United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 EPA-453/R-94-046a July 1994

Air



Medical Waste Incinerators -Background Information for Proposed Standards and Guidelines:

Environmental Impacts Report for New and Existing Facilities



 		•			 	5 I et 1 - 11	*:	iogi e igi e	Sal -1 v. i	. 1.		t. stree
												i
												÷
				•								
											•	
										,		

Medical Waste Incinerators-Background Information for Proposed Standards and Guidelines: Environmental Impacts Report for New and Existing Facilities

July 1994

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Air and Radiation
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

•	
•	

ټ

DISCLAIMER

This report is issued by the Emission Standards Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. It presents technical data of interest to a limited number of readers. Mention of trade names and commercial products is not intended to constitute endorsement or recommendation for use. Copies of this report are available free of charge to Federal employees, current contractors and grantees, and nonprofit organizations--as supplies permit--from the Library Services Office (MD-35), U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711 ([919] 541-2777) or, for a nominal fee, from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161 ([703] 487-4650).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			<u>Page</u>
1.0	NEW I	MWI's	1
	1.1	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	1.
	1.2	AIR POLLUTION IMPACTS	2
		1.2.1 Primary Emissions	2 4
	1.3	WATER POLLUTION IMPACTS	. 5
	,	1.3.1 Wastewater Sources, Volume, and Composition	6 7 9
	1.4	SOLIDS DISPOSAL IMPACTS	11
	•	1.4.1 Medical Waste Incineration Rates	11 11 12
	1.5	ENERGY IMPACTS	13
	1.6	OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	14
2.0	EXIS	STING MWI's	14
	2.1	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	14
	2.2	AIR POLLUTION IMPACTS	15
		2.2.1 Primary Emissions	15 16
	2.3	WATER POLLUTION IMPACTS	16
	2.4	SOLIDS DISPOSAL IMPACTS	17
	2.5	ENERGY IMPACTS	17
	2.6	OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	18
3 0	वयञ्ज	ERENCES	52

LIST OF TABLES

			<u>Page</u>
TABLE	1.	SUMMARY OF MODEL COMBUSTORS FOR NEW MWI MODEL PLANTS	19
TABLE	2.	CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES FOR MEDICAL WASTE INCINERATORS	20
TABLE	3.	POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS FOR NEW MWI's	21
TABLE	4.	ANNUAL PRIMARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT	22
TABLE	5.	ANNUAL NATIONWIDE PRIMARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT	24
TABLE	6.	ANNUAL SECONDARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT	
TABLE	7.	ANNUAL NATIONWIDE SECONDARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT	27
TABLE	8.	ANNUAL AMOUNT OF POLLUTANTS IN WASTEWATER EFFLUENT FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT	28
TABLE	9.	ANNUAL NATIONWIDE AMOUNT OF POLLUTANTS IN WASTEWATER EFFLUENT FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT	29
TABLE	10.	FACILITY B WATER ANALYSIS	30
TABLE	11.	POLLUTANT MASS EMISSION AND DISCHARGE RATES	31
TABLE	12.	COMPOSITION OF CONCENTRATED BRINE	32
TABLE	13.	ANNUAL AMOUNT OF ASH GENERATED BY EACH NEW MODEL PLANT WITH FABRIC FILTER SYSTEMS WITH AND WITHOUT CARBON INJECTION	33
TABLE	14.	ANNUAL NATIONWIDE AMOUNT OF ASH GENERATED BY EACH NEW MODEL PLANT WITH FABRIC FILTER SYSTEMS WITH AND WITHOUT CARBON INJECTION	34
TABLE	15.	FACILITY A BAGHOUSE ASH ANALYSIS	35
TABLE	16.	FACILITY A METALS CONCENTRATION OF LIME	35
TABLE	17.	TCLP RULE ALLOWABLE POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS IN LEACHATE	35

LIST OF TABLES

٠.			<u>Page</u>
TABLE	18.	ANNUAL ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO OPERATE CONTROL EQUIPMENT FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT	36
TABLE	19.	ANNUAL NATIONWIDE ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO OPERATE CONTROL EQUIPMENT FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT	
TABLE	20.	SUMMARY OF MODEL COMBUSTORS FOR EXISTING MWI MODEL PLANTS	38
TABLE	21.	POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS FOR EXISTING MWI's	39
TABLE	22.	ANNUAL PRIMARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT	40
TABLE	23.	ANNUAL NATIONWIDE PRIMARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT	42
TABLE	24.	ANNUAL SECONDARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT	44
TABLE	25.	ANNUAL NATIONWIDE SECONDARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT	45
TABLE	26.	ANNUAL AMOUNT OF POLLUTANTS IN WASTEWATER EFFLUENT FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT	46
TABLE	27.	ANNUAL NATIONWIDE AMOUNT OF POLLUTANTS IN WASTEWATER EFFLUENT FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT	47
TABLE	28.	ANNUAL AMOUNT OF ASH GENERATED BY EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT WITH FABRIC FILTER SYSTEMS WITH AND WITHOUT CARBON INJECTION	48
TABLE	29.	ANNUAL NATIONWIDE AMOUNT OF ASH GENERATED BY EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT WITH FABRIC FILTER WITH AND WITHOUT CARBON INJECTION	49
TABLE	30.	ANNUAL ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO OPERATE CONTROL EQUIPMENT FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT	50
TABLE	31.	ANNUAL NATIONWIDE ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO OPERATE CONTROL EQUIPMENT FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT	51

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS REPORT

This report is one of a series of reports prepared to support the development of standards of performance for new medical waste incinerators (MWI's) and guidelines for States to develop specific emission standards for existing MWI's. The other reports in the series provide background information on the medical waste incineration industry and on the process description, the emission control technologies, the emission control costs, and the model plants for the medical waste incineration process.

This report presents the environmental impacts associated with the control technologies for controlling emissions from MWI's. The incremental increase or decrease in air pollution, water pollution, solid waste generation, and energy consumption for each control technology relative to baseline is discussed. All impacts are based on representative model plant parameters presented in the Model Plant Description and Cost Report for New and Existing Facilities, on control technologies presented in the Control Technology Performance Report for New and Existing Facilities, and on baseline emissions and controlled emissions defined in the Average Emission Rates for MWI's memorandum. 1-3 These impacts are evaluated in Sections 1.0 and 2.0 for model plants representing new and existing MWI's, respectively.

1.0 NEW MWI's

1.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Seven model combustors were developed to represent new MWI's. These specific combustors represent the most common types of combustor design and the most typical charging capacities. They include two continuous, three intermittent, one batch, and one pathological model combustors with 1-second (sec) gas residence times in the secondary chamber. Table 1 summarizes the new model combustor population, size, type, application, and operating parameters. The most common type of auxiliary fuel used, natural gas, has been specified for all of the model combustors.

Table 2 summarizes the control technologies, both combustion and add-on, that are combined with the selected model combustors to comprise the new model plants that are evaluated. The first control technology examined is 1-sec combustion control, which is considered baseline for new MWI's. Baseline reflects the level of emissions in the absence of any Federal regulations for new The second control technology examined is 2-sec combustion control with no add-on control device. The remaining control technologies examined are based on combinations of 2-sec combustion control and an add-on control device from either wet systems or fabric filter systems (with or without activated carbon injection). Wet systems include a venturi scrubber (VS) alone or in combination with a packed bed absorber (PB). Fabric filter systems include a fabric filter/packed bed absorber (FF/PB), a dry injection/fabric filter (DI/FF), and a spray dryer/fabric filter (SD/FF).

1.2 AIR POLLUTION IMPACTS

This section describes the primary and secondary air pollution impacts associated with each control technology for new MWI's. Section 1.2.1 presents estimates of annual primary emissions and performance for each control device. Section 1.2.2 presents estimates of annual secondary emissions and the sources and impacts of these emissions.

1.2.1 Primary Emissions

The primary pollutants to be evaluated are total particulate matter (PM), carbon monoxide (CO), chlorinated dioxins and furans (CDD/CDF), hydrogen chloride (HCl), sulfur dioxide (SO $_2$), nitrogen oxides (NO $_x$), lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), and mercury (Hg). Table 3 presents the pollutant concentrations for new MWI's at baseline conditions and for each control technology. The 1-sec baseline concentrations for these pollutants are based on emission test data from an MWI with 1-sec combustion control. The pollutant concentration estimates for the 2-sec combustion control technology are based on incinerator outlet test data from one MWI with 2-sec combustion control. Generally, combustion

control reduces PM, CDD/CDF, and CO emissions but does not reduce the emissions of any other pollutants.

The concentration estimates for the remaining control technologies are based on emission test data from MWI's with add-on control devices and on achievable emission levels associated with each device. The wet system concentration estimates are based on achievable emission levels associated with the VS/PB control device.

Table 4 presents estimates of the annual primary emissions in tons per year (ton/yr) for each new model plant. Table 5 presents the annual nationwide estimates of these emissions based on an estimated number of new model plants. Control device performances used to determine the pollutant emissions are presented in the following paragraphs. The performances are presented relative to 2-sec combustion control because the add-on control technologies evaluated include 2-sec combustion control.

For new continuous, intermittent, and pathological MWI's, the PM emission reduction for wet systems is based on a 50 percent removal efficiency associated with a VS/PB. Wet systems are not expected to achieve 50 percent removal of PM emissions from batch MWI's because inlet PM emissions from these units are very low. As a result, the lowest concentration believed to be achievable with wet systems (0.015 grains per dry standard cubic foot [gr/dscf] was used to determine the PM emissions from batch MWI's.

For all types of MWI's, the PM emission reduction for the fabric filter systems is based on the constant PM outlet level achievable with those systems. Based on particle sizing conducted during emission tests, emissions of PM smaller than 10 microns (PM_{10}) are approximately 83 percent of PM emissions, and the reductions are the same as those of PM.

Carbon monoxide emissions, which are affected by combustion practices, are reduced by 95 percent from baseline (1-sec combustion control) under 2-sec combustion control for all model types. No further reduction is achieved by any of the add-on control devices.

Dioxin and furan emissions are also affected by combustion practices; however, unlike CO emissions, CDD/CDF emissions can be reduced further by add-on control devices. Those reductions are based on the following CDD/CDF removal efficiencies: 70 percent for wet systems and 98 percent for DI/FF or SD/FF systems with carbon injection. The DI/FF and SD/FF systems without carbon injection are not effective in reducing CDD/CDF emissions. The FF/PB system without carbon injection may actually generate CDD/CDF. It is not known whether adding carbon to the FF/FB can reduce CDD/CDF emissions to the same level as that achieved by the other fabric filter systems with carbon injection.

Acid gas emissions (i.e., HCl, SO_2 , $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$) are not affected by combustion practices; therefore, no reduction of acid gases is achieved under 2-sec combustion control. Add-on control devices, however, do provide HCl control. The fabric filter systems (with and without activated carbon) and the wet systems reduce HCl emissions by 95 percent. Nitrogen oxide and SO_2 emissions are not affected by any of the control devices.

Metal emissions are also not affected by combustion practices; therefore, no reduction of metal emissions is achieved under 2-sec combustion. However, add-on controls are effective in reducing metal emissions. The wet systems reduce Pb emissions by 45 percent and Cd emissions by 40 percent. The fabric filter systems (with and without activated carbon) reduce Pb emissions by 98 percent and Cd emissions by 96 percent. The DI/FF and SD/FF systems with activated carbon are the only control technologies which have been evaluated that are effective in reducing Hg emissions, with a reduction of 90 percent.

1.2.2 <u>Secondary Emissions</u>

Secondary emissions of air pollutants result from the generation of energy required to operate add-on control devices. Most of the electrical energy is needed (1) to operate the induced draft (ID) fans used to control airflow through the systems and (2) to operate the scrubber water pumps used in wet systems including a VS or VS/PB. The generation of power

required to operate these control devices produces PM, SO_2 , and $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{v}}$ emissions.

Secondary emissions were calculated assuming that the electric power needed to operate the add-on control devices is supplied by a coal-fired power plant. (Electricity requirements for each model plant are discussed in Section 1.5.) The thermal efficiency of this generator is estimated to be 38 percent. The average heat content of bituminous coal is approximately 12,600 British thermal units per pound (Btu/lb). Also for this analysis, the emission rates in pounds per ton (lb/ton) of coal combusted from controlled facilities are estimated to be 0.76 lb/ton for PM, 15.13 lb/ton for SO₂, and 15.13 lb/ton for NO_x.6

The annual secondary emissions in ton/yr for each new model plant are presented in Table 6. The annual nationwide secondary emissions, which are based on an estimated number of new model plants, are presented in Table 7. For all model plants, the wet systems have the most significant impact on secondary emissions because the VS/PB system on which their impacts are based consumes more energy than any of the fabric filter systems.

The magnitude of the secondary pollutants generated by operating any of the control devices is much smaller than the magnitude of pollutants being recovered. For example, by installing wet systems on all new 1,500 lb/hr continuous MWI's, approximately 280 tons of secondary pollutants would be emitted annually nationwide, while HCl emissions alone would be reduced by approximately 5,600 ton/yr nationwide.

1.3 WATER POLLUTION IMPACTS

This section describes the water pollution impacts of each MWI control technology. Section 1.3.1 describes the sources, volume, and composition of wastewater. Section 1.3.2 describes regulations that apply to wastewater discharges. Section 1.3.3 describes alternative control system designs that minimize or eliminate wastewater discharges.

1.3.1 Wastewater S urces, Volume, and Composition

Wastewater is generated by the wet control systems and by the FF/PB system. The FF/PB system discharges wastewater from the mist eliminator in the packed bed. The concentrations of pollutants (metals) in the wastewater are expected to be low because the pollutants are removed by the fabric filter. In the VS/PB wet control system, an alkaline (usually caustic) solution is circulated through the quench, venturi, and packed bed. Typically, the solution is pumped from a common sump to all of the components. Some of the liquid evaporates in the quench, which cools and saturates the exhaust gas stream. In the venturi, droplets collect particulate and condensed metals by impaction and diffusion. Acid gases are absorbed by the circulating solution, primarily in the packed bed, and react with the dissolved caustic to produce soluble salts.

Evaporation of water in the quench increases the concentration of dissolved and suspended solids in the circulating solution. This process eventually causes the dissolved salts to reach their solubility limit and begin to precipitate. It also increases the concentration of suspended solids, which increases the amount of abrasion and erosion of the venturi and other control system components. To maintain the concentrations of dissolved and suspended solids at acceptable levels, a small amount of the recirculating solution is withdrawn and discharged. This wastewater discharge is called the system blowdown.

Four VS/PB vendors provided blowdown rates for a range of facility sizes. A summary of the data is presented in the Model Plant Description and Cost Report for New and Existing Facilities. Annual wastewater discharges and the amount of pollutants contained in the wastewater were estimated for each of the new model plants, and the results are presented in Table 8. The annual wastewater discharges are based on estimated blowdown rates, and the amount of pollutants in the wastewater is based on the removal efficiency associated with the VS/PB system. All pollutants removed from the gas stream are assumed to be

transferred to the wastewater. Table 9 presents the nationwide amount of pollutants contained in the wastewater for each new model plant.

