



Project Summary

Stability of Organic Audit Materials and Results of Source Test Analysis Audits—Status Report #7

J. Sokash, G. B. Howe, R. K. M. Jayanty, C. E. Decker, and D. J. von Lehmden

A repository of 45 gaseous compounds including hydrocarbon, halocarbon, and sulfur species has been established under contract with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The primary objectives of this on-going project are (1) to provide gas mixtures to EPA, state/local agencies, or their contractors for use in performance audits to assess the accuracy of source emission measurements in certain organic chemical manufacturing industries, (2) to examine the vendor's certified analysis of the gas mixtures by in-house analysis, (3) to determine the stability of the gas mixtures with time by in-house analysis, and (4) to develop new audit materials as requested by EPA.

To date, 21 compounds have been used to conduct 149 different audits. The results of these audits and a description of the experimental procedures used for analyses and available stability data are presented in this status report. Generally, the results of the audits show close agreement (plus or minus fifteen percent) with the audit material concentrations measured by Research Triangle Institute.

Compound stabilities have been determined through multiple analyses of the cylinders containing them. Of the 45 gaseous compounds studied or currently under study, 39 have demonstrated sufficient stability in cylinders to be used further as audit materials.

This Project Summary was developed by EPA's Environmental Monitoring

Systems Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC, to announce key findings of the research project that is fully documented in a separate report of the same title (see Project Report ordering information at back).

Introduction

Accurate measurement of hydrocarbons, halocarbons, and sulfur-containing compounds in ambient and source samples is essential to any environmental monitoring program. The potential for achieving acceptable accuracy is enhanced by the availability of reliable standards that can be used to check or validate the measurement process. The Research Triangle Institute (RTI) under contract to the Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), has responded to this need by developing an extensive repository of gaseous compounds. These gaseous compounds are to be used in performance audits as designated by the EPA Project Officer. These performance audits are to assess the accuracy of source emission measurements in certain organic manufacturing industries.

Currently 45 different compounds have been investigated as audit materials. Six of these gaseous compounds have been found to be unstable in cylinders and not suitable as audit materials. The other 39 gaseous compounds in the repository are suitable for conducting performance audits during source testing. Table 1 lists the 45 compounds, the concentration

Table 1. Audit Materials Currently in the Repository

Compound	Low Concentration Range			High Concentration Range		
	No. of Cylinders	Concentration Range (ppm)	Cylinder Construction*	No. of Cylinders	Concentration Range (ppm)	Cylinder Construction*
Benzene	7	5 - 20	S	11	60 - 400	S
Ethylene	4	5 - 20	AI	4	300 - 700	AI
				6	3000 - 20,000	AI
Propylene	3	5 - 20	AI	3	300 - 700	AI
Methane/Ethane	--	--	--	4	1000 - 6000(M), 200 - 700 (E)	AI
Propane	4	5 - 20	AI	4	300 - 700	AI
				4	1000 - 20,000	AI
Toluene	5	5 - 20	S	4	100 - 700	LS
Hydrogen Sulfide	7	5 - 50	AI	7	100 - 700	AI
Meta-Xylene	1	5 - 20	S	2	300 - 700	LS
Methyl Acetate	2	5 - 20	S	2	300 - 700	S
Chloroform	2	5 - 20	S	1	300 - 700	S
Carbonyl Sulfide	1	5 - 20	S	3	100 - 300	S
Methyl Mercaptan	3	3 - 10	AI	--	--	--
Hexane	2	20 - 80	AI	1	1000 - 3000	LS
1,2-Dichloroethane	4	5 - 20	AI	4	100 - 600	AI
Cyclohexane	--	--	--	1	80 - 200	S
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	1	30 - 80	S	--	--	--
Methanol	2	30 - 80	AI	--	--	--
1,2-Dichloropropane	2	5 - 20	AI	2	300 - 700	AI
Trichloroethylene	2	5 - 20	AI	2	100 - 600	AI
1,1-Dichloroethylene	2	5 - 20	AI	2	100 - 600	AI
1,2-Dibromoethylene**	--	--	--	--	--	--
Perchloroethylene	2	5 - 20	S	2	300 - 700	LS
Vinyl Chloride	9	5 - 30	S	--	--	--
1,3-Butadiene	1	5 - 30	S	--	--	--
Acrylonitrile	1	5 - 20	AI	1	300 - 500	AI
Aniline**	--	--	--	--	--	--
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	1	5 - 20	AI	--	--	--
Para-dichlorobenzene**	--	--	S	--	--	--
Ethylamine**	--	--	AI	--	--	--
Formaldehyde**	--	--	--	--	--	--
Methylene Chloride	3	1 - 20	AI	--	--	--
Carbon Tetrachloride	1	5 - 20	AI	--	--	--
Freon 113	1	5 - 20	AI	--	--	--
Methyl Chloroform	1	5 - 20	AI	--	--	--
Ethylene Oxide	5	5 - 20	AI	--	--	--
Propylene Oxide	1	5 - 20	AI	1	75 - 200	AI
Allyl Chloride	1	5 - 20	S	1	75 - 200	S
Acrolein	1	5 - 20	AI	--	--	--
Chlorobenzene	3	5 - 20	AI	--	--	--
Carbon Disulfide	--	--	AI	1	75 - 200	AI
Cyclohexanone**	--	--	AI	--	--	--
EPA Method 25 Gas***	6	100 - 200	AI	3	750 - 2000	AI
Ethylene Dibromide	2	5 - 20	S	2	100 - 300	S
Tetrachloroethane	1	5 - 20	S	--	--	--

