

RESEARCH TRIANGLE INSTITUTE

STATUS REPORT #9

STABILITY OF PARTS-PER-MILLION ORGANIC CYLINDER GASES AND RESULTS OF SOURCE TEST ANALYSIS AUDITS

by

G. B. Howe
J. R. Albritton
C. K. Sokol
R. K. M. Jayanty
C. E. Decker
Environmental Measurements

Center for Environmental Measurements Research Triangle Institute Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709

EPA Contract No.: 68-02-4125

D. J. von Lehmden
Quality Assurance Division
Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory
Office of Research and Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

September 1987

STATUS REPORT #9

STABILITY OF PARTS-PER-MILLION ORGANIC CYLINDER GASES AND RESULTS OF SOURCE TEST ANALYSIS AUDITS

by

G. B. Howe
J. R. Albritton
C. K. Sokol
R. K. M. Jayanty
C. E. Decker

Center for Environmental Measurements Research Triangle Institute Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709

EPA Contract No.: 68-02-4125

D. J. von Lehmden Quality Assurance Division Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory
Office of Research and Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

September 1987

NOTICE

This document has been reviewed in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency policy and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

FOREWORD

Source measurement and monitoring efforts are designed to anticipate potential environmental problems, to support regulatory actions by developing data bases needed in developing regulations and to provide means of monitoring compliance with regulations. The Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, has the responsibility for implementation of agency-wide Quality Assurance programs for air pollution measurement systems; and supplying technical support to other groups in the Agency including the Office of Air and Radiation, the Office of Toxic Substances, and the Office of Enforcement.

The need for reliable standards for auditing and documenting the accuracy of source emission measurement of gaseous hydrocarbons, halocarbons, and sulfur compounds is well established. The Quality Assurance Division of EPA's Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory has responded to this need through the development of organic compounds in the parts-per-million (PPM) levels in compressed gas cylinders. The primary objectives of this ongoing project are (1) to provide accurate gas mixtures to EPA, state/local agencies, or their contractors for performance audits to assess the accuracy of source emission measurements in certain organic chemical manufacturing industries, (2) to verify the vendor's certified analysis of the gas mixtures, (3) to determine the stability of gas mixtures with time, and (4) to develop new audit materials as requested by EPA. This report describes the current status of this project. Included in the report are (1) a description of the experimental procedures used for the analyses of gas mixtures, (2) a description of the audit procedure, and (3) currently available audit results and stability data.

John C. Puzak
Deputy Director
Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

ABSTRACT

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has evaluated the suitability of 45 gaseous compounds including hydrocarbons, halocarbons, oxygenated, and sulfurous species for use as standards for measuring stationary source emissions. The main objectives of this on-going project are (1) to provide gas mixtures to EPA, state/local agencies, or their contractors, as performance audit standards to assess the accuracy of measuring source emissions from certain organic chemical manufacturing industries, (2) to corroborate the vendor's certified analysis of the gas mixtures by in-house analysis, (3) to determine the stability of the gas mixtures with time by in-house analysis, and (4) to explore the feasibility of new audit materials as requested by EPA.

Thus far, 31 mixtures have been used to conduct 214 different audits. The results of these audits, a description of the experimental procedures used for analyses, and available stability data are presented in this status report.

Compound stabilities have been determined through multiple analyses of the cylinders containing them. Stability data for up to 8 years is available for many compounds and over 5 years for most compounds. Compounds that are unstable and not suitable for use as an audit material are identified.

CONTENTS

NOTICE	ii
FOREWORD i	i i
ABSTRACT	iv
	٧i
1. INTRODUCTION	1
Objectives	1
Audit Materials Currently Available	1
2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	5
Instrumentation	5
Calibration	5
Quality Control	6
3. PERFORMANCE AUDITS	8
4. STABILITY STUDIES	31
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	33
REFERENCES	34
ATTACHMENT 1 - STABILITY DATA AS OF SEPTEMBER 1987	35

TABLES

Numb	<u>per</u>	Page
1	Audit Materials Currently Available	3
2	Pressure-Dilution Quality Control Results	7
3	Hydrogen Sulfide Analysis Quality Control Results	7
4	Summary of Performance Audit Results	9

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

OBJECTIVES

The need for reliable standards for auditing source emission measurement of gaseous hydrocarbons, halocarbons, oxygenated, and sulfurous compounds is well established. The Research Triangle Institute (RTI), under contract to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), has responded to this need through the development of cylinder gases for 39 compounds. The primary objectives of this ongoing project are (1) to provide accurate gas mixtures to EPA, state/local agencies, or their contractors for performance audits to assess the relative accuracy of source emission measurements in certain organic chemical manufacturing industries, (2) to examine the vendor's certified analysis of the gas mixtures by in-house analysis, (3) to determine the stability of the gas mixtures with time by in-house analysis, and (4) to develop new audit materials, as requested by EPA.

This report describes the current status of this project. Included are (1) a description of the experimental procedures used for initial cylinder analyses and collection of stability data, (2) a description of the audit procedure, and (3) currently available audit results and stability data. Complete details of the study with statistical analyses for ten (10) halocarbons and eight (8) other organics are presented in two journal publications (1,2).

AUDIT MATERIALS CURRENTLY AVAILABLE

Currently, 45 gaseous compounds have been investigated as audit materials. Six compounds have been found to be unstable in cylinders and not suitable as audit materials. The other 39 gaseous compounds in compressed gas cylinders are suitable for conducting performance audits during source testing. The compounds were selected based on the anticipated needs of the Emission Measurement Branch, Office of Air Quality, Planning and Standards, U.S. EPA. Table 1 lists the 45 compounds, the concentration ranges and the number of cylinders containing these compounds currently in the repository, and the cylinder construction material. In Table 1, the audit materials fall into two concentration

ranges. The low concentration range, between 5 and 50 parts-per-million (ppm), simulates possible emission standard levels. The high concentration range, between 50 and 700 ppm, simulates expected source emission levels. The balance gas for all gas mixtures is pure nitrogen.

TABLE 1. AUDIT MATERIALS CURRENTLY AVAILABLE

_	Low	Concentration 1	Range	High Concentration Range			
Compound C	No. of ylinders	Concentration Range (ppm)	Cylinder Construction*	No. of Cylinders	Concentration Range (ppm)	Cylinder Construction*	
Benzene	7	5 - 20	S	10	60 - 400	S	
Ethylene	3	5 - 20	A1	4	300 - 700	Al	
			•	6	3000 - 20,000	Al	
Propylene	3	5 - 20	Al.	3	300 - 700	Al·	
Methane/Ethane	-	**************************************	~	4	1000 - 9000(M), 200 - 800(E)	Al	
Propane	3	5 - 20	A1	3	300 - 700	· Al	
				4	1000 - 20,000	Al	
Toluene	4	5 - 20	Al	4	100 - 700	LS	
Hydrogen Sulfide	6	5 - 50	Al	7 .	100 - 700	Al	
Meta-Xylene	2	5 - 20	S	2	300 - 700	LS	
Methyl Acetate	2	5 - 20	S	2	300 - 700	S	
Chloroform	4	5 - 20	S	1	300 - 700	S	
Carbonyl Sulfide	1	5 - 20	Al	4	100 - 400	Al	
Methyl Mercaptan	3	3 - 10	Al	-		-	
Hexane	2	20 - 90	A1	-		-	
1,2-Dichloroethane	4 .	5 - 20	Al	4	100 - 600	Al	
Cyclohexane	· _		-	1	80 - 200	Al	
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	4	5 - 50	Al	-		-	
Methanol	1	30 - 80	Al	-		-	
1,2-Dichloropropane	2	3 - 20	Al	2	300 - 700	Al	
Trichloroethylene	2	5 - 20	Al	2	100 - 600	Al.	
l,l-Dichlo ro- ethylene	2	5 - 20	Al	2	100 - 600	Al	
**1,2-Dibromo- ethylene	-		-	-		-	
Perchloro- ethylene	2	5 - 20	S	2	300 - 700	LS	
Vinyl Chloride	8	5 - 30	S	-		-	
1,3-Butadiene	3	5 - 60	Al	- '		-	
Acrylonitrile	3	5 - 20	Al	1	300 - 500	Al	
**Aniline	-		-	-		_	
Methyl Isobutyl Ket	one l	5 - 20	Al	-		-	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

(Continued)

^{**}Cylinders are no longer available; the compounds were found to be unstable in the cylinders.

TABLE 1. AUDIT MATERIALS CURRENTLY AVAILABLE

	Low	Concentration Range High Co		igh Concentration	Concentration Range	
Compound No Cyl	o, of inders	Concentration Range (ppm)	Cylinder Construction*	No, of Cylinders	Concentration Range (ppm)	Cylinder Construction*
**Para-dichlorobenzene	-	_	_	-		-
**Ethylamine	-		-	- `		•
**Formaldehyde	-		-	-		-
Methylene Chloride	4	1 - 20	Al	-		-
Carbon Tetrachloride	4	5 - 20	Al	-	.· 	-
Freon 113	1	5 - 20	Al.	-		-
Methyl Chloroform	1	5 - 20	Al	-		· <u>-</u>
Ethylene Oxide	5 .	5 - 20	Al	-	· ———	-
Propylene Oxide	1	5 - 20	Al	1	75 - 200	Al
Allyl Chloride	1	5 - 20	S	1	75 - 200	S
Acrolein	1	5 - 20	Al	1	100 - 300	Al
Chlorobenzene	3	5 - 20	Al.	• -		-
Carbon Disulfide	-	 .	-	1	75 - 200	Al
**Cyclohexanone	-		-	-		-
***EPA Method 25 Mixture	e 6	100 - 200	Al	4	750 - 2000	Al
Ethylene Dibromide	2	5 - 20	S	2	50 - 300	S
Tetrachloroethane	1	5 - 20	S	-		-

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; IS = Low Pressure Steel.

^{**}Cylinders are no longer available; the compounds were found to be unstable in the cylinders.

The gas mixture contains an aliphatic hydrocarbon, an aromatic hydrocarbon, and carbon dioxide in nitrogen. Concentrations shown are in ppmC.

SECTION 2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Analysis of the cylinder gases is required to corroborate the concentrations reported by the company which prepared the gas mixtures and also to measure concentration changes with time, that is, estimate stability of the compounds.

INSTRUMENTATION

Analyses are presently performed with (1) a Perkin-Elmer Sigma 4 Gas Chromatograph with a flame ionization detector (FID), and (2) a Tracor 560 Gas Chromatograph with a flame photometric detector. The flame photometric detector has principally been used for measurement of the sulfur-containing species. Gaseous samples are injected onto the appropriate column by means of Valco gas sampling valves constructed of Hastalloy C (high nickel content and low adsorptive properties). These valves are equipped with interchangeable sample loops to allow the injection of variable volumes of gas.

The gas chromatographic parameters used in the measurement of individual compounds and any problems with the analysis are listed in Attachment 1.

CALIBRATION

Calibration of the gas chromatographs is accomplished using appropriate calibration standards comprised of known concentrations of gases in air or nitrogen. The source or method of preparation of calibration standards varies depending on the gas involved.

National Bureau of Standards, Standard Reference Materials (NBS-SRMs) of methane and propane in nitrogen or air were used for the calibration of the GC for the measurement of methane, ethane, propane, ethylene, and propylene audit materials. An NBS-SRM of benzene in nitrogen was used for calibration of the GC for the measurement of benzene audit cylinder concentrations and an NBS-SRM of perchloroethylene in nitrogen was used for the measurement of perchloroethylene audit cylinder concentrations.

A second method for preparation of calibration standards involves the use of gravimetrically calibrated permeation tubes. For example, the calibration gases for hydrogen sulfide and ethylene oxide have been generated in this manner. The permeation tube is placed in a temperature-controlled chamber and nitrogen is passed over the permeation tube at a known flow rate. The resultant gaseous mixture is further diluted, if necessary, using additional nitrogen in a glass dilution bulb. The final mixture is collected in a gas sampling syringe and analyzed by GC-FID. The permeation rates of the tubes are determined by periodic weight loss measurements.

A third method for developing a calibration standard is the pressure-dilution technique. A known volume of the compound, either gas or liquid, is injected into an evacuated glass bulb or stainless steel sphere of known volume. (The volume of the bulb or sphere is determined gravimetrically.) The bulb or sphere is then pressurized with a balance gas of choice. If a pure liquid is injected, total vaporization is assumed and the concentration is calculated by using the ideal gas law. Additional dilutions are also made, if necessary, by partially evacuating to a known pressure and pressurizing with a balance gas to a known pressure.

With each of these approaches, multipoint calibration curves are prepared each time a cylinder mixture is analyzed.

OUALITY CONTROL

Replicate injections of both audit cylinder gases and calibration standards are performed until no trends in the detector response are observed and the relative standard deviation of replicate injections is less than 1 percent.

As a quality control check on the accuracy of calibration mixtures prepared by the pressure-dilution technique, NBS-SRMs of benzene in nitrogen or propane in nitrogen were analyzed by GC-FID against selected compound calibration standards. The prepared calibration mixture was used to establish the detector response on an area per ppm-carbon basis. This calibration was then used to determine the ppm-carbon concentration of the analyzed NBS-SRM. Concentrations were converted to ppm by volume before comparison with certified values. The

results of these analyses are shown in Table 2. Validation of the pressure-dilution technique for these five compounds provides a high level of confidence in the results for other compounds since the same technique and preparation system were used.

TABLE 2. PRESSURE-DILUTION QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS

	NBS-SRM Analysis						
Calibration Standard	Compound	NBS Conc., ppm	RTI Measured Conc., ppm	Percenta Difference			
Toluene	Benzene	9.78	10.1	3.3			
M-Xylene	Benzene	9.78	9.79	0.1			
Hexane	Propane	98.5	100	1.5			
Cyclohexane	Propane	98.5	100	1.5			
1.3-Butadiene	Propane	98.5	101	2.5			

a RTI Conc. - NBS Conc.

NBS Conc. X100

Two different cylinders containing hydrogen sulfide in nitrogen were received from the National Bureau of Standards and analyzed along with the audit cylinders as a quality control check. The analysis results are shown in Table 3.

