

**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT
OFFICE OF GENERAL ENFORCEMENT
PESTICIDES ENFORCEMENT DIVISION**

**NOTICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FEDERAL
INSECTICIDE, FUNGICIDE, AND RODENTICIDE ACT**

Nos. 1751-1800

Notices of Judgment report cases involving seizure actions taken against products alleged to be in violation, and criminal and civil actions taken against firms or individuals charged to be responsible for violations. The following Notices of Judgment are approved for publication as provided in Section 16(d) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 136n).



Stanley W. Legro
Assistant Administrator for
Enforcement

Washington, D.C.

1751. In Re: The Butcher Polish Company, EPA Region I, October 3, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. 1-22C, I.D. Nos. 89049, 102810 and 102831.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E). The action pertained to a shipment made on January 15, 1973, from Malden, Massachusetts, to Hartford, Connecticut, and to products held for distribution or sale on September 7 and October 18, 1973, at The Butcher Polish Company, Marlborough, Massachusetts. The pesticides involved were **BUTCHER'S SURFACE DISINFECTANT SPRAY** and **BUTCHER'S PROBE PHENOLIC GERMICIDAL DETERGENT**; the charge was misbranding—ineffective when used as directed.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$5,000.00.

1752. In Re: Cosan Chemical Co., EPA Region II, May 21, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. II-69C, I.D. No. 117714.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E) and 136(q)(1)(G). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on May 3, 1974, at Cosan Chemical Co., Clifton, New Jersey. The pesticide involved was **COSAN PMA-100-WSB**; the charge was misbranding—lack of adequate precautionary statements on labels.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$2,500.00.

1753. In Re: Eight-In-One Pet Products, EPA Region II, May 29, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. II-63C, I.D. Nos. 113902 and 113903.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 135(b). The action pertained to a shipment made on August 13, 1973, from Brentwood, New York, to Lakewood, Colorado. The pesticides involved were **PERFECT COAT WHITE PET SHAMPOO** and **PERFECT COAT PREMIUM PET SHAMPOO**; the charge was nonregistration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$4,200.00.

1754. In Re: Airwick Industries, EPA Region II, July 2, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. II-59C, I.D. Nos. 91905, 118073, 118074 and 118076.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(1)(G); 136(q)(1)(A) and 136(q)(2)(A). The action pertained to products held for distribution or sale on July 1, 1974, and September 19, 1973, at Airwick Industries, Carlstadt, New Jersey. The pesticides involved were **BACK-TEX RUG SHAMPOO** and **AIRKEM A-3**; the charge was misbranding—lack of adequate precautionary statements, lack of adequate ingredient statement and labels bore unwarranted safety claims.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$4,500.00.

1755. In Re: Mobil Chem. Co., EPA Region II, July 7, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. II-65C, I.D. Nos. 117326, 117327 and 117328.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1); 135(b); 136j(a)(1)(E) and 136(q)(2)(A). The action

pertained to a shipment made on April 29, 1975, from Edison, New Jersey, to Baltimore, Maryland. The pesticides involved were **MOBIL COATING VINYL ANTI-FOULING PAINTS: DARK BROWN 59D-22, DARK RED 59R-25 and BROWN 59-D-20**; charges included nonregistration and misbranding—lack of ingredient statement.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$8,400.00.

1756. In Re: Brilco Laboratories, EPA Region II, July 17, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. II-80C, I.D. No. 117583.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 135b. The action pertained to a shipment made on December 10, 1974, from Brooklyn, New York, to Washington, D.C. The pesticide involved was **BRILCO PINE DISINFECTANT**; the charge was nonregistration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$210.00.

1757. In Re: Givudan Corporation, EPA Region II, July 24, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. II-53C, I.D. No. 105194.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1); 135b; 136j(a)(1)(e) and 136(q)(2)(a). The action pertained to a shipment made on January 3, 1974, from Clifton, New Jersey, to Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The pesticide involved was **JAPANESE BEETLE BAIT**; charges included nonregistration and misbranding—lack of ingredient statement.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,500.00.

1758. In Re: Jancyn Manufacturing Corp., EPA Region II, July 24, 1975. (I.F.&R No. II-64C, I.D. No. 117395.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1); 135(b); 136j(a)(1)(e) and 136(q)(2)(A). The action pertained to a shipment made on May 22, 1974, from Central Islip, New York, to Salladasburg, Pennsylvania. The pesticide involved was **JANCYN MAIN LINE CLEANER AND ROOT DESTROYER**; charges included nonregistration and misbranding—lack of ingredient statement.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,125.00.

