OPPE Work Assignment Manager Training

Tool Box



PLAN FOR RESULTS

TASK INITIATION WORKSHEET 1.1

									-	
ow doe	es it break	down into	phases?							
hat pre	oducts are	most appr	opriate?							
hen m	ust the tas	k be comp	leted and	why?						
hat re:	sources are	e required?		ical Hours			Oth	er Direct C	Costs	
	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Subtotal			Phase 2		Phase 4
P4						Travel				
Р3						Printing				
P2						Repro				
P1						Phone				-
Total	hours x rat	le, each pr	ofessional	(P) level =		Postage Other				
rofessi		and Other I	Direct Cost		o vary with th	ne contract.) T	otal Other	Direct Co.	sts	

DOES YOUR TASK HAVE A GOOD WORK PLAN?

TASK INITIATION CHECKLIST 2.1

It does if you can check each of these boxes?

Shows the major phases of the entire task and the steps to be pursued under each
Indicates how many weeks after task kickoff each step will be complete (or specifies actual dates)
Shows schedule for interim and final deliverables
Outlines a schedule that is reasonable for getting the work done and builds in enough slack for contingencies
Details responsibilities for each side (e.g., "EPA reviews within 2 weeks, contractor arranges logistics for meetings," etc.)
Identifies key staff and their roles
Includes a staffing plan that is reasonable for the work
Identifies Other Direct Costs
Identifies travel funds needed
Specifies hours (level of effort by professional level) and total task dollars
Includes any assumptions that may affect execution of the work
Identifies some protocols as to how the contractor and Task Manager will communicate for the duration of the task

CONTRACT WORKSHEET

TASK INITIATION SAMPLE 2.1

CONTRACT NO: ACCOUNT: CONTRACTOR: APPROPRIATION:
WORK ASSIGNMENT NO: DCN:
OFFICE OF POLICY ANALYSIS WORK ASSIGNMENT ACTION REQUEST
ORIGINAL ASSIGNMENT WORK PLAN APPROVAL WORK PLAN APPROVAL (CONDITIONAL) ASSIGNMENT AMENDMENT WORK PLAN APPROVAL OTHER ACTION
WORK ASSIGNMENT TITLE:
INSERT BRIEF DESCRIPTION AND PURPOSE:
DELIVERABLES:
COORS OF MORE PETERSION (see and see a
SCOPE OF WORK REFERENCE (page and paragraph):
APPROVED COSTS (WP):
PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE Date of Contracting Officer signature through
CONTRACTING OFFICER: PHONE:
PROJECT OFFICER: PHONE:
WORK ASSIGNMENT MANAGER: PHONE: PHONE:
APPROVALS
I have thoroughly reviewed the attached and find that (initial where appropriate): 1. the work assignment (a) gives the contractor clear direction (b) is essential to achieve our technical objectives (c) describes needed and usable deliverables (d) is within the scope of the contract
2. the workplan (a) is sufficient to achieve the objectives of the work assignment (b) has proposed costs and labor hours which are reasonable and commensurate with the work assignment (c) is within the statement of work
SIGNATURE DATE
WORK ASSIGNMENT MANAGER:
PFIOJECT OFFICER:
BFIANCH CHIEF:
DIVISION DIRECTOR:
CCINTRACT MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT GROUP:
CCNTRACTING OFFICER:

DESIGNATION & APPOINTMENT FORM

TASK INITIATION SAMPLE 2.2

Official Contract Edg Con



EPA Form 1000 65 /6 95)

Designation and Appointment of Project Officer/ Work Assignment Manager/Delivery Order Officer (for Other Than Small Purchases)

