U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

WORKING PAPER SERIES



REPORT
ON
SODA LAKE
SUBLETTE COUNTY
WYOMING
EPA REGION VIII
WORKING PAPER No. 891

CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON and ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPORT
ON
SODA LAKE
SUBLETTE COUNTY
WYOMING
EPA REGION VIII
WORKING PAPER No. 891

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
AND THE
WYOMING NATIONAL GUARD
SEPTEMBER, 1977

	Page
Foreword	ii
List of Wyoming Lakes and Reservoirs	·iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	V
Sections	
I. Introduction	1
II. Conclusions	1
III. Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	2
IV. Water Quality Summary	3
V. Literature Reviewed	7
VI. Appendices	8

<u>FOREWORD</u>

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nation-wide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and water-shed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's freshwater lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality for professional involvement, to the Wyoming National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey, and to those Wyoming wastewater treatment plant operators who voluntarily provided effluent samples.

The staff of the Water Quality Division provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Brigadier General James L. Spence, The Adjutant General of Wyoming, and Project Officer Colonel Donald L. Boyer, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Wyoming National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

STUDY RESERVOIRS

State of Wyoming

NAME COUNTY

Big Sandy Sublette, Sweetwater

Boulder Sublette

Boysen Fremont

De Smet Johnson

Flaming Gorge Sweetwater, WY; Daggett, UT

Fremont Sublette

Glendo Converse, Platte

Keyhole Crook

Ocean Fremont

Seminoe Carbon


Soda Sublette

Viva Naughton . Lincoln

Woodruff Narrows . Uinta

Yellowtail Bighorn, WY; Bighorn,

Carbon, MT



SODA LAKE

STORET NO. 5011

I. INTRODUCTION

Soda Lake was included in the National Eutrophication Survey as a water body of interest to the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality. Tributaries and nutrient sources were not sampled, and this report relates only to the lake sampling data.

II. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Soda Lake is eutrophic. It ranked ninth in overall trophic quality when the 14 Wyoming lakes and reservoirs sampled in 1975 were compared using a combination of six parameters*. Ten of the water bodies had less median total phosphorus, seven had less and two had the same median orthophosphorus, none had less and four had the same median inorganic nitrogen, five had less mean chlorophyll a, and four had greater mean Secchi disc transparency. Depletion of hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen occurred at both sampling stations in August and at station 1 in October.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results indicate the primary productivity of Soda Lake was limited by nitrogen at the time the sample was collected (10/20/75). The lake data indicate nitrogen limitation at both sampling times.

^{*} See Appendix A.

III. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICST

A. Morphometry^{††}:

- Surface area: 1.11 kilometers².
- 2. Mean depth: Unknown.
- 3. Maximum depth: 67.1 meters.
- 4. Volume: Unknown.

B. Precipitation*:

- 1. Year of sampling: 25.7 centimeters.
- 2. Mean annual: 28.5 centimeters.

⁺ Table of metric conversions--Appendix B.

⁺⁺ Prior, 1974.
* See Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods, 1973-1976".

IV. WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Soda Lake was sampled two times during the open-water season of 1975 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from a number of depths at two stations on the lake (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the October visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll <u>a</u> analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 53.3 meters at station 1 and 15.8 meters at station 2.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix C and are summarized in the following table.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR SODA LAKE STORET CODE 5611