TABLE 3. HYDROGEN SULFIDE ANALYSIS QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS

Cylinder Number	NBS Certified H ₂ S	RTI Measured	Percent
	Conc., ppm	Conc., ppm	Difference
1 2	5.14	4.84	-5.8
	15.4	15.2	-1.3

SECTION 3 PERFORMANCE AUDITS

RTI supplies cylinder gases for audits upon request from the EPA, state or local agencies or contractors. A contractor must be performing source emission tests at the request of EPA or a state or local agency in order to qualify for the performance audit. When a request is received, the contents of the cylinders are analyzed, the tank pressures are measured and the cylinders are shipped by overland carrier. Tank regulators are also provided when requested. A letter is included with the cylinders which provides general instructions for performance of the audit. The audit material concentration and cylinder pressure are provided to the requesting agency audit coordinator.

To date, 214 individual audits have been initiated, and 194 are complete. The audit results currently available are presented in Table 4. The results of the audits show that most auditee reported concentrations agree within 15 percent of the audit material concentrations measured by RTI, although the difference for some compounds is sometimes quite substantial. This indicates the importance of the performance audit program and the need for reliable quality assurance calibration standards by the laboratories being audited.

TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RESULTS

		TABLE 4. S	UMMARY OF PERFORMANCE AL			
Audit				RII audit	Client audit	Status of
No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**
1	A	Ethylene oxide	Ethylene in N ₂	3,240	-22.5	В
		production	Ethylene in N_2^2	21,200	-20.0	
2	A	Ethy ene oxide	Methane/ethane in N ₂	1,710Me/220Et	+9/-20	В
		production	Methane/ethane in N ₂	8,130Me/597Et	+9/-1.00	
3	A	Ethylene oxide	Methane/ethane in N ₂	1,021Me/315Et		В
		production	Methane/ethane in N ₂	6,207Me/773Et	+23.5/-4.50	
4	A	Acetone	Benzene in N ₂	79.0	-19.0	В
		production	Benzene in N ₂	374.0	-11.0	
5	A	Maleic anhydride	Benzene in N ₂	138	-9.40	В
		production	Benzene in N ₂	300	+4.70	
6	A	Ethylene oxide	Ethylene in N ₂	5,440	-27.0	В
		production	Ethylene in N ₂	18,900	-33.0	
7	В	Maleic anhydride	Benzene in N ₂	80.0	+2.30	ъ В
		production	Benzene in N ₂	355	+27.5	
8	С	Maleic anhydride	Benzene in N ₂	101	+12.9	В
		production	Benzene in N ₂	387	+14.5	•
9	D	Ethyl benzene	Benzene in N ₂	71.0	-2.80	В
		styrene	Benzene in N ₂	229	-3.90	•
		manufacturer			٠.	
10	E	Gasoline bulk	Benzene in N ₂	62.0	+3.80	В
		teminal	Benzene in N ₂	80.0	+3.40	
11	F	Gasoline transfer	Benzene in N ₂	142	-3.50	В
		teminal	Benzene in N ₂	294	. +3.20	
12	F	Gasoline transfer	Benzene in N ₂	268	-11.8	В
		teminal	Benzene in N ₂	343	-1.00	•

		TABLE 4. SUMMARY	OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RES)	
Audit				RTI Audit	Client Audit	Status of
No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**
.13	F	Gasoline transfer	Benzene in N ₂	129	+4.70	В
		teminal	Benzene in N ₂	318	+8.70	
14	F.	Gasoline transfer terminal	Benzene in N ₂	10.7	+2.60	В
15	. с	Nitrobenzene	Benzene in N ₂	9.73	-4.60	В
		manufacturing	Benzene in N ₂	269	-2.60	
16	F	Gasoline bulk	Benzene in N ₂	8.20	-2.30	В
		teminal	Benzene in N ₂	140	-1.80	
17a	F	Gasoline bulk	Benzene in N ₂	9.50	+10.4	В
	-	teminal	Benzene in N ₂	127	-2.80	
17b	F	Gasoline bulk	Benzene in N ₂	9.50	+12.5	В
		terminal	Benzene in N ₂	127	-6.30	
18	G	Coke oven	Hydrogen sulfide in N ₂	7.05	-24.8	В
			Hydrogen sulfide in N ₂	9.73	-22.9	
19	F	Gasoline bulk	Benzene in N ₂	12.0	-0.80	В
		teminal	Benzene in N ₂	218	+7.30	
20	F	Gasoline bulk	Benzene in N ₂	7.65	+16.3	В
		terminal	Benzene in N ₂	396	+1.50	
21	F	Linear alkyl-	Benzene in N ₂	98.0	+5.70	В
		benzene manu-	Benzene in N ₂	294	+6.80	
		facturing	Benzene in N ₂	331	+4.50	
22	ŗ	Gasoline bulk	Benzene in N ₂	9.85	-4.10	В
	·	teminal	Benzene in N ₂	81.0	-6.80	
23	F	Gasoline bulk	Benzene in N ₂	10.2	+4.60	В
		terminal	Benzene in N ₂	61.0	-9.50	

-	

Audit				RTI audit	Client audit	Status of
No	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**
24	н	Industrial	Toluene in N ₂	14.8	-1.90	В
		surface coating	Propylene in N ₂	474	+0.20	
		process	Propane in N2	20.3	-2.30	
		•	Methane/ethane in N ₂	1,640Me/195et	-13.5(as meth	name)
25	С	Acrylic acid and	Propane in N ₂	10.1	+8.60	В
		ester Production	Propane in N ₂	710	+5.60	
26	С	Acrylic acid and	Propane in N ₂	5.1	+17.6	B
		ester Production	Propane in N2	607	-3.60	
27	E	Maleic anhydride	Benzene in N ₂	10.2	NA.	·
		-	Benzene in N ₂	218	NA.	
28A	A	Carbon adsorber	Toluene in N ₂	8.55	-6.40	В
		•	Toluene in N ₂	405	-1.00	
28B	A	Carbon adsorber	Toluene in N2	8,55	+4.10	В
			Toluene in N ₂	405	NA .	
28C	A	Carbon adsorber	Toluene in N ₂	8.55	-8.80	В
			Toluene in N ₂	405	NA.	
29	EPA, QAD	Instrument	Ethylene in N ₂	4.75	+4.00	В
		check-out	Ethylene in N ₂	19.6	+3.10	
			Ethylene in N ₂	312	-0.80 :	
			Ethylene in N ₂	3020	+5.30	
			Ethylene in N ₂	20400	-8.60	

Audit			OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RE	RTI Audit	Client Audit	Status o
No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit*
30	EPA, QAD	Instrument	Benzene in N ₂	8.20	+0.30	В
		check-out	Benzene in N ₂	78.0	-0.90	
			Benzene in N ₂	133	-4.00	
			Benzene in N ₂	348	-0.90	
31	EPA, QAD	Instrument	Toluene in N ₂	405	+3.20	В
		check-out	Toluene in N ₂	579	+1.00	
32	EPA, QAD	Instrument	Methyl acetate in N ₂	6.80	-2.60	В
		check-out	Methyl acetate in N2	17.2	+1.70	
			Methyl acetate in N2	326	-1.50	
			Methyl acetate in N ₂	455	-1.30	
33	EPA, QAD	Instrument	Propylene in N ₂	4.90	-22.4	В
		check-out	Propylene in N ₂	19.7	-7.80	
			Propylene in N2	300	+1.00	
			Propylene in N ₂	685	-1.80	
34	EPA, QAD	Instrument	Propane in N ₂	14.6	-0.70	В
		check-out	Propane in N ₂	303	+7.60	
			Propane in N ₂	439	+6.20	
35a	I	Vegetable oil	Hexane in N ₂	82.2	+8.10	В
•		plant	Hexane in N ₂	1980	+3.00	
35ь	I	Vegetable oil	Hexane in N ₂	82.2	-1.20	В
مرد	L	plant	Hexane in N ₂	1980	-1.30	Д
		prair	revorce in in	1700	1.50	,
36	A	Carbon adsorber	Toluene in N ₂	8,20	-2.40	В

-		٠
•		
L	٠	3

TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RESULTS (Continued) Audit Client audit RTI audit Status of % bias (Avg.)* No. Client*** Audit material conc. (ppm) audit** Industry 37 +0.80 В Benzene in N₂ 12.1 В Coke oven +2.90 Benzene in N₂ 105 Benzene in N2 38 9.90 +5.70 D В Ethylbenzene/ +3.60 77.9 styrene Benzene in N₂ 345 +1.50 Benzene in No 39 8.20 В Benzene in N₂ -2.60В Coke oven -8.70 Benzene in N₂ 85.4 Byproduct 40 D Benzene in N₂ 10.9 +20.0 Coke oven 147 +6.80 Benzene in N₂ Byproduct 41 Н Benzene in N₂ 10.8 \mathbf{C} Paint spray NA m-Xylene in N₂ 16.4 NA 42 Н 93.4 -11.1 Cyclohexane in N₂ Tire В manufacturing 43 7.54 +0.10 В Coke oven В Benzene in N₂ Benzene in N₂ 225 +0.40 44 8.20 -3.40D Ethylbenzene/ Benzene in No В 74.5 -0.20 styrene Benzene in N₂ Propane in N₂ -3.00 10.6 -3.20 45 F Industrial 316 В Propane in Air surface coating -2.00 Propane in Air 450 46 EPA, QAD Tire Propane in Air 15.0 NA C manufacturing Propane in Air 316 NA

Audit		IAMES 4. SOUTHIN	Y OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RES	RTI audit	Client audit	Status of
w.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**
47	EPA, QAD	Tire	Propane in air	20.8	-18.4	В
	, ,	manufacturing	Propane in air	453	+13.4	
48	· D	Dimethyl terephthalate	Meta-xylene in N ₂	487	-2.10	В
		production				•
49	EPA, QAD	Instrument	Toluene in N ₂	61.5	NA.	С
		check-out	Methanol in N ₂	55.2	N A	
50	EPA, QAD	Tire oven	Propane in air	4.90	-48.8	В
		manufacturing	Propane in air	613 718	+16.9 +16.8	
•			Propane in air	/10	710.0	
51	EPA, QAD	Instrument	Propane in air	20.8	+20.0	В
		check-out	Propane in air	316	-9.20	
52	D	Styrene	Benzene in N2	106	-4.90	В
		manufacturing	Benzene in N ₂	358.	-3.70	
			1,3-Butadiene in N ₂	20.9	+23,8	
53	I	Veg. oil manufacturing	Cyclohexane in N ₂	99.0	-3.50	В .
54	M	Research	Chloroform in N ₂	16.5	NA .	С
			Chloroform in N_2^2	531	NA ·	

•

	_
L	л
-	

.

•

Audit		TABLE 4. SUMMARY	OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RE	SULTS (Continued) RTI audit	Client audit	Status of
No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit*
56	К	Reactivity of vent activated charcoal	Chloroform in N ₂	8.11	NA.	С
57	EPA, QAD	Instrument check-out	Hydrogen sulfide in N ₂	16.2	NA.	С
58	С	Coil coating	Propane in Air Propane in Air	5.20 472	NA -8.40	В
59	L	Maleic anhydride	Benzene in N ₂ Benzene in N ₂	9.45 341	NA NA	С
60	М	Research	Audit not initiated	_	_	
61	EPA (State of Comm.)	Maleic anhydride	Benzene in N ₂	133	NA.	С
62	0	uaryot ruc	Meta-xylene in N ₂ Hexane in N ₂	760 1990	NA NA	С
63	М	Paper and pulp	Methyl mercaptan in N ₂	4.44	NA.	С
64	P	Research	Benzene in N ₂ Methyl ethyl ketone in N ₂	13.4 44.5	na Na :	С
65	E	Coke oven Byproduct Recovery	Benzene in N ₂ Benzene in N ₂	7.93 132	-2.90 +1.39	В

TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RESULTS (Continued) Audit Client audit Status of RTI audit Client*** % bias (Avg.)* audit** conc. (ppm) No. Industry Audit material 66 D Rubber Benzene in N₂ 12.0 +14.2 В manufacturing 10.2 0 Benzene in No +6.40 Benzene in N2 100 +6.00 Benzene in N₂ 335 +1.80 79.8 Hexane in N₂ -7.50 3080 Hexane in N₂ -3.209.97 Propane in Air -10.8 Propane in Air 314 67 E Coke oven Benzene in N₂ 8.29 -2.20В -2.50Benzene in N₂ 75.7 Byproduct Recovery 68 EPA, Region II Vinyl chloride Vinyl chloride in No 5.74 NA C manufacturing Vinyl chloride in No 28.3 NA . 69 328 -7.00 EPA, QAD Propylene in N₂ Instrument В 725 -8.30 Check Propylene in N2 Vinyl chloride in No 7.50 С 70 EPA, Region I Vinyl chloride NA manufacturing 71 E 14.9 -0.40В Degreasing Trichloroethylene in N2 Trichloroethylene in No -8.70 566 vent NA . 72 3080 EPA, QAD Instrument Hexane in N₂ С check-out 16.2 Hydrogen sulfide in No В 73 -7.50 EPA, QAD Combustion 8.22 efficiency Methyl mercaptan in N2 -8.90 test

-	
	•

Audit No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	RTI audit conc. (ppm)	Client audit % bias (Avg.)*	Status of audit**
74	. E	Vinyl chloride manufacturing	l,2-Dichloroethane in N ₂ l,2-Dichloroethane in N ₂	9.30 462	+6.00 +3.70	. В
75	N	Coil coating	Propane in air Propane in air	10.0 309	NA NA	С
76	F	Coil coating	Propane in air Propane in air	10.0 309	NA NA	С
77	D	Maleic anhydride	Benzene in N ₂ Benzene in N ₂	9.46 66.9	-6.60 -11.7	В
78	EPA, Region VII	•	Benzene in N ₂ Hexane in N ₂	120 30.2	NA NA	С
79	D	Maleic anhydride	Benzene in N ₂ Benzene in N ₂	9.46 128	-4.60 +12.5	В
, 80	F	Plywood/veneer drying	Propylene in N ₂ Propylene in N ₂ Toluene in N ₂	14.8 328 430	-4.70 +4.40 -0.80	В
81	P	Plywood/veneer drying	Propylene in N ₂ Propylene in N ₂	20.3 479	+18.2 -22.5	В
82	J	Polypropylene manufacturing	Toluene in N ₂ Propylene in N ₂ Propane in N ₂	487 9.63 19.7	+32.5 -0.35 +0.84	В
83	I	Coke oven	Propane in N ₂ Hydrogen sulfide in N ₂ Hydrogen sulfide in N ₂	296 437 647	+0,45 +4.90 -16.5	В

