A STUDY OF RESISTIVITY AND CONDITIONING OF FLY ASH

Southern Research Institute
Birmingham, Alabama

February 1972

A STUDY OF RESISTIVITY AND CONDITIONING OF FLY ASH

Final Report To

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Office of Air Programs

Contract CPA 70-149

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET	1. Report No. EPA-R2-72-087	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No. PB 212 607

4. Title and Subtitle A Study of Resistivity and Conditioning of Fly Ash	5. Report Date February 1972
	6.

7. Author(s)	8. Performing Organization Rept. No.

9. Performing Organization Name and Address Southern Research Institute 2000 Ninth Avenue South Birmingham, Alabama 35205	10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
	11. Contract/Grant No. CPA 70-149

12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Office of Research and Monitoring Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711	13. Type of Report & Period Covered Final
	14.

15. Supplementary Notes

16. Abstracts. An experimental study was made of the injection of SO3 or H2SO4 gaseous conditioning agent to alleviate the problem of high electrical resistivity of fly ash. This problem interferes with the electrostatic precipitation of fly ash in power stations burning low-sulfur coals and thus providing very low concentrations of H2SO4 as a naturally occurring conditioning agent. Experimental measurements included in the study consisted of determinations of fly-ash resistivity in situ and analyses of coal, flue gases, and fly ash with injected SO3 and H2SO4 concentrations up to 50 ppm. For comparison with conditioning by injected SO3 and H2SO4, a parallel study was made of conditioning by H2SO4 as produced naturally during the burning of coal. To compare the economic aspects of different processes of SO3 and H2SO4 injection, an analysis was made of the various capital and operating costs in existing injection facilities. Further experimental study was made of the use of NH3 and H2O conditioning agents. NH3 was studied as a means of coping with poor precipitator efficiency in a power station burning a high-sulfur coal and thus producing an undesirably high concentration of H2SO4. The effect of H2O injection was observed in one plant with the concentration increased to 14% from the normal value of 7%.

17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors

Air pollution	Sulfuric acid
Electrical resistance	Ammonia
Fly ash	Water injection
Flue gases	Conditioning (treating)
Coal	Thermodynamics
Sulfur compounds	
Electric power plants	
Injection	
Gas injection	
Sulfur trioxide	

17b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms

Resistivity
Air pollution control

17c. COSATI Field/Group   13B

18. Availability Statement Unlimited	19. Security Class (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED	21. No. of Pages 154
	20. Security Class (This Page) UNCLASSIFIED	22. Price

FORM NTIS-35 (REV. 3-72)   THIS FORM MAY BE REPRODUCED

A STUDY OF RESISTIVITY AND CONDITIONING OF FLY ASH

Final Report to

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Office of Air Programs

Contract CPA 70-149

Southern Research Institute
2000 Ninth Avenue South
Birmingham, Alabama 35205
February, 1972
A839-2504-XIII

ABSTRACT

An experimental study was made of the injection of SO_3 or H_2SO_4 gaseous conditioning agent to alleviate the problem of high electrical resistivity of fly ash. This problem interferes with the electrostatic precipitation of fly ash in power stations burning low-sulfur coals and thus providing very low concentrations of H_2SO_4 as a naturally occurring conditioning agent. Experimental measurements included in the study consisted of determinations of fly-ash resistivity in situ and analyses of coal, flue gases, and fly ash with injected SO_3 and H_2SO_4 concentrations up to 50 ppm. For comparison with conditioning by injected SO_3 or H_2SO_4, a parallel study was made of conditioning by H_2SO_4 as produced naturally during the burning of coal. To compare the economic aspects of different processes of SO_3 and H_2SO_4 injection, an analysis was made of the various capital and operating costs in existing injection facilities.

Further experimental study was made of the use of NH_3 and H_2O conditioning agents. Usually, NH_3 is used at low concentrations comparable to those of SO_3 or H_2SO_4 for the same purpose—that is, to lower electrical resistivity to a desirable range. However, during this investigation, NH_3 was studied as a means of coping with poor precipitator efficiency in a power station burning a high-sulfur coal and thus producing an undesirably high concentration of H_2SO_4. At customary precipitator temperatures, the H_2O vapor produced during the combustion of coal normally acts in some degree as a naturally occurring conditioning agent, usually at concentrations between 5 and 10% by volume in the flue gases. As part of this investigation, the effect of H_2O injection was observed in one plant with the concentration increased to 14% from the normal value of 7%.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

SUMMARY

This report summarizes an experimental study that was performed for the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Programs, under Contract CPA 70-149, entitled "A Study of Resistivity and Conditioning of Fly Ash." The study was started in July, 1970, and concluded in August, 1971.

The electrical resistivity of fly ash is one of the most important parameters that controls the efficiency of fly-ash removal in coal-burning power stations by the use of electrostatic precipitators. If the resistivity is too high, as it is normally when a low-sulfur coal is burned, the collection efficiency is poor because the electric field between the corona wires and the collecting electrodes falls to a low value, excessive sparking occurs in the interelectrode space, or back corona occurs in the deposited fly ash. Alternatively, if the resistivity is too low, as it may be when a high-sulfur coal is burned, collection efficiency can again be poor because the electrical force holding deposited ash on the collecting electrodes is not high enough to prevent excessive reentrainment during rapping of the electrodes. The upper limit of the acceptable resistivity range is approximately 2×10^{10} ohm cm, and the corresponding lower limit is about 1×10^7 ohm cm. Various gaseous agents— mainly SO_3, H_2SO_4, NH_3, and H_2O—can be injected into the stream of flue gases to overcome the problem of high resistivity. One of the agents—NH_3—can also be used to cope with the problem of low resistivity.

The initial task in this experimental study was to develop or select apparatus that would be appropriate for making determinations of fly-ash resistivity and flue-gas concentrations. Several devices for in situ measurements of resistivity were fabricated and used in field experiments. A gas sampling train for collecting sulfur oxides was the principal apparatus for gas analysis; it consisted of a condenser for SO_3 (always present as H_2SO_4 vapor in the customary range of precipitator temperatures) and an aqueous H_2O_2 bubbler for SO_2.

The central task in the field studies was to evaluate the effects on fly-ash resistivity that are produced by injecting SO_3 or H_2SO_4 vapor in the flue gases of power stations burning coals with low sulfur contents (approximately 0.5% by weight). Most of these studies were carried out in the Cherokee and Arapahoe plants of the Public Service Company of Colorado, where provision had been made to inject SO_3 by the evaporation of the stabilized liquid oxide in dry air or to inject H_2SO_4 by the evaporation of the concentrated liquid acid, either at a low temperature in ambient air or at a high temperature in the combustion products

from natural gas. Regardless of the injection system used, the injected agent occurs in the flue gases as H_2SO_4, and it acts as a supplement to the low concentration of H_2SO_4 produced in the combustion of low-sulfur coal. An additional study was carried out in another power station with a low-sulfur fuel and a low-temperature H_2SO_4 injection system; the results obtained by other investigators in still another power station with a low-sulfur coal and an SO_3 injection system were made available for comparison with the results of the field studies in this program. Conditioning parameters that varied in the different power stations included the gas temperature; the fly-ash concentration, particle size, and chemical constitution; and the site of SO_3 or H_2SO_4 injection (upstream from both mechanical and electrostatic collectors, between the two types of collectors, or upstream from an electrostatic precipitator in the absence of a mechanical collector).

All but one of the injection systems produced the desired lowering of the fly-ash resistivity. The one exception was of the high-temperature H_2SO_4 type; the ineffectiveness of this system may have been attributable to an inadequate distribution system, and the conclusion that the system is inherently unsound is not warranted by the results obtained in this program. In general, the experimental findings indicated that each of the conditioning parameters enumerated above had some bearing on the injected concentration of SO_3 or H_2SO_4 that was needed for optimum results. However, the experimental results can be generalized as follows: an injected concentration of 20 ppm was sufficient to produce the desired lowering of resistivity in each instance. To the degree that fly-ash basicity or flue-gas temperature was lowered, the required concentration was lowered. Conclusions about the mechanisms of H_2SO_4 conditioning are given later in this summary, inasmuch as they are based in part on data obtained in plants without conditioning facilities, where only naturally occurring H_2SO_4 was present.

A study of NH_3 conditioning was made in the Widows Creek power station of the Tennessee Valley Authority. The working hypotheses to explain the role of NH_3 in this plant were that this basic conditioning agent neutralizes the excessively high concentration of naturally produced H_2SO_4 from high-sulfur coal (about 4% sulfur), raises the resistivity of the fly ash, and minimizes reentrainment losses in the precipitator. From the results obtained in this program, none of these hypotheses can be unequivocally disputed. However, in repeated experiments, the expected raising of resistivity was not observed, and this hypothesis seems at present to be erroneous. If it is erroneous, the mechanism by which NH_3 increases the precipitator efficiency

must be different in some respects from that described. Under a future research program, further efforts will be made to explain the role of NH_3 at the Widows Creek plant.

A very brief study of H_2O conditioning was made at the Kingston station in the TVA system. It was found that with 2% sulfur as the fuel, the flue gases contained about 10 ppm of H_2SO_4 and 7% of H_2O as vapors at the temperature investigated, about 330°F, but the fly-ash resistivity was higher than desired under these conditions. Subsequently, however, it was found that injecting a stream of water into the boiler to increase the concentration of H_2O vapor to 15% gave an appreciable reduction in resistivity and, simultaneously, a decrease in the concentration of H_2SO_4 to 3 ppm and a corresponding increase in the sulfate content of the fly ash. These results illustrate one of the tenets reached in explaining the mechanism of H_2SO_4 conditioning: H_2SO_4 and H_2O vapors act in conjunction to control the resistivity of fly ash.

Among the studies made in plants without conditioning facilities, the results obtained at the Shawnee plant of the TVA system were among the most meaningful data. Tests were performed with and without injection of dry limestone into the boiler as a means of controlling the emission of SO_2. The highly basic particles of lime formed during the calcining of the limestone virtually eliminated the naturally produced H_2SO_4 from the flue gases and produced a mixture of lime and fly-ash particles with a resistivity much above the level considered practical for efficient precipitator operation.

One of the final tasks in this program was to explain the mechanism of fly-ash conditioning with H_2SO_4 and to establish certain guidelines as to the expected efficiency of conditioning with a variation in the parameters considered relevant. The following conclusions were reached:

- H_2SO_4 and H_2O vapors are concurrently collected on the surface of fly-ash particles, producing a low-resistance path for the flow of electricity on the surface rather than through the interior of the particles.

- Joint collection of H_2SO_4 and H_2O vapors can occur by the mechanism of adsorption at temperatures above the dew point of the mixture of vapors. Clearcut evidence to support this conclusion was obtained in one of the plants with a flue-gas temperature unequivocally above the dew point. Unequivocal evidence pertinent to this conclusion could not generally be obtained in the other power stations with

lower temperatures because of the present uncertainty about the exact location of the dew point at specified H_2SO_4 and H_2O concentrations.

- Joint collection of H_2SO_4 and H_2O by condensation undoubtedly will occur at sufficiently high vapor concentrations and low temperatures. Thus, condensation is a possible mechanism of conditioning, but it is not a necessary mechanism.

- Low temperature or low fly-ash basicity favor conditioning by a given concentration of H_2SO_4. It appears that a surface layer containing H_2SO_4 per se is essential for effective conditioning. If the fly ash is chemically neutral, such a layer is readily produced. On the contrary, if the fly ash is markedly basic, as it is with a high lime content, the H_2SO_4-containing layer is less readily produced, owing to the apparent need to neutralize the surface constituents of the ash before H_2SO_4 as such can remain. The experimental data show that the concentration of H_2SO_4 needed for conditioning increases as the fly-ash basicity increases and, in addition, the total amount of H_2SO_4 that must be collected on the ash for adequate conditioning also increases as the basicity increases.

The concluding task was to compare the costs of H_2SO_4 conditioning by injection of SO_3 or H_2SO_4 vapor in the different injection systems operated by the Public Service Company of Colorado. From the cost data supplied by this utility company, the following estimates about capital and operating costs were reached for individual power units with different production capacities and injection rates:

Injection system	Unit size, MW	Capital cost, dollars	Operating cost, mils/kWh	
			10 ppm	20 ppm
SO₃ evaporation	125	169,000	0.0515	0.0641
	250	169,000	0.0327	0.0453
	500	256,000	0.0281	0.0407
H₂SO₄ evaporation				
Low temperature	125	288,000	0.0770	0.0888
	250	375,000	0.0542	0.0662
	500	570,000	0.0440	0.0559
High temperature	125	300,000	0.0759	0.0840
	250	387,000	0.0518	0.0599
	500	583,000	0.0408	0.0490

In summary, the SO_3 evaporation system appears least expensive, primarily as a result of the greater capital cost experienced for the H_2SO_4 systems in the installations of the Public Service Company. However, the relative costs of the different systems are subject to change, especially if H_2SO_4 injection systems should be installed in greater numbers than they have to date, resulting in reduced capital costs.

A STUDY OF RESISTIVITY AND CONDITIONING OF FLY ASH

I. INTRODUCTION

This is the final report of an investigation by Southern Research Institute of the use of chemical conditioning agents to control the electrical resistivity of electrostatically precipitated fly ash in coal-burning electric power stations. This investigation was carried out under Contract CPA 70-149 during the period from July 1, 1970, to August 31, 1971.

A. Technical Background

For the efficient removal of fly ash from flue gases in an electrostatic precipitator, several conditions must be satisfied. One of the most important conditions is that the electrical resistivity of the ash deposited on collector electrodes shall lie within an appropriate range of values, usually believed to have a lower limit of about 1×10^7 ohm cm and an upper limit of about 2×10^{10} ohm cm.[1,2] Other conditions, however, are of comparable importance and must be satisfied simultaneously. For example, the distribution of gases must be reasonably uniform, the velocity of the gases passing between the corona wires and the collector electrodes must not be excessive, and the power supply must be capable of maintaining an adequate current density at the electrodes.[1,2]

If the resistivity of the collected ash is too low, only a small voltage drop can be maintained across the collected layer. Hence, there will be only a small electrical force holding the ash to the collector electrodes, and the extent of reintrainment of ash particles in the adjacent gas stream may be severe. On the other hand, if the resistivity of the collected ash is too high, either of the following phenomena may occur: (1) The resistance through the collected layer of ash will lower the corona current that can be produced with the normal operating voltage and, as a consequence, the electric field in the gas stream and the resulting migration velocities of negatively-charged fly-ash particles toward the collecting electrodes will be markedly reduced. Usually, an attempt to overcome the effect of excessive resistance through the collected ash with increased voltage and correspondingly increased electric field will meet with failure as the result of the occurrence of rapid sparking (the maximum voltage that can be produced between the corona wires and the collecting electrodes is usually about the same with or without collected dust).[3]
(2) The resistance through the collected layer of ash may be suffi-

-1-

cient to cause electrical breakdown in the layer with attendant formation of positive gaseous ions ("back corona" or "reverse ionization"), neutralization of negative charges on the ash particles, and reintrainment of the ash particles in the gas stream.

It appears from the literature that problems stemming from low resistivity are rare but those stemming from high resistivity are a fairly common occurrence, especially in power plants burning low-sulfur coals. High resistivity of ash from low-sulfur coal is attributed to the low concentration of SO_3 that is produced in the combustion process and the resulting failure of the ash to collect sufficient amounts of SO_3 and H_2O vapors from the gas stream to produce a conductive surface film. Owing to the increasing emphasis on the use of low-sulfur coals to minimize emission of sulfur oxides and the simultaneous demands for improvements in fly-ash collection, increasing efforts are being made in the power industry to find satisfactory methods to overcome the problem of high resistivity.

There are, at present, essentially three methods of overcoming the problem of high resistivity of fly ash. One method is to operate electrostatic precipitators at temperatures well below the normal range (e.g., below 250°F), at which enhanced collection of H_2O vapor can produce a sufficiently conductive surface film to make up for the shortage in SO_3.[4] Still another method is to operate a so-called "hot precipitator" ahead of the air heater with temperature in excess of 600°F, where the volume conductivity of fly ash (in contrast to the surface conductivity referred to above) is high enough to permit efficient precipitation.[5] Still another method, permitting operating of precipitators at customary temperatures (275 to 325°F) is to inject chemical conditioning agents to make up for the shortage of naturally produced SO_3.[6]

Trials of a variety of conditioning agents have been reported in the literature during the past 30 years. The types of agents investigated have included: (1) H_2O vapor (used as a supplement to the H_2O vapor produced during the burning of coal); (2) various acidic agents, typified by SO_3 or its analog H_2SO_4 (also used as a supplement to the product of coal combustion); (3) various basic compounds, typified by NH_3; and (4) various compounds derived from SO_3 and NH_3, such as $(NH_4)_2SO_4$. Some of the earliest and most extensive work on conditioning was conducted by Chittum.[7] This work was done principally with laboratory equipment rather than with full-scale industrial installations (which would have been more desirable from a practical point of view); even so, the work is still worthy of attention, for it included a wide variety of conditioning agents and a number of different types

of particulate substances. Chittum recognized clearly the importance of conditioning agents for increasing the surface conductivities of precipitated solids. He reached the conclusion that, in general, acidic conditioning agents were most effective for treatment of basic solids whereas basic agents were most effective for treatment of acidic solids. In conjunction with this conclusion, he theorized that the adsorption of conditioning agents of opposite acid-base behavior from suspended solids enhanced the adsorption of H_2O vapor and the resulting formation of a conductive surface layer.

Since the work of Chittum was concluded, reports have been published of various trials of conditioning agents in both laboratory facilities and full-scale industrial plants, notably those in the coal-burning power industry. Examples of reported applications of SO_3 or the chemically-equivalent substance H_2SO_4 have been described by White;[1,8] Busby, Darby, and Whitehead[3,6] (who have discussed the early and highly successful application of SO_3 in the Kincardine plant in Scotland); and Watson and Blecher.[9] Examples of reported trials of NH_3 and NH_3-SO_3 salts have been described by Watson and Blecher,[9] Baxter,[10] Reese and Greco,[11] and Dalmon and Tidy.[12] The reports cited here do not include all of the publications relevant to the subject of gas conditioning, but they are representative of the recent literature on the subject. They discuss both highly successful results with conditioning agents, as indicated above for the application of SO_3 at Kincardine, and some relatively unsuccessful results, as reported from trials of SO_3 in a plant in Australia.[8]

Reference was made above to the chemical equivalence of the chemical substances indicated by the formulas SO_3 and H_2SO_4. There is experimental evidence that SO_3 and H_2O react very rapidly to form H_2SO_4 in the vapor state,[13] and that the extent of the reaction is governed by the concentration of excess H_2O and the temperature.[14] Although there are no apparent rate data for the dissociation of H_2SO_4 to SO_3 and H_2O under conditions where H_2SO_4 is unstable, it is reasonable to assume that the dissociation process will also occur rapidly until equilibrium is reached. If a large excess of H_2O is present as in the flue gases produced in coal-burning power plants, the data of Bodenstein and Katayama[14] show that at a representative concentration of H_2O, 10% by volume, more than 90% of the total of SO_3 and H_2SO_4 will occur as SO_3 above 700°F and more than 90% will occur as H_2SO_4 below 475°F.

B.   Scope of This Investigation

The investigation of conditioning carried out by this Institute under Contract CPA 70-149 was devoted exclusively to the use of conditioning agents in the coal-burning power industry. Furthermore, it was devoted primarily to the use of the agents SO_3 and H_2SO_4, but it included relatively brief studies of the agents H_2O and NH_3. All of the agents except NH_3 were used to cope with problems of high resistivity; the agent NH_3 was investigated under the relatively rare conditions of low resistivity.

There were two principal reasons for undertaking this research:

● First, several scheduled installations of SO_3 and H_2SO_4 injection facilities in this country, such as those of the Public Service Company of Colorado, afforded an opportunity to investigate the effectiveness and cost of conditioning by either of these agents under a variety of conditions — (1) coal composition; (2) fly-ash composition, concentration, and particle size; (3) conditioning temperature; and (4) conditioning-agent concentration and injection method. The results of the investigation were expected to provide general guidelines of value to utility companies considering the use of these conditioning agents to cope with high-resistivity problems, permitting prediction of the results to be obtained in new installations and prediction of the costs to be incurred in the plants involved.

● Second, the planned utilization of apparatus designed for in situ determinations of electrical resistivity was expected to show the effectiveness of conditioning in a realistic context. So-called in situ determinations are those made with the appropriate experimental apparatus inserted in the entrance duct of a precipitator both in the absence and the presence of conditioning agent. This approach of making in situ determinations of resistivity is far superior to the approach of removing samples to a laboratory, an approach often used in part despite the known hazard of changes in the surface properties of fly ash following removal from the flue-gas environment. Moreover, consistent use of changes in resistivity as the criterion

of effectiveness is much more preferable than the alternative used by some investigators of determining changes in the efficiency of precipitator operation. The basic premise of using SO_3 or H_2SO_4 as a conditioning agent is that a reduction in surface resistivity is the only direct result to be expected. An improvement in precipitator efficiency will not necessarily follow; certainly it will follow only to a limited degree at best if, for example, the precipitator operates with an excessively high flow rate of flue gases or with electrodes of inadequate surface area or power density. Information obtained by one utility and reported to us on a confidential basis showed that SO_3 improved efficiency when the power plant was operated at a power level well below the rated value but with a flue-gas velocity consistent with the precipitator design, whereas SO_3 did <u>not</u> improve efficiency during power production at the rated level and gas flow through the precipitator at an excessive rate.

The specific objectives of this investigation may be summarized as follows:

- To determine in general whether fly ash of varying composition derived from coals of varying composition can be effectively conditioned with SO_3 or H_2SO_4

- To show in specific detail how the effectiveness of conditioning is governed by parameters such as the properties of the fly ash, the concentration of the conditioning agent, and the mode of injection of the conditioning agent

- To formulate theories to explain the mechanisms of conditioning

- To analyze the costs incurred during the use of conditioning agents

II. EXPERIMENTAL FACILITIES, APPARATUS, AND PROCEDURES

A. Power-Plant Facilities

The principal tasks of this investigation were carried out on the basis of field studies at various power-generating stations, all burning pulverized coal, and on the basis of supplemental laboratory analyses of samples collected at these plants. As listed in Table 1, five power units with SO_3 or H_2SO_4 injection systems were investigated, and six other units without SO_3 or H_2SO_4 injection systems were investigated for comparative purposes. Most of the power stations are identified by names, locations, and owner companies; however, three of the stations are identified only by code letters, in view of requests by the owner companies not to identify these plants explicitly.

Details about the conditions under which each plant was operated are given later in this report as an introduction to the discussion of the experimental studies of that plant. However, Table 1 gives information about some of the most important features of the various plants. Moreover, Figure 1 shows the schematic arrangement of the major elements found in the various plants and referred to in the table——air heater, mechanical collector and electrostatic precipitator for fly-ash removal, and site of injection of SO_3 or H_2SO_4 conditioning agent. Information in the table shows that mechanical collectors were not present in several of the plants; it also indicates the different sites at which conditioning agent was injected——ahead of the mechanical collector and ahead of the electrostatic precipitator.

B. Electrical-Resistivity Determinations

During the investigation, use was made of two basic types of apparatus, differing in the mode of sample collection and the design of electrodes. The two types of apparatus are referred to, for convenience, as cyclone and point-plane resistivity probes. They differed primarily as follows: (1) in probes of the cyclone type, centrifugal force is used for sample collection and concentric cylindrical electrodes are used for resistance measurements; (2) in a probe of the point-plane type, electrostatic precipitation is used for sample collection and parallel discs are used as the electrodes for resistance measurements. The design and operation of each type of probe are described briefly in the following paragraphs and more completely in a published article by other investigators[15] and in the final report from the Institute under Contract CPA 70-166.[16]

Table 1. Power-Plant Stations Investigated in the Comparison of
Conditioning by Injected and Naturally Produced SO_3 or H_2SO_4

Station name	Power unit	Owner company	Location	Facilities for fly-ash removal[a]	Facilities for fly-ash conditioning	
					Agent	Injection site[a]
Cherokee	2	Public Service Company of Colorado	Denver, Colo.	MC, EP	SO_3	Before EP
X[b]	4	—	—	EP	SO_3	Before EP
Cherokee	3	Public Service Company of Colorado	Denver, Colo.	MC, EP	H_2SO_4	Before MC
Arapahoe	4	Public Service Company of Colorado	Denver, Colo.	MC, EP	H_2SO_4	Before EP
Y[b]	6	—	—	EP	H_2SO_4	Before EP
Widows Creek	7	Tennessee Valley Authority	Stevenson, Ala.	EP	None[c]	—
Kingston	5	Tennessee Valley Authority	Kingston, Tenn.	MC, EP	None[c]	—
Gallatin	4	Tennessee Valley Authority	Gallatin, Tenn.	MC, EP	None[c]	—
Bull Run	—[d]	Tennessee Valley Authority	Oak Ridge, Tenn.	EP	None[c]	—
Z[b]	1	—	—	EP	None[c]	—
Shawnee	10	Tennessee Valley Authority	Paducah, Ky.	MC, EP	None[c]	—

a. MC = mechanical collector, EP = electrostatic precipitator.

b. Identified only by code letter at the request of the owner company.

c. "None" signifies no facility for injection of either SO_3 or H_2SO_4. The Widows Creek unit, however, has permanent facilities for NH_3 injection, and the Kingston unit had temporary facilities for H_2O injection during one series of field tests.

d. The Bull Run Station consists of only one very large power unit.

Figure 1. Schematic Diagram Showing the Major Components
of the Various Power Plants Investigated
during this Program

i.   Cyclone probes

    a.   Design developed by Cohen and Dickinson

    A cyclone probe developed and described by Cohen and
Dickinson[15] was made available for use during this investigation
through the courtesy of Lodge-Cottrell Limited. From a literal
point of view, this device is a "probe" only in the sense that
it includes a pipe for insertion into the duct from which a
fly-ash sample is to be taken. Other important components of the
device——the cyclone in which the sample is collected by centri-
fugation from a high-velocity gas stream, and the cylindrical
resistivity cell to which the sample is transferred by a rapping
procedure——are located in an insulated chamber placed outside
the flue but maintained at the duct temperature with two internal
space heaters.

    During this investigation, it was found that several modi-
fications were desirable to ensure satisfactory use of the Cohen-
Dickinson probe. One modification consisted of wrapping heating
tape and insulation around the sampling pipe to avoid a decrease
in temperature of the sample between the duct and the cyclone.
Other modifications consisted of placing thermocouples in the
sampling line and on the wall of the resistivity cell to ensure
that temperatures in these locations were controlled at the
temperature in the duct.

    Resistances of collected samples were determined by applying
a voltage of 1.0 kV across the electrodes and measuring the flow
of current thus produced. The electrodes had a common height of
3.8 cm and diameters of 0.4 and 1.4 cm about a common axis. The
average value of the electric field produced in the annular sample
between the electrodes was 2.0 kV/cm. Typically, with constant
voltage applied, the current gradually decreased with time and
appeared to approach a final value about one order of magnitude
lower than the initial value, as illustrated by typical data in
Figure 2.* The decrease in current was attributed to slow changes
in the surface chemistry of the sample, and the initial current
reading was therefore taken as the best value for calculating
resistance. Sample resistivities were computed from the initial
resistances, the diameters of the electrodes, and the height of
the electrodes.

