Research and Development

Athens GA 30613 EPA/600/S3-87/019 Dec. 1987



## **Project Summary**

Measurement of Hydrolysis Rate Constants for Evaluation of Hazardous Waste Land Disposal: Volume 2. Data on 54 Chemicals

J. J. Ellington, F. E. Stancil, Jr., W. D. Payne, and C. Trusty

To provide input data for a mathematical model to estimate potential ground-water contamination from chemicals in land disposal sites, hydrolysis rate constants were determined for 31 regulated chemicals under carefully controlled conditions. Hydrolysis rates were measured under sterile conditions at precisely controlled temperatures and at three pH levels (3, 7, and 11). Conditions were adjusted to provide sufficiently precise rate constants to meet modeling requirements determined through model sensitivity tests. In addition to close monitoring of temperature and pH, precautions were taken to minimize impact of adventitious processes. Chemical concentrations as a function of incubation time were measured by gas chromatography, liquid chromatography, or ion exchange chromatography. Identities and purities of the chemicals were determined by mass spectrometry supplemented, in some cases, by infrared spectrometry. Hydrolysis rate constants are reported for 54 compounds.

This Project Summary was developed by EPA's Environmental Research Laboratory, Athens, GA, to announce key findings of the research project that is fully documented in a separate report of the same title (see Project Report ordering information at back).

## **Background**

The Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 to the Resources Conservation and Recovery Act (PL 98-616) stipulate that land disposal of "hazardous wastes" is prohibited unless the EPA Administrator determines that prohibition of some wastes is not required to protect human health and the environment because those particular wastes are not likely to reach unacceptable levels in ground water as a result of land disposal. The amendments define hazardous waste as any of 362 specific compounds (either part of or inclusive of Appendix VIII compounds). In compiling this list, major considerations were toxicity of the material and quantity of waste material generated annually.

To provide a practical tool for determining which listed hazardous materials may be disposed of by land disposal and under what conditions, the use of a relatively simple model was suggested that would estimate potential groundwater contamination for each listed chemical. The model considers horizontal movement based on advection, dispersion, sorption, and transformation. Hydrolysis is the only transformation process specifically considered. Although other transformation processes, such as microbial degradation and chemical reduction, may take place, they are not presently included in the model. The model assumes no unsatu-



rated zone for ground water and assumes saturated ground-water "zones" ranging from 3 meters to 560 meters in depth. The mean depth of those considered is 78.6 meters. Organic carbon contents used in the model will range from 1% to 0.1%. The point at which the ground water must meet standards may vary but was originally set at 150 meters measured horizontally from the point of introduction.

For each chemical considered, the maximum allowable concentration for the receiving ground water, 150 meters "downstream," is entered into the model, which assumes environmental characteristics for selected subterranian systems. The concentration of leachate leaving the disposal site is computed for various conditions of rainfall, soil type, pH, etc. A computed leachate concentration that would cause unacceptable ground-water conditions is selected by as the maximum allowable concentration in leachates. A chemical may be disposed of by land only if treatment brings the leachate concentration down to the level selected that would not cause ground water to exceed the acceptable concentration. The modeling approach applies to landfills, surface impoundments, waste piles, and land treatment operations. Land treatment operations may be addressed in a different manner to allow for reduction in concentrations resulting from the land treatment process.

It is necessary to acquire octanol/ water partition coefficients and hydrolysis rate constants for each of the 362 chemicals except for solvents ("fast track" in the list), which will be treated as non-degrading, non-sorbing constituents and chemicals already banned by the State of California (listed as "California"). These two groups comprise 21 and 44 chemicals, respectively. The remainder of the 362 chemicals were separated into three groups by EPA's Office of Solid Waste: 81 in the "first third," 121 in the "second third," and 95 in the "third third." This report provides first- and second-order hydrolysis rate constants for those organic compounds in the second group for which satisfactory values were not developed in an earlier evaluation process and describes the laboratory experiments conducted to measure hydrolysis rate constants. Rate constants for 32 chemicals in the "first third" were reported in Measurement of Hydrolysis Rate Constants for Evaluation of Hazardous Waste Land Disposal: Volume 1. Data on 32 Chemicals, EPA/600/3-86/043.

