Peas and Lentils <br> 5413104 Dry Corn <br> 5413106 Dry Hominy <br> 5413504 Dry Squash, baby <br> 5413603 Dry Creamed Corn, baby <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready- <br> to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 732- Pumpkin <br> 733- Winter Squash <br> 7510200 Lima Beans, raw <br> 7510550 Cactus, raw <br> 7510960 Corn, raw <br> 7512000 Peas, raw <br> 7520070 Aloe vera juice <br> 752040- Lima Beans, cooked <br> 752041- Lima Beans, canned <br> 7520829 Bitter Melon <br> 752083- Bitter Melon, cooked <br> 7520950 Burdock <br> 752131- Cactus <br> 752160- Corn, cooked <br> 752161- Corn, yellow, cooked <br> 752162- Corn, white, cooked <br> 752163- Corn, canned <br> 7521749 Hominy <br> 752175- Hominy <br> 75223- Peas, cowpeas, field or blackeye, cooked <br> 75224- Peas, green, cooked <br> 75225- Peas, pigeon, cooked <br> 75301- Succotash <br> 75402- Lima Beans with sauce <br> 75411- Corn, scalloped, fritter, with cream <br> 7541650 Pea salad <br> 7541660 Pea salad with cheese <br> 75417- Peas, with sauce or creamed <br> 7550101 Corn relish <br> 76205- Squash, yellow, baby <br> 76405- Corn, baby <br> 76409- Peas, baby <br> 76411- Peas, creamed, baby <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |
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Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root <br> Vegetables | 48- Potatoes, Sweetpotatoes <br> 4921- Fresh Carrots <br> 4953- Fresh Onions, Garlic <br> 4954- Fresh Beets <br> 4957- Fresh Turnips <br> 4958101 Fresh Celeriac <br> 4958102 Fresh Horseradish <br> 4958104 Fresh Radishes, no greens <br> 4958105 Radishes, home canned <br> 4958106 Radishes, home frozen <br> 4958107 Fresh Radishes, with greens <br> 4958108 Fresh Salsify <br> 4958109 Fresh Rutabagas <br> 4958110 Rutabagas, home frozen <br> 4958115 Fresh Parsnips <br> 4958116 Parsnips, home canned <br> 4958117 Parsnips, home frozen <br> 4958502 Fresh Lotus Root <br> 4958509 Ginger Root <br> 4958510 Jicama, including yambean <br> 51121- Carrots, comm. canned <br> 51145- Beets, comm. canned <br> 5114908 Garlic Pulp, comm. canned <br> 5114910 Horseradish, comm. prep. <br> 5114915 Onions, comm. canned <br> 5114916 Rutabagas, comm. canned <br> 5114917 Salsify, comm. canned <br> 5114921 Turnips, comm. canned <br> 5114922 Water Chestnuts, comm. canned <br> 51151- Carrots, canned, low sod. <br> 5115305 Beets, canned, low sod. <br> 5115502 Turnips, low sod. <br> 52121- Carrots, comm. froz. <br> 5213714 Beets, comm. froz. <br> 5213722 Onions, comm. froz. <br> 5213723 Onions, comm. froz., with sauce <br> 5213725 Turnips, comm. froz. <br> 5312103 Canned Carrot Juice <br> 5312104 Canned Beet Juice <br> 5372102 Fresh Carrot Juice <br> 5413105 Dry Garlic <br> 5413110 Dry Onion <br> 5413502 Dry Carrots, baby <br> 5413503 Dry Sweet Potatoes, baby <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready- <br> to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures) | 71- White Potatoes and Puerto Rican St. Veg. <br> 7310- Carrots <br> 7311140 Carrots in sauce <br> 7311200 Carrot chips <br> 734- Sweetpotatoes <br> 7510250 Beets, raw <br> 7511150 Garlic, raw <br> 7511180 Jicama (yambean), raw <br> 7511250 Leeks, raw <br> 75117- Onions, raw <br> 7512500 Radish, raw <br> 7512700 Rutabaga, raw <br> 7512900 Turnip, raw <br> 752080- Beets, cooked <br> 752081- Beets, canned <br> 7521362 Cassava <br> 7521740 Garlic, cooked <br> 7521771 Horseradish <br> 7521850 Lotus root <br> 752210- Onions, cooked <br> 7522110 Onions, dehydrated <br> 752220- Parsnips, cooked <br> 75227- Radishes, cooked <br> 75228- Rutabaga, cooked <br> 75229- Salsify, cooked <br> 75234- Turnip, cooked <br> 75235- Water Chestnut <br> 7540501 Beets, harvard <br> 75415- Onions, creamed, fried <br> 7541601 Parsnips, creamed <br> 7541810 Turnips, creamed <br> 7550021 Beets, pickled <br> 7550309 Horseradish <br> 7551201 Radishes, pickled <br> 7553403 Turnip, pickled <br> 76201- Carrots, baby <br> 76209- Sweetpotatoes, baby <br> 76403- Beets, baby <br> (does not include vegetable soups; vegetable mixtures; or vegetable with meat mixtures) |

Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USDA SUBCATEGORIES |  |  |
| Dark Green Vegetables | 491- Fresh Dark Green Vegetables <br> 5111- Comm. Canned Dark Green Veg. <br> 51154- Low Sodium Dark Green Veg. <br> 5211- Comm. Frozen Dark Green Veg. <br> 5413111 Dry Parsley <br> 5413112 Dry Green Peppers <br> 5413113 Dry Red Peppers <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures/dinners; excludes vegetable juices and dried vegetables) | 72- Dark Green Vegetables <br> all forms leafy, nonleafy, dk. gr. veg. soups |
| Deep <br> Yellow <br> Vegetables | 492- Fresh Deep Yellow Vegetables <br> 5112- Comm. Canned Deep Yellow Veg. <br> 51151- Low Sodium Carrots <br> 5212- Comm. Frozen Deep Yellow Veg. <br> 5312103 Carrot Juice <br> 54135- Dry Carrots, Squash, Sw. Potatoes <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures/dinners; excludes vegetable juices and dried vegetables) | 73- Deep Yellow Vegetables <br> all forms carrots, pumpkin, squash, sweetpotatoes, dp. yell. veg. soups |
| Other <br> Vegetables | 494- Fresh Light Green Vegetables <br> 495- Fresh Other Vegetables <br> 5114- Comm. Canned Other Veg. <br> 51153- Low Sodium Other Veg. <br> 51155- Low Sodium Other Veg. <br> 5213- Comm. Frozen Other Veg. <br> 5312102 Sauerkraut Juice <br> 5312104 Beet Juice <br> 5411- Dreid Beans <br> 5412- Dried Peas, Lentils <br> 541310- Dried Other Veg. <br> 5413114 Dry Seaweed <br> 5413603 Dry Cr. Corn, baby <br> (does not include soups, sauces, gravies, mixtures, and ready-to-eat dinners; includes baby foods except mixtures/dinners; excludes vegetable juices and dried vegetables) | 75- Other Vegetables all forms |
| Citrus Fruits | 501- Fresh Citrus Fruits <br> 5121- Comm. Canned Citrus Fruits <br> 5331- Canned Citrus and Citrus Blend Juice <br> 5341- Frozen Citrus and Citrus Blend Juice <br> 5351- Aseptically Packed Citrus and Citr. Blend Juice <br> 5361- Fresh Citrus and Citrus Blend Juice <br> (includes baby foods; excludes dried fruits) | 61- Citrus Fruits and Juices 6720500 Orange Juice, baby food 6720600 Orange-Apricot Juice, baby food 6720700 Orange-Pineapple Juice, baby food 6721100 Orange-Apple-Banana Juice, baby food (excludes dried fruits) |
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Appendix 13A. Food Codes and Definitions Used in Analysis of the 1987-88 USDA NFCS Data (continued)

| Food <br> Product | Household Code/Definition | Individual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Fruits | 502- Fresh Other Vitamin C-Rich Fruits <br> 503- Fresh Other Fruits <br> 5122- Comm. Canned Fruits Other than Citrus <br> 5222- Frozen Strawberries <br> 5223- Frozen Other than Citr. or Vitamin C-Rich Fr. <br> 5332- Canned Fruit Juice Other than Citrus <br> 5342- Frozen Juices Other than Citrus <br> 5352- Aseptically Packed Fruit Juice Other than Citr. <br> 5362- Fresh Fruit Juice Other than Citrus <br> 542- Dry Fruits <br> (includes baby foods; excludes dried fruits) | 62- Dried Fruits <br> 63- Other Fruits <br> 64- Fruit Juices and Nectars Excluding Citrus <br> 671- Fruits, baby <br> 67202- Apple Juice, baby <br> 67203- Baby Juices <br> 67204- Baby Juices <br> 67212- Baby Juices <br> 67213- Baby Juices <br> 673- Baby Fruits <br> 674- Baby Fruits |
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## 14. BREAST MILK INTAKE

### 14.1. BACKGROUND

Breast milk is a potential source of exposure to toxic substances for nursing infants. Lipid soluble chemical compounds accumulate in body fat and may be transferred to breast-fed infants in the lipid portion of breast milk. Because nursing infants obtain most (if not all) of their dietary intake from breast milk, they are especially vulnerable to exposures to these compounds. Estimating the magnitude of the potential dose to infants from breast milk requires information on the quantity of breast milk consumed per day and the duration (months) over which breast-feeding occurs. Information on the fat content of breast milk is also needed for estimating dose from breast milk residue concentrations that have been indexed to lipid content.

Several studies have generated data on breast milk intake. Typically, breast milk intake has been measured over a 24 -hour period by weighing the infant before and after each feeding without changing its clothing (test weighing). The sum of the difference between the measured weights over the 24 -hour period is assumed to be equivalent to the amount of breast milk consumed daily. Intakes measured using this procedure are often corrected for evaporative water losses (insensible water losses) between infant weighings (NAS, 1991). Neville et al. (1988) evaluated the validity of the test weight approach among bottle-fed infants by comparing the weights of milk taken from bottles with the differences between the infants' weights before and after feeding. When test weight data were corrected for insensible water loss, they were not significantly different from bottle weights. Conversions between weight and volume of breast milk consumed are made using the density of human milk (approximately 1.03 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) (NAS, 1991). Recently, techniques for measuring breast milk intake using stable isotopes have been developed. However, few data based on this new technique have been published (NAS, 1991).

Studies among nursing mothers in industrialized countries have shown that intakes among infants average approximately 750 to $800 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( 728 to $777 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day) during the first 4 to 5 months of life with a range of 450 to $1,200 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( 437 to $1,165 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day ) (NAS, 1991). Similar intakes have also been reported for developing countries (NAS, 1991). Infant birth weight and nursing frequency have been shown to influence the rate of intake (NAS, 1991). Infants who are larger at birth and/or nurse more frequently have been shown to have higher intake rates. Also, breast milk production among nursing mothers has
been reported to be somewhat higher than the amount actually consumed by the infant (NAS, 1991).

The available studies on breast milk intake are summarized in the following sections. Studies on breast milk intake rates have been classified as either key studies or relevant studies based on the criteria described in the Introduction (Volume I, Section 1.3.1). Recommended intake rates are based on the results of key studies, but relevant studies are also presented to provide the reader with added perspective on the current state of knowledge pertaining to breast milk intake.

Relevant data on lipid content and fat intake, breast-feeding duration and frequency, and the estimated percentage of the U.S. population that breast-feeds are also presented.

### 14.2. KEY STUDIES ON BREAST MILK INTAKE

Pao et al. (1980) - Milk Intakes and Feeding Patterns of Breast-fed Infants - Pao et al. (1980) conducted a study of 22 healthy breast-fed infants to estimate breast milk intake rates. Infants were categorized as completely breast-fed or partially breast-fed. Breast feeding mothers were recruited through LaLeche League groups. Except for one black infant, all other infants were from white middleclass families in southwestern Ohio. The goal of the study was to enroll infants as close to one month of age as possible and to obtain records near one, three, six, and nine months of age (Pao et al., 1980). However, not all mother/infant pairs participated at each time interval. Data were collected for these 22 infants using the test weighing method. Records were collected for three consecutive 24hour periods at each test interval. The weight of breast milk was converted to volume by assuming a density of 1.03 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Daily intake rates were calculated for each infant based on the mean of the three 24 -hour periods. Mean daily breast milk intake rates for the infants surveyed at each time interval are presented in Table 14-1. For completely breast-fed infants, the mean intake rates were $600 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day at 1 month of age and $833 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day at 3 months of age. Partially breast-fed infants had mean intake rates of 485 $\mathrm{mL} /$ day, $467 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day, $395 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day, and $554 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day at 1,3 , 6 , and 9 months of age, respectively. Pao et al. (1980) also noted that intake rates for boys in both groups were slightly higher than for girls.
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| Table 14-1. Daily Intakes of Breast Milk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Number of Infants Surveyed at Each Time Period | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Intake } \\ (\mathrm{mL} / \text { day })^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Range of Daily Intake (mL/day) |
| Completely Breast-fed |  |  |  |
| 1 month | 11 | $600 \pm 159$ | 426-989 |
| 3 months | 2 | 833 | 645-1,000 |
| 6 months | 1 | 682 | 616-786 |
| Partially Breast-fed |  |  |  |
| 1 month | 4 | $485 \pm 79$ | 398-655 |
| 3 months | 11 | $467 \pm 100$ | 242-698 |
| 6 months | 6 | $395 \pm 175$ | 147-684 |
| 9 months | 3 | <554 | 451-732 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Source: Pao et al., 1980. |  |  |  |

The advantage of this study is that data for both exclusively and partially breast-fed infants were collected for multiple time periods. Also, data for individual infants were collected over 3 consecutive days which would account for some individual variability. However, the number of infants in the study was relatively small and may not be entirely representative of the U.S. population, based on race and socioeconomic status, which may introduce some bias in the results. In addition, this study did not account for insensible water loss which may underestimate the amount of breast milk ingested.

Dewey and Lönnerdal (1983) - Milk and Nutrient Intakes of Breast-fed Infants from 1 to 6 Months - Dewey and Lönnerdal (1983) monitored the dietary intake of 20 breast-fed infants between the ages of 1 and 6 months. Most of the infants in the study were exclusively breast-fed (five were given some formula, and several were given small amounts of solid foods after 3 months of age). According to Dewey and Lönnerdal (1983), the mothers were all well educated and recruited through Lamaze childbirth classes in the Davis area of California. Breast milk intake volume was estimated based on two 24 -hour test weighings per month. Breast milk intake rates for the various age groups are presented in Table 14-2. Breast milk intake averaged 673,782 , and $896 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day at 1,3 , and 6 months of age, respectively.

The advantage of this study is that it evaluated breastfed infants for a period of 6 months based on two 24 -hour observations per infant per month. Corrections for insensible water loss apparently were not made. Also, the number of infants in the study was relatively small and may not be representative of U.S. population, based on race and socioeconomic status.

| Table 14-2. Breast Milk Intake for Infants Aged 1 to 6 Months |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (months) | Number of Infants | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ (\mathrm{mL} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{SD} \\ (\mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{day})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Range } \\ \text { (mL/day) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 16 | 673 | 192 | 341-1,003 |
| 2 | 19 | 756 | 170 | 449-1,055 |
| 3 | 16 | 782 | 172 | 492-1,053 |
| 4 | 13 | 810 | 142 | 593-1,045 |
| 5 | 11 | 805 | 117 | 554-1,045 |
| 6 | 11 | 896 | 122 | 675-1,096 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard deviation. |  |  |  |  |
| Source: | Dewey and | önnerdal, 1 |  |  |

Butte et al. (1984) - Human Milk Intake and Growth in Exclusively Breast-fed Infants - Breast milk intake was studied in exclusively breast-fed infants during the first 4 months of life (Butte et al., 1984). Breastfeeding mothers were recruited through the Baylor Milk Bank Program in Texas. Forty-five mother/infant pairs participated in the study. However, data for some time periods (i.e., $1,2,3$, or 4 months) were missing for some mothers as a result of illness or other factors. The mothers were from the middleto upper-socioeconomic stratum and had a mean age of 28.0 $\pm 3.1$ years. A total of 41 mothers were white, 2 were Hispanic, 1 was Asian, and 1 was West Indian. Infant growth progressed satisfactorily over the course of the study. The amount of milk ingested over a 24 -hour period was determined using the test weighing procedure. Test weighing occurred over a 24 -hour period for most participants, but intake among several infants was studied over longer periods ( 48 to 96 hours) to assess individual variation in intake. The study did not indicate whether the data were corrected for insensible water loss. Mean breast milk intake ranged from $723 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $702 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day) at 3 months to $751 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $729 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day) at 1 month, with an overall mean of $733 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $712 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day) for the entire study period (Table 14-3). Intakes were also calculated on the basis of body weight (Table 14-3). Based on the results of test weighings conducted over 48 to 96 hours, the mean variation in individual daily intake was estimated to be $7.9 \pm 3.6$ percent.
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| Table 14-3. Breast Milk Intake Among Exclusively Breast-fed Infants During the First 4 Months of Life |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (months) } \end{gathered}$ | $\substack{\text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Infants }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Breast Milk } \\ \text { Intake } \\ \text { (g/day) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Breast Milk } \\ \text { Intake } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \text {-day }) \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (kg) |
| 1 | 37 | $751.0 \pm 130.0$ | $159.0 \pm 24.0$ | 4.7 |
| 2 | 40 | $725.0 \pm 131.0$ | $129.0 \pm 19.0$ | 5.6 |
| 3 | 37 | $723.0 \pm 114.0$ | $117.0 \pm 20.0$ | 6.2 |
| 4 | 41 | $740.0 \pm 128.0$ | $111.0 \pm 17.0$ | 6.7 |
| a Data expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. <br> b Calculated by dividing breast milk intake ( $\mathrm{g} /$ day) by breast milk intake ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ day). <br> Source: Butte et al., 1984. |  |  |  |  |

The advantage of this study is that data for a larger number of exclusively breast-fed infants were collected than were collected by Pao et al. (1980). However, data were collected over a shorter time period (i.e., 4 months compared to 6 months) and day-to-day variability was not characterized for all infants. In addition, the population studied may not be representative of the U.S. population based on race and socioeconomic status.

Neville et al. (1988) - Studies on Human Lactation Neville et al. (1988) studied breast milk intake among 13 infants during the first year of life. The mothers were all multiparous, nonsmoking, Caucasian women of middle- to upper-socioeconomic status living in Denver, Colorado (Neville et al., 1988). All women in the study practiced exclusive breast-feeding for at least 5 months. Solid foods were introduced at mean age of 7 months. Daily milk intake was estimated by the test weighing method with corrections for insensible weight loss. Data were collected daily from birth to 14 days, weekly from weeks 3 through 8 , and monthly until the study period ended at 1 year after inception. The estimated breast milk intakes for this study are listed in Table 14-4. Mean breast milk intakes were $770 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $748 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day), $734 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $713 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day), 766 $\mathrm{g} /$ day ( $744 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day) , and $403 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $391 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day) at $1,3,6$, and 12 months of age, respectively.

In comparison to the previously described studies, Neville et al. (1988) collected data on numerous days over a relatively long time period ( 12 months) and they were corrected for insensible weight loss. However, the intake rates presented in Table 14-4 are estimated based on intake during only a 24 -hour period. Consequently, these intake rates are based on short-term data that do not account for day-to-day variability among individual infants. Also, a smaller number of subjects was included than in the
previous studies, and the population studied may not be representative of the U.S. population, based on race and socioeconomic status.

| Table 14-4. Breast Milk Intake During a 24-Hour Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (days) | Number of Infants | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (g/day) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Standard <br> Deviation (g/day) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Range } \\ & \text { (g/day) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 7 | 44 | 71 | -31-149 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2 | 10 | 182 | 86 | 44-355 |
| 3 | 11 | 371 | 153 | 209-688 |
| 4 | 11 | 451 | 176 | 164-694 |
| 5 | 12 | 498 | 129 | 323-736 |
| 6 | 10 | 508 | 167 | 315-861 |
| 7 | 8 | 573 | 167 | 406-842 |
| 8 | 9 | 581 | 159 | 410-923 |
| 9 | 10 | 580 | 76 | 470-720 |
| 10 | 10 | 589 | 132 | 366-866 |
| 11 | 8 | 615 | 168 | 398-934 |
| 14 | 10 | 653 | 154 | 416-922 |
| 21 | 10 | 651 | 84 | 554-786 |
| 28 | 13 | 770 | 179 | 495-1144 |
| 35 | 12 | 668 | 117 | 465-930 |
| 42 | 12 | 711 | 111 | 554-896 |
| 49 | 10 | 709 | 115 | 559-922 |
| 56 | 13 | 694 | 98 | 556-859 |
| 90 | 12 | 734 | 114 | 613-942 |
| 120 | 13 | 711 | 100 | 570-847 |
| 150 | 13 | 838 | 134 | 688-1173 |
| 180 | 13 | 766 | 121 | 508-936 |
| 210 | 12 | 721 | 154 | 486-963 |
| 240 | 10 | 622 | 210 | 288-1002 |
| 270 | 12 | 618 | 220 | 223-871 |
| 300 | 11 | 551 | 234 | 129-894 |
| 330 | 9 | 554 | 240 | 120-860 |
| 360 | 9 | 403 | 250 | 65-770 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Negative value due to insensible water loss correction. Source: Neville et al., 1988. |  |  |  |  |

Dewey et al. (1991a; 1991b) - The DARLING Study - The Davis Area Research on Lactation, Infant Nutrition and Growth (DARLING) study was conducted in 1986 to evaluate growth patterns, nutrient intake, morbidity, and activity levels in infants who were breast-fed for at least the first 12 months of life (Dewey et al., 1991a; 1991b). Seventy-three infants aged 3 months were included in the study. The number of infants included in the study at subsequent time intervals was somewhat lower as a result of attrition. All infants in the study were healthy and of normal gestational age and weight at birth, and did not consume solid foods until after the first 4 months of age. The mothers were highly educated and of "relatively high socioeconomic status" from the Davis area of California (Dewey et al., 1991a; 1991b). Breast milk intake was
estimated by weighing the infants before and after each feeding and correcting for insensible water loss. Test weighings were conducted over a 4-day period every 3 months. The results of the study indicate that breast milk intake declines over the first 12 months of life. Mean breast milk intake was estimated to be $812 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $788 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day) at 3 months and $448 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $435 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day) at 12 months (Table 14-5). Based on the estimated intakes at 3 months of age, variability between individuals (coefficient of variation $(\mathrm{CV})=16.3$ percent) was higher than individual day-to-day variability ( $\mathrm{CV}=5.4$ percent) for the infants in the study (Dewey et al., 1991a).

| Table 14-5. Breast Milk Intake Estimated by the DARLING Study |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age <br> (months) | Number of <br> Infants | Mean Intake <br> (g/day) | Standard Deviation <br> (g/day) |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 73 | 812 | 133 |
| 6 | 60 | 769 | 171 |
| 9 | 50 | 646 | 217 |
| 12 | 42 | 448 | 251 |
| Source: |  |  |  |

The advantages of this study are that data were collected over a relatively long-time (4 days) period at each test interval which would account for some day-to-day infant variability, and corrections for insensible water loss were made. However, the population studied may not be representative of the U.S. population, based on race and socioeconomic status.

### 14.3. RELEVANT STUDIES ON BREAST MILK INTAKE

Hofvander et al. (1982) - The Amount of Milk Consumed by 1- to 3-Month Old Breast- or Bottle-Fed Infants - Hofvander et al. (1982) compared milk intake among breast-fed and bottle-fed infants at ages 1,2 , and 3 months of age. Intake of breast milk and breast milk substitutes was tabulated for 25 Swedish infants in each age group. Daily intake among breast-fed infants was estimated using the test weighing method. Test weighings were conducted over a 24 -hour time period at each time interval. Daily milk intake among bottle-fed infants was estimated by measuring the volumetric differences in milk contained in bottles at the beginning and end of all feeding sessions in a 24-hour period. The mean intake rates for bottle-fed infants were slightly higher than for breast-fed infants for all age groups (Table 14-6). Also, boys consumed breast milk or breast milk substitutes at a slightly higher rate than girls
(Table 14-7). Breast milk intake was estimated to be 656 $\mathrm{g} /$ day ( $637 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day ) at 1 month and $776 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $753 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day ) at 3 months.
$\left.\begin{array}{|ccc|}\hline \text { Table 14-6. Milk Intake for Bottle- and Breast-fed } \\ \text { Infants by Age Group }\end{array}\right]$

This study was conducted among a small number of Swedish infants, but the results are similar to those summarized previously for U.S. studies. Insensible water losses were apparently not considered in this study, and only short-term data were collected.

Köhler et al. (1984) - Food Intake and Growth of Infants Between Six and Twenty-six Weeks of Age on Breast Milk, Cow's Milk, Formula, and Soy Formula Köhler et al. (1984) evaluated breast milk and formula intake among normal infants between the ages of 6 and 26 weeks. The study included 25 fully breast-fed and 34 formula-fed infants from suburban communities in Sweden. Intake among breast-fed infants was estimated using the test weighing method over a 48 -hour test period. Intake among formula-fed infants was estimated by feeding infants from
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bottles with known volumes of formula and recording the amount consumed over a 48 -hour period. Table 14-8 presents the mean breast milk and formula intake rates for the infants studied. Data were collected for both cow's milk-based formula and soy-based formula. The results indicated that the daily intake for bottle-fed infants was greater than for breast-fed infants.

The advantages of this study are that it compares breast milk intake to formula intake and that test weightings were conducted over 2 consecutive days to account for variability
the length of time that an infant nurses. Lipid content increases from the beginning to the end of a single nursing session (NAS, 1991). The lipid portion accounts for approximately 4 percent of human breast milk ( $39 \pm 4.0$ $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) (NAS, 1991). This value is supported by various studies that evaluated lipid content from human breast milk. Several studies also estimated the quantity of lipid consumed by breast-feeding infants. These values are appropriate for performing exposure assessments for nursing infants when the contaminant(s) have residue

| Table 14-8. Intake of Breast Milk and Formula |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Breast Milk |  |  | Cow's Formula |  |  | Soy Formula |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (wks) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (g/day) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SD } \\ \text { (g/day) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (g/day) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SD } \\ \text { (g/day) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (g/day) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SD } \\ \text { (g/day) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | 26 | 746 | 101 | 20 | 823 | 111 | 13 | 792 | 127 |
| 14 | 21 | 726 | 143 | 19 | 921 | 95 | 13 | 942 | 78 |
| 22 | 13 | 722 | 114 | 18 | 818 | 201 | 13 | 861 | 196 |
| 26 | 12 | 689 | 120 | 18 | 722 | 209 | 12 | 776 | 159 |
| Source: Köhler et al., 1984. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

in individual intake. Although the population studied was not representative of the U.S. population, similar intake rates were observed in the studies that were previously summarized.

Axelsson et al. (1987) - Protein and Energy Intake During Weaning - Axelsson et al. (1987) measured food consumption and energy intake in 30 healthy Swedish infants between the ages of 4 and 6 months. Both formulafed and breast-fed infants were studied. All infants were fed supplemental foods (i.e., pureed fruits and vegetables after 4 months, and pureed meats and fish after 5 months). Milk intake among breast-fed infants was estimated by weighing the infants before and after each feeding over a 2-day period at each sampling interval. Breast milk intake averaged 765 $\mathrm{mL} /$ day at 4.5 months of age, and $715 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day at 5.5 months of age.

This study is based on short-term data, a small number of infants, and may not be representative of the U.S. population. However, the intake rates estimated by this study are similar to those generated by the U.S. studies that were summarized previously.

### 14.4. KEY STUDIES ON LIPID CONTENT AND FAT INTAKE FROM BREAST MILK

Human milk contains over 200 constituents including lipids, various proteins, carbohydrates, vitamins, minerals, and trace elements as well as enzymes and hormones (NAS, 1991). The lipid content of breast milk varies according to
concentrations that are indexed to the fat portion of human breast milk.

Butte et al. (1984) - Human Milk Intake and Growth in Exclusively Breast-fed Infants - Butte et al., (1984) analyzed the lipid content of breast milk samples taken from women who participated in a study of breast milk intake among exclusively breast-fed infants. The study was conducted with over 40 women during a 4-month period. The mean lipid content of breast milk at various infants' ages is presented in Table 14-9. The overall lipid content for the 4 -month study period was $34.3 \pm 6.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ ( 3.4 percent). Butte et al. (1984) also calculated lipid intakes from 24-hour breast milk intakes and the lipid content of the human milk samples. Lipid intake was estimated to range from $23.6 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) to $28.0 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( $5.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$-day).

The number of women included in this study was small, and these women were selected primarily from middle- to upper-socioeconomic classes. Thus, data on breast milk lipid content from this study may not be entirely representative of breast milk lipid content among
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| Table 14-9. Lipid Content of Human Milk and Estimated Lipid Intake Among Exclusively Breast-fed Infants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (months) | Number <br> of <br> Observations | Lipid <br> Content $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Lipid Content $(\text { percent })^{b}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lipid } \\ \text { Intake } \\ \left(\mathrm{g} / \text { day }{ }^{\mathrm{a}}\right. \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lipid } \\ \text { Intake } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \text {-day })^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 37 | $36.2 \pm 7.5$ | 3.6 | $28.0 \pm 8.5$ | $5.9 \pm 1.7$ |
| 2 | 40 | $34.4 \pm 6.8$ | 3.4 | $25.2 \pm 7.1$ | $4.4 \pm 1.2$ |
| 3 | 37 | $32.2 \pm 7.8$ | 3.2 | $23.6 \pm 7.2$ | $3.8 \pm 1.2$ |
| 4 | 41 | $34.8 \pm 10.8$ | 3.5 | $25.6 \pm 8.6$ | $3.8 \pm 1.3$ |

the U.S. population. Also, these estimates are based on short-term data and day-to-day variability was not characterized.

Maxwell and Burmaster (1993) - A Simulation Model to Estimate a Distribution of Lipid Intake from Breast Milk Intake During the First Year of Life -Maxwell and Burmaster (1993) used a hypothetical population of 5,000 infants between birth and 1 year of age to simulate a distribution of daily lipid intake from breast milk. The hypothetical population represented both bottle-fed and breast-fed infants aged 1 to 365 days. A distribution of daily lipid intake was developed based on data in Dewey et al. (1991b) on breast milk intake for infants at $3,6,9$, and 12 months and breast milk lipid content, and survey data in Ryan et al. (1991) on the percentage of breast-fed infants under the age of 12 months (i.e., approximately 22 percent). A model was used to simulate intake among 1,113 of the 5,000 infants that were expected to be breast-fed. The results of the model indicated that lipid intake among nursing infants under 12 months of age can be characterized by a normal distribution with a mean of $26.8 \mathrm{~g} /$ day and a standard deviation of $7.4 \mathrm{~g} /$ day (Table 14-10). The model assumes that nursing infants are completely breast-fed and does not account for infants who are breast-fed longer than 1 year. Based on data collected by Dewey et al. (1991b), Maxwell and Burmaster (1993) estimated the lipid content of breast milk to be $36.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ at 3 months ( $35.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ or $3.6 \%$ ) and $40.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}(39.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ or $3.9 \%)$ at 12 months.

The advantage of this study is that it provides a "snapshot" of daily lipid intake from breast milk for breastfed infants. These results are, however, based on a simulation model and there are uncertainties associated with the assumptions made. The estimated mean lipid intake rate represents the average daily intake for nursing infants under 12 months of age. These data are useful for performing exposure assessments when the age of the infant cannot be
specified (i.e., 3 months or 6 months). Also, because intake rates are indexed to the lipid portion of the breast milk, they may be used in conjunction with residue concentrations indexed to fat content.

\left.| Table 14-10. Predicted Lipid Intakes for Breast-fed Infants |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Under 12 Months of Age |  |$\right]$. Value | Statistic |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Observations in Simulation | 1,113 |
| Minimum Lipid Intake | $1.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ |
| Maximum Lipid Intake | $51.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ |
| Arithmetic Mean Lipid Intake | $26.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ |
| Standard Deviation Lipid Intake | $7.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ |
| Source: Maxwell and Burmaster, 1993. |  |

### 14.5. OTHER FACTORS

Other factors associated with breast milk intake include: the frequency of breast-feeding sessions per day, the duration of breast-feeding per event, the duration of breast-feeding during childhood, and the magnitude and nature of the population that breast-feeds.

Frequency and Duration of Feeding - Hofvander et al. (1982) reported on the frequency of feeding among 25 bottle-fed and 25 breast-fed infants at ages 1,2 , and 3 months. The mean number of meals for these age groups was approximately 5 meals/day (Table 14-11). Neville et al. (1988) reported slightly higher mean feeding frequencies. The mean number of meals per day for exclusively breast-fed infants was 7.3 at ages 2 to 5 months and 8.2 at ages 2 weeks to 1 month. Neville et al. (1988) reported that, for infants between the ages of 1 week and 5 months, the average duration of a breast feeding session is 16-18 minutes.
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| Table 14-11. Number of Meals Per Day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (months) | Bottle-fed Infants (meals/day) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Breast-fed } \\ \text { (meals/day) }^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 5.4 (4-7) | 5.8 (5-7) |
| 2 | 4.8 (4-6) | 5.3 (5-7) |
| 3 | 4.7 (3-6) | 5.1 (4-8) |
| a Data expressed as mean with range in parentheses. <br> Source: Hofvander et al., 1982. |  |  |

Population of Nursing Infants and Duration of Breast-Feeding During Infancy - According to NAS (1991), the percentage of breast-feeding women has changed dramatically over the years. Between 1936 and 1940, approximately 77 percent of infants were breast fed, but the incidence of breast-feeding fell to approximately 22 percent in 1972. The duration of breast-feeding also dropped from about 4 months in the early 1930s to 2 months in the late 1950s. After 1972, the incidence of breast-feeding began to rise again, reaching its peak at approximately 61 percent in 1982. The duration of breast-feeding also increased between 1972 and 1982. Approximately 10 percent of the mothers who initiated breast-feeding continued for at least 3 months in 1972; however, in 1984, 37 percent continued breast-feeding beyond 3 months. In 1989, breast-feeding was initiated among 52.2 percent of newborn infants, and 40 percent continued for 3 months or longer (NAS, 1991). Based on the data for 1989 , only about 20 percent of infants were still breast fed by age 5 to 6 months (NAS, 1991). Data on the actual length of time that infants continue to breast-feed beyond 5 or 6 months are limited (NAS, 1991). However, Maxwell and Burmaster (1993) estimated that approximately 22 percent of infants under 1 year of age are breast-fed. This estimate is based on a reanalysis of survey data in Ryan et al. (1991) collected by Ross Laboratories (Maxwell and Burmaster, 1993). Studies have also indicated that breast-feeding practices may differ among ethnic and socioeconomic groups and among regions of the United States. The percentages of mothers who breast feed, based on ethnic background and demographic variables, are presented in Table 14-12 (NAS, 1991).

Intake Rates Based on Nutritional Status - Information on differences in the quality and quantity of breast milk consumed based on ethnic or socioeconomic characteristics of the population is limited. Lönnerdal et al. (1976) studied breast milk volume and composition (nitrogen, lactose,
proteins) among underprivileged and privileged Ethiopian mothers. No significant differences were observed between the data for these two groups; and similar data for wellnourished Swedish mothers were observed. Lönnerdal et al. (1976) stated that these results indicate that breast milk quality and quantity are not affected by maternal malnutrition. However, Brown et al. (1986a; 1986b) noted that the lactational capacity and energy concentration of marginally-nourished women in Bangladesh were "modestly less than in better nourished mothers." Breast milk intake rates for infants of marginally-nourished women in this study were $690 \pm 122 \mathrm{~g} /$ day at 3 months, $722 \pm 105 \mathrm{~g} /$ day at 6 months, and $719 \pm 119 \mathrm{~g} /$ day at 9 months of age (Brown et al., 1986a). Brown et al. (1986a) observed that breast milk from women with larger measurements of arm circumference and triceps skinfold thickness had higher concentrations of fat and energy than mothers with less body fat. Positive correlations between maternal weight and milk fat concentrations were also observed. These results suggest that milk composition may be affected by maternal nutritional status.

### 14.6. RECOMMENDATIONS

The key studies described in this section were used in selecting recommended values for breast milk intake, fat content and fat intake, and other related factors. Although different survey designs, testing periods, and populations were utilized by the key and relevant studies to estimate intake, the mean and standard deviation estimates reported in these studies are relatively consistent. There are, however, limitations with the data. Data are not available for infants under 1 month of age. This subpopulation may be of particular concern since a larger number of newborns are totally breast fed. In addition, with the exception of Butte (1984), data were not presented on a body weight basis. This is particularly important since intake rates may be higher on a body weight basis for younger infants. Also, the data used to derive the recommendations are over 10 years old and the sample size of the studies was small. Other subpopulations of concern such as mothers highly committed to breast feeding, sometimes for periods longer than 1 year, may not be captured by the studies presented in this chapter. Further research is needed to identify these subgroups and to get better estimates of breast milk intake rates. The general designs of both key and relevant studies and their limitations are summarized in Table 14-13. Table 14-14 presents the confidence rating for breast milk intake recommendations.

Breast Milk Intake - The breast milk intake rates for nursing infants that have been reported in the key studies described in this section are summarized in Table 14-15. Based on the combined results of these studies, $742 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day is recommended to represent an average breast milk intake rate, and $1,033 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day represents an upper-percentile intake rate (based on the middle range of the mean plus 2 standard deviations) for infants between the ages of 1 and 6 months of age. The average value is the mean of the average intakes at 1,3 , and 6 months from the key studies listed in Table 14-15. It is consistent with the average intake rate of 718 to $777 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day estimated by NAS (1991) for infants during the first 4 to 5 months of life. Intake among older infants is somewhat lower, averaging 413 $\mathrm{mL} /$ day for 12-month olds (Neville et al. 1988; Dewey et al. 1991a; 1991b). When a time weighted average is calculated for the 12 -month period, average breast milk intake is approximately $688 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day, and upper-percentile intake is approximately $980 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day. Table $14-16$ summarizes these recommended intake rates.

Lipid Content and Lipid Intake - Recommended lipid intake rates are based on data from Butte et al. (1984) and Maxwell and Burmaster (1993). Butte et al. (1984) estimated that average lipid intake ranges from $23.6 \pm 7.2$ $\mathrm{g} /$ day $(22.9 \pm 7.0 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day $)$ to $28.0 \pm 8.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day $(27.2 \pm 8.3$ $\mathrm{mL} /$ day) between 1 and 4 months of age. These intake rates are consistent with those observed by Burmaster and Maxwell (1993) for infants under 1 year of age [( $26.8 \pm 7.4$ $\mathrm{g} /$ day $(26.0 \pm 7.2 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day $)]$. Therefore, the recommended breast milk lipid intake rate for infants under 1 year of age is $26.0 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day and the upper-percentile value is 40.4 $\mathrm{mL} /$ day (based on the mean plus 2 standard deviations). The recommended value for breast milk fat content is 4.0 percent based on data from NAS (1991), Butte et al. (1984), and Maxwell and Burmaster (1993).
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| Table 14-12. Percentage of Mothers Breast-feeding Newborn Infants in the Hospital and Infants at 5 or 6 Months of Age in the United States in $1989^{\text {a }}$, by Ethnic Background and Selected Demographic Variables ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | White |  | Black |  | Hispanic ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| Category | Newborns | $\begin{aligned} & 5-6 \mathrm{Mo} \\ & \text { Infants } \end{aligned}$ | Newborns | $\begin{aligned} & 5-6 \mathrm{Mo} \\ & \text { Infants } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Newborns | $\begin{aligned} & 5-6 \mathrm{Mo} \\ & \text { Infants } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Newborns | $\begin{aligned} & 5-6 \mathrm{Mo} \\ & \text { Infants } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| All mothers | 52.2 | 19.6 | 58.5 | 22.7 | 23.0 | 7.0 | 48.4 | 15.0 |
| Parity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primiparous | 52.6 | 16.6 | 58.3 | 18.9 | 23.1 | 5.9 | 49.9 | 13.2 |
| Multiparous | 51.7 | 22.7 | 58.7 | 26.8 | 23.0 | 7.9 | 47.2 | 16.5 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 59.8 | 24.0 | 61.9 | 25.3 | 35.8 | 12.3 | 55.3 | 18.8 |
| Unmarried | 30.8 | 7.7 | 40.3 | 9.8 | 17.2 | 4.6 | 37.5 | 8.6 |
| Maternal age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<20 \mathrm{yr}$ | 30.2 | 6.2 | 36.8 | 7.2 | 13.5 | 3.6 | 35.3 | 6.9 |
| 20-24 yr | 45.2 | 12.7 | 50.8 | 14.5 | 19.4 | 4.7 | 46.9 | 12.6 |
| $25-29 \mathrm{yr}$ | 58.8 | 22.9 | 63.1 | 25.0 | 29.9 | 9.4 | 56.2 | 19.5 |
| 30-34 yr | 65.5 | 31.4 | 70.1 | 34.8 | 35.4 | 13.6 | 57.6 | 23.4 |
| $\geq 35 \mathrm{yr}$ | 66.5 | 36.2 | 71.9 | 40.5 | 35.6 | 14.3 | 53.9 | 24.4 |
| Maternal education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No college | 42.1 | 13.4 | 48.3 | 15.6 | 17.6 | 5.5 | 42.6 | 12.2 |
| College ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 70.7 | 31.1 | 74.7 | 34.1 | 41.1 | 12.2 | 66.5 | 23.4 |
| Family income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <\$7,000 | 28.8 | 7.9 | 36.7 | 9.4 | 14.5 | 4.3 | 35.3 | 10.3 |
| \$7,000-\$14,999 | 44.0 | 13.5 | 49.0 | 15.2 | 23.5 | 7.3 | 47.2 | 13.0 |
| \$15,000-\$24,999 | 54.7 | 20.4 | 57.7 | 22.3 | 31.7 | 8.7 | 52.6 | 16.5 |
| $\geq$ 25,000 | 66.3 | 27.6 | 67.8 | 28.7 | 42.8 | 14.5 | 65.4 | 23.0 |
| Maternal employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full time | 50.8 | 10.2 | 54.8 | 10.8 | 30.6 | 6.9 | 50.4 | 9.5 |
| Part time | 59.4 | 23.0 | 63.8 | 25.5 | 26.0 | 6.6 | 59.4 | 17.7 |
| Not employed | 51.0 | 23.1 | 58.7 | 27.5 | 19.3 | 7.2 | 46.0 | 16.7 |
| U.S. census region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England | 52.2 | 20.3 | 53.2 | 21.4 | 35.6 | 5.0 | 47.6 | 14.9 |
| Middle Atlantic | 47.4 | 18.4 | 52.4 | 21.8 | 30.6 | 9.7 | 41.4 | 10.8 |
| East North Central | 47.6 | 18.1 | 53.2 | 20.7 | 21.0 | 7.2 | 46.2 | 12.6 |
| West North Central | 55.9 | 19.9 | 58.2 | 20.7 | 27.7 | 7.9 | 50.8 | 22.8 |
| South Atlantic | 43.8 | 14.8 | 53.8 | 18.7 | 19.6 | 5.7 | 48.0 | 13.8 |
| East South Central | 37.9 | 12.4 | 45.1 | 15.0 | 14.2 | 3.7 | 23.5 | 5.0 |
| West South Central | 46.0 | 14.7 | 56.2 | 18.4 | 14.5 | 3.8 | 39.2 | 11.4 |
| Mountain | 70.2 | 30.4 | 74.9 | 33.0 | 31.5 | 11.0 | 53.9 | 18.2 |
| Pacific | 70.3 | 28.7 | 76.7 | 33.4 | 43.9 | 15.0 | 58.5 | 19.7 |
| a Mothers were surveyed when their infants were 6 months of age. They were asked to recall the method of feeding the infant when in the hospital, at age 1 week, at months 1 through 5 , and on the day preceding completion of the survey. Numbers in the columns labeled "5-6 <br> Mo Infants" are an average of the 5-month and previous day responses. <br> Based on data from Ross Laboratories. <br> Hispanic is not exclusive of white or black. <br> College includes all women who reported completing at least 1 year of college. <br> Source: NAS, 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Table 14-13. Breast Milk Intake Studies (continued)

| Table 14-13. Breast Milk Intake Studies (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Number of Individuals | Type of Feeding | Sampling Time and Interval | Population Studied | Comments |
| RELEVANT |  |  |  |  |  |
| STUDIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axelsson et al., 1987 | 30 | Breast-fed infants and infants fed formula with two different energy contents | Studied over 2-day periods at 4.5 and 5.5 months of age | Swedish infants | Measured intake rates; not corrected for insensible water loss |
| Brown et al., 1986a; 1986b | 58, 60 | Breast-fed infants | Studied over 3 days at each interval | Bangledeshi infants; marginally nourished mothers | Measured milk and nutrient intake based on nutritional status; not corrected for insensible water loss |
| Hofvander et al., 1982 | 50 | 25 breast-fed and 25 formula-fed infants | Studied 24-hour period at 1, 2, and 3 months | Swedish infants | Estimated breast milk and formula intake; no corrections for insensible water loss among breast-fed infants; estimated frequency of feeding |
| Köhler et al., 1984 | 59 | 25 fully breast-fed and 34 formula-fed infants | Studied over 48-hour periods at $6,14,22$, and 26 weeks of age | Swedish infants | Estimated breast milk and formula intake based on nutritional status; no corrections for insensible water loss among breast-fed infants |
| Maxwell and Burmaster, 1993 | 1,113 | Population of 1,113 breastfed infants based on a hypothetical population of 5,000 breast-fed and bottlefed infants | NA | NA | Simulated distribution of breast milk intake based on data from Dewey 1991a; estimated percent of breast-fed infants under 12 months of age |
| NAS, 1991 | NA | Breast-fed infants | NA | NA | Summarizes current state-of-knowledge on breast milk volume, composition and breast-feeding populations |
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| Table 14-14. Confidence in Breast Milk Intake Recommendations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | All key studies are from peer review literature. | High |
| - Accessibility | Papers are widely available from peer review journals. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Methodology used was clearly presented. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The focus of the studies was on estimating breast milk intake. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | Subpopulations of the U.S. were the focus of all the key studies. | High |
| - Primary data | All the studies were based on primary data. | High |
| - Currency | Studies were conducted between 1980-1986. Although incidence of breast feeding may change with time, breast milk intake among breastfed infants may not. | Medium |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Infants were not studied long enough to fully characterize day to day variability. | Medium |
| - Validity of approach | Methodology uses changes in body weight as a surrogate for total ingestion. This is the best methodology there is to estimate breast milk ingestion. Mothers were instructed in the use of infant scales to minimize measurement errors. Three out of the 5 studies corrected data for insensible water loss. | Medium |
| - Study size | The sample sizes used in the key studies were fairly small (range 13-73). |  |
| - Representativeness of the population | Population is not representative of the U.S.; only mid-upper class, well nourished mothers were studied. Socioeconomic factors may affect the incidence of breastfeeding. Mother's nourishment may affect milk production. | Low |
| - Characterization of variability | Not very well characterized. Infants under 1 month not captured, mothers committed to breast feeding over 1 year not captured. | Low |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Bias in the studies was not characterized. Three out of 5 studies corrected for insensible water loss. Not correcting for insensible water loss may underestimate intake. Mothers selected for the studies were volunteers; therefore response rate does not apply. Population studied may introduce some bias in the results (see above). | Low |
| - Measurement error | All mothers were well educated and trained in the use of the scale which helped minimize measurement error. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | There are 5 key studies. | High |
| - Agreement between researchers | There is good agreement among researchers. | High |
| Overall Rating | Studies were well designed. Results were consistent. Sample size was fairly low and not representative of U.S. population or population of nursing mothers. Variability cannot be characterized due to limitations in data collection period. | Medium |

## Exposure Factors Handbook

| Table 14-15. Breast Milk Intake Rates Derived From Key Studies |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean (mL/day) | N | Upper Percentile (mL/day) (mean plus 2 standard deviations) | Reference |
| Age: 1 Month |  |  |  |
| 600 | 11 | 918 | Pao et al., 1980 |
| 729 | 37 | 981 | Butte et al., 1984 |
| 747 | 13 | 1,095 | Neville et al., 1988 |
| 673 | 16 | 1,057 | Dewey and Lönnerdal, 1983 |
| weighted avg $=702$ |  | $1,007^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Age: 3 Months |  |  |  |
| 833 | 2 | --- | Pao et al., 1980 |
| 702 | 37 | 923 | Butte et al., 1984 |
| 712 | 12 | 934 | Neville et al., 1988 |
| 782 | 16 | 1,126 | Dewey and Lönnerdal, 1983 |
| 788 | 73 | 1,046 | Dewey et al., 1991b |
| weighted avg $=759$ |  | $1,025^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Age: 6 Months |  |  |  |
| 682 | 1 | --- | Pao et al., 1980 |
| 744 | 13 | 978 | Neville et al., 1988 |
| 896 | 11 | 1,140 | Dewey and Lönnerdal, 1983 |
| 747 | 60 | 1,079 | Dewey et al., 1991b |
| weighted avg $=765$ |  | 1,059 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Age: 9 Months |  |  |  |
| 600 | 12 | 1,027 | Neville et al., 1988 |
| 627 | 50 | 1,049 | Dewey et al., 1991b |
| $\operatorname{avg}=622$ |  | 1,038 |  |
| Age: 12 Months |  |  |  |
| 391 | 9 | 877 | Neville et al., 1988 |
| 435 | 42 | 923 | Dewey et al., 1991a; 1991b |
| weighted avg $=427$ |  | 900 |  |
| 12-MONTH TIME WEIGHTED AVERAGE |  |  |  |
| $688$ |  | Range 900-1,059 (middle of the range 980) |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Middle of the range. |  |  |  |
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| Age | Mean | Upper Percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breast Milk |  |  |
| 1-6 Months <br> 12 Month Average | $742 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day $688 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day | $1,033 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day $980 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day |
| Lipids ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| <1 Year | 26.0 mL/day | 40.4 mL/day |
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## 15. ACTIVITY FACTORS

In calculating exposure, a person's average daily dose is determined from a combination of variables including the pollutant concentration, exposure duration, and frequency of exposure (Sexton and Ryan, 1987). These variables can be dependent on human activity patterns and time spent at each activity and/or location. A person's total exposure can be predicted using indirect approaches such as computerized mathematical models. This indirect approach of predicting exposure also requires activity patterns (time use) data. Thus, individual or group activities are important determinants of potential exposure because toxic chemicals introduced into the environment may not cause harm to an individual until an activity is performed subjecting the individual to contact with those contaminants. An individual's choice on how to spend time will vary according to their occupation, hobbies, culture, location, gender, age, and personal preferences. Educational level attained and socioeconomic status also influence chosen activities and their duration.

The purpose of this section is to describe published time use studies that provide information on activities in which various individuals engage, length of time spent performing various activities, locations in which individuals spend time and length of time spent by individuals within those various microenvironments. According to Robinson and Thomas (1991), microenvironments refer to a combination of activities and locations that yield potential exposures. Information on time spent in specific occupations and residing in specific areas also is included in this section.

This section summarizes data on how much time individuals spend doing various activities and in various microenvironments. These data cover a wide scope of activities and populations. The following table (Table 151) should be used as a guide to locating the information relevant to activities and microenvironments of concern. Assessors can consider using these data to develop exposure duration estimates for specific exposure scenarios. Available studies are grouped as key or relevant studies. The classifications of these studies are based on the applicability of their data to exposure assessments. All tables that provide data from these studies are presented at the back of this chapter.

### 15.1. ACTIVITY PATTERNS

The purpose of this section is to describe published time use studies that provide information on time-activity
patterns of the national population and various subpopulations in the U.S. The studies involve survey designs where time diaries were used to collect information on the time spent at various activities and locations for children, adolescents, and adults, and to collect certain demographic and socioeconomic data. Available studies on time-activity data are summarized in the following sections. It should be noted that other site-limited studies, based on small sample sites, are available, but are not presented in this section. The studies presented in this section are ones believed to be the most appropriate for the purpose of the handbook. Activity pattern studies are presented in Sections 15.1.1 and 15.1.2.

### 15.1.1. Key Activity Pattern Studies

Timmer et al. (1985) - How Children Use Time Timmer et al. (1985) conducted a study using the data obtained on children's time use from a 1981-1982 Panel study. This study was a follow-up of households from a previous survey conducted in 1975-76. The 922 respondents in the 1981-82 study were those who had completed at least three out of four waves of interview in the 1975-1976 survey. Timmer et al. (1985) conducted the survey during February through December 1981, and households were contacted four times during a 3 month interval of the survey period. The first contact was a personal interview, followed by subsequent telephone interviews for most of the respondents. However, families with children were contacted personally and questionnaires were administered to a maximum of three children per household.

The children surveyed were between the ages of 3 and 17 years and were interviewed twice. The questionnaires administered to children had two components: a time diary and a standardized interview. The time diary involved children reporting their activities beginning at 12.00 a.m. the previous night; the duration and location of each activity; the presence of another individual; and whether they were performing other activities at the same time. The standardized interview administered to the children was to gather information about their psychological, intellectual (using reading comprehension tests), and emotional well-being; their hopes and goals; their family environment; and their attitudes and beliefs.

For preschool children, parents provided information about the child's previous day's activities. Children in first through third grades completed the time
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diary with their parents assistance and, in addition, completed reading tests. Children in fourth grade and above provided their own diary information and participated in the interview. Parents were asked to assess their children's socioemotional and intellectual development. A survey form was sent to a teacher of each school-age child to evaluate each child's socioemotional and intellectual development. The activity descriptor codes used in this study were developed by Juster et al. (1983). The activity codes and descriptors used for the adult time diaries in both surveys are presented in Appendix Table 15A-1.

The mean time spent performing major activities on weekdays and weekends by age and sex, and type of day is presented in Table 15-2. On weekdays, children spend about 40 percent of their time sleeping, 20 percent in school, and 10 percent eating, washing, dressing, and performing other personal activities (Timmer et al., 1985). The data in Table 15-2 indicates that girls spend more time than boys performing household work and personal care activities, and less time playing sports. Also, children spend most of their free time watching television. Table 153 presents the mean time children spend during weekdays and weekends performing major activities by five different age groups. Also, the significant effects of each variable (i.e., age, sex) are shown in Table 15-3. Older children spend more time performing household and market work, studying and watching television, and less time eating, sleeping, and playing. Timmer et al. (1985) estimated that on the average, boys spend 19.4 hours a week watching television and girls spend 17.8 hours per week performing the same activity.

A limitation associated with this study is that the data do not provide overall annual estimates of children's time use since the data were collected only during the time of the year when children attend school and not during school vacation. Another limitation is that a distribution pattern of children's time use was not provided. In addition, the survey was conducted in 1981 so there is a potential that activity patterns in children may have changed significantly from that period to the present. Therefore, application of these data for current exposure situations may bias exposure assessments results. An advantage of this survey is that diary recordings of activity patterns were kept and the data obtained were not based completely on recall. Another advantage is that because parents assisted younger children with keeping their diaries and with interviews, any bias that may have been
created by having younger children record their data should have been minimized.

James and Knuiman (1987) - An Application of Bayes Methodology to the Analysis of Diary Records from a Water Use Study - In 1987, James and Knuiman provided a distribution of the amount of time (1-20 minutes) spent showering by individuals in households located in Australia. The distribution presented in the study of James and Knuiman was based on diary records of 2,500 households. James and Knuiman (1987) reported that 50 additional households provided data for shower durations exceeding 20 minutes, but were excluded from their analysis because specific values over 20 minutes were not reported. Using the data of James and Knuiman, a cumulative frequency distribution was derived for the handbook, based on the 2,550 households and is presented in Table 15-3. Based on the results in Table 15-3, approximate showering times are 7 minutes for the median value, 13 minutes for the 90th percentile, 16 minutes for the 95th percentile, and >20 minutes for the 99th percentile. The mean shower length is approximately 8 minutes using the shower durations of 1 to 20 minutes.

A mean value could not be calculated using the data for the 50 households that reported showering time >20 minutes. However, if a 30 minute showering time was assumed for the $>20$ minutes duration, the mean value would be 8.5 minutes as compared to a mean of 8 minutes if these households are excluded. Therefore, including the 50 additional households would give a similar mean and the results at the upper end of the distribution would not be affected.

A limitation of the study is that the data are from households in Australia and may not be representative of U.S. households. An advantage is that it presents cumulative distribution data.

Robinson and Thomas (1991) - Time Spent in Activities, Locations, and Microenvironments: A California-National Comparison - Robinson and Thomas (1991) reviewed and compared data from the 1987-88 California Air Resources Board (CARB) time activity study and from a similar 1985 national study, American's Use of Time. Data from the national study were recorded similarly to the CARB code categories, in order to make data comparisons (Robinson and Thomas, 1991).

The CARB study involved residents who lived in the state of California. One adult 18 years or older was randomly sampled in each household and was asked to complete a diary with entries for the previous day's
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activities and the location of each activity. Time use patterns for other individuals 12 years and older in the households contacted were also included in the diaries. Telephone interviews based on the random-digit-dialing (RDD) procedure were conducted for approximately 1,762 respondents in the CARB survey. These interviews were distributed across all days of the week and across different months of the year (between October 1987-August 1988).

In the 1985 National study, single day diaries were collected from over 5,000 respondents across the U.S., 12 years of age and older. The study was conducted during January through December 1985. Three modes of time diary collection were employed for this survey: mailback, telephone interview, and personal interview. Data obtained from the personal interviews were not used in this study (Robinson and Thomas, 1991). The sample population for the mail-back and telephone interview was selected based on a RDD method. The RDD was designed to represent all telephone households in the contiguous United States (Robinson and Thomas, 1991). In addition to estimates of time spent at various activities and locations, the survey design provided information on the employment status, age, education, race, and gender for each member of the respondent's household. The mail-back procedure was based on a "tomorrow" approach, and the telephone interview was based on recall. In the "tomorrow" approach, respondents know, and agree ahead of time, that they will be keeping a diary (Robinson and Thomas, 1991).

Data comparisons by Robinson and Thomas (1991) were based on 10 major activity categories ( 100 subcategory codes) and 3 major locations ( 44 sub-location codes) employed in both the CARB and the 1985 national study. In order to make data comparisons, Robinson and Thomas (1991) excluded responses from individuals of ages 65 years and older and 18 years or younger in both surveys. In addition, only mail-back responses were analyzed for the 1985 national study. The data were then weighted to project both the California and national population in terms of days of the week, region, numbers of respondents per household, and 3 monthly seasons of the year (Robinson and Thomas, 1991).

Table 15-5 shows the mean time spent in the 10 major activities by gender and for all respondents between the ages of 18-64 years (time use data for the individual activities are presented in Appendix Table 15A-2). In both studies respondents spent most of their time ( $642 \mathrm{mins} /$ day ) on personal needs and care (i.e., sleep).

Californians spent more time on paid work, education and training, obtaining goods and services, and communication, and less time on household work, child care, organizational activities, entertainment/social activities, and recreation than the national population. The male and female population closely followed the same trends as the general population. Table $15-6$ shows the mean time spent at 3 major locations for the CARB and national study grouped by total sample and gender, ages 18-64 years (time use data for the 44 detailed microenvironments are presented in Appendix Table 15A-3). Respondents spent most of their time at home, 892 minutes/day for the CARB and 954 minutes/day for the national study. Californians spent more of their time away from home and traveling compared to the national population.

In addition, Robinson and Thomas (1991) defined a set of 16 microenvironments based on the activity and location codes employed in both studies. The analysis included data for adolescents (12-17 years) and adults (65 years and older) in both the CARB study and the mail-back portion of the 1985 national study (Robinson and Thomas, 1991). The mean duration of time spent in locations for total sample population, 12 years and older, across three types of locations is presented in Table 15-7 for both studies. Respondents spent most of their time indoors, 1255 and 1279 minutes/day for the CARB and national study, respectively.

Table 15-8 presents the mean duration of time and standard mean error for the 16 microenvironments grouped by total sample population and gender. Also included is the mean time spent for respondents ("Doers") who reported participating in each activity. Table $15-8$ shows that in both studies men spend more time in work locations, automobiles and other vehicles, autoplaces (garages), and physical outdoor activities, outdoor sites. In contrast, women spend more time cooking, engaging in other kitchen activities, performing other chores, and shopping. The same trends also occur on a per participant basis.

Table 15-9 shows the mean time spent in various microenvironments grouped by type of the day (weekday or weekend) in both studies. Generally, respondents spent most of their time during the weekends in restaurants/bars (CARB study), motor vehicles, outdoor activities, socialcultural settings, leisure/communication activities, and sleeping. Microenvironmental differences by age are presented in Table 15-10. Respondents in the age groups 18-24 years and 25-44 years spent most of their time in
restaurants/bars and traveling. The oldest age group, 65 years and older, spent most of their time in the kitchen (cooking and other kitchen related activities) and in communication activities.

Limitations associated with the Robinson and Thomas (1991) study are that the CARB survey was based on recall and the survey was performed in California only. Therefore, if applied to other populations, the data set may be biased. Another limitation is that time distribution patterns (statistical analysis) were not provided for both studies. Also, the data are based on short term studies. An advantage of this study is that the 1985 national study represents the general U.S. population. Also, the 1985 national study provides time estimates by activities, locations, and microenvironments grouped by age, gender, and type of day. Another advantage is that the data were compared and that, overall, both data sets showed similar patterns of activity (Robinson and Thomas, 1991).

Wiley et al. (1991) - Study of Children's Activity Patterns - The California children's activity pattern survey design provided time estimates of children (under 12 years old) in various activities and locations (microenvironments) on a typical day (Wiley et al., 1991). The sample population, which consisted of 1,200 respondents (including children under 12 years of age and adult informants residing in the child's household), was selected using Waksberg RDD methods from English-speaking households. One child was selected from each household. If the selected child was 8 years old or less, the adult in the same household who spent the most time with the child responded. However, if the selected child was between 9 and 11 years old, that child responded. The population was also stratified to provide representative estimates for major regions of the state. The survey questionnaire included a time diary which provided information on the children's activity and location patterns based on a 24 -hour recall period. In addition, the survey questionnaire included questions about potential exposure to sources of indoor air pollution (i.e., presence of smokers) on the diary day and the socio-demographic characteristics (i.e., age, gender, marital status of adult) of children and adult respondents. The questionnaires and the time diaries were administered via a computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) technology (Wiley et al., 1991). The telephone interviews were conducted during April 1989 to February 1990 over four seasons: Spring (April-June 1989), Summer (JulySeptember 1989), Fall (October-December 1989), and Winter (January-February 1990).

The data obtained from the survey interviews resulted in ten major activity categories, 113 detailed activity codes, 6 major categories of locations, and 63 detailed location codes. The average time respondents spent during the 10 activity categories for all children are presented in Table 15-11. Also included in this table are the detailed activity, including its code, with the highest mean duration of time; the percentage of respondents who reported participating in any activity (percent doing); and the mean, median, and maximum time duration for "doers." The dominant activity category, personal care (night sleep being the highest contributor), had the highest time expenditure of $794 \mathrm{mins} /$ day ( 13.2 hours/day). All respondents reported sleeping at night, resulting in a mean daily time per participant of $794 \mathrm{mins} /$ day spent sleeping. The activity category "don't know" had a duration of about $2 \mathrm{mins} /$ day and only 4 percent of the respondents reported missing activity time.

Table 15-12 presents the mean time spent in the 10 activity categories by age and gender. Differences in activity patterns for boys and girls tended to be small. Table 15-13 presents the mean time spent in the 10 activity categories grouped by seasons and California regions. There were seasonal differences for 5 activity categories: personal care, educational activities, social/entertainment, recreation, and communication/ passive leisure. Time expenditure differences in various regions of the State were minimal for childcare, work-related activities, shopping, personal care, education, social life, and recreation.

Table 15-14 presents the distribution of time across six location categories. The participation rates (percent) of respondents, the mean, median, and maximum time for "doers." The detailed location with the highest average time expenditure are also shown. The largest amount of time spent was at home ( 1,078 minutes/day); 99 percent of respondents spent time at home ( 1,086 minutes/ participant/day). Tables $15-15$ and $15-16$ show the average time spent in the six locations grouped by age and gender, and season and region, respectively. There are age differences in time expenditure in educational settings for boys and girls (Table 15-15). There are no differences in time expenditure at the six locations by regions, and time spent in school decreased in the summer months compared to other seasons (Table 15-16). Table 15-17 shows the average potential exposure time children spent in proximity to tobacco smoke, gasoline fumes, and gas oven fumes grouped by age and gender. The sampled children spent more time closer to tobacco smoke (77
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mins/day) than gasoline fumes ( 2 mins/day) and gas oven fumes ( $11 \mathrm{mins} /$ day).

A limitation of this study is that the sampling population was restricted to only English-speaking households; therefore, the data obtained does not represent the diverse population group present in California. Another limitation is that time use values obtained from this survey were based on short-term recall (24-hr) data; therefore, the data set obtained may be biased. Other limitations are: the survey was conducted in California and is not representative of the national population, and the significance of the observed differences in the data obtained (i.e., gender, age, seasons, and regions) were not tested statistically. An advantage of this study is that time expenditure in various activities and locations were presented for children grouped by age, gender, and seasons. Also, potential exposures of respondents to pollutants were explored in the survey. Another advantage is the use of the CATI program in obtaining time diaries, which allows automatic coding of activities and locations onto a computer tape, and allows activities forgotten by respondents to be inserted into its appropriate position during interviewing (Wiley et al., 1991).
U.S. EPA (1992) - Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications - U.S. EPA (1992) addressed the variables of exposure time, frequency, and duration needed to calculate dermal exposure as related to activity. The reader is referred to the document for a detailed discussion of these variables in relation to soil and water related activities. The suggested values that can be used for dermal exposure are presented in Table 15-18. Limitations of this study are that the values are based on small data sets and a limited number of studies. An advantage is that it presents default values for frequency and duration for use in exposure assessments when specific data are not available.

Tsang and Klepeis (1996) - National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS) - The National Human Activity Pattern Survey was conducted by the U.S. EPA (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996). It is the largest and most current human activity pattern survey available (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996). Data for 9,386 respondents in the 48 contiguous United States were collected via minute-byminute 24-hour diaries between October 1992 and September 1994. Detailed data were collected for a maximum of 82 different possible locations, and a maximum of 91 different activities. Participants were selected using a Random Digit Dial (RDD) method and

Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI). The response rate was 63 percent, overall. If the chosen respondent was a child too young to interview, an adult in the household gave a proxy interview. Each participant was asked to recount their entire daily routine from midnight to midnight immediately previous to the day that they were interviewed. The survey collected information on duration and frequency of selected activities and of the time spent in selected microenvironments. In addition, demographic information was collected for each respondent to allow for statistical summaries to be generated according to specific subgroups of the U.S. population (i.e., by gender, age, race, employment status, census region, season, etc.). The participants' responses were weighted according to geographic, socioeconomic, time/season, and other demographic factors to ensure that results were representative of the U.S. population. The weighted sample matches the 1990 U.S. census population for each gender, age group, census region, and the day-of-week and seasonal responses are equally distributed. Saturdays and Sundays were over sampled to ensure an adequate weekend sample.

The data presented are a compilation of 24 -hour diary locations, activities, and follow-up exposure questions based on exposure-related events (personal, exposure, household characteristics, medical background) (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996). Data presented are reported in the form of means, percentages of time spent, and percentages of respondent occurrences. The diary data are useful for obtaining national representative distributions of time spent in a large variety of activities and locations in a single day (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996). According to Tsang and Klepeis (1996), the 24-hour diaries in the NHAPS are useful in probabilistic modeling (Monte-Carlo) that provides frequency distributions of exposure. Overall survey results indicate that for time spent in microenvironments, the largest overall percentage of time was spent in residential-indoors ( 67 percent), followed by time spent outdoors ( 8 percent), and then time spent in vehicles ( 5 percent) (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996). Tables 1519 through 15-146 provide data from the NHAPS study. NHAPS data on the time spent in selected activities are presented in Tables 15-19 through 15-92. NHAPS data on the time spent in selected microenvironments are presented in Tables 15-93 to 15-139 and of these tables, Tables 15-66 through 15-139 present 24 -hour cumulative statistics (mean, minimum, maximuim, and percentiles) data for time spent in various activities and in various microenvironments.

- Tables 15-19 through 15-32 provide information on the frequency and duration of taking baths, frequency of taking showers, and on the amount of time spent in the shower or bathroom after completion of the activity.
- Table $15-33$ provides the frequency for washing the hands in a day.
- Tables 15-34 through 15-36 present information on time spent by persons working with or being near foods while being grilled or barbecued; working with or near open flames; and working or being near excessive dust in the air.
- Tables 15-37 through 15-39 provide data for the number of times a vehicle was started in a garage or carport and if started with the door closed; and for time spent at a gas station or repair shop.
- Tables 15-40 through 15-42 present information on the number of times windows and doors were opened and the number of minutes they were left open at home while the respondent was at home.
- Tables 15-43 through 15-47 provide data for time spent in heavy traffic either running, walking, standing, or in a vehicle; and for time spent in indoor and outdoor parking lots and garages.
- Tables 15-48 through 15-50 present information for time spent working for pay; working at different times of day; and for the amount of that time was spent working outdoors.
- Tables 15-51 through 15-56 provide information for number of times of performing household tasks in a day such as vacuuming, and washing dishes and clothes in a residence.
- Tables 15-57 through 15-64 present data for number of times per day and the duration for playing in sand, gravel, and dirt; and for
working in circumstances where one comes in contact with soil such as in a garden.
- Tables 15-65 through 15-67 provide information on the frequency of swimming in a fresh water swimming pool and the amount of time spent swimming during a 1-month period.
- Tables 15-68 through 15-87 present statistics for time spent in various major categories. They are as follows: Paid Work (main job); Household Work (food preparation and cleanup, cleaning house, clothes care); Child Care (indoor and outdoor playing); Obtaining Goods and Services (car repair); Personal Needs and Care (sleeping/napping); Free Time and Education (school); and Recreation (active sports, exercise, outdoor recreation).
- Tables $15-88$ through $15-94$ provide statistics for time spent in various activities that are the results of regrouping/combining activities described in Tables 15-68 through 15-87. Because the occurrences in some major categories were too small to conduct analyses, these categories were regrouped into broader categories so that new categories could be developed with a larger number of occurrences (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996). This regrouping was performed to create a better data set for estimating exposure activities from the available data (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996).
- Tables 15-95 through 15-103 provide cumulative statistics for time spent in various indoor microenvironments such as repair shops/gas stations; bar/ night club/bowling alley; and at school.
- Tables 15-104 through 15-112 present statistical data for time spent in various outdoor locations. These tables include data for locations such as schoolgrounds/ playground; parking lots; construction sites; parks and golf courses; and farms.
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- Tables 15-113 through 15-120 present statistics for time spent in various locations in the home. Data are presented for the number of minutes spent in the kitchen, bathroom, bedroom, garage, basement, utility room or laundry room; in the outdoor pool or spa; and in the yard or other areas outside the house.
- Tables 15-121 through 15-130 provide data on time spent traveling and for traveling in various types of vehicles; and for time spent walking.
- Tables 15-131 through 15-140 provide statistics for total time spent indoors at home (categories regrouped/combined based on various data described in Tables 15-95 through 15-130), including all rooms; outdoors at home; traveling inside a vehicle; outdoors near a vehicle; outdoors other than near a residence; in an office or factory; in malls and other stores; in various public buildings; in bars, restaurants, etc.; and outdoor locations such as auto repair shops and laundromats.
- Table 15-141 provides the number of minutes spent in an activity or microenvironment where a smoker was present.
- Tables 15-142 and 15-143 present data for time spent smoking in a day.
- Tables 15-144 through 15-148 provide information for time spent smoking selected tobacco products such as cigars, cigarettes, and pipe tobacco.

Advantages of the NHAPS dataset are that it is representative of the U.S. population and it has been adjusted to be balanced geographically, seasonally, and for day/time. Also, it is representative of all ages, gender, and is race specific. A disadvantage of the study is that means cannot be calculated for time spent over 60, 120, and 181 minutes in selected activities. Therefore, actual time spent at the high end of the distribution for these activities cannot be captured.

### 15.1.2. Relevant Activity Pattern Studies

Robinson - Changes in Americans' Use of Time: 1965-1975 (1977) - Robinson (1977) compared time use data obtained from two national surveys that were conducted in 1965-1966 and in 1975. Each survey used the time-diary method to collect data. The 1965-66 survey excluded people in the following categories: (a) NonStandard Metropolitan Statistical Area (non-SMSA) (designation of Census Bureau areas having no city with more than 50,000 population); (b) households where no adult members were in the labor force for at least 10 hours per week; (c) age 65 and over; and (d) farm-related occupations (Robinson, 1977). The 1,244 respondents in the 1965-66 study included either employed men and women or housewives (Robinson, 1977). The survey was conducted between November-December 1965 and MarchApril 1966. Respondents recorded their daily activities in time diaries by using the "tomorrow" approach. In this approach, diaries were kept on the day following the interviewer's initial contact. The interviewer then made a second call to the respondent to determine if the information in diaries were correct and to obtain additional data. Only one person per household was interviewed. The survey was designed to obtain information on time spent with family members, time spent at various locations during activities, and performing primary and secondary activities.

A similar study was conducted in 1975 from October through December. Unlike the 1965-1966 survey, the 1975 survey included rural areas, farmers, the unemployed, students, and retirees. Time diary data were collected using the "yesterday" approach. In this approach, interviewers made only one contact with respondents (greater than 1500) and the diaries were filled out based on a 24 -hour recall (Robinson, 1977). Time diary data were also collected from the respondents' spouses.

In both surveys, the various activities were coded into 96 categories, and then were combined into five major categories. Free-time activities were grouped into 5 subcategories (Appendix Table 15A-2). In order to compare data obtained from both surveys, Robinson (1977) excluded the same population groups in the 1975 survey that were excluded in the 1965-66 survey (i.e., farmers, rural residents).

Results obtained from the surveys were presented by gender, age, marital and employment status, race, and education. Robinson (1977) reported the data collected in hours/week; however, the method for converting daily
activities to hours/week were not presented. Table 15-149 shows the differences in time use by gender, employment, and marital status for five major activity categories and five subcategories for 1965 and 1975. Time spent on work related activities (i.e., work for pay and family care) was lower in 1975 than in 1965 for employed men and women. Table 15-149 also shows that there was an overall increase in free time activities for all the six groups. The difference in time use in 1965 and 1975 are presented by age, education, and race in Tables 15-150, 15-151, and 15-152, respectively. These tables include data for students and certain employed respondents that were excluded in Table 15-148 (Robinson, 1977). In 1975, the eldest group (ages $56-65$ years) showed a decline in paid work, and an increase in family care, personal care and sleep (Table 15150). Education level comparisons across the ten-year interval indicated that the less educated had a decrease in paid work and an increase in sleep and personal care; the most educated had an increase in work time and a decrease in other leisure (Table 15-151). For racial comparisons, Blacks spent less time at paid work than Whites across the ten-year interval (Table 15-152). Table 15-152 also shows that Blacks spent more time than Whites at free time activities in 1975.

A limitation of the study survey design is that time use data were gathered as social indicators. Therefore, the activity categories presented may not be relevant in exposure assessments. Another limitation is that statistical analysis of the data set was not provided. Additional limitations are that the time use data are old and the data may not reflect recent changes in time use. The 1965 and 1975 data sets excluded certain population groups and, therefore, may not be entirely representative of the U.S. population. Another limitation is that these are short-term studies and may not necessarily represent long-term activity patterns. An advantage of this study is that time use data were presented by age, gender, race, education level, and employment and marital status. Another advantage is that earlier investigations on the study method (24-hr recall) employed in the 1965 study revealed no systematic biases in reported activities (Robinson, 1977). Robinson (1977) also noted that the time-diary method provides a "zero-sum" measure (i.e., since there are only 24 daily hours or 168 weekly hours, if time on one activity increases then time on another activity must decrease).

Juster et al. (1983) - 1975-1981 Time Use Longitudinal Panel Study - The Time Allocation longitudinal study of the U.S. population began as part of a multinational project with the first survey conducted in 1965-66. A second national time use survey was conducted in 1975-1976 and another in 1981 (Juster et al. 1983). Juster et al. (1983) provided study descriptions of the second and third surveys. The surveys included a probability sample of the adult population (18 years and older) and children between the ages of 3 and 17 years in the United States. In both surveys, time use was measured from 24-hour recall diaries administered to respondents and their spouses. The 1975-1976 survey involved four waves of interview: wave 1, October-November 1975; wave 2, February 1976; wave 3, May-June 1976; wave 4, September 1976. The first wave was a personal interview and the other three waves were telephone interviews. The 1975-1976 survey sample consisted of 2,300 individuals, and of that sample, 1,519 respondents. Four recall diaries (one from each wave of interviews) were obtained from 947 respondents, with data on time use measures for two weekdays, one Saturday and one Sunday. The survey was designed to gather information for: employment status; earnings and other income; "consumption benefits for activities of respondents and their spouses;" health, friendships and associations of the respondents; stock technology available to the household, house repair, and maintenance activities of the family; division of labor in household work and related attitudes; physical characteristics of the respondents housing structure, net worth and housing values; job characteristics; and characteristics of mass media usage on a typical day (Juster et al., 1983).

The 1981 survey was a follow-up of respondents and spouses who had completed at least three waves of interview in the 1975-1976 survey. For the 1981 survey, 920 individuals were eligible. The survey design was similar to the 1975-1976 survey, however in this survey, the adult population was 25 years and older and consisted of 620 respondents. Four waves of interviews were conducted between February - March 1981 (wave 1), May - June 1981 (wave 2), September 1981 (wave 3), and November December (wave 4). The 1981 survey included the respondents' children between the ages of 3 and 17 years. The survey design for children provided information on time use measures from two time diary reports: one school day and one non-school day. In addition, information for academic achievement measures,
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school and family life measures, and ratings from the children's teachers were gathered during the survey.

Juster et al. (1983) did not report the time use data obtained for the 1975-1976 survey or the 1981 survey. These data are stored in four tape files and can be obtained from the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) in Michigan. The response rate for the first wave of interview (1975-76 survey) based on the original sample population was 66 percent, and response rates for the subsequent waves ranged from 42 percent (wave 4) to 50 percent (wave 2). In the 1981 survey, the response rate based on eligible respondents was 67 percent for the first interview, and ranged from 54 percent (wave 4) to 60 percent (wave 2) in the subsequent interviews (Juster et al., 1983). The 1975-1976 survey included 87 activities. In the 1981 survey, these 87 activities were broken down into smaller components, resulting in 223 activities (Juster et al., 1983). The activity codes and descriptors used for the adult time diaries in both surveys are presented in Appendix Table 15A-3.

A limitation of this study is that the surveys were not designed for exposure assessment purposes. Therefore, the time use data set may be biased. Another limitation is that time use data collected were based on a 24 -hour diary recall. This may somewhat bias the data set obtained from this survey. An advantage associated with this survey is that it provides a database of information on various human activities. This information can be used to assess various exposure pathways and scenarios associated with these activities. Also, some of the data from these surveys were used in the studies conducted by Timmer et al. (1985) and Hill (1985). In addition, the activity descriptor codes developed in these studies were used by Timmer et al (1985), Hill (1985), and Robinson and Thomas (1991). These studies are presented in Sections 15.1.1 and 15.1.2. Another advantage of this survey is that the data are based on a national survey and conducted over a one year period, resulting in a seasonally balanced survey and one representative of the U.S. population.

Hill (1985) - Patterns of Time Use - Hill (1985) investigated the total amount of time American adults spend in one year performing various activities and the variation in time use across three different dimensions: demographic characteristics, geographical location, and seasonal characteristics. In this study, time estimates were based on data collected from time diaries in four waves (1 per season) of a survey conducted in the fall of 1975
through the fall of 1976 for the 1975-1976 Time Allocation Study. The sampling periods included two weekdays, one Saturday and one Sunday. The 1975-1976 Time Allocation Study provided information on the amount of time spent performing primary activities. The information gathered were responses to the survey question "What were you doing?" The survey also provided information on secondary activities (i.e., respondents performing more than one activity at the same time). Hill (1985) analyzed time estimates for 10 broad categories of activities based on data collected from 87 activities. These estimates included seasonal variation in time use patterns and comparisons of time use patterns for different days of the week. The 10 major categories and ranges of activity codes are listed in Appendix Table 15A-4. Hill (1985) collected data on time use for the major activity patterns in four different age groups (18-24, 25-44, 45-64, and 65 years and older). However, the time use data were summarized in graphs rather than in tables.

Analysis of the 1975-76 survey data revealed very small regional differences in time use among the broad activity patterns (Hill, 1985). The weighted mean hours per week spent performing the 10 major activity categories presented by region are shown in Table 15-153. In all regions, adults spent more time on personal care (included night sleep). Adults in the North Central region of the country spent more time on market work activities than adults in other regions of the country. Adults in the South spent more time on leisure activities (passive and active combined) than adults elsewhere (Table 15-153). Table 15-154 presents the time spent per day, by the day of the week for the 10 major activity categories. Time spent on the 87 activities (components of the 10 major categories) are presented in Appendix Table 15A-5. Adult time use was dominated in descending order by personal care (including sleep), market work, passive leisure, and house work. Collectively, these activities represent about 80 percent of available time (Hill, 1985).

According to Hill (1985), sleep was the single most dominant activity averaging about 56.3 hours per week. Television watching (passive leisure) averaged about 21.8 hours per week, and housework activities averaged about 14.7 hours per week. Weekdays were predominantly market-work oriented. Weekends (Saturday and Sunday) were predominantly devoted to household tasks ("sleeping in," socializing, and active leisure) (Hill, 1985). Table 15155 presents the mean time spent performing these 10 groups of activities during each wave of interview (fall, winter, spring, and summer). Adjustments
were made to the data to assure equal distributions of weekdays, Saturdays, and Sundays (Hill, 1985). The data indicates that the time periods adults spent performing market work, child care, shopping, organizational activities, and active leisure were fairly constant throughout the year (Hill, 1985). The mean hours spent per week in performing the 10 major activity patterns are presented by gender in Table 15-156 (time use patterns for all 87 activities are presented in Appendix Table 15A-6). Table 15-156 indicates that time use patterns determined by data collected for the mid-1970's survey show gender differences. Men spent more time on activities related to labor market work and education, and women spent more time on household work activities.

A limitation associated with this study is that the time data were obtained from an old survey conducted in the mid-1970s. Because of fairly rapid changes in American society, applying these data to current exposure assessments may result in some biases. Another limitation is that time use data were not presented for children. An advantage of this study is that time diaries were kept and data were not based on recall. The former approach may result in a more accurate data set. Another advantage of this study is that the survey is seasonally balanced since it was conducted throughout the year and the data are from a large survey sample.

Sell (1989) - The Use of Children's Activity Patterns in the Development of a Strategy for Soil Sampling in West Central Phoenix - In a report prepared for the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, Sell (1989) investigated the activity patterns of preschool and school age children in the west central portion of Phoenix known as Maryvale. The survey was conducted in two parts: (1) most of the school age children were interviewed personally from May through June, 1989 in three schools; and (2) survey questionnaires were mailed to parents of preschool children.

In the first survey, 15 percent of the total school population $(2,008)$ was sampled with 111 children in grades K-6 participating (response rate of 37 percent). The surveyed population was 53.2 percent male and 46.8 percent female. Of this population, 41 percent were Hispanics, 49.5 percent Anglos, 7.2 percent Blacks, and 1.7 percent Asians. The children interviewed were between the ages of 5 and 13 years. Within each school, the children in grades K-6 were stratified into two groups, primary (grades K-3) and intermediate (grades 4-6), and children were selected randomly from each group. Children in grades K-2 were either interviewed in school
or at home in the presence of a parent or an adult careprovider. In the course of the interview, children were asked to identify locations of activity areas, social areas (i.e., places they went with friends), favorite areas, and locations of forts or clubhouses. Aerial photographs were used to mark these areas.

The second survey involved only preschool children. Parents completed questionnaires which provided information on the amount of time their children spent outdoors, outdoor play locations, favorite places, digging areas, use of park or playgrounds, and swimming or wading locations. This survey was conducted between June-July 1989. One thousand $(1,000)$ parents were sampled, but only 211 questionnaires were usable out of 886 questionnaires received resulting in a response rate for the preschool's survey of about 24 percent. The sample population consisted of children 1 month and up to preschool age. Of this population, 53 percent were Anglos, 18 percent Hispanics, 2 percent Blacks, and 3 percent Asians.

The survey design considered the kinds of activities children engaged in, but not the amount of time children spent in each activity. Therefore, Sell (1989) presented the data obtained from the survey in terms of percent of respondents who engaged in specific activities or locations. A summary of percent responses of the preschool and school-age children's activities at various locations in the Maryvale study areas are presented in Table 15-157. Also included in this table is a ranking of children's play locations based on other existing research works. Based on the survey data, Sell (1989) reported that the median time preschool children spent outdoors on weekdays was 1-2 hours, and on weekends the median time spent outdoors was 2-5 hours. Most of these children played outside in their own yards, and some played in other people's yards or parks and playgrounds (Sell, 1989).

Limitations associated with this study are that the survey design did not report the time spent in various activities or locations and the response rates obtained from the surveys were low and, therefore, may result in biased data. In addition, because the survey was conducted in Arizona, the surveyed population does not represent the children's population on a national basis. Advantages of this study are that it provides data on various activities children engage in and locations of these activities, and provides for time spent outdoors. This information is useful in determining exposure pathways to toxic pollutants for children.
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Tarshis (1981) - The Average American Book Tarshis (1981) compiled a book addressing the habits, tastes, lifestyles, and attitudes of the American people in which he reported data on time spent in personal grooming. The data presented are gathered from small surveys, the Newspaper Advertising Bureau, and magazines. Tarshis reported frequency and percentage data by gender and age for grooming activities such as showering and bathing as follows:

- 90 percent take some sort of a bath in an average 24-hour period;
- 5 percent average more than 1 shower or bath a day;
- 75 percent of men shower, 25 percent take baths;
- 50 percent of women take showers, 50 percent take baths;
- 65 percent of teenage girls $16-19$ shower daily;
- 55 percent of teenage girls take at least one bath a week;
- 50 percent of women use an additive in their bath every time they bathe;
- People are more likely to shower than bathe if they are young and have higher income; and
- Showering is more popular than bathing in large cities.

Limitations of this study are that the data are compiled from other sources, and that the data are old; it is possible that these data may not reflect the current trends of the general population. An advantage of the study is that it presents frequency data that are useful in exposure assessment, especially concerning volatilization of chemicals from water.

AIHC (1994) - Exposure Factors Sourcebook - The activity factors data presented in the Sourcebook are similar to that in this handbook. The AIHC Sourcebook uses tenure data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (1987), while this handbook uses more recent data (Carey, 1988) and provides general and specific recommendations for various age groups. Distributions were derived using data presented in U.S. EPA (1989) version of this handbook, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (1987), and various other references. Distribution data and/or recommendations are presented for time in one residence, residential occupancy, time spent indoors/outdoors, hours at home/away from home for adults and children, hours at work for adults, working tenure, and shower duration. For each distribution, the @Risk formula is provided for
direct use in the @Risk software (Palisade, 1992). The Sourcebook has been classified as a relevant rather than a key study because it is not the primary source for the data used to make recommendations. It is a relevant source of alterative information.

### 15.2. OCCUPATIONAL MOBILITY

### 15.2.1. Background

The amount of time spent in different types of occupations may affect the duration and/or magnitude of exposures to contaminants specific to those occupations. For example, an individual who spends an entire lifetime as a farmer may experience a longer duration of exposure to certain contaminants, especially pesticides, than individuals who have indoor occupations. Also, individual exposures to specific chemicals in the work place may be significantly reduced when individuals change jobs. Work place exposures among women may be of shorter duration than among men because women's careers may be interrupted by home and family responsibilities. The key studies presented in the following section provide occupational tenure for workers grouped by age, race, gender, and employment status.

### 15.2.2. Key Occupational Mobility Studies

Carey (1988) - Occupational Tenure in 1987: Many Workers Have Remained in Their Fields - Carey (1988) presented median occupational and employer tenure for different age groups, gender, earnings, ethnicity, and educational attainment. Occupational tenure was defined as "the cumulative number of years a person worked in his or her current occupation, regardless of number of employers, interruptions in employment, or time spent in other occupations" (Carey, 1988). The information presented was obtained from supplemental data to the January 1987 Current Population Study, a U.S. Bureau of the Census publication. Carey (1988) did not present information on the survey design.

The median occupational tenure by age and gender, ethnicity, and employment status are presented in Tables $15-158,15-159$, and $15-160$, respectively. The median occupational tenure of the working population (109.1 million people) 16 years of age and older in January of 1987, was 6.6 years (Table 15-158). Table 15-158 also shows that median occupational tenure increased from 1.9 years for workers $16-24$ years old to 21.9 years for workers 70 years and older. The median occupational tenure for men 16 years and older was higher ( 7.9 years) than for women of the same age group (5.4 years). Table

15-159 indicates that whites had longer occupational tenure (6.7 years) than blacks ( 5.8 years), and Hispanics ( 4.5 years). Full-time workers had more occupational tenure than part-time workers 7.2 years and 3.1 years, respectively (Table 15-160).

Table 15-161 presents the median occupational tenure among major occupational groups. The median tenure ranged from 4.1 years for service workers to 10.4 years for people employed in farming, forestry, and fishing. In addition, median occupational tenure among detailed occupations ranged from 24.8 years for barbers to 1.5 years for food counter and fountain workers (Appendix Table 15A-7).

The strength of an individual's attachment to a specific occupation has been attributed to the individual's investment in education (Carey, 1988). Carey (1988) reported the median occupational tenure for the surveyed working population by age and educational level. Workers with 5 or more years of college had the highest median occupational tenure of 10.1 years. Workers that were 65 years and older with 5 or more years of college had the highest occupational tenure level of 33.8 years. The median occupational tenure was 10.6 years for self-employed workers and 6.2 years for wage and salary workers (Carey, 1988).

A limitation associated with this study is that the survey design employed in the data collection was not presented. Therefore, the validity and accuracy of the data set cannot be determined. Another limitation is that only median values were reported in the study. An advantage of this study is that occupational tenure (years spent in a specific occupation) was obtained for various age groups by gender, ethnicity, employment status, and educational level. Another advantage of this study is that the data were based on a survey population which appears to represent the general U.S. population.

Carey (1990) - Occupational Tenure, Employer Tenure, and Occupational Mobility - Carey (1990) conducted another study that was similar in scope to the study of Carey (1988). The January 1987 Current Population Study (CPS) was used. This study provided data on occupational mobility and employer tenure in addition to occupational tenure. Occupational tenure was defined in Carey (1988) as the "the cumulative number of years a person worked in his or her current occupation, regardless of number of employees, interruptions in employment, or time spent in other locations." Employer tenure was defined as "the length of time a worker has been with the same employer," while occupational
mobility was defined as "the number of workers who change from one occupation to another" (Carey, 1990). Occupational mobility was measured by asking individuals who were employed in both January 1986 and January 1987 if they were doing the same kind of work in each of these months (Carey, 1990). Carey (1990) further analyzed the occupational mobility data and obtained information on entry and exit rates for occupations. These rates were defined as "the percentage of persons employed in an occupation who had voluntarily entered it from another occupation" and an exit rate was defined as "the percentage of persons employed in an occupation who had voluntarily left for a new occupation" (Carey, 1990).

Table 15-162 shows the voluntary occupational mobility rates in January 1987 for workers 16 years and older. For all workers, the overall voluntary occupational mobility rate was 5.3 percent. These data also show that younger workers left occupations at a higher rate than older workers. Carey (1990) reported that 10 million of the 100.1 million individuals employed in January 1986 and in January 1987 had changed occupations during that period, resulting in an overall mobility rate of 9.9 percent. Executive, administrative, and managerial occupations had the highest entry rate of 5.3 percent, followed by administrative support (including clerical) at 4.9 percent. Sales had the highest exit rate of 5.3 percent and service had the second highest exit rate of 4.8 percent (Carey, 1990). In January 1987, the median employer tenure for all workers was 4.2 years. The median employee tenure was 12.4 years for those workers that were 65 years of age and older (Carey, 1990).

Because the study was conducted by Carey (1990) in a manner similar to that of the previous study (Carey, 1988), the same advantages and disadvantages associated with Carey (1988) also apply to this data set.

### 15.3. POPULATION MOBILITY

### 15.3.1. Background

An assessment of population mobility can assist in determining the length of time a household is exposed in a particular location. For example, the duration of exposure to site-specific contamination, such as a polluted stream from which a family fishes or contaminated soil on which children play or vegetables are grown, will be directly related to the period of time residents live near the contaminated site.

Information regarding population mobility is compiled and published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census (BOC). Banks, insurance companies, credit card
companies, real estate and housing associations use residence history information. However, usually this information is confidential. Information compiled by the BOC provides information about population mobility; however, it is difficult to determine the average residence time of a homeowner or apartment dweller from this information. Census data provide representations of a cross-section of the population at specific points in time, but the surveys are not designed to follow individual families through time. The most current BOC information about annual geographical mobility and mobility by State is summarized in Appendix 15B. Figure 15-1 graphically displays the distribution of movers by type of move.

Available information was provided by the Oxford Development Corporation, the National Association of Realtors (NAR), and the BOC. According to Oxford Development Corporation, a property management firm, the typical residence time for an apartment dweller for their corporation has been estimated to range from 18 to 30 months (S. Cameron Hendricks, Sales Department, Oxford Development Corporation, Gaithersburg, MD, personal communication with P. Wood (Versar) August 10, 1992).

### 15.3.2. Key Population Mobility Studies

Israeli and Nelson (1992) - Distribution and Expected Time of Residence for U.S. Households - In risk assessments, the average current residence time (time since moving into current residence) has often been used as a substitute for the average total residence time (time between moving into and out of a residence) (Israeli and Nelson, 1992). Israeli and Nelson (1992) have estimated distributions of expected time of residence for U.S. households. Distributions and averages for both current and total residence times were calculated for several housing categories using the 1985 and 1987 BOC housing survey data. The total residence time distribution was estimated from current residence time data by modeling the moving process (Israeli and Nelson, 1992). Israeli and Nelson (1992) estimated the average total residence time for a household to be approximately 4.6 years or $1 / 6$ of the expected life span (see Table 15-163). The maximal total residence time that a given fraction of households will live in the same residence is presented in Table 15-164. For example, only 5 percent of the individuals in the "All Households" category will live in the same residence for 23 years and 95 percent will move in less than 23 years.

The authors note that the data presented are for the expected time a household will stay in the same residence. The data do not predict the expected residence time for each member of the household, which is generally expected to be smaller (Israeli and Nelson, 1992). These values are more realistic estimates for the individual total residence time, than the average time a household has been living at its current residence. The expected total residence time for a household is consistently less than the average current residence time. This is the result of greater weighting of short residence time when calculating the average total residence time than when calculating the average current residence time (Israeli and Nelson, 1992). When averaging total residence over a time interval, frequent movers may appear several times, but when averaging current residence times, each household appears only once (Israeli and Nelson, 1992). According to Israeli and Nelson (1992), the residence time distribution developed by the model is skewed and the median values are considerably less than the means (T), which are less than the average current residence times.
U.S. Bureau of the Census (1993) - American Housing Survey for the United States in 1991-This survey is a national sample of 55,000 interviews in which collected data were presented owners, renters, Black householders, and Hispanic householders. The data reflect the number of years a unit has been occupied and represent all occupied housing units that the residents' rented or owned at the time of the survey.

The results of the survey pertaining to residence time of owner/renter occupied units in the U.S. are presented in Table 15-165. Using the data in Table 15-165, the percentages of householders living in houses for specified time ranges were determined and are presented in Table 15166. Based on the BOC data in Table $15-165$, the 50th percentile and the 90th percentile values were calculated for the number of years lived in the householder's current house. These values were calculated by apportioning the total sample size ( 93,147 households) to the indicated percentile associated with the applicable range of years lived in the current home. Assuming an even distribution within the appropriate range, the 50th and 90th percentile values for years living in current home were determined to be 9.1 and 32.7 years, respectively. These were then rounded to 9 and 33 years. Based on the above data, the range of 9 to 33 years is assumed to best represent a central tendency estimate of length of residence and upper percentile estimate of residence time, respectively.
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Figure 15-1. Distribution of Individuals Moving by Type of Move: 1991-92.
Source: U.S Bureau of the Census, 1993

A limitation associated with the above analysis is the assumption that there is an even distribution within the different ranges. As a result, the 50 th and 90 th percentile values may be biased.

Johnson and Capel (1992) - A Monte Carlo Approach to Simulating Residential Occupancy Periods and It's Application to the General U.S. Population Johnson and Capel developed a methodology to estimate the distribution of the residential occupancy period (ROP) in the national population. ROP denotes the time (years) between a person moving into a residence and the time the person moves out or dies. The methodology used a Monte Carlo approach to simulate a distribution of ROP for 500,000 persons using data on population, mobility, and mortality.

The methodology consisted of six steps. The first step defined the population of interest and categorized them by location, gender, age, sex, and race. Next the demographic groups were selected and the fraction of the specified population that fell into each group was developed using U.S. BOC data. A mobility table was
developed based on census data, which provided the probability that a person with specified demographics did not move during the previous year. The fifth step used data on vital statistics published by the National Center for Health Statistics and developed a mortality table which provided the probability that individuals with specific demographic characteristics would die during the upcoming year. As a final step, a computer based algorithm was used to apply a Monte Carlo approach to a series of persons selected at random from the population being analyzed.

Table 15-167 presents the results for residential occupancy periods for the total population, by gender. The estimated mean ROP for the total population was 11.7 years. The distribution was skewed (Johnson and Capel, 1992): the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles were 4, 9 , and 16 years, respectively. The 90th, 95th, and 99th percentiles were 26,33 , and 47 years, respectively. The mean ROP was 11.1 years for males and 12.3 years for females, and the median value was 8 years for males and 9 years for females.
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Descriptive statistics for subgroups defined by current ages were also calculated. These data, presented by gender, are shown in Table 15-168. The mean ROP increases from age 3 to age 12 and there is a noticeable decrease at age 24 . However, there is a steady increase from age 24 through age 81 .

There are a few biases within this methodology that have been noted by the authors. The probability of not moving is estimated as a function only of gender and age. The Monte Carlo process assumes that this probability is independent of (1) the calendar year to which it is applied, and (2) the past history of the person being simulated. These assumptions, according to Johnson and Capel (1992), are not entirely correct. They believe that extreme values are a function of sample size and will, for the most part, increase as the number of simulated persons increases.

### 15.3.3. Relevant Population Mobility Studies

National Association of Realtors (NAR) (1993) The Home Buying and Selling Process - The NAR survey was conducted by mailing a questionnaire to 15,000 home buyers throughout the U.S. who purchased homes during the second half of 1993. The survey was conducted in December 1993 and 1,763 usable responses were received, equaling a response rate of 12 percent (NAR, 1993). Of the respondents, forty-one percent were first time buyers. Home buyer names and addresses were obtained from Dataman Information Services (DIS). DIS compiles information on residential real estate transactions from more than 600 counties throughout the United States using courthouse deed records. Most of the 250 Metropolitan Statistical Areas are also covered in the DIS data compilation.

The home buyers were questioned on the length of time they owned their previous home. Typical homebuyer ( $41 \%$ ) was found to have lived in their previous home between 4 and 7 years (Table 15-169). The survey results indicate that the average tenure of home buyers is 7.1 years based on an overall residence history of the respondents (NAR, 1993). In addition, the median length of residence in respondents' previous homes was found to be 6 years (see Table 15-170).

The distances the respondents moved to their new homes were typically short distances. Data presented in Table 15-171 indicate that the mean distances range from 230 miles for new home buyers and repeat buyers to 8 years for first time buyers and existing home buyers. Seventeen (17) percent of respondents purchased homes
over 100 miles from their previous homes and 49 percent purchased homes less than 10 miles away.

Lehman (1994)- Homeowners Relocating at Faster Pace - Lehman (1994) presents data gathered by the Chicago Title and Trust Family Insurers. The data indicate that, in 1993, average U.S. homeowners moved every 12 years. In 1992, homeowners moved every 13.4 years and in 1991, every 14.3 years. Data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census indicate that 7 percent of the owner population moved in 1991. Based on this information, Lehman has concluded that it would take 12 years for 100 percent of owners to move. According to Lehman, Bill Harriett of the U.S. Bureau of the Census has been said that 14 years is a closer estimate for the time required for 100 percent of home owners to move. An advantage of this study is that it provides percentile data for the residential occupancy period.

### 15.4. RECOMMENDATIONS

Assessors are commonly interested in a number of specific types of time use data including time/frequencies for bathing, showering, gardening, residence time, indoor versus outdoor time, swimming, occupational tenure, and population mobility. Recommendations for each of these are discussed below. The confidence recommendations for activity patterns is presented in Table 15-172.

### 15.4.1. Recommendations for Activity Patterns

Following are recommendations for selected activities known to increase an individual's exposure to certain chemicals. These activities are time spent indoors versus outdoors and gardening, bathing and showering, swimming, residential time spent indoors and outdoors, and traveling inside a vehicle.

Time Spent Indoors Versus Outdoors and Gardening - Assessors often require knowledge of time individuals spend indoors versus outdoors. Ideally, this issue would be addressed on a site-specific basis since the times are likely to vary considerably depending on the climate, residential setting (i.e., rural versus urban), personal traits (i.e., age, health) and personal habits. The following general recommendation is offered in the absence of site-specific information. The key study by Robinson and Thomas (1991) compares the time use values derived in the CARB and National Studies; data are presented only for persons 12 years and older. The time use values did not differ significantly between the two studies and were averaged to provide the following recommended values. These values are applicable to
individuals 12 years and older. Approximately 21 hrs/day are spent indoors; $1.5 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day are spent outdoors, and 1.5 $\mathrm{hrs} /$ day are spent in a vehicle.

Activities can vary significantly with differences in age. Special attention should be given to the activities of populations under the age of 12 years. Timmer et al. (1985) presented data on time spent in various activities for boys and girls ages 3-11 years. The study focused on activities performed indoors such as household work, personal care, eating, sleeping, school, studying, attending church, watching television, and engaging in household conversations. The average times spent in each indoor activity (and half the times spent in each activity which could have occurred indoors or outdoors) were summed. This procedure resulted in the following recommendations:

- Indoor activities accounted for about 78 percent of the total time in weekdays and 70 percent total time in weekend days. The corresponding times spent indoors are $19 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day for weekdays and 17 hrs/day on weekends.
- Outdoor activities accounted for about 22 percent of children's time during weekdays and 30 percent during the weekend. The corresponding times spent outdoors are $5 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day for weekdays and 7 hrs/day on weekends.

Assessors evaluating soil exposures are commonly interested in data on gardening times and frequencies. No data specific to time spent gardening could be found; thus, no firm recommendation could be made. However, three sets of data were found which indirectly relate to this issue which the assessor can consider in deriving time estimates for gardening:

- Robinson and Thomas (1991) estimated the time spent in "other outdoor activities" (Table 15-8) as $1 \mathrm{hr} /$ day. These data apply to populations 12 years and older.
- Hill (1985) estimated that time spent in "house work and/or yard work" (Table 15-153) as 2 $\mathrm{hr} /$ day. These data apply to adult populations.
- Tsang and Klepeis (1996) estimated that time spent in the garden or other circumstances working with soil for persons 18-64 years old
(Table 15-62) for the 90th, 95th, and 99th percentile at 16,40 , and 200 hours/month, respectively.
U.S. EPA's Dermal Exposure Assessment Document (1992) recommends, on the basis of judgement, an event frequency for the adult gardener, working outside: 1 to 2 events/week during warmer months or about 40 events/year. An upper percentile value of 40 hours/month is recommended based on Tsang and Klepeis (1996)

Baths and Showers - In the NHAPS study, $649(\sim 7$ percent) of the total participants indicated either taking or giving at least one bath in a day. Those 649 respondents were subsequently asked the number of times they took or gave a bath in one day. The majority, 459 of 649 respondents, recorded taking or giving one bath in a day. These results are presented in Table 15-24. The recommended bathing duration is 20 minutes. This is a 50th percentile value based on the NHAPS distribution shown on Table 15-26; the reported 90th percentile value is 45 minutes.

The recommended shower frequency of one shower per day is based on the NHAPS data summarized in Table 15-19. This table showed that 3,594 of the 9,386 total participants indicated taking at least one shower the previous day. When asked the number of actual showers taken the previous day, the reported results ranged from one to ten showers; a majority ( 76 percent), of those 3,549 respondents, reported taking one shower the previous day. The NHAPS data shown on Table 15-19, Table 15-24, and Table 15-26 provide information grouped according to gender, age, race, employment, education, day of the week, seasonal conditions, and health conditions such as asthma, angina, and bronchitis/emphysema.

Recommendations for showering duration are based on the key study conducted by Tsang and Klepeis (1996). A recommended value for average showering time is 10 minutes (Table 15-20) based on professional judgement. This approximates the average showering value ( 8 minutes) of James and Knuiman (1987) (Table 15-18). The recommended 50th percentile value is 15 minutes, and the 95th percentile value is 35 minutes (Table 15-21). Although these values are slightly higher than those of James and Knuiman (1987), they are believed to be more representative of U.S. households.

Swimming Data for swimming frequency is taken from the NHAPS Study (Tsang ad Klepeis, 1996). Of 9,386 participants, 653 (about 7 percent), answered yes to
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the question "in the past month, did you swim in a freshwater pool?". The results to this question are summarized in Table 15-65. The recorded number of times respondents swam in the past month ranged from 1 to 60 with the greatest number of respondents, 147 (23 percent), reporting they swam one time per month. Thus, the recommended swimming frequency is one event/ month for the general population. The recommended swimming duration, 60 minutes per swimming event, is based on the NHAPS distribution shown on Table 15-67. Sixty minutes is based on the 50th percentile value; the 90th percentile value is 180 minutes per swimming event (based on one event/month); and the 99th percentile value is 181 minutes. This value (181) indicates that more than 180 minutes were spent.

In addition, users can obtain frequency and duration data grouped according to gender, age, race, employment, education, day of the week, and season. Frequency and duration data is also available in Table 15-65 and Table 1567, for swimmer respondents reporting health conditions such as asthma, angina, and bronchitis/ emphysema.

Residential Time Spent Indoors and Outdoors The recommendations for time spent indoors at one's residence is 16.4 hours/day. This is based on the NHAPS data summarized in Table 15-131 which records the 50th percentile value of 985.0 minutes per day ( 16.4 hours/day); and a 90th percentile value of 1,395 minutes per day (23.3 hours/day).

The recommended value for time spent outdoors at one's residence is 2 hours per day based on Table 15-102 (generated by the NHAPS data). Values of 105 minutes per day for the 50th percentile and 362 minutes per day for the 90th percentile are shown in Table 15-102.

Traveling Inside a Vehicle - The recommendation for time spent in a vehicle is 1 hour and 20 minutes per day. This recommendation is based on two studies and (1) Robinson and Thomas (1991) and (2) The NHAPS data. The Robinson and Thomas study evaluated two independent studies, the CARB and the National Study. They respectively reported mean durations for time spent in a vehicle as 98 and 87 minutes per day which averages to 92 minutes per day or about 1.5 hours per day. The NHAPS data, as summarized on Table $15-133$, provide a 50th percentile value of 70 minutes per day (or 1 hour and 10 minutes) and a 90th percentile value of 190 minutes per day. Thus, the averaged value from these two studies is about 1 hour and 20 minutes. NHAPS data is grouped according to gender, race, age, employment status, census
region, day of the week, season, and health condition of respondents.

### 15.4.2. Recommendations: Occupational Mobility

The median occupational tenure of the working population (109.1 million people) ages 16 years of age and older in January 1987 was 6.6 years (Carey, 1988). Since the occupational tenure varies significantly according to age it is recommended to use the age dependent values presented in Carey's 1988 study (Table 15-158). When age cannot be determined, it is recommended to use the median tenure value of 6.6 years for working men and women 16 years and older. For persons 70 years and older, a tenure value of 21.9 years is recommended for a working lifetime. A value of 30.5 years and 18.8 years is recommended for men and women, respectively. Part-time employment, race and the position held are important to consider in determining occupational tenure. The ratings indicating confidence in the occupational mobility recommendations are presented in Table 15-173. It should be noted that the recommended values are not for use in evaluating job tenure. These data can be used for determining time spent in an occupation and not for time spent at a specific job site.

### 15.4.3. Recommendations: Population Mobility

There are three key studies from which the population mobility recommendations were derived: Israeli and Nelson (1992), U.S. Bureau of the Census (1993) - and Johnson and Capel (1992). Each study used a unique approach to estimate the length of time a person resides in a household. The respective approaches were to (1) average current and total residence time; (2) model current residence time; and (3) determine the residential occupancy period. A summary of the approaches used and values recommended by each of these studies is presented in Table 15-174.

The three studies provide residence time estimates that are very similar to the 9 year (50th percentile) and 30 year (95th percentile). Tables 15-163 and 15-164 show residence times for different types of residences and are recommended where assessors are interested in specific types of residences. The ratings indicating confidence in the population mobility recommendations is presented in Table 15-175.

### 15.4.4. Summary of Recommended Activity Factors

Table 15-176 includes a summation of the recommended activity pattern factors presented in this section and the studies which provided data on the specific activities. The type of activities include indoor activities, outdoor activities, time inside a vehicle, taking a bath or shower, swimming, working at a specific occupation, and residing in a particular location.
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| Percentile | Basis | Population | Application | Study | Table |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Averages | Activity | Children 3-17 yrs | National | Timmer et al., 1985 | 15-2 |
| Distribution | Activity | Children and Teens | National | Timmer et al., 1985 | 15-3 |
| Distribution | Showering | Adults | Foreign-Australia | James and Knuiman, 1987 <br> Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & 15-4 \\ & 15-24 \end{aligned}$ |
| Averages | Activity | Adults 18-64 yrs | National | Robinson and Thomas, 1991 | 15-5 |
| Averages | Activity | Adults 18-64 yrs | Regional-CA | Robinson and Thomas, 1991 | 15-5 |
| Averages | Microenvironment | Adults 18-64 yrs | National/Regional-CA | Robinson and Thomas, 1991 | 15-6 |
| Averages | Microenvironment | Children and Adult | Regional-California | Robinson and Thomas, 1991 | 15-7 to 15-10 |
| Averages | Microenvironment | Children and Adults | National | Robinson and Thomas, 1991 | 15-7 to 15-10 |
| Averages | Activity | Infants and Children | Regional-California | Wiley et al., 1991 | 15-11 |
| Distribution | Activity | Infants and Children | Regional-California | Wiley et al., 1991 | 15-12 |
| Averages | Activity by season | Infants and Children | Regional-California | Wiley et al., 1991 | 15-13 |
| Averages | Microenvironment | Infants and Children | Regional-California | Wiley et al., 1991 | 15-14 |
| Distribution | Microenvironment | Infant and Children | Regional-California | Wiley et al., 1991 | 15-15 |
| Averages | Microenvironment by season | Infants and Children | Regional-California | Wiley et al., 1991 | 15-16 |
| Distribution | Microenvironment near pollutant | Infant and Children | Regional-California | Wiley et al., 1991 | 15-17 |
| Averages | Bathing and swimming | Adults | Regional-National | USEPA, 1992 <br> Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & 15-18 \\ & 15-22,15-63 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average | Activity by employment | Adults | National | Robinson, 1977 | 15-147 |
| Averages | Occupational Tenure by race and gender | Teens and Adults | National | Carey, 1988 | 15-157 |
| Averages | Occupational Tenure by employment and gender | Teens and Adults | National | Carey, 1988 | 15-158 |
| Distribution | Occupational Tenure by employment | Teens and Adults | National | Carey, 1988 | 15-159 |
| Distribution | Occupational Mobility by age | Teens and Adults | National | Carey, 1990 | 15-160 |
| Distribution | Population Mobility by locale | All ages | National | Census, 1993 | Figure 15-1 |
| Averages | Residence Time by region, setting | All ages | National | Israeli and Nelson, 1992 | 15-161 |
| Distribution | Residence Time by region, setting | All ages | National | Israeli and Nelson, 1992 | 15-162 |
| Distribution | Residence Time by year moved in | All ages | National | Census, 1993 | 15-163 |
| Distribution | Residence Time by years in current home | All ages | National | Census, 1993 | 15-164 |
| Distribution | Residence Time by gender | All ages | National | Johnson and Capel, 1992 | 15-165 |
| Distribution | Residence Time by age | All ages | National | Johnson and Capel, 1992 | 15-166 |
| Distribution | Residence Time by years in previous house | All ages | National | NAR, 1993 | 15-167 |
| Distribution | Residence Time by tenure in previous home | All ages | National | NAR, 1993 | 15-168 |
| Distribution | Relocation Distance | All ages | National | NAR, 1993 | 15-169 |
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| Table 15-2. Mean Time Spent (minutes) Performing Major Activities Grouped by Age, Sex and Type of Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity |  | Age (3-11 years) |  |  | Age (12-17 years) |  |  |  |
|  | Duration of Time (mins/day) |  |  |  | Duration of Time (mins/day) |  |  |  |
|  | Weekdays |  | Weekends |  | Weekdays |  | Weekends |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Boys } \\ (\mathrm{n}=118) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Girls $(\mathrm{n}=111)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Boys } \\ (\mathrm{n}=118) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Girls } \\ (\mathrm{n}=111) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Boys } \\ (\mathrm{n}=77) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Girls } \\ (\mathrm{n}=83) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Boys } \\ (\mathrm{n}=77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Girls } \\ (\mathrm{n}=83) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Market Work | 16 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 23 | 21 | 58 | 25 |
| Household Work | 17 | 21 | 32 | 43 | 16 | 40 | 46 | 89 |
| Personal Care | 43 | 44 | 42 | 50 | 48 | 71 | 35 | 76 |
| Eating | 81 | 78 | 78 | 84 | 73 | 65 | 58 | 75 |
| Sleeping | 584 | 590 | 625 | 619 | 504 | 478 | 550 | 612 |
| School | 252 | 259 | -- | -- | 314 | 342 | -- | -- |
| Studying | 14 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 29 | 37 | 25 | 25 |
| Church | 7 | 4 | 53 | 61 | 3 | 7 | 40 | 36 |
| Visiting | 16 | 9 | 23 | 37 | 17 | 25 | 46 | 53 |
| Sports | 25 | 12 | 33 | 23 | 52 | 37 | 65 | 26 |
| Outdoors | 10 | 7 | 30 | 23 | 10 | 10 | 36 | 19 |
| Hobbies | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| Art Activities | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 11 | 9 |
| Playing | 137 | 115 | 177 | 166 | 37 | 13 | 35 | 24 |
| TV | 117 | 128 | 181 | 122 | 143 | 108 | 187 | 140 |
| Reading | 9 | 7 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 19 |
| Household Conversations | 10 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 21 | 30 | 24 | 30 |
| Other Passive Leisure | 9 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 21 | 14 | 43 | 33 |
| $\mathrm{NA}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 22 | 25 | 20 | 29 | 14 | 17 | 10 | 4 |
| Percent of Time Accounted for by Activities Above | 94\% | 92\% | 93\% | 89\% | 93\% | 92\% | 88\% | 89\% |
| a NA = Unknown <br> Source: Timmer et al., 1985. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Volume III - Activity Factors |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chapter $15-$ Activity Factors |  |


| Age (years) | Time Duration (mins) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Significant Effects ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekday |  |  |  |  | Weekend |  |  |  | 15-17 |  |
|  | 3-5 | 6-8 | 9-11 | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15-17 | 3-5 | 6-8 | 9-11 | 12-14 |  |  |
| Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Work | -- | 14 | 8 | 14 | 28 | -- | 4 | 10 | 29 | 48 |  |
| Personal Care | 41 | 49 | 40 | 56 | 60 | 47 | 45 | 44 | 60 | 51 | A,S,AxS (F>M) |
| Household Work | 14 | 15 | 18 | 27 | 34 | 17 | 27 | 51 | 72 | 60 | A,S, AxS (F>M) |
| Eating | 82 | 81 | 73 | 69 | 67 | 81 | 80 | 78 | 68 | 65 | A |
| Sleeping | 630 | 595 | 548 | 473 | 499 | 634 | 641 | 596 | 604 | 562 | A |
| School | 137 | 292 | 315 | 344 | 314 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Studying | 2 | 8 | 29 | 33 | 33 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 15 | 30 | A |
| Church | 4 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 55 | 56 | 53 | 32 | 37 | A |
| Visiting | 14 | 15 | 10 | 21 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 22 | 56 | A (Weekend only) |
| Sports | 5 | 24 | 21 | 40 | 46 | 3 | 30 | 42 | 51 | 37 | $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{M}>\mathrm{F})$ |
| Outdoor activities | 4 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 23 | 39 | 25 | 26 |  |
| Hobbies | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 3 |  |
| Art Activities | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 10 |  |
| Other Passive Leisure | 9 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 18 | A |
| Playing | 218 | 111 | 65 | 31 | 14 | 267 | 180 | 92 | 35 | 21 | A, S (M>F) |
| TV | 111 | 99 | 146 | 142 | 108 | 122 | 136 | 185 | 169 | 157 | A,S, AxS (M>F) |
| Reading | 5 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 18 | A |
| Being read to | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A |
| NA | 30 | 14 | 23 | 25 | 7 | 52 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 9 | A |

a Effects are significant for weekdays and weekends, unless otherwise specified $\mathrm{A}=$ age effect, $\mathrm{P}<0.05$, for both weekdays and weekend activities; $\mathrm{S}=$ sex effect $\mathrm{P}<0.05, \mathrm{~F}>\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}>\mathrm{F}=$ females spend more time than males, or vice versa; and $\mathrm{AxS}=$ age by sex interaction, $\mathrm{P}<0.05$.
Source: Timmer et al., 1985
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|  | Table 15-4. Cumulative Frequency Distribution of Average <br> Shower Duration for 2,550 Households |
| :---: | :---: |
| Shower duration (minutes) | Cumulative frequency (percentage) |
| 1 |  |
| 2 | 0.2 |
| 3 | 0.8 |
| 4 | 3.1 |
| 5 | 9.6 |
| 6 | 22.1 |
| 7 | 37.5 |
| 8 | 51.6 |
| 9 | 62.5 |
| 10 | 72.0 |
| 11 | 79.4 |
| 12 | 84.5 |
| 13 | 88.4 |
| 14 | 90.6 |
| 15 | 92.3 |
| 16 | 93.7 |
| 17 | 94.9 |
| 18 | 95.7 |
| 19 | 96.7 |
| 20 | 97.6 |
| 20 | 98.0 |


| Table 15-5. Mean Time Spent (minutes/day) in Ten Major Activity Categories Grouped by Total Sample and Gender for the CARB and National Studies (age 18-64 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Category ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Time Duration (mins/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Activity Codes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CARB } \\ (1987-88) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { National } \\ (1985) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CARB } \\ (1987-88) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | National (1985) |  |
|  |  | Total Sample |  | Men | Women | Men | Women |
|  |  | $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{c}}=1,359$ | $\mathrm{n}=1,980$ | $\mathrm{n}=639$ | $\mathrm{n}=720$ | $\mathrm{n}=921$ | $\mathrm{n}=1,059$ |
| Paid Work | 00-09 | 273 | 252 | 346 | 200 | 323 | 190 |
| Household Work | 10-19 | 102 | 118 | 68 | 137 | 79 | 155 |
| Child Care | 20-29 | 23 | 25 | 12 | 36 | 11 | 43 |
| Obtaining Goods and Services | 30-39 | 61 | 55 | 48 | 73 | 44 | 62 |
| Personal Needs and Care | 40-49 | 642 | 642 | 630 | 655 | 636 | 645 |
| Education and Training | 50-59 | 22 | 19 | 25 | 20 | 21 | 16 |
| Organizational Activities | 60-69 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 20 |
| Entertainment/Social Activities | 70-79 | 60 | 62 | 57 | 55 | 64 | 62 |
| Recreation | 80-89 | 43 | 50 | 53 | 31 | 69 | 43 |
| Communication | 90-99 | 202 | 196 | 192 | 214 | 197 | 194 |
| a,b Time use for components of activity categories and codes are shown in Appendix Table 15A-6. c $\quad \mathrm{n}=$ total diary days. <br> Source: Robinson and Thomas, 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 15-6. Total Mean Time Spent at Three Major Locations Grouped by Total Sample and Gender |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for the CARB and National Study (ages 18-64 years) |  |
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| Table 15-7. Mean Time Spent at Three Locations for both CARB and National Studies (ages 12 years and older) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean duration (mins/day) |  |  |  |  |
| Location Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { CARB } \\ (\mathrm{n}=1762)^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | S.E. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { National } \\ (\mathrm{n}=2762)^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | S.E. |
| Indoor | $1255^{\text {c }}$ | 28 | $1279{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 21 |
| Outdoor | $86^{\text {d }}$ | 5 | $74^{\text {d }}$ | 4 |
| In-Vehicle | $\underline{98}$ | 4 | $\underline{87}$ | 2 |
| Total Time Spent | 1440 |  | 1440 |  |
| S.E. = Standard Error of Mean <br> b Weighted Number - National sample population was weighted to obtain a ratio of 46.5 males and 53.5 females, in equal proportion for each day of the week, and for each quarter of the year. <br> c Difference between the mean values for the CARB and national studies is not statistically significant. <br> d Difference between the mean values for the CARB and national studies is statistically significant at the 0.05 level. <br> Source: Robinson and Thomas, 1991. |  |  |  |  |


| Microenvironment | National DataMean Duration (mins/day) (standard error) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=1284^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { "Doer"c } \\ \text { Men } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=1478^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \text { Women } \end{gathered}$ | "Doer" Women | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=2762^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | "Doer" Total |
| Autoplaces | 5 (1) | 90 | 1 (0) | 35 | 3 (0) | 66 |
| Restaurant/bar | 22 (2) | 73 | 20 (2) | 79 | 21 (1) | 77 |
| In-vehicle | 92 (3) | 99 | 82 (3) | 94 | 87 (2) | 97 |
| In-Vehicle/other | 1 (1) | 166 | 1 (0) | 69 | 1 (0) | 91 |
| Physical/outdoors | 24 (3) | 139 | 11 (2) | 101 | 17 (2) | 135 |
| Physical/indoors | 11 (1) | 84 | 6 (1) | 57 | 8 (1) | 74 |
| Work/study-residence | 17 (2) | 153 | 15 (2) | 150 | 16 (1) | 142 |
| Work/study-other | 221 (10) | 429 | 142 (7) | 384 | 179 (6) | 390 |
| Cooking | 14 (1) | 35 | 52 (2) | 67 | 34 (1) | 57 |
| Other activities/kitchen | 54 (3) | 69 | 90 (4) | 102 | 73 (2) | 88 |
| Chores/child | 88 (3) | 89 | 153 (5) | 154 | 123 93) | 124 |
| Shop/errand | 23 (2) | 56 | 38 (2) | 74 | 31 (1) | 67 |
| Other/outdoors | 70 (6) | 131 | 43 (4) | 97 | 56 (4) | 120 |
| Social/cultural | 71 (4) | 118 | 75 (4) | 110 | 73 (3) | 118 |
| Leisure-eat/indoors | 235 (8) | 241 | 215 (7) | 224 | 224 (5) | 232 |
| Sleep/indoors | 491 (14) | 492 | 496 (11) | 497 | 494 (9) | 495 |
|  |  |  | Duration | (standar |  |  |
| Microenvironment | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=867^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \text { Men } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { "Doer"c } \\ \text { Men } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=895^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \text { Women } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | "Doer" Women | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=1762^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | "Doer" Total |
| Autoplaces | 31 (8) | 142 | 9 (2) | 50 | 20 (4) | 108 |
| Restaurant/bar | 45 (4) | 106 | 28 (3) | 86 | 36 (3) | 102 |
| In-vehicle | 105 (7) | 119 | 85 (4) | 100 | 95 (4) | 111 |
| In-Vehicle/other | 4 (1) | 79 | 3 (2) | 106 | 3 (1) | 94 |
| Physical/outdoors | 25 (3) | 131 | 8 (1) | 86 | 17 (2) | 107 |
| Physical/indoors | 8 (1) | 63 | 5 (1) | 70 | 7 (1) | 68 |
| Work/study-residence | 14 (3) | 126 | 11 (2) | 120 | 13 (2) | 131 |
| Work/study-other | 213 (14) | 398 | 156 (11) | 383 | 184 (9) | 450 |
| Cooking | 12 (1) | 43 | 42 (2) | 65 | 27 (1) | 55 |
| Other activities/kitchen | 38 (3) | 65 | 60 (4) | 82 | 49 (2) | 74 |
| Chores/child | 66 (4) | 75 | 134 (6) | 140 | 100 (4) | 109 |
| Shop/errand | 21 (3) | 61 | 41 (3) | 78 | 31 (2) | 70 |
| Other/outdoors | 95 (9) | 153 | 44 (4) | 82 | 69 (5) | 117 |
| Social/cultural | 47 (4) | 112 | 59 (5) | 114 | 53 (3) | 112 |
| Leisure-eat/indoors | 223 (10) | 240 | 251 (10) | 263 | 237 (7) | 250 |
| Sleep/indoors | 492 (17) | 499 | 504 (15) | 506 | 498 (12) | 501 |
| a Standard error of <br> b Weighted number <br> c $\quad$ Doer $=$ Responden <br> Source: Robinson and | d participati | ach activity | n spent in mi | ronments |  |  |