_____

*   This and other phenomena that complicate the task of obtaining
    reliable in situ resistivity values are discussed later in this
    report and in another report from this laboratory.[16]

Figure 2. Observed Variation in Current with
Time with Fly Ash Collected in
a Cyclone Sampling Apparatus

With the procedure outlined above, the Cohen-Dickinson probe was used with fair success on several occasions. Ultimately, however, it was replaced with an Institute-designed probe that offered as its principal advantage a shortening of the time required for temperature equilibration prior to sampling.

b. Design originated in this laboratory

The essential components of a second cyclone probe that was designed and fabricated in this laboratory were a cyclone sample collector and a cylindrical resistivity cell with dimensions similar to those in the Cohen-Dickinson apparatus. The height of the electrodes was 4.4 cm and the diameters were 0.47 and 1.27 cm. In contrast to the cyclone and cell in the Cohen-Dickinson device, these components of the Institute apparatus were mounted at the end of a pipe that was inserted directly approximately 1 m into the duct where samples were collected. The samples were pumped into the cyclone through a rectangular slot and the effluent gases were then discharged through the pipe, which served as a connection to a high-volume pump as well as a mount for the cyclone and the cell. A thermocouple was placed at the extremity of the assembly (the base of the cell) to indicate the time when temperature equilibration in the duct had been reached and sampling could be started.

Electrical measurements and calculations were made with the modified cyclone probe by the same procedures as with the Cohen-Dickinson probe. With the usual applied voltage of 1.0 kV the average electric field in the sample during resistivity measurements was 2.5 kV/cm, only slightly higher than that in the Cohen-Dickinson apparatus. The current through the samples in both cyclone probes showed a similar time dependence; thus, the initial current was used for calculating resistivity in the Institute probe as in the Cohen-Dickinson probe.

2. Point-plane probe

The essential components of a point-plane probe constructed in this laboratory were a tapered rod of stainless steel to serve as a source of negative corona, a copper disc with an area of 5 cm^2 located centrally about 3.8 cm from the corona source, and a second disc with about the same area but with a small opening in the center to permit movement along the axis of the corona source. The electrodes (the corona source and the two disc electrodes) were mounted on the end of an aluminum pipe, which permitted insertion of the electrodes into the duct for a distance of

about 1 m. A perforated shield was placed around the electrodes
to avoid excessive gas turbulence near the electrodes but, at
the same time, to permit gas migration into the electrode area.
A thermocouple was placed near the electrodes to indicate the
time when temperature equilibration in the duct occurred.

The procedure of collecting a sample consisted of applying
a voltage of about 15 kV between the corona source and the first
disc electrode described above, with the second disc electrode
retracted from the corona region. After sample collection was
completed, the power supply providing the current was discon-
nected, and the second disc electrode was moved into contact with
the deposited ash on the first electrode. A spring was used to
produce a compressive force on the deposited ash, and the thick-
ness of the deposit——usually, about 0.1 cm——was then determined
by means of a gage that indicated the separation between the two
disc electrodes. Except in unusual circumstances, such as those
encountered in one power station that is discussed in Section
III.A.1, the resistance of the sample was determined by applying
various voltages across the deposit and measuring the corresponding
currents at electric fields in the range from about 1 to 20 kV/cm
(the upper limit with each sample was the field required for elec-
trical breakdown to occur). The resistivity of the sample was
then calculated by multiplying the resistance of the sample by the
factor A/h, where A was the electrode area (5 cm^2) and h was the
sample thickness.

Negligible time-dependence of resistivity data obtained with
the point-plane apparatus was observed in contrast with the marked
time-dependence observed with the two cyclone probes. However,
marked electric-field dependence of the data recorded with the
point-plane apparatus was usually encountered. Figure 3 sum-
marizes data that were obtained in one of the field studies (at the
Kingston Station, which is discussed in Section VI.A). The curve
plotted in this figure shows the relative values of resistivity in
the range of electric fields up to 12 kV/cm. The data points at
2, 4, 8, and 12 kV/cm compare the calculated average ratios of
resistivities observed at these fields to the resistivity observed
at 1 kV/cm; the bars show the maximum range in individual experi-
ments. Extrapolation of the curve was made to the vertical axis
to show the approximate relative value of resistivity at fields
lower than the minimum of 1 kV/cm that was employed experimentally.
Presumably, a field dependence of resistivity data obtained with
the cyclone probes would have been observed if it had been possible
to discern the effects from field variation in the presence of
marked changes in resistivity with the time of measurement.

Figure 3.   Observed Variation of the Resistivity of Fly Ash
with Electric Field in One Power Plant (Kingston Unit 5)

C.   Coal Analyses

Samples of pulverized coal being fed to the boiler were collected in most of the power stations discussed in this report. For all samples, determinations were made of the total percentages of sulfur and ash constituents. For some of the samples, determinations were made of sulfur in each of the three major forms: sulfate, pyritic sulfur, and organic sulfur. Also on occasion, determinations were made of the relative concentrations of the major components of the ash.

Sulfur and ash concentrations in coal were determined by established ASTM methods. Each sample was ground to pass a 60-mesh sieve (provided that it had not previously been ground sufficiently before collection), and it was then dried at 110°C and processed chemically by ASTM Methods D-271 and D-2492.

D.   Fly-Ash Analyses

1.   Collection of samples

The majority of the samples of fly ash used for chemical analyses were collected with the cyclone and point-plane resistivity probes at sampling ports near the entrances of electrostatic precipitators, as discussed in Section II.B. Following determinations of resistivity, the samples were promptly removed from the sampling probes, stored in polyethylene bottles, and transported to the laboratory for analytical work.

Most of the remaining samples used for chemical analyses were collected with cyclone sampling probes inserted in ports located ahead of air heaters. The Cohen-Dickinson resistivity apparatus was used in this manner simply as a sample collector in some of the initial field work, but it was replaced later with an all-metal apparatus similar to the cyclone probe constructed at the Institute for resistivity determinations. The few remaining samples were collected by methods briefly described later in this report.

2.   Analyses by wet-chemical methods

This section discusses the procedures that were used most frequently for determination of the chemical properties of fly ash. Some of the procedures were followed with all samples; others were not followed with all samples but nevertheless were used with sufficient frequency to represent a substantial part of the overall analytical effort. On occasions cited later in this report, variations in these procedures were made in special circumstances, and still other procedures were followed to obtain supplemental information.

a. Determination of pH produced in an aqueous slurry

One of the procedures routinely used in the analyses of fly-ash samples was to prepare a slurry of ash with distilled water and determine the equilibrium value of the pH reached after prolonged stirring. Each sample of ash was mixed with water in the ratio of 0.1 g of ash to 30 ml of water. Usually, the equilibrium pH was reached in about 30 min, but sometimes the slurry was stirred for 60 min to ensure that equilibrium had been established. During the time required for equilibration, each slurry was protected from ambient air to avoid absorption of atmospheric CO_2. Approximately the same value of pH was recorded during the final period of stirring or after stirring had been stopped and the suspended ash particles had been removed from the liquid phase by settling or centrifugation.

The procedure used for determining pH was similar to a procedure reported in the past by Lee, Friedrich, and Mitchell.[17] From the standpoint of convenience, it was preferred to an alternative procedure described by White,[8] which involved extraction with hot water in Soxhlet apparatus.

b. Determination of the excess of base or acid soluble in water.

During the initial phase of this investigation, the fly-ash samples consistently showed that they contained an excess of soluble base——that is, they produced pH values in aqueous slurries that were substantially above the neutral value of pH 7. For several of these samples, the liquid phase from the slurry was separated from the residual ash by centrifugation; then the liquid was titrated to pH 7 with a solution of HCl, with a pH meter for recording pH versus added HCl. From the results of the titration, the quantity of water-soluble base in the original ash was calculated as the equivalent weight percentage of CaO in the ash, assuming that only CaO had dissolved as Ca^{+2} and OH^- ions.

Several of the samples contained basic substances other than compounds dissolving to produce OH^- ion. This conclusion was based on a comparison of the titration curves obtained with the pH meter in experiments with extracts of fly ash and with solutions containing OH^- ion as the only base present. The soluble basic substances ion may have included silicate and aluminate ions; the titration curves of several fly-ash extracts resembled the curves obtained with synthetic mixtures containing silicate and aluminate ions. Clear-cut identification of bases other than OH^- ion by the titration procedure was not attempted, however, because

the locations of inflections in the titration curves of fly-ash extracts were usually not distinct and could have been caused by a variety of other bases than those suggested as possible components.

In later work, several fly-ash samples were found to contain excess acid rather than excess base, in view of the fact that they produced pH values in aqueous slurries considerably lower than pH 7. Extracts of these samples were titrated to pH 7 with NaOH, and attempts were made to interpret the titration curves thus obtained. It was clearly shown that the acids neutralized below pH 7 were largely substances other than H^+, probably including hydrated Al^{+3} and Fe^{+3} ions.

c. Determination of total soluble sulfate

Knowledge of the quantity of sulfate present in fly ash was of particular interest, in view of the fact that this property was indicative of the amount of SO_3 or H_2SO_4 deposited on the ash during conditioning in the presence of flue gases. Determination of sulfate in forms soluble in water was, therefore, a procedure routinely used in fly-ash analyses, as in previous work described by White.[8]

Water-soluble sulfate was assumed to be present primarily on the fly-ash surface, as concluded by White[1,8] and confirmed in other work discussed subsequently in this report. This component of the ash was determined by the following steps: (1) treatment of an aqueous extract prepared during the pH determination with a sulfonate ion-exchange resin to replace interfering cations with H^+ ion, as described by Fritz and Yamamura;[18] (2) dilution of the aqueous H_2SO_4 solution resulting from the ion-exchange process with excess isopropanol, producing a water:isopropanol ratio of 1:4; and (3) titration of the resulting solution of H_2SO_4 in the mixed solvent with $Ba(ClO_4)_2$ as the titrant and thorin as the indicator, as described by Fritz and Yamamura[18] and by Fielder and Morgan.[19]

d. Determination of soluble SO_4^{-2} as H_2SO_4

In determining the pH of slurries of fly ash from several sources, an interesting dependence of pH on the time of stirring was noted. Immediately following addition of fly ash to distilled water with a usual pH of about 5.5 (showing the presence of absorbed atmospheric CO_2), a rapid decrease in pH was observed. Then, with increased time of contact between the ash and the water, an increase in pH occurred until finally an equilibrium value was reached——sometimes below 7 and sometimes substantially above 7.

A minimum in pH with increasing time of contact was assumed to indicate that free acid——probably H_2SO_4 on conditioned ash—— occurred in the outermost surface layer and thus dissolved first, but that basic substances occurred in the underlying surface layers and ultimately partly or completely neutralized the acid dissolved initially.

Near the conclusion of the contract, efforts were made to find a means of confirming the above hypothesis. Several pure alcohols and alcohol-water mixtures were used for extracting fly-ash samples, and a pH meter was used to indicate whether free acid could be extracted selectively, even in the presence of excess base. The results of these experiments appeared to be quite promising, as indicated in the following paragraph.

In an ethanol-water mixture consisting of 95% of ethanol and 5% of water by volume, several fly-ash samples produced steadily decreasing values of pH until an equilibrium value well below that of the solvent was reached, whereas in water the same fly-ash samples produced an initial decrease in pH and then a sharp rise in pH to values far above pH 7, even up to pH 10 or 11. On the other hand, in the mixed solvent, still other fly-ash samples caused essentially no change or a slight increase in pH. It was concluded, therefore, that some samples contained free acid, whereas others did not. Finally, it was discovered that if an acidic extract was separated from the residual ash and titrated with a solution of NaOH in the ethanol-water mixture, the titration curve observed with the pH meter was practically identical to the titration curve obtained with a synthetic mixture of H_2SO_4, ethanol, and water. (To facilitate rapid equilibration of the pH meter in work with the mixed solvents, it was found expedient to add KCl to the mixture at a concentration of 1×10^{-3}M.)

On the basis of the observations described above, the following procedure was adopted as a means of determining the amount of free H_2SO_4 on fly ash: A sample of 0.1 g of fly ash was stirred with 15 ml of the mixed solvent for 10 to 15 min until the pH reached a stable value. If the pH was lower than that of the original solvent, the liquid phase was then separated from the residual ash by centrifugation and titrated with an ethanol-water solution of NaOH with the pH meter as an indicator of the endpoint. The apparent concentration of H_2SO_4 as a weight percentage of the ash was then calculated.

In an effort to confirm that H_2SO_4 was, indeed, selectively extracted, attempts were made to show that the amount of SO_4^{-2} ion extracted was equivalent to the amount of acid extracted.

Generally speaking, these attempts were not successful. Although SO_4^{-2} was found, it was not found in amounts precisely equivalent to the acid. However, the method used for determining SO_4^{-2}—based on titration with $Ba(ClO_4)_2$ with thorin as indicator—lacked the sensitivity and precision needed to obtain accurate determinations of SO_4^{-2} at concentrations equivalent to the concentrations of acid found.

Despite the generally poor agreement between concentrations of acid and SO_4^{-2} found in the ethanol-water extracts, it is felt that the results of the acid determinations show with fair certainty that free H_2SO_4 did, indeed, exist on a number of the fly-ash samples—even on several samples that had been stored in the laboratory for several months before they were analyzed.

3.   Analyses by optical methods

Studies of the chemical compositions of a few fly-ash samples were made by the methods of X-ray diffraction and electron-microprobe analysis. It was assumed that the method of X-ray diffraction might be of value for identifying specific compounds in the ash, provided they exist in crystalline form, and that the method of electron-microprobe analysis might be useful for determining specific elements on the surfaces of ash particles.

X-Ray diffraction. Analysis of fly-ash particles by the method of X-ray diffraction indicated that the ash consisted largely of amorphous rather than crystalline substances. Analysis of soluble components of the ash—isolated by dissolution in water and subsequent drying—failed to give an identifiable diffraction pattern. Thus, X-ray diffraction appeared to be of limited value as an analytical method in this investigation.

Electron-microprobe analysis. In view of the paramount interest in the presence of sulfur as SO_4^{-2} ion on the surfaces of fly-ash particles, electron-microprobe analysis was investigated as an alternative method for determining sulfur. The method, not heretofore employed in studies of fly-ash conditioning, proved to be of value for studies of the distribution of sulfur on various particles and for semiquantitative determinations of sulfur concentrations.

One matter of interest was to determine the relative distributions of sulfur on the original surfaces of fly-ash particles and on cross sections of particles that had been exposed by polishing. Sulfur was readily detected on the original surfaces but was not found in the interior material.

Another question investigated was how the sulfur was distributed among a large group of particles. Nearly all of the particles analyzed contained detectable amounts of sulfur; however, a small number of particles contained unusually large amounts of the element. No correlation was found between sulfur concentration and parameters such as particle color, magnetism, and other elemental components——predominantly iron and silicon in some instances and calcium, silicon, and aluminum in others. However, all of the particles containing exceptionally high concentrations of sulfur were, for some unexplained reason, agglomerated with other smaller particles.

In one of the samples analyzed quantitatively, it was found that approximately 99% of the particles contained about 0.1% of sulfur, and the remaining 1% contained up to 5% of sulfur. Analysis of this sample by the wet-chemical method previously described showed that the sample contained 0.72% of water-soluble SO_4^{-2}, the equivalent of about 0.24% of sulfur. The agreement between the two results seems reasonably satisfactory.

In two more samples, quantitative analyses were made of aggregates of particles with an enlarged electron beam——approximately 10 μm in diameter, compared with the number-median particle diameter of about 1.5 μm. One of the samples had been collected in the absence of SO_3 conditioning agent; the microprobe analysis showed that it contained 0.4% of sulfur, compared with the calculated value of 0.5% of sulfur based on the experimental finding of 1.5% of soluble SO_4^{-2}. The second sample had been collected with SO_3 conditioning agent added; the microprobe analysis showed that it contained 0.7% of sulfur, compared with the calculated value of 1.0% based on the experimental finding of 3.0% of soluble SO_4^{-2}. As in the analyses of individual particles, the results of microprobe analyses of aggregates of particles seem to agree satisfactorily with the results obtained by the wet-chemical procedure.

For routine use, determination of sulfur as SO_4^{-2} ion by the wet-chemical procedure appeared to be preferable to determination by electron-microprobe analysis. However, the validity of the chemical method for showing the amounts of SO_4^{-2} collected on the surfaces of the particles after injections of SO_3 conditioning agent was strengthened by the results of the electron-microprobe analyses, especially the findings that sulfur was located primarily on the particle surfaces and distributed with sufficient uniformity on all particles to have a significant effect on electrical resistivity.

4. Determinations of particle-size distribution

Particle-size distributions in fly-ash samples were determined by a microscopic technique with visible radiation. Approximately 25 mg of each sample was suspended in 2 ml of glycerine; approximately 50 μl of the suspension was then spread on a microscope slide and protected with a cover. Particles in different size ranges (<2, 2-5, 5-10, 10-25 and >25 μm) were counted by use of a microscope equipped with a MSA Dust View Microprojector (product of Mine Safety Appliances Company). The microscope and projector allowed viewing of the fly-ash particles at a magnification of 1000 diameters on a 200- by 250-mm screen with a calibrated grid. Usually, a total of 300 to 400 particles was counted in six to ten fields on the slide, each approximately 0.20 mm high and 0.25 mm long.

In each sample, most of the particles smaller than 10 μm were translucent spheres. Most of the particles larger than 10 μm were also spherical but a substantial fraction were opaque rather than translucent. There were relatively few agglomerates of spherical particles and other particles of irregular shape. The agglomerates and irregular particles in various size ranges were counted on the basis of their maximum dimensions.

Percentages of each sample in the various size ranges were calculated on the number basis and plotted on logarithmic probability paper. As a rule, the plotted data fell near a straight line, signifying a log-normal particle-size distribution. The intersection of the coordinate for 50% probability with the straight line representing the data points was recorded as the number-median particle diameter.

E. Flue-Gas Analyses

1. Collection of SO_3 and SO_2 samples

The components of flue gases that were of paramount importance during this investigation of gas conditioning were SO_3 and H_2SO_4. As indicated previously in this report, the relative concentrations of SO_3 and H_2SO_4 vapors in flue gases depend upon both the temperature and the concentration of H_2O vapor, assuming that chemical equilibrium involving SO_3, H_2SO_4, and H_2O vapors is continously maintained. However, SO_3 and H_2SO_4 are not distinguishable by the analytical methods employed during this investigation; thus, for convenience in discussions of flue-gas analyses, the formula SO_3 is used to indicate both chemical substances.

Another component of flue gases that was of considerable interest was SO_2. This compound is ineffective as a conditioning agent. However, SO_2 is a potential source of SO_3 through reaction with O_2, and it is a practical indicator of the percentage of sulfur in the fuel. Thus, the concentration of SO_2 was routinely determined along with the concentration of SO_3.

a. Survey of available collection methods

Collection of the SO_3 in flue gases is a difficult problem because (1) SO_3 is easily lost as the result of condensation with excess H_2O to form liquid H_2SO_4-H_2O mixtures if high temperatures are not maintained in the sampling line between the source and the collector and (2) SO_3 normally occurs in flue gases at low concentrations in the presence of a large excess of a probable interferant, SO_2, which can be oxidized to SO_3 by O_2. Examples of methods that have been used by various investigators in efforts to overcome these sources of difficulty are described briefly below. All of the methods use a heated sampling probe fitted with a filter to remove the fly-ash particles and any liquid particles of a condensed H_2SO_4-H_2O mixture that may be present; however, they use different types of apparatus to collect samples as a SO_4^{-2} salt or as H_2SO_4, as follows:

- The flue gases are pumped through a bubbler filled with a solution of NaOH and an oxidation inhibitor, such as benzyl alcohol. The SO_3 and SO_2 are collected in the solution as SO_4^{-2} and SO_3^{-2} salts, respectively. The conversion of SO_3^{-2} to SO_4^{-2} is repressed by the presence of the oxidation inhibitor, and each ion may be determined in the presence of the other.[20,21]

- The flue gases are pumped through a bubbler filled with a 4:1 mixture of isopropanol and water, which collects SO_3 with much higher efficiency than SO_2. Any SO_2 collected with the SO_3 is removed with a purge of inert gas, such as N_2, to avoid interference in the analysis of the sample.[22,23]

- The flue gases are pumped through a condenser coil maintained below the dew points of SO_3-H_2O mixtures but above the dew points of other vapor mixtures or individual vapors, such as an SO_2-H_2O mixture or H_2O vapor alone. A satisfactory range of condenser temperatures is 140 to 195°F.[24,25]

In contrast to the collection of SO_3, the collection of SO_2 is a reasonably simple task. In a sampling train that contains either a condenser or an isopropanol-water mixture as the collector for SO_3, a bubbler filled with a 3% solution of H_2O_2 in water may be used downstream from the SO_3 collector to collect SO_2 as H_2SO_4.

Both condensation and absorption methods for collecting SO_3 were thoroughly evaluated under laboratory conditions before they were used in field studies, as described in the following paragraphs. The absorption method for collection SO_2, on the other hand, appeared clearly suitable for field use and was not subjected to preliminary evaluation under laboratory conditions.

b. Evaluation of the condensation method for collecting SO_3

The apparatus used for collecting SO_3 by the condensation method is similar in design to that described by Lisle and Sensenbaugh.[24] It is shown schematically in Figure 4 as part of a sampling train that includes an absorber for SO_2.

The sampling probe includes two concentric tubes with lengths of 1.2 m; the inner tube or sampling line is made of Pyrex with an internal diameter of about 7 mm, and the outer tube used for support and insulation is made of stainless steel with an external diameter of about 25 mm. The annulus between the two tubes contains an electrical heating tape around the wall of the Pyrex tube and an insulating asbestos tape around the heating tape. The end of the Pyrex tube that is inserted in the flue is packed with quartz wool to prevent particles of fly ash and H_2SO_4-H_2O condensate from entering the collection system; the other end of the Pyrex tube is fitted with a ball-and-socket joint for connection to the condenser. The condenser consists of a helical condensation tube made from Pyrex tubing with an internal diameter of about 7 mm and an overall length of about 1 m; a spray trap consisting of a fritted-glass filter (sealed to the helix near the exit); a heated bath of ethylene glycol and water around the helix and filter; and a steel pipe fitted with an external heating tape for containing and heating the water-glycol mixture. The SO_2 scrubber is a bubbler filled with a 3% solution of H_2O_2 in water. The flow-rate indicator is a Charcoal Test Meter (product of American Meter Company) with an inlet filter of Drierite or, as an alternative, a vapor trap immersed in ice water. The Charcoal Test Meter registers the integral of flow rate and time and, thus, shows the total volume of dry flue gases sampled except for the relatively small volumes of SO_3 and SO_2 collected upstream. A small vacuum pump (Model 1031-V102-351 of Gast Manufacturing Corporation) is used for sampling flue gases at an approximate rate of 2 l/min for a period of about 20 min.

Figure 4. Schematic Diagram of Apparatus
for Collection of SO₃ by the
Condensation Method

The performance of the above apparatus was evaluated in the laboratory by injecting aqueous H_2SO_4 at known rates in the sampling probe (heated to a sufficient temperature to volatilize both the SO_3 and the H_2O added) and determining the amounts of SO_3 collected in the condenser by the methods described subsequently in Section II.E.2. Room air was pumped through the sampling probe and the condenser at an approximate rate of 2 l/min to sweep the SO_3 from the point of injection to the condenser. In some of the experiments, SO_2 was injected in the airstream before it entered the sampling probe; the average concentration of SO_2 in these experiments was 1700 ppm.

Table 2 lists the experimental data comparing the concentrations of SO_3 found with the concentrations sampled, in the range from 0 to 20 ppm with or without SO_2 present. In general, the agreement between observed and injected concentrations is within acceptable limits. The results obtained when SO_2 was present but no SO_3 was injected indicate that slight degrees of oxidation of SO_2 to SO_3 occurred either in the airstream or the condenser; thus, with low SO_3 concentrations in flue gases, small positive errors may occur in the observed SO_3 concentrations as the result of the oxidation of SO_2.

Table 2. Comparison of Sampled and
Observed SO_3 Concentrations by
the Condensation Method

Concn of gas sampled, ppm		Concn of SO_3 found, ppm[a]
SO_3	SO_2	
0	1700	0.8-0.9
2.0	0	1.7-2.0
15.6	0	13.3-15.9
15.6	1700	15.9
17.0	1700	18.3
19.8	1700	15.2-18.1

a. Based on sample analyses by the methods described in Section II.E.2. Results from multiple determinations are shown as a range of values.

c. Experimental study of the isopropanol-water absorption
   method for collecting SO_3

Components of the apparatus used for collecting SO_3 by
absorption in a 4:1 mixture of isopropanol and water are shown
schematically in Figure 5. Except for the SO_3 absorber, the com-
ponents of this apparatus are the same as those described above
in connection with the condensation method. The absorber for SO_3
and the auxiliary absorber for SO_2 are made of Pyrex as described
in a publication of Shell Development Company.[23] They consist,
in essence, of a bubbler filled with the isopropanol-water mix-
ture for collecting SO_3 and a second bubbler filled with a
peroxide-water mixture for collecting SO_2. Both bubblers were
immersed in ice-water mixtures to optimize collection efficiencies.
Following a period of flue-gas sampling, a stream of nitrogen is
passed through the two bubblers to transfer any SO_2 in the
isopropanol-water mixture to the peroxide-water mixture.

The effectiveness of the apparatus for collecting SO_3 was
evaluated in the laboratory by the procedures previously described
in the discussion of the condensation method. The experimental
data are listed in Table 3. These data, like those obtained with
the condensation method, indicate that the efficiency of SO_3 col-
lection was, in general, satisfactory. The results obtained with
SO_2 present but with SO_3 absent, however, indicate that signifi-
cant positive errors in SO_3 concentrations are to be expected in
the range of low values, as the result of the oxidation of SO_2 to
SO_3.

Table 3. Comparison of Sampled and
Observed SO_3 Concentrations by
the Absorption Method

Concn of gas sampled, ppm		Concn of SO_3
SO_3	SO_2	found, ppm[a]
0	1200	3.1
2.0	0	1.8-2.0
17.0	1200	16.5-18.4
25.0	0	24.6

a. Based on sample analyses by the methods
   described in Section II.E.2. Results
   from multiple determinations are shown
   as a range of values.

Flue-gas
sample

Wall of flue

Heated
sampling probe

SO$_3$ absorber
(isopropanol-water
solution)

Vent

Pump

Flow
meter

SO$_2$ absorber
(peroxide-water
solution)

Drierite
or
cold trap

Figure 5.   Schematic Diagram of Apparatus for Collection
of SO$_3$ by the Method of Absorption in an
Isopropanol-Water Solution

d. Field studies

During the initial field studies, use was made of both of the collection methods discussed above for collecting SO_3 and SO_2 samples. Good agreement was obtained by the two methods and thus, in time, either of the methods was considered satisfactory. However, on the basis of convenience, the condensation method was considered preferable and was adopted for routine use.

2. Analyses of SO_3 and SO_2 samples

Two methods were investigated under laboratory conditions for determining the SO_3 and SO_2 collected as H_2SO_4 by either of the two collection procedures. One method was based on titration of H_2SO_4 with $Ba(ClO_4)_2$, with a 4:1 mixture of isopropanol and water as the solvent and the organic dye thorin as the indicator of the endpoint.[18,19] The second method was based on treatment of the collected H_2SO_4 with excess solid barium chloroanilate and spectrophotometric determination of the chloroanilate ion released in the solution during formation of $BaSO_4$ in place of the original barium chloroanilate.[26] The intensity of ultraviolet absorption at 310 nm was used for calculating the original concentration of H_2SO_4.

Our experience showed that the titration method was substantially less sensitive, requiring roughly 25 times as much sample as the spectrophotometric method. The titration method was, on the other hand, far more convenient in terms of the time and the apparatus required for a determination. Moreover, it was sufficiently sensitive for use in determining SO_3 in flue gases at concentrations down to 1 ppm with samples of reasonable volumes (e.g., 40 liters), and it was sufficiently sensitive in determining the characteristically much higher concentrations of SO_2. In numerous sample analyses by the two methods, the results obtained by the two methods were in good agreement. Thus, we considered the titration method preferable, especially in field work where simplicity was of considerable importance.

3. Determination of H_2O vapor

In addition to SO_3 and SO_2, H_2O was one of the components of flue gases that was of vital importance in the investigation of gas conditioning. In contrast to SO_3 and SO_2, however, H_2O did not vary widely in concentration. Thus, concentrations of H_2O were determined consistently in every plant but on a less frequent basis than those of SO_3 and SO_2.

