# U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

WORKING PAPER SERIES



REPORT

ON

LAKE ONTELAUNEE

BERKS COUNTY

PENNSYLVANIA

EPA REGION III

WORKING PAPER No. 422

#### PACIFIC NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

An Associate Laboratory of the

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPORT
ON
LAKE ONTELAUNEE
BERKS COUNTY
PENNSYLVANIA
EPA REGION III
WORKING PAPER No. 422

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES
AND THE
PENNSYLVANIA NATIONAL GUARD
JUNE, 1975

# CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
For	reword	ii
Lis	st of Pennsylvania Study Lakes	iv
Lak	ke and Drainage Area Maps	v, vi
Sec	<u>ctions</u>	
I.	Conclusions	1
II.	Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	4
III.	Lake Water Quality Summary	5
IV.	Nutrient Loadings	10
٧.	Literature Reviewed	15
VI.	Appendices	16

#### <u>FOREWORD</u>

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nation-wide threat of accelerated eutrophication to fresh water lakes and reservoirs.

#### OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

#### ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

#### LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and water-shed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources for professional involvement and to the Pennsylvania National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.

Walter A. Lyon, Director of the Bureau of Water Quality Management, Richard M. Boardman, Chief of the Division of Water Quality, and James T. Ulanoski, Aquatic Biologist of the Division of Water Quality, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General Harry J. Mier, Jr., the Adjutant General of Pennsylvania, and Project Officer Major Ronald E. Wickard, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Pennsylvania National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

#### NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

#### STUDY LAKES

#### STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA


LAKE NAME COUNTY Allegheny Reservoir McKean, Warren, PA; Cattarugus, NY Beaver Run Reservoir Westmoreland Beltzville Carbon Blanchard Reservoir Centre Canadohta Crawford Conneaut Crawford Conewago (Pinchot) York Greenlane Montgomery Luzerne Harveys Somerset Indian Naomi Monroe Berks Ontelaunee Monroe Pocono Crawford, PA; Pymatuning Reservoir Ashtabula, OH Shenango River Reservoir Mercer

Stillwater

Wallenpaupack

Monroe

Pike, Wayne



#### LAKE ONTELAUNEE\*

#### STORET NO. 4225

#### I. CONCLUSIONS

#### A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Lake Ontelaunee is eutrophic. It ranked fifteenth in overall trophic quality when the 17 Pennsylvania lakes sampled in 1973 were compared using a combination of six lake parameters\*\*. Only four of the lakes had higher median total phosphorus levels, two had higher median dissolved phosphorus, none had higher median inorganic nitrogen, all lakes had greater mean Secchi disc transparency, and nine had less mean chlorophyll a. Depression of dissolved oxygen with depth occurred at both sampling stations in July and October, 1973.

Survey limnologists noted that the water was turbid on all sampling occasions. Algal blooms were observed on the second and third visits at station two and in the Maiden Creek section of the lake during the third visit. Floating and emergent aquatic weeds were observed near station one on the third visit.

#### B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results show that Lake Ontelaunee was phosphorus limited at the time the assay sample was collected

<sup>\*</sup> Table of metric conversions -- Appendix A.

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Appendix B.

(04/13/73). The lake data indicate phosphorus limitation at all sampling times.

#### C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--During the sampling year, Lake Ontelaunee received a total phosphorus load at a rate nearly three times that proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974) as a eutrophic rate (see page 14). However, Vollenweider's model probably does not apply to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times, and the mean hydraulic retention time of Lake Ontelaunee is only 14 days.

It is calculated that the waste treatment plants included in the Survey contributed nearly 75% of the total phosphorus load to the lake during the sampling year. Removal of 90% of the phosphorus at these point sources would reduce the loading rate to 1.56 g/m²/yr. This rate is less than Vollenweider's eutrophic rate but more than his oligotrophic rate (i.e., a mesotrophic rate); and, regardless of applicability of the model, the reduced rate should result in a significant improvement in the trophic condition of Lake Ontelaunee once a new phosphorus equilibrium becomes established.

2. Non-point sources--It is estimated that non-point sources contributed about 25% of the total phosphorus load to Lake Onte-launee during the sampling year. Maiden Creek, the only major

tributary, had a relatively low phosphorus export rate of 9 kg/km²/yr (see page 13). The probable effectiveness of point-source phosphorus control is substantiated by this rather low non-point export rate.

