

**METHOD 537.1 DETERMINATION OF SELECTED PER- AND  
POLYFLUORINATED ALKYL SUBSTANCES IN DRINKING  
WATER BY SOLID PHASE EXTRACTION AND LIQUID  
CHROMATOGRAPHY/TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY  
(LC/MS/MS)**

**Version 2.0  
March 2020**

**J.A. Shoemaker and D.R. Tettehorst, Office of Research and Development**

**J.A. Shoemaker and D.R. Tettehorst, Office of Research and Development, Method 537.1,  
Rev 1.0 (2018)**

**J.A. Shoemaker (Office of Research and Development), P.E. Grimmett (Office of Research  
and Development), B.K. Boutin (National Council on Aging), Method 537, Rev 1.1 (2009)**

**CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS & EMERGENCY RESPONSE  
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  
U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45268**

## METHOD 537.1

### DETERMINATION OF SELECTED PER- AND POLYFLUORINATED ALKYL SUBSTANCES IN DRINKING WATER BY SOLID PHASE EXTRACTION AND LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY/TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY (LC/MS/MS)

#### 1. SCOPE AND APPLICATION

- 1.1. This is a solid phase extraction (SPE) liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) method for the determination of selected per- and polyfluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS) in drinking water. Accuracy and precision data have been generated in reagent water and drinking water for the compounds listed in the table below.

<u>Analyte<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>Acronym</u>	<u>Chemical Abstract Services Registry Number (CASRN)</u>
Hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid	HFPO-DA	13252-13-6 <sup>b</sup>
N-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	NEtFOSAA	2991-50-6
N-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	NMeFOSAA	2355-31-9
Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	PFBS	375-73-5
Perfluorodecanoic acid	PFDA	335-76-2
Perfluorododecanoic acid	PFDoA	307-55-1
Perfluoroheptanoic acid	PFHpA	375-85-9
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	PFHxS	355-46-4
Perfluorohexanoic acid	PFHxA	307-24-4
Perfluorononanoic acid	PFNA	375-95-1
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	PFOS	1763-23-1
Perfluorooctanoic acid	PFOA	335-67-1
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	PFTA	376-06-7
Perfluorotridecanoic acid	PFTTrDA	72629-94-8
Perfluoroundecanoic acid	PFUnA	2058-94-8
11-chloroeicosafluoro-3-oxaundecane-1-sulfonic acid	11Cl-PF3OUdS	763051-92-9 <sup>c</sup>
9-chlorohexadecafluoro-3-oxanonane-1-sulfonic acid	9Cl-PF3ONS	756426-58-1 <sup>d</sup>
4,8-dioxa-3H-perfluorononanoic acid	ADONA	919005-14-4 <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Some PFAS are commercially available as ammonium, sodium and potassium salts. This method measures all forms of the analytes as anions while the counterion is inconsequential. Analytes may be purchased as acids or as any of the corresponding salts (see Section 7.2.3 regarding correcting the analyte concentration for the salt content).

<sup>b</sup> HFPO-DA and the ammonium salt of HFPO-DA are components of the GenX processing aid technology and both are measured as the anion of HFPO-DA by this method.

<sup>c</sup> 11Cl-PF3OUdS is available in salt form (e.g. CASRN of potassium salt is 83329-89-9).

<sup>d</sup> 9Cl-PF3ONS analyte is available in salt form (e.g. CASRN of potassium salt is 73606-19-6)

<sup>e</sup> ADONA is available as the sodium salt (no CASRN) and the ammonium salt (CASRN is 958445-44-8).

- 1.2. Minimum Reporting Level (MRL) is the lowest analyte concentration that meets Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) that are developed based on the intended use of this method. The single laboratory lowest concentration MRL (LCMRL) is the lowest true concentration for which the future recovery is predicted to fall, with high confidence (99%), between 50 and 150% recovery. Single laboratory LCMRLs for analytes in this method range from 0.53-6.3 ng/L and are listed in [Table 5](#). The procedure used to determine the LCMRL is described elsewhere.<sup>1</sup>
- 1.3. Laboratories using this method will not be required to determine the LCMRL for this method, but will need to demonstrate that their laboratory MRL for this method meets requirements described in Section [9.2.6](#).
- 1.4. Determining the Detection Limit (DL) for analytes in this method is optional (Sect. [9.2.8](#)). Detection limit is defined as the statistically calculated minimum concentration that can be measured with 99% confidence that the reported value is greater than zero.<sup>2</sup> The DL is compound dependent and is dependent on extraction efficiency, sample matrix, fortification concentration, and instrument performance.
- 1.5. This method is intended for use by analysts skilled in solid phase extractions, the operation of LC/MS/MS instruments, and the interpretation of the associated data.
- 1.6. **METHOD FLEXIBILITY** – In recognition of technological advances in analytical systems and techniques, the laboratory is permitted to modify the evaporation technique, separation technique, LC column, mobile phase composition, LC conditions and MS and MS/MS conditions (Sect. [6.12](#), [9.1.1](#), [10.2](#), and [12.1](#)). **Changes may not be made to sample collection and preservation (Sect. [8](#)), the sample extraction steps (Sect. [11.4](#)), or to the quality control requirements (Sect. [9](#)).** Method modifications should be considered only to improve method performance. Modifications that are introduced in the interest of reducing cost or sample processing time, but result in poorer method performance, should not be used. Analytes must be adequately resolved chromatographically to permit the mass spectrometer to dwell on a minimum number of compounds eluting within a retention time window. Instrumental sensitivity (or signal-to-noise) will decrease if too many compounds are permitted to elute within a retention time window. In all cases where method modifications are proposed, the analyst must perform the procedures outlined in the initial demonstration of capability (IDC, Sect. [9.2](#)), verify that all Quality Control (QC) acceptance criteria in this method (Sect. [9](#)) are met, and that acceptable method performance can be verified in a real sample matrix (Sect. [9.3.6](#)).

**NOTE:** The above method flexibility Section is intended as an abbreviated summation of method flexibility. Sections 4-12 provide detailed information of specific portions of the method that may be modified. If there is any perceived conflict between the general method flexibility statement in Section [1.6](#) and specific information in Sections 4-12, Sections 4-12 supersede Section [1.6](#).

## **2. SUMMARY OF METHOD**

A 250-mL water sample is fortified with surrogates and passed through an SPE cartridge containing polystyrenedivinylbenzene (SDVB) to extract the method analytes and surrogates. The compounds are eluted from the solid phase sorbent with a small amount of methanol. The extract is concentrated to dryness with nitrogen in a heated water bath, and then adjusted to a 1-mL volume with 96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water and addition of the internal standards. A 10- $\mu$ L injection is made into an LC equipped with a C18 column that is interfaced to an MS/MS. The analytes are separated and identified by comparing the acquired mass spectra and retention times to reference spectra and retention times for calibration standards acquired under identical LC/MS/MS conditions. The concentration of each analyte is determined by using the internal standard technique. Surrogate analytes are added to all Field and QC Samples to monitor the extraction efficiency of the method analytes.

## **3. DEFINITIONS**

- 3.1. ANALYSIS BATCH – A set of samples that is analyzed on the same instrument during a 24-hour period, including no more than 20 Field Samples, that begins and ends with the analysis of the appropriate Continuing Calibration Check (CCC) standards. Additional CCCs may be required depending on the length of the analysis batch and/or the number of Field Samples.
- 3.2. CALIBRATION STANDARD (CAL) – A solution prepared from the primary dilution standard solution and/or stock standard solution, internal standard(s), and the surrogate(s). The CAL solutions are used to calibrate the instrument response with respect to analyte concentration.
- 3.3. COLLISIONALLY ACTIVATED DISSOCIATION (CAD) – The process of converting the precursor ion's translational energy into internal energy by collisions with neutral gas molecules to bring about dissociation into product ions.
- 3.4. CONTINUING CALIBRATION CHECK (CCC) – A calibration standard containing the method analytes, internal standard(s) and surrogate(s). The CCC is analyzed periodically to verify the accuracy of the existing calibration for those analytes.
- 3.5. DETECTION LIMIT (DL) – The minimum concentration of an analyte that can be identified, measured, and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero. This is a statistical determination of precision (Sect. [9.2.8](#)), and accurate quantitation is not expected at this level.<sup>2</sup>
- 3.6. EXTRACTION BATCH – A set of up to 20 Field Samples (not including QC samples) extracted together by the same person(s) during a work day using the same lot of SPE devices, solvents, surrogate, internal standard and fortifying solutions. Required QC samples include Laboratory Reagent Blank, Laboratory Fortified Blank, Laboratory Fortified Sample Matrix, and either a Field Duplicate or Laboratory Fortified Sample Matrix Duplicate.

- 3.7. **FIELD DUPLICATES (FD1 and FD2)** – Two separate samples collected at the same time and place under identical circumstances and treated exactly the same throughout field and laboratory procedures. Analyses of FD1 and FD2 give a measure of the precision associated with sample collection, preservation, and storage, as well as laboratory procedures.
- 3.8. **FIELD REAGENT BLANK (FRB)** – An aliquot of reagent water that is placed in a sample container in the laboratory and treated as a sample in all respects, including shipment to the sampling site, exposure to sampling site conditions, storage, preservation, and all analytical procedures. The purpose of the FRB is to determine if method analytes or other interferences are present in the field environment.
- 3.9. **INTERNAL STANDARD (IS)** – A pure chemical added to an extract or standard solution in a known amount(s) and used to measure the relative response of other method analytes and surrogates that are components of the same solution. The internal standard must be a chemical that is structurally similar to the method analytes, has no potential to be present in water samples, and is not a method analyte.
- 3.10. **LABORATORY FORTIFIED BLANK (LFB)** – A volume of reagent water or other blank matrix to which known quantities of the method analytes and all the preservation compounds are added in the laboratory. The LFB is analyzed exactly like a sample, and its purpose is to determine whether the methodology is in control, and whether the laboratory is capable of making accurate and precise measurements.
- 3.11. **LABORATORY FORTIFIED SAMPLE MATRIX (LFSM)** – A preserved field sample to which known quantities of the method analytes are added in the laboratory. The LFSM is processed and analyzed exactly like a sample, and its purpose is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The background concentrations of the analytes in the sample matrix must be determined in a separate sample extraction and the measured values in the LFSM corrected for background concentrations.
- 3.12. **LABORATORY FORTIFIED SAMPLE MATRIX DUPLICATE (LFSMD)** – A duplicate of the Field Sample used to prepare the LFSM. The LFSMD is fortified, extracted, and analyzed identically to the LFSM. The LFSMD is used instead of the Field Duplicate to assess method precision when the occurrence of method analytes is low.
- 3.13. **LABORATORY REAGENT BLANK (LRB)** – An aliquot of reagent water or other blank matrix that is treated exactly as a sample including exposure to all glassware, equipment, solvents and reagents, sample preservatives, internal standard, and surrogates that are used in the analysis batch. The LRB is used to determine if method analytes or other interferences are present in the laboratory environment, the reagents, or the apparatus.

- 3.14. **LOWEST CONCENTRATION MINIMUM REPORTING LEVEL (LCMRL)** – The single laboratory LCMRL is the lowest true concentration for which a future recovery is expected, with 99% confidence, to be between 50 and 150% recovery.1
- 3.15. **MINIMUM REPORTING LEVEL (MRL)** – The minimum concentration that can be reported as a quantitated value for a method analyte in a sample following analysis. This defined concentration can be no lower than the concentration of the lowest calibration standard for that analyte and can only be used if acceptable QC criteria for this standard are met. A procedure for verifying a laboratory's MRL is provided in Section [9.2.6](#).
- 3.16. **PRECURSOR ION** – For the purpose of this method, the precursor ion is the deprotonated molecule ( $[M-H]^-$ ) of the method analyte. In MS/MS, the precursor ion is mass selected and fragmented by collisionally activated dissociation to produce distinctive product ions of smaller  $m/z$ .
- 3.17. **PRIMARY DILUTION STANDARD (PDS) SOLUTION** – A solution containing the analytes prepared in the laboratory from stock standard solutions and diluted as needed to prepare calibration solutions and other needed analyte solutions.
- 3.18. **PRODUCT ION** – For the purpose of this method, a product ion is one of the fragment ions produced in MS/MS by collisionally activated dissociation of the precursor ion.
- 3.19. **QUALITATIVE STANDARD** – A qualitative standard is a standard for which either the concentration is estimated or method analyte impurities exist at a concentration  $>1/3$  of the MRL in the highest concentration calibration standard. For the purposes of this method, qualitative standards are used to identify retention times of branched isomers of method analytes and are not used for quantitation purposes.
- 3.20. **QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLE (QCS)** – A solution of method analytes of known concentrations that is obtained from a source external to the laboratory and different from the source of calibration standards. The second source SSS is used to fortify the QCS at a known concentration. The QCS is used to check calibration standard integrity.
- 3.21. **QUANTITATIVE STANDARD** – A quantitative standard is a standard of known concentration and purity. The quantitative standard must not contain any of the method analytes as impurities at concentrations  $>1/3$  of the MRL in the highest concentration calibration standard.
- 3.22. **SAFETY DATA SHEET (SDS)** – Written information provided by vendors concerning a chemical's toxicity, health hazards, physical properties, fire, and reactivity data including storage, spill, and handling precautions.

- 3.23. STOCK STANDARD SOLUTION (SSS) – A concentrated solution containing one or more method analytes prepared in the laboratory using assayed reference materials or purchased from a reputable commercial source.
- 3.24. SURROGATE ANALYTE (SUR) – A pure chemical which chemically resembles method analytes and is extremely unlikely to be found in any sample. This chemical is added to a sample aliquot in known amount(s) before processing and is measured with the same procedures used to measure other method analytes. The purpose of the SUR is to monitor method performance with each sample.

