

EPA 600-2-86-093

**INCINERATOR AND CEMENT KILN CAPACITY
FOR HAZARDOUS WASTE TREATMENT**

by

**Gregory A. Vogel
Alan S. Goldfarb
Robert E. Zier
Andrew Jewell
The MITRE Corporation
McLean, Virginia 22102**

Contract Number 68-03-3159

**Project Officer
Mr. Ivars Licis
Incineration Research Branch
Hazardous Waste Engineering Research Laboratory
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268**

**HAZARDOUS WASTE ENGINEERING RESEARCH LABORATORY
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45268**

NOTICE

This report has been reviewed by the Hazardous Waste Engineering Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

FOREWORD

Today's rapidly developing and changing technologies, industrial products and practices frequently carry with them the increased generation of solid and hazardous wastes. These materials, if improperly dealt with, can threaten both public health and the environment. Abandoned waste sites and accidental releases of toxic and hazardous substances to the environment also have important environmental and public health implications. The Hazardous Waste Engineering Research Laboratory assists in providing an authoritative and defensible engineering basis for assessing and solving these problems. Its products support the policies, programs, and regulations of the Environmental Protection Agency, the permitting and other responsibilities of State and local governments and the needs of both large and small businesses in handling their wastes responsibly and economically.

This report describes the potential incinerator and cement kiln capacities for burning hazardous waste. These capacity estimates are to be used by EPA's Office of Solid Waste (OSW) in determining implementation decisions under the 1984 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Amendment. These decisions focus on allowing the postponement of landfilling bans for certain chemical substances if insufficient incineration or other high temperature destruction process capacity is available.

This information is specifically provided for the personnel in OSW charged with making these decisions as well as the EPA permit writers in both State and Federal Agencies. Additionally, incinerator manufacturers, owners, and operators should find this information helpful in making plans for their future activities.

For further information, please contact the Alternative Technologies Division of the Hazardous Waste Engineering Research Laboratory.

Thomas R. Hauser, Director
Hazardous Waste Engineering Research Laboratory

ABSTRACT

Estimates of incinerator and cement kiln capacities for hazardous waste treatment are required to evaluate the impacts of banning land disposal of hazardous wastes. RCRA Part B permit applications were reviewed to obtain information about incinerator design capacity, utilization and the incinerated hazardous wastes. MITRE identified 221 incinerators within the RCRA regulatory program that are presently destroying approximately two million metric tons of hazardous waste annually. The unused potential capacity of these units is estimated to be one million metric tons of waste per year. The Congressional Budget Office estimates that 265.3 million metric tons of hazardous waste are generated annually.

MITRE estimates that the annual hazardous waste treatment capacity available in cement kilns between two and six million metric tons. Less than five percent of the potential hazardous waste treatment capacity in cement kilns has been permitted under RCRA. Factors affecting this low utilization include the large geographic distances separating some major waste generation sites from cement kilns, marginal economic benefits, and the uncertainty of some kiln operators about regulatory requirements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| LIST OF TABLES | iv |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Approach | 1 |
| 1.2 Summary of Findings | 1 |
| 2.0 HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATOR CAPACITY | 3 |
| 2.1 Incinerator and Waste Characteristics | 3 |
| 2.2 Data Sources | 4 |
| 2.3 Data Summary and Capacity Estimate | 6 |
| 3.0 CEMENT KILN CAPACITY | 15 |
| 3.1 Potential Waste Destruction Capacity | 15 |
| 3.2 Waste Characteristics | 18 |
| 3.3 Present Waste Destruction Capacity | 21 |
| 4.0 UPDATE OF THE HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATOR MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY | 23 |
| 4.1 Identification of Manufacturers | 23 |
| 4.2 Summary of Information Provided by Manufacturers | 23 |
| 4.3 Incinerator Capacity Information | 25 |
| 5.0 REFERENCES | 29 |
| APPENDIX A - COMPUTERIZED DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | A-1 |
| APPENDIX B - HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATORS | B-1 |
| APPENDIX C - CEMENT KILN LOCATIONS AND PRODUCT CAPACITIES | C-1 |
| APPENDIX D - HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATOR MANUFACTURERS | D-1 |

LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table Number</u> | | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------------|--|-------------|
| 2-1 | HAZARDOUS WASTE AND INCINERATOR APPLICABILITY MATRIX | 5 |
| 2-2 | NUMBER OF INCINERATORS AND THE TOTAL DESIGN CAPACITIES WITHIN EPA REGIONS | 8 |
| 2-3 | ESTIMATION OF AVAILABLE INCINERATOR CAPACITY BY INCINERATOR DESIGN | 10 |
| 2-4 | SUMMARY OF WASTE CHARACTERISTICS | 12 |
| 2-5 | WASTE CHARACTERISTICS AND ANNUAL AMOUNT BURNED FOR EACH INCINERATOR DESIGN | 13 |
| 3-1 | CEMENT KILN CAPACITIES BY PROCESS TYPE | 17 |
| 3-2 | ESTIMATED CEMENT KILN CAPACITY IN MAJOR WASTE GENERATING STATES IN 1983 | 19 |
| 3-3 | RANGE OF ACCEPTABLE WASTE CHARACTERISTICS FOR DESTRUCTION IN CEMENT KILNS | 20 |
| 4-1 | NUMBER OF HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATORS BUILT IN THE UNITED STATES BY DOMESTIC MANUFACTURERS FROM 1981-1985 | 24 |
| 4-2 | TOTAL NUMBER OF HAZARDOUS WASTE INCIN- ERATORS BUILT IN THE UNITED STATES BY DOMESTIC MANUFACTURERS FROM 1969-1985 | 24 |
| 4-3 | NINETEEN EIGHTY-FIVE MANUFACTURERS BY INCINERATOR TYPES | 26 |
| 4-4 | THERMAL RATINGS OF NEW HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATOR TYPES AS REPORTED BY MANU- FACTURERS | 27 |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Environmental Protection Agency has been authorized to ban the land disposal of hazardous wastes under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). If insufficient capacity exists to dispose of banned wastes using alternative treatment technologies, EPA is authorized to delay the effective date of such a ban. Incineration and thermal destruction of specific wastes in cement kilns and incinerators are preferred to land disposal. EPA requested that MITRE prepare an estimate of incinerator and kiln capacity.

1.1 Approach

The purpose of this study is to estimate the potential hazardous waste destruction capacities of incinerators and cement kilns beyond current utilization. Between 1980 and 1982 The MITRE Corporation conducted several studies of domestic hazardous waste incinerator manufacturers, owners and operators.^(1,2) The information from these studies and new data obtained from RCRA Part B permit applications under this task were used to estimate incinerator capacity.

Incinerator capacity estimates are presented in Chapter 2.0. A matrix is developed to match selected waste characteristics with the appropriate incineration technology. A computerized data management system permits sorting and retrieving information in accordance with the waste-incinerator matrix. The significance of several such retrievals with respect to prohibiting land disposal of some wastes is discussed.

Since MITRE had no previous information regarding cement kiln capacities, new data were gathered from sources throughout the industry. Estimates of cement kiln capacities and current utilization for hazardous waste destruction are presented in Chapter 3.0.

An update of the manufacturers study conducted by The MITRE Corporation in 1981 is presented in Chapter 4.0 of this report.

1.2 Summary of Findings

MITRE identified 221 hazardous waste incinerators in the RCRA regulatory program. As a result of this study, it was found that the total design capacity of these units is approximately three million metric tons of hazardous waste per year and slightly more than two million metric tons of waste are burned annually. A typical incinerator operates at two-thirds of its design capacity, leaving approximately one million tons of unused hazardous waste

capacity available annually. Half of the available capacity is suitable for burning halogenated wastes. Nearly all of the available capacity is suitable for burning liquid wastes. Up to one-third of the available capacity is suitable for burning solid wastes.

Aqueous, corrosive wastes (identified by EPA waste code D002 in 40 CFR 261.21) are burned in the greatest quantity, accounting for 29 percent of the two million metric tons of incinerated hazardous wastes. These wastes are likely to be contaminated wastewaters having a low or a high pH and little value as a fuel. Approximately eight percent of the wastes are ignitable (D001), eight percent are reactive (D003) and five percent are spent halogenated solvents (F001). Forty-six (46) percent of incinerated hazardous wastes are halogenated. The average heating value of incinerated hazardous wastes is 8,582 Btu per pound (19,948 Joules per gram) and the average water content is 50 percent.

Data on cement kiln production capacities were obtained and verified from sources within the industry. Annual cement production capacities and typical hazardous waste burning parameters were used to estimate the potential waste destruction capacity in cement kilns. The estimates range from 2.27 to 6.05 million metric tons of waste based on current practices. Approximately 60,000 to 90,000 metric tons of hazardous waste are presently being destroyed in cement kilns, which represents only a small fraction of their potential capacity.

2.0 HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATOR CAPACITY

In order to accurately estimate incinerator capacities for selected hazardous wastes, the waste and incinerator characteristics that govern technology selection for safe and efficient waste destruction were identified. A specific matrix of waste and incinerator characteristics indicating successful technology applications is presented in Section 2.1. These characteristics guided data collection efforts and the development of a computerized data base to assemble and retrieve capacity information. The elements of the data base are identified in the discussion of incinerator and waste characteristics. The operation and structure of the data base are explained in Appendix A. The data collection effort is described in Section 2.2. Incinerator capacity estimates and data summaries are presented in Section 2.3.

2.1 Incinerator and Waste Characteristics

The incinerator design and the presence of air pollution control equipment were determined to be the most important characteristics governing the selection of an appropriate incinerator to destroy a hazardous waste. Other incinerator characteristics such as combustion zone temperature, gas residence time, waste atomization and turbulence are also significant, but consideration of these factors is beyond the scope of this effort. In addition, this study focuses on facilities that have submitted Part B permit applications, indicating that compliance with the RCRA regulatory performance requirements is either anticipated or demonstrated and that analysis of the other characteristics may not be required.

Incinerator designs are classified by the primary combustion chamber. The five major designs include rotary kilns, liquid injection incinerators, fume incinerators, hearths and fluidized beds. Other designs, including infrared units, molten salt combustors, fluid wall reactors, drum reconditioning furnaces and other thermal devices, account for very little of the present incinerator capacity. All types of incinerators may be equipped with a liquid injection port or atomizer in addition to other waste feed devices. The capabilities and restrictions inherent in each of the five major designs are discussed later.

Incinerator air pollution control equipment refers to particulate and acid gas removal equipment such as scrubbers, absorbers, baghouses and precipitators. Afterburners are not considered as air pollution control equipment for the purposes of this study. The presence of any single air pollution control device was recorded

during data collection. In actual practice, details of equipment design and operation should be known prior to incinerating any new waste. The broad scope of this study prohibited such detailed analysis.

Knowledge of the following waste characteristics assists in selecting an appropriate incineration technology:

- Physical state
- Heat content or heating value
- Halogen content
- Solids content
- Water content

The significance of these waste and incinerator characteristics is illustrated in Table 2-1. The waste characteristics are listed in the left column and the incinerator types are listed across the table. The matrix may be used to direct wastes that are presently landfilled to the appropriate incineration technology. Suitable applications are indicated by the appropriate range of values for each waste characteristic. As an illustration, sludges with halogen contents greater than two percent and a solids content greater than 0.5 percent can be incinerated in rotary kilns and hearths equipped with air pollution control equipment, but the wastes must be filtered and heated, if necessary, to ensure proper atomization in liquid injection incinerators equipped with air pollution control equipment.

2.2 Data Sources

All facilities operating hazardous waste incinerators after 19 November 1980 were required to file RCRA Part A permit applications. The hazardous waste incineration facilities included within the scope of this study have filed RCRA Part A permit applications and the Part A information has been verified.

