

**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY
WORKING PAPER SERIES**



REPORT
ON
LAKE DIVERSION
ARCHER AND BAYLOR COUNTIES
TEXAS
EPA REGION VI
WORKING PAPER No. 642

**CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA**

REPORT
ON
LAKE DIVERSION
ARCHER AND BAYLOR COUNTIES
TEXAS
EPA REGION VI
WORKING PAPER No. 642

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
TEXAS WATER QUALITY BOARD
AND THE
TEXAS NATIONAL GUARD
FEBRUARY, 1977

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Foreward	ii
List of Texas Study Reservoirs	iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	vi

Sections

I. Conclusions	1
II. Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	3
III. Lake Water Quality Summary	4
IV. Nutrient Loadings	8
V. Literature Reviewed	12
VI. Appendices	13

FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nationwide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Texas Water Quality Board for professional involvement, to the Texas National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey, and to those Texas wastewater treatment plant operators who voluntarily provided effluent samples.

Hugh C. Yantis, Jr., Executive Director of the Texas Water Quality Board, and John B. Latchford, Jr., Director, and the staff of the Field Operations Division provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General Thomas Bishop, the Adjutant General of Texas, and Project Officer Colonel William L. Seals, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Texas National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.


NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

STUDY RESERVOIRS

State of Texas

<u>NAME</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>
Amistad	Val Verde
Bastrop	Bastrop
Belton	Bell, Coryell
Braunig	Bexar
Brownwood	Brown
Buchanan	Burnet, Llano
Caddo	Harrison, Marion, TX; Caddo Parish, LA
Calaveras	Bexar
Canyon	Comal
Colorado City	Mitchell
Corpus Christi	Jim Wells, Live Oak, San Patricio
Diversion	Archer, Baylor
Eagle Mountain	Tarrant, Wise
Fort Phantom Hill	Jones
Houston	Harris
Kemp	Baylor
Lake O'The Pines	Camp, Marion, Morris, Upshur
Lavon	Collin
Lewisville (Garza-Little Elm)	Denton
Livingston	Polk, San Jacinto, Trinity, Walker

Lyndon B. Johnson	Burnet, Llano
Medina	Bandera, Medina
Meredith	Hutchinson, Moore, Potter
O. C. Fisher (San Angelo)	Tom Green
Palestine	Anderson, Cherokee, Henderson, Smith
Possum Kingdom	Palo Pinto, Stephens, Young
Sam Rayburn	Angelina, Jasper Nacogdoches, Sabine, San Augustine
Somerville	Burleson, Lee, Washington
E. V. Spence	Coke
Stamford	Haskell
Stillhouse Hollow	Bell
Tawakoni	Hunt, Rains, Van Zandt
Texoma	Cooke, Grayson TX; Bryan, Johnston, Love, Marshall, OK
Travis	Burnet, Travis
Trinidad	Henderson
Twin Buttes	Tom Green
White River	Crosby
Whitney	Bosque, Hill
Wright Patman (Texarkana)	Bowie, Cass



LAKE DIVERSION

STORET NO. 4812

I. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Lake Diversion is eutrophic; i.e., well supplied with nutrients and quite productive. Whether nutrient enrichment is beneficial or deleterious depends on the actual or potential effect on the uses of the lake. In this regard, no nuisance conditions are known to personnel of the Texas Water Quality Board and there is little or no impairment of the designated beneficial uses of this lake.

Lake Diversion ranked thirteenth in overall trophic quality when the 39 Texas reservoirs sampled in 1974 were compared using a combination of six water quality parameters*. Twelve of the reservoirs had less median total phosphorus, 11 had less and six had the same median dissolved orthophosphorus, six had less and one had the same median inorganic nitrogen, 27 had less mean chlorophyll a, and 26 had greater mean Secchi disc transparency.

Survey limnologists observed submerged and emergent macrophytes in the shallows near stations 1 and 2 in August, and blue-green algae were dominant in the August sample (page 6).

* See Appendix A.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results indicate that Lake Diversion was phosphorus limited at the time the sample was taken (05/13/74). The lake data indicate phosphorus limitation in May and August but nitrogen limitation in October.

C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--During the sampling year, septic tanks serving lakeshore residences were the only point sources impacting Lake Diversion. Total phosphorus loads from these sources were estimated to have amounted to 0.9% of the total to the lake; however, a shoreline survey would have to be done to determine the actual phosphorus contributions.

