


**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY  
WORKING PAPER SERIES**



REPORT  
ON  
PORCUPINE RESERVOIR  
CACHE COUNTY  
UTAH  
EPA REGION VIII  
WORKING PAPER No. 855

**CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON  
and  
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA**

REPORT  
ON  
PORCUPINE RESERVOIR  
CACHE COUNTY  
UTAH  
EPA REGION VIII  
WORKING PAPER No. 855

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE  
UTAH STATE DIVISION OF HEALTH  
AND THE  
UTAH NATIONAL GUARD  
SEPTEMBER, 1977

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Foreward	ii
List of Utah Study Lakes and Reservoirs	iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	v
 <u>Sections</u>	
I. Introduction	1
II. Conclusions	1
III. Reservoir and Drainage Basin Characteristics	3
IV. Water Quality Summary	4
V. Literature Reviewed	8
VI. Appendices	9

## F O R E W O R D

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nationwide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

### OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

### ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

### LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Utah Department of Social Services and the Utah Department of Natural Resources for professional involvement, to the Utah National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey, and to those Utah wastewater treatment plant operators who voluntarily provided effluent samples and flow data.

The staffs of the Bureau of Water Quality of the Division of Health and the Division of Wildlife Resources provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.


Major General Maurice L. Watts, the Adjutant General of Utah, and Project Officer Lt. Colonel T. Ray Kingston, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Utah National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

## NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

## STUDY LAKES AND RESERVOIRS

STATE OF UTAH

<u>NAME</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>
Bear	Rich, UT; Bear Lake, ID
Deer Creek	Wasatch
Echo	Summit
Fish	Sevier
Flaming Gorge	Daggett, UT; Sweetwater, WY
Huntington	Emery
Joes Valley	Emery
Lower Bowns	Garfield
Lynn	Box Elder
Minersville	Beaver
Moon	Duchesne
Navajo	Kane
Newcastle	Iron
Otter Creek	Piute
Panguich	Garfield
Pelican	Uintah
Pineview	Weber
Piute	Piute
Porcupine	Cache
Powell	Garfield, Kane, San Juan, UT; Coconino, AZ
Pruess	Millard
Sevier Bridge	Juab, Sanpete
Starvation	Duchesne
Steinaker	Uintah
Tropic	Garfield
Utah	Utah
Willard Bay	Box Elder



## PORCUPINE RESERVOIR

STORET NO. 4918

### I. INTRODUCTION

Porcupine Reservoir was included in the National Eutrophication Survey as a water body of interest to the Utah Bureau of Environmental Health. Tributaries were not sampled, and this report relates only to lake sampling data.

### II. CONCLUSIONS

#### A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Porcupine Reservoir is eutrophic. It ranked seventeenth in overall trophic quality among the 27 Utah lakes and reservoirs sampled in 1975 when compared using a combination of six water quality parameters\*. Eleven of the water bodies had less median total phosphorus, 21 had less median dissolved orthophosphorus, 16 had less median inorganic nitrogen, 16 had less mean chlorophyll  $a$ , and 18 had greater mean Secchi disc transparency. Depression of dissolved oxygen with depth occurred in August at stations 1 and 2 (to 2.6 mg/l at 33.8 meters).

Survey limnologists noted considerable amounts of suspended sediments in May when Secchi disc depths ranged from 0.6 to 0.8 meters.

Station 2 could not be sampled in September because the reservoir had been drawn down an estimated 12 meters.

#### B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results indicate Porcupine Reservoir was limited by phosphorus at the time the sample was collected (05/

\* See Appendix A.



14/75). The reservoir data indicate phosphorus limitation in September as well but nitrogen limitation in August.

III. RESERVOIR AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS<sup>†</sup>A. Morphometry<sup>††</sup>:

1. Surface area: 0.77 kilometers<sup>2</sup>.
2. Mean depth: 20.1 meters.
3. Maximum depth: 42.4 meters.
4. Volume: 15.419 x 10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup>.

## B. Precipitation\*:

1. Year of sampling: 49.4 centimeters.
2. Mean annual: 44.7 centimeters.

---

† Table of metric conversions--Appendix B.

†† Sudweeks, 1975; maximum depth from Ikner (1975).

\* See Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods 1973-1976".