In the VS/PB control system, PM, organics, and metals are transferred directly to the wastewater; most of the acid gases are converted to sodium salts (or calcium salts, if lime is used as the alkaline reagent).

The wastewater from one VS/PB system that is used to control emissions from an MWI was analyzed for eight metals. Salt concentrations were not analyzed, but they were estimated based on an estimated blowdown rate, the acid gas removal efficiency in the VS/PB, and the inlet and outlet gas flow rates. The blowdown rate is equal to the makeup rate minus the amount of evaporated water. The makeup rate was measured during the test, and the amount of water evaporated in the system was estimated based on the inlet and outlet gas flow rates and moisture levels. The actual metal and estimated salt concentrations are presented in Table 10.

Mass balances were performed that show the amount of most metals discharged to the wastewater to be less than or equal to the amount removed from the gas stream. The metal discharge rates were determined based on the estimated blowdown rate and the concentrations presented in Table 10. The amounts removed from the gas were estimated based on the inlet and outlet gas flow rates, oxygen concentrations, and metal concentrations. The mass emission and discharge rates and the percentage differences are shown in Table 11.

1.3.2 <u>Wastewater Regulations</u>⁷

A wet system, such as a VS/PB control device, transfers certain pollutants (CDD/CDF and metals) from the stack gas to scrubber water. Typically, the scrubber water is discharged to the publicly owned treatment works (POTW's). All wastewater from MWI control systems that is discharged to POTW's must meet the General Pretreatment Regulations set forth in 40 CFR Part 403. These regulations contain both general and specific prohibitions. The general prohibitions stipulate that users may not introduce

into a POTW any pollutant(s) that cause "pass through" or "interference." "Pass through" means a discharge from the POTW that causes a violation of the POTW's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. "Interference" means a discharge that inhibits or disrupts (1) the operation of the POTW such that the NPDES permit is violated or (2) the use or disposal of the sludge that is generated by the POTW.

The specific prohibitions would not be violated by the CDD/CDF or metal discharges that might occur from MWI control systems. There are also several categorical (industry-specific) pretreatment standards, one of which applies to hospital discharges. However, the hospital pretreatment standard only addresses biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total suspended solids (TSS), and pH; none of these parameters is affected by CDD/CDF or metal discharges that might occur from MWI control systems.

Under 40 CFR Part 403, each POTW is charged with developing effluent limits to implement the general and specific prohibitions. These limits are subject to approval by the appropriate "Approval Authority"--either the Director in States with an approved NPDES permit program or the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Regional Administrator in other States. Since effluent limits are set by individual POTW's, the limits vary among POTW's, depending on such factors as the type of treatment system, the nature of the effluent from other discharges, and the local conditions.

Although effluent limits among POTW's nationwide vary significantly, only a few POTW's are known to have imposed limits that MWI facilities have not been able to meet. The wastewater discharges from MWI's that are known not to have met POTW-imposed limits contain metal concentrations above POTW standards. Currently, no POTW's are known to have imposed regulations for CDD/CDF on wastewater discharges from MWI's. The MWI's that have not met POTW limits have been required to install pretreatment facilities. These pretreatment facilities remove the offending contaminants before they are discharged to the sewer system.

1.3.3 <u>Alternative Wet Scrubber Designs That Minimize Wastewater</u> <u>Discharges</u>

At least three wet scrubber vendors have developed control systems that minimize or eliminate wastewater discharges. Descriptions of the design and operation of these systems are presented in the following sections.

- 1.3.3.1 Filter System. 8 One vendor manufactures two wet control systems that are designed to have no liquid discharge. The design differences between the two control systems are in the equipment to reduce emissions into the air. The procedure for eliminating blowdown is the same for both systems. systems, liquid used in the venturi is circulated from a sump that is separate from the sparger/neutralization system. water is added to the sump, and a slip stream from the sump is pumped to the sparger section to replenish evaporative losses. As the water evaporates in the sparger, the concentration of dissolved salts and suspended solids increases. After reaching the solubility limit, further concentration of the dissolved salts in the liquid causes them to precipitate. Suspended solids naturally settle in the tank. The sparger/neutralization tank is designed such that precipitating salts and settling suspended solids are directed to a drain from which they are pumped (as a wet sludge) to a filtering system. The solids are then removed on a slowly moving disposable filter medium, and the filtrate is pumped back to the sparger.
- 1.3.3.2 Spray dryer. One vendor manufactures a VS/PB control system that uses a spray dryer to eliminate liquid discharges. This control system consists of a spray dryer, condensing heat exchanger (optional) or quench, venturi, absorber, ID fan, and stack. Blowdown from the neutralization system is pumped to the spray dryer, where it is injected and dried by the hot incinerator exhaust gas. A cyclone collector is incorporated in the bottom section of the dryer so that solids are removed from the gas stream before they are discharged to the condensing heat exchanger and scrubbing system.

1.3.3.3 <u>Concentrated brine</u>. One vendor manufactures a wet scrubber control system that minimizes liquid discharges by generating a concentrated brine solution. A number of options are available to remove certain suspended and dissolved solids from the brine or to eliminate all liquid discharges. The control system consists of a quench vessel (on systems without a waste heat recovery boiler [WHRB]), a prespray tower, a rotary atomizer, a mist eliminator, a liquid recirculation system, an ID fan, and a stack.

The liquid recirculation system is designed with three circulating liquid loops, with the liquid being staged in a countercurrent direction relative to the gas flow. The cleanest circulating water and makeup water enters the mist eliminator and rotary atomizer section. Overflow from the tank in this loop is piped to the second stage, which circulates liquid through the prespray tower. Some of the liquid in this stage is diverted to the third stage, which circulates liquid through the quench. As water evaporates in the quench, the concentration of dissolved solids increases. When the concentration is about 15 percent, a blowdown stream is activated. The blowdown rate is about 0.5 percent of the total scrubber recirculating flow.

The blowdown brine solution can be treated by a process that, the manufacturer claims, produces a nonleaching filter cake and a "clean" brine. In the treatment process, colloidal clay, sodium hydroxide, and sodium sulfide are mixed with the brine solution from the control system. The mixture is filtered, and the heavy metals, flyash (suspended solids), and organics are removed into the filter cake. The filtrate is a "clean" brine, which reportedly can be sent to a sanitary sewer or evaporated to dryness. The vendor provided the data in Table 12, which shows the composition of the brine before and after treatment. The filter cake is a nonhazardous waste that can be transported to a sanitary landfill for disposal. 10

1.4 SOLIDS DISPOSAL IMPACTS

This section describes the solid waste impacts for new MWI's. Section 1.4.1 describes the quantity of waste that is incinerated in new MWI's. Section 1.4.2 describes the types, quantities, and composition of ash captured by fabric filter control devices. Section 1.4.3 describes existing regulations that apply to solid waste disposal.

1.4.1 Medical Waste Incineration Rates

The nationwide quantity of medical waste incinerated in new MWI's is a function of the number of new MWI's and their waste charging rates, operating hours per day, and operating days per year. These parameters are presented in the Model Plant Description and Cost Report. The resulting annual waste incineration rates for individual model MWI plants are shown in Table 13. The annual nationwide incineration rates for all model plants are shown in Table 14, and the total is 465,000 tons/yr. These rates are unaffected by the type of air pollution control device that is used.

1.4.2 Fabric Filter Ash

Fabric filter ash is generated by all of the control systems which use a fabric filter to control PM emissions. When the exhaust gas stream from the incinerator is drawn through the fabric filter, particle emissions are retained on the fabric The cleaned gas passes through to the atmosphere. collected particles are then removed from the filter by a cleaning mechanism, and the removed particles are stored for proper disposal. Fabric filters are typically combined with another type of control device that is effective in reducing acid In the FF/PB system, the acid gases are gas emissions. neutralized with alkaline scrubber liquid and removed by the packed bed into the wastewater. In the DI/FF system, dry scrubbers use an alkaline sorbent, such as lime, to react with and neutralize the acid gases. The reaction product is a dry salt, which can be collected with the unreacted sorbent by the fabric filter. In the SD/FF system, a wet alkaline slurry is atomized into the gas stream in the spray dryer, where the slurry droplets absorb and react with the acid gases. The droplets evaporate to dryness prior to collection by the fabric filter. All of the pollutants that are removed by the DI/FF and SD/FF systems (PM, metals, acid gas-base reaction products, and organics) are collected by the fabric filter.

Activated carbon can be injected into the fabric filter systems to control Hg and CDD/CDF emissions. The amount of carbon injected is based on carbon concentrations used in controlled emission tests at MWI facilities A and M. All of the carbon injected, as well as all of the unreacted sorbent and all of the acid gas-base reaction products (i.e., CaCl₂) are assumed to be removed by the fabric filter.

Increased annual solid waste generation rates for each of the new model plants were estimated based on the removal efficiencies associated with the fabric filter systems and on the types of pollutants collected by the fabric filter. The results are presented in Table 13. Table 14 presents the annual nationwide amount of fabric filter ash generated for each new model plant.

The fabric filter ash from the test at Facility A, which uses a DI/FF system, was analyzed for organics and eight metals; these results are presented in Table 15. The lime used at this facility was also analyzed for eight metals; these results are presented in Table 16.

1.4.3 Solid Waste Regulations

The possibility that fabric filter ash could be considered hazardous must be addressed when investigating the impacts of fabric filter systems. Under the <u>Toxicity Characteristic</u>

<u>Leaching Procedure</u> (TCLP) Rule, facilities are required to determine if the fabric filter ash is considered hazardous, either by testing the waste or by providing information that will exclude them from complying with this regulation. The TCLP rule was promulgated on September 25, 1990, for small generators (100 to 1,000 kilograms [kg] of waste per month) and on March 29, 1991, for large generators (>1,000 kg/month). The sludge generated by wet control systems with no wastewater discharges is

also covered under the TCLP rule. In the TCLP test the leachate concentrations for contaminants listed under Subpart C--Characteristics of Hazardous Waste, Toxicity Characteristic (Section 261.24) are measured. If the concentrations exceed the regulatory level stated in Table 1 of that section, the ash or sludge, including subsequent mixtures containing the ash or sludge, is considered hazardous. This waste is subject to the Land Disposal Restrictions in 40 CFR Part 268. Under these restrictions, the waste is prohibited from land disposal unless it is treated using technology specified in Section 268.40. For those metals anticipated to be present in the ash or sludge, the maximum concentrations allowable under Section 261.24 are presented in Table 17.

Most facilities mix the flyash with the bottom ash and consider the mixture nonhazardous. It is not known whether the flyash is tested prior to mixing with the bottom ash. 11-15 One company that operates commercial facilities has determined that the material captured in the fabric filter typically tests as hazardous in the TCLP test due to the presence of Pb. 16 One hospital also indicated that the material collected in the fabric filter is hazardous because the Pb content in the lime is high. 11 1.5 ENERGY IMPACTS

Additional auxiliary fuel is required for combustion controls, and additional electrical energy is required to operate the add-on control devices. Under 2-sec combustion, the additional auxiliary fuel is used to maintain the secondary chamber temperature at 1800°F (100°F higher than baseline) for all model plants during the preheat, burn, and burndown phases and for batch and intermittent model plants during the cooldown phase. The same amount of auxiliary fuel is also required for the remaining control technologies, since they include 2-sec combustion at 1800°F and have no additional auxiliary fuel requirements. Electrical energy is used primarily to operate the ID fan in all of the control devices and the recirculating liquid pumps in the wet control systems. The additional flue gas flow

rate associated with the additional auxiliary fuel use is assumed to be negligible.

Table 18 shows the baseline electrical and auxiliary fuel requirements for each of the new model combustors and the requirements for the control technologies that are applied to those combustors. Table 19 presents the annual nationwide energy requirements for each new model plant. As mentioned in Section 1.2.2, the VS/PB (used to calculate impacts for the wet systems) consumes the most electricity.

1.6 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Other potential environmental impacts include noise impacts. For all MWI size categories, 2-sec combustion control will have no effect on noise levels. The remaining control technologies might present some incremental increase in noise levels depending on the type of control device used. Add-on control devices require additional equipment (larger ID fans to overcome pressure drops, and pumps) that will increase noise levels. These noise impacts, however, are expected to be insignificant.

2.0 EXISTING MWI's

2.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Seven model combustors were developed to represent existing MWI's. These specific combustors represent the most common types of combustor design and the most typical charging capacities. They include one continuous, three intermittent, one batch, and one pathological model combustors with 0.25-sec gas residence times in the secondary chamber, and one continuous model combustor with a 1-sec gas residence time in the secondary chamber. Table 20 summarizes the model combustor population, size, type, application, and operating parameters. As with new MWI's, the most common type of auxiliary fuel used, natural gas, has been specified for all of the model combustors.

Baseline reflects the level of emissions in the absence of any Federal guidelines for existing MWI's. The baseline is 0.25-sec combustion control for all existing model combustors except for the 1,500 lb/hr continuous unit. The baseline for this model is 1-sec combustion control. Table 2 summarizes the

control technologies, both combustion and add-on, that are combined with the selected model combustors to comprise the existing model plants that are evaluated.

2.2 AIR POLLUTION IMPACTS

This section describes the primary and secondary air pollution impacts associated with each control technology for existing MWI's. Section 2.2.1 presents estimates of annual primary emissions and performance for each control device. Section 2.2.2 presents estimates of annual secondary emissions and the sources and impacts of these emissions.

2.2.1 Primary Emissions

The primary pollutants to be evaluated are the same as those for new MWI's that were presented in Section 1.2.1. Table 21 presents the pollutant concentrations for existing MWI's at baseline conditions and for each control technology. The concentrations for these pollutants under 0.25-sec and 1-sec combustion control are based on emission test data from MWI's with 0.25-sec and 1-sec combustion control, respectively. The pollutant concentration estimates for the 2-sec combustion control technology are based on incinerator outlet test data from one MWI with 2-sec combustion control. Generally, combustion control reduces PM, CDD/CDF, and CO emissions but does not reduce the emissions of any other pollutants.

The concentration estimates for the remaining control technologies are based on emission test data from MWI's with add-on control devices and on achievable emission levels associated with each device. As with new MWI's, the concentration estimates for wet systems installed on existing MWI's are based on achievable emission levels associated with the VS/PB control device.

Table 22 presents estimates of the annual primary emissions in ton/yr for each existing model plant. Table 23 presents the annual nationwide estimates of these emissions based on an estimated number of existing model plants. The pollutant removal efficiencies and achievable outlet levels associated with the control technologies for existing MWI's are the same as those

associated with the 1-sec baseline and control technologies for new MWI's and were discussed in Section 1.2.1.

2.2.2 <u>Secondary Emissions</u>

Secondary emissions of air pollutants result from the generation of energy required to operate add-on control devices. The assumptions used in calculating these emissions were presented in Section 1.2.2. Based on these assumptions, the annual secondary emissions in ton/yr for each existing model plant are presented in Table 24, and the annual nationwide secondary emissions, which are based on an estimated number of existing model plants, are presented in Table 25. For all model plants, the wet systems have the most significant impact on secondary emissions because the VS/PB system on which their impacts are based consumes more energy than any of the fabric filter systems.