Audit				RTI audit	Client audit	Status of
w.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**
84	J	Compliance	Benzene in N ₂	7.45	23.0	В
٠.	J	testing	Hexane in N ₂	72.6	0.6	_
		-	Toluene in N ₂	15.0	-8.7	
			Methyl mercaptan in N ₂	5.40	NA.	
85	I	Steel	Hydrogen sulfide in No	647	5.0	В
		manufacturing	Carbonyl sulfide in N2	9.08	1.0	
86	86 I	Oil shale	Hydrogen sulfide in N ₂	437	-3.0	В
			Carbonyl sulfide in N2	117	-4.6	
			Methyl mercaptan in N ₂	8.42	-13.3	
87	Q	Maleic Anhy-	Benzene in N ₂	55.7	+528.4	В
		dride Production	Hexane in N ₂	324	+20.5	
88	R	Refining	Hydrogen sulfide in No	17.5	21.1	В
30			Hydrogen sulfide in N ₂	437	22.0	2
89	Air Quality Bureau, New Mexico	Refining	Hydrogen sulfide in N ₂	647	NA.	С
90	S	Oil shale	Carbonyl sulfide in N ₂	117	-29.1	В
	•		Methyl mercaptan in N ₂	8.42	-14.8	
			Hydrogen sulfide in N2	437	-3.65 :	
91	F	Compliance	Trichlorethylene in N ₂	94.6	NA.	В
		testing &	Propane in N ₂	10.0	NA.	
		demonstration	Propane in N ₂	309	-54.0	
			Propane in N2	73.8	8.7	

Audit				RTI audit	Client audit	Status of
No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**
92	EPA, Region I	Research Method Development	Toluene in N ₂	347	NA.	С
93	D	Method Validation	Hydrogen sulfide in N2	8.32	N/A	c
94	USEPA, Region I	Research- Method Development	Vinyl chloride in N ₂ l,l-dichloroethylene in N ₂ Trichloroethylene in N ₂ Perchloroethylene in N ₂	8,39 14,2 13,5 7,94	-20.2 +10.6 +55.6 +48.1	В
95	E .	Acrylonitrile Production	Acrylonitrile in N ₂ Acrylonitrile in N ₂	413 10.8	NA 6.94	В
96	USEPA, Region I	Resource Re- covery Garbage Burning Emis- sions	Propane in N ₂ Propane in N ₂	10.0 296	-35.0 -17.2	В
97	Tewksbury State Hospital, MA	Research- Method Develop- ment	Vinyl chloride in N ₂ l,l-dichloroethylene in N ₂ Trichloroethylene in N ₂ Tetrachloroethylene in N ₂	8.39 14.2 13.5 7.94	+57 -9.9 -4.4 +48.6	В
98	T	Plywood Veneer	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Method 25 gas in N ₂	102 as C 1940 as C	na Na	С
99	U	Hazardous Materials Incineration	Trichloroethylene in N ₂ Perchloroethylene in N ₂ Chloroform in N ₂	8.91 7.94 16.5	na Na Na	С

٦	•
-	•

: ·

udit Vo.	Client***	Industry		RII audit conc. (ppm)	Client audit % bias (Avg.)*	Status of audit**	
100	USEPA, Region I	Research Method	Chlorobenzene in N ₂	9.20	NA.	С	
	•	Development	Benzene in N ₂	128	N / A		
			Hexane in N ₂	30.2	NA old bulb) NA		,
			Meta-xylene in N ₂		m bulb)		
101	U	Hazardous	Trichloroethylene in N2	13.5	NA.	С	
		Materials Incineration	Perchloroethylene in N ₂	14.5	NA.		
102	Al legheny	Solvent Coating	Toluene in N2	8.51	NA.	C	
	County	-	Methyl ethyl ketone in N2	38.7	NA		•
			Acrylonitrile in N2	11.6	NA.		
			Methyl isobutyl ketone in N	l ₂ 9.49	NA.		
103	I	Hazardous Waste Incin- eration	Vinylidiene chloride in N ₂	14.2	12.3	В	
104	I	Hazardous Waste Incin- eration	Vinylidiene chloride in N ₂	9.00	10.0	B	
105	USEPA, Region VI	Plastics	Vinyl chloride in N ₂	8.41	NA.	С	
106	USEPA, Region VI	Vinyl Chloride Manufacturing	Vinyl chloride in N ₂	8.44	NA.	С	
107	V	Instrument	Methyl chloroform in N ₂	10.2	+7.8	В	
	·	Check	Perchloroethylene in N ₂	7.94	+15.9	**	
108	Q	Gasoline Termi	Propane in air	1.18%	-4.2	В	
109	P	Chemicals	Toluene in N ₂	16.4	- 17.3	В	
		Manufacturing	Benzene in N ₂	7.3	NA.		•
			1,2-dichloroethane in N ₂	8.1	N A		•
110	MD Dept. of Health	Instrument	Benzene in N ₂	9.64	-6.6	В	
	•	Check	Perchloroethylene in N ₂	14.5	+60.1		

_		_
ſ	`	3
1		٦.
ь	_	

Audit				RIT audit	Client audit	Status of
No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**
111	V	Instrument	Chloroform in N2	16.5	+3	В
		Check	Carbon tetrachloride in No	10.5	+33.0	
			Trichloroethylene in N ₂	13.5	+4.0	
			Freon 113 in N ₂	9.76	0	
112	J	Research, Method	Propane in No	628	+0.6	В
	-	Development	Toluene in N ₂	347	+2.0	
113	GA State EPA	Plastics	Vinyl chloride in N ₂	8.44	+10.2	В
114	Sacremento County,	Instrument	Ethylene oxide in No	10.1	NA.	С
	California	Check	2			
115	W	Instrument	Benzene in N ₂	389	-35.7	В
		Check	Chlorobenzene in N ₂	9.20	-43.1	
116	V	Instrument	Methanol in N ₂	55.2	N A	С
		Check	2			
117	X	Carbon Adsorp	Toluene in N ₂	16.1	NA.	`c
		tion	Methyl ethyl ketone in N ₂	38.7	NA.	- ,
			Methylene chloride in N ₂	9.67	NA.	
118	F .	Surface Coating	Method 25 gas in N ₂	96.8 as C	+127.3	В
119	K	Instrument Check	Freon 113 in N ₂	9.76	NA.	С
120	Z	Solvent Coating	Toluene in N ₂	8,51	+38.8	В
		•	Toluene in N ₂	558	-3.1	
121	K	Instrument Check	Perchloroethylene in N ₂	7.94	NA ·	С
122	LA State EPA	Plastic	Benzene in N ₂	9.64	-30,5	В
		Manufacturing	Vinyl chloride in No	8.44	191.5	
		· ·	1,2-dichloroethane in N ₂	13.8	-37.0	•
			Carbon tetrachloride in N2		-40.0	
123	С	Paper Manufac-	Vinyl chloride in N ₂	6.60	NA.	C
	-	turing	,	••••	•••	•

.

ſ	١	3
İ		3

Audit No.	Client***	Industry	OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RESUL Audit material	RII audit conc. (ppm)	Client audit % bias (Avg.)*	Status of audit**
124	LA State EPA	Instrument Check	Toluene in N_2 Methylene chloride in N_2	8.51 9.67	-34.2 96.8	В
125	Y	Surface Coating	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Method 25 gas in N ₂	107 as C 775 as C	80.4 39.5	В
126	I	Oil Shale	Carbonyl sulfide in N ₂ Carbonyl sulfide in N ₂ Hydrogen sulfide Methyl mercaptan	10.7 116 627 8.42	NA NA NA NA	С
127	F	Surface Coating	Method 25 gas in N ₂	775 as C	-26.5, -18.7	В
128	Y	Surface Coating	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Method 25 gas in N ₂	205 as C 1040 as C	21.5 18.9	В
129	J	Research, Method Development	Methyl ethyl ketone in N_2	38.7	NA	C .
130	Region VII	Instrument Check	Acrylonitrile in N ₂	11.6	-29.3	В
131A	South Coast Air Quality Manage- ment District	Hazardous Waste Landfill	Benzene in N ₂ Methane in N ₂	134 6460	-28 +0.6	В
131B	South Coast Air Quality Manage- ment District	Hazardous Waste Landfill	Methane in N ₂	6460	-2.5	В .
132	Maryland Dept. of Health	Instrument Check	Benzene in N ₂ Trichloroethylene in N ₂ Hexane in N ₂ Methyl isobutyl ketone in 1,2-Dichloroethane in N ₂	7.9 9.4 32.8 N ₂ 8.4 13.9	-11.1 -31.6 -18.5 +15.4 -2.1	В
133	State of Cali- fornia Air Resources Board	Quality Assur- ance Audit of Standards	Methylene chloride in N ₂ Chloroform in N ₂ Perchloroethylene in N ₂ Carbon tetrachloride in N ₂ Trichloroethylene in N ₂ Freon-113 in N ₂	9.2 4.6 10.5 9.6 14.0 11.0	+7.6 +2.2 +14.3 +1.0 +7.1 -9.1	В

Г	v
L	S

Audit	Client***		OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RESUL	RTI audit	Client audit	Status of
No.	Client	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**
134	AA ,	Wood Stove Emissions	Benzene in N ₂	310	+5.2	В
135	FF	Polyester Resin Production	Method 25 gas in N ₂	103.8 as C	+28.1	В
136	J	Instrument Check	Benzene in N ₂ Benzene in N ₂	10.3 121	+12.2 +6.2	В
137	I	Coil Coating	Method 25 gas in N ₂	195 as C	-18.3	В
138	F	Compliance Testing	Methanol in N ₂	48.8	+10.7	В
139	ВВ	Coil Coating	Methyl ethyl ketone in N_2 Method 25 gas in N_2	40.4 1060 as C	-5.9 -4.0	В
140	EPA Region II	Metal Refining	Benzene in N ₂	376	-11.2	В
141	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Instrument Check	Benzene in N ₂ Trichloroethylene in N ₂ Methyl ethyl ketone in N ₂	7.9 14.0 40.4	+5.1 -4.3 +31.2	В
142	EPA Region II	Methane Recovery Plant	Benzene in N ₂	7.8	-5.1	В
143	State of Dela- ware	Plastic Manufacturing	Vinyl chloride in N ₂ Vinyl chloride in N ₂	7.75 20.3	-11.0 -10.3	В
144	EE	Plastic Manufacturing	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Propane in air	96.1 as C 10.9	-24.5 -4.6	В
145	DD	Paper Coating	Toluene in N ₂	546	-8.6	В
146	State of Dela- ware	Instrument Check	Benzene in N ₂	7.9	-20.6	. В
147	œ	Gasoline Terminal	Propane in N ₂	2052	NA.	c
148	F	Vinyl Coating	Propane in N ₂	308	-1.0	В
149	ВВ	Plastic Manufacturing	Methyl ethyl ketone in N_2 Method 25 gas in N_2	40.4 764 as C	-25 -9.3	В

		٠.
•	•	•

Audit				RTI audit	Client audit	Status of
% .	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**
150	EPA Region I	Wire Coating	Method 25 gas in N ₂	187 as C	+52.0	. B
151	EPA Region II	Instrument	Benzene in N ₂	9.90	-5.4	В
		Check	Method 25 gas in N ₂	1930 as C	-71.0	
	•	•	Method 25 gas in N2	95.8 as C	-73.1 +220	
			Methyl ethyl ketone in N ₂	40.4	+ 220	
152	EPA Region II	Chemical	Benzene in N ₂	96.0	-5. 5	В
		Feedstock	Benzene in N ₂	10.2	+3.0	
		Formulation	1,1-Dichloroethylene in N2		NA NA	
			1,1-Dichloroethylene in N	479	NA.	
153	Commonwealth of	Paper	Propane in N ₂	10.9	N A	В
	Massachusetts	Coating	Propane in N2	607	+3.1	•
154	J	Instrument	1,2-Dichloroethane in No	14.1	-11.3	В
	•	Check	Trichloroethylene in N ₂	9.40	-1.1	
			Perchloroethylene in N2	13.3	-2.3	
155	EPA Region I	Paper Coating	Toluene in N2	184	NA.	С
156	EPA Region II	Wire Coating	Method 25 gas in N ₂	1020 as C	-48.2	В
157	EPA Region I	Wire Coating	Hexane in N ₂	88.2	NA.	· C
158	Al legheny	Instrument	Benzene in N ₂	11.9	-2.5	В
	County	Check	Toluene in N2	8.70	NA.	
			Trichloroethylene in N ₂	14.0	NA.	
			Perchloroethylene in N ₂	6.88	-93.0	
			Propane in N ₂	10.9	NA NA	
			Hydrogen Sulfide in N ₂	30,5	NA :	
159	Minnesota Pollu-	Instrument Check	Benzene in N ₂	10.3	-37	В
	tion Control Agency		Toluene in N ₂	18.9	-30	
160	J	Methylene	Methylene Chloride in No	10.4	-4.0	В
		Chloride	Methylene Chloride in N2	6.01	-3.7	•

•

.