The present loading of 0.33 g/m²/year at normal pool level is more than that proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974) as an oligotrophic loading but less than his proposed eutrophic loading; i.e., a mesotrophic loading (see page 11). However, the actual areal loading during the sampling year was greater than that because of low water levels and reduced area. The lake could not be sampled in March because of low water (the Survey limnologists estimated the lake volume was only 25% of normal capacity); and in October, the water level again was low because of drawdown to permit work on the dam (Gamble, 1974).

2. Non-point sources--Non-point sources contributed 99.1% of the total phosphorus load during the sampling year. The Wichita River contributed 81.8%, and Spring Creek contributed 2.6%. The ungaged minor tributaries and immediate drainage contributed an estimated 9.5%.

II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS[†]

A. Lake Morphometry^{††}:

1. Surface area: 13.84 kilometers².
2. Mean depth: 3.7 meters.
3. Maximum depth: 10.7 meters.
4. Volume: $51.208 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$.
5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 156 days (based on outflow).

B. Tributary and Outlet:

(See Appendix C for flow data)

1. Tributaries -

<u>Name</u>	<u>Drainage area (km²)*</u>	<u>Mean flow (m³/sec)*</u>
Wichita River	5,402.7	3.710
Spring Creek	35.5	0.118
Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	<u>258.9</u>	<u>0.430</u>
Totals	5,697.1	4.258

2. Outlet -

South Side Canal	-	2.360
Wichita River	<u>5,710.9**</u>	<u>1.432</u>
Total	5,710.9	3.792

C. Precipitation***:

1. Year of sampling: 70.6 centimeters.
2. Mean annual: 69.1 centimeters.

[†] Table of metric conversions--Appendix B.

^{††} Gamble, 1974.

* For limits of accuracy, see Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods, 1973-1976".

** Includes area of lake.

*** See Working Paper No. 175.

III. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Lake Diversion was sampled three times in 1974 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from two or more depths at three stations on the lake (see map, page vi). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m or near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll a analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 2.7 meters at station 1, 6.7 meters at station 2, and 8.2 meters at station 3.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix D and are summarized in the following table.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR DIVERSION LAKE
STORET CODE 4812

PARAMETER	1ST SAMPLING (5/13/74)				2ND SAMPLING (8/ 8/74)				3RD SAMPLING (10/28/74)			
	3 SITES				3 SITES				3 SITES			
	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN
TEMP (C)	22.1 - 23.7	23.3	23.4	25.3 - 26.2	25.9	26.0	15.7 - 17.3	16.8	17.2			
DISS OXY (MG/L)	6.6 - 7.6	7.1	7.2	6.0 - 7.8	7.0	7.2	7.2 - 8.4	7.9	8.1			
CNDCTVY (MCROMO)	4167. - 4550.	4455.	4528.	5266. - 5533.	5462.	5476.	1313. - 4082.	3324.	4067.			
PH (STAND UNITS)	8.0 - 8.2	8.1	8.1	8.2 - 8.9	8.5	8.5	***** - *****	*****	*****			
TOT ALK (MG/L)	90. - 93.	91.	91.	70. - 73.	71.	72.	77. - 83.	79.	78.			
TOT P (MG/L)	0.020 - 0.056	0.030	0.025	0.018 - 0.047	0.024	0.020	0.025 - 0.277	0.069	0.028			
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.006 - 0.017	0.010	0.009	0.002 - 0.007	0.003	0.002	0.021 - 0.039	0.027	0.026			
NO2+N03 (MG/L)	0.080 - 0.150	0.122	0.130	0.020 - 0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020 - 0.200	0.053	0.020			
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.040 - 0.100	0.077	0.080	0.030 - 0.060	0.044	0.040	0.020 - 0.080	0.038	0.030			
KJEL N (MG/L)	0.400 - 1.200	0.520	0.400	0.400 - 0.700	0.478	0.400	0.500 - 1.100	0.683	0.600			
INORG N (MG/L)	0.120 - 0.250	0.199	0.210	0.050 - 0.080	0.064	0.060	0.040 - 0.280	0.092	0.050			
TOTAL N (MG/L)	0.480 - 1.350	0.642	0.530	0.420 - 0.720	0.507	0.470	0.520 - 1.300	0.737	0.630			
CHLRPYL A (UG/L)	2.6 - 4.1	3.1	2.6	19.8 - 23.5	22.0	22.8	13.4 - 40.4	22.5	13.6			
SECCHI (METERS)	0.3 - 1.0	0.7	0.8	1.0 - 1.2	1.1	1.0	0.0 - 0.9	0.5	0.6			