The magnitude of the secondary pollutants generated by operating any of the control devices is much smaller than the magnitude of pollutants being recovered. For example, by installing wet systems on all existing 1,500 lb/hr continuous MWI's, approximately 570 tons of secondary pollutants would be emitted annually nationwide, while HCl emissions alone would be reduced by approximately 11,000 ton/yr nationwide.

2.3 WATER POLLUTION IMPACTS

This section describes the water pollution impacts of each MWI control technology. The sources and composition of the wastewater discharges from existing MWI's are the same as those described in Section 1.3.1 for new MWI's. Regulations that apply to wastewater discharges and alternative control system designs that minimize or eliminate wastewater discharges are the same as those for new MWI's and were previously discussed in Sections 1.3.2 and 1.3.3, respectively.

Based on assumptions made in Section 1.3.1 for new MWI's, the annual wastewater discharges and the amount of pollutants contained in the wastewater were estimated for each of the existing model plants, and the results are presented in Table 26. The annual wastewater discharges are based on estimated blowdown

rates, and the amount of pollutants in the wastewater is based on the removal efficiency associated with the VS/PB system. All pollutants removed from the gas stream are assumed to be transferred to the wastewater. Table 27 presents the nationwide amount of pollutants contained in the wastewater for each existing model plant.

2.4 SOLIDS DISPOSAL IMPACTS

This section describes the solid waste impacts for existing MWI's. The types and composition of the ash captured by the fabric filter control devices are the same as those discussed in Section 1.4.2 for new MWI's. Existing regulations that apply to solid waste disposal are the same as those for new MWI's and were previously discussed in Section 1.4.3.

The medical waste incineration rates for individual existing MWI model plants are the same as those for new MWI model plants; these rates are shown in Table 28. The annual nationwide incineration rates for all existing models are shown in Table 29, and the total is 1.8 million tons/yr. This quantity is unaffected by the type of air pollution control device that is used.

The increased annual solid waste generation rates for each of the existing model plants were estimated based on the removal efficiencies associated with the fabric filter systems and on the types of pollutants collected by the fabric filter. The results are presented in Table 28. Table 29 presents the annual nationwide amount of fabric filter ash generated for each existing model plant.

2.5 ENERGY IMPACTS

As discussed in Section 1.5 for new MWI's, additional auxiliary fuel is required for combustion controls, and additional electrical energy is required to operate the add-on control devices. Table 30 shows the baseline electrical and auxiliary fuel requirements for each of the existing model combustors and the requirements for the control technologies that are applied to those combustors. Table 31 presents the annual nationwide energy requirements for each existing model plant.

The additional fuel usage over baseline for each existing model plant is the same as that for each corresponding new model plant for all of the control technologies. The additional electricity usage is most significant for the VS/PB representing wet systems, which requires both ID fans and liquid pumps.

2.6 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Other potential environmental impacts for existing units are the same as those described in Section 1.6 for new MWI's.

SUMMARY OF MODEL COMBUSTORS FOR NEW MWI MODEL PLANTS TABLE 1.

			Secondary	Dyhonet goe	٠.	Oneroting norometers		
	Model design		Citation gas	Evilansi gas	do	dating paramet	o Io	A.m. Hankla
MWI type	capacity, lb/hr or batch	No. of units ^a	residence time,	flow rate, dscfm ^b	Total, hr/d	d/yr	hr/yr ^c	Applicable industries
Continuous	1,500	77	-	4,747	24	324	7,760 C ^d	Cq.
Continuous	1,000	09	1	3,165	11.5	324	3,564 H,L ^e	H,L ^e
Intermittent	1,500	20	1	4,747	14	312	4,212	4,212 H,N,L,V ^f
Intermittent	009	95	1	1,899	14	312	4,212	4,212 H,N,L,V
Intermittent	200	280	1	633	12	312	3,588	3,588 H,N,L,V
Batch	200	165	-	455	22.5	160	3,520 H	Н
Pathological	200	5		730	10	312	2,964	2,964 H,N,L,V

^aBetween 1991 and 1995.

^bActual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O₂.

^cIncludes charging and burndown hours but not preheat hours for the intermittent and continuous units. Preheat hours are estimated to be 0.5 hr at the beginning of each cycle. For the continuous units it is assumed that there are 26 cycles per year. Also includes cooldown hours for the batch and intermittent units. Cooldown hours are estimated to be 10 hr/d for batch units and 2 hr/d for intermittent units.

dCode represents commercial facilities.

^eCode represents hospitals and laboratories.

^fCodes represent hospitals, nursing homes, laboratories, and veterinary hospitals.

TABLE 2. CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES FOR MEDICAL WASTE INCINERATORS

1-sec combustion control (1700°F) No add-on control device 2-sec combustion control (1800°F) No add-on control device 2-sec combustion control (1800°F) Wet control systems 2-sec combustion control (1800°F) FF/PB 2-sec combustion control (1800°F) DI/FF 2-sec combustion control (1800°F) SD/FF 2-sec combustion control (1800°F) FF/PB (with activated carbon injection) 2-sec combustion control (1800°F) DI/FF (with activated carbon injection) 2-sec combustion control (1800°F) SD/FF (with activated carbon injection)

FF/PB = Fabric filter/packed bed absorber.

DI/FF = Dry injection/fabric filter.

SD/FF = Spray dryer/fabric filter.

TABLE 3. POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS FOR NEW MWI'S

	TADDE 3.		FORTIOTES COM	COLVERNITATION	- 1		1		
			<u>.</u>	Pollutant concentrations at 7 percent O_2	entrations at	7 percent O ₂			
	PM,	co,	CDD/CDF,	HCL,	so ₂ ,	, X _{ON}	Pb,	Ċd,	Hg,
	gr/dscf	ppmdv	ng/dscm	ppmdv	vbmdd	vbmdd	μg/dscm	μg/dscm	μg/dscm
	•		Continuous and Intermittent MWI's	Intermittent N	AWI's			-	
Raseline	0.16	300	4,500	1,460	16	140	4,100	300	3,100
2-sec combustion	0.10	16	440	1,460	. 16	140	4,100	300	3,100
Wet systems	0.05	16	132	74	16	140	2,255	180	3,100
FF/PB no carbon	0.01	16	3,482	74	16	140	82	12	3,100
DI/FF no carbon	0.01	16	440	74	16	140	82	12	3,100
SD/FF no carbon	0.01	16	88	74	16	140	82	12	3,100
FF systems with carbon ^a	0.01	16	6	74	16	140	82	12	310
			Batch	Batch MWI's					,
Raceline	0.044	200	6.392	700	70	98	3,200	120	2,300
2-sec combistion	0.027	10	625	200	70	98	3,200	120	2,300
Wet systems	0.015	01	188	10	20	98	1,760	72	2,300
FF/PB no carbon	0.01	10	4,948	10	20	98	64	8	2,300
DI/FF no carbon	0.01	10	625	10	20	98	64	5	2,300
SD/FF no carbon	0.01	10	124	10	20	98	64	જ	2,300
FF systems with carbon ^a	0.01	10	13	2	20	98	64	5	230
,		,	Patholog	Pathological MWI's					
Baseline	0.024	48	184	120	110	350	380	100	20
2-sec combustion	0.015	7	18	120	110	320	380	90	20
Wet systems	0.015	7	25	9	110	350	210	9	20
FF/PB no carbon	0.01	7	143	9	110	350	∞	4	20
DI/FF no carbon	0.01	7	18	9	110	350	∞	4	20
SD/FF no carbon	0.01	7	4	9	110	350	∞	4	20
FF systems with carbona	0.01	7	0.36	9	110	320	8	4	5

^aThe performance of FF/PB systems with carbon is unknown.

TABLE 4. ANNUAL PRIMARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT

Parameters\model combustors	Continuo	us models	Inter	mittent mod	iels	Batch model	Path. mode
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a)	1,500 4,747	1,000 3,165	1,500 4,747	600 1,899	200 633	500 455	200 730
Operating hours, hr/yr	7,760	3,564	4,212	4,212	3,588	3,520	2,964
Pollutant, ton/yr							
	ł					· ·	ľ
РМ						·	
1-sec (baseline)	12.63	3.87	6.86	2.74	0.78	0.15	0.21
2-sec Wet systems	7.89	2.42	4.28	1.71	0.49	9.3E-02	0.21
FF/PB no carbon	3.95	1.21	2.14	0.86	0.24	5.1E-02	0.10
DI/FF no carbon	0.79 0.79	0.24 0.24	0.43	0.17	4.9E-02	3.4E-02	4.6E-02
SD/FF no carbon	0.79	0.24	0.43 0.43	0.17 0.17	4.9E-02 4.9E-02	3.4E-02	4.6E-02
FF systems with carbon (b)	0.79	0.24	0.43	0.17	4.9E-02 4.9E-02	3.4E-02 3.4E-02	4.6E-02 4.6E-02
co							,
1-sec (baseline)	12.05	3.69	6.54	2.62	0.74	0.35	0.11
2-sec	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-03
Wet systems	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-03
FF/PB no carbon	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-03
DI/FF no carbon	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-03
SD/FF no carbon	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-03
FF systems with carbon (b)	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-03
CDD/CDF	1 1	İ	1				
1-sec (baseline)	1.6E-04	4.8E-05	8.4E-05	3.4E-05	9.6E-06	9.6E-06	3.7E-07
2-sec	1.5E-05	4.6E-06	8.2E-06	3.3E-06	9.4E-07	9.4E-07	3.7E-08
Wet systems	4.6E-06	1.4E-06	2.5E-06	9.9E-07	2.8E-07	2.8E-07	1.1E-08
FF/PB no carbon	1.2E-04	3.7E-05	6.5E-05	2.6E-05	7.4E-06	7.4E-06	2.9E-07
DI/FF no carbon	1.5E-05	4.6E-06	8.2E-06	3.3E-06	9.4E-07	9.4E-07	3.7E-08
SD/FF no carbon FF systems with carbon (b), (c)	1.5E-05 3.0E-07	4.6E-06 9.3E-08	8.2E-06 1.6E-07	3.3E-06 6.6E-08	9.4E-07 1.9E-08	9.4E-07 1.9E-08	3.7E-08
	5.02.07	J.52-40	1.02-07	0.02-00	1.72-00	1.75-00	7.3E-10
HCI	i i						
1-sec (baseline)	76.33	23.37	41.43	16.57	4.71	0.45	0.37
2-sec Wet systems	76.33	23.37	41.43	16.57	4.71	0.45	0.37
FF/PB no carbon	3.82	1.17	2.07	0.83	0.24	2.3E-02	1.8E-02
DI/FF no carbon	3.82 3.82	1.17 1.17	2.07	0.83	0.24	2.3E-02	1.8E-02
SD/FF no carbon	3.82	1.17	2.07	0.83 0.83	0.24 0.24	2.3E-02	1.8E-02
FF systems with carbon (b)	3.82	1.17	2.07	0.83	0.24	2.3E-02 2.3E-02	1.8E-02 1.8E-02
SO2	•						
1-sec (baseline)	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.59
2-sec	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	
Wet systems	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.59 0.59
FF/PB no carbon	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.59
DI/FF no carbon	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.59
SD/FF no carbon	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.59
FF systems with carbon (b)	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.59
NOx		,	1			İ	
1-sec (baseline)	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
2-sec	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
Wet systems	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
FF/PB no carbon	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
DI/FF no carbon	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
SD/FF no carbon	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
FF systems with carbon (b)	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36

TABLE 4. (continued)

Parameters/model combustors	Continuou	s models	Intern	nittent mode	els	Batch model	Path. mode
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr	1,500 4,747 7,760	1,000 3,165 3,564	1,500 4,747 4,212	600 1,899 4,212	200 633 3,588	500 455 3,520	200 730 2,964
Pollutant, ton/yr	- 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Pb		·			• •		
	0.14	4.3E-02	7.7E-02	3.1E-02	8.7E-03	4.8E-03	7.7E-04
1-sec (baseline)	0.14	4.3E-02 4.3E-02	7.7E-02	3.1E-02	8.7E-03	4.8E-03	7.7E-0
2-sec	7.8E-02	2.4E-02	4.2E-02	1.7E-02	4.8E-03	2.6E-03	4.2E-0
Wet systems FF/PB no carbon	2.8E-03	8.7E-04	1.5E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	9.6E-05	1.5E-0
DI/FF no carbon	2.8E-03	8.7E-04	1.5E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	9.6E-05	
SD/FF no carbon	2.8E-03	8.7E-04	1.5E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	9.6E-05	
FF systems with carbon (b)	2.8E-03	8.7E-04	1.5E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	9.6E-05	1.5E-0
Cd	1						
1-sec (baseline)	1.0E-02	3.2E-03	5.6E-03	2.2E-03	6.4E-04	1.8E-04	
2-sec	1.0E-02	3.2E-03	5.6E-03	2.2E-03	6.4E-04	1.8E-04	2.0E-0
Wet systems	6.2E-03	1.9E-03	3.4E-03	1.3E-03	3.8E-04	1.1E-04	1.2E-(
FF/PB no carbon	4.1E-04	1.3E-04	2.2E-04	9.0E-05	2.6E-05	7.2E-06	
DI/FF no carbon	4.1E-04	1.3E-04	2.2E-04	9.0E-05	2.6E-05		
SD/FF no carbon	4.1E-04	1.3E-04	2.2E-04	9.0E-05	2.6E-05	7.2E-06	8.1E-4
FF systems with carbon (b)	4.1E-04	1.3E-04	2.2E-04	9.0E-05	2.6É-05	7.2E-06	8.1E-0
Hg							
1-sec (baseline)	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03		
2-sec	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03		
Wet systems	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03	1	
FF/PB no carbon	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03		
DI/FF no carbon	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03	1	
SD/FF no carbon	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03	1	1 -
FF systems with carbon (b)	1.1E-02	3.3E-03	5.8E-03	2.3E-03	6.6E-04	3.4E-04	1.0E-

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

⁽b) Activated carbon concentration is 338 mg/dscm (0.0000211 lb/dscf) for the DI/FF and 188 mg/dscm (0.0000117 lb/dscf) for the SD/FF, based on emission test data from Facility A, which uses a DI/FF, and Facility M, which uses an SD/FF.

⁽c) The performance of the FF/PB with activated carbon injection in reducing CDD/CDF is unknown.