The technical literature is not clear about the methods that may be used most successfully for determining the concentration of H_2O vapor in flue gases. The detailed analytical information of Cuffe et al[27,28] about flue-gas compositions, for example, includes data on H_2O concentrations but gives no description of the method used to obtain these data. On occasion, publications have referred to the use of wet-and-dry bulbs for H_2O determinations,[29] but our impression of such devices is that the results obtainable would be of dubious validity. We felt that, as an alternative, use of an efficient drying agent in solid form, such as Drierite or $Mg(ClO_4)_2$, would be preferable.

In accordance with the above viewpoint, we evaluated Drierite as a collector for water vapor. A tube packed with a weighed quantity of Drierite, approximately 50 g, was placed between the heated probe and the flow meter shown in either Figure 4 or 5, with all of the other components of the apparatus omitted. Experimental data obtained with simulated flue-gas mixtures showed high efficiency of water-vapor recovery and indicated that accurate determinations of water-vapor concentration could be made in the presence of other flue-gas components. Determinations of H_2O vapor in field studies were, therefore, made on the basis of sample collection with Drierite.

4. Determination of NO and NO_2 in combination

Still other components of flue gases that may be indirectly involved in gas conditioning are the oxides of nitrogen, NO and NO_2. Occasional determinations were made of the sum of concentrations of these two oxides, referred to as NO_x. The procedure consisted of sample collection as HNO_3 in aqueous H_2SO_4, neutralization of the acidic sample with KOH, addition of phenoldisulfonic acid to produce nitrophenoldisulfonic acid, and spectrophotometric determination of the nitro compound.[21]

The results of all determinations of NO_x are included in this report as a matter of record. No attempt was made, however, to draw any conclusions about the influence of NO_x on fly-ash conditioning.

III. STUDIES OF POWER PLANTS EQUIPPED WITH FACILITIES FOR THE INJECTION OF ANHYDROUS SO_3 VAPOR

A. Cherokee Station, Unit 2

1. Description of the plant facilities

The Cherokee Station is operated by the Public Service Company of Colorado in Denver, Colorado. It consists of four units ranging in production capacity from 110 to 360 MW. Units 1, 2, and 4 are equipped with facilities for the injection of SO_3 from a central installation in which commercial stabilized SO_3 (obtained from Allied Chemical Corporation under the tradename "Sulfan") is converted from the liquid to the vapor in a stream of dry air.[30] The SO_3 injection facilities were designed and installed by Lodge-Cottrell Limited during the first part of 1971. As discussed in the following section, Unit 3 is equipped with an independent system for the injection of H_2SO_4 by evaporation of the concentrated acid.[30] Installation of the injection facilities at the four Cherokee units was made to cope with high resistivities—in excess of 1×10^{11} ohm cm at temperatures of 290°F and above—that are typical of fly ash from the coal normally burned in the Cherokee Station (mined in Routt County, Colorado).

During this program, studies of the Cherokee system for SO_3 injection were made only at Unit 2. This unit, which has a rated capacity of 110 MW, includes both a mechanical collector and an electrostatic precipitator for fly-ash removal at temperatures averaging about 290°F. The SO_3 is pumped to the injection site at a concentration of about 9% by volume in air at an elevated temperature of undetermined value and injected through a manifold between the mechanical and electrostatic collectors.* This manifold consists of 42 injection lines inserted into the wall of the duct in a rectangular grid roughly 20 ft in the vertical direction (the direction of flow of the flue gases) and roughly 25 ft in the horizontal direction. Presumably, each injection line leads to a number of ports in a horizontal plane across the path of the flue gases, but the geometry of the injection system within the duct cannot be stated with certainty. Each of the injection lines is fitted with a valve that should permit uniform injection of the SO_3 if the opening of the valve is properly adjusted or, in other words, permit compensation for non-uniform flow of flue gases in various cross-sections of the duct.

---

* The general characteristics of injection systems for SO_3 or Sulfan are discussed in detail in Section VIII.

Although the conditioning agent passes through the injection lines in dry air as SO_3 vapor, it must be rapidly and quantitatively converted to H_2SO_4 vapor on entering the duct, where it reacts with excess H_2O vapor at a comparatively low temperature of 290°F. The injection system has sufficient capacity to produce an H_2SO_4 concentration in the duct in excess of 20 ppm by volume with the unit operating at full load, with flue gases being produced at the rate of about 500,000 ft^3/min (here, the concentration and the flow rate are expressed on an actual basis—i.e., with H_2O vapor present at the nominal temperature of 290°F and the ambient pressure of 0.83 atm).

Our studies were made at Cherokee Unit 2 on July 6 and 7, 1971, soon after the injection system had been placed in operation and before the owner company had performed enough tests to realize optimum performance. At the time of our visit, the optimum rate of SO_3 injection was believed to be that used to produce 15 to 20 ppm of H_2SO_4 in the duct. However, this range now appears to be too high on the basis of the experimental data given in the following sections.

2.   Results of conditioning studies

a.   Analyses of the coal

Composite samples of coal being fed to the boiler of Cherokee Unit 2 on July 6 and 7 were analyzed for total sulfur and ash. The results are listed in Table 4. The results for the two dates show good agreement in sulfur percentages but a substantial difference in ash percentages. The discrepancy in ash concentrations is of little concern, however, because most of the data relative to gas conditioning were taken on July 7.

The data for the coal sample collected on July 7 are representative values for coal from the source being used (Routt County, Colorado), based on analyses reported by the Bureau of Mines[31,32] and on our analysis from an investigation at Cherokee Unit 3 in October, 1970 (see Section IV.A.1).

Table 4.   Sulfur and Ash Contents of Coal Burned at
Cherokee Unit 2

| Component | Concentration, % | |
	July 6	July 7
Sulfur	0.60	0.62
Ash	12.2	7.9

Most of the sulfur in Routt County coal is distributed between the pyritic and organic forms, as shown by the analyses given later. Data published by the Bureau of Mines and cited in Section IV.A.1 of this report show that strongly basic oxides, such as CaO, represent about 10% of the ash in Routt County coal, whereas the three most common weakly basic and acidic substances—Al_2O_3, Fe_2O_3, and SiO_2—represent about 80% of the ash in this coal.

b. Resistivity of the fly ash

All of the resistivity data for Cherokee Unit 2 were taken on July 7 with the point-plane probe inserted in a port immediately ahead of the electrostatic precipitator.

Attempts to determine the resistivities of the initial series of collected samples with a high-voltage power supply, as described in Section II.B, were completely unsuccessful, in view of evident electrical breakdown in the collected samples at electric fields lower than 1.0 kV/cm. It was then learned that faulty combustion conditions in the boiler were producing an unusually high percentage of unburned carbon in the ash, of the order of 5% by weight rather than the usual 0.5% by weight or less. It was assumed that an even higher volume percentage of carbon in the ash (expected on the basis of reported differences in density of unburned carbon and fly ash)[33] must have existed and the large volume percentage of highly conductive carbon particles caused electrical breakdown at unusually low applied fields.

The only available means of overcoming the above difficulty was to use an electrometer (Keithley Model 610C) as both the voltage source and the current-measuring device. With this apparatus, the maximum applied voltage was only 0.01 kV and the maximum electric field produced in the samples was only of the order of 0.1 kV/cm or less. The resistivity data, therefore, cannot be directly compared with data from other plants, where resistivities are reported for substantially higher fields.

The resistivity data obtained without SO_3 injection and with SO_3 injection to produce estimated concentrations of 13 and 27 ppm in the duct are listed in Table 5. The concentrations of injected SO_3 were calculated from the evaporation rates of SO_3 and the flow rate of flue gases determined by the utility company during our study. Calculations from the available data led to results that were approximately 30% higher than the concentrations of 10 and 20 ppm originally requested before our experiments were started.

Despite the difficulties encountered in obtaining resistivity values under the desired conditions, the data nevertheless show that either concentration of injected SO_3 lowered the resistivity of the fly ash from a value in excess of 1×10^{11} ohm to a value around 1×10^8 or less. There was no apparent gain in effectiveness of the conditioning agent on raising the concentration from 13 to 27 ppm. It is probable that even less than 5 ppm would have been entirely adequate for conditioning the ash to the extent required. It would obviously have been desirable to determine resistivity values at SO_3 concentrations below 13 ppm; however, it was not possible to make these determinations because a failure in the SO_3 injection system caused an extended interruption in its use.

Table 5. Electrical Resistivity
of Fly Ash at Cherokee Unit 2

Estimated concn of injected SO_3, ppm	Resistivity,[a] ohm cm
0	1.6×10^{11}
13	1.4×10^7
13	5.6×10^7
27	2.5×10^7
27	3.8×10^7
27	5.0×10^7

a. Determined with the point-plane probe with an electric field of 0.1 kV/cm or less at a temperature of 290°F (July 7, 1971).

The effectiveness of the SO_3 for conditioning the ash was confirmed by readings of the secondary voltages and currents of the precipitator power supply. It was confirmed especially by a comparison of the voltages and currents in the power unit supplying the inlet section of the precipitator. With no injection, observed electrical values were 37 kV and 80 mA. With 27 ppm of injected SO_3, the corresponding set of electrical values was 41 kV and 720 mA. On injection of the conditioning agent, the spark rate in the inlet section decreased from 25 to 5 sparks/min.

c. <u>Chemical properties of the ash</u>

Table 6 gives a summary of analytical data for individual fly-ash samples collected at the entrance of the electrostatic precipitator with the point-plane probe. This table also gives information about analytical properties of other ash samples collected with a cyclone device, one collected at the entrance of the precipitator and one collected near the entrance to the air heater (at a much higher temperature, upstream from the point of SO_3 injection). The column headings for the chemical properties are to be interpreted on the basis of information given in Section II.D. and in the footnote referring to the percentage of unburned carbon.

The following points are the most significant observations to be made:

- In the absence of any effect from injected SO_3 conditioning agent, the flow of the ash through the air heater and the mechanical collector caused

   —a decrease in pH from a moderately basic value (10.1) to an approximately neutral value (<u>ca</u>. 7)

   —an increase in the weight percentage of total sulfate from approximately 0.3 to 1.0%

   —an increase in weight percentage of free H_2SO_4 from about 0.01 to 0.05%

   —a reduction in the weight percentage of unburned carbon by about 11 to 4%.

   These effects must have resulted principally from the change in particle-size distribution that occurred during the loss of the larger particles in the mechanical collector. However, they may have resulted, in part, from interaction of the fly ash with the small concentration of naturally available SO_3, which was found at a concentration of 2 ppm ahead of the air heater (see the following section).

- With SO_3 injected at increasing levels, changes in the chemical properties of the ash consisted of

Table 6. Chemical Properties of Fly-Ash Samples
Collected at Cherokee Unit 2

Sampling location[a]	Temp, °F	Estimated concn of injected SO_3, ppm	Sample collector[b]	Concn of fly ash,[c] gr/ft³	pH	Soluble SO_4^{-2}, % Total	H_2SO_4	C,[d] %
AH	695	0	C	2.60	10.1	0.29	0.01	11.1
EP	290	0	P	0.96	7.3	0.97	0.05	4.3
EP	290	0	P	0.96	6.6	1.28	0.05	-
EP	290	13	P	0.96	6.8	1.42	0.10	-
EP	290	13	P	0.96	6.9	1.51	0.10	4.4
EP	290	13	C	0.96	6.9	1.53	-	5.2
EP	290	27	P	0.96	7.1	1.85	0.19	3.5
EP	290	27	P	0.96	6.7	1.76	0.13	-
EP	290	27	P	0.96	7.4	1.89	0.11	-

a. AH signifies a location before the air heater, and EP signifies a location before the precipitator. The date of sampling was July 7, 1971.

b. C signifies a cyclone collector, and P signifies the point-plane probe.

c. Expressed for "standard" conditions—dry gases at 32°F and 1 atm. Values at the entrance to the precipitator are based on data obtained by the utility company on July 7. The value at the entrance to the air heater is estimated from a published correlation of ash concentrations in the coal and the dust,[34] although it is inconsistent with the utility company's estimate of an efficiency of 80% in ash removal in the mechanical collector

d. Determined on the basis of the quantity of CO_2 produced from unburned carbon particles in a C-H-N elemental analyzer.

—consistently increasing concentrations of total SO_4^{-2}

—generally increasing concentrations of free H_2SO_4.

The increases of about 0.4 and 0.8% in the SO_4^{-2} content of fly ash at the ash concentration of 0.96 gr/ft^3 correspond to losses of about 1 and 2 ppm, respectively, in the injected concentrations of SO_3, which are small in comparison with original concentrations of 13 and 27 ppm, respectively.

d. Concentrations of flue gases

Table 7 gives a summary of the observed concentrations of flue gases on two dates, July 6 and July 7. The principal observations to be made from the analytical data given in this table are as follows:

- The concentration of H_2O was approximately constant, averaging 7.4% by volume.

- The concentration of SO_3 in the absence of injected conditioning agent ranged from 1 to 3 ppm. The lowest value, 1 ppm, was observed at the precipitator on July 6 when, unfortunately, no resistivity values could be obtained because of the previous described problem from unburned carbon in the ash. A somewhat higher value, 3 ppm, was observed at this location the next day; this value may not be as representative of the value normally to be found at this location, since it was not absolutely certain that the SO_3 injection line had cleared before sample was collected. It was necessary to collect the sample without injection on July 7 after all-day injection of SO_3; the time of sampling was deliberately delayed for nearly 1 hr after the injection equipment had been shut off. However, continued flow of air through the injection lines may have caused continued injection of SO_3 at a low level during the sampling, even though the plant personnel considered a delay of 1 hr sufficient. The value of 2 ppm ahead of the air heater on July 7 would not have been influenced by the injection of SO_3, which occurred at a location downstream from the air heater.

- Two results for the concentration of SO_3 during injection of the agent at the lower concentration (11 and

Table 7. Concentrations of Flue Gases
at Cherokee Unit 2

Date	Sampling location[a]	Temp, °F	Estimated concn of injected SO_3, ppm	Concentrations of flue gases[b]		
				H_2O, %	SO_3, ppm	SO_2, ppm
July 6	EP	290	0	7.3	1	432
	EP	290	0	-	1	422
	EP	290	0	-	1	406
July 7	AH	695	0	-	2	507
	AH	695	0	-	2	512
	EP	290	0	-	3	473
	EP	290	13	7.4	-	-
	EP	290	13	-	12	452
	EP	290	13	-	11	442
	EP	290	27	7.5	-	-
	EP	290	27	-	26	460
	EP	290	27	-	23	420

a. AH signifies a location before the air heater, and EP signifies a location before the precipitator.

b. The concentrations listed are the results of individual experiments and are expressed on the "wet" or actual basis. The concentration of O_2 recorded by the utility company was in the range from 4 to 5%.

12 ppm) were slightly below the estimated concentration of injected agent (13 ppm), as expected from the small amount of agent collected on the fly ash. The concentrations found during injection at the higher concentration (23 and 26 ppm) were also only slightly lower than the estimated concentration of injected agent (27 ppm), again as expected from the fly-ash analyses.

- The observed concentrations of SO_2 fluctuated through a range of nearly 100 ppm. The variations in SO_2 concentration are believed to reflect actual variations, primarily as a result of periodic variations in the composition of the coal being burned in the boiler. (Simultaneous determinations of SO_2 by our method and by either a similar method employed by other investigators or a method consisting of continuous instrumental monitoring leads to the conclusion that errors in our method would not be as large as 100 ppm in the 400 to 500 ppm range.)

As noted above, our data for SO_3 concentrations indicated that most of the injected SO_3 remained in the gas phase. Determinations of SO_3 at the precipitator outlet by chemists of the utility company confirmed that this phenomenon occurred. A concentration of 23 to 24 ppm was found at the outlet during injection of SO_3 at an estimated concentration of 27 ppm, confirming that an unnecessarily high concentration of SO_3 was injected. A lower, more acceptable emission of SO_3 would be expected with the injected concentration lowered, as seems desirable.

B.   X Station, Unit 4

1.   Description of the power unit

The power station referred to by the code letter X cannot be identified by actual name or location in view of an agreement reached with the owner company. All of the information pertaining to this plant was provided by a representative of the company; none of the data given subsequently in this report was obtained by our staff and, thus, the reliability of the data cannot be vouched for. Of necessity, a full description of the plant cannot be given, but the most important features are described below.

The power unit of the X station discussed here employs only an electrostatic precipitator for the removal of fly ash. The operating temperature of the precipitator was reported to be 230°F during the tests with SO_3 injection. This temperature would normally be considered low enough for the H_2O vapor in the flue gases to serve adequately by itself as a conditioning agent; however, the reported fly-ash resistivity of unconditioned ash at

$230°F$ was 4.5×10^{11} ohm cm and thus above the desired maximum value of 2×10^{10} ohm cm.

Details of the SO_3 injection system were described only as follows: Sulfan was evaporated in a stream of preheated dried air with a temperature of $700°F$ and a dew point below $-40°F$, but it was injected in the duct at a much lower temperature of $300°F$. Unquestionably, the SO_3 reacted with H_2O vapor to produce H_2SO_4 vapor on entering the duct, and a large part of the H_2SO_4 may have condensed with additional H_2O vapor to produce a mist of H_2SO_4 and H_2O unless acid collection on the ash occurred at a faster rate than the condensation process (see Section VII.B.2).

2. Results of conditioning tests

Some of the results produced during the use of SO_3 as a conditioning agent are shown in Table 8. These are the only reported data showing the effects of SO_3; no information indicating changes in flue-gas composition was made available. However, it can be stated that the ash was treated with SO_3 at an unusually high concentration, 7.5 gr/ft^3 (expressed in terms of gas volume under standard rather than actual conditions of temperature and pressure) and, furthermore, the untreated ash was highly basic, producing a slurry pH of about 11 in an aqueous slurry. (The pH produced by a fly-ash sample from X station was determined in our laboratory by the usual procedure and found to be 11.7; we do not know, however, whether this sample was representative of the ash that was subjected to SO_3 conditioning.)

Table 8. Effects of SO_3 Conditioning
Agent on the Resistivity and Sulfate
Content of Fly Ash at X Station

Injected concn of SO_3, ppm	Resistivity,[a] ohm cm	SO_4^{-2}, %
0	4.5×10^{11}	0.17
10	2.3×10^{10}	0.31
18-20	7.0×10^{9}	0.38

a. Determined with a point-plane apparatus at an unspecified electric field.

IV. STUDIES OF POWER PLANTS EQUIPPED WITH FACILITIES FOR THE INJECTION OF VAPORS FROM LIQUID H_2SO_4

A. Cherokee Station, Unit 3

1. Description of the plant facilities*

As previously stated, Unit 3 of the Cherokee Station, with a rated production capacity of 165 MW, has facilities for the injection of vapors from liquid H_2SO_4, whereas Units 1, 2, and 4 have a common SO_3 injection system.[30] The injection system was installed by Western Precipitator Division of Joy Manufacturing Company and placed in operation in August or September of 1970. As originally installed (and also as now operated), the system injected the acid vapors immediately ahead of the mechanical collector and thus subjected all of the fly ash from the boiler to conditioning, unlike the SO_3 system at Cherokee Unit 2. During November, 1970, however, the system was temporarily modified to inject the conditioning agent between the mechanical collector and the precipitator and thus treat only the relatively small fly-ash particles that cannot be removed mechanically. The temperature of flue gases through the mechanical and electrostatic collectors ranges from about 300 to 310°F.

The source of acid vapors in the Cherokee Unit 3 conditioning system is commercial 66°Bé H_2SO_4 (containing 93.2% of H_2SO_4 and 6.8% of H_2O by weight[35]). The acid is evaporated in a stream of hot combustion gases that are produced by burning natural gas with sufficient excess air to produce the following approximate gas concentrations:[30] CO_2, 2%; H_2O, 5%; O_2, 16%; and N_2, 77%. Evaporation of the acid reportedly occurs within the temperature range of 700 to 1000°F,[30] which is high enough to decompose the H_2SO_4 extensively to SO_3 and H_2O but to avoid decomposition of the SO_3 to SO_2 (see Section VIII.C).

The injection system includes a manifold of about 8 injection lines inserted into the duct at regular intervals in a line across the direction of flow of flue gases. The nature of the distribution system for injected vapors inside the duct is not known. The volume ratio of carrier gases for the conditioning agent to flue gases in the duct, however, is reportedly about 1:770.[30] Because

---

* Most of the descriptive information given here is based on published literature as cited, not on information disclosed by the utility company or the contractor for the injection facilities.

the agent can be injected to produce a concentration of conditioning agent in the duct in excess of 40 ppm, the combined concentrations of SO_3 and H_2SO_4 in the injection lines must exceed 30,000 ppm on occasion. From considerations of the dew point of the H_2O-SO_3-H_2SO_4 mixture and the maximum absolute pressure in the injection line (approximately 0.98 atm) the temperature of the injection must be at least 400°F to avoid loss of conditioning agent by condensation before injection occurs (see Section VIII.C). Conversion of the SO_3 in the injection lines to H_2SO_4 presumably occurs rapidly following entrance into the duct, with an excess of H_2O vapor and at a temperature of only 300 to 310°F.

Our work on the site of Cherokee Unit 3 was performed during the period from October 13 to 19, 1970, when the acid vapors were injected ahead of the mechanical collector as at present. However, supplemental studies were conducted with samples collected by Public Service Company personnel both before and after the site of injection was moved temporarily to the duct between the mechanical and electrostatic collectors during the following month.

2. Results of conditioning studies

a. Analyses of the coal

Table 9 gives the results of analyses of samples of the coal being fed to the boiler of Cherokee Unit 3 on October 17 and 19 when two series of determinations of fly-ash resistivity were made. This table indicates that the coal contained approximately 0.5% of sulfur and 8.6% of ash on both dates. The composition was only slightly different from the composition of coal from the same source (Routt County, Colorado) found at Cherokee Unit 2 on another occasion, as previously discussed in Section III.A.1.

Table 9. Sulfur and Ash Contents
of Coal Burned at Cherokee Unit 3

Component		Concentration, %	
		October 17	October 19
Sulfur			
as sulfate		0.02	0.02
as pyritic sulfur		0.12	0.23
as organic sulfur		0.33	0.29
	Total	0.47	0.54
Ash		8.72	8.57

Table 10 gives the concentrations of individual components of the ash sample prepared during the determination of the concentration of ash in the coal collected on October 19 and shows the high ratio of weakly basic and acid substances to strongly basic substances that is characteristic of Routt County coal. The data in Table 10 were determined by fusing the ash sample with $NaCO_3$; cooling the melt and neutralizing it with HCl; and analyzing the residue for SiO_2 gravimetrically,[36] Fe_2O_3 and CaO by titration procedures with EDTA,[37] MgO and Al_2O_3 by atomic absorption spectroscopy,[38] and K_2O by flame photometry.[39]

Table 10. Components of the Ash
in the Coal Burned at Cherokee Unit 3

Component	Concentration, %
SiO_2	45.4
Al_2O_3	30.0
Fe_2O_3	5.4
CaO	7.3
MgO	2.6
K_2O	1.1
Subtotal[a]	91.8

a. Other components would include SO_3 and numerous relatively minor components such as TiO_2, P_2O_5, and Na_2O.

b. Resistivity of the fly ash

Resistivities of fly ash at the entrance of the precipitator at Cherokee Unit 3 were determined on two dates, October 17 and 19. In the first series of measurements, a mixture of coal and natural gas was used as the fuel in the boiler, with the natural gas representing about 25% of the fuel value. In the second series of measurements, only coal was used as the fuel.

Determinations of resistivity were made with the two types of cyclone probes described in Section II.B with an average electric field of 2.0 to 2.5 kV/cm in each sample. Data were obtained both with and without H_2SO_4 injection, with concentrations of the conditioning agent reportedly as high as 33 and 44 ppm during the two series of determinations.

Table 11 summarizes the results of the resistivity deter-
minations. This table shows that surprisingly little change in
resistivity was produced during the injection of H_2SO_4. In either
series of measurements, the largest reduction observed was less
than one order of magnitude, despite the high concentrations of
H_2SO_4 that were reportedly injected.

Table 11. Electrical Resistivity
of Fly Ash at Cherokee Unit 3
(Validity questionable as discussed in text)

Date[a]	Temp, °F	Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Resistivity,[b] ohm cm
Oct. 17	300	0	2.0×10^{12}
		6	9.3×10^{11}
		17	7.8×10^{11}
		26	5.6×10^{11}
		33	5.0×10^{11}
Oct. 19	310	0	1.0×10^{12}
		13	1.0×10^{12}
		26	6.0×10^{11}
		33	6.3×10^{11}
		44	6.6×10^{11}

a. On October 17, a mixture of coal and natural
   gas was used as the fuel. On October 19, only
   coal was used as the fuel.

b. Determined with cyclone probes with an average
   electric field of 2.0 to 2.5 kV/cm.

c. Chemical properties of ash samples collected from the
   ducts ahead of the air heater and the precipitator

Table 12 lists the results of chemical analyses of fly-ash
samples collected with cyclone probes in sampling ports ahead of
the air heater and ahead of the electrostatic precipitator during
conditioning tests with coal only as the fuel.

The data obtained in the absence of conditioning agent show
evidence of a reduction in the basicity of the ash and an increase
in the sulfate content of the ash as the sampling point was moved
from the location ahead of the air heater to the location ahead
of the precipitator. As at Cherokee Unit 2, these changes must

Table 12. Chemical Properties of Fly-Ash Samples
Collected from Ducts at Cherokee Unit 3

Sampling location[a]	Temp, °F	Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Concn of fly ash,[b] gr/ft³	Median particle size, μm	pH	Chemical properties of fly ash Soluble base as CaO, %	Soluble SO_4^{-2}, % Total	H_2SO_4[c]
AH	740	0	2.6	2.0	10.5	0.65	0.57	-
EP	310	0	0.4	1.5	10.0	0.35	0.77	0.01
EP	310	13	0.4	1.5	9.9	0.34	0.90	-
EP	310	26	0.4	1.5	9.9	0.31	1.09	-
EP	310	33	0.4	1.5	9.8	0.28	1.00	-
EP	310	44	0.4	1.5	9.5	0.25	1.12	0.02

a. AH signifies a location before the air heater, and EP signifies a location before the precipitator. The date of sampling was October 19, 1970, with coal alone as the fuel. Cyclone probes were used as the sample collectors.

b. Expressed for "standard" conditions. Estimated at the air heater from the ash content of the coal and at the precipitator from data supplied by the utility company.

c. Determined after several months of sample storage in the laboratory and thus perhaps lower than those immediately after collection.

-43-

have been the result principally of a change in particle-size distribution across the mechanical collector; however, they may have been caused, in part, by interaction of the fly ash with SO_3 to convert part of the basic components of the ash to the corresponding SO_4^{-2} salts.

The data obtained at the precipitator with and without injection of the conditioning agent show further decreases in the basicity of the ash and increases in the SO_4^{-2} content as the concentration of the conditioning agent was increased, indicating that the ash collected at least part of the conditioning agent, even though only small reductions in resistivity were observed as a result.

d. Concentrations of flue gases

Table 13 gives the results of flue-gas analyses with and without injection of conditioning agent during the tests with both coal and natural gas as fuels and with only coal as the fuel.

The data in Table 13 that are of primary interest are the concentrations of SO_3. With up to 33 or 44 ppm of the conditioning agent added, increases of only 1 to 2 ppm of SO_3 were observed. These very small changes are in marked contrast to the large changes observed at Cherokee Unit 2 during SO_3 injection.

The other data in Table 13 require only brief comment. The SO_2 concentration was only about 220 during burning of both coal and gas and about 350 ppm during burning of coal alone. This difference is attributable to the low concentration of SO_2 produced from natural gas. The value of 350 ppm is about 100 ppm lower than the typical value observed at Cherokee Unit 2 with Routt County coal, containing a slightly higher percentage of sulfur.

