


Uranium-Radium in Water Performance Evaluation Study

A Statistical Evaluation of the September 27,1996 Data



Uranium-Radium in Water
Performance Evaluation Study
September 27, 1996



Environmental Protection Agency
National Exposure Research Laboratory
Characterization Research Division
Las Vegas, Nevada

\$

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT NATIONAL EXPOSURE RESEARCH LABORATORY CHARACTERIZATION RESEARCH DIVISION-LAS VEGAS P.O. BOX 93478 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89193-3478 (702/798-2100)

Dear Participant,

Enclosed are the results of the Analytical Sciences Branch (CRD-LV)
Performance Evaluation Study for *Uranium-Radium in Water; September 27, 1996.*

The known value for each analysis was determined by gravimetric methods, checked by chemical analyses performed by CRD-LV's Radiochemistry Laboratory, and compared to the participating laboratories' grand average.

The expected precision, determined by the known value, was taken from "Table 3. Laboratory Precision: One Standard Deviation Values and Control Limits for Various Analyses", which is based on data accumulated over the years by the Performance Evaluation Program, and can be found in the Environmental Radioactivity Performance Evaluation Studies Program and Radioactive Standards Distribution Program information brochure.

Please take a few minutes to review this report and the analytical data your laboratory submitted to us. If there are any apparent discrepancies, please notify us immediately.

We encourage you to make use of the computer-automated data-entry system that has been in place for some time now. As the number of participants increases, and it becomes unrealistic for us to receive results by mail or FAX, the computer system will be our only avenue for accepting data.

If you have any questions or comments, please send a message via the data-entry system or contact Stephen Pla at 702/798-2102 or Patricia Honsa at 702/798-2141.

Sincerely,

Stephen Pia

Team Leader

RADQA Program

Enclosure

NOTICE

This material has been funded wholly by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. It has been subjected to the Agency's review, and has been approved for publication as an EPA document. The following pages consist of separate sections for each of the nuclides in this study with four parts per section. After the first, each part is separated from the next by a new page or a thick horizontal bar. The first page of each section is a statistical summary for the nuclide and starts with a statement of the known value, the control limits, and the warning limits.

The warning limits are placed at two normalized standard deviations above and below the known value and the control limits are three normalized standard deviations above and below the known value. If you keep control charts, these values will be useful for anticipating problems with the accuracy of your analytical methods.

The coin shaped pie chart at the top of the summary page shows the fate of all the samples sent out in number and percentage terms. The pie chart starts at the top and rotates clockwise. The first sector represents those participants who submitted analytical results within both the warning and control limits. The next sector represents those who are in the warning region but not out of control. The third sector represents those who are out of control, but have passed the outlier test. The fourth sector represents those who have failed the outlier test. The last sector represents those participants who have failed to respond properly. This is the case if no analytical results were returned, or less than three determinations were reported, or if the results were received too late. The reeding on the edge of the coin is spaced at one percent intervals, and the sector shading becomes darker as the data reliability decreases. Sectors with zero width are not shown.

The table in the center shows a number of statistical quantities calculated from the submitted data based on the mean and median values in relation to the known value, both before and after outlier removal. The lower pie chart uses the same construction as the upper chart and shows the distribution of properly submitted data in terms of deviation from the known value divided into sectors representing one, two, three, and greater than three normalized standard deviations.

The second part is an alphabetical listing, in lab-code order, of submitted data and several calculated quantities. An entry that is shaded has been rejected because of one of the reasons listed above or failure of the outlier test. The fifth and sixth columns are a measure of laboratory precision. The Range analysis is a normalized value that you may use to keep precision control charts. The eighth and ninth columns are the differences from the mean of all non-outliers and from the known value, respectively. If this value is between 2.0 and 3.0, your analytical process precision is in the warning zone; if it exceeds 3.0 it is out of control. A tag symbol may appear in the last column. Each page with tags has a symbol definition summary at the bottom. If there is no tag symbol, the data is within the control limits, but it may be in the warning zone.

The third part is a three-column listing of result average, tag symbol, and lab-code in average order excluding those labs not responding properly. In this order, all outliers and out-of-control results appear at the top or bottom of the list.

The last part is two bar chart displays showing frequency distributions of responding participants. The first chart places the known value at the center and a bar at each 0.2 unit of expected precision. The second chart places the mean of the reported measurements at the center and a bar at each 0.2 unit of standard deviation. In both cases, a bar includes those results within 0.1 unit up to the maximum of six. Any results more than six units from the center value are shown cumulatively by a shaded bar one past the sixth unit. If the central tendency of the known value distribution falls away from the center, an error in accuracy is indicated. If the distribution is broad, poor precision is indicated. The mean value distribution is similar but uses the average and standard deviation of reported results as its basis.

The Range Analysis(R + SR) is calculated from the range, mean range and standard error of the range values. The range is the difference between the maximum and minimum results for the laboratory. The mean range is calculated by multiplying the expected precision by 1.693(for three results). The standard error of the range is calculated by multiplying the mean range by 2.575(for three results), subtracting the mean range from this product, and dividing the result by 3. If the range is greater than the mean range, then the range analysis is calculated by subtracting the mean range from the range, dividing the result by the standard error of the range and adding 1. If the mean range is greater than or equal to the range, then the range analysis is calculated by dividing the range by the mean range.

The normalized deviation of the mean from the grand average is calculated from the deviation of the mean from the grand average and the standard error of the mean values. The deviation of the mean from the grand average is calculated by subtracting the grand average from the average of the laboratory's three results. The standard error of the mean is calculated by dividing the expected precision by the square root of 3(the number of results). The normalized deviation of the mean from the grand average is calculated by dividing the deviation of the mean from the grand average by the standard error of the mean.

The normalized deviation of the mean from the known value is calculated from the deviation of the mean from the known value and the standard error of the mean values. The deviation of the mean from the known value is calculated by subtracting the known value from the average of the laboratory's three results. The standard error of the mean is calculated by dividing the expected precision by the square root of 3(the number of results). The normalized deviation of the mean from the known value is calculated by dividing the deviation of the mean from the known value by the standard error of the mean.


A complete explanation of the statistical calculations involved in the report may be found in the Environmental Radioactivity Performance Evaluation Studies Program information brochure [Draft Revision of EPA-600/4-81-004], available from Patricia Honsa, CRD-LV, 702/798-2141.

