# U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

WORKING PAPER SERIES



REPORT
ON
CONNEAUT LAKE
CRAWFORD COUNTY
PENNSYLVANIA
EPA REGION III

WORKING PAPER No. 417

# PACIFIC NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

An Associate Laboratory of the

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPORT
ON
CONNEAUT LAKE
CRAWFORD COUNTY
PENNSYLVANIA
EPA REGION III
WORKING PAPER No. 417

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES
AND THE
PENNSYLVANIA NATIONAL GUARD
JUNE, 1975

# CONTENTS

		Page
For	reword	ii
Lis	st of Pennsylvania Study Lakes	iv
Lak	ke and Drainage Area Map	v
Sec	ctions_	
I.	Conclusions	1
II.	Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	4
III.	Lake Water Quality Summary	5
IV.	Nutrient Loadings	9
٧.	Literature Reviewed	14
VI.	Appendices	15

### FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nation-wide threat of accelerated eutrophication to fresh water lakes and reservoirs.

### OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

### ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

### LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and water-shed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources for professional involvement and to the Pennsylvania National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.

Walter A. Lyon, Director of the Bureau of Water Quality Management, Richard M. Boardman, Chief of the Division of Water Quality, and James T. Ulanoski, Aquatic Biologist of the Division of Water Quality, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General Harry J. Mier, Jr., the Adjutant General of Pennsylvania, and Project Officer Major Ronald E. Wickard, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Pennsylvania National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

### NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

### STUDY LAKES

### STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

LAKE NAME COUNTY

Allegheny Reservoir McKean, Warren. PA;

Cattaraugus, NY

Beaver Run Reservoir Westmoreland

Beltzville Carbon

Blanchard Reservoir Centre

Canadohta Crawford

Conneaut Crawford

Conewago (Pinchot) York

Greenlane Montgomery

Harveys Luzerne

Indian Somerset

Naomi Monroe

Ontelaunee Berks

Pocono Monroe


Pymatuning Reservoir Crawford, PA;

Ashtabula, OH

Shenango River Reservoir Mercer

Stillwater Monroe

Wallenpaupack Pike, Wayne



### CONNEAUT LAKE\*

### STORET NO. 4204

### I. CONCLUSIONS

### A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data and a report by others (Ketelle and Uttormark, 1971) indicate that Conneaut Lake is eutrophic. It ranked eleventh in overall trophic quality when the 17 Pennsylvania lakes sampled in 1973 were compared using a combination of six lake parameters\*\*. Nine of the lakes had less median total phosphorus, nine had less and one had the same median dissolved phosphorus, seven had less median inorganic nitrogen, seven had less mean chlorophyll a, and five had greater mean Secchi disc transparency. Marked depression of dissolved oxygen with depth occurred at both sampling stations in July and at station 1 in October, 1973.

Survey limnologists did not observe any algal concentrations but noted the occurrence of submerged and emergent aquatic vegetation in the cove to the east of sampling station 2.

### B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

There was a significant loss of phosphorus between the time of sample collection and the beginning of the assay and the results are not representative of conditions in the lake at the time the sample was taken.

<sup>\*</sup> Table of metric conversions--Appendix A.

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Appendix B.

The lake data indicate phosphorus limitation in April but nitrogen limitation in July and October.

### C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources—There were no known phosphorus point sources during the sampling year. Shoreline dwellings are served by a wastewater treatment plant which discharges to the outlet stream.

Wild ducks and geese were estimated to have contributed less than 2% of the phosphorus load.

The present loading rate of  $0.51 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{yr}$  is well below that proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974) as a eutrophic rate (see page 13). Nonetheless, the lake is eutrophic, and all phosphorus inputs should be minimized to the greatest practicable degree.

2. Non-point sources--The phosphorus load from non-point sources, including precipitation, amounted to 98.4% of the total load reaching the lake during the sampling year. The unnamed streams B-l and D-l contributed 39.2% of the total while minor tributaries and immediate drainage were estimated to have contributed 55.8% of the total phosphorus load. The ungaged drainage area of Conneaut Lake includes a major portion of the total drainage area (58%); consequently, the load is quite large (sampling at station C-l was complicated by high

water levels of the lake during the year, and the drainage area for this station was included in the "ungaged area").

The non-point phosphorus export rates of the sampled tributaries ranged from 22 to 31 kg/km²/yr during the sampling year (see page 12). These rates are somewhat higher than those of unimpacted Pennsylvania streams sampled elsewhere and may be due to unidentified point source discharges.