*Cylinder construction: AI = Aluminum, S = Steel, LS = Low Pressure Steel.

**Cylinders are no longer available; the compounds were found to be unstable in the cylinders.

***The gas mixture contains an aliphatic hydrocarbon, an aromatic hydrocarbon, and carbon dioxide in nitrogen. Concentrations shown are reported in ppmC.

ranges for each compound, the number of cylinders of each compound, and the cylinder construction material. Additional compounds are procured, as needed.

The gaseous compounds are acquired from commercial suppliers in compressed gas cylinders; these same cylinders, along with an appropriate delivery system, are used directly as sources of the gaseous compounds during performance audits. The compressed gas cylinder is especially suitable as an audit device because of its simplicity, portability, low cost, flexibility in analyte delivery over a broad concentration range, reliability, and ruggedness for interstate shipping. The accuracy of the supplier-reported levels of these compounds are verified through measurement using National Bureau of Standards-Standard Reference Materials (NBS-SRMs), commercial permeation tubes, and/or reagent grade pure liquids as standards. The permeation rates of the commercially available tubes are verified by RTI before use.

The accuracy of the "known" cylinder concentrations and the stability of the compounds in the cylinders are important. Along with acquisition of new compounds and verification of their concentrations, an extensive stability study is being performed. This study involves periodic analyses of the contents of each of the cylinders in the repository.

Procedure

Once a compound is chosen, a commercial supplier is contacted to determine if a cylinder containing that compound can be prepared. If so, the manufacturer prepares the cylinder gases and determines the concentration of the analyte in the cylinder. The cylinder is sent to RTI where its contents are analyzed usually within seven days of its arrival. If the RTI value varies from the manufacturer's value by more than 10 percent, an analysis is performed by a third party (EPA or NBS). The cylinder contents are then analyzed one month after acquisition. The cylinder contents are then analyzed one month after acquisition, two months after acquisition, and one year after acquisition. The cylinder contents are also analyzed usually before a cylinder is sent out for an audit. This preaudit analysis may substitute for the annual analysis if it occurs within a month of the normal analysis due date.

All analyses are carried out using gas chromatography (GC). The column and detector are chosen so as to be optimum for the compound being measured. Three

types of standards are used to generate gas concentrations for calibration of the GC for the measurement of audit materials. National Bureau of Standards-Standard Reference Materials (NBS-SRMs) of methane and propane are used as standards for the measurement of methane and propane audit materials. Propane is used to calibrate the chromatographic system for measurement of ethylene and propylene, assuming the FID response per carbon is constant for compound to compound. In a few others (e.g., vinyl chloride, ethylene oxide) gaseous standards are generated using permeation tubes. The standards for most of the other audit materials are prepared using pure liquids that are volatilized in a clean glass bulb or stainless steel sphere.