#### 4. INTERFERENCES

- 4.1. All glassware must be meticulously cleaned. Wash glassware with detergent and tap water, rinse with tap water, followed by a reagent water rinse. Non-volumetric glassware can be heated in a muffle furnace at 400 °C for 2 h or solvent rinsed. Volumetric glassware should be solvent rinsed and not be heated in an oven above 120 °C. Store clean glassware inverted or capped. **Do not cover with aluminum foil because PFAS can be potentially transferred from the aluminum foil to the glassware.**

**NOTE:** Samples and extracts should not come in contact with any glass containers or pipettes as these analytes can potentially adsorb to glass surfaces. PFAS analyte, IS and SUR standards commercially purchased in glass ampoules are acceptable; however, all subsequent transfers or dilutions performed by the analyst must be prepared and stored in polypropylene containers.

- 4.2. Method interferences may be caused by contaminants in solvents, reagents (including reagent water), sample bottles and caps, and other sample processing hardware that lead to discrete artifacts and/or elevated baselines in the chromatograms. The analytes in this method can also be found in many common laboratory supplies and equipment, such as PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) products, LC solvent lines, methanol, aluminum foil, SPE sample transfer lines, etc.<sup>3</sup> All items such as these must be routinely demonstrated to be free from interferences (less than 1/3 the MRL for each method analyte) under the conditions of the analysis by analyzing laboratory reagent blanks as described in Section [9.3.1](#). **Subtracting blank values from sample results is not permitted.**
- 4.3. Matrix interferences may be caused by contaminants that are co-extracted from the sample. The extent of matrix interferences will vary considerably from source to source, depending upon the nature of the water. Humic and/or fulvic material can be co-extracted during SPE and high levels can cause enhancement and/or suppression in the electrospray ionization source or low recoveries on the SPE sorbent.<sup>4-5</sup> Total organic carbon (TOC) is a good indicator of humic content of the sample. Under the LC conditions used during method development, matrix effects due to total organic carbon (TOC) were not observed.

- 4.4. Relatively large quantities of the preservative (Sect. [8.1.2](#)) are added to sample bottles. The potential exists for trace-level organic contaminants in these reagents. Interferences from these sources should be monitored by analysis of laboratory reagent blanks (Sect. [9.3.1](#)), particularly when new lots of reagents are acquired.
- 4.5. SPE cartridges can be a source of interferences. The analysis of field and laboratory reagent blanks can provide important information regarding the presence or absence of such interferences. Brands and lots of SPE devices should be tested to ensure that contamination does not preclude analyte identification and quantitation.

## 5. **SAFETY**

- 5.1. The toxicity or carcinogenicity of each reagent used in this method has not been precisely defined. Each chemical should be treated as a potential health hazard, and exposure to these chemicals should be minimized. Each laboratory is responsible for maintaining an awareness of OSHA regulations regarding safe handling of chemicals used in this method. A reference file of SDSs should be made available to all personnel involved in the chemical analysis. Additional references to laboratory safety are available.<sup>6-8</sup>
- 5.2. PFOA has been described as likely to be carcinogenic to humans.<sup>9</sup> Pure standard materials and stock standard solutions of these method analytes should be handled with suitable protection to skin and eyes, and care should be taken not to breathe the vapors or ingest the materials.

## 6. **EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES**

(Brand names and/or catalog numbers are included for illustration only, and do not imply endorsement of the product.) Due to potential adsorption of analytes onto glass, polypropylene containers were used for all standard, sample and extraction preparations. Other plastic materials (e.g., polyethylene) which meet the QC requirements of Section [9](#) may be substituted.

- 6.1. **SAMPLE CONTAINERS** – 250-mL polypropylene bottles fitted with polypropylene screw caps.
- 6.2. **POLYPROPYLENE BOTTLES** – 4-mL narrow-mouth polypropylene bottles (VWR Cat. No.: 16066-960 or equivalent).
- 6.3. **CENTRIFUGE TUBES** – 15-mL conical polypropylene tubes with polypropylene screw caps for storing standard solutions and for collection of the extracts (Thomas Scientific Cat. No.: 2602A10 or equivalent).
- 6.4. **AUTOSAMPLER VIALS** – Polypropylene 0.4-mL autosampler vials (ThermoFisher Cat. No.: C4000-11) with polypropylene caps (ThermoFisher Cat. No.: C5000-50 or equivalent).



**NOTE: Polypropylene vials and caps are necessary to prevent contamination of the sample from PTFE coated septa. However, polypropylene caps do not reseal, so evaporation occurs after injection. Thus, multiple injections from the same vial are not possible.**

- 6.5. POLYPROPYLENE GRADUATED CYLINDERS – Suggested sizes include 25, 50, 100 and 1000-mL cylinders.
- 6.6. MICRO SYRINGES – Suggested sizes include 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500 and 1000- $\mu$ L syringes.
- 6.7. PLASTIC PIPETS – Polypropylene or polyethylene disposable pipets (Fisher Cat. No.: 13-711-7 or equivalent).
- 6.8. ANALYTICAL BALANCE – Capable of weighing to the nearest 0.0001 g.
- 6.9. SOLID PHASE EXTRACTION (SPE) APPARATUS FOR USING CARTRIDGES
  - 6.9.1. SPE CARTRIDGES – 0.5 g, 6-mL SPE cartridges containing styrenedivinylbenzene (SDVB) polymeric sorbent phase (Agilent Cat. No.: 1225-5021 or equivalent). The sorbent may not be modified with monomers other than SDVB.
  - 6.9.2. VACUUM EXTRACTION MANIFOLD – A manual vacuum manifold with Visiprep™ large volume sampler (Supelco Cat. No. 57030 and 57275 or equivalent) for cartridge extractions, or an automatic/robotic sample preparation system designed for use with SPE cartridges, may be used if all QC requirements discussed in Section 9 are met. Extraction and/or elution steps may not be changed or omitted to accommodate the use of an automated system. Care must be taken with automated SPE systems to ensure the PTFE commonly used in these systems does not contribute to unacceptable analyte concentrations in the LRB (Sect. 9.3.1).
  - 6.9.3. SAMPLE DELIVERY SYSTEM – Use of a polypropylene transfer tube system, which transfers the sample directly from the sample container to the SPE cartridge, is recommended, but not mandatory. Standard extraction manifolds come equipped with PTFE transfer tube systems. These can be replaced with 1/8” O.D. x 1/16” I.D. polypropylene or polyethylene tubing (Hudson Extrusions LLDPE or equivalent) cut to an appropriate length to ensure no sample contamination from the sample transfer lines. Other types of non-PTFE tubing may be used provided it meets the LRB (Sect. 9.3.1) and LFB (Sect. 9.3.3) QC requirements. The PTFE transfer tubes may be used, but an LRB must be run on each PTFE transfer tube and the QC requirements in Section 9.3.1 must be met. In the case of automated SPE, the removal of PTFE lines may not be feasible; therefore, LRBs will need to be rotated among the ports and must meet the QC requirements of Sections 9.2.2 and 9.3.1.

- 6.10. EXTRACT CONCENTRATION SYSTEM – Extracts are concentrated by evaporation with nitrogen using a water bath set no higher than 65 °C (Meyer N-Evap, Model 111, Organomation Associates, Inc. or equivalent).
- 6.11. LABORATORY OR ASPIRATOR VACUUM SYSTEM – Sufficient capacity to maintain a vacuum of approximately 10 to 15 inches of mercury for extraction cartridges.
- 6.12. LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY (LC)/TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETER (MS/MS) WITH DATA SYSTEM

6.12.1. LC SYSTEM – Instrument capable of reproducibly injecting up to 10- $\mu$ L aliquots and performing binary linear gradients at a constant flow rate near the flow rate used for development of this method (0.3 mL/min). The usage of a column heater is optional.

**NOTE: During the course of method development, it was discovered that while idle for more than one day, PFAS built up in the PTFE solvent transfer lines. To prevent long delays in purging high levels of PFAS from the LC solvent lines, they were replaced with PEEK™ tubing and the PTFE solvent frits were replaced with stainless steel frits. It is not possible to remove all PFAS background contamination, but these measures help to minimize their background levels.**

6.12.2. LC/TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETER – The LC/MS/MS must be capable of negative ion electrospray ionization (ESI) near the suggested LC flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The system must be capable of performing MS/MS to produce unique product ions (Sect. 3.18) for the method analytes within specified retention time segments. A minimum of 10 scans across the chromatographic peak is required to ensure adequate precision. Data are demonstrated in Tables 5-9 using a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters XEVO TQMS). See the Note in Sect. 10.2.3 pertaining to potential limitations of some MS/MS instrumentation in achieving the required MS/MS transitions.

6.12.3. DATA SYSTEM – An interfaced data system is required to acquire, store, reduce, and output mass spectral data. The computer software should have the capability of processing stored LC/MS/MS data by recognizing an LC peak within any given retention time window. The software must allow integration of the ion abundance of any specific ion within specified time or scan number limits. The software must be able to calculate relative response factors, construct linear regressions or quadratic calibration curves, and calculate analyte concentrations.

6.12.4. ANALYTICAL COLUMN – An LC C18 column (2.1 x 150 mm) packed with 5  $\mu$ m dp C18 solid phase particles (Waters #: 186001301 or equivalent) was used. Any column that provides adequate resolution, peak shape, capacity, accuracy, and precision (Sect. 9) may be used.

## 7. REAGENTS AND STANDARDS

- 7.1. GASES, REAGENTS, AND SOLVENTS – Reagent grade or better chemicals should be used. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. Other grades may be used, provided it is first determined that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the quality of the determination.
- 7.1.1. REAGENT WATER – Purified water which does not contain any measurable quantities of any method analytes or interfering compounds greater than 1/3 the MRL for each method analyte of interest. Prior to daily use, at least 3 L of reagent water should be flushed from the purification system to rinse out any build-up of analytes in the system's tubing.
- 7.1.2. METHANOL (CH<sub>3</sub>OH, CAS#: 67-56-1) – High purity, demonstrated to be free of analytes and interferences (Fisher LC/MS grade or equivalent).
- 7.1.3. AMMONIUM ACETATE (NH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, CAS#: 631-61-8) – High purity, demonstrated to be free of analytes and interferences (Sigma-Aldrich ACS grade or equivalent).
- 7.1.4. 20 mM AMMONIUM ACETATE/REAGENT WATER – To prepare 1 L, add 1.54 g ammonium acetate to 1 L of reagent water. This solution is volatile and must be replaced at least once a week. More frequent replacement may be necessary if unexplained loss in sensitivity or retention time shifts are encountered and attributed to loss of the ammonium acetate.
- 7.1.5. TRIZMA® PRESET CRYSTALS, pH 7.0 (Sigma cat# T-7193 or equivalent) – Reagent grade. A premixed blend of Tris [Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane] and Tris HCL [Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane hydrochloride]. Alternatively, a mix of the two components with a weight ratio of 15.5/1 Tris HCL/Tris may be used. This blend is targeted to produce a pH near 7.0 at 25 °C in reagent water. Trizma® functions as a buffer and removes free chlorine in chlorinated finished waters (Sect. [8.1.2](#)).
- 7.1.6. NITROGEN – Used for the following purposes:
- 7.1.6.1. Nitrogen aids in aerosol generation of the ESI liquid spray and is used as collision gas in some MS/MS instruments. The nitrogen used should meet or exceed instrument manufacturer's specifications.
- 7.1.6.2. Nitrogen is used to concentrate sample extracts (Ultra High Purity or equivalent).

7.1.7. ARGON – Used as collision gas in MS/MS instruments. Argon should meet or exceed instrument manufacturer’s specifications. Nitrogen gas may be used as the collision gas provided sufficient sensitivity (product ion formation) is achieved.

7.2. STANDARD SOLUTIONS – When a compound purity is assayed to be 96% or greater, the weight can be used without correction to calculate the concentration of the stock standard. PFAS analyte, IS and SUR standards commercially purchased in glass ampoules are acceptable; however, all subsequent transfers or dilutions performed by the analyst must be prepared and stored in polypropylene containers. Solution concentrations listed in this Section were used to develop this method and are included as an example. Alternate concentrations may be used as necessary depending on instrument sensitivity and the calibration range used. Standards for sample fortification generally should be prepared in the smallest volume that can be accurately measured to minimize the addition of excess organic solvent to aqueous samples. PDS and calibration standards were found to be stable for, at least, one month during method development. Laboratories should use standard QC practices to determine when standards need to be replaced. The target analyte manufacturer’s guidelines may be helpful when making the determination.

**NOTE:** Stock standards (Sect. [7.2.1.1](#), [7.2.2.1](#) and [7.2.3.1](#)) were stored at  $\leq 4$  °C. Primary dilution standards (Sect. [7.2.1.2](#), [7.2.2.2](#) and [7.2.3.2](#)) were stored at room temperature to prevent adsorption of the method analytes onto the container surfaces that may occur when refrigerated. Storing the standards at room temperature will also minimize daily imprecision due to the potential of inadequate room temperature stabilization. However, standards may be stored cold provided the standards are allowed to come to room temperature and vortexed well prior to use.

7.2.1. INTERNAL (IS) STOCK STANDARD SOLUTIONS – This method uses three IS compounds listed in the table below. These isotopically labeled IS(s) were carefully chosen during method development because they encompass all the functional groups of the method analytes. Although alternate IS standards may be used provided they are isotopically labeled compounds with similar functional groups as the method analytes, the analyst must have documented reasons for using alternate IS(s). Alternate IS(s) must meet the QC requirements in Section [9.3.4](#). Note that different isotopic labels of the same IS(s) are acceptable (e.g.,  $^{13}\text{C}_2$ -PFOA and  $^{13}\text{C}_4$ -PFOA) but will require modification of the MS/MS precursor and product ions.