Audit No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	RTI audit conc. (ppm)	Client audit % bias (Avg.)*	Status of audit**
161	Florida Dept. of Health, Welfare and Bioenviron- mental Services	Printing Operation	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Method 25 gas in N ₂	1930 as C 187 as C	+16 +55	В
162	Texas Air Control Board	Instrument Check	Benzene in N ₂ Acrylonitrile in N ₂	97.3 424	+2.8 -33	В
163	Pennsylvania Dept. of Environ- mental Resources	Printing Press	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Method 25 gas in N ₂	1093 as C 99.2 as C	-11 +69	В
164	œ	Printing Operation	Method 25 gas in N ₂	196 as C	N A	С
165	EPA Region I	Paper Coating	Toluene in N ₂ Toluene in N ₂	618 368	+36.9 +11.4	В
166	Texas Air Control Board	Instrument Check	Method 25 gas in N ₂	99.6 as C	+5.7	В
167	W	Plastics Manufacturing	Vinyl Chloride in N ₂ Vinyl Chloride in N ₂	8.15 20.6	+0.6 +0.5	В
168	J .	Instrument Check	Benzene in N ₂	7.89	-9.6	В
169	N	Solvent Ink Coating Process	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Method 25 gas in N ₂	196 as C 1093 as C	+30.6 +13.4	В
170	W	Surface Coating Instrument 1 Instrument 2	Method 25 gas in N ₂	99.2 as C,	+7 +150	В
		Instrument 1 Instrument 2	Method 25 gas in N ₂	806 as C	+5 +21	•
171	J	Methylene Chloride Manufacturing	Methylene Chloride in N ₂ Methylene Chloride in N ₂	1.13 · 10.4	+22 +4	В
172	N	Solvent Coating	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Method 25 gas in N ₂	196 as C 806 as C	+25 +3	В

Andit			OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RESUL		Audit RTT audit Client audit Status of							
No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**						
173	нн	Steam Stripping	l,2-Dichloroethane in N2	422	+71	В						
		11 0	Vinyl Chloride in N ₂	7.75	-36							
			Methylene Chloride in N2	6.01	+45							
174	EPA/ASRL	Lab #1, Inst. #1	Benzene in N ₂	7.89	+0.6	В						
		-	Toluene in No	10.2	-14.4							
			1,3-Butadienē in No	13.4	-9.2							
			Meta-Xylene in N ₂	11.1	-10.8							
		Lab #1, Inst. #2	Benzene in N ₂	7.89	-3.2	В						
		•	Toluene in N2	10.2	-8.6							
			1,3-Butadiene in N2	13.4	-10.8							
		Meta-Xylene in N ₂	11.1	-9 .2								
		Lab #2, Inst. #1	Benzene in N2	7.89	+1	В						
			Toluene in N ₂	10.2	-1							
			1,3-Butadiene in N ₂	13.4	0							
			Meta-Xylene in N ₂	11.1	+6							
		Lab #2, Inst. #2	Benzene in N ₂	7.89	+5	В						
			Toluene in N2	10.2	-8							
			1,3-Butadiene in N ₂	13.4	+1							
			Meta-Xylene in N ₂	11.1	+10							
175	II	Instrument Check	Method 25 gas in N ₂	806 as C	+24	В						
176	L	Synthetic Rubber	Chloroform in N2	9.08	+7	В						
		Manufacturing	Carbon Tetrachloride in N	2 5.88	+28							
			Carbon Tetrachloride in N	5 18.1	+21.							
			Chloroform in N ₂	22.1	-1 ·							
177	L	Synthetic Rubber	1,3-Butadiene in N ₂	52.9	-6	В						
		Manufacturing	1,3-Butadiene in N ₂	32.3	-4							
178	MM	Asphalt Plant	Method 25 gas in N ₂	99.6 as C	NA	С						
		-	Method 25 gas in N_2	806 as C	NA.	С						

\sim	
~ 1	r
~	,

Audit		TABLE 4. SUMMARY	OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RESUL	RTI audit	Client audit	Status of
No.	Client	kkk Industry	Audit material	conc. (ppm)	% bias (Avg.)*	audit**
179	U	Plastics Manufacture	Hexane in N ₂	2139	-2	В
180	нн	Steam Stripping Process	1,2-Dichloroethane in N ₂ 1,1-Dichloroethylene in N	97.2 2 15.2	-90 -44	В
		110000	Vinyl Chloride in N ₂	6.1	-5	
181	ВВ	Lignite Power Plant	Method 25 gas in N ₂	99.2 as C	-33	В
182	Ĺ	Synthetic Rubber Manufacturing Plant	Carbon Tetrachloride in N Carbon Tetrachloride in N		0 -2	В
183	KK.	Can Coating Operation	Method 25 gas in N ₂	1093 as C	-53	В
184	F	Surface Coating Operation;				В
		Instrument #1 Instrument #2	Methyl Ethyl Ketone in N ₂ Methyl Ethyl Ketone in N ₂		+20 +16	
185	V	Instrument Check	Methylene Chloride in N ₂	6.01	-17	В
186	∞	Instrument Check	Method 25 gas in N ₂	1904 as C	+2	В
187	F	Vinyl Coating Manufacturing	Toluene in N ₂	618	+3	В
188	ВВ	Can Coating Operation	Method 25 gas in N_2	99.6 as C	NA	С
189	ВВ	Can Coating Operation	Method 25 gas in N ₂	1968 as C	N A	С
190	KK	Can Coating Operation	Method 25 gas in N ₂	806 as C	+17	В

TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RESULTS (Continued)

Audit No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	RTI audit conc. (ppm)	Client audit % bias (Avg.)*	Status of audit**
191	IJ	Instrument Check	Method 25 gas in N ₂	99.2 as C	-1	В
192	IIL.	Organic Emissions	Hexane in N ₂	92.1	-7	В
	•	From Building	Toluene in N ₂	21.6	+1	
		Materials	Toluene in N ₂	303	0	
			Chlorobenzene in N ₂	14.4	+6	
			Hexane in N ₂	34.8	-10	
193	W	Paint Shop	Method 25 gas in N ₂	1904	+9	В
		Incinerator	Method 25 gas in N2	1093	-14	
			Method 25 gas in N ₂	196	-11	
194	PP	Limestone Quarry	Propane in N ₂	10.1	-21	В
195	QQ	Bulk Gasoline Terminal	Propane in N2	21300	1	В
196	RR	Research Method Development; Instrument #1 Instrument #2	Hydrogen Sulfide in N ₂ Hydrogen Sulfide in N ₂	537 537	-10 -5	B B
197	DD	Manufacturer of Vinyl Wall Coverings	Methyl Ethyl Ketone in N Methyl Isobutyl Ketone in Methanol in N ₂			A
198	MM .	Asphalt Plant	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Method 25 gas in N ₂	1093 as C 196 as C		A
199	J	Method Development Research	1,3-Butadiene in N ₂	52.9	:	A
200	II.	Instrument Check	Ethane in N ₂	300		A
			Ethylene in N ₂	4.72 .		•
			Toluene in N ₂	10.2		
201	BB	Municipal Incinerator	Propane in N ₂	14.6		A

TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT RESULTS (Continued)

Audit No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	RTI audit conc. (ppm)	Client audit % bias (Avg.)*	Status of audit**
202	F .	Paper Coating Process	Methyl Acetate in N ₂	437	NA.	C
203	W	Catalytic Incinerator	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Method 25 gas in N ₂	1968 196		A
204	SS	Coke Oven Emissions	Hydrogen Sulfide in N ₂	787		A .
205	L	Instrument Check	Trichloroethylene in N ₂	101	-1	В
206	J	Instrument Check	Ethylene Oxide in N ₂ Ethylene Oxide in N ₂	0.868 9.09	-1 +10	В
207	TT	Instrument Check	Perchloroethylene in N ₂ Perchloroethylene in N ₂	7.83 551	NA NA	С
208	UU .	Instrument Check	Carbonyl Sulfide in N ₂ Carbonyl Disulfide in N ₂	103.3 105		A
209	w	Municipal Incinerator	Method 25 gas in N ₂	806 as C +9		В
210	Н	Paper Coating Process	Method 25 gas in N ₂	196 as C		В
211	Q	Oven Incinerator Auto Assembly	Method 25 gas in N ₂ Method 25 gas in N ₂	146 as C 1904 as C		A
212	W	Industrial Manufacturing	Benzene in N ₂	395	•	A

	_	_

		TABLE 4.	SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE AUD	IT RESULTS		
Audit No.	Client***	Industry	Audit material	RTI audit conc. (ppm)	Client audit % bias (Avg.)*	Status of audit**
213	DD	Paper Coating Process	Toluene in N ₂	264		A
214	XX	Paint Manufac- turing	Vinyl Chloride in N ₂	8.13		A

NA = Not Analyzed				•
*Client % Bias	_	100	v	Client-Measured Concentration - RTI-Measured Concentration
"Citetic & blas	_	100	Λ	RIT-Measured Concentration

**Status Codes:

A = Audit in progress;

B = Audit complete;

C = Audit complete without analysis of audit materials by client.

****Whenever the auditee is known, an alphabetical letter is shown. Whenever the auditee is unknown or the request is for a self-audit, the name of the agency requesting the audit is shown.

1977 - Audits 1-8 1978 - Audits 9-28 1979 - Audits 29-49 1980 - Audits 50-75 1981 - Audits 76-82 1982 - Audits 83-86 1983 - Audits 87-106 1984 - Audits 107-130 1985 - Audits 131-159 1986 - Audits 160-176 1987 - Audits 177-214

SECTION 4 STABILITY STUDIES

An ideal calibration standard or audit material should be both accurate and stable over its total time of usage. The stabilities of the compounds were studied through periodic reanalyses of the cylinder contents. In this project, the cylinder gas mixtures are initially analyzed upon receipt from the specialty gas vendor to assess the vendor's analysis. The gas mixtures are again analyzed at 1 month, at 2 months, and at one year following the initial analysis to determine the stability of the gas mixtures. In some cases, analyses are not performed on the dates specified above; however, every attempt is made to acquire the data on this schedule. Some cylinders have also been analyzed yearly after completion of the new cylinder stability study, providing additional data for estimating stability.

As the number of analyses per cylinder increases, statistical stability analyses will be performed. The results of the statistical analyses will be presented in a future report. Statistical stability analyses for ten (10) halocarbons and eight (8) other organics have been published in the open literature (1,2).

Absolute accuracies of the cylinder analyses have not been determined due to the lack of NBS standards above one ppm for most of the organic gas mixtures. An examination of the data in Attachment 1 shows that values for individual cylinder analyses usually vary less than 10 percent between analyses for 4-8 analyses over 2-6 years. This variation may indicate changes in cylinder contents (i.e., instability), the imprecision of the measurement process, or both. Possible sources of experimental error that could result in apparent differences in concentrations include (1) the variability of the analytical technique used for analysis, (2) stability of calibration standards, and (3) the accuracy of independently producing calibration standards where NBS-SRMs do not exist. These sources of variability contribute to the net uncertainty of the resulting data presented in Attachment 1. Estimates

of day-to-day measurement uncertainty (repeatability) for all compounds have not been performed. However, the measurement uncertainties for ten halocarbons have been published (2). The measurement uncertainty varied from less than 1 percent to 10 percent depending on the compound, and the major portion of the uncertainty was attributed to the method of preparation of the calibration standard. The uncertainty for the gas chromatographic analysis was determined to be less than 2 percent by multiple injections of the gas during same day analysis.

For the most recent analyses (1987) shown in Attachment 1, the uncertainty in the concentration has been estimated based on consideration of the uncertainties of several parameters associated with the measurement and calibration procedures. The equation below was then used to estimate the total uncertainty based on the individual uncertainties.

Total Uncertainty =
$$2 \binom{n}{x} e_i^2$$

Where:

2 = two standard deviations (95 percent confidence limit)

e_i = individual component error, (percent coefficient of variation)

n = total number of error components.

For analyses involving the use of NBS-SRMs as calibration standards, the total uncertainty is estimated to be 3.5 percent; for analyses using permeation tube based calibration standards - 5.3 percent; and for analyses using pressure/dilution based calibration standards - 5.6 percent.

SECTION 5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Cylinder gases of hydrocarbons, halocarbons, sulfurous, and oxygenated species have been used successfully as audit materials to assess the relative accuracy of gas chromatographic systems used to measure source emissions. Absolute accuracy has not been determined due to the lack of NBS standards for most of the organic gas mixtures above 1 ppm; instead an estimated interlaboratory bias between the audit results and RTI results has been reported for the performance audits conducted during source testing. This interlaboratory bias has been generally less than 15 percent for both low and high concentration gases (Table 4).

Of the 45 gaseous compounds studied or currently under study, 39 have demonstrated sufficient stability in cylinders to be used further as audit materials. Five compounds (ethylamine, paradichlorobenzene, cyclohexanone, 1,2-dibromoethylene, and aniline) are not recommended as audit materials for various reasons as discussed in Attachment 1. One compound (formaldehyde) was ordered but the speciality gas manufacturer indicated that cylinder gases of this compound could not be prepared. Detailed statistical analyses which separate statistical deviations from true concentration changes with time for 18 gaseous compounds have been published in a journal publication; statistical analyses for the remaining compounds will be presented in a future report.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. K. M. Jayanty, C. Parker, C. E. Decker, W. F. Gutknecht, J. E. Knoll and D. J. VonLehmden, "Quality Assurance for Emissions Analysis Systems," <u>Environmental Science and Technology</u>, <u>17</u> (6), 257-263A (1983).
- 2. G. B. Howe, R. K. M. Jayanty, A. V. Rao, W. F. Gutknecht, C. E. Decker and D. J. VonLehmden, "Evaluation of Selected Gaseous Halocarbons for Use in Source Test Performance Audits," <u>J. of Air Pollution Control Association</u>, 33 (9), 823-826 (1983).

ATTACHMENT 1

Stability Data as of September 1987

- 1.0 BENZENE
- 2.0 ETHYLENE
- 3.0 PROPYLENE
- 4.0 METHANE/ETHANE
- 5.0 PROPANE
- 6.0 TOLUENE
- 7.0 HYDROGEN SULFIDE
- 8.0 META-XYLENE
- 9.0 METHYL ACETATE
- 10.0 CHLOROFORM
- 11.0 CARBONYL SULFIDE
- 12.0 METHYL MERCAPTAN
- 13.0 HEXANE
- 14.0 1,2-DICHLOROETHANE
- 15.0 CYCLOHEXANE
- 16.0 METHYL ETHYL KETONE
- 17.0 METHANOL
- 18.0 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE
- 19.0 TRICHLOROETHYLENE
- 20.0 1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE
- 21.0 1,2-DIBROMOETHYLENE
- 22.0 PERCHLOROETHYLENE

- 23.0 VINYL CHLORIDE
- 24.0 1,3-BUTADIENE
- 25.0 ACRYLONITRILE
- 26.0 ANILINE
- 27.0 METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE
- 28.0 CYCLOHEXANONE
- 29.0 PARADICHLOROBENZENE
- 30.0 ETHYLAMINE
- 31.0 FORMALDEHYDE
- 32.0 METHYLENE CHLORIDE
- 33.0 CARBON TETRACHLORIDE
- 34.0 FREON 113
- 35.0 METHYL CHLOROFORM
- 36.0 ETHYLENE OXIDE
- 37.0 PROPYLENE OXIDE
- 38.0 ALLYL CHLORIDE
- 39.0 ACROLEIN
- 40.0 CHLOROBENZENE
- 41.0 CARBON DISULFIDE
- 42.0 METHOD 25 GAS MIXTURE
- 43.0 ETHYLENE DIBROMIDE
- 44.0 1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE

NOTE: PPM concentrations shown in Attachment 1 are expressed on a mole/mole basis, except for EPA Method 25 mixture which is on a mole carbon/mole basis.

