


**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY
WORKING PAPER SERIES**



REPORT
ON
PANGUITCH LAKE
GARFIELD COUNTY
UTAH
EPA REGION VIII
WORKING PAPER No. 851

**CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA**

REPORT
ON
PANGUITCH LAKE
GARFIELD COUNTY
UTAH
EPA REGION VIII
WORKING PAPER No. 851

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
UTAH STATE DIVISION OF HEALTH
AND THE
UTAH NATIONAL GUARD
NOVEMBER, 1977

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Foreward	ii
List of Utah Study Lakes and Reservoirs	iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	v

Sections

I. Conclusions	1
II. Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	3
III. Lake Water Quality Summary	4
IV. Nutrient Loadings	8
V. Literature Reviewed	12
VI. Appendices	13

FOR E W O R D

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nationwide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Utah Department of Social Services and the Utah Department of Natural Resources for professional involvement, to the Utah National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey, and to those Utah wastewater treatment plant operators who voluntarily provided effluent samples and flow data.

The staffs of the Bureau of Water Quality of the Division of Health and the Division of Wildlife Resources provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General Maurice L. Watts, the Adjutant General of Utah, and Project Officer Lt. Colonel T. Ray Kingston, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Utah National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY
STUDY LAKES AND RESERVOIRS

STATE OF UTAH

<u>NAME</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>
Bear	Rich, UT; Bear Lake, ID
Deer Creek	Wasatch
Echo	Summit
Fish	Sevier
Flaming Gorge	Daggett, UT; Sweetwater, WY
Huntington	Emery
Joes Valley	Emery
Lower Bowns	Garfield
Lynn	Box Elder
Minersville	Beaver
Moon	Duchesne
Navajo	Kane
Newcastle	Iron
Otter Creek	Piute
Panguich	Garfield
Pelican	Uintah
Pineview	Weber
Piute	Piute
Porcupine	Cache
Powell	Garfield, Kane, San Juan, UT; Coconino, AZ
Pruess	Millard
Sevier Bridge	Juab, Sanpete
Starvation	Duchesne
Steinaker	Uintah
Tropic	Garfield
Utah	Utah
Willard Bay	Box Elder

PANGUITCH LAKE


⊗ Tributary Sampling Site

× Lake Sampling Site

≈ Drainage Area Boundary

0 1 2 3 4 5 Km.
0 1 2 3 Mi.
Scale

37°50'



Map Location

Horse Lake

Ipson

Creek

C1

Clear

Creek

D1

outlet

A1

B1

O2

X

O1

X

PANGUITCH
LAKE

Bunker

Creek

Deer

Creek

Castle

ditch

Blue

Creek

37°45'

37°40'

112°45'

112°40'

PANGUITCH LAKE

STORET NO. 4914

I. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Panguitch Lake is eutrophic. It ranked twenty-fifth in overall trophic quality when the 27 Utah lakes and reservoirs sampled in 1975 were compared using a combination of six parameters*. Twenty-three of the water bodies had less median total phosphorus, 20 had less median dissolved orthophosphorus, none had less but ten had the same median inorganic nitrogen, 25 had less mean chlorophyll a, and 13 had greater mean Secchi disc transparency. Marked depression of dissolved oxygen with depth occurred at sampling station 1 in August (0.8 mg/l at 7.6 meters).

Survey limnologists noted algal blooms in the lake each sampling time and observed extensive beds of submerged macrophytes in August.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results indicate the lake was nitrogen limited in August. The lake data indicate nitrogen limitation both sampling times.

* See Appendix A.

C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--No known wastewater treatment plants impacted the lake during the sampling year. Lakeshore septic tanks contributed an estimated 1.1% of the total phosphorus load, but a shoreline survey is needed to determine the significance of those sources.

The estimated phosphorus loading of 0.36 g/m²/year is a little more than that proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974) as a eutrophic loading (see page 11).

2. Non-point sources--Non-point sources contributed nearly 99% of the phosphorus loading to the lake during the sampling year. Blue Spring Creek contributed 46.6% of the total load, Ipson Creek contributed 35.5%, Clear Creek added 8.0%, and the ungauged minor tributaries and immediate drainage contributed an estimated 4.1%.