Volume III - Activity Factors

Chapter 15-Activity Factors

| Table 15-9. Mean Time Spent (minutes/day) in Various Microenvironments by Type of Day for the California and National Surveys (sample population ages 12 years and older) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday <br> Microenvironment | Mean Duration (standard error) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (mins/day) |  | Mean Duration for "Doer"b (mins/day) |  |
|  | $\underset{(\mathrm{n}=1259)^{\mathrm{c}}}{\text { CARBB }}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{n}=1973)^{\mathrm{c}}}{\text { NAT }}$ | CARB | NAT |
| 1 Autoplaces | 21 (5) | 3 (1) | 108 | 73 |
| 2 Restaurant/Bar | 29 (3) | 20 (2) | 83 | 73 |
| 3 In-Vehicle/Internal Combustion | 90 (5) | 85 (2) | 104 | 95 |
| 4 In-Vehicle/Other | 3 (1) | 1 (0) | 71 | 116 |
| 5 Physical/Outdoors | 14 (2) | 15 (2) | 106 | 118 |
| 6 Physical/Indoors | 7 (1) | 8 (1) | 64 | 68 |
| 7 Work/Study-Residence | 14 (2) | 16 (2) | 116 | 147 |
| 8 Work/Study-Other | 228 (11) | 225 (8) | 401 | 415 |
| 9 Cooking | 27 (2) | 35 (2) | 58 | 57 |
| 10 Other Activities/Kitchen | 51 (3) | 73 (3) | 76 | 87 |
| 11 Chores/Child | 99 (5) | 124 (4) | 108 | 125 |
| 12 Shop/Errand | 30 (2) | 30 (2) | 67 | 63 |
| 13 Other/Outdoors | 67 (6) | 51 (4) | 117 | 107 |
| 14 Social/Cultural | 42 (3) | 62 (3) | 99 | 101 |
| 15 Leisure-Eat/Indoors | 230 (9) | 211 (6) | 244 | 218 |
| 16 Sleep/Indoors | 490 (14) | 481 (10) | 495 | 483 |
| Weekend Microenvironment | Mean Duration (standard error) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (mins/day) |  | Mean Duration for "Doer"b (mins/day) |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CARB } \\ (\mathrm{n}=503)^{\mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAT } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=789)^{\mathrm{c}} \end{aligned}$ | CARB | NAT |
| 1 Autoplaces | 19 (4) | 3 (1) | 82 | 62 |
| 2 Restaurant/Bar | 55 (6) | 23 (2) | 127 | 84 |
| 3 In-Vehicle/Internal Combustion | 108 (8) | 91 (6) | 125 | 100 |
| 4 In-Vehicle/Other | 5 (3) | 0 (0) | 130 | 30 |
| 5 Physical/Outdoors | 23 (3) | 23 (4) | 134 | 132 |
| 6 Physical/Indoors | 7 (1) | 9 (2) | 72 | 80 |
| 7 Work/Study-Residence | 10 (2) | 15 (3) | 155 | 165 |
| 8 Work/Study-Other | 74 (11) | 64 (6) | 328 | 361 |
| 9 Cooking | 27 (2) | 34 (2) | 60 | 55 |
| 10 Other Activities/Kitchen | 44 (3) | 73 (4) | 71 | 90 |
| 11 Chores/Child | 103 (7) | 120 (5) | 114 | 121 |
| 12 Shop/Errand | 35 (4) | 35 (3) | 81 | 75 |
| 13 Other/Outdoors | 74 (7) | 67 (7) | 126 | 132 |
| 14 Social/Cultural | 79 (7) | 99 (6) | 140 | 141 |
| 15 Leisure-Eat/Indoors | 256 (12) | 257 (11) | 273 | 268 |
| 16 Sleep/Indoors | 520 (20) | 525 (17) | 521 | 525 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard Error of Mean <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Doer $=$ Respondent who reported participating in each activity/location spent in microenvironments. <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Weighted Number |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Robinson and Thomas, 1991. |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 15-10. Mean Time Spent (minutes/day) in Various Microenvironments by Age Groups for the National and California Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Microenvironment | National Data <br> Mean Duration (Standard Error) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age 12-17 } \\ \text { years } \\ \mathrm{N}=340^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | "Doer"c | Age 18-24 years $\mathrm{N}=340$ | "Doer" | Age 24-44 years $\mathrm{N}=340$ | "Doer" | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } 45-64 \\ \text { years } \\ \mathrm{N}=340 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | "Doer" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 65+ years } \\ & \quad \mathrm{N}=340 \end{aligned}$ | "Doer" |
|  | Autoplaces | 2 (1) | 73 | 7 (2) | 137 | 2 (1) | 43 | 4 (1) | 73 | 4 (2) | 57 |
|  | Restaurant/bar | 9 (2) | 60 | 28 (3) | 70 | 25 (3) | 86 | 19 (2) | 67 | 20 (5) | 74 |
|  | In-vehicle/internal combustion | 79 (7) | 88 | 103 (8) | 109 | 94 (4) | 101 | 82 (5) | 91 | 62 (5) | 80 |
|  | In-vehicle/other | 0 (0) | 12 | 1 (1) | 160 | 1 (0) | 80 | 1 (1) | 198 | 1 (1) | 277 |
|  | Physical/outdoors | 32 (8) | 130 | 17 (4) | 110 | 19 (4) | 164 | 7 (1) | 79 | 15 (4) | 81 |
|  | Physical/indoors | 15 (3) | 87 | 8 (2) | 76 | 7 (1) | 71 | 7 (2) | 77 | 7 (1) | 51 |
|  | Work/studyresidence | 22 (4) | 82 | 19 (6) | 185 | 16 (2) | 181 | 9 (2) | 169 | 5 (3) | 297 |
|  | Work/study-other | 159 (14) | 354 | 207 (20) | 391 | 220 (11) | 422 | 180 (13) | 429 | 35 (6) | 341 |
|  | Cooking | 11 (3) | 40 | 18 (2) | 39 | 38 (2) | 57 | 43 (3) | 64 | 50 (5) | 65 |
|  | Other activities/kitchen | 53 (4) | 64 | 42 (3) | 55 | 70 (4) | 86 | 90 (6) | 101 | 108 (9) | 119 |
| N | Chores/child | 91 (7) | 92 | 124 (9) | 125 | 133 (6) | 134 | 121 (6) | 122 | 119 (7) | 121 |
|  | Shop/errands | 26 (4) | 68 | 31 (4) | 65 | 33 (2) | 66 | 33 (3) | 67 | 35 (5) | 69 |
|  | Other/outdoors | 70 (13) | 129 | 34 (4) | 84 | 48 (6) | 105 | 60 (7) | 118 | 82 (13) | 140 |
| $i$ | Social/cultural | 87 (10) | 120 | 100 (12) | 141 | 56 (3) | 94 | 73 (6) | 116 | 85 (8) | 122 |
|  | Leisure-eat/indoors | 237 (16) | 242 | 181 (11) | 189 | 200 (8) | 208 | 238 (11) | 244 | 303 (20) | 312 |
| A | Sleep/indoors | 548 (31) | 551 | 511 (26) | 512 | 479 (14) | 480 | 472 (15) | 472 | 507 (26) | 509 |



| Table 15-10. Mean Time Spent (minutes/day) in Various Microenvironments by Age Groups (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Microenvironment | CARB Data <br> Mean Duration (Standard Error) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age 12-17 } \\ \text { years } \\ \mathrm{N}=183^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | "Doer ${ }^{\text {"c }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } 18-24 \\ \text { years } \\ \mathrm{N}=250 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | "Doer" | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } 24-44 \\ \text { years } \\ \mathrm{N}=749 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | "Doer" | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } 45-64 \\ \text { years } \\ \mathrm{N}=406 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | "Doer" | Age 65+ years $\mathrm{N}=158$ | "Doer" |
| Autoplaces | 16 (8) | 124 | 16 (4) | 71 | 25 (9) | 114 | 20 (5) | 94 | 9 (2) | 53 |
| Restaurant/bar | 16 (4) | 44 | 40 (8) | 98 | 44 (5) | 116 | 31 (4) | 82 | 25 (7) | 99 |
| In-vehicle/internal combustion | 78 (11) | 89 | 111 (13) | 122 | 98 (5) | 111 | 100 (11) | 117 | 63 (8) | 89 |
| In-vehicle/other | 1 (0) | 19 | 3 (1) | 60 | 5 (2) | 143 | 2 (1) | 56 | 2 (1) | 53 |
| Physical/outdoors | 32 (7) | 110 | 13 (3) | 88 | 17 (3) | 128 | 14 (3) | 123 | 15 (4) | 104 |
| Physical/indoors | 20 (4) | 65 | 5 (2) | 77 | 6 (1) | 61 | 5 (1) | 77 | 3 (1) | 48 |
| Work/study-residence | 25 (5) | 76 | 30 (11) | 161 | 7 (2) | 137 | 10 (3) | 139 | 5 (3) | 195 |
| Work/study-other | 196 (30) | 339 | 201 (24) | 344 | 215 (14) | 410 | 173 (20) | 429 | 30 (11) | 336 |
| Cooking | 3 (1) | 19 | 14 (2) | 40 | 32 (2) | 59 | 31 (3) | 68 | 41 (7) | 69 |
| Other activities/kitchen | 31 (4) | 51 | 31 (5) | 55 | 43 (3) | 65 | 62 (6) | 91 | 97 (14) | 119 |
| Chores/child | 72 (11) | 77 | 79 (8) | 85 | 110 (6) | 119 | 99 (8) | 109 | 123 (15) | 141 |
| Shop/errands | 14 (3) | 50 | 35 (7) | 71 | 33 (4) | 71 | 32 (3) | 77 | 35 (5) | 76 |
| Other/outdoors | 58 (8) | 78 | 80 (15) | 130 | 68 (8) | 127 | 76 (12) | 134 | 55 (7) | 101 |
| Social/cultural | 63 (14) | 109 | 65 (10) | 110 | 50 (5) | 122 | 50 (5) | 107 | 49 (7) | 114 |
| Leisure-eat/indoors | 260 (27) | 270 | 211 (19) | 234 | 202 (9) | 215 | 248 (15) | 261 | 386 (34) | 394 |
| Sleep/indoors | 557 (44) | 560 | 506 (30) | 510 | 487 (17) | 491 | 485 (23) | 491 | 502 (31) | 502 |

[^7]| Table 15-11. Mean Time (minutes/day) Children Spent in Ten Major Activity Categories for All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Category | Mean Duration (mins/day) | Doing | Mean Duration for Doers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (mins/day) | Median <br> Duration for Doer (mins/day) | Maximum Duration for Doers (mins/day) | Detailed Activity with Highest Avg. Minutes (code) |
| Work-related ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | 25 | 39 | 30 | 405 | Eating at work/school/daycare (06) |
| Household | 53 | 86 | 61 | 40 | 602 | Travel to household (199) |
| Childcare | < 1 | < 1 | 83 | 30 | 290 | Other child care (27) |
| Goods/Services | 21 | 26 | 81 | 60 | 450 | Errands (38) |
| Personal Needs and Care ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 794 | 100 | 794 | 770 | 1440 | Night sleep (45) |
| Education ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 110 | 35 | 316 | 335 | 790 | School classes (50) |
| Organizational Activities | 4 | 4 | 111 | 105 | 435 | Attend meetings (60) |
| Entertain/Social | 15 | 17 | 87 | 60 | 490 | Visiting with others (75) |
| Recreation | 239 | 92 | 260 | 240 | 835 | Games (87) |
| Communication/Passive Leisure | 192 | 93 | 205 | 180 | 898 | TV use (91) |
| Don't know/Not coded | 2 | 4 | 41 | 15 | 600 | -- |
| All Activities ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1441 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes eating at school or daycare, an activity not grouped under the "education activities" (codes 50-59, 549). <br> b "Doers" indicate the respondents who reported participating in each activity category. <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Personal care includes night sleepand daytime naps, eating, travel for personal care. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Education includes student and other classes, homework, library, travel for education. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Column total may not sum to 1440 due to rounding error <br> Source: Wiley et al., 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 15-12. Mean Time Children Spent in Ten Major Activity Categories Grouped by Age and Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Category | Mean Duration (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0-2 yrs | $3-5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Boys } \\ 6-8 \mathrm{yrs} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 9-11 yrs | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | 0-2 yrs | $3-5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Girls } \\ 6-8 \mathrm{yrs} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 9-11 yrs | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Work-related | 4 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| Household | 33 | 45 | 55 | 65 | 48 | 58 | 44 | 51 | 76 | 57 |
| Childcare | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | <1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Goods/Services | 20 | 22 | 19 | 14 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 23 |
| Personal Needs and Care ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 914 | 799 | 736 | 690 | 792 | 906 | 816 | 766 | 701 | 797 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 60 | 67 | 171 | 138 | 106 | 41 | 95 | 150 | 176 | 115 |
| Organizational Activities | 1 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| Entertainment/Social | 3 | 15 | 5 | 34 | 13 | 5 | 16 | 9 | 36 | 17 |
| Recreation | 217 | 311 | 236 | 229 | 250 | 223 | 255 | 238 | 194 | 228 |
| Communication/Passive Leisure | 187 | 166 | 195 | 250 | 197 | 171 | 173 | 189 | 213 | 186 |
| Don't know/Not coded | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | <1 | 3 | 2 |
| All Activities ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1440 | 1441 | 1439 | 1440 | 1442 | 1440 | 1438 | 1441 | 1441 | 1440 |
| Sample Sizes Unweighted N's | 172 | 151 | 145 | 156 | 624 | 141 | 151 | 124 | 160 | 576 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Personal needs and care includes night sleep and daytime naps, eating, travel for personal care. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Education includes student and other classes, homework, library, travel for education. <br> c The column totals may differ from 1440 due to rounding error. <br> Source: Wiley et al., 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 15-13. Mean Time Children Spent in Ten Major Activity Categories Grouped by Seasons and Regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Category | Mean Duration (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Season |  |  |  |  | Region of California |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Winter } \\ \text { (Jan-Mar) } \end{gathered}$ | Spring (Apr-June) | Summer <br> (July-Sept) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ (\text { Oct-Dec }) \end{gathered}$ | All <br> Seasons | So. <br> Coast | Bay <br> Area | Rest of State | All <br> Regions |
| Work-related | 10 | 10 | 6 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 10 |
| Household | 47 | 58 | 53 | 52 | 53 | 45 | 62 | 55 | 53 |
| Childcare | <1 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 1 | <1 |
| Goods/Services | 19 | 17 | 26 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 21 |
| Personal Needs and Care ${ }^{a}$ | 799 | 774 | 815 | 789 | 794 | 799 | 785 | 794 | 794 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 124 | 137 | 49 | 131 | 110 | 109 | 115 | 109 | 110 |
| Organizational Activities | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| Entertainment/Social | 14 | 12 | 12 | 22 | 15 | 17 | 10 | 16 | 15 |
| Recreation | 221 | 243 | 282 | 211 | 239 | 230 | 241 | 249 | 239 |
| Communication/Passi ve Leisure | 203 | 180 | 189 | 195 | 192 | 206 | 190 | 175 | 192 |
| Don't know/Not coded | <1 | 2 | 3 | <1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| All Activities ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1442 | 1439 | 1441 | 1441 | 1441 | 1440 | 1442 | 1439 | 1441 |
| Sample Sizes (Unweighted) | 318 | 204 | 407 | 271 | 1200 | 224 | 263 | 713 | 1200 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Personal needs and care includes night sleep and daytime naps, eating, travel for personal care. <br> ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Education includes student and other classes, homework, library, travel for education. <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The column totals may not be equal to 1440 due to rounding error. <br> Source: Wiley et al., 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 15-14. Mean Time Children Spent in Six Major Location Categories for All Respondents (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | Mean <br> Duration <br> (mins) | $\%$ <br> Doing | Mean <br> Luration <br> for Doers <br> (mins) | Median <br> Duration <br> for Doers <br> (mins) | Maximum <br> Duration for <br> Doers <br> (mins) | Detailed Location with Highest Avg. <br> Time |  |
| Home | 1,078 | 99 | 1,086 | 1,110 | 1,440 | Home - bedroom |  |
| School/Childcare | 109 | 33 | 330 | 325 | 1,260 | School or daycare facility |  |
| Friend's/Other's House | 80 | 32 | 251 | 144 | 1,440 | Friend's/other's house - bedroom |  |
| Stores, Restaurants, Shopping | 24 | 35 | 69 | 50 | 475 | Shopping mall |  |
| Places |  | 69 | 83 | 83 | 60 | 1,111 |  |


|  |  | ble 15-1 | Mean Tim | Children | nt in Six | ocation C | ories Gro | ed by Ag | nd Gende |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location Category | Mean Duration (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  |  | Girls |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0-2 yrs | $3-5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 6-8 yrs | $9-11 \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Boys } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0-2 yrs | 3-5 yrs | 6-8 yrs | $9-11 \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Girls } \end{gathered}$ |
| Home | 1,157 | 1,134 | 1,044 | 1,020 | 1,094 | 1,151 | 1,099 | 1,021 | 968 | 1,061 |
| School/Childcare | 86 | 88 | 144 | 120 | 108 | 59 | 102 | 133 | 149 | 111 |
| Friend's/Other's House | 67 | 73 | 77 | 109 | 80 | 56 | 47 | 125 | 102 | 80 |
| Stores, Restaurants, Shopping Places | 21 | 25 | 22 | 15 | 21 | 23 | 35 | 27 | 26 | 28 |
| In-transit | 54 | 62 | 61 | 62 | 59 | 76 | 88 | 53 | 93 | 79 |
| Other Locations | 54 | 58 | 92 | 114 | 77 | 73 | 68 | 81 | 102 | 81 |
| Don't Know/Not Coded | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| All Locations ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,439 | 1,440 | 1,439 | 1,440 | 1,439 | 1,438 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 |
| Sample Sizes <br> (Unweighted) | 172 | 151 | 145 | 156 | 624 | 141 | 151 | 124 | 160 | 576 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The column totals may not sum to 1,440 due to rounding error. Source: Wiley et al., 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Location Category | Mean Duration (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Season |  |  |  | Region of California |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Winter } \\ \text { (Jan-Mar) } \end{gathered}$ | Spring (Apr-June) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summer } \\ \text { (July-Sept) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ \text { (Oct-Dec) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All <br> Seasons | So. <br> Coast | Bay Area | Rest of State | All <br> Regions |
| Home | 1,091 | 1,042 | 1,097 | 1,081 | 1,078 | 1,078 | 1,078 | 1,078 | 1,078 |
| School/Childcare | 119 | 141 | 52 | 124 | 109 | 113 | 103 | 108 | 109 |
| Friend's/Other's House | 69 | 75 | 108 | 69 | 80 | 73 | 86 | 86 | 80 |
| Stores, Restaurants, Shopping Places | 22 | 21 | 30 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| In-transit | 75 | 75 | 60 | 65 | 69 | 71 | 73 | 63 | 69 |
| Other Locations | 63 | 85 | 93 | 76 | 79 | 79 | 76 | 81 | 79 |
| Don't Know/Not Coded | <1 | <1 | $<1$ | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | $<1$ |
| All Locations ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,439 | 1,439 | 1,440 | 1,439 | 1,439 | 1,439 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,439 |
| Sample Sizes <br> (Unweighted N's) | 318 | 204 | 407 | 271 | 1,200 | 224 | 263 | 713 | 1,200 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The column totals may not sum to 1,440 due to rounding error. Source: Wiley et al., 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Potential <br> Exposures | Mean Duration (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Children | Boys |  |  | $9-11 \mathrm{yrs}$ | All Boys | 0-2 yrs | Girls |  | $9-11 \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\underset{\text { Girls }}{\text { All }}$ |
|  |  | 0-2 yrs | $3-5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 6-8 yrs |  |  |  | $3-5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | $6-8 \mathrm{yrs}$ |  |  |
| Tobacco Smoke | 77 | 115 | 75 | 66 | 66 | 82 | 77 | 68 | 71 | 74 | 73 |
| Gasoline Fumes | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Gas Oven Fumes | 11 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 10 |
| Sample Sizes <br> (Unweighted N's) | 1,166 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 168 | 148 | 144 | 150 | 610 | 140 | 147 | 122 | 147 | 556 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Respondents with Source: Wiley et a | issing data 1991. | ere exclu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 15-18. Range of Recommended Defaults for Dermal Exposure Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water Contact |  |  |  | Soil Contact |  |
|  | Bathing |  | Swimming |  |  |  |
|  | Central | Upper | Central | Upper | Central | Upper |
| Event time and frequency ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $10 \mathrm{~min} /$ event 1 event/day 350 days/yr | $15 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{event}$ 1 event/day 350 days/yr | $0.5 \mathrm{hr} /$ event 1 event/day 5 days/yr | $1.0 \mathrm{hr} /$ event 1 event/day 150 days/yr | 40 events/yr | 350 events/yr |
| Exposure duration | 9 years | 30 years | 9 years | 30 years | 9 years | 30 years |
| a Bathing event time is presented to be representative of baths as well as showers. Source: U.S. EPA 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TImes/Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 11:1-0+ | DK |
| Overall | 3594 | 2 | 2747 | 802 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Gender Male Female Refused | 1720 1872 2 | ${ }_{*}$ | 1259 1486 2 | 436 366 $*$ | 21 9 $*$ | * | * | * ${ }_{*}$ | * | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 2 3 $*$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age (years) } \\ 1-4 \\ 5-11 \\ 12-17 \\ 18-64 \\ >64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 41 \\ 140 \\ 270 \\ 2650 \\ 429 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 46 30 112 199 1983 377 | 17 9 26 65 636 49 | 1 1 6 21 1 | * ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | * | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 1 $*$ $*$ $*$ |
| Race White Black Asian Some Others Hispanic Refused | 2911 349 64 65 162 43 | 2 $*$ $*$ $*$ | 2323 199 49 40 103 33 | 562 140 14 23 56 7 | 17 7 1 2 2 1 | * | * | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | 4 $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | 2 * $*$ $*$ 2 |
| Hispanic No DK Refused | $\begin{gathered} 3269 \\ 277 \\ 17 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | * ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | 2521 190 13 23 | 711 81 4 6 | 24 5 $*$ 1 | * ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | * | * | * | 4 $*$ $*$ $*$ | 4 $*$ $*$ 1 |
| Employment <br> Full Time Part Time Not Employed Refused | 439 1838 328 967 22 | 1 1 $*$ $*$ | 330 1361 261 780 15 | 99 454 65 177 7 | 8 17 $*$ * | * | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ 1 $*$ $*$ | $*$ 2 $*$ ${ }^{*}$ | 2 2 * 1 $*$ |
| Education <br> <High School <br> High School Graduate < College <br> College Graduate <br> Post Graduate | 515 297 1042 772 576 392 | $*$ $*$ 1 $*$ $*$ | 382 240 789 589 434 313 | 121 54 243 176 133 75 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \\ & 4 \\ & 7 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ * $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & { }^{*} \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & * \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Census Region Northeast Midwest South West | 828 756 1246 764 | $*$ $*$ 1 1 | 622 621 893 611 | 196 131 334 141 | 7 3 14 6 | $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ 1 | $*$ $*$ $*$ 1 | $*$ $*$ 1 | $*$ $*$ 3 1 | 3 1 1 1 |
| Day of Week Weekday Weekend | 2481 1113 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1889 \\ & 858 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 563 \\ & 239 \end{aligned}$ | 17 13 | * | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }_{*}$ | ${ }_{*}^{1}$ | 4 | $\stackrel{4}{1}$ |
| Season Winter Spring Summer Fall | 941 889 1003 761 | $*$ $*$ $*$ 2 | 732 674 735 606 | 198 205 254 145 | 9 7 10 4 | $*$ $*$ * | $*$ $*$ $*$ 1 | $*$ $*$ $*$ 1 | $*$ $*$ $*$ | 1 $*$ 1 1 | 1 2 1 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asthma } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { DK } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3312 \\ 261 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | 2 $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 2543 \\ 189 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | 730 67 5 | 25 5 $*$ | * | * | $*$ $*$ | * | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 4 1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Angina } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { DK } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3481 \\ 91 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2653 \\ 77 \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 786 \\ 12 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ * \\ * \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{*}$ | * | * | * | 4 $*$ | 4 $*$ 1 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema No Yes DK | $\begin{gathered} 3419 \\ 154 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{*}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2620 \\ 112 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 758 39 5 | 27 3 $*$ | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | 1 | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | 1 | 4 | 4 $*$ 1 |

Note: * Signifies missing data; $\mathrm{Dk}=$ don't know; $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996
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| Table 15-20. Times (minutes) Spent Taking Showers by the Number of Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | Minutes/Shower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | *-* | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 60-61 |
| Overall | 3594 | 47 | 1640 | 1348 | 397 | 72 | 52 | 51 | 17 |
| Gender Male Female Refused | $\begin{array}{r} 1720 \\ 1872 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 13 34 $*$ | 788 850 2 | 625 693 | 213 184 | 35 37 $*$ | 25 27 $*$ | 14 37 $*$ | ${ }_{7}^{7}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & 1-4 \\ & 5-11 \\ & 12-17 \\ & 18-64 \\ & >64 \end{aligned}$ | 64 41 140 270 2650 429 | 6 1 1 2 16 21 | 27 13 60 94 1238 208 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 14 \\ & 52 \\ & 104 \\ & 977 \\ & 148 \end{aligned}$ | 3 10 18 40 288 38 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 13 \\ 50 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $*$ $*$ 2 9 37 4 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 33 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { * } \\ * \\ 1 \\ 11 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| Race White Black Asian Some Others Hispanic Refused | 2911 349 64 65 162 43 | 38 5 $*$ $*$ 1 3 | 1406 115 25 18 57 19 | 1070 120 25 29 60 14 | 292 58 10 6 25 6 | 39 20 1 3 8 1 | 31 11 2 4 4 $*$ | 26 16 $*$ 4 5 | 9 4 1 1 2 $*$ |
| Hispanic No Yes DK Refused | 3269 277 17 31 | 43 1 3 3 | 1526 98 5 11 | 1188 109 9 12 | 352 40 1 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 10 \\ & { }_{*} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 42 8 2 $*$ | 44 7 $*$ $*$ | 13 4 $*$ $*$ |
| Employment <br> Full Time Part Time Not Employed Refused | 439 1838 328 967 22 | 4 10 4 27 2 | 163 875 160 431 11 | 165 682 112 355 4 | 66 191 39 97 4 | 17 32 4 19 | 10 20 5 16 1 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 20 \\ 3 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 2 8 1 6 $*$ |
| Education <br> < High School <br> High School Graduate < College College Graduate Post Graduate | 515 297 1042 772 576 392 | 10 8 12 12 2 3 | 190 93 451 377 297 232 | 186 125 409 271 211 116 | 79 51 108 79 50 30 | 21 6 23 14 5 3 | 13 7 17 6 5 4 | 14 6 16 7 5 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Census Region Northeast Midwest South West | 828 756 1246 764 | 7 11 26 3 | 374 385 490 391 | 326 253 461 278 | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ 70 \\ 179 \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | 15 16 35 6 | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 9 \\ 26 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 12 9 23 7 | 4 3 6 4 |
| Day of Week Weekday Weekend | 12481 | 34 13 | 1134 506 | 908 410 | 279 118 | 46 | 38 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 10 |
| Season Winter Spring Summer Fall | $\begin{array}{r} 941 \\ 889 \\ 1003 \\ 761 \end{array}$ | 12 14 11 10 | 421 410 435 374 | $\begin{aligned} & 358 \\ & 314 \\ & 366 \\ & 280 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \\ & 93 \\ & 128 \\ & 81 \end{aligned}$ | 18 21 29 4 | 15 14 17 6 | 16 18 12 5 | 6 5 5 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asthma } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { DK } \end{aligned}$ | 3312 261 21 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 1526 108 6 | $\begin{gathered} 1222 \\ 89 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 362 33 2 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ 7 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 44 8 $*$ | 41 10 | 14 2 1 |
| Angina No DK | $\begin{array}{r} 3481 \\ 91 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | 36 7 4 | 1591 38 11 | $\begin{gathered} 1276 \\ 36 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 389 8 $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 51 $*$ | 17 $*$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bronchitis/Emphysema } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { DK } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3419 \\ 154 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1566 \\ 66 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1258 \\ 54 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 375 \\ 19 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ 5 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 47 5 $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \underset{*}{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ |
| NOTE: * - Missing data; DK = don't know; N = sample size; Refused = Refused to answer. A value of 61 for number of minutes signifies that more than 60 minutes were spent. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 15-21. Number of Minutes Spent Taking a Shower (minutes/shower) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | Total N | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 91 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 3547 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 50 | 60 | 61 |
| Gender | Male | 1707 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Gender | Female | 1838 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 40 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 17.5 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 139 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 268 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 2634 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 408 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Race | White | 2873 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Race | Black | 344 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Asian | 64 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 48 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Some Others | 65 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Hispanic | 161 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | No | 3226 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 276 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 22.5 | 39 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1828 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Employment | Part Time | 324 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 940 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Education | < High School | 289 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1030 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Education | < College | 760 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Education | College Graduate | 574 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 61 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 389 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 821 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 32 | 50 | 60 | 61 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 745 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Census Region | South | 1220 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Census Region | West | 761 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 2447 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 48 | 60 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 1100 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Season | Winter | 929 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Season | Spring | 875 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Season | Summer | 992 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Season | Fall | 751 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 48 | 61 |
| Asthma | No | 3274 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 32 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Asthma | Yes | 257 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Angina | No | 3445 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 50 | 60 | 61 |
| Angina | Yes | 84 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 45 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 3379 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 50 | 60 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 151 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 61 |
| NOTE: A value of 61 for number of minutes signifies that more than 60 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | Minutes/Shower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | *_* | 0-0 | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 61-61 |
| Overall | 3594 | 61 | 241 | 2561 | 509 | 138 | 24 | 28 | 27 | 5 |
| Gender Male Female Refused | 1720 1872 2 | 22 39 $*$ | 113 128 | 1316 1243 2 | 207 302 $*$ | 46 92 $*$ | 5 19 $*$ | ${ }_{2}^{4}$ | 2 ${ }^{6}$ | 1 4 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age (years) } \\ 1-4 \\ 5-11 \\ 12-17 \\ 18-64 \\ >64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 41 \\ 140 \\ 270 \\ 2650 \\ 429 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ * \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 31 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 5 \\ 9 \\ 17 \\ 171 \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ 31 \\ 110 \\ 206 \\ 1897 \\ 280 \end{gathered}$ | 7 3 14 29 388 68 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 10 \\ 99 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ * \\ * \\ 3 \\ 19 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ * \\ 2 \\ 18 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ * \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 23 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & 1 \\ & 4 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Race <br> White <br> Black Asian Some Others Hispanic Refused | 2911 349 64 65 162 43 | 39 8 $*$ 3 6 5 | 189 23 7 7 11 4 | 2074 254 45 41 118 29 | 430 42 9 6 19 3 | 110 17 2 3 4 2 | 20 $*$ $*$ 3 1 $*$ | 23 3 $*$ 1 1 $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | \% $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ |
| Hispanic <br> No <br> Yes <br> DK <br> Refused | $\begin{gathered} 3269 \\ 277 \\ 17 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | 48 8 1 4 | 216 19 2 4 | 2328 200 11 22 | 470 35 3 1 | 130 $8_{*}$ $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 1 \\ * \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 26 $2_{*}$ $*$ | 23 4 $*$ $*$ | 5 $*$ $*$ |
| Employment <br> Full Time Part Time Not Employed Refused | 439 1838 328 967 22 | 4 20 5 29 3 | 28 109 21 81 2 | 336 1332 223 655 15 | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ 267 \\ 55 \\ 138 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 71 \\ 13 \\ 39 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 12 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 16 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | ?* $*$ $*$ + $*$ |
| Education <br> $<$ High School <br> High School Graduate <College <br> College Graduate <br> Post Graduate | 515 297 1042 772 576 392 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 14 \\ & 17 \\ & 11 \\ & 3 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 18 \\ & 68 \\ & 56 \\ & 28 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 390 \\ & 193 \\ & 733 \\ & 536 \\ & 426 \\ & 283 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ 48 \\ 160 \\ 118 \\ 86 \\ 46 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 16 \\ & 37 \\ & 33 \\ & 19 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & * \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 1 \\ 13 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Census Region <br> Northeast <br> Midwest <br> South <br> West | $\begin{array}{r} 828 \\ 756 \\ 1246 \\ 764 \end{array}$ | 6 19 26 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 39 \\ & 74 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 603 \\ & 536 \\ & 885 \\ & 537 \end{aligned}$ | 116 118 171 104 | 20 29 58 31 | 6 5 10 3 | 8 3 15 2 | 8 7 4 8 | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Day of Week Weekday Weekend | 12481 | 43 18 | 165 | 1784 777 | 342 167 | 88 50 | 20 | 16 | 19 | $\stackrel{4}{1}$ |
| Season Winter Spring Summer Fall | $\begin{gathered} 941 \\ 889 \\ 1003 \\ 761 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 13 \\ & 25 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 50 56 92 43 | $\begin{aligned} & 678 \\ & 636 \\ & 691 \\ & 556 \end{aligned}$ | 138 125 138 108 | 36 37 39 26 | 13 4 5 2 | 9 8 5 6 | 4 9 7 7 | 2 1 1 1 |
| Asthma No Yes DK | $\begin{gathered} 3312 \\ 261 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | 52 2 7 | $\begin{gathered} 225 \\ 14 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2374 \\ 178 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 465 42 2 | ${ }_{15}^{123}$ | 19 5 | 24 3 1 | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 4 1 $*$ |
| Angina No Yes DK | $\begin{gathered} 3481 \\ 91 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | 52 3 6 | $\begin{gathered} 233 \\ 5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2495 \\ 55 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 486 \\ 22 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 132 5 1 | 24 $*$ $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 27 $*$ | 5 $*$ |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{Y}$ DK | $\begin{gathered} 3419 \\ 154 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 2 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 226 \\ 12 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2446 \\ 104 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 482 \\ 26 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ \underset{*}{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 5 $*$ $*$ |
| NOTE: * Signifies mis specified range of numbe Source: Tsang and Klep | ndents an value of | for 1 | now. <br> of | fused tes sig | ponden es that | refuse re than | answe minu | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{do}$ vere sp | nple |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 3533 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 61 |
| Gender | Male | 1698 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 61 |
| Gender | Female | 1833 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 2619 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 52 | 61 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 2619 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 52 | 61 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 409 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 45 | 60 |
| Race | White | 2872 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 61 |
| Race | Black | 341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 45 | 60 |
| Race | Asian | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Some Others | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 35 | 45 | 52 | 52 |
| Race | Hispanic | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 60 |
| Hispanic | No | 3221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 61 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 25 | 45 | 60 | 60 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1818 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 50 | 60 |
| Employment | Part Time | 323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 50 | 60 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 938 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Education | < High School | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 45 | 61 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Education | < College | 761 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 50 | 61 |
| Education | College Graduate | 573 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 45 | 60 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 387 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 822 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 25 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 45 | 60 |
| Census Region | South | 1220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 61 |
| Census Region | West | 754 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 2438 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 1095 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 61 |
| Season | Winter | 930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 61 |
| Season | Spring | 876 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Season | Summer | 978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 61 |
| Season | Fall | 749 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 25 | 40 | 53 | 61 |
| Asthma | No | 3260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 38 | 50 | 61 |
| Asthma | Yes | 259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 61 |
| Angina | No | 3429 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 61 |
| Angina | Yes | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 8.5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 45 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 3366 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 |

NOTE: $\quad \mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. A value of 61 for number of minutes signifies that more than 60 minutes were spent
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996
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NOTE: * Signifies missing data; $\mathrm{Dk}=$ respondents answered don't know; $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size; Refused $=$ respondents refused to answer.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996

|  | Minutes/Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | *-* | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 61-61 |
| Overall | 649 | 18 | 153 | 237 | 128 | 27 | 29 | 36 | 21 |
| Gender Male Female | $\begin{array}{r} 159 \\ 490 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ 105 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ 178 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 105 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $4$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  | * | * | * |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18-64 \\ & >64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 491 \\ 149 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 6 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 105 46 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 174 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 11 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{22}{5}$ | $\stackrel{24}{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{18}{3}$ |
| Race White Black Asian Some Others Hispanic Refused | 487 106 12 12 26 6 | 11 4 $*$ $*$ 1 2 | 124 16 2 2 2 8 1 | 185 35 6 3 6 2 | 97 19 3 5 3 1 | 16 8 1 1 1 $*$ | 19 9 $*$ $*$ 1 $*$ | 24 9 $*$ $*$ 3 $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 6 \\ * \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ * \end{gathered}$ |
| Hispanic <br> No <br> Yes <br> DK <br> Refused | 600 40 6 3 | 16 1 * 1 | 136 15 1 1 | 224 10 2 1 | 120 6 2 $*$ | 26 1 $*$ $*$ | 27 1 1 $*$ | 33 3 $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 3 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Employment <br> Full Time Part Time Not Employed Refused | 1 283 76 287 2 | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 12 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $*$ 58 26 69 $*$ | $*$ 107 26 104 $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 64 \\ & 15 \\ & 48 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 12 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $*$ 19 2 15 | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 7 \\ * \\ 13 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Education <br> $<$ High School <br> High School Graduate < College College Graduate Post Graduate | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 96 \\ 235 \\ 163 \\ 102 \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 7 \\ & 6 \\ & 4 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $*$ 15 57 45 18 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 35 \\ & 85 \\ & 53 \\ & 44 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 16 \\ 51 \\ 32 \\ 20 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $*$ 3 13 4 5 2 | $*$ 6 5 11 5 2 | $*$ 7 11 8 9 1 | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \\ & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Census Region <br> Northeast Midwest South West | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & 151 \\ & 255 \\ & 106 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 9 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 42 \\ & 42 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 67 \\ & 87 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 26 \\ & 55 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 3 \\ 16 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 7 3 14 5 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 5 \\ 21 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 3 3 11 4 |
| Day of Week Weekday Weekend | 415 234 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 161 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| Season Winter Spring Summer Fall | 178 160 174 137 | 5 6 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 39 \\ & 43 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 60 \\ & 62 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | 33 27 34 34 | 9 9 7 2 | 11 7 4 7 | 9 6 14 7 | 4 6 7 4 |
| Asthma No Yes DK DK | $\begin{gathered} 596 \\ 52 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144 \\ 9 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 218 \\ 19 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 114 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 33 3 $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 4 \\ * \end{gathered}$ |
| Angina Yes DK | $\begin{gathered} 620 \\ 26 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 147 \\ 6 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 226 \\ 10 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 124 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ \underset{*}{25} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 21 $*$ $*$ |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema No Yes DK | $\begin{gathered} 610 \\ 36 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ 3 \\ * \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 218 \\ 17 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 119 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 1 \\ * \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 3 \\ * \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ 1 \\ * \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ * \\ * \end{gathered}$ |
| NOTE: * Signifies mis specified range of numbe Source: Tsang and Klep | responden nt. A valu | $61$ | n't kno mber | Refused nutes s | sponde ies that | refused e than | swer. inutes | doer sa spent. | size in |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | centile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 631 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Gender | Male | 155 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Gender | Female | 476 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 485 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 139 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | White | 476 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Black | 102 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 15 | 22.5 | 40 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Asian | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 27.5 | 30 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Race | Some Others | 12 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 27.5 | 30 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Hispanic | 25 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | No | 584 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 39 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Full Time | 279 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Part Time | 75 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 275 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | < High School | 89 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 229 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | < College | 159 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | College Graduate | 102 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 61 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 49 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 132 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 149 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | South | 246 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | West | 104 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 403 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 228 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Winter | 173 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Spring | 154 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Summer | 171 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Fall | 133 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Asthma | No | 580 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Asthma | Yes | 51 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Angina | No | 606 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Angina | Yes | 23 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 595 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 34 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

NOTE: $\quad \mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. A value of 61 for number of minutes signifies that more than 60 minutes were spent.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996

|  | Minutes/Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | *-* | 0-0 | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 61-61 |
| Overall | 649 | 25 | 85 | 422 | 74 | 23 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Gender Male Female | $\begin{array}{r} 159 \\ 490 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | 118 304 | 11 63 | 4 19 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age (years) } \\ 18-64 \\ >64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 491 \\ 149 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 7 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 71 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 336 \\ 82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 50 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 14 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 4 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 5 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & \underset{*}{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| Race <br> White <br> Black Asian Some Others Hispanic Refused | $\begin{gathered} 487 \\ 106 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 26 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ * \\ * \\ * \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 59 14 2 5 4 1 | 319 67 9 6 18 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 5 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & 3 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2_{2}^{2} \\ & { }_{*}^{*} \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Hispanic } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { DK } \\ \text { Refused } \end{array}$ | 600 40 6 3 | 25 $*$ $*$ $*$ | 76 7 1 1 | 390 28 3 1 | 71 1 1 1 | 20 3 $*$ $*$ | 7 $*$ $*$ $*$ | 6 $*$ $*$ $*$ | 4 $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Employment <br> Full Time Part Time Not Employed Refused | 1 283 76 287 2 | $*$ 6 1 18 | $*$ 35 9 41 $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 203 \\ 54 \\ 164 \end{gathered}$ | $*$ 30 8 35 1 | $*$ 7 2 14 $*$ | $*$ 1 2 4 $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 1 \\ & * \\ & 4 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & 2 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Education <br> <High School <br> High School Graduate <College College Graduate Post Graduate | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 96 \\ 235 \\ 163 \\ 102 \\ 49 \end{array}$ | 1 10 6 4 2 2 | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 11 \\ 35 \\ 17 \\ 14 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 51 \\ 158 \\ 113 \\ 66 \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ | 1 12 22 18 15 6 | $*$ 7 7 4 4 1 | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $*$ $*$ 3 2 1 $*$ | $*$ 2 1 2 $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 2 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Census Region <br> Northeasi <br> Midwest <br> South <br> West | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & 151 \\ & 255 \\ & 106 \end{aligned}$ | 8 5 9 3 | 13 20 31 21 | 91 100 164 67 | 16 18 30 10 | 8 3 9 3 | $*$ 2 5 $*$ | $*$ 1 4 1 | 1 2 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & 2 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Day of Week Weekday Weekend | 415 234 | 17 8 | 53 32 | 280 | 40 34 | 16 | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Season Wiṇter Spring Summer Fall | 178 160 174 137 | 3 8 9 5 | 14 21 31 19 | 122 96 110 94 | 24 20 16 14 | 7 8 6 2 | 3 4 $*$ $*$ | 1 2 2 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \text { * } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | \% $*$ $*$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Asthma } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { DK } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 596 \\ & 52 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 75 10 | 388 34 4 | 69 4 1 | $\stackrel{21}{2}$ | 7 $*$ $*$ | 5 <br> 1 | * $*$ | 2 $*$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Angina } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { DK } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 620 \\ 26 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 23 <br> 2 <br>  | 82 2 1 | ${ }_{4}^{405}$ | 70 3 1 | 22 1 $*$ | 6 1 1 | 6 $*$ $*$ | 4 <br> 4 | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema No DK | $\begin{gathered} 610 \\ 36 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 3 \\ & \text { * } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 400 \\ 21 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 21 $*$ $*$ | 6 1 $*$ | 5 1 $*$ | 5 $*$ $*$ | 2 $*$ $*$ |
| Note: * Signifies missing specified range of numbe Source: Tsang and Klep | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}=\text { respo } \\ & \text { es spent. } \end{aligned}$ | alue | d don for n | w. R $r$ of $m$ $\qquad$ | $\overline{e d}=\mathrm{re}$ es sign | dents re that mo $\qquad$ | sed to an than 60 | er. N utes w $\qquad$ | r sam pent. | in |
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| Category | Population Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 55 | 61 |
| Gender | Male | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 45 |
| Gender | Female | 471 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 484 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 50 | 61 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 55 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | White | 465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 30 | 45 | 58 | 61 |
| Race | Black | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 45 |
| Race | Asian | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Race | Some Others | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7.5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Race | Hispanic | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 25 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | No | 575 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 61 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 22.5 | 25 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Full Time | 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 |
| Employment | Part Time | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 40 | 40 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 58 | 60 | 61 |
| Education | < High School | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 58 |
| Education | < College | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 |
| Education | College Graduate | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 25 | 30 | 37.5 | 45 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 50 | 60 | 60 |
| Census Region | South | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 55 | 61 |
| Census Region | West | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 58 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 398 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 226 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 61 |
| Season | Winter | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 58 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Spring | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 |
| Season | Summer | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 50 |
| Season | Fall | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 45 | 55 | 60 |
| Asthma | No | 572 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 58 | 61 |
| Asthma | Yes | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 45 |
| Angina | No | 597 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 58 | 61 |
| Angina | Yes | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 588 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 58 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| NOTE: $\quad \mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. A value of 61 for number of minutes signifies that more than 60 minutes were spent. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Minutes/Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | *-* | 0-0 | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 70-80 | 80-90 | $\begin{aligned} & 90- \\ & 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100- \\ & 110 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110- \\ & 120 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121- \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ |
| Overall | 4290 | 38 | 5 | 1903 | 1577 | 548 | 46 | 65 | 67 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 8 |
| Gender Male Female Refused | $\begin{gathered} 1934 \\ 2355 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 30 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 4 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 872 \\ 1031 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 735 \\ 841 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 234 \\ & 314 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 27 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 41 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 43 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | $\stackrel{1}{5}$ | $$ | * | 10 11 | 3 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Age (years) } \\ 1-4 \\ 5-11 \\ 12-17 \\ 18-64 \\ >64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ 198 \\ 265 \\ 239 \\ 2919 \\ 583 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { * } \\ 2 \\ \text { * } \\ 15 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 35 \\ 64 \\ 78 \\ 1429 \\ 271 \end{gathered}$ | 36 84 107 96 1051 203 | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 50 \\ 66 \\ 46 \\ 303 \\ 71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 32 \\ 42 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 13 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 31 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 35 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 2 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 13 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { * } \\ & \frac{1}{*} \\ & 6 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Race <br> White Black Asian Some Others Hispanic Refused | 3452 453 74 78 180 53 | 27 7 $*$ $*$ 2 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 1 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 1616 141 33 24 63 26 | 1248 177 31 28 79 14 | 401 89 7 19 24 8 | 35 7 $*$ 2 2 $*$ | 44 11 1 3 4 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 11 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { 䅃 } \\ & \frac{1}{*} \\ & 2_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $*$ 1 $*$ $*$ 1 $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { * } \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 4 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 2 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Hispanic } \\ \text { NO } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { DK } \\ \text { Refused } \end{array}$ | 3892 333 21 44 | 31 5 1 1 | 4 1 $*$ $*$ | 1744 128 7 24 | 1423 136 8 10 | 496 40 4 8 | 41 5 $*$ | 56 8 $*$ 1 | 62 $5_{*}^{*}$ $*$ | 3 $*$ $*$ $*$ | 4 2 $*$ $*$ | 1 1 $*$ $*$ | 1 $*$ $*$ | 18 2 1 $*$ | 8 $*$ $*$ |
| Employment <br> Full Time <br> Part Time <br> Not Employed Refused | 692 1985 400 1181 32 | 2 11 5 20 $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 172 1002 190 524 15 | 284 707 147 429 10 | 162 190 44 146 6 | 9 20 4 13 | 24 20 4 17 | 22 18 5 22 $*$ | 3 $*$ $*$ $*$ | 3 $*$ $*$ 3 $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | 7 12 $*$ 1 1 | 1 3 3 4 $*$ |
| Education <br> <High School High School Graduate <College College Graduate Post Graduate | 775 386 1254 864 558 453 | 6 10 12 2 4 4 | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 132 \\ & 574 \\ & 414 \\ & 308 \\ & 275 \end{aligned}$ | 317 147 476 331 168 138 | 175 66 138 93 53 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 7 \\ & 14 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 26 8 14 7 7 3 | 24 10 18 8 4 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & \text { * } \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 1 \\ & 4 \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Census Region <br> Northeast <br> Midwest <br> South <br> West | $\begin{aligned} & 927 \\ & 956 \\ & 1513 \\ & 894 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 9 \\ 16 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 436 440 601 426 | 328 343 588 318 | 106 126 208 108 | 11 5 22 8 | 14 15 25 11 | 12 10 35 10 | 1 $*$ $*$ | 2 2 1 1 | 1 1 $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ | 6 2 8 5 | 3 4 4 1 |
| Day of Week Weekday Weekend | 2881 1409 | 23 15 | 3 | 1346 | 1038 539 | 336 212 | 30 16 | 40 25 | 39 28 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\stackrel{1}{5}$ | 2 | * | ${ }_{5}^{16}$ | 5 |
| Season Winter Spring Summer Fall | 1124 1145 1165 856 | 8 15 11 4 | 1 3 1 $*$ | 504 499 495 405 | 417 417 420 323 | 137 149 176 86 | 14 9 14 9 | 13 22 19 11 | 19 17 19 12 | 1 1 1 $*$ | $*$ 3 2 1 | $*$ 1 1 $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ 1 | 7 7 5 2 | 3 2 1 2 |
| Asthma No Yes DK | $\begin{aligned} & 3946 \\ & 327 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1767 \\ 128 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 1445 128 | $\begin{gathered} 502 \\ 43 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 38 8 $*$ | 53 11 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 2 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 3 $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | * $*$ | * ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 6 $*$ |
| Angina ${ }^{\mathrm{No}}$ DK | $\begin{aligned} & 4151 \\ & 114 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 34 3 1 | 3 $*$ | 1839 52 12 | 1529 41 7 | $\begin{gathered} 530 \\ 14 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 1 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 $*$ $*$ | 6 $*$ $*$ | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 21 $*$ | * |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema No DK | $\begin{aligned} & 4059 \\ & 207 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1803 \\ 86 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1502 \\ 71 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 517 \\ 28 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 4 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 4 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { 3 } \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{2}{*}$ | * | 19 2 | 7 <br> 1 |
| Note: * Signifies missing specified range of numbe Source: Tsang and Klep | $\begin{aligned} & K=\text { res } \\ & \text { ates } \mathrm{sp} \end{aligned}$ | onde <br> t. $\qquad$ | ue | ered "d "121" | on't kno for num | "'. R er of | used $=$ minutes | respond signifie | dents re es that | fused to more th | answe an 120 | $\mathrm{N}=$ inute | oer sa were | ple si ent. |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | centile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 4252 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 1926 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 2325 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 75 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 198 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 263 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 239 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 2904 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 13.5 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 567 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 |
| Race | White | 3425 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 446 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 74 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 90 |
| Race | Some Others | 78 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Hispanic | 178 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 100 | 120 |
| Hispanic | No | 3861 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 328 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1974 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 395 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 1161 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 376 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1242 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 862 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 |
| Education | College Graduate | 554 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 120 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 449 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 920 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 100 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 947 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 |
| Census Region | South | 1497 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 888 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 2858 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 1394 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 75 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 1116 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 1130 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 1154 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 852 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 3911 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 325 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 4117 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 111 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 45 | 60 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 4025 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 205 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 121 |

Note: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the
percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | Minu | es/Show | r or Bat |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | *-* | 0-0 | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 70-80 | 80-90 | 110-120 | 121-121 |
| Overall | 4290 | 108 | 348 | 2770 | 713 | 250 | 20 | 32 | 35 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 |
| Gender Male Female Refused | 1934 2355 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 71 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 138 210 | $\begin{aligned} & 1357 \\ & 1413 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 312 \\ 400 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ 183 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{*}{8}$ | 6 29 | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 1 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | - ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age (years) } \\ 1-4 \\ 5-11 \\ 12-17 \\ 18-64 \\ >64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ 198 \\ 265 \\ 239 \\ 2919 \\ 583 \end{gathered}$ | 12 2 5 1 53 35 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 59 \\ 33 \\ 17 \\ 184 \\ 47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ 123 \\ 198 \\ 165 \\ 1901 \\ 345 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 12 \\ 23 \\ 34 \\ 517 \\ 108 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ * \\ 3 \\ 16 \\ 189 \\ 36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 14 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 \\ \text { 3 } \\ 3 \\ 25 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ * \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 26 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & 1 \\ & { }^{2} \\ & 5 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & 3 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Race White Black Asian Some Others Hispanic Refused | 3452 453 74 78 180 53 | 80 15 $*$ 2 4 7 | 271 49 8 7 8 5 | 2235 276 48 46 134 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 590 \\ & 63 \\ & 12 \\ & 19 \\ & 21 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 194 \\ 35 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 12 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ * \\ * \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ * \\ * \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 9 \\ \text { * } \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 1 $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | * $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Hispanic } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { DK } \\ \text { Refused } \end{array}$ | 3892 333 21 44 | 95 8 $*$ 5 | 316 28 1 3 | 2504 227 10 29 | 655 46 5 | $\begin{gathered} 227 \\ 21 \\ 2 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 19 1 $*$ $*$ | 32 $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 1 $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{*} \\ & \frac{1}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & * \\ & \text { * } \end{aligned}$ | 4 $*$ $*$ $*$ |
| Employment <br> Full Time Part Time Not Employed Refused | 692 1985 400 1181 32 | 8 36 8 52 4 | 111 <br> 122 <br> 22 <br> 93 | 479 1302 256 712 21 | 66 357 71 214 5 | 17 120 32 79 2 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 4 16 5 7 $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 14 \\ 2 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & { }_{1}^{*} \\ & \frac{1}{*} \end{aligned}$ | 1 <br> 3 <br> 2 <br> 1 | $$ |
| Education <br> $\leq$ High School High School Graduate <College College Graduate Post Graduate | $\begin{aligned} & 775 \\ & 386 \\ & 1254 \\ & 864 \\ & 558 \\ & 453 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 28 \\ & 34 \\ & 17 \\ & 8 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 114 \\ 30 \\ 89 \\ 59 \\ 27 \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | 531 220 799 568 362 290 | 82 65 204 158 115 89 | 20 30 92 46 31 31 | 3 2 8 4 2 1 | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 1 \\ 12 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 9 \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $*$ $*$ 1 $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 4 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Census Region <br> Northeast <br> Midwest <br> South <br> West | $\begin{aligned} & 927 \\ & 956 \\ & 1513 \\ & 894 \end{aligned}$ | 20 27 41 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 86 \\ & 119 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | 614 600 971 585 | 161 155 255 142 | 49 57 93 51 | 3 5 8 | 2 13 7 10 | 6 11 10 8 | $*$ $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | 1 <br> 1 | 2 1 1 $*$ |
| Day of Week Weekday Weekend | 2881 1409 | 79 | $\underline{224}$ | 1889 881 | 474 239 | 153 97 | 15 | 19 | 19 16 | $\frac{1}{*}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | 5 | 2 |
| Season Winter Spring Summer Fall | 1124 1145 1165 856 | 34 26 36 12 | 77 99 112 60 | 726 756 740 548 | 193 167 184 169 | 65 70 66 49 | 7 4 6 3 | 8 12 9 3 | 9 10 8 8 | $*$ $*$ $*$ | 1 <br> $*$ | 3 1 2 1 | 1 $*$ 3 |
| Asthma No DK | 3946 327 17 | $\begin{gathered} 101 \\ 5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 306 \\ 41 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2540 \\ 219 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 673 \\ 37 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{1}^{236}$ | $\stackrel{18}{18}$ | 30 <br> ${ }_{3}$ | 32 3 $*$ | * ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \frac{1}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Angina } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { DK } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4151 \\ & 114 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ 6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 333 \\ 13 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2687 \\ 68 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 691 \\ 17 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 241 9 | $\stackrel{20}{*}$ | 32 $*$ | 34 1 $*$ | * | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | * ${ }_{*}^{*}$ |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema No Yes <br> DK | $\begin{gathered} 4059 \\ 207 \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 325 22 1 | 2623 133 14 | 684 24 5 | 236 14 | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 32 3 3 | 1 $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \underset{*}{1} \end{aligned}$ | 6 1 $*$ | 3 1 $*$ |

Note: * Signifies missing data. A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. DK= respondents answered "don't know". Refused = respondents refused to answer. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size in a specified range or number of minutes spent.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis,
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| Category | Population Group | N | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 4182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 1897 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 2284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 45 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 45 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 2866 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 548 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 120 |
| Race | White | 3372 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 438 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Asian | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 45 | 45 |
| Race | Some Others | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Hispanic | 176 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| Hispanic | No | 3797 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 325 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 1129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 358 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 550 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 45 | 60 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 446 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 120 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 907 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 1472 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.5 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 874 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 45 | 60 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 2802 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 50 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 1380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 1090 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 1119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 50 | 120 |
| Season | Summer | 1129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 52 | 120 |
| Season | Fall | 844 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 3845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 322 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 4052 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 12.5 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 3961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 88 | 121 |

Note: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.

|  |  |  |  |  | umber of | mes/D |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | *-* | 0-0 | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-9 | 10-19 | 20-29 | 30+ | DK |
| Overall | 4663 | 38 | 34 | 311 | 1692 | 1106 | 892 | 223 | 178 | 189 |
| Gender Male Female Refused | $\begin{gathered} 2163 \\ 2498 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 22 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $$ | 218 92 1 | 975 716 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 487 \\ & 619 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 286 \\ & 606 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ 164 \\ \text { * } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{49}{129}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 135 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1-4 \\ & 5-11 \\ & 12-17 \\ & 18-64 \\ & >64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \\ 263 \\ 348 \\ 326 \\ 2972 \\ 670 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ * \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 18 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 15 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 62 \\ 61 \\ 46 \\ 131 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ 125 \\ 191 \\ 159 \\ 1029 \\ 163 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 35 \\ 48 \\ 64 \\ 760 \\ 184 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 11 \\ 21 \\ 30 \\ 640 \\ 179 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 168 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 143 \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 10 \\ & 15 \\ & 9 \\ & 76 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ |
| Race. White Black Asian Some Others Hispanic Refused | 3774 463 77 96 193 60 | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 28 2 * 1 3 3 | $\begin{gathered} 251 \\ 30 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 14 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1377 149 29 39 78 20 | $\begin{gathered} 902 \\ 120 \\ 19 \\ 16 \\ 42 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 740 85 12 15 31 9 | $\begin{gathered} 181 \\ 19 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ 23 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134 \\ 29 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 9 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| Hispanic <br> NO DK Refused | 4244 347 26 46 | 27 2 * 9 | 29 5 $*$ $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 276 \\ 33 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1536 \\ & 130 \\ & 12 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1022 \\ 76 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 823 \\ 57 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 205 \\ 17 \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 162 \\ 17 \\ 2 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| Employment <br> Full Time Part Time Not Employed Refused | 926 2017 379 1309 32 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 1_{*}^{2} \\ 18 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 4 \\ * \\ 4 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165 \\ 96 \\ 13 \\ 36 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 471 707 142 365 7 | 145 525 101 327 8 | 61 406 86 334 5 | 13 116 10 83 1 | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 103 \\ 15 \\ 52 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ 48 \\ 12 \\ 90 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |
| Education <br> $\leq$ High School High School Graduate <College College Graduate Post Graduate | 1021 399 1253 895 650 445 | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 2 \\ 12 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $$ | $\begin{gathered} 174 \\ 8 \\ 56 \\ 28 \\ 23 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | 507 120 391 284 238 152 | $\begin{aligned} & 158 \\ & 96 \\ & 318 \\ & 246 \\ & 174 \\ & 114 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ 88 \\ 298 \\ 197 \\ 139 \\ 96 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 26 \\ & 70 \\ & 59 \\ & 28 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 24 \\ & 47 \\ & 48 \\ & 27 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 44 35 57 28 15 10 |
| Census Region Northeast South West | 1048 1036 1601 978 | 9 5 14 10 | 6 7 11 10 | 68 68 108 67 | $\begin{aligned} & 404 \\ & 373 \\ & 559 \\ & 356 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 243 \\ & 251 \\ & 379 \\ & 233 \end{aligned}$ | 195 212 299 186 | 55 41 79 48 | 38 38 66 36 | 30 41 86 32 |
| Day of Week Weekday Weekend | 3156 | 34 4 | 22 12 | 199 | 1103 589 | 764 342 | 599 293 | 155 68 | 147 31 | 133 |
| Season Winter Spring Summer Fall | 1264 1181 1275 943 | 6 13 15 4 | 10 9 9 6 | 91 78 78 64 | 507 406 443 336 | 286 283 315 222 | 223 238 232 199 | 55 60 65 43 | 51 44 48 35 | 35 50 70 34 |
| Asthma No Yes DK | $\begin{gathered} 4287 \\ 341 \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 1 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 283 \\ 26 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1562 126 4 | $\begin{gathered} 1024 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 819 \\ 69 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 207 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 165 10 3 | 167 14 8 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Angina } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { DK } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4500 \\ 125 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 2 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ * \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 306 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1652 \\ 32 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1069 \\ 34 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 851 36 5 | $\underset{*}{218}$ | 171 3 4 | 171 10 8 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema <br> DK | $\begin{gathered} 4424 \\ 203 \\ 36 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 3 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \\ 1 \\ * \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 302 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1627 \\ 57 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1040 \\ 61 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 835 \\ & 55 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 213 10 $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 172 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 175 <br> 6 <br> 8 |
| Note: * Signifies missing know". Refused = respo Source: Tsang and Klep | sample si answer. |  |  |  | of mil | spent | $\mathrm{K}=\text { respo }$ | ents an | red ' |  |
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Note: A value of" 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 679 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 341 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 338 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 75 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 50 | 0 | 0.5 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 75 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 513 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5:> 64 | 38 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 35 | 105.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 556 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 66 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 7 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Some Others | 15 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Hispanic | 29 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 611 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 57 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 368 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 37.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 66 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 122 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 52 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 35 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 199 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 140 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 82 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 76 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 37.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 138 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 145 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 227 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 169 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 471 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 208 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 154 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 193 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 193 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 139 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 606 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 73 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 662 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 15 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 637 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 41 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: A valueof "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996
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|  | Table 15-38. Range of the Number of Times Motor Vehicle Was Started with Garage Door Closed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at Specified Daily Frequencies by the Number of Respondents |  |
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| Table 15-39. Number of Minutes Spent at a Gas Station or Auto Repair Shop (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | Percentiles |  |  | 98 | 99 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 | 90 | 95 |  |  |  |
| Overall |  | 967 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 552 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 414 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5.5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 42 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 57 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 760 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5.5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 67 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 40 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Race | White | 788 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 95 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 120 | 120 |
| Race | Asian | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Race | Some Others | 22 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Race | Hispanic | 42 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 875 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 82 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 542 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 107 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 186 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 120 | 120 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 70 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4.5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 293 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 213 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 143 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 106 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 56 | 90 | 120 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 167 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 246 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 348 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6.5 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 206 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 70 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 634 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 333 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 236 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 232 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 7.5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 282 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 217 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 892 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 25 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 74 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 947 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 17 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 920 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 45 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 120 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Note: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 1170 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Gender | Male | 505 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Gender | Female | 665 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 180 | 360 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 109 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 79 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 60 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 718 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 180 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Race | White | 968 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Race | Black | 100 | 1 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 13 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 660.5 | 721 | 721 |
| Race | Asian | 23 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 60 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Race | Some Others | 22 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 30 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Race | Hispanic | 45 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 45 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Hispanic | No | 1073 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 81 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 45 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Employment | Full Time | 451 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Employment | Part Time | 93 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 362 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 360 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Education | < High School | 96 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 75 | 360 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 309 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Education | < College | 225 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Education | College Graduate | 150 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 15 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 124 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 30 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 223 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 90 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 221 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Census Region | South | 361 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Census Region | West | 365 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 732 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 438 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Season | Winter | 184 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Season | Spring | 407 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Season | Summer | 385 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Season | Fall | 194 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Asthma | No | 1072 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Asthma | Yes | 97 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 30 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Angina | No | 1133 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Angina | Yes | 36 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 104.5 | 360 | 360 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 1105 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 63 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 90 | 180 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 721 | 721 | 721 |
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|  |  |  |  | s/Day |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-9 | 10-19 | 20+ | DK |
| Overall | 1187 | 192 | 248 | 229 | 267 | 196 | 55 |
| Gender Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 511 \\ & 676 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 112 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 129 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118 \\ & 149 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ 103 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age (years) } \\ 1-4 \\ 5-11 \\ 12-17 \\ 18-64 \\ >64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 68 \\ 109 \\ 79 \\ 730 \\ 182 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 13 \\ 15 \\ 11 \\ 112 \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 14 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 145 \\ 53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 8 \\ 18 \\ 17 \\ 156 \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 17 \\ 31 \\ 13 \\ 171 \\ 32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 13 \\ 23 \\ 17 \\ 123 \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 43 \\ 15 \end{array}$ |
| Race White Black Asian Some Others Hispanic Refused | 979 103 23 22 46 14 | 155 22 1 3 8 3 | 193 28 9 4 11 3 | 188 21 4 2 10 4 | 233 12 6 7 8 1 | 168 14 2 4 8 $*$ | 42 6 1 2 1 3 |
| Hispanic <br> No <br> Yes <br> DK <br> Refused | 1086 83 7 11 | $\begin{gathered} 179 \\ 11 \\ * \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 227 \\ 17 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 208 16 1 4 | 244 20 3 $*$ | 180 15 1 $*$ | 48 4 $*$ 3 |
| Employment <br> Full Time Part Time Not Employed Refused | $\begin{gathered} 255 \\ 458 \\ 95 \\ 369 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 79 \\ 14 \\ 58 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 98 \\ 20 \\ 81 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ 95 \\ 19 \\ 69 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 60 104 22 80 1 | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 72 \\ 18 \\ 52 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 13 10 2 29 1 |
| Education <br> <High School <br> High School Graduate <College College Graduate Post Graduate | $\begin{aligned} & 267 \\ & 98 \\ & 318 \\ & 228 \\ & 150 \\ & 126 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 21 \\ & 48 \\ & 44 \\ & 21 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 17 \\ & 66 \\ & 52 \\ & 37 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | 46 15 65 37 39 27 | 63 18 71 49 31 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 20 \\ & 54 \\ & 34 \\ & 19 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 14 7 14 12 3 5 |
| Census Region Northeast Midwest South West | 228 225 365 369 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 44 \\ & 59 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 54 \\ & 81 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & 39 \\ & 69 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | 53 50 71 93 | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 33 \\ & 66 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | 13 5 19 18 |
| Day of Week Weekday Weekend | $\begin{aligned} & 746 \\ & 441 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | 167 81 | 156 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 167 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106 \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | 34 21 |
| Season Winter Spring Summer Fall | 185 417 387 198 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 73 \\ & 72 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 94 \\ & 68 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | 39 66 81 43 | 42 90 80 55 | 27 73 66 30 | 7 21 20 7 |
| Asthma No YK DK | $\begin{gathered} 1087 \\ 99 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 175 \\ 16 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{*}{208}$ | $\stackrel{211}{18}$ | 245 22 | 179 17 | 49 6 $*$ |
| Angina No DK | $\begin{gathered} 1147 \\ 39 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ 8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 241 \\ 7 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 221 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 259 $*$ | 192 4 4 | 51 4 $*$ |
| Bronchitis/emphysema No Yes DK | $\begin{gathered} 1121 \\ 64 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 179 \\ 12 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 230 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 216 \\ 12 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 258 \\ 9_{*} \end{gathered}$ | 186 10 | 52 3 $*$ |


|  | Volume III - Activity Factors |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chapter $15-$ Activity Factors |  |


| Category | Population Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 401 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 202 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 17.5 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 198 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7.5 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 12.5 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 304 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 31 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 306 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 110 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 51 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 10 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7.5 | 15 | 17.5 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Race | Some Others | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Hispanic | 24 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 17.5 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Hispanic | No | 356 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 43 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 214 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 50 | 0 | 0.5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 76 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5.5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 18 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 106 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 84 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5.5 | 20 | 40 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 79 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 50 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 52.5 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 129 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 50 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 83 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 105 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 84 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 303 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 98 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 104 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 110 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 114 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 104 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 79 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 370 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 31 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 393 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6.5 | 17.5 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 378 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 22 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 17.5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
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| Table 15-44. Number of Minutes Spent in a Car, Van, Truck, or Bus in Heavy Traffic (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 1197 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 534 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 663 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 33 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 63 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 52 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 12.5 | 27.5 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 889 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 139 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 959 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 133 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 90 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 20 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 52.5 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Some Others | 24 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 12.5 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Hispanic | 55 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 1097 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 95 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 659 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 108 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 48.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 279 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 81 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 352 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 276 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 176 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 12.5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 150 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 97.5 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 229 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 263 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 429 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 276 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 927 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 270 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 286 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 317 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 312 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 282 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 1108 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 89 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 1159 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 35 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 1130 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 64 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 27.5 | 51 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| NOTE: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{doer}$ sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 294 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 138 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 156 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3.5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 2 | 7.5 | 15 | 45 | 90.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 229 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Race | White | 208 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 34 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Race | Asian | 15 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Some Others | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Hispanic | 28 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4.5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 251 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 39 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 171 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 23 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 58 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 58 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9.5 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 54 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 40 | 120 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 72 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4.5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 50 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 12.5 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 60 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 53 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 59 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 92 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3.5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 90 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 208 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 86 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 67 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 78 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5.5 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 85 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 64 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4.5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 263 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 291 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 46.5 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 281 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5.5 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| NOTE: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 3303 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 1511 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 1791 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 245 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 80 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 2303 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 88 | 121 |
| Race | White | 2756 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 88 |
| Race | Asian | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 32 | 45 | 45 |
| Race | Some Others | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 120 |
| Race | Hispanic | 127 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 3029 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1613 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 785 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 110 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 680 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 381 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 120 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 680 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 763 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 1149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 711 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 2209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 1094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 855 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 100 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 890 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 100 | 120 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 903 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 655 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 110 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 3063 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 3219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 110 | 110 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 3132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 110 | 121 | 121 |
| NOTE: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 15-47. Number of Minutes Spent Running or Walking Outside Other Than to the Car (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 1273 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 605 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 668 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 116 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 82 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 21 |
| Age (yeaars) | 5-11 | 149 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 21 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 110 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 772 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | $5:>64$ | 143 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 1051 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 111 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 35 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 21 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Some Others | 23 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | 5:hispanic | 55 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 1156 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 99 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 517 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 112 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 300 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 97 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 287 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 234 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 153 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 138 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 37.5 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 265 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 286 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 40 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 412 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 310 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5.5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 843 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 40 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 430 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 21 |
| Season | Winter | 312 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 42.5 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 21 |
| Season | Spring | 403 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 396 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 55 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 21 |
| Season | Fall | 162 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 1162 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 21 |
| Asthma | Yes | 105 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 21 |
| Angina | No | 1240 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 25 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1204 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 62 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| NOTE: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 15-48. Number of Hours Spent Working for Pay (hours/week) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 4896 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 33 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Gender | Male | 2466 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 40 | 40 | 53 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Gender | Female | 2430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 28 | 40 | 43 | 55 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 18.5 | 24 | 26 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 4625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 40 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | White | 3990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 32 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Black | 499 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 35 | 40 | 46 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Asian | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 36.5 | 40 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Some Others | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Hispanic | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 32 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | No | 4494 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 33 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 32 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Full Time | 4094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 40 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Part Time | 802 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 38 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Education | < High School | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 40 | 48 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1598 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 32 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | < College | 1251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | College Graduate | 954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 40 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 716 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 32 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 32 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | South | 1675 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | West | 1007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 3306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 33 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 1590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 33 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Winter | 1306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 32 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Spring | 1197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Summer | 1343 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Fall | 1050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.5 | 32 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Asthma | No | 4579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 34 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Asthma | Yes | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 30 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Angina | No | 4811 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 34 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Angina | Yes | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 44 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 4699 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 33 | 40 | 50 | 6 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Note: * Signifies missing data. A value of " 61 " for number of hours signifies that more than 60 hours were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of hours. Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 4894 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Gender | Male | 2465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 35 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Gender | Female | 2429 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 39 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 4623 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 42 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | White | 3989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Black | 499 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Asian | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 30 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Some Others | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 25 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Hispanic | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 48 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | No | 4492 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 27 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 35 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Full Time | 4092 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Part Time | 802 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 35 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Education | < High School | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1597 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 35 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | < College | 1251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 26 | 40 | 60 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | College Graduate | 953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 20 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 716 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 30 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 42 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | South | 1674 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 30 | 48 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | West | 1006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 47 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 3306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 48 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 1588 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Winter | 1305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 28 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Spring | 1197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 48 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Summer | 1342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 30 | 48 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Fall | 1050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 25 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Asthma | No | 4578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Asthma | Yes | 301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 28 | 36 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Angina | No | 4809 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 44 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Angina | Yes | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 36 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 4697 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 43 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 40 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
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| Table 15-50. Number of Hours Worked in a Week That Was Outdoors (hours/week) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 4891 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Gender | Male | 2463 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 42 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Gender | Female | 2428 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 55 | 61 | 61 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 4621 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | White | 3986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Black | 499 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 48 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Asian | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 40 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Some Others | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 40 | 48 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Hispanic | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | No | 4489 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 48 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Full Time | 4090 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Part Time | 801 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 30 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Education | < High School | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.5 | 55 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1594 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 40 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | < College | 1251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 46 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | College Graduate | 953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 35 | 50 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 716 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 60 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | South | 1674 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 55 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | West | 1006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 3305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 1586 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 48 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Winter | 1305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Spring | 1195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Summer | 1341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Fall | 1050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Asthma | No | 4576 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Asthma | Yes | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Angina | No | 4806 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Angina | Yes | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 35 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 4694 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 50 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| NOTE: A value of " 61 " for number of hours signifies that more than 60 hours were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of hours. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | Number of Days since That Area Was Swept-vacuumed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | 0 | SweptVacuumed Yes'day | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 14 | $>2$ <br> Weeks | Dk |
| Overall | 9386 | 8112 | 550 | 278 | 189 | 85 | 63 | 31 | 17 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 11 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 4294 | 3688 | 245 | 136 | 100 | 35 | 37 | 19 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| Female | 5088 | 4421 | 304 | 142 | 89 | 50 | 26 | 12 | 9 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 6 |
| Refused | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{5}^{1-4}$ | 499 | 67 | 199 | 93 | 54 | 24 | 19 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| 5-11 | 703 | 393 | 121 | 70 | 50 | 23 | 22 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 12-17 | 589 | 533 | 30 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 18-64 | 6059 | 5592 | 198 | 102 | 76 | 34 | 22 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| > 64 | 1349 | 1347 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 7591 | 6586 | 398 | 232 | 152 | 72 | 55 | 29 | 14 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 8 |
| Black | 945 | 825 | 72 | 18 | 17 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian | 157 | 138 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Some Others | 182 | 141 | 21 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 385 | 300 | 52 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Refused | 126 | 122 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 8534 | 7421 | 460 | 248 | 170 | 80 | 57 | 29 | 15 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 8 |
| Yes | 702 | 549 | 88 | 29 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Dk | 47 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Refused | 103 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Time | 4096 | 3826 | 96 | 64 | 50 | 21 | 18 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Part Time | 802 | 741 | 28 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Not Employed | 2644 | 2502 | 77 | 29 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Refused | 71 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1968 | 1162 | 353 | 175 | 114 | 50 | 41 | 25 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 7 |
| < High School | 834 | 793 | 24 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| High School Graduate | 2612 | 2447 | 76 | 39 | 26 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| < College | 1801 | 1681 | 55 | 25 | 18 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| College Graduate | 1247 | 1155 | 28 | 19 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Post Graduate | 924 | 874 | 14 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 2075 | 1793 | 129 | 65 | 35 | 18 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| Midwest | 2102 | 1826 | 108 | 59 | 47 | 21 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| South | 3243 | 2805 | 193 | 87 | 75 | 26 | 27 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| West | 1966 | 1688 | 120 | 67 | 32 | 20 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 6316 | 5487 | 366 | 160 | 125 | 57 | 51 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 6 |
| Weekend | 3070 | 2625 | 184 | 118 | 64 | 28 | 12 | 13 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 2524 | 2144 | 162 | 79 | 61 | 27 | 17 | 7 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 5 |
| Spring | 2438 | 2112 | 121 | 90 | 48 | 19 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Summer | 2536 | 2187 | 167 | 68 | 41 | 26 | 19 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Fall | 1888 | 1669 | 100 | 41 | 39 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 8629 | 7455 | 502 | 262 | 171 | 80 | 59 | 30 | 13 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 11 |
| Yes | 694 | 596 | 48 | 15 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dk | 63 | 61 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 9061 | 7793 | 547 | 277 | 189 | 83 | 63 | 31 | 17 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 11 |
| Yes | 250 | 246 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dk | 75 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 8882 | 7645 | 536 | 268 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 25 | 2 |  | 5 | 15 | 10 |
| Yes | 433 | 397 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dk | 71 | 70 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: * Signifies missing data; DK = respondents answered don't know; N= sample size; Refused = respondent refused to answer.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996
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|  | Total N | Number of Loads/Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | >10 | DK |
| Overall | 1762 | 582 | 604 | 303 | 123 | 55 | 27 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 38 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male Female | 678 1083 | 219 | 241 | 120 183 | 41 82 | 17 38 | 8 19 | $*$ 10 | * 12 | ${ }_{*}$ | 1 | 1 | 30 |
| Refused | 1 |  |  |  |  | * |  | 1 | * | * | * | * |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 109 | 29 | 36 | 24 | 12 | 5 | 2 | * | * | * | 1 | * | * |
| 5-11 $12-17$ | 141 | 38 39 | 14 5 5 | 28 | 8 10 | 6 | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| 18-64 | 1161 | 385 | 376 | 209 | 80 | 35 | 22 | 9 | 11 | * | 3 | 1 | 30 |
| $>64$ | 194 | 82 | 71 | 18 | 10 | 7 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | 5 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1511 | 513 | 519 | 254 | 101 | 48 | 23 | $1_{*}^{1}$ | 12 | * | 3 | * | 26 |
| Black Asian | 112 | 7 | 41 4 | 3 | ${ }_{5}^{11}$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 3 |
| Some Others | 31 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 3 |
| Hispanic | 68 | 18 | 24 | 15 | 5 | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Refused | 18 | 9 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  | * | * | * | * | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1615 | 536 38 | 556 42 | 271 26 | ${ }_{8}^{115}$ | 50 | 24 | 11 | 12 | 1 | ${ }_{1}^{4}$ | * | 35 3 |
| DK | 126 | * | 2 | 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Refused | 15 | 8 | 4 | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | * |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *ull Time | 369 734 | 102 | 143 | 71 128 | 29 42 | 12 20 | 5 | $\stackrel{1}{5}$ | 1 | * | 2 | * | 20 20 |
| Part Time | 160 | 58 | 53 | 23 | 10 | 8 | 3 | * | 1 | * | * | * | 4 |
| Not Employed | 482 | 158 | 158 | 79 | 41 | 15 | 8 | 5 | * | * | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Refused | 17 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 2 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 413 | 118 | 160 | 77 22 | 32 10 | 12 4 | 6 3 | 1 | * | * | * | * | 3 |
| High School Graduate | 508 | 175 | 166 | 85 | 35 | 18 | 8 | 3 | 4 | * | * | * | 14 |
| < College | 321 | 105 | 101 | 61 | 25 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 5 | * | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| College Graduate | 175 | 83 57 | 68 | 32 26 | 11 10 | 8 | 4 | 3 | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | * | ${ }^{*}$ | * | 5 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 367 | 111 | 146 | 57 76 | 23 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | * | 1 | 78 |
| South | 628 | 205 | 228 | 110 | 39 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 4 | * | 3 | * | 10 |
| West | 361 | 141 | 107 | 60 | 19 | 11 | 9 | * | 1 | * | 2 | * | 11 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 1172 | 418 | 409 | 194 | 62 | 29 | 17 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 26 |
| Weekend | 590 | 164 | 195 | 109 | 61 | 26 | 10 | 4 | 5 | * | 4 | * | 12 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 458 | 154 | 159 | 73 87 | 31 | 14 | ${ }^{6} 10$ | 3 | 4 | * | 3 | ${ }_{*}^{1}$ | 9 |
| Spring | 482 | 158 | 166 | 85 | 38 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 3 | * | 1 | , | 8 |
| Fall | 357 | 116 | 120 | 58 | 26 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 3 | * | * | * | 10 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\mathrm{No}}{\mathrm{Y}}$ | 1615 | 548 | 545 | 274 | 105 | 50 | 27 | 11 | 12 | * | 5 | * | 36 |
| YK | 140 | 31 3 | 56 3 | $\stackrel{28}{1}$ | ${ }_{*}{ }^{*}$ | ${ }_{*}$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | $\stackrel{2}{*}$ |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1710 | 564 | 592 | 294 | 113 | 54 | 26 | 11 | 12 | * | * | 1 | 37 |
| Yes | 140 | 14 | 9 | 7 | 8 2 | * | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | * | * | * | * | * | * 1 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1658 | 544 | 572 | 285 | 112 | 53 | 26 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 37 |
| Yes DK | 96 8 | 36 2 | 28 4 | ${ }_{2}^{16}$ | ${ }_{*} 11$ | * | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | * |  | * | * | * | * |
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|  | Total N | Number of Times/Week |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * | Almost Every Day | 3-5/Week | 1-2/Week | <1-2/Week | DK |
| Overall | 2635 | 1 | 557 | 678 | 529 | 824 | 46 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Memale | 1235 | 1 | 259 | 282 | 247 | 417 406 | 30 16 |
| Refused | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | * |
| Age (years) 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 145 | * | 9 | 4 | 3 | ${ }_{118}^{6}$ | 11 |
| 5-11 | 211 | * | 14 | 8 | 15 | 157 | 17 |
| $12-17$ $18-64$ | 1718 | * | $\stackrel{27}{438}$ | 33 512 | 31 397 | 113 360 | $\stackrel{2}{11}$ |
| > 64 | 320 | 1 | 65 | 108 | 72 | 70 | 4 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 2267 | 1 | 504 | 603 | 487 | 637 | 35 |
| Black Asian | 163 | * | 19 | 32 8 | 19 | 90 31 | 3 |
| Some Others | 45 | * | 9 | 8 | 1 | 24 | 3 |
| Hispanic | 84 | * | 13 | 15 | 12 | 40 | 4 |
| Refused | 22 | * | 5 | 12 | 3 | 2 |  |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2444 | * | 524 | 635 32 | 504 | 739 | 41 |
| DK | 11 | * | 2 | 32 | 21 | 5 | * |
| Refused | 16 | * | 4 | 9 | 2 | 1 | * |
| Employment 552 * 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Time | 552 1191 | * | $\stackrel{49}{276}$ | 45 | 46 298 | 382 249 | 30 9 |
| Part Time | 204 | * | 48 | 70 | 46 | 38 | 2 |
| Not Employed | 678 | * | 181 | 200 | 136 | 155 | * |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < High School | 124 | * | 29 | 27 | 26 | 41 | * |
| High School Graduate | 582 | * | 153 | 173 | 114 | 132 | 10 |
| < College ${ }^{\text {College }}$ Graduate | 560 446 | * | 144 | 181 | 117 126 | 117 80 | 1 |
| Post Graduate | 330 | * | 71 | 112 | 121 | 54 | 2 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 538 514 | * | 133 | 144 | 95 | 159 | 7 6 |
| South | 953 | * | 200 | 251 | 169 | 312 | 21 |
| West | 630 | 1 | 108 | 153 | 155 | 201 | 12 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 1768 | * | 378 179 | 466 212 | 341 188 | 549 275 | 33 13 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 711 | * | 144 | 175 | 149 | 223 | 20 |
| Spring | 664 | 1 | 122 | 181 | 132 | 214 | 14 |
| Fall | 539 | * | 134 | 137 | 114 | 148 | 6 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2439 | 1 | 521 | 622 | 492 | 765 | 38 |
| Yes | 189 | * | 35 | 54 | 35 | 58 | 7 1 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2570 | 1 | 538 | 664 | 512 | 809 | 46 |
| Yes | $\stackrel{60}{5}$ | * | 19 | 11 | 16 | 14 | * |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Ye } \end{aligned}$ | 2533 | 1 | 540 16 | 646 27 | 504 23 | 796 27 | ${ }_{*}{ }_{*}$ |
| DK | 9 | * | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | * |


|  | Total N | Number of Times/Week |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * | Almost Every Day | 3-5/Week | 1-2/Week | <1-2/Week | DK |
| Overall | 3626 | 1 | 2600 | 490 | 326 | 197 | 12 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1554 | ${ }_{1}^{*}$ | 982 1618 | 264 | 183 | 117 80 | 8 |
| $\xrightarrow[\text { Female }]{ }$ | 2071 | * | 1618 | 225 |  | * ${ }_{*}$ | * |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *-4 | 65 1 | * | 51 | 6 | 2 | 6 | * |
| 5-11 | 103 | * | 12 | 14 | 33 | 44 | * |
| $12-17$ $18-64$ | 228 | * | 57 1979 | 45 | 69 201 | 56 76 | 1 |
| $>64$ | 587 | * | 501 | 46 | 20 | 15 | 5 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3928 | ${ }_{*}$ | 2114 | 391 61 | 257 40 | 157 | 8 |
| Asian | 61 | * | 48 | 6 | 3 | 4 | * |
| Some Others | 67 | * | 44 | 9 | 9 | 5 | * |
| Hispanic | 147 | * | 108 | 17 | 12 | 8 | * |
| Refused | 38 | * | 25 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  |
| Hispanic $3322-2383-178$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 3322 | * | 12383 | 454 | 296 | 178 | 10 |
| DK | 21 | * | 16 | * | 3 | 14 | * |
| Refused | 25 | * | 16 | 4 | 2 | 3 | * |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Time | 328 1765 | * | 71 1282 | 57 | 102 | 97 50 | 1 |
| Part Time | 349 | * | 270 | 44 | 17 | 15 | 3 |
| Not Employed | 1165 | * | 965 12 | 104 | 60 | 31 | * |
| Education 386 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < High School | 354 | * | 298 | 26 | 15 | 12 | 3 |
| High School Graduate | 1106 | * | 856 | 140 | 74 | 30 | 5 |
| College Graduate | 796 591 | * | 606 | 116 | 57 47 | 16 | * |
| Post Graduate | 393 |  | 294 | 57 | 26 | 14 | 2 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 832 | * | 636 569 | 114 | 60 81 | 43 | 3 |
| South | 1214 | 1 | 840 | 175 | 124 | 70 | 4 |
| West | 769 | * | 555 | 111 | 61 | 39 | 3 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 2474 | * | 1759 | 335 155 | 236 | 136 | 8 |
| Weekend | 1152 | 1 | 841 | 155 | 90 | 61 | 4 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 985 | * | 691 | 138 | 90 | 63 | 3 |
| ${ }_{\text {Spring }}$ | 902 | * | 648 | 117 132 | 85 | 46 | 5 |
| Fall | 752 | * | 556 | 103 | 59 | 33 | 1 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 3345 | 1 | 2407 | 455 | 290 | 183 | 9 |
| Yes | 263 18 | * | 179 14 | 33 | 34 | 14 | 3 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 3501 | * | 2499 | 475 | 321 | 194 | 12 |
| Yes | 105 20 | 1 | 86 | 11 | * | 2 | * |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 3438 | 1 | 2459 | 460 | 314 | 192 | 12 |
| Yes | 169 | * | 126 | 27 | 11 | 5 | * |
| DK | 19 | * | 15 | 3 | 1 | * | * |
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|  | Number of Times/Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | * | Almost Every Day | 3-5/Day | 1-2/week | <1/week | Never | DK |
| Overall | 4663 | 404 | 566 | 1033 | 1827 | 331 | 465 | 37 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 2163 | 212 | 211 | 458 | 811 | 154 | 300 | 17 |
| Female | 2498 | 191 | 355 | 575 | 1015 | 177 | 165 | 20 |
| Refused | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Age (years) 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 84 | ${ }_{2}^{3}$ | ${ }_{*}$ | 11 | 47 | 3 | 2 | 12 |
| ${ }_{5}^{1-4}$ | 348 | 101 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 15 | 206 | 4 |
| 12-17 | 326 | 1 | 22 | 29 | 83 | 67 | 124 | * |
| 18-64 | 2972 | 31 | 489 | 832 | 1328 | 197 | 83 | 12 |
| > 64 | 670 | 7 | 47 | 157 | 353 | 49 | 49 | 8 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3774 | 316 | 499 | 883 | 1445 | 246 | 370 | 15 |
| Black | 463 | 39 | 33 | 72 | 207 | 52 | 55 | 5 |
| Asian | 77 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 39 | 13 | 8 | * |
| Some Others | 96 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 36 | 8 | 11 | * |
| Hispanic | 193 | 29 | 19 | 41 | 77 | 10 | 17 | 17 |
| Refused | 60 |  | 4 | 10 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 17 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4244 | 342 | 528 | 950 | 1674 | 307 | 424 | 19 |
| Yes | 347 | 59 | 31 | 69 | 130 | 20 | 38 |  |
| DK | 26 46 | 1 | 3 4 | 8 | 10 | 3 1 | 1 | * 18 |
| Employment 926 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Time | 926 | 366 21 | $\stackrel{23}{305}$ | 32 569 | 97 929 | 76 119 | 327 66 | 5 8 |
| Part Time | 379 | 6 | 64 | 101 | 166 | 29 | 13 | * |
| Not Employed | 1309 | 10 | 170 | 326 | 628 | 105 | 58 | 12 |
| Refused | 32 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 12 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1021 | 367 | 33 | 37 | 129 | 89 | 343 | 23 |
| < High School | 399 | 3 | 61 | 88 | 178 | 40 | 27 | 2 |
| High School Graduate | 1253 | 14 | 218 | 367 | 548 | 55 | 47 | 4 |
| < College | 895 | 3 | 126 | 261 | 432 | 51 | 19 | 3 |
| College Graduate | 650 445 | 12 | 78 | 171 | 321 | 57 | 9 | 2 |
| Post Graduate | 445 | 5 | 50 | 109 | 219 | 39 | 20 | 3 |
| Census Region 1048 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 1048 | 84 | 119 | 216 | 454 | 81 | 87 | 7 4 |
| Midwest | 1036 | 88 147 | 108 | 229 | 408 | 78 97 | 182 | 13 |
| South West | 1601 978 | 147 85 | 229 110 | 376 212 | 557 408 | 97 75 | 182 | 13 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 3156 | 257 | 407 | 697 | 1217 | 232 | 320 | 26 |
| Weekend | 1507 | 147 | 159 | 336 | 610 | 99 | 145 | 11 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 1264 | 121 | 157 | 273 | 472 | 101 | 129 | 11 |
| Spring | 1181 | 122 | 135 | 259 | 464 | 82 | 113 | 6 |
| Summer | 1275 | 102 59 | 163 | 280 | 484 | 88 | 142 | 16 |
| Fall | 943 | 59 | 111 | 221 | 407 | 60 | 81 | 4 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4287 | 371 | 522 | 951 | 1700 | 303 | 421 | 19 |
| Yes | 341 | 32 | 42 | 79 | 118 | 26 | 43 | 17 |
| DK | 35 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4500 | 403 | 555 | 993 | 1759 | 321 | 451 | 18 |
| Yes | 125 | * | 8 | 37 | 58 | 7 | 13 | 2 |
| DK | 38 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 17 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4424 | 397 | 549 | 979 | 1724 | 315 | 441 | 19 |
| Yes | 203 36 | 7 | 15 | 51 3 | 92 11 | 14 | 23 | 17 |
| Note: * Signifies missing data; "DK" = respondent answered don't know; N= sample size; Refused = respondent refused to answer. <br> Source: Tsang And Klepeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Minutes/Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | *-* | 0-0 | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 70-80 | 80-90 | 90-100 | 110-120 | 121 |
| Overall | 700 | 41 | 348 | 42 | 34 | 57 | 4 | 12 | 66 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 27 | 56 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 352 | 18 | 189 | 20 | 13 | 25 | * | 7 | 32 | * | 7 | 1 | 10 | 30 |
| Female | 347 | 23 | 158 | 22 | 21 | 32 | 4 | 5 | 34 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 26 |
| Refusedused | 1 |  | 1 | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * $1-4$ | 216 | 13 | ${ }_{115}^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | 9 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 1 | * | * | 7 | 16 |
| 5-11 | 200 | 7 | 96 | 11 | 12 | 14 | * | 5 | 25 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 20 |
| 12-17 | 41 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 4 | * | * | 3 | * | * | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 18-64 | 237 | 18 | 112 | 15 | 10 | 24 | 2 | 4 | 23 | * | 2 | * | 11 | 16 |
| > 64 | 3 | 1 | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 568 | 34 | 274 | 37 | 30 | 49 | 2 | 9 | 57 | , | 8 | 2 | 21 | 44 |
| Black | 68 | 4 | 42 | 5 | 3 | 2 | * | 1 | 4 | * | * |  | 3 | 4 |
| Asian | 5 | * | 2 9 | * | * | * | 2 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Hispanic | 41 | * | 19 | * | 1 | 5 | * | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | * | 3 | 4 |
| Refused | 2 |  | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 619 | 36 | 309 | 41 | 29 | 49 | 4 | 10 | 59 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 23 | 49 |
| Yes | 77 | 5 | 36 | 1 | 4 | 8 | * | 2 | 7 | * | 2 | * | 4 | 7 |
| DK | 3 | * | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 461 | 22 | 234 | 27 | 24 | 33 | 2 | 8 | 43 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 16 | 41 |
| Full Time | 149 | 9 | 73 | 7 | 7 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 17 | * | 2 | * | 6 | 8 |
| Part Time | 29 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | 4 | * | * | , | 2 | 3 |
| Not Employed | 60 | 7 | 31 | 4 | 2 | 6 | * | * | 2 | * | * | * | 3 | 4 |
| Refused | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 461 | 22 | 234 | 27 | 24 | 33 | 2 | 8 | 43 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 16 | 41 |
| $<$ High School | 22 | 5 | 9 | * | * | 3 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | 2 | 2 |
| High School Graduate | 73 | 4 | 39 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 1 | * | 6 | * | * | * | 2 | 7 |
| < College | 66 | 2 | 34 | 6 | 2 | 6 | * | 2 | 6 | * | * | * | 4 | 4 |
| College Graduate | 54 | 4 | 26 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 7 | * | * | * | * | 2 |
| Post Graduate | 24 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | * | * | 3 | * | 1 | * | 3 | * |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast Midwest | 124 | $8$ | 60 69 | $8$ | $5$ | 7 14 | * | 4 | 16 | * | 1 | * | 6 3 | 9 |
| South | 273 | 17 | 133 | 18 | 12 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 30 | , | 3 | 2 | 6 | 21 |
| West | 175 | 10 | 86 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 3 | * | 12 | 19 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 445 | 35 | 216 | 27 | 22 | 40 | 3 | 10 | 37 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 28 |
| Weekend | 255 | 6 | 132 | 15 | 12 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 29 | * | 3 | * | 10 | 28 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 107 | 10 | 44 | 9 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 1 | * | 4 | 9 |
| Spring | 240 | 8 | 113 | 21 | 14 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 25 | * | 2 | * | 12 | 19 |
| Summer | 262 | 12 | 146 | 5 | 9 | 20 | 2 | 5 | 25 | * | 5 | 2 | 9 | 22 |
| Fall | 91 | 11 | 45 | 7 | 5 | 4 | * | 2 | 8 | * | 1 | * | 2 | 6 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 638 | 38 | 319 | 39 | 34 | 51 | 4 | 10 | 57 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 22 | 51 |
| Yes | 61 | 3 | 28 | 3 | * | 6 | * | 2 | 9 | * | * | * | 5 | 5 |
| DK | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 699 | 40 | 348 | 42 | 34 | 57 | 4 | 12 | 66 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 27 | 56 |
| DK | 1 | 1 |  | * |  |  | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
| Bronchitis/Emphyseme |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Yes | 679 21 | $41$ | $\begin{gathered} 339 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $41$ | $34$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{*}{4}$ | 12 | $62$ | * | * | 2 | 26 | 53 |
| Note: "*" = Signifies missing data. "DK" = Don't know. Refused = refused to answer. $\mathrm{N}=$ Doer sample size in specified range of number of minutes spent. A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 324 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Race | White | 534 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Some Others | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Hispanic | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 583 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 105 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37.5 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 249 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 52.5 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 105 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronch/emphys | No | 638 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronch/emphys | Yes | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| NOTE: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 15-59. Number of Minutes Spent Playing in Outdoors on Sand, Gravel, Dirt, or GrassWhen Fill Dirt Was Present by the Number of Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minutes/Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total N | *_* | 0-0 | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 70-80 | 80-90 | 110-120 | 121 |
| Overall | 700 | 53 | 380 | 51 | 29 | 48 | 1 | 6 | 60 | 7 | 1 | 21 | 43 |
| Gender Male Female Refused | $\begin{gathered} 352 \\ 347 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 27 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ 196 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 22 29 $*$ | 18 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & \stackrel{*}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 36 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | $\stackrel{16}{5}$ | 21 22 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age (years) } \\ 1-4 \\ 1-11 \\ 12-117 \\ 18-64 \\ >64 \end{gathered}$ | 3 216 200 41 237 3 | $\begin{array}{r} * \\ 11 \\ 15 \\ 3 \\ 23 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 118 \\ 103 \\ 13 \\ 138 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 14 \\ & 14 \\ & 3 \\ & 19 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 10 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 13 \\ & 15 \\ & 7 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 4 \\ & 1 \\ & * \\ & 1 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 18 \\ 17 \\ 4 \\ 20 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $*$ <br> 4 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 7 \\ & 9 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 16 \\ & 17 \\ & * \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Race <br> White Black Some Others Hispanic | 568 68 5 16 41 2 | 40 8 $*$ $*$ 5 $*$ | 317 33 2 10 17 1 | 40 3 3 1 5 3 | 21 2 3 2 4 4 | 38 <br> 6 <br> 2 <br> 1 <br>  <br> 1 <br> 1 | $*$ $*$ $*$ ${ }_{*}^{*}$ $*$ | 5 1 $*$ $*$ $*$ | 48 7 $*$ 1 4 $*$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 1 \\ * \\ * \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \% $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 3 \\ & \stackrel{3}{*} \\ & * \\ & 3 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 38 2 1 1 2 2 |
| Hispanic <br> Yo <br> DK <br> Refused | 619 77 3 1 | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ 8 \\ * \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 345 \\ 32 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 9 \\ & { }_{3}^{*} \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 21 8 $*$ $*$ | 44 3 $*$ 1 | + $*$ $*$ | 6 $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 6 \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 5 2 $*$ $*$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | 17 4 $*$ | 38 ${ }_{*}$ $*$ |
| Employment <br> Full Time Part Time Not Employed Refused | 461 149 29 60 1 | 29 11 4 8 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \\ & 91 \\ & 17 \\ & 32 \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 8 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ 8 \\ * \\ * \\ 1 \\ * \end{gathered}$ | 35 8 2 3 $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | 5 1 $*$ $*$ $*$ | 40 12 2 6 6 | 6 1 $*$ $*$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | 18 3 $*$ $*$ $*$ | 35 5 1 2 $*$ |
| Education <br> <High School <br> High School Graduate < College Post Graduate | $\begin{aligned} & 461 \\ & 22 \\ & 73 \\ & 66 \\ & 54 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240 \\ 9 \\ 44 \\ 38 \\ 35 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & * \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & * \\ & 20 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 35 3 3 3 4 4 | 1 $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & * \\ & * \\ & { }_{2}^{*} \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 2 \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6_{*}^{*} \\ & \frac{1}{*} \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ $*$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ * \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}35 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Census Region Northeast Midwest South West | 124 128 273 175 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 12 \\ & 23 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 77 \\ 183 \\ \hline 150 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 6 \\ & 17 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 3 7 12 7 | 5 10 20 13 | $*$ $*$ $*$ 1 | $*$ 1 3 2 | 18 7 17 18 | 1 2 4 4 | $*$ $*$ $*$ 1 | 2 2 11 6 | 6 4 13 20 |
| Day of Week Weekday Weekend | 445 255 | 39 14 | 235 145 | 34 | $\stackrel{21}{8}$ | 34 14 | * | ${ }_{4}^{2}$ | 38 22 | ${ }_{1}^{6}$ | ${ }_{*}^{1}$ | 10 | 24 19 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Season } \\ \text { Winter } \\ \text { Spring } \\ \text { Summer } \\ \text { Fall } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107 \\ & 240 \\ & 262 \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 10 \\ & 17 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 51 134 143 52 | 6 17 19 9 | 6 10 12 12 | 5 20 19 4 | $*$ ${ }_{*}^{*}$ $*$ | 2 2 1 1 | 7 21 25 7 | 2 2 2 1 | $*$ $*$ $*$ | 2 10 8 1 | 12 13 15 3 |
|  | 638 61 1 | $\begin{array}{r}48 \\ 5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 354 \\ 25 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 47 4 $*$ | 25 4 4 | 41 7 $*$ | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1 \\ & \cdots \end{aligned}$ | 50 10 $*$ | $\stackrel{7}{*}$ | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | $$ | 40 3 $*$ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Anngina } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { DK } \end{gathered}\right.$ | 699 1 | 53 | 380 | $5_{*}^{1}$ | ${ }_{3}^{29}$ | 48 | $\frac{1}{*}$ | ${ }_{*}$ | ${ }_{*}^{60}$ | ${ }_{1}^{6}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | $\stackrel{21}{*}$ | ${ }_{*}^{43}$ |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema $\stackrel{\mathrm{No}}{\mathrm{Y} \text { ( }}$ | $\begin{array}{r}679 \\ 21 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 52 <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} 368 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 51 | 28 1 | 46 <br> 2 | $\frac{1}{*}$ | 5 1 | $\begin{array}{r}57 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7 | $\frac{1}{*}$ | $2{ }_{*}$ | 42 |

Note: "*" Signifies missing data. "DK"k = Respondents answered don't know. Refused = Respondents refused to answer. N = Doer sample size in specified range of number of minutes spent. A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | entil |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 647 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 100 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 326 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Race | White | 528 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 74 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Some Others | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Hispanic | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 574 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 88 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 60 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 88 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 105 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 245 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 110 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 646 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 100 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 627 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37.5 | 60 | 90.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

NOTE: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996

|  | Hours/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N |  | 0-0 | 0-24 | 24-48 | 48-72 | 72-96 | $\begin{aligned} & 96- \\ & 120 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120- \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144- \\ & 168 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168- \\ & 192 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 192- \\ & 216 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 216- \\ & 240 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 240- \\ & 264 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 264- \\ & 288 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 288- \\ & 312 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 312- \\ & 336 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Overall | 4663 | 91 | 2928 | 1312 | 145 | 81 | 28 | 23 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male Female | 2163 | 38 53 | 1309 | 628 683 | 77 68 | 41 40 | 16 | 9 14 | * | 8 | 4 | 10 | * | 2 | 1 | * | 11 |
| Female Refused | 2498 | 53 | 1618 | ${ }_{1} 18$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 263 | 7 | 189 | 55 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 5-11 | 348 | 7 | 225 | 100 | 9 | 4 |  |  | * | , | 1 | * | * | * | 1 | * | 1 |
| $12-17$ $18-64$ | 326 2972 | 5 | 236 | 75 900 | 97 | 1 52 | * 16 | 16 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 8 | * | 3 | * | * | * 13 |
| > 64 | 670 | 24 | 414 | 165 | 29 | 19 | 8 | 3 | * | 2 | 2 | 3 | * | * | * | 1 | * |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3774 | 59 | 2303 | 1128 | 127 | 69 | 22 | 21 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Black Asian | 463 | 9 | 351 50 | 77 25 | 9 | 8 | 3 | * | * | 2 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 2 |
| Some Others | 96 | 2 | 64 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 1 | * | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic | 193 | 6 | 126 | 50 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 2 |
| Refused | 60 | 14 | 34 | 9 | 1 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4244 | 65 | 2669 | 1206 | 135 | 73 | 25 | 20 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| YK | 347 26 | 11 | 18 | 94 5 | * | 6 1 | 3 | 3 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 2 |
| Refused | 46 | 14 | 23 | 7 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Time | 296 | 19 | 638 1235 | 230 600 | 20 68 | 8 | ${ }_{12}$ | 3 9 | * | 1 | 1 | 10 | * | * | * | * | 11 |
| Part Time | 379 | 4 | 234 | 120 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 4 | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Not Employed | 1309 | 39 | 808 | 354 | 48 | 35 | 12 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education | 1021 | 34 | 699 | 246 | 22 | 8 | 3 | 3 | * | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | * | 1 | * | 1 |
| < High School | 399 | 18 | 263 | 86 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 4 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | 2 |
| High School | 1253 | 25 | 770 | 355 | 41 | 22 | 9 | 7 | * | 5 | 2 | 8 | 4 | * | * | 1 | 4 |
| Graduate | 895 | 11 | 545 | 265 | 33 | 18 | 6 | 3 | * | 1 | * | 2 | 4 | 3 | * | * | 4 |
| < College ${ }^{\text {College Graduate }}$ | 650 445 | 1 | 406 | 200 160 | 19 | 12 | 3 | 5 1 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| College Graduate <br> Post Graduate |  | 2 | 245 | 160 | 19 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | * | * |  | * | * | 1 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 1048 | 17 | 714 | 259 | 24 | 12 | 4 | 8 | * | 3 | * | 2 | 1 | * | * | * | 3 |
| Midwest | 1036 | 23 | 687 989 | 273 | 19 | 18 | 11 | 3 | 1 | * | 4 | 3 | * | 3 | * | * | 2 |
| West | 978 | 16 | 538 | 334 | 38 | 25 | 8 | 5 | * | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | * | * | * | 5 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 3156 | 62 | 1982 | 890 | 96 | 54 | 18 | 15 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| Weekend | 1507 | 29 | 946 | 422 | 49 | 27 | 10 | 8 | * | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | * | 3 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 1264 | 9 | 1038 | 171 | 20 |  | 5 |  | * |  |  |  |  |  | * | 1 | 2 |
| Spring | 11275 | 29 | 614 690 | 434 | 50 | 20 | 8 12 | 7 9 | * | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | * | * | 3 |
| Sall | 1275 | 14 | 690 586 | 286 | 56 19 | 33 19 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | * | 1 | * | * | 4 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4287 | 70 | 2697 | 1206 | 135 | 77 | 27 | 23 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| Yes | 341 | 6 | 216 | 101 | 10 | 4 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| DK | 35 | 15 | 15 | 5 |  |  |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * |  |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4500 | 74 | 2825 | 1277 | 143 | 77 | 28 | 21 | * | 10 | * | 12 | 8 | * | * | * | 14 |
| Yes DK | 125 | 13 | 86 17 | 29 6 | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4424 | 72 | 2766 | 1265 | 140 | 77 | 27 | 22 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| Yes | 203 36 | 5 14 | 146 | 43 | * | 2 | 1 | * | * | * | * | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | * | * | * | * | * |
| Note: * Signifies missing data. $\mathrm{DK}=$ respondents answered don't know. Refused = respondents refused to answer. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size in specified range of number of minutes spent. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 4572 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 40 | 88 | 160 | 320 |
| Gender | Male | 2125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 50 | 150 | 230 | 320 |
| Gender | Female | 2445 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 320 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 150 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 60 | 320 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 200 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 2935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 40 | 90 | 200 | 320 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 646 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 160 | 300 |
| Race | White | 3715 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 40 | 88 | 160 | 320 |
| Race | Black | 454 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 60 | 160 | 320 |
| Race | Asian | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 6 | 15 | 24 | 40 | 40 |
| Race | Some Others | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 60 | 150 | 200 | 200 |
| Race | Hispanic | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 25 | 90 | 320 | 320 |
| Hispanic | No | 4179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 40 | 80 | 180 | 320 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 32 | 90 | 120 | 320 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 45 | 144 | 240 | 320 |
| Employment | Part Time | 375 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 32 | 90 | 120 | 320 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 1270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 45 | 64 | 100 | 320 |
| Education | < High School | 381 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 60 | 120 | 160 | 320 |
| Education | High School Grad | 1228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 | 20 | 50 | 120 | 200 | 320 |
| Education | < College | 884 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 40 | 90 | 240 | 320 |
| Education | College Grad. | 649 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 40 | 70 | 100 | 320 |
| Education | Post Grad. | 443 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 61 | 90 | 320 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1031 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 120 | 320 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 320 |
| Census Region | South | 1566 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 40 | 90 | 180 | 320 |
| Census Region | West | 962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 50 | 90 | 200 | 320 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 3094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 40 | 80 | 160 | 320 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 1478 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 150 | 320 |
| Season | Winter | 1255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 50 | 90 | 320 |
| Season | Spring | 1152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 110 | 200 | 320 |
| Season | Summer | 1236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 50 | 96 | 160 | 320 |
| Season | Fall | 929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 88 | 180 | 320 |
| Asthma | No | 4217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 160 | 320 |
| Asthma | Yes | 335 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 30 | 60 | 80 | 320 |
| Angina | No | 4426 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 40 | 88 | 160 | 320 |
| Angina | Yes | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 24 | 60 | 110 | 120 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 4352 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 40 | 88 | 180 | 320 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 24 | 60 | 80 | 100 |

Note: * Signifies missing data. DK = respondents answered don't know. Refused = respondents refused to answer. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Table 15-64. Number of Minutes Spent Playing on Grass (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 657 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 327 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 329 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 3 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 532 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 58 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Some Others | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Hispanic | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 581 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 35 | 100 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 120.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.5 | 46.5 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.5 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 412 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 245 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 35 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.5 | 60 | 120.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 656 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 636 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

NOTE: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996

|  | Times/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Overall | 653 | 147 | 94 | 73 | 47 | 42 | 26 | 11 | 26 | 2 | 38 | 3 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 27 | 2 |
| Gender Male Female Refused | 300 352 1 | 62 85 | 47 47 $*$ | 37 36 $*$ | 20 27 $*$ | 16 26 | 17 9 $*$ | 5 6 $*$ | ${ }_{\text {9 }}{ }^{17}$ | 2 $*$ | 16 2 $*$ | 2 $*$ | 13 14 | 1 $*$ $*$ | $*$ 1 1 | 16 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 1-4 | 63 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 1 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | * | 4 | * | 2 | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | * | 2 | * |
| 12-17 | 84 | 16 21 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 8 8 | 4 | ${ }_{2}$ | 4 3 1 | 1 | 8 | * | 15 | * | * | 12 | * |
| $18-64$ $>64$ | 360 38 | 86 11 | 48 | 50 1 | 27 3 | $\stackrel{22}{2}$ | ${ }_{2}^{11}$ | 5 1 | 1 | * | ${ }_{1}^{18}$ | * | 15 | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | * | 10 | * |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 555 30 | 126 |  |  | * |  | $\underset{*}{25}$ | ${ }_{*} 10$ |  | * | 36 |  | 23 | ${ }_{*}$ | ${ }_{*}$ | 21 | 1 |
| ${ }_{\text {Asian }}$ | 13 | 3 | 2 | 2 | * | 1 | * | 1 | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * |
| Some Others | 12 | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | * | 2 | 2 | 6 | * | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | 4 | * |
| Hispanic | 35 8 | 5 | 8 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 591 | 135 | 81 | 68 | 44 | 35 | 25 | 10 | 25 | * | 36 | * | 24 | 1 | 2 | 24 | 2 |
| Yes | 55 | * ${ }^{*}$ | 11 | * | 2 1 | 6 1 | * | * | * | * | ${ }_{*}$ | * | * | ${ }_{*}^{1}$ | * | $\stackrel{3}{*}$ | * |
| Refused | 5 | 2 | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 243 | 47 | 41 | 21 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 5 | 10 | * | 18 | * | 8 | 1 | 1 | 15 | * |
| Full Time | 240 | 56 13 | 38 | 38 | 15 | ${ }_{8}^{13}$ | * ${ }_{*}$ | 3 1 | 8 1 | * | 10 | 1 | 8 2 | * | * | 6 1 | * |
| Not Employed | 122 | 30 | 12 | ${ }_{*}^{10}$ | 12 | ${ }_{*}$ | 3 | * | 7 $*$ | * | ${ }_{*}^{6}$ | * | ${ }_{\text {9 }}$ | * | * | * | * |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 257 | 51 | 43 | 21 | ${ }_{*}^{18}$ | 17 | 12 | 5 | 11 | ${ }_{*}$ | 19 | * | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | * | * | 15 | 2 |
| <tigh School ${ }^{\text {High School Graduate }}$ | 116 | 28 | 15 | ${ }^{3} 16$ | $\stackrel{*}{11}$ | 17 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | * | $\stackrel{*}{5}$ | 1 | $\stackrel{*}{5}$ | * | * |  | * |
| <College | 104 | 29 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 7 | * | 4 | 1 | 7 | * | * | 3 | * |
| College Graduate | 93 | 22 | 12 | 14 | 10 | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | 3 | * | 2 | * | 5 | * | 6 | * | * | 4 | * |
| Post Graduate | 71 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | * | 5 | * | 1 | 1 | * | 2 | * |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast Midwest | 136 130 | 32 | 15 21 | 10 | ${ }_{8}^{16}$ | 9 6 | 4 7 | 1 | 4 4 | * | 13 | * | 8 4 | 1 | ${ }_{*}$ | 4 6 | * |
| South | 235 | 46 | 36 | 29 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 8 | * | * | 9 | 2 |
| West | 152 | 34 | 22 | 17 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 8 | * | 6 | * | 7 | * | * | 8 | * |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekend | 208 | 97 50 | 67 27 | 22 | 36 11 | 17 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 1 | 14 | 1 | ${ }_{9}^{18}$ | * | $\underset{*}{2}$ | 21 6 | 1 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 62 174 | 5 | 12 | 5 19 | 3 13 | 1 9 | 2 | 3 | 6 | * | 8 | ${ }_{*}^{1}$ | 3 | * | * | 2 | * |
| ${ }_{\text {Summer }}$ | 363 | 61 | 45 | 41 | 29 | 26 | 15 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 27 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 24 | 1 |
| Fall | 54 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | 3 | * | * | 1 | * |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 590 56 | 132 | 81 11 | ${ }_{5}^{67}$ | 43 | 38 | 25 | 10 | 24 | * | 37 | ${ }_{*}^{3}$ | 25 | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | ${ }_{*}^{2}$ | 22 | $\underset{*}{2}$ |
| DK | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | , | * | , | * | * | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | ${ }_{8}^{63}$ |  | 90 | 73 | * ${ }_{*}$ | 41 | 26 | 10 | 26 | * | 37 | ${ }_{*}^{3}$ | ${ }_{*} 7$ | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | ${ }_{*}^{2}$ | 26 | $\underset{*}{2}$ |
| - DK | 8 | 1 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | $\stackrel{*}{1}$ | * | * | * | * | 1 | * |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 621 26 |  | 91 | 71 |  | 40 | 25 | 10 |  | ${ }_{*}$ | $3{ }_{*}$ | 2 | ${ }_{*}{ }^{*}$ | * | $\underset{*}{2}$ | 25 | * |
| DK | ${ }^{26}$ | 1 1 | 2 | * | 1 | * | * | 1 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
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|  | Times/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 40 | 42 | 45 | 50 | 60 | DK |
| Overall | 2 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Gender Male Female Refused | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | 10 15 | * ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | $*$ $*$ | 4 5 $*$ | * ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | * ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | 10 16 $*$ | 2 $*$ | * $*$ | * | 1 $*$ | * ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | 4 $*$ $*$ |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | 2 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 5-11 | * | 3 | * | 1 | 2 | * | * | * | 5 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | * | * |
| 12-17 $18-64$ | * | 4 | * | * | ${ }^{*}$ | 1 | * | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | 1 |
| $\xrightarrow{18-64}$ | * 1 | 15 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 | ${ }_{*}^{2}$ | * | $\stackrel{2}{*}$ | 1 | * | * | ${ }^{*}$ | 3 1 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whack | * | 19 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19 | ${ }_{*}$ | * | 2 | $\underset{*}{2}$ | * | * | * | * |
| Asian | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Some Others | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | * | * |
| Hispanic | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 3 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 9 |  | 1 | 1 | 20 | 2 | * | 2 | 2 | * | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Yes | * | ${ }_{*}$ |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * |
| Refused | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Employment 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Time | * | 8 | * | * | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | * | 1 | * | 10 | * | * | 2 | 1 | 1 | * | * | 1 |
| Part Time | * | ${ }^{*}$ | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| Not Employed Refused | * | 7 1 | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < High School | * | 11 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | ${ }_{*}$ |
| High School Graduate | * | 6 3 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| College Graduate | * | 2 | * | * | 2 | * | * | * | 3 | 2 | * | 2 | 1 | * | * | * | 1 |
| Post Graduate | 1 | 2 | * | * | * | * | 1 | * | 5 | * | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | * | 7 | * | * | 2 | 1 | * | * | 2 | 1 | * | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | 1 |
| Midwest | * | 4 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| South | * | 7 | * | * | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | * | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | ${ }_{11}^{9}$ | * | ${ }^{*}$ | * | * | ${ }^{*}$ | * | 1 | 4 |
| Day of Week 18 1 18 * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday Weekend | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | 18 | ${ }_{*}$ | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | 7 2 | 1 | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | * | 19 | * | * | 1 | * | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | * | * | $\stackrel{4}{1}$ |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | * | 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * |
| ${ }_{\text {Summer }}$ | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | * | 1 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 2 | * | 1 | * | 1 | 3 |
| Fall |  | 4 | * | * |  |  | * | * | 2 |  |  |  | * | * | * | * |  |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | * | 21 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 1 | ${ }_{*}$ | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | 23 | $\underset{*}{2}$ | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| DK | * | 1 | * | , | * | * | , | , | 1 | , | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{No} \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{*}^{2}$ | ${ }_{*}{ }_{*}$ | ${ }_{*}$ | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | * | * | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | * | ${ }_{*}^{26}$ | $\underset{*}{2}$ | 1 | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | 1 | 1 | * | * | ${ }_{*}$ |
| DK | * | 1 | + | , | * | * | , | * | * | , | * | * | * | * | * | * | , |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema                  <br> No 2 22 1 1 9 2 1 1 23 2 1 2 2 1 1 2 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DK | * | 1 | + | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |


|  | Minutes/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | *-* | 0-10 | 10-20 | 20-30 | 30-40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 60-70 | 70-80 | 80-90 | $\begin{aligned} & 90- \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110- \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150- \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180- \\ & 180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181- \\ & 181 \end{aligned}$ |
| Overall | 653 | 13 | 62 | 75 | 120 | 20 | 39 | 131 | 8 | 2 | 31 | 2 | 68 | 10 | 32 | 40 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 300 | 5 | 31 | 38 | 60 | 6 | 17 | 55 | 3 | * | 18 | 1 | 28 | 6 | 17 | 15 |
| Female | 352 | 7 | 31 | 37 | 60 | 14 | 22 | 76 | * | * | 13 | * | * | 4 | 15 | 25 |
| Refused | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 63 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 8 | * | * | 2 | * | 7 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 5-11 | 100 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 25 | * | * | 7 | * | 16 | 2 | 11 | 8 |
| 12-17 | 84 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 15 | * | 1 | 8 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| 18-64 | 360 | 3 | 45 | 50 | 75 | 8 | 22 | 74 | 8 | * | 13 | 1 | 26 | 3 | 12 | 20 |
| > 64 | 38 | * | 4 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 9 | * | 1 | 1 | * | 3 | * | * | 1 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 555 | 7 | 53 | 67 | 105 | 18 | 36 | 109 | 8 | 2 | 24 | 2 | 59 | 9 | 26 | 30 |
| Black | 30 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  | * | 8 | * | * | 5 | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Asian | 13 | * | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | * | 4 | * | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | 1 |
| Some Others | 12 | * | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | * | 3 | * | * | * | * | 2 | * | 1 | 2 |
| Hispanic | 35 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 | * | * | 1 | * | 4 | * | 4 | 2 |
| Refused | 8 | 2 | 1 | * | 3 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | * |  |  |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 591 | 11 | 57 | 67 | 108 | 19 | 35 | 120 | 8 | 2 | 29 | 2 | 62 | 9 | 28 | 34 |
| Yes | 55 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 10 | * | * | 2 | * | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| DK | ${ }_{5}^{2}$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Refused | 5 | 1 | * | * | 2 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | * | * |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 243 | 9 | 11 | 20 | 34 | 8 | 13 | 48 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 37 | 7 | 19 | 19 |
| Full Time | 240 | 3 | 31 | 29 | 51 | 4 | 14 | 51 | 3 | * | 8 | * | 21 | 3 | 10 | 12 |
| Part Time | 43 | * | 3 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | * | 5 | * | 2 | * | * | 4 |
| Not Employed Refused | 122 | * | 16 | ${ }_{*} 6$ | 21 | * | 8 1 | 30 | * | * | * | * | 7 | * | 3 | 5 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 257 | 9 | 13 | 22 | 35 | 8 | 15 | 50 | * | 1 | 17 | 1 | 39 | 7 | 20 | 20 |
| $<$ High School | 16 | * | 4 | 2 | 3 | * | * | 3 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | 2 |
| High School Graduate | 112 | 1 | 12 | 10 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11 | * | 5 | 10 |
| < College | 104 | 2 | 15 | 16 | 27 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 3 | * | 4 | * | 6 | 1 | 2 | ${ }_{5}$ |
| College Graduate | 93 | * | ${ }_{10}$ | 15 | 18 | 2 | 6 | 17 | 1 | * | 1 | * | 10 | * | 4 | 5 |
| Post Graduate | 71 | * | 10 | 10 | 18 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 2 | * | 3 | * | 2 | * | 1 | 1 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 136 | 2 | 12 | 17 | 28 | 5 | 9 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 4 | * | 13 | 3 | 9 | 10 |
| Midwest | 130 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 27 | 4 | 8 | 24 | 1 | * | 6 | * | 17 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| South | 235 | 8 | 20 | 19 | 37 | 6 | 15 | 56 | * | * | 13 | 1 | 26 | 4 | 12 | 18 |
| West | 152 | * | 20 | 22 | 28 | 5 | 7 | 31 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 445 | 11 | 45 | 52 | 82 | 14 | 23 | 87 | 7 | 2 | 19 | * | 46 | 8 | 22 | 27 |
| Weekend | 208 | 2 | 17 | 23 | 38 | 6 | 16 | 44 | 1 | * | 12 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 10 | 13 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 62 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 14 | * | * | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Spring | 174 | 3 | 21 | 24 | 37 | 7 | 12 | 32 | * | 2 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 75 |
| Summer | 363 | 7 | 29 | 36 | 64 | 6 | 20 | 77 | 6 | * | 20 | * | 44 | 6 | 23 | 25 |
| Fall | 54 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 2 | * | 2 | * | 4 | * | 2 | 5 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 590 | 12 | 52 | 71 | 114 | 19 | 33 | 117 | 8 | 2 | 26 | 2 | 64 | 9 | 26 | 35 |
| Yes | 56 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 4 | * | 5 | 14 | * | * | 5 | * | 3 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| DK | 7 | * | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | * | * | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 639 | 13 | 60 | 73 | 118 | 19 | 37 | 130 | 8 | 2 | 30 | 2 | 66 | 10 | 32 | 39 |
| Yes | 8 | * | * | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | * | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * |
| DK | 6 | * | 2 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | * | * | 1 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 621 | 13 | 56 | 72 | 115 | 19 | 37 | 123 | 7 | 2 | 31 | 2 | 67 | 10 | 30 | 37 |
| Yes | 26 | * | 5 | 3 | 4 | * | 1 | 7 | * | * | * | * | 1 | * | * | 3 |
| DK | 6 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | 1 | * |  |  |
| Note: * Signifies missing data. $\mathrm{DK}=$ respondents answered don't know. Ref = respondents refused to answer. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size in specified range of number of minutes spent. Values of 120,150 , and 180 for number of minutes signify that 2 hours, 2.5 hours, and 3 hours, respectively, were spent. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 640 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Gender | Male | 295 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Gender | Female | 345 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 60 | 3 | 3 | 7.5 | 15 | 20 | 42.5 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 95 | 2 | 3 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 83 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 357 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 38 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Race | White | 548 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Race | Black | 27 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 150 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Race | Asian | 13 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Race | Some Others | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 25 | 60 | 150 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Race | Hispanic | 34 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Hispanic | No | 580 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 54 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 52.5 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Employment | Full Time | 237 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 150 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Employment | Part Time | 43 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 90 | 120 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 121 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Education | < High School | 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 12.5 | 30 | 60.5 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 111 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Education | < College | 102 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 |
| Education | College Graduate | 92 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 22.5 | 42.5 | 60.5 | 150 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 71 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 70 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 134 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 127 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 150 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Census Region | South | 227 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Census Region | West | 152 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 61 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 434 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 206 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Season | Winter | 60 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 12.5 | 30 | 52.5 | 90 | 120 | 180.5 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Season | Spring | 171 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Season | Summer | 356 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Season | Fall | 53 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 70 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Asthma | No | 578 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Asthma | Yes | 55 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Angina | No | 626 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Angina | Yes | 8 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 25 | 42.5 | 75 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 608 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 26 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 42.5 | 60 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |

Note: A Value of 181 for number of minutes signifies that more than 180 minutes were spent. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  <br> Category |  | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  |  | 3259 | 475.909 | 179.067 | 3.1367 | 1 | 1440 | 120 | 395 | 500 | 570 | 660 | 740 | 840 | 930 |
| Gender | Male | 1733 | 492.305 | 186.996 | 4.4919 | 1 | 1440 | 120 | 417 | 510 | 595 | 690 | 770 | 890 | 955 |
| Gender | Female | 1526 | 457.288 | 167.74 | 4.294 | 2 | 1440 | 120 | 390 | 485 | 543 | 620 | 690 | 785 | 850 |
| Age (years) | * | 80 | 472.375 | 183.298 | 20.4933 | 5 | 940 | 117.5 | 377.5 | 482.5 | 560 | 672.5 | 850 | 900 | 940 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 3 | 16.667 | 11.547 | 6.6667 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 10 | 150.4 | 185.796 | 58.754 | 2 | 550 | 2 | 10 | 67.5 | 264 | 447.5 | 550 | 550 | 550 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 38 | 293.158 | 180.681 | 29.3103 | 5 | 840 | 15 | 185 | 269 | 390 | 510 | 675 | 840 | 840 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 2993 | 484.822 | 173.083 | 3.1638 | 1 | 1440 | 140 | 420 | 505 | 570 | 660 | 745 | 840 | 930 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 135 | 366.148 | 208.656 | 17.9582 | 5 | 990 | 30 | 185 | 395 | 500 | 600 | 660 | 840 | 940 |
| Race | White | 2630 | 477.536 | 179.01 | 3.4906 | 1 | 1440 | 120 | 400 | 500 | 570 | 660 | 735 | 845 | 933 |
| Race | Black | 343 | 466.551 | 175.989 | 9.5025 | 5 | 1037 | 105 | 390 | 490 | 550 | 655 | 735 | 880 | 990 |
| Race | Asian | 57 | 464.053 | 177.305 | 23.4846 | 5 | 870 | 45 | 390 | 493 | 553 | 660 | 750 | 780 | 870 |
| Race | Some Others | 56 | 477.411 | 181.661 | 24.2754 | 45 | 855 | 75 | 415 | 510 | 570 | 680 | 765 | 780 | 855 |
| Race | Hispanic | 125 | 465.88 | 185.322 | 16.5757 | 2 | 840 | 95 | 360 | 485 | 580 | 720 | 750 | 825 | 840 |
| Race | Refused | 48 | 492.083 | 191.623 | 27.6584 | 50 | 957 | 120 | 410 | 507.5 | 575 | 810 | 840 | 957 | 957 |
| Hispanic | No | 2980 | 475.393 | 179.214 | 3.2829 | 1 | 1440 | 120 | 395 | 500 | 570 | 660 | 740 | 850 | 940 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 221 | 481.493 | 174.32 | 11.726 | 2 | 1106 | 150 | 405 | 505 | 580 | 670 | 740 | 825 | 840 |
| Hispanic | DK | 12 | 529.583 | 146.226 | 42.2117 | 295 | 757 | 295 | 425 | 554 | 610 | 710 | 757 | 757 | 757 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 46 | 468.522 | 201.347 | 29.687 | 10 | 860 | 115 | 350 | 497.5 | 585 | 780 | 818 | 860 | 860 |
| Employment | * | 47 | 257.915 | 202.833 | 29.5863 | 2 | 840 | 5 | 65 | 245 | 390 | 540 | 625 | 840 | 840 |
| Employment | Full Time | 2679 | 504.35 | 164.818 | 3.1843 | 1 | 1440 | 180 | 450 | 510 | 582 | 675 | 750 | 855 | 950 |
| Employment | Part Time | 395 | 364.587 | 159.361 | 8.0183 | 5 | 945 | 80 | 250 | 365 | 480 | 540 | 600 | 675 | 795 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 112 | 270.946 | 216.024 | 20.4123 | 4 | 990 | 9 | 82.5 | 245 | 377.5 | 600 | 675 | 795 | 870 |
| Employment | Refused | 26 | 513.577 | 155.456 | 30.4875 | 170 | 840 | 225 | 440 | 510 | 570 | 778 | 790 | 840 | 840 |
| Education | * | 108 | 343.037 | 211.879 | 20.3881 | 2 | 860 | 10 | 176.5 | 342.5 | 510 | 610 | 675 | 840 | 840 |
| Education | < High School | 217 | 473.502 | 216.729 | 14.7125 | 4 | 1440 | 85 | 360 | 485 | 568 | 710 | 795 | 940 | 1080 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1045 | 482.03 | 180.638 | 5.5879 | 1 | 1440 | 120 | 405 | 500 | 565 | 670 | 765 | 890 | 979 |
| Education | < College | 795 | 475.585 | 174.025 | 6.172 | 2 | 1440 | 140 | 409 | 495 | 563 | 648 | 750 | 825 | 905 |
| Education | College Graduate | 627 | 484.526 | 159.816 | 6.3824 | 5 | 1005 | 120 | 424 | 510 | 570 | 645 | 720 | 765 | 815 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 467 | 483.041 | 169.574 | 7.847 | 1 | 945 | 125 | 400 | 510 | 590 | 660 | 730 | 810 | 860 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 721 | 475.964 | 180.84 | 6.7348 | 1 | 1440 | 120 | 405 | 495 | 570 | 669 | 740 | 890 | 950 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 755 | 477.008 | 182.167 | 6.6297 | 2 | 1440 | 120 | 395 | 495 | 570 | 660 | 750 | 825 | 940 |
| Census Region | South | 1142 | 478.231 | 176.739 | 5.23 | 1 | 1440 | 105 | 405 | 505 | 570 | 660 | 735 | 840 | 900 |
| Census Region | West | 641 | 470.415 | 177.801 | 7.0227 | 5 | 1080 | 120 | 390 | 500 | 570 | 657 | 730 | 850 | 880 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 2788 | 487.858 | 166.167 | 3.147 | 1 | 1440 | 155 | 425 | 505 | 570 | 660 | 740 | 840 | 930 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 471 | 405.18 | 229.526 | 10.576 | 2 | 1440 | 30 | 245 | 415 | 555 | 670 | 770 | 870 | 960 |
| Season | Winter | 864 | 475.784 | 172.828 | 5.8797 | 5 | 1440 | 150 | 390 | 495 | 570 | 660 | 735 | 835 | 900 |
| Season | Spring | 791 | 472.972 | 195.425 | 6.9485 | 1 | 1440 | 75 | 390 | 495 | 570 | 670 | 765 | 850 | 915 |
| Season | Summer | 910 | 477.185 | 179.907 | 5.9639 | 1 | 1215 | 120 | 400 | 500 | 565 | 670 | 750 | 890 | 979 |
| Season | Fall | 694 | 477.739 | 165.961 | 6.2998 | 2 | 1005 | 130 | 405 | 510 | 570 | 645 | 720 | 780 | 840 |
| Asthma | No | 3042 | 477.013 | 176.967 | 3.2086 | 1 | 1440 | 120 | 400 | 500 | 570 | 660 | 740 | 840 | 930 |
| Asthma | Yes | 195 | 453.354 | 204.227 | 14.625 | 5 | 1440 | 45 | 345 | 480 | 550 | 668 | 793 | 855 | 979 |
| Asthma | DK | 22 | 523.182 | 216.952 | 46.2542 | 170 | 1215 | 225 | 430 | 500 | 565 | 780 | 860 | 1215 | 1215 |
| Angina | No | 3192 | 475.735 | 178.389 | 3.1574 | 1 | 1440 | 120 | 395 | 500 | 570 | 660 | 740 | 840 | 930 |
| Angina | Yes | 44 | 472.068 | 200.68 | 30.2536 | 10 | 990 | 60 | 386 | 500 | 572.5 | 679 | 730 | 990 | 990 |
| Angina | DK | 23 | 507.391 | 230.296 | 48.02 | 80 | 1215 | 170 | 430 | 500 | 565 | 780 | 860 | 1215 | 1215 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 3120 | 476.547 | 178.194 | 3.1902 | 1 | 1440 | 120 | 400 | 500 | 570 | 660 | 740 | 840 | 930 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 116 | 446.991 | 189.381 | 17.5836 | 5 | 985 | 30 | 367.5 | 480 | 557.5 | 644 | 720 | 800 | 855 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 23 | 535.217 | 226.256 | 47.1777 | 170 | 1215 | 225 | 430 | 500 | 600 | 860 | 875 | 1215 | 1215 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 15-69. Statistics for 24-Hour Cumulative Number of Minutes Spent in Food Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 4278 | 52.35 | 52.877 | 0.8084 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 115 | 150 | 210 | 265 |
| Gender | Male | 1342 | 37.77 | 42.133 | 1.1501 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 13 | 30 | 50 | 80 | 105 | 150 | 210 |
| Gender | Female | 2936 | 59.02 | 55.872 | 1.0311 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 25 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 155 | 224 | 272 |
| Age (years) | * | 94 | 52 | 43.217 | 4.4575 | 5 | 215 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 110 | 150 | 195 | 215 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 24 | 56.46 | 60.37 | 12.3229 | 5 | 240 | 5 | 22.5 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 180 | 240 | 240 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 60 | 25.17 | 29.688 | 3.8327 | 1 | 120 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 30 | 60 | 107 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 131 | 21.7 | 37.69 | 3.293 | 1 | 385 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 70 | 90 | 90 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 3173 | 52.07 | 52.872 | 0.9386 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 145 | 210 | 265 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 796 | 60.5 | 54.669 | 1.9377 | 1 | 525 | 5 | 25 | 45 | 80 | 120 | 150 | 240 | 270 |
| Race | White | 3584 | 51.62 | 53.259 | 0.8896 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 19 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 145 | 210 | 265 |
| Race | Black | 377 | 57.03 | 52.289 | 2.693 | 1 | 390 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 120 | 150 | 210 | 240 |
| Race | Asian | 62 | 54 | 41.822 | 5.3115 | 2 | 210 | 5 | 20 | 50 | 70 | 105 | 130 | 175 | 210 |
| Race | Some Others | 66 | 50.59 | 53.237 | 6.553 | 1 | 295 | 5 | 15 | 33.5 | 70 | 115 | 150 | 210 | 295 |
| Race | Hispanic | 132 | 58.76 | 49.73 | 4.3285 | 2 | 315 | 5 | 23.5 | 52.5 | 79.5 | 110 | 135 | 225 | 285 |
| Race | Refused | 57 | 53.14 | 49.297 | 6.5295 | 2 | 210 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 195 | 210 |
| Hispanic | No | 3960 | 51.84 | 52.603 | 0.8359 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 111 | 145 | 205 | 255 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 254 | 58.99 | 56.694 | 3.5573 | 2 | 420 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 155 | 240 | 315 |
| Hispanic | DK | 20 | 54.95 | 53.2 | 11.8959 | 6 | 240 | 8 | 25 | 45 | 60 | 112.5 | 180 | 240 | 240 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 44 | 58.61 | 53.296 | 8.0346 | 2 | 210 | 5 | 27.5 | 37.5 | 80 | 150 | 180 | 210 | 210 |
| Employment | * | 210 | 27.17 | 40.549 | 2.7981 | 1 | 385 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 180 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1988 | 45.46 | 46.66 | 1.0465 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 180 | 240 |
| Employment | Part Time | 419 | 53.85 | 55.413 | 2.7071 | 2 | 520 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 65 | 105 | 125 | 205 | 255 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 1626 | 63.62 | 57.743 | 1.432 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 29 | 45 | 90 | 125 | 170 | 240 | 275 |
| Employment | Refused | 35 | 53.54 | 66.78 | 11.2879 | 2 | 340 | 2 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 340 | 340 |
| Education | * | 291 | 31.71 | 42.621 | 2.4985 | 1 | 385 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 37 | 75 | 120 | 155 | 195 |
| Education | < High School | 450 | 61.26 | 53.232 | 2.5094 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 120 | 150 | 197 | 225 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1449 | 58.84 | 56.665 | 1.4886 | 1 | 520 | 5 | 22 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 155 | 240 | 310 |
| Education | < College | 954 | 51.99 | 52.238 | 1.6913 | 1 | 525 | 5 | 20 | 34.5 | 65 | 110 | 150 | 210 | 245 |
| Education | College Graduate | 659 | 46.2 | 48.078 | 1.8728 | 1 | 515 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 125 | 180 | 224 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 475 | 46.04 | 48.686 | 2.2339 | 1 | 375 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 135 | 200 | 270 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 953 | 52.3 | 53.178 | 1.7226 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 110 | 140 | 205 | 255 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 956 | 53.23 | 51.814 | 1.6758 | 1 | 520 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 120 | 150 | 210 | 265 |
| Census Region | South | 1452 | 53.35 | 53.471 | 1.4032 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 15.5 | 35 | 70 | 120 | 150 | 195 | 245 |
| Census Region | West | 917 | 49.91 | 52.72 | 1.741 | 1 | 515 | 5 | 15 | 31 | 60 | 105 | 135 | 225 | 265 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 2995 | 50.05 | 49.979 | 0.9132 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 19 | 35 | 60 | 105 | 132 | 180 | 240 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 1283 | 57.72 | 58.762 | 1.6405 | 1 | 420 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 130 | 180 | 240 | 300 |
| Season | Winter | 1174 | 50.62 | 48.626 | 1.4192 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 18 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 135 | 195 | 240 |
| Season | Spring | 1038 | 54.39 | 54.484 | 1.6911 | 1 | 525 | 5 | 20 | 38.5 | 70 | 120 | 150 | 224 | 265 |
| Season | Summer | 1147 | 51.34 | 54.194 | 1.6002 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 110 | 137 | 208 | 300 |
| Season | Fall | 919 | 53.54 | 54.535 | 1.7989 | 1 | 520 | 5 | 20 | 37 | 67 | 120 | 155 | 200 | 265 |
| Asthma | No | 3948 | 52.02 | 53.176 | 0.8463 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 145 | 210 | 265 |
| Asthma | Yes | 300 | 57.14 | 49.443 | 2.8546 | 1 | 272 | 5 | 20.5 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 160 | 199 | 240 |
| Asthma | DK | 30 | 47.63 | 44.812 | 8.1815 | 2 | 195 | 5 | 10 | 32.5 | 60 | 117.5 | 120 | 195 | 195 |
| Angina | No | 4091 | 52.18 | 52.97 | 0.8282 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 115 | 150 | 210 | 265 |
| Angina | Yes | 149 | 56.81 | 48.238 | 3.9518 | 1 | 340 | 5 | 25 | 45 | 80 | 120 | 135 | 180 | 210 |
| Angina | DK | 38 | 53.97 | 60.417 | 9.8009 | 2 | 240 | 2 | 10 | 32.5 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 4024 | 52.01 | 53.092 | 0.837 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 145 | 210 | 265 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 216 | 56.91 | 46.683 | 3.1764 | 3 | 240 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 85 | 120 | 150 | 198 | 210 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 38 | 62.39 | 61.703 | 10.0096 | 2 | 240 | 2 | 20 | 42.5 | 90 | 150 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1143 | 32.9948 | 40.379 | 1.1944 | 1 | 825 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 85 | 120 | 135 |
| Gender | Male | 204 | 27.4951 | 20.398 | 1.4282 | 1 | 180 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 85 |
| Gender | Female | 939 | 34.1896 | 43.44 | 1.4176 | 1 | 825 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 150 |
| Age (years) | * | 24 | 31.0417 | 28.013 | 5.7182 | 10 | 120 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 5 | 41.6 | 48.04 | 21.4839 | 3 | 120 | 3 | 15 | 15 | 55 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 9 | 28.4444 | 21.634 | 7.2113 | 1 | 75 | 1 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 28 | 26.75 | 20.573 | 3.8879 | 2 | 90 | 5 | 12.5 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 65 | 90 | 90 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 808 | 31.3317 | 27.053 | 0.9517 | 1 | 330 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 80 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 269 | 38.8067 | 67.357 | 4.1068 | 1 | 825 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 105 | 130 | 270 |
| Race | White | 976 | 32.9652 | 41.685 | 1.3343 | 1 | 825 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 84 | 120 | 130 |
| Race | Black | 82 | 33.2805 | 28.602 | 3.1585 | 5 | 180 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 65 | 90 | 120 | 180 |
| Race | Asian | 11 | 27.0909 | 22.047 | 6.6476 | 3 | 75 | 3 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Race | Some Others | 17 | 29.7059 | 34.797 | 8.4396 | 5 | 150 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Race | Hispanic | 42 | 35.6429 | 39.899 | 6.1565 | 3 | 255 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 255 | 255 |
| Race | Refused | 15 | 34 | 28.234 | 7.2899 | 5 | 90 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Hispanic | No | 1057 | 32.7351 | 40.353 | 1.2412 | 1 | 825 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 85 | 120 | 130 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 68 | 38.9265 | 44.877 | 5.4422 | 3 | 270 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 255 | 270 |
| Hispanic | DK | 6 | 24.1667 | 9.704 | 3.9616 | 10 | 35 | 10 | 15 | 27.5 | 30 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 12 | 26.6667 | 18.257 | 5.2705 | 5 | 60 | 5 | 12.5 | 25 | 32.5 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Employment | * | 39 | 28.1538 | 25.77 | 4.1265 | 1 | 120 | 2 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 90 | 120 | 120 |
| Employment | Full Time | 432 | 28.4236 | 22.686 | 1.0915 | 2 | 255 | 8 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Employment | Part Time | 134 | 28.903 | 21.322 | 1.842 | 3 | 150 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 95 | 100 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 528 | 38.2254 | 53.763 | 2.3398 | 1 | 825 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 105 | 120 | 250 |
| Employment | Refused | 10 | 28 | 21.884 | 6.9202 | 10 | 60 | 10 | 10 | 17.5 | 55 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Education | * | 59 | 27.2542 | 22.695 | 2.9546 | 1 | 120 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 75 | 90 | 120 |
| Education | < High School | 135 | 41.8593 | 58.603 | 5.0437 | 2 | 570 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 85 | 120 | 180 | 270 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 445 | 33.3483 | 45.827 | 2.1724 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 120 |
| Education | < College | 259 | 33.5907 | 30.026 | 1.8657 | 5 | 255 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 85 | 105 | 150 |
| Education | College Graduate | 142 | 27.7254 | 21.846 | 1.8333 | 1 | 180 | 10 | 15 | 22.5 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 103 | 28.9029 | 34.476 | 3.397 | 3 | 330 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 120 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 295 | 32.6169 | 28.347 | 1.6504 | 3 | 270 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 120 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 252 | 28.4643 | 22.677 | 1.4285 | 1 | 210 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 85 | 120 |
| Census Region | South | 343 | 35.9242 | 52.496 | 2.8345 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 65 | 90 | 120 | 180 |
| Census Region | West | 253 | 33.9763 | 46.539 | 2.9259 | 3 | 570 | 10 | 15 | 27 | 30 | 60 | 75 | 120 | 255 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 782 | 32.1957 | 43.579 | 1.5584 | 1 | 825 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 75 | 120 | 120 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 361 | 34.7258 | 32.371 | 1.7037 | 5 | 270 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 180 |
| Season | Winter | 303 | 33.1188 | 51.809 | 2.9763 | 1 | 825 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 85 | 120 | 120 |
| Season | Spring | 245 | 30.2939 | 26.108 | 1.668 | 2 | 250 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 65 | 105 | 120 |
| Season | Summer | 293 | 33.157 | 29.932 | 1.7487 | 2 | 270 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 135 |
| Season | Fall | 302 | 34.904 | 45.406 | 2.6128 | 1 | 570 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 180 |
| Asthma | No | 1047 | 32.7708 | 40.408 | 1.2488 | 1 | 825 | 6 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 85 | 120 | 120 |
| Asthma | Yes | 91 | 35.956 | 40.996 | 4.2975 | 2 | 255 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 250 | 255 |
| Asthma | DK | 5 | 26 | 20.736 | 9.2736 | 10 | 60 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Angina | No | 1092 | 32.9661 | 40.95 | 1.2392 | 1 | 825 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 85 | 120 | 150 |
| Angina | Yes | 45 | 32.3111 | 22.926 | 3.4175 | 5 | 120 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 120 |
| Angina | DK | 6 | 43.3333 | 41.793 | 17.062 | 10 | 120 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1065 | 31.77 | 28.195 | 0.864 | 1 | 330 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 80 | 120 | 120 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes |  | 0.8592 | 118.417 | 14.0535 | 3 | 825 | 5 | 15 | 29 | 35 | 70 | 105 | 570 | 825 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 7 | 38.1429 | 41.119 | 15.5417 | 2 | 120 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean $24-$ hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1910 | 114.798 | 111.683 | 2.5555 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 30 | 80 | 150 | 255 | 335 | 465 | 525 |
| Gender | Male | 351 | 100.353 | 110.445 | 5.8951 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 310 | 400 | 495 |
| Gender | Female | 1559 | 118.051 | 111.737 | 2.8299 | 1 | 790 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 160 | 255 | 340 | 465 | 540 |
| Age (years) | * | 45 | 136.2 | 114.124 | 17.0127 | 10 | 480 | 10 | 55 | 105 | 180 | 297 | 320 | 480 | 480 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 11 | 74.091 | 69.42 | 20.9308 | 10 | 270 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 90 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 49 | 42.633 | 35.19 | 5.0271 | 1 | 180 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 53 | 90 | 120 | 180 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 67 | 78.746 | 79.357 | 9.695 | 1 | 300 | 5 | 20 | 55 | 105 | 240 | 240 | 285 | 300 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1307 | 115.55 | 111.597 | 3.0868 | 1 | 810 | 15 | 30 | 85 | 150 | 270 | 350 | 435 | 510 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 431 | 125.132 | 118.341 | 5.7003 | 3 | 790 | 10 | 45 | 90 | 170 | 250 | 340 | 540 | 570 |
| Race | White | 1614 | 115.85 | 111.348 | 2.7716 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 35 | 85 | 155 | 255 | 330 | 435 | 540 |
| Race | Black | 139 | 108.712 | 106.826 | 9.0609 | 1 | 490 | 5 | 30 | 80 | 135 | 270 | 358 | 480 | 484 |
| Race | Asian | 32 | 97.656 | 101.091 | 17.8705 | 15 | 425 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 127.5 | 265 | 345 | 425 | 425 |
| Race | Some Others | 26 | 80.5 | 58.059 | 11.3864 | 5 | 210 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 115 | 185 | 190 | 210 | 210 |
| Race | Hispanic | 73 | 99.781 | 110.669 | 12.9528 | 5 | 548 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 210 | 345 | 470 | 548 |
| Race | Refused | 26 | 179.615 | 176.878 | 34.6886 | 10 | 810 | 20 | 30 | 135 | 240 | 390 | 465 | 810 | 810 |
| Hispanic | No | 1740 | 114.153 | 109.99 | 2.6368 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 30 | 80 | 150 | 255 | 330 | 435 | 525 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 134 | 110.134 | 115.754 | 9.9996 | 5 | 658 | 10 | 34 | 60 | 135 | 240 | 360 | 480 | 548 |
| Hispanic | DK | 14 | 136.071 | 131.591 | 35.1691 | 10 | 510 | 10 | 30 | 92.5 | 210 | 240 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 22 | 180.682 | 177.33 | 37.8069 | 10 | 810 | 20 | 45 | 138 | 240 | 340 | 390 | 810 | 810 |
| Employment | * | 128 | 64.453 | 66.811 | 5.9053 | 1 | 300 | 5 | 22.5 | 45 | 77.5 | 180 | 240 | 270 | 285 |
| Employment | Full Time | 673 | 100.944 | 99.87 | 3.8497 | 1 | 655 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 310 | 410 | 480 |
| Employment | Part Time | 195 | 119.415 | 115.568 | 8.276 | 1 | 660 | 15 | 45 | 85 | 175 | 265 | 390 | 480 | 540 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 901 | 129.566 | 118.009 | 3.9314 | 3 | 790 | 15 | 50 | 95 | 180 | 285 | 360 | 480 | 570 |
| Employment | Refused | 13 | 235 | 218.908 | 60.7142 | 10 | 810 | 10 | 120 | 180 | 255 | 450 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Education | * | 161 | 81.379 | 98.129 | 7.7337 | 1 | 810 | 5 | 28 | 45 | 100 | 225 | 265 | 300 | 375 |
| Education | < High School | 234 | 135.731 | 121.618 | 7.9504 | 3 | 715 | 10 | 50 | 115 | 180 | 297 | 390 | 540 | 560 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 665 | 121.899 | 118.814 | 4.6074 | 2 | 790 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 160 | 270 | 360 | 484 | 610 |
| Education | < College | 432 | 108.343 | 100.456 | 4.8332 | 1 | 570 | 10 | 30 | 85 | 149 | 240 | 315 | 420 | 470 |
| Education | College Graduate | 247 | 101.097 | 96.605 | 6.1468 | 1 | 525 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 127 | 240 | 315 | 390 | 465 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 171 | 126.105 | 118.897 | 9.0923 | 5 | 655 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 180 | 280 | 390 | 495 | 540 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 454 | 116.969 | 117.268 | 5.5037 | 2 | 790 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 164 | 240 | 330 | 480 | 655 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 406 | 114.086 | 111.049 | 5.5113 | 1 | 720 | 10 | 30 | 80 | 150 | 240 | 325 | 475 | 495 |
| Census Region | South | 636 | 114.36 | 112.921 | 4.4776 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 30 | 80 | 150 | 270 | 360 | 435 | 525 |
| Census Region | West | 414 | 113.79 | 104.234 | 5.1228 | 5 | 720 | 15 | 40 | 82.5 | 160 | 240 | 330 | 400 | 470 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1287 | 108.319 | 108.542 | 3.0256 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 150 | 240 | 315 | 465 | 540 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 623 | 128.185 | 116.861 | 4.682 | 1 | 810 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 180 | 290 | 370 | 435 | 525 |
| Season | Winter | 464 | 105.554 | 98.348 | 4.5657 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 240 | 285 | 360 | 465 |
| Season | Spring | 445 | 114.202 | 109.757 | 5.203 | 3 | 720 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 165 | 240 | 340 | 465 | 525 |
| Season | Summer | 546 | 109.908 | 113.686 | 4.8653 | 1 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 71 | 135 | 245 | 365 | 465 | 548 |
| Season | Fall | 455 | 130.677 | 122.137 | 5.7259 | 1 | 790 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 180 | 300 | 390 | 480 | 560 |
| Asthma | No | 1764 | 114.32 | 110.119 | 2.6219 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 30 | 82.5 | 150 | 255 | 330 | 450 | 525 |
| Asthma | Yes | 133 | 114.699 | 117.523 | 10.1905 | 5 | 690 | 10 | 33 | 64 | 150 | 270 | 390 | 470 | 480 |
| Asthma | DK | 13 | 180.769 | 214.533 | 59.5007 | 10 | 810 | 10 | 45 | 120 | 240 | 340 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Angina | No | 1826 | 113.702 | 110.563 | 2.5874 | 1 | 790 | 14 | 30 | 80 | 150 | 255 | 330 | 465 | 525 |
| Angina | Yes | 70 | 120.371 | 103.11 | 12.324 | 5 | 394 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 190 | 262.5 | 320 | 370 | 394 |
| Angina | DK | 14 | 230 | 210.868 | 56.3569 | 10 | 810 | 10 | 120 | 210 | 255 | 480 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1791 | 113.894 | 111.025 | 2.6234 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 30 | 80 | 150 | 255 | 340 | 450 | 540 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 100 | 118.11 | 104.363 | 10.4363 | 5 | 480 | 7.5 | 32.5 | 90 | 180 | 262.5 | 297.5 | 467.5 | 475 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 19 | 182.632 | 179.253 | 41.1234 | 5 | 810 | 5 | 50 | 150 | 240 | 340 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 692 | 145.9 | 121.42 | 4.616 | 2 | 720 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 300 | 405 | 510 | 570 |
| Gender | Male | 417 | 160.8 | 131.68 | 6.448 | 10 | 720 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 200 | 345 | 480 | 533 | 600 |
| Gender | Female | 275 | 123.2 | 99.98 | 6.029 | 2 | 635 | 10 | 60 | 90 | 160 | 268 | 330 | 390 | 465 |
| Age (years) | * | 13 | 210.5 | 157.91 | 43.796 | 30 | 600 | 30 | 112 | 140 | 250 | 395 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 4 | 138.3 | 116.84 | 58.421 | 30 | 285 | 30 | 45 | 119 | 231.5 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 12 | 104.6 | 62.921 | 18.164 | 30 | 210 | 30 | 58 | 80 | 165 | 190 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 20 | 142.3 | 96.274 | 21.527 | 30 | 385 | 32.5 | 75 | 127 | 157.5 | 300 | 372.5 | 385 | 385 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 479 | 147.4 | 125.22 | 5.721 | 2 | 690 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 310 | 435 | 520 | 570 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 164 | 139.9 | 112.13 | 8.756 | 2 | 720 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 172.5 | 300 | 350 | 480 | 510 |
| Race | White | 621 | 146.4 | 122.18 | 4.903 | 2 | 720 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 305 | 410 | 510 | 560 |
| Race | Black | 30 | 134.2 | 99.049 | 18.084 | 2 | 405 | 10 | 60 | 117.5 | 190 | 262.5 | 330 | 405 | 405 |
| Race | Asian | 6 | 65 | 27.568 | 11.255 | 30 | 90 | 30 | 30 | 77.5 | 85 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Race | Some Others | 12 | 163.5 | 97.091 | 28.028 | 39 | 380 | 39 | 90 | 157.5 | 187.5 | 290 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
| Race | Hispanic | 14 | 128.2 | 82.593 | 22.074 | 30 | 300 | 30 | 65 | 105 | 180 | 255 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Race | Refused | 9 | 206.7 | 213.95 | 71.317 | 30 | 600 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Hispanic | No | 652 | 145.6 | 121.19 | 4.746 | 2 | 720 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 300 | 405 | 510 | 560 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 26 | 115.3 | 76.402 | 14.984 | 10 | 300 | 25 | 60 | 116.5 | 145 | 240 | 255 | 300 | 300 |
| Hispanic | DK | 5 | 218 | 103.05 | 46.087 | 120 | 380 | 120 | 140 | 210 | 240 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 9 | 216.7 | 206.64 | 68.88 | 60 | 600 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Employment | * | 38 | 132.1 | 88.152 | 14.3 | 30 | 385 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 165 | 255 | 360 | 385 | 385 |
| Employment | Full Time | 315 | 147.7 | 123.2 | 6.942 | 4 | 690 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 300 | 435 | 530 | 560 |
| Employment | Part Time | 52 | 135.1 | 103.74 | 14.387 | 2 | 470 | 15 | 60 | 112.5 | 180 | 300 | 325 | 325 | 470 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 280 | 145.1 | 122.82 | 7.34 | 2 | 720 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 310 | 412.5 | 480 | 655 |
| Employment | Refused | 7 | 252.9 | 216.41 | 81.794 | 15 | 600 | 15 | 120 | 120 | 465 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Education | * | 46 | 136.8 | 115.99 | 17.101 | 2 | 600 | 30 | 60 | 112.5 | 165 | 285 | 360 | 600 | 600 |
| Education | < High School | 96 | 146 | 124.59 | 12.716 | 2 | 510 | 10 | 60 | 119.5 | 180 | 330 | 465 | 480 | 510 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 237 | 154.2 | 126.38 | 8.209 | 5 | 720 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 310 | 415 | 520 | 660 |
| Education | < College | 142 | 146.7 | 119.87 | 10.059 | 4 | 655 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 185 | 270 | 375 | 560 | 570 |
| Education | College Graduate | 99 | 137.3 | 124.43 | 12.505 | 10 | 555 | 15 | 60 | 95 | 175 | 325 | 475 | 533 | 555 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 72 | 134.3 | 103.25 | 12.168 | 10 | 495 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 165 | 290 | 345 | 465 | 495 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 144 | 135.2 | 113.42 | 9.451 | 5 | 600 | 15 | 60 | 110 | 185 | 300 | 330 | 510 | 555 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 155 | 131 | 111.34 | 8.943 | 4 | 655 | 15 | 60 | 95 | 150 | 270 | 360 | 510 | 560 |
| Census Region | South | 218 | 158.7 | 117.58 | 7.964 | 2 | 635 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 195 | 330 | 415 | 510 | 520 |
| Census Region | West | 175 | 151.8 | 138.65 | 10.481 | 2 | 720 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 355 | 475 | 530 | 690 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 420 | 132.5 | 109.32 | 5.334 | 4 | 660 | 20 | 60 | 105 | 175 | 285 | 360 | 475 | 530 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 272 | 166.6 | 135.66 | 8.225 | 2 | 720 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 227.5 | 345 | 495 | 533 | 635 |
| Season | Winter | 128 | 149.5 | 135.12 | 11.943 | 4 | 600 | 15 | 59.5 | 102.5 | 225 | 345 | 465 | 510 | 520 |
| Season | Spring | 252 | 151.3 | 116.12 | 7.315 | 5 | 690 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 180 | 300 | 410 | 510 | 530 |
| Season | Summer | 205 | 133 | 104.23 | 7.28 | 5 | 635 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 270 | 325 | 475 | 555 |
| Season | Fall | 107 | 153.4 | 144.65 | 13.984 | 2 | 720 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 360 | 480 | 655 | 660 |
| Asthma | No | 640 | 147.3 | 121.44 | 4.8 | 2 | 720 | 27.5 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 307.5 | 400 | 510 | 560 |
| Asthma | Yes | 47 | 109.1 | 87.096 | 12.704 | 5 | 510 | 15 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 210 | 240 | 510 | 510 |
| Asthma | DK | 5 | 312 | 230.04 | 102.879 | 60 | 600 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 480 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Angina | No | 665 | 143.6 | 118.92 | 4.611 | 2 | 720 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 300 | 385 | 510 | 560 |
| Angina | Yes | 18 | 144.7 | 96.703 | 22.793 | 30 | 330 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 165 | 330 | 330 | 330 | 330 |
| Angina | DK | 9 | 318.9 | 213.67 | 71.223 | 10 | 600 | 10 | 120 | 325 | 480 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 661 | 146.2 | 120.68 | 4.694 | 2 | 720 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 300 | 395 | 510 | 560 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 26 | 104.8 | 85.282 | 16.725 | 5 | 375 | 10 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 225 | 300 | 375 | 375 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | DK | 5 | 312 | 230.04 | 102.879 | 60 | 600 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 480 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 15-73. Statistics for 24-Hour Cumulative Number of Minutes Spent in Clothes Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 893 | 79.479 | 73.355 | 2.455 | 2 | 535 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 118 | 175 | 210 | 300 | 375 |
| Gender | Male | 117 | 72.248 | 67.028 | 6.197 | 5 | 360 | 7 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 210 | 300 | 335 |
| Gender | Female | 776 | 80.57 | 74.241 | 2.665 | 2 | 535 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 225 | 300 | 375 |
| Age (years) | * | 10 | 59.5 | 34.757 | 10.991 | 15 | 120 | 15 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 105 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 4 | 70 | 94.251 | 47.126 | 5 | 210 | 5 | 17.5 | 32.5 | 122.5 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 11 | 39 | 33.856 | 10.208 | 2 | 92 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 21 | 37.476 | 39.447 | 8.608 | 3 | 150 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 80 | 120 | 150 | 150 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 702 | 80.474 | 74.354 | 2.806 | 2 | 535 | 10 | 28 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 210 | 300 | 360 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 145 | 85.455 | 73.545 | 6.108 | 2 | 375 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 245 | 300 | 375 |
| Race | White | 737 | 80.096 | 73.392 | 2.703 | 2 | 535 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 118 | 175 | 223 | 300 | 375 |
| Race | Black | 99 | 68.636 | 65.289 | 6.562 | 5 | 300 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 110 | 165 | 210 | 240 | 300 |
| Race | Asian | 7 | 107.857 | 48.807 | 18.447 | 60 | 210 | 60 | 80 | 90 | 120 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Race | Some Others | 10 | 62.4 | 39.09 | 12.361 | 18 | 120 | 18 | 21 | 65 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Race | Hispanic | 33 | 92.879 | 78.01 | 13.58 | 5 | 265 | 5 | 20 | 90 | 150 | 210 | 225 | 265 | 265 |
| Race | Refused | 7 | 100.714 | 166.018 | 62.749 | 15 | 475 | 15 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 475 | 475 | 475 | 475 |
| Hispanic | No | 836 | 78.248 | 72.306 | 2.501 | 2 | 535 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 165 | 210 | 300 | 360 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 51 | 91.176 | 71.178 | 9.967 | 5 | 265 | 5 | 20 | 90 | 150 | 190 | 225 | 225 | 265 |
| Hispanic | DK | 3 | 118.333 | 62.517 | 36.094 | 55 | 180 | 55 | 55 | 120 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 3 | 185 | 251.942 | 145.459 | 20 | 475 | 20 | 20 | 60 | 475 | 475 | 475 | 475 | 475 |
| Employment | * | 34 | 43.412 | 46.313 | 7.943 | 2 | 210 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 92 | 150 | 210 | 210 |
| Employment | Full Time | 402 | 73.443 | 73.706 | 3.676 | 2 | 535 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 100 | 155 | 223 | 300 | 360 |
| Employment | Part Time | 116 | 80.724 | 68.545 | 6.364 | 2 | 335 | 10 | 30 | 67.5 | 117.5 | 180 | 225 | 240 | 330 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 336 | 89.804 | 75.166 | 4.101 | 2 | 475 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 120 | 185 | 235 | 300 | 375 |
| Employment | Refused | 5 | 87.4 | 74.725 | 33.418 | 2 | 180 | 2 | 45 | 60 | 150 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Education | * | 43 | 47.488 | 48.217 | 7.353 | 2 | 210 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 92 | 150 | 210 | 210 |
| Education | < High School | 102 | 86.51 | 60.048 | 5.946 | 10 | 265 | 15 | 38 | 65 | 120 | 175 | 210 | 240 | 245 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 337 | 85.19 | 82.249 | 4.48 | 2 | 535 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 240 | 375 | 445 |
| Education | < College | 193 | 85.87 | 78.466 | 5.648 | 2 | 475 | 5 | 21 | 60 | 120 | 190 | 240 | 300 | 375 |
| Education | College Graduate | 127 | 67.756 | 56.995 | 5.058 | 5 | 260 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 190 | 225 | 225 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 91 | 68.374 | 64.714 | 6.784 | 5 | 360 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 145 | 210 | 245 | 360 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 222 | 76.905 | 67.875 | 4.555 | 2 | 535 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 150 | 200 | 245 | 300 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 201 | 78.448 | 75.998 | 5.36 | 2 | 475 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 115 | 170 | 210 | 265 | 420 |
| Census Region | South | 304 | 81.839 | 75.654 | 4.339 | 5 | 450 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 170 | 235 | 330 | 375 |
| Census Region | West | 166 | 79.849 | 73.398 | 5.697 | 2 | 405 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 223 | 300 | 360 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 607 | 75.853 | 72.909 | 2.959 | 2 | 475 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 105 | 160 | 210 | 300 | 375 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 286 | 87.175 | 73.832 | 4.366 | 5 | 535 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 120 | 180 | 223 | 300 | 335 |
| Season | Winter | 254 | 82.291 | 80.245 | 5.035 | 2 | 475 | 7 | 23 | 60 | 120 | 190 | 225 | 330 | 445 |
| Season | Spring | 213 | 86.103 | 79.325 | 5.435 | 2 | 450 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 240 | 335 | 375 |
| Season | Summer | 259 | 76.722 | 68.328 | 4.246 | 2 | 535 | 8 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 154 | 190 | 240 | 360 |
| Season | Fall | 167 | 71.03 | 60.463 | 4.679 | 3 | 300 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 105 | 150 | 195 | 240 | 300 |
| Asthma | No | 829 | 79.534 | 74.024 | 2.571 | 2 | 535 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 118 | 180 | 225 | 300 | 360 |
| Asthma | Yes | 62 | 79.855 | 65.269 | 8.289 | 5 | 375 | 10 | 30 | 66.5 | 120 | 154 | 180 | 200 | 375 |
| Asthma | DK | 2 | 45 | 21.213 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Angina | No | 867 | 79.516 | 73.48 | 2.496 | 2 | 535 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 178 | 210 | 300 | 375 |
| Angina | Yes | 22 | 81.591 | 75.756 | 16.151 | 5 | 335 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 155 | 195 | 335 | 335 |
| Angina | DK | 4 | 60 | 24.495 | 12.247 | 30 | 90 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 834 | 78.45 | 73.617 | 2.549 | 2 | 535 | 8 | 25 | 60 | 115 | 170 | 210 | 300 | 375 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 58 | 94.621 | 68.927 | 9.051 | 5 | 335 | 15 | 60 | 77.5 | 120 | 190 | 240 | 300 | 335 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | DK | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 145 | 123.407 | 147.198 | 12.224 | 5 | 700 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 300 | 495 | 670 | 690 |
| Gender | Male | 110 | 135.582 | 152.737 | 14.563 | 5 | 700 | 5 | 30 | 85 | 170 | 300 | 505 | 600 | 670 |
| Gender | Female | 35 | 85.143 | 122.441 | 20.696 | 5 | 690 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 180 | 270 | 690 | 690 |
| Age (years) | * | 1 | 60 | * | * | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 1 | 150 | * | * | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 1 | 300 | * | * | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 8 | 106.875 | 163.837 | 57.925 | 20 | 505 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 505 | 505 | 505 | 505 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 114 | 130.342 | 156.511 | 14.659 | 5 | 700 | 5 | 30 | 77.5 | 165 | 300 | 520 | 670 | 690 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 20 | 83.5 | 68.347 | 15.283 | 10 | 300 | 12.5 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 150 | 240 | 300 | 300 |
| Race | White | 112 | 139.607 | 158.66 | 14.992 | 5 | 700 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 175 | 300 | 520 | 670 | 690 |
| Race | Black | 19 | 85.789 | 93.516 | 21.454 | 5 | 300 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 95 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Race | Asian | 2 | 10 | 7.071 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Race | Some Others | 6 | 43.333 | 42.387 | 17.304 | 5 | 120 | 5 | 10 | 32.5 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Race | Hispanic | 6 | 58 | 51.595 | 21.063 | 5 | 120 | 5 | 13 | 45 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Hispanic | No | 133 | 123.617 | 144.993 | 12.573 | 5 | 700 | 5 | 30 | 80 | 150 | 300 | 495 | 670 | 690 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 10 | 98.8 | 153.362 | 48.497 | 5 | 520 | 5 | 30 | 45 | 120 | 320 | 520 | 520 | 520 |
| Hispanic | DK | 2 | 232.5 | 321.734 | 227.5 | 5 | 460 | 5 | 5 | 233 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 |
| Employment | * | 10 | 130.5 | 156.87 | 49.607 | 20 | 505 | 20 | 30 | 52.5 | 150 | 402.5 | 505 | 505 | 505 |
| Employment | Full Time | 77 | 122.091 | 150.192 | 17.116 | 5 | 700 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 165 | 300 | 520 | 670 | 700 |
| Employment | Part Time | 12 | 123.167 | 138.769 | 40.059 | 8 | 495 | 8 | 40 | 72.5 | 150 | 270 | 495 | 495 | 495 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 46 | 124.13 | 146.952 | 21.667 | 5 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 120 | 300 | 480 | 690 | 690 |
| Education | * | 13 | 120 | 139.523 | 38.697 | 15 | 505 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 505 | 505 | 505 |
| Education | < High School | 17 | 185.882 | 224.418 | 54.429 | 5 | 670 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 220 | 555 | 670 | 670 | 670 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 50 | 111.52 | 128.261 | 18.139 | 5 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 67.5 | 120 | 270 | 350 | 585 | 690 |
| Education | < College | 31 | 138.226 | 169.231 | 30.395 | 5 | 700 | 10 | 30 | 85 | 180 | 280 | 600 | 700 | 700 |
| Education | College Graduate | 20 | 93.25 | 99.344 | 22.214 | 10 | 300 | 10 | 15 | 45 | 135 | 285 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 14 | 103.429 | 97.566 | 26.076 | 5 | 300 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 28 | 130.75 | 163.729 | 30.942 | 8 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 200 | 300 | 520 | 690 | 690 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 31 | 149.839 | 173.193 | 31.106 | 10 | 670 | 10 | 45 | 90 | 120 | 350 | 600 | 670 | 670 |
| Census Region | South | 45 | 106.778 | 131.409 | 19.589 | 5 | 700 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 300 | 700 | 700 |
| Census Region | West | 41 | 116.659 | 132.206 | 20.647 | 5 | 505 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 460 | 505 | 505 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 79 | 108.519 | 125.914 | 14.166 | 5 | 690 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 150 | 280 | 350 | 480 | 690 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 66 | 141.227 | 168.477 | 20.738 | 5 | 700 | 10 | 45 | 82.5 | 150 | 495 | 555 | 670 | 700 |
| Season | Winter | 49 | 130.673 | 167.715 | 23.959 | 5 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 165 | 350 | 600 | 690 | 690 |
| Season | Spring | 39 | 136.667 | 156.042 | 24.987 | 5 | 700 | 5 | 45 | 85 | 150 | 300 | 555 | 700 | 700 |
| Season | Summer | 35 | 121.514 | 137.704 | 23.276 | 5 | 505 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 300 | 480 | 505 | 505 |
| Season | Fall | 22 | 86.727 | 87.502 | 18.655 | 5 | 300 | 8 | 10 | 70 | 120 | 240 | 270 | 300 | 300 |
| Asthma | No | 137 | 117.657 | 139.579 | 11.925 | 5 | 700 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 495 | 600 | 690 |
| Asthma | Yes | 8 | 221.875 | 235.553 | 83.281 | 15 | 670 | 15 | 30 | 150 | 365 | 670 | 670 | 670 | 670 |
| Angina | No | 139 | 125.712 | 149.156 | 12.651 | 5 | 700 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 300 | 505 | 670 | 690 |
| Angina | Yes | 5 | 51 | 72.921 | 32.611 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Angina | DK | 1 | 165 | * | * | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 140 | 122.279 | 145.67 | 12.311 | 5 | 700 | 5 | 30 | 67.5 | 135 | 300 | 500 | 670 | 690 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 5 | 155 | 203.347 | 90.94 | 5 | 460 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 270 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. " $\mathrm{DK} "=$ The respondent replied "don't know". $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24 -hour cumulative number of minutes for doers Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers
below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996
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| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 288 | 184.816 | 184.111 | 10.849 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 36.5 | 120 | 300 | 425 | 525 | 690 | 840 |
| Gender | Male | 200 | 205.045 | 187.704 | 13.273 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 60 | 150 | 327.5 | 460 | 555 | 680 | 810 |
| Gender | Female | 88 | 138.841 | 167.784 | 17.886 | 3 | 900 | 5 | 17.5 | 72.5 | 192.5 | 360 | 425 | 750 | 900 |
| Age (years) | * | 1 | 540 | * | * | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 3 | 66.667 | 55.076 | 31.798 | 10 | 120 | 10 | 10 | 70 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 14 | 119.5 | 103.383 | 27.63 | 15 | 345 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 285 | 345 | 345 | 345 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 221 | 198.471 | 192.928 | 12.978 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 45 | 120 | 325 | 434 | 570 | 750 | 840 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 49 | 141.878 | 146.868 | 20.981 | 2 | 526 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 209 | 390 | 480 | 526 | 526 |
| Race | White | 264 | 186.367 | 184.944 | 11.382 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 36.5 | 120 | 300 | 430 | 525 | 670 | 840 |
| Race | Black | 13 | 150.385 | 207.961 | 57.678 | 10 | 750 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 120 | 390 | 750 | 750 | 750 |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 321.667 | 89.489 | 51.667 | 270 | 425 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 |
| Race | Some Others | 3 | 173.667 | 165.228 | 95.395 | 45 | 360 | 45 | 45 | 116 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Race | Hispanic | 4 | 127.5 | 122.848 | 61.424 | 10 | 290 | 10 | 35 | 105 | 220 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 |
| Race | Refused | 1 | 75 | * | * | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Hispanic | No | 278 | 184.917 | 184.467 | 11.064 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 35 | 120 | 300 | 425 | 525 | 690 | 840 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 9 | 160.556 | 180.666 | 60.222 | 10 | 575 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 210 | 575 | 575 | 575 | 575 |
| Hispanic | DK | 1 | 375 | * | * | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 |
| Employment | * | 17 | 110.176 | 97.439 | 23.632 | 10 | 345 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 285 | 345 | 345 | 345 |
| Employment | Full Time | 140 | 199.993 | 206.025 | 17.412 | 5 | 1080 | 8.5 | 60 | 120 | 297.5 | 470 | 600 | 840 | 900 |
| Employment | Part Time | 27 | 167.963 | 153.74 | 29.587 | 5 | 490 | 10 | 25 | 120 | 302 | 390 | 434 | 490 | 490 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 102 | 183.314 | 169.14 | 16.747 | 2 | 670 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 315 | 420 | 480 | 526 | 600 |
| Employment | Refused | 2 | 61 | 83.439 | 59 | 2 | 120 | 2 | 2 | 61 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Education | * | 18 | 110.722 | 94.558 | 22.287 | 10 | 345 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 285 | 345 | 345 | 345 |
| Education | < High School | 23 | 214.348 | 215.017 | 44.834 | 15 | 900 | 30 | 45 | 120 | 360 | 480 | 490 | 900 | 900 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 90 | 194.4 | 196.472 | 20.71 | 3 | 840 | 5 | 30 | 132.5 | 300 | 447 | 575 | 780 | 840 |
| Education | < College | 64 | 202.156 | 200.764 | 25.095 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 32.5 | 130 | 355 | 420 | 480 | 600 | 1080 |
| Education | College Graduate | 54 | 169 | 154.537 | 21.03 | 5 | 525 | 10 | 60 | 97.5 | 270 | 425 | 490 | 510 | 525 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 39 | 172.923 | 174.213 | 27.896 | 2 | 690 | 7 | 38 | 120 | 270 | 420 | 600 | 690 | 690 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 55 | 166.164 | 181.344 | 24.452 | 3 | 840 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 210 | 415 | 525 | 600 | 840 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 77 | 188.909 | 170.219 | 19.398 | 10 | 780 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 315 | 420 | 460 | 670 | 780 |
| Census Region | South | 89 | 202.281 | 212.332 | 22.507 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 315 | 480 | 570 | 900 | 1080 |
| Census Region | West | 67 | 172.224 | 161.66 | 19.75 | 2 | 750 | 7 | 60 | 120 | 243 | 340 | 526 | 690 | 750 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 188 | 178.213 | 171.94 | 12.54 | 2 | 780 | 10 | 42.5 | 110 | 300 | 430 | 490 | 600 | 750 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 100 | 197.23 | 205.392 | 20.539 | 3 | 1080 | 5 | 32.5 | 145 | 296.5 | 420 | 585 | 870 | 990 |
| Season | Winter | 62 | 167.097 | 172.076 | 21.854 | 3 | 600 | 5 | 15 | 90 | 300 | 445 | 490 | 540 | 600 |
| Season | Spring | 65 | 203.123 | 216.629 | 26.87 | 5 | 900 | 10 | 45 | 120 | 300 | 480 | 670 | 840 | 900 |
| Season | Summer | 95 | 180.442 | 182.013 | 18.674 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 290 | 390 | 510 | 750 | 1080 |
| Season | Fall | 66 | 189.727 | 164.551 | 20.255 | 2 | 600 | 10 | 55 | 120 | 330 | 420 | 435 | 600 | 600 |
| Asthma | No | 264 | 180.33 | 183.699 | 11.306 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 36.5 | 120 | 288.5 | 420 | 525 | 690 | 840 |
| Asthma | Yes | 24 | 234.167 | 185.283 | 37.821 | 5 | 670 | 10 | 45 | 210 | 352.5 | 480 | 510 | 670 | 670 |
| Angina | No | 281 | 179.687 | 175.258 | 10.455 | 2 | 900 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 295 | 420 | 490 | 670 | 780 |
| Angina | Yes | 6 | 448.333 | 369.995 | 151.05 | 90 | 1080 | 90 | 100 | 410 | 600 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| Angina | DK | 1 | 45 | * | * | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 276 | 184.681 | 185.591 | 11.171 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 36.5 | 120 | 299 | 430 | 526 | 690 | 840 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 12 | 187.917 | 152.591 | 44.049 | 5 | 405 | 5 | 45 | 165 | 350 | 360 | 405 | 405 | 405 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 254 | 103.602 | 108.761 | 6.824 | 3 | 630 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 130 | 225 | 300 | 480 | 570 |
| Gender | Male | 84 | 146.274 | 145.969 | 15.926 | 10 | 630 | 15 | 32.5 | 105 | 195 | 380 | 480 | 570 | 630 |
| Gender | Female | 170 | 82.518 | 76.759 | 5.887 | 3 | 630 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 210 | 270 | 325 |
| Age (years) | * | 4 | 51.25 | 24.622 | 12.311 | 15 | 70 | 15 | 37.5 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 5 | 121 | 120.955 | 54.093 | 35 | 330 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 330 | 330 | 330 | 330 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 3 | 51 | 61.262 | 35.369 | 3 | 120 | 3 | 3 | 30 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 157 | 100.49 | 104.921 | 8.374 | 5 | 570 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 225 | 300 | 475 | 565 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 85 | 112.647 | 118.439 | 12.846 | 5 | 630 | 10 | 35 | 75 | 135 | 240 | 280 | 630 | 630 |
| Race | White | 233 | 102.124 | 106.695 | 6.99 | 3 | 630 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 225 | 300 | 480 | 570 |
| Race | Black | 8 | 81.25 | 90.149 | 31.872 | 15 | 280 | 15 | 15 | 50 | 112.5 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 140 | 45.826 | 26.458 | 90 | 180 | 90 | 90 | 150 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Race | Some Others | 2 | 137.5 | 187.383 | 132.5 | 5 | 270 | 5 | 5 | 138 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Race | Hispanic | 6 | 164.167 | 209.796 | 85.649 | 15 | 565 | 15 | 15 | 90 | 210 | 565 | 565 | 565 | 565 |
| Race | Refused | 2 | 95 | 49.497 | 35 | 60 | 130 | 60 | 60 | 95 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| Hispanic | No | 244 | 102.971 | 106.161 | 6.796 | 3 | 630 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 132.5 | 225 | 280 | 480 | 570 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 7 | 149.286 | 195.521 | 73.9 | 15 | 565 | 15 | 15 | 60 | 210 | 565 | 565 | 565 | 565 |
| Hispanic | DK | 1 | 60 | * | * | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 2 | 42.5 | 24.749 | 17.5 | 25 | 60 | 25 | 25 | 42.5 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Employment | * | 8 | 94.75 | 103.657 | 36.648 | 3 | 330 | 3 | 32.5 | 60 | 120 | 330 | 330 | 330 | 330 |
| Employment | Full Time | 94 | 94.436 | 111.848 | 11.536 | 5 | 630 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 325 | 570 | 630 |
| Employment | Part Time | 25 | 112.2 | 104.812 | 20.962 | 15 | 485 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 210 | 270 | 485 | 485 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 124 | 108.387 | 108.655 | 9.758 | 5 | 630 | 10 | 40 | 72.5 | 127.5 | 240 | 270 | 480 | 565 |
| Employment | Refused | 3 | 145 | 99.875 | 57.663 | 60 | 255 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| Education | * | 9 | 86.444 | 100.113 | 33.371 | 3 | 330 | 3 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 330 | 330 | 330 | 330 |
| Education | < High School | 30 | 92.333 | 108.753 | 19.855 | 10 | 475 | 10 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 170 | 420 | 475 | 475 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 93 | 87.656 | 95.248 | 9.877 | 5 | 565 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 255 | 480 | 565 |
| Education | < College | 47 | 118.298 | 112.855 | 16.462 | 5 | 630 | 10 | 50 | 90 | 150 | 240 | 240 | 630 | 630 |
| Education | College Graduate | 35 | 139 | 107.818 | 18.225 | 15 | 485 | 15 | 55 | 120 | 195 | 280 | 325 | 485 | 485 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 40 | 104.75 | 131.036 | 20.719 | 15 | 630 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 217.5 | 420 | 630 | 630 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 55 | 116.055 | 116.677 | 15.733 | 3 | 485 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 150 | 250 | 420 | 480 | 485 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 41 | 101.659 | 109.248 | 17.062 | 5 | 630 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 270 | 630 | 630 |
| Census Region | South | 77 | 82.078 | 76.081 | 8.67 | 5 | 475 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 175 | 225 | 300 | 475 |
| Census Region | West | 81 | 116.593 | 126.602 | 14.067 | 10 | 630 | 14 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 240 | 330 | 570 | 630 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 170 | 104.559 | 105.561 | 8.096 | 3 | 630 | 14 | 30 | 60 | 130 | 225 | 280 | 480 | 565 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 84 | 101.667 | 115.595 | 12.612 | 5 | 630 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 127.5 | 240 | 325 | 570 | 630 |
| Season | Winter | 15 | 135.333 | 170.592 | 44.047 | 5 | 565 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 175 | 485 | 565 | 565 | 565 |
| Season | Spring | 96 | 124.323 | 108.656 | 11.09 | 5 | 570 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 150 | 270 | 330 | 475 | 570 |
| Season | Summer | 111 | 89.82 | 100.882 | 9.575 | 3 | 630 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 190 | 225 | 420 | 630 |
| Season | Fall | 32 | 74.375 | 87.894 | 15.538 | 5 | 480 | 10 | 25 | 47.5 | 102.5 | 135 | 195 | 480 | 480 |
| Asthma | No | 239 | 105 | 108.541 | 7.021 | 3 | 630 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 235 | 300 | 485 | 570 |
| Asthma | Yes | 15 | 81.333 | 113.68 | 29.352 | 5 | 450 | 5 | 15 | 55 | 90 | 175 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Angina | No | 240 | 103.083 | 107.762 | 6.956 | 3 | 630 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 125 | 225 | 290 | 480 | 570 |
| Angina | Yes | 13 | 120.769 | 130.286 | 36.135 | 15 | 485 | 15 | 55 | 60 | 135 | 270 | 485 | 485 | 485 |
| Angina | DK |  | 5 |  | * | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 248 | 105.202 | 109.525 | 6.955 | 3 | 630 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 235 | 300 | 485 | 570 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 6 | 37.5 | 24.238 | 9.895 | 5 | 60 | 5 | 15 | 42.5 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. N = doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | entiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 764 | 48.168 | 65.029 | 2.3527 | 1 | 760 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 155 | 230 | 312 |
| Gender | Male | 282 | 57.291 | 81.786 | 4.8703 | 1 | 760 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 120 | 180 | 308 | 340 |
| Gender | Female | 482 | 42.83 | 52.182 | 2.3768 | 1 | 450 | 3 | 10 | 28.5 | 60 | 105 | 140 | 187 | 273 |
| Age (years) | * | 13 | 37.462 | 38.606 | 10.7074 | 2 | 135 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 55 | 80 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 9 | 59.222 | 44.291 | 14.7637 | 3 | 140 | 3 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 27 | 47.296 | 43.1 | 8.2946 | 2 | 179 | 8 | 15 | 38 | 65 | 120 | 150 | 179 | 179 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 49 | 55.204 | 68.276 | 9.7537 | 3 | 308 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 90 | 175 | 180 | 308 | 308 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 530 | 45.928 | 66.581 | 2.8921 | 1 | 760 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 109 | 150 | 230 | 280 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 136 | 54.824 | 64.527 | 5.5331 | 1 | 383 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 180 | 340 | 340 |
| Race | White | 696 | 47.757 | 62.011 | 2.3505 | 1 | 760 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 155 | 240 | 312 |
| Race | Black | 26 | 37.577 | 39.832 | 7.8117 | 1 | 145 | 1 | 10 | 25 | 45 | 120 | 120 | 145 | 145 |
| Race | Asian | 5 | 30.4 | 21.87 | 9.7806 | 10 | 60 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 47 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Some Others | 12 | 100 | 193.567 | 55.878 | 5 | 690 | 5 | 17.5 | 30 | 65 | 205 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Race | Hispanic | 17 | 37.765 | 44.992 | 10.9123 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 120 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Race | Refused | 8 | 73.75 | 58.478 | 20.675 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 32.5 | 55 | 115 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Hispanic | No | 712 | 47.81 | 61.479 | 2.304 | 1 | 760 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 151 | 230 | 308 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 39 | 50.872 | 112.78 | 18.0593 | 2 | 690 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 120 | 180 | 690 | 690 |
| Hispanic | DK | 6 | 50 | 77.071 | 31.4643 | 10 | 205 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 45 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 7 | 67.857 | 62.039 | 23.4485 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Employment | * | 86 | 51.221 | 56.803 | 6.1252 | 2 | 308 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 175 | 240 | 308 |
| Employment | Full Time | 376 | 44.918 | 71.458 | 3.6852 | 1 | 760 | 3 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 145 | 240 | 340 |
| Employment | Part Time | 60 | 48.883 | 56.285 | 7.2664 | 3 | 230 | 5 | 12.5 | 20 | 60 | 152.5 | 176.5 | 205 | 230 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 233 | 52.459 | 59.357 | 3.8886 | 1 | 383 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 273 | 330 |
| Employment | Refused | 9 | 38.889 | 53.897 | 17.9656 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Education | * | 98 | 52.347 | 57.02 | 5.7599 | 2 | 308 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 70 | 140 | 180 | 240 | 308 |
| Education | < High School | 63 | 51.492 | 68.122 | 8.5825 | 1 | 383 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 225 | 273 | 383 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 231 | 52.913 | 75.819 | 4.9885 | 1 | 760 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 165 | 245 | 330 |
| Education | < College | 150 | 40.593 | 49.247 | 4.021 | 1 | 280 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 55 | 97.5 | 155 | 205 | 230 |
| Education | College Graduate | 121 | 51.273 | 79.213 | 7.2012 | 1 | 690 | 3 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 135 | 340 | 340 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 101 | 38.713 | 40.069 | 3.987 | 1 | 240 | 5 | 12 | 30 | 57 | 80 | 105 | 150 | 185 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 171 | 39.789 | 44.88 | 3.432 | 1 | 273 | 3 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 205 | 245 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 181 | 49.773 | 58.716 | 4.3644 | 1 | 330 | 4 | 14 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 240 | 312 |
| Census Region | South | 247 | 51.389 | 75.022 | 4.7736 | 1 | 760 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 165 | 308 | 383 |
| Census Region | West | 165 | 50.267 | 72.551 | 5.6481 | 1 | 690 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 155 | 210 | 340 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 527 | 46.602 | 66.468 | 2.8954 | 1 | 760 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 155 | 195 | 280 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 237 | 51.65 | 61.703 | 4.0081 | 1 | 383 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 273 | 330 |
| Season | Winter | 221 | 44.62 | 66.372 | 4.4647 | 1 | 690 | 4 | 10 | 25 | 55 | 95 | 160 | 225 | 245 |
| Season | Spring | 201 | 52.99 | 60.351 | 4.2568 | 1 | 340 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 175 | 240 | 330 |
| Season | Summer | 216 | 51.426 | 76.405 | 5.1987 | 1 | 760 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 64 | 120 | 165 | 240 | 383 |
| Season | Fall | 126 | 41.111 | 45.413 | 4.0457 | 1 | 280 | 3 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 110 | 135 | 180 | 180 |
| Asthma | No | 705 | 48.401 | 65.505 | 2.4671 | 1 | 760 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 155 | 225 | 308 |
| Asthma | Yes | 57 | 45.386 | 60.468 | 8.0091 | 1 | 330 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 105 | 195 | 240 | 330 |
| Asthma | DK | 2 | 45 | 21.213 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Angina | No | 734 | 47.834 | 64.308 | 2.3737 | 1 | 760 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 155 | 225 | 280 |
| Angina | Yes | 27 | 58.704 | 85.601 | 16.474 | 2 | 340 | 3 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 330 | 340 | 340 |
| Angina | DK | 3 | 35 | 22.913 | 13.2288 | 15 | 60 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 718 | 48.357 | 65.56 | 2.4467 | 1 | 760 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 160 | 230 | 308 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 43 | 45.395 | 58.522 | 8.9245 | 2 | 330 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 150 | 330 | 330 |
| Bronchtis/emphysema | DK | 3 | 42.667 | 15.535 | 8.9691 | 30 | 60 | 30 | 30 | 38 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | entiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1322 | 68.6354 | 98.697 | 2.7145 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 195 | 255 | 360 | 480 |
| Gender | Male | 478 | 70.3661 | 101.833 | 4.6577 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 195 | 265 | 375 | 480 |
| Gender | Female | 844 | 67.6552 | 96.923 | 3.3362 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 190 | 255 | 360 | 496 |
| Age (years) | * | 21 | 93.4286 | 113.994 | 24.8756 | 4 | 403 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 180 | 225 | 300 | 403 | 403 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 15 | 57.1333 | 85.7 | 22.1277 | 1 | 290 | 1 | 6 | 25 | 60 | 230 | 290 | 290 | 290 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 56 | 24.9464 | 30.134 | 4.0269 | 1 | 150 | 2 | 5 | 12.5 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 150 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 84 | 39.4762 | 51.785 | 5.6502 | 1 | 230 | 2 | 5 | 16.5 | 50 | 120 | 150 | 210 | 230 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 918 | 71.2353 | 101.54 | 3.3513 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 195 | 265 | 375 | 540 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 228 | 78.114 | 106.158 | 7.0305 | 1 | 665 | 5 | 14.5 | 30 | 90 | 225 | 295 | 420 | 480 |
| Race | White | 1118 | 70.6977 | 98.015 | 2.9314 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 80 | 195 | 265 | 375 | 480 |
| Race | Black | 102 | 46.1176 | 65.201 | 6.4558 | 1 | 300 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 50 | 120 | 210 | 255 | 260 |
| Race | Asian | 20 | 71.9 | 76.619 | 17.1324 | 1 | 315 | 1.5 | 22.5 | 60 | 105 | 162.5 | 260 | 315 | 315 |
| Race | Some Others | 22 | 67.7727 | 190.288 | 40.5695 | 1 | 905 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 155 | 905 | 905 |
| Race | Hispanic | 43 | 65.6512 | 118.419 | 18.0587 | 5 | 660 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 155 | 270 | 660 | 660 |
| Race | Refused | 17 | 72.9412 | 108.744 | 26.3742 | 5 | 420 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 75 | 210 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Hispanic | No | 1218 | 67.8342 | 93.324 | 2.674 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 195 | 255 | 358 | 420 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 81 | 80.5185 | 159.202 | 17.6891 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 155 | 360 | 665 | 905 |
| Hispanic | DK | 7 | 54.1429 | 74.627 | 28.2062 | 1 | 210 | 1 | 10 | 25 | 90 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 16 | 75.8125 | 113.469 | 28.3673 | 5 | 420 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 82.5 | 233 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Employment | * | 153 | 37.0196 | 52.694 | 4.2601 | 1 | 290 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 150 | 225 | 230 |
| Employment | Full Time | 555 | 70.0342 | 103.005 | 4.3723 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 85 | 195 | 265 | 375 | 540 |
| Employment | Part Time | 124 | 62.0726 | 86.315 | 7.7513 | 2 | 420 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 190 | 240 | 400 | 403 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 482 | 78.3008 | 105.529 | 4.8067 | 1 | 685 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 100 | 224 | 270 | 420 | 575 |
| Employment | Refused | 8 | 95.625 | 110.014 | 38.8959 | 5 | 300 | 5 | 17.5 | 32.5 | 180 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Education | * | 175 | 42.7086 | 64.901 | 4.906 | 1 | 450 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 192 | 233 | 300 |
| Education | < High School | 96 | 82.5313 | 114.62 | 11.6983 | 1 | 660 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 117.5 | 240 | 328 | 420 | 660 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 418 | 75.5574 | 105.946 | 5.182 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 215 | 270 | 420 | 540 |
| Education | < College | 290 | 71.3724 | 100.836 | 5.9213 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 100 | 192.5 | 270 | 330 | 375 |
| Education | College Graduate | 196 | 73.6173 | 104.18 | 7.4414 | 1 | 600 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 85 | 190 | 330 | 400 | 585 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 147 | 58.7007 | 81.662 | 6.7354 | , | 570 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 150 | 210 | 315 | 420 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 307 | 62.8632 | 91.306 | 5.2111 | 1 | 665 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 63 | 180 | 255 | 360 | 400 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 318 | 70.8679 | 98.179 | 5.5056 | 1 | 590 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 270 | 375 | 570 |
| Census Region | South | 394 | 74.7056 | 106.703 | 5.3756 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 85 | 215 | 296 | 380 | 600 |
| Census Region | West | 303 | 64.2475 | 95.504 | 5.4866 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 13 | 30 | 75 | 180 | 240 | 330 | 420 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 857 | 71.5496 | 106.351 | 3.6329 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 85 | 210 | 295 | 380 | 570 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 465 | 63.2645 | 82.596 | 3.8303 | 1 | 600 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 170 | 225 | 296 | 403 |
| Season | Winter | 353 | 64.1558 | 91.547 | 4.8726 | 1 | 590 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 195 | 240 | 345 | 480 |
| Season | Spring | 327 | 82.844 | 118.992 | 6.5803 | , | 905 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 115 | 240 | 305 | 420 | 585 |
| Season | Summer | 391 | 62.1125 | 97.341 | 4.9227 | 1 | 685 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 160 | 255 | 400 | 570 |
| Season | Fall | 251 | 66.5857 | 77.867 | 4.9149 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 15 | 35 | 90 | 180 | 230 | 292 | 345 |
| Asthma | No | 1211 | 67.8423 | 98.123 | 2.8197 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 190 | 255 | 360 | 480 |
| Asthma | Yes | 103 | 75.6893 | 104.033 | 10.2507 | 1 | 575 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 100 | 210 | 240 | 400 | 480 |
| Asthma | DK | 8 | 97.875 | 120.21 | 42.5006 | 5 | 300 | 5 | 15 | 17.5 | 206.5 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Angina | No | 1269 | 68.2041 | 99.025 | 2.7798 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 190 | 255 | 375 | 496 |
| Angina | Yes | 44 | 77.1364 | 86.104 | 12.9807 | 5 | 300 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 132.5 | 220 | 240 | 300 | 300 |
| Angina | DK | 9 | 87.8889 | 116.368 | 38.7895 | 5 | 300 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 180 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1247 | 67.8043 | 97.936 | 2.7734 | 1 | 905 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 190 | 255 | 360 | 480 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 64 | 83.4844 | 111.726 | 13.9658 | 1 | 575 | 5 | 15 | 32.5 | 117.5 | 220 | 265 | 480 | 575 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 11 | 76.4545 | 107.17 | 32.3131 | 5 | 300 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 180 | 233 | 300 | 300 | 300 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative
number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Table 15-79. Stati |  | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  |  | 188 | 105 | 82.7 | 6.03 | 2 | 510 | 20 | 55 | 90 | 127.5 | 190 | 270 | 390 | 435 |
| Gender | Male | 65 | 117 | 97.1 | 12 | 10 | 510 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 255 | 300 | 435 | 510 |
| Gender | Female | 123 | 99.5 | 73.8 | 6.65 | 2 | 420 | 20 | 55 | 76 | 120 | 190 | 225 | 340 | 375 |
| Age (years) | * | 3 | 127 | 47.3 | 27.3 | 90 | 180 | 90 | 90 | 110 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 11 | 130 | 80.2 | 24.2 | 15 | 270 | 15 | 60 | 115 | 180 | 255 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 11 | 93.6 | 64.3 | 19.4 | 30 | 195 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 175 | 180 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 4 | 82.5 | 45 | 22.5 | 30 | 120 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 149 | 103 | 86 | 7.05 | 2 | 510 | 20 | 55 | 76 | 120 | 190 | 292 | 420 | 435 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 10 | 124 | 76.4 | 24.2 | 20 | 270 | 20 | 75 | 100 | 150 | 248 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Race | White | 153 | 110 | 84.3 | 6.82 | 2 | 510 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 190 | 270 | 390 | 435 |
| Race | Black | 13 | 95 | 84.8 | 23.5 | 15 | 255 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 180 | 220 | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| Race | Asian | 5 | 71 | 56.8 | 25.4 | 10 | 150 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Race | Some Others | 7 | 108 | 96.5 | 36.5 | 30 | 300 | 30 | 55 | 60 | 175 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Race | Hispanic | 8 | 68.4 | 46.4 | 16.4 | 42 | 180 | 42 | 45 | 50 | 67.5 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Race | Refused | 2 | 64 | 65.1 | 46 | 18 | 110 | 18 | 18 | 64 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Hispanic | No | 172 | 107 | 83.9 | 6.4 | 2 | 510 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 132.5 | 190 | 270 | 390 | 435 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 15 | 88.1 | 71.4 | 18.4 | 42 | 300 | 42 | 45 | 60 | 100 | 180 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 1 | 110 | * | * | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Employment | * | 26 | 108 | 69.9 | 13.7 | 15 | 270 | 30 | 55 | 105 | 160 | 195 | 255 | 270 | 270 |
| Employment | Full Time | 74 | 102 | 95 | 11 | 2 | 510 | 15 | 45 | 70 | 125 | 195 | 300 | 435 | 510 |
| Employment | Part Time | 20 | 124 | 74 | 16.6 | 30 | 340 | 36 | 60 | 120 | 165 | 200 | 280 | 340 | 340 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 68 | 102 | 76 | 9.21 | 15 | 420 | 30 | 60 | 85 | 120 | 180 | 245 | 390 | 420 |
| Education | , | 27 | 108 | 68.6 | 13.2 | 15 | 270 | 30 | 55 | 110 | 160 | 195 | 255 | 270 | 270 |
| Education | < High School | 16 | 89.4 | 58.8 | 14.7 | 20 | 220 | 20 | 52.5 | 60 | 125 | 180 | 220 | 220 | 220 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 59 | 102 | 83.6 | 10.9 | 2 | 435 | 20 | 55 | 75 | 135 | 180 | 340 | 375 | 435 |
| Education | < College | 33 | 112 | 97.7 | 17 | 10 | 510 | 20 | 55 | 90 | 120 | 190 | 300 | 510 | 510 |
| Education | College Graduate | 37 | 125 | 96.1 | 15.8 | 15 | 420 | 15 | 60 | 105 | 155 | 270 | 390 | 420 | 420 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 16 | 72.5 | 40.4 | 10.1 | 10 | 150 | 10 | 37.5 | 65 | 102.5 | 120 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 46 | 110 | 94.4 | 13.9 | 2 | 420 | 20 | 60 | 75 | 120 | 245 | 375 | 420 | 420 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 40 | 111 | 75.8 | 12 | 15 | 340 | 17.5 | 50 | 95 | 175 | 193 | 256 | 340 | 340 |
| Census Region | South | 64 | 100 | 73 | 9.13 | 10 | 435 | 30 | 52.5 | 87.5 | 127.5 | 180 | 225 | 270 | 435 |
| Census Region | West | 38 | 102 | 92.2 | 15 | 10 | 510 | 18 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 300 | 510 | 510 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 128 | 99.4 | 71 | 6.27 | 2 | 435 | 20 | 55 | 90 | 120 | 180 | 245 | 300 | 340 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 60 | 118 | 13 | 13.3 | 15 | 510 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 245 | 382.5 | 420 | 510 |
| Season | Winter | 49 | 130 | 99.2 | 14.2 | 18 | 420 | 20 | 60 | 105 | 180 | 300 | 375 | 420 | 420 |
| Season | Spring | 36 | 85.7 | 55.7 | 9.28 | 2 | 270 | 20 | 45 | 77.5 | 112.5 | 155 | 180 | 270 | 270 |
| Season | Summer | 47 | 92.7 | 77 | 11.2 | 10 | 435 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 195 | 435 | 435 |
| Season | Fall | 56 | 107 | 82.7 | 11 | 10 | 510 | 15 | 60 | 90 | 127.5 | 195 | 255 | 270 | 510 |
| Asthma | No | 174 | 107 | 84.1 | 6.38 | 2 | 510 | 20 | 55 | 90 | 130 | 190 | 270 | 390 | 435 |
| Asthma | Yes | 13 | 88.5 | 66.4 | 18.4 | 20 | 245 | 20 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 180 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Asthma | DK | 1 | 110 | * | * | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Angina | No | 184 | 104 | 80.7 | 5.95 | 2 | 510 | 20 | 55 | 90 | 122.5 | 190 | 270 | 375 | 435 |
| Angina | Yes | 3 | 210 | 167 | 96.4 | 60 | 390 | 60 | 60 | 180 | 390 | 390 | 390 | 390 | 390 |
| Angina | DK | 1 | 110 | * | * | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 177 | 107 | 83.5 | 6.27 | 2 | 510 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 190 | 270 | 390 | 435 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 10 | 80.1 | 72.5 | 22.9 | 10 | 245 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 76 | 208 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | DK | 1 | 110 | * | * | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |  |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perc | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 59 | 97.373 | 95.372 | 12.416 | 5 | 435 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 110 | 210 | 360 | 420 | 435 |
| Gender | Male | 26 | 108.192 | 94.783 | 18.588 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 60 | 75 | 135 | 280 | 345 | 360 | 360 |
| Gender | Female | 33 | 88.848 | 96.425 | 16.785 | 5 | 435 | 5 | 45 | 60 | 100 | 150 | 420 | 435 | 435 |
| Age (years) | * | 1 | 170 | * | * | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 4 | 83.25 | 89.66 | 44.83 | 15 | 210 | 15 | 20 | 54 | 146.5 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 9 | 148.333 | 144.265 | 48.088 | 5 | 360 | 5 | 55 | 60 | 280 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 1 | 15 | * | * | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 40 | 92.05 | 86.358 | 13.654 | 20 | 435 | 27.5 | 52.5 | 65 | 102.5 | 142.5 | 307 | 435 | 435 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 4 | 52.5 | 15 | 7.5 | 30 | 60 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | White | 50 | 93.94 | 90.208 | 12.757 | 5 | 420 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 100 | 202 | 345 | 390 | 420 |
| Race | Black | 2 | 86.5 | 37.477 | 26.5 | 60 | 113 | 60 | 60 | 86.5 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 |
| Race | Asian | 1 | 100 | * | * | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Race | Some Others | 1 | 30 | * | * | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Race | Hispanic | 5 | 149 | 164.864 | 73.729 | 20 | 435 | 20 | 60 | 110 | 120 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Hispanic | No | 51 | 93.333 | 89.747 | 12.567 | 5 | 420 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 100 | 194 | 345 | 360 | 420 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 8 | 123.125 | 130.218 | 46.039 | 20 | 435 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 115 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Employment | * | 15 | 123.533 | 124.379 | 32.115 | 5 | 360 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 210 | 345 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Employment | Full Time | 15 | 67.2 | 30.887 | 7.975 | 20 | 135 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 85 | 113 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| Employment | Part Time | 7 | 87.714 | 54.129 | 20.459 | 30 | 194 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 110 | 194 | 194 | 194 | 194 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 22 | 103.182 | 110.136 | 23.481 | 25 | 435 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 105 | 150 | 420 | 435 | 435 |
| Education | * | 15 | 123.533 | 124.379 | 32.115 | 5 | 360 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 210 | 345 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Education | < High School | 5 | 57 | 6.708 | 3 | 45 | 60 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 10 | 148.5 | 150.482 | 47.586 | 30 | 435 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 135 | 427.5 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Education | < College | 18 | 74.667 | 45.169 | 10.646 | 20 | 194 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 95 | 150 | 194 | 194 | 194 |
| Education | College Graduate | 8 | 75.375 | 35.492 | 12.548 | 30 | 120 | 30 | 45 | 75 | 106.5 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 3 | 58.333 | 24.664 | 14.24 | 30 | 75 | 30 | 30 | 70 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 17 | 114.059 | 103.26 | 25.044 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 60 | 70 | 120 | 345 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 12 | 78.583 | 32.354 | 9.34 | 30 | 150 | 30 | 60 | 65 | 97.5 | 113 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Census Region | South | 15 | 109.667 | 109.536 | 28.282 | 30 | 420 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 280 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Census Region | West | 15 | 81.2 | 107.674 | 27.801 | 5 | 435 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 105 | 165 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 42 | 86.81 | 79.211 | 12.223 | 5 | 360 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 165 | 280 | 360 | 360 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 17 | 123.471 | 126.007 | 30.561 | 25 | 435 | 25 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 420 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Season | Winter | 10 | 66.5 | 46.251 | 14.626 | 5 | 150 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 135 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Season | Spring | 10 | 135.3 | 114.735 | 36.283 | 45 | 435 | 45 | 60 | 108 | 165 | 302.5 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Season | Summer | 31 | 92.355 | 94.966 | 17.056 | 5 | 420 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 100 | 210 | 345 | 420 | 420 |
| Season | Fall | 8 | 108 | 115.681 | 40.899 | 25 | 360 | 25 | 30 | 67.5 | 142 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Asthma | No | 56 | 94.821 | 91.447 | 12.22 | 5 | 435 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 107.5 | 194 | 360 | 420 | 435 |
| Asthma | Yes | 3 | 145 | 173.853 | 100.374 | 30 | 345 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 345 | 345 | 345 | 345 | 345 |
| Angina | No | 58 | 96.983 | 96.158 | 12.626 | 5 | 435 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 105 | 210 | 360 | 420 | 435 |
| Angina | Yes | 1 | 120 | * | * | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 55 | 90.018 | 87.056 | 11.739 | 5 | 435 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 100 | 170 | 345 | 360 | 435 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 4 | 198.5 | 157.509 | 78.754 | 60 | 420 | 60 | 90 | 157 | 307 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean 24 -hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rcentiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 259 | 33.7876 | 53.772 | 3.3413 | 1 | 358 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 195 | 270 |
| Gender | Male | 128 | 41.6953 | 65.45 | 5.7851 | 1 | 358 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 180 | 270 | 280 |
| Gender | Female | 131 | 26.0611 | 37.84 | 3.3061 | 2 | 180 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 105 | 180 | 180 |
| Age (years) | * | 2 | 88 | 2.828 | 2 | 86 | 90 | 86 | 86 | 88 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 8 | 33.125 | 43.666 | 15.438 | 5 | 115 | 5 | 5 | 12.5 | 55 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 6 | 18.3333 | 20.897 | 8.531 | 5 | 60 | 5 | 5 | 12.5 | 15 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 13 | 31.3077 | 32.638 | 9.0521 | 3 | 95 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 55 | 79 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 204 | 32.4853 | 52.731 | 3.6919 | 1 | 280 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 85 | 180 | 195 | 265 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 26 | 44.8462 | 75.446 | 14.796 | 1 | 358 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 50 | 105 | 180 | 358 | 358 |
| Race | White | 226 | 33.8451 | 51.028 | 3.3943 | 1 | 280 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 35 | 90 | 175 | 195 | 265 |
| Race | Black | 19 | 49.3158 | 90.675 | 20.802 | 1 | 358 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 44 | 180 | 358 | 358 | 358 |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 11.6667 | 11.547 | 6.6667 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Race | Some Others | 5 | 11 | 8.944 | 4 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Race | Hispanic | 6 | 12.5 | 6.124 | 2.5 | 5 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Hispanic | No | 247 | 34.6154 | 54.728 | 3.4822 | 1 | 358 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 35 | 90 | 180 | 245 | 270 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 12 | 16.75 | 22.471 | 6.4867 | 5 | 86 | 5 | 5 | 12.5 | 15 | 20 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Employment | * | 26 | 27.7692 | 33.586 | 6.5868 | 3 | 115 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 50 | 90 | 95 | 115 | 115 |
| Employment | Full Time | 137 | 31.8759 | 52.912 | 4.5206 | 1 | 280 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 85 | 175 | 265 | 270 |
| Employment | Part Time | 25 | 32.96 | 49.672 | 9.9344 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 105 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 70 | 40.4714 | 62.833 | 7.51 | 1 | 358 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 103 | 180 | 245 | 358 |
| Employment | Refused | 1 | 5 | * | * | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Education | * | 28 | 28.4643 | 32.992 | 6.2349 | 3 | 115 | 5 | 5 | 12.5 | 52.5 | 90 | 95 | 115 | 115 |
| Education | < High School | 20 | 36.15 | 51.714 | 11.564 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 45 | 117.5 | 177.5 | 180 | 180 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 64 | 41.0781 | 62.959 | 7.8698 | 2 | 280 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 47.5 | 105 | 180 | 265 | 280 |
| Education | < College | 68 | 36.2206 | 59.709 | 7.2407 | 1 | 358 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 37.5 | 90 | 180 | 180 | 358 |
| Education | College Graduate | 41 | 29.6829 | 54.536 | 8.5171 | 1 | 270 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 160 | 270 | 270 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 38 | 24.2632 | 36.541 | 5.9277 | 5 | 195 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 70 | 95 | 195 | 195 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 45 | 40.4889 | 58.498 | 8.7204 | 2 | 270 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 105 | 180 | 270 | 270 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 66 | 34.6364 | 56.367 | 6.9383 | 2 | 280 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 35 | 70 | 180 | 265 | 280 |
| Census Region | South | 88 | 34.8182 | 60.547 | 6.4543 | 1 | 358 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 95 | 180 | 245 | 358 |
| Census Region | West | 60 | 26.3167 | 33.054 | 4.2673 | 4 | 175 | 5 | 5 | 12.5 | 30 | 80 | 95.5 | 115 | 175 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 176 | 36.0227 | 57.142 | 4.3072 | 1 | 358 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 101 | 180 | 265 | 280 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 83 | 29.0482 | 45.78 | 5.025 | 1 | 245 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 79 | 95 | 195 | 245 |
| Season | Winter | 70 | 19.4857 | 27.784 | 3.3208 | 1 | 180 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 90 | 180 |
| Season | Spring | 70 | 36.5286 | 48.821 | 5.8352 | 2 | 245 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 50 | 105 | 150 | 180 | 245 |
| Season | Summer | 79 | 41.5316 | 66.665 | 7.5004 | 2 | 358 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 160 | 180 | 270 | 358 |
| Season | Fall | 40 | 38.725 | 64.266 | 10.161 | 2 | 280 | 5 | 5 | 12.5 | 39.5 | 90.5 | 222.5 | 280 | 280 |
| Asthma | No | 238 | 34.7731 | 55.08 | 3.5703 | 1 | 358 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 35 | 90 | 180 | 245 | 270 |
| Asthma | Yes | 21 | 22.619 | 34.735 | 7.5799 | 5 | 150 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 35 | 90 | 150 | 150 |
| Angina | No | 253 | 32.6324 | 51.888 | 3.2622 | 1 | 358 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 160 | 180 | 270 |
| Angina | Yes | 6 | 82.5 | 102.896 | 42.007 | 10 | 245 | 10 | 15 | 22.5 | 180 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 247 | 33.0607 | 52.903 | 3.3661 | 1 | 358 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 175 | 195 | 270 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 12 | 48.75 | 70.522 | 20.358 | 5 | 245 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 77.5 | 95 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24 -hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. $\operatorname{Stdev}=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perc | ntiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 6029 | 23.9338 | 25.5661 | 0.3293 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Gender | Male | 2785 | 23.4154 | 28.8168 | 0.5461 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 55 | 65 | 90 |
| Gender | Female | 3242 | 24.3816 | 22.4026 | 0.3935 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 80 | 90 |
| Gender | Refused | 2 | 20 | 14.1421 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Age (years) | * | 110 | 25.9182 | 30.4752 | 2.9057 | 3 | 300 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 41.5 | 60 | 60 | 80 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 318 | 29.2673 | 16.5524 | 0.9282 | 5 | 125 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 75 | 85 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 407 | 26.5184 | 35.9626 | 1.7826 | 2 | 690 | 7 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 75 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 411 | 22.4088 | 14.6309 | 0.7217 | 1 | 90 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 30 | 42 | 50 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 4154 | 22.7939 | 21.6279 | 0.3356 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 629 | 27.7424 | 43.1415 | 1.7202 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 12 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 65 | 90 | 120 |
| Race | White | 4794 | 23.1558 | 26.1288 | 0.3774 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 70 | 90 |
| Race | Black | 664 | 28.7816 | 24.2016 | 0.9392 | 3 | 270 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 65 | 90 | 105 |
| Race | Asian | 110 | 24.4727 | 17.5493 | 1.6733 | 5 | 90 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 47.5 | 60 | 85 | 90 |
| Race | Some Others | 119 | 28.6471 | 27.4768 | 2.5188 | 3 | 240 | 8 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 100 | 150 |
| Race | Hispanic | 269 | 23.8364 | 19.8318 | 1.2092 | 1 | 210 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Race | Refused | 73 | 22.7945 | 20.46 | 2.3947 | 3 | 105 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 75 | 90 | 105 |
| Hispanic | No | 5476 | 23.8088 | 25.0872 | 0.339 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 465 | 25.7312 | 31.6942 | 1.4698 | 1 | 570 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Hispanic | DK | 30 | 23.8 | 15.0319 | 2.7444 | 5 | 60 | 10 | 15 | 17.5 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 58 | 21.3966 | 18.5708 | 2.4385 | 5 | 105 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 60 | 80 | 105 |
| Employment | * | 1116 | 25.9758 | 25.169 | 0.7534 | 1 | 690 | 7 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 75 |
| Employment | Full Time | 2975 | 22.0733 | 21.4639 | 0.3935 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 65 | 85 |
| Employment | Part Time | 518 | 22.3996 | 17.1137 | 0.7519 | 1 | 135 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 70 | 90 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 1378 | 26.9354 | 34.8572 | 0.939 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Employment | Refused | 42 | 21.9048 | 15.8865 | 2.4513 | 5 | 90 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 90 |
| Education | * | 1245 | 25.3888 | 24.2988 | 0.6887 | 1 | 690 | 6 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 80 |
| Education | < High School | 440 | 30.6 | 46.38 | 2.2111 | 1 | 570 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 240 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1634 | 23.7699 | 20.0081 | 0.495 | 1 | 270 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Education | < College | 1228 | 22.8575 | 19.6959 | 0.5621 | 1 | 255 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Education | College Graduate | 844 | 22.5936 | 32.3617 | 1.1139 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 75 | 110 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 638 | 20.7618 | 18.4597 | 0.7308 | 2 | 240 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 65 | 85 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1356 | 23.3274 | 21.7583 | 0.5909 | 1 | 360 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1303 | 22.9294 | 27.432 | 0.76 | 1 | 570 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 70 | 85 |
| Census Region | South | 2136 | 25.2116 | 21.6627 | 0.4687 | 1 | 300 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 85 | 105 |
| Census Region | West | 1234 | 23.4489 | 32.6116 | 0.9284 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 65 | 85 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 4184 | 22.9441 | 25.7284 | 0.3978 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 65 | 90 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 1845 | 26.1783 | 25.0567 | 0.5833 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 100 |
| Season | Winter | 1688 | 24.6226 | 20.295 | 0.494 | 1 | 300 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Season | Spring | 1584 | 26.3295 | 38.468 | 0.9665 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 13 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 125 |
| Season | Summer | 1636 | 21.8264 | 15.5411 | 0.3842 | 1 | 150 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 55 | 60 | 75 |
| Season | Fall | 1121 | 22.587 | 20.8871 | 0.6238 | 1 | 340 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Asthma | No | 5559 | 23.9538 | 26.1095 | 0.3502 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Asthma | Yes | 437 | 24.2288 | 18.3575 | 0.8782 | 1 | 145 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 95 |
| Asthma | DK | 33 | 16.6667 | 8.7202 | 1.518 | 5 | 30 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Angina | No | 5866 | 23.9529 | 25.8029 | 0.3369 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Angina | Yes | 125 | 25.176 | 15.6613 | 1.4008 | 3 | 100 | 6 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 75 |
| Angina | DK | 38 | 16.8947 | 8.5481 | 1.3867 | 5 | 35 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 35 | 35 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 5749 | 23.8629 | 25.8064 | 0.3404 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 249 | 26.49 | 20.7475 | 1.3148 | 1 | 150 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 95 | 105 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 31 | 16.5484 | 8.0616 | 1.4479 | 5 | 30 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies m number of minutes for d Percentiles are the perce Source: Tsang and Klep | ssing data. "DK" = The ers. $\operatorname{Stdev}=$ standard de tage of doers below or e is, 1996. | ponden ation. al to ag | plied "don err $=$ stand n number |  | d $=$ Refu minimum | ed data. numb | = doer <br> minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ampl} \\ & \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { size. } \\ & k=m \end{aligned}$ | Mean aximum | Mea num |  | nut | lative |  |
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| Table 15-83. Statistics for 24-Hour Cumulative Number of Minutes Spent Sleeping/Napping |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 9362 | 526.287 | 134.435 | 1.3894 | 30 | 1430 | 345 | 445 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 760 | 850 | 925 |
| Gender | Male | 4283 | 523.333 | 135.183 | 2.0656 | 30 | 1295 | 330 | 435 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 765 | 860 | 925 |
| Gender | Female | 5075 | 528.685 | 133.743 | 1.8774 | 30 | 1430 | 350 | 450 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 750 | 840 | 925 |
| Gender | Refused | 4 | 645 | 123.693 | 61.8466 | 540 | 780 | 540 | 540 | 630 | 750 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Age (years) | * | 185 | 502.281 | 125.424 | 9.2214 | 195 | 908 | 330 | 420 | 480 | 555 | 655 | 745 | 865 | 900 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 499 | 732.363 | 124.328 | 5.5657 | 270 | 1320 | 540 | 655 | 720 | 810 | 900 | 930 | 1005 | 1110 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 702 | 625.058 | 100.656 | 3.799 | 120 | 1110 | 480 | 570 | 630 | 680 | 725 | 780 | 840 | 875 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 588 | 563.719 | 110.83 | 4.5706 | 150 | 1015 | 395 | 484 | 550 | 630 | 705 | 750 | 810 | 900 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 6041 | 496.93 | 123.019 | 1.5828 | 30 | 1420 | 330 | 420 | 480 | 555 | 630 | 705 | 780 | 868 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 1347 | 517.084 | 117.477 | 3.2009 | 30 | 1430 | 345 | 450 | 510 | 570 | 660 | 720 | 780 | 860 |
| Race | White | 7576 | 523.598 | 129.545 | 1.4883 | 30 | 1430 | 350 | 445 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 750 | 840 | 900 |
| Race | Black | 940 | 541.303 | 162.726 | 5.3076 | 60 | 1415 | 315 | 424 | 530 | 630 | 737.5 | 822.5 | 940 | 1020 |
| Race | Asian | 156 | 537.09 | 118.072 | 9.4533 | 300 | 920 | 345 | 467.5 | 540 | 600 | 690 | 735 | 840 | 870 |
| Race | Some Others | 181 | 528.823 | 142.25 | 10.5734 | 60 | 905 | 300 | 420 | 525 | 630 | 720 | 769 | 810 | 842 |
| Race | Hispanic | 383 | 537.966 | 148.886 | 7.6077 | 60 | 1125 | 315 | 450 | 540 | 630 | 720 | 765 | 870 | 930 |
| Race | Refused | 126 | 523.421 | 143.695 | 12.8014 | 180 | 1140 | 330 | 420 | 510 | 600 | 720 | 780 | 870 | 930 |
| Hispanic | No | 8514 | 525.205 | 133.218 | 1.4438 | 30 | 1430 | 345 | 445 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 750 | 855 | 925 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 700 | 540.053 | 147.143 | 5.5615 | 60 | 1125 | 320 | 450 | 540 | 630 | 720 | 777.5 | 842.5 | 915 |
| Hispanic | DK | 45 | 527.467 | 139.269 | 20.7609 | 195 | 842 | 345 | 420 | 515 | 659 | 690 | 710 | 842 | 842 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 103 | 521.592 | 138.874 | 13.6837 | 240 | 930 | 330 | 420 | 510 | 590 | 720 | 780 | 865 | 870 |
| Employment | * | 1771 | 636.604 | 128.545 | 3.0545 | 120 | 1320 | 440 | 555 | 630 | 705 | 802 | 860 | 930 | 975 |
| Employment | Full Time | 4085 | 487.152 | 118.9 | 1.8603 | 30 | 1420 | 325 | 420 | 480 | 540 | 628 | 685 | 770 | 840 |
| Employment | Part Time | 798 | 502.764 | 117.416 | 4.1565 | 60 | 1005 | 330 | 435 | 495 | 570 | 645 | 720 | 780 | 860 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 2638 | 520.277 | 125.549 | 2.4444 | 30 | 1430 | 345 | 450 | 510 | 590 | 660 | 720 | 800 | 885 |
| Employment | Refused | 70 | 513.671 | 136.491 | 16.3138 | 210 | 930 | 320 | 420 | 490 | 570 | 696.5 | 780 | 900 | 930 |
| Education | * | 1966 | 625.586 | 133.976 | 3.0216 | 120 | 1420 | 420 | 540 | 628 | 699 | 790 | 855 | 926 | 975 |
| Education | < High School | 832 | 515.445 | 135.697 | 4.7045 | 30 | 1317 | 300 | 435 | 510 | 585 | 670 | 750 | 860 | 900 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 2604 | 505.367 | 123.006 | 2.4105 | 30 | 1430 | 330 | 420 | 495 | 570 | 659 | 720 | 780 | 840 |
| Education | < College | 1791 | 496.616 | 119.862 | 2.8323 | 60 | 1350 | 315 | 420 | 480 | 565 | 630 | 690 | 779 | 845 |
| Education | College Graduate | 1245 | 492.516 | 117.558 | 3.3317 | 75 | 1404 | 330 | 420 | 480 | 540 | 629 | 690 | 775 | 900 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 924 | 486.737 | 110.394 | 3.6317 | 105 | 1295 | 345 | 420 | 480 | 540 | 615 | 660 | 725 | 800 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 2068 | 523.129 | 133.703 | 2.9401 | 55 | 1420 | 345 | 435 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 760 | 860 | 930 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 2096 | 520.846 | 127.642 | 2.788 | 30 | 1215 | 330 | 440 | 510 | 598 | 690 | 745 | 840 | 870 |
| Census Region | South | 3234 | 529.019 | 135.651 | 2.3854 | 30 | 1430 | 345 | 450 | 510 | 600 | 699 | 765 | 855 | 925 |
| Census Region | West | 1964 | 530.918 | 139.966 | 3.1583 | 60 | 1404 | 345 | 449.5 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 769 | 862 | 940 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 6303 | 511.13 | 131.826 | 1.6605 | 30 | 1430 | 330 | 420 | 495 | 570 | 670 | 745 | 840 | 920 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 3059 | 557.517 | 134.392 | 2.4299 | 30 | 1420 | 360 | 480 | 540 | 630 | 720 | 780 | 870 | 925 |
| Season | Winter | 2514 | 534.911 | 134.719 | 2.6869 | 55 | 1404 | 355 | 450 | 520 | 600 | 700 | 780 | 870 | 930 |
| Season | Spring | 2431 | 526.839 | 130.49 | 2.6466 | 30 | 1175 | 345 | 445 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 750 | 840 | 900 |
| Season | Summer | 2533 | 527.653 | 139.46 | 2.771 | 30 | 1430 | 330 | 435 | 510 | 600 | 699 | 765 | 840 | 930 |
| Season | Fall | 1884 | 512.228 | 131.14 | 3.0213 | 60 | 1420 | 330 | 430 | 505 | 570 | 660 | 735 | 840 | 900 |
| Asthma | No | 8608 | 525.05 | 133.571 | 1.4397 | 30 | 1430 | 345 | 445 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 750 | 840 | 915 |
| Asthma | Yes | 692 | 540.061 | 143.571 | 5.4577 | 30 | 1404 | 330 | 450 | 537.5 | 617.5 | 715 | 780 | 900 | 945 |
| Asthma | DK | 62 | 544.194 | 140.992 | 17.906 | 300 | 1035 | 330 | 465 | 535 | 600 | 720 | 780 | 930 | 1035 |
| Angina | No | 9039 | 526.754 | 134.235 | 1.4119 | 30 | 1420 | 345 | 445 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 760 | 855 | 925 |
| Angina | Yes | 249 | 513.743 | 137.698 | 8.7263 | 60 | 1430 | 300 | 445 | 510 | 595 | 660 | 735 | 795 | 845 |
| Angina | DK | 74 | 511.392 | 146.297 | 17.0067 | 30 | 930 | 300 | 420 | 510 | 600 | 720 | 780 | 840 | 930 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 8860 | 526.549 | 134.267 | 1.4264 | 30 | 1430 | 345 | 445 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 760 | 850 | 924 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 432 | 521.713 | 138.459 | 6.6616 | 80 | 1110 | 300 | 420 | 510 | 600 | 705 | 765 | 840 | 930 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 70 | 521.243 | 131.857 | 15.7599 | 210 | 930 | 300 | 450 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 745 | 840 | 930 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean $24-$ hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. $\mathrm{Stdev}=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. <br> Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perc | ntiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 884 | 358.537 | 130.347 | 4.384 | 1 | 840 | 95 | 300 | 390 | 435 | 483 | 550 | 600 | 640 |
| Gender | Male | 468 | 369.301 | 123.186 | 5.6943 | 20 | 840 | 120 | 320 | 390 | 435 | 485 | 555 | 595 | 645 |
| Gender | Female | 416 | 346.428 | 137.1 | 6.7219 | 1 | 710 | 75 | 262.5 | 385 | 430 | 480 | 535 | 600 | 628 |
| Age (years) | * | 7 | 232.143 | 148.123 | 55.9853 | 10 | 495 | 10 | 180 | 210 | 320 | 495 | 495 | 495 | 495 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 56 | 365.036 | 199.152 | 26.6128 | 20 | 710 | 30 | 172.5 | 427.5 | 530 | 595 | 628 | 665 | 710 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 297 | 387.811 | 98.013 | 5.6873 | 60 | 645 | 170 | 360 | 390 | 435 | 485 | 555 | 600 | 630 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 271 | 392.28 | 84.986 | 5.1625 | 10 | 605 | 200 | 375 | 405 | 435 | 460 | 485 | 510 | 555 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 247 | 292.194 | 154.58 | 9.8357 | 1 | 840 | 60 | 180 | 289 | 400 | 480 | 535 | 645 | 785 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 6 | 203.333 | 147.366 | 60.1618 | 75 | 480 | 75 | 120 | 152.5 | 240 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Race | White | 665 | 362.913 | 128.548 | 4.9849 | 1 | 825 | 107 | 310 | 392 | 435 | 485 | 550 | 600 | 630 |
| Race | Black | 92 | 351.793 | 129.647 | 13.5166 | 40 | 710 | 70 | 286.5 | 387.5 | 432.5 | 465 | 526 | 645 | 710 |
| Race | Asian | 33 | 346.303 | 156.009 | 24.1576 | 90 | 840 | 120 | 225 | 365 | 435 | 500 | 565 | 840 | 840 |
| Race | Some Others | 29 | 337.828 | 148.115 | 27.5043 | 58 | 553 | 70 | 212 | 360 | 445 | 502 | 540 | 553 | 553 |
| Race | Hispanic | 58 | 345.259 | 124.048 | 16.2883 | 30 | 565 | 85 | 260 | 377.5 | 430 | 480 | 510 | 510 | 565 |
| Race | Refused | 7 | 285 | 157.03 | 59.3517 | 60 | 440 | 60 | 150 | 290 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 440 |
| Hispanic | No | 771 | 359.565 | 130.825 | 4.7116 | 1 | 840 | 100 | 300 | 390 | 435 | 483 | 550 | 600 | 645 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 103 | 353.107 | 126.354 | 12.4501 | 30 | 630 | 85 | 269 | 385 | 425 | 483 | 510 | 595 | 600 |
| Hispanic | DK | 4 | 315.5 | 167.773 | 83.8863 | 65 | 416 | 65 | 221 | 391 | 410 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 6 | 348.333 | 140.594 | 57.3973 | 150 | 445 | 150 | 185 | 435 | 440 | 445 | 445 | 445 | 445 |
| Employment | * | 608 | 386.497 | 107.308 | 4.3519 | 10 | 710 | 165 | 361 | 400 | 440 | 485 | 550 | 595 | 625 |
| Employment | Full Time | 49 | 206.551 | 133.583 | 19.0833 | 5 | 502 | 15 | 115 | 180 | 305 | 430 | 461 | 502 | 502 |
| Employment | Part Time | 89 | 304.652 | 134.791 | 14.2879 | 25 | 695 | 90 | 210 | 295 | 395 | 480 | 500 | 585 | 695 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 135 | 325.274 | 161.049 | 13.8609 | 1 | 840 | 60 | 215 | 340 | 420 | 500 | 605 | 785 | 825 |
| Employment | Refused | 3 | 270 | 147.224 | 85 | 185 | 440 | 185 | 185 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 440 |
| Education | * | 666 | 384.985 | 107.925 | 4.182 | 10 | 710 | 160 | 360 | 400 | 440 | 485 | 550 | 595 | 625 |
| Education | < High School | 14 | 267.071 | 129.31 | 34.5595 | 5 | 415 | 5 | 175 | 310 | 357 | 385 | 415 | 415 | 415 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 54 | 238.481 | 141.148 | 19.2079 | 58 | 785 | 60 | 125 | 212 | 330 | 400 | 480 | 480 | 785 |
| Education | < College | 100 | 303.35 | 170.598 | 17.0598 | 1 | 840 | 60 | 185 | 272.5 | 415 | 525.5 | 613.5 | 760 | 832.5 |
| Education | College Graduate | 24 | 238.417 | 145.897 | 29.781 | 25 | 565 | 30 | 135 | 200 | 360 | 430 | 460 | 565 | 565 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 26 | 302.808 | 144.149 | 28.2699 | 10 | 535 | 95 | 210 | 300 | 461 | 500 | 502 | 535 | 535 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 186 | 351.597 | 127.019 | 9.3135 | 60 | 825 | 120 | 268 | 375 | 420 | 483 | 520 | 600 | 785 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 200 | 358.07 | 123.934 | 8.7634 | 5 | 645 | 87.5 | 307.5 | 392.5 | 425 | 470 | 527.5 | 577.5 | 602 |
| Census Region | South | 322 | 373.879 | 139.7 | 7.7852 | 10 | 840 | 60 | 330 | 405 | 450 | 500 | 565 | 625 | 645 |
| Census Region | West | 176 | 338.335 | 120.469 | 9.0807 | 1 | 630 | 120 | 262.5 | 375 | 410 | 465 | 540 | 555 | 600 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 858 | 363.66 | 126.018 | 4.3022 | 1 | 840 | 120 | 310 | 390 | 435 | 485 | 550 | 600 | 640 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 26 | 189.5 | 158.415 | 31.0677 | 15 | 465 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 460 | 465 | 465 | 465 |
| Season | Winter | 302 | 375.113 | 118.518 | 6.8199 | 5 | 695 | 150 | 330 | 395 | 440 | 495 | 550 | 612 | 640 |
| Season | Spring | 287 | 353.359 | 133.705 | 7.8924 | 10 | 840 | 90 | 290 | 390 | 430 | 475 | 500 | 570 | 710 |
| Season | Summer | 125 | 332.448 | 142.088 | 12.7088 | 40 | 630 | 70 | 217 | 375 | 425 | 470 | 550 | 600 | 600 |
| Season | Fall | 170 | 357.018 | 132.833 | 10.1878 | 1 | 785 | 120 | 285 | 380 | 430 | 510 | 565 | 605 | 645 |
| Asthma | No | 784 | 357.969 | 130.658 | 4.6663 | 1 | 840 | 95 | 295 | 390 | 435 | 485 | 550 | 595 | 630 |
| Asthma | Yes | 96 | 362.958 | 127.895 | 13.0533 | 20 | 695 | 95 | 334 | 390 | 427.5 | 475 | 540 | 645 | 695 |
| Asthma | DK | 4 | 363.75 | 162.551 | 81.2756 | 120 | 450 | 120 | 280 | 442.5 | 447.5 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Angina | No | 875 | 358.57 | 130.546 | 4.4133 | 1 | 840 | 95 | 300 | 390 | 435 | 483 | 550 | 600 | 640 |
| Angina | Yes | 4 | 382.5 | 87.702 | 43.8511 | 255 | 455 | 255 | 330 | 410 | 435 | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 |
| Angina | DK | 5 | 333.6 | 140.481 | 62.8248 | 120 | 460 | 120 | 270 | 378 | 440 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 851 | 359.132 | 130.435 | 4.4713 | 1 | 840 | 95 | 300 | 390 | 435 | 485 | 550 | 600 | 640 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 27 | 340.111 | 132.683 | 25.5349 | 30 | 605 | 60 | 305 | 365 | 435 | 450 | 460 | 605 | 605 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 6 | 357.167 | 121.491 | 49.5987 | 120 | 440 | 120 | 350 | 396.5 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 440 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative
number of minutes for doers. $\operatorname{Stdev}=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | ntiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1384 | 123.994 | 112.825 | 3.0328 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 50 | 90 | 165 | 267 | 330 | 435 | 525 |
| Gender | Male | 753 | 136.781 | 120.777 | 4.4014 | 1 | 1130 | 20 | 60 | 105 | 180 | 285 | 375 | 500 | 558 |
| Gender | Female | 629 | 108.628 | 100.648 | 4.0131 | 1 | 1065 | 15 | 38 | 75 | 150 | 240 | 300 | 370 | 435 |
| Gender | Refused | 2 | 142.5 | 38.891 | 27.5 | 115 | 170 | 115 | 115 | 142.5 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 |
| Age (years) | * | 23 | 108.696 | 78.628 | 16.395 | 5 | 290 | 30 | 40 | 90 | 155 | 220 | 225 | 290 | 290 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 105 | 115.848 | 98.855 | 9.6472 | 10 | 630 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 159 | 250 | 330 | 345 | 390 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 247 | 148.87 | 126.627 | 8.0571 | 2 | 975 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 188 | 320 | 390 | 510 | 558 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 215 | 137.46 | 124.516 | 8.4919 | 5 | 1065 | 15 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 265 | 375 | 470 | 520 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 642 | 120.315 | 110.376 | 4.3562 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 160 | 250 | 330 | 450 | 525 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 152 | 88.007 | 80.207 | 6.5056 | 1 | 380 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 220 | 285 | 315 | 330 |
| Race | White | 1139 | 125.994 | 116.168 | 3.4421 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 50 | 90 | 165 | 270 | 340 | 452 | 530 |
| Race | Black | 109 | 113.431 | 96.788 | 9.2706 | 5 | 440 | 10 | 45 | 86 | 150 | 240 | 332 | 430 | 435 |
| Race | Asian | 30 | 89.933 | 79.214 | 14.4625 | 5 | 310 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 145 | 215 | 235 | 310 | 310 |
| Race | Some Others | 35 | 135.371 | 112.206 | 18.9663 | 15 | 553 | 20 | 60 | 105 | 195 | 270 | 330 | 553 | 553 |
| Race | Hispanic | 59 | 116.288 | 91.326 | 11.8897 | 1 | 520 | 15 | 45 | 115 | 145 | 240 | 305 | 345 | 520 |
| Race | Refused | 12 | 120 | 86.576 | 24.9924 | 40 | 300 | 40 | 60 | 95 | 130 | 290 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Hispanic | No | 1250 | 124.471 | 113.469 | 3.2094 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 165 | 270 | 330 | 435 | 515 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 120 | 121.2 | 110.791 | 10.1138 | 1 | 630 | 15 | 50 | 90 | 147.5 | 240 | 335 | 520 | 553 |
| Hispanic | DK | 4 | 113.75 | 57.5 | 28.75 | 60 | 185 | 60 | 67.5 | 105 | 160 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 10 | 102 | 72.119 | 22.8059 | 40 | 290 | 40 | 60 | 82.5 | 105 | 215 | 290 | 290 | 290 |
| Employment | * | 561 | 137.073 | 120.838 | 5.1018 | 2 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 285 | 370 | 452 | 558 |
| Employment | Full Time | 375 | 117.579 | 107.304 | 5.5412 | 5 | 1130 | 20 | 45 | 90 | 155 | 240 | 305 | 380 | 525 |
| Employment | Part Time | 87 | 116.207 | 87.553 | 9.3867 | 1 | 450 | 15 | 60 | 95 | 160 | 235 | 285 | 355 | 450 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 352 | 112.537 | 109.99 | 5.8625 | 1 | 600 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 150 | 270 | 330 | 475 | 520 |
| Employment | Refused | 9 | 99.444 | 77.235 | 25.7451 | 30 | 280 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 120 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 |
| Education | * | 610 | 137.702 | 121.227 | 4.9083 | 2 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 285 | 370 | 470 | 558 |
| Education | < High School | 86 | 101.047 | 99.745 | 10.7558 | 10 | 570 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 225 | 270 | 510 | 570 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 233 | 116.794 | 116.802 | 7.652 | 1 | 1130 | 20 | 45 | 85 | 150 | 240 | 300 | 420 | 530 |
| Education | < College | 178 | 115.781 | 100.276 | 7.516 | 1 | 525 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 160 | 270 | 340 | 418 | 475 |
| Education | College Graduate | 165 | 116.218 | 97.925 | 7.6235 | 1 | 600 | 15 | 50 | 90 | 150 | 250 | 310 | 380 | 450 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 112 | 106.446 | 97.879 | 9.2487 | 5 | 375 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 142.5 | 270 | 330 | 360 | 375 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 333 | 131.967 | 129.1 | 7.0746 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 60 | 100 | 170 | 275 | 345 | 485 | 558 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 254 | 116.882 | 101.859 | 6.3912 | 5 | 570 | 18 | 45 | 90 | 150 | 255 | 315 | 430 | 440 |
| Census Region | South | 479 | 119.476 | 108.664 | 4.965 | 1 | 975 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 160 | 265 | 330 | 410 | 462 |
| Census Region | West | 318 | 128.132 | 108.811 | 6.1018 | 1 | 625 | 25 | 55 | 92.5 | 175 | 295 | 330 | 500 | 525 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 902 | 115.47 | 97.84 | 3.2577 | 1 | 650 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 150 | 240 | 300 | 395 | 485 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 482 | 139.946 | 135.196 | 6.158 | 1 | 1130 | 20 | 59 | 100 | 180 | 300 | 380 | 500 | 565 |
| Season | Winter | 316 | 115.589 | 115.201 | 6.4806 | 1 | 1065 | 15 | 45 | 85 | 155 | 240 | 305 | 370 | 475 |
| Season | Spring | 423 | 130.775 | 105.017 | 5.1061 | 5 | 650 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 175 | 270 | 330 | 435 | 515 |
| Season | Summer | 425 | 129.541 | 115.123 | 5.5843 | 1 | 625 | 15 | 45 | 95 | 178 | 290 | 375 | 462 | 530 |
| Season | Fall | 220 | 112.314 | 118.325 | 7.9775 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 43 | 77.5 | 143.5 | 240 | 290 | 460 | 565 |
| Asthma | No | 1266 | 122.461 | 109.594 | 3.0801 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 162 | 266 | 330 | 430 | 515 |
| Asthma | Yes | 105 | 144.829 | 145.828 | 14.2314 | 1 | 1065 | 15 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 300 | 390 | 553 | 565 |
| Asthma | DK | 13 | 105 | 110.416 | 30.6239 | 30 | 450 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 90 | 165 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Angina | No | 1343 | 125.491 | 113.589 | 3.0995 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 50 | 90 | 165 | 270 | 332 | 440 | 525 |
| Angina | Yes | 33 | 72.091 | 73.998 | 12.8815 | 5 | 330 | 5 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 180 | 275 | 330 | 330 |
| Angina | DK | 8 | 86.875 | 41.139 | 14.5448 | 40 | 155 | 40 | 60 | 75 | 115 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 155 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1331 | 124.101 | 113.19 | 3.1026 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 50 | 90 | 165 | 267 | 330 | 435 | 520 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 43 | 130 | 112.663 | 17.181 | 10 | 553 | 30 | 45 | 110 | 165 | 270 | 340 | 553 | 553 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 10 | 84 | 39.847 | 12.6007 | 40 | 155 | 40 | 60 | 75 | 105 | 147.5 | 155 | 155 | 155 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.

| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 253 | 211.23 | 185.48 | 11.661 | 5 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 165 | 300 | 480 | 574 | 670 | 690 |
| Gender | Male | 140 | 231.78 | 207.41 | 17.529 | 5 | 1440 | 17.5 | 67.5 | 177 | 330 | 502.5 | 600 | 690 | 735 |
| Gender | Female | 112 | 183.67 | 150.15 | 14.188 | 5 | 645 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 255 | 380 | 525 | 585 | 630 |
| Gender | Refused | 1 | 420 | * | * | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Age (years) | * | 2 | 337.5 | 201.53 | 142.5 | 195 | 480 | 195 | 195 | 337.5 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 13 | 166.54 | 177.06 | 49.109 | 15 | 630 | 15 | 30 | 130 | 180 | 370 | 630 | 630 | 630 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 21 | 206.14 | 156.17 | 34.078 | 30 | 585 | 60 | 90 | 165 | 245 | 360 | 574 | 585 | 585 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 27 | 155.07 | 128.28 | 24.687 | 5 | 465 | 5 | 60 | 135 | 225 | 420 | 420 | 465 | 465 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 158 | 223.61 | 192.97 | 15.352 | 5 | 1440 | 30 | 80 | 172.5 | 310 | 505 | 585 | 690 | 690 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 32 | 211.06 | 206.59 | 36.521 | 5 | 735 | 5 | 30 | 171 | 375 | 495 | 600 | 735 | 735 |
| Race | White | 225 | 209.77 | 182.74 | 12.183 | 5 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 165 | 300 | 460 | 570 | 670 | 690 |
| Race | Black | 16 | 233.88 | 231.3 | 57.825 | 5 | 690 | 5 | 42.5 | 150 | 450 | 585 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 203.33 | 262.22 | 151.39 | 30 | 505 | 30 | 30 | 75 | 505 | 505 | 505 | 505 | 505 |
| Race | Some Others | 2 | 327.5 | 130.82 | 92.5 | 235 | 420 | 235 | 235 | 327.5 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Race | Hispanic | 4 | 77.5 | 53.929 | 26.964 | 20 | 150 | 20 | 42.5 | 70 | 112.5 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Race | Refused | 3 | 308.33 | 209.42 | 120.91 | 180 | 550 | 180 | 180 | 195 | 550 | 550 | 550 | 550 | 550 |
| Hispanic | No | 238 | 211.8 | 187.07 | 12.126 | 5 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 165 | 300 | 480 | 585 | 690 | 690 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 12 | 175.5 | 149.06 | 43.029 | 15 | 511 | 15 | 70 | 150 | 255 | 340 | 511 | 511 | 511 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 3 | 308.33 | 209.42 | 120.91 | 180 | 550 | 180 | 180 | 195 | 550 | 550 | 550 | 550 | 550 |
| Employment | * | 60 | 177.1 | 150.02 | 19.368 | 5 | 630 | 12.5 | 60 | 147.5 | 230 | 395 | 519.5 | 585 | 630 |
| Employment | Full Time | 104 | 210.74 | 153.37 | 15.039 | 5 | 670 | 30 | 82.5 | 180 | 294 | 419 | 511 | 600 | 645 |
| Employment | Part Time | 19 | 205.26 | 204.04 | 46.81 | 30 | 690 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 180 | 570 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 68 | 244.44 | 245.03 | 29.715 | 5 | 1440 | 15 | 60 | 179.5 | 375 | 525 | 690 | 735 | 1440 |
| Employment | Refused | 2 | 187.5 | 10.607 | 7.5 | 180 | 195 | 180 | 180 | 187.5 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Education | * | 64 | 176.73 | 145.32 | 18.165 | 5 | 630 | 15 | 60 | 152.5 | 225 | 370 | 465 | 585 | 630 |
| Education | < High School | 22 | 259.41 | 177.97 | 37.943 | 5 | 600 | 30 | 105 | 247.5 | 380 | 525 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 59 | 238.2 | 228.99 | 29.812 | 15 | 1440 | 20 | 90 | 175 | 310 | 511 | 670 | 690 | 1440 |
| Education | < College | 54 | 218.09 | 172.21 | 23.434 | 5 | 690 | 25 | 65 | 172.5 | 345 | 460 | 550 | 570 | 690 |
| Education | College Graduate | 31 | 224.71 | 193.06 | 34.675 | 20 | 690 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 325 | 505 | 645 | 690 | 690 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 23 | 157.61 | 178.18 | 37.153 | 5 | 735 | 10 | 50 | 80 | 200 | 370 | 480 | 735 | 735 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 52 | 189.6 | 160.88 | 22.31 | 5 | 690 | 30 | 60 | 162.5 | 231.5 | 370 | 574 | 670 | 690 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 54 | 212.09 | 228.41 | 31.083 | 5 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 177.5 | 280 | 419 | 600 | 735 | 1440 |
| Census Region | South | 84 | 217.26 | 175.27 | 19.123 | 5 | 645 | 15 | 62.5 | 150 | 347.5 | 495 | 525 | 600 | 645 |
| Census Region | West | 63 | 220.29 | 179.71 | 22.642 | 10 | 690 | 30 | 75 | 165 | 280 | 545 | 585 | 690 | 690 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 129 | 197.21 | 195.32 | 17.197 | 5 | 1440 | 15 | 60 | 150 | 275 | 465 | 525 | 670 | 735 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 124 | 225.81 | 174.26 | 15.649 | 5 | 690 | 20 | 85 | 180 | 310 | 480 | 600 | 690 | 690 |
| Season | Winter | 31 | 196.61 | 165.52 | 29.728 | 5 | 585 | 5 | 60 | 165 | 280 | 440 | 550 | 585 | 585 |
| Season | Spring | 75 | 198.85 | 161.67 | 18.668 | 5 | 690 | 25 | 75 | 180 | 270 | 465 | 545 | 670 | 690 |
| Season | Summer | 102 | 228.16 | 204.18 | 20.217 | 5 | 1440 | 30 | 75 | 179.5 | 325 | 459 | 585 | 690 | 690 |
| Season | Fall | 45 | 203.53 | 193.83 | 28.895 | 5 | 735 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 330 | 505 | 574 | 735 | 735 |
| Asthma | No | 232 | 208.24 | 187.69 | 12.323 | 5 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 159 | 294 | 480 | 585 | 690 | 690 |
| Asthma | Yes | 19 | 250.21 | 166.64 | 38.23 | 15 | 570 | 15 | 80 | 255 | 350 | 525 | 570 | 570 | 570 |
| Asthma | DK | 2 | 187.5 | 10.607 | 7.5 | 180 | 195 | 180 | 180 | 187.5 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Angina | No | 245 | 206.82 | 184.85 | 11.81 | 5 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 160 | 288 | 480 | 570 | 670 | 690 |
| Angina | Yes | 6 | 399.17 | 151.21 | 61.731 | 285 | 690 | 285 | 310 | 345 | 420 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Angina | DK | 2 | 187.5 | 10.607 | 7.5 | 180 | 195 | 180 | 180 | 187.5 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 238 | 212.24 | 189.23 | 12.266 | 5 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 165 | 300 | 495 | 585 | 690 | 690 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 13 | 196.31 | 122.22 | 33.896 | 5 | 370 | 5 | 117 | 160 | 310 | 340 | 370 | 370 | 370 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 2 | 187.5 | 10.607 | 7.5 | 180 | 195 | 180 | 180 | 187.5 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Volume III - Activity Factors

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 564 | 77.429 | 70.438 | 2.966 | 4 | 670 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 150 | 195 | 275 | 420 |
| Gender | Male | 262 | 84.676 | 75.778 | 4.6816 | 5 | 670 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 117 | 165 | 205 | 285 | 450 |
| Gender | Female | 302 | 71.142 | 64.927 | 3.7361 | 4 | 525 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 125 | 175 | 265 | 360 |
| Age (years) | * | 10 | 76.5 | 74.014 | 23.405 | 15 | 270 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 187.5 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 11 | 127.273 | 187.18 | 56.437 | 15 | 670 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 160 | 670 | 670 | 670 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 26 | 132.5 | 126.31 | 24.772 | 15 | 525 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 275 | 450 | 525 | 525 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 35 | 67.829 | 41.589 | 7.0298 | 15 | 180 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 120 | 150 | 180 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 407 | 77.572 | 63.597 | 3.1524 | 4 | 480 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 145 | 185 | 265 | 300 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 75 | 54.853 | 44.455 | 5.1332 | 6 | 195 | 10 | 25 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 150 | 193 | 195 |
| Race | White | 480 | 78.015 | 71.517 | 3.2643 | 4 | 670 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 150 | 194 | 285 | 450 |
| Race | Black | 34 | 74.706 | 44.67 | 7.6608 | 15 | 250 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 105 | 120 | 130 | 250 | 250 |
| Race | Asian | 10 | 46.3 | 25.038 | 7.9177 | 15 | 95 | 15 | 30 | 41.5 | 60 | 82.5 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Race | Some Others | 14 | 80.214 | 73.944 | 19.762 | 30 | 275 | 30 | 30 | 47.5 | 90 | 179 | 275 | 275 | 275 |
| Race | Hispanic | 19 | 63 | 60.658 | 13.916 | 15 | 265 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 160 | 265 | 265 | 265 |
| Race | Refused | 7 | 128.571 | 130.47 | 49.313 | 30 | 360 | 30 | 55 | 60 | 270 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Hispanic | No | 516 | 76.872 | 70.111 | 3.0865 | 4 | 670 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 99 | 145 | 193 | 275 | 420 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 38 | 76.553 | 59.516 | 9.6548 | 15 | 265 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 160 | 250 | 265 | 265 |
| Hispanic | DK | 3 | 65 | 69.462 | 40.104 | 20 | 145 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 7 | 128.571 | 130.47 | 49.313 | 30 | 360 | 30 | 55 | 60 | 270 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Employment | * | 72 | 99.014 | 111.6 | 13.153 | 15 | 670 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 275 | 525 | 670 |
| Employment | Full Time | 300 | 72.663 | 55.618 | 3.2111 | 5 | 460 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 179.5 | 240 | 291 |
| Employment | Part Time | 50 | 85.98 | 83.568 | 11.818 | 10 | 420 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 92 | 167.5 | 300 | 390 | 420 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 139 | 72.683 | 63.36 | 5.3742 | 4 | 480 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 195 | 240 | 265 |
| Employment | Refused | 3 | 113.333 | 135.77 | 78.387 | 30 | 270 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Education | * | 83 | 101.976 | 110.97 | 12.18 | 15 | 670 | 25 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 205 | 275 | 525 | 670 |
| Education | < High School | 21 | 58.238 | 66.062 | 14.416 | 10 | 300 | 10 | 28 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 165 | 300 | 300 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 124 | 81.048 | 63.037 | 5.6609 | 4 | 298 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 179 | 205 | 250 | 265 |
| Education | < College | 104 | 80.856 | 70.181 | 6.8818 | 15 | 480 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 112.5 | 150 | 170 | 240 | 420 |
| Education | College Graduate | 110 | 73.627 | 62.548 | 5.9637 | 5 | 460 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 98 | 130 | 180 | 285 | 297 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 122 | 60.861 | 38.368 | 3.4737 | 5 | 240 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 80 | 110 | 127 | 165 | 185 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 130 | 88.423 | 77.649 | 6.8102 | 10 | 450 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 200 | 240 | 297 | 420 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 101 | 63.564 | 44.33 | 4.411 | 10 | 300 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 89 | 115 | 120 | 170 | 215 |
| Census Region | South | 177 | 75.311 | 71.62 | 5.3833 | 5 | 525 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 185 | 298 | 480 |
| Census Region | West | 156 | 79.647 | 75.331 | 6.0313 | 4 | 670 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 104 | 130 | 183 | 270 | 460 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 426 | 73.096 | 63.872 | 3.0946 | 4 | 670 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 180 | 240 | 298 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 138 | 90.804 | 86.574 | 7.3697 | 6 | 525 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 200 | 265 | 420 | 460 |
| Season | Winter | 150 | 67.387 | 49.859 | 4.071 | 8 | 285 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 127.5 | 175 | 212.5 | 240 |
| Season | Spring | 140 | 74.871 | 55.395 | 4.6817 | 10 | 360 | 17.5 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 147.5 | 181 | 220 | 298 |
| Season | Summer | 192 | 93.188 | 91.294 | 6.5886 | 5 | 670 | 20 | 30 | 62.5 | 120 | 180 | 250 | 450 | 525 |
| Season | Fall | 82 | 63.268 | 63.277 | 6.9878 | 4 | 460 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 135 | 300 | 460 |
| Asthma | No | 523 | 76.625 | 70.247 | 3.0717 | 4 | 670 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 150 | 185 | 265 | 420 |
| Asthma | Yes | 37 | 78.243 | 51.454 | 8.459 | 20 | 275 | 20 | 45 | 65 | 100 | 120 | 200 | 275 | 275 |
| Asthma | DK | 4 | 175 | 167.03 | 83.517 | 10 | 360 | 10 | 35 | 165 | 315 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Angina | No | 553 | 77.259 | 69.366 | 2.9497 | 4 | 670 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 145 | 193 | 265 | 420 |
| Angina | Yes | 7 | 27.286 | 19.576 | 7.3992 | 6 | 60 | 6 | 10 | 25 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Angina | DK | 4 | 188.75 | 150.35 | 75.177 | 60 | 360 | 60 | 62.5 | 167.5 | 315 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 542 | 77.098 | 69.465 | 2.9838 | 4 | 670 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 145 | 185 | 265 | 420 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 17 | 64.588 | 60.635 | 14.706 | 10 | 275 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 63 | 120 | 275 | 275 | 275 |
| Bronchitis/EMphysema | DK | 5 | 157 | 149.57 | 66.888 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 60 | 80 | 270 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | tile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 4278 | 52.37 | 52.8802 | 0.8085 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 115 | 150 | 210 | 265 |
| Gender | Male | 1341 | 37.8106 | 42.1779 | 1.1518 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 13 | 30 | 50 | 80 | 105 | 150 | 210 |
| Gender | Female | 2937 | 59.0177 | 55.862 | 1.0308 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 25 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 155 | 224 | 272 |
| Age (years) | * | 94 | 52 | 43.2171 | 4.4575 | 5 | 215 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 110 | 150 | 195 | 215 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 24 | 56.4583 | 60.3699 | 12.3229 | 5 | 240 | 5 | 22.5 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 180 | 240 | 240 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 60 | 25.1667 | 29.6877 | 3.8327 | 1 | 120 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 30 | 60 | 107 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 131 | 21.7023 | 37.6902 | 3.293 | 1 | 385 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 70 | 90 | 90 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 3173 | 52.0905 | 52.8766 | 0.9387 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 145 | 210 | 265 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 796 | 60.5025 | 54.669 | 1.9377 | 1 | 525 | 5 | 25 | 45 | 80 | 120 | 150 | 240 | 270 |
| Race | White | 3584 | 51.6205 | 53.2589 | 0.8896 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 19 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 145 | 210 | 265 |
| Race | Black | 377 | 57.0265 | 52.2893 | 2.693 | 1 | 390 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 120 | 150 | 210 | 240 |
| Race | Asian | 62 | 54 | 41.8224 | 5.3115 | 2 | 210 | 5 | 20 | 50 | 70 | 105 | 130 | 175 | 210 |
| Race | Some Others | 66 | 50.5909 | 53.2368 | 6.553 | 1 | 295 | 5 | 15 | 33.5 | 70 | 115 | 150 | 210 | 295 |
| Race | Hispanic | 132 | 59.2121 | 49.7947 | 4.3341 | 2 | 315 | 5 | 23.5 | 55 | 80 | 110 | 135 | 225 | 285 |
| Race | Refused | 57 | 53.1404 | 49.297 | 6.5295 | 2 | 210 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 195 | 210 |
| Hispanic | No | 3960 | 51.848 | 52.6035 | 0.8359 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 111 | 145 | 205 | 255 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 254 | 59.2244 | 56.7225 | 3.5591 | 2 | 420 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 155 | 240 | 315 |
| Hispanic | DK | 20 | 54.95 | 53.2002 | 11.8959 | 6 | 240 | 8 | 25 | 45 | 60 | 112.5 | 180 | 240 | 240 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 44 | 58.6136 | 53.2957 | 8.0346 | 2 | 210 | 5 | 27.5 | 37.5 | 80 | 150 | 180 | 210 | 210 |
| Employment | * | 210 | 27.1667 | 40.5487 | 2.7981 | 1 | 385 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 180 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1988 | 45.4874 | 46.6734 | 1.0468 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 180 | 240 |
| Employment | Part Time | 420 | 53.8643 | 55.3474 | 2.7007 | 2 | 520 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 65 | 105 | 125 | 205 | 255 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 1625 | 63.6357 | 57.7587 | 1.4328 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 29 | 45 | 90 | 125 | 170 | 240 | 275 |
| Employment | Refused | 35 | 53.5429 | 66.7803 | 11.2879 | 2 | 340 | 2 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 340 | 340 |
| Education | * | 291 | 31.7079 | 42.6211 | 2.4985 | 1 | 385 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 37 | 75 | 120 | 155 | 195 |
| Education | < High School | 450 | 61.2556 | 53.2321 | 2.5094 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 120 | 150 | 197 | 225 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1449 | 58.8392 | 56.6653 | 1.4886 | 1 | 520 | 5 | 22 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 155 | 240 | 310 |
| Education | < College | 954 | 52.0073 | 52.2377 | 1.6913 | 1 | 525 | 5 | 20 | 34.5 | 65 | 110 | 150 | 210 | 245 |
| Education | College Graduate | 659 | 46.2018 | 48.0775 | 1.8728 | 1 | 515 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 125 | 180 | 224 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 475 | 46.1621 | 48.7374 | 2.2362 | 1 | 375 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 96 | 135 | 200 | 270 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 952 | 52.312 | 53.2054 | 1.7244 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 61 | 110 | 140 | 205 | 255 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 956 | 53.2333 | 51.8139 | 1.6758 | 1 | 520 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 120 | 150 | 210 | 265 |
| Census Region | South | 1453 | 53.3944 | 53.4621 | 1.4025 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 16 | 35 | 70 | 120 | 150 | 195 | 245 |
| Census Region | West | 917 | 49.9073 | 52.7204 | 1.741 | 1 | 515 | 5 | 15 | 31 | 60 | 105 | 135 | 225 | 265 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 2995 | 50.0571 | 49.979 | 0.9132 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 19 | 35 | 60 | 105 | 132 | 180 | 240 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 1283 | 57.7693 | 58.7687 | 1.6407 | 1 | 420 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 130 | 180 | 240 | 300 |
| Season | Winter | 1173 | 50.6206 | 48.6464 | 1.4204 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 18 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 135 | 195 | 240 |
| Season | Spring | 1038 | 54.3892 | 54.484 | 1.6911 | 1 | 525 | 5 | 20 | 38.5 | 70 | 120 | 150 | 224 | 265 |
| Season | Summer | 1148 | 51.3972 | 54.1854 | 1.5992 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 110 | 137 | 208 | 300 |
| Season | Fall | 919 | 53.5375 | 54.5349 | 1.7989 | 1 | 520 | 5 | 20 | 37 | 67 | 120 | 155 | 200 | 265 |
| Asthma | No | 3948 | 52.0433 | 53.1805 | 0.8464 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 145 | 210 | 265 |
| Asthma | Yes | 300 | 57.1433 | 49.4425 | 2.8546 | 1 | 272 | 5 | 20.5 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 160 | 199 | 240 |
| Asthma | DK | 30 | 47.6333 | 44.8119 | 8.1815 | 2 | 195 | 5 | 10 | 32.5 | 60 | 117.5 | 120 | 195 | 195 |
| Angina | No | 4091 | 52.1936 | 52.9733 | 0.8282 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 115 | 150 | 210 | 265 |
| Angina | Yes | 149 | 56.8054 | 48.2377 | 3.9518 | 1 | 340 | 5 | 25 | 45 | 80 | 120 | 135 | 180 | 210 |
| Angina | DK | 38 | 53.9737 | 60.4168 | 9.8009 | 2 | 240 | 2 | 10 | 32.5 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 4024 | 52.0318 | 53.0963 | 0.837 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 145 | 210 | 265 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 216 | 56.9074 | 46.6833 | 3.1764 | 3 | 240 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 85 | 120 | 150 | 198 | 210 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 38 | 62.3947 | 61.7031 | 10.0096 | 2 | 240 | 2 | 20 | 42.5 | 90 | 150 | 240 | 240 | 240 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative
number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.