	1ST SAMPL	ING (8/28/75)	2ND SAMPL	ING (10/20/75)	3RU SAMPLING (**/**/**)		
	5 8	SITES	2 5	SITES	U SITES		
PARAMETER	RANGE	MEAN MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN MEDIAN	HANGE MEAN MEDIAN		
TEMP (C)	3.4 - 17.2	10.3 11.5	6.5 - 12.0	10.0 11.9	383506 _666506350666666666666		
DISS OXY (MG/L)	0.0 - 7.6	3.9 6.0	0.0 - 8.4	4.6 7.6	000000 _0000000000000000000000000000000		
CNDCTVY (MCROMO)	2230 3216.	2720. 2441.	2269 3709.	2636. 2503.	\$\$\$\$\$\$ ~\$		
PH (STAND UNITS)	9.4 - 9.5	9.5 9.5	9.5 - 9.6	9.5 9.5	30300 -000000000000000000000		
TOT ALK (MG/L)	1920 2620.	2214. 2320.	1540 2260.	1981. 2020.			
TOT P (MG/L)	0.037 - 0.308	0.099 0.062	0.049 - 0.317	0.118 0.064	200000 -000000000000000000000		
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.003 - 0.259	0.062 0.020	0.003 - 0.290	0.072 0.009	200000 -0000000000000000000000		
NO2+NO3 (MG/L)	0.020 - 0.020	0.020 0.020	0.020 - 0.040	0.022 0.020	000000 -00000000000000000000000		
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.020 - 0.460	0.091 0.020	0.020 - 0.500	0.098 0.020	************************		
KJEL N (MG/L)	1.800 - 4.300	2.562 2.600	2.100 - 4.400	2.755 2.400	200000 -000000000000000000000		
INORG N (MG/L)	0.040 - 0.480	0.111 0.040	0.040 - 0.540	0.120 0.040	000000 -00000000000000000000000		
TOTAL N (MG/L)	1.820 - 4.320	2.582 2.620	2.120 - 4.420	2.776 2.420	000000 -000000000000000000000		
CHLRPYL A (UG/L)	2.6 - 3.7	3.1 3.1	7.8 - 8.2	8.0 8.0	950500 -000000000000000000000		
SECCHI (METERS)	3.2 - 3.4	3.3 3.3	2.4 - 2.4	2.4 2.4	******		

B. Biological Characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Algal Units per ml
08/28/75	 Cyanophytan coccoid colonies 	221
	Elakatothrix sp.	85
	 Elakatothrix sp. Aphanizomenon sp. 	_17
	Total	323
10/20/75	 Campylodiscus sp. Pediastrum sp. Navicula sp. 	trace trace trace

2. Chlorophyll a -

Sampling Date	Station Number	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> (μg/l)
08/28/75	1 2	2.6 3.7
10/20/75	1 2	8.2 7.8

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

Spike (mg/l)	Ortho P Conc. (mg/1)	Inorganic N Conc. (mg/l)	Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)
Control	0.035	0.215	6.8
0.050 P	0.085	0.215	7.1
0.050 P + 1.0 N	0.085	1.215	31.9
1.0 N	0.035	1.215	17.7

2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, <u>Selenastrum capri-cornutum</u>, indicates that the potential primary productivity of Soda Lake was high at the time the assay sample was collected (10/20/75). Also, the increase in yield when only nitrogen was added indicates nitrogen limitation at

that time.

The lake data indicate nitrogen limitation both sampling times (the mean inorganic nitrogen to orthophosphorus ratios were less than two to one both times).

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

- Prior, Roy E., 1974. Personal communication (lake morphometry). WY Dept. of Env. Qual., Cheyenne.
- Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research. Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLURA	15 - 00 NIM	MEUIAN DISS ORTHO P
5601	BIG SANDY RESERVOIR	0.087	0.060	487.667	4.383	6. ∂00	0.020
5602	ROULDER LAKE	0.008	0.040	361.600	2.483	8.400	500.0
5603	HOYSEN RESERVOIR	0.037	6.140	465.923	ó∙264	14.400	0.014
5604	LAKE DE SMET	0.033	0.040	409.000	11.167	9.400	0.006
5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	0.014	0.605	366.461	5.611	12.200	0.003
5606	FREMONT LAKE	0.006	0.040	-22.000	3.783	7.400	0.002
5607	GLENDO RESERVOIR	0.045	0.315	459.182	8.473	12.600	0.014
5608	KEY HOLE RESERVOIR	0.028	0.050	454.583	7.792	14.000	0.004
5609	OCEAN LAKE	0.043	0.040	478.333	7.500	8.600	0.004
5610	SEMINOLE RESERVOIR	0.030	0.130	447.000	2.536	11.000	0.007
5611	SODALAKE	0.063	0.040	387.500	5.575	15.000	0.014
5612	VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVUIR	0.065	0.120	430.000	25.067	13.200	0.024
5613	WOODRUFF NARROWS RESERVO	0.069	0.105	470.000	12.950	13.200	0.019
5614	YELLOWTAIL RESERVOIR	0.026	0.310	364.500	5.410	10.000	0.017

