

NALED

Pesticide Registration Standard

(034401)

June 1983

Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances

Environmental Protection Agency
401 M Street, SW
Washington, DC 20460

II REGULATORY POSITION AND RATIONALE

A. INTRODUCTION

This Registration Standard describes the regulatory position of the Environmental Protection Agency (the Agency) on registered manufacturing-use products (MPs) containing the insecticide-acaricide, naled. The Agency's position is based on a consideration of available data for all currently registered uses and registered MPs with naled as the sole active ingredient. This position is based on a number of considerations. The Standard considers labeling requirements, tolerances, "Special Local Need" registrations authorized by FIFRA Section 24(c), as well as Federal registration granted or pending under FIFRA Section 3. Finally, the Agency sets forth the data requirements that must be met to register products covered by this document.

This Standard only addresses registration requirements for current or substantially similar future MPs and their intermediaries. Naled MPs that differ appreciably from those described here may require amendments to the Standard.

B. CHEMICAL DESCRIPTION AND USE PROFILE

In the United States, naled is the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) approved common name for a halogenated organophosphorus insecticide-acaricide manufactured by the Chevron Chemical Company. The chemical name is 1,2-dibromo-2,2-dichloroethyl dimethyl phosphate. Other names include

Dibrom®, Ortho-Dibrom®, RE 4355, and phosphoric acid 1,2-dibrom-2,2-dichloroethyl dimethyl ester. The Chemical Abstracts Registry (CAS) number for naled is 300-76-5, and the EPA chemical code number is 034401.

Manufacturing-use naled is a light, straw-colored oily liquid with a slightly pungent odor. The pure compound is a white low melting point solid. The boiling point for pure naled is 120°C at 0.5 mm Hg and the vapor pressure is 2×10^{-4} mm Hg at 20°C. The empirical formula is $C_4H_7O_4PBr_2Cl_2$ and the molecular weight is 381. Naled has a limited solubility in aliphatic solvents; is highly soluble in oxygenated solvents such as ketones and alcohols; and a low solubility in water.

There are currently three registered manufacturing-use products consisting of the technical grade of naled (90%), and fifteen (15) registered end-use products containing naled as the sole active ingredient. There are also currently eighty-five (85) products containing naled in combination with other pesticides. In addition, there are twenty-six (26) FIFRA Section 24(c) "Special Local Need" registrations.

[Naled is a non-systemic insecticide-acaricide registered for use] on field, vegetable, and orchard crops; livestock and poultry, and their surroundings; greenhouses; forest and wasteland; agricultural, domestic, medical, and commercial establishments; and urban and rural outdoor areas

(mosquito control). The major use sites are: fruit, nut, vegetable, and field crops; adult mosquito control; pets, and livestock.]

Naled is formulated into dusts (4% and 6%), impregnated materials (10%-25%), emulsifiable concentrates (2-7.2 lb/gal and 6%-26%), soluble concentrates/liquid (2.35-14 lb/gal, and 11.4% and 20%), and ready-to-use liquids (1.26-12.6 lb/gal and 1%-15%). Naled is applied on agricultural crops by using aircraft and ground equipment including mist blowers and foggers.]

C. REGULATORY POSITION

Based on a review and evaluation of all available data and other relevant information on naled, the Agency had made the following determinations:

1. Manufacturing-use products containing naled as the sole active ingredient may be registered for sale, distribution, and reformulation into end-use products, for use, subject to the terms and conditions specified in this Standard.

2. Registrants must provide or agree to develop additional data, as specified in TABLE A and TABLE B of this Standard, in order to maintain existing registrations or to obtain new product registrations.

3. Available data do not indicate that any of the criteria cited in 40 CFR 162.11 (b) have been equalled or

exceeded at this time. However, gaps in the data base preclude the completion of the Agency's risk assessment for naled.

4. Although the Agency is unable to complete a tolerance reassessment for naled because of a number of residue chemistry and toxicology data gaps, the Agency has concluded, based on available data, that no changes in present tolerances are necessary at this time. The Agency has also considered the residues of inorganic bromide, resulting from the use of naled on crops and in meat, milk, poultry and eggs, and does not anticipate these residues to be of toxicological concern, and no additional residue data on inorganic bromides are needed. However, the Agency is concerned about organic brominated metabolites of naled and its impurities. Accordingly, additional data on this organic bromide in plants and animals are being requested.

D. REGULATORY RATIONALE

The Agency has determined that it should continue to allow the registration of products containing naled, after considering the following:

1. Acute animal toxicity data indicate that technical naled is in Toxicity Category I on the basis of eye irritation, and Toxicity Category II on the basis of acute oral and dermal effects. Technical naled has been assigned Toxicity Category I for acute inhalation effects, pending receipt and evaluation of

a valid acute inhalation study. Human hazard precautionary statements associated with Toxicity Category I and Toxicity Category II labeling [40 CFR 162.10 (h)(2)(i)] should minimize the acute hazards associated with these routes of exposure.

2. Dichlorvos (DDVP), a metabolite of naled was originally referred to the Rebuttable Presumption Against Registration (RPAR) process because scientific studies indicated that dichlorvos was mutagenic and might cause cancer, nerve damage and birth defects in laboratory animals. The RPAR Decision Document on Dichlorvos, was issued by the Agency on September 30, 1982. In this document the Agency evaluated the available data on dichlorvos in accordance with 40 CFR 162.11 (Criteria for Determination of Unreasonable Adverse Effects) and concluded that the existing evidence does not support the issuance of an RPAR for dichlorvos and consequently, that an RPAR for naled as a precursor of dichlorvos is also not warranted.

However, the Decision Document concluded that additional data on carcinogenicity and mutagenicity are needed to complete the risk assessment for dichlorvos. Because the data base was incomplete, DDVP was removed from the RPAR process and returned to the registration process. On March 23, 1983, the Agency issued a Data Call-in Notice under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(b), requesting data on potential mutagenic effects of dichlorvos be submitted by March 23, 1985. Additionally, the Agency will wait until the ongoing National Cancer Institute (NCI) dichlorvos bioassay on carcinogenicity is completed (currently

scheduled for completion in 1984) and evaluated prior to determining if additional data on the carcinogenicity of dichlorvos will be required. Since dichlorvos is a metabolite of naled, evaluation of these studies will be necessary for the completion of the naled risk assessment.

3. No other human toxicological hazards of concern to the Agency have been identified in studies reviewed for this Standard.

4. Based on residue chemistry and toxicological considerations, there is no evidence to suggest that the current tolerances are likely to expose the public to unreasonable adverse effects.

5. The Agency has, for the period 1970-1981 (primarily 1979-1981) received reports of 55 pesticide incidents involving naled, either as sole active ingredient or in combination with other active ingredients. Of these 55 incidents, 40 involved definite or possible human exposure. In at least 9 of these cases there was a physician's diagnosis of pesticide poisoning. In 26 incidents there was medical and/or emergency room treatment with only one additional case requiring hospitalization. No fatalities were reported.

It is not certain from the summary information provided in the Pesticide Incident Monitoring System (PIMS) report what products or types of products were involved in these exposures, or whether some incidents may have resulted from

deliberate misuse and/or carelessness, or whether labeling directions were disregarded.

There were 6 reported incidents involving children 5 years of age or younger. In each of these incidents there was possible oral exposure. In at least one of these incidents a physician's diagnosis of pesticide poisoning was made. Again, it is not certain what products or type of products were involved. These incidents occurred during a period when the Agency did not require child-resistant packaging. The requirement of child-resistant packaging for products with acute oral LD₅₀ values of 1500 mg/kg or less, approved for residential application (40 CFR 162.16) should reduce potential risks of accidental exposure.

The absence of reported fatalities, taken in conjunction with the apparent adequacy of medical and/or emergency room treatment in the vast majority of reported cases (only one reported case involving hospitalization) suggests an acceptably low level of risk associated with incidental or accidental exposure to naled products.

6. Naled degrades fairly rapidly with half-lives of ≤ 8 hours in soils and ≤ 25 hours in aqueous solutions. Dichlorvos is also rapidly degraded in soil with half-lives of 2.3 - 8.0 hours. Naled exhibits low to intermediate mobility in soils, whereas dichlorvos is intermediately mobile to mobile. Limited data indicate that the rapid dissipation and relatively low mobility of naled and inter-

mediate mobility of dichlorvos in soil will mitigate contamination of ground water.

7. Based on studies available to assess hazards to wildlife and aquatic organisms, naled is characterized as very highly toxic to bees and aquatic invertebrates. It is moderately to highly toxic to fish and slightly toxic to upland game birds and waterfowl. Insufficient data are available to assess the toxicity of naled to estuarine and marine organisms. Label precautionary statements required by this Standard should reduce the hazard to fish and other wildlife. After data gaps are filled, the potential hazards to terrestrial and aquatic species will be better defined and additional labeling requirements may be imposed.

8. Data are requested by the Agency to address organic bromide residues which may result from naled uses and are of human toxicological concern. Additional data may be requested if these residues are found to be significant.

9. The wildlife risk assessment indicates that naled residues on treated feed would not become hazardous to birds unless sixteen (16) pounds active ingredient per acre or greater were applied. Since the maximum registered application rate is four (4) pounds active ingredient per acre the warning "Birds feeding on treated areas may be killed" is inappropriate and should be deleted from all naled product labels.

10. Under FIFRA, the Agency cannot cancel or withhold registration simply because data are missing or inadequate [see FIFRA Sections 3(c)(2)(B) and 3(c)(7)]. Rather, issuance of this Standard provides a mechanism for identifying data needs. These data will be reviewed and evaluated when they are received and the Agency will determine at that time whether they will affect the registration(s) of naled.

E. CRITERIA FOR REGISTRATION UNDER THIS STANDARD

To be covered by this Standard, products must contain naled as the sole active ingredient, bear required labeling, and conform to the product composition, acute toxicity limits, and use pattern requirements listed in Section F of this document.

The applicant for registration or reregistration of products subject to this Standard must comply with all terms and conditions described herein. These include making a commitment to fill data gaps on a schedule specified by the Agency. Applicants for registration under this Standard must follow the instructions contained in this guidance package and complete and submit the appropriate forms within the time specified.

F. ACCEPTABLE RANGES AND LIMITS

1. Product Composition Standard

To be covered under this Standard, manufacturing-use products must contain naled as the sole active ingredient. Each MP

formulation proposed for registration must be fully described and include an appropriate certification of limits for all contaminants and impurities, and carry-over starting materials and/or intermediates above the level of 0.1% in the technical product.

2. Acute Toxicity Limits

The Agency will consider registration of technical grade products and MPs containing naled for any acute toxicity category, provided that the labeling of those products bears appropriate precautionary statements.

3. Use Patterns

To be registered under this Standard manufacturing-use products containing naled may be labeled for formulation only into end-use products for:

- ° Terrestrial, food uses on: alfalfa (forage, seed), almonds, beans (dry, succulent), broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cabbage, cantaloupes, cauliflower, celery, chard (including Swiss), collards, cotton, cucumbers, eggplants, grapefruit, grapes, honeydew melons, hops, kale, lemons, lettuce, muskmelons, oranges, pastures (forage grasses and legumes)(including those for livestock and dairy cattle), peaches, peas (succulent), peppers, pumpkins, rangeland, safflower (seed), soybeans (beans: dry and succulent), spinach, squash (winter,

summer), strawberries, sugar beets, tangerines, tomatoes, turnips, turnip greens, walnuts, and watermelons.

- ° Terrestrial, non-food uses on: athletic fields, camp sites, cull piles, dwellings (including campers, hotels, motels, tourist courts, patios, and yards), fence rows, municipalities, ornamental conifers (including arborvitae, Douglas fir, hemlock, juniper, pine and spruce), ornamental deciduous trees (including ash, birch, black walnut, box-elder, crabapple, dogwood, elm, evergreen pear, flowering plum, flowering/ornamental quince, locust, magnolia, maple, oak, sycamore, walnut, and willow), ornamental grasses (including dichondra), ornamental herbaceous plants (including aster, Canterbury bells, carnations, dahlias, daisies, gladiolus, iris, marigold, nursery stock, stock, and zinnia), ornamental lawns, ornamental plants (including nursery stock), ornamental turf, ornamental woody shrubs (including aucuba, azalea, hibiscus, holly, juniper, nursery stock, pittosporum, privet and snowball), residential areas, roses (including nursery stock), sewage plants, swimming pool areas, theaters (open air), and tobacco.
- ° Aquatic, food uses on: rice.
- ° Aquatic, non-food uses on: marinas, swamps, swimming pool areas, and tidal marshes.