RCRA Part B permit applications are presently being received by the EPA regional offices. The Part B information requirements are much more detailed than the Part A applications. The Part B applications and trial burn results are reviewed at the EPA regional offices or by offices within states authorized by EPA. Permits to

TABLE 2-1

**HAZARDOUS WASTE AND INCINERATOR APPLICABILITY MATRIX
INDICATING RANGES OF ACCEPTABLE VALUES**

| Waste Characteristics | Liquid Injection | Rotary Kiln | Incinerator Type | | Cement Kiln |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | | Hearth | Fume | |
| Heating Value, Btu/lb | 0-20,000 | 2,000-20,000 | 2,000-20,000 | 5,000-20,000 | 8,000-18,000 |
| Halogen Content, percent | <2 ¹ | <2 ¹ | <2 ¹ | <2 ¹ | <10 |
| Solids Content, percent | <0.5 ² | 0-100 | 0-100 | <0.5 ² | <12 |
| Water Content, percent | 0-100 | 0-100 | 0-100 | 0-60 | <10 |

¹Acceptable upper limit can increase to 80 percent with the installation of proper pollution abatement equipment.

²May be achieved by filtering. Kinematic viscosity must be less than 750 Standard Saybolt Units (SSU).

incinerate hazardous wastes are issued or denied based on evaluations of the Part B applications and trial burn results. MITRE visited four EPA regional offices to obtain information from incinerator Part B applications.

Seventeen Part B applications were reviewed at the Region 2 offices, 17 applications were reviewed at Region 3 offices, 30 applications were reviewed at Region 4, and 38 applications were reviewed at Region 5. The status of incinerators at other regional offices was determined through data verification forms for the Incineration Permitting Study conducted by A.T. Kearney, Inc. of Alexandria, Virginia. These forms were completed during November and December 1985. In addition, offices in California, Louisiana and Texas were contacted to verify permit status data.

The following data sources provided information about incinerators for which Part B permit applications were not reviewed:

- MITRE telephone survey to verify Part A permit application information⁽¹⁾
- MITRE site visit reports for 15 hazardous waste incineration facilities
- EPA site visit reports for 9 hazardous waste incineration facilities (non-confidential portions only)
- Responses to the EPA Office of Solid Waste Hazardous Waste Incinerator Questionnaire--90 responses were reviewed and 70 had data useful to this study
- 32 state permits from Louisiana and Texas
- A study of the composition of 104 selected hazardous waste streams⁽⁸⁾

Most supplementary information was obtained from the telephone logs used to prepare Reference 1. Data for 173 incinerators were obtained from this source. In the cases where both Part B and telephone log data were available for an incinerator, agreement among the two sources was generally observed.

2.3 Data Summary and Capacity Estimate

This section contains a summary of hazardous waste incinerator permit status, design features and waste characteristics. A list of

the 276 facilities having the 350 incinerators studied during this project is presented in Appendix B. Ten percent, or 34 incinerators, of these incinerators have RCRA operating permits or permits to construct an incineration facility. Part B permit applications are being reviewed for 55 percent, or 187 of the units. EPA has requested the submission of all incinerator permit applications so that this number is not expected to significantly increase except for new construction.

Permit applications for approximately 30 percent, or 99 units, of the incinerators identified by MITRE have been withdrawn. Permits are withdrawn if the incinerator ceases operation, no longer burns hazardous waste, or burns hazardous wastes that have been delisted. Most incinerators have ceased operation through voluntary action, although a few have been closed through regulatory enforcement. The statistics indicate that incinerators for which permit applications have been withdrawn are generally smaller than the average design capacity.

The permit status of ten percent, or 34 units, of the potential hazardous waste incinerators identified by MITRE is unknown. Many of these incinerators are located in Texas, where many permit applications have recently been received. The applications had not been reviewed to determine whether an incinerator is listed among the waste treatment methods for which a permit is sought in time for inclusion in this report.

This report focuses on the capacity of the 221 incinerators that are in the RCRA regulatory program. For the record, MITRE projects the design capacity of the 99 incinerators that have withdrawn from the RCRA program to be 1.4 billion Btu/hr based on data available for 98 percent of the units. MITRE also projects the capacity of the 34 incinerators of unknown status to be 1.6 billion Btu/hr based on information available for 72 percent of the units.

The incinerator design capacities for the 221 units that are permitted or have filed an application are summarized in Table 2-2 by EPA regions to indicate geographic distribution. For the approximately 87 percent of facilities reporting capacity data, the total reported design capacity is 6.28 billion Btu/hr. Extrapolating this statistic to include all 221 incinerators in the RCRA regulatory program, the projected national capacity is 7.2 billion Btu/hr, which is equivalent to approximately three million metric tons of hazardous waste per year. Approximately half of the incinerators in the RCRA regulatory program are located in EPA Regions 5 and 6.

TABLE 2-2

**NUMBER OF INCINERATORS AND THEIR
DESIGN CAPACITIES WITHIN EPA REGIONS**

| EPA REGION | NUMBER OF INCINERATORS | | DESIGN CAPACITY (Million Btu/hr) | | PERCENT OF TOTAL CAPACITY | |
|---------------|---------------------------|------------|--|--------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | REPORTING DATA | TOTAL | REPORTED | PROJECTED | REPORTED | PROJECTED |
| 1 | 3 | 4 | 22.0 | 55 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| 2 | 27 | 30 | 524.1 | 623 | 8.2 | 8.6 |
| 3 | 19 | 21 | 386.4 | 453 | 6.2 | 6.3 |
| 4 | 36 | 38 | 979.7 | 1,046 | 15.6 | 14.5 |
| 5 | 42 | 44 | 1,957.3 | 2,023 | 31.2 | 28.1 |
| 6 | 53 | 64 | 1,986.0 | 2,350 | 31.6 | 32.6 |
| 7-10 | 13 | 20 | 425.9 | 657 | 6.8 | 9.1 |
| Total | 193 | 221 | 6,281.4 | 7,207 | 100 | 100 |

The incinerator capacities are itemized by incinerator design in Table 2-3. The average design capacities are based on data reported for approximately 70 percent of the projected number of RCRA units. The number of data base records listed as the source of the values in Table 2-3 and subsequent tables is not the same as the number of incinerators. The information on the 221 RCRA incinerators is contained in 162 records resulting from multiple incinerators existing at some facilities. Rotary kilns have the largest average capacity and are most likely to have air pollution control equipment. The relatively high utilization of rotary kilns is expected because of their high equipment cost. Utilization of liquid injection incinerators is relatively low and less than half are equipped with air pollution control equipment. Many of these units are operated intermittently as needed. The average design capacity for fume incinerators in Table 2-3 represents only the liquid destruction capability; installed units have additional capacity to burn fumes. The high utilization results from integration of fume incinerators with continuously operating production processes. Hearth incinerators have the smallest average capacity and the lowest incidence of air pollution control equipment installation.

The available capacity estimates in Table 2-3 are calculated by multiplying the number of units by the average design capacity by one minus the utilization. The total available capacity estimate of 2.38 billion Btu/hr is roughly equivalent to 900,000 to one million metric tons of waste a year using a waste heating value of 9000 Btu per pound and annual operating schedules ranging from 7400 to 7900 hours as conversion factors. Halogenated wastes could use half of the available capacity because half of the incinerators are equipped with air pollution control devices. Most incinerator air pollution control systems include scrubbers. The average capacity of incinerators with air pollution control equipment approximately equals the average capacity of those without such equipment. Approximately 350,000 metric tons of available capacity exist for solid waste destruction in rotary kilns and hearths.

Information for 26 commercial incinerators is included in the data summaries for the 221 units in the RCRA program. Commercial incinerators are defined as units for which the owners are known to or intending to, advertise that they will accept wastes from off-site generators for incineration at a fee. Private arrangements to incinerate wastes generated off-site for a fee would not be classified as commercial under this definition. The design capacity of the commercial incinerators that are permitted or have filed applications totals 781,000 metric tons of waste annually. However, 34 percent of this capacity has not yet been constructed. The

TABLE 2-3

ESTIMATION OF AVAILABLE INCINERATOR CAPACITY BY INCINERATOR DESIGN
(Number of data base records used to obtain averages are in parentheses)

| INCINERATOR DESIGN | NUMBER OF UNITS | | REPORTED AVERAGE DESIGN CAPACITY (Million Btu/hr) | REPORTED UTILIZATION (Percent) | PROJECTED AVAILABLE CAPACITY (Million Btu/hr) | PERCENT WITH AIR POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | REPORTED | PROJECTED | | | | |
| Rotary Kiln | 42 | 45 | 61.37 (30) | 77 (9) | 635 | 90 |
| Liquid Injection | 95 | 101 | 28.26 (74) | 55 (33) | 1284 | 42 |
| Fume | 25 | 26 | 33.14 (23) | 94 (13) | 52 | 40 |
| Hearth | 32 | 34 | 22.75 (24) | 62 (16) | 294 | 38 |
| Other | 14 | 15 | 19.29 (3) | — (0) | 95 | — |
| Total or Average Values | 208 | 221 | 32.37 (154) | 67 (71) | 2360 | 50 |

utilization of commercial incinerators is generally regarded as confidential business information but is probably not significantly different from the utilization of private units. Nearly all commercial incinerators have air pollution control equipment.

Information about the characteristics of incinerated wastes were obtained for approximately 81 percent of permitted incinerators and those for which applications have been filed. These facilities indicated that 1.72 million metric tons of hazardous wastes are destroyed annually. An annual volume of 2.1 million metric tons for all 221 incinerators in the RCRA program may be extrapolated from these statistics. This estimate correlates with the design capacity estimate of three million metric tons and the average utilization of 67 percent presented in Table 2-3.

The waste incinerated in the greatest amount is identified by EPA waste code D002, accounting for 29 percent of the weight of wastes incinerated under the RCRA program. Approximately 8 percent of the wastes are D001, 8 percent are D003, 5 percent are F001 and the remainder of the wastes are P, U and other F codes. The characteristics of these coded wastes are summarized in Table 2-4.

Approximately 32 percent of the D001 wastes contain halogens and the average halogen content of those wastes is 17.0 percent. If non-halogenated D001 wastes are included in the average, the average halogen content is 4.2 percent. All of the average values in Table 2-4 are based on non-zero data entries; default values of zero for waste parameters are not included in the averages. The D002 corrosive wastes are primarily aqueous spent caustic and acidic solutions with no halogen content. The halogen content of D003 reactive wastes is similar to the D001 waste; 29 percent of the wastes are halogenated and the average halogen content is 12.3 percent. The average halogen content of F001 spent halogenated solvents is 54.1 percent.

The average heating value of the reported wastes is 8,582 Btu per pound. Forty-six percent by weight of the wastes are halogenated with an average halogen content of 33.2 percent. The average solids content of the reported wastes is 7.9 percent and the average water content is 50.5 percent.

Waste characteristics and the amounts incinerated are summarized in Table 2-5 for each type of incinerator. The average halogen content is calculated for only halogenated wastes; the average value for all wastes would be significantly lower. The waste quantity data in Table 2-5 may be compared with the incinerator design capacity data in Table 2-3. From such a mathematical

TABLE 2-4

SUMMARY OF WASTE CHARACTERISTICS
 (Number of data base records used to obtain averages are in parentheses)

| EPA WASTE NUMBER | OCCURRENCES | AVERAGE HEATING VALUE (Btu per pound) | AVERAGE HALOGEN CONTENT OF HALOGENATED WASTES (Percent) | PERCENT OF WASTES THAT ARE HALOGENATED | AVERAGE SOLIDS CONTENT (Percent) | AVERAGE WATER CONTENT (Percent) |
|--|-------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| D001 | 84 | 8498 (72) | 17.0 (27) | 32 | 5.5 (22) | 49.1 (54) |
| D002 | 17 | 3711 (9) | 0 (10) | 0 | 6.4 (5) | 89.9 (14) |
| D003 | 17 | 7140 (12) | 12.3 (5) | 29 | 11.0 (8) | 59.5 (11) |
| F001 | 9 | 5369 (8) | 54.1 (8) | 100 | 1.0 (7) | 15.5 (3) |
| Average of all D, F, P and U Code Wastes | | 8582 (210) | 33.2 (97) | 46 | 7.9 (66) | 50.5 (117) |

Basis: 178 incinerators reporting some waste composition data.