Uranium (Natural)


Statistical Summary

156 Participants

The known value of this nuclide is 10.1 pCi/l with an expected precision of 3.0; the control limits are 4.9 to 15.3; the warning regions are 4.9 to 6.6 and 13.6 to 15.3



Statistic	Respondents	Non-outliers
Mean	11.33	Grand Avg 10.03
Std. Dev.	5.97	1.38
Variance	35.60	1.90
% Coef. of Var.	52.66	13.74
% deviation of mean from known value	12.18	-0.71
Norm. dev. of mean from known value	0.21	-0.05
Median	10.13	10.03
% deviation of median from known value	0.33	-0.66
Norm. dev. of median from known value	0.01	-0.05



Uranii	ım (Natu	rai)		75	D 1		37 11 1	
Lab	Res. 1	Res. 2	Res. 3	Exper. Sigma	Rng anal $(R + SR)$	Average	Normalized (grand-avg)	deviation (known) Te
A	9.8	9.9	9.8	0.06	0.020	9.83	-0.11	-0.15
AE	10.3	10.1	10.5	0.20	0.079	10.30	0.16	0.12
AF	10.4	10.7	10.5	0.15	0.059	10.53	0.29	0.25
AH :								
\J	12.1	9.8	11.9	1.27	0.453	11.27	0.72	0.67
AK	10.2	10.7	9.8	0.45	0.177	10.23	0.12	0.08
AL	10.6	9.4	10.5	0.67	0.236	10.17	0.08	0.04
AP	7.2	8.9	9.8	1.32	0.512	8.63	-0.81	-0.85
AR	6.9	7.0	7.0	0.06	0.020	6.97	-1.77	-1.81
LU								
W	9.7	10.2	10.1	0.26	0.098	10.00	-0.02	-0.06
λZ	11.3	11.3	11.5	0.12	0.039	11.37	0.77	0.73
3 A	8.9	9.7	8.8	0.49	0.177	9.13	-0.52	-0.56
BB	9.6	9.1	9.4	0.25	0.098	9.37	-0.38	-0.42
3C	9.9	9.9	9.9	0.00	0.000	9.90	-0.07	-0.12
3G	9.5	9.5	9.5	0.00	0.000	9.50	-0.30	-0.35
3G	10.0	9.8	10.0	0.12	0.039	9.93	-0.05	-0.10
3G	10.5	9.7	10.2	0.40	0.158	10.13	0.06	0.02
3 H	9.1	11.3	9.2	1.24	0.433	9.87	-0.09	-0.13
3K	9.4	9.3	9.3	0.06	0.020	9.33	-0.40	-0.44
3M	10.8	10.3	10.6	0.25	0.098	10.57	0.31	0.27
3N	8.2	9.8	10.6	1.22	0.473	9.53	-0.29	-0.33
30	9.0	9.7	9.8	0.44	0.158	9.50	-0.30	-0.35
7	10.2	10.1	10.3	0.10	0.039	10.20	0.10	0.06
CA	10.1	10.0	9.8	0.15	0.059	9.97	-0.04	-0.08
CC	24.5	25.0	25.3	0.40	0.158	24.93	8.61	8.56
C E	9.4	9.4	9.4	0.00	0.000	9.40	-0.36	-0.40
CG	8.8	12.5	7.3	2.68	1.045	9.53	-0.29	-0.33
ÇJ	9.8	9.3	8.8	0.50	0.197	9.30	-0.42	-0.46
ZM								
CS	9.0	8.9	9.1	0.10	0.039	9.00	-0.59	-0.64
CX	11.7	11.0	11.2	0.36	0.138	11.30	0.73	0.69
)	10.0	10.3	10.4	0.21	0.079	10.23	0.12	0.08
DB	10.6	10.7	8.3	1.36	0.473	9.87	-0.09	-0.13
Œ	10.5	10.5	10.6	0.06	0.020	10.53	0.29	0.25
DI .	10.0	9.5	9.9	0.26	0.098	9.80	-0.13	-0.17
00	10.0	9.4	9.5	0.32	0.118	9.63	-0.23	-0.27
dr								
OΤ	11.3	10.3	11.2	0.55	0.197	10.93	0.52	0.48
DZ	10.2	9.7	11.0	0.66	0.256	10.30	0.16	0.12
E	9.6	9.5	9.0	0.32	0.118	9.37	-0.38	-0.42
EB	10.1	10.2	10.3	0.10	0.039	10.20	0.10	0.06
EL	9.6	9.8	9.6	0.12	0.039	9.67	-0.21	-0.25

