Technical Report

Interim Report for EPA/EMA Cooperative Test Program

Ву

Alex Azary

March 1982

NOTICE

Technical Reports do not necessarily represent final EPA decisions or positions. They are intended to present technical analysis of issues using data which are currently available. The purpose in the release of such reports is to facilitate the exchange of technical information and to inform the public of technical developments which may form the basis for a final EPA decision, position or regulatory action.

Standards Development and Support Branch
Emission Control Technology Division
Office of Mobile Source Air Pollution Control
Office of Air, Noise and Radiation
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

Table of Contents

Secti	<u>Pag</u>	e
I.	Introduction	
II.	Inter-Lab Variability	
III.	Intra-Lab Variability	
IV.	Summary	
	References	
	Tables	

I. Introduction

The Engine Manufacturers Association/Environmental Protection Agency (EMA/EPA) cooperative test program was initiated in May 1981 to analyze lab-to-lab variability in particulate emission measurements and to assess whether or not the large amount of transient emissions data generated at the Southwest Research Institute (SwRI) was repeatable at other laboratories. The program also was designed to determine ways to improve repeatability. Six engines which are newer models of engines tested under the SwRI baseline program, were chosen to be tested by seven labs: mins, Detroit Diesel Allison (DDA), International Harvester (IHC), Caterpillar (CAT), Mack, SwRI, and EPA. Table 1 presents the test plan for these engines. A total of 30 sets of tests were scheduled to be conducted on these six engines. Currently 77 percent of these tests have been completed. By June 1, 90 percent of the testing should to be complete, and the program will be completed by July 1,1982.

This report will analyze all of the data currently available. First, inter-lab variability will be discussed, followed by a discussion of intra-lab variability. The correlation between 13-mode and transient particulate emissions will not be presented in this report due to the limited amount of data available, but will be reviewed in the final report.

II. Inter-Lab Variability

A. Transient Testing

Tables 2-4 present composite, cold start and hot start emission results for particulate, HC and NOx. Each lab conducted at least 2 cold starts and 12 hot starts per engine. The inter-lab variability (s/\overline{x}) has been calculated for only those engines which have been tested by more than two labs. Overall, the particulate, HC and NOx variability has been quite acceptable at less than 10 percent with the exception of the Mack engine which has exhibited signs of variability not associated with inter-lab variability trends. Composite, cold start, and hot start variability are comparable, with NOx emission measurement appearing to have the least variability. Initially, it was expected that there would be much inter-lab variability for transient particulate emissions. However, an examination of the data to date does not bear this out.

The greatest variability for the HC emissions occurred with the Mack and Cummins engines. The first set of data reported by EPA for the Cummins engine was excluded from the inter-lab variability calculations because during testing by EPA the engine unknowingly developed a turbocharger oil leak. This leak was found by DDA during their testing subsequent to EPA testing. It is possible that HC results from the other test sites were affected by this leak; this could be the reason for the high variability for this engine. The engine completed a series of transient and 13-mode

tests at EPA, with a replacement turbocharger installed, to verify the above contention and has been shipped to Cummins for testing.

The variability of the Mack engine has been reviewed in an earlier report and will not be discussed in this interim report.

In addition to transient composite and 13-mode steady-state emission results, Tables 2 and 5 also include normalized data. The data was normalized by dividing each laboratory's emission result by the mean value for the engine. Then the coefficient of variation (s/x) for all of the normalized composite and 13-mode data was calculated. This coefficient of variation is an indication of the degree of inter-lab variability associated with the measurement of each emission. The overall variabilities for the composite particulate, HC and NOx emission measurements are 8.7, 12.6, and 9.2 percent, respectively.

B. Steady-State Testing

The steady-state testing included NOx, HC and particulate sampling over the 13-mode test and particulate sampling for modes 6 and 11 of the 13-mode test. These results are presented in Table 5. In most cases results at each lab represent at least 2 tests. Once again the inter-lab variability for the Cummins engine's HC and particulate emissions may have been high due to the effect of the turbocharger oil leak.

The overall variability for the 13-mode particulate, HC and NOx emission values are 16.7, 8.3 and 7.7 percent, respectively. When comparing 13-mode and transient particulate emissions, it is evident that the variability is 10 percent greater for the 13-mode test. The variabilities between 13-mode and transient NOx and HC emission measurements are approximately the same.