TABLE 5. ANNUAL NATIONWIDE PRIMARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT

Parameters\model combustors	Continuo	us models	Inter	mittent mod	els	Batch model	Path. mode
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dacfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Number of plants	1,500 4,747 7,760 77	1,000 3,165 3,564 60	1,500 4,747 4,212 20	600 1,899 4,212 95	200 633 3,588 280	500 455 3,520 165	200 730 2,964 5
Pollutant, ton/yr				<i>-</i>			
PM							
1-sec (baseline)	972.49	232.05	137.10	260.53	218.04	24.46	1.04
2-sec	607.81	145.03	85.69	162.83	136.27	15.29	1.04
Wet systems	303.90	72.51	42.85	81.41	68.14	8.49	0.5
FF/PB no carbon	60.78	14.50	8.57	16.28	13.63	5.66	0.2
DI/FF no carbon	60.78	14.50	8.57	16.28	13.63	5.66	0.2
SD/FF no carbon FF systems with carbon (b)	60.78 60.78	14.50 14.50	8.57 8.57	16.28 16.28	13.63 13.63	5.66 5.66	0.2 0.2
	W.75	14.50	657	10.25	13.03	3.00	0.2
CO 1-sec (baseline)	927.79	221.38	130.80	248.55	208.01	57.63	0.50
2-sec	46.39	11.07	6.54	12.43	10.40	2.88	2.8E-0
Wet systems	46.39	11.07	6.54	12.43	10.40	2.88	2.8E-0
FF/PB no carbon	46.39	11.07	6.54	12.43	10.40	2.88	2.8E-0
DI/FF no carbon	46.39	11.07	6.54	12.43	10.40	2.88	2.8E-0
SD/FF no carbon FF systems with carbon (b)	46.39	11.07	6.54	12.43	10.40	2.88	2.8E-02
rr systems with carbon (b)	46.39	11.07	6.54	12.43	10.40	2.88	2.8E-07
CDD/CDF			[
1-sec (baseline) 2-sec	1.2E-02 1.2E-03	2.9E-03	1.7E-03	3.2E-03	2.7E-03	1.6E-03	1.9E-0
Wet systems	3.5E-04	2.8E-04 8.4E-05	1.6E-04 4.9E-05	3.1E-04 9.4E-05	2.6E-04 7.9E-05	1.5E-04 4.6E-05	1.8E-0
FF/PB no carbon	9.3E-03	2.2E-03	1.3E-03	2.5E-03	2.1E-03	1.2E-03	5.5E-00 1.4E-00
DI/FF no carbon	1.2E-03	2.8E-04	1.6E-04	3.1E-04	2.6E-04	1.5E-04	1.8E-0
SD/FF no carbon	1.2E-03	2.8E-04	1.6E-04	3.1E-04	2.6E-04	1.5E-04	1.8E-0
FF systems with carbon (b), (c)	2.3E-05	5.6E-06	3.3E-06	6.3E-06	5.2E-06	3.1E-06	3.7E-09
на			İ				
1-sec (baseline)	5,877.41	1,402.42	828.61	1,574.53	1,317.74	75.01	1.84
2-ecc	5,877.41	1,402.42	828.61	1,574.53	1,317.74	75.01	1.84
Wet systems	293.87	70.12	41.43	78.73	65.89	3.75	9.2E-02
FF/PB no carbon DI/FF no carbon	293.87	70.12	41.43	78.73	65.89	3.75	9.2E-07
SD/FF no carbon	293.87 293.87	70.12	41.43	78.73	65.89	3.75	9.2E-02
FF systems with carbon (b)	293.87	70.12 70.12	41.43 41.43	78.73 78.73	65.89 65.89	3.75 3.75	9.2E-02 9.2E-02
SO2							
1-sec (baseline)	196.11	25.32	14.96	28.43	23.79	13.18	2.97
2-sec	106.11	25.32	14.96	28.43	23.79	13.18	2.97
Wet systems	106.11	25.32	14.96	28.43	23.79	13.18	2.97
FF/PB no carbon	106.11	25.32	14.96	28.43	23.79	13.18	2.97
DI/FF no carbon	106.11	25.32	14.96	28.43	23.79	13.18	2.97
SD/FF no carbon	106.11	25.32	14.96	28.43	23.79	13.18	2.97
FF systems with carbon (b)	106.11	25.32	14.96	28.43	23.79	13.18	2.97
NOx	[l				
1-sec (baseline)	711.21	169.70	100.27	190.53	159.46	40.23	6.78
2-esc	711.21	169.70	100.27	190.53	159.46	40.23	6.78
Wet systems FF/PB no carbon	711.21	169.70	100.27	190.53	159.46	40.23	6.78
DVFF no carbon	711.21	169.70	100.27	190.53	159.46	40.23	6.78
SD/FF no carbon	711.21	169.70	100.27	190.53	159.46	40.23	6.78
FF systems with carbon (b)	711.21 711.21	169.70 169.70	100.27 100.27	190.53 190.53	159.46 159.46	40.23 40.23	6.78 6.78

TABLE 5. (continued)

Parameters\model combustors	Continuo	ıs models	Inter	mittent mod	els	Batch model	Path. mode
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Number of plants	1,500 4,747 7,760 77	1,000 3,165 3,564 60	1,500 4,747 4,212 20	600 1,899 4,212 95	200 633 3,588 280	500 455 3,520 165	200 730 2,964 5
Pollutant, ton/yr			7				
Рь		-					
1-sec (baseline)	10.89	2.60	1.54	2.92	2.44	0.79	3.8E-03
2-sec	10.89	2.60	1.54	2.92	2.44	0.79	3.8E-03
Wet systems	5.99	1.43	0.84	1.60	1.34	0.44	2.1E-03
FF/PB no carbon	0.22	5.2E-02	3.1E-02	5.8E-02	4.9E-02	1.6E-02	7.7E-05
DI/FF no carbon	0.22	5.2E-02	3.1E-02	5.8E-02	4.9E-02	1.6E-02	7.7E-05
SD/FF no carbon	0.22	5.2E-02	3.1E-02	5.8E-02	4.9E-02	1.6E-02	7.7E-05
FF systems with carbon (b)	0.22	5.2E-02	3.1E-02	5.8E-02	4.9E-02	1.6E-02	7.7E-05
Cd							
1-sec (baseline)	0.80	0.19	0.11	0.21	0.18	3.0E-02	1.0E-03
2-sec	0.80	0.19	0.11	0.21	0.18	3.0E-02	1.0E-03
Wet systems	0.48	0.11	6.7E-02	0.13	0.11	1.8E-02	6.1E-04
FF/PB no carbon	3.2E-02	7.6E-03	4.5E-03	8.5E-03	7.1E-03	1.2E-03	4.1E-05
DI/FF no carbon	3.2E-02	7.6E-03	4.5E-03	8.5E-03	7.1E-03	1.2E-03	4.1E-05
SD/FF no carbon	3.2E-02	7.6E-03	4.5E-03	8.5E-03	7.1E-03	1.2E-03	4.1E-05
FF systems with carbon (b)	3.2E-02	7.6E-03	4.5E-03	8.5E-03	7.1E-03	1.2E-03	4.1E-05
Hg					•		
1-sec (baseline)	8.23	1.96	1.16	2.21	1.85	0.57	
2-sec	8.23	1.96	1.16	2.21	1.85	0.57	5.1E-04
Wet systems	8.23	1.96	1.16	2.21	1.85	0.57	5.1E-04
FF/PB no carbon	8.23	1.96	1.16	2.21	1.85	0.57	
DI/FF no carbon	8.23	1.96	1.16	2.21	1.85	0.57	
SD/FF no carbon	8.23	1.96	1.16	2.21	1.85	0.57	1
FF systems with carbon (b)	. 0.82	0.20	0.12	0.22	0.18	5.7E-02	5.1E-0

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

⁽b) Activated carbon concentration is 338 mg/dscm (0.0000211 lb/dscf) for the DI/FF and 188 mg/dscm (0.0000117 lb/dscf) for the SD/FF, based on emission test data from Facility A, which uses a DI/FF, and Facility M, which uses an SD/FF.

⁽c) The performance of the FF/PB with activated carbon injection in reducing CDD/CDF is unknown.

TABLE 6. ANNUAL SECONDARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT

Parameters\model combustors	Continuo	us models	Intern	mittent mod	cis	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr	1,500 4,747 7,776	1,000 3,165 3,726	1,500 4,747 4,368	600 1,899 4,368	200 633 3,744	500 455 3,600	200 730 3,120
Pollutant, ton/yr					·		,
PM			,				
Baseline	0	o	0	0	0	l 0	0
2-sec combustion	0	o	0	0	0	0	0
Wet systems	9.0E-02	2.9E-02	5.0E-02	2.1E-02	7.2E-03	5.5E-03	6.7E-03
FF/PB (b)	4.7E-02	1.6E-02	2.7E-02	1.2E-02	4.1E-03	3.1E-03	3.8E-03
DI/FF (b)	3.2E-02	1.1E-02	1.8E-02	8.2E-03	3.2E-03	2.6E-03	2.9E-03
SD/FF(b)	3.2E-02	1.1E-02	1.8E-02	8.2E-03	3.2E-03	2.6E-03	2.9E-03
SO2			~				
Baseline	0	0	o	0	. 0	0	0
2-sec combustion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wet systems	1.79	0.58	1.01	0.43	0.14	0.11	0.13
FF/PB (b)	0.95	0.31	0.53	0.23	8.2E-02	6.3E-02	7.5E-02
DI/FF (b)	0.64	0.21	0.36	0.16	6.4E-02	5.2E-02	5.8E-02
SD/FF (b)	0,64	0.21	0.36	0.16	6.4E-02	5.2E-02	5.8E-02
NOx							
Baseline	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2-sec combustion	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wet systems	1.79	0.58	1.01	0.43	0.14	0.11	0.13
FF/PB (b)	0.95	0.31	0.53	0.23	8.2E-02	6.3E-02	7.5E-02
DI/FF (b) SD/FF (b)	0.64 0.64	0.21 0.21	0.36 0.36	0.16 0.16	6.4E-02 6.4E-02	5.2E-02 5.2E-02	5.8E-02 5.8E-02

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.
(b) Values apply to the system both with and without activated carbon injection.

ANNUAL NATIONWIDE SECONDARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH TABLE 7. NEW MODEL PLANT

Parameters\model combustors	Continuo	us models	Interm	ittent mode	ls	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Number of plants	1,500 4,747 7,776 77	1,000 3,165 3,726 60	1,500 4,747 4,368 20	600 1,899 4,368 95	200 633 3744 280	500 455 3,600 165	200 730 3,120 5
Pollutant, ton/yr				·			
PM	. [Ì	:			
Baseline 2-sec combustion Wet systems FF/PB (b) DI/FF (b) SD/FF (b)	0 0 6.89 3.65 2.48 2.48	0 0 1.75 0.93 0.64 0.64	0 0 1.01 . 0.53 0.36 0.36	0 0 2.03 1.09 0.77 0.77	0 2.03 1.14 0.90 0.90	0 0 0.90 0.52 0.43 0.43	0 0 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.01
SO2				ا	0	0	0
Baseline 2-sec combustion Wet systems FF/PB (b) DI/FF (b) SD/FF (b)	0 0 138 73 50 50	0 0 35 19 13	0 0 20 11 7 7	0 0 41 22 15	0 41 23 18 18	0 18 10 8.5 8.5	0.67 0.38 0.29 0.29
NOx Baseline 2-sec combustion Wet systems FF/PB (b) DI/FF (b) SD/FF (b)	0 0 138 73 50 50	0 0 35 19 13	0 0 20 11 7 7	0 0 41 22 15	0 0 41 23 18	0 0 18 10 8.5 8.5	0.6° 0.33 0.29

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.
(b) Values apply to the system both with and without activated carbon injection.

TABLE 8. ANNUAL AMOUNT OF POLLUTANTS IN WASTEWATER EFFLUENT FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT

Parameters/model combustors	Continuo	us models		ntermittent mod	els <u>,</u>	Batch model	Path, model
Capacity, lb/hr Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Venturi blowdown, gal/yr Pollutars, ton/yr	1,500 4,747 7,760 1.7E+06	1,000 3,165 3,564 5.1E+05	1,500 4,747 4,212 9.0E+05	600 1,899 4,212 3.6E+05	200 633 3,588 1.0E+05	500 455 3,520 7.2E+04	200 730 2,964 9.7E+04
Wet systems CDD/CDF Pb Cd	1.1E-05 6.4E-02 4.1E-03	3.3E-06 1.9E-02 1.3E-03	5.8E-06 3.5E-02 2.2E-03	2.3E-06 1.4E-02 9.0E-04	6.6E-07 3.9E-03 2.6E-04	6.6E-07 2.2E-03 7.2E-05	2.6E-08 3.5E-04 8.1E-05

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

TABLE 9. ANNUAL NATIONWIDE AMOUNT OF POLLUTANTS IN WASTEWATER EFFLUENT FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT

Parameters'model combustors	Continuous	models	<u> </u>	ermittent models	<u> </u>	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Number of plants Venturi blowdown, gal/yr	1,500	1,000	1,500	600	200	500	200
	4,747	3,165	4,747	1,899	633	455	730
	7,760	3,564	4,212	4,212	3,588	3,520	2,964
	77	60	20	95	280	165	5
	1.3E+08	3.0E+07	1.8E+07	3.4E+07	2.9E+07	1.2E+07	4,9E+05
Pollutant, ton/vr Wet systems CDD/CDF Pb Cd	8.2E-04	2.0E-04	1.2E-04	2.2E-04	1.8E-04	I.1E-04	1.3E-0
	4.90	1.17	0.69	1.31	1.10	0.36	1.7E-0
	0.32	7.6E-02	4.5E-02	8.5E-02	7.1E-02	1.2E-02	4.1E-0

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2

TABLE 10. FACILITY B WATER ANALYSIS

	Pollutant concentration, $\mu g/g$				
Pollutant	Blowdown	Makeup			
Arsenic	0.066	<0.005			
Cadmium	0.718	<0.005			
Chromium	0.0856	<0.01			
Iron	2.98	<0.06			
Lead	6.511	<0.03			
Manganese	0.1522	<0.0068			
Mercury	0.0354	<0.0002			
Nickel	0.0017	<0.01			
Total metals	10.55	<0.127			
Total CDD	n/A ^a	N/A			
Total CDF	N/A	N/A			
Estimated NaCl	18,000 ^b	N/A			

aNot available.

bConcentration was estimated based on the assumptions that the blowdown is 6 gal/min, the density of the wastewater is 8.33 lb/gal, and the concentration in the makeup water is zero. It is also based on the test data which show the inlet HCl concentration is 1,258 ppmdv at 7 percent O₂, essentially all of the HCl is removed, and the exhaust gas flow rate is 4,714 dscfm. The blowdown rate is equal to the makeup water minus the amount of water evaporated. The makeup rate was 10 gal/min during the test. The amount of water evaporated was estimated to be 4 gal/min based on the inlet and outlet gas flow rate (4,714 dscfm and 5,509 dscfm, respectively) and moisture concentrations (9.34 percent and 17.9 percent, respectively).

TABLE 11. POLLUTANT MASS EMISSION AND DISCHARGE RATES

Pollutant	Amount removed from gas stream, µg/min	Amount discharged in blowdown, µg/min	Percentage difference, percent ^a
Arsenic	1,470	1,500	-2
Cadmium	12,900	16,300	-26
Chromium	2,140	1,940	9.0
Iron	68,500	67,600	0.9
Lead	121,000	148,000	-22
Manganese	11,100	3,450	69
Mercury	4,030	800	80
Nickel	920	40	96
Total metals	221,000	239,000	-8

apercentage difference is calculated as 100 x (amount removed from gas stream-amount discharged in blowdown)/(amount removed from gas stream).

