U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY WORKING PAPER SERIES



REPORT
ON
VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR
LINCOLN COUNTY
WYOMING
EPA REGION VIII
WORKING PAPER No. 892

CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON and ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPORT
ON
VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR
LINCOLN COUNTY
WYOMING
EPA REGION VIII
WORKING PAPER No. 892

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE
WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
AND THE
WYOMING NATIONAL GUARD
AUGUST, 1977

CONTENTS

		Page
For	reword	ii
Li	st of Wyoming Lakes and Reservoirs	iv
Lal	ke and Drainage Area Map	. v
Sec	ctions	
I.	Conclusions	1
II.	Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	. 4
II.	Lake Water Quality Summary	5
IV.	Nutrient Loadings	9
٧.	Literature Reviewed	.13
VI.	Appendices	. 14

FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nation-wide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

<u>OBJECTIVES</u>

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and water-shed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's freshwater lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality for professional involvement, to the Wyoming National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey, and to those Wyoming wastewater treatment plant operators who voluntarily provided effluent samples.

The staff of the Water Quality Division provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Brigadier General James L. Spence, The Adjutant General of Wyoming, and Project Officer Colonel Donald L. Boyer, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Wyoming National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

STUDY RESERVOIRS

State of Wyoming

NAME <u>COUNTY</u>

Big Sandy Sublette, Sweetwater

Boulder Sublette

Boysen Fremont

De Smet Johnson

Flaming Gorge Sweetwater, WY; Daggett, UT

Fremont Sublette

Glendo Converse, Platte

Keyhole Crook

Ocean Fremont

Seminoe Carbon


Soda Sublette

Viva Naughton Lincoln

Woodruff Narrows . Uinta

Yellowtail Bighorn, WY; Bighorn,

Carbon, MT



VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR STORET NO. 5612

I. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Viva Naughton Reservoir is eutrophic. It ranked thirteenth in overall trophic quality among the 14 Wyoming lakes and reservoirs sampled in 1975 when compared using a combination of six water quality parameters*. Eleven of the water bodies had less median total phosphorus, all of the others had less median dissolved orthophosphorus and mean chlorophyll <u>a</u>, eight had less median inorganic nitrogen, and six had greater mean Secchi disc transparency. Marked depression of dissolved oxygen with depth occurred at station 2 in August.

Survey limnologists noted algal blooms in progress each of the three sampling times, and massive summer blue-green algae blooms in this reservoir have been reported (Gaufin, 1963).

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results are not considered representative of conditions in the reservoir at the times the samples were taken (08/06/75 and 10/16/75) due to significant changes in the nutrients in the samples during shipment from the field to the laboratory.

The reservoir data indicate nitrogen limitation at all sampling times.

^{*}See Appendix A.

C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--No known municipal or industrial waste-water facilities impacted Viva Naughton Reservoir during the sampling year. Septic tanks serving shoreline residences were estimated to have contributed 0.3% of the total phosphorus load, but a shoreline survey would have to be conducted to determine the significance of those sources.

The present phosphorus loading of 0.67 g/m²/year is more than that proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974) as an oligotrophic loading but less than his suggested eutrophic loading (see page 12). However, there was an apparent loss of phosphorus during the sampling year, (i.e., more phosphorus was measured leaving the outlet than entering via the inlets), and the calculated loading probably is too low. This may be indicative of unmeasured nutrient input from nonpoint source runoff, an underestimation of septic tanks loads, or the resolubilization of previously sedimented phosphorus within the reservoir. Whatever the cause, it is likely that the loading reported above is less than the actual phosphorus load the reservoir receives.

2. Non-point sources--Non-point sources accounted for 99.7% of the total phosphorus load during the sampling year. Hams Fork contributed 87.4%, and Trail Creek contributed 3.7%. The ungaged minor tributaries and immediate drainage contributed an estimated 5.9%

Any improvement in the present trophic condition of the

reservoir would likely be dependent on non-point source control. Much of the surrounding area is pasture and rangeland, and further investigation is needed to determine the nutrient contribution and controllability of such sources.

II. RESERVOIR AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS[†]

A. Morphometry^{††}:

- 1. Surface area: 5.90 kilometers².
- 2. Mean depth: 8.9 meters.
- 3. Maximum depth: 22.9 meters.
- 4. Volume: $52.510 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$.
- 5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 170 days (based on outflow).

B. Tributary and Outlet: (See Appendix C for flow data)

1. Tributaries -

	Name	Drainage <u>area (km²)*</u>	Mean flow (m³/sec)*
	Hams Fork (A-2) Hams Fork (A-3) Trail Creek Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	530.9 0.0 7.5 79.9	3.780 0.033 0.055 0.055
	Totals	618.3	3.923
2.	Outlet -		
	Hams Fork	624.2**	3.570

C. Precipitation***:

- 1. Year of sampling: 24.6 centimeters.
- 2. Mean annual: 24.7 centimeters.

[†] Table of metric conversions--Appendix B.

t+Neuchwander, 1976.

^{*} For limits of accuracy, see Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods, 1973-1976".

^{**} Includes area of reservoir.

^{***} See Working Paper No. 175.

III. WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Viva Naughton Reservoir was sampled three times during the openwater season of 1975 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from a number of depths at three stations on the reservoir (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m or near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the August and October visits, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll <u>a</u> analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 5.8 meters at station 1, 15.5 meters at station 2, and 18.0 meters at station 3.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix D and are summarized in the following table.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR STORET CODE 5612

	IST SAMPL	ING (8/ 6/75)	2ND SAMPE	LING (9/18/75)	3RU SAMPI	ING (10/16/75)
	3 9	SITES	3 9	SITES	3 9	SITES
PARAMETER	RANGE	MEAN MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN MEDIAN	RANGE	MEAN MEDIAN
TEMP (C)	13.0 - 19.0	17.6 18.5	10.4 - 11.3	11.0 11.0	8.9 - 9.4	9.2 9.2
DISS OXY (MG/L)	1.8 - 9.0	7.8 8.3	6.2 - 8.0	7.1 7.3	7.4 - 8.0	7.8 7.8
CNDCTVY (MCROMO)	233 251.	245. 245.	205 244.	236. 241.	207 224.	211. 210.
PH (STAND UNITS)	8.2 ~ 9.3	9.0 9.1	8.0 - 8.8	8.6 8.7	8.3 - 8.4	8.4 8.4
TOT ALK (MG/L)	141 152.	147. 148.	138 150.	146. 147.	137 146.	140. 140.
TOT P (MG/L)	0.022 - 0.247	0.059 0.033	0.081 - 0.175	0.107 0.095	0.047 - 0.100	0.061 0.056
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.005 - 0.113	0.025 0.013	0.027 - 0.044	0.033 0.029	0.023 - 0.027	0.024 0.024
NO2+NO3 (MG/L)	0.020 - 0.030	0.021 0.020	0.020 - 0.020	0.020 0.020	0.040 - 0.050	0.041 0.040
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.020 - 0.210	0.050 0.030	0.060 - 0.140	0.100 0.090	0.080 - 0.100	0.094 0.095
KJEL N (MG/L)	0.200 - 0.500	0.329 0.300	0.400 - 0.800	0.558 0.600	0.400 - 0.700	0.458 0.400
INORG N (MG/L)	0.040 - 0.240	0.071 0.050	0.080 - 0.160	0.120 0.110	0.120 - 0.140	0.135 0.140
TOTAL N (MG/L)	0.220 - 0.520	0.350 0.320	0.420 - 0.820	0.578 0.620	0.440 - 0.740	0.499 0.440
CHERPYL A (UG/L)	14.0 - 21.4	18.6 20.5	6.0 - 87.8	34.0 8.2	4.9 - 39.0	22.6 23.8
SECCHI (METERS)	1.8 - 2.1	1.9 1.8	0.5 - 1.5	1.2 1.5	1.5 - 2.7	2.2 2.4

B. Biological Characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Algal Units per ml
08/06/75	 Aphanizomenon sp Chroomonas (?) s Microcystis sp. Other genera 	
	Total	5,355
09/18/75	 Aphanizomenon s Schroederia sp. 	<u>4,073</u>
	Total	4,114
10/16/75	1. Aphanizomenon sp. 2. Cryptomonas sp. 3. Chroomonas (?) stephanodiscus sp.	137 sp. 172
	Total	2,478

2. Chlorophyll \underline{a} -

Sampling Date	Station Number	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> (µg/l)
08/05-06/75	1 2 3	14.0 21.4 20.5
09/18/75	1 2 3	8.2 6.0 87.8
10/16/75	1 2 3	4.9 23.8 39.0

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

Due to significant changes in the nutrients in the samples during shipment from the field to the laboratory, the assay

results are not considered representative of conditions in the reservoir at the times the samples were taken (08/05-06/75) and 10/16/75.

