United States Environmental Protection Agency Environmental Sciences Research Laboratory Research Triangle Park NC 27711 EPA-600/4-78-028 June 1978

Research and Development



# Regional Air Pollution Study

Point and Area Source Organic Emission Inventory



### RESEARCH REPORTING SERIES

Research reports of the Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, have been grouped into nine series. These nine broad categories were established to facilitate further development and application of environmental technology. Elimination of traditional grouping was consciously planned to foster technology transfer and a maximum interface in related fields. The nine series are:

- 1. Environmental Health Effects Research
- 2. Environmental Protection Technology
- 3. Ecological Research
- 4. Environmental Monitoring
- 5. Socioeconomic Environmental Studies
- 6. Scientific and Technical Assessment Reports (STAR)
- 7. Interagency Energy-Environment Research and Development
- 8. "Special" Reports
- 9. Miscellaneous Reports

This report has been assigned to the ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING series. This series describes research conducted to develop new or improved methods and instrumentation for the identification and quantification of environmental pollutants at the lowest conceivably significant concentrations. It also includes studies to determine the ambient concentrations of pollutants in the environment and/or the variance of pollutants as a function of time or meteorological factors.

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

## REGIONAL AIR POLLUTION STUDY Point and Area Source Organic Emission Inventory

by

R. W. Griscom
Rockwell International
Air Monitoring Center
11640 Administration Drive
Creve Coeur, MO 63141

Contract No. 68-02-2093 Task Order 108I

Project Officer

Charles C. Masser
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
Office of Air and Water Management
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27711

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, N.C. 27711

### DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Environmental Sciences Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

### ABSTRACT

An inventory of organic emissions from stationary and mobile sources has been assembled for the St. Louis Air Quality Control Region. The inventory covers point and area sources for process, combustion and evaporative emissions.

A breakdown into five categories has been assigned to each source type. The categories are (1) paraffins, (2) olefins, (3) aromatics, (4) aldehydes, and (5) non-reactives. The breakdown was made part of the RAPS Emission Inventory System, which is stored on the EPA's Univac computer at Research Triangle Park, N.C.

### CONTENTS

Abst	tract	iii
Tabl	les	vi
1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Total Hydrocarbon Inventory	2
	2.1 Point sources	3
	2.2 Area sources	7
3.0	Hydrocarbon Classification	. 9
	3.1 Point source inventory	10
	3.1.1 Fuel combustion	10
	3.1.2 Industrial processes	12
	3.2 Area sources	16
4.0	Summary	22
Refe	erences	24
Appe	endix	
	A. RAPS Hydrocarbon Classification for Types of Sources	s 26

### TABLES

Number		Page
1	Total Hydrocarbon Emission Inventory	3
2	Sample of the National Emissions Data System (NEDS) Source Classification Code (SCC) Report	5
3	Sample of Emission Factors	6
4	Hydrocarbon BreakdownPoint Sources	11
5	Coke Oven Gas Analysis	13
6	DuPont Gasoline Analysis	14
7	Average Composition of Gasolines	14
8	Hydrocarbon BreakdownArea Sources	17
9	Airport Hydrocarbon Emissions	16
10	Composition of Surface Coating Solvents	19
וו	Dry Cleaning Hydrocarbon Emissions	20
12	Summary of Total Organic Emissions Inventory by Class	23

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Regional Air Pollution Study (RAPS) has as its first goal the validation of atmospheric dispersion models. Emissions data, generally supplied in the form of an emission inventory, are a major constituent of a dispersion model. The RAPS Point and Area Source Hydrocarbon Inventory was designed to provide emission data for the evaluations of photochemical reaction models. Since organics participate in photochemical reactions leading to "smog", the inventory includes all available organic emission data. As the reactivity of organics varies widely, it is important to determine not only the amount emitted, but also the composition.

Chemical kinetic mechanisms present in air quality simulation models require some form of hydrocarbon classification in order to treat the reaction processes and rates associated with their structural make-up. One such classification scheme required in using a lumped chemical kinetic reaction mechanism approach distributes hydrocarbons in the atmosphere structurally into paraffin, olefin, aromatic, aldehyde, and non-reactive classes. This report describes how this classification has been determined for hydrocarbon emissions in the St. Louis AQCR and provides sufficient reference data to derive alternative schemes as required.

### 2.0 TOTAL HYDROCARBON INVENTORY

The basic RAPS Hydrocarbon Inventory is composed of several separate inventories which have been described in various reports.

The hydrocarbon inventory for stationary point sources was developed by Rockwell under Contract No. 68-02-2093, T0108F, and provides an up-to-date listing of hydrocarbon emissions from stationary point sources in the St. Louis AQCR, with a breakdown into methane and non-methane hydrocarbons. The inventory includes all industrial hydrocarbon emission sources which emit more than one ton per year of hydrocarbons. Point sources in the St. Louis Air Quality Control Region (AQCR-70) emit approximately 47,600 tons per year, or 22.6 percent of the hydrocarbon emissions in the AQCR. The report also describes an analytical technique, based on gas chromatography, which is suitable for analysis of hydrocarbons in the concentration range of 1 to  $10^6$  ppm and is linear with respect to both carbon number and hydrocarbon concentration.

Area source evaporative hydrocarbon emissions from dry cleaning plants, surface coating, and gasoline marketing are included in the "Residential and Commercial Area Sources Emission Inventory" (EPA 450/2-75-078), which provides information on hydrocarbon emissions on a grid square basis. Spatial allocations were based on population and land use densities. Temporal apportioning was based on an 8 AM to 5 PM workday or the diurnal traffic cycle in St. Louis.

Hydrocarbon emissions from small industrial sources are included in the report on "Stationary Industrial Area Sources" (EPA No. 68-02-2093, T0108D). This category contributes only about 110 tons annually, or about 0.05 percent of the total hydrocarbon emissions.

Emissions from mobile sources are the subject of several inventories: The Highway Vehicle Emission Inventory described in EPA 450/3-76-035 and

EPA 450/3-77-019 defines emissions from motor vehicles on a grid square or line basis. Emissions from river vessels are described in "River Towboat Air Pollution in St. Louis" (DOT-TSC-OST-75-42). The "Airport Emission Inventory" (EPA 450/3-75-048) deals with emissions from aircraft. A report on rail operations (EPA 450/3-77-025) describes the methodology and resultant inventory. Emissions from off-highway sources are described in "Off-Highway Mobile Source Emission Inventory" (EPA Contract No. 68-02-2093, T0108E).

A summary of hydrocarbon emissions is shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1. TOTAL HYDROCARBON EMISSION INVENTORY

SOURCE CATEGORY	ANNUAL E	MISSIONS, TONS
Point Sources		
Fuel Combustion	2,717	
Chemical Manufacturing	6,702	
Primary Metals - Coke Ovens	2,339	
Refinery Operations	7,161	
Surface Coatings	21,072	
Petroleum Storage and Marketing	7,457	
Solid Waste Disposal	136	
Miscellaneous	24	
TOTAL		47,610
Area Sources		
Industrial Area Sources	110	
Residential and Commercial	22,610	
Vehicles, Line Sources	100,440	
Vehicles, Area Sources	12,565	
Railroads	4,220	
River Vessels	940	
Airports	1,460	
Off-Highway Mobile	16,280	
Gasoline Marketing	13,650	
Dry Cleaning	645	
Surface Coating	3,757	
TOTAL		176,677
TOTAL, ALL SOURCES		224,287

### 2.1 POINT SOURCES

Point sources are those individually identified industrial sources which

release emissions through a stack, such as a boiler, or a vent, as in the case of a petroleum storage tank. (Exceptions to this are leaks in refineries from valves, seals, flanges, etc., which are included as point sources under the appropriate SCC numbers.) Point sources in the St. Louis Air Quality Control Region (AQCR) emit approximately 47,600 tons per year total hydrocarbons, or 22.6 percent of the hydrocarbon emissions in the AQCR. The lower cut-off used to define point sources is one ton per year hydrocarbons.

The point source inventory is comprised of data for individual sources in the AQCR for which emissions have been obtained in the course of the RAPS study. Each source is classified by its identification code, called the Source Classification Code (SCC). The SCC is an identification system developed for the National Emissions Data System (NEDS), upon which the point source hierarchy is structured. The SCC system is being used for the RAPS point source data handling system. All data are stored and retrieved by use of the SCC. A process which emits one or more of the criteria air pollutants can be represented by one or several SCC numbers. Table 2 shows a typical sample of SCC numbers. The SCC numbers consist of four groupings. For example, in SCC 4-03-001-02:

Group I - a single digit (4) - designates "Point Source, Evaporative"

Group II - two digits (03) - designates "Petroleum Storage"

Croup III - three digits (001) - designates "Fixed Poof"

Group III - three digits (001) - designates "Fixed Roof"

Group IV - two digits (02) - designates "Breathing-Crude"

In addition, the base unit upon which the emission factors are based is given; in this case, "1000 gallons storage capacity".

The starting point of an emission inventory is data collection. Inventory data such as process, consumption or storage data are gathered. Modeling information is also collected in the form of location and stack parameters. From the inventory data, the appropriate emission factors are obtained and stored in the data file. These factors are based upon the best available information, generally gathered from source tests. The inventory data gathered and emission factors are applied to generate emission figures for total hydrocarbons, as well as other criteria pollutants. Table 3 shows a typical sample of emission factors and the associated SCC numbers.