### II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS

# A. Lake Morphometry<sup>†</sup>:

1. Surface area: 3.78 kilometers<sup>2</sup>.

2. Mean depth: 7.3 meters.

3. Maximum depth: 20.1 meters.

4. Volume:  $27.594 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ .

5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 106 days (based on outlet flow).

### B. Tributary and Outlet: (See Appendix C for flow data)

### 1. Tributaries -

	<u>Name</u>	Drainage <u>area (km²)</u> *	Mean flow (m³/sec)*
	Unnamed Stream (B-1) Unnamed Stream (D-1) Minor tributaries &	4.6 20.8	0.1 0.5
immediate drainage -		40.5	2.0
	Totals	65.9	2.6
2.	Outlet -		
	Conneaut outlet	69.7**	3.0

# C. Precipitation\*\*\*:

1. Year of sampling: 116.0 centimeters.

2. Mean annual: 102.8 centimeters.

<sup>†</sup> Ulanoski, 1975.

<sup>\*</sup> For limits of accuracy, see Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods, 1973-1976".

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes area of lake.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 175.

### III. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Conneaut Lake was sampled three times during the open-water season of 1973 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from two stations on the lake and from a number of depths at each station (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll <u>a</u> analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 14.6 meters at station 1 and 9.1 meters at station 2.

The lake sampling results are presented in full in Appendix D and are summarized in the following table.

# A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR CONNEAUT LAKE STORET CODE 4204

1ST SAMPLING ( 4/21/73) 2ND SAMPLING ( 7/27/73) 3RD SAMPLING (10/ 5/73) S SITES 2 SITES 2 SITES PARAMETER RANGE MEAN MEDIAN KANGE MEAN MEDIAN RANGE MEAN MEDIAN TEMP (C) 8.7 - 12.8 10.4 4.9 12.3 - 25.0 21.6 24.6 12.8 - 18.1 16.5 17.8 DISS OXY (MG/L) 10.9 - 11.7 11.3 11.3 0.4 -8.0 4.2 4.2 3.2 -7.8 6.7 7.2 CNDCTVY (MCROMO) 190. 185. - 200. 189. 151. - 190. 178. 189. 167. -179. 170. 168. PH (STAND UNITS) 7.5 -8.3 8.0 8.0 7.0 -7.7 7.9 7.4 7.2 7.3 59. -TOT ALK (MG/L) 60. 60. 60. 72. -81. 74. 74. 91. 72. 64. -66. TUT P (MG/L) 0.015 - 0.024 0.019 0.018 0.020 - 0.1030.033 0.086 0.022 0.016 - 0.325 0.029 UNTHO P (MG/L) 0.004 - 0.011 0.006 0.006 0.003 + 0.062 0.013 0.006 0.005 - 0.111 0.032 0.012 NO2+NU3 (MG/L) 0.200 - 0.220 0.204 0.210 0.020 - 0.050 0.039 0.040 0.020 - 0.030 0.029 0.030 AMMONIA (MG/L) 0.030 - 0.040 0.036 0.040 0.040 - 0.560 0.115 0.050 0.090 - 1.420 0.396 0.110 KJEL N (MG/L) 0.400 - 0.600 0.475 0.500 0.400 - 1.200 0.575 0.500 0.800 - 2.100 1.150 0.900 INORG N (MG/L) 0.230 - 0.260 0.245 0.250 0.060 - 0.600 0.090 0.120 - 1.450 0.154 0.425 0.140 TOTAL N (MG/L) 0.610 - 0.810 0.684 6.700 0.440 - 1.240 0.614 0.530 0.830 - 2.130 1.179 0.930 CHERPYL A (UG/L) 3.1 -5.0 4.0 4.0 7.4 -7.5 7.4 7.4 5.9 - 16.5 11.2 11.2 SECCHI (METERS) 2.6 -2.7 2.7 2.7 2.4 2.7 2.6 2.6 2.0 -2.4 2.2 2.2

# B. Biological characteristics:

# 1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Algal Units per ml
04/21/73	<ol> <li>Flagellates</li> <li>Asterionella</li> <li>Cyclotella</li> <li>Stephanodiscus</li> <li>Fragilaria         <ul> <li>Other genera</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	335 220 176 132 97 27
	Total	987
07/27/73	<ol> <li>Anabaena</li> <li>Stephanodiscus</li> <li>Cryptomonas</li> <li>Dinobryon</li> <li>Flagellates         <ul> <li>Other genera</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	228 178 152 127 102 204
	Total	991
10/05/73	<ol> <li>Anabaena</li> <li>Stephanodiscus</li> <li>Flagellates</li> <li>Cryptomonas</li> <li>Ceratium         <ul> <li>Other genera</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	145 105 105 53 26 79
	Total	513

# 2. Chlorophyll a -

Sampling <u>Date</u>	Station <u>Number</u>	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> (µg/l)
04/21/73	01 02	3.1 5.0
07/27/73	01 02	7.5 7.4
10/05/73	01 02	16.5 5.9

# C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

There was a 16% loss of orthophosphorus between the time of sample collection and the beginning of the assay, and the results are not representative of conditions in the lake at the time the sample was taken.