- ° Greenhouse, food uses on: vegetable crops (including cucumbers, mushrooms, and tomatoes).
- ° Greenhouse, non-food uses on: ornamental plants (including carnations, chrysanthemum, poinsettias, roses, and snapdragons).
- ° Forestry uses on: forest trees - conifers (including arborvitae, Douglas fir, fir, hemlock, juniper, pine and spruce), forest trees - deciduous (including ash, birch, black walnut, boxelder, dogwood, elm, locust, magnolia, maple, oak, sycamore, walnut, and willow), and woodlands.
- ° Domestic, outdoor uses on: dog houses, kennels, and dwellings (including campers, hotels, motels, tourist courts, patios and yards).
- ° Indoor uses on: animal buildings (for other than dairy cattle, poultry and pets)(including barns, feeding areas, shelters, and stables)(including cattle, goats, hogs, horses, and sheep), animal hospitals (for pets and other animals), calf barns, canneries, cats, cider mills, corrals, dairy barns (including milk rooms, equipment, and barnyards), dogs, dog houses, domestic dwellings (including campers, hotels, motels and tourist courts), drive-ins, factories, feedlots, garbage containers, garbage dumps, kennels (dog), livestock feeding areas, loading docks, meat packing establishments, pens, poultry droppings,

poultry houses (including equipment and yards)(including those for chickens, pheasants, and turkeys), poultry packing/processing plants, restaurants, warehouses, and wineries.

G. REQUIRED LABELING

All manufacturing-use products containing naled must bear appropriate labeling as specified in 40 CFR 162.10. Other portions of the guidance package contain specified information regarding label requirements.

1. Use Pattern Statements

The ingredients statement for MPs must list the active ingredient as:

Naled, (1,2-dibromo-2,2-dichloroethyl
dimethyl phosphate) _____%.

In addition, all MPs must state that they are intended only for formulation into end-use products for any of the use patterns listed above. They must specify specific sites listed in Use Patterns in Section F.3. A limiting factor will be the data that supports these use patterns. No use may be included on the label, or labeling, where the registrant fails to agree to comply with the data requirements in either TABLE A for that use pattern, or TABLE B.

2. Precautionary Statements

Labels for all MP products containing naled must bear statements reflecting the acute human toxicity of the compound. Naled is in Toxicity Category I on the basis of eye irritation effects and Category II on the basis of acute oral and acute dermal toxicity or effects. The Agency has no valid acute inhalation or dermal sensitization data for naled. The required precautionary statements associated with Toxicity Category I and II are specified in 40 CFR §162.10.

The following environmental hazard statement must appear on the manufacturing-use product labels:

"This product is toxic to fish, aquatic invertebrates, and wildlife. Do not discharge into lakes, streams, ponds or public water unless in accordance with NPDES permit. For guidance contact your regional office of the Environmental Protection Agency."

Labeling changes to end-use products (EPs) are not required by this Standard, however, based on data reviewed by the Agency the following statements will be required for EPs under the Agency's Label Improvement Program.

"This pesticide is toxic to fish, aquatic invertebrates, and wildlife. Do not apply directly to water or wetlands. Runoff from treated areas may be hazardous to aquatic organisms in neighboring areas. Do not contaminate water by cleaning of equipment or disposal of wastes."

°"This product is highly toxic to bees exposed to direct treatment on blooming crops or weeds. Do not apply this product or allow it to drift to blooming crops or weeds while bees are actively visiting the treatment area."

The following "General Warnings and Limitations" statements must appear on end-use product labels which bear directions for aquatic use on food or feed crops:

°"Do not use with highly alkaline materials such as lime or bordeaux mixture. Shrimp and crabs may also be killed at application rates recommended. Do not apply to tidal or marsh waters which are important shrimp producing areas."

The term "Birds feeding on treated areas may be killed" is inappropriate and should be deleted from all labels (reference D. Regulatory Rationale, 9).

PR Notice 83-2, dated March 29, 1983, sets forth current Agency policy on required label changes for reentry and farmworker safety. A reentry interval of 24 hours for the use of naled on crops is required. The Agency reserves the right to revise this reentry interval after receipt and review of the data required in TABLE A and TABLE B of this Standard.

The Agency may impose additional label requirements after the receipt and review of the data to be submitted under this Standard.

H. TOLERANCE REASSESSMENT

A summary of the tolerances for combined residues of naled and 2,2-dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate (DDVP) in or on raw agricultural commodities resulting from the application of naled formulations to growing crops, livestock and poultry (40 CFR 180.215, July 1981) is presented in Table I at the end of this section.

Canadian and Mexican tolerances are presented for comparison; it is not known whether these tolerances are for combined residues of naled and DDVP or for residues of naled alone. No international maximum residue limits (MRLs) have presently been established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission.

United States tolerances are identical with those of Canada and Mexico in all cases except peas and peppers, for which the U.S. and Canadian tolerances are 0.5 ppm and Mexican tolerances are 1 ppm (Table I). It must be noted that the commodities are defined differently by the respective countries in these two cases (see footnotes b and c in Table I). As previously mentioned, it is not known whether Canadian or Mexican tolerances are expressed in terms of combined residues of naled and DDVP (as U.S. tolerances are) or in terms of naled alone. Based on the above, as well as the absence of Codex MRLs for naled residues, compatibilities of international tolerances cannot be fully assessed at this time.

The components of the residue from the metabolism in plants which are of concern are naled and DDVP, and to a lesser extent, organic bromide. Additional data on the residues of organic bromide are being requested. Tolerances exist for combined residues of naled and DDVP (expressed as naled) and should continue to reflect the concern for these two components.

The components of the residue from the metabolism in animals which are of concern are the same as those in or on plants. However, data on the metabolism of naled in poultry are missing and this constitutes a data gap.

The Theoretical Maximum Residue Contribution (TMRC) is 1.1021 mg/day as naled, assuming a 1.5 kg diet, based on the tolerances and food factors for all of the commodities for which U.S. tolerances are established. No Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) or Maximum Permissible Intake (MPI) figures have been established, due to the absence of acceptable toxicological data for naled. Reassessment of the established naled tolerances must await receipt and evaluation of the required data as set forth in TABLE A and TABLE B.

The tolerances for combined residues of naled and DDVP are supported for almonds (hulls and nuts), rice grain and forage, safflower seed, sugar beet roots and tops, and fat, meat and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses and sheep, and milk. No additional data are required for walnut meats because the residues in the consumed portion are expected to be minimal.

Tolerances are partially supported (for some uses) for forage legumes (alfalfa), grapes, grass forage, lettuce, summer squash, and tomatoes.

Additional data are required to support the tolerances for beans (dry and succulent), broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cabbage, cauliflower, celery, collards, cottonseed, cucumbers, eggplant, eggs, grapefruit, hops, kale, lemons, melons, mushrooms, oranges, pea forage, peaches, peas, peppers, poultry (fat, meat, meat by-products), pumpkins, soybean forage, spinach, strawberries, Swiss chard, tangerines, turnip tops, and winter squash.

Data are required on residues in the processed products of: citrus (any member fruit), cottonseed, grapes, hops, rice, and tomatoes. Data are also needed for turnip roots. A tolerance must be established for this commodity.

TABLE I. SUMMARY OF PRESENT TOLERANCES FOR NALED

Commodity	Tolerances (ppm)		
	United States	Canada	Mexico
Almonds (hulls, nuts)	0.5	--	--
Beans (dry, succulent)	0.5	0.5	0.5
Broccoli	1.0	1.0	1.0
Brussels sprouts	1.0	1.0	--
Cabbage	1.0	1.0	--
Cattle (fat, meat, meat by-products)	0.05	--	--
Cauliflower	1.0	1.0	--
Celery	3.0	--	3.0
Citrus fruits a/	3.0	3.0	3.0
Collards	3.0	--	--
Cottonseed	0.5	--	0.5
Cucumbers	0.5	0.5	0.5
Eggplant	0.5	0.5	0.5
Eggs	0.05	--	--
Goats (fat, meat, meat by-products)	0.05	--	--
Grapes	0.5	--	0.5
Grasses, forage	10.0	--	--
Hogs (fat, meat, meat by-products)	0.05	--	--
Hops	0.5	--	--
Horses (fat, meat, meat by-products)	0.05	--	--

TABLE I (Continued)

Commodity	Tolerances (ppm)		
	United States	Canada	Mexico
Kale	3.0	--	--
Legumes, forage	10.0	--	--
Lettuce	1.0	1.0	1.0
Melons	0.5	0.5	0.5
Milk	0.05	--	--
Mushrooms	0.5	--	--
Peaches	0.5	--	0.5
Peas b/	0.5	0.5	1.0
Pecans	--	--	0.5
Peppers c/	0.5	0.5	1.0
Poultry (fat, meat, meat by-products)	0.05	--	--
Pumpkins	0.5	0.5	--
Rice	0.5	0.5	0.5
Safflower seed	0.5	--	--
Sheep (fat, meat, meat by-products)	0.05	--	--
Soybeans	--	0.5	0.5
Spinach	3.0	3.0	3.0
Squash (summer, winter)	0.5	0.5	0.5
Strawberries	1.0	1.0	1.0

TABLE I (Continued)

Commodity	Tolerances		
	United States	Canada	Mexico
Sugar beets (roots, tops)	0.5	--	--
Swiss chard	3.0	3.0	--
Tomatoes	0.5	0.5	0.5
Turnips, tops	3.0	3.0	--
Walnuts	0.5	0.5	--
All other raw agricultural commodities except those listed d/	0.5	0.5	--

- a/ United States tolerances are for grapefruit, lemons and tangerines; Canadian and Mexican tolerances are for all citrus fruits.
- b/ United States tolerance is for succulent peas only; Canadian and Mexican tolerances are for all peas.
- c/ The Mexican tolerance is for chili peppers only; the United States and Canadian tolerances are for all peppers.
- d/ To account for area pest (fly and mosquito) control.

III. REQUIREMENT FOR SUBMISSION OF GENERIC DATA

- A. This portion of the guidance document is a Notice issued under the authority of FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B) and describes, in table format, the data required for maintaining the registrability of each product. Additionally, a bibliography (Appendix III-1) is included that identifies that data considered as part of the data base supporting this standard. EPA has determined that additional generic data described in this Notice must be submitted to EPA for evaluation in order to maintain in effect the registration(s) of your product(s) identified as an attachment to the cover letter accompanying this guidance document. As required by FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B), you are required to take appropriate steps to comply with this Notice.

EPA may suspend the registration of each of those products unless, within the specified time, you have informed EPA how you will satisfy the requirements of this Notice. Any such suspension will remain in effect until you have complied with the terms of this Notice.

- B. What Generic Data ^{1/}Must Be Submitted. You may ascertain which generic data you must submit by consulting Table A at the end of this section. That table shows all the generic data needed to evaluate the continued registrability of all products, and the dates by which the data must be submitted. The required data must be submitted and any necessary studies must be conducted in accordance with EPA-approved protocols, the Pesticide Registration Guidelines ^{2/}, or data collected under the approved protocols of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). If you wish not to develop data which are necessary to support the registration or reregistration of certain uses appearing in your labeling, you may delete those uses at the time you submit your revised labeling.

Also for certain kinds of testing (generally ecological effects), EPA requires the test substance to be a "typical formulation," and in those cases EPA needs data of that

^{1/} Generic data pertain to the properties or effects of a particular ingredient, and thus are relevant to an evaluation of the risks of all products containing that ingredient (or all such products having a certain use pattern), regardless of any such product's unique composition or use. Product-specific data relate only to the properties or effects of a product with a particular composition (or a group of products with closely similar composition).

^{2/} The Pesticide Registration Guidelines were repropoed on November 24, 1982 in 47 Federal Register 53192.

type for each major formulation category (e.g., emulsifiable concentrates, wettable powders, granulars, etc.) These are classified as generic data and when needed are specified in Table A. EPA may possess data on certain "typical formulations" but not others. Note: The "typical formulation" data should not be confused with product-specific data (Table B) which are required on each formulation. Product-specific data are further explained in Section IV of this document.