# TABLE 2. SAMPLE OF THE NATIONAL EMISSIONS DATA SYSTEM (NEDS) SOURCE CLASSIFICATION CODE (SCC) REPORT

		10			SCC CATEGO	OHY NAMES		
1	11	111	1 A	1	11	111	14	UNITS
3	90	001	99	INDUSTRIAL P	HOCESTINPROCESS FUEL	IANTHRACITE COAL	IOTHER/NOT CLASIFO	TONS BURNED
								TONS BURNED
3	90	002	06	INGUSTRIAL P	HUCESTINPHOCESS FUEL			TONS BUHNED
								TONS BURNED
								TONS BUHNED
_	_						IOTHER/NUT CLASTED	
								1000 GALLUNS BUHNED
								1000 GALLONS BURNED
								1000 GALLONS BUHNED
								1000 GALLONS BUPNED
								1000 GALLONS BUPNED 1000 GALLONS BUPNED
								1000 GALLONS BUNNED
								1000 GALLONS BURNED
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1000 UALLONS FUNCED
								1000 GALLONS BURNED
								1000 GALLONS BUSHED
								1000 GALLONS BUNNED
								1000 GALLONS BUNNED
								1000 GALLONS BUHMED
								1000 GALLONS BURNED
								1000 GALLONS BURNED
								MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED
								MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED
								CHANGE TEET BURNED
								MILLION CUBIC FEET BUNNED
								MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED
								MILLION CUBIC FEET BUHNED
								MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED
								MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED
								HILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED
						ICORE	IOTHER/NOT CLASIFO	17045
							IOTHER/NOT CLASIFO	ITONS BUHNED
						IOTHEHINOT CLASIFO	ISPECIFY IN HEMARK	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED
3	90	994	98	INDUSTRIAL P	MOCESIINPROCESS FUEL	IOTHER/NOT CLASIFO	ISPECIFY IN HEMAK	11000 GALLONS BUHNED
1	90	494	99	INDUSTRIAL P	RUCESTINPHOCESS FUEL	IOTHER/NOT CLASIFO	ISPECIFY IN HEMANK	ITONS BUPMED
2	94	994	99	INDUSTRIAL P	HOCESTUTHEN/NOT CLASIFD	ISPECIFY IN HEMARK	•	ITUNS PHUCESSED
4	01	001	01	POINT SC EVA	P ICLEANING SOLVENT	ICHYCLEANING	I PEHCHLOHE THYLENE	ITONS CLUTHES CLEANED
4	01	001	02	POINT SC EVA	P ICLEANING SULVENT	IUNYCLFANING	ISTUUUARD	LIONS CLUTHES CLEANED
4	01	002	01	PUINT SC EVA	P ICLEANING SOLVENT			ITONS SOLVENT USED
4	01	002	44	POINT SC EVA			IOTHER/NOT CLASIFO	
4	01	499	44	POINT SC EVA			ISPECIFY IN HEMANK	
4				POINT SC LVA				ITONS COATING
				POINT SC EVA			<del>.</del>	TONS COATING
				POINT SC EVA				ITONS COATING
				POINT SC EVA			. · · · · ·	ITONS COATING
				PUINT SC EVA				ITONS COATING
				PUINT SC EVA			ISPECIFY IN REMARK	
				POINT SC EVA		IFIXED ROOF	IBHEATHING-PHOUGT	11000 GALLONS STUHAGE CAPACITY
•	. 67	₫0 ĵ	0.2	POINT SC EV-	P IPETHOLEUM 516	IFIXEU ROOF	IBMERINING CHODE	11000 GALLONS STOHAGE CAPACITY

TABLE 3. SAMPLE OF EMISSION FACTORS

POINT SC EVAP -SURFACE	COATING (CONTINUED)	P D U P	IDS EMIT	TTED PE		co	11 M 1 T C	
YARNESH/SHELLAC		rani	30×	NO.	нс	to	UNITS	
4-02-003-01	GENERAL .	•			1.000.		TONS COATING	
LAQUER		•						
4-02-004-01	GENERAL				1,540.		TONS COATING	
ENAMEL								
4-02-005-01	GENERAL	٥.	٥.	o	8404	0.	TONS COATING	
PRIMER				-		••	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	##\##				• • • •			
4-05-000-01	GENERAL				1.320.		TONS COATING	
COATING OVEN								
4-01-008-01	GENERAL						TONS COATING	
SOLVENT								
4-01-009-01	GENERAL						TONS COATING	
OTHER/NOT CLASI	<b>*</b> 0							
	SPECIFY IN REMARK						TONS COATING	
************	-PETKUL PROU STG							
FIRED HOUF		_	_	_				
4-03-001-01 4-03-001-02	BREATH-GASOLINE BREATH-CRUDE	o. o.	0. 0.	o. o.	80. 3 54.8	0.		STORAGE CARECITY
4-03-001-03	WORKING-GASULINE	J.	0.	٥.	9.00	٥.	ZMILLIAU OCOL	
4-03-661-04 4-63-001-05	BREATH-JLT FULL	o. o.	o. o.	٥. ٥.	7.30 25.2	0. 0.	LADO GALLONS	STUPACE CAPACITY
4-03-001-06	UNEATH-KINUSENE	٥.	٥.	0.	13.1	٥.		STURAGE CAPALITY
4-03-001-07 4-03-001-05	BREATH-BINZENE	o. o.	o. o.	o. o.	13.1 16.3	o. a.	1000 GALLENS	STORAGE CAPACITY
4-63-001-99 4-63-001-10	BREATH-CYCLOHEX BREATH-CYCLOPENT	0. a.	0.	0. 0.	20.8 58.4	o. o.		STORAGE CAPACITY STURAGE CAPACITY
4-03-001-11	BREATH-HEPTANL	٥.	o. o.	o.	11.3	ŏ.	LOUD GALLINS	STORAGE CAPACITY
4-03-001-12 4-03-001-13	BREATH-III XANE BREATH-ISOUCTANE	0. 0.	o. o.	u. u.	32.1 13.9	9. 0.		STORAGE CAPACITY STURAGE CAPACITY
4-03-001-14	BREATH-ISUPENTANE	0.	0.	٥.	142.	0.	1000 GALLINS	STURAGE CAPACITY
4-03-001-15 4-03-001-14	BREATH-PINTANE BREATH-THUUENE	o. o.	0. 0.	g. o.	94. <b>9</b> 5.84	0. 0.		STORAGE CAPACITY
4-03-001-10	MORKING-JET FUSE	v.	0.	0.	2.40	o.	1000 GALL INS	THRO ICHPIT
4-63-001-51 4-03-601-52	MURKING-KERRSENE WORKING-DIST FUEL	o. o.	o. o.	o. o.	1.07	0. 0.	1000 GALLONS	
4-03-001-53	WORKING-AFNZENE	0.	٥.	٥.	2.00	٥.	1000 GAT LINKS	THRINGHERT
4-03-001-54 4-03-001-55	WORKING-CYCLUNEX WJRKING-CYCLOPENT	o.	o. o.	o. o.	2.30 6.40	0. 0.	1000 UALLONS	
4-03-601-56 4-03-001-57	WORKING-HEPTANE WORKING-HEXANE	o. o.	0. 0.	0. 0.	1.20 3.60	0. 0.	LODO GALLONS	
4-03-UC1-58	WORKING-L SHUCTANE	0.	ö.	ŏ.	1.50	0.	1770 GALLONS	THROUGHPUT
4-0J-001-59 4-C3-001-60	MORKING-ISDPENT MORKING-PENTANE	o. o.	0. 0.	0. 9.	15.7 LG.6	o.	1000 DALLONS 1000 GALLONS	
FLUATING HOUP	WORKING-TOLUENE	o.	ŏ.	ō.	0.44	o.	1000 GALLONS	
4-03-002-01	STAND STG-GASOLN	0.	0.	٥.	12.0	٥.		STORAGE CAPPOITS
4-03-002-02 4-03-002-03	MORKING-PADOUCT STAND STU-CRUDE	٥.	0.	٥.	10.6	o.	1000 GALLUNS	THEMUSHPH. STOPAGE CARACTT
4-03-067-04	WUPK IN CHUUE		-	_	0.		1000 GALLONS	THE YUGHE UT
4-03-002-05 4-03-002-06	STAND SIG-JETFUEL STAND STG-KEROSNE	0. 0.	0. 0.	٥.	4.38 1.90	0. 0.	LOGS CALLONS	ST LACE CAPACITY
	STAND STG-DEST FL	a. o.	٥.	ů.	1.90 2.70	٥. ٥.		STILAGE CAPACITY
	STAND STG-BENZENE STAND STG-CYCLHEX	0.	o. o.	ö.	3.03	0.		STIRAGE CAPACITY
	STANC STG-CYCLPEN STAND STG-HEPTANE	o. o.	0. 0.	o. o.	8.76 1.64	o. o.	1000 GALLENS	STORAGE CAPACITY
	STAND STU-HEXANE	s.	0.	ŏ.	4.75	ŏ.		STORAGE CAPACITY
	STANG STG-ISOCCTN STAND STG-ISOPENT	0. 0.	0. 0.	o.	2.01 20.8	0. 0.		STURAGE CAPACITY STURAGE CAPACITY
	STANC STG-PENTANE	0.	0.	ŏ.	13.7	ŏ.		STORAGE CAPACITY
4-03-002-16 VAR-VAPER SPACE	STAND STG-TOLUENE	٥.	0.	٠.	0.58	0.	1900 GALLONS	STURAGE CAPACITY
	MORKING-GASOLINE	٥.	ę.	g.	10.7	0.	INGO GALLGAS	
4-03-003-03 4-03-003-04	WORKING-JET FUEL WORKING-KEROSENE	o. o.	o. o.	0. 0.	2.30 1.00	0. 0.	1000 GALLONS 1000 GALLONS	
4-63-001-05	WORKING-OIST FUEL	0.	٥.	٥.	1.00	0.	1000 GALLONS	THI CUGHPIJT
4-63-003-07	WORK INU-BENZENE WURKINU-CYCLOHEK	o. o.	o.	o. o.	2.30 2.40	0. 0.	1200 GALLINS	<b>THY COCHPOT</b>
	MORKENG-CYCLUPENT MORKENG-MEPTANE	0. 0.	0. <b>0.</b>	o. o.	7.20 1.40	٥.	1900 GALLONS 1900 GALLONS	THR 1,00H P+1*
4-03-003-10	WORKING-HEXAME	0.	0.	٥.	4.20	۹. •.	1000 CALLONS	THROUGHPUT
4-03-003-15 4-03-003-11	WORKING-ISCOCTANE	o. o.	0. 0.	ø. 0.	1.70 17.0	0. 0.	1000 GALLONS	
<b>←</b> 03-003-13	WURKING-PENTANE	ŏ.	٥.	٥.	12.0	٥.	1000 GALLONS	THE JUGHPUT
OTHER/NOT CLASS	MURKING-TOLUENE	٧.	9.	9.	9.73	0.	1000 GALLONS	re county!
	-							