TABLE 2-5

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS AND ANNUAL AMOUNT BURNED FOR EACH INCINERATOR DESIGN
(Number of data base records used to obtain averages are in parentheses)

| INCINERATOR DESIGN | AVERAGE HEATING VALUE OF WASTES (Btu per pound) | AVERAGE HALOGEN CONTENT OF HALOGENATED WASTES (Percent) | AMOUNT INCINERATED ANUALLY (Metric Tons) | PERCENT OF TOTAL AMOUNT INCINERATED |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Rotary Kiln | 8034 (38) | 23.2 (31) | 250,800 (41) | 15 |
| Liquid Injection | 9106 (74) | 31.6 (36) | 862,600 (93) | 50 |
| Fume with Liquid Injection | 6673 (30) | 59.5 (13) | 119,600 (35) | 7 |
| Hearth | 9817 (43) | 21.8 (13) | 489,800 (44) | 28 |
| Total | | | 1,722,800 | |

Basis: 177 incinerators reported waste amounts.

analysis, it appears that although the utilization of rotary kilns is high, they are generally fired with hazardous waste at significantly less than their rated capacity. Many rotary kilns also burn trash and non-hazardous wastes so that a low hazardous waste firing rate may be expected. Hearth units burn 28 percent of the reported hazardous waste annually while their design capacity is only 9 percent of the total, indicating that hearth throughputs are higher than their design ratings. However, the average heating value of wastes burned in hearths appears to be higher than current practice indicates and may be biased by the data sample. The design capacity and waste throughput would correlate if the average heating value were 5,000 Btu per pound.

3.0 CEMENT KILN CAPACITY

Cement kilns can be adapted to burn liquid wastes as a fuel supplement. Most kilns formerly burned fuel oil but have been converted to burn coal because of the increase in fuel oil prices over the last ten years. The installation of a liquid waste injector would be similar to a fuel conversion.

Hazardous waste destruction in cement kilns has been recommended in several studies because the following characteristics of the cement production process promote waste oxidation and emission control:

- Cement clinker production requires the maintenance of temperatures greater than 1900°F in the kiln.
- Combustion gas residence times in the kilns range from 2 to 10 seconds, which are theoretically sufficient to ensure waste destruction.
- Particulate pollution control equipment exists on most cement kilns.
- Acidic combustion gases and some metals react with alkaline cement ingredients thereby improving the quality of the cement and reducing pollution from the kiln.

The potential cement kiln capacity for hazardous waste destruction is examined in Section 3.1. The characteristics of wastes suitable for destruction in cement kilns are discussed in Section 3.2. Present waste destruction activities in cement kilns are summarized in Section 3.3.

3.1 Potential Waste Destruction Capacity

Based on information obtained from Reference 3 and several sources in the cement industry, MITRE estimates that the present annual capacity for cement production in the United States and Puerto Rico is 92.1 million tons. Cement production in 1983 was 71.3 million tons,⁽⁴⁾ indicating a utilization rate of approximately 77 percent. MITRE identified 52 companies manufacturing cement whereas the Portland Cement Association indicates that in 1982, 46 companies manufactured cement at 135 locations in 246 kilns.⁽⁵⁾ MITRE was not able to reconcile the number of kilns and locations, but the limited information available to MITRE support the Portland Cement Association statistics. The cement companies,

kiln locations and capacities identified by MITRE are presented in Appendix C.

Cement is produced by wet and dry processes, depending on whether the raw materials are reduced in size using water. The current trend favors the dry process because less energy is required than in the wet process where considerable amounts of water must be evaporated and heated. A breakdown of cement production capacity by process type and the associated energy consumption are shown in Table 3-1.

Problems with kiln operation and increased particulate emissions were encountered during a hazardous waste trial burn at a dry process cement kiln in Canada.⁽⁶⁾ Other tests at dry kilns indicated that particulate emissions may or may not increase.⁽⁵⁾ No difficulties have been encountered burning hazardous wastes in wet kilns. For the purpose of estimating potential waste destruction capacity, it is assumed that both wet and dry processes can be used.

Fuel requirements for cement kilns range from 3 million Btu per ton of product for dry kilns to 6 million Btu per ton of product for wet kilns. Using these generalizations, the annual energy requirement for cement kilns is estimated to be approximately 400 trillion Btu. For the five cases of waste destruction in cement kilns analyzed in Reference 5, the waste supplied between 10 and 60 percent of the heat input. Other available waste heat input data are within this range and a typical value is approximately 30 percent.

The heating values of wastes burned in cement kilns range from 8,000 to 18,000 Btu per pound based on current practice. The wastes with low heating values are probably burned at low firing rates to prevent kiln upsets. Wastes with high heating values similar to fuels can replace large percentages of fuel input. Annual waste capacities can be estimated knowing the total annual fuel input, the replacement rate of fuel by hazardous waste, and the heating value of the waste. Waste destruction capacities in cement kilns are estimated below to indicate a probable upper and lower bound and a typical value:

| <u>Fuel Replacement Rate (Percent)</u> | <u>Waste Heating Value (Btu/lb)</u> | <u>Annual Cement Kiln Waste Capacity (Million of Metric tons)</u> |
|--|---|---|
| 10 | 8,000 | 2.27 |
| 30 | 12,000 | 4.54 |
| 60 | 18,000 | 6.05 |

TABLE 3-1

CEMENT KILN CAPACITIES BY PROCESS TYPE

| PROCESS | ANNUAL CEMENT CAPACITY (Thousands of tons) | ESTIMATED ENERGY USE RATE (Million Btu per ton of cement) | ESTIMATED ANNUAL ENERGY CONSUMPTION (Trillion Btu) |
|--|---|--|--|
| Wet kiln | 26,783 | 6 | 160.70 |
| Dry kiln | 39,384 | 3 | 118.15 |
| Both Wet and Dry kilns at same location | 17,172 | 4.5 | 77.27 |
| Process Unknown | <u>8,803</u> | 5 | <u>44.02</u> |
| TOTALS | 92,142 | | 400.14 |

Using the Congressional Budget Office estimates⁽⁷⁾ of 265.3 million metric tons of hazardous waste, cement kilns have the potential capacity to manage from 0.86 to 2.28 percent of the hazardous wastes generated in the United States. Considering only the chemicals industry wastes, 1.78 to 4.76 percent of the amount generated in 1983 could be accommodated in cement kilns. The percentages of wastes that could be destroyed in cement kilns in the six states generating the largest annual quantities of hazardous wastes are estimated in Table 3-2.

3.2 Waste Characteristics

Most of the wastes reported to have been burned in cement kilns are either spent solvents, paint wastes or still bottoms from solvent recovery operations. These liquid wastes contain metals such as titanium, lead, chromium, manganese, zinc and barium. The metals in the spent solvents come from metal cleaning operations and the pigments in paint wastes. If these wastes were destroyed in conventional hazardous wastes incinerators, high efficiency particulate collection devices would be required to control the emission of very fine metal oxide particles. In cement kilns, a limited amount of metal oxides can be incorporated in the cement without affecting the quality of the product and particulate emissions are controlled by existing fabric filters, electrostatic precipitators or other high efficiency devices.

Cement kiln operators typically place limits on selected waste characteristics to ensure a uniform high quality product. A summary of the range of acceptable waste characteristics is presented in Table 3-3 for the 12 cases of waste incineration in cement kilns available to MITRE. Other important characteristics of acceptable wastes include a sufficiently low viscosity to permit atomization and being single-phase, non-volatile and non-corrosive to process equipment. EPA hazardous waste streams identified in 40 CFR 261 with these characteristics include D001, D003, F003, F005 and F017. From the limited information available to MITRE, D001 is the largest volume waste. The quantity of D001 waste burned in cement kilns is probably greater than the combined quantities of the other wastes. The principal organic hazardous constituents in these wastes are typically toluene, methyl ethyl ketone, methylene chloride, and trichloroethylene.

The destruction of solid wastes such as refuse derived fuel, coal tar, coal mining wastes, and shredded tires in cement kilns has been investigated but is currently not practiced based on available information. Solid wastes may be blended with the coal used to heat the kiln. For the purposes of this report, the destruction of solid wastes in cement kilns will not be evaluated as an option.

TABLE 3-2

**ESTIMATED CEMENT KILN CAPACITY IN MAJOR WASTE
GENERATING STATES IN 1983**

| STATE | <u>GENERATED WASTE AMOUNT</u> (Thousands of metric tons) | <u>CEMENT KILN WASTE CAPACITY</u> (Thousands of metric tons) | <u>KILN CAPACITY AS A PERCENTAGE OF WASTE AMOUNT GENERATED IN-STATE</u> |
|---------------------|---|---|--|
| Texas | 34,866 | 624 | 1.79 |
| Ohio | 19,692 | 89 | 0.45 |
| Pennsylvania | 18,260 | 361 | 1.98 |
| California | 17,284 | 676 | 3.91 |
| Illinois | 14,873 | 97 | 0.66 |
| Louisiana | 14,810 | 43 | 0.29 |

TABLE 3-3

RANGE OF ACCEPTABLE WASTE CHARACTERISTICS FOR
DESTRUCTION IN CEMENT KILNS

| <u>Waste Parameter</u> | <u>Acceptable Range</u> | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----|---------------|
| Heating Value | 8,000 Btu/lb | to | 18,000 Btu/lb |
| Sulfur | 1% | to | 3% |
| Ash | 5% | to | 12% |
| Water | 1% | to | 10% |
| Chlorine | 3% | to | 10% |
| pH | 4 | to | 11 |
| Lead | less than 4,000 ppm | | |
| Chromium | 1,500 to 3,000 ppm | | |
| Zinc | 1,000 to 3,000 ppm | | |
| Barium | less than 3,000 ppm | | |
| Titanium | less than 6,000 ppm | | |
| Mercury | less than 10 ppm | | |
| Arsenic | less than 10 ppm | | |

It is interesting to note that the waste characteristics for the Canadian cement kiln test⁽⁶⁾ were significantly different from the limits established by domestic kiln operators presented in Table 3-3. The chlorine content of the waste in the Canadian test was 40 percent compared to a domestic maximum of 10 percent and the heating value of 6,000 Btu per pound is lower than the domestic minimum of 8,000 Btu per pound. The high chlorine content of the waste may have been responsible for some of the problems encountered during the trial burn.

3.3 Present Waste Destruction Capacity

The quantity of wastes destroyed in three permitted cement kilns was obtained from the Economic Analysis Branch, Office of Solid Waste, EPA. In 1983, the three kilns burned 21,741 metric tons of hazardous waste. The Economic Analysis Branch has estimated that 8 to 12 cement kilns have received hazardous waste storage permits necessary to burn hazardous wastes. Extrapolating the known waste destruction quantities for the three kilns provides estimates of 58,000 metric tons destroyed in 8 kilns and 87,000 metric tons destroyed in 12 kilns.

These estimates of the quantities of wastes currently destroyed in cement kilns are one to four percent of the potential cement kiln capacity estimated in Section 3.1. Subtracting the estimated present waste destruction capacity from potential capacity yields available capacity estimates for wastes that could be destroyed in cement kilns ranging from 2.18 to 5.99 million metric tons per year. The major barrier to using this capacity is the lack of specific information that shows an overall economic benefit from waste destruction in cement kilns.

