


**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY
WORKING PAPER SERIES**



REPORT
ON
LAKE COCHRANE
DEUEL COUNTY
SOUTH DAKOTA
EPA REGION VIII

Working Paper No. 605

**CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA**

REPORT
ON
LAKE COCHRANE
DEUEL COUNTY
SOUTH DAKOTA
EPA REGION VIII
WORKING PAPER No. 605

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AND THE
SOUTH DAKOTA NATIONAL GUARD
JANUARY, 1977

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Foreward	ii
List of South Dakota Study Lakes	iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	v

Sections

I. Conclusions	1
II. Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	1
III. Lake Water Quality Summary	2
IV. Nutrient Loadings	3
V. Literature Reviewed	7
VI. Appendices	8

F O R E W O R D

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nationwide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's freshwater lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the South Dakota Departments of Environmental Protection and Game, Fish and Parks for professional involvement, to the South Dakota National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey, and to those wastewater treatment plant operators who voluntarily provided effluent samples.

Allyn Lockner, Secretary, and Blaine Barker and Duane Murphy, Department of Environmental Quality; Douglas Hansen, Department of Game, Fish and Parks; and James Hayden, Director, State Lakes Preservation Committee provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.


Major General Duane L. Corning, the Adjutant General of South Dakota, and Project Officer Colonel Robert D. Chalberg, who directed the volunteer efforts of the South Dakota National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY


STUDY LAKES

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

<u>LAKE NAME</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>
Albert	Kingsbury
Alvin	Lincoln
Angostura	Fall River
Brant	Lake
Byron	Beadle
Clear	Marshall
Clear	Minnehaha
Cochrane	Deuel
Cottonwood	Spink
Deerfield	Pennington
Enemy Swim	Day
Herman	Lake
John	Hamlin
Kampeska	Codington
Madison	Lake
Mitchell	Davidson
Norden	Hamlin
East Oakwood	Brookings
West Oakwood	Brookings
Pactola	Pennington
Pickerel	Day
Poinsett	Brookings, Lake
Red Iron South	Marshall
Richmond	Brown
Roy	Marshall
Sand	Brown
Sheridan	Pennington
Stockdale	Custer
East Vermillion	McCook
Wall	Minnehaha
Waubay	Day




Map Location



LAKE
COCHRANE

LAKE COCHRANE

X Lake Sampling Site



96°29'

96°28'

44°42'

44°43'

LAKE COCHRANE

STORET NO. 4608

I. INTRODUCTION

Lake Cochrane was included in the National Eutrophication Survey as a water body of interest to the South Dakota Departments of Environmental Protection and Game, Fish and Parks. Tributaries and nutrient sources were not sampled, and this report relates only to the lake sampling data.

II. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate Lake Cochrane is eutrophic. It ranked ninth in overall trophic quality when the 31 South Dakota lakes sampled in 1974 were compared using a combination of six parameters*. Five of the lakes had less and one had the same median total phosphorus, one had less median dissolved orthophosphorus, 17 had less and two had the same median inorganic nitrogen, ten had less mean chlorophyll a, and 15 had greater mean Secchi disc transparency.

Depletion of dissolved oxygen occurred near the bottom at sampling station 1 in July.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results indicate that Lake Cochrane was phosphorus limited at the time the sample was collected (04/24/73). The lake data also indicate phosphorus limitation in April but nitrogen limitation in July and September.

* See Appendix A.

III. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS[†]

A. Lake Morphometry^{††}:

1. Surface area: 1.48 kilometers².
2. Mean depth: 3.4 meters.
3. Maximum depth: 8.2 meters.
4. Volume: 5.032×10^6 m³.

B. Precipitation*:

1. Year of sampling: 45.9 centimeters.
2. Mean annual: 61.0 centimeters.

[†] Table of metric equivalents--Appendix B.

^{††} Murphey, 1974.

* See Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods, 1973-1976".