#### IV. WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Porcupine Reservoir was sampled three times during the open-water season of 1975 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from a number of depths at two stations in May and August and one station in September (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll a analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 39.6 meters at station 1 and 21.3 meters at station 2. Station 2 was dry in September due to reservoir drawdown.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix C and are summarized in the following table.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR PORCUPINE RESERVOIR  
STORET CODE 4918

1ST SAMPLING ( 5/14/75)

2ND SAMPLING ( 8/ 6/75)

3RD SAMPLING ( 9/23/75)

2 SITES

2 SITES

1 SITES

PARAMETER	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN
TEMP (C)	4.4 - 9.7	6.6	6.0	9.2 - 21.8	17.3	19.6	16.6 - 17.0	16.9	17.0
DISS OXY (MG/L)	8.4 - 13.0	10.8	10.8	2.6 - 10.0	7.6	9.3	5.8 - 6.2	6.0	5.9
CNDCTVY (MCROMO)	169. - 245.	203.	202.	223. - 297.	271.	281.	272. - 278.	275.	274.
PH (STAND UNITS)	8.1 - 8.9	8.6	8.6	7.7 - 8.6	8.2	8.3	7.9 - 8.1	8.0	8.0
TOT ALK (MG/L)	126. - 197.	150.	144.	161. - 193.	171.	169.	177. - 186.	182.	183.
TOT P (MG/L)	0.019 - 0.090	0.051	0.041	0.016 - 0.063	0.029	0.022	0.016 - 0.020	0.018	0.018
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.006 - 0.024	0.013	0.012	0.005 - 0.016	0.011	0.012	0.002 - 0.003	0.002	0.002
NO2+NO3 (MG/L)	0.050 - 0.230	0.147	0.150	0.020 - 0.220	0.074	0.020	0.020 - 0.030	0.025	0.025
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.020 - 0.060	0.032	0.030	0.020 - 0.080	0.034	0.020	0.020 - 0.050	0.032	0.030
KJEL N (MG/L)	0.300 - 1.000	0.442	0.350	0.200 - 0.300	0.250	0.250	0.200 - 0.200	0.200	0.200
INORG N (MG/L)	0.070 - 0.290	0.179	0.185	0.040 - 0.280	0.108	0.040	0.050 - 0.080	0.057	0.050
TOTAL N (MG/L)	0.380 - 1.050	0.588	0.555	0.220 - 0.520	0.324	0.290	0.220 - 0.230	0.225	0.225
CHLORPYL A (UG/L)	5.3 - 12.2	8.7	8.7	6.5 - 8.5	7.5	7.5	6.8 - 6.8	6.8	6.8
SECCHI (METERS)	0.6 - 0.8	0.7	0.7	1.8 - 2.4	2.1	2.1	2.0 - 2.0	2.0	2.0

## B. Biological Characteristics:

## 1. Phytoplankton -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Dominant Genera</u>	<u>Algal Units per ml</u>
05/14/75	1. <u>Chroomonas sp.</u>	3,196
	2. <u>Dinobryon sp.</u>	761
	3. <u>Cryptomonas sp.</u>	203
	Total	4,160
08/06/75	1. <u>Dinobryon sp.</u>	1,147
	2. <u>Cyclotella sp.</u>	688
	3. <u>Cryptomonas sp.</u>	191
	4. <u>Oocystis sp.</u>	76
	5. <u>Peridinium sp.</u>	38
	Other genera	40
Total	2,180	
09/23/75	1. <u>Cyclotella sp.</u>	887
	2. <u>Melosira sp.</u>	242
	3. <u>Dinobryon sp.</u>	202
	4. <u>Asterionella sp.</u>	161
	5. <u>Cryptomonas sp.</u>	121
	Other genera	121
Total	1,734	

2. Chlorophyll a -

<u>Sampling Date</u>	<u>Station Number</u>	<u>Chlorophyll <u>a</u> (<math>\mu\text{g/l}</math>)</u>
05/14/75	1	5.3
	2	12.2
08/06/75	1	8.5
	2	6.5
09/23/75	1	6.8
	2	-

## C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

## 1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

<u>Spike (mg/l)</u>	<u>Ortho P Conc. (mg/l)</u>	<u>Inorganic N Conc. (mg/l)</u>	<u>Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)</u>
Control	0.015	0.195	2.0
0.050 P	0.065	0.195	9.2
0.050 P + 1.0 N	0.065	1.195	23.3
1.0 N	0.015	1.195	2.8

## 2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, Selenastrum capricornutum, indicates that the potential primary productivity of Porcupine Reservoir was moderately high at the time the sample was collected (05/14/75). Also, the significant increase in yield with the addition of phosphorus alone indicates the reservoir was phosphorus limited at that time. Note that the addition of nitrogen alone resulted in a yield not significantly greater than that of the control.