Based on conversations with cement kiln operators, the profitability of waste destruction in cement kilns is marginal. Expenses include storage tank construction, burner modification, additional monitoring equipment, operating and maintenance costs, waste analyses and the cost of the hazardous waste which ranges from 10 to 70 cents per pound. Economic benefits include the reduction of fuel costs and the receipt of disposal fees. A major factor affecting the decision to burn hazardous wastes is the expense associated with obtaining a permit.

4.0 UPDATE OF THE HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATOR MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY

This section contains a summary of the numbers, types, and characteristics of hazardous waste incinerator systems currently operating in the United States, based on information obtained from incinerator manufacturers. The information presented in this section is an update of the previous MITRE effort conducted in 1981.⁽¹⁾ The new information gathered is integrated with the previous information to present the status of the industry at this time.

4.1 Identification of Manufacturers

During May and June 1985, fifty-five incinerator manufacturers were contacted in order to determine those marketing hazardous waste units. Attempts to contact an additional fifteen incinerator manufacturers were unsuccessful. The manufacturers contacted were those identified in Reference 1 and any additional firms identified in:

- 1985 Chemical Engineering Catalog
- February 1985 Buyer's Guide, Solid Waste Management Magazine
- Directory and Resource Book, Air Pollution Control Association.

Some of the manufacturers identified in Reference 1 are no longer in the hazardous waste incinerator business. The thirty-seven companies that are still active or presumed active in the business are listed in Appendix D of this report.

4.2 Summary of Information Provided by Manufacturers

Hazardous waste incinerator manufacturers were asked to provide information about the types of incinerators manufactured, the approximate number of units sold between 1981 (the date of the previous MITRE survey) and mid-1985, and design and operating information. A summary of the information obtained is presented in Table 4-1. One hundred and eleven incinerators of six different types were reported constructed since 1981 by the 37 manufacturers cooperating in the survey. The four major types of hazardous waste incinerators: hearth, liquid injection, rotary kiln and fluidized bed. Hearth incinerators include fixed hearth, multiple chamber hearth, pulse hearth, rotary hearth, and reciprocating grate units. Liquid injection is still the most prevalent type, with 51.4 percent of the recent market, which is a smaller share than the 64 percent shown in the 1981-82 data. Recent sales of both the pulse hearth and the rotary hearth increased from 0.6 percent to

TABLE 4-1

NUMBER OF HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATORS BUILT IN THE
UNITED STATES BY DOMESTIC MANUFACTURERS FROM 1981-1985

| TYPE OF INCINERATOR | NEW INCINERATORS | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Liquid Injection | 57 | 51.4 |
| Hearth | | |
| Fixed Grate | 16 | 14.4 |
| Moving Grate | 10 | 9.0 |
| Rotary Grate | 10 | 9.0 |
| Rotary Kiln | 14 | 12.6 |
| Fluidized-Bed | 4 | 3.6 |
| Total | 111 | 100.0 |

TABLE 4-2

TOTAL NUMBER OF HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATORS BUILT
IN THE UNITED STATES BY DOMESTIC MANUFACTURERS FROM 1969-1985

| TYPE OF INCINERATOR | NUMBER OF MANUFACTURING COMPANIES (1981 1985) | | INCINERATORS CONSTRUCTED | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
|---------------------------|--|----|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Liquid Injection | 23 | 14 | 276 | 60.9 |
| Hearth | | | | |
| Fixed Grate | 14 | 10 | 82 | 18.1 |
| Moving Grate | 2 | 2 | 13 | 2.9 |
| Rotary Grate | 1 | 1 | 12 | 2.6 |
| Rotary Kiln | 13 | 10 | 56* | 12.4 |
| Fluidized Bed | 9 | 6 | 13 | 2.9 |
| Salt Bath | 1 | 1 | 0 | — |
| Infrared Heating | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Total | | | 453 | 100.0 |

9 percent. The market share for fixed hearth incinerators decreased to 14.4 percent from 17.3 percent and the fluidized-bed share increased to 3.6 percent from 2.6 percent. The recent rotary kiln market share remained relatively constant at 12.6 percent compared to 12.3 percent earlier.

The information in Table 4-1 is combined with data from the previous study in Table 4-2. Not all of the 453 incinerators have remained in hazardous waste service; many have ceased operation or switched to non-hazardous service. Liquid injection incinerators are most prevalent, representing 60.9 percent of the total units manufactured, hearth incinerators comprise 23.4 percent of the total, and 12.4 percent of the incinerators are rotary kilns. These three types account for 97 percent of the units manufactured. A current classification of incinerator manufacturers by the type of units they sell is presented in Table 4-3.

Of the 57 companies identified as marketing hazardous waste incinerators in Reference 1, 22 have either gone out of business, left the hazardous waste incinerator business, or have put much less emphasis on this activity. Only two new companies are pursuing this market. Apparently many of the companies that were anticipating large growth in the incinerator market in 1981 have abandoned the business as a result of selling only a few or no incinerators since that time. Of the 23 companies marketing liquid injection incinerators in 1981, only 14 are marketing them now; of the 17 companies offering rotary kiln incinerators in 1981, only 10 are doing so now; and of the nine companies offering fluidized-bed incinerators in 1981, only six remain. Of the 12 hearth incinerator manufacturers in 1981, 13 remain. Half of the companies offering innovative incineration technology have left the marketplace.

Trane Thermal and John Zink have established strong market positions in liquid injection incinerators, accounting for sales of 55 percent of those units. C.E. Raymond has sold 52 percent of the rotary kilns in service. Sales of hearth incinerators are distributed evenly among the manufacturers. Six domestic manufacturers (C. E. Raymond, C&H Combustion, Fuller Company, Midland-Ross, Shirco, and Sur-Lite) produce more than one type of incinerator.

4.3 Incinerator Capacity Information

Incinerator design information was obtained from interviews with 23 incinerator manufacturers during this survey and sales literature provided by some of the companies. This discussion focuses on incinerator design capacities and air pollution control equipment.

TABLE 4-3

1985 MANUFACTURERS BY INCINERATOR TYPES

Hearth Incinerators

Basic Environmental Engineering
 Bayco
 Burn-Zol
 Econo-Therm Energy Systems
 Ecolaire ECP
 Epcon Industrial Systems, Inc.
 Midland-Ross
 Therm-Tech
 Washburn and Granger

Rotary Kiln Incinerators

CE Raymond
 C&H Combustion
 Environmental Elements (von Roll)
 Fuller Company
 Industronics
 International Incinerators
 Thermall, Inc.
 Trofe Incineration
 Vulcan Iron Works
 U.S. Smelting Furnace

Liquid Injection Incinerators

Brule'
 C&H Combustion
 CE Raymond
 CJS Energy Resources, Inc.
 Coen
 Entech Industrial Systems
 Hirt Combustion
 McGill
 Peabody International
 Prencos
 Shirco
 Sur-Lite
 Trane Thermal
 John Zink

Fluidized Bed Incinerators

CE Raymond
 Copetech
 Dorr Oliver
 Fuller Company
 GA Technologies
 Sur-Lite

Other Types of Incinerators

Midland-Ross-Rotary Hearth
 Pyro-Magnetics-Induction
 Heating
 Rockwell-Molten Salt
 Shirco-Infrared

Design capacities of new incinerators expressed as thermal input are presented in Table 4-4. New hearth incinerators have the smallest average capacity of the three major types, with thermal inputs ranging from 4 to 48 million Btu/hr. Rotary hearths can be constructed with capacities ranging from 25 to 170 million Btu/hr and one manufacturer of a pulse hearth design has built a unit with a capacity of 48 million Btu/hr. Liquid injection and rotary kilns have similar ranges of thermal capacities. Although the largest

TABLE 4-4

THERMAL RATINGS OF NEW HAZARDOUS WASTE
INCINERATOR TYPES AS REPORTED BY MANUFACTURERS

| INCINERATOR TYPE | RANGE OF RATINGS (10 ⁶ Btu/hr) | AVERAGE RATING (10 ⁶ Btu/hr) | NUMBER REPORTING CAPACITY |
|---------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Liquid Injection | 4 - 200 | 56 | 21 |
| Hearth | 4 - 48 | 20 | 28 |
| Rotary Kiln | 0.5 - 100 | 44 | 6 |

incinerator listed in Table 4-4 has a capacity of 200 million Btu/hr, some manufacturers have received requests to bid on facilities as large as 300 million Btu/hr. Such large facilities may have several primary combustion chambers ducted to a common secondary chamber.

Nearly all hazardous waste incinerators installed since the previous survey are equipped with some type of air pollution control equipment. Generally, both gaseous and particulate emissions are controlled, although some hazardous waste incinerators are not equipped with any air pollution control equipment. Air pollution control equipment is located downstream of any energy recovery equipment and can consist of one or more of the following components:

- Quench chamber
- Particulate collection device
 - Venturi scrubber
 - Baghouse
 - Electrostatic precipitator
 - Cyclone
 - Ionizing wet scrubber

- Gas absorbing device
 - Packed tower scrubber
 - Plate or tray scrubber
 - Spray tower scrubber
 - Ionizing wet scrubber
- Mist eliminator

The application of high-efficiency particulate equipment such as baghouses and electrostatic precipitators on hazardous waste incinerators is limited.

5.0 REFERENCES

1. E. Keitz et al. Hazardous Waste Control Technology Data Base, MTR-82W170, The MITRE Corporation, November 1982.
2. G. Vogel et al. Composition of Hazardous Waste Streams Currently Incinerated, WP-83W00065, The MITRE Corporation, April 1983.
3. The American Cement Directory 1985, Published by The Bradley Pulverizer Co., Allentown, Pennsylvania, June 1985.
4. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Statistical Abstract of the U.S. 1985, 105th edition, Washington, D.C., 1985.
5. Background Information Document for Preparing a Regulatory Impact Analysis of Burning Hazardous Wastes in Rotary Kilns, 9266.00/39A-D, Engineering Science, Fairfax, Virginia, June 1985.
6. L. MacDonald et al. Burning Waste Chlorinated Hydrocarbons in a Cement Kiln, for Environmental Protection Service, Fisheries and Environment Canada, Report No. EPS 4-WP-77-2, March 1977.
7. U.S. Congressional Budget Office. Hazardous Waste Management: Recent Changes and Policy Alternatives, Washington, D.C., 1985.
8. S. Haus et al. Composition of Selected Hazardous Waste Streams, WP-81W00465 Revision 1, The MITRE Corporation, November 1981.

APPENDIX A
COMPUTERIZED DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The software chosen for this project was dBASE III (Ashton-Tate, Version 1.1), database management system for the IBM PC or IBM compatible microcomputer. dBASE III possesses all of the basic management capabilities such as sorting, searching, adding, deleting, editing, reporting, and other features to create the database management standard for today's 16-bit microcomputers. A rudimentary knowledge of dBASE III is essential for further management of the databases that have been created and organized by MITRE for this project.