Volume III - Activity Factors


Chapter 15-Activity Factors

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perc | centiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1865 | 61.7882 | 68.894 | 1.5953 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 80 | 150 | 190 | 255 | 335 |
| Gender | Male | 324 | 46.1142 | 50.179 | 2.7877 | 1 | 360 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 135 | 210 | 260 |
| Gender | Female | 1541 | 65.0837 | 71.793 | 1.8289 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 90 | 150 | 200 | 270 | 340 |
| Age (years) | * | 32 | 43.75 | 46.49 | 8.2183 | 10 | 225 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 150 | 225 | 225 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 10 | 49.3 | 66.545 | 21.0434 | 3 | 210 | 3 | 5 | 22.5 | 55 | 165 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 20 | 34.25 | 28.799 | 6.4395 | 1 | 92 | 1.5 | 15 | 30 | 58 | 82.5 | 91 | 92 | 92 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 47 | 32.6809 | 30.603 | 4.4639 | 2 | 150 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 65 | 90 | 150 | 150 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1371 | 63.2356 | 67.104 | 1.8123 | 1 | 565 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 198 | 245 | 335 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 385 | 63.4416 | 79.738 | 4.0638 | 1 | 825 | 9 | 20 | 35 | 80 | 135 | 195 | 285 | 375 |
| Race | White | 1560 | 62.2173 | 69.493 | 1.7595 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 85 | 147.5 | 190 | 270 | 335 |
| Race | Black | 170 | 57.8471 | 60.026 | 4.6038 | 5 | 390 | 5 | 17 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 180 | 235 | 240 |
| Race | Asian | 19 | 56.7368 | 51.705 | 11.862 | 3 | 210 | 3 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 120 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Race | Some Others | 25 | 45.96 | 41.361 | 8.2721 | 5 | 150 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 80 | 120 | 120 | 150 | 150 |
| Race | Hispanic | 71 | 69.0141 | 75.626 | 8.9752 | 3 | 325 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 105 | 200 | 225 | 275 | 325 |
| Race | Refused | 20 | 60.75 | 104.217 | 23.3037 | 5 | 475 | 7.5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 127.5 | 305 | 475 | 475 |
| Hispanic | No | 1732 | 61.3077 | 68.206 | 1.6389 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 80 | 140 | 180 | 250 | 335 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 112 | 68.2589 | 71.468 | 6.7531 | 3 | 325 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 103 | 180 | 225 | 270 | 275 |
| Hispanic | DK | 7 | 75.7143 | 66.548 | 25.1526 | 10 | 180 | 10 | 15 | 55 | 150 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 14 | 62.5 | 122.266 | 32.677 | 5 | 475 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 120 | 475 | 475 | 475 |
| Employment | * | 73 | 35.3288 | 37.364 | 4.3732 | 1 | 210 | 3 | 15 | 20 | 50 | 80 | 120 | 150 | 210 |
| Employment | Full Time | 776 | 56.9549 | 63.42 | 2.2766 | 2 | 565 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 70 | 125 | 180 | 240 | 335 |
| Employment | Part Time | 214 | 63.7243 | 64.791 | 4.429 | 2 | 340 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 151 | 205 | 240 | 275 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 789 | 68.5234 | 76.296 | 2.7162 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 25 | 40 | 90 | 158 | 210 | 285 | 375 |
| Employment | Refused | 13 | 58.2308 | 59.448 | 16.4878 | 10 | 180 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 100 | 150 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Education | * | 99 | 37.5253 | 38.655 | 3.885 | 1 | 210 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 120 | 180 | 210 |
| Education | < High School | 216 | 69.7824 | 69.956 | 4.7599 | 2 | 570 | 10 | 26.5 | 45 | 90 | 151 | 195 | 245 | 315 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 683 | 67.3616 | 76.746 | 2.9366 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 90 | 150 | 205 | 285 | 405 |
| Education | < College | 422 | 64.3033 | 72.277 | 3.5184 | 2 | 475 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 85 | 155 | 210 | 285 | 360 |
| Education | College Graduate | 262 | 51.4466 | 49.386 | 3.0511 | 1 | 260 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 158 | 200 | 225 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 183 | 53.6831 | 60.208 | 4.4507 | 3 | 360 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 190 | 245 | 330 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 471 | 59.5223 | 60.067 | 2.7677 | 2 | 565 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 75 | 135 | 180 | 210 | 285 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 405 | 60.3235 | 68.244 | 3.3911 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 198 | 240 | 285 |
| Census Region | South | 602 | 65.8156 | 75.076 | 3.0599 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 90 | 150 | 210 | 270 | 360 |
| Census Region | West | 387 | 59.814 | 69.562 | 3.536 | 2 | 570 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 70 | 150 | 210 | 270 | 345 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1270 | 59.5402 | 68.798 | 1.9305 | 1 | 825 | 9 | 20 | 30 | 75 | 137.5 | 190 | 245 | 330 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 595 | 66.5866 | 68.909 | 2.825 | 5 | 565 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 90 | 150 | 210 | 275 | 340 |
| Season | Winter | 503 | 65.3479 | 79.461 | 3.543 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 210 | 300 | 360 |
| Season | Spring | 438 | 62.7763 | 67.751 | 3.2373 | 2 | 450 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 75 | 150 | 190 | 285 | 335 |
| Season | Summer | 510 | 61.7294 | 62.801 | 2.7809 | 2 | 565 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 90 | 140 | 180 | 240 | 270 |
| Season | Fall | 414 | 56.4903 | 63.125 | 3.1024 | 1 | 570 | 8 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 130 | 195 | 230 | 270 |
| Asthma | No | 1712 | 61.9533 | 69.64 | 1.6831 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 85 | 150 | 195 | 270 | 335 |
| Asthma | Yes | 147 | 60.8912 | 60.62 | 4.9999 | 2 | 375 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 76 | 151 | 180 | 250 | 255 |
| Asthma | DK | 6 | 36.6667 | 41.793 | 17.062 | 10 | 120 | 10 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Angina | No | 1790 | 62.0788 | 69.212 | 1.6359 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 85 | 150 | 190 | 255 | 335 |
| Angina | Yes | 66 | 54.7576 | 62.985 | 7.7529 | 5 | 335 | 9 | 25 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 200 | 315 | 335 |
| Angina | DK | 9 | 55.5556 | 44.19 | 14.7301 | 10 | 120 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1746 | 60.5063 | 65.326 | 1.5634 | 1 | 565 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 80 | 140 | 190 | 250 | 325 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 112 | 82.7143 | 109.505 | 10.3473 | 3 | 825 | 5 | 20 | 57.5 | 103 | 170 | 240 | 360 | 570 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 7 | 46.7143 | 51.403 | 19.4284 | 2 | 120 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> a Includes food cleanup, clothes care. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1943 | 118.833 | 113.369 | 2.5719 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 165 | 270 | 345 | 465 | 540 |
| Gender | Male | 370 | 109.419 | 116.541 | 6.0587 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 270 | 360 | 425 | 560 |
| Gender | Female | 1573 | 121.047 | 112.533 | 2.8374 | 1 | 790 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 165 | 270 | 345 | 465 | 540 |
| Age (years) | * | 47 | 146.043 | 121.3 | 17.6935 | 10 | 480 | 10 | 45 | 115 | 240 | 300 | 375 | 480 | 480 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 11 | 74.091 | 69.42 | 20.9308 | 10 | 270 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 90 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 54 | 42.852 | 34.096 | 4.6399 | 1 | 180 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 53 | 80 | 120 | 150 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 72 | 78.111 | 75.546 | 8.9031 | 1 | 300 | 5 | 27.5 | 60 | 105 | 210 | 240 | 285 | 300 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1316 | 120.422 | 113.654 | 3.133 | 1 | 810 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 165 | 270 | 360 | 465 | 525 |
| Age | > 64 | 443 | 128.217 | 118.925 | 5.6503 | 3 | 790 | 10 | 55 | 90 | 180 | 270 | 345 | 540 | 570 |
| Race | White | 1649 | 119.056 | 112.184 | 2.7626 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 165 | 265 | 340 | 465 | 540 |
| Race | Black | 137 | 116.555 | 109.394 | 9.3462 | 1 | 490 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 300 | 358 | 480 | 484 |
| Race | Asian | 32 | 98.75 | 100.467 | 17.7602 | 15 | 425 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 127.5 | 265 | 345 | 425 | 425 |
| Race | Some Others | 26 | 82.423 | 56.436 | 11.0681 | 5 | 210 | 15 | 40 | 60 | 115 | 185 | 190 | 210 | 210 |
| Race | Hispanic | 71 | 112.648 | 129.335 | 15.3492 | 5 | 660 | 8 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 270 | 465 | 518 | 660 |
| Race | Refused | 28 | 189.286 | 176.198 | 33.2983 | 10 | 810 | 20 | 52.5 | 147.5 | 247.5 | 420 | 465 | 810 | 810 |
| Hispanic | No | 1771 | 117.443 | 110.586 | 2.6278 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 165 | 265 | 335 | 425 | 525 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 134 | 121.657 | 129.578 | 11.1939 | 5 | 660 | 10 | 35 | 85 | 135 | 270 | 470 | 540 | 658 |
| Hispanic | DK | 15 | 146.867 | 127.912 | 33.0268 | 10 | 510 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 210 | 240 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 23 | 191.087 | 180.296 | 37.5944 | 10 | 810 | 20 | 45 | 150 | 255 | 390 | 420 | 810 | 810 |
| Employment | * | 138 | 65.565 | 68.838 | 5.8599 | 1 | 375 | 5 | 25 | 45 | 80 | 180 | 240 | 285 | 300 |
| Employment | Full Time | 673 | 106.579 | 102.376 | 3.9463 | 1 | 655 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 145 | 240 | 325 | 413 | 490 |
| Employment | Part Time | 193 | 124.72 | 117.48 | 8.4564 | 1 | 660 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 180 | 270 | 390 | 480 | 540 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 925 | 132.681 | 119.442 | 3.9272 | 3 | 790 | 15 | 55 | 105 | 180 | 295 | 370 | 484 | 600 |
| Employment | Refused | 14 | 236.786 | 208.221 | 55.6495 | 10 | 810 | 10 | 120 | 182.5 | 300 | 430 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Education | * | 171 | 82.164 | 96.944 | 7.4135 | 1 | 810 | 5 | 30 | 45 | 105 | 220 | 270 | 300 | 375 |
| Education | < High School | 246 | 140.736 | 125.356 | 7.9924 | 3 | 715 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 300 | 400 | 540 | 660 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 677 | 125.078 | 120.495 | 4.631 | 2 | 790 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 175 | 270 | 375 | 490 | 610 |
| Education | < College | 433 | 112.898 | 100.145 | 4.8127 | 1 | 570 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 150 | 240 | 320 | 420 | 470 |
| Education | College Graduate | 245 | 107.302 | 102.244 | 6.5321 | 1 | 585 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 240 | 328 | 405 | 465 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 171 | 130.813 | 117.998 | 9.0236 | 5 | 655 | 15 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 280 | 390 | 495 | 540 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 464 | 119.235 | 116.368 | 5.4022 | 2 | 790 | 10 | 35 | 90 | 165 | 245 | 330 | 480 | 655 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 413 | 117.855 | 112.595 | 5.5405 | 1 | 715 | 10 | 34 | 88 | 165 | 255 | 345 | 480 | 525 |
| Census Region | South | 648 | 119.912 | 116.159 | 4.5631 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 165 | 285 | 370 | 435 | 540 |
| Census Region | West | 418 | 117.679 | 106.559 | 5.212 | 5 | 720 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 165 | 255 | 340 | 420 | 470 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1316 | 113.21 | 111.913 | 3.085 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 255 | 330 | 470 | 550 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 627 | 130.635 | 115.567 | 4.6153 | 1 | 810 | 15 | 55 | 90 | 180 | 290 | 370 | 435 | 525 |
| Season | Winter | 470 | 111.4 | 100.617 | 4.6411 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 45 | 85 | 160 | 240 | 290 | 390 | 480 |
| Season | Spring | 451 | 122.621 | 114.024 | 5.3692 | 3 | 720 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 180 | 270 | 360 | 465 | 540 |
| Season | Summer | 563 | 111.803 | 114.5 | 4.8256 | 1 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 135 | 255 | 365 | 465 | 610 |
| Season | Fall | 459 | 131.344 | 122.391 | 5.7127 | 1 | 790 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 180 | 300 | 390 | 480 | 560 |
| Asthma | No | 1789 | 118.529 | 112.075 | 2.6497 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 165 | 270 | 345 | 465 | 540 |
| Asthma | Yes | 140 | 115.664 | 115.811 | 9.7878 | 5 | 690 | 10 | 36.5 | 67 | 150 | 277.5 | 377.5 | 470 | 480 |
| Asthma | DK | 14 | 189.286 | 208.565 | 55.7414 | 10 | 810 | 10 | 45 | 122.5 | 255 | 340 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Angina | No | 1853 | 117.731 | 112.346 | 2.6099 | 1 | 790 | 13 | 40 | 90 | 160 | 265 | 345 | 465 | 540 |
| Angina | Yes | 75 | 122.88 | 103.762 | 11.9814 | 5 | 394 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 210 | 270 | 320 | 370 | 394 |
| Angina | DK | 15 | 234.667 | 204 | 52.6725 | 10 | 810 | 10 | 120 | 240 | 300 | 480 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1816 | 118.073 | 112.929 | 2.65 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 160 | 270 | 355 | 465 | 540 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 107 | 118.701 | 102.942 | 9.9518 | 5 | 480 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 255 | 290 | 465 | 470 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 20 | 188.5 | 176.435 | 39.452 | 5 | 810 | 7.5 | 85 | 155 | 240 | 320 | 575 | 810 | 810 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
a Includes cleaning house, other repairs, and household work.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Table 15-91. Statistics for 24-Hour Cumulative Number of Minutes Spent in Bathing (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 6416 | 26.0842 | 29.6711 | 0.3704 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Gender | Male | 2930 | 24.2416 | 31.0251 | 0.5732 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 100 |
| Gender | Female | 3484 | 27.6372 | 28.4021 | 0.4812 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 75 | 105 | 135 |
| Gender | Refused | 2 | 20 | 14.1421 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Age (years) | * | 114 | 29.0088 | 38.9855 | 3.6513 | 2 | 300 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 105 | 275 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 330 | 29.9727 | 19.4226 | 1.0692 | 1 | 170 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 31 | 54.5 | 60 | 85 | 90 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 438 | 25.7511 | 35.3164 | 1.6875 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 75 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 444 | 23.1216 | 18.7078 | 0.8878 | 1 | 210 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 65 | 90 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 4383 | 25.4312 | 27.1553 | 0.4102 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 707 | 29.9123 | 44.502 | 1.6737 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 85 | 120 | 150 |
| Race | White | 5117 | 25.0233 | 28.5494 | 0.3991 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 115 |
| Race | Black | 707 | 31.4851 | 31.5524 | 1.1866 | 1 | 295 | 5 | 15 | 22 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 120 | 170 |
| Race | Asian | 112 | 28.1786 | 29.7661 | 2.8126 | 5 | 270 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 75 | 90 | 90 |
| Race | Some Others | 122 | 30.2213 | 27.2726 | 2.4691 | 1 | 240 | 8 | 15 | 27.5 | 35 | 50 | 60 | 100 | 150 |
| Race | Hispanic | 280 | 28.7786 | 39.2648 | 2.3465 | 2 | 546 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 31.5 | 54.5 | 62.5 | 90 | 155 |
| Race | Refused | 78 | 27.5769 | 40.3235 | 4.5657 | 3 | 275 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 195 | 275 |
| Hispanic | No | 5835 | 25.8833 | 28.5411 | 0.3736 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 486 | 28.751 | 40.5582 | 1.8398 | 2 | 570 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 140 |
| Hispanic | DK | 33 | 25.7576 | 16.7724 | 2.9197 | 5 | 65 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 55 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 62 | 24.2581 | 37.2268 | 4.7278 | 3 | 275 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 275 |
| Employment | * | 1189 | 26.1329 | 26.4288 | 0.7665 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Employment | Full Time | 3095 | 24.1499 | 25.0984 | 0.4511 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 85 | 110 |
| Employment | Part Time | 558 | 24.7616 | 23.2468 | 0.9841 | 1 | 295 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 46 | 60 | 90 | 110 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 1528 | 30.3161 | 39.9341 | 1.0216 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 85 | 120 | 155 |
| Employment | Refused | 46 | 30.4348 | 45.176 | 6.6608 | 3 | 275 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 55 | 105 | 275 | 275 |
| Education | * | 1330 | 25.6759 | 26.4094 | 0.7242 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Education | < High School | 474 | 33.3122 | 53.0129 | 2.435 | 1 | 570 | 5 | 15 | 20.5 | 33 | 60 | 85 | 110 | 300 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1758 | 25.822 | 23.5699 | 0.5621 | 1 | 270 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Education | < College | 1288 | 26.4099 | 27.0338 | 0.7533 | 1 | 255 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 55 | 75 | 105 | 150 |
| Education | College Graduate | 897 | 25.3813 | 34.8197 | 1.1626 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 50 | 65 | 105 | 135 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 669 | 22.7788 | 23.0661 | 0.8918 | 1 | 257 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 85 | 100 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1444 | 25.0478 | 24.2512 | 0.6382 | 1 | 360 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 105 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1402 | 24.602 | 30.2958 | 0.8091 | 1 | 570 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 85 | 115 |
| Census Region | South | 2266 | 27.4086 | 26.0895 | 0.5481 | 1 | 300 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 55 | 65 | 100 | 135 |
| Census Region | West | 1304 | 26.5238 | 38.8092 | 1.0747 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 48 | 60 | 90 | 133 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 4427 | 25.2896 | 30.2913 | 0.4553 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 115 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 1989 | 27.8527 | 28.1689 | 0.6316 | 1 | 555 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 68 | 100 | 130 |
| Season | Winter | 1796 | 26.858 | 26.9167 | 0.6351 | 1 | 546 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 110 |
| Season | Spring | 1645 | 28.5854 | 41.0512 | 1.0121 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 70 | 115 | 150 |
| Season | Summer | 1744 | 23.9295 | 20.7343 | 0.4965 | 1 | 270 | 5 | 10 | 19.5 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 80 | 100 |
| Season | Fall | 1231 | 24.6653 | 25.5885 | 0.7293 | 1 | 340 | 5 | 10 | 17 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 95 | 120 |
| Asthma | No | 5912 | 26.0658 | 30.0373 | 0.3907 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Asthma | Yes | 468 | 26.5427 | 22.9543 | 1.0611 | 1 | 210 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 46 | 60 | 100 | 120 |
| Asthma | DK | 36 | 23.1389 | 44.0728 | 7.3455 | 3 | 275 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 275 | 275 |
| Angina | No | 6243 | 26.0042 | 29.0175 | 0.3673 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Angina | Yes | 131 | 31.145 | 49.5427 | 4.3286 | 5 | 546 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 105 | 131 |
| Angina | DK | 42 | 22.1905 | 40.9153 | 6.3134 | 3 | 275 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 275 | 275 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 6112 | 26.0545 | 29.857 | 0.3819 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 268 | 27.2463 | 22.162 | 1.3538 | 1 | 150 | 5 | 13 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 95 | 131 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 36 | 22.4722 | 44.0859 | 7.3477 | 3 | 275 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 22.5 | 30 | 30 | 275 | 275 |

[^8]|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percen | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1414 | 147.69 | 148.216 | 3.942 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 45 | 100 | 205 | 360 | 470 | 570 | 655 |
| Gender | Male | 804 | 174.84 | 160.191 | 5.649 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 249.5 | 415 | 510 | 600 | 670 |
| Gender | Female | 610 | 111.91 | 121.979 | 4.939 | 1 | 900 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 145 | 277.5 | 360 | 465 | 510 |
| Age (years) | * | 20 | 181.85 | 170.345 | 38.09 | 5 | 600 | 10 | 60 | 116 | 240 | 467.5 | 570 | 600 | 600 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 12 | 93.167 | 80.805 | 23.326 | 5 | 285 | 5 | 30 | 82.5 | 132.5 | 178 | 285 | 285 | 285 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 26 | 96.154 | 85.532 | 16.774 | 5 | 330 | 5 | 39 | 60 | 120 | 210 | 300 | 330 | 330 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 54 | 116 | 116.758 | 15.889 | 3 | 505 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 285 | 385 | 450 | 505 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1015 | 150.22 | 154.486 | 4.849 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 35 | 100 | 210 | 360 | 480 | 585 | 670 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 287 | 149.3 | 133.834 | 7.9 | 2 | 810 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 205 | 330 | 420 | 525 | 630 |
| Race | White | 1249 | 151.52 | 150.205 | 4.25 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 45 | 105 | 210 | 360 | 480 | 575 | 660 |
| Race | Black | 77 | 114.53 | 127.124 | 14.487 | 2 | 750 | 5 | 20 | 65 | 165 | 285 | 355 | 405 | 750 |
| Race | Asian | 13 | 140 | 150.111 | 41.633 | 5 | 425 | 5 | 15 | 85 | 210 | 360 | 425 | 425 | 425 |
| Race | Some Others | 26 | 117.23 | 110.647 | 21.7 | 5 | 380 | 5 | 30 | 88 | 178 | 290 | 360 | 380 | 380 |
| Race | Hispanic | 37 | 102.11 | 113.508 | 18.661 | 5 | 565 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 255 | 300 | 565 | 565 |
| Race | Refused | 12 | 177.08 | 190.793 | 55.077 | 30 | 600 | 30 | 60 | 97.5 | 215 | 510 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Hispanic | No | 1331 | 148.69 | 147.962 | 4.056 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 45 | 105 | 209 | 360 | 465 | 570 | 660 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 65 | 106.17 | 127.4 | 15.802 | 5 | 575 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 255 | 300 | 565 | 575 |
| Hispanic | DK | 8 | 248.75 | 206.48 | 73.002 | 5 | 585 | 5 | 90 | 190 | 420 | 585 | 585 | 585 | 585 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 10 | 203.5 | 200.056 | 63.263 | 60 | 600 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 555 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Employment | * | 92 | 106.82 | 101.779 | 10.611 | 3 | 505 | 5 | 31.5 | 77 | 147.5 | 240 | 330 | 450 | 505 |
| Employment | Full Time | 664 | 146.73 | 155.488 | 6.034 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 35 | 90 | 202.5 | 360 | 490 | 575 | 690 |
| Employment | Part Time | 121 | 134.51 | 130.79 | 11.89 | 2 | 554 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 200 | 317 | 390 | 490 | 495 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 526 | 157.76 | 147.022 | 6.41 | 2 | 810 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 220 | 370 | 480 | 595 | 655 |
| Employment | Refused | 11 | 211.55 | 198.724 | 59.918 | 2 | 600 | 2 | 60 | 120 | 375 | 465 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Education | * | 105 | 113.47 | 113.854 | 11.111 | 2 | 600 | 5 | 33 | 79 | 150 | 285 | 360 | 450 | 505 |
| Education | < High School | 160 | 158.46 | 164.764 | 13.026 | 2 | 900 | 7.5 | 45 | 111 | 210 | 412.5 | 492.5 | 595 | 810 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 465 | 151.39 | 146.985 | 6.816 | 3 | 840 | 5 | 50 | 110 | 210 | 345 | 460 | 575 | 690 |
| Education | < College | 305 | 152.84 | 157.011 | 8.99 | 2 | 1080 | 5 | 45 | 95 | 210 | 360 | 473 | 600 | 630 |
| Education | College Graduate | 211 | 145.36 | 138.849 | 9.559 | 1 | 625 | 5 | 40 | 105 | 225 | 330 | 465 | 525 | 533 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 168 | 142.2 | 147.773 | 11.401 | 2 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 340 | 470 | 570 | 630 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 291 | 140.5 | 139.641 | 8.186 | 3 | 840 | 5 | 40 | 90 | 200 | 330 | 450 | 525 | 600 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 314 | 145.1 | 143.219 | 8.082 | 2 | 780 | 10 | 55 | 95 | 195 | 360 | 445 | 560 | 655 |
| Census Region | South | 438 | 152.69 | 156.36 | 7.471 | 2 | 1080 | 5 | 45 | 111 | 205 | 375 | 480 | 585 | 635 |
| Census Region | West | 371 | 149.63 | 149.345 | 7.754 | 1 | 750 | 5 | 40 | 104 | 210 | 350 | 480 | 575 | 690 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 878 | 140.86 | 140.753 | 4.75 | 1 | 810 | 5 | 40 | 92.5 | 190 | 345 | 460 | 560 | 625 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 536 | 158.88 | 159.193 | 6.876 | 2 | 1080 | 5 | 50 | 116.5 | 225 | 380 | 510 | 600 | 690 |
| Season | Winter | 289 | 139.35 | 151.711 | 8.924 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 195 | 360 | 480 | 565 | 600 |
| Season | Spring | 438 | 162.23 | 150.477 | 7.19 | 3 | 900 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 220 | 360 | 480 | 570 | 700 |
| Season | Summer | 458 | 137.92 | 140.291 | 6.555 | 2 | 1080 | 5 | 40 | 90 | 180 | 310 | 440 | 555 | 630 |
| Season | Fall | 229 | 149.97 | 153.398 | 10.137 | 2 | 720 | 5 | 40 | 97 | 210 | 390 | 480 | 600 | 655 |
| Asthma | No | 1311 | 146.95 | 147.084 | 4.062 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 45 | 100 | 200 | 355 | 465 | 570 | 635 |
| Asthma | Yes | 98 | 149.27 | 155.758 | 15.734 | 5 | 670 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 210 | 445 | 480 | 670 | 670 |
| Asthma | DK | 5 | 312 | 230.043 | 102.879 | 60 | 600 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 480 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Angina | No | 1360 | 145.34 | 145.05 | 3.933 | 1 | 900 | 5 | 45 | 100 | 200 | 355 | 465 | 570 | 655 |
| Angina | Yes | 42 | 192.62 | 203.363 | 31.38 | 5 | 1080 | 15 | 60 | 142.5 | 255 | 465 | 485 | 1080 | 1080 |
| Angina | DK | 12 | 257.08 | 216.716 | 62.56 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 52.5 | 232.5 | 472.5 | 510 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1352 | 148.48 | 148.534 | 4.04 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 45 | 105 | 205 | 360 | 470 | 570 | 660 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 57 | 114.65 | 121.376 | 16.077 | 5 | 460 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 340 | 375 | 405 | 460 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 5 |  | 230.043 | 102.879 | 60 | 600 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 480 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. <br> Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> a Includes car repair services, other repairs services, outdoor cleaning, car repair maintenance, other repairs, plant care, other household work, domestic crafts, domestic arts. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perc | iles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1852 | 116.322 | 107.947 | 2.5084 | 1 | 1130 | 17 | 45 | 85 | 150 | 253 | 316 | 420 | 515 |
| Gender | Male | 958 | 130.669 | 117.216 | 3.7871 | 1 | 1130 | 20 | 55 | 97.5 | 175 | 270 | 355 | 475 | 558 |
| Gender | Female | 892 | 100.854 | 94.795 | 3.174 | 1 | 1065 | 15 | 35 | 65 | 130 | 230 | 285 | 370 | 435 |
| Gender | Refused | 2 | 142.5 | 38.891 | 27.5 | 115 | 170 | 115 | 115 | 143 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 |
| Age (years) | * | 32 | 102.031 | 79.32 | 14.022 | 5 | 290 | 15 | 40 | 80 | 137.5 | 225 | 270 | 290 | 290 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 114 | 118.982 | 109.17 | 10.2247 | 10 | 670 | 25 | 45 | 90 | 159 | 250 | 330 | 390 | 630 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 262 | 153.496 | 130.58 | 8.0673 | 2 | 975 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 200 | 330 | 415 | 525 | 580 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 237 | 134.717 | 122.228 | 7.9396 | 5 | 1065 | 15 | 60 | 110 | 179 | 265 | 360 | 470 | 520 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 992 | 109.692 | 100.801 | 3.2004 | 1 | 1130 | 20 | 45 | 75 | 145 | 240 | 300 | 405 | 510 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 215 | 82.051 | 75.995 | 5.1828 | 1 | 380 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 195 | 270 | 310 | 316 |
| Race | White | 1541 | 117.524 | 110.622 | 2.818 | 1 | 1130 | 20 | 45 | 85 | 150 | 255 | 320 | 435 | 525 |
| Race | Black | 135 | 110.4 | 93.06 | 8.0094 | 5 | 440 | 15 | 45 | 85 | 150 | 220 | 340 | 430 | 435 |
| Race | Asian | 37 | 85.432 | 73.897 | 12.1486 | 5 | 310 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 210 | 235 | 310 | 310 |
| Race | Some Others | 47 | 124.702 | 106.397 | 15.5196 | 15 | 553 | 30 | 40 | 85 | 180 | 270 | 325 | 553 | 553 |
| Race | Hispanic | 74 | 108.892 | 89.177 | 10.3667 | 1 | 520 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 145 | 225 | 270 | 345 | 520 |
| Race | Refused | 18 | 130 | 111.698 | 26.3275 | 30 | 420 | 30 | 60 | 82.5 | 140 | 300 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Hispanic | No | 1678 | 116.451 | 108.276 | 2.6432 | 1 | 1130 | 17 | 45 | 85 | 150 | 253 | 316 | 430 | 510 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 151 | 115.583 | 106.428 | 8.661 | 1 | 630 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 145 | 240 | 325 | 415 | 553 |
| Hispanic | DK | 7 | 92.857 | 62.773 | 23.726 | 20 | 185 | 20 | 30 | 75 | 145 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 16 | 120 | 110 | 27.5 | 30 | 420 | 30 | 60 | 70 | 122.5 | 290 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Employment | * | 606 | 138.658 | 123.665 | 5.0235 | 2 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 285 | 375 | 470 | 580 |
| Employment | Full Time | 644 | 102.315 | 94.146 | 3.7099 | 5 | 1130 | 20 | 45 | 67.5 | 130 | 225 | 280 | 360 | 405 |
| Employment | Part Time | 125 | 115.272 | 91.33 | 8.1688 | 1 | 450 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 160 | 220 | 300 | 420 | 420 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 465 | 107.239 | 104.105 | 4.8277 | 1 | 600 | 10 | 31 | 70 | 135 | 250 | 310 | 462 | 515 |
| Employment | Refused | 12 | 102.917 | 87.917 | 25.3794 | 30 | 280 | 30 | 40 | 75 | 130 | 270 | 280 | 280 | 280 |
| Education | * | 663 | 139.46 | 123.813 | 4.8085 | 2 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 285 | 383 | 510 | 580 |
| Education | < High School | 103 | 96.243 | 97.046 | 9.5622 | 10 | 570 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 210 | 270 | 305 | 510 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 341 | 109.276 | 106.483 | 5.7664 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 40 | 75 | 150 | 235 | 285 | 405 | 485 |
| Education | < College | 265 | 110.068 | 94.836 | 5.8257 | 1 | 525 | 17 | 45 | 80 | 145 | 240 | 305 | 418 | 475 |
| Education | College Graduate | 258 | 105.717 | 92.204 | 5.7404 | 1 | 600 | 20 | 45 | 70 | 130 | 240 | 297 | 343 | 450 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 222 | 87.149 | 79.704 | 5.3494 | 5 | 375 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 208 | 290 | 355 | 360 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 437 | 126.865 | 122.905 | 5.8793 | 1 | 1130 | 15 | 50 | 95 | 165 | 270 | 338 | 470 | 558 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 341 | 105.889 | 94.38 | 5.111 | 5 | 570 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 135 | 240 | 280 | 430 | 438 |
| Census Region | South | 627 | 112.774 | 104.846 | 4.1872 | 1 | 975 | 15 | 45 | 80 | 150 | 250 | 313 | 410 | 462 |
| Census Region | West | 447 | 118.951 | 105.629 | 4.9961 | 4 | 670 | 22 | 48 | 85 | 160 | 250 | 325 | 475 | 525 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1264 | 107.154 | 94.026 | 2.6447 | 1 | 670 | 15 | 45 | 75 | 140 | 235 | 285 | 375 | 485 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 588 | 136.029 | 130.966 | 5.401 | 1 | 1130 | 20 | 51.5 | 90 | 180 | 297 | 380 | 462 | 558 |
| Season | Winter | 448 | 104.094 | 104.108 | 4.9187 | 1 | 1065 | 15 | 40 | 70 | 130 | 230 | 280 | 360 | 420 |
| Season | Spring | 533 | 123.452 | 100.904 | 4.3706 | 5 | 650 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 162 | 267 | 330 | 420 | 500 |
| Season | Summer | 579 | 125.988 | 114.358 | 4.7525 | 1 | 670 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 160 | 283 | 360 | 470 | 545 |
| Season | Fall | 292 | 102.901 | 110.416 | 6.4616 | 4 | 1130 | 15 | 40 | 60 | 127.5 | 225 | 275 | 460 | 565 |
| Asthma | No | 1699 | 114.927 | 105.239 | 2.5532 | 1 | 1130 | 17 | 45 | 85 | 150 | 250 | 310 | 420 | 510 |
| Asthma | Yes | 137 | 132.131 | 134.238 | 11.4687 | 1 | 1065 | 15 | 60 | 90 | 165 | 265 | 390 | 553 | 565 |
| Asthma | DK | 16 | 129.063 | 134.786 | 33.6966 | 10 | 450 | 10 | 60 | 60 | 152.5 | 420 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Angina | No | 1801 | 117.3 | 108.373 | 2.5537 | 1 | 1130 | 20 | 45 | 89 | 150 | 254 | 316 | 430 | 515 |
| Angina | Yes | 40 | 68 | 70.942 | 11.217 | 5 | 330 | 5.5 | 30 | 47.5 | 60 | 172.5 | 235 | 330 | 330 |
| Angina | DK | 11 | 131.818 | 116.023 | 34.9823 | 40 | 420 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 155 | 270 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1782 | 116.226 | 107.987 | 2.5581 | 1 | 1130 | 17 | 45 | 85 | 150 | 250 | 315 | 430 | 515 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 56 | 119.429 | 108.516 | 14.501 | 10 | 553 | 20 | 42.5 | 75 | 172.5 | 270 | 340 | 410 | 553 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 14 | 116.071 | 108.187 | 28.9143 | 15 | 420 | 15 | 60 | 85 | 140 | 270 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> a Includes active sports, exercise, hobbies. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 8627 | 74.8821 | 54.8419 | 0.5904 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 96 | 140 | 175 | 215 | 270 |
| Gender | Male | 3979 | 75.8316 | 56.2313 | 0.8914 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 39 | 60 | 96 | 140 | 180 | 210 | 270 |
| Gender | Female | 4644 | 74.0814 | 53.6353 | 0.7871 | 2 | 640 | 15 | 34 | 60 | 98 | 140 | 170 | 225 | 270 |
| Gender | Refused | 4 | 60 | 21.2132 | 10.6066 | 30 | 75 | 30 | 45 | 67.5 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Age (years) | * | 157 | 75.3248 | 50.1255 | 4.0005 | 10 | 315 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 100 | 145 | 150 | 195 | 285 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 492 | 93.4837 | 52.8671 | 2.3834 | 2 | 345 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 160 | 190 | 225 | 270 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 680 | 68.5412 | 38.9518 | 1.4937 | 5 | 255 | 15 | 40 | 65 | 90 | 120 | 142.5 | 165 | 195 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 538 | 55.8587 | 34.9903 | 1.5085 | 2 | 210 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 75 | 105 | 125 | 150 | 170 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 5464 | 71.8673 | 55.1199 | 0.7457 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 170 | 220 | 270 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 1296 | 91.7014 | 62.6665 | 1.7407 | 5 | 750 | 20 | 50 | 80 | 120 | 165 | 200 | 270 | 295 |
| Race | White | 7049 | 77.0058 | 55.6564 | 0.6629 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 40 | 64 | 100 | 145 | 180 | 225 | 270 |
| Race | Black | 808 | 59.9047 | 46.5954 | 1.6392 | 2 | 505 | 15 | 30 | 50 | 75 | 119 | 140 | 200 | 225 |
| Race | Asian | 148 | 80.4054 | 47.8283 | 3.9315 | 2 | 305 | 15 | 45 | 72.5 | 106.5 | 150 | 160 | 200 | 200 |
| Race | Some Others | 168 | 66.0417 | 52.0928 | 4.019 | 7 | 525 | 15 | 30 | 59.5 | 83 | 120 | 135 | 190 | 200 |
| Race | Hispanic | 345 | 68.7043 | 51.8926 | 2.7938 | 2 | 435 | 12 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 125 | 165 | 195 | 225 |
| Race | Refused | 109 | 74.2477 | 60.8473 | 5.8281 | 8 | 410 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 180 | 290 | 315 |
| Hispanic | No | 7861 | 75.5599 | 55.2306 | 0.6229 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 100 | 140 | 175 | 220 | 270 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 639 | 68.2754 | 50.1994 | 1.9859 | 2 | 435 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 155 | 195 | 225 |
| Hispanic | DK | 41 | 60.4146 | 37.1039 | 5.7947 | 5 | 150 | 15 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 120 | 130 | 150 | 150 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 86 | 68.9186 | 55.4732 | 5.9818 | 8 | 410 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 115 | 155 | 210 | 410 |
| Employment | * | 1695 | 72.2083 | 44.9086 | 1.0908 | 2 | 345 | 15 | 40 | 65 | 90 | 133 | 150 | 195 | 210 |
| Employment | Full Time | 3684 | 70.6097 | 55.0998 | 0.9078 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 165 | 225 | 270 |
| Employment | Part Time | 715 | 72.2112 | 55.4476 | 2.0736 | 2 | 509 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 170 | 230 | 260 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 2472 | 83.9498 | 59.1281 | 1.1892 | 2 | 750 | 15 | 45 | 75 | 110 | 150 | 185 | 235 | 285 |
| Employment | Refused | 61 | 71.0492 | 60.9843 | 7.8082 | 8 | 385 | 15 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 120 | 145 | 235 | 385 |
| Education | * | 1867 | 70.85 | 45.3955 | 1.0506 | 2 | 375 | 15 | 38 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 150 | 190 | 210 |
| Education | < High School | 758 | 72.3206 | 57.4352 | 2.0861 | 2 | 460 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 180 | 230 | 315 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 2363 | 74.8565 | 57.1005 | 1.1746 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 96 | 140 | 175 | 220 | 270 |
| Education | < College | 1612 | 73.9237 | 56.5324 | 1.408 | 2 | 525 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 145 | 175 | 230 | 275 |
| Education | College Graduate | 1160 | 78.4991 | 55.4196 | 1.6272 | 1 | 640 | 15 | 40 | 65 | 105 | 145 | 180 | 220 | 265 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 867 | 82.8166 | 59.6871 | 2.0271 | 2 | 750 | 15 | 40 | 70 | 110 | 150 | 185 | 240 | 270 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1916 | 78.2766 | 59.1627 | 1.3516 | 1 | 750 | 15 | 37 | 65 | 102.5 | 145 | 180 | 240 | 285 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1928 | 75.8117 | 51.3702 | 1.1699 | 1 | 435 | 15 | 40 | 64 | 100 | 140 | 175 | 210 | 255 |
| Census Region | South | 2960 | 71.3916 | 55.0903 | 1.0126 | 2 | 900 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 165 | 210 | 270 |
| Census Region | West | 1823 | 75.9989 | 52.9755 | 1.2407 | 2 | 500 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 100 | 150 | 180 | 210 | 240 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 5813 | 71.2069 | 52.0446 | 0.6826 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 33 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 165 | 210 | 250 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 2814 | 82.4741 | 59.5052 | 1.1217 | 2 | 630 | 15 | 40 | 70 | 110 | 150 | 190 | 240 | 297 |
| Season | Winter | 2332 | 76.0931 | 56.4379 | 1.1687 | 2 | 640 | 15 | 38.5 | 65 | 95.5 | 140 | 175 | 240 | 275 |
| Season | Spring | 2222 | 76.3096 | 55.207 | 1.1712 | 1 | 630 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 100 | 145 | 178 | 220 | 275 |
| Season | Summer | 2352 | 73.4787 | 53.2506 | 1.098 | 1 | 750 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 95 | 135 | 170 | 210 | 260 |
| Season | Fall | 1721 | 73.3161 | 54.2737 | 1.3083 | 2 | 900 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 140 | 175 | 210 | 232 |
| Asthma | No | 7937 | 75.2016 | 54.8093 | 0.6152 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 100 | 140 | 175 | 215 | 270 |
| Asthma | Yes | 635 | 71.3732 | 55.0353 | 2.184 | 2 | 460 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 133 | 170 | 225 | 285 |
| Asthma | DK | 55 | 69.2909 | 56.5874 | 7.6302 | 8 | 335 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 210 | 215 | 335 |
| Angina | No | 8318 | 74.5795 | 54.4372 | 0.5969 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 95 | 140 | 175 | 210 | 265 |
| Angina | Yes | 243 | 85.0288 | 63.5335 | 4.0757 | 2 | 500 | 15 | 45 | 75 | 115 | 160 | 180 | 285 | 330 |
| Angina | DK | 66 | 75.6667 | 67.304 | 8.2845 | 5 | 435 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 195 | 215 | 435 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 8169 | 74.6605 | 54.3234 | 0.601 | 1 | 900 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 95 | 140 | 170 | 210 | 260 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 397 | 80.6599 | 65.2442 | 3.2745 | 2 | 460 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 150 | 180 | 285 | 360 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 61 | 66.9508 | 47.7188 | 6.1098 | 8 | 230 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 155 | 215 | 230 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative
number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 153 | 190.693 | 234.506 | 18.959 | 1 | 930 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 360 | 565 | 645 | 695 | 748 |
| Gender | Male | 105 | 241.476 | 250.274 | 24.424 | 2 | 930 | 5 | 15 | 115 | 495 | 600 | 675 | 700 | 748 |
| Gender | Female | 48 | 79.604 | 144.512 | 20.858 | 1 | 595 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 70 | 295 | 485 | 595 | 595 |
| Age (years) | * | 3 | 161.667 | 115.578 | 66.729 | 90 | 295 | 90 | 90 | 100 | 295 | 295 | 295 | 295 | 295 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 4 | 40 | 50.166 | 25.083 | 10 | 115 | 10 | 12.5 | 17.5 | 67.5 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 5 | 22 | 21.679 | 9.695 | 5 | 60 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 7 | 153.857 | 205.069 | 77.509 | 3 | 505 | 3 | 5 | 55 | 390 | 505 | 505 | 505 | 505 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 118 | 223.847 | 249.335 | 22.953 | 1 | 930 | 5 | 15 | 75 | 480 | 600 | 675 | 700 | 748 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 16 | 58.125 | 96.889 | 24.222 | 2 | 358 | 2 | 15 | 20 | 42.5 | 225 | 358 | 358 | 358 |
| Race | White | 130 | 195.538 | 237.537 | 20.833 | 1 | 930 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 390 | 587.5 | 645 | 700 | 748 |
| Race | Black | 12 | 149.667 | 203.31 | 58.691 | 2 | 565 | 2 | 6.5 | 75 | 229 | 495 | 565 | 565 | 565 |
| Race | Asian | 5 | 173 | 231.236 | 103.412 | 5 | 525 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 295 | 525 | 525 | 525 | 525 |
| Race | Some Others | 3 | 15 | 10 | 5.774 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Race | Hispanic | 3 | 350 | 330.114 | 190.591 | 15 | 675 | 15 | 15 | 360 | 675 | 675 | 675 | 675 | 675 |
| Hispanic | No | 148 | 188.926 | 233.749 | 19.214 | 1 | 930 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 369.5 | 565 | 630 | 700 | 748 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 5 | 243 | 279.701 | 125.086 | 15 | 675 | 15 | 15 | 150 | 360 | 675 | 675 | 675 | 675 |
| Employment | * | 16 | 84.188 | 146.714 | 36.678 | 3 | 505 | 3 | 12.5 | 17.5 | 69.5 | 390 | 505 | 505 | 505 |
| Employment | Full Time | 84 | 283.571 | 263.755 | 28.778 | 3 | 930 | 5 | 17.5 | 230 | 540 | 630 | 680 | 748 | 930 |
| Employment | Part Time | 16 | 104.188 | 147.369 | 36.842 | 5 | 390 | 5 | 12.5 | 17.5 | 187.5 | 359 | 390 | 390 | 390 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 35 | 65.914 | 94.745 | 16.015 | 1 | 432 | 2 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 160 | 358 | 432 | 432 |
| Employment | Refused | 2 | 17.5 | 17.678 | 12.5 | 5 | 30 | 5 | 5 | 17.5 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Education | * | 18 | 95.056 | 153.879 | 36.27 | 3 | 505 | 3 | 10 | 17.5 | 79 | 390 | 505 | 505 | 505 |
| Education | < High School | 16 | 327.188 | 301.181 | 75.295 | 5 | 930 | 5 | 60 | 278 | 615 | 675 | 930 | 930 | 930 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 51 | 233.353 | 243.089 | 34.039 | 2 | 748 | 5 | 20 | 120 | 480 | 565 | 675 | 695 | 748 |
| Education | < College | 32 | 253.469 | 252.8 | 44.689 | 2 | 700 | 5 | 15 | 157 | 517.5 | 595 | 680 | 700 | 700 |
| Education | College Graduate | 19 | 72.895 | 126.321 | 28.98 | 1 | 508 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 90 | 295 | 508 | 508 | 508 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 17 | 49 | 73.388 | 17.799 | 5 | 235 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 225 | 235 | 235 | 235 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 29 | 247.31 | 257.069 | 47.737 | 2 | 930 | 3 | 30 | 120 | 432 | 600 | 748 | 930 | 930 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 48 | 230.896 | 251.622 | 36.318 | 1 | 700 | 5 | 17.5 | 74.5 | 510 | 600 | 680 | 700 | 700 |
| Census Region | South | 43 | 165.721 | 211.591 | 32.267 | 3 | 675 | 5 | 15 | 50 | 358 | 555 | 595 | 675 | 675 |
| Census Region | West | 33 | 115 | 198.907 | 34.625 | 5 | 675 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 100 | 505 | 645 | 675 | 675 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 121 | 204.645 | 244.861 | 22.26 | 1 | 930 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 390 | 595 | 675 | 700 | 748 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 32 | 137.938 | 184.175 | 32.558 | 2 | 540 | 3 | 15 | 40 | 200 | 505 | 510 | 540 | 540 |
| Season | Winter | 28 | 177.143 | 258.088 | 48.774 | 2 | 930 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 355 | 595 | 700 | 930 | 930 |
| Season | Spring | 44 | 189.636 | 223.267 | 33.659 | 2 | 645 | 5 | 15 | 79.5 | 384.5 | 565 | 600 | 645 | 645 |
| Season | Summer | 52 | 171.692 | 223.809 | 31.037 | 1 | 680 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 347.5 | 540 | 675 | 675 | 680 |
| Season | Fall | 29 | 239.448 | 251.391 | 46.682 | 5 | 748 | 8 | 35 | 95 | 445 | 605 | 695 | 748 | 748 |
| Asthma | No | 145 | 191.29 | 235.288 | 19.54 | 1 | 930 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 360 | 565 | 645 | 700 | 748 |
| Asthma | Yes | 8 | 179.875 | 234.838 | 83.028 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 5 | 37.5 | 374.5 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Angina | No | 149 | 191.047 | 235.262 | 19.273 | 1 | 930 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 360 | 585 | 645 | 700 | 748 |
| Angina | Yes | 4 | 177.5 | 235.744 | 117.872 | 5 | 510 | 5 | 10 | 97.5 | 345 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 146 | 189.048 | 234.959 | 19.445 | 1 | 930 | 5 | 15 | 57.5 | 360 | 585 | 645 | 700 | 748 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 7 | 225 | 239.948 | 90.692 | 5 | 555 | 5 | 5 | 95 | 510 | 555 | 555 | 555 | 555 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. $\mathrm{Min}=$ minimum number of minutes. $\mathrm{Max}=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 364 | 129.651 | 104.343 | 5.4691 | 5 | 686 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 155 | 240 | 320 | 525 | 600 |
| Gender | Male | 176 | 147.193 | 115.554 | 8.7102 | 5 | 686 | 30 | 77.5 | 120 | 175 | 285 | 360 | 533 | 660 |
| Gender | Female | 188 | 113.229 | 89.876 | 6.5549 | 5 | 660 | 30 | 60 | 92.5 | 135 | 200 | 279 | 420 | 560 |
| Age (years) | * | 6 | 202.5 | 227.854 | 93.0211 | 30 | 560 | 30 | 55 | 75 | 420 | 560 | 560 | 560 | 560 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 5 | 156 | 29.875 | 13.3604 | 105 | 180 | 105 | 160 | 160 | 175 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 28 | 105.286 | 69.537 | 13.1413 | 5 | 325 | 30 | 58 | 82.5 | 141 | 165 | 270 | 325 | 325 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 39 | 165.385 | 122.056 | 19.5447 | 15 | 660 | 30 | 90 | 138 | 206 | 330 | 440 | 660 | 660 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 254 | 123.134 | 98.827 | 6.2009 | 5 | 686 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 150 | 210 | 295 | 475 | 600 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 32 | 141.375 | 114.216 | 20.1907 | 10 | 533 | 30 | 60 | 103 | 173 | 292 | 340 | 533 | 533 |
| Race | White | 307 | 134.261 | 109.36 | 6.2415 | 5 | 686 | 30 | 65 | 110 | 164 | 255 | 330 | 533 | 600 |
| Race | Black | 30 | 117.7 | 75.418 | 13.7693 | 5 | 320 | 10 | 60 | 115 | 145 | 235 | 285 | 320 | 320 |
| Race | Asian | 10 | 75.2 | 36.484 | 11.5372 | 30 | 145 | 30 | 54 | 60 | 95 | 133 | 145 | 145 | 145 |
| Race | Some Others | 11 | 112.909 | 69.077 | 20.8276 | 25 | 270 | 25 | 65 | 90 | 153 | 179 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Race | Hispanic | 4 | 83.75 | 42.696 | 21.3478 | 40 | 140 | 40 | 52.5 | 77.5 | 115 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| Race | Refused | 2 | 57.5 | 3.536 | 2.5 | 55 | 60 | 55 | 55 | 57.5 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Hispanic | No | 345 | 132.017 | 105.901 | 5.7015 | 5 | 686 | 30 | 65 | 110 | 160 | 240 | 325 | 533 | 600 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 17 | 90.118 | 58.765 | 14.2527 | 5 | 255 | 5 | 60 | 90 | 115 | 140 | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 2 | 57.5 | 3.536 | 2.5 | 55 | 60 | 55 | 55 | 57.5 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Employment | * | 72 | 139.625 | 103.274 | 12.171 | 5 | 660 | 30 | 76 | 120 | 165 | 265 | 330 | 440 | 660 |
| Employment | Full Time | 176 | 131.193 | 112.511 | 8.4808 | 5 | 686 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 150 | 240 | 330 | 560 | 660 |
| Employment | Part Time | 40 | 129.25 | 92.836 | 14.6787 | 25 | 420 | 35 | 60 | 95 | 168 | 285 | 325 | 420 | 420 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 75 | 117.867 | 91.345 | 10.5477 | 5 | 533 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 145 | 230 | 285 | 475 | 533 |
| Employment | Refused | 1 | 40 | * | * | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Education | * | 81 | 136.877 | 99.66 | 11.0733 | 5 | 660 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 164 | 215 | 325 | 440 | 660 |
| Education | < High School | 9 | 110.556 | 97.706 | 32.5688 | 10 | 300 | 10 | 30 | 80 | 165 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 61 | 128.475 | 110.005 | 14.0847 | 5 | 660 | 25 | 75 | 105 | 145 | 210 | 310 | 525 | 660 |
| Education | < College | 71 | 145.634 | 129.073 | 15.3181 | 5 | 600 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 170 | 285 | 533 | 560 | 600 |
| Education | College Graduate | 81 | 121.975 | 99.467 | 11.0519 | 15 | 686 | 30 | 60 | 98 | 135 | 220 | 285 | 420 | 686 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 61 | 115.639 | 76.916 | 9.8481 | 10 | 415 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 145 | 225 | 265 | 320 | 415 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 83 | 140.53 | 107.244 | 11.7716 | 20 | 660 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 170 | 240 | 330 | 600 | 660 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 62 | 127 | 88.661 | 11.26 | 5 | 440 | 25 | 60 | 113 | 170 | 285 | 300 | 340 | 440 |
| Census Region | South | 118 | 125.669 | 107.038 | 9.8537 | 5 | 660 | 15 | 60 | 105 | 150 | 240 | 330 | 533 | 540 |
| Census Region | West | 101 | 126.99 | 108.452 | 10.7914 | 5 | 686 | 50 | 60 | 92 | 135 | 225 | 292 | 525 | 560 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 281 | 121.26 | 96.577 | 5.7613 | 5 | 686 | 30 | 60 | 98 | 145 | 210 | 295 | 475 | 560 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 83 | 158.06 | 123.652 | 13.5726 | 5 | 660 | 30 | 77 | 120 | 180 | 285 | 415 | 600 | 660 |
| Season | Winter | 127 | 139.795 | 108.258 | 9.6063 | 5 | 686 | 25 | 75 | 120 | 177 | 240 | 330 | 533 | 660 |
| Season | Spring | 85 | 141.459 | 115.229 | 12.4983 | 10 | 600 | 30 | 65 | 102 | 164 | 285 | 340 | 560 | 600 |
| Season | Summer | 81 | 109.864 | 87.411 | 9.7123 | 5 | 525 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 160 | 310 | 440 | 525 |
| Season | Fall | 71 | 119.944 | 98.963 | 11.7447 | 20 | 660 | 30 | 56 | 98 | 150 | 215 | 295 | 420 | 660 |
| Asthma | No | 333 | 132.39 | 106.796 | 5.8524 | 5 | 686 | 30 | 62 | 110 | 160 | 255 | 325 | 533 | 600 |
| Asthma | Yes | 28 | 100.071 | 69.387 | 13.113 | 5 | 330 | 25 | 60 | 86 | 118 | 210 | 230 | 330 | 330 |
| Asthma | DK | 3 | 101.667 | 55.752 | 32.1887 | 60 | 165 | 60 | 60 | 80 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| Angina | No | 357 | 130.499 | 104.98 | 5.5561 | 5 | 686 | 30 | 62 | 110 | 155 | 240 | 325 | 525 | 600 |
| Angina | Yes | 4 | 90 | 47.61 | 23.8048 | 60 | 160 | 60 | 60 | 70 | 120 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| Angina | DK | 3 | 81.667 | 65.256 | 37.6755 | 30 | 155 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 155 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 352 | 130.696 | 104.843 | 5.5882 | 5 | 686 | 30 | 61 | 110 | 158 | 240 | 320 | 525 | 600 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 10 | 97.3 | 92.848 | 29.361 | 10 | 330 | 10 | 45 | 76.5 | 120 | 245 | 330 | 330 | 330 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 2 | 107.5 | 67.175 | 47.5 | 60 | 155 | 60 | 60 | 108 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 155 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative
number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perc | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 40 | 99.275 | 85.209 | 13.4727 | 2 | 500 | 5 | 54.5 | 91 | 120 | 153 | 238 | 500 | 500 |
| Gender | Male | 9 | 150.222 | 146.822 | 48.9407 | 2 | 500 | 2 | 115 | 120 | 150 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Gender | Female | 31 | 84.484 | 51.822 | 9.3075 | 5 | 265 | 5 | 50 | 80 | 115 | 137 | 155 | 265 | 265 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 3 | 80.667 | 17.926 | 10.3494 | 60 | 92 | 60 | 60 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 33 | 101.182 | 91.724 | 15.967 | 2 | 500 | 5 | 50 | 90 | 120 | 155 | 265 | 500 | 500 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 4 | 97.5 | 63.574 | 31.7871 | 5 | 150 | 5 | 60 | 118 | 135 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Race | White | 31 | 102.161 | 93.832 | 16.8527 | 2 | 500 | 5 | 50 | 90 | 120 | 155 | 265 | 500 | 500 |
| Race | Black | 6 | 75.667 | 50.306 | 20.5372 | 5 | 130 | 5 | 34 | 85 | 115 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| Race | Hispanic | 3 | 116.667 | 30.551 | 17.6383 | 90 | 150 | 90 | 90 | 110 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Hispanic | No | 37 | 97.865 | 88.241 | 14.5068 | 2 | 500 | 5 | 50 | 90 | 120 | 155 | 265 | 500 | 500 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 3 | 116.667 | 30.551 | 17.6383 | 90 | 150 | 90 | 90 | 110 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Employment | * | 3 | 80.667 | 17.926 | 10.3494 | 60 | 92 | 60 | 60 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Employment | Full Time | 20 | 97.6 | 104.739 | 23.4203 | 2 | 500 | 4 | 42 | 83.5 | 115 | 143 | 328 | 500 | 500 |
| Employment | Part Time | 4 | 127.5 | 91.879 | 45.9393 | 75 | 265 | 75 | 77.5 | 85 | 178 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 13 | 97.462 | 60.852 | 16.8772 | 5 | 210 | 5 | 45 | 115 | 137 | 150 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Education | * | 3 | 80.667 | 17.926 | 10.3494 | 60 | 92 | 60 | 60 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Education | < High School | 6 | 95 | 53.292 | 21.7562 | 5 | 150 | 5 | 60 | 113 | 130 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 17 | 101.353 | 64.434 | 15.6275 | 5 | 265 | 5 | 59 | 90 | 120 | 210 | 265 | 265 | 265 |
| Education | < College | 6 | 91.5 | 56.387 | 23.0199 | 10 | 155 | 10 | 34 | 115 | 120 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 155 |
| Education | College Graduate | 7 | 126.429 | 168.219 | 63.5808 | 5 | 500 | 5 | 45 | 70 | 110 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 1 | 2 | * | * | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 6 | 168.667 | 166.465 | 67.9591 | 45 | 500 | 45 | 75 | 126 | 140 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 8 | 94 | 60.328 | 21.3291 | 5 | 210 | 5 | 57.5 | 93.5 | 118 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Census Region | South | 18 | 85.944 | 61.82 | 14.5711 | 2 | 265 | 2 | 50 | 76 | 115 | 155 | 265 | 265 | 265 |
| Census Region | West | 8 | 82.5 | 52.915 | 18.7083 | 5 | 150 | 5 | 35 | 100 | 118 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 25 | 103.32 | 100.663 | 20.1326 | 2 | 500 | 5 | 50 | 90 | 115 | 155 | 265 | 500 | 500 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 15 | 92.533 | 52.697 | 13.6063 | 10 | 210 | 10 | 60 | 92 | 130 | 150 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Season | Winter | 11 | 86.455 | 57.98 | 17.4816 | 2 | 210 | 2 | 45 | 80 | 120 | 140 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Season | Spring | 12 | 85.583 | 71.678 | 20.6916 | 5 | 265 | 5 | 35 | 73.5 | 120 | 130 | 265 | 265 | 265 |
| Season | Summer | 12 | 118.667 | 125.78 | 36.3096 | 5 | 500 | 5 | 55 | 101 | 113 | 137 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Season | Fall | 5 | 113.8 | 48.422 | 21.655 | 34 | 155 | 34 | 115 | 115 | 150 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 155 |
| Asthma | No | 37 | 95.459 | 83.88 | 13.7897 | 2 | 500 | 5 | 50 | 90 | 120 | 150 | 210 | 500 | 500 |
| Asthma | Yes | 3 | 146.333 | 106.514 | 61.4962 | 59 | 265 | 59 | 59 | 115 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 |
| Angina | No | 40 | 99.275 | 85.209 | 13.4727 | 2 | 500 | 5 | 54.5 | 91 | 120 | 153 | 238 | 500 | 500 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 35 | 92.314 | 84.343 | 14.2565 | 2 | 500 | 5 | 50 | 90 | 115 | 130 | 210 | 500 | 500 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 5 | 148 | 83.262 | 37.2357 | 30 | 265 | 30 | 140 | 150 | 155 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 137 | 393.949 | 242.649 | 20.731 | 5 | 979 | 15 | 180 | 440 | 555 | 662 | 810 | 940 | 960 |
| Gender | Male | 96 | 435.271 | 243.979 | 24.901 | 10 | 979 | 20 | 245 | 473 | 598 | 765 | 840 | 960 | 979 |
| Gender | Female | 41 | 297.195 | 212.415 | 33.174 | 5 | 780 | 15 | 90 | 280 | 495 | 550 | 590 | 780 | 780 |
| Age (years) | * | 4 | 568.75 | 394.723 | 197.362 | 90 | 940 | 90 | 248 | 623 | 890 | 940 | 940 | 940 | 940 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 2 | 200 | 70.711 | 50 | 150 | 250 | 150 | 150 | 200 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 4 | 33.75 | 11.087 | 5.543 | 20 | 45 | 20 | 25 | 35 | 42.5 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 2 | 207.5 | 166.17 | 117.5 | 90 | 325 | 90 | 90 | 208 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 325 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 121 | 409.678 | 230.934 | 20.994 | 5 | 979 | 15 | 240 | 450 | 560 | 660 | 793 | 850 | 960 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 4 | 293.75 | 289.464 | 144.732 | 10 | 610 | 10 | 50 | 278 | 538 | 610 | 610 | 610 | 610 |
| Race | White | 113 | 397.903 | 235.199 | 22.126 | 5 | 979 | 15 | 210 | 450 | 555 | 660 | 780 | 940 | 960 |
| Race | Black | 13 | 379.231 | 286.501 | 79.461 | 10 | 850 | 10 | 85 | 405 | 510 | 810 | 850 | 850 | 850 |
| Race | Some Others | 1 | 405 | * | * | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 |
| Race | Hispanic | 9 | 314.778 | 266.161 | 88.72 | 30 | 793 | 30 | 95 | 245 | 440 | 793 | 793 | 793 | 793 |
| Race | Refused | 1 | 840 | * | * | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 |
| Hispanic | No | 121 | 388.702 | 242.092 | 22.008 | 5 | 979 | 15 | 180 | 405 | 550 | 660 | 795 | 940 | 960 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 12 | 361.083 | 242.06 | 69.877 | 30 | 793 | 30 | 138 | 370 | 510 | 660 | 793 | 793 | 793 |
| Hispanic | DK | 2 | 585 | 35.355 | 25 | 560 | 610 | 560 | 560 | 585 | 610 | 610 | 610 | 610 | 610 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 2 | 717.5 | 173.241 | 122.5 | 595 | 840 | 595 | 595 | 718 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 |
| Employment | * | 8 | 118.75 | 113.916 | 40.275 | 20 | 325 | 20 | 35 | 67.5 | 200 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 325 |
| Employment | Full Time | 97 | 440.732 | 237.56 | 24.121 | 10 | 979 | 15 | 300 | 480 | 585 | 690 | 815 | 960 | 979 |
| Employment | Part Time | 21 | 341.19 | 188.235 | 41.076 | 30 | 795 | 115 | 240 | 330 | 435 | 590 | 610 | 795 | 795 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 9 | 250.556 | 218.567 | 72.856 | 5 | 630 | 5 | 95 | 150 | 360 | 630 | 630 | 630 | 630 |
| Employment | Refused | 2 | 425 | 586.899 | 415 | 10 | 840 | 10 | 10 | 425 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 |
| Education | * | 11 | 234.091 | 266.306 | 80.294 | 20 | 840 | 20 | 40 | 150 | 325 | 610 | 840 | 840 | 840 |
| Education | < High School | 12 | 460.417 | 181.727 | 52.46 | 115 | 795 | 115 | 330 | 495 | 558 | 615 | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 50 | 409.6 | 273.717 | 38.709 | 5 | 979 | 15 | 150 | 463 | 619 | 735 | 940 | 969.5 | 979 |
| Education | < College | 29 | 368.897 | 237.58 | 44.117 | 10 | 850 | 10 | 160 | 405 | 510 | 660 | 765 | 850 | 850 |
| Education | College Graduate | 22 | 405.682 | 184.225 | 39.277 | 90 | 815 | 150 | 240 | 375 | 540 | 595 | 645 | 815 | 815 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 13 | 443.692 | 218.128 | 60.498 | 10 | 793 | 10 | 360 | 500 | 585 | 630 | 793 | 793 | 793 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 22 | 405.545 | 193.817 | 41.322 | 15 | 765 | 90 | 320 | 398 | 540 | 660 | 662 | 765 | 765 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 26 | 418.577 | 250.898 | 49.205 | 10 | 940 | 13 | 180 | 473 | 610 | 690 | 780 | 940 | 940 |
| Census Region | South | 58 | 379.707 | 233.179 | 30.618 | 5 | 979 | 10 | 150 | 420 | 540 | 619 | 810 | 815 | 979 |
| Census Region | West | 31 | 391.71 | 289.538 | 52.003 | 10 | 960 | 20 | 90 | 405 | 630 | 795 | 850 | 960 | 960 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 121 | 401.843 | 242.472 | 22.043 | 5 | 979 | 15 | 210 | 450 | 560 | 660 | 810 | 940 | 960 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 16 | 334.25 | 243.28 | 60.82 | 13 | 795 | 13 | 97.5 | 340 | 495 | 690 | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| Season | Winter | 42 | 390.81 | 241.456 | 37.257 | 10 | 960 | 30 | 175 | 405 | 550 | 660 | 765 | 960 | 960 |
| Season | Spring | 34 | 361.324 | 236.996 | 40.644 | 10 | 840 | 30 | 150 | 360 | 525 | 660 | 815 | 840 | 840 |
| Season | Summer | 41 | 400.902 | 262.9 | 41.058 | 5 | 979 | 13 | 210 | 450 | 570 | 690 | 810 | 979 | 979 |
| Season | Fall | 20 | 441.75 | 219.411 | 49.062 | 10 | 793 | 12.5 | 285 | 490 | 620 | 661 | 727.5 | 793 | 793 |
| Asthma | No | 124 | 393.218 | 237.29 | 21.309 | 5 | 960 | 20 | 180 | 440 | 553 | 660 | 795 | 850 | 940 |
| Asthma | Yes | 13 | 400.923 | 300.15 | 83.247 | 10 | 979 | 10 | 240 | 320 | 590 | 793 | 979 | 979 | 979 |
| Angina | No | 133 | 397.677 | 243.291 | 21.096 | 5 | 979 | 15 | 190 | 440 | 555 | 662 | 810 | 940 | 960 |
| Angina | Yes | 3 | 266.667 | 255.799 | 147.686 | 90 | 560 | 90 | 90 | 150 | 560 | 560 | 560 | 560 | 560 |
| Angina | DK | 1 | 280 | * | * | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 131 | 397.13 | 242.048 | 21.148 | 5 | 979 | 20 | 180 | 440 | 555 | 662 | 810 | 940 | 960 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 5 | 333.4 | 299.365 | 133.88 | 10 | 619 | 10 | 13 | 460 | 565 | 619 | 619 | 619 | 619 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 1 | 280 | * | * | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean $24-$ hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 34 | 82.029 | 151.651 | 26.008 | 2 | 515 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 90 | 325 | 500 | 515 | 515 |
| Gender | Male | 11 | 105.545 | 166.006 | 50.053 | 2 | 515 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 103 | 325 | 515 | 515 | 515 |
| Gender | Female | 23 | 70.783 | 146.839 | 30.618 | 5 | 500 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 35 | 300 | 485 | 500 | 500 |
| Age (years) | * | 1 | 485 | * | * | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 2 | 20 | 21.213 | 15 | 5 | 35 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 28 | 61.036 | 120.923 | 22.852 | 2 | 515 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 55 | 300 | 325 | 515 | 515 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 3 | 185 | 273.359 | 157.824 | 10 | 500 | 10 | 10 | 45 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Race | White | 25 | 70.72 | 143.744 | 28.749 | 2 | 515 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 35 | 300 | 485 | 515 | 515 |
| Race | Black | 7 | 131.429 | 198.95 | 75.196 | 5 | 500 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 325 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Race | Some Others | 1 | 10 | * | * | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Race | Hispanic | 1 | 91 | * | * | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Hispanic | No | 31 | 83.806 | 158.483 | 28.464 | 2 | 515 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 45 | 325 | 500 | 515 | 515 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 3 | 63.667 | 46.479 | 26.835 | 10 | 91 | 10 | 10 | 90 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Employment | * | 2 | 20 | 21.213 | 15 | 5 | 35 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Employment | Full Time | 25 | 83.12 | 151.81 | 30.362 | 2 | 515 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 90 | 325 | 485 | 515 | 515 |
| Employment | Part Time | 1 | 500 | * | * | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 6 | 28.5 | 33.934 | 13.853 | 5 | 91 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 45 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Education | * | 2 | 20 | 21.213 | 15 | 5 | 35 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Education | < High School | 4 | 234 | 209.191 | 104.595 | 45 | 500 | 45 | 68 | 196 | 400 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 8 | 84.125 | 165.008 | 58.339 | 5 | 485 | 5 | 13 | 17.5 | 62 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 |
| Education | < College | 6 | 146.333 | 220.347 | 89.956 | 5 | 515 | 5 | 10 | 11.5 | 325 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 |
| Education | College Graduate | 12 | 13.5 | 24.247 | 6.999 | 2 | 90 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 2 | 50 | 63.64 | 45 | 5 | 95 | 5 | 5 | 50 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 8 | 110 | 187.293 | 66.218 | 5 | 485 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 180 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 10 | 19.1 | 30.101 | 9.519 | 5 | 103 | 5 | 5 | 7.5 | 20 | 61.5 | 103 | 103 | 103 |
| Census Region | South | 8 | 197 | 211.975 | 74.945 | 15 | 515 | 15 | 30 | 93 | 400 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 |
| Census Region | West | 8 | 17.75 | 29.359 | 10.38 | 2 | 90 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 23 | 93.957 | 172.77 | 36.025 | 2 | 515 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 90 | 485 | 500 | 515 | 515 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 11 | 57.091 | 95.985 | 28.941 | 5 | 325 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 95 | 103 | 325 | 325 | 325 |
| Season | Winter | 12 | 74.583 | 158.092 | 45.637 | 5 | 485 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 325 | 485 | 485 | 485 |
| Season | Spring | 4 | 44.5 | 41.685 | 20.843 | 10 | 103 | 10 | 15 | 32.5 | 74 | 103 | 103 | 103 | 103 |
| Season | Summer | 8 | 20.25 | 32.012 | 11.318 | 2 | 95 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 23 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Season | Fall | 10 | 155.4 | 205.739 | 65.061 | 5 | 515 | 5 | 13 | 55 | 300 | 507.5 | 515 | 515 | 515 |
| Asthma | No | 32 | 86.688 | 155.244 | 27.443 | 2 | 515 | 5 | 5 | 11.5 | 91 | 325 | 500 | 515 | 515 |
| Asthma | Yes | 2 | 7.5 | 3.536 | 2.5 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Angina | No | 33 | 83.909 | 153.599 | 26.738 | 2 | 515 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 90 | 325 | 500 | 515 | 515 |
| Angina | Yes | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 33 | 84.061 | 153.532 | 26.726 | 2 | 515 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 90 | 325 | 500 | 515 | 515 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 1 | 15 | * | * | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Category | Population Group | N |  |  |  |  |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 352 | 175.818 | 132.206 | 7.047 | 3 | 870 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 222.5 | 328 | 487 | 570 | 615 |
| Gender | Male | 213 | 174.319 | 133.151 | 9.123 | 5 | 870 | 30 | 90 | 140 | 220 | 340 | 479 | 568 | 615 |
| Gender | Female | 139 | 178.115 | 131.191 | 11.127 | 3 | 630 | 30 | 95 | 150 | 225 | 300 | 530 | 600 | 605 |
| Age (years) | * | 4 | 158.75 | 98.011 | 49.006 | 75 | 300 | 75 | 98 | 130 | 220 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 4 | 98.75 | 57.5 | 28.75 | 45 | 170 | 45 | 53 | 90 | 145 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 8 | 151.25 | 77.678 | 27.463 | 50 | 270 | 50 | 80 | 160 | 205 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 313 | 180.192 | 136.706 | 7.727 | 3 | 870 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 225 | 370 | 498 | 590 | 615 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 23 | 141.217 | 85.243 | 17.774 | 5 | 328 | 30 | 75 | 135 | 180 | 240 | 325 | 328 | 328 |
| Race | White | 297 | 173.623 | 132.592 | 7.694 | 3 | 870 | 30 | 90 | 140 | 220 | 328 | 487 | 590 | 630 |
| Race | Black | 25 | 205.44 | 126.551 | 25.31 | 50 | 540 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 240 | 417 | 498 | 540 | 540 |
| Race | Asian | 8 | 169.875 | 153.311 | 54.204 | 5 | 479 | 5 | 38 | 175 | 225 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Race | Some Others | 7 | 197.286 | 187.607 | 70.909 | 70 | 615 | 70 | 110 | 135 | 185 | 615 | 615 | 615 | 615 |
| Race | Hispanic | 10 | 121.3 | 52.326 | 16.547 | 5 | 198 | 5 | 105 | 117.5 | 160 | 179 | 198 | 198 | 198 |
| Race | Refused | 5 | 246.6 | 127.153 | 56.864 | 73 | 410 | 73 | 180 | 270 | 300 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 |
| Hispanic | No | 327 | 177.131 | 134.457 | 7.435 | 3 | 870 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 225 | 340 | 489 | 590 | 615 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 20 | 144.9 | 85.08 | 19.024 | 5 | 440 | 38 | 110 | 120 | 160 | 221.5 | 342.5 | 440 | 440 |
| Hispanic | DK | 2 | 142.5 | 31.82 | 22.5 | 120 | 165 | 120 | 120 | 142.5 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 3 | 261 | 171.852 | 99.219 | 73 | 410 | 73 | 73 | 300 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 |
| Employment | * | 12 | 133.75 | 73.55 | 21.232 | 45 | 270 | 45 | 60 | 135 | 177.5 | 225 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Employment | Full Time | 223 | 182.439 | 138.308 | 9.262 | 5 | 870 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 228 | 340 | 525 | 600 | 630 |
| Employment | Part Time | 43 | 201.233 | 155.454 | 23.706 | 5 | 615 | 45 | 90 | 150 | 270 | 455 | 520 | 615 | 615 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 70 | 146.3 | 97.375 | 11.639 | 3 | 479 | 30 | 73 | 122.5 | 180 | 255 | 328 | 462 | 479 |
| Employment | Refused | 4 | 176.25 | 115.136 | 57.568 | 45 | 300 | 45 | 83 | 180 | 270 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Education | * | 13 | 146.538 | 84.172 | 23.345 | 45 | 300 | 45 | 60 | 150 | 185 | 270 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Education | < High School | 28 | 218.036 | 170.225 | 32.17 | 60 | 870 | 75 | 120 | 174.5 | 235 | 420 | 568 | 870 | 870 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 117 | 177.778 | 130.078 | 12.026 | 3 | 630 | 25 | 90 | 150 | 225 | 360 | 489 | 540 | 570 |
| Education | < College | 95 | 205.274 | 152.829 | 15.68 | 5 | 650 | 30 | 105 | 180 | 240 | 462 | 590 | 615 | 650 |
| Education | College Graduate | 55 | 141.764 | 92.766 | 12.509 | 10 | 417 | 20 | 75 | 120 | 205 | 265 | 340 | 410 | 417 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 44 | 131.364 | 90.209 | 13.599 | 30 | 400 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 177.5 | 265 | 290 | 400 | 400 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 83 | 179.337 | 137.039 | 15.042 | 5 | 650 | 45 | 89 | 140 | 240 | 328 | 489 | 630 | 650 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 88 | 169.818 | 126.238 | 13.457 | 5 | 615 | 30 | 90 | 147.5 | 211.5 | 299 | 487 | 568 | 615 |
| Census Region | South | 91 | 175.714 | 132.028 | 13.84 | 3 | 870 | 35 | 90 | 148 | 225 | 270 | 462 | 570 | 870 |
| Census Region | West | 90 | 178.544 | 135.533 | 14.286 | 5 | 605 | 30 | 85 | 152.5 | 225 | 407 | 479 | 590 | 605 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 192 | 167.458 | 133.473 | 9.633 | 5 | 650 | 30 | 80 | 120 | 210 | 340 | 520 | 590 | 605 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 160 | 185.85 | 130.378 | 10.307 | 3 | 870 | 45 | 108 | 165 | 228 | 321.5 | 474.5 | 568 | 630 |
| Season | Winter | 93 | 182.667 | 131.674 | 13.654 | 5 | 650 | 40 | 87 | 150 | 240 | 410 | 455 | 560 | 650 |
| Season | Spring | 83 | 186.12 | 147.597 | 16.201 | 5 | 870 | 30 | 90 | 140 | 230 | 380 | 498 | 570 | 870 |
| Season | Summer | 99 | 160.313 | 130.672 | 13.133 | 3 | 630 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 189 | 285 | 530 | 605 | 630 |
| Season | Fall | 77 | 176.377 | 117.154 | 13.351 | 15 | 615 | 30 | 100 | 165 | 220 | 299 | 410 | 600 | 615 |
| Asthma | No | 331 | 176.308 | 133.715 | 7.35 | 3 | 870 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 225 | 340 | 487 | 590 | 615 |
| Asthma | Yes | 18 | 169.444 | 108.978 | 25.686 | 60 | 530 | 60 | 105 | 135 | 210 | 270 | 530 | 530 | 530 |
| Asthma | DK | 3 | 160 | 124.9 | 72.111 | 60 | 300 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Angina | No | 345 | 176.98 | 132.759 | 7.148 | 3 | 870 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 225 | 340 | 487 | 590 | 615 |
| Angina | Yes | 5 | 82 | 47.249 | 21.131 | 5 | 120 | 5 | 75 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Angina | DK | 2 | 210 | 127.279 | 90 | 120 | 300 | 120 | 120 | 210 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 333 | 177.273 | 133.27 | 7.303 | 3 | 870 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 225 | 340 | 487 | 590 | 615 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 17 | 148.588 | 108.499 | 26.315 | 50 | 530 | 50 | 110 | 120 | 175 | 210 | 530 | 530 | 530 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 2 | 165 | 190.919 | 135 | 30 | 300 | 30 | 30 | 165 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percen | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 2059 | 94.539 | 119.93 | 2.643 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 185 | 351 | 548 | 660 |
| Gender | Male | 986 | 87.498 | 114.17 | 3.6358 | 1 | 900 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 160 | 305 | 550 | 660 |
| Gender | Female | 1073 | 101.01 | 124.69 | 3.8065 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 105 | 230 | 380 | 540 | 670 |
| Age (years) | * | 30 | 126.13 | 138.22 | 25.2349 | 15 | 495 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 150 | 397.5 | 490 | 495 | 495 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 61 | 62.705 | 47.701 | 6.1075 | 4 | 330 | 10 | 35 | 55 | 85 | 115 | 120 | 130 | 330 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 84 | 56.69 | 38.144 | 4.1618 | 5 | 180 | 10 | 30 | 45 | 85 | 120 | 120 | 140 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 122 | 69.836 | 78.361 | 7.0945 | 2 | 455 | 10 | 30 | 45 | 65 | 165 | 250 | 325 | 360 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1503 | 101.21 | 131.22 | 3.3846 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 211 | 400 | 570 | 675 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 259 | 83.583 | 83.517 | 5.1895 | 3 | 750 | 19 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 215 | 315 | 520 |
| Race | White | 1747 | 91.658 | 114.69 | 2.744 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 175 | 320 | 535 | 640 |
| Race | Black | 148 | 102.82 | 141.28 | 11.613 | 3 | 805 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 295 | 430 | 555 | 735 |
| Race | Asian | 37 | 81.297 | 78.948 | 12.979 | 15 | 480 | 18 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 200 | 480 | 480 |
| Race | Some Others | 30 | 145.17 | 194.83 | 35.5705 | 5 | 765 | 10 | 45 | 82.5 | 120 | 432.5 | 750 | 765 | 765 |
| Race | Hispanic | 78 | 123 | 156.78 | 17.7518 | 10 | 700 | 15 | 40 | 60 | 110 | 375 | 585 | 660 | 700 |
| Race | Refused | 19 | 123.84 | 127.64 | 29.2833 | 20 | 480 | 20 | 30 | 70 | 210 | 330 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Hispanic | No | 1911 | 92.945 | 117.6 | 2.6901 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 180 | 330 | 542 | 645 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 129 | 116.7 | 147.95 | 13.0261 | 1 | 765 | 15 | 40 | 60 | 115 | 360 | 435 | 660 | 700 |
| Hispanic | DK | 5 | 76 | 134.32 | 60.0708 | 5 | 315 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 40 | 315 | 315 | 315 | 315 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 14 | 114.5 | 134.74 | 36.0117 | 30 | 480 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 330 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Employment | * | 263 | 62.251 | 57.907 | 3.5707 | 2 | 455 | 10 | 30 | 45 | 80 | 120 | 140 | 273 | 330 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1063 | 105.48 | 142.37 | 4.3668 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 105 | 235 | 485 | 630 | 735 |
| Employment | Part Time | 208 | 122.61 | 144.83 | 10.0423 | 1 | 805 | 5 | 32.5 | 65 | 122.5 | 320 | 441 | 595 | 660 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 515 | 76.33 | 61.418 | 2.7064 | 3 | 490 | 15 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 145 | 195 | 260 | 315 |
| Employment | Refused | 10 | 135 | 133.52 | 42.223 | 30 | 425 | 30 | 60 | 82.5 | 135 | 377.5 | 425 | 425 | 425 |
| Education | * | 299 | 72.177 | 79.595 | 4.6031 | 1 | 548 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 85 | 130 | 250 | 360 | 480 |
| Education | < High School | 132 | 134.77 | 171.84 | 14.9567 | 5 | 925 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 151.5 | 375 | 535 | 700 | 750 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 590 | 99.439 | 136.32 | 5.612 | 3 | 910 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 90 | 202.5 | 435 | 645 | 680 |
| Education | < College | 431 | 94.935 | 114.88 | 5.5338 | 1 | 770 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 105 | 180 | 340 | 550 | 640 |
| Education | College Graduate | 359 | 89.515 | 104.13 | 5.4957 | 1 | 765 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 100 | 165 | 295 | 490 | 570 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 248 | 95.012 | 109.37 | 6.9452 | 3 | 765 | 15 | 40 | 60 | 115 | 180 | 260 | 560 | 675 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 409 | 94.379 | 113.64 | 5.619 | 2 | 765 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 100 | 210 | 330 | 507 | 585 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 504 | 96.895 | 120.86 | 5.3833 | 1 | 805 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 190 | 340 | 560 | 675 |
| Census Region | South | 680 | 92.666 | 125.1 | 4.7972 | 2 | 910 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 194.5 | 365 | 550 | 650 |
| Census Region | West | 466 | 94.863 | 116.88 | 5.4145 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 175 | 375 | 535 | 640 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1291 | 97.338 | 128.83 | 3.5855 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 93 | 210 | 377 | 555 | 700 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 768 | 89.833 | 103.16 | 3.7224 | 1 | 770 | 10 | 36 | 60 | 105 | 155 | 280 | 510 | 620 |
| Season | Winter | 524 | 97.735 | 125.69 | 5.491 | 3 | 875 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 105 | 178 | 351 | 595 | 685 |
| Season | Spring | 559 | 91.642 | 109.7 | 4.6399 | 2 | 925 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 95 | 180 | 360 | 505 | 555 |
| Season | Summer | 556 | 95.121 | 123.03 | 5.2177 | 1 | 910 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 94 | 210 | 360 | 555 | 675 |
| Season | Fall | 420 | 93.636 | 121.74 | 5.9401 | 1 | 900 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 185 | 325 | 540 | 653 |
| Asthma | No | 1903 | 94.081 | 117.41 | 2.6915 | 1 | 910 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 100 | 180 | 330 | 545 | 653 |
| Asthma | Yes | 150 | 96.267 | 143.56 | 11.7219 | 4 | 925 | 10 | 30 | 45.5 | 90 | 237.5 | 485 | 590 | 670 |
| Asthma | DK | 6 | 196.33 | 220.89 | 90.1782 | 30 | 480 | 30 | 30 | 79 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Angina | No | 1998 | 94.926 | 120.73 | 2.701 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 190 | 355 | 550 | 660 |
| Angina | Yes | 50 | 68.98 | 53.608 | 7.5813 | 3 | 340 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 105 | 120 | 286 | 340 |
| Angina | DK | 11 | 140.27 | 171.27 | 51.6393 | 30 | 480 | 30 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1945 | 93.746 | 117.67 | 2.668 | 1 | 910 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 97 | 180 | 335 | 548 | 653 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 104 | 96.077 | 130.13 | 12.7602 | 5 | 925 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 235 | 360 | 500 | 620 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 10 | 232.8 | 288.24 | 91.1492 | 10 | 875 | 10 | 30 | 79 | 480 | 677.5 | 875 | 875 | 875 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | centile |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1224 | 343.35 | 179.099 | 5.119 | 1 | 995 | 10 | 210 | 395 | 454 | 540 | 585 | 660 | 723 |
| Gender | Male | 581 | 358.599 | 167.7 | 6.957 | 1 | 995 | 30 | 255 | 400 | 450 | 540 | 600 | 690 | 778 |
| Gender | Female | 643 | 329.572 | 187.875 | 7.409 | 1 | 855 | 5 | 180 | 390 | 455 | 540 | 582 | 640 | 683 |
| Age (years) | * | 18 | 314.056 | 230.927 | 54.43 | 5 | 713 | 5 | 165 | 247.5 | 520 | 625 | 713 | 713 | 713 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 43 | 288.465 | 217.621 | 33.187 | 5 | 665 | 10 | 60 | 269 | 500 | 580 | 595 | 665 | 665 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 302 | 396.308 | 109.216 | 6.285 | 5 | 665 | 170 | 365 | 403 | 445 | 535 | 565 | 625 | 640 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 287 | 402.551 | 125.512 | 7.409 | 15 | 855 | 120 | 383 | 420 | 450 | 500 | 565 | 710 | 778 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 550 | 295.422 | 207.294 | 8.839 | 1 | 995 | 5 | 104 | 300 | 460 | 552.5 | 612 | 683 | 785 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 24 | 187.708 | 187.012 | 38.174 | 2 | 585 | 3 | 45 | 120 | 327.5 | 480 | 510 | 585 | 585 |
| Race | White | 928 | 348.525 | 180.458 | 5.924 | 1 | 995 | 10 | 212.5 | 400 | 458 | 545 | 600 | 665 | 723 |
| Race | Black | 131 | 339.809 | 169.282 | 14.79 | 2 | 855 | 15 | 230 | 390 | 445 | 510 | 580 | 624 | 645 |
| Race | Asian | 39 | 332.385 | 179.918 | 28.81 | 5 | 840 | 20 | 190 | 365 | 450 | 560 | 580 | 840 | 840 |
| Race | Some Others | 36 | 363.583 | 155.557 | 25.926 | 10 | 820 | 105 | 272.5 | 366 | 457.5 | 502 | 598 | 820 | 820 |
| Race | Hispanic | 76 | 294.039 | 175.697 | 20.154 | 2 | 565 | 10 | 142.5 | 362.5 | 432 | 495 | 525 | 540 | 565 |
| Race | Refused | 14 | 279.714 | 221.268 | 59.136 | 5 | 681 | 5 | 60 | 260 | 440 | 625 | 681 | 681 | 681 |
| Hispanic | No | 1082 | 344.924 | 179.58 | 5.459 | 1 | 995 | 10 | 210 | 395 | 455 | 540 | 598 | 665 | 730 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 127 | 333.016 | 173.803 | 15.423 | 2 | 820 | 15 | 200 | 390 | 445 | 500 | 565 | 600 | 630 |
| Hispanic | DK | 5 | 293 | 244.672 | 109.42 | 3 | 562 | 3 | 65 | 415 | 420 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 10 | 329.5 | 180.053 | 56.938 | 5 | 625 | 5 | 200 | 350 | 445 | 537.5 | 625 | 625 | 625 |
| Employment | * | 616 | 390.294 | 130.206 | 5.246 | 5 | 855 | 115 | 365 | 410 | 450 | 525 | 570 | 640 | 665 |
| Employment | Full Time | 275 | 331.269 | 222.021 | 13.388 | 1 | 995 | 5 | 115 | 405 | 510 | 575 | 625 | 690 | 755 |
| Employment | Part Time | 138 | 280.891 | 174.844 | 14.884 | 1 | 800 | 10 | 160 | 285 | 412 | 480 | 537 | 660 | 683 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 190 | 258.674 | 199.529 | 14.475 | 1 | 855 | 5 | 60 | 262.5 | 410 | 527.5 | 572 | 778 | 840 |
| Employment | Refused | 5 | 166 | 179.074 | 80.084 | 5 | 440 | 5 | 5 | 180 | 200 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 440 |
| Education | * | 679 | 388.943 | 132.842 | 5.098 | 5 | 855 | 100 | 360 | 410 | 450 | 525 | 580 | 640 | 710 |
| Education | < High School | 24 | 233.333 | 179.648 | 36.67 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 30 | 297.5 | 373.5 | 460 | 465 | 540 | 540 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 114 | 186.649 | 193.608 | 18.133 | 1 | 785 | 4 | 20 | 107.5 | 295 | 480 | 580 | 645 | 690 |
| Education | < College | 173 | 281.41 | 209.872 | 15.956 | 1 | 995 | 5 | 120 | 255 | 425 | 550 | 640 | 820 | 855 |
| Education | College Graduate | 93 | 300.43 | 208.704 | 21.642 | 1 | 755 | 5 | 115 | 320 | 470 | 540 | 580 | 730 | 755 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 141 | 373.525 | 193.443 | 16.291 | 1 | 683 | 15 | 250 | 442 | 510 | 575 | 615 | 655 | 680 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 261 | 345.724 | 181.522 | 11.236 | 1 | 995 | 11 | 210 | 385 | 455 | 535 | 620 | 710 | 855 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 290 | 334.445 | 176.652 | 10.373 | 1 | 730 | 10 | 180 | 390 | 440 | 530 | 585 | 645 | 683 |
| Census Region | South | 427 | 354.037 | 178.547 | 8.641 | 1 | 855 | 10 | 235 | 415 | 462 | 540 | 575 | 640 | 755 |
| Census Region | West | 246 | 332.78 | 180.277 | 11.494 | 1 | 820 | 15 | 195 | 377.5 | 440 | 555 | 595 | 681 | 713 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1179 | 346.838 | 177.477 | 5.169 | 1 | 995 | 10 | 222 | 395 | 455 | 540 | 585 | 655 | 723 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 45 | 251.978 | 198.543 | 29.597 | 20 | 820 | 40 | 105 | 180 | 360 | 555 | 632 | 820 | 820 |
| Season | Winter | 392 | 369.298 | 164.363 | 8.302 | 1 | 855 | 20 | 285 | 405 | 457 | 545 | 600 | 680 | 710 |
| Season | Spring | 353 | 355.057 | 165.488 | 8.808 | 1 | 855 | 12 | 250 | 400 | 455 | 535 | 575 | 636 | 713 |
| Season | Summer | 207 | 316.763 | 196.364 | 13.648 | 2 | 995 | 10 | 125 | 365 | 445 | 557 | 585 | 640 | 723 |
| Season | Fall | 272 | 310.996 | 195.332 | 11.844 | 1 | 855 | 5 | 120 | 365 | 445 | 540 | 595 | 660 | 778 |
| Asthma | No | 1095 | 342.779 | 179.195 | 5.415 | 1 | 995 | 10 | 200 | 390 | 455 | 540 | 585 | 660 | 723 |
| Asthma | Yes | 124 | 350.669 | 178.785 | 16.055 | 1 | 855 | 10 | 250 | 401.5 | 445 | 535 | 605 | 645 | 800 |
| Asthma | DK | 5 | 287 | 190.676 | 85.273 | 5 | 445 | 5 | 180 | 365 | 440 | 445 | 445 | 445 | 445 |
| Angina | No | 1209 | 344.629 | 178.874 | 5.144 | 1 | 995 | 10 | 210 | 395 | 455 | 540 | 595 | 660 | 723 |
| Angina | Yes | 9 | 205.778 | 169.545 | 56.515 | 15 | 510 | 15 | 90 | 180 | 275 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Angina | DK | 6 | 292.167 | 178.908 | 73.039 | 5 | 480 | 5 | 180 | 324 | 440 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1175 | 344.826 | 178.845 | 5.217 | 1 | 995 | 10 | 212 | 395 | 455 | 540 | 595 | 660 | 730 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 42 | 306.714 | 188.249 | 29.047 | 3 | 632 | 10 | 120 | 377.5 | 444 | 465 | 580 | 632 | 632 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 7 | 315.429 | 163.691 | 61.869 | 5 | 440 | 5 | 180 | 378 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 440 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. $\mathrm{Stdev}=$ standard deviation. $\quad$ Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. <br> Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 383 | 450.896 | 204.367 | 10.443 | 2 | 997 | 30 | 350 | 510 | 568 | 670 | 705 | 770 | 855 |
| Gender | Male | 271 | 460.458 | 205.102 | 12.459 | 2 | 997 | 30 | 365 | 515 | 575 | 675 | 720 | 780 | 870 |
| Gender | Female | 112 | 427.759 | 201.609 | 19.05 | 5 | 820 | 15 | 314.5 | 510 | 555 | 600 | 675 | 705 | 720 |
| Age (years) | * | 6 | 405.667 | 304.05 | 124.13 | 30 | 780 | 30 | 120 | 414.5 | 675 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 2 | 107.5 | 123.744 | 87.5 | 20 | 195 | 20 | 20 | 107.5 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 4 | 108 | 136.404 | 68.202 | 10 | 307 | 10 | 20 | 57.5 | 196 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 353 | 463.683 | 196.321 | 10.449 | 5 | 997 | 30 | 385 | 520 | 570 | 670 | 705 | 770 | 855 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 17 | 347.765 | 210.909 | 51.153 | 2 | 705 | 2 | 180 | 450 | 495 | 550 | 705 | 705 | 705 |
| Race | White | 322 | 451.789 | 201.135 | 11.209 | 5 | 890 | 30 | 355 | 517.5 | 568 | 650 | 690 | 770 | 840 |
| Race | Black | 32 | 466.438 | 172.559 | 30.504 | 2 | 750 | 30 | 382.5 | 497.5 | 550 | 675 | 720 | 750 | 750 |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 263.333 | 378.462 | 218.51 | 30 | 700 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 |
| Race | Some Others | 6 | 585.333 | 156.91 | 64.058 | 310 | 780 | 310 | 565 | 591 | 675 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Race | Hispanic | 15 | 385.8 | 231.348 | 59.734 | 5 | 765 | 5 | 230 | 435 | 515 | 760 | 765 | 765 | 765 |
| Race | Refused | 5 | 440.4 | 387.419 | 173.26 | 30 | 997 | 30 | 115 | 520 | 540 | 997 | 997 | 997 | 997 |
| Hispanic | No | 350 | 454.137 | 202.78 | 10.839 | 2 | 997 | 30 | 365 | 512.5 | 570 | 666.5 | 700 | 770 | 855 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 26 | 419.615 | 213.155 | 41.803 | 5 | 765 | 15 | 240 | 482.5 | 550 | 675 | 760 | 765 | 765 |
| Hispanic | DK | 2 | 425 | 162.635 | 115 | 310 | 540 | 310 | 310 | 425 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 5 | 397 | 314.833 | 140.8 | 30 | 780 | 30 | 115 | 520 | 540 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Employment | * | 7 | 95.286 | 113.83 | 43.024 | 10 | 307 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 195 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 |
| Employment | Full Time | 333 | 481.417 | 185.222 | 10.15 | 5 | 997 | 50 | 440 | 525 | 580 | 675 | 720 | 780 | 855 |
| Employment | Part Time | 23 | 359.87 | 170.619 | 35.577 | 40 | 585 | 45 | 240 | 390 | 505 | 527 | 535 | 585 | 585 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 19 | 179.316 | 221.341 | 50.779 | 2 | 705 | 2 | 25 | 60 | 295 | 640 | 705 | 705 | 705 |
| Employment | Refused | 1 | 30 | * | * | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Education | * | 13 | 184 | 234.182 | 64.95 | 10 | 780 | 10 | 20 | 85 | 270 | 510 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Education | < High School | 38 | 491.237 | 195.919 | 31.782 | 2 | 855 | 5 | 435 | 525 | 600 | 705 | 765 | 855 | 855 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 190 | 465.374 | 188.699 | 13.69 | 5 | 997 | 30 | 380 | 520 | 565 | 667.5 | 705 | 760 | 890 |
| Education | < College | 85 | 450.494 | 199.674 | 21.658 | 15 | 870 | 40 | 375 | 510 | 565 | 635 | 680 | 820 | 870 |
| Education | College Graduate | 43 | 463.163 | 206.51 | 31.492 | 5 | 840 | 60 | 405 | 520 | 600 | 670 | 690 | 840 | 840 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 14 | 357.5 | 255.702 | 68.339 | 10 | 700 | 10 | 90 | 355 | 550 | 675 | 700 | 700 | 700 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 71 | 449.423 | 207.98 | 24.683 | 5 | 890 | 15 | 300 | 510 | 565 | 675 | 725 | 780 | 890 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 113 | 462.035 | 196.506 | 18.486 | 2 | 997 | 30 | 405 | 520 | 570 | 640 | 700 | 770 | 820 |
| Census Region | South | 136 | 465.912 | 199.315 | 17.091 | 5 | 870 | 20 | 382 | 522.5 | 570 | 670 | 720 | 840 | 855 |
| Census Region | West | 63 | 400.159 | 221.13 | 27.86 | 10 | 760 | 30 | 185 | 490 | 550 | 675 | 690 | 710 | 760 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 319 | 476.445 | 190.875 | 10.687 | 5 | 997 | 30 | 435 | 525 | 580 | 675 | 710 | 770 | 855 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 64 | 323.547 | 222.63 | 27.829 | 2 | 820 | 10 | 107.5 | 357.5 | 507.5 | 560 | 620 | 780 | 820 |
| Season | Winter | 89 | 468.157 | 188.472 | 19.978 | 10 | 997 | 30 | 360 | 520 | 565 | 660 | 690 | 780 | 997 |
| Season | Spring | 91 | 445.198 | 212.648 | 22.292 | 10 | 870 | 30 | 270 | 505 | 570 | 675 | 760 | 840 | 870 |
| Season | Summer | 127 | 440.646 | 210.285 | 18.66 | 2 | 890 | 15 | 370 | 510 | 560 | 645 | 700 | 765 | 855 |
| Season | Fall | 76 | 454.632 | 204.721 | 23.483 | 5 | 760 | 30 | 352.5 | 520 | 591 | 675 | 690 | 720 | 760 |
| Asthma | No | 364 | 452.948 | 203.838 | 10.684 | 2 | 997 | 30 | 355 | 512.5 | 570 | 675 | 705 | 770 | 855 |
| Asthma | Yes | 17 | 412.353 | 187.025 | 45.36 | 20 | 580 | 20 | 340 | 495 | 540 | 550 | 580 | 580 | 580 |
| Asthma | DK | 2 | 405 | 530.33 | 375 | 30 | 780 | 30 | 30 | 405 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Angina | No | 375 | 453.928 | 202.31 | 10.447 | 2 | 997 | 30 | 360 | 515 | 570 | 670 | 705 | 770 | 855 |
| Angina | Yes | 5 | 231 | 168.389 | 75.306 | 60 | 475 | 60 | 90 | 230 | 300 | 475 | 475 | 475 | 475 |
| Angina | DK | 3 | 438.333 | 379.418 | 219.06 | 30 | 780 | 30 | 30 | 505 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 362 | 450.235 | 204.588 | 10.753 | 2 | 997 | 30 | 350 | 510 | 565 | 663 | 700 | 770 | 855 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 19 | 468.316 | 175.293 | 40.215 | 50 | 720 | 50 | 375 | 510 | 568 | 690 | 720 | 720 | 720 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 2 | 405 | 530.33 | 375 | 30 | 780 | 30 | 30 | 405 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 896 | 85.785 | 133.828 | 4.4709 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 223 | 405 | 565 | 615 |
| Gender | Male | 409 | 108.775 | 168.11 | 8.3125 | 1 | 1440 | 3 | 20 | 45 | 120 | 330 | 525 | 615 | 710 |
| Gender | Female | 487 | 66.476 | 91.863 | 4.1627 | 1 | 580 | 1 | 15 | 35 | 75 | 152 | 255 | 435 | 465 |
| Age (years) | * | 15 | 72.533 | 69.418 | 17.9236 | 1 | 290 | 1 | 40 | 55 | 90 | 120 | 290 | 290 | 290 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 30 | 54.8 | 52.731 | 9.6274 | 1 | 235 | 2 | 10 | 42.5 | 78 | 125 | 158 | 235 | 235 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 75 | 110.813 | 116.76 | 13.4823 | 1 | 540 | 5 | 20 | 65 | 178 | 240 | 410 | 465 | 540 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 74 | 52.554 | 74.776 | 8.6925 | 1 | 435 | 2 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 125 | 200 | 338 | 435 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 580 | 94.279 | 153.933 | 6.3917 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 15 | 40 | 82.5 | 277.5 | 480 | 600 | 690 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 122 | 59.418 | 61.519 | 5.5696 | 1 | 380 | 2 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 120 | 190 | 235 | 270 |
| Race | White | 727 | 85.735 | 136.504 | 5.0627 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 15 | 41 | 90 | 215 | 405 | 570 | 675 |
| Race | Black | 87 | 89.184 | 132.669 | 14.2236 | 1 | 565 | 2 | 10 | 35 | 120 | 324 | 426 | 540 | 565 |
| Race | Asian | 11 | 88.727 | 114.01 | 34.3752 | 2 | 405 | 2 | 30 | 45 | 120 | 149 | 405 | 405 | 405 |
| Race | Some Others | 18 | 80.556 | 105.981 | 24.98 | 10 | 420 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 240 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Race | Hispanic | 42 | 71.357 | 110.769 | 17.092 | 1 | 525 | 1 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 135 | 290 | 525 | 525 |
| Race | Refused | 11 | 122.909 | 117.699 | 35.4876 | 2 | 310 | 2 | 40 | 60 | 290 | 300 | 310 | 310 | 310 |
| Hispanic | No | 807 | 87.482 | 136.129 | 4.792 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 225 | 410 | 565 | 600 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 79 | 67.797 | 110.301 | 12.4098 | 1 | 615 | 1 | 15 | 30 | 62 | 140 | 300 | 525 | 615 |
| Hispanic | DK | 1 | 2 | * | * | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 9 | 100.778 | 115.933 | 38.6443 | 2 | 310 | 2 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 |
| Employment | * | 176 | 79.182 | 96.345 | 7.2622 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 15 | 45 | 110 | 200 | 260 | 435 | 465 |
| Employment | Full Time | 384 | 102.221 | 169.534 | 8.6515 | 1 | 1440 | 3 | 15 | 40.5 | 75 | 330 | 525 | 600 | 710 |
| Employment | Part Time | 74 | 74.446 | 113.86 | 13.2359 | 1 | 795 | 1 | 15 | 42.5 | 86 | 180 | 255 | 390 | 795 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 255 | 69.996 | 94.045 | 5.8893 | 1 | 615 | 1 | 15 | 40 | 85 | 152 | 270 | 380 | 485 |
| Employment | Refused | 7 | 45.143 | 36.64 | 13.8485 | 2 | 90 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Education | * | 198 | 74.914 | 92.253 | 6.5561 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 15 | 40.5 | 90 | 185 | 240 | 435 | 465 |
| Education | < High School | 56 | 131.232 | 247.289 | 33.0454 | 1 | 1440 | 1 | 15 | 40 | 118 | 465 | 710 | 735 | 1440 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 223 | 100.233 | 146.92 | 9.8385 | 1 | 795 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 95 | 275 | 480 | 600 | 680 |
| Education | < College | 172 | 77.186 | 128.752 | 9.8173 | 1 | 675 | 1 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 180 | 435 | 570 | 600 |
| Education | College Graduate | 138 | 76.275 | 106.589 | 9.0734 | 1 | 600 | 3 | 20 | 45 | 70 | 205 | 310 | 485 | 565 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 109 | 78.229 | 121.311 | 11.6195 | 1 | 710 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 200 | 330 | 560 | 570 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 202 | 89.134 | 132.343 | 9.3116 | 1 | 735 | 3 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 235 | 410 | 530 | 570 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 193 | 87.855 | 153.329 | 11.0369 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 15 | 30 | 85 | 240 | 355 | 565 | 600 |
| Census Region | South | 298 | 79.943 | 125.46 | 7.2677 | 1 | 710 | 2 | 15 | 35 | 75 | 185 | 420 | 532 | 680 |
| Census Region | West | 203 | 89.059 | 127.909 | 8.9775 | 1 | 795 | 1 | 20 | 45 | 105 | 210 | 300 | 570 | 615 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 642 | 86.684 | 143.938 | 5.6808 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 15 | 40 | 80 | 223 | 426 | 585 | 680 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 254 | 83.512 | 104.207 | 6.5385 | 1 | 565 | 2 | 25 | 45 | 90 | 220 | 310 | 440 | 480 |
| Season | Winter | 210 | 73.548 | 144.308 | 9.9582 | 1 | 1440 | 1 | 15 | 33 | 60 | 160 | 270 | 560 | 710 |
| Season | Spring | 242 | 97.913 | 137.243 | 8.8223 | 1 | 795 | 4 | 25 | 45 | 120 | 240 | 435 | 570 | 675 |
| Season | Summer | 276 | 83.989 | 123.086 | 7.4089 | 1 | 690 | 4 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 200 | 420 | 525 | 580 |
| Season | Fall | 168 | 86.56 | 131.855 | 10.1729 | 1 | 710 | 2 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 240 | 405 | 600 | 615 |
| Asthma | No | 832 | 86.108 | 129.455 | 4.488 | 1 | 795 | 2 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 225 | 418 | 565 | 600 |
| Asthma | Yes | 57 | 85.596 | 193.133 | 25.5811 | 1 | 1440 | 1 | 15 | 35 | 90 | 180 | 235 | 260 | 1440 |
| Asthma | DK | 7 | 48.857 | 27.973 | 10.5727 | 2 | 90 | 2 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Angina | No | 857 | 86.177 | 134.897 | 4.608 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 223 | 410 | 565 | 615 |
| Angina | Yes | 33 | 81.727 | 117.393 | 20.4356 | 1 | 465 | 1 | 17 | 45 | 60 | 250 | 380 | 465 | 465 |
| Angina | DK | 6 | 52 | 29.257 | 11.9443 | 2 | 90 | 2 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 855 | 84.837 | 132.316 | 4.5251 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 15 | 40 | 85 | 225 | 405 | 560 | 600 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 34 | 117.735 | 176.429 | 30.2574 | 3 | 735 | 8 | 30 | 45 | 120 | 215 | 690 | 735 | 735 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 7 | 46.286 | 27.482 | 10.3871 | 2 | 90 | 2 | 32 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. $\mathrm{Stdev}=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perc | ntiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 226 | 70.721 | 126.651 | 8.425 | 1 | 910 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 190 | 309 | 510 | 580 |
| Gender | Male | 106 | 100.34 | 167.159 | 16.236 | 1 | 910 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 110 | 315 | 495 | 580 | 720 |
| Gender | Female | 120 | 44.558 | 64.826 | 5.918 | 1 | 295 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 46.5 | 167.5 | 187.5 | 248 | 285 |
| Age (years) | * | 3 | 135 | 195 | 112.58 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 11 | 39.818 | 38.449 | 11.593 | 5 | 110 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 90 | 90 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 5 | 62 | 63.699 | 28.487 | 5 | 170 | 5 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 12 | 93.75 | 90.81 | 26.214 | 5 | 248 | 5 | 17.5 | 52 | 163 | 238 | 248 | 248 | 248 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 182 | 69.984 | 132.655 | 9.833 | 1 | 910 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 190 | 309 | 550 | 720 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 13 | 74.462 | 127.9 | 35.473 | 1 | 465 | 1 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 180 | 465 | 465 | 465 |
| Race | White | 180 | 72.122 | 128.299 | 9.563 | 1 | 910 | 2 | 10 | 20.5 | 64 | 205 | 302 | 510 | 720 |
| Race | Black | 18 | 102.444 | 167.776 | 39.545 | 2 | 580 | 2 | 6 | 27.5 | 130 | 495 | 580 | 580 | 580 |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 21.667 | 7.638 | 4.41 | 15 | 30 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Race | Some Others | 5 | 50 | 46.098 | 20.616 | 5 | 115 | 5 | 10 | 45 | 75 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 |
| Race | Hispanic | 17 | 25.706 | 39.365 | 9.547 | 1 | 165 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| Race | Refused | 3 | 135 | 195 | 112.58 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Hispanic | No | 196 | 69.26 | 114.078 | 8.148 | 1 | 720 | 2 | 10 | 24 | 67.5 | 190 | 295 | 495 | 580 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 25 | 42.92 | 103.34 | 20.668 | 1 | 510 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 75 | 165 | 510 | 510 |
| Hispanic | DK | 2 | 465 | 629.325 | 445 | 20 | 910 | 20 | 20 | 465 | 910 | 910 | 910 | 910 | 910 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 3 | 135 | 195 | 112.58 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Employment | * | 26 | 55.577 | 59.88 | 11.743 | 5 | 238 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 145 | 170 | 238 | 238 |
| Employment | Full Time | 117 | 83.325 | 155.119 | 14.341 | 1 | 910 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 240 | 495 | 580 | 720 |
| Employment | Part Time | 37 | 75.378 | 114.734 | 18.862 | 1 | 465 | 1 | 5 | 21 | 90 | 180 | 450 | 465 | 465 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 43 | 37.093 | 46.8 | 7.137 | 1 | 210 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 134 | 210 | 210 |
| Employment | Refused | 3 | 135 | 195 | 112.58 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Education | * | 33 | 69.697 | 85.644 | 14.909 | 1 | 360 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 248 | 360 | 360 |
| Education | < High School | 16 | 73.25 | 176.778 | 44.194 | 2 | 720 | 2 | 7.5 | 22.5 | 32.5 | 165 | 720 | 720 | 720 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 83 | 83 | 124.358 | 13.65 | 1 | 580 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 90 | 215 | 315 | 495 | 580 |
| Education | < College | 49 | 75.898 | 162.674 | 23.239 | 1 | 910 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 210 | 450 | 910 | 910 |
| Education | College Graduate | 23 | 48.783 | 107.169 | 22.346 | 1 | 510 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 130 | 135 | 510 | 510 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 22 | 35.5 | 54.472 | 11.613 | 1 | 185 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 115 | 180 | 185 | 185 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 56 | 57.357 | 82.622 | 11.041 | 1 | 495 | 1 | 12.5 | 27.5 | 75 | 135 | 180 | 295 | 495 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 48 | 73.438 | 118.574 | 17.115 | 1 | 550 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 62.5 | 248 | 315 | 550 | 550 |
| Census Region | South | 75 | 57.92 | 106.421 | 12.288 | 1 | 720 | 2 | 7 | 20 | 50 | 185 | 238 | 360 | 720 |
| Census Region | West | 47 | 104.298 | 189.916 | 27.702 | 3 | 910 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 90 | 450 | 510 | 910 | 910 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 154 | 64.851 | 136.686 | 11.014 | 1 | 910 | 2 | 7 | 20 | 43 | 180 | 450 | 550 | 720 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 72 | 83.278 | 101.675 | 11.982 | 1 | 465 | 5 | 15 | 35 | 113 | 240 | 309 | 360 | 465 |
| Season | Winter | 45 | 50.533 | 64.702 | 9.645 | 2 | 309 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 63 | 130 | 180 | 309 | 309 |
| Season | Spring | 57 | 82.912 | 131.245 | 17.384 | 1 | 495 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 90 | 240 | 465 | 495 | 495 |
| Season | Summer | 75 | 72.027 | 146.21 | 16.883 | 1 | 910 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 205 | 315 | 580 | 910 |
| Season | Fall | 49 | 73.082 | 133.165 | 19.024 | 1 | 720 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 75 | 205 | 295 | 720 | 720 |
| Asthma | No | 204 | 62.98 | 109.369 | 7.657 | 1 | 720 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 180 | 248 | 495 | 510 |
| Asthma | Yes | 18 | 149.722 | 238.456 | 56.205 | 1 | 910 | 1 | 15 | 45 | 145 | 580 | 910 | 910 | 910 |
| Asthma | DK | 4 | 110 | 166.883 | 83.442 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 22.5 | 32.5 | 198 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Angina | No | 217 | 69.263 | 127.076 | 8.626 | 1 | 910 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 185 | 309 | 510 | 580 |
| Angina | Yes | 5 | 99.6 | 83.056 | 37.144 | 35 | 238 | 35 | 40 | 75 | 110 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 |
| Angina | DK | 4 | 113.75 | 164.792 | 82.396 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 22.5 | 40 | 205 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 211 | 65.555 | 114.21 | 7.863 | 1 | 720 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 180 | 295 | 495 | 550 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 11 | 142.364 | 265.976 | 80.195 | 1 | 910 | 1 | 10 | 40 | 180 | 240 | 910 | 910 | 910 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 4 | 146.25 | 160.799 | 80.399 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 22.5 | 105 | 270 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. $\mathrm{Stdev}=$ standard deviation. $\quad$ Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N |  |  | Stderr | Min |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 191 | 50.597 | 125.489 | 9.0801 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 105 | 365 | 570 | 645 |
| Gender | Male | 90 | 73.522 | 149.969 | 15.8082 | 1 | 645 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 325 | 495 | 600 | 645 |
| Gender | Female | 101 | 30.168 | 94.915 | 9.4444 | 2 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 44 | 105 | 180 | 510 |
| Age (years) | * | 1 | 86 | * | * | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 3 | 6.667 | 2.887 | 1.6667 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 3 | 66.667 | 98.277 | 56.7401 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 11 | 7.818 | 4.513 | 1.3606 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 157 | 54.185 | 135.636 | 10.8249 | 2 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 110 | 390 | 570 | 645 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 16 | 47.813 | 69.497 | 17.3744 | 5 | 240 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 55 | 180 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Race | White | 170 | 50.941 | 124.015 | 9.5115 | 2 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 107.5 | 365 | 520 | 600 |
| Race | Black | 11 | 80.727 | 191.433 | 57.7192 | 4 | 645 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 44 | 140 | 645 | 645 | 645 |
| Race | Asian | 1 | 5 | * | * | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Race | Some Others | 3 | 16.667 | 20.207 | 11.6667 | 5 | 40 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Race | Hispanic | 5 | 10.2 | 7.596 | 3.3971 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Race | Refused | 1 | 10 | * | * | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Hispanic | No | 179 | 53.056 | 129.15 | 9.6531 | 2 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 130 | 380 | 570 | 645 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 12 | 13.917 | 23.008 | 6.6418 | 1 | 86 | 1 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | 15 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Employment | * | 16 | 18.813 | 43.196 | 10.799 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 5 | 7.5 | 12.5 | 15 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Employment | Full Time | 110 | 55.827 | 136.782 | 13.0417 | 2 | 645 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 99 | 495 | 570 | 600 |
| Employment | Part Time | 26 | 34.731 | 71.829 | 14.0868 | 3 | 355 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 | 130 | 355 | 355 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 38 | 40.237 | 76.973 | 12.4867 | 4 | 380 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 140 | 240 | 380 | 380 |
| Employment | Refused | 1 | 790 | * | * | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| Education | * | 18 | 17.833 | 40.712 | 9.5958 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 5 | 7.5 | 15 | 15 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Education | < High School | 16 | 103 | 164.12 | 41.03 | 5 | 520 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 140 | 365 | 520 | 520 | 520 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 46 | 85.739 | 162.855 | 24.0116 | 3 | 645 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 85 | 380 | 495 | 645 | 645 |
| Education | < College | 58 | 41.759 | 121.08 | 15.8986 | 2 | 790 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 20 | 60 | 110 | 510 | 790 |
| Education | College Graduate | 30 | 36.633 | 111.641 | 20.3828 | 2 | 570 | 4 | 5 | 6.5 | 15 | 30 | 270 | 570 | 570 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 23 | 10 | 6.396 | 1.3337 | 5 | 30 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 30 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 33 | 59.697 | 149.173 | 25.9677 | 2 | 600 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 105 | 570 | 600 | 600 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 48 | 28.563 | 77.552 | 11.1936 | 2 | 510 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 60 | 110 | 510 | 510 |
| Census Region | South | 68 | 49.882 | 133.967 | 16.2459 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 130 | 295 | 645 | 790 |
| Census Region | West | 42 | 69.786 | 135.545 | 20.9151 | 4 | 520 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 40 | 270 | 390 | 520 | 520 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 122 | 58.402 | 145.085 | 13.1354 | 2 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 130 | 495 | 600 | 645 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 69 | 36.797 | 79.004 | 9.5109 | 1 | 390 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 88 | 240 | 380 | 390 |
| Season | Winter | 56 | 37.536 | 100.602 | 13.4435 | 2 | 600 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 60 | 270 | 355 | 600 |
| Season | Spring | 54 | 80.13 | 157.514 | 21.4349 | 1 | 645 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 380 | 510 | 570 | 645 |
| Season | Summer | 51 | 46.51 | 137.689 | 19.2804 | 2 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 365 | 520 | 790 |
| Season | Fall | 30 | 28.767 | 58.93 | 10.7591 | 3 | 295 | 5 | 5 | 8.5 | 15 | 93 | 130 | 295 | 295 |
| Asthma | No | 174 | 53.517 | 130.777 | 9.9141 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 130 | 380 | 570 | 645 |
| Asthma | Yes | 16 | 15.75 | 25.736 | 6.434 | 2 | 110 | 2 | 5 | 7.5 | 15 | 20 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Asthma | DK | 1 | 100 | * | * | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Angina | No | 184 | 46.788 | 120.622 | 8.8923 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 88 | 295 | 570 | 645 |
| Angina | Yes | 7 | 150.714 | 206.81 | 78.1667 | 10 | 510 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 380 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 181 | 47.122 | 123.971 | 9.2147 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 85 | 295 | 570 | 645 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 10 | 113.5 | 142.946 | 45.2036 | 5 | 380 | 5 | 10 | 58 | 140 | 367.5 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
| ```Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. N = doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. Source:Tsang and Klepeis,1996.``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  | Table 15-107. Statistics for | $24-$ Hour | Cumulative | Number of Minutes Spent | Outdoors at a Construction Site |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 259 | 98.386 | 110.056 | 6.839 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 208 | 300 | 540 | 570 |
| Gender | Male | 0.136 | 118.007 | 126.395 | 10.84 | 1 | 690 | 10 | 35 | 85 | 148.5 | 255 | 370 | 555 | 625 |
| Gender | Female | 123 | 76.691 | 83.861 | 7.562 | 1 | 570 | 5 | 20 | 51 | 120 | 180 | 225 | 270 | 440 |
| Age (years) | * | 2 | 275 | 374.767 | 265 | 10 | 540 | 10 | 10 | 275 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 9 | 85 | 61.084 | 20.36 | 10 | 175 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 140 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 64 | 88.016 | 95.638 | 11.96 | 5 | 625 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 170 | 220 | 315 | 625 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 76 | 78.658 | 88.179 | 10.12 | 3 | 570 | 5 | 25 | 55 | 105 | 165 | 225 | 370 | 570 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 101 | 119.812 | 127.563 | 12.69 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 85 | 165 | 240 | 360 | 540 | 555 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 7 | 65 | 47.258 | 17.86 | 5 | 150 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Race | White | 208 | 98.212 | 106.512 | 7.385 | 1 | 690 | 9 | 30 | 70 | 125 | 190 | 281 | 510 | 555 |
| Race | Black | 23 | 128.435 | 157.54 | 32.85 | 5 | 570 | 5 | 25 | 67 | 170 | 300 | 540 | 570 | 570 |
| Race | Asian | 6 | 59 | 66.076 | 26.98 | 10 | 179 | 10 | 10 | 35 | 85 | 179 | 179 | 179 | 179 |
| Race | Some Others | 7 | 70 | 59.652 | 22.55 | 10 | 180 | 10 | 10 | 60 | 105 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Race | Hispanic | 15 | 83.733 | 102.972 | 26.59 | 1 | 370 | 1 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 228 | 370 | 370 | 370 |
| Hispanic | No | 225 | 102.613 | 113.686 | 7.579 | 3 | 690 | 9 | 30 | 70 | 125 | 210 | 300 | 540 | 570 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 32 | 71.219 | 79.899 | 14.12 | 1 | 370 | 1 | 12.5 | 32.5 | 110 | 150 | 228 | 370 | 370 |
| Hispanic | DK | 2 | 57.5 | 31.82 | 22.5 | 35 | 80 | 35 | 35 | 57.5 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Employment | * | 143 | 80.161 | 88.031 | 7.362 | 3 | 625 | 9 | 25 | 55 | 115 | 160 | 215 | 315 | 570 |
| Employment | Full Time | 48 | 130.271 | 127.162 | 18.35 | 1 | 555 | 10 | 40 | 85 | 180 | 300 | 360 | 555 | 555 |
| Employment | Part Time | 24 | 129.708 | 158.934 | 32.44 | 3 | 690 | 10 | 35 | 85 | 143.5 | 228 | 510 | 690 | 690 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 42 | 95.429 | 94.776 | 14.62 | 1 | 440 | 5 | 30 | 80 | 120 | 180 | 235 | 440 | 440 |
| Employment | Refused | 2 | 322.5 | 307.591 | 217.5 | 105 | 540 | 105 | 105 | 323 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Education | * | 162 | 86.593 | 94.553 | 7.429 | 3 | 625 | 10 | 27 | 60 | 120 | 170 | 220 | 370 | 570 |
| Education | < High School | 11 | 124.818 | 171.918 | 51.84 | 1 | 540 | 1 | 5 | 45 | 180 | 345 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 33 | 113.636 | 110.669 | 19.27 | 3 | 555 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 160 | 240 | 290 | 555 | 555 |
| Education | < College | 19 | 129.842 | 147.389 | 33.81 | 5 | 510 | 5 | 33 | 70 | 210 | 440 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Education | College Graduate | 19 | 122.105 | 149.938 | 34.4 | 5 | 690 | 5 | 50 | 85 | 125 | 235 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 15 | 102.933 | 98.093 | 25.33 | 1 | 360 | 1 | 30 | 75 | 125 | 235 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 66 | 105.955 | 115.248 | 14.19 | 5 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 85 | 150 | 190 | 281 | 540 | 690 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 53 | 86.057 | 109.203 | 15 | 3 | 540 | 5 | 20 | 50 | 115 | 190 | 290 | 510 | 540 |
| Census Region | South | 82 | 85.463 | 92.353 | 10.2 | 1 | 570 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 180 | 255 | 360 | 570 |
| Census Region | West | 58 | 119.31 | 125.638 | 16.5 | 1 | 625 | 10 | 30 | 85 | 160 | 235 | 440 | 555 | 625 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 205 | 87.02 | 105.524 | 7.37 | 1 | 625 | 5 | 25 | 55 | 115 | 180 | 240 | 540 | 555 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 54 | 141.537 | 117.065 | 15.93 | 10 | 690 | 25 | 67 | 113 | 180 | 290 | 345 | 440 | 690 |
| Season | Winter | 53 | 72.189 | 101.951 | 14 | 1 | 555 | 3 | 20 | 35 | 85 | 130 | 315 | 440 | 1555 |
| Season | Spring | 88 | 108.614 | 96.502 | 10.29 | 5 | 540 | 10 | 45 | 85 | 147.5 | 215 | 255 | 510 | 540 |
| Season | Summer | 65 | 116.446 | 137.897 | 17.1 | 5 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 135 | 270 | 360 | 625 | 690 |
| Season | Fall | 53 | 85.453 | 96.241 | 13.22 | 5 | 540 | 5 | 20 | 55 | 120 | 180 | 235 | 345 | 540 |
| Asthma | No | 237 | 100.941 | 113.236 | 7.355 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 215 | 315 | 540 | 570 |
| Asthma | Yes | 22 | 70.864 | 61.977 | 13.21 | 5 | 179 | 10 | 15 | 45 | 145 | 160 | 165 | 179 | 179 |
| Angina | No | 254 | 99.118 | 110.809 | 6.953 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 68.5 | 120 | 208 | 300 | 540 | 570 |
| Angina | Yes | 5 | 61.2 | 53.383 | 23.87 | 1 | 130 | 1 | 15 | 70 | 90 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 248 | 100.565 | 111.621 | 7.088 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 71 | 125 | 210 | 300 | 540 | 570 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 10 | 52.7 | 45.363 | 14.35 | 9 | 160 | 9 | 22 | 44 | 60 | 125 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative
number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percen | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 506 | 198.603 | 190.248 | 8.4575 | 1 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 135 | 270 | 465 | 590 | 748 | 870 |
| Gender | Male | 291 | 205.825 | 183.101 | 10.7336 | 1 | 1015 | 25 | 60 | 150 | 285 | 510 | 590 | 730 | 755 |
| Gender | Female | 214 | 187.748 | 199.367 | 13.6284 | 5 | 1065 | 15 | 55 | 120 | 250 | 435 | 590 | 870 | 930 |
| Gender | Refused | 1 | 420 | * | * | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Age (years) | * | 10 | 122.4 | 60.183 | 19.0317 | 30 | 225 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 160 | 202 | 225 | 225 | 225 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 21 | 149.857 | 176.25 | 38.4609 | 21 | 755 | 25 | 50 | 85 | 150 | 360 | 425 | 755 | 755 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 54 | 207.556 | 184.496 | 25.1068 | 25 | 665 | 35 | 70 | 125 | 275 | 555 | 635 | 660 | 665 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 52 | 238.462 | 242.198 | 33.5869 | 15 | 1065 | 15 | 60 | 147.5 | 337.5 | 590 | 840 | 915 | 1065 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 314 | 197.838 | 185.939 | 10.4931 | 1 | 1015 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 270 | 440 | 580 | 748 | 870 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 55 | 188.964 | 182.919 | 24.6648 | 10 | 735 | 20 | 30 | 120 | 300 | 510 | 570 | 590 | 735 |
| Race | White | 441 | 205.338 | 195.266 | 9.2984 | 1 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 275 | 480 | 605 | 795 | 915 |
| Race | Black | 19 | 114.474 | 103.667 | 23.7829 | 15 | 425 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 155 | 240 | 425 | 425 | 425 |
| Race | Asian | 8 | 185.625 | 233.398 | 82.5186 | 30 | 665 | 30 | 32.5 | 47.5 | 315 | 665 | 665 | 665 | 665 |
| Race | Some Others | 16 | 171.25 | 154.229 | 38.5572 | 30 | 560 | 30 | 58 | 119.5 | 235 | 405 | 560 | 560 | 560 |
| Race | Hispanic | 20 | 169.45 | 135.803 | 30.3664 | 30 | 555 | 32.5 | 77 | 145 | 205 | 372.5 | 495 | 555 | 555 |
| Race | Refused | 2 | 75 | 63.64 | 45 | 30 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Hispanic | No | 469 | 202.706 | 193.555 | 8.9376 | 1 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 135 | 270 | 480 | 605 | 755 | 915 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 34 | 154.824 | 135.043 | 23.1596 | 15 | 555 | 30 | 60 | 137.5 | 175 | 310 | 555 | 555 | 555 |
| Hispanic | DK | 1 | 10 | * | * | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 2 | 75 | 63.64 | 45 | 30 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Employment | * | 128 | 208.242 | 209.644 | 18.5301 | 15 | 1065 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 275 | 555 | 645 | 840 | 915 |
| Employment | Full Time | 201 | 195.831 | 188.984 | 13.3299 | 8 | 1015 | 25 | 60 | 135 | 270 | 450 | 570 | 748 | 930 |
| Employment | Part Time | 41 | 213.488 | 215.602 | 33.6714 | 20 | 870 | 20 | 60 | 132 | 260 | 540 | 660 | 870 | 870 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 132 | 190.932 | 166.019 | 14.4501 | 1 | 810 | 15 | 60 | 160 | 270 | 420 | 525 | 730 | 735 |
| Employment | Refused | 4 | 130 | 106.771 | 53.3854 | 30 | 280 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 200 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 |
| Education | * | 140 | 202.743 | 204.676 | 17.2983 | 15 | 1065 | 20.5 | 60 | 120 | 270 | 498.5 | 640 | 840 | 915 |
| Education | < High School | 32 | 180.844 | 207.784 | 36.7315 | 30 | 995 | 30 | 30 | 110 | 245 | 385 | 570 | 995 | 995 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 108 | 219.676 | 197.223 | 18.9778 | 10 | 1015 | 20 | 77.5 | 162.5 | 281 | 545 | 625 | 730 | 810 |
| Education | <College | 93 | 191.57 | 171.177 | 17.7502 | 1 | 870 | 15 | 60 | 150 | 275 | 440 | 510 | 748 | 870 |
| Education | College Graduate | 83 | 203.53 | 183.095 | 20.0973 | 5 | 930 | 23 | 60 | 145 | 270 | 450 | 590 | 795 | 930 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 50 | 157.76 | 166.568 | 23.5562 | 10 | 735 | 20 | 45 | 75 | 255 | 337.5 | 555 | 703 | 735 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 106 | 184.858 | 177.429 | 17.2334 | 1 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 124 | 240 | 450 | 574 | 635 | 660 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 124 | 194.629 | 188.667 | 16.9428 | 10 | 1015 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 255 | 420 | 590 | 735 | 995 |
| Census Region | South | 136 | 218.846 | 211.474 | 18.1337 | 10 | 930 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 325 | 525 | 720 | 840 | 915 |
| Census Region | West | 140 | 192.864 | 179.421 | 15.1639 | 5 | 870 | 17.5 | 58 | 131 | 272.5 | 430 | 575 | 755 | 810 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 276 | 195.996 | 189.287 | 11.3938 | 5 | 1015 | 20 | 60 | 145 | 252.5 | 510 | 625 | 748 | 840 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 230 | 201.73 | 191.76 | 12.6443 | 1 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 130 | 280 | 454.5 | 580 | 810 | 915 |
| Season | Winter | 83 | 209.072 | 195.228 | 21.429 | 15 | 1065 | 30 | 60 | 165 | 275 | 440 | 660 | 795 | 1065 |
| Season | Spring | 163 | 168.479 | 159.071 | 12.4594 | 8 | 930 | 20 | 50 | 120 | 235 | 360 | 510 | 570 | 755 |
| Season | Summer | 192 | 219.615 | 199.872 | 14.4245 | 5 | 1015 | 20 | 65 | 155 | 290 | 535 | 630 | 840 | 915 |
| Season | Fall | 68 | 198.706 | 217.911 | 26.4256 | 1 | 995 | 20 | 60 | 117.5 | 280 | 555 | 735 | 810 | 995 |
| Asthma | No | 466 | 192.127 | 178.759 | 8.2808 | 1 | 1015 | 20 | 60 | 135 | 270 | 450 | 580 | 700 | 755 |
| Asthma | Yes | 38 | 284.526 | 288.727 | 46.8377 | 30 | 1065 | 35 | 90 | 170 | 390 |  | 9951 | 65 | 1065 |
| Asthma | DK | 2 | 75 | 63.64 | 45 | 30 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Angina | No | 494 | 197.881 | 189.761 | 8.5378 | 1 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 135 | 270 | 459 | 590 | 755 | 915 |
| Angina | Yes | 9 | 247.778 | 235.267 | 78.4224 | 35 | 730 | 35 | 60 | 120 | 330 | 730 | 730 | 730 | 730 |
| Angina | DK | 3 | 170 | 170.587 | 98.4886 | 30 | 360 | 30 | 30 | 120 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 490 | 196.978 | 184.633 | 8.3409 | 1 | 1065 | 20 | 60 | 145 | 270 | 454.5 | 585 | 735 | 840 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 14 | 273.143 | 339.073 | 90.6211 | 20 | 995 | 20 | 75 | 100 | 280 | 930 | 995 | 995 | 995 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 2 | 75 | 63.64 | 45 | 30 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | ntiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 283 | 209.555 | 185.668 | 11.037 | 5 | 1440 | 25 | 60 | 150 | 296 | 480 | 570 | 670 | 690 |
| Gender | Male | 152 | 229.829 | 202.702 | 16.441 | 10 | 1440 | 30 | 82.5 | 174 | 305 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 900 |
| Gender | Female | 131 | 186.031 | 161.293 | 14.092 | 5 | 645 | 20 | 60 | 135 | 280 | 440 | 550 | 630 | 630 |
| Age (years) | * | 6 | 175 | 156.971 | 64.083 | 60 | 480 | 60 | 85 | 115 | 195 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 14 | 250.571 | 177.508 | 47.441 | 90 | 630 | 90 | 130 | 167.5 | 370 | 560 | 630 | 630 | 630 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 29 | 175.448 | 117.875 | 21.889 | 25 | 390 | 30 | 60 | 145 | 293 | 365 | 375 | 390 | 390 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 22 | 128.318 | 94.389 | 20.124 | 40 | 420 | 58 | 60 | 82.5 | 210 | 225 | 235 | 420 | 420 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 187 | 224.492 | 203.822 | 14.905 | 5 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 320 | 511 | 615 | 690 | 900 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 25 | 194.2 | 161.757 | 32.351 | 20 | 525 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 277 | 480 | 510 | 525 | 525 |
| Race | White | 246 | 201.565 | 182.298 | 11.623 | 5 | 1440 | 25 | 60 | 145 | 285 | 440 | 560 | 670 | 690 |
| Race | Black | 12 | 380.583 | 231.89 | 66.941 | 20 | 690 | 20 | 177.5 | 450 | 562.5 | 615 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Race | Asian | 4 | 265 | 247.083 | 123.54 | 30 | 505 | 30 | 52.5 | 262.5 | 477.5 | 505 | 505 | 505 | 505 |
| Race | Some Others | 5 | 237 | 129.933 | 58.108 | 70 | 435 | 70 | 220 | 225 | 235 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Race | Hispanic | 12 | 161 | 131.699 | 38.018 | 20 | 390 | 20 | 52.5 | 112.5 | 265 | 375 | 390 | 390 | 390 |
| Race | Refused | 4 | 243.75 | 208.621 | 104.31 | 90 | 550 | 90 | 115 | 167.5 | 372.5 | 550 | 550 | 550 | 550 |
| Hispanic | No | 259 | 208.923 | 187.792 | 11.669 | 5 | 1440 | 25 | 60 | 150 | 295 | 480 | 585 | 670 | 690 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 20 | 210.9 | 160.142 | 35.809 | 20 | 540 | 28.5 | 87.5 | 155 | 337.5 | 450.5 | 525.5 | 540 | 540 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 4 | 243.75 | 208.621 | 104.31 | 90 | 550 | 90 | 115 | 167.5 | 372.5 | 550 | 550 | 550 | 550 |
| Employment | - | 66 | 176.879 | 131.256 | 16.156 | 25 | 630 | 40 | 70 | 142.5 | 235 | 370 | 420 | 560 | 630 |
| Employment | Full Time | 119 | 210.748 | 176.089 | 16.142 | 10 | 900 | 20 | 65 | 150 | 298 | 510 | 600 | 645 | 670 |
| Employment | Part Time | 26 | 217.038 | 199.926 | 39.209 | 20 | 670 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 320 | 570 | 580 | 670 | 670 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 69 | 238.884 | 236.16 | 28.43 | 5 | 1440 | 20 | 65 | 145 | 370 | 510 | 630 | 690 | 1440 |
| Employment | Refused | 3 | 141.667 | 52.52 | 30.322 | 90 | 195 | 90 | 90 | 140 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Education | * | 73 | 172.932 | 129.988 | 15.214 | 20 | 630 | 30 | 70 | 140 | 225 | 370 | 420 | 560 | 630 |
| Education | < High School | 18 | 267.611 | 159.382 | 37.567 | 40 | 600 | 40 | 145 | 247.5 | 375 | 525 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 69 | 213.217 | 224.126 | 26.982 | 10 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 145 | 285 | 511 | 670 | 690 | 1440 |
| Education | < College | 62 | 233.258 | 192.408 | 24.436 | 5 | 690 | 30 | 65 | 150 | 360 | 550 | 580 | 615 | 690 |
| Education | College Graduate | 37 | 230.919 | 187.271 | 30.787 | 14 | 645 | 20 | 70 | 173 | 400 | 505 | 630 | 645 | 645 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 24 | 172.708 | 196.977 | 40.208 | 20 | 900 | 25 | 45 | 112.5 | 240 | 370 | 480 | 900 | 900 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 61 | 220.689 | 172.373 | 22.07 | 30 | 900 | 30 | 60 | 180 | 325 | 390 | 510 | 670 | 900 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 41 | 219.22 | 257.201 | 40.168 | 10 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 280 | 480 | 600 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Census Region | South | 111 | 182.198 | 161.288 | 15.309 | 5 | 670 | 20 | 60 | 118 | 280 | 420 | 525 | 630 | 645 |
| Census Region | West | 70 | 237.571 | 181.838 | 21.734 | 25 | 690 | 40 | 90 | 180 | 300 | 547.5 | 615 | 690 | 690 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 165 | 188.77 | 179.894 | 14.005 | 10 | 1440 | 30 | 60 | 125 | 255 | 420 | 511 | 615 | 670 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 118 | 238.619 | 190.432 | 17.531 | 5 | 900 | 20 | 75 | 187.5 | 350 | 555 | 630 | 690 | 690 |
| Season | Winter | 30 | 173.167 | 181.68 | 33.17 | 20 | 630 | 20 | 40 | 102.5 | 270 | 492.5 | 585 | 630 | 630 |
| Season | Spring | 77 | 206.468 | 163.551 | 18.638 | 15 | 690 | 30 | 80 | 180 | 288 | 480 | 555 | 670 | 690 |
| Season | Summer | 151 | 219.709 | 196.809 | 16.016 | 5 | 1440 | 26 | 65 | 155 | 300 | 445 | 580 | 630 | 900 |
| Season | Fall | 25 | 201.4 | 189.663 | 37.933 | 20 | 670 | 45 | 70 | 105 | 310 | 510 | 510 | 670 | 670 |
| Asthma | No | 262 | 209.004 | 188.208 | 11.628 | 5 | 1440 | 25 | 60 | 150 | 295 | 480 | 580 | 670 | 690 |
| Asthma | Yes | 17 | 238.824 | 161.966 | 39.282 | 15 | 570 | 15 | 105 | 225 | 350 | 525 | 570 | 570 | 570 |
| Asthma | DK | 4 | 121.25 | 59.214 | 29.607 | 60 | 195 | 60 | 75 | 115 | 167.5 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Angina | No | 272 | 205.897 | 185.199 | 11.229 | 5 | 1440 | 25 | 60 | 145 | 290.5 | 480 | 570 | 645 | 690 |
| Angina | Yes | 8 | 359.375 | 178.774 | 63.206 | 60 | 690 | 60 | 287.5 | 340 | 435 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Angina | DK | 3 | 141.667 | 52.52 | 30.322 | 90 | 195 | 90 | 90 | 140 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 266 | 210.974 | 189.082 | 11.593 | 5 | 1440 | 25 | 60 | 150 | 296 | 480 | 580 | 670 | 690 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 14 | 197.143 | 131.54 | 35.156 | 15 | 440 | 15 | 90 | 172.5 | 300 | 370 | 440 | 440 | 440 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 3 | 141.667 | 52.52 | 30.322 | 90 | 195 | 90 | 90 | 140 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative
number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | ntiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 64 | 81.016 | 114.7 | 14.337 | 3 | 540 | 5 | 12.5 | 30 | 107.5 | 165 | 270 | 540 | 540 |
| Gender | Male | 31 | 111.839 | 148.921 | 26.747 | 5 | 540 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 270 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Gender | Female | 33 | 52.061 | 57.66 | 10.037 | 3 | 210 | 3 | 8 | 30 | 80 | 135 | 180 | 210 | 210 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 6 | 57.5 | 61.38 | 25.058 | 5 | 160 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 105 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 5 | 112.8 | 202.59 | 90.601 | 5 | 473 | 5 | 6 | 20 | 60 | 473 | 473 | 473 | 473 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 6 | 60 | 55.408 | 22.62 | 5 | 150 | 5 | 30 | 35 | 105 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 46 | 84.804 | 116.85 | 17.229 | 3 | 540 | 5 | 10 | 50 | 120 | 180 | 270 | 540 | 540 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 1 | 15 | * | * | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Race | White | 54 | 76 | 105.032 | 14.293 | 3 | 540 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 105 | 165 | 270 | 473 | 540 |
| Race | Black | 4 | 57.75 | 83.108 | 41.554 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 5.5 | 23 | 110 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Race | Asian | 1 | 75 | * | * | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Race | Some Others | 2 | 97.5 | 31.82 | 22.5 | 75 | 120 | 75 | 75 | 97.5 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Race | Hispanic | 2 | 20 | 14.142 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Race | Refused | 1 | 540 | * | * | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Hispanic | No | 60 | 81.833 | 117.521 | 15.172 | 3 | 540 | 5 | 12.5 | 30 | 107.5 | 172.5 | 371.5 | 540 | 540 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 4 | 68.75 | 66.63 | 33.315 | 10 | 160 | 10 | 20 | 52.5 | 117.5 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| Employment | * | 17 | 74.647 | 114.206 | 27.699 | 5 | 473 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 105 | 160 | 473 | 473 | 473 |
| Employment | Full Time | 37 | 70.838 | 67.86 | 11.156 | 3 | 270 | 5 | 15 | 55 | 120 | 165 | 210 | 270 | 270 |
| Employment | Part Time | 4 | 42 | 32.031 | 16.016 | 3 | 75 | 3 | 16.5 | 45 | 67.5 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 6 | 187.833 | 272.841 | 111.387 | 5 | 540 | 5 | 7 | 17.5 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Education | * | 18 | 70.667 | 112.076 | 26.416 | 3 | 473 | 3 | 6 | 30 | 105 | 160 | 473 | 473 | 473 |
| Education | < High School | 1 | 540 | * | * | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 11 | 56.182 | 84.536 | 25.489 | 3 | 270 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 165 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Education | < College | 10 | 108.6 | 164.611 | 52.055 | 5 | 540 | 5 | 7 | 30 | 150 | 352.5 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Education | College Graduate | 11 | 68.636 | 59.544 | 17.953 | 10 | 210 | 10 | 20 | 55 | 110 | 120 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 13 | 70.308 | 53.494 | 14.836 | 6 | 180 | 6 | 15 | 75 | 80 | 140 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 19 | 88.105 | 116.181 | 26.654 | 3 | 473 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 270 | 473 | 473 | 473 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 15 | 102.6 | 140.685 | 36.325 | 3 | 540 | 3 | 15 | 45 | 165 | 210 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Census Region | South | 16 | 48.563 | 47.25 | 11.812 | 5 | 140 | 5 | 8.5 | 30 | 92.5 | 120 | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| Census Region | West | 14 | 85.357 | 138.737 | 37.079 | 10 | 540 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 160 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 35 | 51.2 | 52.665 | 8.902 | 3 | 180 | 3 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 165 | 180 | 180 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 29 | 117 | 154.21 | 28.636 | 5 | 540 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 135 | 473 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Season | Winter | 8 | 79.375 | 75.187 | 26.583 | 10 | 210 | 10 | 20 | 52.5 | 135 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Season | Spring | 14 | 138.429 | 172.811 | 46.186 | 5 | 540 | 5 | 30 | 65 | 180 | 473 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Season | Summer | 28 | 71 | 105.063 | 19.855 | 3 | 540 | 3 | 7.5 | 35 | 100 | 150 | 160 | 540 | 540 |
| Season | Fall | 14 | 44.571 | 52.2 | 13.951 | 5 | 165 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| Asthma | No | 61 | 82.131 | 117.182 | 15.004 | 3 | 540 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 110 | 165 | 270 | 540 | 540 |
| Asthma | Yes | 3 | 58.333 | 40.723 | 23.511 | 30 | 105 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 |
| Angina | No | 63 | 82.222 | 115.211 | 14.515 | 3 | 540 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 110 | 165 | 270 | 540 | 540 |
| Angina | Yes | 1 | 5 | * | * | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 63 | 81.667 | 115.502 | 14.552 | 3 | 540 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 110 | 165 | 270 | 540 | 540 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 1 | 40 | * | * | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean 24 -hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percen | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 128 | 252.703 | 232.537 | 20.554 | 5 | 955 | 20 | 75 | 176.5 | 427.5 | 600 | 730 | 855 | 933 |
| Gender | Male | 86 | 305.186 | 251.432 | 27.113 | 5 | 955 | 29 | 90 | 230 | 500 | 660 | 780 | 933 | 955 |
| Gender | Female | 42 | 145.238 | 137.207 | 21.171 | 5 | 600 | 20 | 50 | 105 | 210 | 265 | 482 | 600 | 600 |
| Age (years) | * | 1 | 510 | * | * | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 3 | 121.667 | 52.52 | 30.322 | 70 | 175 | 70 | 70 | 120 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 7 | 111.286 | 76.952 | 29.085 | 25 | 264 | 25 | 50 | 100 | 130 | 264 | 264 | 264 | 264 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 9 | 157.778 | 85.416 | 28.472 | 29 | 265 | 29 | 90 | 175 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 256 | 265 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 91 | 296.67 | 252.209 | 26.439 | 5 | 955 | 20 | 80 | 230 | 500 | 635 | 780 | 933 | 955 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 17 | 133.824 | 134.182 | 32.544 | 5 | 495 | 5 | 50 | 85 | 160 | 360 | 495 | 495 | 495 |
| Race | White | 120 | 260.217 | 236.226 | 21.564 | 5 | 955 | 20 | 75 | 180 | 472.5 | 607.5 | 745 | 855 | 933 |
| Race | Black | 4 | 58.75 | 30.923 | 15.462 | 25 | 85 | 25 | 32.5 | 62.5 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Race | Some Others | 2 | 165 | 21.213 | 15 | 150 | 180 | 150 | 150 | 165 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Race | Hispanic | 2 | 277.5 | 222.739 | 157.5 | 120 | 435 | 120 | 120 | 277.5 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Hispanic | No | 123 | 252.61 | 234.762 | 21.168 | 5 | 955 | 20 | 70 | 178 | 420 | 600 | 730 | 855 | 933 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 4 | 297.5 | 189.143 | 94.571 | 120 | 485 | 120 | 135 | 292.5 | 460 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 1 | 85 | * | * | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Employment | , | 19 | 134.947 | 77.658 | 17.816 | 25 | 265 | 25 | 86 | 120 | 180 | 264 | 265 | 265 | 265 |
| Employment | Full Time | 73 | 314.781 | 258.07 | 30.205 | 5 | 955 | 20 | 85 | 240 | 525 | 660 | 780 | 933 | 955 |
| Employment | Part Time | 11 | 283 | 183.589 | 55.354 | 45 | 525 | 45 | 150 | 230 | 490 | 495 | 525 | 525 | 525 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 24 | 152.917 | 183.977 | 37.554 | 5 | 825 | 5 | 35 | 90 | 205 | 280 | 495 | 825 | 825 |
| E mployment | Refused | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Education | * | 20 | 137.2 | 76.255 | 17.051 | 25 | 265 | 27 | 88 | 120 | 180 | 262 | 264.5 | 265 | 265 |
| Education | < High School | 12 | 305 | 211.058 | 60.927 | 30 | 635 | 30 | 97.5 | 325 | 492.5 | 510 | 635 | 635 | 635 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 50 | 314.54 | 280.31 | 39.642 | 5 | 955 | 20 | 85 | 215 | 525 | 745 | 855 | 944 | 955 |
| Education | < College | 25 | 186.6 | 165.994 | 33.199 | 5 | 555 | 15 | 60 | 155 | 255 | 482 | 525 | 555 | 555 |
| Education | College Graduate | 12 | 290.417 | 242.903 | 70.12 | 30 | 615 | 30 | 67.5 | 202.5 | 530 | 600 | 615 | 615 | 615 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 9 | 229.444 | 246.062 | 82.021 | 5 | 780 | 5 | 80 | 150 | 210 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 11 | 238.182 | 299.143 | 90.195 | 5 | 955 | 5 | 30 | 100 | 490 | 520 | 955 | 955 | 955 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 42 | 202.31 | 196.644 | 30.343 | 15 | 780 | 20 | 654 | 125 | 265 | 510 | 635 | 780 | 780 |
| Census Region | South | 57 | 279.702 | 239.345 | 31.702 | 5 | 933 | 25 | 85 | 195 | 482 | 635 | 760 | 825 | 933 |
| Census Region | West | 18 | 293.667 | 242.324 | 57.116 | 5 | 855 | 5 | 120 | 220 | 525 | 615 | 855 | 855 | 855 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 78 | 276.859 | 243.801 | 27.605 | 5 | 955 | 15 | 85 | 180 | 485 | 615 | 780 | 933 | 955 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 50 | 215.02 | 210.635 | 29.788 | 5 | 855 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 290 | 525 | 700 | 792.5 | 855 |
| Season | Winter | 32 | 205.25 | 207.666 | 36.711 | 5 | 955 | 22 | 77.5 | 120 | 245 | 495 | 540 | 955 | 955 |
| Season | Spring | 40 | 224.4 | 213.304 | 33.726 | 5 | 825 | 25 | 60 | 152.5 | 342.5 | 525 | 625 | 825 | 825 |
| Season | Summer | 43 | 276.093 | 247.758 | 37.783 | 5 | 933 | 20 | 70 | 230 | 435 | 660 | 760 | 933 | 933 |
| Season | Fall | 13 | 379.231 | 264.904 | 73.471 | 15 | 780 | 15 | 200 | 280 | 600 | 730 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Asthma | No | 120 | 256.983 | 235.209 | 21.472 | 5 | 955 | 21 | 75 | 180 | 427.5 | 607.5 | 745 | 855 | 933 |
| Asthma | Yes | 8 | 188.5 | 188.481 | 66.638 | 5 | 500 | 5 | 700 | 110 | 321.5 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Angina | No | 127 | 253.039 | 233.426 | 20.713 | 5 | 955 | 20 | 75 | 175 | 435 | 600 | 730 | 855 | 933 |
| Angina | Yes | 1 | 210 | * | * | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 125 | 256.208 | 233.892 | 20.92 | 5 | 955 | 22 | 75 | 178 | 435 | 600 | 730 | 855 | 933 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 3 | 106.667 | 95.699 | 55.252 | 5 | 195 | 5 | 5 | 120 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean 24 -hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 7063 | 92.646 | 94.207 | 1.121 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 205 | 270 | 365 | 460 |
| Gender | Male | 2988 | 74.998 | 80.79 | 1.478 | 1 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 155 | 215 | 300 | 392 |
| Gender | Female | 4072 | 105.636 | 101.03 | 1.5832 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 35 | 75 | 145 | 230 | 295 | 395 | 475 |
| Gender | Refused | 3 | 40 | 31.225 | 18.028 | 15 | 75 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Age (years) | * | 144 | 102.688 | 110.82 | 9.235 | 5 | 840 | 15 | 30 | 70 | 130 | 215 | 260 | 485 | 540 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 335 | 73.719 | 54.382 | 2.9712 | 5 | 392 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 140 | 180 | 225 | 240 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 477 | 60.468 | 52.988 | 2.4262 | 1 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 75 | 120 | 150 | 180 | 235 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 396 | 55.02 | 58.111 | 2.9202 | 1 | 450 | 5 | 15 | 36 | 65 | 125 | 155 | 240 | 340 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 4531 | 90.313 | 90.893 | 1.3503 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 200 | 260 | 345 | 420 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 1180 | 131.388 | 119.55 | 3.4802 | 3 | 825 | 15 | 49 | 100 | 172 | 275 | 360 | 490 | 620 |
| Race | White | 5827 | 95.076 | 95.151 | 1.2465 | 1 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 120 | 210 | 273 | 380 | 465 |
| Race | Black | 641 | 79.376 | 91.989 | 3.6333 | 2 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 175 | 230 | 275 | 380 |
| Race | Asian | 113 | 89.363 | 95.45 | 8.9792 | 5 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 115 | 150 | 220 | 265 | 650 |
| Race | Some Others | 119 | 69.059 | 60.786 | 5.5722 | 2 | 315 | 7 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 150 | 195 | 210 | 315 |
| Race | Hispanic | 266 | 84.203 | 77.297 | 4.7394 | 1 | 585 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 190 | 240 | 305 | 360 |
| Race | Refused | 97 | 90.33 | 113.55 | 11.53 | 5 | 880 | 7 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 190 | 275 | 480 | 880 |
| Hispanic | No | 6458 | 93.422 | 94.778 | 1.1794 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 210 | 270 | 370 | 460 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 497 | 83.889 | 82.921 | 3.7195 | 1 | 675 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 240 | 315 | 415 |
| Hispanic | DK | 32 | 82.25 | 71.901 | 12.71 | 5 | 300 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 112.5 | 185 | 240 | 300 | 300 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 76 | 88.421 | 118.56 | 13.6 | 5 | 880 | 7 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 190 | 240 | 480 | 880 |
| Employment | * | 1200 | 62.348 | 55.431 | 1.6001 | 1 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 85 | 125 | 152.5 | 212.5 | 260 |
| Employment | Full Time | 2965 | 77.748 | 77.466 | 1.4227 | 1 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 165 | 225 | 300 | 376 |
| Employment | Part Time | 608 | 97.699 | 94.046 | 3.8141 | 1 | 755 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 133.5 | 213 | 270 | 405 | 445 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 2239 | 126.929 | 115.78 | 2.4468 | 1 | 1320 | 12 | 45 | 95 | 175 | 270 | 342 | 470 | 545 |
| Employment | Refused | 51 | 106.373 | 168.46 | 23.589 | 2 | 880 | 5 | 30 | 48 | 130 | 210 | 250 | 840 | 880 |
| Education | * | 1346 | 63.922 | 62.315 | 1.6985 | 1 | 880 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 85 | 130 | 165 | 235 | 285 |
| Education | < High School | 678 | 108.114 | 102.88 | 3.9511 | 1 | 775 | 10 | 34 | 80 | 150 | 230 | 295 | 405 | 545 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 2043 | 107.208 | 102.33 | 2.264 | 1 | 840 | 10 | 35 | 75 | 150 | 235 | 300 | 415 | 500 |
| Education | < College | 1348 | 94.359 | 101.17 | 2.7555 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 210 | 280 | 380 | 450 |
| Education | College Graduate | 933 | 91.874 | 92.098 | 3.0152 | 2 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 200 | 261 | 330 | 410 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 715 | 88.227 | 87.661 | 3.2783 | 1 | 770 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 113 | 190 | 260 | 380 | 405 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1645 | 99.632 | 99.739 | 2.4591 | 1 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 130 | 210 | 300 | 390 | 465 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1601 | 96.066 | 93.567 | 2.3384 | 1 | 833 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 125 | 213 | 270 | 355 | 450 |
| Census Region | South | 2383 | 86.253 | 87.055 | 1.7833 | 1 | 880 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 190 | 245 | 330 | 420 |
| Census Region | West | 1434 | 91.441 | 99.061 | 2.6159 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 119 | 195 | 255 | 380 | 480 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 4849 | 90.068 | 92.218 | 1.3243 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 119 | 195 | 255 | 360 | 450 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 2214 | 98.294 | 98.207 | 2.0871 | 1 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 65.5 | 135 | 220 | 280 | 390 | 480 |
| Season | Winter | 1938 | 96.575 | 100.32 | 2.2787 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 120 | 210 | 285 | 390 | 485 |
| Season | Spring | 1780 | 89.02 | 90.187 | 2.1376 | 1 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 255 | 350 | 420 |
| Season | Summer | 1890 | 89.316 | 90.984 | 2.0928 | 1 | 880 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 255 | 362 | 430 |
| Season | Fall | 1455 | 96.177 | 94.494 | 2.4773 | 1 | 770 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 125 | 210 | 275 | 375 | 470 |
| Asthma | No | 6510 | 92.448 | 93.602 | 1.1601 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 205 | 270 | 365 | 450 |
| Asthma | Yes | 503 | 94.038 | 96.001 | 4.2805 | 1 | 785 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 210 | 270 | 345 | 450 |
| Asthma | DK | 50 | 104.44 | 143.73 | 20.326 | 7 | 880 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 240 | 712.5 | 880 |
| Angina | No | 6798 | 91.625 | 93.03 | 1.1283 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 200 | 265 | 360 | 450 |
| Angina | Yes | 207 | 122.469 | 111.41 | 7.7437 | 4 | 657 | 10 | 45 | 100 | 155 | 255 | 360 | 415 | 620 |
| Angina | DK | 58 | 105.948 | 138.38 | 18.17 | 2 | 880 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 240 | 240 | 545 | 880 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 6671 | 91.827 | 92.587 | 1.1336 | 1 | 1320 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 200 | 265 | 360 | 445 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 338 | 104.784 | 113.39 | 6.1676 | 1 | 825 | 10 | 30 | 71 | 135 | 225 | 300 | 480 | 657 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 54 | 117.889 | 142.41 | 19.38 | 2 | 880 | 10 | 30 | 76 | 160 | 240 | 275 | 545 | 880 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All |  | 6661 | 35.0237 | 48.796 | 0.5979 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 137 | 255 |
| Gender | Male | 3006 | 32.689 | 50.366 | 0.9186 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 20.5 | 35 | 60 | 75 | 150 | 300 |
| Gender | Female | 3653 | 36.9491 | 47.399 | 0.7842 | 1 | 665 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 70 | 90 | 135 | 240 |
| Gender | Refused | 2 | 27.5 | 3.536 | 2.5 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 27.5 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Age (years) | * | 122 | 43.8689 | 67.007 | 6.0665 | 2 | 530 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 85 | 120 | 300 | 360 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 328 | 35.939 | 46.499 | 2.5675 | 1 | 600 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 75 | 125 | 270 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 490 | 30.9673 | 38.609 | 1.7442 | 1 | 535 | 5 | 15 | 27 | 35 | 52.5 | 60 | 100 | 200 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 445 | 29.0517 | 32.934 | 1.5612 | 1 | 547 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 65 | 90 | 100 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 4486 | 34.4884 | 46.067 | 0.6878 | 1 | 665 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 250 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 790 | 42.1975 | 69.431 | 2.4703 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 240 | 360 |
| Race | White | 5338 | 34.3164 | 48.628 | 0.6656 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 85 | 135 | 255 |
| Race | Black | 711 | 36.8678 | 39.559 | 1.4836 | 1 | 460 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 70 | 98 | 135 | 186 |
| Race | Asian | 117 | 33.5556 | 41.449 | 3.8319 | 5 | 375 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 110 | 210 |
| Race | Some Others | 134 | 47.306 | 69.649 | 6.0167 | 1 | 535 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 95 | 120 | 315 | 422 |
| Race | Hispanic | 283 | 38.6396 | 61.494 | 3.6554 | 1 | 546 | 5 | 15 | 24 | 45 | 60 | 80 | 270 | 425 |
| Race | Refused | 78 | 34.6026 | 49.182 | 5.5687 | 3 | 360 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 135 | 165 | 360 |
| Hispanic | No | 6067 | 34.5332 | 45.887 | 0.5891 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 240 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 498 | 39.2309 | 68.582 | 3.0733 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 270 | 425 |
| Hispanic | DK | 33 | 44.4242 | 72.269 | 12.58 | 5 | 422 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 422 | 422 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 63 | 44.0794 | 95.224 | 11.997 | 3 | 665 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 150 | 360 | 665 |
| Employment | * | 1240 | 31.9645 | 39.652 | 1.1261 | 1 | 600 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 70 | 100 | 180 |
| Employment | Full Time | 3130 | 33.4086 | 44.827 | 0.8012 | 1 | 595 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 123 | 240 |
| Employment | Part Time | 583 | 35.5232 | 43.932 | 1.8195 | 1 | 430 | 5 | 15 | 29 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 140 | 270 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 1661 | 40.1854 | 61.587 | 1.5111 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 75 | 110 | 210 | 340 |
| Employment | Refused | 47 | 34.6809 | 54.835 | 7.9986 | 3 | 360 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 55 | 75 | 360 | 360 |
| Education | * | 1386 | 32.1717 | 42.788 | 1.1493 | 1 | 665 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 60 | 70 | 110 | 200 |
| Education | < High School | 522 | 40.8736 | 64.533 | 2.8245 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 70 | 100 | 240 | 350 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1857 | 35.832 | 50.155 | 1.1639 | 1 | 600 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 63 | 90 | 135 | 270 |
| Education | < College | 1305 | 36.0797 | 44.121 | 1.2214 | 1 | 540 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 45 | 70 | 95 | 150 | 225 |
| Education | College Graduate | 913 | 34.9912 | 54.071 | 1.7895 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 340 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 678 | 32.1475 | 42.82 | 1.6445 | 1 | 460 | 5 | 15 | 22 | 40 | 60 | 75 | 110 | 300 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1497 | 34.3287 | 51.244 | 1.3244 | 1 | 600 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 140 | 335 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1465 | 35.7802 | 54.521 | 1.4245 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 145 | 315 |
| Census Region | South | 2340 | 35.0739 | 42.003 | 0.8683 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 214 |
| Census Region | West | 1359 | 34.8874 | 50.399 | 1.3671 | 1 | 705 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 140 | 250 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 4613 | 33.9035 | 46.663 | 0.687 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 85 | 135 | 240 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 2048 | 37.5469 | 53.214 | 1.1759 | 1 | 600 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 65 | 90 | 150 | 300 |
| Season | Winter | 1853 | 37.0232 | 50.658 | 1.1768 | 1 | 665 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 42 | 65 | 90 | 150 | 270 |
| Season | Spring | 1747 | 36.6474 | 50.536 | 1.2091 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 240 |
| Season | Summer | 1772 | 32.7788 | 44.543 | 1.0582 | 1 | 570 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 38 | 60 | 80 | 135 | 210 |
| Season | Fall | 1289 | 33.0349 | 49.108 | 1.3678 | 1 | 540 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 90 | 140 | 303 |
| Asthma | No | 6132 | 34.9204 | 48.833 | 0.6236 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 255 |
| Asthma | Yes | 493 | 35.2495 | 38.157 | 1.7185 | 1 | 410 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 65 | 90 | 140 | 220 |
| Asthma | DK | 36 | 49.5278 | 121.114 | 20.186 | 3 | 665 | 5 | 10 | 17.5 | 30 | 60 | 360 | 665 | 665 |
| Angina | No | 6473 | 34.5801 | 46.79 | 0.5816 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 240 |
| Angina | Yes | 145 | 51.9103 | 88.284 | 7.3316 | 3 | 600 | 7 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 75 | 185 | 546 | 570 |
| Angina | DK | 43 | 44.8605 | 111.216 | 16.96 | 3 | 665 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 50 | 110 | 665 | 665 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 6327 | 34.8211 | 48.073 | 0.6044 | 1 | 870 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 255 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 296 | 36.8378 | 47.481 | 2.7598 | 1 | 600 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 43.5 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 250 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 38 | 54.6316 | 122.723 | 19.908 | 3 | 665 | 5 | 10 | 17.5 | 30 | 110 | 360 | 665 | 665 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 9151 | 563.12 | 184.644 | 1.9302 | 3 | 1440 | 300 | 460 | 540 | 660 | 780 | 880 | 1005 | 1141 |
| Gender | Male | 4157 | 549.648 | 182.976 | 2.8379 | 3 | 1440 | 285 | 450 | 540 | 640 | 780 | 860 | 980 | 1095 |
| Gender | Female | 4990 | 574.274 | 185.332 | 2.6236 | 5 | 1440 | 312 | 470 | 555 | 660 | 790 | 900 | 1030 | 1185 |
| Gender | Refused | 4 | 648.75 | 122.772 | 61.386 | 540 | 785 | 540 | 545 | 635 | 752.5 | 785 | 785 | 785 | 785 |
| Age (years) | * | 184 | 525.065 | 193.498 | 14.265 | 15 | 1440 | 195 | 420 | 513 | 600 | 720 | 860 | 950 | 1295 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 488 | 741.988 | 167.051 | 7.562 | 30 | 1440 | 489 | 635 | 740 | 840 | 930 | 990 | 1095 | 1200 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 689 | 669.144 | 162.888 | 6.2055 | 35 | 1440 | 435 | 600 | 665 | 740 | 840 | 915 | 1065 | 1140 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 577 | 636.189 | 210.883 | 8.7792 | 15 | 1375 | 165 | 542 | 645 | 750 | 875 | 970 | 1040 | 1210 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 5891 | 532.699 | 172.964 | 2.2535 | 3 | 1440 | 295 | 440 | 520 | 610 | 723 | 820 | 975 | 1110 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 1322 | 550.8 | 171.997 | 4.7305 | 15 | 1440 | 315 | 475 | 540 | 610 | 735 | 840 | 1000 | 1140 |
| Race | White | 7403 | 553.424 | 175.912 | 2.0445 | 3 | 1440 | 300 | 455 | 540 | 640 | 760 | 850 | 975 | 1105 |
| Race | Black | 923 | 612.33 | 219.9 | 7.2381 | 15 | 1440 | 300 | 480 | 597 | 725 | 895 | 990 | 1160 | 1323 |
| Race | Asian | 153 | 612.261 | 187.417 | 15.152 | 25 | 1285 | 345 | 510 | 600 | 705 | 830 | 950 | 1005 | 1245 |
| Race | Some Others | 174 | 590.713 | 200.214 | 15.178 | 15 | 1405 | 300 | 464 | 580 | 700 | 830 | 960 | 1050 | 1152 |
| Race | Hispanic | 378 | 602.577 | 214.353 | 11.025 | 25 | 1440 | 265 | 480 | 587.5 | 720 | 865 | 958 | 1095 | 1213 |
| Race | Refused | 120 | 555.842 | 198.564 | 18.126 | 30 | 1405 | 285 | 440 | 534 | 630 | 762.5 | 875 | 1290 | 1295 |
| Hispanic | No | 8326 | 560.878 | 182.574 | 2.0009 | 3 | 1440 | 300 | 460 | 540 | 650 | 780 | 870 | 1000 | 1140 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 684 | 597.402 | 206.333 | 7.8893 | 15 | 1440 | 300 | 480 | 585 | 713 | 840 | 958 | 1095 | 1200 |
| Hispanic | DK | 43 | 542.279 | 169.881 | 25.907 | 135 | 1002 | 300 | 420 | 555 | 660 | 756 | 830 | 1002 | 1002 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 98 | 523.439 | 180.194 | 18.202 | 30 | 1295 | 255 | 415 | 515 | 600 | 735 | 795 | 930 | 1295 |
| Employment | * | 1736 | 679.52 | 185.535 | 4.453 | 15 | 1440 | 390 | 590 | 675 | 785 | 892 | 960 | 1065 | 1170 |
| Employment | Full Time | 3992 | 513.454 | 157.599 | 2.4943 | 3 | 1440 | 283 | 435 | 510 | 585 | 680 | 765 | 890 | 1000 |
| Employment | Part Time | 777 | 551.613 | 169.425 | 6.0781 | 15 | 1335 | 330 | 455 | 540 | 630 | 750 | 835 | 1005 | 1100 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 2578 | 566.409 | 191.218 | 3.7661 | 5 | 1440 | 300 | 478 | 540 | 650 | 780 | 905 | 1095 | 1223 |
| Employment | Refused | 68 | 513.971 | 209.558 | 25.413 | 30 | 1440 | 210 | 420 | 497.5 | 585 | 725 | 795 | 1200 | 1440 |
| Education | * | 1925 | 668.265 | 188.751 | 4.302 | 15 | 1440 | 360 | 575 | 663 | 780 | 885 | 960 | 1060 | 1170 |
| Education | < High School | 807 | 554.809 | 180.581 | 6.3567 | 5 | 1440 | 300 | 450 | 540 | 630 | 775 | 860 | 1015 | 1160 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 2549 | 534.057 | 176.208 | 3.4901 | 3 | 1440 | 285 | 447 | 520 | 607 | 720 | 835 | 975 | 1151 |
| Education | < College | 1740 | 539.07 | 176.123 | 4.2222 | 5 | 1440 | 282 | 450 | 530 | 615 | 735 | 825 | 1005 | 1135 |
| Education | College Graduate | 1223 | 526.025 | 164.899 | 4.7152 | 15 | 1404 | 300 | 445 | 515 | 600 | 713 | 785 | 965 | 1070 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 907 | 525.192 | 160.567 | 5.3315 | 3 | 1355 | 315 | 445 | 510 | 600 | 690 | 780 | 950 | 1095 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 2037 | 561.515 | 185.273 | 4.105 | 5 | 1440 | 300 | 457 | 540 | 655 | 781 | 885 | 1020 | 1139 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 2045 | 552.402 | 179.232 | 3.9634 | 3 | 1440 | 280 | 450 | 540 | 643 | 765 | 860 | 965 | 1035 |
| Census Region | South | 3156 | 570.023 | 186.38 | 3.3177 | 10 | 1440 | 300 | 465 | 552 | 660 | 790 | 900 | 1055 | 1155 |
| Census Region | West | 1913 | 564.897 | 186.373 | 4.2611 | 5 | 1440 | 305 | 460 | 540 | 660 | 793 | 875 | 995 | 1152 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 6169 | 552.611 | 174.489 | 2.2216 | 3 | 1440 | 325 | 450 | 539 | 635 | 760 | 855 | 975 | 1130 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 2982 | 584.861 | 202.361 | 3.7057 | 3 | 1440 | 223 | 480 | 570 | 690 | 825 | 920 | 1055 | 1170 |
| Season | Winter | 2475 | 576 | 183.782 | 3.6942 | 5 | 1440 | 305 | 475 | 555 | 660 | 805 | 900 | 1035 | 1148 |
| Season | Spring | 2365 | 558.956 | 176.729 | 3.6341 | 15 | 1440 | 315 | 455 | 540 | 655 | 770 | 855 | 960 | 1095 |
| Season | Summer | 2461 | 566.114 | 195.229 | 3.9354 | 3 | 1440 | 285 | 455 | 545 | 660 | 810 | 900 | 1030 | 1190 |
| Season | Fall | 1850 | 547.23 | 179.924 | 4.1832 | 3 | 1440 | 270 | 450 | 537.5 | 630 | 750 | 850 | 960 | 1100 |
| Asthma | No | 8420 | 560.814 | 182.769 | 1.9918 | 3 | 1440 | 300 | 460 | 540 | 655 | 780 | 870 | 1000 | 1140 |
| Asthma | Yes | 671 | 593.846 | 201.517 | 7.7795 | 30 | 1440 | 300 | 475 | 580 | 690 | 835 | 946 | 1060 | 1327 |
| Asthma | DK | 60 | 543.117 | 218.404 | 28.196 | 30 | 1295 | 223 | 423 | 540 | 605 | 760 | 982.5 | 1275 | 1295 |
| Angina | No | 8836 | 564.211 | 183.935 | 1.9568 | 3 | 1440 | 300 | 460 | 540 | 660 | 785 | 880 | 1005 | 1140 |
| Angina | Yes | 244 | 535.545 | 203.888 | 13.053 | 20 | 1440 | 215 | 450 | 522.5 | 612.5 | 770 | 840 | 1135 | 1230 |
| Angina | DK | 71 | 522.113 | 193.937 | 23.016 | 30 | 1295 | 180 | 420 | 540 | 600 | 690 | 820 | 990 | 1295 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 8660 | 563.08 | 184.244 | 1.9799 | 3 | 1440 | 300 | 460 | 540 | 660 | 780 | 880 | 1005 | 1141 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 423 | 570.102 | 192.041 | 9.3373 | 15 | 1440 | 294 | 450 | 555 | 660 | 795 | 900 | 1055 | 1110 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 68 | 524.765 | 186.701 | 22.641 | 30 | 1295 | 240 | 420 | 540 | 600 | 700 | 820 | 930 | 1295 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | ntiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 193 | 117.782 | 144.451 | 10.398 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 296 | 480 | 665 | 690 |
| Gender | Male | 120 | 144.058 | 162.612 | 14.844 | 2 | 790 | 10 | 30 | 93.5 | 182.5 | 315 | 518 | 675 | 690 |
| Gender | Female | 73 | 74.589 | 94.322 | 11.04 | 1 | 530 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 180 | 240 | 450 | 530 |
| Age (years) | * | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 4 | 83.5 | 47.459 | 23.729 | 15 | 120 | 15 | 52 | 99.5 | 115 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 6 | 63.333 | 63.377 | 25.874 | 10 | 165 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 120 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 12 | 80.833 | 78.383 | 22.627 | 10 | 240 | 10 | 20 | 50.5 | 147.5 | 185 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 130 | 134.508 | 165.117 | 14.482 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 20 | 67.5 | 180 | 360 | 526 | 675 | 690 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 40 | 88.55 | 84.108 | 13.299 | 5 | 300 | 7.5 | 25 | 60 | 142.5 | 227.5 | 270 | 300 | 300 |
| Race | White | 165 | 109.509 | 127.523 | 9.928 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 135 | 240 | 315 | 526 | 675 |
| Race | Black | 12 | 205 | 219.483 | 63.359 | 5 | 570 | 5 | 37.5 | 90 | 405 | 530 | 570 | 570 | 570 |
| Race | Asian | 1 | 5 | * | * | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Race | Some Others | 6 | 186.333 | 308.416 | 125.91 | 10 | 790 | 10 | 18 | 30 | 240 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| Race | Hispanic | 8 | 120 | 164.859 | 58.287 | 15 | 510 | 15 | 22.5 | 60 | 135 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Race | Refused | 1 | 120 | * | * | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Hispanic | No | 174 | 116.615 | 138.452 | 10.496 | 1 | 690 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 155 | 296 | 460 | 570 | 675 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 17 | 128.588 | 207.294 | 50.276 | 5 | 790 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 110 | 510 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 2 | 127.5 | 10.607 | 7.5 | 120 | 135 | 120 | 120 | 127.5 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| Employment | * | 21 | 79.714 | 67.545 | 14.74 | 10 | 240 | 15 | 25 | 51 | 120 | 165 | 185 | 240 | 240 |
| Employment | Full Time | 85 | 145.259 | 175.17 | 19 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 20 | 65 | 180 | 405 | 530 | 675 | 790 |
| Employment | Part Time | 17 | 50.118 | 51.967 | 12.604 | 5 | 194 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 194 | 194 | 194 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 70 | 112.271 | 127.392 | 15.226 | 5 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 135 | 255 | 450 | 480 | 690 |
| Education | * | 22 | 76.545 | 67.572 | 14.406 | 10 | 240 | 10 | 20 | 50.5 | 120 | 165 | 185 | 240 | 240 |
| Education | < High School | 14 | 188.929 | 195.036 | 52.126 | 5 | 675 | 5 | 30 | 120 | 235 | 510 | 675 | 675 | 675 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 63 | 127.286 | 159.283 | 20.068 | 2 | 690 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 165 | 300 | 530 | 665 | 690 |
| Education | < College | 48 | 121.583 | 147.764 | 21.328 | 5 | 790 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 140 | 296 | 450 | 790 | 790 |
| Education | College Graduate | 25 | 118.2 | 145.773 | 29.155 | 5 | 480 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 405 | 460 | 480 | 480 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 21 | 75.857 | 88.067 | 19.218 | 1 | 300 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 195 | 260 | 300 | 300 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 23 | 137.174 | 159.451 | 33.248 | 5 | 510 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 195 | 460 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 42 | 131.381 | 166.398 | 25.676 | 10 | 690 | 20 | 40 | 87.5 | 120 | 260 | 665 | 690 | 690 |
| Census Region | South | 60 | 103.683 | 128.598 | 16.602 | 2 | 570 | 5 | 12.5 | 52.5 | 127.5 | 283 | 427.5 | 480 | 570 |
| Census Region | West | 68 | 115.265 | 139.682 | 16.939 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 20 | 72.5 | 152.5 | 300 | 315 | 530 | 790 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 116 | 128.664 | 158.968 | 14.76 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 165 | 315 | 510 | 665 | 690 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 77 | 101.39 | 118.416 | 13.495 | 2 | 675 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 300 | 526 | 675 |
| Season | Winter | 51 | 115.608 | 161.848 | 22.663 | 2 | 690 | 5 | 15 | 50 | 150 | 240 | 526 | 665 | 690 |
| Season | Spring | 59 | 136.763 | 163.341 | 21.265 | 5 | 790 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 165 | 315 | 570 | 675 | 790 |
| Season | Summer | 51 | 101.078 | 121.329 | 16.989 | 1 | 530 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 260 | 450 | 460 | 530 |
| Season | Fall | 32 | 112.875 | 110.217 | 19.484 | 5 | 480 | 10 | 25 | 85 | 157.5 | 240 | 315 | 480 | 480 |
| Asthma | No | 184 | 118.598 | 146.349 | 10.789 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 150 | 300 | 480 | 665 | 690 |
| Asthma | Yes | 9 | 101.111 | 102.585 | 34.195 | 5 | 270 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 180 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Angina | No | 187 | 118.219 | 146.174 | 10.689 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 300 | 480 | 665 | 690 |
| Angina | Yes | 6 | 104.167 | 78.639 | 32.104 | 10 | 220 | 10 | 25 | 110 | 150 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 185 | 114.146 | 142.947 | 10.51 | 1 | 790 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 135 | 260 | 480 | 665 | 690 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 8 | 201.875 | 163.64 | 57.856 | 15 | 450 | 15 | 60 | 177.5 | 337.5 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | tiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 274 | 142.15 | 162.882 | 9.84 | 1 | 931 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 330 | 535 | 705 | 765 |
| Gender | Male | 132 | 160.386 | 180.747 | 15.732 | 1 | 931 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 202.5 | 490 | 565 | 720 | 765 |
| Gender | Female | 141 | 125.66 | 143.283 | 12.067 | 2 | 810 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 175 | 265 | 420 | 705 | 720 |
| Gender | Refused | 1 | 60 | * | * | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | * | 3 | 171.667 | 122.712 | 70.848 | 30 | 245 | 30 | 30 | 240 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 8 | 94.75 | 55.695 | 19.691 | 28 | 180 | 28 | 47.5 | 90 | 137.5 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 25 | 135.4 | 145.945 | 29.189 | 15 | 705 | 15 | 60 | 105 | 140 | 270 | 420 | 705 | 705 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 26 | 97.462 | 113.063 | 22.173 | 1 | 515 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 240 | 275 | 515 | 515 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 170 | 151.271 | 172.66 | 13.242 | 1 | 810 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 210 | 410 | 555 | 720 | 765 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 42 | 143.833 | 173.502 | 26.772 | 5 | 931 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 170 | 330 | 455 | 931 | 931 |
| Race | White | 248 | 133.75 | 154.08 | 9.784 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 167.5 | 315 | 510 | 705 | 720 |
| Race | Black | 15 | 183.8 | 165.472 | 42.725 | 12 | 515 | 12 | 40 | 150 | 270 | 450 | 515 | 515 | 515 |
| Race | Asian | 2 | 135 | 106.066 | 75 | 60 | 210 | 60 | 60 | 135 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Race | Some Others | 3 | 468.667 | 455.654 | 263.072 | 20 | 931 | 20 | 20 | 455 | 931 | 931 | 931 | 931 | 931 |
| Race | Hispanic | 1 | 30 | * | * | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Race | Refused | 5 | 263.2 | 173.071 | 77.4 | 60 | 540 | 60 | 231 | 240 | 245 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Hispanic | No | 263 | 139.046 | 161.666 | 9.969 | 1 | 931 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 330 | 510 | 705 | 765 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 6 | 185 | 197.332 | 80.561 | 15 | 555 | 15 | 30 | 150 | 210 | 555 | 555 | 555 | 555 |
| Hispanic | DK | 1 | 185 | * | * | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 4 | 271.25 | 198.762 | 99.381 | 60 | 540 | 60 | 150 | 242.5 | 392.5 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Employment | * | 57 | 115.561 | 124.205 | 16.451 | 1 | 705 | 12 | 40 | 90 | 150 | 240 | 420 | 515 | 705 |
| Employment | Full Time | 107 | 149.075 | 178.633 | 17.269 | 1 | 810 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 210 | 450 | 540 | 720 | 765 |
| Employment | Part Time | 22 | 115 | 114.808 | 24.47 | 10 | 535 | 25 | 60 | 77.5 | 150 | 185 | 290 | 535 | 535 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 85 | 157.953 | 176.347 | 19.128 | 5 | 931 | 10 | 35 | 120 | 210 | 330 | 600 | 720 | 931 |
| Employment | Refused | 3 | 151.667 | 110.265 | 63.661 | 30 | 245 | 30 | 30 | 180 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Education | * | 65 | 129.492 | 133.447 | 16.552 | 1 | 705 | 15 | 45 | 90 | 160 | 270 | 420 | 535 | 705 |
| Education | < High School | 15 | 169.867 | 203.464 | 52.534 | 5 | 605 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 255 | 565 | 605 | 605 | 605 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 78 | 159.385 | 188.681 | 21.364 | 5 | 810 | 5 | 40 | 90 | 195 | 420 | 720 | 765 | 810 |
| Education | < College | 48 | 160.583 | 184.204 | 26.588 | 2 | 931 | 10 | 25 | 120 | 202.5 | 400 | 600 | 931 | 931 |
| Education | College Graduate | 39 | 146.744 | 150.808 | 24.149 | 10 | 555 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 210 | 450 | 510 | 555 | 555 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 29 | 73.138 | 66.272 | 12.306 | 1 | 245 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 210 | 210 | 245 | 245 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 90 | 115.611 | 118.744 | 12.517 | 5 | 555 | 10 | 40 | 72.5 | 150 | 250 | 400 | 540 | 555 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 123 | 129.024 | 146.939 | 13.249 | 2 | 765 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 270 | 510 | 605 | 630 |
| Census Region | South | 35 | 187.971 | 205.847 | 34.794 | 10 | 931 | 28 | 45 | 110 | 255 | 450 | 720 | 931 | 931 |
| Census Region | West | 26 | 234.423 | 247.688 | 48.576 | 1 | 810 | 1 | 30 | 165 | 325 | 705 | 720 | 810 | 810 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 178 | 135.331 | 159.404 | 11.948 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 30 | 82.5 | 180 | 315 | 535 | 720 | 765 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 96 | 154.792 | 169.263 | 17.275 | 5 | 931 | 10 | 50 | 97.5 | 190 | 450 | 540 | 600 | 931 |
| Season | Winter | 80 | 144.475 | 147.022 | 16.438 | 5 | 630 | 13.5 | 30 | 90 | 220.5 | 315 | 480 | 610 | 630 |
| Season | Spring | 65 | 174.215 | 196.783 | 24.408 | 1 | 931 | 5 | 60 | 105 | 210 | 490 | 555 | 810 | 931 |
| Season | Summer | 79 | 142.367 | 180.698 | 20.33 | 1 | 765 | 5 | 30 | 85 | 150 | 455 | 605 | 720 | 765 |
| Season | Fall | 50 | 96.4 | 83.08 | 11.749 | 5 | 332 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 145 | 240 | 255 | 301 | 332 |
| Asthma | No | 253 | 143.126 | 164.183 | 10.322 | 1 | 931 | 10 | 35 | 90 | 180 | 330 | 540 | 705 | 765 |
| Asthma | Yes | 20 | 124.65 | 150.961 | 33.756 | 1 | 510 | 5.5 | 16 | 72.5 | 177.5 | 382.5 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Asthma | DK | 1 | 245 | * | * | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Angina | No | 269 | 141.409 | 163.736 | 9.983 | 1 | 931 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 330 | 535 | 705 | 765 |
| Angina | Yes | 3 | 201.667 | 122.1 | 70.494 | 65 | 300 | 65 | 65 | 240 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Angina | DK | 2 | 152.5 | 130.815 | 92.5 | 60 | 245 | 60 | 60 | 152.5 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 265 | 138.996 | 160.98 | 9.889 | 1 | 931 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 330 | 515 | 705 | 765 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 8 | 233.75 | 214.172 | 75.721 | 20 | 605 | 20 | 67.5 | 180 | 375 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 1 | 245 | - | - | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 458 | 73.218 | 71.872 | 3.358 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 300 | 360 |
| Gender | Male | 70 | 78.443 | 95.687 | 11.437 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 167.5 | 345 | 360 | 510 |
| Gender | Female | 388 | 72.276 | 66.796 | 3.391 | 2 | 510 | 5 | 28 | 60 | 105 | 150 | 190 | 240 | 330 |
| Age (years) | * | 6 | 65.833 | 34.412 | 14.049 | 25 | 120 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 3 | 75 | 116.94 | 67.515 | 5 | 210 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 3 | 105.667 | 168.423 | 97.239 | 2 | 300 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 8 | 55.5 | 77.107 | 27.261 | 1 | 240 | 1 | 17 | 33 | 52.5 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 362 | 73.58 | 73.87 | 3.882 | 2 | 510 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 105 | 150 | 195 | 325 | 405 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 76 | 72.592 | 58.092 | 6.664 | 2 | 345 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 180 | 245 | 345 |
| Race | White | 400 | 69.243 | 65.801 | 3.29 | 2 | 510 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 180 | 258 | 352.5 |
| Race | Black | 35 | 100.514 | 103.238 | 17.45 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 135 | 240 | 300 | 510 | 510 |
| Race | Asian | 4 | 82.5 | 37.749 | 18.875 | 30 | 120 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 105 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Race | Some Others | 6 | 86.667 | 27.869 | 11.377 | 60 | 120 | 60 | 65 | 78 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Race | Hispanic | 10 | 95.9 | 78.827 | 24.927 | 4 | 225 | 4 | 20 | 105 | 120 | 217.5 | 225 | 225 | 225 |
| Race | Refused | 3 | 170 | 264.15 | 152.507 | 15 | 475 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 475 | 475 | 475 | 475 | 475 |
| Hispanic | No | 435 | 72.069 | 69.87 | 3.35 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 190 | 300 | 360 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 20 | 81.7 | 62.982 | 14.083 | 4 | 225 | 4.5 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 182.5 | 218 | 225 | 225 |
| Hispanic | DK | 1 | 55 | * | * | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 2 | 247.5 | 321.734 | 227.5 | 20 | 475 | 20 | 20 | 248 | 475 | 475 | 475 | 475 | 475 |
| Employment | * | 12 | 76.75 | 107.831 | 31.128 | 1 | 300 | 1 | 4 | 23 | 135 | 240 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Employment | Full Time | 206 | 69.184 | 78.438 | 5.465 | 2 | 510 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 203 | 360 | 405 |
| Employment | Part Time | 51 | 72.216 | 62.506 | 8.753 | 2 | 225 | 5 | 15 | 55 | 120 | 150 | 180 | 225 | 225 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 187 | 77.679 | 63.835 | 4.668 | 5 | 475 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 150 | 180 | 245 | 345 |
| Employment | Refused | 2 | 76 | 104.652 | 74 | 2 | 150 | 2 | 2 | 76 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Education | * | 17 | 72 | 90.881 | 22.042 | 1 | 300 | 1 | 10 | 35 | 90 | 240 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Education | < High School | 51 | 71.765 | 49.445 | 6.924 | 15 | 245 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 180 | 195 | 245 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 163 | 71.583 | 71.583 | 5.607 | 2 | 510 | 6 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 140 | 180 | 325 | 405 |
| Education | < College | 107 | 77.234 | 71.721 | 6.934 | 2 | 475 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 155 | 200 | 225 | 240 |
| Education | College Gradutae | 60 | 74.033 | 77.252 | 9.973 | 5 | 510 | 10 | 27 | 60 | 97.5 | 154 | 190 | 203 | 510 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 60 | 71.267 | 79.857 | 10.31 | 5 | 360 | 5 | 18 | 60 | 90 | 155 | 263 | 360 | 360 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 105 | 80.933 | 84.595 | 8.256 | 2 | 510 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 225 | 345 | 360 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 116 | 64.948 | 63.307 | 5.878 | 2 | 475 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 90 | 135 | 155 | 215 | 240 |
| Census Region | South | 151 | 72.695 | 69.541 | 5.659 | 1 | 510 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 210 | 245 | 330 |
| Census Region | West | 86 | 75.872 | 69.9 | 7.537 | 4 | 405 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 150 | 180 | 360 | 405 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 322 | 68.643 | 66.724 | 3.718 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 23 | 60 | 90 | 140 | 180 | 240 | 345 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 136 | 84.051 | 82.05 | 7.036 | 5 | 510 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 240 | 360 | 405 |
| Season | Winter | 145 | 75.248 | 80.989 | 6.726 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 17 | 60 | 90 | 165 | 215 | 360 | 475 |
| Season | Spring | 89 | 81.888 | 83.016 | 8.8 | 5 | 510 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 180 | 240 | 405 | 510 |
| Season | Summer | 132 | 69.25 | 60.815 | 5.293 | 2 | 360 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 135 | 155 | 240 | 325 |
| Season | Fall | 92 | 67.326 | 58.613 | 6.111 | 3 | 345 | 10 | 22 | 60 | 90 | 125 | 180 | 245 | 345 |
| Asthma | No | 432 | 73.764 | 73.182 | 3.521 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 105 | 150 | 200 | 325 | 360 |
| Asthma | Yes | 26 | 64.154 | 44.791 | 8.784 | 10 | 200 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 130 | 200 | 200 |
| Angina | No | 440 | 72.134 | 70.217 | 3.347 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 100 | 150 | 185 | 270 | 360 |
| Angina | Yes | 16 | 103.125 | 109.877 | 27.469 | 5 | 360 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 138 | 345 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Angina | DK | 2 | 72.5 | 17.678 | 12.5 | 60 | 85 | 60 | 60 | 73 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 428 | 73.276 | 73.484 | 3.552 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 24 | 60 | 105 | 150 | 200 | 325 | 360 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 30 | 72.4 | 43.498 | 7.942 | 10 | 200 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 125 | 150 | 200 | 200 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative
number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percen |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 85 | 115.318 | 103.713 | 11.249 | 1 | 450 | 15 | 34 | 90 | 150 | 255 | 360 | 450 | 450 |
| Gender | Male | 34 | 113.676 | 106.758 | 18.309 | 5 | 450 | 10 | 45 | 75 | 150 | 258 | 360 | 450 | 450 |
| Gender | Female | 51 | 116.412 | 102.691 | 14.38 | 1 | 450 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 178 | 240 | 360 | 390 | 450 |
| Age (years) | * | 2 | 60 | 63.64 | 45 | 15 | 105 | 15 | 15 | 60 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 9 | 85.556 | 86.329 | 28.776 | 15 | 255 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 75 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 15 | 164.2 | 103.969 | 26.845 | 25 | 450 | 25 | 105 | 140 | 185 | 300 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 5 | 97 | 53.805 | 24.062 | 40 | 180 | 40 | 60 | 100 | 105 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 44 | 117.614 | 112.718 | 16.993 | 4 | 450 | 15 | 32 | 82.5 | 155 | 297 | 360 | 450 | 450 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 10 | 78.9 | 85.318 | 26.98 | 1 | 258 | 1 | 20 | 52.5 | 90 | 226.5 | 258 | 258 | 258 |
| Race | White | 75 | 120.893 | 107.723 | 12.439 | 1 | 450 | 15 | 34 | 90 | 180 | 258 | 360 | 450 | 450 |
| Race | Black | 5 | 66 | 59.729 | 26.711 | 10 | 150 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 105 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Race | Some Others | 1 | 105 | * | * | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 |
| Race | Hispanic | 2 | 112.5 | 53.033 | 37.5 | 75 | 150 | 75 | 75 | 112.5 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Race | Refused | 2 | 37.5 | 31.82 | 22.5 | 15 | 60 | 15 | 15 | 37.5 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Hispanic | No | 78 | 116.821 | 104.631 | 11.847 | 1 | 450 | 10 | 34 | 90 | 160 | 255 | 360 | 450 | 450 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 5 | 123 | 108.374 | 48.466 | 30 | 300 | 30 | 60 | 75 | 150 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 2 | 37.5 | 31.82 | 22.5 | 15 | 60 | 15 | 15 | 37.5 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Employment | * | 29 | 128.207 | 96.956 | 18.004 | 15 | 450 | 20 | 60 | 105 | 178 | 255 | 300 | 450 | 450 |
| Employment | Full Time | 27 | 111.889 | 102.499 | 19.726 | 4 | 390 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 150 | 297 | 360 | 390 | 390 |
| Employment | Part Time | 2 | 237.5 | 300.52 | 212.5 | 25 | 450 | 25 | 25 | 237.5 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 26 | 98.962 | 94.835 | 18.599 | 1 | 360 | 5 | 30 | 67.5 | 130 | 240 | 258 | 360 | 360 |
| Employment | Refused | 1 | 15 | * | * | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Education | * | 30 | 124.433 | 97.486 | 17.798 | 15 | 450 | 15 | 60 | 105 | 178 | 250 | 300 | 450 | 450 |
| Education | < High School | 8 | 109.375 | 155.367 | 54.93 | 5 | 450 | 5 | 15 | 37.5 | 157.5 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 15 | 150 | 130.516 | 33.699 | 1 | 390 | 1 | 45 | 105 | 240 | 360 | 390 | 390 | 390 |
| Education | < College | 17 | 80.529 | 66.66 | 16.167 | 4 | 240 | 4 | 30 | 75 | 90 | 225 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Education | College Graduate | 9 | 120.556 | 107.308 | 35.769 | 15 | 297 | 15 | 30 | 85 | 180 | 297 | 297 | 297 | 297 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 6 | 81.667 | 42.032 | 17.159 | 30 | 135 | 30 | 60 | 67.5 | 130 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 23 | 135.348 | 113.518 | 23.67 | 1 | 450 | 10 | 40 | 100 | 225 | 245 | 297 | 450 | 450 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 16 | 64.625 | 63.636 | 15.909 | 4 | 255 | 4 | 25 | 52.5 | 82.5 | 135 | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| Census Region | South | 23 | 114.696 | 78.499 | 16.368 | 15 | 390 | 20 | 60 | 105 | 150 | 185 | 210 | 390 | 390 |
| Census Region | West | 23 | 131.174 | 129.262 | 26.953 | 15 | 450 | 25 | 30 | 75 | 195 | 360 | 360 | 450 | 450 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 56 | 114.464 | 106.726 | 14.262 | 1 | 450 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 155 | 255 | 390 | 450 | 450 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 29 | 116.966 | 99.452 | 18.468 | 10 | 360 | 20 | 45 | 85 | 150 | 297 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Season | Winter | 10 | 118.9 | 159.415 | 50.412 | 4 | 450 | 4 | 20 | 30 | 135 | 405 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Season | Spring | 24 | 97.417 | 74.622 | 15.232 | 10 | 360 | 30 | 52.5 | 80 | 120 | 180 | 195 | 360 | 360 |
| Season | Summer | 47 | 124.511 | 104.25 | 15.206 | 1 | 450 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 185 | 255 | 300 | 450 | 450 |
| Season | Fall | 4 | 105.75 | 107.481 | 53.741 | 30 | 258 | 30 | 30 | 67.5 | 181.5 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 |
| Asthma | No | 73 | 109.89 | 105.481 | 12.346 | 1 | 450 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 140 | 255 | 360 | 450 | 450 |
| Asthma | Yes | 11 | 160.455 | 82.355 | 24.831 | 85 | 360 | 85 | 90 | 150 | 225 | 225 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Asthma | DK | 1 | 15 | * | * | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Angina | No | 84 | 116.512 | 103.746 | 11.32 | 1 | 450 | 15 | 37 | 90 | 155 | 255 | 360 | 450 | 450 |
| Angina | DK | 1 | 15 | * | * | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 78 | 115.731 | 101.786 | 11.525 | 1 | 450 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 150 | 255 | 360 | 450 | 450 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 6 | 126.667 | 137.792 | 56.253 | 15 | 360 | 15 | 25 | 67.5 | 225 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 1 | 15 |  |  | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. <br> Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Volume III - Activity Factors
Chapter 15-Activity Factors