The lake data indicate phosphorus limitation in April (the N/P ratio was 41/1), nitrogen limitation in July (N/P = 12/1), and a borderline nitrogen limitation in October (N/P = 13/1).

# IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS (See Appendix E for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Pennsylvania National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page v), except for the high runoff month of February when two samples were collected. Sampling was begun in May, 1973, and was completed in April, 1974.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Pennsylvania District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings\*. Nutrient loads shown are those measured minus point-source loads, if any.

Nutrient loads for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated using the means of the nutrient exports, in  $kg/km^2/year$ , at stations B-l and D-l and multiplying the means by the ZZ area in  $km^2$ .

Nutrient loads for station C-1 were not calculated because of inundation of the sampling site during part of the year when high lake water levels occurred; however, the analytical data are included in Appendix E.

<sup>\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 175.

Estimates of nutrient contributions by wild ducks and geese were based on the following numbers of waterfowl using Conneaut Lake as provided by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources (Ulanoski, 1975):

Summer resident ducks	50
Summer resident geese	50
Migratory ducks	1,000
Migratory geese	300

In calculating the nutrient loads, the following assumptions were made:

- 1. Each wild duck contributes 0.45 kg total nitrogen and 0.20 kg total phosphorus per year (Paloumpis and Starrett, 1960).
- 2. Each wild goose contributes the same amount as one duck since geese typically feed in fields away from the lake several hours each day.
- 3. Summer or winter resident waterfowl are at the lake for six months of the year.
- 4. Migratory waterfowl spend a total of one month per year at the lake; i.e., 15 days during Spring migration and 15 days during Fall migration.

# A. Point Sources:

- 1. Known municipal None
- 2. Known industrial None

# B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

# 1. Inputs -

Sou	rce	kg P/ <u>y</u> r	% of total
a.	Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
	Unnamed Stream (B-1) Unnamed Stream (D-1)	100 655	5.2 34.0
b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -		55.8
c.	Known municipal STP's - None	-	-
d.	Septic tanks - None	-	-
e.	Known industrial - None	-	-
f.	Ducks and geese -	30	1.6
g.	Direct precipitation* -	65	_3.4
	Total	1,925	100.0

# 2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Conneaut outlet 1,345

3. Net annual P accumulation - 580 kg.

<sup>\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 175.

# C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

Sou	rce	kg N/ yr	% of total
a.	Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
	Unnamed Stream (B-1) Unnamed Stream (D-1)	2,310 17,885	4.7 36.6
b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -		56.4
с.	Known municipal STP's - None	-	-
d.	Septic tanks - None	-	-
e.	Known industrial - None	-	-
f.	Ducks and geese -	70	0.1
g.	Direct precipitation* -	4,080	8.2
	Tota1	48,925	100.0
Out	puts -		
Lak	e outlet - Conneaut outlet	65,515	

3. Net annual N loss - 16,590 kg.

D. Mean Annual Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

Tributary	kg P/km²/yr	kg N/km²/yr
Unnamed Stream (B-1) Unnamed Stream (D-1)	22 31	502 860

2.

<sup>\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 175.

### E. Yearly Loading Rates:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loading rates are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974). Essentially, his "dangerous" rate is the rate at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" rate is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic rate would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Note that Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times.

		<u>Total Phosphorus</u> Total Accumulated		Total Nitrogen Total Accumulated			
	10tai	Accumurated	10Ld1	Accumuraced			
grams/m²/yr	0.51	0.15	12.9	loss*			
Vollenweider loading rates for phosphorus							

Vollenweider loading rates for phosphorus (g/m²/yr) based on mean depth and mean hydraulic retention time of Conneaut Lake:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic rate) 0.96
"Permissible" (oligotrophic rate) 0.48

<sup>\*</sup> There was an apparent loss of nitrogen during the sampling year. This may have been due to nitrogen fixation in the lake, solubilization of previously sedimented nitrogen, recharge with nitrogen-rich ground water, unknown and unsampled point sources discharging directly to the lake, or underestimation of the minor tributary and immediate drainage load. Whatever the cause, a similar nitrogen loss has occurred at Shagawa Lake, Minnesota, which has been intensively studied by EPA's National Eutrophication and Lake Restoration Branch (Malueg, et al., 1975).