II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS[†]

A. Morphometry^{††}:

1. Surface area: 4.99 kilometers².
2. Mean depth: 6.4 meters.
3. Maximum depth: 14.6 meters.
4. Volume: $32,070 \times 10^6$ m³.
5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 2.2 years (based on outflow).

B. Tributary and Outlet:

(See Appendix C for flow data)

1. Tributaries -

<u>Name</u>	<u>Drainage area (km²)*</u>	<u>Mean flow (m³/sec)*</u>
Blue Spring Creek	41.4	0.400
Ipson Creek	28.5	0.208
Clear Creek	31.1	0.092
Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	<u>15.7</u>	<u>0.048</u>
Totals	116.7	0.748

2. Outlet -

Panguitch Ditch	121.7**	0.466*
-----------------	---------	--------

C. Precipitation***:

1. Year of sampling: 20.8 centimeters.
2. Mean annual: 28.5 centimeters.

[†] Table of metric conversions--Appendix B.

^{††} Sudweeks, 1975.

^{*} For limits of accuracy, see Working Paper No. 175, "... Survey Methods, 1973-1976".

^{**} Includes area of lake; lesser outflow due to evaporation.

^{***} See Working Paper No. 175.

III. WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Panguitch Lake was sampled twice during the open-water season of 1975 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from two or more depths at two stations on the lake (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m or near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll a analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 10.4 meters at station 1 and 1.8 meters at station 2.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix D and are summarized in the following table.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR PANQUITCH LAKE
STORET CODE 4914

PARAMETER	1ST SAMPLING (8/13/75)				2ND SAMPLING (9/25/75)				3RD SAMPLING			
	2 SITES		2 SITES		2 SITES		0 SITES		0 SITES		0 SITES	
	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN
TEMP (C)	11.7 - 16.3	15.5	16.2	16.0 - 16.7	16.4	16.4	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
DISS OXY (MG/L)	0.8 - 7.0	4.9	6.2	7.0 - 9.0	8.3	8.5	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
CNDCTVY (MCROMO)	172. - 180.	175.	174.	131. - 138.	135.	136.	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
PH (STAND UNITS)	7.9 - 8.9	8.6	8.8	9.1 - 9.2	9.2	9.2	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
TOT ALK (MG/L)	94. - 118.	105.	106.	97. - 99.	98.	97.	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
TOT P (MG/L)	0.042 - 0.084	0.058	0.052	0.070 - 0.108	0.086	0.086	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.007 - 0.052	0.018	0.010	0.008 - 0.011	0.009	0.009	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
N02+N03 (MG/L)	0.020 - 0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020 - 0.020	0.020	0.020	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.020 - 0.600	0.157	0.020	0.020 - 0.070	0.033	0.020	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
KJEL N (MG/L)	0.800 - 1.500	1.029	1.000	1.000 - 1.700	1.317	1.300	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
INORG N (MG/L)	0.040 - 0.620	0.177	0.040	0.040 - 0.090	0.053	0.040	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
TOTAL N (MG/L)	0.820 - 1.520	1.049	1.020	1.020 - 1.720	1.337	1.320	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
CHLRPYL A (UG/L)	15.1 - 24.0	19.5	19.5	31.2 - 113.5	72.3	72.3	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
SECCHI (METERS)	1.8 - 2.7	2.3	2.3	1.2 - 1.7	1.4	1.4	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****

B. Biological characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Dominant Genera</u>	<u>Algal Units per ml</u>
08/13/75	1. <u>Aphanizomenon</u> sp. 2. <u>Fragilaria</u> sp. 3. <u>Microcystis</u> sp. 4. <u>Sphaerocystis</u> sp. 5. <u>Cryptomonas</u> sp. Other genera	3,127 324 118 88 88 90
		Total 3,835
09/25/75	1. <u>Aphanizomenon</u> sp.	8,979
		Total 8,979

2. Chlorophyll a -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Station Number</u>	<u>Chlorophyll a (µg/l)</u>
08/13/75	1	15.1
	2	24.0
09/25/75	1	113.5
	2	31.2

