United States
Environmental Protection
Agency

Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response



DIRECTIVE NUMBER: 9477	.01(83)
TITLE: Applicability of the Subpart H Financial Responsibility Requirements	
APPROVAL DATE: 1-5-83	
EFFECTIVE DATE: 1-5-83	
ORIGINATING OFFICE: Office of Solid Waste	
☑ FINAL	
□ DRAFT	
STATUS: [] A- [] B- [] C- [] D-	Pending OMB approval Pending AA-OSWER approval For review &/or comment In development or circulating
REFERENCE (other documents): headquarters	

OSWER OSWER OSWER /E DIRECTIVE DIRECTIVE D

PARTS 264 AND 265 SUBPART H - FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY DOC: 9477.01(83)

Key Words: Financial Responsibility Requirements

Regulations: 40 CFR 265.140(c), RCRA Subpart H

Subject: Applicability of the Subpart H Financial Responsibility

Requirements

Addressee: Mr. Bradley E. Dillon, Associate General Counsel, U.S. Ecology,

Inc., 9200 Shelbyville Road, Suite 526 P.O. Box 7246, Louisville.

Kentucky 40207

Originator: John H. Skinner, Acting Director, Office of Solid Waste

Source Doc: #9477.01(83)

Date: 1-5-83

Summary:

Section 265.140(c) exempts State and Federal government from Subpart H regulations applying to owners and operators. While either party may fulfill the requirements, the Agency may take action against either or both parties in the event of noncompliance. The Agency interprets this to mean that where one party (the owner or operator) is an exempted party because it is a State or Federal governmental unit, the other, private sector party need not comply with the Subpart H requirements. However, a State or Federal agency owner may require the private sector operator by contractual agreement to demonstrate financial responsibility.

Note: The RCRA Subpart G regulations, which stipulate the requirements for performance of closure and post-closure care, do not contain any such exemption.

JAN 5 1933

Tr. Bradleviz. Dillon
Associate General Counsel
-DS-Cody Arry - Thousand Counsel
-DS-Cody Anology illo Doad, Suits 526
-Duma Tox 7246
-Toxiomille, Tentutary 40207

Dear Gr. Dillon:

Your letter of November 5. 1982, raises a question about the applicability of the Subpart N, Financial Responsibility requirements to a US Ecology facility. Your appoints concern is the extent of your responsibility for compliance in view of the COSS.141(a) exemption for Obstes and the Sederal programment and the Sect that your facility operates on land leaded from the State of Jevada.

Section 265.140(c) states "States and the Federal Towerhault are exempt from the requirements of this subpart." The Suppart II requirements to this subpart. The Suppart II requirements, the Agency may take action against either or both of the parties in the event of noncompliance. The Agency interprets this examption to mean that where one party (the owner or the operator) is an exempted marty because it is a State or Federal movernmental unit, the other, private syctom party need not comply with the Suppart i resultrements. Towever, a fixed or Federal agency owner may, or course, require the private sector operator by contractual agreement to demonstrate financial responsibility.

I suggest that you confer with staff of PPA Decion II and the state of Mevada to determine the extent and applicability of responsibilities for the consorns inarties under the Posture Intertain and Pecovery Act regulations. You should be every that the PCDA Subpart G regulations, which objoulate the require-

ments for performance of closure and post-closure care, do not contain any such exemption. The exemption applies only to the Subpart H regulations, which contain the requirements for proving financial responsibility for closure and post-closure care and for liability coverage.

Sincerely,

John G. Skinner
Acting Director
Office of Solid Weste

cc: Dick Procunier, Region IX

11 MAY 1983

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: April 20, 1983, Memorandum on Financial Requirements

FROM: John H. Skinner, Director

Office of Solid Waste (WH-562)

TO: Harry Seraydarian, Director

Toxics and Waste Management Division, Region IX (T-1)

Your memorandum of April 20, 1983, suggested that a regulatory interpretation memorandum be written to clarify the exemption of States and the Federal government from the RCRA Subpart H, Financial Requirements (\$\$264.140(c) and 265.140(c)). However, the interpretation you suggest does not appear to be consistent with the regulations. Our interpretation of the regulations, confirmed by Office of General Counsel staff, is that set forth in my January 5, 1983, letter sent to Mr. Bradley E. Dillon at US Ecology, a copy of which is attached. A copy of that letter was also sent to Richard Procunier, the Region IX financial contact.

Your suggestion that EPA notify the various State and Pederal agencies which may be affected by this exemption may be pursued at a later date. However, since the owners and operators of hazardous waste facilities are jointly and severally liable for the other requirements of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulations, I am not sure that such a narrowly focused letter would be appropriate. Rather, a letter broadly addressing the potential obligations of the States and the Pederal government under the RCRA regulations would be sent.

Attachment