1759. In Re: Perfection Beauty Products, Inc., EPA Region II, August 5, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. II-78C, I.D. No. 118172.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 135b. The action pertained to a shipment made on July 24, 1974, from Pearl River, New York, to Jersey City, New Jersey. The pesticide involved was **TRIPLE CEE PINE DISINFECTANT**; the charge was nonregistration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$330.00.

1760. In Re: Long Island Paint and Chemical Co., EPA Region II, August 14, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. 81C, I.D. No. 106728.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136a(a); 136j(a)(1)(A); 136j(a)(1)(E) and 136(q)(2)(A). The action pertained to a shipment made on April 4, 1974, or May 21, 1974, or June 31, 1974, from Glen Cove, New York, to Greenville, Rhode Island. The pesticide involved was **ISLAND SPA ANTI-FOULING**

COPPER BOTTOM PAINT; charges included nonregistration and misbranding—lack of ingredient statement on labels.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$720.00.

1761. In Re: American Cyanamid Co., EPA Region II, September 16, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. II-73C, I.D. No. 106702.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 135b. The action pertained to a shipment made on July 24, 1974, from Linden, New Jersey, to San Antonio, Texas. The pesticide involved was **CYTHON THE PREMIUM GRADE MALATHION 50% MFG. CONCENTRATE**; the charge was nonregistration.

The Final Order on Default assessed a civil penalty of \$3,080.00.

1762. In Re: Ecological and Specialty Products, EPA Region II, September 18, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. II-98C, I.D. No. 117870.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 135b. The action pertained to a shipment made on June 6, 1975, from Paterson, New Jersey, to Peekskill, New York. The pesticide involved was **CREOSOTE WOOD PRESERVATIVE**; the charge was nonregistration.

The complaint was withdrawn due to evidence submitted by respondent showing that the product was registered at the time of shipment.

1763. In Re: Lerro's Soap & Chemicals, Inc., EPA Region III, July 16, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. III-50C, I.D. Nos. 105179 and 105180.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(1)(F); 136(q)(2)(c)(iii); 136(q)(2)(A); 136(q)(1)(A) and 136(q)(1)(G). The action pertained to products held for distribution or sale on December 10, 1973, at Lerro's Soap & Chemicals, Inc., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The pesticides involved were **LIGHTNING RESIDUAL SPRAY** and **NON TOXIC INSECT SPRAY**; the charge was misbranding—lack of adequate warning or caution statement, lack of adequate directions for use, lack of adequate ingredient statement, lack of statement of net weight or measure of content and labels bore a false or misleading statement.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$2,500.00.

1764. In Re: Pennyfeather Corp., EPA Region III, July 30, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. III-68C, I.D. No. 115402.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 135b. The action pertained to a shipment made on or about January 7, 1974, from Greenville, Delaware, to Portage, Michigan. The pesticide involved was **PENNYFEATHER SQUILL SQUIRREL REPELLANT**; the charge was nonregistration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$500.00.

1765. In Re: Elco Manufacturing Company, EPA Region III, August 1, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. III-33C, I.D. Nos. 104438, 104439, 104440, 104443, 104445 and 104459.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(1)(D) and 136(q)(2)(C)(v). The action pertained to products held for distribution or sale on August 21, 1973, at Elco Manufacturing Company, Sharpsburg, Pennsylvania. The pesticides involved were **ELCO DURSBAN INSECTICIDE 1E, ELCO DURSBAN INSECTICIDE 2E, ELCO CIDE BUG SPRAY, ELCO TERMITE KILLER EMULSIFIABLE CONCENTRATE, LUMBER LAST** and **ELCO CREOSOTE**; charges included claims and directions for use differed in substance from the representations made in connection with its registration and misbranding—lack of adequate warning or caution statements and lack of the assigned registration numbers on labels.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,860.00.

1766. In Re: Perk Products Company, EPA Region IV, June 5, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. IV-68C, I.D. No. 95628.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(c)(1) and 136(q)(1)(A). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on October 29, 1973, at Perk Products Company, Nashville, Tennessee. The pesticide involved was **PERKERSON'S TRICHLOR WEED KILLER**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$120.00.

