Research and Development

EPA/600/S7-86/039 Mar. 1987



Project Summary

Ferroalloy Industry Particulate Emissions: Source Category Report

Evelyn J. Limberakis, Joseph Vay, and Stephen Gronberg

A review was made of all available data characterizing particulate emissions from ferroalloy producing electric arc furnaces. The data were summarized and rated in terms of reliability. Total and size specific emission factors were developed for the ferroalloy industry. The ferroalloy industry and furnace operation were described in detail with emphasis on factors affecting emissions. A replacement for Section 7.4 (Ferroalloy Production) of EPA report AP-42, A Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, was prepared, containing the size specific emission factors developed during this program.

This Project Summary was developed by EPA's Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC, to announce key findings of the research project that is fully documented in a separate report of the same title (see Project Report ordering information on back).

Introduction

The purpose of this program was to summarize the best available information on inhalable particulate matter emissions in the ferroalloy industry. The main objective of the program was to develop reliable total and sizespecific emission factors for each ferroalloy product group. Both uncontrolled and controlled emission factors are presented in this report. The uncontrolled emission factors represent emissions that would result from a particulate control system if the control device (baghouse, scrubber, etc.) were bypassed. The controlled emission factors represent emissions emanating from a particulate control system. Size specific emission factors are generally based on the results of cascade impactor sampling conducted simultaneously with total particulate sampling at the inlet or outlet to a control device.

A second objective of this program was to present current information on the ferroalloy industry. A third objective was to prepare a replacement for Section 7.4, Ferroalloy Production, in AP-42, "A Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors."

The above objectives were met by an intensive 10 week search for data. Data were collected from the following sources:

- New England Research Application Center (NERAC) computerized literature searches
- Telephone conversations with state and federal EPA personnel
- Industry personnel
- Environmental consultants
- GCA/Technology Division files
- AP-42 ferroalloy background file at EPA's Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
- Fine Particle Emission Information System (FPEIS)

The particulate emissions data contained in the referenced documents were reviewed, analyzed, summarized, and ranked according to the criteria provided in the report, "Technical Procedures for Developing AP-42 Emission Factors and Preparing AP-42 Sections," April 1980. After ranking the data, emission factors were calculated using the highest quality data available. The quality of the data used to develop each

emission factor is indicated by the emission factor rating.

Process control system operating data as well as general industry information were also obtained and summarized as general background information. It was not the objective of this program to provide detailed engineering analyses, product specifications, or detailed evaluations of trends in the industry.

Summary of Project Results

Emissions are generated from several activities at a ferroalloy facility including raw material handling, smelting, and product handling. The emission factors presented in Tables 1 and 2 rep-

resent controlled and uncontrolled emissions from a ferroalloy smelting furnace. Emissions from raw material handling, pretreatment operations, and product handling are not included due to insufficient data. The smelting furnaces are by far the largest particulate emission source in a ferroalloy plant. Fugitive emissions from open sources are treated in a different report.

Furnace emissions are captured by hoods (for open furnaces) or aspirated directly from the furnace (for closed furnaces). Baghouses are used to control emissions generated from 85 percent of open furnaces in the U.S., while scrubbers are used on 13 percent of furnaces and electrostatic precipitators on 2 per-

cent. Scrubbers are used almost exclusively to control high temperature exhaust gases from closed (sealed) furnaces. Scrubbers do not remove much CO and H₂.

Control efficiencies for well designed and operated control systems (i.e., baghouses with air-to-cloth ratios of 1 - 2 ft³/ft² (18-38 m³/m²), and srubbers with pressure drops of 55 - 96 in. H₂O (19 - 24 kPa) have been reported to be in excess of 99 percent. It must be stressed that conscientious maintenance of the control system is necessary if such high efficiencies are to be consistently achieved.

Emissions from tapping can often be significant. Some plants capture these

Table 1. Emission Factors for Particulate from Submerged Arc Ferroalloy Furnaces^a