### Hydrolysis Kinetics

Hydrolysis of organic compounds refers to reaction of the compound with water in which bonds are broken and new bonds with HO- and H- are formed. A common example is the reaction of an alkyl halide with the loss of halide ion (-X):

$$RX + HOH \longrightarrow ROH + HX (or H^+, X^-)$$

The rate of the reaction may be promoted by the hydronium ion ( $H^+$ , or  $H_3O^+$ ) or the hydroxyl ion (OH<sup>-</sup>). The former is referred to as specific acid catalysis and the latter as specific base catalysis. These two processes together with the neutral water reaction were the only mechanisms considered in this study. This allowed direct measurement of the  $H_3O^+$  or OH<sup>-</sup> concentration through accurate determination of solution pH.

Some chemicals show a pH dependent elimination reaction:

$$-\frac{H}{C} - \frac{X}{C} - \frac{H'}{OH^{-}} C = C + HX$$

In this study, only the disappearance of substrate was monitored with no attempts to identify mechanisms.

All processes referred to above are included where the rate of hydrolysis is given by the equation.

$$-\frac{d[C]}{dt} = k_h[C] = k_A[H^+][C] + k_B[OH^-][C]$$

$$+ k_N'(H_2O][C]$$
 (1)

where [C] is the concentration of reactant and  $k_h$  is the pseudo-first-order rate constant at a specific pH and temperature,  $k_A$  and  $k_B$  are second-order rate constants and  $k_N'$  the pseduo-first-order rate constant for the acid, base, and neutral promoted processes, respectively. The water concentration is essentially not depleted by the reaction and is much greater than [C], thus  $k_N'[H_2O]$  is a constant  $(k_N)$ .

Equation 1 assumes each individual rate process is first order in substrate, thus  $k_n$  can be defined as:

$$k_h = k_A[H^+] + k_B[OH^-] + k_N$$
 (2)

Using the autoprotolysis equilibrium expression

$$K_W = [H^+][OH^-]$$
 (3)

equation 2 may be rewritten as

$$k_h = k_A[H^+] + \frac{K_B K_W}{[H^+]} + k_N$$
 (4)

Equation 4 shows the dependence of  $k_h$  on [H $^+$ ] and on the relative values of  $k_A$ ,  $k_B$ , and  $k_N$ .

As a good approximation, the secondorder rate constants for acid hydrolysis and for base hydrolysis can be calculated by dividing the pseudo-first order rate constant obtained at the appropriate pH by the hydronium ion or hydroxyl ion concentration, respectively. The half-life of a chemical at a given pH and temperature can be calculated from equation 5, where  $k_h$  is the observed rate.

$$t_{1/2} = \frac{0.693}{k_h} \tag{5}$$

## Contributing Factors in Determination of Hydrolysis Rate Constants

A typical hydrolysis experiment consisted of preparing a spiking solution of the compound of interest, preparing buffer solutions, transferring spiked buffer to individual "rate point tubes" (15-ml Teflon lined, screw cap, or sealed ampules), then monitoring degradation by sacrificing individual tubes and determining percentage of the substrate remaining.

Spiking solutions were prepared by dissolving the substrate in acetonitrile, methanol, or water. The concentration was such that 0.1 ml diluted to 100 ml with buffer gave a substrate concentration that was  $1 \times 10^{-5} \underline{M}$  or was 50% of the water solubility or less.

Initial hydrolysis runs were performed at pH 3, 7, and 11. Buffers were prepared at these pHs then measured at the temperature of the hydrolysis run. Each run consisted of five or six tubes. Immediate analysis of one tube established the 100% response peak (To). Analysis of a second tube within 3 to 6 hours gave a good estimate of sampling frequency for the remaining tubes.

The initial hydrolysis runs were used to set pH and temperature conditions for subsequent rate determinations. The rate determinations were normally performed in triplicate.