#### II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS

# A. Lake Morphometry<sup>†</sup>:

1. Surface area: 4.38 kilometers<sup>2</sup>.

2. Mean depth: 3.4 meters.

3. Maximum depth: 9.4 meters.

4. Volume: 14.892 x 10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup>.

5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 14 days (based on outlet flow).

#### Tributary and Outlet: (See Appendix C for flow data)

#### 1. Tributaries -

	Name	Drainage area (km²)*	Mean flow (m³/sec)*
	Maiden Creek	404.0	8.2
	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	151.0	3.6
	Totals	555.0	11.8
2.	Outlet -		
	Maiden Creek	559.4**	12.0

# C. Precipitation\*\*\*:

1. Year of sampling: 121.5 centimeters.

2. Mean annual: 111.7 centimeters.

<sup>†</sup> Ulanoski, 1975.

<sup>\*</sup> For limits of accuracy, see Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods, 1973-1976".

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes area of lake

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 175.

#### III. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Lake Ontelaunee was sampled three times during the open-water season of 1973 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from two stations on the lake and from a number of depths at each station (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m or near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll <u>a</u> analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 7.9 meters at station 1 and 3.0 meters at station 2.

The lake sampling results are presented in full in Appendix D and are summarized in the following table.

# A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR ONTELAUNCE LAKE STUREF CODE 4225

	IST SAMPL	ING ( 4/13/73)	2NO SAME	PLING ( 7/24/73)	3RD SAMPLING (10/ 3/7)	3)
	2.5	SITES	2	SITES	2 SITES	
PARAMETER	RANGE	MEAN MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN MEDIAN	HANGE MEAN MED	IAN
TEMP (C)	8.4 - 9.8	9+1 9+1	18.1 - 26.6	23.8 25.4	18.6 - 20.8 19.7 19	. 7
DISS OXY (MG/L)	10.6 - 11.4	11.0 11.0	0.2 - 11.9	5.9 6.7	4.2 - 12.4 8.8 9	اد ه ا
CNUCTVY (MCROMO)	190 200.	196. 197.	170 235.	204. 207.	244 260. 252. 25	2.
PH (STAND UNITS)	7.3 - 8.0	7.7 7.6	7.0 - 9.1	8.4 8.8	7.4 - 9.2 8.b B	• 6
TOT ALK (MG/L)	37 40.	39, 39.	59 85.	69. 70.	71 90. 78. 7	d.
TOT P (MGZE)	0.015 - 0.039	0.026 0.029	0.033 - 0.057	0.044 0.046	0.030 - 0.073 0.048 0.04	43
DRIHO P (MGZL)	0.007 - 0.018	0.015 0.016	0.007 - 0.020	0.012 0.009	0.008 - 0.019 0.011 0.0	11
NO2+NO3 (MG/L)	2.400 - 3.200	2.983 3.050	1.400 - 2.400	2.000 2.000	1.350 - 2.040 1.677 1.6	55
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.010 - 0.060	0.050 0.060	0.090 - 0.750	0.267 0.150	0.050 - 0.270 0.100 0.04	ь0
KJEL N (MG/L)	0.200 - 0.400	0.317 0.300	0.600 - 1.100	0.757 0.700	0.500 - 1.100 0.750 0.7	00
INORG N (MG/L)	2.460 - 3.260	3.033 3.085	2.090 - 2.590	2.267 2.150	1.410 2.150 1.777 1.7	10
TOTAL N (MG/L)	2.700 - 3.500	3.300 3.400	2.500 + 3.000	2.757 2.700	2.290 - 2.540 2.427 2.4	35
CHERPYE A (UGZĘ)	2.8 - 2.9	2.8 2.8	9.6 - 12.9	11.2 11.2	16.2 - 20.3 21.2 21	.2
SECCHI (METERS)	0.3 - 0.5	0-4 0.4	0.8 - 1.0	0.9 0.9	1.0 - 1.1 1.0 1	• Ú