It is of interest to compare the amounts of conditioning agent reportedly injected with the amounts accounted for by the fly-ash and flue-gas analyses. Under the conditions with 44 ppm reportedly injected, the quantity of SO_4^{-2} gained by the ash at the entrance to the precipitator was 0.35% (Table 12). If it is assumed that all of the ash exposed to the conditioning agent (ca. 2.6 gr/ft³) gained the same amount of sulfate, the quantity of conditioning agent accounted for on the ash by a gain of 0.35% is approximately 5 ppm. Combination of this value with the gain in SO_3 found in the gas phase, approximately 2 ppm, gives a total of only 7 ppm, less than 20% of the concentration reportedly injected. In contrast, the results obtained at Cherokee Unit 2 accounted for essentially 100% of each concentration of SO_3 injected.

Table 13. Concentrations of Flue Gases
at Cherokee Unit 3

Date[a]	Sampling location[b]	Temp, °F	Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Concentrations of flue gases[c]			
				H_2O, %	SO_3, ppm	SO_2, ppm	NO_x, ppm
Oct. 13	AH	740	0	–	2	226	–
Oct. 17	EP	300	0	9.5	<1	222	415
			6	–	<1	222	–
			17	–	<1	210	–
			26	–	<1	217	–
			33	–	2	216	–
Oct. 16	AH	740	0	–	<1	314	–
Oct. 19	EP	310	0	–	1	358	–
			13	7.7	1	357	392
			26	–	<1	349	–
			33	–	2	349	–
			44	8.4	3	357	–

a. On October 13 and 17, a mixture of coal and natural gas was burned in the boiler. On October 16 and 19, only coal was used as the fuel.

b. AH signifies a location before the air heater, and EP signifies a location before the precipitator.

c. Expressed on the "wet" or actual basis. The concentration of O_2 recorded by the utility company was in the range from 3 to 4%.

e. <u>Chemical properties of ash samples collected from the</u>
   <u>hoppers of the mechanical collector and the electro-</u>
   <u>static precipitator</u>

In view of the discrepancies between injected concentrations
of the conditioning agent and calculated concentrations based on
changes in fly-ash and flue-gas compositions, it was of interest
to determine whether the fly ash deposited in the mechanical col-
lector collected a disproportionately high concentration of the
conditioning agent. Unfortunately, no sample of ash deposited in
the mechanical collector was collected during our visit to Cherokee
Unit 3 during October. In the absence of these samples, we
requested personnel of the utility company to remove samples from
the hoppers of the mechanical collector at a later date and to
submit these samples for analysis along with samples collected
simultaneously from the hoppers of the electrostatic precipitator.
Two groups of samples were thus obtained; two sets were collected
during continued injection of H_2SO_4 ahead of the mechanical col-
lector and one set was collected during injection between the
mechanical and electrostatic collectors. The results of the analy-
ses are listed in Table 14.

Table 14. Chemical Properties of Fly-Ash Samples
from Hoppers at Cherokee Unit 3

Date[a]	Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Hopper location[b]	Chemical properties of fly ash		
			pH	Soluble base as CaO, %	Soluble SO_4^{-2} (total), %
Nov. 17	0	MC	11.1	1.51	0.33
	40	MC	10.7	0.73	0.72
	0	EP	9.9	0.51	1.58
	40	EP	9.1	0.17	1.96
Nov. 18	0	MC	10.8	0.79	0.20
	50	MC	10.6	0.59	0.41
	0	EP	9.4	0.20	1.04
	50	EP	9.7	0.31	1.00
Nov. 23	0	MC	11.1	1.17	0.16
	15	MC	11.3	1.45	0.23
	0	EP	10.3	0.48	0.87
	15	EP	10.4	0.56	0.87

a. On November 17 and 18, acid injection occurred ahead of the
   mechanical collector. On November 23, it occurred between
   the mechanical and electrostatic collectors.

b. MC signifies the mechanical collector; EP signifies the
   electrostatic precipitator.

The data for samples exposed to the conditioning agent ahead of the mechanical collector on November 17 and 18 lead to conflict- ing observations. On the first occasion, the ash deposited mech- anically gained less sulfate during H_2SO_4 injection than that deposited electrostatically; on the second occasion, the ash in the hoppers of the mechanical collector showed a slight gain in sulfate during injection, whereas that in the hoppers of the elec- trostatic precipitator showed a small decrease or, practically speaking, no change of real significance. If it is assumed that the ash deposited in the mechanical collector on November 17 and 18 corresponded to a concentration in the inlet duct of 2.2 gr/ft^3 (an estimate corresponding to the concentrations previously listed), the gains in SO_4^{-2} correspond to concentrations of conditioning agent of only 1 to 2 ppm, a negligible fraction of the 50 ppm that was reportedly injected.

The data for samples collected on November 23 with injection between the mechanical and electrostatic collectors show, practi- cally speaking, no gain of sulfate in the ash deposited mechani- cally (as expected) or in the ash deposited electrostatically (contrary to the expected result), despite the fact that 15 ppm was reportedly injected to condition fly ash at an estimated con- centration of only 0.4 gr/ft^3.

Unfortunately, no data on fly-ash resistivity or flue-gas composition are available for the dates on which the hopper sam- ples were collected. The gain in SO_4^{-2} content of ash collected electrostatically on November 17 suggests that little change in resistivity was effected, because this gain was about the same as that observed with little change in resistivity on October 19 (Table 12). The absence of measurable increases in the SO_4^{-2} con- tent on either November 18 or 23 implies that no change in resis- tivity was effected on these dates. It seems reasonable to assume that negligible increases in the concentration of SO_3 in the gas phase occurred on any of the dates in November—especially on either of the latter two occasions—in view of the fact that our work in various plants has never shown a measurable increase in the concentration of SO_3 during injection of conditioning agent without a measurable increase in the SO_4^{-2} content of fly ash.

B.   Arapahoe Station, Unit 4

1.   Description of the plant facilities

The Arapahoe Station is the second of two stations in Denver that are operated with SO_3 or H_2SO_4 injection systems. Originally, only Unit 4 was equipped with acid injection facilities, and this unit was investigated by our staff on October 21 and 22, 1970, shortly after the installation of the injection facilities was

completed by the contractor, Universal Oil Products Company. In the first half of 1971, however, the acid evaporation system was enlarged and additional injection lines were installed to permit acid injection in Units 2 and 3. The remaining unit at Arapahoe, Unit 1, is now consistently operated with natural gas as the fuel and thus is not serviced by the present injection system.

Low-sulfur coals from Weld County, Colorado, and Hanna County, Wyoming, are usually used as fuels in Arapahoe Units 2, 3, and 4. They produce fly ash of high resistivity, as typified by our observed value of 3.8×10^{12} ohm cm with unconditioned ash from Weld County coal at a temperature of 275°F. Acid conditioning was considered to be the most expedient solution to the high-resistivity problem at Arapahoe as at Cherokee.

Owing to a secrecy agreement between the Public Service Company and Universal Oil Products Company, a complete description of the acid injection system used at Arapahoe Unit 4 during our field studies cannot be given in this report. The following, however, can be stated: The source of the acid vapors was 66°Bé H_2SO_4, the carrier gas for the acid vapors from the evaporator was air with ambient relative humidity, the acid was evaporated at a lower temperature than at Cherokee Unit 3, and the site of injection was the duct <u>between</u> the mechanical and electrostatic precipitators. The acid <u>vapors</u> could be injected in the duct at a sufficient rate to produce concentrations in the duct above 20 ppm (as H_2SO_4) with the unit at full load, 110 MW, and producing flue gases at a total rate of about 525,000 ft^3/min at 275°F and ambient pressure, approximately 0.83 atm.

2.   Results of conditioning studies

    a.   Analyses of the coal

    Analytical results for the coal from Weld County, Colorado, that was burned during the conditioning studies at Arapahoe Unit 4, are shown in Tables 15 and 16. The sulfur and ash contents given in Table 15 are similar to those of Routt County coal, which were previously given in Tables 4 and 8 in connection with studies at Cherokee Units 2 and 3. The composition of the ash in the Weld County coal given in Table 16 is substantially different from that in the Routt County coal, which was previously given in Table 10. The most significant differences are that the Weld County coal contains higher percentages of strongly basic oxides, such as CaO, and lower percentages of weakly basic or acidic oxides, such as SiO_2 and Al_2O_3. The observed differences are consistent with differences in the compositions reported by the U. S. Bureau of Mines.[31,32]

Table 15. Sulfur and Ash Contents
of Coal Burned at Arapahoe Unit 4

Component	Concentration, %
Sulfur	
as sulfate	0.02
as pyritic sulfur	0.06
as organic sulfur	0.41
Total	0.49
Ash	5.87

Table 16. Components of the Ash in
the Coal Burned at Arapahoe Unit 4

Component	Concentration, %
SiO_2	36.1
Al_2O_3	19.0
Fe_2O_3	7.3
CaO	15.6
MgO	4.2
K_2O	0.8
Subtotal[a]	83.0

a. Other components would include SO_3
and numerous relatively minor com-
ponents such as TiO_2, P_2O_5, and Na_2O.

b. Resistivity of the fly ash

Data showing resistivity values of the fly ash at Arapahoe
Unit 4 are listed in Table 17. These data were obtained with a
cyclone probe in which an average electric field of 2.0 to 2.5
kV/cm was imposed on each collected sample. The data indicate
that the resistivity was lowered by nearly two orders of magnitude
with only 6 ppm of injected H_2SO_4 and by only slightly higher
degrees with 12 or 18 ppm of the conditioning agent.

c. Chemical properties of the fly ash

Observed properties of fly-ash samples collected in cyclone
probes in the ducts ahead of the mechanical collector and the

Table 17. Electrical Resistivity of Fly Ash
at Arapahoe Unit 4

Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Resistivity,[a] ohm cm
0	3.8×10^{12}
6	5.7×10^{10}
12	3.2×10^{10}
18	1.9×10^{10}

a. Determined with a cyclone probe with an average
electric field of 2.0 to 2.5 kV/cm at a temperature
of about 275°F (October 21 or 22, 1970).

electrostatic precipitator are listed in Table 18. In comparison
with data previously given for Cherokee Units 2 and 3, the data
in Table 18 indicate that the fly ash at Arapahoe was appreciably
more basic than that at the Cherokee Units and was more comparable
in basicity to the ash at X station. The only evidence that the
available base was neutralized by the conditioning agent was found
with the highest injected concentration of H_2SO_4, 18 ppm. Yet, on
the other hand, the SO_4^{-2} content of the ash increased consistent-
ly as the injected concentration of H_2SO_4 increased.

A possible explanation for relatively constant values of pH
and concentrations of soluble base, despite increasing concen-
trations of SO_4^{-2}, is that the fly ash contained enough base in
excess of the collected H_2SO_4 to saturate the aqueous phase of
the slurry at the concentration of 3%. In other words, it is possi-
ble that all of the base did not dissolve with the proportions of
ash and water used.

The high basicity of the Arapahoe ash compared with the
properties of the Cherokee ash are consistent with the marked
differences in CaO concentrations of the ash fractions noted previ-
ously in discussions of the different coals burned at the two plants.

d. Concentrations of flue gases

Concentrations of flue gases found at Arapahoe Unit 4 are
listed in Table 19. The data of primary interest—the concen-
trations of SO_3—show a maximum increase of 2 ppm with 18 ppm of

Table 18. Chemical Properties of Fly-Ash Samples
Collected at Arapahoe Unit 4

Sampling location[a]	Temp, °F	Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Concn of fly ash,[b] gr/ft³	Median particle size, μm	pH	Soluble base as CaO, %	Soluble SO_4^{-2}, % Total	H_2SO_4
AH	740	0	2.3	1.7	11.1	1.93	1.00	-
EP	275	0	0.5	1.5	11.1	2.10	1.50	nil[c]
EP	275	6	0.5	1.5	11.1	2.10	2.23	-
EP	275	12	0.5	1.5	11.0	2.14	2.50	-
EP	275	18	0.5	1.5	10.8	1.62	2.97	nil[c]

a. AH signifies a location before the air heater, and EP signifies a location before the precipitator. The dates of sampling were October 21 and 22, 1970. Cyclone probes were used as the sample collectors.

b. Expressed for "standard" conditions. Estimated at the air heater from the ash content of the coal and at the precipitator from data supplied by the utility company.

c. Reported as "nil" in view of the absence of a pH change in an ethanol-water slurry. Determined after several months of storage in the laboratory and thus not necessarily representative of the value at the time of sample collection.

Table 19. Concentrations of Flue Gases
at Arapahoe Unit 4

Sampling location[a]	Temp, °F	Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Concentrations of flue gases[b]			
			H_2O, %	SO_3, ppm	SO_2, ppm	NO_x, ppm
AH	740	0	-	<1	416	-
EP	275	0	8.9	<1	387	-
EP	275	6	-	1	446	-
EP	275	12	8.8	2	413	-
EP	275	18	-	2	430	626

a. AH signifies a location before the air heater, and EP signifies a location before the precipitator. The dates of sampling were October 21 and 22, 1970.

b. Expressed on the "wet" or actual basis. The concentration of O_2 recorded by the utility company was usually in the range from 4 to 5%.

H_2SO_4 injected. This increase is comparable to that found at Cherokee Unit 3 with 44 ppm of H_2O reportedly injected but much lower than that to be expected at Cherokee Unit 2 with 18 ppm of SO_3 injected, based on data at higher and lower concentrations of SO_3.

It is of interest to compare the concentrations of H_2SO_4 reported injected at Arapahoe Unit 4 with the sums of the concentrations found as increases in the SO_4^{-2} content of the fly ash and increases in the SO_3 content of the gas phase. Table 20 lists data permitting this comparison, assuming that fly ash entering the precipitator at an estimated concentration of 0.5 gr/ft^3 uniformly increased in SO_4^{-2} content by the percentages indicated in Table 18. The comparison shows that, of the reported concentration of injected H_2SO_4, the percentage accounted for ranged from 33 to 50%, substantially higher than the value calculated for Cherokee Unit 3 (20%) but lower than the values observed at Cherokee Unit 2 (essentially 100%).

Table 20. Reported Concentrations of Injected H_2SO_4 and Equivalent Observed Concentrations in the Fly Ash and Flue Gases at Arapahoe Unit 4

Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Calculated concn based on sample analyses, ppm		
	Fly ash	Flue Gases	Total
6	2	1	3
12	3	2	5
18	4	2	6

C. Y Station, Unit 6

1. Description of the plant facilities

The Y Station, like the X Station previously discussed, cannot be identified by actual name, location, or owner company. However, the information presented here about the Y Station—unlike that pertaining to the X Station—was obtained during an on-site investigation by our staff and during subsequent laboratory analyses of samples collected at the plant.

The Y Station has a rated power-production capacity of about 140 MW. It is equipped only with an electrostatic precipitator for the removal of fly ash, and the operating temperature of the

precipitator is approximately 320°F. The vapors from concentrated H_2SO_4 are injected in the duct entering the precipitator through a grid of 24 uniformly-spaced injection ports that are located in a plane across the path of flow of flue gases; each of the injection ports is an open 0.125-in. pipe and is fed with conditioning agent through a series of branched injection lines that originate at a central acid evaporator.

The contractor that installed the injection system cannot be identified by name in this report. However, the basic features of the injection system can be described in fairly specific terms as follows: The source of the acid vapors is 66°Bé H_2SO_4, and the production of the vapors occurs with co-current flows of the liquid acid and preheated ambient air through a bed in which the maximum inlet-air temperature is about 500°F and the minimum outlet-gas temperature is about 400°F. Thus, the injection system operates at substantially lower temperatures than that at Cherokee Unit 3; it is of the type referred to as a "low-temperature" H_2SO_4 system and discussed in Section VIII. The acid vapors pass through the injection lines principally as H_2SO_4; the balance present as SO_3 presumably reacts rapidly with excess H_2O vapor to produce additional H_2SO_4 on entering the duct and cooling to 320°F.

The fairly simple geometry of the duct between the injection ports and the sampling ports for fly-ash and flue-gas samples at the Y Station permitted a reasonably accurate estimate of the contact time of the conditioning agent and the fly ash between injection and sampling. In some of the experiments with the power unit operating a full load, the contact time was about 1 sec; in other experiments with the unit at half load, the contact time was approximately 2 sec. The contact time of 1 sec was probably the minimum in all of the plants investigated, although the contact times in the other plants could not, as a rule, be estimated with comparable accuracy.

The capacity of the H_2SO_4 evaporator limited the injected concentration of conditioning agent to a value of 8 ppm with the boiler at full load, producing a flow of flue gas of approximately 500,000 ft³/min (expressed on the basis of water present for the temperature of 320°F and the ambient pressure of approximately 1 atm). With the H_2SO_4 operating at full capacity and the boiler at half load, however, an injected concentration of 16 ppm was reached during some of our experiments.

2. Results of conditioning studies

a. Analyses of the coal

The results of analyses of coal samples collected on the two dates of our investigation at the Y station (March 1 and 2, 1971) are given in Table 21. The data in this table indicate that the sulfur percentage averaged about 0.6% and the ash percentage averaged about 12% and did not differ appreciably on the two dates.

Table 21. Sulfur and Ash Contents
of Coal Burned at Y Station

Component	Concentration, %	
	March 1	March 2
Sulfur	0.64	0.59
Ash	12.34	11.34

No effort was made to determine the distribution of sulfur in various forms or to determine the composition of the ash. Other data obtained during analyses of the fly ash produced in the absence of conditioning agent indicated, however, that the ash was virtually neutral in terms of the relative concentrations of soluble bases and acids.

b. Resistivity of the fly ash

Fly-ash samples to be used for determinations of electrical resistivity were collected at the entrance of the electrostatic precipitator with two devices, the cyclone and point-plane probes described in Section II.B. The electric field during all of the measurements with the cyclone sampler was 2.5 kV/cm. The electric field during various measurements with the point-plane sampler, on the other hand, varied from 2.1 to 3.7 kV/cm. This variation was the result of applying a voltage of either 0.1 or 0.5 kV across samples that ranged in thickness from 0.04 to 0.23 cm in thickness.

The data given in Table 22 indicate that the injection of either 4 or 8 ppm of H_2SO_4 vapor lowered the resistivity from 2×10^{12} ohm cm to about 1×10^{11} ohm cm and that injection of 16 ppm caused further lowering to values in the approximate range from 1×10^9 to 1×10^{10} ohm cm. It is not known why 4 and 8 ppm of the conditioning agent produced about the same resistivity change; it is possible that the explanation lies in the fact that experiments with 4 ppm were carried out one day and the other experiments with 0, 8, 16 ppm were carried out the following day

(the coal analyses for the two days of experimentation do not give much basis for this hypothesis, however). The data obtained with the cyclone and point-plane probes were in good agreement for 4 and 8 ppm of conditioning agent but differed by roughly a factor of 10 with 16 ppm injected. The disparity in the data at 16 ppm may have been the result, in part, of an average higher electric field in the point-plane samples (3.4 kV/cm, compared with 2.5 kV/cm in the cyclone samples).

Table 22. Electrical Resistivity of Fly Ash
at Y Station

Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Sampling device[a]	Electric field, kV/cm	Resistivity,[b] ohm cm
0	C	2.5	2.0×10^{12}
	C	2.5	2.0×10^{12}
4	C	2.5	1.2×10^{11}
	P	2.9	0.7×10^{11}
	C	2.5	1.8×10^{11}
	P	2.4	2.0×10^{11}
	C	2.5	1.5×10^{11}
8	P	2.8	1.4×10^{11}
	C	2.5	1.3×10^{11}
	P	2.1	2.1×10^{11}
	C	2.5	1.6×10^{11}
16	P	3.1[c]	1.1×10^{10}
	C	2.5	0.1×10^{10}
	P	3.7[c]	2.5×10^{10}
	C	2.3	0.2×10^{10}

a. C and P indicate cyclone and point-plane sampling probes, respectively.

b. Determined with different resistivity probes and slightly varying fields, as indicated by second and third columns, at a temperature of 320°F. Listed in the sequence as actually determined with 8 ppm of H_2SO_4 on March 1 and with 0, 4, or 16 ppm of H_2SO_4 on March 2.

c. In excess of 3.0kV/cm and thus in excess of any of the other electric fields, simply as a result of the thickness of the sample collected and the voltage applied across the sample.

c. <u>Chemical properties of the fly ash</u>

Table 23 summarizes the data showing the chemical properties
of the fly ash collected by different methods both in the absence
and presence of conditioning agent.

Table 23. Chemical Properties of Fly-Ash Samples
Collected at Y Station

Sampling location[a]	Temp °F	Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Sampling device[b]	pH		Soluble SO_4^{-2}, %	
				Min.	Eq'm.	Total	H_2SO_4
AH	>600	0	F	-d	6.9	0.27	-
EP	320	0	C	-d	8.1	0.24	<0.01
		4	C	4.7	5.7	0.35	-
			P	4.6	6.5	0.29	-
		8	C	4.5	4.6	0.41	-
			P	4.6	5.6	0.34	-
		16	C	4.4	4.6	0.36	0.01
			P	4.1	4.2	0.51	-

Chemical properties[c] of fly ash

a. AH signifies a location before the air heater, and EP signi-
fies a location before the precipitator. The dates of sam-
pling were March 1 and 2, 1971. Both cyclone and point-
plane probes were used for collecting samples, as indicated
by the fourth column.

b. F designates a filtration unit employed by the personnel of
the station, whereas C and P indicate cyclone and point-plane
resistivity probes.

c. Estimated concentration was 3.3 gr/ft^3 (standard conditions).
Number median diameter was <u>ca</u>. 2.0 μm.

d. No minimum was observed; the recorded value increased con-
tinuously toward equilibrium value.

One of the most notable features of the analytical data is that the pH values indicate that the fly ash was essentially neutral in the absence of conditioning agent but became distinctly acidic in the presence of the conditioning agent. Minima in the pH values observed during equilibration of samples with water gave evidence of a strong-acid layer overlying less acidic or slightly basic substances in the water-soluble surface layers. Determinations of H_2SO_4 on two samples approximately 3 months after the samples were collected gave further evidence that the conditioning agent produced an H_2SO_4-containing outer layer on the fly-ash particles.

Another feature of the analytical data is that the maximum gain in SO_4^{-2} caused by the conditioning agent was only about 0.25% of the fly-ash weight. Unfortunately, the SO_4^{-2} data do not show a consistent trend toward higher values as the concentration of conditioning agent was increased. Our failure to observe the expected trend is attributed, in part, to variations in the SO_4^{-2} percentage in unconditioned ash and, in part, to differences in sampling properties of the cyclone and point-plane probes.

d. Concentrations of flue gases

Table 24 summarizes the results of determinations of the concentrations of H_2O, SO_3, and SO_2 in the flue gases. The H_2O and SO_2 values are all in the expected range and show significant variations only in the SO_2 concentrations, which indicate that a possible hour-to-hour variation of significant magnitude occurred in the sulfur content of the coal. The SO_3 data show that less than 1 ppm of SO_3 was present until conditioning agent was injected and then the concentration of SO_3 increased sharply and represented most of the injected agent at each level of injection. The discrepancy in the two concentrations, 6 and 11 ppm, found with 8 ppm injected was larger than expected; it is not known whether the discrepancy was the result of experimental error or poor control of the injection rate.

Table 24. Concentrations of Flue Gases
at Y Station

Reported concn of injected H_2SO_4, ppm	Concentrations of flue gases[a]		
	H_2O, %	SO_3, ppm	SO_2, ppm
0	7.7	<1	373
		<1	384
4	7.3	--	397
		4	391
8	7.7	6	391
		11	475
16	7.9	14	488
		12	470

a. Determined at the entrance to the electrostatic
precipitator. Expressed on the "wet" or actual
basis.

V. STUDY OF A POWER PLANT EQUIPPED WITH FACILITIES FOR INJECTION OF ANHYDROUS NH₃ VAPOR

A. Description of the Widows Creek Station, Unit 7

The Widows Creek Station is one of several power stations in the Tennessee Valley Authority System that burns coal of unusually high sulfur content—about 3.5%, on the average. In such power plants, problems in precipitator performance from high-resistivity ash is not to be expected because of the abundance of naturally produced SO_3; in several of the plants, nevertheless, poor precipitator performance is encountered. Data published by Reese and Greco[11] indicate that the low-resistivity of the ash and accompanying excessive reentrainment is the principal cause of difficulty.

The injection of NH_3 has been found a promising means of improving precipitator performance at Unit 7 of the Widows Creek plant. Widows Creek Unit 7 was built with a production capacity of about 575 MW and only an electrostatic precipitator for the removal of fly ash. Several years ago, however, the plant was modified by installation of facilities to permit NH_3 injection ahead of the air heater on one side of the dual system of ducts leading from the boiler to the precipitator; later, because of difficulties from air-heater clogging, the injection facilities were modified to provide injection between the heater and the entrance of the precipitator.[11] The injection system is quite simple; it consists only of a lance with several openings into the duct; the simplicity of design permits periodic removal of the injection line from the duct and cleaning of solid deposits from the lance as necessary. The modified system was operated intermittently during several visits by our staff to the Widows Creek plant, including a visit on April 5 and 6, 1971, that is discussed in detail in this report.

One of our objectives in visits to the Widows Creek plant was to study conditioning by the abundant naturally occurring SO_3 in a range of temperatures. Unit 7 is designed in such a manner that the temperature in one of the ducts leading to the precipitator can be raised or lowered from the normal temperature of about 270°F with a corresponding temperature change in the opposite direction in the second duct. The second objective was to gain an understanding of the mechanism of NH_3 conditioning under the conditions that prevail at Widows Creek Unit 7. Several hypotheses to explain the effect have been advanced; in general, these hypotheses are based on assumptions that the NH_3 acts as a base to neutralize part of the SO_3, thus raising the

inherently low fly-ash resistivity and minimizing reentrainment, especially that occurring during the rapping of collector electrodes to deposit the collected ash in the precipitator hoppers.[11] Thus, the mechanism of NH₃ conditioning at Widows Creek is distinctly different from that in other plants referred to in Section I, where the function of NH_3 is to overcome high resistivity.

B.   Analyses of Coal Samples

On April 5 and 6, 1971, studies were made at Widows Creek Unit 7 both with and without NH_3 injection. A number of coal samples were collected at intervals on these two dates in April and analyzed separately for sulfur and ash. Both sulfur and ash concentrations were reasonably constant throughout the sampling period but were found to range from 3.31 to 3.74% and from 16.0 to 19.0%, respectively. The average concentrations of 3.59% of sulfur and 17.5% of ash appeared to be fairly represen-tative of the fuel throughout the period of conditioning studies as well as during normal periods of operation of the plant, in view of coal analyses supplied by TVA personnel.

No attempt was made to determine the different forms of sulfur and the components of the ash during the work in April. However, determinations on another occasion indicate that approxi-mately 75% of the sulfur is pyritic and most of the balance is organic. Moreover, pH determinations of aqueous slurries of the fly ash collected on different occasions indicate that the ash is normally rich in soluble basic substances.

C.   Investigation of Conditioning in the Absence of NH₃ Conditioning Agent

1.   Resistivity of the fly ash

Table 25 lists the results of determinations of the fly-ash resistivity at recorded temperatures ranging from 254 to 288°F—below and above the normal value of 270°F. These data in this table were obtained with both cyclone and point-plane probes with the usual electric field of 2.5 kV/cm in the cyclone samples and various electric fields in the range from 0.6 to 15.0 kV/cm in the point-plane samples. At least two determinations were made with different electric fields in each point-plane sample, and up to nine determinations were made with different fields in selected samples. The data obtained for the point-plane samples at various fields were plotted in a graph; interpolations and extrapolations from this graph were made to permit reporting of the values of resistivity at electric fields of 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 10 kV/cm.