1767. In Re: H.A. Cole Products Company, EPA Region IV, July 16, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. IV-77C, I.D. Nos. 114758 and 116555.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 136j(a)(1)(E). The action pertained to a shipment made on April 10, 1974, from Jackson, Mississippi to Shreveport, Louisiana, and to a product held for distribution or sale on February 13, 1974, at H.A. Cole Products Co., Jackson, Mississippi. The pesticides involved were **NEW HOMEMAKERS CLEANER DISINFECTANT** and **H.A. COLE FYNE-PYNE PINE OIL DISINFECTANT**; charges included nonregistration, adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,575.00.

1768. In Re: Sanco Products Company, EPA Region IV, July 16, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. IV-72C, I.D. No. 94712.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136(q)(1)(E); 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(2)(C)(iv) and 136(q)(1)(A). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on October 24, 1973, at Sanco Products Company, Macon, Georgia. The pesticide involved was **SANCO PRODUCTS RINS-O-DINE**; the charge was misbranding—lack of adequate directions for use and labels failed to bear the assigned registration number.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,650.00.

**1769. In Re: Texize Chemical Co., EPA Region IV, July 16, 1975.
(I.F.&R. No. IV-125C, I.D. No. 116986.)**

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E) and 136(q)(1)(A). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on March 12, 1975, at Texize Chemical Company, Greenville, South Carolina. The pesticide involved was **TEXIZE PINE POWER TYPE DISINFECTANT CLEANER**; the charge was misbranding—product was ineffective when used as directed on labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,680.00.

**1770. In Re: Hunt and Company, Inc., EPA Region IV, July 17,
1975. (I.F.&R. No. IV-129C, I.D. No. 117078.)**

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E) and 136(c)(1). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on February 10, 1975, at Hunt & Company, Inc., Greensboro, North Carolina. The pesticide involved was **MAINLINE DISINFECTANT, CLEANER AND DEODORANT**; the charge was adulteration—chemical deficiency.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,428.00.

**1771. In Re: FHW Toxicology & Biology Laboratories, EPA
Region IV, August 5, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. IV-115C, I.D. No.
117114.)**

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E) and 136(c)(1). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on August 15, 1974, at FHW Toxicology &

Biology Laboratories, Casselberry, Florida. The pesticide involved was **FB**; the charge was adulteration—chemical deficiency.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$500.00.

1772. In Re: M&T Chemicals, Inc., EPA Region IV, August 5, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. IV-127C, I.D. No. 117815.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(1)(G); 136(q)(2)(C)(iv) and 136(q)(2)(C)(iii). The action pertained to a shipment made on February 14, 1974, from Carrollton, Kentucky, to Newark, New Jersey. The pesticide involved was **BIOMET-14**; the charge was misbranding—labels failed to bear adequate precautionary statements, an ingredient statement, the assigned registration number and a statement of net contents.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$3,640.00.

1773. In Re: Tobacco States Chemical Co., EPA Region IV, August 5, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. IV-130C, I.D. No. 107090.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136(q)(1)(F). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on September 12, 1974, at Tobacco States Chemical Company, Lexington, Kentucky. The pesticide involved was **20% LINDANE TRANSPLANTER SOLUTION**; the charge was misbranding—lack of adequate directions for use.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,820.00.

1774. In Re: ABC Compounding Company, Inc., EPA Region IV, August 8, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. IV-114C, I.D. Nos. 117046, 116965 and 117076.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1); 135b; 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(2)(C)(i); 136(c)(1) and 136(q)(2)(C)(iv). The action pertained to shipments made on August 5, 1974, and January 16, 1975, from Atlanta, Georgia, to Raleigh and Chapel Hill, North Carolina, and to a product held for distribution or sale on January 21, 1975, at ABC Compounding Company, Inc, Atlanta, Georgia. The pesticides involved were **DEODORANT CRYSTALS PARADICHLOROBENZENE 100%, ALGICIDE, and PINE ODOR DISINFECTANT**; charges included nonregistration, adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling, lack of name and address of manufacturer and assigned registration number on labels.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,800.00.

1775. In Re: Industrial Chemical & Supply Company, EPA Region IV, August 25, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. IV-138C, I.D. No. 110880.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(1)(A); 136(c)(1) and 136(q)(1)(D). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on February 28, 1975, at Industrial Chemical & Supply Company, Tampa, Florida. The pesticide involved was **PELICAN BRAND SANITIZER CLEANER**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling, product would be ineffective when used as directed and lack of assigned establishment registration number on labels.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,820.00.