σ

# B. Biological characteristics:

# 1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Algal units per ml
04/13/73	<ol> <li>Stephanodiscus</li> <li>Asterionella</li> <li>Cryptomonas</li> <li>Melosira</li> <li>Flagellates         <ul> <li>Other genera</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	680 107 67 67 67 89
	Total	1,077
07/24/73	<ol> <li>Scenedesmus</li> <li>Coelastrum</li> <li>Gloeocystis</li> <li>Oocystis</li> <li>Merismopedia         <ul> <li>Other genera</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	181 140 131 74 49 114
10/03/73	1. Melosira 2. Fragilaria 3. Pediastrum 4. Chlorophyta filament 5. Oocystis Other genera	4,698 3,085 111 91 81 94
	Total	8,160

## 2. Chlorophyll a -

Sampling <u>D</u> ate	Station <u>Number</u>	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> (µg/l)
04/13/73	01 02	2.8 2.9
07/24/73	01 02	12.9 9.6
10/03/73	01 . 02	26.3 16.2

## C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

#### 1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

Spike (mg/l)	Ortho P	Inorganic N	Maximum yield
	Conc. (mg/1)	Conc. (mg/1)	(mg/l-dry wt.)
Control	0.024	2.976	4.7
0.050 P	0.074	2.976	25.9
0.050 P + 1.0 N	0.074	3.976	24.5
1.0 N	0.024	3.976	4.6

#### 2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, <u>Selenastrum capri-cornutum</u>, indicates that the potential primary productivity of Lake Ontelaunee was high at the time the assay sample was collected. Only four of the 17 Pennsylvania lakes studied had a greater assay control yield.

The N/P ratio of the control sample, the response to the orthophosphorus spike, and the lack of response when only nitrogen was added, show that the control sample was phosphorus limited. The lake data indicate phosphorus limitation at all sampling times; i.e., the mean N/P ratios were 162/1 or greater, and phosphorus limitation would be expected.

# IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS (See Appendix E for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Pennsylvania National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page vi), except for the high runoff month of April when two samples were collected. Sampling was begun in May, 1973, and was completed in April, 1974.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Pennsylvania District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings\*. Nutrient loads shown are those measured minus point-source loads, if any.

Nutrient loads for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated using the adjusted nutrient loads at station A-2, in  $kg/km^2/year$ , and multiplying by the ZZ area in  $km^2$ .

The operators of the Kutztown and Blue Rock Mountain Spring Water Company wastewater treatment plants provided monthly effluent samples and corresponding flow data. The Moselem Development Corporation did

<sup>\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 175.

not participate in the Survey, and nutrient loads were estimated at 1.134 kg P and 3.401 kg N/capita/year. The community of Virginville was assumed to be served by septic tanks, and nutrient loads attributed to that source are included in the septic tank loads.

#### A. Waste Sources:

## Known muncipal\* -

Name	Pop. <u>Served</u>	Treatment	Mean Flow (m³/d)	Receiving Water
Kutztown	10,000	trickling filter	7,344.0	Sacony Creek
Moselem Development Corp.	150	act. sludge	56.8**	Moselem Creek
Blue Rock Mtn. Spring Water Co.	30	sand filter	3.6	Ontelaunee Creek

#### 2. Known industrial - None

<sup>\*</sup> Treatment plant questionnaires. \*\* Estimated at 0.3785 m<sup>3</sup>/capita/day.

# B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

3. Net annual P accumulation - 2,700 kg.

# 1. Inputs -

2.

<u>Source</u>		kg P/ <u>yr</u>	% of <u>total</u>
a.	Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
	Maiden Creek	3,775	18.0
b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -		6.5
c.	Known municipal STP's -		
	Kutztown Moselem Development Corp. Blue Rock Mtn. Spring Water	15,540 170 5	74.2 0.8 <0.1
d.	Septic tanks* -	30	0.1
e.	Known industrial - None	-	-
f.	Direct precipitation** -	<u>75</u>	0.4
	Tota1	20,955	100.0
Out	puts -		
Lak	e outlet - Maiden Creek	18,255	

<sup>\*</sup> Estimate based on 96 shoreline dwellings along Maiden Creek and 14 dwellings along Sacony Creek; see Working Paper No. 175.

\*\* See Working Paper No. 175.