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percen |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 2308 | 137.587 | 144.112 | 2.9997 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 180 | 320 | 420 | 570 | 660 |
| Gender | Male | 1198 | 158.448 | 160.016 | 4.6231 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 198 | 360 | 500 | 627 | 730 |
| Gender | Female | 1107 | 114.887 | 120.869 | 3.6328 | 1 | 1065 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 285 | 360 | 450 | 560 |
| Gender | Refused | 3 | 183.333 | 60.277 | 34.801 | 120 | 240 | 120 | 120 | 190 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Age (years) | * | 27 | 167.37 | 164.484 | 31.6549 | 2 | 600 | 5 | 60 | 120 | 230 | 395 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 151 | 135.311 | 111.483 | 9.0723 | 5 | 630 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 180 | 305 | 345 | 450 | 480 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 271 | 150.594 | 135.111 | 8.2074 | 2 | 1250 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 190 | 310 | 405 | 553 | 570 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 157 | 113.153 | 117.746 | 9.3972 | 2 | 660 | 5 | 30 | 80 | 150 | 240 | 405 | 462 | 610 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1301 | 136.382 | 147.923 | 4.1011 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 180 | 330 | 435 | 570 | 715 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 401 | 141.125 | 155.213 | 7.751 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 45 | 90 | 180 | 302 | 465 | 598 | 660 |
| Race | White | 1966 | 139.037 | 145.534 | 3.2823 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 180 | 330 | 435 | 570 | 670 |
| Race | Black | 173 | 128.416 | 144.607 | 10.9943 | 1 | 1250 | 5 | 30 | 95 | 180 | 270 | 390 | 462 | 745 |
| Race | Asian | 21 | 101.19 | 88.485 | 19.3091 | 12 | 360 | 15 | 35 | 90 | 125 | 210 | 240 | 360 | 360 |
| Race | Some Others | 37 | 183.541 | 161.858 | 26.6094 | 2 | 750 | 3 | 84 | 120 | 270 | 380 | 553 | 750 | 750 |
| Race | Hispanic | 83 | 106.108 | 96.781 | 10.6231 | 2 | 610 | 5 | 35 | 75 | 145 | 240 | 270 | 330 | 610 |
| Race | Refused | 28 | 152.321 | 151.049 | 28.5455 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 60 | 97.5 | 210 | 360 | 510 | 600 | 600 |
| Hispanic | No | 2122 | 137.711 | 144.33 | 3.1332 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 180 | 320 | 420 | 570 | 670 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 153 | 125 | 134.265 | 10.8547 | 1 | 750 | 5 | 30 | 85 | 150 | 270 | 435 | 575 | 630 |
| Hispanic | DK | 10 | 213.8 | 192.232 | 60.7892 | 3 | 585 | 3 | 60 | 145 | 380 | 503 | 585 | 585 | 585 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 23 | 176.739 | 156.551 | 32.6431 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 60 | 160 | 240 | 360 | 510 | 600 | 600 |
| Employment | * | 581 | 137.501 | 125.562 | 5.2092 | 2 | 1250 | 15 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 300 | 370 | 480 | 570 |
| Employment | Full Time | 807 | 131.087 | 150.703 | 5.305 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 30 | 80 | 175 | 307 | 450 | 600 | 745 |
| Employment | Part Time | 166 | 126.145 | 134.084 | 10.407 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 77.5 | 180 | 300 | 360 | 450 | 485 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 739 | 146.097 | 149.672 | 5.5058 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 45 | 100 | 185 | 360 | 465 | 585 | 655 |
| Employment | Refused | 15 | 198 | 239.029 | 61.7171 | 5 | 660 | 5 | 30 | 120 | 465 | 600 | 660 | 660 | 660 |
| Education | * | 615 | 136.348 | 125.656 | 5.0669 | 2 | 1250 | 15 | 60 | 105 | 180 | 300 | 370 | 480 | 570 |
| Education | < High School | 236 | 161.017 | 186.469 | 12.1381 | 2 | 1290 | 10 | 45 | 105 | 195 | 390 | 510 | 765 | 915 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 618 | 144.706 | 144.929 | 5.8299 | 1 | 840 | 5 | 40 | 100 | 195 | 360 | 479 | 555 | 660 |
| Education | < College | 381 | 128.843 | 141.194 | 7.2336 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 35 | 85 | 175 | 300 | 400 | 585 | 720 |
| Education | College Graduate | 251 | 122.968 | 135.802 | 8.5717 | 1 | 750 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 160 | 300 | 390 | 575 | 690 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 207 | 127.126 | 149.975 | 10.424 | 1 | 1065 | 5 | 30 | 78 | 150 | 320 | 435 | 570 | 630 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 473 | 137.67 | 132.769 | 6.1047 | 1 | 750 | 10 | 45 | 90 | 185 | 317 | 420 | 532 | 600 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 456 | 138.853 | 155.656 | 7.2893 | 2 | 1290 | 10 | 45 | 90 | 180 | 300 | 440 | 575 | 690 |
| Census Region | South | 832 | 136.472 | 146.655 | 5.0843 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 35 | 90 | 180 | 310 | 420 | 570 | 730 |
| Census Region | West | 547 | 138.155 | 139.946 | 5.9837 | 1 | 750 | 5 | 36 | 90 | 180 | 330 | 460 | 570 | 630 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1453 | 126.919 | 131.579 | 3.4519 | 1 | 1250 | 5 | 35 | 90 | 165 | 300 | 395 | 553 | 610 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 855 | 155.716 | 161.693 | 5.5298 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 45 | 110 | 210 | 360 | 475 | 630 | 745 |
| Season | Winter | 399 | 112.19 | 135.967 | 6.8068 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 140 | 300 | 380 | 540 | 690 |
| Season | Spring | 787 | 149.738 | 139.245 | 4.9635 | 1 | 915 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 338 | 430 | 555 | 660 |
| Season | Summer | 796 | 143.681 | 155.886 | 5.5252 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 45 | 99 | 180 | 330 | 450 | 610 | 715 |
| Season | Fall | 326 | 124.457 | 130.523 | 7.229 | 1 | 720 | 10 | 35 | 87.5 | 160 | 300 | 380 | 510 | 655 |
| Asthma | No | 2129 | 137.746 | 144.41 | 3.1297 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 40 | 90 | 180 | 315 | 420 | 570 | 690 |
| Asthma | Yes | 166 | 131.566 | 136.006 | 10.5561 | 1 | 670 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 165 | 345 | 450 | 553 | 610 |
| Asthma | DK | 13 | 188.462 | 192.141 | 53.2904 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 60 | 90 | 300 | 480 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Angina | No | 2228 | 136.521 | 141.088 | 2.989 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 41 | 90 | 180 | 315 | 420 | 570 | 660 |
| Angina | Yes | 63 | 158.683 | 216.341 | 27.2564 | 2 | 1080 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 180 | 420 | 485 | 1065 | 1080 |
| Angina | DK | 17 | 199.118 | 191.305 | 46.3983 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 35 | 120 | 325 | 480 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 2191 | 138.793 | 144.994 | 3.0976 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 45 | 90 | 180 | 320 | 430 | 570 | 690 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 105 | 104.438 | 111.282 | 10.86 | 1 | 553 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 145 | 270 | 360 | 415 | 475 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 12 | 207.5 | 192.23 | 55.4919 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 60 | 140 | 330 | 480 | 600 | 600 | 600 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24 -hour cumulative
number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Table 15-121. Statistics for 24-Hour Cumulative Number of Minutes Spent Traveling in a Car |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 6560 | 87.4261 | 88.186 | 1.0888 | 1 | 1280 | 10 | 34 | 63 | 110 | 175 | 240 | 345 | 450 |
| Gender | Male | 2852 | 90.7398 | 97.337 | 1.8227 | 1 | 1280 | 10 | 30 | 63 | 115 | 185 | 254 | 360 | 526 |
| Gender | Female | 3706 | 84.9069 | 80.374 | 1.3203 | 1 | 878 | 10 | 35 | 63.5 | 110 | 165 | 220 | 335 | 420 |
| Gender | Refused | 2 | 30 | 14.142 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Age (years) | * | 120 | 94.025 | 90.218 | 8.2358 | 7 | 593 | 10 | 37.5 | 71.5 | 120 | 180 | 222.5 | 435 | 450 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 297 | 63.0101 | 56.758 | 3.2934 | 2 | 390 | 10 | 25 | 45 | 80 | 135 | 180 | 235 | 270 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 449 | 64.6325 | 81.08 | 3.8264 | 1 | 900 | 5 | 20 | 40 | 85 | 145 | 175 | 310 | 345 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 393 | 64.8346 | 70.974 | 3.5802 | 1 | 630 | 9 | 20 | 41 | 80 | 136 | 185 | 300 | 380 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 4489 | 93.8278 | 92.302 | 1.3776 | 1 | 1280 | 13 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 184 | 250 | 360 | 495 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 812 | 83.5283 | 79.436 | 2.7877 | 4 | 780 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 165 | 225 | 315 | 405 |
| Race | White | 5337 | 87.6283 | 89.72 | 1.2281 | 1 | 1280 | 10 | 31 | 64 | 110 | 175 | 240 | 360 | 460 |
| Race | Black | 640 | 86.8063 | 74.343 | 2.9387 | 1 | 690 | 10 | 35 | 65 | 115 | 180 | 240 | 305 | 330 |
| Race | Asian | 117 | 78.7607 | 66.315 | 6.1309 | 5 | 360 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 95 | 135 | 225 | 320 | 330 |
| Race | Some Others | 121 | 87.6942 | 84.48 | 7.68 | 3 | 540 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 250 | 330 | 345 |
| Race | Hispanic | 265 | 90.0717 | 101.474 | 6.2335 | 2 | 825 | 15 | 35 | 65 | 100 | 165 | 235 | 465 | 620 |
| Race | Refused | 80 | 82.4 | 73.314 | 8.1967 | 5 | 420 | 12 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 167.5 | 229.5 | 315 | 420 |
| Hispanic | No | 5987 | 87.4657 | 87.603 | 1.1322 | 1 | 1280 | 10 | 35 | 65 | 110 | 175 | 240 | 345 | 440 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 477 | 88.543 | 97.206 | 4.4507 | 2 | 825 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 103 | 180 | 240 | 388 | 595 |
| Hispanic | DK | 29 | 63.8966 | 73.131 | 13.5801 | 5 | 325 | 6 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 187 | 200 | 325 | 325 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 67 | 86.1194 | 78.361 | 9.5733 | 5 | 420 | 14 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 239 | 315 | 420 |
| Employment | * | 1124 | 64.2482 | 72.331 | 2.1575 | 1 | 900 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 81 | 136 | 180 | 270 | 345 |
| Employment | Full Time | 3134 | 93.5568 | 92.167 | 1.6464 | 2 | 1280 | 15 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 180 | 242 | 360 | 490 |
| Employment | Part Time | 632 | 90.0506 | 81.969 | 3.2605 | 2 | 878 | 10 | 40 | 70 | 116.5 | 175 | 230 | 330 | 384 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 1629 | 90.3603 | 90.224 | 2.2354 | 1 | 780 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 115 | 195 | 250 | 365 | 465 |
| Employment | Refused | 41 | 97.1707 | 83.994 | 13.1176 | 10 | 330 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 220 | 290 | 330 | 330 |
| Education | * | 1260 | 66.531 | 72.305 | 2.0369 | 1 | 900 | 6 | 21 | 45 | 85 | 145 | 186.5 | 270 | 350 |
| Education | < High School | 434 | 86.0115 | 82.143 | 3.943 | 5 | 620 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 115 | 165 | 210 | 360 | 455 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1805 | 91.8476 | 91.088 | 2.144 | 1 | 870 | 10 | 38 | 65 | 115 | 190 | 255 | 385 | 465 |
| Education | < College | 1335 | 93.2427 | 94.302 | 2.581 | 2 | 1280 | 10 | 36 | 70 | 120 | 180 | 250 | 380 | 460 |
| Education | College Graduate | 992 | 95.6683 | 95.468 | 3.0311 | 4 | 840 | 14 | 40 | 73 | 120 | 185 | 250 | 370 | 580 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 734 | 91.5395 | 82.009 | 3.027 | 4 | 905 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 115 | 175 | 235 | 330 | 380 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1412 | 85.8343 | 83.847 | 2.2314 | 1 | 780 | 10 | 33 | 60 | 110 | 170 | 240 | 330 | 410 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1492 | 89.0992 | 86.623 | 2.2426 | 4 | 825 | 10 | 35 | 65 | 112.5 | 180 | 250 | 360 | 465 |
| Census Region | South | 2251 | 88.2625 | 89.347 | 1.8832 | 1 | 900 | 10 | 34 | 65 | 115 | 175 | 235 | 338 | 490 |
| Census Region | West | 1405 | 85.9089 | 92.167 | 2.4589 | 2 | 1280 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 175 | 235 | 345 | 435 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 4427 | 83.9248 | 85.023 | 1.2779 | 1 | 905 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 165 | 225 | 330 | 440 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 2133 | 94.6929 | 94.018 | 2.0357 | 1 | 1280 | 10 | 35 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 265 | 360 | 455 |
| Season | Winter | 1703 | 83.4692 | 82.128 | 1.9902 | 1 | 870 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 165 | 230 | 350 | 425 |
| Season | Spring | 1735 | 88.589 | 91.537 | 2.1976 | 1 | 905 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 250 | 380 | 480 |
| Season | Summer | 1767 | 88.0266 | 86.471 | 2.0571 | 1 | 900 | 10 | 35 | 65 | 115 | 170 | 235 | 330 | 450 |
| Season | Fall | 1355 | 90.1269 | 93.173 | 2.5312 | 1 | 1280 | 10 | 35 | 70 | 115 | 170 | 240 | 335 | 545 |
| Asthma | No | 6063 | 87.4143 | 88.032 | 1.1306 | 1 | 1280 | 10 | 34 | 63 | 110 | 175 | 240 | 350 | 450 |
| Asthma | Yes | 463 | 88.2419 | 92.088 | 4.2797 | 4 | 870 | 15 | 34 | 64 | 110 | 165 | 245 | 345 | 505 |
| Asthma | DK | 34 | 78.4118 | 57.362 | 9.8376 | 10 | 239 | 10 | 30 | 71 | 100 | 160 | 220 | 239 | 239 |
| Angina | No | 6368 | 87.54 | 88.695 | 1.1115 | 1 | 1280 | 10 | 34 | 63.5 | 110 | 175 | 240 | 350 | 450 |
| Angina | Yes | 154 | 82.1753 | 68.568 | 5.5254 | 8 | 365 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 162 | 214 | 285 | 320 |
| Angina | DK | 38 | 89.6053 | 72.877 | 11.8221 | 10 | 360 | 10 | 35 | 73.5 | 120 | 180 | 239 | 360 | 360 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 6224 | 87.5517 | 88.855 | 1.1263 | 1 | 1280 | 10 | 34 | 62 | 110 | 175 | 240 | 350 | 450 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 300 | 85.5833 | 76.155 | 4.3968 | 1 | 505 | 10 | 35 | 68.5 | 109 | 185 | 237.5 | 305 | 435 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 36 | 81.0556 | 63.142 | 10.5237 | 5 | 239 | 10 | 30 | 71 | 120 | 175 | 220 | 239 | 239 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. <br> Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All |  | 1172 | 85.3 | 95.867 | 2.8003 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 240 | 395 | 478 |
| Gender | Male | 760 | 91.097 | 105.368 | 3.8221 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 190 | 265 | 450 | 620 |
| Gender | Female | 412 | 74.607 | 74.197 | 3.6554 | 1 | 510 | 10 | 25 | 55 | 95 | 165 | 220 | 300 | 355 |
| Age (years) | * | 13 | 110.769 | 129.178 | 35.8274 | 10 | 450 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 90 | 300 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 41 | 80.829 | 154.295 | 24.0969 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 70 | 206 | 210 | 955 | 955 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 89 | 47.607 | 44.208 | 4.6861 | 1 | 240 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 110 | 130 | 180 | 240 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 80 | 66.763 | 71.084 | 7.9475 | 5 | 352 | 5.5 | 15 | 37 | 93.5 | 180 | 222.5 | 265 | 352 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 859 | 91.42 | 97.968 | 3.3426 | 2 | 750 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 189 | 260 | 440 | 555 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 90 | 79 | 82.42 | 8.6878 | 10 | 453 | 12 | 30 | 48.5 | 105 | 185 | 265 | 390 | 453 |
| Race | White | 1022 | 84.717 | 96.222 | 3.0099 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 235 | 390 | 510 |
| Race | Black | 68 | 91.294 | 98.465 | 11.9406 | 6 | 453 | 14 | 27.5 | 62.5 | 105.5 | 220 | 295 | 450 | 453 |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 138.333 | 63.311 | 36.5529 | 90 | 210 | 90 | 90 | 115 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Race | Some Others | 20 | 67.2 | 48.46 | 10.836 | 5 | 165 | 7.5 | 25 | 62.5 | 102.5 | 137 | 154.5 | 165 | 165 |
| Race | Hispanic | 48 | 92.792 | 99.31 | 14.3341 | 5 | 440 | 10 | 27.5 | 60 | 120 | 224 | 330 | 440 | 440 |
| Race | Refused | 11 | 88.182 | 110.754 | 33.3935 | 10 | 390 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 65 | 190 | 390 | 390 | 390 |
| Hispanic | No | 1069 | 85.112 | 95.567 | 2.9229 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 240 | 390 | 478 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 87 | 89.103 | 100.75 | 10.8015 | 5 | 630 | 5 | 29 | 60 | 115 | 210 | 230 | 440 | 630 |
| Hispanic | DK | 5 | 58 | 36.187 | 16.1833 | 20 | 97 | 20 | 20 | 68 | 85 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 11 | 85.909 | 111.643 | 33.6615 | 10 | 390 | 10 | 30 | 35 | 65 | 190 | 390 | 390 | 390 |
| Employment | * | 205 | 60.176 | 86.416 | 6.0355 | 1 | 955 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 146 | 185 | 240 | 265 |
| Employment | Full Time | 642 | 93.288 | 101.354 | 4.0001 | 4 | 750 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 192 | 270 | 450 | 555 |
| Employment | Part Time | 97 | 89.351 | 88.958 | 9.0323 | 2 | 460 | 6 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 190 | 270 | 450 | 460 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 217 | 83.032 | 85.775 | 5.8228 | 5 | 655 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 235 | 300 | 355 |
| Employment | Refused | 11 | 96.364 | 114.26 | 34.4508 | 10 | 390 | 10 | 30 | 35 | 170 | 190 | 390 | 390 | 390 |
| Education | * | 230 | 64.043 | 86.936 | 5.7324 | 1 | 955 | 7 | 15 | 35 | 85 | 160 | 206 | 245 | 352 |
| Education | < High School | 119 | 90.471 | 81.711 | 7.4904 | 5 | 453 | 14 | 35 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 280 | 295 | 450 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 392 | 87.594 | 94.724 | 4.7843 | 2 | 675 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 185 | 255 | 450 | 510 |
| Education | < College | 238 | 91.992 | 111.776 | 7.2454 | 4 | 750 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 190 | 290 | 555 | 655 |
| Education | College Graduate | 127 | 85.228 | 74.586 | 6.6184 | 5 | 370 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 230 | 345 | 355 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 66 | 112.439 | 117.975 | 14.5217 | 10 | 650 | 10 | 35 | 80 | 135 | 220 | 412 | 445 | 650 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 170 | 85.365 | 104.161 | 7.9888 | 2 | 695 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 110 | 186 | 260 | 445 | 630 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 268 | 91.209 | 94.43 | 5.7682 | 1 | 750 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 118.5 | 205 | 245 | 390 | 460 |
| Census Region | South | 491 | 87.279 | 100.099 | 4.5174 | 4 | 955 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 111 | 180 | 235 | 445 | 595 |
| Census Region | West | 243 | 74.741 | 81.299 | 5.2153 | 5 | 478 | 10 | 23 | 52 | 90 | 160 | 235 | 395 | 440 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 796 | 80.083 | 90.569 | 3.2101 | 1 | 750 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 101 | 170 | 230 | 375 | 510 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 376 | 96.346 | 105.493 | 5.4404 | 2 | 955 | 12 | 30 | 60.5 | 120 | 192 | 280 | 430 | 460 |
| Season | Winter | 322 | 78.543 | 91.604 | 5.1049 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 29 | 51 | 95 | 170 | 220 | 355 | 445 |
| Season | Spring | 300 | 92.477 | 100.164 | 5.783 | 1 | 695 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 208 | 267.5 | 442.5 | 549 |
| Season | Summer | 323 | 86.133 | 99.255 | 5.5227 | 2 | 750 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 233 | 430 | 595 |
| Season | Fall | 227 | 84.216 | 90.861 | 6.0306 | 5 | 675 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 165 | 265 | 395 | 465 |
| Asthma | No | 1092 | 85.288 | 93.452 | 2.828 | 1 | 750 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 184 | 240 | 412 | 478 |
| Asthma | Yes | 72 | 83.639 | 125.252 | 14.7611 | 5 | 955 | 10 | 20 | 46 | 115 | 170 | 235 | 395 | 955 |
| Asthma | DK | 8 | 101.875 | 129.668 | 45.8446 | 10 | 390 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 127.5 | 390 | 390 | 390 | 390 |
| Angina | No | 1142 | 84.868 | 95.219 | 2.8177 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 235 | 395 | 475 |
| Angina | Yes | 20 | 93.4 | 116.003 | 25.939 | 5 | 555 | 7.5 | 37.5 | 70 | 103 | 140.5 | 350.5 | 555 | 555 |
| Angina | DK | 10 | 118.5 | 128.583 | 40.6615 | 10 | 390 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 190 | 340 | 390 | 390 | 390 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1128 | 85.469 | 96.579 | 2.8756 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 240 | 412 | 478 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 35 | 77.8 | 60.527 | 10.2308 | 5 | 240 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 165 | 220 | 240 | 240 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 9 | 93.333 | 123.92 | 41.3068 | 10 | 390 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 65 | 390 | 390 | 390 | 390 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percen | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 32 | 100.125 | 152.222 | 26.909 | 1 | 535 | 5 | 25 | 31 | 98 | 375 | 510 | 535 | 535 |
| Gender | Male | 29 | 104.276 | 158.322 | 29.4 | 1 | 535 | 5 | 25 | 32 | 80 | 485 | 510 | 535 | 535 |
| Gender | Female | 3 | 60 | 74.666 | 43.108 | 5 | 145 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 2 | 42.5 | 53.033 | 37.5 | 5 | 80 | 5 | 5 | 42.5 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 1 | 180 | * | * | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 28 | 103.893 | 160.69 | 30.367 | 1 | 535 | 5 | 25 | 31 | 90.5 | 485 | 510 | 535 | 535 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 1 | 30 | * | * | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Race | White | 31 | 101.516 | 154.532 | 27.755 | 1 | 535 | 5 | 25 | 30 | 116 | 375 | 510 | 535 | 535 |
| Race | Black | 1 | 57 | * | * | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 |
| Hispanic | No | 31 | 102.387 | 154.191 | 27.693 | 1 | 535 | 5 | 25 | 32 | 116 | 375 | 510 | 535 | 535 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 1 | 30 | * | * | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Employment | * | 3 | 88.333 | 87.797 | 50.69 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 5 | 80 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Employment | Full Time | 23 | 62.783 | 100.105 | 20.873 | 1 | 485 | 5 | 25 | 30 | 57 | 142 | 145 | 485 | 485 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 6 | 249.167 | 251.663 | 102.741 | 10 | 535 | 10 | 30 | 205 | 510 | 535 | 535 | 535 | 535 |
| Education | * | 3 | 88.333 | 87.797 | 50.69 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 5 | 80 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Education | < High School | 3 | 305 | 247.538 | 142.916 | 30 | 510 | 30 | 30 | 375 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 15 | 95.667 | 170.645 | 44.06 | 1 | 535 | 1 | 25 | 30 | 57 | 485 | 535 | 535 | 535 |
| Education | < College | 6 | 45.833 | 49.54 | 20.224 | 10 | 145 | 10 | 20 | 32.5 | 35 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 |
| Education | College Graduate | 4 | 70.5 | 51.423 | 25.712 | 20 | 142 | 20 | 37.5 | 60 | 103.5 | 142 | 142 | 142 | 142 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 1 | 32 | * | * | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 6 | 24.167 | 8.01 | 3.27 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 27.5 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 12 | 191.583 | 216.501 | 62.499 | 1 | 535 | 1 | 28 | 68.5 | 430 | 510 | 535 | 535 | 535 |
| Census Region | South | 6 | 67.167 | 66.764 | 27.256 | 5 | 180 | 5 | 32 | 35 | 116 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Census Region | West | 8 | 44.625 | 44.654 | 15.788 | 5 | 142 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 142 | 142 | 142 | 142 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 21 | 71.333 | 110.425 | 24.097 | 5 | 510 | 5 | 25 | 32 | 65 | 145 | 180 | 510 | 510 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 11 | 155.091 | 205.865 | 62.071 | 1 | 535 | 1 | 20 | 30 | 375 | 485 | 535 | 535 | 535 |
| Season | Winter | 5 | 124 | 230.011 | 102.864 | 5 | 535 | 5 | 20 | 25 | 35 | 535 | 535 | 535 | 535 |
| Season | Spring | 12 | 121.833 | 153.631 | 44.349 | 1 | 485 | 1 | 28 | 43.5 | 143.5 | 375 | 485 | 485 | 485 |
| Season | Summer | 8 | 55.875 | 52.267 | 18.479 | 20 | 180 | 20 | 30 | 33.5 | 60 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Season | Fall | 7 | 96.429 | 184.249 | 69.639 | 5 | 510 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 80 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Asthma | No | 30 | 85.1 | 134.187 | 24.499 | 1 | 510 | 5 | 25 | 30 | 65 | 277.5 | 485 | 510 | 510 |
| Asthma | Yes | 2 | 325.5 | 296.278 | 209.5 | 116 | 535 | 116 | 116 | 325.5 | 535 | 535 | 535 | 535 | 535 |
| Angina | No | 31 | 102.387 | 154.191 | 27.693 | 1 | 535 | 5 | 25 | 32 | 116 | 375 | 510 | 535 | 535 |
| Angina | Yes | 1 | 30 | * | * | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 31 | 101.516 | 154.532 | 27.755 | 1 | 535 | 5 | 25 | 30 | 116 | 375 | 510 | 535 | 535 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 1 | 57 | * | * | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 124 | 135.121 | 235.635 | 21.16 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 25 | 48 | 107.5 | 270 | 690 | 960 | 1080 |
| Gender | Male | 80 | 174.888 | 283.085 | 31.65 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 27 | 60 | 139 | 640 | 772.5 | 1080 | 1440 |
| Gender | Female | 44 | 62.818 | 57.438 | 8.659 | 1 | 270 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 90 | 145 | 180 | 270 | 270 |
| Age (years) | * | 1 | 35 | * | * | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 4 | 79 | 26.47 | 13.235 | 46 | 105 | 46 | 58 | 82.5 | 100 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 9 | 37.875 | 28.002 | 9.9 | 10 | 95 | 10 | 18.5 | 30 | 50.5 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 7 | 116.857 | 83.071 | 31.398 | 10 | 250 | 10 | 60 | 90 | 195 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 96 | 153.24 | 263.424 | 26.886 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 22.5 | 45 | 117 | 600 | 750 | 1080 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 9 | 71.5 | 57.887 | 20.466 | 18 | 186 | 18 | 25 | 60 | 99 | 186 | 186 | 186 | 186 |
| Race | White | 110 | 1440 | 242.807 | 23.151 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 412.5 | 735 | 960 | 1080 |
| Race | Black | 8 | 46.125 | 36.314 | 12.839 | 10 | 100 | 10 | 15 | 32.5 | 82 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Race | Asian | 1 | 40 | * | * | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Race | Some Others | 1 | 95 | * | * | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Race | Hispanic | 3 | 246.333 | 366.947 | 211.86 | 29 | 670 | 29 | 29 | 40 | 670 | 670 | 670 | 670 | 670 |
| Race | Refused | 1 | 35 | * | * | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Hispanic | No | 113 | 133.673 | 240.595 | 22.633 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 100 | 270 | 735 | 960 | 1080 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 9 | 170 | 200.709 | 66.903 | 29 | 670 | 29 | 41 | 105 | 180 | 670 | 670 | 670 | 670 |
| Hispanic | DK | 1 | 85 | * | * | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 1 | 35 | * | * | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Employment | * | 18 | 79.278 | 63.15 | 14.885 | 10 | 250 | 10 | 35 | 65 | 95 | 195 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Employment | Full Time | 79 | 168.468 | 286.399 | 32.222 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 114 | 670 | 795 | 1080 | 1440 |
| Employment | Part Time | 6 | 96 | 103.894 | 42.415 | 2 | 255 | 2 | 5 | 55 | 180 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 19 | 75.105 | 57.278 | 13.14 | 10 | 186 | 10 | 25 | 75 | 120 | 180 | 186 | 186 | 186 |
| Employment | Refused | 2 | 20 | 21.213 | 15 | 5 | 35 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Education | * | 21 | 70.333 | 62.607 | 13.662 | 5 | 250 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 95 | 138 | 195 | 250 | 250 |
| Education | < High School | 10 | 389 | 505.656 | 159.9 | 5 | 1440 | 5 | 25 | 45 | 750 | 1117.5 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 48 | 156.958 | 257.81 | 37.212 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 19 | 52.5 | 130 | 610 | 690 | 1080 | 1080 |
| Education | < College | 24 | 116.25 | 124.385 | 25.39 | 29 | 600 | 32 | 42.5 | 77.5 | 120 | 255 | 270 | 600 | 600 |
| Education | College Graduate | 10 | 53 | 53.24 | 16.836 | 10 | 180 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 90 | 135 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 11 | 48.545 | 55.111 | 16.617 | 1 | 186 | 1 | 15 | 30 | 78 | 103 | 186 | 186 | 186 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 28 | 119.179 | 237.794 | 44.939 | 2 | 1080 | 5 | 27.5 | 45.5 | 90 | 180 | 795 | 1080 | 1080 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 36 | 189.194 | 318.577 | 53.096 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 17 | 45 | 197.5 | 600 | 960 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Census Region | South | 42 | 100.595 | 151.868 | 23.434 | 1 | 750 | 5 | 22 | 55 | 114 | 186 | 205 | 750 | 750 |
| Census Region | West | 18 | 132.333 | 194.344 | 45.807 | 10 | 670 | 10 | 35 | 67.5 | 105 | 610 | 670 | 670 | 670 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 82 | 134.793 | 197.96 | 21.861 | 1 | 795 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 555 | 670 | 750 | 795 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 42 | 135.762 | 298.573 | 46.071 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 18 | 45 | 75 | 250 | 960 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Season | Winter | 36 | 126.444 | 219.584 | 36.597 | 5 | 1080 | 10 | 26 | 53 | 92.5 | 270 | 670 | 1080 | 1080 |
| Season | Spring | 29 | 199.793 | 350.125 | 65.017 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 15 | 35 | 180 | 795 | 960 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Season | Summer | 38 | 87.447 | 125.316 | 20.329 | 2 | 750 | 5 | 32 | 60 | 95 | 195 | 255 | 750 | 750 |
| Season | Fall | 21 | 146.952 | 213.871 | 46.67 | 1 | 735 | 15 | 30 | 74 | 120 | 600 | 600 | 735 | 735 |
| Asthma | No | 116 | 133.69 | 238.543 | 22.148 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 21 | 48 | 104 | 270 | 735 | 960 | 1080 |
| Asthma | Yes | 7 | 173.143 | 210.169 | 79.436 | 32 | 610 | 32 | 35 | 60 | 250 | 610 | 610 | 610 | 610 |
| Asthma | DK | 1 | 35 | * | * | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Angina | No | 120 | 138.725 | 238.702 | 21.79 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 112 | 412.5 | 712.5 | 960 | 1080 |
| Angina | Yes | 3 | 24.333 | 13.65 | 7.881 | 15 | 40 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Angina | DK | 1 | 35 | * | * | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 116 | 135.612 | 242.76 | 22.54 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 23.5 | 45 | 101.5 | 555 | 735 | 960 | 1080 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 7 | 141.286 | 83.38 | 31.515 | 18 | 250 | 18 | 60 | 180 | 195 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 1 | 35 | * | * | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percen | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 469 | 74.648 | 93.532 | 4.3189 | 2 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 125 | 180 | 435 | 570 |
| Gender | Male | 219 | 77.251 | 104.119 | 7.0357 | 5 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 135 | 180 | 460 | 570 |
| Gender | Female | 250 | 72.368 | 83.306 | 5.2688 | 2 | 640 | 15 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 120 | 175 | 420 | 501 |
| Age (years) | * | 14 | 145 | 167.177 | 44.68 | 10 | 605 | 10 | 60 | 100 | 140 | 435 | 605 | 605 | 605 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 5 | 56 | 40.218 | 17.986 | 15 | 120 | 15 | 30 | 55 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 133 | 48.383 | 29.431 | 2.552 | 5 | 140 | 10 | 25 | 43 | 67 | 90 | 110 | 120 | 122 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 143 | 59.413 | 46.343 | 3.8754 | 7 | 370 | 10 | 30 | 54 | 75 | 110 | 135 | 179 | 225 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 147 | 96.639 | 128.354 | 10.587 | 2 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 405 | 640 | 690 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 27 | 131.963 | 144.641 | 27.836 | 10 | 570 | 20 | 45 | 73 | 130 | 435 | 460 | 570 | 570 |
| Race | White | 311 | 70.071 | 89.462 | 5.0729 | 2 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 54 | 80 | 120 | 147 | 405 | 501 |
| Race | Black | 101 | 85.178 | 92.396 | 9.1937 | 5 | 570 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 110 | 140 | 185 | 460 | 468 |
| Race | Asian | 15 | 58 | 58.487 | 15.101 | 5 | 175 | 5 | 20 | 20 | 120 | 155 | 175 | 175 | 175 |
| Race | Some Others | 14 | 107.143 | 176.48 | 47.166 | 20 | 690 | 20 | 30 | 42.5 | 100 | 225 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Race | Hispanic | 24 | 65.542 | 71.515 | 14.598 | 15 | 370 | 20 | 30 | 42.5 | 87 | 90 | 120 | 370 | 370 |
| Race | Refused | 4 | 168 | 196.195 | 98.098 | 10 | 435 | 10 | 21 | 113.5 | 315 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Hispanic | No | 415 | 72.839 | 86.077 | 4.2253 | 2 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 125 | 165 | 420 | 468 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 46 | 83.913 | 138.922 | 20.483 | 7 | 690 | 15 | 30 | 37.5 | 85 | 145 | 370 | 690 | 690 |
| Hispanic | DK | 2 | 47.5 | 10.607 | 7.5 | 40 | 55 | 40 | 40 | 47.5 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 6 | 137.833 | 159.631 | 65.169 | 10 | 435 | 10 | 32 | 77.5 | 195 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Employment | * | 274 | 54.018 | 39.364 | 2.3781 | 5 | 370 | 10 | 29 | 49.5 | 70 | 100 | 120 | 150 | 179 |
| Employment | Full Time | 95 | 122.579 | 168.8 | 17.319 | 5 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 405 | 570 | 690 | 945 |
| Employment | Part Time | 34 | 83.265 | 79.298 | 13.6 | 2 | 468 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 100 | 135 | 185 | 468 | 468 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 61 | 80.262 | 69.212 | 8.8617 | 5 | 460 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 120 | 135 | 165 | 205 | 460 |
| Employment | Refused | 5 | 167.4 | 169.916 | 75.989 | 10 | 435 | 10 | 32 | 165 | 195 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Education | * | 295 | 55.302 | 44.964 | 2.6179 | 5 | 435 | 10 | 29 | 49 | 70 | 100 | 120 | 155 | 225 |
| Education | < High School | 25 | 120.4 | 124.272 | 24.854 | 10 | 570 | 30 | 45 | 90 | 135 | 195 | 405 | 570 | 570 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 57 | 111.579 | 116.718 | 15.46 | 10 | 501 | 20 | 45 | 73 | 120 | 225 | 435 | 468 | 501 |
| Education | < College | 38 | 108.842 | 133.431 | 21.645 | 10 | 640 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 120 | 195 | 605 | 640 | 640 |
| Education | College Graduate | 30 | 84.633 | 128.087 | 23.385 | 2 | 690 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 300 | 690 | 690 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 24 | 110.458 | 199.236 | 40.669 | 5 | 945 | 10 | 29 | 60 | 101.5 | 125 | 460 | 945 | 945 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 145 | 77.062 | 75.41 | 6.2624 | 7 | 435 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 135 | 180 | 435 | 435 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 102 | 69.676 | 103.283 | 10.227 | 2 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 85 | 120 | 125 | 175 | 468 |
| Census Region | South | 142 | 71.718 | 82.846 | 6.9523 | 5 | 570 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 80 | 135 | 180 | 460 | 501 |
| Census Region | West | 80 | 81.813 | 124.342 | 13.902 | 5 | 690 | 12.5 | 30 | 41.5 | 90 | 127.5 | 297.5 | 640 | 690 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 426 | 70.61 | 84.646 | 4.1011 | 2 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 85 | 120 | 165 | 435 | 501 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 43 | 114.651 | 152.229 | 23.215 | 10 | 945 | 20 | 45 | 90 | 120 | 180 | 300 | 945 | 945 |
| Season | Winter | 158 | 78.285 | 98.116 | 7.8057 | 5 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 58 | 90 | 125 | 180 | 435 | 605 |
| Season | Spring | 140 | 61.636 | 53.541 | 4.525 | 2 | 460 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 75 | 120 | 137.5 | 205 | 225 |
| Season | Summer | 94 | 86.617 | 116.695 | 12.036 | 5 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 155 | 225 | 435 | 945 |
| Season | Fall | 77 | 76.234 | 107.505 | 12.251 | 5 | 640 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 80 | 125 | 175 | 570 | 640 |
| Asthma | No | 413 | 76.448 | 96.792 | 4.7628 | 2 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 125 | 180 | 435 | 570 |
| Asthma | Yes | 50 | 55.36 | 39.329 | 5.562 | 5 | 195 | 10 | 30 | 47.5 | 71 | 115 | 135 | 165 | 195 |
| Asthma | DK | 6 | 111.5 | 161.48 | 65.924 | 10 | 435 | 10 | 32 | 46 | 100 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Angina | No | 459 | 73.373 | 91.312 | 4.2621 | 2 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 125 | 179 | 420 | 570 |
| Angina | Yes | 4 | 168.75 | 182.683 | 91.341 | 20 | 435 | 20 | 60 | 110 | 277.5 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Angina | DK | 6 | 109.5 | 162.362 | 66.284 | 10 | 435 | 10 | 30 | 41 | 100 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 442 | 74.814 | 94.281 | 4.4845 | 2 | 945 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 125 | 180 | 435 | 570 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 19 | 58.158 | 39.881 | 9.1493 | 10 | 155 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 65 | 125 | 155 | 155 | 155 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 8 | 104.625 | 137.907 | 48.757 | 10 | 435 | 10 | 28.5 | 67.5 | 100 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies m number of minutes for d are the percentage of doe Source: Tsang and Klep | sing data. "DK" = The ers. Stdev $=$ standard de s below or equal to a giv is, 1996. | pond ation. numb | replied ' <br> tderr $=$ st <br> of minut | don't know ndard erro es. | ". Refuse <br> r. Min = | $d=\operatorname{Refi}$ minimu | used data. m numb | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{d} \\ & \mathrm{r} \text { of mi } \end{aligned}$ | samp tes. | e size. $a x=m a$ | $\text { Kean }=$ ximum | Mean 24 <br> umber | hour cu f minute | lative <br> Perce |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1639 | 29.6718 | 41.617 | 1.028 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 39 | 65 | 95 | 151 | 190 |
| Gender | Male | 755 | 32.4781 | 48.2611 | 1.7564 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 7 | 20 | 40 | 70 | 100 | 170 | 270 |
| Gender | Female | 883 | 27.2831 | 34.8259 | 1.172 | 1 | 360 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 94 | 140 | 171 |
| Gender | Refused | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Age (years) | * | 38 | 29.5263 | 23.7416 | 3.8514 | 1 | 100 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 100 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 58 | 24.3276 | 26.3268 | 3.4569 | 1 | 160 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 70 | 160 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 155 | 18.2129 | 21.0263 | 1.6889 | 1 | 170 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 65 | 100 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 223 | 25.8341 | 32.3753 | 2.168 | 1 | 190 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 135 | 151 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 944 | 31.8252 | 44.9705 | 1.4637 | 1 | 410 | 2 | 6 | 18.5 | 40 | 70 | 110 | 171 | 250 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 221 | 33.81 | 49.3278 | 3.3181 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 73 | 95 | 155 | 180 |
| Race | White | 1289 | 29.5912 | 43.6801 | 1.2166 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 35 | 65 | 100 | 160 | 225 |
| Race | Black | 175 | 34.8114 | 39.7274 | 3.0031 | 1 | 250 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 75 | 125 | 160 | 194 |
| Race | Asian | 36 | 26.5556 | 24.6535 | 4.1089 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 78 | 100 | 100 |
| Race | Some Others | 30 | 23.7667 | 21.2192 | 3.8741 | 1 | 60 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 43 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Hispanic | 88 | 23.0795 | 21.1058 | 2.2499 | 1 | 100 | 2 | 5.5 | 15 | 37 | 50 | 60 | 92 | 100 |
| Race | Refused | 21 | 33.1905 | 32.9555 | 7.1915 | 4 | 150 | 8 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 65 | 65 | 150 | 150 |
| Hispanic | No | 1467 | 29.8718 | 41.0288 | 1.0712 | 1 | 410 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 40 | 65 | 100 | 155 | 194 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 144 | 26.8403 | 48.7064 | 4.0589 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 5.5 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 70 | 100 | 135 |
| Hispanic | DK | 10 | 30.2 | 28.8359 | 9.1187 | 2 | 80 | 2 | 10 | 17.5 | 55 | 77.5 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 18 | 35.7222 | 34.7847 | 8.1988 | 8 | 150 | 8 | 15 | 25 | 55 | 65 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Employment | * | 431 | 22.768 | 28.0141 | 1.3494 | 1 | 190 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 30 | 55 | 65 | 131 | 151 |
| Employment | Full Time | 561 | 30.9519 | 43.7734 | 1.8481 | 1 | 365 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 40 | 70 | 100 | 180 | 250 |
| Employment | Part Time | 153 | 26.8693 | 37.1231 | 3.0012 | 1 | 295 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 92 | 135 | 165 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 482 | 35.5249 | 49.4109 | 2.2506 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 75 | 120 | 150 | 250 |
| Employment | Refused | 12 | 18.4167 | 13.4601 | 3.8856 | 5 | 55 | 5 | 10 | 16.5 | 20 | 30 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| Education | * | 472 | 22.6737 | 27.6375 | 1.2721 | 1 | 190 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 30 | 55 | 65 | 130 | 151 |
| Education | < High School | 138 | 42.7174 | 71.9429 | 6.1242 | 1 | 540 | 3 | 7 | 20 | 50 | 115 | 145 | 360 | 365 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 366 | 29.2596 | 41.5618 | 2.1725 | 1 | 410 | 2 | 5 | 18 | 35 | 65 | 100 | 150 | 240 |
| Education | < College | 288 | 32.5313 | 39.3063 | 2.3161 | 1 | 295 | 2 | 9.5 | 20 | 45 | 75 | 100 | 160 | 180 |
| Education | College Graduate | 210 | 29.7667 | 38.813 | 2.6784 | 1 | 300 | 2 | 8 | 18.5 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 140 | 225 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 165 | 34.5818 | 44.6107 | 3.4729 | 1 | 360 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 80 | 95 | 180 | 200 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 507 | 34.9172 | 45.2549 | 2.0098 | 1 | 365 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 75 | 107 | 170 | 250 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 321 | 29.271 | 46.8743 | 2.6163 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 31 | 60 | 105 | 160 | 180 |
| Census Region | South | 423 | 24.9976 | 37.6654 | 1.8314 | 1 | 410 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 80 | 135 | 171 |
| Census Region | West | 388 | 28.2448 | 35.029 | 1.7783 | 1 | 285 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 140 | 180 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1182 | 29.2902 | 39.1911 | 1.1399 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 7 | 18 | 40 | 65 | 92 | 145 | 180 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 457 | 30.6586 | 47.3511 | 2.215 | 1 | 410 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 120 | 171 | 200 |
| Season | Winter | 412 | 32.3034 | 47.7062 | 2.3503 | 1 | 365 | 2 | 6 | 20 | 38.5 | 75 | 120 | 180 | 250 |
| Season | Spring | 459 | 28.854 | 41.54 | 1.9389 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 35 | 60 | 90 | 146 | 180 |
| Season | Summer | 475 | 26.6084 | 31.325 | 1.4373 | 1 | 270 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 85 | 123 | 160 |
| Season | Fall | 293 | 32.2184 | 46.6936 | 2.7279 | 1 | 410 | 2 | 8 | 20 | 45 | 61 | 105 | 155 | 295 |
| Asthma | No | 1504 | 29.6011 | 41.9939 | 1.0828 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 35.5 | 65 | 95 | 152 | 190 |
| Asthma | Yes | 120 | 29.7417 | 38.3451 | 3.5004 | 1 | 250 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 40 | 70 | 117.5 | 135 | 150 |
| Asthma | DK | 15 | 36.2 | 27.8162 | 7.1821 | 5 | 90 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 75 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Angina | No | 1578 | 29.5076 | 41.4718 | 1.044 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 38 | 65 | 95 | 151 | 190 |
| Angina | Yes | 44 | 29 | 36.0633 | 5.4367 | 2 | 150 | 4 | 6 | 14.5 | 36 | 60 | 115 | 150 | 150 |
| Angina | DK | 17 | 46.6471 | 63.1456 | 15.3151 | 5 | 270 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 270 | 270 | 270 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1553 | 29.7173 | 42.1023 | 1.0684 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 38 | 65 | 95 | 151 | 194 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 67 | 26.9851 | 31.8774 | 3.8944 | 1 | 165 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 165 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 19 | 35.4211 | 31.3658 | 7.1958 | 3 | 110 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 110 | 110 | 110 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 115 | 45.1217 | 53.35 | 4.9749 | 1 | 400 | 5 | 11 | 30 | 60 | 102 | 151 | 195 | 205 |
| Gender | Male | 82 | 43.2073 | 56.113 | 6.1966 | 1 | 400 | 5 | 10 | 27.5 | 50 | 90 | 120 | 195 | 400 |
| Gender | Female | 33 | 49.8788 | 46.228 | 8.0472 | 5 | 205 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 105 | 165 | 205 | 205 |
| Age (years) | * | 2 | 15 | 7.071 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 2 | 20 | 14.142 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 18 | 40.2778 | 52.985 | 12.4886 | 1 | 195 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 55 | 151 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 33 | 31.9697 | 27.929 | 4.8618 | 2 | 115 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 45 | 65 | 102 | 115 | 115 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 53 | 53.2264 | 62.916 | 8.6422 | 5 | 400 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 65 | 105 | 165 | 180 | 400 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 7 | 74 | 67.295 | 25.4353 | 23 | 205 | 23 | 25 | 35 | 110 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 |
| Race | White | 98 | 46.7245 | 56.914 | 5.7492 | 1 | 400 | 5 | 11 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 165 | 205 | 400 |
| Race | Black | 7 | 41.1429 | 21.737 | 8.2156 | 5 | 65 | 5 | 25 | 50 | 60 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Race | Asian | 2 | 6 | 1.414 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Race | Some Others | 4 | 47.5 | 23.629 | 11.8145 | 30 | 80 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 65 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Race | Hispanic | 3 | 33.3333 | 25.166 | 14.5297 | 10 | 60 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Refused | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Hispanic | No | 106 | 45.8679 | 55.172 | 5.3587 | 1 | 400 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 151 | 195 | 205 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 8 | 38.375 | 23.323 | 8.2461 | 10 | 80 | 10 | 23.5 | 30 | 55 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Employment | * | 52 | 33.8462 | 38.258 | 5.3054 | 1 | 195 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 47.5 | 65 | 115 | 151 | 195 |
| Employment | Full Time | 27 | 56.8519 | 76.863 | 14.7923 | 5 | 400 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 120 | 400 | 400 |
| Employment | Part Time | 7 | 40.8571 | 24.768 | 9.3616 | 10 | 90 | 10 | 30 | 35 | 46 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 27 | 55.4815 | 54.258 | 10.442 | 5 | 205 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 90 | 165 | 180 | 205 | 205 |
| Employment | Refused | 2 | 55 | 49.497 | 35 | 20 | 90 | 20 | 20 | 55 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Education | * | 56 | 33.3929 | 36.945 | 4.937 | 1 | 195 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 65 | 115 | 151 | 195 |
| Education | < High School | 3 | 98.3333 | 77.835 | 44.9382 | 25 | 180 | 25 | 25 | 90 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 18 | 41.5556 | 49.048 | 11.5606 | 5 | 205 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 46 | 100 | 205 | 205 | 205 |
| Education | < College | 18 | 42.9444 | 35.049 | 8.261 | 5 | 120 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 115 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Education | College Graduate | 11 | 89.8182 | 111.308 | 33.5605 | 15 | 400 | 15 | 25 | 53 | 90 | 165 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 9 | 57.2222 | 38.415 | 12.8049 | 5 | 110 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 20 | 42.05 | 35.057 | 7.839 | 5 | 102 | 5 | 10 | 32.5 | 77.5 | 95 | 101 | 102 | 102 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 24 | 39.125 | 47.505 | 9.6969 | 2 | 180 | 5 | 10 | 18.5 | 57.5 | 90 | 165 | 180 | 180 |
| Census Region | South | 26 | 64.6923 | 87.03 | 17.0681 | 1 | 400 | 2 | 15 | 32.5 | 75 | 195 | 205 | 400 | 400 |
| Census Region | West | 45 | 38.3778 | 32.614 | 4.8619 | 5 | 151 | 5 | 18 | 30 | 50 | 80 | 115 | 151 | 151 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 83 | 44.5783 | 56.02 | 6.149 | 5 | 400 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 151 | 205 | 400 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 32 | 46.5313 | 46.508 | 8.2215 | 1 | 195 | 2 | 10 | 32.5 | 75 | 110 | 120 | 195 | 195 |
| Season | Winter | 20 | 38.6 | 44.951 | 10.0513 | 1 | 205 | 3.5 | 12.5 | 27.5 | 47.5 | 75 | 147.5 | 205 | 205 |
| Season | Spring | 46 | 34.7826 | 35.036 | 5.1657 | 5 | 195 | 5 | 10 | 22.5 | 46 | 80 | 90 | 195 | 195 |
| Season | Summer | 34 | 61.7059 | 72.243 | 12.3896 | 2 | 400 | 5 | 20 | 42.5 | 90 | 115 | 165 | 400 | 400 |
| Season | Fall | 15 | 47.9333 | 55.663 | 14.3721 | 2 | 180 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 75 | 151 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Asthma | No | 95 | 48.5368 | 57.246 | 5.8733 | 1 | 400 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 165 | 205 | 400 |
| Asthma | Yes | 18 | 29.3333 | 24.22 | 5.7086 | 5 | 90 | 5 | 7 | 32.5 | 40 | 60 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Asthma | DK | 2 | 25 | 7.071 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Angina | No | 114 | 45.3421 | 53.533 | 5.0138 | 1 | 400 | 5 | 11 | 30 | 60 | 102 | 151 | 195 | 205 |
| Angina | DK | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 109 | 45.1284 | 53.909 | 5.1636 | 1 | 400 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 102 | 151 | 195 | 205 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 5 | 50 | 49.624 | 22.1923 | 5 | 115 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. N = doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 151 | 18.702 | 18.7513 | 1.526 | 1 | 128 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 45 | 67 | 120 |
| Gender | Male | 61 | 16.3443 | 17.9934 | 2.3038 | 1 | 120 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 65 | 120 |
| Gender | Female | 90 | 20.3 | 19.1818 | 2.02319 | 1 | 128 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 42.5 | 60 | 75 | 128 |
| Age (years) | * | 2 | 21 | 5.6569 | 4 | 17 | 25 | 17 | 17 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 2 | 8 | 9.8995 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 32 | 12.5 | 10.7283 | 1.8965 | 2 | 45 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 43 | 45 | 45 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 50 | 13.78 | 11.4843 | 1.6241 | 1 | 74 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 23 | 30 | 52.5 | 75 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 54 | 25.5 | 25.616 | 3.4859 | 1 | 128 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 67 | 120 | 128 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 11 | 27.2727 | 13.484 | 4.0656 | 5 | 45 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Race | White | 115 | 18.2522 | 17.9501 | 1.6739 | 1 | 128 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 22 | 40 | 45 | 67 | 75 |
| Race | Black | 21 | 17.4762 | 11.9901 | 2.6164 | 1 | 45 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 23 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 45 |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 10 | 5 | 2.8868 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Race | Some Others | 1 | 15 | * | * | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Race | Hispanic | 10 | 29.8 | 35.8137 | 11.3253 | 5 | 120 | 5 | 10 | 16.5 | 20 | 92.5 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Race | Refused | 1 | 15 | * | * | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Hispanic | No | 136 | 18.0956 | 17.1036 | 1.4666 | 1 | 128 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 22.5 | 40 | 45 | 67 | 75 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 13 | 25.2308 | 32.4427 | 8.998 | 1 | 120 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 65 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Hispanic | DK | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 1 | 15 | * | * | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Employment | * | 79 | 13.1646 | 11.3707 | 1.2793 | 1 | 75 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 23 | 35 | 45 | 75 |
| Employment | Full Time | 31 | 24.9355 | 24.8125 | 4.4565 | 1 | 128 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 65 | 128 | 128 |
| Employment | Part Time | 15 | 31.6667 | 31.5179 | 8.1379 | 5 | 120 | 5 | 10 | 17 | 45 | 67 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 26 | 20.6154 | 12.7061 | 2.4919 | 5 | 45 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Education | * | 87 | 12.931 | 10.9723 | 1.1763 | 1 | 75 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 23 | 30 | 45 | 75 |
| Education | < High School | 6 | 32.5 | 11.726 | 4.7871 | 15 | 45 | 15 | 25 | 32.5 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 25 | 23.56 | 24.5749 | 4.915 | 5 | 120 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 67 | 120 | 120 |
| Education | < College | 9 | 28.333 | 19.2029 | 6.401 | 10 | 60 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Education | College Graduate | 16 | 33.8125 | 31.1239 | 7.781 | 5 | 128 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 37.5 | 65 | 128 | 128 | 128 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 8 | 14.875 | 8.3741 | 2.9607 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 40.5 | 15 | 18.5 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 63 | 20.4921 | 23.43 | 2.9519 | 1 | 128 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 22 | 40 | 65 | 120 | 128 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 27 | 17.4074 | 13.1244 | 2.5258 | 3 | 60 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 35 | 60 | 60 |
| Census Region | South | 39 | 19.8205 | 16.6684 | 2.6691 | 4 | 75 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 28 | 45 | 65 | 75 | 75 |
| Census Region | West | 22 | 13.1818 | 11.3458 | 2.4189 | 1 | 45 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 45 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 128 | 17.7891 | 18.9656 | 1.6763 | 1 | 128 | 3 | 5.5 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 45 | 75 | 120 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 23 | 23.7826 | 17.0026 | 3.5453 | 5 | 65 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 65 | 65 |
| Season | Winter | 55 | 19.9273 | 15.5693 | 2.0994 | 1 | 75 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 43 | 60 | 65 | 75 |
| Season | Spring | 43 | 17.186 | 20.6574 | 3.1502 | 1 | 120 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 33 | 45 | 120 | 120 |
| Season | Summer | 28 | 24 | 25.4675 | 4.8129 | 5 | 128 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 32.5 | 45 | 67 | 128 | 128 |
| Season | Fall | 25 | 12.68 | 9.8815 | 1.9763 | 1 | 45 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 45 | 45 |
| Asthma | No | 139 | 18.7698 | 18.7788 | 1.5928 | 1 | 128 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 45 | 75 | 120 |
| Asthma | Yes | 10 | 20 | 20.5372 | 6.4944 | 4 | 65 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 30 | 55 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Asthma | DK | 2 | 7.5 | 3.5355 | 2.5 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Angina | No | 151 | 18.702 | 18.7513 | 1.526 | 1 | 128 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 45 | 67 | 120 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 145 | 18.6552 | 18.969 | 1.5753 | 1 | 128 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 45 | 75 | 120 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 6 | 19.8333 | 13.5561 | 5.5342 | 9 | 45 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 23 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 116 | 97.767 | 136.346 | 12.659 | 1 | 810 | 5 | 27.5 | 60 | 120 | 189 | 415 | 690 | 720 |
| Gender | Male | 62 | 91.613 | 119.437 | 15.168 | 5 | 720 | 10 | 24 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 240 | 480 | 720 |
| Gender | Female | 54 | 104.833 | 154.349 | 21.004 | 1 | 810 | 2 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 480 | 690 | 810 |
| Age (years) | * | 8 | 191.875 | 256.82 | 90.8 | 20 | 810 | 20 | 55 | 117.5 | 180 | 810 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 2 | 92.5 | 38.891 | 27.5 | 65 | 120 | 65 | 65 | 92.5 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 3 | 166.667 | 271.401 | 156.693 | 5 | 480 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 2 | 100 | 56.569 | 40 | 60 | 140 | 60 | 60 | 100 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 92 | 84.967 | 106.533 | 11.107 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 104.5 | 175 | 240 | 480 | 720 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 9 | 122.667 | 219.531 | 73.177 | 10 | 690 | 10 | 10 | 24 | 120 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Race | White | 64 | 89.5 | 139.691 | 17.461 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 22 | 55 | 74 | 195 | 380 | 690 | 720 |
| Race | Black | 26 | 131.385 | 168.356 | 33.017 | 5 | 810 | 10 | 35 | 117.5 | 135 | 195 | 480 | 810 | 810 |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 79.667 | 17.039 | 9.838 | 60 | 90 | 60 | 60 | 89 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Race | Some Others | 4 | 71.25 | 47.675 | 23.838 | 30 | 140 | 30 | 42.5 | 57.5 | 100 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| Race | Hispanic | 16 | 88.625 | 98.922 | 24.731 | 5 | 415 | 5 | 20 | 70 | 112.5 | 165 | 415 | 415 | 415 |
| Race | Refused | 3 | 85 | 56.347 | 32.532 | 20 | 120 | 20 | 20 | 115 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Hispanic | No | 89 | 101.281 | 149.687 | 15.867 | 1 | 810 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 480 | 720 | 810 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 22 | 86.955 | 85.561 | 18.242 | 5 | 415 | 10 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 130 | 165 | 415 | 415 |
| Hispanic | DK | 2 | 79.5 | 34.648 | 24.5 | 55 | 104 | 55 | 55 | 79.5 | 104 | 104 | 104 | 104 | 104 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 3 | 85 | 56.347 | 32.532 | 20 | 120 | 20 | 20 | 115 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Employment | * | 7 | 126.429 | 163.598 | 61.834 | 5 | 480 | 5 | 15 | 65 | 140 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Employment | Full Time | 76 | 98.526 | 128.056 | 14.689 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 189 | 380 | 690 | 720 |
| Employment | Part Time | 10 | 61.7 | 46.375 | 14.665 | 5 | 160 | 5 | 15 | 57.5 | 89 | 125 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 21 | 101.714 | 186.201 | 40.632 | 1 | 810 | 10 | 10 | 55 | 90 | 165 | 415 | 810 | 810 |
| Employment | Refused | 2 | 107.5 | 123.744 | 87.5 | 20 | 195 | 20 | 20 | 107.5 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Education | * | 10 | 122 | 140.024 | 44.279 | 5 | 480 | 5 | 20 | 92.5 | 140 | 337.5 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Education | < High School | 6 | 181.833 | 311.76 | 127.275 | 1 | 810 | 1 | 5 | 70 | 135 | 810 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 30 | 89.433 | 109.191 | 19.935 | 1 | 480 | 2 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 177.5 | 415 | 480 | 480 |
| Education | < College | 26 | 125.692 | 189.64 | 37.192 | 10 | 720 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 380 | 690 | 720 | 720 |
| Education | College Graduate | 24 | 66.5 | 50.332 | 10.274 | 5 | 180 | 10 | 24.5 | 55 | 102.5 | 125 | 175 | 180 | 180 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 20 | 74.15 | 59.415 | 13.286 | 10 | 240 | 12.5 | 30 | 60 | 97 | 164.5 | 214.5 | 240 | 240 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 72 | 111.847 | 134.554 | 15.857 | 10 | 810 | 20 | 49 | 62.5 | 122.5 | 189 | 415 | 690 | 810 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 14 | 64.214 | 109.483 | 29.261 | 2 | 380 | 2 | 10 | 22.5 | 50 | 240 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
| Census Region | South | 15 | 75.733 | 121.139 | 31.278 | 1 | 480 | 1 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 160 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Census Region | West | 15 | 83.533 | 179.444 | 46.332 | 5 | 720 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 720 | 720 | 720 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 96 | 101.604 | 127.189 | 12.981 | 1 | 720 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 415 | 690 | 720 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 20 | 79.35 | 176.643 | 39.499 | 2 | 810 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 32.5 | 60 | 120 | 465 | 810 | 810 |
| Season | Winter | 26 | 138.192 | 196.327 | 38.503 | 5 | 810 | 10 | 30 | 79.5 | 130 | 240 | 720 | 810 | 810 |
| Season | Spring | 29 | 77.276 | 89.479 | 16.616 | 2 | 480 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 105 | 135 | 175 | 480 | 480 |
| Season | Summer | 37 | 106.081 | 140.735 | 23.137 | 5 | 690 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 480 | 690 | 690 |
| Season | Fall | 24 | 65.917 | 82.217 | 16.782 | 1 | 380 | 1 | 15 | 42.5 | 82.5 | 160 | 180 | 380 | 380 |
| Asthma | No | 106 | 94.151 | 122.865 | 11.934 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 380 | 480 | 690 |
| Asthma | Yes | 7 | 146.571 | 294.036 | 111.135 | 1 | 810 | 1 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 810 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Asthma | DK | 3 | 111.667 | 87.797 | 50.69 | 20 | 195 | 20 | 20 | 120 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Angina | No | 112 | 96.527 | 137.946 | 13.035 | 1 | 810 | 5 | 27.5 | 60 | 117.5 | 175 | 415 | 690 | 720 |
| Angina | DK | 4 | 132.5 | 82.916 | 41.458 | 20 | 195 | 20 | 70 | 157.5 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 112 | 98.179 | 138.009 | 13.041 | 1 | 810 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 415 | 690 | 720 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 1 | 10 | * | * | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 3 | 111.667 | 87.797 | 50.69 | 20 | 195 | 20 | 20 | 120 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. <br> Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percenti |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 53 | 234 | 203.736 | 27.985 | 10 | 900 | 15 | 70 | 210 | 300 | 480 | 660 | 900 | 900 |
| Gender | Male | 28 | 241.25 | 230.979 | 43.651 | 15 | 900 | 20 | 65 | 210 | 292.5 | 555 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Gender | Female | 25 | 225.88 | 172.581 | 34.516 | 10 | 660 | 15 | 110 | 210 | 300 | 480 | 510 | 660 | 660 |
| Age (years) | * | 3 | 175 | 145.688 | 84.113 | 15 | 300 | 15 | 15 | 210 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 3 | 113.333 | 118.568 | 68.455 | 15 | 245 | 15 | 15 | 80 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 42 | 226.429 | 193.962 | 29.929 | 10 | 900 | 20 | 60 | 202.5 | 300 | 480 | 555 | 900 | 900 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 5 | 405.4 | 292.392 | 130.762 | 195 | 900 | 195 | 210 | 287 | 435 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Race | White | 44 | 241.068 | 215.555 | 32.496 | 10 | 900 | 15 | 65 | 210 | 300 | 510 | 660 | 900 | 900 |
| Race | Black | 7 | 199.286 | 134.364 | 50.785 | 15 | 435 | 15 | 110 | 210 | 255 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| Race | Asian | 1 | 60 | * |  | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Hispanic | 1 | 340 | * | * | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 |
| Hispanic | No | 51 | 234.745 | 206.224 | 28.877 | 10 | 900 | 15 | 60 | 210 | 300 | 480 | 660 | 900 | 900 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 2 | 215 | 176.777 | 125 | 90 | 340 | 90 | 90 | 215 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 |
| Employment | * | 3 | 113.333 | 118.568 | 68.455 | 15 | 245 | 15 | 15 | 80 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Employment | Full Time | 33 | 212.424 | 194.008 | 33.773 | 15 | 900 | 20 | 60 | 180 | 285 | 480 | 555 | 900 | 900 |
| Employment | Part Time | 3 | 510 | 375.899 | 217.025 | 150 | 900 | 150 | 150 | 480 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 13 | 259.385 | 168.387 | 46.702 | 10 | 660 | 10 | 195 | 225 | 300 | 435 | 660 | 660 | 660 |
| Employment | Refused | 1 | 150 | * | * | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Education | * | 4 | 122.5 | 98.531 | 49.265 | 15 | 245 | 15 | 47.5 | 115 | 197.5 | 245 | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Education | < High School | 4 | 111.25 | 179.647 | 89.823 | 10 | 380 | 10 | 12.5 | 27.5 | 210 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 9 | 253.889 | 191.046 | 63.682 | 15 | 660 | 15 | 195 | 270 | 285 | 660 | 660 | 660 | 660 |
| Education | < College | 13 | 293.846 | 170.784 | 47.367 | 20 | 555 | 20 | 180 | 300 | 435 | 510 | 555 | 555 | 555 |
| Education | College Graduate | 15 | 194.8 | 113.998 | 29.434 | 45 | 480 | 45 | 90 | 210 | 255 | 287 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 8 | 305 | 375.129 | 132.628 | 20 | 900 | 20 | 45 | 137.5 | 577.5 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 17 | 254.706 | 234.81 | 56.95 | 15 | 900 | 15 | 70 | 245 | 380 | 510 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 17 | 235.118 | 234.348 | 56.838 | 15 | 900 | 15 | 60 | 195 | 287 | 660 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Census Region | South | 9 | 212.778 | 103.565 | 34.522 | 15 | 340 | 15 | 150 | 255 | 270 | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 |
| Census Region | West | 10 | 216 | 181.702 | 57.459 | 10 | 555 | 10 | 45 | 202.5 | 240 | 517.5 | 555 | 555 | 555 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 37 | 258.919 | 192.755 | 31.689 | 15 | 900 | 15 | 150 | 230 | 305 | 510 | 660 | 900 | 900 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 16 | 176.375 | 222.825 | 55.706 | 10 | 900 | 10 | 37.5 | 95 | 262.5 | 360 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Season | Winter | 17 | 216.294 | 172.818 | 41.914 | 20 | 660 | 20 | 60 | 210 | 275 | 480 | 660 | 660 | 660 |
| Season | Spring | 14 | 191.786 | 160.547 | 42.908 | 15 | 555 | 15 | 90 | 150 | 230 | 435 | 555 | 555 | 555 |
| Season | Summer | 17 | 230.882 | 222.171 | 53.884 | 10 | 900 | 10 | 60 | 245 | 300 | 480 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Season | Fall | 5 | 423 | 294.398 | 131.659 | 180 | 900 | 180 | 240 | 285 | 510 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Asthma | No | 51 | 224.843 | 201.484 | 28.213 | 10 | 900 | 15 | 60 | 210 | 287 | 480 | 660 | 900 | 900 |
| Asthma | Yes | 2 | 467.5 | 123.744 | 87.5 | 380 | 555 | 380 | 380 | 467.5 | 555 | 555 | 555 | 555 | 555 |
| Angina | No | 51 | 233.725 | 207.562 | 29.064 | 10 | 900 | 15 | 60 | 210 | 300 | 480 | 660 | 900 | 900 |
| Angina | Yes | 2 | 241 | 65.054 | 46 | 195 | 287 | 195 | 195 | 241 | 287 | 287 | 287 | 287 | 287 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 51 | 231.608 | 206.7 | 28.944 | 10 | 900 | 15 | 60 | 210 | 300 | 480 | 660 | 900 | 00 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 2 | 295 | 120.208 | 85 | 210 | 380 | 210 | 210 | 295 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean $=$ Mean 24 -hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr = standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percen | iles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 9343 | 1001.39 | 275.143 | 2.8465 | 8 | 1440 | 575 | 795 | 985 | 1235 | 1395 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Gender | Male | 4269 | 945.9 | 273.498 | 4.1859 | 8 | 1440 | 540 | 750 | 900 | 1160 | 1350 | 1430 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Gender | Female | 5070 | 1048.07 | 267.864 | 3.7619 | 30 | 1440 | 620 | 840 | 1050 | 1280 | 1420 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Gender | Refused | 4 | 1060 | 135.647 | 67.8233 | 900 | 1200 | 900 | 950 | 1070 | 1170 | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 |
| Age (years) | * | 187 | 1001.07 | 279.866 | 20.4658 | 265 | 1440 | 565 | 799 | 955 | 1230 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 498 | 1211.64 | 218.745 | 9.8022 | 270 | 1440 | 795 | 1065 | 1260 | 1410 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 700 | 1005.13 | 222.335 | 8.4035 | 190 | 1440 | 686 | 845 | 975 | 1165 | 1334 | 1412.5 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 588 | 969.5 | 241.776 | 9.9707 | 95 | 1440 | 585 | 811.5 | 950 | 1155 | 1310 | 1405 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 6022 | 947.91 | 273.033 | 3.5184 | 8 | 1440 | 540 | 750 | 900 | 1165 | 1350 | 1428 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 1348 | 1174.64 | 229.344 | 6.2466 | 60 | 1440 | 760 | 1030 | 1210 | 1375 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Race | White | 7556 | 999.36 | 275.678 | 3.1714 | 8 | 1440 | 570 | 795 | 980 | 1235 | 1395 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Race | Black | 941 | 1015.95 | 272.54 | 8.8845 | 190 | 1440 | 600 | 815 | 1000 | 1245 | 1410 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Race | Asian | 157 | 983.52 | 254.689 | 20.3264 | 30 | 1440 | 600 | 810 | 930 | 1180 | 1355 | 1420 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Race | Some Others | 181 | 996.09 | 268.283 | 19.9413 | 10 | 1440 | 604 | 805 | 975 | 1198 | 1380 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Race | Hispanic | 382 | 1009.4 | 281.75 | 14.4156 | 55 | 1440 | 555 | 810 | 1004.5 | 1250 | 1410 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Race | Refused | 126 | 1019.69 | 276.578 | 24.6396 | 270 | 1440 | 575 | 840 | 975 | 1255 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Hispanic | No | 8498 | 1000.38 | 275.436 | 2.9879 | 8 | 1440 | 575 | 795 | 980 | 1235 | 1395 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 696 | 1009.84 | 270.816 | 10.2653 | 55 | 1440 | 585 | 810 | 1000 | 1230 | 1405 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Hispanic | DK | 46 | 1097.87 | 286.655 | 42.265 | 401 | 1440 | 645 | 835 | 1172.5 | 1355 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 103 | 984.08 | 269.485 | 26.5531 | 270 | 1440 | 565 | 810 | 950 | 1200 | 1375 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Employment | * | 1768 | 1053.3 | 248.46 | 5.909 | 95 | 1440 | 675 | 870 | 1030 | 1255 | 1413 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Employment | Full Time | 4068 | 881.03 | 259.166 | 4.0634 | 8 | 1440 | 515 | 715 | 835 | 1045.5 | 1290 | 1385 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Employment | Part Time | 797 | 982.44 | 243.085 | 8.6105 | 255 | 1440 | 600 | 820 | 970 | 1170 | 1320 | 1380 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 2639 | 1158.03 | 233.775 | 4.5507 | 60 | 1440 | 735 | 1015 | 1190 | 1350 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Employment | Refused | 71 | 995.08 | 268.059 | 31.8128 | 445 | 1440 | 575 | 810 | 940 | 1255 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Education | * | 1963 | 1044.47 | 251.888 | 5.6852 | 95 | 1440 | 660 | 855 | 1020 | 1254 | 1410 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Education | < High School | 829 | 1093.37 | 278.592 | 9.6759 | 150 | 1440 | 630 | 870 | 1130 | 1345 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 2602 | 1008.1 | 279.281 | 5.4751 | 30 | 1440 | 565 | 803 | 995 | 1245 | 1400 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Education | < College | 1788 | 974.34 | 272.599 | 6.4468 | 10 | 1440 | 570 | 775 | 930 | 1205 | 1371 | 1436 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Education | College Graduate | 1240 | 939.49 | 275.004 | 7.8096 | 30 | 1440 | 528 | 745 | 885 | 1165 | 1335 | 1427.5 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 921 | 943.67 | 274.27 | 9.0375 | 8 | 1440 | 540 | 750 | 900 | 1155 | 1350 | 1410 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 2068 | 1003.4 | 278.441 | 6.1229 | 30 | 1440 | 570 | 795 | 980 | 1245 | 1405 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 2087 | 1001.73 | 280.646 | 6.1432 | 8 | 1440 | 565 | 790 | 989 | 1250 | 1390 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Census Region | South | 3230 | 999 | 270.19 | 4.7541 | 10 | 1440 | 585 | 800 | 970 | 1228 | 1400 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Census Region | West | 1958 | 1002.84 | 273.992 | 6.192 | 30 | 1440 | 575 | 800 | 1000 | 1230 | 1390 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 6286 | 965.69 | 272.596 | 3.4382 | 30 | 1440 | 567 | 770 | 911 | 1190 | 1380 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 3057 | 1074.81 | 265.676 | 4.8051 | 8 | 1440 | 615 | 895 | 1105 | 1290 | 1420 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Season | Winter | 2513 | 1034.92 | 278.237 | 5.5503 | 30 | 1440 | 590 | 825 | 1015 | 1285 | 1432 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Season | Spring | 2424 | 977.88 | 267.177 | 5.4267 | 10 | 1440 | 580 | 780 | 955 | 1185 | 1370 | 1435 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Season | Summer | 2522 | 980.52 | 273.962 | 5.4553 | 8 | 1440 | 555 | 785 | 960 | 1201 | 1365 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Season | Fall | 1884 | 1014.84 | 277.47 | 6.3926 | 30 | 1440 | 589 | 805 | 997 | 1260 | 1405 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Asthma | No | 8591 | 999.12 | 274.377 | 2.9602 | 8 | 1440 | 576 | 795 | 980 | 1230 | 1393 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Asthma | Yes | 689 | 1027.42 | 284.437 | 10.8362 | 190 | 1440 | 555 | 825 | 1025 | 1260 | 1430 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Asthma | DK | 63 | 1025.68 | 264.342 | 33.3039 | 445 | 1440 | 630 | 840 | 960 | 1315 | 1410 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Angina | No | 9019 | 997.77 | 274.112 | 2.8863 | 8 | 1440 | 575 | 795 | 975 | 1230 | 1391 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Angina | Yes | 249 | 1125.47 | 281.353 | 17.83 | 180 | 1440 | 660 | 925 | 1185 | 1380 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Angina | DK | 75 | 1024.08 | 285.059 | 32.9158 | 150 | 1440 | 560 | 840 | 975 | 1305 | 1425 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 8840 | 997.66 | 274.78 | 2.9225 | 8 | 1440 | 575 | 795 | 975 | 1230 | 1395 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 432 | 1070.48 | 273.759 | 13.1712 | 205 | 1440 | 585 | 867.5 | 1110 | 1292.5 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 71 | 1045.48 | 273.047 | 32.4047 | 445 | 1440 | 565 | 845 | 975 | 1320 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. $\mathrm{Stdev}=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perc | tiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 3124 | 154.03 | 158.302 | 2.8322 | 1 | 1290 | 5 | 40 | 105 | 210 | 362 | 480 | 610 | 715 |
| Gender | Male | 1533 | 174.908 | 173.671 | 4.4356 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 420 | 540 | 680 | 745 |
| Gender | Female | 1588 | 133.524 | 138.801 | 3.4831 | 1 | 1065 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 190 | 325 | 415 | 525 | 610 |
| Gender | Refused | 3 | 340 | 140 | 80.829 | 240 | 500 | 240 | 240 | 280 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Age (years) | * | 40 | 163.95 | 179.615 | 28.3996 | 2 | 720 | 3.5 | 40 | 107.5 | 212.5 | 430 | 600 | 720 | 720 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 201 | 195.652 | 163.732 | 11.5488 | 3 | 715 | 30 | 75 | 135 | 270 | 430 | 535 | 625 | 699 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 353 | 187.564 | 158.575 | 8.4401 | 4 | 1250 | 20 | 80 | 150 | 265 | 365 | 479 | 600 | 720 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 219 | 135.26 | 137.031 | 9.2597 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 35 | 100 | 190 | 300 | 452 | 545 | 610 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1809 | 144.244 | 155.13 | 3.6473 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 199 | 360 | 470 | 600 | 715 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 502 | 156.448 | 168.259 | 7.5098 | 1 | 1290 | 5 | 36 | 110 | 210 | 375 | 485 | 645 | 735 |
| Race | White | 2622 | 156.787 | 160.173 | 3.1281 | 1 | 1290 | 5 | 45 | 105 | 215 | 375 | 485 | 625 | 720 |
| Race | Black | 255 | 141.557 | 153.169 | 9.5918 | 1 | 1250 | 5 | 30 | 95 | 195 | 330 | 420 | 535 | 645 |
| Race | Asian | 34 | 115.765 | 135.554 | 23.2474 | 1 | 480 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 360 | 450 | 480 | 480 |
| Race | Some Others | 53 | 167 | 149.049 | 20.4735 | 3 | 750 | 5 | 60 | 130 | 238 | 320 | 475 | 553 | 750 |
| Race | Hispanic | 125 | 117.28 | 128.886 | 11.5279 | 1 | 720 | 5 | 30 | 70 | 150 | 270 | 355 | 590 | 610 |
| Race | Refused | 35 | 187.143 | 163.771 | 27.6824 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 60 | 170 | 240 | 450 | 510 | 600 | 600 |
| Hispanic | No | 2857 | 153.812 | 158.38 | 2.9631 | 1 | 1290 | 5 | 40 | 105 | 210 | 362 | 480 | 610 | 720 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 222 | 146.405 | 154.069 | 10.3405 | 1 | 750 | 5 | 30 | 112.5 | 200 | 345 | 480 | 640 | 690 |
| Hispanic | DK | 15 | 191.533 | 178.278 | 46.0312 | 15 | 585 | 15 | 40 | 140 | 380 | 420 | 585 | 585 | 585 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 30 | 212.5 | 165.335 | 30.186 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 60 | 180 | 345 | 457.5 | 510 | 600 | 600 |
| Employment | * | 774 | 175.762 | 156.127 | 5.6119 | 1 | 1250 | 15 | 60 | 125 | 245 | 380 | 480 | 610 | 705 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1110 | 141.308 | 159.947 | 4.8008 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 30 | 85 | 195 | 358.5 | 490 | 660 | 745 |
| Employment | Part Time | 240 | 134.663 | 140.78 | 9.0873 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 182.5 | 332.5 | 422.5 | 485 | 525 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 978 | 156.052 | 159.151 | 5.0891 | 1 | 1290 | 5 | 40 | 115 | 220 | 375 | 480 | 610 | 701 |
| Employment | Refused | 22 | 152.727 | 209.828 | 44.7355 | 5 | 660 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 125 | 555 | 600 | 660 | 660 |
| Education | * | 825 | 174.105 | 156.184 | 5.4376 | 1 | 1250 | 15 | 60 | 125 | 240 | 380 | 480 | 610 | 699 |
| Education | < High School | 306 | 171.941 | 188.396 | 10.7699 | 1 | 1290 | 7 | 45 | 120 | 240 | 405 | 510 | 765 | 855 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 837 | 153.633 | 154.781 | 5.35 | 1 | 840 | 5 | 35 | 105 | 215 | 380 | 480 | 598 | 701 |
| Education | < College | 527 | 143.362 | 157.106 | 6.8436 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 30 | 90 | 195 | 360 | 465 | 615 | 720 |
| Education | College Graduate | 355 | 126.868 | 142.575 | 7.5671 | 1 | 750 | 5 | 30 | 80 | 170 | 300 | 415 | 615 | 690 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 274 | 130.504 | 150.996 | 9.122 | 1 | 1065 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 180 | 325 | 465 | 570 | 660 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 635 | 147.967 | 143.678 | 5.7017 | 1 | 750 | 5 | 35 | 105 | 215 | 345 | 450 | 575 | 610 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 639 | 156.028 | 169.151 | 6.6915 | 1 | 1290 | 5 | 45 | 102 | 210 | 360 | 500 | 655 | 750 |
| Census Region | South | 1120 | 158.577 | 165.201 | 4.9363 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 40 | 110 | 210 | 390 | 495 | 640 | 745 |
| Census Region | West | 730 | 150.579 | 149.63 | 5.5381 | 1 | 855 | 5 | 36 | 105 | 213 | 360 | 465 | 575 | 660 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1933 | 141.157 | 148.958 | 3.388 | 1 | 1250 | 5 | 31 | 90 | 190 | 345 | 452 | 598 | 698 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 1191 | 174.924 | 170.399 | 4.9375 | 1 | 1290 | 10 | 50 | 120 | 260 | 400 | 500 | 660 | 745 |
| Season | Winter | 548 | 113.96 | 138.121 | 5.9002 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 150 | 280 | 380 | 540 | 690 |
| Season | Spring | 1034 | 171.915 | 159.391 | 4.9568 | 1 | 990 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 390 | 495 | 645 | 730 |
| Season | Summer | 1098 | 168.309 | 168.2 | 5.076 | 1 | 1290 | 5 | 50 | 120 | 235 | 400 | 510 | 630 | 715 |
| Season | Fall | 444 | 126.525 | 140.747 | 6.6796 | 1 | 960 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 162.5 | 313 | 420 | 575 | 655 |
| Asthma | No | 2869 | 154.516 | 159.172 | 2.9717 | 1 | 1290 | 5 | 40 | 105 | 210 | 365 | 480 | 615 | 720 |
| Asthma | Yes | 236 | 145.835 | 145.523 | 9.4727 | 1 | 885 | 5 | 45 | 105 | 190 | 360 | 450 | 575 | 610 |
| Asthma | DK | 19 | 182.421 | 181.024 | 41.5298 | 1 | 600 | 1 | 60 | 120 | 300 | 480 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Angina | No | 3023 | 153.218 | 156.257 | 2.842 | 1 | 1290 | 5 | 40 | 105 | 210 | 360 | 479 | 610 | 707 |
| Angina | Yes | 76 | 172.855 | 222.319 | 25.5017 | 2 | 1080 | 5 | 30 | 68.5 | 252.5 | 465 | 660 | 1065 | 1080 |
| Angina | DK | 25 | 195 | 170.434 | 34.0869 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 60 | 150 | 300 | 465 | 480 | 600 | 600 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 2968 | 154.884 | 158.787 | 2.9146 | 1 | 1290 | 5 | 40 | 105 | 210 | 367 | 480 | 615 | 715 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 139 | 129.353 | 142.494 | 12.0862 | 1 | 855 | 5 | 30 | 75 | 175 | 327 | 415 | 553 | 735 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 17 | 206.765 | 179.765 | 43.5994 | 5 | 600 | 5 | 60 | 170 | 300 | 480 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. $\mathrm{Stdev}=$ standard deviation. $\quad$ Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. <br> Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Volume III - Activity Factors


