

FINAL REPORT TO THE CONGRESS FEBRUARY 10, 1975

REVISED MAY 6, 1975

COST ESTIMATES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLICLY-OWNED WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

1974 "NEEDS" SURVEY

PREPARED PURSUANT TO
SECTIONS 205 AND 516, PUBLIC LAW 92-500
AS AMENDED BY PUBLIC LAW 93-243

VIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY OF WATER PROGRAM OPERATIONS .PAL CONSTRUCTION DIVISION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

CONTENTS

	Preface	Page	ii
I.	Introduction	Page	1
II.	Explanation of	the SurveyPage	2
III.	Results of the	SurveyPage	3
IV.	Comparison of I	Results of the 1973 and 1974 SurveysPage	5
٧.	Quality of Fac	ility EstimatesPage	5
VI.	Cost of the Sur	rveyPage	9
VII.	Conclusions and	d RecommendationsPage	9
VIII.	Tables of Repor	rted NeedsPage	11
	Appendix A.	Survey MethodologyPage	A-1
	Appendix B.	Summary Table of EPA Independent AssessmentsPage	B-1

į



PREFACE TO THE REVISED REPORT

The series of tables contained in the February 10, 1975, Final Report to the Congress, which presented the cost estimates from the 1974 Municipal Needs Survey, have been recently revised. Although the text does not change, the Report is being reissued to reflect the impact of the revisions on the data in the chart on page 4, in the tables in Section VIII, and in the Appendix B table.

The revisions were necessitated after work with the individual facility files indicated some discrepancies between the original input data and its summarization in the Final Report tables. The initial cost estimates for the State of Colorado and American Samoa were incorrectly converted into the computer format. The Colorado totals for Categories II and IVB and the Category IVB cost total for American Samoa were understated. In addition to these cost discrepancies, the 1990 population figures for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands and American Samoa were inadvertently reported as zero in the final tables and have been corrected.

Although the changes do not have a significant influence on the National totals, there is an obvious impact on individual State totals, and it is recommended that any use of the 1974 Survey data should be made on the basis of the revised tables.

FINAL REPORT OF JOINT STATE-EPA 1974 SURVEY OF ESTIMATES OF COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLICLY-OWNED WASTE TREATMENT WORKS

I. INTRODUCTION

This final report presents State and EPA estimates of the cost of construction of publicly-owned creatment works needed to meet the 1983 goals of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments (FWPCA) of 1972. The report is submitted in compliance with Sections 516(b)(2) and 205(a) of the FWPCA, as further amended by Public Law 93-243. These provisions of the law have the dual purpose of obtaining a comprehensive estimate of the total cost of meeting the goals of the FWPCA, and of estimating these costs State-by-State as a possible basis for the allocation of construction grant funds authorized after Fiscal Year 1975.

Public Law 93-243 required EPA to obtain these estimates by a Nation-wide survey utilizing a modified version of the survey questionnaire prepared for the 1973 "Needs" Survey. The 1973 Survey, however, was restricted to documenting needs necessary to meet only the 1977 requirements of the FWPCA, therefore to comply with the legislative purpose of obtaining a comprehensive estimate of all the costs of meeting the 1983 goals of the FWPCA, the guidelines for the 1974 Survey were far less constraining. A Preliminary Report presented EPA's initial findings from this Survey to the Congress on September 3, 1974, and expressed the Agency's serious reservations about using the estimates for future allocation of construction funds among the States. reservations were founded on the Agency belief: (1) that the total estimates probably overstated the cost of meeting the 1983 goals of the FWPCA - with the cost of abating pollution from stormwater overflows being of particular concern; and (2) that the comparability of State data was inadequate because of major variations both in the criteria and methodology used by the States in making their estimates and in adhering to Agency Survey guidelines. Also the Preliminary Report findings were based on a limited review of the Survey questionnaires and an evaluation of State reports on the Survey.

Following the September Preliminary Report, the Agency concentrated on completing a detailed review of all State estimates. There were three basic reasons for this more comprehensive review. The first was to identify and correct inadvertent clerical reporting inaccuracies. The second was to further evaluate State cost estimates that appeared to be excessive or otherwise deficient from the standpoint of technical validity. The third purpose was to consider the possible use of the estimates for allocation purposes and to identify data anomalies that would treat any State unfairly. As a result of these review actions EPA has developed a separate set of data which it considers to be a more realistic portrayal of the National facility requirements.

This Report also covers the Agency's analysis of the process of identifying needs and developing a formula for allocating funds to the States. The needs identification process is evaluated in terms of how this Survey was conducted, and its resultant impact on the estimates contained in the report.

II. EXPLANATION OF THE SURVEY

The 1974 Survey asked the States to report their cost estimates in the five major categories used in the 1973 Survey plus one new one for treatment and/or control of stormwaters. Two of these categories were divided for the 1974 Survey. The categories are briefly described below:

<u>Category I</u> - This includes costs for facilities which would provide a legally required level of "secondary treatment," or "best practicable wastewater treatment technology (BPWTT)." For the purpose of the Survey, BPWTT and secondary treatment were to be considered synonymous.

<u>Category II</u> - Costs reported in this category are for treatment facilities that must achieve more stringent levels of treatment. This requirement exists where water quality standards require removal of such pollutants as phosphorous, ammonia, nitrates, or organic substances.

<u>Category IIIA</u> - This includes costs for correction of sewer system infiltration/inflow problems. Costs could also be reported for a preliminary sewer system analysis and for the more detailed Sewer System Evaluation Survey.

Category IIIB - Requirements for replacement and/or major rehabilitation of existing sewage collection systems are reported in this category. Costs were to be reported if the corrective actions were necessary to the total integrity of the system. Major rehabilitation is considered extensive repair of existing sewers beyond the scope of normal maintenance programs.

<u>Category IVA</u> - This category consists of costs for construction of collector sewer systems designed to correct violations caused by raw discharges, seepage to waters from septic tanks and the like, and/or to comply with Federal, State or local actions.

<u>Category IVB</u> - This category consists of costs of new interceptor sewers and transmission pumping stations necessary for the bulk transport of wastewaters.

<u>Category V</u> - Costs reported for this category are to prevent periodic bypassing of untreated wastes from combined sewers to an extent violating water quality standards or effluent limitations. It does not include treatment and/or control of stormwaters.

Category VI - States were also asked to make a rough cost estimate in a sixth category, "Treatment and/or Control of Stormwaters." This includes the costs of abating pollution from stormwater run-off channelled through sewers and other conveyances used only for such run-off. The costs of abating pollution from stormwater channelled through combined sewers which also carry sewage are included in Category V. Category VI was added so the Survey would provide an estimate of all eligible facility costs, as explicitly required by Public Law 93-243.

The estimates were to be reported in June 1973 dollars, and are therefore comparable to the costs in the 1973 Survey. Estimates were also to be based on the projected 1990 population as in the 1973 Survey.

The 1974 Survey was initiated January 31, 1974, when a letter with a general outline of how the survey would be conducted was distributed to each State along with a copy of the questionnaire.

The States assumed responsibility for seeing that the Survey questionnaires were completed. They had the option, as in 1973, of completing a Survey questionnaire for each facility themselves, or forwarding a questionnaire to each local sewerage authority for completion. A more detailed description of how the Survey was conducted is included as Appendix A.

III. RESULTS OF THE SURVEY

The total of all State estimates and their comparison with the 1973 totals is summarized by category in the table below.

There are three sets of figures for 1974: (1) the original State data as reported in the September Preliminary Report; (2) the State figures after correcting inadvertent clerical reporting inaccuracies; and (3) the set of estimates resulting from Agency adjustments based on evaluation of technical validity of cost estimates and identification of data anomalies that would unfairly treat any State if the estimates are used in a grant allocation formula.

Included in the final EPA adjusted 1974 figures is \$46 billion for Categories I, II and IVB that reflect the costs for the traditional Water Quality Program of treatment plants and interceptors. An additional \$61 billion is included for Categories III, IVA and \forall . The State estimates for the new Category VI (treatment and/or control of stormwaters) are \$235 billion. Total costs for all categories reported in the Survey therefore, come to \$342 billion.

SUMMARY TABLE OF ESTIMATES

A. Totals for all Categories:

CATEGORY

(Millions of 1973 Dollars)

	1974 Survey	,		
(A) State Preliminary Data	(B) State Corrected Data	(C) EPA Adjusted Data	(D) 1973 Survey Data	Change (D to C)
nt 11,679	12,628	12,629	16,639	-4,010
ed 21,311	20,330	15,776	5,650	+10,126
	5,348	5,287	691	+4,596
7,070	7,330	7,287		+7,287
23,090	24,583	17,458	10,825	+6,633
s 19,932	19,758	1 7, 923	13,621	+4,302
26,070	31,192	31,076	12,697	+18,379
235,006	235,006	235,006		+235,006
349,613	356,177	342,442	60,123	+282,319
	52,716	46,328	35,910	+10,418
	State Preliminary Data ent 11,679 ed 21,311 er 5,355 7,070 23,090 19,932 26,070 235,006	(A) State Preliminary Data State Corrected Data Data 11,679 12,628 ed 21,311 20,330 er 5,355 5,348 7,070 7,330 23,090 24,583 19,932 19,758 26,070 31,192 235,006 349,613 356,177 es	(A) State Preliminary Data (B) State Corrected Data (C) EPA Adjusted Data ent 11,679 12,628 12,629 ed 21,311 20,330 15,776 eer 5,355 5,348 5,287 7,070 7,330 7,287 23,090 24,583 17,458 19,932 19,758 17,923 26,070 31,192 31,076 235,006 235,006 235,006 349,613 356,177 342,442 es	(A) State Preliminary Data State Corrected Data Survey

IV. COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF THE 1973 AND 1974 SURVEYS

The 1974 Agency adjusted estimates reflect costs for the traditional Water Quality Program of treatment plants and interceptors (Categories I, II and IVB) that are \$10 billion greater than the \$36 billion reported in the 1973 Survey. The costs reported in 1974 for Categories I-V are \$47 billion greater than the \$60 billion reported in the 1973 Survey. The primary reasons for the increases are the elimination in 1974 of most of the reporting constraints included in the 1973 Survey, the general expanded scope of the Survey to accommodate reporting of all costs for all treatment facilities that the States felt were necessary in their implementation of the FWPCA, and to some degree a better job of identifying and estimating State facility requirements.

One major impact of the expanded scope of the Survey was that estimates for treatment plants and interceptors (Categories I, II and IVB) could be based on water quality standards anticipated by the States for the future and were not limited to those already established in the past, as in 1973. One result of this is evidenced in the dramatic shift from secondary treatment (Category 1) to plants requiring more stringent levels of treatment (Category II). Estimates for Category III could be reported for major rehabilitation of sewer systems as well as correction of infiltration and inflow. Major rehabilitation costs of \$7 billion were reported which were not eligible in the earlier Survey. These estimates did not have to be documented with an analysis and detailed evaluation of the problem as in 1973. Estimates reported for correction of combined sewer overflows in Category V were not limited in 1974 by the previous requirement that they be based on an evaluation of the most economical and/or effective alternative. A second major result of the expanded scope of the Survey was the State identification of \$235 billion for the new "Treatment and/or Control of Stormwaters" Category.