Table 25. Electrical Resistivity of Fly Ash at
Widows Creek Unit 7 without NH_3 Conditioning[a]

Temp, °F	Cyclone collector, 2.5 kV/cm	Resistivity, ohm cm, found with different sample collectors and various electric fields			
		Point-plane collector			
		1.0 kV/cm	2.5 kV/cm	5.0 kV/cm	10.0 kV/cm
288	-	7.0×10^{10}	2.6×10^{10}	1.0×10^{10}	0.5×10^{10}
286	7.5×10^{9}	1.0×10^{11}	4.5×10^{10}	1.7×10^{10}	0.3×10^{10}
284	1.0×10^{10}	-	-	-	-
258	-	5.0×10^{8}	3.7×10^{8}	2.8×10^{8}	2.2×10^{8}
254	1.0×10^{9}	-	-	-	-

a. Determined on April 5 and 6, 1971.

Based on a comparison of data at an electric field of
2.5 kV/cm, the resistivity of fly ash at the Widows Creek plant
appeared to decrease from about 1×10^{10} ohm cm or more at
temperatures near 285°F to about 1×10^{9} ohm cm or less at
temperatures near 255°F. Thus, the resistivity at the normal
operating temperature of about 270°F would be well below the
assumed maximum value of 2×10^{10} ohm cm for efficient precipi-
tator operation. The decrease in resistivity of about one order
of magnitude during a reduction of temperature of 30°F in the
range below 300°F is at least qualitatively in agreement with
the trend in resistivity described by White;[1] it signifies the
increasing surface conduction by collected vapors—assumed to be
principally H_2O—as the temperature is lowered.

2.   Chemical composition of the fly ash

Table 26 shows the results of chemical analyses of four
of the six fly-ash samples for which resistivity data were given
in the preceding section. No result is given for the remaining
two samples, which were lost on removing the point-plane probe
from the duct. Also, no comparative data for samples collected
ahead of the air heater can be reported; during the work at the
Widows Creek plant in April, no apparatus was available for
collection of fly ash through the small sampling ports that were
available ahead of the air heater.

Table 26. Chemical Properties of Fly-Ash Samples
Collected Ahead of the Electrostatic Precipitator
at Widows Creek Unit 7 without NH_3 Conditioning[a]

| Temp, °F | Sampling device[b] | Chemical properties[c] of fly ash | | |
| | | | Soluble SO_4^{-2}, % | |
		pH	Total	H_2SO_4
286	C	11.0	0.73	0.04
286	P	10.8	0.79	-
284	C	11.0	0.77	-
254	C	10.7	0.88	-

a. Determined on April 5 and 6, 1971.

b. C and P indicate cyclone and point-plane sampling probes, respectively.

c. Estimated concentration was 4.1 gr/ft^3 (standard conditions).

The analyses of fly-ash samples collected at temperatures near 285 and 255°F at the entrance of the precipitator indicate that a slight increase in the SO_4^{-2} content of the ash—approximately 0.1%—occurred as the temperature was lowered. It appears, therefore, that the lowering of resistivity was caused not only by increased collection of H_2O vapor but also by increased collection of SO_3 vapor or, more exactly, H_2SO_4 vapor in view of the range of temperatures studied.

3. Concentrations of flue gases

Concentrations of H_2O, SO_3, and SO_2 found in the ducts ahead of the air heater and the precipitator are listed in Table 27. These concentrations show much higher values of both of the sulfur oxides than the values found in other plants previously discussed, in which coals of much lower sulfur contents were burned.

The SO_3 concentrations, in particular, show that substantial concentrations of this conditioning agent are naturally available when a high-sulfur coal is burned as at the Widows Creek plant. The SO_3 concentrations also show the decrease expected with decreasing temperature and increased collection on the fly ash.

Table 27. Concentrations of Flue Gases
at Widows Creek Unit 7 without
NH_3 Conditioning

Sampling location[a]	Temp, °F	Concentrations of flue gases[b]		
		H_2O, %	SO_3, ppm	SO_2, ppm
AH	625	–	15	2860
			20	2460
EP	288	–	15	2660
	286	7.9	–	2640
	284	–	18	2640
	254	–	8	2450

a. AH signifies a location before the air heater, and
EP signifies a location before the precipitator.
The dates of sampling were April 5 and 6, 1971.

b. Expressed on the "wet" or actual basis. The concen-
tration of O_2 recorded by the utility company was
approximately 3%.

D. Investigation of Conditioning with Injected NH_3

1. Resistivity of the fly ash

During the studies on April 5 and 6, NH_3 was injected at
rates calculated to produce concentrations of 7 and 15 ppm of
NH_3 vapor in the duct between the air heater and the electro-
static precipitator. During injections of NH_3 at the 7-ppm
level, duct temperatures were near 275°F; during injections at
the 15-ppm level, duct temperatures were near 285°F during one
series of experiments and near 255°F during a second series of
experiments. The results of resistivity determinations during
injections of NH_3, determined by the same procedures as those
used in the absence of NH_3, are listed in Table 28.

All of the resistivity values obtained at an electric
field of 2.5 kV/cm, both with and without NH_3 injection, are
plotted in Figure 6 to show possible effects of NH_3 injection.
Graphs A and B in this figure show cyclone and point-plane
data separately; however, in both graphs the same line is used
to show approximate averages from the two sets of data. In
general, the plotted data show little evidence of an effect of
NH_3 on resistivity. The strongest indication of an effect from
NH_3 is given by the point-plane data at temperatures in the

range from 280 to 290°F. Here, the apparent effect is a slight
lowering of resistivity, and this effect is contrary to the
expected increase in resistivity. It is our opinion that the
available resistivity data cannot explain the improvement in
precipitator efficiency that injected NH_3 reportedly produces
at the Widows Creek plant.

Table 28. Electrical Resistivity of Fly Ash at
Widows Creek Unit 7 with NH_3 Conditioning[a]

Resistivity, ohm cm, found with different sample
collectors and various electric fields

Temp, °F	Cyclone collector, 2.5 kV/cm	Point-plane collector			
		1.0 kV/cm	2.5 kV/cm	5.0 kV/cm	10.0 kV/cm
278	2.3×10^9	4.5×10^9	2.8×10^9	1.6×10^9	0.8×10^9
274	–	4.5×10^9	2.8×10^9	1.6×10^9	0.8×10^9
273	3.0×10^9	–	–	–	–
290	7.5×10^9	–	–	–	–
289	–	1.3×10^{10}	5.8×10^9	3.0×10^9	1.0×10^9
286	–	3.3×10^{10}	1.4×10^{10}	7.5×10^9	2.5×10^9
283	5.0×10^9	6.0×10^9	2.2×10^9	0.9×10^9	0.5×10^9
256	2.5×10^9	2.8×10^8	2.1×10^8	1.6×10^8	1.3×10^8

a. Determined on April 5 and 6, 1971, with 7 ppm injected at
temperatures of 273-278°F and with 15 ppm injected at
temperatures of 256 and 283-290°F.

2. Chemical properties of the fly ash

Table 29 summarizes the results of chemical analyses of
some of the samples collected for resistivity determinations.
Unfortunately, data cannot be given for several samples collected
with the point-plane apparatus, because loss of these samples
occurred as the collecting device was being removed from the
duct. Table 29 includes the results not only of pH and SO_4^{-2}
determinations but the results of NH_3 determinations for three
samples. Determinations of the NH_3 extracted in aqueous slurries
were made by use of Nessler's reagent.[40] Control experiments
with known amounts of NH_3 added to extracts of unconditioned ash
showed that at least 60 to 80% of the NH_3 to be expected on
conditioned ash could be found, assuming quantitative collection
of the conditioning agent. With 15 ppm of NH_3 injected and
collected on the ash, the concentration of NH_3 in a sample of
the ash would be 0.12% by weight; with 7 ppm injected, the
concentration would be 0.05%.

Figure 6. Resistivity of Fly Ash at Widows Creek Unit 7
with and without NH_3 Injection

Table 29. Chemical Properties of Fly-Ash Samples
Collected Ahead of the Electrostatic Precipitator
at Widows Creek Unit 7 with NH_3 Conditioning[a]

Calculated concn of NH_3, ppm	Temp, °F	Sampling device[b]	Chemical properties of fly ash			
				Soluble SO_4^{-2}, %		Soluble
			pH	Total	H_2SO_4	NH_3, %
7	278	C	11.4	0.94	0.04	<0.02
	278	P	10.5	1.15	-	-
	274	P	11.0	1.29	-	<0.02
	273	C	11.4	0.87	-	-
15	290	C	11.6	0.94	-	-
	283	C	11.6	1.03	0.04	<0.02
	256	C	10.9	0.86	-	-
	256	P	9.5	1.21	-	-

a. Determined on April 5 and 6, 1971.

b. C and P indicate cyclone and point-plane sampling probes, respectively.

c. Estimated concentration was 4.1 gr/ft^3 (standard conditions).

The data in Table 29 show marked disparities in the pH and SO_4^{-2} data for cyclone and point-plane samples at either rate of NH_3 injection or at any of the experimental temperatures. In general, the data indicate that more SO_3 was collected by the point-plane apparatus than by the cyclone collector, a phenomenon apparent in studies at some of the other plants.

The data showing SO_4^{-2} concentrations in the ash with and without NH_3 injection (Tables 26 and 29) are plotted for comparison in Figure 7. The line constructed in this figure shows the expected concentrations of SO_4^{-2} at various temperatures, based on the estimated fly-ash concentration (4.1 gr/ft^3) and on the observed SO_3 concentrations at various temperatures (summarized subsequently in Figure 8). The line in Figure 7 has a negative slope corresponding to the positive slope of the relationship between the concentration of SO_3 and the temperature. There is unfortunate scatter in the data about the line in Figure 7. However, despite the scatter, it appears reasonable to draw the conclusion that a change in temperature had a greater influence than the injection of NH_3 on the SO_4^{-2} concentration in the ash.

Figure 7.   Concentration of SO_4^{-2} in Fly Ash
at Widows Creek Unit 7 with
and without NH_3 Injection
(Circles represent data for samples collected
in a cyclone probe, whereas squares represent
data for samples collected in a point-plane
probe.   The line is discussed in the text.)

The results of NH₃ determinations showed no evidence of the presence of NH₃ on the ash. The sensitivity of the analytical method, however, was such that each result can be expressed only as a value less than 0.02% of the weight of the sample analyzed. On this basis, the results signify that less than 40% of the NH₃ injected at 7 ppm was found and less than 20% injected at 15 ppm was found.

In addition to the determinations of NH₃ in the fly-ash samples collected for resistivity determinations, still other determinations were made with samples collected on the quartz-wool particulate filter of the SO₃-SO₂ sampling train. The latter determinations led to the finding of the equivalent of about 4 ppm of NH₃ as the vapor at either level of injection. It appears that the NH₃ may have been present in the form of very small particles that were inefficiently collected by the cyclone and point-plane probes. The particulate matter may have been small fly-ash particles with a surface deposit of NH₃ or small particles of a reaction product between NH₃ and H₂SO₄ vapors, such as the (NH₄)₂SO₄ postulated by Reese and Greco.[11] It is also possible that NH₃ may have been sampled from the duct as a gas but retained on the glass wool or collected fly-ash particles by a process of adsorption.

3. Concentrations of flue gases

Concentrations of H₂O, SO₃, SO₂, and NH₃ in the flue gases during periods of NH₃ injection were determined, as shown by the experimental results in Table 30. For collecting NH₃ from the gas phase, a sampling train consisting of a heated probe and particulate filter (as described in Section II.E.) and a bubbler filled with 0.1 N aqueous H₂SO₄ was used; for determining the NH₃ collected in the H₂SO₄ solution, a procedure based on Nessler's reagent[40] was followed.

The concentrations of H₂O, SO₃, and SO₂ are similar to those found without NH₃ injection (Table 27). The concentrations of NH₃ represent only small fractions of the concentrations reportedly injected and are not large enough to account for the total concentrations reported when combined with the equivalent concentrations found in samples of the fly ash.

Table 30. Concentrations of Flue Gases at Widows Creek
Unit 7 with NH_3 Conditioning[a]

Calculated concn of injected NH_3, ppm	Temp, °F	Concentrations of flue gases[b]			
		H_2O, %	SO_3, ppm	SO_2, ppm	NH_3, ppm
7	278	8.0	13	2620	-
	276	-	-	-	0.2
	274	-	15	2720	-
	273	-	13	2670	-
15	290	-	18	2850	-
	283	8.4	-	-	0.4
	254	-	10	2790	-

a. Collected at the entrance of the electrostatic precipitator on April 5 and 6, 1971.

b. Expressed on the "wet" or actual basis. The concentration of O_2 recorded by the utility company was approximately 3%.

The concentrations of SO_3 found with and without NH_3 injection are plotted in Figure 8. There is no apparent evidence of a significant change in the SO_3 during NH_3 injection, because the data points lie near Line A in the figure whether they were obtained with injection or without. If the injected NH_3 had reacted with SO_3 at concentrations indicated by Line A to produce particles of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, the injection of 7 ppm of NH_3 would have lowered the SO_3 concentrations to values along Line B, and the injection of 15 ppm would have lowered the SO_3 concentrations to values along Line C. The inadequacy of either Line B or Line C for showing the observed concentrations of SO_3 with NH_3 injected indicates that reaction to produce $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ did not occur to a significant degree.

Figure 8. Concentrations of SO₃ Observed
at Widows Creek Unit 7 with and
without NH₃ Injection
(Lines A, B, and C are discussed in the text.)

VI. STUDIES OF POWER PLANTS WITHOUT PERMANENT FACILITIES FOR INJECTION OF CONDITIONING AGENTS

A. Kingston Station, Unit 5

1. Description of the plant facilities

The Kingston Station in the TVA system is normally operated with coal of intermediate sulfur content, roughly 2%. Unit 5 of this station is a facility with a rated production capacity of 200 MW. It is equipped with both mechanical and electrostatic collectors for fly-ash removal, and the usual operating temperature of the precipitator is about 300°F. Even with a moderate concentration of naturally produced SO_3 available as a conditioning agent, the temperature is high enough to cause the fly ash to have an undesirably high resistivity (above 1×10^{11} ohm cm), which lowers the efficiency of the precipitator.

Field studies at Kingston Unit 5 were conducted on two occasions during this investigation. The results of work on May 4, 5, and 6, 1971, are summarized in this report in detail, for they show the variation of fly-ash resistivity over a wide range in temperatures and corresponding SO_3 concentrations. The results of the work during May are of further interest in that they show the lowering of resistivity that can be effected by the use of H_2O vapor as a conditioning agent. Kingston Unit 5 has no permanent facilities for injecting H_2O; however, for a brief period in May, a stream of water was pumped into the boiler to raise the concentration of H_2O in the flue gases from the normal value of 7% to about twice the normal value or 14%.

2. Results of conditioning studies

a. Analyses of the coal

Percentages of sulfur and ash were determined for eight coal samples collected at intervals during the studies on May 4, 5, and 6. The results appear to vary randomly with sampling time and may be summarized as follows:

Sulfur: Range, 1.85-2.34%
Average, 2.12%

Ash: Range, 16.4-25.5%
Average, 19.6%

b. Resistivity of the fly ash

Samples of fly ash to be used for determinations of electrical resistivity were collected at the entrance of the precipitator at Kingston Unit 5 with both cyclone and point-plane sampling probes. Results of the determinations of resistivity with an electric field of 2.5 kV/cm in the cyclone samples and electric fields of 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 10.0 kV/cm in the point-plane samples are listed in Table 31. Data obtained at a field of 2.5 kV/cm in both types of samples are plotted in Figure 9.

Table 31. Electrical Resistivity of Fly Ash at Kingston Unit 5[a]

Resistivity, ohm cm, found with different sample collectors and various electric fields

Temp, °F	Cyclone collector, 2.5 kV/cm	Point-plane collector			
		1.0 kV/cm	2.5 kV/cm	5.0 kV/cm	10.0 kV/cm
372	–	1.7×10^{12}	1.4×10^{12}	1.0×10^{12}	7.0×10^{11}
370	–	2.7×10^{12}	1.8×10^{12}	1.3×10^{12}	1.0×10^{12}
365	3.6×10^{12}	–	–	–	–
350	–	2.0×10^{12}	1.3×10^{12}	1.0×10^{12}	7.0×10^{11}
347	1.7×10^{13}	–	–	–	–
346	–	6.6×10^{11}	5.8×10^{11}	4.6×10^{11}	3.6×10^{11}
341	2.0×10^{13}	–	–	–	–
329	–	1.3×10^{12}	9.0×10^{11}	6.0×10^{11}	4.0×10^{11}
328	–	7.8×10^{11}	6.0×10^{11}	4.2×10^{11}	3.0×10^{11}
325	3.0×10^{12}	–	–	–	–
323	–	2.8×10^{12}	1.6×10^{12}	–	–
323	–	2.1×10^{12}	1.7×10^{12}	1.1×10^{12}	–
319	3.3×10^{12}	–	–	–	–
308	1.7×10^{12}	–	–	–	–
302	–	2.6×10^{11}	2.1×10^{11}	1.5×10^{11}	1.1×10^{11}
301	–	2.9×10^{11}	2.3×10^{11}	1.7×10^{11}	1.2×10^{11}
296	5.5×10^{11}	–	–	–	–
335	–	4.0×10^{11}	3.2×10^{11}	2.3×10^{11}	–
331	–	1.8×10^{11}	1.5×10^{11}	1.1×10^{11}	8.8×10^{10}
329	2.0×10^{11}	–	–	–	–
323	1.5×10^{11}	–	–	–	–

a. Determined on May 4, 5, and 6 without injection of H_2O (first group of data) or with injection of H_2O (second group of data).

Figure 9. Electrical Resistivity of Fly Ash
at Kingston Unit 5 with and
without H_2O Injection

The principal observations to be made from the data as plotted in Figure 9 are as follows:

- Resistivity values obtained in the absence of injected H_2O with the cyclone apparatus are, on the average, nearly one order of magnitude higher than the values obtained with the point-plane apparatus, as indicated by Curves A and B. However, the data obtained during H_2O injection are virtually the same with both devices.

- As the temperature was increased from the normal value of about 300°F, the resistivity increased to a maximum near 350°F and then decreased, as a result first of decreasing surface conduction and then increasing volume conduction, as described by White.[1] Obviously, lowering the temperature from 300°F would have produced a desirable reduction in resistivity, as a result of increased surface conduction.

- Injection of H_2O to increase the vapor concentration from 7 to 14% lowered the resistivity at temperatures in the 320-340°F range by one to two orders of magnitude, depending upon the type of resistivity apparatus.

c. <u>Chemical properties of the fly ash</u>

Table 32 lists the results of chemical analyses of fly-ash samples collected at Kingston Unit 5. Figure 10 shows graphs of the pH and SO_4^{-2} values as functions of temperature.

One of the noteworthy aspects of the experimental results is that in the absence of injected H_2O the fly ash was virtually neutral as collected at the high temperature of 645°F ahead of the air heater and then increasingly acidic as collected at decreasing temperatures in the range from 372 to 296°F ahead of the precipitator. A second noteworthy aspect of the data is that three of the four samples collected in the presence of injected H_2O showed marked decreases in pH and increases in SO_4^{-2} content in comparison with samples collected in the absence of injected H_2O in the same temperature range. It is evident, therefore, that the effects of H_2O injection on the ash included a marked increase in the collection of the available SO_3 by the fly ash.

Table 32. Chemical Properties of Fly-Ash Samples
Collected at Kingston Unit 5[a]

Estimated concn of injected H_2O, %	Sampling location[b]	Temp, °F	Sampling device[c]	Chemical properties	
				pH	Soluble SO_4^{-2} (total), %
0	AH	645	C	6.35	0.24
	AH	645	C	6.40	0.31
0	EP	372	P	6.38	0.32
	EP	370	P	–	–
	EP	365	C	5.77	0.34
	EP	350	P	6.60	0.33
	EP	347	C	6.57	0.35
	EP	346	P	6.09	0.40
	EP	341	C	6.07	0.38
	EP	329	P	–	–
	EP	328	P	5.70	0.34
	EP	325	C	4.87	0.39
	EP	323	P	5.11	0.38
	EP	323	P	6.20	0.39
	EP	319	C	5.11	0.36
	EP	308	C	4.70	0.54
	EP	302	P	–	–
	EP	301	P	5.50	0.36
	EP	296	C	4.95	0.47
7	EP	335	P	4.66	0.60
	EP	331	P	5.80	0.43
	EP	329	C	4.57	0.60
	EP	323	C	4.89	0.53

a. Determined on May 4, 5, and 6, 1971. Estimated fly-ash concentration was 4.5 gr/ft^3 (standard conditions).

b. AH signifies a location ahead of the air heater, whereas EP signifies a location ahead of the precipitator.

c. C and P indicate cyclone and point-plane sampling probes, respectively.

Figure 10. Sulfate Content and pH Value of Fly Ash
at Kingston Unit 5 with and without H_2O Injection

d. Concentrations of flue gases

Table 33 lists the concentrations of H_2O, SO_3, and SO_2 found at Kingston Unit 5 with and without H_2O injection. The observed concentrations of H_2O and SO_3 are of primary interest. First, the observed concentrations of H_2O show that the expected increase of about 7% increased during H_2O injection. Second, the observed concentrations of SO_3 show wide variations with changes in temperature in the absence of H_2O injection and with the change in H_2O concentration during injection in the narrow temperature range between 320 and 330°F.

Table 33. Concentrations of Flue Gases at Kingston Unit 5[a]

Sampling location[b]	Temp, °F	Calculated concn of injected H_2O, %	Concentrations of flue gases[c]		
			H_2O, %	SO_3, ppm	SO_2, ppm
AH	645	0	–	13	1470
	640	0	–	14	1730
	640	0	–	11	1730
	635	0	–	13	1660
	635	0	–	9	1650
EP	365	0	–	15	1500
	363	0	5.7	18	1580
	345	0	–	10	1460
	341	0	6.9	16	1400
	324	0	7.5	10	1240
	324	0	–	9	1320
	319	0	–	9	1630
	319	0	–	12	1700
	314	0	5.9	9	1770
	296	0	6.2	5	1450
	296	0	–	4	1440
EP	334	7	13.6	–	–
	330	7	–	3	1300
	329	7	–	3	1410
	323	7	13.6	3	1410

a. Determined on May 4, 5, and 6, 1971.

b. AH signifies a location ahead of the air heater, whereas EP signifies a location ahead of the precipitator.

c. Expressed on the "wet" or actual basis. The concentration of O_2 recorded by the utility company was approximately 3%.

To show the variations in SO_3 concentration with temperature and H_2O concentration, the observed SO_3 concentrations are plotted in Figure 11. From this figure, it is apparent that lowering the temperature from the values near 640°F ahead of the air heater to values near 360°F ahead of the precipitator caused an <u>increase</u> in the concentration of SO_3, which was probably the result of partial oxidation of the large excess of SO_2 by O_2; on the other hand, it is apparent that lowering the temperature from about 360 to 300°F ahead of the precipitator and increasing the collection of SO_3 by the fly ash (as observed) caused a sharp decrease in the concentration of SO_3. From the figure, it is to be noted, in conclusion, that H_2O injection lowered the SO_3 concentration appreciably at temperatures between 320 and 330°F. This concluding observation signifies that the conditioning of fly ash that occurs during H_2O injection may be largely the result of increased collection of SO_3, rather than of H_2O.

B.   Gallatin Station, Unit 4

At Unit 4 of the Gallatin Station in the TVA system, the conditions of plant operation and the problems of precipitator operation are similar to those at the Widows Creek Station without NH_3 injection. Specifically, coal with a high sulfur content is burned, and the temperature within the precipitator is usually about 270°F—low enough to produce a fly-ash resistivity approaching the lower limit of acceptable values, where excessive reintrainment is to be expected.

Gallatin Unit 4 has a production capacity of about 330 MW, and it is operated with both a mechanical collector and an electrostatic precipitator for fly-ash removal. Unlike Widows Creek Unit 7, it does not have facilities for NH_3 injection.

A brief investigation was made of Gallatin Unit 4 on May 26 and 27, 1971. The experiments performed consisted only of determinations of the resistivity of the fly ash and chemical analysis of the fly ash. No effort was made to analyze coal samples or to determine flue-gas concentrations, because of the reported similarity of these parameters to those at Widows Creek Unit 7.

The results of the experiments are summarized in Table 34. The resistivity data show the expected trend of decreasing value with decreasing temperature and indicate the low value anticipated at the normal temperature of 270°F, about 1×10^9 ohm cm. The pH values do not show the expected trend toward lower values with decreasing temperatures, perhaps as a result of minor variations in coal composition during our studies; however, the SO_4^{-2} data show the expected increases in total SO_4^{-2} with decreases in temperature and also indicate that free H_2SO_4 represented a substantial function of the total SO_4^{-2}.

Figure 11. Concentrations of SO₃ at Kingston Unit 5

Table 34. Electrical Resistivity and Chemical Properties
of Fly Ash at Gallatin Unit 4

Temp, °F	Range of observed resistivity values, ohm cm[a]	Chemical properties		
			Soluble SO_4^{-2}, %	
		pH	Total	H_2SO_4
329	$(2-3) \times 10^{10}$	9.35	0.87	0.07
287	$(3-4) \times 10^9$	9.80	1.15	0.09
260	$(2-6) \times 10^8$	10.15	1.60	0.07

a. Determined on May 26 and 27, 1971, with the point-plane apparatus at an electric field of 2.5 kV/cm.

C.   Bull Run Station*

At the Bull Run Station in the TVA system, in contrast to Widows Creek and Gallatin Stations, coal of low sulfur content (about 1%) is normally used as the fuel. Thus, the fly ash at Bull Run should have a resistivity higher than that at Widows Creek or Gallatin, perhaps high enough not only to overcome the problem of reintrainment at either of those plants but to intro-duce the alternative problem of excessive sparking or back corona.

A brief study, comparable to that described in the preced-ing section for Gallatin Unit 4, was made at Bull Run Station on several dates in March, 1971, when two different coals were burned. The Bull Run Station is a 950-MW installation with only an electrostatic precipitator for collecting fly ash. Our work consisted only of determinations of fly-ash resistivity and chemi-cal properties. Concentrations of SO_2 were determined by TVA personnel and found to be consistently in the range from 830 to 950 ppm, signifying that no appreciable difference existed in the sulfur content of the coal and that the percentage of sulfur was about 1%, the typical value.

The results of the fly-ash studies are given in Table 35. Resistivity values at a temperature of 270°F were, as expected, higher than those at the Widows Creek and Gallatin Stations, and high enough to lead to the usual problems in precipitator perfor-mance with high resistivity. The chemical analyses showed that the ash from one coal was acidic whereas the other was nearly

* Consists of only one large power-production unit.

neutral; in addition, these analyses showed lower concentrations of SO_4^{-2} in the ash, as expected with the low concentrations of SO_3 predictable in flue gases from coal with only 1% sulfur.

Table 35. Electrical Resistivity and Chemical Properties
of Fly Ash at Bull Run Station

Coal[a]	Temp, °F	Range of observed resistivity values, ohm cm[b]	Chemical properties	
			pH	SO_4^{-2}, % (total)
A	270	$(2-10) \times 10^{11}$	4.4	0.28
B	270	$(2-10) \times 10^{11}$	6.0	0.35

a. The coals identified as A and B are referred to as "Haddox" and "Bull Run" coals, respectively.

b. Determined on May 16 and 23, 1971, with a cyclone probe at an electric field of 2.5 kV/cm.

D.   Z Station, Unit 1

Z Station cannot be identified by name, location, or owner. However, it is located in a region where coals of various compositions are available for use as fuel. On the basis of both analyses made in this laboratory and analyses reported by the Bureau of Mines,[31,32] sulfur contents of the coal can be expected to vary in the intermediate range of 1 to 2%.

Experiments were conducted during January, 1971, at Unit 1 of the Z Station, an installation designed with a 220-MW capacity and equipped with only an electrostatic precipitator for fly-ash removal. On two dates, January 6 and 7, the most extensive work was conducted and, thus, only the results obtained on these dates are given in this report. On these dates, percentages of sulfur in the coal were 0.95 and 1.90%, respectively, and the corresponding percentages of ash were 15.8 and 16.0%. The difference in sulfur concentrations on January 6 and 7 probably represent the extremes to be found in different coals burned from time to time during extended periods of plant operation.