## C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

#### 1. Inputs -

irce_	kg N/ <u>yr</u>	% of <u>total</u>
Tributaries (non-point load	) -	
Maiden Creek	738,550	68.8
		25.7
Known municipal STP's -		
Kutztown Moselem Development Corp. Blue Rock Mtn. Spring Water	52,120 510 15	4.9 <0.1 <0.1
Septic tanks* -	1,170	0.1
Known industrial - None	-	-
Direct precipitation** -	4,730	0.4
Total	1,073,125	100.0
	Tributaries (non-point load  Maiden Creek  Minor tributaries & immedia drainage (non-point load)  Known municipal STP's -  Kutztown  Moselem Development Corp.  Blue Rock Mtn. Spring Water  Septic tanks* -  Known industrial - None  Direct precipitation** -	Tributaries (non-point load) -  Maiden Creek 738,550  Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) - 276,030  Known municipal STP's -  Kutztown 52,120  Moselem Development Corp. 510 Blue Rock Mtn. Spring Water 15  Septic tanks* - 1,170  Known industrial - None -  Direct precipitation** - 4,730

## 2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Maiden Creek 1,254,715

3. Net annual N loss - 181,590 kg.

# D. Mean Annual Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

<u>Tributary</u>	<u>kg P/km²/yr</u>	kg N/km²/yr
Maiden Creek	9	1,828

<sup>\*</sup> Estimate based on 96 shoreline dwellings along Maiden Creek and 14 dwellings along Sacony Creek; see Working Paper No. 175.

\*\* See Working Paper No. 175.

#### E. Yearly Loading Rates:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loading rates are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974). Essentially, his "dangerous" rate is the rate at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" rate is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic rate would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Note that Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times.

		Phosphorus		Nitrogen
	Total	Accumulated	<u>Total</u>	Accumulated
grams/m²/yr	4.78	0.62	245.0	loss*
Vollenweider loadi (g/m²/yr) based o hydraulic retenti	n mean depth an	d mean		
"Dangerous" ( "Permissible"	eutrophic rate) (oligotrophic	1.76 rate) 0.88		

<sup>\*</sup> There was an apparent loss of nitrogen during the sampling year. This may have been due to nitrogen fixation in the lake, solubilization of previously sedimented nitrogen, recharge with nitrogen-rich ground water, unknown and unsampled point sources discharging directly to the lake, or underestimation of the nitrogen loads from the upper Maiden Creek drainage area. Whatever the cause, a similar nitrogen loss has occurred at Shagawa Lake, Minnesota, which has been intensively studied by EPA's National Eutrophication and Lake Restoration Branch.

#### V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

- Anonymous, 1971. Inventory of municipal waste facilities. EPA Publ. No. OWP-1, vol. 3, Washington, D.C.
- Ulanoski, James, 1975. Personal communication (lake morphometry). PA Dept. of Env. Resources, Harrisburg.
- Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research. Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

# VI APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

CONVERSION FACTORS

#### **CONVERSION FACTORS**

Hectares x = 2.471 = acres

Kilometers  $\times$  0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters  $\times 8.107 \times 10^{-4} = acre/feet$ 

Square kilometers x = 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters  $x \ 0.3937 = inches$ 

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX B

LAKE RANKINGS

## LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	4224	LAKE NAOMI	445
2	4220	BELTZVILLE DAM	423
3	4222	HARVEY'S LAKE	413
4	4228	STILLWATER LAKE	401
5	4227	POCONO LAKE	389
6	4223	INDIAN LAKE	388
7	3641	ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR	385
8	4229	LAKE WALLENPAUPACK	371
9	4221	CANADOHTA LAKE	369
10	4219	BEAVER RUN RESERVOIR	360
11	4204	CONNEAUT LAKE	307
12	4226	PINCHOT LAKE	256
13	4213	PYMATUNING RESERVOIR	206
14	4216	SHENANGO RIVER RESERVOI	₹ 157
15	4225	ONTELAUNEE DAM	101
16	4201	BLANCHARD RESERVOIR	85
17	4207	GREENLANE DAM	53