1.0 BENZENE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N Cylinder Const		lA Al	lB Al	lC Al	· lD Al	lE S	lf S	lG S
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	65.4	324	200	117	61.0	71.0	80.0
	Date ppm	7/27/77 (79.0)	7/27/77 (374)	7/27/77 (241)	7/27/77 (138)	2/10/78 (62,0)	2/10/78 (71.0)	2/10/78 (80.0)
	Day ppm	136 (74.0)	136 (337)	247 (216)	29 (144)	78 (62.0)	. 232 (73.0)	78 (81.0)
	Day ppm	156 (78.0)	156 (350)	252 (215)	157 (134)	216 (61.0)	385 (75.0)	216 (81.0)
	Day ppm	167 (80.0)	167 (355)	381 (218)	252 (129)	385 (65.0)	586 (74.5)	385 (84 . 0)
	Day ppm	630 (77.9)	402 (331)	**	290 (127)	722 (66 . 9)	882 (75.7)	504 (85.4)
RTI Concentration	Day ppm	**	433 (343)		414 (127)	1337 (55.7)	1292 (65.7)	1292 (74 . 0)
	Day ppm		969 (358)		1247 (132)	1858 (58.7)	2246 (70,0)	2246 (78.3)
	Day · ppm		1274 (348)		2438 (121)	2246 (60,4)	2867 (72.0)	2867 (80.7)
	Day ppm		1491 (324)		3065 (125)	2867 (62.3)	3410 (71.3)	3410 (81.1)
	Day p in		2056 (305)		3609 (127)	3409 (62.5)		
	Day ppm		2438 (319)					
	Day ppm		3065 (326)					
	Day ppm		3716 (338)					

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low-Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 100 degrees Celsius.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

1.0 BENZENE STABILITY STUDY (Continued)

Cylinder No Cylinder Const		IH S	II S	IJ S	lK S	IL S	lM S	ln s
Manufacturer Concentration	bhu	100	139	232	265	296	326	344
	Date ppn	2/8/78 (101)	2/9/78 (139)	2/9/78 (229)	2/9/78 (264)	2/9/78 (295)	2/9/78 (319)	2/9/78 (332)
	Day ppm	65 (102)	49 (139)	233 (237)	49 (261)	49 (292)	49 (316)	49 (327)
	Day ppm	206 (98.0)	50 (142)	386 (243)	· 50 (268)	51 (294)	51 (318)	54 (342)
RTI.	Day ppm	237 (101)	96 (139)	557 (225)	69 (254)	93 (298)	96 (323)	69 (335)
Concentration	Day ppm	434 (105)	127 (140)	**	84 (269)	205 (294)	433 (345)	809 (342)
	Day ppm	773 (106)	205 (138)		**	237 (302)	830 (335)	**
-	. Day	831 (100)	505 (147)			809 (295)	1294 (320)	
	Day ppm	1294 (92.0)	1293 (128)			1294 (290)	2379 (310)	
	Day ppm	2380 (96,0)	1338 (128)			2379 (285)	2868 (316)	
	Dary ppm	2925 (99.2)	2380 (134)			2868 (293)	3412 (332)	
	Day ppm	3412 (101)	2868 (137)			3412 (301)		
	Day ppm		3412 (138)					

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

1.0 BENZENE STABILITY STUDY (Continued)

Cylinder No Cylinder Constr		10 S	1P S	IQ S	lr s	1S S	1T S	1U S
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	389	8.04	9.85	9.89	9.93	10.0	10.9
	Date ppm	2/9/78 (387)	4/21/78 (8.37)	4/21/78 (9.99)	4/21/78 (10.0)	4/21/78 (10.0)	4/21/78 (10.7)	4/21/78 (11.5)
	Day ppm	64 (369)	4 (8.33)	5 (9.88)	4 (10.1)	4 (10.1)	25 (10.2)	4 (10,7)
	Day ppm	205 (396)	25 (8.20)	25 (10.1)	13 (9.73)	26 (9.80)	146 (9.20)	25 (10.8)
	Day ppm	809 (396)	26 (8.34)	332 (9.71)	332 (9,77)	56 (9,50)	362 (9.90)	332 (10.7)
RTI Concentration	Day ppm	1294 (389)	56 (8.19)	**	1018 (9,46)	146 (8.90)	1222 (9.56)	434 (10.9)
Concentration	Day p pm	2247 (376)	134 (7.81)		1270 (9.64)	628 (9.57)	**	759 (10.2)
	Day	2868 (386)	434 (8.21)		2797 (9.75)	738 (9.45)	,	1222 (9.69)
	Day ppm	3412 (395)	766 (7 . 93)		3339 (9,68)	**		2175 (9,90)
	Day ppm		1222 (7.68)					2853 (10.2)
	Day ppm		2175 (7.90)					3339 (10,4)
	Day ppm		2797 (8.20)					
	Day ppm		3339 (8.22)					

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

1.0 BENZENE STABILITY STUDY (Continued)

Cylinder No Cylinder Constr		lv S	lw S	IX S	ly s	lZ S	laa S	lab S
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	12.2	8.09	11.0	11.2	8.09	9.14	270
	Date ppm	4/25/78 (12.7)	5/19/78 (8.10)	5/4/78 (11.2)	5/4/78 (10.9)	5/4/78 (8.20)	5/4/78 (9.10)	7/27/77 (300)
	Day ppm	1 (12.5)	105 (7.70)	132 (10.2)	132 (9.90)	132 (7.04)	132 (7.80)	29 (319)
	Day ppm	21 (12.3)	287 (8.10)	**	302 (10.7)	302 (7,70)	302 (8.50)	157 (312).
RTI Concentration	ppm Day	109 (12.0)	488 (8,20)		393 (10,8)	473 (7.54)	1005 (8,17)	2056 (305)
	Day ppm	358 (12.1)	784 (8.30)		2162 (10.3)	**	1209 (8.42)	**
	Day ppm	755 (12.0)	1194 (7.45)		2840 (10.6)		2162 (8.40)	
	. Day ppm		2147 (7.80)		3326 (10.6)		2784 (8.72)	
	ppm ppm	2171 (11.9)					3326 (8,88)	
	Day ppm	2849 (12.2)	3311 (8.00)					
	ppm ppm	3335 (12.3)					,	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

2.0 ETHENE (ETHYLENE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N		2A	2B	2C	2D	2E	2F	2G
Cylinder Const		A1	Al	Al	Al	Al	Al	Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bhu	2920	3000	4960	4970	19900	19900	4.95
	Date	2/23/78	2/23/78	2/23/78	2/23/78	2/24/78	2/24/78	4/27/78
	ppm	(3070)	(3130)	(5210)	(5200)	(20400)	(20600)	(4.70)
	Day	49	49	48	48	48	48	29
	ppm	(3120)	(3180)	(5340)	(5280)	(20800)	(20800)	(4.70)
RTI	Day	198	198	201	201	200	200	106
Concentration	ppm	(2880)	(2940)	(4660)	(4910)	(20200)	(20300)	(4.85)
Concent ration	Day	809	809	809	809	808	808	741
	ppm	(3200)	(3270)	(5380)	(5340)	(18900)	(19000)	(4.62)
·	Day	2291	2291	2291	2291	2290	2290	1180
	ppm	(3280)	(3350)	(5520)	(5480)	(20600)	(20700)	(5.12)
	Day ppm	2856 (3120)	2856 (3180)	2856 (5310)	2856 (5270)	2855 (20400)	2855 (20600)	2224 (4.50)
	Day	3241	3241	3241	3241	3240	3240	2804
	ppm	(3080)	(3150)	(5240)	(5220)	(20600)	(20800)	(4.72)
	Day ppm							3176 (4.82)

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Durapak n-octane on Porasil C column at 30 degrees Celsius.

2.0 ETHENE (ETHYLENE) STABILITY STUDY (Continued)

Cylinder No		2H	2I	2J	2K	2L	2M	2N
Cylinder Constr		Al	Al	Al	Al	Al	Al	Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bbur	10.0	15.0	19.9	300	448	603	701
	Date	4/27/78	4/28/78	4/28/78	4/28/78	4/28/78	4/28/78	4/28/78
	ppm	(9.70)	(14.4)	(19.2)	(306)	(468)	(629)	(740)
	Day	29	28	28	33	33	34	34
	ppm	(9.60)	(14.4)	(19.3)	(319)	(493)	(646)	(749)
RTI	Day ppm	106 (9.90)	104 (14.9)	104 (20.3)	105 (312)	104 (473)	104 (636)	·104 (737)
Concentration	Day	740	739	739	728	740	740	740
	ppm	(8.40)	(18.0)	(21.5)	(300)	(457)	(606)	(703)
	Day	1180	1179	1179	2225	2225	2225	2225
	ppm	(10.0)	(14.4)	(18.9)	(291)	(435)	(583)	(678)
	Day	2224	2223	2223	2793	2793	2793	2793
	ppm	(9.50)	(14.2)	(18.9)	(290)	(437)	(590)	(684)
	Day ppm	2587 (9.54)	2803 (14.5)	**	3177 (293)	3177 (439)	3177 (587)	3177 (683)
	Day ppm	2804 (9.76)	3177 (14.6)					
	Day ppm	3176 (9.81)						

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; IS = Low Pressure Steel.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

3.0 PROPENE (PROPYLENE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No Cylinder Const		3A Al	38 A1	3C A1	3D A1	3E Al	3F Al	3G Al	3H A1
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	4.94	9.91	14.8	20.0	298	446	585	683
	Date ppm	4/27/78 (4.86)	4/27/78 (9.83)	4/27/78 (14.6)	4/27/78 (19.8)	4/27/78 (296)	4/27/78 (442)	4/27/78 (577)	4/27/78 (672)
	Day ppm	26 (4.94)	26 (9.85)	26 (14.5)	27 (19.0)	27 (286)	27 (428)	27 (560)	27 (655)
RTI	Day ppm	27 (4.78)	104 (10.3)	104 (14.8)	104 (20.0)	. 104 (317)	105 (474)	104 (629)	105 (729)
Concentration	Day ppm	104 (4.98)	749 (9.76)	749 (14 . 8)	749 (20.3)	750 (324)	750 (479)	750 (620)	750 (721)
	Day ppm	749 (4.93)	1250 (9.63)	**	2229 (19.7)	820 (328)	2229 (444)	2229 (579)	820 (725)
	Day ppm	2229 (4.80)	2229 (9.80)		2804 (19.8)	**	2794 (449)	2794 (589)	2229 (676)
	Day ppm	2601 (4.75)	2804 (9.81)		3178 (19.8)	·	3178 (441)	3178 (578)	2794 (688)
	Day ppm	2804 (4.78)							3178 (674)
	Day ppm	3178 (4.88)							

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Durapak n-octane on Porasil C column at 30 degrees Celsius

^{**}Cylinder empty.

4.0 METHANE/ETHANE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No Cylinder Constr				4B Al		4C A1		4D Al	
Audit Material*	·	М	E	M	E	М	E	<u> </u>	E
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	6000	714	8130	597	1000	295	1670	202
,						······································	· .		
	Date	7/21/78	7/21/78	7/21/78	7/21/78	7/21/77	7/21/77	7/21/77	7/21/77
	ppm	(6210)	(773)	(8130)	(654)	(1020)	(315)	(1710)	(220)
	Day	264	163	35	35	264	163	35	29
	ppm	(5980)	(715)	(7550)	(663)	(983)	(292)	(1560)	(218)
RITI	Day	662	264	264	163	1027	264	264	157
	ppm	(6580)	(684)	(7820)	(606)	(1290)	(283)	(1640)	(202)
Concentration	Day	2145	662	662	264	2510	1027	1027	258
	ppm	(6460)	(703)	(8590)	(577)	(1068)	(284)	(1950)	(195)
	Day	2722	2145	2145	662	3087	2510	2510	1027
	ppm	(6525)	(730)	(8430)	(598)	(1059)	(300)	(1770)	(206)
	Day	3097	2722	2722	2145	3097	3087	3087	2510
	ppm	(6440)	(746)	(8553)	(619)	(1050)	(300)	(1755)	(207)
	Day ppm		3097 (751)	3097 (8410)	2 <u>7</u> 22 (632)		3097 (296)		3087 (207)
	Day ppm		·	· ·	3097 (628)			,	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Durapak n-octane on Porasil C column at 30 degrees Celsius.

^{**}M = Methane; E = Ethane.