The reservoir data indicate nitrogen limitation at all sampling stations and times; i.e., the mean inorganic nitrogen/orthophosphorus ratios were 8/1 or less, and nitrogen limitation would be expected.

IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS (See Appendix E for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Wyoming National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page v). Sampling was begun in October, 1974, and was completed in September, 1975.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Wyoming District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the reservoir.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were calculated using mean annual concentrations and mean annual flows. Nutrient loads for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated using the mean concentrations in Trail Creek at station B-1 and the mean annual ZZ flow (nutrient loads for Hams Fork at station A-3 are included in the minor tributary loads).

No known municipal or industrial point sources impacted the reservoir during the sampling year.

A. Waste Sources:

- Known municipal None
- Known industrial None

B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

So	urce	kg P/ yr	% of total
a.	Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
	Hams Fork Trail Creek	3,455 145	87.4 3.7
b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	235	5.9
с.	Known municipal STP's - None	-	-
d.	Septic tanks* -	10	0.3
e.	Known industrial - None	-	
f.	Direct precipitation** -	105	2.7
	Total	3,950	100.0
0u	tputs -		
Re	servoir outlet - Hams Fork	5,290	

^{2.}

Reservoir outlet - Hams Fork

3. Net annual P loss - 1340 kg.

^{*} Estimate based on 30 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175.
** See Working Paper No. 175.

C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

Sou	rce	kg N/ yr	% of total
a.	Tributaries (non-point loa	ad) -	•
	Hams Fork Trail Creek	111,575 2,945	88.6 2.3
b.	Minor tributaries & immedidrainage (non-point load)		3.7
c.	Known municpal STP's - Nor	ne -	-
d.	Septic tanks* -	320	0.3
e.	Known industrial - None	-	-
f.	Direct precipitation** -	6,730	5.1
	Total	125,925	100.1

2. Outputs -

Reservoir outlet - Hams Fork -19,115

- 3. Net annual N accumulation 6,810 kg.
- D. Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

Tributary	kg P/km²/yr	kg N/km²/yr
Hams Fork	7	210
Trail Creek	19	3 9 3

^{*} Estimate based on 30 lakeshore dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175. ** See Working Paper No. 175.

E. Yearly Loads:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loadings are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974). Essentially, his "dangerous" loading is one at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" loading is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic loading would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Note that Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times.

	Total Phosphorus		Tota	l Nitrogen
	Total Accumulated		Total	Accumulated
grams/m²/yr	0.67	loss*	21.3	1.2
				

Vollenweider phosphorus loadings (g/m²/yr) based on mean depth and mean hydraulic retention time of Viva Naughton Reservoir:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic loading) 0.84
"Permissible" (oligotrophic loading) 0.42

^{*} There was an apparent loss of phosphorus during the sampling year. This may have been due to solubilization of previously sedimented phosphorus, recharge with phosphorus-rich ground water, unknown and unsampled point sources, or underestimation of septic tank loads.

