



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

May 24, 1994

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR SCIENCE ADVISORY BOARD

EPA-SAB-EEC-COM-94-004

Honorable Carol M. Browner Administrator U. S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20460 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

DALLAS, TEXAS

LIBRARY

Subject: Commentary on Strategic Research and Development Planning

Dear Ms. Browner:

EPA's program offices have often developed research and development "strategic plans," and presented these to the Science Advisory Board (SAB) for review and evaluation. The SAB has been supportive of the need for strategic research planning. However, the SAB has also often been critical of the plans due to a number of deficiencies, including lack of a vision statement, lack of definition of measures of success, failure to take into account critical factors essential to developing the strategic plans, and lack of priority setting mechanisms. In addition, the strategic research plans have been varied in format, content and approach. It must also be acknowledged that the SAB itself has provided inconsistent comments relative to what should be included in strategic plans during the evolution of the planning process at EPA.

It is important to recognize that strategic planning is an integral part of an overall management system with provisions for budgeting, prioritizing, planning, implementation and oversight of the research program. It is especially important because strategic planning provides the overall guidance for the other activities.

It is essential that the EPA develop strategic research and development plans in a number of critical core topic areas. In order to direct optimally EPA research and development toward the important high priority environmental issues of today and in the future, and to optimize the use of limited resources, EPA should adopt and implement a consistent, reliable and comprehensive approach to strategic research planning. Guided by this approach, EPA should develop research and development



strategic plans that provide both continuity with long-range research while being responsive to changing environmental issues.

In view of the apparent deficiencies noted in past reviews, and the importance of strategic R&D planning, the Environmental Engineering Committee (EEC) recommends that EPA adopt a defined approach for strategic R&D planning for the Agency that builds upon concepts now being used by industry and other government institutions. EPA's approach will need to be adaptable to changing needs of the Agency.

In the last several years, applied strategic planning models that incorporate new concepts, such as strategic intent and core competencies, have emerged as important aids for the development of strategic decisions using analytical processes (see for example, the programs underway at AT&T, Colgate-Palmolive, 3M, Eastman Kodak and Northrup). There are a number of schools of thought on the best process but the basic tenets are similar. These processes include not only what the plans should entail, but also how to involve the appropriate personnel in the process so that they are committed to deploying the plan after it has been formulated. The EPA should take advantage of these advances in modern management theory and employ the basic tenets of these processes for strategic planning.

EPA's Region I successfully used one of these models for developing a strategic plan for the region (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region I, *Building an Environmental Protection Ethic: A Strategic Plan*," Boston, Massachusetts, March 1991). This process involved the development of a vision statement, a definition of a mission, conducting an assessment of the region's strengths, weaknesses, external opportunities, and threats, defining strategic initiatives, and defining metrics of success. While we do not endorse this specific approach used by Region I as the only approach, it is one example of how to successfully conduct strategic planning. Whatever the approach selected, it must be adapted to the special needs of environmental research and development.

The Committee is aware of the fact that you have recently directed your staff to develop an Agency-wide strategic plan to be released this spring. We have not had the opportunity to review this process, but we applaud your leadership and vision in initiating strategic planning at the Agency level. We encourage you to implement this activity on a continuous basis throughout the Agency at all levels.

In summary, the EEC recommends that:

- a) EPA adopt and implement a consistent, reliable and comprehensive approach to strategic planning for EPA research and development
- b) EPA consider the several models presented earlier in this letter as it develops this comprehensive approach
- c) There should be a particular locus for such strategic planning within EPA for successful ongoing implementation

We would be pleased to suggest ways that this could be accomplished or to review the Agency-wide strategic planning process in the future.

Sincerely yours,

Menevieve M. Matanoshi Dr. Genevieve M Matanoski, Chair

Science Advisory Board

Dr. Wm. Randall Seeker, Chair Strategic Research Subcommittee

Dr. Ishwar P. Murarka, Chair

Environmental Engineering Committee

NOTICE

This report has been written as a part of the activities of the Science Advisory Board, a public advisory group providing extramural scientific information and advice to the Administrator and other officials of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Board is structured to provide a balanced expert assessment of scientific matters related to problems facing the Agency. This report has not been reviewed for approval by the Agency; and hence, the contents of this report do not necessarily represent the views and policies of the Environmental Protection Agency or other agencies in Federal government. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute a recommendation for use.

ABSTRACT

Strategic Research and Development Planning is the subject of a commentary by the Environmental Engineering Committee of the EPA Science Advisory Board. The Committee (1) recommends that EPA adopt and implement a consistent, reliable and comprehensive approach to strategic planning for EPA research and development, (2) recommends that EPA consider the several models presented earlier in this letter as it develops this comprehensive approach, and (3) suggests that there be a particular locus for such strategic planning within EPA for successful ongoing implementation. We would be pleased to suggest ways that this could be accomplished or to review the Agency-wide strategic planning process in the future.

Strategic planning is an integral part of an overall management system with provision for budgeting, prioritizing, planning, implementation and oversight of the research program. It is especially important because strategic planning provides the overall guidance for the other activities.

The SAB has often been critical of the plans due to a number of deficiencies including lack of a vision statement, lack of definition of measures of success, not taking into account critical factors essential to developing the strategic plans, and lack of priority setting mechanisms. In addition, the strategic research plans have been varied in format, content and approach. EPA should adopt and implement a consistent, reliable and comprehensive approach to strategic research planning to develop research and development strategic plans that provide both continuity with long-range research while being responsive to changing environmental issues.

The Environmental Engineering Committee of the SAB recommends that EPA adopt a defined approach for strategic R&D planning for the Agency that builds upon concepts now being used by industry and other government institutions. EPA's approach will need to be adaptable to changing needs of the Agency. The Committee notes successful industrial and EPA regional use of methodologies involving the development of a vision statement, a definition of a mission, conducting an assessment of the region's strengths, weaknesses, external opportunities, and threats, defining strategic initiatives, and defining metrics of success. While we do not endorse this specific approach used by Region I as the only approach, it is one example of how to successfully conduct strategic planning. Whatever the approach selected, it must be adapted to the special needs of environmental research and development.

KEYWORDS: strategic, research, planning, vision

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

JAN14,1994

Science Advisory Board Environmental Engineering Committee Members and Consultants

Chairman

Dr. Ishwar P. Murarka, Manager, Waste, Land & Water Programs Environmental Division, Electric Power Research Institute 3412 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, California

Members

Dr. Linda M. Abriola, Associate Professor, University of Michigan Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Ann Arbor, Michigan

Mr. Richard A. Conway, Senior Corporate Fellow Union Carbide Corporation, So. Charleston, WV

Dr. James H. Johnson, Jr., Professor and Chairman Dept. of Civil Engineering, Howard University, Washington, DC

Dr. Wayne M. Kachel, Director of Corporate Environmental Health and Saftey, Southern Regional Office, Martin Marietta Corporation, Oak Ridge, Tennessee

Dr. Jo Ann Lighty, Assistant Professor University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah

Dr. James W. Mercer, President, GeoTrans, Inc., Sterling, VA

Dr. Frederick G. Pohland, Weidlein Chair of Environmental Engineering Department of Civil Engineering, University of Pittsburgh Pennsylvania

Dr. Robert B. Pojasek, Corporate Vice President/Environmental Programs GEI Consultants, Inc., Winchester, MA

Dr. Wm. Randall Seeker, Senior Vice President Energy & Environmental Research Corp., Irvine, California

Dr. Walter M. Shaub, President, CORRE, Inc., Reston, Virginia

Distribution List:
Administrator
Deputy Administrator
Assistant Administrators
Regional Administrators
Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation