

Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Application & Calculation of Nutrient & Sediment Loadings

Appendix D: Phase IV


Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model

Precipitation &
Meteorological Data

Development &
Atmospheric

Nutrient

Deposition



Prepared by the
Modeling Subcommittee
of the
Chesapeake Bay Program

EPA 903-R-97-022
CBP/TRS 181/97



Recycled/Recyclable
Printed with Soy/Canola Ink on paper that
contains at least 50% recycled fiber



Principal Authors

Dr. Ping Wang
Maryland Department of Natural Resources
Chesapeake Bay Program Office
Annapolis, MD

Lewis C. Linker
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Chesapeake Bay Program Office
Annapolis, MD

Jennifer Storrick
Chesapeake Research Consortium, Inc.
Chesapeake Bay Program Office
Annapolis, MD

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Environmental Science Center
701 Mapes Road
Ft. Meade, MD 20755-5350

Modeling Subcommittee Members

**James R. Collier, Chairman of the
Subcommittee,
Program Manager
Water Resources Management Division
Washington, DC**

**Dr. Joseph Bachman
US Geological Survey
Towson, MD**

**Mark Bennett
Department of Soil and Water Conservation
Richmond, VA**

**Dr. Peter Bergstrom
Chesapeake Bay Field Office
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Annapolis, MD**

**Dr. Arthur Butt
Chesapeake Bay Office
VA Department of Environmental Quality
Richmond, VA**

**Brian Hazelwood
Metropolitan Washington Council of
Governments
Washington, DC**

**Dr. Albert Y. Kuo
VA Institute of Marine Science
Gloucester Point, VA**

**Lewis C. Linker, Coordinator of the
Subcommittee
US EPA Chesapeake Bay Program Office
Annapolis, MD**

**Alan Lumb
Hydrologic Analysis Support Section
USGS National Center MS 415
Reston, VA**

**Dr. Robert Magnien
MD Department of Natural Resources
Assessment Administration
Annapolis, MD**

**Dr. Ross Mandel
Interstate Commission on the Potomac
River Basin
Rockville, MD**

**Dr. Bruce Parker
National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration/NOS/OES33
Coastal & Estuarine Oceanography Branch
Silver Spring, MD**

**Kenn Pattison
PA Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Water Quality Protection
Harrisburg, PA**

**Ron Santos
US Army Corps of Engineers
Baltimore, MD**

**Tom Stockton
MD Department of Environmental Resources
Watershed Modeling & Analysis Division
Annapolis, MD**

**Paul Welle
USDA Soil Conservation Service
Northeast National Technical Center
Chester, PA**

Table of Contents

Section		Page
1	Introduction	1
2	Observed Meteorological Data Base Development	2
3	Observed Precipitation Data Base Development	13
4	Simulation of the Atmospheric Deposition of Nutrients	34

List of Figures

Figure	Page
D.2.1 Phase IV Model Segments of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed	3
D.2.2 Meteorologic Regions and Principal Stations	4
D.3.1 Thiessen Polygon of Precipitation Stations	15
D.3.2 Precipitation Segments of Phase IV Watershed Model	16
D.3.3 Location of Hourly and Daily Precipitation Stations	17
D.4.1 3D Model Cells	45
D.4.2 Precipitation Regions for the 3D Model	46
D.4.3 Precipitation Regions of the 3D Model & Coastal Watershed Model	47

List of Tables

Table		Page
D.2.1	Stations Used to Develop 1992-1994 Regional Meteorological Data	2
D.2.2	Missing Data and Filling Method	5
D.2.3	Monthly Correction Factors to Potential Evaporation	6
D.2.4	Comparison of Meteorological Data Development	8
D.3.1	Precipitation Stations and Theissen Weights for Precipitation Segments	18
D.3.2	Format of Input Files for PRECIP.exe Program	23
D.3.3	Summary of Precipitation Data	25
D.4.1	Average Annual Atmospheric Wet NO ₃ and Wet NH ₄ Depositions for the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Precipitation Segments, 1984-1994	34
D.4.2.a	Annual Wet Deposition of NO ₃ at NADP Sites	36
D.4.2.b	Annual Wet Deposition of NH ₄ at NADP Sites	36
D.4.3	Average Annual Atmospheric Wet & Dry NO ₃ Atmospheric Deposition Loading Rates	37
D.4.4	Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Precipitation Segments, 1984-1991 Average Annual Atmospheric Dissolved Organic Nitrogen Atmospheric Deposition Loading Rates	41
D.4.5	1984-1994 Annual Average Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition to the Chesapeake Bay Watershed	42
D.4.6	Correspondence of Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Precipitation Segments with the Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model Precipitation Regions	44
D.4.7	Dry/Wet NO ₃ Atmospheric Deposition for the Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model Precipitation Regions	48

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CBPAS	Chesapeake Bay Program Air Subcommittee
CBPO	Chesapeake Bay Program Office
CRC	Chesapeake Research Consortium
deg-F	Degrees Fahrenheit
DIP	Dissolved Inorganic Phosphorus
DON	Dissolved Organic Nitrogen
DSN	Data Set Numbers
FORTRAN	Formula Translation
HSPF	Hydrological Simulation Program-FORTRAN
kg/ha	Kilograms per hectare
lb/ac	Pounds per acre
lb/ac-yr	Pounds per acre per year
mg/l	Milligrams per liter
NADP	National Air Deposition Program
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
OrN	Organic Nitrogen
OrP	Organic Phosphorus
ppn	Precipitation (mm)
RADM	Regional Acid Deposition Model
STAC	Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USGS	United States Geological Survey
WDM	Watershed Data Management
WSM	Watershed Model

Section D.1 Introduction

Precipitation and meteorological data are the primary forcing functions in the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model. Flow, nonpoint source loads, and reaction rates all primarily depend on the continuous hourly input of precipitation, temperature, evaporation, and solar radiation. Consequently, great care is used in the development of the precipitation and meteorological data base.

The Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model requires continuous hourly input data for the 1984 to 1995 simulation. Developing twelve years of hourly precipitation time series is a challenge. One of the first decisions encountered in precipitation time series development is the choice between obtaining the greatest number of observed stations and obtaining the greatest consistency in stations. If the greatest number of observed stations is used, many large gaps occur in the data as stations became operative and enter the data set, or, as the stations are discontinued and leave the data set. The possibility of introducing error due to the inconsistency of the precipitation stations throughout the simulation is a concern. On the other hand, if consistency is an absolute priority many stations will be eliminated due to data gaps.

In an effort to compromise, a total of 147 precipitation stations are used, of which 88 are hourly and 59 are daily records of rainfall. Data gaps exist in these observed stations, but overall the observed stations used are relatively continuous over the entire simulation period. A computer program, PRECIP.exe, provides a technique to partially overcome the discontinuity of some station within a segment by re-weighting the data with the exclusion of the station with the missing data.

All seven of the primary meteorological stations within or adjacent to the Chesapeake basin are used, including Binghamton, NY, Williamsport, PA, Harrisburg, PA, Elkton, WV, Dulles Airport, Richmond, VA, and Roanoake, VA. The work in this phase of Watershed Model development is expansion of the precipitation and meteorological data base from 1984-91 to 1984-1995. Slightly different methods were used in the 1991-95 data development due to upgrades in computer hardware and software, and a change from VMS/DOS to UNIX operating systems.

Specifically, in the 1984-91 database development the program NOAA.EXE was used to transform the observed data into WDM file format. In the 1991-95 database development, the newer programs PREDY, PREHR and HSPF programs were used to develop WDM files. In the meteorological data, various programs were used in the development of the 1984-91 WDM files whereas in the 1991-95 WDM development the newer program USGS METCOMP was used. In all cases the programs were designed for the same purpose and generated the same type of output.

To assess comparability between the 1984-91 and the 1991-95 data, a year of overlap 1991 data is used. The 1991 precipitation and meteorological data is developed under the two equivalent methodologies and compared. No significant differences are discerned in the 1991 data generated from either of the two methodologies.

Section D.2 Observed Meteorological Data Base Development

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) standard formatted daily maximum air temperature, minimum air temperature, dew point temperature, cloud coverage, and wind speed for 1991-1994 were collected from seven stations for the seven meteorological regions of the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model. Figure D.2.1 shows the Phase IV Watershed Model segments. The seven meteorological regions and associated model segments are illustrated on Figure D.2.2 and listed in Table D.2.1.

Table D.2.1.

Stations Used to Develop 1992-1994 Regional Meteorological Data

Region #	Station #	Location
1	04725	Binghamton, NY
2	14778	Williamsport, PA
3	14711	Middletown Harrisburg, PA*
4	13729	Elkins, WV
5	93738	Dulles Airport, VA
6	13741	Roanoke, VA
7	13740	Richmond, VA
1b**	14768	Rochester, NY
2b**	14777	Wilkes-Barre/Scranton, PA
7b**	13733	Lynchburg AP, VA



* Note: The Harrisburg Station, PA was used in Phase II. However, data after 10/01/91 were no longer available from the Harrisburg Station, PA so the Middletown Harrisburg Station, PA was used in Phase IV (1992-1994). Therefore, for the intercomparison stage 1 and stage 2 data transformations, Phase IV data for 1/91-9/91 were from Harrisburg and the 10/91-12/91 data were from Middletown Harrisburg, PA.

** Note: These stations were utilized as alternative stations to fill in any missing data for the corresponding primary stations.



The NOAA formatted data were reformatted to the HYDDY format using dBase and a FORTRAN program PREDY.F.¹ A copy of this program is located at the end of this section. The HYDDY format is a code including information such as the station number, year, month and data. The format is generally encoded as follows:

¹ Wang, P. (1995). Chesapeake Bay Program Office, Annapolis, MD.

Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Segments



Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Meteorologic Regions and Principal Stations



Chesapeake Bay Program

PW Map Date: June 20, 1997

spot/home/pwang/seup/gis/arc7/cov/

Source: USEPA Chesapeake Bay Program Office

HYDDY

1. Station identifier (7 digits)
2. Year (last two digits)
3. Month (two digits)
4. Card Number: 1 is for days 1-10
2 is for days 11-20
3 is for days 21 up to 31
5. Ten fields, for the daily data (11 fields for the card number 3).

The HYDDY formatted data were then reformatted to Watershed Data Management (WDM) format using the HSPF software. WDM files are the type used as input to the watershed model.

Data were inspected manually in order to locate any possible missing data periods. The missing data periods in some meteorological regions were filled in manually by utilizing data from nearby stations, as listed in Table D.2.2.

Table D.2.2

Missing Data and Filling Method

Region with missing data	Missing data type	Missing period	Region used for filling data
1	Cloud cover	11/01/95-12/31/95	1b
2	Cloud cover	06/01/94-09/30/94	1
		09/01/95-12/31/95	2b
3	Cloud cover	09/01/91-09/30/91	2
4	Cloud cover	09/28/92-10/28/92	5
		10/13/94-12/31/94	
		01/01/95-09/30/95	6
7	Cloud cover	10/01/95-12/31/95	3b

The Metcmp software² was used to retrieve the WDM formatted meteorological data in order to generate the required input data in HSPF format in order to compute the following operations:

² Flynn, K., Lumb, A. (1991). *Computation and Modification of Meteorologic Time Series*. Version 1.1. United States Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, Reston, VA.

- a. Hourly air temperature was calculated from daily maximum and minimum air temperature.
- b. Hourly wind speed was disaggregated from daily data.
- c. Hourly solar radiation was generated using cloud coverage data and the regional latitudes.
- d. Hourly potential evaporation was generated by applying the Penman method³ using daily maximum air temperature, daily minimum air temperature, daily dew point temperature, daily wind speed, and hourly solar radiation. Monthly correction factors to the potential evaporation for the seven regions were estimated by examination of observed evaporation records and used on the potential evaporation data calculated with the Penman method. Monthly correction factors are tabulated in Table D.2.3.

Table D.2.3⁴

Monthly Correction Factors to Potential Evaporation

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1	0.555	0.593	0.722	0.851	0.889	0.912	0.912	0.897	0.836	0.745	0.646	0.562
R 2	0.548	0.585	0.713	0.840	0.878	0.900	0.900	0.885	0.825	0.735	0.638	0.555
E 3	0.562	0.601	0.732	0.862	0.901	0.924	0.924	0.909	0.847	0.755	0.655	0.570
G 4	0.569	0.608	0.741	0.874	0.913	0.936	0.936	0.920	0.858	0.764	0.663	0.577
I 5	0.562	0.601	0.732	0.862	0.901	0.924	0.924	0.909	0.847	0.755	0.655	0.570
O 6	0.562	0.601	0.732	0.862	0.901	0.924	0.924	0.909	0.847	0.755	0.655	0.570
N 7	0.540	0.608	0.703	0.829	0.866	0.888	0.888	0.873	0.814	0.725	0.629	0.548

Test for Consistency Between the 1984-91 and 1991-1995 Data Sets

The program ANNIE.exe⁵ was used to export the hourly air temperature, wind speed, solar radiation, cloud coverage, and potential evaporation data from both (a) the WDM file which was generated in the above process (for 1991-1995), and (b) the WDM file which was developed in stage

³Viessman, W., Lewis, G.L., and Knapp, J.W. (1989). *Introduction to Hydrology*. Harper & Row Publishers. New York.

⁴ Provided by Aqua Terra Inc.

⁵ Lumb, A.M., Kittle, J., and Flynn, K.M. (1990). *Users Manual for ANNIE, A Computer Program for Interactive Hydrologic Analyses and Data Management*. U.S. Geological Survey. Reston, Virginia.

1 (for 1984-1991) to insure the consistency between them. The HSPF software was used to process the 1991 meteorological data from these two Phases by summing up the daily values to yield a monthly summation. The results are summarized in Table D.2.4.

Table D.2.4 shows that the 1984-1991 and 1991-1995 methodologies are entirely consistent -- most with <0.01% difference, although up to 1-2% difference in the monthly values summed from the daily data are observed. These differences may be due to the different methods in calculation, particularly for solar radiation, which in turn affects the calculation of evaporation.

Based on the above analysis documenting the consistency of data from the two methodologies, the 1992-94 data were combined with the 1984-91 data using the ANNIE.exe software.