III. Intra-Lab Variability

Table 6 presents intra-lab variability for transient HC, NOx and particulate emissions. The intra-lab variability for each lab is expressed as the standard deviation divided by the mean, (s/x). The variability of NOx emission measurements is excellent, ranging from 1.0 to 6.8 percent. Variability of HC measurement ranges from 0.9 to 16.9 percent, while particulate measurements range from 2.3 to 10 percent

IV. Summary

In summary, 73 percent of the testing from the EMA/EPA cooperative test program has been completed. Six engines are involved in this test program and are being tested by seven different laboratories. Inter-lab variability for both transient and 13-mode testing has been below 10 percent except for 13-mode particulate which was on the order of 16.7 percent, and transient HC at 13 percent. The NOx measurement appears to have the least variability. When comparing 13-mode particulate emissions with transient emissions, it appears that inter-lab variability is greater for the 13-mode particulate emission. Variability between 13-mode and transient HC and NOx emissions are about the same.

Intra-lab variability for NOx emission measurement was excellent, averaging 3.3 percent. Intra-lab variability for HC and particulate emissions are comparable with the average variability for HC being 6.1 percent, and for particulate, 4.1 percent.

References

1. "Diesel Hydrocarbon and Particulate Correlation Status," Danyko, D., EPA, August 14, 1981.

Table 1

Test Plan for EMA/EPA Cooperative Engine Test Program

			ग	est Site				No. of Test Sets
Test Engine	SwRI	IHC	CAT	CUM	MACK	DDA	EPA	Per Engine
IHC DTI-466B	8/81	7/81 (5/82)	11/81	3/82 (6/82)	9/81	2/82	(4/82)	9
CAT 3406 DITA			8/81 3/ 82	10/81				3
CAT 3208 DINA		8/81	4/81 5/82					3
Cummins VTB-903	5/81			4/81 (5/82)		11/81	9/81 (3/82*)	6
Mack ETSA-676			10/81		7/81 (4/82)		2/82	. 4
DDA 8V-71TA	8/81	, . i . .	5/82	9/81	1/82	6/81 (6/82)		_6
Engines Per Lab.	3	2	5	4	3	3	3	31

^{*} Retest at EPA due to turbocharger malfunction.

X/XX Date tests completed.

⁽X/XX) Date tests estimated to be completed.

Table 2 EMA/EPA Cooperative Test Program Transient Composite Emission Results (g/bhp-hr)

		Partio	culate		нс		NOx
Te	st Engine	x[1]	$x/\overline{x}[2]$	<u>x</u>	<u>x/x</u>	<u>x</u>	<u>x/x</u>
1. Cu	mmins VTB-903						
Lab:	Cummins	0.67	1.02	1.69	0.86	5.63	1.07
	SwRI	0.67	1.02	2.24	1.14	5.57	1.06
	EPA	0.63	0.95	2.01	1.03	4.92	0.94
	DDA	0.65	0.98	1.91	0.98	4.87	0.91
	$\frac{1}{x}$	0.66		1.96		5.25	
	s/x, %	2.9		11.6		7.8	
2. Ma	ck ETSA676		·				
Lab:	Mack	0.71	1.04	0.85	1.18	6.32	0.74
	Caterpillar	0.53	0.78	0.73	1.01	6.82	0.94
	EPA	0.81	1.19	0.57	0.79	8.54	1.18
	$\frac{-}{x}$	0.68		0.72		7.23	
	s/x, %	20.8		19.6		16.1	•
3. IH	DTI-466B						
Lab:	IHC	0.74	1.10	0.99	1.05	3.86	0.93
	SwRI	0.63	0.94	0.87	0.93	4.41	1.06
	Mack	0.64	0.96	0.91	0.97	3.97	0.95
	Caterpillar	-	_	0.99	1.05	4.44	1.06
	$\frac{\overline{x}}{x}$	0.67		0.94		4.17	
	s/x, %	9.1		6.4	•	7.1	
4. Ca	t 3208 DINA						
Lab:	Caterpillar	0.68	1.00	0.95	0.83	7.95	1.02
	IHC	0.68	1.00	1.32	1.16	7.66	0.98
	x	0.68	•	1.14		7.81	
5. Ca	t 3406 DITA						
Lab:	Caterpillar	0.76	1.00	0.58	1.18	4.7	1.00
	Cummins	0.76	1.00	0.39	0.80	4.7	1.00
	x	0.76		0.49		4.7	
6. DD	A 8V-71TA						
Lab:	DDA	0.48	1.00	0.74	1.07	7.38	0.95
	SwRI	0.44	0.92	0.63	0.91	7.91	1.02
	Cummins	0.53	1.10	0.69	1.00	7.90	1.02
	×	0.48		0.69		7.73	
	s/x, %	9.3		8.0		3.9	
Overa	11 Average, x	31	1.00	•	•9967		•9906
_	%, (Inter-lab	. •	8.7		12.6		9.2
	bility)				•		

^[1] The average emission measurement at a given lab. [2] Normalized value.

