PB-229 660

BACKGROUND INFORMATION FOR PROPOSED NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS: ASPHALT CONCRETE PLANTS, PETROLEUM REFINERIES, STORAGE VESSELS, SECONDARY LEAD SMELTERS AND REFINERIES, BRASS OR BRONZE INGOT PRODUCTION PLANTS, IRON AND STEEL PLANTS, SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANTS: VOLUME II, APPENDIX: SUMMARIES OF TEST DATA

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

DISTRIBUTED BY:



National Technical Information Service
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

This document has been approved for public release and sale.

				000	000
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	1. Report No.	*; 2	·PB	229	660
SHEET	APTD-1352b				
I. Title and Subtitle BACKO	ROUND INFORMATION FOR	PROPOSED NEW S	SOURCE 5- 1	leport Date	
PERFORMANCE STANDAR	DS: Asphalt Concrete	Plants, Petro	leum Refin	June 19	73
eries. Storage Vess	els. Secondary Lead St	melters and Rei	ineries, 6.		
Brass or Bronze Inc	ot Production Plants,	Iron and Stee	l Plants,		
. Author(s)	Sewage Treatment Pi	ants; Volume	2, Appen- B. F	erforming Organia	tation Rept.
	dix: Summaries of	Test Data	· n	Vo.	
Performing Organization			10.	Project/Task/Wo	tk Unit No.
U.S. Environmental	Protection Agency		1		
Office of Air and V			11.	Contract/Gram N	o.
Office of Air Qual:	ity Planning and Standa	ards	1	•	
Research Triangle	Park, North Carolina	27711			
2: Sponsoring Organization	Name and Address			Type of Report & Covered	Period
		**			
	\vec{x}_{i}	•	14.		
15. Supplementary Notes	1 A P. 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18				

This document provides background information on the derivation of the propose second group of new source performance standards and their economic impact on the construction and operation of asphalt concrete plants, petroleum refineries, storage vessels secondary lead smelters and refineries, brass or bronze ingot production plants, iron and steel plants, and sewage treatment plants. Information is also provided on the environmental impact of imposing the standards. The standards require control at a level typical of well controlled existing plants and attainable with existing technology. To determine these levels, extensive on-site investigations were conducted, and design fac tors, maintenance practices, available test data, and the character of emissions were considered. Economic analyses of the effects of the standards indicate they will not cause undue reductions of profit margins or reductions in growth rates. The appendix presents summaries of source tests cited in Volume 1. The summaries are concerned prin cipally with tests for particulate matter and carbon monoxide, but also describe the facilities, characteristics of exhaust gas streams, and conditions of operation.

17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17c. Descriptors

Air Pollution

Pollution control

* Performance standards

- * Asphalt concrete plants:
- Steel production * Sewage treatment
- * Petroleum refineries * Lead smelters and refineries
- * Brass ingot production
- * Bronze ingot production
- * Iron production

17b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms

* Air pollution control

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE

17c. COSATI Facid/Group

18. Availability Statement On request from: Emission Standards and Engineering Division **Environmental Protection Agency** Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711 ATTN: Mr. Don R. Goodwin Report)
UNCLASSIFIED
Security Class (Th

The APTD (Air Pollution Technical Data) series of reports is issued by the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Office of Air and Water Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, to report technical data of interest to a limited number of readers. Copies of APTD reports are available free of charge to Federal employees, current contractors and grantees, and nonprofit organizations - as supplies permit - from the Air Pollution Technical Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711 or may be obtained, for a nominal cost, from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Poyal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

> AWBERC LIBRARY U.S. EPA 26 W. MARTIN LUTHER KING DR. CINCINNATI, CHIO 45268

> > Publication No. APTD-1352b

TABLE OF CONTENTS

:		Page
NTRODUCTION		1
OT MIX ASPHALT CONCRETE PLANTS		. 3
ETROLEUM REFINERIES - FLUID CATALYTIC CRACKING UNITS	•	22
ECONDARY LEAD SMELTERS AND REFINERIES	٠	31
ECONDARY BRASS AND BRONZE INGOT PRODUCTION PLANTS		42
RON AND STEEL MILLS - BASIC OXYGEN PROCESS FURNACES		52
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANTS - SEWAGE SLUDGE INCINERATORS		60

INTRODUCTION

This appendix presents summaries of source tests cited in the document. The summaries are concerned principally with tests for particulate matter and carbon monoxide, but also describe the facilities, characteristics of exhaust gas streams, and conditions of operation.

For each source category, facilities are identified by the same coding used in the technical reports. For example, Table A-16 summarizes results of the December 1971 test of petroleum refinery catalytic cracking Facility A. These results are also plotted as Bar A_1 in Figure 3 of the Technical Report Number 7. In this case, the bar represents the range of the two valid results. Table A-17 summarizes a second test, A_2 , conducted in February 1972 at the same facility.

Most of the tests summarized herein were conducted using the reference test methods of 40 CFR 60.—Wherever particulate tests were conducted, additional measurements were made to evaluate materials that condense and collect in impingers as the gases are cooled to 70°F. In the summaries, the "probe and filter catch" is the particulate that relates to the standard and the EPA reference method (Method 5 of 40 CFR 60 as published December 23, 1971).

The "total catch" includes the probe and filter catch, plus material collected in the impingers using the particulate method as described in 36 FR 15704, published on August 17, 1971.

Where particulate testing was performed using methods other than those cited above, the method is noted under "Facility" in the discussion and also in the appropriate table. Code test methods are listed in the "Introduction" of the main text.

HOT MIX ASPHALT CONCRETE PLANTS

PARTICULATE TEST RESULTS

Four hot mix asphalt concrete plants were tested by EPA, one controlled with a high-pressure venturi scrubber and three with baghouses. In addition, State and local control agencies provided data from the testing of four plants controlled with venturi scrubbers and three with baghouses. National Asphalt Pavement Association tests of four plants controlled with baghouses are also included. Two of these are not representative of "best demonstrated technology" because poor collector maintenance or operation was observed during testing. Additional information was available from an EPA study of asphalt concrete plants in the Seattle, Washington, area. The latter plants were not necessarily well controlled and were tested to determine average emission factors.

For each plant, exhaust gases were analyzed after discharge from the particulate collector. These gases included drier exhaust gases and sweep air used to gather dust at various points in the system __ such as elevators, screens, and scavenger systems. The front and back half catches could not be separated (based on data supplied) for Plants F and G, and therefore are comparable to the catch from the total EPA train.

Facilities:

A₁. 0il-fired, 120-ton/hr design capacity, equipped with a cyclone and a closed suction-type cyclic-cleaned baghouse designed for 99.9+ percent efficiency. Plant was operating at or near

capacity for conditions prevalent during the test periods.

- A2. Same location as plant A1. Gas-fired rotary dryer, production rate of 115 tons/hr during sampling, equipped with a cyclone and a baghouse. Opacity was reported to be less than 5 percent.

 Data provided by the National Asphalt Pavement Association.
- B. Oil-fired, 300-ton/hr design capacity, equipped with a closed suction-type cyclic-cleaned baghouse designed for 99.9+ percent efficiency. Plant was operating at an estimated 80 to 90 percent capacity for conditions prevalent during the test periods.
- c. Oil-fired, 200-ton/hr design capacity, equipped with a cyclone and a high-pressure venturi scrubber operating at 20.4 inches of water pressure drop and approximately 14 gallons of water per 1000 scfm of exhaust gases. Data were provided by a local control agency. The plant was operating at approximately 70 percent and 100 percent of design capacity during the test periods. No exhaust gas opacity readings were available. The air flow rates (dscfm) for this plant were unusually high for a typical 200-ton/hr plant. The plant was not observed or tested by EPA.
- D. Gas-fired, 240-ton/hr design capacity, equipped with a multicyclone and a closed suction-type cyclic-cleaned baghouse designed for 99.9+ percent efficiency. Plant was operating at or near capacity for conditions prevalent during the test periods.
- E. Batch process, 180-ton/hr rated capacity, gas-fired, equipped with a multicyclone and a closed suction-type cyclic-cleaned baghouse designed for 99.9+ percent efficiency. Plant production during

- the test period is unknown. Data were provided by a local control agency. No exhaust gas opacity readings were available.
- F. Gas-fired, 250- to 300-ton/hr design capacity, equipped with a cyclone and a closed suction-type cyclic-cleaned baghouse designed for 99.9+ percent efficiency. Plant production during the test periods is unknown. The testing was performed and data were provided by a local control agency. No exhaust gas opacity readings were available.
- G. Gas-fired, 75-ton/hr design capacity, equipped with two cyclones and a high-pressure venturi scrubber operating at 16 inches water pressure drop and approximately 11.5 gallons of water per 1000 scfm of exhaust gases. Tested using Code Method 3. Production rate during the test period was approximately 100 percent of capacity. Data were provided by a local control agency. No exhaust gas opacity readings were available.
- H₁. 0il-fired, 240-ton/hr design capacity, equipped with a cyclone and a high-pressure venturi operating at 18.5 inches water pressure drop and approximately 18 gallons of water per 1000 scfm of exhaust gas. Plant was operating at capacity for conditions prevalent during the test periods. Exhaust gas opacity readings were not recorded.
- H₂. Same plant as H₁. Testing conditions differed in that production rate was 200 tons/hr, the venturi scrubber was operating at 19.5 inches water pressure drop and the test results were provided by a local agency.

- I. Gas-fired, 120-ton/hr design capacity, equipped with a venturi scrubber operating at 26 inches water pressure drop. Plant production data during the test periods is unknown. Testing was performed and data were provided by a State control agency. No exhaust gas opacity readings were available.
- J. Baghouse collector. Data provided by a State control agency.
 Operating conditions unknown.
- K. Oil-fired rotary dryer, production rate approximately 120 tons/hr, equipped with a cyclone and a baghouse. Data provided by the National Asphalt Pavement Association.
- L. Oil-fired, production rate of 200 tons/hr during sampling, equipped with a cyclone and a baghouse. "Very little visible emissions from stack" observed. Data provided by the National Asphalt Payement Association.
- M. Oil-fired rotary dryer, production rate varying from 132 to 173 tons/ hr during sampling, equipped with a cyclone and a baghouse. Data provided by the National Asphalt Pavement Association.

Table A-1. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY A,

	SUMMARY OF E	RESULTS		
Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	11/15/71	11/16/71	11/17/71	
Test time, minutes	126	63.0	63.0	84.0
Production rate, tons/hr	112	89	98	99.7
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	16,228	16,139	16,520	16,296
Flow rate, dscf/s		10,880	10,114	9896
Temperature, °F	195	196	187	192.7
Water vapor, vol	% 18.35	18.38	17.48	18.07
CO ₂ , vol.% dry	0.9	4.6	4.0	3.2
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	19.2	14.8	15.4	16.5
CO, vol.% dry	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Visible emissions, % opacity	<10	<10	<10	<10
Particulate emissions		•		÷
Probe and filter	catch			
gr/dscf	0.0057	0.0077	0.0068	0.0067
gr/acf	0.0037	0.0050	0.0045	0.0044
1b/hr	0.79	1.06	0.98	0.94
1b/ton of product	0.007	0.011	0.010	0.009
Total catch				
gr/dscf	0.02/2	0.0194	0.0184	0.0217
gr/acf	0.0176	0.0126	0.0122	0.0141
1b/hr	3.78	2.68	2.60	3.02
lb/ton of product	0.033	0.029	0.027	0.030

Table A-2. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY A₂,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Run number	1
Date	7/20/72
Test time, minutes	42
Production rate, tqns/hr	136.
Stack effluent	
Flow rate, dscfm	15,650
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	8165
Temperature, °F	218
Water vapor, vol.%	28.2
CO ₂ , vol.% dry	4.5
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	12.8
CO, vol.% dry	0
Visible emissions, % opacity	·
Particulate emissions	
Probe and filter catch	
gr/dscf	0.021
gr/acf	
1b/hr	2.8
1b/ton of product	0.0243

Table A-3. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY B,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

24				
Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	11/11/71	11/13/71	11/13/71	
Test time, minutes	144	90	90	108.0
Production rate, tons/hr	203	198	236	212.3
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	19,756	21,065	22,407	21,076
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	5839	6383	5697	5973
Temperature, °F	275	247	234	252
Water vapor, vol.%	31.71	23.71	21.78	25.73
CO ₂ , vol.% dry	5.3	5.8	5.1	5.4
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	14.1	13.6	14.6	14.1
CO, vol.% dry	0.95	0.05	0.05	0.05
Visible emissions, % opacity	<10	<10	<10	< 10
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter ca	tch			
gr/dscf	0.0079	0.0100	0.0064	0.0081
gr/acf	0.0038	0.0056	0.0038	0.0044
1b/hr	1.34	1.80	1.23	1.46
lb/ton of product	0.007	0.012	0.005	0.008
Total catch				
gr/dscf	0.1006	0.0550	0.0168	0.0575
gr/acf	0.0490	0.0308	0.0099	0.0299
1b/hr	17.04	9.94	3.23	10.07
1b/ton of product	0.089	0.066	0.014	0.056

Table A-4. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY C,

Run number	1	2	3	4	Average
Date	11/18/71	11/18/71	11/19/71	11/19/71	
Test time, minutes					
Production rate, tons/hr	130	130	175	175	152.5
Stack effluent					
Flow rate, dscfm	36,522	35,399	36,148	34,883	35,738
Flow rate, dscf/tor product	16,856	16,338	12,394	11,960	14,387
Temperature, °F	. 90	- 90	90	90	90
Water vapor, vol.%	2.82	4.70	4.34	4.51	4.09
CO ₂ , vol.% dry					
0 ₂ , vol. % dry			rsat availa	ble	
CO, vol.% dry					 .
Visible emissions, % opacity					
Particulate emissions					
Probe and filter ca	tch				
gr/dscf	0.022	0.021	0.012	0.012	0.017
gr/acf	0.019	0.018	0.010	0.010	0.014
lb/hr	7.09	6.68	3.89	3.82	5.37
1b/ton of product	0.054	0.051	0.022	0.022	0.037
Total catch					
gr/dscf	0.024	0.024	0.013	0.013	0.018
gr/acf	0.021	0.020	0.011	0.011	0.016
1b/hr	7.51	7.28	4.03	3.89	5.68
lb/ton of product	0.058	0.056	0.023	0.022	0.040

Table A-5. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY D, SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Run number	1	2	Average
Date	10/29/71	10/29/71	
Test time, minutes	48	48	48
Production rate, tons/hr	221	234	222.5
Stack effluent	:		
Flow rate, dscfm	24,028	23,919	23,974
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	6523	6133	6328
Temperature, °F	238	230	234
Water vapor, vol.%	21.6	23.2	22.40
CO ₂ , vol.% dry	3.0	4.6	3.8
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	14.8	12.7	13.8
CO, vol.% dry	0	0	0
Visible emissions, % opacity	<10	<10	<10
Particulate emissions			
Probe and filter catcl	h		
gr/dscf	0.0122	0.0234	0.0178
gr/acf	0.0071	0.0136	0.0104
1b/hr	2.49	4.80	3.64
lb/ton of product	0.010	0.020	0.015
Total catch	•		
gr/dscf	0.0517	0.1281	0.0899
gr/acf	0.0302	0.0746	0.0524
1b/hr	10.64	26.26	18.45
lb/ton of product	0.044	0.109	0.076

Table A-6. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY E,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Run number	1	2	Average
Date	11/4/71	11/11/71	
Test time, minutes	65	65.4	65.2
Production rate, tons/hr			
Stack effluent			
Flow rate, dscfm	21,706	21,651	21 ,678
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	·	- -	
.Temperature, °F	262	268	266
Water vapor, vol.%	23.3	27.1	25.2
co ₂ , vol.% dry			
0 ₂ , vol. % dry			
CO, vol.% dry			
Visible emissions, % opacity			
Particulate emissions			
Probe and filter ca	tch		
gr/dscf	0.0163	0.0215	0.0189
gr/acf	0.0093	0.0122	0.0108
1b/hr	3.03	3.99	3.51
lb/ton of product			
Total catch			
gr/dscf	0.025	0.029	0.027
gr/acf	0.0142	0.0160	0.0151
· lb/hr	4.74	5.47	5.10
1b/ton of product			

Table A-7. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY E,

SUMMA	RY OF RESULTS	· ·	
Run number	1	2	Average
Date	9/25/68	9/26/68	
Test time, minutes	120	120	120
Production rate, tons/hr			·
Stack effluent			
Flow rate, dscfm	26,160	26,160	26,160
Flow rate, dscf/ton product			
Temperature, °F	281	281	281
Water vapor, vol.%	24	24	24
CO ₂ , vol.% dry	No (orsat available	
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	No	orsat available	
CO, vol.% dry	No	orsat available	
Visible emissions. % opacity			
Particulate emissions			
Probe and filter cat			_
gr/dscf	Coi	ıld not be calcu from test repo	lated ort
gr/acf			
1b/hr			
1b/ton of product			
Total catch			0.0055
gr/dscf	0.006	0.007	0.0065

gr/dscf	0.006	0.004	0.004
gr/acf		1.57	1.46
1b/hr	1.35	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1b/ton of product			

Table A-8. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY G,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS^a

Run number	1	2	Average
Date	7/23/70	7/23/70	
Test time, minutes	60	60 .	60
Production rate, tons/hr	74		
Stack effluent			
Flow rate, dscfm	17,092		
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	13,858		
Temperature, °F	107		
Water vapor, vol.%	2.0		
CO ₂ , vol.% dry		No orsat available	•
0 ₂ , vol. % dry		No orsat available	
CO, vol.% dry	•	No orsat available	
Visible emissions, % opacity			
Particulate emissions			
Probe and filter cate	h		
gr/dscf	0.0133	0.0162	0.01525
gr/acf	0.0122		
1b/hr	1.994		
lb/ton of product	0.027		
Total catch			•
gr/dscf	0.0143		
gr/acf	0.0134		
1b/hr	0.029		
1b/ton of product	2.137	,	

^aTested by local agency using Code Method 3.

Table A-9. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY H₁,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	11/4/71	11/4/71	11/5/71	
Test time, minutes	54	54	. 54	54
Production rate, tons/hr	176	193	170	180
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	28,217	28,118	26,126	27 .48 7
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	9619	8741	9221	9194
Temperature, °F	112	109.1	122	114
Water vapor, vol.%	9.2	8.4	12.2	9.93
CO ₂ , vol.% dry	3.3	. 3.1	3.9	3.4
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	16.6	16.4	15.9	16.3
CO, vol.% dry	0.1	0	0	0
Visible emissions, % opacity				
Particulate emissions			,	
Probe and filter ca	tch			
gr/dscf	0.0314	0.0340	0.0292	0.0315
gr/acf	0.0259	0.0282	0.0229	0.0257
lb/hr	7.63	8.15	6.27	7.35
lb/ton of product	0.043	0.042	0.037	0.041
Total catch				
gr/dscf	0.0497	0.1087	0.0555	0.0713
gr/acf	0.0409	0.0901	0.0435	0.0582
1b/hr	12.02	26.19	12.43	16.88
lb/ton of product	0.068	0.136	0.073	0.092

Table A-10. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY H2,

Run number	1
Date +	11/17/71
Test time, minutes	70
Production rate, tons/hr	200
Stack eff]uent	
Flow rate, dscfm	29,400
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	8820
Temperature, °F	110
Water vapor, vol.%	8.7
CO ₂ , vol.% dry	
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	
CO, vol.% dry	:-
Visible emissions, % opacity	 ,
Particulate emissions	
Probe and filter catch	
gr/dscf	a.c11
gr/acf	,
16/hr	
lb/ton of product	•-
Total catch	
gr/dscf	0.022
gr/acf	
1b/hr	5.05
lb/ton of product	0.025

Table A-11. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY I, SUMMARY OF RESULTS

30111110	
Run number	1
Date	7/17/72
Test time, minutes	
Production rate, tons/hr	·
Stack effluent	
Flow rate, dscfm	30,460
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	
Temperature, °F	175
Water vapor, vol.%	15
CO ₂ , vol.% dry	
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	
CO, vol.% dry	
Visible emissions, % opacity	
Particulate emissions	
Probe and filter catch	
gr/dscf	0.025
gr/acf	
1b/hr	6.45
lb/ton of product	
Total catch	
gr/dscf	0.026
gr/acf	
1b/hr	6.75
lb/ton of product	

Table A-12. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY J, SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Run number	1	2	3	Average		
Date	(10/16/72 and 10/17/72)					
Test time, minutes	80 80 80 86					
Production rate, tons/hr				'		
Stack effluent						
Flow rate, dscfm	17,060	17,060	17,060	17,060		
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	,					
Temperature, °F	268	268	268	268		
Water vapor, vol.%	25	25	25	25		
CO ₂ , vol.% dry						
0 ₂ , vol. % dry						
CO, vol.% dry						
Visible emissions, % opacity						
Particulate emissions						
Probe and filter cat	ch					
gr/dscf	0.00571	0.01142	0.01423	0.01012		
gr/acf						
1b/hr	2.89	1.894	2.301	2.362		
lb/ton of product						

Table A-13. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY K,
SUMMARY OF-RESULTS

Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	8/22/72	8/22/72	8/22/72	
Test time, minutes	61.25	61.25	61.25	61.25
Production rate, tons/hr	120	120	- 120	120
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dsc	ofm 23,000	21,700	17,800	20,500
Flow rate, dso pro	of/ton 11,500 oduct	10,850	8900	10,417
Temperature, '	PF 207	205	189	200
Water vapor, v	vol.% 14.3	14.0	. 16	14.8
CO ₂ , vol.% dr	, 4	· 4	4	4
0 ₂ , vol. % dr;	15	15	15	15
CO, vol.% dry	0	. 0	0	0
Visible emissions, % opacity		'		
Particulate emission	ons			
Probe and filt	ter catch			
gr/dscf	0.0534	0.0918	0.180	0.108
gr/acf				
1b/hr	10.5	17.1	27.5	18.4
1b/ton of produc		0.1425	0.2291	0.1530

Table A-14. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY L,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

30.1.				
Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	8/21/72	8/21/72	8/21/72	
Test time minutes	60	60	60	60
Production rate, tons/hr	200	200	200	200
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	29,900	30,700	27,200	29,267
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	8970	9210	8160	8780
Temperature, °F	193	200	200	198
Water vapor, vol.%	22.3	21.0	26.7	23.3
CO ₂ , vol.% dry	6	6	6	6
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	12	12	12	12
CO, vol.% dry	0	0	0	0
Visible emissions, % opacity				
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter cat	ch			
gr/dscf	0.00447	0.00402	0.0131	0.00720
gr/acf				
1b/hr	14.1	1.06	3.05	1.75
lb/ton of product	0.0057	0.0053	0.0152	0.0087

Table A-15. ASPHALT CONCRETE FACILITY M,

50140	0			
Run number	1	2	Average	
Date	7/14/72	7/14/72		
Test time, minutes	67.5	67.5	67.5	
Production rate, tons/hr	173	132	153	
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	18,290	18,270	18,280	
Flow rate, dscf/ton product	6345	8304	7325	
Temperature, °F	251	245	248	
Water vapor, vol.%	27.1	26.2	26.7	
CO ₂ , vol.% dry	8	8	8	
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	10.6	10.6	10.6	
CO, vol.% dry	0	0	0	
Visible emissions, % opacity				
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter cate	h			
gr/dscf	0.043	0.043	0.043	
gr/acf				
lb/hr	6.74	6.73	6.735	
1b/ton of product	0.0389	0.0501	0.0445	

PETROLEUM REFINERIES FLUID CATALYTIC CRACKING UNITS

PARTICULATE TEST RESULTS

Stack tests were carried out at four fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) units located in different petroleum refineries. At each installation, carbon monoxide emissions were controlled by the use of an incinerator waste heat boiler (carbon monoxide boiler) and particulate matter by the use of an electrostatic precipitator. Effluent gases were sampled after they had passed through both control devices. At one of the sites tested by EPA, particulate emissions also were measured by refinery personnel. Six other units were tested by a local agency and one by a refinery.

Facilities:

- A. FCC unit of about 55,000-bb1/day capacity, equipped with an electrostatic precipitator followed by a carbon monoxide boiler. Tables A-16 and A-17 summarize results of tests conducted in December 1971 and February 1972. Unit had been onstream about six months and eight months, respectively, following the last major turnaround. Additional source test data were supplied by the refinery and are listed in Table A-21. These were determined using Code Method 6.
- B. FCC unit of about 70,000-bbl/day capacity, equipped with a carbon monoxide boiler followed by an electrostatic precipitator. Unit had been onstream about 10 months following the last

- major turnaround at the time of the test. Ammonia was injected into the gas stream ahead of the precipitator as a conditioning agent.
- C. FCC unit of about 65,000-bbl/day capacity, equipped with a carbon monoxide boiler followed by an electrostatic precipitator. Unit had been onstream about 13 months following the last major turnaround. Ammonia was injected into the effluent ahead of the precipitator as a conditioning agent. During the test, a malfunction occurred in the FCC unit.
- D. FCC unit of about 55,000-bb1/day capacity, equipped with an electrostatic precipitator followed by a carbon monoxide boiler. Unit had been onstream about 8 months following the last major turnaround. During the test, an equipment malfunction occurred, invalidating the particulate results.
- E. FCC unit of about 45,000-bbl/day capacity, equipped with an electrostatic precipitator followed by a carbon monoxide boiler. Tested by refinery personnel using Code Method 6 (alundum thimble packed with glass wool followed by a Gelman type A glass fiber filter). Emission data gathered over 18-month period of operation.
- F. FCC unit of about 65,000-bbl/day capacity, equipped with a carbon monoxide boiler followed by an electrostatic precipitator. Tested by local control agency using Code Method 5.

- G. FCC unit of about 30,000-bbl/day capacity, equipped with an electrostatic precipitator followed by a carbon monoxide boiler. Tested by local control agency using Code Method 5.
- H. FCC unit of 45,000-bbl/day capacity, equipped with an electrostatic precipitator followed by a carbon monoxide boiler Tested by local control agency using Code Method:5.
- FCC unit of about 55,000-bbil/day capacity, equipped with an electrostatic precipitator followed by a carbon monoxide boiler.
 Tested by local control agency using Code Method 5.
- J. FCC unit of about 45,000-bbl/day capacity, equipped with a carbon monoxide boiler followed by an electrostatic precipitator. Tested by local control agency using Code Method 5.
- K. FCC unit of about 55,000-bbl/day capacity, equipped with an electrostatic precipitator followed by a carbon monoxide boiler. Tested by local control agency using Code Method 5.

Table A-16. CATALYTIC CRACKING FACILITY A₁,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Run number	1	2	3.	Average
Date,	12/16/71	12/17/71	12/17/71	
Test time, minutes	120	120	120	120
Stack_effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	185,200	175,600	171,100	177,300
Temperature, °F	645	655	661	653
Water vapor, vol.	% 17.9	19.4	19.7	19
CO ₂ , vol. % dry	13	14	14	14
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	4	4	4	4
Carbon monoxide emissic ppm (volume)	ons, 14	Fin	Nil	5
Visible emissions, % opacity	10	10	10	10
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter o	atch			
gr/dscf	0.1021 ^a	0.0156	0.0114	0.0135
gr/acf	0.0410	0.0061	0.0044	0.0053
1b/hr	161.9 ^a	23.5	16.7	20.1
Total catch				
gr/dscf	0.2866ª	0.0246	0.0174	0.0210
gr/acf	0.1150 ^a	0.0096	0.0067	0.0034
1b/hr	455.6	37.0	25.5	31.2

 $^{^{\}rm a}{\rm Excessive}$ emissions. Test run not considered representative of normal operation, and not included in averages.