Table D.2.4

Comparison of Meteorological Data Development for Stage 1 and Stage 2
Region I (monthly averages from daily data in 1991)

	Date	Evapor. (inch)	Dew Point (deg F)	Cloud Cov (/10)	Wind Speed (meters/hr)	SolarRad (Langleys)	MaxTemp (deg F)	MinTemp (deg F)	AirTemp (deg F)
Stage 1	Jan-91	0.0258	16.4355	7.7419	265.4704	123.9651	30.4194	16.5161	23.1707
	Feb-91	0.0348	20.5714	7.6429	248.5714	182.2173	36.0357	20.7857	28.1875
	Mar-91	0.0740	25.7164	7.2258	271.1962	248.5887	43.6774	28.2258	35.5981
	Apr-91	0.1488	35.8694	7.0000	248.6389	336.9861	58.4000	39.8667	48.7986
	May-91	0.2189	50.5161	5.6774	218.7903	484.4220	72.4839	50.9677	61.3091
	Jun-91	0.2576	52.7861	5.8000	211.5972	512.2778	77.4000	55.8667	66.1611
	Jul-91	0.2357	56.5323	6.2903	205.7796	442.8226	80.5161	59.7097	69.6895
	Aug-91	0.1986	58.6935	5.9677	215.2285	404.0457	79.0000	59.3871	68.6882
	Sep-91	0.1473	48.1903	5.4667	218.2361	352.4444	69.5667	48.4333	58.5917
	Oct-91	0.0939	40.5390	6.5806	238.2258	233.4946	61.3226	41.4516	50.9261
	Nov-91	0.0456	30.0264	7.8667	231.7639	131.1333	46.2667	32.0333	38.8125
	Dec-91	0.0291	23.1129	7.7419	263.1452	110.4005	37.0968	23.2258	29.7460
Stage 2	Jan-91	0.0256	16.1707	7.7419	265.4704	113.1989	30.4194	16.3226	23.0645
	Feb-91	0.0341	20.5432	7.6429	248.5714	171.0714	36.0357	20.7857	28.1860
	Mar-91	0.0723	25.7164	7.2258	271.1962	236.0215	43.6774	28.2258	35.5981
	Apr-91	0.1461	35.8694	7.0000	248.6389	326.3056	58.4000	39.8667	48.8000
	May-91	0.2166	50.5161	5.6774	218.7903	478.0914	72.4839	50.9677	61.3065
	Jun-91	0.2552	52.7861	5.8000	211.5972	506.2361	77.4000	55.8667	66.1597
	Jul-91	0.2331	56.5323	6.2903	205.7796	435.9409	80.5161	59.7097	69.6895
	Aug-91	0.1950	58.6935	5.9677	215.2285	394.6505	79.0000	59.3871	68.6855
	Sep-91	0.1432	48.1903	5.4667	218.2361	338.6528	69.5667	48.4333	58.5903
	Oct-91	0.0914	40.5390	6.5806	238.2258	220.4301	61.3226	41.4516	50.9220
	Nov-91	0.0448	30.0264	7.8667	231.7639	121.0111	46.2667	32.0333	38.8097
	Dec-91	0.0286	23.1129	7.7419	263.1452	99.2460	37.0968	23.2258	29.7487

Region II (monthly averages from daily data in 1991)

	Date	Evapor. (inch)	Dew Point (deg F)	Cloud Cov (/10)	Wind Speed (meters/hr)	SolarRad (Langleys)	MaxTemp (deg F)	MinTemp (deg F)	AirTemp (deg F)
Stage 1	Jan-91	0.0307	19.0296	7.0968	199.1263	142.9973	36.8387	19.4516	27.7728
	Feb-91	0.0452	22.4033	7.6786	214.1964	183.3036	42.7143	24.7500	33.3839
	Mar-91	0.0860	30.0027	7.1613	240.3898	255.5511	51.4194	32.0323	41.3468
	Apr-91	0.1214	40.0139	7.9667	211.2778	284.6111	62.9000	41.1667	51.6056
	May-91	0.2148	53.6519	6.3226	161.2634	475.1478	81.0968	53.0323	66.5296
	Jun-91	0.2534	56.0764	5.9667	148.4028	508.2778	86.1000	57.0667	70.9486
	Jul-91	0.2276	60.7258	6.4194	145.2419	438.9785	87.9032	62.4839	74.6505
	Aug-91	0.1927	62.0000	6.0645	128.5161	412.8091	86.3871	61.5806	73.3978
	Sep-91	0.1240	52.8833	5.9333	134.1597	346.5417	74.5333	50.7333	62.1542
	Oct-91	0.0802	45.2003	6.4839	183.7097	251.4516	65.6774	42.7742	53.6680
	Nov-91	0.0485	31.4000	7.7333	193.3611	144.9167	50.3000	33.6000	41.6278
	Dec-91	0.0344	24.5712	7.2581	202.3790	123.2070	42.0968	25.9355	33.5202
Stage 2	Jan-91	0.0307	18.9261	7.0968	199.1263	140.7527	36.8387	19.4516	27.7702
	Feb-91	0.0451	22.4033	7.6786	214.1964	181.5476	42.7143	24.7500	33.3824
	Mar-91	0.0855	30.0027	7.1613	240.3898	252.5269	51.4194	32.0323	41.3454
	Apr-91	0.1210	40.0139	7.9667	211.2778	282.5556	62.9000	41.1667	51.6042
	May-91	0.2148	53.6519	6.3226	161.2634	475.0941	81.0968	53.0323	66.5255
	Jun-91	0.2528	56.0764	5.9667	148.4028	506.8333	86.1000	57.0667	70.9458
	Jul-91	0.2274	60.7258	6.4194	145.2419	438.1855	87.9032	62.4839	74.6492
	Aug-91	0.1922	62.0000	6.0645	128.5161	411.6129	86.3871	61.5806	73.3952
	Sep-91	0.1229	52.8833	5.9333	134.1597	343.1528	74.5333	50.7333	62.1514
	Oct-91	0.0796	45.2003	6.4839	183.7097	248.6022	65.6774	42.7742	53.6680
	Nov-91	0.0484	31.4000	7.7333	193.3611	143.4444	50.3000	33.6000	41.6264
	Dec-91	0.0342	24.5712	7.2581	202.3790	120.2325	42.0968	25.9355	33.5094

Table D.2.4

Comparison of Meteorological Data Development for Stage 1 and Stage 2
Region III (monthly averages from daily data in 1991)

	Date	Evapor. (inch)	Dew Point (deg F)	Cloud Cov (/10)	Wind Speed (meters/hr)	SolarRad (Langleys)	MaxTemp (deg F)	MinTemp (deg F)	AirTemp (deg F)
Stage 1	Jan-91	0.0343	0.1895	6.5484	170.9409	164.3817	40.0323	23.3548	31.3495
	Feb-91	0.0550	0.2098	7.0714	189.5089	205.6250	46.7143	27.9643	36.9762
	Mar-91	0.0981	0.1895	6.3871	211.2769	293.2796	52.8387	34.6452	43.3804
	Apr-91	0.1471	0.1958	6.8667	179.6806	354.4167	64.0667	43.3330	53.3083
	May-91	0.2425	0.1895	5.7419	149.9597	519.9731	81.0000	57.1613	68.6828
	Jun-91	0.2880	0.1958	5.4000	144.0833	540.1111	86.2333	62.0667	73.6208
	Jul-91	0.2464	0.1895	6.4516	129.5995	441.6935	89.4194	67.9032	78.1599
	Aug-91	0.2218	0.1895	5.8387	127.7419	434.3414	87.1935	65.1290	75.6505
	Sep-91	0.1337	0.1958	5.4330	105.2506	351.5278	76.1000	54.5667	64.8875
	Oct-91	0.1008	0.1895	4.7419	164.3548	303.1452	70.0000	43.0645	55.8624
	Nov-91	0.0618	0.1958	6.8667	198.4028	166.8750	56.5667	34.7000	45.2472
	Dec-91	0.0405	0.1895	5.8387	193.2392	151.4516	48.6774	28.2903	37.8804
Stage 2	Jan-91	0.0347	0.1895	6.5484	170.9409	169.8253	40.0323	23.3548	31.3481
	Feb-91	0.0561	0.2098	7.0825	189.5089	211.2351	46.7143	27.9643	36.9732
	Mar-91	0.0989	0.1895	6.3871	211.2769	297.0699	52.8387	34.6452	43.3777
	Apr-91	0.1481	0.1958	6.8667	179.6806	357.7639	64.0667	43.3333	53.3042
	May-91	0.2440	0.1895	5.7419	149.9597	523.2930	81.0000	57.1613	68.6788
	Jun-91	0.2883	0.1958	5.4000	144.0833	540.8472	86.2333	62.0667	73.6181
	Jul-91	0.2475	0.1895	6.4516	129.5995	444.2608	89.4194	67.9032	78.1586
	Aug-91	0.2236	0.1895	5.8387	127.7419	438.4543	87.1935	65.1290	75.6478
	Sep-91	0.1349	0.1958	5.4333	105.2806	355.3750	76.1000	54.5667	64.8792
	Oct-91	0.0983	0.1895	5.2581	146.7876	290.6329	67.0645	46.6129	56.3454
	Nov-91	0.0545	0.1958	7.1667	198.2361	166.2778	52.2333	35.1000	43.3264
	Dec-91	0.0401	0.1895	6.5484	222.7419	147.6210	44.3548	28.5484	35.9530

Region IV (monthly averages from daily data in 1991)

	Date	Evapor. (inch)	Dew Point (deg F)	Cloud Cov (/10)	Wind Speed (meters/hr)	SolarRad (Langleys)	MaxTemp (deg F)	MinTemp (deg F)	AirTemp (deg F)
Stage 1	Oct-91	0.0749	40.5188	6.5484	114.0390	268.1720	67.6774	35.5161	50.7944
	Nov-91	0.0342	30.8167	8.0667	135.2000	142.5417	51.6000	27.2667	39.0417
	Dec-91	0.0262	28.4651	7.7742	160.1747	121.7097	47.1613	24.6774	35.2715
Stage 2	Oct-91	0.0755	40.5188	6.5484	114.0390	271.1022	67.6774	35.5161	50.7567
	Nov-91	0.0345	30.8167	8.0667	135.2000	146.2222	51.6000	27.2667	39.0417
	Dec-91	0.0264	28.4651	7.7742	160.1747	124.1048	47.1613	24.6774	35.2527

Region V (monthly averages from daily data in 1991)

	Date	Evapor. (inch)	Dew Point (deg F)	Cloud Cov (/10)	Wind Speed (meters/hr)	SolarRad (Langleys)	MaxTemp (deg F)	MinTemp (deg F)	AirTemp (deg F)
Stage 1	Oct-91	0.1368	45.9704	4.7419	164.3548	313.2392	70.0000	43.0645	55.8669
	Nov-91	0.0959	33.3264	6.8667	198.4028	175.1528	56.5667	34.7000	45.2472
	Dec-91	0.0723	27.9099	5.8387	193.2392	160.2419	48.6774	28.2903	37.8804
Stage 2	Oct-91	0.1052	45.9704	4.7419	164.3548	320.8199	70.0000	43.0645	55.8320
	Nov-91	0.0639	33.3264	6.8667	198.4028	182.9167	56.5667	34.7000	45.2458
	Dec-91	0.0418	27.9099	5.8387	193.2392	167.0968	48.6774	28.2903	37.8723

Table D.2.4

**Comparison of Meteorological Data Development for Stage 1 and Stage 2
Region VI (monthly averages from daily data in 1991)**

	Date	Evapor. (inch)	Dew Point (deg F)	Cloud Cov (/10)	Wind Speed (meters/hr)	SolarRad (Langleys)	MaxTemp (deg F)	MinTemp (deg F)	AirTemp (deg F)
Stage 1	Oct-91	0.1337	42.5806	4.1935	161.0349	338.9113	73.5161	45.2581	58.6976
	Nov-91	0.0739	30.7833	6.1000	183.6806	199.9306	57.2667	36.3333	46.4444
	Dec-91	0.0549	28.4651	5.4516	199.5833	170.0806	52.7742	31.8710	41.7272
Stage 2	Oct-91	0.1384	42.5806	4.1935	161.0349	355.9946	73.5161	45.2581	58.6573
	Nov-91	0.0763	30.7833	6.1000	183.6806	217.0417	57.2667	36.3333	46.4444
	Dec-91	0.0564	28.4651	5.4516	199.5833	185.9005	52.7742	31.8710	41.6989

Region VII (monthly averages from daily data in 1991)

	Date	Evapor. (inch)	Dew Point (deg F)	Cloud Cov (/10)	Wind Speed (meters/hr)	SolarRad (Langleys)	MaxTemp (deg F)	MinTemp (deg F)	AirTemp (deg F)
Stage 1	Oct-91	0.1021	48.7513	5.3548	158.7097	301.6532	72.7419	46.9677	59.2487
	Nov-91	0.0675	37.5764	6.1000	203.4444	210.5139	60.8667	38.1667	49.1056
	Dec-91	0.0481	32.8844	5.1290	205.7796	189.0995	55.2581	33.2258	43.6331
Stage 2	Oct-91	0.1026	48.7513	5.3548	158.7097	303.7634	72.7419	46.9677	59.2097
	Nov-91	0.0682	37.5764	6.1000	203.4444	214.6944	60.8667	38.1667	49.1042
	Dec-91	0.0484	32.8844	5.1290	205.7796	191.9892	55.2581	33.2258	43.6599

The numbers in Table D.2.4 are only for the purpose of comparing the agreement of stage 1 and stage 2 data processes. Although the values of intensive variables such as wind speed cannot be simply added to represent the sum of the wind speed in the month, here they were summed up merely to show their values in these two phases for comparison. Therefore, the units should be ignored for the summation in the output files. The units in Table D.2.4 are the units for the hourly or daily Data Set Numbers (DSN) of WDM files.

For Regions 4, 5, 6, and 7, the 1/91-9/91 data were not reported in Table D.2.4 because there were some missing data during 1/91-9/91 for these regions, and the missing data were filled in with the nearby stations' data. The stations used to fill in the missing data may be different between stage 1 and stage 2. Therefore, it is not accurate to use the data within the periods with missing data as a base to verify the consistency between the two phases of data development. For the stage 1 and stage 2 data verification, only 10/91-12/91 data were processed for Regions 4, 5, 6, and 7.

The following is a copy of the PREDY.F program utilized to reformat the NOAA formatted daily data into the HYDDY format in order to make the data compatible with the HSPF software so that it may be inputted into the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model.

```
character*12 filtat(3), filin, filnam, filin2, filog
character*3 rectyp1
character*8 stnid
character*4 elmtyp1, stnid
character*2 eunit1, state, stnid1
character*1 flag11(100), flag21(100), typ, stal
character*5 stdumy1
dimension iday1(100),ihour1(100),ivalue1(100), value(31)
dimension isumyr(3), isumv2(3), iy(3), iso(100,4)
dimension isumv3(3), isumv4(3), my(3), PP(1100,4)
isumv2=0
isumv3=0
isumv4=0
PP = 0
Write(*,*)"Data extraction from NOAA Daily data"
Write(*,*)"reformat for HSPF runs"
filin2 = 'predy.lis'
open (8, file=filin2, access='sequential',status='old')
read(8,*) nfil
read(8,66) filin
66   format(a12)
open (10, file=filin, access='sequential',status='old')
filnam = 'xxxxxxxx.out'
filog = 'xxxxxxxx.log'
rewind(10)
close(10)
typ = filin(1:1)
i1 = 1
i2 = 2
i3 = 3
LR = 0
line = 0
do 200 m= 1,100
line = line - 1
open (10, file=filin, access='sequential',status='old')
200  read(10, 39, end=49, err=49) rectype1
39  format(a3)
33  format (a3, a1, a2, a5, a4, a2, i4, i2, i2, i2, i2
131(i2, i2, i6, a1, a1))
```

```

49  write(*,*) line
    filnam(1:7) = filin(1:7)
    filog(1:7) = filin(1:7)
    open(24,FILE=filnam,STATUS='unknown')
    open (10, file=filin, access='sequential',status='old')
        do 100 m= 1,line
        read(10, 33, end=301, err=301) rectyp1, stal, stnid1, stdumy1,
*      elmtyp1, eunit1, iyear1, imon1, ifill, inum, numvl,
*      (iday1(j), ihour1(j),
*      ivaluel(j), flag11(j), flag21(j), j = 1, 31)
40      iyr = iyear1 - 1900
        do 53 k = 1, 31
            if(abs(ivaluel(k)) .gt. 99998) then
                ivaluel(k) = -999
            endif
53      continue
        do 55 k = 1, 31
            value(k) = float(ivaluel(k)) / 100.
55      continue
            if(stal .eq. '18') state='MD'
            if(stal .eq. '36') state='PA'
            if(stal .eq. '44') state='VA'
            if(stal .eq. '46') state='WV'
            if(stal .eq. '07') state='DE'
            if(stal .eq. '18') state='MD'
            if(stal .eq. '30') state='NY'
            write(24,205) stnid1, stdumy1, iyr, imon1, i1,
1              (value(j),j=1,10)
            write(24,205) stnid1, stdumy1, iyr, imon1, i2,
1              (value(j),j=11,20)
            write(24,207) stnid1, stdumy1, iyr, imon1, i3,
1              (value(j),j=21,31)
300      continue
100      continue
301      continue
            close(10)
            close(24)
666      continue
205      format(a2, a5, i2, i2, i1, 10f6.2)
207      format(a2, a5, i2, i2, i1, 11f6.2)
end

```

Section D.3 Observed Precipitation Database Development

The basic procedure for stage 2 precipitation data development follows the stage 1 method. Hourly stations and daily stations were selected in reference to stage 1. The stage 1 stations were selected based upon their locations within or near the boundary of the Chesapeake Bay watershed. Due to discontinuity in the operation of individual precipitation stations, data from 88 stations and 59 daily precipitation stations (a total of 147 stations) were used in stage 2 database development compared with data from 155 precipitation stations used in stage 1. The data were formatted to Watershed Data Management (WDM) file format.