Internal Standards	Acronym
Perfluoro-[1,2- $^{13}\text{C}_2$ ]octanoic acid	$^{13}\text{C}_2$ -PFOA
Sodium perfluoro-1-[1,2,3,4- $^{13}\text{C}_4$ ]octanesulfonate	$^{13}\text{C}_4$ -PFOS
N-deuteriomethylperfluoro-1-octanesulfonamidoacetic acid	$\text{d}_3$ -NMeFOSAA

7.2.1.1. IS STOCK STANDARD SOLUTIONS (IS SSS) – These IS stocks can be obtained as individual certified stock standard solutions. The ISs can also be purchased as PDSs, making the preparation of individual SSSs unnecessary. Analysis of the IS(s) is less complicated if the IS(s) purchased contains only the linear isomer.

7.2.1.2. INTERNAL STANDARD PRIMARY DILUTION (IS PDS) STANDARD (1-4 ng/μL) – Prepare, or purchase commercially, the IS PDS at a suggested concentration of 1-4 ng/μL. The IS PDS (in methanol with 4 molar equivalents of sodium hydroxide) was purchased from Wellington Labs. Alternatively, the IS PDS can be prepared in methanol containing 4% reagent water. Use 10 μL of this 1-4 ng/μL solution to fortify the final 1-mL extracts (Sect. 11.5). This will yield a concentration of 10-40 ng/mL of each IS in the 1-mL extracts.

IS	Final Conc. of IS PDS (ng/μL)
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFOA	1.0
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>4</sub> -PFOS	3.0
d <sub>3</sub> -NMeFOSAA	4.0

7.2.2. SURROGATE (SUR) STANDARD SOLUTIONS – The four SUR(s) listed in the table below were purchased from Wellington Labs as linear only isomers. These isotopically labeled SUR standards were carefully chosen during method development because they encompass most of the functional groups, as well as the water solubility range of the method analytes. Although alternate SUR standards may be used provided they are isotopically labeled compounds with similar functional groups as the method analytes, the analyst must have documented reasons for using alternate SUR standards. The alternate SUR standards chosen must still span the water solubility range of the method analytes. In addition, alternate SUR standards must meet the QC requirements in Section 9.3.5.

Surrogates	Acronym
Perfluoro-n-[1,2- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> ]hexanoic acid	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFHxA
Perfluoro-n-[1,2- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> ]decanoic acid	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFDA
N-deuterioethylperfluoro-1-octanesulfonamidoacetic acid	d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA
Tetrafluoro-2-heptafluoropropoxy- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -propanoic acid	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -HFPO-DA

7.2.2.1. SUR STOCK STANDARD SOLUTIONS (SUR SSS) – These SUR stocks can be obtained as individual certified stock standard solutions. The SURs can also be purchased as PDSs, making the preparation of individual SSSs

unnecessary. Analysis of the SUR(s) is less complicated if the SUR(s) purchased contains only the linear isomer.

- 7.2.2.2. SURROGATE PRIMARY DILUTION STANDARD (SUR PDS) (1-4 ng/ $\mu$ L) – Prepare, or purchase commercially, the SUR PDS at a suggested concentration of 1-4 ng/ $\mu$ L. The SUR PDS (in methanol with 4 molar equivalents of sodium hydroxide) was purchased from Wellington Labs. Alternatively, the SUR PDS can be prepared in methanol containing 4% reagent water. Use 10  $\mu$ L of this 1-4 ng/ $\mu$ L solution to fortify all QC and Field Samples. (Sect. [11.5](#)). This will yield SUR concentrations of 40-160 ng/L in the 250 mL aqueous samples.

SUR	Final Conc. of SUR PDS (ng/ $\mu$ L)
$^{13}\text{C}_2$ -PFHxA	1.0
$^{13}\text{C}_2$ -PFDA	1.0
d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA	4.0
$^{13}\text{C}_3$ -HFPO-DA	1.0

- 7.2.3. ANALYTE STANDARD SOLUTIONS – Analyte standards may be purchased commercially as ampouled solutions or prepared from neat materials. If commercially available, the method analytes must be purchased as technical grade (linear and branched isomers) standards or neat materials. Standards or neat materials that contain only the linear isomer can be substituted only if technical grade (linear and branched isomers) standards or neat material cannot be purchased as quantitative standards (see note below regarding PFOA). At the time of this method development, PFHxS, PFOS, NEtFOSAA and NMeFOSAA are available as technical grade (containing branched and linear isomers) and therefore must be purchased as technical grade.

**A qualitative standard (Sect. [3.19](#)) is available for PFOA that contains the linear and branched isomers (Wellington Labs, Cat. No. T-PFOA, or equivalent). This qualitative PFOA standard must be purchased and used to identify the retention times of the branched PFOA isomers, but the linear only PFOA standard must be used for quantitation (Sect. [12.2](#)) until a quantitative PFOA standard containing the branched and linear isomers becomes commercially available.**

PFHxS, PFOS, ADONA, 9Cl-PF3ONS and 11Cl-PF3OUdS may not be available as the acids listed in Section [1.1](#), but rather as their corresponding salts, such as  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$ . These salts are acceptable starting materials for the stock standards provided the measured mass is corrected for the salt content according to the equation below. Prepare the Analyte Stock and Primary Dilutions Standards as described below.

$$Mass_{acid} = MeasuredMass_{salt} \times \frac{MW_{acid}}{MW_{salt}}$$

where:

$MW_{acid}$  = the molecular weight of PFAS

$MW_{salt}$  = the molecular weight of purchased salt

- 7.2.3.1. ANALYTE STOCK STANDARD SOLUTION (SSS) – Analyte standards may be purchased commercially as ampouled solutions prepared from neat materials. Commercially prepared SSSs are available for all method analytes. During method development, mixes or individual stocks were obtained from Accustandard, Absolute, Wellington Labs and Synquest. When using these stock standards to prepare a PDS, care must be taken to ensure that these standards are at room temperature and adequately vortexed.
- 7.2.3.2. ANALYTE PRIMARY DILUTION STANDARD (PDS) SOLUTION (0.5-2.5 ng/μL) – The analyte PDS contains all the method analytes of interest at various concentrations in methanol containing 4% water (or in methanol containing 4 molar equivalents of sodium hydroxide). The ESI and MS/MS response varies by compound; therefore, a mix of concentrations may be needed in the analyte PDS. See Tables 5-9 in Section 17 for suggested concentrations for each analyte. During method development, the analyte PDS was prepared such that approximately the same instrument response was obtained for all the analytes. The analyte PDS is prepared by dilution of the combined Analyte Stock Standard Solutions and is used to prepare the CAL standards, and fortify the LFBs, LFSMs, and LFSMDs with the method analytes. If the PDS is stored cold, care must be taken to ensure that these standards are at room temperature and adequately vortexed before usage.
- 7.2.4. CALIBRATION STANDARDS (CAL) – At least five calibration concentrations are required to prepare the initial calibration curve spanning a 20-fold concentration range (Sect. 10.2). Larger concentration ranges will require more calibration points. Prepare the CAL standards over the concentration range of interest from dilutions of the analyte PDS in methanol containing 4% reagent water. The suggested analyte concentrations found in Tables 5-9 can be used as a starting point for determining the calibration range. The IS and SUR are added to the CAL standards at a constant concentration. During method development, the concentrations of the SUR(s) were 10-40 pg/μL in the standard (40-160 ng/L in the sample) and the IS(s) were 10-40 ng/mL. The lowest concentration CAL standard must be at or below the MRL, which may depend on system sensitivity. The CAL standards may also be used as CCCs (Sect. 9.3.2).

## 8. SAMPLE COLLECTION, PRESERVATION, AND STORAGE

### 8.1. SAMPLE BOTTLE PREPARATION

- 8.1.1. Samples must be collected in a 250-mL polypropylene bottle fitted with a polypropylene screw-cap.
- 8.1.2. The preservation reagent, listed in the table below, is added to each sample bottle as a solid prior to shipment to the field (or prior to sample collection).

Compound	Amount	Purpose
Trizma® (Sect. <a href="#">7.1.5</a> )	5.0 g/L	buffering reagent and removes free chlorine

### 8.2. SAMPLE COLLECTION

- 8.2.1. The sample handler must wash their hands before sampling and wear nitrile gloves while filling and sealing the sample bottles. PFAS contamination during sampling can occur from a number of common sources, such as food packaging and certain foods and beverages. Proper hand washing and wearing nitrile gloves will aid in minimizing this type of accidental contamination of the samples.
- 8.2.1. Open the tap and allow the system to flush until the water temperature has stabilized (approximately 3 to 5 min). Collect samples from the flowing system.
- 8.2.2. Fill sample bottles, taking care not to flush out the sample preservation reagent. Samples do not need to be collected headspace free.
- 8.2.3. After collecting the sample, cap the bottle and agitate by hand until preservative is dissolved. Keep the sample sealed from time of collection until extraction.

### 8.3. FIELD REAGENT BLANKS (FRB)

- 8.3.1. An FRB must be handled along with each sample set. The sample set is composed of samples collected from the same sample site and at the same time. At the laboratory, fill the field blank sample bottle with reagent water, then seal, and ship to the sampling site along with the sample bottles. For each FRB shipped, a second FRB bottle containing only the preservative must also be shipped. At the sampling site, the sampler must open the shipped FRB and pour the preserved reagent water into the empty shipped sample bottle, seal and label this bottle as the FRB. The FRB is shipped back to the laboratory along with the samples and analyzed to ensure that PFAS were not introduced into the sample during sample collection/handling.
- 8.3.2. The same batch of preservative must be used for the FRBs as for the field samples.



8.3.3. The reagent water used for the FRBs must be initially analyzed for method analytes as a LRB (using the same lot of sample bottles as the field samples) and must meet the LRB criteria in Section [9.3.1](#) prior to use. This requirement will ensure samples are not being discarded due to contaminated reagent water or sample bottles rather than contamination during sampling.

8.4. **SAMPLE SHIPMENT AND STORAGE** – Samples must be chilled during shipment and must not exceed 10 °C during the first 48 hours after collection. Sample temperature must be confirmed to be at or below 10 °C when the samples are received at the laboratory. Samples stored in the lab must be held at or below 6 °C until extraction but must not be frozen.

**NOTE:** Samples that are significantly above 10° C, at the time of collection, may need to be iced or refrigerated for a period of time, in order to chill them prior to shipping. This will allow them to be shipped with sufficient ice to meet the above requirements.

8.5. **SAMPLE AND EXTRACT HOLDING TIMES** – Results of the sample storage stability study ([Table 10](#)) indicated that all compounds listed in this method have adequate stability for 14 days when collected, preserved, shipped and stored as described in Sections [8.1](#), [8.2](#), and [8.4](#). Therefore, water samples should be extracted as soon as possible but must be extracted within 14 days. Extracts must be stored at room temperature and analyzed within 28 days after extraction. The extract storage stability study data are presented in [Table 11](#).

## 9. **QUALITY CONTROL**

9.1. QC requirements include the Initial Demonstration of Capability (IDC) and ongoing QC requirements that must be met when preparing and analyzing Field Samples. This Section describes the QC parameters, their required frequencies, and the performance criteria that must be met in order to meet EPA quality objectives. The QC criteria discussed in the following sections are summarized in [Table 12](#) and [Table 13](#). These QC requirements are considered the minimum acceptable QC criteria. Laboratories are encouraged to institute additional QC practices to meet their specific needs.

9.1.1. **METHOD MODIFICATIONS** – The analyst is permitted to modify LC columns, LC conditions, evaporation techniques, internal standards or surrogate standards, and MS and MS/MS conditions. Each time such method modifications are made, the analyst must repeat the procedures of the IDC. **Modifications to LC conditions should still produce conditions such that co-elution of the method analytes is minimized to reduce the probability of suppression/enhancement effects.**

9.2. **INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF CAPABILITY** – The IDC must be successfully performed prior to analyzing any Field Samples. Prior to conducting the IDC, the

analyst must first generate an acceptable Initial Calibration following the procedure outlined in Section [10.2](#).

- 9.2.1. INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF BRANCHED vs LINEAR ISOMER PROFILE for PFOA IN A QUALITATIVE STANDARD – Prepare and analyze a qualitative standard used for identifying retention times of branch isomers of PFOA. Identify the retention times of branched isomers of PFOA in the purchased technical grade PFOA standard. This qualitative PFOA standard is not used for quantitation (see Section [12.2](#)). This branched isomer identification check must be repeated any time changes occur that affect the analyte retention times.
- 9.2.2. INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF LOW SYSTEM BACKGROUND – Any time a new lot of SPE cartridges, solvents, centrifuge tubes, disposable pipets, and autosampler vials are used, it must be demonstrated that an LRB is reasonably free of contamination and that the criteria in Section [9.3.1](#) are met. If an automated extraction system is used, an LRB should be extracted on each port to ensure that all the valves and tubing are free from potential PFAS contamination.
- 9.2.3. INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF PRECISION (IDP) – Prepare, extract, and analyze four to seven replicate LFBs fortified near the midrange of the initial calibration curve according to the procedure described in Section [11.4](#). Sample preservatives as described in Section [8.1.2](#) must be added to these samples. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of the results of the replicate analyses must be less than 20%.
- 9.2.4. INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF ACCURACY (IDA) – Using the same set of replicate data generated for Section [9.2.3](#), calculate average recovery. The average recovery of the replicate values must be within  $\pm 30\%$  of the true value.
- 9.2.5. INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF PEAK ASYMMETRY FACTOR – Peak asymmetry factors must be calculated using the equation in Section [9.3.9](#) for the first two eluting peaks (if only two analytes are being analyzed, both must be evaluated) in a mid-level CAL standard. The peak asymmetry factors must fall in the range of 0.8 to 1.5. See guidance in Section [10.2.4.1](#) if the calculated peak asymmetry factors do not meet the criteria.
- 9.2.6. MINIMUM REPORTING LEVEL (MRL) CONFIRMATION – Establish a target concentration for the MRL based on the intended use of the method. The MRL may be established by a laboratory for their specific purpose or may be set by a regulatory agency. Establish an Initial Calibration following the procedure outlined in Section [10.2](#). The lowest CAL standard used to establish the Initial Calibration (as well as the low-level CCC, Section [10.3](#)) must be at or below the concentration of the MRL. Establishing the MRL concentration too low may cause repeated failure of ongoing QC requirements. Confirm the MRL following the procedure outlined below.