^[3] x of normalized values.

Table 3

EMA/EPA Cooperative Test Program

Transient Cold Start Emission Results

Te	st Engine	Particulate x*	HC x	NOx x
1. Cui	nmins VTB-903	\		
Lab:	Cummins	1.02	2.79	5.68
	SwRI	1.02	4.42	4.95
	EPA	0.91	3.65	4.74
	DDA	0.96	3.41	4.74
	x	0.98	3.57	5.03
	s/\bar{x} , %	5.3	18.9	8.9
2. Ma	ck ETSA676			
Lab:	Mack	0.70	0.99	6.06
	Caterpillar	0.66	0.80	7.04
	EPA	0.83	0.64	8.80
	x	0.73	0.81	7.30
	s/\overline{x} , %	8.9	21.6	19.0
3. IH	DTI-466B			
Lab:	IHC	0.76	1.26	3.87
	SwRI	0.63	1.18	4.59
	Mack	0.67	1.21	3.93
	Caterpillar	_	1.12	4.42
	$\frac{1}{x}$	0.69	$\overline{1.19}$	4.20
	s/x, %	9.7	4.9	8.5
4. Ca	t 3208 DINA		•	
Lab:	Caterpillar	0.82	1.25	7.80
	IHC	0.73	1.71	<u>7.50</u>
	x	0.78	1.48	7.60
5. Ca	t 3406 DITA			
Lab:	Caterpillar	0.86	0.64	4.64
	Cummins	0.75	0.43	5.17
	<u>x</u>	0.80	0.54	4.91
6. DD	A 8V-71TA		•	
Lab:	DDA	0.54	0.78	7.22
	SwRI	0.45	0.74	6.57
	Cumimins	0.51	0.72	7.46
	<u>x</u>	0.50	0.75	7.08
	s/x, %	9.2	4.1	6.5

^{*} x =The average emission measurement at a given lab.

Table 4

EMA/EPA Cooperative Test Program

Transient Hot Start Emission Results

Te	st Engine	Particulate x*	HC x	NOx x
1. Cu	mmins VTB-903			
Lab:	Cummins	0.63	1.50	5.62
	SwRI	0.61	1.87	5.70
	EPA	0.54	1.60	5.141
	DDA	0.58	1.61	5.01
	<u>x</u>	0.59	1.65	5.37
	s/x, %	6.6	9.5	6.•4
2. Ma	ck ETSA676			
Lab:	Mack	0.66	0.78	6.83
	Caterpillar	0.56	0.74	6.68
	EPA	0.76	0.56	8.39
	x	0.66	0.69	7.30
	s/x, %	15.2	16.9	13.0
3. IH	DTI-466B			
Lab:	IHC	0.75	0.94	3.86
	SwRI	0.63	0.82	4.38
	Mack	0.66	0.84	3.96
	<u>C</u> aterpillar	· 	0.95	4.41
	×	0.68	0.89	4.15
	s/x, %	9.2	7.6	6.8
4. Ca	t 3208 DINA			
Lab:	Caterpillar	0.66	0.92	7.98
	IHC	0.70	1.24	7.60
	x	0.68	1.08	7.79
5. Ca	t 3406 DITA			
Lab:	Caterpillar	0.72	0.57	4.74
	Cummins	0.77	0.38	4.95
	x	0.75	0.48	4.85
6. DD	A 8V-71TA	•		
Lab:	DDA	0.47	0.73	7.41
	SwRI	0.44	0.61	6.71
	Cummins	0.54	0.69	7.96
	<u>x</u> _	0.48	0.68	7.36
	s/x, %	10.6	9.0	8.5

^{*} x = The average emission measurement at a given lab.