The EPA repositories at Research Triangle Park, NC, and Las Vegas, NV, were the first choice for chemicals on which hydrolysis rates were measured. Commercial chemical companies were the second sources. The chemicals used for determining rate constants were analyzed by mass spectrometry for confirmation of the stated identity. The generated mass spectral data were used to confirm identities of chemicals. GC/ FTIR was used to characterize two phosphate esters and methyl methacrylate.

Solvents used were "distilled in glass," Burdick and Jackson solvents either gas chromatograph of HPLC grade, as required by the method of analysis.

An Orion Research EA920 pH meter\* equipped with an Orion Research 810300 Ross combination electrode was used for all pH measurements. National Bureau of Standards (NBS) reference standards were used to calibrate and check the pH meter. The pH meter had a stated accuracy of  $\pm$  0.02 units. The temperature compensation probe was used for all measurements. The pH was measured at the temperature of the hydrolysis rate measurement and adjusted with base or acid to obtain the desired pH.

Buffer stock solutions were prepared at 0.1 M using sterile water as described above. To prepare pH 3 buffer, 0.1 M potassium hydrogen phthalate was diluted to 0.005 M and final pH adjustment made with 0.1 M HCI. The pH 7 buffer was prepared from 0.1 M potassium dihydrogen phosphate diluted to 0.005 M with final pH adjustment using 0.1 M NaOH. Buffers for pHs 9 and 11 were made by diluting 0.1 M sodium phosphate heptahydrate to 0.005 M with final pH adjustment using 0.1 M NaOH.

Buffer stability was tested initially at 0.001  $\underline{\text{M}}$ . Thus, pH 5 and pH 7 buffers held their respective pHs for the test period. The pH 9 buffer (0.001  $\underline{\text{M}}$ ) decreased to pH 8.07 after 24 hours and to pH 7.50 after 96 hours. Buffer at a concentration of 0.005  $\underline{\text{M}}$  remained constant at 9.10  $\pm$  0.03 pH units for 25 days. Containers for the experiment were screw cap test tubes. Autoclaved (CO<sub>2</sub> free) water was used.

Forma Scientific refrigerated and heated baths (Model 2095) were used for

temperatures in the range of 2 to 70°C (±0.02°C). A Lauda C-20 oil bath with a stated control accuracy of ±0.01°C and a fine control range of ±0.2°C was used for temperatures above 68°C. Temperatures were measured with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) thermometers, calibrated by NBS procedures and NBS-certified master thermometers. The thermometers were calibrated in 0.1°C increments.

Water used in the experiments was unchlorinated ground water that was first processed through a high capacity reverse osmosis unit and a deionizer unit. This "house" deionized water was further purified by passage through a Barnstead Nanopure II deionizer, 4module unit with Pretreatment, High Capacity, and Z-Ultrapure cartridges. Water obtained from this unit had a resistance of greater than 16 meg ohms. This double deionized water was autoclaved for 30 min/liter and allowed to cool before use. The sterile water was stored in a sterile-cotton-plugged container until used. All hydrolysis runs were conducted in screw cap tubes. Data from smear plate counts on agar indicated growth as being less than 1 colony per milliliter through 9 days at 25°C and pH of 5, 7, and 9. Sterility checks on the water were performed intermittently.

Buffer solutions also were checked for bacterial growth. Buffer solutions, prepared as described above, were transferred at room temperature to screw cap test tubes. One-half were flame transferred, the other half without flaming. A sample (1 ml) from each tube was plated daily, for 9 concurrent days on TGE agar. After a 48-hour incubation, no growth was found. This confirmed sterility. Control checks during hydrolysis runs showed no growth.

### Methods of Analysis

Generally, gas chromatography was the first method of choice for four reasons:

- 1. instrument provided required sensitivity and specificity
- solvent extraction stopped hydrolysis and allowed multiple injections over extended periods of time
- solvent extraction also lessened problems caused by compound sorption to glass
- methodology allowed direct aqueous injection of water soluble compounds that were not amenable to other methods of analysis

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was used extensively; ion chromatography and the diode array UV-detector were used in the analysis of several compounds. Linearity of detector response in the concentration range of analysis for each chemical was established to ensure reliable concentration versus time plots.