Chapter 15-Activity Factors

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perc | ntiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 7743 | 97.278 | 104.938 | 1.1926 | 1 | 1440 | 12 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 270 | 425 | 570 |
| Gender | Male | 3603 | 103.696 | 119.736 | 1.9948 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 205 | 295 | 478 | 655 |
| Gender | Female | 4138 | 91.721 | 89.756 | 1.3953 | 1 | 995 | 12 | 40 | 70 | 115 | 180 | 240 | 385 | 465 |
| Gender | Refused | 2 | 30 | 14.142 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Age (years) | * | 144 | 117.035 | 129.103 | 10.7586 | 5 | 810 | 20 | 40 | 80 | 142.5 | 210 | 435 | 593 | 660 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 335 | 68.116 | 75.531 | 4.1267 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 30 | 47 | 85 | 150 | 200 | 245 | 270 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 571 | 71.033 | 77.62 | 3.2483 | 1 | 900 | 10 | 25 | 51 | 90 | 140 | 171 | 275 | 360 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 500 | 81.53 | 79.8 | 3.5687 | 1 | 790 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 100 | 165.5 | 232.5 | 345 | 405 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 5286 | 104.011 | 111.1 | 1.5281 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 43 | 75 | 120 | 200 | 285 | 450 | 620 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 907 | 90.87 | 93.881 | 3.1173 | 4 | 900 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 120 | 190 | 258 | 400 | 460 |
| Race | White | 6288 | 97.248 | 107.173 | 1.3515 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 270 | 425 | 595 |
| Race | Black | 766 | 98.723 | 91.337 | 3.3001 | 2 | 810 | 15 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 195 | 265 | 390 | 485 |
| Race | Asian | 133 | 83.414 | 74.929 | 6.4972 | 5 | 540 | 20 | 35 | 70 | 105 | 150 | 210 | 330 | 360 |
| Race | Some Others | 144 | 96.181 | 93.965 | 7.8304 | 3 | 690 | 10 | 40 | 69.5 | 127.5 | 180 | 250 | 345 | 540 |
| Race | Hispanic | 319 | 101.734 | 110.376 | 6.1799 | 2 | 825 | 20 | 41 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 335 | 465 | 620 |
| Race | Refused | 93 | 93.591 | 90.073 | 9.3401 | 10 | 480 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 120 | 205 | 255 | 420 | 480 |
| Hispanic | No | 7050 | 97.149 | 104.847 | 1.2487 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 270 | 420 | 566 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 578 | 100.043 | 109.048 | 4.5358 | 2 | 825 | 15 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 285 | 480 | 630 |
| Hispanic | DK | 34 | 73 | 68.279 | 11.7098 | 5 | 325 | 6 | 25 | 60 | 97 | 175 | 200 | 325 | 325 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 81 | 98.914 | 95.273 | 10.5859 | 10 | 480 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 130 | 220 | 255 | 420 | 480 |
| Employment | * | 1388 | 73.609 | 77.782 | 2.0878 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 150 | 195 | 275 | 382 |
| Employment | Full Time | 3732 | 105.816 | 116.18 | 1.9018 | 4 | 1440 | 16 | 45 | 75 | 124 | 198 | 290 | 475 | 660 |
| Employment | Part Time | 720 | 98.763 | 94.999 | 3.5404 | 2 | 960 | 10 | 45 | 75 | 120 | 195 | 260 | 380 | 470 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 1849 | 96.561 | 99.534 | 2.3147 | 1 | 995 | 10 | 37 | 65 | 120 | 200 | 275 | 420 | 526 |
| Employment | Refused | 54 | 120.296 | 108.615 | 14.7807 | 10 | 480 | 20 | 35 | 88 | 190 | 290 | 330 | 390 | 480 |
| Education | * | 1550 | 76.39 | 78.923 | 2.0047 | 1 | 955 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 95 | 155 | 201 | 302.5 | 385 |
| Education | < High School | 561 | 100.822 | 120.246 | 5.0768 | 5 | 1440 | 15 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 180 | 265 | 460 | 620 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 2166 | 101.605 | 107.594 | 2.3118 | 1 | 1210 | 12 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 210 | 286 | 445 | 570 |
| Education | < College | 1556 | 103.215 | 110.128 | 2.7919 | 2 | 1280 | 15 | 40 | 75 | 120 | 195 | 285 | 460 | 630 |
| Education | College Graduate | 1108 | 104.532 | 109.485 | 3.2891 | 4 | 1215 | 15 | 45 | 75 | 125 | 200 | 280 | 450 | 675 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 802 | 101.938 | 108.688 | 3.8379 | 4 | 1357 | 20 | 45 | 75.5 | 120 | 195 | 270 | 365 | 480 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 1662 | 98.585 | 106.64 | 2.6158 | 1 | 1215 | 15 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 275 | 425 | 570 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 1759 | 101.229 | 114.641 | 2.7334 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 205 | 290 | 435 | 595 |
| Census Region | South | 2704 | 96.051 | 97.72 | 1.8792 | 1 | 955 | 13 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 250 | 420 | 558 |
| Census Region | West | 1618 | 93.689 | 103.717 | 2.5785 | 2 | 1280 | 10 | 35 | 65 | 115 | 180 | 260 | 420 | 540 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 5289 | 94.437 | 101.435 | 1.3948 | 1 | 1215 | 10 | 40 | 66 | 115 | 180 | 260 | 435 | 575 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 2454 | 103.399 | 111.892 | 2.2587 | 1 | 1440 | 13 | 40 | 75 | 125 | 205 | 280 | 420 | 540 |
| Season | Winter | 2037 | 94.31 | 101.375 | 2.2461 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 35 | 65 | 116 | 190 | 270 | 425 | 544 |
| Season | Spring | 2032 | 99.612 | 110.464 | 2.4505 | 1 | 1440 | 12 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 200 | 275 | 440 | 546 |
| Season | Summer | 2090 | 97.792 | 103.76 | 2.2696 | 1 | 1357 | 10 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 260 | 415 | 558 |
| Season | Fall | 1584 | 97.419 | 103.714 | 2.6059 | 1 | 1280 | 14 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 180 | 265 | 420 | 620 |
| Asthma | No | 7152 | 97.262 | 104.554 | 1.2363 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 270 | 425 | 570 |
| Asthma | Yes | 544 | 97.241 | 110.792 | 4.7502 | 4 | 955 | 17 | 40 | 65 | 116.5 | 180 | 255 | 460 | 705 |
| Asthma | DK | 47 | 100 | 95.192 | 13.8852 | 10 | 480 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 120 | 220 | 239 | 480 | 480 |
| Angina | No | 7516 | 97.288 | 105.235 | 1.2139 | 1 | 1440 | 11 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 270 | 425 | 570 |
| Angina | Yes | 172 | 93.07 | 93.142 | 7.102 | 8 | 615 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 120 | 185 | 280 | 420 | 540 |
| Angina | DK | 55 | 108.945 | 99.695 | 13.4429 | 10 | 480 | 20 | 35 | 75 | 150 | 235 | 360 | 390 | 480 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 7349 | 97.559 | 106.055 | 1.2371 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 190 | 270 | 425 | 580 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 342 | 90.971 | 79.287 | 4.2873 | 2 | 505 | 15 | 40 | 70 | 115 | 195 | 240 | 325 | 460 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 52 | 98.942 | 93.767 | 13.0031 | 5 | 480 | 10 | 30 | 73.5 | 145 | 195 | 239 | 390 | 480 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 2825 | 79.828 | 143.82 | 2.7059 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 200 | 465 | 600 | 675 |
| Gender | Male | 1388 | 111.21 | 184.96 | 4.9645 | 1 | 1440 | 3 | 11 | 30.5 | 90 | 430 | 570 | 675 | 735 |
| Gender | Female | 1436 | 49.541 | 75.947 | 2.0042 | 1 | 790 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 290 | 420 |
| Gender | Refused | 1 | 20 | * | * | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Age (years) | * | 51 | 64.373 | 90.949 | 12.7354 | 1 | 510 | 4 | 20 | 40 | 65 | 125 | 290 | 360 | 510 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 102 | 45.99 | 59.489 | 5.8903 | 1 | 420 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 105 | 160 | 192 | 245 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 230 | 55.909 | 86.475 | 5.702 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 170 | 215 | 360 | 465 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 313 | 40.879 | 55.718 | 3.1494 | 1 | 435 | 3 | 10 | 21 | 45 | 100 | 160 | 220 | 260 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1787 | 96.365 | 169.13 | 4.0009 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 325 | 539 | 645 | 720 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 342 | 57.55 | 85.255 | 4.61 | 1 | 560 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 205 | 450 | 510 |
| Race | White | 2275 | 81.787 | 148.41 | 3.1116 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 68 | 210 | 480 | 600 | 695 |
| Race | Black | 278 | 78.374 | 130.69 | 7.838 | 1 | 645 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 190 | 435 | 580 | 600 |
| Race | Asian | 51 | 42.431 | 61.693 | 8.6387 | 1 | 405 | 2 | 10 | 28 | 60 | 85 | 120 | 150 | 405 |
| Race | Some Others | 50 | 73.06 | 113.02 | 15.9836 | 1 | 535 | 2 | 15 | 40 | 60 | 167.5 | 420 | 492.5 | 535 |
| Race | Hispanic | 136 | 55.066 | 100.19 | 8.591 | 1 | 600 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 54.5 | 110 | 170 | 525 | 600 |
| Race | Refused | 35 | 124.4 | 186.88 | 31.5887 | 4 | 810 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 120 | 360 | 565 | 810 | 810 |
| Hispanic | No | 2552 | 79.761 | 142.98 | 2.8303 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 200 | 457 | 600 | 665 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 230 | 68.091 | 125.96 | 8.3058 | 1 | 765 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 147.5 | 410 | 565 | 615 |
| Hispanic | DK | 13 | 185.31 | 321.29 | 89.1098 | 2 | 985 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 100 | 705 | 985 | 985 | 985 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 30 | 129.83 | 198.28 | 36.2 | 10 | 810 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 98 | 435 | 585 | 810 | 810 |
| Employment | * | 632 | 46.989 | 68.827 | 2.7378 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 10 | 23 | 55 | 120 | 180 | 265 | 360 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1169 | 114.86 | 193.04 | 5.646 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 485 | 570 | 690 | 740 |
| Employment | Part Time | 254 | 67.118 | 114.34 | 7.174 | 1 | 795 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 63 | 165 | 280 | 510 | 600 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 751 | 56.792 | 84.927 | 3.099 | 1 | 690 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 130 | 210 | 360 | 465 |
| Employment | Refused | 19 | 96.947 | 185.76 | 42.616 | 5 | 790 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 90 | 360 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| Education | * | 702 | 47.098 | 70.151 | 2.6477 | 1 | 540 | 2 | 10 | 24 | 55 | 120 | 180 | 265 | 360 |
| Education | < High School | 222 | 105.76 | 193.65 | 12.9967 | 1 | 1440 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 365 | 540 | 720 | 735 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 702 | 113.18 | 185.75 | 7.0107 | 1 | 1410 | 2 | 10 | 35 | 90 | 455 | 555 | 665 | 740 |
| Education | < College | 537 | 87.927 | 157.3 | 6.7878 | 1 | 985 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 240 | 540 | 635 | 705 |
| Education | College Graduate | 367 | 70.905 | 117.85 | 6.1515 | 1 | 660 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 68 | 170 | 325 | 565 | 600 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 295 | 55.186 | 86.872 | 5.0579 | 1 | 710 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 200 | 362 | 560 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 749 | 75.734 | 130.56 | 4.7705 | 1 | 985 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 179 | 375 | 570 | 665 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 586 | 77.445 | 141.21 | 5.8332 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 210 | 390 | 560 | 645 |
| Census Region | South | 836 | 86.447 | 160.31 | 5.5443 | 1 | 1410 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 61.5 | 240 | 525 | 643 | 710 |
| Census Region | West | 654 | 78.19 | 138.28 | 5.4072 | 1 | 985 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 180 | 435 | 570 | 615 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 2018 | 84.241 | 155.61 | 3.4639 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 215 | 515 | 625 | 705 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 807 | 68.793 | 108.2 | 3.8088 | 1 | 705 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 180 | 310 | 465 | 540 |
| Season | Winter | 703 | 70.91 | 141.83 | 5.3492 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 26 | 60 | 160 | 365 | 570 | 643 |
| Season | Spring | 791 | 80.542 | 135.48 | 4.817 | 1 | 810 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 74 | 215 | 435 | 570 | 645 |
| Season | Summer | 819 | 84.178 | 150.3 | 5.2519 | 1 | 985 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 210 | 510 | 615 | 705 |
| Season | Fall | 512 | 84.01 | 148.27 | 6.5525 | 1 | 930 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 225 | 510 | 600 | 690 |
| Asthma | No | 2596 | 80.366 | 143.21 | 2.8107 | 1 | 1410 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 205 | 475 | 600 | 675 |
| Asthma | Yes | 205 | 75.088 | 157.15 | 10.9756 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 160 | 309 | 580 | 690 |
| Asthma | DK | 24 | 62.083 | 78.548 | 16.0335 | 5 | 360 | 5 | 17.5 | 35 | 67.5 | 98 | 225 | 360 | 360 |
| Angina | No | 2726 | 79.57 | 144.32 | 2.7642 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 196 | 465 | 600 | 687 |
| Angina | Yes | 76 | 92.434 | 139.38 | 15.9879 | 1 | 570 | 3 | 10 | 35 | 91 | 354 | 465 | 535 | 570 |
| Angina | DK | 23 | 68.696 | 91.209 | 19.0183 | 5 | 360 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 98 | 330 | 360 | 360 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 2684 | 79.404 | 142.84 | 2.7572 | 1 | 1440 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 65 | 197 | 465 | 600 | 665 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 115 | 93.843 | 175.36 | 16.3523 | 1 | 985 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 225 | 465 | 735 | 985 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 26 | 61.615 | 72.201 | 14.1598 | 5 | 360 | 7 | 27 | 40 | 75 | 110 | 180 | 360 | 360 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. $\mathrm{Stdev}=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Table 15-135. Statistics for 24-Hour Cumulative Number of Minutes Spent Outdoors Other Than Near a Residence or Vehicle Such as Parks, Golf Courses, or Farms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1383 | 200.153 | 202.665 | 5.45 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 130 | 276 | 510 | 600 | 748 | 915 |
| Gender | Male | 789 | 223.482 | 208.727 | 7.431 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 60 | 150 | 315 | 540 | 635 | 765 | 900 |
| Gender | Female | 593 | 168.742 | 189.993 | 7.802 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 40 | 105 | 238 | 420 | 540 | 700 | 930 |
| Gender | Refused | 1 | 420 | * | * | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Age (years) | * | 19 | 183.368 | 160.349 | 36.787 | 10 | 540 | 10 | 60 | 140 | 220 | 510 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 54 | 164.648 | 177.34 | 24.133 | 1 | 980 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 175 | 370 | 560 | 630 | 980 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 159 | 171.34 | 177.947 | 14.112 | 5 | 1210 | 15 | 55 | 115 | 221 | 405 | 574 | 660 | 725 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 175 | 156.903 | 174.411 | 13.184 | 5 | 1065 | 10 | 45 | 100 | 210 | 385 | 570 | 735 | 915 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 858 | 219.425 | 215.094 | 7.343 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 150 | 310 | 540 | 635 | 780 | 933 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 118 | 181.932 | 180.158 | 16.585 | 5 | 900 | 20 | 55 | 112.5 | 280 | 480 | 570 | 600 | 735 |
| Race | White | 1186 | 202.615 | 203.396 | 5.906 | 1 | 1440 | 14 | 60 | 134.5 | 280 | 510 | 615 | 750 | 930 |
| Race | Black | 81 | 185.84 | 195.119 | 21.68 | 1 | 765 | 5 | 40 | 108 | 240 | 540 | 585 | 690 | 765 |
| Race | Asian | 20 | 169.45 | 189.122 | 42.289 | 10 | 665 | 10 | 32.5 | 95 | 230 | 477.5 | 585 | 665 | 665 |
| Race | Some Others | 30 | 187.5 | 161.849 | 29.549 | 10 | 560 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 270 | 437.5 | 535 | 560 | 560 |
| Race | Hispanic | 57 | 158.298 | 203.27 | 26.924 | 1 | 1305 | 5 | 30 | 110 | 228 | 370 | 435 | 555 | 1305 |
| Race | Refused | 9 | 380 | 250.637 | 83.546 | 30 | 810 | 30 | 195 | 435 | 540 | 810 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Hispanic | No | 1267 | 202.593 | 203.353 | 5.713 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 130 | 280 | 510 | 615 | 748 | 915 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 103 | 163.942 | 185.155 | 18.244 | 1 | 1305 | 10 | 30 | 115 | 228 | 400 | 511 | 555 | 555 |
| Hispanic | DK | 4 | 67.5 | 59.231 | 29.616 | 10 | 145 | 10 | 22.5 | 57.5 | 112.5 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 9 | 330 | 259.459 | 86.486 | 30 | 810 | 30 | 140 | 210 | 510 | 810 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Employment | * | 383 | 163.846 | 176.805 | 9.034 | 1 | 1210 | 10 | 51 | 110 | 215 | 385 | 560 | 665 | 915 |
| Employment | Full Time | 555 | 228.526 | 219.372 | 9.312 | 1 | 1305 | 14 | 60 | 150 | 335 | 545 | 645 | 825 | 955 |
| Employment | Part Time | 126 | 202.556 | 211.673 | 18.857 | 3 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 125 | 280 | 510 | 580 | 690 | 700 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 309 | 191.469 | 189.268 | 10.767 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 50 | 125 | 275 | 480 | 565 | 690 | 735 |
| Employment | Refused | 10 | 254 | 240.899 | 76.179 | 30 | 810 | 30 | 105 | 167.5 | 280 | 675 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Education | * | 429 | 163.949 | 175.476 | 8.472 | 1 | 1210 | 10 | 55 | 115 | 210 | 385 | 560 | 665 | 840 |
| Education | < High School | 83 | 264.482 | 255.463 | 28.041 | 1 | 1305 | 30 | 60 | 180 | 480 | 555 | 600 | 1100 | 1305 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 313 | 228.613 | 228.235 | 12.901 | 3 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 160 | 310 | 570 | 690 | 855 | 990 |
| Education | < College | 250 | 217.984 | 202.991 | 12.838 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 152.5 | 330 | 510 | 555 | 715 | 765 |
| Education | College Graduate | 185 | 207.27 | 190.178 | 13.982 | 1 | 930 | 20 | 60 | 128 | 285 | 505 | 600 | 690 | 795 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 123 | 163.642 | 173.04 | 15.603 | 1 | 900 | 10 | 45 | 90 | 240 | 385 | 480 | 735 | 780 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 279 | 196.824 | 208.372 | 12.475 | 1 | 1305 | 10 | 60 | 130 | 265 | 480 | 590 | 900 | 1130 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 309 | 196.702 | 211.59 | 12.037 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 50 | 120 | 270 | 510 | 635 | 740 | 900 |
| Census Region | South | 468 | 198.432 | 195.071 | 9.017 | 1 | 933 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 285 | 510 | 600 | 748 | 825 |
| Census Region | West | 327 | 208.716 | 200.465 | 11.086 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 60 | 150 | 285 | 525 | 580 | 725 | 855 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 851 | 183.982 | 197.931 | 6.785 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 45 | 119 | 240 | 490 | 585 | 735 | 900 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 532 | 226.019 | 207.598 | 9 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 68.5 | 155 | 320 | 525 | 630 | 810 | 915 |
| Season | Winter | 241 | 175.676 | 192.682 | 12.412 | 1 | 1065 | 10 | 35 | 93 | 253 | 450 | 585 | 750 | 810 |
| Season | Spring | 412 | 185.806 | 174.522 | 8.598 | 5 | 980 | 15 | 60 | 130 | 240 | 473 | 555 | 665 | 740 |
| Season | Summer | 508 | 224.996 | 220.748 | 9.794 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 60 | 150 | 305 | 540 | 630 | 840 | 990 |
| Season | Fall | 222 | 196.5 | 213.598 | 14.336 | 1 | 1130 | 10 | 35 | 120 | 280 | 540 | 600 | 780 | 900 |
| Asthma | No | 1283 | 196.564 | 196.894 | 5.497 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 125 | 270 | 495 | 600 | 730 | 855 |
| Asthma | Yes | 93 | 244.344 | 263.314 | 27.304 | 5 | 1440 | 15 | 60 | 150 | 350 | 530 | 810 | 1100 | 1440 |
| Asthma | DK | 7 | 270.714 | 274.415 | 103.719 | 30 | 810 | 30 | 60 | 195 | 450 | 810 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Angina | No | 1352 | 199.038 | 202.274 | 5.501 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 130 | 270 | 510 | 600 | 740 | 915 |
| Angina | Yes | 25 | 238.64 | 205.994 | 41.199 | 1 | 730 | 5 | 60 | 210 | 340 | 465 | 690 | 730 | 730 |
| Angina | DK | 6 | 290.833 | 275.979 | 112.668 | 30 | 810 | 30 | 140 | 202.5 | 360 | 810 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1326 | 199.761 | 200.843 | 5.516 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 130 | 275 | 500 | 600 | 735 | 900 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 51 | 206.431 | 239.756 | 33.573 | 5 | 1100 | 10 | 50 | 110 | 305 | 540 | 700 | 930 | 1100 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 6 | 233.333 | 294.035 | 120.039 | 15 | 810 | 15 | 30 | 167.5 | 210 | 810 | 810 | 810 | 810 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1975 | 393.972 | 230.763 | 5.1926 | 1 | 1440 | 9 | 180 | 485 | 550 | 630 | 675 | 765 | 818 |
| Gender | Male | 1012 | 410.816 | 233.454 | 7.3386 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 225 | 495 | 565 | 645 | 710 | 780 | 855 |
| Gender | Female | 963 | 376.271 | 226.676 | 7.3045 | 1 | 855 | 5 | 120 | 480 | 540 | 600 | 645 | 710 | 750 |
| Age (years) | * | 49 | 438.918 | 232.58 | 33.2257 | 10 | 900 | 20 | 299 | 500 | 555 | 675 | 780 | 900 | 900 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 12 | 31.583 | 25.639 | 7.4013 | 5 | 90 | 5 | 12.5 | 25 | 44.5 | 60 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 14 | 100.929 | 155.126 | 41.4593 | 2 | 580 | 2 | 10 | 32.5 | 178 | 195 | 580 | 580 | 580 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 19 | 145.421 | 181.118 | 41.5512 | 1 | 625 | 1 | 10 | 50 | 240 | 510 | 625 | 625 | 625 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1749 | 418.971 | 218.445 | 5.2233 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 273 | 500 | 555 | 630 | 680 | 765 | 818 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 132 | 145.848 | 193.973 | 16.8832 | 1 | 705 | 3 | 10 | 40 | 205 | 495 | 540 | 640 | 675 |
| Race | White | 1612 | 387.646 | 231.968 | 5.7776 | 1 | 1440 | 6 | 150 | 480 | 550 | 628 | 675 | 750 | 800 |
| Race | Black | 191 | 413.911 | 218 | 15.7739 | 1 | 1037 | 10 | 268 | 485 | 540 | 635 | 720 | 803 | 900 |
| Race | Asian | 42 | 428.024 | 216.759 | 33.4466 | 10 | 780 | 30 | 285 | 491.5 | 553 | 660 | 745 | 780 | 780 |
| Race | Some Others | 28 | 480.893 | 200.859 | 37.9588 | 40 | 795 | 75 | 347.5 | 540 | 582.5 | 715 | 780 | 795 | 795 |
| Race | Hispanic | 74 | 394.459 | 237.847 | 27.6492 | 1 | 840 | 5 | 230 | 492.5 | 560 | 645 | 720 | 765 | 840 |
| Race | Refused | 28 | 482.893 | 246.079 | 46.5046 | 30 | 997 | 30 | 373 | 532.5 | 607.5 | 818 | 860 | 997 | 997 |
| Hispanic | No | 1805 | 393.453 | 229.593 | 5.404 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 180 | 483 | 550 | 630 | 675 | 755 | 810 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 138 | 393.645 | 238.608 | 20.3116 | 1 | 840 | 5 | 180 | 497.5 | 560 | 644 | 675 | 765 | 795 |
| Hispanic | DK | 7 | 262.571 | 242.131 | 91.5168 | 1 | 610 | 1 | 12 | 245 | 540 | 610 | 610 | 610 | 610 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 25 | 470.04 | 258.753 | 51.7505 | 17 | 860 | 30 | 311 | 525 | 615 | 810 | 818 | 860 | 860 |
| Employment | * | 43 | 121.279 | 177.984 | 27.1423 | 1 | 685 | 2 | 10 | 40 | 178 | 307 | 580 | 685 | 685 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1535 | 455.571 | 200.299 | 5.1124 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 400 | 510 | 570 | 644 | 700 | 775 | 837 |
| Employment | Part Time | 164 | 293.03 | 196.95 | 15.3792 | 1 | 750 | 10 | 95 | 342.5 | 480 | 525 | 555 | 585 | 615 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 213 | 77.643 | 122.957 | 8.4249 | 1 | 705 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 215 | 305 | 570 | 640 |
| Employment | Refused | 20 | 449.15 | 184.813 | 41.3256 | 30 | 675 | 60 | 334 | 522.5 | 550 | 645 | 675 | 675 | 675 |
| Education | * | 80 | 225.1 | 248.547 | 27.7884 | 1 | 860 | 3 | 15 | 105 | 470 | 607.5 | 675 | 780 | 860 |
| Education | < High School | 104 | 329.548 | 264.402 | 25.9267 | 2 | 930 | 5 | 50.5 | 388.5 | 552.5 | 640 | 705 | 765 | 855 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 631 | 396.876 | 228.074 | 9.0795 | 1 | 997 | 10 | 210 | 492 | 550 | 615 | 675 | 760 | 800 |
| Education | < College | 462 | 393.108 | 228.826 | 10.6459 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 210 | 480 | 540 | 615 | 660 | 770 | 820 |
| Education | College Graduate | 415 | 437.231 | 205.198 | 10.0728 | 1 | 900 | 10 | 325 | 510 | 570 | 640 | 690 | 750 | 800 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 283 | 396.883 | 232.151 | 13.7999 | 2 | 860 | 5 | 175 | 480 | 565 | 640 | 675 | 780 | 818 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 465 | 399.075 | 226.243 | 10.4918 | 1 | 930 | 10 | 215 | 485 | 550 | 625 | 675 | 765 | 840 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 439 | 389.31 | 229.075 | 10.9331 | 1 | 997 | 8 | 180 | 480 | 550 | 630 | 670 | 750 | 800 |
| Census Region | South | 666 | 408.637 | 228.181 | 8.8418 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 225 | 497.5 | 555 | 630 | 675 | 760 | 840 |
| Census Region | West | 405 | 369.052 | 240.375 | 11.9443 | 1 | 900 | 5 | 95 | 470 | 550 | 630 | 675 | 760 | 800 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1759 | 406.795 | 225.173 | 5.3689 | 1 | 997 | 10 | 237 | 495 | 555 | 630 | 675 | 755 | 810 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 216 | 289.551 | 249.076 | 16.9475 | 1 | 1440 | 3 | 30 | 282.5 | 495 | 600 | 670 | 800 | 900 |
| Season | Winter | 531 | 390.716 | 231.677 | 10.0539 | 1 | 997 | 10 | 180 | 480 | 550 | 625 | 675 | 755 | 835 |
| Season | Spring | 470 | 385.198 | 240.678 | 11.1016 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 120 | 480 | 553 | 630 | 695 | 775 | 837 |
| Season | Summer | 550 | 393.524 | 224.454 | 9.5708 | 1 | 1037 | 9 | 200 | 482.5 | 540 | 613.5 | 675 | 753 | 810 |
| Season | Fall | 424 | 408.358 | 226.578 | 11.0036 | 1 | 840 | 10 | 238.5 | 500 | 566.5 | 640 | 675 | 750 | 770 |
| Asthma | No | 1845 | 394.976 | 230.383 | 5.3635 | 1 | 1440 | 8 | 185 | 490 | 550 | 630 | 675 | 760 | 810 |
| Asthma | Yes | 114 | 371.693 | 231.336 | 21.6666 | 3 | 840 | 10 | 120 | 462.5 | 540 | 630 | 675 | 800 | 837 |
| Asthma | DK | 16 | 437 | 272.067 | 68.0168 | 5 | 860 | 5 | 232.5 | 520 | 587.5 | 780 | 860 | 860 | 860 |
| Angina | No | 1931 | 395.718 | 229.668 | 5.2265 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 195 | 490 | 550 | 630 | 675 | 760 | 811 |
| Angina | Yes | 26 | 265.462 | 246.766 | 48.3947 | 5 | 650 | 9 | 15 | 175 | 490 | 630 | 645 | 650 | 650 |
| Angina | DK | 18 | 392.333 | 282.64 | 66.619 | 5 | 860 | 5 | 30 | 490 | 550 | 780 | 860 | 860 | 860 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1873 | 395.611 | 229.961 | 5.3135 | 1 | 1440 | 8 | 195 | 490 | 550 | 630 | 675 | 760 | 818 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 86 | 356.43 | 236.119 | 25.4614 | 5 | 800 | 10 | 75 | 427.5 | 540 | 620 | 660 | 720 | 800 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 16 | 403.875 | 289.456 | 72.3641 | 5 | 860 | 5 | 30 | 490 | 582.5 | 780 | 860 | 860 | 860 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. $\mathrm{Stdev}=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 2697 | 114.975 | 140.961 | 2.7143 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 285 | 482 | 570 | 640 |
| Gender | Male | 1020 | 120.159 | 157.143 | 4.9203 | 1 | 840 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 130 | 375 | 530 | 609 | 658 |
| Gender | Female | 1677 | 111.822 | 130.088 | 3.1766 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 255 | 400 | 550 | 600 |
| Age (years) | * | 50 | 139.44 | 137.586 | 19.4576 | 15 | 660 | 20 | 45 | 92.5 | 180 | 338.5 | 420 | 565 | 660 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 110 | 90.036 | 77.887 | 7.4263 | 5 | 420 | 10 | 40 | 65 | 105 | 210 | 250 | 359 | 360 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 129 | 77.674 | 68.035 | 5.9901 | 3 | 320 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 110 | 180 | 225 | 255 | 280 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 140 | 88.714 | 101.361 | 8.5666 | 1 | 530 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 123.5 | 222.5 | 317.5 | 384 | 413 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1871 | 125.927 | 156.815 | 3.6253 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 360 | 525 | 600 | 658 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 397 | 88.572 | 88.477 | 4.4405 | 1 | 655 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 255 | 400 | 470 |
| Race | White | 2234 | 111.563 | 139.443 | 2.9502 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 130 | 265 | 495 | 570 | 640 |
| Race | Black | 237 | 123 | 152.318 | 9.8941 | 2 | 800 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 135 | 370 | 480 | 600 | 613 |
| Race | Asian | 37 | 158.892 | 151.725 | 24.9434 | 2 | 600 | 14 | 50 | 105 | 220 | 410 | 480 | 600 | 600 |
| Race | Some Others | 52 | 150.231 | 146.737 | 20.3488 | 5 | 660 | 14 | 65 | 102.5 | 180 | 280 | 588 | 600 | 660 |
| Race | Hispanic | 110 | 133.145 | 138.309 | 13.1872 | 1 | 720 | 10 | 35 | 90 | 195 | 310 | 450 | 535 | 540 |
| Race | Refused | 27 | 124.741 | 131.136 | 25.2372 | 10 | 515 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 207 | 300 | 380 | 515 | 515 |
| Hispanic | No | 2476 | 114.387 | 141.819 | 2.8501 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 131.5 | 285 | 495 | 570 | 640 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 188 | 126.074 | 133.15 | 9.711 | 1 | 720 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 172.5 | 270 | 450 | 540 | 610 |
| Hispanic | DK | 12 | 49.417 | 37.689 | 10.8798 | 2 | 122 | 2 | 17.5 | 47.5 | 69.5 | 105 | 122 | 122 | 122 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 21 | 122.429 | 138.488 | 30.2206 | 10 | 515 | 20 | 33 | 60 | 180 | 290 | 380 | 515 | 515 |
| Employment | * | 372 | 86.946 | 86.322 | 4.4756 | 1 | 660 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 206 | 255 | 360 | 384 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1170 | 136.797 | 176.691 | 5.1656 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 480 | 562 | 640 | 690 |
| Employment | Part Time | 285 | 134.123 | 147.732 | 8.7509 | 2 | 540 | 6 | 30 | 65 | 186 | 400 | 480 | 520 | 540 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 854 | 91.198 | 87.218 | 2.9846 | 1 | 585 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 255 | 360 | 420 |
| Employment | Refused | 16 | 98.938 | 110.033 | 27.5083 | 10 | 357 | 10 | 31.5 | 52.5 | 115 | 290 | 357 | 357 | 357 |
| Education | * | 420 | 88.262 | 91.922 | 4.4853 | 1 | 660 | 5 | 29 | 60 | 120 | 210 | 262.5 | 384 | 420 |
| Education | < High School | 206 | 128.937 | 155.722 | 10.8497 | 2 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 150 | 330 | 500 | 570 | 605 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 792 | 126.295 | 158.884 | 5.6457 | 1 | 960 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 150 | 365 | 524 | 600 | 660 |
| Education | < College | 583 | 129.849 | 149.53 | 6.1929 | 1 | 800 | 10 | 30 | 70 | 165 | 345 | 510 | 563 | 651 |
| Education | College Graduate | 411 | 117.876 | 144.142 | 7.11 | 1 | 720 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 290 | 515 | 600 | 640 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 285 | 78.182 | 95.665 | 5.6667 | 1 | 630 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 90 | 160 | 250 | 450 | 555 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 622 | 110.201 | 134.942 | 5.4107 | 1 | 755 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 130 | 280 | 465 | 563 | 600 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 601 | 108.243 | 133.098 | 5.4292 | 2 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 130 | 250 | 440 | 560 | 645 |
| Census Region | South | 871 | 127.922 | 155.825 | 5.2799 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 155 | 320 | 520 | 600 | 660 |
| Census Region | West | 603 | 107.909 | 130.742 | 5.3242 | 1 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 255 | 430 | 550 | 600 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1721 | 117.451 | 148.879 | 3.5887 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 320 | 510 | 586 | 650 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 976 | 110.61 | 125.747 | 4.0251 | 1 | 840 | 5 | 30 | 65 | 135 | 255 | 380 | 560 | 608 |
| Season | Winter | 683 | 111.71 | 134 | 5.1274 | 2 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 255 | 420 | 568 | 660 |
| Season | Spring | 679 | 115.844 | 142.21 | 5.4575 | 1 | 720 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 130 | 300 | 500 | 588 | 645 |
| Season | Summer | 759 | 113.138 | 147.47 | 5.3528 | 1 | 1080 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 125 | 300 | 510 | 570 | 610 |
| Season | Fall | 576 | 120.243 | 138.948 | 5.7895 | 1 | 840 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 160 | 295 | 480 | 550 | 640 |
| Asthma | No | 2480 | 116.246 | 142.351 | 2.8585 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 287.5 | 495 | 575 | 640 |
| Asthma | Yes | 208 | 101.111 | 124.977 | 8.6656 | 1 | 600 | 5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 245 | 420 | 545 | 550 |
| Asthma | DK | 9 | 85.111 | 79.634 | 26.5447 | 33 | 290 | 33 | 55 | 58 | 60 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 |
| Angina | No | 2607 | 115.981 | 142.101 | 2.7831 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 290 | 495 | 570 | 640 |
| Angina | Yes | 74 | 90.838 | 103.912 | 12.0795 | 2 | 630 | 15 | 37 | 64 | 105 | 150 | 190 | 510 | 630 |
| Angina | DK | 16 | 62.688 | 68.084 | 17.021 | 2 | 290 | 2 | 30 | 55 | 60 | 110 | 290 | 290 | 290 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 2553 | 115.736 | 141.704 | 2.8045 | 1 | 1080 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 135 | 285 | 481 | 570 | 640 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 130 | 104.754 | 131.336 | 11.5189 | 5 | 613 | 10 | 25 | 60 | 135 | 192.5 | 505 | 575 | 609 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 14 | 71.143 | 66.864 | 17.8701 | 20 | 290 | 20 | 35 | 56.5 | 70 | 110 | 290 | 290 | 290 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 2932 | 274.332 | 205.942 | 3.8033 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 95 | 221 | 430 | 540 | 615 | 725 | 805 |
| Gender | Male | 1234 | 285.147 | 206.713 | 5.8845 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 110 | 255 | 425 | 540 | 620 | 745 | 840 |
| Gender | Female | 1698 | 266.472 | 205.082 | 4.9769 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 90 | 200 | 430 | 540 | 610 | 713 | 800 |
| Age (years) | * | 50 | 268.96 | 221.042 | 31.2601 | 5 | 1030 | 30 | 100 | 192.5 | 400 | 590 | 625 | 871.5 | 1030 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 98 | 233 | 235.787 | 23.8181 | 1 | 1440 | 5 | 60 | 150 | 390 | 545 | 595 | 900 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 391 | 351.202 | 149.578 | 7.5645 | 5 | 665 | 70 | 245 | 389 | 440 | 535 | 562 | 625 | 645 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 355 | 366.338 | 161.247 | 8.5581 | 1 | 935 | 60 | 260 | 415 | 446 | 502 | 605 | 710 | 805 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1653 | 267.707 | 221.203 | 5.4407 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 87 | 190 | 450 | 570 | 655 | 760 | 855 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 385 | 151.091 | 128.639 | 6.556 | 5 | 710 | 21 | 60 | 115 | 195 | 340 | 435 | 525 | 615 |
| Race | White | 2310 | 268.239 | 204.323 | 4.2512 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 90 | 210 | 429 | 540 | 612 | 705 | 765 |
| Race | Black | 332 | 303.473 | 207.071 | 11.3645 | 1 | 1440 | 35 | 135 | 285 | 440 | 540 | 630 | 775 | 1000 |
| Race | Asian | 61 | 295 | 199.398 | 25.5302 | 5 | 900 | 30 | 135 | 240 | 425 | 535 | 565 | 840 | 900 |
| Race | Some Others | 57 | 314.684 | 203.549 | 26.9607 | 10 | 967 | 30 | 135 | 360 | 455 | 525 | 598 | 820 | 967 |
| Race | Hispanic | 141 | 283.936 | 229.828 | 19.355 | 2 | 1440 | 11 | 100 | 237 | 430 | 525 | 630 | 840 | 940 |
| Race | Refused | 31 | 257.774 | 192.517 | 34.5771 | 5 | 681 | 5 | 120 | 240 | 430 | 495 | 625 | 681 | 681 |
| Hispanic | No | 2654 | 271.293 | 203.551 | 3.9511 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 94 | 215 | 425 | 540 | 612 | 712 | 800 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 240 | 306.388 | 230.835 | 14.9003 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 110 | 287.5 | 444.5 | 567.5 | 695 | 840 | 940 |
| Hispanic | DK | 13 | 279.385 | 230.736 | 63.9946 | 35 | 760 | 35 | 65 | 235 | 420 | 562 | 760 | 760 | 760 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 25 | 286.6 | 175.367 | 35.0734 | 5 | 625 | 55 | 145 | 255 | 440 | 495 | 565 | 625 | 625 |
| Employment | * | 821 | 343.484 | 171.113 | 5.9719 | 1 | 1440 | 55 | 190 | 393 | 441 | 520 | 570 | 645 | 713 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1029 | 300.3 | 239.785 | 7.4751 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 90 | 215 | 510 | 610 | 685 | 775 | 900 |
| Employment | Part Time | 293 | 251.324 | 199.326 | 11.6447 | 1 | 1030 | 20 | 85 | 200 | 387 | 525 | 610 | 800 | 880 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 775 | 176.406 | 148.414 | 5.3312 | 1 | 855 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 250 | 400 | 475 | 570 | 641 |
| Employment | Refused | 14 | 212.857 | 147.736 | 39.484 | 5 | 440 | 5 | 120 | 190 | 305 | 430 | 440 | 440 | 440 |
| Education | * | 917 | 340.328 | 172.613 | 5.7002 | 1 | 1440 | 45 | 190 | 390 | 440 | 525 | 580 | 645 | 713 |
| Education | < High School | 166 | 172.602 | 138.026 | 10.7129 | 1 | 735 | 27 | 70 | 123.5 | 235 | 375 | 465 | 525 | 640 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 617 | 207.29 | 199.027 | 8.0125 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 60 | 135 | 295 | 510 | 585 | 690 | 785 |
| Education | < College | 520 | 247.492 | 213.609 | 9.3674 | 1 | 1000 | 15 | 85 | 165 | 420 | 552.5 | 640 | 760 | 855 |
| Education | College Graduate | 351 | 261.581 | 214.287 | 11.4378 | 1 | 1005 | 15 | 85 | 180 | 450 | 560 | 625 | 750 | 800 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 361 | 319.114 | 236.166 | 12.4298 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 110 | 290 | 510 | 615 | 683 | 765 | 900 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 645 | 272.747 | 211.594 | 8.3315 | 1 | 1440 | 25 | 90 | 215 | 420 | 545 | 630 | 735 | 855 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 686 | 275.394 | 207.157 | 7.9093 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 88 | 239 | 425 | 540 | 615 | 745 | 850 |
| Census Region | South | 1036 | 278.387 | 201.004 | 6.2449 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 110 | 230 | 440 | 535 | 600 | 690 | 778 |
| Census Region | West | 565 | 267.418 | 207.214 | 8.7176 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 100 | 200 | 420 | 555 | 620 | 712 | 820 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 2091 | 309.844 | 212.577 | 4.6488 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 115 | 340 | 460 | 565 | 632 | 750 | 855 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 841 | 186.039 | 156.873 | 5.4094 | 1 | 1440 | 40 | 85 | 140 | 230 | 385 | 525 | 640 | 735 |
| Season | Winter | 847 | 296.587 | 201.244 | 6.9148 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 285 | 444 | 545 | 615 | 710 | 770 |
| Season | Spring | 805 | 276.761 | 204.618 | 7.2118 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 110 | 220 | 420 | 535 | 600 | 725 | 840 |
| Season | Summer | 667 | 254.115 | 209.724 | 8.1205 | 1 | 1015 | 20 | 80 | 180 | 420 | 550 | 630 | 738 | 890 |
| Season | Fall | 613 | 262.39 | 207.33 | 8.374 | 1 | 1005 | 14 | 75 | 210 | 425 | 540 | 615 | 712 | 778 |
| Asthma | No | 2689 | 273.193 | 207.301 | 3.9977 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 94 | 217 | 430 | 540 | 615 | 725 | 820 |
| Asthma | Yes | 229 | 287.974 | 191.578 | 12.6598 | 1 | 855 | 25 | 120 | 275 | 435 | 533 | 605 | 645 | 800 |
| Asthma | DK | 14 | 270 | 171.24 | 45.7658 | 5 | 565 | 5 | 145 | 280 | 430 | 445 | 565 | 565 | 565 |
| Angina | No | 2836 | 277.127 | 206.396 | 3.8757 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 100 | 230 | 430 | 540 | 615 | 725 | 805 |
| Angina | Yes | 78 | 176.423 | 172.803 | 19.5661 | 5 | 890 | 28 | 60 | 120 | 195 | 480 | 575 | 625 | 890 |
| Angina | DK | 18 | 258.278 | 165.599 | 39.0321 | 3 | 565 | 3 | 145 | 270 | 378 | 480 | 565 | 565 | 565 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 2794 | 276.999 | 207.348 | 3.9227 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 95 | 228 | 430 | 540 | 615 | 726 | 840 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 121 | 212.562 | 166.349 | 15.1226 | 10 | 662 | 30 | 90 | 145 | 375 | 445 | 490 | 605 | 630 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 17 | 275.765 | 163.401 | 39.6306 | 5 | 565 | 5 | 145 | 305 | 415 | 440 | 565 | 565 | 565 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative
number of minutes for doers. Stdev = standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Category | Population Group | N |  |  |  | Min |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 2296 | 111.735 | 131.368 | 2.7416 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 255 | 405 | 568 | 660 |
| Gender | Male | 1127 | 109.497 | 129.654 | 3.8621 | 1 | 900 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 377 | 560 | 660 |
| Gender | Female | 1169 | 113.892 | 133.019 | 3.8905 | 2 | 925 | 10 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 270 | 424 | 570 | 645 |
| Age (years) | * | 32 | 138.094 | 151.816 | 26.8376 | 15 | 610 | 30 | 47.5 | 65 | 150 | 315 | 495 | 610 | 610 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 61 | 62.705 | 47.701 | 6.1075 | 4 | 330 | 10 | 35 | 55 | 85 | 115 | 120 | 130 | 330 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 88 | 58.602 | 39.746 | 4.2369 | 5 | 180 | 10 | 30 | 45 | 85 | 120 | 137 | 170 | 180 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 127 | 76.614 | 82.038 | 7.2797 | 2 | 455 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 90 | 220 | 270 | 325 | 360 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1718 | 121.371 | 142.223 | 3.4313 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 40 | 65 | 135 | 285 | 462 | 600 | 680 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 270 | 92.207 | 90.483 | 5.5066 | 3 | 750 | 20 | 45 | 62.5 | 100 | 177.5 | 255 | 358 | 520 |
| Race | White | 1945 | 108.84 | 127.174 | 2.8836 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 388 | 560 | 645 |
| Race | Black | 167 | 121.88 | 147.847 | 11.4408 | 5 | 805 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 153 | 300 | 490 | 555 | 735 |
| Race | Asian | 42 | 103.976 | 104.151 | 16.0709 | 5 | 497 | 30 | 40 | 62.5 | 120 | 200 | 240 | 497 | 497 |
| Race | Some Others | 36 | 159.333 | 196.721 | 32.7868 | 5 | 765 | 10 | 52.5 | 90 | 137.5 | 495 | 750 | 765 | 765 |
| Race | Hispanic | 83 | 130.205 | 161.594 | 17.7373 | 5 | 813 | 15 | 40 | 65 | 143 | 360 | 485 | 700 | 813 |
| Race | Refused | 23 | 155.913 | 135.696 | 28.2945 | 20 | 480 | 30 | 60 | 88 | 270 | 330 | 410 | 480 | 480 |
| Hispanic | No | 2131 | 110.53 | 129.679 | 2.8092 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 245 | 395 | 560 | 650 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 141 | 127.319 | 153.659 | 12.9404 | 1 | 813 | 15 | 40 | 70 | 120 | 360 | 440 | 700 | 765 |
| Hispanic | DK | 7 | 95 | 115.109 | 43.507 | 5 | 315 | 5 | 10 | 40 | 165 | 315 | 315 | 315 | 315 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 17 | 140.353 | 147.503 | 35.7748 | 30 | 480 | 30 | 40 | 70 | 210 | 410 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Employment | * | 273 | 65.85 | 61.078 | 3.6966 | 2 | 455 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 85 | 120 | 182 | 273 | 330 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1215 | 125.765 | 151.364 | 4.3424 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 40 | 63 | 135 | 300 | 500 | 640 | 735 |
| Employment | Part Time | 236 | 144.729 | 157.886 | 10.2775 | 1 | 813 | 10 | 47.5 | 80 | 180 | 385 | 520 | 615 | 745 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 559 | 88.642 | 77.231 | 3.2665 | 3 | 610 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 115 | 180 | 240 | 315 | 388 |
| Employment | Refused | 13 | 158.077 | 127.157 | 35.267 | 30 | 425 | 30 | 70 | 105 | 240 | 330 | 425 | 425 | 425 |
| Education | * | 309 | 76.006 | 81.68 | 4.6466 | 1 | 548 | 10 | 30 | 55 | 90 | 165 | 255 | 330 | 455 |
| Education | < High School | 155 | 154.155 | 175.537 | 14.0995 | 5 | 925 | 15 | 40 | 90 | 209 | 388 | 545 | 700 | 870 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 665 | 119.502 | 145.414 | 5.6389 | 3 | 910 | 10 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 290 | 485 | 630 | 680 |
| Education | < College | 498 | 121.321 | 137.839 | 6.1767 | 2 | 775 | 10 | 40 | 75 | 135 | 270 | 440 | 610 | 675 |
| Education | College Graduate | 395 | 101.096 | 109.709 | 5.5201 | 1 | 765 | 15 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 225 | 330 | 507 | 570 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 274 | 107.091 | 117.52 | 7.0997 | 3 | 765 | 15 | 40 | 65 | 120 | 220 | 330 | 560 | 675 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 462 | 115.771 | 127.168 | 5.9164 | 2 | 765 | 15 | 45 | 70 | 120 | 270 | 380 | 560 | 650 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 561 | 113.688 | 132.476 | 5.5932 | 1 | 813 | 10 | 40 | 65 | 120 | 250 | 410 | 570 | 675 |
| Census Region | South | 748 | 105.619 | 133.036 | 4.8643 | 2 | 910 | 13 | 35 | 60 | 110 | 240 | 390 | 555 | 650 |
| Census Region | West | 525 | 114.81 | 131.486 | 5.7385 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 37 | 70 | 130 | 245 | 417 | 590 | 640 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 1407 | 112.164 | 138.508 | 3.6926 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 120 | 270 | 430 | 595 | 675 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 889 | 111.055 | 119.269 | 4.0001 | 2 | 870 | 10 | 45 | 70 | 120 | 235 | 351 | 535 | 630 |
| Season | Winter | 584 | 116.783 | 135.982 | 5.627 | 3 | 875 | 15 | 40 | 68.5 | 120 | 265 | 440 | 595 | 735 |
| Season | Spring | 615 | 108.416 | 124.727 | 5.0295 | 2 | 925 | 15 | 41 | 65 | 120 | 240 | 395 | 542 | 585 |
| Season | Summer | 622 | 110.543 | 132.965 | 5.3314 | 1 | 910 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 120 | 260 | 390 | 605 | 660 |
| Season | Fall | 475 | 111.385 | 132.104 | 6.0614 | 1 | 900 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 125 | 265 | 355 | 550 | 770 |
| Asthma | No | 2124 | 111.768 | 129.918 | 2.819 | 1 | 910 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 255 | 390 | 568 | 660 |
| Asthma | Yes | 163 | 107.301 | 145.813 | 11.4209 | 4 | 925 | 10 | 30 | 57 | 118 | 265 | 485 | 560 | 670 |
| Asthma | DK | 9 | 184.222 | 186.348 | 62.1159 | 30 | 480 | 30 | 60 | 88 | 300 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Angina | No | 2229 | 112.481 | 132.361 | 2.8035 | 1 | 925 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 260 | 410 | 570 | 660 |
| Angina | Yes | 54 | 71.463 | 52.513 | 7.1461 | 3 | 340 | 15 | 45 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 232 | 340 |
| Angina | DK | 13 | 151 | 162.726 | 45.132 | 30 | 480 | 30 | 35 | 88 | 120 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 2171 | 111.178 | 129.886 | 2.7876 | 1 | 910 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 120 | 255 | 400 | 560 | 660 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 114 | 109.807 | 134.998 | 12.6437 | 5 | 925 | 15 | 43 | 65 | 120 | 235 | 375 | 530 | 620 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 11 | 241.636 | 274.085 | 82.6397 | 10 | 875 | 10 | 30 | 88 | 480 | 480 | 875 | 875 | 875 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. $S t d e v=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 15-140. Statistics for 24-Hour Cumulative Number of Minutes Spent in Other Outdoors Such as Auto Repair Shops, Laundromats, Gyms, and at Work (non-specific) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perc | tiles |  |  |  |
| Group Name | Group Code | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 1214 | 225.747 | 231.111 | 6.633 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 56 | 120 | 370 | 568 | 670 | 800 | 910 |
| Gender | Male | 612 | 260.322 | 239.586 | 9.685 | 1 | 1040 | 10 | 60 | 160 | 460 | 605 | 695 | 815 | 930 |
| Gender | Female | 602 | 190.598 | 216.774 | 8.835 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 45 | 105 | 260 | 535 | 600 | 720 | 855 |
| Age (years) | * | 21 | 264.524 | 273.733 | 59.733 | 15 | 940 | 30 | 75 | 100 | 420 | 560 | 840 | 940 | 940 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 27 | 92.296 | 74.852 | 14.405 | 10 | 270 | 15 | 25 | 65 | 160 | 180 | 250 | 270 | 270 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 59 | 134.678 | 186.691 | 24.305 | 5 | 910 | 5 | 30 | 80 | 145 | 325 | 720 | 855 | 910 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 76 | 164.368 | 159.542 | 18.301 | 1 | 660 | 5 | 45 | 130 | 208 | 450 | 550 | 600 | 660 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 903 | 250.29 | 243.45 | 8.101 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 135 | 450 | 600 | 690 | 815 | 945 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 128 | 152.813 | 159.777 | 14.122 | 2 | 770 | 12 | 45 | 95 | 202.5 | 420 | 510 | 600 | 610 |
| Race | White | 996 | 226.348 | 228.881 | 7.252 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 58.5 | 120 | 370 | 580 | 665 | 780 | 910 |
| Race | Black | 118 | 228.102 | 256.391 | 23.603 | 2 | 1430 | 5 | 45 | 120 | 358 | 525 | 720 | 990 | 1150 |
| Race | Asian | 25 | 194.68 | 196.484 | 39.297 | 5 | 600 | 25 | 58 | 90 | 300 | 525 | 530 | 600 | 600 |
| Race | Some Others | 23 | 211.217 | 236.332 | 49.279 | 5 | 800 | 10 | 25 | 115 | 405 | 515 | 680 | 800 | 800 |
| Race | Hispanic | 42 | 250.19 | 229.16 | 35.36 | 5 | 793 | 15 | 60 | 165 | 420 | 600 | 675 | 793 | 793 |
| Race | Refused | 10 | 146.5 | 246.555 | 77.967 | 15 | 840 | 15 | 55 | 67.5 | 105 | 495 | 840 | 840 | 840 |
| Hispanic | No | 1133 | 224.325 | 231.063 | 6.865 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 55 | 120 | 360 | 565 | 670 | 810 | 930 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 68 | 230.088 | 215.421 | 26.124 | 5 | 793 | 15 | 61.5 | 127.5 | 398 | 545 | 660 | 790 | 793 |
| Hispanic | DK | 5 | 483.2 | 240.867 | 107.719 | 55 | 623 | 55 | 560 | 568 | 610 | 623 | 623 | 623 | 623 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 8 | 229.375 | 310.592 | 109.811 | 30 | 840 | 30 | 42.5 | 67.5 | 372.5 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 |
| Employment | * | 162 | 140.031 | 158.915 | 12.486 | 1 | 910 | 10 | 30 | 103.5 | 170 | 325 | 505 | 660 | 855 |
| Employment | Full Time | 652 | 276.345 | 250.945 | 9.828 | 2 | 1430 | 10 | 60 | 162.5 | 508 | 619 | 700 | 815 | 945 |
| Employment | Part Time | 132 | 240.909 | 227.902 | 19.836 | 5 | 1440 | 15 | 67.5 | 170 | 360 | 510 | 620 | 815 | 1005 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 259 | 145.347 | 173.086 | 10.755 | 1 | 1150 | 5 | 40 | 90 | 160 | 432 | 540 | 704 | 770 |
| Employment | Refused | 9 | 194.444 | 278.752 | 92.917 | 15 | 840 | 15 | 40 | 75 | 150 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 |
| Education | * | 186 | 148.097 | 168.067 | 12.323 | 1 | 910 | 5 | 30 | 109.5 | 177 | 330 | 520 | 720 | 855 |
| Education | < High School | 88 | 301.966 | 251.244 | 26.783 | 5 | 930 | 15 | 60 | 265 | 487.5 | 670 | 780 | 815 | 930 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 324 | 249.086 | 243.136 | 13.508 | 2 | 1150 | 10 | 53.5 | 126 | 435 | 595 | 690 | 815 | 979 |
| Education | < College | 251 | 266.996 | 256.435 | 16.186 | 2 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 155 | 480 | 600 | 710 | 800 | 990 |
| Education | College Graduate | 217 | 202.014 | 217.284 | 14.75 | 1 | 1005 | 5 | 55 | 110 | 295 | 570 | 645 | 760 | 855 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 148 | 191.764 | 198.819 | 16.343 | 2 | 870 | 10 | 60 | 105 | 262.5 | 535 | 590 | 700 | 793 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 275 | 218.171 | 216.166 | 13.035 | 2 | 990 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 360 | 544 | 660 | 765 | 855 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 254 | 250.689 | 241.492 | 15.153 | 1 | 1005 | 10 | 55 | 150 | 460 | 600 | 695 | 815 | 940 |
| Census Region | South | 401 | 223.691 | 239.929 | 11.981 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 47 | 120 | 360 | 560 | 635 | 815 | 979 |
| Census Region | West | 284 | 213.68 | 222.324 | 13.193 | 2 | 960 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 305 | 585 | 675 | 793 | 850 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 900 | 224.954 | 232.145 | 7.738 | 1 | 1430 | 10 | 58.5 | 120 | 367.5 | 565 | 672.5 | 815 | 942.5 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 314 | 228.019 | 228.476 | 12.894 | 2 | 1440 | 8 | 52 | 120 | 376 | 580 | 665 | 720 | 815 |
| Season | Winter | 347 | 241.715 | 239.749 | 12.87 | 2 | 1440 | 10 | 60 | 155 | 390 | 585 | 660 | 897 | 960 |
| Season | Spring | 321 | 220.343 | 220.658 | 12.316 | 1 | 1005 | 10 | 54 | 115 | 390 | 550 | 630 | 730 | 815 |
| Season | Summer | 294 | 224.418 | 244.957 | 14.286 | 1 | 1040 | 5 | 45 | 115 | 360 | 595 | 760 | 855 | 979 |
| Season | Fall | 252 | 212.194 | 214.928 | 13.539 | 1 | 990 | 15 | 55.5 | 120 | 327.5 | 540 | 660 | 710 | 793 |
| Asthma | No | 1123 | 225.742 | 229.228 | 6.84 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 55 | 125 | 370 | 565 | 660 | 780 | 897 |
| Asthma | Yes | 84 | 228.5 | 259.329 | 28.295 | 1 | 979 | 10 | 59.5 | 100 | 351 | 660 | 793 | 910 | 979 |
| Asthma | DK | 7 | 193.571 | 201.406 | 76.124 | 15 | 510 | 15 | 60 | 80 | 450 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| Angina | No | 1178 | 225.259 | 231.28 | 6.739 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 55 | 120 | 360 | 570 | 670 | 810 | 930 |
| Angina | Yes | 28 | 227.75 | 218.573 | 41.306 | 5 | 770 | 12 | 62.5 | 135 | 425 | 560 | 600 | 770 | 770 |
| Angina | DK | 8 | 290.625 | 269.171 | 95.166 | 15 | 780 | 15 | 67.5 | 217.5 | 480 | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 1166 | 226.724 | 232.003 | 6.794 | 1 | 1440 | 10 | 58 | 120 | 370 | 570 | 670 | 810 | 930 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 41 | 198.829 | 213.198 | 33.296 | 5 | 780 | 10 | 45 | 95 | 330 | 550 | 565 | 780 | 780 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 7 | 220.714 | 197.261 | 74.558 | 15 | 510 | 15 | 60 | 155 | 450 | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |

Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. N = doer sample size. Mean = Mean $24-$ hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min = minimum number of minutes. Max = maximum number of minutes.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | entiles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | Mean | Stdev | Stderr | Min | Max | 5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 |
| All |  | 4005 | 381.494 | 300.479 | 4.748 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 319 | 595 | 815 | 925 | 1060 | 1170 |
| Gender | Male | 1967 | 411.359 | 313 | 7.057 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 135 | 355 | 638 | 855 | 965 | 1105 | 1217 |
| Gender | Female | 2035 | 352.771 | 285.139 | 6.321 | 1 | 1440 | 29 | 105 | 285 | 545 | 780 | 870 | 995 | 1110 |
| Gender | Refused | 3 | 283.333 | 188.171 | 108.641 | 105 | 480 | 105 | 105 | 265 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Age (years) | * | 54 | 386.259 | 305.371 | 41.556 | 5 | 1440 | 25 | 105 | 370 | 555 | 780 | 995 | 995 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 155 | 366.561 | 324.464 | 26.062 | 5 | 1440 | 30 | 90 | 273 | 570 | 825 | 1010 | 1140 | 1305 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 224 | 318.071 | 314.016 | 20.981 | 1 | 1440 | 25 | 105 | 190 | 475 | 775 | 1050 | 1210 | 1250 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 256 | 245.77 | 243.61 | 15.226 | 1 | 1260 | 10 | 60 | 165 | 360 | 595 | 774 | 864 | 1020 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 2976 | 403.067 | 299.434 | 5.489 | 2 | 1440 | 30 | 134.5 | 355 | 625 | 830 | 930 | 1047 | 1150 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 340 | 342.694 | 292.209 | 15.847 | 5 | 1440 | 30 | 100 | 240 | 540 | 797.5 | 880 | 1015 | 1205 |
| Race | White | 3279 | 389.219 | 303.032 | 5.292 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 330 | 610 | 825 | 930 | 1060 | 1190 |
| Race | Black | 395 | 359.977 | 287.96 | 14.489 | 2 | 1440 | 22 | 118 | 300 | 538 | 775 | 905 | 1080 | 1160 |
| Race | Asian | 48 | 262.063 | 209.928 | 30.3 | 5 | 800 | 10 | 64 | 212.5 | 412.5 | 560 | 630 | 800 | 800 |
| Race | Some Others | 79 | 420.671 | 339.247 | 38.168 | 10 | 1328 | 30 | 135 | 310 | 655 | 885 | 1140 | 1305 | 1328 |
| Race | Hispanic | 165 | 292.624 | 250.208 | 19.479 | 5 | 1095 | 15 | 75 | 220 | 475 | 660 | 800 | 845 | 945 |
| Race | Refused | 39 | 393.538 | 325.254 | 52.082 | 25 | 1110 | 30 | 115 | 290 | 655 | 865 | 1040 | 1110 | 1110 |
| Hispanic | No | 3666 | 384.913 | 301.22 | 4.975 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 324 | 600 | 822 | 930 | 1060 | 1170 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 288 | 336.191 | 280.874 | 16.551 | 1 | 1440 | 20 | 115 | 252 | 512 | 760 | 850 | 1010 | 1260 |
| Hispanic | DK | 18 | 369.833 | 371.484 | 87.56 | 15 | 1440 | 15 | 90 | 220 | 600 | 760 | 1440 | 1440 | 1440 |
| Hispanic | Refused | 33 | 403.364 | 322.819 | 56.195 | 25 | 1110 | 30 | 120 | 325 | 655 | 840 | 1040 | 1110 | 1110 |
| Employment | * | 624 | 301.723 | 295.529 | 11.831 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 75 | 190 | 450 | 735 | 900 | 1140 | 1230 |
| Employment | Full Time | 2042 | 405.894 | 296.349 | 6.558 | 2 | 1440 | 30 | 135 | 364.5 | 625 | 835 | 925 | 1005 | 1110 |
| Employment | Part Time | 381 | 378.013 | 291.098 | 14.913 | 5 | 1440 | 30 | 135 | 325 | 585 | 805 | 915 | 1080 | 1245 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 935 | 383.833 | 308.691 | 10.095 | 3 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 310 | 600 | 825 | 930 | 1110 | 1290 |
| Employment | Refused | 23 | 341.957 | 254.245 | 53.014 | 25 | 925 | 30 | 120 | 325 | 450 | 715 | 885 | 925 | 925 |
| Education | * | 704 | 308.635 | 292.801 | 11.035 | 1 | 1440 | 15 | 87.5 | 205 | 465 | 741 | 900 | 1095 | 1217 |
| Education | < High School | 377 | 497.719 | 317.756 | 16.365 | 2 | 1440 | 40 | 225 | 465 | 775 | 905 | 990 | 1120 | 1369 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 1315 | 425.682 | 301.711 | 8.32 | 3 | 1440 | 30 | 155 | 390 | 650 | 840 | 928 | 1060 | 1202 |
| Education | < College | 829 | 388.807 | 295.753 | 10.272 | 5 | 1435 | 30 | 135 | 330 | 600 | 810 | 930 | 1050 | 1155 |
| Education | College Graduate | 473 | 325.871 | 272.694 | 12.538 | 2 | 1140 | 30 | 90 | 240 | 499 | 735 | 860 | 990 | 1035 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 307 | 282.518 | 257.117 | 14.674 | 3 | 1205 | 20 | 60 | 200 | 430 | 665 | 810 | 900 | 983 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 932 | 369.46 | 287.677 | 9.423 | 2 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 314 | 565 | 800 | 892 | 990 | 1095 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 938 | 384.067 | 304.829 | 9.953 | 2 | 1440 | 29 | 120 | 319.5 | 600 | 825 | 930 | 1080 | 1140 |
| Census Region | South | 1409 | 404.028 | 308.501 | 8.219 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 130 | 345 | 630 | 840 | 943 | 1090 | 1205 |
| Census Region | West | 726 | 349.883 | 291.992 | 10.837 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 110 | 274 | 541 | 800 | 900 | 1045 | 1180 |
| Day Of Week | Weekday | 2661 | 374.746 | 296.185 | 5.742 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 315 | 578 | 810 | 915 | 1045 | 1150 |
| Day Of Week | Weekend | 1344 | 394.854 | 308.482 | 8.415 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 321.5 | 625 | 833 | 940 | 1110 | 1260 |
| Season | Winter | 1046 | 374.159 | 304.183 | 9.405 | 1 | 1440 | 25 | 115 | 295 | 590 | 815 | 925 | 1080 | 1170 |
| Season | Spring | 1034 | 384.762 | 301.561 | 9.378 | 2 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 320 | 610 | 810 | 900 | 1105 | 1215 |
| Season | Summer | 1059 | 385.134 | 300.394 | 9.231 | 2 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 330 | 591 | 840 | 940 | 1040 | 1130 |
| Season | Fall | 866 | 381.999 | 295.104 | 10.028 | 2 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 324 | 590 | 810 | 915 | 1030 | 1150 |
| Asthma | No | 3687 | 378.806 | 298.378 | 4.914 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 315 | 591 | 810 | 915 | 1050 | 1170 |
| Asthma | Yes | 298 | 416.862 | 323.967 | 18.767 | 5 | 1440 | 20 | 135 | 342.5 | 652 | 870 | 1015 | 1202 | 1335 |
| Asthma | DK | 20 | 350 | 304.324 | 68.049 | 25 | 995 | 27.5 | 60 | 290 | 540 | 795 | 902.5 | 995 | 995 |
| Angina | No | 3892 | 380.923 | 299.475 | 4.8 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 320 | 595 | 815 | 920 | 1060 | 1170 |
| Angina | Yes | 87 | 404.31 | 345.105 | 36.999 | 2 | 1380 | 30 | 120 | 270 | 703 | 910 | 1015 | 1320 | 1380 |
| Angina | DK | 26 | 390.577 | 300.394 | 58.912 | 25 | 995 | 30 | 115 | 342.5 | 670 | 780 | 790 | 995 | 995 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | No | 3749 | 378.662 | 298.576 | 4.876 | 1 | 1440 | 30 | 120 | 315 | 590 | 810 | 915 | 1060 | 1170 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | Yes | 236 | 431.157 | 326.848 | 21.276 | 5 | 1380 | 30 | 150 | 362.5 | 680 | 892 | 980 | 1205 | 1260 |
| Bronchitis/Emphysema | DK | 20 | 326.25 | 291.068 | 65.085 | 10 | 995 | 17.5 | 85 | 222.5 | 540 | 755 | 887.5 | 995 | 995 |
| Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | Number of Minutes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 660- \\ & 720 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 720- \\ & 780 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 780- \\ & 840 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 840- \\ & 900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 900- \\ & 960 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 960- \\ & 1020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1020- \\ & 1080 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1080- \\ & 1140 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1140 \\ & 1200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1200- \\ & 1260 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1260- \\ & 1320 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1320- \\ & 1380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1380- \\ & 1440 \end{aligned}$ |
| Overall | 149 | 135 | 162 | 105 | 83 | 53 | 27 | 21 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 15 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 84 | 76 | 87 | 66 | 48 | 37 | 18 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| Female | 65 | 59 | 75 | 39 | 35 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 6 | * | 3 | 5 |
| Refused |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 |
| 5-11 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | * | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | * | * | 2 |
| 12-17 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | * |  | * | 2 | * | * |  |
| 18-64 | 119 | 114 | 129 | 91 | 72 | 44 | 18 | 17 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| > 64 | 11 | 10 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2 | * | * | 2 | * | 1 | 1 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 135 | 118 | 139 | 90 | 74 | 49 | 21 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 14 |
| Black | 7 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | * | * | 2 | 1 |
| Asian | * | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Some Others | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | * | * | 1 | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | * |
| Hispanic | 3 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Refused | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 141 | 127 | 149 | 96 | 81 | 52 | 25 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 13 |
| Yes | 5 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | * | 1 | 1 | * | 1 |
| DK | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Refused | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | * | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{*}$ Full Time | 16 83 | 10 82 | 16 82 | 8 72 | 3 50 | 5 34 | 10 | 14 | 3 5 | 5 2 | * | 2 | 3 6 |
| Part Time | 18 | 11 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 3 | * | 2 | * | 1 | 1 |
| Not Employed | 31 | 32 | 48 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Refused | 1 | * | * | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19 | 12 | 18 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | * | 3 |
| < High School | 15 | 24 | 34 | 16 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | * | 2 | 3 8 |
| - High School Graduate | 60 36 | 64 22 | 62 29 | 45 18 | 33 23 | 17 12 | 5 | 5 | 5 3 | 3 2 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| < College Graduate | 36 11 | 22 | 29 12 | 18 | 23 6 | 12 | 5 1 | 6 4 | 3 | * | * | * | * |
| Post Graduate | 8 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 37 | 34 | 34 | 23 | 20 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | * | 1 | 2 |
| Midwest | 36 | 28 | 36 | 29 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| South | 52 | 63 | 60 | 37 | 37 | 21 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 5 | * | 4 | 7 |
| West | 24 | 10 | 32 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | * | 2 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 95 | $\stackrel{84}{51}$ | 103 | 63 | 55 | 38 | 17 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| Weekend | 54 | 51 | 59 | 42 | 28 | 15 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 30 | 47 | 46 | 26 | 21 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Spring | 41 | 36 | 44 | 29 | 10 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Summer Fall | 38 40 | 23 29 | 45 27 | 31 19 | 33 19 | 13 15 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 3 | * | 2 1 | 2 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 134 | 124 | 150 | 92 | 77 | 47 | 24 | 20 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 13 |
| Yes | 15 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | * | 1 | 2 |
| DK | * | 2 | 1 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 141 | 130 | 157 | 103 | 82 | 48 | 26 | 20 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 15 |
| Yes | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | * | * | 1 | 1 | * |
| DK | 4 | 2 | 1 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 139 | 128 | 150 | 91 | 75 | 48 | 25 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 15 |
| Yes | 10 | 5 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | * | 2 | * |
| DK | * | 2 | * | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
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| Table 15-143 Number of Minutes Spent Smoking (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 9386 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 615 | 795 | 930 | 1035 | 1440 |
| Gender | Male | 4294 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 685 | 840 | 983 | 1095 | 1440 |
| Gender | Female | 5088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 545 | 725 | 870 | 960 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 499 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 455 | 735 | 975 | 1095 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 703 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 370 | 625 | 975 | 1140 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 589 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 377 | 542 | 810 | 864 | 1260 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 6059 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 | 675 | 830 | 950 | 1045 | 1440 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 1349 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 340 | 622 | 825 | 910 | 1440 |
| Race | White | 7591 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 630 | 805 | 940 | 1035 | 1440 |
| Race | Black | 945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 540 | 715 | 910 | 1071 | 1440 |
| Race | Asian | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 375 | 494 | 565 | 790 | 800 |
| Race | Some Others | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 680 | 815 | 1140 | 1305 | 1328 |
| Race | Hispanic | 385 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 481 | 652 | 813 | 845 | 1095 |
| Hispanic | No | 8534 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 625 | 800 | 940 | 1035 | 1440 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 702 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 518 | 680 | 850 | 920 | 1440 |
| Employment | Full Time | 4096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 360 | 687 | 835 | 945 | 1005 | 1440 |
| Employment | Part Time | 802 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 | 630 | 793 | 930 | 1054 | 1440 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 2644 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144.5 | 555 | 768 | 915 | 1045 | 1440 |
| Education | < High School | 834 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 790 | 880 | 1004 | 1105 | 1440 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 2612 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 390 | 710 | 840 | 956 | 1060 | 1440 |
| Education | < College | 1801 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 630 | 805 | 945 | 1045 | 1435 |
| Education | College Graduate | 1247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 480 | 660 | 860 | 970 | 1140 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 380 | 595 | 795 | 860 | 1205 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 2075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 610 | 775 | 915 | 990 | 1440 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 2102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 630 | 810 | 945 | 1054 | 1440 |
| Census Region | South | 3243 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 655 | 810 | 950 | 1060 | 1440 |
| Census Region | West | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 510 | 710 | 885 | 990 | 1440 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 6316 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 595 | 780 | 925 | 1015 | 1440 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 3070 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 651 | 810 | 950 | 1080 | 1440 |
| Season | Winter | 2524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 600 | 790 | 930 | 1034 | 1440 |
| Season | Spring | 2438 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 626 | 785 | 920 | 1060 | 1440 |
| Season | Summer | 2536 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 600 | 810 | 940 | 1020 | 1440 |
| Season | Fall | 1888 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 630 | 791 | 945 | 1020 | 1440 |
| Asthma | No | 8629 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 610 | 790 | 928 | 1020 | 1440 |
| Asthma | Yes | 694 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 668 | 855 | 1020 | 1170 | 1440 |
| Angina | No | 9061 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 615 | 795 | 930 | 1034 | 1440 |
| Angina | Yes | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 615 | 835 | 1007.5 | 1125 | 1380 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 8882 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 605 | 785 | 928 | 1020 | 1440 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 433 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 405 | 810 | 900 | 1040 | 1205 | 1380 |

Note: $\mathrm{N}=$ Doer Sample Size; Percentiles are the Percentage of Doers below or Equal to a Given Number of Minutes. Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  | Total N | Number of Minutes per Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | *-* | 0-3 | 3-6 | 6-9 | 9-12 | 12-15 | 15-18 | 18-61 |
| Overall | 62 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 21 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 58 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 20 |
| Female | 4 | * | 2 | 1 | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-11 | 1 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| 12-17 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 18-64 | 46 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 16 |
| > 64 | 14 | 1 | * | 3 | * | * | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 53 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 20 |
| Black | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * |
| Some Others | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic | 3 | * | * | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 57 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 5 | * | 1 | 9 | 20 |
| Yes | 5 | * | 1 | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | * | 1 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 2 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Full Time | 39 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 15 |
| Part Time | 3 | * | 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Not Employed | 17 | 1 | * | 3 | 1 | * | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Refused | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| < High School | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | * | 1 |
| High School Graduate | 24 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | * | * | 3 | 8 |
| < College | 18 | 2 | 4 | * | * | 1 | * | 4 | 7 |
| College Graduate | 10 | * | 2 | 2 | 2 | * | * | 1 | 3 |
| Post Graduate | 6 | * | * | 1 | 1 | * | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 20 | 3 | 1 | 4 | * | 1 | * | 1 | 10 |
| Midwest | 19 | * | 4 | 4 | 2 | * | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| South | 12 | 1 | 3 | * | 2 | * | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| West | 11 | 1 | 2 | * | 2 | * | * | 3 | 3 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 40 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | * | 7 | 15 |
| Weekend | 22 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | * | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 16 | * | 3 | 5 | 1 | * | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Spring | 19 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | * | * | 2 | 8 |
| Summer | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Fall | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | * | * | 2 | 2 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 59 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 21 |
| Yes | 3 | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | 1 | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 60 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 20 |
| Yes | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | 1 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 60 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 21 |
| Yes | 2 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | * |
| Note: * Signifies missing data; Refused = respondents refused to answer; $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size in specified range of number of minutes spent. A value of " 61 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 60 minutes were spent. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 15-145 Number of Minutes Spent Smoking Cigars or Pipe Tobacco (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | iles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 57 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Gender | Male | 53 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Gender | Female | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.5 | 9 | 38 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 43 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 45 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | White | 50 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Race | Black | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Race | Some Others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Race | Hispanic | 3 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | No | 52 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Full Time | 37 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Employment | Part Time | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 37.5 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | < High School | 2 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 53 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 22 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | < College | 16 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 25 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | College Graduate | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7.5 | 20 | 30 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 6 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 52.5 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 17 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 19 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | South | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 45 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Census Region | West | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 37 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 20 | 3 | 3 | 6.5 | 10 | 20 | 37.5 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Winter | 16 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Spring | 16 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60.5 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Summer | 18 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Season | Fall | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Asthma | No | 54 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Asthma | Yes | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Angina | No | 55 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Angina | Yes | 2 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60.5 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 56 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 1 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

Note: A value of " 61 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 60 minutes were spent; $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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|  | Total N | Number of Cigarettes Smoked by Respondent on the Day Before the Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * | None | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-9 | 10-14 | 15-24 | 25-35 | 36+ | DK |
| Overall | 4663 | 530 | 3288 | 45 | 92 | 88 | 182 | 315 | 56 | 57 | 10 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 2163 | 278 | 1467 | 24 | 38 | 32 | 81 | 167 | 30 | 43 | 3 |
| Female | 2498 | 251 | 1820 | 21 | 54 | 56 | 101 | 148 | 26 | 14 | 7 |
| Refused | 2 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 84 | 2 | 72 | 1 | 1 | * | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1-4 | 263 | 263 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 5-11 | 348 | 258 | 88 | * | 1 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * |
| 12-17 | 326 | 1 | 315 | * | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | * | * | 1 |
| 18-64 | 2972 | 5 | 2232 | 42 | 76 | 75 | 156 | 276 | 54 | 51 | 5 |
| > 64 | 670 | 1 | 581 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 22 | 32 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3774 | 413 | 2664 | 30 | 63 | 63 | 156 | 272 | 54 | 52 | 7 |
| Black | 463 | 53 | 319 | 7 | 18 | 22 | 17 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Aian | 77 | 5 | 71 | * | * | * | * | 1 | * | * | * |
| Some Others | 96 | 22 | 55 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | * |
| Hispanic | 193 | 37 | 133 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 7 | * | * | * |
| Refused | 60 | * | 46 | * | 2 | * | 2 | 7 | * | 3 | * |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4244 | 452 | 3010 | 33 | 79 | 79 | 173 | 297 | 56 | 55 | 10 |
| Yes | 347 | 75 | 225 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 12 | * | * | * |
| DK | 26 | 2 | 18 | * | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * |
| Refused | 46 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 1 | * | 1 | 5 | * | 2 | * |
| Employment 926 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 926 | 526 | 388 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | * | * | 2 |
| Full Time | 2017 | 1 | 1510 | 34 | 55 | 51 | 100 | 193 | 37 | 34 | 2 |
| Part Time | 379 | * | 307 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 23 | 22 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Not Employed | 1309 | 3 | 1058 | 6 | 28 | 28 | 57 | 92 | 14 | 20 | 3 |
| Refused | 32 | * | 25 | * | * | * | * | 5 | 1 | * | 1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 1021 | 526 | 473 | * | 4 | 3 | 4 | 8 | * | 1 | 2 |
| < High School | 399 | 3 | 279 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 27 | 42 | 8 | 16 | 2 |
| High School Graduate | 1253 | 1 | 899 | 16 | 44 | 35 | 73 | 138 | 23 | 23 | 1 |
| < College | 895 | * | 696 | 11 | 19 | 20 | 44 | 75 | 18 | 9 | 3 |
| College Graduate | 650 | * | 547 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 26 | 32 | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| Post Graduate | 445 | * | 394 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Census Region 1048 - 112 l47 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 1048 | 112 | 747 | 4 | 12 | 19 | 49 | 78 | 10 | 16 | 1 |
| Midwest | 1036 | 110 | 746 | 11 | 25 | 19 | 29 | 73 | 13 | 8 | 2 |
| South | 1601 | 193 | 1079 | 17 | 37 | 34 | 76 | 108 | 29 | 24 | 4 |
| West | 978 | 115 | 716 | 13 | 18 | 16 | 28 | 56 | 4 | 9 | 3 |
| Day of Week 2156 - 21030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 3156 | 341 | 2239 | 28 | 66 | 61 | 116 | 217 | 38 | 43 | 7 |
| Weekend | 1507 | 189 | 1049 | 17 | 26 | 27 | 66 | 98 | 18 | 14 | 3 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 1264 | 163 | 883 | 16 | 23 | 21 | 50 | 71 | 18 | 14 | 5 |
| Spring | 1181 | 148 | 819 | 13 | 22 | 14 | 45 | 94 | 14 | 10 | 2 |
| Summer | 1275 | 142 | 906 | 7 | 20 | 32 | 47 | 89 | 12 | 17 | 3 |
| Fall | 943 | 77 | 680 | 9 | 27 | 21 | 40 | 61 | 12 | 16 | * |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4287 | 480 | 3023 | 40 | 85 | 80 | 171 | 292 | 51 | 56 | 9 |
| Yes | 341 | 48 | 239 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| DK | 35 | 2 | 26 | * | 1 | * | 1 | 5 | * | * | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4500 | 526 | 3161 | 45 | 88 | 85 | 175 | 304 | 52 | 54 | 10 |
| Yes | 125 | 2 | 99 | * | 3 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 | * |
| DK | 38 | 2 | 28 | * | 1 | * | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | * |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4424 | 519 | 3138 | 43 | 80 | 81 | 170 | 284 | 48 | 52 | 9 |
| Yes | 203 | 11 | 120 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 28 | 8 | 5 | 1 |
| DK | 36 | * | 30 | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | * | * | * |
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|  | Total N | Number of Cigarettes Smoked by Respondent at Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * | None | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-9 | 10-14 | 15-24 | 25-35 | 36+ | DK |
| Overall | 4723 | 516 | 3358 | 51 | 193 | 126 | 224 | 180 | 23 | 29 | 23 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 2131 | 277 | 1463 | 24 | 86 | 53 | 91 | 98 | 11 | 17 | 11 |
| Female | 2590 | 237 | 1895 | 27 | 107 | 73 | 133 | 82 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Refused | 2 | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * (years) | 103 | 8 | 83 | * | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | 2 |
| 1-4 | 236 | 236 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 5-11 | 355 | 268 | 86 | * | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * |
| 12-17 | 263 | 2 | 248 | 47 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | * | * |
| 18-64 | 3087 | 1 | 2352 | 47 | 170 | 110 | 193 | 150 | 21 | 26 | 17 |
| > 64 | 679 | 1 | 589 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 26 | 27 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3817 | 391 | 2700 | 30 | 152 | 103 | 208 | 164 | 22 | 28 | 19 |
| Black | 482 | 61 | 345 | 10 | 27 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 1 | * | 3 |
| Asian | 80 | 13 | 65 | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Some Others | 86 | 17 | 58 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | * | 1 | * |
| Hispanic | 192 | 32 | 140 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | * | * | * |
| Refused | 66 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 6 | * | 2 | 3 | * | * | 1 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4290 | 451 | 3045 | 41 | 182 | 121 | 210 | 167 | 23 | 29 | 21 |
| Yes | 355 | 64 | 252 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 11 | * | * | 1 |
| DK | 21 | * | 18 | * | 1 | * | 2 | * | * | * | * |
| Refused | 57 | 1 | 43 | 2 | 6 | * | 2 | 2 | * | * | 1 |
| Employment 847 514 322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Time | 2079 | 1 | 1598 | 33 | 122 | 88 | 117 | 87 | 11 | 10 | 12 |
| Part Time | 423 | * | 346 | 4 | 17 | 10 | 27 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Not Employed | 1335 | 1 | 1060 | 14 | 47 | 27 | 76 | 78 | 7 | 16 | 9 |
| Refused | 39 | * | 32 | * | 2 | * | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | 1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 947 | 514 | 406 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | * | 2 |
| < High School | 435 | * | 309 | 5 | 20 | 17 | 32 | 26 | 7 | 12 | 7 |
| High School Graduate | 1359 | * | 989 | 21 | 78 | 64 | 98 | 84 | 7 | 11 | 7 |
| < College | 906 | 2 | 701 | 17 | 51 | 25 | 56 | 39 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| College Graduate | 597 | * | 524 | 6 | 20 | 11 | 19 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Post Graduate | 479 | * | 429 | 1 | 15 | 6 | 13 | 14 | 1 | * | * |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 1027 | 121 | 721 | 11 | 39 | 22 | 50 | 46 | 8 | 5 | 4 |
| Midwest | 1066 | 102 | 764 | 12 | 52 | 32 | 53 | 33 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| South | 1642 | 177 | 1159 | 16 | 62 | 51 | 81 | 63 | 8 | 14 | 11 |
| West | 988 | 116 | 714 | 12 | 40 | 21 | 40 | 38 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 3160 | 336 | 2277 | 32 | 129 | 87 | 134 | 118 | 14 | 18 | 15 |
| Weekend | 1563 | 180 | 1081 | 19 | 64 | 39 | 90 | 62 | 9 | 11 | 8 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 1260 | 153 | 873 | 18 | 53 | 39 | 59 | 42 | 10 | 6 | 7 |
| Spring | 1257 | 152 | 901 | 7 | 51 | 22 | 55 | 54 | 1 | 6 | 8 |
| Summer | 1261 | 139 | 896 | 10 | 44 | 33 | 64 | 53 | 7 | 10 | 5 |
| Fall | 945 | 72 | 688 | 16 | 45 | 32 | 46 | 31 | 5 | 7 | 3 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4342 | 470 | 3100 | 45 | 176 | 112 | 208 | 165 | 20 | 25 | 21 |
| Yes | 353 | 46 | 234 | 5 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| DK | 28 | * | 24 | 1 | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4561 | 515 | 3225 | 49 | 188 | 123 | 217 | 173 | 23 | 26 | 22 |
| Yes | 125 | * | 104 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | * | 3 | * |
| DK | 37 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 3 | * | 2 | * | * | * | 1 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 4458 | 501 | 3179 | 46 | 179 | 121 | 210 | 159 | 21 | 20 | 22 |
| Yes | 230 | 15 | 149 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 20 | 2 | 9 | * |
| DK | 35 | * | 30 | 1 | 2 | * | * | 1 | * | * | 1 |


|  | Table 15-149. Differences in Time Use (hours/week) Grouped by Sex, Employment Status, and Marital Status for the Surveys Conducted in 1965 and 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employed Men |  |  | Employed Women |  | Housewives |  | Total |
|  | Urban Data $\underline{1965}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Married } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=448) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Single } \\ (N=73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Married } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=190) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Single } \\ (\mathrm{N}=152) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Married } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=341) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Single } \\ (N=14) \end{gathered}$ | ( $\mathrm{N}=1218$ ) |
|  | Sleep | 53.1 | 50.6 | 53.8 | 52.6 | 53.9 | 58.8 | 53.3 |
|  | Work for Pay | 51.3 | 51.4 | 38.4 | 39.8 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 33.0 |
|  | Family Care | 9.0 | 7.7 | 28.8 | 20.6 | 50.0 | 45.7 | 25.4 |
|  | Personal Care | 20.9 | 22.2 | 20.3 | 21.7 | 22.6 | 23.0 | 21.5 |
|  | Free Time | 33.7 | 36.1 | 26.7 | 33.3 | 41.0 | 38.9 | 34.8 |
|  | Organizations | 2.6 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.8 |
|  | Media | 17.1 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 15.3 | 19.1 | 14.7 |
|  | Social Life | 7.2 | 10.4 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 12.6 | 10.2 | 9.4 |
|  | Recreation | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
|  | Other Leisure | 5.4 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 8.4 | 9.1 | 5.1 | 7.0 |
|  | Total Time <br> (Free) | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (33.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (36.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (26.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (33.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (41.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (38.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (34.8) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1975 | ( $\mathrm{N}=245$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=87$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=117$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=108$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=141$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=28$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=726$ ) |
|  | Sleep | 53.4 | 54.1 | 55.1 | 54.3 | 56.8 | 58.6 | 54.7 |
|  | Work for Pay | 47.4 | 40.0 | 30.1 | 38.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 32.5 |
|  | Family Care | 9.7 | 9.0 | 24.9 | 16.6 | 44.3 | 42.8 | 20.5 |
|  | Personal Care | $21.4$ | 20.0 | 26.2 | 21.9 | 21.4 | 19.2 | 21.8 |
|  | Free Time | $36.1$ | $44.9$ | 31.7 | 36.4 | 44.4 | 47.4 | 38.5 |
| 资 | Organizations | 3.7 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| E | Media | 18.9 | 18.5 | 15.6 | 14.5 | 20.4 | 27.2 | 18.2 |
| $\mathbb{E}$ | Social Life | 6.4 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 7.8 |
|  | Recreation | 1.3 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
|  | Other Leisure | 5.8 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 7.4 |
| Bi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Time } \\ & \text { (Free) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (36.1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (44.9) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (31.7) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (36.4) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (44.4) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (47.4) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (38.5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \hline \text { a Data weight } \\ \text { Source: } \quad \text { Rob } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sure equal day } \\ & 977 . \end{aligned}$ | he week. |  |  |  |  |  |



| Activity | Mean duration (hours/week) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-8 |  | 9-11 |  | Age Group (in years) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | 13-15 |  | 16+ |  |
|  | 1965 | 1975 |  |  | 1965 | 1975 | 1965 | 1975 | 1965 | 1975 | 1965 | 1975 |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=171$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=75$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=220$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=114$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=452$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=319$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=195$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=137$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=191$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=144$ ) |
| Sleep | 54.9 | 57.0 | 52.3 | 53.7 | 53.0 | 55.5 | 53.6 | 53.6 | 53.6 | 54.8 |
| Work for Pay | 31.6 | 30.0 | 33.1 | 32.0 | 30.9 | 26.9 | 34.4 | 27.5 | 34.5 | 38.0 |
| Family Care | 24.7 | 18.7 | 25.4 | 21.7 | 28.9 | 23.5 | 21.7 | 18.9 | 21.2 | 16.8 |
| Personal Care | 20.8 | 22.9 | 20.9 | 22.0 | 21.1 | 22.1 | 21.7 | 10.5 | 22.7 | 22.3 |
| Free Time | 35.9 | 39.4 | 36.1 | 38.6 | 34.1 | 40.0 | 36.5 | 47.5 | 35.9 | 36.1 |
| Organizations | 1.8 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 9.1 | 4.7 | 4.1 |
| Media | 19.3 | 18.0 | 16.5 | 20.7 | 14.2 | 19.0 | 13.3 | 19.7 | 12.5 | 16.2 |
| Social Life | 7.7 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 7.9 | 9.5 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 8.1 |
| Recreation | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Other Leisure | 6.3 | 8.7 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 6.4 |
| Total Time | 168.0 | 168.0 | 168.0 | 168.0 | 168.0 | 168.0 | 168.0 | 168.0 | 168.0 | 168.0 |
| Free Time | (36.0) | (39.4) | (36.2) | (38.6) | (34.1) | (40.0) | (36.6) | (47.5) | (36.0) | (36.1) |
| a Data weighted to ensure equal days of the week. Source: Robinson, 1977. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dak weighted to ensure equal days of the week.