1776. In Re: Predicted Environments Division of Air-Shields, Inc., EPA Region IV, August 25, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. IV-118C, I.D. No. 116981.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136(a)(1)(E) and 136(q)(1)(A). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on February 13, 1975, at Predicted Environments Div. of Air-Shields, Inc., Moncks Corner, South Carolina. The pesticide involved was **VAPASEPTIC AIR SANITIZER SURFACE DISINFECTANT**; the charge was misbranding—product ineffective when used as directed.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$2,800.00.

1777. In Re: Admiral Paint Co., EPA Region VI, November 7, 1974. (I.F.&R. No. VI-20C, I.D. No. 104628.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(1)(A) and 136(c)(1). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on November 11, 1973, at Admiral Paint Co., Lake Charles, Louisiana. The pesticide involved was **CUTTY SARK MARINE COATINGS ANTI-FOULING PAINT TD-1240-2 VINYL**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,400.00.

1778. In Re: Helena Chemical Co., EPA Region VI, May 28, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VI-41C, I.D. No. 106899.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(c)(1) and 136(q)(1)(A). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on August 16, 1974, at Helena Chemical Co., West Helena, Arkansas. The pesticide involved was **HELENA ANIMAL HEALTH 5% SEVIN DUST**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,800.00.

1779. In Re: Pioneer Chemicals, Inc., EPA Region VI, May 28, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VI-33C, I.D. No. 112523.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(c)(1) and 136(q)(1)(A). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on August 20, 1974, at Pioneer Chemicals, Inc., Ponca City, Oklahoma. The pesticide involved was **P-501 DISINFECTANT FUNGICIDE**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$350.00.

1780. In Re: Cotey Chemical Corp., EPA Region VI, June 30, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VI-35C, I.D. No. 114841.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(c)(1) and 136(q)(1)(A). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on November 20, 1974, at Cotey

Chemical Corp., Lubbock, Texas. The pesticide involved was **SWIMCIDE**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$270.00.

1781. In Re: Ozark Chemical Co., EPA Region VI, August 1, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VI-37C, I.D. Nos. 114889 and 114890.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(1)(A) and 136(c)(1). The action pertained to products held for distribution or sale on May 16, 1974, at Ozark Chemical Co., North Little Rock, Arkansas. The pesticides involved were **PEAK NO. 569 TOILET BOWL CLEANER** and **PEAK NO. 215 CLEANER-DISINFECTANT-DEODORIZER-FUNGICIDE**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$918.00.

1782. In Re: Chemtech Industries, Inc., EPA Region VI, August 6, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VI-44C, I.D. Nos. 107130 and 107126.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(c)(1) and 136(q)(1)(A). The action pertained to products held for distribution or sale on January 21, 1975, at Chemtech Industries, Inc., Dallas, Texas. The pesticides involved were **ALGAE-OUT** and **WARD-OFF**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$2,484.00.

1783. In Re: Stauffer Chemical Company, EPA Region VI, August 15, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VI-32C, I.D. No. 114690.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1). The action pertained to a shipment made on July 9, 1974, from No. Little Rock, Arkansas, to Bonham, Texas. The pesticide involved was **ASPON 25G**; the charge was nonregistration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,700.00.

1784. In Re: Chempro Corporation, EPA Region VI, September 9, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VI-49C, I.D. No. 107146.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(c)(1) and 136(q)(1)(A). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on March 27, 1975, at Chempro Corporation, Houston, Texas. The pesticide involved was **JOMAX MOLD AND MILDEW REMOVER**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed *standard of quality as expressed on its labeling*

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$420.00.

1785. In Re: The Rite Chemical Co., Inc., EPA Region VI, September 9, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VI-47C, I.D. No. 108355.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E) and 136(c)(1). The action pertained to a product sold by

The Rite Chemical Co., Inc., New Orleans, Louisiana, on March 12 and/or April 3, 1975. The pesticide involved was **BIG M BRAND LEMON ODOR CLEANER**; the charge was adulteration—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$550.00.

1786. In Re: Hart-Delta, Inc., EPA Region VI, September 23, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VI-45C, I.D. No. 107158.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(c)(1) and 136(q)(1)(A). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on February 18, 1975, at Hart-Delta, Inc., Baton Rouge, Louisiana. The pesticide involved was **D TICK DUST**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$650.00.