Considerable difficulty was encountered in obtaining consistent values of resistivity in the range of temperatures investigated, 256 to 319°F. The results of the resistivity determinations, which are listed in Table 36, fail to show the expected

upward trend with increasing temperature in the range studied.
The data are, nevertheless, noteworthy in that they indicate that
the resistivity was also higher than the maximum value of
2×10^{10} ohm cm desired for efficient precipitator operation, not-
withstanding the difference in SO_3 that should have been available
for conditioning of the ash during combustion of the different
coals. The results of the chemical analyses of the fly ash, which
are included in Table 36, show that the ash was basic and con-
tained only moderate amounts of SO_4^{-2}.

Table 36. Electrical Resistivity and Chemical Properties
of Fly Ash at Z Station

Date	Temp, °F	Resistivity,[a] ohm cm	Chemical properties	
			pH	SO_4^{-2}, % (total)
Jan. 6	256	3×10^{12}	10.7	0.41
	269	7×10^{12}	-	-
	283	3×10^{12}	-	-
	305	4×10^{12}	-	-
	319	1×10^{13}	10.9	0.32
Jan. 7	269	1×10^{12}	9.7	0.45
	270	1×10^{12}	-	-
	278	1×10^{12}	-	-
	283	2×10^{11}	9.8	0.42
	287	7×10^{11}	9.8	0.41
	292	6×10^{11}	-	-
	296	3×10^{11}	-	-
	310	1×10^{12}	9.7	0.47

a. Determined with a cyclone probe at an electric field
of 2.5 kV/cm.

The results of flue-gas analyses are given in Table 37.
The maximum concentration of SO_3 that appeared to be available
for conditioning of the fly ash was about 4 ppm, based on the
analyses of samples taken ahead of the air heater on the date
when the coal had the higher content of sulfur. This concentra-
tion seems surprisingly low in comparison with the concentration
of about 20 ppm found at Kingston Unit 5 under similar conditions.
One distinction in compositions of fly ash at the two plants—the
higher basicity of the ash at the Z Station—may be the principal
reason for the difference in observed SO_3 concentrations.

Table 37. Concentrations of Flue Gases at Z Station

Date	Sampling location[a]	Temp, °F	Concentrations of flue gases[b]		
			H_2O, %	SO_3, ppm	SO_2, ppm
Jan. 6	EP	256	7.4	1	700
		269	-	1	600
Jan. 7	AH	600	-	4	820
		600	-	5	860
	EP	292	6.9	1	910
		300	-	2	1020

a. AH signifies a location before the air heater, and
   EP signifies a location before the precipitator.

b. Expressed on the "wet" or actual basis.

E.   Shawnee Station, Unit 10

1.   Description of the power unit

Unit 10 of the Shawnee Station in the TVA system was unique among the plants investigated during this program in that it was equipped with facilities to control the emission of SO_2 by injection of dry limestone into the boiler. Dry-limestone injection is one of several processes being evaluated for control of SO_2 emission; it is based on calcination of limestone ($CaCO_3$) in the boiler to produce lime (CaO) and on reaction of the CaO with SO_2 and O_2 in the flue gases to produce $CaSO_4$ on the surface of the CaO particles.[41] As reaction with the combination of SO_2 and O_2 occurs, simultaneous reactions of the CaO with SO_2 alone to produce $CaSO_3$ and with the small naturally occurring concentration of SO_3 to produce $CaSO_4$ may also occur.[42]

Our staff carried out studies at Shawnee during times of plant operation with and without $CaCO_3$ injection on July 15, 16, 21, and 22, 1971. Our principal objective in these studies was to determine the effect of CaO particles on the resistivity of the fly ash; however, we were also interested to learn the degree to which $CaCO_3$ would lower the concentration of SO_2 and SO_3. The studies were planned to include experiments when coals of different sulfur contents were used as fuels and thus a range of concentrations of SO_2 were subject to removal by reaction with CaO.

Shawnee Unit 10 has a production capacity of about 175 MW and produces flue gases at the rate of about 540,000 ft³/min at 310°F and ambient pressure (about 315,000 ft³/min under standard conditions). It is equipped with both a mechanical collector and an electrostatic precipitator to remove fly ash. It was investigated during $CaCO_3$ injection at rates of 167 and 333 lb/min; assuming complete calcination of the $CaCO_3$ occurred in the boiler, it thus produced CaO particulate concentrations of about 2.1 and 4.2 gr/ft³, compared to estimated fly-ash concentrations in the range from 3.9 to 4.5 gr/ft³ (standard conditions) with the ash percentage in the coal in the range from 16.3 to 19.5%.

2.   Analyses of the coal and the limestone

The composition of the coal was deliberately varied during our field work at Shawnee Unit 10, especially with respect to the sulfur content. Compositions of coal samples collected at different times on different dates and analyzed in our laboratory are shown by the data in Table 38. The average daily percentage of sulfur ranged from 1.81 to 3.89%, and the percentage of ash ranged from 16.3 to 19.5%. On two days, especially July 21, the coal apparently varied in composition significantly when constant composition was desired.

Table 38.   Sulfur and Ash Contents of Coal
Burned at Shawnee Unit 10

Date	Time of sampling	Concentration, %	
		Sulfur	Ash
July 15	Morning	1.79	18.2
	Midday	1.78	18.1
	Afternoon	1.87	17.8
	Average	1.81	18.0
July 16	Morning	3.82	16.1
	Morning	3.96	16.5
	Average	3.89	16.3
July 21	Morning	3.71	22.0
	Morning	2.97	19.3
	Midday	2.54	17.3
	Average	3.07	19.5
July 22	Morning	1.57	16.3
	Midday	1.96	17.4
	Afternoon	2.40	16.2
	Average	1.98	16.6

A sample of the $CaCO_3$ was analyzed for purity on the basis of the amount of HCl neutralized by a weighed sample. The apparent purity was about 98%, assuming that the impurities did not react as acid or base. The $CaCO_3$ injected in the boiler during all of our studies was described as a "coarse" grade, signifying that 50% of the particles by weight would pass a 400-mesh sieve (in contrast to a "fine" grade, of which 80% would pass a 400-mesh screen).

3. Results of experiments without limestone injection

a. Resistivity of the fly ash

Each of the determinations of the resistivity of fly ash was made in a duct leading into the precipitator with the point-plane apparatus, operating with a wide range of electric fields in the collected sample. Because of the sampling requirements of another organization with the primary responsibility for operating and evaluating the $CaCO_3$ injection equipment, it was necessary in most of the experiments to determine resistivity in a high-temperature duct, where the range of observed temperatures was 330 to 417°F and thus well above the range at which electrostatic precipitators are normally operated. However, it was possible on one date to make the determinations at more appropriate temperatures in the range from 266 to 305°F.

The results of the determinations of resistivity without $CaCO_3$ injection are given in Table 39. In general, the data include values at electric fields ranging from 1 to 20 kV/cm. For some of the samples, however, data are omitted at several values of field, either because the range of fields used experimentally was restricted or because electrical breakdown occurred, as indicated for one sample at fields of 15 and 20 kV/cm.

The data in Table 39 will be discussed later in greater detail in a comparison with the data obtained when $CaCO_3$ was injected. One noteworthy feature of the data obtained, however, is the dependence of resistivity on electric field was diminished as the temperature increased. This finding—contrary to observations at Kingston Unit 5—may be illustrated by the data recorded for a temperature of 266°F and 305°F on July 16, for example, or more emphatically by the data at 266°F on July 16 and various temperatures up to 385°F on other dates, when the composition of the coal was different.

Table 39. Electrical Resistivity of Fly Ash at Shawnee Unit 10 without Limestone Injection

Date	Temp, °F	Resistivity, ohm cm, at various electric fields[a]					
		1.0 kV/cm	2.5 kV/cm	5.0 kV/cm	10.0 kV/cm	15.0 kV/cm	20.0 kV/cm
July 15	375	2.3×10^{10}	1.4×10^{10}	8.0×10^{9}	5.0×10^{9}	–	–
	376	–	–	3.5×10^{10}	2.0×10^{10}	1.8×10^{10}	1.8×10^{10}
July 16	266	–	8.0×10^{10}	3.5×10^{10}	1.2×10^{8}	6.0×10^{7}	5.0×10^{7}
	273	–	–	2.3×10^{10}	8.0×10^{7}	–	–
	305	7.0×10^{10}	4.0×10^{10}	1.8×10^{10}	1.0×10^{10}	BD[b]	BD[b]
July 21	330	5.5×10^{10}	5.0×10^{10}	5.0×10^{10}	4.2×10^{10}	3.6×10^{10}	–
July 22	375	5.8×10^{10}	5.0×10^{10}	4.0×10^{10}	3.0×10^{10}	2.5×10^{10}	–
	385	8.0×10^{10}	7.0×10^{10}	6.0×10^{10}	4.6×10^{10}	3.8×10^{10}	3.0×10^{10}

a. Determined with a point-plane resistivity probe. No value is listed if the range of electric fields was not extended throughout the maximum range usually covered.

b. BD indicates that electrical breakdown occurred in the collected sample at the electric fields shown.

b. Chemical properties of the fly ash

Table 40 lists the observed values of chemical properties of fly-ash samples collected in the absence of $CaCO_3$ injection. This table includes the values of properties not generally determined in studies of plants other than Shawnee. It includes the total percentage of water-soluble material in each sample and the percentage of each sample dissolved as Ca^{+2} ion. Determinations of these properties were made by comparing sample weights before and after extraction with water and by determining dissolved Ca^{+2} by atomic absorption spectroscopy.

Table 40. Chemical Properties of Fly-Ash Samples Collected at Shawnee Unit 10 without Limestone Injection

Date	Temp, °F	Concn of fly ash,[a] gr/ft³	pH	Chemical properties of the fly ash[b]		
				Water-soluble components, %		
				Total	SO_4^{-2}	Ca^{+2}
July 15	375	4.2	10.2	6	1.7	1.0
	376	4.2	9.0	5	1.6	0.6
July 16	266	3.9	6.2	6	1.1	0.4
	273	3.9	4.6	7	1.2	0.4
	305	3.9	4.2	2	1.4	0.4
July 21	330	4.5	11.7	9	2.7[c]	-[c]
July 22	375	4.0	11.0	8	1.5	1.0
	385	4.0	11.0	8	1.5	0.8

a. Estimated for standard conditions from the ash percentage in the coal.

b. All of the samples were collected in the point-plane resistivity probe.

c. Electron microprobe analyses for sulfur and calcium yielded percentage concentrations of 0.7% and 3.3%, respectively. The sulfur percentage is believed to be a reasonable value for the surface material; it is in reasonable agreement with the value of 0.9% calculated from the concentration of soluble SO_4^{-2}. However, the calcium percentage may include material below the surface (see Table 43).

The properties of the ash show significant variations with the different dates of collection, as expected from the differences in temperatures used for sampling and the differences in coal used as the fuel. The properties of the ash are discussed later in greater detail in comparison with the properties of ash found during CaCO₃ injection.

c. Concentrations of flue gases

Concentrations of H_2O, SO_3, and SO_2 observed in the absence of CaCO₃ injection are listed in Table 41. The data for the sulfur oxides are of primary interest. They show, for example, the expected variations in the concentration of SO_2 as the result of variations in the sulfur content of the coal. They also show variations in the concentration of SO_3 as expected, in view of differences in coal and fly-ash compositions and differences in sampling temperatures.

Table 41. Concentrations of Flue Gases at Shawnee Unit 10 without Limestone Injection

Date	Sampling location[a]	Temp, °F	Concentrations of flue gases[b]		
			H_2O, %	SO_3, ppm	SO_2, ppm
July 15	EP	375	9.2	3	1580
	EP	376	-	5	1530
July 16	EP	266	10.0	1	3030
	EP	305	9.4	5	2890
	EP	305	-	5	2880
July 21	AH	750	-	1	2070
	EP	330	7.6	<1	1740
July 22	AH	720	-	1	1150

a. AH signifies a location ahead of the air heater, and EP signifies a location ahead of the electrostatic precipitator.

b. Expressed on the "wet" actual basis. In the absence of a determination of the concentration of H_2O on July 22, a value of 8% was assumed for calculating the concentrations of SO_3 and SO_2.

However, it is desirable to make certain observations about the variations in the concentration of SO_3, as follows:

- The data at temperatures above 350°F might be expected, on initial consideration, to show differences corresponding to differences in the sulfur percentage of the coal. However, they do not show the differences expected on this basis. The highest concentrations of SO_3 observed at temperatures above 350°F were found during combustion of the coal with the lowest percentage of sulfur (on July 15). The lack of correlation of observed SO_3 concentrations with percentages of sulfur in the coal must be a result of differences in the basicity of the ash and resulting differences in the extent of SO_3 collection by the ash. The highest concentrations of SO_3 above 350°F occurred in the presence of ash of relatively lower basicity and presumably low effectiveness for collecting SO_3.

- The data obtained at relatively low temperatures of 266 and 305°F on July 16, when the coal had the highest percentage of sulfur, indicate that significant losses of the available SO_3 occurred as a result of collection on the fly ash, especially at the lower of the two temperatures.

4.   Results of experiments with limestone injection

   a.   Resistivity of the dust

   Table 42 lists the results of determinations of the resistivity of dust collected during periods of $CaCO_3$ injection. This dust consisted of mixtures of fly-ash and CaO particles, including the SO_3 and SO_2 collected as $CaSO_4$. One noteworthy feature of the data obtained at high temperatures is that the resistivity increased with increasing electric field, in distinction to the behavior of fly ash in previous studies with CaO particles absent.

   Figure 12 compares the resistivity data obtained at a constant field, 5.0 kV/cm, with and without $CaCO_3$ injection throughout the study at Shawnee Unit 10. One graph is used to show all of the data obtained on different dates, despite differences in the properties of the coal and fly ash. The resistivity of the fly ash alone, as observed when $CaCO_3$ was not injected, is portrayed by one curve, whereas the resistivity of the fly ash-CaO mixtures, as produced when $CaCO_3$ was injected, is represented by another curve.

Table 42. Electrical Resistivity of Fly-Ash and CaO Particles
at Shawnee Unit 10 during Limestone Injection

Date	Reported injection rate of CaCO$_3$, lb/min	Temp, °F	Resistivity, ohm cm, at various electric fields[a]					
			1.0 kV/cm	2.5 kV/cm	5.0 kV/cm	10.0 kV/cm	15.0 kV/cm	20.0 kV/cm
July 15	333	340	-	-	3.0×10^{10}	2.7×10^{10}	-	-
July 16	333	255	-	-	4.0×10^{11}	2.4×10^{11}	1.5×10^{11}	9.0×10^{10}
	333	273	-	-	4.5×10^{11}	4.5×10^{11}	4.5×10^{11}	4.5×10^{11}
July 21	167	407	-	-	1.3×10^{13}	1.7×10^{13}	2.3×10^{13}	2.6×10^{13}
	333	360	-	-	1.1×10^{13}	1.0×10^{13}	9.0×10^{12}	8.0×10^{12}
July 27	333	417	4.0×10^{11}	5.0×10^{11}	8.0×10^{11}	1.2×10^{12}	1.6×10^{12}	2.0×10^{12}

a. Determined with a point-plane resistivity probe. No value is listed if the range of electric fields was not extended throughout the maximum range usually covered.

Figure 12. Resistivity of Fly Ash at Shawnee Unit 10
with and without Limestone Injection
(Based on data in Tables 39 and 42 for an
electric field of 5 kV/cm)

Figure 12 shows beyond reasonable doubt that injection of $CaCO_3$ raised the resistivity of collected dust by about two orders of magnitude. In the normal temperature range of precipitator operation, 275 to 325°F, the presence of CaO in the dust raised the resistivity to a range well above the acceptable upper limit of 2×10^{10} ohm cm. As will be shown in the following section, the effect of CaO on resistivity occurred despite the presence of a large quantity of SO_4^{-2} in the ash.

b. Chemical properties of fly ash-CaO samples

Results of chemical studies of dust samples collected during times of $CaCO_3$ injection are listed in Table 43. Comparison of these results with the results of experiments without $CaCO_3$ injection (Table 40) leads to the following observations:

- The pH values of aqueous slurries of fly ash and CaO were uniformly high, ranging from 12.4 to 12.8 (compared with an observed value of 12.8 for an aqueous slurry of pure CaO), whereas the pH values of slurries of fly ash alone were consistently lower, ranging from a minimum of 4.2 to a maximum of 11.7.

- The percentages of water-soluble materials were consistently higher with CaO present, ranging from 22 to 48%. The corresponding percentages ranged from 2 to 8% with CaO absent. (Note: To facilitate dissolution of soluble materials, 0.1 g of each sample of particulate matter was first mixed with 30 ml of water to determine the pH value and then mixed with an additional 270 ml of water prior to determinations of the total amount of water-soluble components or of SO_4^{-2} or Ca^{+2}.)

- The percentage of soluble SO_4^{-2} increased markedly with CaO present. The minimum increase was 4.5% on July 21, and the maximum was 10.6% on July 16.

- The percentage of soluble Ca^{+2} also increased markedly with CaO present, as expected. No comparison for July 21 is possible, but increases of about 4 to 9% occurred on the other dates.

c. Concentrations of flue gases

Concentrations of flue gases found during periods of $CaCO_3$ injection are listed in Table 44. The principal observations to be made from these data are as follows:

Table 43. Chemical Properties of Samples of Fly Ash and CaO
Collected at Shawnee Unit 10 during Limestone Injection

Date	Limestone injection rate, lb/min	Temp, °F	Concn of particulate matter, gr/ft^3 [a] Fly ash	CaO	Chemical properties of the fly ash [b] pH	Water-soluble components, % Total	SO_4^{-2} (total)	Ca^{+2}
July 15	333	340	4.2	4.2	12.4	31	6.2	6.0
	333	350	4.2	4.2	12.5	32	5.5	6.5
July 16	333	255	3.9	4.2	12.7	48	11.7	9.2
	333	273	3.9	4.2	12.8	48	11.9	9.8
July 21	167	407	4.5	2.1	12.4	23	7.2	4.4
	333	360	4.5	4.2	12.4	22	-c	-c
July 22	333	417	4.0	4.2	12.4	34	6.1	5.9

a. Expressed for standard conditions.

b. All of the samples were collected in the point-plane resistivity probe.

c. Electron microprobe analyses indicated that the percentages of sulfur and calcium were 3.3 and 31.2%, respectively. It is believed that a fairly reliable estimate of soluble SO_4^{-2} (9.9%) can be made from the sulfur determination. However, it is obvious that an estimate of the soluble Ca^{+2} cannot be made from the calcium determination, since the total percentage of soluble material was less than the percentage of calcium found. Evidently, the microprobe detected insoluble forms of calcium in the interior of the fly-ash particles.

Table 44. Concentrations of Flue Gases at Shawnee Unit 10
during Limestone Injection

Date	Limestone injection		Sampling location[b]	Temp, °F	Concentrations of flue gases[c]		
	Rate, lb/min	Mole ratio, CaO:SO$_2$[a]			H$_2$O, %	SO$_3$, ppm	SO$_2$, ppm
July 15	333	2.2	EP	400	–	1.2	962
	333	2.2	EP	340	–	1.1	962
July 16	333	1.2	AH	765	–	1.0	1860
July 21	167	0.9	EP	420	8.2	1.1	2072
	333	1.8	AH	750	–	0.9	1621
July 22	333	3.0	AH	740	–	0.5	800

a. Calculated from the rate of CaCO$_3$ injection and the rate of SO$_2$ production in the absence of CaCO$_3$ injection, based on the observed SO$_2$ concentration and the estimated total flow rate of flue gases, approximately 540,000 ft^3/min at 310°F and 1 atm.

b. AH signifies a location ahead of the air heater, and EP signifies a location ahead of the electrostatic precipitator.

c. Expressed on the "wet" or actual basis. In the absence of a determination of H$_2$O, values listed in Table 41 were used for calculating the concentrations of SO$_3$ and SO$_2$.

- On three of the four days of experimental work, the concentration of SO_2 was lowered by $CaCO_3$ injection by approximately 30%. On July 21, however, no clear-cut effect on the concentration of SO_2 was apparent at either of the two rates of $CaCO_3$ injection. On this date, furthermore, no clearcut effect was evident from the concentrations of SO_2 determined by an instrumental method used by the plant personnel. The apparent explanation for the apparent ineffectiveness of $CaCO_3$ on July 21 is that the composition of the coal varied throughout the day and thus the concentration of SO_2 produced in the boiler varied during the period of sampling.

- Under circumstances where the concentration of SO_3 was observed to be above 1 ppm in the absence of $CaCO_3$ injection, the concentration was lowered to about 1 ppm during injection.

- Even with excess amounts of CaO present, as indicated by calculated $CaO:SO_2$ mole ratios as high as 3.0, the removal of SO_2 was far from complete. On July 22, for example, when the $CaO:SO_2$ ratio was 3.0, somewhat less than 30% of the SO_2 was removed; on this occasion, therefore, only about 10% of the available CaO was used. It is to be expected that utilization of the CaO will be incomplete, because a $CaSO_4$ surface coating masks a substantial part of the CaO and prevents reaction in the contact time allowed.

VII. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS OF THE CONDITIONING STUDIES

A. Interpretation of Resistivity Data

To preface the following discussion of the results of the conditioning studies, the several difficulties encountered in making and interpreting in situ measurements of electrical resistivity must be recalled. These difficulties can be enumerated as follows: (1) the uncertainty in the significance of the decreasing resistivity values obtained with the cyclone resistivity probes at increasing times of measurement; (2) the lack of a consistent relationship between resistivity values and the different electric fields applied in the point-plane resistivity probe (usually resistivity decreased with increasing electric field but sometimes it increased; sometimes the dependence of resistivity on electric field appeared to vary with temperature and sometimes it did not); (3) the lack of a consistent relationship between the reported data for the same electric field in the cyclone and point-plane samples (usually the values obtained with the cyclone samples were higher but sometimes they were about the same as those obtained with the point-plane samples—or even lower than the latter).

To the difficulties listed above, there can be added the problem of knowing how an experimentally observed value of resistivity compares to the value that is significant in the precipitator. It has been recommended by one author that electrical resistivity always be measured at the electric field required for electrical breakdown in the sample.[1] It is reasonable to question this approach, however, in view of the variance to be expected in the required electric field as a result of a wide variance in (1) the compaction of the fly ash; (2) the extent of contamination of the ash with unburned, highly conductive carbon particles; (3) the composition and the resistivity of the ash;* or (4) the composition and the resistivity of the flue gases.

Even in the face of the experimental and theoretical uncertainties listed above, we believe that we are on fairly firm grounds in discussing the experimental results on the usual basis of average values obtained at the same electric field (usually

---

* The resistivity may be low enough to make sparking through the gases rather than breakdown in the deposited ash the power-limiting factor in a precipitator.

2.5 kV/cm) in both cyclone and point-plane samples, with time-zero values selected from the time-dependent cyclone data. The validity of this basis for discussing the resistivity data is discussed in considerable detail in another report from this laboratory.[16] Because of circumstances beyond our control, however, we are unable to use this basis for the data obtained at Cherokee Unit 2, where carbon contamination led to breakdown at electric fields lower than 2.5 kV/cm, or at the X Station, where the electric field was unreported (in the absence of specific information, the assumption is made that the field was that required for breakdown, but this assumption cannot be used to estimate the field quantitatively for reasons listed above).

B.   Discussion of Conditioning by Injected SO_3 or H_2SO_4

1.   General conclusions

   a. Type of conditioning agent

      This research program dealt with two sources of SO_3 and H_2SO_4 conditioning agents—(1) stabilized anhydrous SO_3 available from Allied Chemical Corporation under the trade name Sulfan and (2) concentrated aqueous H_2SO_4 (available from various commercial sources but consistently characterized in composition by either the density, 66°Bé, or the weight percentage of H_2SO_4, 93.2%). With respect to the conditions under which the concentrated aqueous acid was evaporated and injected, this program included work with two types of H_2SO_4 injection processes, which are referred to in this report as low- and high-temperature processes. The two types of H_2SO_4 injection processes are different not only on the basis of temperature but on the basis of the chemical form to which the acid is converted by evaporation for transport through the injection lines; in the low-temperature process the vapor is predominantly H_2SO_4, and in the high-temperature process it is predominantly SO_3.

      The results of our investigation showed an apparent failure to achieve the desired conditioning in only one of the five plants equipped with SO_3 and H_2SO_4 injection systems. This failure occurred in an installation that has a high-temperature H_2SO_4 system (Cherokee Unit 3). The reason for the failure was not identified, although several attempts were made to explain the failure. Perhaps a faulty or unreliable injection system caused the acid to be injected in an inactive form such as a H_2SO_4-H_2O mist, in view of the possible exposure of the SO_3-H_2O vapor mixture in the injection lines to a duct temperature below the vapor dew point. Because of the limited scope of our studies of the high-temperature H_2SO_4 system at Cherokee Unit 3, our findings should not be construed as an indication that such a system is basically unworkable.

In general, our experimental findings lead to the fundamental conclusion that either an SO_3 or a H_2SO_4 injection system may be used to condition high-resistivity ash with roughly the same likelihood of success. Such findings are not surprising in view of the fact that injection of either SO_3 or H_2SO_4 will theoretically lead to existence of only one of the two substances, H_2SO_4, in the usual range of precipitator temperatures. The choice between an SO_3 or a H_2SO_4 system apparently should be based not on the predicted efficiency of conditioning but on other factors, such as costs or other factors as discussed later in Section VIII.

b. Concentration of conditioning agent

The results of this investigation indicate that injection of either SO_3 or H_2SO_4 at a rate sufficient to produce a concentration between 5 and 20 ppm by volume in the flue gases will usually be adequate for conditioning fly ash from low-sulfur coal. This conclusion is based on studies with fly ash of various compositions in a wide range of temperatures.

The data from four plants showing resistivity as a function of the concentration of injected agent are plotted in Figure 13.* It appears that one curve is reasonably appropriate for showing the resistivity data obtained at three of the plants but that a distinctly different and lower curve is needed to represent the data at the fourth plant (Cherokee Unit 2).

No clearcut explanation can be offered for the lower curve showing the data at Cherokee Unit 2. At least a possible explanation is that the curve was located below the expected range because of the influence of an abnormal concentration of carbon particles in the ash. However, this explanation does not seem altogether plausible, for the influence of the carbon should have been strongest in the absence of conditioning agent; thus, if it were possible to correct the data for the effects of the carbon, the data would still be abnormally low with the conditioning agent present.

The apparent location of data points along one curve for the other three plants in the Arapahoe, X, and Y Stations may be somewhat fortuitous, in view of the differences in fly-ash

---

* The data from a fifth plant, Cherokee Unit 3, are omitted because of the uncertainty that the reported concentrations of agent in this plant were actually reached.

Figure 13. Resistivity of Fly Ash as a Function
of the Injected Concentration
of Conditioning Agent

composition, temperature, and other parameters. The data follow separate curves if plotted as functions of parameters other than the injected concentration of conditioning agent, as shown in the following sections.

It is worthy of emphasis that the concentration probably must, as a rule, be kept below some critical value, which is related to the capacity of the fly ash to collect the agent quantitatively. In two of the installations investigated, Unit 2 of the Cherokee Station and Unit 6 of the Y Station, the injection of excessive amounts of conditioning agent apparently led to stack emissions of unacceptably high H_2SO_4 concentrations.

  c. Location of the injection of conditioning agent

It is evident that SO_3 or H_2SO_4 can be used effectively if it is used to treat fly ash before or after partial removal of the ash occurs in a mechanical collector. Successful conditioning was observed in two plants without mechanical collectors (X and Y Stations) and in two plants with injection sites between mechanical and electrostatic collectors (Cherokee Unit 2 and Arapahoe Unit 4). Poor results were obtained in the one plant with injection ahead of both mechanical and electrostatic collectors (Cherokee Unit 3), but these results were evidently not characteristic of the results to be expected with dual collectors.

Observations made with different injection sites lead to the following conclusions:

- If fly ash is subjected to conditioning ahead of a mechanical collector, the large fraction of ash removed mechanically does not cause an unacceptable loss in the available concentration of conditioning agent. This conclusion is probably the result of the fact that the average size of particles collected mechanically is large compared with those at the entrance of the precipitator, thus the surface area to mass ratio is low. The total amount of the agent deposited on mechanically collected ash is therefore smaller than that deposited on ash entering the precipitator.

- If fly ash is in contact with the conditioning agent for only a brief time, as short as 1 sec in one plant investigated with injection immediately ahead of the electrostatic precipitator (the Y Station), an adequate degree of conditioning can still occur.

No work was done during this program with SO_3 or H_2SO_4 injection ahead of an air heater, although acid injection at this site

has been reported.[9] Because of the thermodynamically predicted de-
composition of SO_3 or H_2SO_4 to SO_2 and O_2 at the high temperatures
that prevail in locations ahead of air heaters, as discussed later
in Section VIII-C, injection at these locations appears unwise.

d. Concentration and particle size of the fly ash

Information about the influence of concentration and particle
size of fly ash on the effectiveness of conditioning was obtained
during studies in plants supplied with coals of widely varying ash
contents and operated with and without mechanical collectors.

Data showing resistivity as a function of the concentration
of injected conditioning agent relative to fly-ash concentration
and particle size are shown in Figures 14 and 15. In Figure 14,
the concentration parameter is the ratio of the concentration of
conditioning agent to the concentration of fly ash at the point of
injection. In Figure 15, the concentration parameter is the ratio
of the concentration of conditioning agent to the surface area of
the fly ash (expressed in relative units) at the point of injection.
The factor expressing surface area in relative units was calculated
by multiplying the fly-ash concentration by the mean ratio of sur-
face area to mass. The value of the area-to-mass ratio was calcu-
lated by the principles described by Irani and Callis,[43] with the
use of particle-size distributions obtained on the number-median
basis by microscopic counting. For fly ash that had not passed
through a mechanical collector, the value of the area-to-mass ratio
was proportional to the approximate factor 1/9.4, where 9.4 repre-
sents the pertinent particle size expressed in micrometers. For
fly ash that had passed through a mechanical collector, the cor-
responding value of the area-to-mass ratio was proportional to the
larger factor 1/2.9.

As plotted in Figure 14 or 15, the data for Cherokee Unit 2
do not show an unusual degree of divergence from the data for the
other plants, in contrast to the behavior previously noted. In
fact, the data for each plant appear to follow a distinct curve in
Figure 14 or 15. Possible explanations for the different curves
are offered in the following sections that discuss the influence
of fly-ash composition and temperature.

e. Composition of the fly ash

In connection with the influence of fly-ash composition, the
conclusion of overriding importance is that no fly ash was found
with a composition that prevented effective conditioning. Even so,
with various compositions, it is to be expected that the concentra-
tion of conditioning agent required will vary significantly as a
result of changes in fly-ash composition.

Figure 14. Resistivity of Fly Ash as a Function
of the Relative Concentrations of
Conditioning Agent and Fly Ash

Figure 15. Resistivity of Fly Ash as a Function of
the Concentration of Conditioning Agent
and the Surface Area of the Fly ash

The unfavorable results reported for fly-ash conditioning at Unit 3 of the Cherokee Station might lead to the conclusion that fly ash produced from Routt County coal is difficult to condition. However, the quite favorable results reported for Unit 2 of this station with fly ash from the same coal show quite clearly that this conclusion would be distinctly erroneous. Moreover, as previously discussed, the reported concentrations of conditioning agent at Unit 3 are of doubtful validity.

The fact that fly-ash composition has a bearing on the effectiveness of conditioning is suggested by data shown previously in Figures 14 and 15, although the relative influences of composition and temperature cannot be clearly separated. However, consider as examples the data obtained at Cherokee Unit 2 and Arapahoe Unit 4 with fly ash of markedly different compositions but nearly equal temperatures (290 and 275°F, respectively). The Cherokee ash required much less conditioning agent than the Arapahoe ash on either basis shown in Figure 14 or 15, despite its somewhat higher temperature.

The importance of fly-ash composition is suggested further by the data plotted in Figure 16, in which resistivity is shown as a function of the SO_4^{-2} content of the ash. If consideration is again given to the results at Cherokee Unit 2 and Arapahoe Unit 4, it is seen that the Cherokee ash required less gain in SO_4^{-2} than the Arapahoe ash.

The difference in the behavior of the fly ash at the Cherokee and Araphaoe Stations may be attributed to the higher basicity of the Cherokee ash and the resulting requirement of more acidic conditioning agent for a given change in resistivity. Possible reasons for this phenomenon are discussed later in Section VII.B.2. Briefly stated, however, the reasons are that much of the conditioning agent is wasted in neutralizing basic components of the ash and that only a small part is used effectively to produce a surface layer of free acid.

f. Temperature of the ash

The temperature of the fly ash during conditioning can be expected to influence the effectiveness of SO_3 or H_2SO_4 conditioning agent by exerting an influence on the adsorptivity of the ash for H_2SO_4 vapor or permitting the occurrence of acid condensation on fly-ash nuclei. It is difficult to separate the effects of temperature variations from the effects of composition changes in the different plants investigated. However, on the basis of recorded data and theoretical arguments given later in Section VII.B.2, we believe that it is reasonable to conclude that, in general, lowering the temperature will increase the effectiveness of conditioning. Lowering the temperature will increase the affinity of a neutral or weakly basic ash for H_2SO_4 vapor. Lowering the temperature will

Figure 16.   Resistivity of Fly Ash as a
Function of the Sulfate Content
of the Ash

probably have a smaller influence on the affinity of a strongly basic ash for H_2SO_4 but it will probably enhance the probability of formation of a free-acid layer by lowering the rate of acid-base reactions and minimizing the wastage of collected agent. If the temperature is lowered to such an extent that condensation of acid occurs on fly-ash nuclei, a very pronounced lowering of resistivity must be expected.

The above arguments may provide explanations for the behavior of the fly ash at the X Station, which appeared to be anomalous in several respects. This ash was comparable in basicity to the fly ash at the Arapahoe Station, but it appeared to be much more easily conditioned than the ash at Arapahoe and appeared to behave in a manner similar to the nearly neutral ash at the Y Station. The temperature in the X Station, 230°F, was below the acid dew point, whereas it was above the acid dew point in the other plants. Thus, the mechanism of acid collection may have been different at the X Station and the rate of reaction of collected acid with the fly ash was probably slower at this station.

2. Theoretical considerations

a. Mechanisms of collection of H_2SO_4 on fly-ash particles

There are at least two distinctly different mechanisms by which H_2SO_4 in flue gas can be collected on the surfaces of fly-ash particles. One mechanism is the condensation of a mixture of H_2SO_4 and H_2O vapors with fly-ash particles serving as condensation nuclei. This phenomenon results in the formation of a liquid layer on the particles; however, it can occur only if the temperature is below the dew point of the vapors existing in the duct. Other mechanisms involve the adsorption of H_2SO_4 and probably the concurrent adsorption of H_2O as well. As discussed subsequently, different mechanisms of adsorption may exist, depending upon the sequence in which H_2SO_4 and H_2O are adsorbed.

(1) Acid condensation

This phenomena of acid condensation can be critically analyzed only if reliable thermodynamic data exist for predicting dew points of $H_2SO_4-H_2O$ vapor mixtures or if reliable experimental data exist for showing dew points of adequately analyzed vapor mixtures.

Two notable attempts to secure the necessary thermodynamic data for predicting dew points have been made. The first of these two efforts was reported by Müller[44] in 1959 and was based on data previously compiled by Greenewalt[45] and Abel[46]; the second effort,

reportedly with the advantage of more accurate background data, was reported by Gmitro and Vermeulen[47] in 1963 and summarized by Snowdon and Ryan[48] in 1969. Dew points predicted from the original work of Greenewalt and Abel and the later work of Gmitro and Vermeulen are shown in Figure 17 for various concentrations of H_2SO_4 at two different concentrations of H_2O, 7 and 10% (covering the usual range found in flue gases produced in coal-burning plants) for an assumed total pressure of 1 atm.* The curves in Figure 17 show that at a given set of H_2SO_4 and H_2O concentrations the dew point predicted by the data of Greenewalt and Abel is approximately 30°F higher than the value predicted by the data of Gmitro and Vermeulen. They also show that at a given H_2SO_4 concentration the dew point predicted by either source of data decreases about 10°F as the concentration of H_2O is lowered from 10 to 7%.

Numerous efforts have been made experimentally to determine dew points of H_2SO_4-H_2O vapor mixtures of known composition. One of the most recent and perhaps most reliable study was reported by Lisle and Sensenbaugh.[24] The results of this study indicate that the dew point curves based on the work of Greenewalt and Abel are more accurate than those based on the work of Gmitro and Vermeulen, despite the access of the latter authors to more up-to-date reference data.

To predict the possibility that acid condensation may have occurred in the several plants where SO_3 and H_2SO_4 were injected as conditioning agents, the range of reported concentrations of the agent in each plant is shown by data points along a horizontal dashed line in Figure 17. The conclusion reached by comparing the locations of the several horizontal lines with the locations of the dew-point curves is that only the temperature at the X Station was clearly below the dew point at all injected concentrations of conditioning agent. The possibility that the temperature at Arapahoe Unit 4 was below the dew point at the two higher concentrations of conditioning agent cannot be excluded; however, the temperature was definitely above the dew point at the lowest concentration of the agent, which gave evidence of a marked reduction in resistivity. Finally, the possibility that the temperature in either of the other two plants

---

* Recalculation of dewpoints from the data of Greenewalt and Abel was made, since the results of Müller's calculations from these data cannot be easily seen from Müller's graphical summary.

Figure 17. Dew Points Predicted from the Data of Greenewalt and Abel (G, A) and Gmitro and Vermeulen (V, M) for Flue Gases Containing H_2SO_4 at Various Concentrations in the Presence of H_2O at Concentrations of 7 and 10%

was ever below the dew point can be completely excluded, assuming that the possible locations of the dew points cannot be outside the range indicated by the curves in the figure.*

The above conclusions indicate, at least, that condensation of acid is not necessary for the conditioning of fly ash by H_2SO_4. Although condensation may have been involved in the conditioning process at X Station, it did not necessarily occur; if chemisorption of the H_2SO_4 on the highly basic ash occurred more rapidly than the condensation process, the concentration of H_2SO_4 vapor could have been lowered to levels below the minimum required for condensation.

(2) Acid adsorption

The above consideration of the condensation mechanism leads us to the conclusion that acid adsorption is at least a sufficient mechanism, and, thus, not the necessary mechanism, for fly-ash conditioning in the presence of H_2SO_4 vapor.

The pioneering work by Chittum[7] led to the conclusion that conditioning of fly ash by an acidic vapor involves, first, the chemisorption of this vapor on the fly ash and then enhanced adsorption of H_2O vapor on the chemically altered surface of the fly ash. Chittum argued that a strongly basic ash would have a greater affinity for an acidic vapor, such as H_2SO_4, than a neutral or acidic ash and, thus, a strongly basic ash should be most easily conditioned.

The results of our work appear to be inconsistent with Chittum's hypothesis. This point can be supported by the data obtained in the studies at Arapahoe Unit 4 and Cherokee Unit 2. The Arapahoe ash was more basic than the Cherokee ash and, moreover, was conditioned at a slightly lower temperature and a slightly lower concentration than the Cherokee ash. In view of the differences in the conditioning parameters, the Chittum hypothesis would predict more efficient conditioning of the Arapahoe ash. The experimental data show that more efficient conditioning occurred with the Cherokee ash, whether the criterion

---

* In the above discussion, the fact that the total pressure was not precisely 1 atm in all of the plants is ignored. In the Arapahoe and Cherokee plants in the Denver area, the total pressure was only about 0.83 atm. However, the conclusions reached above would not be significantly altered by making a correction for the difference in pressures.

of effectiveness is based on the lowering of resistivity observed with a given rate of injection of conditioning agent or the lowering of resistivity observed with a given amount of agent collected as SO_4^{-2} on the ash (Figures 13 and 16).

Additional data contrary to the Chittum hypothesis were obtained at the Y Station, where the resistivity was lowered markedly with either a low rate of injection of conditioning agent or a small amount of agent collected on the ash, despite the near neutrality and high temperature of the unconditioned ash (two factors that were unfavorable for chemisorption).

Still other data contrary to the Chittum hypothesis were obtained at Shawnee Unit 10 with and without $CaCO_3$ injection in the boiler. With injection, the amount of SO_3 collected on the dust particles as SO_4^{-2} was greatly increased, but the effectiveness of the H_2O for conditioning the ash was markedly reduced.

It is our belief that a highly basic ash will require more acid conditioning agent than a neutral ash, in terms of either the concentration injected in the duct or the concentration collected in the ash, for the following reasons: (1) the acid initially collected is not simply adsorbed but it undergoes reaction with basic components of the ash, such as CaO, to form SO_4^{-2} salts, such as $CaSO_4$, that may have little affinity for H_2O; (2) the amount of acid collected must increase to the point that an adequately thick protective layer of SO_4^{-2} salts covers the remaining basic components of the ash and the amount of acid collected thereafter can exist on the surface of the ash in the form of H_2SO_4 rather than as SO_4^{-2} salts; (3) the layer of H_2SO_4 ultimately produced exhibits a strong affinity for H_2O and, thus, a layer consisting of both H_2SO_4 and H_2O with the necessary electrical conductivity is finally produced on the surface of the ash.

The fact that only a small amount of collected SO_4^{-2} was apparently required to condition the highly basic fly ash in the X Station appears, on initial consideration, to be inconsistent with the theoretical concepts outlined in the preceding paragraph. One possible rationale for the apparent discrepancy was that conditioning in the X Station occurred at an unusually low temperature, where the rate of diffusion of H_2SO_4 through the initially produced layer of SO_4^{-2} salts would be relatively low and, thus, the required thickness of the protective layer of SO_4^{-2} salts would be reduced.

b. Mechanism of surface conduction on conditioned ash

It is our conviction that the predominant conductive material on conditioned fly ash is free H_2SO_4. At least semiquantitative evidence for the presence of the acid has been repeatedly

obtained during analyses of fly ash from various sources. Certainly the existence of H_2SO_4, probably in a mixture with H_2O, would provide a much higher conductivity than the existence of H_2O alone, assuming that the behavior of the two substances in liquid form gives a reasonable basis for predicting their behavior in thin adsorbed layers on the surface of fly-ash particles.

C.   Discussion of Conditioning by Naturally Produced SO_3

As stated in Section I of this report, high resistivity of fly ash does not cause problems in the operation of electrostatic precipitators in power stations that burn coal with an adequate percentage of sulfur, in excess of 1% or perhaps even 2%. It is a matter of theoretical interest that if it were possible to maintain chemical equilibrium between the two products of sulfur combustion, SO_2 and SO_3, practically all of the SO_2 would be converted to SO_3 at temperatures below 1000°F with O_2 in the usual range of concentrations and, thus, even coal with a very low percentage of sulfur would produce an adequate concentration of SO_3.[49] It is a matter of record, however, that chemical equilibrium is not maintained, because SO_2 is always the predominant oxide of sulfur emitted from a coal-burning power station, as shown during this investigation and by other investigators.[27,28]

White[1] has indicated that, as a rule, about 1% of the sulfur oxides exists as SO_3 in the flue gases of a coal-burning power station. Other investigators[27] have reported that the percentage of SO_3 may be as high as 10%; our work has shown percentages usually below 1%, on the other hand. The fact that the percentage of SO_3 varies widely is not surprising. Although the gas-phase conversion of SO_2 to SO_3 is slow, comparatively rapid conversion may occur as the result of catalytic effects of various components of the fly ash and thus may lead to an unusually high percentage of SO_3. On the other hand, adsorption of the SO_3 on fly-ash particles may occur to an extensive degree and, thus, lead to an unusually low residual percentage of SO_3. Furthermore, it has been shown that variations in the amount of excess air supplied to the boiler can lead to significant changes in the concentration of SO_3.[50] In view of the varying data in the literature and the opposing phenomena that control the net conversion of SO_2 to SO_3, it is of interest to review the relative concentrations of SO_2 and SO_3 found in various power stations during this investigation and to determine what generalizations may be made about the adequacy of naturally produced SO_3 for conditioning fly ash.

The concentrations of SO_2 found in various power stations with various percentages of sulfur in the coal are plotted in Figure 18. With a few exceptions, the data indicate that the SO_2

Figure 18. Concentration of SO₂ in Flue Gases
as a Function of the Sulfur
Percentage in Coal
(Each data point shows the SO₂ concentration
in the middle of the observed range.)

concentration can be predicted with reasonable accuracy by multiplying the percentage of sulfur in the coal by the factor 750.* The predicted SO_2 concentration pertains to a location either upstream or downstream from the air heater in view of the fact that, in general, no significant variation across the air heater has been discovered.

The relative concentrations of naturally produced SO_2 and SO_3 found in various power stations, including the different concentrations of SO_3 found upstream and downstream from the air heater, are listed in Table 45. Calculated percentages of SO_3 are included in this table and also plotted in Figure 19. No attempt was made to construct a curve through the plotted data points, in view of both the scatter in the data and the variations in the properties of the fly ash in the various plants represented. Even so, from an overall point of view, the data in Figure 19 suggest that a peak value of the SO_3 percentage will usually occur within the range of temperatures normally existing in the air heater, with an upper limit of 600°F or more and a lower limit usually of 325°F or less, depending upon operating conditions. It is noteworthy that the highest percentage of SO_3 found, around 1%, occurred in the Kingston plant at a temperature within the range described, 365°F.

It is not unreasonable to assume that the maximum concentration of naturally produced SO_3 that will be <u>effective</u> for fly-ash conditioning will be the value found at a temperature around 350°F, where the peak in resistivity normally occurs as a result of the relative contributions of surface and volume conductivities. Higher concentrations may occur at higher temperatures, but part of the SO_3 that occurs at high temperatures may be collected by the fly ash as a low-conductivity SO_4^{-2} salt when the temperature approaches the critical region around 350°F. Collection of the SO_3 remaining around 350°F, however, can lead to the formation of a high-conductivity acid layer, especially as the temperature approaches the usual range of precipitator operation of 325 to 275°F.

From the widely scattered data plotted in Figure 19, it is not possible to cite the percentage of sulfur oxides to be expected as SO_3 in all power plants at any given temperature. However, if it is assumed that the upper limit will, as a general rule, be around 0.7% in the critical region near 350°F—an

---

* Some degree of variance is to be expected from variations in the excess air used for combustion and the heating value of the coal.

Table 45. Summary of Observed Concentrations of SO_2 and SO_3
in Power Plants Burning Coal of Various Sulfur Contents

Power plant	Predicted concn of SO_2, ppm[a]	Observed concn range of SO_2, ppm	Observed concn of SO_3					
			Upstream from air heater			Downstream from air heater		
			Temp, °F	SO_3, ppm	SO_3, %[b]	Temp, °F	SO_3, ppm	SO_3, %[b]
Cherokee Unit 2	458	406-512	695	2	0.4	290	1-3	0.2-0.6
Cherokee Unit 3	375	314-358	740	<1	<0.3	310	1	0.3
Arapahoe Unit 4	367	387-446	740	<1	<0.3	275	<1	<0.3
Y Station, Unit 6	465	373-488	-	-	-	320	<1	<0.3
Widows Creek Unit 7	2690	2450-2860	625	15-20	0.6-0.8	285	15-18	0.6-0.7
						255	8-10	0.3-0.4
Kingston Unit 5	1590	1300-1770	640	9-13	0.6-0.9	365	15-18	0.9-1.2
						325	9-10	0.6-0.7
						295	4-5	0.3-0.4
Z Station, Unit 1	712	600-700	-	-	-	260	1	0.2
	1460	820-1020	600	4-5	0.4-0.5	295	1-2	0.1-0.2
Shawnee Unit 10	1360	1530-1580	-	-	-	375	3-5	0.2-0.3
	2900	2880-3030	-	-	-	305	5	0.2
						266	1	0.04
	2300	1740-2070	750	1	0.05	330	<1	<0.05
	1480	1150	720	1	0.1	-	-	-

a. Based on Figure 18, showing the concentration of SO_2 as a function of the sulfur percentage in coal.

b. Based on an SO_2 concentration at the middle of the observed range shown in the third column.

Figure 19. Percentage of Sulfur Oxides Found as SO_3
as a Function of Temperature in Various Plants

assumption reasonably consistent with the data plotted in Figure 19, despite some notable exceptions—calculations can be made of the minimum percentages of sulfur in coal that will produce various concentrations of SO_3 in the range up to 20 ppm, which appear adequate on the basis of studies with injected SO_3 or H_2SO_4. Calculations based on the relationship shown in Figure 18 between the SO_2 concentration in flue gases and the sulfur percentage in coal gave the following results:

SO_3, ppm	Sulfur, %
5	0.95
10	1.90
15	2.85
20	3.80

These calculations are generally consistent with industrial experience indicating that coal with a minimum sulfur percentage of 1 to 2% will be required if adequate conditioning of the fly ash by naturally produced SO_3 is to occur. They are also generally consistent with our resistivity data for fly ash that had been conditioned only with naturally occurring SO_3. Our data in this context may be summarized as follows:

- With coals containing around 0.5% of sulfur, the resistivity of the fly ash entering the precipitator was always excessive.

- With coals containing about 1% of sulfur, the resistivity of the fly ash was still above the maximum desired value.

- With coals containing about 2% of sulfur, the resistivity was slightly above or below the maximum desired value, depending upon the temperature.

- With coals containing 3% or more of sulfur, the resistivity was in the desired range or below this range, again depending upon the temperature.

D.   Discussion of Conditioning by Injected H_2O or NH_3

Only a limited study of conditioning by injected H_2O was included in this program. From the data obtained, it appears that a major effect of H_2O is the more effective utilization of the SO_3 that is naturally produced. Certainly, at temperatures of 320 to 330°F, as studied at Kingston Unit 5, this effect is indicated by the experimental data. Perhaps at lower temperatures, which are more commonly found in electrostatic precipitators, the effect of H_2O injection can be relatively independent of SO_3.

The relatively extensive study of NH_3 conditioning failed to explain the mechanism by which this agent overcomes the problem of low resistivity encountered with fly ash from high-sulfur coal. A major question not satisfactorily answered during this study is the fate of the injected NH_3. Thus, conclusions cannot be given in regard to possible mechanisms by which the NH_3 functions.

Conditioning of fly ash by NH_3 as a remedy for either low resistivity at the Widows Creek Station[11] or high resistivity at various Australian stations[9] is a subject to which intensive future research should be devoted.

VIII. DISCUSSION OF BASIC THERMODYNAMIC, ENGINEERING, AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF CONDITIONING WITH SO_3 OR H_2SO_4

A. Optional Processes for Generating Vapors of the Conditioning Agents

The various processes that can be used for injecting SO_3 or H_2SO_4 have been described by Whitehead.[6] They are as follows:

(1) Vaporization of stabilized SO_3

(2) Vaporization of H_2SO_4

(3) Stripping of SO_3 from oleum (a solution of SO_3 in anhydrous H_2SO_4)

(4) Catalytically converting part of the SO_2 in the flue gases to SO_3

(5) Burning sulfur and catalytically converting the SO_2 thus produced to SO_3.

Studies of the first two of the processes have been included during this investigation. The other three processes have various shortcomings that limit their applicability. Stripping SO_3 from oleum has the disadvantage that the residue of H_2SO_4 must be returned to the manufacturer for recharging with SO_3. Conversion of part of the SO_2 in the flue gases would require removal of the fly-ash particles from a stream of gases to avoid fouling of the V_2O_5 oxidation catalyst. Whitehead has estimated that in a plant burning coal with 0.4% sulfur the removal of fly-ash particles would require a hot-side precipitator capable of removing 99.9% of the fly ash in 8% of the total gas volume, and he has estimated further that the size of the precipitator would be about one-fifth of the size of the main precipitator.[6] Burning of sulfur suffers from the disadvantage of difficulties in controlling the burning process as required.

B. Vaporization of Stabilized SO_3

1. Properties of SO_3

The commercial form of stabilized SO_3 that is available from Allied Chemical Corporation is described as a water-white liquid containing at least 99.5% of SO_3 by weight and minor amounts of H_2SO_4 and the stabilizing agent. Some of the physical properties of Sulfan reported by the manufacturer pertinent to its use as a conditioning agent are the following:

Specific gravity, 1.85 at 95°F
Viscosity, 1.5 cp at 90°F
            1.3 cp at 100°F
Freezing point, 62.5°F
Boiling point, 113°F
Heat of vaporization, 230 Btu/lb

The fact that ambient temperature is frequently below the freezing point requires heating and insulation of storage and other handling facilities. A temperature range of 90 to 100°F is recommended during handling of the liquid.

The chemical properties of Sulfan require exceptional care in its handling. Liquid SO_3 and water react with explosive violence to produce H_2SO_4. Thus, when the SO_3 is evaporated in a stream of air, the air must be thoroughly dried; however, if commercial driers capable of lowering the water dew point to -40°F at pressures up to about 8 atm are used, the problem of drying the air can be satisfactorily overcome. Any liquid SO_3 spilled must be neutralized with soda ash (or some other suitable weak base) before it can be flushed away with water. Safety showers must be installed in the handling area for the protection of personnel.

The reactivity of liquid SO_3 also necessitates care in the selection of the materials used for storing and handling. For different components of the handling equipment, the following materials are recommended:

Storage tank—flange-quality steel (ASTM A285 Grade C), assembled in accordance with Part UW of ASME Code for Unfired Pressure Vessels.

Pipe and fittings—Schedule 80 black steel pipe with all-welded construction; stainless steel braided hose or reinforced Teflon hose for flexible assemblies

Valves and pumps—FA-20 alloy and Teflon components.

2. Processing equipment

A flow diagram showing the principal items of equipment needed in a system injecting evaporated SO_3 has been presented by Whitehead.[6] These items include the following:

- System for supplying air to the SO_3 evaporator
    —compressor
    —dryers
    —heater

- Heated storage tank for SO_3

- Evaporator for SO_3, of the steam-heated vertical falling-film type (A surface area of 4 ft^2 is sufficient to vaporize SO_3 at the rate of 150 lb/hr and supply a 250-MW power unit with approximately 20 ppm of conditioning agent.)

- Heated lines leading from the evaporator to the injection manifold.

Although the equipment must be used with the indicated precautions, the injection of SO_3 offers some important process-engineering advantages over the injection of vapors from H_2SO_4. The higher volatility of SO_3 allows lower system operating temperatures and a higher ratio of SO_3 to carrier gas in the injection lines.

C.   Vaporization of H_2SO_4

1.   Thermodynamic considerations

The thermodynamic aspects of the evaporation of concentrated aqueous H_2SO_4 at the usual commercial strength (66°Bé or 93.2% of H_2SO_4 by weight) are far more complex than those of the evaporation of SO_3, as a result of the fact that the H_2SO_4-H_2O binary system departs markedly from ideal behavior at all relevant temperatures. The temperature and relative amounts of acid vapors and carrier gas used for the evaporation of H_2SO_4 must take into account the complex liquid-vapor equilibria in the binary H_2SO_4-H_2O system that prevails at relatively low temperatures (up to about 400°F) and the additional complexities of vapor equilibria involving H_2SO_4, SO_3, H_2O, SO_2, and O_2 that occur at higher temperatures.