Data from selected stations were reviewed in an effort to guarantee the integrity of the data. These verified NOAA formatted daily precipitation data sets were then converted to the HYDDY format as previously described (see page 2). The hourly data were reformatted into the HYDHR format using the FORTRAN program PREHR. A copy of this program is found at the end of this section. The conversion for the HYDHR format is as follows:

HYDHR

1. Station identifier (10 digits)
2. Year (last two digits)
3. Month (two digits)
4. Day (two digits)
5. Card number: 1 is for a.m. hours
 2 is for p.m. hours
6. Twelve fields for hourly data.

The HSPF software was utilized to reformat both the HYDDY and HYDHR formats into the WDM format. At this point the files were then applied to the PRECIP.exe program together with information from the Thiessen Polygon network of precipitation stations. The Thiessen polygon network of precipitation is illustrated in Figure D.3.1. The Thiessen polygon method was chosen for this data analysis because it makes it possible to aerially weight the rainfall from each gage. This method is exceptionally useful in those situations in which the stations are not uniformly distributed and when the variations in rainfall amounts are relatively large in comparison to the mean. Although the Thiessen polygon method is the most widely used, it does not account for any elevations effects upon the precipitation distribution. The Thiessen polygon network is created by first drawing lines that connect the stations on a map. In order to form polygons around each gage, perpendicular lines are drawn on the map so that they bisect the before mentioned lines between the stations. Each stations weighted rainfall is calculated as the ratio of the area of each polygon within the model segment boundary to the total area⁶.

⁶Bedient, P.B., Huber, W.C. (1992). *Hydrology and Floodplain Analysis*. 2nd ed. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., New York: 26-27.

The precipitation segments illustrated in Figure D.3.2, are primarily based upon the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Segments (Figure D.2.1). Since some of the model segments were too small to have sufficient hourly stations fall within them, some aggregation, determined by the number of hourly precipitation stations, of model segments occurred to form precipitation segments.

The location of hourly and daily precipitation stations are shown in Figure D.3.3. Each station was assigned a DSN number for WDM file development. A Thiessen polygon network was generated using Arc/Info with the geographical locations of precipitation stations.

The resultant Thiessen polygon network (Figure D.3.1) and Phase IV Watershed Model segmentation (Figure D.3.2) were overlapped to calculate the measurement of Thiessen polygon distribution within a precipitation segment, and then the areal percentage of each Thiessen polygon in a precipitation segment. Table D.3.1 lists precipitation stations and their areal percentage weights for each precipitation segment.

Some of the areal percentage weights were converted to zero, because these stations actually had no precipitation data during 1991-1994 and they were not included in the input files when running the PRECIP.exe program. It is also acceptable for the calculation if the weight percentage still keeps the measured areal percentage in a precipitation segment.


Additional adjustment to the Thiessen polygon network was required for precipitation segments 60, 80 and 100. All three of these segments had more than ten hourly stations falling within their boundaries. The PRECIP.exe program allows a maximum of ten hourly stations for the calculation of estimated precipitation. As a result, the data were manually inspected and stations with the least information based on the period of operation or Thiessen weight were selectively culled.

Precipitation segments 700 and 750 are small segments with only three hourly precipitation stations each. Data from all six stations were missing for April 1994. Precipitation stations near segments 700 and 750 were selected and a new Thiessen polygon network was developed, which was then overlapped with both segments. The exposed area was used for Segments 700 and 750 to calculate April 1994 precipitation.



The weight for precipitation stations in segments were then used to generate the input files (as the weight of precipitation contributing to certain segment) for PRECIP.exe program. The PRECIP.exe program read the input file (with ".inp" extension) and read from and wrote to the WDM. Table D.3.2 is the format for input files.

The PRECIP.exe program operates by calculating the sum of a single day's precipitation using the respective weights for both hourly (hourly precipitation is summed to a daily value) and daily DSNs. The total daily weighted volume was then compared against the hourly stations total daily volumes. The hourly station that had a total daily volume closest to the weighted volume was

Thiessen Polygon of Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Precipitation Stations



Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Precipitation Segments



Location of Hourly and Daily Precipitation Stations Used in the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model

- Hourly Precipitation Station
- ▲ Daily Precipitation Station




Table D.3.1

Precipitation Stations and Their Weights for Precipitation Segments

Precip Segment	Model Segment	DSN	Station Name	Station Weight	Observation Time ** (if daily)	Precip Segment	Model Segment	DSN	Station Name	Station Weight	Observation Time (if daily)
10	10 1	NYHR0270	0.0492					159	PAHR7217	0.0102	
	9	NYHR3979	0.1692					175	PAHR8589	0.1344	
	10	NYHR3983	0.1550					999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
	18	NYHR8498	0.1353					333	PADY9022	0.1040	07:00
	20	NYHR9229	0.0995								
	108	PAHR1212	0.0386			60	60 101	PAHR0147	0.0594		
	142	PAHR5731	0.0733					113	PAHR1961	0.0314	
	152	PAHR6627	0.1006					117	PAHR2245	0.0022	
	160	PAHR7310	0.0162					120	PAHR2629	0.1034	
	179	PAHR8868	0.1309					146	PAHR5825	0.0172	
	336	PADY9408	0.0322	07:00				152	PAHR6627	0.0001	
								155	PAHR6916	0.0730	
20	20 1	NYHR0270	0.0508					160	PAHR7310	0.0669	
	2	NYHR0687	0.0851					168	PAHR8155	0.0533	
	3	NYHR1987	0.1872					999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
	5	NYHR2454	0.1015					268	PADY4853	0.0001	17:00
	11	NYHR4070	0.0621					274	PADY5109	0.1330	07:00
	15	NYHR5682	0.0649					283	PADY5790	0.0370	07:00
	16	NYHR6685	0.2057					306	PADY7409	0.1304	08:00
	17	NYHR7830	0.0849					323	PADY8469	0.0704	08:00
	19	NYHR8625	0.0711					336	PADY9408	0.1486	07:00
	22	NYHR9522	0.0780					342	PADY9728	0.0751	24:00
	300	PADY7029	0.0087	08:00							
30	30 108	PAHR1212	0.0682			70	70 108	PAHR1212	0.0517		
	117	PAHR2325	0.1176					117	PAHR2325	0.2471	
	130	PAHR3521	0.0037					166	PAHR7931	0.1115	
	141	PAHR5601	0.0248					2*	NYHR0687	0.0001	
	142	PAHR5731	0.0152					142*	PAHR5731	0.0001	
	147	PAHR5915	0.2108					147*	PAHR5915	0.0001	
	170	PAHR8275	0.0449					155*	PAHR6916	0.0001	
	173	PAHR8491	0.0850					181*	PAHR8905	0.0001	
	181	PAHR8905	0.1797					101*	PAHR0147	0.0001	
	187	PAHR9705	0.1966					999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
	300	PADY7029	0.0261	08:00				268	PADY4853	0.1038	17:00
	315	PADY8057	0.0274	07:00				274	PADY5109	0.0005	07:00
								284	PADY5817	0.1493	07:00
								342	PADY9728	0.3354	24:00
40	40 117	PAHR2325	0.0397			80	80 104	PAHR0725	0.0581		
	124	PAHR3018	0.0354					105	PAHR0763	0.1457	
	149	PAHR6004	0.1809					109	PAHR1354	0.0468	
	166	PAHR7931	0.1054					123	PAHR2838	0.0371	
	177	PAHR8763	0.0824					146	PAHR5825	0.0288	
	187	PAHR9705	0.0229					149	PAHR6004	0.1125	
	141*	PAHR5601	0.0001					166	PAHR7931	0.0880	
	146*	PAHR5825	0.0001					177	PAHR8763	0.0101	
	181*	PAHR8905	0.0001					999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
	999*	XXHR0000	0.0001					196	PADY0482	0.1146	07:00
	284	PADY5817	0.2045	07:00				268	PADY4853	0.0594	17:00
	315	PADY8057	0.3284	07:00				269	PADY4896	0.0368	15:00
50	50 113	PAHR1961	0.4211					283	PADY5790	0.0635	07:00
	114	PAHR2245	0.0171					289	PADY6297	0.1984	07:00
	116	PAHR2298	0.0018					345	PADY9950	0.0001	08:00
	133	PAHR4001	0.0730								
	134	PAHR4027	0.0177			90	90 116	PAHR2298	0.1455		
	155	PAHR6916	0.2206					122	PAHR2721	0.4343	

Table D.3.1

Precip Segment	Model Segment	DSN	Station Name	Station Weight	Observation Time (if daily)	Precip Segment	Model Segment	DSN	Station Name	Station Weight	Observation Time (if daily)
		127	PAHR3295	0.0683				510	WVHR7730	0.1001	
		133	PAHR4001	0.0002				116*	PAHR2298	0.0001	
		161	PAHR7312	0.0887				175*	PAHR8589	0.0001	
		608	MDHR4030	0.0003				502*	WVHR1323	0.0001	
		134*	PADY4027	0.0001				608*	MDHR4030	0.0001	
		175*	PADY8589	0.0001				999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
		502*	WVHR1323	0.0001				516	WVDY0527	0.1672	07:00
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				633	MDDY2282	0.2188	07:00
		312	PADY7846	0.2623	07:00			662	MDDY8065	0.2546	08:00
100	100	104	PAHR0725	0.0983		170	170	502	WVHR1323	0.0001	
		105	PAHR0763	0.0034				503	WVHR1393	0.0475	
		109	PAHR1354	0.0000				508	WVHR5739	0.0253	
		113	PAHR1961	0.0001				509	WVHR6163	0.1684	
		116	PAHR2298	0.0075				510	WVHR7730	0.1050	
		133	PAHR4001	0.1737				707	VAHR2208	0.0026	
		146	PAHR5825	0.0781				724	VAHR5880	0.0004	
		155	PAHR6916	0.0700				127*	PAHR3295	0.0001	
		161	PAHR7312	0.2221				999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				516	WVDY0527	0.0057	07:00
		196	PADY0482	0.0344	07:00			525	WVDY3215	0.3803	08:00
		268	PADY4853	0.0001	17:00			535	WVDY6960	0.1973	08:00
		273	PADY4992	0.1453	08:00			633	MDDY2282	0.0040	07:00
		281	PADY5662	0.0000	08:00			813	VADY5595	0.0632	07:00
		283	PADY5790	0.0029	07:00						
		289	PADY6297	0.0754	07:00	175	175	122	PAHR2721	0.0625	
		312	PADY7846	0.0887	07:00			127	PAHR3295	0.0094	
								502	WVHR1323	0.1985	
110	110	103	PAHR0605	0.0078				508	WVHR5739	0.0955	
		119	PAHR2537	0.0051				509	WVHR6163	0.0400	
		123	PAHR2838	0.0686				510	WVHR7730	0.1269	
		149	PAHR6004	0.0613				608	MDHR4030	0.1330	
		189	PAHR9938	0.0981				737	VAHR8046	0.0410	
		109*	PAHR1354	0.0001				707*	VAHR2208	0.0001	
		137*	PAHR4763	0.0001				999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
		138*	PAHR4778	0.0001				544	WVDY9281	0.2035	08:00
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				633	MDDY2282	0.0884	07:00
		269	PADY4896	0.2693	15:00			856	VADY9186	0.0011	08:00
		305	PADY7322	0.0051	07:00						
		320	PADY8379	0.2391	08:00	180	180	109	PAHR1354	0.0543	
		345	PADY9950	0.2452	09:00			123	PAHR2838	0.0103	
								505	WVHR4763	0.2589	
120	120	129	PAHR3321	0.1758				605	MDHR1530	0.1778	
		137	PAHR4763	0.3912				119*	PAHR2537	0.0001	
		138	PAHR4778	0.0037				123*	PAHR2838	0.0001	
		189	PAHR9938	0.0021				502*	WVHR1323	0.0001	
		103*	PAHR0605	0.0001				742*	VAHR8396	0.0001	
		119*	PAHR2537	0.0001				999*	VAHR0000	0.0001	
		177*	PAHR8763	0.0001				623	MDDY1032	0.0400	18:00
		601*	MDHR0015	0.0001				640	MDDY3355	0.1377	07:00
		615*	MDHR9030	0.0001				643	MDDY3975	0.2429	18:00
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				818	VADY5851	0.0776	08:00
		253	PADY4019	0.4266	07:00						
160	160	122	PAHR2721	0.0028		190	190	707	VAHR2208	0.3168	
		127	PAHR3295	0.1818				722	VAHR5690	0.0548	
		503	WVHR1393	0.0112				726	VAHR6178	0.0051	
		509	WVHR6163	0.0630				737	VAHR8046	0.0080	
								742	VAHR8396	0.0011	
								508*	WVHR5739	0.0001	

Table D.3.1

Precip Segment	Model Segment	DSN	Station Name	Station Weight	Observation Time (if daily)	Precip Segment	Model Segment	DSN	Station Name	Station Weight	Observation Time (if daily)	
		724*	VAHR5880	0.0001				792	VADY3466	0.0176	07:00	
		729*	VAHR6712	0.0001				807	VADY5096	0.1838	08:00	
		503*	WVHR1393	0.0001								
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001		265	265	713	VAHR3310	0.0960		
		525	WVDY3215	0.0117	08:00			714	VAHR4128	0.0767		
		760	VADY0720	0.1195	08:00			724	VAHR5880	0.2540		
		807	VADY5096	0.1350	08:00			503*	WVHR1393	0.0001		
		836	VADY8062	0.3475	08:00			513*	WVHR9011	0.0001		
								707*	VAHR2208	0.0001		
200	200	505	WVHR4763	0.0752				722*	VAHR5690	0.0001		
		707	VAHR2208	0.0979				735*	VAHR7285	0.0001		
		737	VAHR8046	0.3060				999*	XXHR0000	0.0001		
		742	VAHR8396	0.0557				813	VADY5595	0.2625	07:00	
		502*	WVHR1323	0.0001				817	VADY5756	0.3102	07:00	
		509*	WVHR6163	0.0001								
		724*	VAHR5880	0.0001		270	270	506	WVHR5284	0.0155		
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				513	WVHR9011	0.0599		
		525	WVDY3215	0.0089	08:00			707	VAHR2208	0.0002		
		535	WVDY6960	0.0073	08:00			713	VAHR3310	0.0259		
		544	WVDY9281	0.0545	08:00			714	VAHR4128	0.0000		
		807	VADY5096	0.1108	08:00			720	VAHR5120	0.0371		
		818	VADY5851	0.1867	08:00			722	VAHR5690	0.0633		
		842	VADY8448	0 (0.2343)	18:00			724	VAHR5880	0.1082		
		856	VADY9186	0.0966	08:00			735	VAHR7285	0.1354		
								999*	XXHR0000	0.0001		
210	210	119	PAHR2537	0.3897				525	WVDY3215	0.0001	08:00	
		123	PAHR2838	0.0084				778	VADY2044	0.2057	17:00	
		605	MDHR1530	0.2442				798	VADY4565	0.2809	07:00	
		615	MDHR9030	0.3199				813	VADY5595	0.0159	07:00	
		109*	PAHR1354	0.0001				817	VADY5756	0.0001	07:00	
		189*	PAHR9938	0.0001				836	VADY8062	0.0427	08:00	
		138*	PAHR4778	0.0001				843	VADY8600	0.0090	06:00	
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001								
		320	PADY8379	0.0374	08:00		280	280	707	VAHR2208	0.0071	
								720	VAHR5120	0.1053		
220	220	742	VAHR8396	0.2044				722	VAHR5690	0.0870		
		747	VAHR8906	0.0491				726	VAHR6178	0.000(-0.25)		
		505*	WVHR4763	0.0001				729	VAHR6712	0.0005		
		604*	MDHR0700	0.0001				724*	VAHR5880	0.0001		
		605*	MDHR1530	0.0001				735*	VAHR7285	0.0001		
		737*	VAHR8046	0.0001				999*	XXHR0000	0.0001		
		602*	MDHR0465	0.0001				756	VADY0243	0.0717	07:00	
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				760	VADY0720	0.0336	08:00	
		630	MDHR1995	0.0279	09:00			765	VADY1136	0.1953	07:00	
		818	VADY5851	0.2685	08:00			782	VADY2160	0.1247	07:00	
		849	VADY8903	0.4495	24:00			792	VADY3466	0.1363	07:00	
								806	VADY5050	0.0375	18:00	
230	230	729	VAHR6712	0.3089				843	VADY8600	0.2007	06:00	
		742	VAHR8396	0.2033								
		604*	MDHR0700	0.0001		700	700	108	PAHR1212	0.3213		
		707*	VAHR2208	0.0001				142	PAHR5731	0.4548		
		722*	VAHR5690	0.0001				179	PAHR8868	0.0089		
		726*	VAHR6178	0.0001				181	PAHR8905	0.2150		
		737*	VAHR8046	0.0001			710	710	137	PAHR4763	0.1089	
		747*	VAHR8906	0.0001				138	PAHR4778	0.1552		
		612*	MDHR6915	0.0001				189	PAHR9938	0.2671		
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				103*	PAHR0605	0.0001		
		760	VADY0720	0.2531	08:00			119*	PAHR2537	0.0001		
		776	VADY2009	0.0325	09:00							