Hydrocarbon emissions from fuel combustion sources are part of the RAPS hourly emission inventory. Data for evaporative emissions, which account for approximately 40 percent of the point source hydrocarbon emission, are only gathered as part of inventory or production control and are presented as annual data.

Base data, such as storage capacity, throughput, production, consumption, etc., for the St. Louis Air Quality Control Region (AQCR-70) were obtained from 64 companies with emissions in excess of one ton per year. This represents about 450 points. Thirty-seven of the companies emit in excess of 100 tons per year, ten in excess of 10 tons per year, and seventeen in excess of one ton per year. Gas stations and dry cleaning establishments may emit greater than one ton per year hydrocarbons. However, they are included in the area sources inventory. Locations of the stacks, accurate to ten meters, were obtained from visiting plant sites and pinpointing the location of sources on U.S. Geological Survey maps. In addition, stack parameters and operating patterns were obtained from individual companies.

Emission patterns for hydrocarbon sources vary widely due to the variety of types of hydrocarbon sources. As mentioned before, emissions from combustion sources were received continuously as part of the RAPS Point Source Inventory, generally on an hourly basis. Hydrocarbon data from sources which produce or use coatings and solvents are accompanied with hourly use patterns based on working hours during a year. Evaporative emissions from petroleum storage are assumed to be generated on a continuous basis and are, therefore, spread equally throughout the year.

All of this information has been stored in the RAPS emission inventory data bank at EPA/RTP.

### 2.2 AREA SOURCES

Area sources of hydrocarbons include industrial area sources, residential and commercial sources, highway vehicles both as line and area sources, railroads and river vessels, airports, and off-highway mobile sources. All of the emissions from these sources have been spatially distributed into 1989 grids in accordance with the RAPS grid network (1).

Industrial area sources which are too small to be considered as point sources were determined by contacting individual companies and obtaining fuel consumption or throughput data. From these data, emissions were calculated using emission factors. These emissions were then assigned to the grid square in which the company was located. This category includes 53 companies.

Stationary residential and commercial sources include fuel combustion for heating, evaporative emissions from dry cleaning plants, surface coating operations and gasoline marketing, structural fires, and solid waste disposal. These emissions have been determined and distributed to each grid square according to residential and commercial land use.

<u>Highway vehicle</u> line source emissions have been determined and distributed over the AQCR according to the location of the line sources within the RAPS gridding system. Highway vehicle area sources have been determined as an off-shoot of the highway line sources and the emissions have been assigned to the appropriate grid square.

<u>Airport emissions</u> have been determined and distributed to those grids containing airports and in which flight operations take place, such as take-off and approach.

Off-highway mobile sources include motorcycles, lawn, garden and farm equipment, construction equipment, industrial equipment and outboard motors. The emissions from these sources have been distributed based on registrations; residential, farm and commercial land use; and navigable waters, respectively.

River vessel emissions were based on towboat traffic and determined primarily from records taken by the Corps of Engineers at Lock 27 near St. Louis and on emission factors derived for similar engines of the Coast Guard fleet and railroad locomotives.

Railroad fuel use and emissions were determined for two types of operations: line-haul operations and switch-yard activities. The former are described as line sources consisting of a series of links within the study area. Switch-yard operations utilize an area source concept.

For details of methodologies, the reader is referred to the reports listed in Section 2.0. The area source inventory also has been stored in the RAPS emission inventory data bank at EPA/RTP.

### 3.0 HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION

In order to make a breakdown of hydrocarbon emissions according to chemical structure, an appropriate compositional analysis must be applied to each category of emissions within the available total hydrocarbon inventory. Such an analysis has been applied by J. C. Trijonis and R. W. Arledge (2) to organic emissions in Los Angeles.

The work described in that study appears to be applicable to St. Louis with three major exceptions:

- (1) Trijonis' "reactivity classes" do not coincide completely with the breakdown required for this report.
- (2) Compositions of petroleum products and solvent usage may be appreciably different between St. Louis and Los Angeles.
- (3) There are sources in St. Louis which are not considered in the Los Angeles study, such as coal combustion and coke ovens.

To make the information contained in the report applicable to the present study, the following modifications were made:

l. The Trijonis report is organized according to reactivity classes with 5-class reactivity categorization for organics. Each category contains groups of compounds by name, e.g.  $C_1$ - $C_3$  paraffins in Class I, mono and tertiary alkyl benzenes in Class II,  $C_4$ + paraffins in Class III, primary and secondary alkyl benzenes in Class IV, and aliphatic olefins in Class IV.

All of the various organic emission sources in the Los Angeles area are discussed and analyzed to determine what chemical compounds are emitted. Each source is then tabulated to determine the extent of emissions in each reactivity class. The results are expressed in mole percent. In addition, the average molecular weights for each type of organic were determined and included in the report.

Since most of the source types exist both in Los Angeles and St. Louis, the report has been useful in classifying RAPS data. The tabulations have been reorganized by rearranging the chemical compounds into the broader classes of paraffins, olefins, aromatics, aldehydes and non-reactives. The non-reactives group includes all of the compounds in Class I of the Trijonis classification. The paraffins group includes  $C_4$ + paraffins and alcohols, ketones, acetates and cellosolves. The aromatics group is composed of all aromatics with the exception of benzene, which is included with the non-reactives. The hydrocarbon classification tables developed for each type of source in the RAPS study area are included in the Appendix to this report.

The RAPS inventory presents emissions on a weight per unit time basis. For this reason, the classification of hydrocarbons was reported on a weight percent basis. This was accomplished by utilizing the molar percent tabulations and the average molecular weights presented in the Los Angeles study. The resulting tables are shown in Appendix A.

- 2. The composition of petroleum products in the St. Louis area was ascertained and adjustments were made in the tabulation of emissions arising from refinery operations, evaporative losses and automotive exhaust. These changes are discussed in detail in the text of the report.
- 3. Emissions from coal combustion and coke ovens were investigated and the results incorporated in this report.

### 3.1 POINT SOURCE INVENTORY

Once total hydrocarbon emissions were determined for each source, the hydrocarbon classification was applied to determine the non-reactives, paraffins, olefins, aromatics and aldehydes. The classification of hydrocarbon emissions from point sources is shown in Table 4.

### 3.1.1 Fuel Combustion

The nature of fuel combustion for power generation or steam production is similar at Los Angeles and at St. Louis; therefore, the same breakdown has been used here for oil and gas consumption. The hydrocarbon classification is shown in Table 1 of the Appendix.

TABLE 4. HYDROCARBON BREAKDOWN--POINT SOURCES

		We:	ight %		
<u>SCC</u>	Non-Reactive	<u>Paraffins</u>	Olefins	Aromatics	Aldehydes
1-XX-XXX-XX 2-XX-XXX-XX	66 66	10 10	9 9	5 5	10 10
3-01-018-99 3-01-026-99 3-01-999-99	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	100 100 100	0 0 0
3-03-003-01 3-03-003-02 3-03-003-03	83 83 83	1 1 1	13 13 13	3 3 3	0 0 0
3-06-001-02 to 3-06-001-09	66	10	9	5	10
3-06-001-03 3-06-002-01 3-06-004-01	5	95	0	0	0
to 3-06-008-05	5	74	15	6	0
3-90-XXX-XX	66	10	9	5	10
4-01-002-02 4-01-002-05	100 0	0 0	0 100	0 0	0
4-02-001-01 4-02-003-01 4-02-004-01 4-02-005-01 4-02-006-01 4-02-008-01 4-02-009-01 4-02-999-99	6 0 0 6 6 8 9	78 100 89 78 81 24 70	0 0 0 0 0 1 0	16 0 11 16 13 67 21	0 0 0 0 0 0
4-03-001-01 4-03-001-03 4-03-001-07 4-03-001-56 4-03-002-01 4-03-002-03 4-03-002-07 4-03-999-99	8 8 0 8 5 8	68 68 100 68 74 68 68	24 24 0 24 15 24 24	0 0 0 0 0 6 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
4-06-001-26 4-06-001-30 4-06-002-01 4-06-002-05 5-01-001-01	8 8 8 8	68 68 68 68	24 24 24 24 31	0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0

Hydrocarbon emissions from coal combustion were not studied by Trijonis, and the only literature data found on this subject referred only to total hydrocarbons and polynuclear hydrocarbons. Because of this lack of information, the classification reported for oil combustion was also used for emissions from coal combustion. This should be reasonably satisfactory considering the similarity of conditions of high temperature and residence time in a boiler, as well as the small amounts emitted. Two percent of the total hydrocarbons in the AQCR originates from boiler operations; moreover, most of it is methane.