5.0 PROPANE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No Cylinder Constr	o. ruction*	5A A1	5B A1	5C A1	5D Al	5E Al	5F Al	5G A1	5H Al
Manufacturer Concentration	p b m	5.01	10.0	14.6	20.0	303	439	604	708
	Date ppm	4/25/78 (4.90)	4/25/78 (9.70)	4/25/78 (14.3)	4/25/78 (19.5)	4/26/78 (304)	4/26/78 (441)	4/26/78 (615)	4/27/78 (730)
	Day ppm	24 (4.90)	24 (9.80)	25 (14.5)	25 (19.8)	24 (301)	.24 (436)	27 (615)	26 (723)
	Day ppm	108 (5,10)	108 (10.1)	108 (14.9)	108 (20,3)	107 (305)	107 (440)	107 (607)	106 (710)
•	Daiy ppm	605 (4.89)	513 (10.6)	582 (15.0)	582 (20.8)	530 (316)	530 (450)	604 (613)	603 (718)
RTI	Day ppm	729 (5.20)	752 (10.0)	736 (14.7)	736 _. (20.1)	581 (316)	581 (453)	735 (628)	734 (734)
Concentration	Day ppm	**	914 (10.0)	2220 (14.8)	1252 (19.7)	735 (313)	728 (472)	2218 (607)	2218 (715)
	Day ppm		2220 (10.9)	2589 (14.8)	2220 (20.0)	752 (314)	**	2795 (617)	2794 (717)
	Day ppm	£	2851 (10.1)	2806 (14.6)	2806 (20.0)	913 (309)		3179 (612)	3178 (713)
-	Day ppm		3184 (10.1)	3179 (14.8)	3179 (20.2)	1251 (296)			
	Day ppm					2219 (308)			
	Day ppm					2795 (308)			·
	Day ppm			•		3178 (308)			

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Durapak n-octane on Porasil C column at 30 degrees Celsius.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

5.0 PROPANE STABILITY STUDY (Continued)

•	Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		5J Al	5K A1	5L Al
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	1000	2000	10,000	20,000
	Date ppm	3/3/83 (1027)	3/3/83 (2100)	3/3/83 (11800)	3/3/83 (20700)
RTI	Day	452	452	452	452
Concentration	ppm	(1070)	(2180)	(13000)	(21000)
	Day	734	734	734	· 734
	ppm	(1006)	(2052)	(13021)	(21302)
	Day	1022	1022	1022	1022
	ppm	(1040)	(2060)	(12500)	(21300)
	Day	1407	1407	1407	1407
	ppm	(1020)	(2050)	(12600)	(21200)

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

6.0 TOLUENE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N Cylinder Const		6A LS	6B LS	6C S	6D S	6E S	6F S
Manufacturer Concentration	blan	408	606	16.2	9.11	9.00	430
	Date ppm	12/6/78 (405)	12/6/78 (585)	10/3/78 (17.3)	10/3/78 (9.62)	3/29/83 (8,51)	7/1/80 (430)
	Day ppm	3 (405)	3 (579)	48 (14.9)	64 (8,50)	744 (8.04)	861 (347)
Day RII ppm	-	86 (394)	86 (577)	365 (15.0)	66 (8,60)	1063 . (9.07)	1115
Concentration	Day ppm	100 (393)	358 (615)	1373 (14.8)	160 (8.20)	1548 (9.37)	1505 (427)***
	Day ppm	**	2079 (663)***	**	**		1765 (351)
	Day ppm	•	2338 (603)				2059 (368)
	Day ppm		2632 (618)				**

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

Questionable value.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Porasil C column at 200 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for generation of a series of standards from reagent grade toluene.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

6.0 TOLUENE STABILITY STUDY (Continued)

Cylinder N		6G	6H	6I	6J	6K	6L
Cylinder Const		Al	A1	Al	Al	LS	LS
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	18.2	9.0	10.3	21.7	196	310
	Date	7/27/83	7/1/80	12/11/84	12/11/84	12/11/84	12/11/84
	ppm	(16.1)	(8.50)	(9.27)	(20.3)	(183)	(290)
RII	Day	383	1505	192	121	141	141
Concentration	ppm	(19.1)***	(9.40)	(8.70)	(18.9)	(184)	(281)
	Day ppm	**	2064 (8.15)	440 (10,2)	440 (21.6)	436 (195)	436 (303)
	Day ppm		2549 (8.72)	926 (9.80)	926 (21.0)	926 (180)	1021 (335)

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

^{***}Questionable value.

7.0 HYDROGEN SULFIDE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N Cylinder Const		7A Al	7B Al	7C Al	7D Al	7E Al	7F Al	7G Al
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	399	9.15	16.7	649	6.95	6.45	671
	Date	10/1/78	7/7/78	10/1/78	10/1/78	10/1/78	10/1/78	3/2/83
	ppm	(371)	(9.73)	(16.1)	(641)	(7.05)	(4.94)	(628)
	Day ppn	38 (424)	87 (6.72)	·38 (16.5)	38 (655)	87 (5.75)	38 (5.14)	687 (683)
	Day ppm	111 (414)	124 (7.11)	111 (15.7)	111 (690)	124 (5.62)	111 (4.81)	833 (654)
RTI	Day	1030	197	580	1030	197	580	1182
Concentration	bbm	(437)	(6.36)	(16.2)	(647)	(5.23)	(4.35)	(737)
	Day ppm	2270 (444)	696 (6.23)	1030 (17.5)	**	696 (5.14)	1030 (3.71)	1436 (715)
	Day ppm	2446 (401)	1116 (8.32)	2270 (14.5)		1116 (5.38)	2325 (4.3)	
	Day ppm	2795 (395)	2399 (8.00)	2300 (15.3)		2325 (4.6)	2446 (4.1)	
	Day ppm	3047 (411)	2424 (6.60)	2446 (15.6)		2446 (4.4)	2762 (3.03)	
	Day		2545	2762		2762	3032	
	bhu		(6.00)	(16.0)		(3.88)	(3.56)	•
	Day		2848	3298		3032		
	þþm		(5.75)	(14.0)		(4.28)		
	Day ppm		3118 (6.44)	,				

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame photometric detector, Chromosil 330 column at 50 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for generation of a series of standards from pure hydrogen sulfide. A permeation tube is used for generation of calibration mixtures for lower level (<100 ppm) cylinder analyses.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: Only a Teflon® column and Teflon® sample loop should be used. The air-to-hydrogen ratio is critical to the sensitivity of the FPD.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

7.0 HYDROGEN SULFIDE STABILITY STUDY (Continued)

Cylinder N		7H	7I	7J	7K	7L	7M	7N	70
Cylinder Const		Al	Al	Al	Al	Al	Al	A1	Al
Manufacturer Concentration	blan	20.77	29,27	39.14	97.31	206.3	323.2	417	503.2
RTI	Date	1/17/85	1/17/85	1/17/85	1/17/85	1/16/85	1/16/85 (291)	1/16/85	1/16/85
Concentration	ppm	(17.7)	(22.6)	(31.6)	(83.7)	(200)		(398)	(489)
	Day ppm	25 (20.6)	25 (30.4)	25 (42.4)	146 (92,1)	147 (210)	147 (320)	147 (415)	147 (514)
	Day	146	146	146	495	496	496	496	496
	ppm	(21.0)	(30.5)	(40.5)	(97.8)	(198)	(306)	(420)	(537)
	Day	462	462	462	746	758	758	750	750
	ppm	(21.2)	(29.0)	(39.8)	(101)	(200)	(324)	(424)	(538)
	Day ppm	734 (20,1)	734 (30.2)	**					

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

8.0 1,3-DIMETHYLBENZENE (M-XYLENE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N	o.	8A	8B	8 c	8D	8E	8F	8G
Cylinder Const	ruction*	LS	LS	S	S	LS	LS	Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bbm	405	613	17.3	7.33	601.0	351.4	12.1
	Date	10/5/78	10/5/78	10/5/78	10/5/78	6/7/85	6/7/85	6/7/85
	ppm	(480)	(720)	(16.6)	(6.20)	(596)	(362)	(11.5)
	Day	63	63	63	63	257	257	257
	ppm	(445)	(676)	(17.2)	(6.81)	(552)	(344)	(11.1)
RII	Day ppm	158	158	166	166	749	749	749
Concentration		(425)	(656)	(20.8)	(6.82)	(438)	(328)	(10.1)
Concentration	Day ppm	412 (487)	606 (760)	302 (16.4)	1036 (5.66)			
	Day ppn	606 (507)	2140 (598)	1036 (19.0)	2694 (4.39)			
		**	**	**				

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is used for generation of a series of standards from reagent grade m-xylene.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Porasil C column at 250 degrees Celsius.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

9.0 METHYL ESTER ACETIC ACID (METHYL ACETATE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N Cylinder Const	o. ruction*	9A S	918 S	9C S	910 S
Manufacturer Concentration	bbm	326	455	6.84	17.2
	Date	10/13/78	10/13/78	10/13/78	10/13/78
	bkm	(271)	(428)	(5.29)	(12.9)**
	Day	230	230	230	230
	bhm	(340)	(437)	(4.86)	(12.5)**
RTI	Day	286	286	286	286
Concentration	ppm	(324)	(442)	(5.02)	(11.8)**
	Day	629	629	630	630
	bbu	(348)	(479)	(5.88)	(12.5)**
	Day	2442	2442	2442	2442
	bhu	(336)	(470)	(5.32)	(17.2)
•	Day	2742	2742	2742	2742
	ppm	(320)	(437)	(5.89)	(16.5)
•	Day	3183	3268	3183	3183
	bhw	(310)	(462)	(5.36)	(15.4)

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 125 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for generation of a series of standards from reagent grade methyl acetate.

^{**}Questionable value.

10.0 TRICHLOROMETHANE (CHLOROFORM) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No Cylinder Const		10A S	10B S	10C S	100 S	10E Al	10F A1
Manufacturer Concentration	bbm	520	348	8.70	16.9	9.81	22.0
	Date ppm	10/17/78 (529)	10/17/78 (345)	10/17/78 (8,08)	10/17/78 (17.6)	1/10/86 (8,92)	1/10/86 (21,1)
	Day ppm	161 (515)	161 (351)	161 (7.39)	161 (16.5)	534 (9.45)	534 (21.8)
RII Concentration	Day ppm	256 (514)	256 (340)	256 (7.50)	256 (16.2)		
Concentration	Day ppm	553 (531)	975 (325)	553 (8.11)	553 (16.5)		
	Day ppm	**	2422 (333)	2422 (4.26)	2422 (14.9)		
	Day ppm		2642 (326)	2642 (4,52)	2642 (15.0)		
	Day ppm		3176 (344)	3176 (4.76)	3176 (14 . 9)		

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Porasil C column at 150 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for generation of a series of standards from reagent grade chloroform.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

11.0 CARBONYL SULFIDE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No Cylinder Const		11A S	11B S	11C S	11D S	l lE AL	llF AL
Manufacturer Concentration	bbw	251	100	9,96	7.03	9.54	101
	Date ppm	11/3/78 (276)	11/3/78 (109)	11/3/78 (9.10)	11/3/78 (6.81)	9/18/81 (12.9)	9/18/81 (111)
RTI Concentration	Day ppm	78 (281)	78 (111)	78 (8,66)	78 (6.48)	35 (12.5)	35 (117)
	Day ppm	185 (275)	185 (95 . 0)	185 (8.23)	185 (6.41)	222 (9.08)	**
		**	**	***	**	**	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame photometric detector, Carbopack B column at 50 degrees Celsius or Chromosil 330 column at 60 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is used for generation of a series of standards from pure carbonyl sulfide.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: Only a Teflon® column and Teflon® sample loop should be used. The air-to-hydrogen ratio is critical to the sensitivity of the FPD.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

11.0 CARBONYL SULFIDE STABILITY STUDY (Continued)

Cylinder N		llG	l lh	. 11I	llJ	llK
Cylinder Const		Al	Al	A1	Al	Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bbm	99.2	225	414	10.71	101
RIT	Date	1/11/85	1/11/85	1/11/85	1/11/85 (9.3)	1/11/85
Concentration	ppm	(101)	(228)	(423)		(99.0)
	Day	150	150	150	150	150
	ppn	(96.5)	(199)	(404)	(10.0)	(98.0)
	Day	517	517	517	517	517
	ppm	(105)	(205)	(420)	(11.7)	(105)
	Day ppm	**	985 (206)	985 (472)	985 (12.1)	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

12.0 METHANETHIOL (METHYL MERCAPTAN) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No Cylinder Const		12A A1	12B Al	12C A1	12D A1	
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	8.03	10.0	3,55	4.22	
	Date ppm	1/24/79 (5.66)	1/24/79 (7.94)	1/24/79 (3.65)	1/24/79 (4.23)	
	Day ppm	104 (5.60)	104 (8.10)	104 (3.50)	104 (4.76)	
RTI Concentration	Day ppm	139 (5.65)	139 (7 . 90)	139 (3.56)	139 (4.54)	
	Day ppn	985 (5.40)	985 (8.42)	985 (3.64)	**	
	Day ppm	2194 (5.45)	2194 (8.00)	2194 (3.80)	·	
	Day ppm	2331 (4.70)	2331 . (8,00)	2331 (3.40)		
~	Day ppm	2690 (5.70)	2690 (9.84)	2690 (3.73)		
	Day ppm	2938 (4.78)	2938 (9.21)	2938 (3.75)		

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; IS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame photometric detector, Chromosil 330 column at 60 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A permeation tube is used for generation of calibration mixtures.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: Only a Teflon® column and Teflon® sampling loop should be used. The air-to-hydrogen ratio is critical to the sensitivity of the FPD.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

13.0 HEXANE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No Cylinder Const		13A IS	13B LS	13C A1	13D Al	13E Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bhu	1975	2973	30.6	79.2	80.0
	Date	2/6/79	2/6/79	2/6/79	2/6/79	3/25/83
	ppm	(2170)	(3070)	(30.8)	(82,2)	(83.2)
	Day	6	6	296	296	376
	bhu	(1980)	(2860)	(30.1)	(81.0)	(88.2)
	Day	337	338	337	337	1117
	bhu	(2070)	(2950)	(30.6)	(81.3)	(92.1)
RTI	Day	469	469	469	469	1558
Concentration	ppm	(1990)	(3080)	(32.0)	(79.8)	(84.6)
	Day	1886	1886	523	835	
	ppm	(1990)	(2980)	(30.0)	(80.2)	
	Day	2586	**	835	1247	
	bhu	(2139)		(30,2)	(82.7)	
	 Day	**		1886	**	
	ppm		•	(32.8)		
	Day			2586		
	bbw			(34.8)		
	Day			3066		
	b bm			(29.2)		

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Porasil C column at 150 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade hexane.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

14.0 1,2-DICHLOROETHANE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No		14A	14B	14C	14D	14E	14F	14G	14H
Cylinder Constr		Al	Al	A1	Al	A1	A1	Al	Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bhu	14.4	9.64	100	526	6.92	12.5	97.9	439
	Date	1/19/79	1/19/79	1/19/79	1/19/79	4/5/79	4/5/79	4/5/79	4/5/79
	ppm	(14.1)	(9.20)	(96.2)	(498)	(10.0)	(15.2)	(102)	(463)
	Day	58	58	58	58	30	30	30	30
	ppn	(15.2)	(10,8)	(103)	(534)	(9.42)	(14.7)	(105)	(451)
	Day	155	155	155	155	69	69	69	69
	ppn	(14.9)	(10.0)	(98.2)	(524)	(9.30)	(14.3)	(99.0)	(462)
RTI	Day	811	811	501	501	586	811	425	589
	ppn	(14.2)	(9.56)	(87.3)	(592)**	** (9.14)	(14.5)	(87.3)	(432)
Concentration	Day	835	835	920	920	811	835	844	697
	ppm	(13.5)	(9.19)	(102)	(502)	(9.70)	(13.8)	(101)	(451)
	Day	1964 (13.9)	1964 (9.68)	1964 (94.9)	1964 (477)	835 (9.16)	1888 (13.9)	1888 (92.4)	844 (453)
	Day	2333	2333	2333	2333	2247	2247	2247	1888
	ppn	(14.1)	(9.30)	(96.7)	(496)	(9,32)	(14.3)	(96.0)	(416)
	Day	2546	2546	2546	2546	2470	2470	2470	2247
	ppm	(13.6)	(8.65)	(97.5)	(490)	(8 . 85)	(13.8)	(97.2)	(427)
	Day ppm	3083 (14.4)	3083 (9.75)	3083 (97.0)	**	3007 (9.91)	3007 (14.6)	3007 (95.8)	2470 (422)
	Day ppm								3007 (424)

^{*}Al = Aluminum: S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Porasil C column of 225 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade 1,2-dichloroethane.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

^{***}Questionable value

15.0 CYCLOHEXANE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		15A A1	
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm ·	99.1	
	Date	3/19/79 (106)	
	bhu	(100)	
	Day	147	
	ppm	(93.4)	
RTI	Day	394	
Concentration	bhn	(99.0)	
	Day	926	
	ppn	(102)	
	Day	1966	
	bbw	(95.9)	
	Day	2559	
	bhu	(100)	•
<i>3</i>	Day	3025	
	bhu	(100)	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Porasil C column at 125 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is used for making a series of standards from reagent grade cyclohexane.