Table D.3.1

Precip Segment	Model Segment	DSN	Station Name	Station Weight	Observation Time (if daily)	Precip Segment	Model Segment	DSN	Station Name	Station Weight	Observation Time (if daily)
		123*	PAHR2838	0.0001				138*	PAHR4778	0.0001	
		129*	PAHR3321	0.0001				999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
		149*	PAHR6004	0.0001				320	PADY8379	0.0442	08:00
		615*	MDHR9030	0.0001				620	MDHR0470	0.0150	24:00
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				629	MDHR1862	0.3969	17:00
		253	PADY4019	0.0852	07:00						
		269	PADY4896	0.2141	15:00	1001		420 401	DEHR3570	0.2589	
		345	PADY9950	0.1688	08:00			430 612	MDHR6915	0.0284	
								440 725	VAHR6139	0.0064	
								745	VAHR8849	0.5244	
720	720	129	PAHR3321	0.1116				749	VAHR9151	0.0001	
		137	PAHR4763	0.1894				999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
		138	PAHR4778	0.4663				822	VADY6475	0.1817	16:00
		119*	PAHR2537	0.0001							
		177*	PAHR8763	0.0001							
		189*	PAHR9938	0.0001		1002		400 401	DEHR3570	0.6525	
		149*	PAHR6004	0.0001				820 601	MDHR0015	0.0502	
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				390 602	MDHR0465	0.0648	
		253	PADY4019	0.0133	07:00			830 612	MDHR6915	0.2110	
		269	PADY4896	0.0823	15:00			770 602*	MDHR0465	0.0001	
		305	PADY7322	0.1366	07:00			780 749*	VAHR9151	0.0001	
730	730	104	PAHR0725	0.0234				604*	MDHR0700	0.0001	
		109	PAHR1354	0.6995				747*	VAHR8906	0.0001	
		123	PAHR2838	0.1386				129*	PAHR3321	0.0001	
		154	PAHR6852	0.0609				999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
		105*	PAHR0763	0.0001				840 409	DEDY6410	0.0002	17:00
		119*	PAHR2537	0.0001				410 642	MDDY3675	0.0207	16:00
		161*	PAHR7312	0.0001		1003		370 601	MDHR0015	0.6506	
		605*	MDHR1530	0.0001				380 602	MDHR0465	0.1150	
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				800 129*	PAHR3321	0.0001	
		281	PADY5662	0.0000	08:00			810 137*	PAHR4763	0.0001	
		643	MDDY3975	0.0771	18:00			138*	PAHR4778	0.0001	
740	740	122	PAHR2721	0.0234				401*	DEHR3570	0.0001	
		502	WVHR1323	0.1730				745*	VAHR8849	0.0001	
		505	WVHR4763	0.2085				999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
		608	MDHR4030	0.2063				409	DEDY6410	0.2338	17:00
		737	VAHR8046	0.0221		1004		140 129	PAHR3321	0.0357	
		123*	PAHR2838	0.0001				450 137	PAHR4763	0.0243	
		127*	PAHR3295	0.0001				850 189	PAHR9938	0.0439	
		508*	WVHR5739	0.0001				601	MDHR0015	0.1725	
		509*	WVHR6163	0.0001				602	MDHR0465	0.0001	
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				119*	PAHR2537	0.0001	
		281	PADY5662	0.0000	08:00			138*	PAHR4778	0.0001	
		312	PADY7846	0.0002	07:00			605*	MDHR1530	0.0001	
		643	MDDY3975	0.1245	18:00			747*	VAHR8906	0.0001	
		856	VADY9186	0.2414	08:00			999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
750	750	119	PAHR2537	0.6449				253	PADY4019	0.2493	07:00
		123	PAHR2838	0.3549				320	PADY8379	0.0026	08:00
		605	MDHR1530	0.0002				409	DEDY6410	0.1214	17:00
760	760	119	PAHR2537	0.0010		1005		510 189	PAHR9938	0.0004	
		615	MDHR9030	0.5421				870 601	MDHR0015	0.0525	
		123*	PAHR2838	0.0001				470 602	MDHR0465	0.2394	
		189*	PAHR9938	0.0001				480 604	MDHR0700	0.0085	
		604*	MDHR0700	0.0001				860 615	MDHR9030	0.0032	
		605*	MDHR1530	0.0001				490 119*	PAHR2537	0.0001	
		601*	MDHR0015	0.0001				612*	MDHR6915	0.0001	
		602*	MDHR0465	0.0001				747*	VAHR8906	0.0001	

Table D.3.1

Precip Segment	Model Segment	DSN	Station Name	Station Weight	Observation Time (if daily)	Precip Segment	Model Segment	DSN	Station Name	Station Weight	Observation Time (if daily)
		999*	XXHR0000	0.0001				780	VADY2142	0.0018	08:00
		253	PADY4019	0.0239	07:00			822	VADY6475	0.0016	16:00
		320	PADY8379	0.1541	08:00			830	VADY6906	0.0062	07:00
		631	MDDY2060	0.0001	24:00			845	VADY8800	0.0454	07:00
		620	MDDY0470	0.4498	24:00			857	VADY9213	0.0909	07:00
		629	MDDY1862	0.0371	17:00						
		642	MDDY3675	0.0306	16:00	1010		950 725	VAHR6139	0.7701	
								630 749	VAHR9151	0.0679	
1006	880 612	MDHR6915	0.3610					960 612*	MDHR6915	0.0001	
	500 747*	VAHR8906	0.3048					620 734*	VAHR7201	0.0001	
	910 602*	MDHR0465	0.0001					999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
	920 604*	MDHR0700	0.0001					845	VADY8800	0.1617	7:00
	990 749*	VAHR9151	0.0001								
	729*	VAHR6712	0.0001								
	999*	XXHR0000	0.0001			1011		330 604	MDHR0700	0.2692	
	642	MDDY3675	0.2497	16:00				340 615	MDHR9030	0.0532	
	776	VADY2009	0.0840	09:00				119*	PAHR2537	0.0001	
1007	550 604	MDHR0700	0.0500					602*	MDHR0465	0.0001	
	890 612	MDHR6915	0.0001					605*	MDHR1530	0.0001	
	900 742	VAHR8396	0.1325					734*	VAHR7201	0.0001	
	970 747	VAHR8906	0.3211					742*	VAHR8396	0.0001	
	602*	MDHR0465	0.0001					747*	VAHR8906	0.0001	
	615*	MDHR9030	0.0001					999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
	729*	VAHR6712	0.0001					624	MDDY1125	0.0000	24:00
	999*	XXHR0000	0.0001					629	MDDY1862	0.4485	17:00
	624	MDDY1125	0.0000	24:00				642	MDDY3675	0.2284	16:00
	630	MDDY1995	0.1193	09:00				729	VAHR6712	0.0827	
	642	MDDY3675	0.0111	16:00		1012		235 726*	VAHR6178	0.0001	
	776	VADY2009	0.0105	09:00				250 734*	VAHR7201	0.0001	
	849	VADY8903	0.3550	24:00				260 742*	VAHR8396	0.0001	
1008	980 612	MDHR6915	0.3363					747*	VAHR8906	0.0001	
	580 734	VAHR7201	0.0050					612*	MDHR6915	0.0001	
	930 749	VAHR9151	0.0873					999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
	604*	MDHR0700	0.0001					757	VADY0327	0.2494	18:00
	729*	VAHR6712	0.0001					776	VADY2009	0.2487	09:00
	747*	VAHR8906	0.0001					780	VADY2142	0.0609	08:00
	745*	VAHR8849	0.0001					792	VADY3466	0.0652	07:00
	724*	VAHR6139	0.0001					806	VADY5050	0.2925	18:00
	999*	XXHR0000	0.0001					734	VAHR7201	0.0012	
	757	VADY0327	0.0326	18:00		1013		290 720*	VAHR5120	0.0001	
	776	VADY2009	0.4957	09:00				300 729*	VAHR6712	0.0001	
	822	VADY6475	0.0425	16:00				310 749*	VAHR9151	0.0001	
1009	600 725	VAHR6139	0.0297					722*	VAHR5690	0.0001	
	610 734	VAHR7201	0.3208					999*	XXHR0000	0.0001	
	590 749	VAHR9151	0.3773					755	VADY0187	0.1788	07:00
	940 612*	MDHR6915	0.0001					756	VADY0243	0.0663	07:00
	747*	VAHR8906	0.0001					767	VADY1322	0.0513	08:00
	745*	VAHR8849	0.0001					757	VADY0327	0.0001	18:00
	999*	XXHR0000	0.0001					765	VADY1136	0.0001	07:00
	757	VADY0327	0.1246	18:00				780	VADY2142	0.1637	08:00
	776	VADY2009	0.0013	09:00				782	VADY2160	0.0820	07:00
								786	VADY2941	0.2324	07:00
								830	VADY6906	0.1191	07:00
								857	VADY9213	0.1045	07:00

(*indicates stations which possess Thiessen polygons outside of the segment, but are used to minimize skipped hourly precipitation events)
 (**indicates time of day that precipitation data was observed at those stations subject to daily inspection)

Table D.3.2

Format of Input Files for PRECIP.exe Program

Line	FORTRAN Format	Variable Name	Definition
1	7I4	NGAGES	Total number of hourly and daily stations
		IDAILY	Number of daily stations
		IHRLY	Number of hourly stations
		TYPE	Set to 2, for Thiessen method
		COMP	Set to 1
		DIS	Set to 0
		AVG	Set to 1
2	A64	WDNAME	WDM file name and extension
3	I4	DSN(21)	WDM data set number for the output time series generated by PRECIP.exe
4	20I4	DSN(1-NGAGES)	WDM data set numbers of the input hourly and daily precipitation time series. Enter hourly stations DSNs first, then daily station DSNs
5	6I4	SDATE(1-3) EDATE(1-3)	Start date in year, month, day format End date in year, month, day format
6	10I4	IOBST(11-IDAYT)	Observation times of the daily stations in the same order as they appear in line 4. If there are no daily stations to be included omit this line, do not leave a blank line
7+	10F8.2	WGT(1-NGAGES)	Thiessen weights of the observed precipitation stations in the same order as line 4. Enter a maximum of ten values per line, then start a new line immediately below following the same format

used to distribute that event by employing a proportional distribution pattern on an hourly basis. A data gap occurs when daily precipitation stations have precipitation recorded, but the hourly stations either have zero or missing precipitation. As no hourly distribution is available, for these instances the precipitation hourly record will contain data gaps.

To eliminate hourly data gaps an ideal hourly station that rained every hour of every day for the period of the record. This ideal station (DSN999) had an extremely low precipitation volume (0.00042 inches per hour, or 0.01 inches per day) and was set to a weight of 0.0001 which was a negligible weight assigned to other nearby hourly stations not in the Thiessen precipitation segment but close by and used for hourly weights of the precipitation volume. The ideal station had no impact on a segment's calculated precipitation volume, but was selected when no other hourly station had precipitation registered for that day. This ideal station was included for all precipitation segments that missed more than one inch of calculated precipitation over the 1991-1994 run time. For those stations with less than one inch missed, all events were reviewed and determined to be less than 0.20 inch in depth. Table D.3.1 is the final draft with Thiessen weights with the augmented hourly stations marked with an asterisk and the inclusion of the ideal hourly station identified by an asterisk and a "999" in the DSN column.

Both 1991 data from the above development and from the earlier 1984-1991 dataset were compared to verify the consistency between them. Table D.3.3 lists the monthly precipitation in 1991 for the 1984-1991 data set and for verification with the 1991-1995 data set, and in 1992-1995.

In comparing 1991 precipitation of stage 1 and stage 2, there are only minor (<1%) differences between them. The differences may be due to any of the following reasons: 1) 1984-91 used one decimal place for daily precipitation, while 1991-1995 used two decimal places, and 2) 1991-95 used eight fewer precipitation stations than 1984-91 did.

From the above analysis, the 1991-95 precipitation data development was shown to be in agreement with 1984-91 precipitation data.

Finally, the 1992-95 precipitation data were combined with 1984-1991 data using ANNIE.exe software, yielding 1984-95 precipitation data for application in the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model.