### 3.1.2 Industrial Processes

Industrial sources of hydrocarbon emissions in AQCR 70 include some chemical manufacturing, coke production, refinery operations (which include fuel combustion covered previously), and evaporative emissions from degreasing operations, petroleum product storage and bulk marketing.

Emissions from chemical manufacturing in the St. Louis area occur primarily from manufacture of products used for plastic and rubber formulations. In all processes examined, the products are derivatives of benzene or phenol. The emissions are, therefore, entirely aromatics. This classification is shown in Table 2 of the Appendix.

Coke oven emissions result from several points in a coking plant including charging holes, pushing doors and many leaks. The best available sources (3-5) indicate that the composition of the emissions is similar to the coke oven gas which is produced in a coking plant. The composition of a typical coke oven gas is given in Table 5. This analysis has been reorganized and the hydrocarbon classification is included as Table 3 in the Appendix.

TABLE 5. COKE OVEN GAS ANALYSIS

·			
Hydrogen Sulfide	0.7% by vol.	Ethylene	2.45% by vol.
Carbon Dioxide	1.7% by vol.	Propylene	0.34% by vol.
Nitrogen	0.9% by vol.	Propane	0.08% by vol.
Hydrogen	56.7% by vol.	Butylene	0.16% by vol.
Carbon Monoxide	5.7% by vol.	Butane	0.02% by vol.
Methane	29.6% by vol.	Acetylene	0.05% by vol.
Ethane	1.28% by vol.	Light Oil	0.65% by vol.

Refinery emissions arise from a variety of sources. Emissions from power house boilers and process heaters are included in the previous section on fuel combustion. Other emissions are from leaks or vents connected with transfers, drains, blow-downs, vacuum jets, compressors, valves, seals, and flanges. The Los Angeles study was very complete for refinery emissions. The operation of refineries is very similar at St. Louis and at Los Angeles. The basic difference is that crude oil in St. Louis is obtained from midcontinent and Arabian sources whereas the crude processed in Los Angeles is primarily from West Coast and Indonesian sources. Crude oil processed in California is generally of a much higher aromatic content, typically 20 to 35 percent versus 10 to 15 percent in the Midwest (6).

The Petroleum Chemicals Division of DuPont reports biannually on the characteristics of gasolines sampled across the country (7). Gasoline from St. Louis is not included in the survey, but Kansas City is, and the composition of gasoline in St. Louis is much like that in Kansas City (8). The average hydrocarbon analyses of gasolines from Los Angeles and Kansas City are given in Table 6. It can be seen that the aromatics are considerably higher in Los Angeles.

TABLE 6. DUPONT GASOLINE ANALYSIS

		Research Octane	Aromatics	<u>Olefins</u>	Saturates
			Percent		
Winter 1975-	1976				
Los Angeles-	-leaded regular	93.2	27.0	7.0	65.0
	unleaded regular	93.2	30.0	7.0	63.0
	premium	98.6	26.0	4.0	70.0
Kansas City-	-leaded regular	92.9	14.5	13.0	72.5
	unleaded regular	91.7	13.5	15.0	71.5
	premium	98.8	14.0	7.5	78.5
Summer 1976					
Los Angeles-	-leaded regular	93.3	29.0	6.0	65.0
	unleaded regular	93.5	35.0	7.0	58.0
	premium	98.7	29.0	5.0	66.0
Kansas City-	-leaded regular	93.7	22.0	7.5	70.5
	unleaded regular	91.5	21.0	12.0	67.0
	premium	98.6	14.5	6.5	79.0

Combining the values for summer and winter as well as leaded and unleaded regular gasolines gives the values shown in Table 7. Since in 1975-1976 about 55 percent of the gasoline sold was regular, 45 percent premium, the averages were weighted by this proportion, which gave the values shown in columns 3 and 6 of Table 7. The percentage difference between Los Angeles and Kansas City is shown in the next column. These values were used to adjust gasoline related emissions and are reflected in Tables 4, 20 and 21 in Appendix A.

TABLE 7. AVERAGE COMPOSITION OF GASOLINES

	Los Angeles				Kansas City			
	1	2	3 Weighted	4	5	6 Weighted	7 Percentage	
	Regular %	Premium %	Average %	Regular %	Premium %	Average %	Difference	
Aromatics	30.25	27.50	29.00	17.75	14.25	16.17	0.557	
Olefins	6.75	4.50	5.75	11.88	7.00	9.68	1.686	
Saturates	63.00	68.00	65.25	70.37	78.75	74.15	1.136	

The classification of hydrocarbons emitted from catalytic crackers was determined by source test results and is included as Table 5 in the Appendix.

<u>Degreasing operations</u> are very straightforward. The solvents used are either trichloroethane or trichloroethylene. Trichloroethane is a partially halogenated paraffin, whereas trichloroethylene is a partially halogenated olefin. These sources are shown in the hydrocarbon classification scheme in Tables 6 and 7 in the Appendix.

Surface coating emissions occur from coating operations which include automobile and truck body and parts coating, applicances coating, and can manufacturing. A few of the companies have purely air-dried operations, but most of the coating operations involve a heat curing step. company involved in surface coating was evaluated to determine what percentage of the emitted hydrocarbons are evolved in the spray booths versus the curing ovens. This involved estimating the percentage of overspray and the time allowed for "flash-off" of a portion of the solvents used in formulation before the piece entered the curing oven. The values reported by Trijonis for heat treated coatings were applied to the hydrocarbons emitted in the curing ovens. The SCC codes differentiate between the types of surface coatings applied; i.e., lacquers, enamels, varnish, etc. Each type of coating was studied to determine the approximate hydrocarbon composition used in formulation (9, 10) and these compositions were used to classify the hydrocarbons emitted during the air drying part of the surface coating operation - the spray booths. The hydrocarbon classification for each type of surface coating included in the inventory is shown in Tables 8 through 12 in the Appendix.

Petroleum product storage and bulk marketing facilities have emissions which are typical of the vapors which exist over the liquids stored. All volatile petroleum products such as gasoline and crude oil are stored in floating-roof storage tanks. Emissions from these are solely due to leakage around the seals within the tanks. The other source of emissions is the filling of tank trucks and barges as vapors are displaced as the tank fills. The composition of the vapors accumulated over the gasoline approaches the equilibrium composition of gasoline vapor. The hydrocarbon classifications for emissions from gasoline storage tanks are shown in Table 13 of the

Appendix.

### 3.2 AREA SOURCES

The classification of hydrocarbon emissions from area sources is shown in Table 8.

<u>River vessels and railroads</u> are assumed to have diesel engines which produce all of the hydrocarbon emissions. Emissions from diesel engines were studied extensively by Battelle Labs (11). The results should be applicable to St. Louis. The classification of hydrocarbons used here is the same as for diesel engines presented in Table 22 in the Appendix.

Airport emissions include aircraft operations, exhaust emissions from service vehicles and evaporative emissions from fuel handling. Hydrocarbon emissions are distributed 79 percent, 13 percent and 8 percent, respectively, to these sources (12). Lambert International and Scott Air Force Base contributed 96.2 percent of the total hydrocarbons. Since, within the bounds of this study, it was not possible to classify the hydrocarbons from all airports individually, the hydrocarbon classification was based on the operations at Lambert International and Scott Air Force Base.

Table 9 shows the classification of emissions for each of the hydrocarbon sources and the weighted average for the airport. The complete classification of these emissions is presented in Table 14 of the Appendix. The aircraft emissions are based on the assumption of originating entirely from jet engines; 98 percent of the aircraft emissions occur during the taxi and idle modes of operation. The service vehicle emissions are assumed to be the same as automotive exhaust emissions. Fuel handling emissions are the same as the evaporative emissions from storing jet fuel.

TABLE 9. AIRPORT HYDROCARBON EMISSIONS

TYPE	% OF TOTAL	NON-REACTIVE	PARAFFINS	OLEFINS	AROMATICS	ALDEHYDES
Aircraft	79	11	44	27	15	3
Service Vehicle	e 13	12	47	15	26	0
Fuel Handling	8	0	70	5	25	0
Weighted Aver	rage	10	47	24	17	2

TABLE 8. HYDROCARBON BREAKDOWNAREA SOI	ABLE 8.	≀OCARBON BRFAKDOWNARF	A SOURCES
--	---------	-----------------------	-----------

		Weight	%		
	Non-Reactive	<u>Paraffins</u>	<u>Olefins</u>	Aromatics	<u>Aldehydes</u>
River Vessels	3	53	12	12	20
Railroads	3	53	12	12	20
Residential and Commercial Fuel Combustion	66	10	9	5	10
Evaporative Surface Coating Missouri Illinois	6 9	57 70	0	37 21	0
Gasoline Marketing	8	68	24	0	0
Dry Cleaning	76	23	0	1	0
Structural Fires	42	16	31	4	7
Solid Waste Disposal	42	16	31	4	7
Stationary Industrial	26	52	3	16	3
Airports	10	47	24	17	2
Highway Light Duty Vehicle Exhaust Evaporative	11 4	48 60	21 20	20 16	0
Heavy Duty - Gas Exhaust Evaporative Heavy Duty - Diesel	11 4	48 60	21 20	20 16	0
Exhaust	3	53	12	12	20
Off-Highway Sources					
Motorcycles	12	47	15	26	0
Lawn & Garden	12	47	15	26	0
Farm Equipment	8	49	14	21	8
Construction Equip.	7	50	13	18	11
Industrial Equip.	10	49	14	23	4
Outboard Motors	12	47	15	26	0

Stationary residential and commercial fuel combustion sources include combustion of coal, oil, natural gas, and liquified petroleum gas. For these emissions the same classification used with point source fuel combustion was applied (Table 1, Appendix).