The reservoir data indicate phosphorus limitation in May and September but nitrogen limitation in August. The mean inorganic nitrogen/orthophosphorus ratios were 14/1 in May, 29/1 in September, and 10/1 in August. Nitrogen limitation would be expected when N/P ratios are less than 14/1.

## V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

Ikner, James, 1975. Personal communication (maximum depth). U.S. Geol. Surv., Salt Lake City.

Sudweeks, Calvin K., 1975. Personal communication (reservoir morphometry). UT Bur. of Env. Health, Salt Lake City.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS



## LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
0408	LAKE POWELL	0.010	0.410	339.830	3.081	13.800	0.007
4901	BEAR LAKE	0.011	0.040	253.167	0.945	9.200	0.003
4902	LOWER BOWN'S RESERVOIR	0.031	0.040	336.000	5.567	9.400	0.006
4903	DEER CREEK RESERVOIR	0.038	0.215	430.333	9.078	14.800	0.006
4904	ECHO RESERVOIR	0.047	0.170	450.333	6.967	14.000	0.012
4905	LYNN RESERVOIR	0.121	0.200	417.667	39.600	10.400	0.052
4906	FISH LAKE	0.023	0.040	152.000	12.483	10.400	0.004
4907	HUNTINGTON NORTH RESERVO	0.013	0.040	392.000	1.900	7.800	0.005
4908	JOE'S VALLEY RESERVOIR	0.012	0.045	400.000	2.483	11.200	0.003
4909	MINERSVILLE RESERVOIR	0.192	0.060	445.000	33.583	8.600	0.107
4910	MOON LAKE	0.008	0.040	381.000	2.700	9.600	0.002
4911	NAVAJO LAKE	0.016	0.040	368.000	2.000	6.000	0.003
4912	NEWCASTLE RESERVOIR	0.051	0.040	428.667	12.467	13.600	0.009
4913	OTTER CREEK RESERVOIR	0.067	0.040	453.667	11.767	10.600	0.033
4914	PANQUITCH LAKE	0.071	0.040	426.500	45.950	14.200	0.010
4915	PELICAN LAKE	0.044	0.050	438.500	6.350	8.400	0.004
4916	PINEVIEW RESERVOIR	0.028	0.300	435.083	5.692	14.600	0.006
4917	PIUTE RESERVOIR	0.047	0.150	482.625	25.329	11.600	0.007
4918	PORCUPINE RESERVOIR	0.025	0.110	440.000	7.860	12.400	0.011
4919	PRUESS RESERVOIR (GARRIS	0.057	0.140	491.000	4.533	8.800	0.008
4920	SEVIER BRIDGE RESERVOIR	0.026	0.355	449.778	18.222	12.400	0.008
4921	STARVATION RESERVOIR	0.016	0.040	394.583	5.675	13.200	0.004
4922	STEINAKER RESERVOIR	0.011	0.040	316.750	1.844	12.600	0.005
4923	TROPIC RESERVOIR	0.021	0.050	425.000	7.200	8.400	0.006
4924	UTAH LAKE	0.132	0.320	490.583	72.012	11.400	0.012
4925	WILLARD BAY RESERVOIR	0.044	0.060	457.182	7.567	11.000	0.009
5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	0.031	0.050	205.086	2.900	10.400	0.003

