

## Groundwater Remediation For UST Sites

## In Situ Air Sparging With Soil Vapor Extraction

In situ air sparging with soil vapor extraction (SVE) is a technique for removing dissolved volatile contaminants from groundwater. The technique injects air into the saturated zone. The air forms bubbles that rise into the unsaturated zone, carrying trapped and dissolved contaminants. Extraction wells in the unsaturated zone capture sparged air. If necessary, the air can then be treated using a variety of vapor treatment options.

This technique is most effective in homogenous, permeable aquifers. Performance data for this technique are limited.

In situ air sparging with soil vapor extraction is a rapid remediation technique that can reduce contamination levels in six months. It is also able to quickly remove volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from below the groundwater table.

## **Petroleum Types And Constituents**

- Gasoline and diesel
- Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX)

PECION VI LIBRARY

U S ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

AGENTY

1445 ROSS AVENUE

OALLAS, TEXAS 75202

In Situ Air Sparging With Soil Vapor Extraction	
Advantages	Rapidly reduces volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from below groundwater table
	Can enhance and accelerate effectiveness of soil vapor extraction (SVE) and downgradient pumping
Limitations	Removes primarily volatile constituents
	Effectiveness is limited in low permeability or heterogeneous media
	Difficult to control air distribution in groundwater
	Can promote vapor and plume migration
	<ul> <li>Limited performance data are available; contaminant levels may rebound over time</li> </ul>
System Components	Vertical or horizontal extraction and injection wells
	• Trenches
	Vacuum pump, compressor, or blower
	Aboveground vapor treatment equipment (optional)
Wastestream Treatment	<ul> <li>Vapor treatment options (if needed):</li> <li>Vapor phase biofilter</li> <li>Granulated activated carbon</li> <li>Internal combustion engine</li> <li>Catalytic oxidation unit</li> <li>Thermal incinerator</li> </ul>
Parameters to Monitor <sup>1</sup>	<ul> <li>Vacuum/pressure monitoring at the wellhead, pump, compressor, blower, and observation points</li> </ul>
	Airflow rate
	Vapor concentrations
	Dissolved oxygen
	Water levels
	Constituent concentrations in groundwater and soil
Cleanup Levels and Timing <sup>2</sup>	Generally achieves maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) for volatile constituents
	• For an ideal site <sup>3</sup> , ~90% reduction in 6 months to 1 year
	• For an average site <sup>4</sup> , ~90% reduction in 6 months to 2 years
Costs <sup>5</sup>	• For an ideal site <sup>3</sup> , \$60,000 to \$180,000
	• For an average site <sup>4</sup> , \$120,000 to \$200,000

<sup>1&</sup>quot;Parameters to monitor" are for performance purposes only; compliance monitoring parameters vary by state.

2Cleanup standards are determined by the state.

3An "ideal site" assumes no delays in corrective action and a relatively homogenous, permeable subsurface.

4An "average site" assumes minimal delays in corrective action and a moderately heterogeneous and permeable subsurface.

5Costs include equipment, and operation and maintenance.