Table D.3.3

Summary of 1991 Precipitation Data from Stage 1 WDM Files

	DATE											
	Jan-91	Feb-91	Mar-91	Apr-91	May-91	Jun-91	Jul-91	Aug-91	Sep-91	Oct-91	Nov-91	Dec-91
DSN 1010	1.67	1.24	2.96	2.97	1.05	0.92	2.53	2.61	2.45	1.81	2.86	2.76
DSN 1020	2.22	1.79	3.63	4.44	2.54	1.55	3.12	3.72	3.09	1.85	3.91	2.8
DSN 1030	1.87	1.58	3.33	3.11	2.34	1.13	2.84	2.29	3.15	2.14	3.52	2.81
DSN 1040	2.59	1.68	3.64	2.91	2.57	2.34	3.31	4.48	3.63	2.71	3.49	3.19
DSN 1050	3.10	1.82	5.20	3.52	1.95	2.64	3.33	1.78	3.00	1.31	2.49	2.83
DSN 1060	1.97	1.43	4.15	2.89	1.83	1.51	2.80	2.76	3.29	1.69	2.86	3.01
DSN 1070	2.20	1.79	3.59	2.72	2.16	1.37	3.23	3.6	4.18	2.26	3.12	3.18
DSN 1080	2.39	1.62	3.97	2.48	2.03	0.84	2.06	4.58	2.32	2.09	2.95	2.93
DSN 1090	1.97	1.66	4.12	2.67	1.46	1.28	2.61	2.47	1.49	1.16	2.73	3.06
DSN 1100	1.90	1.66	4.62	2.70	1.45	1.37	2.37	2.93	2.32	1.42	2.76	2.62
DSN 1110	2.59	1.12	3.61	2.00	2.82	0.66	1.92	3.77	3.65	2.96	2.27	3.41
DSN 1120	3.01	1.13	3.52	2.25	1.64	1.65	3.24	2.40	4.43	2.48	2.22	3.7
DSN 1160	2.63	1.72	4.01	3.04	2.12	1.48	2.69	2.32	1.19	1.09	2.68	3.97
DSN 1170	3.02	0.96	5.06	2.03	2.15	1.22	4.02	2.35	1.31	0.5	2.22	3.88
DSN 1175	2.66	1.20	4.43	1.93	1.81	2.25	2.43	2.32	0.94	0.91	2.48	3.64
DSN 1180	2.94	1.09	4.25	2.11	1.95	1.27	1.93	2.23	1.78	2.62	1.92	4.1
DSN 1190	3.09	1.45	5.20	1.88	1.69	3.56	7.31	2.26	0.80	0.99	2.43	4.46
DSN 1200	3.12	1.01	4.70	1.93	1.63	2.48	2.74	1.71	1.33	1.47	2.13	4.29
DSN 1210	3.20	1.34	4.04	2.02	1.85	0.90	2.08	5.54	4.29	2.17	2.11	3.86
DSN 1220	3.01	0.82	4.94	1.80	1.30	3.98	2.65	1.07	2.56	1.71	2.32	4.6
DSN 1230	3.64	1.04	5.01	2.48	1.71	3.47	5.30	1.43	1.67	1.73	2.71	4.73
DSN 1265	4.07	1.74	6.04	2.14	2.16	2.33	5.74	2.89	0.60	1.11	3.38	5.63
DSN 1270	3.76	2.00	6.81	2.25	2.91	2.65	6.00	2.13	0.77	0.63	3.42	4.79
DSN 1280	3.67	1.94	5.56	2.42	2.36	3.22	8.24	2.51	1.29	1.13	2.67	5.36
DSN 1700	1.28	1.27	2.99	2.99	0.98	0.67	2.05	1.63	2.40	1.37	3.47	2.47
DSN 1710	2.46	1.15	3.55	1.85	2.36	0.81	1.90	3.39	3.67	2.8	2.04	3.44
DSN 1720	2.79	1.16	3.74	2.28	2.50	1.92	3.06	3.41	3.53	2.78	1.96	3.35
DSN 1730	2.54	1.44	4.31	2.87	2.79	0.58	2.03	2.75	1.46	2.81	2.44	3.42
DSN 1740	2.81	1.11	4.17	2.04	1.88	1.61	1.63	2.21	1.79	1.55	2.3	3.72
DSN 1750	3.00	1.50	4.12	2.36	2.50	0.50	2.32	4.61	2.10	2.69	2.25	3.73
DSN 1760	3.38	1.15	4.03	1.73	1.92	1.43	1.67	4.63	3.85	1.87	2.36	4.23
DSN 2001	4.59	0.87	4.89	2.96	0.72	2.62	3.89	5.48	2.89	3.62	0.86	3.54
DSN 2002	4.55	0.86	5.56	2.64	1.23	3.22	4.34	4.10	4.09	3.04	0.98	4.37
DSN 2003	3.68	1.08	4.92	2.66	2.14	1.34	3.18	3.39	4.42	1.83	2.18	4.21
DSN 2004	3.50	1.19	4.34	2.34	2.17	1.73	3.19	3.21	4.25	1.93	2.18	4.1
DSN 2005	3.26	0.78	4.89	1.91	1.34	0.80	2.47	2.53	3.68	2.55	2.02	4.38
DSN 2006	3.31	0.80	4.71	1.66	1.62	2.45	3.58	2.08	3.11	2.77	1.11	5.28
DSN 2007	2.98	0.80	4.92	1.67	1.64	3.46	3.66	1.69	2.98	1.94	1.86	4.89
DSN 2008	3.62	0.96	4.48	1.60	1.49	3.39	4.12	1.17	3.01	2.74	1.15	5.82
DSN 2009	3.90	1.19	5.40	1.67	0.98	4.82	5.91	2.86	2.74	2.92	0.85	3.93
DSN 2010	4.55	0.88	4.63	5.41	0.67	4.22	7.15	4.10	2.27	4.26	1.46	2.5
DSN 2011	3.24	0.94	5.34	1.92	2.02	1.95	2.25	3.21	3.42	2.59	2.16	4.73
DSN 2012	3.71	1.08	4.11	1.59	1.36	3.72	7.61	1.20	2.47	1.83	1.42	5.36
DSN 2013	4.17	2.22	5.44	1.39	1.93	2.88	6.30	4.13	2.83	1.87	1.23	4.68

Table D.3.3

Summary of 1991 Precipitation Processed by Stage 2 for Verification with Stage 1

	DATE											
	Jan-91	Feb-91	Mar-91	Apr-91	May-91	Jun-91	Jul-91	Aug-91	Sep-91	Oct-91	Nov-91	Dec-91
DSN 1010	1.68	1.26	2.96	2.97	1.05	0.92	2.52	2.61	2.46	1.81	2.86	2.76
DSN 1020	2.22	1.79	3.63	4.44	2.54	1.55	3.12	3.72	3.09	1.85	3.91	2.8
DSN 1030	1.87	1.59	3.31	3.11	2.33	1.12	2.85	2.30	3.16	2.14	3.51	2.81
DSN 1040	2.54	1.74	3.53	2.84	2.57	2.31	3.39	4.48	3.67	2.71	3.39	3.21
DSN 1050	3.10	1.59	5.20	3.08	1.95	2.32	3.33	1.71	3.24	1.32	2.59	2.94
DSN 1060	2.00	1.54	4.11	2.88	1.87	1.53	2.80	2.79	3.34	1.74	2.87	3.04
DSN 1070	2.22	1.79	3.56	2.70	2.14	1.40	3.20	3.62	4.23	2.26	3.18	3.22
DSN 1080	2.41	1.60	3.97	2.49	2.01	0.96	2.04	4.59	2.30	2.12	3.01	2.93
DSN 1090	2.01	1.68	4.08	2.67	1.45	1.32	2.58	2.44	1.47	1.14	2.82	2.98
DSN 1100	1.97	1.63	4.58	2.66	1.49	1.39	2.42	2.85	2.19	1.38	2.80	2.57
DSN 1110	2.63	1.14	3.61	1.96	2.83	0.65	1.83	3.81	3.70	2.96	2.33	3.57
DSN 1120	2.90	1.23	3.45	2.60	1.79	1.67	3.78	2.10	4.53	2.15	2.28	3.63
DSN 1160	3.27	1.65	4.10	3.06	2.11	1.45	2.69	2.34	1.14	1.08	2.69	4.00
DSN 1170	2.81	0.96	5.12	2.06	2.13	1.18	4.06	2.36	1.33	0.55	2.92	3.88
DSN 1175	2.75	1.16	4.45	1.98	1.84	2.35	2.38	2.33	1.02	0.97	2.46	3.69
DSN 1180	3.61	1.18	4.21	2.22	1.41	1.22	1.87	2.03	—	2.07	1.69	3.02
DSN 1190	3.48	1.47	5.17	1.92	1.76	3.53	7.30	2.24	0.77	1.06	2.51	4.43
DSN 1200	3.25	1.02	4.78	1.93	1.55	2.49	2.80	1.69	1.14	1.32	2.00	4.35
DSN 1210	3.21	1.45	4.04	2.02	1.85	0.90	2.07	5.55	—	2.17	2.11	3.86
DSN 1220	2.89	0.85	5.02	1.76	1.33	4.02	2.57	1.04	2.61	1.75	2.40	4.53
DSN 1230	3.57	1.00	5.01	2.46	1.73	3.49	5.34	1.43	1.61	1.76	2.75	4.67
DSN 1265	3.46	1.75	5.64	2.02	2.12	2.16	5.89	2.72	0.63	1.10	3.36	5.59
DSN 1270	3.75	2.01	6.75	2.19	2.94	2.65	6.15	2.14	0.79	0.63	3.49	4.82
DSN 1280	3.51	2.04	5.61	2.33	2.50	3.43	7.12	2.62	1.51	0.96	2.61	5.53
DSN 1700	1.28	1.27	2.99	2.99	0.98	0.67	2.05	1.63	2.40	1.37	3.47	2.47
DSN 1710	2.45	1.16	3.54	1.84	2.49	0.68	1.80	3.50	3.66	2.78	2.11	3.53
DSN 1720	2.73	1.27	3.77	2.40	2.93	2.11	3.24	3.69	3.44	2.81	2.06	3.14
DSN 1730	2.69	1.45	4.32	2.93	2.75	0.60	2.03	2.79	—	2.77	2.43	3.26
DSN 1740	2.84	1.11	4.17	2.01	1.83	1.72	1.62	2.19	—	1.64	1.20	3.66
DSN 1750	3.00	1.50	4.12	2.36	2.50	0.50	2.32	4.61	—	2.69	2.25	3.73
DSN 1760	3.32	1.11	4.12	1.69	1.86	1.36	1.66	4.62	3.93	1.91	2.34	4.35
DSN 2001	4.60	0.88	4.87	2.98	0.73	2.64	3.90	5.46	2.89	3.63	0.86	3.56
DSN 2002	4.55	0.86	5.56	2.64	1.23	3.22	4.37	4.10	4.09	3.04	0.98	4.37
DSN 2003	3.68	1.08	4.94	2.64	2.15	1.34	3.17	3.35	4.38	1.80	2.08	4.18
DSN 2004	3.34	1.19	4.36	2.42	2.26	1.72	3.22	3.24	4.16	1.92	2.10	4.09
DSN 2005	3.25	0.84	4.92	1.86	1.35	0.84	2.36	2.46	3.72	2.57	2.01	4.36
DSN 2006	3.32	0.83	4.75	1.70	1.61	2.45	3.59	2.09	3.12	2.77	1.14	5.31
DSN 2007	2.95	0.84	4.98	1.63	1.64	3.49	3.61	1.70	3.04	1.99	1.90	4.84
DSN 2008	3.59	0.96	4.47	1.76	1.56	3.43	4.18	0.96	3.20	2.76	1.14	5.76
DSN 2009	3.90	1.16	5.82	1.71	0.98	4.85	5.92	2.87	2.73	2.95	0.88	3.89
DSN 2010	4.56	0.84	6.08	5.42	0.66	4.50	7.06	4.08	2.26	4.25	1.45	2.49
DSN 2011	3.18	1.01	5.46	1.88	1.98	1.86	2.30	3.25	3.48	2.62	2.15	4.88
DSN 2012	3.63	1.05	4.09	1.70	1.40	3.76	7.69	1.23	2.46	1.88	1.39	5.44
DSN 2013	4.19	2.23	5.44	1.32	1.96	2.86	6.23	4.18	2.84	1.87	1.15	4.70

Note: There are no values for segments 1180, 1210, 1730, 1740, and 1750 due to unacceptable raw data for those segments.

Table D.3.3

Summary of 1992 Precipitation Data Processed by Stage 2

	DATE											
	Jan-92	Feb-92	Mar-92	Apr-92	May-92	Jun-92	Jul-92	Aug-92	Sep-92	Oct-92	Nov-92	Dec-92
DSN 1010	1.73	1.21	3.24	2.88	2.56	2.03	7.34	3.32	3.87	2.17	3.13	2.74
DSN 1020	1.70	2.07	3.30	3.17	4.68	1.73	6.17	2.71	3.43	3.34	4.06	2.6
DSN 1030	1.69	1.70	3.52	2.53	4.11	1.64	5.27	2.83	3.30	2.52	3.75	3.18
DSN 1040	1.34	2.00	4.97	2.68	2.45	2.82	5.32	2.97	3.02	2.15	3.52	2.17
DSN 1050	1.84	1.76	3.22	2.45	2.63	1.58	6.98	4.92	4.34	1.45	4.14	3.39
DSN 1060	1.93	1.42	3.59	2.58	2.72	2.22	8.09	3.98	4.09	1.77	4.30	3.29
DSN 1070	1.76	1.66	4.74	2.30	3.44	2.82	7.97	2.64	2.60	1.20	3.65	1.94
DSN 1080	1.62	1.90	4.73	2.64	3.09	2.15	4.87	2.48	3.00	1.75	4.31	3.57
DSN 1090	1.87	1.96	3.96	1.91	2.59	1.99	5.28	2.77	3.10	0.68	3.66	4.21
DSN 1100	1.53	1.64	3.79	2.02	2.77	1.83	4.02	1.82	3.21	0.85	4.03	4.09
DSN 1110	1.37	1.58	4.54	2.36	3.97	2.30	4.67	1.88	4.75	1.58	4.96	2.87
DSN 1120	1.87	1.47	3.90	1.70	4.18	2.60	2.74	2.15	3.78	2.50	3.57	3.79
DSN 1160	1.79	2.88	4.07	2.33	3.28	2.12	7.43	2.93	1.75	0.59	3.28	4.59
DSN 1170	1.35	1.49	2.76	2.52	3.49	2.01	3.09	1.65	1.96	0.29	1.65	2.56
DSN 1175	1.36	1.82	3.08	2.35	3.60	2.78	5.14	2.56	2.60	0.47	3.03	4.25
DSN 1180	1.47	1.29	3.86	4.09	3.93	3.21	4.81	2.55	4.96	0.65	3.74	4.49
DSN 1190	2.57	2.05	3.01	4.44	4.16	3.27	4.19	2.00	4.38	1.57	4.06	5.68
DSN 1200	2.26	1.77	3.11	4.01	3.79	3.10	4.70	2.08	4.83	0.75	3.42	5.81
DSN 1210	1.52	1.84	5.09	3.53	3.29	3.32	6.55	2.51	4.81	1.32	4.78	3.81
DSN 1220	2.38	2.07	3.49	3.73	4.09	2.81	6.78	1.68	5.39	2.11	5.26	4.73
DSN 1230	3.03	2.19	3.05	4.23	4.49	4.09	4.80	2.60	6.03	1.97	5.82	7.69
DSN 1265	1.49	4.01	4.04	3.46	4.61	4.61	3.94	2.22	2.18	1.06	4.81	3.00
DSN 1270	2.28	2.82	3.48	4.39	4.43	4.60	3.12	2.27	2.95	1.54	4.54	2.85
DSN 1280	2.80	2.99	3.20	3.34	4.84	3.62	3.35	2.05	5.46	1.87	5.60	4.60
DSN 1700	1.69	1.36	3.59	2.40	3.54	1.52	6.02	3.54	2.78	2.72	3.07	3.06
DSN 1710	1.50	1.55	4.26	1.97	3.99	2.01	4.21	1.81	4.44	1.50	4.31	2.87
DSN 1720	1.56	1.45	3.83	1.48	4.36	2.94	4.01	2.36	4.17	1.82	4.11	3.75
DSN 1730	1.66	1.99	5.13	3.26	4.14	2.75	4.11	2.08	4.24	0.68	5.28	5.63
DSN 1740	1.38	1.95	3.63	3.52	4.15	3.65	4.41	2.32	3.82	0.41	2.20	4.65
DSN 1750	1.65	1.98	5.49	3.18	4.08	2.81	5.69	1.60	4.69	1.26	6.18	4.30
DSN 1760	2.04	2.50	4.29	2.76	3.45	1.77	5.81	2.14	4.19	1.98	5.22	4.87
DSN 2001	1.57	2.76	3.42	1.56	4.15	2.78	4.81	5.16	5.59	1.45	4.65	4.46
DSN 2002	1.06	3.12	3.59	1.36	3.57	3.50	8.24	4.85	5.20	1.65	2.77	4.61
DSN 2003	1.14	2.57	4.67	1.67	4.30	3.51	5.94	2.95	5.62	1.86	4.04	4.85
DSN 2004	1.57	1.26	4.26	0.98	4.10	1.64	3.47	2.33	2.42	2.14	2.24	3.73
DSN 2005	1.43	2.35	4.38	1.98	3.19	2.29	4.61	2.48	5.45	2.18	4.20	4.11
DSN 2006	1.92	2.72	4.50	2.19	3.54	2.67	7.14	4.14	4.17	1.82	3.28	4.08
DSN 2007	2.38	2.25	3.50	2.78	3.91	2.48	6.79	2.03	4.81	2.04	4.30	3.88
DSN 2008	2.17	2.95	4.42	1.77	3.96	2.48	6.15	5.76	3.87	1.93	3.09	4.40
DSN 2009	2.41	2.75	4.88	2.44	4.55	2.86	5.76	7.49	2.29	2.37	3.03	3.53
DSN 2010	4.37	2.09	2.72	1.70	3.78	2.36	4.77	12.97	2.31	2.82	4.00	3.44
DSN 2011	2.06	2.58	4.27	2.62	4.04	1.72	7.55	2.41	4.45	2.17	4.88	4.53
DSN 2012	2.03	2.8	4.22	2.04	3.94	2.47	3.48	4.03	4.15	2.09	4.09	4.83
DSN 2013	2.37	3.03	4.02	2.72	4.87	2.90	3.34	3.86	3.12	2.25	3.69	3.94