B. Biological Characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Dominant Genera</u>	<u>Algal Units per ml</u>
05/13/74	1. <u>Scenedesmus sp.</u> 2. <u>Merismopedia sp.</u> 3. <u>Oscillatoria sp.</u> 4. <u>Oocystis sp.</u> 5. <u>Chroomonas sp.</u> Other genera	1,546 579 483 386 290 <u>1,450</u>
	Total	4,734
08/08/74	1. <u>Aphanizomenon sp.</u> 2. <u>Oscillatoria sp.</u> 3. <u>Lyngbya sp.</u> 4. <u>Merismopedia sp.</u> 5. <u>Chroomonas sp.</u> Other genera	4,607 3,694 1,470 1,191 357 <u>1,470</u>
	Total	12,789
10/28/74	1. <u>Nitzschia sp.</u> 2. <u>Oscillatoria sp.</u> 3. <u>Oocystis sp.</u> 4. <u>Diploneis sp.</u> 5. <u>Chroomonas sp.</u> Other genera	1,444 903 650 289 72 <u>218</u>
	Total	3,576

2. Chlorophyll a -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Station Number</u>	<u>Chlorophyll a (μg/l)</u>
05/13/74	1	4.1
	2	2.6
	3	2.6
08/08/74	1	19.8
	2	22.8
	3	23.5
10/28/74	1	13.4
	2	13.6
	3	40.4

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

<u>Spike (mg/l)</u>	<u>Ortho P Conc. (mg/l)</u>	<u>Inorganic N Conc. (mg/l)</u>	<u>Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)</u>
Control	0.010	0.140	0.1
0.050 P	0.060	0.140	2.6
0.050 P + 1.0 N	0.060	1.140	14.7
1.0 N	0.010	1.140	0.1

2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, Selenastrum capricornutum, indicates that the potential primary productivity of Lake Diversion was low at the time the sample was collected (05/13/74). Also, the significant increase in yield with the addition of phosphorus alone indicates the lake was phosphorus limited at that time. Note that the addition of nitrogen alone resulted in a yield no greater than that of the control.

The lake data indicate phosphorus limitation in May and August as well; i.e., the mean inorganic nitrogen to orthophosphorus ratios were 16 to 1 or greater at all sampling stations. However, nitrogen limitation is indicated in October (the mean inorganic nitrogen to orthophosphorus ratios were 7 to 1 or less at all stations, and nitrogen limitation would be expected).

IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS
(See Appendix E for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Texas National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page vi). Sampling was begun in September, 1974, and was completed in August, 1975.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Texas District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were calculated using mean annual concentrations and mean annual flows. Nutrient loads for Spring Creek and the unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated using the mean concentrations in the Wichita River at station A-2 and the mean Spring Creek and ZZ flows.

No known point sources impacted Lake Diversion during the sampling year.

A. Waste Sources:

1. Known municipal - None
2. Known industrial - None

B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

<u>Source</u>	<u>kg P/ yr</u>	<u>% of total</u>
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Wichita River	3,745	81.8
Spring Creek	120	2.6
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	435	9.5
c. Known municipal STP's - None	-	-
d. Septic tanks* -	40	0.9
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation** -	<u>240</u>	<u>5.2</u>
Total	4,580	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - South Side Canal	2,085
Wichita River	<u>1,490</u>
Total	3,575

3. Net annual P accumulation - 1,005 kg.

* Estimate based on 138 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.
 ** See Working Paper No. 175.

C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

<u>Source</u>	<u>kg N/ yr</u>	<u>% of total</u>
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Wichita River	88,920	75.1
Spring Creek	2,830	2.4
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -		
	10,305	8.7
c. Known municipal STP's - None	-	-
d. Septic tanks* -	1,470	1.2
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation** -	<u>14,940</u>	<u>12.6</u>
Total	118,465	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - South Side Canal	49,270
Wichita River	<u>41,820</u>
Total	91,090

3. Net annual N accumulation - 27,375 kg.

D. Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

<u>Tributary</u>	<u>kg P/km²/yr</u>	<u>kg N/km²/yr</u>
Wichita River	<1	16

* Estimate based on 138 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.

** See Working Paper No. 175.

E. Yearly Loads:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loadings are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974). Essentially, his "dangerous" loading is one at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" loading is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic loading would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Note that Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times.

	Total Phosphorus		Total Nitrogen	
	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
grams/m ² /yr	0.33	0.07	8.6	2.0

Vollenweider phosphorus loadings
(g/m²/yr) based on mean depth and mean
hydraulic retention time of Lake Diversion at normal pool level:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic loading)	0.56
"Permissible" (oligotrophic loading)	0.28

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

Gamble, Robert B., 1974. Fishery management recommendations. Fed. Aid Proj. F-4-R-21, TX Parks & Wildlife Dept., Austin.

Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research. Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
4801	AMISTAD LAKE	0.013	0.500	371.474	2.042	14.900	0.009
4802	BASTROP LAKE	0.022	0.090	419.917	12.392	15.000	0.007
4803	BELTON RESERVOIR	0.016	0.185	378.312	8.025	15.000	0.007
4804	BRAUNIG LAKE	0.134	0.150	461.625	22.762	14.800	0.062
4805	BROWNWOOD LAKE	0.027	0.100	470.375	4.887	14.400	0.007
4806	LAKE BUCHANAN	0.036	0.250	437.625	8.606	15.000	0.012
4807	CADDY LAKE	0.055	0.070	463.333	14.808	11.400	0.013
4808	CALAVERAS LAKE	0.038	0.060	461.667	22.500	13.000	0.007
4809	CANYON RESERVOIR	0.010	0.450	384.812	2.500	14.800	0.006
4810	LAKE COLORADO CITY	0.042	0.090	473.625	12.675	10.200	0.012
4811	CORPUS CRISTI LAKE	0.113	0.130	475.187	19.756	14.000	0.050
4812	DIVERSION LAKE	0.025	0.080	470.111	15.867	9.000	0.009
4813	EAGLE MOUNTAIN LAKE	0.024	0.070	469.625	5.662	11.000	0.008
4814	FT PHANTOM HILL LAKE	0.060	0.105	474.909	6.317	9.800	0.022
4815	GARZA LITTLE ELM RESERVO	0.045	0.380	475.782	14.156	14.600	0.018
4816	KEMP LAKE	0.023	0.110	455.000	10.217	10.400	0.007
4817	HOUSTON LAKE	0.097	0.260	486.187	16.650	12.400	0.036
4818	LAKE OF THE PINES	0.031	0.090	440.000	12.919	15.000	0.011
4819	LAVON RESERVOIR	0.063	0.180	485.333	5.400	8.800	0.018
4820	LIVINGSTON LAKE	0.196	0.555	465.469	16.112	15.000	0.128
4821	LYNDON B JOHNSON LAKE	0.042	0.420	456.500	8.100	14.900	0.013
4822	MEDINA LAKE	0.010	0.600	403.562	12.944	15.000	0.004
4823	LAKE MEREDITH	0.021	0.070	439.312	3.037	14.900	0.009
4824	PALESTINE LAKE	0.031	0.180	442.625	10.619	14.800	0.010
4825	POSSUM KINGDOM RESERVOIR	0.023	0.070	419.045	9.495	15.000	0.009
4826	SAN ANGELO RESERVOIR	0.098	0.140	481.000	24.675	10.200	0.011
4827	SAM RAYBURN RESERVOIR	0.029	0.150	439.458	6.267	15.000	0.009
4828	E V SPENCE RESERVOIR	0.036	0.080	462.583	11.775	15.000	0.008

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
4829	SOMERVILLE LAKE	0.053	0.115	473.833	24.491	13.000	0.013
4830	STAMFORD LAKE	0.073	0.060	482.714	18.457	10.600	0.012
4831	STILLHOUSE HOLLOW RESERV	0.018	0.160	406.250	3.917	15.000	0.010
4832	TAWAKONI LAKE	0.046	0.100	466.417	18.246	13.200	0.013
4833	TEXARKANA LAKE	0.106	0.120	478.500	19.119	12.400	0.030
4834	TEXOMA LAKE	0.042	0.160	451.321	12.493	15.000	0.018
4835	TRAVIS LAKE	0.018	0.250	389.913	5.595	15.000	0.007
4836	TRINIDAD	0.389	0.110	479.500	24.300	10.000	0.240
4837	TWIN BUTTES RESERVOIR	0.029	0.250	454.917	8.708	14.800	0.009
4838	WHITE RIVER RESERVOIR	0.020	0.110	434.500	4.333	15.000	0.009
4839	WHITNEY LAKE	0.028	0.120	430.500	6.912	15.000	0.008