16.0 2-BUTANONE (METHYL ETHYL KETONE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		16A S	16B	16C	16D	
Manufacturer Concentration	bfш	43.7	5.00	30.0	15.1	
	Date ppm	5/23/79 (42.3)	7/1/87 (5.19)	7/1/87 (29.5)	7/1/87 (16.0)	
	Day ppm	28 (40.0)				
RII	Day ppn	58 (39.9)				
Concentration	Day ppm	380 (44.5)				
	Day ppm	653 (38.7)				
	Day ppm	1847 (40.4)				
•	Day ppm	2520 (45.0)				

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 150 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade methyl ethyl ketone.

17.0 METHANOL STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N		17A
Cylinder Const	ruction*	Al
Manufacturer Concentration	р іт	50.0
	Date	5/17/79
	ppm	(58.8)
	Day	21
	ppm	(52.3)
	Day	51
RII Concentration	ppm	(51.1)
Wilcell 1 ac 1011	Day	196
	bbm	(55.2)
•	Day	2020
	ppm	(48.8)
	Day	2224
	bbm	(45.8)
	Day	2660
· 	bbw	(56.8)

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Chromosorb 101 column at 50 degrees Celsius or 0.2% Carbowax 1500 plus 0.1% SP-2100 on Carbowax C at 60 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade methanol.

18.0 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE (PROPYLENE DICHLORIDE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No.		18A	18B	18C	18D
Cylinder Construction*		A1	A1	A1	A1
Manufacturer Concentration	bbm	7.07	14.6	476	664
	Date	7/10/79	7/10/79	7/10/79	7/10/79
	ppm	(6.06)	(15.6)	(4 9 6)	· (685)
	Day	28	28	28	28
	ppm	(5.52)	(16.4)	(455)	(621)
	Day	48	48	48	48
	ppm	(5.94)	(15.0)	(480)	(675)
RIT	Day	497	749	372	372
	ppm	(6,03)	(16,3)	(497)	(685)
Concentration	ppm	749	1793	1793	1793
	pay	(5 . 59)	(12.1)	(402)	(557)
	Day	1793	1845	1845	1845
	ppm	(3.12)	(13.2)	(424)	(574)
	Day	1845	2155	2155	2155
	ppm	(3.86)	(13.3)	(441)	(594)
	Day	2155	2387	2387	2387
	ppm	(3,49)	(12,9)	(429)	(576)
	Day	2387	2914	2914	2914
	ppm	(3.25)	(14.4)	(451)	(630)
	Day ppm	2914 (4.11)			

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 150 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade 1,2-dichloropropane.

19.0 TRICHLOROETHENE (TRICHLOROETHYLENE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		19A Al	19B A1	19C A1	19D Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bbw	9.23	14.7	100	505
<u> </u>	Date	5/24/79	5/24/79	5/24/79	5/24/79
	ppm	(9.58)	(14.3)	(102)	(506)
	Day	77	77	77	77
	ppm	(10.2)	(15.1)	(103)	(503)
	Day	92	92	92	92
RII Concentration	bhu	(9.78)	(14.9)	(100)	(499)
Concentration	Day	683	683	810	810
•	blan	(9.03)	(13.6)	(105)	(522)
	Day	820	820	820	820
	ppm	(8.91)	(13.5)	(94.6)	(490)
	Day	1853	1853	1853	1853
	ppm	(9.40)	(14.0)	(105)	(523)
:	Day	2493	2493	2493	2493
·	bbw	(10.2)	(15.5)	(101)	(494)
	Day	2961	2961	3045	2961
	bhu	(10.5)	(15.2)	(98.8)	(502)

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 150 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is used for making a series of standards from reagent grade trichloroethylene.

20.0 1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE (VINYLIDENE CHLORIDE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		20A Al	20B A1	20C Al	20D Al	
Manufacturer Concentration	blш	9.58	14.8	96.8	490	
	Date	6/1/79	6/1/79	6/1/79	6/1/79	
	bbw	(10.3)	(15.6)	(101)	(524)	
	Day	35	35	35	35	
	bbw	(9.90)	(15.1)	(99.0)	(510)	
	Day.	62	62	62	62	
	ppm	(10.1)	(15.5)	(102)	(505)	
RIT	Dary	404	404	817	404	
Concentration	ppm	(11.5)**	(17.1)**	(94.0)	(498)	
	Day	818	818	1831	1831	
	bhu	(9.00)	(14.2)	(98.4)	(488)	
	Day	1831	1831	2190	2190	
	bbm	(9.00)	(13,2)	(94.7)	(479)	
	Day	2190	2190	2490	2490	
	bbm	(8.78)	(14.1)	(97.4)	(478)	
	Day	2490 .	2490	2957	2957	
	ppm	(9.87)	(15.2)	(108)	(553)	
	Day	2957	2957			
	bim	(11.1)	(17.2)			

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 80 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade l,l-dichloroethene.

^{**}Questionable value.

21.0 1,2-DIBROMOETHYLENE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N Cylinder Const		21A IS	2lB LS	21C LS	21D LS
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	10.0	14.9	99.9	301
RII Concentration	Date ppm	6/18/79 (7.90)	6/18/79 (12.2)	6/1/79 (110)	6/18/79 (265)
	Day ppm	61 (7.80)	61 (12.0)	61 (107)	· 61 (266)
	Day ppm	89 (7.40)	89 (11.6)	89 (105)	89 (257)
	Day ppm	722 (7.72)	772 (8 . 02)	787 (99 . 2)	643 (309)
		**	**	**	**

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 100 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: Reagent grade "1,2-Dibromoethylene" pure liquid is used as a standard. Pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making series of standards for calibration.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: The gas mixtures and the calibration standards contain substantial amounts of both the cis and the trans isomers of 1,2-Dibromoethylene. The first three sets of analyses are questionable because only one isomer was measured during the calibrations and cylinder analyses. During the CC analyses on Day 1864, it was found that dibromoethylene partially converted to an unknown compound. Hence, dibromoethylene is not practical as an audit material.

^{**}Cylinders returned due to partial conversion to an unknown compound.

22.0 TETRACHLOROETHENE (PERCHLOROETHYLENE) STABILITY SIUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		22A S	22B S	22C LS	220 LS
Manufacturer Concentration	ppm	7.98	13.0	487	629
	Date	7/6/79	7/6/79	7/6/79	7/6/79
	ppm	(8.40)	(15.0)	(419)	(624)
	Day	35	35	35	35
	ppm	(7.97)	(14.9)	(453)	(642)
	Day	52	52	52	52
	bbw	(7.92)	(14.7)	(440)	(619)
RTI	Day	376	376	677	677
Concentration	bbm	(7.94)	(14.5)	(361)	(542)
	Day	1818	1818	713	713
	bhu 	(6.88)	(13.7)	(387)	(571)
	Day	2162	2162	1818	1818
	bhm	(6.88)	(13.3)	(349)	(557)
-•	Day	2440	2440	2162	2162
	bhu	(7.83)	(13.5)	(353)	(564)
	Day	2901	2901	2450	2450
	bhm	(7.68)	(14.5)	(357)	(551)
	Day			2901	2901
	bin			(372)	(607)

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 150 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: An NBS-SRM of perchloroethylene in nitrogen is used to calibrate the detector response.

23.0 CHLOROETHENE (VINYL CHLORIDE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N Cylinder Const		23A S	23B S	23C S	230 S	23E S	23F S	23G S	23H S	23I S
Manufacturer Concentration	bbm	5,94	8.00	8.03	8.52	20.0	20.1	30.0	30.3	7.98
	Date ppm	10/1/79 (5.87)	10/1/79 (7.71)	10/1/79 (7.82)	10/1/79 (7.85)	10/1/79 (19.7)	10/1/79 (20.1)	10/1/79 (29.6)	10/1/79 (29.8)	10/1/79 (7.31)
RII Concentration	Day ppm	18 (5.74)	18 (7.50)	18 (7.45)	18 (7.61)	18 (19.1)	18 (19.3)	18 (28.3)	18 (28.7)	18 (7.12)
Wilcell I all Ioli	Day ppm	700 (6.60)	**	700 (8.44)	700 (8.41)	700 (20.7)	700 (20.9)	700 (29.4)	700 (29.4)	700 (8.39)
	Day ppm	1812 (6.10)		1812 (8.10)	1812 (8.15)	1812 (20.3)	1812 (20.6)	1812 (30.3)	1812 (30.6)	1812 (7.75)
	Day ppm	2524 (6.09)		2524 (8.05)	2524 (8.13)	2524 (20.4)	2524 (20.6)	2524 (30.3)	2524 (31.1)	
·	Day ppm	2914 (5.62)		2914 (7.54)	2914 (7.60)	2914 (18.6)	2914 (19.8)	2914 (28.6)	2914 (28.9)	2914 (7.18)

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 90 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is used for generation of a series of standards from pure vinyl chloride.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

24.0 1,3-BUTADIENE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		24A . S	24B Al	24C Al	24D Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bim	22.6	52.8	31.9	13.3
	Date ppm	3/21/80 (20.9)	2/12/86 (52.9)	2/12/86 (32,3)	2/12/86 (13.4)
RTI Concentration	Date ppm	95 (23.1)	511 (53.4)	511 (32.6)	
Concentration	Day ppm	480 (24.0)			
	Day ppm	1718 (22.9)	,		
		**			•

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 90 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from pure 1,3-butadiene.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

25.0 2-PROPENENITRILE (ACRYLONTTRILE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N Cylinder Const		25A LS	· 25B LS	25C LS	25D LS	25E AL	25F AL	, 25G Al	25H Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bhu	20.1	348	11.7	638	400	10.0	18.0	22.3
	Date ppm	7/24/79 (14.6)	7/24/79 (411)	7/24/79 (6.38)	7/24/79 (678)	11/8/82 (413)	11/18/82 (10.8)	1/23/86 (15.0)	1/23/86 (20.2)
	Day ppm	185 (12.7)	185 (416)	185 (3.35)	185 (699)	134 (410)	139 (11.7)	532 (15.7)	532 (20.2)
RII Concentration	Day ppm	349 (13.2)	349 (441)	349 (2.87)	349 (703)	787 (421)	787 (10.8)		
	Day ppm	841 (9.96)	841 (397)	841 (4.05)	841 (667)	1172 (424)	1162 (9.14)		
	Day ppm	**	tele	**	**	1786 (384)	1704 (9.87)		

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS= Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, Porapak Q column at 225 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is used to make a series of standards from reagent grade acrylonitrile.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: The large changes noted at the low concentration levels are, at least in part, a result of difficulty in making precise measurements at these levels.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

26.0 ANILINE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*	26A Al	26B A1
Manufacturer ppm Concentration	11.3	18.4
RTI Analysis	See Analytic	al Problems

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 250 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: Reagent grade "Aniline" pure liquid is used as a standard. "Glass bulb" dilution technique is utilized for making series of standards for calibration.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: Because amiline has an extremely high boiling point (186°C), special handling would be required to measure this compound. A completely heated system for sampling in the vapor phase and for preparing standards would be required. Temperature-dependent condensation in the cylinder and the regulator causes the amount of amiline which is delivered by the cylinder to vary. As a result, amiline is not considered to be practical as an audit material.

27.0 4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE) STABILITY STUDY

			
Cylinder No.* Cylinder Construction*		27A	27C
		Al	Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bbw	9.51	72.9
	Date	12/18/80	7/8/81
	ppm	(10-2)	(75.4)
	Day	27	See Analytical
	ppm	(10•6)	Problems
	Day	83	
RII Concentration	ppm	(9•53)	
	Day	202	
	bbm	(9.49)	
	Day	1275	
	ppm	(8.40)	
	Day	1643	
	ppm	(10•3)	
	Day	1946	
	bb w	(10•2)	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; IS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 0.1% SP-1000 on Carbopack C column at 180 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technque is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade methyl isobutyl ketone.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: Methyl isobutyl ketone at high concentrations is not practicas as an audit material because pressurization of the cylinder above approximately 200 psi results in condensation of the analyte.

28.0 CYCLOHEXANONE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder N Cylinder Const		28A A1	28B A1
Manufacturer Concentration	bbm	10.1	19.0
	Date	12/11/80	12/11/80
אוענ	blu	(8.19)	(25.5) ··
RTI Analysis	Day	85	85
•	bhu	(3.26)	(17.1)
•		See Analytical	Problems.

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% SP-1000 on Supelcoport column at 200 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: Reagent grade "Cyclohexanone" liquid is used as a standard. Pressure-dilution technique is used for making series of standards for calibration.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: The analysis of cyclohexanone gas is dependent on the temperatures of the cylinder and the regulator and on the length of the sampling line between the regulator and the gas chromatograph. The concentration in the cylinder decreases with time. Therefore, cyclohexanone is not practical as an audit material.