Table 5

EMA/EPA Cooperative Test Program

Steady-State Emission Results

		13-Mode P	articula	ate 13-	Mode HC	<u>13-M</u>	lode NOx	Mode-	6 Part.	Mode-	11 Part.
Te	st Engine	x*	<u>x/x</u>	x	<u>x</u> /x	x		x	<u>x/x</u>	<u> x</u>	<u>x</u> /x
1. Cu	mmins VTB-903	3									
Lab:	Cummins	0.44	1.10	0.81	0.93	6.07	1.03	0.24	0.96	0.72	0.99
	SwRI	0.38	0.95	0.95	1.09	6.39	1.08	0.29	1.16	0.77	1.05
	EPA	0.44	1.10	0.94	1.06	4.84	0.82	0.25	1.00	0.74	1.00
	DDA	0.33	0.83	0.84	0.97	6.36	1.07	0.23	0.92	0.71	0.97
	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	0.40	•	0.89		5.92		0.25		0.74	
	s/x, %	(13.3)	*	(8.0)	(12.4)	(10.5)		(3.6)	
2. Ma	ck ETSA676									•	
Lab:	Mack	0.40	0.78	0.58	1.09	6.25	0.88	_	-	_	
	Caterpillar	0.69	1.35	0.52	0.98	8.14	1.15	1.35	_	0.79	
	EPA	0.44	0.86	0.48	0.90	6.83	0.97	1.74		0.50	
٠,	x	0.51		0.53		7.07		1.55		0.65	
	s/x, %	30.8		9.5		13.7					•
3. IH	DTI-466B										
Lab:	IHC	_	-	0.68	0.92	4.14	1.06	-	-	-	-
	SwRI	0.53	1.20	0.77	1.04	3.86	0.99	0.51		0.95	 ,
•	Mack	0.35	0.80	0.86	1.16	3.51	0.90	-	-	_	-
	<u>Caterpillar</u>	-		0.63	0.85	4.13	1.06	-	-	-	· -
	x	0.44		0.74		3.91			•		
	s/x, %		4	13.8		7.6					,
4. Ca	t 3208 DINA	•							•		
Lab:	Caterpillar	0.61	1.22	0.97	1.00	8-13	1.01	0.52	0.88	1.00	1.02
	IHC	0.50	0.89	0.97	1.00	7.97	0.99	0.66	1.12	0.96	0.98
	$\frac{1}{x}$	0.56		0.97		8.05		0.59		0.98	
*									•		
5∙ Ca	t 3406 DITA										
Lab:	Caterpillar	0.72	1.04	0.34	1.03	5.01	1.00	0.98	1.10	1.1	1.04
	Cummins	0.66	0.96	0.32	0.97	5.0	1.00	0.79	0.89	1.02	0.96
	×	0.69		0.33		5.0		0.89		1.06	
6. DD	A 8V-71TA		•				•				
Lab:	DDA	0.23	1.05	0.63	1.02	8.73	0.99	0.25	1.09	0.32	0.93
	SwRI	0.25	1.14	0.68	1.10	8.•97	1.01	0.22	0.96	0.38	1.12
	Cummins	0.19	0.86	0.55	0.89	8.88	1.00	0.23	1.00	0.33	1.00
	<u>x</u> _	0.22		0.62		8.85		0.23		0.343	
	s/x, %	13.7		10.6		1.6		6.5		9.4	
0vera	11 x		1.01		1.00		1.01		1.01		1.00
	%, (Inter-Lal	.	16.7		8.3		7.7		9.6		5.1
	bility)										

^{*} x = The average emission measurement at a given lab.

Table 6

EMA/EPA Cooperative Test Program

Intra-Lab Variability

Transient Testing

		HC Emissi	ons Varia	hility	(g / x	%)	
Test Lab	Cummins	DDA	Cat	Mack	IHC	SwRI	EPA
Test Engine							
Cummins		6.8				5.0	0.9
DDA	16.9	1.9				16.4	0.7
Cat 3406	2.4		8.8				
Cat 3208			6.5		4.0		
Mack			2.0	8.5			13.8
IH.			3.5	6.9	5.3	3.1	
111			J•J	0.5	J•J	J•1.	
_			Emissions				
Test Lab	Cummins	DDA	Cat	Mack	IHC	SWRI	EPA
Test Engine							
		8.6				2.9	3.0
Cummins DDA	3.5	8.6 3.3				2.9	3.0
Cummins DDA Cat 3406	3.5 2.6		5•6				3.0
Cummins DDA Cat 3406 Cat 3208			3.0		10.0		
Cummins DDA Cat 3406 Cat 3208 Mack				3.0 6.2		2.3	3.0
Cummins DDA Cat 3406 Cat 3208			3.0	3.0 6.2	10.0		
Cummins DDA Cat 3406 Cat 3208 Mack			3.0			2.3	
Cummins DDA Cat 3406 Cat 3208 Mack		3.3	3.0	6.2	4.0	2.3	

		NOx Emis	sions Var	iability,	$(s/\bar{x},$	%)	
Test Lab Test Engine	Cummins	DDA	Cat	Mack	IHC	SwRI	EPA
Cummins DDA	1.2	2.6 1.2		•	-	3.9 1.9	2.9
Cat 3406	1.0		1.9				
Cat 3208			3.1		3.8		
Mack			2.3	5.7			6.8
IH			1.9	4.2	4.5	1.5	