



# Project Summary

## Estimate of Methane Emissions from U.S. Landfills

Michiel R. J. Doorn, Leonard A. Stefanski, and Morton A. Barlaz

Methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) flow rates from landfills with landfill gas (LFG) recovery systems can be used as surrogates for  $\text{CH}_4$  generation and successively for  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions. The full report describes the development of a statistical regression model used for estimating  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions, which relates LFG flow rates to waste-in-place data from 105 landfills with LFG recovery projects. The model has three linear segments, each of which applies to a distinct landfill size class. Assumptions were required to account for the recovery efficiency of LFG projects and for the probable oxidation of  $\text{CH}_4$  in the top soil cover of the landfill.

National  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions may be obtained by applying the regression model to municipal-waste-in-place data for U.S. landfills collected in 1986 by EPA's Office of Solid Waste (OSW). This value is adjusted for  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions from industrial landfills and  $\text{CH}_4$  that is currently recovered or flared. For 1986,  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions from U.S. landfills were estimated at 11  $\text{tg}$  ( $10^{12}$  g)/yr with lower- and upper-bound values of 7 and 15  $\text{tg}/\text{yr}$ , respectively. For 1992, estimates were between 9 and 18  $\text{tg}/\text{yr}$ . The solid waste disposal rate was estimated at 248  $\text{tg}/\text{yr}$ .

The full report details uncertainties that limit the quality of the above estimates. Uncertainty arises from the difficulty in performing quality assurance on the waste-in-place data from a facility survey conducted by EPA/OSW. The report concludes with a discussion of trends that will affect future LFG emissions, as well as LFG utilization. Upcoming regulation for controlling air emissions from landfills is expected to be final by the fall of 1994

and to result in a reduction of 5-7  $\text{tg}/\text{yr}$  of  $\text{CH}_4$ .

***This Project Summary was developed by EPA's Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC, to announce key findings of the research project that is fully documented in a separate report of the same title (see Project Report ordering information at back).***

### Introduction

$\text{CH}_4$  is a greenhouse gas of particular concern as its direct and indirect effects are estimated to be 20 times greater than that of an equivalent mass of carbon dioxide. Landfills are known to be a significant source of  $\text{CH}_4$ , with global emissions estimated between 20 and 70  $\text{tg}/\text{yr}$ . However, the existing estimates on emissions from this source category were based on limited data using coarse assumptions. In an effort to improve estimates of global  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions from landfills, the EPA's Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory began a research program aimed at identifying key variables that affect  $\text{CH}_4$  generation and at developing an empirical model.

Landfills with gas recovery systems, where LFG is collected and measured by personnel on site, offer a unique opportunity for studying  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions. LFG recovery rates can be used to estimate  $\text{CH}_4$  generation which in turn can be related to  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions. After the completion of a pilot study, a large-scale program was started where 21 landfills with LFG recovery systems were surveyed. The objective of this large-scale program was to develop a statistical model of annual landfill



CH<sub>4</sub> emissions as a function of climate, refuse mass and age, and other possible parameters, as well as obtaining an emission factor that could be used to estimate global CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from landfills. The report concluded that the mass of waste in place showed a significant correlation with CH<sub>4</sub> generation.

Because a large amount of the variability remained unexplained in the large-scale field study, it was decided to refine the correlation between LFG flow and waste mass. A larger LFG recovery data base was constructed, which included data from most U.S. LFG recovery projects. With the expanded and verified dataset containing data on 105 U.S. LFG recovery plants, a regression function was generated. This regression model, with three linear segments, is described in the report. In an appendix a simpler linear model is detailed, which is in fact an emission factor. It is suitable for use when only total-waste-in-place data are available, which is the case for most countries.

### Regression Model

The LFG recovery data base was subjected to statistical regression analysis. The objective was to let statistical criteria dictate the shape and position of the regression curve with the constraint that the curve needed to start in the origin. A regression model with three different linear segments was the result, where each segment applies to a distinct landfill size class.

The size classes and equations for the three segments of the curve are:

I	$x < 1.128$	$y = 19.822 x$
II	$1.128 \leq x < 4.082$	$y = 1.652 x + 20.495$
III	$x \geq 4.082$	$y = 9.195 x - 10.294$

where:

$x$  = welled waste, tg and  
 $y$  = LFG flow rate, m<sup>3</sup>/min.