Volume III - Activity Factors

Volume III - Activity Factors

Chapter 15-Activity Factors

|  | Mean duration (hours/week) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | Black |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1965 \\ (\mathrm{~N}=1030) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1975 \\ (\mathrm{~N}=680) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1965 \\ (\mathrm{~N}=103) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1975 \\ (\mathrm{~N}=77) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Activity Category |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep | 53.4 | 54.5 | 50.9 | 54.8 |
| Work for Pay | 31.9 | 30.0 | 36.6 | 30.0 |
| Family Care | 26.0 | 21.1 | 23.6 | 17.6 |
| Personal Care | 21.8 | 22.1 | 20.0 | 21.0 |
| Free Time | 34.9 | 40.3 | 36.9 | 44.6 |
| Organizations | 2.8 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 4.9 |
| Media | 14.8 | 18.7 | 15.7 | 19.6 |
| Social Life | 9.3 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 9.8 |
| Recreation | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Other Leisure | 6.9 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 9.9 |
| Total Time Free Time | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (34.9) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (40.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (36.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.0 \\ & (44.6) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| a Data weighted to ensure equal days of the week. <br> Source: Robinson, 1977. |  |  |  |  |


| Activity | $\begin{gathered} \text { West } \\ \mathrm{N}=200 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | North Central$\mathrm{N}=304$ | Northeast$\mathrm{N}=185$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { South } \\ \mathrm{N}=286 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total }{ }^{b} \\ \mathrm{~N}=975 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | S.D. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Activity Actegtory |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Work | 23.44 | 29.02 | 27.34 | 24.21 | 26.15 | 23.83 |
| House/yard work | 14.64 | 14.17 | 14.29 | 15.44 | 14.66 | 12.09 |
| Child care | 2.50 | 2.82 | 2.32 | 2.66 | 2.62 | 5.14 |
| Services/shop | 5.22 | 5.64 | 4.92 | 4.72 | 5.15 | 5.40 |
| Personal care | 79.23 | 76.62 | 78.11 | 79.38 | 78.24 | 12.70 |
| Education | 2.94 | 1.43 | 0.95 | 1.45 | 1.65 | 6.34 |
| Organizations | 3.42 | 2.97 | 2.45 | 2.68 | 2.88 | 5.40 |
| Social entertainment | 8.26 | 8.42 | 8.98 | 8.22 | 8.43 | 8.17 |
| Active leisure | 5.94 | 5.28 | 4.77 | 5.86 | 5.49 | 7.81 |
| Passive leisure | 22.47 | 21.71 | 23.94 | 23.47 | 22.80 | 13.35 |
| Total Time | 168.00 | 168.00 | 168.00 | 168.00 | 168.00 | 0.09 |

a Weighted for day of week, panel loss (not defined in report), and correspondence to Census. Data may not add to totals shown due to rounding.
$\mathrm{N}=$ surveyed population.
c S.D. = standard deviation.
Source: Hill, 1985.
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|  | Time Duration (mins/day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekday $\left[\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{a}}=831\right]$ | Saturday $[\mathrm{N}=831]$ | Sunday $[\mathrm{N}=831]$ |
| Activity Category |  |  |  |
| Market Work | 288.0 (257.7) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 97.9 (211.9) | 58.0 (164.8) |
| House/Yardwork | 126.3 (119.3) | 160.5 (157.2) | 124.5 (133.3) |
| Child Care | 26.6 (50.9) | 19.4 (51.5) | 24.8 (61.9) |
| Services/Shopping | 48.7 (58.7) | 64.4 (92.5) | 21.6 (49.9) |
| Personal Care | 639.2 (114.8) | 706.8 (169.8) | 734.3 (156.5) |
| Education | 16.4 (64.4) | 5.4 (38.1) | 7.3 (48.0) |
| Organizations | 21.1 (49.7) | 18.4 (75.2) | 58.5 (104.5) |
| Social Entertainment | 54.9 (69.2) | 1,114.1 (156.0) | 110.0 (151.2) |
| Active Leisure | 37.9 (71.11) | 61.4 (126.5) | 64.5 (120.6) |
| Passive Leisure | 181.1 (121.9) | 191.8 (161.6) | 236.5 (167.1) |
| Total Time | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 |
| a $\quad \mathrm{N}=$ Number of respondents. <br> b () = Numbers in parentheses are standard deviations. <br> Source: Hill, 1985. |  |  |  |


|  | Fall <br> (Nov. 1, 1975) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ $\mathrm{N}=861$ | Winter <br> (Feb. 28, 1976) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Spring (June 1, 1976) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ $\mathrm{N}=861$ | Summer (Sept. 21, 1976) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ $\mathrm{N}=861$ | Range of Standard Deviations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Category | Wave 1 | Wave 2 | Wave 3 | Wave 4 |  |
| Market work | 222.94 | 226.53 | 210.44 | 230.92 | 272-287 |
| House/yard work | 133.16 | 135.58 | 143.10 | 119.95 | 129-156 |
| Child care | 25.50 | 22.44 | 25.51 | 21.07 | 49-58 |
| Services/shop | 48.98 | 44.09 | 44.61 | 47.75 | 76-79 |
| Personal care | 652.95 | 678.14 | 688.27 | 674.85 | 143-181 |
| Education | 22.79 | 12.57 | 2.87 | 10.76 | 32-93 |
| Organizations | 25.30 | 22.55 | 23.21 | 29.91 | 68-87 |
| Social entertainment | 63.87 | 67.11 | 83.90 | 72.24 | 102-127 |
| Active leisure | 42.71 | 47.46 | 46.19 | 42.30 | 96-105 |
| Passive leisure | 210.75 | 183.48 | 171.85 | 190.19 | 144-162 |
| Total Time | 1440.00 | 1440.00 | 1440.00 | 1440.00 | -- |
| Weighted for day of week, panel loss (not defined in report), and correspondence to Census. <br> Dates by which $50 \%$ of the interviews for each wave were taken. <br> Source: Hill, 1985. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 15-156. Mean Time Spent (hours/week) in Ten Major Activity Categories Grouped by Gender ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time duration (hours/week) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \mathrm{n}=140 \end{gathered}$ |  | Women$\mathrm{n}=561$ |  | Men and Women$\mathrm{n}=971$ |  |
| Activity Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market work | 35.8 | $(23.6){ }^{\text {b }}$ | 17.9 | (20.7) | 26.2 | (23.8) |
| House/yard | 8.5 | (9.0) | 20.0 | (11.9) | 14.7 | (12.1) |
| Child care | 1.2 | (2.5) | 3.9 | (6.4) | 2.6 | (5.2) |
| Services/shop | 3.9 | (4.5) | 6.3 | (5.9) | 5.2 | (5.4) |
| Personal care | 77.3 | (13.0) | 79.0 | (12.4) | 78.2 | (12.7) |
| Education | 2.3 | (7.7) | 1.1 | (4.8) | 1.7 | (6.4) |
| Organizations | 2.5 | (5.5) | 3.2 | (5.3) | 2.9 | (5.4) |
| Social entertainment | 7.9 | (8.3) | 8.9 | (8.0) | 8.4 | (8.2) |
| Active leisure | 5.9 | (8.2) | 5.2 | (7.4) | 5.5 | (7.8) |
| Passive leisure | 22.8 | (14.1) | 22.7 | (12.7) | 22.8 | (13.3) |
| Total time | 168.1 |  | 168.1 |  | 168.1 |  |
| Detailed components of activities (87) are presented in Table 1A-4. ( ) = Numbers in parentheses are standard deviations. <br> Source: Hill, 1985. |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | Table 15-158. Occupational Tenure of Employed Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ by Age and Sex |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Median Tenure (years) |  |
| Age Group (years) | All Workers | Men | Women |
| $16-24$ | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| $25-29$ | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.1 |
| $30-34$ | 6.9 | 7.6 | 6.0 |
| $35-39$ | 9.0 | 10.4 | 7.0 |
| $40-44$ | 10.7 | 13.8 | 8.0 |
| $45-49$ | 13.3 | 17.5 | 10.0 |
| $50-54$ | 15.2 | 20.0 | 10.8 |
| $55-59$ | 17.7 | 21.9 | 12.4 |
| $60-64$ | 19.4 | 23.9 | 14.5 |
| $65-69$ | 20.1 | 26.9 | 15.6 |
| 70 and older | 21.9 | 30.5 | 18.8 |
| Total | 6.6 | 7.9 | 5.4 |
| Working population $=109.1$ million persons |  |  |  |
| Source: Carey, 1988. |  |  |  |


| Table 15-159. Occupational Tenure for Employed Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Grouped by Sex and Race |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median Tenure (Years) |  |  |  |
| Race | All Individuals | Men | Women |
| White | 6.7 | 8.3 | 5.4 |
| Black | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Hispanic | 4.5 | 5.1 | 3.7 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Working popula Source: Carey, 19 | ersons. |  |  |


| Table 15-160. Occupational Tenure for Employed Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Grouped by Sex and Employment Status |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median Tenure (Years) |  |  |
| Employment Status | All Individuals | Men | Women |
| Full-Time | 7.2 | 8.4 | 5.9 |
| Part-Time | 3.1 | 2.4 | 3.6 |
| a Working population $=109.9$ million persons. |  |  |  |
| Source: Carey, 1988. |  |  |  |
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| Table 15-161. Occupational Tenure of Employed Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Grouped by Major Occupational Groups and Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational Group | $\text { Total }^{\text {b }}$ | Median Tenure (years) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | $65+$ |
| Executive, Administrative, and Managerial | 8.4 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 10.1 | 15.1 | 17.9 | 26.3 |
| Professional Specialty | 9.6 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 12.0 | 18.2 | 25.6 | 36.2 |
| Technicians and Related Support | 6.9 | 2.2 | 5.7 | 10.9 | 17.7 | 20.8 | 22.2 |
| Sales Occupations | 5.1 | 1.7 | 4.7 | 7.7 | 10.5 | 15.5 | 21.6 |
| Administrative Support, including Clerical | 5.4 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 7.6 | 10.9 | 14.6 | 15.4 |
| Service Occupations | 4.1 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 9.0 | 10.6 | 10.4 |
| Precision Production, Craft, and Repair | 9.3 | 2.6 | 7.1 | 13.5 | 19.9 | 25.7 | 30.1 |
| Operators, Fabricators, and Laborers | 5.5 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 9.1 | 13.7 | 18.1 | 14.7 |
| Farming, Forestry, and Fishing | 10.4 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 13.5 | 20.7 | 30.5 | 39.8 |
| a Working population $=109.1$ million perso b Includes all workers 16 years and older Source: Carey, 1988. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 15-162. Voluntary Occupational Mobility Rates for Workers ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Age 16 Years and Older <br> Age Group (years) <br> Occupational Mobility Rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> (Percent) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $16-24$ | 12.7 |
| $25-34$ | 6.6 |
| $35-44$ | 4.0 |
| $45-54$ | 1.9 |
| $55-64$ | 1.0 |
| 64 and older | 0.3 |
| Total, age 16 and older | 5.3 |
| a Working population = 109.1 million persons. |  |
| b Occupational mobility rate = percentage of persons employed in an occupation who had voluntarily entered it from another |  |
| occupation. |  |
| Source: Carey, 1990. |  |


|  | Average total residence |  |  | Hous | percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Households | T (years) | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CR}}$ (years) | 1985 | 1987 |
| All households | $4.55 \pm 0.60$ | 8.68 | $10.56 \pm 0.10$ | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Renters | $2.35 \pm 0.14$ | 4.02 | $4.62 \pm 0.08$ | 36.5 | 36.0 |
| Owners | $11.36 \pm 3.87$ | 13.72 | $13.96 \pm 0.12$ | 63.5 | 64.0 |
| Farms | $17.31 \pm 13.81$ | 18.69 | $18.75 \pm 0.38$ | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| Urban | $4.19 \pm 0.53$ | 8.17 | $10.07 \pm 0.10$ | 74.9 | 74.5 |
| Rural | $7.80 \pm 1.17$ | 11.28 | $12.06 \pm 0.23$ | 25.1 | 25.5 |
| Northeast region | $7.37 \pm 0.88$ | 11.48 | $12.64 \pm 0.12$ | 21.2 | 20.9 |
| Midwest region | $5.11 \pm 0.68$ | 9.37 | $11.15 \pm 0.10$ | 25.0 | 24.5 |
| South region | $3.96 \pm 0.47$ | 8.03 | $10.12 \pm 0.08$ | 34.0 | 34.4 |
| West region | $3.49 \pm 0.57$ | 6.84 | $8.44 \pm 0.11$ | 19.8 | 20.2 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Values of the average current residence time, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CR}}$, are given for comparison. Source: Israeli and Nelson, 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{t})=$ | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All households | 23.1 | 12.9 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Renters | 8.0 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Owners | 41.4 | 32.0 | 17.1 | 5.2 | 1.4 |
| Farms | 58.4 | 48.3 | 26.7 | 10.0 | 2.4 |
| Urban | 21.7 | 10.9 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Rural | 32.3 | 21.7 | 9.1 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Northeast region | 34.4 | 22.3 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 1.0 |
| Midwest region | 25.7 | 15.0 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| South region | 20.7 | 10.8 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| West region | 17.1 | 8.9 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{t})=$ fraction of households living in the same residence for t years or more. Source: Israeli and Nelson, 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 15-165. Residence Time of Owner/Renter Occupied Units

| Year household moved into unit | Total occupied units (numbers in thousands) |
| :---: | ---: |
| $1990-1994$ | 24,534 |
| $1985-1989$ | 27,054 |
| $1980-1984$ | 10,613 |
| $1975-1979$ | 9,369 |
| $1970-1974$ | 6,233 |
| $1960-1969$ | 7,933 |
| $1950-1959$ | 4,754 |
| $1940-1949$ | 1,772 |
| 1939 or earlier | 885 |
| Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1993. | 93,147 |


| Table 15-166. Percent of Householders Living in Houses for Specified Ranges of Time |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Years lived in current home | Percent of total households |
| $0-4$ | 26.34 |
| $5-9$ | 29.04 |
| $10-14$ | 11.39 |
| $15-19$ | 10.06 |
| $20-24$ | 6.69 |
| $25-34$ | 8.52 |
| $35-44$ | 5.1 |
| $45-54$ | 1.9 |
| $>55$ | 0.95 |
|  |  |


|  | Table 15-167. Descriptive Statistics for Residential Occupancy Period |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Residential occupancy period (years) |  |
|  |  | Both genders | Males only |
|  | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{a}}=500,000$ | $\mathrm{~N}=244,274$ | $\mathrm{~N}=255,726$ |
| Statistic | 11.7 | 11.1 | 12.3 |
| Mean | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5th percentile | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 10th percentile | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 25th percentile | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| 50th percentile | 16 | 15 | 17 |
| 75th percentile | 26 | 24 | 28 |
| 90th percentile | 33 | 31 | 35 |
| 95th percentile | 41 | 39 | 43 |
| 98th percentile | 47 | 44 | 49 |
| 99th percentile | 51 | 48 | 53 |
| 99.5th percentile | 55 | 53 | 58 |
| 99.8th percentile | 59 | 56 | 61 |
| 99.9th percentile | 75 | 73 | 75 |
| Second largest value | 87 | 73 | 87 |
| Largest value |  |  |  |
| Number of simulated persons |  |  |  |


| Current age, years | Table 15-168. Descriptive Statistics for Both Genders by Current Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential occupancy period (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 |
| 3 | 6.5 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 17 | 22 |
| 6 | 8.0 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 18 | 22 |
| 9 | 8.9 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 18 | 22 |
| 12 | 9.3 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 23 |
| 15 | 9.1 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 18 | 23 |
| 18 | 8.2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 19 | 23 |
| 21 | 6.0 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 17 | 23 |
| 24 | 5.2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 15 | 25 |
| 27 | 6.0 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 27 |
| 30 | 7.3 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 19 | 32 |
| 33 | 8.7 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 17 | 23 | 39 |
| 36 | 10.4 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 28 | 47 |
| 39 | 12.0 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 24 | 31 | 48 |
| 42 | 13.5 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 27 | 35 | 49 |
| 45 | 15.3 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 31 | 38 | 52 |
| 48 | 16.6 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 32 | 39 | 52 |
| 51 | 17.4 | 9 | 15 | 24 | 33 | 39 | 50 |
| 54 | 18.3 | 9 | 16 | 25 | 34 | 40 | 50 |
| 57 | 19.1 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 35 | 41 | 51 |
| 60 | 19.7 | 11 | 18 | 27 | 35 | 40 | 51 |
| 63 | 20.2 | 11 | 19 | 27 | 36 | 41 | 51 |
| 66 | 20.7 | 12 | 20 | 28 | 36 | 41 | 50 |
| 69 | 21.2 | 12 | 20 | 29 | 37 | 42 | 50 |
| 72 | 21.6 | 13 | 20 | 29 | 37 | 43 | 53 |
| 75 | 21.5 | 13 | 20 | 29 | 38 | 43 | 53 |
| 78 | 21.4 | 12 | 19 | 29 | 38 | 44 | 53 |
| 81 | 21.2 | 11 | 20 | 29 | 39 | 45 | 55 |
| 84 | 20.3 | 11 | 19 | 28 | 37 | 44 | 56 |
| 87 | 20.6 | 10 | 18 | 29 | 39 | 46 | 57 |
| 90 | 18.9 | 8 | 15 | 27 | 40 | 47 | 56 |
| All ages | 11.7 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 26 | 33 | 47 |
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| Table 15-169. Summary of Residence Time of Recent Home Buyers (1993) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of years lived in previous house | Percent of Respondents |
| 1 year or less | 2 |
| $2-3$ | 16 |
| $4-7$ | 40 |
| $8-9$ | 10 |
| 10 years or more | 32 |
| Source: NAR, 1993 |  |


| Table 15-170. Tenure in Previous Home (Percentage Distribution) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 | 1993 |
| One year or less | 5 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| 2-3 Years | 25 | 15 | 21 | 16 |
| 4-7 Years | 36 | 22 | 37 | 40 |
| 8-9 Years | 10 | 11 | 9 | 10 |
| 10 or More Years | 24 | 34 | 29 | 32 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Median | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Source: NAR, 1993 |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 15-171. Number of Miles Moved (Percentage Distribution) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Table 15-172. Confidence in Activity Patterns Recommendations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| TIME SPENT INDOORS VS. OUTDOORS |  |  |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | The studies received high level of peer review. | High |
| - Accessibility | The studies are widely available to the public. | High |
| - Reproducibility | The reproducibility of these studies is left to question. Evidence has shown that activities have tended to shift over the past decade since the studies were published, due to economic conditions and technological developments, etc. Thus, it is assumed there would be differences in reproducing these results. However, if data were reanalyzed in the same manner the results are expected to be the same. | Medium |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The study focused on general activity patterns. One study delineated between indoor and outdoor use of time but in many cases the locations were specified. Thus, any assumptions were made about the indoor or outdoor location where event took place. | High |
| - Data pertinent to US | The studies focused on the U.S. population and California. | High |
| - Primary data | One study analyzed data from a two primary studies. Data from the remaining study was collected to via questionnaires and interviews. | High |
| - Currency | The studies were published in 1985 (data was collected 1981-1982), 1987, 1991 (data was collected 1987-1990) and 1992. | Medium |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | In one study, households were sampled 4 times during 3 month intervals from February to December, 1981. Robinson's data was based on 1) the CARB Study where data was collected October 1987 to August 1988; and 2) the National Study where data was collected January through December 1985. | High |
| - Validity of approach | The approach used to collect data was direct and included questionnaires or interviews. Responses where based on diaries and 'mailback' surveys based on what the person planned to do the following day (the "tomorrow approach"). A 24 hour diary was used in another study. | High |
| - Study size | The study sizes ranged from 922 to 5,000 depending on the sub-group considered. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | Timmer focused on activities of children. Robinson studies activities of both children and adults. The studies are representative of the US population and California State. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | Variability was characterized by age, gender, and day of the week; location of activities and various age categories for children. There was no mention of race and no socio-economic characterizations made. | Medium |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Biases noted were sampled during time when children were in school (activities during vacation time are not represented); activities in the 1980's may different than they are now; | Medium |
| - Measurement error | Measurement or recording error may occur since the diaries were based on recall (in most cases a 24 hour recall). | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | Two | High |
| - Agreement between researchers | Difficult to compare due to varying categories of activities and the unique age distributions found within each study. | Not Ranked |
| Overall Rating |  | Medium |
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| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME SPENT IN A VEHICLE |  |  |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | The study received high level of peer review. | High |
| - Accessibility | The study is widely available to the public. | High |
| - Reproducibility | The reproducibility of these studies is left to question. Evidence has shown that activities have tended to shift over the past decade since the studies were published, due to economic conditions, technological developments, etc. Thus, it is assumed there would be differences in reproducing these results. | Medium |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The study focused specifically focused on time spent in vehicle. | High |
| - Data pertinent to US | The studies focused on the U.S. population and California. | High |
| - Primary data | Robinson's study analyzed data from two primary studies, thus it secondary data. | High |
| - Currency | The studies were published in 1985 (data was collected 1981-1982), 1987, 1991 (data was collected 1987-1990) and 1992. | Medium |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | In one study, households were sampled 4 times during 3 month intervals from February to December, 1981. Robinson's data was based on 1) the Wiley et al. (1991) Study where data was collected October 1987 to August 1988; and 2) the National Study where data was collected January through December 1985. | High |
| - Validity of approach | The approach used to collect primary data was based on diary entries recorded the previous day with follow-up telephone interviews. Another study collected time diary data via mailback of questionnaires, telephone interviews. 'Mailback' surveys were based on the "tomorrow approach" where person knew they were to record in diaries in advance. | High |
| - Study size | The study sizes ranged from 922 to 5,000 depending on the sub-group considered. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The studies are representative of the US population and California State. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | Variability was characterized by age, gender, and day of the week. There was no mention of race and no socio-economic characterizations made. | Medium |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Both studies lacked time distributions and were based on short-term data. Wiley et al. (1991) data was based recall, is limited to California's population, and only considered English speaking households. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | Measurement or recording error may occur when diaries were based on $24 \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{recall}$. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | One secondary study analyzing two primary studies | Medium |
| - Agreement between researchers | Similar activity patterns were found in both studies. | High |
| Overall Rating |  | Medium |


| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME SPENT SHOWERING |  |  |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | The study received high level of peer review. | High |
| - Accessibility | Currently, raw data are available to only EPA. It is not known when data will be publicly available. | Low |
| - Reproducibility | Results are reproducible. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The study focused specifically focused on time spent showering. | High |
| - Data pertinent to US | The study focused on the U.S. general population. | High |
| - Primary data | The study was based on primary data. | High |
| - Currency | The study was published in 1996. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | The data were collected between October 1992 and September 1994. | High |
| - Validity of approach | The study used a valid methodology and approach which, in addition to 24-hour diaries, collected information on temporal conditions and demographic data such as geographic location and socioeconomic status for various U.S. subgroups. | High |
| - Study size | Study consisted of 9,386 total participants.. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The data were representative of the U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | The study provides a distribution on showering duration. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | The study includes distributions for showering duration. Study is based on short-term data. | High |
| - Measurement error | Measurement or recording error may occur because diaries are based on 24-hour recall. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | One; the study was a national study. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | Recommendation is based on only one study but it is a widely accepted study and average value is comparable to a second key study. | High |
| Overall Rating |  | High |
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| Table 15-172. Confidence in Activity Patterns Recommendations (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| TIME SPENT BATHING |  |  |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | The study received high level of peer review. | High |
| - Accessibility | Currently, raw data are available to only EPA. It is not known when data will be publicly available. | Low |
| - Reproducibility | Results can be reproduced or methodology can be followed and evaluated provided comparable economic and social conditions exists. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The survey collected information on duration and frequency of selected activities and time spent in selected micro-environments. | High |
| - Data pertinent to US | The data represents the U.S. population. | High |
| - Primary data | The study was based on primary data. | High |
| - Currency | The study was published in 1996. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | The data were collected between October 1992 and September 1994. | High |
| - Validity of approach | The study used a valid methodology and approach which, in addition to 24 -hour diaries, collected information on temporal conditions and demographic data such as geographic location and socioeconomic status for various U.S. subgroups. Responses were weighted according to this demographic data. | High |
| - Study size | The study consisted of 9,386 total participants. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The studies were based on the U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | The study provided data that varied across geographic region, race, gender, employment status, educational level, day of the week, seasonal conditions, and medical conditions of respondent.. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | The study includes distributions for bathing duration. Study is based on short-term data. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | Measurement or recording error may occur because diaries were based on 24-hour recall. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | One; the study was based on one, primary, national study. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | Recommendation was based on only one study. | Not <br> Ranked |
| Overall Rating |  | High |


| Table 15-172. Confidence in Activity Patterns Recommendations (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| SHOWER AND BATHING FREQUENCY |  |  |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | The study received high level of peer review. | High |
| - Accessibility | Currently, raw data is available to only EPA. It is not known when data will be publicly available. | Low |
| - Reproducibility | Results can be reproduced or methodology can be followed and evaluated provided comparable economic and social conditions exists. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The survey collected information on duration and frequency of selected activities and time spent in selected micro-environments. | High |
| - Data pertinent to US | The data represents the U.S. population | High |
| - Primary data | The study was based on primary data. | High |
| - Currency | The study was published in 1996. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | The data were collected between October 1992 and September 1994. | High |
| - Validity of approach | The study used a valid methodology and approach which, in addition to 24-hour diaries, collected information on temporal conditions and demographic data such as geographic location and socioeconomic status for various U.S. subgroups. Responses were weighted according to this demographic data. | High |
| - Study size | The study consisted of 9,386 total participants | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | Studies were based on the U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | The study provided data that varied across geographic region, race, gender, employment status, educational level, day of the week, seasonal conditions, and medical conditions of respondent.. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Study is based on short term data.. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | Measurement or recording error may occur because diaries were based on 24-hour recall. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | One; the study was based on one, primary, national study. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | Recommendation was based on only one study. | Not Ranked |
| Overall Rating |  | High |
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| Table 15-172. Confidence in Activity Patterns Recommendations (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| TIME SPENT SWIMMING <br> Study Elements |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | Study received high level of peer review. | High |
| - Accessibility | Currently, raw data is available to only EPA. It is not known when data will be publicly available. | Low |
| - Reproducibility | Results can be reproduced or methodology can be followed and evaluated provided comparable economic and social conditions exists. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The survey collected information on duration and frequency of selected activities and time spent in selected micro-environments. | High |
| - Data pertinent to US | The data represents the U.S. population | High |
| - Primary data | The study was based on primary data. | High |
| - Currency | The study was published in 1996. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | The data were collected between October 1992 and September 1994. | High |
| - Validity of approach | The study used a valid methodology and approach which, in addition to 24-hour diaries, collected information on temporal conditions and demographic data such as geographic location and socioeconomic status for various U.S. subgroups. Responses were weighted according to this demographic data. | High |
| - Study size | The study consisted of 9,386 total participants | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | Studies were based on the U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | The study provided data that varied across geographic region, race, gender, employment status, educational level, day of the week, seasonal conditions, and medical conditions of respondent.. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | The study includes distributions for swimming duration. Study is based on short term data. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | Measurement or recording error may occur because diaries were based on 24-hour recall. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | One; the study was based on one, primary, national study. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | Recommendation was based on only one study. | Not Ranked |
| Overall Rating |  | High |


| Table 15-172. Confidence in Activity Patterns Recommendations (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| RESIDENTIAL TIME SPENT INDOORS AND OUTDOORS |  |  |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | The study received high level of peer review. | High |
| - Accessibility | Currently, raw data is available to only EPA. It is not known when data will be publicly available. | Low |
| - Reproducibility | Results can be reproduced or methodology can be followed and evaluated provided comparable economic and social conditions exists. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The survey collected information on duration and frequency of selected activities and time spent in selected micro-environments. | High |
| - Data pertinent to US | The data represents the U.S. population | High |
| - Primary data | The study was based on primary data. | High |
| - Currency | The study was published in 1996. | High |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Data were collected between October 1992 and September 1994. | High |
| - Validity of approach | The study used a valid methodology and approach which, in addition to 24-hour diaries, collected information on temporal conditions and demographic data such as geographic location and socioeconomic status for various U.S. subgroups. Responses were weighted according to this demographic data. | High |
| - Study size | The study consisted of 9,386 total participants | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The studies were based on the U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | The study provided data that varied across geographic region, race, gender, employment status, educational level, day of the week, seasonal conditions, and medical conditions of respondent.. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | The study includes distribitions for time spent indoors and outdoors at ones residence. Study is based on short term data. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | Measurement or recording error may occur because diaries were based on 24-hour recall. | Medium |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | One; the study was based on one, primary, national study. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | Recommendation was based on only one study. | Not Ranked |
| Overall Rating |  | High |
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| Table 15-173. Confidence in Occupational Mobility Recommendations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | The studies received high level of peer review | High |
| - Accessibility | The studies are widely available to the public. | High |
| - Reproducibility | If the data were re-collected in the same fashion, it is questionable whether the results would be the same based on changes in the economy that have occurred since study was conducted (more than 10 years ago). If the same data were analyzed according to the design of the study then it is expected the results would be the same. | Medium |
| - Focus on factor of interest | Occupational tenure was the focus of both key studies. | High |
| - Data pertinent to US | The data represents the U.S. population. | High |
| - Primary data | The two studies are secondary data sources since they are based on supplemental data to the January 1987 Current Population Study (a U.S. Census publication). | Medium |
| - Currency | The studies were published in 1988 (data was collection in 1987) and 1990 (data collected from 1986-1987). | Medium |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | The studies are based on census data, which is collected over a period of years. One study analyzed data for January 1987. The remaining study based data between a January 1986 and January 1987 time frame. | High |
| - Validity of approach | The studies used a valid methodologies and approaches. | High |
| - Study size | The study size for one is 109 Million; the remaining study's sample size was 100.1 Million. | High |
| - Representativeness of the population | The data are representative of the U.S. population. | High |
| - Characterization of variability | The studies provided averaged data according to gender, race, and education; age averages and percentiles were provided. | High |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Much of the original study data is not available. Only median values are reported. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | There is no apparent error in measurement | High |
| Other Elements |  |  |
| - Number of studies | Two | Medium |
| - Agreement between researchers | Difficult to compare between the number of years worked on a job and entry verses exit rate of various occupations. One set of data was recorded in number of years. The other set of data was recorded as a percent motility rate and grouped by age. | Not Ranked |
| Overall Rating |  | High |


| Table 15-174. Recommendations for Population Mobility |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Value | Method |
| Israeli and Nelson, 1992 | 4.6 yr (averge) <br> 1/6 a person's lifetime <br> $(70 \mathrm{yr})=11.7($ modeled $)$ | Average of current and total residence times |
| US Bureau of the Census, 1993 | 9 yr (50th percentile) <br> 33 yr (90th percentile) | Current residence time |
| Johnson and Capel, 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \mathrm{yr} \text { (90th percentile) } \\ & 33 \mathrm{yr} \text { (95th percentile) } \\ & 47 \mathrm{yr} \text { (99th percentile) } \\ & 12 \mathrm{yr} \text { (mean) } \end{aligned}$ | Residential occupancy period |


|  | Table 15-175. Confidence in Population Mobility Recommendations |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Considerations |  | Rationale |
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Table 15-176. Summary of Recommended Values for Activity Factors

| Type | Value | Study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indoor Activities | Children (ages 3-11) |  |
|  | $19 \mathrm{hr} /$ day (weekdays) | Timmer et al., 1985 -Key study |
|  | $17 \mathrm{hr} /$ day (weekends) | Timmer et al., 1985 -Key study |
|  | Adults (ages 12 and older) |  |
|  | $21 \mathrm{hr} /$ day | Robinson and Thomas, 1991 - Key study |
| Outdoor Activities | Children |  |
|  | $5 \mathrm{hr} /$ day (weekdays) | Timmer et al., 1985 -Key study |
|  | $7 \mathrm{hr} /$ day (weekends) | Timmer et al., 1985 -Key study |
|  | Adults |  |
|  | $1.5 \mathrm{hr} /$ day | Robinson and Thomas, 1991 - Key study |
| Time Spent Inside Vehicle | Adults | Robinson and Thomas, 1991 - Key study |
|  | $1.3 \mathrm{hr} /$ day | Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 - Key study |
| Taking Baths | 20 minutes/event | Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 - Key study |
| Taking Showers | $10 \mathrm{~min} /$ day shower duration | Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 - Key study |
|  | 1 shower event/day | Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 - Key study |
| Occupational Tenure | 6.6 yrs (16 years and older) | Carey, 1988 - Relevant study |
| Population Mobility | Average: 9 yr <br> 95th percentile: 30 yr | US Bureau of the Census, 1993 - Key study |
| Swimming | 1 event/month 60 minutes/event | Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 - Key study |
| Residential |  | Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 - Key study |
| Indoors | 16.4 hr/day |  |
| Outdoors | $2 \mathrm{hr} /$ day |  |

## APPENDIX 15A

ACTIVITY PATTERNS CODES AND OCCUPATIONAL TENURE DATA

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries

## WORK AND OTHER INCOME-PRODUCING ACTIVITIES

## Paid Work

01 - Normal work: activities at the main job including work brought home, travel that is part of the job, and overtime; "working," "at work"

- Work at home; work activities for pay done in the home when home is the main workplace (include travel as above)

02 - Job search; looking for work, including visits to employment agencies, phone calls to prospective employers, answering want ads

- Unemployment benefits; applying for or collecting unemployment compensation
- Welfare, food stamps; applying for or collecting welfare, food stamps

05 - Second job; paid work activities that are not part of the main job (use this code only when $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ clearly indicates a second job or "other" job); paid work for those not having main job; garage sales, rental property

06 - Lunch at the workplace; lunch eaten at work, cafeteria, lunchroom when "where" = work (lunch at a restaurant, code 44; lunch at home, code 43)

- Eating, smoking, drinking coffee as a secondary activity while working (at workplace)

07 - Before and/or after work at the workplace; activities at the workplace before starting or after stopping work; include "conversations," other work. Do not code secondary activities with this primary activity

- Other work-related

08 - Coffee breaks and other breaks at the workplace; unscheduled breaks and other nonwork during work hours at the workplace; "took a break"; "had coffee" (as a primary activity). Do not code secondary activities with this primary activity

09 - Travel; to and from the workplace when R's travel to and from work were both interrupted by stops; waiting for related travel

- Travel to and from the workplace, including time spent awaiting transportation


## HOUSEHOLD ACTIVITIES

## Indoor

10 - Meal preparation: cooking, fixing lunches

- Serving food, setting table, putting groceries away. unloading car after grocery shopping

11 - Doing dishes, rinsing dishes, loading dishwasher

- Meal cleanup, clearing table, unloading dishwasher
(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

HOUSEHOLD ACTIVITIES (continued)
Indoor (continued)
12 - Miscellaneous, "worked around house." NA if indoor or outdoor - Routine indoor cleaning and chores, picking up, dusting, making beds, washing windows, vacuuming, "cleaning," "fall/spring cleaning," "housework"

14 - Laundry and clothes care - wash

- Laundry and clothes care - iron, fold, mending, putting away clothes ("Sewing" code 84)

16 - Repairs indoors; fixing, repairing appliances

- Repairs indoors; fixing, repairing furniture
- Repairs indoors; fixing, repairing furnace, plumbing, painting a room

17 - Care of houseplants
19 - Other indoor, NA whether cleaning or repair; "did things in house"

## Outdoor

13 - Routine outdoor cleaning and chores; yard work, raking leaves, mowing grass, garbage removal, snow shoveling, putting on storm windows, cleaning garage, cutting wood

16 - Repair, maintenance, exterior, fixing repairs outdoors, painting the house, fixing the roof, repairing the driveway (patching)

- Home improvements: additions to and remodeling done to the house, garage; new roof
- Improvement to grounds around house; repaved driveway

17 - Gardening; flower or vegetable gardening; spading, weeding, composting, picking, worked in garden"
19 - Other outdoor; "worked outside," "puttering in garage

## MISCELLANEOUS HOUSEHOLD CHORES

16 - Car care; necessary repairs and routine care to cars; tune up

- Car maintenance; changed oil, changed tires, washed cars; "worked on car" except when clearly as a hobby (code 83)

17 - Pet care; care of household pets including activities with pets; playing with the dog; walking the dog; (caring for pets of relatives, friends, code 42)
(continued on the following page)
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Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

## MISCELLANEOUS HOUSEHOLD CHORES (continued)

19 - Household paperwork; paying bills, balancing the checkbook, making lists, getting the mail, working on the budget

- Other household chores; (no travel), picking up things at home, e.g., "picked up deposit slips" (relate travel to purpose)


## CHILD CARE

## Child Care for Children of Household

20 - Baby care; care to children aged 4 and under
21 - Child care; care to children aged 5*-17

- Child care; mixed ages or NA ages of children

22 - Helping/teaching children learn, fix, make things; helping son bake cookies; helping daughter fix bike

- Help with homework or supervising homework

23 - Giving children orders or instructions; asking them to help; telling the $*_{i} *_{n}$ to behave

- Disciplining child; yelling at kids, spanking children; correcting children's behavior
- Reading to child
- Conversations with household children only; listening to children

24 - Indoor playing; other indoor activities with children (including games ("playing") unless obviously outdoor games)

25 - Outdoor playing; outdoor activities with children including sports, walks, biking with, other outdoor games

- Coaching/leading outdoor, nonorganizational activities

26 - Medical care at home or outside home; activities associated with children's health; "took son to doctor," "gave daughter medicine"

## Other Child Care

27 - Babysitting (unpaid) or child care outside R's home or for children not residing in HH

- Coordinating or facilitating child's social or instructional nonschool activities; (travel related, code 29)
- Other child care, including phone conversations relating to child care other than medical
- Travel related to child's social and instructional nonschool activities
- Other travel related to child care activities; waiting for related travel
(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

## OBTAINING GOODS AND SERVICES

> Goods (include phone calls to obtain goods)

30 - Groceries; supermarket, shopping for food

- All other shopping for goods; including for clothing, small appliances; at drugstores, hardware stores, department stores, "downtown" or "uptown," "shopping," "shopping center," buying gas, "window shopping"

31 - Durable household goods; shopping for large appliances, cars, furniture

- House, apartment: activities connected to buying, selling, renting, looking for house, apartment, including phone calls; showing house, including traveling around looking at real estate property (for own use)

Services (include phone conversations to obtain services)
32 - Personal care; beauty, barber shop; hairdressers
33 - Medical care for self; visits to doctor, dentist, optometrist, including making appointments
34 - Financial services; activities related to taking care of financial business; going to the bank, paying utility bills (not by mail), going to accountant, tax office, loan agency, insurance office

- Other government services: post office, driver's license, sporting licenses, marriage licenses, police station

35 - Auto services; repair and other auto services including waiting for such services

- Clothes repair and cleaning; cleaners, laundromat, tailor
- Appliance repair: including furnace, water heater, electric or battery operated appliances; including watching repair person
- Household repair services: including furniture; other repair services NA type; including watching repair person

37 - Other professional services; lawyer, counseling (therapy)

- Picking up food at a takeout place - no travel
- Other services, "going to the dump"

38 - Errands; "running errands," NA whether for goods or services; borrowing goods
$39-\quad$ Related travel; travel related to obtaining goods and services and/or household activities except 31; waiting for related travel
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Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

## PERSONAL NEEDS AND CARE

## Care to Self

40 - Washing, showering, bathing

- Dressing; getting ready, packing and unpacking clothes, personal hygiene, going to the bathroom

41 - Medical care at home to self

43 - Meals at home; including coffee, drinking, smoking, food from a restaurant eaten at home, "breakfast," "lunch"
44 - Meals away from home; eaten at a friend's home (including coffee, drinking, smoking)

- Meals away from home, except at workplace (06) or at friend's home (44); eating at restaurants, out for coffee
- Night sleep; longest sleep for day; (may occur during day for night shift workers) including "in bed," but not asleep

46 - Naps and resting; rest periods, "dozing," "laying down" (relaxing code 98)
48 - Sex, making out

- Personal, private; "none of your business"
- Affection between household members; giving and getting hugs, kisses, sitting on laps


## Help and Care to Others

- Medical care to adults in household (HH)
- Nonmedical care to adults in HH; routine nonmedical care to adults in household; "got my wife up," "ran a bath for my husband"
- Help and care to relatives not living in HH; helping care for, providing for needs of relatives; (except travel) helping move, bringing food, assisting in emergencies, doing housework for relatives; visiting when sick
- Help and care to neighbors, friends
- Help and care to others, NA relationship to respondent


## Other Personal and Helping

48 - Other personal; watching personal care activities
49 - Travel (helping); travel related to code 42, including travel that is the helping activity; waiting for related travel

- Other personal travel; travel related to other personal care activities; waiting for related travel; travel, NA purpose of trip - e.g., "went to Memphis" (no further explanation given)
(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

## EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL TRAINING

50 - Student (full-time); attending classes, school if full-time student; includes daycare, nursery school for children not in school

51 - Other classes, courses, lectures, academic or professional; R not a full-time student or NA whether a student; being tutored

54 - Homework, studying, research, reading, related to classes or profession, except for current job (code 07); "went to the library"

56 - Other education
59 - Other school-related travel; travel related to education coded above; waiting for related travel; travel to school not originating from home

ORGANIZATIONAL ACTIVITIES
Volunteer, Helping Organizations: hospital volunteer group, United Fund, Red Cross, Big Brother/Sister
63 - Attending meetings of volunteer, helping organizations

- Officer work; work as an officer of volunteer, helping organizations; R must indicate he/she is an officer to be coded here
- Fund raising activities as a member of volunteer helping organization, collecting money, planning a collection drive
- Direct help to individuals or groups as a member of volunteer helping organizations; visiting, bringing food, driving
- Other activities as a member of volunteer helping organizations, including social events and meals


## Religious Practice

65 - Attending services of a church or synagogue, including participating in the service; ushering, singing in choir, leading youth group, going to church, funerals

- Individual practice; religious practice carried out as an individual or in a small group; praying, meditating, Bible study group (not a church), visiting graves


## Religious Groups

64 - Meetings: religious helping groups; attending meetings of helping - oriented church groups -ladies aid circle, missionary society, Knights of Columbus

- Other activities; religious helping groups; other activities as a member of groups listed above, including social activities and meals
(continued on the following page)
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Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

ORGANIZATIONAL ACTIVITIES (continued)
Religious Groups (continued)

- Meetings: other church groups; attending meetings of church group, not primarily helping-oriented, or NA if helping-oriented
- Other activities, other church groups; other activities as a member of church groups that are not helping-oriented or NA if helping, including social activities and meals; choir practice; Bible class

Professional/Union Organizations: State Education Association; AFL-CIO; Teamsters
60 - Meetings; professional/union; attending meetings of professional or union groups

- Other activities, professional/union; other activities as a member of professional or union group including social activities and meals

Child/Youth/Family Organizations: PTA, PTO; Boy/Girl Scouts; Little Leagues; YMCA/YWCA; school volunteer

67 - Meetings, family organizations; attending meetings of child/youth/family*-oriented organizations

- Other activities, family organizations; other activities as a member of child/youth/family-oriented organizations including social activities and meals

Fraternal Organizations: Moose, VFW, Kiwanis, Lions, Civitan, Chamber of Commerce, Shriners, American Legion
66 - Meetings, fraternal organizations; attending meetings of fraternal organizations

- Other activities, fraternal organizations; other activities as a member of fraternal organizations including social activities and helping activities and meals

Political Party and Civic Participation: Citizens' groups, Young Democrats, Young Republicans, radical political groups, civic duties

62 - Meetings, political/citizen organizations; attending meetings of a political party or citizen group, including city council

- Other activities, political/citizen organizations; other participation in political party and citizens' groups, including social activities, voting, jury duty, helping with elections, and meals

Special Interest/Identity Organizations (including groups based on sex, race, national origin); NOW; NAACP; Polish-American Society; neighborhood, block organizations; CR groups; senior citizens; Weight Watchers

61 - Meetings: identify organizations; attending meetings of special interest, identity organizations

- Other activities, identity organizations; other activities as a member of a special interest, identity organization, including social activities and meals
(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

ORGANIZATIONAL ACTIVITIES (continued)
Other Miscellaneous Organizations, do not fit above
68 - Other organizations; any activities as a member of an organization not fitting into above categories; (meetings and other activities included here)

Travel Related to Organizational Activities
69 - Travel related to organizational activities as a member of a volunteer (helping) organization (code 63); including travel that is the helping activity, waiting for related travel

- Travel (other organization-related); travel related to all other organization activities; waiting for related travel


## ENTERTAINMENT/SOCIAL ACTIVITIES

Attending Spectacles, Events
70 - Sports; attending sports events - football, basketball, hockey, etc.
71 - Miscellaneous spectacles, events: circus, fairs, rock concerts, accidents

72 - Movies; "went to the show"

73 - Theater, opera, concert, ballet
74 - Museums, art galleries, exhibitions, zoos

## Socializing

75 - Visiting with others; socializing with people other than R's own HH members either at R's home or another home (visiting on the phone, code 96); talking/chatting in the context of receiving a visit or paying a visit

76 - Party; reception, weddings
77 - At bar; cocktail lounge, nightclub; socializing or hoping to socialize at bar, lounge

- Dancing
- Other events; other events or socializing, do not fit above
- Related travel; waiting for related travel
(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

## SPORTS AND ACTIVE LEISURE

## Active Sports

80 - Football, basketball, baseball, volleyball, hockey. soccer, field hockey

- Tennis, squash, racquetball, paddleball
- Golf, miniature golf
- Swimming, waterskiing
- Skiing, ice skating, sledding, roller skating
- Bowling; pool, ping-pong, pinball
- Frisbee, catch
- Exercises, yoga (gymnastics - code 86)
- Judo, boxing, wrestling


## Out of Doors

81 - Hunting

- Fishing
- Boating, sailing, canoeing
- Camping, at the beach
- Snowmobiling, dune-buggies
- Gliding, ballooning, flying
- Excursions, pleasure drives (no destination), rides with the family
- Picnicking

Walking, Biking
82 - Walking for pleasure

- Hiking
- Jogging, running
- Bicycling
- Motorcycling
- Horseback riding


## Hobbies

83 - Photography

- Working on cars - not necessarily related to their running; customizing, painting
- Working on or repairing leisure time equipment (repairing the boat, "sorting out fishing tackle")
- Collections, scrapbooks
- Carpentry and woodworking (as a hobby)
(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

SPORTS AND ACTIVE LEISURE (continued)

## Domestic Crafts

84 - Preserving foodstuffs (canning, pickling)

- Knitting, needlework, weaving, crocheting (including classes), crewel, embroidery, quilting, quilling, macrame
- Sewing
- Care of animals/livestock when R is not a farmer (pets, code 17; "farmer", code 01, work)

Art and Literature
85 - Sculpture, painting, potting, drawing

- Literature, poetry, writing (not letters), writing a diary


## Music/Theater/Dance

86 - Playing a musical instrument (include practicing), whistling

- Singing
- Acting (rehearsal for play)
- Nonsocial dancing (ballet, modern dance, body movement)
- Gymnastics (lessons - code 88)


## Games

87 - Playing card games (bridge, poker)

- Playing board games (Monopoly, Yahtzee, etc.), bingo, dominoes
- Playing social games (scavenger hunts), "played games" - NA kind
- Puzzles


## Classes/Lessons for Active Leisure Activity

88 - Lessons in sports activities: swimming, golf, tennis. skating, roller skating

- Lessons in gymnastics, dance, judo, body movement
- Lessons in music, singing, instruments
- Other lessons, not listed above

Travel
89 - Related travel; travel related to sports and active leisure; waiting for related travel: vacation travel
(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

## PASSIVE LEISURE

$90-\quad$ Radio

91 - TV

92 - Records, tapes, "listening to music," listening to others playing a musical instrument
93 - Reading books (current job related, code 07; professionally or class related, code 54)
94 - Reading magazines, reviews, pamphlets

- Reading NA what; or other

95 - Reading newspapers
96 - Phone conversations - not coded elsewhere, including all visiting by phone

- Other talking/conversations; face-to-face conversations, not coded elsewhere (if children in HH only, code 23); visiting other than 75
- Conversations with HH members only - adults only or children and adults
- Arguing or fighting with people other than HH members only, household and nonhousehold members, or NA
- Arguing or fighting with HH members only

97 - Letters (reading or writing); reading mail
98 - Relaxing

- Thinking, planning; reflecting
- "doing nothing," "sat"; just sat;
- Other passive leisure, smoking dope, pestering, teasing, joking around, messing around; laughing
$99-\quad$ Related travel: waiting for related travel


## MISSING DATA CODES

- Activities of others reported - R's activity not specified
- NA activities; a time gap of greater than 10 minutes.

EXAMPLES OF ACTIVITIES IN "OTHER" CATEGORIES

## Other Work Related

07 - Foster parent activities
(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

EXAMPLES OF ACTIVITIES IN "OTHER" CATEGORIES (continued)

## Other Household

19 - Typing

- Wrapping presents
- Checked refrigerator for shopping list
- Unpacked gifts from shower
- Packing/unpacking car
- "Settled in" after trip
- Hooked up boat to car
- $\quad$ Showed wife car (R was fixing)
- Packing to move
- Moved boxes
- Looking/searching for things at home (inside or out)


## Other Child Care

27 - Waited for son to get hair cut

- Picked up nephew at sister's house
- "Played with kids" (R's children from previous marriage not living with R)
- Called babysitter


## Other Services

37 - Left clothing at Goodwill

- Unloaded furniture (just purchased)
- Returned books (at library)
- Brought clothes in from car (after laundromat)
- Delivered some stuff to a friend
- Waited for father to pick up meat
- Waited for stores to open
- Put away things from swap meet
- $\quad$ Sat in car waiting for rain to stop before shopping
- Waiting for others while they are shopping
- Showing mom what I bought


## Other Personal

48 - Waiting to hear from daughter

- Stopped at home, NA what for
- Getting hysterical
- Breaking up a fight (not child care related)
- Waited for wife to get up
(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

## EXAMPLES OF ACTIVITIES IN "OTHER" CATEGORIES (continued)

## Other Personal (continued)

- Waiting for dinner at brother's house
- Waiting for plane (meeting someone at airport)
- Laughing
- Crying
- Moaning - head hurt
- Watching personal care activities ("watched dad shave")


## Other Education

56 - Watched a film

- In discussion group


## Other Organization

68 - Attending "Club House coffee klatch"

- Waited for church activities to begin
- "Meeting" NA kind
- Cleanup after banquet
- Checked into swap meet - selling and looking

Other Social, Entertainment

78 - Waiting for movies, other events

- Opening presents (at a party)
- Looking at gifts
- Decorating for party
- Tour of a home (friends or otherwise)
- Waiting for date
- Preparing for a shower (baby shower)
- Unloaded uniforms (for parade)


## Other Active Leisure

88 - Fed birds, bird watching

- Astrology
- Swinging
- At park
- Showing slides
- Showing sketches
(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-1. Activity Codes and Descriptors Used for Adult Time Diaries (continued)

EXAMPLES OF ACTIVITIES IN "OTHER" CATEGORIES (continued)
Other Active Leisure (continued)

- Recording music
- Hung around airport (NA reason)
- Picked up fishing gear
- Inspecting motorcycle
- Arranging flowers
- Work on model airplane
- Picked asparagus
- Picked up softball equipment
- Registered to play golf
- Toured a village or lodge (coded 81)

Other Passive Leisure

98 - Lying in sun

- Listening to birds
- Looking at slides
- Stopped at excavating place
- Looking at pictures
- Walked around outside
- Waiting for a call
- Watched plane leave
- Girl watching/boy watching
- Watching boats
- Wasted time
- In and out of house
- Home movies
* $\mathrm{R}=$ Respondent

HH = Household.

Source: Juster et al., 1983.


| Table 15A-2. Differences in Average Time Spent in Different Activities Between California and National Studies (minutes per day for age 18-64 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00-49 | NON-FREE TIME | $\begin{gathered} \text { California } \\ 1987-88 \\ (1359) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { National } \\ 1985 \\ (1980) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 50-59 | Free Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { California } \\ 1987-88 \\ (1359) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | National <br> 1985 <br> $(1980)$ |
| 00-09 | PAID WORK |  |  | 50-99 | EDUCATION AND |  |  |
| 00 | (not used) |  |  | 50 | Students' Classes | 9 | 5 |
| 01 | Main Job | 224 | 211 | 51 | Other Classes | 1 | 3 |
| 02 | Unemployment | 1 | 1 | 52 | (not used) | - | - |
| 03 | Travel during work | 8 | NR | 53 | (not used) | - | - |
| 04 | (not used) | - | - | 54 | Homework | 8 | 7 |
| 05 | Second job | 3 | 3 | 55 | Library | * | 1 |
| 06 | Eating | 6 | 8 | 56 | Other Education | 1 | 1 |
| 07 | Before/after work | 1 | 2 | 57 | (not used) | - | - |
| 08 | Breaks | 2 | 2 | 58 | (not used) | - | - |
| 09 | Travel to/from work | 28 | 25 | 59 | Travel, Education | 3 | 2 |
| 10-19 | HOUSEHOLD WORK |  |  | 60-69 | ORGANIZATIONAL | IIES |  |
| 10 | Food Preparation | 29 | 36 | 60 | Professional/Union | 0 | 1 |
| 11 | Meal Cleanup | 10 | 11 | 61 | Special Interest | * | 1 |
| 12 | Cleaning House | 21 | 24 | 62 | Political/Civic | 0 | * |
| 13 | Outdoor Cleaning | 9 | 7 | 63 | Volunteer/Helping | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | Clothes Care | 7 | 11 | 64 | Religious Groups | 1 | 2 |
| 15 | Car Repair/Maintenance (by R) | 5 | 5 | 65 | Religious Practice | 5 | 7 |
| 16 | Other Repairs (by R) | 8 | 6 | 66 | Fraternal | 0 | * |
| 17 | Plant Care | 3 | 5 | 67 | Child/Youth/Family | 1 | * |
| 18 | Animal Care | 3 | 5 | 68 | Other Organizations | 2 | 1 |
| 19 | Other Household | 7 | 8 | 69 | Travel Organizations | 2 | 4 |
| 20-29 | CHILD CARE |  |  | 70-79 | ENTERTAINMENT/ | ACTIVITIE |  |
| 20 | Baby Care | 3 | 8 | 70 | Sports Events | $2$ | 2 |
| 21 | Child Care | 7 | 5 | 71 | Entertainment Events | 5 | 1 |
| 22 | Helping/Teaching | 2 | 1 | 72 | Movies | 2 | 3 |
| 23 | Talking/Reading | 1 | 1 | 73 | Theatre | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | Indoor Playing | 2 | 3 | 74 | Museums | 1 | * |
| 25 | Outdoor Playing | 2 | 1 | 75 | Visiting | 26 | 25 |
| 26 | Medical care - Care | * | 1 | 76 | Parties | 6 | 7 |
| 27 | Other Child Care | 2 | 1 | 77 | Bars/Lounges | 4 | 6 |
| 28 | (At Dry Cleaners) | * | NR | 78 | Other Social | * | 1 |
| 29 | Travel, Child care | 4 | 4 | 79 | Travel, Events/Social | 13 | 16 |


| Table 15A-2. Differences in Average Time Spent in Different Activities Between California and National Studies (minutes per day for age 18-64 years) (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00-49 | NON-FREE TIME | $\begin{gathered} \text { California } \\ 1987-88 \\ (1359) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { National } \\ 1985 \\ (1980) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 50-59 | Free Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { California } \\ \text { 1987-88 } \\ (1359) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { National } \\ 1985 \\ (1980) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 30-39 | OBTAINING GOODS AND SERVICES |  |  | 80-89 | RECREATION |  |  |
| 30 | Everyday Shopping | 8 | 5 | 80 | Active Sports | 15 | 13 |
| 31 | Durable/House Shop | 19 | 20 | 81 | Outdoor | 3 | 7 |
| 32 | Personal Services | 1 | 1 | 82 | Walking/Hiking | 5 | 4 |
| 33 | Medical Appointments | 2 | 2 | 83 | Hobbies | 1 | 1 |
| 34 | Gov't/Financial Service | 3 | 2 | 84 | Domestic Crafts | 3 | 6 |
| 35 | Car Repair services | 2 | 1 | 85 | Art | * | 1 |
| 36 | Other Repair services | * | 1 | 86 | Music/Drama/Dance | 3 | 2 |
| 37 | Other Services | 2 | 2 | 87 | Games | 5 | 7 |
| 38 | Errands | * | 1 | 88 | Computer Use/Other | 3 | 3 |
| 39 | Travel, Goods and Services | 24 | 20 | 89 | Travel, Recreation | 5 | 6 |
| 40-49 | PERSONAL NEEDS AND CARE |  |  | 90-99 | COMMUNICATION |  |  |
| 40 | Washing, Etc. | 21 | 25 | 90 | Radio | 1 | 3 |
| 41 | Medical Care | 3 | 1 | 91 | TV | 130 | 126 |
| 42 | Help and Care | 3 | 4 | 92 | Records/Tapes | 3 | 1 |
| 43 | Meals At Home | 44 | 50 | 93 | Read Books | 4 | 7 |
| 44 | Meals Out | 27 | 20 | 94 | Reading Magazines/Other | 16 | 10 |
| 45 | Night Sleep | 480 | 469 | 95 | Reading Newspaper | 11 | 9 |
| 46 | Naps/Day Sleep | 16 | 16 | 96 | Conversations | 15 | 25 |
| 47 | Dressing, Etc. | 24 | 32 | 97 | Writing | 8 | 9 |
| 48 | NA Activity | 2 | 12 | 98 | Think, Relax | 9 | 6 |
| 49 | Travel, Personal Care/NA | 22 | 13 | 99 | Travel, Communication | 5 | * |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NR = } \\ & *= \end{aligned}$ | Not Recorded in National Survey Less than 0.5 Min. per day |  |  |  | Total Travel $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Codes 09, 29, 39, 49, 59, } \\ & 69,79,89,99) \end{aligned}$ | 108 | 90 |
| Source: Robinson and Thomas, 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 15A-3. Time Spent in Various Microenvironments


AT HOME

| Kitchen | 46 | 56 | 98 | 135 | 72 | 104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Living Room | 181 | 136 | 98 | 180 | 189 | 158 |
| Dining Room | 18 | 10 | 22 | 18 | 19 | 15 |
| Bathroom | 27 | 27 | 38 | 43 | 33 | 38 |
| Bedroom | 481 | 478 | 534 | 531 | 508 | 521 |
| Study | 8 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| Garage | 14 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 19 | 2 |
| Basement | $<0.5$ | 4 | <0.5 | 6 | <0.5 | 5 |
| Utility Room | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Pool, Spa | 1 | NR | 1 | NR ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | NR ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Yard | 33 |  | 21 |  | 27 | 37 |
| Room to Room | 9 | $160^{\text {c }}$ | 34 | 116 | 21 | 40 |
| Other NR Room | 3 |  | 4 |  | 3 | 22 |
| Total at home | 822 | 888 | 963 | 1022 | 892 | 954 |

AWAY FROM HOME

| Office | 78 | 261 | 94 | 155 | 86 | 193 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant | 73 | -- | 12 | -- | 42 | -- |
| Grocery Store | 12 | 18 | 14 | 33 | 13 | 30 |
| Shopping Mall | 30 | -- | 40 | -- | 35 | -- |
| School | 25 | 13 | 29 | 11 | 27 | 15 |
| Other Public Places | 18 | -- | 10 | -- | 14 | 12 |
| Hospital | 9 | NR | 24 | NR | 17 | 3 |
| Restaurant | 35 | 22 | 25 | 18 | 30 | 23 |
| Bar-Night Club | 15 | -- | 5 | -- | 10 | -- |
| Church | 7 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 10 |
| Indoor Gym | 4 | NR | 4 | NR | 4 | NR |
| Other's Home | 60 | 42 | 61 | 45 | 61 | 43 |
| Auto Repair | 18 | NR | 4 | NR | 11 | NR |
| Playground | 16 | 27 | 8 | 16 | 12 | NR |
| Hotel-Motel | 7 | NR | 8 | NR | 8 | NR |
| Dry Cleaners | $<0.5$ | NR | 1 | NR | 1 | NR |
| Beauty Parlor | <0.5 | NR | 4 | NR | 2 | NR |
| Other Locations | 3 | NR | 1 | NR | 2 | NR |
| Other Indoor | 17 | 41 | 7 | 24 | 12 | 24 |
| Other Outdoor | 60 | NR | 13 | NR | 37 | 6 |
| Total away |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| from home | 487 | 445 | 371 | 324 | 430 | 383 |

Table 15A-3. Time Spent in Various Microenvironments (continued)

|  |  | Men |  | Mean duration Women |  | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code Description | $\mathrm{N}=639$ <br> California | $\mathrm{N}=914$ <br> National | $\mathrm{N}=720$ <br> California | $\mathrm{N}=1059$ <br> National | $\mathrm{N}=1980$ <br> California | $\mathrm{N}=1359$ <br> National |
| TRAVEL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Car | 76 | -- | 77 | -- | 76 | -- |
| Van/Truck | 30 | 86 | 11 | 77 | 20 | 88 |
| Walking | 10 | -- | 8 | -- | 9 | 2 |
| Bus Stop | $<0.5$ | -- | 1 | -- | 1 | -- |
| Bus | 6 | -- | 2 | -- | 4 | 3 |
| Rapid Train | 1 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 1 |
| Other Travel | 2 | -- | 1 | -- | 1 | $<0.5$ |
| Airplane | 1 | 15 | $<0.5$ | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| Bicycle | 1 | -- | <0.5 | -- | 1 | NR |
| Motorcycle | 2 | -- | $<0.5$ | -- | 1 | NR |
| Other or Missing | 1 | -- | $<0.5$ | -- | 1 | NR |
|  |  |  | $=$ |  |  |  |
| Total travel | 130 | 101 | 102 | 87 | 116 | 94 |
| Not ascertained | 1 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| Total Time Outdoors | -- | -- | -- | -- | 88 | 70 |

[^9]Source: Robinson and Thomas, 1991.

Note: Percent at home

| National |  |  | California |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| men | $=$ | 62 | men | $=$ | 57 |
| women | = | 71 | women | = | 67 |
| total | = | 67 | total | $=$ | 62 |
| men | = | 31 | men | $=$ | 34 |
| women | = | 23 | women | = | 26 |
| total | $=$ | 27 | total | = | 30 |
| men | = | 7 | men | = | 9 |
| women | = | 6 | women | = | 7 |
| total | = | 7 | total | $=$ | 8 |


| Table 15A-4. Major Time Use Activity Categories ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Activity code | Activity |
| $01-09$ | Market work |
| $10-19$ | House/yard work |
| $20-29$ | Child care |
| $30-39$ | Services/shopping |
| $40-49$ | Personal care |
|  | $50-59$ |
| $60-69$ | Education |
|  | $70-79$ |
| $80-89$ | Organizations |
| 90-99 | Social entertainment |
| Appendix Table 14A-3 presents a detailed explanation of the coding and activities. |  |


| Activity | Weekday$\mathrm{N}=831$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Saturday } \\ & \mathrm{N}=831 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sunday } \\ & \mathrm{N}=831 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Dev. | Mean | Std. Dev. | Mean | Std. Dev. |
| 01-Normal Work | 240.54 | 219.10 | 82.43 | 184.41 | 46.74 | 139.71 |
| 02-Unemployment Acts | 0.98 | 9.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 05-Second Job | 3.76 | 25.04 | 2.84 | 32.64 | 2.65 | 27.30 |
| 06-Lunch At Work | 10.00 | 15.81 | 1.82 | 7.88 | 1.43 | 8.29 |
| 07-Before/After Work | 3.51 | 10.05 | 1.45 | 9.79 | 1.66 | 13.76 |
| 08-Coffee Breaks | 5.05 | 11.53 | 1.59 | 7.32 | 0.93 | 8.52 |
| 09-Travel: To/From Work | 24.03 | 30.37 | 7.74 | 22.00 | 4.60 | 17.55 |
| 10-Meal Preparation | 42.18 | 46.59 | 40.37 | 59.82 | 42.38 | 57.42 |
| 11-Meal Cleanup | 12.48 | 19.25 | 12.07 | 22.96 | 13.97 | 25.85 |
| 12-Indoor Cleaning | 26.37 | 43.84 | 38.88 | 80.39 | 21.73 | 48.70 |
| 13-Outdoor Cleaning | 7.48 | 25.45 | 15.71 | 58.00 | 9.01 | 39.39 |
| 14-Laundry | 13.35 | 30.39 | 11.48 | 31.04 | 7.79 | 25.43 |
| 16-Repairs/Maintenance | 9.61 | 35.43 | 17.36 | 72.50 | 13.56 | 62.12 |
| 17-Garden/Pet Care | 8.52 | 25.15 | 14.75 | 49.17 | 8.47 | 37.54 |
| 19-Other Household | 6.26 | 20.62 | 9.82 | 37.58 | 7.60 | 32.17 |
| 20-Baby Care | 6.29 | 22.91 | 5.89 | 30.72 | 6.26 | 33.78 |
| 21-Child Care | 6.26 | 16.34 | 5.38 | 21.58 | 7.09 | 23.15 |
| 22-Helping/Teaching | 1.36 | 8.28 | 0.23 | 3.64 | 0.76 | 6.52 |
| 23-Reading/Talking | 2.47 | 8.65 | 1.71 | 10.84 | 1.53 | 9.97 |
| 24-Indoor Playing | 1.75 | 8.72 | 0.90 | 7.82 | 2.45 | 15.11 |
| 25-Outdoor Playing | 0.73 | 6.33 | 1.23 | 13.03 | 0.91 | 10.30 |
| 26-Medical Care-Child | 0.64 | 7.42 | 0.16 | 2.79 | 0.44 | 7.20 |
| 27-Babysitting/Other | 2.93 | 14.56 | 2.16 | 19.11 | 3.28 | 24.89 |
| 29-Travel: Child Care | 4.18 | 10.97 | 1.71 | 8.72 | 2.08 | 10.56 |
| 30-Everyday Shopping | 19.73 | 30.28 | 33.52 | 61.38 | 10.13 | 30.18 |
| 31-Durable/House Shop | 0.58 | 4.83 | 1.46 | 14.04 | 1.65 | 17.92 |
| 32-Personal Care Services | 1.93 | 10.04 | 3.42 | 18.94 | 0.02 | 0.69 |
| 33-Medical Appointments | 3.43 | 14.49 | 0.60 | 6.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 34-Gov't/Financial Services | 1.90 | 6.07 | 0.66 | 4.34 | 0.03 | 0.43 |
| 35-Repair Services | 1.33 | 7.14 | 1.25 | 10.24 | 0.52 | 5.61 |
| 37-Other Services | 1.13 | 7.17 | 1.55 | 9.57 | 0.72 | 4.34 |
| 38-Errands | 0.74 | 8.03 | 0.35 | 5.27 | 0.04 | 1.04 |
| 39-Travel: Goods/Services | 17.93 | 23.58 | 21.61 | 36.35 | 8.45 | 21.64 |
| 40-Washing/Dressing | 44.03 | 29.82 | 44.25 | 41.20 | 47.54 | 40.15 |
| 41-Medical Care R/HH Adults | 0.77 | 6.19 | 1.29 | 15.90 | 1.45 | 29.18 |
| 42-Help \& Care | 8.43 | 28.17 | 12.19 | 52.58 | 14.32 | 55.13 |
| 43-Meals At Home | 53.45 | 35.57 | 57.86 | 49.25 | 61.84 | 49.27 |
| 44-Meals Out | 19.55 | 31.20 | 31.13 | 56.03 | 25.95 | 47.60 |
| 45-Night Sleep | 468.49 | 79.42 | 498.40 | 115.55 | 528.86 | 115.84 |
| 46-Naps/Resting | 22.07 | 43.92 | 30.67 | 74.98 | 27.56 | 66.01 |
| 48-N.A. Activities | 7.52 | 22.32 | 11.72 | 41.61 | 8.18 | 35.79 |
| 49-Travel: Personal | 14.87 | 27.76 | 19.33 | 50.42 | 18.58 | 46.36 |
| 50-Students' Classes | 6.33 | 33.79 | 0.96 | 18.17 | 0.96 | 20.07 |
| 51-Other Classes | 2.65 | 17.92 | 0.40 | 11.52 | 0.27 | 5.63 |



Appendix 15A

| Activity | Weekday$\mathrm{N}=831$ |  | Saturday$\mathrm{N}=831$ |  | Sunday$\mathrm{N}=831$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Dev. | Mean | Std. Dev. | Mean | Std. Dev. |
| 54-Homework | 4.56 | 24.35 | 3.48 | 27.98 | 5.40 | 38.68 |
| 56-Other Education | 0.53 | 5.91 | 0.15 | 2.75 | 0.45 | 9.85 |
| 59-Travel: Education | 2.29 | 10.36 | 0.35 | 4.26 | 0.21 | 3.14 |
| 60-Professional/Union Orgs. | 0.51 | 7.27 | 0.13 | 3.64 | 0.44 | 8.34 |
| 61-Identity Organizations | 1.53 | 11.19 | 1.24 | 35.63 | 0.48 | 7.58 |
| 62-Political/Citizen Orgs | 0.14 | 1.25 | 0.07 | 1.91 | 0.19 | 5.55 |
| 63-Volunteer/Helping Orgs | 1.08 | 10.08 | 0.02 | 0.45 | 0.41 | 7.09 |
| 64-Religious Groups | 2.96 | 17.33 | 3.05 | 27.73 | 8.59 | 33.31 |
| 65-Religious Practice | 4.98 | 19.92 | 7.13 | 30.12 | 34.05 | 62.06 |
| 66-Fraternal Organizations | 0.85 | 9.28 | 1.73 | 27.71 | 0.31 | 6.67 |
| 67-Child/Family Organizations | 1.70 | 11.69 | 1.04 | 17.83 | 0.26 | 7.63 |
| 68-Other Organizations | 3.91 | 22.85 | 1.31 | 20.28 | 1.71 | 17.52 |
| 69-Traves: Organizations | 3.41 | 9.83 | 2.66 | 12.22 | 12.07 | 37.64 |
| 70-Sport Events | 2.22 | 13.45 | 6.29 | 42.05 | 3.44 | 27.78 |
| 71-Miscellaneous Events | 0.32 | 4.89 | 1.94 | 19.90 | 1.96 | 19.75 |
| 72-Movies | 1.65 | 11.03 | 4.74 | 27.04 | 3.35 | 22.65 |
| 73-Theater | 0.69 | 7.13 | 2.66 | 27.79 | 0.77 | 10.37 |
| 74-Museums | 0.19 | 3.32 | 0.90 | 13.62 | 0.72 | 11.17 |
| 75-Visiting w/Others | 33.14 | 51.69 | 56.78 | 95.61 | 69.65 | 114.58 |
| 76-Parties | 2.81 | 16.49 | 12.63 | 56.11 | 7.16 | 39.02 |
| 77-Bars/Lounges | 3.62 | 18.07 | 7.23 | 35.09 | 3.91 | 26.95 |
| 78-Other Events | 1.39 | 11.55 | 1.33 | 15.52 | 1.00 | 10.80 |
| 79-Travel: Events/Social | 8.90 | 16.19 | 19.55 | 43.38 | 18.02 | 34.45 |
| 80-Active Sports | 5.30 | 19.60 | 9.23 | 43.69 | 11.39 | 48.66 |
| 81-Outdoors | 5.11 | 33.00 | 11.58 | 55.07 | 15.52 | 62.68 |
| 82-Walking/Biking | 2.08 | 9.70 | 5.87 | 36.38 | 5.92 | 32.28 |
| 83-Hobbies | 1.78 | 11.73 | 3.20 | 32.43 | 4.10 | 31.55 |
| 84-Domestic Crafts | 11.18 | 37.03 | 8.67 | 40.49 | 6.41 | 34.82 |
| 85-Art/Literature | 0.99 | 10.84 | 0.86 | 13.59 | 1.13 | 15.07 |
| 86-Music/Drama/Dance | 0.45 | 4.91 | 0.83 | 8.83 | 0.63 | 8.32 |
| 87-Games | 5.06 | 22.91 | 10.14 | 45.11 | 7.89 | 40.45 |
| 88-Classes/Other | 2.65 | 15.83 | 2.56 | 29.92 | 3.37 | 23.60 |
| 89-Travel: Active Leisure | 3.31 | 14.77 | 8.50 | 48.72 | 8.19 | 38.11 |
| 90-Radio | 2.89 | 12.19 | 3.53 | 23.42 | 2.88 | 18.50 |
| 91-TV | 113.01 | 103.89 | 118.99 | 131.24 | 149.67 | 141.43 |
| 92-Records/Tapes | 2.58 | 20.26 | 2.40 | 16.09 | 2.03 | 16.08 |
| 93-Reading Books | 4.41 | 18.09 | 2.76 | 17.85 | 5.23 | 30.13 |
| 94-Reading Magazines/N.A. | 13.72 | 31.73 | 16.33 | 46.24 | 17.18 | 51.01 |
| 95-Reading Newspapers | 12.03 | 22.65 | 12.19 | 34.96 | 26.01 | 44.47 |
| 96-Conversations | 18.68 | 28.59 | 15.45 | 35.27 | 14.57 | 34.60 |
| 97-Letters | 2.83 | 12.23 | 1.61 | 10.80 | 1.96 | 12.59 |
| 98-Other Passive Leisure | 9.72 | 25.02 | 17.24 | 57.21 | 15.28 | 47.86 |
| 99-Travel: Passive Leisure | 1.26 | 5.44 | 1.32 | 6.80 | 1.72 | 9.87 |

Table 15A-6. Weighted Mean Hours Per Week by Gender: 87 Activities and 10 Subtotals

| Activity | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Men } \\ \mathrm{N}=410 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=561$ |  | Women | $\mathrm{N}=971$ | Men and women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. dev. |  | Mean | Std. dev. | Mean | Std. dev. |  |
| 01 - Normal work |  | 29.78 | 20.41 |  | 14.99 | 17.62 | 21.82 | 20.33 |
| 02 - Unemployment acts |  | 0.14 | 1.06 |  | 0.08 | 0.75 | 0.11 | 0.90 |
| 05 - Second job |  | 0.73 | 3.20 |  | 0.17 | 1.62 | 0.43 | 2.49 |
| 06 - Lunch at work 1.08 |  | 1.43 | 0.65 |  | 1.21 | 0.85 | 1.33 |  |
| 07 - Before/after work |  | 0.51 | 1.27 |  | 0.23 | 0.69 | 0.36 | 1.01 |
| 08 - Coffee breaks 0.57 |  | 1.05 | 0.36 |  | 1.03 | 0.46 | 1.04 |  |
| 09-Travel: to/from work |  | 2.98 | 2.87 |  | 1.45 | 2.17 | 2.16 | 2.63 |
| 10 - Meal preparation |  | 1.57 | 2.61 |  | 7.25 | 5.04 | 4.63 | 4.98 |
| 11 - Meal cleanup |  | 0.33 | 0.83 |  | 2.30 | 2.19 | 1.39 | 1.97 |
| 12 - Indoor cleaning |  | 0.85 | 2.01 |  | 5.03 | 5.05 | 3.10 | 4.46 |
| 13 - Outdoor cleaning |  | 1.59 | 3.59 |  | 0.56 | 1.59 | 1.03 | 2.75 |
| 14 - Laundry |  | 0.13 | 0.72 |  | 2.44 | 3.34 | 1.38 | 2.75 |
| 16 - Repairs/maintenance |  | 2.14 | 4.29 |  | 0.68 | 3.43 | 1.35 | 3.92 |
| 17 - Gardening/pet care |  | 0.94 | 2.78 |  | 1.00 | 2.19 | 0.97 | 2.48 |
| 19 - Other household |  | 0.92 | 2.42 |  | 0.72 | 1.84 | 0.81 | 2.13 |
| 20 - Baby care |  | 0.24 | 1.20 |  | 0.90 | 3.04 | 0.60 | 2.40 |
| 21 - Child care |  | 0.24 | 0.78 |  | 0.99 | 2.11 | 0.64 | 1.68 |
| 22 - Helping/teaching |  | 0.07 | 0.61 |  | 0.15 | 0.76 | 0.11 | 0.70 |
| 23 - Reading/talking |  | 0.07 | 0.35 |  | 0.30 | 0.86 | 0.19 | 0.68 |
| 24 - Indoor playing 0.13 |  | 0.69 | 0.18 |  | 0.82 | 0.16 | 0.76 |  |
| 25 - Outdoor playing |  | 0.06 | 0.37 |  | 0.12 | 0.72 | 0.09 | 0.58 |
| 26 - Medical care - child |  | 0.01 | 0.09 |  | 0.09 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.50 |
| 27 - Babysitting/other |  | 0.14 | 0.78 |  | 0.64 | 2.58 | 0.41 | 1.98 |
| 29 - Travel: child care |  | 0.23 | 0.67 |  | 0.50 | 1.21 | 0.38 | 1.00 |
| 30 - Everyday shopping |  | 1.45 | 2.18 |  | 2.78 | 3.25 | 2.17 | 2.89 |
| 31 - Durables/house shopping |  | 0.19 | 1.39 |  | 0.08 | 0.51 | 0.13 | 1.01 |
| 32 - Personal care services |  | 0.06 | 0.42 |  | 0.35 | 1.14 | 0.22 | 0.90 |
| 33 - Medical appointments |  | 0.15 | 0.75 |  | 0.37 | 1.63 | 0.27 | 1.31 |
| 34 - Govt/financial services |  | 0.15 | 0.44 |  | 0.19 | 0.61 | 0.17 | 0.54 |
| 35 - Repair services 0.11 |  | 0.45 | 0.17 |  | 0.78 | 0.14 | 0.65 |  |
| 37 - Other services 0.11 |  | 0.61 | 0.13 |  | 0.61 | 0.12 | 0.61 |  |
| 38 - Errands |  | 0.04 | 0.41 |  | 0.06 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 0.57 |
| 39 - Travel: goods/services |  | 1.60 | 2.02 |  | 2.14 | 2.17 | 1.89 | 2.12 |

Table 15A-6. Weighted Mean Hours Per Week by Gender: 87 Activities and 10 Subtotals (continued)

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Men } \\ \mathrm{N}=410 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & \mathrm{N}=561 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Men and women } \\ & \mathrm{N}=971 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Mean St | Std. dev. | Mean S | Std. dev. | Mean Std |  |
| 40 - Washing/dressing | 4.33 | 33.39 | 5.43 | - 3.24 | 4.92 | 2.93 |
| 41 - Medical care - adults | 0.09 | 0.67 | 0.18 | $8 \quad 1.00$ | 0.14 | 0.86 |
| 42 - Help and care | 1.02 | 2.84 | 1.30 | 304 | 1.17 | 2.95 |
| 43 - Meals at home | 6.59 | 9 3.87 | 6.32 | 3.53 | 6.44 | 3.69 |
| 44 - Meals out | 2.72 | 23.48 | 2.24 | 2.73 | 2.46 | 3.10 |
| 45 - Night sleep | 55.76 | 68.43 | 56.74 | - 8.49 | 56.29 | 8.47 |
| 46 - Naps/resting 2.94 | 5.18 | $8 \quad 3.19$ | 4.70 | 3 3.08 | 4.93 |  |
| 48 - N.A. activities | 1.77 | $7 \quad 6.12$ | 1.99 | - 5.70 | 1.89 | 5.89 |
| 49 - Travel: personal | 2.06 | 62.59 | 1.61 | 2.51 | 1.82 | 2.56 |
| 50 - Students' classes | 0.92 | 24.00 | 0.38 | 38.51 | 0.63 | 3.29 |
| 51-Other classes | 0.23 | 31.68 | 0.15 | 51.05 | 0.18 | 1.38 |
| 54 - Homework | 0.76 | 6 3.48 | 0.38 | 1.87 | 0.56 | 2.74 |
| 56 - Other education | 0.11 | 10.86 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.61 |
| 59 - Travel: education | 0.29 | $9 \quad 1.07$ | 0.16 | 61.06 | 0.22 | 1.07 |
| 60 - Professional/union organizations | 0.04 | 40.46 | 0.04 | 0.62 | 0.04 | 0.55 |
| 61 - Identity organizations | 0.14 | $4 \quad 0.97$ | 0.18 | $8 \quad 1.55$ | 0.16 | 1.31 |
| 62 - Political/citizen organizations | 0.01 | 10.08 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.12 |
| 63 - Volunteer/helping organizations | 0.02 | 20.32 | 0.14 | 41.05 | 0.09 | 0.80 |
| 64 - Religious groups | 0.38 | $8 \quad 1.82$ | 0.41 | 1.61 | 0.40 | 1.71 |
| 65 - Religious practice | 0.89 | 2.05 | 1.31 | 2.97 | 1.12 | 1.60 |
| 66 - Fraternal organizations | 0.16 | $6 \quad 1.17$ | 0.05 | 0.66 | 0.10 | 0.93 |
| 67 - Child/family organizations | 0.10 | $0 \quad 0.88$ | 0.21 | 1.33 | 0.16 | 1.15 |
| 68 - Other organizations | 0.34 | $4 \quad 2.40$ | 0.32 | 1.53 | 0.32 | 1.98 |
| 69 - Travel: organizations | 0.43 | 31.04 | 0.52 | 2 1.02 | 0.48 | 1.03 |
| 70 - Sports events | 0.30 | 0 1.31 | 0.26 | $26 \quad 1.28$ | 0.28 | 1.29 |
| 71 - Miscellaneous events | 0.07 | $7 \quad 0.52$ | 0.08 | 0.59 | 0.07 | 0.56 |
| 72 - Movies | 0.31 | $1 \quad 1.25$ | 0.26 | 26 1.13 | 0.28 | 1.19 |
| 73 - Theatre | 0.13 | 30.93 | 0.06 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.72 |
| 74 - Museums | 0.04 | $4 \quad 0.37$ | 0.03 | 0.35 | 0.03 | 0.36 |
| $75-\mathrm{Visiting}$ with others | 4.24 | $4 \quad 5.72$ | 5.84 | 6.42 | 5.10 | 6.16 |
| 76 - Parties | 0.64 | 42.05 | 0.44 | 1.65 | 0.53 | 1.84 |
| 77 - Bars/lounges 0.71 | 2.21 | 10.46 | 2.09 | 0.57 | 2.15 |  |
| 78 - Other events 0.12 | 0.72 | 20.18 | 1.18 | 80.15 | 0.99 |  |
| 79 - Travel: events/social | 1.40 | $0 \quad 1.82$ | 1.26 | 1.67 | 1.32 | 1.74 |

Table 15A-6. Weighted Mean Hours Per Week by Gender: 87 Activities and 10 Subtotals (continued)


Source: Hill, 1985.

Table 15A-7. Ranking of Occupations by Median Years of Occupational Tenure

| Occupation | Median years of <br> occupational tenure |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Barbers | 24.8 |
| Farmers, except horticultural | 21.1 |
| Railroad conductors and yardmasters | 18.4 |
| Clergy | 15.8 |
| Dentists | 15.7 |
| Telephone line installers and repairers | 15.0 |
| Millwrights | 14.8 |
| Locomotive operating occupations | 14.8 |
| Managers; farmers, except horticultural | 14.4 |
| Telephone installers and repairers | 14.3 |
| Airplane pilots and navigators | 14.0 |
| Supervisors: police and detectives | 13.8 |
| Grader, dozer, and scraper operators | 13.3 |
| Tailors | 13.3 |
| Civil engineers | 13.0 |
| Crane and tower operators | 12.9 |
| Supervisors, ne.c. | 12.9 |
| Teachers, secondary school | 12.5 |
| Teachers, elementary school | 12.4 |
| Dental laboratory and medical applicance technicians | 12.3 |
| Separating, filtering, and clarifying machine oeprators | 12.1 |
| Tool and die makers | 12.0 |
| Lathe and turning machine operators | 11.9 |
| Machinists | 11.9 |
| Pharmacists | 11.8 |
| Sattionary engineers | 11.7 |
| Mechanical engineers | 11.4 |
| Chemists, except biochemists | 11.1 |
| Inspectors, testers, and graders | 11.0 |
| Electricians | 11.0 |
| Operating engineers | 11.0 |
| Radiologic technicians | 10.9 |
| Electrical power installers and repairers | 10.8 |
| Supervisors; mechanics and repairers | 10.7 |
| Heavy equipment mechanics | 10.7 |
| Bus, truck, and stationary engine mechanics | 10.7 |
| Physicians | 10.7 |
| Construction inspectors | 10.7 |
| Cabinet makers and bench carpenters | 10.6 |
| Industrial machinery repairers | 10.6 |
| Automobile body and related repairers | 10.4 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

(Continued on the following page)

Table 15A-7. Ranking of Occupations by Median Years of Occupational Tenure (continued)

| Occupation | Median ye <br> occupationa |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Electrical and electronic engineers | 10.4 |
| Plumbers, pipefitters, and steamfitters | 10.4 |
| Licensed practical nurses | 10.3 |
| Brickmasons and stonemasons | 10.2 |
| Truck drivers, heavy | 10.1 |
| Tile setters, hard and soft | 10.1 |
| Lawyers | 10.1 |
| Supervisors: production occupations | 10.1 |
| Administrators, education and related fields | 10.1 |
| Engineers, n.e.c. | 10.0 |
| Excavating and loading machine operators | 10.0 |
| Firefighting occupations | 10.0 |
| Aircraft engine mechanics | 10.0 |
| Police and detectives, public service | 9.7 |
| Counselors, educational and vocational | 9.7 |
| Architects | 9.6 |
| Stuctural metal workers | 9.6 |
| Aerospace engineers | 9.6 |
| Miscellaneous aterial moving equipment operators | 9.4 |
| Dental hygienists | 9.4 |
| Automobile mechanics | 9.3 |
| Registered nurses | 9.3 |
| Speech therapists | 9.3 |
| Binding and twisting machine operators | 9.3 |
| Managers and administrators, n.e.c. | 8.6 |
| Personnel and labor relations managers | 8.6 |
| Office machine repairer | 9.6 |
| Electronic repairers, commercial and industrial equipment | 9.0 |
| Welders and cutters | 9.0 |
| Punching and stamping press machine operators | 9.0 |
| Sheet metal workers | 9.0 |
| Administrators and officials, public administraion | 9.0 |
| Hairdressers and cosmetologists | 8.9 |
| Industrial engineers | 8.9 |
| Librarians | 8.9 |
| Inspectors and compliance officers, except construction | 8.9 |
| Upholsterers | 8.8 |
| Chemical engingeers and mapping technicians | 8.8 |

(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-7. Ranking of Occupations by Median Years of Occupational Tenure (continued)

| Occupation | Median ye occupational |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sheriffs, bailiffs, and other law enforcement officers | 8.6 |
| Concrete and terrazzo finishers | 8.6 |
| Sales representatives, mining, manufacturing, and wholesale | 8.6 |
| Supervisors: general office | 8.6 |
| Specified mechanics and repairers, n.e.c. | 8.5 |
| Stenographers | 8.5 |
| Typesetters and compositors | 8.5 |
| Financial managers | 8.4 |
| Psychologists | 8.4 |
| Teachers: special education | 8.4 |
| Statistical clerks | 8.3 |
| Designers | 8.3 |
| Water and Sewage Treatment plant operators | 8.3 |
| Printing machine operators | 8.2 |
| Heating, air conditioning, and refrigeration mechanics | 8.1 |
| Supervisors; distribution, scheduling, and adjusting clerks | 8.1 |
| Insurance sales occupations | 8.1 |
| Carpenters | 8.0 |
| Public transportation attendants | 8.0 |
| Drafting occupations | 8.0 |
| Butchers and meatcutters | 8.0 |
| Miscellaneous electrical and electronic equipment repairers | 7.9 |
| Dressmakers | 7.9 |
| Musicians and composers | 7.9 |
| Supervisors and proprietors; sales occupations | 7.9 |
| Painters, Sculptors, craft-artists, and artist printmakers | 7.9 |
| Mechanics and repairers, not specified | 7.7 |
| Engineering technicians, n.e.c. | 7.7 |
| Clinical laboratory technologists and technicians | 7.7 |
| Purchasing managers | 7.7 |
| Purchasing agents and buyers, n.e.c. | 7.7 |
| Photographers | 7.6 |
| Chemical technicians | 7.6 |
| Managers; properties and real estate | 7.6 |
| Accountants and auditors | 7.6 |
| Religious workers, n.e.c. | 7.6 |
| Secretaries | 7.5 |
| Social workers | 7.5 |
| Operations and systems researchers and analysts | 7.4 |
| Postal clerks, except mail carriers | 7.4 |
| Managers; marketing, advertising, and public relations | 7.3 |

(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-7. Ranking of Occupations by Median Years of Occupational Tenure (continued)

| Occupation | Median year occupationa |
| :---: | :---: |
| Farm workers | 7.3 |
| Managers; medicine and health | 7.2 |
| Data processing equipment repairers | 7.2 |
| Bookkeepers, accounting and auditing clerks | 7.1 |
| Grinding, abrading, buffing, and polishing machine operators | 7.0 |
| Management related occupations, n.e.c. | 7.0 |
| Supervisiors; cleaning and building service workers | 7.0 |
| Management analysts | 7.0 |
| Science technicians, n.e.c. | 7.0 |
| Mail carriers, postal service | 7.0 |
| Knitting, looping, taping, and weaving machine operators | 6.9 |
| Electrical and electronic technicians | 6.9 |
| Painting and paint spraying machine operators | 6.9 |
| Postsecondary teachers, subject not specified | 6.8 |
| Crossing guards | 6.8 |
| Inhalation therapists | 6.7 |
| Carpet installers | 6.7 |
| Computer systems analysts and scientists | 6.6 |
| Other financial officers | 6.6 |
| Industrial truck and tractor equipment operators | 6.6 |
| Textile sewing machine operators | 6.6 |
| Correctional institution officers | 6.5 |
| Teachers, prekindergarten and kindergarten | 6.4 |
| Supervisors; financial records processing | 6.4 |
| Miscellaneous Textile machine operators | 6.4 |
| Production inspectors, checkers, and examiners | 6.3 |
| Actors and directors | 6.3 |
| Health technologists and technicians, n.e.c. | 6.3 |
| Miscellaneous machine operators, n.e.c. | 6.2 |
| Private household cleaners, and servants | 6.2 |
| Buyers, wholesale and retail trade, excluding farm products | 6.0 |
| Real estate sales occupations | 6.0 |
| Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers | 6.0 |
| Bus drivers | 6.0 |
| Editors and reporters | 6.0 |
| Laundering and dry cleaning machine operators | 6.0 |
| Meter readers | 5.9 |
| Painters, construction and maintenance | 5.9 |
| Driver-sales workers | 5.9 |
| Teachers, n.e.c. | 5.9 |
| Order clerks | 5.8 |
| Physicians' assistants | 5.8 |

(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-7. Ranking of Occupations by Median Years of Occupational Tenure (continued)

| Occupation | Median years of occupational tenure |
| :---: | :---: |
| Billing clerks | 5.8 |
| Drywall installers | 5.7 |
| Construction trades, n.e.c. | 5.7 |
| Telephone operators | 5.7 |
| Authors | 5.6 |
| Nursing aides, orderlies, and attendants | 5.6 |
| Dental assistants | 5.6 |
| Timber cutting and logging occupations | 5.5 |
| Molding and casting machine operators | 5.5 |
| Miscellaneous hand-working occupations | 5.5 |
| Production coordinators | 5.5 |
| Public relations specialists | 5.5 |
| Personnel clerks, except payroll and bookkeeping | 5.4 |
| Assemblers | 5.4 |
| Securities and financial services sales occupations | 5.4 |
| Salesworkers, furniture and home furnishings | 5.4 |
| Insurance adjusters, examiners, and investigators | 5.3 |
| Pressing machine operators | 5.3 |
| Roofers | 5.3 |
| Graders and sorters, except agricultural | 5.3 |
| Supervisors; related agricultural occupations | 5.2 |
| Typists | 5.2 |
| Supervisors; motor vehicle operators | 5.2 |
| Personnel, training, and labor relations specialists | 5.2 |
| Legal assistants | 5.2 |
| Physical therapists | 5.2 |
| Advertising and related sales occupations | 5.1 |
| Records clerks | 5.1 |
| Economists | 5.1 |
| Technicians, n.e.c. | 5.0 |
| Expediters | 5.0 |
| Sales occupations, other business services | 4.9 |
| Computer operators | 4.8 |
| Computer programmers | 4.8 |
| Investigators and adjusters, except insurance | 4.8 |
| Underwriters | 4.8 |
| Salesworkers, parts | 4.8 |
| Artists, performers, and related workers, n.e.c. | 4.8 |
| Teachers' aides | 4.6 |
| Maids and housemen | 4.6 |
| Sawing machine operators | 4.6 |
| Machine operators, not specified | 4.5 |
| Weighers, measurers, and checkers | 4.5 |

(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-7. Ranking of Occupations by Median Years of Occupational Tenure (continued)

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Occupation | Median years of <br> occupational tenure |
|  |  |
| Traffic, shipping, and receiving clerks | 4.5 |
| Salesworkers, hardware and building supplies | 4.5 |
| Biological technicians | 4.4 |
| Athletes | 4.4 |
| Bill and account collectors | 4.4 |
| Taxicab drivers and chauffeurs | 4.4 |
| Slicing and cutting machine operators | 4.3 |
| Administrative support occupations, n.e.c. | 4.3 |
| Mixing and blending machine operators | 4.3 |
| Waiters and waitresses | 4.2 |
| Janitors and cleaners | 4.2 |
| Production helpers | 4.1 |
| General office clerks | 4.0 |
| Machine feeders and offbearers | 3.9 |
| Interviewers | 3.9 |
| Bartenders | 3.9 |
| Eligibility clerks, social welfare | 3.9 |
| Bank tellers | 3.8 |
| Cooks, except short-order | 3.8 |
| Health aides, except nursing | 3.7 |
| Laborers, except construction | 3.7 |
| Welfare service aides | 3.7 |
| Salesworkers, motor vehicles and boats | 3.7 |
| Cost and rate clerks | 3.1 |
| Construction laborers | 3.1 |
| Hand packers and packagers | 3.6 |
| Transportation ticket and reservation agents | 3.6 |
| Animal caretakers, except farm | 3.5 |
| Photographic process machine operators | 3.5 |
| Freight, stock, and material movers, hand, n.e.c. | 3.5 |
| Data-entry keyers | 3.5 |
| Bakersorkersorkers, other commodities | 3.4 |
| Dispatchers | 3.4 |
| Guards and police, except public service | 3.4 |
| Packaging and filling machine operators | 3.3 |
| Receptionists | 3.3 |

(continued on the following page)

Table 15A-7. Ranking of Occupations by Median Years of Occupational Tenure (continued)

| Occupation | Median years of <br> occupational tenure |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Small engine repairers | 3.1 |
| Supervisors, food preparation and service occupations | 3.0 |
| Health record technologists and technicians | 2.9 |
| Helpers, construction trades | 2.9 |
| Attendants, amusement and recreation facilities | 2.8 |
| Street and door-to-door salesworkers | 2.7 |
| Child-care workers, private household | 2.7 |
| Child-care workers, except private household | 2.7 |
| Information clerks, n.e.c. | 2.7 |
| Hotel clerks | 2.7 |
| Personal service occupations, n.e.c. | 2.7 |
| Salesworkers, shoes | 2.6 |
| Garage and service station related occupations | 2.6 |
| Short-order cooks | 2.5 |
| File clerks | 2.5 |
| Cashiers | 2.4 |
| Mail clerks, except postal service | 2.3 |
| Miscellaneous food preparation occupations | 2.3 |
| News vendors | 2.3 |
| Vehicle washers and equipment cleaners | 2.3 |
| Messengers | 2.3 |
| Kitchen workers, food preparation | 2.1 |
| Stock handlers and baggers | 1.9 |
| Waiters and waitresses assistants | 1.7 |
| Food counter, fountain, and related occupations | 1.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ n.e.c. - not elsewhere classified

Source: Carey, 1988

## APPENDIX 15B

ACTIVITY PATTERNS CODES AND OCCUPATIONAL TENURE DATA
Volume III - Activity Factors
Appendix 15B

Table 15B-1. Annual Geographical Mobility Rates, by Type of Movement for Selected 1-Year Periods: 1960-1992 (numbers in thousands)

| Mobility period | Total movers | Residing in the United States at beginning of period |  |  |  |  |  | Residing outside the United States at the beginning of period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Different house, same county |  | Different County |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  | Total | Same State | Different State | Different <br> Region |  |
| NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-92 | 42,800 | 41,545 | 26,587 | 14,957 | 7,853 | 7,105 | 3,285 | 1,255 |
| 1990-91 | 41,539 | 40,154 | 25,151 | 15,003 | 7,881 | 7,122 | 3,384 | 1,385 |
| 1989-90 | 43,381 | 41,821 | 25,726 | 16,094 | 8,061 | 8,033 | 3,761 | 1,560 |
| 1988-89 | 42,620 | 41,153 | 26,123 | 15,030 | 7,949 | 7,081 | 3,258 | 1,467 |
| 1987-88 | 42,174 | 40,974 | 26,201 | 14,772 | 7,727 | 7,046 | 3,098 | 1,200 |
| 1986-87 | 43,693 | 42,551 | 27,196 | 15,355 | 8,762 | 6,593 | 3,546 | 1,142 |
| 1985-86 | 43,237 | 42,037 | 26,401 | 15,636 | 8,665 | 6,791 | 3,778 | 1,200 |
| 1984-85 | 46,470 | 45,043 | 30,126 | 14,917 | 7,995 | 6,921 | 3,647 | 1,427 |
| 1983-84 | 39,379 | 38,300 | 23,659 | 14,641 | 8,198 | 6,444 | 3,540 | 1,079 |
| 1982-83 | 37,408 | 36,430 | 22,858 | 13,572 | 7,403 | 6,169 | 3,192 | 978 |
| 1981-82 | 38,127 | 37,039 | 23,081 | 13,959 | 7,330 | 6,628 | 3,679 | 1,088 |
| 1980-81 | 38,200 | 36,887 | 23,097 | 13,789 | 7,614 | 6,175 | 3,363 | 1,313 |
| 1970-71 | 37,705 | 36,161 | 23,018 | 13,143 | 6,197 | 6,946 | 3,936 | 1,544 |
| 1960-61 | 36,533 | 35,535 | 24,289 | 11,246 | 5,493 | 5,753 | 3,097 | 988 |
| PERCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-92 | 17.3 | 16.8 | 10.7 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| 1990-91 | 17.0 | 16.4 | 10.3 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| 1989-90 | 17.9 | 17.3 | 10.6 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| 1988-89 | 17.8 | 17.2 | 10.9 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| 1987-88 | 17.8 | 17.3 | 11.0 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| 1986-87 | 18.6 | 18.1 | 11.6 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| 1985-86 | 18.6 | 18.0 | 11.3 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.5 |
| 1984-85 | 20.2 | 19.6 | 13.1 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| 1983-84 | 17.3 | 16.8 | 10.4 | 6.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 0.5 |
| 1982-83 | 16.6 | 16.1 | 10.1 | 6.0 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| 1981-82 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 10.3 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.5 |
| 1980-81 | 17.2 | 16.6 | 10.4 | 6.2 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| 1970-71 | 18.7 | 17.9 | 11.4 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| 1960-61 | 20.6 | 20.0 | 13.7 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 0.6 |

Source:
U.S. Bureau of Census, 1993.

Table 15B-2. Mobility of the Resident Population by State: 1980

| Region, division, and state |  | Same house in <br> 1980 as 1975 | Perce reside <br> Different house, same county | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bution - } \\ & 1975^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Different county, different state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persons 5 years old, and over $^{\text {b }}$ 1980 $(1,000)$ |  |  | Different county, same state |  |
| United States | 210,323 | 53.6 | 25.1 | 9.8 | 9.7 |
| Northeast | 46,052 | 61.7 | 22.3 | 8.0 | 6.1 |
| New England | 11,594 | 59.1 | 23.4 | 6.7 | 9.2 |
| Maine | 1,047 | 56.9 | 24.0 | 7.5 | 10.8 |
| New Hampshire | 857 | 51.6 | 22.8 | 6.2 | 18.5 |
| Vermont | 476 | 54.4 | 23.9 | 6.5 | 14.3 |
| Massachusetts | 5,398 | 61.0 | 22.7 | 7.6 | 7.0 |
| Rhode Island | 891 | 60.5 | 23.9 | 5.0 | 8.7 |
| Connecticut | 2,925 | 59.0 | 24.4 | 5.5 | 9.3 |
| Middle Atlantic | 34,458 | 62.6 | 21.9 | 8.4 | 5.0 |
| New York | 16,432 | 61.5 | 22.6 | 9.3 | 3.8 |
| New Jersey | 6,904 | 61.5 | 20.0 | 8.6 | 7.8 |
| Pennsylvania | 11,122 | 65.0 | 22.0 | 7.1 | 5.2 |
| Midwest | 54,513 | 55.4 | 26.4 | 10.2 | 7.0 |
| East North Central | 38,623 | 56.0 | 27.4 | 9.6 | 6.0 |
| Ohio | 10,015 | 56.7 | 27.9 | 9.0 | 5.7 |
| Indiana | 5,074 | 54.8 | 27.5 | 9.6 | 7.6 |
| Illinois | 10,593 | 55.5 | 28.5 | 8.1 | 6.1 |
| Michigan | 8,582 | 56.4 | 26.2 | 11.3 | 5.1 |
| Wisconsin | 4,360 | 56.2 | 25.5 | 11.0 | 6.7 |
| West North Central | 15,890 | 53.9 | 24.0 | 11.8 | 9.4 |
| Minnesota | 3,770 | 55.6 | 22.8 | 13.3 | 7.3 |
| Iowa | 2,693 | 55.6 | 25.0 | 10.9 | 7.9 |
| Missouri | 4,564 | 54.0 | 24.1 | 11.8 | 9.4 |
| North Dakota | 598 | 51.7 | 23.1 | 11.4 | 12.7 |
| South Dakota | 633 | 52.9 | 23.2 | 12.1 | 11.1 |
| Nebraska | 1,448 | 53.1 | 24.4 | 11.0 | 10.5 |
| Kansas | 2,184 | 50.2 | 25.1 | 10.7 | 12.6 |

Table 15B-2. Mobility of the Resident Population by State: 1980 (continued)

| Region, division, and state |  | Same house in 1980 as 1975 | Different <br> house, same county | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ribution - } \\ & 1975^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ | Different county, different state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Persons } \\ & 5 \text { years } \\ & \text { old, and } \\ & \text { over }^{\text {b }} \\ & 1980 \\ & (1,000) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Different county, same state |  |
| South | 69,880 | 52.4 | 24.1 | 10.0 | 12.0 |
| South Atlantic | 34,498 | 52.7 | 22.4 | 9.7 | 13.6 |
| Delaware | 555 | 57.0 | 26.3 | 2.0 | 13.3 |
| Maryland | 3,947 | 55.5 | 21.9 | 10.3 | 10.4 |
| District of Columbia | 603 | 58.2 | 22.7 | NA | 16.3 |
| Virginia | 4,99i | 51.0 | 17.9 | 15.0 | 13.9 |
| West Virginia | 1,806 | 60.9 | 23.4 | 6.6 | 8.6 |
| North Carolina | 5,476 | 56.9 | 23.5 | 8.9 | 9.8 |
| South Carolina | 2,884 | 57.5 | 22.3 | 7.7 | 11.5 |
| Georgia | 5,052 | 52.5 | 22.8 | 12.2 | 11.5 |
| Florida | 9,183 | 46.2 | 23.7 | 7.8 | 19.6 |
| East South Central | 13,556 | 56.0 | 25.9 | 7.9 | 9.5 |
| Kentucky | 3,379 | 54.4 | 27.2 | 8.6 | 9.0 |
| Tennessee | 4,269 | 54.2 | 27.2 | 7.4 | 10.6 |
| Alabama | 3,601 | 57.6 | 25.3 | 7.4 | 8.9 |
| Mississippi | 2,307 | 59.0 | 22.5 | 8.6 | 9.2 |
| West South Central | 21,826 | 49.6 | 25.6 | 11.8 | 11.0 |
| Arkansas | 2,113 | 53.1 | 24.8 | 9.1 | 12.4 |
| Louisiana | 3,847 | 57.0 | 24.3 | 9.2 | 8.4 |
| Oklahoma | 2,793 | 47.6 | 24.9 | 12.3 | 13.7 |
| Texas | 13,074 | 47.3 | 26.2 | 12.9 | 11.0 |
| West | 39,879 | 43.8 | 28.3 | 11.0 | 13.4 |
| Mountain | 10,386 | 42.7 | 25.1 | 9.1 | 21.1 |
| Montana | 722 | 47.3 | 24.5 | 12.3 | 15.0 |
| Idaho | 852 | 44.4 | 24.7 | 9.5 | 20.0 |
| Wyoming | 425 | 38.4 | 23.6 | 8.6 | 28.3 |
| Colorado | 2,676 | 39.8 | 22.7 | 14.8 | 20.6 |
| New Mexico | 1,188 | 50.3 | 23.2 | 7.2 | 17.4 |
| Arizona | 2,506 | 41.9 | 27.1 | 5.0 | 23.9 |
| Utah | 1,272 | 45.8 | 27.8 | 8.4 | 16.0 |
| Nevada | 745 | 34.8 | 27.4 | 3.6 | 31.5 |

(continued on the following page)

Table 15B-2. Mobility of the Resident Population by State: 1980 (continued)

| Region, division, and state |  | Same house in 1980 as 1975 | Perce reside <br> Different house, same county | bution - $1975^{a}$ | Different county, different state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persons 5 years old, and over ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 1980 $(1,000)$ |  |  | Different county, same state |  |
| Pacific | 29,493 | 44.2 | 29.4 | 11.6 | 10.7 |
| Washington | 3,825 | 43.7 | 27.7 | 10.1 | 16.2 |
| Oregon | 2,437 | 41.4 | 26.6 | 13.4 | 16.9 |
| California | 21,980 | 44.6 | 30.2 | 12.1 | 8.5 |
| Alaska | 363 | 32.2 | 27.6 | 8.7 | 29.1 |
| Hawaii | 888 | 49.3 | 25.2 | 2.8 | 16.9 |

[^10]Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Statistical Abstract, 1984.
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## 16. CONSUMER PRODUCTS

### 16.1. BACKGROUND

Consumer products may contain toxic or potentially toxic chemical constituents to which humans may be exposed as a result of their use. For example, methylene chloride and other solvents and carriers are common in consumer products and may have human health concerns. Potential pathways of exposure to consumer products or chemicals released from consumer products during use occur via ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact. Exposure assessments that address consumer products involve characterization of these potential exposure pathways and calculating exposure or dose (based on exposure pathway) of chemical substances released during use of consumer products. In order to estimate specificpathway exposure for consumer products or their components, the following information is needed: amount of product used; concentration of product in each type of activity; percent weight of chemical present in product; duration and frequency of use or activity; and for dermal exposure, the amount of solution on skin after exposure (Hakkinen et al., 1991; U.S. EPA, 1987).

This chapter presents information on the amount of product used, frequency of use, and duration of use for various consumer products typically found in consumer households. All tables that present information for these consumer products are located at the end of this chapter. U.S. EPA (1987) has complied a comprehensive list of consumer products found in typical American households. This list of consumer products is presented in Table 16-1. It should be noted that this chapter does not provide an exhaustive treatment of all consumer products, but rather provides some background and data that can be utilized in an exposure assessment. Also, the data presented may not capture information needed to assess the highly exposed population (e.g., consumers who use commercial/ industrial strength products at home). The studies presented in the following sections represent readily available surveys for which data were collected on the frequency and duration of use and amount of use of cleaning products, painting products, household solvent products, cosmetic and other personal care products, household equipment, pesticides, and tobacco. The studies have been classified as either key or relevant based on their applicability to exposure assessment needs.

The reader is also referred to a document developed by the U.S. EPA, Office of Toxic Substances: Standard Scenarios for Estimating Exposure to Chemical Substances During Use of Consumer Products - Volumes

I and II (U.S. EPA, 1986). This document presents data and supporting information required to assess consumer exposure to constituents in household cleaners and components of adhesives. Information presented includes a description of standard scenarios selected to represent upper bound exposures for each product. Values are also presented for parameters that are needed to estimate exposure for defined exposure routes and pathways assumed for each scenario.

An additional reference is the Simmons Market Research Bureau (SMRB), "Simmons Study of Media and Markets." This document provides an example of marketing data that are available that may be useful in assessing exposure to selected products. The reports are published annually. Data are collected on the buying habits of the U.S. populations over the past 12 months. This information is collected for over 1,000 consumer products. Data are presented on frequency of use, total number of buyers in each use category, and selected demographics. The consumer product data are presented according to the "buyer" and not necessarily according to the "user" (actively exposed person). It may be necessary to adjust the data to reflect potential uses in a household. The reports are available for purchase from the Simmons Market Research Bureau, (212) 916-8970. Appendix Table 16A-1 presents a list of product categories in SMRB for which information is available.

### 16.2. KEY CONSUMER PRODUCTS USE STUDIES

Westat (1987a) - Household Solvent Products: A National Usage Survey - Westat (1987a) conducted a nationwide survey to determine consumer exposure to common household products believed to contain methylene chloride or its substitutes (trichloroethane, trichloroethylene, carbon tetrachloride, perchloroethylene, and 1,1,1,2,2,2- trichlorotrifluoroethane). The survey methodology was comprised of three phases. In the first phase, the sample population was generated by using a random digit dialing (RDD) procedure. Using this procedure, telephone numbers of households were randomly selected by utilizing an unbiased, equal probability of selection method, known as the "Waksberg Method" (Westat, 1987a). After the respondents in the selected households (18 years and older) agreed to participate in the survey, the second phase was initiated. It involved a mailout of questionnaires and product pictures to each respondent. In the third phase, a telephone follow-up call was made to those respondents who did not respond to the mailed questionnaire within a 4 -week period. The same
questionnaire was administered over the telephone to participants who did not respond to the mailed questionnaire. Of the 6,700 individuals contacted for the survey, 4,920 individuals either responded to the mailed questionnaire or to a telephone interview (a response rate of 73 percent). Survey questions included how often the products were used in the last 12 months; when they were last used; how much time was spent using a product (per occasion or year), and the time the respondent remained in the room after use; how much of a product was used per occasion or year; and what protective measures were used (Westat, 1987a).

Thirty-two categories of common household products were included in the survey and are presented in Table 16-2. Tables 16-2, 16-3, 16-4, and 16-5 provide means, medians, and percentile rankings for the following variables: frequency of use, exposure time, amount of use, and time exposed after use.

An advantage of this study is that the random digit dialing procedure (Waksberg Method) used in identifying participants for this survey enabled a diverse selection of a representative, unbiased, sample of the U.S. population (Westat 1987a). Also, empirical data generated from this study will provide more accurate calculations of human exposure to consumer household products than estimates previously used. However, a limitation associated with this study is that the data generated were based on recall behavior. Another limitation is that extrapolation of these data to long-term use patterns may be difficult.

Abt (1992) - Methylene Chloride Consumer Use Study Survey Findings - As part of a plan to assess the effectiveness of labeling of consumer products containing methylene chloride, Abt conducted a telephone survey of nearly five thousand households (Abt, 1992). The survey was conducted in April and May of 1991. Three classes of products were of concern: paint strippers, non-automotive spray paint, and adhesive removers. The survey paralleled a 1986 consumer use survey sponsored jointly by Abt and the U.S. EPA. Results of the survey were the following (Abt, 1992):

- Compared to the 1986 findings, a significantly smaller proportion of current survey respondents used a paint stripper, spray paint, or adhesive remover.
- The proportion of the population who used the three products recently (within the past year) decreased substantially.
- Those who used the products reported a significantly longer time since their last use.
- For all three products, the reported amount used per year was significantly higher in the current survey.

The survey was conducted to estimate the percent of the U.S. adult population using paint remover, adhesive remover, and non-automotive spray paint. In addition, an estimate of the population using these products containing methylene chloride was determined. A survey questionnaire was developed to collect product usage data and demographic data. The survey sample was generated using a RDD technique.

A total of 4,997 product screener interviews were conducted for the product interview sections; the number of respondents were: 381 for paint strippers, 58 for adhesive removers, and 791 for non-automotive spray paint. Survey responses were weighted to allow estimation at the level of the total U.S. population (Abt, 1992). A follow-up mail survey was also conducted using a short questionnaire. Respondents who had used the product in the past year or had purchased the product in the past 2 years and still had the container were asked to respond to the questionnaire (Abt, 1992). Of the mail questionnaires (527) sent out, 259 were returned. The questionnaire responses included 67 on paint strippers, 6 on adhesive removers, and 186 on nonautomotive spray paint. Results of the survey are presented in Tables 16-6 through 16-11 (N's are unweighted). Data are presented for recent users. Recent users were defined as persons who have used the product within the last year of the survey or who have purchased the product in the past 2 years.

An advantage of this survey is that the survey population was large and the survey responses were weighted to represent the U.S. population. In addition, the survey was designed to collect data for frequency of product use and amount of product used by gender. A limitation of the survey is that the data were generated based on recall behavior. Extrapolation of these data to accurately reflect long-term use patterns may be difficult.

Westat (1987b) - National Usage Survey of Household Cleaning Products - Westat (1987b) collected usage data from a nationwide survey to assess the magnitude of exposure of consumers to various products used when performing certain household cleaning tasks. The survey was conducted between the middle of November, 1985 to the middle of January, 1986.
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Telephone interviews were conducted with 193 households. According to Westat (1987b), the resulting response rate for this survey was 78 percent. The Waksberg method discussed previously in the Westat (1987a) study was also used in randomly selecting telephone numbers employed in the Westat (1987b) survey. The survey was designed to obtain information on cleaning activities performed in the interior of the home during the previous year. The person who did the majority of the cleaning in the kitchen and bathroom areas of each household was interviewed. Of those respondents, the primary cleaner was female in 160 households ( 83 percent) and male in 30 households (16 percent); the sex of the respondents in three remaining households was not ascertained (Westat, 1987b). Data obtained from the survey included the frequency of performing 14 different cleaning tasks; the amount of time (duration) spent at each task; the cleaning product most frequently used; the type of product (liquid, powder, aerosol or spray pump) used; and the protective measures taken during cleaning such as wearing rubber gloves or having a window open or an exhaust fan on (Westat, 1987b).

The survey data are presented in Tables 16-12 through 16-16. Table 16-12 presents the mean and median total exposure time of use for each cleaning task and the product type preferred for each task. The percentile rankings for the total time exposed to the products used for 14 cleaning tasks are presented in Table 16-13. The mean and percentile rankings of the frequency in performing each task are presented in Table 16-14. Table 16-15 shows the mean and percentile rankings for exposure time per event of performing household tasks. The mean and percentile rankings for total number of hours spent per year using the top 10 product groups are presented in Table 16-16.

Westat (1987b) randomly selected a subset of 30 respondents from the original survey and reinterviewed them during the first two weeks of March, 1986 as a reliability check on the recall data obtained from the original phone survey. Frequency and duration data for 3 of the original 14 cleaning tasks were obtained from the reinterviews. In a second effort to validate the phone survey, 50 respondents of the original phone survey participated in a four-week diary study (between February and March, 1986) of 8 of the 14 cleaning tasks originally studied. The diary approach assessed the validity of using a one-time telephone survey to determine usual cleaning behavior (Westat, 1987b). The data (i.e., frequency and duration) obtained from the reinterviews and the diary approach were lower than the data from the original telephone survey. The data from the reinterviews and the
diary approach were more consistent with each other. Westat (1987b) attributed the significant differences in the data obtained from these surveys to seasonal changes rather than methodological problems.

A limitation of this survey is evident from the reliability and validity check of the data conducted by Westat (1987b). The data obtained from the telephone survey may reflect heavier seasonal cleaning because the survey was conducted during the holidays (November through January). Therefore, usage data obtained in this study may be biased and may represent upper bound estimates. Another limitation of this study is the small size of the sample population. An advantage of this survey is that the RDD procedure (Waksberg Method) used provides unbiased results of sample selection and reduces the number of unproductive calls. Another advantage of this study is that it provides empirical data on frequency and duration of consumer use, thereby eliminating best judgment or guesswork.

Westat (1987c) - National Household Survey of Interior Painters - Westat (1987c) conducted a study between November, 1985 and January, 1986 to obtain usage information to estimate the magnitude of exposure of consumers to different types of painting and painting related products used while painting the interior of the home. Seven-hundred and seventy-seven households were sampled to determine whether any household member had painted the interior of the home during the last 12 months prior to the survey date. Of the sampled households, 208 households ( 27 percent) had a household member who had painted during the last 12 months. Based on the households with primary painters, the response rate was 90 percent (Westat, 1987c). The person in each household who did most of the interior painting during the last 12 months was interviewed over the telephone. The RDD procedure (Waksberg Method) previously described in Westat (1987a) was used to generate sample blocks of telephone numbers in this survey. Questions were asked on frequency and time spent for interior painting activities; the amount of paint used; and protective measures used (i.e., wearing gloves, hats, and masks or keeping a window open) (Westat, 1987c). Fifty-three percent of the primary painters in the households interviewed were male, 46 percent were female, and the sex of the remaining 1 percent was not ascertained. Three types of painting products were used in this study; latex paint, oil-based paint, and wood stains and varnishes. Of the respondents, 94.7 percent used latex paint, 16.8 percent used oil-based paint, and 20.2 percent used wood stains and varnishes.
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Data generated from this survey are summarized in Tables 16-17, 16-18, and 16-19. Table 16-17 presents the mean, standard duration, and percentile rankings for the total exposure time for painting activity by paint type. Table 16-18 presents the mean and standard exposure time for the painting activity per occasion for each paint type. A "painting occasion" is defined as a time period from start to cleanup (Westat 1987c). Table 16-18 also presents the frequency and percentile rankings of painting occasions per year. Table 16-19 presents the total amount of paint used by interior painters.

In addition, 30 respondents from the original survey were reinterviewed in April 1986, as a reliability check on the recall data obtained from the original painting survey. There were no significant differences between the data obtained from the reinterviews and the original painting survey (Westat, 1987c).

An advantage of this survey, based on the reliability check conducted by Westat (1987c), is the stability in the painting data obtained. Another advantage of this survey is that the response rate was high ( 90 percent), therefore, minimizing non-response bias. Also, the Waksberg Method employed provides an unbiased equal probability method of RDD. A limitation of the survey is the data are based on 12-month recall and may not accurately reflect long-term use patterns.

Tsang and Klepeis (1996) - National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS) - The U.S. EPA collected information for the general population on the duration and frequency of selected activities and the time spent in selected microenvironments via 24 -hour diaries. Over 9000 individuals from 48 contiguous states participated in NHAPS. The survey was conducted between October 1992 and September 1994. Individuals were interviewed to categorize their 24-hour routines (diaries) and/or answer follow-up exposure questions that were related to exposure events. Data were collected based on selected socioeconomic (gender, age, race, education, etc.) and geographic (census region, state, etc.) factors and time/season (day of week, month) (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996).

Data were collected for a maximum of 82 possible microenvironments and 91 different activities (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996). Respondents were also asked exposurerelated follow up questions, mostly on air and water exposure pathways, on specific pollutant sources (paint, glue, etc.), or prolonged background activities (tobacco smoke, gas heaters, etc.) (Tsang and Klepeis, 1996).

As part of the survey, data were also collected on duration and frequency of use of selected consumer products. These data are presented in Tables 16-20 through 16-34. Distribution data are presented for selected percentiles (where possible). Other data are presented in ranges of time spent in an activity (e.g., working with or near a product being used) or ranges for the number of times an activity involving a consumer product was performed. Tables 16-20 through 16-34 provide duration and/or frequency data for the following categories: selected cosmetics and personal care items; household cleaners and other household products; household equipment; pesticides; and tobacco products.

The advantages of NHAPS is that the data were collected for a large number of individuals and are representative of the U.S. general population. In addition, frequency distributions of time spent and frequency of occurrence data for activities and locations are provided, when possible. Also, data on 9,386 different respondents are grouped by various socioeconomic, geographic, time/seasonal factors. A disadvantage of NHAPS is that means cannot be calculated for consumers who spent more than 60 or 120 minutes (depending on the activity) in an activity using a consumer product. Therefore, a good estimate of the high consumer activities cannot be captured.

### 16.3. RELEVANT CONSUMER PRODUCTS USE STUDY

CTFA (1983) - Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association, Inc. - Summary of Results of Surveys of the Amount and Frequency of Use of Cosmetic Products by Women - The Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association Inc. (CTFA, 1983), a major manufacturer and a market research bureau, conducted surveys to obtain information on frequency of use of various cosmetic products. Three surveys were conducted to collect data on the frequency of use of various cosmetic products and selected baby products. In the first of these three surveys CTFA (1983) conducted a one-week prospective survey of 47 female employees and relatives of employees between the ages of 13 and 61 years. In the second survey, a cosmetic manufacturer conducted a retrospective survey of 1,129 of its customers. The third survey was conducted by a market research bureau which sampled 19,035 female consumers nationwide over a $9-1 / 2$ month period. Of the 19,035 females interviewed, responses from only 9,684 females were tabulated (CTFA, 1983). The third survey was designed to reflect the sociodemographic (i.e., age, income, etc) characteristics of the entire U.S. population.

The respondents in all three surveys were asked to record the number of times they used the various products in a given time period, i.e., a week, a day, a month, or a year (CTFA, 1983).

To obtain the average frequency of use for each cosmetic product, responses were averaged for each product in each survey. Thus, the averages were calculated by adding the reported number of uses per given time period for each product, dividing by the total number of respondents in the survey, and then dividing again by the number of days in the given time period (CTFA, 1983). The average frequency of use of cosmetic products was determined for both "users" and "non-users." The frequency of use of baby products was determined among "users" only. The upper 90th percentile frequency of use values were determined by eliminating the top ten percent most extreme frequencies of use. Therefore, the highest remaining frequency of use was recorded as the upper 90th percentile value (CTFA, 1983). Table 16-34 presents the amount of product used per application (grams) and the average and 90th percentile frequency of use per day for baby products and various cosmetic products for all the surveys.

An advantage of the frequency data obtained from the third survey (market research bureau) is that the sample population was more likely to be representative of the U.S. population. Another advantage of the third dataset is that the survey was conducted over a longer period of time when compared with the other two frequency datasets. Also, the study provided empirical data which will be useful in generating more accurate estimates of consumer exposure to cosmetic products. In contrast to the large market research bureau survey, the CTFA employee survey is very small and both that survey and the cosmetic company survey are likely to be biased toward high end users. Therefore, data from these two surveys should be used with caution.

### 16.4. RECOMMENDATIONS

Due to the large range and variation among consumer products and their exposure pathways, it is not feasible to specify recommended exposure values as has been done in other chapters of this handbook. The user is referred to the contents and references in the chapter to derive appropriate exposure factors. Table 16-35 summarizes the key and relevant studies in this chapter. In order to estimate consumer exposure to household products, several types of information are needed for the exposure equation. The information needed includes frequency and duration of use, amount of product used, percent weight of
the chemical of concern found in the product, and for dermal exposure, the amount of the solution on the skin after exposure. The studies of Westat (1987a, b, and c), (Abt, 1992), and Tsang and Klepeis (1996) provide information on amount, duration, and frequency of use of household products. The frequency and duration of use and amount of product used for some household and other consumer products can be obtained from Tables 16-2 through 16-34. Exposure to chemicals present in common household products can be estimated by utilizing data presented in these tables and the appropriate exposure equation. It should be noted that if these data are used to model indoor air concentrations, the values for time of use, time exposed after use, and frequency in the indoor air, should be the same values used in the dose equation for frequency and contact time for a given individual.
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| Table 16-1. Consumer Products Found in the Typical U.S. Household ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Consumer Product Category | Consumer Product |
| Cosmetics Hygiene Products | Adhesive bandages <br> Bath additives (liquid) <br> Bath additives (powder) <br> Cologne/perfume/aftershave <br> Contact lens solutions <br> Deodorant/antiperspirant (aerosol) <br> Deodorant/antiperspirant (wax and liquid) <br> Depilatories <br> Facial makeup <br> Fingernail cosmetics <br> Hair coloring/tinting products <br> Hair conditioning products <br> Hairsprays (aerosol) <br> Lip products <br> Mouthwash/breath freshener <br> Sanitary napkins and pads <br> Shampoo <br> Shaving creams (aerosols) <br> Skin creams (non-drug) <br> Skin oils (non-drug) <br> Soap (toilet bar) <br> Sunscreen/suntan products <br> Talc/body powder (non-drug) <br> Toothpaste <br> Waterless skin cleaners |
| Household Furnishings | Carpeting <br> Draperies/curtains <br> Rugs (area) <br> Shower curtains <br> Vinyl upholstery, furniture |
| Garment Conditioning Products | Anti-static spray (aerosol) <br> Leather treatment (liquid and wax) <br> Shoe polish <br> Spray starch (aerosol) <br> Suede cleaner/polish (liquid and aerosol) <br> Textile water-proofing (aerosol) |
| Household Maintenance Products | Adhesive (general) (liquid) <br> Bleach (household) (liquid) <br> Bleach (see laundry) <br> Candles <br> Cat box litter <br> Charcoal briquets <br> Charcoal lighter fluid <br> Drain cleaner (liquid and powder) <br> Dishwasher detergent (powder) <br> Dishwashing liquid <br> Fabric dye (DIY) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> Fabric rinse/softener (liquid) |


| Table 16-1. Consumer Products Found in the Typical U.S. Household ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (continued) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Consumer Product Category | Consumer Product |
| Household Maintenance Products (continued) | Fabric rinse/softener (powder) <br> Fertilizer (garden) (liquid) <br> Fertilizer (garden) (powder) <br> Fire extinguishers (aerosol) <br> Floor polish/wax (liquid) <br> Food packaging and packaged food <br> Furniture polish (liquid) <br> Furniture polish (aerosol) <br> General cleaner/disinfectant (liquid) <br> General cleaner (powder) <br> General cleaner/disinfectant (aerosol and pump) <br> General spot/stain remover (liquid) <br> General spot/stain remover (aerosol and pump) <br> Herbicide (garden-patio) (Liquid and aerosol) <br> Insecticide (home and garden) (powder) <br> Insecticide (home and garden) (aerosol and pump) <br> Insect repellent (liquid and aerosol) <br> Laundry detergent/bleach (liquid) <br> Laundry detergent (powder) <br> Laundry pre-wash/soak (powder) <br> Laundry pre-wash/soak (liquid) <br> Laundry pre-wash/soak (aerosol and pump) <br> Lubricant oil (liquid) <br> Lubricant (aerosol) <br> Matches <br> Metal polish <br> Oven cleaner (aerosol) <br> Pesticide (home) (solid) <br> Pesticide (pet dip) (liquid) <br> Pesticide (pet) (powder) <br> Pesticide (pet) (aerosol) <br> Pesticide (pet) (collar) <br> Petroleum fuels (home( (liquid and aerosol) <br> Rug cleaner/shampoo (liquid and aerosol) <br> Rug deodorizer/freshener (powder) <br> Room deodorizer (solid) <br> Room deodorizer (aerosol) <br> Scouring pad <br> Toilet bowl cleaner <br> Toiler bowl deodorant (solid) <br> Water-treating chemicals (swimming pools) |
| Home Building/Improvement Products (DIY) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Adhesives, specialty (liquid) <br> Ceiling tile <br> Caulks/sealers/fillers <br> Dry wall/wall board <br> Flooring (vinyl) <br> House Paint (interior) (liquid) <br> House Paint and Stain (exterior) (liquid) <br> Insulation (solid) <br> Insulation (foam) |
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| Table 16-1. Consumer Products Found in the Typical U.S. Household ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (continued) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Consumer Product Category | Consumer Product |
| Home Building/Improvement Products (DIY) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (Continued) | Paint/varnish removers <br> Paint thinner/brush cleaners <br> Patching/ceiling plaster <br> Roofing <br> Refinishing products (polyurethane, varnishes, etc.) <br> Spray paints (home) (aerosol) <br> Wall paneling <br> Wall paper <br> Wall paper glue |
| Automobile-related Products | Antifreeze <br> Car polish/wax <br> Fuel/lubricant additives <br> Gasoline/diesel fuel <br> Interior upholstery/components, synthetic <br> Motor oil <br> Radiator flush/cleaner <br> Automotive touch-up paint (aerosol) <br> Windshield washer solvents |
| Personal Materials | Clothes/shoes <br> Diapers/vinyl pants <br> Jewelry <br> Printed material (colorprint, newsprint, photographs) <br> Sheets/towels <br> Toys (intended to be placed in mouths) |
| A subjective listing based on consumer use profiles. DIY = Do It Yourself. <br> Source: U.S. EPA, 1987. |  |


| Table 16-2. Frequency of Use for Household Solvent Products (users-only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentile Rankings for Frequency of Use/Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Products | Mean | Std. dev. | Min. | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 | Max. |
| Spray Shoe Polish | 10.28 | 20.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 24.30 | 52.00 | 111.26 | 156.00 |
| Water Repellents/Protectors | 3.50 | 11.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 | 35.70 | 300.00 |
| Spot Removers | 15.59 | 43.34 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 40.00 | 52.00 | 300.00 | 365.00 |
| Solvent-Type Cleaning Fluids or Degreasers | 16.46 | 44.12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 46.00 | 52.00 | 300.00 | 365.00 |
| Wood Floor and Paneling Cleaners | 8.48 | 20.89 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | NA | 2.00 | 6.00 | 24.00 | 50.00 | 56.00 | 350.00 |
| TypeWriter Correction Fluid | 40.00 | 74.78 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 40.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 365.00 | 520.00 |
| Adhesives | 8.89 | 26.20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 15.00 | 28.00 | 100.00 | 500.00 |
| Adhesive Removers | 4.22 | 12.30 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 16.80 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Silicone Lubricants | 10.32 | 25.44 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 46.35 | 150.00 | 300.00 |
| Other Lubricants (excluding Automotive) | 10.66 | 25.46 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 420.00 |
| Specialized Electronic Cleaners (for TVs, Etc.) | 13.41 | 38.16 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 24.00 | 52.00 | 224.50 | 400.00 |
| Latex Paint | 3.93 | 20.81 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 800.00 |
| Oil Paint | 5.66 | 23.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 139.20 | 300.00 |
| Wood Stains, Varnishes, and Finishes | 4.21 | 12.19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 7.00 | 12.00 | 50.80 | 250.00 |
| Paint Removers/Strippers | 3.68 | 9.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 11.80 | 44.56 | 100.00 |
| Paint Thinners | 6.78 | 22.10 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 23.00 | 100.00 | 352.00 |
| Aerosol Spray Paint | 4.22 | 15.59 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 6.10 | 12.00 | 31.05 | 365.00 |
| Primers and Special Primers | 3.43 | 8.76 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 | 50.06 | 104.00 |
| Aerosol Rust Removers | 6.17 | 9.82 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 15.00 | 24.45 | 50.90 | 80.00 |
| Outdoor Water Repellents (for Wood or Cement) | 2.07 | 3.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.90 | 12.00 | 52.00 |
| Glass Frostings, Window Tints, and Artificial Snow | 2.78 | 21.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 27.20 | 365.00 |
| Engine Degreasers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carburetor Cleaners | 4.18 | 13.72 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.25 | 6.70 | 12.00 | 41.70 | 300.00 |
| Aerosol Spray Paints for Cars | 3.77 | 7.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 47.28 | 100.00 |
| Auto Spray Primers | 4.50 | 9.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 60.00 | 100.00 |
| Spray Lubricant for Cars | 6.42 | 33.89 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.75 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 139.00 | 500.00 |
| Transmission Cleaners | 10.31 | 30.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 20.00 | 40.00 | 105.60 | 365.00 |
| Battery Terminal Protectors | 2.28 | 3.55 | 1.00 | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 9.00 | NA | 26.00 |
| Brake Quieters Cleaners | 3.95 | 24.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 6.55 | 41.30 | 365.00 |
| Gasket Remover | 3.00 | 6.06 | 1.00 | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 10.40 | NA | 52.00 |
| Tire/Hubcap Cleaners | 2.50 | 4.39 | 1.00 | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | $5.00$ | 6.50 | NA | 30.00 |
| Ignition and Wire Dryers | 11.18 | 18.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 30.00 | 50.00 | 77.00 | 200.00 |
|  | 3.01 | 5.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 9.70 | 44.52 | 60.00 |
| NA = Not Available Source: Westat, 1987a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Table 16-3. Exposure Time of Use for Household Solvent Products (users-only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percentile Rankings for Duration of Use (minutes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Products | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { (mins) } \end{aligned}$ | Sta. dev. | Min. | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 | Max. |
| Spray Shoe Polish | 7.49 | 9.60 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 18.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 |
| Water Repellents/Protectors | 14.46 | 24.10 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.50 | 1.40 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 480.00 |
| Spot Removers | 10.68 | 22.36 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 360.00 |
| Solvent-Type Cleaning Fluids or | 29.48 | 97.49 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 300.00 | 1800.00 |
| Degreasers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood Floor and Paneling Cleaners | 74.04 | 128.43 | 0.02 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 90.00 | 147.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 2700.00 |
| TypeWriter Correction Fluid | 7.62 | 29.66 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 10.00 | 32.00 | 120.00 | 480.00 |
| Adhesives | 15.58 | 81.80 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 4.25 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 2880.00 |
| Adhesive Removers | 121.20 | 171.63 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 1.45 | 3.00 | 15.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 246.00 | 480.00 | 960.00 | 960.00 |
| Silicone Lubricants | 10.42 | 29.47 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 180.00 | 360.00 |
| Other Lubricants (excluding Automotive) | 8.12 | 32.20 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 90.00 | 900.00 |
| Specialized Electronic Cleaners (for TVs, Etc.) | 9.47 | 45.35 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 93.60 | 900.00 |
| Latex Paint | 295.08 | 476.11 | 0.02 | 1.00 | 22.50 | 30.00 | 90.00 | 180.00 | 360.00 | 480.00 | 810.00 | 2880.00 | 5760.00 |
| Oil Paint | 194.12 | 345.68 | 0.02 | 0.51 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 579.00 | 1702.80 | 5760.00 |
| Wood Stains, Varnishes, and Finishes | 117.17 | 193.05 | 0.02 | 0.74 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 140.00 | 360.00 | 720.00 | 280.00 |
| Paint Removers/Strippers | 125.27 | 286.59 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 420.00 | 1200.00 | 4320.00 |
| Paint Thinners | 39.43 | 114.85 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 480.00 | 2400.00 |
| Aerosol Spray Paint | 39.54 | 87.79 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 300.00 | 1800.00 |
| Primers and Special Primers | 91.29 | 175.05 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 360.00 | 981.60 | 1920.00 |
| Aerosol Rust Removers | 18.57 | 48.54 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | 130.20 | 720.00 |
| Outdoor Water Repellents | 104.94 | 115.36 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 300.00 | 480.00 | 960.00 |
| (for Wood or Cement) | 29.45 | 48.16 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 96.00 | 268.80 | 360.00 |
| Glass Frostings, Window Tints, and Artificial Snow | 29.29 | 48.14 | 0.02 | 0.95 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 900.00 |
| Engine Degreasers, Carburetor Cleaners | 13.57 | 23.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 7.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 45.00 | 120.00 | 300.00 |
| Aerosol Spray Paints for Cars | 42.77 | 71.39 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 145.00 | 360.00 | 900.00 |
| Auto Spray Primers | 51.45 | 86.11 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 27.50 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 529.20 | 600.00 |
| Spray Lubricant for Cars | 9.90 | 35.62 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 720.00 |
| Transmission Cleaners | 27.90 | 61.44 | 0.17 | NA | 0.35 | 1.80 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | NA | 450.00 |
| Battery Terminal Protectors | 9.61 | 18.15 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 |
| Brake Quieters/Cleaners | 23.38 | 36.32 | 0.07 | NA | 0.50 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 49.50 | 120.00 | NA | 240.00 |
| Gasket Remover | 23.57 | 27.18 | 0.33 | NA | 0.50 | 2.00 | 6.25 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | NA | 180.00 |
| Tire/Hubcap Cleaners | 22.66 | 23.94 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 |
| Ignition and Wire Dryers | 7.24 | 8.48 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 1.50 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 25.50 | 48.60 | 60.00 |

NA = Not Available
Source: Westat, 1987a



| Products | Mean (mins) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & \text { dev. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Percentile Rankings for Time Exposed After Duration of Use (minutes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Min. | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 | Max. |
| Spray Shoe Polish | 31.40 | 80.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 120.00 | 120.00 | 480.00 | 720.00 |
| Water Repellents/Protectors | 37.95 | 111.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 20.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 1800.00 |
| Spot Removers | 43.65 | 106.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 5,.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 1440.00 |
| Solvent-Type Cleaning Fluids or Degreasers | 33.29 | 90.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 28.75 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 480.00 | 1440.00 |
| Wood Floor and Paneling Cleaners | 96.75 | 192.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 1062.00 | 1440.00 |
| TypeWriter Correction Fluid | 124.70 | 153.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 360.00 | 480.00 | 600.00 | 1800.00 |
| Adhesives | 68.88 | 163.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 10.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 360.00 | 720.00 | 2100.00 |
| Adhesive Removers | 94.12 | 157.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 | 20.00 | 120.00 | 360.00 | 480.00 | 720.00 | 720.00 |
| Silicone Lubricants | 30.77 | 107.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 480.00 | 1440.00 |
| Other Lubricants (excluding Automotive) | 47.45 | 127.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 485.40 | 1440.00 |
| Specialized Electronic Cleaners (for TVs, Etc.) | 117.24 | 154.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 10.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 300.00 | 480.00 | 720.00 | 1440.00 |
| Latex Paint | 91.38 | 254.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 60.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 1440.00 | 2880.00 |
| Oil Paint | 44.56 | 155.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 2880.00 |
| Wood Stains, Varnishes, and Finishes | 48.33 | 156.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 694.00 | 2880.00 |
| Paint Removers/Strippers | 31.38 | 103.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 541.20 | 1440.00 |
| Paint Thinners | 32.86 | 105.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 480.00 | 1440.00 |
| Aerosol Spray Paint | 12.70 | 62.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 260.50 | 1440.00 |
| Primers and Special Primers | 22.28 | 65.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 319.20 | 720.00 |
| Aerosol Rust Removers | 15.06 | 47.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | 190.20 | 600.00 |
| Outdoor Water Repellents (for Wood or Cement) | 8.33 | 43.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 58.50 | 309.60 | 420.00 |
| Glass Frostings, Window Tints, and Artificial Snow | 137.87 | 243.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 360.00 | 480.00 | 1440.00 | 1800.00 |
| Engine Degreasers | 4.52 | 24.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.50 | 120.00 | 360.00 |
| Carburetor Cleaners | 7.51 | 68.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 30.00 | 120.60 | 1800.00 |
| Aerosol Spray Paints for Cars | 10.71 | 45.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.50 | 60.00 | 282.00 | 480.00 |
| Auto Spray Primers | 11.37 | 45.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 77.25 | 360.00 | 360.00 |
| Spray Lubricant for Cars | 4.54 | 30.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 15.00 | 70.20 | 420.00 |
| Transmission Cleaners | 5.29 | 29.50 | 0.00 | NA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 22.50 | NA | 240.00 |
| Battery Terminal Protectors | 3.25 | 17.27 | 0.00 | NA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.90 | 15.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 |
| Brake Quieters/Cleaners | 10.27 | 30.02 | 0.00 | NA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | NA | 120.00 |
| Gasket Remover | 27.56 | 58.54 | 0.00 | NA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 120.00 | 180.00 | NA | 240.00 |
| Tire/Hubcap Cleaners | 1.51 | 20.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.00 | 480.00 |
| Ignition and Wire Dryers | 6.39 | 31.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 30.00 | 216.60 | 240.00 |