- 9.2.6.1. Fortify, extract, and analyze seven replicate LFBs at the proposed MRL concentration. These LFBs must contain all method preservatives described in Section [8.1.2](#). Calculate the mean measured concentration (*Mean*) and standard deviation for these replicates. Determine the Half Range for the prediction interval of results ( $HR_{PIR}$ ) using the equation below

$$HR_{PIR} = 3.963s$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} s & = \text{the standard deviation} \\ 3.963 & = \text{a constant value for seven replicates.}^1 \end{array}$$

- 9.2.6.2. Confirm that the upper and lower limits for the Prediction Interval of Result ( $PIR = Mean \pm HR_{PIR}$ ) meet the upper and lower recovery limits as shown below

The Upper PIR Limit must be  $\leq 150\%$  recovery.

$$\frac{Mean + HR_{PIR}}{Fortified\ Concentration} \times 100\% \leq 150\%$$

The Lower PIR Limit must be  $\geq 50\%$  recovery.

$$\frac{Mean - HR_{PIR}}{Fortified\ Concentration} \times 100\% \geq 50\%$$

- 9.2.6.3. The MRL is validated if both the Upper and Lower PIR Limits meet the criteria described above (Sect. [9.2.6.2](#)). If these criteria are not met, the MRL has been set too low and must be determined again at a higher concentration.
- 9.2.7. CALIBRATION CONFIRMATION – Analyze a QCS as described in Section [9.3.10](#) to confirm the accuracy of the standards/calibration curve.
- 9.2.8. DETECTION LIMIT DETERMINATION (*optional*) – *While DL determination is not a specific requirement of this method, it may be required by various regulatory bodies associated with compliance monitoring. It is the responsibility of the laboratory to determine if DL determination is required based upon the intended use of the data.*
- 9.2.8.1. Replicate analyses for this procedure should be done over at least three days (i.e., both the sample extraction and the LC/MS/MS analyses should be done over at least three days). Prepare at least seven replicate LFBs at a concentration estimated to be near the DL. This concentration may be estimated by selecting a concentration at 2-5 times the noise level. The DLs in [Table 5](#) were calculated from LFBs fortified at various concentrations as

indicated in the table. The appropriate fortification concentrations will be dependent upon the sensitivity of the LC/MS/MS system used. All preservation reagents listed in Section [8.1.2](#) must also be added to these samples. Analyze the seven replicates through all steps of Section [11](#).

**NOTE:** If an MRL confirmation data set meets these requirements, a DL may be calculated from the MRL confirmation data, and no additional analyses are necessary.

Calculate the *DL* using the following equation

$$DL = s \times t_{(n-1, 1-\alpha=0.99)}$$

where

*s* = standard deviation of replicate analyses

$t_{(n-1, 1-\alpha=0.99)}$  = Student's t value for the 99% confidence level with *n*-1 degrees of freedom

*n* = number of replicates.

**NOTE:** Do not subtract blank values when performing DL calculations. The DL is a statistical determination of precision only.<sup>2</sup> If the DL replicates are fortified at a low enough concentration, it is likely that they will not meet the precision and accuracy criteria for CCCs. Therefore, no precision and accuracy criteria are specified.

9.2.8.2. If a laboratory is establishing their own MRL, the calculated DLs should not be used as the MRL for analytes that commonly occur as background contaminants. Method analytes that are seen in the background should be reported as present in Field Samples, only after careful evaluation of the background levels. It is recommended that a MRL be established at the mean LRB concentrations + 3σ or 3 times the mean LRB concentration, whichever is greater. This value should be calculated over a period of time, to reflect variability in the blank measurements. It is recommended that this value be used as an MRL in order to avoid reporting false positive results.

9.3. ONGOING QC REQUIREMENTS – This Section summarizes the ongoing QC criteria that must be followed when processing and analyzing Field Samples.

9.3.1. LABORATORY REAGENT BLANK (LRB) – An LRB is required with each extraction batch (Sect. [3.6](#)) to confirm that potential background contaminants are not interfering with the identification or quantitation of method analytes. If more than 20 Field Samples are included in a batch, analyze an LRB for every 20 samples. If the LRB produces a peak within the retention time window of any analyte that would prevent the determination of that analyte, determine the source of contamination and eliminate the interference before processing samples. Background contamination must be reduced to an acceptable level before

proceeding. Background from method analytes or other contaminants that interfere with the measurement of method analytes must be below 1/3 of the MRL. Blank contamination is estimated by extrapolation, if the concentration is below the lowest CAL standard. This extrapolation procedure is not allowed for sample results as it may not meet data quality objectives. If the method analytes are detected in the LRB at concentrations equal to or greater than this level, then all data for the problem analyte(s) must be considered invalid for all samples in the extraction batch. Because background contamination is a significant problem for several method analytes, maintaining a historical record of LRB data is highly recommended.

- 9.3.2. CONTINUING CALIBRATION CHECK (CCC) – CCC Standards are analyzed at the beginning of each analysis batch, after every 10 Field Samples, and at the end of the analysis batch. See Section [10.3](#) for concentration requirements and acceptance criteria.
- 9.3.3. LABORATORY FORTIFIED BLANK (LFB) – An LFB is required with each extraction batch (Sect. [3.6](#)). The fortified concentration of the LFB must be rotated between low, medium, and high concentrations from batch to batch. The low concentration LFB must be as near as practical to, but no more than two times, the MRL. Similarly, the high concentration LFB should be near the high end of the calibration range established during the initial calibration (Sect. [10.2](#)). Results of the low-level LFB analyses must be 50-150% of the true value. Results of the medium and high-level LFB analyses must be 70-130% of the true value. If the LFB results do not meet these criteria for method analytes, then all data for the problem analyte(s) must be considered invalid for all samples in the extraction batch.
- 9.3.4. INTERNAL STANDARDS (IS) – The analyst must monitor the peak areas of the IS(s) in all injections during each analysis day. The IS responses (peak areas) in any chromatographic run must be within 70-140% of the response in the most recent CCC and must not deviate by more than 50% from the average area measured during initial analyte calibration. If the IS areas in a chromatographic run do not meet these criteria, inject a second aliquot of that extract aliquotted into a new capped autosampler vial. Random evaporation losses have been observed with the polypropylene caps causing high IS(s) areas.
  - 9.3.4.1. If the reinjected aliquot produces an acceptable IS response, report results for that aliquot.
  - 9.3.4.2. If the reinjected extract fails again, the analyst should check the calibration by reanalyzing the most recently acceptable CAL standard. If the CAL standard fails the criteria of Section [10.3](#), recalibration is in order per Section [10.2](#). If the CAL standard is acceptable, extraction of the sample may need to be repeated provided the sample is still within the holding time.

Otherwise, report results obtained from the reinjected extract, but annotate as suspect. Alternatively, collect a new sample and re-analyze.

- 9.3.5. SURROGATE RECOVERY – The SUR standard is fortified into all samples, CCCs, LRBs, LFBs, LFSMs, LFSMDs, FD, and FRB prior to extraction. It is also added to the CAL standards. The SUR is a means of assessing method performance from extraction to final chromatographic measurement. Calculate the recovery (%R) for the SUR using the following equation

$$\% R = \left( \frac{A}{B} \right) \times 100$$

where

A = calculated SUR concentration for the QC or Field Sample

B = fortified concentration of the SUR.

- 9.3.5.1. SUR recovery must be in the range of 70-130%. When SUR recovery from a sample, blank, or CCC is less than 70% or greater than 130%, check 1) calculations to locate possible errors, 2) standard solutions for degradation, 3) contamination, and 4) instrument performance. Correct the problem and reanalyze the extract.
- 9.3.5.2. If the extract reanalysis meets the SUR recovery criterion, report only data for the reanalyzed extract.
- 9.3.5.3. If the extract reanalysis fails the 70-130% recovery criterion, the analyst should check the calibration by injecting the last CAL standard that passed. If the CAL standard fails the criteria of Section [10.3](#), recalibration is in order per Section [10.2](#). If the CAL standard is acceptable, extraction of the sample should be repeated provided the sample is still within the holding time. If the re-extracted sample also fails the recovery criterion, report all data for that sample as suspect/SUR recovery to inform the data user that the results are suspect due to SUR recovery. Alternatively, collect a new sample and re-analyze.
- 9.3.6. LABORATORY FORTIFIED SAMPLE MATRIX (LFSM) – Analysis of an LFSM is required in each extraction batch and is used to determine that the sample matrix does not adversely affect method accuracy. Assessment of method precision is accomplished by analysis of a Field Duplicate (FD) (Sect. [9.3.7](#)); however, infrequent occurrence of method analytes would hinder this assessment. If the occurrence of method analytes in the samples is infrequent, or if historical trends are unavailable, a second LFSM, or LFSMD, must be prepared, extracted, and analyzed from a duplicate of the Field Sample. Extraction batches that contain LFSMDs will not require the extraction of a FD. If a variety of different sample matrices are analyzed regularly, for example, drinking water from groundwater and surface water sources, method performance should be

established for each. Over time, LFSM data should be documented by the laboratory for all routine sample sources.

9.3.6.1. Within each extraction batch (Sect. 3.6), a minimum of one Field Sample is fortified as an LFSM for every 20 Field Samples analyzed. The LFSM is prepared by spiking a sample with an appropriate amount of the Analyte PDS (Sect. 7.2.3.2). Select a spiking concentration that is greater than or equal to the matrix background concentration, if known. Use historical data and rotate through the low, mid and high concentrations when selecting a fortifying concentration.

9.3.6.2. Calculate the percent recovery (%*R*) for each analyte using the equation

$$\%R = \frac{(A - B)}{C} \times 100$$

where

- A = measured concentration in the fortified sample
- B = measured concentration in the unfortified sample
- C = fortification concentration.

9.3.6.3. Analyte recoveries may exhibit matrix bias. For samples fortified at or above their native concentration, recoveries should range between 70-130%, except for low-level fortification near or at the MRL (within a factor of 2-times the MRL concentration) where 50-150% recoveries are acceptable. If the accuracy of any analyte falls outside the designated range, and the laboratory performance for that analyte is shown to be in control in the CCCs, the recovery is judged to be matrix biased. The result for that analyte in the unfortified sample is labeled suspect/matrix to inform the data user that the results are suspect due to matrix effects.

9.3.7. FIELD DUPLICATE OR LABORATORY FORTIFIED SAMPLE MATRIX DUPLICATE (FD or LFSMD) – Within each extraction batch (not to exceed 20 Field Samples, Sect. 3.6), a minimum of one FD or LFSMD must be analyzed. Duplicates check the precision associated with sample collection, preservation, storage, and laboratory procedures. If method analytes are not routinely observed in Field Samples, an LFSMD should be analyzed rather than an FD.

9.3.7.1. Calculate the relative percent difference (*RPD*) for duplicate measurements (*FD1* and *FD2*) using the equation

$$RPD = \frac{|FD1 - FD2|}{(FD1 + FD2)/2} \times 100$$

9.3.7.2. RPDs for FDs should be ≤30%. Greater variability may be observed when FDs have analyte concentrations that are within a factor of 2 of the MRL. At these concentrations, FDs should have RPDs that are ≤50%. If the RPD of

any analyte falls outside the designated range, and the laboratory performance for that analyte is shown to be in control in the CCC, the recovery is judged to be matrix biased. The result for that analyte in the unfortified sample is labeled suspect/matrix to inform the data user that the results are suspect due to matrix effects.

- 9.3.7.3. If an LFSMD is analyzed instead of a FD, calculate the relative percent difference (RPD) for duplicate LFSMs (LFSM and LFSMD) using the equation


$$RPD = \frac{|LFSM - LFSMD|}{(LFSM + LFSMD)/2} \times 100$$

- 9.3.7.4. RPDs for duplicate LFSMs must be  $\leq 30\%$  for samples fortified at or above their native concentration. Greater variability may be observed when LFSMs are fortified at analyte concentrations that are within a factor of 2 of the MRL. LFSMs fortified at these concentrations must have RPDs that are  $\leq 50\%$  for samples fortified at or above their native concentration. If the RPD of any analyte falls outside the designated range, and the laboratory performance for that analyte is shown to be in control in the CCC, the recovery is judged to be matrix biased. The result for that analyte in the unfortified sample is labeled suspect/matrix to inform the data user that the results are suspect due to matrix effects.