IV. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Lake Cochrane was sampled three times during the open-water season of 1974 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from two stations on the lake and from a number of depths at each station (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m or near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll a analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 6.4 meters at station 1 and 4.6 meters at station 2.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix C and are summarized in the following table.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR COCHRANE LAKE
STURET CODE 4608

PARAMETER	1ST SAMPLING (4/24/74)				2ND SAMPLING (7/12/74)				3RD SAMPLING (9/19/74)			
	2 SITES				2 SITES				2 SITES			
	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN
TEMP (C)	8.3 - 8.4	8.3	8.3	23.2 - 24.9	24.4	24.6	16.1 - 16.3	16.2	16.2	8.0 - 9.4	8.9	9.3
DISS OXY (MG/L)	10.0 - 10.6	10.3	10.4	0.0 - 9.0	6.0	6.8	8.0 - 9.4	8.9	9.3	2562. - 2571.	2567.	2568.
CNUCTVY (MCROMO)	1711. - 1872.	1780.	1760.	3228. - 3328.	3301.	3309.	2562. - 2571.	2567.	2568.	8.3 - 8.4	8.5	8.4
PH (STAND UNITS)	8.3 - 8.4	8.4	8.3	8.5 - 8.7	8.7	8.7	8.4 - 8.5	8.5	8.4	8.3 - 8.4	8.4	8.4
TOT ALK (MG/L)	260. - 300.	285.	287.	238. - 264.	252.	254.	255. - 485.	364.	328.	0.036 - 0.046	0.039	0.038
TOT P (MG/L)	0.036 - 0.046	0.039	0.038	0.028 - 0.063	0.041	0.042	0.031 - 0.294	0.077	0.035	0.004 - 0.008	0.006	0.006
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.004 - 0.008	0.006	0.006	0.014 - 0.045	0.022	0.019	0.005 - 0.016	0.007	0.006	0.070 - 0.110	0.093	0.095
NO2+NO3 (MG/L)	0.070 - 0.110	0.093	0.095	0.040 - 0.160	0.083	0.090	0.020 - 0.060	0.030	0.025	0.100 - 0.120	0.108	0.105
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.100 - 0.120	0.108	0.105	0.040 - 0.120	0.070	0.060	0.040 - 0.080	0.048	0.040	1.000 - 1.300	1.167	1.150
KJEL N (MG/L)	1.000 - 1.300	1.167	1.150	1.300 - 2.300	1.686	1.700	1.400 - 3.200	1.767	1.500	0.170 - 0.230	0.202	0.200
INORG N (MG/L)	0.170 - 0.230	0.202	0.200	0.080 - 0.280	0.153	0.150	0.060 - 0.140	0.078	0.070	1.070 - 1.410	1.260	1.255
TOTAL N (MG/L)	1.070 - 1.410	1.260	1.255	1.370 - 2.390	1.769	1.760	1.420 - 3.260	1.797	1.520	5.3 - 5.5	5.4	5.4
CHLRPYL A (UG/L)	5.3 - 5.5	5.4	5.4	11.8 - 13.1	12.4	12.4	23.9 - 34.5	29.2	29.2	1.7 - 1.7	1.7	1.7
SECCHI (METERS)	1.7 - 1.7	1.7	1.7	1.2 - 1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2 - 1.4	1.3	1.3			

B. Biological characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Dominant Genera</u>	<u>Algal Units per ml</u>
04/24/74	1. <u>Oscillatoria</u> sp. 2. <u>Centric diatoms</u> 3. <u>Synedra</u> sp. 4. <u>Coelosphaerium</u> sp. 5. <u>Cryptomonas</u> sp. Other genera	640 342 213 43 43 <u>384</u>
	Total	1,665
07/12/74	1. <u>Aphanethece</u> sp. 2. <u>Coelosphaerium</u> sp. 3. <u>Peridinium</u> sp. 4. <u>Lyngbya</u> sp. 5. <u>Botryococcus</u> sp. Other genera	8,734 485 485 323 81 <u>325</u>
	Total	10,433
09/19/74	1. <u>Microcystis</u> sp. 2. <u>Aphanethece</u> sp. 3. <u>Peridinium</u> sp. 4. <u>Chroococcus</u> sp. 5. <u>Coelosphaerium</u> sp. Other genera	1,789 617 494 247 123 <u>308</u>
	Total	3,578

2. Chlorophyll a -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Station Number</u>	<u>Chlorophyll a (μg/l)</u>
04/24/73	1	5.5
	2	5.3
07/12/74	1	11.8
	2	13.1
09/19/74	1	34.5
	2	23.9

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

<u>Spike (mg/l)</u>	<u>Ortho P Conc. (mg/l)</u>	<u>Inorganic N Conc. (mg/l)</u>	<u>Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)</u>
Control	0.007	0.119	0.1
0.050 P	0.057	0.119	6.1
0.050 P + 1.0 N	0.057	1.119	14.2
1.0 N	0.007	1.119	0.1

2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, Selenastrum capricornutum, indicates that the potential primary productivity of Lake Cochrane was low at the time the sample was collected (04/24/74). Also, the significant increase in yield with the addition of orthophosphorus alone indicates that the lake was limited by phosphorus at that time. Note that addition of nitrogen alone resulted in a yield that was no greater than that of the control.