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

<u>Spike (mg/l)</u>	<u>Ortho P Conc. (mg/l)</u>	<u>Inorganic N Conc. (mg/l)</u>	<u>Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)</u>
Control	0.030	0.215	8.6
0.050 P	0.080	0.215	8.6
0.050 P + 1.0 N	0.080	1.215	30.6
1.0 N	0.030	1.215	9.5

2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, Selenastrum capricornutum, indicates that the potential primary productivity of

Panguitch Lake was high at the time the assay sample was collected (08/13/75). Also, the significant increase in yield with the addition of nitrogen alone indicates that the lake was limited by nitrogen at that time.

The lake data also indicate nitrogen limitation; i.e., the mean inorganic nitrogen/orthophosphorus ratios were 10/1 or less both sampling times.

IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS
(See Appendix E for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Utah National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples when possible from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page v). Sampling was begun in November, 1974, and was completed in October, 1975.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Utah District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were calculated using mean annual concentrations and mean annual flows. Nutrient loads for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated using the mean concentrations in Clear Creek at station D-1 and the mean annual ZZ flow.

No known wastewater treatment plants impacted the lake during the sampling year.

A. Waste Sources:

1. Known municipal - None
2. Known industrial - None

B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

<u>Sources</u>	<u>kg P/ yr</u>	<u>% of total</u>
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Blue Spring Creek	845	46.6
Ipson Creek	645	35.5
Clear Creek	145	8.0
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	75	4.1
c. Known municipal STP's - None		
d. Septic tanks* -	20	1.1
e. Known industrial - None		
f. Direct precipitation** -	85	4.7
Total	1,815	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Panguitch Ditch 1,350

3. Net annual P accumulation - 465 kg.

^{*} Estimate based on 65 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.
^{**} See Working Paper No. 175.

C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

<u>Source</u>	<u>kg N/ yr</u>	<u>% of total</u>
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Blue Spring Creek	12,400	47.9
Ipson Creek	6,120	23.7
Clear Creek	825	3.2
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	430	1.7
c. Known municipal STP's - None	-	-
d. Septic tanks* -	695	2.7
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation** -	<u>5,385</u>	<u>20.8</u>
Total	25,855	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Panguitch Ditch 22,895

3. Net annual N accumulation - 2,960 kg.

D. Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

<u>Tributary</u>	<u>kg P/km²/yr</u>	<u>kg N/km²/yr</u>
Blue Spring Creek	20	300
Ipson Creek	23	215
Clear Creek	5	27

* Estimate based on 65 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.

** See Working Paper No. 175.

E. Yearly Loads:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loadings are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974). Essentially, his "dangerous" loading is one at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" loading is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic loading would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Note that Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times.

	Total Phosphorus		Total Nitrogen	
	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
grams/m ² /yr	0.36	0.09	5.2	0.6

Vollenweider phosphorus loadings
(g/m²/yr) based on mean depth and mean
hydraulic retention time of Panguitch Lake:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic loading)	0.34
"Permissible" (oligotrophic loading)	0.17

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

Sudweeks, Calvin K., 1975. Personal communication (lake morphometry).
UT Bur. of Env. Health, Salt Lake City.

Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of
the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research.
Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre
for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
0408	LAKE POWELL	0.010	0.410	339.830	3.081	13.800	0.007
4901	BEAR LAKE	0.011	0.040	253.167	0.945	9.200	0.003
4902	LOWER BOWN'S RESERVOIR	0.031	0.040	336.000	5.567	9.400	0.006
4903	DEER CREEK RESERVOIR	0.038	0.215	430.333	9.078	14.800	0.006
4904	ECHO RESERVOIR	0.047	0.170	450.333	6.967	14.000	0.012
4905	LYNN RESERVOIR	0.121	0.200	417.667	39.600	10.400	0.052
4906	FISH LAKE	0.023	0.040	152.000	12.483	10.400	0.004
4907	HUNTINGTON NORTH RESERVOIR	0.013	0.040	392.000	1.900	7.800	0.005
4908	JOE'S VALLEY RESERVOIR	0.012	0.045	400.000	2.483	11.200	0.003
4909	MINERSVILLE RESERVOIR	0.192	0.060	445.000	33.583	8.600	0.107
4910	MUON LAKE	0.008	0.040	381.000	2.700	9.600	0.002
4911	NAVAJO LAKE	0.016	0.040	368.000	2.000	6.000	0.003
4912	NEWCASTLE RESERVOIR	0.051	0.040	428.667	12.467	13.600	0.009
4913	OTTER CREEK RESERVOIR	0.067	0.040	453.667	11.767	10.600	0.033
4914	PANQUITCH LAKE	0.071	0.040	426.500	45.950	14.200	0.010
4915	PELICAN LAKE	0.044	0.050	438.500	6.350	8.400	0.004
4916	PINEVIEW RESERVOIR	0.028	0.300	435.083	5.692	14.600	0.006
4917	PIUTE RESERVOIR	0.047	0.150	482.625	25.329	11.600	0.007
4918	PORCUPINE RESERVOIR	0.025	0.110	440.000	7.860	12.400	0.011
4919	PRUESS RESERVOIR (GARRIS)	0.057	0.140	491.000	4.533	8.800	0.008
4920	SEVIER BRIDGE RESERVOIR	0.026	0.355	449.778	18.222	12.400	0.008
4921	STARVATION RESERVOIR	0.016	0.040	394.583	5.675	13.200	0.004
4922	STEINAKER RESERVOIR	0.011	0.040	316.750	1.844	12.600	0.005
4923	TROPIC RESERVOIR	0.021	0.050	425.000	7.200	8.400	0.006
4924	UTAH LAKE	0.132	0.320	490.583	72.012	11.400	0.012
4925	WILLARD BAY RESERVOIR	0.044	0.060	457.182	7.567	11.000	0.009
5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	0.011	0.690	285.636	2.500	10.400	0.003

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
0408	LAKE POWELL	96 (25)	4 (1)	81 (21)	73 (19)	15 (4)	42 (11)	311
4901	BEAR LAKE	90 (23)	87 (19)	96 (25)	100 (26)	77 (20)	90 (23)	540
4902	LOWER BROWN'S RESERVOIR	46 (12)	87 (19)	85 (22)	65 (17)	73 (19)	50 (13)	406
4903	DEER CREEK RESERVOIR	42 (11)	19 (5)	42 (11)	35 (9)	0 (0)	58 (14)	196
4904	ECHO RESERVOIR	31 (8)	27 (7)	19 (5)	50 (13)	12 (3)	13 (3)	152
4905	LYNN RESERVOIR	8 (2)	23 (6)	58 (15)	8 (2)	62 (15)	4 (1)	163
4906	FISH LAKE	62 (16)	65 (16)	100 (26)	23 (6)	62 (15)	79 (20)	391
4907	HUNTINGTON NORTH RESERVOIR	77 (20)	65 (16)	69 (18)	92 (24)	96 (25)	69 (18)	468
4908	JOE'S VALLEY RESERVOIR	81 (21)	58 (15)	62 (16)	85 (22)	46 (12)	96 (25)	428
4909	MINERSVILLE RESERVOIR	0 (0)	44 (11)	27 (7)	12 (3)	85 (22)	0 (0)	168
4910	MOON LAKE	100 (26)	87 (19)	73 (19)	77 (20)	69 (18)	100 (26)	506
4911	NAVAJO LAKE	69 (18)	87 (19)	77 (20)	88 (23)	100 (26)	85 (22)	506
4912	NEWCASTLE RESERVOIR	23 (6)	87 (19)	46 (12)	27 (7)	19 (5)	27 (7)	224
4913	OTTER CREEK RESERVOIR	15 (4)	87 (19)	15 (4)	31 (8)	54 (14)	8 (2)	210
4914	PANQUITCH LAKE	12 (3)	65 (16)	50 (13)	4 (1)	8 (2)	23 (6)	162
4915	PELICAN LAKE	37 (9)	54 (14)	35 (9)	54 (14)	90 (23)	73 (19)	343
4916	PINEVIEW RESERVOIR	50 (13)	15 (4)	38 (10)	58 (15)	4 (1)	58 (14)	223
4917	PIUTE RESERVOIR	27 (7)	31 (8)	8 (2)	15 (4)	38 (10)	46 (12)	165
4918	PORCUPINE RESERVOIR	58 (15)	38 (10)	31 (8)	38 (10)	33 (8)	19 (5)	217
4919	PRUESS RESERVOIR (GARRIS)	19 (5)	35 (9)	0 (0)	69 (18)	81 (21)	37 (9)	241
4920	SEVIER BRIDGE RESERVOIR	54 (14)	8 (2)	23 (6)	19 (5)	33 (8)	37 (9)	174
4921	STARVATION RESERVOIR	73 (19)	87 (19)	65 (17)	62 (16)	23 (6)	79 (20)	389
4922	STEINAKER RESERVOIR	85 (22)	87 (19)	88 (23)	96 (25)	27 (7)	65 (17)	448
4923	TROPIC RESERVOIR	65 (17)	50 (13)	54 (14)	46 (12)	90 (23)	58 (14)	363
4924	UTAH LAKE	4 (1)	12 (3)	4 (1)	0 (0)	42 (11)	13 (3)	75
4925	WILLARD BAY RESERVOIR	37 (9)	44 (11)	12 (3)	42 (11)	50 (13)	31 (8)	216
5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	90 (23)	0 (0)	92 (24)	81 (21)	62 (15)	90 (23)	415