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

- Gaufin, Arden R., 1963. Limnological survey of Lake Viva Naughton and Kemmerer Reservoir, Wyoming. Prelim. Rept. to Utah Power and Light Co., U. of Utah, Salt Lake City.
- Neuchwander, Ken, 1976. Personal communication (reservoir morphometry). Utah Power and Light Co., Salt Lake City.
- Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research. Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- พ.ก. อง	MEUIAN DISS ORTHO P
5601	BIG SANDY RESERVOIR	0.087	0.060	487.667	4.383	8.800	0.020
5692	RUULDER LAKE	0.003	0.040	361.600	2.483	8.400	0.002
5603	HOYSEN RESERVOIR	0.037	0.140	465.923	6.264	14.400	0.014
5604	LAKE DE SMET	0.033	0.040	409+000	11.167	9.400	0.006
5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	0.014	0.605	366.461	5.611	12.200	0.003
5606	FREMONT LAKE	0.006	0.040	-22.000	3.783	7.400	0.002
5607	GLENDO RESERVOIR	0.045	0.315	459.182	8.473	12.600	0-014
5608	KEY HOLE RESERVOIR	0.028	0.050	454.583	7.792	14.000	0.004
5609	OCEAN LAKE	0.043	0.040	478.333	7.500	8.600	0.004
5610	SEMINOLE RESERVOIR	0.030	0.130	447.000	2.536	11.000	0.007
5611	SODA LAKE	0.063	0.040	387.500	5.575	15.000	0.014
5612	VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVUIR	0.065	0.120	430.000	25.067	13.200	0.024
5613	WOODRUFF NARROWS RESERVO	0.069	0.105	470.000	12.950	13.200	0.019
5614	YELLOWTAIL RESERVOIR	0.026	0.310	364.500	5.410	10.000	0.017

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES INUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	X3GNI GN
5601	BIG SANDY RESERVOIR	0 (0)	54 (7)	0 (0)	77 (10)	77 (10)	8 (1)	216
5602	BUULDER LAKE	92 (12)	92 (11)	92 (12)	100 (13)	92 (12)	92 (12)	560
5603	BOYSEN RESERVOIK	46 (6)	23 (3)	23 (3)	46 (5)	8 (1)	42 (5)	148
5604	LAKE DE SMET	54 (7)	73 (9)	62 (8)	15 (2)	69 (9)	62 (8)	335
5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	85 (11)	0 (0)	77 (10)	54 (7)	46 (6)	85 (11)	347
5606	FREMONT LAKE	100 (13)	73 (9)	100 (13)	85 (11)	100 (13)	100 (13)	558
5607	GLENDO RESERVOIR	31 (4)	8 (1)	31 (4)	23 (3)	38 (5)	42 (5)	173
5608	KEY HOLE RESERVOIR	69 (9)	62 (8)	38 (5)	31 (4)	15 (2)	69 (9)	284
5609	OCEAN LAKE	38 (5)	92 (11)	8 (1)	38 (5)	85 (11)	77 (10)	338
5610	SEMINOLE RESERVOIR	62 (8)	31 (4)	46 (6)	92 (12)	54 (7)	54 (7)	339
5611	SODA LAKE	23 (3)	92 (11)	69 (9)	62 (8)	0 (0)	31 (4)	277
5612	VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR	15 (2)	38 (5)	54 (7)	0 (0)	27 (3)	0 (0)	134
5613	WOODPUFF NARROWS RESERVO	8 (1)	46 (6)	15 (2)	8 (1)	27 (3)	15 (2)	119
5614	YELLOWTAIL RESERVOIR	77 (10)	15 (2)	85 (11)	69 (9)	62 (8)	23 (3)	331

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	5602	BOULDER LAKE	560
2	5606	FREMONT LAKE	558
3	5605	FLAMING GORGE RESERVOIR	347
4	5610	SEMINOLE RESERVOIR	339
5	5609	OCEAN LAKE	338
6	5604	LAKE DE SMET	335
7	5614	YELLOWTAIL RESERVOIR	331
8	5608	KEY HOLE RESERVOIR	284
9	5611	SODA LAKE	277
10	5601	BIG SANDY RESERVOIR	516
11	5603	BOYSEN RESERVOIR	188
12	5607	GLENDO RESERVOIR	173
13	5612	VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR	134
14	5613	WOODRUFF NARROWS RESERVE	119

APPENDIX B

CONVERSION FACTORS

CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x = 2.471 = acres

Kilometers \times 0.6214 = miles

Meters x = 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters x 8.107 x 10^{-4} = acre/feet

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters $x \ 0.3937 = inches$

Kilograms \times 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = 1bs/square mile