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLOR A	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
0408	LAKE POWELL	96 ( 25)	4 ( 1)	81 ( 21)	73 ( 19)	15 ( 4)	42 ( 11)	311
4901	BEAR LAKE	90 ( 23)	87 ( 19)	96 ( 25)	100 ( 26)	77 ( 20)	90 ( 23)	540
4902	LOWER BOWN'S RESERVOIR	46 ( 12)	87 ( 19)	85 ( 22)	65 ( 17)	73 ( 19)	50 ( 13)	406
4903	DEER CREEK RESERVOIR	42 ( 11)	19 ( 5)	42 ( 11)	35 ( 9)	0 ( 0)	58 ( 14)	196
4904	ECHO RESERVOIR	31 ( 8)	27 ( 7)	19 ( 5)	50 ( 13)	12 ( 3)	13 ( 3)	152
4905	LYNN RESERVOIR	8 ( 2)	23 ( 6)	58 ( 15)	8 ( 2)	62 ( 15)	4 ( 1)	163
4906	FISH LAKE	62 ( 16)	65 ( 16)	100 ( 26)	23 ( 6)	62 ( 15)	79 ( 20)	391
4907	HUNTINGTON NORTH RESERVOIR	77 ( 20)	65 ( 16)	69 ( 18)	92 ( 24)	96 ( 25)	69 ( 18)	468
4908	JOE'S VALLEY RESERVOIR	81 ( 21)	58 ( 15)	62 ( 16)	85 ( 22)	46 ( 12)	96 ( 25)	428
4909	MINERSVILLE RESERVOIR	0 ( 0)	44 ( 11)	27 ( 7)	12 ( 3)	85 ( 22)	0 ( 0)	168
4910	MOON LAKE	100 ( 26)	87 ( 19)	73 ( 19)	77 ( 20)	69 ( 18)	100 ( 26)	506
4911	NAVAJO LAKE	69 ( 18)	87 ( 19)	77 ( 20)	88 ( 23)	100 ( 26)	85 ( 22)	506
4912	NEWCASTLE RESERVOIR	23 ( 6)	87 ( 19)	46 ( 12)	27 ( 7)	19 ( 5)	27 ( 7)	229
4913	OTTER CREEK RESERVOIR	15 ( 4)	87 ( 19)	15 ( 4)	31 ( 8)	54 ( 14)	8 ( 2)	210
4914	PANQUITCH LAKE	12 ( 3)	65 ( 16)	50 ( 13)	4 ( 1)	8 ( 2)	23 ( 6)	162
4915	PELICAN LAKE	37 ( 9)	54 ( 14)	35 ( 9)	54 ( 14)	90 ( 23)	73 ( 19)	343
4916	PINEVIEW RESERVOIR	50 ( 13)	15 ( 4)	38 ( 10)	58 ( 15)	4 ( 1)	58 ( 14)	223
4917	PIUTE RESERVOIR	27 ( 7)	31 ( 8)	8 ( 2)	15 ( 4)	38 ( 10)	46 ( 12)	165
4918	PORCUPINE RESERVOIR	58 ( 15)	38 ( 10)	31 ( 8)	38 ( 10)	33 ( 8)	19 ( 5)	217
4919	PRUESS RESERVOIR (GARRIS)	19 ( 5)	35 ( 9)	0 ( 0)	69 ( 18)	81 ( 21)	37 ( 9)	241
4920	SEVIER BRIDGE RESERVOIR	54 ( 14)	8 ( 2)	23 ( 6)	19 ( 5)	33 ( 8)	37 ( 9)	174
4921	STARVATION RESERVOIR	73 ( 19)	87 ( 19)	65 ( 17)	62 ( 16)	23 ( 6)	79 ( 20)	389
4922	STEINAKER RESERVOIR	85 ( 22)	87 ( 19)	88 ( 23)	96 ( 25)	27 ( 7)	65 ( 17)	448
4923	TROPIC RESERVOIR	65 ( 17)	50 ( 13)	54 ( 14)	46 ( 12)	90 ( 23)	58 ( 14)	363
4924	UTAH LAKE	4 ( 1)	12 ( 3)	4 ( 1)	0 ( 0)	42 ( 11)	13 ( 3)	75
4925	WILLARD BAY RESERVOIR	37 ( 9)	44 ( 11)	12 ( 3)	42 ( 11)	50 ( 13)	31 ( 8)	216
5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	90 ( 23)	0 ( 0)	92 ( 24)	81 ( 21)	62 ( 15)	90 ( 23)	415

## LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	4901	BEAR LAKE	540
2	4911	NAVAJO LAKE	506
3	4910	MOON LAKE	506
4	4907	HUNTINGTON NORTH RESERVO	468
5	4922	STEINAKER RESERVOIR	448
6	4908	JOE'S VALLEY RESERVOIR	428
7	5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	415
8	4902	LOWER BOWN'S RESERVOIR	406
9	4906	FISH LAKE	391
10	4921	STARVATION RESERVOIR	389
11	4923	TROPIC RESERVOIR	363
12	4915	PELICAN LAKE	343
13	0408	LAKE POWELL	311
14	4919	PRUESS RESERVOIR (GARRIS	241
15	4912	NEWCASTLE RESERVOIR	229
16	4916	PINEVIEW RESERVOIR	223
17	4918	PORCUPINE RESERVOIR	217
18	4925	WILLARD BAY RESERVOIR	216
19	4913	OTTER CREEK RESERVOIR	210
20	4903	DEER CREEK RESERVOIR	196
21	4920	SEVIER BRIDGE RESERVOIR	174
22	4909	MINERSVILLE RESERVOIR	168
23	4917	PIUTE RESERVOIR	165
24	4905	LYNN RESERVOIR	163
25	4914	PANQUITCH LAKE	162
26	4904	ECHO RESERVOIR	152
27	4924	UTAH LAKE	75

