Do not WEED. This document should be retained in the EPA Region 5 Library Collection.

REPORT.

INDUSTRIAL PROCESS FUGITIVE EMISSIONS INVENTORY FOR THE REGION V GREAT LAKES SHORELINE

Solutions for energy, environment & technology



PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	<u>n</u>	Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	1.1 Background	1-1 1-1 1-2
2.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	2-1
	2.1 Conclusions	2-1 2-2
3.0	METHODOLOGY	3-1
	3.1 Identification of Industrial Fugitive Emissions 3.2 Quantification of Fugitive Particulate	3-1
	Emission Rate	3 <b>-</b> 4
4.0	INVENTORY RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	4-1
	4.1 Lake Erie	4-12 4-14 4-16 4-18
5.0	FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AND WATER QUALITY INTERACTION	5-1
	5.1 Coking Process	5-2 5-3 5-3
6.0	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY	6-1
	6.1 Verification of Fugitive Emissions	6-1
	Particulates in Great Lakes Water Quality 6.3 Development and Refinement of Fugitive	6-1
7.0	Emission Factors	6-2

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (concluded)

age
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1
-1

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Numbe	<u>r</u>	Page
3-1	Project Methodology	3-2
3-2	Counties Bordering the Great Lakes	3-3
4-1	Non-Attainment Area Designation Map for the State of Illinois	4-2
4-2	Non-Attainment Area Designation Map for the State of Indiana	4-3
4-3	Non-Attainment Area Designation Map for the State of Michigan	4-4
4-4	Non-Attainment Area Designation Map for the State of Minnesota	4-5
4-5	Non-Attainment Area Designation Map for the State of Ohio	4-6
4-6	Non-Attainment Area Designation Map for the State of Wisconsin	4-7
4-7	Percentage of Fugitive Impact by Process on Lake Erie	4-13
4-8	Percentage of Fugitive Impact by Process on Lake Huron	4-15
4-9	Percentage of Fugitive Impact by Process on Lake Superior	4-17
4-10	Percentage of Fugitive Impact by Process on Lake Michigan	4-19

#### LIST OF TABLES

Numbe	<u>er</u>	Page
3-1	Fugitive Emission Factors	3-5
3-2	Calculation Sheet to Determine the Seasonal Fugitive Emission Impact to the Lakes	3-10
3-3	Local Climatological Data Summaries (LCD) for Green Bay, Wisconsin	3-12
3-4	Weather Stations and Their Adjacent Counties	3-13
4-1	Potential Industrial Fugitive Impacts Incorporating Prevailing Wind Effects	4-8
4-2	Potential Lake Impact from Industrial Fugitive Emissions Incorporating Prevailing Wind Direction	4-11
5-1	Concentrations of Trace Elements in the Offshore Waters of the Great Lakes	5-5

#### LIST OF COMMONLY USED ABBREVIATIONS USED THROUGHOUT THE TEXT

AP-42	Compilation of Air Pollution Emission Factors
BOF	Basic Oxygen Furnace
EAF	Electric Arc Furnace
EIF	Electric Induction Furnace
IJC	International Joint Commission
IPFPE	Industrial Process Fugitive Particulate Emissions
LCD	Local Climatological Data
OHF	Open Hearth Furnace
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenols
SIC	Standard Industrial Classification
TSP	Total Suspended Particulates

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND

Prior to 1969, the atmosphere had not been considered as an important pathway for the loading of material to the Great Lakes Basin. During the period of 1969 through 1975, several preliminary studies were completed which indicated that significant amounts of material were being deposited on the lakes' surfaces by the various atmospheric mechanisms. The International Joint Commission (IJC), a bilateral board with representatives from the United States and Canada, had reported that substantial quantities of nutrients and toxic materials were being deposited in the Great Lakes Basin from the atmosphere, both in rainfall and in dry fallout. These deposits may fall directly into the lakes, or may enter indirectly from land runoff following precipitation in the Basin area. The atmospheric contributions of phosphorus directly to the Great Lakes in 1978 were estimated to be: Lake Superior, 59 percent; Lake Michigan, 27 percent; Lake Huron, 40 percent; and Lake Erie, 4 percent, of the total phosphorus loading for each lake.1

Of even greater significance were the atmospheric inputs of potentially toxic materials. PCBs and lead were two examples of materials that were contributed to the Great Lakes in a significant amount by atmospheric deposition. Substantial quantities of air pollutants entering the Great Lakes water can be attributed to fugitive emissions from industrial activity.

#### 1.2 DEFINITION OF FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

The term "fugitive emission", as used in this report, includes particulate emissions from industry-related operations that escape to the atmosphere without passing through a primary exhaust system such

Environmental Quality, Council on Environmental Quality, December, 1979.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

as a stack, flue, or control system.<sup>3</sup> This includes emissions from manufacturing operations; loading, unloading, and transporting of materials; storage piles; and other industrial processes where particulates escape to the atmosphere. As distinguished from fugitive emissions, "fugitive dust" includes natural dust, agricultural, and other non-industry activities (e.g., unpaved roads, commercial construction sites, etc.). Because fugitive emissions are not emitted from a definable point, they cannot be easily measured by conventional techniques. Therefore, their emissions and subsequent impacts on air and water quality are extremely difficult to estimate.

#### 1.3 APPROACH

Pacific Environmental Services, Inc. (PES) was contracted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Region V to provide technical expertise and assistance to conduct a fugitive emission inventory of industrial sources within the Region V states which potentially impact the water quality of the Great Lakes Basin.

PES established the following four tasks in the performance of this project:

- 1. Identification of Industrial Fugitive Emissions
- 2. Quantification and Characterization of the Fugitive Particulates
- Identification of the Causal Relationship Between the Categorized Emissions and Water Quality
- 4. Development of a Methodology to Obtain and Quantify Unavailable Emission Inventory Data

All of the data in this report are based on the 1978 emissions inventory from each state in EPA Region V, which were the only up-to-date and complete emission inventories available at the time of project initiation.

Venditti, F.R., J.A. Armstrong, and Mr. Durham. Symposium on the Utilization of Particulate Technology - Volume 4 - Fugitive Dusts and Sampling Analysis, and Characterization of Aerosols. EPA-600/7-79-044d. February, 1979.

#### 2.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 2.1 CONCLUSIONS

This report was the result of a limited-scope preliminary study of particulate fugitive emissions from major industrial sources located within the six states of EPA Region V. For the purposes of this report, a major source is defined as a source which has a potential to emit 100 tons/yr of particulate matter. Also, most emission rates quoted are within a wide range, since fugitive emission factors are currently presented in this manner. This study revealed that approximately 229,000 to 531,000 tons of fugitive particulates were deposited into the Great Lakes during 1978 by major industrial sources located in the Region V states. These estimates represent approximately 95 to 220 percent of the total controlled particulate emissions (about 240,000 tons) from point sources located at the same industrial sites. The largest fugitive emission source bordering the lakes is the iron and steel industry. Large industrial cities such as Chicago, Gary. Cleveland, Toledo, Detroit, and Milwaukee were found to have the largest concentration of major fugitive emission sources.

Once these fugitive emissions reach the lake, they could conceivably increase the total solids in the water causing purification problems for public and industrial water supplies. Some of the particulates are soluble in water, and secondary reactions are likely to occur. Another EPA sponsored study showed that 60 percent of the total lead (Pb) input, 30 percent of the zinc (Zn) input, and 20 percent of the iron (Fe) input to the southern basin of Lake Michigan is attributed to dry deposition of atmospheric aerosol. It was also found that major inputs of sulfate and nitrate are by dry loading. Phosphorus input by dry loading is about equal to precipitation inputs.

An Experimental Study of Lake Loading by Aerosol Transport and Dry Deposition in the Southern Lake Michigan Basin. EPA-905/4-79-016, July, 1979.

Since the chemical compositions of the majority of the fugitive particulates are unknown at this stage (in the absence of further study), it is difficult to estimate the total effects of fugitive emission deposition on the water quality.

Some processes do emit toxic material to the atmosphere as fugitive emissions, but their quantity and character are unknown. Many of the primary and secondary reactions between the water and these toxic materials are also unknown. The methodology used in conducting this study was the most efficient and accurate way to develop a major fugitive emission inventory realizing the funding and time constraints associated with this effort.

#### 2.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

As stated previously, this study comprised a necessary first step of developing an industrial fugitive emission inventory; further demonstration and verification of the water quality impact from fugitive emissions should continue to be pursued. In addition, further study is needed in the following areas:

- o extension of this study to include Region II and Region III states and portions of Canada which border the Great Lakes;
- o verification of fugitive emissions;
- o determination of the environmental impact from fugitive emissions by process type;
- o quantification of area source impacts on water quality;
- o determination of chemical composition of fugitive emissions;
- o extension of this study to include non-traditional Fugitive Emission Sources.

Recommendations for further studies are described in Section 6.0.

#### 3.0 METHODOLOGY

An industrial process fugitive emission inventory was developed to determine the potential fugitive particulate emissions from major stationary sources within a five-mile radius of the Great Lakes shoreline. This inventory was based on fugitive particulate emissions associated with actual annual throughput of each of the affected facilities. A detailed description of this methodology is provided below. The flowchart of this methodology is also shown in Figure 3-1.

#### 3.1 IDENTIFICATION OF INDUSTRIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS SOURCES

The first task was to obtain 1978 emission inventories from each of the six states in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Region V. The following state agencies were contacted: Illinois Environmental Protection Agency; Indiana Board of Health, Air Pollution Division; Michigan Department of Natural Resources; Minnesota Pollution Control Agency; Ohio Environmental Protection Agency; and Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. The inventories were screened to include only those counties that border the Great Lakes (Figure 3-2), and were further screened to include only townships within five miles from the Great Lakes. With the aid of county maps, industrial point sources within five miles from the Great Lakes shoreline were identified. The five mile distance used for this inventory was prescribed by U.S. EPA project personnel. After the identification of the point source locations was completed, potential point sources were categorized by the nature of their size, and type of industrial process. Potential "major" sources with 100 tons per year or greater uncontrolled particulate emissions were chosen. Each permitted industrial process within the major source was classified as to whether or not fugitive particulate emissions may originate from it. The chosen point sources were then described by the following eight criteria:




Figure 3-1. PROJECT METHODOLOGY 3-2

Figure 3-2. COUNTIES BORDERING THE GREAT LAKES

Reproduced from "Environmental Management Strategy for the Great Lakes System", International Joint Council.

- (1) Source I.D. same number as state air pollution permit file;
- (2) SIC Code Standard Industrial Classification Code;
- (3) Annual Process 1978 annual throughput for that source;
- (4) Controlled Point Source Emissions actual emissions from that source;
- (5) Potential Fugitive Emissions estimated fugitive emissions from that source;
- (6) Particle Size average size of the fugitive particulate;
- (7) Operation Schedule seasonal operation frequency (percent); and
- (8) Process Description short process description

Except for Items (5) and (6), all information was available directly from the emission inventory computer printouts supplied by each state. Appendices A through F list the fugitive source inventories by state.

#### 3.2 QUANTIFICATION OF THE FUGITIVE PARTICULATE EMISSION RATE

The potential fugitive emission rate was calculated using emission factors for industrial process fugitive particulate emission sources available in "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors," Publication Number AP-42; "Technical Guidance for Control of Industrial Process Fugitive Particulate Emissions." March. 1977 - EPA-450/3-77-010; and "Particulate Emission Factors Applicable to the Iron and Steel Industry, September, 1979 - EPA-450/4-79-028. Whenever possible, emission factors from AP-42 were used. Table 3-1 lists the fugitive emission factors which were used in this report. The majority of the emission factors have reliability ratings of "D" (below averagesupportable by limited test data and engineering judgment), and "E" (poor-supportable by best engineering judgment). Factors with an "E" rating are at best within an order of magnitude and therefore, actual emission rates from a given facility could differ significantly. Because fugitive emissions are not emitted from a definable point, they cannot be easily measured, and are therefore difficult to estimate.

The estimated annual fugitive emission rate for a source with control equipment and/or a stack was calculated by multiplying the fugitive emission factor for that particular source by the source

#### Table 3-1. FUGITIVE EMISSION FACTORS

Source	Uncontrolled Fugitive Emission Factor (1b/ton)	Emission Factor Reliability Rating
(Technical	COKE MANUFACTURING Guidance for Control of IPFPE	:)*
Coal Unloading Coal Storage Coal Conveying & Transfer Coal Charging Coking (door leaking) Quenching Coke Handling	0.4 0.33 0.04-0.96 1.0-10.0 0.4-0.9 1.2 0.023-0.13	E D E C C C E
(Technical	IRON PRODUCTION Guidance for Control of IPFP	E)
Shipping or Railroad Car Unloa Iron Ore Limestone Iron Ore Storage Iron Ore Handling & Transfer Limestone Handling & Transfer Blast Furnace Flue Dust Handli Sinter Handling Slag Handling	0.02-0.03 0.2 0.33 2.0 0.2	E E D D D E E C
(Technical	STEEL PRODUCTION Guidance for Control of IPFP	E)
Molten Pig Iron Transfer Basic Oxygen Furnace Open Hearth Furnace Electric Arc Furnace Ingot Casting Molten Steel Reladling Scarfing	0.056-0.25 1.15-1.2 0.1-0.39 0.236-3.25 0.028-0.12 0.028-0.12	D D C E E C
<u>P</u>	RIMARY COPPER SMELTING (AP-42)	
Roasting Reverberatory Smelting Furnace Converter Fire Refining Furnace	10.50 1.90	  
*Industrial Process Fugitive P	articulate Emissions 3-5	

Table 3-1. FUGITIVE EMISSION FACTORS (Continued)

Source	Uncontrolled Fugitive Emission Factor (1b/ton)	Emission Factor Reliability Rating
	RY ALUMINUM PRODUCTION idance for Control of IPFPE)	
Sweating Furnace Smelting Furnace (Reverberatory) Smelting Furnace (Crucible) Smelting Furnace (Induction)	0.72 0.94 0.09 0.09	E E E
	NDARY LEAD SMELTING idance for Control of IPFPE)	
Sweating Furnace Reverberatory Furnace Blast or Cupola Furnace Casting	1.6-3.5 2.8-15.7 12.0 0.44	E E C
	NDARY ZINC SMELTING idance for Control of IPFPE)	
Reverberatory Furnace Kettle Sweat Furnace Rotary Sweat Furnace Muffle Sweat Furnace Electric Resistance Sweat Furnace Crucible Melting Furnace Kettle Melting Furnace Reverberatory Furnace Electric Induction Melting Furnace	0.005 0.005 0.005	
(Technical Gu	FOUNDRIES idance for Control of IPFPE)	
Raw Material Receiving & Storage Cupola Furnace Operation Crucible Furnace Operation Electric Arc Furnace Open Hearth Furnace Electric Induction Furnace	0.74 0.1-2 0.1-0.6 5.0-10 (metal charged) 1.05-3.48 (steel charg 0.1-0.9 2.0 (metal charged) 1.5 (iron charged)	E E E E ed) E E E

## Table 3-1. FUGITIVE EMISSION FACTORS (Continued)

Source	Uncontrolled Fugitive Emission Factor (1b/ton)	Emission Factor Reliability Rating
<u>F</u> (	OUNDRIES (Continued)	
Pot Furnace Reverberatory Furnace Pouring Molten Metal Into Molds  Casting Operation Core Making	2.52 (copper) 0.93 (lead) 1.37-13.61 0.71-6.08	E E Coundry) E E E E E E
Sand Handling	1.37	E
	EXTRACTION AND BENEFICATION Guidance for Control of IPFPE	:)
Unloading, Transfer, Crushing	2.17-4.06	E
	RMINAL GRAIN ELEVATOR Guidance for Control of IPFPE	)
Grain Handling (transfer, conveying, screening cleaning, drying, shipping)	1.84-26.7	E
	CEMENT MANUFACTURING Guidance for Control of IPFPE	·)
Cement Manufacturing	10.6-18.3	Ε
	<u>LIME MANUFACTURING</u> Guidance for Control of IPFPE	.)
Lime Manufacturing	3.14-3.186	Ε
	CONCRETE BATCHING (AP-42)	
Transfer of Sand & Aggregate to Elevated Bins	0.04	

Table 3-1. FUGITIVE EMISSION FACTORS (Concluded)

Source	Uncontrolled Fugitive Emission Factor (lb/ton)	Emission Factor Reliability Rating
CONCRE	TE BATCHING (Continued)	
Cement Unloading to Elevated Storage Silos	0.24	
Weight Hopper Loading of Cement, Sand Aggregate	0.02	
Mixer Loading of Cement, Sand Aggregate	0.04	
Loading of Transit Mix Truck	0.02	
Loading of Dry Batch Truck	0.04	<b></b>
(Technical G	ASPHALT CONCRETE Guidance for Control of IPFP	Ε)
Concrete Manufacturing	8.656	E
<u>wc</u>	OODWORKING OPERATION	
	(AP-42)	
Wood Waste Storage Bin Vent	1.0	
Wood Waste Storage Bin Loadout	2.0	<b></b>
Sawing and Sawdust Pile	1.35	Ε

annual throughput, and converted to tons per year. For instance, the potential fugitive emission rate for a primary copper smelter having an annual production rate of 1,000 ton/year is:

 $(\frac{43.9 \text{ lbs of particulate}}{\text{ton of end product}})*(\frac{1,000 \text{ ton/year}}{2,000 \text{ lbs/ton}}) = 22 \text{ tons particulate/year}$ 

\*Fugitive emission factor AP-42, page 7.3-7.

A source with no control equipment and stack was considered as a fugitive emission source and the fugitive emission rate was recorded as the "uncontrolled emission rate" found on the process emission inventory printout.

#### 3.3 ESTIMATION OF FUGITIVE EMISSION IMPACTS TO THE LAKES

Table 3-2 was used to determine the seasonal fugitive emissions impact to the lakes. This table contained the following information:

- o state
- o county
- o process description
- o SIC Code
- o total potential fugitive emission rate within the county
- o total potential lake impact from the county
- o particle size
- o seasonal fugitive emission rate
- o wind frequency impact on lake
- o the seasonal lake impact

This table was completed as follows:

A total estimated fugitive emission rate <u>per process</u>, regardless of source, within the county was calculated by adding each fugitive emission rate estimated for that process (i.e., potential fugitive emissions for each coal storage process within Cook County, Illinois and within five miles of the Lake Michigan shoreline). A total potential fugitive emission rate describing this

STATE OF:		COUNTY: _		
SIC: PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	ON:		
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE		POTENTIAL		
<pre>EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _</pre>		IMPACT (t	tons/yr):	
PARTICLE SIZE:	·	·		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS				
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)				
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)				
SIC: PROCE				
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIAL IMPACT (	LAKE tons/yr):	
PARTICLE SIZE:			·	
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS				
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)				
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)				

Table 3-2. CALCULATION SHEET TO DETERMINE THE SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS IMPACT TO THE LAKES

process category was calculated by adding each coal storage emission rate within a particular county. Seasonal fugitive emission rates for each process were then computed by multiplying each process's potential fugitive emissions with its respective seasonal operation schedule, which was obtained from state emission inventories. Only the State of Michigan Emission Inventory did not provide this kind of information. In order to complete this study, PES assumed an equal operation schedule year round, i.e., 25/25/25 for all of Michigan's industrial sources.

Since the pollution dispersion directions were determined by the prevailing wind direction for each area in this study, local climatological data (LCD) obtained from the National Climatic Center, Asheville. North Carolina, were used to determine the prevailing wind directions at all locations surrounding the Region V Great Lakes. A sample LCD is given in Table 3-3 for Green Bay, Wisconsin. A list of the stations in which climatological data was obtained is given in Table 3-4. The prevailing winds for each location was used to determine the seasonal impact on the Great Lakes for fugitive emission sources. This was accomplished by determining the prevailing winds at each station for each month and comparing them to each source location to determine whether the source would impact on the lake under study. The percent frequency of winds blowing towards each lake (wind positive) was then determined on a seasonal basis from this monthly information (December through February, March through May, June through August, and September through November). The seasonal impacts were calculated by multiplying the seasonal fugitive emissions from each source by the seasonal wind positive data. The results of lake impacts for each state and county are listed in Appendices G through L. reliability of these results are also discussed in Section 4.0.

An attempt was made to characterize the fugitive emissions with respect to particle size. Typical particle size ranges for the emissions correspond to those used in "Technical Guidance for Control of Industrial Process Fugitive Particulate Emissions," Publication No. EPA - 450/3-77-010.

Table 3-3. LOCAL CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA SUMMARIES (LCD) FOR GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN

1976	Average	pressuite mb	Liny 702 feet ms.t.	993.0	989.2 990.5 990.5 998.5	1.166
Ve. 19		ų,	O* end	22000	000004	ê
*		Ninimum	32 and	E225E0	090022	13
	;	rmyerature * F	<del> </del>	6544300	22202	101
100		Maxim	32° and E	20000-	0-2202	~
662	2	├╌	SEST TO SHIFT X	22222	~0N3	2
: (par	Number of days		morraduviff	000000	400000	33
Elevation (ground) :	Q S	319	Show, fee nei 7.0 inch or m	ww.000	000000	2
Eleveti			neirerigiosyfi m to doni 10.	2**191	55-1000	113
		ī	Claudy	22222	20222	170
*	!	3	cloudy Partiy	200000	2250	
H . WO . 09		Sunrise to sunset	ZP84C)	200000	~2~07#	
	Sarrus		Average sky c	~~~~	******	;
Lungituda	1		Bruching Percent of po	282222	25525	
-			grad	4.35.6	L*4704	2 P. N.
<i>z</i>	!	fastent nule	Ontection	222722	SEERE	3
.62 .55	Mund	Faste	y dru	22222	22222	;
	} <b>≱</b> :	<del></del>	Average speed In.p.n.	02	WW35-44	
Z.		-	mp n. Speed	200700	400040	2.4 10.3
		Resultan	Descrion	22222	555555	<u> </u>
7			» =	22222	532223	3
CENTRA	Redutive frumidity, pet	יטנ	-	202000	222328	
	ž į		00 ±0	01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	413mo4	77
		30			20000	
beto sum pred		ice pellets	9180	~		7.5 25-26
ind bud		w, ice	Createst in 24 hrs.	11 2 2 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000044	
Stand	in Inch	Snow,	lazoT	1.1. 1.1. 0.0	000000	31.5
	Precipitation in Inche	ŧ	arad	25-26 475 31 9-10 1-14	11-12 4-5 4-5 17 28-29	SEP 11-12
1210	Precip	Water equivalent	Greenest in 24 hrg.	0.53 0.15 0.15 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.9	417.00.70	8653 440 33,30 2,07 11-12
שנר נ		Water	luvoT	100mm	MANAMA MA	-06.
AUSTIN STRAUAEL FIRED				200004	577000	<del>-</del> 2
USTIN	ge Ge	Bus 65 °F	Emitod		22223 22223	<del></del>
4	å	ā	Suiseme	1658 1500 1227 733 279 101		
			eraC.	2-000	22222	<u>₹</u> 2
		Cutomus	152407	179422	222227	<u></u>
1811	4.E	ū	restigated ateG	24754 24754	50845 50845 5084 5084 5084 5084 5084 508	\$ 1
1369	Temperature * F			10000	634744 634744	=======================================
4 × ·	Te.	saf.	(IrinoM			<del>- ;</del>
CHLIN BAY, JISCO,SIN		Avorages	VISC .	U-216.50	12222	3.
ţ			Delly	26.99	24.04.4	YFAR 51.9 32.5 42.1 90 14 -14 30
Station			Month	Seat A E E	ENGRET CANADA	YFAR

DATA CORRECTED AFTER PUBLICATION OF THE MONTHLY ISSUE,

Normals, Means, And Extremes

١.,	. •	i ~ .	•		5~N\$45	
Awereg	pressure mb.	Elev.		000000	000000	990*1
		bre "D woise	=	20000	00600	2
	Temperatures F Max. Min.	mojaq pue "ZE	=	755400	40-4-0	3
	perat.	perom 35, suq	=	107 h oc	00005	7
_	Tem Max	Poone €	=	00000	AN+000	
G G		Heavy tog, bir	52	~~~~~		2
age.		in po) vestell	67	****	ra+n-+	2
Mean number of days	Snow, for prilets		2	****	0000-7	=
3	3.01	n no fami 10.	5.8	27222	222707	
	ī	Cloudy	52	F14512	0=222	౼
	to sunse	ejongk	52	422	25222	===
	Sunte	Partiy	2	****	0044N4	121 173 121
-	1361	nue of seimne	62	444.F	escre-	
		PCC Of possib	2	930355	704677	3:0
			-	2==155		
	ajie	100A		19891	200000	74 X
_	Festest mile	Direction	2	Y 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	52.2.7	- ž
¥ind	_ <u> </u>	Speed	2	-445gF	023658	
		Prevailing	=	STUUE	STATE	- 3
		Meen spred mp.h.	52	202206	##00000	10.2
ı	200		=	22322	223555	63.67
Relative humidity pet	300		11	24 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	76668	=======================================
\$ E	200		17	244 444 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45	-180-0	19
	Snow, Ice pellets	ДСН		2000	4125	115
		m 24 hr.	2	*****	33 030	77.01
		mumixaM				_
		<i>5</i> 00 Å		1972	1955 1957 1977	1977
		Maximum Yldsnom	2	*****	20.7.1.2	27.0
oches		Деод		1950 1950 1950 1950 1950 1950	20000	1975
pitation in inches		Munima and MS ni	52	200000	200000	9.
ipitati						
Preci	a)	мод		200000	22222	58
	Water equivalent	muminM yldmom	62	15757	500 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	-
	Water	Ден		2222	366695	350
		Municality Yirtmom	29	402004		š
		G., Ci., W	-			
		lemoir		******	504557	27,0
Normal	. E	وممانمه		0000022	78 ×300	300 27,01 9.04 1975
No.	Base	Suizeep		2220	242322	
		Хевг		10000	50000	1991 8088
	£ 1	Secord fowers	2	## <del>*</del> **	32222	<u> </u>
	Extreme	- <del>10</del> A		55555	500005	<u>۔۔</u>
. E	ł	zsayō-y (	- 52	24442		چُّڌ. ء
Temperatures *F	<u>i</u>	P:038y				
E	_	AjqzuOjy		222275	201617	<u>;</u>
	Normal	Deity Carty		7.77.77	777777	33.8
		Deily		22222	772727	VA 53.0 33.8 43.7 49 14577 -31
Ł		Month	3	74 X 4 X 7	242220	<del>-</del>
			-			>

Means and extremes above are from existing and comparable exposures. Annual extremes have been exceeded at other sites in the locality as follows: Highest temporature 184 in July 1916; lowest temporature -36 in January 1888; maximum monthly procipitation 9.70 in May 1918; maximum monthly anoviall 13.1 in Narch 1923; maximum snowfell in 24 hours 22.0 in January 1889.