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	4901	BEAR LAKE	540
2	4911	NAVAJO LAKE	506
3	4910	MOON LAKE	506
4	4907	HUNTINGTON NORTH RESERVOIR	468
5	4922	STEINAKER RESERVOIR	448
6	4908	JOE'S VALLEY RESERVOIR	428
7	5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	415
8	4902	LOWER BROWN'S RESERVOIR	406
9	4906	FISH LAKE	391
10	4921	STARVATION RESERVOIR	389
11	4923	TROPIC RESERVOIR	363
12	4915	PELICAN LAKE	343
13	0408	LAKE POWELL	311
14	4919	PRUESS RESERVOIR (GARRIS)	241
15	4912	NEWCASTLE RESERVOIR	229
16	4916	PINEVIEW RESERVOIR	223
17	4918	PORCUPINE RESERVOIR	217
18	4925	WILLARD BAY RESERVOIR	216
19	4913	CENTER CREEK RESERVOIR	210
20	4903	DEER CREEK RESERVOIR	196
21	4920	SEVIER BRIDGE RESERVOIR	174
22	4909	MINERSVILLE RESERVOIR	168
23	4917	PIUTE RESERVOIR	165
24	4905	LYNN RESERVOIR	163
25	4914	PANQUITCH LAKE	162
26	4904	ECHO RESERVOIR	152
27	4924	UTAH LAKE	75

APPENDIX B

CONVERSION FACTORS

CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters x 8.107×10^{-4} = acre/feet

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters x 0.3937 = inches

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR UTAH

10/18/76

LAKE CODE 4914 PANGUITCH LAKE

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE(SQ KM) 121.7

TRIBUTARY	SUB-DRAINAGE AREA(SQ KM)	NORMALIZED FLOWS(CMS)												MEAN
		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	
4914A1	121.7	0.057	0.085	0.142	0.396	0.821	1.388	0.991	0.934	0.538	0.085	0.085	0.057	0.466
4914B1	41.4	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.23	1.42	1.47	0.45	0.28	0.23	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.40
4914C1	28.5	0.057	0.057	0.057	0.113	0.736	0.765	0.227	0.142	0.113	0.085	0.085	0.057	0.208
4914D1	31.1	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.057	0.311	0.340	0.113	0.057	0.057	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.092
4914Z2	20.7	0.011	0.014	0.014	0.025	0.170	0.170	0.057	0.028	0.028	0.020	0.017	0.014	0.048

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE =	121.7	TOTAL FLOW IN =	9.01
SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS =	121.7	TOTAL FLOW OUT =	5.58

