

**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY
WORKING PAPER SERIES**



REPORT
ON
CRESCENT LAKE
FLAGLER AND PUTNAM COUNTIES
FLORIDA
EPA REGION IV
Working Paper No. 246

**CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA**

REPORT
ON
CRESCENT LAKE
FLAGLER AND PUTNAM COUNTIES
FLORIDA
EPA REGION IV
WORKING PAPER No. 246

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION
AND THE
FLORIDA NATIONAL GUARD
DECEMBER, 1977

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Foreword</u>	ii
List of Florida Lakes	iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	v
<u>Sections</u>	
I. Conclusions	1
II. Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	3
III. Lake Water Quality Summary	4
IV. Nutrient Loadings	8
V. Literature Reviewed	12
VI. Appendices	13

FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nationwide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation for professional involvement and to the Florida National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.

Joseph W. Landers, Jr., Secretary of the Department of Environmental Regulation; John A Redmond, former Director of the Division of Planning, Technical Assistance, and Grants; and Dr. Tim S. Stuart, Chief of the Bureau of Water Quality, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.


Major General Henry W. McMillan (Retired), then the Adjutant General of Florida, and Project Officer Colonel Hugo F. Windham, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Florida National Guard, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

STUDY LAKES

STATE OF FLORIDA

<u>LAKE NAME</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>
Alligator	Columbia
Apopka	Lake, Orange
Banana	Polk
Crescent	Flagler, Putnam
Doctors	Clay
Dora	Lake
East Tohopekaliga	Osceola
Effie	Polk
Eloise	Polk
George	Putnam, Volusia
Gibson	Polk
Glenada	Highlands
Griffin	Lake
Haines	Polk
Hancock	Polk
Horseshoe	Seminole
Howell	Orange, Seminole
Istokpoga	Highlands
Jessie	Polk
Jessup	Seminole
Kissimmee	Osceola
Lawne	Orange
Lulu	Polk
Marion	Polk
Minnehaha	Orange
Minneola	Lake
Monroe	Seminole, Volusia
Munson	Leon
Okeechobee	Glades, Hendry, Martin, Okeechobee, Palm Beach
Poinsett	Brevard, Orange, Osceola
Reedy	Polk
Seminole	Jackson, FL; Decatur, Seminole, GA
Seminole	Pinellas
South	Brevard
Talquin	Gadsden, Leon
Tarpon	Pinellas
Thonotosassa	Hillsborough
Tohopekaliga	Osceola
Trout	Lake
Weohyakapka	Polk
Yale	Lake



CRESCENT LAKE

STORET NO. 1206

I. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Crescent Lake is eutrophic. It ranked fifteenth in overall trophic quality when the 41 Florida lakes sampled in 1973 were compared using a combination of six parameters*. Fourteen of the lakes had less median total phosphorus, ten had less median dissolved phosphorus, 13 had less and two had the same median inorganic nitrogen, eight had less mean chlorophyll a, and 17 had greater mean Secchi disc transparency.

Survey limnologists observed algal scums and much rooted emergent vegetation.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results indicate the lake was nitrogen limited at the time the sample was collected (03/10/73). The lake data indicate nitrogen limitation at all sampling stations and times.

C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--It is estimated that 2.9% of the total phosphorus and 0.9% of the total nitrogen inputs to Crescent Lake during the sampling year were contributed by the Crescent

* See Appendix A.

City wastewater treatment plant.

The sampling year phosphorus loading of 0.95 g/m² is over twice that proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974) as a eutrophic loading. However, even complete removal of phosphorus at the Crescent City treatment plant would only reduce the loading to 0.93 g/m²/yr; and even though the critical level for Florida lakes may be higher than that suggested by Vollenweider (see page 11), it does not seem likely that the degree of phosphorus reduction attainable by municipal point-source control would result in an improvement in the trophic condition of the lake unless other sources of phosphorus also can be controlled (see below).

2. Non-point sources--It is estimated that 97.1% of the total phosphorus loading and 99.0% of the total nitrogen loading to Crescent Lake were contributed by non-point sources. The largest contribution was from Haw Creek which accounted for 45.6% of the total phosphorus and 68.8% of the total nitrogen inputs to the lake.

The 1966 Flagler County General Highway Map indicates that land use in the Haw Creek drainage basin is both urban and agricultural, and it is probable that any significant improvement in the trophic condition of Crescent Lake would require reduction of the Haw Creek phosphorus export as well as phosphorus control at the point source discussed above.

II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS[†]

A. Morphometry^{††}:

1. Surface area: 70.61 kilometers².
2. Mean depth: 2.0 meters.
3. Maximum depth: 3.4 meters.
4. Volume: 141.220×10^6 m³.
5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 153 days.