(for Other Than Small Purchases) Note: This form is not a Contracting Officer warrant. Delivery Order Officers and Administrative Delivery Order Officers require a warrant of Contracting Officer authority. Any request for a Delivery Order Officer warrant must be accompanied by the additional information required in Chapter 8 of the Contracts Management Manual. 1a. Name of Nominee b Title d. Mail Code f. Years of Contract Experience c. Organization e. Telephone 3. The Nominee Has: 2. The nomination is for: Yes No a. Completed the basic Project Officer Course Project Officer b. Completed the Contract Administration Course Work Assignment Manager **Delivery Order Officer** c. Incorporated appropriate contract management criteria in position description and performance standard. (If criteria have not been incorporated, they must be incorporated within 30 days of Administrative Delivery Order Officer **Delivery Order Project Officer** appointment.) d. If the nominee has not completed the basic Project Officer Course or the Contract Administration Course, has a waiver or interim certification been provided. If the answer to items a, b, or c is "No," or the answer to item d is "No," attach an explanation. 4. Estimated Dollar Amount of Contract, Work Assignment, or Delivery Order 5. Nomination is for (Check one) a new contract, work assignment, or delivery order entitled a change in the Project Officer, Work Assignment Manager, or Delivery Order Officer on Contract No. (If applicable, the work assignment no./delivery order no. is Certification The undersigned nominee and requesting official certify that the designation of this nominee complies with the workload limitations and other requirements set forth in Chapter 7 of the Contracts Management Manual. 6a. Signature of Nominee b. Date 7a. Signature of Requisition Official b. Name and Title c. Date (Division Director or Higher) 8a. Signature of Approval, Official b. Name and Title c. Date (Contracts Organization)

START WORK REMINDER

TASK INITIATION SAMPLE 2.3

Work assignment	under contract no.	is for policy work and
funded by Superfund.	Under the terms of your contract	ct you may not commence work other
than for work plan dev	elopment until the work plan is a	approved by the EPA.

REVIEW REQUEST MEMO

TASK INITIATION SAMPLE 2.4

MEMORAN	DUM
SUBJECT:	Work Plan #Approval For Contract # 68-W1-0009, IEC
FROM:	Mike Kosakowski, Project Officer
TO:	
	(Work Assignment Manager)
	hed is the above referenced work plan which by contract must be approved by the 21 days of the submission of the workplan, or the work on this project will cease.
	ew the plan and give me your comments by

EFFECTIVE REVIEW OF WRITTEN PRODUCTS

First	Rea	ding
\'es	No	
		Are major ideas expressed with clarity and power?
[]		Does the structure lead the reader sensibly through the arguments?
		Is the deliverable in line with the original specifications?
Seco	nd I	Reading
Yes	No	
		Is analysis sufficiently deep and clear?
		Is the material written accurately and clearly?
		Is the tone and level of information appropriate for the target audience?
		Is the product technically accurate and valid?
		Are the data substantiated to your satisfaction (Quality Assurance/Quality Control)?
		Is the design harmonious and pleasing?
		Where style and creativity are called for (e.g., for videotapes, trainings, updates, brochures), are they evident?

EFFECTIVE REVIEW OF ADP PRODUCTS

Yes	No	
		Is the product documented satisfactorily?
		Has it met Office of Information Resources Management (OIRM) standards?
		Does it do what it was intended to do?
		Has it been tested on your system and does it run?
		Is a programming review necessary? Has it been done?
		Is access by users (e.g., Regions)effective?
		Is it adequately user-friendly?
		Has it been assessed for its ability to interface with other systems (flexibility, maximum effectiveness)?

EFFECTIVE REVIEW OF AUDIOVISUAL PRODUCTS

'Tecl	hnice	ai Review
Yes	No	
		Does product answer the initial questions or education objectives?
		Are the facts accurate? Attributed, if necessary?
		Is the tone right for the audience?
		Is the level of delivery right for the audience?
		Has adequate background information been collected?
		Is the text written so that it will not be dated shortly after it is produced?
Crea	ative	Reading
Yes	No	
		Does each scene flow smoothly into the next?
		Does the integration of pans and zooms create a pleasing rhythm?
		Are the scenes shown at a proper visual scale?
		Is there an effective mix of still and moving scenes?
		Are shots of people, objects, landscapes appropriately represented?
		Are people represented in an animated, natural manner (more than "talking heads")
		Has the soundtrack been appropriately conceptualized?
		Are women and minorities professionally represented?

EFFECTIVE REVIEW OF EVENTS PLANNING

	Does the agenda meet the event's objectives?
	Is the format of the meeting well conceived (plenty of variety, breakout sessions, etc.)?
	Are the speakers appropriate and of suitable quality?
	Has the contractor prepared a detailed enough logistics plan?
	Is publicity adequate and correctly timed?
	Is a record of the meeting needed? Have arrangements been made for taping, note taking or collecting manuscripts from presenters?
	Have you arranged for publication of meeting summaries and proceedings?
	Have you planned an evaluation of the meeting? Have procedures and forms been prepared?
\Box	Have senior managers' talking notes been prepared?

TELEPHONE LOG

TASK MONITORING WORKSHEET 4.1

Name of person answering:	Date:
Company:	Subject of Call:
Phone Number:	
Address:	
	Name of person calling:
Follow-up	
Needed	Summary of Conversation
Work Assignment Title:	WA#

MEETING RECORD

TASK MONITORING WORKSHEET 4.2

Attendees:	Date:
	Subject:
- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1-	Name of person magnings
	Name of person recording:
Discussion:	
	-
Decisions Reached:	
	<u> </u>
Action Items:	
Work Assignment Title:	VA #
	Contract #

SAMPLE PROGRESS REPORT FORMAT

TASK MONITORING WORKSHEET 4.3

Summary of Activities and Products Completed this Month:					
V/ork Projected for Next Month:					
Total Hours Expended by Professional Leve					
Potential Problem Areas:					
Proposed Corrective Actions:					
Followup on Actions Taken to Address Previ					
Contractor's Task Manager/Report Writer: _ Phone Number:					
EPA Work Assignment Manager:Phone Number:					
Work Assignment Title:	, WA #				
Project Title:	. Contract #				

SAMPLE FILE STRUCTURE

TASK MONITORING WORKSHEET 4.4

1.0 Management Documents

- 1.1 Task Work Scope
- 1.2 Work Plan

2.0 Financial Records

- 2.1 Budget and Level of Effort Tracking
- 2.2 Invoices

3.0 Correspondence

- 3.1 Internal EPA
- 3.2 Incoming
- 3.3 Outgoing
- 3.4 Phone Records
- 3.5 Meeting Records

4.0 Deliverables

- 4.1 Interim Deliverables
- 4.2 Draft Final Deliverable
- 4.3 Final Deliverable

TASK MONITORING CHECKLIST 4.1

IDENTIFYING PROBLEMS

If the problem is with the schedule or budget: Yes No

res	NO	
		Was the original schedule and budget really reasonable?
		Did the scope of work expand to meet changing project demands without offsetting changes in the schedule or budget?
		Were the contractor's key staff moved onto other assignments and not available to complete the work on time?
		Did EPA hold to agreed schedules for provision of background data, required reviews, or staff support?
		Was a third partystate agency, regional officelate in providing information or reviews?
		Was the schedule just too tight to accommodate the extensive travel that was required?
		Was the budget exceeded because the proper mix of personnel levels was not available?
f th	e pro	oblem is with the technical quality of the work:
Yes	No	Was the task assigned to the proper contractor?
		Can this contractor provide the expertise needed to complete the work?
		Are the problems with the technical approach a result of flaws in the Work Plan?
		Are the problems the result of sloppy or inaccurate analysis?

INVOICE RECOMMENDATION MEMORANDUM

TASK MONITORING SAMPLE 4.1

OPA INVOICE RECOMMENDATION MEMORANDUM

Enclosed is a copy	Project Officer						
Enclosed is a copy of							
	Enclosed is a copy of an invoice for services performed under your Work Assignment.						
I need your recommendation within <u>five calendar days</u> of the above date in order to appropriate payment. Please indicate your recommendation for approval or disapproval by signing							
	endation I cannot authorize payment to the contractor. Payment must be r y days of the invoice date to avoid interest charges.	nade to the					
contractor within the	y days of the invoice date to avoid interest drianges.						
WORK	ASSIGNMENT MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATIONS						
ges reflected in this invoice a	e considered reasonable, appropriate for payment and are mathematically o	correct.					
oved	Signed:						
pproved	Date:						

DEVELOPING A NEGOTIATION STRATEGY

COMMUNICATION, NEGOTIATION, ETHICS CHECKLIST 5.1

Sti	rategy for negotiation with:
Co	ntractor's Firm Name
Dэ	te of Session
1.	What are the issues that you think will be raised at the negotiation session? Hours:
	Costs:
	Schedule for Completing Task:
	Revision of Deliverables:
	Technical Approach Used:
	Other:
2.	What are your absolute bottom-line needs regarding this task (a particular type of deliverable, a product that must be completed in time to present at a key meeting, etc.)?
3.	What items are not negotiable (due to contract limitations, office directions, orders from your supervisor, etc.)?
4.	What areas are negotiable? Any suggestions on possible solutions to offer during the negotiations? Costs:
	Hours:
	Schedule:
	Technical Approach:
	Deliverables:
	Other:
5.	What items might be negotiable but will have to be checked with OPPE management? Try to keep these items to a minimum by anticipating the negotiating agenda.
6.	What additional information do you need from the contractor before beginning any negotiations?

A GUIDE TO TASK CLOSEOUT

TASK CLOSEOUT CHECKLIST 6.1

Have you:

Communicated to your Project Officer that your task is complete and submitted the required paperwork?
Sought to communicate the utility of your work?
Assessed task for possible followup work?
Decided whether followup work should be an amendment or a new task?
Completed contractor's performance evaluation?
Discussed the performance evaluation with the contractor?

DISTRIBUTION NETWORKS ENHANCE PRODUCT UTILITY

TASK CLOSEOUT CHECKLIST 6.2

Brie	if:
	Division Director
	Other Offices
	State, trade, and professional organizations
Sen	d Summaries/Memos to:
	EPA Public Information Office
	EPA Library
	Regions
	Contractors
	Colleagues
Sen	d complete copies to: EPA Library Public Information Office Division Library
Oth	er Promotions:
	Newsletter-type stories
	Conference presentations
	Posted abstracts
	Journal articles, both inside and outside EPA
	Promotion by professional associations, when approved by EPA

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

TASK CLOSEOUT CHECKLIST 6.3

Contractor:		Period Covered:					
Contra	act Number:						
Work	Assignment Manager:						
Projec	ct Officer:						
Ratings	4 Excellent 3 Very Good 2 Average 1 Poor 0 Unsatisfactory						
l.	Technical Performance						
Contra Work A Project Ratings:	Criteria;	Ratings: (Ci	rcle one	per crite	rion)		
	Level of creative contribution Effective application of relevant statutes/regulations/guidelines	4	3 3	2 2	1 1	0	
	Resourcefulness	4	3	2	1	0	
Adherence to scope of work Effectiveness of project planning		4 4	3 3	2 2	1 1	0 0	
	Overall Rating for Technical Performance:	4	3	2	1	0	
	Narrative Evaluation:						
 II.	Personnel Assigned						
	Criteria:	Ratings: (Circle one per criterion)					
	Technical competence appropriate to project Commitment to the project Effective interaction, responsiveness Appropriate mix of professional levels	4 4 4 4	3 3 3 3	2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1	0 0 0	

Overall Rating for Technical Performance:

Narrative Evaluation:

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

TASK CLOSEOUT CHECKLIST 6.3

III. Deliverables/Reporting

Criteria:	Ratings: (Circle one per criterion)					
Quality of product, thoroughness, adequate technical detail	4	3	2	1	0	
Minimal corrections/revisions required	4	3	2	1	0	
Quality assurance by Contractor	4	3	2	1	0	
Adherence to schedule	4	3	2	1	0	
Overall Rating for Deliverables/Reporting:	4	3	2	1	0	

Narrative Evaluation:

IV. Budget

<u>Criteria:</u>	Ratings: (Circle one per criterion)					
Cost effectiveness	4	3	2	1	0	
Efforts to keep within budget	4	3	2	1	0	
Early notification of potential overruns	4	3	2	1	0	
Overall Rating for Budget:	4	3	2	1	0	

Narrative Evaluation:

V. Overall Rating for Work Assignment

3 2 1 . 0

Although this evaluation involves numerical ratings, the overall rating for the work assignment need not be a strictly mathematical average. In considering the work assignment as a whole, more weight should be given to areas I and III, <u>Technical Performance</u> and <u>Quality of Deliverables</u>.

VI. Comments/Recommendations



United States
Environmental Protection Agency
(PM-220)
Washington, DC 20480
Official Business
Penalty for Private Use
\$300