# Standard Reference Compounds

Standard reference compounds are compounds that are used as quality assurance standards and as references in inter-laboratory generation of hydrolysis data. Repetition of rate constant measurement for these compounds over the course of the reporting period established baseline information for evaluating experimental techniques and for all aspects of quality assurance.

Four chemicals (DL-trans-4chlorostilbene oxide, benzyl chloride, 2.4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid methyl ester, and lindane) were used as standard reference compounds (SRCs) to ensure reproducibility and control of two parameters, temperature and pH, that affect hydrolysis rates of chemicals in an agueous environment. The acetate and lindane were used as SRCs in the pH ranges of 8 to 9.5 and 9.5 to 11, respectively. Benzyl chloride and the stilbene oxide were used in conjunction with neutral and acidic hydrolysis rate determinations, respectively. Determinations of the hydrolysis rates of the SRCs were repeated at varying temperatures and pHs over a 15-month period. Each SRC is amenable to analysis by both gas chromatography and liquid chromatography. During the study, the rates for the SRCs were determined on four gas chromatographs and three liquid chromatographs by four chemists. For these determinations the greatest variability from the mean at the 95% confidence limit was  $\pm 12\%$  for the acetate. The mean and uncertainty at the 95% confidence level was: stilbene oxide (17.0  $\pm$  2.0 M<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>), benzyl chloride [ (7.2 ± 0.5) X 10<sup>-4</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>], acetate (699 ± 77  $M^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ ), and lindane (3.3 ± 0.1  $M^{-1}$  $min^{-1}$ ).

Reproduction of the hydrolysis constants of the SRCs at the established concentrations, pHs, and temperatures insured that the experimental conditions for each set of compounds were acceptable and that the rate constants for the compounds could be determined with

<sup>\*</sup>Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use

required precision and accuracy. A range of pseudo-first-order hydrolysis rates for all SRCs and second-order rate constants for the acidic and basic reference compound were established from these determinations. Hydrolysis data for the second and third set of compounds will be reported in subsequent volumes.

#### Hydrolysis Rate Constants

A summary sheet was prepared for each chemical. The summary sheet contained information pertinent to the analysis of each chemical, and included source, purity, and analytical method. Also included on the sheet was information on pH, temperature, pseudo-first-order and second-order rate constants,

half-lives, and correlation coefficients (r²). Where a literature reference for the hydrolysis of a compound was obtained, the summary sheet contained the second-order rate constant if applicable and first-order rate constants at 25°C. For several of the compounds, lab data were generated in this study to fill in gaps in the literature.

Data from all the summary sheets were used to derive the values in Table 1. These values are the calculated rate constants at 25°C. The rate constants were assumed to increase a factor of 10 for each 20°C increase in temperature above 25°C. This corresponds to an activation energy of about 20 kcal/mole. When applicable, extrapolated values

(25°C) were obtained using activation parameters. A temperature correction was applied to all calculations involving k<sub>w</sub> or [OH¬]. When statistical tests of the data indicated the hydrolysis was independent of pH, hydrolysis values from the extremes of pH (acid and ∕or base) were included in calculating the neutral hydrolysis rates reported in Table 1. Confidence limits were calculated from the mean and standard deviation values and are the values reported in Table 1.