#### V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

- Ketelle, Martha J., and Paul D. Uttormark, 1971. Problem lakes of the United States. EPA Water Poll. Contr. Res. Ser., Proj. #16010 EHR, Washington, DC.
- Malueg, Kenneth W., D. Phillips Larsen, Donald W. Schultz, and Howard T. Mercier; 1975. A six-year water, phosphorus, and nitrogen budget for Shagawa Lake, Minnesota. Jour. Environ. Qual., vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 236-242.
- Paloumpis, A. A., and W. C. Starrett, 1960. An ecological study of benthic organisms in three Illinois River flood plain lakes. Amer. Midl. Nat., vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 406-435.
- Ulanoski, James, 1975. Personal communication (lake morphometry; waterfowl numbers). PA Dept. Env. Resources, Harrisburg.
- Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research. Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

VII. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

CONVERSION FACTORS

### CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers  $\times$  0.6214 = miles

Meters x = 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters  $\times 8.107 \times 10^{-4} = acre/feet$ 

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters  $\times$  0.3937 = inches

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX B

LAKE RANKINGS

# LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	4224	LAKE NAOMI	445
2	4220	BELTZVILLE DAM	423
3	4222	HARVEY'S LAKE	413
4	4228	STILLWATER LAKE	401
5	4227	POCONO LAKE	389
6	4223	INDIAN LAKE	388
7.	3641	ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR	385
8	4229	LAKE WALLENPAUPACK	371
. 9	4221	CANADOHTA LAKE	369
10	4219	BEAVER RUN RESERVOIR	360
11	4204	CONNEAUT LAKE	307
12	4226	PINCHOT LAKE	256
13	4213	PYMATUNING RESERVOIR	206
14	4210	SHENANGO RIVER RESERVOIS	R 157
15	4225	ONTELAUNEE DAM	101
16	4201	BLANCHARD RESERVOIR	85
17	4207	GREENLANE DAM	53

### PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN 00	MEDIAN DISS P	X3GNI ON
3641	ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR	56 ( 9)	38 ( 6)	63 ( 10)	100 ( 16)	69 ( 11)	59 ( 8)	3ძ5
4201	BLANCHARD RESERVOIR	13 ( 2)	13 ( 2)	25 ( 4)	31 ( 5)	3 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	85
4204	CONNEAUT LAKE	44 ( 7)	63 ( 10)	69 ( 11)	56 ( 9)	34 ( 5)	41 ( 6)	307
4207	GREENLANE DAM	6 ( 1)	6 ( 1)	19 ( 3)	13 ( 2)	3 ( 0)	6 ( 1)	53
4213	PYMATUNING RESERVOIR	0 ( 0)	72 ( 11)	6 ( 1)	0 ( 0)	100 ( 16)	28 ( 4)	206
4216	SHENANGO RIVER RESERVOIR	19 ( 3)	44 ( 7)	13 ( 2)	6 ( 1)	47 ( 7)	28 ( 4)	157
4219	BEAVER RUN RESERVOIR	94 ( 15)	19 ( 3)	88 ( 14)	81 ( 13)	19 ( 2)	59 ( 8)	360
4220	BELTZVILLE DAM	88 ( 14)	25 ( 4)	94 ( 15)	94 ( 15)	34 ( 5)	88 ( 13)	423
4221	CANADOHTA LAKE	50 ( 8)	97 ( 15)	56 ( 9)	19. ( 3)	59, ( 9)	88 ( 13)	369
4222	HARVEY S LAKE	63 ( 10)	81 ( 13)	100 ( 16)	63 ( 10)	47 ( 7)	59 ( 8)	413
4223	INDIAN LAKE	100 ( 16)	31 ( 5)	75 ( 12)	75 ( 12)	19 ( 2)	88 ( 13)	388
4224	LAKE NAOMI	81 ( 13)	88 ( 14)	44 ( 7)	69 ( 11)	88 ( 14)	75 ( 12)	445
4225	ONTELAUNEE DAM	25 ( 4)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	44 ( 7)	19 ( 2)	13 ( 2)	101
4226	PINCHOT LAKE	31 ( 5)	56 ( 9)	31 ( 5)	38 ( 6)	81 ( 13)	19 ( 3)	256
4227	POCONO LAKE	38 ( 6)	97 ( 15)	50 ( 8)	88 ( 14)	75 ( 12)	41 ( 6)	389
4228	STILLWATER LAKE	72 ( 11)	72 ( 11)	38 ( 6)	25 ( 4)	94 ( 15)	100 ( 16)	401
4229	LAKE WALLENPAUPACK	72 ( 11)	50 ( 8)	81 ( 13)	50 ( 8)	59 ( 9)	59 ( 8)	371