Product ^b	Furnace type	Particulate emission factors (uncontrolled)c						Emission	Particulate emission factors (controlled)c					Fraissian		
			lg (lb/ton) lloy		b)/MW-hr	Size data	Notes	factor rating	Control deviced		(lb/ton) lloy	kg (lb)	/MW-hr	Size data	Notes	Emission factor rating
FeSi (50%)	Open Covered	35 46	(70) (92)	7.4 9.3	(16.3) (20.5)	Yes	e,f	B E	Baghouse Scrubber High energy Low energy	0.9 0.24 4.5	(1.8) (0.48) (9.0)	0.2 0.05 0.77	(0.4) (0.1) (1.7)	Yes	e g g	B E E
FeSi (75%)	Open Covered	158 103	(316) (206)	16 13	(35) (29)		g	E E	Scrubber Low energy	4.0	(8.0)	0.5	(1.1)		g	E
FeSi (90%)	Open	282	(564)	24	(53)	Yes		E								
Si metal (98%)	Open	436	(872)	33	(73)	Yes	h	В	Baghouse	16	(32)	1.2	(2.6)	Yes	h	В
FeMn (80%)	Open	14	(28)	4.8	(11)	Yes	i	В	Baghouse	0.24	(0.48)	0.078	(0.2)	Yes	i	В
									Scrubber High energy	0.8	(1.6)	0.34	(0.7)		j	E
FeMn (1% Si)	Covered Sealed	6 37	(12) (74)	2.4 17	(5.3) (37)		k I	E E	High energy	0.25	(0.5)	0.10	(0.2)		j,m	С
FeCr (high carbon)	Open	<i>78</i>	(157)	15	(33)	Yes	n	C	ESP	1.2	(2.3)	0.23	(0.5)	Yes	n	c
SiMn	Open	96	(192)	20	(44)	Yes	0	C	Scrubber	2.1	(4.2)	0.44	(1.0)	Yes	p	c
	Sealed	aled – (-)	-	- (-)		q		Scrubber High energy	0.15	(0.30)	0.016	(0.04)		m	E	

^{*}Factors are for main furnace dust collection system before and after control device. Where other emissions, such as leaks or tapping, are included or quantified separately, such is noted. Particulate sources not included: raw material handling, storage, preparation; and product crushing, screening, handling, packaging.
*Percentages are of the main alloying element in product.

cln most source testing, fugitive emissions not measured or collected. Where tapping emissions are controlled by primary system, their contribution to total emissions could not be determined. Fugitive emissions may vary greatly among sources, with furnace and collection system design and operating practices.

dLow energy scrubbers are those with $\Delta P < 20$ in. H_2O ; high energy, with $\Delta P > 20$ in. H_2O . elncludes furnes captured by tapping hood (efficiency estimated near 100%).

^{*}Trisides in the captured by tapping note (entirely estimated hear 1998).

If actor is average of three sources, fugitive emissions not included. Fugitive emissions at one source measured an additional 10.5 kg/Mg alloy, or 2.7 kg/MW-hr

#*Does not include emissions from tapping or mix seal leaks.

hEstimated 60% of tapping emissions captured by control system (escaped fugitive emissions not included in factor).

Estimated 50% of tapping emissions captured by control system (escaped fugitive emissions not included in factor).

ilncludes fume only from primary control system.

kincludes tapping furnes and mix seal leak fugitive emissions. Fugitive emissions measured at 33% of total uncontrolled emissions.

Assumes tapping fumes not included in emission factor.

^{**}Does not include tapping or fugitive emissions.

Tapping emissions included. Factor developed from two test series performed on the same furnace 7 years apart. Measured emissions in latter test were 36% les than in former.

oFactor is average of two test series. Tests at one source included fugitive emissions (3.4% of total uncontrolled emissions). Second test insufficient to determin if fugitive emissions were included in total.

In lightly emissions were included in total. PFactors developed from two scrubber controlled sources: one operated at $\Delta P = 47-57$ in. H_2O ; the other at unspecified ΔP . Uncontrolled tapping operation emissions are 2.1 kg/Mg alloy.

qDash = No data.