The lake data indicate phosphorus limitation in April as well; i.e., the mean inorganic nitrogen/orthophosphorus ratio was 34/1. However, in July and September, the lake data indicate that nitrogen was limiting; the mean inorganic nitrogen/orthophosphorus ratios were 7/1 and 11/1 respectively, and nitrogen limitation would be expected.

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

Murphey, Duane G., 1974. Personal communication (lake morphometry).
SD Dept. of Env. Prot., Pierre.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORHIC H
4601	LAKE ALBERT	0.321	0.170	489.111	106.289	9.200	0.019
4602	ALVIN LAKE	0.067	0.970	442.833	4.700	9.400	0.017
4603	ANGOSTURA RESERVOIR	0.019	0.160	423.333	3.717	13.000	0.005
4604	BRANT LAKE	0.194	0.130	432.833	34.150	11.800	0.113
4605	LAKE BYRON	0.443	0.370	488.333	149.350	9.000	0.146
4606	CLEAR LAKE	0.027	0.075	430.167	11.983	8.800	0.009
4607	CLEAR LAKE	1.400	0.270	495.333	691.000	7.000	0.468
4608	COCHRANE LAKE	0.037	0.150	446.000	15.683	15.000	0.008
4609	COTTONWOOD LAKE	0.685	0.265	490.333	112.017	8.600	0.417
4610	DEERFIELD RESERVOIR	0.033	0.080	303.333	3.650	15.000	0.022
4611	ENEMY SWIM LAKE	0.037	0.085	442.600	14.200	8.200	0.013
4612	LAKE HERMAN	0.340	0.155	485.000	58.733	8.600	0.174
4613	ST JOHN LAKE	0.348	0.080	489.400	120.880	9.800	0.025
4614	LAKE KAMPESKA	0.220	0.105	468.889	20.567	8.200	0.128
4615	MADISON LAKE	0.250	0.090	445.555	22.578	14.000	0.107
4616	LAKE MITCHELL	0.099	0.085	465.833	14.883	13.800	0.015
4617	LAKE NORDEN	0.256	0.165	488.667	46.800	10.000	0.050
4618	OAKWOOD LAKE EAST	0.146	0.175	487.000	113.600	10.000	0.009
4619	OAKWOOD LAKE WEST	0.181	0.135	485.833	159.667	9.600	0.021
4620	PACTOLA RESERVOIR	0.011	0.070	248.444	1.478	11.000	0.006
4621	PICKEREL LAKE	0.049	0.095	439.833	15.833	9.600	0.009
4622	LAKE POINSETT	0.115	0.315	468.444	40.211	10.000	0.023
4623	LAKE RED IRON SOUTH	0.042	0.110	430.333	6.883	7.600	0.010
4624	RICHMOND LAKE	0.187	0.150	410.000	18.467	10.000	0.144
4625	ROY LAKE	0.034	0.070	431.000	13.333	11.000	0.010
4626	SAND LAKE	0.489	0.110	471.800	65.790	12.800	0.288
4627	SHERIDAN LAKE	0.053	0.105	394.000	15.433	15.000	0.016
4628	STOCKADE LAKE	0.233	0.150	432.000	25.400	15.000	0.109

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
4629	LAKE VERMILLION	0.211	0.100	472.833	100.800	9.200	0.092
4630	WALL LAKE	0.194	0.160	441.667	55.267	7.400	0.076
4631	WAUBAY LAKE NORTH	0.093	0.145	469.555	127.033	11.400	0.023