B. Tributary and Outlet:

(See Appendix C for flow data)

1. Tributaries -

<u>Name</u>	<u>Drainage area (km²)*</u>	<u>Mean flow (m³/sec)*</u>
Haw Creek	906.5	7.93
Unnamed Creek C-1	98.4	0.85
Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	<u>214.3</u>	<u>1.88</u>
Totals	1,219.2	10.66

2. Outlet -

Dunns Creek	1,289.8**	10.67
-------------	-----------	-------

C. Precipitation***:

1. Year of sampling: 128.7 centimeters.
2. Mean annual: 136.8 centimeters.

[†] Table of metric conversions--Appendix A.

^{††} No bathymetric map available. Surface area calculated from the General Highway Map of Putnam County; depths estimated from soundings reported in Appendix D.

* For limits of accuracy, see Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods, 1973-1976".

** Includes area of lake.

*** See Working Paper No. 175.

III. WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Crescent Lake was sampled three times in 1973 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from two depths at three stations on the lake (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll a analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 2.1 meters at station 1, 2.7 meters at station 2, and 3.4 meters at station 3.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix D and are summarized in the following table.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR LAKE CRESCENT
STORET CODE 1206

PARAMETER	1ST SAMPLING (3/10/73)				2ND SAMPLING (9/ 7/73)				3RD SAMPLING (11/ 8/73)			
	3 SITES				3 SITES				3 SITES			
	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN
TEMP (C)	19.9 - 21.4	20.6	20.4	28.3 - 28.9	28.5	28.4	21.0 - 21.7	21.3	21.1			
DISS OXY (MG/L)	7.0 - 7.1	7.0	7.0	5.0 - 6.0	5.5	5.6	4.8 - 7.6	6.5	7.2			
CNDCTVY (MCHROMO)	300. - 360.	334.	338.	430. - 463.	452.	457.	265. - 370.	331.	355.			
PH (STAND UNITS)	7.5 - 7.8	7.6	7.6	7.1 - 7.4	7.3	7.2	7.2 - 7.4	7.3	7.3			
TOT ALK (MG/L)	10. - 13.	11.	10.	18. - 48.	27.	23.	10. - 25.	18.	21.			
TOT P (MG/L)	0.046 - 0.065	0.054	0.050	0.062 - 0.086	0.072	0.072	0.060 - 0.129	0.093	0.094			
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.023 - 0.038	0.029	0.026	0.028 - 0.039	0.032	0.030	0.027 - 0.082	0.050	0.040			
N02+N03 (MG/L)	0.160 - 0.200	0.172	0.170	0.020 - 0.030	0.028	0.030	0.010 - 0.060	0.030	0.025			
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.080 - 0.110	0.088	0.080	0.040 - 0.110	0.070	0.060	0.040 - 0.120	0.073	0.070			
KJEL N (MG/L)	0.900 - 1.100	1.000	1.000	1.400 - 2.100	1.720	1.700	1.100 - 1.600	1.283	1.250			
INORG N (MG/L)	0.240 - 0.280	0.260	0.255	0.070 - 0.130	0.098	0.090	0.050 - 0.180	0.103	0.095			
TOTAL N (MG/L)	1.060 - 1.270	1.172	1.170	1.430 - 2.130	1.748	1.720	1.110 - 1.660	1.313	1.270			
CHLRPYL A (UG/L)	1.1 - 2.0	1.5	1.3	15.5 - 19.4	17.9	18.8	1.9 - 19.2	11.3	12.7			
SECCHI (METERS)	0.5 - 0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8 - 1.0	0.9	0.9	0.4 - 0.6	0.5	0.5			

B. Biological characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Dominant Genera</u>	<u>Algal units per ml</u>
03/10/73	1. Flagellates 2. <u>Melosira sp.</u> 3. <u>Lyngbya sp.</u> 4. <u>Coscinodiscus sp.</u> 5. Pennate diatoms Other genera	132 115 33 33 33 <u>99</u>
		Total 445
09/07/73	1. <u>Lyngbya sp.</u> 2. <u>Oscillatoria sp.</u> 3. <u>Melosira sp.</u> 4. <u>Dactylococcopsis sp.</u> 5. <u>Microcystis sp.</u> Other genera	6,710 4,730 880 880 880 <u>5,500</u>
		Total 19,580
11/08/73	1. <u>Oscillatoria sp.</u> 2. <u>Flagellates</u> 3. <u>Melosira sp.</u> 4. <u>Cyclotella sp.</u> 5. <u>Lyngbya sp.</u> Other genera	3,975 2,934 1,325 1,186 1,136 <u>5,836</u>
		Total 16,392