C. Options Available for Complying With Requirements to Submit Data

Within 90 days of your receipt of this Notice you must submit to EPA a completed copy of the form entitled "FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B) Summary Sheet" [EPA Form 8580-1, Appendix III-2] for each of your products. On that form you must state which of the following methods you will use to comply with the requirements of this Notice:

1. (a) Notify EPA that you will submit the data, and
(b) either submit the existing data you believe will satisfy the requirement, or state that you will generate the data by conducting testing. If the test procedures you will use deviate from (or are not specified in) the Registration Guidelines or protocols contained in the Reports of Expert Groups to the Chemicals Group, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Chemicals Testing Programme, you must enclose the protocols you will use.
2. Notify EPA that you have entered into an agreement with one or more other registrants to jointly develop (or share in the cost of developing) the data. If you elect this option, you must notify EPA which registrant(s) are parties to the agreement.
3. File with EPA a completed "Certification of Attempt to Enter Into an Agreement With Other Registrants for Development of Data" (EPA Form 8580-6, Appendix III-3)*
4. Request that EPA amend your registration by deleting the uses for which the data are needed. (This option is not available to applicants for new products.)

*/ FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B) authorizes joint development of data by two or more registrants, and provides a mechanism by which parties can obtain an arbitrator's decision if they agree to jointly develop data but fail to agree on all the terms of the agreement. The statute does not compel any registrant to agree to develop data jointly.

(Footnote continued at bottom of next page)

5. Request voluntary cancellation of the registration(s) of your products for which the data are needed. (This option is not available to applicants for new products.)

D. Procedures for Requesting Changes in Testing Methodology and Extensions of Time

EPA recognizes that you may disagree with our conclusions regarding the appropriate ways to develop the required data or how quickly the data must be submitted. If the test procedures you plan to use deviate from (or are not specified in) the registration guidelines or protocols contained in the reports of the Expert Groups to the Chemical Groups, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Chemicals Testing Programme, you must submit the protocol for Agency review prior to the initiation of the test.

If you think that you will need more time to generate the required data than is allowed by EPA's schedule, you may submit a request for an extension of time. The extension request must be submitted in writing to the Product Manager. The extension request should state the reasons why you conclude that an extension is appropriate. While EPA considers your request, you must strive to meet the deadline for submitting the required data.

(Footnote continued from previous page)

In EPA's opinion, joint data development by all registrants who are subject to the requirements to submit a pertinent item of data or a cost-sharing agreement among all such registrants is clearly in the public interest. Duplication of testing could increase costs, tie up testing facilities, and subject an unnecessarily large number of animals to testing.

As noted earlier, EPA has discretion not to suspend the registration of a product when a registrant fails to submit data required under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B). EPA has concluded that it is appropriate to exercise its discretion not to suspend in ways which will discourage duplicative testing. Accordingly, if (1) a registrant has informed us of his intent to develop and submit data required by this Notice; and (2) a second registrant informs EPA that it has made a bona fide offer to the first registrant to share in the expenses of the testing [on terms to be agreed upon or determined by arbitration under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)(iii)]; and (3) the first registrant has declined to agree to enter into a cost-sharing agreement, EPA will not suspend the second firm's registration. While the first firm is not required to agree to jointly develop data, EPA is not required to force the second firm to engage in economically inefficient duplicative testing in order to maintain its registration.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED 1/

Data Requirement	Composition <u>2/</u>	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation <u>3/</u>	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? <u>4/</u>
<u>§158.120 Product Chemistry</u> (continued)				
63-3 - Physical State	TGAI	Yes	00074790 G5092040	No
63-4 - Odor	TGAI	Yes	00074790 G5092040	No
63-5 - Melting Point	TGAI	Yes	G5092040	No
63-6 - Boiling Point	TGAI	Yes	00074653; 00074724* 00074790; G5092040	No
63-7 - Density, Bulk Density, or Specific Gravity	TGAI	Partial	00074653; 00074724* 00074790; G5092040	Yes <u>8/</u>

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED 1/

Data Requirement	Composition <u>2/</u>	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation <u>3/</u>	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? <u>4/</u>
<u>\$158.120 Product Chemistry</u> (continued)				
63- 8 - Solubility	TGAI OR PAI	Yes	00074653 00074790 GS092040	No
63- 9 - Vapor Pressure	TGAI OR PAI	Yes	00074653 00074790 GS092040	No
63-10 - Dissociation constant	TGAI OR PAI	No	-	Yes
63-11 - Octanol/water partition coefficient	PAI	No	-	Yes
63-12 - pH	TGAI	No	-	Yes
63-13 - Stability	TGAI	Yes	00074653 00074724 00074790	No
<u>Other Requirements:</u>				
64- 1 - Submittal of samples	Choice	-	-	No <u>9/</u>

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

\$158.120 Product Chemistry
(continued)

- 1/ Naled 90% technical is the only technical product. The cited data may be used to satisfy the requirements for technical naled manufactured by the process submitted by the Chevron Chemical Co. (00074653 and 00074791) containing 90% naled (or similar percentages accepted on a product by product basis).
- 2/ Composition: TGAI = Technical grade of the active ingredient; PAI = Pure active ingredient; Choice = Choice of several test substances determined on a case-by-case basis.
- 3/ All data cited were submitted by the Chevron Chemical Company.
- 4/ Data must be submitted no later than June 1986.
- 5/ Adequate data has been submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. Other producers must address these data requirements.
- 6/ The analytical methods used were inadequately described. Identification and quantification of impurities present at >0.1% (W/W) is required.
- 7/ There was a discrepancy of the limits. An update of the technical naled limits and quality control method (including validation data); adequate sampling (five or more production batches); and limit certification are required
- 8/ The data are conflicting. Clarification of the specific gravity of technical naled is required.
- 9/ May be required on a case-by-case basis.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirements	Composition ^{1/}	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No, or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{2/}
<u>\$158.125 Residue Chemistry</u>				
171-4 - Nature of Residue (Metabolism)				
- Plants	PAIRA	Partial	00074836 GS092090* 00074654 00074647	Yes <u>3/</u> , <u>4/</u>
- Livestock	PAIRA and plant metabolites	Partial	00074844 00059386 GS092091* GS092092*	Yes <u>3/</u>
171-4 - Residue Analytical Method				
- Plant residues	TGAI and metabolites	Partial	00074721; 00074806* 00074647; 00073820 00074725	Yes <u>4/</u>
- Animal residues	TGAI and metabolites	Yes	GS092026 00073821*	No
171-4 - Storage Stability Data	PAI	No	-	Yes <u>5/</u>

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirements	Composition ^{1/}	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No, or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{2/}
<u>\$158.125 Residue Chemistry</u> (continued)				
171-4 - Magnitude of the Residue- Residue Studies for Each Food Use <u>6/</u>				
- <u>Root and Tuber Vegetable Group</u>				
° Sugar Beet Roots	TEP	Yes	00074836; 00073821*; 00073815*; 00073819*	No
° Turnip Roots	TEP	No	-	Yes <u>7/</u>
- <u>Leaves of Root and Tuber Vegetables (Human Food or Animal Feed) Group <u>8/</u></u>				
° Sugar Beet Tops	TEP	Yes	00074836; 00073821*; 00073815*; 00073819*	No <u>9/</u>
° Turnip Tops	TEP	Partial	00073820	Yes <u>10/</u>
- <u>Leafy Vegetables Group (Except Brassica)</u>				
° Celery	TEP	Partial	00074836; 00073821*; 00074722	Yes <u>11/</u>
° Lettuce	TEP	Partial	00073820; 00074807	Yes <u>12/</u>
° Spinach	TEP	Partial	00073820; 00074722	Yes <u>13/</u>
° Swiss Chard	TEP	Partial	00074836	Yes <u>13/</u>

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition ^{1/}	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{2/}
<u>\$158.125 Residue Chemistry</u> (continued)				
171-4 - Magnitude of the Residue - Residue Studies (continued)				
- <u>Brassica (Cole) Leafy Vegetable Group</u>				
° Broccoli	TEP	Partial	00074836; 00073820	Yes <u>14/</u>
° Brussels Sprouts	TEP	No	-	Yes <u>15/</u>
° Cabbage	TEP	Partial	00074836	Yes <u>14/</u>
° Cauliflower	TEP	Partial	00073820	Yes <u>14/</u>
° Collards	TEP	Partial	00073821*	Yes <u>14/</u>
° Kale	TEP	Partial	00073821*	Yes <u>14/</u>
- <u>Legume Vegetables (Succulent and Dried) Group</u>				
° Beans	TEP	Partial	00074836; 00073846*; 00073820; 00074699; 00073821; 00074729	Yes <u>16/</u>
° Peas	TEP	Partial	00073846*	Yes <u>17/</u>
° Soybeans	TEP	Partial	00073821*; 00073846*	Yes <u>18/</u>

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition ^{1/}	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{2/}
<u>\$158.125 Residue Chemistry</u> (continued)				
- <u>Foliage of Legume Vegetables Group</u>				
° Bean Foliage	TEP	Partial	00074836; 00073820; 00073821*; 00073846*; 00074699; 00074729	Yes <u>19/</u>
° Pea Foliage	TEP	Partial	00073846*	Yes <u>20/</u>
° Soybean Foliage	TEP	Partial	00073821*; 00073846*	Yes <u>21/</u>
- <u>Fruiting Vegetables (Except Cucurbit) Group</u>				
° Eggplants	TEP	No	-	Yes <u>22/</u>
° Peppers	TEP	Partial	00074836*; 00073820	Yes <u>23/</u>
° Tomatoes	TEP	Partial	00074836; 00073820; 00075668	Yes <u>24/</u>
- <u>Fruiting Vegetables (Cucurbit) Group</u>				
° Cucumbers	TEP	Partial	00073820; 00075668	Yes <u>25/</u>
° Melons	TEP	Partial	00073820	Yes <u>26/</u>
° Pumpkins	TEP	No	-	Yes <u>27/</u>
° Summer Squash	TEP	Partial	00073820	Yes <u>28/</u>
° Winter Squash	TEP	No	-	Yes

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition ^{1/}	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ²
<u>\$158.125 Residue Chemistry</u> (continued)				
- <u>Citrus Fruits (Citrus Spp., Fortunella Spp.) Group</u>				
° Grapefruit	TEP	No	-	Yes
° Lemons	TEP	Partial	00073820	Yes <u>29/</u>
° Oranges	TEP	Partial	00073820; 00074807	Yes <u>30/</u>
° Tangerines	TEP	No	-	Yes
- <u>Stone Fruits Group</u>				
° Peaches	TEP	Partial	00074836*; 00073821*	Yes <u>31/</u>
- <u>Small Fruits and Berries Group</u>				
° Grapes	TEP	Partial	00074836; 00073821*; 00074728; 00073817*	Yes <u>32/</u>
° Strawberries	TEP	Partial	00073820	Yes <u>33/</u>
- <u>Cereal Grains Group</u>				
° Rice Grain	TEP	Partial	00074723; 00073820;	Yes <u>34/</u>

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition ^{1/}	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ²
<u>\$158.125 Residue Chemistry</u> (continued)				
- <u>Forage, Fodder, and Straw of Cereal Grains Group</u>				
° Rice Forage	TEP	Yes	00074723 00073820	No <u>35/</u>
- <u>Grass, Forage, Fodder and Hay Group</u>				
° Grass Forage (Pasture and Range)	TEP	Partial	00073816*	Yes <u>36/</u>
- <u>Non-Grass Animal Feeds (Forage, Fodder, Straw, and Hay) Group</u>				
° Alfalfa	TEP	Partial	00074836; 00073821*; 00073818*; 00072816	Yes <u>37/</u>
- <u>Tree Nuts Group</u> <u>38/</u>				
° Almonds	TEP	Yes	00073830	No <u>39/</u>
° Walnuts	TEP	Yes	00073821*	No