 $\times \equiv$ Determined to be an outlier

 $\emptyset \equiv \text{Insufficient data}$

 \Downarrow \equiv Below control limit

5/20

Uranium (Natural)											
Lab	Res. 1	Res. 2	Res. 3	Exper. Sigma	Rng anal (R + SR)	Average	Normalized (grand-avg)				
YC											
?	13.9	8.2	8.2	3.29	1.233	10.10	0.04	0.00			
PB	10.8	10.6	10.7	0.10	0.039	10.70	0.39	0.35			
PG	6.4	6.7	7.9	0.79	0.295	7.00	-1.75	-1.79			
PQ	9.9	10.0	10.0	0.06	0.020	9.97	-0.04	-0.08			
PV	8.1	8.2	8.2	0.06	0.020	8.17	-1.07	-1.12			
PW	10.0	9.6	9.5	0.26	0.098	9.70	-0.19	-0.23			
PX	10.5	10.1	10.7	0.31	0.118	10.43	0.23	0.19			
3	10.9	11.6	11.2	0.35	0.138	11.23	0.70	0.65			
IJ											
MÇ	14.9	14.7	14.1	0.42	0.158	14.57	2.62	2.58			
9Q	9.6	10.3	11.0	0.70	0.276	10.30	0.16	0.12			
QU	8.0	7.7	7.4	0.30	0.118	7.70	-1.34	-1.39			
χÇ	7.1	7.2	7.5	0.21	0.079	7.27	-1.59	-1.64			
ΥÇ	10.0	9.6	9.7	0.21	0.079	9.77	-0.15	-0.19			
\mathbf{z}	10.3	10.3	10.3	0.00	0.000	10.30	0.16	0.12			
l											
CD	6.5	6.5	6.4	0.06	0.020	6.47	-2.06	-2.10			
lf'											
RG	10.2	10.3	10.1	0.10	0.039	10.20	0.10	0.06			
uk .											
IP	42.1	41.3	42.0	0.44	0.158	41.80	18.34	18.30			
RR	10.7	10.4	10.5	0.15	0.059	10.53	0.29	0.25			
X.	13.0	12.0	10.0	1.53	0.591	11.67	0.95	0.90			
RZ	9.9	9.4	9.6	0.25	0.098	9.63	-0.23	-0.27			
3	7.4	7.2	7.3	0.10	0.039	7.30	-1.58	-1.62			
C	44.6	40.3	42.4	2.15	0.847	42.43	18.71	18.67			
BD	8.4	8.3	7.7	0.38	0.138	8.13	-1.09	-1.14			
F	16.1	17.3	15.0	1.15	0.453	16.13	3.52	3.48			
3I	15.0	14.5	15.6	0.55	0.217	15.03	2.89	2.85			
3L	9.8	10.7	10.8	0.55	0.197	10.43	0.23	0.19			
3M	9.4	9.2	8.7	0.36	0.138	9.10	-0.54	-0.58			
30	9.7	9.4	9.5	0.15	0.059	9.53	-0.29	-0.33			
S	10.7	10.7	11.1	0.23	0.079	10.83	0.46	0.42			
X											
3Z	9.6	9.7	9.6	0.06	0.020	9.63	-0.23	-0.27			
[
D	8.4	8.4	8.4	0.00	0.000	8.40	-0.94	-0.98			
YH.											
ΠL											
IN											
ľQ	10.2	10.2	10.3	0.06	0.020	10.23	0.12	0:08			
rs											
J	9.6	10.0	10.1	0.26	0.098	9.90	-0.07	-0.12			
_	0.0	-3.0						——			

 \times = Determined to be an outlier

 \downarrow = Below control limit

Ø ≡ Insufficient data

↑ ≡ Above control limit↓ ≡ Below control limit

Cr a m Lab	i um (Natu Res. 1	Res. 2	Res. 3	Exper. Sigma	Rng anal (R + SR)	Average	Normalized (grand-avg)	
UN	5.2	5.1	3.9	0.72	0.256	4.73	-3.06	-3.10
UP	9.7	8.9	9.1	0.42	0.158	9.23	-0.46	-0.50
υQ								
UZ	10.8	14.9	9.0	3.02	1.308	11.57	0.89	0.85
VA								
VH	10.0	13.0	10.7	1.57	0.591	11.23	0.70	0.65
VI	10.7	10.1	10.6	0.32	0.118	10.47	0.25	0.21
W								
WC								
WG	11.7	11.6	11.2	0.26	0.098	11.50	0.85	0.81
WH	12.9	12.8	11.8	0.61	0.217	12.50	1.43	1.39
WI	9.8	9.5	9.5	0.17	0.059	9.60	-0.25	-0.29
WJ	8.5	8.1	8.6	0.26	0.098	8.40	-0.94	-0.98
wo	8.4	8.6	8.2	0.20	0.079	8.40	-0.94	-0.98
WR	10.1	10.3	10.4	0.15	0.059	10.27	0.14	0.10
ws -								
WV								
WX								
WY								
X	10.2	10.5	11.4	0.62	0.236	10.70	0.39	0.35
XA	12.8	11.7	13.7	1.00	0.394	12.73	1.56	1.52

		Da	ata sorted by	Laborator	ry Averag	ge		
Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab
4.73	×	UN	9.30		CJ	9.83		A
5.73		FJ	9.33		BK	9.87		UE
6.47		RD	9.37		E	9.87		КН
6.97		AR	9.37		$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}$	9.87		DB
7.00		PG	9.40		CE	9.87		BH
7.27		QX	9.50		во	9.90		HL
7.30		S	9.50		BG	9.90		BC
7.70		$\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U}$	9.53		SO	9.90		U
8.13		SD	9.53		GN	9.93		NH
8.13		$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Q}$	9.53		\mathbf{CG}	9.93		\mathbf{BG}
8.17		PV	9.53		\mathbf{BN}	9.97	•	\mathbf{PQ}
8.40		TD	9.60		WI	9.97		LZ
8.40		wo	9.60		OX	9.97		CA
8.40		WJ.	9.63		RZ	10.00		AW
8.63	•	AP	9.63		JК	10.03		JY
8.70		HP	9.63		DO	10.03		JS
8.73		LT	9.63		SZ	10.10		P
9.00		CS	9.67		EL	10.13		L
9.10		SM	9.70		PW	10.13		BG
9.13		BA	9.77		QY	10.17		JР
9.23		UP	9.80		DI	10.17		AL

TAG SYMBOLS

 \times = Determined to be an outlier


• \equiv No data submitted


 \emptyset = Insufficient data

8/20 CRD-LV Performance Evaluation: Uranium-Radium in Water, 27-Sep-1996

Uranium (Natural)

Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab
10.20		RG	10.53		DE	11.50		WG
10.20		EB	10.53	-	AF	11.57		UZ
10.20		C	10.57		BM	11.63		N
10.23		\mathbf{TQ}	10.67		LH	11.63		K
10.23		D	10.70		X	11.67		RX
10.23		AK	10.70	•	PB	12.50		WH
10.27		WR	10.70		NJ	12.73		XA
10.30	•	QZ	10.83		SS	12.73		LF
10.30		QQ	10.93		DT	12.93		NT
10.30		OB	11.00		NO	14.57		QM
10.30		\mathbf{DZ}	11.00		NA	15.03		SI
10.30		ΑE	11.00		KT	16.13	×	SF
10.40		HK	11.03		ID	18.57	×	FN
10.43		\mathbf{SL}	11.03	-	FZ	19.83	×	JN
10.43		PX	11.23		VH	24.93	×	CC
10.47		VI	11.23		Q	34.87	×	MX
10.47		os	11.27		АJ	41.80	×	RP
10.50		NK	11.30		CX	42.43	×	SC
10.53	•	RR	11.37		AZ	43.17	×	I





	•	=	No	data	submitted
--	---	---	----	------	-----------

 $\emptyset \equiv \text{Insufficient data}$

 $\times \equiv$ Determined to be an outlier


↓ ≡ Below control limit

Radium-226


Statistical Summary

154 Participants

The known value of this nuclide is 14.0 pCi/l with an expected precision of 2.1; the control limits are 10.4 to 17.6; the warning regions are 10.4 to 11.6 and 16.4 to 17.6