- 9.3.8. FIELD REAGENT BLANK (FRB) – The purpose of the FRB is to ensure that PFAS measured in the Field Samples were not inadvertently introduced into the sample during sample collection/handling. Analysis of the FRB is required only if a Field Sample contains a method analyte or analytes at or above the MRL. The FRB is processed, extracted and analyzed in exactly the same manner as a Field Sample. If the method analyte(s) found in the Field Sample is present in the FRB at a concentration greater than 1/3 the MRL, then all samples collected with that FRB are invalid and must be recollected and reanalyzed.
- 9.3.9. PEAK ASYMMETRY FACTOR – A peak asymmetry factor must be calculated using the equation below during the IDC and every time chromatographic changes are made that may affect peak shape. The peak asymmetry factor for the first two eluting peaks in a mid-level CAL standard (if only two analytes are being analyzed, both must be evaluated) must fall in the range of 0.8 to 1.5. Modifying the standard or extract composition to more aqueous content to prevent poor shape is not permitted. See guidance in Section [10.2.4.1](#) if the calculated peak asymmetry factors do not meet the criteria.



$$A_s = \frac{b}{a}$$



where:

$A_s$  = peak asymmetry factor

$B$  = width of the back half of the peak measured (at 10% peak height) from the trailing edge of the peak to a line dropped perpendicularly from the peak apex

$a$  = the width of the front half of the peak measured (at 10% peak height) from the leading edge of the peak to a line dropped perpendicularly from the apex.

9.3.10. QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLES (QCS) – As part of the IDC (Sect. 9.2), each time a new Analyte PDS (Sect. 7.2.3.2) is prepared, and at least quarterly, analyze a QCS sample from a source different from the source of the CAL standards. If a second vendor is not available, then a different lot of the standard should be used. The QCS should be prepared at a mid-level concentration and analyzed just like a CCC. Acceptance criteria for the QCS are identical to the CCCs; the calculated amount for each analyte must be  $\pm 30\%$  of the expected value. If measured analyte concentrations are not of acceptable accuracy, check the entire analytical procedure to locate and correct the problem.

## **10. CALIBRATION AND STANDARDIZATION**

10.1. Demonstration and documentation of acceptable initial calibration is required before any samples are analyzed. After the initial calibration is successful, a CCC is required at the beginning and end of each period in which analyses are performed, and after every tenth Field Sample.

### 10.2. INITIAL CALIBRATION

#### 10.2.1. ESI-MS/MS TUNE

10.2.1.1. Calibrate the mass scale of the MS with the calibration compounds and procedures prescribed by the manufacturer.

10.2.1.2. Optimize the  $[M-H]^-$  or  $[M-CO_2]^-$  for each method analyte by infusing approximately 0.5-1.0  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  of each analyte (prepared in the initial mobile phase conditions) directly into the MS at the chosen LC mobile phase flow

rate (approximately 0.3 mL/min). This tune can be done on a mix of the method analytes. The MS parameters (voltages, temperatures, gas flows, etc.) are varied until optimal analyte responses are determined. The method analytes may have different optima requiring some compromise between the optima. See [Table 2](#) for ESI-MS conditions used in method development.

10.2.1.3. Optimize the product ion (Sect. [3.18](#)) for each analyte by infusing approximately 0.5-1.0 µg/mL of each analyte (prepared in the initial mobile phase conditions) directly into the MS at the chosen LC mobile phase flow rate (approximately 0.3 mL/min). This tune can be done on a mix of the method analytes. The MS/MS parameters (collision gas pressure, collision energy, etc.) are varied until optimal analyte responses are determined. Typically, the carboxylic acids have very similar MS/MS conditions and the sulfonic acids have similar MS/MS conditions. See [Table 4](#) for MS/MS conditions used in method development.

10.2.2. Establish LC operating parameters that optimize resolution and peak shape. Suggested LC conditions can be found in [Table 1](#). The LC conditions listed in [Table 1](#) may not be optimum for all LC systems and may need to be optimized by the analyst (See Sect. [10.2.4.1](#)). Modifying the standard or extract composition to more aqueous content to prevent poor shape is not permitted.

**Cautions: LC system components, as well as the mobile phase constituents, contain many of the analytes in this method. Thus, these PFAS will build up on the head of the LC column during mobile phase equilibration. To minimize the background PFAS peaks and to keep background levels constant, the time the LC column sits at initial conditions must be kept constant and as short as possible (while ensuring reproducible retention times). In addition, prior to daily use, flush the column with 100% methanol for at least 20 min before initiating a sequence. It may be necessary on some systems to flush other LC components such as wash syringes, sample needles or any other system components before daily use.**

**Mobile phase modifiers other than 20 mM ammonium acetate may be used at the discretion of the analyst, provided that the retention time stability criteria in Sect. [11.7.2](#) can be met over a period of two weeks. During method development, retention times shifted to shorter and shorter times as days progressed when mobile phases with less than 20 mM ammonium acetate were used.**

10.2.3. Inject a mid-level CAL standard under LC/MS conditions to obtain the retention times of each method analyte. Divide the chromatogram into retention time windows each of which contains one or more chromatographic peaks. During MS/MS analysis, fragment a small number of selected precursor ions ( $[M-H]^-$ ; Sect. [3.16](#)) for the analytes in each window and choose the most abundant product

ion. The product ions (also the quantitation ions) chosen during method development are in [Table 4](#), although these will be instrument dependent. For maximum sensitivity, small mass windows of  $\pm 0.5$  daltons around the product ion mass were used for quantitation.

**NOTE: There have been reports<sup>10</sup> that not all product ions in the linear PFOS are produced in all branched PFOS isomers. (This phenomenon may exist for many of the PFAS.) Thus, to reduce PFOS, PFBS and PFHxS bias, it is required that the precursor  $m/z \rightarrow m/z$  80 transition be used as the quantitation transition. Some MS/MS instruments, may not be able to scan a product ion with such a wide mass difference from the precursor ion; therefore, if the MS/MS cannot measure the precursor  $m/z \rightarrow m/z$  80 transition they may not be used for this method if PFOS, PFBS, or PFHxS analysis is to be conducted.**

10.2.4. Inject a mid-level CAL standard under optimized LC/MS/MS conditions to ensure that each method analyte is observed in its MS/MS window and that there are at least 10 scans across the peak for optimum precision.

**NOTE: Ensure that the retention time window used to collect data for each analyte is sufficient to detect earlier eluting branched isomers.**

10.2.4.1. If broad, split or fronting peaks are observed for the first two eluting chromatographic peaks (if only two analytes are being analyzed, both must be evaluated), change the initial mobile phase conditions to higher aqueous content until the peak asymmetry ratio for each peak is 0.8 – 1.5. The peak asymmetry factor is calculated as described in Section [9.3.9](#) on a mid-level CAL standard. The peak asymmetry factor must meet the above criteria for the first two eluting peaks during the IDC and every time a new calibration curve is generated. Modifying the standard or extract composition to more aqueous content to prevent poor shape is not permitted.

10.2.4.2. Most PFAS are produced by two different processes. One process gives rise to linear PFAS only while the other process produces both linear and branched isomers. Thus, both branched and linear PFAS can potentially be found in the environment. Refer to Section [12.2](#) for guidance on integration and quantitation of PFAS.

10.2.5. Prepare a set of at least five CAL standards as described in Section [7.2.4](#). The lowest concentration CAL standard must be at or below the MRL, which may depend on system sensitivity. It is recommended that at least four of the CAL standards are at a concentration greater than or equal to the MRL.

10.2.6. The LC/MS/MS system is calibrated using the IS technique. Use the LC/MS/MS data system software to generate a linear regression or quadratic calibration curve

for each of the analytes. This curve **must always** be forced through zero and may be concentration weighted, if necessary. Forcing zero allows for a better estimate of the background levels of method analytes.

10.2.7. CALIBRATION ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA – Validate the initial calibration by calculating the concentration of each analyte as an unknown against its regression equation. For calibration levels that are  $\leq$  MRL, the result for each analyte must be within  $\pm 50\%$  of the true value. All other calibration points must calculate to be within  $\pm 30\%$  of their true value. If these criteria cannot be met, the analyst will have difficulty meeting ongoing QC criteria. It is recommended that corrective action is taken to reanalyze the CAL standards, restrict the range of calibration, or select an alternate method of calibration (forcing the curve through zero is still required).

**CAUTION:** When acquiring MS/MS data, LC operating conditions must be carefully reproduced for each analysis to provide reproducible retention times. If this is not done, the correct ions will not be monitored at the appropriate times. As a precautionary measure, the chromatographic peaks in each window must not elute too close to the edge of the segment time window.

10.3. CONTINUING CALIBRATION CHECK (CCC) – Minimum daily calibration verification is as follows. Verify the initial calibration at the beginning and end of each group of analyses, and after every tenth sample during analyses. In this context, a “sample” is considered to be a Field Sample. LRBs, CCCs, LFBs, LFSMs, FDs FRBs and LFSMDs are not counted as samples. The beginning CCC of each analysis batch must be at or below the MRL to verify instrument sensitivity prior to any analyses. If standards have been prepared such that all low CAL points are not in the same CAL solution, it may be necessary to analyze two CAL standards to meet this requirement. Alternatively, the analyte concentrations in the analyte PDS may be customized to meet these criteria. Subsequent CCCs should alternate between a medium and high concentration CAL standard.

10.3.1. Inject an aliquot of the appropriate concentration CAL standard and analyze with the same conditions used during the initial calibration.

10.3.2. Determine that the absolute areas of the quantitation ions of the IS(s) are within 70-140% of the areas measured in the most recent continuing calibration check, and within 50-150% from the average areas measured during initial calibration. If any of the IS areas has changed by more than these amounts, adjustments must be made to restore system sensitivity. These adjustments may include cleaning of the MS ion source, or other maintenance as indicated in Section [10.3.4](#). Major instrument maintenance requires recalibration (Sect. [10.2](#)) and verification of sensitivity by analyzing a CCC at or below the MRL (Sect. [10.3](#)). Control charts are useful aids in documenting system sensitivity changes.

10.3.3. Calculate the concentration of each analyte and SUR in the CCC. The calculated amount for each analyte and SUR for medium and high level CCCs must be within  $\pm 30\%$  of the true value. The calculated amount for the lowest calibration point for each analyte must be within  $\pm 50\%$  and the SUR must be within  $\pm 30\%$  of the true value. If these conditions do not exist, then all data for the problem analyte must be considered invalid, and remedial action should be taken (Sect. [10.3.4](#)) which may require recalibration. Any Field or QC Samples that have been analyzed since the last acceptable calibration verification that are still within holding time must be reanalyzed after adequate calibration has been restored, with the following exception. **If the CCC fails because the calculated concentration is greater than 130% (150% for the low-level CCC) for a particular method analyte, and Field Sample extracts show no detection for that method analyte, non-detects may be reported without re-analysis.**

10.3.4. REMEDIAL ACTION – Failure to meet CCC QC performance criteria may require remedial action. Major maintenance, such as cleaning the electrospray probe, atmospheric pressure ionization source, cleaning the mass analyzer, replacing the LC column, etc., requires recalibration (Sect. [10.2](#)) and verification of sensitivity by analyzing a CCC at or below the MRL (Sect. [10.3](#))

## 11. PROCEDURE

11.1. This procedure may be performed manually or in an automated mode using a robotic or automatic sample preparation device. The data presented in Tables 5-11 demonstrate data collected by manual extraction. If an automated system is used to prepare samples, follow the manufacturer's operating instructions, but all extraction and elution steps must be the same as in the manual procedure. Extraction and/or elution steps may not be changed or omitted to accommodate the use of an automated system. If an automated system is used, the LRBs should be rotated among the ports to ensure that all the valves and tubing meet the LRB requirements (Sect. [9.3.1](#)).

11.2. Some of the PFAS adsorb to surfaces, including polypropylene. Therefore, the aqueous sample bottles must be rinsed with the elution solvent (Sect. [11.4.4](#)) whether extractions are performed manually or by automation. The bottle rinse is passed through the cartridge to elute the method analytes and is then collected (Sect. [11.4.4](#)).

**NOTE:** The SPE cartridges and sample bottles described in this Section are designed as single use items and must be discarded after use. They may not be refurbished for reuse in subsequent analyses.

### 11.3. SAMPLE PREPARATION

11.3.1. Samples are preserved, collected and stored as presented in Section [8](#). All Field and QC Samples, including the LRB, LFB and FRB, must contain the dechlorinating agent listed in Section [8.1.2](#). Before extraction, verify that the sample pH is  $7 \pm 0.5$ . Determine sample volume. An indirect measurement may

be done in one of two ways: by marking the level of the sample on the bottle or by weighing the sample and bottle to the nearest 1 g. After extraction, proceed to Section [11.6](#) for final volume determination. Some of the PFAS adsorb to surfaces, thus the sample volume may **NOT** be transferred to a graduated cylinder for volume measurement. The LRB, LFB and FRB may be prepared by measuring 250 mL of reagent water with a polypropylene graduated cylinder or filling a 250-mL sample bottle to near the top.

11.3.2. Add an aliquot of the SUR PDS (Sect. [7.2.2.2](#)) to each sample, cap and invert to mix. During method development, a 10- $\mu$ L aliquot of the 1-4 ng/ $\mu$ L SUR PDS (Sect. [7.2.2.2](#)) was added to 250 mL of sample for a final concentration of 40 ng/L for  $^{13}\text{C}_2$ -PFHxA,  $^{13}\text{C}_3$ -HFPO-DA, and  $^{13}\text{C}_2$ -PFDA and 160 ng/L for d<sub>5</sub>-NEtFOSAA.

11.3.3. In addition to the SUR(s) and dechlorination agent, if the sample is an LFB, LFSM, or LFSMD, add the necessary amount of analyte PDS (Sect. [7.2.3.2](#)). Cap and invert each sample to mix.