 Table 2.
 Size Specific Emission Factors for Submerged Arc Ferroalloy Furnaces

		,		Cumula: emissio		
Product	Control device	Particle sizeª (μm)	Cumulative mass % ≤ stated size	kg/Mg al	Emission factor	
50% FeSi						
Open furnace	None ^b	0.63	45	16	(32)	В
		1.00	50	18	(35)	_
		1.25	53	19	(37)	
		2.50	<i>57</i>	20	(40)	
		6.00	61	21	(43)	
		10.00	<i>63</i>	22	(44)	
		15.00	66	23	(46)	
		20.00	69	23 24	(48)	
		20.00 c	100	35	(70)	
	Baghouse	0.63	31	0.28	(0.56)	В
	Dagmouso	1.00	39	0.35	(0.70)	•
		1.25	44	0.40	(0.80)	
		2.50	54	0.49	(1.0)	
		6.00	<i>63</i>	0.57	(1.1)	
		10.00	72	0.65	(1.3)	
		15.00	80	0.72	(1.4)	
		20.00	85 100	0.77 0. 9 0	(1.5) (1.8)	
80% FeMn						
	Noned	0.62	20	A	(0)	В
Open furnace	None	0.63	30	4	(8)	
		1.00	46	7	(13)	
		1.25	52	8	(15)	
		2.50	<i>62</i>	9	(17)	
		6.00	72	10	(20)	
		10.00	· 86	12	(24)	
		15.00	<i>96</i>	13	(26)	
		20.00	97	14	(27)	
		c	100	14	(28)	
80% FeMn						
Open furnace	Baghouse ^d	0.63	20	0.048	(0.10)	В
		1.00	<i>30</i>	0.070	(0.14)	
		1.25	<i>3</i> 5	0. 085	(0.17)	
		<i>2.50</i>	49	0.120	(0.24)	
		6.00	<i>67</i>	0.160	(0.32)	
		10.00	<i>83</i>	0.200	(0.40)	
		15.00	92	0.220	(0.44)	
		20.00	97	0.235	(0.47)	
		c	100	0.240	(0.48)	
Si Metal						
Open furnace	Nonee	0.63	<i>57</i>	249	(497)	В
		1.00	<i>67</i>	292	(584)	
		1.25	70	<i>305</i>	(610)	
		2.50	75	327	(654)	
		6.00	80	349	(698)	
		10.00	86	375	(750)	
		15.00	91	397	(794)	
		20.00	95	414	(828)	
		c	100	436	(872)	
	Baghouse	1.00	49	7.8	(15.7)	В
	•	1.25	53	8.5	(17.0)	-
		2.50	64	10.2	(20.5)	
		6.00	76	12.2	(24.3)	
		10.00	87 87	13.9	(28.0)	
		15.00	96	15.4	(20.U) (21.0)	
		20.00	30 00	10.4 15.0	(31.0)	
		20.00	99 100	15.8 16.0	(31.7)	
			100	16.0	(32.0)	

Table 2. Size Specific Emission Factors for Submerged Arc Ferroalloy Furnaces—Continued

				Cumula emissi	Emission factor rating	
Product	Control device	Particle sizeª (μm)	Cumulative mass % ≤ stated size	kg/Mg al		
FeCr (HC)	_ 					
Open furnace	None ^b	0.5	19	15	(30)	С
		1.0	<i>36</i>	28	(57)	
		2.0	<i>60</i>	47	(94)	
		<i>2.5</i>	63 ^f	49	(99)	
		4.0	<i>76</i>	<i>59</i>	(119)	
		6.0	<i>88</i> f	<i>6</i> 7	(138)	
		10.0	91	71	(143)	
		C	100	<i>78</i>	(157)	
	ESP	0.5	33	0.40	(0.76)	С
		1.0	47	0.56	(1.08)	_
		2.5	67	0.80	(1.54)	
		5.0	80	0.96	(1.84)	
		6.0	86	1.03	(1.98)	
		10.0	90	1.08	(2.07)	
		c	100	1.2	(2.3)	
SiMn						
Open furnace	None ^b	0.5	<i>28</i>	<i>2</i> 7	(54)	С
-	******	1.0	44	42	(84)	•
		2.0	60	58	(115)	
		2.5	<i>65</i>	<i>62</i>	(125)	
		4.0	76	73	(146)	
		6.0	<i>85</i>	82	(163)	
		10.0	96 ^f	92 ^f	$(177)^{f}$	
		c	100	96	(192)	
	Scrubber ^g	0.5	56	1.18	(2.36)	С
		1.0	80	1.68	(3.44)	•
		2.5	<i>96</i>	2.02	(4.13)	
		5.0	99	2.08	(4.26)	
		6.0	99.5	2.09	(4.28)	
		10.0	99.9 ^f	2.10 ^f	$(4.30)^f$	
		70.0	100	2.10	(4.3)	

^aAerodynamic diameter, based on Task Group on Lung Dynamics definition.

emissions in varying degrees using the main canopy hood, and others employ separate tapping hoods ducted to either the furnace control device or a separate control device. Emission factors for tapping operations are unavailable due to the lack of data.

E. J. Limberakis, J. Vay, and S. Gronberg are with GCA/Technology Division, Bedford, MA 01730.

Dale L. Harmon is the EPA Project Officer (see below).

The complete report, entitled "Ferroalloy Industry Particulate Emissions: Source Category Report," (Order No. PB 87-129 268/AS; Cost: \$18.95, subject to change) will be available only from:

National Technical Information Service

5285 Port Royal Road

Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone: 703-487-4650

The EPA Project Officer can be contacted at:

Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Particle density = 1 g/cm^3 .

bincludes tapping emissions.

^cTotal particulate, based on Method 5 total catch (see Table 1).

dIncludes tapping fume (capture efficiency 50%).

elncludes tapping fume (estimated capture efficiency 60%).

finterpolated data.

⁹Primary emission control system only, without tapping emissions.

United States Environmental Protection Agency Center for Environmental Research Information Cincinnati OH 45268

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 EPA/600/S7-86/039

0000329 PS

U S ENVIR PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 LIBRARY 230 S DEARBORN STREET CHICAGO IL 60604