Statistic	Respondents	Non-outliers
Mean	13.54	Grand Avg 13.64
Std. Dev.	3.14	2.00
Variance	9.88	3.99
% Coef. of Var.	23.20	14.64
% deviation of mean from known value	-3.25	-2.55
Norm. dev. of mean from known value	-0.14	-0.18
Median	13.47	13.48
% deviation of median from known value	-3.81	-3.69
Norm. dev. of median from known value	-0.17	-0.26



10/20 CRD-LV Performance Evaluation: Uranium-Radium in Water, 27-Sep-1996

								
Radiu Lab	m-226 Res. 1	Res. 2	Res. 3	Exper. Sigma	Rng anal (R + SR)	Average	Normalized (grand-avg)	deviation (known) Tag
A	10.9	10.6	10.9	0.17	0.084	10.80	-2.34	-2.64
AE	11.9	12.3	12.4	0.26	0.141	12.20	-1.19	-1.48
AF	13.3	13.4	13.5	0.10	0.056	13.40	-0.20	-0.49
AH								•
AJ	21.0	18.8	22.2	1.72	0.956	20.67	5.79	5.50 ×
AK	15.8	16.6	15.2	0.70	0.394	15.87	1.83	1.54
AL	12.9	13.0	14.5	0.90	0.450	13.47	-0.15	-0.44
AP	12.7	11.7	11.4	0.68	0.366	11.93	-1.41	-1.70
AR								•
AU								•
AW	13.3	13.6	14.3	0.51	0.281	13.73	0.07	-0.22
AZ	12.0	13.3	12.6	0.65	0.366	12.63	-0.83	-1.13
BA	12.6	10.1	10.3	1.39	0.703	11.00	-2.18	-2.47
BB	40.0				0.400	10.00	0.01	•
BC	13.2	14.6	13.1	0.84	0.422	13.63	-0.01	-0.30 •
BG	10.4	11 6	140	1 40	0.500	10.79	0.75	
BH BK	12.4 13.2	11.5 13.5	14.3	1.43 0.15	0.788	12.73 13.37	-0.75 -0.23	-1.04 -0.52
BM	13.2 12.9	13.5 13.2	13.4 13.8	0.15 0.46	0.084 0.253	13.37	-0.23 -0.28	-0.52 -0.58
BN	12. 3 12.2	13.2 12.5	13.8 12.7	0.46	0.255	13.30 12.47	-0.26	-1.26
BO	14.2	14.8	14.2	0.25	0.141	14.40	0.62	0.33
C	12.0	12.3	12.4	0.21	0.113	12.23	-1.16	-1.46
CA	13.8	13.1	13.9	0.44	0.225	13.60	-0.04	-0.33
CC	4.8	4.7	5.2	0.26	0.141	4.90	-7.21	-7.51 ×
CE	12.2	13.4	12.5	0.62	0.338	12.70	-0.78	-1.07
CG	13.4	15.9	13.4	1.44	0.703	14.23	0.49	0.19
СJ	14.0	13.0	15.0	1.00	0.563	14.00	0.29	0.00
CM								•
CS	16.8	13.6	14.1	1.72	0.900	14.83	0.98	0.69
CX								•
D							105	0.14
DB	10.9	11.2	12.1	0.62	0.338	11.40	-1.85	-2.14
DE DI	15.7	15.8	15.9	0.10	0.056	15.80	1.78	1.48
DO								
DR								
DT	13.3	12.2	13.1	0.59	0.309	12.87	-0.64	-0.93
DZ	12.3	13.9	13.4	0.82	0.450	13.20	-0.37	-0.66
E	11.2	11.3	10.9	0.21	0.113	11.13	-2.07	-2.36
EB	13.2	13.3	13.4	0.10	0.056	13.30	-0.28	-0.58
EL	13.2	13.4	13.0	0.20	0.113	13.20	-0.37	-0.66
EO	14.8	14.2	11.5	1.76	0.928	13.50	-0.12	-0.41
EP	12.2	12.1	11.7	0.26	0.141	12.00	-1.35	-1.65
ER								•
FE								•
• ≡ N	o data sub	mitted		TAG S	YMBOLS		↑ = Abo	ve control limit
(Ø≡ In	nsufficient	data	× =	Determine	d to be an ou	tlier	U ≡ Belo	w control limit

CRD-LV Performance Evaluation: Uranium-Radium in Water, $\,$ 27-Sep-1996 $\,$ $\,$ 11 / 20

D - 44	000								==
Radiu	ım-226			Exper.	Rng anal		Normalized	deviation	
Lab	Res. 1	Res. 2	Res. 3	Sigma	(R + SR)	Average	(grand-avg)	(known)	
FJ		10.0	10.0	0.40	2 225		2.24		•
FN	12.2	12.8	13.0	0.42	0.225	12.67	-0.81	-1.10]
FZ	15.1	15.6	14.4	0.60	0.338	15.03	1.15	0.85	
GN	14.8	14.1	14.2	0.38	0.197	14.37	0.60	0.30	
GQ	14.6	13.6	14.1	0.50	0.281	14.10	0.38	0.08	
HK	14.0	13.6	13.5	0.26	0.141	13.70	0.05	-0.25	
HL	12.3	12.3	12.3	0.00	0.000	12.30	-1.11	-1.40	
HP	15.9	15.8	16.5	0.38	0.197	16.07	2.00	1.70	
I	9.4	9.4	9.9	0.29	0.141	9.57	-3.36	-3.66	1
ID	16.0	13.6	17.8	2.11	1.345	15.80	1.78	1.48	
J JE									•
JG	15.1	15.2	14.7	0.26	0.141	15.00	1.12	0.82	
JK	10.1	10.4	17.1	0.20	J.171	10.00	1.10	0.02	•
JN									
JP									•
JS	14.3	12.7	16.3	1.80	1.024	14.43	0.65	0.36	_
JX	14.2	13.8	15.5	0.89	0.478	14.50	0.71	0.30	
JY		10.0	20.0		0.1.0	11.00	02	V.11	٠
K	13.0	13.6	12.3	0.65	0.366	12.97	-0.56	-0.85	
KH .	13.5	13.3	13.1	0.20	0.113	13.30	-0.28	-0.58	
KL	20.0	20.8	17.8	1.55	0.844	19.53	4.86	4.56	ft
KT	20.0	20.0	21.0	2.00	0.011	10.00	2.00	3.00	•
L	13.1	13.0	13.7	0.38	0.197	13.27	-0.31	-0.60	
LF	20.2	20.0	20.7	0.00	3.20.		5.52	0.00	•
LH									٠
LT	16.1	19.1	18.3	1.55	0.844	17.83	3.46	3.16	î î
LZ	16.3	16.2	16.2	0.06	0.028	16.23	2.14	1.84	
M	9.0	7.9	9.0	0.64	0.309	8.63	-4.13	-4.43	Ŭ.
MX	12.3	14.3	13.8 ·	1.04	0.563	13.47	-0.15	-0.44	
N	14.0	13.7	14.0	0.17	0.084	13.90	0.21	-0.08	
NA									•
NH	14.2	12.1	13.3	1.05	0.591	13.20	-0.37	-0.66	
NJ	14.1	13.7	16.6	1.57	0.816	14.80	0.95	0.66	
NK									•
NO	14.3	14.7	14.9	0.31	0.169	14.63	0.82	0.52	. man en dag die
NT	14.0	14.2	14.3	0.15	0.084	14.17	0.43	0.14	
0	14.6	13.9	14.2	0.35	0.197	14.23	0.49	0.19	
ОВ	14.6	14.5	14.6	0.06	0.028	14.57	0.76	0.47	
OF	9.5	10.0	9.0	0.50	0.281	9.50	-3.42	-3.71	1
OM									٠
08	100	10.0	1/0	Λ 00	O 107	10.70	A 07	A 00	•
OX	13.3	13.9	14.0	0.38	0.197	13.73	0.07	-0.22	_
OY	10.0	100	00.0	1 01	0.500	10.07	A TO	A 49	î
P	19.9	18.2	20.0	1.01	0.506	19.37	4.72	4.43	
i	lo data sub			•	YMBOLS			ve control	
$\bigcup \mathcal{Q} \equiv \mathbf{I}$	$\emptyset \equiv \text{Insufficient data}$ $\times \equiv \text{Determined to be an outlier}$ $\downarrow \equiv \text{Below contr}$						w control	limit	

2 / 20		V Perform	ance Evalı	uation: U	ranium-Rad	ium in Wa	ter, 27-Sep-	19 96	
Radiu ∡ab	m-226 Res. 1	Res. 2	Res. 3	Exper.	Rng anal	A	Normalized		m
B	14.1	13.7	14.2	Sigma	$\frac{(R + SR)}{}$	Average 14.00	(grand-avg)		I a)
PG	14.1 12.6	12.9	14.2 12.7	0.26 0.15	0.141 0.084	14.00 12.73	0.29 -0.75	0.00 -1.04	
'Q	12.0	12.5	12.1	0.10	0.004	12.70	-0.78	-1.04	_
7V	6.5	6.8	6.0	0.40	0.225	6.43	-5.95	-6.24	×
• •W	12.9	14.7	15.1	1.17	0.619	14.23	0.49	0.19	^
×	14.7	14.7	14.9	0.12	0.056	14.77	0.43	0.63	
5	16.6	16.7	17.0	0.21	0.113	16.77	2.58	2.28	
)J	20.0	20	21.0	0.21	0.220	40	2.00	2.20	•
ξM	14.5	14.4	14.5	0.06	0.028	14.47	0.68	0.38	
QQ	7.3	7.6	7.8	0.25	0.141	7.57	-5.01	-5.31	↓
U	11.2	10.7	11.7	0.50	0.281	11.20	-2.01	-2.31	•
X	16.8	15.8	18.3	1.26	0.703	16.97	2.74	2.45	
Υ	29.9	30.5	30.9	0.50	0.281	30.43	13.85	13.55	×
)Z	13.2	13.3	13.0	0.15	0.084	13.17	-0.39	-0.69	920000
ì	10.9	11.5	10.1	0.70	0.394	10.83	-2.32	-2.61	
RD.	13.4	13.0	13.9	0.45	0.253	13.43	-0.17	-0.47	
IF IG IK IP IR									
XX.	4.6	5.6	5.5	0.55	0.281	5.23	-6.94	-7.23	Ж
RZ	15.1	15.2	15.5	0.21	0.113	15.27	1.34	1.04	
3	14.5	15.5	15.0	0.50	0.281	15.00	1.12	0.82	
BC	13.8	13.4	12.5	0.67	0.366	13.23	-0.34	-0.63	
SD	11.6	13.2	13.0	0.87	0.450	12.60	-0.86	-1.15	
3F	13.2	13.5	13.7	0.25	0.141	13.47	-0.15	-0.44	
3 I	15.8	14.7	17.3	1.31	0.731	15.93	1.89	1.59	8733388
3L									•
BM .	15.1	15.0	15.2	0.10	0.056	15.10	1.20	0.91	******
3O									•
38	12.1	13.2	12.7	0.55	0.309	12.67	-0.81	-1.10	****
X	440	10.0	11.0	1.40	0.010	10 50	0.00	A 00	
3 Z	14.8	13.9	11.9	1.48	0.816	13.53	-0.09	-0.38	
PD PH PL			Section 12						
ľΝ	12.3	12.0	12.2	0.15	0.084	12.17	-1.22	-1.51	
ΓQ	15.2	14.9	18.0	1.71	0.872	16.03	1.97	1.68	
rs	13.2	12.6	12.7	0.32	0.169	12.83	-0.67	-0.96	
J	12.1	12.4	12.3	0.15	0.084	12.27	-1.13	-1.43	
Æ	14.7	13.9	14.9	0.53	0.281	14.50	0.71	0.41	
UN	13.3	9.2	13.2	2.34	1.292	11.90	-1.44	-1.73	
JР	13.2	13.3	13.9	0.38	0.197	13.47	-0.15	-0.44	

^{• ≡} No data submitted TAG SYMBOLS ↑ ≡ Above control limit
Ø ≡ Insufficient data × ≡ Determined to be an outlier ↓ ≡ Below control limit