PERCENT. OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES INUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN ASCJHO	15- Min D0	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	NO INDEX
5601	BIG SANDY RESERVOIR	0 (0)	54 (7)	0 (0)	77 (10)	77 (10)	a (1)	216
5602	BOULDER LAKE	92 (12)	92 (11)	92 (12)	100 (13)	92 (12)	92 (12)	560
5603	BOYSEN RESERVOIK	46 (6)	23 (3)	53 (3)	46 (6)	8 (1)	42 (- 5)	168
5604	LAKE DE SMET	54 (7)	73 (9)	62 (8)	15 (2)	69 (9)	62 (8)	335
5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	85 (11)	0 (0)	77 (10)	54 (7)	46 (6)	85 (11)	347
5606	FREMONT LAKE	100 (13)	73 (9)	100 (13)	85 (11)	100 (13)	100 (13)	558
5607	GLENDO RESERVOIR	31 (4)	8 (1)	31 (4)	23 (3)	38 (5)	42 (5)	173
5608	KEY HOLE RESERVOIR	69 (9)	62 (8)	38 (5)	31 (4)	15 (2)	69 (9)	284
5609	OCEAN LAKE	38 (5)	92 (11)	8 (1)	38 (5)	85 (11)	77 (10)	338
5610	SEMINOLE RESERVOIR	62 (8)	3] (4)	46 (6)	92 (12)	54 (7)	54 (7)	339
5611	SODA LAKE	23 (3)	92 (11)	69 (9)	62 (8)	0 (0)	31 (4)	277
5612	VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR	15 (2)	39 (5)	54 (7)	0 (0)	27 (3)	0 (0)	134
5613	WOODPUFF NARROWS RESERVO	8 (1)	46 (6)	15 (2)	8 (1)	27 (3)	15 (2)	119
5614	YELLOWTAIL RESERVOIR	77 (10)	15 (2)	85 (11)	69 (9)	62 (8)	23 (3)	331

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	5602	BOULDER LAKE	560
2	5606	FREMONT LÄKE	558
3	5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	347
4	5610	SEMINOLE RESERVOIR	3 39
5	5609	OCEAN LAKE	338
6	5604	LAKE DE SMET	335
7	5614	YELLOWTAIL RESERVOIR	331
8	5608	KEY HOLE RESERVOIR	284
9	5611	SODA LAKE	277
10	5601	BIG SANDY RESERVOIR	216
11	5603	BOYSEN RESERVOIR	188
12	5607	GLENDO RESERVOIR	173
13	5612	VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR	134
14	5613	WOODRUFF NARROWS RESERVE	119

APPENDIX B

CONVERSION FACTORS

CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters $\times 8.107 \times 10^{-4} = acre/feet$

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters $x \ 0.3937 = inches$

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x = 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX C