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
4914A1	11	74	0.011	9	0.011				
	12	74	0.011						
	1	75	0.011						
	2	75	0.011	9	0.011				
	3	75	0.011	8	0.011				
	4	75	0.042	7	0.042				
	5	75	0.048	3	0.014				
	6	75	2.039	24	2.039				
	7	75	1.671	12	1.699				
	8	75	1.812	9	2.124				
4914B1	9	75	0.566	7	1.359				
	10	75	0.023	11	0.014				
	11	74	0.227	9	0.170				
	1	75	0.113						
	2	75	0.113	9	0.113				
	3	75	0.113	8	0.113				
	4	75	0.142	7	0.142				
	5	75	0.566	3	0.425				
	6	75	1.586	24	1.019				
	7	75	0.396	12	0.311				

10 75 0.227 11 0.227

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR UTAH

10/18/76

LAKE CODE 4914 PANGUITCH LAKE

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
4914C1	11	74	0.006	9	0.006				
	12	74	0.006	14	0.006				
	1	75	0.014	11	0.014				
	2	75	0.011	9	0.011				
	3	75	0.014	8	0.014				
	4	75	0.014	7	0.014				
	5	75	0.595	3	0.481				
	6	75	1.218	24	0.651				
	7	75	0.170	12	0.227				
	8	75	0.008	9	0.008				
	9	75	0.008	7	0.008				
	10	75	0.008	11	0.008				
4914D1	11	74	0.017						
	12	74	0.017						
	1	75	0.014						
	2	75	0.014						
	3	75	0.014						
	4	75	0.017						
	5	75	0.142						
	6	75	0.453						
	7	75	0.085						
	8	75	0.057						
	9	75	0.028						
4914ZZ	10	75	0.028						
	11	74	0.008						
	12	74	0.008						
	1	75	0.008						
	2	75	0.008						
	3	75	0.008						
	4	75	0.008						
	5	75	0.085						
	6	75	0.227						
	7	75	0.057						
	8	75	0.025						
	9	75	0.020						
	10	75	0.014						

APPENDIX D

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/08/12

491401
 37 42 56.0 112 37 55.0 3
 PANQUITCH LAKE
 49017 UTAH

11EPALES 2111202
 0042 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 TALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS URTHU MG/L P
75/08/13	10 00	0000	16.3	6.2	108	175	8.90	106	0.020	0.800	0.020K	0.007
	10 00	0005	16.3	6.6		174	8.90	107	0.020	0.800	0.020K	0.007
	10 00	0015	16.0	5.2		174	8.75	106	0.060	0.900	0.020K	0.011
	10 00	0025	15.8	0.8		175	8.10	108	0.360	1.200	0.020K	0.031
	10 00	0031	11.7	1.2		180	7.90	118	0.600	1.500	0.020K	0.052
75/09/25	15 15	0000	16.4	8.4	48	136	9.20	99	0.020K	1.200	0.020K	0.008
	15 15	0005	16.7	9.0		131	9.20	97	0.020K	1.700	0.020K	0.009
	15 15	0015	16.4	9.0		136	9.20	98	0.020K	1.600	0.020K	0.010
	15 15	0034	16.0	7.0		132	9.20	97	0.020	1.400	0.020K	0.008

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL UG/L	00031 INCDT LT A REMNING PERCENT
75/08/13	10 00	0000	0.050	15.1	
	10 00	0005	0.042		
	10 00	0015	0.044		
	10 00	0025	0.076		
	10 00	0031	0.084		
75/09/25	15 15	0000	0.086	113.5	
	15 15	0005	0.108		
	15 15	0015	0.096		
	15 15	0034	0.086		

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
 LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/08/12

491402
37 42 55.0 112 39 10.0 3
PANQUITCH LAKE
49017 UTAH

11EPALES 2111202
0011 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO	00077 TRANSP SECCHI	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD INCHES	00400 PH	00410 TALK CACC3	00610 NH3-N TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL N	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO
75/08/13	10 20	0000	16.2	7.0	72	173	8.80	94	0.020	1.000	0.020K	0.010
	10 20	0005	16.2	7.0		172	8.90	95	0.020	1.000	0.020K	0.008
75/09/25	15 45	0000	16.5	8.6	66	135	9.10	97	0.050	1.000	0.020K	0.010
	15 45	0006	16.4	8.0		138	9.10	97	0.070	1.000	0.020K	0.011

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL UG/L	00031 INCDLT A REMNING PERCENT
75/08/13	10 20	0000	0.057	24.0	
	10 20	0005	0.052		
75/09/25	15 45	0000	0.070	31.2	
	15 45	0006	0.071		

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

APPENDIX E

TRIBUTARY DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/08/12

4914A1
37 43 40.0 112 37 10.0 4
PANGUITCH CREEK
49 15 PANGUITCH LK
0/PANGUITCH LAKE 150891
BRDG ON GRVL RD 1.5 MI N OF COOPER KNOB
11EPALES 2111204
0000 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
74/11/09	17	50	0.192	0.300	0.040	0.070	0.070
75/02/09	12	40	0.480	2.100	0.064	0.056	0.080
75/03/08	14	15	0.627	1.850	0.040	0.072	0.100
75/04/07	14	20	0.520	1.950	0.035	0.055	0.070
75/05/03	11	40	0.525	0.700	0.025	0.050	0.060
75/06/24	11	15	0.010	1.100	0.025	0.015	0.080
75/07/12	10	35	0.030	1.000	0.050	0.015	0.270
75/08/09	12	30	0.010	0.950	0.025	0.010	0.030
75/09/07	12	00	0.010	2.400	0.030	0.020	0.110
75/10/11	10	10	0.025	0.800	0.020	0.030	0.050

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/08/12

4914B1
37 42 22.0 112 39 30.0 4
BLUE SPRING CREEK
49 15 PANGUITCH LK
T/PANGUITCH LAKE 150891
BRDG ON GRVL RD 2.7 MI N OF MILLER KNOB.
11EPALES 2111204
0000 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS CRTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
74/11/09	18	30	0.056	1.000	0.030	0.045	0.060
74/12/14	12	20	0.128	0.700	0.025	0.030	0.040
75/02/09	13	00	0.112	0.100	0.024	0.032	0.070
75/03/08	15	00	0.085	1.200	0.016	0.040	0.070
75/04/07	14	50	0.105	1.900	0.350	0.045	0.110
75/05/03	12	15	0.056	0.608	0.021	0.042	0.159
75/06/24	10	40	0.020	0.400	0.035	0.030	0.050
75/07/12	10	10	0.025	1.700	0.015	0.035	0.035
75/08/09	15	15	0.005	0.400	0.025	0.030	0.030
75/09/07	12	40	0.005	0.500	0.010	0.020	0.080
75/10/11	09	30	0.010	1.700	0.010	0.025	0.035

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/08/12

4914C1
37 43 35.0 112 39 04.0 4
IPSON CREEK
49 15 PANGUITCH LK
T/PANGUITCH LAKE 150891
BRDG ON GRVL RD 4.0 MI N OF MILLER KNOB
11EPALES 2111204
0000 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
74/11/09	16 05		0.024	0.300	0.070	0.040	0.160
75/06/24	11 10		0.005	0.450	0.020	0.045	0.070
75/07/12	10 25		0.005	0.300	0.010	0.050	0.090
75/08/09	14 45		0.005	0.600	0.015	0.065	0.080
75/09/07	12 15		0.005	0.500	0.005	0.045	0.140
75/10/11	10 00		0.005	3.400	0.045	0.025	0.050

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/08/12

491401
37 43 20.0 112 40 12.0 4
CLEAR CREEK
49 15 PANGUITCH LK
T/PANGUITCH LAKE 150891
SEC RD BRDG 1 MI N OF RANGER STATION
11EPALES 2111204
0000 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
74/11/09	18	15	0.024	0.300	0.150		0.020
75/06/24	11	00	0.010	0.250	0.015	0.030	0.060
75/07/12	10	20	0.005	0.200	0.010	0.035	0.060
75/08/09	15	00	0.005	0.300	0.020	0.050	0.050
75/09/07	12	25	0.010	0.200	0.020		0.070
75/10/11	09	45	0.005	0.400	0.005	0.030	0.040