Radiu	ım-226			E	D		No	3	
Lab	Res. 1	Res. 2	Res. 3	Exper. Sigma	Rng anal $(R + SR)$	Average	Normalized (grand-avg)		Tag
UQ	13.8	13.9	13.9	0.06	0.028	13.87	0.18	-0.11	
UZ									•
VA	15.3	19.3	17.6	2.01	1.238	17.40	3.10	2.80	
VH	14.1	15.6	16.0	1.00	0.534	15.23	1.31	1.02	
VI	15.1	14.2	16.5	1.16	0.647	15.27	1.34	1.04	
W									•
WC	6.5	1.6	4.5	2.46	1.720	4.20	-7.79	-8.08	,
WG									
WH	9.5	12.9	11.4	1.70	0.956	11.27	-1.96	-2.25	003-1011-2
WI									
WJ									
WO	10.9	11.3	8.6	1.46	0.759	10.27	-2.78	-3.08	Ţ
WR									
ws									
WV									
WX									•
WY									
X	11.4	12.0	12.6	0.60	0.338	12.00	-1.35	-1.65	00 T 43
XA	15.7	17.7	16.1	1.06	0.563	16.50	2.36	2.06	

Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab
4.20	×	WC	12.27		U	13.40		AF
4.90	×	CC	12.30		HL	13.43		RD
5.23	×	RX	12.47		BN	13.47		UP
6.43	×	PV	12.60		SD	13.47		SF
7.57	1	QQ	12.63		AZ	13.47		MX
8.63	I	M	12.67		SS	13.47		AL
9.50	↓	OF	12.67		FN	13.50		EO
9.57	1	1	12.70		CE	13.53		SZ
10.27	#	wo	12.73		\mathbf{PG}	13.60		CA
10.80		A	12.73		BH	13.63		BC
10.83		R	12.83		TS	13.70		HK
11.00		BA	12.87		DT	13.73		OX
11.13		E	12.97		K	13.73		AW
11.20	•	QU	13.17		QZ	13.87		,UQ
11.27		WH	13.20		EL	13.90		N
11.40		DB	13.20		DZ	14.00		PB
11.90		UN	13.20		NH	14.00		CJ
11.93	·	AP	13.23		SC	14.10		$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Q}$
12.00		X	13.27		L	14.17		NT
12.00		EP	13.30		KH	14.23	•	PW
12.17	•	TN	13.30		EB	14.23	•	0
12.20		AE	13.30		BM	14.23		\mathbf{CG}

Data sorted by Laboratory Average

• ≡ No data submitted

12.23

TAG SYMBOLS

13.37

 $\hat{\parallel} \equiv \text{Above control limit}$

GN

14.37

BK

 $\emptyset \equiv$ Insufficient data

 $\times \equiv$ Determined to be an outlier


□ Below control limit


14/20 CRD-LV Performance Evaluation: Uranium-Radium in Water, 27-Sep-1996

Radium-226

Dave colved by Laboumout 1 111 clase	Data s	sorted l	v Laborat	tory Average
--------------------------------------	--------	----------	-----------	--------------

Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab
14.40		ВО	15.00		JG	16.07		HP
14.43		JS	15.03		FZ	16.23		LZ
14.47		QM	15.10		SM	16.50		· XA
14.50		UE	15.23		VH	16.77		\mathbf{Q}
14.50		JX	15.27		VI	16.97		QX
14.57		OB	15.27		RZ	17.40		VA
14.63		NO	15.80		İD	17.83	1 î	LT
14.77		PX	15.80		DE	19.37	ſÌ	P
14.80		NJ	15.87		AK	19.53	1	KL
14.83		CS	15.93		SI	20.67	×	АJ
15.00		S	16.03		TQ	30.43	· ×	QY






Radium-228


Statistical Summary

154 Participants

The known value of this nuclide is 4.7 pCi/l with an expected precision of 1.2; the control limits are 2.6 to 6.8; the warning regions are 2.6 to 3.3 and 6.1 to 6.8



Statistic	Respondents	Non-outliers
Mean	5.55	Grand Avg 4.92
Std. Dev.	3.46	1.13
Variance	11.96	1.28
% Coef. of Var.	62.29	23.01
% deviation of mean from known value	18.12	4.61
Norm. dev. of mean from known value	0.25	0.19
Median	5.03	4.97
% deviation of median from known value	7.09	5.67
Norm. dev. of median from known value	0.10	0.24



12(13.0 %) Between 2 and 3 norm. S.D.

16/20 CRD-LV Performance Evaluation: Uranium-Radium in Water, 27-Sep-1996

Radiu	Radium-228 Exper. Rng anal Normalized deviation											
Lab	Res. 1	Res. 2	Res. 3	Exper. Sigma	Rng anal (R + SR)	Average		deviation (known) 1	l'ag			
A	4.6	4.9	4.6	0.17	0.148	4.70	-0.31	0.00				
AE	3.6	3.8	3.6	0.12	0.098	3.67	-1.80	-1.49				
AF	5.5	5.6	5.7	0.10	0.098	5.60	0.99	1.30	**********			
AH									•			
AJ	3.2	2.2	2.5	0.51	0.492	2.63	-3.30	-2.98				
AK	5.2	4.7	5.2	0.29	0.246	5.03	0.17	0.48				
AL	4.0	4.6	3.4	0.60	0.591	4.00	-1.32	-1.01				
AP	3.4	3.5	3.6	0.10	0.098	3.50	-2.04	-1.73				
AR AU									•			
AW	6.1	6.2	6.1	0.06	0.049	6.13	1.76	2.07				
AZ	4.2	4.9	4.2	0.40	0.345	4.43	-0.70	-0.38				
BA	4.3	3.1	3.1	0.69	0.591	3.50	-2.04	-1.73				
BB									•			
BC	5.3	5.5	5.5	0.12	0.098	5.43	0.75	1.06				
BG									•			
BH	5.8	4.8	5.9	0.61	0.541	5.50	0.84	1.15				
BK	4.8	4.5	4.7	0.15	0.148	4.67	-0.36	-0.05				
BM	4.6	4.7	5.1	0.26	0.246	4.80	-0.17	0.14				
BN									•			
ВО	5.3	3.0	3.1	1.30	1.252	3.80	-1.61	-1.30				
C	5.2	4.9	5.0	0.15	0.148	5.03	0.17	0.48				
CA	5.0	5.7	5.5	0.36	0.345	5.40	0.70	1.01				
CC	5.8	6.0	5.9	0.10	0.098	5.90	1.42	1.73	1			
CE	6.3	8.8	6.0	1.54	1.720	7.03	3.06	3.37 -1.59	11			
CG CJ	4.3 6.7	3.1 5.7	$\begin{array}{c} 3.4 \\ 6.2 \end{array}$	0.62 0.50	$0.591 \\ 0.492$	3.60 6.20	-1.90 1.85	2.17	,			
CM	0.7	5.1	0.2	0.00	0.432	0.20	1.60	2.11	•			
CS	5.6	6.2	5.3	0.46	0.443	5.70	1.13	1.44	Ť			
CX	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.30	0.440	0.10	1.10	2.22	•			
D									•			
DB	4.8	4.9	4.6	0.15	0.148	4.77	-0.22	0.10				
DE	5.3	5.3	5.4	0.06	0.049	5.33	0.60	0.91				
DI	- /-								•			
DO									•			
DR									•			
DT	4.5	· 4.6	4.7	0.10	0.098	4.60	-0.46	-0.14				
DZ E	4.4	4.7	4.4	0.17	0.148	4.50	-0.60	-0.29	•			
EB	4.0	4.3	4.5	0.25	0.246	4.27	-0.94	-0.63				
EL	6.3	6.5	5.9	0.31	0.295	6.23	1.90	2.21				
EO	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.00	0.000	3.30	-2.33	-2.02				
EP	4.4	4.1	4.0	0.21	0.197	4.17	-1.08	-0.77				
ER FE									•			
	• ≡ No data submitted TAG SYMBOLS ↑ ≡ Above control limit											
	o data sub nsufficient		v =		d to be an out	tlier		ow control li				
v, ≕ I1	usuuicient	uala	X	nereliume	a to be an ou	011 <u>C</u> 1	4 = Den	A CONTOROR III	LLLLU			