V. QUALITY OF FACILITY ESTIMATES

A. General Factors Affecting Reliability and Comparability

The reliability of national wastewater treatment need cost estimates and the State-to-State comparability of these estimates are influenced primarily by three factors:

- (1) The extent to which the requirements for abatement actions have been clearly defined through National, State and local plans, rules, regulations, standards, etc., determines whether abatement action decisions can be made, as well as the accuracy with which corrective action costs can be estimated.
- (2) The state-of-the-art in abatement technology also determines how accurately cost estimates can be made and whether they can be validated once they have been made.

(3) The synthesis of all relevant factors through a planning process that addresses project feasibility and practicability is essential before realistic decisions and estimates can be made on any abatement action.

The net impact of these three factors is that if an abatement program area is well defined - where decisions are clear as to the specific requirement for an abatement action, and as to the best and most cost effective solution - then cost estimates can be made that are quite accurate and should not vary from year to year. If abatement requirements are unclear and alternatives numerous - estimates will be poor and may fluctuate widely each time they are made. Similarly, if the technology for an abatement solution is unclear - where there are many options and little experience with any of the options - then estimates may have only limited value. In such situations, estimates made by different parties for correcting the same type abatement problem may also fluctuate widely both at a given point in time, and from year to year. Even if program requirements and abatement technology are well defined there is no assurance of high quality estimates unless proper consideration is given to such planning elements as alternative waste management techniques and cost-effectiveness studies.

The ability to validate estimates bears a direct relationship to the ability to make them.

B. 1974 Survey Reliability

In terms of the three factors discussed in paragraph A that influence the facility cost estimating process, the 1974 Survey data has varying levels of reliability between the six cost categories.

(1) Program Definition:

The Survey guidelines were designed to meet the legislative requirement that all facility costs required in implementing the Act be reported. The problem in using such estimates is that they are based on implementation strategies that are in varying stages of development. Because of the inherent flexibility of abatement choices, the States could not be consistent or uniform in reporting their needs in situations where goals were not well-defined, or where there was no recognized "best method" for a solution. In those cases, when the range of choices available for abatement actions relating to a given pollution problem were wide, and when the legal requirements for correction offered significant latitude, there was considerable unevenness in the impact of the diverse approaches taken by States in determining what corrective abatement actions were necessary and in estimating the cost of such actions.

This situation exists in relation to costs reported in Categories III, V and VI, and makes meaningful State-by-State comparisons extremely difficult. Policy decisions in the Category VI, stormwater, area are in a particularly embryonic state of development and made cost comparisons impossible.

There were cost comparison problems even in Categories I, II, and IV, because under the Survey guidance, States were permitted to project such corrective actions that would solve known or anticipated problems in achieving the 1983 goals of the Act. Since the Water Quality Standards to meet such objectives will not be established until about 1978 by joint State and EPA concurrence, it was necessary for the various States to make an assumption as to what standards would ultimately be established. The assumed standards were then utilized in establishing the 1983 level of treatment for each plant, and costs estimated accordingly. Some States based their projections on the same standards utilized in the 1973 Survey, while others assumed that major increases would be required in the stringency of standards and estimated very high levels of treatment.

(2) Cost Estimating Technology:

The ability to make reasonably accurate engineering cost estimates and to validate them exists for Category I, II, and IV abatement needs, but is at a low state of refinement for Category III, V, and VI needs. The EPA guidelines provided to the States for guidance in making estimates in Categories III, V, and VI were admittedly of minimal assistance and had limited value in making detailed engineering estimates and State-by-State comparisons. No cost estimating guidelines could be provided to the States for Category IIIB because of the great variance in case-by-case factors in this area.

(3) Facilities Planning:

As was the case with program definition and estimating technology, the facilities planning element was more effective in the production of quality estimates in Categories I, II and IV needs. This is to be expected as those Categories encompass the facilities that have received the most funding under the traditional water quality program and have been evaluated more carefully because of their priority status. Much planning has already occured in this area and factors affecting decisions have been relatively well defined. This is true however, only as it relates to planning for facilities that are designed for the 1977 requirements of the Act. For the most part, States that made estimates based on projected 1983 requirements that were significantly more stringent than the 1977 effluent limitations were doing so for the first time, and generally made the estimates without benefit of formal planning.

Planning in Categories III and V can only be considered effective for the portion of the estimates for which formal analyses have been completed. Virtually no completed planning was available for Category VI estimates. The State data revealed an incidence of high per capita flows that is an indicator of a National planning defect in this area. It is indicative that insufficient consideration has been given to the control and reduction of flow impacting the sizing of treatment acilities.

C. Agency Assessments

The unevenness in the estimates that is described in the preceeding section resulted primarily from the wide differences in approach taken by the individual States in projecting the level of effluent limitations they would impose within their State to meet the 1983 goals of the Act. Public Law 93-243 allows the States to project any level of treatment they think necessary. However, the amount of distortion from those few States taking an extremely stringent approach appeared sufficiently large to have a potential adverse impact on the development of an equitable State allocation formula. A dilemma between following the dictates of the Act and providing fair treatment to all States was thus created.

The Agency decided that a responsive but fair allocation formula was of primary concern and initiated a review of State estimates to identify those costs that might create abnormal distortions. Because the States were in fact following the Act in terms of projecting their long-range effluent requirements, the Agency review of necessity had to be somewhat subjective. For this reason the adjusted figures may not reflect a clear picture of an individual State's total long-range needs but are considered more representative of the actual short-term requirements that would be met through funding under any current allocation formula.

The potential for distortion also existed in those situations where States deviated significantly from Agency Survey Guidelines. This was also evaluated in the review process and three areas were identified where State estimates deviated from either the Survey guidelines or from what the Agency considered acceptable engineering standards. The Agency assessment indicates that excessive costs were reported as the result of estimates exceeding EPA cost curves, the Census Bureau 1990 population projections and acceptable flow per capita standards. For the reasons stated in the preceding section, major Agency assessments could be made only for the Category I, II and IVB estimates. A summary table showing the independent assessments for each State is attached as Appendix B.

The State needs as reported for Category IVA (New Collectors) are not considered comparable because they were developed using two different assumptions. Several of the States developed needs on the basis of the PL 92-500 requirement (Section 211) that limits eligibility for new collectors only to those communities where a substantial portion of the population existed prior to October 18, 1972, and this eligibility constraint was included in the Survey guidelines. Other States developed needs on the basis of the assumed legislative intent of PL 93-243, directing the reporting of all needs for all treatment works necessary to meet the goals of PL 92-500. The resulting disparity in reported costs has been analyzed and a separate EPA assessment made. However, the Agency feels the results still do not provide an acceptable level of comparability.

In making these independent assessments the Agency identified at least \$650 million in the State of Maryland's estimates that appeared in the review to be anomalous. The State has indicated that this large increase in treatment requirements is due to special pollution problems effecting their shellfish industry and eutrophication of the Chesapeake Bay. The State estimate was not reduced, in the EPA adjustment process because the stringent effluent limitations are considered by the State to be immediate requirements that will impact current facility design.

VI. COST OF THE SURVEY

The conduct of the 1974 Needs Survey involved the committment of a substantial amount of both Federal and State resources. The Agency expended approximately \$1 million for the project up through the period of Final Report submittal. It is known that the State's funding committment was at least \$1.5 million. In addition, the Survey required the diversion of critical manpower during peak periods of the Survey. The National construction grant program suffered because personnel diverted were generally from that activity.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Potential Use of Estimates

To comply with the requirements of PL 93-243, these National estimates must be considered in two separate ways. They should be viewed both in terms of their value as an indicator of the total National long range facility requirements of PL 92-500, and in terms of their value for establishing State allocation formulae.

For the several reasons cited in the preceding sections of this Report, there was a degree of unevenness in the State corrected estimates that was considered unacceptable when viewing the data for allocation purposes. The Agency review process identified the extent of this unevenness and the estimates were adjusted accordingly. No meaningful adjustments could be made for Categories III, V and VI because of the status of program definitions, abatement technology and facilities planning.

If after consideration is given to the status of data comparability, the 1974 Survey estimates are to be used for allocation purposes, only Categories I, II and IVB are recommended for use. The Agency adjusted figures in these three Categories are considered to be sufficiently accurate for such purposes when viewed within the context of their overall National validity. These figures are also felt to be adequate for use as an indicator of total National requirements.

The cost estimates for the new Category VI, (treatment and/or control of stormwaters), are not considered useful as either an indicator of any long-term requirements, or for allocation purposes. States reporting large requirements in this category assumed that a stringent level of abatement for very large geographical areas would be necessary to meet water quality standards. The state-of-the-art is not such that the Agency can provide an alternative estimate for this category, but the few studies which have been conducted to assess the impact, indicate that the actual requirements in this category will be substantially less than the total reported by the States.

The Agency adjusted cost estimates for Categories IIIA, IIIB, IVA and V have limited value as an indicator of gross National requirements, but only when considered within the context of other comments regarding the needs identification policies that governed the conduct of this Survey. The cost estimates for these four Categories are not considered adequate for allocation purposes.

B. Allocation Formula

There has been continuing debate over the allocation formula used for distributing available construction grant funds among the States. When a strict population formula was used in the past it did not provide adequate funding to States that had high population concentrations and a resulting need for construction of sophisticated and expensive treatment facilities. When the legislation linked funding directly to estimated facility needs there were other inequities created. The problems with an allocation formula based strictly on needs relate primarily to the ability to accurately make and validate estimates, and are particularly acute when the estimates involve facility needs projected for State implementation of longer-range abatement requirements. This latter situation has been identified in the Report and the Agency believes that the unevenness of the estimates, even though adjusted through the Survey final review process, should be further tempered by the inclusion of a population factor within the allocation formula.

A new construction grant allocation formula is recommended that would be based on an equal 50 percent division between population and the Agency adjusted cost estimates for Categories I, II and IVB. This proposed formula is recommended for use in allocating any new funds that might be authorized over and above those authorized in PL 92-500 for Fiscal Years 1973, 1974, and 1975. With regard to the \$5 billion of currently unallotted funds, it is EPA's intent to allot them pursuant to the 1973, 1974, and 1975 formulae in such a way as if they had been released in the time periods intended by the Act. Accordingly, the Agency does not recommend the application of a new allocation formula to any of these reserve funds.

Funding for the District of Columbia's "Blue Plains" water pollution control plant should be considered in the development of an allocation formula since the City has only one major treatment plant project and the allocation formula might not provide adequate funding.

C. Future Identification of Facility Requirements

Several problems relating to data reliability and comparability have been cited in this Report. Because of these problems, and the heavy drair on State and Federal resources required to conduct the 1974 Survey, the Agency will investigate different procedures for deriving future Section 516 facility estimates.

To be more effective for equitable allocation purposes, the structuring of an alternative facility requirement identification process should be directed toward improving the relationship between the dollar estimate and the actual way in which the funds will be used. To accomplish this will require that estimating concentrate on areas of program priority and grant eligibility.

The Agency will work closely with Committee staff members as it develops an alternative approach.

VIII. TABLES OF REPORTED NEEDS

Attached are three series of tables which relate to the three sets of figures discussed in the Report:

SP - State Preliminary Data

SC - State Corrected Data

EPA - EPA Adjusted Data

Each series contains the following tables:

Table 1 - Shows the distribution of cost for each State, Categories I-V.

Table 2 - Reflects cost and percentage comparison between 1973 and 1974 of combined Categories I, II, III, IV and V.