#### 11.4. CARTRIDGE SPE PROCEDURE

11.4.1. CARTRIDGE CLEAN-UP AND CONDITIONING – DO NOT allow cartridge packing material to go dry during any of the conditioning steps. Rinse each cartridge with 15 mL of methanol. Next, rinse each cartridge with 18 mL of reagent water, without allowing the water to drop below the top edge of the packing. If the cartridge goes dry during the conditioning phase, the conditioning must be started over. Add 2-3 mL of reagent water to each cartridge, attach the sample transfer tubes (Sect. [6.9.3](#)), turn on the vacuum, and begin adding sample to the cartridge.

NOTE: If low recoveries are observed for PFBS and PFHxA during the IDC, recoveries may be improved by allowing a one- or two-minute soak time after each addition of the methanol and water used in the clean-up and conditioning step.

11.4.2. SAMPLE EXTRACTON – Adjust the vacuum so that the approximate flow rate is 10-15 mL/min. Do not allow the cartridge to go dry before all the sample has passed through.

11.4.3. SAMPLE BOTTLE AND CARTRIDGE RINSE – After the entire sample has passed through the cartridge, rinse the sample bottles with two 7.5-mL aliquots of reagent water and draw each aliquot through the sample transfer tubes and the cartridges. Draw air or nitrogen through the cartridge for 5 min at high vacuum (10-15 in. Hg).

**NOTE: If empty plastic reservoirs are used in place of the sample transfer tubes to pass the samples through the cartridges, these reservoirs**

**must be treated like the transfer tubes. After the entire sample has passed through the cartridge, the reservoirs must be rinsed to waste with reagent water.**

11.4.4. SAMPLE BOTTLE AND CARTRIDGE ELUTION – Turn off and release the vacuum. Lift the extraction manifold top and insert a rack with collection tubes into the extraction tank to collect the extracts as they are eluted from the cartridges. Rinse the sample bottles with 4 mL of methanol and elute the analytes from the cartridges by pulling the 4 mL of methanol through the sample transfer tubes and the cartridges. Use a low vacuum such that the solvent exits the cartridge in a dropwise fashion. Repeat sample bottle rinse and cartridge elution with a second 4-mL aliquot of methanol.

NOTE: If low recoveries are observed for PFBS and PFHxA during the IDC, recoveries may be improved by allowing a one or two-minute soak time after each four mL addition of the methanol and water used in the clean-up and conditioning step.

**NOTE: If empty plastic reservoirs are used in place of the sample transfer tubes to pass the samples through the cartridges, these reservoirs must be treated like the transfer tubes. After the reservoirs have been rinsed in Section [11.4.3](#), the elution solvent used to rinse the sample bottles must be swirled down the sides of the reservoirs while eluting the cartridge to ensure that any method analytes on the surface of the reservoirs are transferred to the extract.**

11.5. EXTRACT CONCENTRATION – Concentrate the extract to dryness under a gentle stream of nitrogen in a heated water bath (60-65 °C) to remove all the water/methanol mix. Add the appropriate amount of 96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water solution and the IS PDS (Sect. [7.2.1.2](#)) to the collection vial to bring the volume to 1 mL and vortex. (10 µL of the 1-4 ng/µL IS PDS for extract concentrations of 10-40 ng/mL were used for method development). Transfer a small aliquot with a plastic pipet (Sect. [6.7](#)) to a polypropylene autosampler vial.

**NOTE: It is recommended that the entire 1-mL aliquot not be transferred to the autosampler vial because the polypropylene autosampler caps do not reseal after injection. Therefore, do not store the extracts in the autosampler vials as evaporation losses occur in these autosampler vials. Extracts can be stored in 15-mL centrifuge tubes (Sect. [6.3](#)).**

11.6. SAMPLE VOLUME DETERMINATION – If the level of the sample was marked on the sample bottle, use a graduated cylinder to measure the volume of water required to fill the original sample bottle to the mark made prior to extraction. Determine to the nearest 2 mL. If using weight to determine volume, weigh the empty bottle to the nearest 1 g and determine the sample weight by subtraction of the empty bottle weight from the original sample weight (Sect. [11.3.1](#)). Assume a sample density of 1.0 g/mL.

In either case, the sample volume will be used in the final calculations of the analyte concentration (Sect. [12.3](#)).

## 11.7. EXTRACT ANALYSIS

- 11.7.1. Establish operating conditions equivalent to those summarized in Tables 1-4 of Section [17](#). Instrument conditions and columns should be optimized prior to the initiation of the IDC.
- 11.7.2. Establish an appropriate retention time window for each analyte. This should be based on measurements of actual retention time variation for each method analyte in CAL standard solutions analyzed on the LC over the course of time. A value of plus or minus three times the standard deviation of the retention time obtained for each method analyte while establishing the initial calibration and completing the IDC can be used to calculate a suggested window size. However, the experience of the analyst should weigh heavily on the determination of the appropriate retention window size.
- 11.7.3. Calibrate the system by either the analysis of a calibration curve (Sect. [10.2](#)) or by confirming the initial calibration is still valid by analyzing a CCC as described in Section [10.3](#). If establishing an initial calibration for the first time, complete the IDC as described in Section [9.2](#).
- 11.7.4. Begin analyzing Field Samples, including QC samples, at their appropriate frequency by injecting the same size aliquots (10  $\mu$ L was used in method development), under the same conditions used to analyze the CAL standards.
- 11.7.5. At the conclusion of data acquisition, use the same software that was used in the calibration procedure to identify peaks of interest in predetermined retention time windows. Use the data system software to examine the ion abundances of the peaks in the chromatogram. Identify an analyte by comparison of its retention time with that of the corresponding method analyte peak in a reference standard. Comparison of the MS/MS mass spectra is not particularly useful given the limited  $\pm 0.5$  dalton mass range around a single product ion for each method analyte.
- 11.7.6. The analyst must not extrapolate beyond the established calibration range. If an analyte peak area exceeds the range of the initial calibration curve, the extract may be diluted with 96%:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water solution and the appropriate amount of IS added to match the original concentration. Re-inject the diluted extract. Incorporate the dilution factor into the final concentration calculations. Acceptable SUR performance (Sect. [9.3.5.1](#)) should be determined from the undiluted sample extract. The resulting data must be documented as a dilution and MRLs adjusted accordingly.



## **12. DATA ANALYSIS AND CALCULATION**

- 12.1. Complete chromatographic resolution is not necessary for accurate and precise measurements of analyte concentrations using MS/MS. In validating this method, concentrations were calculated by measuring the product ions listed in [Table 4](#). Other ions may be selected at the discretion of the analyst.
- 12.2. Because environmental samples may contain both branched and linear isomers for method analytes, but quantitative standards that contain the linear and branched isomers do not exist for all method analytes, integration and quantitation of the PFAS is dependent on type of standard available for each PFAS. It is recognized that some of the procedures described below for integration of standards, QC samples and Field Samples may cause a small amount of unavoidable bias in the quantitation of the method analytes due to the current state of the commercially available standards.
  - 12.2.1. During method development, multiple chromatographic peaks were observed for standards of PFHxS, PFOS, NMeFOSAA, and NEtFOSAA using the LC conditions in [Table 1](#) due to chromatographic resolution of the linear and branched isomers of these compounds. For PFHxS, PFOS, NMeFOSAA and NEtFOSAA, all the chromatographic peaks observed in the standard must be integrated and the areas summed. Chromatographic peaks in all Field Samples and QC samples must be integrated in the same way as the CAL standard for analytes with quantitative standards containing the branched and linear isomers.
  - 12.2.2. For PFOA, identify the branched isomers by analyzing a qualitative standard that includes both linear and branched isomers and compare retention times and tandem mass spectrometry transitions. Quantitate Field Samples and QC samples by integrating the total response (i.e., accounting for peaks that are identified as linear and branched isomers) and relying on the initial calibration with a linear-isomer quantitative PFOA standard.
  - 12.2.3. If standards containing the branched and linear isomers cannot be purchased (i.e., only linear isomer is available), only the linear isomer can be identified and quantitated in Field Samples and QC samples using the linear standard because the retention time of the branched isomers cannot be confirmed.
- 12.3. Calculate analyte and SUR concentrations using the multipoint calibration as described in Section [10.2](#). Do not use daily calibration verification data to quantitate analytes in samples. Adjust final analyte concentrations to reflect the actual sample volume determined in Section [11.6](#).
- 12.4. Prior to reporting the data, the chromatogram should be reviewed for any incorrect peak identification or poor integration.

- 12.5. Calculations must utilize all available digits of precision, but final reported concentrations should be rounded to an appropriate number of significant figures (one digit of uncertainty), typically two, and not more than three significant figures.

**NOTE:** Some data in Section [17](#) of this method are reported with more than two significant figures. This is done to better illustrate the method performance.

### **13. METHOD PERFORMANCE**

- 13.1. PRECISION, ACCURACY, AND MINIMUM REPORTING LEVELS – Tables for these data are presented in Section [17](#). LCMRLs and DLs for each method analyte are presented in [Table 5](#). Precision and accuracy are presented for four water matrices: reagent water ([Table 6](#)); chlorinated (finished) ground water ([Table 7](#)); chlorinated (finished) surface water ([Table 8](#)); and private well water ([Table 9](#)).
- 13.2. SAMPLE STORAGE STABILITY STUDIES – An analyte storage stability study was conducted by fortifying the analytes into chlorinated surface water samples that were collected, preserved, and stored as described in Section [8](#). The precision and mean recovery (n=4) of analyses, conducted on Days 0, 8, and 14 are presented in [Table 10](#).
- 13.3. EXTRACT STORAGE STABILITY STUDIES – Extract storage stability studies were conducted on extracts obtained from a chlorinated surface water fortified with the method analytes. The precision and mean recovery (n=4) of injections conducted on Days 0, 8, 14, 22, and 28 are reported in [Table 11](#).
- 13.4. MULTI-LABORATORY DEMONSTRATION – The performance of this method was demonstrated by multiple laboratories, with results similar to those reported in Section [17](#). The authors wish to acknowledge the work of 1) EPA Region 2 in Edison, NJ., 2) Eurofins Eaton Analytical, LLC in Monrovia, CA, and 3) New Jersey Department of Health in Ewing, NJ.

### **14. POLLUTION PREVENTION**

- 14.1. This method utilizes SPE to extract analytes from water. It requires the use of very small volumes of organic solvent and very small quantities of pure analytes, thereby minimizing the potential hazards to both the analyst and the environment as compared to the use of large volumes of organic solvents in conventional liquid-liquid extractions.
- 14.2. For information about pollution prevention that may be applicable to laboratory operations, consult “Less is Better: Laboratory Chemical Management for Waste Reduction” available from the American Chemical Society’s Department of Government Relations and Science Policy, 1155 16<sup>th</sup> Street N.W., Washington, D.C., 20036 or on-line at [http://membership.acs.org/c/ccs/pub\\_9.htm](http://membership.acs.org/c/ccs/pub_9.htm) (accessed August 2008).

## **15. WASTE MANAGEMENT**

The analytical procedures described in this method generate relatively small amounts of waste since only small amounts of reagents and solvents are used. The matrices of concern are finished drinking water or source water. However, laboratory waste management practices must be conducted consistent with all applicable rules and regulations, and that laboratories protect the air, water, and land by minimizing and controlling all releases from fume hoods and bench operations. Also, compliance is required with any sewage discharge permits and regulations, particularly the hazardous waste identification rules and land disposal restrictions.

## **16. REFERENCES**

1. Winslow, S.D., Pepich, B.V., Martin, J.J., Hallberg, G.R., Munch, D.J., Frebis, C.P., Hedrick, E.J., Krop, R.A. "Statistical Procedures for Determination and Verification of Minimum Reporting Levels for Drinking water Methods." *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2004, 40, 281-288.
2. Glaser, J.A., D.L. Foerst, G.D. McKee, S.A. Quave, W.L. Budde, "Trace Analyses for Wastewaters." *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1981, 15, 1426-1435.
3. Martin, J.W., Kannan, K., Berger, U., De Voogt, P., Field, J., Franklin, J, Giesy, J.P., Harner, T., Muir, D.C., Scott, B., Kaiser, M., Järnberg, U., Jones, K.C., Mabury, S.A., Schroeder, H., Simcik, M., Sottani, C., van Bavel, B., Kärman, A., Lindström, G., van Leeuwen, S. "Analytical Challenges Hamper Perfluoroalkyl Research." *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2004, 38, 248A-255A.
4. Leenheer, J.A., Rostad, C.E., Gates, P.M., Furlong, E.T., Ferrer, I. "Molecular Resolution and Fragmentation of Fulvic Acid by Electrospray Ionization/Multistage Tandem Mass Spectrometry." *Anal. Chem.* 2001, 73, 1461-1471.
5. Cahill, J.D., Furlong E.T., Burkhardt, M.R., Kolpin, D., Anderson, L.G. "Determination of Pharmaceutical Compounds in Surface- and Ground-Water Samples by Solid-Phase Extraction and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry." *J. Chromatogr. A* 2004, 1041, 171-180.
6. "OSHA Safety and Health Standards, General Industry," (29CFR1910). Occupational Safety and Health Administration, OSHA 2206, (Revised, July 1, 2001).
7. "Carcinogens-Working with Carcinogens," Publication No. 77-206, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Center for Disease Control, National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Atlanta, Georgia, August 1977.
8. "Safety in Academic Chemistry Laboratories," American Chemical Society Publication, Committee on Chemical Safety, 8th Edition. Information on obtaining a copy is available at

<https://www.acs.org/content/dam/acsorg/about/governance/committees/chemicalsafety/publications/safety-in-academic-chemistry-laboratories-students.pdf> (accessed October 2018).