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

561102 42 49 33.0 109 36 17.0 3 SODA LAKE 56035 WYOMING

11EPALES 2111202 0056 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

OATE FROM TO	TIME DEPTH OF DAY FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 N026N03 N-TUTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
75/08/28 75/10/20	11 25 0000 11 25 0005 11 25 0025 11 25 0035 11 25 0052 09 15 0000 09 15 0020 09 15 0040	17.2 17.2 11.5 5.9 4.5 12.0 12.0 11.9 9.7	7.0 6.8 6.0 1.6 0.0 8.4 8.2 8.1	126 96	3216 3210 2230 2441 2338 2707 2503 2591 2335	9.40 9.50 9.50 9.45 9.40 9.60 9.60 9.50	1940 1980 2080 2620 2320 1810 1840 2160 2020	0.040 0.020 0.020 0.210 0.460 0.030 0.020 0.020	2.700 2.600 2.700 2.800 2.800 2.100 2.200 2.400 3.000	0.020K 0.020K 0.020K 0.020K 0.020K 0.020K 0.020K 0.020K	0.020 0.006 0.005 0.050 0.099 0.009 0.005 0.004
DATE FROM TO 75/08/28	TIME DEPTH OF DAY FEET 11 25 0000 11 25 0005 11 25 0025 11 25 0035	MG/L P 0.037 0.040 0.062 0.101	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L 3.7	00031 INCDT LT REMNING PERCENT		•					
75/10/20	09 15 0000 09 15 0005	0.053	7.8								

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

9.064

9.167

U9 15 0020

09 15 0040

561101 42 50 12.0 109 35 56.0 3 SODA LAKE 56035 WYOMING

2111202 11EPALES 0999 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

0.020K

0.020K

0.020K

0.020K

0.020K 0.020K

0.020K

0.020K

0.020K

0.020K

0.020K

0.040

0.020K 0.020K

00671

PHOS-DIS

MG/L P

0.003

0.004

0.004

0.004

0.067 0.112

0.179

0.259

0.005

0.004

0.003

0.065

0.102

0.265 0.290

ORTHO

								•		
DATE FROM		00010 WATER TEMP	00300 DO	00077 TRANSP SECCHI	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD	004 00 PH	00410 · T ALK CACO3	00610 NH3-N TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL N	00630 NO25NO3 N-TOTAL
TO	DAY FEET	CENT	MG/L	INCHES	MICROMHO	Su	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L
75/08/	28 10 50 0000	17.1	7.2	132	3206	9.50	1920	0.020	1.800	0.020
	10 50 0005	17.1	7.6		3207	9.50	2320	0.020	2.000	0.020
	10 50 0011	16.8	7.4		3187	9.50	2400	0.020	2.100	0.020
	10 50 0025	11.8	6.8		2884	9.50	2340	0.020	2.200	0.020
	10 50 0050	5.1	0.6		2375	9.50	2380	0.290	2.300	0.020
	10 50 0090	3.4	0.0		2325	9.50	2000	0.020K		0.020
	10 50 0130	3.4	0.0		2354	9.45	2020	0.020K	2.800	0.020
	10 50 0170	. 3.5	0.0		2391	9.40	2460	0.020K	4.300	0.020
75/10/	20 09 45 0000	12.0	8.4	96	3709	9.55	1760	0.030	2.300	0.020
	09 45 0005	12.0	8.3			9 • 55.	2100	0.020	2.300	0.020
	09 45 0020	11.9	7.6			9.55	1860	0.020	2.200	0.020
	09 45 0045	8.4	0.0			9.50	2260	0.220	2.600	0.020
	09 45 0085	6.6	0.0			9.50	1540	0.500	3.000	0.040
	09 45 0130	6.5	0.0		2269	9.50	2240	0.020K	3.800	0.020
	09 45 0175	6.5	0.0		2340	9.50	2200	0.020K	4.400	0.020
		00665	32217	00031		•				
DATE	TIME DEPTH		CHLRPHYL	INCOT LT						
FROM			A	REMNING						
10	DAY FEET	MG/L P	UG/L	PERCENT						
75/08/	28 10 50 0000	0.039	2.6			•				
	10 50 0005	0.040								
	10 50 0011	9.040								
	10 50 0025	0.044								
	10 50 0050	0.096								
	10 50 0090	0.136								
	10 50 0130	0.214								
	10 50 0170	0.308								
75/10/	20 09 45 0000	0.053	8.2							
	09 45 0005	0.049								
	09 45 0020	0.057								
	09 45 0045	0.112								

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

0.151 0.218

0.317

09 45 0085

09 45 0130 09 45 0175