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition ^{1/}	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ²
<u>\$158.125 Residue Chemistry</u> (continued)				
- <u>Miscellaneous</u>				
° Cottonseed	TEP	Partial	00074700; 00073821*; 00074845*	Yes <u>40/</u>
° Hops	TEP	Partial	00073846*	Yes <u>41/</u>
° Mushrooms	TEP	Partial	GS092093	Yes <u>42/</u>
° Safflower Seed	TEP	Yes	00073846*; 00074845*	No <u>43/</u>
- <u>All Other Agricultural Commodities</u>	TEP	No	-	No <u>44/</u>
- <u>Food Producing Animals</u>				
° Meat and milk	EP, TGAI or plant metabolites	Yes	GS092094 GS092092* GS092095* 00073821* GS092026	No <u>45/</u>
° Poultry and eggs	EP, TGAI or plant metabolites	Partial	GS092096 00074692*	Yes <u>46/</u> , <u>47/</u>

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

\$158.125 Residue Chemistry
(continued)

- 1/ Composition: TGAI = Technical grade of the active ingredient; PAIRA = Pure active ingredient, radiolabelled; TEP = Typical end-use product; EP = End-use product.
- 2/ Data must be submitted no later than June 1986.
- 3/ Data are needed on the identity and amount (if any) in plants and animals of organic brominated components of the residue derived from naled itself or from its bromine-containing impurities. A protocol for this study must be submitted and approved by the Agency, prior to initiation of the study. The protocol must include a scheme for tracking organic-brominated residues.
- 4/ Methodology and data on residues of naled and DDVP determined separately for two representative crops such as lettuce and rice grain are needed.
- 5/ Some residue storage data is required to indicate a potential for the loss of residues between sampling and analysis.
- 6/ The following agricultural commodities are arranged in order of crop groups in accordance with Draft Proposed 40 CFR 180.34(f) [see FR 47(93)20635(5-13-82)]. Satisfaction of the crop group requirements for a given group would allow the establishment of a tolerance for all members of that group. To satisfy the requirements, the use patterns must be similar for all members of the crop group and maximum residues (tolerances) generally must not vary by more than a factor of five. Residue data for all of the representative commodities, or suitable substitutes, must be presented in order to establish a group tolerance; these representative crops are listed under the crop group sections which follow.
- 7/ No tolerances exists for turnip roots, yet residues are to be expected from the use on naled on turnips. These residues need to be covered by either a crop group tolerance (as previously indicated) or an individual tolerance. The lack of residue data on turnip roots constitutes a data gap for an individual tolerance, should one be requested.
- 8/ Data are available for both of the two representative commodities (sugar beet tops and turnip tops) needed to satisfy the requirements for this crop group. Based on the available data, however, a group tolerance cannot be established for the following reasons: 1) Residue data for turnip tops do not support the established tolerance, 2) Naled uses for turnips and sugar beets are substantially different in terms of the rates and formulations applied for, and 3) The tolerances for sugar beet tops (0.5 ppm) and turnip tops (3.0 ppm) differ by more than a factor of five.
- 9/ The available data support the tolerance for combined residues of naled and DDVP in or on sugar beet tops from the established use, and even under exaggerated rates of application. The restriction against feeding sugar beet tops to livestock appears unnecessary.
- 10/ Data are needed which reflect aerial and ground applications of the 4 or 6% Dusts (D) and 7.2 lb/gal Emulsifiable Concentrates (ECs) according to the use pattern. This will include five or more applications per season at the highest recommended rates.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

\$158.125 Residue Chemistry
(continued)

- 11/ Data are needed which reflect the following: 1) Five or more ground applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 1.35 lb ai/A, 2) Five or more aerial applications of the 4 or 6% D at 2.0 lb ai/A, and 3) Five or more ground applications of the 4 or 6% D at 2.0 lb ai/A.
- 12/ The available data support the established tolerance for head lettuce after applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC. Additional data are required which reflect both aerial and ground applications of either the 4 or 6% D; at least three applications at 2.0 lb ai/A must be made. All of the above data are required for leaf lettuce as well (including data for the 7.2 lb/gal EC). These data are to include at least one study to show residues in head lettuce, with and without wrapper leaves.
- 13/ Data are needed which reflect aerial and ground applications of the 4 or 6% dusts and the 7.2 lb/gal EC according to the use pattern. This will include five or more applications per season at the highest recommended rates.
- 14/ Data are needed which reflect five or more seasonal applications, with aerial and ground equipment, of the 4 or 6% D at 2.0 lb ai/A and of the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 1.8 lb ai/A.
- 15/ No residue data for Brussels sprouts are available for review; the tolerance can be supported by grouping with other crops whose tolerances are supported, or by residue data for the individual tolerance.
- 16/ Data are required which reflect three or more applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC and the 4 or 6% D formulations during the fruiting period with aerial and ground equipment.
- 17/ Data are required which reflect at least three applications of the 4% D and the 7.2 lb/gal EC at the maximum rates during the fruiting period. Both aerial and ground equipment must be used. Dried, succulent, and edible-pod types must be included.
- 18/ Data are needed which reflect at least five aerial and ground applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 1.35 lb ai/A; three of these treatments must be made during the fruiting period. Data are also needed to determine the residues in processed soybean products (crude and refined oil, hulls, meal, and soapstock) to establish the necessity of food additive tolerances for residues in these products.
- 19/ Data are required which reflect three or more applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC and the 4 or 6% D formulations with aerial and ground equipment. The restriction against feeding bean forage to livestock appears unnecessary.
- 20/ Data are required which reflect at least three applications of the 4% D and 7.2 lb/gal EC at the maximum rates be made during the fruiting period using aerial and ground equipment.
- 21/ Data are needed which reflect at least five aerial and ground applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 1.35 lb ai/A for forage; three of these treatments must be made during the fruiting period for residue studies on pods and vines.
- 22/ No residue data for eggplant are available for review; the tolerance can be supported by grouping with other fruiting vegetables (except cucurbits) whose tolerances are supported, or by residue data for the individual tolerance.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

\$158.125 Residue Chemistry
(continued)

- 23/ Data are needed which reflect five aerial and ground applications of the 4% D and the 7.2 lb/gal EC; at least three of these applications must be made during the fruiting period.
- 24/ The available data support the established tolerance for tomato fruit following ground applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC. Data are still needed which reflect: 1) Five aerial applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 0.9 lb ai/A (at least three applications must be made during the fruiting period), 2) Five aerial and ground applications of the 4% D at 2.0 lb ai/A (at least three applications must be made during the fruiting period), 3) Five foliar greenhouse sprays with the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 0.9 lb ai/100 gal (at least three applications must be made during the fruiting period), 4) Ten greenhouse fumigations with the RTU and 7.2 lb/gal EC at 16 fl oz of product/50,000 cu ft, and 0.28 lb ai/50,000 cu ft, respectively, (at least five applications must be made during the fruiting period), and 5) Residues in processed tomato products (ketchup, paste, and wet and dry pomace).
- 25/ Data are needed which reflect the following: 1) Five aerial and ground applications of the 4% D at 2.0 lb ai/A (at least three applications must be made during the fruiting period), 2) Five aerial and ground applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 1.35 lb ai/A (at least three applications must be made during the fruiting period), and 3) Ten greenhouse fumigations using the 7.2 lb/gal EC and 10% RTU at 0.28 lb ai/50,000 cu ft and 16 oz product/50,000 cu ft, respectively (at least five applications must be made during the fruiting period).
- 26/ Data are needed which reflect the following: Five aerial and ground applications of the 4% D at 2.0 lb ai/A (at least three applications must be made during the fruiting period).
- 27/ Data are needed which reflect the following: 1) Five aerial and ground applications of the 4% D at 2.0 lb ai/A (at least three applications must be made during the fruiting period), and 2) Five aerial and ground applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 1.35 lb ai/A (at least three applications must be made during the fruiting period). The available data on rice straw are applicable to other grain straws and indicate that these contribute substantially to the bromide ion content of the animal diet.
- 28/ The available data support the established tolerance for summer squash treated with ground applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC. Additional data are required which reflect the following: 1) Five aerial applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 1.35 lb ai/A (three or more applications must be made during the fruiting period), and 2) Five aerial and ground applications of the 4% D at 2.0 lb ai/A (three or more applications must be made during the fruiting period).
- 29/ Data are needed which reflect 10 aerial and ground applications of the 4% D and 7.2 lb/gal EC at 4.0 and 1.8 lb ai/A, respectively; five or more of these applications must be made during the fruiting period.
- 30/ Data are needed which reflect 10 aerial and ground applications of the 4% D and 7.2 lb/gal EC at 4.0 and 1.8 lb ai/A, respectively; five or more of these applications must be made during the fruiting period. Data is also needed on processed products, cold pressed oil, peel, dehydrated pulp and molasses (fractionation study).

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

\$158.125 Residue Chemistry
(continued)

- 31/ Data are required which include the following; 1) Ten ground applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 0.68 lb ai/100 gal sprayed to the point of runoff, 2) Ten aerial and ground applications of the 4% D at 3.2 lb ai/A.
- 32/ The available data support the established tolerance for grapes based on residues resulting from ground application of the 7.2 lb/gal EC. Additional data are required which reflect 10 aerial and ground applications of the 4% D at 2.0 lb ai/A. Also, data pertaining to naled residues in the following grape products (juice, wet and dehydrated pomace, raisins, and raisin waste) are needed to determine if food additive tolerances should be established for these products.
- 33/ Data are required which reflect five aerial and ground applications of the 4 or 6% D at 2.0 lb ai/A and the 7.2 lb/gal EC at 0.9 lb ai/A.
- 34/ The available data support the established tolerance for residues of naled and DDVP in or on rice resulting from the use of the 7.2 lb/gal EC. Data are required for rice products (hulls and milled products and by-products) to determine if feed additive tolerances need be established for these products.
- 35/ The available data support the established tolerance for residues of naled and DDVP in or on rice forage resulting from the use of the 7.2 lb/gal EC.
- 36/ The available data support the established tolerance on pasture and range grasses for foliar ground application of the EC and SC/L formulations. Data are required, however, which reflect five aerial applications of the EC and one of the SC/L formulations at 0.9 and 0.75 lb ai/A, respectively. Also, residue data are required which reflect five aerial and ground applications of the 4% D at 0.4 lb ai/A. In addition, residue data for grass hay are needed to determine if a separate, or increased, tolerance should be established for this dehydrated product.
- 37/ The available data support the established tolerance for alfalfa forage following treatment with the EC and SC/L formulations. Additional data are required which reflect five aerial and ground applications of the 4% D. Residue data for alfalfa hay are also needed to determine if a separate, or increased, tolerance should be established for this dehydrated product.
- 38/ A group tolerance may not be established at this time because the almond and walnut uses are distinctly different, and because additional data are required for pecans.
- 39/ The available data support the established tolerance for almond hulls and nuts following a dormant application. In addition, the data indicate that three foliar applications at 3.0-6.0 lb ai/A do not result in tolerance-exceeding residues in or on almond hulls and meats 28 days after the final treatment. The use pattern could thus be expanded to include foliar applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC if such a need is anticipated.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

\$158.125 Residue Chemistry
(continued)