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS P	INDEX NO
3641	ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR	56 ( 9)	38 ( 6)	63 ( 10)	100 ( 16)	69 ( 11)	59 ( 8)	385
4201	BLANCHARO RESERVOIR	13 ( 2)	13 ( 2)	25 ( 4)	31 ( 5)	3 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	85
4204	CONNEAUT LAKE	44 ( 7)	63 ( 10)	69 ( 11)	56 ( 9)	34 ( 5)	41 ( 6)	307
4207	GREENLANE DAM	6 ( 1)	6 ( 1)	19 ( 3)	13 ( 2)	3 ( 0)	6 ( 1)	53
4213	PYMATUNING RESERVOIR	0 ( 0)	72 ( 11)	6 ( 1)	0 ( 0)	100 ( 16)	28 ( 4)	206
4216	SHENANGO RIVER RESERVOIR	19 ( 3)	44 ( 7)	13 ( 2)	6 ( 1)	47 ( 7)	28 ( 4)	157
4219	BEAVER RUN RESERVOIR	94 ( 15)	19 ( 3)	88 ( 14)	81 ( 13)	19 ( 2)	59 ( 8)	360
4220	BELTZVILLE DAM	88 ( 14)	25 ( 4)	94 ( 15)	94 ( 15)	34 ( 5)	88 ( 13)	423
4221	CANADOHTA LAKE	50 ( 8)	97 ( 15)	56 ( 9)	19 ( 3)	59 ( 9)	88 ( 13)	369
4222	HARVEY'S LAKE	63 ( 10)	81 ( 13)	100 ( 16)	63 ( 10)	47 ( 7)	59 ( 8)	413
4223	INDIAN LAKE	100 ( 16)	31 ( 5)	75 ( 12)	75 ( 12)	19. ( 2)	88 ( 13)	388
4224	LAKE NAOMI	81 ( 13)	88 ( 14)	44 ( 7)	69 ( 11)	88 ( 14)	75 ( 12)	445
4225	ONTELAUNEE DAM	25 ( 4)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	44 ( 7)	19 ( 2)	13 ( 2)	. 101
4226	PINCHOT LAKE	31 ( 5)	56 ( 9)	31 ( 5)	38 ( 6)	81 ( 13)	19 ( 3)	256
4227	POCONO LAKE	38 ( 6)	97 ( 15)	50 ( 8)	88 ( 14)	75 ( 12)	41 ( 6)	389
4228	STILLWATER LAKE	72 ( 11)	72 ( 11)	38 ( 6)	25 ( 4)	94 ( 15)	100 ( 16)	40 i
4229	LAKE WALLENPAUPACK	72 ( 11)	50 ( 8)	81 ( 13)	50 ( 8)	59 ( 9)	59 ( 8)	371

#### LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15 <del>-</del> MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS P
3641	ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR	0.016	0.380	414.250	3.700	13.800	0.006
4201	BLANCHARD RESERVOIR	0.064	1.300	453.143	15.187	14.900	0.046
4204	CONNEAUT LAKE	0.023	0.185	402.000	7.567	14.600	0.007
4207	GREENLANE DAM	0.066	1.475	460.222	24.011	14.900	0.020
4213	PYMATUNING RESERVOIR	0.070	0.180	467.750	56.333	7.700	0.008
4216	SHENANGO RIVER RESERVOIR	0.058	0.340	463.555	26.800	14.500	0.008
4219	BEAVER RUN RESERVOIR	0.009	0,835	384.833	5.183	14.800	0.006
4220	BELTZVILLE DAM	0.010	0.815	362,444	4.856	14.600	0.005
4221	CANADOHTA LAKE	0.020	0.130	436.000	19.167	14.100	0.005
4222	HARVEY'S LAKE	0.015	0.160	338.000	5.967	14.500	0.006
4223	INDIAN LAKE	0.008	0.520	400.222	5.211	14.800	0.005
4224	LAKE NAOMI	0.014	0.135	443.333	5.533	8.000	0.005
4225	ONTELAUNEE DAM	0.040	2.150	470.667	11.783	14.800	0.011
4226	PINCHOT LAKE	0.027	0.245	453.000	13.950	11.500	0.008
4227	POCONO LAKE	0.024	0.130	438.800	4.980	13.200	0.007
4228	STILLWATER LAKE	0.015	0.180	449.000	18.233	7.900	0.004
4229	LAKE WALLENPAUPACK	0.015	0.250	394.583	9.617	14.100	0.006

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

LAKE CODE 4225 LAKE UNTELAUNEE

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE (SO KM) 559.4

	SUB-DRAINAGE						MOHAMET	NUMMALIZED FLUIDS(UMS)						
TRIHUTARY	AREA (SO KM)	74.4	F£4	444	APH	ИДУ	NUL	JUL	AUG	754	100	140.∧	Ut.C	MEAN
4225A1 4225A2 4225ZZ	559.4 404.0 155.4	12.40 8.78 3.71	17.84 11.89 5.21	27.47 15.49 7.46	25.49 16.42 7.33	14.72 10.19 4.36	5.38 4.25 1.76	3.11 2.66 1.13	4+60 3+54 1+44	3.40 2.83 1.19	3.40 2.83 1.19	10.76 7.65 3.26	15.57 11.04 4.59	11.95 8.23 3.58