TABLE 12. COMPOSITION OF CONCENTRATED BRINE

	Pollutant concentration		
Pollutant, units	Before treatment	After treatment	
Suspended solids, mg/L	>2,000	<10	
Oil and grease, mg/L	>1,000	<10	
рн	6.0	8.6	
Dissolved heavy metals, units	,		
Copper, ppm	3.5	0.18	
Zinc, ppm	450	0.13	
Lead, ppm	53	<1	
Cadmium, ppm	N/A	0.38	
Chromium, ppm	0.7	0.46	

TABLE 13. ANNUAL AMOUNT OF WASTE BURNED AND FLY ASH GENERATED BY EACH NEW MODEL PLANT WITH FABRIC FILTER SYSTEMS WITH AND WITHOUT CARBON INJECTION

Parameters\model combustors	Continuou	ıs models	Intern	nittent model	S	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr	1,500 4,747 7,760	1,000 3,165 3,564	1,500 4,747 4,212	600 1,899 4,212	200 633 3,588	500 455 3,520	200 730 2,964
Waste incinerated, ton/yr	3,907	977	1,176	470	115	27	172
Pollutant capture per APCD, ton/yr							
DI/FF			l			2	
PM	7.10	2.18	3.86	1.54	0.44	5.8E-02	0.16
CDD/CDF	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
CaCl2	110.48	33.83	59.97	23.99	6.81	0.66	0.53
Рь	0.14	4.2E-02	7.5E-02	3.0E-02	8.5E-03	4.7E-03	7.5E-04
Cd	9.9E-03	3.0E-03	5.4E-03	2.2E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	1.9E-04
Hg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unreacted lime (no SO2 removal)	119.96	36.73	65.11	26.05	7.40	0.71	0.58
Total fabric filter ash no carbon	238	72.8	129	51.6	14.7	1.44	1.28
Addition of carbon		!					1.05
carbon (b)	23.31	7.14	12.65	5.06	1.44	1.01	1.37
CDD/CDF	1.5E-05	4.6E-06	8.1E-06	3.2E-06	9.2E-07	9.2E-07	3.6E-08 9.1E-05
Hg	9.6E-02	2.9E-02	5.2E-02	2.1E-02	5.9E-03	3.1E-03 2.45	9.1E-03 2.64
Total fabric filter ash with carbon	261.10	79.95	141.72	56.69	16.10	2.43	2.04
FF/PB		.	Ì				
PM	7.10	2.18	3.86	1.54	0.44	5.8E-02	0.16
CDD/CDF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pb	0.14	4.2E-02	7.5E-02	3.0E-02	8.5E-03	4.7E-03	7.5E-04
Cd	9.9E-03	3.0E-03	5.4E-03	2.2E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	1.9E-04
Hg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total fabric filter ash no carbon	7.25	2.22	3.94	1.57	0.45	6.3E-02	0.16
Addition of carbon	1 1		· j				
carbon (b)	23.31	7.14	12.65	5.06	1.44	1.01	1.37
CDD/CDF	1.2E-04	3.7E-05	6.5E-05	2.6E-05	7.4E-06	7.4E-06	2.9E-07
Hg	9.6E-02	2.9E-02	5.2E-02	2.1E-02	5.9E-03	3.1E-03	9.1E-05
Total fabric filter ash with carbon	30.66	9.39	16.64	6.66	1.89	1.08	1.53
SD/FF							
PM	7.10	2.18	3.86	1.54	0.44	5.8E-02	0.16
CDD/CDF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CaCl2	110.48	33.83	59.97	23.99	6.81	0.66	0.53
Pb	0.14	4.2E-02	7.5E-02	3.0E-02	8.5E-03	4.7E-03	7.5E-04
Cd	9.9E-03	3.0E-03	5.4E-03	2.2E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	1.9E-04
Hg	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0
Unreacted lime (no SO2 removal)	119.96	36.73	65.11	26.05	7.40	0.71	0.58
Total fabric filter ash no carbon	237.69	72.79	129.02	51.61	14.66	1.44	1.28
Addition of carbon							
carbon (c)	12.98	3.97	7.04	2.82	0.80	0.56	0.76
CDD/CDF (additional)	1.5E-05	4.6E-06	8.1E-06	3.2E-06	9.2E-07	9.2E-07	3.6E-08
Hg	9.6E-02	2.9E-02	5.2E-02	2.1E-02	5.9E-03	3.1E-03	9.1E-05
Total fabric filter ash with carbon	250.77	76.79	136.11	54.45	15.46	2.00	2.04

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

⁽b) An activated carbon concentration of 338 mg/dscm (0.0000211 lb/dscf) is used for the DI/FF and FF/PB, based on emission test data from Facility A, which uses a DI/FF.

⁽c) An activated carbon concentration of 188 mg/dscm (0.0000117 lb/dscf) is used for the SD/FF, based on emission test data from Facility M, which uses an SD/FF.

TABLE 14. ANNUAL NATIONWIDE AMOUNT OF WASTE BURNED AND FLY ASH GENERATED BY EACH NEW MODEL PLANT WITH FABRIC FILTER SYSTEMS WITH AND WITHOUT CARBON INJECTION

Parameters/model combustors	Continuo	us models	In	termitt ent m o	dels	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Number of plants	1,500 4,747 7,760 77	1,000 3,165 3,564 60	1,500 4,747 4,212 20	600 1,899 4,212 95	200 633 3,588 280	500 455 3,520 165	200 730 2,964 5
Waste incinerated, ton/yr	300,839	58,620	23,520	44,650	32,200	4,455	860
Pollutant capture per APCD, ton/yr DI/FF							
PM CDD/CDF	547.03	130.53	77.12	146.55	122.65	9.63	0.81
CaCl2	8,507.03	2,029.87	1,199.34	2.278.99	1,907.31	108.57	2.67
Pb	10.67	2.55	1.50	2.86	2.39	0.78	3.8E-03
Ca	0.76	0.18	0.11	0.20	0.17	2.9E-02	9.7E-04
Hg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unreacted lime (no SO2 removal)	9,236.91	2,204.03	1,302.24	2,474.53	2,070.95	117.89	2.90
Total fabric filter ash no carbon Addition of carbon	18,302.40	4,367.16	2,580.32	4,903.13	4,103.46	236.89	6.38
carbon (b)	1,794.70	428.24	253.02	480.79	402.38	167.21	6.85
CDD/CDF	1.1E-03	2.7E-04	1.6E-04	3.1E-04	2.6E-04	1.5E-04	1.8E-07
Hg Total fabric filter ash with carbon	7.41 20,104.51	1.77 4,797.16	1.04 2,834.39	1.99 5,385.91	1.66 4,507.50	0.51 404.61	4.6E-04 13.22
		·					
FF/PB PM	547.03	130.53	77.12	146.55	122.65	9.63	0.81
CDD/CDF	347.03	130.33	77.12	140.33	122.03	9.03	0.81
Pb	10.67	2.55	1.50	2.86	2.39	0.78	3.8E-03
Ca	0.76	0.18	0.11	0.20	0.17	2.9E-02	9.7E-04
Hg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total fabric filter ash no carbon Addition of carbon	558.46	133.26	78.73	149.61	125.21	10.43	0.82
carbon (b)	1,794.70	428.24	253.02	480.79	402.38	167.21	6.85
CDD/CDF	9.2E-03	2.2E-03	1.3E-03	2.5E-03	2.1E-03	1.2E-03	1.4E-06
Hg Total fabric filter ash with carbon	7.41 2,360.58	1.77 563.26	1.04 332.80	1.99 632.39	1.66 529.25	0.51 178.15	4.6E-04 7.66
rotal faoric linter ash with carbon	2,300.30	303.20	332.60	032.39	349.43	170.13	7.00
SD/FF	, 1						·
PM	547.03	130.53	77.12	146.55	122.65	. 9.63	0.81
CDD/CDF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CaCl2	8,507.03	2,029.87	1,199.34	2,278.99	1,907.31	108.57	2.67
Pb	10.67	2.55	1.50	2.86	2.39	0.78	3.8E-03
Cd Hg	0.76 0	0.18 0	0.11 0	0.20	0.17 0	2.9E-02 0	9.7E-04 0
Unreacted lime (no SO2 removal)	9,236.91	2,204.03	1,302.24	2,474.53	2,070.95	117.89	2.90
Total fabric filter ash no carbon	18,302.40	4,367.16	2,580.32	4,903.13	4,103.46	236.89	6.38
Addition of carbon	10,000,10	1,007.10	2,000.02	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1,200.10	20.07	0.50
carbon (c)	999.27	238.44	140.88	267.70	224.04	93.10	3.81
CDD/CDF (additional)	1.1E-03	2.7E-04	1.6E-04	3.1E-04	2.6E-04	1.5E-04	1.8E-07
Hg	7,41	1.77	. 1.04	1.99	1.66	0.51	4.6E-04
Total fabric filter ash with carbon	19,309.08	4,607.36	2,722.25	5,172.81	4,329.16	330.50	10.19

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

⁽b) An activated carbon concentration of 338 mg/dscm (0.0000211 lb/dscf) is used for the DI/FF and FF/PB, based on emission test data from Facility A, which uses a DI/FF.

⁽c) An activated carbon concentration of 188 mg/dscm (0.0000117 lb/dscf) is used for the SD/FF, based on emission test data from Facility M, which uses an SD/FF.

TABLE 15. FACILITY A BAGHOUSE ASH ANALYSIS

Pollutants, units	Concentration
Total, CDD/CDF, ng/g	29.28
Arsenic, μg/g	12.03
Cadmium, μ g/g	44.43
Chromium, μg/g	23.43
Iron, μg/g	715.71
Lead, μg/g	582.86
Manganese, μg/g	33.71
Mercury, μg/g	5.64
Nickel, μg/g	6.37
Total metals, μ g/g	1,424.29

TABLE 16. FACILITY A METALS CONCENTRATION OF LIME, $\mu g/g$

Pollutants	Concentration
Arsenic	1.8
Cadmium	1.0
Chromium	16.0
Iron	480
Lead	6.1
Manganese	21.0
Mercury	0.03
Nickel	4.5

TABLE 17. TCLP RULE ALLOWABLE POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS IN LEACHATE

001100111111111111111111111111111111111				
Pollutants	Concentration, mg/ℓ			
Arsenic	5.0			
Cadmium	1.0			
Chromium	5.0			
Lead	5.0			
Mercury	0.2			

TABLE 18. ANNUAL ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO OPERATE CONTROL EQUIPMENT FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT

Model plants	Fuel Usage, mmft3/yr	Electricity Usage, Mwh/yr
. Continuous MWI's		
A. 1.500 lb/hr		
a. Baseline	10.3	173.5
b. 2-sec combustion	16.6	173.5
c. Wet systems	16.6	837.8
d. FF/PB (a)	16.6	525.6
* *	16.6	412.2
e. DI/FF (a) f. SD/FF (a)	16.6	412.2
1. 3D/FF (a)	10.0	714.6
B. <u>1.000 lb/hr</u>		
a. Baseline	5.14	65.1
b. 2-sec combustion	7.13	65.1
c. Wet systems	7.13	281.5
d. FF/PB (a)	7.13	180.5
e. DI/FF (a)	7.13	144.6
f. SD/FF (a)	7.13	144.6
II. Intermittent MWI's		
A. 1.500 lb/hr		
a. Baseline	5.86	54.8
b. 2-sec combustion	8.87	74.8 *1.8
	8.87	-
c. Wet systems d. FF/PB (a)	8.87	427.9 252.6
	•	
e. DI/FF (a)	8.87	188.9
f. SD/FF (a)	8.87	188.9
B. 600 lb/hr		
a. Baseline	2.89	25.2
b. 2-sec combustion	4.10	25.2
c. Wet systems	4.10	183.4
d. FF/PB (a)	4.10	110.6
e. DI/FF (a)	4.10	85.7
f. SD/FF (a)	4.10	85.7
C. 200 lb/hr		
a. Baseline	1.40	10.3
	1.40	10.3
b. 2-sec combustion	1.73	
c. Wet systems	1.73	64.0
d. FF/PB (a)	1.73	40.6
e. DI/FF (a)	1.73	34.1
f. SD/FF (a)	1.73	34.1
III. Batch MWI's		
A. 500 lb/batch		
a. Baseline	1.81	2.73
b. 2-sec combustion	1.97	2.73
c. Wet systems	1.97	43.26
d. FF/PB (a)	1.97	26.09
e. DI/FF (a)	1.97	21.85
f. SD/FF (a)	1.97	21.85
IV. Pathological MWI's		1
A. 200 lb/hr	2.65	0 4
a. Baseline	2.65	8.6
b. 2-sec combustion	3.04	8.6
c. Wet systems	3.04	58.55
d. FF/PB (a)	3.04	36.60
e. DI/FF (a)	3.04	30.25
f. SD/FF (a)	3.04	30,25

⁽a) Values apply to the system both with and without activated carbon injection.

TABLE 19. ANNUAL NATIONWIDE ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO OPERATE CONTROL EQUIPMENT FOR EACH NEW MODEL PLANT

Mod	del plants	Number of Facilities	Fuel Usage, mmft3/yr	Electricity	Usage, Mwh/yr
I.	Continuous MWI's				
1.	A. 1,500 lb/hr	77			
	a. Baseline	•••	795		13,360
	b. 2-sec combustion		1,277		13,360
	c. Wet systems		1,277		64,507
	d. FF/PB (a)		1,277		40,472
	e. DI/FF (a)	****	1,277		31,738
	f. SD/FF (a)		1,277		31,738
	B. 1.000 lb/hr	60		•	
	a. Baseline		308	·	3,905
	b. 2-sec combustion		428		3,905
	c. Wet systems	•	428		16,890
	d. FF/PB (a)		428		10,831
	e. DI/FF (a)		428		8,675
	f. SD/FF (a)		428		8,675
II.	Intermittent MWI's				
	A. 1.500 lb/hr	20		•	
	a. Baseline		117		1,096
	b. 2-sec combustion		177		1,096
	c. Wet systems		177		8,559
	d. FF/PB (a)		177		5,052
			177		3,778
	e. DI/FF (a)		177		
	f. SD/FF (a)		177		3,778
	B. 600 lb/hr	95	075		2 20
	a. Baseline		275		2,39
	b. 2-sec combustion		389		2,394
	c. Wet systems		389		17,420
	d. FF/PB (a)		389		10,50
	e. DI/FF (a)		389		8,142
	f. SD/FF (a)		389	•	8,142
	C. 200 lb/hr	280	•		
	a. Baseline		392		2,89
	b. 2-sec combustion		486	· ·	2,89
	c. Wet systems	•	486		17,91
	d. FF/PB (a)		486		11,38
,	e. DI/FF (a)		486		9,56
	f. SD/FF (a)		486		9,56
I.	Batch MWI's				
	A. 500 lb/batch	165			
	a. Baseline		299		45
	b. 2-sec combustion		324		45
	c. Wet systems		324		7,13
	d. FF/PB (a)		324		4,30
	e. DI/FF (a)	•	324	•	3,60
	f. SD/FF (a)		324		3,60
IV.	Pathological MWI's				
	A. 200 lb/hr	5			
	a. Baseline	-	13		4:
	b. 2-sec combustion		15		4
	c. Wet systems		15		29
			15	100	18
	d. FF/PB (a)		15	the second second	15
	e. DI/FF (a)		15		15
	f. SD/FF (a)		13		13

⁽a) Values apply to the system both with and without activated carbon injection.