CRD-LV Performance Evaluation: Uranium-Radium in Water, 27-Sep-1996 17/20

	m-228	_	_	Exper.	Rng anal		Normalized	-
Lab	Res. 1	Res. 2	Res. 3	Sigma	(R + SR)	Average	(grand-avg) (known) Ta
fj Fn	2.0	3.1	3.1	0.06	0.049	3.07	0.67	-2.36
FZ	3.0 5.1	5.1 5.5	5.1 5.8	0.35	0.045	5.07 5.47	-2.67 0.79	-2.30 1.11
GN	12.2	12.8	12.0	0.33	0.340	12.33	10.71	11.02 ×
GQ	2.1	2.2	2.2	0.06	0.049	2.17	-3.97	-3.66 ↓
HK	4.8	5.1	4.9	0.00	0.043	4.93	0.02	0.34
HL	3.6	3.6	3.6	0.13	0.000	3.60	-1.90	-1.59
ELP	5.1	3.6	3.2	1.00	0.935	3.97	-1.37	-1.06
I.	4.3	5.0 5.0	6.3	1.01	0.984	5.20	0.41	0.72
ID	4.3	4.5	4.3	0.12	0.098	4.37	-0.79	-0.48
J	7.0	7.0	7.0	0.12	0.030	7.01	-0.13	-0.40
JE								•
JG	5.3	5.7	4.7	0.50	0.492	5.23	0.46	0.77
JK	0.0	•		0.00	0.102	0.20	0.10	•
JN								
JР								•
JS	6.2	5.3	4.2	1.00	0.984	5.23	0.46	0.77
JX	2.8	2.5	2.8	0.17	0.148	2.70	-3.20	-2.89
JY	5.8	3.7	3.4	1.31	1.345	4.30	-0.89	-0.58
K	7.6	5.9	6.7	0.85	0.837	6.73	2.62	2.93
KH	4.3	4.1	4.8	0.36	0.345	4.40	-0.75	-0.43
KL	6.1	6.6	6.8	0.36	0.345	6.50	2.29	2.60
KT								•
L	5.1	4.8	5.2	0.21	0.197	5.03	0.17	0.48
LF								•
LH								•
LT	4.4	2.4	3.6	1.01	0.984	3.47	-2.09	-1.78
LZ								•
M	5.8	4.8	5.8	0.58	0.492	5.47	0.79	1.11
MX	5.1	3.7	5.5	0.95	0.886	4.77	-0.22	0.10
N	5.5	6.1	5.1	0.50	0.492	5.57	0.94	1.25
NA								•
NH	4.1	3.7	4.3	0.31	0.295	4.03	-1.27	-0.96
NJ	6.0	5.1	7.0	0.95	0.935	6.03	1.61	1.92
NK							•	•
NO	3.2	4.4	4.7	0.79	0.738	4.10	-1.18	-0.87
NT	3.9	3.9	4.0	0.06	0.049	3.93	-1.42	-1.11
0	3.8	2.8	3.3	0.50	0.492	3.30	-2.33	-2.02
OB.			, -	4 - 4	0.00:		A 5A	101
OF	6.1	6.0	4.1	1.13	0.984	5.40	0.70	1.01
OM								•
OS	4.0	4.0	, -	^	0.000	4.00	4.00	0.50
OX	4.3	4.2	4.1	0.10	0.098	4.20	-1.03	-0.72
OY.		C 4		0.50	0.400	0.00	0.55	0.45
P	3.4	2.4	3.2	0.53	0.492	3.00	-2.77	-2.45
• = N	o data sub	mitted		TAG S	YMBOLS		$1 \equiv Abc$	ve control lim