Welled waste is defined as the quantity of waste from which LFG is extracted through the recovery wells. In order to convert  $y$  in cubic meters per minute to the actual mass flow of CH<sub>4</sub> released to the environment in grams per minute, a factor needs to be introduced. This factor adjusts for the efficiency of the gas recovery system and for the percentage of CH<sub>4</sub> that is oxidized on its way out of the landfill. Recovery efficiency is estimated at 75%, and the oxidation is assumed to be 10%. The factor also adjusts for the amount of CH<sub>4</sub> in LFG, which is approximately 50%.

### Estimate of Total Waste in Place

In 1986, OSW conducted a survey in which detailed information on 1,175 U.S. landfill facilities was compiled in a data base (EPA/OSW-Westat Database). This population was designed to be a stratified random sample of all U.S. landfills; therefore, its data could be extrapolated by means of scaling factors, to obtain total waste in place for the U.S. This data base contains data that make it possible to estimate waste in place by two different methods that are described and compared in the full report. The total amount of waste landfilled in the U.S. up to and including 1986 was estimated at  $4.7 \times 10^{15}$  g ( $5.2 \times 10^9$  tons).

### Estimate of U.S. Landfill Methane Emissions

Application of the regression model to the waste mass data from the EPA/OSW-Westat Database and multiplication of the results with the conversion factor yield national CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from landfills. This estimate is then adjusted for the amount of CH<sub>4</sub> currently being recovered or flared. In 1992, 1.2 tg of landfill CH<sub>4</sub> was recovered and approximately 0.5-tg/yr of CH<sub>4</sub> was flared. It is estimated that an additional 15 tg of industrial waste is landfilled

annually in the U.S. For 1986 CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from U.S. landfills were estimated at 11 tg/yr with lower- and upper-bound values of 7 and 15 tg/yr, respectively. Methane emissions from U.S. landfills in 1992 were estimated at 13 tg/yr with lower- and upper-bound values of 9 and 18 tg/yr.

### Uncertainties and Future Trends

The full report details uncertainties that limit the quality of the emissions estimates. The main uncertainty arises from the inability to quality assure the waste-in-place data from the EPA/OSW-Westat Database. There are several indications that, since its publication, the data base has been subject to alterations: the scaling factors seem too high, waste-in-place data do not match up, and a density conversion has taken place. No documentation has been retrieved for any of these issues. The possible uncertainties are inflated by the update from 1986 to 1992. Other uncertainties arise from the fact that only few data are available for CH<sub>4</sub> generation at small landfills, because LFG recovery plants can usually be found at larger landfills.

Due to legislative and economic pressure, there will be a tendency toward larger and fewer landfills. Landfill gas recovery projects become more feasible as the landfill size increases, which should lead to a reduction in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. As a result of source reduction, increased recycling, composting, and combustion, the yearly amount of landfilled waste will continue to decrease, which will also lead to a reduction in annual CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from landfills. The influence of changes in waste management will likely be overshadowed by the effect of the Clean Air Act rule as it is implemented over the next several years. This rule, requiring a gas collection system and add-on control device at affected landfills, is expected to result in the control of 500 to 700 sites, reducing CH<sub>4</sub> emissions by 5-7 tg/yr.

Handwritten text, possibly a signature or date, located near the bottom left of the page.

*Michiel R. J. Doorn is with E. H. Pechan and Associates, Inc., Durham, NC 27707 and Leonard A. Stefanski and Morton A. Barlaz are with North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695.*

*Susan A. Thorneloe is the EPA Project Officer (see below).*

*The complete report, entitled "Estimate of Methane Emissions from U.S. Landfills," (Order No. PB94-213 519; Cost: \$19.50, subject to change) will be available only from:*

*National Technical Information Service  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161  
Telephone: 703-487-4650*

*The EPA Project Officer can be contacted at:  
Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711*

United States  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Center for Environmental Research Information  
Cincinnati, OH 45268

Official Business  
Penalty for Private Use \$300

EPA/600/SR-94/166

BULK RATE  
POSTAGE & FEES PAID  
EPA  
PERMIT NO. G-35