(a) Length of record, years, through the Current year unless otherwise incled, based on January data.
(b) 70° and alove at Alaskan stations, . . Less than one half.

MORALS - Based on record for the 1941-1970 period,
DATE OF AN EITECHE - The most recent in cases of multiple
occurrence.
PREVALLING WIND DIRECTION - Record through 1963,
WIND DIRECTION - Numerals indicate tens of degrees elochwise
franche morth, On indicates sale.
FASTEST MILE MIND - Spoed is fastest observed 1-winde welce
when the direction is in tens of degrees.

3-12

#### Table 3-4. WEATHER STATIONS (BY COUNTY)

STATE: Illinois

Weather Station: Midway Airport (Chicago, Illinois)

County: Cook, Lake

STATE: Indiana

Weather Station: Midway Airport (Chicago, Illinois)

County: Lake, Porter

STATE: Michigan

Weather Station: Phelps Collins Field (Alpena, Michigan)

County: Presque Isle

Weather Station: City Airport (Detroit, Michigan)

County: Macomb, Monroe, Wayne

Weather Station: Bishop Airport (Flint, Michigan)

County: Arenac, Bay, Huron

Weather Station: U.S. Post Office (Marquette, Michigan)

County: Alger, Delta, Marquette, Schoolcraft

Weather Station: Muskegan County Airport (Muskegon, Michigan)

County: Berrien, Mason, Muskegon, Ottawa

Weather Station: Suburban Office (Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan)

County: Chippewa, Mackinac

Weather Station: International Airport (Duluth, Minnesota)

County: Ontonagon

Weather Station: Austin Straurel Field (Green Bay, Wisconsin)

County: Monominee

#### Table 3-4 (Concluded)

STATE: Minnesota

Weather Station: International Airport (Duluth, Minnesota)

County: Lake, St. Louis

STATE: Ohio

Weather Station: Toledo Express Airport (Toledo, Ohio)

County: Lucas, Ottawa

Weather Station: Cleveland Hopkins Int'l Airport (Cleveland, Ohio)

County: Ashtabula, Cuyahoga, Erie, Lorain, Lake

STATE: Wisconsin

Weather Station: Austin Straurel Field (Green Bay, Wisconsin)

County: Door, Kewaunee, Marinette, Manitowoc

Weather Station: General Mitchell Field (Milwaukee, Wisconsin)

County: Kenosha, Milwaukee, Racine, Sheboygan, Ozaukee

Weather Station: International Airport (Duluth, Minnesota)

County: Douglas

#### 4.0 INVENTORY RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section summarizes the results of the fugitive emissions study on a lake-by-lake basis. It also interprets the relationships between the associated state (or states) and the major fugitive emission processes. Within U.S. EPA Region V jurisdiction, approximately 48 percent of the counties (29 out of a total of 60) surrounding the Great Lakes are designated as "partial county non-attainment areas" with respect to TSP (see Figures 4-1 through 4-6). Table 4-1 shows the summary of yearly fugitive emission impacts on each lake. Since most current fugitive emission factors for industrial sources have ranges associated with them, the study results presented in Table 4-1 reflect these ranges. These ranges provide only an estimate of potential emissions, since proper control of fugitive emission points could significantly reduce any or all of the resultant emission rates. As stated in Section 3.3, this fugitive emission impact summary incorporates both potential fugitive emissions generated by each source, and the prevailing wind direction in the vicinity of the facility. In some states, potential fugitive emissions impact their bordering lakes greatly due to the prevailing wind directions (see Table 4-2). This study used seasonal wind averages for local climatological data summaries to project emission impacts to Great Lakes water quality. In a previous study, 1,2 four standard weather stations were involved in San Antonio, Texas. The average distance separating the stations was 12 miles, the direction data were given to 16 compass points, and the wind speed to 1 mph. These data indicated that 90 percent of the time wind direction between stations would differ by no more than three compass points and 90 percent of the time wind speed would differ by

U.S. Weather Bureau, 1953: A Meteorological Survey of the Oak Ridge Area: Final Report Covering the Period 1948-1952. USAEC Report ORO-99, Weather Bureau, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, 1968, Meteorology and Atomic Energy Office of Information Services.

## ILLINOIS




Figure 4-1. NON-ATTAINMENT AREA DESIGNATION MAP FOR STATE OF ILLINOIS




Figure 4-2. NON-ATTAINMENT AREA DESIGNATION MAP FOR STATE OF INDIANA

# MICHIGAN

TSP




Figure 4-3. NON-ATTAINMENT AREA DESIGNATION MAP FOR STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MINNESOTA

**TSP** Canada North Dākota Lake \* denotes counties that border lake South Dakota Wisconsin UNSHADED COUNTIES Are either attainment or unclassified SHADED COUNTIES Are totally nonettainment TRIANGLE Denotes a partial county nonattainment

Figure 4-4. NON-ATTAINMENT AREA DESIGNATION MAP FOR STATE OF MINNESOTA

area somewhere in the county




Figure 4-5. NON-ATTAINMENT AREA DESIGNATION MAP FOR STATE OF OHIO




Figure 4-6. NON-ATTAINMENT AREA DESIGNATION MAP FOR STATE OF WISCONSIN

Table 4-1. POTENTIAL INDUSTRIAL FUGITIVE IMPACTS INCORPORATING PREVAILING WIND EFFECTS

INDUSTRIAL PROCESS		POTENTIAL		FUGITIVE EMISSION RATE (tons/yr)	ns/yr)		SUBTOTAL
	ILLINOIS	INDIANA	MICHIGAN	MINNESOTA	0HI0	WISCONSIN	(tons/yr)
LAKE ERIE							
1. Iron Melting			3100-3700		2600		8700-9300
2. Coal Storage			2700-5500		4100-8500		6800-14000
3. Coking Process			4000		2700		0029
4. Lime Manufacturing			540-730		2600		6100-6300
5. Grain Handling			440-1000		3000-40000		3400-42000
6. Steel Melting	20	zc	2000	zc	950	ZC	2900
7. Iron Foundry &	> F	) F	370-2400	) F	2200-14000	) <b>-</b>	2600-17000
8. Cement Manufacturing	¬ ∑:	7 <b>X</b> (	1700	<b>→</b> ∑		- Σ	1700
		۵ <b>۷</b>	1200	d &	300	d. «	1500
10. Sintering		O F	520-1500	O F	100-400	OF	500-1900
11. Stone Crushing			330		43-63	-	370-390
12. Asphalt Batching			47		50		97
13. Brass Smelting			2	,	35		40
14. Aluminum Production			1 1		7		7
15. Lead Smelting			9		1 1 1		9
16. Concrete Batching			3		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		3
TOTAL	8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		17000-24000		25000-79000		42000-103000
LAKE HURON  1. Stone Crushing  2. Cement Manufacturing  3. Coal Storage  4. Foundry Cleaning  (con't)	=	=	7900 900-1400 580-1200 58-570	=	NO IMPACT	=	7900 900-1400 580-1200 58-570

Table 4-1 (continued)

INDISTRIAL PROCESS		POTENTIAL	FUGITIVE EMI	FUGITIVE EMISSION RATE (tons/yr)	ıs/yr)		SUBTOTAL
	ILLINOIS	INDIANA	MICHIGAN	MINNESOTA	0HI0	WISCONSIN	(tons/yr)
LAKE HURON (con't)	4	•		:	:	;	
5. Grain Handling	z 0	z c	.4-590	zc	zc	zc	44-590
6. Iron Oxide Furnace		) P	34-200	)	)	)	34-200
7. Concrete Batching	→ ∑	<b>→</b> Σ	76	<b>⊢</b> ∑	<b>Η Σ</b>	<b>⊢</b> ∑	9/
8. Lime Milling	۵.	<u>.</u> a	36	_ م	۵.	م	36
9. Asphalt Batching	∢ ∪	ΚÜ	27	ΑU	۷C	<b>∢</b> ∪	27
10. Zinc Smelting	) <b>-</b>	) <b>-</b>	18	> <b>-</b>	> <b>⊢</b>	<b>&gt;</b> —	18
TOTAL			9700-12000				9700-12000
LAKE SUPERIOR							
l. Iron Ore			1	20000		1	20000
2. Grain Handling			1	7400-100000		1	7400-100000
3. Stone Crushing			1400-2600	! !		1 1	1400-2600
4. Coke Oven	=	=	1 1	850-970	=	1 1 2	850-970
5. Coal Storage			370-740	250-400		!	620-1200
6. Copper Smelting			970	!!!!		 	970
7. Asphalt Batching			4	81		1 1	85
TOTAL			2700-4300	29000-121000			31000-130000
LAKE MICHIGAN				Z			
1. Coking Process	2500	49000		0		390-1500	52000-53000
2. Coal Storage	26000-33000	1800-6500	230-540	₩ 3		1500-3000	29000-43000
3. Grain Handling	7800-72000	5900-19000	 	Ξ Δ.	=	580-4100	14000-95000
4. Iron Production	4700-7600	7000	: :	ΚĽ			12000-15000
(con't)				<b>&gt;</b> ⊢			
-							

Table 4-1 (concluded)

INDISTRIAL PROCESS		POTENTIAL	. FUGITIVE EMIS	FUGITIVE EMISSION RATE (tons/yr)	s/yr)		SUBTOTAL
	ILLINOIS	INDIANA	MICHIGAN	MINNESOTA	0HI0	WISCONSIN	(tons/yr)
LAKE MICHIGAN (con't)							
5. Steel Making	270-600	7100	3-7			410-1400	8100-9200
6. Mineral Handling	1800	380	4500-8300	-		19	6700-10000
7. Sintering	2200-3400	3900-11000	3 8 8				6100-15000
8. Lime Manufacturing	890	950	2300			680-700	4800
9. Iron Foundry Cleaning	1200-6200	860-7000	820-7300	zo	zo	1400-5800	4300-26000
10. Cement Manufacturing	280	3500-6000	1 1 1	<b>—</b> ;	<b>—</b> ;	250-410	4000-6700
11. Asphalt Batching	880	240	26	Σ 0.	∑ 0.	240	1400
12. Unpaved Road	1100	1 1	1 1 1	. <b>V</b>	. <b>V</b>		1100
13. Core Oven	180-220	1 1	7-59	<b>∪</b> ⊢	υ⊢	510-4800	700-5000
7 14. Concrete Batching	120	450	7	•	•	!!!	580
15. Lead Smelting	9	340-950	1			12-63	360-1000
16. Brass Melting	190	45	; ; ;			29-130	260-360
17. Gypsum Manufacturing	65	190	!!!			 	250
18. Aluminum Production	5	200	!			14	200
19. Woodworking	62	1 1 1	1 1			2	64
20. Zinc Melting	18-46	6	1 1 1			9	33-61
TOTAL	50000-130000	82000-120000	7900-18000		\$ 0 1 0 1 2 1 3	6000-22000	150000-
					:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Table 4-2. POTENTIAL LAKE IMPACT FROM INDUSTRIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS INCORPORATING PREVAILING WIND DIRECTION

STATE	POTENTIAL INDUSTRIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION (TPY)	% IMPACTING LAKE	FUGITIVE EMISSION IMPACTING LAKE (TPY)
Illinois	50,000-130,000	100%	50,000-130,000
Indiana	86,000-120,000	95%	82,000-110,000
Michigan	64,000-99,000	59%	38,000-60,000
Minnesota	38,000-160,000	75%	29,000-120,000
0hio	26,000-86,000	92%	24,000-79,000
Wisconsin	25,000-90,000	24%	6,000-22,000


no more than 9 mph. Obviously, the shorter the distance from each individual source to the National Weather Service station, the greater the likelihood of similar meteorological measurement. Although this estimate of average wind directions is far from absolute, it yields an approximation of the magnitude of industrial emissions impacting the lake and provides an insight to the areas affecting lake quality the greatest.

#### 4.1 LAKE ERIE

The results of this study show that 42,000 to 103,000 tons of industry generated fugitive particulate emission reached the Lake Erie shoreline during 1978. These emissions originated from the states of Ohio and Michigan, which border Lake Erie. As with all of the remaining lakes, only the impact of United States sources was studied. Ohio was the dominant state, emitting about 60 percent of the total fugitive emissions. Over 70 percent of those fugitive emissions originated from the following industries: iron melting, coal storage, coke production, lime manufacturing, and grain handling. The contribution percentage from those processes are shown in Figure 4-7.

In the state of Ohio, eight counties border Lake Erie: Ashtabula, Cuyahoga, Erie, Lake, Lorain, Lucas, and Ottawa. The majority of industries are concentrated in Cuyahoga and Lucas counties in which Cleveland and Toledo, two large industrial cities, are located. Fifty-four major sources were located in this study area, of which 50 percent were located in Cuyahoga County and 16 percent in Lucas County (see Appendix E). These sources were categorized under 13 different industrial processes, the largest being iron melting in Cuyahoga County. However, the fugitive emissions from iron melting had a large particle size—50 percent were greater than 70 microns; consequently, the actual fugitive emissions deposited in the lake may be less than estimated due to the particles increased settling velocity (i.e., particles may settle out prior to reaching shoreline). The estimated fugitive emissions impact on Lake Erie from the state of Ohio ranged between 25,000 and 79,000 tons per year.

Figure 4-7. PERCENTAGE OF FUGITIVE IMPACT BY PROCESS ON LAKE ERIE




Macomb, Monroe, and Wayne Counties border Lake Erie in the state of Michigan. The major industrial area is located in Wayne County, which includes the city of Detroit. Most of the iron and steel industry are located outside of the five mile radius from the shoreline. The state of Michigan contributes fugitive emissions to Lake Erie and Lake St. Clair. For the purposes of this study, they were treated as one lake since the two lakes are connected by the Detroit River. A total of 43 Michigan sources impact Lake Erie (see Appendix C), 90 percent of which are located in Wayne County. These sources were categorized under 15 different industrial processes, the largest being the coking processes located in Wayne County. The estimated fugitive emissions impact to Lake Erie from the state of Michigan ranged from 17,000 to 24,000 tons per year.

### 4.2 LAKE HURON

This study determined that there were 9,700 to 12,000 tons of fugitive emissions impacting Lake Huron during 1978. Within the jurisdiction of EPA Region V, only the state of Michigan borders Lake Huron. Within Michigan, the following 11 counties border Lake Huron: Bay, Arenac, Huron, Presque Isle, St. Clair, Sanilca, Iosco, Alcona, Alpena, Tuscola, and Sheboygan. Only the first four counties have major fugitive emission sources, with Bay County as the major contributor of fugitive emissions to the lake. The remaining counties consist of rural areas having few major industrial sources.

There were a total of 27 sources subject to this study, which were categorized under ten different industrial processes. The largest fugitive emission process was the stone crushing process, which contributed approximately 8,000 tons of fugitive particulates to Lake Huron. The fugitive emission contribution percentage by process is shown in Figure 4-8.

Figure 4-8. PERCENTAGE OF FUGITIVE IMPACT BY PROCESS ON LAKE HURON



### 4.3 LAKE SUPERIOR

During 1978 there were 31,000 to 130,000 tons of fugitive emissions deposited in Lake Superior. Within EPA Region V jurisdiction, the states of Michigan, Wisconsin and Minnesota border Lake Superior.


Within the state of Michigan, the following eight counties border Lake Superior: Chippewa, Luce, Alger, Marquette, Baraga, Keweenaw, Houghton, Ontonagon, and Gogebic. All of these counties are considered rural areas and have a relatively small impact on the lake.

There were ten Michigan sources subject to this study, which were categorized into four industrial processes. Stone crushing was the largest fugitive emission process. Industrial process fugitive emission contribution percentages are shown in Figure 4-9. The total Michigan fugitive emission annual impact to Lake Superior ranged between 2,700 and 4,300 tons.

Within the state of Minnesota, the three counties bordering Lake Superior are St. Louis, Lake, and Cook. The major industrial area is concentrated in Duluth, located in St. Louis County. There are a total of 13 Minnesota industrial fugitive sources impacting Lake Superior which were categorized under five industrial processes. The largest process contributing fugitive emissions was iron ore operations, which emitted over 20,000 tons per year. The second largest was grain handling, emitting over 8,000 tons per year. The annual fugitive emissions impact to Lake Superior ranged between 29,000 and 124,000 tons.

Within the state of Wisconsin, the four counties bordering Lake Superior are Douglas, Bayfield, Ashland, and Iron. The major industrial area is concentrated in Douglas County, while the other three counties are considered rural areas. Potentially, there were 17,000 to 135,000 tons of fugitive emissions emitted from Douglas County, with the majority being emitted from grain handling operations. Since the local climatological data indicated that the prevailing wind direction was never in the lake direction, there was no fugitive emissions impact to Lake Superior from the state of Wisconsin.

Figure 4-9. PERCENTAGE OF FUGITIVE IMPACT BY PROCESS ON LAKE SUPERIOR



### 4.4 LAKE MICHIGAN


During 1978, there were approximately 146,000 to 288,000 tons of fugitive emissions generated by major industrial sources and deposited into Lake Michigan. Due to its larger U.S. shoreline, the fugitive emission loading is the greatest of the Great Lakes studied. Within EPA Region V jurisdiction, these four states border the lake: Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, and Wisconsin.

The state of Indiana was the major contributor of fugitive emissions to Lake Michigan. Over 55 percent of the total fugitive emissions impacting the lake originated from Indiana. The state of Illinois was the second largest fugitive emission contributor to Lake Michigan. The ten major industrial processes which contributed to the fugitive emission impact of Lake Michigan were: coking processes, coal storage, grain handling, iron production, steel production, mineral handling, sintering processes, lime manufacturing, iron foundry, and cement manufacturing. The contribution percentage from each process is shown in Figure 4-10. One third of all fugitive emissions were contributed by the coking process located in the Gary, Indiana metropolitan area.

Within Illinois, the two counties which border Lake Michigan are Cook and Lake. The major industrial areas are concentrated in Cook County (see Appendix 1), especially the southern section of the city of Chicago. A total of 71 Illinois sources were subject to this study, which were categorized under 20 industrial processes. The largest fugitive emission process was coal storage, emitting about 50 percent of the total fugitive emissions from those sources. Grain handling was the second largest process. The estimated fugitive emissions impact to Lake Michigan from the state of Illinois ranged between 7,900 and 18,000 tons per year.

Within the state of Indiana, the two counties which border Lake Michigan are Lake and Porter. The Gary, Indiana area (in Lake County) contains the majority of industrial facilities. A total of 41 industrial fugitive sources impact Lake Michigan which were categorized

Figure 4-10. PERCENTAGE OF FUGITIVE IMPACT BY PROCESS ON LAKE MICHIGAN



under 17 industrial processes; the largest being the coking process. This process emitted 60 percent of the total fugitive emissions from the facilities. Fugitive emissions originating from steel production was the second largest source. The impact to Lake Michigan from the state of Indiana ranged between 82,000 and 117,000 tons per year.

There are 18 Michigan counties bordering Lake Michigan. Muskegon contains the majority of industries. A total of 31 sources were subject to this study, and were categorized under eight industrial processes. The largest fugitive emission process was mineral handling, emitting about 57 percent of the total emissions from these sources. The emissions impact to Lake Michigan ranged between 7,900 and 18,000 tons per year.

The state of Wisconsin has 12 counties bordering Lake Michigan. The majority of Wisconsin's industrial area is concentrated near the city of Milwaukee, located in Milwaukee County. A total of 68 sources were subject to this study, and were categorized under 15 industrial processes. The largest fugitive emission process was coal storage. The impact to Lake Michigan from Wisconsin ranged between 6,000 and 22,000 tons per year.

### 5.0 FUGITIVE EMISSION WATER QUALITY INTERACTION

All major industrial sources bordering the Great Lakes were categorized into 20 industrial processes. Each of these processes fall under seven general categories described in the following sections. The following discussion concentrates on estimates of the effect that fugitive emissions generated by those industrial processes have on water quality in the Great Lakes.

# 5.1 COKING PROCESS

The coking process is the largest fugitive emission process within EPA Region V jurisdiction. Within the process, there are several fugitive emission sources: charging of coal, oven door leaks, coke pushing, and wet coke quenching. The particles which are emitted from the charging of coal, oven door leaks, and coke pushing are basically coal dust, coke dust, and polycyclic organic hydrocarbons. The water vapor, which acts as a particulate comes from the quench tower and contains toxic materials such as naphtalene, phenol, and polyacylic aromatic hydrocarbons. Coal and coke dust increase the total suspended solids in the water. Even when the toxic tendency is omitted, this process contributes about 60,000 tons of sediment particles to the Great Lakes. Sediment loading is considered to have a special role as a pollutant in the Great Lakes where particles settle at rates determined by particle size and density. Settling in the near-shore zone is intermittent. Physical processes associated with turbulent mixing by the wave action results in resuspension and onward transportation of the sediment. In a calm condition, resettling occurs, but again, it is of intermittent nature until such particles move to depths where they are able to settle undisturbed. This produces deep water concentrations of fine particles and associated contaminants, as observed in Lake Superior, Lake Michigan, Lake Huron, and the eastern basin of Lake Erie. It has been suggested that excessive sedimentation near fish spawning grounds could be detrimental to fish viability. High sediment levels in the lake may pose aesthetic problems for recreational uses and may also present problems for drinking water treatment plants.

### 5.2 COAL STORAGE

The second largest potential fugitive emission source is coal storage. Fugitive emissions originate from coal unloading, coal storage piles, and coal transferring processes. The majority of these processes are associated with the power plants which border the lakes. The fugitive emissions emitted from coal storage processes have the same chemical characteristics as coal being processed.

Fixed carbon is the major component of the fugitive coal dust. Currently, sulfur and other associated compounds bound to the coal are not considered to be major water quality concerns. This coal dust increases the suspended solid in the water and can present problems for the drinking water treatment plants.

# 5.3 GRAIN HANDLING

Grain handling is the third largest emission source. Fugitive emissions originated from the following operations: grain unloading, loading, transferring, cleaning, and drying processes. The word "grain" includes corn, wheat, rye, oats, barley, flaxseed, malt, and soybeans. Some of these grains have large particle sizes, and therefore, the actual fugitive emission deposit to the lakes are likely less than estimated. The fine grain particles, however, that do deposit in the lake increase suspended solids in the water. The major composition of grain is of a proteinaceous or nitrogenous nature. As soon as proteins leave the life cycle, they begin to decompose by various mechanisms until ultimately, their nitrogen content is returned to the soil or to the water as nitrates. Nitrates are the principle nitrogenous material available in soil for the growth of plants and is especially helpful in the growth of algae. However, nitrogen is not a limiting nutrient in the Great Lakes, except in some near-shore and embayment areas with restricted circulation.

### 5.4 FERROUS METALLURGICAL

Ferrous metallurgical operations are another large fugitive emissions contributor in EPA Region V jurisdiction. These operations include iron and steel production, ferrous foundry, and sintering. The compositions of these emissions are iron oxide, tin, arsenic, nickel, chromium, silicon oxide, aluminum oxide, calcium oxide, ferric fluoride, cadmium, lead, zinc, and manganese. The major composition of the fugitive particulate emissions is iron oxide, a comparatively harmless particle to the human body. Part of the fugitive emissions also contain some toxic materials, i.e., lead, zinc, arsenic, cadmium and its compounds, and ferric fluoride. Lead and cadmium are on the EPA's priority list of toxic substances. Presently, lead is not an environmental contaminent of concern in the Great Lakes, relative to current concentrations in fish. It has the potential for becoming a problem through chemical and biological methylation if current loadings of lead to the lakes are not reduced. A further detailed study is required to determine the actual concentrations of fugitive toxic substances originating from ferrous metallurgical processes.

### 5.5 NONFERROUS METALLURGICAL

The International Joint Commission (IJC) ranked the following toxic materials based on their real or anticipated potential as an environmental hazard:

- (1) Mercury, lead
- (2) Arsenic, cadmium, selenium
- (3) Copper, zinc, chromium, vanadium

These hazardous materials are associated with the nonferric metallurgical industry which includes primary and secondary copper, lead, zinc, aluminum, smelting, and foundry operations. The particles coming from these operations contain the following compounds: lead oxide, iron pyrite, limestone, sulfide, sulfate of lead, tin, copper, fluoride,

cadmium, cadmium fluoride, and zinc oxide. Many of these substances are also classified as toxic material, i.e., arsenic, cadmium, lead, copper, fluoride, and zinc. Table 5-1 shows the concentration of these elements in the offshore water of the Great Lakes. Only the mercury concentration in Lake Erie and cadmium concentration in Lake Michigan exceed the current IJC objectives. However, it should be noted that the objectives are based on total elemental content, rather than on particular chemical forms of the element.

### 5.6 MINERAL OPERATIONS

Stone crushing is the largest fugitive emission process impacting Lake Huron. Emissions originate from drilling, crushing, transferring, and regrinding processes. Although a large portion of these emissions consist of heavy particles that settle within the plant, the remaining suspended particles still have impact on the lakes as suspended solids. These processes are also associated with the emission of asbestos fibers (a listed toxic material). This particular pollutant must be monitored closely in areas where traditional waste discharges (wastewater) have been known to occur, as in the case of some Minnesota mining operations and their associated affect on Lake Superior water quality.

Although limestone crushing and refining have a large impact on total suspended solids loading in the Great Lakes, the basic nature of these minerals may help maintain acceptable pH levels in the face of increased acid fallout from local acid rains. However, this benefit may not outweigh the total disbenefit of increased total suspended solids.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Environmental Management Strategy for the Great Lakes System, International Reference Group on Great Lakes Pollution from Land Use Activities, Winsor, Ontario, July, 1978.