1787. In Re: Kay Dee Feed Company, EPA Region VII, June 20, 1974. (I.F.&R. No. VII-33C, I.D. No. 102718.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(1)(A) and 136(c)(1). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on November 27, 1973, at Kay Dee Feed Company, Sioux City, Iowa. The pesticide involved was **KAY DEE FLYDETS**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,100.00.

1788. In Re: Diamond Vogel Paint Co., EPA Region VII, November 20, 1974. (I.F.&R. No. VII-52C, I.D. Nos. 114975 and 115159.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 135b. The action pertained to shipments made on or about February 12 and 22, 1974, and February 25, 1975, from Burlington, Iowa, to North Aurora, Illinois, and East Peoria, Illinois. The pesticides involved were **DIAMOND VOGEL FARM AND FENCE CREOSOTE PAINT WHITE 855** and **DIAMOND VOGEL HOUSE PAINT "100" OUTSIDE WHITE**; the charge was nonregistration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$2,244.00.

1789. In Re: Midwest Solvents Company, Inc., EPA Region VII, April 25, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VII-99C, I.D. No. 119217.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136a(a) and 136j(a)(1)(A). The action pertained to a shipment made on September 10, 1974, from Atchinson, Kansas, to Boston, Massachusetts. The pesticide involved was **STAZ-TITE SUPER SMOOTH PRESIZED WALL PAPER PASTE**; the charge was non-registration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,200.00.

1790. In Re: The Parawax Company, EPA Region VII, July 11, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VII-126C, I.D. No. 114323.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(2)(A) and 136(c)(1). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on November 6, 1974, at The Parawax Company, Council Bluffs, Iowa. The pesticide involved was **SANITROL-CLEANER-GERMICIDE-DEODORIZER-DISINFECTANT**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$300.00.

1791. In Re: Gibson-Homans Company, Des Moines, Iowa, EPA Region VII, July 14, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VII-123C, I.D. No. 112339.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(2)(L) and 136e(c)(1)(A). The action pertained to the firm's failure to submit a pesticides annual report in a timely manner.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$750.00.

1792. In Re: Elam Chemical Company, EPA Region VII, July 15, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VII-122C, I.D. No. 112747.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136(q)(1)(A); 136j(a)(1)(E) and 136(c)(1). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on November 19, 1974, at Elam Chemical Company, Maryland Heights, Missouri. The pesticide involved was **ELAM DISINFECTANT-CLEANER**; charges included

adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$180.00.

1793. In Re: Dairy Chemical Company, EPA Region VII, August 14, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VII-131C, I.D. Nos. 87585 and 114903.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E); 136(q)(1)(G) and 135(a)(1). The action pertained to a shipment made on or about March 26, 1974, from Fort Dodge, Iowa, to Cushing, Oklahoma. The pesticide involved was **DAIRY-CHEM BORK'S DETERGENT-SANITIZER**; charges included claims differed in substance from representations made in connection with its registration and misbranding—lack of adequate warning or caution statement on labels.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$1,940.00.

1794. In Re: Missouri-Kansas Chemical Co., EPA Region VII, August 14, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VII-125C, I.D. Nos. 114230 and 114561.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 135b. The action pertained to a shipment made on or about October 30, 1974, from Kansas City, Missouri, to Hedrick, Iowa. The pesticide involved was **CHEMICIDE**; the charge was that the claims made for the product differed in substance from the representations made in connection with its registration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$250.00.

1795. In Re: Chemagro Div. of Mobay Corp., EPA Region VII, August 18, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VII-128C, I.D. Nos. 114540 and 114549.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E) and 136(q)(1)(G). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on December 6, 1974, at Chemagro Div. Of Mobay Corp., Kansas City, Missouri. The pesticide involved was **META-SYSTOX 50% CONCENTRATE**; the charge was misbranding—lack of adequate warning or caution statements on labels.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$3,080.00.

1796. In Re: B & K Company, EPA Region VII, September 4, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VII-136C, I.D. No. 107250.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 135b. The action pertained to a shipment made on March 24, 1975, from Marshalltown, Iowa, to San Antonio, Texas. The pesticide involved was **PHANTOM MECHANICS PERSONAL HAND & ARM CLEANER**; the charge was nonregistration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$100.00.