SUMMARY OF MODEL COMBUSTORS FOR EXISTING MWI MODEL PLANTS TABLE 20.

	Model design capacity,		Secondary chamber gas		Ope	Operating parameters	ers	
MWI type	lb/hr of batch	No. of units	residence time, sec	flow rate, dscfm ^a	Total, hr/d	d/yr	hr/yr ^b	Applicable industries
Continuous	1,500	154	1	4,747	24	324	7,760	၁
Continuous	1,000	182	0.25	3,165	11.5	324	3,564	H,L
Intermittent	1,500	171	0.25	4,747	14	312	4,212	H,N,L,V
Intermittent	009	742	0.25	1,899	14	312	4,212	H,N,L,V
Intermittent	200	2,097	0.25	633	12	312	3,588	H,N,L,V
Batch	200	335	0.25	455	22.5	160	3,520	Н
Pathological	200	1,305	0.25	730	10	312	2,964	H,N,L,V

^aActual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O₂.

^bIncludes charging and burndown hours but not preheat hours for the intermittent and continuous units. Preheat hours are estimated to be 0.5 hr at the beginning of each cycle. For the continuous units it is assumed that there are 26 cycles per year. Also includes cooldown hours for the batch and intermittent units. Cooldown hours are estimated to be 10 hr/d for batch units and 2 hr/d for intermittent units.

POLLIUTANT CONCENTRATIONS FOR EXISTING MMI'S TABLE 21.

TEST		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1						
				Pollutant Cor	Pollutant Concentrations at 7 percent O_2	t 7 percent C	2		
	PM gr/dscf	CO,	CDD/CDF,	HCL,	SO ₂ ,	NO _X ,	Pb, µg/dscm	Cd, µg/dscm	Hg, μg/dscm
			Continuous and	Continuous and Intermittent MWI's	[WI's				
					1,	9,1	901,	906	2 100
Baseline (1/4 sec)	0.3	99/	18,300	1,460	0 ;	140	4,100	200	2,100
1-sec combustion/baseline (1 sec) ^a	0.16	300	4,500	1,460	16	140	4,100	300	3,100
2-sec combustion	0.10	16	440	1,460	16	140	4,100	300	3,100
Wet systems	0.05	16	132	74	16	140	2,255	180	3,100
FE/PB no carbon	0.01	16	3,482	74	16	140	82	12	3,100
DI/FF no carbon	0.01	16	440	74	16	140	82	12	3,100
SD/FF no carbon	0.01	16	440	74	16	140	82	12	3,100
FF systems with carbon ^b	0.01	16	6	74	16	140	82	12	310
			Batc	Batch MWI's					-
		33	700 20			76	3,000	001	2 200
Baseline (1/4 sec)	0.082	400	25,994	007	77	00	0,200	071	2,300
1-sec combustion	0.044	200	6,392	200	20	98	3,200	120	2,300
2-sec combustion	0.027	10	625	200	20	98	3,200	120	2,300
Wet systems	0.015	10	188	10	20	98	1,760	72	2,300
FF/PB no carbon	0.01	10	4,948	10	20	98	64	5	2,300
DI/FF no carbon	0.01	10	625	10	20	98	2	. 5	2,300
SD/FF no carbon	0.01	10	625	10	20	98	2	5	2,300,
FF systems with carbon ^b	0.01	10	13	10	20	86	64	5	230
			Patholo	Pathological MWI's					
Becaline (1/4 cac)	0.045	110	750	120	110	350	380	100	20
1 see combinetion	0.045	48	184	120	110	350	380	100	. 20
2-sec combistion	0.045	2	18	120	110	350	380	100	50
Wet systems	0.023	7	Š	9	110	350	210	99	50
FF/PB no carbon	0.01	7	143	9	110	350	8	4	20
DI/FF no carbon	0.01	2	18	9	110	350	∞	4	20
SD/FF no carbon	0.01	2	18	9	110	350	8	4	20
FF systems with carbon ^b	0.01	2	0.36	9	110	350	8	4	5

^aBaseline applies to the 1,500 lb/hr continuous model plant only. ^bThe performance of FF/PB systems with carbon is unknown.

TABLE 22. ANNUAL PRIMARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT

Parameters\model combustors	Continuo	us models	Intern	mittent mod	els	Batch model	Path. mod
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr	1,500 4,747 7,760	1,000 3,165 3,564	1,500 4,747 4,212	600 1,899 4,212	200 633 3,588	500 455 3,520	200 730 2,964
	,,,,,,	3,504	4,212	7,010	3,300	3,220	2,,,,,,,
Pollutant, ton/yr							
PM		_					
1/4-sec (b)	1	7.25	12.85	5.14	1.46	0.28	0.2
1-sec (c)	12.63	3.87	6.86	2.74	0.78	0.15	0.2
2-sec	7.89	2.42	4.28	1.71	0.49	9.3E-02	0.2
Wet systems	3.95	1.21	2.14	0.86	0.24	5.1E-02	0.:
FF/PB no carbon	0.79	0.24	0.43	0.17	4.9E-02	3.4E-02	4.6E-
DI/FF no carbon	0.79	0.24	0.43	0.17	4.9E-02	3.4E-02	4.6E-
SD/FF no carbon	0.79	0.24	0.43	0.17	4.9E-02	3.4E-02	4.6E-
FF systems with carbon (d)	0.79	0.24	0.43	0.17	4.9E-02	3.4E-02	4.6E-
co						,	
1/4-sec (b)	1 1	8.61	15.26	6.10	1.73	0.81	0.
1-sec (c)	12.05	3.69	6.54	2.62	0.74	0.35	0.
2-sec	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-
Wet systems	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-
FF/PB no carbon	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-
DI/FF no carbon	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-
SD/FF no carbon	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-
FF systems with carbon (d)	0.60	0.18	0.33	0.13	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	5.6E-
CDD/CDF	-		1				
1/4-sec (b)	i i	1.9E-04	3.4E-04	1.4E-04	3.9E-05	3.9E-05	1.5E-
1-sec (c)	1.6E-04	4.8E-05	8.4E-05	3.4E-05	9.6E-06	9.6E-06	3.7E-
2-sec	1.5E-05	4.6E-06	8.2E-06	3.3E-06	9.4E-07	9.4E-07	3.7E-
Wet systems	4.6E-06	1.4E-06	2.5E-06	9.9E-07	2.8E-07	2.8E-07	1.1E-
FF/PB no carbon	1.2E-04	3.7E-05	6.5E-05	2.6E-05	7.4E-06	7.4E-06	2.9E-
DI/FF no carbon	1.5E-05	4.6E-06	8.2E-06	3.3E-06	9.4E-07	9.4E-07	3.7E-
SD/FF no carbon	1.5E-05	4.GE-06	8.2E-06	3.3E-06	9.4E-07	9.4E-07	3.7E-
FF systems with carbon (d), (e)	3.0E-07	9.3E-08	1.6E-07	6.6E-08	1.9E-08	1.9E-08	7.3E-
łCi						·	
1/4-sec (b)	1 1	23.37	41.43	16.57	4.71	0.45	0.
1-sec (c)	76.33	23.37	41.43	16.57	4.71	0.45	o.
2-sec	76.33	23.37	41.43	16.57	4.71	0.45	0. 0.
Wet systems	3.82	1.17	2.07	0.83	0.24	2.3E-02	1.8E-
FF/PB no carbon	3.82	1.17	2.07	0.83	0.24	2.3E-02	1.8E-
DI/FF no carbon	3.82	1.17	2.07	0.83	0.24	2.3E-02	1.8E-
SD/FF no carbon	3.82	1.17	2.07	0.83	0.24	2.3E-02	1.8E-
FF systems with carbon (d)	3.82	1.17	2.07	0.83	0.24	2.3E-02	1.8E-
SO2							
1/4-sec (b)]	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.
1-sec (c)	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.
2-sec	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0. 0.
Wet systems	1.38		0.75	0.30	5		
•		0.42			8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.
FF/PB no carbon	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.
DI/FF no carbon	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.
SD/FF no carbon	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.
FF systems with carbon (d)	1.38	0.42	0.75	0.30	8.5E-02	8.0E-02	0.:

TABLE 22. (continued)

Parameters\model combustors	Continuou	s models	Intern	nittent mode	ls	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr	1,500 4,747 7,760	1,000 3,165 3,564	1,500 4,747 4,212	600 1,899 4,212	200 633 3,588	500 455 3,520	200 730 2,964
Pollutant, ton/yr			T				· ·
NOx							·
1/4-sec (b)	- -	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
1-sec (c)	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
2-sec	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
Wet systems	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
FF/PB no carbon	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
DI/FF no carbon	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
SD/FF no carbon	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
FF systems with carbon (d)	9.24	2.83	5.01	2.01	0.57	0.24	1.36
Pb		İ					
1/4-sec (b)	1 1	4.3E-02	7.7E-02	3.1E-02	8.7E-03	4.8E-03	7.7E-04
1-sec (c)	0.14	4.3E-02	7.7E-02	3.1E-02	8.7E-03	4.8E-03	7.7E-04
2-sec	0.14	4.3E-02	7.7E-02	3.1E-02	8.7E-03	4.8E-03	7.7E-04
Wet systems	7.8E-02	2.4E-02	4.2E-02	1.7E-02	4.8E-03	2.6E-03	4.2E-04
FF/PB no carbon	2.8E-03	8.7E-04	1.5E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	9.6E-05	
DI/FF no carbon	2.8E-03	8.7E-04	1.5E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	9.6E-05	1.5E-05
SD/FF no carbon	2.8E-03	8.7E-04	1.5E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	9.6E-05	
FF systems with carbon (d).	2.8E-03	8.7E-04	1.5E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	9.6E-05	1.5E-05
ca	1.						
1/4-sec (b)	1 1	3.2E-03	5.6E-03	2.2E-03	6.4E-04	1.8E-04	1
1-sec (c)	1.0E-02	3.2E-03	5.6E-03	2.2E-03	6.4E-04	1.8E-04	
2-sec	1.0E-02	3.2E-03	5.6E-03	2.2E-03	6.4E-04	_	
Wet systems	6.2E-03	1.9E-03	3.4E-03	1.3E-03	3.8E-04	1.1E-04	
FF/PB no carbon	4.1E-04	1.3E-04	2.2E-04	9.0E-05	2.6E-05	7.2E-06	1
DI/FF no carbon	4.1E-04	1.3E-04	2.2E-04	9.0E-05	2.6E-05	1	
SD/FF no carbon	4.1E-04	1.3E-04	2.2E-04	9.0E-05	2.6E-05		
FF systems with carbon (d)	4.1E-04	1.3E-04	2.2E-04	9.0E-05	2.6E-05	7.2E-06	8.1E-06
Hg							
1/4-sec (b)		3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03		1
1-sec (c)	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03		ı
2-sec	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03	1	
Wet systems	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03	4 :	
FF/PB no carbon	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03		i .
DI/FF no carbon	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03		1
SD/FF no carbon	0.11	3.3E-02	5.8E-02	2.3E-02	6.6E-03	1	
FF systems with carbon (d)	1.1E-02	3.3E-03	5.8E-03	2.3E-03	6.6E-04	3.412-04	1.05-03

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

⁽b) Baseline for all models except the 1,500 lb/hr continuous model.

⁽c) Baseline for the 1,500 lb/hr continuous model.

⁽d) Activated carbon concentration is 338 mg/dscm (0.0000211 lb/dscf) for the DI/FF and 188 mg/dscm (0.0000117 lb/dscf) for the SD/FF, based on emission test data from Facility A, which uses a DI/FF, and Facility M, which uses an SD/FF.

⁽e) The performance of the FF/PB with activated carbon injection in reducing CDD/CDF is unknown.

TABLE 23. ANNUAL NATIONWIDE PRIMARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT

Parameters/model combustors	Continuo	us models	Inter	mittent mod	els	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Number of plants	1,500 4,747 7,760 154	1,000 3,165 3,564 182	1,500 4,747 4,212 171	600 1,899 4,212 742	200 633 3,588 2,097	500 455 3,520 335	200 730 2,964 1,305
Pollutant, ton/yr							
						,	
PM							
1/4-sec (b)	104400	1,319.77	2,197.95	3,815.33	3,061.75	93.13	272.28
1-sec (c) 2-sec	1,944.98 1,215.61	703.88 439.92	1,172.24 732.65	2,034.84 1,271.78	1,632.93 1,020.58	49.67 31.04	272.28 272.28
Wet systems	607.81	219.96	366.33	635.89	510.29	17.25	136.14
FF/PB no carbon	121.56	43.99	73.27	127.18	102.06	11.50	60.51
DI/FF no carbon	121.56	43.99	73.27	127.18	102.06	11.50	60.51
SD/FF no carbon	121.56	43.99	73.27	127.18	102.06	11.50	60.51
FF systems with carbon (d)	121.56	43.99	73.27	127.18	102.06	11.50	60.51
co							
1/4-sec (b)		1,566.89	2,609.51	4,529,74	3,635.05	273.00	338.66
1-sec (c)	1,855.58	671.52	1,118.36	1,941.32	1,557.88	117.00	145.14
2-sec	92.78	33.58	55.92	97.07	77.89	5.85	7.26
Wet systems	92.78	33.58	55.92	97.07	77.89	5.85	7.26
FF/PB no carbon	92.78	33.58	55.92	97.07	77.89	5.85	7.26
DI/FF no carbon	92.78	33.58	55.92	97.07	77.89	5.85	7.26
SD/FF no carbon	92.78	33.58	55.92	97.07	77.89	5.85	7.26
FF systems with carbon (d)	92.78	33.58	55.92	97.07	77.89	5.85	7.26
CDD/CDF							
1/4-sec (b)		3.5E-02	5.9E-02	0.10	8.2E-02	1.3E-02	2.0E-03
1-sec (c)	2.4E-02	8.7E-03	1.4E-02	2.5E-02	2.0E-02	3.2E-03	4.9E-04
2-sec	2.3E-03	8.5E-04	1.4E-03	2.4E-03	2.0E-03	3.1E-04	4.8E-05
Wet systems	7.0E-04	2.5E-04	4.2E-04	7.3E-04	5.9E-04	9.4E-05	1.4E-05
FF/PB no carbon	1.9E-02	6.7E-03	1.1E-02	1.9E-02	1.6E-02	2.5E-03	3.8E-04
DI/FF no carbon	2.3E-03	8.5E-04	1.4E-03	2.4E-03	2.0E-03	3.1E-04	4.8E-05
SD/FF no carbon	2.3E-03	8.5E-04	1.4E-03	2.4E-03	2.0E-03	3.1E-04	4.8E-05
FF systems with carbon (d), (e)	4.7E-05	1.7E-05	2.8E-05	4.9E-05	3.9E-05	6.3E-06	9.5E-07
нсі							
1/4-sec (b)		4,253.99	7,084.64	12,297.91	9,868.90	152.30	480.90
1-sec (c)	11,754.81	4,253.99	7,084.64	12,297.91	9,868.90	152.30	480.90
2-sec	11,754.81	4,253.99	7,084.64	12,297.91	9,868.90	152.30	480.90
Wet systems FF/PB no carbon	587.74 587.74	212.70 212.70	354.23 354.23	614.90 614.90	493.44	7.61 7.61	24.04
DI/FF no carbon	587.74	212.70	354.23	614.90	493.44 493.44	7.61	24.04 24.04
SD/FF no carbon	587.74	212.70	354.23	614.90	493.44	7.61	24.04
FF systems with carbon (d)	587.74	212.70	354.23	614.90	493.44	7.61	24.04
SO2							
1/4-sec (b)		76.80	127.91	222.03	178.17	26.76	774.65
1-sec (c)	212.22	76.80 76.80	127.91	222.03	178.17	26.76	774.65
2-sec	212.22	76.80	127.91	222.03	178.17	26.76	774.65
Wet systems	212.22	76.80	127.91	222.03	178.17	26.76	774.65
FF/PB no carbon	212.22	76.80	127.91	222.03	178.17	26.76	774.65
DI/FF no carbon	212.22	76.80	127.91	222.03	178.17	26.76	774.65
SD/FF no carbon	212.22	76.80	127.91	222.03	178.17	26.76	774.65
FF systems with carbon (d)	212.22	76.80	127.91	222.03	178.17	26.76	774.65