Table A-17. CATALYTIC CRACKING FACILITY A₂,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	2/8/72	2/9/72	2/10/72	
Test time, minutes	120 .	120	120	120
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	183,800	183,900	184,700	184,100
Temperature, °F	652	666	686	668
Water vapor, vol. %	21.5	20.9	22.0	21.5
CO ₂ , vol. % dry	11.2	12.8	13.2	12.4
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	6.4	4.4	4.0	4.9
Carbon monoxide emission ppm (volume)	is, 10	9	11	10
Visible emissions, % opacity	10	10	10	10
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter ca	tch			
gr/dscf	0.0233	0.0202	0.0225	0.0220
gr/acf	0.0088	0.0076	0.0082	0.0082
1b/hr	36.7	31.8	35.6	34.7
Total catch				
gr/dscf	0.0331	0.0272	0.0308	0.0304
gr/acf	0.0125	0.0102	0.0112	0.0113
1b/hr	52.1	42.8	48.7	47.9

Table A-18. CATALYTIC CRACKING FACILITY B.
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

30	ILINIAN IN INCO	02.0		
Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	12/9/71	12/9/71	12/10/71	
Test time, minutes	120	60	60	80
Stack effluent	•			
Flow rate, dscfm	180,600	183,500	187,000	183,700
Temperature, °F	543	519	547	536
Water vapor, vol. 9	14.5	15.5	16.9	15.6
CO ₂ , vol. % dry	13.0	12.0	14.0	13.0
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	3.0	3.8	3.0	3.3
Carbon monoxide emission ppm (volume)	ns, Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Visible emissions, % opacity	30	30	25	30
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter o	atch			
gr/dscf	0.0355	0.0364	0.0403	0.0374
gr/acf	0.0161	0.0166	0.0177	0.0168
1b/hr	54.2	56.6	64.1	58.3
Total catch				•
gr/dscf	0.1055	0.1320	0.1219	0.1198
gr/acf	0.0480	0.0602	0.0534	0.0539
1b/hr	162.5	207.6	195.5	188.5

^aB stack precipitator was not functioning properly throughout test. b Malfunction of test equipment invalidated particulate results.

A	140	1/11/72	
Ba	138	/72	
Þ	224	1/12/7	2

Stack effluent

Test time, minutes

Visible emissions, % opacity

Carbon monoxide emissions, 16 ppm (volume)

Particulate emissions^b

Probe and filter catch

0.1066 0.0499 85.5

0.0369 0.0167 27.8

0.0589 0.0282 49.0

0.0352 0.0169 27.4

0.0450 0.0213 36.5

0.0367 0.0173 28.3

0.0702 0.0331 57.0

Total catch

gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr

0.2092 0.0979 167.8

0.2159 0.0978 162.5

0.1776 0.0851 148.0

0.2088 0.1006 162.7

0.1775 0.0840 143.8

0.2204 0.1040 170.0

ט אייניים אריים	CIMMADV OF D	Table A-19. CATALYTIC CRACKING FACILITY C,
	ECH TC	RACKING FACILITY C,
Average		

Table A-20.	CATALYTIC	CRACKING FA	CILITY D.		
SI	JMMARY OF I	RESULTS			
Run number	1 ·	2	3 .	. 4	Averag
Date	12/14/71	12/15/71	12/16/71	.12/16/71	
Test time, minutes	160	240	120	120	160
Stack effluent			•		
Flow rate, dscfm	196,400	186,400	205,000	195,800	195,90
Temperature, °F	739	732	723	734	732
Water vapor, vol. %	24.7	23.2	20′.6	25.9	23.6
CO ₂ , vol. % dry	7.0	7.0	10.2	13.1	9.3
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	-12.4	12.4	7.4	3.8	9.0
Carbon monoxide emission ppm (volume)	s, Nil	Nil -	Nil	6	Nil
Visible emissions, % opacity	15	10	15	15	15
			. •		
			•		

Table A-21. ADDITIONAL PARTICULATE EMISSION DATA
FOR CATALYTIC CRACKING FACILITIES

Facility A, a	Stack Effluent flow rate, dscfm	Concent gr/dscf	tration gr/acf	Emission rate, lb/hr
Low	166,000	0.010	0.0031	16
High	202,000	0.021	0.0067	34
Average	181,000	0.014	0.0044	-22
Facility E ^b				
Low	106,000	0.015	0.0066	13.6
High	194,000	0.022	0.0094	28.2
Average	161,000	0.017	0.0076	23.3
Control agency da	ıta ^C			
Unit F	169,500	0.017	0.007 7	24.7
Unit G	233,300	0.018	0.0059	36.0
Unit H	171,600	0.017	0.0062	25.0
Unit I	224,400	0.013	0.0045	25.0
Unit J	198,300	0.020	0.0085	34.0
Unit K	226,900	0.018	0.0061	35.0

 $^{^{\}rm a}{\rm Data}$ covers 7 months operation with two emission tests per month, alundum thimble plus glass fiber filter (Code Method 6).

SECONDARY LEAD SMELTERS AND REFINERIES, BLAST AND REVERBERATORY FURNACES

Test results are summarized for seven blast furnaces and three reverberatory furnaces. A local agency supplied-test data for three of the blast furnaces and one reverberatory furnace. Nine of the 10 furnaces were equipped with baghouses, six employed afterburners to burn combustibles, and two units had scrubbers for control of sulfur dioxide.

Facilities:

- A. Blast furnace rated at 77 tons of lead per day, equipped with an afterburner and baghouse.
- B. Two blast furnaces having a combined rating of 80 tons of lead per day, equipped with an afterburner, baghouse, and venturi scrubber, calcium hydroxide liquor circulated in scrubber.
- C. Blast furnace rated at 45 tons of lead per day, equipped with a caustic venturi scrubber, sodium hydroxide liquor circulated in scrubber.
- D. Blast furnace having an estimated production rate of 90 tons of lead per day, equipped with afterburner and baghouse. Tested by local agency using Code Method 9.
- E. Blast furnace having an estimated production of 20 tons of lead per day, equipped with afterburner and baghouse. Tested by local agency using Code Method 9.

^bData covers 17 months operation with an emission test about every 2 months, alundum thimble plus glass fiber filter (Code Method 6).

 $^{^{\}rm C}{\rm Data}$ supplied by control agency covering 18 emission tests, Los Angeles County APCD method (Code Method 5). Impingers precede filter.

- F. Blast furnace having an estimated production rate of 52 tons of lead per day, equipped with afterburner and baghouse. Tested by local agency using Code Method 9.
- G. Reverberatory furnace rated at 40 tons of lead per day equipped with baghouse.
- H. Reverberatory furnace rated at 65 tons of lead per day, equipped with baghouse.
- Reverberatory furnace having an estimated production of 20 tons of lead per day, equipped with a baghouse. Tested by local agency using Code Method 9.

Table A-22. LEAD SMELTING FACILITY A,

Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	11/17/71	11/18/71	11/18/71	
Test time, minutes	91	188.	186	155
Lead production, tons/hr	3.7	2.5	3.0 ^a	3.7
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO ₂ , vol. % dry CO, vol. % dry CO emissions, vol. % dry CO emissions, lb/hr SO ₂ emissions, lb/hr	23,200 376,200 176 3.7 2.2 19.0 0.5 503 443 101	22,900 549,600 182 2.3 2.1 19.0 0.2 199 264 59	23,120 462,400 177 3.1 1.5 19.5 0.4 401 204 46	23,070 462,700 178 3.0 1.9 19.2 0.36 368 304 69
Visible emissions, % opacity	10 to 15	10 to 70	5 to 15	12
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead Total catch	0.0028 0.0022 0.5541 0.1498	0.0027 0.0021 0.5231 0.2092	0.0023 0.0018 0.4570 0.1523	0.0026 0.0020 0.5114 0.1704
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	 	0.0471 0.0368 9.2439 3.6976	0.0396 0.0310 7.8566 2.6189	0.0434 0.0339 8.5503 3.1583
Lead emissions		٠.		
Probe and filter catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	 	0.00035 0.00027 0.0679 0.0272	0.00033 0.00026 0.0648 0.0216	0.00034 0.00027 0.0664 0.0244
Total catch		_		

^aThe lead holding pot level was altered by plant personnel during this test and a rate based on average production figures was assumed.

Essentially the same as probe and filter.

Table A-23. LEAD SMELTING FACILITY B,

	SUMMARY OF	RESULTS		
Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	12/15/71	12/16/71	12/16/71	
Test time, minutes	195	200	200	198
Lead production, tons/hr	4.2	4.9	3.5	4.2
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO2, vol. % dry O2, vol. % dry CO emissions, vol. % dry CO emissions, lb/hr SO2 emissions, lb/hr SO2 emissions, lb/hr	32,060 458,000 124 6.34 3.2 16.8 <0.1 139 1050 334	29,420 360,200 121 10.33 2.8 17.0 0.2 255 310 90	38,060 652,500 118 11.04 3.4 16.4 0.4 660 138 52	32,960 490,200 121 9.24 3.1 16.7 0.23 350 499 159
SO ₂ inlet, ppm dry SO ₂ inlet, lb/hr	1680 ^a 534	1100 321	1900 ^b 718	1560 524
Visible emissions, % opacity				·
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.0079 0.0066 2.1743 0.5177	0.0042 0.0033 1.0551 0.2153	0.0115 0.0094 3.7600 1.0743	0.0079 0.0064 2.3298 0.6024
Total catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.0417 0.0348 11.4461 2.7253	0.0364 0.0290 9.1715 1.8717	0.0454 0.0372 14.8245 4.2356	0.0412 0.0366 11.8140 2.9442
Lead emissions				
Probe and filter catch				•
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.00011 0.00009 0.0300 0.0070	0.00013 0.00010 0.0328 0.0067	0.00016 0.00013 0.0506 0.0145	0.00013 0.00011 0.0378 0.0094
Total catch	Essentia	ally the sam	e as probe a	nd filter.