NA = Not Available
Source: Westat, 1987a

| Table 16-6. Frequency of Use and Amount of Product Used for Adhesive Removers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Times Used Within the Last 12 Months $\mathrm{N}=58$ | Minutes Using $\mathrm{N}=52$ | Minutes in Room After Using ${ }^{\text {a }}$ $\mathrm{N}=51$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minutes in } \\ \text { Room After } \\ \text { Using }^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{~N}=5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Amount Used in Past Year (Fluid oz.) $\mathrm{N}=51$ | Amount per Use (Fluid oz.) $\mathrm{N}=51$ |
| Mean | 1.66 | 172.87 | 13.79 | 143.37 | 96.95 | 81.84 |
| Standard deviation | 1.67 | 304.50 | 67.40 | 169.31 | 213.20 | 210.44 |
| Minimum Value | 1.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 13.00 | 5.20 |
| 1st Percentile | 1.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 13.00 | 5.20 |
| 5th Percentile | 1.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 13.00 | 6.50 |
| 10th Percentile | 1.00 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 16.00 | 10.67 |
| 25th Percentile | 1.00 | 29.50 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
| Median Value | 1.00 | 120.00 | 0.00 | 120.00 | 32.00 | 26.00 |
| 75th Percentile | 2.00 | 240.00 | 0.00 | 420.00 | 96.00 | 64.00 |
| 90th Percentile | 3.00 | 480.00 | 0.00 | 420.00 | 128.00 | 128.00 |
| 95th Percentile | 5.00 | 1440.00 | 120.00 | 420.00 | 384.00 | 192.00 |
| 99th Percentile | 12.00 | 1440.00 | 420.00 | 420.00 | 1280.00 | 1280.00 |
| Maximum Value | 12.00 | 1440.00 | 420.00 | 1440.00 | 1280.00 | 1280.00 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes those who did not spend anytime in the room after use. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes only those who spent time in the room. <br> Source: Abt, 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 16-7. Adhesive Remover Usage by Gender |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gender |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Male } \\ \mathrm{N}=25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Female $\mathrm{N}=33$ |
| Mean number of months since last time adhesive remover was used - includes all respondents. (Unweighted $\mathrm{N}=240$ ) | 35.33 | 43.89 |
| Mean number of uses of product in the past year. | 1.94 | 1.30 |
| Mean number of minutes spent with the product during last use. | 127.95 | 233.43 |
| Mean number of minutes spent in the room after last use of product. (Includes all recent users) | 19.76 | 0 |
| Mean number of minutes spent in the room after last use of product. (Includes only those who did not leave immediately) | 143.37 | 0 |
| Mean ounces of product used in the past year. | 70.48 | 139.71 |
| Mean ounces of product used per use in the past year. | 48.70 | 130.36 |
| Source: Abt, 1992. |  |  |
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| Table 16-8. Frequency of Use and Amount of Product Used for Spray Paint |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Times Used Within the Last 12 Months $\mathrm{N}=775$ | Minutes Using $\mathrm{N}=786$ | Minutes in Room After Using ${ }^{\text {a }}$ N=791 | Minutes in Room After Using ${ }^{\text {b }}$ $\mathrm{N}=35$ | Amount Used in Past Year (Fluid oz.) $\mathrm{N}=778$ | Amount per Use (Fluid oz.) $\mathrm{N}=778$ |
| Mean | 8.23 | 40.87 | 3.55 | 65.06 | 83.92 | 19.04 |
| Standard deviation | 31.98 | 71.71 | 22.03 | 70.02 | 175.32 | 25.34 |
| Minimum Value | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 13.00 | 0.36 |
| 1st Percentile | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 13.00 | 0.36 |
| 5th Percentile | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 13.00 | 3.47 |
| 10th Percentile | 1.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 13.00 | 6.50 |
| 25th Percentile | 1.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 15.00 | 13.00 | 9.75 |
| Median Value | 2.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 30.00 | 26.00 | 13.00 |
| 75th Percentile | 4.00 | 45.00 | 0.00 | 60.00 | 65.00 | 21.67 |
| 90th Percentile | 11.00 | 90.00 | 0.00 | 120.00 | 156.00 | 36.11 |
| 95th Percentile | 20.00 | 120.00 | 0.00 | 120.00 | 260.00 | 52.00 |
| 99th Percentile | 104.00 | 360.00 | 120.00 | 300.00 | 1170.00 | 104.00 |
| Maximum Value | 365.00 | 960.00 | 300.00 | 300.00 | 1664.00 | 312.00 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes those who did not spend anytime in the room after use. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes only those who spent time in the room. <br> Source: Abt, 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 16-9. Spray Paint Usage by Gender |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gender |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ \mathrm{N}=405 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Female } \\ & \mathrm{N}=386 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean number of months since last time spray paint was used - includes all respondents. (Unweighted $\mathrm{N}=1724$ ) | 17.39 | 26.46 |
| Mean number of uses of product in the past year. | 10.45 | 4.63 |
| Mean number of minutes spent with the product during last use. | 40.87 | 40.88 |
| Mean number of minutes spent in the room after last use of product. (Includes all recent users) | $5.49$ | $0.40$ |
| Mean number of minutes spent in the room after last use of product. (Includes only those who did not leave immediately) | 67.76 | 34.69 |
| Mean ounces of product used in the past year. | $103.07$ | $59.99$ |
| Mean ounces of product used per use in the past year. | 18.50 | 19.92 |
| Source: Abt, 1992. |  |  |


| Table 16-10. Frequency of Use and Amount of Product Used for Paint Removers/Strippers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Times Used Within the Last 12 Months $N=316$ | Minutes Using $\mathrm{N}=390$ | Minutes in Room After Using ${ }^{\text {a }}$ $\mathrm{N}=390$ | Minutes in Room After Using ${ }^{\text {b }}$ $\mathrm{N}=39$ | Amount Used in Past Year (Fluid oz.) $\mathrm{N}=307$ | Amount per Use (Fluid oz.) $\mathrm{N}=307$ |
| Mean | 3.54 | 144.59 | 12.96 | 93.88 | 142.05 | 64.84 |
| Standard deviation | 7.32 | 175.54 | 85.07 | 211.71 | 321.73 | 157.50 |
| Minimum Value | 1.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 15.00 | 0.35 |
| 1st Percentile | 1.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 15.00 | 2.67 |
| 5th Percentile | 1.00 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 16.00 | 8.00 |
| 10th Percentile | 1.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 16.00 | 10.67 |
| 25th Percentile | 1.00 | 45.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 32.00 | 16.00 |
| Median Value | 2.00 | 120.00 | 0.00 | 60.00 | 64.00 | 32.00 |
| 75th Percentile | 3.00 | 180.00 | 0.00 | 120.00 | 128.00 | 64.00 |
| 90th Percentile | 6.00 | 360.00 | 10.00 | 180.00 | 256.00 | 128.00 |
| 95th Percentile | 12.00 | 480.00 | 60.00 | 420.00 | 384.00 | 192.00 |
| 99th Percentile | 50.00 | 720.00 | 180.00 | 1440.00 | 1920.00 | 320.00 |
| Maximum Value | 70.00 | 1440.00 | 1440.00 | 1440.00 | 3200.00 | 2560.00 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes those who did not spend anytime in the room after use. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes only those who spent time in the room. <br> Source: Abt, 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
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|  | Table 16-12. Total Exposure Time of Performing Task and Product Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Used by Task for Household Cleaning Products |  |


| Table 16-12. Total Exposure Time of Performing Task and Product Type Used by Task for Household Cleaning Products (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tasks | Mean (hrs/year) | Median (hrs/year) | Product Type $\qquad$ | Percent of Preference |
| Clean Outside of Windows | 13 | 6 | Liquid <br> Powder <br> Aerosol <br> Spray pump <br> Other | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \% \\ & 2 \% \\ & 6 \% \\ & 65 \% \\ & -- \end{aligned}$ |
| Clean Inside of Windows | 18 | 6 | Liquid <br> Powder <br> Aerosol <br> Spray pump <br> Other | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 1 \% \\ & 8 \% \\ & 66 \% \\ & 2 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Clean Glass Surfaces Such as Mirrors \& Tables | 34 | 13 | Liquid <br> Powder <br> Aerosol <br> Spray pump <br> Other | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & 1 \% \\ & 8 \% \\ & 76 \% \\ & 2 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Clean Outside of Refrigerator and Other Appliances | 27 | 13 | Liquid <br> Powder <br> Aerosol <br> Spray pump <br> Other | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & 3 \% \\ & 7 \% \\ & 38 \% \\ & 4 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Clean Spots or Dirt on Walls or Doors Finishes | 19 | 8 | Liquid <br> Powder <br> Aerosol <br> Spray pump <br> Other | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & 15 \% \\ & 4 \% \\ & 30 \% \\ & 4 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Source: Westat, 1987b. |  |  |  |  |
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| Tasks | Percentile Rankings for Total Exposure Exposure Time Performing Task (hrs/yr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100th | 95th | 90th | 75th | 50th | 25th | 10th | 0th |
| Clean Bathroom Sinks and Tubs | 365 | 121.67 | 91.25 | 52 | 26 | 13 | 5.2 | 0.4 |
| Clean Kitchen Sinks | 547.5 | 121.67 | 97.6 | 60.83 | 18.25 | 8.67 | 3.47 | 0.33 |
| Clean Inside of Kitchen Cabinets | 208 | 48 | 32.48 | 12 | 4.75 | 2 | 1 | 0.17 |
| Clean Outside of Cabinets | 780 | 78.66 | 36 | 17.33 | 6 | 2 | 0.967 | 0.07 |
| Wipe Off Kitchen Counters | 912.5 | 456.25 | 231.16 | 91.25 | 54.75 | 24.33 | 12.17 | 1.2 |
| Thoroughly Clean Counters | 547.5 | 94.43 | 52 | 26 | 13 | 6 | 1.75 | 0.17 |
| Clean Bathroom Floors | 365 | 71.49 | 36.83 | 26 | 8.67 | 4.33 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Clean Kitchen Floors | 730 | 96.98 | 52 | 26 | 14 | 8.67 | 4.33 | 0.5 |
| Clean Bathroom or Other Tilted or Ceramic Walls | 208 | 52 | 36 | 26 | 8.67 | 3 | 1 | 0.17 |
| Clean Outside of Windows | 468 | 32.6 | 24 | 11.5 | 6 | 2 | 1.5 | 0.07 |
| Clean Inside of Windows | 273 | 72 | 36 | 19.5 | 6 | 3 | 1.15 | 0.07 |
| Clean Glass Surfaces Such as Mirrors \& Tables | 1460 | 104 | 60.83 | 26 | 13 | 6 | 1.73 | 0.17 |
| Clean Outside Refrigerator and Other Appliances | 365 | 95.29 | 91.25 | 30.42 | 13 | 4.33 | 1.81 | 0.1 |
| Clean Spots or Dirt on Walls or Doors | 312 | 78 | 52 | 24 | 8 | 2 | 0.568 | 0.07 |
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| 16-15. Mean and Percentile Rankings for Exposure Time Per Event of Performing Household Tasks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tasks | Mean(minutes/event) | Percentile Rankings (minutes/event) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0th | 10th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th | 95th | 100th |
| Clean bathroom sinks and tubs | 20 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 90 |
| Clean kitchen sinks | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 480 |
| Clean inside of cabinets such as those in the kitchen | 137 | 5 | 24 | 44 | 120 | 180 | 240 | 360 | 2,880 |
| Clean outside of cabinets | 52 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 330 |
| Wipe off counters such as those in the kitchen | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 120 |
| Thoroughly clean counters | 25 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 180 |
| Clean bathroom floors | 16 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 38 | 60 |
| Clean kitchen floors | 30 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 180 |
| Clean bathroom or other tiled or ceramic walls | 34 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 240 |
| Clean outside of windows | 180 | 4 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 420 | 480 | 1,200 |
| Clean inside of windows | 127 | 4 | 20 | 45 | 90 | 158 | 300 | 381 | 1,200 |
| Clean other glass surfaces such as mirrors and tables | 24 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 180 |
| Clean outside of refrigerator and other appliances | 19 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 240 |
| Clean spots or dirt on walls or doors | 50 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 216 | 960 |
| Source: Westat, 1987b. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Products | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & (\mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{aligned}$ | Percentile Rankings of Total Exposure Time (hrs/yr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0th | 10th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th | 95th | 100th |
| Dish Detergents | 107 | 0.2 | 6 | 24 | 56 | 134 | 274 | 486 | 941 |
| Glass Cleaners | 67 | 0.4 | 3 | 12 | 29 | 62 | 139 | 260 | 1,508 |
| Floor Cleaners | 52 | 0.7 | 4 | 7 | 22 | 52 | 102 | 414 | 449 |
| Furniture Polish | 32 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1 | 12 | 36 | 101 | 215 | 243 |
| Bathroom Tile Cleaners | 47 | 0.5 | 2 | 8 | 17 | 48 | 115 | 287 | 369 |
| Liquid Cleansers | 68 | 0.2 | 2 | 9 | 22 | 52 | 122 | 215 | 2,381 |
| Scouring Powders | 78 | 0.3 | 9 | 17 | 35 | 92 | 165 | 281 | 747 |
| Laundry Detergents | 66 | 0.6 | 8 | 14 | 48 | 103 | 174 | 202 | 202 |
| Rug Cleaners/Shampoos | $12$ | $0.3$ | $0.3$ | $0.3$ | $9$ | $26$ | $26$ | $26$ | $26$ |
| All Purpose Cleaners | 64 | 0.3 | 4 | 9 | 26 | 77 | 174 | $262$ | 677 |
| a The data in Table 16-15 above reflect for only the 14 tasks included in the survey. Therefore, many of the durations reported in the table underestimate the hours of the use of the product group. For example, use of dish detergents to wash dishes is not included. <br> Source: Westat, 1987b. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 16-17. Total Exposure Time of Painting Activity of Interior Painters (hours) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Types of Paint | Mean <br> (hrs) | Std. dev. | Percentile Rankings for Duration of Painting Activity (hrs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Min. | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | Max. |
| Latex | 12.2 | 11.28 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 24 | 40 | 248 |
| Oil-based | 10.68 | 15.56 | 1 | 1.6 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 21.6 | 65.6 | 72 |
| Wood Stains and Varnishes | 8.57 | 10.85 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9.3 | 24 | 40 | 42 |
| Source: Westat, 1987c. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Types of Paint | Duration of Painting/Occasion (hrs) |  | Frequency of Occasions Spent Painting/Year |  | Percentile Rankings for Frequency of Occasions Spent Painting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Std. dev. | Min | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | Max. |
| Latex | 2.97 | 3 | 4.16 | 5.54 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 62 |
| Oil-based | 2.14 | 3 | 5.06 | 11.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 26 | 72 |
| Wood Stains and Varnishes | 2.15 | 2 | 4.02 | 4.89 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 20 |
| Source: Westat, 1987c. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 16-19. Amount of Paint Used by Interior Painters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Types of Paint | Median <br> (gallons) | Mean (gallons) | Std. dev. | Percentile Rankings for Amount of Paint Used (gallons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Min | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | Max. |
| Latex | 3.0 | 3.89 | 4.56 | 0.13 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 50 |
| Oil-based | 2.0 | 2.55 | 3.03 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 12 |
| Wood Stains and Varnishes | 0.75 | 0.88 | 0.81 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4.25 |
| Source: Westat, 1987c. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Population Group | Total N | Number of Times Used in a Day |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-9 | 10+ | DK |
| Overall | 2223 | 2100 | 113 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 912 | 868 | 44 | * | * | * |
| Female | 1311 | 1232 | 69 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 33 | 31 | 1 | 1 | * | * |
| 5-11 | 26 | 24 | 2 | * | * | * |
| 12-17 | 144 | 133 | 9 | * | 1 | 1 |
| 18-64 | 1735 | 1635 | 93 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| > 64 | 285 | 277 | 8 | * | * | * |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1781 | 1684 | 91 | 4 | * | 2 |
| Black | 242 | 233 | 7 | * | 1 | 1 |
| Asian | 30 | 30 | * | * | * | * |
| Some Others | 38 | 35 | 3 | * | * | * |
| Hispanic | 111 | 98 | 11 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Refused | 21 | 20 | 1 | * | * | * |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2012 | 1909 | 95 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Yes | 182 | 165 | 15 | * | 1 | 1 |
| DK | 11 | 9 | 2 | * | * | * |
| Refused | 18 | 17 | 1 | * | * | * |
| Employment 157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 157 | 145 | 10 | * | 1 | 1 |
| Full Time | 1195 | 1125 | 67 | 2 | * | 1 |
| Part Time | 240 | 228 | 11 | * | 1 | * |
| Not Employed | 618 | 591 | 23 | 2 | * | 2 |
| Refused | 13 | 11 | 2 | * | * | * |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 208 | 194 | 12 | * | 1 | 1 |
| < High School | 190 | 177 | 13 | * | * | * |
| High School Graduate | 739 | 704 | 32 | 2 | * | 1 |
| < College | 504 | 480 | 21 | * | 1 | 2 |
| College Graduate | 331 | 308 | 21 | 2 | * | * |
| Post Graduate | 251 | 237 | 14 | * | * | * |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 459 | 434 | 21 | 3 | * | 1 |
| Midwest | 530 | 502 | 25 | 1 | * | 2 |
| South | 813 | 766 | 46 | * | , | * |
| West | 421 | 398 | 21 | * | 1 | 1 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 1480 | 1402 | 71 | 3 | * | 4 |
| Weekend | 743 | 698 | 42 | 1 | 2 | * |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 604 | 574 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Spring | 588 | 549 | 36 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Summer | 568 | 535 | 31 | 2 | * | * |
| Fall | 463 | 442 | 20 | * | * | 1 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2075 | 1959 | 106 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Yes | 143 | 136 | 7 | * | * | * |
| DK | 5 | 5 | * | * | * | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2161 | 2043 | 108 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Yes | 52 | 47 | 5 | * | * | * |
| DK | 10 | 10 | * | * | * | * |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2112 | 1994 | 108 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Yes | 103 | 98 | 5 | * |  | * |
| DK | 8 | 8 | * | * | * | * |


| Table 16-21. Number of Respondents Using Any Aerosol Spray Product for Personal Care Item Such as Deodorant or Hair Spray at Specified Daily Frequencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group | Total N | Number of Times Used in a Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 10+ | DK |
| Overall | 1491 | 1019 | 352 | 57 | 22 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 8 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 528 | 375 | 125 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Female | 962 | 644 | 226 | 43 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 5 |
| Refused |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 27 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 2 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1-4 | 40 | 30 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-11 | 75 | 57 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-17 | 103 | 53 | 31 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 18-64 | 1071 | 724 | 263 | 39 | 15 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 5 |
| > 64 | 175 | 141 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1232 | 855 | 285 | 47 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 5 |
| Black | 131 | 84 | 32 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Asian | 24 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Some Others | 22 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 73 | 45 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refused | 9 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1359 | 937 | 316 | 49 | 20 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 8 |
| Yes | 119 | 74 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DK | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refused | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 210 | 137 | 52 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Full Time | 714 | 492 | 171 | 24 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Part Time | 152 | 99 | 35 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| Not Employed | 404 | 284 | 92 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Refused | 11 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 240 | 151 | 61 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| < High School | 128 | 83 | 37 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| High School Graduate | 528 | 365 | 121 | 23 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| < College | 311 | 212 | 77 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| College Graduate | 161 | 115 | 34 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Post Graduate | 123 | 93 | 22 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 292 | 201 | 70 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Midwest | 340 | 227 | 85 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| South | 585 | 388 | 148 | 23 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| West | 274 | 203 | 49 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 994 | 695 | 220 | 35 | 17 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 |
| Weekend | 497 | 324 | 132 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 381 | 264 | 86 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| Spring | 408 | 269 | 104 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Summer | 400 | 282 | 86 | 21 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Fall | 302 | 204 | 76 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1387 | 950 | 327 | 53 | 20 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 8 |
| Yes | 100 | 66 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| DK | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1451 | 990 | 344 | 55 | 22 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 8 |
| Yes | 35 | 26 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DK | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1411 | 972 | 322 | 55 | 22 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 8 |
| Yes | 74 | 44 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DK | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Note: * = Missing Data; "DK" = Don't Know; Refused = Respondents Refused To Answer; N= Sample Size Source: Tsang And Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perce | tiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 276 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 145 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 48 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 131 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 45 | 120 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 3 | 8 | 45 | 75 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.5 | 17.5 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 241 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.5 | 10 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 3 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Some Others | 2 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Race | Hispanic | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.5 | 27.5 | 120.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 257 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 145 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 74 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 72 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 12.5 | 105 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 30 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4.5 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 25 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 55 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 90 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 47.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 56 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12.5 | 75 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 222 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 67 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 74 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13.5 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 59 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 257 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 270 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 6 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 265 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $\mathrm{n}=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.

| Table 16-23. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities Working with or Near Household Cleaning Agents Such as Scouring Powders or Ammonia (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | entiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 905 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 278 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 627 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 672 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | $>64$ | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 721 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Race | Some Others | 19 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Hispanic | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 838 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 58 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12.5 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 422 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 98 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 76 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 12.5 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 304 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 114 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 109 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 75 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 309 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 209 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 580 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 325 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 75 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 17.5 | 52.5 | 104 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 244 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 201 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 826 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 79 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 868 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 33 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 843 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 60 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3.5 | 10 | 32.5 | 120.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $\mathrm{n}=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Table 16-24. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities (at home or elsewhere) Working with or Near Floorwax, Furniture Wax or Shoe Polish (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 325 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 96 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 229 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 267 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 32 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Race | Some Others | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22.5 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Hispanic | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 12.5 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 291 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 31 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 150 | 0 | 0.5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 32 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 92 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 26 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 115 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 70 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 75 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 29 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 77 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 70 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 125 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 53 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 210 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 115 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 92 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 13.5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 78 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 81 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 296 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 312 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 12.5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 302 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Note: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $\mathrm{n}=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Category | Population Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 294 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 70 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 36 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 12.5 | 25 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 | 60 | 120.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12.5 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12.5 | 17.5 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Some Others | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 30 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Race | Hispanic | 12 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 27.5 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 27 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 24 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 27.5 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 27.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 71 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 266 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 17.5 | 40 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $\mathrm{n}=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Category | Population Group | N | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 495 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 258 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 17.5 | 45 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 407 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 413 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.5 | 9 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 37.5 | 120.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Some Others | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.5 | 5 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Hispanic | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 449 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 299 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 44 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 22.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 35 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 138 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 128 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 5 | 27.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 101 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 362 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 95 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 149 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 21 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 91 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 50 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 489 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 26 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 17.5 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: A Value of "121" for Number of Minutes Signifies That More than 120 Minutes Were Spent; N = Doer Sample Size; Percentiles Are the Percentage of Doers below or Equal to a Given Number of Minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Category | Population Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 120 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Race | Some Others | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Race | Hispanic | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Hispanic | No | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 22.5 | 35 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 12.5 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 12.5 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Season | Winter | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Season | Spring | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 32.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 120.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 8.5 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Note: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $\mathrm{n}=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 16-28. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities Working with or Near Gasoline or Diesel-powered Equipment, Besides Automobiles (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Population Group | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 390 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 271 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 119 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 22.5 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7.5 | 25 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 35 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 26 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 25 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 355 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11.5 | 17.5 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Race | Some Others | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Race | Hispanic | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 105.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 367 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 237 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 20 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 33 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 66 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 135 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 89 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.5 | 10 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 57 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 117 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 151 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 65 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 278 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 112 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 97 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 110 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 119 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 64 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 361 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 28 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 30 | 120.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 381 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 7 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 368 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 21 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Note: A value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $\mathrm{n}=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes. <br> Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Category | Population Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 2298 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 948 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 67 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 1350 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 42.5 | 60 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 1686 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 70 |
| Race | White | 1953 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 16 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 182 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 38 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Race | Some Others | 29 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Race | Hispanic | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 45 | 120 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 2128 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 120 | 120 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 1114 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 34 | 60 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 237 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 734 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 |
| Education | < High School | 190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 33 | 60 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 717 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 518 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 347 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 60 | 70 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 288 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 90 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 420 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 545 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 60 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 831 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 16 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 502 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 1567 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 60 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 731 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 120 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 657 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 67 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 577 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 |
| Season | Summer | 565 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 120 |
| Season | Fall | 499 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 120 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 2109 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 180 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 2212 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 72 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 60 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 2164 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 124 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 |

Note: A Value of " 121 " for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $\mathrm{n}=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Table 16-30. Number of Respondents Using a Humidifier at Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total N | Almost Every Day | 3-5 Times a Week | 1-2 Times a Week | 1-2 Times a Month | DK |
| Overall | 1047 | 300 | 121 | 107 | 495 | 24 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 455 | 135 | 53 | 48 | 208 | 11 |
| Female | 591 | 165 | 68 | 59 | 286 | 13 |
| Refused | 1 | * | * | * | 1 | * |
| Age (years) 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 2 |
| 1-4 | 111 | 33 | 16 | 7 | 53 | 2 |
| 5-11 | 88 | 18 | 10 | 12 | 46 | 2 |
| 12-17 | 83 | 21 | 7 | 5 | 49 | 1 |
| 18-64 | 629 | 183 | 77 | 70 | 287 | 12 |
| $>64$ | 120 | 42 | 10 | 10 | 53 | 5 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 879 | 268 | 98 | 79 | 414 | 20 |
| Black | 93 | 24 | 10 | 15 | 42 | 2 |
| Asian | 18 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| Some Others | 20 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 12 | * |
| Hispanic | 30 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 13 | * |
| Refused | 7 | 2 | 1 | * | 3 | 1 |
| Hispanic 2078 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 978 | 286 | 109 | 95 | 466 | 22 |
| Yes | 60 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 25 | 1 |
| DK | 5 | 3 | * | * | 2 | * |
| Refused | 4 | * | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Employment 279 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 279 | 70 | 32 | 25 | 147 | 5 |
| Full Time | 416 | 124 | 43 | 44 | 194 | 11 |
| Part Time | 88 | 22 | 14 | 9 | 43 | * |
| Not Employed | 256 | 82 | 29 | 29 | 109 | 7 |
| Refused | 8 | 2 | 3 | * | 2 | 1 |
| Education 303074020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 303 | 74 | 36 | 27 | 160 | 6 |
| < High School | 86 | 27 | 15 | 14 | 29 | 1 |
| High School Graduate | 251 | 85 | 27 | 28 | 104 | 7 |
| < College | 188 | 53 | 16 | 17 | 97 | 5 |
| College Graduate | 119 | 32 | 17 | 13 | 56 | 1 |
| Post Graduate | 100 | 29 | 10 | 8 | 49 | 4 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 273 | 84 | 26 | 28 | 132 | 3 |
| Midwest | 326 | 102 | 37 | 32 | 142 | 13 |
| South | 302 | 83 | 42 | 31 | 141 | 5 |
| West | 146 | 31 | 16 | 16 | 80 | 3 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 698 | 196 | 83 | 70 | 335 | 14 |
| Weekend | 349 | 104 | 38 | 37 | 160 | 10 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 320 | 135 | 46 | 34 | 98 | 7 |
| Spring | 257 | 58 | 23 | 29 | 144 | 3 |
| Summer | 269 | 56 | 27 | 20 | 155 | 11 |
| Fall | 201 | 51 | 25 | 24 | 98 | 3 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 948 | 272 | 110 | 95 | 448 | 23 |
| Yes | 92 | 27 | 9 | 10 | 45 | 1 |
| DK | 7 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1015 | 290 | 116 | 103 | 482 | 24 |
| Yes | 24 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 9 | * |
| DK | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | * |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 994 | 278 | 117 | 102 | 473 | 24 |
| Yes | 48 | 21 | 3 | 4 | 20 | * |
| DK | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | * |

Note: * = Missing Data; DK= Don't Know; Refused = Respondent Refused to Answer; N = Number of Respondents
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.

|  | Total N | Number of Times Over a 6-month Period Pesticides Were Applied by Professionals |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-9 | 10+ | DK |
| Overall | 1946 | 1057 | 562 | 134 | 150 | 20 | 23 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 897 | 498 | 248 | 64 | 64 | 11 | 12 |
| Female | 1048 | 558 | 314 | 70 | 86 | 9 | 11 |
| Refused | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Age (years 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 33 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 4 | * | * |
| 1-4 | 113 | 60 | 35 | 11 | 6 | 1 | * |
| 5-11 | 150 | 84 | 37 | 10 | 18 | 1 | * |
| 12-17 | 143 | 90 | 40 | 5 | 6 | * | 2 |
| 18-64 | 1264 | 660 | 387 | 89 | 97 | 15 | 16 |
| > 64 | 243 | 146 | 55 | 15 | 19 | 3 | 5 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1532 | 856 | 429 | 98 | 117 | 14 | 18 |
| Black | 231 | 107 | 78 | 20 | 17 | 4 | 5 |
| Asian | 24 | 13 | 10 | 1 | * | * | * |
| Some Others | 38 | 24 | 8 | 4 | 2 | * | * |
| Hispanic | 100 | 45 | 33 | 10 | 11 | 1 | * |
| Refused | 21 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | * |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1750 | 960 | 499 | 121 | 130 | 19 | 21 |
| Yes | 172 | 83 | 56 | 12 | 18 | 1 | 2 |
| DK | 8 | 5 | 3 | * | * | * | * |
| Refused | 16 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 | * | * |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 398 | 229 | 111 | 24 | 30 | 2 | 2 |
| Full Time | 855 | 463 | 252 | 59 | 60 | 11 | 10 |
| Part Time | 163 | 84 | 50 | 14 | 12 | 2 | 1 |
| Not Employed | 512 | 272 | 145 | 35 | 46 | 5 | 9 |
| Refused | 18 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 2 | * | 1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 436 | 246 | 122 | 27 | 35 | 2 | 4 |
| < High School | 137 | 80 | 31 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 4 |
| High School Graduate | 483 | 265 | 140 | 26 | 38 | 9 | 5 |
| < College | 416 | 218 | 131 | 28 | 29 | 4 | 6 |
| College Graduate | 272 | 137 | 87 | 25 | 20 | 2 | 1 |
| Post Graduate | 202 | 111 | 51 | 17 | 18 | 2 | 3 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 335 | 201 | 85 | 2 | 22 | 3 | 4 |
| Midwest | 318 | 202 | 84 | 17 | 13 | * | 2 |
| South | 875 | 404 | 298 | 63 | 86 | 11 | 13 |
| West | 418 | 250 | 95 | 34 | 29 | 6 | 4 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 1303 | 702 | 374 | 91 | 105 | 16 | 15 |
| Weekend | 643 | 355 | 188 | 43 | 45 | 4 | 8 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 466 | 247 | 129 | 29 | 46 | 9 | 6 |
| Spring | 449 | 240 | 128 | 30 | 43 | 3 | 5 |
| Summer | 584 | 324 | 172 | 40 | 34 | 6 | 8 |
| Fall | 447 | 246 | 133 | 35 | 27 | 2 | 4 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1766 | 969 | 509 | 121 | 129 | 16 | 22 |
| Yes | 167 | 80 | 50 | 13 | 19 | 4 | 1 |
| DK | 13 | 8 | 3 | * | 2 | * | * |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1880 | 1019 | 549 | 131 | 141 | 19 | 21 |
| Yes | 53 | 30 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 2 |
| DK | 13 | 8 | 3 | * | 2 | * | * |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1833 | 1004 | 524 | 127 | 140 | 18 | 20 |
| Yes | 101 | 46 | 36 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 3 |
| DK | 12 | 7 | 2 | * | 2 | 1 | * |
| Note: * = Missing Data; DK= Don't know; Refused = Respondent Refused to Answer; N = Number of Respondents Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 16-32. Number of Respondents Reporting Pesticides Applied by the Consumer at HomeTo Eradicate Insects, Rodents, or Other Pests at Specified Frequencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | Number of Times Over a 6-month Period Pesticides Applied by Resident |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | None | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-9 | 10+ | DK |
| Overall | 1946 | 721 | 754 | 286 | 73 | 83 | 29 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 897 | 318 | 367 | 135 | 31 | 35 | 11 |
| Female | 1048 | 403 | 386 | 151 | 42 | 48 | 18 |
| Refused | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * |
| Age (years) 33 - 13 le |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 113 | 46 | 46 | 15 | 3 | 3 | * |
| 5-11 | 150 | 50 | 70 | 24 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| 12-17 | 143 | 45 | 64 | 21 | 5 | 8 | * |
| 18-64 | 1264 | 473 | 477 | 192 | 48 | 55 | 19 |
| > 64 | 243 | 94 | 85 | 31 | 15 | 9 | 9 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1532 | 574 | 600 | 227 | 55 | 50 | 26 |
| Black | 231 | 81 | 77 | 36 | 10 | 25 | 2 |
| Asian | 24 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | * |
| Some Others | 38 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Hispanic | 100 | 41 | 42 | 9 | 5 | 3 | * |
| Refused | 21 | 10 | 8 | * | 1 | 2 | * |
| Hispanic $1750-677680$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1750 | 647 | 677 | 258 | 63 | 76 | 29 |
| Yes | 172 | 66 | 67 | 26 | 10 | 3 | * |
| DK | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | * | 2 | * |
| Refused | 16 | 6 | 7 | 1 | * | 2 | * |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccl}\text { Employment } & & 398 & 139 & 176 & 59 & \\ \text { * }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Time | 855 | 298 | 342 | 131 | 37 | 35 | 12 |
| Part Time | 163 | 67 | 66 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| Not Employed | 512 | 209 | 163 | 76 | 23 | 27 | 14 |
| Refused | 18 | 8 | 7 | * | * | 2 | 1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 436 | 157 | 189 | 62 | 10 | 17 | 1 |
| < High School | 137 | 44 | 50 | 19 | 4 | 14 | 6 |
| High School Graduate | 483 | 184 | 196 | 53 | 21 | 18 | 11 |
| < College | 416 | 157 | 158 | 63 | 18 | 16 | 4 |
| College Graduate | 272 | 97 | 97 | 53 | 9 | 12 | 4 |
| Post Graduate | 202 | 82 | 64 | 36 | 11 | 6 | 3 |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 335 | 112 | 131 | 56 | 12 | 19 | 5 |
| Midwest | 318 | 108 | 145 | 35 | 12 | 12 | 6 |
| South | 875 | 363 | 316 | 119 | 30 | 37 | 10 |
| West | 418 | 138 | 162 | 76 | 19 | 15 | 8 |
| Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 1303 | 485 | 503 | 186 | 44 | 66 | 19 |
| Weekend | 643 | 236 | 251 | 100 | 29 | 17 | 10 |
| Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 466 | 190 | 153 | 75 | 18 | 21 | 9 |
| Spring | 449 | 170 | 192 | 51 | 15 | 16 | 5 |
| Summer | 584 | 204 | 233 | 89 | 21 | 27 | 10 |
| Fall | 447 | 157 | 176 | 71 | 19 | 19 | 5 |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1766 | 643 | 695 | 261 | 70 | 70 | 27 |
| Yes | 167 | 73 | 54 | 25 | 3 | 11 | 1 |
| DK | 13 | 5 | 5 | * | * | 2 | 1 |
| Angina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1880 | 696 | 731 | 276 | 70 | 80 | 27 |
| Yes | 53 | 21 | 19 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| DK | 13 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1833 | 675 | 715 | 272 | 72 | 71 | 28 |
| Yes | 101 | 41 | 35 | 14 | 1 | 10 | * |
| DK | 12 | 5 | 4 | * | * | 2 | 1 |


| Category | Population Group | N | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Overall |  | 257 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Male | 121 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Gender | Female | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 35 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 1-4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Age (years) | 5-11 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 12-17 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2.5 | 40 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | 18-64 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 88 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Age (years) | > 64 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | White | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Black | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Race | Asian | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Race | Some Others | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 6.5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Race | Hispanic | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | No | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Hispanic | Yes | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Full Time | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 120.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Part Time | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Employment | Not Employed | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < High School | 20 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.5 | 22.5 | 105.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | High School Graduate | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | < College | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 89 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | College Graduate | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Education | Post Graduate | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Northeast | 45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 88 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | Midwest | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | South | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Census Region | West | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekday | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Day of Week | Weekend | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Winter | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Spring | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Summer | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Season | Fall | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | No | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Asthma | Yes | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 90.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | No | 244 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Angina | Yes | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 75.5 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | No | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| Bronchitis/emphysema | Yes | 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $\mathrm{n}=$ doer sample size.
Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.
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| Product Type | Amount of Product Per Application (grams) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average Frequency of Use } \\ & \text { (per day) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Upper 90th Percentile Frequency of Use(per day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Survey Type |  |  | Survey Type |  |  |
|  |  | CTFA | Cosmetic <br> Co. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Market }^{\text {b }} \\ \text { Research } \\ \text { Bureau } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CTFA | Cosmetic Co. | Market Research Bureau |
| Baby Lotion - baby use ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.4 | 0.38 | 1.0 | -- | 0.57 | 2.0 | -- |
| Baby Lotion - adult use | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.19 | $0.24{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.86 | 1.0 | $1.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Baby Oil - baby use ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.3 | 0.14 | 1.2 | -- | 0.14 | 3.0 | -- |
| Baby Oil - adult use | 5.0 | 0.06 | 0.13 | -- | 0.29 | 0.57 | -- |
| Baby Powder - baby use ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.8 | 5.36 | 1.5 | $0.35{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 8.43 | 3.0 | $1.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Baby Powder - adult use | 0.8 | 0.13 | 0.22 | -- | 0.57 | 1.0 | -- |
| Baby Cream - baby use ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -- | 0.43 | 1.3 | -- | 0.43 | 3.0 | -- |
| Baby Cream - adult use | -- | 0.07 | 0.10 | -- | 0.14 | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- |
| Baby Shampoo - baby use ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.5 | 0.14 | -- | $0.11{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0.14 | -- | $0.43{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Baby Shampoo - adult use | 5.0 | 0.02 | -- | -- | $0.86{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- | -- |
| Bath Oils | 14.7 | 0.08 | 0.19 | $0.22{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 0.29 | 0.86 | $1.0^{\mathrm{g}}$ |
| Bath Tablets | -- | 0.003 | 0.008 | -- | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- |
| Bath Salts | 18.9 | 0.006 | 0.013 | -- | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- |
| Bubble Baths | 11.8 | 0.088 | 0.13 | -- | 0.43 | 0.57 | -- |
| Bath Capsules | -- | 0.018 | 0.019 | -- | $0.29{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- |
| Bath Crystals | -- | 0.006 | -- | -- | $0.29{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- |
| Eyebrow Pencil | -- | 0.27 | 0.49 | -- | 1.0 | 1.0 | -- |
| Eyeliner | -- | 0.42 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 1.43 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Eye Shadow | -- | 0.69 | 0.78 | 0.40 | 1.43 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Eye Lotion | -- | 0.094 | 0.34 | -- | 0.43 | 1.0 | -- |
| Eye Makeup Remover | -- | 0.29 | 0.45 | -- | 1.0 | 1.0 | -- |
| Mascara | -- | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.46 | 1.29 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Under Eye Cover | -- | 0.79 | -- | -- | 0.29 | -- | -- |
| Blusher \& Rouge | 0.011 | 1.18 | 1.24 | 0.55 | 2.0 | 1.43 | 1.5 |
| Face Powders | 0.085 | 0.35 | 0.67 | 0.33 | 1.29 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Foundations | 0.265 | 0.46 | 0.78 | 0.47 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Leg and Body Paints | -- | 0.003 | 0.011 | -- | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- |
| Lipstick \& Lip Gloss | -- | 1.73 | 1.23 | 2.62 | 4.0 | 2.86 | 6.0 |
| Makeup Bases | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.64 | -- | 0.86 | 1.0 | -- |
| Makeup Fixatives | -- | 0.052 | 0.12 | -- | 0.14 | 1.0 | -- |
| Sunscreen | 3.18 | 0.003 | -- | 0.002 | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- | 0.005 |
| Colognes \& Toilet Water | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.85 | 0.56 | 1.71 | 1.43 | 1.5 |
| Perfumes | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.86 | 1.0 | 1.5 |


| Product Type | Amount of Product Per Application ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (grams) | Average Frequency of Use <br> (per day)Survey Type |  |  | Upper 90th Percentile Frequency of Use (per day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Survey Type |  |  |
|  |  | CTFA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cosmetic } \\ \text { Co. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Market ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> Research Bureau | CTFA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cosmetic } \\ \text { Co. } \end{gathered}$ | Market Research Bureau |
| Powders | 2.01 | 0.18 | 0.39 | -- | 1.0 | 1.0 | -- |
| Sachets | 0.2 | 0.0061 | 0.034 | -- | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- |
| Fragrance Lotion | -- | 0.0061 | -- | -- | $0.29{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- | -- |
| Hair Conditioners | 12.4 | 0.4 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.86 |
| Hair Sprays | -- | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.32 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Hair Rinses | 12.7 | 0.064 | 0.18 | -- | 0.29 | 1.0 | -- |
| Shampoos | 16.4 | 0.82 | 0.59 | 0.48 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Tonics and Dressings | 2.85 | 0.073 | 0.021 | -- | 0.29 | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- |
| Wave Sets | 2.6 | $0.003^{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.040 | -- | --- ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.14 | -- |
| Dentifrices | -- | 1.62 | 0.67 | 2.12 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| Mouthwashes | -- | 0.42 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 1.86 | 1.14 | 1.5 |
| Breath Fresheners | -- | 0.052 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.14 | 1.0 | 0.57 |
| Nail Basecoats | 0.23 | 0.052 | 0.13 | -- | 0.29 | 0.29 | -- |
| Cuticle Softeners | 0.66 | 0.040 | 0.10 | -- | 0.14 | 0.29 | -- |
| Nail Creams \& Lotions | 0.56 | 0.070 | 0.14 | -- | 0.29 | 0.43 | -- |
| Nail Extenders | -- | 0.003 | 0.013 | -- | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -- |
| Nail Polish \& Enamel | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.71 | 0.43 | 1.0 |
| Nail Polish \& Enamel Remover | 3.06 | 0.088 | 0.19 | -- | 0.29 | 0.43 | -- |
| Nail Undercoats | -- | 0.049 | 0.12 | -- | 0.14 | 0.29 | -- |
| Bath Soaps | 2.6 | 1.53 | 0.95 | -- | 3.0 | 1.43 | -- |
| Underarm Deodorants | 0.52 | 1.01 | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 2.0 |
| Douches | -- | 0.013 | 0.089 | 0.085 | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| Feminine Hygiene Deodorants | -- | 0.021 | 0.084 | 0.05 | $1.0^{\text {c }}$ | 0.29 | 0.14 |
| Cleansing Products (cold creams, cleansing lotions liquids \& pads) | 1.7 | 0.63 | 0.80 | 0.54 | 1.71 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Depilatories | -- | 0.0061 | 0.051 | 0.009 | 0.016 | 0.14 | 0.033 |
| Face, Body \& Hand Preps (excluding shaving preps) | 3.5 | 0.65 | -- | 1.12 | 2.0 | -- | 2.14 |
| Foot Powder \& Sprays | -- | 0.061 | 0.079 | -- | $0.57^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.29 | -- |
| Hormones | -- | $0.012$ | $0.028$ | -- | $0.57^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $0.14^{\mathrm{e}}$ | -- |
| Moisturizers | $0.53$ | $0.98$ | $0.88$ | 0.63 | 2.0 | 1.71 | 1.5 |
| Night Skin Care Products | 1.33 | 0.18 | 0.50 | -- | 1.0 | 1.0 | -- |
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| Product Type | Amount of Product Per Application (g) | Average Frequency of Use (per day) |  |  | Upper 90th Percentile Frequency of Use (per day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Survey Type |  |  | Survey Type |  |  |
|  |  | CTFA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cosmetic } \\ \text { Co. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Market }^{\text {b }} \\ \text { Research } \\ \text { Bureau } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CTFA | Cosmetic Co. | Market Research Bureau |
| Paste Masks (mud packs) | 3.7 | 0.027 | 0.20 | -- | 0.14 | 0.43 | -- |
| Skin Lighteners | -- | -- | 0.024 | -- | --- ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -- |
| Skin Fresheners \& Astringents | 2.0 | 0.33 | 0.56 | -- | 1.0 | 1.43 | -- |
| Wrinkle Smoothers (removers) | 0.38 | 0.021 | 0.15 | -- | $1.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.0 | -- |
| Facial Cream | $0.55$ | $0.0061$ | -- | -- | 0.0061 | -- | -- |
| Permanent Wave | 101 | $0.003$ | -- | 0.001 | 0.0082 | -- | 0.005 |
| Hair Straighteners | 0.156 | 0.0007 | -- | -- | $0.005^{\text {d }}$ | -- | -- |
| Hair Dye | -- | 0.001 | -- | 0.005 | $0.004^{\text {d }}$ | -- | 0.014 |
| Hair Lighteners | -- | 0.0003 | -- | -- | $0.005^{\text {d }}$ | -- | -- |
| Hair Bleaches | -- | 0.0005 | -- | -- | $0.02^{\mathrm{d}}$ | -- | -- |
| Hair Tints | -- | 0.0001 | -- | -- | $0.005^{\text {d }}$ | -- | -- |
| Hair Rinse (coloring) | -- | 0.0004 | -- | -- | $0.02^{\text {d }}$ | -- | -- |
| Shampoo (coloring) | -- | 0.0005 | -- | -- | $0.02^{\mathrm{d}}$ | -- | -- |
| Hair Color Spray | -- | -- | -- | -- | ---d | -- | -- |
| Shave Cream | 1.73 | -- | -- | 0.082 | -- | -- | 0.36 |

a Values reported are the averages of the responses reported by the twenty companies interviewed.
(--'s) indicate no data available.
b The averages shown for the Market Research Bureau are not true averages - this is due to the fact that in many cases the class of most frequent users were indicated by " 1 or more" also ranges were used in many cases, i.e., "10-12." The average, therefore, is underestimated slightly. The " 1 or more" designation also skew the 90 th percentile figures in many instances. The 90 th percentile values may, in actuality, be somewhat higher for many products.
c Average usage among users only for baby products.
${ }^{d} \quad$ Usage data reflected "entire household" use for both baby lotion and baby oil.
e Fewer than $10 \%$ of individuals surveyed used these products. Value listed is lowest frequency among individuals reporting usage. In the case of wave sets, skin lighteners, and hair color spray, none of the individuals surveyed by the CTFA used this product during the period of the study.
f Usage data reflected "entire household" use.
g Usage data reflected total bath product usage
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ None of the individuals surveyed reported using this product.
Source: CTFA, 1983.

| Table 16-35. Summary of Consumer Products Use Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Study Size | Approach | Relevant Population | Comments |
| KEY STUDIES |  |  |  |  |
| Abt, 1992 | 4,997 product interviews; 527 mailed questionnaires | Direct - interviews and questionnaires | Adults | Random digit dialing method used to select sample. Information on use of 3 products containing methyl chlorid was requested. |
| Westat, 1987a | 4,920 individuals | Direct - questionnaire | $18+$ yrs selected to be representative of US population | Waksberg Method (random digit dialing) used to select sample. Respondents asked to recall use in past 2 months o 32 catagories of household products containing methyl chloride. |
| Westat, 1987b | 193 households | Direct - telephone survey; 2 post-survey validation efforts: 30 reinterviewed, then another 50 reeinterviewed | Adult household members who do cleaning tasks in household | Waksberg Method (random digit dialing) used to select sample. Household use of cleaning products requested. Phone survey during end of year holidays may reflect biased usage data. Two validation resurveys conducted 3 months after survey. |
| Westat, 1987c | 777 households | Direct - telephone survey; 1 post-survey validation effort conducted with 30 reinterviewed | Household members who do painting tasks in household | Waksberg Method (random digit dialing) used to select sample. Painting product use information in past 12 months was requested. One validation resurvey conducted 3 month after survey. |
| Tsang and Klepeis, 1996 | 9,386 individuals | Direct - interviews and questionnaires | Representative of U.S. general population | National Human Activity Patterns Survey (NHAPS). Participants selected using random Dial Digit (RDD) and Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI). 24hour diary data, and follow-up questions; nationally representative; represent all seasons, age groups, and genders. |
| RELEVANT STUDY |  |  |  |  |
| CTFA, 1983 | Survey 1: 47 women employees and relatives or employees <br> Survey 2: 1,129 cosmetics purchasers <br> Survey 3: 19,035 females | Survey 1: Direct - 1 wk prospective survey <br> Survey 2: Direct - prospective survey <br> Survey 3: Direct - 9.5 months. prospective survey | Survey 1: 16-61 yr old females <br> Survey 2: Customers of cosmetic manufacturer Survey 3: Market research company sampled female consumers nationwide | Interviewees asked to recall their use of cosmetics and some baby products during a specific past time period. Surveys 1 and 2 had small populations, but Survey 3 had large population selected to be representative of U.S. population |

Survey 1: 47 women employees
Survey 2: 1,129 cosmetics
purchasers
Survey 3: 19,035 females

Direct - 1 wk prospective survey
survey
Survey 3: Direct - 9.5 months.
prospective survey

18+ yrs selected to be population

Adult household members who do cleaning tasks in -

Household members who do Waksberg Method (random digit dialing) used to select sample. Panting product use information in past 12 month One validation resurvey conducted 3 month National Human Activity Patterns Survey (NHAPS) Participants selected using random Dial Digit (RDD) and Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI). 24hour diary data, and follow-up questions; nationally representative; represent all seasons, age groups, and

Interviewees asked to recall their use of cosmetics and som and 2 had small populations, but Survey 3 had large population selected to be representative of U.S. population
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## SIMMONS MARKET RESEARCH DATA
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Table 16A-1. Volumes Included in 1992 Simmons Study

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| The volumes included in the Media series are as follows: |  |
| M1 | Publications: Total Audiences |
| M2 | Publications: Qualitative Measurements And In-Home Audiences |
| M3 | Publications: Duplication Of Audiences |
| M4 | Multi-Media Audiences: Adults |
| M5 | Multi-Media Audiences: Males |
| M6 | Multi-Media Audiences: Females and Mothers |
| M7 | Business To Business |
| M8 | Multi-Media Reach and Frequency and Television Attentiveness \& Special Events |
|  |  |
| The following volumes are included in the Product series: |  |
|  |  |
| P1 | Automobiles, cycles, Trucks \& Vans |
| P2 | Automotive Products \& Services |
| P3 | Travel |
| P4 | Banking, Investments, Insurance, Credit Cards \& Contributions, Memberships \& Public Activities |
| P5 | Games \& Toys, Children's \& Babies' Apparel \& Specialty Products |
| P6 | Computers, Books, Discs, Records, Tapes, Stereo, Telephones, TV \& Video |
| P7 | Appliances, Garden Care, Sewing \& Photography |
| P8 | Home Furnishings \& Home Improvements |
| P9 | Sports \& Leisure |
| P10 | Restaurants, Stores \& Grocery Shopping |
| P11 | Direct Mail \& Other In-Home Shopping, Yellow Pages, Florist, Telegrams, Faxes \& Greeting Cards |
| P12 | Jewelry, Watches, Luggage, Writing Tools \& Men's Apparel |
| P13 | Women's Apparel |
| P14 | Distilled Spirits, Mixed Drinks, Malt Beverages, Wine \& Tobacco Products |
| P15 | Coffee, Tea, Cocoa, Milk, Soft Drinks, Juices \& Bottled Water |
| P16 | Dairy Products, Desserts, Baking \& Bread Products |
| P17 | Cereals \& Spreads, Rice, Pasta, Pizza, Mexican Foods, Fruits \& Vegetables |
| P18 | Soup, Meat, Fish, Poultry, Condiments \& Dressings |
| P19 | Chewing Gum, Candy, Cookies \& Snacks |
| P20 | Soap, Laundry, Paper Products \& Kitchen Wraps |
| P21 | Household Cleaners, Room Deodorizers, Pest Controls \& Pet Foods |
| P22 | Health Care Products \& Remedies |
| P23 | Oral Hygiene Products, Skin Care, Deodorants \& Drug Stores |
| P24 | Hair Care, Shaving Products \& Fragrances |
| P25 | Women's Beauty Aids, Cosmetics \& Personal Products |
| P26 | Relative Volume of Consumption |
|  |  |
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## 17. RESIDENTIAL BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

### 17.1. INTRODUCTION

Unlike previous chapters in this handbook which focus on human behavior or characteristics that affect exposure, this chapter focuses on residence characteristics. Assessment of exposure in residential settings requires information on the availability of the chemical(s) of concern at the point of exposure, characteristics of the structure and microenvironment that affect exposure, and human presence within the residence. The purpose of this chapter is to provide data that are available on residence characteristics that affect exposure in an indoor environment. Sourcereceptor relationships in residential exposure scenarios can be complex due to interactions among sources, and transport/transformation processes that result from chemical-specific and building-specific factors. Figure 171 illustrates the complex factors that must be considered when conducting exposure assessments in a residential setting. In addition to sources within the building, chemicals of concern may enter the indoor environment from outdoor air, soil, gas, water supply, tracked-in soil, and industrial work clothes worn by the residents. Indoor concentrations are affected by loss mechanisms, also illustrated in Figure 17-1, involving chemical reactions, deposition to and re-emission from surfaces, and transport out of the building. Particle-bound
chemicals can enter indoor air through resuspension. Indoor air concentrations of gas-phase organic chemicals are affected by the presence of reversible sinks formed by a wide range of indoor materials. In addition, the activity of human receptors greatly affects their exposure as they move from room to room, entering and leaving the exposure scene.

Inhalation exposure assessments in residential and other indoor settings are modeled by considering the building as an assemblage of one or more well-mixed zones. A zone is defined as one room, a group of interconnected rooms, or an entire building. This macroscopic level, well-mixed perspective forms the basis for interpretation of measurement data as well as simulation of hypothetical scenarios. Exposure assessment models on a macroscopic level incorporate important physical factors and processes. These well-mixed, macroscopic models have been used to perform indoor air quality simulations (Axley, 1989), as well as indoor air exposure assessments (McKone, 1989; Ryan, 1991). Nazzaroff and Cass (1986) and Wilkes et al. (1992) have used code-intensive computer programs featuring finite difference or finite element numerical techniques to model mass balance. A simplified approach using desk top spreadsheet programs has been used by Jennings et al. (1985).


Figure 17-1. Elements of Residential Exposure

In order to model mass balance of indoor contaminants, the indoor air volume is represented as a network of interconnected zones. Because conditions in a given zone are determined by interactions with other connecting zones, the multizone model is stated as a system of simultaneous equations. The mathematical framework for modeling indoor air has been reviewed by Sinden (1978) and Sandberg (1984).

Indoor air quality models typically are not software products that can be purchased as "off-the-shelf" items. Most existing software models are research tools that have been developed for specific purposes and are being continuously refined by researchers. Leading examples of indoor air models implemented as software products are as follows:

- CONTAM -- developed at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) with support from U.S. EPA and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) (Axley, 1988; Grot, 1991; Walton, 1993);
- EXPOSURE -- developed at the Indoor Air Branch of U.S. EPA Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory (EPA/AEERL) (Sparks, 1988, 1991);
- MCCEM -- the Multi-Chamber Consumer Exposure Model developed for U.S EPA Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (EPA/OPPT) (GEOMET, 1989; Koontz and Nagda, 1991); and
- THERdbASE -- the Total Human Exposure Relational Data Base and Advanced Simulation Environment software developed by researchers at the Harry Reid Center for Environmental Studies at University Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) (Pandian et al., 1993).

Section 17.2 of this chapter summarizes existing data on building characteristics (volumes, surface areas, mechanical systems, and types of foundations). Section 17.3 summarizes transport phenomena that affect chemical transport (airflow, chemical-specific deposition and filtration, and effects of water supply and soil tracking). Section 17.4 provides information on various types of indoor sources associated with airborne exposure,
waterborne sources, and soil/house dust sources. Section 17.5 summarizes advanced concepts.

### 17.2. BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

### 17.2.1. Key Volumes of Residence Studies

Versar (1990) - Database on Perfluorocarbon Tracer (PFT) Ventilation Measurements - A database of time-averaged air exchange and interzonal airflow measurements in more than 4,000 residences has been compiled by Versar (1990) to allow researchers to access these data (see Section 17.3.2). These data were collected between 1982 and 1987. The residences that appear in this database are not a random sample of U.S. homes; however, they do represent a compilation of homes visited in about 100 different field studies, some of which involved random sampling. In each study, the house volumes were directly measured or estimated. The collective homes visited in these field projects are not geographically balanced; a large fraction of these homes are located in southern California. Statistical weighting techniques were applied in developing estimates of nationwide distributions (see Section 17.3.2) to compensate for the geographic imbalance.
U.S. DOE (1995) - Housing Characteristics 1993, Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) Measurement surveys have not been conducted to directly characterize the range and distribution of volumes for a random sample of U.S. residences. Related data, however, are regularly collected through the U.S. DOE's RECS (U.S. DOE, 1995). In addition to collecting information on energy use, this triennial survey collects data on housing characteristics including direct measurements of total and heated floor space for buildings visited by survey specialists. For the most recent survey (1993), a multistage probability sample of over 7,000 residences was surveyed, representing 96 million residences nationwide. The survey response rate was 81.2 percent. Volumes were estimated from the RECS measurements by multiplying the heated floor space area by an assumed ceiling height of 8 feet, recognizing that this assumed height may not apply universally to all homes.

Results for residential volume distributions from the RECS (Thompson, 1995) are presented in Table 17-1. Estimated parameters of residential volume distributions (in cubic meters) from the PFT database (Versar, 1990) are also summarized in Table 17-1, for comparison to the RECS data. The arithmetic means from the two sources are identical ( 369 cubic meters). The medians (50th percentiles) are very similar: 310 cubic meters for the RECS data, and 321 cubic meters for the PFT database.
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Cumulative frequency distributions from the two sources (Figure 17-2) also are quite similar, especially between the 50th and 75th percentiles.

| Table 17-1. Summary of Residential Volume Distributions |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| in Cubic Meters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |

The RECS also provides relationships between average residential floor areas and factors such as housing type, ownership, household size and structure age. The
predominant housing type--single-family detached homes-also has the largest average volume (Table 17-2). Multifamily units and mobile homes have volumes averaging about half that of single-family detached homes, with single-family attached homes about halfway between these extremes. Within each category of housing type, owner-occupied residences average about 50 percent greater volume than rental units. The relationship of residential volume to household size (Table 17-3) is of particular interest for purposes of exposure assessment. For example, one-person households would not include children, and the data in the table indicate that multi-person households occupy residences averaging about 50 percent greater volume than residences occupied by one-person households.


Figure 17-2. Cumulative Frequency Distributions for Residential Volumes from the PFT Data Base and the U.S. DOE's RECs.

| Table 17-2. Average Estimated Volumes of U.S. Residences, by Housing Type and Ownership |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ownership |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Owner-Occupied |  | Rental |  | All Units |  |
| Housing Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Volume } e^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent of Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Volume }{ }^{\text {a }} \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Percent of Total | Volume ${ }^{\text {a }}$ $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Percent of Total |
| Single-Family (Detached) | 471 | 53.1 | 323 | 8.5 | 451 | 61.7 |
| Single-Family <br> (Attached) | 406 | 4.6 | 291 | 2.9 | 362 | 7.5 |
| Multifamily (2-4 units) | 362 | 1.6 | 216 | 6.7 | 243 | 8.3 |
| Multifamily (5+ Units) | 241 | 1.7 | 183 | 15.2 | 190 | 16.8 |
| Mobile Home | 221 | 4.6 | 170 | 1.2 | 210 | 5.8 |
| All Types | 441 | 65.4 | 233 | 34.6 | 369 | 100.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Volumes calculated from floor areas assuming a ceiling height of 8 feet.
Source: Adapted from U.S. DOE, 1995.

| Table 17-3. Residential Volumes in Relation to Household Size and Year of Construction |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)}{\text { Volume }^{\mathrm{a}}}$ | Percent of Total |
| Household Size |  |  |
| 1 Person | 269 | 24.3 |
| 2 Persons | 386 | 32.8 |
| 3 Persons | 387 | 17.2 |
| 4 Persons | 431 | 15.1 |
| 5 Persons | 433 | 7.0 |
| 6 or More Persons | 408 | 3.6 |
| All Sizes | 369 | 100.0 |
| Year of Construction |  |  |
| 1939 or before | 385 | 21.1 |
| 1940 to 1949 | 338 | 7.1 |
| 1950 to 1959 | 365 | 13.5 |
| 1960 to 1969 | 358 | 15.5 |
| 1970 to 1979 | 350 | 18.7 |
| 1980 to 1984 | 344 | 8.8 |
| 1985 to 1987 | 387 | 5.7 |
| 1988 to 1990 | 419 | 4.9 |
| 1991 to 1993 | 438 | 4.7 |
| All Years | 369 | 100.0 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Volumes calculated from floor areas assuming a ceiling height of 8 feet. <br> Source: U.S. DOE, 1995. |  |  |

Data on year of construction indicate a slight decrease in residential volumes between 1950 and 1984, followed by an increasing trend over the next decade. A ceiling height of 8 feet was assumed in estimating the
average volumes, whereas there may have been some timerelated trends in ceiling height.

Murray (1996) - Analysis of RECS and PFT Databases. Using a database from the 1993 RECS and an assumed ceiling height of 8 feet, Murray (1996) estimated a mean residential volume of $382 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ using RECS estimates of heated floor space. This estimate is slightly different from the mean of $369 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ given in Table 17-1. Murray's (1996) sensitivity analysis indicated that when a fixed ceiling height of 8 feet was replaced with a randomly varying height with a mean of 8 feet, there was little effect on the standard deviation of the estimated distribution. From a separate analysis of the PFT database, based on 1,751 individual household measure-ments, Murray (1996) estimated an average volume of $369 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, the same as previously given in Table 17-1. In performing this analysis, the author carefully reviewed the PFT database in an effort to use each residence only once, for those residences thought to have multiple PFT measurements.

### 17.2.2. Volumes and Surface Areas of Rooms

Room Volumes - Volumes of individual rooms are dependent on the building size and configuration, but summary data are not readily available. The exposure assessor is advised to define specific rooms, or assemblies of rooms, that best fit the scenario of interest. Most models for predicting indoor-air concentrations specify airflows in
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cubic meters per hour and, correspondingly, express volumes in cubic meters. A measurement in cubic feet can be converted to cubic meters by multiplying the value in cubic feet by $0.0283 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$. For example, a bedroom that is 9 feet wide by 12 feet long by 8 feet high has a volume of 864 cubic feet or 24.5 cubic meters. Similarly, a living room with dimensions of 12 feet wide by 20 feet long by 8 feet high has a volume of 1920 cubic feet or 54.3 cubic meters, and a bathroom with dimensions of 5 feet by 12 feet by 8 feet has a volume of 480 cubic feet or 13.6 cubic meters.

Murray (1996) analyzed the distribution of selected residential zones (i.e., a series of connected rooms) using the PFT database. The author analyzed the "kitchen zone" and the "bedroom zone" for houses in the Los Angeles area that were labeled in this manner by field researchers, and "basement," "first floor," and "second floor" zones for houses outside of Los Angeles for which the researchers labeled individual floors as zones. The kitchen zone
surface area-to-volume, or loading ratio. Table 17-4 provides the basis for calculating loading ratios for typicalsized rooms. Constant features in the examples are: a room width of 12 feet and a ceiling height of 8 feet (typical for residential buildings), or a ceiling height 12 feet (typical for commercial buildings). The loading ratios for the 8 -foot ceiling height range from $0.98 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ to $2.18 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ for wall area and from $0.36 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ to $0.44 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ for floor area. In comparison, ASTM Standard E 1333 (ASTM, 1990), for large-chamber testing of formaldehyde levels from wood products, specifies the following loading ratios: (1) 0.95 $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ for testing plywood (assumes plywood or paneling on all four walls of a typical size room); and (2) $0.43 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ for testing particleboard (assumes that particleboard decking or underlayment would be used as a substrate for the entire floor of a structure).

Products and Materials - Table 17-5 presents examples of assumed amounts of selected products and materials used in constructing or finishing residential

| Table 17-4. Dimensional Quantities for Residential Rooms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal Dimensions | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Volume $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wall Area } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Floor Area ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Area } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Eight Foot Ceiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 'x15' | 4.6 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 41 | 40 | 17 | 74 |
| 12 'x12' | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 33 | 36 | 13 | 62 |
| $10^{\prime} \times 12$ ' | 3.0 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 27 | 33 | 11 | 55 |
| 9 'x12' | 2.7 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 24 | 31 | 10 | 51 |
| 6 'x12' | 1.8 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 16 | 27 | 7 | 40 |
| 4' $\times 12$ ' | 1.2 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 11 | 24 | 4 | 32 |
| Twelve Foot Ceiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 \times 15$ ' | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 61 | 60 | 17 | 94 |
| 12 ' 12 ' | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 49 | 54 | 13 | 80 |
| $10^{\prime} \times 12$ ' | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 41 | 49 | 11 | 71 |
| $9 ' \times 12$ ' | 2.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 37 | 47 | 10 | 67 |
| 6'x12' | 1.8 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 24 | 40 | 7 | 54 |
| 4' $\times 12$ ' | 1.2 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 16 | 36 | 4 | 44 |

contained the kitchen in addition to any of the following associated spaces: utility room, dining room, living room and family room. The bedroom zone contained all the bedrooms plus any bathrooms and hallways associated with the bedrooms. The following summary statistics (mean $\pm$ standard deviation) were reported by Murray (1996) for the volumes of the zones described above: $199 \pm 115 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ for the kitchen zone, $128 \pm 67 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ for the bedroom zone, $205 \pm$ $64 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ for the basement, $233 \pm 72 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ for the first floor, and $233 \pm 111 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ for the second floor.

Surface Areas - The surface areas of floors are commonly considered in relation to the room or house volume, and their relative loadings are expressed as a
surfaces (Tucker, 1991). Products used for floor surfaces include adhesive, varnish and wood stain; and materials used for walls include paneling, painted gypsum board, and wallpaper. Particleboard and chipboard are commonly used for interior furnishings such as shelves or cabinets, but could also be used for decking or underlayment. It should be noted that numbers presented in Table 17-5 for surface area are based on typical values for residences, and they are presented as examples. In contrast to the concept of loading ratios presented above (as a surface area), the numbers in Table 17-5 also are not scaled to any particular residential volume. In some cases, it may be preferable for the exposure assessor to use professional judgment in
combination with the loading ratios given above. For example, if the exposure scenario involves residential carpeting, either as an indoor source or as an indoor sink, then the ASTM loading ratio of $0.43 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ for floor materials could be multiplied by an assumed residential volume and assumed fractional coverage of carpeting to derive an estimate of the surface area. More specifically, a residence with a volume of $300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, a loading ratio of 0.43 $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ and coverage of $80 \%$ would have 303 m of carpeting. The estimates discussed here relate to macroscopic surfaces; the true surface area for carpeting, for example, would be considerably larger because of the nature of its fibrous material.

| Table 17-5. <br> Examples of Products and Materials Associated with <br> Floor and Wall Surfaces in Residences |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Material Sources | Assumed Amount of |
| Silicone caulk | Surface Covered |
| Floor adhesive | $0.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Floor wax | $10.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Wood stain | $50.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Polyurethane wood finish | $10.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Floor varnish or lacquer | $10.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Plywood paneling | $50.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Chipboard | $100.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Gypsum board | $100.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Wallpaper | $100.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on typical values for a residence. | $100.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Source: Adapted from Tucker, 1991. |  |

Furnishings- Information on the relative abundance of specific types of indoor furnishings, such as draperies or upholstered furniture, was not readily available. The exposure assessor is advised to rely on common sense and professional judgment. For example, the number of beds in a residence is usually related to household size, and information has been provided (Table 17-3) on average house volume in relation to household size.

### 17.2.3. Mechanical System Configurations

Mechanical systems for air movement in residences can affect the migration and mixing of pollutants released indoors and the rate of pollutant removal. Three types of mechanical systems are: (1) systems associated with heating and air conditioning (HAC); (2) systems whose primary function is providing localized exhaust; and (3) systems intended to increase the overall air exchange rate of the residence.

Portable space heaters intended to serve a single room, or a series of adjacent rooms, may or may not be equipped with blowers that promote air movement and
mixing. Without a blower, these heaters still have the ability to induce mixing through convective heat transfer. If the heater is a source of combustion pollutants, as with unvented gas or kerosene space heaters, then the combination of convective heat transfer and thermal buoyancy of combustion products will result in fairly rapid dispersal of such pollutants. The pollutants will disperse throughout the floor where the heater is located and to floors above the heater, but will not disperse to floors below.

Central forced-air HAC systems are common in many residences. Such systems, through a network of supply/return ducts and registers, can achieve fairly complete mixing within 20 to 30 minutes (Koontz et al., 1988). The air handler for such systems is commonly equipped with a filter (see Figure 17-3) that can remove particle-phase contaminants. Further removal of particles, via deposition on various room surfaces (see Section 17.3.2), is accomplished through increased air movement when the air handler is operating.

Figure 17-3 also distinguishes forced-air HAC systems by the return layout in relation to supply registers. The return layout shown in the upper portion of the figure is the type most commonly found in residential settings. On any floor of the residence, it is typical to find one or more supply registers to individual rooms, with one or two centralized return registers. With this layout, supply/return imbalances can often occur in individual rooms, particularly if the interior doors to rooms are closed. In comparison, the supply/return layout shown in the lower portion of the figure by design tends to achieve a balance in individual rooms or zones. Airflow imbalances can also be caused by inadvertent duct leakage to unconditioned spaces such as attics, basements, and crawl spaces. Such imbalances usually depressurize the house, thereby increasing the likelihood of contaminant entry via soil-gas transport or through spillage of combustion products from vented fossilfuel appliances such as fireplaces and gas/oil furnaces.

Mechanical devices such as kitchen fans, bathroom fans, and clothes dryers are intended primarily to provide localized removal of unwanted heat, moisture, or odors. Operation of these devices tends to increase the air exchange rate between the indoors and outdoors. Because

Volume III - Activity Factors
Chapter 17-Residential Building Characteristics


Figure 17-3. Configuration for Residential Forced-air Systems
local exhaust devices are designed to be near certain indoor sources, their effective removal rate for locally generated pollutants is greater than would be expected from the dilution effect of increased air exchange. Operation of these devices also tends to depressurize the house, because replacement air usually is not provided to balance the exhausted air.

An alternative approach to pollutant removal is one which relies on an increase in air exchange to dilute pollutants generated indoors. This approach can be accomplished using heat recovery ventilators (HRVs) or energy recovery ventilators (ERVs). Both types of ventilators are designed to provide balanced supply and exhaust airflows and are intended to recover most of the energy that normally is lost when additional outdoor air is introduced. Although ventilators can provide for more
rapid dilution of internally generated pollutants, they also increase the rate at which outdoor pollutants are brought into the house. A distinguishing feature of the two types is that ERVs provide for recovery of latent heat (moisture) in addition to sensible heat. Moreover, ERVs typically recover latent heat using a moisture-transfer device such as a desiccant wheel. It has been observed in some studies that the transfer of moisture between outbound and inbound air streams can result in some re-entrainment of indoor pollutants that otherwise would have been exhausted from the house (Andersson et al., 1993). Inadvertent air communication between the supply and exhaust air streams can have a similar effect.

Studies quantifying the effect of mechanical devices on air exchange using tracer-gas measurements are uncommon and typically provide only anecdotal data. The common approach is for the expected increment in the air exchange rate to be estimated from the rated airflow capacity of the device(s). For example, if a device with a rated capacity of 100 cubic feet per minute (cfm), or 170 cubic meters per hour, is operated continuously in a house with a volume of 400 cubic meters, then the expected increment in the air exchange rate of the house would be $170 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{-1} / 400 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, or approximately 0.4 air changes per hour.

### 17.2.4. Type of Foundation

The type of foundation of a residence is of interest in residential exposure assessment. It provides some indication of the number of stories and house configuration, and provides an indication of the relative potential for soilgas transport. For example, such transport can occur readily in homes with enclosed crawl spaces. Homes with basements provide some resistance, but still have numerous pathways for soil-gas entry. By comparison, homes with crawl spaces open to the outside have significant opportunities for dilution of soil gases prior to transport into the house.

Lucas et al. (1992) - National Residential Radon Survey - The National Resdental Radon Survey, sponsored by the U.S. EPA, was conducted by Lucas et al. (1992) in about 5,700 households nationwide. In addition to radon measurements, information on a number of housing characteristics was collected, including whether each house had a basement. The estimated percentage ( 45.2 percent) of homes in the U.S. having basements (Table 17-6) from this survey is the same as found by the RECS (Table 17-7).

| Table 17-6. Percent of Residences with Basement, by <br> Census Region and EPA Region |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Census Region | EPA | Percent of Residences <br> Region |
| wortheast | 1 | 93.4 |
| Northeast | 2 | 55.9 |
| Northeast | 3 | 67.9 |
| South | 4 | 19.3 |
| Midwest | 5 | 73.5 |
| South | 6 | 4.1 |
| Midwest | 7 | 75.3 |
| West | 8 | 68.5 |
| West | 9 | 10.3 |
| West | 10 | 11.5 |
|  | All Regions | 45.2 |
| Source: Lucas et al., 1992. |  |  |

The National Residential Radon Survey provides data for more refined geographical areas, with a breakdown by the 10 EPA Regions. The New England region (i.e., EPA Region 1), which includes Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont, had the highest prevalence of basements (93 percent). The lowest prevalence (4 percent) was for the South Central region (i.e., EPA Region 6), which includes Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas. Table 17-8 presents the States associated with each Census Region and EPA Region.
U.S. DOE (1995) - Housing Characteristics 1993 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) - The most recent RECS (described in Section 17.2.1) was administered in 1993 to over 7,000 households (U.S. DOE, 1995). The type of information requested by the survey questionnaire included the type of foundation for the residence (i.e., basement, enclosed crawl space, crawl space open to outside or concrete slab). This information was not obtained for multifamily structures with five or more dwelling units or for mobile homes. Table 17-7 presents estimates from the survey of the percentage of residences with each foundation type, by census region, and for the entire U.S. The percentages can add to more than 100 percent because some residences have more than one type of foundation; for example, most split-level structures have a partial basement combined with some crawlspace that typically is enclosed.

The data in Table 17-7 indicate that close to half (45 percent) of residences nationwide have a basement, and that fewer than 10 percent have a crawl space that is open to outside. It also shows that a large fraction of homes have concrete slabs ( 31 percent). There are also variations by census region. For example, nearly 80 percent of the residences in the Northeast and Midwest regions have
basements. In the South and West regions, the predominant foundation types are concrete slabs and enclosed crawl spaces. Table 17-8 illustrates the four Census Regions.

### 17.3. TRANSPORT RATES

### 17.3.1. Background

Major air transport pathways for airborne substances in residences include the following:

- Air exchange - Air leakage through windows, doorways, intakes and exhausts, and "adventitious openings" (i.e., cracks and seams) that combine to form the leakage configuration of the building envelope plus natural and mechanical ventilation;
- Interzonal airflows - Transport through doorways, ductwork, and service chaseways that interconnect rooms or zones within a building; and
- Local circulation - Convective and advective air circulation and mixing within a room or within a zone.

The distribution of airflows across the building envelope that contribute to air exchange and the interzonal airflows along interior flowpaths is determined by the interior pressure distribution. The forces causing the airflows are temperature differences, the actions of wind, and mechanical ventilation systems. Basic concepts have been reviewed by ASHRAE (1993). Indoor-outdoor and room-to-room temperature differences create density differences that help determine basic patterns of air motion. During the heating season, warmer indoor air tends to rise to exit the building at upper levels by stack action. Exiting air is replaced at lower levels by an influx of colder outdoor air. During the cooling season, this pattern is reversed: stack forces during the cooling season are generally not as strong as in the heating season because the indoor-outdoor temperature differences are not pronounced.

In examining a data base of air leakage measurements, Sherman and Dickerhoff (1996) observed that houses built prior to 1980 showed a clear increase in leakage with increasing age and were leakier, on average,
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| Table 17-7. Percent of Residences with Certain Foundation Types by Census Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of Residences ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Census Region | With Basement | With <br> Enclosed Crawlspace | With Crawlspace Open to Outside | $\begin{gathered} \text { With } \\ \text { Concrete Slab } \end{gathered}$ |
| Northeast | 78.0 | 12.6 | 2.8 | 15.8 |
| Midwest | 78.1 | 19.5 | 5.6 | 14.7 |
| South | 18.6 | 31.8 | 11.0 | 44.6 |
| West | 19.4 | 36.7 | 8.1 | 43.5 |
| All Regions | 45.2 | 26.0 | 7.5 | 31.3 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percentage may add to more than 100 percent because more than one foundation type may apply to a given residence. Source: U.S. DOE, 1995. |  |  |  |  |


| Table 17-8. States Associated with EPA Regions and Census Regions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US EPA Regions |  |  |  |
| Region 1 | Region 4 | Region 6 | Region 9 |
| Connecticut | Alabama | Arkansas | Arizona |
| Maine | Florida | Louisiana | California |
| Massachusetts | Georgia | New Mexico | Hawaii |
| New Hampshire | Kentucky | Oklahoma | Nevada |
| Rhode Island | Mississippi | Texas |  |
| Vermont | North Carolina |  | Region 10 |
|  | South Carolina | Region 7 | Alaska |
| Region 2 | Tennessee | Iowa | Idaho |
| New Jersey |  | Kansas | Oregon |
| New York | Region 5 | Missouri | Washington |
|  | Illinois | Nebraska |  |
| Region 3 | Indiana |  |  |
| Delaware | Michigan | Region 8 |  |
| District of Columbia | Minnesota | Colorado |  |
| Maryland | Ohio | Montana |  |
| Pennsylvania | Wisconsin | North Dakota |  |
| Virginia |  | South Dakota |  |
| West Virginia |  | Utah |  |
|  |  | Wyoming |  |
| US Bureau of Census Regions |  |  |  |
| Northeast Region | Midwest Region | South Region | West Region |
| Connecticut | Illinois | Alabama | Alaska |
| Maine | Indiana | Arkansas | Arizona |
| Massachusetts | Iowa | Delaware | California |
| New Hampshire | Kansas | District of Columbia | Colorado |
| New Jersey | Michigan | Florida | Hawaii |
| New York | Minnesota | Georgia | Idaho |
| Pennsylvania | Missouri | Kentucky | Montana |
| Rhode island | Nebraska | Louisiana | Nevada |
| Vermont | North Dakota | Maryland | New Mexico |
|  | Ohio | Mississippi | Oregon |
|  | South Dakota | North Carolina | Utah |
|  | Wisconsin | Oklahoma | Washington |
|  |  | South Carolina | Wyoming |
|  |  | Tennessee Texas |  |
|  |  | Virginia |  |
|  |  | West Virginia |  |

than newer houses. They further observed that the post1980 houses did not show any trend in leakiness with age.

The position of the neutral pressure level (i.e., the point where indoor-outdoor pressures are equal) depends on the leakage configuration of the building envelope. The stack effect arising from indoor-outdoor temperature differences is also influenced by the partitioning of the building interior. When there is free communication between floors or stories, the building behaves as a single volume affected by a generally rising current during the heating season and a generally falling current during the cooling season. When vertical communication is restricted, each level essentially becomes an independent zone. As the wind flows past a building, regions of positive and negative pressure (relative to indoors) are created within the building; positive pressures induce an influx of air, whereas negative pressures induce an outflow. Wind effects and stack effects combine to determine a net inflow or outflow.

The final element of indoor transport involves the actions of mechanical ventilation systems that circulate indoor air through the use of fans. Mechanical ventilation systems may be connected to heating/cooling systems that, depending on the type of building, recirculate thermally treated indoor air or a mixture of fresh air and recirculated air. Mechanical systems also may be solely dedicated to exhausting air from a designated area, as with some kitchen range hoods and bath exhausts, or to recirculating air in designated areas as with a room fan. Local air circulation also is influenced by the movement of people and the operation of local heat sources.

### 17.3.2. Air Exchange Rates

Air exchange is the balanced flow into and out of a building, and is composed of three processes: (1) infiltration - air leakage through random cracks, interstices, and other unintentional openings in the building envelope; (2) natural ventilation - airflows through open windows, doors, and other designed openings in the building envelope; and (3) forced or mechanical ventilation controlled air movement driven by fans. For nearly all indoor exposure scenarios, air exchange is treated as the principal means of diluting indoor concentrations. The air exchange rate is generally expressed in terms of air changes per hour ( ACH , with units of $\mathrm{h}^{-1}$ ), the ratio of the airflow $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)$ to the volume $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$.

No measurement surveys have been conducted to directly evaluate the range and distribution of residential air exchange rates. Although a significant number of air exchange measurements have been carried out over the
years, there has been a diversity of protocols and study objectives. Since the early 1980s, however, an inexpensive perfluorocarbon tracer (PFT) technique has been used to measure time-averaged air exchange and interzonal airflows in thousands of occupied residences using essentially similar protocols (Dietz et al., 1986). The PFT technique utilizes miniature permeation tubes as tracer emitters and passive samplers to collect the tracers. The passive samplers are returned to the laboratory for analysis by gas chromatography. These measurement results have been compiled to allow various researchers to access the data (Versar, 1990).

Nazaroff et al. (1988) - Prior to the Koontz and Rector (1995) study, Nazaroff et al. (1988) aggregated the data from two studies conducted earlier using tracer-gas decay. At the time these studies were conducted, they were the largest U.S. studies to include air exchange measurements. The first (Grot and Clark, 1981) was conducted in 255 dwellings occupied by low-income families in 14 different cities. The geometric mean $\pm$ standard deviation for the air exchange measurements in these homes, with a median house age of 45 years, was 0.90 $\pm 2.13 \mathrm{ACH}$. The second study (Grimsrud et al., 1983) involved 312 newer residences, with a median age of less than 10 years. Based on measurements taken during the heating season, the geometric mean $\pm$ standard deviation for these homes was $0.53 \pm 1.71 \mathrm{ACH}$. Based on an aggregation of the two distributions with proportional weighting by the respective number of houses studied, Nazaroff et al. (1988) developed an overall distribution with a geometric mean of 0.68 ACH and a geometric standard deviation of 2.01 .

Versar (1990) - Database of PFT Ventilation Measurements - The residences included in the PFT database do not constitute a random sample across the United States. They represent a compilation of homes visited in the course of about 100 separate field-research projects by various organizations, some of which involved random sampling and some of which involved judgmental or fortuitous sampling. The larger projects in the PFT database are summarized in Table 17-9, in terms of the number of measurements (samples), states where, and months when, samples were taken, and summary statistics for their respective distributions of measured air exchange rates. For selected projects (LBL, RTI, SOCAL), multiple measurements were taken for the same house, usually during different seasons. A large majority of the
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| Table 17-9. Summary of Major Projects Providing Air Exchange Measurements in the PFT Database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Code | State | Month(s) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number of Measurements | Mean Air Exchange Rate | $\mathrm{SD}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th |
| ADM | CA | 5-7 | 29 | 0.70 | 0.52 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.81 | 1.75 |
| BSG | CA | 1,8-12 | 40 | 0.53 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.70 | 0.90 |
| GSS | AZ | 1-3,8-9 | 25 | 0.39 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.77 |
| FLEMING | NY | 1-6,8-12 | 56 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.37 |
| GEOMET1 | FL | 1,6-8,10-12 | 18 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.48 | 0.60 |
| GEOMET2 | MD | 1-6 | 23 | 0.59 | 0.34 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.65 | 0.83 | 0.92 |
| GEOMET3 | TX | 1-3 | 42 | 0.87 | 0.59 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.71 | 1.09 | 1.58 |
| LAMBERT1 | ID | 2-3,10-11 | 36 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.49 |
| LAMBERT2 | MT | 1-3,11 | 51 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.38 |
| LAMBERT3 | OR | 1-3,10-12 | 83 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.56 | 0.80 |
| LAMBERT4 | WA | 1-3,10-12 | 114 | 0.30 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 0.50 |
| LBL1 | OR | 1-4,10-12 | 126 | 0.56 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.60 | 1.02 |
| LBL2 | WA | 1-4,10-12 | 71 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.52 |
| LBL3 | ID | 1-5,11-12 | 23 | 1.03 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.73 | 0.99 | 1.34 | 1.76 |
| LBL4 | WA | 1-4,11-12 | 29 | 0.39 | 0.27 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.47 | 0.63 |
| LBL5 | WA | 2-4 | 21 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.47 | 0.62 |
| LBL6 | ID | 3-4 | 19 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.55 |
| NAHB | MN | 1-5,9-12 | 28 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.38 |
| NYSDH | NY | 1-2,4,12 | 74 | 0.59 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.68 | 1.07 |
| PEI | MD | 3-4 | 140 | 0.59 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.49 | 0.83 | 1.20 |
| PIERCE | CT | 1-3 | 25 | 0.80 | 1.14 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.77 | 2.35 |
| RTI1 | CA | 2 | 45 | 0.90 | 0.73 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.78 | 1.08 | 1.52 |
| RTI2 | CA | 7 | 41 | 2.77 | 2.12 | 0.79 | 1.18 | 2.31 | 3.59 | 5.89 |
| RTI3 | NY | 1-4 | 397 | 0.55 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.63 | 0.94 |
| SOCAL1 | CA | 3 | 551 | 0.81 | 0.66 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.66 | 0.94 | 1.43 |
| SOCAL2 | CA | 7 | 408 | 1.51 | 1.48 | 0.35 | 0.59 | 1.08 | 1.90 | 3.11 |
| SOCAL3 | CA | 1 | 330 | 0.76 | 1.76 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.75 | 1.11 |
| UMINN | MN | 1-4 | 35 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 0.56 |
| UWISC | WI | 2-5 | 57 | 0.82 | 0.76 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.55 | 1.04 | 1.87 |
| ${ }^{a} 1$ = January, 2 = February, etc. <br> ${ }^{b}$ Standard deviation <br> Source: Adapted from Versar, 1990. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

measurements are from the SOCAL project that was conducted in Southern California. The means of the respective studies generally range from 0.2 to 1.0 ACH , with the exception of two California projects--RTI2 and SOCAL2. Both projects involved measurements in Southern California during a time of year (July) when windows would likely be opened by many occupants.

Koontz and Rector (1995) - Estimation of Distributions for Residential Air Exchange Rates - In analyzing the composite data from various projects ( 2,971 measurements), Koontz and Rector (1995) assigned weights to the results from each state to compensate for the geographic imbalance in locations where PFT measurements were taken. The results were weighted in
such a way that the resultant number of cases would represent each state in proportion to its share of occupied housing units, as determined from the 1990 U.S. Census of Population and Housing.

Summary statistics from the Koontz and Rector (1995) analysis are shown in Table 17-10, for the country as a whole and by census regions. Based on the statistics for all regions combined, the authors suggested that a 10th percentile value of 0.18 ACH would be appropriate as a conservative estimator for air exchange in residential settings, and that the 50th percentile value of 0.45 ACH would be appropriate as a typical air exchange rate. In applying conservative or typical values of air exchange rates, it is important to realize the limitations of the
underlying data base．Although the estimates are based on thousands of measurements，the residences represented in the database are not a random sample of the United States housing stock．The sample population is not balanced in terms of geography or time of year．Statistical techniques were applied to compensate for some of these imbalances． In addition，PFT measurements of air exchange rates assume uniform mixing of the tracer within the building． This is not always so easily achieved．Furthermore，the degree of mixing can vary from day to day and house to house because of the nature of the factors controlling mixing （e．g．，convective air monitoring driven by weather，and type and operation of the heating system）．The relative placement of the PFT source and the sampler can also cause variability and uncertainty．It should be noted that sampling is typically done in a single location in a house which may not represent the average from that house．In addition，very high and very low values of air exchange rates based on PFT measurements have greater uncertainties than those in the middle of the distribution．Despite such limitations，the estimates in Table 17－10 are believed to represent the best available information on the distribution of air exchange rates across United States residences throughout the year．

Murray and Burmaster（1995）－Residential Air Exchange Rates in the United States：Empirical and Estimated Parametric Distributions by Season and
and February were defined as winter，March，April and May were defined as spring，and so on．The results of Murray and Burmaster（1995）are summarized in Table 17－11． Neglecting the summer results in the colder regions which have only a few observations，the results indicate that the highest air exchange rates occur in the warmest climate region during the summer．As noted earlier（Section 17．3．2），many of the measurements in the warmer climate region were from field studies conducted in Southern California during a time of year（July）when windows would tend to be open in that area．Data for this region in particular should be used with caution since other areas within this region tend to have very hot summers and residences use air conditioners，resulting in lower air exchange rates．The lowest rates generally occur in the colder regions during the fall（Table 17－11）．

## 17．3．3．Infiltration Models

A variety of mathematical models exist for prediction of air infiltration rates in individual buildings．A number of these models have been reviewed，for example，by Liddament and Allen（1983），and by Persily and Linteris （1984）．Basic principles are concisely summarized in the ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals（ASHRAE，1993）． These models have a similar theoretical basis；all address indoor－outdoor pressure differences that are maintained by

| Table 17－10．Summary Statistics for Air Exchange Rates （air changes per hour－ACH），by Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | West Region | North Central Region | $\begin{gathered} \text { Northeast } \\ \text { Region } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | South Region | All Regions |
| Arithmetic Mean | 0.66 | 0.57 | 0.71 | 0.61 | 0.63 |
| Arithmetic Standard Deviation | 0.87 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.51 | 0.65 |
| Geometric Mean | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| Geometric Standard Deviation | 2.11 | 2.36 | 2.14 | 2.28 | 2.25 |
| 10th Percentile | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
| 50th Percentile | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.45 |
| 90th Percentile | 1.25 | 1.49 | 1.33 | 1.21 | 1.26 |
| Maximum | 23.32 | 4.52 | 5.49 | 3.44 | 23.32 |
| Source：Koontz and Rector， 1995. |  |  |  |  |  |

Climatic Region－Murray and Burmaster（1995）analyzed the PFT database using 2,844 measurements（essentially the same cases as analyzed by Koontz and Rector（1995），but without the compensating weights）．These authors summarized distributions for subsets of the data defined by climate region and season．The coldest region was defined as having 7,000 or more heating degree days，the colder region as 5，500－6，999 degree days，the warmer region as 2，500－5，499 degree days，and the warmest region as fewer than 2,500 degree days．The months of December，January
the actions of wind and stack（temperature difference） effects．The models generally incorporate a network of airflows where nodes representing regions of different pressure are interconnected by leakage paths．Individual models differ in details such as the number of nodes they
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| Climate Region | Season | Sample Size | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arithmetic } \\ & \text { Mean } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Standard Deviation | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th |
| Coldest | Winter | 161 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.48 | 0.71 |
|  | Spring | 254 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.53 | 0.80 |
|  | Summer | 5 | 0.82 | 0.69 | 0.27 | 0.41 | 0.57 | 1.08 | 2.01 |
|  | Fall | 47 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.42 |
| Colder | Winter | 428 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.69 | 1.18 |
|  | Spring | 43 | 0.52 | 0.91 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 0.83 |
|  | Summer | 2 | 1.31 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | Fall | 23 | 0.35 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.59 |
| Warmer | Winter | 96 | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.58 | 0.78 |
|  | Spring | 165 | 0.59 | 0.43 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.48 | 0.82 | 1.11 |
|  | Summer | 34 | 0.68 | 0.50 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.51 | 0.83 | 1.30 |
|  | Fall | 37 | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.82 |
| Warmest | Winter | 454 | 0.63 | 0.52 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.78 | 1.13 |
|  | Spring | 589 | 0.77 | 0.62 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.63 | 0.92 | 1.42 |
|  | Summer | 488 | 1.57 | 1.56 | 0.33 | 0.58 | 1.10 | 1.98 | 3.28 |
|  | Fall | 18 | 0.72 | 1.43 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.74 |
| ${ }^{a}$ In air changes per hour <br> Source: Murray and Burmaster, 1995. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

can treat or the specifics of leakage paths (e.g., individual components such as cracks around doors or windows versus a combination of components such as an entire section of a building). Such models are not easily applied by exposure assessors, however, because the required inputs (e.g., inferred leakage areas, crack lengths) for the model are not easy to gather.

Another approach for estimating air infiltration rates is developing empirical models. Such models generally rely on collection of infiltration measurements in a specific building under a variety of weather conditions. The relationship between the infiltration rate and weather conditions can then be estimated through regression analysis, and is usually stated in the following form:

$$
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\left|\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|+\mathrm{c} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

(Eqn. 17-1)
where:
$\mathrm{A}=$ air infiltration rate $\left(\mathrm{h}^{-1}\right)$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ indoor temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ outdoor temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
$\mathrm{U}=$ windspeed $\left(\mathrm{ms}^{-1}\right)$
n is an exponent with a value typically between 1 and 2
$\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c are parameters to be estimated

Relatively good predictive accuracy usually can be obtained for individual buildings through this approach. However, exposure assessors often do not have the information resources required to develop parameter
estimates for making such predictions.
A reasonable compromise between the theoretical and empirical approaches has been developed in the model specified by Dietz et al. (1986). The model, drawn from correlation analysis of environmental measurements and air infiltration data, is formulated as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{|l}
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{L}\left(0.006 \Delta \mathrm{~T}+\frac{0.03}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{U}^{1.5}\right) \\
\text { where: } \\
\mathrm{A} \\
\mathrm{~L}
\end{array}=\text { average air changes per hour or infiltration rate, } \mathrm{h}^{-1} \text { (Eqn. 17-2) }
$$

The value of $L$ is greater as house leakiness increases and the value of C is greater as terrain sheltering (reflects shielding of nearby wind barrier) increases. Although the above model has not been extensively validated, it has intuitive appeal and it is possible for the user to develop reasonable estimates for L and C with limited guidance. Historical data from various U.S. airports are available for estimation of the temperature and windspeed parameters. As an example application, consider a house that has central values of 3 and 5 for L and C , respectively. Under conditions where the indoor temperature is $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$, the outdoor temperature is $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ and the windspeed is $5 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$, the predicted infiltration rate for that house would be $3(0.006 \times 20+0.03 / 5 \times 51.5)$, or 0.56 air changes per
hour. This prediction applies under the condition that exterior doors and windows are closed, and does not include the contributions, if any, from mechanical systems (see Section 17.2.3). Occupant behavior, such as opening windows, can, of course, overwhelm the idealized effects of temperature and wind speed.

### 17.3.4. Deposition and Filtration

Deposition refers to the removal of airborne substances to available surfaces that occurs as a result of gravitational settling and diffusion, as well as electrophoresis and thermophoresis. Filtration is driven by similar processes, but is confined to material through which air passes. Filtration is usually a matter of design, whereas deposition is a matter of fact.

### 17.3.4.1. Deposition

The deposition of particulate matter and reactive gasphase pollutants to indoor surfaces is often stated in terms
air exchange. Theoretical considerations specific to indoor environments have been summarized in comprehensive reviews by Nazaroff and Cass (1989) and Nazaroff et al. (1993).

For airborne particles, deposition rates depend on aerosol properties (size, shape, density) as well as room factors (thermal gradients, turbulence, surface geometry). The motions of larger particles are dominated by gravitational settling; the motions of smaller particles are subject to convection and diffusion. Consequently, larger particles tend to accumulate more rapidly on floors and upfacing surfaces while smaller particles may accumulate on surfaces facing in any direction. Figure 17-4 illustrates the general trend for particle deposition across the size range of general concern for inhalation exposure ( $<10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). The current thought is that theoretical calculations of deposition rates are likely to provide unsatisfactory results due to knowledge gaps relating to near-surface air motions and other sources of inhomogeneity (Nazaroff et al., 1993).


Figure 17-4. Idealized Patterns of Particle Deposition Indoors

Source: Adapted from Nazaroff and Cass, 1989.
of a characteristic deposition velocity $\left(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{h}^{-1}\right)$ allied to the surface-to-volume ratio $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)$ of the building or room interior, forming a first order loss rate $\left(\mathrm{h}^{-1}\right)$ similar to that of

Wallace (1996) - Indoor Particles: A Review - In a major review of indoor particles, Wallace (1996) cited overall particle deposition rates for respirable $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\right)$,
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inhalable $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\right)$, and coarse (difference between $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ ) size fractions determined from EPA's PTEAM study. These values, listed in Table 17-12, were derived from measurements conducted in nearly 200 residences.

| Table 17-12. Deposition Rates for Indoor Particles |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Size Fraction | Deposition Rate |
| $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ | $0.39 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ | $0.65 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ |
| Coarse | $1.0 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ |
| Source: Adapted from Wallace, 1996. |  |

Thatcher and Layton (1995) - Deposition, Resuspension, and Penetration of Particles Within a Residence - Thatcher and Layton (1995) evaluated removal rates for indoor particles in four size ranges (1-5, 5-10, 1025 , and $>25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) in a study of one house occupied by a family of four. These values are listed in Table 17-13. In a subsequent evaluation of data collected in 100 Dutch residences, Layton and Thatcher (1995) estimated settling velocities of $2.7 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ for lead-bearing particles captured in total suspended particulate matter (TSP) samples.

| Table 17-13. Particle Deposition During Normal Activities |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Particle Size Range | Particle Removal Rate <br> $\left(\mathrm{h}^{-1}\right)$ |
| $1-5$ | 0.5 |
| $5-10$ | 1.4 |
| $10-25$ | 2.4 |
| $>25$ | 4.1 |
| Source: Adapted from Thatcher and Layton, 1995. |  |

### 17.3.4.2. Filtration

A variety of air cleaning techniques have been applied to residential settings. Basic principles related to residential-scale air cleaning technologies have been summarized in conjunction with reporting early test results (Offerman et al., 1984). General engineering principles are summarized in ASHRAE (1988). In addition to fibrous filters integrated into central heating and air conditioning systems, extended surface filters and High Efficiency Particle Arrest (HEPA) filters as well as electrostatic systems are available to increase removal efficiency. Freestanding air cleaners (portable and/or console) are also being used. Product-by-product test results reported by Hanley et al. (1994); Shaughnessy et al. (1994); and Offerman et al. (1984) exhibit considerable variability across systems, ranging from ineffectual ( $<1 \%$ efficiency) to nearly complete removal.

### 17.3.5. Interzonal Airflows

Residential structures consist of a number of rooms that may be connected horizontally, vertically, or both horizontally and vertically. Before considering residential structures as a detailed network of rooms, it is convenient to divide them into one or more zones. At a minimum, each floor is typically defined as a separate zone. For indoor air exposure assessments, further divisions are sometimes made within a floor, depending on (1) locations of specific contaminant sources and (2) the presumed degree of air communication among areas with and without sources.

Defining the airflow balance for a multiple-zone exposure scenario rapidly increases the information requirements as rooms or zones are added. As shown in Figure 17-5, a single-zone system (considering the entire building as a single well-mixed volume) requires only two airflows to define air exchange. Further, because air exchange is balanced flow (air does not "pile up" in the building, nor is a vacuum formed), only one number (the air exchange rate) is needed. With two zones, six airflows are needed to accommodate interzonal airflows plus air exchange; with three zones, twelve airflows are required. In some cases, the complexity can be reduced using judicious (if not convenient) assumptions. Interzonal airflows connecting nonadjacent rooms can be set to zero, for example, if flow pathways do not exist. Symmetry also can be applied to the system by assuming that each flow pair is balanced.

### 17.3.6. Water Uses

Among indoor water uses, showering, bathing and handwashing of dishes or clothes provide the primary opportunities for dermal exposure. Virtually all indoor water uses will result in some volatilization of chemicals, leading to inhalation exposure.

The exposure potential for a given situation will depend on the source of water, the types and extents of water uses, and the extent of volatilization of specific chemicals. According to the results of the 1987 Annual Housing Survey (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1992), 84.7 percent of all U.S. housing units receive water from a public system or private company (as opposed to a well). Across the four major regions defined by the U.S. Census Bureau


Figure 17-5. Air Flows for Multiple-zone Systems
(Northeast, South, Midwest, and West), the percentage varies from 82.5 in the Midwest region to 93.2 in the West region (the Northeast and South regions both are very close to the national percentage).

The primary types of water use indoors can be classified as showering/bathing, toilet use, clothes washing, dishwashing, and faucet use (e.g., for drinking, cooking, general cleaning, or washing hands). Substantial information on water use has been collected in California households by the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD, 1991) and by the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD, 1992). An earlier study by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (U.S. DHUD, 1984) monitored water use in 200 households over
a 20-month period. The household selection process for this study was not random; it involved volunteers from water companies and engineering organizations, most of which were located in large metropolitan areas. Nazaroff et al. (1988) also assembled the results of several smaller surveys, typically involving between 5 and 50 households each.

A common feature of the various studies cited above is that the results were all reported in gallons per capita per day (gcd), or in units that could be easily converted to gcd. Most studies also provided estimates by type of use-shower/bath, toilet, laundry, dishwashing, and other (e.g., faucets). A summary of the various study results is
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provided in Table 17-14. There is generally about a
threefold variation across studies for total

| Table 17-14. In-house Water Use Rates (gcd), by Study and Type of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study | Total, All Uses | Shower or Bath | Toilet | Laundry | Dishwashing | Other |
| MWD ${ }^{1}$ | 93 | 26 | 30 | 20 | 5 | 12 |
| $E^{\text {EBMUD }}{ }^{2}$ | 67 | 20 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 6 |
| U.S. DHUD ${ }^{3}$ | 40 | 15 | 10 | 13 | 2 | -- |
| Nazaroff et al., 1988 | 52 | 6 | 17 | 11 | 18 | -- |
| Study 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Rural | 46 | 11 | 18 | 14 | 3 | -- |
| - Urban | 43 | 10 | 18 | 11 | 4 | -- |
| Study 3 | 42 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Study 4 | 45 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 4 | 6 |
| Study 5 | 70 | 21 | 32 | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| Study 6 | 59 | 20 | 24 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| Study 7 | 40 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| Study 8 | 52-86 | 20-40 | 4-6 | 20-30 | 8-10 | -- |
| Mean Across Studies ${ }^{5}$ | 59 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 6 | 5 |
| Median Across Studies ${ }^{5}$ | 53 | 15 | 18 | 11 | 4 | 5 |

${ }_{2} \quad$ Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, 1991.
East Bay Municipal Utility District, 1992.
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1984.

Results of eight separate studies.
The average value from each range reported in Study No. 8 was used to calculate the median across studies. The mean and median for the
"Total, all Uses" column were obtained by summing across the means and medians for individual types of water use.
in-house water use as well as each type of use. Central values for total use, were obtained by taking the mean and median across the studies for each type of water use and then summing these means/medians across uses. These central values are shown at the bottom of the table. The means and medians were summed across types of uses to obtain the mean for all uses combined because only a subset of the studies reported values for other uses.

The following sections provide a summary of the water use characteristics for the primary types of water uses indoors. To the extent found in the literature, each water use is described in terms of the frequency of use; flowrate during the use; quantity of water used during each occurrence of the water use; and quantity used by an average person. Table 17-15 summarizes the studies of U.S. DHUD and the Power Authorities by locations and number of households.

|  | Number of Households | Location | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. DHUD Studies |  |  |  |
| Study 1 | 37 | Los Angeles, CA | a,b |
| Study 2 | 7 | Sacramento, CA | a,c |
| Study 3 | 40 | Walnut Creek, CA | a,c |
| Study 4 | 7 | Washington, DC | a |
| Study 5 | 21 | Sacramento, CA | a |
| Study 6 | 19 | Los Angeles, CA | a |
| Power Authority Studies |  |  |  |
| Study 1 | 32 | Seattle, WA | a |
| Study 2 | 23 | Denver, CO | a |
| Study 3 | 15 | Aurora, CO | a |
| Study 4 | 10 | Fairfax, VA | a |
| TOTAL | 211 |  |  |

[^11]Caution should be exercised when using the data collected in these studies and shown here. The participants in these studies are not a representative sample of the general population. The participants consisted of volunteers, mostly from large metropolitan areas.

Showering and Bathing Water Use Characteristics The HUD study (U.S. DHUD, 1984) monitored 162 households for shower duration. The individuals were also subdivided by people who only shower or only bath. The results are given in Table 17-16. The flowrates of various types of shower heads were also evaluated in the study (Table 17-17).

Toilet Water Use Characteristics - The HUD study (U.S. DHUD, 1984) reported water volume per flush for various types of toilets and monitored 162 households for shower duration. The results of this study are shown in Table 17-18. Since the HUD study was conducted prior to 1984, the newer (post 1984) conserving toilets that are designed to use approximately 1.6 gallons per flush were not tested.

The frequency of use for toilets in households was examined in several studies (U.S. DHUD, 1984; Ligman, et al., 1974; Siegrist, 1976). The observed mean frequencies in these studies are given in Table 17-19. Tables 17-20 through 17-24 present indoor water use frequencies for dishwashers and clothes washers.

|  | Table 17-16. Showering and Bathing Water Use Characteristics |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic | Mean Duration | Mean Frequency |
|  |  |  |
| Individuals who Shower only | 10.4 minutes/shower | 0.74 showers/day/person |
| Individuals who Bath only | NA | 0.41 baths/day/person |
| Individuals who Shower and Bath | NA | NA |
| Source: Adapted from U. S. DHUD, 1984. |  |  |


| Table 17-17. Showering Characteristics for Various Types of Shower Heads |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Shower Head Type | Mean Flow Rate (gpm) |
| Non-Conserving (> 3 gpm ) | 3.4 |
| Low Flow ( $\leq 3 \mathrm{gpm}$ ) | 1.9 |
| Restrictor ( $\leq 3 \mathrm{gpm}$ ) | 2.1 |
| Zinplas ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.8 |
| Turbojector ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.3 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Types of low flow water Source: Adapted from U.S |  |


| Table 17-18. Toilet Water Use Characteristics |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Water Use (gallons/flush) |
| Toilet Type |  |
| Non-Conserving | 5.5 |
| Bottles | 5.0 |
| Bags | 4.8 |
| Dams | 4.5 |
| Low-flush | 3.5 |
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| Brand | Average Water Use (gallons/regular cycle) | Cycle Duration (minutes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $120^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Maytag | 11.5 | 75 | -- |
| Frigidaire | 12 | 75 | 75 |
| General Electric | 10.5 | 80 | 95 |
| Sears | 10 | 75 | 95 |
| Whirlpool | 9.5 | 60 | 110 |
| White/Westinghouse | 12 | 75 | 75 |
| Waste King | 11.5 | 65 | 85 |
| Kitchen Aid | 9.5 | 80 | 80 |
| Magic Chef | 11.5 | 70 | -- |
| Unweighted Mean | 10.9 | 72.8 | 87.9 |
| Source: Adapted from Consumer Reports, 1987. |  |  |  |
| Table 17-22. Clothes Washer Frequency Use Characteristics |  |  |  |
| Study | Use Frequency |  |  |
| U.S. DHUD, 1984 | 0.3 loads/person/day |  |  |
| Ligman, et al., 1974 Rural | 0.34 loads/person/day |  |  |
| Ligman, et al., 1974 Urban | $n \quad 0.27$ loads/person/day |  |  |
| Siegrist, 1976 | 0.31 loads/day |  |  |


| Table 17-23. Clothes Washer Water Use Characteristics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average Water Use } \\ \text { (gallons/regular cycle) }\end{array}$ |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Cycle Duration <br>

(minutes)\end{array}\right]\)

| Table 17-24. Range of Water Uses for Clothes Washers |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Type of Clothes Washer | Range of Water Use |
| Conventional | $27-59$ gallons/load |
| Low Water | $16-19$ gallons/load |
| All Clothes Washers | $16-59$ gallons/load |
| Source: Adapted from Consumer Reports, 1982. |  |

### 17.3.7. House Dust and Soil

House dust is a complex mixture of biologicallyderived material (animal dander, fungal spores, etc.), particulate matter deposited from the indoor aerosol, and soil particles brought in by foot traffic. House dust may
contain VOCs (see, for example, Wolkoff and Wilkins, 1994; Hirvonen et al., 1995), pesticides from imported soil particles as well as from direct applications indoors (see, for example, Roberts et al., 1991), and trace metals derived from outdoor sources (see, for example, Layton and Thatcher, 1995). The indoor abundance of house dust depends on the interplay of deposition from the airborne state, resuspension due to various activities, direct accumulation, and infiltration.

In the absence of indoor sources, indoor concentrations of particulate matter are significantly lower than outdoor levels. For some time, this observation supported the idea that a significant fraction of the outdoor aerosol is filtered out by the building envelope. More recent data, however, have shown that deposition (incompletely addressed in earlier studies) accounts for the indoor-outdoor contrast, and outdoor particles smaller than $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ aerodynamic diameter penetrate the building envelope as completely as nonreactive gases (Wallace, 1996).

Roberts et al. (1991) - Development and Field Testing of a High Volume Sampler for Pesticides and Toxics in Dust - Dust loadings, reported by Roberts et al. (1991) were also measured in conjunction with the NonOccupational Pesticide Exposure Study (NOPES). In this study house dust was sampled from a representative grid using a specially constructed high-volume surface sampler (HVS2). The surface sampler collection efficiency was verified in conformance with ASTM F608 (ASTM, 1989). The data summarized in Table 17-25 were collected from carpeted areas in volunteer households in Florida encountered during the course of NOPES. Seven of the nine sites were single-family detached homes, and two were mobile homes. The authors noted that the two houses exhibiting the highest dust loadings were only those homes where a vacuum cleaner was not used for housekeeping.

| Table 17-25. Total Dust Loading for Carpeted Areas |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household | Total Dust Load <br> $\left(\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ | Fine Dust $(<150 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ <br> Load $\left(\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10.8 | 6.6 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4.2 | 3.0 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| 4 | $2.2 ; 0.8$ | $1.2 ; 0.3$ |  |  |  |
| 5 | $1.4 ; 4.3$ | $1.0 ; 1.1$ |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.8 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 6.6 | 4.7 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 33.7 | 23.3 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 812.7 | 168.9 |  |  |  |
| Source: Adapted from Roberts et al., 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thatcher and Layton |  |  |  | (1995) | $-\quad$ Deposition, |
| Resuspension and Penetration | of Particles Within | a |  |  |  |

Residence - Relatively few studies have been conducted at the level of detail needed to clarify the dynamics of indoor aerosols. One intensive study of a California residence (Thatcher and Layton, 1995), however, provides instructive results. Using a model-based analysis for data collected under controlled circumstances, the investigators verified penetration of the outdoor aerosol and estimated rates for particle deposition and resuspension (Table 17-26). The investigators stressed that normal household activities are a significant source of airborne particles larger than $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. During the study, they observed that just walking into and out of a room could momentarily double the concentration. The airborne abundance of submicrometer particles, on the other hand, was unaffected by either cleaning or walking.

| Table 17-26. Particle Deposition and Resuspension <br> During Normal Activities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particle Size Range <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Particle Deposition <br> Rate <br> $\left(\mathrm{h}^{-1}\right)$ | Particle Resuspension <br> Rate <br> $\left(\mathrm{h}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |
| $0.3-0.5$ | (not measured) | $9.9 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |  |
| $0.6-1$ | (not measured) | $4.4 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |  |
| $1-5$ | 0.5 | $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |  |
| $5-10$ | 1.4 | $8.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |  |
| $10-25$ | 2.4 | $3.8 \times 10^{-4}$ |  |  |
| $>25$ | 4.1 | $3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |  |
| Source: Adapted from Thatcher and Layton, 1995. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Mass loading of floor surfaces (Table 17-27) was measured in the study of Thatcher and Layton (1995) by thoroughly cleaning the house and sampling accumulated dust, after one week of normal habitation. Methodology, validated under ASTM F608 (ASTM, 1989), showed fine dust recovery efficiencies of 50 percent with new carpet and 72 percent for linoleum. Tracked areas showed consistently higher accumulations than untracked areas, confirming the importance of tracked-in material. Differences between tracked areas upstairs and downstairs show that tracked-in material is not readily transported upstairs. The consistency of untracked carpeted areas throughout the house, suggests that, in the absence of tracking, particle transport processes are similar on both floors.

| Table 17-27. Dust Mass Loading After One Week Without Vacuum <br> Cleaning |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location in Test House | Dust Loading $\left(\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ |
| Tracked area of downstairs carpet | 2.20 |
| Untracked area of downstairs carpet | 0.58 |
| Tracked area of linoleum | 0.08 |
| Untracked area of linoleum | 0.06 |
| Tracked area of upstairs carpet | 1.08 |
| Untracked area of upstairs carpet | 0.60 |
| Front doormat | 43.34 |
| Source: Adapted from Thatcher and Layton, 1995. |  |

### 17.4. SOURCES

Product- and chemical-specific mechanisms for indoor sources can be described using simple emission factors to represent instantaneous releases, as well as constant releases over defined time periods; more complex formulations may be required for time-varying sources. Guidance documents for characterizing indoor sources within the context of the exposure assessment process are limited (see, for example, Jennings et al., 1987; Wolkoff, 1995). Fairly extensive guidance exists in the technical literature, however, provided that the exposure assessor has the means to define (or estimate) key mechanisms and chemical-specific parameters. Basic concepts are summarized below for the broad source categories that relate to airborne contaminants, waterborne contaminants, and for soil/house dust indoor sources.

### 17.4.1. Source Descriptions for Airborne

 ContaminantsTable 17-28 summarizes simplified indoor source descriptions for airborne chemicals for direct discharge sources (e.g., combustion, pressurized propellant products), as well as emanation sources (e.g., evaporation from "wet" films, diffusion from porous media), and transport-related sources (e.g., infiltration of outdoor air contaminants, soil gas entry).

Direct-discharge sources can be approximated using simple formulas that relate pollutant mass released to characteristic process rates. Combustion sources, for example, may be stated in terms of an emission factor, fuel content (or heating value), and fuel consumption (or carrier delivery) rate. Emission factors for combustion products of general concern (e.g., $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) have been measured for a number of combustion appliances using room-sized chambers (see, for example, Relwani et al., 1986). Other direct-discharge sources would include volatiles released from water use and from pressurized consumer products. Resuspension of house dust (see Section 17.3.7) would take
on a similar form by combining an activity-specific rate constant with an applicable dust mass.

| Description | Components | Dimensions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Discharge |  |  |
| Combustion | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{gh} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ emission factor | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{J}{ }^{-1}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ fuel content | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ fuel consumption rate | mol h ${ }^{-1}$ |
| Volume | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p} \sim} \_$ | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$ |
| Discharge | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad=$ volume delivery rate | $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ concentration in carrier | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
|  | $\epsilon \quad=$ transfer efficiency | g g ${ }^{-1}$ |
| Mass | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{e}} \epsilon$ | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$ |
| Discharge | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ mass delivery rate | $\mathrm{gh}{ }^{-1}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad=$ weight fraction | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ |
|  | $\epsilon \quad=$ transfer efficiency | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ |
| Diffusion Limited |  |  |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}} \delta^{-1}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{gh}^{-1}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ diffusivity | $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ |
|  | $\delta^{-1}=$ boundary layer thickness | m |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ = vapor pressure of surface | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ room concentration | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=$ area | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
|  | $A_{i} E_{0} e^{-k t}$ | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ area | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {o }}=$ initial unit emission rate | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{h}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{k}=$ emission decay factor | $\mathrm{h}^{-1}$ |
|  | t = time | h |
| Transport |  |  |
| Infiltration | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ji}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$ |
| Interzonal | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ji}}=$ air flow from zone j | $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ |
| Soil Gas | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=$ air concentration in zone j | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |

Diffusion-limited sources (e.g., carpet backing, furniture, flooring, dried paint) represent probably the greatest challenge in source characterization for indoor air quality. Vapor-phase organics dominate this group, offering great complexity because (1) there is a fairly long list of chemicals that could be of concern, (2) ubiquitous consumer products, building materials, coatings, and furnishings contain varying amounts of different chemicals, (3) source dynamics may include nonlinear mechanisms, and (4) for many of the chemicals, emitting as well as nonemitting materials evident in realistic settings may promote reversible and irreversible sink effects. Very detailed descriptions for diffusion-limited sources can be constructed to link specific properties of the chemical, the source material, and the receiving environment to calculate expected behavior (see, for example, Schwope et al., 1992; Cussler, 1984). Validation to actual circumstances, however, suffers practical shortfalls because many parameters simply cannot be measured directly.

The exponential formulation listed in Table 17-28 was derived based on a series of papers generated during
the development of chamber testing methodology by EPA (Dunn, 1987; Dunn and Tichenor, 1988; Dunn and Chen, 1993). This framework represents an empirical alternative that works best when the results of chamber tests are available. Estimates for the initial emission rate ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) and decay factor $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ can be developed for hypothetical sources from information on pollutant mass available for release $(\mathrm{M})$ and supporting assumptions.

Assuming that a critical time period ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) coincides with reduction of the emission rate to a critical level $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ or with the release of a critical fraction of the total mass $\left(M_{c}\right)$, the decay factor can be estimated by solving either of these relationships:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{c}}{E_{o}}=e^{-k_{s} t_{c}} \text { or } \frac{M_{c}}{M}=1-e^{-k_{s} t} \tag{Eqn.17-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The critical time period can be derived from productspecific considerations (e.g., equating drying time for a paint to 90 percent emissions reduction). Given such an estimate for $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$, the initial emission rate can be estimated by integrating the emission formula to infinite time under the assumption that all chemical mass is released:

The basis for the exponential source algorithm has also been extended to the description of more complex diffusion-limited sources. With these sources, diffusive or evaporative transport at the interface may be much more rapid than diffusive transport from within the source material, so that the abundance at the source/air interface becomes depleted, limiting the transfer rate to the air. Such effects can prevail with skin formation in "wet" sources like stains and paints (see, for example, Chang and Guo, 1992). Similar emission profiles have been observed with the emanation of formaldehyde from particleboard with "rapid" decline as formaldehyde evaporates from surface sites of the particleboard over the first few weeks. It is then followed by a much slower decline over ensuing years as formaldehyde diffuses from within the matrix to reach the surface (see, for example, Zinn et al., 1990).

Transport-based sources bring contaminated air from other areas into the airspace of concern. Examples include infiltration of outdoor contaminants, and soil gas entry. Soil gas entry is a particularly complex phenomenon, and is frequently treated as a separate modeling issue (Little et al., 1992; Sextro, 1994). Room-to-room migration of indoor contaminants would also fall under this category, but this concept is best considered using the multiple-zone model.

### 17.4.2. Source Descriptions for Waterborne Contaminants

Residential water supplies may convey chemicals to which occupants can be exposed through ingestion, dermal contact, or inhalation. These chemicals may appear in the form of contaminants (e.g., trichloroethylene) as well as naturally-occurring byproducts of water system history (e.g., chloroform, radon). Among indoor water uses, showering, bathing and handwashing of dishes or clothes provide the primary opportunities for dermal exposure. The escape of volatile chemicals to the gas phase associates water use with inhalation exposure. The exposure potential for a given situation will depend on the source of water, the types and extents of water uses, and the extent of volatilization of specific chemicals. Primary types of residential water use (summarized in Section 17.3) include showering/bathing, toilet use, clothes washing, dishwashing, and faucet use (e.g., for drinking, cooking, general cleaning, or washing hands).

Upper-bounding estimates of chemical release rates from water use can be formulated as simple emission factors by combining the concentration in the feed water ( g $\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ ) with the flow rate for the water use (ih h ), and assuming that the chemical escapes to the gas phase. For some chemicals, however, not all of the chemical escapes in realistic situations due to diffusion-limited transport and solubility factors. For inhalation exposure estimates, this may not pose a problem because the bounding estimate would overestimate emissions by no more than approximately a factor of two. For multiple exposure pathways, the chemical mass remaining in the water may be

$$
\mathrm{M}=\int_{\mathrm{o}}^{\infty} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt}=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}}{k_{\mathrm{s}}}
$$

(Eqn. 17-4)
of importance. Refined estimates of volatile emissions are usually considered under two-resistance theory to accommodate mass transport aspects of the water-air system (see, for example, Little, 1992; Andelman, 1990; McKone, 1987). Release rates are formulated as:

Because house dust is a complex mixture, transfer of particle-bound constituents to the gas phase may be of concern for some exposure assessments. For emission estimates, one would then need to consider particle mass residing in each reservoir (dust deposit, airborne).

### 17.5. ADVANCED CONCEPTS

### 17.5.1. Uniform Mixing Assumption

Many exposure measurements are predicated on the assumption of uniform mixing within a room or zone of a house. Mage and Ott (1994) offers an extensive review of the history of use and misuse of the concept. Experimental work by Baughman et al. (1994) and Drescher et al. (1995) indicates that, for an instantaneous release from a point source in a room, fairly complete mixing is achieved within 10 minutes when convective flow is induced by solar radiation. However, up to 100 minutes may be required for complete mixing under quiescent (nearly isothermal) conditions. While these experiments were conducted at extremely low air exchange rates ( $<0.1 \mathrm{ACH}$ ), based on the results, attention is focused on mixing within a room.

The situation changes if a human invokes a point source for a longer period and remains in the immediate vicinity of that source. Personal exposure in the near vicinity of a source can be much higher than the well-mixed assumption would suggest. A series of experiments conducted by GEOMET (1989) for the U.S. EPA involved controlled point-source releases of carbon monoxide tracer (CO), each for 30 minutes. "Breathing-zone" measurements located within 0.4 m of the release point were ten times higher than for other locations in the room during early stages of mixing and transport.

Similar investigations conducted by Furtaw et al. (1995) involved a series of experiments in a controlledenvironment room-sized chamber. Furtaw et al. (1995) studied spatial concentration gradients around a continuous point source simulated by sulfur hexafluoride $\left(\mathrm{SF}_{6}\right)$ tracer with a human moving about the room. Average breathingzone concentrations when the subject was near the source exceeded those several meters away by a factor that varied inversely with the ventilation intensity in the room. At typical room ventilation rates, the ratio of source-proximate to slightly-removed concentration was on the order of 2:1.

### 17.5.2. Reversible Sinks

For some chemicals, the actions of reversible sinks are of concern. For an initially "clean" condition in the sink material, sorption effects can greatly deplete indoor concentrations. However, once enough of the chemical has
been adsorbed, the diffusion gradient will reverse, allowing the chemical to escape. For persistent indoor sources, such effects can serve to reduce indoor levels initially but once the system equilibrates, the net effect on the average concentration of the reversible sink is negligible. Over suitably short time frames, this can also affect integrated exposure. For indoor sources whose emission profile declines with time (or ends abruptly), reversible sinks can serve to extend the emissions period as the chemical desorbs long after direct emissions are finished. Reversible sink effects have been observed for a number of chemicals in the presence of carpeting, wall coverings, and other materials commonly found in residential environments.

Interactive sinks (and models of the processes) are of a special importance; while sink effects can greatly reduce indoor air concentrations, re-emission at lower rates over longer time periods could greatly extend the exposure period of concern. For completely reversible sinks, the extended time could bring the cumulative exposure to levels approaching the sink-free case. Recent publications (Axley et al., 1993; Tichenor et al., 1991) show that first principles provide useful guidance in postulating models and setting assumptions for reversible/irreversible sink models. Sorption/desorption can be described in terms of Langmuir (monolayer) as well as Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET, multilayer) adsorption.

### 17.6 RECOMMENDATIONS

Table 17-29 presents a summary of volume of residence surveys and Table $17-30$ presents a summary of air exchange rates surveys. Table $17-31$ presents the recommended values. Tables 17-32 and 17-33 provide the confidence in recommendations for house volume and air exchange rates, respectively. Key studies or analyses described in this chapter were used in selecting recommended values for residential volume. The air exchange rate data presented in the studies are extremely limited. Therefore, studies have not been classified as key or relevant studies. However, recommendations have been provided for air exchange rates and the confidence recommendation has been assigned a "low" overall rating. Therefore, these values should be used with caution. Both central and conservative values are provided. These two parameters -- volume and air exchange rate -- can be used by exposure assessors in modeling indoor-air concentrations as one of the inputs to exposure estimation. Other inputs to the modeling effort include rates of indoor pollutant generation and losses to (and, in some cases, reemissions from) indoor sinks. Other things being equal
(i.e., holding constant the pollutant generation rate and effect of indoor sinks), lower values for either the indoor volume or the air exchange rate will result in higher indoorair concentrations. Thus, values near the lower end of the distribution (e.g., 10th percentile) for either parameter are appropriate in developing conservative estimates of exposure.

For the volume of a residence, both key studies (U.S. DOE (1995) and Versar (1990) PFT database) have the same mean value -- $369 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (see Table 17-1). This mean value is recommended as a central estimate residential volume. Intuitively, the 10th percentile of the distribution from either study -- $147 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ for RECS survey or $167 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ for the PFT database -- is too conservative a value, as both these values are lower than the mean volume for multifamily dwelling units (see Table 17-2). Instead, the 25th percentile -- $209 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ for RECS survey or $225 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ for PFT database, averaging $217 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ across the two key studies -- is recommended (Table 17-1).

For the residential air exchange rate, the median value of 0.45 air changes per hour (ACH) from the PFT database (see Table 17-9) is recommended as a typical value (Koontz and Rector, 1995). This median value is very close to the geometric mean of the measurements in the PFT database analyzed by Koontz and Rector (1995). The arithmetic mean is not preferred because it is influenced fairly heavily by extreme values at the upper tail of the distribution. For a conservative value, the 10th percentile for the PFT database -- 0.18 ACH -- is recommended (Table 17-10).

There are some uncertainties in, or limitations on, the distribution for volumes and air exchange rates that are presented in this chapter. For example, the RECS used to infer volume distributions used a nationwide probability sample, but measured floor area rather than total volume. By comparison, field studies contributing to the PFT data base measured house volumes directly, but the aggregate sampling frame for these studies is not statistically representative of the national housing stock.

Although the PFT methodology is relatively simple to implement, it is subject to errors and uncertainties. The general performance of the sampling and analytical aspects of the system are quite good. That is, laboratory analysis will measure the correct time-weighted-average tracer concentration to within a few percent (Dietz et al., 1986). Nonetheless, significant errors can arise when conditions in the measurement scene greatly deviate from idealizations calling for constant, well-mixed conditions. Principal concerns focus on the effects of naturally varying air
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exchange and the effects of temperature in the permeation source.

Sherman (1989) carried out an error analysis of the PFT methodology using mathematical models combined with typical weather data to calculate how an ideal sampling system would perform in a time-varying environment. He found that for simple single-story (ranch) and two-story plus basement (colonial) layouts, seasonal measurements would underpredict seasonal average air exchange by 20 to 30 percent. Underprediction can occur because the PFT methodology is measuring the effective ventilation (the product of ventilation efficiency and air exchange), and the temporal efficiency will generally be less than unity over averaging periods of this length. Sherman (1989) also noted, however, that while the bias could have an impact on determining air exchange (absent knowledge of ventilation efficiency) for calculating energy loads, the effective air exchange term is directly relevant to determining average indoor concentrations resulting from constant sources.

Leaderer et al. (1985) conducted a series of experiments in a room-sized-environmental chamber to evaluate the practical impacts of varying air exchange and the temperature response of the permeation sources. The negative bias anticipated in the measured (effective) versus actual air exchange as conditions varied diurnally between 0.4 and 1.5. ACH was evident but minor ( 3 to 6 percent), most likely due to the mechanical mixing in the chamber and the relatively short integration time ( 72 h ). Similarly, cycling temperature diurnally over an $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ range (holding air exchange steady at 0.6 ACH ) would cause concentrations changes of about 20 percent as emissions fluctuated. The investigators found, however, that using a time-weighted average temperature to define the emission rate reduced the temperature bias to essentially zero.
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|  | Table 17-29. Volume of Residence Surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Study | Number of Residences |  | Survey Type |  | Areas Surveyed | Comments |
|  | $\frac{\text { Key Studies }}{\quad \begin{array}{l} \text { U.S. DOE, } 1995 \\ \text { (RECS) } \end{array}}$ | Over 7,000 | Direct estima | asurement of floor area; of volume |  | onwide (random sample) $\quad$ Vo | umes were estimated assuming 8 ft . ng height. Provides relationships veen average residential volumes and ities such as housing type, ownership, sehold size, and structure age. |
|  | Versar, 1990 <br> (PFT database) | Over 2,000 | Direct estima | asurement and |  | nwide (not random sample); a Sa fraction located in CA | ple was not geographically balanced; stical weighting was applied to develop onwide distributions |
|  | Murray, 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,041 \text { (RECS) } \\ & 1,751 \text { (PFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Direct estima | asurements and |  | S-Nationwide (random <br> le); PFT - Nationwide (not m sample); a large fraction ed in CA | licate measurement were eliminated; dhe effects of using 8 ft . assumption on ng height to calculate volume; data from databases were analyzed. |
|  |  |  |  | Table 17-30. Air Exchange | e R | S Surveys |  |
|  | Study | Numbe Residences/Me | rements | Survey Type |  | Areas Surveyed | Comments |
|  | Versar, 1990 <br> (PFT database) | Over 2,000 resid |  | Measurements using PFT technique |  | Nationwide (not random sample); a large fraction located in CA | Multiple measurements on the same home were included. |
|  | Koontz \& Rector, 1995 (PFT database) | 2,971 measurem |  | Measurements using PFT technique |  | Nationwide (not random sample); a large fraction located in CA | Multiple measurements on the same home were included. Compensated for geographic imbalances. Data are presented by region of the country and season. |
|  | Murray and Burmaster, 1995 (PFT database) | 2,844 measurem |  | Measurements using PFT technique |  | Nationwide (not random sample); a large fraction located in CA | Multiple measurements on the same home were included. Did not compensate for geographical imbalances. Data are presented by climate region and season. |
|  | Nazaroff et al., 1988 | 255 (Grot and Cl | , 1981) | Direct measurement |  | 255, low-income families in 14 cities | Sample size was small and not representative of the U.S. |
|  |  | 312 (Grimsrud, 1 |  | Direct measurement |  | 321, newer residences, median age <br> $<10$ years | Sample size was small and not representative of the U.S. |

Same mean value presented in two studies (Table 17-1) - recommended to be used as the central estimate.
b Mean of two 25th percentile values (Table 17-1) - recommended to be used as the mean value.
c Recommended to be used as a typical value (Table 17-10).
d Recommended to be used as a conservative value (Table 17-10).

| Table 17-32. Confidence in House Volume Recommendations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements |  |  |
| - Level of peer review | All key studies are from peer reviewed literature. | High |
| - Accessibility | Papers are widely available from peer review journals. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Direct measurements were made. | High |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The focus of the studies was on estimating house volume as well as other factors. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | Residences in the U.S. was the focus of the key studies. | High |
| - Primary data | All the studies were based on primary data. | High |
| - Currency | Measurements in the PFT database were taken between 1982-1987. The RECS survey was conducted in 1993. | Medium |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Not applicable |  |
| - Validity of approach | For the RECS survey, volumes were estimated assuming an 8 ft . ceiling height. The effect of this assumption has been tested by Murray (1996) and found to be insignificant. | Medium |
| - Study size | The sample sizes used in the key studies were fairly large, although only 1 study (RECS) was representative of the whole U.S. Not all samples were selected at random; however, RECS samples were selected at random. | Medium |
| - Representativeness of the population | RECS sample is representative of the U.S. | Medium |
| - Characterization of variability | Distributions are presented by housing type and regions; although some of the sample sizes for the subcategories were small. | Medium |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Selection of residences was random for RECS. | Medium |
| - Measurement error | Some measurement error may exist since surface areas were estimated using the assumption of 8 ft . ceiling height. | Medium |
| Other Elements <br> - Number of studies | There are 3 key studies; however there are only 2 data sets. | Low |
| - Agreement between researchers | There is good agreement among researchers. | High |
| Overall Rating | Results were consistent; 1 study (RECS) was representative of residences in the whole U.S.; volumes were estimated rather than measured in some cases. | Medium |
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| Table 17-33. Confidence in Air Exchange Rate Recommendations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Considerations | Rationale | Rating |
| Study Elements <br> - Level of peer review | The studies appear in peer reviewed literature. Although there are 3 studies, they are all based on the same database (PFT database). | High |
| - Accessibility | Papers are widely available from government reports and peer review journals. | High |
| - Reproducibility | Precision across repeat analyses has been documented to be acceptable. | Medium |
| - Focus on factor of interest | The focus of the studies was on estimating air exchange rates as well as other factors. | High |
| - Data pertinent to U.S. | Residences in the U.S. was the focus of the PFT database. | High |
| - Primary data | All the studies were based on primary data. | High |
| - Currency | Measurements in the PFT database were taken between 1982-1987. | Medium |
| - Adequacy of data collection period | Only short term data were collected; some residences were measured during different seasons; however, long term air exchange rates are not well characterized. | Medium |
| - Validity of approach | Although the PFT technology is an EPA standard method (Method IP-4A), it has some major limitations (e.g., uniform mixing assumption). | Low |
| - Study size | The sample sizes used in the key studies were fairly large, although not representative of the whole U.S. Not all samples were selected at random. | Medium |
| - Representativeness of the population | Sample is not representative of the U.S.. | Low |
| - Characterization of variability | Distributions are presented by U.S. regions, seasons, and climatic regions; although some of the sample sizes for the subcategories were small and not representative of U.S. The utility is limited.. | Low |
| - Lack of bias in study design (high rating is desirable) | Bias may result since the selection of residences was not random. | Low |
| - Measurement error | Some measurement error may exist. | Medium |
| Other Elements <br> - Number of studies | There are 3 key studies; however there are only 1 data set. However, the database contains results of 20 projects of varying scope. | Medium |
| - Agreement between researchers | Not applicable |  |
| Overall Rating | Sample was not representative of residences in the whole U.S., but covered the range of occurrence. PFT methodology has limitations. Uniform mixing assumption may not be adequate. Results will vary depending on placement of samples and on whether windows and doors are closed or opened. | Low |
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[^7]:    a Standard error
    b All N's are weighted number.
    Doer $=$ Respondents who reported participating in each activity/location spent in microenvironments.
    Source: Robinson and Thomas, 1991.

[^8]:    Note: A "*" Signifies missing data. "DK" = The respondent replied "don't know". Refused = Refused data. $\mathrm{N}=$ doer sample size. Mean = Mean 24-hour cumulative number of minutes for doers. Stdev $=$ standard deviation. Stderr $=$ standard error. Min $=$ minimum number of minutes. Max $=$ maximum number of minutes. Percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
    a Includes baby and child care, personal care services, washing and personal hygiene (bathing, showering, etc.)
    Source: Tsang and Klepeis, 1996.

[^9]:    a Totals do not necessarily reflect exact averages presented for each gender. Totals were revised, but revisions for each gender were not provided. NR = Not Reported
    Is total mean duration for those categories; breakdowns per category were not reported.

[^10]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Survey assessed changes in residence between 1975 and 1980.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes persons residing abroad in 1975.
    $\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable.

[^11]:    Sources:
    U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1984

    Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, 1991.
    East Bay Municipal Utility District, 1992.