Table 3 - Shows cost and percentage comparison between 1973 and 1974 of combined Categories I, II and IVB

Table 4 - Shows a comparison of per capita costs between 1973 and 1974 for Categories I through V based on 1972 population figures.

Also included in the SP series is:

Table 5 - Shows total and per capita costs reported for Category VI by State.

NOTE: Column figures will not equal totals because of computer rounding.

TABLE 5P = 1 SEPTEMBER 3- 1974

THELIMINARY STATE ESTIMATE 1974 COSTS* REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PURLICLY-UNITY DIRECTIONS OF 1973 DILLARS)

CATEGORIES

		TOTAL	1 SECONDARY TREATHENT	II MORE STRINGENT TREATMENT	III A CORR. INFIL./	H III MAJUR HAMBH	IV A NEW COLLECTOR SEWERS	IV B NEW CEPTORS	V COMBI SEWE OVER	
REGION I	CONNECTICUT MAINE MASSACHUSETTS NEW HAMPSHIVE HHODE ISLAND VERMONT	4, c87 696 6,496 1,088 1,444 367	181 130 721 165 68 36	85 222 95 30 64	23 1 36 26 4 8	36 3 31 0 1 3	328 112 902 218 193 37	265 149 597 181 134 35	702 197 866 191 87 37	2+667 299 3+121 212 927 147
REGION II	NEW JERSEY NEW YURN PUERTO HICO VIRGIN ISLANDS	12,163 37,659 954 111	1.061 616 177 13	666 2•593 0	211 465 14 1	168 2.108 0	685 4,467 245 12	909 2,065 211 19	909 5+004 18 0	7,554 20,341 289 66
SECTON III	DELAWARE MARYLAND VIRGINIA WEST VIRGINIA PENNSYLVANIA DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1,155 13,439 21,768 5,499 9,322 1,354	67 9 478 115 1•028 0	32 1•705 384 338 201 68	100 50 293 156 89 41	67 1.133 50 57 217	65 146 298 1•752 1•185	101 839 472 1.698 625 2	115 707 195 24394 726	608 9+530 19+586 1+740 3+743 300
REGION IV	ALAHAMA FLORIDA GEJPGIA KENTUCKY MISSISSIPPI NORTH CAROLINA SOUTH CAROLINA TENNESSEE	4.039 7.798 4.381 3.912 857 8.315 3.520 5.405	86 595 212 63 36 149 347 121	168 633 500 319 170 516 47 285	92 44 10 56 42 53 14	5 44 2 68 28 0 0	187 1•376 269 456 57 334 255	169 926 308 373 98 382 349 307	0 0 284 679 2 0 0 110	3+332 4+230 2+790 1+898 424 6+881 2+508 4+097
REGION V	ILLINOIS INDIANA MICHIGAR MINYESOTA OHIO WISCONSIN	7,170 5,401 8,453 3,632 14,716 4,225	250 217 148 69 26 184	1.832 378 653 407 1.508 421	184 153 97 52 635 80	64 187 459 14 115 131	473 337 1•021 204 626 427	449 303 1.009 233 746 447	1+693 1+429 1+936 368 3+790 621	2+225 2+397 3+640 1+885 6+570 1+914
3FC10A A1	ARKANSAS LOJISTANA NEW MEXICO TEXAS OKLAHOMA	4,005 6,075 189 15,571 5,138	0 232 52 0	519 120 8 2•162 576	63 351 4 206 67	97 1 187 556	445 438 53 713 176	309 285 38 468 696	118 0 1 5	2,667 4,551 33 11,717 3,067
RESION VII	IOWA KANSAS Missouri Neuraska	3,876 4,760 3,489 1,637	157 263 460 193	216 71 88 12	126 587 219 39	10 326 0 1	124 861 383 41	232 247 341 67	126 113 878 631	2+885 2+242 1+120 653
REGION VIII	COLORADO MONTANA NORTH DAKUTA SOUTH DAKUTA UTAH WYDMING	713 754 548 283 750 133	96 40 61 33 196 62	203 18 0 32 0	29 4 1 1 14 0	24 2 0 0 2 0	123 24 68 2 59 56	212 35 24 9 24 15	26 8 50 0 0	NO NEEDS 625 344 206 455 NO NEEDS
REVION IA	ARIZONA CALIFURNIA MAWAII NEVADA AMERICAN SAMOA GUAM TRUST TEMRITURIES	613 76.616 15.120 316 97 363	166 1,016 203 42 8 36	9 2.687 27 102 0 10	1 353 0 1 0 1 2	1 6 0 0 1 0	332 811 80 126 28 53 55	104 1.144 210 45 18 16 25	900 0 0 0 0	NO NEEDS 69+H19 14+600 NO NEEDS 47 247 NO NEEDS
MEDION X	ALASKA IDAHO OREGON WASHINGTON	557 946 1,782 4,366	122 58 147 578	0 64 0 44	23 57 95	2 16 125 465	98 180 192 558	64 100 161 352	9 36 262 323	558 469 838 1+951
131461		349,513	11,679	21+311	5+355	7,070	23,090	14,438	26,070	235,006

^{**}THE FOLLOWING AUDITIONAL NEEDS: NOT INCOUDED IN THIS REPORT: NEE: RECEIVED AFTER THE SUMVEY CLOSING PATER

NEW YORK \$592 MILLION

MAY 6. 1975 TABLE SP - 2

PRELIMINARY STATE ESTIMATE STATE NEUDS AND PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL COSTS* REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLICLY-OWNED WASTEWATER TREATEMENT FACILITIES (CATEGORIES 1, 11, 111, 1Va, 1VB AND V) (*MILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS)

		V=	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	C 4 3 /			
			COSTS*		PERCENT	AGE OF NATIONAL TOT	ALS
	•	9/3/74 SUBHITTED	EPA ASSESSMENT	CHANGE	9/3/74 SUBMITTED	EPA ASSESSMENT	CHANGE.
HEGION I	CUNNECTICUT	1.620	1+588	-32	1.4147	1.4784	•0.0636
	MAINE	597	575	-55	0.5213	0.5360	·0.0146
	MASSACHUSETTS	3.375	2,964	-411	2.9474	2.7597	-0.1876
	NEW HAMPSHIRE	876 517	740	-136 -70	0.7650 0.4515	0.6891	-0.0758 -0.0348
	RHODE ISLAND VERMONT	517 220	447 204	-16	0.1921	0.1905	-0.0015
REGION II	NEW JERSEY New York	4+609 17+318	4.894 15.302	•285 -2•016	4.0250 15.1239	4.5551 14.2426	+0.5300 -0.8812
	PUERTO RICO	665	603	-62	0.5807	0.5614	-0.0192
	VIRGIN ISLANUS	45	44	-1	0.0392	0.0411	·0.001H
REGION III	DELAWARE	547	546	-1	0.4777	0.5085	•0.0307
200	MARYLAND	3.909	3.642	-267	3.4137	3.3402	-0.0234
	VIRGINIA	2+182	1.884	-298	1.9055	1.7541	-0.1513
	WEST VIRGINIA	4.259	2.360	-1.499	3.7194	2.1971	-1.5222
	PENNSYLVANIA	5,579	5.454	-125	4.8721	5.0767	•0 • 20 45
	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1+054	1.052	-5	0.9204	0.9798	+0+0543
KEUION IV	ALABAMA	701	778	•71	0.6174	0.7248	•0.1073
	FLORIUA	3,568	2.704	-864	3.1159	2.5172	-0.5986
	GLONGIA	1.585	1.519	-66	1.3841	1.4140	+0.024H
	KENTUCKY MISSISSIPPI	2+014 433	1+824 494	-140 +61	1.7588 0.3781	1.6978 0.4604	+0.40.04 \$580.0+
	NORTH CAROLINA	1.434	1.480	•46	1.2523	1.3779	•0.1255
	SOUTH CAROLINA	1,012	977	- 35	0.8837	0.9097	•0.0254
	TENNESSEE	1.308	1.210	-98	1.1422	1.1262	-0.0159
REGION V	ILLINOIS	4.945	6,234	•1•289	4.3185	5.8029	+1.4843
	INDIANA	3.004	2,903	-101	2.6234	2.7025	.0.0790
	MICHIGAN	5,323	8.102	•2•779	4.6486	7.5414	•2.8927
	MINNESOTA	1.347	1.330	-17	1.1763	1.2379	•0.0615
	OHIO WISCONSIN	7+646 2+311	7.773 2.044	•127 - 267	6.6773 2.0182	7.2355 1.9025	+0.5581 +0.1156
		2					
KEGION VI	ARKANSAS	1.338	898	-440	1.1684	0.8364	-0.3319
	LOUISIANA NEW MEXICO	1+524 156	1•283 155	-241 -1	1.3309 0.1362	1.1949 0.1450	-0.1359 •0.0087
	TEXAS	3.854	3,222	-632	3.3657	2.9993	-0.3663
	OKLAHOHA	2.071	1.484	-587	1.8086	1.3812	-0.4213
REGION VII	IOWA	991	911	-80	0.8654	0.8485	-0.0168
	KANSAS	2.518	1.783	-735	2.1989	1.6600	-0.5348
	MISSOURI	2+369	2.298	-71	5.0688	2.1394	•0.0705
	NEBRASKA	984	924	-60	0.8593	0.8600	+0.0006
REGION VIII	COLORADO	713	523	-190	0.6226	0.4875	-0.1350
	MONTANA	129	127	-2	0.1126	0.1162	•0.0055
	NORTH DAKOTA South Dakota	204	189	-15	0.1781	0.1762	-0.0018
	UTAH	11 295	75 291	-2 -4	0.0672 0.2576	0.0704 0.2713	*0.00 11 *0.01 36
	WYOMING.	133	84	-49	0.1161	0.0784	-0.0376
REGION IX	ARIZONA	613	500	-113	0.5353	0.4662	-0.0690
	CAL IF ORNIA	6,997	6,208	- 789	6.1105	5.7789	-0.3315
	HAWAII	520	523	+3	0.4541	0.4869	•0.0327
	NEVADA	316	209	-107	0.2759	0.1948	-0.0810
	AMERICAN SAMOA	55	52	- 3	0.0480	0.0488	.0.0007
	GUAM TRUST TERRITORIES	116 190	93 195	-23 +5	0.1013 0.1659	0.0870 0.1819	-0.0147 -0.0159
						•	
REGION X	ALASKA	299	405	+106	0.2611	0.3770	•0.1158
	IDAHO	477	393	-84	0.4165	0.3659	-0.0505
	OREGON WASHINGTON	1+144 2+415	1.081 1.836	-63 -579	0.9940 2.1090	1.0065 1.7088	+0.0074 -0.4001
_							
TOTAL:		114.507	107.438	-7.069	100.0000	100.0000	•0.0000

TABLE SP - 3 HAY 6. 1975

PRELIMINARY STATE ESTIMATE STATE NEEDS AND PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL COSTS* REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF TREATMENT PLANTS AND INTERCEPTORS (CATEGORIES 1. II. AND IV B) (*MILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS)

			COSTS*		DEDGEN		
		9/3/74	EPA.	CHANGE	9/3/74 508H11TED	AGE OF NATIONAL TOT	CHANGE
4E010% :	CONNECTICUT MAINE MASSACHUSETTS NEW HAMP WITH HHUUE TISLAND	531 284 1•540 441 232	478 273 1•325 384 187	-53 -11 -215 -57 -45	1.0033 0.5366 2.9099 0.8333 0.4383	ASSESSMENT 1.0317 0.5892 2.8600 0.6288 0.4036	+0.0283 +0.0525 -0.0498 -0.0044
	VERHONT	135	125	-10	1.2550	0.2698	-0.0346 -0.0147
→ 61m	NEW JERSEY NEW YORK PUENTO RICO VIRGIN ISCANOS	2•636 5•274 388 32	2.602 4.603 368 31	-34 -671 -20 -1	4,9809 5,7656 9,7331 0,060	5.6164 9.9356 0.7943 0.0669	•0.6354 -0.0299 •0.0611 •0.0064
eater 1	UELAWANE MANYLANU VINGINIA WEST VINGINIA PENNSYLVANIA DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	200 2.553 1.334 2.151 1.854	199 2,324 1,129 1,320 1,629 69	-1 -22, -20° -831 -225	0.3779 8240 5206 0646 J-5032	0.4295 5.0163 3.4369 .8492 3.5162 0.1489	+0.0515 +0.1922 -0.0836 -1.2151 +0.0129 +0.0166
⊕GION I,	ALABAMA FLORIDA GEORGIA RENTUCKT MISSISSIPPI NORTH CAMULINA SOUTH CAMULINA TENNESSEE	423 2-154 3-020 7-55 304 1-047 7-43 713	472 1.874 1.020 649 359 1.044 728 677	+49 -280 +0 -106 +55 -3 -15	0.7992 4.0701 1.4273 1.4266 1.5744 1.4783 1.4039	1.0168 0450 2.2016 J.4008 0.774; .2534 1.5713	+0.2195 -0.0250 +0.2742 -0.0257 +0.2004 +9.2750 +0.1673 +0.1140
MEGTUN V	ILLINOIS INDIANA MICHIGAN MINNESOTA OHIO WISCONSIN	2.531 89H 1.810 709 2.440 1.052	2.343 837 1.673 707 2.367 939	-188 -61 -137 -2 -113 -113	4.7825 1.6968 3.4201 1.3397 4.6861 1.9878	5.0573 1.8066 3.6111 1.5260 2.1092 2.0268	+0.2747 +0.1047 +0.1909 +0.1862 +0.4230 +0.0389
H. GION VI	AHKANSAS LOUISTANA NEW MEXICO TEXAS OKLAHOMA	828 637 98 2•630 1•272	582 499 97 2•025 662	-246 -133 -4 -60' -610	1.5645 1.2036 0.1851 4.3695 <.4035	1 256. 1.0770 0.2093 4.3709 1.4289	-0.3082 -0.1265 +0.0241 -0.5985 -0.9745
REGION VII	10wa KANSAS HISSOURI NEHHASKA	605 631 8H9 272	532 524 843 227	-73 -107 -46 -45	1.1431 1.1923 1.6798 0.5139	1.1483 1.1310 1.8196 0.4899	.0.0051 -0.0612 .0.1397 -0.0239
HEGION VIII	COLORADO MONTANA NORTH DANOTA SOUTH DANOTA UTAH WYOMING	511 93 85 74 220	373 90 74 72 218 55	-138 -3 -11 -2 -2 -2	3.4655 3.1757 0.1606 0.1398 0.4157	0.8051 0.1942 0.1597 0.1554 0.4705 0.1187	-0.1603 .0.0184 -0.0008 .0.0155 .0.0547 -0.0266
REGION IX	AHIZONA CALIFORNIA HAWAII NEVADA AMERICAN SAMOA GUAM TRUST TERRITORIES	279 4.887 440 189 26 62 133	206 4.104 4.39 177 23 60	-13 -783 -1 -12 -3 -2 +0	0.5271 9.2343 0.8314 0.3571 0.0491 0.1171 0.2513	0.5741 8.8585 0.9475 0.3820 0.0500 0.1295 0.2870	.0.0469 -0.3757 .0.1160 .0.0248 .0.0008 .0.0123
REGION A	ALASKA TUAHU OREGON WASHINGTON	186 222 308 974	319 216 308 675	•133 -6 •0 -299	0.3514 0.4194 0.5819 1.8404	0.6885 0.4662 0.6648 1.4569	+0.3370 +0.0467 +0.0828 +0.3834
TOTAL		52.922	46+328	-6.594	100.0000	100.0000	•0.0000

PRELIMINARY STATE ESTIMATE

PER CAPITA COSIS REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLICLY-OWNED TREATMENT FACILITIES BASED ON 1990 PUPULATION (CATEGORIES 1. 11. 111. 1V A. 1V B. AND V) (**HILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS) (**THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE)

EPA 1990 COSTS* POPULATION** 9/3/74 COSTS EPA COSTS CHANGE IN PER PER CAPITA PER CAPITA CAPITA COSTS 9/3/74 COS15* 1.588 1,620 \$410 \$522 \$478 \$402 \$503 REGION 1 CONNECT ICUT 3.946 1.142 MAINE MASSACHUSETTS -19 \$420 \$815 -58 -150 3,375 2,964 NEW HAMPSHIRE HHOUE ISLAND 876 517 740 447 \$965 \$455 907 -61 -30 VERMONT. 204 536 \$410 \$380 220 NEW JEHSEY NEW YOHK PUERTO FICO VIPGIN ISLANDS 4.894 \$554 MEGION 11 4.609 8.822 \$522 +32 15.302 \$794 \$175 \$701 \$159 \$379 -93 -16 17.318 21.799 547 -1 -51 HEGION III DELAWARE \$689 3.909 2.182 4.259 5.579 1.054 3.642 1.884 2.360 5.454 5.318 5.958 1.845 \$735 \$366 \$2,303 \$418 MARYLAND \$684 MARYI AND
VIPUINIA
PENNSYLVANIA
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA \$316 \$1,279 \$409 -50 -1.029 13.332 764 -9 -3 1.052 \$1.379 \$1,376 707 778 \$202 -19 HEGION IV AL ABAMA 3.850 \$183 2.704 1.519 1.824 11.728 5.667 3.741 \$304 \$279 \$538 \$183 -74 -11 -51 3.568 1.585 \$230 \$268 FLORIDA GEONGIA 2.014 433 1.434 KENTUCKY \$4A7 MISSISSIPPI NURTH CAHOLINA SOUTH CAHOLINA •26 2,359 1.480 5.880 \$243 \$251 \$334 \$272 \$323 1.210 TENNESSEE -20 1.308 \$252 4.800 HEGIUN V ILLIN015 4,445 6.234 13.177 \$375 \$473 • 9 A 3.004 5.323 1.347 7.646 2.903 B.102 1.330 7.773 \$466 \$485 \$451 \$739 6,433 MICHIGAN •254 4,577 \$294 \$579 -4 MINNE SOTA \$290 \$588 OHIO WISCONSIN 2.311 2.044 6701 -51 ARRANSAS COUISTANA NEW MEXICO TEXAS 1.338 1.524 156 3.854 2.071 HEGION VI 898 2.068 \$434 -213 1+283 155 3+222 \$308 \$125 \$235 -58 -1 4,159 \$366 \$126 \$282 \$703 ONL AHOMA -199 2.942 \$504 911 1+783 2+298 924 991 3.053 \$ 324 \$29B REGION VII \$1.003 \$431 \$629 -293 -13 -38 2.518 2.369 2,509 \$710 \$418 KANSAS MISSOURI 523 127 189 75 291 \$183 \$177 \$311 \$116 \$192 -67 -3 -25 -3 713 129 \$250 REGION VIII 714 606 643 1,509 \$180 ANATAOM NORTH DAKOTA SOUTH DAKOTA UTAH 204 77 295 \$336 \$119 \$195 WYOMING -81 \$147 \$233 \$517 \$224 \$1,300 REGION IX ARIZONA 613 500 3,384 -34 -30 \$263 \$514 \$338 \$1,375 CALIFORNIA HABAII 6.208 523 209 26.601 520 316 55 1,010 • 3 -114 -75 NEVADA 52 93 AMERICAN SAMOA 40 275 205 \$421 \$926 \$338 \$951 GUAM THUST TERRITORIES 195 190 • 25 299 477 1+144 2+415 \$992 \$518 \$367 \$437 •260 -111 -21 -138 REGION X 405 408 \$732 393 758 2,943 10AHO \$629 \$388 OREGON WASHINGTON 1.081 3575 1.836 4,194 TOTAL 1 114.507 107.438 -27 256.216 \$446 \$419

	Total Cost (Millions)	1990 Population (Thousands)	Per Capita Costs	
TOTALS	235,006	256,216	917	
EGION I				
Connecticut	2.667	3.946	676	
Maine	299	1.142	262	
Massachusetts	3.121	7.052	նկ3	
New Hampshire	212	907	234	·
Rhode Island	927	1,134	817	
Vermont	147	536	274	-
ECION II				
New Jersey	7.554	8,822	856	
New York	20.341	21.799	933	
Puerto Rico		3,786	<u>76</u> 567	
Virgin Islands	66	tro	201	
EGION III				
Delavare	608	793	767	
Maryland	9.530	5,318	1.792	
Virginia Vincinia	19.586	5,958	3,287 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
West Virginia Pennsylvania	1,740 3,743	1.845	281	
Dist.of Columbia	300		393	
EGION IV				
Alabara	3.332	3.850	866	
Florida Georgia	4.230 2,796	11.728 5,667	361 493	
Kentucky	1,898	3,741	507	
Mississippi	424	2,359	180	
North Carolina	6,831	5.880	1.170	
South Carolina	2,508	3.023	830	
Tennessee	4,097	4.800	854	
EGION V				
Illino.s	2,2.5	13,177	169	
Indiana	2,327	6,433	373	
Michigan	3,410	10,961	331	
Minnesota	1, 445	4,577	414	
Ohio	6,5:0	13,702	498	
Wiscongin	1,9,4	5,218	367	
EGION VI				
Arkancan	2-667	2.068	1.290	
Louiriann	h , 551	4,159	1.094	
New Mexico	33	1,232	27	
Texas	11,717	1₹,696	X57	
Oklationa	3.067	2,942	1,042	
EGION VII				
Iowa	2,845	3,053	945	
Kansas	2,54	2,509	894	
Missouri	1,120	5,188	204	
Nebraska	653	1,562	418	
EGION VIII				
Colorado.	lo Ncela	. 3,848		
Montana	No Negla 625	714	875	
North Dakota	344	606	568	
South Dakota	206	643	320	
Utah	455	1,509	302	
Wyoming	No Neels	600		
XION IX				
Arizona	No Needs	3.384		
California	69.819	26.601	2.625	
Havaii Warada	14,600	1,010	14,455	
Nevada American Samoa	No Needs	933 40	1.039	
Ouam	247		898	
Trust Territories	No Necus	205	940	
	AN ACCUS		_ ,	
Alaska	550	408	1 269	
Alaska Idaho	558 469	408 758	1.368	
Oregon	838	2,943	285	
Washington	1,951	194	465	

MAY 6. 1975 TABLE SC - 1 COPRECTED STATE ESTIMATE

1974 COSTS® REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLICLY-OWNED WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

(*MILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS)