9. “SAB Review of EPA’s Draft Risk Assessment of Potential Human Health Effects Associated with PFOA and Its Salts.” Document available at [http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/SABPRODUCT.NSF/A3C83648E77252828525717F004B9099/\\$File/sab\\_06\\_006.pdf](http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/SABPRODUCT.NSF/A3C83648E77252828525717F004B9099/$File/sab_06_006.pdf) (accessed October 2018).
10. Langlois, I. and Oehme, M. “Structural Identification of Isomers Present In Technical Perfluorooctane Sulfonate By Tandem Mass Spectrometry.” *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 2006, 20, 844-850.

## 17. TABLES, DIAGRAMS, FLOWCHARTS AND VALIDATION DATA

**Table 1. LC Method Conditions**

Time (min)	% 20 mM ammonium acetate	% Methanol
Initial	60.0	40.0
1.0	60.0	40.0
25.0	10.0	90.0
32.0	10.0	90.0
32.1	60.0	40.0
37.0	60.0	40.0

Waters Atlantis® dC<sub>18</sub> 2.1 x 150 mm packed with 5.0 μm C<sub>18</sub> stationary phase  
Flow rate of 0.3 mL/min  
10 μL injection into a 50 μL loop

**Table 2. ESI-MS Method Conditions**

ESI Conditions	
Polarity	Negative ion
Capillary needle voltage	-3 kV
Cone gas flow	50 L/hr
Nitrogen desolvation gas	800 L/hr
Desolvation gas temp.	350°C

**Table 3. Method Analytes, Retention Times (RT) and Suggested IS References**

Analyte	Peak # (Fig. 1)	RT (min)	IS# Ref
PFBS	1	7.62	2
PFH <sub>x</sub> A	2	10.42	1
HFPO-DA	4	11.38	1
PFHpA	6	13.40	1
PFH <sub>x</sub> S	7	13.58	2
ADONA	8	13.73	1
PFOA	9	15.85	1
PFOS	11	17.91	2
PFNA	13	17.92	1
9Cl-PF3ONS	14	18.91	2
PFDA	15	19.69	1
NMeFOSAA	17	20.50	3
PFUnA	19	21.21	1
NEtFOSAA	20	21.26	3
11Cl-PF3OUdS	22	21.84	2
PFDoA	23	22.52	1
PFTTrDA	24	23.66	1
PFTA	25	24.64	1
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFH <sub>x</sub> A	3	10.42	1
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -HFPO-DA	5	11.40	1
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFDA	16	19.69	1
d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA	21	21.24	3
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFOA– IS#1	10	15.85	-
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>4</sub> -PFOS– IS#2	12	17.91	-
d <sub>3</sub> -NMeFOSAA–IS#3	18	20.49	-

**Table 4. MS/MS Method Conditions<sup>a</sup>**

Segment <sup>b</sup>	Analyte	Precursor Ion <sup>c</sup> ( <i>m/z</i> )	Product Ion <sup>c,d</sup> ( <i>m/z</i> )	Cone Voltage (v)	Collision Energy <sup>e</sup> (v)
1	PFBS <sup>g</sup>	299	80	42	30
1	PFH <sub>x</sub> A	313	269	14	10
1	HFPO-DA	285 <sup>f</sup>	169	12	8
2	PFHpA	363	319	12	10
2	PFH <sub>x</sub> S <sup>g,h</sup>	399	80	46	32
2	ADONA	377	251	14	12
3	PFOA	413	369	14	10
3	PFOS <sup>g,h</sup>	499	80	52	42
3	PFNA	463	419	16	12
4	9Cl-PF3ONS	531	351	34	24
4	PFDA	513	469	14	10
4	NMeFOSAA <sup>g</sup>	570	419	30	20
4	PFUnA	563	519	12	10
4	NEtFOSAA <sup>g</sup>	584	419	30	20
4	11Cl-PF3OUdS	631	451	40	24
4	PFDoA	613	569	18	10
5	PFTTrDA	663	619	14	14
5	PFTA	713	669	14	12
1	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFH <sub>x</sub> A	315	270	16	10
1	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -HFPO-DA	287	169	10	6
4	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFDA	515	470	18	10
4	d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA	589	419	28	22
3	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFOA	415	370	16	10
3	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>4</sub> -PFOS	503	80	58	42
4	d <sub>3</sub> -NMeFOSAA	573	419	28	14

<sup>a</sup> An LC/MS/MS chromatogram of the analytes is shown in [Figure 1](#).

<sup>b</sup> Segments are time durations in which single or multiple scan events occur.

<sup>c</sup> Precursor and product ions listed in this table are nominal masses. During MS and MS/MS optimization, the analyst should determine precursor and product ion masses to one decimal place by locating the apex of the mass spectral peak place (e.g., *m/z* 498.9→79.9 for PFOS). These precursor and product ion masses (with at least one decimal place) should be used in the MS/MS method for all analyses.

<sup>d</sup> Ions used for quantitation purposes.

<sup>e</sup> Argon used as collision gas at a flow rate of 0.15 mL/min.

<sup>f</sup> HFPO-DA is not stable in the ESI source and the [M-H]<sup>-</sup> is not observed under typical ESI conditions. The precursor ion used during method development was [M-CO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>.

<sup>g</sup> Analyte has multiple resolved chromatographic peaks due to linear and branched isomers. All peaks summed for quantitation purposes.

<sup>h</sup> To reduce bias regarding detection of branch and linear isomers, the *m/z* 80 product ion must be used for this analyte.

**Table 5. DLs and LCMRLs in Reagent Water**

Analyte	Fortified Conc. (ng/L) <sup>a</sup>	DL <sup>b</sup> (ng/L)	LCMRL <sup>c</sup> (ng/L)
PFBS	4.0	1.8	6.3
PFHxA	4.0	1.0	1.7
HFPO-DA	4.0	1.9	4.3
PFHpA	4.0	0.71	0.63
PFHxS	4.0	1.4	2.4
ADONA	4.0	0.88	0.55
PFOA	4.0	0.53	0.82
PFOS	4.0	1.1	2.7
PFNA	4.0	0.70	0.83
9Cl-PF3ONS	4.0	1.4	1.8
PFDA	4.0	1.6	3.3
NMeFOSAA	4.0	2.4	4.3
PFUnA	4.0	1.6	5.2
NEtFOSAA	4.0	2.8	4.8
11Cl-PF3OUdS	4.0	1.5	1.5
PFDoA	4.0	1.2	1.3
PFTTrDA	4.0	0.72	0.53
PFTA	4.0	1.1	1.2

<sup>a</sup> Spiking concentration used to determine DL.

<sup>b</sup> Detection limits were determined by analyzing seven replicates over three days according to Section [9.2.8](#).

<sup>c</sup> LCMRLs were calculated according to the procedure in reference 1.



**Table 6. Precision and Accuracy (n=8) of PFAS in Fortified Reagent Water**

<b>18. Analyte</b>	<b>Fortified Conc. (ng/L)</b>	<b>Mean % Recovery</b>	<b>% RSD</b>	<b>Fortified Conc. (ng/L)</b>	<b>Mean % Recovery</b>	<b>% RSD</b>
PFBS	16.0	90.8	6.8	80.0	85.1	6.7
PFHxA	16.0	101	8.0	80.0	96.5	4.6
HFPO-DA	16.0	97.8	1.8	80.0	96.8	5.1
PFHpA	16.0	105	3.3	80.0	104	2.7
PFHxS	16.0	109	6.7	80.0	107	4.4
ADONA	16.0	108	1.3	80.0	106	3.6
PFOA	16.0	106	1.8	80.0	104	3.1
PFOS	16.0	111	4.7	80.0	107	4.8
PFNA	16.0	110	2.6	80.0	104	3.6
9Cl-PF3ONS	16.0	108	8.8	80.0	101	3.8
PFDA	16.0	111	2.4	80.0	107	3.6
NMeFOSAA	16.0	104	5.2	80.0	102	5.4
PFUnA	16.0	107	2.8	80.0	101	1.3
NEtFOSAA	16.0	97.7	6.8	80.0	101	2.5
11Cl-PF3OUdS	16.0	109	3.4	80.0	103	6.1
PFD <sub>o</sub> A	16.0	101	7.2	80.0	107	3.7
PFT <sub>r</sub> DA	16.0	108	2.6	80.0	99.1	3.6
PFTA	16.0	110	0.9	80.0	97.2	3.6
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFHxA	40.0	88.5	6.4	40.0	97.0	4.9
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -HFPO-DA	40.0	94.5	3.2	40.0	101	9.9
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFDA	40.0	99.1	3.4	40.0	106	2.7
d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA	160	90.0	2.6	160	99.5	4.8

**Table 7. Precision and Accuracy (n=4) of PFAS in Tap Water<sup>a</sup> from a Ground Water Source**

<b>19. Analyte</b>	<b>Fortified Conc. (ng/L)</b>	<b>Mean % Recovery</b>	<b>% RSD</b>	<b>Fortified Conc. (ng/L)</b>	<b>Mean % Recovery</b>	<b>% RSD</b>
PFBS	16.0	104	3.1	80.0	90.2	2.1
PFHxA	16.0	105	3.5	80.0	91.6	3.9
HFPO-DA	16.0	99.6	4.0	80.0	90.6	2.9
PFHpA	16.0	101	3.4	80.0	91.2	4.2
PFHxS	16.0	110.0	3.3	80.0	93.5	4.8
ADONA	16.0	104	3.9	80.0	92.2	4.7
PFOA	16.0	105	2.7	80.0	91.1	4.8
PFOS	16.0	108	3.3	80.0	93.9	3.8
PFNA	16.0	105	2.4	80.0	92.4	6.9
9Cl-PF3ONS	16.0	101	8.1	80.0	92.4	4.9
PFDA	16.0	102	4.5	80.0	92.5	7.7
NMeFOSAA	16.0	92.6	7.4	80.0	87.1	9.4
PFUnA	16.0	104	4.8	80.0	92.8	5.6
NEtFOSAA	16.0	108	18.4	80.0	94.1	6.7
11Cl-PF3OUdS	16.0	103	3.4	80.0	95.4	5.4
PFDoA	16.0	99.4	4.6	80.0	92.0	5.0
PFTTrDA	16.0	98.8	4.1	80.0	93.1	5.9
PFTA	16.0	102	3.7	80.0	93.9	5.0
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFHxA	40.0	97.7	3.4	40.0	87.0	6.2
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -HFPO-DA	40.0	97.2	3.9	40.0	88.8	6.2
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFDA	40.0	97.5	5.3	40.0	86.0	10
d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA	160	94.7	8.8	160	80.8	10

<sup>a</sup> TOC = 0.53 mg/L and hardness = 377 mg/L measured as calcium carbonate.

**Table 8. Precision and Accuracy (n=4) Of PFAS in Tap Water<sup>a</sup> from a Surface Water Source**

<b>20. Analyte</b>	<b>Fortified Conc. (ng/L)</b>	<b>Mean % Recovery</b>	<b>% RSD</b>	<b>Fortified Conc. (ng/L)</b>	<b>Mean % Recovery</b>	<b>% RSD</b>
PFBS	16.0	91.6	3.8	80.0	91.9	7.1
PFHxA	16.0	92.0	5.5	80.0	99.3	4.0
HFPO-DA	16.0	88.6	1.3	80.0	102	2.2
PFHpA	16.0	95.5	3.6	80.0	101	3.3
PFHxS	16.0	99.1	2.5	80.0	102	0.9
ADONA	16.0	95.5	2.9	80.0	102	3.5
PFOA	16.0	97.9	5.2	80.0	98.8	3.9
PFOS	16.0	93.5	5.9	80.0	101	2.4
PFNA	16.0	96.4	3.4	80.0	101	2.8
9Cl-PF3ONS	16.0	93.1	4.6	80.0	102	3.3
PFDA	16.0	95.3	1.7	80.0	99.2	3.3
NMeFOSAA	16.0	99.3	7.2	80.0	94.9	4.5
PFUnA	16.0	99.8	1.7	80.0	100	4.1
NEtFOSAA	16.0	93.3	8.0	80.0	90.5	3.9
11Cl-PF3OUdS	16.0	97.6	6.7	80.0	97.5	3.1
PFDoA	16.0	88.0	1.8	80.0	97.0	2.7
PFTTrDA	16.0	94.7	2.5	80.0	95.5	1.8
PFTA	16.0	94.1	5.9	80.0	97.8	3.3
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFHxA	40.0	86.3	2.8	40.0	90.6	4.1
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -HFPO-DA	40.0	92.9	2.4	40.0	101	1.8
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFDA	40.0	89.3	4.3	40.0	95.8	2.2
d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA	160	86.5	5.4	160	83.1	4.4

<sup>a</sup> TOC = 2.4 mg/L and hardness = 103 mg/L measured as calcium carbonate.

**Table 9. Precision and Accuracy (n=4) Of PFAS in Tap Water<sup>a</sup> from a Private Well**

<b>21. Analyte</b>	<b>Fortified Conc. (ng/L)</b>	<b>Mean % Recovery</b>	<b>% RSD</b>
PFBS	80.0	99.7	3.1
PFH <sub>x</sub> A	80.0	96.3	2.7
HFPO-DA	80.0	94.2	4.3
PFHpA	80.0	97.4	1.9
PFH <sub>x</sub> S	80.0	99.4	4.0
ADONA	80.0	98.7	2.8
PFOA	80.0	97.2	1.5
PFOS	80.0	100	1.9
PFNA	80.0	99.4	1.3
9Cl-PF3ONS	80.0	101	2.2
PFDA	80.0	98.7	2.3
NMeFOSAA	80.0	93.2	4.6
PFUnA	80.0	98.8	1.7
NEtFOSAA	80.0	94.4	0.6
11Cl-PF3OUdS	80.0	99.8	2.5
PFD <sub>o</sub> A	80.0	99.3	1.9
PFT <sub>r</sub> DA	80.0	96.2	1.3
PFTA	80.0	97.9	1.2
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFH <sub>x</sub> A	40.0	89.9	2.7
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -HFPO-DA	40.0	95.7	5.3
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFDA	40.0	92.3	1.8
d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA	160	86.3	4.5

<sup>a</sup> TOC = 0.56 mg/L and hardness = 394 mg/L measured as calcium carbonate.

**Table 10. Aqueous Sample Holding Time Data for Tap Water Samples from a Surface Water Source<sup>a</sup>, Fortified with Method Analytes and Preserved and Stored According to Section 8 (n=4)**

Analyte	Fortified Conc. (ng/L)	Day 0 Mean % Recovery	Day 0 % RSD	Day 8 Mean % Recovery	Day 8 % RSD	Day 14 Mean % Recovery	Day 14 % RSD
PFBS	80.0	91.9	7.1	99.4	4.2	93.4	11
PFHxA	80.0	99.3	4.0	101	5.4	93.4	7.9
HFPO-DA	80.0	102	2.2	101	5.3	100	11
PFHpA	80.0	101	3.3	99.2	2.2	101	3.6
PFHxS	80.0	102	0.9	103	4.0	107	4.5
ADONA	80.0	102	3.5	102	4.7	101	4.4
PFOA	80.0	98.8	3.9	99.8	0.63	100	3.5
PFOS	80.0	101	2.4	101	3.6	106	6.8
PFNA	80.0	101	2.8	101	0.87	105	4.8
9Cl-PF3ONS	80.0	102	3.3	100	2.2	102	4.4
PFDA	80.0	99.2	3.3	99.6	1.6	102	5.5
NMeFOSAA	80.0	94.9	4.5	98.0	3.5	95.4	7.3
PFUnA	80.0	100	4.1	101	4.4	100	6.2
NEtFOSAA	80.0	90.5	3.9	102	5.3	96.5	7.7
11Cl-PF3OUdS	80.0	97.5	3.1	101	4.5	102	5.5
PFDoA	80.0	97.0	2.7	98.4	3.5	103	3.8
PFTTrDA	80.0	95.5	1.8	99.5	3.2	99.4	3.8
PFTA	80.0	97.8	3.3	102	3.2	96.2	2.1
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFHxA	40.0	90.6	4.1	93.6	5.5	93.0	8.8
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -HFPO-DA	40.0	101	1.8	101	3.1	91.5	12
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFDA	40.0	95.8	2.2	92.6	6.8	104	2.8
d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA	160	83.1	4.4	87.6	2.6	95.2	4.3

<sup>a</sup> TOC = 2.4 mg/L and hardness = 103 mg/L measured as calcium carbonate.

**Table 11. Extract Holding Time Data for Tap Water Samples from a Surface Water Source, Fortified with Method Analytes and Preserved and Stored According to Section 8 (n=4)**

Analyte	Fortified Conc. (ng/L)	Day 0 Mean % Recovery	Day 0 % RSD	Day 8 Mean % Recovery	Day 8 % RSD	Day 14 Mean % Recovery	Day 14 % RSD	Day 28 Mean % Recovery	Day 28 % RSD
PFBS	80.0	91.9	7.1	96.9	5.1	90.6	10	99.4	5.3
PFHxA	80.0	99.3	4.0	10	1.3	94.1	2.9	105	2.6
HFPO-DA	80.0	102	2.2	103	1.4	98.7	2.6	103	1.1
PFHpA	80.0	101	3.3	102	2.9	98.3	1.0	104	3.5
PFHxS	80.0	102	0.9	105	2.9	99.7	1.8	107	2.5
ADONA	80.0	102	3.5	104	3.7	98.6	2.5	106	2.5
PFOA	80.0	98.8	3.9	106	3.7	101	1.8	106	2.8
PFOS	80.0	101	2.4	102	1.1	103	1.8	109	2.2
PFNA	80.0	101	2.8	105	1.8	103	2.3	107	2.4
9Cl-PF3ONS	80.0	102	3.3	99.4	3.1	97.6	2.9	107	2.2
PFDA	80.0	99.2	3.3	104	1.9	101.2	0.9	107	3.4
NMeFOSAA	80.0	94.9	4.5	101	3.9	90.5	5.2	105	6.8
PFUnA	80.0	100	4.1	104	5.5	102	4.2	106	3.0
NEtFOSAA	80.0	90.5	3.9	104	3.1	93.6	7.7	102	2.9
11Cl-PF3OUdS	80.0	97.5	3.1	103	1.9	97.3	1.6	108	2.7
PFDoA	80.0	97.0	2.7	102	3.7	99.8	3.3	106	2.6
PFTTrDA	80.0	95.5	1.8	102	3.0	97.2	1.6	104	3.1
PFTA	80.0	97.8	3.3	105	4.2	98.8	2.1	108	2.5
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFHxA	40.0	90.6	4.1	101	1.2	101	2.6	114	2.1
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -HFPO-DA	40.0	101	1.8	95.5	3.2	96.5	2.7	111	2.5
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFDA	40.0	95.8	2.2	100	2.7	109	1.9	124	4.4
d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA	160	83.1	4.4	94.7	1.6	91.4	4.8	113	9.1

**Table 12. Initial Demonstration of Capability Quality Control Requirements**

Method Reference	Requirement	Specification and Frequency	Acceptance Criteria
Sect. <a href="#">9.2.2</a>	Initial Demonstration of Low System Background	Analyze LRB prior to any other IDC steps.	Demonstrate that all method analytes are below 1/3 the MRL and that possible interferences from extraction media do not prevent the identification and quantification of method analytes.
Sect. <a href="#">9.2.3</a>	Initial Demonstration of Precision (IDP)	Analyze four to seven replicate LFBs fortified near the midrange calibration concentration.	%RSD must be <20%
Sect. <a href="#">9.2.4</a>	Initial Demonstration of Accuracy (IDA)	Calculate average recovery for replicates used in IDP.	Mean recovery $\pm$ 30% of true value
Sect. <a href="#">9.2.5</a>	Initial Demonstration of Peak Asymmetry Factor	Calculate the peak asymmetry factor using the equation in Section <a href="#">9.3.9</a> for the first two eluting chromatographic peaks in a mid-level CAL standard.	Peak asymmetry factor of 0.8 - 1.5
Sect. <a href="#">9.2.6</a>	Minimum Reporting Limit (MRL) Confirmation	Fortify, extract and analyze seven replicate LFBs at the proposed MRL concentration. Calculate the Mean and the Half Range (HR). Confirm that the upper and lower limits for the Prediction Interval of Result (Upper PIR, and Lower PIR, Sect. <a href="#">9.2.6.2</a> ) meet the recovery criteria.	Upper PIR $\leq$ 150% Lower PIR $\geq$ 50%
Sect. <a href="#">9.2.7</a> and <a href="#">9.3.10</a>	Quality Control Sample (QCS)	Analyze a standard from a second source, as part of IDC.	Results must be within 70-130% of true value.
Sect. <a href="#">9.2.8</a>	Detection Limit (DL) Determination (optional)	Over a period of three days, prepare a minimum of seven replicate LFBs fortified at a concentration estimated to be near the DL. Analyze the replicates through all steps of the analysis. Calculate the DL using the equation in Sect. <a href="#">9.2.8.1</a> .	Data from DL replicates are <u>not required</u> to meet method precision and accuracy criteria. If the DL replicates are fortified at a low enough concentration, it is likely that they will not meet precision and accuracy criteria.

**NOTE:** Table 12 is intended as an abbreviated summary of QC requirements provided as a convenience to the method user. Because the information has been abbreviated to fit the table format, there may be issues that need additional clarification, or areas where important additional information from the method text is needed. In all cases, the full text of the QC in Section [9](#) supersedes any missing or conflicting information in this table.

**Table 13. Ongoing Quality Control Requirements (Summary)**

<b>Method Reference</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Specification and Frequency</b>	<b>Acceptance Criteria</b>
Sect. <a href="#">8.1</a> - Sect. <a href="#">8.5</a>	Sample Holding Time	14 days with appropriate preservation and storage as described in Sections <a href="#">8.1-8.5</a> .	Sample results are valid only if samples are extracted within the sample holding time.
Sect. <a href="#">8.5</a>	Extract Holding Time	28 days when stored at room temperature in polypropylene centrifuge tubes.	Extract results are valid only if extracts are analyzed within the extract holding time.
Sect. <a href="#">9.3.1</a>	Laboratory Reagent Blank (LRB)	One LRB with each extraction batch of up to 20 samples.	Demonstrate that all method analytes are below 1/3 the MRL and confirm that possible interferences do not prevent quantification of method analytes. If targets exceed 1/3 the MRL or if interferences are present, results for these subject analytes in the extraction batch are invalid.
Sect. <a href="#">9.3.3</a>	Laboratory Fortified Blank (LFB)	One LFB is required for each extraction batch of up to 20 Field Samples. Rotate the fortified concentrations between low, medium and high amounts.	Results of LFB analyses must be 70-130% of the true value for each method analyte for all fortified concentrations except the lowest CAL point. Results of the LFBs corresponding to the lowest CAL point for each method analyte must be 50-150% of the true value.
Sect. <a href="#">9.3.4</a>	Internal Standard (IS)	Internal standards, <sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFOA (IS#1), <sup>13</sup> C <sub>4</sub> -PFOS (IS#2), and d <sub>3</sub> -NMeFOSAA (IS#3), are added to all standards and sample extracts, including QC samples. Compare IS areas to the average IS area in the initial calibration and to the most recent CCC.	Peak area counts for all ISs in all injections must be within ± 50% of the average peak area calculated during the initial calibration and 70-140% from the most recent CCC. If ISs do not meet this criterion, corresponding target results are invalid.
Sect. <a href="#">9.3.5</a>	Surrogate Standards (SUR)	Surrogate standards, <sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFHxA, <sup>13</sup> C <sub>3</sub> -HFPO-DA, <sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> -PFDA, and d <sub>5</sub> -NEtFOSAA, are added to all CAL standards and samples, including QC samples. Calculate SUR recoveries.	SUR recoveries must be 70-130% of the true value. If a SUR fails this criterion, report all results for sample as suspect/SUR recovery.



**Table 13. (Continued)**

Method Reference	Requirement	Specification and Frequency	Acceptance Criteria
Sect. <a href="#">9.3.6</a>	Laboratory Fortified Sample Matrix (LFSM)	Analyze one LFSM per extraction batch (20 samples or less) fortified with method analytes at a concentration close to but greater than the native concentration, if known. Calculate LFSM recoveries.	Recoveries at mid and high levels must be within 70-130% and within 50-150% at the low-level fortified amount (near the MRL). If these criteria are not met, results are labeled suspect due to matrix effects.
Sect. <a href="#">9.3.7</a>	Laboratory Fortified Sample Matrix Duplicate (LFSMD) or Field Duplicates (FD)	Extract and analyze at least one FD or LFSMD with each extraction batch (20 samples or less). A LFSMD may be substituted for a FD when the frequency of detects are low. Calculate RPDs.	Method analyte RPDs for the LFMD or FD must be $\leq 30\%$ at mid and high levels of fortification and $\leq 50\%$ near the MRL. If these criteria are not met, results are labeled suspect due to matrix effects.
Sect. <a href="#">9.3.8</a>	Field Reagent Blank (FRB)	Analysis of the FRB is required only if a Field Sample contains a method analyte or analytes at or above the MRL. The FRB is processed, extracted and analyzed in exactly the same manner as a Field Sample.	If the method analyte(s) found in the Field Sample is present in the FRB at a concentration greater than 1/3 the MRL, then all samples collected with that FRB are invalid and must be recollected and reanalyzed.
Sect. <a href="#">9.3.9</a>	Peak Asymmetry Factor	Calculate the peak asymmetry factor for the first two eluting chromatographic peaks in a mid-level CAL standard during IDC and when chromatographic changes are made that affect peak shape.	Peak asymmetry factor of 0.8 - 1.5
Sect. <a href="#">9.3.10</a>	Quality Control Sample (QCS)	Analyze at least quarterly or when preparing new standards, as well as during the IDC.	Results must be within 70-130% of true value.
Sect. <a href="#">10.2</a> and Sect. <a href="#">9.3.2</a>	Initial Calibration	Use IS calibration technique to generate a first or second order calibration curve forced through zero. Use at least five standard concentrations. Check the calibration curve as described in Sect. <a href="#">10.2.4.4</a> .	When each CAL standard is calculated as an unknown using the calibration curve, the analyte and SUR results must be 70-130% of the true value for all except the lowest standard, which must be 50-150% of the true value. Recalibration is recommended if these criteria are not met.
Sect. <a href="#">9.3.2</a> and Sect. <a href="#">10.3</a>	Continuing Calibration Check (CCC)	Verify initial calibration by analyzing a low level (at the MRL or below) CCC prior to analyzing samples. CCCs are then injected after every 10 samples and after the last sample, rotating concentrations to cover the calibrated range of the instrument.	Recovery for each analyte and SUR must be within 70-130% of the true value for all but the lowest level of calibration. Recovery for each analyte in the lowest CAL level CCC must be within 50-150% of the true value and the SUR must be within 70-130% of the true value.

**NOTE:** Table 13 is intended as an abbreviated summary of QC requirements provided as a convenience to the method user. Because the information has been abbreviated to fit the table format, there may be issues that need additional clarification, or areas where important additional information from the method text is needed. In all cases, the full text of the QC in Sections 8-10 supersedes any missing or conflicting information in this table.

Figure 1. Example Chromatogram for Reagent Water Fortified with Method 537.1 Analytes at 80 ng/L. Numbered Peaks are Identified in [Table 3](#)