TABLE 23. (continued)

Parameters\model combustors	Continuou	s models	Intern	mittent mode	els	Batch model	Path, model
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Number of plants	1,500 4,747 7,760 154	1,000 3,165 3,564 182	1,500 4,747 4,212 171	600 1,899 4,212 742	200 633 3,588 2,097	500 455 3,520 335	200 730 2,964 1,305
Pollutant, ton/yr			т				
NOx 1/ 4-se c (b)		514.76	857.29	1.488.13	1,194.21	81.68	1,770.01
1/4-sec (0) 1-sec (c)	1,422,42	514.76	857.29	1,488.13	1,194.21	81.68	1,770.01
2-sec	1,422.42	514.76	857.29	1,488.13	1,194.21	81.68	1,770.01
Wet systems	1,422.42	514.76	857.29	1.488.13	1,194.21	81.68	1,770.01
FF/PB no carbon	1,422.42	514.76	857.29	1,488.13	1,194.21	81.68	1,770.01
DI/FF no carbon	1,422.42	514.76	857.29	1,488.13	1,194.21	81.68	1,770.01
SD/FF no carbon	1,422.42	514.76	857.29	1,488.13	1,194.21	81.68	1,770.01
FF systems with carbon (d)	1,422.42	514.76	857.29	1,488.13	1,194.21	81.68	1,770.01
Pb				Ì			
1/4-sec (b)	ľ	7.88	13.13	22.79	18.29	1.61	1.00
1-sec (c)	21.78	7.88	13.13	22.79	18.29	1.61	1.00
2-sec	21.78	7.88	13.13	22.79	18.29	1.61	1.00
Wet systems	11.98	4.34	7.22	12.53	10.06	0.88	0.55
FF/PB no carbon	0.44	0.16	0.26	0.46	0.37	3.2E-02	2.0E-02
DI/FF no carbon	0.44	0.16	0.26	0.46	0.37	3.2E-02	2.0E-02
SD/FF no carbon	0.44	0.16	0.26	0.46	0.37	3.2E-02	2.0E-02
FF systems with carbon (d)	0.44	0.16	0.26	0.46	0.37	3.2E-02	2.0E-02
Ca		•			, مر		
1/4-sec (b)		0.58	0.96	1.67	1.34	6.0E-02	0.26
1-sec (c)	1.59	0.58	0.96	1.67	1.34	6.0E-02	0.26
2-sec	1.59	0.58	0.96	1.67	1.34	6.0E-02	0.26
Wet systems	0.96	0.35	0.58	1.00	0.80	3.6E-02	0.16
FF/PB no carbon	6.4E-02	2.3E-02	3.8E-02	6.7E-02	5.4E-02	2.4E-03	1.1E-02
DI/FF no carbon	6.4E-02	2.3E-02	3.8E-02	6.7E-02	5.4E-02	2.4E-03	1.1E-02
SD/FF no carbon	6.4E-02	2.3E-02	3.8E-02	6.7E-02	5.4E-02	2.4E-03	1.1E-02
FF systems with carbon (d)	6.4E-02	2.3E-02	3.8E-02	6.7E-02	5.4E-02	2.4E-03	1.1E-02
Hg		[
1/4-sec (b)		5.96	9.93	17.23	13.83	1.16	1
1-sec (c)	16.47	5.96	9.93	17.23	13.83	1.16	
2-sec	16.47	5.96	9.93	17.23	13.83 13.83	1.16 1.16	
Wet systems	16.47	5.96	9.93	17.23		1.16	
FF/PB no carbon	16.47	5.96	9.93 9.93	17.23 17.23	13.83 13.83	1.16	3
DI/FF no carbon	16.47	5.96	9.93	17.23	13.83		1
SD/FF no carbon	16.47	5.96 0.60	0.99	17.23	1.38	0.12	_
FF systems with carbon (d)	1.65	0.00	0.99	1./2	1.38	1 0.12	1.36-02

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

⁽b) Baseline for all models except the 1,500 lb/hr continuous model.

⁽c) Baseline for the 1,500 lb/hr model.

⁽d) Activated carbon concentration is 338 mg/dscm (0.0000211 lb/dscf) for the DI/FF and 188 mg/dscm (0.0000117 lb/dscf) for the SD/FF, based on emission test data from Facility A, which uses a DI/FF, and Facility M, which uses an SD/FF.

⁽e) The performance of the FF/PB with activated carbon injection in reducing CDD/CDF is unknown.

TABLE 24. ANNUAL SECONDARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT

Parameters\model combustors	Continuo	us models	Intern	nittent mode	els	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr or batch	1,500	1,000	1,500	600	200	500	200
Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a)	4,747	3,165	4,747	1,899	633	455	730
Operating hours, hr/yr	7,776	3,726	4,368	4,368	3,744	3,600	3,120
Pollutant, ton/yr						·	
PM							
Baseline (b)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2-sec combustion	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
Wet systems	9.0E-02	2.9E-02	5.0E-02	2.1E-02	7.2E-03	5.5E-03	6.7E-03
FF/PB (c)	4.7E-02	1.6E-02	2.7E-02	1.2E-02	4.1E-03	3.1E-03	3.8E-03
DI/FF (c)	3.2E-02	1.1E-02	1.8E-02	8.2E-03	3.2E-03	2.6E-03	2.9E-03
SD/FF (c)	3.2E-02	1.1E-02	1.8E-02	8.2E-03	3.2E-03	2.6E-03	2.9E-03
SO2				- 1			
Baseline (b)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2-sec combustion	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0
Wet systems	1.79	0.58	1.01	0.43	0.14	0.11	0.13
FF/PB (c)	0.95	0.31	0.53	0.23	8.2E-02	6.3E-02	7.5E-02
DI/FF (c)	0.64	0.21	0.36	0.16	6.4E-02	5.2E-02	5.8E-02
SD/FF (c)	0.64	0.21	0.36	0.16	6.4E-02	5.2E-02	5.8E-02
NOx							
Baseline (b)	o	0	0	0	0	0	0
2-sec combustion	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
Wet systems	1.79	0.58	1.01	0.43	0.14	0.11	0.13
FF/PB (c)	0.95	0.31	0.53	0.23	8.2E-02	6.3E-02	7.5E-02
DVFF (c)	0.64	0.21	0.36	0.16	6.4E-02	5.2E-02	5.8E-02
SD/FF (c)	0.64	0.21	0.36	0.16	6.4E-02	5.2E-02	5.8E-02

(a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

(c) Values apply to the system both with and without activated carbon injection.

⁽b) Baseline numbers apply to both units with 0.25-sec secondary chamber residence times and units with 1-sec secondary chamber residence times.

TABLE 25. ANNUAL NATIONWIDE SECONDARY EMISSIONS FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT

Parameters\model combustors	Continuo	us models	Intern	nittent mode	ls .	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr or batch Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Number of plants	1,500 4,747 7,776 154	1,000 3,165 3,726 182	1,500 4,747 4,368 171	600 1,899 4,368 742	200 633 3,744 2,097	500 455 3,600 335	200 730 3,120 1,305
Pollutant, ton/yr				<u> </u>			
PM				ļ		•	
Baseline (b) 2-sec combustion Wet systems FF/PB (c) DI/FF (c) SD/FF (c) SO2 Baseline (b) 2-sec combustion Wet systems FF/PB (c) DI/FF (c) SD/FF (c)	0 0 14 7.3 5.0 5.0 0 0 276 146 99 99	0 0 5.3 2.8 2.0 2.0 0 106 57 39	0 0 8.6 4.6 3.1 3.1 0 0 172 91 62 62	0 0 16 8.5 6.1 6.1 0 0 316 171 121	0 0 15 8.6 6.7 6.7 0 0 303 171 135 135	0 0 1.8 1.1 0.86 0.86 0 0 0 37 21 17	0 0 8.8 4.9 3.8 3.8 0 0 176 99 76 76
NOx Baseline (b) 2-sec combustion Wet systems FF/PB (c) DI/FF (c) SD/FF (c)	0 0 276 146 99 99	0 0 106 57 39	0 0 172 91 62 62	0 0 316 171 121 121	0 0 303 171 135 135	0 0 37 21 17	0 0 176 99 76 76

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

⁽b) Baseline numbers apply to both units with 0.25-sec secondary chamber residence times and units with 1-sec secondary chamber residence times.

⁽c) Values apply to the system both with and without activated carbon injection.

TABLÉ 26. ANNUAL AMOUNT OF POLLUTANTS IN WASTEWATER EFFLUENTS FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT

Parameters/model combustors	Continuo	us models	L L	ntermittent mode	els	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Venturi blowdown, gal/yr Pollutans, ton/yr	1,500 4,747 7,760 1.7E+06	1,000 3,165 3,564 5.1E+05	1,500 4,747 4,212 9.0E+05	600 1,899 4,212 3.6E+05	200 633 3,588 1.0E+05	500 455 3,520 7.2E+04	200 730 2,964 9.7E+04
Wet systems CDD/CDF Pb Cd	1.1E-05 6.4E-02 4.1E-03	3.3E-06 1.9E-02 1.3E-03	5.8E-06 3.5E-02 2.2E-03	2.3E-06 1.4E-02 9.0E-04	6.6E-07 3.9E-03 2.6E-04	6.6E-07 2.2E-03 7.2E-05	2.6E-08 3.5E-04 8.1E-05

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

TABLE 27. ANNUAL NATIONWIDE AMOUNT OF POLLUTANTS IN WASTEWATER EFFLUENTS FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT

Parameters/model combustors	Continuou	s models	Int	ermittent models		Batch model	Path, model
Capacity, lb/hr Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a) Operating hours, hr/yr Number of plants Venturi blowdown, gal/yr Pollutant, ton/yr	1,500 4,747 7,760 154 2,5E+08	1,000 3,165 3,564 182 9.2E+07	1,500 4,747 4,212 171 1.5E+08	600 1,899 4,212 742 2.7E+08	200 633 3,588 2,097 2.1E+08	500 455 3,520 335 2.4E+07	200 730 2,964 1,305 1,27E+08
Wet systems CDD/CDF Pb Cd	1.6E-03 9.80 0.64	5.9E-04 3.55 0.23	9.9E-04 5.91 0.38	1.7E-03 10.25 0.67	1.4E-03 8.23 0.54	2.2E-04 0.72 2.4E-02	3.3E-0 0.4 0.1

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

TABLE 28. ANNUAL AMOUNT OF WASTE BURNED AND FLY ASH GENERATED BY EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT WITH FABRIC FILTER SYSTEMS WITH AND WITHOUT CARBON INJECTION

WITH		HOUL	ARBON	INOECI			
Parameters\model combustors	Continuo	ıs models	Inter	mittent model	3	Batch model	Path. model
Capacity, lb/hr or batch	1,500	1,000	1,500	600	200	500	200
Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a)	4,747	3,165	4,747	1,899	633	455	730
Operating hours, hr/yr	7,760	3,564	4,212	4,212	3,588	3,520	2,964
Operating nours, m/yr	7,700	3,504	7,222	7,212	5,500	5,525	2,2,51
Waste incinerated, ton/yr	3,907	977	1,176	470	115	27	172
Pollutant capture per APCD, ton/yr							
DI/FF	7.0	2.10	200	1.64	0.44	5.8E-02	0.16
PM	7.10	2.18	3.86	1.54	0.44	J.6E-02	0.10
CDD/CDF CaCi2	0 110.48	0 33.83	59.97	23.99	6.81	0.66	0.53
		4.2E-02	7.5E-02	3.0E-02	8.5E-03	4.7E-03	7.5E-04
Pb Cd	0.14 9.9E-03	4.2E-02 3.0E-03	7.3E-02 5.4E-03	2.2E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	1.9E-04
	9.95-03	3.02-03	0.42-03	2.22.00	0.112-04	1.72-0	1.52.04
Hg Unreacted lime (no SO2 removal)	119.96	36.73	65.11	26.05	7.40	0.71	0.58
Total fabric filter ash no carbon	238	72.8	129	51.6	14.7	1.44	1.28
Addition of carbon	ا 🗠	12.0	127	31.0	14.7	1	1
carbon (b)	23.31	7.14	12.65	5.06	1.44	1.01	1.37
CDD/CDF	1.5E-05	4.6E-06	8.1E-06	3.2E-06	9.2E-07	9.2E-07	3.6E-08
Hg	9.6E-02	2.9E-02	5.2E-02	2.1E-02	5.9E-03	3.1E-03	9.1E-05
Total fabric filter ash with carbon	261.10	79.95	141.72	56.69	16.10	2.45	2.64
]
FF/PB						507.00	0.16
PM	7.10	2.18	3.86	1.54	0.44	5.8E-02	0.16
CDD/CDF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
РЬ	0.14	4.2E-02	7.5E-02	3.0E-02	8.5E-03	4.7E-03	7.5E-04
Cd	9.9E-03	3.0E-03	5.4E-03	2.2E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	1.9E-04
Hg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total fabric filter ash no carbon	7.25	2.22	3.94	1.57	0.45	6.3E-02	0.16
Addition of carbon							100
carbon (b)	23.31	7.14	12.65	5.06	1.44	1.01	1.37
CDD/CDF	1.2E-04	3.7E-05	6.5E-05	2.6E-05	7.4E-06	7.4E-06	2.9E-07
Hg	9.6E-02	2.9E-02	5.2E-02	2.1E-02	5.9E-03	3.1E-03	9.1E-05
Total fabric filter ash with carbon	30.66	9.39	16.64	6.66	1.89	1.08	1.53
SD/FF							
PM	7.10	2.18	3.86	1.54	0.44	5.8E-02	0.16
CDD/CDF	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
CaCl2	110.48	33.83	59.97	23.99	6.81	0.66	0.53
Рь	0.14	4.2E-02	7.5E-02	3.0E-02	8.5E-03	4.7E-03	7.5E-04
Cd	9.9E-03	3.0E-03	5.4E-03	2.2E-03	6.1E-04	1.7E-04	1.9E-04
Hg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unreacted lime (no SO2 removal)	119.96	36.73	65.11	26.05	7.40	0.71	0.58
Total fabric filter ash no carbon	237.69	72.79	129.02	51.61	14.66	1.44	1.28
Addition of carbon		'				1	
carbon (c)	12.98	3.97	7.04	2.82	0.80	0.56	0.76
CDD/CDF (additional)	1.5E-05	4.6E-06	8.1E-06	3.2E-06	9.2E-07	9.2E-07	3.6E-08
Hg	9.6E-02	2.9E-02	5.2E-02	2.1E-02	5.9E-03	3.1E-03	9.1E-05
Total fabric filter ash with carbon	250.77	76.79	136.11	54.45	15.46	2.00	2.04
		an 1 a 11 41 w		sheeter Sheet Hits		Character of the Charac	<u>L.</u>

⁽a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

⁽b) An activated carbon concentration of 338 mg/dscm (0.0000211 lb/dscf) is used for the DI/FF and FF/PB, based on emission test data from Facility A, which uses a DI/FF.