1797. In Re: The Russell Company, EPA Region VII, September 4, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. VII-137C, I.D. No. 119373.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136a(a) and 136j(a)(1)(A). The action pertained to a shipment made on December 11, 1974, from St. Louis, Missouri, to Indianapolis,

Indiana. The pesticide involved was **SCOOT DOG REPELLENT**; the charge was nonregistration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$100.00.

1798. In Re: Occidental Chemical Company, EPA Region IX, August 11, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. IX-74C, I.D. No. 111367.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E). The action pertained to a shipment made on September 4, 1973, from Lathrop, California, to Honolulu, Hawaii. The pesticide involved was **MALAWET 25**; charges included adulteration and misbranding—strength or purity fell below the professed standard of quality as expressed on its labeling.

The Final Order dismissed the charges since the inspector obtaining the sample did not observe proper inspection procedures.

1799. In Re: Center Home and Garden Supply, EPA Region X, May 19, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. X-14C, I.D. No. 113313.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135a(a)(1) and 135b. The action pertained to a shipment made on March 28, 1974, from Bellevue, Washington, to Moscow, Idaho. The pesticide involved was **CENTER BRAND WEED & FEED**; the charge was nonregistration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$480.00.

1800. In Re: Nulife Fertilizer Division of Hygrade Foods Products Corporation, EPA Region X, June 18, 1975. (I.F.&R. No. X-19C, I.D. No. 106683.)

This was a civil action charging the respondent with violating the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 136j(a)(1)(E) and 136(c)(1). The action pertained to a product held for distribution or sale on September 4, 1974, at Nulife Fertilizer Division, Tacoma, Washington. The pesticide involved was **NULIFE WEED AND FEED**; the charge was adulteration.

The respondent signed a Consent Agreement. The Final Order assessed a civil penalty of \$2,240.00.

Index to Notices of Judgment 1751-1800

	N.J.	No.
ABC Compounding Company, Inc (Civil)		1774
Admiral Paint Co. (Civil)		1777
Airwick Industries (Civil)		1754
American Cyanamid Co. (Civil)		1761
B & K Company (Civil)		1796
Brilco Laboratories (Civil)		1756
Butcher Polish Company, The (Civil)		1751
Center Home and Garden Supply (Civil)		1799
Chemagro Div. Of Mobay Corp. (Civil)		1795
Chempro Corporation (Civil)		1784
Chemtech Industries, Inc. (Civil)		1782
Cosan Chemical Co. (Civil)		1752
Cotey Chemical Corp. (Civil)		1780
Dairy Chemical Company (Civil)		1793
Diamond Vogel Paint Co. (Civil)		1788
Ecological and Specialty Products (Civil)		1762
Eight-In-One Pet Products (Civil)		1753
Elam Chemical Company (Civil)		1792
Elco Manufacturing Company (Civil)		1765
FHW Toxicology & Biology Labs (Civil)		1771
Gibson-Homans Company (Civil)		1791
Givudan Corporation (Civil)		1757
H.A. Cole Products Company (Civil)		1767
Hart-Delta, Inc. (Civil)		1786
Helena Chemical Co. (Civil)		1778
Hunt and Company, Inc. (Civil)		1770
Industrial Chemical & Supply Company (Civil)		1775
Jancyn Manufacturing Corp. (Civil)		1758
Kay Dee Feed Company (Civil)		1787
Lerro's Soap & Chemicals, Inc. (Civil)		1763
Long Island Paint and Chemical Co. (Civil)		1760
M & T Chemicals, Inc. (Civil)		1772
Midwest Solvents Company, Inc. (Civil)		1789
Missouri-Kansas Chemical Co. (Civil)		1794

Mobil Chemical Co. (Civil)	1755
Nulife Fertilizer Division of Hygrade Food Products Corporation (Civil)	1800
Occidental Chemical Company (Civil)	1798
Ozark Chemical Co. (Civil)	1781
Parawax Company, The (Civil)	1790
Pennyfeather Corp. (Civil)	1764
Perfection Beauty Products, Inc. (Civil)	1759
Perk Products Company (Civil)	1766
Pioneer Chemicals, Inc. (Civil)	1779
Predicted Environments Division of Air Shields, Inc. (Civil)	1776
Rite Chemical Co., Inc., The (Civil)	1785
Russell Company, The (Civil)	1797
Sanco Products Company (Civil)	1768
Stauffer Chemical Company (Civil)	1783
Texize Chemical Co. (Civil)	1769
Tobacco States Chemical Co. (Civil)	1773