Evaporative hydrocarbons from surface coating include emissions due to the solvent content of retail paints. Illinois has a state regulation restricting photochemically reactive solvents which is very similar to Los Angeles APCD Rule 66 (13). Trijonis' report includes a table of the national average solvent composition which is given in Table 10. According to Mr. Raymond Connor of the National Paint and Coating Association (14), this table of values is still the best available information. The State of Missouri does not have a hydrocarbon regulation similar to Illinois; therefore, the data in Table 10, column 3, were used for the Missouri portion of the hydrocarbons from surface coating. The composition in the last column of Table 10 was used for the Illinois portion of the hydrocarbons. The individual classifications of hydrocarbons in each state are presented in Tables 15 and 16 in the Appendix.

Evaporative hydrocarbons from service stations are generated from filling the underground storage tanks at service stations and from filling automobile tanks. The volume of these emissions is considered as being split equally from the filling of the underground tanks and automobile tanks (15). The DuPont analyses of gasoline in Kansas City and Los Angeles have been used to modify the emissions classification.

Evaporative hydrocarbons from dry cleaning operations are the result of using cleaning solvents which are either perchloroethylene or petroleum based solvents. Based on a 1974 study in St. Louis city, the usage if 76 weight percent perchloroethylene and 23 weight percent petroleum based solvents. Table 11 gives the hydrocarbon compositions from each of these solvent types and the weighted average which is used for classifying the cumulative emissions in the AQCR. This classification is presented in further detail in Table 17 in the Appendix.

	A TPHATIC HYDROCANGONS	ACTUAL OR ESTIMATED MOLEDIAN DESIGNE	1972 MANSAL MATICAL- MIDE CONSUMPTION: 184. PER CAPITA	COMPOSITION OF SOLVENTS MATIONNIE; HOME ST OF TOTAL PRODUCEMBORS	REDUCTION REQUINES BY LAC AND - BALE 46	SALSTENTION OF OTHER COPPOSITES	ESTIMATE COMPOSITION OF COATING SELVENTS IN THE LOS AMERICS AND A ROLE OF TOTAL ATTOROGOMEDIES
Continue	ŧ	ţ	\$ <b>9</b> 0 ~	14.9		Bedenme of stary	Mittee of the of C. services
Consequent   Column   Column			- X	2.9		of C. Bergins	
Continue			0.93	0.3		•	
Conference   Column   Column	pirits, heavy, coal-oil		9.165	7.1			
			21 -	\$			İ
			3.75	7.72			L M
	ARONNTIC & MAPHTHERIC HYDROCARDINS						
1	:		0 633	:			6.3
State   Stat	:		200	12.1	3.4		6.9
S	:		1111	13.6	3.6		
State	sching, him flash		3	~			1 7
S	ther promotics (Bule 66-6-2)			5.6	5.1		-
State   Stat			į,	: 3	•		
			38.3	11.7	4:3		SR
1   10   10   10   10   10   10   10	RENIC HYDROCARBORS						
	:		000	-			1.0
1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Contract (Interventibility)	•	<b>1.6</b> 33				F. 6
	thy Alcohol ("methanol")		6	• 1		2.0	
	hal alcohol (for all described		20.0				: =
(a)   (a)   (a)   (b)   (c)	grades)						}
			9.7£	9.2			8.5
			6.37k	3.3			2.2
1	her butyl alcohels		6.633	0.3			6.3
	her monohydric alcohols		N. T.	0		ļ	-
				?		•;	
	VCOLS & DERIVATIVES	•					,
	yeo16		35.	<b>e</b> ;			
	:			5			
				•			
(a   L   L   L   L   L   L   L   L   L	ONLY & COURS	:					
	etone (di-methy) hetone)		3	2		<b>5</b> 2	•
Control   Cont			2	6.2			
		ĵ.	275	•:•	6.8		: -
	her Ketones (fule 66-K-3)		<b>8</b> .082	₽.0	:		• •
18 (-)	hyl acetate		6.029	7.7			3 (
	opropy) acetate		8.028	7.			7
	ruel butyl acetate	. 116 (-)	<b>6.3</b> 11	1.7			
1.75   1.75		(3) #1 :	£.75	6.6			
10   0.000   0.4   0.2   0.1   0.000   0.4   0.2   0.1   0.000   0.2   0.1   0.000   0.2   0.1   0.000   0.1   0.000   0.1   0.00   0.1   0.1   0.2   0.4   0.4			2.762	\$181	÷	į.	
	CORTHATED SQLVENTS						İ
	Chylene chloride	<b>⊙</b>	<b></b>	**			
	1-chlore ethylene (Mule 66-2-3)	:M (-)	9.03K	2	3		
	her chlorinated solvents	( <sup>2</sup> 13 <sup>3</sup> 3)-951	8	•	į		
6.6 6.277 6.6 6.570 0.6 T. T.	WER SOLMERTS IN DESERTE		8.090	6.7	þ		‡
6.277 6.25 T. T.	- 3	(1. 1.)					
1.7 T.7 T.7 T.7 T.7 T.7 T.7 T.7 T.7 T.7 T			<b>4.27</b>	•			4.0
11.11 11.12		( Mal 1897 )	12.0	•			
11.14				F			*
			E E			11.0	

• Estimated or assumed
• We of Date compound limited by Las Amphino County Air Pollation Control District Rule 66-g

? Note I assumed to equal nalems 5

TABLE 11. DRY CLEANING HYDROCARBON EMISSIONS

SOLVENT	NON-REACTIVE	PARAFFINS	OLEFINS	AROMATICS	ALDEHYDES
Perchloroethyle	ne 100	0	0	0	0
Petroleum Based	0	94	0	6	0
Weighted Aver	age 76	23	0	1	0

Hydrocarbon emissions from structural fires and solid waste disposal are the last type of residential and commercial sources. The only data available for this source are reported by Trijonis and are reflected in Table 8. The detailed classification of hydrocarbons from waste incineration is shown in Table 18 in the Appendix.

Emissions from stationary industrial sources include a variety of types of activities. The best approximation of these sources indicates that 70 percent consists of air dried surface coating and 30 percent of combustion (16). The classification in Table 8 reflects these percentages. A detailed classification of hydrocarbons from this combination of sources is shown in Table 19 in the Appendix.

As stated earlier, gasoline in Los Angeles has a much higher aromatic content than gasoline in St. Louis. The effect of higher aromatic content in gasoline on exhaust emissions has been heavily studied. A numerical relationship has been expressed by Wigg, et al (17), which states that there is a linear relationship between aromatic hydrocarbon emissions and fuel aromatic content and an inverse relationship between olefin emissions and fuel aromatic content. This relationship is reported to be in relatively good agreement with other studies on the same subject (18, 19). The equations which were developed in this study are:

Exhaust (aromatic - benzene), % = 0.49 fuel aromatic, % and

Exhaust olefin % = 39-(0.30 fuel aromatic), %

These equations and the DuPont analyses have been used to modify the composition of hydrocarbons in automotive exhaust. The exhaust composition thus derived is also in agreement with results reported by Kopczynski, et al,

in a planning study in preparation for the RAPS project (20).

Evaporative hydrocarbon emissions from automobiles are comprised of emissions from fuel tank breathing and the evaporation of gasoline from the carburetor fuel bowl. These emissions have been determined by modifying the values reported by Trijonis by decreasing the aromatic content by 44 percent and increasing the olefin content.

The composition of hydrocarbons from automobile exhaust and evaporative emissions are given in Table 8. The composition of the exhaust and evaporative emissions of heavy duty gasoline powered trucks have been assumed to be identical to those for light duty vehicles since there is no fundamental difference between the engines and fuels used. The composition of the exhaust emissions for heavy duty diesel powered trucks are classified in Table 8. These are the same as those used for railroads which use diesel engines. Evaporative emissions from diesel engines are considered negligible. The classifications of exhaust and evaporative hydrocarbon emissions are presented in Tables 20, 21 and 22 in the Appendix.

Off-highway mobile sources are comprised of a mix of gasoline and diesel engines (22). Motorcycles, lawn and garden, and outboards are all gasoline engines. Farm equipment is 61.9 percent gasoline powered, construction equipment is 44 percent gasoline powered, and industrial equipment is 78 percent gasoline powered. The exhaust hydrocarbon classification data in Table 8 expresses these percentages. The exhaust emissions from gasoline engines are the same as the previously discussed automotive emissions, and the exhaust emissions from diesel engines are the same as the previously discussed diesel truck engines. The reclassifications of the hydrocarbons from the groups of combined gasoline and diesel engines are presented in Tables 23, 24, and 25 in the Appendix.

### 4.0 SUMMARY

A detailed inventory of organic emissions has been developed for the St. Louis AQCR. The inventory has been incorporated into the RAPS data base and is stored on EPA's Univac 1110 computer at Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

The inventory consists of a listing of all industrial point source emissions in excess of one ton per year, a listing of stationary area sources such as gasoline marketing and dry cleaning operations, and a compilation of mobile sources including surface transportation, railroad, river vessels, airports and off-highway mobile sources.

Emission data are available for each source category, either as total hydrocarbons or broken down into five structural categories: paraffins, olefins, aromatics, aldehydes and non-reactives. Appropriate factors were developed for the St. Louis area and applied to the hydrocarbon emission data. These classification factors are also stored on the Univac computer. As a consequence, an emission inventory for the five categories of organics is now available for the St. Louis AQCR. A summary of the total emission broken down into the five structural categories is shown in Table 12.