a Scrubber pH acid for portions of test (control efficient lowered).

b Scrubber operating properly. 34

Table A-24. LEAD SMELTING FACILITY C,

lable A-	.24. LEAD 31	ELITAG FACIL	.111 U,	
	SUMMARY OF	RESULTS		
Run number	1	2 .	3	Averag
Date	12/8/71	12/9/71	12/9/71	
Test time, minutes	120	112	112	115
Lead production, tons/hr	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.3
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO ₂ , vol. % dry O ₂ , vol. % dry CO emissions, vol. % dry CO emissions, lb/hr SO ₂ emissions, lb/hr	12,100 484,000 97 2.4 0.5 20.3 0.8 420 0.06 0.01	13,330 666,500 95 1.7 0.8 20.2 0.3 160 0.04 0.01	12,540 627,000 93 2.3 0.8 18.8 0.2 109 0.08 0.01	12,65 592,50 95 2.13 0.7 19.7 0.4 330 0.06 0.01
Visible emissions, % opacity	10 to 15	10 to 20	5 to 15	12
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.0196 0.0184 2.0354 1.3570	0.0084 0.0080 0.8913 0.7750	0.0149 0.0140 1.6061 1.2849	0.0143 0.0135 1.5109 1.1389
Total catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.0275 0.0257 2.8474 1.8983	0.0157 0.0149 1.6556 1.4397	0.0235 0.0221 2.5200 2.0160	0.0222 0.0208 2.3410 1.7847
Lead emissions				
Probe and filter catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.00127 0.00119 0.1320 0.0880	0.00061 0.00058 0.0646 0.0562	0.00127 0.00120 0.1368 0.1095	0.0010 0.0009 0.1111 0.0846

Total catch Essentially the same as probe and filter

Table A-25. LEAD SMELTING FACILITY D,

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Lead production, tons/hr	3.9 (estimated)
Stack effluent	
Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % 602, vol. % dry 02, vol. % dry 03, vol. % dry 04, vol. % dry 05, vol. % dry 06, vol. % dry 08, vol. % dry 09, vol	20,900 321,500 152 8.7 4.2 16.8 1.5 1363 1170 248
Visible emissions, % opacity	0 .
Particulate emissions	
Probe and filter catch	•
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.0013 0.0010 0.233 0.060
Total-catch	
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.0075 0.0059 1.344 0.345
Lead emissions	
Probe and filter catch	
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.00061 0.00048 0.1093 0.0280

^aTested by local agency using Code Method 9.

Table A-26. LEAD SMELTING FACILITY E,

Lead production, tons/hr	0.8 (estimated)
Stack effluent	- <u> </u>
Flow rate, dscfm	13,000
Flow rate, dscf/ton	975,000
Temperature, °F	175
Water vapor, vol. %	3.9
SO ₂ emissions, ppm dry	300
SO ₂ emissions, 1b/hr	40
Visible emissions, % opacity	0
Particulate emissions	
Probe and filter catch	•
gr/dscf ·	0.0059
gr/acf	0.0047
1b/hr	0.657
1b/ton lead	0.822
Total catch	
gr/dscf	0.0350
gr/acf	0.0281
1b/hr	3.900
1b/ton lead	4.875

 $^{^{\}mathrm{a}}$ Tested by local agency using Code Method 9.

Table A-27. LEAD SMELTING FACILITY F,

SUMMARY OF RESULTS^a

Lead production, tons/hr	2.2 (estimated)
Stack effluent	
Flow rate, dscfm	7500
Flow rate, dscf/ton	204,500
Temperature, °F	110
Visible emissions, % opacity	10 to 30
Particulate emissions	
Probe and filter catch	
gr/dscf	. 0.0142
1b/hr	0.913
1b/ton lead	0.415
Total catch	
gr/dscf	0.084
lb/hr	5.400
1b/ton lead	2.455

^aTested by local agency using Code Method 9. -

Table A-28. LEAD SMELTING FACILITY G,

Ru	in number	1	·2	3	Average
Da	ite	1/26/72	1/26/72	1/26/72	
Ţe	est time, minutes	120	. 120	120	120
Le	ead production, tons/hr	2.1	√2.1	2.1	2.1
St	tack effluent				•
	Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO2, vol. % dry O2, vol. % dry CO emissions, vol. % dry CO emissions, lb/hr SO2 emissions, lb/hr	14,600 417,100 166 3.1 1.8 18.6 <0.1 63.5 1580 229	15,200 434,300 164 2.8 1.8 18.7 <0.1 66.0 1525 230	14,200 405,700 175 3.1 1.8 18.7 <0.1 61.7 1618 228	14,667 419,000 168 3.0 1.8 18.7 <0.1 63.7 1574 229
V	isible emissions, % opacity	0	0	0	0
Pa	articulate emissions				
	Probe and filter catch				
	gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.0043 0.0035 0.5387 0.2565	0.0028 0.0023 0.3702 0.1763	0.0035 0.0028 0.4297 0.2046	0.0035 0.0029 0.4462 0.2125
	Total catch				
	gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.0132 0.0170 1.6520 0.7867	0.0086 0.0070 1.1148 0.5309	0.0200 0.0161 2.4321 1.1581	0.0139 0.0113 1.7330 0.8252
L	ead emissions				
	Probe and filter catch				
	gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton lead	0.00090 0.00073 0.1130 0.0538	0.00049 0.00040 0.0640 0.0305	0.00045 0.00037 0.0553 0.0263	0.00061 0.00050 0.0775 0.0369
	Total catch				
	gr/dscf gr/acf 1b/hr 1b/ton 1ead	0.0010 0.00081 0.1262 0.0601		 	0.00100 0.00081 0.1262 0.0601

Table	A-29.	LEAD	SME	LTING	FACILITY	H
	SU	MMARY	0F	RESUL"	TS	

2/9/72

150

2.4

23,480

587,000 124

4.0

2.4

18.2

< 0.1

<102 2060 480

0

0.0024 0.0021

0.4890

0.2038

0.0118

0.0100

2.3737

0.9890

0.00034 0.00029

0.0692

0.0288

0.00050

0.00043 0.1035 0.0432

Run number

Test time, minutes
Lead production, tons/hr

Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton

Water vapor, vol. %
CO₂, vol. % dry
O₂, vol. % dry
CO emissions, vol. % dry
CO emissions, lb/hr

SO₂ emissions, ppm dry SO₂ emissions, lb/hr

Probe and filter catch gr/dscf

1b/ton lead

1b/ton lead

Probe and filter catch

1b/ton lead

1b/ton lead

gr/dscf

gr/acf 1b/hr

gr/dscf

gr/acf lb/hr

Total catch

Visible emissions, % opacity Particulate emissions

> gr/acf lb/hr

gr/acf lb/hr

Total catch gr/dscf

Lead emissions

Temperature, °F

Stack effluent

2

2/9/72

150

2.4

22,600

565,000

132

4.6

18.0

< 0.1

<98

2111 473

0

0.0033

0.0027

0.6350

0.2646

0.0131

0.0109 2.5398

1.0583

0.00039 0.00033

0.0763

0.0318

--

--

3

2/10/72

150

2.4

19,940

117

4.2

2.0

< 0.1

<87

1930 381

0

0.0042 0.0036

0.7205

0.3002

0.0164

0.0140 2.7950

1,1646

0.00041 0.00035

0.0695

0.0289

--

498,500

Average

150

2.4

22,007 550,200

124

4.3

2.3

18.1

<0.1

<96

2034 445

0

0.0033 0.0028

0.6148

0.2562

0.0138

0.0860 2.5695

1.071

0.00038

0.00032

0.0717

0.0298

0.00050 0.00043 0.1035 0.0432

Table A-30. LEAD SMELTING FACILITY I,

	SUMMARY OF RESULTS ^a
Lead production, tons/hr	0.85 (estimated)
Stack effluent	
Flow rate, dscfm	10,400
Flow rate, dscf/ton	734,100
Temperature, °F	. 327
SO ₂ emissions, ppm dry	1039
SO ₂ emissions, 1b/hr	110
Visible emissions, % opacity	. 0
Particulate emissions	
Probe and filter catch	
gr/dscf	0.0022
1b/hr	0.196
1b/ton lead	0.231
Total catch	
gr/dscf	0.0130
· lb/hr	1.159
1b/ton lead	1.363

^aTested by local agency using Code Method 9.

40

SECONDARY BRASS AND BRONZE REFINING

The data summarized herein cover 13 brass and bronze ingot production furnaces at 9 different test sites. Tests A_1 , B, and D were conducted by EPA and EPA contractors. Tests C, E, F, and I were conducted as part of a 1968 study performed jointly by the Brass and Bronze Ingot Institute and the National Air Pollution Control Administration, an EPA predecessor. Tests A_2 , G, and H were conducted by local control agencies.

Facilities:

- A. Gas-fired rotary (rotating reverberatory) furnace, 7.5-ton capacity, equipped with two closed suction-type manually cleaned baghouses with a total cloth area of 7181 square feet. Tested by EPA and by local agency, the latter using Code Method 10.
- B. Gas-fired reverberatory (stationary reverberatory) furnace, 100-ton capacity, equipped with a closed suction-type cycliccleaned baghouse with a cloth area of 9000 square feet.
- C. Gas-fired reverberatory furnace, 60-ton capacity, equipped with a closed suction-type cyclic-cleaned baghouse with a cloth area of 5940 square feet. Testing using Code Method 4.
- D. Oil-fired rotary furnace, 20-ton capacity, equipped with a closed pressure-type cyclic-cleaned baghouse with a cloth area of 18,661 square feet.