Table 1. Hydrolysis Rate Constants and Half-Lives at 25°C Laboratory Determined Rate Data

CAS Number	Compound	Rate Constants			Calculated		
		Acid M <sup>-1</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup>	Neutral hr <sup>-1</sup>	Base M <sup>-1</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup>	Half-Life at pH 7		
591-08-2	n-(Aminothioxomethyl)- acetamide		$[1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}$	1.50 ± 0.09	4.6 yr		
75-05-8	Acetonitrile <sup>a</sup>			5.8 X 10 <sup>-3</sup>	>150,000 yr		
53-96-3	2-Acetylaminofluorine		2.3 X 10 <sup>-6</sup>	6 X 10 <sup>-3</sup>	34 yr		
492-80-8	Auramineª	5.5	3.9 X 10 <sup>-4</sup>		74 d		
115-02-6	Azaserine	<i>328</i> ± <i>20</i>	$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	$\textit{6.8}\pm\textit{0.7}$	99 d		
305-03-3	Chlorambucif <sup>a</sup>		0.4		1.7 hr		
57-74-9	Chlordane (cis isomer)			4.3 X 10 <sup>-3</sup>	>197,000 yr		
494-03-1	Chloronaphazine <sup>a</sup>		3.2 X 10 <sup>-3</sup>		216 hr		
91-58-7	Beta-Chlornaphthalene		$(9.5 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-6}$		8.3 yr		
126-99-8	2-Chloro-1,3-butadieneª	Polymerizes in absence of inhibitors (no hydrolysis)					
5344-82-1	1-(0-Chlorophenyl)thiourea		$(9.8 \pm 3.0)  \text{X}  10^{-7}$	$0.14 \pm 0.03$	81 yı		
542-76-7	3-Chloropropanenitrile		$(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$	12,071 ±1,960	22 a		
50-18-0	Cyclophosphamide <sup>a</sup>		7.1 X 10 <sup>-4</sup>		41 a		
72-54-8	DDD (p,p' isomer)		$(2.8 \pm 0.9)  \text{X}  10^{-6}$	5.2	28 yı		
20830-81-3	Daunomycin		$(9.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$	10	298 d		
2303-16-4	Diallate		$(1.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$	$0.9\pm0.4$	6.6 yı		
111-44-4	Dichloroethyl ether <sup>a</sup>		3.2 X 10 <sup>-2</sup>		22 hi		
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane		$(5.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$	4.3 X 10 <sup>-4</sup>	15.8 yı		
297-97-2	0,0-Diethyl-0-pyranzinyl phosphorothioate		$(1.0 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$	7.3 ± 0.7	29 0		
55-91-4	Diisopropyl fluorophosphate*	3.8	7.2 X 10 <sup>-3</sup>	28	96 hi		

Table 1. (continued)