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 559.4 FOTAL FLOW IN = 142.15 SUM OF SUP-DRAINAGE AREAS = 559.4 FOTAL FLOW OUT = 143.65

MEAN MUNTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS (CMS)

TRIBUTARY	HIVOM	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	UAY	FEOM	DAT	FLUW	Y 4.0	FLUW
4225A1	5	73	12.09	19	n. 24				
	b	13	14.33	y	8.24				
	7	7.5	7.59	7	10.70				
	Ħ	13	2.15	4	4.5/				
	9	7.3	4.08	ರ	0.5				
	10	73	2.75	5	1.46				
	11	73	2.94	3	5./4				
	15	7.3	24.64	1	در و م ع				
	1	74	15.→u	ō	15.37				
	3	74	به نخ ⊾ ۱	2	14.33				
		7 →	13.4?	دے	10.2m				
	4	74	∠v.lu	ti	50.06				
4225A2	5	73	Ģ <b>4</b> 1)	19	つ・つつ				
	6	73	8∙⊬6	4	5.50				
	7	73	56	7	0.77				
	- 6	73	2.51	4	16.5				
	9	د 7	3.44	۲	1.10				
	13	73	2.44	5	1.40				
	11	73	3• ₁4	•	5.13				
	15	73	50.50	i	2.40				
	1	7+	12.71	5	1.29				
	3	74	4.67	2	10.44				
		74	12.23	ځے	0.77				
	4	74	16.53	5	34.20				
422522	5	73	3.62	Į Ģ	ともつと				
	6	73	4.35	4	₫•55				
	7	73	5. 14	γ	ناجيد				
	ដ	73	0.54	4	1.53				
	9	73	1.39	럼	b.*25				
	lψ	13	4.22	ħ	4•⊅5				
	11	73	1	3	ċ•ì¢				
	12	73	7-11	1	5.91				
	l	14	4.53	5	3.44				
	2	74	Z.H3	دِ	4				
	3	74	4.11	ج.	J. 1:				
	4	74	5.25	b	14.15				

# APPENDIX D

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

422501 40 26 45.0 075 56 05.0 ONTELAUNEE DAM 42011 PENNSYLVANIA

								liepales 3		2111202 0020 FEET DEPTH				
DATE FROM TO	TIME DEPTH OF DAY FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 00 MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY F1ELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	U0410 T ALK CACU3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 N026N03 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P			
73/04/13	16 15 0000 16 15 0005 16 15 0015	9•8 9•8 9•8	10.6 11.0	10	198 190 200	8.00 7.60 7.30	40 40 39	0.060 0.060 0.060	0.400 0.300 0.400	3.000 2.400 3.000	0.016 0.018 0.017			
73/07/24	11 35 0000 11 35 0005 11 35 0015 11 35 0026	26.6 25.8 20.7 18.1	11.9 0.2 0.5	30	196 195 210 170	9.10 9.00 7.30 7.00	59 60 85 70	0.120 0.120 0.450 0.750	0.700 0.700 0.700 1.100	2.000 2.000 2.000 1.400	0.007 0.009 0.017 0.020			
73/10/03		20.6 19.7 18.6	9.6 4.2	42	244 246 253	9.20 8.50 7.40	71 72 78	0.060 0.060 0.270	1.100 0.900 0.600	1.350 1.480 1.880	0.008 0.011 0.011			

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY		EPTH EET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHERPHYL A UG/L
73/04/13	16 1	5	0000	0.034	2.8
, 5, 0 ., 10	16 1	5	0005	0.020	
	16	15	0015	U.039	
73/07/24	11	35	0000	0.033	12.9
13, 4	11	35	0005	0.046	
	11	35	0015		
	11	35	0026		<b></b>
73/10/03	UO (	00	0000	0.035	26.3
13, 10, 10	00	00	0005		
	00	00	0015	0.000	

#### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/01/27

422502 40 28 16.0 075 55 07.0 UNTELAUNEE LAKE 42011 PENNSYLVANIA

DATE TIME DEPTH FROM OF TO DAY FEET						11EP	ALES	2111202 0014 FEET DEPTH				
	OF DAY		UD010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO26NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
73/04/13			8.4		18	190	7.80	38	0.050	0.300	3.200	0.017
		5 0004	8.4	11.4		200	7.60	37	0.060	0.300	3.200	0.016
		5 0010	8.4	11.0		195	7.70	39	0.010K	0.200	3.100	0.007
73/07/24	12 0	5 0000	26.3		38	207	9.10	63	0.090	0.800	2.000	0.007
	12 09	5 0005	25.4	10.3		214	8.80	70	0.150	0.700	2.200	0.009
	12 09	5 0009	23.9	6.7		235	8.30	7 <del>9</del>	0.190	0.600	2.400	0.013
73/10/03	00 00	0 0000	20.8		38	250	9.10	90	0.060	0.800	1.620	0.008
	00 00	0005	19.7	12.4		257	8.80	77	0.050	0.600	1.690	0.011
	00 00	0 0010	18.7	9.0		260	8.40	80	0.100	0.500	2.040	0.019

DATE FROM	TIME (	DEPTH	00665 PH0S-T0T	32217 CHLRPHYL A
10	DAY	FEET	MG/L P	UG/L
73/04/13	16 45	0000	0.024	2.9
	16 45 16 45		0.034 0.015	
73/07/24			0.040	9.6
	12 05 12 05		0.046 0.048	
73/10/03	00 00		0.044 0.073	16.2
	00 00	0010	0.064	

## APPENDIX E

TRIBUTARY and WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DATA

#### STURET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/02/03

4225A1
40 26 48.0 075 56 00.0
MAIDEN CR
42089 7.5 TEMPLE
O/LAKE ONTELAUNEE
26 FT BELO BASE OF DAM
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

		00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
DATE	TIME DEPTH	1059N03	TOT KJEL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PH05-T0T
FROM	OF	N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO	
TO ·	DAY FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
73/05/19	13 40	1.880	1.700	0.065	0.005K	0.047
73/06/16	12 30	2.000	0.885	0.037	0.008	0.040
73/07/07	12 10	2.200	3.900	0.099	0.012	0.060
73/08/04	13 05	1.300	0.690	0.026	0.006	0.023
73/09/08	13 15	0.350	2.900	1.000	0.023	
73/10/06	13 45	1.460	1.050	0.025	0.012	
73/11/03	13 14	1.400	0.750	0.084	0.020	0.080
73/12/01	13 00	2.100	1.500	0.168	0.012	0.060
74/01/05	13 25	1.840	0.200	0.044	0.016	0.045
74/02/02	13 10	3.700	0.400	0.027	0.020	0.045
74/03/02	13 20	3.080	0.600	0.020	0.015	0.075
74/04/06	13 05	2.600	0.600	0.020	0.025	0.050
74/04/13	12 15	3.080	0.200	0.025	0.016	0.045

#### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/02/03

4225A2
40 30 05.0 075 53 14.0
MAIDEN CR
42 7.5 HAMBURG
1/LAKE ONTELAUNEE
HMY 662 BRDG AT MOSELEM
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM	TIME DEPTH	00630 00630N 026N03 N=TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL N	00610 NH3-N Tutal	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO	00665 PHOS-TOT
TO	DAY FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
73/05/19	14 10	2.020	0.100K	0.027	0.025	0.045
73/06/16	12 35	2.300	1.200	0.073	0.039	0.075
73/07/07	12 41	2.800	0.710	0.033	0.032	0.070
73/08/04	13 30		0.260			0.095
73/09/08	13 32	1.880	0.480	0.040	0.115	0.145
73/10/06	12 55	1.860	1.150	0.050	0.061	0.115
73/11/03	13 25	2.700	0.300	0.032	0.040	0.065
73/12/01	13 12	2.100	0.200	0.022	0.054	0.085
74/01/05	13 50	1.800	0.200	0.020	0.020	0.040
74/02/02	13 41	3.700	0.500	0.045	0.020	0.030
74/03/02	13 35	3.200	0.500	0.030	0.025	0.070
74/04/06	12 41	2.500	0.900	0.045	U.025	0.105
74/04/13	12 30	2.760	0.200	0.020	0.019	0.050