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
4601	LAKE ALBERT	20 (6)	20 (6)	10 (3)	23 (7)	68 (20)	60 (18)	201
4602	ALVIN LAKE	67 (20)	0 (0)	57 (17)	90 (27)	63 (19)	63 (19)	340
4603	ANGOSTURA RESERVOIR	97 (29)	30 (9)	87 (26)	93 (28)	20 (6)	100 (30)	427
4604	BRANT LAKE	40 (12)	53 (16)	70 (21)	47 (14)	27 (8)	23 (7)	260
4605	LAKE BYRON	10 (3)	3 (1)	17 (5)	7 (2)	73 (22)	13 (4)	123
4606	CLEAR LAKE	93 (28)	93 (28)	83 (25)	83 (25)	77 (23)	90 (27)	514
4607	CLEAR LAKE	0 (0)	10 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	100 (30)	0 (0)	110
4608	COCHRANE LAKE	83 (25)	40 (11)	50 (15)	67 (20)	5 (0)	93 (28)	338
4609	COTTONWOOD LAKE	3 (1)	13 (4)	3 (1)	20 (6)	82 (24)	3 (1)	124
4610	DEERFIELD RESERVOIR	90 (27)	88 (26)	97 (29)	97 (29)	5 (0)	53 (16)	430
4611	ENEMY SWIM LAKE	80 (24)	82 (24)	60 (18)	77 (23)	88 (26)	73 (22)	460
4612	LAKE HERMAN	17 (5)	33 (10)	27 (8)	33 (10)	82 (24)	10 (3)	202
4613	ST JOHN LAKE	13 (4)	88 (26)	7 (2)	13 (4)	53 (16)	43 (13)	217
4614	LAKE KAMPESKA	33 (10)	65 (19)	40 (12)	57 (17)	88 (26)	20 (6)	303
4615	MADISON LAKE	27 (8)	77 (23)	53 (16)	53 (16)	13 (4)	30 (9)	253
4616	LAKE MITCHELL	60 (18)	82 (24)	47 (14)	73 (22)	17 (5)	70 (21)	349
4617	LAKE NORDEN	23 (7)	23 (7)	13 (4)	40 (12)	45 (12)	40 (12)	184
4618	OAKWOOD LAKE EAST	53 (16)	17 (5)	20 (6)	17 (5)	45 (12)	85 (25)	237
4619	OAKWOOD LAKE WEST	50 (15)	50 (15)	23 (7)	3 (1)	58 (17)	57 (17)	241
4620	PACTOLA RESERVOIR	100 (30)	98 (29)	100 (30)	100 (30)	35 (10)	97 (29)	530
4621	PICKEREL LAKE	73 (22)	73 (22)	67 (20)	63 (19)	58 (17)	85 (25)	419
4622	LAKE POINSETT	57 (17)	7 (2)	43 (13)	43 (13)	45 (12)	47 (14)	242
4623	LAKE RED IRON SOUTH	77 (23)	58 (17)	80 (24)	87 (26)	93 (28)	78 (23)	473
4624	RICHMOND LAKE	47 (14)	40 (11)	90 (27)	60 (18)	45 (12)	17 (5)	299
4625	ROY LAKE	87 (26)	98 (29)	77 (23)	80 (24)	35 (10)	78 (23)	455
4626	SAND LAKE	7 (2)	58 (17)	33 (10)	30 (9)	23 (7)	7 (2)	158
4627	SHERIDAN LAKE	70 (21)	65 (19)	93 (28)	70 (21)	5 (0)	67 (20)	370
4628	STOCKADE LAKE	30 (9)	40 (11)	73 (22)	50 (15)	5 (0)	27 (.8)	225

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
4629	LAKE VERMILLION	37 (11)	70 (21)	30 (9)	27 (8)	68 (20)	33 (10)	265
4630	WALL LAKE	43 (13)	27 (8)	63 (19)	37 (11)	97 (29)	37 (11)	304
4631	WAUBAY LAKE NORTH	63 (19)	47 (14)	37 (11)	10 (3)	30 (9)	50 (15)	237

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	4620	PACTOLA RESERVOIR	530
2	4506	CLEAR LAKE	519
3	4623	LAKE RED IRON SOUTH	473
4	4611	ENEMY SWIM LAKE	460
5	4625	ROY LAKE	455
6	4610	DEERFIELD RESERVOIR	430
7	4603	ANGOSTURA RESERVOIR	427
8	4621	PICKEREL LAKE	419
9	4627	SHERIDAN LAKE	370
10	4616	LAKE MITCHELL	349
11	4602	ALVIN LAKE	340
12	4608	COCHRANE LAKE	338
13	4630	WALL LAKE	304
14	4614	LAKE KAMPESKA	303
15	4624	RICHMOND LAKE	299
16	4629	LAKE VERMILLION	265
17	4604	BRANT LAKE	260
18	4615	MADISON LAKE	253
19	4622	LAKE POINSETT	242
20	4619	OAKWOOD LAKE WEST	241
21	4631	WAUBAY LAKE NORTH	237
22	4618	OAKWOOD LAKE EAST	237
23	4628	STOCKADE LAKE	225
24	4613	ST JOHN LAKE	217
25	4612	LAKE HERMAN	202
26	4601	LAKE ALBERT	201
27	4617	LAKE NORDEN	184
28	4626	SAND LAKE .	158