- E. Gas-fired reverberatory furnace, 100-ton capacity, equipped with a closed suction-type cyclic-cleaned baghouse with a cloth area of 7360 square feet. Tested using Code Method 4.
- F. Gas-fired rotary furnace, 17.5-ton capacity, equipped with a closed suction-type cyclic-cleaned baghouse with a cloth area of 20,866 square feet. Tested using Code Method 4.
- G. Two rotary furnaces with a total capacity of 55 tons, equipped with two closed suction-type baghouses with a total cloth area of 41,700 square feet. Probe and filter catch were not analyzed separately.
- H. Two rotary furnaces with a total capacity of 27.5 tons, equipped with three closed suction-type baghouses with a total cloth area of 9536 square feet. Probe and filter catch were not analyzed separately.
- I. One 7.5-ton rotary furnace, one 17.5-ton rotary furnace, and one blast furnace, which was being preheated. All three furnaces were ducted to a closed suction-type cyclic-cleaned baghouse with a cloth area of 20,866 square feet. Tested using Code Method 4.

14510			1.	
	= SUMMARY OF	RESULTS		
Run number	3	2	. 3	· · · Average
Date	11/10/71	11/10-11/71	11/12/71	
Test time, minutes	582	771	733	.695
Heat time, minutes	938	922	912	924
"Ingots produced per heat," tons	6.66	.7:80	7:21	7.22
Zinc in alloy produced, %	-40	.37	40	
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	13,539	13,490	13,676	13 ,56 8
Temperature, %	~~ <u>284.9</u>		106.2	98.2
Water wapor, wol. \$	3.303	3.227	3.139	.3_223
CO2, vol. 1 dry	0.76	1.0		De6
0 ₂ , vol. % dvy	18.4	16.B	17.4	n. ,≟17.5. →
_CO, vol. % dry		: HS 1	ni 1	N 51
.Visible emissions, % opacity	· <10	~10 -	.<10	ব0
. Painticulate emissions				•
Probe and filter catch				
and gr/dscf	0.002	- 0.0005	0.0003	:0.001
gr/acf	0.001	0.0005	0.0002	0.0006

Table A-31. BRASS AND BRONZE FACILITY A.

Table A-32. BRASS AND BRONZE FACILITY A2.

	SUMMARY	OF~RESULTS ^a	
Run number		1	
Date		5/11/71	
Zinc in alloy produced.	≾ (approx.)	40	
-Stack:effluent			
Flow rate, dscfm		15,468	
Temperature, °F			ere e
Particulate emissions			
Jotal catch		-	• .
gr/dscf	•	0.0023	
1b/hr		0286	

aTested by local agency_using Code Method 10. Probe and filter catch ____notranalyzed_separately.

0.165

0.0047

. 0.0043

0.521

0.065

0.127

0.0011

0.0010

0.129

0.25

0.010

-0.066

-.0.0016

: 0.0014

0.185

0.37

0.080

0.194

0.0024

0.0022

0.278

0.61

1b/hr

gr/dscf

1b/hr

Total catch

1b/ton of product

1b/ton of product

Table A-33. BRASS AND BRONZE FACILITY B,

Run	number	1	2	3	4	Average
Date	•	11/1/71	11/2-3/71	11/3-4/71	11/4-5/7	l
Test	time, minutes	120	700	747	780	656
Heat	time, minutes	1140	1183	1326	1372	1255
Ingo	ts produced per heat, tons	49.09	59.86	56.36	53.93	54.81
Zinc	in alloy produced,	9	5 .	5	5	6
Stac	ck effluent					
	Flow rate, dscfm	27,515	30,124	25,406	27,114	27,540
	Temperature, °F	118	107	106	113	- 111
	Water vapor, vol. %	2.66	1.86	1.85	1.75	2=03
	CO ₂ , vol. % dry	0.60	0.58	0.60	0.53	0.58
	0 ₂ , vol. % dry	19.50	19.58	19.60	19.60	19.57
	Excess air at sampling point, %	1112	1117	1205	1210	1176
Visi	ible emissions, . % opacity	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Part	ticulate emissions					
	Probe and filter catch					
	gr/dscf	0.006	0.005	0.007	0.004	0.006
	gr/acf	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.004	0.005
	1b/hr	1.55	1.25	1.46	0.99	1.31
4	1b/ton of product	0.60	0.41	0.43	0.42	0.46
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Total catch	-	٠.	•		
*************************************	gr/dscf	0.022	0.007	0.008	0.006	0.011
	gr/ac*	0.019	0.006	0.008	0.005	0.009
	1b/hr-	5.081	1.692	1.789	1.356	2.479
	lb/ton of product	1.98	0.51	0.68	0.51	0.92

Table A-34. BRASS AND BRONZE FACILITIES C, E, and F, SUMMARY OF RESULTS

. c	E	F
1	1	1
10/22-23/68	7/9-10/68	7/7-8/68
	1175	879
1326	1174	874
72	52.7	16.7
9	5	5
	1 10/22-23/68 1326 72	1 1 10/22-23/68 7/9-10/68 1175 1326 1174 72 52.7

Stack effluent

Flow rate, dscfm Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO ₂ , vol. % dry	18,052 4.51 0.57	27,049 160 5.0 0.89	33,99 150 2.6 0.63
02, vol. % dry Excess air at sampling point, %	791.0	17.9 484.0	18.2 535.0
Visible emissions, % opacity		<10	<10
Particulate emissions			

Part

Probe and filter catch

gr/dscf	0.013	0.014	0.005
gr/acf			
lb/hr .	1.93	3.16	∹1.61
1b/ton charged ^b	0.59	1.17	1.41

Total catch

gr/dscf	0.014	0.014	0.006
gr/acf			~-
ĺb/hr .	2.17	3.32	1.78
1b/ton charged ^D	0.67	1.23	1.56

aTested using Code Method 4.

bTons of scrap charged was used for these calculations since ingot production rates were unavailable.

Table A-35. BRASS AND BRONZE FACILITY D.

• • • •	SUMMARY OF	RESULTS	•		
Run number	1	2	.3	Average .	
Date	11/30/71	12/1/71	12/3/71		
Test time; minutes	266	747 7	.256	323	
Heat time, minutes	534	* 828	540	634	
Ingots produced per heat, tons	18:03	18.15	20.12	. 18.77	
Zinc in:alloy.produced,	31	25	5	.20	
Stack-effluent					
Flow rate, dscfm	28,582	35,922	.33;857	33,120	
Temperature, "T	144	135	1.32	- 137	
Water wapor, vol. %	1.250	7.240	1.729	7.486	
CO ₂ , vol. % dry	0.300	0.15	0.700	0.383	
0 ₂ , .vol :: % dry	20.30	-20. 6 5	. 20.00	20.37	
Excess air at sampling point. %	- 1 2474	2560	ा828	2287	
Visible emissions, % opacity	<10	<1Ô	<10	-~10	
-Particulate:emissions					
Probe and filter catch			•		
gr/dscf	.0.006	0.008	0.010	0.008	
gr/acf	0.005	⁻0.007	0.009	0.007	
7b/hr	1.431	2.576	2.929	2.312	
:1b/ton of product	_0.71	2.00	1.31	1.33	
Total catch				•	
gr/dscf	0.009	0.012	0.012	_ 0.011	
gr/acf	0.008	0.011	0.010	0.010	
1b/hr	2.237	3.826	3.412	3.158	
lb/ton of product	1.10	:- 2.92	1.53	1.85	

Table A-36. BRASS AND BRONZE FACILITY 6.

SUMMARY OF	RESULTS	
. 1	2	Average
7/15/70	8/20/70	# *
60	.g 90	~75
		-
- 52,900	··· 52,900	_52,900
250	.250	250
		•
0.015	0. 019 ~	0.017
∵ 6.8 0	B.352	7.71
	1 7/15/70 60 52,900 250	7/15/70 8/20/70 -60 90 -52,900 52,900 -250 .250

^aConducted by local agency. Probe and filter catch not analysed separately.

Table A-37. BRASS AND BRONZE FACILITY H,

Run number	i	2	3	4	5	6	Average
Date	8/17/70	8/17/70	3/11/71	3/12/71	3/11/71	3/12/71	
Test time, minutes	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
Stack effluent			•				
Flow rate, dscfm	8000	8000	4100	4100		- -	
Temperature, °F	155	155					
Particulate emissions							
Total catch							
gr/dscf	0.009	0.015	0.019	0.001	0.016	0.012	0.012
1b/hr	0.62	1.02	0.67	0.04			
gr/dscf					0.016	0.012	0.012

^aConducted by local agency. Probe and filter catch not analyzed separately.

Table A-38. BRASS AND BRONZE FACILITY I,

Run number	1	2	3	A
_	•	_	_	Average
Date	7/8/68	7/8/68	7/9/68	
Test time, minutes	60	60	60	60
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	33,926	33,807	36,121	34,618
Temperature, °F	215	215	175	202
Water vapor, vol. %	4.5	3.1	3.2	3.6
CO ₂ , vol. % dry	0.65	0.63	1.43	9.03
0 ₂ , vol. ½ dry	17.0	17.0	17.5	17.2
CO, vol. % dry	Nil	Nil '	Nil	Nil
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter catch				
gr/dscf	0.012	0.007	0.010	0.010
1b/hr	3.49	2.04	3.21	2.91
Total catch				
gr/dscf	0.018	0.011	0.021	0.017
1b/hr -	5.18	3.32	6.39	4.96

IRON AND STEEL MILLS

BASIC OXYGEN PROCESS FURNACES

Six emission tests were performed by EPA and EPA contractors on six basic oxygen process furnace (BOPF) facilities. The particulate control systems included two electrostatic precipitator systems, one open-hood venturi scrubber system, and two movable closed-hood venturi scrubber systems. Closed-hood Facility A was tested in November 1971 and again in February 1972. There were no visible emissions from any of the plants tested except Facility D.