⁽c) An activated carbon concentration of 188 mg/dscm (0.0000117 lb/dscf) is used for the SD/FF, based on emission test data from Facility M, which uses an SD/FF.

TABLE 29. ANNUAL NATIONWIDE AMOUNT OF WASTE INCINERATED AND FLY ASH GENERATED BY EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT WITH FABRIC FILTER SYSTEMS WITH AND WITHOUT CARBON INJECTION

Parameters\model combustors	Continuo	ıs models	els Intermittent models		s	Batch model	Path. model
	1 600	1,000	1,500	600	200	500	200
Capacity, lb/hr or batch	1,500	1,000	•	1,899	633	455	730
Exhaust flow rate, dscfm (a)	4,747	3,165	4,747			3,520	2,964
Operating hours, hr/yr	7,760	3,564	4,212	4,212	3,588		1,305
Number of plants	154	182	171	742	2,097	335	1,505
Waste incinerated, ton/yr	601,678	177,814	201,096	348,740	241,155	9,045	224,460
Pollutant capture per APCD, ton/yr		.					
DI/FF	1,094.05	395.93	659.39	1.144.60	918.52	19.55	211.77
PM	1,054.05	0	057.50	1,144.00	0	0	0
CDD/CDF	٠,١	6.157.28	10.254.39	17,800.14	14,284.36	220.44	696.06
CaCi2	17,014.05	7.72	12.86	22.33	17.92	1.58	0.98
Pb	21.34		0.92	1.60	1.28	5.8E-02	0.25
Cd	1.53	0.55	0.92	1.60	1.20 A	3.85-02	0.22
Hg	0	0	- 1	10 227 25	15,509.92	239.35	755.78
Unreacted lime (no SO2 removal)	18,473.82	6,685.56	11,134.19	19,327.35		480.96	1,664.85
Total fabric filter ash no carbon	36,604.80	13,247.05	22,061.76	38,296.02	30,732.01	480.90	1,004.63
Addition of carbon		4 200 00		2.755.22	2 012 52	339.48	1,786.62
carbon (b)	3,589.40	1,298.98	2,163.33	3,755.23	3,013.52 1.9E-03	3.1E-04	4.7E-05
CDD/CDF	2.3E-03	8.3E-04	1.4E-03	2.4E-03		3.1E-04 1.04	0.12
Hg	14.82	5.36	8.93	15.51	12.44	821.49	3,451.59
Total fabric filter ash with carbon	40,209.02	14,551.39	24,234.02	42,066.76	33,757.98	821.49	3,431.39
FF/PB							
PM	1,094.05	395.93	659.39	1,144.60	918.52	19.55	211.77
CDD/CDF	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	. 0
Pb	21.34	7.72	12.86	22.33	17.92	1.58	0.98
Cd	1.53	0.55	0.92	1.60	1.28	5.8E-02	0.25
Hg	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0
Total fabric filter ash no carbon	1,116.92	404.21	673.17	1,168.53	937.73	21.18	213.01
Addition of carbon				·			
carbon (b)	3,589.40	1,298.98	2,163.33	3,755.23	3,013.52	339.48	1,786.62
CDD/CDF	1.8E-02	6.7E-03	1.1E-02	1.9E-02	1.5E-02	2.5E-03	3.8E-04
	14.82	5.36	8.93	15.51	12.44	1.04	0.12
Hg Total fabric filter ash with carbon	4,721.16	1,708.56	2,845.45	4,939.29	3,963.71	361.70	1,999.75
Total fautic litter ash with caroon	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		_,	•			
SD/FF			(50.00	1 144.00	918.52	19.55	211.77
PM	1,094.05	395.93	659.39	1,144.60	918.32	19.33	l .
CDD/CDF	0	0	0	0		1 000	606.06
CaCl2	17,014.05	6,157.28	10,254.39	17,800.14	14,284.36		1
Pb	21.34	7.72	12.86	22.33	17.92		1
Cal	1.53	0.55	0.92	1.60	1.28 0	5.8E-02	l l
Hg	0	0	0	10 227 25		1	
Unreacted lime (no SO2 removal)	18,473.82	6,685.56	11,134.19	19,327.35	15,509.92		
Total fabric filter ash no carbon	36,604.80	13,247.05	22,061.76	38,296.02	30,732.01	400.90	1,004.83
Addition of carbon				2 222 27	1 (88.00	100 00	994.77
carbon (c)	1,998.53	723.26	1,204.52	2,090.87	1,677.89		
CDD/CDF (additional)	2.3E-03	8.3E-04		2.4E-03	1.9E-03		· ·
Hg	14.82	5.36		15.51	12.44		
Total fabric filter ash with carbon	38,618.16	13,975.67	23,275.21	40,402.40	32,422.35	671.02	2,659.74

(a) Actual exhaust gas flow rate out of the incinerator, at 14 percent O2.

⁽b) An activated carbon concentration of 338 mg/dscm (0.0000211 lb/dscf) is used for the DI/FF and FF/PB, based on emission test data from Facility A, which uses a DI/FF.

⁽c) An activated carbon concentration of 188 mg/dscm (0.0000117 lb/dscf) is used for the SD/FF, based on emission test data from Facility M, which uses an SD/FF.

TABLE 30. ANNUAL ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO OPERATE CONTROL EQUIPMENT FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT CARBON INJECTION

Model plants	Fuel Usage, mmft3/yr	Electricity Usage, Mwh/yi
I. Continuous MWI's		
A. 1.500 lb/hr		•
a. Baseline	10.3	173.5
b. 2-sec combustion	16.6	173.5
c. Wet systems	16.6	837.8
d. FF/PB (a)	16.6	525.6
e. DI/FF (a)	16.6	412.2
f. SD/FF (a)	16.6	412.2
B. 1.000 lb/hr		
a. Baseline	5.14	65.1
b. 2-sec combustion	7.13	65.1
c. Wet systems	7.13	281.5
d. FF/PB (a)	7.13	180.5
e. DI/FF (a)	7.13	144.6
f. SD/FF (a)	7.13	144.6
I. Intermittent MWI's A. 1.500 lb/hr		
a. Baseline	5.86	54.8
b. 2-sec combustion	8.87	54.8
c. Wet systems	8.87	427.9
d. FF/PB (a)	8.87	252.6
e. DI/FF (a)	8.87	188.9
f. SD/FF (a)	8.87	188.9
B. 600 lb/hr	•	
a. Baseline	. 2.89	25.2
b. 2-sec combustion	4.10	25.2
c. Wet systems	4.10	183.4
d. FF/PB (a)	4.10	110.6
e. DI/FF (a)	4.10	85.7
f. SD/FF (a)	4.10	85.7
C. 200 lb/hr		
a. Baseline	1.40	10.3
b. 2-sec combustion	1.73	10.3
c. Wet systems	1.73	64.0
d. FF/PB (a)	1.73	40.6
c. DI/FF (a)	1.73	34.1
f. SD/FF (a)	1.73	34.1
II. Batch MWI's A. 500 lb/batch	•	
a. Baseline	1.81	2.73
b. 2-sec combustion	1.81	2.73
c. Wet systems	1.97	43.26
d. FF/PB (a)	1.97	26.09
e. DI/FF (a)	1.97	21.85
f. SD/FF (a)	1.97	21.85
V. Pathological MWI's		
A. 200 lb/hr		
a. Baseline	2.65	8.6
b. 2-sec combustion	3.04	8.6
c. Wet systems	3.04	58.55
d. FF/PB (a)	3.04	36.60
c. DI/FF (a)	3.04	30.25
f. SD/FF (a)	3.04	30.25
• • •		

⁽a) Values apply to the system both with and without activated carbon injection.

TABLE 31. ANNUAL NATIONWIDE ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO OPERATE CONTROL EQUIPMENT FOR EACH EXISTING MODEL PLANT

Mod	el plants	Number of Facilities	Fuel Usage, mmft3/yr	Electricity Usage, Mwh/y
,	Cartimone MWT's			•
I.	Continuous MWI's A. 1.500 lb/hr	154		
	a. Baseline	-	1,591	26,720
	b. 2-sec combustion		2,554	26,720
	c. Wet systems		2,554	129,014
	d. FF/PB (a)	e .	2,554	80,944
	e. DI/FF (a)		2,554	63,477
	f. SD/FF (a)		2,554	63,477
	B. <u>1.000 lb/hr</u>	182		,
	a. Baseline		935	11,844
	b. 2-sec combustion		1,298	11,84
	c. Wet systems		1,298	51,234
	d. FF/PB (a)		1,298	32,855
	e. DI/FF (a)		1,298	26,314
	f. SD/FF (a)		1,298	26,314
П.	Intermittent MWI's	171		
	A. 1.500 lb/hr	171	1,003	9,37:
	a. Baseline		•	9,37
	b. 2-sec combustion		1,517	73.17
	c. Wet systems	\$.	1,517	43,19
	d. FF/PB (a)		1,517	32,29
	e. DI/FF (a)		1,517	32,29
	f. SD/FF (a)		1,517	. 34,29
	B. 600 lb/hr	742		10.70
	a. Baseline		2,147	18,70
	b. 2-sec combustion		3,041	18,70
	c. Wet systems		3,041	136,06
	d. FF/PB (a)		3,041	82,03
	e. DI/FF (a)		3,041	63,59
	f. SD/FF (a)		3,041	63,59
	C. 200 lb/hr	2,097		
	a. Baseline		2,937	21,64
	b. 2-sec combustion	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	3,638	21,64
	c. Wet systems		3,638	134,18
	d. FF/PB (a)		3,638	85,22
	e. DI/FF (a)		3,638	71,61
	f. SD/FF (a)	•	3,638	71,61
III.	Batch MWI's		•	
	A. 500 lb/batch	335		24
	a. Baseline		607	91
	b. 2-sec combustion		659	91
	c. Wet systems		659	14,49
	d. FF/PB (a)		659	8,73
	e. DI/FF (a)		659	7,31
	f. SD/FF (a)		659	7,31
IV.	Pathological MWI's	•		
	A. 200 lb/hr	1,305		
	a. Baseline		3,462	11,23
	b. 2-sec combustion		3,965	11,2
	c. Wet systems		3,965	76,4
	d. FF/PB (a)		3,965	47,70
	e. DI/FF (b)		3,965	39,4
	f. SD/FF (b)		3,965	39,47

⁽a) Values apply to the system both with and without activated carbon injection.

3.0 REFERENCES

- 1. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. Medical Waste Incinerators--Background Information for Proposed Standards and Guidelines: Model Plant Description and Cost Report for New and Existing Facilities. EPA-453/R-94-045a. July 1994.
- U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. Medical Waste Incinerators--Background Information for Proposed Standards and Guidelines: Control Technology Performance Report for New and Existing Facilities. EPA-453/R-94-044a. July 1994.
- 3. Memorandum from S. Shoraka and C. Hester, MRI, to Project File. August 4, 1992. Average Emission Rates for MWI's.
- 4. Steam: Its Generation and Use. New York, The Babcock and Wilcox Company. 1978. p. 22-11.
- 5. Electric Utility Steam Generating Units--Background Information for Proposed Standards. Prepared for U. S. EPA. July 1978. EPA-450/2-78-007a. p. 4-36.
- 6. Environmental Protection Agency. General Regulations on Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources. Code of Federal Regulations. Title 40, Chapter I, Subchapter C, Part 60, Subpart Da. July 1, 1979.
- 7. Memorandum from T. Holloway, P. McElhany and D. Randall, MRI, to W. Maxwell, EPA:ISB. October 31, 1991. Wastewater Discharge Regulations that Affect MWI's.
- 8. Letter and attachments from A. R. Dozier, Advanced Concepts, Inc., to W. Maxwell, EPA:ISB. January 21, 1990. Design information about APCD.
- 9. Marschall, H.L., et al. Emcotek Corp. Retrofitting Air Pollution Controls to Existing Incinerators, presented at the 82nd Annual Meeting of the Air and Waste Management Association, Anaheim, CA. June 1989.
- 10. Marschall, H. L., et al. Emcotek Corp. New Oregon Incinerator Demonstrates Process Innovations to Protect Both Air and Water, Presented at the Air and Waste Management Association Specialty Conference--Thermal Treatment of Municipal, Industrial, and Hospital Waste II, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. November 1989.
- 11. Telecon. D. Randall, MRI, with P. Stearns, Borgess Medical Center. July 20, 1990. Ash disposal procedures.
- 12. Telecon. D. Randall, MRI, with R. Poll, Valley City Disposal. July 20, 1990. Ash disposal procedures.

- 13. Telecon. D. Randall, MRI, with G. Druen, Evanston Hospital. July 20, 1990. Ash disposal procedures.
- 14. Telecon. D. Randall, MRI, with G. Lowe, Fairfax Hospital. July 24, 1990. Ash disposal procedures.
- 15. Telecon. D. Randall, MRI, with C. Cutting, Sparrow Hospital. July 23, 1990. Ash disposal procedures.
- 16. Telecon. D. Randall, MRI, with a Commercial Medical Waste Incineration Company. July 20, 1990. Ash disposal procedures.

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA (Please read Instructions on reverse before completing) 3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO. 1. REPORT NO. EPA-453/R-94-046a 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 5. REPORT DATE Medical Waste Incinerators - Background Information for July 1994 Proposed Standards and Guidelines: Environmental Impacts 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE Report for New and Existing Facilities 7. AUTHOR(S) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO. 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Emission Standards Division (Mail Drop 13) Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards 11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 68-D1-0115 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Final Director Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE Office of Air and Radiation U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EPA/200/04 Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Published in conjunction with proposed air emission standards and guidelines for medical waste incinerators

16. ABSTRACT

This report presents the environmental impacts associated with the control technologies for controlling emissions from MWI's. The incremental increase or decrease in air pollution, water pollution, solid waste generation, and energy consumption for each technology relative to baseline is discussed. This is one in a series of reports used as background information in developing air emission standards and guidelines for new and existing MWI's.

17.	KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS				
a. DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group			
Air Pollution Pollution Control Standards of Performance Emission Guidelines Medical Waste Incinerators	Air Pollution Control Solid Waste Medical Waste Incineration				
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	19. SECURITY CLASS (Repon) Unclassified	21. NO. OF PAGES 53			
Release Unlimited	20. SECURITY CLASS (Page) Unclassified	22. PRICE			