4225XA	TF4225XA	P010000
40 31 40.0 075 4		
BOROUGH OF KUTZMA	٩N	
42089 7.5 KUTZ	TOWN	
TZLAKE ONTELAUNER	Ξ	
SACOUMY CREEK/MA	IDEN CHEEK	
TIEPALES	2141204	
4	0000 FEET	DEPTH

DATE FROM FO	0F	DEPTH FEET	J0630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL MG/L	G0625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PH05-TOT MG/L P	50051 FLOW RATE INST MGD	50053 CONDUIT FLOW-MGD MONTHLY	
73/06/28 CP(T) = 73/06/28			8.500	3.700	0.070	5•200	6.000	0.700	0.778	
73/07/27 CP(T)- 73/07/27 73/08/28	14 3	0	9.500	14.700	3.780	5.100	6.100	0.730	0.827	
73/08/28 CP(T)- 73/08/28 73/09/27	15 0	0	5.700	3.700	0.630	5.900	7.100	0.620	0.690	
CP(T)- 73/09/27 73/10/26	15 0	0	4.800	13.200	3.360	6.000	6.000	0.850	0.800	
CP(T)- 73/10/26 73/11/27 CP(T)-			4.900 8.100	15.500 15.000	2.310	6.300 5.600	7.000	8.500 0.800	8.000 0.810	
73/11/27 73/12/27 CP(T)-			6.700	3.000	0.041	1.380	2.050	2.000	1.300	
73/12/27 74/01/28 CP(T)= 74/01/28	08 0	0	7.200	10.000	U.48U	3+300	7.200	1.300	1.170	
74/02/28 CP(T)- 74/02/28	U7 O	0	6.900	8.600	0.240	3.750	4.700	1.000	1.200	
74/03/28 CP(T)+ 74/03/28	14 0	0	5.700	4.700	0.050K	3.150	3.900	1.200	1.100	
74/04/26 CP(T)- 74/04/26 74/05/28	14 0	0	5.700	7.500	J.42U	4.200	5.300	0.900	1.100	
CH(1)-			14.300	8.300	0.050K	4.000	5.200	0.620	0.846	

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/02/03

4225XA TF4225XA P010000
40 31 40.0 075 47 00.0
BOROUGH UF KUTZMAN
42089 7.5 KUTZTOWN
T/LAKE ONTELAUNEE
5ACOUWY CREEK/MAIDEN CREEK
11EPALES 2141204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NU28NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	50051 FLOW RATE Inst MGD	50053 CONDUIT FLOW-MGD MONTHLY
74/06/28	12 3	0	10.050	15.000	3.000	4.400	5.400	6.900	6.600

#### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/02/03

4225ZA SF4225ZA P000007
40 34 00.0 075 46 00.0
BLUE RÖCK MOUNTAIN SPRING WATER
42 7.5 NEW TRIPULI
TYLAKE ÖNTELAUNEE
UNTELAUNEE CREEK/MAIDEN CREEK
11EPALES 2141204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

			u0630	00625	00610	00671	00665	50051	50053
DATE.	LIME	DEPTH	N024N03	TOT KJEL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHUS-TOT	FLOW	CONDUIT
FROM	OF.		N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	OHTHO		RATE	FLUW-MGD
ro	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P	INST MGD	MONTHLY
73/08/08	14 50	0	5.900		0.500	1.200	2.600	0.001	0.001
73/10/10	11 00	0	13.400	0.50 <b>0</b> K	0.010K	4.750	5.300	0.001	
74/01/23	08 00	)	1.680	5.200	0.890	0.440	0.470	0.001	0.001
74/04/11			0.920	5.000	1.700	0.820	1.000	0.001	0.001
74/06/12	12 00	0	18.420	2.500	0.182	4.825	5.250	0.001	
74/07/12	14 00	0	13.400	6.000	0.320	3.600	4.000	0.001	0.001
74/09/13	13 19	5	5.900	J.710	0.075	4.000	4.550	0.001	0.001
74/09/20	14 00	0	15.000	1.950	1.900	4.300	4.600	0.001	0.001
74/10/17	15 00	0	8,975	1.000K	0.490	3.900	3∙900	0.001	
74/11/14	UB 00	0	5.800	1.000K	0.110		1.350	0.001	0.001
74/11/21	09 0	0						0.001	0.001