TABLE 12. SUMMARY OF TOTAL ORGANIC EMISSIONS INVENTORY BY CLASS

	ANNUAL EMISS	EMISSIONS, TONS/YR.	YR.			
SOURCE CATEGORY	NON REACTIVE	PARAFFINS	OLEFINS	AROMATICS	ALDEHYDES	TOTALS
Fuel Combustion Chem. Manufacturing Primary Metals Refinery Operations Surface Coatings Petroleum Storage & Marketing Solid Waste Disposal Miscellaneous Point Source Totals	1,793 1,941 358 843 597 12	272 - 23 5,299 15,593 5,070	245 - 305 1,074 1,790 12	136 6,702 70 430 4,636	271 - - - - 01	2,717 6,702 2,339 7,161 21,072 7,457 136
Area Sources						
Industrial Area Sources Residential & Commercial Vehicles, Line Sources, Exhaust Vehicles, Line Sources, Diesel Vehicles, Area Sources Railroads Railroads River Vessels Airports Off-Highway Mobile Gas Marketing Dry Cleaning Surface Coating	29 14,923 8,093 1,021 1,257 127 28 1,628 1,092 490 255	2,261 35,314 15,321 708 6,283 2,237 7,977 9,282 148	2,035 15,450 5,107 160 2,010 506 113 350 2,279 3,276	1,130 14,714 4,086 1,086 2,890 2,890 113 3,744 3,744	2,261 2,261 267 125 844 188 30 652	110 22,610 73,570 25,535 1,335 12,565 4,220 1,460 16,280 13,650 645 3,757
GRAND TOTAL						224,287

### REFERENCES

- 1. Haws, Richard C., Paddock, Richard E., and Masser, Charles C., The Regional Air Pollution Study (RAPS) Grid System. EPA-450/3-76-021, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, December 1975.
- 2. Trijonis, J. C. and K. W. Arledge. Utility of Reactivity Criteria in Organic Emission Control Strategies for Los Angeles. EPA Contract Number 68-02-1735, TRW Environmental Services, December 1975.
- 3. National Research Council Committee. Chemistry of Coal Utilization. Edited by Lowry, 1945, Vol. III.
- 4. Smith, William M. Evaluation of Coke Oven Emissions. Presented at 78th General Meeting of American Iron and Steel Institute, May 28, 1970.
- 5. United Nations Economic Committee--Europe. Air Pollution by Coking Plants. 1968.
- 6. Sachanen, A. N. The Chemical Constituents of Petroleum. Reinhold, New York, 1945.
- 7. E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Petroleum Chemicals Division. Road Octane Survey. Winter 1975-1976 and Summer 1976.
- 8. Private communication, Ed Sullivan, Amoco Oil Company, Wood River, Illinois, March 21, 1977.
- 9. Danielson, John A. (ed.) Air Pollution Engineering Manual. AP-40, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. May 1973.
- 10. Gaynes, Norman I. Formulation of Organic Coatings. 1967.
- 11. Spicer, C. W. and A. Levy. The Photochemical Smog Reactivity of Diesel Exhaust Organics. Report to the Coordinating Research Council from Battelle Columbus Laboratories, May 1975.
- 12. Patterson, R. M., R. D. Wang and F. A. Record. Airport Emission Inventory Methodology. EPA Contract Number 68-02-0041, GCA Corporation, December 1974.
- 13. Private communication, Mike Elbl, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, Collinsville, Illinois, March 24, 1977.

- 14. Private communication, Raymond J. Connor, Assistant Technical Director, National Paint and Coating Association, Washington, D.C., March 24, 1977.
- 15. Holden, R. E. and W. E. Zegel. Residential and Commercial Area Source Emission Inventory Methodology for the Regional Air Pollution Study. EPA-450/3-75-078, Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc., September 1975.
- 16. Littman, F. E. and Kevin Isam. Stationary Industrial Area Source Emission Inventory. Rockwell International, EPA Contract No. 68-02-2093 T0108D, July 1976.
- 17. Wigg, E. E., R. J. Campion and W. L. Petersen. The Effect of Fuel Hydrocarbon Composition on Exhaust Hydrocarbon and Oxygenate Emissions. Society of Automotive Engineers Report Number 720251, presented to the Automotive Engineering Congress, Detroit, Michigan, January 10-14, 1972.
- 18. Dishart, K. T. and W. C. Harris. The Effect of Gasoline Hydrocarbon Composition on Automotive Exhaust Emission. Proceedings at American Petroleum Institute, Division of Refineries, 1968, Vol. 48, pp. 612-642.
- 19. Eccleston, B. H. and R. W. Hurn. Comparative Emissions from Some Leaded and Prototype Lead-Free Automobile Fuels. Bureau of Mines, RI Number 7390, May 1970.
- 20. Kopczynski, S. L., W. A. Lonneman, T. Winfield and R. Seila. Gaseous Pollutants in St. Louis and Other Cities. J. Air Pollution Control Association, 25:251, 1975.
- 21. Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors. AP-42, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Supplement 5, December 1975.
- 22. Hare, Charles T. Methodology for Estimating Emissions from Off-Highway Mobile Sources for the RAPS Program. EPA 450/3-75-002, October 1974.

### APPENDIX A

RAPS Hydrocarbon Classification for Types of Sources

TABLE A-1

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION FUEL COMBUSTION

Aver. Mol. Wt. 25 T&A 3-13 & A-5

_				 	 	 	
	* £	9					2
S	m €	m					
ALDEHYDES		Aliphatic aldehydes					
	wt %	5					5
S	mo l	-					
AROMATICS		Prim.& Sec alkyl benzenes					
	¥t %	6			 		6
	mo.1	ж		 			
OLEFINS		Aliphatic olefins					
	% ₹	10					2
ر ا	то1 %	ĸ				· · · · ·	
PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins					
	% <b>K</b>	61	ιΩ	 	 <del>-</del> , -		99
ES	шо] %	85	2			 	
NGN-REACTIVES		C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins	Acetylene				

TABLE A-2

# RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION ORGANIC CHEMICAL MANUFACTURING

Plasticizers, Rubber Chemicals, Pesticides, etc.

ALDEHYDES	mol wt. % %				
S	wt %	100 100		 ,	 100
ICS	що] %			 	 
AROMATICS		Benzene derivatives			
	wt %				
	mo ] %				
OLEFINS					
	38 € T			 	
NS	[0E *4				 
PARAFFINS					
	* *				
IVES	آو *				
NON-REACTIVES			-		

TABLE A-3
RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION
COKE OVENS

Aver. Mol. Wt. 35

	,				 
	3 % E				0
S	_05 % <sub>2</sub>				·
HYDE					
ALDEHYDES					
<u> </u>		<b>.</b>			
	₹ %	<u>۳</u>			3
\sqr	mo_l %	0.5			
AROMATICS		.:			
AROM		Prim.& Sec alkyl benzenes			
		im.8 lkyl enze			
-	13				
	% ₹	13			 13
5	© %	8.6			
OLEFINS					
9		Aliphatic olefins			
		ipha lefi			
_		A o			
	% ₹				
NS	10 %	0.1	·		 
PARAFFFINS		s			
ARA		ffin			
		$\mathcal{C}_4^+$ paraffins			
-			10		~
	% ¥	89.4 77	9	0.1	83
VES	ြို့ %	89.	1.4	0	
NON-REACTIVES		S		۵.	
N-RE		رع اffir	ine	,lene	
8		$c_1 - c_3$ paraffins	Benzene	Acetylene	
			<u></u>		

TABLE A-4

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION

Aver. Mol. Wt. 93 T&A 3-6 & A-2 (Adjusted)

REFINERY OPERATIONS

	t+	·				777
	% <b>₹</b>					
ES	Що %				***************************************	
ALDEHYDES						
	3 % TT	4	u	0		*9
S	mo 1 %	8	ن	n		
AROMATICS	,	Prim.& Sec alkyl benzenes	Dialkyl	penzenes		
	wt %	13			13	15*
	mol %	14				
OLEFINS		Aliphatic olefins				
	wt %	72			72	74*
S	mo 3%	29				
PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins				
	% wt	1.9	9.	2.5	ι,	5
VES	mo %	9	2	m		
NON-REACTIVES		C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins	Acetylene	Benzene		

\* Adjusted for Midwestern crudes

TABLE A-5

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION CATALYTIC CRACKER REGENERATOR