Each facility consists of two or three BOPFs. Normally, two furnaces are operated at any one time, with the third undergoing routine rebricking and maintenance. Each test consisted of four or six cycles of the furnaces. Testing was initiated immediately after the furnace was charged and discontinued just prior to tapping. Only one furnace was blown with oxygen during any cycle.

Facilities:

- A. Rated capacity each vessel--220 tons of steel per heat, equipped with a closed-hood venturi scrubber system.
- B. Rated capacity each vessel--200 tons of steel per heat, equipped with a closed-hood venturi scrubber system.
- C. Rated capacity each vessel--140 tons of steel per heat, equipped with an open hood ducted to a common electrostatic precipitator.

- D. Rated capacity each vessel--325 tons of steel per heat, each vessel equipped with an open hood ducted to a common venturi scrubber system. Oil is burned in the hood between oxygen blows to give a constant steam supply.
- E. Rated capacity each vessel--250 tons of steel per heat, equipped with an open hood ducted to a common electrostatic precipitator.

Table A-39. BOPF FACILITY A1,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

SUMMARY OF RESOLIS						
Run number	1	2	3	Average		
Date	1/26/72	1/27/72	1/27/72			
Test time, minutes	173	154	156	161		
Net output (six heats), tons of steel	1381.0	1372.5	1361.2	1371.6		
Stack effluent						
Flow rate, dscfm	58,600	55,600	58,600	57,600		
Flow rate, dscf/ ton steel	7341	6239	6716	6765		
Temperature, °F	123	125	129	126		
Water vapor, vol. %	13.4	13.6	12.6	13.2		
CO ₂ , vol. % dry		run				
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	Orsat not run					
CO, vol. % dry ^a		Orsat not				
Visible emissions, % opacity	0	0	0	0		
Particulate emissions						
Probe and filter ca	tch					
gr/dscf	0.002	0.002	0.005	0.003		
gr/acf	0.002	0.001	0.004	0.002		
1b/ton of stee	0.0021	0.0015	0.0048	0.0028		
Total catch						
gr/dscf	0.005	0.004	0.006	0.005		
gr/acf	0.004	0.003	0.005	0.004		
1b/ton of stee	0.0048	0.0034	0.0061	0.0047		

 $^{^{\}mathrm{a}}\mathrm{Stack}$ gases analyzed at point downstream of scrubber but upstream of flare.

Table A-40. BOPF FACILITY A₂,

	SUMMARY	OF RESULTS		
Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	11/16/71	11/16-17/71	11/18/71	
Test time, minutes	162	149	168	160
Net output (six heats), tons of steel	1331.0	1321.3	1298.5	1316.9
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	58,880	57,808	59,621	58,769
Flow rate, dscf/ ton steel	7166	6519	7714	7133
Temperature, °F	119	117	125	120
Water vapor, vol. %	12.9	12.9	9.8	11.9
CO ₂ , vol. % dry	13.0	19.2	20.8	17.7
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	8.0	7.3	7.6	7.6
CO, vol. % dry ^a	27.0	22.0	19.0	22.7
Visible emissions, % opacity	0 .	0	0	0
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter catch				
gr/dscf	0.002	0.009	0.003	0.005
gr/acf	0.002	0.007	0.002	0.004
lb/ton of steel	0.0020	0.0083	0.0031	0.0044
Total catch				
gr/dscf .	0.005	0.014	0.005	0.004
gr/acf	0.004	0.011	0.004	0.006
lb/ton of steel	0.0052	0.0135	0.0052	0.0039

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize a}}\mbox{Stack}$ gases analyzed at point downstream of scrubber but upstream of flare.

Table A-41. BOPF FACILITY B,

CHIM	MADV	ΩE	RESU	75

Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	10/20/71	10/21/71	10/23/71	
Test time, minutes	222	255	224	234
Net output (six heats), tons of steel	1214.3	1202.7	1223.8	1213.6
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	37,154	32,020	48,787	39,300
Flow rate, dscf/ ton steel	6792	6788	8930	7503
Temperature, °F	154	161	128	148
Water vapor, vol. %	10.5	12.7	13.4	12.2
CO ₂ ; vol. % dry	10.4	9.4	10.8	10.2
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	8.7	9.7	7.5	8.6
CO, vol. % dry ^a	27.2	25.2	36.7	29.7
Visible emissions, % opacity	0	0 .	. 0	0
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter catch				
gr/dscf	0.012 -	0.014-	0.011 -	0.012
gr/acf	0.009	0.011	0.009	0.010
lb/ton of steel	0.0116	0.0141	0.0141	0.0133
Total catch				
gr/dscf	b	0.016	0.012	0.014
gr/acf_		0.012	. 0.010	0.011
lb/ton of steel		0.0159	0.0158	0.0158

 $^{^{\}rm a}{\rm Stack}$ gases analyzed at point downstream of scrubber but upstream of flare.

Table A-42. BOPF FACILITY C,

	2011/04/1	U. KEJOETJ		
Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	11/10/71	11/10/71	11/1]/71	
Test time, minutes	141 .	148	164	1 - 151
Net output (four heats), tons of steel	569.2	601.5	586.0	585.6
Stack effluent		٠.		
Flow rate, dscfm	219,120	215,571	201,071	211,921
Flow rate, dscf/ ton steel	54,279	53,042	56,272	54,531
Temperature, °F	238	246	234	238 -
Water vapor, vol. %	14.6	14.8	15.0	14.8-
CO ₂ , vol. % dry	6.2	1.8	5.1	4.4
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	16.6	19.2	17.4	17.7
CO, vol. % dry	<1 ,	<]	<] .	<1
Visible emissions, % opacity	0	0	0	0
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter catch				
gr/dscf	0.009	0.005	0.006	-0.007
gr/acf	0.006	0.003	0.004~	0.004
1b/ton of stee1	0.0730	0.0370	0.0471	0.0524
Total catch				
gr/dscf	0.014	0.008	0.010	0.011
gr/acf	0.009	0. 0 05	0.006	0.007
lb/ton of steel	0.1070	0.0631	0.0779	0.0826

 $^{^{\}mathrm{b}}\mathrm{The}$ impinger catch of Run 1 was contaminated with stopcock grease.

Table A-43. BOPF FACILITY D, SUMMARY OF RESULTS

1	2	3	Average
12/8-9/71	12/10/71	12/10/71	
130	126	158	138
1376.6	1357.2	1368.1	1367.3
224,900	217,982	214,100	218,994
21,239	20,237	24,726	22,067
134	144	137	138
16.2	15.4	12.6	14.7
1.2	1.0	1.0	1.1
19.3	19.9	19.9	19.7
<1	<1	<1	<1
0 to 20	0 to 20	0 to 20	0 to 20
0.035	a	a	
0.026			
0.106			
0.042	0.040	0.028	0.0368
0.031	0.029	0.021	0.027
0.1270	0.1160	0.0996	0.1142
	12/8-9/71 130 1376.6 224,900 21,239 134 16.2 1.2 19.3 <1 0 to 20 0.035 0.026 0.106 0.042 0.031	12/8-9/71 12/10/71 130 126 1376.6 1357.2 224,900 217,982 21,239 20,237 134 144 16.2 15.4 1.2 1.0 19.3 19.9 <1 <1 0 to 20 0 to 20 0.035a 0.026 0.106 0.042 0.040 0.031 0.029	12/8-9/71 12/10/71 12/10/71 130 126 158 1376.6 1357.2 1368.1 224,900 217,982 214,100 21,239 20,237 24,726 134 144 137 16.2 15.4 12.6 1.2 1.0 1.0 19.3 19.9 19.9 <1

 $^{^{\}rm d}\, {\rm The}$ filter in Runs 2 and 3 leaked, and it is impossible to separate the front half catch from the total catch for these runs.

Table A-44. BOPF FACILITY E,

Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	1/12/72	1/12-13/72	1/13-14/72	
Test time, minutes	122	121	115	119
Net output (four heats). tons of steel	1101.5	1102.9	1087.9	1097.4
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm	522,332	496,657	461,571	493,920
Flow rate, dscf/ ton steel	57,852	54,486	48,792	53,742
Temperature, °F	198	196	206	200
Water vapor, vol. %	16.0	19.1	20.8	18.6
CO ₂ , vol. % dry	4.3	4.9	4.9	4.7
0 ₂ , vol. % dry	17.6	16.7	16.7	17.0
CO, vol. % dry	<1	<1	<1	<1
Visible emissions, % opacity	0	0	0	· 0
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter catch				
gr/dscf	0.020	0.045	0.016	0.027
gr/acf	0.014	0.030	0.010	0.018
lb/ton of steel	0.169	0.352	0.109	0.210
Total catch				
gr/dscf	0.029	0.052	0.023	0.035
gr/acf	0.020	0.034	0.015	0.023
lb/ton of steel	0.244	0.402	0.161	0.269

SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANTS SEWAGE SLUDGE INCINERATORS

Stack tests were conducted on five sludge incinerators including three multiple hearth units and two fluid bed reactors. One installation was tested both by EPA and a State agency. Four of the incinerators were controlled by impingement-type scrubbers, one was controlled by a venturi scrubber. Pressure drops across the scrubbers ranged from 2.5 to 18 inches of water.