2. Chlorophyll a -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Station Number</u>	<u>Chlorophyll a ($\mu\text{g/l}$)</u>
03/10/73	1	2.0
	2	1.3
	3	1.1
09/07/73	1	19.4
	2	18.8
	3	15.5
11/08/73	1	1.9
	2	12.7
	3	19.2

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

1. Filtered and nutrient spiked -

<u>Spike (mg/l)</u>	<u>Ortho P Conc. (mg/l)</u>	<u>Inorganic N Conc. (mg/l)</u>	<u>Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)</u>
Control	0.031	0.108	4.3
0.050 P	0.081	0.108	4.8
0.050 P + 1.0 N	0.081	1.108	23.3
1.0 N	0.031	1.108	6.8

2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay algal, Selenastrum capricornutum, indicates that the potential primary productivity of Crescent Lake was moderately high at the time the sample was collected (03/10/73). Also, the lack of significant increase in yield with the addition of orthophosphorus until nitrogen was also added indicates that the lake was limited by nitrogen at that time. Note that the addition of nitrogen alone resulted in a yield greater than that of the control.

The lake data also indicate nitrogen limitation. Following is a tabulation of the mean inorganic nitrogen/orthophosphorus ratios for each of the sampling stations and times with the indicated limiting nutrient in parentheses.

<u>Station</u>	<u>03/10/73</u>	<u>09/07/73</u>	<u>11/08/73</u>
1	6/1 (N)	2/1 (N)	2/1 (N)
-2	11/1 (N)	4/1 (N)	2/1 (N)
3	11/1 (N)	4/1 (N)	2/1 (N)

IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS
(See Appendix E for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Florida National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page v). Sampling was begun in March, 1973, and was completed in February, 1974.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Florida District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings*. Nutrient loads for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated using the means of the nutrient loads, in kg/km²/yr, at stations B-1 and C-1 and multiplying the means by the ZZ area in km².

The operator of the Crescent City wastewater treatment plant submitted only one effluent sample. Therefore, nutrient loads were estimated at 1.134 kg P and 3.401 kg N/capita/year, and flows were estimated at 0.3785 m³/capita/day.

* See Working Paper No. 175.

A. Waste Sources:

1. Known municipal* -

<u>Name</u>	<u>Pop. Served</u>	<u>Treatment</u>	<u>Mean Flow (m³/d)</u>	<u>Receiving Water</u>
Crescent City	1,734**	act. sludge	656.3	Crescent Lake

2. Known industrial - None

B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

<u>Source</u>	<u>kg P/ yr</u>	<u>% of total</u>
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Haw Creek	30,680	45.6
Unnamed Creek C-1	13,340	19.8
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	18,215	27.1
c. Known municipal STP's -		
Crescent City	1,965	2.9
d. Septic tanks*** -	15	< 0.1
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation**** -	<u>3,110</u>	<u>4.6</u>
Total	67,325	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Dunns Creek 33,775

3. Net annual P accumulation - 33,550 kg.

* Anonymous, 1971.

** 1970 Census.

*** Estimate based on 55 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.

**** Brezonik and Shannon, 1971.

C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

<u>Source</u>	<u>kg N/ yr</u>	<u>% of total</u>
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Haw Creek	455,315	68.8
Unnamed Creek C-1	50,415	7.6
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	108,650	16.4
c. Known municipal STP's -		
Crescent City	5,895	0.9
d. Septic tanks* -	585	< 0.1
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation** -	<u>40,950</u>	<u>6.2</u>
Total	661,810	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Dunns Creek 549,230

3. Net annual N accumulation - 112,580 kg.

D. Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

<u>Tributary</u>	<u>kg P/km²/yr</u>	<u>kg N/km²/yr</u>
Haw Creek	34	502
Unnamed Creek C-1	136	512

* Estimate based on 55 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.

** Brezonik and Shannon, 1971.

E. Yearly Loads:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loadings are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974). Note, however, that Florida lakes may be able to assimilate phosphorus at a somewhat higher level than that suggested by Vollenweider (Shannon and Brezonik, 1972).

Essentially, Vollenweider's "dangerous" loading is one at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" loading is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic loading would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times.

	Total Phosphorus		Total Nitrogen	
	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
grams/m ² /yr	0.95	0.48	9.4	1.6

Vollenweider phosphorus loadings
(g/m²/yr) based on estimated mean depth and
hydraulic retention time of Crescent Lake:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic loading)	0.44
"Permissible" (oligotrophic loading)	0.22

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

Anonymous, 1971. Inventory of municipal waste facilities. EPA Publ. OWP-1, vol. 4, Wash., DC.

Brezonik, Patrick L. and Earl E. Shannon, 1971. Trophic state of lakes in north central Florida. Publ. No. 13, FL Water Resources Res. Ctr., U. of FL, Gainesville.

Shannon, Earl E., and Patrick L. Brezonik, 1972. Relationships between lake trophic state and nitrogen and phosphorus loading rates. Env. Sci. & Techn. 6 (8): 719-725.

Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research. Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLOR A	15-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
1201	ALLIGATOR LAKE	0.620	0.260	474.000	87.733	13.100	0.386
1202	LAKE APOPKA	0.102	0.230	484.176	45.611	8.200	0.019
1203	LAKE BANANA	0.660	0.260	482.667	208.600	3.600	0.293
1206	LAKE CRESCENT	0.065	0.130	473.884	10.211	10.200	0.033
1207	DOCTORS LAKE	0.084	0.120	465.555	27.100	10.600	0.028
1208	LAKE DORA	0.102	0.240	482.889	59.978	7.400	0.022
1209	LAKE EFFIE	1.480	0.410	489.000	261.433	15.000	0.950
1210	LAKE GEORGE	0.129	0.165	469.308	35.000	11.000	0.063
1211	LAKE GIBSON	0.167	0.115	470.000	19.675	10.200	0.069
1212	GLENADA LAKE	0.134	0.165	454.167	27.667	14.700	0.072
1214	LAKE GRIFFIN	0.119	0.260	481.333	66.855	6.600	0.038
1215	LAKE HAINES	0.063	0.115	462.667	26.567	10.600	0.014
1217	LAKE HANCOCK	0.772	0.195	483.500	97.900	5.600	0.158
1219	LAKE HORSESHOE	0.034	0.130	459.000	12.067	11.500	0.023
1220	LAKE HOWELL	1.260	0.285	464.000	54.117	9.000	1.175
1221	LAKE ISTOKPOGA	0.039	0.120	464.222	6.594	8.600	0.010
1223	LAKE JESSUP	0.492	0.290	487.000	76.550	7.600	0.288
1224	LAKE KISSIMMEE	0.034	0.145	463.667	24.142	8.800	0.007
1227	LAKE LILU	1.490	1.065	483.000	276.566	14.300	1.030
1228	LAKE MARION	0.044	0.260	468.833	29.967	7.600	0.016
1229	LAKE MINNEHAHA	0.038	0.080	435.000	8.733	7.700	0.012
1230	LAKE MINNEOLA	0.018	0.070	406.333	3.333	7.400	0.009
1231	LAKE MONROE	0.188	0.300	474.555	14.225	10.800	0.128
1232	LAKE OKEECHOBEE	0.063	0.185	472.366	14.524	9.800	0.010
1234	LAKE POINSETT	0.085	0.150	469.000	6.500	10.600	0.051
1236	LAKE REEDY	0.033	0.330	468.500	34.837	10.600	0.008
1238	LAKE SOUTH	0.074	0.130	464.000	23.167	9.000	0.028
1239	LAKE TALQUIN	0.085	0.290	462.167	9.483	14.400	0.031

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
1240	LAKE THONOTOSASSA	0.695	0.095	466.167	37.700	10.200	0.565
1241	LAKE TOMOPEKALIGA	0.246	0.200	472.917	30.633	10.500	0.152
1242	TROUT LAKE	1.110	0.650	472.000	76.967	12.900	0.970
1243	LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA	0.047	0.080	458.667	7.767	8.200	0.011
1246	LAKE YALE	0.027	0.160	441.000	25.367	7.600	0.014
1247	LAKE MUNSON	1.475	0.925	486.667	140.317	12.200	0.852
1248	LAKE SEMINOLE	0.234	0.175	473.833	102.000	8.600	0.026
1249	LAKE LAWNE	2.560	1.350	494.667	84.900	10.400	0.117
1250	LAKE TARPON	0.041	0.070	400.889	6.867	9.000	0.027
1252	LAKE ELOISE	0.486	0.170	465.333	70.233	12.200	0.339
1258	LAKE JESSIE	0.051	0.090	452.667	26.300	10.800	0.011
1261	EAST LAKE TOMOPEKALIGA	0.042	0.070	440.833	5.167	9.400	0.007
1264	PAYNE'S PRAIRIE LAKE INO	1.260	0.140	476.000	98.200	7.400	1.210

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
1201	ALLIGATOR LAKE	25 (10)	29 (10)	30 (12)	18 (7)	10 (4)	18 (7)	130
1202	LAKE APOPKA	50 (20)	38 (15)	10 (4)	38 (15)	74 (29)	70 (28)	280
1203	LAKE BANANA	23 (9)	29 (10)	20 (8)	5 (2)	100 (40)	23 (9)	200
1206	LAKE CRESCENT	65 (26)	70 (27)	33 (13)	80 (32)	48 (18)	50 (20)	346
1207	DOCTORS LAKE	60 (24)	76 (30)	60 (24)	55 (22)	34 (12)	56 (22)	341
1208	LAKE DORA	53 (21)	35 (14)	18 (7)	33 (13)	90 (35)	68 (27)	297
1209	LAKE EFFIE	5 (2)	19 (4)	3 (1)	3 (1)	0 (0)	10 (4)	31
1210	LAKE GEORGE	45 (18)	54 (21)	48 (19)	43 (17)	23 (9)	43 (17)	256
1211	LAKE GIBSON	40 (16)	81 (32)	45 (18)	70 (28)	48 (18)	40 (16)	324
1212	GLENADA LAKE	43 (17)	54 (21)	85 (34)	53 (21)	3 (1)	38 (15)	276
1214	LAKE GRIFFIN	48 (19)	29 (10)	23 (9)	30 (12)	95 (38)	48 (19)	273
1215	LAKE HAINES	70 (28)	81 (32)	75 (30)	58 (23)	34 (12)	78 (31)	396
1217	LAKE HANCOCK	18 (7)	43 (17)	13 (5)	13 (5)	98 (39)	28 (11)	213
1219	LAKE HORSESHOE	93 (37)	70 (27)	60 (32)	78 (31)	20 (8)	65 (26)	406
1220	LAKE HOWELL	11 (4)	23 (9)	69 (27)	35 (14)	60 (23)	3 (1)	201
1221	LAKE ISTOKPOGA	85 (34)	76 (30)	65 (26)	93 (37)	69 (27)	89 (35)	477
1223	LAKE JESSUP	28 (11)	18 (7)	5 (2)	25 (10)	83 (32)	25 (10)	184
1224	LAKE KISSIMMEE	90 (36)	63 (25)	73 (24)	65 (26)	65 (26)	99 (39)	455
1227	LAKE LULU	3 (1)	3 (1)	15 (6)	0 (0)	8 (3)	5 (2)	34
1228	LAKE MARION	78 (31)	29 (10)	53 (21)	50 (20)	83 (32)	73 (29)	366
1229	LAKE MINNEHAHA	88 (35)	91 (36)	95 (38)	85 (34)	78 (31)	80 (32)	517
1230	LAKE MINNEOLA	100 (40)	98 (38)	98 (39)	100 (40)	90 (35)	93 (37)	579
1231	LAKE MONROE	38 (15)	15 (6)	28 (11)	75 (30)	26 (10)	33 (13)	215
1232	LAKE OKEECHOBEE	68 (27)	45 (18)	40 (16)	73 (29)	53 (21)	89 (35)	368
1234	LAKE POINSETT	58 (23)	60 (24)	50 (20)	95 (38)	34 (12)	45 (18)	342
1236	LAKE REEDY	95 (36)	13 (5)	55 (22)	45 (18)	34 (12)	95 (38)	337
1238	LAKE SOUTH	63 (25)	70 (27)	69 (27)	68 (27)	60 (23)	56 (22)	386
1239	LAKE TALquin	55 (22)	20 (8)	78 (31)	83 (33)	5 (2)	53 (21)	294

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NU
1240	LAKE THONOTOSASSA	20 (8)	85 (34)	58 (23)	40 (16)	48 (18)	15 (6)	266
1241	LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	33 (13)	40 (16)	38 (15)	48 (19)	40 (16)	30 (12)	229
1242	TROUT LAKE	15 (6)	8 (3)	43 (17)	23 (9)	13 (5)	8 (3)	110
1243	LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA	75 (30)	91 (36)	83 (33)	88 (35)	74 (29)	84 (33)	495
1246	LAKE YALE	98 (39)	58 (23)	90 (36)	63 (25)	83 (32)	75 (30)	467
1247	LAKE MUNSON	8 (3)	5 (2)	8 (3)	8 (3)	16 (6)	13 (5)	58
1248	LAKE SEMINOLE	35 (14)	48 (19)	35 (14)	10 (4)	69 (27)	63 (25)	260
1249	LAKE LAWNE	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	20 (8)	43 (17)	35 (14)	98
1250	LAKE TARPON	83 (33)	98 (38)	100 (40)	90 (36)	60 (23)	60 (24)	491
1252	LAKE ELOISE	30 (12)	50 (20)	63 (25)	28 (11)	16 (6)	20 (8)	207
1258	LAKE JESSIE	73 (29)	88 (35)	88 (35)	60 (24)	26 (10)	84 (33)	419
1261	EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	80 (32)	98 (38)	93 (37)	98 (39)	55 (22)	99 (39)	523
1264	PAYNE'S PRAIRIE LAKE (NO	11 (4)	65 (26)	25 (10)	15 (6)	90 (35)	0 (0)	206

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	1230	LAKE MINNEOLA	579
2	1261	EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	523
3	1229	LAKE MINNEHAMA	517
4	1243	LAKE WEOHYAKAPKA	495
5	1250	LAKE TARPUN	491
6	1221	LAKE ISTOKPOGA	477
7	1246	LAKE YALE	467
8	1224	LAKE KISSIMMEE	455
9	1258	LAKE JESSIE	419
10	1219	LAKE HORSESHOE	406
11	1215	LAKE HAINES	396
12	1238	LAKE SOUTH	386
13	1232	LAKE OKEECHOBEE	368
14	1228	LAKE MARION	366
15	1206	LAKE CRESCENT	346
16	1234	LAKE POINSETT	342
17	1207	DOCTORS LAKE	341
18	1236	LAKE REEDY	337
19	1211	LAKE GIBSON	324
20	1208	LAKE DORA	297
21	1239	LAKE TALQUIN	294
22	1202	LAKE APOPKA	280
23	1212	GLENADA LAKE	276
24	1214	LAKE GRIFFIN	273
25	1240	LAKE THONOTOSASSA	266
26	1248	LAKE SEMINOLE	260
27	1210	LAKE GEORGE	256
28	1241	LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA	229

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
29	1231	LAKE MONROE	215
30	1217	LAKE HANCOCK	213
31	1252	LAKE ELOISE	207
32	1264	PAYNE'S PRAIRIE LAKE (NO	206
33	1220	LAKE HOWELL	201
34	1203	LAKE BANANA	200
35	1223	LAKE JESSUP	184
36	1201	ALLIGATOR LAKE	130
37	1242	TROUT LAKE	110
38	1249	LAKE LAWNE	98
39	1247	LAKE MUNSON	58
40	1227	LAKE LULU	34
41	1209	LAKE EFFIE	31

APPENDIX B

CONVERSION FACTORS

CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles.

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters x 8.107×10^{-4} = acre/feet

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters x 0.3937 = inches

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR FLORIDA

8/25/75

LAKE CODE 1206 CRESCENT LAKE

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE(SQ KM) 1289.8

TRIBUTARY	SUB-DRAINAGE AREA(SQ KM)	NORMALIZED FLOWS(CMS)												MEAN
		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	
1206A1	1289.8	8.01	7.08	14.13	5.86	-1.98	3.88	10.76	5.35	22.80	25.63	17.78	8.69	10.67
1206B1	906.5	5.21	7.33	10.00	4.87	1.53	1.87	6.74	10.08	18.55	18.01	6.82	4.11	7.93
1206C1	98.4	0.57	0.79	1.08	0.51	0.17	0.20	0.74	1.08	1.98	1.93	0.74	0.45	0.85
1206ZZ	215.0	1.25	1.73	2.38	1.16	0.37	0.45	1.59	2.38	4.39	4.28	1.61	0.96	1.88

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 1289.8
SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS = 1219.9TOTAL FLOW IN = 127.88
TOTAL FLOW OUT = 127.99

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
1206A1	3	73	11.04	18	26.39				
	4	73	15.06	14	6.82				
	5	73	0.23						
	6	73	-1.25	16	13.03				
	7	73	-1.05	16	32.08				
	8	73	2.63	18	-7.22				
	9	73	13.93	14	42.79				
	10	73	26.11	13	21.15				
	11	73	9.40	17	25.51				
	12	73	8.69	17	24.81				
	1	74	3.43	21	9.80				
	2	74	-3.17	15	27.92				
1206B1	3	73	7.19	18	8.61				
	4	73	6.09	14	6.91				
	5	73	0.93						
	6	73	0.85	16	0.51				
	7	73	1.36	16	1.95				
	8	73	4.25	18	2.52				
	9	73	10.93	14	9.83				
	10	73	17.84	13	21.24				
	11	73	3.06	17	2.46				
	12	73	3.17	17	5.38				
	1	74	2.66	21	1.78				
	2	74	0.79	15	0.59				

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR FLORIDA

8/25/75

LAKE CODE 1206 CRESCENT LAKE

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
1206C1	3	73	0.76	18	0.93				
	4	73	0.62	14	0.74				
	5	73	0.11						
	6	73	0.08	16	0.06				
	7	73	0.14	16	0.23				
	8	73	0.34	18	0.28				
	9	73	1.16	14	1.05				
	10	73	1.90	13	2.27				
	11	73	0.34	17	0.25				
	12	73	0.17	17	0.59				
	1	74	0.28	21	0.20				
	2	74	0.08	15	0.06				
1206ZZ	3	73	1.70	18	2.04				
	4	73	1.44	14	1.64				
	5	73	0.23						
	6	73	0.20	16	0.11				
	7	73	0.31	16	0.45				
	8	73	0.76	18	0.59				
	9	73	2.58	14	2.32				
	10	73	4.22	13	5.04				
	11	73	0.74	17	0.59				
	12	73	0.74	17	1.27				
	1	74	0.62	21	0.42				
	2	74	0.20	15	0.14				

APPENDIX D

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

STORED RETRIEVAL DATE 75/01/30

120601
29 23 15.0 081 28 20.0
LAKE CRESCENT
12107 FLORIDA

11EPALES
3
2111202
0009 FEET DEPTH

DATE	TIME	DEPTH	WATER TEMP	00300 DO	00077 TRANSP	00094 CONDUCTVY	00400 PH	00410 T ALK	00610 NH3-N	00625 TOT KJEL	00630 NO2&NO3	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO	
FROM	OF			MG/L	SECCHI INCHES	FIELD MICROMHO	SU	MG/L	TOTAL MG/L	N MG/L	N-TOTAL MG/L	MG/L P	
TO	DAY	FEET	CENT										
73/03/10	14 30	0000		20.6		18	300	7.50	10K	0.080	1.000	0.170	0.038
	14 30	0005		20.2	7.0		310	7.70	10K	0.080	0.900	0.160	0.038
73/09/07	09 45	0000		28.5	5.6	33	439	7.20	22	0.060	2.100	0.030	0.039
	09 45	0007		28.5	5.0		436	7.10	18	0.040	1.400	0.030	0.034
73/11/08	09 20	0000		21.7		16	265	7.30	10K	0.120	1.600	0.060	0.082
	09 20	0005		21.1	4.8		270	7.20	10K	0.090	1.400	0.050	0.079

DATE	TIME	DEPTH	PHOS-TUT	32217 CHLRPHYL A
FROM	OF		MG/L P	UG/L
TO	DAY	FEET		
73/03/10	14 30	0000	0.064	2.0
	14 30	0005	0.065	
73/09/07	09 45	0000	0.076	19.4
	09 45	0007	0.072	
73/11/08	09 20	0000	0.129	1.9
	09 20	0005	0.118	

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

120602
29 26 45.0 081 29 45.0
LAKE CRESCENT
12107 FLORIDA

11EPALES
3 2111202
0010 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER CENT	00300 DO	00077 TRANSP SECCHI	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD INCHES	00400 PH	00410 T ALK CACO ₃	00610 NH ₃ -N TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL	00630 N N-TOTAL	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO
			MG/L			MICROMHO	SU	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P
73/03/10	15 00	0000	20.3		24	315	7.70	10	0.080	1.000	0.200	0.024
	15 00	0006	19.9	7.1		360	7.80	13	0.080	1.000	0.170	0.026
73/09/07	10 10	0000	28.3	5.6	37	458	7.20	23	0.080	1.800	0.030	0.030
	10 10	0009	28.3			459						
73/11/08	09 30	0000	21.2		24	355	7.20	19	0.080	1.300	0.030	0.040
	09 30	0008	21.0	7.2		355	7.30	22	0.060	1.100	0.020	0.041

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
73/03/10	15 00	0000	0.047	1.3
	15 00	0006	0.049	
73/09/07	10 10	0000	0.062	18.8
73/11/08	09 30	0000	0.081	12.7
	09 30	0008	0.107	

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

120603
29 29 55.0 081 31 55.0
LAKE CRESCENT
12107 FLORIDA

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	WATER TEMP CENT	00010 DO MG/L	00300 TRANSP INCHES	00077 SECCHI INCHES	00094 CONDCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	11EPALES 3		2111202 0010 FEET DEPTH			
								PH SU	00410 TALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
73/03/10	15 25	0000	21.4		24		360	7.50	10	0.110	1.100	0.170	0.027
	15 25	0006	21.3	7.0			360	7.60	10	0.100	1.000	0.160	0.023
73/09/07	10 25	0000	28.9	6.0	39		463	7.40	25	0.110	1.700	0.020	0.030
	10 25	0011	28.4	5.4			456	7.40	48	0.060	1.600	0.030	0.028
73/11/08	09 45	0000	21.5		20		370	7.30	22	0.050	1.200	0.010	0.027
	09 45	0006	21.1	7.6			369	7.40	25	0.040	1.100	0.010	0.033

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	PHOS-TOT MG/L P	00665 CHLRPHYL A UG/L	32217	
73/03/10	15 25	0000	0.051		1.1	
	15 25	0006	0.046			
73/09/07	10 25	0000	0.062		15.5	
	10 25	0011	0.086			
73/11/08	09 45	0000	0.065		19.2	
	09 45	0006	0.060			

APPENDIX E

TRIBUTARY and WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

1206A1
29 34 30.0 081 37 30.0
DUNS CREEK
12105 PUTNAM CO HWY MA
0/CRESCENT LAKE
ST HWY 15 BRDG
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
73/03/18	17 00		0.147	3.000	0.093	0.028	0.095
73/04/14	17 05		0.072	1.260	0.097	0.046	0.085
73/06/16	16 00		0.028	2.300	0.023	0.028	0.115
73/07/16	12 15		0.066	2.520	0.154	0.048	0.095
73/08/18	13 20		0.440	0.970	0.050	0.084	0.090
73/09/14	11 45		0.027	1.100	0.056	0.050	0.085
73/10/13	11 30		0.056	1.000	0.072	0.063	0.115
73/12/17	16 30		0.120	1.400	0.048	0.072	0.120
74/01/21	16 00		0.040	1.500	0.056	0.040	0.095
74/02/15			0.008	1.100	0.025	0.035	0.080

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

1206B1
29 23 30.0 081 23 00.0
HAW CREEK
12 FLAGER CO HWY MA
I/CRESCENT LAKE
RT 305 BRUG OVER MIDDLE HAW CREEK
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE	TIME	DEPTH	NO2&NO3	00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
FROM	OF		N-TOTAL		TOT KJEL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHOS-TOT
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L		MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
73/03/18	15	30		0.023	1.050	0.042	0.022	0.027
73/04/14	11	00		0.042	1.700	0.115	0.273	0.280
73/06/16	10	30		0.012	2.260	0.078	0.039	0.085
73/07/16	10	30		0.039	2.520	0.115	0.038	0.060
73/08/18	10	25		0.023	3.700	0.076	0.035	0.035
73/09/14	10	00		0.016	1.470	0.060	0.025	0.030
73/10/13	10	00		0.013	1.200	0.105	0.044	0.060
73/11/17	10	30		0.104	1.150	0.060	0.028	0.050
73/12/17	10	30		0.410	2.000	0.270	0.520	0.630
74/01/21	09	00		0.040	0.900	0.084	0.024	0.030
74/02/15				0.016	0.900	0.030	0.020	0.035

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

1206C1
29 26 30.0 081 25 30.0
UNNAMED CREEK
12 FLAGER CO HWY MA
T/CRESCENT LAKE
WOODEN BRDG BELO ST HWY 318 BRDG
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE	TIME	DEPTH	00630 N02&N03	00625 TOT KJEL	00610 NH3-N	00671 PHOS-DIS	00665 PHOS-TOT
FROM	OF		N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO	
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
73/03/18	14	45	0.062	1.760	0.132	0.260	0.360
73/04/14	11	35	0.035		0.147	0.026	
73/06/16	09	40	0.010K	2.730	0.056	0.110	0.180
73/07/16	10	00	0.018	2.600	0.115	0.530	0.600
73/08/18	09	55	0.014	1.380	0.027	0.857	0.937
73/09/14	09	50	0.082	1.470	0.044	0.495	0.575
73/10/13	09	40	0.110	1.900	0.132	1.160	1.160
73/11/17	10	10	0.060	1.100	0.044	0.076	0.115
73/12/17	10	15	0.224	2.300	0.140	0.200	0.250
74/01/21	08	45	0.060	0.900	0.016	0.124	0.230
74/02/15			0.008	1.500	0.020	0.075	0.440

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/08/25

1206DA PD1206DA P001200
29 06 30.0 081 08 00.0
CRESCENT CITY
12105 PUTNAM CO HWY MA
D/CRESCENT LAKE
CRESCENT LAKE
11EPALES 2141204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT INST MG/L P	50051 FLOW RATE INST MGD	50053 CONDUIT FLOW-MGD MONTHLY
73/07/05 CP(T)- 73/07/05	08 00 16 00		20.000	0.134	0.134	12.500	12.800	0.049	0.053