- 40/ Data are required for cottonseed which reflect five aerial and ground applications of the 4% D and 7.2 lb/gal EC at 1.4 and 0.9 lb ai/A, respectively. If residues are, in fact, present in undelinted seed, then additional residue data (reflecting the above doses) for cottonseed hulls, meal, refined oil, and soapstock are required to determine if food additive tolerances should be established for these processed products.
- 41/ Data are required on hops which reflect five aerial and ground applications of the 4% D and 7.2 lb/gal EC at 1.0 and 0.9 lb ai/A, respectively. Also, data are required concerning residues in dried spent hops.
- 42/ Data from the following uses are needed: 1) 20 applications of the 7.2 lb/gal EC used as a RTU at 6.75 oz ai/50,000 cu ft, and 2) 20 applications of the 10% RTU at 5 fl oz/50,000 cu ft.
- 43/ The available data support the established tolerance for combined residues of naled and DDVP in or on safflower seed and indicate that food additive tolerances need not be established for safflower meal and oil. This data cannot be translated to other oilseeds because their fractions include hulls and soapstock.
- 44/ A tolerance of 0.5 ppm is established for combined residues on naled and DDVP in or on all raw agricultural commodities, except those otherwise listed in 40 CFR 180.125 (July 1981), from use of naled formulations for area pest (fly and mosquito) control. The recommended rates are consistently lower (frequently 0.02-0.25 lb ai/A) for area pest uses than for crop pest uses (usually 0.68-4.0 lb ai/A). Although it is a major use on naled formulations, area pest usage will result in intermittent and variable exposure of a given commodity to naled residues. For these reasons, the submission of data to support this extensive tolerance is not required. All commodities included in this tolerance will, of course, be subject to enforcement of this tolerance.
- 45/ The available data support the established tolerances for combined residues of naled and DDVP from dietary sources in the meat and milk of cattle. The data are considered supportive of the tolerances for residues in the meat and milk of other animals (goats, hogs, horses and sheep) as well. The contribution of combined residues on naled and DDVP to meat and milk from the use of naled at its reduced rates on or around livestock is not expected to be significant in relation to the levels which result from dietary sources.
- 46/ Residue data are needed on eggs and poultry resulting from 10 bird-spray treatments with either the 3.6 or 7.2 lb/gal EC at 0.45 lb ai/20 gal.
- 47/ Data submitted to the Agency was conducted by Industrial Bio-Test Laboratories (IBT) and has been determined to be invalid.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition ^{1/}	Use ^{2/} Pattern	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{3/}
<u>\$158.130 Environmental Fate</u>					
<u>DEGRADATION STUDIES-LAB:</u>					
161-1 - Hydrolysis	TGAI or PAIRA	A,B,C,D, E,F,G,H	No	-	Yes
<u>Photodegradation</u>					
161-2 - In water	TGAI or PAIRA	A,B,C,G	No	-	Yes
161-3 - On soil	TGAI or PAIRA	A,G	No	-	Yes
161-4 - In Air	TGAI or PAIRA	A,C,E,F	No	-	Yes
<u>METABOLISM STUDIES-LAB:</u>					
162-1 - Aerobic Soil	TGAI or PAIRA	A,B,D,E, F,H	Partial <u>4/</u>	00074759*	Yes
162-2 - Anaerobic Soil	TGAI or PAIRA	A,G	No <u>5/</u>	-	Yes
162-3 - Anaerobic Aquatic	TGAI or PAIRA	C,D,G	No	-	Yes
162-4 - Aerobic Aquatic	TGAI or PAIRA	C,D	Partial <u>6/</u>	00074691* 00074885* 00074644**	Yes
<u>MOBILITY STUDIES:</u>					
163-1 - Leaching and Adsorption/Desorption	TGAI or PAIRA	A,B,C,D, E,F,G,H	Partial <u>7/</u>	00064796*	Yes
163-2 - Volatility (Lab)	TEP	A,E,F	No	-	Yes
163-3 - Volatility (Field)	TEP	A,E,F <u>8/</u>	No	-	Reserved <u>9/</u>

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

**Data submitted by National Chemsearch, Division of NCH Corp. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition	<u>1/</u> Use <u>2/</u> Pattern	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{3/}
<u>\$158.130 Environmental Fate</u> (continued)					
<u>DISSIPATION STUDIES-FIELD:</u>					
164-1 - Soil	TEP	A,B,H	No	-	Yes
164-2 - Aquatic (Sediment)	TEP	C,D	Partial <u>10/</u>	00074645*	Yes
164-3 - Forestry	TEP	G	No	-	Yes
164-4 - Combination and Tank Mixes	TEP		Not Applicable	-	-
164-5 - Soil, Long-term	TEP	A	Reserved <u>11/</u>		
<u>ACCUMULATION STUDIES:</u>					
165-1 - Rotational Crops (Confined)	PAIRA	A	No	-	Yes
165-2 - Rotational Crops (Field)	TEP	A	No	-	Reserved <u>12/</u>
165-3 - Irrigated Crops	TEP	C	No	-	Yes
165-4 - In Fish	TGAI or PAIRA	A,B,C, D,G	Yes	00074643*	No <u>13/</u>
165-5 - In Aquatic Non-Target Organisms	TEP	D	Yes	00074643*	No

*Data submitted by National Chemsearch, Division of NCH Corp. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

\$158.130 Environmental Fate
(continued)

- 1/ Composition: TGAI = Technical grade of the active ingredient; PAIRA = Pure active ingredient, radiolabelled; TEP = Typical end-use product.
- 2/ The use patterns are coded as follows: A=Terrestrial, Food Crop; B=Terrestrial, Non-Food; C=Aquatic, Food Crop; D=Aquatic, Non-Food; E=Greenhouse, Food Crop; F=Greenhouse, Non-Food; G=Forestry; H=Domestic Outdoor; I=Indoor.
- 3/ Data must be submitted no later than June 1986.
- 4/ Tests with specified purity of radiolabeled or technical material required (composition test material not given).
- 5/ Anerobic aquatic studies replace the anerobic soil studies.
- 6/ Half-life was given, but only on one metabolite (DDVP). No information was given on DDVP metabolism, nor on sediment. Data from the intended use area(s) is required.
- 7/ Aged leaching tests are required to identify metabolites and degradation rates. No absorption/desorption data were submitted, therefore all data are required.
- 8/ Label modifications for the greenhouse use may be necessary because of high volatility and toxicity (Category II) of the metabolite DDVP. A decision will be made after an additional aerobic soil metabolism study is submitted and evaluated.
- 9/ Data requirement depends on the results of the laboratory studies.
- 10/ Partial information obtained from sewage water; additional data required on dissipation from other aquatic impact areas.
- 11/ This study is required only if the aerobic soil metabolism study described in 162-1 demonstrates that for field and vegetable crop use, the total amount of pesticide, excluding bound residues in soil, is greater than 50% of the amount of pesticide initially applied at the time when a subsequent application would occur.
- 12/ Reserved pending results of 165-1.
- 13/ No data on the accumulation of naled in fish are required because 1) naled has a half life of less than 4 days in water, 2) naled has an octanol water partition coefficient of less than 1000, and 3) no detectable residues were found in fish samples.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition	1/ Use Patterns	2/ Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{3/}
<u>\$158.135 Toxicology</u>					
<u>ACUTE TESTING:</u>					
81-1 - Oral LD ₅₀ - Rat	TGAI	A,B,C,D,E F,G,H,I	Yes	00049330 00074795 05016607 00065468	No
81-2 - Dermal LD ₅₀ - Rabbit	TGAI	A,B,C,D,E, F,G,H,I	Yes	00074829* 00074663 00049330	No
81-3 - Inhalation LC ₅₀ - Rat	TGAI	A,B,C,D,E, F,H,I	No	-	Yes
81-7 - Delayed Neurotoxicity - Hen	TGAI	A,B,C,D,E, F,G,H,I	Yes	00074656* 00074843	No
<u>SUBCHRONIC TESTING:</u>					
82-1 - 90-Day Feeding - Rodent, Non-rodent	TGAI	A,D,E,I	Yes	00074817 05016607 GS092097* 00074862	No
82-2 - 21-Day Dermal - Rabbit	TGAI	A,B,H,I	No	-	Yes
82-3 - 90-Day Dermal - Rabbit	TGAI		Reserved <u>4/</u>	-	-
82-4 - 90-Day Inhalation - Rat	TGAI	E,F,I	No	-	Yes
82-5 - 90-Day Neurotoxicity- Hen/Mammal	TGAI		No	-	No <u>5/</u>

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition	<u>1/</u> Use <u>2/</u> Pattern	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)?	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{2/}
<u>§158.135 Toxicology</u> (continued)					
<u>CHRONIC TESTING:</u>					
83-1 - Chronic Toxicity - 2 species: Rodent and Non-rodent	TGAI	A,B,C,E,H,I	No	-	Yes <u>6/</u> , <u>7/</u>
83-2 - Oncogenicity - 2 species: Rat and Mouse preferred	TGAI	A,B,C,E,H,I	No	-	Yes <u>6/</u> , <u>7/</u>
83-3 - Teratogenicity - 2 species	TGAI	A,B,C,E,H,I	No	-	Yes <u>7/</u> , <u>8/</u>
83-4 - Reproduction - Rat 2-generation	TGAI	A,B,C,E,H,I	No	-	Yes <u>6/</u> , <u>7/</u>
<u>MUTAGENICITY TESTING</u>					
84-2 - Gene Mutation	TGAI	A,B,C,E,H,I	Partial <u>7/</u> , <u>9/</u>	GS092103	Yes
84-2 - Chromosomal Aberration	TGAI	A,B,C,E,H,I	No	-	Yes
84-2 - Other Mechanisms of Mutagenicity	TGAI	A,B,C,E,H,I	No	-	Yes
<u>SPECIAL TESTING</u>					
85-1 - General Metabolism	PAI or PAIRA	A,B,C,E,H,I	Reserved <u>7/</u> , <u>10/</u>		
85-2 - Domestic Animal Safety	Choice	B,H,I	Reserved <u>10/</u>		

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

§158.135 Toxicology
(continued)

- 1/ Composition: TGAI = Technical grade of the active ingredient; PAI = Pure active ingredient; PAIRA = Pure active ingredient, radiolabelled; Choice = Choice of several test substances determined on a case-by-case basis.
- 2/ The use patterns are coded as follows: A=Terrestrial, Food Crop; B=Terrestrial, Non-Food; C=Aquatic, Food Crop; D=Aquatic, Non-Food; E=Greenhouse, Food Crop; F=Greenhouse, Non-Food; G=Forestry; H=Domestic Outdoor; I=Indoor.
- 3/ Data must be submitted no later than June 1986.
- 4/ Reserved pending results of 82-2 (21-day dermal).
- 5/ Not required since 81-7 (acute delayed neurotoxicity) does not show neurotoxicity.
- 6/ This study is to be completed by April 3, 1985, in accordance with the 3(c)(2)(B) Data Call-in Notice issued April 3, 1981.
- 7/ Data previously submitted to the Agency was conducted by Industrial Bio-Test Laboratories (IBT) and has been determined to be invalid.
- 8/ This study is to be completed by September 3, 1983, in accordance with the 3(c)(2)(B) Data Call-in Notice issued April 3, 1981 and a request for a 3-month extension.
- 9/ This data requirement is satisfied for bacterial testing only.
- 10/ Reserved pending results of subchronic and chronic testing. .

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition	^{1/} Pattern	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{3/}
<u>\$158.140 Reentry Protection</u>					
132-1 - Foliar Dissipation	TEP	A,B	No	-	Yes <u>4/</u>
132-1 - Soil Dissipation	TEP	A,B	No	-	No <u>5/</u>
133-3 - Dermal Exposure	TEP	A,B	No	-	No <u>5/</u>
133-4 - Inhalation Exposure	TEP	A,B	No	-	No <u>5/</u>

1/ Composition: TEP = Typical end-use product.

2/ The use patterns are coded as follows: A=Terrestrial, Food Crop; B=Terrestrial, Non-Food; C=Aquatic, Food Crop; D=Aquatic, Non-Food; E=Greenhouse, Food Crop; F=Greenhouse, Non-Food; G=Forestry; H=Domestic Outdoor; I=Indoor.

3/ Data must be submitted no later than June 1986.

4/ Use California reentry interval of 24 hours for all crops, or submit foliar dissipation data to establish a decline curve.