Facilities:

- A. Fluidized bed reactor, 1100-1b/hr dry solids design capacity, operated at 100 percent capacity during test, equipped with a 20-inch-of-water pressure-drop venturi scrubber operated at 18 inches water pressure drop. Tested by EPA and by a State agency, latter using Code Method 8.
- B. Multiple hearth (six hearths) Herreshoff incinerator, 750-1b/hr dry solids design capacity, operated at 64 percent capacity during test, equipped with a 6.0 inch-of-water-pressure-drop single-cross-flow perforated-plate inpinjet scrubber.
- C. Multiple hearth (six hearths) Herreshoff incinerator, 900-1b/hr dry solids design capacity, operated at 35 percent capacity during test, equipped with a 6.0-inch-water-pressure-drop single-cross-flow perforated-plate impinjet scrubber.
- D. Fluidized bed reactor, 500-lb/hr dry solids design capacity, operated at 95 percent capacity during test, equipped with a 4.0-inch-waterpressure-drop single-cross-flow perforated-plate impiniet scrubber.

E. Multiple hearth Herreshoff incinerator, 2500-lb/hr dry solids design capacity, operated at about 50 percent capacity during tests, equipped with a 2.5-inch-water-pressure-drop cyclonic inertial jet scrubber.

Table A-45. SLUDGE INCINERATOR FACILITY A₁,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	1-11-72	1-12-72	1-12-72	
Test time, minutes	108	108	108	108
Furnace feed rate, ton/hr dry solids	0.550	0.560	0.560	0.557
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton feed	2880 314,000	2550 273,000	2660 285,000	2700 291,000
Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO2, vol. % dry O2, vol. % dry CO, vol. % dry SO2 emissions, ppm NO, emissions, ppm	59 1.93 12.8 4.8 0.0 <0.3 4.2 <3.8	59 1.92 12.6 4.7 .0.0 <0.3 5.7 <2.9	59 2.23 11.5 6.4 0.0 <0.3 6.4 <4.1	59 2.03 12.3 5.3 0.0 <0.3 5.4 <3.6
Visible emissions, % opacity	<10	. <10	<10	<10
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter ca	tch			
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton of feed	0.024 0.023 0.583 1.06	0.005 0.005 0.116 0.207	0.004 0.004 0.099 0.177	0.011 0.011 0.266 0.481
Total catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton of feed	0.032 0.031 0.779 1.42	0.007 0.007 0.160 0.286	0.010 0.010 0.227 0.405	0.0163 0.016 0.389 0.704

Table A-46. SLUDGE INCINERATOR FACILITY A2,
SUMMARY OF RESULTS^a

Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	5-3-71	5-4-71	5-4-71	_
Test time, minutes	60	60	60	60
Furnace feed rate, ton/hr dry solids	0.325	0.325	0.325	0.325
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton	3480 642,500	3600 664,600	3320 612,900	3470 640,600
feed Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO ₂ , vol. % dry	80 3.4 4.0	80 3.4 5.1	78 3.4 4.0	79 3.4 4.4
(less aux. fuel) SO ₂ emissions				
Visible emissions Ringelmann No. ^c	<1	دا	<1	<1
Particulate emissions				
Total catch				
gr/dscf	0.020	0.031	0.048	0.033
(cor. to 12% CO ₂ gr/acf 1b/hr 1b/ton of feed	0.019 0.596 1.84	0.029 0.956 2.94	0.047 1.365 4.20	0.032 0.972 2.99

 $^{^{\}rm a}{\rm Tested}$ by local agency using Code Method 1. Probe and filter catch not analyzed separately.

No SO₂ detected.

COpacity was not recorded.

Table A-47. SLUDGE INCINERATOR FACILITY B.
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

30/1	Date of the			
Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	10-13-71	10-14-71	10-14-71	
Test time, minutes	120	120	120	120
Furnace feed rate, tons/hr dry solids	0.237	0.236	0.249	0.241
Stack effluent			•	
Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton feed	3300 835,000	2950 750,000	2120 511,000	2790 699,000
Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO2, vol. % dry O2, vol. % dry	198 3.64 3.8 17.3 0.0	196 4.02 4.7 1.40 0.0	199 3.65 2.7 15.8 0.0	198 3.77 3.7 15.7 0.0
CO, vol. % dry SO ₂ emissions, ppm NO _X emissions, ppm	2.29 to 2.57	2.75	44.2 to 24.3 14.3	2.53 27.6
HCl emissions, ppm			0.624 to 1.33 0.621	0.858
Visible emissions, % opacity	<10	<10	<10	<10
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter cat	ch			
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton of feed	0.0245 0.0187 0.690 2.91	0.0196 0.0155 0.495 2.10	0.0173 0.0132 0.315 1.26	0.0205 0.0158 0.500 2.09
Total catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton of feed	0.0374 0.0289 1.06 4.47	0.0374 0.0287 0.945 4.00	0.0457 0.0348 0.832 3.34	0.0402 0.0308 0.946 3.94

Table A-48. SLUDGE INCINERATOR FACILITY C,

Run number	. 1	2	3.	Average
Date	7-15-71	7/15/71	7-16-71	
Test time, minutes	80 -	80	80	80
Furnace feed rate, tons/hr dry solids	0.111	0.149	0.146	0.135
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton feed	1230 665,000	1490 600,000	1400 575,000	1373 613,000
Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO ₂ vol. % dry O ₂ vol. % dry CO, vol. % dry SO ₂ emissions, ppm NO _X emissions, ppm	80 3.23 10.0 7.7 0.0 15.9.to 11.9 402 to 140	80 3.00 10.1 7.3 0.0 14.5 to 14.6 90.8 to 74.3	77 2.95 10.2 7.4 0.0 14.6 to 13.3 14.5 to 142 50.6 to 61.8	79 3.06 10.1 7.5 0.0 14.2 163
HC1 emissions, ppm	3.50 to 2.62	2.33 to 2.62	2.52 to 2.62	2.72
Visible emissions, % opacity	<10	<10	<10	<10
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter cate	ch			
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton of feed	0.0127 0.00985 0.127 1.14	0.0620 0.0477 0.620 4.16	0.0196 0.0152 0.196 1.34	0.0314 0.0242 0.314 2.21
Total catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton of feed	0.0195 0.0150 0.206 1.86	0.0696 0.0535 0.889 5.97	0.0260 0.0201 0.312 2.14	0.0384 0.0295 0.469 3.23
	-			

Table A-49. SLUDGE INCINERATOR FACILITY D,

Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	7-21-71	7-21-71	7-22-71	
Test time, minutes	120	96	96	104
Furnace feed rate, tons/hr dry solids	0.255	0.237	0.202	0.231
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton feed	1190 280,000	1170 296,000	1240 368,000	1200 315,000
Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO2, vol. % dry O2, vol. % dry CO, vol. % dry SO2 emissions, ppm	99 3.92 8.8 6.3 0.0 8.29 to 11.2	99 4.09 9.9 7.4 0.0 14.8 to 14.8	95 3.48 9.1 8.2 0.0 14.2 to 15.4 17.8	98 3.83 9.3 7.3 0.0 13.8
NO_X emissions, ppm	154 to 168	41.2 to 42.9	187 to 170 161	132
HCl emissions, ppm	0.780 to 260	4.16 to 1.56	2.35 to 2.09	2.26
Visible emissions, % opacity	<10	<10	<10	<10
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter catc	h			
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton of feed	0.0551 0.0468 0.562 2.20	0.0766 0.0650 0.768 3.24	0.0545 0.0467 0.579 2.87	0.0621 0.0528 0.636 2.77
Total catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton of feed	0.0665 0.0565 0.678 2.66	0.0859 0.0729 0.861 3.63	0.0653 0.0559 0.694 3.43	0.0726 0.0618 0.744 3.24

Table A-50. SLUDGE INCINERATOR FACILITY E,

0011		•		
Run number	1	2	3	Average
Date	8-5-71	8-5-71	8-5-71	
Test time, minutes	96	96	96	96
Furnace feed rate, tons/hr dry solids	0.689	0.855	0.290	0.611
Stack effluent				
Flow rate, dscfm Flow rate, dscf/ton feed	9840 	8510 	10,290	9547
Temperature, °F Water vapor, vol. % CO ₂ , vol. % dry O ₂ , vol. % dry	135 16.3 4.2 14.9	145 18.6 4.3 14.9	145 14.8 2,2 16.9	142 16.6 3.6 15.6
CO, vol. % dry SO ₂ emissions, ppm NO ₂ emissions, ppm HCl emissions, ppm	0.0 2.01 62.8 to 46.0 11.9	0.0 2.07 83.5 to 75.8 6.83	0.0 2.12 44.3 to 54.7 10.9	0.0 2.07 61.2 9.88
Visible emissions, % opacity	< 10	<10	<10	< 10
Particulate emissions				
Probe and filter cate	:h			
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton of feed	0.0260 0.0196 2.19 3.18	0.0136 0.0099 0.99 1.16	0.0134 0.0101 1.18 4.07	0.0177 0.0132 1.45 2.80
Total catch				
gr/dscf gr/acf lb/hr lb/ton of feed	0.0335 0.0252 2.83 4.11	0.0221 0.0159 1.61 1.88	0.0170 0.0128 1.50 5.17	0.0242 0.180 1.98 3.72