Using dBASE III, MITRE has created two databases: (1) Incinerators.dbf and (2) Wastes.dbf. Each data element (record) in Incinerators.dbf describes a company, its location, number of incinerators, and appropriate incinerator characteristics. The structure of Incinerators.dbf is as follows:

| <u>Field</u> | <u>Field Name</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------|-------------------|--|
| 1 | COMPANY | Company name |
| 2 | CITY | City |
| 3 | STATE | State |
| 4 | FACILITYNO | Facility number assigned by MITRE |
| 5 | ONSITE | Incinerator destroys waste generated on-site (Marked "X") |
| 6 | COMMERCIAL | Incinerator destroys waste generated off-site for a fee (Marked "X") |
| 7 | ROTARY | Incinerator design - Rotary Kiln (Marked "X") |
| 8 | LIQUID | Incinerator design - Liquid Injection (Marked "X") |
| 9 | FUME | Incinerator design - Fume (Marked "X") |
| 10 | HEARTH | Incinerator design - Hearth (Marked "X") |
| 11 | CEMENT | Incinerator design - Cement Kiln (Marked "X") |
| 12 | FLUIDIZED | Incinerator design - Fluidized Bed (Marked "X") |
| 13 | LIQUIDOPT | Incinerator design - Liquid injection capabilities (Marked "X") |
| 14 | OTHER | Any other type of incinerator technology (Marked "X") |
| 15 | DCAPACBTU | Design capacity in millions Btu/hr |
| 16 | DCAPACLB | Design capacity in lbs/hr |
| 17 | DCAPACGAL | Design capacity in gal/hr |
| 18 | UTILIZE | Percent utilization |
| 19 | ACAPACBTU | Available capacity in millions Btu/hr |
| 20 | ACAPACLB | Available capacity in lbs/hr |
| 21 | ACAPACGAL | Available capacity in gal/hr |
| 22 | APCSYES | Air pollution control system-YES (Marked "X") |

| <u>Field</u> | <u>Field Name</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------|-------------------|---|
| 23 | APCSNO | Air pollution control system-NO " |
| 24 | APCSUNK | Air pollution control system-Unknown " |
| 25 | AHCYES | Ash handling capability - YES (Marked "X") |
| 26 | AHCNO | Ash handling capability - NO " |
| 27 | AHCUNK | Ash handling capability - Unknown " |
| 28 | SCHEDULE | Operating schedule in hrs/yr |
| 29 | PERMIT | Permit status (A-approved, F-filed, W-withdrawn, U-unknown) |
| 30 | NUMBERINC | Number of incinerators |
| 31 | SICCODE 1 | First SIC code |
| 32 | SICCODE 2 | Second SIC code |
| 33 | SICCODE 3 | Third SIC code |
| 34 | SOURCE | Data source (B-Part B, T-MITRE 1981 telephone survey, Q-RIA questionnaire mailed by EPA) |
| 35 | EPAID | EPA RCRA facility identification number |

In addition to indicating the incinerator design and the presence of air pollution control equipment, data base elements contain the other information described below. The name of the company operating the incinerator, the location of the incinerator, the EPA RCRA identification number and the SIC codes of the industry generating the incinerated waste are recorded. Each incinerator is identified as either commercial or private. Private incinerators serve only the company owning the unit whereas commercial units destroy wastes from off-site sources for a fee. If more than one incinerator exists at a facility, the capacity and design information entered in the remainder of the record is the sum of all incinerators of the same design. If incinerators of different designs exist at one facility, separate records are used for each design.

The data element for the incinerator design capacity indicates the nameplate rating as a thermal input, mass feed rate or volume feed rate. An incinerator may actually operate at throughputs either higher or lower than the design capacity. All design capacities were entered as or converted to thermal inputs (millions of Btu per hour) using a waste heating value of 8,000 Btu per pound and a density of 8.34 pounds per gallon if the actual heating value and density are not specified.

Information about the incinerator operating schedule is entered for estimating the unused capacity of each incinerator. The value indicated by the owner or operator for annual hours of incinerator operation is divided by a theoretical value for full-time operation to obtain a utilization rate. The full-time operation estimate

derived from incinerator reliability and maintenance schedules is 7,426 hours per year at an availability of 84.8 percent. During data collection, it was not obvious whether the statistic for annual hours of operation includes burning non-hazardous wastes. MITRE has no information regarding the percentage of time spent burning non-hazardous wastes compared to burning hazardous wastes, although analysis of the data indicates that the utilization rate accurately describes hazardous waste destruction activities.

Each record in the data base references the source of information and the incinerator permit status.

Each record in Wastes.dbf identifies a waste and its characteristics. The structure for Wastes.dbf is as follows:

| <u>Field</u> | <u>Field Name</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------|-------------------|---|
| 1 | FACILITYNO | Facility number assigned by MITRE |
| 2 | WASTECODE | EPA RCRA Waste code |
| 3 | SOLID | Physical state of waste - Marked "X" if appropriate |
| 4 | LIQUID | " |
| 5 | SLUDGE | " |
| 6 | CONTAIN | " (Containerized) |
| 7 | OTHER | " |
| 8 | HECONTENT | Heat content in Btu/lb |
| 9 | HACONTENT | Halogen content (%) |
| 10 | SOCONTENT | Solids content (%) |
| 11 | WACONTENT | Water content (%) |
| 12 | AMTINGAL | Annual amount incinerated in gallons |
| 13 | AMTINLBS | Annual amount incinerated in pounds |
| 14 | AMTINMT | Annual amount incinerated in metric tons |

The databases are linked by the common field FACILITYNO. Thus each waste in Wastes.dbf corresponds to a facility number in Incinerators.dbf.

Retrieval and manipulation of the data elements can be achieved with knowledge of dBASE III commands. Several "user friendly" programs (command files) have been provided by MITRE for basic management such as adding new records and modifying or deleting existing records from either database. The following programs have been developed: MENU.PRG, INCINSERT.PRG, WASINSERT.PRG, INCEDIT.PRG, INCEDIT.FMT, WASEDIT.PRG, WASEDIT.FMT, and PACK.PRG. Each file contains a heading briefly explaining the purpose of each program.

To access these programs, one must begin at the command file MENU.PRG, which will in turn call subsequent programs at the user's request. The following outlines the steps involved:

- 1) Load dBASE III (See "Setting Up Your System," dBASE III Manual, pp 1-4 - 1-7).
- 2) Upon receiving the dot prompt ".", type the command "DO MENU.PRG."
- 3) This will bring up a screen allowing the user six options:
 1. Add new incinerators.
 2. Edit/delete incinerators.
 3. Add new wastes.
 4. Edit/delete wastes.
 5. Pack the database*
 6. Exit dBASE III.

*Note: "Delete" means to mark for deletion; "Pack" means to permanently remove from the database those records marked for deletion.

- 4) Enter a choice from the list and follow instructions.

Searches, sorts, reports and other similar tasks must be carried out by the user with the tools provided by dBASE III. Since these tasks continually change with the needs of the user, no programs have been provided by MITRE to perform them.

A few examples of the capabilities of dBASE III management will be illustrated henceforth with reference to the dBASE III User's Manual. The following example performs a search on Incinerators.dbf to all companies with rotary kiln incinerators. The following command provides a complete listing of all records for which the field ROTARY contains the character "X":

- . USE INCINERATORS (to indicate which database we want to use)
- . LIST FOR ROTARY = "X"

The following command is more specific:

- . LIST COMPANY, CITY, STATE FOR ROTARY = "X"

Once again, all records describing rotary kiln incinerators will be listed; however, in this case only the name of the company, the city,

and the state will be displayed as requested. The following command would be entered to list all rotary kiln incinerators in Texas:

- . LIST COMPANY, CITY, STATE FOR ROTARY = "X" .AND. STATE = "TX" TO PRINT

The words "To Print" direct the listing to the printer. These are just a few basic examples of searches that dBASE III can easily perform. Refer to the User's Manual for further information.

Sorts can be performed with one of two commands, SORT or INDEX. When a database is sorted, records on the disk are rearranged in a particular order. Consequently, as databases get larger, SORTS can take a long time to complete. INDEXing is a faster method that keeps records in a particular order without actually rearranging them on the disk. Both methods are explained in depth in Chapter V of the dBASE III User's Manual.

As an example, the following commands will SORT the Wastes.dbf database in order of facility number:

- . USE WASTES (to indicate which database we want to use)
- . SORT ON FACILITYNO TO TEMP

A new file called TEMP.dbf has been created to store the database in order of ascending facility numbers. These two commands,

- . USE TEMP
- . LIST

will list the sorted database. Alternatively, the commands

- . USE WASTES
- . INDEX ON FACILITYNO TO FACILITY
- . LIST

would have created and listed the contents of an index file called FACILITY.NDX (dBASE III automatically adds the extension .NDX). Once again, the examples above merely touch on the capabilities that dBASE III possesses.

Reports can be generated by one of two methods. The first is to use the built in dBASE III report generator, explained in Chapter VII in the dBASE III User's Manual. The user inputs various format parameters and follows menu-driver instructions. The report generator is useful for producing straightforward reports of the entire database.

The alternative method is to write a command file, or program, to create the report. A command file is a disk file that contains a series of dBASE III commands arranged by the user. When the program is run, each command is executed one at a time in succession. Command files are the final steps to learning dBASE III. With a working knowledge of most of the commands, the user should be able to write command files to perform a variety of tasks instead of having to repeatedly type each command in one at a time. An appropriate command file can be used to create almost any type of report desired.

However, as stated above, in order to write useful command files, the user must be familiar with dBASE III. Command files are discussed in the dBASE III Manual in Chapters VIII-X. However, it is recommended that all previous chapters are read or skimmed first. Another recommended reference is Understanding dBASE III by Alan Simpson, a short book that presents dBASE III in a clear and effective manner.

APPENDIX B
HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATORS

| <u>Company</u> | <u>City</u> | <u>State</u> | <u>EPA ID</u> | <u>Permit Status</u> | <u>Data Source</u> |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Belding Chemical Industries | Grosvenor | CT | CTD049185515 | W | T |
| Bic Pen Corporation | Milford | CT | CTD001166586 | F | T |
| Combustion Engineering | Windsor | CT | CTD001159557 | W | T |
| Pfizer, Inc. | Groton | CT | CTD001147495 | W | T |
| Pratt and Whitney | East Hartford | CT | CTD990672081 | F | T |
| ICJ Americas | Dighton | MA | MAD051505477 | W | T |
| Polaroid Corp. | Waltham | MA | MAD001402320 | F | T |
| Union Chemical Co., Inc. | South Hope | ME | MED042143883 | W | T |
| Amtrol Inc. | West Warwick | RI | RID001192145 | W | T |
| Drew Metalex Corp. | Old Bridge | NJ | NJD081995508 | F | B |
| E.I. DuPont | Deepwater | NJ | NJD002385730 | F | B |
| E.I. DuPont | Deepwater | NJ | NJD002385730 | W | B |
| FMC Corp. | Plainsboro | NJ | NJD000586164 | W | T |
| Intl. Flavors & Fragrances | Union Beach | NJ | NJD002194843 | W | T |
| Ortho Diagnostics Inc. | Raritan | NJ | NJD068715424 | F | B |
| Reichhold Chemicals | Elizabeth | NJ | NJD002202369 | W | T |
| Rollins Environmental Services | Bridgeport | NJ | NJD053288239 | F | T |
| Union Carbide Corp. | Piscataway | NJ | NJD002444719 | F | B |
| Active Steel Drum Inc. | Long Island | NY | NYD003933355 | W | T |
| Battery Disposal Technology | Clarence | NY | NYD000632372 | W | T |
| Bell Test Center AF Plant | Buffalo | NY | NYD467202462 | W | T |
| Bendix Corp. | Sidney | NY | NYD001827633 | W | T |
| Case Hoyt Corporation | Rochester | NY | NYD002206365 | W | T |
| Food & Drug Research Labs. | Waverly | NY | NYD990763096 | W | T |
| G.E. Insulating Materials | Schenectady | NY | NYD052987086 | W | T |
| Hooker Chemicals & Plastics | N. Tonawanda | NY | NYD002106938 | W | T |
| Hooker Chemicals & Plastics | Niagara Falls | NY | NYD000824482 | U | T |
| Kodak Park Div., Eastman Kodak | Rochester | NY | NYD980592497 | F | B |
| Nepera Chemical Co. | Harriman | NY | NYD002014595 | W | T |
| Philips ECG Inc. (GTE Products) | Seneca Falls | NY | NYD002246015 | W | T |
| Reichhold Chemical Co. | Niagara Falls | NY | NYD002103216 | W | T |
| General Electric Noryl Products | Selkirk | NY | NYD066832023 | F | B |
| General Electric Silicone Product | Waterford | NY | NYD002080034 | F | B |
| Eli Lilly and Co. | Mayaguez | PR | PRD091024786 | F | B |
| Merck | Barceloneta | PR | PRD090028101 | F | B |
| Pfizer | Barceloneta | PR | PRD090346909 | F | B |
| Smith Kline | Guayama | PR | PRT000040675 | F | T |
| Squibb | Hamacao | PR | PRD090021056 | F | B |
| American Cyanamid | Linden | NJ | NJD002173276 | W | T |
| Pennwalt | West Deptford | NJ | NJD980753875 | F | B |
| Occidental Chemical | Niagara Falls | NY | NYD000824482 | F | B |
| Janssen Inc. | Gurabo | PR | PRT000019604 | F | B |
| Sterling Pharmaceuticals | Barceloneta | PR | PRD991291949 | F | B |
| DuPont Exp. Station | Wilmington | DE | DED003930807 | A | B |
| Hercules Res. Center | Wilmington | DE | DED001315647 | A | B |
| Central Chemical Corp. | Elkton | MD | MDD041953803 | W | T |
| FMC Corp., Ag. Chem. Group | Baltimore | MD | MDD003071875 | F | B |