18/20	CRD-LV Performance Evaluation: Uranium-Radium in Water, 27-Sep-1996										
Radiur		D 0	D	Exper.	Rng anal	A -	Normalized		T		
Lab	Res. 1	Res. 2	Res. 3	Sigma	$\frac{(R + SR)}{}$		(grand-avg)		Tag		
PB	4.6	4.7	4.8	0.10	0.098	4.70	-0.31	0.00			
PG									٠		
PQ PV	8.7	0.0	10.1	0.774	0.690	0.07	2.00	0 F0	•		
PW		9.0	10.1	0.74	0.689	9.27	6.28	6.59	×		
PX	4.9	4.8	4.7	0.10	0.098	4.80	-0.17	0.14	•		
****	5.5	6.3	5.8	0.40	0.394	5.87	1.37	1 60	•		
Q OI	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.40	0.394	0.01	1.57	1.68	_		
QJ OM									•		
QM									•		
QQ	กร	10.5	01	1.20	1.345	9.30	6.33	6.64	٠		
QX QU	9,3 6.9	9.1	8.1 7.3	1.20	1.340 1.158	9.30 7.77	4.11	4.43	× Î		
QY QX	บ.ฮ	7.1	1.0	1.11	1.100	1.11	4.11	4.40	 		
QZ	4.3	3.8	4.1	0.25	0.246	4.07	-1.23	-0.91			
R	T.U	0.0	7.1	0.20	U.24U	7.01	-1.20	-0.71	٠		
RD	5.3	6.0	5.1	0.47	0.443	5.47	0.79	1.11			
RF	0.0	0.0	U.1	U.T.	J. 111 0	0.31	0.10	4.44	•		
RG									•		
RK									•		
RP									٠		
RR									٠		
RX	5.3	5.9	6.4	0.55	0.541	5.87	1.37	1.68			
RZ	5.3	4.7	5.0	0.30	0.295	5.00	0.12	0.43			
S	5.3	5.1	4.9	0.20	0.197	5.10	0.26	0.58			
SC	4.6	4.2	4.6	0.23	0.197	4.47	-0.65	-0.34			
SD	5.8	5.1	6.2	0.56	0.541	5.70	1.13	1.44			
SF	5.0	7.6	8.6	1.86	2.470	7.07	3.10	3.42	ſ		
SI	3.2	3.8	4.2	0.50	0.492	3.73	-1.71	-1.40			
SL									•		
SM	4.1	4.8	5.0	0.47	0.443	4.63	-0.41	-0.10			
80									•		
SS	5.7	4.6	5.9	0.70	0.640	5.40	0.70	1.01	300000000000000000000000000000000000000		
SX									٠		
SZ	5.4	5.1	5.9	0.40	0.394	5.47	0.79	1.11			
T									٠		
TD									•		
TH									•		
TL							•		٠		
TN	4.8	3.5	4.7	0.72	0.640	4.33	-0.84	-0.53			
TQ	4.7	5.0	6.1	0.74	0.689	5.27	0.51	0.82			
TS	5.8	5.9	5.3	0.32	0.295	5.67	1.08	1.40			
U	4.4	4.7	4.7	0.17	0.148	4.60	-0.46	-0.14			
UE	3.7	13.9	14.9	6.20	9.596	10.83	8.54	8.85	×		
UN	4.4	4.5	6.1	0.95	0.837	5.00		0.43			
UP	6.3	5.4	6.5	0.59	0.541	6.07	1.66	1.97	1		
• = No	data sub	mitted			YMBOLS	,	î ≡ Abov				
$\emptyset \equiv In$	sufficient	data	× =	Determine	d to be an ou	tlier	↓ ≡ Below	w control	limit		

CRD-LV Performance Evaluation	Uranium-Radium in Water	27-Sep-1996	19 / 20
--------------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------	---------

Radiu	ım-228								
Lab	Res. 1 Res. 2 Res. 3 Sigma (R		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Rng anal} \\ (R + SR) \end{array}$				Tag		
UQ	5.9	5.4	6.0	0.32	0.295	5.77	1.23	1.54	
UZ									•
VA	34.2	38.3	28.8	4.76	8.002	33.77	41.64	41.95	×
VH	6.6	9.0	7.7	1.20	1.345	7.77	4.11	4.43	1
VI	6.5	5.5	7.0	0.76	0.738	6.33	2.04	2.36	
W									•
WC	9.5	7.6	4.3	2.63	3.971	7.13	3.20	3.51	1
WG									•
WH	4.5	3.9	4.5	0.35	0.295	4.30	-0.89	-0.58	
WJ									•
wo	6.0	6.0	5.7	0.17	0.148	5.90	1.42	1.73	
WR WS WV WX WY									•
X XA	10.4	18:7	13.1	1.76	2.189	12.40	10.80	11.11	× •

Data	sorted	hv	Laboratory Average
Data	SULVEU	IJΥ	Danulatul v Avelage

Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab
2.17	#	GQ	4.27		EB	5.03		C
2.63		АJ	4.30		WH	5.03		AK
2.70		JX	4.30		JY	5.10		S
3.00		P	4.33		TN	5.20		I
3.07		FN	4.37		\mathbf{m}	5.23		JS
3.30	•	0	4.40		KH	5.23		JG
3.30		EO	4.43		AZ	5.27		TQ
3.47		LT	4.47		SC	5.33		DE
3.50		BA	4.50		\mathbf{DZ}	5.40		SS
3.50		AP	4.60		U	5.40		OF
3.60		HL	4.60		\mathbf{DT}	5.40		CA
3.60		$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}$	4.63		SM	5.43		BC
3.67		Æ	4.67		BK	5.47		SZ
3.73		SI	4.70		PB	5.47		RD
3.80		во	4.70		A	5.47		FZ
3.93		NT	4.77		MX	5.47		M
3.97		HP	4.77		\mathbf{DB}	5.50		BH
4.00		AL	4.80		\mathbf{BM}	5.57	•	N
4.03		NH	4.80	•	PW	5.60		AF
4.07		QZ	4.93		HK	5.67	•	TS
4.10		NO	5.00		UN	5.70		SD
4.17		EP	5.00		RZ	5.70		CS
4.20		OX	5.03		L	5.77		UQ

^{• ≡} No data submitted

TAG SYMBOLS

[↑] ■ Above control limit

 $[\]emptyset$ = Insufficient data

 $[\]times \equiv$ Determined to be an outlier

 $[\]downarrow$ = Below control limit

20 / 20 CRD-LV Performance Evaluation: Uranium-Radium in Water, 27-Sep-1996

Radium-228

Data sorted by Laboratory Average

Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab	Average	Tag	Lab
5.87		RX	6.20		CJ	7.77	1	VH
5.87		Q	6.23		EL	7.77	ſſ	QX
5.90		wo	6.33		VI	9.27	×	PV
5.90		\mathbf{CC}	6.50		KL	9.30	×	QU
6.03		NJ	6.73		K	10.83	×	UE
6.07		UP	7.03	ſſ	CE	12.33	×	GN
6.13		AW	7.07	ſſ	SF	12.40	. ×	X
	•	-	7.13	Î	WC	33.77	×	VA