Table D.3.3

Summary of 1993 Precipitation Data Processed by Stage 2

	DATE											
	Jan-93	Feb-93	Mar-93	Apr-93	May-93	Jun-93	Jul-93	Aug-93	Sep-93	Oct-93	Nov-93	Dec-93
DSN 1010	1.78	1.28	3.81	6.72	1.47	2.36	1.56	3.08	4.42	2.10	4.17	1.84
DSN 1020	2.39	1.77	3.98	6.95	1.63	3.52	1.65	3.03	3.69	2.84	3.91	2.97
DSN 1030	1.80	1.45	4.36	7.59	1.58	2.82	2.09	3.71	5.42	3.61	4.13	3.16
DSN 1040	1.85	1.37	3.63	7.49	1.61	2.42	1.70	4.42	4.56	2.90	2.99	2.85
DSN 1050	2.95	2.10	4.77	6.98	2.47	2.69	3.83	2.55	5.50	3.03	5.38	2.78
DSN 1060	2.48	1.75	5.18	7.45	1.86	3.23	2.88	3.93	5.10	3.30	4.86	2.50
DSN 1070	1.53	1.14	3.9	6.17	1.65	2.62	1.71	3.68	4.21	2.52	3.53	2.17
DSN 1080	2.24	1.66	6.25	6.89	2.31	2.44	3.41	4.29	4.34	3.32	3.45	3.23
DSN 1090	1.75	2.08	5.93	8.77	2.39	2.74	3.89	2.57	4.63	2.81	4.90	2.84
DSN 1100	1.88	1.90	5.31	7.81	2.09	2.43	3.13	2.14	4.27	2.47	4.77	2.50
DSN 1110	1.94	2.40	6.10	6.90	2.45	2.96	3.44	4.16	6.47	3.47	4.46	4.30
DSN 1120	1.77	2.40	6.63	6.08	1.75	1.70	2.19	3.33	6.09	2.93	3.97	4.35
DSN 1160	1.81	2.42	7.16	6.59	2.23	2.74	3.48	2.48	5.04	2.78	3.46	3.14
DSN 1170	1.32	1.52	6.62	3.60	1.30	2.33	1.78	2.11	4.09	2.08	3.29	2.37
DSN 1175	1.41	1.83	6.94	6.26	1.48	2.65	3.49	1.88	4.29	2.25	4.15	3.07
DSN 1180	1.73	2.14	5.57	4.91	2.21	2.16	2.07	2.28	6.97	1.92	3.21	3.00
DSN 1190	2.39	2.29	7.38	4.34	2.84	2.02	2.65	2.18	4.23	2.32	3.87	3.71
DSN 1200	1.81	1.84	7.85	4.08	1.56	3.04	2.66	2.25	4.13	2.38	4.47	3.69
DSN 1210	2.08	3.26	7.81	6.07	2.24	2.15	2.12	3.48	8.84	2.91	4.61	4.10
DSN 1220	2.50	2.44	7.67	3.76	2.58	3.12	1.68	3.68	4.22	2.41	5.86	4.12
DSN 1230	2.88	2.56	7.75	4.98	3.46	2.69	3.03	2.71	3.60	2.79	5.74	3.90
DSN 1265	1.94	2.18	5.69	3.69	4.20	2.00	2.16	2.32	4.98	2.64	2.87	4.69
DSN 1270	2.70	3.00	7.49	3.67	3.02	3.27	2.47	2.05	4.31	2.11	2.80	3.82
DSN 1280	4.38	3.45	8.25	4.57	4.92	2.15	2.86	2.32	3.88	2.44	5.96	4.88
DSN 1700	1.41	1.13	3.61	7.43	1.61	3.65	1.32	3.24	3.76	2.23	3.50	2.50
DSN 1710	1.76	2.08	5.60	6.54	1.85	2.74	3.09	3.75	6.70	3.35	4.46	4.42
DSN 1720	1.97	2.39	5.97	6.62	1.68	2.27	2.68	2.48	7.46	3.41	4.50	4.78
DSN 1730	2.08	2.76	6.99	8.06	2.25	2.59	3.40	2.57	5.57	3.10	5.11	3.72
DSN 1740	1.67	1.67	6.73	5.81	1.20	2.73	2.75	1.86	5.39	2.49	4.29	3.89
DSN 1750	2.27	3.20	7.77	6.97	2.08	2.65	2.78	3.44	7.79	3.12	4.68	3.94
DSN 1760	2.41	2.54	1.28	6.32	2.49	3.37	1.58	4.04	5.16	2.98	4.72	4.52
DSN 2001	3.62	1.64	5.87	2.88	4.22	1.71	0.44	3.00	2.76	4.02	1.78	3.51
DSN 2002	3.21	2.84	7.81	2.99	3.60	2.06	1.27	4.73	2.58	2.46	3.23	4.53
DSN 2003	2.80	3.11	7.57	4.85	3.94	2.81	2.43	2.93	6.09	3.08	2.94	4.56
DSN 2004	2.02	1.44	7.17	3.24	2.44	1.10	1.86	3.54	6.59	3.86	3.64	4.70
DSN 2005	2.55	2.75	7.92	4.39	2.87	2.66	2.11	3.60	4.99	3.22	3.61	4.50
DSN 2006	3.27	2.53	7.38	3.43	3.86	1.85	1.10	4.54	3.24	2.65	4.89	4.41
DSN 2007	2.84	2.46	7.53	4.64	3.21	1.96	1.56	4.36	4.26	2.51	5.70	4.31
DSN 2008	3.65	2.72	8.08	4.20	4.48	2.05	1.10	3.44	4.25	2.60	4.33	4.41
DSN 2009	4.66	2.48	6.86	3.75	5.02	2.26	1.48	2.50	2.78	2.78	2.98	3.89
DSN 2010	4.93	2.23	6.23	3.57	3.57	2.70	0.36	1.34	3.95	3.45	1.25	3.38
DSN 2011	2.86	2.30	4.24	4.95	3.51	2.40	1.37	4.84	4.17	3.35	5.13	4.81
DSN 2012	3.99	2.83	8.08	4.74	4.75	1.87	1.58	2.35	4.14	2.39	6.57	4.53
DSN 2013	4.81	3.24	7.90	6.20	5.15	2.07	1.93	2.54	4.30	2.75	6.40	5.56

Table D.3.3

Summary of 1994 Precipitation Data Processed by Stage 2

	DATE											
	Jan-94	Feb-94	Mar-94	Apr-94	May-94	Jun-94	Jul-94	Aug-94	Sep-94	Oct-94	Nov-94	Dec-94
DSN 1010	2.57	1.56	4.11	2.67	2.47	5.64	2.88	6.82	2.19	1.63	3.36	1.89
DSN 1020	3.59	1.91	5.09	3.36	2.52	6.30	4.19	6.46	2.68	0.91	3.05	2.72
DSN 1030	3.82	1.45	4.46	4.57	2.45	5.03	4.34	7.16	3.96	1.10	4.26	2.54
DSN 1040	4.04	1.65	4.78	3.18	2.03	4.66	4.14	4.84	3.07	0.66	4.01	2.15
DSN 1050	4.03	2.75	6.54	5.01	3.41	4.61	3.73	8.84	3.12	0.89	4.74	3.04
DSN 1060	3.00	2.11	4.93	3.71	2.40	5.90	4.42	8.29	2.89	0.74	4.36	2.70
DSN 1070	3.07	1.73	4.32	3.23	2.25	4.39	4.61	5.47	3.60	0.59	4.01	2.45
DSN 1080	4.73	2.27	6.34	2.70	2.87	3.51	4.80	6.95	2.33	0.78	3.70	2.74
DSN 1090	4.55	3.44	6.37	2.99	3.00	2.09	4.50	7.58	2.12	0.97	4.04	2.91
DSN 1100	4.05	2.98	5.78	2.66	2.66	1.91	3.50	6.60	2.31	0.72	4.16	2.71
DSN 1110	4.10	3.40	5.68	2.08	3.01	2.21	6.40	5.31	2.67	1.04	5.39	2.93
DSN 1120	4.75	4.14	5.51	2.82	3.80	2.16	5.69	5.94	3.12	1.10	3.16	1.81
DSN 1160	4.72	5.65	6.47	3.91	4.34	3.36	5.29	5.02	1.03	0.79	3.38	2.99
DSN 1170	2.28	3.81	4.66	1.92	2.86	2.00	4.85	4.28	0.78	0.40	2.06	1.78
DSN 1175	3.92	4.30	6.03	2.90	3.20	2.63	5.40	4.56	1.26	0.96	3.63	2.88
DSN 1180	2.70	2.56	4.20	1.75	2.16	1.78	3.36	4.16	2.85	0.79	2.15	2.47
DSN 1190	4.55	3.65	7.01	2.54	2.53	2.83	4.42	5.20	1.67	1.28	2.47	2.12
DSN 1200	3.62	3.96	6.65	2.73	3.02	2.89	4.70	5.72	2.54	0.80	2.93	2.54
DSN 1210	3.78	3.74	6.41	2.16	2.75	4.15	3.73	4.08	3.65	0.91	4.89	2.53
DSN 1220	4.12	3.80	6.98	2.19	3.15	4.06	5.52	5.34	3.47	1.06	2.33	2.62
DSN 1230	4.34	3.81	7.61	3.08	2.24	3.77	6.82	5.54	3.51	1.20	3.01	2.51
DSN 1265	4.49	4.84	6.64	2.69	4.12	2.84	6.88	3.73	0.57	0.97	1.72	2.54
DSN 1270	4.17	3.78	6.30	3.28	2.63	3.20	5.53	5.64	1.06	1.49	1.45	2.32
DSN 1280	4.42	5.10	8.73	2.09	2.14	3.45	6.81	5.10	3.11	1.63	2.21	1.66
DSN 1700	3.32	1.51	4.31	0.00	1.81	7.63	5.29	6.25	3.05	1.27	2.76	2.13
DSN 1710	3.44	3.36	5.45	0.00	3.41	1.96	7.34	4.93	2.60	1.04	5.14	2.97
DSN 1720	5.05	3.06	5.52	3.12	4.11	2.61	6.67	5.81	2.78	1.10	4.69	3.06
DSN 1730	4.69	3.40	5.80	0.37	2.86	1.92	3.84	7.39	3.84	0.80	5.27	3.30
DSN 1740	4.03	4.14	6.19	2.51	3.95	1.56	4.45	6.28	2.02	1.13	3.68	3.04
DSN 1750	3.90	3.39	5.56	0.00	2.71	3.55	3.78	5.21	3.84	0.94	5.29	2.64
DSN 1760	3.72	4.22	7.29	1.81	3.25	2.19	4.98	4.95	2.89	1.08	4.06	3.13
DSN 2001	3.35	5.13	9.72	0.94	2.89	2.82	6.90	3.23	3.55	2.28	3.90	1.54
DSN 2002	4.62	4.00	9.78	3.09	3.07	1.86	6.32	3.93	3.09	1.56	2.07	1.49
DSN 2003	4.32	2.57	7.66	3.01	3.40	0.90	9.28	3.83	2.23	0.82	2.52	2.13
DSN 2004	5.33	3.52	6.06	2.72	3.27	1.68	6.46	4.04	2.56	0.93	3.26	2.28
DSN 2005	4.42	4.17	8.24	2.12	2.83	2.45	5.22	4.47	2.98	1.29	2.71	2.05
DSN 2006	4.50	4.25	9.36	2.51	2.12	2.19	5.97	5.11	3.14	1.44	2.11	1.71
DSN 2007	4.28	3.88	7.76	1.84	2.57	3.71	5.12	4.92	3.05	1.14	1.91	2.42
DSN 2008	4.29	5.52	9.69	2.65	1.91	2.87	7.57	4.86	4.02	1.67	2.75	1.16
DSN 2009	3.31	4.40	8.29	2.44	2.96	1.69	7.67	3.35	3.43	2.36	3.54	0.89
DSN 2010	3.89	3.64	10.01	0.70	3.24	1.36	12.46	3.62	2.59	2.52	4.95	1.22
DSN 2011	4.56	3.65	8.69	2.57	2.94	2.61	8.47	7.61	3.10	1.35	2.39	2.31
DSN 2012	3.92	5.06	9.37	3.45	2.33	2.33	7.62	5.46	4.38	1.79	2.41	1.53
DSN 2013	3.32	5.40	9.10	2.51	3.09	3.79	5.52	3.71	3.86	2.16	3.45	0.80

Table D.3.3

Summary of 1995 Precipitation Data Processed by Stage 2

	DATE											
	Jan-95	Feb-95	Mar-95	Apr-95	May-95	Jun-95	Jul-95	Aug-95	Sep-95	Oct-95	Nov-95	Dec-95
DSN 1010	2.83	0.95	0.91	1.76	1.56	1.87	1.79	3.02	2.08	6.41	3.09	1.29
DSN 1020	2.43	2.50	1.13	2.38	1.91	1.88	2.32	2.55	3.15	6.51	3.72	2.14
DSN 1030	2.97	1.38	1.03	2.47	1.93	2.00	3.38	1.72	2.81	6.78	4.35	1.42
DSN 1040	3.87	1.46	1.24	2.40	2.31	3.88	3.83	0.72	2.04	7.87	5.15	1.38
DSN 1050	2.78	1.96	1.44	2.67	4.30	5.12	3.16	1.73	1.34	6.30	4.26	1.77
DSN 1060	3.47	1.47	1.17	2.67	3.48	3.23	2.31	1.25	1.39	7.27	4.51	1.74
DSN 1070	4.23	1.37	1.11	2.36	2.46	3.59	2.50	0.75	1.57	7.42	4.57	1.33
DSN 1080	4.52	1.74	1.16	2.31	2.91	5.50	2.47	0.83	1.79	8.23	4.51	1.92
DSN 1090	3.16	1.68	1.34	2.37	3.36	5.02	2.12	1.28	1.72	6.58	3.98	2.49
DSN 1100	3.50	1.59	1.21	2.45	3.59	5.84	2.10	1.16	1.70	7.18	4.14	2.10
DSN 1110	4.18	1.81	1.38	2.10	4.39	3.51	5.92	1.29	2.48	6.67	4.49	2.56
DSN 1120	4.13	2.04	2.41	1.33	3.40	2.42	3.98	1.13	3.70	7.14	4.55	1.78
DSN 1160	3.71	1.89	0.89	2.35	4.33	6.29	2.71	3.71	1.77	4.46	3.34	2.37
DSN 1170	4.70	0.66	1.33	2.11	4.06	6.78	4.10	3.23	1.67	3.65	2.48	1.81
DSN 1175	3.45	0.83	1.33	1.81	3.55	5.84	2.95	3.42	1.68	5.50	3.85	1.92
DSN 1180	3.37	0.92	1.45	2.29	3.48	8.23	3.32	4.10	3.15	6.54	3.79	2.17
DSN 1190	6.56	1.19	1.51	1.65	4.81	10.44	2.48	2.00	3.40	7.15	3.39	2.33
DSN 1200	4.06	0.90	1.31	1.76	4.13	6.68	2.25	3.90	2.38	6.24	3.66	2.07
DSN 1210	4.48	1.68	0.81	1.00	3.70	7.72	2.84	1.54	2.95	5.09	3.72	1.79
DSN 1220	3.97	1.51	1.58	2.15	4.76	5.19	3.78	2.78	2.93	6.41	4.54	2.06
DSN 1230	5.28	1.47	2.28	1.83	5.31	10.60	3.38	2.42	3.17	7.50	4.34	2.26
DSN 1265	6.20	1.80	1.82	1.88	4.30	7.71	2.54	1.23	2.37	4.15	3.05	2.22
DSN 1270	7.24	1.78	1.57	1.45	4.47	11.11	3.03	0.94	2.37	4.70	4.02	2.15
DSN 1280	5.60	2.31	1.70	1.65	5.83	9.56	4.17	1.38	3.27	8.29	4.16	2.28
DSN 1700	2.14	0.66	0.63	2.33	1.49	1.93	2.53	3.22	3.22	6.12	3.02	1.34
DSN 1710	4.08	1.87	1.42	1.94	3.76	3.76	6.73	0.77	2.80	6.80	4.70	2.39
DSN 1720	3.61	1.98	1.94	1.95	3.29	3.21	5.68	0.50	2.99	8.27	5.18	2.22
DSN 1730	4.17	1.34	1.29	1.95	3.05	6.26	2.97	0.79	1.75	8.89	4.87	2.11
DSN 1740	3.64	0.62	1.59	1.92	4.87	6.46	3.73	3.70	2.08	7.06	3.96	2.23
DSN 1750	4.54	1.10	0.98	1.33	3.47	7.10	3.03	1.26	2.68	6.50	3.81	1.84
DSN 1760	3.89	1.93	1.44	1.68	5.88	2.94	6.05	3.06	2.79	6.05	4.88	1.81
DSN 2001	2.82	1.82	1.98	3.86	4.06	2.56	4.10	1.59	5.15	4.74	2.88	2.22
DSN 2002	3.08	2.16	2.26	2.48	4.22	2.73	6.45	2.37	3.65	6.61	3.92	2.86
DSN 2003	3.80	2.12	1.36	2.09	3.89	1.53	3.02	1.96	3.81	6.46	4.62	2.26
DSN 2004	4.46	2.29	2.26	1.83	3.63	3.09	2.65	1.45	4.34	6.38	4.63	2.13
DSN 2005	3.41	1.89	1.67	1.63	4.08	1.88	4.34	2.71	3.20	6.27	4.38	1.52
DSN 2006	3.61	1.89	2.55	2.22	4.39	2.95	3.57	1.02	3.26	7.37	3.79	2.55
DSN 2007	3.67	1.71	1.89	2.07	4.91	3.34	3.90	1.71	3.16	7.18	4.83	2.10
DSN 2008	3.94	1.70	2.81	2.66	4.23	4.02	5.77	1.08	2.99	6.89	3.64	2.47
DSN 2009	3.25	1.68	3.11	2.34	4.61	3.17	4.00	1.65	3.76	5.47	2.75	1.89
DSN 2010	2.58	2.67	3.28	2.94	2.68	4.80	2.27	1.43	4.63	4.95	3.02	1.83
DSN 2011	3.87	2.07	1.90	2.20	6.15	2.48	3.32	2.02	3.38	7.44	4.32	2.25
DSN 2012	4.60	1.48	2.46	2.39	5.31	6.48	5.26	1.25	3.14	8.39	3.67	2.50
DSN 2013	4.41	1.77	2.67	2.29	5.97	4.94	6.43	0.92	3.49	7.24	3.27	2.02