Table 5-1. CONCENTRATIONS OF TRACE ELEMENTS IN THE OFFSHORE WATERS OF THE GREAT LAKES

				µg/L				
LAKE	MERCURY (0.2) <sup>a</sup>	LEAD (10-25) <sup>b</sup>	CHROMIUM (50)	CADMIUM (0.2) <sup>b</sup>	COPPER (5.0) <sup>b</sup>	ZINC (30) <sup>b</sup>	SELENIUM (10) <sup>b</sup>	ARSENIC (50) <sup>b</sup>
Superior	0.10-0.15	<u>&lt;</u> 1.0	≤0.2	≤0.2	2.0-2.5	3.0-5.0	1 1	0.6-1.0
Michigan <sup>C</sup>	-	7.2 <sup>d</sup>	6.8 <sup>e</sup>	<2.0 <sup>f</sup>	1.89	1	1 1 1	<2.0 <sup>h</sup>
Huron (open water)	<sup>_</sup> 50.0≥	<1.0	<0.2	<0.2	<2.0	<7.0	<0.1	9.0≥
Erie	<0.5	<1.0-3.0	1 1 1	<0.2	1.0-2.5	2.0-9.0	<0.1	0.3-0.6
Ontario	0.12	0.7	1	<0.2	1.2	2.2	#	1

objective for mercury is for a filtered sample; all other objectives are for total element concentration. b International Joint Commission objectives (μg/L)

all samples taken in 1977; sample locations vary from nearshore to a maximum of 30 km outward from the shore. f mean of 102 samples; probably high as most samples were below 2.0  $\mu g/L$  detection limit. mean of 101 samples, probably high as most samples were below 6.0 µg/L detection limit. mean of 103 samples; probably high as most samples were below 3.0 µg/L detection limit.  $^{9}$  mean of 99 samples; probably high as most samples were below 1.0  $\mu g/L$  detection limit. mean of 11 samples; probably high as most samples were below 2.0 µg/L detection limit. i value for Georgian Bay

Dash (----) indicates data not available.

Lake Michigan data from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; other data from other sources.

# 5.7 OTHER OPERATIONS

Other fugitive emission sources are lime manufacturing, cement manufacturing, asphalt batching, and gypsum manufacturing. The particulate fugitive emissions associated with those processes are calcium oxide, sand, lime, silica, iron, aggregate, and calcium sulfate. The ion of calcium and iron most likely will increase the hardness of the water. Also, those particulates will increase the suspended solid and sediment loading in the Great Lakes.

#### 6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

# 6.1 VERIFICATION OF FUGUTIVE EMISSIONS

The fugitive emission factors used in this study primarily represent model sources for each individual process. For any specific source, the actual fugitive emissions can vary substantially from the present study results. Also, the actual fugitive emissions can vary from source to source depending on the age of the equipment, the control level, etc. However, the actual fugitive emission rates can be verified by the field inspection and/or source monitoring of a cross-section of industries.

Basically, this field inspection and/or source monitoring would generate a quantitative, and to some extent, refine the qualitative, picture of the estimated fugitive emissions.

When conducting ambient monitoring, it is recommended that the duration of sampling be of sufficient length to obtain statistically significant data, which in this case will represent accurate fugitive emission rates.

# 6.2 DETERMINE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FROM FUGITIVE PARTICULATES IN GREAT LAKES WATER QUALITY

Since the composition of the fugitive particulates emitted from each process is uncertain, it is impractical to estimate the overall environmental effects of fugitive particulates on the lake water quality without conducting further composition analysis. In addition to a fugitive particulate composition analysis, the water quality impact can also be determined by the water quality analysis. This water quality impact analysis would be performed in the laboratory on a daily basis by comparing two water samples — one blank and one contaminated by fugitive emissions. This analysis would provide an understanding of the short and long range effects of each of the fugitive sources.

### 6.3 DEVELOPMENT AND REFINEMENT OF FUGITIVE EMISSION FACTORS

At the present time, fugitive emission factors are far from being well defined and/or complete. Further studies are recommended to include the development of fugitive emission factors for processes affecting Great Lakes water quality, which are not presently available; and the refinement of fugitive emission factors which have, at present, a reliability rating of "E" (poor-supportable by best engineering judgment).

### 6.4 EXTENSION OF STUDY AREA TO CANADA AND U.S. EPA REGIONS II AND III

This study cataloged fugitive emissions from Region V sources impacting the Great Lakes. Impacts from Canadian and other U.S. sources may comprise an equal or greater share of the water quality impact. A complete fugitive emission inventory of all sources bordering the Great Lakes would give a complete picture of the potential water quality impact of these fugitive sources.

# 6.5 EXTENSION OF STUDY TO INCLUDE NON-TRADITIONAL FUGITIVE EMISSION SOURCES

Recent studies have shown that non-traditional fugitive emission sources have a large impact on local air quality. The results of this study include fugitive emissions from major industrial processes. Emissions such as road dust (industrial, residential, and rural) and various construction activities were not included in the inventory results. Fugitive emissions generated from agricultural activities which can produce large quantitites of particulate were also not included. The vast majority of land surrounding the Great Lakes consists of the non-traditional fugitive sources described above. The total fugitive emission impact on the Great Lakes can only be determined when these non-traditional sources are included in the inventory results.

### 7.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### Reference

Masser, Charles. U.S. EPA. Conversation with PES personnel. May, 1980.

### Books and Pamphlets

- Danielson, J.A. Air Pollution Engineering Manual. 2nd ed. May, 1973.
- Environmental Quality. Council on Environmental Quality. December, 1979.
- Sawyer, C.N., and P.L. McCarty. <u>Chemistry for Sanitary Engineers</u>. McGraw-Hill, 1978.
- Stern, A.C. <u>Air Pollution Engineering Control of Air Pollution</u>. 3rd ed. Academic Press, 1977.
- Waldbott, G.L. <u>Health Effects of Environmental Pollutants</u>. L.V. Moshy Company, 1973.

### Articles

- Analysis of Fugitive Dust Emissions in the Detroit Metropolitan Air

  Quality Maintenance Area. Michigan Department of Natural Resources.

  Lansing, MI. July, 1978.
- Chalekod, P.K., T.R. Blackwood, and S.R. Archer. <u>Source Assessment</u> <u>Crushed Limestone; State of Art. EPA-600/2-78-004e. April, 1978.</u>
- Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors. AP-42. 3rd ed. U.S. EPA. July, 1979.
- Cooper, D.W. et al., J.S. Sullivan, M. Quinn, R.C. Antonelli, and M. Schneider. Setting Priorities for Control of Fugitive Particulate Emissions from Open Sources. EPA-600/7-79-186. August, 1979.
- Cowherd, C. Jr., R. Bohn, and T. Cuscino Jr. <u>Iron and Steel Plant Open Source Fugitive Emission Evaluation</u>. EPA-600/2-79-103. May, 1979.
- Cuscino, T.A. Jr. Particulate Emission Factors Applicable to the Iron and Steel Industry. EPA-450/4-79-028. September, 1979.

### Articles (con't)

- <u>Duluth Metropolitan Area Bulk Storage Facility Inventory and Fugitive</u>

  <u>Emission Summary</u>. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. April, 1978.
- Environmental Management Strategy for the Great Lakes System. International Reference Group on Great Lakes Pollution from Land Use Activities. Windsor, Ontario. July, 1978.
- Great Lakes Science Advisory Board Annual Report. International Joint Commission. July, 1979.
- Great Lakes Water Quality. International Joint Commission. Windsor, Ontario. July, 1979.
- Kolnsberg, H.J. <u>Development of Measurement Techniques for Fugitive Emissions from Process and Effluent Streams</u>. EPA-600/7-70-116. May, 1979.
- Laube, A.H., and B.A. Drummond. <u>Coke Quench Tower Emission Testing Program</u>. EPA-600/2-79-082. <u>April, 1979</u>.
- McCutchen, G. Overview of Fugitive Emissions. Second Symposium on Fugitive Emissions: Measurement and Control. EPA-600/7-77-148. December, 1977.
- Sievering, H. et al., M. Dave', D.A. Dolske, R.L. Hughes, and P. McCoy.

  An Experimental Study of Lake Loading by Aerosol Transport and Dry

  Deposition in the Southern Lake Michigan Basin. EPA-905/4-79-016.

  July, 1979.
- Technical Guidance for Control of Industrial Process Fugitive Particulate Emissions. EPA-450/3-77-010. March, 1977.
- Technical Analysis of the Adequacy of the State Implementation Plan for the Attainment and Maintenance of Suspended Particulate Ambient Air Quality Standards in the Chicago Air Quality Maintenance Area. IEPA, Division of Air Pollution Control. August, 1979.
- Venditti, F.P., J.A. Armstrong, and M. Durham. Symposium on the Transfer and Utilization of Particulate Control Technology Volume 4 Fugitive Dusts and Sampling, Analysis, and Characterization of Aerosols. EPA-600/7-79-044d. February, 1979.
- Wallace, D., and C. Cowherd Jr. <u>Fugitive Emissions from Iron Foundries</u>. EPA-600/7-79-195. August, 1979.
- Zoller, J., T. Bertke, and T. Janszen. <u>Assessment of Fugitive Particulate Emission Factors for Industrual Processes</u>. <u>EPA-450/3-78-107</u>. September, 1978.

# APPENDIX A STATE OF ILLINOIS FUGITIVE EMISSION SOURCE INVENTORY

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Wood working	Sawing	Wood working	Reverberatory furnace	Cupola furnace	Induction furnace	Coal handling, conveying, crushing	Coal handling	Rail unloading - grain	Protein conveying	Mixing asphalt roofing	Reverberatory brass furnace	Al chip drying	Al reverberatory furnace	Copper smelting - rotary furnace	Copper smelting - reverberatory furnace
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		15/20/40/25	28/28/18/26	25/25/25/25	=	20/33/17/30	25/25/25/25	=	=	27/11/6/56	25/25/25/25	٠,	25/27/21/27	=		25/25/25/25	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron	•	1% < 30	=	=	100% < 1	100% < 20	100% < 1	50% < 10	=	10% < 20	=	b > %09	100% < 1	50% < 7	100% < 1	=	=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		26		2	18	10	0 .	752	752	5-353	1-3	15	22	m	2	138	7
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		27	m	. 01	7	473		164	11	! ! !		H	1 1 1	!	1 1	2	
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr		37600	6840	1313	0999	5400	4500	1600000	1600000	235200	2083	49800	8300	9500	14660	20767	2500
SIC	C00K	2431	2400	2400	3341			4911	4911	2040		2952	3340			3341	
I.D.	COUNTY: CC	031600AA0	031600ABS	031600ABZ	031600AGL			031600AIN	031600AMI	031600AWY	,	031600AMZ	031600A0X			031600АКН	A-1

-																		
PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Steel melting - EAF	Malt handling	Unloading - R.R.	Car loading	Flour operation	Concrete drying	Elevator screening	Asphalt batching	Grain receiving	PbO milling	Kiln	PbC packing	Steel melting - EAF	Cement silo storage	Concrete batching	Cement silo storage	Concrete batching
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	25/25/20/30	Ξ	=	25/25/25/25	2	=	10/20/40/30	25/30/20/25	25/25/25/25	=	=	25/25/25/25	15/23/30/32	Ξ	Ξ	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		80% < 5	10% < 20	Ξ	=	=	20% < 5	Ξ	60% < 4		100% < 16	=	=	80% < 5	58% < 20	20% < 5	58% < 20	20% < 5
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		15	1-137	2-150	0-72	77-1048		2	146	4-50	4		,4	56	70	09	21	6
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		27	c	n	}	27	13	1 1 1	8	<b>.</b> .	;	<b></b> 1	;	12	2	i i i	<b>,1</b>	ł
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	't)	22000	91300	100000	48000	90353	10000	17200	480000	26708	3500	3500	3000	38000	586480	1200000	173000	187600
SIC	COOK (con't)	3462	2083			2041	3270		2950	2099	2816			3323	3270		3273	
I.D.	COUNTY: CO	031600ATP	031600AVZ			031600AWO	031600BBM		031600BJO	031600BNS	031600BNW			031600B0J	031600BPP		031600BQF	A-2

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Asphalt batching	Cement loading	Shot blasting	Metal conveying	Zinc rotary furnace	Wood sawing	Shot blasting	Sand transfering	Zinc smelting	Sodium tripolyphosphate reactor	Asphalt batching	Brick kiln	Grain handling	Bean cleaning	Cocoa handling	Soybean handling	Flake drying
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		0/25/50/25	=	25/25/25/25	Ξ	=	2	=	=	Ξ	=	0/10/40/50	15/30/25/30	28/25/22/25	30/20/15/35	=	25/25/25/25	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		60% < 4	58% < 20	50% < 15	100% < 100	100% < 1	1% < 30	50% < 15	=	100% < 1	;	60% < 4		10% < 20	=	=	=	=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		110	38	1-3		3-7	က	8-41	40	15-39	99	124	498	82-1114	1-19	1-14	8-300	5-184
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		ო	1	2	2	7	က	45	9		24	2	!	4	f i i	က	30	35
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	't)	360000	1880000	6500	2000	11000	2062	102400	59850	3000	39500	360000	148580	00096	1600	2700	00099	46000
SIC	COOK (con't)	2951	4463	3399	2653	3340	3944	3473	3479	.3341	2819	2951	3251	2083	2065		2040	(
1.D.	COUNTY: CC	031600BRV	031600СЈН	031600CRQ	031600DXA	031600EDK	031600EMV	031600AAW	031600ABC	031600A0L	031600AQW	031600ARY	031600ASE	031600ATR	031600CBQ		031600EBN	A-3

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Meal grinding	Soybean loading	Lime handling	Lime hydrator	Hydrate separating	Lime loading	Flue dust handling	Lime kiln	Lime kiln pile road	Lime unloading	Sand crushing	Steel melting - EAF	Grain storage	Meal unloading	Ship loading	Grain handling	Grain loading
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	Ξ	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	<b>:</b>	=	0/53/23/24	0/33/33/34	=	10/20/30/40	2
PARTICLE SIZE micron		10% < 20	=	95% < 20	=	. =	=	=	=	=	=	. 50% < 15	80% < 5	10% < 20	=	=	=	2
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		6-285	5-120	27	10	14	48	2	95	191	14	14	28-56	89	118	29	852-13380	177
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		က	2	က	6.	ო	S	1,	4	!	17	10	ო	1		;	വ	1
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	t)	62000	00989	229000	24800	18000	400000	40000	235900	1 1	000089	20918	11200	166660	166660	166660	1200000	1200000
SIC	COOK (con't)	2040		3274							5039	3325		4789			4221	
1.D.	COUNTY: CC	031600EBN		031600ADY							031600AED	031600AED		031600AHI			031600AIE	A-4

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Steel melting - EAF	Iron melting blast furnace	Ore transfering	Limestone handling	Sinter handling	Iron ore storage	Metal flux storage	Slag storage	Flue dust storage	Coke transfering	Coke storage	Steel melting - BOF	Steel melting - EAF	Slab grinding	Billet grinding	Sintering	AOD vessel	Sand handling
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	- and	=	=	=	=	=	=	1 1 1
PARTICLE SIZE micron		80% < 5	50% < 70	50% < 180	95% < 20	10% < 5	50% < 180	3 1 1	50% < 17	50% < 70	90% < 10	=	5 > %06	80% < 5	50% < 15	=	10% < 5	! ! !	50% < 15
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		82	443	874	109	99	515	141	9	82	11	98	24	40	2-18	479-4755	289-830	120	41
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		140	21		;	! !	;	!	{	;	í ! !	f 1 1	199	12	Q	15	889	18	٩
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	t)	117000	1978000	;	] ! !	\$ \$ 1	!	] !	!	!	] 	; ! !	94600	26800	2760	698880	454880	121000	00009
D. SIC	/: COOK (con't)	JALZ 3312																	1
I.D.	COUNTY:	031600ALZ									,							J	<b>√-5</b>

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Core oven	Iron melting blast furnace	Iron casting	Sintering	Unpaved road	Sinter storage	Blast furnace flue dust storage	Sinter discharging	Sinter transfering	Limestone storage	Coke breeze storage	Iron ore sinter storage	Limestone storage	Dolomite stone storage	Coke pile	Slag hanging	Coking process
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	=	=	=	=	z	=	=	z	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		50% < 15	50% < 70	=	10% < 5	1	10% < 5	50% < 70	10% < 5	=	90% < 20	90% < 10	50% < 180	90% < 20	Ξ	90% < 10	50% < 17	90% < 10
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		6-47	282	327-3253	286-821	642	966	957	295	236	246	വ	1396	38	25	18	6	232
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		; 1 1	177	37	753	; !	!	;	; ; !	! ! !	! !	! ! !	j. 1 1	; ; ;	f L 1	; ; ;	1 1 1	27
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	t)	15500	626000	478000	450000	;	t E 1	. (   	1 1 1	1 1	1	! ! !	j.    -	)   	1 1 1	1	1 1 1	217100
I.D. SIC	COUNTY: COOK (con't)	031600ALZ 3312	031600AMA 3312															031600AMB 3312

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Battery charging	Mill furnace	Iron scarfing	Iron reladling	Iron melting blast furnace	Billet grinding	Lead adding	Coal conveying-crushing	Coal loading	Iron ore loading	Coke storage	Billet scarfing	Blast furnace	Hot scarfing	Mill soaking pit	Wire mill - lead quenching	Iron finishing
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	<b>:</b>	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		90% < 10	=	100% < 2	5 > %06	. 50% < 70	50% < 15	=	50% < 10	=	50% < 180	90% < 10	100% < 2	50% < 70	100% < 2	=	Ξ	=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		578	11	2	102	192	1	8	250	70	943	65	-	157	က	1	17	6-32
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr			: !	46	6101	8 8	22	8 8 1	17	! ! !	) 	1 † 1	2	ω	37	; ;	!	H
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	t)	217100	80000	300000	408600	1358000	25600	100000	250000	1 1 1	1 3 1	! !	1 1 1	432500	000009	71250	10000	81000
SIC	00K (con'	3312												3312				
I.D.	COUNTY: COOK (con't)	031600AMB												031600AMC				А

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Mill grit blasting	Mill grinding	Dust collecting	Mill billet	Coking oven	Iron melting - BOF	Steel melting - EAF	EAF charging	Unpaved road	Steel scrap storage	Coal handling	Coke handling	Taconite storage	Limestone storage	Coke storage	Slag handling	Grain truck dumping	
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25	=		=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	*	z	7	10/20/30/40	
PARTICLE SIZE micron		100% < 2	=	=	=	90% < 10	=	80% < 5	=	!	1	50% < 10	90% < 10	100% < 100	90% < 20	90% < 10	20% < 70	10% < 20	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		3-16	2-8	15	ł	227	132	99	22	428	130	178	10	799	75	. <b>∞</b>	9	144-1575	
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr			1	99	-	74	16	202	34	;	}	-	!	ļ	i i	!	! !	0	
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	't)	40000	20000	20000	15000	135000	527250	94000	81217	!	!	1	!	1 2	3 2 1	}	ł	000006	
SIC	COOK (con't)	3312																4221	
I.D.	COUNTY: CO	031600AMC									,							031600AMD	A

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Grain transfering	Grain boxcar dumping	Grain barge receiving	Grain screening-cleaning	Grain storage	Grain drying	Grain shipping	Grain loading spout	Grain conveying	Grain barge unloading	Grain truck dumping	Grain hopper car dumping	Belt boot leg	Leg boot	Grain scaling	Grain cleaning	Grain conveying	Grain pulverizing
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		10/20/30/40	=	=	50/0/0/50	Ξ	40/0/0/60	10/20/30/40	Ξ	50/10/20/20	10/20/30/40	=	=	Ξ	=	=	40/0/0/60	10/20/30/40	= 1
PARTICLE SIZE micron		10% < 20	=	=	=	Ξ	2	=	=	=	=	=	=	Ξ	=	=	2	=	· 1
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		450-1125	24-1800	1-1050	27-1325	27-1325	14-600	2-3633	29	280-700	48-2100	144-1575	300	300	300	450	17-828	600-1500	31
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		-	-	-	<b>.</b>	2	18	· <b>—</b>	:	\$   	က	;	2	5.	4	7	54	<b>1</b>	1 11
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	't)	000006	1200000	000009	288000	288000	150000	2076000	! !	260000	1200000	000006	120000	120000	000006	1800000	1800000	1200000	62000
SIC	C00K (con't)	4221								4221									1
I.D.	COUNTY: C	031600AMD								031600ANE								ļ	\-9

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Grain pelletizing	Grain R.R. loading	Grain truck dumping	Grain boxcar dumping	Grain hopper car dumping	Rack drying	Grain shipping	Loading spout and road	Brass smelting rotary furnace	Grain handling	Flour drying	Grain bulk unloading	MIAG scalperating	Grain screening	Grain purifying	Vacuum transfering	Grain shipping
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	50/0/0/50	10/20/30/40	=	=	40/0/0/60	30/15/40/15	10/20/30/40	25/25/25/25	30/20/20/30	27/21/28/24	27/28/26/19	25/25/25/25	=	=	=	0/66/0/0
PARTICLE SIZE micron		10% < 20	<u>=</u>	2	=	=	=	=	=	100% < 1	10% < 20	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		2	8-1650	115-1260	24-2100	600-1500	9-360	06	301	4	1463-21227	1-32	3-32	1-61	3-127	2		-
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		;	1	-1		П	i i i	3 8	1 1 1	1	9	0	0	14	2	2		;
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	t)	82000	1100000	1440000	1200000	1200000	00006	000009	1 1	2008	1590000	8100	18000	13300	27500	13000	400	411600
SIC	C00K (con't)	4221		4221						3331	2083	2043						
1.D.	COUNTY: CO	031600ANE		031600AQE						031600AUB	031600ANJ							A-10

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Milletors	Grain receiving	Screening & cleaning	Grain drying	Coke oven charging – pushing	Quenching	Door leaking	Coke storage	Sand handling	Assembly & casting operation	Mould metal mixing	Sand muller	Aggregate drying	Briquet drying	Briquet screening	Ore clinkers
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	0/0/20/80	Ξ	Ξ	25/25/25/25	=	=	=	=	Ξ	=	Ξ	0/10/50/40	25/25/25/25	=	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		10% < 20	=	Ξ	Ξ	90% < 10	Ξ	=	=	50% < 15	=	Ξ	z	60% < 4	; ; ;	!	50% < 180
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		2	330-3613	322-1545	19-784	936	130	10	140	06	4-165	45	39	114	40	29	175
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		H	1		<b></b>	:	23	1 2 5	;	! !	:	6	6	2	2	0	! !
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	't)	13300	2065000	336000	196000	217600	217600	217600	. 1	)     	80000	65260	57000	20000	40000	40000	1 6 1
SIC	C00K (con't)	2043.	2041			3312				3320				2951	2499		3399
1.D.	COUNTY: CO	031600ANJ	031600BEU			031600BFB				031600BFD				0316000GT	031600DVV		031600EEV

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Sinter handling	Flue dust	Asphalt batching	Sand mixing	Shakeout	Mold pouring	Aggregate handling		Coal storage	Iron reverberatory furnace	Iron rotary furnace	Iron cupola	Asphalt batching	Coal receiving	Coal conveying	Coal storage	Unpaved road	Storage pile
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	Ξ	5/10/55/30	=	=	=	5/15/50/30		27/25/24/24	25/25/25/25	Ξ	20/30/20/30	4/8/50/38	25/25/25/25	=	=	10/30/30/30	z
PARTICLE SIZE micron		10% < 15	50% < 70	60% < 4	100% < 15	Ξ	=	60% < 4		50% < 10	100% < 1	=	100% < 20	60% < 4	50% < 10	=	Ξ	1 1 1	100% < 100
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		51-146	112	55	53	42-451	0-17	86		46-91	18	7	11	171	3400	12450	7802-15438	18	2
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		2	)   	က	! !			12		59	7	10	17	<b>&amp;</b>	20	!	!	;	;
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	t)	80000	1	180000	77400	70400	8400	320000		97790	7000	3000	2900	260000	3400000	16600000.	16600000	1 1	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
SIC	C00K (con't)	2999		2951	3320			3999	LAKE	2819	3341			2951	4911			2951	
I.D.	COUNTY: C	031600EKT		031288AAB	031288AAD			031288ABN	COUNTY: L	097125AAA	097125AAG			097140AAA	097190AAC			097190AAJ	N-12

I

I

I

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Silica grinding	Rock drying	Storage pile	Unpaved road	Mixing packing	Drying-crushing	Calcining	Tube mill	Cement unloading	Truck loading	Asphalt batching	Storage pile	Unpaved road	Concrete batching	Storage pile	Unpaved road
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		21/27/28/24	20/25/30/25	25/25/25/25	=	20/25/30/25	=	=	=	10/30/30/30	Ξ	5/10/50/35	Ξ	=	11/21/36/32	Ξ	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		60% < 4	100% < 100	=	-	95% < 20	Ξ	=	=	58% < 20	2	60% < 4	=	i i	20% < 5	=	1 1
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		1	15	17	. 7		45	18	,4	140	12	223	52	ស	27	17	40
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		2	39	i i	:		0	6	!	0	;	54	! !	i i i	;	f i 1	1
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	't)	13000	150000	1	;	60975	180000	45200	110400	700000	100000	730000	1 8	* *	420000	3 8	i 1 1
SIC	IKE (con	2951	3275							3275		2951			3273		
1.D.	COUNTY: LAKE (con't)	097190AAJ	097190AAP							097190ADB		097809AAB			097811AAB		

# APPENDIX B STATE OF INDIANA FUGITIVE EMISSION SOURCE INVENTORY

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Corn dirt silo, recovery exhaust in building	Flush drying	Rotary drying	Fired cooling	Feed pill returning	Finished feel conveying	Waxy feed milling, conveying	Waxy feed drying	Waxy germ conveying	Recovery 1st stage germ	Gluten drying	Gluten cooling	Gluten conveying	Starch ring drying	Starch bin storage	Starch loading	Starch conveying
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	=	Ξ	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		10% < 20	=	Ξ	Ξ	=	=	=	=	Ξ	=	=	=	=	=	=		=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		4500-11250	32-1354	4-182	13-532	24-59	126-315	34-84	22-929	28-71	51-126	15-627	3-121	17-43	25-1054	603-1506	15-386	284-709
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		6	95	09	. 23	47	104	14	116	19	51	20	30	7	217	181	11	42
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr		0000006	338520	44584	133006	47040	252000	67200	232260	26700	100901	156635	30240	34440	263562	1205064	210000	267000
SIC	LAKE	2046																
I.D.	COUNTY:	2000																B-1

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Syrup solid	Sand kiln & cooling cooler	Foundry shakeout	Sand screening	Tumblast	Steel foundry - EAF	Kirk & Blum cooling	Pallet manufacturing	Shot blast	Foundry sand reclamation	Steel foundry -EAF	Asphalt roofing	Lead smelting	Brick manufacturing	Rotary drying	Coke oven	
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	s	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	
PARTICLE SIZE micron		10% < 20	50% < 15	50% < 15	50% < 15	50% < 15	100% < 1	50% < 15		50% < 15	=	100% < 1	60% < 4	100% < 1	20% < 5		90% < 10	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		23-58	27	624-6656	26	4-18	44	26-131	4	1-4	55	35	13	116-646	14	21	139	
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		23	21	96	18	က	9	. 64	10	9	10	324	S	6	20	7	! !	
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	on't)	46200	41600	1040000	149670	45500	62400	327600	6916	10400	83200	49920	41500	122855	55119	20518	2748575	
SIC	LAKE (con't)		3325						2499	3323		3343	2952	3341	3297		3312	
I.D.	COUNTY:								2000	6000			0012	0013	0014		0015	
																	D	•

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Coke charging	Coke pushing	Coke quenching	Underfiring	Steel melting - OHF	BOF deslaging	Iron melting - blast	Steel scarfing	Coal storage	Steel finishing	Sintering	Blast furnace casting	Steel melting - EAF	Coke calcining	Sand handling	Coal storage	Coal storage
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	=	=	=	*	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	<b>=</b>	=	24/25/25/26	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		90% < 10	z	Ξ	=	75% < 5	5 > %06	50% < 70	100% < 2	50% < .10	50% < 15	10% < 5	50% < 70	80% < 5	90% < 10	50% < 15	50% < 10	=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		1376	829	1964	5499	160	1414	2206	23	94-199	5-22	727-2092	756	246	12-24	13	535-1135	899-1907
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		! ! !	2	1272	329	212	;	20	65	48	82	140	1	19	. 23	2	2094	2693
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	con't)	2668516	3273435	3273400	3273400	1910500	3738570	4901270	4182614	228458	366000	1145329	4901550	350915	120000	20905	1304994	2192103
SIC	LAKE (con't)	3312								3312					2999	3321	4911	4911
1.0.	COUNTY:	0015								0016					0024	0025	0032	0035

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Gypsum transfering	Kiln drying	Battery charging	Coking cycle	Battery pushing	Coke quenching	Underfiring	Blast furnace	Coke screening	Sinter discharging	Sintering	Iron scarfering	Coal storage	Coal screening	Steel melting - BOF	Battery charging	Coking cycle	
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	z	Ξ	z	Ξ	=	Ξ	=	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	=	Ξ	Ξ	26/26/24/24	25/25/25/25	2	
PARTICLE SIZE micron		95% < 20	=	90% < 10	=	2	z	Ξ	50% < 70	90% < 10	10% < 5	100% < 5	100% < 2	50% < 10	=	<b>3</b> > %06	90% < 10	<b>=</b>	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/vr		188		1720	154	1217	418	8552	2176	17-93	2383-6847	2383-6847	16	30-64	11-60	3300	128	263	
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/vr		2	1 1 1	152	49	1 1	312	535	635	32	120	2656	10	929	23	1308	23	52	
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/vr	:on't)	456462	125840	4047103	4058848	4058848	4058848	5090848	4835466	1437122	3752000	4415760	2910895	74093	929687	13200000	301750	1032000	
SIC	LAKE (con't)	3275		3312							3312								
<u>.</u>	COUNTY:	0037		0038							6600	•							

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Battery charging	Lead smelting - blast furnace	Lead smelting - reverberator furnace	Casting	Lead kettling	Cement manufacturing	Sintering	Scarfering	Iron melting - BOF	Coal storage	Blast furnace	Coke oven	Battery charging	Battery pushing	Quenching	Copper smelting
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	Ξ	Ξ	z	z	30/25/15/30	25/25/25/25	=	=	31/30/21/18	25/25/25/25	=	=	=	Ξ	z
PARTICLE SIZE		90% < 10	100% < 1		=	100% < 16	58% < 20	10% < 5	100% < 2	5 > %06	50% < 10	50% < 70	90% < 10	=	=	:	100% < 1
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		91	158	39	9	1 (Pb)	3456-5966	10-30	11	713	9-19	1023	2037	404	280	669	24
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		1 1 1	ю	2	:	: :	3905	က	14	218	181	6919	! !	70	† ! !	79	က
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	con't)	301700	26280	6570	15825	3120	652000	16192	1986768	2850854	21294	2273907	1164467	1164467	1164467	1164467	10056
SIC	LAKE (con't)	3312	3341				3241	3312									3341
I.D.	COUNTY:	0039	0041				0042	0044		,							900 B-

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Brass/rev. furnace	Lead smelting furnace	Zinc smelting furnace	Lime calcining kiln	Lime dust handling	Lime crushing	Asphalt batching	Asphalt batching	Steel finishing	Al smelting furnace	Concrete batching	Copper powder production	White lead drying	Asphalt batching	Al production	Lead smelting rev. furnace	Mineral drying
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	•	=		=	0/20/40/40	=	25/25/25/25	=	5/25/35/35	26/26/22/26	25/25/25/25	0/40/50/10	25/25/25/25	s	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		100% 1	100% < 16	100% < 2	95% < 20	95% < 20	=	60% < 4	=	50% < 15	100% < 2	20% < 5		100% < 1	60% < 4	100% < 2	100% < 16	100% < 100
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		19	4	6	209	109	238	128	9	_	151	15	2	23	27	99	17-98	87
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		က	<b>,1</b>	-	303	i	!	10	4	2	523		<del>,1</del>	2	21	17	20	വ
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	con't)	7104	4050	5100	1517015	727594	167522	420480	20000	154000	1372800	83200	0009	18565	00006	00009	12480	87360
SIC	LAKE (con't)	3341			3274			2951	2951	3415	3341	3273	3399	3341	2951	3341	3341	1499
1.D.	COUNTY:	9000			0070			0073	0074	2200	. 0084	0093	8600	0100	0140	0140	0142	0144 -

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Asphalt batching	Concrete batching	Asphalt batching	Brick manufacturing	Roof granule blast grit.	Foundry cleaning		Iron melting blast furnace	Steel melting - BOF	Scarfing	Coke oven	Sintering	Coal storage	Grain handling	Asphalt batching
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		0/25/50/25	20/25/35/20	0/40/50/10	25/25/25/25	20/30/30/20	25/25/25/25		25/25/25/25	=	2	=	=	Ξ	z	9/2/30/60
PARTICLE SIZE micron		60% < 4	20% < 5	60% < 4	20% < 5	100% < 100	50% < 15		50% < 70	90% < 5	100% < 2	90% < 10	10% < 5	50% < 10	10% < 20	60% < 4
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		22	337	24	99	596	6-32		1502	1976	11	4100	1294-3719	411-872	59	31
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		16	19	18	က	51	10		14	504	10	109	183	449	; ;	23
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	on't)	72000	1872000	80000	46244	196000	80000		3336686	7904880	2057324	2440649	2037629	1002205	49000	100000
SIC	LAKE (con't)	2951	3273	2951	3297	3295	3310	PORTER	3312					4911	5153	2951
I.D.	COUNTY:	0147	0150	0162	0163	0165	0166	COUNTY:	0001					0005	2000	0016

APPENDIX C
STATE OF MICHIGAN FUGITIVE EMISSION SOURCE INVENTORY

PROCESS DESCRIPTION	Coal storage	,	Stone crushing	Lime milling		Cement grinding	Concrete batching	Iron melting - EAF	Iron melting - EIF	Steel melting - EAF	Asphalt batching	Steel melting - EAF	Foundry shakeout & finishing	Grain drying	Coal storage	Al smelting reverberatory furnace
OPERATING* SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec	25/25/25/25		=	=		=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	
PARTICLE SIZE micron	50% < 10		100% < 100	95% < 20		58% < 20	20% < 25	100% <	100% < 1	=	60% < 4	. 100% < 1	50% < 15	100% < 20	50% < 10	100% < 2
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr	16-32		22-41	39		1019-1529	2	4	3-6	4-13	.17	4-12	20-194	47-639	14-29	1
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr	3539		<b></b> :			19	-	!!!	! ! !	 	7	i : !	1 1 1	1 1	4	2
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	35444		460	420		390000	24589	5200	1200	7300	47758	7000	28500	55055	32000	1083
SIC	ALGER 2621	ARENAC	1422	3274	BAY	3241	3272	3321			2950	3321		4221	2063	3714
I.D.	COUNTY:	COUNTY:	B4970	M1856	COUNTY:	A0224	A0227	A0233			B1485	B1487		B1491	B1493	B2460

\* The seasonal operation schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file.

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Zinc pot furnace	Coal storage	Coal storage		Foundry muller	Foundry muller	Foundry shakeout	Iron melting - EAF	Iron melting - EIF	Foundry muller	Foundry shakeout	Iron melting cupola	Iron melting cupola	Foundry muller	Foundry shakeout	Asphalt batching	Asphalt batching	
OPERATING * SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	Ξ		Ξ	Ξ	=	= .	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	nyantory fila
PARTICLE SIZE micron		100% < 2	50% < 10	=		50% < 15	=	=	100% < 1	=	50% < 15	= .	100% < 20	=	50% < 15	=	60% < 4	60% < 4	* The seasonal oneration schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		19	212-428	275-557		7-31	28-132	196-2086	134-334	4-12	283-3204	40-191	43-299	37-259	40-190	282-3010	15	2	ilahla in the M
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		:	619	3204		;	49	က	17	!	4	4	37	13	71.	2	2	19	dule is not ava
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	ın't)	13022	470000	612000	1	77500	32600	32600	44800	3630	470900	470900	86200	74861	470250	470250	20000	15000	neration sche
SIC	BAY (con't)	3714	4911	4911	BERRIEN	1442	3322				3322			3321			1611	2951	o Leuose
I.D.	COUNTY:	B2460	B2840	B2844	COUNTY:	A0367	81511				B1512			B2404			B5838	B6223	* The st

\* The seasonal operation schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file.

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Iron melting cupola	Casting cleaning	Casting shakeout		Asphalt batching	Stone crushing		Iron ore conveying	Coal storage	Asphalt batching	Asphalt batching		Coal storage	Coal storage	Sand crushing		Stone crushing	
OPERATING* SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec		25/25/25/25	=	2		=	=		z	=	Ξ	=		Ξ	=	=		=	wentowy file
PARTICLE SIZE micron		100% < 1	50% < 15	=		60% < 20	100% < 100		=	50% < 10	60% < 4	=		50% < 10	=	100% < 100		z	* The ceasonal operation schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		26-180	4-21	31-333	•	9	2387-4466		518	37-74	15	9		115-232	8-17	688-1288		4294-8034	ilable in the Mi
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		22	;	!		4	110	·	262	630	80	က		185	106	32		198	oduje je not ava
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	<pre>(con't)</pre>	52000	22000	52000	MA	13700	2200000		00000069	81504	22000	21400		254588	18450	634597	AC	3958000	onoration coho
SIC	BERRIEN	3321			CHIPPEWA	1611	1422	DELTA	4010	9349	1494	1494	HURON	4911	2063	1422	MACKINAC	1422	leuosec
I.D.	COUNTY:	86578			COUNTY:	B1566	B2362	COUNTY:	<b>B1570</b>	B1573	B5239	B5240	COUNTY:	B2815	B2873	B4944	COUNTY:	B4924	* Tho

<sup>\*</sup> The seasonal operation schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file. C-

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Concrete batching	Asphalt batching	Iron melting cupola	Foundry muller	Foundry shakeout	Concrete batching	Brass electric induction furnace	Concrete batching		Coal storage	Coal storage				
OPERATING * SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	<b>.</b>	=	<b>=</b>	=	= ,	=	=	z	=	=		Ξ	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		20% < 5	60% < 4	100% < 20	50% < 15	=	20% < 5	100% < 1	20% < 5	=	=	=	=		50% < 10	=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		31	18	30-343	2	67-673	37	. 2	16	10	12	9	10		47-95	548-1107
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		1 1 1 -	1	40	က	H	-	4	i i i	! !	!	1 1	i i i		354	926
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr		155000	20000	49000	422400	49000	184000	3879	80000	20000	00009	30000	20000	hnl	103973	1216782
SIC	MACOMB	3273	2951	3321			3273	3362	3273	3272	3273	3273	3273	MARQUETTE	4911	4911
1.D.	COUNTY:	A3179	A3352	B1783			B4124	B5635	B5852	B6264	86277	B6280	B6287	COUNTY:	B1833	B4261

\* The seasonal operation schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file.

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Lime calcining	Iron melting cupola	Foundry sand handling muller	Lime calcining	Asphalt batching	Coal storage		Coalstorage		. Concrete batching	Coal storage	Coal storage	Coal storage		Coal storage
OPERATING* SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	2	=	=	=	=		z		z	=	Ξ	Ξ		Ξ
PARTICLE SIZE		95% < 20	100% < 20	50% < 15	95% < 20	60% < 4	50% < 10		50% < 10		20% < 5	50% < 10	=	=		Ξ
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		406	86-6	83-828	619	20	4-8		26-52		က	18-37	1803-3647	392-793		68-136
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		122	64	1	420	7	41		282		1 1 1	212	4476	552		104
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr		230000	30001	121709	350000	29000	8760	шļ	57197		17000	40682	4007844	871000		150489
SIC	MASON	3297	3321		2810	2951	4452	MONOMINEE	2621	MONROE	3273	3465	4911	4911	MUSKEGON	2611
I.D.	COUNTY:	A3933	A3932		B1846	B1851	B4114	COUNTY:	B1855	COUNTY:	A4097	A4127	B2816	B2846	COUNTY:	A4203

\* The seasonal operation schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file.

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Concrete batching	Foundry sand handling shakeout	Foundry sand handling shakeout	Iron melting cupola	Foundry sand handling muller	Shakeout	Steel melting electric induction furnace	Asphalt batching	Foundry sand handling muller	Foundry shakeout	Foundry chipping	Foundry sand handling muller	Iron melting cupola	
SCHEDULE  1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec  1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	z	=	=	Ξ	=	=	z	=	=	=	=	nventory file.
PARTICLE SIZE micron		20% < 5	50% < 15	Ξ	100% < 20	50% < 15	=	100% < 1	60% < 4	50% < 15	=	=	=	100% < 20	the Michigan emission inventory file.
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		11	10-102	3-27	8-82	13-60	82-878		14		21-242	2-9	<del>1</del>	4-70	
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		t t	2	\$ ! !	30	m	က	1 5 1	9	2	2	က	<u> </u>	06	* The seasonal operation schedule is not available in
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	N (con't)	57000	15000	4000	25136	148775	137156	2264	40000	33936	35616	21807	72000	70253	peration sche
SIC	MUSKEGON	3273	3361	3362	3714			3321	2951	3321			3321		asonal o
I.D.	COUNTY:	A4231	A4238	A4242	A4302			A4315	B1893	B1906			81907		* The se

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Foundry shakeout	Casting chipping	Iron melting - EAF	Core oven	Foundry shakeout	Foundry chipping	Foundry shakeout	Steel melting - EAF	Steel melting electric induction furnace	Sand muller	Coal storage		Coal storage	Coal storage	Copper smelting furnace	Copper converting
OPERATING* SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec		=	=	=	=	Ξ	Ξ	=	=	=	=	=		=	=	=	= .
PARTICLE SIZE micron		50% < 15	z	100% < 1	50% < 10	50% < 15	=	=	100% < 1	=	50% < 15	50% < 10		50% < 10	50% < 10	50% < 37	2
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		10-104	2-12	14-27	42-356	3-31	-	1-13	5-10	1	-	663-1340		20-40	28-57	3666	592
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		!	S	œ	59	1 · 1	!	. :	; ; ;	1		3477		489	506	537	2223
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	MUSKEGON (con't)	16320	30387	5486	117072	4781	2665	2000	1800	1300	34000	1473000	RON	44006	62452	232776	50581
SIC	-	3321		3321				3320		3320		4911	ONTONAGON	2631	1021		,
1.D.	COUNTY:	B1907		B1908				B1925		B1929		B2836	COUNTY:	A5754	B1966		

\* The seasonal operation schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file.

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Concrete batching	Concrete silo	Brass electric induction furnace	Foundry muller	Foundry shakeout	Coal storage		Stone crushing	Coal storage		Lime mill		Grain milling	Steel scarfing	Steel production - BOF	Steel production - EAF	
OPERATING* SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	=	=	2	=		=	=		Ξ		=	=	Ξ	Ξ	,
PARTICLE SIZE micron		20% < 5	=	100% < 1	50% < 15	=	50% < 10		100% < 100	50% < 10		95% < 20		10% < 20	100% < 2	30% < 5	100% < 1	•
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		12	18	1		24-258	663-1340		11888	3-6		9609		695-737	15	903	478	
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		)     	1	}	1 1 1	1 !	5207		54	1 1		121		32	32	1200	4	
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr		00009	00006	5200	40300	40300	1473000	ISLE	11500000	6100	RAFT	3250000		144050	2761843	3612823	683333	•
SIC	OTTAWA	3272		3362			4911	PRESOUE ISLE	1422		SCH00LCRAFT	1422	MAYNE	2082	3312			•
1.0.	COUNTY:	A5872		A5879			B2835	COUNTY:	B4925		COUNTY:	B4931	COUNTY:	A6928	A7809			F

\* The seasonal operation schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file.

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Coke battery	Iron sintering	Agglomerate blast furnace	Iron melting cupola	Lead smelting pot furnace	Coal storage	Coke battery	Ore handling	Blast furnace	Steel production - BOF	Slab scarfing	Casting cleaning	Steel production - EAF	Iron cupola	Shakeout	Iron melting electric induction furnace
OPERATING* SCHEDULE  1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec		25/25/25/25	=	=	=	Ξ	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		90% < 10	10% < 5	50% < 70	100% < 20	100% < 16	50% < 10	90% < 10	100% < 100	50% < 70	5 > %06	100% < 2	50% < 15	100% < 1	100% < 20	50% < 15	100% < 1
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		3647	897-2579	494-1612	6-33	11	16-33	2679	3192	926	642		6-32	516	83-573	227-2250	78-210
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		3369	4897	98	<b>,1</b>	11	22	1819	160	1704	029	70	12	28	23	2	
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	WAYNE (con't)	2171263	413089	3048647	3000	23625	36214	1594418	3191827	2124316	2568733	936684	79912	736588	165375	330762	65280
SIC	i i	3312			3321	3341	3711	3312							3321		
I.D.	COUNTY:	A7809			A7816	A7835	A8631	A8640							A8646		

\* The seasonal operation schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file.

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Steel production - EAF	Grain cleaning	Coke battery	Brass electric induction	Brass electric induction	Agglomerate blast furnace	Steel production - BOF	Steel production - EAF	Steel scarfing	Iron melting cupola	Iron electric induction furnace	Foundry - BOF	Foundry casting	Lime calcining	Coal storage	Coal storage	
OPERATING * SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		25/25/25	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	=	Ξ	=	=	ventory file.
PARTICLE SIZE micron		100% < 1	10% < 20	90% < 10	100% < 1	100% < 1	50% < 70	90% < 5	80% < 5	100% < 2	100% < 20	100% < 1	5 > %06	50% < 15	95% < 20	50% < 10	=	in the Michigan emission inventory file.
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		9	926-99	632	က	80	675	408	126	4	93-668	38-103	306	16-110	539	91-185	267-541	
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		ì	1 1	397	7	<b></b> 1	4	17	19	80	33	28	20	7	35	416	! !	* The seasonal operation schedule is not available
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	WAYNE (con't)	8020	71758	376171	0689	18715	1499168	1630135	179443	800000	192718	31992	1223854	11781	343237	202783	594115	operation sched
SIC	WAYNE	3321	2083	2999	3362	3351	3312				3321				3274	4911	4911	asonal
I.D.	COUNTY:	A8646	A9036	A9740	B0673	B2081	B2116				B2166		B2166		82169	B2800	B2810	*C-10

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Coal storage	Coal storage	Coal storage	Coal storage	Asphalt batching	Gypsum conveying	Gypsum grinding	Gypsum drying	Lime calcining kiln	Cement manufacturing	Coal storage	Asphalt batching	Stone crushing	Casting refractory crushing
OPERATING* SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	2	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		50% < 10	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	60% < 4	95% < 20	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	58% < 20	50% < 10	60% < 4	100% < 100	10% < 100
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		808-1634	235-458	63-129	8-16	27	1991	10	30	396-724	2968	33-66	52	570	94
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		i i	978	208	72	10	2	- [	52	40	217	200	21	20	
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	(con't)	1795116	522856	141305	18010	73296	796393	24089	296688	79138	260000	72447	142481	525523	36000
SIC	MAYNE	4911	4911	4911	4911	2952	3275			3274	3241	2812	2952	3295	3255
1.0.	COUNTY:	B2811	B2812	B3009	B3011	B3195	B3518			B3120	B3567	B4009	B4237	B4243	B6078

\* The seasonal operation schedule is not available in the Michigan emission inventory file.

APPENDIX D
STATE OF MINNESOTA FUGITIVE EMISSION SOURCE INVENTORY

PROCESS DESCRIPTION	Asphalt roofing	Coal storage	Grain handling	Coal storage	Grain handling	Grain conveying	Grain distributing	Grain screening	Grain handling	Iron ore crushing	Iron ore loading	Iron ore storage	Coking oven	Coke quenching	Coke oven pushing	Coal unloading	Coke oven charging	Coke oven door leakage
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4	0/0/20/20	25/25/25/25	10/20/30/40	42/25/13/20	10/20/35/35	2	=	=	Ξ	25/25/25/25	=	Ξ	16/17/34/33	=	=	=	=	
PARTICLE SIZE micron	. 60% < 4	50% < 10	10% < 20	50% < 10	10% < 20	=	=	=	=	100% < 100	=	Ξ	100% < 10	Ξ	=	50% < 10	100% < 10	=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr	35	154-331	5796-84105	10-22	1018-14765	554	227	14-662	1021-14819	1868	200	14550	104-234	218	522	104	221	26
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr	135	287	4	172	9	34	; ! !	18	555	i i i	! ! !	j E 1	912	164	157	105	391	
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	12000	385400	6300000	25468	1106000	2216000	1106000	114000	1110000	74727669	10000000	10000000	521635	363863	521635	518935	518935	
SIC ST. LOUIS	3531	4911	4221	4911	4221	4221	4221	4221	4221	1011	1011	1011	3312	3312	3312	3312	3312	3312
1.D.	0001	0013	0021	0022	0023					, 0032			0035	ı				D-1

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Coke oven crushing	Asphalt batching	Asphalt rotary dryer	Asphalt roofing	Asphalt rotary dryer	Grain handling	Grain conveying	Grain distributing	Asphalt rotary dryer	Coal storage		Coal storage	Ore conveying	Iron ore crushing	Others not defined
SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		16/17/34/33	0/0/20/20	=	=	=	0/20/40/40	= .	=	0/0/20/20	50/30/10/10		28/27/17/28	25/25/25/25	=	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		100% < 10	b > %09	=	Ξ	=	10% < 20	Ξ	z	b > %09	50% < 10		=	100% < 100	=	=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		6-34	15	വ	59	10	994-14418	135	38	ю	1-3		59-126	7500	2500	*
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		<b>!</b>	;	:	;	;	2	42	! ! !	<b>-</b> -1	168		1107	∞	1	18568
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	ST. LOUIS (con't)	521635	20000	20000	100000	100000	1080000	540000	150000	12000	3496		146656	10000000	10000000	10000000
SIC	ST. LO	3312	2951	2951	3531	3531	4221	4221	4221	3531	4431	LAKE	1011	1011	1011	1011
I.D.	COUNTY:	0035	, 9800		0037	·	0055			0058	6500	COUNTY:	0003			

\*This source has potential to be a large fugitive emitter due to the nature of the operation, the large number of undefined point sources, and the large mass throughput annually.