120	
Wt.	
₩0.].	
Aver.	

	₹ ¥					-
	mo 1					
DES	-					
ALDEHYDES						
ALC						
	₹ % #		<del></del>			
					,	
S	آق م					
AROMATICS						
ARO						
<u> </u>					<u> </u>	
	% ₹					
	를 %					
INS						
OLEFINS						
	¥°₹	95				95
S	mol %	06				-
FFINS		S				
PARAF		ffin				
		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins				
		~~~				
	* ¥	-		m		5
NON-REACTIVES	mo.]	2	т	J.		
EACT	. — -	S				
N-R		رع Ffin	Jene	Je		
N		C <sub>l</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins	Acetylene	Benzene		
		ئ ن	Ac	8		

TABLE A-6

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION

## DEGREASING - Trichloroethane

	₹ 36					
	ا م پو					
ALDEHYDES						
	% Kt		 	,	***************************************	
S	що %					
AROMATICS						
	% <b>K</b> t	······································	 			
	10 %					
OLEFINS						
	₹ %					
IS	چ س					
PARAFFINS						
	% ₹	100 100				100
VES	و مو 19	<u>-</u>				
NON-REACTIVES	de de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de	Partially halogenated paraffin				 

TABLE A-7 RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION

a
ene
_
ᆍ
ø
5
Trichloroethy
끙
Ξ:
۰
1
$\subseteq$
NG-1-
S
۹
GREASING
C
11

	wt	96			 		$\perp$	_
ζ,	LOII	96						_
ALDEHYDES								
	¥	95		 				_
S	Log	26		 	 			_
AROMATICS								
	1;	\$ % 7	100 100		 	,. <u>.</u>		100
	1-3	2 % E						
OLEFINS			Partially halogenated olefin		 			
	- 1	¥ %		 	 			
\ <u>\</u>	2	»، ع		 	 			
PADAGETINS	T I I I I							
-	1	× Kt						
	2	ام م	1					
VITORIO NON	NON-KEAULIVES				 			

TABLE A-8

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION SURFACE COATING -AIR DRIED - Paint, Enamel

Aver. Mol. Wt. 100

	<b>¥</b> t %											0
S	ਜੂਰ %											
ALDEHYDES												
	wt %		7	^		0						16
S	mo_1 %		9	4		_						
AROMATICS		Prim.& Sec	alkyl benzenes	Dialkyl benzenes	Tri & tetra -	benzenes						
	% <b>K</b> t											0
	mo ]											
OLEFINS												
	× Kt		41	6	9		2	2		=	4	78
NS NS	آو م		14	9	7		22			13	4	
PARAFFFINS		+ <sup>7</sup> C <sup>7</sup> +	paraffins	Cycloparaffins	N-alkyl ketones	Prim.& Sec	alkyi acetates	Branched alkyl ketones	Prim.& Sec	alcohols	Cellosolves	
	₹ %		_			•						9
ES	mol wt	-	2					···				
NON-REACTIVES		Acetone	Methanol	Partially	halogenated paraffins							

TABLE A-9

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION SURFACE COATING - AIR DRIED - Lacquer

Aver. Mol. Wt. 70 SURFACE (

	<del></del>						 	 
	₹ %							 0
S	mo 1%							<b>.</b>
ALDEHYDES								
	₹ %	=					 	 =
	_6 %	12						 L
AROMATICS		Aromatics						
	₹ %							0
	TO 35							
OLEFINS								
	% ¥ tt	12	16	25	30	9		89
(5)	™o1 %	Ξ	16	56	29	9		
PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins	Alcohols	Ketones	Esters	Ethers		
	¥t							0
IVES	™ %							
NON-REACTIVES								

TABLE A-10

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION

SURFACE COATING -AIR DRIED - Varnish

¥ % 0 ا س ALDEHYDES × Kt 0 10 % AROMATICS 0 OLEFINS 100 % ₹ 97 က <u>۾</u> ڇ 97 PARAFFINS Ketones Ethers % ¥ t 0 <u>ام</u> % Aver. Mol. Wt. 70 NON-REACTIVES

TABLE A-11

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION

SURFACE COATING -AIR DRIED - Primers

Aver. Mol. Wt. 82

	<del></del>											
	3 %											C
S	30 gv											
ALDEHYDES				And And And And And And And And And And								
-	≥ × t	13		•••••				<del></del> .	.,	<del></del>	1	13
ν	E %	10										
AROMATICS		Aromatics										
	wt %											c
	mo l											
OLEFINS												
	wt %		47	13	=	∞	2					~
NS.	mo1 %		47	13	=		2					
PARAFFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> +	paraffins	Alcohols	Ketones	Esters	Ethers					
	wt %	4	2									٧
VES	ا او	9	4									
NON-REACTIVES		Acetone	Methanol									

TABLE A-12

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION

SURFACE COATING - HEAT CURED - General

Aver. Mol. Wt. 82 T&A 3-17 & A-7

₹ 86 th 0 € 96 ALDEHYDES 45 22 ¥ ¥ 29 ا ا م 35 15 **AROMATICS** Prim.& Sec.-alkyl benzenes Dialkyl benzenes ¥ % mo 7 % OLEFINS Aliphatic olefins ¥ % 24 24 ا ا 28 PARAFFINS paraffins œ 8 ¥ % 20 \_0 ‰ NON-REACTIVES paraffins

**TABLE A-13** 

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS - Gasoline

Aver. Mol. Wt. 58         PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS – Gasoline           T&A 3-8 & A-3         NON-REACTIVES         AROWATICS         ALDEHYDES           NON-REACTIVES         PARAFFINS         OLEFINS         AROWATICS         ALDEHYDES           C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol wt         mol w							 	 	 	
#t. 58 PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS - Gasoline    Matter   Mat				₹ %						0
Mt. 58   PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS - Gasoline   Aniphatic   Aniphatic   Cq+   Aniphatic   Cycloparaffins   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1			ES	_6 € 8				 	 	
#t. 58  PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS - Gasoline  3.11VES  PARAFINS  Mol wt  mol wt  "			ALDEHYDI							
#t. 58 PETROLEUM STORAGE  -3 TIVES   mol   wt   wt   wt   mol   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   w				% <b>¥</b>						0
#t. 58 PETROLEUM STORAGE  -3 TIVES   mol   wt   wt   wt   mol   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   w	5	e L	S	mo l						
#t. 58 PETROLEUM STORAGE  -3 TIVES   mol   wt   wt   wt   mol   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   w		TANKS – Gasolin	AROMATIC							
#t. 58 PETROLEUM STORAGE  -3 TIVES   mol   wt   wt   wt   mol   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   wt   w		<u>Z</u> <b>₹</b>		wt %	24					24
Mt. 58  TIVES  PARA  mol wt % C4  18 8 paraffin Cyclopara  Cyclopara	, , , L	M STORAGE T	S	™o™ %	22					
Mt. 58  TIVES  PARA  mol wt % C4  18 8 paraffin Cyclopara  Cyclopara			OLEFINS		Aliphatic olefins					
Mt. 58  TIVES  PARA  mol wt % C4  18 8 paraffin Cyclopara  Cyclopara		Ou-		% ¥ t	29	<b></b>		 		89
Mt. 58  TIVES  PARA  mol wt % C4  18 8 paraffin Cyclopara  Cyclopara	: (	Ž		mo %	59	<b></b>	 	 <del></del>	 	
18 % XIVES		PETR	PARAFFINS		$C_{f q}^+$ paraffins	Cycloparaffins				
711 At.				% ¥	0		 		 	00
Aver. Mol. Wt. T&A 3-8 & A-3 NON-REACTI C_1 - C_3 paraffins	28		VES	mol %	18					
	Aver. Mol. Wt.	T&A 3-8 & A-3	NON-REACTI		C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins					

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION

	- 1		٠,	7					<del></del> -		 
	Ì	-	¥ %	` ]							1
	0	3	mo %								 <del></del>
	סטעאחשט וע	ALULUI		Aliphatic aldehydes							
	Γ		% <b>₹</b>	17				<del></del>	,		 1
	0	, [	<u>و</u> 36					<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 
	AROMATICS			A1ky1 benzenes							
		1	¥ %	24							24
٠,		Į.	3-6								
AIRPORTS	OLEFINS			Aliphatic olefins							
		L_	86	47		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·		 47
	S	mo J	9.6							·	 Ь
	PARAFFINS			C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins	Napthenes						
		×t	96	10		<del></del>	<del></del>		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 10
	VES	mo_	3-8								 L
HO NON	NON-REACTIVES			C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins Benzene	Acetylene						

TABLE A-15

## RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION SURFACE COATING - AIR DRIED - General

Missouri

	¥ €								0
S	™07 %								 
ALDEHYDES		,							
	% Kt	,	<u> </u>	28	ഹ				37
S	що1 %		7	14	ო				
AROMATICS		Prim.& Sec alkyl	Dialkyl	benzenes Tri & tetra -	alkyl benzenes				
	% ₹								0
	mol wt								
OLEFINS									
	wt %	28	က	2	4	2		. 4	22
	mo 1	30	2	9	က	2	7	4	
PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins	Cycloparaffins	N-alkyl ketones	Prim.& Sec alkyl acetates	Branched alkyl ketones	Prim.& Sec alkyl alcohols	Cellosolves	
	wt %	4							9
VES	mol wt	7							
NON-REACTIVES		Acetone Methanol	Partially	na rogena ced paraffins					

TABLE A-16

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION SURFACE COATING -AIR DRIED - General

Aver. Mol. Wt. 87 SURFACE T&A 3-19 & A-9

Illinois

	· · · · ·							<del></del>				_	
	% ¥ t												0
	_ L 0 ₩												
ALDEHYDES								. <u></u>					
DEH													
AL.													
}	3.9 ≤ T				<u>∞</u>				· .,			T	
	L i		6									$\perp$	21
S	lo %	<u></u>			9		-						
AROMATICS		ပ			benzenes	5							
ROM		Se	nes	<b></b>	nes		<u> </u>						
		™. %	ky i nze	ı]ky	inze «	<u>K</u>	2711						
	ļ 	Pri	<u>в</u> д	Dia	be I	ب م	5						
	wt %												0
	то 1 %												
INS											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
OLEFINS													
-	₹ % t1		_	 &	2		4	2			4	T	70
	Mo 1		37	2	9		<u></u>	2			4		
NS S	Ē	<u> </u>	37	Su						12			
PARAFFFINS			S	ffin		ن:		Branched alkyl ketones			es		