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

LAKE COUE 5612 LAKE VIVA NAUGHTON

TOTAL D	RAINAGE	AREA	0F	LAKE (SQ	KM)	624.2
---------	---------	------	----	----------	-----	-------

	SUB-DRAINAGE	NORMALIZED FLOWS (CMS)												
TRIBUTARY	AREA(SQ KM)	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	MEAN
5612A1	624.2	0.82	0.82	0.99	5.86	16.20	11.92	2.38	0.82	0.62	0.71	0.82	0.82	3.57
5612A2	530.9	0.59	0.71	0.88	6.37	16.03	13.03	3.28	1.36	1.13	0.68	0.62	0.59	3.78
5612A3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.028	0.057	0.142	0.057	0.057	0.057	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.033
561281	7.5	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.057	0.227	0.057	0.057	0.057	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.055
5612ZZ	85.5	0.0	0.0	0.028	0.142	0.283	0.142	0.057	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.055

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 624.2 SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS = 623.9 TOTAL FLOW IN = 46.98 TOTAL FLOW OUT = 42.79

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS (CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
5612A1	10	74	0.906	6	1.274				
	11	74	0.850						
	12	74	1.019	8	1.048				
	1	7 5	0.991						
	2	75	0.963						
	2 3 4 5 6 7	75	1.076	3	1.019				
	4	75	1.359	30	5.550				
	5	75	14.045						
	6	75	19.907						
	7	75	9.968	14	10.449				
	8	75	2.520						
	8 9	7 5	1.614	29	1.529				
5612A2	10	74	0.850	6	0.566				
	11	74	0.708	55	0.566				
	12	74	0.566	8	0.425				
	1	75	0.566						
	2	75	0.708						
	3	7 5	0.708	3	0.850				
	2 3 4 5 6 7	75	1.133	30	1.133				
	5	75	13.592						
	6	7 5	19.539						
	7	7 5	9.628						
	8 9	75	1.982						
	9	75	0.850	29	0.623				

(RIBUIANT FLUR INFORMATION FOR WICHING

LAKE CODE 5612 LAKE VIVA NAUGHTON

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS (CMS)

TRIBUTARY	нтион	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
5612A3	10	74	0.0						
	11	74	0.0						
	12	74	0.0						
	1	75	0.0						
	2	75	0.0						
	12 1 2 3 4	75	0.028						
	4	75	0.057						
	5 6	75	0.142						
	6	75	0.057						
	7 8	75	0.057						
	8	75	0.057						
	9	75	0.0						
561281	10	74	0.028	6	0.028				
	11	74	0.028	22	0.028				
	12	74	0.028	8	0.028				
	1	75	0.028						
	2	75	0.028						
	3	75	0.028						
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	75	0.085						
	5	75	0.170						
	6	75	0.057						
•	7	75	0.057	14	0.057				
	8	75	0.057						
	9	75	0.028	29	0.028				
561222	10 11	74	0.0						
	11	74	0.0						
	12 1 2 3	74	0.0						
	1	75	0.0						
	2	75 75	0.0						
	3	75	0.028						
	4	75 75	0.142						
	5 6 7	7 5	0.283						
	6	75 75	0.142						
	7	75 75	0.057						
	8	75 75	0.0						
	9	75	0.0						

APPENDIX D

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

561201 42 00 15.0 110 39 48.0 3 VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR 56023 WYOMING

11EPALES 2111202 0023 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

00630

K01820N

MG/L

0.020K

0.020K

0.020K

0.020K

0.020

0.020K

0.040

0.040

N-TOTAL

00671

PHOS-DIS

MG/L P

0.012

0.005

0.014

0.044

0.044

0.042

0.024

0.024

ORTHO

0.75	T D	00010	00300	00077	00094	00400	00410	00610	00625	
DATE	TIME DEPTH		ĐO	TRANSP	CNDUCTVY	РН	T ALK	NH3-N	TOT KJEL	ſ
FROM	OF	TEMP		SECCHI	FIELD		CAC03	TOTAL	N	ľ
TO	DAY FEET	CENT	MG/L	INCHES	MICROMHO	SU	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	
75/08/06	14 15 0000	19.0	8.8	72	245	9.20	151	0.020	0.300	
, 3, 03, 00	14 15 0005		9.0	• •	245	9.20	151	0.020K		
	14 15 0019		8.6		251	9.10	152	0.040	0.400	
75/09/18		10.7	6.4	60	244	8.50				
13/03/10	12 40 0005			00			150	0.130	0.400	
			6.2		244	8.00	150	0.130	0.600	
75418414	12 40 0015		6.4		205	8-60	150	0.140	0.500	
75/10/16			7.4	60	224	8.35	138	0.080	0.400	
	13 00 0005		7.6		208	8.30	137	0.100	0.400	
	13 00 0012	8.9	7.4		207	8.30	137	0.100	0.400	
		00665	32217	00031						
DATE	TIME DEPTH		CHLRPHYL	INCOT LT						
FROM	OF DEFINI	11103 101	_	REMNING						
TO		MC /I D	A							
10	DAY FEET	MG/L P	UG/L	PERCENT						
75/08/06	14 15 0000	0.022	14.0							
	14 15 0005									
	14 15 0019									
75/09/18		0.085	8.2							
13.07.10	12 40 0005	0.090	0,2							
	12 40 0005									
75/10/14		0.081	. ^							
75/10/16		0.049	4.9							
	13 00 0005	0.048								

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

13 00 0012 0.050

561202 41 59 12.0 110 40 00.0 3 VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR 56023 WYOMING

11EPALES 2111202 0054 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	0 0300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-UIS ORTHO MG/L P
75/08/06	14 3	35 0000	19.0	8.2	84	251	9.10	150	0.040	0.500	0.020K	0.013
	14 3	35 0 005	19.0	8.6		249	9.15	152	0.020	0.300	0.020K	0.013
	14 3	35 0015	18.9	8.6		249	9.10	141	0.040	0.400	0.020	0.016
	14 3	35 0030	18.1	7.6		247	8.95	145	0.030	0.300	0.020K	0.014
	14 3	35 0050	13.3	1.8		235	8.20	150	0.210	0.400	0.030	0.113
75/09/18	13 (5 0000	11.0	7.6	60	240	8.70	150	0.070	0.600	0.020K	0.029
	13 (5 0005	11.3	7.2		241	8.70	138	0.080	0.800	0.020	0.032
	13 (5 0019	11.2	7.6		239	8.70	141	0.090	0.400	0.020K	0.032
	13 (5 0051	10.8	7.4		211	8.75	142	0.060	0.600	0.020K	0.027
75/10/16	12 4	5 0000	9.4	8.0	96	210	8 • 35	140	0.100	0.500	0.040	0.024
	12 4	45 0005	9.4	7.8		210	8 • 40	141	0.100	0.500	0.040	0.025
	12 4	45 0015	9.2	8.0		211	8.35	140	0.100	0.400	0.040	0.024
	12 4	5 0043	9.0	8.0		208	8.40	138	0.100	0.400	0.040	0.023

DATE FROM TO	TIM OF DAY		EPTH	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L	00031 INCDT LT REMNING PERCENT
75/08/06	14 14 14	35 35 35 35 35	0000 0005 0015 0030 0050	0.247 0.045 0.029 0.026 0.144	21.4	
75/09/18			0000 0005 0019 0051	0.086 0.098 0.095 0.087	6 .0	
75/10/16	12 12 13	45 45	0000 0005 0015 0043	0.067 0.064 0.066 0.047	23.8	

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED

561203 41 56 10.0 110 39 35.0 3 VIVA NAUGHTON RESERVOIR 56023 WYOMING

11EPALES 2111202 0063 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM TO	TIME DEPTH OF DAY FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO 4G/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
75/08/05	15 00 0000	18.5	8.6	72	245	9.15	143	0.030	0.300	0.020K	0.017
	15 00 0005	18.5	8.2		243	9.20	145	0.030	0.300	0.020K	0.013
	15 00 0015	18.4	8.4		243	9.10	144	0.040	0.300	0.020K	0.013
	15 00 0025	18.3	8.2		249	8.30	144	0.030	0.200	0.020K	0.020
	15 00 0040	15.0	5.8		243		151	0.120	0.200	0.030	0.074
	15 00 0059	13.0	8.2		233	9.25	145	0.030	0.400	0.020K	0.013
75/09/18	13 25 0000	11.3	8.0	18	240	8.80	146		0.800	0.020K	0.030
	13 25 0005	11.3	7.4		242	8.80	145		0.600	0.020K	0.028
	13 25 0015	11.2	7.4		242	8.75	148		0.400	0.020K	0.027
•	13 25 0030	11.1	7.2		241	8.70	146		0.400	0.020K	0.028
	13 25 0059	10.9	6.8		242	8.80	147		0.600	0.020K	0.028
75/10/16	12 20 0000	9.4	8.0	108	210	8.40	146	0.090	0.700	0.040	0.027
	12 20 0005	9.3	7.8		220	8.40	141	0.090	0.600	0.040	0.026
	12 20 0015	9.3	8.0		210	8.40	142	0.090	0.400	0.040	0.024
	12 20 0035	9.2	7.6		208	8.40	138	0.090	0.400	0.050	0.023
	12 20 0056	9.2	7.6		210	8.40	141	0.090	0.400	0.040	0.025

DATE FROM	TIME DEPTH	00665 PHOS-TOT	32217 CHLRPHYL A	00031 INCDT LT REMNING
ТО	DAY FEET	MG/L P	UG/L	PERCENT
75/08/05	15 00 0000 15 00 0005 15 00 0015 15 00 0025 15 00 0040 15 00 0059	0.034 0.033 0.027 0.029 0.081 0.047	20.5	
75/09/18	13 25 0000 13 25 0005 13 25 0015 13 25 0030 13 25 0059	0.175 0.145 0.104 0.096 0.137	87.8	
75/10/16	12 20 0000 12 20 0005 12 20 0015 12 20 0035 12 20 0056	0.081 0.100 0.060 0.051 0.053	39.0	

APPENDIX E

TRIBUTARY AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DATA

5612A1
41 57 51.0 110 39 35.0 4
HAMS FORK
56 15 KEMMERER
0/LAKE VIVA NAUGHTON 110692.
BELOW VIVA NAUGHTON DAM
11EPALES 2111204
0000 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE	TIME	DEPTH	00630 N026N03	00625 TOT KJEL	00610 NH3-N	00671 PHOS-DIS	00665 PHOS-TOT
FROM	OF		N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO	
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L	4G/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
74/10/06	11 50	0	0.008	1.000	0.025	0.015	0.042
74/11/22	12 0	5	0.008	1.300	0.045	0.005	0.030
74/12/08	10 2	?	0.024	0.500	0.045	0.010	0.020
75/03/03			0.125	0.400	0.065	0.030	0.050
75/04/30	10 1	5	0.170	1.150	ე.265	0.025	0.040
75/07/14	17 3	v	0.020	1.250	0.065	0.035	0.040
75/09/29	17 0	0	1.150	0.300	0.005K	0.085	0.110

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED 5612A2
42 00 50.0 110 40 05.0 4
HAMS FORK
56 7.5 WILKINSON CK
T/LAKE VIVA NAUGHTON 110691
DIRT RD BRDG 15 MI NW OF KEMMERER
11EPALES 2111204
0000 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM	TIME OF	DEPTH	00630 N02&N03 N-TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL N	00610 NH3-N Total	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO	00665 PHOS-TOT
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P.
74/10/06	12 1	8	0.008	0.500	0.010	0.005	0.015
74/11/22	12 3	0	0.008	1.100	0.020	0.010	0.010
74/12/08	10 0	0	0.008	0.300	0.010	0.010	0.010
75/03/03	10 0	0	0.125	1.050	0.300	0.035	0.040
75/04/30	09 3	0	0.170	1.000	0.180	0.020	0.040
75/09/29	17 0	0	1.150	0.200	0.005K	0.005K	0.060

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE LESS THAN INDICATED 561281
41 57 55.0 110 39 15.0 4
TRAIL CREEK
56 15 KEMMERER
T/LAKE VIVA NAUGHTON 110692
DIRT ROAD .1 MI E OF VIVA NAUGHTON DAM
11EPALES 2111204
0000 FEET DEPTH CLASS 00

DATE FROM	TIME OF	DEPTH	00630 N028N03 N-TOTAL	00625 TOT KJEL N	00610 NH3-N TOTAL	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO	00665 PHOS-TOT
TO	DAY	FEET	MG/L	MG/L	M6/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
74/10/06	10 0	0	0.016	1.400	0.020	0.045	0.075
74/11/22	11 0	0 '	0.008	0.900	0.025	0.035	0.050
74/12/08	10 19	5	0.056	0.600	0.010	0.030	0.060
75/07/14	17 0	0	0.015	1.400	0.165	0.075	0.110
75/09/29	17 3	4	3.300	0.800	0.010	0.035	0.130