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS OXTHO P	INDEX NO
4801	AMISTAD LAKE	95 (36)	5 (2)	100 (38)	100 (38)	39 (14)	63 (21)	402
4802	BASTROP LAKE	79 (30)	76 (28)	82 (31)	47 (18)	17 (0)	92 (34)	393
4803	BELTON RESERVOIR	92 (35)	26 (10)	97 (37)	68 (26)	17 (0)	84 (31)	384
4804	BRAUNIG LAKE	5 (2)	42 (16)	50 (19)	8 (3)	49 (17)	5 (2)	159
4805	BROWNWOOD LAKE	66 (25)	70 (26)	29 (11)	87 (33)	58 (22)	84 (31)	394
4806	LAKE BUCHANAN	47 (18)	21 (7)	74 (28)	63 (24)	17 (0)	39 (14)	261
4807	CADDY LAKE	26 (10)	91 (33)	42 (16)	32 (12)	76 (29)	30 (10)	297
4808	CALAVERAS LAKE	45 (17)	100 (38)	47 (18)	11 (4)	67 (25)	92 (34)	362
4809	CANYON RESERVOIR	99 (37)	8 (3)	95 (36)	97 (37)	49 (17)	97 (37)	445
4810	LAKE COLORADO CITY	39 (14)	76 (28)	26 (10)	42 (16)	88 (33)	39 (14)	310
4811	CORPUS CRISTI LAKE	8 (3)	47 (18)	18 (7)	13 (5)	61 (23)	8 (3)	155
4812	DIVERSION LAKE	68 (26)	83 (31)	32 (12)	29 (11)	97 (37)	63 (21)	372
4813	EAGLE MOUNTAIN LAKE	71 (27)	91 (33)	34 (13)	79 (30)	79 (30)	76 (28)	430
4814	FT PHANTOM HILL LAKE	24 (9)	66 (25)	21 (8)	74 (28)	95 (36)	16 (6)	296
4815	GARZA LITTLE ELM RESERVO	34 (13)	13 (5)	16 (6)	34 (13)	55 (21)	21 (7)	173
4816	KEMP LAKE	76 (29)	61 (22)	55 (21)	55 (21)	84 (32)	92 (34)	423
4817	HOUSTON LAKE	16 (6)	16 (6)	0 (0)	24 (9)	72 (27)	11 (4)	139
4818	LAKE OF THE PINES	54 (20)	76 (28)	66 (25)	39 (15)	17 (0)	46 (17)	298
4819	LAVON RESERVOIR	21 (8)	29 (11)	3 (1)	84 (32)	100 (38)	21 (7)	258
4820	LIVINGSTON LAKE	3 (1)	3 (1)	39 (15)	26 (10)	17 (0)	3 (1)	91
4821	LYNDON B JOHNSON LAKE	39 (14)	11 (4)	53 (20)	66 (25)	39 (14)	30 (10)	238
4822	MEDINA LAKE	99 (37)	0 (0)	89 (34)	37 (14)	17 (0)	100 (38)	342
4823	LAKE MEREDITH	82 (31)	91 (33)	71 (27)	95 (36)	39 (14)	63 (21)	441
4824	PALESTINE LAKE	54 (20)	32 (12)	63 (24)	53 (20)	49 (17)	51 (19)	302
4825	POSSUM KINGDOM RESERVOIR	74 (28)	91 (33)	84 (32)	58 (22)	17 (0)	63 (21)	387
4826	SAN ANGELO RESERVOIR	13 (5)	45 (17)	8 (3)	0 (0)	88 (33)	46 (17)	200
4827	SAM RAYBURN RESERVOIR	59 (22)	39 (15)	68 (26)	76 (29)	17 (0)	63 (21)	322
4828	E V SPENCE RESERVOIR	50 (19)	83 (31)	45 (17)	50 (19)	17 (0)	76 (28)	321

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS URTHO P	INDEX NO
4829	SOMERVILLE LAKE	29 (11)	55 (21)	24 (9)	3 (1)	67 (25)	30 (10)	208
4830	STAMFORD LAKE	18 (7)	97 (37)	5 (2)	18 (7)	82 (31)	39 (14)	259
4831	STILLHOUSE HOLLOW RESERV	88 (33)	37 (14)	87 (33)	92 (35)	17 (0)	51 (19)	372
4832	TAWAKONI LAKE	32 (12)	70 (26)	37 (14)	21 (8)	63 (24)	30 (10)	253
4833	TEXARKANA LAKE	11 (4)	51 (19)	13 (5)	16 (6)	72 (27)	13 (5)	176
4834	TEXOMA LAKE	39 (14)	34 (13)	61 (23)	45 (17)	17 (0)	21 (7)	217
4835	TRAVIS LAKE	88 (33)	21 (7)	92 (35)	82 (31)	17 (0)	84 (31)	384
4836	TRINIDAD	0 (0)	61 (22)	11 (4)	5 (2)	92 (35)	0 (0)	169
4837	TWIN BUTTES RESERVOIR	59 (22)	21 (7)	58 (22)	61 (23)	49 (17)	63 (21)	311
4838	WHITE RIVER RESERVOIR	84 (32)	61 (22)	76 (29)	89 (34)	17 (0)	63 (21)	390
4839	WHITNEY LAKE	63 (24)	51 (19)	79 (30)	71 (27)	17 (0)	76 (28)	357