CATEGORIES

					CAT	FCCHIFZ			
		TOTAL	I SECONDAHY THEATMENT	II MOME STHINGEN TREATMEN	III A CORH. T INFIL./ T INFLOW	III B MAJOR REMAB	IV A NEW COLLECTOR SEWERS	IV B NEW INTER- CEPTORS	CAFHED SEMFH COMPINED A COMPINED
#+GION I	CONNECTICUT MAINE MASSACHUSETTS NEW HAMPSHIFF HHODE ISEAND VERHONT	1.598 589 3.285 861 478 215	171 130 713 154 49 33	94 230 95 37 64	21 33 23 3 9	38 2 25 0 0	320 113 914 218 177 36	213 139 542 218 123 34	738 196 824 147 87 35
MEGION II	NEW JEHSEY PUERTO HICO VIRGIN ISLANDS	5+010 17+421 604 45	1+129 944 181 13	589 2•347 0 0	199 509 14	174 2.341 0	611 4,244 203 12	929 2+031 187 18	1.377 5.004 17 0
REG106-111	UELAWARE MARYLAND VIRGINIA WEST VIRGINIA PENNSYLVANIA DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	547 3+937 2+128 4+225 5+730 1+053	65 10 456 106 946 0	34 1.700 374 335 285 67	100 47 290 145 91 40	67 1•132 49 5 48 216	65 140 287 1•746 1•268	100 872 459 1•692 651	114 27 206 194 2.439 726
MEGION IV	ALAHAMA FLORIDA ULONG LA KERTUCKY MISSISSIPPI NURTH CAROLINA SOUTH CAROLINA TENNESSEE	819 3.526 1.595 1.862 495 1.531 1.028 1.301	114 617 200 51 35 211 340 112	165 651 508 243 202 451 46 293	102 45 12 53 45 52 21	11 44 2 63 31 0 0	233 1,262 268 444 57 434 269 418	193 911 319 355 122 382 350 276	0 0 283 652 1 0 0
MEGION V	TUCINOTS TNOTANA MICHICAN MINTENOTA UHTU WISCONSIN	6+301 2+96H 8+199 1+387 7+920 2+291	317 216 117 63 214 180	1.653 379 673 451 1.325 417	176 151 96 52 637 79	60 185 456 14 114 134	511 336 1•002 204 625 419	394 302 969 233 935 438	3.188 1.397 4.884 368 4.062 621
HEGION VI	AHPANSAS LOUISTAHA NEW MEXICO TEXAS UNLAHUMA	1+503 1+536 156 3+752 3+664	0 351 52 9	597 3 7 2•144 565	68 352 3 207 55	0 97 1 214 551	503 439 54 660 1.806	332 289 38 399 685	1 0 0 118 0
REGION VII	TOWA KANSAS MISSOCHI NEURASKA	965 2+348 2+399 977	154 240 471 183	204 66 75 18	123 572 219 38	9 318 0 1	122 765 375 39	222 272 380 65	125 113 878 630
REGION VIII	CULUMADU MONTANA NORTH PANGTA SOUTH DANGTA UTAH WYUMING	716 128 195 78 294 133	112 39 51 33 195 61	188 16 0 32 0	29 5 1 1 14 0	24 1 0 0 2	122 21 67 3 58 55	212 35 23 7 23 15	26 7 50 0 0
REGION 1X	AKIZUNA CALIFUHNIA HABAII NEVADA AMERICAN SAMDA GUAH IRUST TERRITUKIES	597 7+156 520 316 55 117 197	159 1•291 203 42 8 36 90	8 2+344 27 102 0 9	1 366 0 1 0 2	1 47 0 0 0 0	327 959 81 125 28 53 60	99 1•245 209 45 18 15 25	0 902 0 0 0
REGION X	ALASKA TOAHO ONEGON WASHINGTON	412 471 1+144 2+371	234 46 146 515	0 71 1 101	4 22 56 91	1 16 324 454	77 179 191 548	85 99 161 344	9 35 262 316
TOTALI		121.171	12.628	20.330	5+348	7.330	24+583	19.758	31.192

TABLE SC - 2 MAY 6. 1975

COMMECTED STATE ESTIMATE STATE NEEDS AND PENCENTAGE OF NATIONAL COSTS* REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLICLY-OBMED WASTEWATER TREATEMENT FACILITIES (CATEGORIES 1. 11. 111. IVA. IVB AND V) (*MILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS)

PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL TOTALS

COSTS*

			C0515*		PERCENT	AGE OF NATIONAL TO	AL TOTALS		
		STATE CORRECTED	EPA ASSESSMENT	CHANGE	STATE COPRECTED	EPA ASSESSMENT	CHANGE		
REGION I	CONNECTIONT	1.598	1.568	-10	1.3190	1.4784	•0.1593		
	MAINE	589	575	-14	0.4863	0.5360	•0.0496		
	MASSACHUSETTS	3,285	2.964	- 321	2.7111	2.7597	•0.0485		
	NEW HAMPSHIRE	851	740	-121	0.7108	0.6891	-0.0216		
	RHOUE ISLAND	478	447	-31	0.3949	0.4166	•0.0216		
	ALMONI	215	204	-11	0.1776	0.1905	+0.0128		
Rt 6104-11	NEW JERSEY	5.010	4.894	-116	4.1351	4.5551	+0.4199		
	NEW YORK	17.421	15.302	-2.119	14.3773	14.2426	-0.1346		
	PULKTO HICO	604	603	-1	0.4985	0.5614	•0.0624		
	VIRGIN ISLANUS	45	44	-1	0.0371	0.0411	•0.0039		
REGION III	UF L AWAHE	547	546	~1	0.4522	0.5085	+0.0562		
	MARYL AILU	3,932	3.642	~290	3.2450	3.3902	•0.1451		
	VIHUIGIA	2+128	1.884	-244	1.7562	1.7541	-0.0020		
	WEST VIRGINIA	4+225	2.360	-1.865	3.4873	2.1971	-1.2901		
	PENNSYL VANTA	5.730	51454	-276	4.7296	5.0767	•0.3470		
	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1.053	1.052	-1	0.8694	0.9798	+0-1103		
REGION IV	AL AHAMA	819	778	-41	0.6762	0.7248	+0.0485		
	FLORIDA	3.526	2.704	-822	2.9104	2.5172	-0.3931		
	OF CHOIV	1.595	1.519	-76	1.3166	1.4140	•0.0973		
	KENTUCKY	1.862	1.424	-38	1.5374	1.6978	•0.1603		
	MISSISSIEPI	495	494	-1	0.4089	0.4604	•0.0514		
	NORTH CAROLINA	1.531	1.480	-51	1.2639	1.3779	•0•1139		
	SOUTH CAROLINA	1.028	977	-51	0.8487	0.9097	•0.0609		
	TEANESSEE	1.301	1.210	-91	1.0737	1.1262	+0.0524		
REGION V	ILL INO15	6.301	6.234	-67	5.2003	5.8029	•0.6025		
	INCLANA	2.968	2.903	-65	2.4501	2.7025	•0.2523		
	MICHIGAN	8 • 1 9 9	8.102	-97	6.7665	7.5414	•0.7748		
	MINNESOTA OHIO	1+3H1 7+920	1.330 7.773	-57 -147	1.1452 6.5364	1.2379 7.2355	•0.0926 •0.6929		
	w1sconsin	5.541	2.044	-247	1.8910	1.9025	*0.6990 *0.0114		
HEGION VI	AHF ANSAS	1.503	H98	-605	1.2408).8364	-0.4043		
MEGIOII AI	LOUISIANA	1+536	1.283	-253	1.2684	1.1949	-0.4043 -0.0734		
	NEW MEXICO	156	155	-1	0.1294	0.1450	•0.0155		
	TERAS	3.752	3.222	-530	3.0971	2.9993	-0.0977		
	ORE AHUMA	3+664	1.484	-2.180	3.0243	1.3812	-1.6430		
REGION VII	I U w A	965	911	-54	0.7966	0.8485	•0.0518		
• . •	KANSAS	2.348	1.783	-565	1.9382	1.6600	-0.2781		
	MISSOURI	2.349	2+298	-101	1.9802	2.1394	•0.1591		
	NEHHASKA	977	924	-53	0.8066	0.8600	•0.0533		
HEGION VIII	COLOHADO	716	523	-193	0.5910	0.4875	-0.1034		
	MONTANA	128	127	- 1	0.1062	0.1182	+0.0119		
	NORTH DARGER	195	189	-6	0.1609	0.1762	•0.0152		
	SOUTH DAKUTA	78	75	-3	0.0644	0.0704	+0.0059		
	UTAH WYOMING	294 133	291 84	-3 -49	0.2433	0.2713 0.0784	•0.0279 -0.0312		
REGION IX	ARIZONA CALLEDONA	597	500	-97	0.4930	0.4662	-0.0267		
	CALIFORNIA MAWALI	7+156	6+20H 523	-948 •3	5.9063	5.7789	-0.1273		
	NE VADA	520 316	523 209	-107	0.4297	0.4869	•0.0571		
	AMERICAN SAMOA	55	52	-107	0.2612 0.0460	0.1948 0.0488	-0.0663 •0.0027		
	GUAM	117	93	-24	0.0972	0.0870	-0.0101		
	TRUST TERRITORIES	197	195	-5	0.1626	0.1819	+0.0192		
REGION X	ALASKA	412	405	-7	0.3408	0.3770	•0.0361		
	1DAHO	471	393	-78	0.3894	0.3659	-0.0234		
	OREGON WASHINGTON	1+144 2+371	1.081 1.836	-63 -535	0.9442 1.4572	1.0065 1.7088	•0.0622 •0.2483		
TOTAL .		131 131	103 (30	12 723	100 00	100.000			
TOTAL:		121-171	107,438	-13,733	100.0000	100.0000	•0.0000		

TABLE SC - 3 MAY 6, 1975

CORRECTED STATE ESTIMATE

STATE NEEDS AND PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL COSTS* REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THEATMENT PLANTS AND INTERCEPTORS (CATEGORIES 1. II. AND IV B) (*MILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS)

COSTS# PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL TOTALS CHANGE STATE LPA STATE EPA CHANGE ASSESSMENT CORRECTED COPRECTED ASSESSHENT 478 274 1•485 471 209 478 273 1•325 384 187 +0 -1 ·0.1235 REGION I CONNECTICUT 0.9081 1-0317 MAINE MASSACHUSETTS NEW HAMPSHIKE RHODE ISLAND 2.8185 0.5892 -160 -87 -22 -7 ·0.0414 -0.0657 0.8946 0.8288 .0.005B VERMONT .0.0183 132 125 0.2698 NEW JEHSEY NEW YORK PUERTU PICO VIRGIN ISLANUS -45 -719 +0 +0 2 • 6 4 7 5 • 3 2 2 3 6 8 2,602 5.6164 MEGION II 5.0219 9.9356 4+603 368 10.0964 -0.1607 .0.0946 .0.0064 MEGION III DELAWANE 200 199 0.4295 0.3804 +0.0490 2+324 1+129 1+320 1+629 -259 -165 -813 -254 +0 2.583 1.294 2.133 4.9010 2.4561 4.0478 5.0163 2.4369 2.8492 MARYL AND VIRGINIA WEST VIRGINIA -0.0191 -1.1985 -0.0560 PENNSYLVANIA DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 69 69 0.1323 0.1489 +0.0165 REGION IV AL AHAMA 472 472 • 0 0.8956 1.0188 .0.1231 -300 -7 •0 -0.0799 •0.2531 •0.1678 FLOHIUA GEORGIA 2.174 1.074 4.1250 4.0450 1.2329 0.6823 1.9820 649 359 649 359 1.4008 KENTUCKY MISSISSIPPI NORTH CAPULINA SUUTH CAPULINA TENNESSEE .0.0925 • 0 - 9 - 4 2.2534 1.5713 •0.2713 •0.1727 1.044 1.044 728 677 1.2931 681 1.4613 +0.1681 -21 -61 -86 -41 -113 REGION V 2+343 837 1+673 ILL INDIS .0.5712 .0.1031 2.364 4-4860 5.0573 INUTANA MICHIGAN 898 1.759 1.7034 1.8066 3.6111 .0.2741 707 2.367 1.4196 1.5260 MINNE SOTA 748 .0.4046 #ISCONSIN 1.035 939 1.9651 2.0268 .0.0616 -348 -147 -1 -527 582 499 97 1.2562 MEGION VI AHRANSAS 9 30 -0.5085 1.2260 LUUISIAMA NEW MEXICO TEXAS OKLAHOMA 646 98 -0.1489 0.2093 4.3709 -0.4704 1.251 662 -589 2-3736 1.4289 -0.9446 585 578 927 532 -53 -54 -84 -39 REGION VII 1.1483 KANSAS MISSOURI 524 843 1.0975 +0.0334 1.7584 1.8196 +0.0611 NEHRASKA REGION VIII COL ORADO 373 -140 91 75 73 219 MONTANA NORTH DAKOTA SOUTH DAKOTA 90 74 72 -1 -1 -1 0.1739 0.1435 0.1392 0.1942 0.1597 0.1554 0.4705 +0.0202 •0.0161 •0.0161 UIAH 218 0.4165 +0.0539 WYOMING -22 -0.0273 REGION 1x ARIZONA 0.5055 9.2589 +0.0685 CAL IF ORNIA -776 •0 -12 4.880 4.104 8.8585 -0.4003 439 189 439 177 0.9475 HAWA11 0.8337 .0.1137 0.3601 .0.0218 AMERICAN SAMOA 26 61 134 60 23 -3 -1 0.0501 0.1172 0.2553 0.0500 -0.0000 GUAM TRUST TERRITORIES 0.2870 .0.0316 REGION X AL ASKA 320 319 -1 -1 •0 0.6071 0.6885 •0.0913 0.4126 0.5856 1.8229 0.4662 0.6648 1.4569 217 308 .0.0535 .0.0791 216 OREGON 308 MASHINGTON -286 -0.3659

-6.388 100.0000

100.0000

+0.0000

52.716

46.328

TOTALI

CORRECTED STATE ESTIMATE

PER CAPITA TOSTS REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLICLY-OWNED TREATMENT FACILITIES BASED ON 1990 POPULATION (CATEGORIES 1+ 11+ 111+ 1V A+ 1V B+ AND V) (*MILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS) (**THOUSANDS OF PEUPLE)

		9/3/74 COST5*	EPA 35TS#	1990 POPULATION**	9/3/74 COSTS PER CAPITA	EPA COSTS PER CAPITA	CHANGE IN PER CAPITA COSTS
REGION I	CONNECTICUT	1.598	1.588	3.946	\$404	\$402	÷2
	MAINE	589	575	1+142	\$515	1503	-12
	MASSACHUSETTS New Hampshire	3+285 861	2+964 7/0	7.052 907	\$485 \$ 949	\$420 \$815	-45 -134
	HHODE ISLAND	478	447	1+134	\$421	\$394	-27
	VERMONT	215	204	536	\$401	\$380	-51
REGION II	NEW JERSEY	5.010	4.894	8.822	\$567	\$554	-17
	NEW YORK	17.421	15.302	21.799	\$799	\$701	-98
	PUERTO RICO VIRGIN ISLANUS	604 45	603	3.786 116	\$159 \$387	\$159 \$379	• 0 - 8
REGION III	UELAWARE	547	546	793	\$689	\$688	-1
	MARYLAND	3,932	3+642	5+318	\$739	\$684	-55
	VIRGINIA West Virginia	2•128 ••225	1+884 2+360	5,958 1,845	\$357 \$2•289	\$316 \$1.279	-41 -1.010
	PENN YLVANIA	3.730	5,454	13.332	\$424	\$409	-20
	DIST ICT OF COLUMBIA	1,053	1.052	764	\$1.37H	\$1.376	-5
REGION IV	ALABAMA	819	778	3.850	\$212	\$202	-10
	FLORIDA GEORGIA	3+526 1+595	2.704 1.519	11+728 5+667	\$300 \$281	\$230 \$268	-70 -11
	KENTUCKY	1,862	1.824	3.741	\$497	1487	-10
	MI' SISSIPPI	495	494	2,359	\$209	\$209	• 0
	NORTH CARULINA	1.531	1.480	5.880	\$260	\$251	-9
	SOUTH CAHOLINA TENNESSEE	1.028	977 1+210	3.023 4.800	\$340 \$271	\$323 \$252	-17 -19
REGION V	ILLINOIS	6.301	6.234	13.177	\$47 8	\$473	-5
	INGLANA	5.468	2.903	6.433	\$461	\$451	-10
	MICHIGAN MINNESOTA	8•199 1•387	8 • 10 2 1 • 330	10+961 4+577	\$74H \$303	\$739 \$240	-9 -11
	0H10	7,920	7.773	13.202	\$599	\$58B	-11
	WISCONSIN	2.291	2.044	5+218	\$434	₽3AT	-48
REGION VI	ARKANSAS	1.503	898	2.068	\$726	\$434	-297
	LUUISIANA New Mexico	1+536 156	1+283 155	4+159 1+232	\$369 \$126	\$ 108 \$125	-61 -1
	TEXAS	3,752	3.222	13.666	\$274	\$235	-3+
	OKLAHOMA	3,664	1.484	2.942	\$1.245	\$ 504	-741
HEGION VII	IOWA KANSAS	965 2•348	911 1•783	3.053 2.509	\$316 \$935	\$298 \$710	-18 -225
	MISSOURI	2.399	2,298	5,488	34 37	\$418	-19
	NEBRASKA	977	924	1.562	\$625	\$591	-34
REGION VIII	COLORADO	716	523	2+848	\$251	\$143	-68 -2
	MONTANA NORTH DAKOTA	128 195	127 189	714 606	\$179 \$321	\$177 \$311	-10
	SOUTH DAKOTA	78	75	643	\$121	\$116	-5
	UTAH WYOMING	294 133	291 84	1,509	\$194 \$221	\$142 \$140	-2 -81
	TIONING	133	84	600	> €€1	\$140	-01
REGION IX	ARIZONA CALIFOHNIA	597 7+156	500 6•208	3+384 26+601	\$176 \$269	\$14 <i>1</i> \$233	-29 -36
	HAWAII	520	523	1.010	\$514	\$517	• 3
	NEVADA	316	209	933	\$ 3 3 4	\$224	-114
	AMERICAN SAMOA	55	52	40	\$1.375	\$1.100	- 7%
	GUAM TRUST TERRITORIES	117 197	93 195	215 205	\$425 \$960	\$338 \$951	-8 <i>1</i> -9
REGION X	ALASKA Idaho	412 471	405 393	408 758	\$1.009 \$621	\$992 \$518	-17 -103
	OREGON	1.144	1.081	2,943	\$38A	\$367	-103
	WASHINGTON	2+371	1+836	4.194	\$565	\$437	-128
TOTAL		121-171	107.438	256+216	\$472	\$419	-51

TABLE EPA - 1

EPA ESTIMATE

1974 COSTS* REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLICLY-OWNED WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

(*MILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS)

CATEGORIES

		TOTAL	I SECONDARY TREATMENT	II MOHE STHINGENT TREATMENT	III A CORR. INFIL./ INFLOW	III B Major Rehab	IV A NEW COLLECTOR SEWERS	IV B NEW INTER- CEPTORS	V COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW
REGION I	CONNECTICUT MAINE MASSACHUSETTS NEW HAMPSHIRE RHODE ISLAND VERMONT	1.588 575 2.964 740 447 204	171 130 587 125 45 31	94 205 95 34 60	21 1 33 23 3 9	38 2 25 0 0	311 102 755 184 169 33	213 139 533 164 108 34	738 196 824 147 87 35
HEGION II	AILGIN IPPUNDS Nem Aohk Nem Tehsea Nem Tehsea	4,894 15,302 603 44	1+127 1+663 181 13	571 994 0 0	199 509 14 1	174 2+341 0 0	540 2•845 203 12	904 1+946 187 18	1.377 5.004 17 0
HEGION III	UELAWAHE MARYLAND VIRGINIA WEST VIRGINIA PENNSYLVANIA DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	546 3.642 1.884 2.360 5.454 1.052	65 10 364 91 742 0	34 1.465 317 151 265 67	100 51 290 145 91 40	67 1•132 32 5 48 216,	65 107 226 695 1+246	100 849 448 1•078 622 2	114 27 206 194 2•439 726
HEGION IV	ALABAMA FLORIDA GEORGIA KENTUCKY MISSISSIPPI NORTH CAROLINA SOUTH CAROLINA TENNÉSSEE	778 2,704 1,519 1,824 494 1,480 977 1,210	114 566 200 51 35 211 333 112	165 452 501 243 202 451 45 293	102 39 12 53 45 52 21	11 44 2 63 31 0 0	192 746 200 406 57 383 227 332	193 856 319 355 122 382 350 272	0 0 283 652 1 0 0
REGION V	ILLINOIS INUIANA MICHIGAN MINNESOTA OHIO WISCONSIN	6,234 2,403 8,102 1,330 7,773 2,044	314 200 106 59 214 164	1.635 348 598 415 1.302 373	176 151 96 52 637	60 185 456 14 114 134	466 332 992 188 592 382	394 289 969 233 851 402	3.188 1.397 4.884 368 4.062 508
HEGION AI	AHKANSAS LOUISIANA NEW MEXICO TEXAS OKLAHONA	898 1,283 155 3,222 1,484	0 302 52 9 0	331 6 7 1.617 406	68 352 3 207 55	0 97 1 214 551	246 333 54 657 214	251 191 38 399 256	1 0 0 118 0
REGION VII	IUWA KANSAS MISSOURI NEBRASKA	911 1,783 2,298 924	132 185 400 144	178 67 63 18	123 536 219 38	9 294 0 1	121 316 358 26	222 272 380 65	125 113 878 630
HEGION VIII	COLORADO MONTANA NORTH DAKOTA SOUTH DAKOTA UTAH WYOMING	523 127 189 75 291 84	78 39 51 33 195	122 16 0 32 0	29 5 1 1 14 0	24 1 0 0 2	74 21 63 2 57 28	173 35 23 7 23 15	23 7 50 0 0
REGION IX	ARIZONA CALIFORNIA HAWAII NEVADA AMERICAN SAMOA GUAM TRUST TERRITORIES	500 6.208 523 204 52 93 195	159 1•713 203 30 5 36 90	8 1 • 2 4 2 2 7 10 2 0 9	1 343 0 1 0 2	1 47 0 0 0	231 812 84 31 28 31 60	99 1+149 209 45 18 15	902 0 0 0 0
REGION X	ALASKA IUAHO OHEGON WASHINGTON	405 393 1.081 1.836	234 46 146 283	0 71 1 56	4 22 56 91	1 16 324 454	70 102 130 299	85 99 161 336	9 35 262 316
TOTAL		107.438	15.659	15.776	5.287	7.287	17.458	17,923	31.076

STATE NEEDS AND PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL COSTS* REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLICLY-OWNED WASTEWATER TREATEMENT FACILITIES (CATEGORIES 1. II. III. IVA. IVB AND V) (*MILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS)

COSTS PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL TOTALS EPA CHANGE 1973 1973 CHANGE SURVEY ASSESSMENT SURVEY ASSESSMENT REGION I CONNECTION 1.409 1.588 CONNECTION
MAINE
MASSACHUSETTS
NEW HAMPSHIRE
PHONE ISLAND
VERMONT +179 2.3435 1.4784 -0.8650 •211 •1•479 •232 •80 364 1+485 575 2.964 0.5360 2.7597 0.6891 0.4166 0.1905 0.6054 2.4699 0.8449 -0.0693 -0.2897 -0.1557 508 367 740 447 0.6104 -0.1937 168 204 -0.0888 NEW JERSEY NEW YORK PUEHTO HICO VIHGIN ISLANUS 4F G TON 11 *1*512 *7*270 *13 *0 3+382 4,894 4.5551 14.2426 0.5614 5.6251 8.032 590 -1.0699 15.302 13.3592 •0.8833 -0.4198 44 0.0731 -0.0319 REGION III 546 3.642 1.884 2.360 5.454 DELAMANE +217 +2,961 +539 +1,746 +1,244 0.5472 0.5085 MARYLANU VIRGINIA WEST VIRGINIA -0.0386 681 1.1326 3.3902 1.7541 2.1971 •2.2575 -0.4828 1+345 1.0212 PENNSYLVANIA DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 4.210 -1.9255 1.052 -29 1.7979 HIGION IV AL AHAMA 2.371 1.031 •334 •333 77A 0.7384 0.7248 FLUHIDA -0.0135 2.704 1.519 2.5172 1.4140 1.6978 J.9435 1.7148 • 488 • 792 • 226 • 580 • 220 GEORGIA -1.4262 RENTUCKY
MISSISSIPPI
NORTH CAROLINA
SOUTH CAROLINA -0.3007 1.824 494 1.480 1.032 1.7164 0.4457 1.4969 268 900 -0.0185 0.4604 +0.0146 -0.1189 757 1.2590 TENNESSEE. 695 +0.3492 1.210 +515 1.1262 IEGION V ILLIN015 6,234 2,903 8,102 •2•145 •1•863 •4•777 •265 •4•940 4.089 INDIANA MICHIGAN 6.8010 5.8029 2.7025 7.5414 1.040 .0.9727 5.5303 MINNE SOTA •2.0110 •0.5333 1.065 2.833 787 1.330 1.2379 7.2355 0110 4.7120 w15CONSIN +2.5234 2.044 1.3089 1.9025 EGION VI ARKANSAS 355 898 0.5904 0.8364 LOUISTANA +0.2459 451 1.283 •832 •40 •2•334 0.7501 1.1949 0.1450 2.9993 NEW MEXICO TEXAS ORLAHOMA •0.4447 -0.0461 115 888 155 3•222 1.4769 +1.5223 624 1.484 1.0378 1.3812 EGION VII IUWA 502 911 KANSAS MISSOURI 0.8349 671 1.783 2.298 .0.0135 *1+112 *1+326 *520 1.1160 ·0.5439 1.6600 NEHRASKA 2.1394 404 0.6719 0.8600 .0.1880 EGION VIII COLORADO 426 523 MONTANA NORTH DAKOTA 0.7085 74 •53 •143 •32 •66 -0.2209 0.7085 0.1230 0.0765 0.0715 0.3742 0.0665 127 189 75 291 0.1182 0.1762 0.0704 -0.0047 SOUTH DAKOTA .0.0996 -0.0010 WYOMING 0.2713 GION IX ARIZONA 238 6,050 523 500 •262 CALIFORNIA HAWAII 0.3958 6.208 523 209 52 93 +0.0703 •158 •0 -18 10.0627 5.7789 -4.2837 -0.3828 0.4869 NE VADA 227 0.3775 0.0133 AMERICAN SAHOA -0.1826 •44 0.0488 GUAM .0.0354 52 THUST TERRITORIES 0.0365 +0.0504 195 +187 0.0133 0.1819 +0.1685 GION X ALASKA _ 205 405 393 0.3409 0.1862 0.9447 IDAHO OREGON +200 0.3770 0.3659 1.0065 112 •0.0360 •281 •513 .0.1796 1.081 WASHINGTON .0.0617 1.836 1.708A TALE 60,123 107,438 +47.315 100.0000 100.0000 .0.0000

EPA ESTIMATE

STATE NEEDS AND PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL COSTS® REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF TREATMENT PLANTS AND INTERCEPTORS (CATEGORIES 1. II. AND IV B) (*MILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS)

costs. PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL TOTALS EPA EPA CHANGE 1973 CHANGE 1973 SURVEY ASSESSMENT SURVEY ASSESSMENT 1.1974 0.7240 2.1191 0.9440 0.4511 430 1.0317 HEGION I CONNECTICUT 478 +4A -0.1656 -0.1347 -0.7408 MAINE
MASSACHUSETTS
NEW HAMPSHIHE
HHOUE ISLAND
VERMONT •13 0.5892 260 761 •564 •45 •25 1+325 384 187 0.8288 339 -0.1151 -0.0474 162 125 •10 0.3202 0.2698 -0.0503 5.6164 9.9356 0.7943 NEW JERSEY NEW YORK PUERTO RICO VIRGIN ISLANDS -28 •438 -1.7073 -1.6627 2.602 7.3238 11.5984 HEGION II 2+630 4.165 394 32 368 31 -26 1.0971 -0.3027 0.4295 0.5597 -0.1301 199 REGION III DELAMANE 201 •1•741 •131 •997 •74 •17 583 998 2,324 1,129 1,320 1,629 1.6235 5.0163 2.4369 2.8492 •3.3927 -0.3421 •1.9497 MARYLAND VINGINIA WEST VINGINIA
PENNSYLVANIA
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 3/3 0.8994 +0.0040 52 69 0.1448 0.1489 HEGION IV AL AHAMA 310 472 +162 0.8632 1.0188 +0.1555 •162 •284 •243 •76 •136 •295 1.874 1.590 4.4277 4.0450 -0.3826 -0.0378 FLORIDA GE UNG LA RENTUCKY MISTISSIPPI NURTH CAPOLINA 649 359 1.044 1.4008 0.7749 2.2534 -0.1947 +0.1539 +0.1676 1.5956 573 223 749 2.0857 728 677 •159 •210 SOUTH CARULINA 569 1.5713 1.3004 1.4613 TENNESSEE +0.160B 467 2+343 837 1+673 5.0573 HEGION V TELEMOTS. 2.167 •176 6.0345 -0.9771 INDIANA MICHIGAN 542 1•460 •295 •213 1.5093 1.8066 •0.2972 -0.4545 •169 •526 •453 53H 1+H41 707 2.367 1.4981 1.5260 MINNE SOTA .0.027H 0110 -0.0174 #15CONSIN 486 939 1.3533 2.0268 +0.6734 REGION VI AURANSAS 224 442 + 35A 0.6237 1.2562 +0.6324 LUUISIANA NEW MEXICO TEXAS •248 •31 0.6989 1.0770 +0.3780 +0.0255 251 499 66 •2.5441 •0.0783 2.025 •1.369 4.3709 OKL AHUMA 662 .177 1.3505 1.4289 445 HEGION VII 421 532 •111 1.1723 1.1483 -0-0239 532 524 843 KANSAS MISSOURI NEBRASKA 1.1310 •192 +0.2064 -0.3523 0.9245 3 12 780 •63 •31 •44 •32 •48 -0.0580 •0.0299 •0.0761 •0.0440 REGION VIII COLORADO 310 373 0.8051 0.8632 0.1942 0.1597 0.1554 0.4705 MONTANA NORTH DANGTA SOUTH DANGTA 59 30 40 90 74 72 0.1642 0.0835 0.1113 0.4734 170 218 -0.0028 HATU +25 WYOMING 30 0.0835 0.1187 +0.0351 266 4,104 439 177 23 60 133 152 41743 439 •114 -639 •0 0.5741 8.8585 0.9475 •0.1508 -4.3494 -0.2749 AHIZONA CALIFOHNIA REGION IX 0.4232 0.4232 13.2080 1.2225 0.5708 0.0194 0.0556 HAWAII -28 •16 0.3820 -0.1887 -0.0305 NEVADA 205 AMERICAN SAMOA GUAH 20 .40 0.1295 +0.073A TRUST TERRITORIES 0.0167 319 216 308 *166 *140 *22 +0.2624 +0.2545 -0.1315 REGION X AL ASKA 153 0.4260 0.6885 0.4662 0.2116 1DAHO OREGON 76 286 WASHINGTON •139 .10.418 100.0000

35.910

46.328

100.0000

.0.0000

TOTAL :

MAY 6. 1975 TABLE EPA - 4

EPA ESTIMATE PER CAPITA COSTS REPORTED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLICLY-OWNED TREATMENT FACILITIES HASEO ON 1990 POPULATION (CATEGORIES I, II, III, IV A, IV B, AND V) (*MILLIONS OF 1973 DOLLARS) (**THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE)

		1973 COSTS*	EPA COSTS*	1990 POPULATION**	1973 COSTS PER CAPITA	EPA COSTS PER CAPITA	CHANGE IN PER
REGION I	CONNECTICUT	1.409	1.588	3.946	\$357	\$402	+45
	MAINE	364	575	1+142	\$31A	\$503	•18S
	MASSACHUSETTS New Hampshire	1•485 508	2•964 740	7•052 907	\$210 \$560	\$420 \$815	•210 •255
	RHODE ISLAND	367	447	1.134	\$323	\$394	•71
	VERMONT	168	204	536	\$313	\$380	•67
REGION II	NEW JERSEY	3.382	4.894	8+822	\$383	1554	•171
	NEW YORK PUERTO RICO	8•032 590	15+302 603	21+799 3+786	\$368 \$155	\$701 \$159	•333 •4
	VIRGIN ISLANDS	44	44	116	\$379	\$379	•0
REGION III	DELAWARE	329	546	793	\$414	868 8	+274
	MARYLAND VIRGINIA	` 681 1•345	3+642 1+884	5+318 5+958	\$12A '\$225	\$6#4 \$316	+556 +91
	WEST VINGINIA	614	2.360	1.845	\$332	\$1,279	+947
	PENNSYLVANIA	4.210	5 • 4 5 4	13.332	\$315	\$409	+94
	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1.081	1.052	764	\$1.414	\$1.376	- 38
REGION IV	ALABAMA Florida	444 2•371	778 2•704	3.850 11.728	\$115 \$202	\$202 \$230	•87 •2g
	GEORGIA	1.031	1.519	5.667	\$181	\$26B	•87
	KENTUCKY	1.032	1.824	3.741	\$275	\$4H7	•21 <i>2</i>
	MISSISSIPPI NURTH CAROLINA	268 900	494 1•480	2•359 5•880	\$113 \$153	\$2.19 \$251	• 4 V V
	SOUTH CAROLINA	757	977	3.063	\$250	\$323	• 7 3
	TENNESSEE	695	1+210	4.H00	\$144	\$252	•10A
HEGION V	ILLINOIS	4,089	6+234	13.177	\$310	\$413	•163
	INDIANA MICHIGAN	1.040 3.325	8 • 105 5 • 403	6+433 10+461	\$161 \$303	\$451 \$714	+240 +435
	MINNESOTA	1.065	1.330	4,577	\$232	\$ < 10	•58
	0н10	2.833	7 • 773	13.505	\$214	ક ળમા	• 374
	WISCONSIN	767	2.044	5+214	\$150	\$ 3 - 1	+241
REGION VI	ARKANSAS	355	898	2.000	\$171	\$4.14	•263
	LOUISIANA	451 115	1+283	4.14	\$10 H	\$33A	• 200
	NEW MEXICO TEXAS	888	155 3+222	1+232	\$93 \$64	\$125 \$235	•32 •171
	OKLAHOMA	624	1+484	201042	\$212	\$ 5 114	• 292
REGION VII	IOWA	502	911	3.013	\$154	\$248	•134
	KANSAS	671 972	1 • 78 3	2.504	\$267	\$710	•443
	MISSOURI NEBRASKA	404	2+29H 924	5+484 1+5#2	\$177 \$25#	\$418 \$591	•241 •333
REGION VIII	COLORADO	426	523	2.848	\$149	\$1 H 3	• 34
	MONTANA NORTH DAKOTA	74 46	127 189	714	\$103	\$177	• 74
	SOUTH DAKOTA	43	75	606 643	\$79 \$66	\$ 111 \$116	+236 +50
	UTAH	225	291	1.509	\$14.	\$142	+43
	MAOWING	40	84	600	\$66	\$140	•74
REGION IX	AHIZONA CALIFOHNIA	238 6,050	500 6.20H	3+3H4 26+601	\$70 \$227	\$147 \$233	•77 •6
	HAWAII	523	523	1+010	\$667 \$517	\$617	•0
	NEVADA	221	204	911	\$243	\$124	-17
	AMERICAN SAMUA	8	52	40	\$200	\$1.300	•1•100
	GUAM TRUST TERRITORIES	2? 8	93 195	215 205	\$89 \$39	\$33A \$651	+25H +315
					- * *	- 7,1	,,,,
REGION X	AL ASK A	205	405	404	\$502	`\$ 992	•490
	IDAHO OHEGON	112 568	3+3 1+081	758 2•943	\$147 \$191	\$518 \$367	• 3/1 •174
	WASHINGTON	1.080	1+836	4.154	\$257	\$437	+174
TOTAL:		60.123	107.438	256+216	\$234	\$419	•185

APPENDIX A. SURVEY METHODOLOGY

A. Major 1974 Survey Policies:

The following key procedures were adopted to carry out the expanded scope of the Survey:

- 1. The five categories of needs as reported in the 1973 Survey were retained but with format changes as indicated:
 - (a) Costs for Category I or II were to be reported in either one of the Categories, but not in both.
 - (b) Category III was split so that needs were reported in two (2) new categories: Category IIIa deals with "Infiltration/Inflow Correction," Category IIIB deals with "Major Sewer System Rehabilitation." Major sewer system rehabilitation needs were not allowed in the 1973 Survey unless they were related to infiltration/inflow correction and based on a completed study. Reporting was allowed in this Survey in Category IIIb for major rehabilitation or replacement of deteriorated sewers necessary to the total integrity and performance of the system.
 - (c) Category IV was separated into Category IVa for "New Collectors" and Category IVb for "New Interceptors." This change also combined the cost of appurtenances into the appropriate Category.
- 2. A new category VI was established to allow reporting of costs for the treatment and/or control of stormwaters. The costs were to be based on corrective actions which when completed would solve actual or anticipated water quality problems in meeting the objectives of P. L. 92-500. The States were allowed to report these costs on either a facility-by-facility, or a State-wide basis, but were encouraged to use the latter method.
- 3. Survey instructions that limited reporting of needs in 1973 were removed, such as skip instructions that restricted cost reporting. Also, State water quality standards did not require EPA approval by a specified date in order to justify a reported need. However, query questions were retained which would display the status of completion of applicable studies.
- 4. Needs disallowed in 1973 as a result of one or more of the restrictive guidelines, and any newly identified facility requirements, could be reported in the 1974 Survey.

- 5. Changes in the 1973 cost data base were to be reported for such causes as imposition of additional effluent limitations, designation of water quality limited segments, or receipt of a grant award for a previously-reported need. As a result of the changes, 1973 cost data would either increase, decrease or be deleted.
- 6. Wherever reference was made in the Survey form to terms relating to "secondary treatment" they were to be considered for the 1974 Survey synonymous with the term "Best Practicable Waste Treatment Technology (BPWTT)." Also for the purposes of this Survey, BPWTT was to mean secondary treatment under the treatment and discharge alternative, unless higher levels of treatment were required by water quality standards or other requirements. Nothing in these definitions affected the July 1, 1977 secondary treatment requirements of the Act.
- 7. States had the option of reporting on needs in places of less than 10,000 population outside Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA's) by either using the same sample group used in the 1973 Survey, or reporting this year on a 100 percent basis.
- 8. For compatibility, all costs in the 1974 Survey were required to be reported in June, 1973 dollars.
- 9. Costs were to be based on the design of facilities which will serve the projected 1990 resident population.

B. Conduct of the Survey:

The Agency initiated the Survey on January 31, 1974, with the presentation to each of the States of the general outline and the basic survey form.

P. L. 93-243 required that Form EPA-1 used in 1973 be used again for the 1974 Survey. Adjustments to the Form were necessary, to add one new cost category and to eliminate previous reporting restrictions. In addition, improvements were made to lessen the respondent burden. The Survey was designed with the advice of an ad hoc group consisting of EPA Regional Office and State Officials.

As with the 1973 Survey, the State Agency had the option of completing the Survey questionnaires itself, or forwarding them to individual sewerage authorities for completion.

The 1974 Survey Plan retained the concept that the costs reported for all needs must indicate the basis on which the cost estimate was developed. Where available, the States were required to provide data to support their reported needs. Cost estimating guidelines were provided to assist the States in the absence of more valid sources.

A draft copy of the revised questionnaire and guidelines was mailed to the States on March 29, 1974, so that they could commence detailed planning for the conduct of the Survey. Instructional seminars were conducted for the States in the EPA Regional Offices. The official copies of the Survey questionnaire were mailed to the States on April 29, 1974, with instructions that by July 26, 1974, the Forms should all be completed and into the EPA Regional Office. The States were also requested to provide EPA with a report summarizing the costs for each Category of needs, and information relating to the rationale behind any reported needs that were affected by abatement requirements that either they or EPA had not formally approved.

The EPA Regional Office Staff conducted an initial screening of all questionnaires. As these Regional evaluations were completed the questionnaires were processed for keypunching. The Bureau of the Census accomplished the keypunching operation and provided EPA with a tape of the transcribed data from each questionnaire which was used for the final comprehensive Agency analysis.

	Τ				Reasons for A	djustments			Final EPA
States	State Corrected	EPA Adjustment	Popu- lation	Flow/Cap.	Effluent Limitation	Cost Curves	Inter- ceptors	Combi- nation	Adjusted Costs
TOTALS	52,663	-6,335	-261	-676	-1,951	-1,203	-1,843	-401	46,328
REGION I	22,003			0.0	+	-1,203	-1,043		1
Connecticut	478				1		f		478
Maine	273			 	1				273
Massachusetts	1,485	-160		-113	† 	- 38	-9		1,325
New Hampshire	471 209	÷87 ÷22				- 33	-54 -15		384 187
Rhode Island		-22		-7			-15		
Vermont	131	-6		-6					125
REGION II				l					1
New Jersey	2,647	-45		I			-25	-20	2,602
New York	5,322	-719		-100	-298	-113	-85	-123	4,603
Puerto Rico	368			{	 				368
Virgin Islands	31			ļ	 		 	·	31
RECION III		1		ļ					·
Delaware	199				 	126			199
Maryland	2,582	-258	-86	 	 	-235	-23		2,324
Pennsylvania	1,882 1,294	-253 -165	-00	 	 	-138 -105	-29 -11	-49	1,629
Virginia West Virginia	2,133	-813	-35	 	-157	-105	-614		1,129
Dist.of Columbia	2,133	-013	-33	 	-13/		-014		1,320
REGION IV					 		†	 	1 - "
Alabama	472	 		 	- -			 	472
Florida	2,174	-300	-75	-30	-16		-55	-124	1,874
Georgia	1,027	-7			 	(+)2	 	-9	1.020
Kentucky	649	 / 			1		 		649
Mississippi	359	——		1	 				359
North Carolina	1,044				 			·	1.044
South Carolina	736	-8	-8						728
Tennessee	681	-4		1	1		-4	1	611
EGION V				1					1
Illinois	2,364	-21		 		-21	†	 	2,343
Indiana	897	-60		-23	 	-24	13-		£37
Michigan	1,759	-86		-63	1	-23		f	1,673
Minnesota	747	-40				-40		1	1 707
Ohio	2,479	-112				- 28	- ⊣4	I	367
Wisconsin	1,035	-96		-28		- 32	- 36	L	939
REGION VI		1		1	1				
Arkansas	929	-347			-266		-81	I	582
Louisiana	645	-146				- 3	- 98	- 15	499
New Mex.co	97						l		97
Oklahama	1,250	-588			-63	- 96	-429	L	66.2
Texas	2,552	-527	-32	-22	-473		·		2,025
EGION VII							1	L	. l
Iowa.	585	-53 -54		-7		-46	1		532
Kansas	578	-54		ļ		- 30	1	-24	524
Missouri	926	-83				-83	·		843
Nebraska	266	- 39	-4	 	+	- 35	ļ	 	227
REGION VIII				1	1		 		-1
Colorado	512	-139		1		-63	-74	-2	373
Montana	90			ļ	-		1	L	90
North Dakota	74			 		ļ	 	ļ	74
South Dakota	72	 	· · · · · ·	 	+		 	 	72
Utah	76	-21	-21	 			+	 	218 55
Wyoming		 		 	+		+	 	1 33
EGION IX	266	 			+			 	266
Arizona	4,880	-176	<u> </u>	 	-678	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-96	-2	4,104
California Hawaii	4,880	-//0		 	-6/8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	70	+	4,104
Nevada	189	-12		 	 	-12	 	 	777
American Samoa	26	-3		† · · · · ·	 	-16	 	-3	23
Tr.Terr.of Pac.Islds,	133	1		1	1		1	† <u>-</u>	133
Guam	60			T	1		1		1 60
EGION X				1			1		7
Alaska	319			1	1		 	t	3149
Idaho	216	1		 	1		1	 	21/6
Oregon	308	<u> </u>		1	1		1		308
Washington	960	-285		-277	T		-8	T	675

^{*} This table shows: (1) the "State Corrected" estimates; (2) the "EPA Adjustment" to the estimates; (3) the reasons for the adjustment (Population, Flow per Capita, Effluent Limitations, Cost Curves, Interceptors, and a Combination of these problems that could not be reported); and (4) the "Final EPA Adjusted Costs". Totals between tables do not compare due to computer rounding.