## APPENDIX E STATE OF OHIO FUGITIVE EMISSION SOURCE INVENTORY

PROCESS DESCRIPTION	Coal storage	Lime calcining	Feraloy furnace	Coal storage		Steel Scarfing	Steel melting BOF	Iron melting blast furnace	Iron charging	Brass smelting	Flour milling	Coal storage	Coal storage	Sand handling	Foundry shakeout	Sand processing
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4	25/25/25/25	=	=	=		25/25/25/25	=	=		30/30/15/25	25/25/25/25	=	=	=	=	z.
PARTICLE SIZE micron	50% < 10	95% < 20	50% < 70	50% < 10		100% < 2	90% < 5	50% < 70	35% < 1	100% < 1	100% < 10	50% < 10	50% < 10	50% < 20	50% < 20	100% < 100
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr	503-1028	151	99	9-19		6	460	847	206	æ	172-2499	56-115	225-461	55	131-1393	25-47
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr	13074	52	175	209		3064	526	140	69	27	40	418	479	39	85	36
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	4911 1142750	. 29096	146169	21220	CUYAH0GA (1318-)	1600000	1840000	1881500	1375320	3376	187200	127950	512316	80000	217600	24000
SIC	4911	3274	3399	2816	CUYAHOG	3312				3341	2041	4961	4911	3321		3295
1.0.	000211	010003		010143	COUNTY:	0000078				. 000103	000229	000244	000245	000372		000958

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Flourspar drying	Coal storage	Al chip drying	Coal storage	Iron melting blast furnace	Coking oven	Coke quenching	Iron melting blase furnace	Chip drying	Coal storage	Slag handling	Coal storage	Sand handling	Mineral milling	Asphalt batching	Coal storage
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	25/25/25/25	=	=	=	=	=	0/44/44/12	25/25/25/25	=	=	=	=	30/30/15/25	0/20/40/40	25/25/25/25
PARTICLE SIZE micron		100% < 10	50% < 10	. 100% < 2	50% < 10	50% < 70	90% < 10	90% < 10	50% < 70	100% < 15	50% < 10	50% < 70	50% < 10	100% < 100	100% < 100	60% < 4	50% < 10
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		4	4-8	8	34-70	1367	1087	166	324	24	44-89	1000	3-6	14	œ	50	7-15
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		1020	400	114	501	167	2728	694	636	137	3548	63	302	328	27	124	328
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	(con't)	40800	8800	23400	77369	3038625	647308	1659830	718964	23625	99240	1000000	6838	145600	8000	167397	16800
SIC	СПУАНОВА	2819	9661	3341	3323				3312	3323	4941	3295	721	3299	3295	2951	3433
I.D.	COUNTY:	001007	001169	001287	001613				001622	001721	002490	002662	002816	003287	003295	003721	004160

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Iron reloading	Coal storage	Engine machining operation	Gray iron foundry	Shot reclamation	Iron melting cupola	Coal storage	Steel grinding	Brass scalping processing	Coal storage		Lime kiln	Coal storage	Coal storage	Limestone crushing & screening
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	2	38/31/9/22	25/25/25/25	=	2	Ξ	Ξ	=	Ξ		25/25/25/25	<b>2</b>	=	11/26/34/29
PARTICLE SIZE micron		55% < 3	50% < 10	50% < 20	100% < 20	50% < 15	100% < 20	50% < 10	100% < 2	100% < 1	50% < 10		95% < 20	50% < 10	50% < 10	95% < 20
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		20	71-145	723-4903	583-3327	21	859-5871	5-11	4	30	4-9		298	9-21	4-9	4713
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		169	8198	346	293	28	310	546	25	38	205		161	:	34	150
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	CUYAHOGA (con't)	160000	161184	376584	303765	30282	450960	11864	723731	80000	0996	322-)	189738	23552	9619	3002000
SIC	CUYAH0G/	3321	3711	3714	3321	3321	3321	3479	3544	3341	3623	ERIE (0322-)	3274		3399	3274
1.0.	COUNTY:	005539	120178		120179	120180	120180	201633		201688	.202137	COUNTY:	010062		0200045	020183

ION											furnace								-
PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Coal storage	Coking oven	Lime calcining kiln	Coal storage	Coal storage	Coal storage		Coal storage	Coal storage	Iron melting blast	Coke oven	Steel melting BOF	Slag handling	Sintering		Cereal drying	Cereal puffing	
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	=	=	Ξ	=	=		25/25/25	Ξ	8/52/26/14	25/25/25/25	23/28/26/23	14/32/25/29	12/27/33/28		25/25/25/25	=	
PARTICLE SIZE micron		50% < 10	90% < 10	95% < 20	50% < 10	50% < 10	50% < 10		50% < 10	50% < 10	50% < 70	90% < 10	5 > %06	50% < 70	10% < 5		10% < 20	10% < 20	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		6-12	264	277	32-71	1072-2412	6-14		1552-3176	177-361	944	885	. 019	580	138-398		3-120	23-45	
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		09	196	78	١.	32609	39		9804	7794	1687	684	63	98	3127		32	32	
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	43-)	12789	157000	367787	79113	2680400	15487	1947-)	3529133	401200	2097228	526876	2441016	574060	218003	448-)	30000	45000	
SIC	LAKE (0243-	2821	3312	3274		4911	3069	LORAIN (1947-)	4911	4911	3312					LUCAS (0448-)	2043		
I.D.	COUNTY:	000165	020456	030257		160009	160174	COUNTY:	030013	080049	080229			•		COUNTY:	010064		E-

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Coal storage	Grain drying	Coal storage	Grain drying	Iron melting blast furnace	Coke oven	Coke quenching	Grain handling	Grain handling	Coal storage		Coal storage	Limestone crushing	Gypsum grinding & calcining
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1=Dec/Feb 2=Mar/May 3=June/Aug 4=Sept/Nov 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	40/10/10/40	25/25/25/25	0/30/10/60	25/25/25/25	=	Ξ	0/30/30/40	25/15/15/45	25/25/25/25		25/25/25	=	20/22/28/30
PARTICLE SIZE micron		50% < 10	10% < 20	50% < 10	10% < 20	50% < 70	90% < 10	90% < 10	10% < 20	10% < 20	50% < 10		50% < 10	95% < 20	95% < 20
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		181-370	701-9570	7-13	1451-19811	135	929	234	663-9043	260-3549	700-1431		10-21	196	315
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		744	423	463	862	355	683	203	1356	155	1079		71	131	180
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	on't)	410908	825000	14910	1707870	300692	390500	390500	779655	306000	1590000	0362-)	23000	125110	200400
SIC	LUCAS (con't)	4911	5153	8092	5153	3312			5153	5053	4911	OTTAWA (0362-)	3275	1422	3275
I.D.	COUNTY:	010086	010203	010247	010313	010397			010495	010699	020006	COUNTY:	820000	880000	010011

## APPENDIX F STATE OF WISCONSIN FUGITIVE EMISSION SOURCE INVENTORY

PROCESS DESCRIPTION	Achidoth Achido	Aspliate Dateming	Asphalt batching		Truck loading	Grain shipping	Train loading	Grain cleaning	Grain unloading	Grain shipping	Lime manufacturing	Limestone receiving	Lime storage	Lime loading - truck	Lime loading- railcar	Grain unloading - railcar	Grain loading
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec	0070470670	0/30/40/30	=		15/30/30/25	=	=	=	3/26/30/41	=	26/26/26/22	=	=	=	=	10/40/40/10	=
PARTICLE SIZE	•	90% < 4	=		10% < 20	=	=	=	=	=	90% < 20	Ξ .	=	=	=	. 10% < 20	=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		/7	4		28-419	48-4101	21-2265	21-943	1294-19238	2-2938	370	48	230	7	21	2038-30007	4-4545
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr	c -	71	30			90 0	00.5		100	261		1 1	i f 1	}	1 f 1	112	21
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	60000	80983	13313		119588	1171625	755136	109686	1678956	1683972	232563	475346	465126	59480	178440	2645000	2629425
SIC	D00R	1667	2951	COUNTY: DOUGLAS	4463				4221		3274					2083	
1.D.	COUNTY:	120001	150007	COUNTY:	160001				160002		160003					160005	

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Grain unloading	Grain loading	Grain loading	Cement & clinker unloading	Clinker storage & reclamation	Clinker grinding	Cement loading	Grain shipping	Grain unloading	Wheat handling	Rail unloading.	Ore storage	Asphalt batching	Taconite unloading	Stacking pile reclamation (taconite)	Asphalt batching
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		27/5/25/43	=	=	10/30/30/30	=	=	<b>=</b>	16/22/37/25	=	30/25/20/25	15/25/30/30	=	25/25/25	=	=	0/30/40/30
PARTICLE SIZE micron		10% < 20	=	=	58% < 20	Ξ	=	=	10% < 20	=	=	100% < 100	Ξ	60% < 4	100% < 100	=	60% < 4
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		683-9964	1-1470	1-75	303-340	450	10	65	1-314	149-2221	121-1653	2893-45390	629	14	2300	4820	9
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr			S.		1	2	27	65	4	34	2	}	}	14	1226	!	98
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	DOUGLAS (con't)	876000	840000	20000	550000	200000	200000	220000	179764	196516	142500	3400000	3400000	42463	11100000	4000000	1900
SIC	DOUGLAS	2041			3241		·		5153		2041	5052		2951	4789		2951
1.0.	COUNTY:	160006			160008				160011		160013	160017		160020	160034		160037

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Brass melting	Asphalt batching		Asphalt batching	Wood working		Rotary kiln	Asphalt batching	Coal storage	Lime kiln	Asphalt batching	Asphalt batching	Reverberating furnace		Coal storage
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		0/40/40/20	0/20/60/20		Ξ	z		0/12/44/44	<b>.</b>	25/25/25/25	Ξ	0/20/60/20	0/30/40/30	25/25/25/25		28/33/20/19
PARTICLE SIZE micron		100% < 1	60% < 4		=	50% < 30		95% < 20	60% < 4	50% < 10	95% < 20	60% < 4	Ξ	100% < 2		50% < 10
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		1-2	7		20	2		255-434	33	49-97	96-92	20	27	2		1
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		7	17			49		വ	2	7436	99	28	123	2		37
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr		20892	37000		00009	3265		42965	100000	104722	38118	61433	82000	1848		1203
SIC	KENOSHA	3351	2951	COUNTY: KEWAUNEE	2951	2436	MANITOWOC	3241	2951	4931	3274	2951	2951	3361	MARINETTE	2496
I.D.	COUNTY:	30001	30021	COUNTY:	310001	310002	COUNTY:	360004	360005	360006	360007	360011	360035	360047	COUNTY:	380006

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Coarse material handling	Coal storage	Asphalt batching		Grain handling	Grain drying	Grain drying	Grain handling	Coal storage	Zinc melting	Sand & roto kiln	Arc melt furnace	Grain drying	Grain rec. handling	Grain loading	Coal storage
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		28/33/20/19	25/25/25/25	0/30/40/30		20/30/30/20	=	21/30/29/20	=	36/36/14/14	27/25/23/25	15/35/35/15	25/26/27/22	Ξ	=	Ξ	28/23/22/27
PARTICLE SIZE micron		100% < 100	50% < 10	60% < 4		10% < 20	=	=	Ξ	50% < 10	100% < 2	20% < 5	10% < 20	=	=	Ξ	50% < 10
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		27	1-2	9		239-2413	1-62	1-23	38~387	1-2	ω.	m	81-347	4-167	123-1232	3-167	28-56
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		61	20	က		i i	; ; !	12	က	36	:	;	2	21	!	!	4289
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	MARINETTE (con't)	27000	2558	18000	Œ	317601	15560	5640	50850	2361	4295	16000	25000	41759	163268	41759	60323
SIC	MARINET	2496	3999	2951	MILWAUKEE	2082		2082		4911	3714	3272	3079	2082			4961
1.0.	COUNTY:	380006	380008	380018	COUNTY:	410002		410003		410009	410006	410014	410027	410045			410054

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Asphalt batching	Asphalt batching	Asphalt batching	Al melting	Zinc melting	Steel melting - EAF	Sand muller	Steel casting	Casting cleaning	Coke oven	Asphalt batching	Grain drying	Coal storage	Stock heat furnace	Abrasive cleaning	Iron foundry cupola	Iron melting - EAF
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		0/26/47/27	0/30/40/30	0/13/54/33	28/22/22/28	=	25/25/25/25	Ξ	=	Ξ	28/25/23/24	0/25/50/25	25/25/25/25	Ξ	=	=	=	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		60% < 4	=	=	100% < 2	=	80% < 5	50% < 15	Ξ	Ξ	90% < 10	60% < 4	. 10% < 20	50% < 10	!!!	50% < 15	100% < 20	100% < 1
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		23	15	32	15	က	79-290	81	84-830	21-209	519-2029	10	4-148	1187-2362	1 1 1	11-54	2	1-16
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		16	28	13	33	! !	2	! !	2	; ;	447	<b>.</b> .	39	5586	128	f 1 1	í i t	-
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	MILWAUKEE (con't)	70000	45000	97500	32899	4488	24554	122000	61000	30700	262942	31800	37124	2554055	134830	134830	2253	1066
SIC	MILWAL	2951	2951	2951	3519		3325				3312	2951	2873	4911	3462		3321	
I.D.	COUNTY:	410058	410059	410051	410060		410076				410077	410078	410081	410091	410096		410100	<b>c</b> _

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Bronze melt	Coal storage	Asphalt batching	Shot blast grinding	Induction melt gray	Cement grinding	Cement truck loading	Electric arc melting	Sand preparation	Gray iron foundry	Grain handling	Electric arc furnace	Asphalt batching	Corn mill	Melting sand foundry	Iron melting cupola	Air melting furnace
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	24/24/23/24	0/36/43/21	25/25/25/25	=	10/30/40/20	Ξ	25/25/25/25	=	25/25/20/30	25/25/25/25	Ξ	8/31/37/24	25/25/25/25	28/27/20/25	25/25/25/25	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		100% < 1	50% < 10	60% < 4	100% < 30	100% < 1	58% < 20	=	80% < 5	50% < 15	10% < 20	=	100% < 1	b > %09	10% < 20	100% < 20	=	=
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		1-3	340-677	7	23-230	4	4	6	92-184	10	11-79	75-1095	45-91	20	26-374	38-172	2-7	2
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		20	1420	24	S	;	18	}	-	;	4	S	!	32	28	1	4	ς.
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	MILWAUKEE (con't)	998	731475	21510	33800	2169	75000	76000	36729	14811	4600	82000	18100	61000	280000	18975	2504	3990
SIC	MILWAU	3362	4911	2951	3321		3241		3324		3321	2083	3325	2951	2041	3362	3322	
I.D.	COUNTY:	410100	410103	410105	410106		410110		410126		410128	410133	410134	410136	410137	410138	410140	

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Core oven	Sand drying	Steel melting - EAF	Electric arc melt	Casting cleaning	Grain handling	Steel melting - EAF	Lead smelting	Iron melting cupola	Green sand preparation	Foundry shakeout	Electric induction melting	Casting cleaning & finishing	Sand shakeout	Iron melting cupola	· Casting shakeout	Iron melting cupola
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		25/25/25/25	20/30/20/30	=	=	=	25/25/25/25	z	=	25/25/15/35	=	=	30/30/15/25	=	=	25/25/15/35	=	30/30/20/20
PARTICLE SIZE		100% < 20	50% < 15	100% < 1	=	50% < 15	10% < 20	100% < 1	100% < 16	100% < 20	50% < 15	=	100% < 1	50% < 15	50% < 15	100% < 20	50% < 15	100% < 20
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		1-4	21	47-75	41-82	27-272	265-845	23-74	15-85	39~59	17	9-91	15	က	21-221	6-78	15-153	42-343
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		1 1 1	i 1 1	-		! ! !	11		6	18	t 1 1	1 1 1	15	i i i	€ 1 1	\$ \$ \$	:	ω
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	MILWAUKEE (con't)	1340	32000	10962	16443	40000	288060	42981	10800	21100	25037	13392	19600	9300	345000	7847	22560	19750
SIC	MILWA	3322	3325				2083	3566	3341	3321			3322			3321		3223
1.D.	COUNTY:	410140	410142				410143	410144	410146	410153			410154			410155		410157

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Mulling opt	Mold making	Casting shakeout	Iron melting cupola	Iron melting cupola	Sand storage	Mold core mulling	Steel melting	Coke oven	Electric melting furnace	Sand mixing & shakeout	Electric induction furnace	Casting cleaning		Coal storage	Asphalt batching
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4	•	28/22/22/28	, =	z	=	Ξ	=	=	25/25/25/25	=	Ξ	=	Ξ	=		=	0/30/40/30
PARTICLE SIZE micron		50% < 15	Ξ	100% < 20	Ξ	=	50% < 20	=	100% < 1	90% < 10	100% < 1	50% < 15	100% < 1	50% < 15		50% < 10	60% < 4
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		64	64	64-634	2-72	61-1883	က	648-6057	82-350	1-11	112-371	52	1-8	2-11		328-653	10
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		1	1	}	14	2	1	- }	<b>~</b>	1	!	!	!	! !		1016	20
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr	(con't)	93282	93282	93282	23373	65420	. 3840	614400	25213	3714	34610	77700	902	27000		705808	30000
SIC	MILWAUKEE (con't)	3321				3714			3325		3532				OZAUKEE	4911	2951
I.D.	COUNTY:	410166				410167			410253		410256				COUNTY:	460016	460021

PROCESS DESCRIPTION		Asphalt batching	Limestone crushing	Asphalt batching	Electric furnace	Core oven	Sand preparation	Shot blast		Electric induction furnace	Coal storage
OPERATING SCHEDULE 1= Jan/March 2= Apr/June 3= July/Sept 4= Oct/Dec 1/2/3/4		0/30/40/30	25/22/26/27	=	Ξ	=	2	=		25/25/25/25	=
PARTICLE SIZE micron		60% < 4	95% < 20	60% < 4	100% < 1	90% < 10	50% < 15	Ξ		100% < 1	50% < 10
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSION ton/yr		7	800	30	138-749	4-37	589	82		297-1345	94-188
CONTROLLED POINT SOURCE EMISSION ton/yr		:	f 1	æ	7	; ;	Ŋ	1 1		128	2024
ANNUAL PROCESS ton/yr		21000	800000	91000	73297	12000	872640	120000		171210	202989
SIC	RACINE	2951	1422	2951	3325				SHEBOYGAN	3431	4911
I.D.	COUNTY:	520016	520027	520032	520888				COUNTY:	600004	200009

APPENDIX G

LAKE IMPACT FROM THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

STATE OF:	Illin	ois	COUNTY: _	Cook	
SIC: <u>2400</u>	PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	: <u>Wood Work</u>	ing	
POTENTIAL FUGIT EMISSIONS (tons		62	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		
PARTICLE SIZE:	1% les	s than 30 u	articularies		
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITI EMISSIONS	VE	10	13	24	15
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on	lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)		10	13	24	15
SIC: 4911	PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	:Coal Sto	orage	
POTENTIAL FUGIT		1932	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	. LAKE cons/yr): <u>1932</u>	
PARTICLE SIZE:	<u>50% 1</u> e	ess than 10 u	same and the same		
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIEMISSIONS	VE	483	483	483	483
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on	lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT		483	483	483	483

STATE OF:	nois	COUNTY: _	Cook	
SIC: 4221 P	ROCESS DESCRIPTIO	N: <u>Grain Han</u>	dling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr	): <u>7849-71556</u>	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):7849.	-71556
PARTICLE SIZE: 10	% less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1174-13455	1444-12276	2089-16949	3142-28876
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lak	e) 100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1174-13455	1444-12276	2089-16949	3142-28876
SIC: 3341 P	ROCESS DESCRIPTIO	N: <u>Copper Sm</u>	elting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr		POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		9
PARTICLE SIZE: 10	0% less than 1 u	******		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	47	48	46	48
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lak	e) 100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	47	48	46	18

STATE OF:Illinois			COUNTY: Cook			
SIC: <u>3321</u>	PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Iron Cu	oola		
POTENTIAL FUGIT EMISSIONS (tons		10	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr): <u>10</u>		
PARTICLE SIZE:	100%	less than 20 u				
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV	
SEASONAL FUGITI EMISSIONS	VE	2	3	2	3	
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on		100	100	100	100	
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)		2	3	2	3	
SIC: 2051	PPOC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Acabalt	Patching	<del></del>	
POTENTIAL FUGIT	IVE	450	POTENTIA			
PARTICLE SIZE:	60%	ess than 4 u	<del></del>			
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV	
SEASONAL FUGITI EMISSIONS	VE	21	73	197	159	
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on	lake)	100	100	100	100	
SEASONAL IMPACT	•	21	73	197	159	

STATE OF:Illino	is	COUNTY:	Cook	
SIC: <u>3462</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	: Steel	Melting - EAF	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	314 - 342	POTENTIA IMPACT (		· 342
PARTICLE SIZE: 80% 1	ess than 5 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	78-85	79-86	79-86	78-85
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	78-85	79 <b>-</b> 86	79-86	78-85
SIC: <u>3270</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	: Concret	e Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	72	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):72	
PARTICLE SIZE: 20%	less than 5 u	Production (		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	11	17	21	23
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	11	17	21	23

STATE OF:Illinoi	COUNTY: _	Cook		
SIC: <u>3270</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Cement	Manufacturing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	129	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): 129	
PARTICLE SIZE:50%	less than 20 u	_		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	14	30	46	39
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	14	30	46	39
SIC: 33 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Iron Fini	shing - Shot Bla	ıst
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	282-478	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):282	2-478
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 15 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	70-119	71-120	71-120	70-119
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	70. 110	71_120	71 120	70 110

STATE OF:Illino	COUNTY: Cook			
SIC: 3340 PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION	: Al Smel	ting - Reverbora	tory fur.
510. <u>5540</u> 110	DESS DESCRIPTION	·	triig Keverboru	icory rurs
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	5	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):	5
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 1 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1	2	1	1
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1	2	1	11
SIC: <u>2816</u> PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION	:PbO_Mi	lling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	6	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):	6
PARTICLE SIZE: 100	% less than 16 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	11	2	2	1
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1	2	2	1

STATE OF:Illinois		_ COUNTY: _	Cook	
SIC: <u>3340</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Zinc Sr	nelting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	18-46	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	_ LAKE tons/yr): <u>18-46</u>	5
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 1 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	4-11	5-12	5-12	4-11
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	4-11	5-12	5-12	4-11
SIC: <u>3274</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Lime	Handling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	894	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):894	
PARTICLE SIZE: 90%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	223	224	224	223
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	223	224	224	223

STATE OF:Illinoi	_ COUNTY: _	Cook		
SIC: 3312 PROC	FSS DESCRIPTION.	Iron Melt	ing - Blast Furn	ace
31c31z FROC	LOS DESCRIPTION.		ing - brast ruin	acc
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	2201-5127	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		5127
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 70 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	550-1282	550-1282	550-1282	550-1282
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	550-1282	550-1282	550-1282	550-1282
SIC: <u>3312</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Iron Ore	e Handling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	2478	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr):247	8
PARTICLE SIZE:50%	less than 180 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	619	620	620	619
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	619	620	620	619

STATE OF:Illinois	5	_ COUNTY:	Cook	
SIC: <u>3312</u> PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Sinteri	ng	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	2219-3390	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):2219-	3390
PARTICLE SIZE: 10%	less than 15 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	555-847	555-848	555-848	555-847
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	555-847	555-848	555-848	555-847
SIC: <u>3312</u> PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Slag H	landling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	21	POTENTIA IMPACT (	AL LAKE (tons/yr): 21	
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 17 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	5	5	5	5
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	5	5	5	5

STATE OF:Illino	is	COUNTY: _	Cook	
SIC: 3312 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	l: <u>Cooking</u>	Process	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	2467	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	. LAKE cons/yr):2467	7
PARTICLE SIZE: 90%	less than 10 u	·		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	617	617	617	617
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	617	617	617	617
SIC: <u>3399</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	l:Core Ov	/en	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	181-222	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	. LAKE tons/yr): <u>181-</u> 2	222
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 15 u	<u> </u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	45 – 55	45-56	45 <b>-</b> 56	45-55
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	45-55	45-56	45-56	45-55

STATE OF:	linois		_ COUNTY:	Cook	
SIC: <u>3312</u>	PROCESS	DESCRIPTION:	Steel	Melting - BOF	
POTENTIAL FUGIT EMISSIONS (tons	IVE /yr):	258	POTENTIA IMPACT (	AL LAKE (tons/yr):258	)
PARTICLE SIZE:	90% less	than 5 u			
	:	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITI EMISSIONS		64	65	65	64
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on		100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)		64	65	65	64
	PROCESS	DESCRIPTION:	Unpa	aved Road	
POTENTIAL FUGIT EMISSIONS (tons	IVE /yr):	1070	POTENTIA IMPACT (	AL LAKE (tons/yr): 1070	**************************************
PARTICLE SIZE:					
**************************************		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITI EMISSIONS	VE	267	268	268	267
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on	lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT		267	268	268	267

STATE OF:Illi	nois	COUNTY: _	Cook	···
SIC: 3312 PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION:	: Iron	Casting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	886 - 5659	POTENTIAL	. LAKE	5659
LI13310N3 ( 00N3/ 31 ):			.0113/31/1	3003
PARTICLE SIZE: 100	% less than 15 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE				
EMISSIONS	221-1415	222-1415	222-1415	221-1415
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	221-1415	222-1415	222-1415	221-1415
SIC: 2499 PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION	. Aggnogat	o Wandling	
310. <u>2499</u> PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION	. Ayyreyat	e nandring	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE		POTENTIAL		
EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	1728	IMPACT (t	cons/yr): <u>1728</u>	
PARTICLE SIZE:	-			
		<del></del>		
	DEC/EED	MAD /MAV	TUNE /AUC	CERT /NOV
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	432	432	432	432
WIND FREQUENCY				
(% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	432	432	432	432
CLONS 1	44/	437	ムイン	ムイン

STATE OF:		COUNTY: _	Lake	
SIC: <u>11</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	l: <u>Coal Sto</u>	rage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	23698-31379	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): 2369	8-31379
PARTICLE SIZE: <u>50% 1</u>	ess than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	5924-7845	5925-7845	5925-7845	5924-7845
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	5924-7845	5925-7845	5925-7845	5924-7845
	_			
SIC: <u>3341</u> PROC	CESS DESCRIPTION	N: <u>Iron Melt</u>	ing - Reverbera	tory Furnace
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	25	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):25_	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	S less than 1 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	6	6	6	6
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	6	6	6	6

STATE OF:	S	COUNTY: _	Lake	
SIC: <u>3341</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Iron Cup	oola	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	11	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	LAKE tons/yr):11	
PARTICLE SIZE:100%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	2	3	2	4
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	2	3	2	4
SIC: <u>2951,3273</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Unpaved	d Road	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	70	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	_ LAKE tons/yr):70_	
PARTICLE SIZE:				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	8	8	24	22
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	g	8	24	22

STATE OF:		COUNTY: _	Lake	
SIC: 3275 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTIO	DN: <u>Rock Ha</u>	ndling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	32	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): 32	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 100	) u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	7	8	9	8
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	7	8	9	8
SIC: 2951 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	DN:Asphalt	: Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	425	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr): 425	5
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	20	40	211	154
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	20	40	211	154

STATE OF:	S	COUNTY:	Lake	
SIC: <u>3275</u> PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION	:Gypsum !	Manufacturing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	65	POTENTIAI IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):65	
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	13	16	20	16
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	13	16	20	16
SIC: <u>3241</u> PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION	:Cemen	t Manufacturing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	. 152	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr): 152	- 1 - 1 D
PARTICLE SIZE:58%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	15	46	46	45
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	15	46	46	45

STATE OF:Illino	ois	COUNTY: _	Lake	······································
SIC: <u>3273</u> PROC	CESS DESCRIPTION	ON: <u>Concrete</u>	Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	44	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):44_	
PARTICLE SIZE: 20%	less than 5 u	1		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	5	9	16	13
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	5	9	16	13

APPENDIX H

LAKE IMPACT FROM THE STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF: <u>Indiana</u>		COUNTY:	Lake	
SIC: 2046 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTIO	N: <u>Grain H</u> a	andling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	5819-19406	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr):5819	-19406
PARTICLE SIZE: 10%	less than 20	u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1455-4852	1455-4852	1455-4852	1455-4852
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100_
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1455-4852	1455-4852	1455-4852	1455-4852
			,	
SIC: 3325 PROCI	ESS DESCRIPTIO	N: Foundry	y Sand Handling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	218-323	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr): <u>218-</u>	323
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 15	<u>u</u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	54-81	56-81	55-81	54-81
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	54-81	56-81	55-81	54-81

STATE OF: Indiana		COUNTY:	Lake	
SIC: 3325 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	: Found	ry Cleaning	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	641-6733	POTENTIA	L LAKE tons/yr):64	1-6733
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 15 u	1		
1	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	160-1683	160-1683	160-1683	160-1683
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	160-1683	160-1683	160-1683	160-1683
SIC: 3325 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	N:Steel M	elting - Electr	ric
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _		POTENTIA	L LAKE tons/yr):	325
PARTICLE SIZE: 80%	less than 5 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	81	81	81	81
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	81	81	81	81

STATE OF: Indian	ıa	COUNTY: _	Lake	787
SIC: <u>2951</u> PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	l: <u>Asphal</u>	t Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	220	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):2	20
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 4 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	3	56	93	68
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	3	56	93	68
SIC: 3341 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION	N: Lead	Smelting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE	341-952
	% less than 16			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	85-238	85-238	85-238	85-238
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	85-238	85-238	85-238	85-238

STATE OF: Indiana	1	COUNTY:	Lake	
SIC: 3312 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Cok	ing Process	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	46619	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr): <u>46</u>	619
PARTICLE SIZE: 90%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	11655	11655	11655	11655
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	11655	11655	11655	11655
SIC: 3312 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Steel	Melting - OHF	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	160	POTENTI IMPACT	[AL LAKE (tons/yr): <u>1</u>	60
PARTICLE SIZE:	less than 5 u	Phi-Thus	,	
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	40	40	40	40
WIND FREQUENCY(% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	40	40	40	40

STATE OF:Indiana		COUNTY: _	Lake	
SIC: <u>3312</u> PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Steel Me	elting - BOF	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	5427	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr):5	427
PARTICLE SIZE: 90% 1	ess than 5 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1357	1357	1357	1357
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1357	1357	1357	1357
SIC: 3312 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	:Iron Me	lting - Blast Fu	ırnace
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	6161	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr): 616	51
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 70 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1540	1540	1540	1540
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1540	1540	1540	1540

STATE OF:Indiana		_ COUNTY: _	Lake	
SIC: 4911 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Coal S	Storage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	1569-3365	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr): <u>1569-</u>	3365
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 10 u	_		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	392-841	392-841	392-841	392-841
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)				
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	392-841	392-841	392-841	392-841
SIC: 3312 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Steel S	Scarfing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _		POTENTIA	L LAKE tons/yr): <u>50</u>	
PARTICLE SIZE:100%	less than 2 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	12	13	12	13
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	12	13	12	13
(% impact on lake)  SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)  SIC: 3312 PROCE  POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):  PARTICLE SIZE: 100%  SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS  WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)  SEASONAL IMPACT	392-841  SS DESCRIPTION:  50  DEC/FEB  12  100	Steel S POTENTIAL IMPACT (S  MAR/MAY  13  100	392-841  Scarfing  LAKE tons/yr): 50  JUNE/AUG  12  100	392-841 SEPT/NOV 13

STATE OF: <u>Indiana</u>		_ COUNTY:	Lake	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ctc. 2210 PDOC	ECC DECCRIPTION.	C		
SIC: 3312 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:		ering	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	3120-8969	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):3	120-8969
PARTICLE SIZE: 10%	less than 5 u	•		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	780-2242	780-2242	780-2242	780-2242
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	780-2242	780-2242	780-2242	780-2242
SIC: 3275 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Gypsı	ım Product	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):			(AL LAKE (tons/yr):	189
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	less than 20 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	47	47	47	47
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	47	. 47	47	47

STATE OF: <u>Indiana</u>		_ COUNTY: _	Lake	
SIC: 3241 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Cement M	anufacturing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	3456-5966	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): 3456	-5966
( · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		`		
PARTICLE SIZE:58%	less than 20 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	864-1491	864-1492	864-1492	864-1491
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT				
(tons)	864-1491	864-1492	864-1492	864-1491
SIC: 3341 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Copper	Smelting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	45	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	<del></del>	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 1 u	-		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	11	11	11	11
WIND FREQUENCY(% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	11	11	11	11

STATE OF: <u>Indiana</u>		COUNTY:	Lake	
SIC: 3341 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Zinc S	melting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	9	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr): 9	
		·		
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 1 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	2	2	2	2
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	2	2	2	2
(10113)			<u> </u>	
SIC: 3274 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	: Lime C	alcining	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE		POTENTIA	I I AKF	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	954	IMPACT (	tons/yr):9!	54
DADTICLE CIZE. OF	1 th 00 ···			
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	iess than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	238	239	239	238
WIND FREQUENCY				
(% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT				
(tons)	238	239	239	238

STATE OF:India	na	COUNTY:	Lake	
SIC: <u>3341</u> PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Alumi	num Smelting Fu	rnace
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	207	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):20	07
PARTICLE SIZE: 10	0% less than 2 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	52	52	52	52
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	52	52	52	52
SIC: <u>3273</u> PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Concre	ete Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	453	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):	453
PARTICLE SIZE:20%	less than 5 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	92	112	147	102
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	92	112	147	102
	92	112	147	102

STATE OF:Indiana		COUNTY: _	Lake	
SIC: 1499 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTI	ON: Mineral	Handling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	383	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):383	3
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 10	0 u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	81	111	111	80
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	81	111	111	80

STATE OF:In	diana		_ COUNTY:	Porter	
SIC: <u>3312</u>	PROCES:	S DESCRIPTION:	Iron	Melting - Blas	t Furnace
POTENTIAL FUGITI EMISSIONS (tons/	VE yr):	1502	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):	872
PARTICLE SIZE: _	50% 1	ess than 70 u	<del></del>	·	
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVEMISSIONS		375	376	376	375
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on 1	ake)	0	66	100	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)		0	248	376	248
SIC: <u>3312</u>	PROCES:	S DESCRIPTION:	Steel	Melting - BOF	
POTENTIAL FUGITI EMISSIONS (tons/	VE 'yr):	1976	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):	1146
PARTICLE SIZE: _	90%	less than 5 u	_		
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVEMISSIONS	E	494	494	494	494
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on 1	ake)	0	66	100	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)		0	326	494	326

STATE OF: <u>Indiana</u>	1	COUNTY:	Porter	
SIC: 3312 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Steel Sc	arfing	·
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	11	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):7	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	1 less than 2 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	33	3	3	3
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	66	100	66
SEASONAL IMPACT	0	2	3	2
SIC: 3312 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coki	ng Process	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	4100	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):237	8
PARTICLE SIZE: 90%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1025	1025	1025	1025
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	66	100	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	677	1025	677

STATE OF:Indiana		_ COUNTY:	Porter	
SIC: <u>3312</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Sinte	ring	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	1294-3719	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):	752-2158
PARTICLE SIZE: 10%	less than 5 u	<u></u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AU	G SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	323-930	324-930	324-93	0 323-930
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	66	100	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	214-614	324-93	0 214-614
SIC: 4911 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal	Storage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):			AL LAKE (tons/yr):	239-506
PARTICLE SIZE:50%		<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AU	G SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	103-218	103-218	103-218	103-218
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0`	66	100	66
SEASONAL IMPACT	0	68-144	103-218	68-144

STATE OF: <u>Indiana</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ COUNTY:	Porter	
SIC: <u>5153</u> PROC	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Grain H	landling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):			AL LAKE (tons/yr):35	
PARTICLE SIZE: 109				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	15	15	15	15
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	66	100	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	10	15	10
SIC: <u>2951</u> PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Aspha	alt Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	31		[AL LAKE (tons/yr):	22
PARTICLE SIZE: 609	% less than 4 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	2	2	9	18
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	66	100	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	11	9	12

APPENDIX I

LAKE IMPACT FROM THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

STATE OF: Michigan	1	COUNTY: _	Presque Isle	
SIC: 1422 PROCI	ESS DESCRIPTION	: Stone C	rushing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	11888	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):7877	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 100	<u>u</u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	2972	2972	2972	2972
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	33	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1962	981	1962	2972
SIC: <u>1422</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	l:Coal S	torage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	3 <b>-</b> 6	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):2-4	
	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0.75-1	1-2	1-2	0.75-1
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	33	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	0.5-1	0.3-0.6	0.7-1.2	0.75-1

STATE OF: Michigan	1	COUNTY:	Macomb	
SIC: 3273 PRO0	CESS DESCRIPTION:	: Concre	te Batching	
<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	001101 0	oc occoming	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIAL	L LAKE	
EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	122	IMPACT (1	tons/yr):	
PARTICLE SIZE: 20%	less than 5 u			
	DEC /EED	MAD /MAY	TUNE /ALIC	CEDT (NOV
	DECLER	MAK/MAT	JUNE/AUG	SEP 1/NUV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	30	31	31	30
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT				
(tons)	30	10	10	22
SIC: <u>2951</u> PRO	ress nescription.	Acnhalt	Ratching	
510. <u>2331</u> PRO	JESS DESCRIPTION.	Aspilate	Bacching	<del></del>
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIAL	L LAKE	
EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	18	IMPACT (	tons/yr):11	
PARTICLE SIZE: 609	/ loca than / u			
PARTICLE SIZE: 007	6 less than 4 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE	4	-		•
EMISSIONS	4	5	5	4
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	4	2	2	3

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY:	Macomb	
SIC: 3362 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	l: <u>EI Furna</u>	ace	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	2	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 1 u	<u>ı                                      </u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.3
SIC: 3321 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	H: Foundry	Maller/Shake Out	;
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	69-675	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1		392
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 15 u	1		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	17-169	17-169	17-169	17-169
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	17-169	6-56	6-56	11-111

STATE OF: Michigan	COUNTY: Macomb	
SIC: 3321 PROCESS DESCRIPTION:	Cupola	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): 30-343	POTENTIAL LAKE IMPACT (tons/yr): 18-199	

PARTICLE SIZE: 100% less than 20 u

	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	7-86	8-86	8-86	7-86
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	7-86	3-28	3-28	5-57

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY: _	Huron	
SIC: <u>4911</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTIO	N: <u>Coal Sto</u>	rage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	123-249	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):123	3-249
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 10	<u>u</u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	31-62	31-62	31-62	31-62
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	31-62	31-62	31-62	31-62
SIC: 1422 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTIO	N: Sand C	rushing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	688-1288	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr):688-	-1288
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	172-322	172-322	172-322	172-322
WIND FREQUENCY(% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	172-322	172-322	172-322	172-322

STATE OF: Michigan		_ COUNTY:	Arenac		
SIC: 1422 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Stone	Crushing		
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	22-41	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):	22-37	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%					
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV	
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	6-10	6-10	6-10	6-10	
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	66	100	
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	6-10	6-10	4-7	6-10	
SIC: 3274 PROCE	SIC: 3274 PROCESS DESCRIPTION: Lime Milling				
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	39	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):	36	
	less than 20 u				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV	
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	10	10	10	9	
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	66	100	
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	10	10	7	9	

STATE OF: Michigan	<u> </u>	COUNTY:	Bay	
SIC: 2950 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Asphal	t Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	17	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ns/yr):16	
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 4 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	4	4	4	5
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)		4	3	5
SIC: 3272 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Concret	e Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	5	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr):4	
PARTICLE SIZE: 20%	less than 25 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1	1	2	1
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1	1	1	1

STATE OF: Michig	jan	COUNTY:	Bay	
SIC: 3321 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	: Shakeou	t and Finishing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		ΡΩΤΕΝΤΙΔΙ	I AKF	
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%			10-	1, 3
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS		5-49	5-49	5-49
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	5-49	5-49	3-32	5-49
SIC: 4221 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION	: <u>Grain l</u>	Orying	w
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	47-639	POTENTIAI IMPACT (		586
PARTICLE SIZE: 1009	less than 20	u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	12-160	12-160	12-160	12-160
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	12-160	12-160	8-106	12-160

STATE OF: Michigan		_ COUNTY: _	Bay	
SIC: <u>4911</u> PROCI	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal Sto	rage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	501-1014	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		930
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	125-254	125-254	125-254	125-254
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	125-254	125-254	83-168	125-254
SIC: <u>3321</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	EAF - Ir	on and Steel Mel	ting
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	16-31	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	. LAKE cons/yr):15	-29
PARTICLE SIZE: 100		<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	4-8	4-8	4-8	4-8
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	4-8	4-8	3-5	4-8

STATE OF: Michigan	1	COUNTY: _	Bay	
SIC: 3714 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Zinc Pot	Furnace	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	19	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): <u>18</u>	····
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	S less than 2 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	5	5	4	55
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	5	5	3	5
SIC: 3241 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Cement G	rinding	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	1019-1529	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		1398
PARTICLE SIZE:58%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	255-382	255-382	255-382	255-382
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	255-382	255-382	168-252	255-382

STATE OF: Michiga	n	COUNTY:	Ontonagon	
SIC: <u>2631</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal Sto	orage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	48-97	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr):12-24	1
PARTICLE SIZE:50%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	12-24	12-24	12-24	12-24
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	66	33	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	8-16	4-8	0
SIC: 1021 PROC	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Copper	Smelting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	3931	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		
PARTICLE SIZE:50%	6 less than 37 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	983	983	983	983
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	66	33	0
SEASONAL IMPACT	0	649	324	n

STATE OF:Michigan	1	_ COUNTY:	Marque	tte	
SIC: 4911 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal	Storage		
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIAL LAKE			
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 10 u				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/	AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	149-301	149-301	149-	301	149-301
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	33	0	10	0	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	49-99	0	149-	301	149-301

STATE OF: Michigan	1	_ COUNTY:	Chippewa	
SIC: 1611 PROCE  POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _				
EMISSIONS (cons/yr): _	0	IMPACI	(tons/yr):4	
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1	2	2	1
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	33	100	100	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	2	2	0
		·····		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SIC: 1422 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Stone	Crushing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	2387-4466	POTENTIAL IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):139	1-2603
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	597-1117	597-1117	597-1117	597-1117
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	33	100	100	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	197-369	597-1117	597-1117	0

STATE OF: Michigar	1	COUNTY: _	Alger	
SIC: 2621 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION	N: Coal Sto	rage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE POTENTIAL LAKE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): 16-32 IMPACT (tons/yr): 9-19				
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 10 :	1		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	4-8	4-8	4-8	4-8
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	33	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1-3	0	4-8	4-8

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY: Monroe		
SIC: 4911 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal St	orage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): 2213-4477		POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):1836	-3716
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 10 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	553-1119	553-1119	553-1119	553-1119
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	66	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	553-1119	365-739	365-739	553-1119
SIC: 3273 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Concrete	Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	3	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	LAKE	
PARTICLE SIZE: 20%	less than 5 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY_	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1	1	1	1
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	66	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	1	0.6	0.6	1

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY: _	Wayne	
SIC: 2082 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Grain	Handling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	761-1695	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	LAKE	1-984
PARTICLE SIZE:10%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	190-424	190-424	190-424	190-424
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	190-424	63-140	63-140	125-280
~				
SIC: 3312 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Steel Sc	carfing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	24	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	. LAKE cons/yr):14_	····
PARTICLE SIZE:100%	S less than 2 u			
The large control of the large	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	6	6	6	6
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	6	2	2	4

STATE OF: Mich	igan	COUNTY: _	Wayne	
SIC: <u>3312</u>	PROCESS DESCRIPTION	: Steel Pr	oduction - BOF	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/y	E r): <u>2259</u>	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): 1310	)
PARTICLE SIZE:	90% less than 5 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS		565	565	565
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on la	ke) 100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	565	186	186	373
	PROCESS DESCRIPTION	: Steel Me	elting - EAF	
POTENTIAL FUGITIV EMISSIONS (tons/y	E r): <u>1126</u>	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr):65	52
PARTICLE SIZE:				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	281	282	282	281
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on la	ke) 100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT	281	03	93	185

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY:	Wayne	
SIC: 3312 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Coking P	rocess	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	6958	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):403	35
PARTICLE SIZE: 90%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1739	1740	1740	1739
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1739	574	574	1148
SIC: 3312 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Iron Si	ntering	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _		POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):520-	-1497
	less than 5 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	224-645	224-645	224-645	224-645
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	224-645	74-213	74-213	148-426

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY: _	Wayne	
SIC: <u>3275</u> PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	: Gypsum M	anufacturing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _		POTENTIAL		1179
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	508	508	508	508
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	508	168	168	335
SIC: 3241 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION	:Cemen	t Manufacturi	ng
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	2968	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE	1722
PARTICLE SIZE:58%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	742	742	742	742
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	742	245	245	490

STATE OF: Michiga	<u> </u>	COUNTY: _	Wayne	
SIC: <u>3274</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	:Lime Cal	cining	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	935 - 1263	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1		733
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	less than 20 u	<u>.</u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	234-316	234-316	234-316	234-316
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	234-316	77-104	77-104	
SIC: 2951 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	: Asphalt E	Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	79	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	. LAKE cons/yr):47_	
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%		- <del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	20	20	20	20
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	20	7	7	13

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY: _	Wayne	
SIC: 3341 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	: <u>Lead Sm</u>	elting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	11	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):6	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 16 u	1		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	3	3	3	22
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	3	1	1	1
SIC: 4911 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	:Coal St	orage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	1521-3062	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): 881-	1776
PARTICLE SIZE: 50% 1	ess than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	380-765	380-766	380-766	380-765
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	380-765	125-253	125-253	251-505

STATE OF: Michiga	n	COUNTY:	Wayne	
SIC: <u>3295</u> PRO	CESS DESCRIPTIO	N: Stone Cr	rushing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	570	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr): 330	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100	% less than 100	u		
·	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	142	143	143	142
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	142	47	47	94
SIC: 3255 PRO	CESS DESCRIPTIO	N: <u>Casting</u> F	Refractory Crush	ning
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	94	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr): 54	
PARTICLE SIZE: 10	% less than 100	<u>u</u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	23	24	24	23
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT	23	8	8	15

STATE OF:Mic	higan		_ COUNTY: _	Wayne	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SIC: 3312	PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Iron E	3last Furnace	
POTENTIAL FUGITI EMISSIONS (tons/		2125-3243	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	_ LAKE tons/yr): 1231	-1882
PARTICLE SIZE: _	_			,	
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIV EMISSIONS		531-811	531-811	531-811	531-811
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on 1		100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	531-811	175-268	175-268	350-535
SIC: <u>3321</u>	PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Iron F	oundry - Cupola	
POTENTIAL FUGITI EMISSIONS (tons/		182-1274	POTENTIA	L LAKE tons/yr):105-	738
PARTICLE SIZE: _	100%	less than 20 u	<del>_</del>		
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVEMISSIONS	/E	45-318	46-319	46-319	45-318
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on	lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT		45-318	15-105	15-105	30-210

STATE OF: Michiga	n	COUNTY: _	Wayne	
SIC: 3312 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	: Iron Ore	e Handling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	3192	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	_ LAKE tons/yr):189	51
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 100	<u>u</u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	798	798	798	798
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	798	263	263	527
SIC: 3312 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	: Casting	Cleaning	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	249-2392	POTENTIAL IMPACT (†		-1387
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	62-598	62-598	62-598	62-598
WIND FREQUENCY(% impact on lake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	62-598	20-197	20-197	41-395

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY: Wayne			
SIC: <u>3321</u>	PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Iron Mel	ting - EAF	
POTENTIAL FUGITI\ EMISSIONS (tons/)		116-313	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	_ LAKE tons/yr): <u>68-18</u>	1
PARTICLE SIZE:	100%	less than 1 u			
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE	:	29-78	29-78	29-78	29-78
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on la	ake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	<u> </u>	29-78	10-26	10-26	19-51
	•				
SIC: <u>3362</u>	PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Brass	Electric Inductio	n Furnace
POTENTIAL FUGITIVEMISSIONS (tons/			POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):5	
PARTICLE SIZE: _	1009	% less than 1 u			
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE	E	3	3	3	3
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on 1	ake)	100	33	33	66
SEASONAL IMPACT		3	1	1	1

STATE OF: Michigan	COUNTY: Schoolcraft
SIC: 1422 PROCESS DESCRIPTION: _	Lime Mill
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): 5096	POTENTIAL LAKE IMPACT (tons/yr): 2115
PARTICLE SIZE: 95% less than 20 u	

	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1274	1274	1274	1274
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	841	1274	0	0

STATE OF: Mich	igan	COUNTY: _	Ottawa_	
SIC: <u>3272</u> PR	OCESS DESCRIPTION	: Concrete	Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr)	:30	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	LAKE tons/yr):5	
PARTICLE SIZE: <u>20</u>	% less than 5 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	7	8	8	7
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake	) 66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	5	0	0	0
SIC: 4911 PR	OCESS DESCRIPTION	l:Coal S	Storage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr)	: 663-1340	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	_ LAKE tons/yr):77-5	221
PARTICLE SIZE:5	0% less than 10 ι	<u> </u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	166-335	166-335	166-335	166-335
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake	e) 66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT	77_221	Ω	0	0

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY: _	Ottawa	
SIC: <u>3362</u> PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	: Foundry	Shakeout	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	25-259	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 15 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	6-65	6-65	6-65	6-65
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	00	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	4-43	0	0	0

STATE OF: Michigan		_ COUNTY:	Muskegon	
SIC: 26 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal St	orage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	731-1476	POTENTIAI IMPACT (		-244
PARTICLE SIZE:50%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	183-369	183-269	183-369	183-369
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	121-244	0	0	0
SIC: <u>3273</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Concret	e Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	11	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):2_	
PARTICLE SIZE: 20%	less than 5 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	3	3	3	3
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	2	0	0	0

STATE OF: Michigan	)	COUNTY: _	Muskegon	
SIC: <u>3361</u> PROC	CESS DESCRIPTION	: Foundry	Sand Handling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	152-1482	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	. LAKE cons/yr):25-	-244
PARTICLE SIZE:509	less than 15 u	<u> </u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	38-370	38-371	38-371	38-370
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	25-244_	0	0	0
SIC: <u>3714</u> PROC	CESS DESCRIPTION	: Iron Mel	ting - Cupola	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	12-152	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	. LAKE cons/yr):2-2	25
PARTICLE SIZE: 100	% less than 20	<u>u</u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	3-38	3-38	3-38	3-38
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	2-25	0	0	0

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY: _	Muskegon	
SIC: 3321 PROCI	ESS DESCRIPTIO	N: <u>Steel Me</u>	lting - Electric	Furnace
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	22-40	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr): 3-7	
PARTICLE SIZE:1009	6 less than 1	<u>u</u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	5-10	6-10	6-10	5-10
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	3-7	0	0	0
SIC: <u>2951</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTIO	N:Asphal	t Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	14	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE	
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	3	4	4	3
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0 .	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT	2	0	0	0

STATE OF: Michiga	ın	_ COUNTY: _	Muskegon	
SIC: <u>3321</u> PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Coke	e Oven	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIA	LAKF	9
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	10-89	11-89	11-89	10-89
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	7-59	0	0	0

STATE OF: Michig	an	_ COUNTY:	Monominee	
SIC: <u>2621</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal St	corage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	26-52	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):19-39	)
PARTICLE SIZE:50%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	6-13	7-13	7-13	6-13
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	33	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	4-9	2-4	7-13	6-13

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY:	Delta	
SIC: 4010 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	ON: <u>Iron Ore</u> (	Conveying	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	518	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr):216	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 100	) u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	130	130	130	130
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	86	130	0	0

STATE OF: Michigan		_ COUNTY:	Mason	
SIC: 3297 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Lime	Calcining	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	1025	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):	169
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	256	256	256	256
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	169	0	0	0
			_	
SIC: 3321 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Iron M	lelting Cupola	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	9-98	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):	1-16
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	ડીess than 20 ા	1		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	2-24	2-25	2-25	2-24
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1-16	0	0	0

STATE OF: Michiga	n	_ COUNTY:	Mason	
SIC: 3321 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Fou	undry Sand Handlin	g
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIA	AL LAKE	
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	21-207	21-207	21-207	21-207
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	14-137	0	00	00
SIC: 2951 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Aspha	lt Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	20	POTENTIA IMPACT (	AL LAKE (tons/yr):3	
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 4 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	5	5	5	5
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT	3	n		0

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY: _	COUNTY: <u>Mason</u>			
SIC: 4452 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	DN: Coal S	torage			
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): 4-8		POTENTIAL	POTENTIAL LAKE IMPACT (tons/yr): 1			
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 10	u				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV		
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1-2	1-2	1-2	1-2		
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	0	0	0		
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	11	0	0	0		

STATE OF: Michigan		COUNTY:	Mackinac			
SIC: 1422 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	N: Stone	Crushing			
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	4294-8034	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to		-8034		
PARTICLE SIZE: 100% less than 100 u						
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV		
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1074-2009	1074-2009	1074-2009	1074-2009		
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	100	100		
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1074-2009	1074-2009	1074-2009	1074-2009		

STATE OF: Michigan	l	_ COUNTY:	Delta	
SIC: <u>1494</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Asph	alt Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	21	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr): 8	
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 4 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	5	55	5	5
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	3			0
SIC: <u>9349</u> PROC	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal_S	torage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	37-74	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr): <u>15-32</u>	
PARTICLE SIZE:50%	S less than 10 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	9-19	9-19	9-19	9-19
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	6-13	9-19	0	0