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	4809	CANYON RESERVOIR	445
2	4823	LAKE MEREDITH	441
3	4813	EAGLE MOUNTAIN LAKE	430
4	4816	KEMP LAKE	423
5	4801	AMISTAD LAKE	402
6	4805	BROWNWOOD LAKE	394
7	4802	BASTRUP LAKE	393
8	4838	WHITE RIVER RESERVOIR	390
9	4825	POSSUM KINGDOM RESERVOIR	387
10	4835	TRAVIS LAKE	384
11	4803	BELTON RESERVOIR	384
12	4831	STILLHOUSE HOLLOW RESERV	372
13	4812	DIVERSION LAKE	372
14	4808	CALAVERAS LAKE	362
15	4839	WHITNEY LAKE	357
16	4822	MEDINA LAKE	342
17	4827	SAM RAYBURN RESERVOIR	322
18	4828	E V SPENCE RESERVOIR	321
19	4837	TWIN BUTTES RESERVOIR	311
20	4810	LAKE COLORADO CITY	310
21	4824	PALESTINE LAKE	302
22	4818	LAKE OF THE PINES	298
23	4807	CADDY LAKE	297
24	4814	FT PHANTOM HILL LAKE	296
25	4806	LAKE BUCHANAN	261
26	4830	STAMFORD LAKE	259
27	4819	LAVON RESERVOIR	258
28	4832	TAWAKONI LAKE	253

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
------	-----------	-----------	----------

29	4821	LYNDON B JOHNSON LAKE	238
30	4834	TEXOMA LAKE	217
31	4829	SOMERVILLE LAKE	208
32	4826	SAN ANGELO RESERVOIR	200
33	4833	TEXARKANA LAKE	176
34	4815	GARZA LITTLE ELM RESERVO	173
35	4836	TRINIDAD	169
36	4804	BRAUNIG LAKE	159
37	4811	CORPUS CRISTI LAKE	155
38	4817	HOUSTON LAKE	139
39	4820	LIVINGSTON LAKE	91

APPENDIX B

CONVERSION FACTORS

CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters x 8.107×10^{-4} = acre/feet

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters x 0.3937 = inches

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR TEXAS

06/10/76

LAKE CODE 4812 DIVERSION

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE(SQ KM) 5710.9

TRIBUTARY	SUB-DRAINAGE AREA(SQ KM)	NORMALIZED FLOWS(CMS)												MEAN
		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	
4812A1	5710.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.303	0.425	0.736	0.368	0.0	9.684	4.502	0.0	1.432
4812A2	5402.7	4.13	1.22	2.04	6.03	2.27	3.28	7.59	4.64	2.15	3.14	7.39	0.51	3.71
4812B1	0.0	0.62	0.71	0.76	2.21	2.27	4.13	7.28	5.10	2.80	1.44	0.42	0.40	2.36
4812D1	35.5	0.040	0.034	0.048	0.144	0.340	0.207	0.164	0.130	0.108	0.093	0.040	0.062	0.118
4812ZZ	271.9	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.37	1.42	0.93	0.82	0.17	0.31	0.28	0.14	0.23	0.43

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 5710.9 TOTAL FLOW IN = 79.08
 SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS = 5710.2 TOTAL FLOW OUT = 17.02

NOTE *** NO DRAINAGE AREA FOR 4812B1

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
4812A1	9	74	0.0	8	0.0				
	10	74	0.0	12	0.0				
	11	74	0.0	2	0.0				
	12	74	0.0	12	0.0				
	1	75	0.0	5	0.0				
	2	75	0.0	2	0.0				
	3	75	0.0	2	0.0				
	4	75	0.0	6	0.0	20	0.0		
	5	75	0.566	3	0.0	24	2.265		
	6	75	0.566	7	0.0				
	7	75	0.0	13	0.0				
	8	75	0.0	17	0.0				
	9	74	0.048	7	0.020				
	10	74	0.023	31	0.068				
4812A2	11	74	0.255	16	1.841				
	12	74	0.014	12	0.0				
	1	75	12.374	5	0.0				
	2	75	0.014	2	0.0				
	3	75	1.756	2	0.0				
	4	75	2.180	13	7.929	26	0.0		
	5	75	1.529	3	0.0	20	0.963		
	6	75	0.025						
	7	75	6.513	12	6.570				
	8	75	4.248	22	3.596				