5/ Only foliar dissipation data are required.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition	^{1/} Use ^{2/} Pattern	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{3/}
<u>\$158.145 Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms</u>					
<u>AVIAN AND MAMMALIAN TESTING</u>					
71-1 - Avian Oral LD ₅₀	TGAI	A,B,C,D,G	Yes	GS092099	No
71-2 - Avian Dietary LC ₅₀	TGAI	A,B,C,D, E,F,G,H, I ^{4/}	Yes	00028757	No
71-3 - Wild Mammal Toxicity	TGAI	A,B,C,D,G	No	-	No <u>5/</u>
71-4 - Avian Reproduction	TGAI	A,B,C,D,G	No	-	No <u>5/</u>
71-5 - Simulated and Actual Field Testing - Mammals and Birds	TEP	A,B,C,D,G	No	-	No <u>5/</u>
<u>AQUATIC ORGANISM TESTING</u>					
72-1 - Freshwater Fish LC ₅₀	TGAI	A,B,C,D, E,F,G,H, I ^{4/}	Yes	05003107 GS092101 GS092102	No
-do-	TEP	C,D,G	Partial	GS092101 GS092102 GS092017	Yes <u>6/</u>

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition	<u>1/</u> Use <u>2/</u> Pattern	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{3/}
<u>\$158.145 Wildlife and</u> <u>Aquatic Organisms</u> (continued)					
72-2 - Acute LC ₅₀ Freshwater Invertebrates	TGAI	A,G,C,D, E,F,G,H, I <u>4/</u>	Yes	GS092100	No
-do-	TEP	C,D,G	No	-	Yes <u>6/</u>
72-3 - Acute LC ₅₀ Estuarine and Marine Organisms	TGAI	C,D,G	Partially <u>7/</u>	GS092104 00074684	Yes
72-4 - Fish Early Life Stage and Aquatic Invertebrate Life-Cycle	TGAI	C,D,G	Reserved <u>8/</u>		
72-5 - Fish - Life-Cycle	TGAI	C,D,G	Reserved <u>9/</u>		
72-6 - Aquatic Organism Accumulation	TGAI, PAI OR Degradation Product	C,D,G	Reserved <u>9/</u>		
72-7 - Simulated or Actual Field Testing - Aquatic Organisms	TEP	C,D,G	Reserved <u>9/</u>		

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

\$158.145 Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms
(continued)

- 1/ Composition: TGAI = Technical grade of the active ingredient; PAI = pure active ingredient;
TEP = Typical end-use product;
- 2/ The use patterns are coded as follows: A=Terrestrial, Food Crop; B=Terrestrial, Non-Food Crop; C=Aquatic, Food Crop;
D=Aquatic, Non-Food; E=Greenhouse, Food Crop; F=Greenhouse, Non-Food; G=Forestry; H=Domestic Outdoor; I=Indoor.
- 3/ Data must be submitted no later than June 1986.
- 4/ Only one species is required for the E,F,H and I use patterns.
- 5/ This data is not normally required.
- 6/ In addition to the technical, data must be submitted on unique formulations that are formulated for use in aquatic sites.
- 7/ In addition to the technical, testing is required for establishing the acute toxicity of the technical pesticide to estuarine/marine invertebrates when the end-use product is expected to enter the estuarine or marine environment in significant concentrations because of its use or mobility patterns. In the case of naled, it is used for mosquito control in estuarine marshes and swamps. Studies will include 48 hour oyster embryo-larvae or 96 hour shell deposition, 96 hour juvenile shrimp and 96 hour estuarine finfish (spot or pinfish). The available studies do not satisfy any of the guideline requirements and do not provide an adequate basis for assessing naled. Since naled is very highly toxic to Daphnia, it is essential to conduct the appropriate tests on estuarine organisms.
- 8/ Reserved pending submission of appropriate environmental fate studies (e.g., hydrolysis) which are needed to determine the persistence of naled in the aquatic environment.
- 9/ Reserved pending submission of appropriate environmental fate studies (e.g., dissipation and hydrolysis studies) which are needed to determine if hazardous concentrations of naled will reach or accumulate in the aquatic environments when products are used as directed.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirements	^{1/} Composition	^{2/} Use Pattern	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{3/}
<u>\$158.150 Plant Protection</u>					
121-1 - <u>TARGET AREA PHYTOTOXICITY</u>	EP		No	-	No <u>4/</u>
<u>NONTARGET AREA PHYTOTOXICITY</u>					
<u>TIER I</u>					
122-1 - Seed Germination/ Seedling Emergence	TGAI		No	-	No <u>4/</u>
122-1 - Vegetative Vigor	TGAI		No	-	No <u>4/</u>
122-2 - Aquatic Plant Growth	TGAI		No	-	No <u>4/</u>
<u>TIER II</u>					
123-1 - Seed Germination/ Seedling Emergence	TGAI		No	-	No <u>4/</u>
123-1 - Vegetative Vigor	TGAI		No	-	No <u>4/</u>
123-2 - Aquatic Plant Growth	TGAI		No	-	No <u>4/</u>
<u>TIER III</u>					
124-1 - Terrestrial Field	TEP		No	-	No <u>4/</u>
124-2 - Aquatic Field	TEP		No	-	No <u>4/</u>

1/ Composition: TGAI = Technical grade of the active ingredient; TEP = Typical end-use product.
EP = End-use product.

2/ The use patterns are coded as follows: A=Terrestrial, Food Crop; B=Terrestrial, Non-Food Crop; C=Aquatic, Food Crop; D=Aquatic, Non-Food; E=Greenhouse, Food Crop; F=Greenhouse, Non-Food; G=Forestry; H=Domestic Outdoor; I=Indoor.

3/ Data must be submitted no later than _____.

4/ These requirements are generally waived unless it is believed there is a phototoxicity problem.

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition	<u>1/</u> Use <u>2/</u> Pattern	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? <u>3/</u>
<u>\$158.155 Nontarget Insect</u>					
<u>NONTARGET INSECT TESTING - POLLINATORS:</u>					
141-1 - Honey bee acute contact LD ₅₀	TGAI	A,B,G,H	Yes	00036935	No
141-2 - Honey bee - toxicity of residues on foliage	TEP	A,B,G,H	Yes	00060628 00037799 05000837	No
141-3 - Wild bees important in alfalfa pollination - toxicity of residues on foliage	TEP	A <u>4/</u>	Yes	00060628 05000837	No
141-4 - Honey bee subacute feeding study	TEP	A,B,G,H	Reserved <u>5/</u>	-	-
141-5 - Field testing for pollinators	TEP	A,B,G,H	No	-	No <u>6/</u>

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR NALED

Data Requirement	Composition	<u>1/</u> Use <u>2/</u> Pattern	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? <u>3/</u>
<u>\$158.155 Nontarget Insect</u> (continued)					
<u>NONTARGET INSECT TESTING -</u> <u>AQUATIC INSECTS:</u>					
142-1 - Acute toxicity to aquatic insects	-		Reserved <u>7/</u>		
142-2 - Aquatic insect life-cycle study	-		Reserved <u>7/</u>		
142-3 - Simulated or actual field testing for aquatic insects	-		Reserved <u>7/</u>		
143-1 - <u>NONTARGET INSECT TESTING - PREDATORS</u> thru <u>AND PARASITES</u>	-		Reserved <u>7/</u>		
143-3					

1/ Composition: TGAI = Technical grade of the active ingredient; TEP = Typical end-use product.

2/ The use patterns are coded as follows: A=Terrestrial, Food Crop; B=Terrestrial, Non-Food; C=Aquatic, Food Crop; D=Aquatic, Non-Food; E=Greenhouse, Food Crop; F=Greenhouse, Non-Food; G=Forestry; H=Domestic Outdoor; I=Indoor.

3/ Data must be submitted no later than _____.

4/ Data required only for pesticides intended for foliar application to seed alfalfa.

5/ Reserved pending development of test methodology.

6/ May be required under the following conditions:

- i) Data from the honey bee subacute feeding study (141-4) indicate adverse effects on colonies, especially effects other than acute mortality (reproductive, behavioral, etc.);
- ii) Data from residual toxicity studies (141-2 and 141-3) indicate extended residual toxicity; or
- iii) Data derived from studies with organisms other than bees indicate properties of the pesticide beyond acute toxicity such as the ability to cause reproductive or chronic effects.

7/ Reserved pending decision as to whether data requirement should be established.

TABLE B
PRODUCT SPECIFIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR MANUFACTURING-USE PRODUCTS CONTAINING NALED 1/

Data Requirement	Composition <u>2/</u>	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation <u>3/</u>	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? <u>4/</u>
<u>\$158.120 Product Chemistry</u>				
<u>Product Identity:</u>				
61-1 - Identity of Ingredients	MP	Yes	00074653 00074724 GS092040	No
61-2 - Statement of Composition	MP	Partial	00074653 00074791*	Yes <u>5/</u>
61-3 - Discussion of Formation of Ingredients	MP	Partial	00065493; 00065494* 00074653; GS092040	Yes <u>6/</u>
<u>Analysis and Certification of Product Ingredients</u>				
62-1 - Preliminary Analysis	MP	Partial	00065493*; 00065494*; 00074655; 00074653	Yes <u>6/</u>
62-2 - Certification of Limits	MP	Partial	00065493*; 00065494*; 00074653	Yes <u>7/</u>
62-3 - Analytical Methods for Enforcement of Limits	MP	Partial	00065494*; 00074653 00074655; 00074724 00074846; GS092006	Yes <u>7/</u>
<u>Physical and Chemical Characteristics</u>				
63-2 - Color	MP	Yes	00074790 GS092040	No

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

TABLE B
PRODUCT SPECIFIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR MANUFACTURING-USE PRODUCTS CONTAINING NALED

Data Requirement	Composition ^{2/}	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation ^{3/}	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{4/}
<u>S158.120 Product Chemistry</u> (continued)				
63-3 - Physical State	MP	Yes	00074790 G5092040	No
63-4 - Odor	MP	Yes	00074790 G5092040	No
63-7 - Density, Bulk Density, or Specific Gravity	MP	Partial	00074653; 00074724 00074790; G5092040	Yes <u>8/</u>
63-12 - pH	MP	No	-	Yes
63-14 - Oxidizing or reducing action	MP	Yes	00074790	No
63-15 - Flammability	MP	Partial	00074790	Yes <u>9/</u>
63-16 - Explodability	MP	Yes	00074790	No
63-17 - Storage Stability	MP	Yes	00074653	No
63-18 - Viscosity	MP	Yes	00074790 GS092040	No
63-19 - Miscibility	MP	Yes	00074790 GS092040	No
63-20 - Corrosion	MP	Yes	00074790 GS092040	No
<u>Other Requirements:</u>				
64- 1 - Submittal of samples	MP	-	-	No <u>10/</u>

TABLE B
PRODUCT SPECIFIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR MANUFACTURING-USE PRODUCTS CONTAINING NALED

\$158.120 Product Chemistry
(continued)

- 1/ Naled 90% technical is the only manufacturing-use product.
The cited data may be used to satisfy the requirements for manufacturing-use naled manufactured by the process submitted by the Chevron Chemical Co. (00074653 and 00074791) containing 90% naled (or similar percentages accepted on a product by product basis).
- 2/ Composition: MP = Manufacturing-use product.
- 3/ All data cited were submitted by the Chevron Chemical Company.
- 4/ Data must be submitted no later than Dec 1983.
- 5/ Adequate data has been submitted by the Chevron Chemical Company. Other producers must address these data requirements.
- 6/ The analytical methods used were inadequately described. Identification and quantification of impurities present at >0.1% (W/W) is required.
- 7/ There was a discrepancy of the limits. An update of the manufacturing-use naled limits and quality control method (including validation data); adequate sampling (five or more production batches); and limit certification are required.
- 8/ The data are conflicting. Clarification of the specific gravity of manufacturing-use naled is required.
- 9/ The data are unclear. Clarification of the flammability of the manufacturing-use naled is required.
- 10/ May be requested on a case-by-case basis.

TABLE B
PRODUCT SPECIFIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR MANUFACTURING-USE PRODUCTS CONTAINING NALED

Data Requirement	Composition ^{1/}	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No or Partially)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)? ^{2/}
<u>\$158.135 Toxicology</u>				
<u>ACUTE TESTING</u>				
81-1 - Oral LD ₅₀ - Rat	MP	Yes	00049330 00074795 05016607 00065468	No
81-2 - Dermal LD ₅₀ - Rabbit	MP	Yes	00074829* 00074663 00049330	No
81-3 - Inhalation LC ₅₀ - Rat	MP	No	-	Yes
81-4 - Primary Eye Irritation - Rabbit	MP	Yes	GS092002	No
81-5 - Primary Dermal Irritation - Rabbit	MP	Yes	GS092001 GS092098	No
81-6 - Dermal Sensitization - Guinea Pig	MP	No	-	Yes <u>3/</u>

1/ Composition: MP = Manufacturing-use product.

2/ Data must be submitted no later than Dec 1983.

3/ Data submitted to the Agency was conducted by Industrial Bio-Test Laboratories (IBT) and has been determined to be invalid.

*Data submitted by Chevron Chemical Company. These data may be compensable.

Guide to Use of This Bibliography

1. **CONTENT OF BIBLIOGRAPHY.** This bibliography contains citations of all studies considered relevant by EPA in arriving at the positions and conclusions stated elsewhere in the Standard. Primary sources for studies in this bibliography have been the body of data submitted to EPA and its predecessor agencies in support of past regulatory decisions. Selections from other sources including the published literature, in those instances where they have been considered, will be included.
2. **UNITS OF ENTRY.** The unit of entry in this bibliography is called a "study". In the case of published materials, this corresponds closely to an article. In the case of unpublished materials submitted to the Agency, the Agency has sought to identify documents at a level parallel to the published article from within the typically larger volumes in which they were submitted. The resulting "studies" generally have a distinct title (or at least a single subject), can stand alone for purposes of review, and can be described with a conventional bibliographic citation. The Agency has attempted also to unite basic documents and commentaries upon them, treating them as a single study.
3. **IDENTIFICATION OF ENTRIES.** The entries in this bibliography are sorted numerically by "Master Record Identifier", or MRID, number. This number is unique to the citation, and should be used at any time specific reference is required. It is not related to the six-digit "Accession Number" which has been used to identify volumes of submitted studies; see paragraph 4(d)(4) below for a further explanation. In a few cases, entries added to the bibliography late in the review may be preceded by a nine-character temporary identifier. These entries are listed after all MRID entries. This temporary identifier number is also to be used whenever specific reference is needed.
4. **FORM OF ENTRY.** In addition to the Master Record Identifier (MRID), each entry consists of a citation containing standard elements followed, in the case of materials submitted to EPA, by a description of the earliest known submission. Bibliographic conventions used reflect the standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), expanded to provide for certain special needs.
 - a. **Author.** Whenever the Agency could confidently identify one, the Agency has chosen to show a personal author. When no individual was identified, the Agency has shown an identifiable laboratory or testing facility as author. As a last resort, the Agency has shown the first known submitter as author.

b. Document Date. When the date appears as four digits with no question marks, the Agency took it directly from the document. When a four-digit date is followed by a question mark, the bibliographer deduced the date from evidence in the document. When the date appears as (19??), the Agency was unable to determine or estimate the date of the document.

c. Title. In some cases it has been necessary for Agency bibliographers to create or enhance a document title. Any such editorial insertions are contained between square brackets.

d. Trailing Parentheses. For studies submitted to the Agency in the past, the trailing parentheses include (in addition to any self-explanatory text) the following elements describing the earliest known submission:

(1) Submission Date. Immediately following the word 'received' appears the date of the earliest known submission.

(2) Administrative Number. The next element, immediately following the word 'under', is the registration number, experimental permit number, petition number, or other administrative number associated with the earliest known submission.

(3) Submitter. The third element is the submitter, following the phrase 'submitted by'. When authorship is defaulted to the submitter, this element is omitted.

(4) Volume Identification (Accession Numbers). The final element in the trailing parentheses identifies the EPA accession number of the volume in which the original submission of the study appears. The six-digit accession number follows the symbol 'CDL', standing for "Company Data Library". This accession number is in turn followed by an alphabetic suffix which shows the relative position of the study within the volume. For example, within accession number 123456, the first study would be 123456-A; the second, 123456-B; the 26th 123456-Z; and the 27th, 123456-AA.

OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS
REGISTRATION STANDARD BIBLIOGRAPHY
Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting
Registration Under the Standard

- 00028757 Hill, E.F.; Heath, R.G.; Spann, J.W.; et al. (1975) Lethal Dietary Toxicities of Environmental Pollutants to Birds. By U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. (Special scientific report--wildlife no. 191; also in unpublished submission received Apr 2, 1980 under 464-556; submitted by Dow Chemical U.S.A., Midland, Mich.; CDL:242149-F)
- 00036935 Atkins, E.L.; Greywood, E.A.; Macdonald, R.L. (1975) Toxicity of Pesticides and Other Agricultural Chemicals to Honey Bees: Laboratory Studies. By University of California, Dept. of Entomology. ? : UC, Cooperative Extension. (Leaflet 2287; published study.)
- 00037799 Johansen, C. (1961) Bee Poisoning Investigations, 1961: Report No. 8577. (Unpublished study received Mar 26, 1975 under 3125-EX-119; prepared by Washington State Univ., submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:094390-I)
- 00049330 Gaines, T.B. (1969) Acute toxicity of pesticides. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 14:515-534. (Report no. 25529; also in unpublished submission received Jul 15, 1976 under 3125-EX-135; submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL: 226487-E)
- 00059386 Casida, J.E.; McBride, L.; Niedermeier, R.P. (1961) Metabolism of O,O-Dimethyl 2,2-dichlorovinyl phosphate (Vapona (R) or DDVP) in Relation to Residues in Milk and Mammalian Tissues. (Unpublished study received on unknown date under unknown admin. no.; prepared by Univ. of Wisconsin, Depts. of Entomology and Dairy Husbandry, submitted by Shell Chemical Co., Washington, D.C.; CDL:120596-C)
- 00060628 Johansen, C.A.; Eves, J. (1965) Bee Poisoning Investigations, 1965: Report No. G-1705; Report No. 17338. (Unpublished study, including letter dated Jun 12, 1973 from C.A. Johansen to A.D. Co-hick, received Mar 27, 1974 under 4F1485; prepared by Washington State Univ., Dept. of Entomology, submitted by Chemagro Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:092011-I)
- 00064796 Pack, D.E. (1980) Mobility of Naled and Dichlorvos in Soil As Determined by Soil Thin-layer Chromatography: File No. 722.2. (Unpublished study received Oct 20, 1980 under 239-1633; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:243547-A)

OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS
REGISTRATION STANDARD BIBLIOGRAPHY
Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting
Registration Under the Standard

- 00065468 Berteau, P.E.; Deen, W.A.; Dimmick, R.L. (1976) Studies of Effects of Particle Size on the Toxicity of Insecticide Aerosols. Final rept. By Univ. of California--Berkeley, Naval Biosciences Laboratory for U.S. Dept. of the Army. N.P. (Contract no. MIPR-5962; published study; CDL:229222-A)
- 00065493 Chevron Chemical Company (19??) Composition of Technical Naled. (Unpublished study received Mar 19, 1976 under 239-2444; CDL: 229289-F)
- 00065494 Hayman, E.L.; Friedrich, W.E.; Carlstrom, A.A. (1971) Determination of Impurities in Technical Dibrom. (Unpublished study received Mar 19, 1976 under 239-2444; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:229289-H)
- 00072816 Dow Chemical U.S.A. (19??) Results of Tests on the Amount of Residue Remaining, Including a Description of the Analytical Method: Chlorpyrifos. (Unpublished study received Mar 13, 1973 under 3F1370; CDL:093656-K)
- 00073815 Chevron Chemical Company (1971) Bromide Ion Residues Resulting from the Use of Dibrom (R)- (Naled) on Forage Crops. (Compilation; unpublished study, including test nos. T-2175 and T-2176, received Sep 21, 1972 under 0F0975; CDL:091678-A)
- 00073816 Chevron Chemical Company (1972) Total Bromide Ion Levels in Alfalfa, Pasture and Range Grass. (Compilation; unpublished study received on unknown date under 0F0975; CDL:091678-B)
- 00073817 Chevron Chemical Company (1972) Bromide Ion Concentrations of Grapes Treated with Naled. (Compilation; unpublished study received on unknown date under 0F0975; CDL:091678-C)
- 00073818 Chevron Chemical Company (1971) Residue Data Sheets of Naled on Alfalfa: Test No. T-2177. (Compilation; unpublished study, including test no. T-2178, received Aug 20, 1973 under 0F0975; CDL:091679-E)
- 00073819 Chevron Chemical Company (1971) Residue Data Sheets of Naled on Sugar Beets: Test No. T-2179. (Compilation; unpublished study received Aug 20, 1973 under 0F0975; CDL:091679-F)
- 00073820 Chevron Chemical Company (1966) Dibrom (R) Naled: The Results of Tests on the Amount of Residue Remaining Including a Description of the Analytical Methods Used. Includes residue methods RM-3 dated Jul 28, 1966, RM-3A dated Aug 18, 1966, RM-3C dated Aug 22, 1966 and RM-3E dated Aug 16, 1966. (Compilation; unpublished study received Sep 20, 1966 under 7F0532; CDL:090647-A)

OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS
REGISTRATION STANDARD BIBLIOGRAPHY
Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting
Registration Under the Standard

- 00073821 Chevron Chemical Company (1970) Dibrom (R) Naled: The Results of Tests on the Amount of Residue Remaining Including a Description of the Analytical Methods Used. Includes methods RM-3 dated Jul 28, 1966, RM-3A dated Aug 18, 1966 and RM-3G dated Oct 31, 1969. (Compilation; unpublished study received Mar 27, 1970 under 0F0975; CDL:091677-A)
- 00073830 Chevron Chemical Company (1974) Summary of Almond Residue Trials, (Compilation; unpublished study received Apr 7, 1975 under 5F1614; CDL: 094559-B)
- 00073846 Chevron Chemical Company (1970) Dibrom (R) Naled: The Results of Tests on the Amount of Residue Remaining, Including a Description of the Analytical Methods Used. (Compilation; unpublished study received on unknown date under 1F1078; CDL:093389-B)
- 00074643 Pack, D.E. (1976) Residues of Naled and DDVP in Aquatic Organisms Living in Dibrom 14 Treated Water: File No. 721.11/Dibrom S-249. Includes method RM-3G-3 dated Feb 23, 1973. (Unpublished study, including letter dated Mar 24, 1976 from J.B. Leary to Michael L. Paulson, received Apr 8, 1976 under 1769-203; prepared by Chevron Chemical Co., submitted by National Chemsearch, Div. of NCH Corp., Irving, Tex.; CDL:224602-A)
- 00074644 Leary, J.B. (1974) Rate of Decay of Naled in Sewage Water: File No. 721.2. (Unpublished study received Dec 30, 1974 under 1769-203; prepared by Chevron Chemical Co., submitted by National Chemsearch, Div. of NCH Corp., Irving, Tex.; CDL:224603-B)
- 00074645 Leary, J.B.; Miesch, M.D., Jr. (1974) National Chemsearch Skychoda Fate of Naled in a Sewage Treatment Plant: File No. 721.2. (Unpublished study received Dec 30, 1974; May 12, 1976 under 1769-203; prepared by Chevron Chemical Co., submitted by National Chemsearch, Div. of NCH Corp., Irving, Tex.; CDL:224603-C)
- 00074647 Chevron Chemical Company (1966) Analysis of Dibrom (R) Naled Residues by Acetylcholinesterase Inhibition: File 740.10. Method RM-3 dated Jul 28, 1966. (Unpublished study received Sep 12, 1966 under 7F0532; CDL:092821-A)
- 00074653 Chevron Chemical Company (1966) Name, Chemical Identity and Composition of the Pesticide Chemical: Dibrom. (Unpublished study received Sep 12, 1966 under 7F0532; CDL:092821-H)
- 00074654 Chevron Chemical Company (1966) Naled: The Degradation and Metabolic Fate in Biological Media. Rev. (Unpublished study received Sep 12, 1968 under 7F0532; CDL:092821-I)

OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS
REGISTRATION STANDARD BIBLIOGRAPHY
Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting
Registration Under the Standard

- 00074655 Chevron Chemical Company (1966) Ortho Method of Analysis—D-IX-a: Dibrom (R) Naled by Gas Chromatograph. Method dated May 27, 1966. (Unpublished study received Sep 12, 1966 under 7F0532; CDL:092821-J)
- 00074656 Schwartz, C.S.; Cox, G.E.; Stevens, K.R. (1978) The Evaluation of Dibrom as a Potential Neurotoxic Agent following Oral Administration to Hens Protected by Atropine Sulfate: Laboratory No. 5981. (Unpublished study received Dec 21, 1978 under 239-1633; prepared by Food and Drug Research Laboratories, Inc., submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL: 236682-A)
- 00074663 Elsea, J.R. (1958) Acute Dermal Application. Rev. (Unpublished study received Sep 20, 1966 under 7F0532; prepared by Hazleton Laboratories, submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:090644-E)
- 00074684 Chevron Chemical Company (1960) Toxicology Reports: Dibrom in Oysters. (Compilation; unpublished study received Sep 20, 1966 under 7F0532; CDL:090646-N)
- 00074691 Leary, J.B. (1971) Rate of Hydrolysis of Naled in Aqueous Solution: File No. 721.2. (Unpublished study received Jul 30, 1971 under 1F1111; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:090881-A)
- 00074692 Chevron Chemical Company (1971?) Description of a Residue Test (T-2360) To Determine Bromide Ion Residues in Poultry Tissue and Eggs following the Application of Ortho Fly Killer D (36% Naled) in Poultry Houses and on Laying Hens: File No. 741.11. (Unpublished study received Mar 4, 1972 under 1F1111; CDL:090881-B)
- 00074699 Kohn, G.K. (1959) Letter sent to G.S. Hensill dated Dec 16, 1959: Dibrom residues—pole beans. (Unpublished study received Jan 14, 1960 under 239-1281; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:119766-A)
- 00074700 Chevron Chemical Company (1965) Dibrom Residues in Spinach, Grain Sorghum and Cotton. (Compilation; unpublished study received Jul 9, 1965 under unknown admin. no.; CDL:124538-A)
- 00074721 Chevron Chemical Company (1957?) Analysis of Dibrom Residues. Undated method RM-III. (Unpublished study received Feb 19, 1958 under unknown admin. no.; CDL:119738-A)

OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS
REGISTRATION STANDARD BIBLIOGRAPHY
Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting
Registration Under the Standard

- 00074722 Kohn, G.K. (1958) Letter sent to G.S. Hensill dated Feb 14, 1958: Dibrom residues. (Unpublished study received Feb 19, 1958 under unknown admin. no.; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:119738-B)
- 00074723 Chevron Chemical Company (1964) Residue Data Sheets: Dibrom in Rice: Test No. T-508. (Compilation; unpublished study, including test nos. T-551 and T-544, received Mar 12, 1965 under unknown admin. no.; CDL:119745-F)
- 00074724 Ospenson, J.N. (1958) Letter sent to G.K. Kohn dated Feb 4, 1958: Dibrom—physical and chemical properties. Includes method dated Apr 3, 1957. (Unpublished study received Feb 10, 1958 under unknown admin. no.; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:119717-A)
- 00074725 Chevron Chemical Company (19??) Proof of Recovery of Dibrom from Fortified Crop Extracts Utilizing Standard Procedure. (Unpublished study received Jan 23, 1959 under unknown admin. no.; CDL:119737-A)
- 00074728 Sessions, A.; Pack, D.E. (1959) Residue Data Sheet: Grapes: Test No. T-76. (Unpublished study received Jan 23, 1959 under unknown admin. no.; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:119737-D)
- 00074729 Wegenek, E.G.; Pack, D.E. (1959) Residue Data Sheet: Beans: Test No. T-87. (Unpublished study received Jan 23, 1959 under unknown admin. no.; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:119737-E)
- 00074759 Leary, J.B. (1970) Decomposition of Naled and DDVP in Soils: File No. 721.2. (Unpublished study received Nov 27, 1970 under unknown admin. no.; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:120336-A)
- 00074790 Chevron Chemical Company (1965?) Product Chemistry Data for Chevron Naled Technical. (Unpublished study received Oct 17, 1977 under 239-1633; CDL:232095-A)
- 00074791 Chevron Chemical Company (19??) Naled (1,2-Dibromo-2,2-dichloro-ethyl Dimethyl Phosphate): Manufacturing Process. (Unpublished study received Oct 17, 1977 under 239-1633; CDL:232095)

OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS
REGISTRATION STANDARD BIBLIOGRAPHY
Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting
Registration Under the Standard

- 00074795 Chevron Chemical Company (19??) Acute Oral Toxicity—Albino Rats: Summary of Reactions. (Unpublished study received Feb 2, 1959 under 239-1280; CDL:050010-D)
- 00074806 California Chemical Company (1961) Project Report—Dibrom Residue: Analytical Procedures: File 740.10. (Unpublished study received Feb 21, 1963 under PP0330; CDL:090359-D)
- 00074807 Ospenson, J.N. (1963) Letter sent to G.K. Kohn dated Feb 14, 1963: Dibrom and metabolite residue studies on oranges and lettuce. (Unpublished study received Feb 21, 1963 under PP0330; submitted by California Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:090359-I)
- 00074817 Weir, R.J. (1958) Final Report: Subacute Feeding—Rats. (Unpublished study received Feb 13, 1959 under 239-1281; prepared by Hazleton Laboratories, submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:050424-D)
- 00074829 Narcisse, J.K.; Cavalli, R.D. (1971) Acute Dermal Toxicity of Naled Technical and Dibrom 8E: SOCAL 212/VI:41 (S-293). (Unpublished study received Jan 4, 1974 under 239-1281; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:050854-A)
- 00074836 California Chemical Company (1960) Summary of Typical Dibrom Residue Data in This Petition. (Compilation; unpublished study received Sep 1, 1961 under PP0330; CDL:090357-J)
- 00074843 Ives, M. (1962) Report to Ortho Division—California Chemical Company: Demyelination Studies in Chickens—Dibrom. (Unpublished study received Aug 20, 1962 under PP0330; prepared by Industrial Bio-Test Laboratories, Inc., submitted by California Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:090358-G)
- 00074844 Casida, J.E.; McBride, L.; Niedermeier, R.P. (1961) Metabolism of O,O-Dimethyl 2,2-Dichlorovinyl Phosphate (Vapona (R) or DDVP) in Relation to Residues in Milk and Mammalian Tissues. (Unpublished study received Aug 20, 1962 under PP0330; prepared by Univ. of Wisconsin, Depts. of Entomology and Dairy Husbandry, submitted by California Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:090358-H)
- 00074845 Chevron Chemical Company (1973) Summary and Data on Residues of Naled in Cotton and Safflower. (Compilation; unpublished study received Jan 9, 1974 under 1F1078: CDL:093391-A)

OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS
REGISTRATION STANDARD BIBLIOGRAPHY
Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting
Registration Under the Standard

- 00074846 Chevron Chemical Company (1964) Analysis of Dibrom. Method dated Jul 21, 1964. (Unpublished study received Jun 23, 1965 under unknown admin. no.; CDL:102845-A)
- 00074862 Weir, R.J. (1958) Final Report: 90-day Oral Administration—Dogs. (Unpublished study received Jun 12, 1958 under unknown admin. no.; prepared by Hazleton Laboratories, submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:102887-A)
- 00074885 Chevron Chemical Company (1969) Analysis of Naled and DDVP Residues: File No. 740.01. Method RM-3G dated Oct 31, 1969. (Unpublished study received Nov 30, 1970 under OF0975; submitted by Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, Calif.; CDL:095468-A)
- 00075668 Chevron Chemical Company (1961) Residue of Dibrom on the Tomato and Cucumber: CSC-513 No. 502-6. (Compilation; unpublished study, including report nos. CSC-513 no. 502-5, CSC-513 no. 502-4, CSC-513 no. 502-2 and CSC-513 no. 502-3, received Jul 24, 1961 under 239-1466; CDL:119776-A)
- 05000837 Johansen, C.A. (1972) Toxicity of field-weathered insecticide residues to four kinds of bees. Environmental Entomology 1(3):393-394.
- 05003107 Macek, K.J.; Hutchinson, C.; Cope, O.B. (1969) The effects of temperature on the susceptibility of bluegills and rainbow trout to selected pesticides. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 4(3):174-183.
- 05016607 Brzezicka-Bak, M.; Bojanowska, A (1969) Toksycznosc Podostra insektycdow fosforoorganicznych: naledu, etoatu metylowego i supracidu Subacute toxicity of the organophosphorus insecticides naled, methyl ethoate and supracide Roczniki Panstwowego Zakladu Higieny. Annals of the Polish Institute of Hygiene. XX(4):463-469.

OFFICE OF PESTSICIDE PROGRAMS
REGISTRATION STANDARD BIBLIOGRAPHY
Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting
Registration Under the Standard

- GS092001 Bullock, C. H. and J. K. Narcisse. 1975. The skin irritation potential of Dibrom-14 Concentrate (CC 5511). Study No. S-741, Standard Oil Company of California, SOCAL 659/XX:115, November 19, 1974.
- GS092002 Bullock, C.H., and J.K. Narcisse. 1975. The eye irritation potential of Dibrom-14 Concentrate (CC 5511). Study No. S-742, Standard Oil Company of California, SOCAL 659/XX:114, November 19, 1974.
- GS092006 Carlstrom, A.A. 1975. Gas-liquid chromatographic determination of naled in pesticide formulations. JAOAC 58(6):1162-1168.
- GS092017 Dean, H.J, J.R. Colquhoun, H.A. Simonin. 1977. Toxicity of Methoxychlor and Naled to Several Life Stages of Landlocked Atlantic Salmon. N.Y. Fish and Game J. 24:144-153.
- GS092026 California Spray-Chemical Corporation. 1959. 14-Day milk residue study - dairy animals. Unpublished study prepared by Hazelton Laboratories for Chevron Spray Chemical Corporation, and submitted under 1F1111.
- GS092040 Chevron Chemical Company. 1966. Name, Chemical Identity and Composition of the Pesticide Chemical: Dibrom. (Unpublished study received Oct 22, 1974 under 239-163, Accession No. 233083).
- GS092090 Chevron Chemical Company. 1981. [Ethyl-1-¹⁴C]Naled Plant Metabolism. Pages 6-30 In Metabolism chemistry data for Chevron Naled Technical. (Received Oct. 14, 1981 under unknown admin. no.)
- GS092091 Casida, J.E., L. McBride, and R.P. Niedermeier. 1962. Metabolism of 2,2-dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate in relation to residues in milk and mammalian tissues. J. Agric. Food Chem. 10:370-377.
- GS092092 Chen, Y.S., 1981. Metabolism of (Ethyl-1-¹⁴C) Naled in a Lactating Goat. Unpublished study received March 4, 1982, under 239-1633; submitted by Chevron Chemical Company, Richmond, California.
- GS092093 Chevron Chemical Company. 1969. Naled residues in mushrooms. Unpublished study prepare by Green Giant Co., and submitted under 1E1100 by Chevron Chemical Company.

OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS
REGISTRATION STANDARD BIBLIOGRAPHY
Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting
Registration Under the Standard

- GS092094 Lynn, G.E. et al. 1962? Studies of the Occurrence of Bromides in the Milk of Cows Fed Sodium Bromide and Grain Fumigated with Methyl Bromide. Study received Jan. 8, 1962, under Pesticide Petition No. 345; submitted by The Dow Chemical Co., Midland, Michigan.
- GS092095 Chevron Chemical Company. 1970. Naled pasture and milk residue study. Unpublished study submitted under OF0975.
- GS092096 Getzendaner, M. E., 1963. A study of Bromide Residues in Chicken Tissues and Eggs from Ingestion of Methyl Bromide Fumigated Feed. Study received June 5, 1963, under Pesticide Petition No. 345; submitted by the Dow Chemical Company., Midland, Michigan.
- GS092097 Lough, R.L., P. Batham, C. Bier, B. Legg, P. Aranjó, J. W. Hooper, B. Broxup, B. E. Osborne, and B. G. Proctor. 1981. DIBROMR: Four week subchronic oral toxicity study in rats. (Conducted by BioResearch Laboratories, Ltd., 87 Senneville Rd., Senneville, Quebec H9X 3R3, Canada, for Chevron Chemical (Canada) Ltd., 3228 South Service Rd., Burlington, Ontario L7N 3H8, Canada. Unpublished report. EPA Accession No. 246496.)
- GS092098 Phillips, L., Steinberg, M., Maibach, H. I., and Akers, W.A. 1972. Comparison of rabbit and human skin response to certain irritants. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 21:369-382.
- GS092099 Tucker, R.K. and P.G. Crabtree. 1970. Handbook of Toxicity of Pesticides to Wildlife. Bur. Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, DWRC, Fish and Wildlife Service, USDI. Publ. 84.
- GS092100 Wheeler, R.E. 1972. 48 Hour Acute Static Toxicity of Naled (SX820) to 1st Stage Nymph Water Fleas (Daphnia magna straus). (Unpublished ??).
- GS092101 USEPA. 1977. Biological Report of Analysis. Static Jar Test No. 1061. Animal Biology Laboratory, Jan 13, 1977. (Unpublished).
- GS092102 USEPA. 1971. Fish toxicity laboratory report. Test No. 304. Animal Biology Laboratory, May 11-17, 1971. (Unpublished).
- GS092103 Shiau, S.T., R.A. Huff, and I.C. Felkner. 1981. Pesticide mutagenicity in Bacillus subtilis and Salmonella typhimurium detectors. J. Agric. Fd. Chem. 29:268-271.
- GS092104 Haskin, H. and R.G. Haines. 1960. Fish and Wildlife Toxicity Report. Chevron Chemical Co. OR-513, No. 241-36-38. August 31, 1960.