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS P
3641	ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR	0.016	0.380	414.250	3.700	13.800	0.006
420 l	BLANCHARD RESERVOIR	0.064	1.300	453.143	15.187	14.900	0.046
4204	CONNEAUT LAKE	0.023	0.185	402.000	7.567	14.600	0.007
4207	GREENLANE DAM	0.066	1.475	460.222	24.011	14.900	0.020
4213	PYMATUNING RESERVOIR	0.070	0.180	467.750	56.333	7.700	0.008
4216	SHENANGO RIVER RESERVOIR	0.058	0.340	463.555	26.800	14.500	0.008
4219	BEAVER RUN RESERVOIR	0.009	0.835	384.833	5.183	14.800	0.006
4220	BELTZVILLE DAM	0.010	0.815	362.444	4+856	14.600	0.005
4221	CANADOHTA LAKE	0.020	0.130	436+000	19.167	14.100	0.005
4222	HARVEY+S LAKE	0.015	0.160	338.000	5.967	14.500	0.006
4223	INDIAN LAKE	0.008	0.520	400.222	5.211	14.800	0.005
4224	LAKE NAOMI	0.014	0.135	443.333	5.533	8.000	0.005
4225	ONTELAUNEE DAM	0.040	2.150	470.667	11.783	14.800	0.011
4226	PINCHOT LAKE	0.027	0.245	453.000	13.950	11.500	0.008
422 <b>7</b>	POCONO LAKE	0.024	0.130	438.800	4.980	13.200	0.007
4228	STILLWATER LAKE	0.015	0.180	449.000	18.233	7.900	0.004
4229	LAKE WALLENPAUPACK	0.015	0.250	394,583	9.617	14.100	0.006

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

1/27/75

LAKE CODE 4204 CUINNEAUT LAKE

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE(SO KM) 69.7

SUH-DRAINAGE							NORMALIZED FLOWS(CMS)							
[h]HN[#K]	AREA (SU KM)	MAL	Fin	MAR	AHK	MAY	MUĻ	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOA	DEC	MEAN
4204A1	64.7	3.40	3.54	5.10	3.70	2.69	2.21	1.87	1.36	1.44	2.15	3.96	3.46	2.98
4204Bl	4.6	U = 1 +	0.16	6.25	0.18	0.48	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.16	0.17	0.11
4204C1	51.3	U • U	Ú . J	0.0	Ú.U	0.0	U . G	Ú.Ú	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
420401	2J•¤	6.57	U+65	1.05	U.76	v.37	0.27	0.20	0.11	0.12	0.25	0.68	0.74	0.48
420422	44.3	2.15	2.35	د2.،د	2.52	1.70	1.44	1.19	0.68	0.91	1.36	2.52	2.52	1.89

SUMMART

TOTAL DWAINAGE AREA UF LAKE = 59.7 | TOTAL FLOW IN = 29.92 500 OF SUB-UMAINAGE AREAS = 96.9 | TOTAL FLOW OUT = 35.85

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DATEY FEDWS (CMS)

THIBUTARY	момін	YE4-	MEAN FLOW	IJΑY	FEGW DAY	FEOW DAY	FLOW
4204A1	5	73	3.4)	14	1.78		
	6	13	2.59	4	1.27		
	6 7	د 7	1.59	6	v.bl		
	'n	13	0.91	10	1.9a		
	4	13	り・とう	н	0.17		
	10	73	1 . 0 %	5	0.02		
	11	73	2.40	3	1.50		
	12	73	2.83	7	2.07		
	ì	74	0.40	5	1.87		
	Ś	74	5د و ح	2	1.37		
	? 3	14	5.19	21	0.23		
	44	74	4.nl	20	نا44		
420481	5	73	V.13	1 7	v.04		
	5	/3	J H	y.	ت ₊ ن ≥		
	7	13	<b>0 •</b> 0 ⋅ 3	6	<b>∪.</b> ↓↓∪		
	н	13	(.vl	li	0.01		
	Ų	13	0	ē.	U.u		
	10	د 7	V.U2	6	u.el		
	11	13	Ú • 17 44	3	اگ ن ⊕ ن		
	12	7.3	J. 10	1	0.05		
	1	7-+	6.17	ອ	U • 65		
	? 3	1 →	V.57	ے	J. U.S		
	3	74	0.21	21	0.40		
	4	74	V.24	26	0.12		