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR TEXAS

06/10/76

LAKE CODE 4812 DIVERSION

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
4812B1	9	74	3.879	8	4.474				
	10	74	0.765	12	0.878				
	11	74	0.076	2	0.0				
	12	74	0.040	12	0.0				
	1	75	0.708	5	0.0				
	2	75	1.472	2	1.869				
	3	75	0.283	2	0.0				
	4	75	1.416	6	0.0	20		0.708	
	5	75	0.595	3	2.039	24		0.0	
	6	75	1.331	7	0.0				
	7	75	5.380	13	5.607				
	8	75	4.248	22	4.191				
4812D1	9	74	0.0	8	0.0				
	10	74	0.0	12	0.0				
	11	74	0.0	3	0.0				
	12	74	0.006	15	0.0				
	1	75	0.003	5	0.0				
	2	75	0.057	5	0.0				
	3	75	0.0	2	0.0				
	4	75	0.0	6	0.0	20		0.0	
	5	75	0.0	3	0.0	24		0.0	
	6	75	0.003	7	0.0				
	7	75	0.0	13	0.0				
	8	75	0.0	17	0.0				
4812ZZ	9	74	0.0						
	10	74	0.0						
	11	74	0.0						
	12	74	0.0						
	1	75	0.0						
	2	75	0.0						
	3	75	0.0						
	4	75	0.0						
	5	75	1.161						
	6	75	0.538						
	7	75	0.0						
	8	75	0.0						

APPENDIX D

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/02/11

481201
33 46 35.0 098 59 49.0
DIVERSION LAKE
48023 TEXAS

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CONDUTVY FIELD MICROMHU	00400 PH SU	00410 ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	11EPALES 3	2111202 0009 FEET DEPTH
74/05/13	10 00	0000	23.7			12	4169	8.00	90	0.100	1.200	0.150	0.017	
	10 00	0005	23.7	6.6			4167	8.00	90	0.070	0.500	0.120	0.011	
74/08/08	10 40	0000	26.2	7.8		39	5447	8.50	71	0.060	0.600	0.020	0.002	
	10 40	0009	25.3	7.2			5266	8.90	70	0.040	0.400	0.020	0.002K	
74/10/28	11 30	0000	15.7	7.2		1	1313		83	0.080	1.100	0.200	0.039	
	11 30	0004	16.1				1600							
			00665	32217	00031									
DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	PHOS-TOT MG/L P	CHLRPHYL UG/L	INCOT LT A REMNING PERCENT									
74/05/13	10 00	0000	0.056		4.1									
	10 00	0005	0.045											
74/08/08	10 40	0000	0.024		19.8									
	10 40	0009	0.020											
74/10/28	11 30	0000	0.277		13.4									

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/02/11

481202
33 48 10.0 098 56 51.0
DIVERSION LAKE
48023 TEXAS

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	WATER TEMP CENT	11EPALES 3				2111202 0027 FEET DEPTH				00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	
				00010 DO	00300 MG/L	00077 SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 TALK CACO3	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	
74/05/13	10 20	0000	23.4			32	4532	8.15	90	0.090	0.600	0.130	0.010
	10 20	0005	23.3	7.4			4528	8.15	91	0.080	0.400	0.130	0.011
	10 20	0015	23.3	7.2			4528	8.15	92	0.080	0.400	0.130	0.009
	10 20	0022	23.2	7.0			4524	8.15	93	0.070	0.400	0.120	0.010
74/08/09	12 45	0000	26.2	7.6		39	5533	8.50	71	0.040	0.500	0.020K	0.002K
	12 45	0010	26.0	7.4			5476	8.50			0.400		
	12 45	0016	25.5	6.0			5458	8.35	72	0.050	0.400	0.020	0.002
74/10/28	11 15	0000	17.3	8.2		24	4062		77	0.030	0.800	0.020K	0.024
	11 15	0005	17.3	8.4			4067		77	0.030	0.500	0.020K	0.028
				00665 PHOS-TOT	32217 CHLRPHYL A		00031 INCDT LT REMNING PERCENT						
74/05/13	10 20	0000	0.025		2.6								
	10 20	0005	0.025										
	10 20	0015	0.026										
	10 20	0022	0.030										
74/08/09	12 45	0000	0.020		22.8								
	12 45	0010	0.019										
	12 45	0016	0.023										
74/10/28	11 15	0000	0.031		13.6								
	11 15	0005	0.032										