**APPENDIX B**

**CONVERSION FACTORS**

## CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters x  $8.107 \times 10^{-4}$  = acre/feet

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters x 0.3937 = inches

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

**APPENDIX C**

**PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA**

49180  
 41 31 10.0 111 44 41.0 3  
 PORCUPINE RESERVOIR  
 49005 UTAH

150791

11EPALES 2111202  
 0134 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CONDUCTIVITY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CAC03 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
75/05/14	15 10	0000	9.0	11.2	24	210	8.75	145	0.030	0.500	0.090	0.006
	15 10	0005	7.9	11.2		204	8.75	145	0.020	0.300	0.100	0.006
	15 10	0025	6.4	10.4		200	8.50	141	0.040	0.300	0.180	0.009
	15 10	0050	5.6	10.2		191	8.40	162	0.030	0.300	0.200	0.011
	15 10	0075	5.3	9.6		217	8.30	181	0.040	0.300	0.210	0.014
	15 10	0100	4.8	8.4		231	8.10	197	0.060	0.400	0.230	0.018
	15 10	0130	4.4	11.2		245	8.70	144	0.030	0.500	0.120	0.013
75/08/06	16 20	0000	21.5	9.9	72	280	8.50	163	0.020	0.200	0.020K	0.011
	16 20	0005	21.1	10.0		281	8.30	163	0.020K	0.300	0.020K	0.005
	16 20	0015	19.8	9.4		287	8.40	170	0.020	0.300	0.020K	0.011
	16 20	0040	16.1	7.0		297	7.70	189	0.050	0.200	0.060	0.006
	16 20	0080	10.0	4.8		223	8.00	172	0.030	0.300	0.220	0.009
	16 20	0111	9.2	2.6		223	7.80	168	0.060	0.300	0.220	0.014
	16 20	0130	4.4	11.2		245	8.70	144	0.030	0.500	0.120	0.013
75/09/23	08 40	0000	17.0	6.2	78	272	7.90	177	0.020	0.200	0.030	0.003
	08 40	0005	17.0	6.0		274	8.00	182	0.030	0.200K	0.020	0.003
	08 40	0019	17.0	5.8		274	8.00	186	0.050	0.200	0.030	0.002
	08 40	0051	16.6	5.9		278	8.10	183	0.030	0.200	0.020	0.002

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L	00031 INCDT LT REMNING PERCENT
75/05/14	15 10	0000	0.042	5.3	
	15 10	0005	0.040		
	15 10	0025	0.041		
	15 10	0050	0.025		
	15 10	0075	0.019		
	15 10	0100	0.064		
	15 10	0130	0.041		
75/08/06	16 20	0000	0.022	8.5	
	16 20	0005	0.020		
	16 20	0015	0.026		
	16 20	0040	0.022		
	16 20	0080	0.020		
	16 20	0111	0.063		
	16 20	0130	0.041		
75/09/23	08 40	0000	0.018	6.8	
	08 40	0005	0.018		
	08 40	0019	0.020		
	08 40	0051	0.016		

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE  
 LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/03/12

491802  
41 31 05.0 111 43 42.0 3  
PORCUPINE RESERVOIR  
49005 UTAH

150791

11EPALES 2111202  
0074 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CONDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CAC03 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
75/05/14	15 35	0000	9.1	11.4	30	200	8.90	142	0.030	0.600	0.080	0.006
	15 35	0005	9.7	13.0		205	8.90	143	0.020	1.000	0.050	0.011
	15 35	0025	6.4	10.4		189	8.50	126	0.030	0.500	0.200	0.020
	15 35	0050	5.4	10.2		169	8.40	137	0.040	0.300	0.220	0.024
	15 35	0070	4.9	12.6		179	8.80	142	0.020	0.300	0.080	0.013
75/08/06	16 00	0000	21.8	9.4	96	290	8.63	163	0.020	0.200K	0.020K	0.013
	16 00	0005	21.8	9.6		265	8.60	161	0.020	0.200K	0.020K	0.014
	16 00	0020	19.4	9.2		295	8.50	172	0.020	0.200K	0.020	0.013
	16 00	0049	12.8	4.4		273	8.00	193	0.080	0.300	0.120	0.016

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L	00031 INCDT LT REMNING PERCENT
75/05/14	15 35	0000	0.090	12.2	
	15 35	0005	0.084		
	15 35	0025	0.064		
	15 35	0050	0.062		
	15 35	0070	0.041		
75/08/06	16 00	0000	0.016	6.5	
	16 00	0005	0.021		
	16 00	0020	0.022		
	16 00	0049	0.054		

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE  
LESS THAN INDICATED