ARA			paraffins	Cycloparaffi	-\ les	Se	alkyl acetates	ket	× Se	าดไร	Cellosolves		
		+	araf	clop	N-alkyl ketones	im.	ceta	anch Ikyl	im. 8	25	1108		
		C4+	· ĕ.	<u>خ</u>	Ž Ž	Pr	<u>й</u> о	Brie	P.	alcohols	Se		
	¥ %	7			<b></b>								6
'ES	@ 30 I	2	4		_								
NON-REACTIVES		<b></b>	·,····		<del></del>					<del>, -</del>		.,	-
REA				٦	nate ins								
NON-		:one	Jano	:ia]	oge aff								
		Acetone	Methanol	Part	halogenated paraffins								
<u></u>	<u></u>												

TABLE A-17
RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION
DRY CLEANING

		wt %					0
		шо] %					
	ALDEHYDES						
		wt %	1 0.6		<u>,</u>		
		mo %	-	·	<del></del>	 	 
	AROMATICS		Prim., Sec.& dialkyl benzenes	Tri & tetra -	Saussian		
)		wt %					0
		mo l %					 
	OLEFINS						
		% ₹ tt	10	13		 	 23
		mo] %	6	18			 
	PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins	Cycloparaffins 18			
		% ₹ *	92				9/
	VES	molwt %%	7.1				
	NON-REACTIVES		Perhalo- genated hydrocarbons				

TABLE A-18

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION WASTE INCINERATION

Aver. Mol. Wt. 33 T&A 3-15 & A-6

	wt %	7								7
S	mo %	က							 	
ALDEHYDES		Aliphatic aldehydes								
	mol wt	4				-		•		4
S	_ % %	<b>,</b>								
AROMATICS		Dialkyl benzenes								
	wt %	27	4				_			31
	Lom %	13	2				_			
OLEFINS		Aliphatic olefins	Alkyl acetylenes							
	¥ ¥ t†	ω	4			4				16
	_0m ∞	8	2		(	2	·			
PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins	N-alkyl ketones		Prim.& Sec. = alkyl	alcohols				
	mol wt	32	9	4						42
VES	₽     	29	ω	4						
NON-REACTIVES		C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins	Acetylene	Methanol					_,	

TABLE A-19

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION STATIONERY INDUSTRIAL

Aver. Mol. Wt. 86

	¥ #	က									7	<u> </u>
	mo 3,	-										
ALDEHYDES		Aliphatic aldehydes										*******
	% <b>₹</b>	o	)	9	,						1,4	2
	. ou %	7		4	r						<del></del>	
AROMATICS		Prim.& Sec alkył benzenes	Dialkyl	benzenes	Tri & tetra - alkyl	benzenes						
	% <b>x</b> t	က									~	3
	و % ها											
OLEFINS		Aliphatic olefins	·								-	
	% ₹	29	9	<u>ო</u>		က		····	7	3	53	7
(0)	mo]	27	8	4		2	_		∞	<u>س</u>		_
PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins	Cycloparaffins	N-alky    ketones	Prim.& Sec alkyl	acetates	Branched alkyl ketones	Prim.& Sec	alcohols	Cellosolves		
	w t	18	_	ည							26	24
VES	mol wt % %	75		7	က		<b>-</b>					
NON-REACTIVES		C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins	Acetylene	Acetone	Methanol	Partially	helogenated paraffins					

TABLE A-20

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION LIGHT DUTY VEHICLE and HEAVY DUTY-Gas Exhaust

T&A 3-41, 3-46 & A-18, A-20 \* Aver. Mol. Wt. 69

	ا ** لا						0
ALDEHYDES	mo %						······································
	+	∞	2	22			*
	₽ ¥	9	3 22	<sub>8</sub>	35		50*
SS	Що %		<u></u>				
AROMATICS		Prim.å Sec alkyl benzenes	Dialkyl benzenes	Tri & tetra - alkyl benzenes			
	¥ kt	12			12		21*
	mo 1	20					
OLEFINS		Aliphatic olefins					
	% ₹	42			42		48*
S	™ 0 %	30					
PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins					
	3 % T	4	4 K	****		······································	=
VES	€ 96	14	3		<del></del>		<u> </u>
NON-REACTIVES		C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins	Acetylene Benzene				

\* Adjusted for Midwestern crudes

TABLE A-21

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION LIGHT DUTY VEHICLE and HEAVY DUTY-

T&A 3-47 & A-21 \*

Aver. Mol. Wt. 91

¥ %

ا ا ALDEHYDES **16**\* 15 58 % ₹ σ 4 12 چ او AROMATICS Tri & tetra -alkyl benzenes Prim.& Sec.-alkyl benzenes Dialkyl benzenes 12 20\* 12 % ₹ Gas Evaporative 13 를 % OLEFINS Aliphatic olefins \*09 % ₹ 55 26 ا او 3 Cycloparaffins 1 24 PARAFFFINS paraffins 4 4 ₹ % <u>و</u> % NON-REACTIVES paraffins Benzene

\* Adjusted for Midwestern crudes

TABLE A-22

89	_
¥ t	A-24
_:	٥Z
Mo	3-52
٠	
Aver	7.8.A

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION DIESEL ENGINE EXHAUST

	wt %	20			20
S	mo l	30			·
ALDEHYDES		Aliphatic aldehydes			
	¥t %	2	10	,	12
S	mo 1	-	.C		L
AROMATICS		Prim.& Sec alkyl benzenes	Dialkyl benzenes		
	% ¥ t	12			12
	mo] wt	27			
OLEFINS		Aliphatic olefins			
	wt %	53			53
S	ارة 19	24			
PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins			
	₹ % †;	2	F		3
IVES	mol wt % %		2		
NON-REACTIVES		C <sub>l</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins	Acetylene		

TABLE A-23

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION OFF HIGHWAY-Farm Equipment

	wt.	ω				8
	Гош	=	<del></del>		 	
ALDEHYDES	_	Aliphatic aldehydes				-
	₹ *	5	15	<b>-</b> -		21
	ا 10 %	3	6	_		
AROMATICS		Prim.& Sec alkyl benzenes	Dialkyl benzenes	Tri & tetra - alkyl benzenes		
	". wt	14				14
	mo l	52				
OLEFINS		Aliphatic olefins				
	<b>w</b> t % ≪	49				49
S	шо %	82				
PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins				
	% ¥t	3	m c	J		∞
IVES	∏0] %	13	∞ ς	7		
NON-REACTIVES		C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins	Acetylene			

TABLE A-24

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION OFF HIGHWAY-Construction Equipment

		i	•	•					1.161					١
NON-REACTIVES	IVES		PARAFFINS	NS		OLEFINS			AROMATICS	,,		ALDEHYDES	)ES	i
	mo l	¥t		mo]	% <b>K</b>		Lom 	wt		mo 1	» kt		mo_	\$ " t)
C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins	12	ю	C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins	58	50	Aliphatic olefins	25	13	Prim.& Sec alkyl benzenes	2	4	Aliphatic aldehydes	17	p
Acetylene	۰ و	2 0		··					Dialkyl benzenes	∞	13		<del></del>	
De 17	-	J							Tri & tetra - alkyl benzenes	_	_			
				=·										
		7			50			13			18			=

TABLE A-25

RAPS HYDROCARBON CLASSIFICATION OFF HIGHWAY- Industrial Equipment

	wt	4				4
S	mo l	7				
ALDEHYDES		Aliphatic aldehydes				
	"." **	വ	16	8	,	23
	mol wt	ო	10			
AROMATICS		Prim.& Sec alkyl benzenes	Dialkyl benzenes	Tri & tetra - alkyl benzenes		
	36 Wt	14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			14
	mo 1	25				
OLEFINS		Aliphatic olefins				
	mol wt	49				49
IS	топ %	30				
PARAFFINS		C <sub>4</sub> + paraffins				
	¥t %	4	ო ო		_	2
VES	™01 %.	13	6 2			
NON-REACTIVES		C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>3</sub> paraffins	Acetylene Benzene			

(P	TECHNICAL REPORT DATA lease read Instructions on the reverse before con	pleting)
1. REPORT NO.	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.
EPA-600/4-78-028		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5. REPORT DATE
REGIONAL AIR POLLUTION STUD	1	June 1978
Point and Area Source Organ		6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE
7 AUTHOR(S)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.
R.W. Griscom		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AN	ID ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.
Rockwell International		1AA603 AA-09 (FY-77)
Air Monitoring Center		11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.
11640 Administration Drive		68-02-2093
Creve Coeur, MO 63141		Task Order 108 I
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADE Environmental Sciences Resea	PRESS	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED
		Final
Office of Research and Deve		14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE
U.S. Environmental Protection Research Triangle Park, NC		EPA/600/09
Research friungle rark, no	6//11	

## 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

## 16 ABSTRACT

An inventory of organic emissions from stationary and mobile sources has been assembled for the St. Louis Air Quality Control Region. The inventory covers point and area sources for process, combustion and evaporative emissions. A breakdown into five categories had been assigned to each source type. The categories are (1) paraffins, (2) olefins, (3) aromatics, (4) aldehydes, and (5) non-reactives. This report describes how this classification has been determined for hydrocarbon emissions in the St. Louis AQCR and provides sufficient reference data to derive alternative schemes as required. The breakdown was made part of the RAPS Emission Inventory System, which is stored on the EPA's Univac computer at Research Triangle Park, N.C.

17. k	EY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS	
d DESCRIPTORS	b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
*Air pollution *Organic compounds *Emission *Environmental surveys *Sources	St. Louis, MO	13 B 07 C 05 J
18 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	19 SECURITY CLASS (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED	21. NO. OF PAGES 58
RELEASE TO PUBLIC	20 SECURITY CLASS (This page) UNCLASSIFIED	22. PRICE