CAS Number	Compound	Rate Constants			Calculated		
		Acid M <sup>-1</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup>	Neutral hr <sup>-1</sup>	Base M <sup>-1</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup>	Half-Life at pH 7		
60-51-5	Dimethoate		1.7 X 10 <sup>-4</sup>	756	118 hr		
541-53-7	2,4-Dithiobiuret		$(7.1 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$		98 hr		
62-50-0	Ethyl methanesulfonate®		1.5 X 10 <sup>-2</sup>		46 hr		
96-45-7	Ethylene thiourea	Zero hydrolysis observed after 90 days at 90°C and pH (3, 7, 9)					
111-54-6	Ethylene-Bis-(Dithio- carbamic Acid) [as in disodium salt, Nabam]	848	0.01		69 hr		
640-19-7	2-Fluoroacetamide		$(3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$		2.4 yr		
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	Zero hydrolysis observed after 13 days at 85°C and pH (3, 7, 11)					
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	Zero hydrolysis observed after 11 days at 85°C and pH (3, 7, 11)					
757-58-4	Hexaethyl tetraphosphate <sup>a</sup>		9.3 X 10 <sup>-2</sup>		7.5 hr		
465-73-6	Isodrin		1.7 X 10 <sup>-6</sup>		46 yr		
303-34-4	Lasiocarpine		$(4.9 \pm 0.1)  X  10^{-5}$	9.8 ± 0.1	1.6 yr		
58-89-9	Lindane		$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$	198 ± 6	206 d		
109-77-3	Malononitrile		$(1.35 \pm 0.42)  \text{X}  10^{-3}$	806 ± 45	20.2 d		
148-82-3	Melphalan <sup>a</sup>		0.15		4.6 hr		
16752-77-5	Methomyi <sup>a</sup>		8.9 X 10 <sup>-5</sup>	210	262 d		
80-62-6	Methyl methacrylate			200 ± 47	3.9 yr		
70-25-7	N-Methyl-N-nitro-N-nitroso- guanidine®	4.9	2.7 X 10 <sup>-2</sup>	9.5 X 10 <sup>4</sup>	19 hr		
75-55-8	2-Methylaziridine <sup>a</sup>	4.0 X 10 <sup>-3</sup>	8.0 X 10 <sup>-3</sup>		87 hr		
56-84-2	Methylthiouracil		$(9.7 \pm 2.7)  X  10^{-6}$		8.2 yr		
86-88-4	Alpha-Naphthaylthiourea		$(8.0 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-5}$	9.9 X 10 <sup>-2</sup>	361 d		
7598-73-9	N-Nitroso-N-ethylurea®	63	0.19	5.3 X 10 <sup>8</sup>	0.96 hr		
615-53-2	N-Nitroso-N- methylurethane <sup>a</sup>	9.5	2.9 X 10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.9 X 10 <sup>3</sup>	24 hr		
152-16-9	Octamethylpyrophosphoramide*	$\textbf{0.23} \pm \textbf{0.03}$		1 X 10 <sup>-11</sup>	3,400 yr		
117-84-0	Di-n-Octylphthalate*			7.4	107 yr		
298-02-2	Phorate <sup>a</sup>		7.2 X 10 <sup>-3</sup>		96 hr		
1120-71-4	1,3-Propane sultoneª		8.2 X 10 <sup>-2</sup>		8.5 hr		
94-59-7	Safrole	Zero hydrolysis observed after 26 days at 85°C and pH (3, 7, 11)					
107-49-3	Tetraethyl pyrophosphate <sup>a</sup>		9.3 X 10 <sup>-2</sup>		7.5 hr		
62-55-5	Thioacetamide <sup>a</sup>	$(6.0 \pm 0.06)  X  10^{-2}$	$(8.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-6}$	$1.4 \pm 0.09$	336 d		
137-26-8	Thiram		5.0 X 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4,153 ± 80	5.3 d		

Table 1. (continued)

CAS Number	Compound	Rate Constants			Calculated
		Acid M <sup>-1</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup>	Neutral hr <sup>-1</sup>	Base M <sup>-1</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup>	Half-Life at pH 7
8001-35-2	Toxaphene		$(8.0 \pm 2.2)  \mathrm{X}  10^{-6}$	3.2 ± 2.2	10 yr
126-68-1	0,0,0-Triethylester phosphorothioic Acid		$(2.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}$		3.9 yr
2524-09-6	O,O,S-Triethylester phosphorodithioic Acid		$>$ /2.0 $\pm$ 0.2) X 10 <sup>-5</sup>		<3.9 yr
126-72-7	Tris(2,3-Dibromopropyl- phosphate		$(1.0 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$	78	4.4 yr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Values were extracted from literature references for the particular chemical. The neutral hydrolysis rate for thioacetamide was determined at Athens-ERL.

The EPA authors, J. Jackson Ellington (also the EPA Project officer, see below) and Frank E. Stancil, Jr., are with Environmental Research Laboratory, Athens, GA 30613; William D. Payne is with Technology Applications, Inc., Athens, GA 30613; and Cheryl Trusty is with University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602.

The complete report, entitled "Measurement of Hydrolysis Rate Constants for Evaluation of Hazardous Waste Land Disposal: Volume 2. Data on 54 Chemicals," (Order No. PB 87-227 344/AS; Cost: \$18.95, subject to change) will be available only from:

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road

Springfield, VA 22161 Telephone: 703-487-4650

The EPA Officer can be contacted at:

Environmental Research Laboratory

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Athens, GA 30613

United States Environmental Protection Agency Center for Environmental Research Information Cincinnati OH 45268

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

EPA/600/S3-87/019

0000329 PS

U S ENVIR PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 LIBRARY 230 S DEARBORN STREET CHICAGO IL 60604