LAKE CODE 4204 CONNEAUT LAKE

MEAN MUNITHLY FLUWS AND DAILY FLOWS (CMS)

THIBUTARY	нТИОМ	YEAH	MEAN FL	ו ∆נ שט	FLOA	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
4204C1	:5	73	Ũ.	. 19	U.S				
	6	ذ 7	0						
	7	13	U +						
	8	73	<b>U</b> • '		U • U				
	9	73	U		<b>0 •</b> 0				
	10	73	t .						
	11	73	Ü 🕳	ં 3	ປຸເບັ				
	15	د /	0.	u /					
	1	74	J.	ر اب					
	2 3	74	ύ	ے دا					
	3	74	Ú.		<b>U.</b> Ú				
	4	74	( . :		v.ů				
4204Ul	5	73	U . :	54 19	v.ld				
	4	73	0.1						
•	7	73	Ú.	14 6	0.02				
	H	73	ا 🕳 ن	შუ <u>1</u> 0	0.21				
	9	73	U • s	خ از	0.01				
	lυ	73	0.1	<i>ت</i> ا 5					
	11	73	ه و ن		U.lj				
	13	73	() <b>•</b> •		0.24				
	1	74	Ð.	74 5	ひ。こむ				
	ج	74	9.	2명 2	نا∠⊾ن				
	3	14	1.	16 21	1.54				
	4	74	1.0	02 20	0.54				
426427	S	73	2.		1.13				
	6 7	75	1.0		0.82				
	7	73	1.4	v3 6	U.31				
	H	د /	0.5		1.25				
	9	13	ui • i		0.11				
	lυ	73	Use	රිසි ව	0.40				
	11	73	1	/s 3	Û. <b>∀</b> ₽				
	12	73	l • ·		1.3∪				
	1	74	G ⊕ s		1.19				
	2	14	1 - 5		1.19				
	3	74	3.7		3.96				
	4	74	3-1	.5 29	2.10				

APPENDIX D

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

420401 41 37 38.0 080 18 24.0 COMMEAUT LAKE 42039 PENNSYLVANIA

							1162. 3	ALÉS		1202 FEET DEP	тн	
DATE FROM IU	OF	DEPTH FEET	JUUTU WATER TEMP CENT	00300 00 MG/L	00077 THANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	004łu T ALK CACU3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NOZANO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
73/04/21	u9 3	0 0000	12.8		108	200	7.50	59	0.030	0.500	0.200	0.007
	ز ون	0 0004	12.8	11.5		190	7.80	59	0.040	0.400	0.210	0.011
	09 3	0 0023	9.3	10.9		185	7.90	6ů	0.040	0.400	0.210	V.008
	u9 3	V 0035	ರ∗ಕ	10.9		165	8.00	60	0.040	0.400	0.210	0.005
73/07/27	16.2	5 0000	25.0		96	196	8.40	73	0.050	0.600	0.040	0.004
	16.2	5 0005	25.0	8.0		190	8.20	73	0.050	0.400	0.040	0.006
		5 0015	25.0	7.9		190	8.00	74	0.050	0.400	0.050	0.006
	16 S	5 0025	16.9	6.7		155	7.0u	12	0.090	0.400	0.040	0.009
		S 6048	12.3	0.4		151	7.50	81	0.560	1.200	0.040	0.062
73/10/05	16 3	0 0000	16.1	/.b	78	170	7.30	64	0.090	0.800	0.030	0.005
	16 3	0 0015	17.9	7.2		167	7.30	66	0.110	0.800	0.030	0.010
	16 3	0 0033	16.0	3.2		168	7.20	71	0.320	1.200	0.030	0.014
	16 3	<b>6</b> 600 0	13.7			179	7.00	91	0.920	1+700	0.020	0.087
	16 3	0 0044	12.8			174	7.10	84	1.420	2.100	0.030	0.111

DATE FROM	f IME OF	DEPIn	10665 PHOS-TOT	J2217 CHLRPHYL A
Tu	JAY	ree1	MG/L P	UG/L
73/04/21		0000 0004	0.015 0.024	3.1
	09 30	0020		
73/U1/27	16 25 16 25 16 25		U.02ŭ J.U21 J.U22	7.5
	16 25 16 25	0025 0048	∪.023 U.103	
73/10/05	15 30 16 30		0.018 0.024 0.084	16.5
	15 30 15 30	etiv	0.150 J.J25	

#### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/01/27

+20402 41 36 22.0 080 17 57.0 CUNNEAUT LAKE 42039 PENNSYLVANIA

							11EP/	ALES		1202	_	
							3		0022	FEET DEP	тн	
			00013	00300	00077	00094	00400	00410	00610	00625	00630	00671
DATE	TIME	DEPTH	WATER	00	THANSP	CINDUCTAX	РH	T ALK	N-CHN	TOT KJEL	N05PN03	PHOS-DIS
FROM	OF		TEMP		SECCHI	FIELD		CACO3	TOTAL	N	N-TOTAL	ORTHO
Τυ	UAY	FEET	CENT	MG/L	INCHES	WICKOWHO	Şυ	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P
73/04/21	10 1	5.0000	10.5		102	185	8.10	59	0.030	0.500	0.200	0.007
	10 1	5 0004	10.7	11.7		190	8.10	59	0.030	0.500	0.210	0.004
	10 1	5 0016	9.3	11.4		190	8.30	60	0.040	0.600	0.210	0.004
	10 1	5 0030	8.7	11.3		190	8.20	60	0.040	0.500	0.220	0.005
73/07/27	16 5	50 0000	24.6		108	189	8.03	73	0.040	0.500	0.020	0.007
	16 5	50 0005	24.6	7.6		188	7.40	74	0.040	0.600	0.040	0.010
	10 5	0 0018	19.7	<b>0.</b> 8		167	7.JU	75	0.040	0.500	0.040	0.003
73/10/05	17 0	5 0000	17.ಕ	7.4	96	168		66	0.100	0.800	0.030	0.007
	17 0	15 0015	17.6	7.2		167	7.40	66	0.110	0.900	0.030	0.015
	17 0	5 0027	17.8	7.2		168	7.30	66	0.100	0.900	0.030	800.0

DATE FROM TO	FIM OF DAY	E DEPIH FEET	MGNF 5 HM02-101 MGNF 5	32217 CHLKPHYL A UG/L
7.437.491	1.4			
73/04/21		15 0000	0.019	5.0
		15 6004	0.016	
	10	15 0016	v.uld	
	10	15 0030	0.017	
73/07/27	16	50 0000	5.622	7.4
	16	<b>50 0005</b>	U.023	
	16	50 Jule	6.029	
73/10/05	17	<b>05 บปปป</b>	U.016	5.9
	17	05 0015	0.026	
	17	05 6027	J.032	

APPENDIX E

TRIBUTARY DATA

### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/02/03

4204A1
41 36 12.0 080 18 00.0
CONNEAUT OUTLET
42027 7.5 CONNEAUT LK
O/CONNEAUT LAKE
US HWY 6 BRDG AT OUTLET E OF TOWN
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

			<b>00630</b>	00625	00610	Ŭ∪ <b>67</b> 1	<b>00665</b>
DATE	TIME	DEPTH	1052403	TO! KJEL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHUS-TUT
FHUM	OF		N-TOTAL	N ·	TUTAL	OHTRO	
ſυ	UAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MGZE	MG/L P	MG/L P
73/05/19	09 10	ນ	5.126	0.390	9.014	0.005	0.020
73/06/09	09 3	U	0.074	0.520	0.048	0.005K	0.010
73/37/0h	0 + 41	0	0.019	0.430	0.620	U.005K	0.015
73/08/11	09 5		0.01UN	0.560	0.020	0.005K	0.025
73/09/08	49 49	5	0.010K	0.880	0.037	0.015	0.040
73/10/20	10 0	O	<b>0.0</b> 50	0.600	0.071	0.008	0.025
73/11/05	15 Q	0	0.068	0.600	0.124	u•405K	ŭ.005K
73/12/16	14 4	5	0.240	0.800	0.072	0.015	0.016
74/01/05	09 00	0	u.276	0.400	0.060	U.005K	0.010
74/02/03	14 4	5	U.384	0.500	0.050	0.005K	0.005
74/u2/1H	14 4!	5	565.0	U.30v	9.055	0.005K	0.005K
74/03/02	14 4	5	J.300	0.406	U.02U	0.010	0.030
74/04/20	12 49	5	0.200	0.300	0.015	0.005K	0.005

### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 15/02/03

420481
41 36 47.0 080 18 30.0
UNNAMED CREEK
42 7.5 CONNEAUT LK
1/CONNEAUT LAKE
SEC RD BRUG .5 M1 N OF TOWN OF CONNEAUT
11thales 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM	TIME OF	UEPIH	00630 11024103 14-101AL	60625 TOT KUEL K	00610 Nm3-N Total	00671 PH05-015 OKTH0	00065 PH02 <b>-</b> 101
ŧυ	DAY	FEET	Mb/L	MG/E	MOZE	MGZL P	MG/L P
73/05/19	u9 3(	,	0.031	0.360	0.016	6.313	<b>0 • ∪ 3</b> 0
73/06/09	09 40	)	0.100	0.600	0.096	0.023	ບັ∙ປສ່ຍ
73/47/46	09 45	5	0.170	0.540	0.033	6.019	0.060
73/08/11	10 00	)	0.022	0.490	0.032	0.023	0.100
73/09/08	10 00	)	0.012	0.880	0.024	0.022	0.032
73/10/20	10 19	j	U.020	0.45¢	0.033	د 10.0	0.040
73/11/04	U9 30	)	Ŭ•148	0.500	0.024	J.312	<b>u.ú</b> 25
73/12/16	15 00	J	0.320	0.600	0.032	0.008	5.010
74/31/05	u9 19	5	J.368	0.800	u.ule	o.0u5∧	0.015
74/02/03	15 00	)	6.028	U • 30 U	3.025	5.005K	0.010
74/02/14	15 00	)	ひ・ひうさ	0.200	0.020	0.0058	0.0058
74/03/02	15 00	<b>)</b>	0.110	0.660	0.045	u•025	0.055
74/04/20	15 00	)	3.142	0.300	0.015	Ŭ• <b>U</b> U∋K	0.015

#### STURET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/02/03

		a0635	60625	00610	00071	UU665
DATE	TIME DEPTH	NU26N03	TUT KUEL	NH3=N	PhúS-DIS	PH05-TUT
FRUM	UF	N-TOTAL	11	TOTAL	ÚRTHQ	
ro	DAY FEET	MGノL	MGZL	MG/L	MG/L P	MU/L P
73/05/14	09 55	v.336	0.400	0.029	0.006	0.020
73/u6/07	09 50	ÿ.220	0.780	0.130	0.015	0.035
73/07/06	16 00	U.220	0.820	0.055	0.015	0.055
73/05/11	10 10	6.130	0.540	0.033	0.015	0.075
73/09/08	10 05	0.147	0.840	0.036	0•026	0.070
73/10/20	10 30	0.240	0.500	0.017	0.005K	0.040
73/11/04	U9 10	0.326	0.350	0.020	0•∪0¤	0.020
73/12/16	lo 15	J.32J	0.200	0.020	0.008	0.020
74/01/05	09 30	1.180	0.900	v.060	0.005K	0.020
74/02/03	lo 15	U.52U	1.000	0.035	0.005K	0.025
74/02/18	15 15	0.450	0.300	0.020	0.005K	0.025
74/03/02	15 15	0.680	0.600	0.020	0.010	0.035
74/04/20	15 15	0.004	0.300	0.015	0.005	0.015

### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/02/03

+20401
41 38 55.0 080 18 25.0
UNNAMED STREAM
42 7.5 CONNEAUT PRK
1/CONNEAUT LAKE
PA HWY 618 BRDG .7 MI NE OF CONNEAUTLKPK
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM	TIME DEPTH OF	ÜEƏĞG EQMASON JATOT+N	UU625 TOT KJEL N	UJ61U N-Emn Jatoi	00671 PHOS-015 ORTHO	00665 PH05=10T
10	DAY FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MU/L	MG/L P	MU/L P
73/05/19	10 15	0.520	0.480	0.022	0.009	0.020
73/06/09	10 00	0.620	0.630	6.054	0.011	0.025
73/07/06	10 03	U.450	0.700	0.220	J.036	0.075
73/08/11	10 20	0.620	0.690	۱U46		0.095
73/09/08	10 15	0.370	0.450	u • 130	0.025	0.100
73/10/20	10 45	ง.198	1.100	J.330	0.040	0.155
73/11/04	09 00	U.208	0.800	0.024	0.008	0.025
73/12/16	15 30	v • 44Ú	0.300	0.016	0.005K	0.005K
74/01/05	U9 45	1.180	0.300	ن ن ن ن ن	U • 005K	0.020
74/02/03	15 30	0.350	0.800	0.025	0.005K	0.040
74/02/18	15 30	0.320	Ú•400	0.015	0.005K	0.040
74/03/02	15 30	0.460	0.800	0.025	0.015	0.065
74/04/20	15 30	U.004	0.300	0.015	0.010	0.010