STATE OF: Michiga	n	_ COUNTY: _	Berrien	
SIC: <u>3322</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Foundr	y Muller	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	358-3557	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):238-	2356
PARTICLE SIZE:50%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	90-889	90-889	90-889	90-889
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	66	33
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	59-587	90-889	59-587	30-293
SIC:3321 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Foundry	Shakeout - Clea	ıning
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	553-5641	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):366-	3737
	less than 15 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	138-1410	138-1410	138-1410	138-1410
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	66	33
SEASONAL IMPACT	01 021	120 1410	01 021	A6 A65

STATE OF: Michig	an	_ COUNTY:	Berrien	
SIC: 3322 PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION:	EAF -	Iron	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIA	L LAKE	93_230
PARTICLE SIZE: 10			cons, yr ,	<del>55-256</del>
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	35-87	35-87	35-87	35-87
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	66	33
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	23-57	35-87	23-57	12-29
SIC: <u>3322</u> PRO	OCESS DESCRIPTION:	Cupo	1a	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIA IMPACT (		72-490
PARTICLE SIZE: 10	00% less than 20 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	27-185	27-185	27-185	27-185
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	) 66	100	66	33
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	18-22	27-185	18-122	9-61

STATE OF: Michigan	1	COUNTY: _	Berrien	
SIC: 2951 PROCI	ESS DESCRIPTI	ON: <u>Asphalt B</u>	atching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE POTENTIAL LAKE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):				
PARTICLE SIZE: 60% less than 4 u				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	5	5	5	5
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	66	33
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	3	5	3	2

## APPENDIX J LAKE IMPACT FROM THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

STATE OF: Minnesot	.a	COUNTY: _	St. Louis	
SIC: 4221 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	ON: Grain	Handling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	9797-129723	POTENTIAL 3 IMPACT (t		96-102376
PARTICLE SIZE: 10%	less than 20	u		·
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (Tons)	863-14616	1959-29545	3198-41927	3777-50338
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	863-14616	646-9750	2110-27672	3777-50338
SIC: 3312 PROCI	ESS DESCRIPTION	ON:Coke O	ven	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	1097-1255			966
PARTICLE SIZE: 1003	6 less than 1	0 u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (Tons)	176-200	186-213	373-427	362-414
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	176-200	61-70	246-282	362-414

STATE OF: Minnesota		COUNTY: _	St. Louis	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
SIC: 2951 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Aspha	lt Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	97	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	_ LAKE tons/yr):81	
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 4 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (Tons)	0	0	49	49
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33		
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	0	32	49
SIC: 4911 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Coal S	torage Pile Trans	sport
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	269-460	POTENTIAI IMPACT (	_ LAKE tons/yr):204	-347
PARTICLE SIZE:50% ]				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (Tons)	60-110	59-107	75-121	75-122
WIND FREQUENCY(% impact on lake)	100	33	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	60-110	10_35	50_80	75_122

COUNTY: St. Louis
Iron Ore
POTENTIAL LAKE IMPACT (tons/yr): 12572
_
MAR/MAY JUNE/AUG SEPT/NOV
4205 4205 4205

WIND FREQUENCY
(% impact on lake)

SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)

J-3

STATE OF: Minneso	ta	COUNTY: _	Lake	
SIC: 1011 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	:Iron Or	e	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	10,000	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): 7475	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%		1		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (Tons)	2500	2500	2500	2500
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	2500	825	1650	2500
SIC: 1011 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	:Coal Sto	rage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):46-	95
PARTICLE SIZE:50%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (Tons)	17-35	16-34	10-21	17-35
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	33	66	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	17-35	5-11	7-14	17-35

APPENDIX K

LAKE IMPACT FROM THE STATE OF OHIO

STATE OF: Ohio	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COUNTY:	Ashtabula				
SIC: 4911 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	: <u>Coal</u> S	torage				
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	512-1047		AL LAKE (tons/yr):4	58-959			
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	PARTICLE SIZE: 50% less than 10 u						
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV			
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	128-262	128-262	128-262	128-262			
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100			
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	84-173	128-262	128-262	128-262			
SIC: 3274 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION	: Lime	e Calcining				
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	151		AL LAKE (tons/yr):	139			
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	less than 20 u	nagaan t Marakana					
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV			
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	38	38	38	38			
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100			
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	25	38	38	38			

STATE OF:	Ohio	COUNTY:Ashtabul	d
SIC: <u>3399</u>	PROCESS DESCRIPTION: _	Metal Melting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/		POTENTIAL LAKE IMPACT (tons/yr):	62

PARTICLE SIZE: 50% less than 70 u

	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	17	17	17	17
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	11	17	17	17

STATE OF: Ohio		_ COUNTY:	Cuyahoga	
SIC: <u>3312</u> PROC	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Stee	Melting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	473	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr): 432	
PARTICLE SIZE: 90%	less than 5 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	118	118	118	118
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	78	118	118	118
SIC: 4911 PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal	Storage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	453-929	POTENT: IMPACT	IAL LAKE (tons/yr): <u>414-</u>	849
	6 less than 10 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	113-232	113-232	113-232	113-232
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	75-153	113-232	113-232	113-232

STATE OF: Ohio		_ COUNTY:	Cuyahoga	
SIC: <u>3312</u> PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Iron	Melting - Blast F	urnace
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	3764	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):3	3444
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 76 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE	941	941	941	941
EMISSIONS	341	341	341	341
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT			0.44	<b>A11</b>
(tons)	621	941	941	941
SIC: 3341 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Brass	Smelting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE		POTENTI	AL LAKE	
EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	38		(tons/yr): <u>35</u>	<del> </del>
PARTICLE SIZE: 1009	V loss than 1 u			
1 ANTICLE 312E	e less chan I u			
	DEC /EER	MAD /MAV	311MC (4110	CERT (NOV
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	10	10	9	9
WIND FREQUENCY		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	7	10	9	9
<del></del>				

STATE OF: Ohio		COUNTY: _	Cuyahoga	
SIC: <u>2041</u> PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	: Flour M	lilling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	176-2503	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr): 161-	-2291
PARTICLE SIZE:100%	less than 10	u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	44-626	44-626	44-626	44-626
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	29-413	44-626	44-626	44-626
SIC: 3321 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	: Sand Ha	andling (Foundry)	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	207-1469	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr): <u>190</u> -	-1343
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 15 u	·		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	52-367	52-367	52 <b>-</b> 367	52-367
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	34-242	52-367	52 <b>-</b> 367	52-367

STATE OF: Ohio		_ COUNTY:	Cuyahoga	
SIC: 3295 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Sand P	rocessing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	47-69	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/vr): 43-63	
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	12-18	12-18	11-16	12-17
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	8-12	12-18	11-16	12-17
SIC: 2951 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Aspha	lt Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	50	POTENTIA	L LAKE tons/yr):50	
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 4 u	_		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0	10	20	20
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	10	20	20

STATE OF: Oh	io	_ COUNTY:	Cuyahoga	
SIC: <u>3323</u>	PROCESS DESCRIPTION:	Coke 0	lven	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVEMISSIONS (tons/y	/E /r): <u>1253</u>	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):	1127
PARTICLE SIZE:	90% less than 10 u	_		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS		313	313	313
WIND FREQUENCY	ıke) 66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	188	313	313	313
SIC: <u>3341</u>	PROCESS DESCRIPTION:	Alumir	num Chip Drying	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVEMISSIONS (tons/)	/E /r):8	POTENT: IMPACT	[AL LAKE (tons/yr):	7
PARTICLE SIZE:	100% less than 2 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE	22	2	2	2
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on 1a)	ake) 66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1	2	2	2

STATE OF: Ohio		COUNTY: _	Cuyahoga	
SIC: 3321 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTIO	N:Gray I	ron Foundry	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	2189-14101	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr):2002	2-12902
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 20	u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	547 -3525	547 - 3525	547 - 3525	547-3525
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	361-2327	547-3525	547 - 3525	547-3525

STATE OF: Ohio		_ COUNTY:	Erie	
SIC: 3274 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Lime S	Stone Crushing a	nd Calcining
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	5011		AL LAKE (tons/yr):4	809
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	593	1300	1677	1441
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	391	1300	1677	1441
SIC: 3399 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal S	torage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	13-30	POTENTIA IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr): <u>11</u>	29
	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	3-8	3-8	3-8	3-8
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	2-5	3 <b>-</b> 8	3-8	3-8

STATE OF: Ohio		_ COUNTY: _	Lake	
SIC: 4911 PROC	ess nescription.	Coal S	torage	
31C. <u>4911</u> 1 1000	233 DESCRIPTION.		tor age	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	1116-2509	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr):929	9-2088
PARTICLE SIZE:50%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	279-627	279-627	279-627	279-627
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	33	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	92-207	279-627	279-627	279-627
SIC: 3274 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Lime C	alcining	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE	480
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	144	144	144	144
WIND FREQUENCY(% impact on lake)	33	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	48	144	144	144

STATE OF: Oh	io	_ COUNTY:	Lorain	
SIC: <u>4911</u> PR	OCESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal	Storage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr)			AL LAKE (tons/yr): <u>14</u>	39-2944
PARTICLE SIZE:50	0% less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	432-884	432-884	432-884	432-884
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake	) 33	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	143-292	432-884	432-884	432-884
SIC: <u>3312</u> PR	OCESS DESCRIPTION:	Cok	e Oven	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr)	: 885	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):	736
PARTICLE SIZE: 9		<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	221	221	221	221
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake	) 33	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	73	221	221	221

STATE OF: Ohio		_ COUNTY:	Lorain	
		_		_
SIC: 3312 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Iron	Melting - Blast	Furnace
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	1524	POTENTIA IMPACT (	AL LAKE tons/yr):1	1419
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 70 u	-		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	157	676	390	301
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	33	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	57	676	390	301
SIC: 3312 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Steel	Melting - BOF	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _			L LAKE tons/yr):	516
PARTICLE SIZE: 90%	less than 5 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	140	171	159	140
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	33	100	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	46	171	159	140

STATE OF: Ohio		COUNTY: _	<u>Lorain</u>		
SIC: 3312 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	DN: <u>Sinterin</u>	g		
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	POTENTIAL FUGITIVE POTENTIAL LAKE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): 138-398 IMPACT (tons/yr): 128-365				
PARTICLE SIZE: 10% less than 5 u					
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV	
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	17-48	37-107	46-131	39-111	
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	33	100	100	100	
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	6-16	37-107	46-131	39-111	

STATE OF: Ohio		COUNTY:	Lucas	
SIC: 4911 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Coal S	torage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE		POTENTIAL	LAKF	1662
EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	888-1814	IMPACI (E	ons/yr):813-	-1002
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	222-454	222-454	222-454	222-454
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	66	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	222-454	147-300	222-454	222-454
SIC: 5153 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Grain l	Handling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	3075-41973	POTENTIAL IMPACT (to	LAKE ons/yr): <u>2821</u> -	-38522
PARTICLE SIZE: 10%	less than 20 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	345-4715	743-10146	453-5183	1533-20928
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	66	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	345-4715	490-6696	453-5183	1533-20928

STATE OF: Ohio	<del></del>	_ COUNTY:	Lucas	
SIC: 3312 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coke	0 ven	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	890	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr): <u>81</u>	3
PARTICLE SIZE: 90%	less than 10 u	_		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	222	. 222	222	222
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	66	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	222	147	222	222
SIC: 3312 PROCI	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Iron	Melting - Blast Fu	rnace
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	135	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr): 124	
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 70 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	34	34	34	34
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	66	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	34	22	34	34

STATE OF: Ohio		COUNTY: _	Ottawa	······································
SIC: 3275 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Coal Si	torage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	10-21	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	_ LAKE tons/yr):9-18	3
PARTICLE SIZE:50%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	3-5	3-5	2-5	2-5
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	66	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	3-5	2-3	2-5	2-5
SIC: 1422 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Limes	tone Crushing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	196	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	_ LAKE tons/yr):179_	
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	49	49	49	49
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	66	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	49	32	49	49

STATE OF: Ohio		COUNTY: _	Ottawa	
SIC: <u>3275</u> PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION	N: <u>Gypsum G</u>	rinding	<del> </del>
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	LAKE ons/yr): 292			
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	less than 20	<u>u</u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	63	69	88	95
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	60	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	63	46	88	95

APPENDIX L

LAKE IMPACT FROM THE STATE OF WISCONSIN

STATE OF: Wisconsin	J.	COUNTY: _	Douglas	
SIC: 1099 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTIO	N: <u>Ore Sto</u>	rage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	10672-53169	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		)
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 100	<u>u</u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	2313-11523	1668-8310	2846-14179	2846-14179
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	0	0	00
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	0	0	0

STATE OF: Wiscons	in	COUNTY:	Douglas .	
SIC: 3241 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Cemen	t Grinding, Loading	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTI	AI IAKF	
PARTICLE SIZE:58%			( tons/ yr )	
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS			248-260	
WIND FREQUENCY(% impact on lake)	0	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	0	0	0
SIC: 2951 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Asphalt	Plant	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	20	POTENTI IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr): 0	
PARTICLE SIZE:60%	less than 4 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	4	6	6	5
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT	0	0	0	

STATE OF: Wiscon	sin	COUNTY: _	Douglas	······································
SIC: 2083 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	ON: <u>Grain</u>	Handling	······································
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	4412-80153	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		
PARTICLE SIZE: 10%	less than 20	u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	506-9287	1287 -23451	1492-26938	1127-20475
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	00	0	0	0
SIC: 3274 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTI	ON: Limestor	ne Manufacturing,	, Loading
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	676	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	. LAKE cons/yr):0	
PARTICLE SIZE: 905	less than 20	u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	176	176	176	149
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	0	0	0	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	0	0	0

STATE OF: Wiscon	sin	COUNTY: _	Door	
SIC: 2951 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTIO	N: <u>Asphalt</u>	Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	31	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr):	31
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 4 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	00	9	12	9
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	100	_100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	9	12	9

STATE OF: Wisconsin	າ	_ COUNTY: J	Kenosha	
SIC: 3351 PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Brass Mo	elting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	1-2	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	_ LAKE tons/yr):1_	
PARTICLE SIZE: 1009	% less than 1 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0	0.4-0.8	0.4-0.8	0.2-0.4
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	0	0.4-0.8	0.2-0.4
SIC: <u>2951</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Asphalt	Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	7	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):5	
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 4 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0	11	4	1
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	0	4	1

STATE OF: Wiscor	ısin	COUNTY: _	Kewannes	
SIC: 2951 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	: Asphalt	: Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	20	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	. LAKE :ons/yr):17	
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 4 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0	4	12	4
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	33	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	1	12	4
SIC: 2436 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	: Wood Wo	orking	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):				
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 30 u			
400-000 A-Tubber - 00-00	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0	0.4	1.2	0.4
WIND FREQUENCY (%_impact on lake)	66	33	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	0	0.1	1 2	0.4

STATE OF: Wis	consin	COUNTY:	Manitowoc	
SIC: <u>3241</u> P	ROCESS DESCRIPTION	DN: <u>Cement</u>	Rotary Kiln	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr		POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	LAKE tons/yr):234-3	399
PARTICLE SIZE:	58% less than 20	u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0	31-52	112-191	112-191
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lak	e) 66	33	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	10-17	112-191	112-191
SIC: 2951 P	ROCESS DESCRIPTION	ON:Asphal	t Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr		POTENTIAL IMPACT (	_ LAKE tons/yr):69	
PARTICLE SIZE:	60% less than 4	u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0	16	37	27
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake	e) 66	33	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	0	ج ج	37	27

STATE OF: Wiscons	in	COUNTY: _	Manitowoc	
SIC: <u>4931</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	: <u>Coal Sto</u>	rage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIAL IMPACT (		2
PARTICLE SIZE:50%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	12-24	12-24	12-24	12-24
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	33	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	8-16	4-8	12-24	12-24
SIC: 3274 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	: Lime K	iln	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	76-96	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	_ LAKE tons/yr): <u>57-</u>	72
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%		<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	19-24	19-24	19-24	19-24
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	33	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	13-16	6-8	19-24	19_2/

STATE OF: Wisconsin		COUNTY: _	COUNTY: Manitowoc		
SIC: <u>3361</u> PROCE	SS DESCRIPTIO	N: <u>Aluminum</u>	Reverberatory Fu	ırnace	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): 2		POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	POTENTIAL LAKEIMPACT (tons/yr):2		
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 2	<u>u</u>			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV	
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	66	33	100	100	
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.5	

STATE OF: Wi	sconsin	COUNTY: _	Marinette	
SIC: <u>2496</u>	PROCESS DESCRIPT	ION: <u>Coal Sto</u>	rage	
POTENTIAL FUGITI EMISSIONS (tons/	VE 'yr): <u>2-3</u>	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr): 1-2	
	50% less than 1			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVEMISSIONS		0.6-0.8	0.5-0.7	0.4
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on 1	ake) 66	33	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0.3	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.7	0.4
SIC: <u>2951</u>	PROCESS DESCRIPT	ION: Asphalt	Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIEMISSIONS (tons/		POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		
PARTICLE SIZE: _	60% less than 4	u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVEMISSIONS	E 0	2	2	2
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on 1		33	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	0	1	2	2

STATE OF: Wisconsin		COUNTY: _	Marinette	
SIC: <u>2496</u> PROCE	ESS DESCRIPTION	ON: <u>Coarse</u>	Material Handlir	ng
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	27	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 10	0 u		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	8	9	5	5
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	33	66	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	3	6	5	5
		-		

STATE OF: Wiscons	in	COUNTY: _	Milwaukee	
SIC: 2082 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Grain Ha	ndling	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	779-6913	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		-4143
PARTICLE SIZE: 10%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	188-706	210-1888	211-1900	177-1537
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	188-706	0	211-1900	177-1537
SIC: 4911 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal St	orage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	1556-3097	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		-2330
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 10 u	NO COMMANDO		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	387 <i>-</i> 769	385-766	398-793	386-768
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	387_760	0	308_703	206_760

STATE OF:	Wiscons	in	COUNTY:	Milwaukee	
SIC: <u>3714</u>	PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	:Zinc	Melting	
POTENTIAL FUGIT: EMISSIONS (tons,	IVE /yr): _	8	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):6	······································
PARTICLE SIZE:	100%	less than 2 u			
		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITI		2	2	2	2
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on	lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)		2			
	PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	:Asphal	t Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGIT EMISSIONS (tons	IVE /yr): _	107	POTENTIA IMPACT (	L LAKE tons/yr):82_	
PARTICLE SIZE:	60%	less than 4 u			
·		DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITI EMISSIONS	VE	2	26	50	30
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on	lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)		2	0	50	30

STATE OF: Wiscon	sin	COUNTY: _	Milwaukee	
SIC: <u>3519</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Aluminu	m Melting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	15	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr): 12	
PARTICLE SIZE:100	% less than 2 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	4	3	3	5
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	4	00	3	5
SIC:3321 PROC	CESS DESCRIPTION:	Iron Melt	ing - Copula	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	170-2535	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	. LAKE :ons/yr):128-	1941
PARTICLE SIZE: 100				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	46-710	43-592	33-540	47-691
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	46-710	0	33_540	47_601

STATE OF: Wisconsin		COUNTY: _	Milwaukee	
SIC: 3321 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	: <u>Iron Me</u> l	ting - EAF	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	133-414	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr):99-	-310
PARTICLE SIZE:100%	less than 1 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	34-104	34-104	32-102	33-104
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	34-104	0	32-102	33-104
SIC: 3325 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	: Steel Me	elting - EAF	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	409-1146	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE	-852
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	6 less than 1 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	98-279	107-294	98-279	107-294
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	98-279	0	98-279	107-294

STATE OF: Wisconsi	n	COUNTY: _	Milwaukee	
SIC: 3320 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Sand Pre	paration	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	592-3020	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): <u>446-2</u>	263
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 15 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	113-775	146-758	133-669	160-819
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	0
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	113-775	0	133-669	160-819
SIC: 3362 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Bronze	Melting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	39-175	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): <u>28-1</u>	28
PARTICLE SIZE: 100%	less than 1 u	<del></del>		
	050/550	MAD (MAV	JUNE /840	CERT (NOV
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	11-49	11-47	8-35	9-44
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	11 40	0	0.25	0.44

STATE OF: Wisconsin		_ COUNTY: _	Milwaukee	
SIC: 3241 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Cement	t Manufacturing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	16	POTENTIAL IMPACT (	LAKE tons/yr):11_	
PARTICLE SIZE: 58%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	2	5	6	3
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	2	0	6	3
SIC: 3312 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION:	Coke Ov	en	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	520-2040	POTENTIA	L LAKE tons/yr): <u>391-1</u>	530
PARTICLE SIZE: 90%	less than 10 u	<del></del>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	146-571	130-510	120-469	125-490
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	00	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	146-571	0	120-469	125-490

STATE OF: Wisconsin	) 	COUNTY: _	Milwaukee	
SIC: <u>3341</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Lead Sme	elting	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	15-85	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr): 12-6	53
PARTICLE SIZE: 100	% less than 16 u	<u>ı                                      </u>		
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	4-21	4-21	4-21	4-21
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)		0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	4-21	0	4-21	4-21
SIC: <u>3714</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Core	e Oven	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	649-6061	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	. LAKE cons/yr):507-	-4727
PARTICLE SIZE:50%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	182-1697	143-1333	143-1333	182-1697
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	182-1697	0	143-1333	182-1697

STATE OF: <u>Wisconsi</u>	n	COUNTY:	0zaukee	
SIC: <u>4911</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Coal St	corage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):		POTENTIA IMPACT (		-489
PARTICLE SIZE: 50%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	82-163	82-163	82-163	82-163
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	00	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	82-163	0	82-163	
SIC: <u>2951</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Asphali	t Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	10	POTENTIA IMPACT	AL LAKE (tons/yr):	7
PARTICLE SIZE:60%				
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	0	3	4	3
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	0	0	4	3

STATE OF: Wiscons	in	COUNTY: _	Racine	
SIC: 2951 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Asphalt	Batching	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	37	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t		······································
PARTICLE SIZE: 60%	less than 4 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	8	9	11	9
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	88	0	11	9
SIC: 1422 PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	Limesto	one Crushing	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	800	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE tons/yr): 624	
PARTICLE SIZE: 95%	less than 20 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	200	176	208	216
WIND FREQUENCY(% impact on lake)	100	00	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	200	0	208	216

STATE OF: Wisconsin		COUNTY: _	Racine	
SIC. 222E BROOF	ce preepiption	. Chaol Ma		
SIC: 3325 PROCE	.55 DESCRIPTION:	Steel Me	iting - EAF	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	138-749	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE cons/yr): <u>108-5</u>	84
PARTICLE SIZE:100%	less than 1 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	35-187	30-165	36-195	37-202
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	35-187	0	36-195	37-202
SIC: 3325 PROCE	SS DESCRIPTION	:Core Ove	en	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr): _	4-37	POTENTIAL IMPACT (1	LAKE cons/yr):3-2	9
PARTICLE SIZE: 90%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	1-9	1-8	1-10	1-10
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	1-9	0	1-10	1-10

OUNTY: Racine
Sand Preparation
OTENTIAL LAKE
MPACT (tons/yr): 521

	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	168	148	174	179
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	168	0	174	179

STATE OF: Wisconsi	n	COUNTY: _	Sheboygan	
SIC: <u>9911</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION:	:Coal St	orage	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	94-188	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): <u>72-14</u>	1
PARTICLE SIZE:50%	less than 10 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	24-47	24-47	24-47	24-47
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT (tons)	24-47	0	24-47	24-47
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SIC: <u>3431</u> PROC	ESS DESCRIPTION	:Iron Me	lting - EAF	
POTENTIAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS (tons/yr):	297-1345	POTENTIAL IMPACT (t	LAKE ons/yr): <u>222</u> -	1008
	% less than 1 u			
	DEC/FEB	MAR/MAY	JUNE/AUG	SEPT/NOV
SEASONAL FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	74-336	74-336	74-336	74-336
WIND FREQUENCY (% impact on lake)	100	0	100	100
SEASONAL IMPACT	74-336	0	74-336	74-336

APPENDIX M
STATE OF ILLINOIS SOURCE LIST

STATE: ILLINOIS

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
COOK COUNTY		
031600AA0	Edward Hines Lumber Co Main Yard	Chicago
031600ABS	Sandberg Mfg. Co.	Chicago
031600ABZ	Vilas Mages Co.	Chicago
031600AGL	H. Kramer & Co.	Chicago
031600AIN	Commonwealth Edison - Crawford Station	Chicago
031600AMI	Commonwealth Edison - Fisk Station	Chicago
031600AMY	Central Soya Inc.	Chicago
031600AMZ	Celotex Corp.	Chicago
031600A0X	R. Lavin & Sons, Inc.	Chicago
031600ARH	SIPI Metals Corp.	Chicago
031600ATP	A. Finkel & Sons Co.	Chicago
031600AVZ	Northwestern Malt & Grain Co.	Chicago
031600AW0	Dixie Portland Flour Mills	Chicago
031600BBM	Connelly - GPM, Inc.	Chicago
031600BJ0	Monarch Asphalt	Chicago
031600BNS	General Foods Corp.	Chicago
031600BNW	American Cyanamid Co.	Chicago
031600B0J	Pettibone Corp.	Chicago
031600BPP	Material Service Corp Yard #9	Chicago
031600BQF	Material Service Corp Yard #3	Chicago
031600BRV	Barrett Paving Materials	Chicago
031600CJH	Penn-Dixie Industries, Inc.	Chicago
031600CRQ	American Steel Container Co.	Chicago

STATE: ILLINOIS

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
COOK COUNTY (con'	t)	
031600DXA	Ideal Box Co.	Chicago
031600EDK	SIPI Metals Weed St. Plant	Chicago
031600EMV	Playskool, Inc.	Chicago
031600AAW	General Motors Electro-Motive Division - Plant 2	Chicago
031600AEC	Milles Equipment & Supply	Chicago
031600A0L	Imperial Smelting Corp.	Chicago
031600AQW	Stauffer Chemical - Industrial Chemical Division	Chicago
031600ARY	American Asphalt Paving Co.	Chicago
031600ASE	American Brick Co.	Chicago
031600ATR	Fleischmann Malting Co., Inc.	Chicago
031600CBQ	World's Finest Chocolate, Inc.	Chicago
031600EBN	Gordon Shopiro	Chicago
031600ADY	Marblehead Lime Co.	Chicago
031600AED	Mississippi Lime Co.	Chicago
031600AHI	Rail-to-Water Corp.	Chicago
031600AIE	Indiana Grain Co-Op	Chicago
031600ALZ	U.S. Steel - South Works	Chicago
031600AMA	Interlake - Chicago Blast Furnace Plant	Chicago
031600AMB	Wisconsin Steel Works	Chicago
031600AMC	Republic Steel Corp.	Chicago
031600AMD	Continental Grain Co Elevator B	Chicago
031600ANE	Cargill, Inc Commodity Marketing Div.	Chicago

STATE: ILLINOIS

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
COOK COUNTY (con	t)	
031600AQE	Continental Grain Co Elevator C	Chicago
031600AUB	Interstate Smelting & Refining Co.	Chicago
031600AWJ	Falstaff Brewing Co.	Chicago
031600BEU	Pillsbury Co.	Chicago
031600BFB	Interlake, Inc Chicago Coke Plant	Chicago
031600BFD	Valley Mold & Iron	Chicago
031600CGT	Chicago Paving & Construction	Chicago
031600 <b>DV</b> V	Cametco, Inc.	Chicago
031600EEV	Heckett Engineering Co.	Chicago
031600EKT	Aglomet Chicago, Inc.	Chicago
031288AAB	Monarch Asphalt Co.	Skokie
031288AAD	Wells Manufacturing Co.	Skokie
031288ABN	Barrett Paving Material	Skokie
LAKE COUNTY		
091725AAA	Abbott Laboratories - Group Operations Div.	North Chicago
091725AAG	North Chicago Refiners & Smelters, Inc.	North Chicago
097140AAA	Skokie Valley Asphalt Co. Inc.	Park City
097190AAC	Commonwealth Edison - Waukegan Station	Waukegan
097190AAJ	Johns Manville Products Corp.	Waukegan
097190AAP	National Gypsum Co.	Waukegan
097190ADB	National Gypsum Co.	Waukegan
097809AAB	Peter Baker & Son Co.	Lake Bluff
097811AAB	Meyer Material Co North Chicago Plant #21	Lake Bluff

APPENDIX N
STATE OF INDIANA SOURCE LIST

STATE: INDIANA

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
LAKE COUNTY (2360	)-)	
0002	American Maize Products Co.	Hammond
0007	Associated Box Corporation	East Chicago
0009	Blaw Knox Foundry & Mill Machinery	East Chicago
0012	Globe Industries	Whiting
0013	Hammond Lead Products	Hammond
0014	Harbison-Walker Refractories Co.	Hammond
0015	Inland Steel, Indiana Harbor Works, Part A	East Chicago
0016	Inland Steel, Indiana Harbor Works, Part B	East Chicago
0024	Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical	Gary
0025	N & A Foundry Corp.	Griffith
0032	Northern Indiana Public Service Co Mitchell	Gary
0035	Commonwealth Edison Stateline Generator	Hammond
0037	United States Gypsum Co.	East Chicago
0038	U.S. Steel - Gary Works, Part 1	Gary
0039	U.S. Steel - Gary Works, Part 2	Gary
0041	U.S. Steel Lead Refinery, Inc.	East Chicago
0042	Universal Atlas Cement, Buffington Station	Gary
0044	Youngstown Sheet & Tube	East Chicago
0065	American Smelting & Refining	Hammond
0070	Marblehead Lime Co.	Gary
0073	Atlas Blacktop Co., Inc.	Hammond
0074	A. Metz, Inc.	