Audit requests are directed to RTI through the EPA Project Officer. The cylinder is then shipped by a freight carrier to the laboratory being audited. A letter is also included with the cylinders which provides general instructions for performance of the audit. The audit concentrations are provided to the requesting agency audit coordinator. After the laboratory being audited has analyzed the contents of the cylinder, the audit coordinator reports the value(s) to RTI, which in turn reports both the measured and accepted values to the Project Officer. The laboratory being audited then is responsible for shipping the cylinder back to RTI.

Results and Discussion

To date, 149 individual audits have been initiated, and 140 are complete. The results obtained for a few typical performance audits are shown in Table 2 and the rest are given in the full status report. Generally, the results of the audits show close agreement ($\pm 15\%$) with the actual cylinder concentrations measured by RTI.

Most of the cylinders in the repository are analyzed at least four times to determine the stability of these compounds;

some are analyzed as many as eight times. Absolute accuracies for the cylinder analyses have not been determined due to lack of NBS standards for most of the organic gas mixtures above one ppm. An examination of the analysis data shows values for individual cylinder analyses usually vary by less than 10 percent for four to eight analyses over two to six years. As the number of analyses per cylinder increases, detailed statistical analyses will be performed. Statistical analyses for 10 halocarbons and eight other organics are presented in the literature^(1,2) and the statistical analyses for the remaining compounds will be presented in a future report.

Conclusions

Cylinder gases of hydrocarbons, halocarbons, and sulfur species have been used successfully to assess the accuracy of gas chromatographic systems used to measure organic compounds in source emissions. Absolute accuracy has not been determined because of lack of standard reference materials; instead interlaboratory bias has been reported for the performance audits conducted during source testing. The interlaboratory bias determined has been generally within 15 percent for both low and high concentration gases.

Thirty-nine out of 45 gaseous compounds have demonstrated sufficient stability in cylinders for use as audit materials. Six compounds (ethylamine, paradichlorobenzene, cyclohexanone, formaldehyde, 1,2-dibromoethylene and aniline) are not recommended as audit materials for various reasons as discussed in the full status report. Detailed statistical analyses which would separate statistical deviations from true concentration changes with time for 18 gaseous compounds have been recently published and statistical analyses for the remaining compounds will be presented in a future report.

Table 2. Typical Audit Results

Industry	Audit Material	Cylinder Concentration (ppm)	Client Audit Bias (%)
Maleic anhydride production	Benzene in N ₂	138	-9.4
		300	+4.7
Vinyl chloride production	1,2-Dichloroethane in N ₂	9.3	+6.0
		462	+3.7
Vegetable oil plant	Hexane in N ₂	82.2	+5.6
		1982	+3.0
Degreasing Vent	Trichloroethylene in N ₂	14.9	-0.4
		566	-8.7

References

1. R. K. M. Jayanty, C. Parker, C. E. Decker, W. F. Gutknecht, J. E. Knoll, and D. J. von Lehmden, "Quality Assurance for Emission Analysis Systems," *Environmental Science and Technology*, 17(6), 257-263A (1983).
2. G. B. Howe, R. K. M. Jayanty, A. V. Rao, W. F. Gutknecht, C. E. Decker, and D. J. von Lehmden, "Evaluation of Selected Gaseous Halocarbons for Use in Source Test Performance Audits," *J. of Air Pollution Control Association*, 33(9) 823-826 (1983).

J. Sokash, G. B. Howe, R. K. M. Jayanty, and C. E. Decker are with Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709; the EPA author D. J. von Lehmden (also the EPA Project Officer, see below) is with the Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711.

The complete report, entitled "Stability of Organic Audit Materials and Results of Source Test Analysis Audits—Status Report #7," (Order No. PB 86-121 456/AS; Cost: \$11.95, subject to change) will be available only from:

*National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
Telephone: 703-487-4650*

*The EPA Project Officer can be contacted at:
Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711*

United States
Environmental Protection
Agency

Center for Environmental Research
Information
Cincinnati OH 45268

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

EPA/600/S4-85/064

0000329 PS

U S ENVIR PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 5 LIBRARY
230 S DEARBORN STREET
CHICAGO IL 60604