| <u>Company</u> | <u>City</u> | <u>State</u> | <u>EPA ID</u> | <u>Permit Status</u> | <u>Data Source</u> |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| GMC-GMAD | Baltimore | MD | MDD003091972 | U | Q |
| Multichem | Baltimore | MD | MDD093958767 | W | T |
| Avtex Fibers Inc. | Meadville | PA | PAD080639974 | W | T |
| Morton Thiokol | Elkton | MD | MDD003067121 | F | B |
| General Electric | Erie | PA | PAD005033055 | W | T |
| Knoll International Inc. | E. Greenville | PA | PAD053306015 | W | T |
| Koppers Co., Inc. | Bridgeville | PA | PAD063764898 | W | T |
| Letterkenny Army Depot | Chambersburgh | PA | PA6213820503 | F | T |
| Pennwalt | King of Prussia | PA | PAD075538033 | W | T |
| Merck Chemical Division | Danville | PA | PAD003043353 | F | B |
| Smith Kline Chem-Riverside | Conshohocken | PA | PAD980550412 | A | B |
| Trane Thermal Co. | Conshohocken | PA | PAD069006419 | F | B |
| Merck, Sharp & Dohme | West Point | PA | PAD002387926 | F | B |
| Wyeth Labs | Paoli | PA | PAD002323550 | W | T |
| Zapata Industries, Inc. | Frackville | PA | PAD002499440 | F | B |
| Allied Chemical | Hopewell | VA | VAD065385296 | F | B |
| Univ. of Virginia | Charlottesville | VA | VAD000820712 | W | T |
| American Cyanamid Co. | Willow Island | WV | WVD004341491 | F | B |
| Borg-Warner Chem-Weston Pl. #1 | Morgantown | WV | WVD980552384 | W | B |
| Borg-Warner Chem-Weston Pl. #1 | Morgantown | WV | WVD980552384 | W | T |
| Dupont E.I. deNemours | Parkersburg | WV | WVD045875291 | A | B |
| Mobay Chem. Corp. | New Martinsville | WV | WVD056866312 | F | B |
| Mobay Chem. Corp | New Martinsville | WV | | A | |
| Monsanto | Nirto | WV | WVD039990965 | W | T |
| Union Carbide-Plant 514 | S. Charleston | WV | WVD005005483 | A | B |
| Union Carbide Tech Center | S. Charleston | WV | WVD060682291 | A | B |
| Gulf Oil | Philadelphia | PA | PAD049791098 | F | B |
| Chemical Waste Management | Emelle | AL | ALD000622464 | F | B |
| Ciba-Geigy | McIntosh | AL | ALD001221902 | F | B |
| Shell Chem Co.-Mobile Plant | Axis | AL | ALD093179315 | F | B |
| Stauffer | Bucks | AL | ALD095688875 | W | T |
| 3M | Decatur | AL | ALD004023164 | W | T |
| Alpha Chemical Corp. | Lakeland | FL | FLD057231821 | W | T |
| U.S. Army Anniston Depot | Anniston | AL | ALD210020027 | F | B |
| Honeywell | St. Petersburg | FL | FLD004104105 | F | B |
| JFK Space Center | JFK Space Cntr | FL | FL6800014525 | F | B |
| Olin Corp. | St. Marks | FL | FLD047096524 | F | B |
| South Dade Incinerator | Miami | FL | FLD000648162 | W | T |
| Cargill | Forest Park | GA | GAD084823301 | F | B |
| Bernath Barrel | Mableton | GA | GAD051010148 | W | T |
| Cargill | Forest Park | GA | GAD084823301 | F | B |
| Southeastern Waste Treatment | Dalton | GA | GAD000222083 | W | T |
| U.S. Army Blue Grass Depot | Richmond | KY | KYD213820105 | F | B |
| Union Carbide-Ag Products | Woodbine | GA | GAD030035356 | W | T |
| DuPont | Louisville | KY | KYD003924198 | F | B |
| Heublein Inc. | Paducah | KY | KYD091515502 | W | T |
| LG&S Disposal Co. | Louisville | KY | KYD000831016 | W | T |

| <u>Company</u> | <u>City</u> | <u>State</u> | <u>EPA ID</u> | <u>Permit Status</u> | <u>Data Source</u> |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Liquid Waste Disposal | Calvert City | KY | KYD088438817 | A | T |
| Liquid Waste Disposal | Calvert City | KY | KYD088438817 | A | T |
| Olin | Brandenburg | KY | KYD006396246 | F | B |
| First Chemical | Pascagoula | MS | MSD033417031 | W | T |
| Mitchell Systems | Spruce Pine | NC | NCD991277724 | F | B |
| Burroughs Wellcome | Greenville | NC | NCD052547635 | F | B |
| Caldwell Systems | Lenoir | NC | NCD086871282 | F | B |
| General Electric Corp | Wilmington | NC | NCD050409150 | A | B |
| Chem. Ind. Inst. Toxicology | R.T.P. | NC | NONE | W | T |
| DuPont | Leland | NC | NCD047369046 | F | B |
| Lithium (formerly SCA) | Bessemer City | NC | NCD000771964 | W | T |
| Stablex | Rock Hill | SC | SCD044442333 | F | B |
| Singer Furniture | Lenoir | NC | NCD000604322 | W | Q |
| U.S. Dept. of Energy | Oak Ridge | TN | TND048990018 | F | B |
| ABCO Industries, Inc. | Roebuck | SC | SCD003360393 | F | B |
| American Enka | Central | SC | SCD052944295 | F | B |
| DuPont | Lugoff | SC | SCD003344363 | F | B |
| Owens Corning | Anderson | SC | SCD003349982 | F | B |
| Alpha Resins | Collierville | TN | TND007037765 | W | T |
| DuPont | Memphis | TN | TND007024672 | F | B |
| Eastman Kodak | Kingsport | TN | TND003376928 | F | B |
| Eastman Kodak | Kingsport | TN | TND003376928 | F | B |
| Huyck Formex | Greenville | TN | TND003375441 | W | T |
| Solid & Liquid Waste Disposal | Dyersburg | TN | NONE | W | T |
| Kay Fries | Theodore | AL | | W | T |
| Monsanto | Anniston | AL | | W | T |
| Union Carbide | Columbia | TN | | W | T |
| Pennwalt | Calvert City | KY | KYD006370159 | A | B |
| U.S. Army - Mississippi Army Ammo | Bay St. Louis | MS | MSD800016123 | A | B |
| USA Volunteer Army Ammo Plant | Chattanooga | TN | TND062120933 | U | Q |
| Monsanto Company | Luling | LA | LAD001700756 | W | Q |
| Uniroyal Chemical | Geismar | LA | LAD008194060 | F | |
| Northern Petrochemical Co Inc | Morris | IL | ILD048296180 | F | B |
| Armak | Morris | IL | ILD065237851 | W | T |
| Koppers Company, Inc. | Chicago | IL | ILD005164611 | F | B |
| Marathon-Robinson Refining | Robinson | IL | ILD005476882 | W | T |
| Meyer Steel Drum, Inc. | Chicago | IL | ILD081037772 | W | T |
| Monsanto Co., Krummrich Plant | Sauget | IL | ILD000802702 | U | T |
| Reilly Tar & Chem. Corp. | Granite City | IL | ILD006278360 | W | T |
| Chemical Waste Management | Chicago | IL | ILD000672121 | F | B |
| Spaulding Fiber Co. Inc. | Dekalb | IL | ILD064000011 | W | T |
| Texaco USA (Refining) | Lockport | IL | ILD041518861 | W | T |
| Trade Waste Inc. | Sauget | IL | ILD098642424 | F | T |
| 3M-Cordova | Cordova | IL | ILD054236443 | F | B |
| Dow | Indianapolis | IN | IND000195545 | W | B |
| Lilly (Eli) & Co. Labs | Clinton | IN | IND072040348 | F | B |
| Lilly (Eli) & Co. Clinton Labs | Clinton | IN | IND072040348 | F | B |

| <u>Company</u> | <u>City</u> | <u>State</u> | <u>EPA ID</u> | <u>Permit Status</u> | <u>Data Source</u> |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Owens-Corning Fiberglass | Valparaiso | IN | IND980502074 | F | B |
| Union Carbide | East Chicago | IN | IND077001147 | W | T |
| A-1 Disposal Corp. | Plainwell | MI | MID059695452 | W | T |
| Dow Chemical | Midland | MI | MID000724724 | F | B |
| Nor-Am Chemical Co. | Muskegon | MI | MID080358351 | A | |
| Upjohn | Kalamazoo | MI | MID000820381 | F | B |
| 3M-Chemolite | Cottage Grove | MN | MND006172969 | F | B |
| Cincinnati Ind. Waste Disp. | Cincinnati | OH | OHD000720250 | F | B |
| Catalyst Resources-Dart Ind. | Elyria | OH | OHD046202602 | A | B |
| GTE Products Corp. | Ottawa | OH | OHD097234876 | W | T |
| Ross Incineration Services Inc. | Grafton | OH | OHD048415665 | F | T |
| SCM Corp. | Huron | OH | OHD002946291 | W | T |
| U.S. Industrial Chemicals | Cincinnati | OH | OHD072865074 | A | B |
| SOHIO-Vistron | Lima | OH | OHD042157644 | F | B |
| Curwood Inc. | New London | WI | WID006144737 | F | B |
| Freeman Chemical Corp. | Saukville | WI | WID980615439 | F | B |
| Commerce Industrial Chemical | Milwaukee | WI | WID980795181 | A | B |
| Johnson, SC & Son, Inc. | Sturtevant | WI | WID006091425 | W | T |
| University of Wisconsin | Madison | WI | WID000713594 | A | B |
| Waste Research & Reclamation | Eau Claire | WI | WID990829475 | F | B |
| Cargill | Carpentersville | IL | ILD005083316 | F | B |
| PPG Industries | Circleville | OH | OHD004304689 | A | B |
| Waste Technologies Industries | East Liverpool | OH | OHD980613541 | A | B |
| Akzo Chemie America | Morris | IL | ILD065237851 | F | B |
| SOHIO-Research | Warrensville Ht | OH | OHD010835619 | F | B |
| BFC Chemicals | N. Muskegon | MI | MID080358351 | A | B |
| Naval Weapons Support Center | Crane | IN | IN3170023498 | F | B |
| Energy Cooperative | East Chicago | IN | IND082547803 | W | B |
| Eli Lilly | Lafayette | IN | IND006050967 | F | B |
| Savannah Army Depot Activity | Savannah | IL | IL3210020803 | F | B |
| Olin Corp. | East Alton | IL | ILD006271696 | F | B |
| Pristine Inc. | Reading | OH | OHD076773712 | F | B |
| Freeman Chemical | Saukville | WI | WID980615439 | F | B |
| Chemical Waste Management (TWI) | Sauget | IL | ILD098642424 | F | B |
| Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant | Ravenna | OH | OH5210020736 | W | B |
| Arkansas Eastman Co. | Batesville | AR | ARD089234884 | F | T |
| ENSCO | El Dorado | AR | ARD069748192 | F | T |
| Natl. Ctr-Toxicological Res. | Jefferson | AR | AR3750030956 | U | |
| U.S. Pine Bluff Arsenal | Pine Bluff | AR | ARD213820707 | A | T |
| Westinghouse Electric Corp. | Little Rock | AR | ARD990722316 | W | T |
| American Cyanamid Co. | Westwego | LA | LAD008175390 | F | T |
| Borden Chemical | Geismar | LA | LAD003913449 | F | T |
| Chevron | Belle Chasse | LA | LAD034199802 | F | T |
| Chevron | Belle Chasse | LA | LAD034199802 | F | T |
| Ciba-Geigy | St. Gabriel | LA | LAD005378544 | F | T |
| Copolymer Rubber & Chemical | Baton Rouge | LA | LAD008182990 | W | T |
| Dow | Plaquemine | LA | LAD008187080 | F | T |

| <u>Company</u> | <u>City</u> | <u>State</u> | <u>EPA ID</u> | <u>Permit Status</u> | <u>Data Source</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Dresser P&M | Eunice | LA | LAD087025870 | W | |
| DuPont | La Place | LA | LAD001890367 | F | |
| Evans Cooperage | Harvey | LA | LAD008158289 | W | T |
| Georgia-Gulf | Plaquemine | LA | LAD057117434 | F | T |
| Grant Chemical-Ferro Corp. | Zachary | LA | LAD092104389 | W | T |
| Hooker Chem. | Addis | LA | LAD094916491 | W | T |
| LA Army Ammunition Plant | Shreveport | LA | LAD213820533 | F | |
| Morton Chemical | Weeks Island | LA | LAD059122177 | F | T |
| Olin | Lake Charles | LA | LAD008080681 | F | T |
| PPG | Westlake | LA | LAD008086506 | F | T |
| Rollins Environmental Services | Baton Rouge | LA | LAD001395127 | F | T |
| Rubicon | Geismar | LA | LAD008213191 | F | T |
| Shell Chemical | Norco | LA | LAD098622104 | F | T |
| Shell Chemical | Norco | LA | LAD098622104 | F | T |
| Stauffer Chemical | St. Gabriel | LA | LAD980627061 | F | T |
| Union Carbide | Taft | LA | LAD041581422 | F | T |
| Union Carbide | Taft | LA | LAD041581422 | F | T |
| Vulcan Materials Co. | Geismar | LA | LAD092681824 | F | T |
| Holloman AFB | Holloman AFB | NM | NM6572124422 | W | Q |
| Conoco | Ponca City | OK | OKD007233836 | F | T |
| Eagle Pitcher/Boron Dept. | Quapaw | OK | OKD098623037 | F | T |
| John Zink Co. | Tulsa | OK | OKD055940647 | F | T |
| Zapata Industries, Inc. | Muskogee | OK | OKD099751059 | F | T |
| Aztec/Purechem-Dart | Pasadena | TX | TXD077874634 | W | T |
| Badische | Freeport | TX | TXD008081697 | F | T |
| Dow Chemical | Freeport | TX | TXD008092792 | U | T |
| Dresser P&M | Dallas | TX | TXD077874634 | W | T |
| DuPont | Beaumont | TX | TXD008081101 | U | T |
| DuPont | La Porte | TX | TXD008079212 | U | T |
| DuPont | Victoria | TX | TXD008123317 | F | T |
| El Paso Products | Odessa | TX | TXD980626014 | U | T |
| FMC | Pasadena | TX | TXD083570051 | U | T |
| General Tire & Rubber Co. | Odessa | TX | TXD057422685 | U | T |
| Goodyear Tire & Rubber | Beaumont | TX | TXD008077190 | U | T |
| Goodyear Tire & Rubber | Houston | TX | TXD008077562 | U | T |
| IBM | Austin | TX | TXD041470543 | F | T |
| Mobay | Baytown | TX | TXD058260977 | F | T |
| Monsanto | Texas City | TX | TXD008079527 | F | T |
| NASA, Johnson Space Center | Houston | TX | TX8800016125 | F | T |
| PPG | Beaumont | TX | TXD020805446 | F | |
| Peterbilt Motors Co. | Denton | TX | TXD096445069 | U | T |
| Phillips | Pasadena | TX | TXD008098725 | U | T |
| Texas Eastman | Longview | TX | TXD007330202 | A | |
| Shell | Deer Park | TX | TXD067285973 | F | T |
| Sheridan Disposal Service, Inc. | Hempstead | TX | TXD062132147 | W | T |
| Stauffer | Baytown | TX | TXD082688896 | F | T |
| Texaco Chemical Co. | Conroe | TX | TXD008076853 | U | T |

| <u>Company</u> | <u>City</u> | <u>State</u> | <u>EPA ID</u> | <u>Permit Status</u> | <u>Data Source</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Texaco Chemical Co. | Port Neches | TX | TXD008076846 | F | T |
| Texas A&M | College Station | TX | TXD000789800 | W | T |
| U.S. Industrial Chemicals | La Porte | TX | TXD058276130 | U | T |
| Univ. of Texas | Galveston | TX | TXD000821264 | F | T |
| Upjohn Polymer | La Porte | TX | TXD000017756 | U | |
| Vistron-SOHIO | Green Lake | TX | TXD000751172 | F | T |
| Vought | Dallas | TX | TXD041089467 | W | T |
| Diamond Shamrock Plastics Corp. | La Porte | TX | NONE | W | T |
| Petro-Tex Chemical Corp. | Houston | TX | TXD008072134 | W | T |
| Shintech Inc. | Freeport | TX | TXD065095390 | W | T |
| US EPA - Combustion Research Fac | Pine Bluff | AR | AR6140090006 | A | Q |
| IT Corp. of Louisiana | Burnside | LA | LAD000757385 | A | B |
| Phillips Research Center | Bartlesville | OK | OKD000803601 | A | Q |
| Eastman Kodak | Longview | TX | TXD007330202 | A | |
| Rollins Environmental Services | Deer Park | TX | TXD055141378 | F | T |
| Maytag | Newton | IA | IAD005285689 | W | |
| Univ. of Iowa | Oakdale | IA | IAT200010924 | F | |
| Abbott | Wichita | KS | KSD007237746 | U | |
| American Cyanamid | Palmyra | MO | MOD050226075 | F | |
| University of Missouri | Columbia | MO | MOD006326904 | F | |
| Alcolac Inc. | Sedalia | MO | MOD084093368 | | |
| US EPA - Mobile Incinerator | McDowell | MO | MO6680090010 | A | |
| McDonnell Douglas | St. Charles | MO | MOD075888487 | A | |
| Shell (RMA) | Commerce City | CO | CO5210020769 | F | |
| University of Arizona | Tucson | AZ | AZD000819615 | F | |
| Aerojet General-Sacramento Rancho | Cordova | CA | CAD000030494 | W | T |
| Alpha Resins | Perris | CA | CAD050270975 | F | T |
| Ashland | Los Angeles | CA | CAD044046274 | F | |
| Cargill | Lynwood | CA | CAD076180843 | F | B |
| Chevron Chemical Co. | Richmond | CA | CAD043237486 | F | |
| Dow | Pittsburg | CA | CAD076528678 | F | T |
| Edwards Air Force Base | Edwards | CA | CA1570024504 | W | T |
| IT Corp.-Vine Hill Facility | Martinez | CA | CAD000094771 | F | T |
| Koppers | Oxnard | CA | CAD087163267 | W | T |
| Lawrence Livermore | Livermore | CA | CA2890012584 | F | B |
| PPG | Torrance | CA | CAD008323438 | W | B |
| Shell | Martinez | CA | CAD009164021 | F | B |
| Unitek Environmental Ser. | Ewa Beach | HI | HIT000603514 | F | T |
| Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plt. | Hawthorne | NV | NV1210090006 | F | |
| Washington State University | Pullman | WA | WAD041485301 | A | |

Permit Status Code:

A = Approved RCRA permit
F = RCRA Part B filed
W = No Part B application
U = Unknown

Data Source Code:

B = Part B permit application
T = MITRE telephone survey
Q = EPA, OSW questionnaire

APPENDIX C
CEMENT KILN LOCATIONS AND PRODUCT CAPACITIES

Annual Capacity in 1000 Tons

| Company | State | Wet | Dry | Both | Estimated |
|------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|-----------|
| BLUE CIRCLE | AL | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| GENERAL PORTLAND | AL | 0 | 750 | 0 | 0 |
| IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES | AL | 0 | 1500 | 0 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | AL | 0 | 0 | 608 | 0 |
| NATIONAL C CO | AL | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS C CORP | AR | 921 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES | AR | 395 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CALMAT | AZ | 0 | 1400 | 0 | 0 |
| GIFFORD-HILL & CO | AZ | 0 | 630 | 0 | 0 |
| CALMAT | CA | 0 | 750 | 0 | 0 |
| CALMAT | CA | 0 | 1150 | 0 | 0 |
| GENERAL PORTLAND | CA | 0 | 610 | 0 | 0 |
| GENSTAR | CA | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GENSTAR | CA | 0 | 600 | 0 | 0 |
| GIFFORD-HILL & CO | CA | 0 | 840 | 0 | 0 |
| GIFFORD-HILL & CO | CA | 0 | 1150 | 0 | 0 |
| KAISER C CO | CA | 0 | 0 | 1760 | 0 |
| KAISER C CO | CA | 0 | 0 | 1760 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | CA | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| MONOLITH PC CO | CA | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTHWESTERN PC CO | CA | 0 | 0 | 1400 | 0 |
| IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES | CO | 460 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES | CO | 0 | 885 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTHWESTERN PC CO | CO | 0 | 0 | 675 | 0 |
| GENERAL PORTLAND | FL | 650 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GENERAL PORTLAND | FL | 528 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LONE STAR FLORIDA HOLDING CO | FL | 1200 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MOORE McCORMACK C | FL | 0 | 1200 | 0 | 0 |
| RINKER MATERIALS CORP | FL | 0 | 0 | 600 | 0 |
| BLUE CIRCLE | GA | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| MEDUSA C CO | GA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 970 |
| KAISER C CO | HI | 0 | 0 | 350 | 0 |
| LONE STAR HAWAII C CORP | HI | 0 | 270 | 0 | 0 |
| DAVENPORT C CO | IA | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | IA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 422 |
| MONARCH C CO | IA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 437 |
| NORTHWESTERN STATES PC CO | IA | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | IA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 422 |
| ASH GROVE C CO | ID | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS C CO | IL | 0 | 470 | 0 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | IL | 0 | 470 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI PC CO | IL | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| MARQUETTE CO | IL | 0 | 450 | 0 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | IN | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | IN | 0 | 0 | 827 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | IN | 752 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Annual Capacity in 1000 Tons