The following is a copy of the PREHR.F program which was used to reformat the hourly data from the NOAA format into a more compatible HYDHR format:

```
character*12 filtat(3), filin, filnam, filin2, filog
character*3 rectyp1
character*8 stnid
character*4 elmtyp1, stnid
character*2 eunit1, state, stnid1
character*1 flag11(100), flag21(100), typ, stal
character*5 stdumy1
dimension iday1(100),ihour1(100),ivalue1(100), value(31)
dimension isumyr(3), isumv2(3), iy(3), iso(100,4)
dimension isumv3(3), isumv4(3), my(3), PP(1100,4)
isumv2=0
isumv3=0
isumv4=0
PP = 0
Write(*,*)"Data extraction from NOAA Hourly data"
Write(*,*)"reformat for HSPF runs"
filin2 = 'prehr.lis'
open (8, file=filin2, access='sequential',status='old')
read(8,*) nfil
read(8,66) filin
66  format(a12)
open (10, file=filin, access='sequential',status='old')
filnam = 'xxxxxxxx.out'
filog = 'xxxxxxxx.log'
rewind(10)
close(10)
typ = filin(1:1)
i1 = 1
i2 = 2
i3 = 3
LR = 0
line = 0
do 200 m= 1,2000
line = line - 1
open (10, file=filin, access='sequential',status='old')
200  read(10, 39, end=49, err=49) rectype1
39  format(a3)
49  write(*,*) line
filnam(1:7) = filin(1:7)
filog(1:7) = filin(1:7)
```

```
open(24,FILE=filnam,STATUS='unknown')
open (10, file=filin, access='sequential',status='old')
    do 100 m= 1,line
read(10, 33, end=40,err=40) ir, iyr, imn, idy, idum, Vdum,
1(value(j),j = 1, 12)
33   format (i2, i2, i2, i2, i8, F8.0, 12f8.0)
40   write(24,205) filin(1:7), iyr, imn, idy, i1,
1(value(j),j=1,12)
        write(24,205) filin(1:7), iyr, imn, idy, i2,
1(value(j),j=1,12)
205      format(a7, 3x, i2, 1x, i2, 1x,i2,1x,i1, 12f5.2)
            if(sta1 .eq. '18') state='MD'
            if(sta1 .eq. '36') state='PA'
            if(sta1 .eq. '44') state='VA'
            if(sta1 .eq. '46') state='WV'
            if(sta1 .eq. '07') state='DE'
            if(sta1 .eq. '18') state='MD'
            if(sta1 .eq. '30') state='NY'
100      continue
close(10)
close(24)
666      continue
end
```

Section D.4 Simulation of the Atmospheric Deposition of Nutrients

D.4.1 Introduction

The atmospheric nutrient deposition inputs for the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model consist of wet nitrate (NO_3), dry NO_3 , organic nitrogen (OrN), organic phosphorus (OrP), and dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP). The total amount of dry ammonia (NH_4) deposited is assumed to be negligible.

D.4.2 Wetfall Atmospheric Deposition of NO_3 and NH_4 for the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Precipitation Segments

The wetfall atmospheric deposition of NO_3 and NH_4 for the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model precipitation segments was calculated according to a regression model which was developed by the Chesapeake Bay Program's Air Subcommittee⁷. The regression model is based principally on the logarithmic relationship between the amount of precipitation and the NH_3 and NO_3 concentrations in the precipitation. The regression relationship was developed using weekly data collected over an eight year period at fifteen National Air Deposition Program (NADP) sites. Due to the weekly pooled sampling protocol of NADP and concerns over transformation of the nutrient species over time, the data were quality controlled by selecting those data where the precipitation event occurred only on the last day of the weekly sample. Using this criteria, 265 samples were selected from the approximately 5,000 samples collected at the NADP sites. These selected data were then treated as daily samples and employed in developing the regression model.

The regression equation expresses the wetfall deposition of NO_3 and NH_4 as a function of daily precipitation, latitude, and month of the year:

$$\text{N}[\text{NO}_3] = 0.226 * \exp(-0.3852 * \ln(\text{ppn}) - 0.0037 * \text{month}^2 + 0.0744 * \text{latitude} - 1.289)$$

$$\text{N}[\text{NH}_4] = 0.7765 * \exp(-0.3549 * \ln(\text{ppn}) + 0.3966 * \text{month} - 0.0337 * \text{month}^2 - 1.226)$$

where: [] is the concentration (in milligrams/liter) as N,

ppn is the precipitation (in millimeters),

the month is expressed as an integer, and

the latitude is the centroid Y component (in decimal degrees) of precipitation segments.

$$\text{Load of N (in kg/ha)} = \text{N}[\text{NO}_3 \text{ or } \text{NH}_4] * \text{precipitation} = (\text{mg/L} * \text{ppn}) / 100.$$

The regression model was applied to the precipitation data to produce daily deposition rates with the same spatial resolution as the Theissen distributed daily precipitation inputs. The annual average wet nitrate and ammonia atmospheric deposition loads during 1984-1994 for the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model precipitation segments are listed in Table D.4.1. Information regarding the model segments and their corresponding precipitation segments can be found in Table D.3.1.

⁷Valigura, R.A., Luke, W.T., Artz, R.S., Hicks, B.B. (1996). *Atmospheric Nutrient Input to Coastal Areas -- Reducing the Uncertainties*. NOAA Coastal Ocean Program Decision Analysis Series No. 9. Silver Spring, MD.

Table D.4.1
**Average Annual Atmospheric Wet NO_x and Wet NH₄ Depositions for the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model
 Precipitation Segments, 1984-1994**

Bay Watershed Model Precipitation Segments	Average Annual Wet NO ₃ Deposition Pound/acre	Average Annual Wet NH ₄ Deposition Pound/acre
010	4.27	2.08
020	4.95	2.33
030	4.37	2.23
040	4.22	2.26
050	4.36	2.31
060	4.50	2.33
070	4.00	2.11
080	4.21	2.34
090	3.74	2.09
100	4.09	2.23
110	3.87	2.18
120	3.60	2.06
160	3.83	2.24
170	3.26	2.02
175	3.36	2.01
180	3.40	2.00
190	3.33	2.15
200	3.26	2.01
210	3.63	2.12
220	3.35	2.04
230	3.54	2.26
265	3.30	2.14
270	3.36	2.26
280	3.46	2.34
700	3.64	1.84
710	3.69	2.08
720	3.80	2.16
730	3.73	2.16
740	3.49	2.06
750	3.53	2.03
760	3.45	2.03
1001	3.10	2.00
1002	3.40	2.10
1003	3.39	2.05
1004	3.71	2.14
1005	3.44	2.03
1006	3.20	2.00
1007	3.25	2.02
1008	3.20	2.10
1009	3.10	2.11
1010	2.92	2.11
1011	3.46	2.09
1012	3.27	2.17
1013	3.16	2.18

D.4.3 Annual Wet Deposition of NO₃ and NH₄

The observed measurements of wetfall NO₃ and NH₄ as reported by the NADP for twelve sites in and around the Chesapeake Bay watershed are listed in Table D.4.2⁸. The average annual wet NO₃ and NH₄ depositions derived from the regression equations (Table D.4.1.) are in the same ranges of magnitude as the observed data (Table D.4.2). Of those values obtained through the regression equations, watershed model precipitation segments 10-100 have the highest annual average wet NO₃ and NH₄ deposition loading rates. These precipitation segments are located in the states of New York and Pennsylvania portions of the Susquehanna River basin. The NADP data (Table D.4.2) show that most of the atmospheric air deposition stations in New York and Pennsylvania generally have higher observed wet NO₃ and NH₄ deposition loading rates. The close agreement of the NADP values to those obtained from the regression equations verifies the application of the Chesapeake Bay Program Air Subcommittee regression simulation for the wet NO₃ and NH₄ atmospheric deposition inputs for the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model.

A workshop sponsored by the Chesapeake Bay Program's Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee evaluated more recent NADP data through 1994 showed that 1983-1994 average annual inorganic nitrogen deposition at the NADP sites within or near the Chesapeake Basin range from 3.5kg N/ha-yr in southwestern Virginia to 7.7 kg N/ha-yr in northwestern Pennsylvania⁹. The wet NO₃ + NH₄ atmospheric deposition loading rates ranged from 6 to 7 lb/ac-yr and the ratio of NO₃-N to NH₄-N in wet deposition ranged from 1.3 to 2.5. These are consistent with Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model adopted wet NO₃ and NH₄ deposition values presented in Table D.4.1.

D.4.4 Dry Atmospheric Deposition for NO₃ and NH₄

The wet/dry ratios of NO₃ atmospheric deposition was determined through the wet/dry NO₃ ratio estimated by the RADM model cells distributed to the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model segments and are listed in Table D.4.3. The annual average dry NO₃ deposition, calculated by dividing the annual average (1984-94) wet NO₃ deposition by the corresponding wet/dry ratio, is also presented in Table D.4.3. The wet-NO₃ deposition was associated with precipitation, and, therefore, was differentiated among precipitation segments (as presented in Table D.2.1). Each of the precipitation segments either corresponds to one model segment, or is aggregated from several model segments (see Section D.3).

⁸ Valigura, R.A., Luke, W.T., Artz, R.S., Hicks, B.B. (1996). *Atmospheric Nutrient Input to Coastal Areas – Reducing the Uncertainties*. NOAA Coastal Ocean Program Decision Analysis Series No. 9. Silver Spring, MD.

⁹ Gardner, R.H., Castro, M.S., Morgan R.P., Seagle, S.W. (1996). *Perspectives on Chesapeake Bay: Nitrogen Dynamics in Forested Lands of the Chesapeake Basin*. Chesapeake Bay Program Office. Annapolis, MD.

Table D.4.2.a
Annual Wet Deposition of NO₃ at NADP Sites (Pounds Nitrogen / Acre)

NADP Site	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
White Rock, MD	2.65	3.27	3.27	3.50	3.96	3.43	2.77	3.11	4.03	3.70	2.58
Wye, MD	2.63	2.77	2.23	2.33	3.85	3.14	3.01	2.49	3.14	3.38	2.66
Lewiston, NC	1.76	2.05	2.19	2.34	2.54	2.06	2.05	2.20	2.05	1.67	2.13
Finely Farms, NC	1.82	—	2.26	2.65	3.35	2.03	1.98	1.64	1.93	1.74	2.26
Chautauqau, NY	5.17	5.30	3.47	3.85	3.63	4.25	3.19	3.55	3.50	3.09	3.09
Jasper, NY	2.15	2.77	2.68	2.54	2.70	2.69	2.06	2.74	2.60	3.04	1.84
Penn State, PA	3.52	3.74	4.03	2.90	3.72	4.03	3.49	3.70	4.03	3.84	2.85
Leading Ridge, PA	3.83	4.89	4.89	3.60	4.81	4.73	3.56	3.60	4.20	3.75	2.84
Milford, PA	3.93	—	4.05	3.69	4.06	4.52	3.22	3.78	3.96	4.56	2.93
Charlottesville, VA	3.35	2.97	3.15	2.65	—	3.22	2.93	3.28	3.52	3.21	3.18
Babcock State Park, WV	3.03	3.51	2.76	1.86	3.54	2.80	2.52	2.82	3.56	2.71	2.87
Parsons, WV	4.90	5.58	3.63	3.46	4.70	3.80	3.54	3.74	3.99	4.43	3.61

Table D.4.2.b
Annual Wet Deposition of NH₄ at NADP Sites (Pounds Nitrogen / Acre)

NADP Site	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
White Rock, MD	1.21	1.67	1.77	1.53	2.40	2.00	1.57	1.75	2.34	2.25	1.67
Wye, MD	1.42	1.50	1.21	1.05	2.36	1.91	1.83	1.53	1.95	2.11	1.90
Lewiston, NC	1.13	1.22	1.45	0.72	1.53	1.67	1.28	1.58	1.85	1.32	1.58
Finely Farms, NC	1.27	—	1.78	1.33	3.89	2.29	2.28	1.80	2.13	1.80	2.41
Chautauqau, NY	3.04	3.73	1.92	2.16	2.17	2.58	1.78	1.99	2.05	1.64	2.05
Jasper, NY	1.21	1.27	1.50	1.16	1.79	1.54	1.03	1.57	1.14	1.81	1.15
Penn State, PA	1.61	1.50	1.78	1.26	2.27	2.08	1.70	1.80	1.64	1.89	1.57
Leading Ridge, PA	1.83	2.27	2.29	1.58	2.71	2.56	1.77	1.78	1.84	1.83	1.39
Milford, PA	1.95	—	1.39	1.04	1.58	2.06	1.42	1.69	1.69	2.17	1.51
Charlottesville, VA	1.60	1.57	1.45	0.97	—	2.20	1.66	1.72	2.34	2.01	2.17
Babcock State Park, WV	1.41	1.78	1.59	0.77	1.89	1.77	1.05	1.42	1.91	1.55	1.85
Parsons, WV	2.36	2.69	1.92	1.25	2.58	1.98	1.52	1.65	1.71	2.48	2.21

Constant annual dry NO₃ deposition applied on a daily basis are used as the inputs of dry NO₃ deposition for the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model.

Table D.4.3

**Average Annual Wet & Dry NO_x Atmospheric Deposition Loading Rates
(Pounds-Nitrogen / Acre-Year)**

Phase IV Watershed Model Segment	1984-1994 Annual Average Wet NO_x, Atmospheric Deposition	Wet/Dry Ratio of NO_x, Atmospheric Deposition	Estimated Annual Average Dry NO_x, Atmospheric Deposition
10	4.27	0.99	4.32
20	4.95	1.07	4.63
30	4.37	1.08	4.04
40	4.22	1.07	3.95
50	4.36	1.14	3.82
60	4.50	1.08	4.17
70	4.00	1.05	3.81
80	4.21	1.07	3.93
90	3.74	1.17	3.20
100	4.09	1.13	3.62
110	3.87	1.05	3.69
120	3.60	1.01	3.56
140	3.71	1.01	3.67
160	3.83	1.18	3.25
170	3.26	1.14	2.86
175	3.36	1.13	2.98
180	3.40	1.05	3.24
190	3.33	1.02	3.27
200	3.26	1.08	3.02
210	3.63	1.02	3.56
220	3.35	1.01	3.31
230	3.54	1.01	3.50
235	3.27	0.96	3.40
240	3.27	0.93	3.51
250	3.27	0.95	3.44
260	3.27	0.93	3.51
265	3.30	0.99	3.34
270	3.36	0.88	3.82
280	3.46	0.91	3.81
290	3.16	0.90	3.51
300	3.16	0.87	3.63
310	3.16	0.87	3.63

Table D.4.3 continued

Phase IV Watershed Model Segment	1984-1994 Annual Average		Wet/Dry Ratio of NO ₃ Atmospheric Deposition	Estimated Annual Average Dry NO ₃ Atmospheric Deposition		
	Wet NO ₃ Atmospheric Deposition					
	Deposition	Deposition				
330	3.46	0.99	0.99	3.49		
340	3.46	0.99	0.99	3.49		
370	3.39	0.99	0.99	3.42		
380	3.39	0.99	0.99	3.42		
390	3.40	0.99	0.99	3.44		
400	3.40	0.99	0.99	3.44		
410	3.40	0.97	0.97	3.51		
420	3.10	0.95	0.95	3.26		
430	3.10	0.94	0.94	3.30		
440	3.10	0.90	0.90	3.45		
450	3.71	1.00	1.00	3.71		
470	3.44	1.01	1.01	3.40		
480	3.44	0.99	0.99	3.47		
490	3.44	0.99	0.99	3.47		
500	3.20	0.97	0.97	3.30		
510	3.44	0.99	0.99	3.47		
540	3.25	0.98	0.98	3.32		
550	3.25	0.99	0.99	3.28		
560	3.20	0.92	0.92	3.48		
580	3.20	0.90	0.90	3.56		
590	3.10	0.90	0.90	3.45		
600	3.10	0.88	0.88	3.53		
610	3.10	0.90	0.90	3.45		
620	2.92	0.86	0.86	3.40		
630	2.92	0.84	0.84	3.48		
650	3.44	1.00	1.00	3.44		
700	3.64	1.01	1.01	3.60		
710	3.69	1.03	1.03	3.58		
720	3.80	1.03	1.03	3.69		
730	3.73	1.10	1.10	3.40		
740	3.49	1.10	1.10	3.18		
750	3.53	1.05	1.05	3.37		
760	3.45	1.00	1.00	3.45		
770	3.40	0.99	0.99	3.44		
780	3.40	0.99	0.99	3.44		
800	3.39	0.99	0.99	3.42		
810	3.39	0.99	0.99	3.42		

Table D.4.3 continued

Phase IV Watershed Model Segment	1984-1994 Annual Average Wet NO ₃ Atmospheric Deposition	Wet/Dry Ratio of NO ₃ Atmospheric Deposition	Estimated Annual Average Dry NO ₃ Atmospheric Deposition
820	3.40	0.99	3.44
830	3.40	0.98	3.47
840	3.40	0.96	3.54
850	3.71	1.00	3.71
860	3.44	0.99	3.47
870	3.44	0.99	3.47
880	3.20	0.98	3.26
890	3.25	0.98	3.32
900	3.25	0.98	3.32
910	3.20	0.97	3.30
920	3.20	0.95	3.37
930	3.20	0.90	3.56
940	3.10	0.89	3.49
950	2.92	0.86	3.40
960	2.92	0.86	3.40
970	3.25	0.97	3.35
980	3.20	0.94	3.41
990	3.20	0.94	3.40

D.4.5 Atmospheric Deposition for Organic Nitrogen

Organic nitrogen is only represented as wet atmospheric deposition of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON). This is because the magnitude of the dry fall organic nitrogen is currently unknown and, therefore, not accounted for separately. Organic nitrogen remains the least well characterized of all the nitrogen species in atmospheric deposition.

It is useful to utilize previous studies' data to understand the magnitude of the values being incorporated into the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model. Dissolved organic nitrogen has considerable seasonal variability with the seasonal high occurring from April to June and the seasonal low from July to March¹⁰. Organic nitrogen measurements from Bermuda collected by

¹⁰ Smullen, J.T., Taft, J.L., Macknis, J. (1982). *Nutrient and Sediment Loads to the Tidal Chesapeake Bay System*. U.S. EPA Chesapeake Bay Program Technical Studies. Chesapeake Bay Program Office, Annapolis, MD.

Knap and co-workers (1986) were calculated at about 100 µg/l (as N)¹¹. Moper and Zika (1987) reported an average DON concentration from the western Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico of about 100 µg/l (as N)¹². This is consistent with the reported range of concentrations from the North Sea and Northeast Atlantic of between 90 µg/l to 120 µg/l¹³. A recent study reported an annual volume weighted average DON concentration in the mid-Atlantic coastal areas to be about 130 µg/l (as N)¹⁴. The measurements in this study are consistent with the interannual variation (maximum in spring) reported in previous studies¹⁵.

According to the studies focused on the mid-Atlantic coastal areas, 130 ug/l (as N) is regarded as representative of an average annual DON wet deposition concentration¹⁴. Based on these studies, an average concentration of 98 ug/l for July to March rainfall and an average concentration of 224 ug/l for April to June rainfall were applied to the Chesapeake Bay watershed and tidal surface waters as part of the Phase IV model. Table D.4.4 summarizes the 1984-1991 average annual DON loading rates by the Phase IV watershed model precipitation segments.

D.4.6 Atmospheric Deposition for Organic P and Inorganic Phosphate

Daily atmospheric deposition loads of organic phosphorous (OrP) and inorganic phosphate (DIP) are employed in the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model. The annual loading rates are 0.423 lb/ac for P[Organic P] and 0.143 lb/ac for P[Phosphate]¹⁶. The yearly loads were evenly allocated as daily loads for model input throughout all of the Phase IV watershed model segments.

D.4.7 Atmospheric Deposition to Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model Cells

Inputs of atmospheric deposition of nutrients to the mainstem Bay and tidal tributaries' surface waters--Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model--utilizes the atmospheric deposition data for the coastal Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model. As mentioned in Sections D.4.2-D.4.5, the atmospheric NO₃, NH₄, and DON depositions were calculated and associated with precipitation, therefore, in order to utilize the atmospheric nutrient deposition of the Watershed Model for the

¹¹ Knap, A., Jickells, T., et al. (1986). Significance of atmospheric-derived fixed nitrogen on productivity of the Sargasso Sea. *Nature* 320 (3/13): 158-160.

¹² Moper, K., Zika, R.G. (1987). Free amino acids in marine rain: Evidence for oxidation and potential role in nitrogen cycling. *Nature* 325: 246-249.

¹³ Scudlark, J.R., Church, T.M. (1993). Atmospheric input of inorganic nitrogen to Delaware Bay. *Estuaries* 16(4): 747-754.

¹⁴ Scudlark, J.R., Russel, K.M., et al. (1996) *Dissolved Organic Nitrogen in Precipitation: Collection, Analysis, and Atmospheric Flux*. Report to: Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, MD.

¹⁵ Smullen, J.T., Taft, J.L., Macknis, J. (1982). *Nutrient and Sediment Loads to the Tidal Chesapeake Bay System*. U.S. EPA Chesapeake Bay Program Technical Studies. Chesapeake Bay Program Office, Annapolis, MD.

¹⁶ _____.(1982). *Technical Studies: A Synthesis*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Chesapeake Bay Program Office. Annapolis, MD.

Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model, a development or allocation of the watershed precipitation to the 3D water quality model cells is necessary.

Arc/Info software was applied to data supplied by the Waterways Experimental Station of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers on a grid with 2100 surface cells in order to divide the 3D-cells into eight precipitation regions. The 3D-cells were divided based upon the NADP station's proximity to the Chesapeake Bay and the boundaries of the precipitation regions. Figure D.4.2 illustrates the eight precipitation regions for the 3D model cells. Each of the Bay Water Quality Model precipitation regions is associated with one coastal Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model precipitation segment (Table D.4.5, Figure D.4.3).

Table D.4.4

Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Precipitation Segments 1984-1991 Average Annual Atmospheric Dissolved Organic Nitrogen Atmospheric Deposition Loading Rates (Pounds Nitrogen/Acre-Year)

Phase IV Watershed Model Precipitation Segment	Average Annual DON Deposition Loading Rate	Phase IV Watershed Model Precipitation Segment	Average Annual DON Deposition Loading Rate
10	1.02	700	1.00
20	1.18	710	1.15
30	1.19	720	1.24
40	1.24	730	1.24
50	1.26	740	1.10
60	1.19	750	1.22
70	1.14	760	1.17
80	1.19	1001	1.13
90	1.13	1002	1.17
100	1.13	1003	1.24
110	1.19	1004	1.16
120	1.17	1005	1.15
160	1.19	1006	1.16
170	1.00	1007	1.17
175	1.06	1008	1.21
180	1.10	1009	1.22
190	1.18	1010	1.25
200	1.07	1011	1.24
210	1.21	1012	1.27
220	1.19	1013	1.26
230	1.30		
265	1.18		
270	1.23		
280	1.34		

Table D.4.5 shows the atmospheric nitrogen deposition to the Chesapeake Bay Watershed based upon 1991 landuse data and 1984-1994 annual average loads.

Table D.4.5

1984-1994 Annual Average Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition Loads to the Chesapeake Bay Watershed
 (based on 1991 landuse as analyzed on March 16, 1997 at the Chesapeake Bay Program Office)

Model Segment	Wet-NO3 thousand lbs/yr	Wet-NH4 thousand lbs/yr	Dry-NO3 thousand lbs/yr	DON thousand lbs/yr	TN thousand lbs/yr
10	7223	3512	7296	1738	19770
20	15733	7407	14704	3764	41609
30	6114	3121	5661	1673	16569
40	4015	2148	3753	1188	11104
50	3985	2113	3496	1160	10754
60	12270	6350	11361	3246	33228
70	3400	1794	3239	975	9408
80	6142	3418	5740	1748	17047
90	2245	1256	1919	678	6097
100	6326	3443	5599	1748	17116
110	4385	2463	4176	1358	12382
120	475	271	470	154	1370
140	689	397	682	217	1986
160	3311	1932	2806	1030	9080
170	3093	1917	2714	959	8683
175	2710	1616	2398	855	7580
180	1392	820	1326	454	3992
190	3466	2239	3398	1228	10330
200	2939	1814	2721	971	8445
210	1862	1089	1826	623	5400
220	2042	1244	2022	732	6041
230	3635	2324	3599	1344	10901
235	537	357	559	209	1662
240	705	468	758	274	2205
250	698	464	735	272	2169
260	1539	1022	1655	599	4815
265	738	477	745	264	2224
270	6289	4236	7147	2316	19987
280	6692	4513	7353	2593	21151
290	1040	717	1156	418	3331
300	2415	1664	2776	970	7825
310	320	220	367	128	1036
330	294	177	297	106	875
340	475	287	480	172	1414
370	128	78	129	47	382
380	898	544	907	330	2680
390	196	121	198	68	582
400	1025	633	1035	355	3048
410	1575	974	1624	546	4719
420	423	272	446	155	1296
430	1482	953	1576	541	4552
440	637	410	708	232	1987
450	1758	1014	1758	553	5082
470	1000	590	990	335	2916
480	271	160	273	91	795
490	341	201	345	114	1002
500	1068	666	1101	388	3223
510	104	61	105	35	306
540	336	209	343	121	1009
550	1301	810	1315	470	3896
560	2003	1311	2177	761	6251

Table D.4.5

1984-1994 Annual Average Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition Loads to the Chesapeake Bay Watershed
 (based on 1991 landuse as analyzed on March 16, 1997 at the Chesapeake Bay Program Office)

Model Segment	Wet-NO3 thousand lbs/yr	Wet-NH4 thousand lbs/yr	Dry-NO3 thousand lbs/yr	DON thousand lbs/yr	TN thousand lbs/yr
580	133	87	147	50	417
590	1826	1239	2029	722	5817
600	2650	1798	3012	1048	8508
610	492	334	547	195	1568
620	414	298	481	178	1371
630	53	38	63	23	178
650	358	211	358	122	1049
700	564	285	559	156	1563
710	572	323	555	180	1630
720	1153	656	1120	377	3305
730	1191	688	1082	395	3356
740	3060	1802	2781	967	8609
750	379	218	361	131	1090
760	272	160	272	93	798
770	243	150	245	84	722
780	154	95	156	54	459
800	173	105	175	63	515
810	366	222	370	134	1092
820	91	56	92	32	272
830	296	183	302	103	884
840	942	582	981	326	2831
850	204	117	204	64	589
860	203	120	205	68	596
870	94	55	95	31	275
880	369	230	377	134	1111
890	189	118	193	68	568
900	461	287	470	166	1385
910	515	321	530	187	1553
920	1258	785	1324	457	3824
930	102	67	113	39	320
940	778	528	874	308	2487
950	184	133	214	79	611
960	450	324	523	193	1489
970	119	74	123	43	360
980	1229	804	1307	467	3807
990	67	42	71	24	205
Total	155347	89838	152277	49067	446530

Table D.4.6
**Correspondence of Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Precipitation Segments
with the Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model Precipitation Regions**

Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Precipitation Regions	Phase IV Watershed Model Precipitation Segments
1	1001
2	1009
3	1010
4	1004
5	1005
6	1006
7	1007
8	1008

Building on the above established linkages between the grouping of Bay Water Quality model cells and Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model precipitation segments, the same loading rates (lb/ac-yr) for NO_3 , NH_4 , and DON atmospheric deposition developed for each respective Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model precipitation segment is applied to the corresponding Bay Water Quality Model precipitation region (Table D.4.6).


As determined for the Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model segments, OrP and PO_4 atmospheric deposition loading rates were assumed to be constant (P [Organic P] at 0.423 lb/ac, and P [PO_4] at 0.143 lb/ac), and the yearly loads were evenly allocated as daily load for input into all Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model Cells.

As with all Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model segments, the dry NH_4 atmospheric deposition loading rate is assumed to be zero for all the Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model cells.


The dry NO_3 atmospheric deposition loading rates for each Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model cell were calculated as thirty percent of their corresponding watershed precipitation segment's 1984-1994 annual average wet NO_3 deposition, because a long-term average of wet/dry fall nitrate to open water that is wider than about 5 meters is 3.33¹⁷. Table D.4.3 lists the dry- NO_3

¹⁷ Valigura, R.A., Luke, W.T., Artz, R.S., Hicks, B.B. (1996). *Atmospheric Nutrient Input to Coastal Areas – Reducing the Uncertainties*. NOAA Coastal Ocean Program Decision Analysis Series No. 9., MD.


Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model Cells



Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model Precipitation Regions




Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model Precipitation Region with the Corresponding
Coastal Phase IV Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Precipitation Regions



versus wet-NO₃ ratios for the eight precipitation regions of the Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model cells.

Table D.4.7
Wet and Dry NO₃ Atmospheric Deposition Loading Rates for the Chesapeake
Bay Water Quality Model Precipitation Regions

Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Model Precipitation Region	1984-1994 Annual Average Wet NO₃, (Pounds-Nitrogen/ Acre-Year)	Wet/Dry Ratio	1984-1994 Annual Average Dry NO₃, (Pounds-Nitrogen/ Acre-Year)
Region 1	3.10	3.33	0.93
Region 2	3.10	3.33	0.93
Region 3	2.92	3.33	0.88
Region 4	3.71	3.33	1.11
Region 5	3.44	3.33	1.03
Region 6	3.20	3.33	0.96
Region 7	3.25	3.33	0.97
Region 8	3.20	3.33	0.96



Chesapeake Bay Program

**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Chesapeake Bay Program Office**

**410 Severn Avenue, Suite 109
Annapolis, MD 21403
1-800-YOUR BAY**

www.epa.gov/chesapeake