One of the types of H_2SO_4 evaporation and injection systems investigated during this program has been referred to as a "low-temperature" system. It is based on evaporation of the acid at temperatures of 400 to 500°F in air of ambient humidity levels. The maximum concentration of acid vapors that can be produced in this range of temperatures is limited by the low volatility of H_2SO_4 in the presence of H_2O vapor at ambient concentrations, for which the value of 1% by volume may be used as a representative concentration (this value corresponds to a relative humidity of about 30% at 75°F). With the vapor-pressure data of Gmitro and Vermeulen,[47] calculations have been made of the maximum concentrations of acid vapors that are theoretically obtainable at temperatures of 400, 450, and 500°F in an injection system with optional total pressures of 1.0 atm and 2.0 atm. The results are listed in Table 46; they show that H_2SO_4 is sufficiently volatile to

permit the injection lines to carry concentrations in the range from 12,000 to 146,000 ppm (1.2 to 14.6% by volume). In practice, however, a concentration much lower than the range theoretically obtainable—about 5,000 to 10,000 ppm—is maintained in the injection lines. Other data included in Table 46 are the calculated percentages of the total concentrations of SO_3 and H_2SO_4 that would be present as SO_3 under the conditions of evaporation described; these show that only 4 to 15% of the conditioning agent would be transported through the injection lines as SO_3, whereas 85 to 96% would be transported as H_2SO_4.

Table 46. Concentrations of Acid Vapors Theoretically
Obtained from 66°Bé H_2SO_4 in a Low-
Temperature Injection System

Total pressure, atm	Temp, °F	Total concn of SO_3 and H_2SO_4, ppm	Percentage of total vapors present as SO_3
1.0	400	26,000	6
	450	66,000	10
	500	146,000	15
2.0	400	12,000	4
	450	32,000	9
	500	72,000	14

One practical reason for maintaining a concentration of only about 5000 ppm in the injection lines of the low-temperature H_2SO_4 system is that this concentration can be conveniently maintained with a co-current evaporator, in which 66°Bé H_2SO_4 is admitted at a temperature of about 75°F and preheated air is admitted at a temperature of about 490°F. We have estimated that the heat required to evaporate the acid and reach a final vapor temperature of about 450°F is approximately 590 Btu/lb. Air supplied at 490°F in sufficient quantity to produce a final temperature of 450°F will provide the heat required to produce a vapor concentration of 5000 ppm in the exit stream from the evaporator.

The second type of H_2SO_4 evaporation and injection system investigated during this program has been referred to as a "high-temperature" system. In the installation of this type at Cherokee Unit 3, the hot gases produced by burning natural gas are used to evaporate 66°Bé H_2SO_4 in the range of temperature from 700 to 1000°F. There is no problem in reaching very high vapor concentrations in this range, in view of the fact that the maximum boiling point of the H_2SO_4-H_2O liquid system is exceeded.[47] It is of interest that in the range from 700 to 1000°F the vapor of

H_2SO_4 is almost completely dissociated as SO_3 and H_2O.[47] It must be kept in mind, however, that on injection through pipes that are not adequately insulated, the mixture of SO_3 and H_2O may be cooled sufficiently to condense as an H_2SO_4-H_2O liquid aerosol. The possibility of condensation in insufficiently insulated injection lines is enhanced by the high concentration of H_2O vapor produced during the evaporation process.

Another interesting aspect of the high-temperature H_2SO_4 system is that partial decomposition of SO_3 to SO_2 and O_2 may occur if the concentration of O_2 is not maintained at a high level. Table 47 shows calculated values of the percentage of the SO_3 that may be converted to SO_2 at various assumed O_2 concentrations (the calculations were based on the data cited by Hedley[49]).

Table 47. Percentages of SO_3 Vapor Converted to SO_2 Vapor at Equilibrium at Various Temperatures and O_2 Concentrations in a High-Temperature Injection Process

Temp, °F	Concn of O_2, %					
	0.1	1.0	2.0	3.0	10.0	20.0
700	3	1	1	1	0	0
800	11	4	3	3	1	1
900	78	11	8	7	4	3
1000	53	26	20	17	10	7
1100	73	46	38	33	22	16
1200	86	66	58	53	38	30
1300	92	79	73	69	55	46
1400	96	88	84	81	70	62

2. Process-engineering considerations

The principal items of equipment now used in both low- and high-temperature H_2SO_4 injection systems are listed below:

Acid-storage tank

Metering pump

Acid vaporizer

Heat-traced ducts and injection manifolds.

In the high-temperature system, a low pressure blower producing an absolute pressure of about 1.1 atm (2 psig) is used to supply air for burning the natural gas and pumping the effluent vapors from the acid vaporizer to the injection ports. In the low-temperature system, a turbo-compressor is used to supply air at an absolute pressure of about 1.8 atm (12 psig) to an air heater and then to the acid vaporizer.

D.   Economic Aspects of SO_3 and H_2SO_4 Conditioning

1.   Capital costs

Information secured from the Public Service Company of Colorado about the installed costs of various conditioning systems is summarized in Table 48. This information is for the conditioning systems now in use, which differ in some respects from those in use during our field studies at the Arapahoe and Cherokee Stations. The cost data differ substantially in several respects from the anticipated costs that were previously published in the literature.[30]

Table 48. Capital Costs of SO_3 and H_2SO_4
Conditioning Systems Installed for the
Public Service Company of Colorado

| Type of System | Power units supplied | | Total power rating, MW, of units supplied | Approximate total cost, $ |
	Number	Power rating, MW		
SO_3 evaporation	2	110		
	1	360	580	500,000
H_2SO_4 evaporation, high temperature	1	165	165	300,000
H_2SO_4 evaporation, low temperature	2	44	198	400,000
	1	110		
H_2SO_4 evaporation, low temperature	1	44	44	250,000

An independent estimate was made of the capital cost of an SO_3 conditioning system to supply a single 250-MW power plant, as shown in Table 49. The procedure used for estimating costs was based on ratios of total costs to direct equipment costs for process plants built in the last 15 years at an existing plant site.[51] Application of the same procedure to the SO_3 system

installed to serve the multiple power units operated by the
Public Service Company resulted in an estimate of about
$450,000—only 10% below the actual cost, showing the validity
of estimation procedure.

Table 49. Estimate of Capital Cost of an SO_3 Injection
System for a Single 250-MW Power Unit

Item	Cost[a]	
Storage tank, horizontal, 10,000 gal	$ 7,350	
Custom-fabricated SO_3 evaporator, stainless steel, ~4-ft² area	12,000	
Compressor, ~100 ft³/min (standard conditions), 125-psig delivery pressure (includes compressor, motor, air filter, after cooler, and receiver)	14,000	
Rotameters	420	
Metering pump	940	
Injection manifold	2,000	
Air heater	600	
Air dryer	1,800	
Steam boiler	5,000	
SUBTOTAL - equipment on the F.O.B. basis	$ 44,000	
Equipment freight cost, estimated as 5% of F.O.B. cost	2,200	
SUBTOTAL - equipment as delivered	$ 46,200	(E)
Installation cost, estimated as 47% of (E)	21,700	
Building, assumed to be 1000 ft² at $20/ft²	20,000	
Piping, estimated as 66% of (E)	30,500	
Instrumentation, estimated as 18% of (E)	8,300	
Electrical auxiliaries, estimated as 11% of (E)	5,100	
SUBTOTAL, DIRECT COSTS	$131,800	(D)
Engineering & supervision costs, estimated as 33% of (E)	15,200	(I)
SUBTOTAL, DIRECT + INDIRECT COSTS	$147,000	(D,I)
Contractor's fee, estimated as 5% of (D,I)	7,400	
Contintency, estimated as 10% of (D,I)	14,700	
TOTAL	$169,100	

a. Listed on the F.O.B. basis for each item of equipment on
the first nine items.

The estimate given in Table 49 is considerably higher than recent estimates given in the literature. Darby and Heinrich[52] estimated the cost of an SO_3 injection system for a 300-MW plant to be about $63,000 in 1966, while Coutaller and Richard[53] gave an estimate for a 250-MW unit of $60,000 to $80,000 in 1967 (both of these estimates pertained to European installations). Actual experience of the Public Service Company and our independent estimate indicate that the literature estimates are unrealistically low for the installation of facilities in the United States under current economic conditions, certainly with the extent of instrumentation used in the Public Service Company plants.

An estimate of the cost of an SO_3 system to supply a single 500-MW power plant was made by use of the power rule, given by

$$C_n = C(R)^x$$

where C_n and C are the capital costs for expanded and existing facilities, respectively; R is the ratio of expanded to existing capacity; and x is a size exponent, which has been found to range between 0.6 and 0.7 in many instances.[51] With an assumed value of 0.6 for the size exponent, the estimated cost for a 500-MW power unit is $256,000. It is assumed, on the other hand, that for a 125-MW unit, the cost would be the same as estimated for a 250-MW unit, because this cost ($169,000) appears to be about the minimum that can be reached in constructing an SO_3 injection facility. It is to be noted that the estimated cost of $256,000 to supply a single-unit 500-MW plant is much lower than the actual cost of $500,000 experienced by the Public Service Company in supplying a three-unit plant of only slight greater total capacity, 580 MW. The discrepancy in costs is the result of the multiplicity of facilities such as evaporators that must be provided in a system designed to supply two or more power units either concurrently or independently as the need arises.

Estimates of the costs of H_2SO_4 injection systems to supply individual power units of various sizes were based on the data supplied by the Public Service Company. For a high-temperature system supplying a 165-MW plant, the actual cost of $300,000 was used as the base figure. For a low-temperature H_2SO_4 system supplying a single 198-MW plant, it was assumed that the cost would be about $325,000* rather than the $400,000 spent to supply

---

* This figure was reached arbitrarily by averaging the costs of facilities supplying three units of 198-MW total capacity and one unit of 44-MW capacity. The figure should clearly be less than that experienced for the 198-MW capacity, in view of the triplicate components needed to supply three units concurrently or independently.

three units with the same total production capacity. For the two
types of systems serving power units of 250- and 500-MW capaci-
ties, capital costs were calculated from the power rule. For a
power plant of 125 MW, it was assumed that the cost of a high-
temperature system would be $300,000, the same as that experienced
for a 165-MW plant. For a plant of the same capacity, the cost of
a low-temperature system was estimated by averaging the actual
cost of a 44-MW installation ($250,000) with the estimated cost
for a single-unit 198-MW plant ($325,000), thus obtaining $288,000.

The above-described estimates of capital costs of SO_3 and
H_2SO_4 injection systems for single-unit 125-, 250-, and 500-MW
power stations are summarized in Table 50.

Table 50. Estimates of Capital Costs of Conditioning Systems
for Various Sizes of Single-Unit Power Stations

Type of conditioning plant	Size of unit, MW		
	125	250	500
Stabilized SO_3 evaporation	$169,000	$169,000	$256,000
High-temperature H_2SO_4 evaporation	300,000	387,000	583,000
Low-temperature H_2SO_4 evaporation	288,000	375,000	570,000

2.   Operating costs

A listing of energy and raw materials costs for three types
of injection systems serving a single 250-MW power unit is given
in Table 51. These costs are based on 7,000 operating hours at
full load per year (load factor = 0.80). The following assump-
tions were used in obtaining the estimates.

- Liquid SO_3 cost = $65/ton + $21/ton shipping
  (400 miles)

- 66°Bé H_2SO_4 cost = $31.60/ton + $10/ton shipping
  (less than 200 miles)*

- Steam cost for SO_3 evaporation = $1/1,000 lb

---

*   It is assumed that H_2SO_4 will, as a rule, be more accessible
     than SO_3.

Table 51. Itemized Energy and Raw Material Costs for
Three Types of Acid Injection Systems for
a Single 250-MW Power Unit

Type of system	Item		Injected concn, 10 ppm		Injected concn, 20 ppm	
			$/Year	Mils/kWh	$/Year	Mils/kWh
SO₃ evaporation	SO₃		22,000	0.0126	44,000	0.0252
	Steam		125	0.0001	250	0.0001
	Power:	Trace heating	110	0.0001	110	0.0001
		Air compression	780	0.0004	780	0.0004
		Air heating	1,100	0.0006	1,100	0.0006
	Total		24,100	0.0138	46,200	0.0264
H₂SO₄ evaporation, high temperature	H₂SO₄		12,900	0.0074	25,800	0.0147
	Natural gas		1,100	0.0006	2,200	0.0013
	Power:	Trace heating	800	0.0005	800	0.0005
		Air blower	200	0.0001	400	0.0002
	Total		15,000	0.0086	29,200	0.0167
H₂SO₄ evaporation, low temperature	H₂SO₄		12,900	0.0074	25,800	0.0147
	Power:	Trace heating	500	0.0003	500	0.0003
		Air compression	2,350	0.0013	4,700	0.0027
		Air heating	5,750	0.0033	11,500	0.0066
	Total		21,500	0.0123	42,500	0.0243

- Natural gas cost = \$0.40/1,000 ft^3 (standard conditions)

- Low-pressure blower and turbo-compressor efficiency = 40%

- High-pressure compressor efficiency = 50%

Airflow was assumed to be held constant with the SO_3 evaporation system, but a variation in airflow proportional to changes in injection level was assumed for the acid evaporation processes. A low pressure blower (2 psig) provides the air used for combustion in the high-temperature evaporation system; the required flow rate of air was based on an assumed concentration of SO_3 of 15,000 to 16,000 ppm in the injection line. A turbo-blower (10-15 psig) was assumed to provide the air in the low-temperature system; the flow rate of air was based on an assumed concentration of 5,500 ppm in the injection line.

The cost calculations indicate that the low-temperature acid evaporation system has total energy and raw material costs approximately equal to those of the SO_3 evaporation system, but they show that the high-temperature acid evaporation system is considerably cheaper to operate because of lower air-compression requirements and the lower cost of natural gas as a heat source. Other system designs are possible, of course, such as an indirectly fired gas heater in a low-temperature acid evaporation system.

3.   Total annual operating costs

Tables 52, 53, and 54 were prepared to provide a comparison of the total estimated annual costs of operating the three types of injection processes for power units of 125-, 250-, and 500-MW generating capacities. Annual capital charges were computed as 14.5% of the estimated capital costs in Table 51, and annual labor maintenance costs were estimated at 5% of the capital costs.[51] Comparisons of the three systems indicate that the two H_2SO_4 evaporation processes would require similar expenditures but that the SO_3 process would be considerably less expensive. The lower annual operating cost of the SO_3 system arises primarily from the lower capital investment required. If H_2SO_4 evaporation systems should be installed in greater numbers than they have been to date, the cost per installation could decline and become comparable to that of the SO_3 system.

In view of present-day requirements for reduced stack particulate emissions, the differences in costs of the three conditioning processes are not likely to be significant. Instead of cost, the reliability and past performance of a system offered by a given vendor should be the primary basis upon which a conditioning process is selected.

Table 52. Estimated Total Operating Costs of Acid Injection
in a Single 125-MW Power Unit

Type of system	Item	Injected concn 10 ppm		Injected concn, 20 ppm	
		$/Year	Mils/kWh	$/Year	Mils/kWh
SO₃ evaporation	SO₃	11,000	0.0126	22,000	0.0252
	Steam	60	0.0001	125	0.0001
	Electricity	1,000	0.0011	1,000	0.0011
	Capital charges	24,500	0.0280	24,500	0.0280
	Labor and maintenance	8,500	0.0097	8,500	0.0097
	Total	45,100	0.0515	56,100	0.0641
H₂SO₄ evaporation, high temperature	H₂SO₄	6,450	0.0074	12,900	0.0147
	Fuel	550	0.0006	1,100	0.0013
	Electricity	900	0.0010	1,000	0.0011
	Capital charges	43,500	0.0497	43,500	0.0497
	Labor and maintenance	15,000	0.0172	15,000	0.0172
	Total	66,400	0.0759	73,500	0.0840
H₂SO₄ evaporation, low temperature	H₂SO₄	6,450	0.0074	12,900	0.0147
	Electricity	4,600	0.0053	8,600	0.0098
	Capital charges	41,800	0.0478	41,800	0.0478
	Labor and maintenance	14,400	0.0165	14,400	0.0165
	Total	67,200	0.0770	77,700	0.0888

Table 53. Estimated Total Operating Costs of Acid Injection
in a Single 250-MW Power Unit

Type of system	Item	Injected concn, 10 ppm		Injected concn, 20 ppm	
		$/Year	Mils/kWh	$/Year	Mils/kWh
SO_3 evaporation	SO_3	22,000	0.0126	44,000	0.0252
	Steam	125	0.0001	250	0.0001
	Electricity	2,000	0.0011	2,000	0.0011
	Capital charges	24,500	0.0140	24,500	0.0140
	Labor and maintenance	8,500	0.0049	8,500	0.0049
	Total	57,100	0.0327	79,200	0.0453
H_2SO_4 evaporation, high temperature	H_2SO_4	12,900	0.0074	25,800	0.0147
	Fuel	1,100	0.0006	2,200	0.0013
	Electricity	1,000	0.0006	1,200	0.0007
	Capital charges	56,100	0.0321	56,100	0.0321
	Labor and maintenance	19,400	0.0111	19,400	0.0111
	Total	90,500	0.0518	104,700	0.0599
H_2SO_4 evaporation, low temperature	H_2SO_4	12,900	0.0074	25,800	0.0147
	Electricity	8,600	0.0049	16,700	0.0096
	Capital charges	54,400	0.0311	54,400	0.0311
	Labor and maintenance	18,800	0.0108	18,800	0.0108
	Total	94,700	0.0542	115,700	0.0662

Table 54. Estimated Total Operating Costs of Acid Injection
in a Single 500-MW Power Unit

Type of system	Item	Injected concn, 10 ppm		Injected concn, 20 ppm	
		$/Year	Mils/kWh	$/Year	Mils/kWh
SO_3 evaporation	SO_3	44,000	0.0126	88,000	0.0252
	Steam	250	0.0001	500	0.0001
	Electricity	3,800	0.0011	3,800	0.0011
	Capital charges	37,100	0.0106	37,100	0.0106
	Labor and maintenance	12,800	0.0037	12,800	0.0037
	Total	98,000	0.0281	142,200	0.0407
H_2SO_4 evaporation, high temperature	H_2SO_4	25,800	0.0074	51,600	0.0147
	Fuel	2,200	0.0006	4,400	0.0013
	Electricity	1,200	0.0003	1,600	0.0005
	Capital charges	84,600	0.0242	84,600	0.0242
	Labor and maintenance	29,200	0.0083	29,200	0.0083
	Total	143,000	0.0408	171,400	0.0490
H_2SO_4 evaporation, low temperature	H_2SO_4	25,800	0.0074	51,600	0.0147
	Electricity	16,700	0.0048	32,900	0.0094
	Capital charges	82,600	0.0236	82,600	0.0236
	Labor and maintenance	28,500	0.0082	28,500	0.0082
	Total	153,600	0.0440	195,600	0.0559

IX. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS*

This investigation has shown conclusively that different systems for injecting SO_3 or H_2SO_4 vapor in the flue gases of a coal-burning power station provide an effective remedy for the high electrical resistivity of fly ash produced from low-sulfur coal basically without regard to such parameters as fly-ash composition, concentration, or particle size. If high resistivity is the major factor limiting the performance of an electrostatic precipitator in the usual range of operating temperatures, injection of SO_3 or H_2SO_4 should markedly improve the efficiency of the precipitator for removing fly ash from the flue gases.

In the area of economics, this investigation has produced estimates of the costs of operating SO_3 and H_2SO_4 injection systems. Depending upon the type of injection system selected and the concentration of conditioning agent needed, the estimated annual operating costs are in the range from 0.03 to 0.07 mils/kWh in a plant of representative capacity, 250 MW. These costs are to be compared with the current average cost of power production of about 5.0 mils/kWh on a national basis. Again depending upon the plant operating parameters for the alternative methods of alleviating the problem of high resistivity—(1) reduction in the temperature of precipitator operation and (2) installation of a hot-side precipitator (located ahead of the air heater)—the incremental annual costs are in the ranges of (1) 0.03 to 0.05 mils/kWh and (2) 0.07 to 0.09 mils/kWh, respectively,[16] in a 250-MW plant.

Further research needs to be done (1) to establish the effectiveness of NH_3 and related compounds, such as $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, for coping with the high-resistivity problem associated with low-sulfur coal or the low-resistivity problem encountered with high-sulfur coal, (2) to establish the mechanisms by which NH_3 and the related compounds behave as conditioning agents, (3) to estimate the costs to be incurred in the use of these agents, and (4) to keep abreast of new developments in the use of SO_3 and H_2SO_4 conditioning. Continued work on conditioning in these areas will probably be resumed during the latter part of 1972.

---

* A more extended discussion of the technical conclusions from this investigation is given in the Summary of this report (pages xiii through xvii).

X. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Grateful acknowledgment is made of assistance by several utility companies, especially the Public Service Company of Colorado and the Tennessee Valley Authority, in making power-plant facilities available for our use and for supplying some of the experimental and economic data included in this report.

Recognition is also made of the important contributions by various members of the Institute staff in completing the research. The major contributions were made by the following personnel:

Grady B. Nichols, Head of the Particulate Control
    Section

Walter R. Dickson, Supervisor of the Analytical Ser-
   vices Section

John P. Gooch, Research Chemical Engineer

Steven L. Estes, Associate Chemist

David E. Crawford, Associate Chemist

Edward J. Muglach, Engineering Technician

Submitted by:

Edward B. Dismukes, Head
Physical Chemistry Section

Approved by:

Sabert Oglesby, Jr., Director
Engineering Research

Birmingham, Alabama
February, 1972
A839-2504-XIII
(1:7:100:50) pc, gf, mt, cw, cf

REFERENCES

1. H. J. White, "Industrial Electrostatic Precipitation",
   Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., Reading,
   Massachusetts, 1963, pp. 295-300.

2. Southern Research Institute, "A Manual of Electrostatic
   Precipitator Technology, Part I—Fundamentals and Part II—
   Application Areas", prepared for the National Air Pollution
   Control Administration under Contract CPA 22-69-73,
   August 25, 1970.

3. H. G. T. Busby and K. Darby, J. Inst. Fuel 36, 184 (1963).

4. D. T. Berube, "Low Temperature Solution to High Resistivity
   Ash Problems", Proceedings of the Electrostatic Precipita-
   tor Symposium, Birmingham, Alabama, February 23-25, 1971
   (sponsored by the Division of Process Control Engineering,
   Air Pollution Control Office, Environmental Protection
   Agency).

5. R. G. Ramsdell, Jr., "High Temperature Solution" (paper pre-
   sented in the symposium cited in Reference 4).

6. C. Whitehead, "Gas Conditioning" (paper presented in the
   symposium cited in Reference 4).

7. J. F. Chittum, unpublished work on fly-ash surface condi-
   tioning at Western Precipitation Company (1942-1945)
   (reviewed in Reference 1).

8. H. J. White, Air Repair 3 (11), 79 (1953).

9. K. S. Watson and K. J. Blecher, Air Water Pollut. Int. J.
   10, 573 (1966).

10. W. A. Baxter, J. Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc. 18, 817 (1968).

11. J. T. Reese and J. Greco, J. Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc. 18,
    523 (1968).

12. J. Dalmon and D. Tidy, "Effect of Ammonium Sulfate and
    Related Compounds on the Performance of an Experimental
    Electrostatic Precipitator", Laboratory Note RD/L/N 112/68,
    Central Electricity Research Laboratories (Great Britain).

13. G. F. Goodeve, A. S. Eastman, and A. Dooley, Trans. Faraday
    Soc. 30, 1127 (1934).

14. M. Bodenstein and M. Katayama, _Z. Electrochem._ 15, 244 (1909).

15. L. Cohen and R. W. Dickinson, _J. Sci. Instrum._ 40, 72 (1963).

16. Southern Research Institute, Final Report on Contract CPA 70-166 ("An Electrostatic Precipitator Performance Model") to Division of Control Systems, Office of Air Programs, Environmental Protection Agency (in preparation).

17. G. K. Lee, F. D. Friedrick, and E. R. Mitchell, _J. Inst. Fuel_ 40, 397-405 (1967).

18. J. S. Fritz and S. S. Yamamura, _Anal. Chem._ 27, 1461 (1955).

19. R. S. Fielder and C. H. Morgan, _Anal. Chim. Acta_ 23, 538 (1960).

20. A. A. Berk and L. R. Burdick, "A Method of Test for SO_2 and SO_3 in Flue Gases", U. S. Bur. Mines, Rep. Invest. 1950, No. 4618.

21. J. F. Smith, J. A. Hultz, and A. A. Orning, "Sampling and Analysis of Flue Gas for Oxides of Sulfur and Nitrogen", U. S. Bur. Mines, Rep. Invest. 1968, No. 7108.

22. D. Flint, _J. Soc. Chem. Ind._ 67, 2 (1948).

23. Shell Development Company, Emeryville Method Series 4516/59a, Emeryville, California (1959).

24. E. S. Lisle and J. D. Sensenbaugh, _Combustion_ 36 (1), 12 (1965).

25. H. Goksoyer and K. Ross, _J. Inst. Fuel_ 35, 177 (1962).

26. H. N. S. Schafer, _Anal. Chem._ 39, 1719 (1967).

27. S. T. Cuffe, R. W. Gerstle, A. A. Orning, and C. H. Schwartz, _J. Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc._ 14, 353 (1964).

28. R. W. Gerstle, S. T. Cuffe, A. A. Orning, and C. H. Schwartz, _J. Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc._ 15, 59 (1965).

29. J. Griswold, "Fuels, Combustion and Furnaces", McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1946, pp. 105-110.

30. _Electrical World_ 173 (26), 22 (1970).

31. F. E. Walker and F. E. Hartner, "Forms of Sulfur in U. S. Coals", U. S. Bur. Mines, Information Circular 8301, 1966.

32. W. A. Selvig and F. H. Gibson, "Analysis of Ash from U. S. Coals", U. S. Bur. Mines, Bulletin 567, 1956.

33. H. J. White, Air Repair 5 (1), 37 (1955).

34. IGCI/ABMA Joint Technical Committee Survey, "Criteria for the Application of Dust Collectors to Coal-Fired Boilers", April 1965.

35. W. W. Duecker and J. R. West, "The Manufacture of Sulfuric Acid", Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York, 1959, p. 397.

36. W. F. Hillebrand and G. E. F. Lundell, "Applied Inorganic Analysis", John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1953, pp. 860-865.

37. F. J. Welcher, "The Analytical Uses of Ethylenediamine Tetraacetic Acid", D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1958, pp. 225-226.

38. Environmental Protection Agency, "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", Cincinnati, 1971.

39. "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste-water", American Public Health Association, New York, 1965, pp. 239-240.

40. I. M. Kolthoff and E. B. Sandell, "Textbook of Inorganic Analysis", The Macmillan Company, New York, 1948.

41. Tennessee Valley Authority, "Sulfur Oxide Removal from Power Plant Stack Gas—Sorption by Limestone or Lime Dry Process", prepared for the National Center for Air Pollution Control, 1968.

42. T. R. Ingraham and P. Marier, J. Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc. 21, 347 (1971).

43. R. R. Irani and C. F. Callis, "Particle Size:Measurement, Interpretation, and Application", John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1963, pp. 25-33.

44. P. Müller, Chem.-Ing.-Tech. 31, 345 (1959).

45. C. H. Greenewalt, Ind. Eng. Chem. 17, 522 (1925).

46. E. Abel, *J. Phys. Chem.* <u>50</u>, 260 (1946).

47. J. J. Gmitro and T. Vermeulen, "Vapor-Liquid Equilibria for Aqueous Sulfuric Acid", University of California Radiation Laboratory Report 10886, Berkeley, California, June 24, 1963.

48. P. N. Snowdon and M. A. Ryan, *J. Inst. Fuel* <u>42</u>, 188 (1969).

49. A. B. Hedley in "The Mechanism of Corrosion by Fuel Impurities" (H. R. Johnson and D. J. Littler, editors), Butterworths, London, 1963, p. 204.

50. J. W. Laxton and P. J. Jackson, *J. Inst. Fuel* <u>37</u>, 12 (1964).

51. M. S. Peters and K. D. Timmerhaus, "Plant Design and Economics for Chemical Engineers", Second Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1968.

52. K. Darby and D. O. Heinrich, *Staub* <u>26</u> (11), 12 (1966).

53. J. Coutaller and C. Richard, "Improvement of Electrostatic Precipitators", Pollution Atmospherique, January-March 1967.