| Company | State | Wet | Dry | Both | Estimated |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------------|
| LOUISVILLE C CO | IN | 622 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISVILLE | IN | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| GENERAL PORTLAND | KN | 407 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ASH GROVE C CO | KS | 512 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | KS | 0 | 0 | 405 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | KS | 451 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MONARCH C CO | KS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 437 |
| MOORE McCORMACK C | KY | 0 | 670 | 0 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | LA | 750 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ATLANTIC C CO | MD | 750 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COPLAY C CO | MD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | MD | 0 | 0 | 1013 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | MD | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 |
| THOMASTON | ME | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DUNDEE C CO | MI | 532 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MEDUSA C CO | MI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 970 |
| NATIONAL GYPSUM CO-CEMENT DIV | MI | 0 | 0 | 675 | 0 |
| ST MARYS PURLESS C CO | MI | 532 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DUNDEE C CO | MO | 0 | 0 | 675 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | MO | 0 | 1200 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI PC CO | MO | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| RIVER C CO | MO | 0 | 1200 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS INDUSTRIES | MS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 888 |
| IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES | MT | 330 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KAISER C CO | MT | 0 | 0 | 350 | 0 |
| ASH GROVE C CO | NE | 0 | 900 | 0 | 0 |
| IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES | NE | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES | NM | 0 | 505 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA C CO | NV | 0 | 450 | 0 | 0 |
| ATLANTIC C CO | NY | 1500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | NY | 540 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MOORE McCORMACK C | NY | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 |
| ALPHA PC CO | NY | 525 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GENERAL PORTLAND | OH | 554 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | OH | 0 | 260 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTHWESTERN PC CO | OH | 0 | 0 | 760 | 0 |
| BLUE CIRCLE | OK | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES | OK | 610 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | OK | 0 | 725 | 0 | 0 |
| ASH GROVE C CO (western div) | OR | 0 | 485 | 0 | 0 |
| ARMSTRONG C & SUPPLY CORP | PA | 370 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COPLAY C CO | PA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 |
| COPLAY C CO | PA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 |
| COPLAY C CO | PA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 |
| GENERAL PORTLAND | PA | 0 | 800 | 0 | 0 |
| HERCULES C CO | PA | 0 | 700 | 0 | 0 |
| KEYSTONE PC CO | PA | 532 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | PA | 0 | 0 | 135 | 0 |

Annual Capacity in 1000 Tons

| Company | State | Wet | Dry | Both | Estimated |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|-----------|
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | PA | 0 | 658 | 0 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | PA | 370 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MEDUSA C CO | PA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 970 |
| NATIONAL GYPSUM CO-CEMENT DIV | PA | 0 | 0 | 675 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICAN C CO | PR | 1370 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SAN JUAN C CO | PR | 880 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GIANT PORTLAND & MASONRY C CO | SC | 532 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GIFFORD-HILL & CO | SC | 0 | 600 | 0 | 0 |
| SANTEEC PC CORP | SC | 1100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA C CO | SD | 0 | 450 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA C CO | SD | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA C CO | SD | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA C CO | SD | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MOORE McCORMACK C | TN | 0 | 550 | 0 | 0 |
| SIGNAL MOUNTAIN C CO | TN | 0 | 0 | 477 | 0 |
| ALAMO C CO | TX | 0 | 725 | 0 | 0 |
| CAPITOL AGGREGATES | TX | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 |
| CENTEX | TX | 520 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GENERAL PORTLAND | TX | 0 | 731 | 0 | 0 |
| GIFFORD-HILL & CO | TX | 880 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GULF COAST PC CO | TX | 532 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KAISER C CO | TX | 0 | 0 | 540 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | TX | 0 | 0 | 321 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | TX | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | TX | 550 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTHWESTERN PC CO | TX | 333 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTHWESTERN PC CO | TX | 0 | 333 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTHWESTERN PC CO | TX | 0 | 333 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS C CO | TX | 0 | 1230 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS INDUSTRIES | TX | 0 | 550 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS INDUSTRIES | TX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 888 |
| GENERAL PORTLAND | TX | 0 | 925 | 0 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | TX | 0 | 545 | 0 | 0 |
| IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES | UT | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | UT | 420 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTHWESTERN PC CO | UT | 0 | 0 | 675 | 0 |
| LONE STAR INDUSTRIES | VA | 0 | 1200 | 0 | 0 |
| LONE STAR LAFARGE | VA | 0 | 0 | 675 | 0 |
| RIVERTON CORP | VA | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| ASH GROVE C CO | WA | 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COLUMBIA C CO | WA | 0 | 675 | 0 | 0 |
| IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES | WA | 0 | 0 | 490 | 0 |
| LEHIGH PC CO | WA | 0 | 0 | 257 | 0 |
| ST MARYS PURLESS C CO | WI | 532 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CAPITOL C CORP | WV | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CAPITOL C CORP | WV | 456 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CAPITOL C CORP | WV | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MONOLITH PC CO | WY | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

APPENDIX D
HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATOR MANUFACTURERS

APPENDIX D

Basic Environmental Engineering, Inc.
21 W. 161 Hill Avenue
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137
(312) 469-5340: John Basic, President

Bayco Industries of California
2108 Davis Street
San Leandro, CA 94577
(415) 562-6700: C.H. Beckett, President

Brule C.E. & E., Inc.
13920 Southwestern Avenue
Blue Island, IL 60406
(312) 388-7900: Al Schmid

Burn-Zol Corporation
P.O. Box 109
Dover, NJ 07801
(209) 931-1297: Ed Avencheck

C&H Combustion
1104 East Big Beaver Road
Troy, MI 48083
(313) 524-2007: Douglas Frame

CJS Energy Resources, Inc.
P.O. Box 85
Albertson, NY 11507
(215) 362-2242: Michael Budin

C.E. Raymond Co.
Bartlett Snow Division
Combustion Engineering, Inc.
200 W. Monroe Street
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 236-4044: Tom Valenti

Coen Company
1510 Rollins Road
Burlingame, CA 94010
(415) 697-0440: Dick Brown

APPENDIX D
(Continued)

Copetech
125 Windsor Drive
Oak Brook, IL 60521
(312) 986-8564: Brian Copeland

Dorr Oliver, Inc.
77 Havemeyer Lane
Stamford, CT 06904
(203) 358-3741: John Mullen

Econo-Therm Energy Systems Corp.
P.O. Box 1229
Tulsa, OK 74101
1-800-322-7867: Bob Malekowski

EPCON Industrial Systems, Inc.
The Woodlands, TX 77380
(713) 353-2319: Aziz Jamaluddin

Ecolaire ECP
11100 Nations Ford Road
P.O. Box 15753
Charlotte, NC 28210
(704) 588-1620: Bud Strobe

Environmental Elements Corp.
(Sub. of Koppers Co., Inc.)
P.O. Box 1318
Baltimore, MD 21203
(301) 368-7166: Jim Nicotri

Fuller Company
2040 Avenue C
LeHigh Valley Industrial Park
Bethlehem, PA 18001
(215) 264-6011: R.J. Aldrich

GA Technologies
P.O. Box 85608
San Diego, CA 92138
(619) 455-3000: Harold Diot

APPENDIX D
(Continued)

HPD, Inc.
1717 N. Naper Boulevard
Naperville, IL 60540
(312) 357-7330: John Karoly

Hirt Combustion Engineers
931 South Maple Avenue
Montebello, CA 90640
(213) 728-9164: Ms. Corinne Gordon

Industronics, Inc.
489 Sullivan Avenue
P.O. Drawer G
S. Windsor, CT 06074
(203) 289-1551: Brian E. Caffyn (x307)

International Incinerators, Inc.
P.O. Box 19
Columbus, GA 31902
(404) 327-5475: Ronald Hale

John Zink Company
4401 Peoria Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74105
(918) 747-1371: Duane Schaub (x454)

Lurgi Corporation
One Davis Drive
Belmont, CA 94002
(201) 967-4916: Dieter Schroer

McGill, Inc.
P.O. Box 9667
Tulsa, OK 74107
(918) 445-2431: Jim Newburn

Midland-Ross Corporation
2275 Dorr Street
Toledo, OH 43691
(419) 537-6145: Val Daiga

APPENDIX D
(Continued)

Niro Atomizer, Inc.
9165 Rumsey Road
Columbia, MD 21045
(301) 997-8700: Steve Lancos

Peabody International Corporation
4 Landmark Square
Stamford, CT 06901
(203) 327-7000: Donald Hubickey

Prencos, Inc.
29800 Stephenson Hwy.
Madison Heights, MI 48071
(313) 399-6262: John Brophy

Rockwell International
8900 DeSoto Avenue
Canoga Park, CA 91304
(818) 700-5468: Al Stewart

Shirco Infrared Systems, Inc.
1195 Empire Central
Dallas, TX 75247
(214) 630-7511: Mike Hill

Sur-Lite Corporation
8130 Allport Avenue
Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670
(213) 693-0796: John Sachs

ThermAll, Inc.
P.O. Box 1776
Peapack, NJ 07977
(201) 234-1776: George Fraunfelder

Therm Tech
Box 1105
Tualatin, OR 97062
(503) 692-1490: Dean Robbins

APPENDIX D
(Concluded)

Trane Thermal Company
Brook Road
Conshohocken, PA 19428
(215) 828-5400: Gene Irrgang

Trofe Incineration
Trofe Industrial Park
Pike Road
Mt. Laurel, NJ 08054
(609) 235-3030: George Hammond

U.S. Smelting Furnace Co.
C.E. Industries Corporation
P.O. Box 446
Belleville, IL 62222
(618) 233-0129: Robert Hess

Vulcan Iron Works, Inc.
United Penn Bank Building
Room 1050
Wilkes Barre, PA 18701
(717) 822-2161: Maurice Shafer

Washburn & Granger, Inc.
85 Fifth Avenue
P.O. Box 304
Patterson, NJ 07524
(201) 278-1965: Mr. Stelling

Waste-Tech Services, Inc.
18400 West 10th Avenue
Colden, CO 80401
(303) 279-9712: Eliot Cooper

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA

(Please read instructions on the reverse before completing)

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. REPORT NO. | | 2. | | 3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO. | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE INCINERATOR AND KILN CAPACITY FOR HAZARDOUS WASTE TREATMENT | | | | 5. REPORT DATE | |
| | | | | 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE | |
| 7. AUTHOR(S) Gregory A. Vogel, Alan S. Goldfarb, Robert E. Zier, Andrew Jewell | | | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO. | |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The MITRE Corporation McLean, Virginia 22102 | | | | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. | |
| | | | | 11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO. 68-03-3159 | |
| 12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Hazardous Waste Engineering Research Laboratory Office of research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Cincinnati, Ohio 45268 | | | | 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED | |
| | | | | 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE EPA/600/12 | |
| 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | | | |
| 16. ABSTRACT <p>Estimates of incinerator and cement kiln capacities for hazardous waste treatment are required to evaluate the impacts of banning land disposal of hazardous wastes. RCRA Part B permit applications were reviewed to obtain information about incinerator design capacity, utilization and the incinerated hazardous wastes. MITRE identified 221 incinerators within the RCRA regulatory program that are presently destroying approximately two million metric tons of hazardous waste annually. The unused potential capacity of these units is estimated to be one million metric tons of waste per year. The Congressional Budget Office estimates that 265.3 million metric tons of hazardous waste are generated annually.</p> <p>MITRE estimates that the annual hazardous waste treatment capacity available in cement kilns ranges between two and six million metric tons. Less than five percent of the potential hazardous waste treatment capacity in cement kilns has been permitted under RCRA. Factors affecting this low utilization include the large geographic distances separating some major waste generation sites from cement kilns, marginal economic benefits, and the uncertainty of some kiln operators about regulatory requirements.</p> | | | | | |
| 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS | | | | | |
| a. DESCRIPTORS | | b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS | | c. COSATI Field Group | |
| | | | | | |
| 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT RELEASE TO PUBLIC | | 19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED | | 21. NO. OF PAGES | |
| | | 20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) UNCLASSIFIED | | 22. PRICE | |