29.0 PARADICHLOROBENZENE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*	29A S	29B S
Manufacturer ppm Concentration	15.6	38.1
RTI Analysis	See Analyti	cal Problems

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% SP-1000 on Supelcoport column at 200 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: Reagent grade "Paradichlorobenzene" is used as a standard. "Glass bulb" technique is used for making series of standards for calibration.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: The stability study for this compound was terminated bacause of analytical difficulties and because the cylinder pressure was less than 200 psig. Paradichlorobenzene is a solid at room temperature with a melting point of 54°C. Condensation in the cylinder, regulator and sampling lines was extreme. Paradichlorobenzene is not practical as an audit material.

30.0 ETHYLAMINE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*	30A S	30B S	
Manufacturer ppm Concentration	10	20	
RII Analysis	See Analyti	ical Problems	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 250 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: Reagent grade "Ethylamine" liquid is used as a standard. "Glass bulb" technique is utilized for making series of standards for calibration.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: Because of vapor pressure considerations, the cylinders could not be fully pressurized. The pressure in the cylinder is less than 200 psi. A completely heated system for sampling in the vapor phase and for preparing standards would be required. Temperature-dependent condensation in the cylinder and the regulator causes the amount of ethylamine which is delivered by the cylinder to vary. As a result of these problems, ethylamine is not considered to be practical as an audit material.

31.0 FORMALDEHYDE STABILITY STUDY

RITI Requested Concentration	bizm	10	20

The speciality gas supplier indicated that they could not make gas mixtures containing formaldehyde.

32.0 DICHLOROMETHANE (METHYLENE CHLORIDE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Constru	etion*	32A Al	32B A1	32C Al	32D Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bbw	10.2	1.25	6.13	9.94
	Date ppm	3/5/82 (10.8)	1/27/86 (1.13)	1/27/86 (6.01)	9/28/87 (10.2)
	Day ppm	31 (10.8)	528 (1.37)	528 (5.92)	••
	Day ppm	70 (10.6)			
	Day ppm	96 (11.2)			
RTI Concentration	Day ppm	124 (11.4)			
Wileil I al Ion	Day ppm	160 (10.9)			
	Day ppm	278 (10.2)			
-	pay Day	381 (9.70)			
	Day ppm	843 (9.20)**			
	Day ppm	1198 (11.5)**			
	Day ppm	1449 (10.4)			
	Day ppm	***			

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 100 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade methylene chloride.

^{**}Questionable value.

^{***}Cylinder empty.

33.0 TETRACHLOROMETHANE (CARBON TETRACHLORIDE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construc	ction*	33A AL	33B Al	33C A1	33D A1
Manufacturer Concentration	blm	11.3	23.3	5,82	18.6
	Date ppm	3/4/82 (12.7)	1/16/86 (21.8)	1/16/86 (5.88)	1/16/86 (18.1)
	Day ppm	74 (11.7)	540 (22.0)	540 (6.35)	540 (18.3)
	Day ppm	74 (10.2)			
	Day ppm	98 (11.1)			
RTI Concentration	Day ppm	124 (10.6)			
	Day ppn	161 (10.2)			
	Day ppn	382 (10.5)			
:	Day ppm	832 (9.60)**			
	Day ppm	1199 (12.2)			
	Day ppm	1414 (10.6)			
	Day ppm	1954 (11.3)			_

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 100 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade carbon tetrachloride.

^{**}Questionable value.

34.0 1,1,2-TRICHLORO-1,2,2-TRIFLUOROETHANE (FREON 113) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Constru	ction*	34A Al	
Manufacturer Concentration	рþш	10.4	
	Date ppm	3/3/82 (10.8)	
	Day ppn	34 (10.1)	
	Day ppm	70 (10.0)	
	Day ppm		
RII Concentration	Day ppm	98 (10 . 0)	
One cite at 1011	ppm pay	125 (10 . 0)	
	Day ppm	162 (10.3)	
	Day ppm	384 (9.80)	
	Day ppn	857 (11.0)	
	Day ppn	1200 (8.79)	
	Day. ppm	1506 (10.0)	
	Day ppm	2036 (9.68)	·

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column of 75 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade Freon 113.

35.0 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE (METHYL CHLOROFORM) STABILITY STUDY

Concentration D P	ate pm ay pm	3/2/82 (10.3) 70 (11.8)
P D P	pm ay pm	(10.3)
D P	ay pm	70
p	İm	
p	İm	
D		
U	776 T	99
	pm.	(10.7)
	ay	136
Concentration p	bur	(10.6)
D	ay	161
	ίαυ `	(10.0)
D.	~.	381
	ay .	(10.4)
Į.	bur .	(10.4)
. Da	ay	858
	pro.	(10.0)
יַח	ay 1	1514
	esy . Pana	(10.7)
rı		
Da	ay 2	2033
· PI	am.	(10.5)

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 80 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade methyl chloroform.

36.0 1,2-EPOXYETHANE (ETHYLENE OXIDE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		36A A1	36B Al	36C A1	36D A1	36E Al
Manufacturer Concentration	ьħш	10.0	1.0	4.5	14	19.0
	Date ppm	3/12/82 (11.2)	9/16/85 (1.19)	9/16/85 (4.75)	9/16/85 (14.3)	9/16/85 (18.6)
	Day ppm	73 (9 . 60)	78 (0.868)	78 (4.35)	78 (14.2)	78 (17.7)
RTI	Day ppm	88 (9.80)	722 (1.59)*	722 * (4.68)	722 (14.9)	722 (18.5)
Concentration	Day ppm	122 (9.60)				
	Day ppn	157 (9.80)				
_	Day ppm	1012 (9.70)				
-	Day ppn	1,362 (9.09)				
	Day ppm	2006 (10.0)				

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 6 ft. x 1/8" SS column packed with 80/100 mesh Porapak QS at 150 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: Ethylene oxide permeation tube is used for GC-FID calibration. Permeation tube is maintained at 30 degrees Celsius.

^{**}Questionable value.

37.0 1,2-EPOXYPROPANE (PROPYLENE OXIDE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No Cylinder Constr	uction*	37A A1	37B Al	
Manufacturer Concentration	рþш	9.48	96.0	
	Day	8/4/82	8/4/82	
	bkm	(12.3)	(89.5)	
	Day	55	55	
	bbn	(11.8)	(86.9)	
	Day	76	76	
RII	bhm	(10.6)	(83.6)	
Concentration	Day	743	121	
	bbw	(8.10)**	(90.8)	
	Day	844	743	
	ppm	(9.24)	(75.7)**	
	Day	1057	844	
	bhu	(9.65)	(82.8)**	
	Day	1357	1057	
	bhu	(10,2)	(91.7)	
	Day	1882	1357	
	bhu	(10.7)	(95.2)	
	Day		1882	
	bhu		(98.2)	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 120 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade propylene oxide.

^{**}Questionable value.

38.0 3-CHLOROPROPENE (ALLYL CHLORIDE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		38A S	38B S	38C S	38D S
Manufacturer Concentration	bhu	10.2	99.5	8.7	92.4
	Date ppm	8/13/82** (11.6)	8/13/82** (124)	4/24/85** (8.99)	4/30/85 (95.7)
	Day ppm	75 (5.25)	74 (87.2)	364 (6.14)	358 (94,2)
RTI Concentration	Day ppm	110 (5.08)	110 (87.7)	808 (5.50)	803 (86.9)
	Day Pin	167 (5.36)	167 (83.4)		
	Day ppm	727 (4.53)	727 (53,6)		
		***	***		

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 Chromosorb WHP column at 135 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade allyl chloride.

^{**}Initial analysis was questionable

^{***}Returned due to impurities.

39.0 PROPENAL (ACROLEIN) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		39A A1	39B A1
Manufacturer Concentration	bbm	10.2	107
	Date	8/18/82	8/18/82
	ppm	(10.6)	(90.4)
	Day	28	28
	ppm	(11.0)	(103)
KITI	Day	69	69
	ppm	(9.74)	(106)
Concentration	Day	728	728
	ppm	(6.90)**	(80.8)**
	Day	833	833
	ppm	(8.97)	(97.3)
	Day	1031	1031
	ppm	(9.11)	(98,4)
:	ppm	1346	1346
	Day	(9.19)	(108)
	Day	1791	1791
	ppn	(8.78)	(94.7)

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 150 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade acrolein.

^{**}Questionable value.

40.0 CHLOROBENZENE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No.		40A	40B	40C
Cylinder Constru	ction*	S	Al	Al
Manufacturer Concentration	bhm	9.66	14.8	4.89
	Date	8/6/82	10/11/83	10/11/83
	рþш	(9.03)	(14.7)	(4,19)
	Day	39	612	612
	bfm	(9.15)	(13.4)	(4.74)
RTI	Day	75	1059	1059
Concentration	bhu	(9.20)	(14.4)	(5.01)
	Day	380	1375	1375
	blu	(9.62)	(12.9)	(4.61)
	Day	1043		
	bhu	(8.11)**		
	Day	1490	•	
	bkm	(9.22)		
	Day	1806		
	ppm	(8.50)		

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detection, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 200 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade chlorobenzene.

^{**}Questionable value.

41.0 CARBON DISULFIDE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		41A Al	41B A1
Manufacturer Concentration	bbn	108	108
	Date ppm	7/14/82 (100)	2/21/85 (101)
RII Concentration	Day ppm .	34 (114)	110 (98 . 0)
	Day ppm	72 (116)	477 (104)
		**	**

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame photometric detector, 4.6' X 1/4" Teflon® column packed with Carbopack B HT 100 at 75 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is used for making a series of standards from reagent grade carbon disulfide.

ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS: There is significant peak "tailing" unless a very high flow rate is used. "Tailing" is also caused by "bleed" from the sample loop. Sample valve should be in the inject position for exactly 5 seconds and then switched back to the sampling position to attenuate tailing. All sample lines and regulators must be conditioned extensively.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

42.0 EPA METHOD 25 GAS MIXTURE STABILITY STUDY*

Cylinder No. Cylinder Constru		42A Al	42B Al	42C Al	42D Al	42E Al	42F Al
Manufacturer Concentration	рршС	100	100	200	750	1000	2000
	Date ppmC	3/16/83 (102)	3/16/83 (107)	3/16/83 (205)	3/16/83 (77:5)	3/16/83 (1040)	3/16/83 (1940)
Day RIT ppmC Concentration Day ppmC	-	483 (97 . 9)	483 (104)	xck*	483 (779)	483 (1060)	483 (19 3 0)
	•	**	xx		726 (765)	726 (1020)	726 (1930)
	Day ppmC				1079 (806)	1079 (1093)	**
	Day ppmC				1657 (826)	**	

^{*}Gas Mixture contains an aliphatic hydrocarbon, an aromatic hydrocarbon, and carbon dioxide in nitrogen.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, aliphatic hydrocarbon and aromatic hydrocarbon, 10% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 100 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: An NBS-SRM is used as a standard for the aliphatic hydrocarbon. A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for generation of a series of standards from reagent grade liquid for the aromatic hydrocarbon.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

^{***}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

42.0 EPA METHOD 25 GAS MIXTURE STABILITY STUDY* (Continued)

Cylinder No. Cylinder Constru	ction***	42G Al	42H A1	42I Al	42J Al	42K Al	42L Al
Manufacturer Concentration	рртС	96.7	98.6	147.6	151	198	197.5
	Date	12/11/84	12/11/84	12/11/84	12/11/84	12/11/84	12/11/84
RIT Concentration	ppmC	(%,4)	(98.9)	(149)	(153)	(195) .·	(195)
	Day	90	90	90	90	192	90
	ppmC	(95.8)	(93.3)	(144)	(145)	(183)*	*** (187)
	Day	443	443	443	443	443	443
	ppmC	(99.2)	(99.6)	(146)	(149)	(196)	(196)
	Day	**		948	948	948	1021
	ppmC			(163)	(161)	(210)	(206)

^{*}Gas Mixture contains an aliphatic hydrocarbon, an aromatic hydrocarbon, and carbon dioxide in nitrogen.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

^{****}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

^{****}Questionable value.

42.0 EPA METHOD 25 GAS MIXTURE STABILITY STUDY* (Continued)

Cylinder No.		42M	42N	
Cylinder Constru	iction***	Al	Al	
Manufacturer Concentration	ppmC	1973	1970	
RII	Date ppmC	9/4/86 (1968)	9/4/86 (1904)	
Concentration	Day ppmC	316 (1982)	316 (1989)	

^{*}Gas Mixture contains an aliphatic hydrocarbon, an aromatic hydrocarbon, and carbon dioxide in nitrogen.

^{**}Cylinder empty.

Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

43.0 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (ETHYLENE DIBROMIDE) STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No.		43A	43B	43C	43D
Cylinder Construction*		S	S	S	S
Manufacturer Concentration	bbm	10	20	100	300
RTI	Date	10/24/84	10/24/84	10/24/84	10/24/84
Concentration	ppm	(9.3)	(17.5)	(%.1)	(266)
	Day	54	54	55	55
	ppn	(9.3)	(17.5)	(107)	(344)**
	Day	243	243	243	516
	ppm	(8,66)	(15,4)	(84.0)	(250)
	Day	516	518	518	994
	ppn	(9.27)	(15.9)	(75.1)	(262)
	Day ppm	994 (9.70)	994 (16.4)	994 (83,6)	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, $10\%~\rm OV{-}101$ on Chromosorb WHP column at 150 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade ethylene dibromide.

^{**}Questionable value.

44.0 1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE STABILITY STUDY

Cylinder No. Cylinder Construction*		4 <u>44</u> S	
Manufacturer Concentration	bbш	12.2	
RITI Concentration	Date ppm	10/9/84 (11.6)	
	ppm Day	533 (10.9)	
	Day ppm	1085 (10.5)	

^{*}Al = Aluminum; S = Steel; LS = Low Pressure Steel.

ANALYTICAL CONDITIONS: Flame ionization detector, 5% OV-101 on Chromosorb WHP column at 100 degrees Celsius.

CALIBRATION: A pressure-dilution technique is utilized for making a series of standards from reagent grade 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane.