


903978006

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



MIDDLE ATLANTIC REGION-III

6th and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

ANALYSIS OF SULFUR IN FUEL OILS BY
ENERGY-DISPERSIVE X-RAY FLUORESCENCE

January 1978

Technical Paper No. 15
Annapolis Field Office
Region III
Environmental Protection Agency

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region III
250
Chillicothe, Ohio 45604

Annapolis Field Office
Region III
Environmental Protection Agency

ANALYSIS OF SULFUR IN FUEL OILS BY
ENERGY-DISPERSIVE X-RAY FLUORESCENCE

E. R. Trovato
J. W. Barron
J. L. Slayton

DISCLAIMER

The mention of trade names or commercial products in this report is for illustration purposes and does not constitute endorsement or recommendation by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

INTRODUCTION

Sulfur oxides have long been recognized as significant air pollutants. With increased usage of sulfur containing fuels, an increase in atmospheric sulfur dioxide content will become an ever more important problem. Legislation has been passed governing the allowable levels of sulfur in fuels in an attempt to control this source of air pollution.

Energy-dispersive x-ray fluorescence (EDXRF) can provide a rapid, non-destructive method of analysis of sulfur in fuel oils. Because the EDXRF system is automated and minimal sample preparation procedures are involved, a reduction in the time and cost of analysis is possible.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Sulfur standards of: 2.14, 1.05, 0.268, and 0.211 weight percent sulfur in fuel oil were obtained from the National Bureau of Standards. In addition, sulfur standards prepared by commercial sources were obtained with concentrations in weight percent sulfur of: 2.02, 1.06, and 0.49. Actual samples analyzed by wavelength-dispersive x-ray fluorescence with the following weight percent sulfur concentrations were also analyzed: 2.95, 2.10, 2.05, 2.00, 1.61, and 0.33. Zinc, barium, and lead standards were prepared from Conostan Metallic-Organic standards.

Equipment

A Finnigan 900 Series energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence spectrometer and data system were used for all EDXRF analyses.

Procedure

The determination of sulfur in fuel oils follows the procedure outlined in ASTM D2622-67¹: Standard Method of Test for Sulfur in Petroleum Products (X-Ray Spectrographic Method) with minor changes in the procedure to accommodate the energy dispersive equipment. A brief outline of the procedure follows:

- a. Place the sample in an open cell sample cup over which 0.25-mil Mylar film has been stretched and attached with a snap-on ring. Attach microporous film to the open-end of the sample cup to prohibit the oil from escaping.

- b. Place the samples in the x-ray beam, apply vacuum, and allow the atmosphere in the x-ray chamber to come to equilibrium. Instrument operating conditions are found in Table III.
- c. Determine the intensity of the SK_{α} peak at 2.307 Kev and make background measurements adjacent to the peak.
- d. If the sample contains interfering elements in concentrations greater than those listed in ASTM D2622-67, dilute the sample by weight with white oil.

Calibration

- a. Determine the net SK_{α} intensity for all standards and samples.
- b. Determine the weight percent sulfur by ratio against the 2.14 weight percent sulfur standard reference material using net intensities or by comparison to a calibration curve of sulfur net intensity vs. concentration.
- c. Measure a sensitivity standard at frequent intervals and determine the net counting rate for each sample.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Commercially obtained standards, NBS standards, and previously analyzed field samples were analyzed by energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence. The accuracy results shown in Table I and precision results shown in Table II, indicate the high degree of precision and accuracy obtainable by this method of analysis. The average recovery was 97% (Table I) and a plot (Figure I) of found weight percent sulfur vs. known weight percent sulfur gives a correlation coefficient of 0.999. A paired-t test applied to the data indicates that there is no difference between the found and known values at a 95% confidence level. An average standard deviation of 0.02 weight percent sulfur was found over the 0.16 to 2.00 weight percent sulfur range. A plot of the standard calibration curve (Figure II) is linear with a correlation coefficient of 0.9997, further facilitating analysis by this method.

The minimum detectable amount², defined as $3x(\text{intensity of the background})^{1/2}$, is 0.11 weight percent sulfur; this is below the majority of legislated limits of sulfur concentration in fuel oil in the United States³.

The analysis of fuel oil samples by energy-dispersive x-ray fluorescence is accurate and precise, requires minimal sample preparation, and is non-destructive. It also simultaneously determines sulfur and its interfering elements, phosphorus, zinc, barium, calcium, and chlorine. These factors combine to produce an overall increase in the efficiency of analysis of sulfur in fuel oils.

TABLE I

Comparison of Sulfur Results Found by Classical and EDXRF Methods

<u>Date of Analysis</u>	<u>Origin</u>	<u>Classical wt. % S</u>	<u>EDXRF wt. % S</u>	<u>% R*</u>
10-28-75	Field Sample	2.95	2.98	101.0
	Field Sample	2.10	2.07	98.6
	Field Sample	2.05	2.05	100.0
	Secondary Std.	2.02	1.94	96.0
	Field Sample	2.00	2.04	102.0
	Field Sample	1.61	1.68	104.3
	Secondary Std.	1.06	0.99	93.4
8-12-76	Field Sample	2.94	3.04	103.4
	Field Sample	2.10	2.13	101.4
	Field Sample	2.00	2.04	102.0
	Field Sample	1.61	1.67	103.7
2-11-77	Secondary Std.	1.06	1.01	95.3
	NBS	1.05	1.04	99.0
	Secondary Std.	0.49	0.48	98.0
	NBS	0.268	0.264	98.5
	NBS	0.211	0.206	97.6
	NBS	1.05	1.02	97.1
2-14-77	NBS	0.211	0.155	73.4
	NBS	1.05	1.02	97.1
4-27-77	Secondary Std.	1.06	1.00	94.3
	NBS	1.05	1.06	101.0
	NBS	1.05	1.03	98.1
	NBS	0.268	0.231	86.2
	Secondary Std.	0.24	0.22	91.7
	NBS	0.211	0.192	91.0

correlation coefficient = .999

mean = 97. %

s = 6.7%

t-statistic = .540

degrees of freedom = 23

*R = Recovered

Figure I: Plot of Found Weight Percent Sulfur vs Known Weight Percent Sulfur




TABLE II

Results of Duplicate Analyses of Field Samples

Range	Duplicate I wt. % S	Duplicate II wt. % S	difference
<u>< 0.3%</u>	.16	.17	.01
	.16	.17	.01
	.16	.19	.03
	.16	.21	.05
	.16	.17	.01
	s = .02% S		
0.3-1.0%	.72	.72	0
	.96	.98	.02
	.99	.98	.01
	.93	.91	.02
	.59	.58	.01
	.44	.44	0
	s = .01% S		
> 1.0%	1.99	1.92	.07
	1.03	1.01	.02
	1.04	1.00	.04
	1.05	1.04	.01
	1.74	1.74	0
	1.09	1.08	.01
	.96	.94	.02
	1.63	1.63	0
	s = .02% S		

$$s = (\Sigma(d^2) / 2k)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$


where: s = standard deviation⁴
d = difference between duplicates
k = number of samples

TABLE III

Instrument Operating Conditions

<u>Date of Analysis</u>	<u>Voltage Kv</u>	<u>Amperage ma</u>	<u>Time sec</u>	<u>Path</u>	<u>Filter</u>	<u>Collimator diameter mm</u>
10-28-75	10	4	500	vacuum	none	1
8-12-76	10	1	500	vacuum	none	6
2-11-77	10	0.8	500	vacuum	none	6
2-14-77	10	0.8	1000	vacuum	none	6
4-27-77	10	0.8	1000	vacuum	none	6

Figure II: Plot of SK_{α} Net Intensity vs Weight Percent Sulfur



REFERENCES

1. ASTM D2622-67, ASTM Standards on Petroleum Products and Lubricants, ASTM Committee D-2, September 1967
2. Bertin, Eugene P., Principles and Practice of X-Ray Spectrometric Analysis, Plenum Press, New York, 1975
3. Martin, Werner and Stern, Arthur C., The World's Air Quality Management Standards, Volume II: The Air Quality Management Standards of the United States, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Wash., D.C., 1974, pg. 113-124
4. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Programs Operations, National Training and Operational Technology Center, Participant's Handbook for the Drinking Water Chemical Laboratory Certification Course, pg. E9-20

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank: Dr. Jungers, EPA, RTP; Mr. Al Curry, Aerospace Fuels Lab; Mr. Mac Dill, AFB, Tampa, Fla.; and Mr. Al Kewing, Mobil Oil, Paulsboro, N.J. for providing analyzed samples and commercial standards utilized.

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA

(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)

1. REPORT NO. EPA 903/9-78-006	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Analysis of Sulfur in Fuel Oils by Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence		5. REPORT DATE January 1978
		6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE
7. AUTHOR(S) E. R. Trovato, J. W. Barron, J. L. Slayton		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO. Technical Paper 15
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Annapolis Field Office, Region III U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Annapolis Science Center Annapolis, Maryland 21401		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 2BD144
		11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Same		13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED In House; Final
		14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE EPA/903/00

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

16. ABSTRACT

Energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence was used to analyze for sulfur in oil in commercially prepared standards, NBS standards and laboratory samples. The technique of energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence for sulfur was found to be accurate, precise, and required minimal sample preparation. In addition it was non-destructive, and enabled the simultaneous determination of sulfur and its interfering elements: phosphorus; zinc; barium; calcium; and chlorine.

17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

a. DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
X-ray Fluorescence Sulfur Analysis Sulfur Determination Fuel Oil	Energy-dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Sulfur Analysis	
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Release to Public	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED	21. NO. OF PAGES 10
	20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) UNCLASSIFIED	22. PRICE

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. REPORT NUMBER**
Insert the EPA report number as it appears on the cover of the publication.
- 2. LEAVE BLANK**
- 3. RECIPIENTS ACCESSION NUMBER**
Reserved for use by each report recipient.
- 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE**
Title should indicate clearly and briefly the subject coverage of the report, and be displayed prominently. Set subtitle, if used, in smaller type or otherwise subordinate it to main title. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number and include subtitle for the specific title.
- 5. REPORT DATE**
Each report shall carry a date indicating at least month and year. Indicate the basis on which it was selected (*e.g., date of issue, date of approval, date of preparation, etc.*).
- 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE**
Leave blank.
- 7. AUTHOR(S)**
Give name(s) in conventional order (*John R. Doe, J. Robert Doe, etc.*). List author's affiliation if it differs from the performing organization.
- 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER**
Insert if performing organization wishes to assign this number.
- 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS**
Give name, street, city, state, and ZIP code. List no more than two levels of an organizational hierarchy.
- 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER**
Use the program element number under which the report was prepared. Subordinate numbers may be included in parentheses.
- 11. CONTRACT/GRANT NUMBER**
Insert contract or grant number under which report was prepared.
- 12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS**
Include ZIP code.
- 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED**
Indicate interim final, etc., and if applicable, dates covered.
- 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE**
Leave blank.
- 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES**
Enter information not included elsewhere but useful, such as. Prepared in cooperation with, Translation of, Presented at conference of, To be published in, Supersedes, Supplements, etc.
- 16. ABSTRACT**
Include a brief (*200 words or less*) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report. If the report contains a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here.
- 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS**
 - (a) **DESCRIPTORS** - Select from the Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms the proper authorized terms that identify the major concept of the research and are sufficiently specific and precise to be used as index entries for cataloging.
 - (b) **IDENTIFIERS AND OPEN-ENDED TERMS** - Use identifiers for project names, code names, equipment designators, etc. Use open-ended terms written in descriptor form for those subjects for which no descriptor exists.
 - (c) **COSATI FIELD GROUP** - Field and group assignments are to be taken from the 1965 COSATI Subject Category List. Since the majority of documents are multidisciplinary in nature, the Primary Field/Group assignment(s) will be specific discipline, area of human endeavor, or type of physical object. The application(s) will be cross-referenced with secondary Field/Group assignments that will follow the primary posting(s).
- 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT**
Denote releasability to the public or limitation for reasons other than security for example "Release Unlimited." Cite any availability to the public, with address and price.
- 19. & 20. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION**
DO NOT submit classified reports to the National Technical Information service.
- 21. NUMBER OF PAGES**
Insert the total number of pages, including this one and unnumbered pages, but exclude distribution list, if any.
- 22. PRICE**
Insert the price set by the National Technical Information Service or the Government Printing Office, if known.