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
29	4609	COTTUNWOOD LAKE	124
30	4605	LAKE BYRON	123
31	4607	CLEAR LAKE	110

APPENDIX B

CONVERSION FACTORS

CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters x 8.107×10^{-4} = acre/feet

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters x 0.3937 = inches

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX C

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

STORED RETRIEVAL DATE 75/11/25

460501
47 41 30.0 046 28 10.0
COCHEANE LAKE
46039 SOUTH DAKOTA

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	TEMP CENT	00010 DO MG/L	00300 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00077 CONDUTVY FIELD MICRUMHO	11EPAL5		2111202 0018 FEET DEPTH			
							PH SU	00410 TALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-UIS ORTHO MG/L P
74/04/24	09 30	0000	8.3	65	1714	8.45	260	0.100	1.300	0.090	0.006	
	09 30	0005	8.3		1763	8.40	292	0.100	1.100	0.080	0.006	
	09 30	0015	8.3		1872	8.35	300	0.100	1.000	0.070	0.004	
74/07/12	09 40	0000	24.6	6.4	46	3309	8.60	258	0.080	1.700	0.090	0.045
	09 40	0004	24.5	6.0		3309	8.70	238	0.060	1.300	0.070	0.023
	09 40	0017	24.5	7.2		3306	8.70	264	0.040	1.500	0.040	0.020
74/09/19	10 10	0000	23.2	0.0	48	3228	8.50	264	0.120	1.600	0.160	0.014
	10 10	0005	16.3	9.4		2571	8.50	475	0.050	1.500	0.020	0.006
	10 10	0010	16.3	9.4		2571	8.47	485	0.040	1.500	0.020	0.006
			16.3	8.2		2571	8.45	255	0.080	3.200	0.060	0.016

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	PHOS-TOT MG/L P	00665 CHLRPHYL UG/L	32217 INCUT LT A PERCENT	00031 REMNING
74/04/24	09 30	0000	0.046	5.5	11.8	
	09 30	0005	0.034		0.035	
	09 30	0015	0.039		0.042	
74/07/12	09 40	0000	0.045	34.5	0.028	
	09 40	0003	0.035		0.032	
	09 40	0017	0.042		1.0	
74/09/19	10 10	0000	0.031	1.0	0.010	
	10 10	0005	0.032		0.016	
	10 10	0010	0.294			

STATION RETRIEVAL DATE 75/11/25

460802
47 41 15.0 096 28 30.0
COCHRANE LAKE
46039 SOUTH DAKOTA

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	WATER TEMP CENT	0010 00 INCHES	00300 TRANSP SECCHI	0077 FIELD MICRUMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 ALK CACO3	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO26NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	11EPALES		2111202 0015 FEET DEPTH	
74/04/24	09 50	0000	8.4			05	1711	8.35	288	0.120	1.200	0.110	0.003		
	09 50	0005	8.4	13.6			1757	8.30	286	0.110	1.100	0.100	0.007		
	09 50	0010	8.4	13.6			1863	8.30	286	0.120	1.300	0.110	0.008		
74/07/12	10 00	0000	24.9	6.8		46	3328	8.70	248	0.060	2.300	0.090	0.019		
	10 00	0003	24.0	6.8			3315	8.70	240	0.050	1.700	0.040	0.015		
	10 00	0015	24.6	9.0			3309	8.70	254	0.080	1.700	0.090	0.017		
74/09/14	10 30	0000	16.1	8.0		54	2563	8.43	330	0.040	1.600	0.030	0.006		
	10 30	0004	16.2	9.2			2564	8.45	315	0.040	1.400	0.020	0.005		
	10 30	0008	16.1	9.4			2562	8.42	325	0.040	1.400	0.030	0.006		

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	PHUS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL UG/L	00031 INCUT LT PERCENT	11EPALES	
						A REMNING	REMNING
74/04/24	09 50	0000	0.034		5.3		
	09 50	0005	0.037				
	09 50	0010	0.036				
74/07/12	10 00	0000	0.044		13.1		
	10 00	0003	0.032				
	10 00	0015	0.063				
74/09/14	10 30	0000	0.037		23.9		
	10 30	0004	0.035				
	10 30	0008	0.035				
	10 30	0010			1.0		