STATE: INDIANA

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY		
LAKE COUNTY (con't)(2360-)				
0077	Western Cold Drawn Steel Co.	Gary		
0084	U.S. Reduction Co.	East Chicago		
0093	Bieker Co.	Hammond		
0098	Glidden-Durkee Division SCM Corporation	Hammond		
0100	Halstab Division, Hammond Lead Products, Inc.	Hammond		
0140	Bucko Construction Co., Inc.	Gary		
0142	Northern Indiana Dock Co.	East Chicago		
0143	Wallace Metals, Inc.	East Chicago		
0144	National Briquette Corp.	East Chicago		
0147	Bihlman Asphalt Co.	East Chicago		
0150	Certified Concrete, Inc.	East Chicago		
0162	A. Metz, Inc.	Gary		
0163	General Refractories Co.	Gary		
0165	H.B. Reed and Co., Inc.	Gary		
0166	Republic Steel Corp Union Drawn Div.	Gary		
PORTER COUNTY (	3420-)			
0001	Bethlehem Steel Corp.	Burns Harbor		
0002	Bailly Generating Station	Chesterton		
0007	Porter County Farm Bureau	Wheeler		
0016	Walsh & Kelly			

APPENDIX O
STATE OF MICHIGAN SOURCE LIST

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
ALGER COUNTY		
B1470	Kimberly Clark Munising Mill	Munising
ARENAC COUNTY		
B4970	Van Deusen Stone Company	Au Gres
M1856	Bay County Road Commission	0mer
BAY COUNTY		
A0224	Aetna Portland Cement	Essexville
A0227	Northern Concrete Pipe Inc.	Bay City
A0233	Bay City Foundry	Bay City
B1485	Bay Asphalt Paving Company	Essexville
B1487	American Hoist & Derrick Co. Bay City Division	Bay City
B1491	Wickes Agriculture	Bay City
B1493	Monitor Sugar Company	Bay City
B2460	Chevrolet Motor Division	Bay City
B2840	Consumers Power Company D.E. Karn #1 & 2	Essexville
B2844	Consumers Power Company J.C. Weadock Plant	Essexville
BERRIEN COUNTY		
A0367	Manley Bros. of Indiana Inc.	Bridgman
B1511	Auto Specialties Mfg. Co. Riverside Plant	Benton Harbor
B1512	Auto Specialties Mfg. Co. St. Joseph Plant	St. Joseph
B2404	Bendix Corp.	St. Joseph
B5838	Consumers Asphalt & Concrete Co.	Benton Harbor

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
BERRIEN COUNTY (	con't)	
B6223	John G. Yerington Co. Benton Harbor Plant	Benton Harbor
B6578	Bridgman Casting Center	Bridgman
CHIPPEWA COUNTY		
B1566	Soo Gravel & Asphalt Co.	Sault Sainte Marie
B2362	Drummond Dolomite Inc.	Drummond Island
DELTA COUNTY		
B1570	Chicago & Northwestern Trans.	Escanaba
B1573	Upper Peninsula Power Co.	Escanaba
B5239	Payne & Dolan Inc. (Permit 44-75 Portable)	Escanaba
B5240	Payne & Dolan Inc. (Permit 95-75 Delta County)	Escanaba
HURON COUNTY		
B2815	Detroit Edison Co Harbor Beach Power Plant	Harbor Beach
B2873	Michigan Sugar Company	Sebewaing
B4944	Wallace Stone Company	Bay Port
MACKINAC COUNTY		
B4924	Limestone Operations	Cedarville
MACOMB COUNTY		
A3179	Ready Mix Concrete Inc.	Warren
A3352	Ward & Vannuck Asphalt Co.	Mt. Clemens
B1783	New Haven Foundry	New Haven

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY				
MACOMB COUNTY (c	MACOMB COUNTY (con't)					
B4124	Ace Concrete Products Co.	Roseville				
B5635	Wolverine Bronze Co.	Roseville				
B5852	E.B. Metzen Co.	New Baltimore				
B6264	Ace Concrete Products Co.	Mt. Clemens				
B6277	Four Seasons Transit Mix Cement Construction Co.	Roseville				
B6280	Van Horn Bros Mt. Clemens Plant	Mt. Clemens				
B6287	Mini-Mix Co.	Fraser				
MARQUETTE COUNTY						
B1833	Marquette BD of Light & Power	Marquette				
B4261	Upper Peninsula Generating Co. Presque Isle Station	Marquette				
MASON COUNTY						
A3933	Harbison-Walker Refractories Division of Dresser Industries	Ludington				
A3934	Great Lakes Casting Corp.	Ludington				
B1846	Dow Chemical Ludington Plant	Ludington				
B1851	Laman Asphalt & Redi-Mix, Inc.	Ludington				
B4114	Chesapeake and Ohio Railway Co.	Ludington				
MENOMINEE COUNTY						
B1855	Menomine Paper Company, Inc.	Menominee				
MONROE COUNTY						
A4097	Builders Ready-Mix Concrete	Monroe				
A4127	Ford Motor Co Monroe	Monroe				
B2816	Monroe Power Plant	Monroe				

	•	
I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
MONROE COUNTY (co	on't)	
B2846	Consumers Power Company J.R. Whiting Plant	Luna Pier
MUSKEGON COUNTY		
A4203	S.D. Warren Co.	Muskegon
A4231	Certified Concrete Inc.	Muskegon Heights
A4238	Muskegon Aluminum Foundry Co.	Muskegon
A4242	Enterprise Brass Works	Muskegon
A4302	Sealed Power Corp.	Muskegon Heights
A4315	Cannon-Muskegon Corp.	Muskegon
B1893	Muskegon Asphalt Paving Co.	Muskegon
B1906	CWC Castings - Plant 1 (Sanford Street)	Muskegon Heights
B1907	CWC Castings - Plant 3 (2673 Henry St.)	Roosevelt Park
B1908	CWC Casting Division - Plant 4 (Broadway St.)	Muskegon Heights
B1925	Tech-Cast Inc.	Montague
B1929	Westran Corp.	Muskegon
B2836	Consumers Power Co B.C. Cobb Plant	Muskegon
ONTONAGON COUNTY		
A5754	Champion Packaging - Ontonagon Mill Division	Ontonagon
B1966	White Pine Copper Division	White Pine
OTTAWA COUNTY		
A5872	Holtrop Concrete Products	Ferrysburg
A5879	Grand Haven Brass Foundry	Grand Huron

T 0 444050	NAME OF FACTUATY	0.7.7.4
I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
OTTAWA COUNTY (	con't)	
B2835	Consumer Power Plant J.H. Campbell Plant	West Olive
PRESOUE ISLE COL	UNTY	
B4925	Limestone Operations - Calcite Plant	Rogers City
SCHOOLCRAFT COU	NTY	
B4931	Inland Lime and Stone Co.	Gulliver
WAYNE COUNTY		
A6928	Stroh Brewery Co.	Detroit
A7809	Great Lakes Steel Division	Ecorse
A7816	Kahl Iron Foundry Inc.	Detroit
A7835	Industrial Smelting Co.	Detroit
A8631	GM - Cadillac Motor Car Division	Detroit
A8640	Ford Motor Company Steel Division	Dearborn
A8646	Ford Dearborn Specialty Foundry	Dearborn
A9036	Rickel Malting Company Inc.	Detroit
A9740	Allied Chemical Corp.	Detroit
B0673	Anaconda American Brass	Detroit
B2081	Revere Copper & Brass Michigan Division Plant	Detroit
B2116	McLouth Steel Corp.	Trenton
B2166	Chrysler Huber Ave. Foundry	Detroit
B2169	Marblehead Lime Co.	River Rouge
B2800	BASF Wyandotte Corp.	Wyandotte
B2810	Detroit Edison River Rouge Power Plant	River Rouge
B2811	Detroit Edison Trenton Chan Power Plant	Trenton

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
WAYNE COUNTY (con	cluded)	
B2812	Detroit Edison Conners Creek Power Plant	Detroit
B3009	Detroit Edison Pennsalt Power Plant	Wyandotte
B3011	Detroit Edison Willis Heating Plant	Detroit
B3195	Asphalt Products Plant 6-A	Detroit
B3518	United States Gypsum Co.	River Rouge
B3520	Detroit Lime Co.	Detroit
B3567	Peerless Cement Co.	Detroit
B4009	Base Wyandotte Corp South Works	Wyandotte
B4237	Asphalt Products Corp Plant 1A	Detroit
B4243	Levy Slag Plant - No. 6	Detroit
B6087	Darco Corp.	River Rouge

APPENDIX P
STATE OF MINNESOTA SOURCE LIST

STATE: MINNESOTA

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
ST. LOUIS COUNTY	(3260-)	
0001	J.C. Campbell Co.	Two Harbors
0013	Minnesota Power & Light Co. Aurora Station	Duluth
0021	Cargill, Inc Elevator B	Duluth
0022	Duluth Steam Coop. Association Duluth Site	Duluth
0023	International Multi-foods	Duluth
0032	Reserve Mining Co Babbitt Site	Silver Bay
0035	U.S. Steel Corp Morgan Park Site	Morgan Park
0036	Arrowhead Blacktop Co Jeffery Road Munger Site	Duluth
0037	Arrowhead Blacktop Co Plant #3	Duluth
0055	Cargill, Inc Elevator C	Duluth
0058	Lake Shore Blacktop Co Two Harbors Site	Two Harbors
0059	U.S. Steel Corp Lake Shipping	Duluth
LAKE COUNTY (184	0-)	
0003	Reserve Mining Co Silver Bay Site	Silver Bay

APPENDIX Q
STATE OF OHIO SOURCE LIST

STATE: OHIO

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
ASHTABULA COUNTY		
0204000211	Cleveland Electric & Illuminating Co.	Ashtabula
0204010003	Union Carbide Corp Metals Division	Ashtabula
0204010193	G & W Natural Resources Group Titanium	Ashtabula
CUYAHOGA COUNTY		
1318000078	Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.	Cleveland
1318000103	River Smelting & Refining	Cleveland
1318000229	Cereal Food Processors	Cleveland
1318000244	Cleveland Electric Illuminating Steam Heating Plant	Cleveland
1318000245	Cleveland Electric Illuminating Lake Shore Plant	Cleveland
1318000372	Forest City Foundries	Cleveland
1318000958	Shell Sands, Inc.	Cleveland
1318001007	Harshaw Chemical Co.	Cleveland
1318001169	NASA Lewis Research Center	Cleveland
1318001287	Wabash Alloys, Inc A&C Division	Cleveland
1318001613	Republic Steel Corp.	Cleveland
1318001622	U.S. Steel Corp Lorain Cuyahoga Works	Cleveland
1318001721	National Metal Abrasive Co.	Cleveland
1318002490	Division Pumping Station	Cleveland
1318002662	Standard Slag Co., Republic Plant	Cleveland
1318002816	Union-Independent Division of UNSCO	Cleveland
1318003287	Sand Products Corporation	Cleveland

STATE: OHIO

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
CUYAHOGA COUNTY	(con't)	
1318003295	Smith Facing & Supply Co.	Cleveland
1318003729	Horvitz Co.	Cleveland
1318004160	Hupp, Inc.	Cleveland
1318005539	Valley Mould & Iron Co.	Cleveland
1318120178	Ford Motor Co Cleveland Engine Plant 2	Brookpark
1318120179	Ford Motor Co Cleveland Engine Plant 1	Brookpark
1318120180	Ford Motor Co Cleveland Casting Plant	Brookpark
1318201633	Addressograph Multigraph	Euclid
1318201688	Chase Brass & Copper Co., Inc.	Euclid
1318202137	Lincoln Electric Co.	Cleveland
ERIE COUNTY		
0322010062	Huron Lime Co.	Huron
0322020045	New Departure - Hyatt	Sandusky
0322020183	Sandusky Crushed Stone Co.	Sandusky
LAKE COUNTY		
0243000165	IRC Fibers Co.	Painesville
0243020456	Erie Coke & Chemical Co.	Fairport Harbor
0243030257	Republic Steel Corp Lime Plant	Grand River
0243160009	Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. East Lake Plant	Willoughby
0243160174	Ohio Rubber Co.	Willoughby
LORAIN COUNTY		
1947030013	Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. Avon Lake Plant	Avon Lake

STATE: OHIO

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
LORAIN COUNTY (con't)		
1947080049	Ohio Edison - Edgewater	Lorain
1947080229	U.S. Steel Corp Lorain Cuyahoga Works	Lorain
LUCAS COUNTY		
0448010064	General Mills Inc.	To1 edo
0448010086	Toledo Edison Co Acme Station	Toledo
0448010203	Cargill Inc.	Toledo
0448010247	Toledo Mental Health Center	Toledo
0448010313	Mid-States Terminal, Inc.	To1 edo
0448010495	Andersons Grain Division - Toledo Plant	Toledo
0448010699	R.G.C.	Toledo
0448020006	Toledo Eidson Co Bay Shore Station	0regon
OTTAWA COUNTY	,	
0362000078	U.S. Gypsum Co.	Gypsum
0362000088	Maumee Stone Co Rocky Ridge Plant	Benton Township
0362010011	Celotex Corp.	Port Clinton

APPENDIX R
STATE OF WISCONSIN SOURCE LIST

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
DOOR COUNTY		
150001	Door County Highway Dept.	Sturgeon Bay
150007	Bissen Blacktop Inc.	Sturgeon Bay
DOUGLAS COUNTY		
160001	Adm Grain Co.	Superior
160002	Continental Elevator	Superior
160003	CLM Corporation Superior	Superior
160005	Farmers Union Grain Terminal	Superior
160006	Peavey Co. Globe Elevator	Superior
160008	National Gypsum Co Cement Division	Superior
160011	M & O Elevators, Inc.	Superior
160013	Peavey Co. Flour Mills	Superior
160017	Superior Midwest Energy Terminal	Superior
160020	Lakehead Blacktop Co.	Superior
160034	Burlington Northern Ore Factory	Superior
160037	Haskins Blacktop & Construction	Gordon
KENOSHA COUNTY		
300001	Anaconda Co Brass Division	Kenosha
300021	Kenosha Asphalt Paving	Kenosha
KEWAUNEE COUNTY		
310001	Kewaunee County Highway Commission	
310002	Algoma Hardwoods Inc.	A1 goma
MANITOWOC COUNTY		
360004	Medusa Cement Co.	Manitowoc

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
MANITOWOC COUNTY	(con't)	
360005	Manitowoc County Highway Dept.	Manitowoc
360006	Manitowoc Public Utilities	Manitowoc
360007	Rockwell Lime Co.	Rockwood
360011	Reliance Construction Co Plant 181	Meeme
360035	Schuette Construction Co.	Kossuth
360047	Wisconsin Aluminum Foundry	Manitowoc
MARINETTE COUNTY		
380006	Rodman Industries	Marinette
380008	Ansul Company	Marinette
380018	Biehl Construction CoMarinette Plant	Marinette
MILWAUKEE COUNTY		
410002	Pabst Brewing Co.	Milwaukee
410003	Joseph Schlitz Brewing Co.	Milwaukee
410009	Wisconsin Electric Power - E. Wells Station	Milwaukee
410006	Briggs & Stratton Corp Milwaukee Plant #2	Milwaukee
410014	Ready-Crete, Inc.	Milwaukee
410027	A.O. Smith Corp.	Milwaukee
410045	Miller Brewing Co Milwaukee Plant	Milwaukee
410054	Milwaukee County Institutions - Power Plant	Wauwatosa
410058	Northwest Asphalt Product Inc.	Milwaukee
410059	Highway Pavers Inc Asphalt Plant	Milwaukee
410051	White Construction Co Asphalt Plant	Milwaukee

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
MILWAUKEE COUNTY	(con't)	
410060	Briggs & Straton Corp Wauwatosa Plant	Wauwatosa
410076	Grede Foundries Inc Milwaukee Steel Div.	Milwaukee
410077	Milwaukee Solvay Coke Co.	Milwaukee
410078	Paving Mix and Construction Co., Inc.	Oak Creek
410081	Hynite Corporation	Oak Creek
410091	Cudahy Paving Co., Inc.	Cudahy
410096	Ladish Company	Cudahy
410100	Rexnord, Inc Nordberg Machine Group	Milwaukee
410103	Wisconsin Electric Power - Valley Station	Milwaukee
410105	City of Milwaukee Asphalt Plant	Milwaukee
410106	Midcity Foundry Co.	Milwaukee
410110	Universal Atlas Cement Div U.S. Steel	Milwaukee
410126	Howmet Turbine Components	Milwaukee
410128	Barclay Foundry, Inc.	Milwaukee
410133	Kurth Malting Co., Plant 1	West Milwaukee
410134	Wehr Steel Co.	West Allis
410136	Sherwin Corp.	Milwaukee
410137	Krause Milling Co.	West Milwaukee
410138	AMPCO Metal Div Milwaukee	Milwaukee
410140	Milwaukee Malleable and Great Iron Works	Milwaukee
410142	Maynard Steel Casting Co.	Milwaukee
410143	Froedteri Malt Corp.	West Milwaukee
410144	Falk Corp Plant 1	Milwaukee

I.D. NUMBER	NAME OF FACILITY	CITY
MILWAUKEE COUNTY	(con't)	
410146	Minerals Reclamation Corp.	West Allis
410153	Motor Castings Co Plant 1	West Allis
410154	Federal Casting Division	West Allis
410155	Motor Castings Co Plant 2	Milwaukee
410157	Allis Chalmers Corp Foundry	West Allis
410166	Grey Iron Foundry Inc West Allis	West Allis
410167	Briggs & Stratton Corp West Allis Plant	West Allis
410253	Pelton Casteel Inc W. Dewey Pl. Plant	Milwaukee
410256	Bucyrus - Erie Co Main Plant	South Milwaukee
OZAUKEE COUNTY		
460016	Wisconsin Electric Power - Port Washington Station	Port Washington
460029	White Construction Co Saukville Plant	Saukville
RACINE COUNTY		
520016	Payne & Dolan of Wisconsin	Racine
520027	Vulcan Materials Co Racine Quarry #383	Racine
520032	A.W. Oakes & Son, Inc.	Racine
520888	Evans Products Co Racine Steel Castings	Racine
SHEBOYGAN COUNTY		
600004	Kohler Co Kohler Plant	Kohler
600007	City of Sheboygan Incinerator	Sheboygan

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA (Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)			
1. REPORT NO. 905/2-80-007	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.	
Industrial Process Fugitive Emission Inventory for the Region V Great Lakes Shoreline		5. REPORT DATE	
		6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE	
7. AUTHOR(S) Charles J. Mackus Eddy S. Lin		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Pacific Environmental Services, Inc.		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	
465 Fullerton Elmhurst, IL 60126		11.CONTRACT/GRANT NO. 68-01-4140 Work Assignment No. 73	
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V Division of Stationary Source Enforcement 230 S. Dearborn Chicago, IL 60604		13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final	
		14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE 5EAE	

# 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

#### 16. ABSTRACT

This report was the result of a limited-scope preliminary study of particulate fugitive emissions from major industrial sources located within the six states of U.S. EPA Region V. This study revealed that approximately 229,000 to 531,000 tons of fugitive particulates were deposited into the Great Lakes during 1978. Large industrial cities such as Chicago, Gary, Cleveland, Toledo, Detroit, and Milwaukee were found to have the largest concentration of major fugitive emission sources. Iron and steel industries were found to be the largest fugitive emission sources bordering the lakes.

17.	7. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS		
а.	DESCRIPTORS	b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
-	Air Pollution Control Industrial Process Fugitive Emissions Great Lakes Fallout Water Pollution Meteorology	Fugitive Emission Invento Atmospheric loading Nutrients Water Quality Effect	ry
13. (	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Unlimited	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) Unclassified	21. NO. OF PAGES 256
		20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) Unclassified	22. PRICE

#### INSTRUCTIONS

#### 1. REPORT NUMBER

Insert the EPA report number as it appears on the cover of the publication.

#### 2. LEAVE BLANK

#### 3. RECIPIENTS ACCESSION NUMBER

Reserved for use by each report recipient.

#### 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

Title should indicate clearly and briefly the subject coverage of the report, and be displayed prominently. Set subtitle, if used, in smaller type or otherwise subordinate it to main title. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number and include subtitle for the specific title.

#### 5. REPORT DATE

Each report shall carry a date indicating at least month and year. Indicate the basis on which it was selected (e.g., date of issue, date of approval, date of preparation, etc.).

## PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE

Leave blank.

#### 7. AUTHOR(S)

Give name(s) in conventional order (John R. Doe, J. Robert Doe, etc.). List author's affiliation if it differs from the performing organization.

## 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

Insert if performing organization wishes to assign this number.

#### PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS

Give name, street, city, state, and ZIP code. List no more than two levels of an organizational hirearchy.

#### 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

Use the program element number under which the report was prepared. Subordinate numbers may be included in parentheses.

## 11. CONTRACT/GRANT NUMBER

Insert contract or grant number under which report was prepared.

#### 12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS

Include ZIP code.

# 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED

Indicate interim final, etc., and if applicable, dates covered.

## 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE

Leave blank.

## 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Enter information not included elsewhere but useful, such as: Prepared in cooperation with, Translation of. Presented at conference of, To be published in, Supersedes, Supplements, etc.

## 16. ABSTRACT

Include a brief (200 words or less) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report. If the report contains a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here.

# 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

(a) DESCRIPTORS - Select from the Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms the proper authorized terms that identify the major concept of the research and are sufficiently specific and precise to be used as index entries for cataloging.

(b) IDENTIFIERS AND OPEN-ENDED TERMS - Use identifiers for project names, code names, equipment designators, etc. Use open-ended terms written in descriptor form for those subjects for which no descriptor exists.

(c) COSATI FIELD GROUP - Field and group assignments are to be taken from the 1965 COSATI Subject Category List. Since the majority of documents are multidisciplinary in nature, the Primary Field/Group assignment(s) will be specific discipline, area of human endeavor, or type of physical object. The application(s) will be cross-referenced with secondary Field/Group assignments that will follow the primary posting(s).

## 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Denote releasability to the public or limitation for reasons other than security for example "Release Unlimited." Cite any availability to the public, with address and price.

## 19. & 20. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

DO NOT submit classified reports to the National Technical Information service.

## 21. NUMBER OF PAGES

Insert the total number of pages, including this one and unnumbered pages, but exclude distribution list, if any.

## 22. PRICE

Insert the price set by the National Jechnical Information Service or the Government Printing Office, if known.

IPA Form 2220-1 (9-73) (Reverse)