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/02/11

481203
 33 48 51.0 098 56 07.0
 DIVERSION LAKE
 48009 TEXAS

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	WATER TEMP CENT	11EPALES 3				2111202 0030 FEET DEPTH				PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
				00010 DO	00300 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 ALK CACO3	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	
74/05/13	10 45	0000	23.5		38	4550	8.20	92	0.080	0.500	0.130	0.009
	10 45	0005	23.5	7.6		4545	8.20	91	0.070	0.400	0.100	0.006
	10 45	0015	23.4	7.4		4542	8.20	90	0.040	0.400	0.080	0.007
	10 45	0025	22.1	6.8		4465	8.15	91	0.090	0.400	0.130	0.009
74/08/08	13 10	0000	26.2	7.0	48	5522	8.50	72	0.050	0.700	0.020	0.007
	13 10	0007	26.0	7.2		5506	8.50	73	0.040	0.500	0.020K	0.002
	13 10	0020	25.9	7.0		5497	8.30	70	0.040	0.400	0.020K	0.002K
	13 10	0027	25.5	6.2		5452	8.20	72	0.030	0.400	0.020K	0.002K
74/10/28	10 45	0000	17.2	7.6	36	4077		83	0.040	0.700	0.040	0.027
	10 45	0005	17.2	8.0		4082		79	0.030	0.500	0.020K	0.021
	10 45	0016	17.2	8.2		4067		77	0.020	0.500	0.020K	0.025

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	PHOS-TOT MG/L P	00665 CHLRPHYL A	32217 INCOT LT UG/L	00031 REMNING PERCENT
74/05/13	10 45	0000	0.021	2.6		
	10 45	0005	0.020			
	10 45	0015	0.023			
	10 45	0025	0.030			
74/08/08	13 10	0000	0.047	23.5		
	13 10	0007	0.018			
	13 10	0020	0.020			
	13 10	0027	0.022			
74/10/28	10 45	0000	0.026	40.4		
	10 45	0005	0.025			
	10 45	0016	0.026			

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
 LESS THAN INDICATED

APPENDIX E

TRIBUTARY DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/03/10

4812A1
33 48 50.0 098 55 55.0 4
WICHITA RIVER
48297 7.5 LK DIVERSION
0/LAKE DIVERSION
BANK SAMP JUST BELO POOL BASE N SPILLWAY
11EPALES 2111204
0000 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE	TIME	DEPTH	NO2&NO3	00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
FROM	OF		N-TOTAL	TOT KJEL	N	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHOS-TOT
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
74/10/12	10	05		0.040	1.100	0.030	0.005	0.030
74/11/02	12	00		0.008	1.200	0.050	0.010	0.050
75/05/24	10	15		0.030	0.400	0.005	0.005	0.020

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/03/10

4812A2
33 45 37.0 099 08 30.0 4
WICHITA RIVER
48 7.5 NE LK KEMP
T/LAKE DIVEPSION
HWY 183/283 BRDG BELO LAKE KEMP DAM
11EPALES 2111204
0030 FEET DEPTH CLASS U0

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
74/09/07	14 30		0.044	0.700	0.040	0.005	0.030
74/10/31	09 00		0.448	1.200	0.020	0.020	
74/11/16	09 50		0.048	0.600	0.075	0.010	0.030
75/04/13	08 30		0.080	0.600	0.045	0.005	0.020
75/05/20	16 30		0.020	0.600	0.025	0.005K	0.040
75/07/12	14 00		0.025	0.550	0.020	0.005K	0.030
75/08/22	09 05		0.005	0.400	0.025	0.010	0.040

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/03/10

481281
33 48 55.0 098 55 40.0 4
SOUTH SITE CANAL
48 7.5 LK DIVERSION
0/LAKE DIVERSION
2NDRY RD BRDG 0.3 MI FROM S SPILLWAY
11EPALES 2111204
0000 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF	DEPTH FEET	00630 N02&N03	00625 TOT KJEL	00610 NH3-N	00671 PHOS-DIS	00665 PHOS-TOT
			MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
74/09/08	09 30		0.008	0.800	0.040	0.010	0.025
74/10/12	09 50		0.032	0.900	0.030	0.005	0.020
75/02/02	10 00		0.096	0.400	0.016	0.008K	0.010K
75/04/20	09 50		0.010	0.550	0.040	0.005K	0.030
75/05/03	10 25		0.020	0.700	0.140	0.010	0.030
75/07/13	09 10		0.010	0.650	0.025	0.010	0.040
75/08/22	11 45		0.005	0.450	0.015	0.010	0.040

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED