United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Research Triangle Park NC 27711 EPA-450/4-79-035 September 1979

Ai



# Survey of the Role of NO<sub>X</sub> in Nonurban Ozone Formation

# Survey of the Role of NO<sub>X</sub> in Nonurban Ozone Formation

by

J.R. Martinez and H.B. Singh

SRI International 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, California 94025

Contract No. 68-02-2835

EPA Project Officer: Harold G. Richter

#### Prepared for

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Office of Air, Noise, and Radiation Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

September 1979

This report is issued by the Environmental Protection Agency to report technical data of interest to a limited number of readers. Copies are available free of charge to Federal employees, current contractors and grantees, and nonprofit organizations - in limited quantities - from the Library Services Office (MD-35), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; or for a nominal fee, from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

This report was furnished to the Environmental Protection Agency by SRI International, 333 Ravenswood Avenue, Menlo Park, California 94025, in fulfillment of Contract No. 68-02-2835. The contents of this report are reproduced herein as received from SRI International. The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the Environmental Protection Agency. Mention of company or product names is not to be considered as an endorsement by the Environmental Protection Agency.

Publication No. EPA-450/4-79-035 .

#### ABSTRACT

This study surveys and summarizes current knowledge about the role of oxides of nitrogen ( $\mathrm{NO_X}$ ) in the formation of ozone ( $\mathrm{O_3}$ ) in nonurban areas. Project elements include a literature review, a survey of expert opinion, and analyses of field data. The investigation was motivated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's concern about the hypothesis that increased urban  $\mathrm{NO_X}$  emissions could lead to higher levels of nonurban  $\mathrm{O_3}$ .

The results of the study show that present knowledge about  $NO_X/O_3$  interactions in nonubran areas is fragmentary, and that there is no direct quantitative description of the link between urban  $NO_X$  and nonurban  $O_3$ . Previous investigations suggest that increases in  $NO_X$  can enhance nonurban  $O_3$ , and that the impact of  $NO_X$  will vary with geographical location.

A preliminary analysis of nine rural and suburban sites indicates that transport is the principle mechanism associated with ozone levels that exceed 100 ppb. The analysis further suggests that transport times of the order of six to eight hours are most likely to be associated with the high ozone observed at these sites. A tenth rural site analyzed, located in Jetmore, Kansas, displayed a low level of local photochemical activity apparently because of a deficiency of hydrocarbons. Thus, increasing NO<sub>x</sub> at this location is unlikely to lead to higher ozone.

Several areas requiring further research were identified. Three recommended research approaches aimed at elucidating the relationship between  $NO_X$  and nonurban  $O_3$  are described; two are retrospective analyses of data, and one requires both data collection and analysis.

#### CONTENTS

ABST	RACT.		111
LIST	OF II	LLUSTRATIONS	vii
LIST	OF TA	ABLES	хi
ACKN	OWLEDO	GMENTS	xiii
I	INT	RODUCTION	l
	Α.	Background	1
	В.	Objectives and Methodology	2
	C.	Report Organization	2
II	LITE	ERATURE REVIEW	3
	Α.	Introduction	3
	В.	NO Measurement Methods	3
	C.	Levels of Nonurban NO <sub>x</sub>	6
	D.	Sinks of NO <sub>x</sub>	12
	E.	Chemistry of NO <sub>x</sub>	16
	F.	Sources of NO <sub>x</sub>	20
		1. Man-Made Sources	20 23
	G.	Mathematical Models	24
		<ol> <li>Model Type and Intended Application</li> <li>Model Verification</li></ol>	25 29
	н.	Relationship Between $NO_x$ and $O_3$	30
III	SUM	MARY OF EXPERT OPINION	43
	Α.	Introduction·····	. 43
	В.	Summary of Results	43
		<ol> <li>Measurement Methods</li></ol>	43 45 49 52
		Further Research	52

IV		YSIS OF DATA FROM THE SULFATE ONAL EXPERIMENT (SURE)	55
	Α.	Introduction	55
	В.	Description of SURE Data	56
		1. Geographical and Temporal Coverage	56
		2. Instrumentation	59
	C.	Data Analysis	59
		1. Case Study I: High-Ozone Events	
		at Montague, Massachusetts (Site 1)	78
		at Duncan Falls, Ohio (Site 4)	92
		3. Case Study III: High-Ozone Events	95
		at Giles County, Tennessee (Site 6)	90
		at Research Triangle Park,	
		North Carolina (Site 8)	100
		at Lewisburg, West Virginia (Site 9)	109
	D.	Conclusions	111
v	ANA	LYSIS OF JETMORE, KANSAS, DATA	113
	A.	Introduction	113
	В.	Ground Station Data	113
		1. Ozone-NO, Measurements	118
		2. 0 <sub>3</sub> and <sup>7</sup> Be Relationship	124
		3. Conclusions	125
vī	CON	CLUSIONS	127
ΛΙΙ	REC	OMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	131
	A.	Study I: Analysis of Data from Rural Locations	131
	В.	Study II: Analysis of Data from the Los Angeles Area.	132
	C.	Study III: Data Collection and Analysis Program	133
	D.	Additional Topics for Investigation	134
। सम्बद्ध	RENCES	S	137

#### ILLUSTRATIONS

1	Actual and Projected Trends in Emissions of NO <sub>x</sub> in the United States by Source Categories	22
2	Ozone Concentration as a Function of NO <sub>x</sub> Level with NonMethane Hydrocarbon as Parameter	31
3	Location of SURE Monitoring Stations	58
4	Average Diurnal Variation of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone at SURE Site 1 in Montague, Massachusetts, During August-December 1977	61
5	Average Diurnal Variation of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone at SURE Site 2 in Scranton, Pennsylvania, During August-December 1977	62
6	Average Diurnal Variation of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone at SURE Site 3 in Indian River, Delaware, During August-December 1977	63
7	Average Diurnal Variation of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone at SURE Site 4 in Duncan Falls, Ohio, During August-December 1977	64
8	Average Diurnal Variation of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone at SURE Site 5 in Rockport, Indiana, During August-December 1977	65
9	Average Diurnal Variation of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone at SURE Site 6 in Giles City, Tennessee, During August-December 1977	66
10	Average Diurnal Variation of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone at SURE Site 7 in Fort Wayne, Indiana, During August-December 1977	67
11	Average Diurnal Variation of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone at SURE Site 8 in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, During August-December 1977	68
12	Average Diurnal Variation of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone at SURE Site 9 in Lewisburg, West Virginia, During August-December 1977	69
13	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , andO <sub>3</sub> at Site 1 on Wednesday, 3 August 1977	79
14	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 1 on Thursday, 4 August 1977	79
15	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 1 on Friday, 5 August 1977	80
16	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 1 on Saturday, 27 August 1977	80

17	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 1 on Sunday, 28 August 1977	81
18	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 1 on Monday, 29 August 1977	81
19	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 1 on Thursday, 1 September 1977	82
20	Trajectories Arriving at Site 1, Montague, Massachusetts, on 3 August 1977	84
21	Trajectories Arriving at Site 1, Montague, Massachusetts, on 4 August 1977	84
22	Trajectories Arriving at Site 1, Montague, Maine, on 5 August 1977	85
23	Trajectories Arriving at Site 1, Montague, Massachusetts, on 27 August 1977	89
24	Trajectories Arriving at Site 1, Montague, Massachusetts, on 28 August 1977	89
25	Trajectories Arriving at Site 1, Montague, Massachusetts, on 29 August 1977	90
26	Trajectories Arriving at Site 1, Montague, Massachusetts, on 1 September 1977	91
27	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 4 on Thursday. 1 September 1977	93
28	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 4 on Friday. 2 September 1977	93
29	Trajectories Arriving at Site 4, Duncan Falls, Ohio, on 2 September 1977	94
30	Hourly Concentration Variations of $0_3$ and $N0_x$ at Site 6 on Tuesday, 1 August 1977	96
31	Hourly Concentration Variations of $0_3$ and $N0_x$ at Site 6 on Monday, 22 August 1977	96
32	Hourly Concentration Variations of $0_3$ and $N0_x$ at Site 6 on Friday, 23 September 1977	97
33	Trajectories Arriving at Site 6, Giles County, Tennessee, on 2 August 1977	97
34	Trajectories Arriving at Site 6, Giles County, Tennessee, on 22 August 1977	98
35	Trajectories Arriving at Site 6, Giles County, Tennessee, on 23 September 1977	98

36	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 8 on Monday, 8 August 1977	102
37	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 8 on Tuesday, 9 August 1977	102
38	Trajectories Arriving at Site, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, on 9 August 1977	103
39	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 8 on Friday, 26 August 1977	103
40	Trajectories Arriving at Site 8, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, on 26 August 1977	104
41	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 8 on Wednesday, 31 August 1977	104
42	Trajectories Arriving at Site 8, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, on 31 August 1977	106
43	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 8 on Saturday, 10 September 1977	106
44	Trajectories Arriving at Site 8, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, on 10 September 1977	107
45	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, No <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 8 on Friday, 23 September 1977	107
46	Trajectories Arriving at Site 8, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, on 23 September 1977	108
47	Hourly Concentration Variations of NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , and O <sub>3</sub> at Site 9 on Saturday, 22 October 1977	109
48	Trajectories Arriving at Site 9, Lewisburg, West Virginia, on 22 October 1977	110
49	Mean Diurnal Variation in CH <sub>4</sub> at Jetmore, Kansas, 3 April-20 May 1978	116
50	Mean Diurnal Variation in CO at Jetmore, Kansas, 3 April-20 May 1978	117
51	Mean and Maximum 03 at Jetmore, Kansas, 3 April-20 May 1978	118
52	Mean Diurnal Variation in 0 <sub>3</sub> at Jetmore, Kansas, 3 April-20 May 1978	119
53	Mean Diurnal Variation in NO at Jetmore, Kansas, 3 April-20 May 1978	120
54	Mean Diurnal Variation in NO <sub>2</sub> at Jetmore, Kansas, 3 April-20 May 1978	120
55	Mean Diurnal Variation in NO at Jetmore, Kansas, 3 April-20 May 1978	121

56	Mean Diurnal Variations in Wind Speed and Selected Pollutants at Jetmore, Kansas, on 27 April 1978	122
57	Diurnal Variations in Wind Speed and Selected Pollutants at Jetmore, Kansas, on 28 April 1978	123
	Diurnal Variations In Wind Speed and Selected Pollutants at Jetmore, Kansas, on 15 May 1978	123
59	Mean Daily 0 <sub>3</sub> and <sup>7</sup> Be at Jetmore, Kansas, 3 April-20 May 1978	125
60	Scatter Diagram for Mean Daily O <sub>3</sub> versus <sup>7</sup> Be at Jetmore, Kansas, 3 April-20 May 1978	126

#### TABLES

1	Summary of Measurement Methods for NO <sub>X</sub> in Ambient Air	4
2	Concentration of Nitrogen Oxides Observed in Clean Remote Areas	7
3	Concentration of Nitrogen Oxides Observed in Rural and Suburban Areas	8
4	Concentration of Nitrogen Oxides Observed in Urban Areas	9
5	Nitric Acid and Nitrate Aerosol Formation Reactions	14
6	Natural Sources of NO <sub>x</sub>	23
7	Photochemical Models for Nonurban Areas	26
8	Range of Hydrocarbon Concentrations Measured Aboard Da Vinci II Balloon	37
9	Average Hydrocarbon Concentrations in Elkton, Missouri	38
10	Atmospheric Half-Life of PAN	47
11	Location of Monitoring Stations in the SURE	57
12	Number of Available Observations for SURE Stations, August-December 1977	59
13	Instrumentation Used at the SURE Sites	60
14	Summary of Hourly Concentrations of Nitrogen Oxides and Ozone Measured at the SURE Sites During August-December 1977	71
15	NO/NO <sub>x</sub> Ratios for the SURE Sites, August-December 1977	73
16	Number of Hours with Ozone Concentrations above 80, 100, and 120 at SURE Sites, August-December 1977	75
17	Occurrence of Maximum Daily Ozone Exceeding 100 ppb at the SURE Sites During August-December 1977	77
18	Data Summary for High-Ozone Days at SURE Site 1 in 1977	87
19	Chemical and Meteorological Parameters Measured at Jetmore, Kansas	114
20	SRI Mobile Research Laboratory Instrumentation	114
21	Average Concentrations of Selected Species at Jetmore, Kansas	115

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Harold G. Richter, EPA Project Officer, for his assistance and encouragement in the preparation of this report.

We also express our appreciation to Dr. Chester W. Spicer of Battelle Columbus, Dr. Basil Dimitriades of EPA, Dr. Thomas E. Graedel of Bell Laboratories, Dr. Harold Westberg of Washington State University, Dr. John Trijonis of Technology Service Corp., Mr. Francis L. Ludwig of SRI International, Pr. Jack Fishman of the National Center for Atmospheric Research, and Dr. John Noxon of NOAA for their participation in the survey of expert opinion.

From SRI we thank Dr. Susan Russell, Ms. Joyce Kealoha, and Mr. Kenneth C. Nitz for technical contributions. Also, Mr. Jack Byrne and Ms. Shirley Bartels for editorial/publications contributions and Ms. Shirley Webster for secretarial assistance.

We are grateful for the cooperation of Mr. Dale Coventry of EPA, who furnished the trajectories used in Section IV of this report, of Environmental Research and Technology, Inc. for providing the data from the Sulfate Regional Experiment, and of the Coordinating Research Council, Inc., for their permission to use the data obtained in SRI Project No. 6690.

#### I INTRODUCTION

This report describes the results of a study of the role played by nitrogen oxides ( $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ ) in the formation of ozone ( $\mathrm{O}_3$ ) in nonurban areas. The investigation was performed in support of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's review of current strategies for controlling photochemical oxidant pollution.

#### A. Background

The recognition that the occurrence of elevated  $0_3$  concentrations is a phenomenon that extends beyond the boundaries of urban areas has introduced additional complications into the already complex problem of how best to control photochemical oxidant pollution. Ozone being a secondary pollutant chemically synthesized in the troposphere from hydrocarbons (HC) and  $NO_{\chi}$ ,\* its control allows for various options regarding relative reductions in emissions of HC and  $NO_{\chi}$ . Current strategies emphasize reducing HC more than  $NO_{\chi}$  emissions, which results in low HC/ $NO_{\chi}$  ratios. Such a scheme is urban-oriented because it tends to slow down ozone formation near the emissions source. While this may be satisfactory for urban areas, it appears that this approach could worsen ozone pollution farther downwind, thereby shifting the air quality problems from one area to another. This possibility has prompted a reexamination of abatement policies, including the determination of whether more stringent  $NO_{\chi}$  controls are required.

Thus, from a regulatory standpoint, the question of interest is to determine the extent to which anthropogenic  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  affects ozone formation outside urban areas. A primary purpose of this study is to review available evidence that may help define the nature of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}/\mathrm{O}_3$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Tropospheric ozone levels are also affected by injection of ozone from the stratosphere. In this report we are solely concerned with the formation of ozone from its precursors.

interactions in nonurban areas. However, the investigation is not intended to provide a definitive answer to the question.

#### B. Objectives and Methodology

The specific objectives of the project are to:

- Survey and summarize current knowledge about  $NO_x$  and its role in  $O_3$  formation outside urban areas.
- Identify knowledge and data gaps where further research is indicated.
- Recommend research approaches that will help to define the relationship between NO<sub>x</sub> and nonurban O<sub>3</sub>.

To achieve the objectives, the scope of work of the project specified conducting a survey of the expert opinion of several leading researchers in the field. This was expanded to include performing an extensive review of the literature and a preliminary analysis of two new sources of data on nonurban  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  and  $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ . One source of measurements was the Sulfate Regional Experiment (SURE), which was sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute. The other data source was a project conducted by SRI International in Jetmore, Kansas, which was sponsored by the Coordinating Research Council, Inc.

#### C. Report Organization

The literature review is described in Section II, and the results of the survey of expert opinion are summarized in Section III. Sections IV and V contain the analyses of field data. This is followed by conclusions and recommendations in Sections VI and VII, respectively. An extensive bibliography is provided in Section VIII.

#### II LITERATURE REVIEW

#### A. Introduction

The literature review was undertaken to survey current knowledge regarding the role of NO<sub>X</sub> in nonurban ozone formation. The recent literature was surveyed extensively, including papers from scientific journals and technical reports published by public and private concerns. (Section VIII provides a complete bibliography.) The information gained was categorized under the following topic headings, each discussed in greater detail in the subsections that follow:

- $\bullet$  NO<sub>x</sub> Measurement Methods
- $\bullet$  Nonurban NO<sub>x</sub> Concentrations
- NO<sub>x</sub> Sinks
- Sources of Nonurban NO<sub>x</sub>
- NO<sub>x</sub> Chemistry
- Mathematical Models
- NO<sub>x</sub>/O<sub>3</sub> Relationship.

# B. NOx Measurement Methods

A number of methods have been used to measure oxides of nitrogen in the ambient atmosphere; manual and automated continuous methods are summarized in Table 1. Currently, the most commonly used method employs instruments based on the principle of chemiluminescence, which measures NO directly with high sensitivity and reliability. The chemiluminescent reaction

$$NO + O_3 - NO_2^* + O_2$$
  
 $NO_2^* - NO_2 + hv$ 

Table 1 SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENT METHODS FOR NO  $_{\rm X}$  IN AMBIENT AIR (Knelson and Lee, 1977)

Method	Туре	Description	Comments
Jacobs-Hochheiser	Manua 1	Collection in aqueous NaOH, conversion of NO <sub>2</sub> to nitrate ion, which is measured colorimetrically	Poor removal efficiency (35%); lack of constancy in correc- tion factor; dropped as EPA reference method
Sodium arsenite	Manual	Similar to Jacobs-Hochheiser method; sodium arsenite added to aqueous NaOH collection solution	Collection efficiency improved to 85%
Triethanolamine	Manua l	Collection in aqueous solution of triethanolamine containing small amount of organic compounds; colorimetric determination of nitrate ion	Appears to be reliable
Griess-Saltzman	Manual/ automated	Diazonium salf formed from the reaction of NO <sub>2</sub> with sulfanilic acid is coupled with N- (1-naphthol) -ethylenediamine dihydrochloride which is measured colorimetrically	Minimal interferences
Chemiluminescent	Automated	NO <sub>2</sub> quantitatively reduced to NO, which reacts with ozone to produce light	Measures NO and NO <sub>x</sub> , not subject to interferences from common air pollutants, exhibits good precision

produces radiation emission in the 600 to 3000 nm range with a maximum at about 1200 nm. A photomultiplier tube is used to detect the lumines-cent radiation and thus the presence of NO.

Since only NO is measured directly, NO $_{\rm X}$  must be reduced to NO to allow for the measurement of NO $_{\rm X}$  (NO + NO $_{\rm 2}$ ). Although NO $_{\rm 2}$  has been converted to NO in many ways, most commercial instruments reduce NO $_{\rm 2}$  to NO catalytically at temperatures below 300°C. It is generally assumed that converter efficiency approaches unity. NO $_{\rm 2}$  has also been converted to NO at much higher temperatures (600°C), but this sometimes results in reduction to NO of several other interfering species, including ammonia (NH $_{\rm 3}$ ). The lower temperature (< 300°C) conversion of NO $_{\rm 2}$  to NO is therefore preferred.

Instruments that use converters below  $300^{\rm o}{\rm C}$  do not show interference from  $0_3$ , CO, NH<sub>3</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>. When present in high concentrations, peroxyacetyl nitrates (PANs) and organic nitrite may pose some interference problems. Depending upon the number of reaction cells, NO and NO<sub>x</sub> can be measured either cyclically or simultaneously. The simultaneous measurement of NO and NO<sub>x</sub> requires a dual reaction chamber and is particularly useful for aircraft operation.

Most commercially available chemiluminescent analyzers provide a sensitivity of 10 to 1000 ppb. A sensitivity of 10 ppb is generally unsatisfactory in most nonurban locations. Special instruments with a claimed full-scale range of 0 to 20 ppb, currently available, are more appropriate to the nonurban environment, where NO<sub>X</sub> abundances of less than 5 ppb are commonly encountered and can be measured by these instruments with limited reliability. Modifications based on the chemiluminescent principle have been made to obtain a 0.01-ppb detection limit for NO (Drummond, 1977).\* Such sensitivity becomes necessary in very clean environments approaching background levels of NO<sub>Y</sub>. Thus, for

<sup>\*</sup>References are listed at the end of the report.

measurements in rural areas, chemiluminescent analyzers offer the best option. Slight interferences from PAN, HCl, and HNO<sub>2</sub> may exist, but are unlikely to be important in rural environments. Calibrations for NO can be performed using multiple-dilution techniques and the standards can be stored for a relatively long period of time. NO<sub>2</sub> calibrations can either be done from NO standards by gas phase titration or by using permeation tubes. Commercial instrumentation can be modified to achieve better sensitivities with only limited reliability below 1 ppb for NO.

A column content measurement of tropospheric NO $_2$  has been made by Noxon (1975, 1978) using absorption spectroscopy. The identification of NO $_2$  with complete spectral scans at  $5^{\rm O}{\rm A}$  interval between 4350 to 4500°A was considered to be unambiguous. The method can be used to make NO $_2$  column content measurements in the atmosphere using the sun as the source. This method was one of the first to suggest tropospheric NO $_2$  levels of less than 0.1 ppb, a concentration that is beyond the capability of most chemiluminescent analyzers. The method is most suitable for obtaining spatial averages (rather than point estimates) of concentration, and is still in a developmental stage.

# C. <u>Levels of Nonurban NOx</u>

To understand the nonurban  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  phenomenon it is necessary to examine the concentrations of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  observed at a variety of locations ranging from clean remote areas to suburban locales directly impacted by emissions from neighboring cities.

Below we discuss  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  measurements that have been reported in the literature. We also include measurements for urban areas to span the full range of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  concentrations. In Sections IV and V of this report we supplement the figures obtained from the literature with data collected in 1977 at several nonurban locations in the United States.

Tables 2 through 4 catalog  $NO_X$  concentrations observed in remote, rural and suburban, and urban areas, respectively. In addition to the  $NO_X$  levels, the tables show the location, method, and date of the

Table 2

CONCENTRATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES OBSERVED
IN CLEAN REMOTE AREAS

	Co	ncentration (p	pb)	- Measurement	Date of			
Location	NO NO		NO <sub>2</sub>	Method	Measurement	Reference	Remarks	
Laramie, Wyoming	0.1 - 0.4	0.01 - 0.05		Chemiluminescent	Summer 1975	Drummond (1977)		
Frítz Peak, Colorado			<0.1	Absorption spectroscopy	Fall 1974; Summer- Spring, 1975-1976	Noxon (1975, 1978)		
Fritz Peak, Colorado	0.2 - 0.5			Chemiluminescent	Sep 1977	Ritter et al. (1978)		
Northern Michigan	0.3 - 0.5			Chemiluminescent	Jun 1977	Ritter et al. (1978)	10-day average	
Tropical Areas		0.1 - 0.5	0.2 - 0.4	Saltzman	1965-1966	Lodge and Pate (1966); Lodge et al. (1974)	Under forest canopy	
		0.3 - 0.4	0.3 - 0.5			Lodge and Pate (1966); Lodge et al. (1974)	Above forest canopy	
		0.3 - 0.7	0.3 - 0.6			Lodge and Pate (1966);   Lodge et al.   (1974)	River bank	
		0.3 - 0.7	0.3 - 0.5			Lodge and Pate (1966); Lodge et al. (1974)	Seashore and maritime	
Ireland		≤0.2	0.2 - 1.5	Chemiluminescent	Jul-Nov 1974	Cox (1977)	Maritime. Maximum hourly averages ranged from 0.3 to 5.0 ppb.	

\

Table 3

CONCENTRATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES OBSERVED
IN RURAL AND SUBURBAN AREAS\*

	Concentration (ppb)			Measurement	Date of			
Location	NO <sub>x</sub>	NO	NO <sub>2</sub>	Method	Measurement	Reference	Remarks	
Piedmont, North Carolina		1.9	5.6	Modified Saltzman	Nov 1965- Jan 1966	Ripperton et al. (1970)		
Porton, England		1	10	Modified Saltzman	Oct 1972- Jan 1973	Nash (1974)	Mean of 13 samples. Range is 4-21 ppb.	
Athensville, Illinois and Cofman, Missouri			1-3	Saltzman	Oct-Nov 1971	Breeding et al. (1973)		
Wilmington, Ohio			7	Chemiluminescent	Jun-Aug 1974	t	Mean hourly concentra- tion obtained from con- tinuous samples	
McConnelsville, Ohio		1	6	Chemiluminescent	Jun-Aug 1974	†		
Wooster, Ohio			7	Chemiluminescent	Jun-Aug 1974	1		
McHenry, Maryland	1		6	Chemiluminescent	Jun-Aug 1974	t		
DuBois, Pennsylvania			10	Chemiluminescent	Jun-Aug 1974	t		
Fritz Peak, Colorado			10	Absorption spectroxcopy	Fall 1974	Noxon (1975)	Column average concen- tration is attributed to transport from Denver	
New Carlisle, Ohio	17	5		Chemiluminescent	Jul-Aug 1974	Spicer et al. (1976a)	Twenty-day average from continuous samples	
Huber Heights, Ohio	26	8		Chemiluminescent	Jul-Aug 1974	Spicer et al. (1976a)	Suburban location	
Cold Lake, Alberta, Canada			1-2	Absorption spectroscopy	Feb 1977	Noxon (1978)	Column average	
Bradford, Pennsylvania		2	2.7	Chemiluminescent	Jun-Sep 1975	t		
Creston, Iowa		3.8	2.3	Chemiluminescent	Jun-Sep 1975	t		
Wolf Point, Minnesota	] .	<1	<1	Chemiluminescent	Jun-Sep 1975	l t		
De Ridder, Louisiana		1.5	2.6	Chemiluminescent	Jun-Sep 1975	1		

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  All locations are rural unless otherwise specified.

<sup>†</sup>Research Triangle Institute (1976).

Table 4

CONCENTRATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES OBSERVED
IN URBAN AREAS

	Concentration (ppb)		Measurement Date of				
Location	NO x	NO	NO <sub>2</sub>	Method	Measurement	Reference	Remarks
San Francisco Bay Area, California			22 - 42	Chemiluminescent and modified Saltzman	1977	California Air Resources Board (1978)	Range of annual average NO <sub>2</sub> for the area. Range of maximum hourly average NO <sub>2</sub> is 110-260 ppb.
Los Angeles County, California			35 - 89	Chemiluminescent and modified Saltzman	1977	California Air Resources Board (1978)	Range of annual average for the area. Maximum hourly averages ranged from 240 to 690 ppb.
Boulder, Colorado			<0.5 - 20	Absorption spectroscopy	Feb 1977	Noxon (1978)	Column average
Denver, Colorado			1 - 40	Absorption spectroscopy	Feb 1977	Noxon (1978)	Column average
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada			4 - 20	Absorption spectroscopy	Feb 1977	Noxon (1978)	Column average
Dayton, Ohio	47	22		Chemiluminescent	Jul-Aug 1974	Spicer et al. (1976a)	20-day average. Range of maximum hourly averages is 40-451 ppb for NO <sub>x</sub> and 12 to 408 ppb for NO.

measurements, as well as the corresponding literature reference. Unless otherwise specified, all measurements were made at ground level. In compiling these tables we have purposely omitted any measurements obtained using the Jacobs-Hochheiser method, in view of the well-known problems associated with this procedure (Federal Register, 1973; cf. Table 1).

Several aspects of the tables require explanation. The first concerns the concentration estimates obtained using absorption spectroscopy. These concentrations are averages taken over a column of air whose horizontal and vertical dimensions are of the order of a few kilometers. Hence, this method smooths out concentration gradients and in general will yield pollutant estimates that are lower than groundlevel measurements. These considerations are important in urban areas, where gradients can be steep; they are of less consequence in cleaner environments, where uniformity is the rule.

Second, the tables give average values of the compounds, when available, in order to portray long term conditions at the various sites.

The third and final point entails distinguishing between remote, rural, and suburban sites. A remote location is one that is far from populated areas; hence, its pollutant levels are seldom influenced by anthropogenic sources. However, in the United States, even remote areas are sometimes affected by pollutants transported from urban areas, as evidenced by the entries for Fritz Peak, Colorado, in Tables 2 and 3. A rural site, by contrast, would be one that is more likely to be impacted by transport from urban areas. Rural sites include small communities that generate pollutants, but in general do not contain any major sources of pollution. Suburban areas are those that are within commuting distance, (viz., 20 to 25 miles) of an urban area, and thus bear the brunt of transport from the urban core.

Examination of Tables 2 through 4 reveals certain patterns of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  levels for the various areas. Table 2 shows that remote sites have  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  concentrations that are below 1 ppb. Chemiluminescent measurements show  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 ppb, the bulk of the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  being  $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ , whereas the absorption spectroscopy method suggests that  $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$  is less than 0.1 ppb. The latter figure has been questioned by Ritter et al. (1978), whose concurrent ground-level chemiluminescent measurements of  $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$  at Fritz Peak found higher concentrations. The discrepancy probably results from the estimation procedure used to obtain the volumetric column average from the molecular density measurements obtained by absorption spectroscopy. The procedure requires assuming an effective height for the mixed layer, a quantity that is subject to large uncertainties. Because no such assumption is involved in Ritter's concentration estimate, we believe it to be the more accurate.

Measurements of NO at remote sites are very sparse, the most reliable being those by Drummond (1977); they suggest a mean NO/NO $_{\rm X}$  ratio of less than 0.2. The same bound is indicated by data collected by Ritter et al. (1978) under clean conditions at a site in Michigan. Thus, it appears that, on the average, NO $_{\rm 2}$  is the predominant species in remote locations. However, either NO or NO $_{\rm 2}$  can predominate at any given instant. As a footnote, it is noted that the values of NO $_{\rm 2}$  shown in Table 2 for maritime sites generally agree with the 0.5 ppb ocean background level suggested by Robinson and Robbins (1970).

For rural areas, Table 3 shows that mean  $NO_2$  concentrations range from 1 to 10 ppb. The data for the single suburban site at Huber Heights, Ohio, suggests an  $NO_2$  level in excess of 10 ppb. Although reported NO measurements are scarce, the available data indicate  $NO/NO_X$  ratios greater than 0.2. This was expected, since these sites are likely to be influenced by sources such as roads, urban areas, and power plants whose respective plumes can contain substantial amounts of unreacted NO.

As shown in Table 4, urban areas contain a wide range of  $NO_2$  values, the means ranging from 1 to 90 ppb, with peak hourly levels exceeding 600 ppb in Los Angeles. The  $NO/NO_X$  ratio for Dayton is about 0.5, which should be typical of urban areas. Of course, morning (0600-0900 LT) levels of  $NO_X$  in cities are primarily attributable to mobile sources, and NO is the predominant compound by far in this time interval.

To summarize, the data obtained from the literature indicate  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  levels below 1 ppb in remote areas, with a mean  $\mathrm{NO/NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  ratio bounded above by 0.2. For rural areas, mean  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  levels range from 1 to 10 ppb, with an  $\mathrm{NO/NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  ratio of 0.2 or greater. In urban areas, mean  $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$  levels span the range 1 to 100 ppb, the concentrations varying widely depending on geographical location. The mean  $\mathrm{NO/NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  ratio in urban areas probably exceeds 0.5.

Regarding NO $_2$  background levels, it should be noted that the 4 ppb concentration proposed by Robinson and Robbins (1970) as a "natural background" level for land areas in northern temperate zones is within the range of cooncentrations observed in rural areas of the United States. However, recent NO $_2$  measurements at remote locations show NO $_2$  being less than 1 ppb, which suggests that the 4-ppb level contains a sizable anthropogenic component. This implies that previous estimates of the atmospheric residence time of NO $_2$  need to be reevaluated.

# D. Sinks of $NO_x$

Once in the atmosphere,  $NO_{\mathbf{X}}$  (NO + NO<sub>2</sub>) is subject to various removal processes. Atmospheric residence times from 1 to 20 days have been suggested. Two principal removal mechanisms are operational:

- $\bullet$  Direct removal of gaseous  $\mathrm{NO}_{_{\mathbf{X}}}$  by dry and wet deposition processes.
- Conversion of NO<sub>X</sub> to nitric acid or particulate nitrate, followed by removal by dry or wet deposition processes.

In the atmosphere, NO<sub>2</sub> is oxidized to nitric acid, nitric acid aerosols, and nitrate aerosols via several pathways listed in Table 5. The quantitative importance of the mechanisms listed in Table 5 is currently uncertain. In the relatively clean atmosphere, at least half of the NO<sub>2</sub> is converted to HNO<sub>3</sub> via reaction with HO. The second path (Reactions 2 through 4), involving conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and finally HNO<sub>3</sub>, would be about a third as fast as the reaction with HO in rural atmospheres. It is quite possible, however, that Reactions 2, 3, and 4 may dominate in polluted atmospheres with very high oʻzone levels.

The volatility of nitric acid is such that it is not appreciably taken into water droplets unless the droplets contain neutralizing reactants. It would thus appear that homogeneous nucleation (Reactions 5 through 7) would not constitute an important mechanism for nitrate formation.

Nitric acid can exist in the gas phase or react with ammonia to form particulate ammonium nitrate. It has also been postulated that aerosol formation could result from direct absorption of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  into aqueous droplets in the presence of ambient ammonia (Paths III and IV). While both processes may proceed simultaneously, the presence of ammonia seems to be essential. The atmospheric abundance of ammonia in urban and rural atmospheres is poorly determined. Moreover, the rate constants (especially that for Reaction 7) are not firmly established. Thus, while Paths III and IV may be important, it is not possible to obtain a quantitative estimate of their contribution. It is predicted that about half of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  is converted to nitric acid and nitrates before being lost. Nitric acid may be an important component of acid rain.

In the lower atmosphere, and where some pollution exists, other sinks may be possible. For example,  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  in the presence of organics is converted to organic nitrogen compounds (such as peroxyacetyl nitrates, PANs) that could then be lost to the ground by dry or wet deposition or could slowly hydrolyze (Path V). While present in the gas phase, PANs may not be a final sink for  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ , since they may decompose to regenerate

Table 5

NITRIC ACID AND NITRATE AEROSOL FORMATION REACTIONS

	• Path	Reaction No.
I	Nitrogen dioxide - hydroxyl radical reaction:	
	$NO_2 + HO^{\bullet} + M \rightarrow HNO_3 + M$	1
II	Nitric acid anhydride reaction:	
	$NO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2$	2
	$NO_3 + NO_2 \rightarrow N_2O_5$	3
	$N_2O_5 + H_2O \rightarrow 2HNO_3$	4
III		
	$HNO_3$ (g) + $H_2O$ (g) $\rightarrow$ $HNO_3$ aerosol	5
	$N_2O_5$ (g) + $H_2O$ (l) $\rightarrow$ HNO <sub>3</sub> aerosol	6
	$NH_3$ (g) + $HNO_3$ (g) $\rightarrow NH_4NO_3$ aerosol	7
737		
14	NO <sub>X</sub> absorption into aerosol droplets: $2NO_2 + H_2O(\ell) \rightarrow HNO_3 + HNO_2$ (aqueous solution)	8
	$NO + NO_2 + H_2O (\ell) \rightarrow 2HNO_2$ (aqueous solution)	9
	$HNO_2$ (aq.) $\rightarrow$ H + $NO_2$ (aqueous solution)	10
	$NO_2^- + \frac{1}{2}O_2$ (in aerosol solution) $\rightarrow NO_3^-$	11
	$NO_2^2 + O_3$ (in aerosol solution) $\rightarrow NO_3^2 + O_2$	12
77		
, <b>v</b>	Hydrolysis of PANs: O O	
	$0   0   RCOONO_2 + H_2O(\ell) \rightarrow RCON + O_2 + HNO_2   H^+ + NO_2^-$	13
	$NO_2 + O_2 \rightarrow NO_3$	14
	•	
VI	• •	,.
	$CH_3O' + NO_2 - CH_3NO_3$	15
	Methoxy methyl radical nitrate	
<b>77</b> T		į
VII	HO <sub>2</sub> + NO <sub>2</sub> $\rightarrow$ HO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	16
	2 2 2 2	

 ${
m NO}_2$  and organic radicals. The formation of methyl nitrate has also been postulated, although no conclusive atmospheric identification has been made. Its atmospheric fate is largely unknown; however, reaction with HO is expected to provide at least one removal mechanism. Another temporary sink could be pernitric acid  $({
m HO}_2{
m NO}_2)$ , but it is likely to be important only at relatively colder temperatures. At temperatures greater than  $70^{\rm OF}$ , when most smog occurs, the role of  ${
m HO}_2{
m NO}_2$  is limited  $({
m Cox et al., 1977})$ .

Gaseous nitrogen species may be used up by surface absorption. Vegetation and soil are capable of removing significant amounts of NO, NO2, PAN, and other species from the atmosphere (Tingey, 1968; Rogers et al., 1977; Hill, 1971; Sundareson et al., 1967). Dry deposition of particulate matter occurs through sedimentation, Brownian motion, and impaction. Dry deposition of gaseous NO $_{\rm X}$  is only about half as effective as the corresponding particulate dry deposition. The rate of removal is strongly dependent on wind speed and the nature of the deposition surface.

Rainout and washout are the two major wet removal processes. The former refers to the processes of nucleation occurring within a cloud; the latter involves removal below the clouds by falling hydrometeors. Due to high concentration of nitrogen species within the boundary layer, washout may dominate the removal process. In the free troposphere, however, both processes may play an important role. Together, wet and dry deposition are the major ultimate sinks for the nitrogen containing species.

# E. Chemistry of NOx

Nitrogen oxides play a principal role in smog formation. Perhaps the most central reaction is the  ${\rm NO-NO}_2$  null cycle:

$$NO_2 + h_{\nu}(385 \text{ nm} < \lambda < 435 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow NO + O$$
 (1)

$$0 + 0_2 + M - 0_3 + M$$
 (2)

$$NO + O_3 - NO_2 + O_2$$
 (3)

NET: no reaction

The  $\mathrm{NO-NO}_2$  null reaction becomes important when the system is perturbed by the inclusion of hydrocarbons. In a complex way, the hydrocarbons induce the oxidation of  $\mathrm{NO}$  to  $\mathrm{NO}_2$  without involving  $\mathrm{O}_3$  destruction. This is accomplished by the peroxy free radicals in Reaction 4, and results in net  $\mathrm{O}_3$  production as indicated below

$$RO_{2} + NO - RO + NO_{2}$$

$$NO_{2} + hv - NO + O$$

$$O + O_{2} + M - O_{3} + M$$

$$NET: RO_{2} + O_{2} - RO + O_{3}$$
(4)

The peroxy free radicals are principally produced from hydrocarbon attack by free radicals [HO,  $O(^3P)$ ] and by ozone itself. Of these HO is known to play a dominant role

$$RH + HO \rightarrow R + H_2O$$
 (5)

$$R + O_2 \rightarrow RO_2 \tag{6}$$

where RH denotes a generic hydrocarbon compound.

Other peroxy free radicals, such as  $RCO_3$ , are also produced by reaction of HO with alhehydes and that of  $O_3$  with olefins. The RO radicals generated in Reaction 4 are oxidized by oxygen to form aldehydes  $(RO + O_2 -> HO_2 + \text{aldehydes})$ . In a way similar to  $RO_2$ , the  $RCO_3$  radical can also lead to net  $O_3$  formation as follows:

$$RCO_{3} + NO + O_{2} \rightarrow RO_{2} + NO_{2} + CO_{2}$$

$$NO_{2} + h \lor \rightarrow NO + O$$

$$O + O_{2} + M \rightarrow O_{3}$$

$$NET: RCO_{3} + 2O_{2} \rightarrow RO_{2} + O_{3} + CO_{2}$$
(7)

Thus, the  ${
m RCO}_3$  radical not only contributes to net  ${
m O}_3$  production but also releases an  ${
m RO}_2$  radical, which can continue the chain reactions. Thus, in principle, this chain will continue as long as there is  ${
m NO}_{\rm x}$ , hydrocarbons, and sunlight. By allowing the oxidation of NO to  ${
m NO}_2$  by processes other than reaction with  ${
m O}_3$  (Reaction 3), a build-up of  ${
m O}_3$  takes place. Almost any hydrocarbon (also CO) that can react with free radicals can participate in the ozone-forming process.

While initially the reactive free radical HO is released from  $0_3$  photolysis, eventually other reactions take over as follows:

$$0_3 + hv - 0(^1D) + 0_2$$
 (8a)

$$0(^{1}D) + H_{2}O - 2HO$$
 (8b)

$$HO_2 + NO - NO_2 + HO$$
 (9)

In the very clean atmosphere, Reaction 8 is the dominant source of HO, whereas in a highly polluted atmosphere Reaction 9 predominates. In rural atmospheres, it is possible that both reactions would be important contributors to ambient HO.

Perhaps the simplest smog cycle is due to CO:

CO + HO 
$$\rightarrow$$
 CO<sub>2</sub> + H  
H + O<sub>2</sub> + M  $\rightarrow$  HO<sub>2</sub> + M  
HO<sub>2</sub> + NO  $\rightarrow$  HO + NO<sub>2</sub>  
NO<sub>2</sub> + ho  $\rightarrow$  NO + O  
O + O<sub>2</sub> + M  $\rightarrow$  O<sub>3</sub> + M  
NET: CO + 2O<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  CO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>3</sub>

However, in suburban and rural areas, where CO levels are low, the CO-related reactions are generally not significant ozone producers. In remote areas and in the free troposphere, it is hypothesized that CO could become important in the presence of  $NO_{\chi}$  levels greater than 0.1 ppb.

The chain-carrying radicals are also destroyed in a number of ways. In some cases, the radicals provide a sink for  ${
m NO}_{
m X}$ . Important chain-termination reactions are as follows:

HO + NO<sub>2</sub> + M - HNO<sub>3</sub> + M
HO + HO<sub>2</sub> - H<sub>2</sub>O + O<sub>2</sub>
HO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub> - H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>2</sub>
RCO<sub>3</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub> 
$$\stackrel{?}{=}$$
 RCO<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (PAN)
NO + NO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O - 2HNO<sub>2</sub>
HNO<sub>2</sub> + h - HO + NO
NO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>3</sub> - NO<sub>3</sub> + O<sub>2</sub>
NO<sub>3</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub> - N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>
N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O - 2HNO<sub>3</sub>
HO<sub>2</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub>  $\stackrel{M}{=}$  HO<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>
HO<sub>2</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub> - HONO + O<sub>2</sub>

It should be noted that the ratio of the rates of forward and reverse reactions for PAN and  ${\rm HO_2NO_2}$  is highly-temperature dependent, the rate of the reverse reaction increasing with temperature (Hendry and Kenley, 1978).

All mechanisms of ozone formation in the troposphere need  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  for ozone synthesis. In the urban centers,  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  may build to such high levels as to actually suppress  $\mathrm{O}_3$  production. This is unlikely to happen

in nonurban locations where NO-NO<sub>2</sub> levels are relatively low. Since the rate of NO<sub>x</sub> removal from the atmosphere is at least of the order of several hours, fresh emissions allow a residue of NO<sub>x</sub> to remain that is sufficient to sustain the photochemical chain reactions that result in smog formation. It is also possible that  $O_3$  gas phase loss mechanisms are minimized in nonurban areas and the smog cycle is more efficient. It is expected that hydrocarbons of either man-made or natural origin would be available in nonurban areas to participate in smog chemistry. In the free troposphere, the important carbon compounds would be  $CH_4$ ,  $C_2H_6$ , and CO. In the nonurban atmosphere, other light alkanes would dominate (butanes, pentanes). Small amounts of alkenes, (e.g.,  $C_2H_4$ ) may also be present (Singh et al., 1978).

# F. Sources of NOx

Both man-made and natural sources contribute to the total atmospheric  $NO_X$  burden. The natural  $NO_X$  sources at this time are poorly understood and are largely speculative.

#### 1. Man-Made Sources

The principal source of nitrogen oxides is the oxidation of atmospheric nitrogen in combustion processes. The oxidation of nitrogen at high temperatures primarily occurs through the following mechanisms:


$$O_2 \neq 20$$
 $O + N_2 \neq NO + N$ 
 $N + O_2 \neq NO + O$ 

The production of NO is critically dependent on the atomic oxygen concentration, which in turn is dependent on the combustion temperature. The most common combustion processes involve:

- Fuels--coal, petroleum, wood, natural gas, refuse
- Processes--power generation, industry, domestic heating, refinery production, transportation, controlled burning (forest, sugar cane), and incineration, among others.

Figure 1 shows the actual and projected trends in emissions of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  in the United States by source categories. The global man-made emissions have been estimated to be three times those of the United States (Soderlund and Svensson, 1976). Thus, it is possible to estimate that currently about 10 Mt(N)/yr of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  is emitted in the United States and the estimate of global emission rate is 30 Mt(N)/yr.

Not all  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  is released in a primary mode. Combustion sources, animal wastes (feedlots and pastures), and volatilization of nitrogen fertilizer release significant quantities of ammonia, which can be oxidized to NO or  $\mathrm{NO}_2$  in the atmosphere. This conversion process is poorly understood, and its occurrence has been questioned (Cox et al., 1975a). No complete  $\mathrm{NH}_3$  emissions inventory is available for the United States. Best estimates are that man-made activities result in the release of 50 to 80 Mt(N)/yr of ammonia (National Academy of Sciences, 1978). Burning of coal accounts for 4 to 12 Mt, volatilization from animal and human waste account for 20 to 35 Mt, and inefficiencies in handling and application account for about 30 Mt of  $\mathrm{NH}_3$ . Thus, in principle, man-made releases of ammonia can be larger than direct releases of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ . Best estimates are that no more than 3 to 4 percent of ammonia would be converted to  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  (National Academy of Sciences, 1978). Thus, emissions of ammonia would contribute about 10 percent to the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  burden.



Source: National Academy of Sciences, 1978

FIGURE 1 ACTUAL AND PROJECTED TRENDS IN EMISSIONS OF  $NO_{\chi}$  IN THE UNITED STATES BY SOURCE CATEGORIES

#### 2. Natural Sources

Natural sources also release  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\mathrm{NH}_3$  via a variety of mechanisms. The quantitative estimates of fluxes are poorly understood, however. Table 6 shows the various natural processes that result in a release of either  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  or  $\mathrm{NH}_3$ .

Table 6

Source Type	Major * Species*
Soil: decomposition and volatilization of organic matter and animal wastes under alkaline conditions	NH <sub>3</sub>
Chemodenitrification in acidic swamps and soils	NO
Forest fires	NH <sub>3</sub> ,NO <sub>x</sub>
Volcanoes	NH <sub>3</sub> ,NO <sub>x</sub> (?)
Lightning	NOx
Inflow from the stratosphere (from photolysis of N <sub>2</sub> O)	NO x

<sup>\*</sup>Since N<sub>2</sub>O is unreactive in the troposphere,
it is not included.

Of all the processes listed in Table 6, the biological and chemical transformations of nitrogen compounds in the soil appear to be an important source  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ . Although this source is poorly quantified, a global flux of 3 to 60 Mt(N)/yr can be estimated (Ratsch and Tingey, 1978; Soderlund and Svensson, 1976). Inflow from the stratosphere (from N<sub>2</sub>O decomposition and photolysis) is not expected to exceed 0.5 Mt(N)/yr and

is negligibly small. Soderlund and Svensson (1976) estimate that an  $NO_X$  source of 20 to 90 Mt/yr is necessary to balance the  $NO_X$  cycle. The difference between the soil source and total  $NO_X$  required may be explained by  $NO_X$  generated from lightning. Estimates of global production by lightning range from 10 to 20 Mt(N)/yr (CAST, 1976; Delwiche, 1970; Holland, 1973). More recently, however, Chameides et al. (1977) estimate that global production of  $NO_X$  during lightning is 30 to 40 Mt/yr. Although uncertainties abound, it is possible that a global natural source of  $NO_X$  is 20 to 90 Mt(N)/yr and is largely composed of  $NO_X$  produced by lightning and biological and chemical transformations in the soil.

Emissions of NH<sub>3</sub>, however, are expected to be much larger. Estimates of Robinson and Robbins (1971) suggested a natural NO<sub>3</sub> source of 870 Mt(N)/yr. Soderlund and Svensson (1976) have improved this estimate and suggest that the natural sources of NH<sub>3</sub> lie between 80 and 200 Mt/yr. The available data are extremely poor and subject to major revisions. Together, both natural and man-made sources of NH<sub>3</sub> would result in the formation of 2 to 8 Mt/yr of NO<sub> $\tau$ </sub>.

#### G. Mathematical Models

Efforts to model photochemical pollution date back to the late 1960s, their main thrust being the simulation of urban pollution. Urban-oriented models continue to be developed, improved, and refined; a useful summary of the state of the modeling art for urban areas circa 1976-1977 is given by Seinfeld and Wilson (1977). More recently, a number of models have been developed that attempt to describe nonurban pollution. The discussion that follows reviews these models. Because we are concerned with models that attempt to simulate the physical processes governing photochemical air pollution, our review will not include statistical or curve-fitting approaches to the estimation of pollutant levels.

The review will be concerned with the following aspects of the models:

- Model type
- Domain of applicability of the model
- Verification tests.

The first aspect, model type, encompasses the structure and contents of the model. The second aspect specifies those conditions under which the model can be applied. The last, verification tests, examines the extent to which the models have been tested against experimental data. Applications of the models will be discussed in the section that examines the relationship between  $NO_x$  and  $O_3$  in nonurban areas.

# 1. Model Type and Intended Application

All the models reviewed fall into one of two categories:

- Those that have chemistry only
- Those that contain both chemistry and meteorology.

Some models operate under steady-state assumptions, and others simulate time-dependent conditions. Table 7 lists and classifies the models reviewed; each model is identified by the name of the developer(s). The table also shows the type of application for which each model is intended.

The models listed in Table 7 have as their centerpiece a set of chemical reactions that describe the photochemistry of nitrogen oxides, ozone, and hydrocarbons. The kinetic modules of the various models are similar in many ways, which implies that there is general agreement on the major features of the chemistry. In particular, the description of the gas phase chemistry of NO<sub>x</sub> differs only slightly in the various models. The major chemistry differences involve the hydrocarbons. Thus, models that simulate clean environments do not include the same hydrocarbons that appear in models intended for more polluted areas. In general, the models emphasize chemistry at the expense of transport and

Table 7
PHOTOCHEMICAL MODELS FOR NONURBAN AREAS

Model Identification	Classification *	Intended Application	References	
Chang and Weinstock	Chemical; time-dependent	Rural areas influenced by urban transport	Chang and Weinstock (1967a,b)	
Chameides	Steady-state; chemistry and parameterized vertical transport	Remote areas	Chameides (1978); Chameides and Stedman (1977)	
Liu	Time-dependent; chemistry and parameterized vertical transport	Remote areas	Liu (1977); Liu et al. (1976)	
Fishman and Crutzen	Time-dependent; chemistry and vertical transport and diffusion	Remote areas	Fishman and Crutzen (1977)	
Hov and Isaksen	Time-dependent; chemistry and parameterized dilution	Rural areas influenced by urban transport	Isaksen et al. (1978a,b); Hov et al. (1978)	
Graedel and Allara	Time-dependent; chemistry and instantaneous mixing within a variable volume	Rural areas influenced by urban transport	Graedel and Allara (1976)	

<sup>\*</sup> All the models are one-dimensional.

diffusion. Moreover, the models are one-dimensional, i.e., they consider a single column of air as it moves over a region.

Chang and Weinstock's model employs 57 reactions, including reactions for methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), other alkanes, and aldehydes. The model assumes instantaneous mixing and considers the air column to be a moving reactor.

The models devised by Chameides and by Liu use the same chemical description, which contains 47 reactions. Being intended for simulating remote environments, the chemistry does not include aldehydes or any primary hydrocarbons other than  $\mathrm{CH_4}$ . Thus,  $\mathrm{CH_4}$  oxidation is the principal source of organic radicals in these models. The two models differ only in the numerical values assigned to some of the reaction rate constants. Chameides' model assumes steady state conditions, and thus is useful only for estimating bounds on pollutant levels. Liu's model operates under dynamic conditions, thereby yielding concentration histories for the species of interest. Neither model can be used to assess the impact on ozone of hydrocarbons and  $\mathrm{NO_X}$  transported from urban areas. It may be possible to study the effect of  $\mathrm{NO_X}$  transport on  $\mathrm{NO_X}$  and ozone levels using these models.

The meteorological component of Fishman and Crutzen's model is more elaborate and realistic than in the models previously discussed, since it includes time-dependent vertical diffusion and transport. Its chemical module contains 42 reactions and, as in the case of Chameides's and Liu's models, considers CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation to be the principal source of organic radicals. The model can be used in a qualitative sense to gain insights about the interactions of the various species.

The Hov and Isaksen model treats chemistry in great detail. Its chemical module contains 161 reactions for  $NO_x$  and seven hydrocarbons. The hydrocarbons are associated with urban plumes, and consist of propene  $(C_3H_6)$ , ethylene  $(C_2H_4)$ , m-xylene  $(mC_8H_{10})$ , acetylene  $(C_2H_2)$ , n-butane  $(mC_4H_{10})$ , and n-hexane  $(mC_6H_{14})$ , as well as  $CH_4$ . The model assumes instantaneous vertical mixing within the mixed layer in a moving

air column whose horizontal dimensions are assumed to be large enough to justify neglecting horizontal diffusion. However, the model allows the mixing volume to change with time.

Hov and Isaksen's model represents an ambitious attempt to simulate the phenomena associated with photochemical smog formation downwind of urban areas. This is reflected in the explicit inclusion of kinetic models of the oxidation of the various hydrocarbons listed above. While their treatment of C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, nC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>14</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> follows well known lines (see, e.g., Demerjian et al., 1974, and Hendry et al., 1978), the formulation of m-xylene oxidation differs significantly from other descriptions of the kinetics of aromatic compounds (Hendry, 1978). The net thrust of Hov and Isaksen's approach seems to cause m-xylene to induce a higher rate of NO oxidation. In effect, m-xylene is made to appear to be more reactive than is thought to be the case, which implies that ozone production attributed to m-xylene would be overestimated. However, the reports of applications of Hov and Isaksen's model do not discuss the contribution of individual hydrocarbons to ozone formation; hence, we cannot establish the importance of m-xylene in the overall mechanism. All that can be said at this time is that there may be a potential problem associated with m-xylene, which could alter any inferences drawn from applications of this model.

The model developed by Graedel and Allara is similar to the others, in that it is a chemical model that allows the mixing volume to change. The species are assumed to be instantaneously and uniformly mixed within the volume; in essence, it is a box-type model. The chemical module is highly detailed, containing over 300 reactions involving more than 200 chemical species. A unique feature of this model is the inclusion of reaction sets for alpha-pinene and isoprene. It also includes  $\mathrm{CR}_4$ , hydrogen sulfide, ammonia, and aldehydes. Thus, the model is intended for studying the contribution of local photochemistry and sources on nonurban ozone levels. It can also be used to examine the impact on ozone of urban  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ .

## 2. Model Verification

None of the models has undergone adequate verification tests against field data; this is partly due to the sparseness of the data available. Chang and Weinstock's model has been tested against smog chamber data in a limited fashion. We found no evidence of verification attempts by Chameides, Liu, or Fishman and Crutzen. How et al. (1978) compared the predictions of Hov and Isaksen's model against  $0_3$  and  $N0_2$ data collected in England and Ireland on 29 and 30 August 1974. comparison is encouraging because calculations and observations are within a factor of two. However, the test is inadequate because it employs only four observations, which is too small a sample to yield meaningful conclusions. The same applies to other comparisons reported by Isaksen et al. (1978 a,b). In one test, Hov and Isaksen's model predicted ozone concentrations in the St. Louis urban plume with a maximum error of about 30 percent, with most of the differences between computation and observation being considerably smaller (Isaksen et al., 1978ь).

Graedel and Allara (1976) report limited tests of their model against field data that yielded agreement to within a factor of about three for most species, the conspicuous exception being ozone, which was underpredicted by a greater margin. They attribute the ozone discrepancy to the fact that nonurban ozone is not produced solely by local photochemistry, but is also transported, ready-made, from other areas. The transported ozone would be expected to combine additively with the locally made ozone. Since the model considers local effects only, it seems reasonable that ozone would be underestimated.

The quantitative reliability of the models remains an open question. Because of this, the models must be considered speculative but nonetheless potentially useful tools for studying  $NO_{\chi}/O_3$  interactions in nonurban areas. Predictions obtained using these models can best be interpreted as qualitative indications of potential effects.

# H. Relationship Between $NO_x$ and $O_3$

Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the rural ozone phenomenon. Reduced to essentials, the hypotheses are:

- 03 is locally produced from existing and transported precursors.
- Ozone is formed in urban plumes and transported to nonurban areas.
- Rural ozone results from natural causes, such as stratopheric intrusion.

It should be recognized, however, that rural ozone is due to a combination of factors, rather than to a single mechanism. Consequently, the hypotheses are complementary rather than mutually exclusive. Thus, the problem becomes one of attempting to find a predominant mechanism among a variety of causes. For our purposes, we examine below various aspects of the first two postulates.

Before proceeding to review the literature, we note that (for regulatory purposes) a basic question about the role of NO, in ozone formation in nonurban areas is whether such ozone production is NO,-limited. Ozone formation is said to be  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ -limited when addition of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  results in higher ozone concentrations. However, the NO,-limited condition is a function not only of NO,, but also of the hydrocarbon concentration. The situation is illustrated in Figure 2, which plots  $0_3$  as a function of  $NO_{\mathbf{v}}$  with nonmethane hydrocarbon (NMHC) as a parameter. The figure shows that  $0_3$  increases with  $N0_x$  until it reaches a maximum, decreasing thereafter with further increases in NO. Whether ozone increases or decreases with increasing NO, is determined by the NMHC/NO, ratio. The figure shows that for NMHC/NO, ratios greater than about 4.8, ozone will be in the  $NO_x$ -limited condition, and vice versa. The precise  $NMHC/NO_x$ ratio that forms the boundary of the  $NO_{x}$ -limited region will vary depending on the type of hydrocarbons involved (the curves in Figure 2 are for a mixture of propylene and n-butane), so no special significance attaches to the ratio of 4.8. Nevertheless, atmospheric values of




FIGURE 2 OZONE CONCENTRATION AS A FUNCTION OF  $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$  LEVEL WITH NONMETHANE HYDROCARBON (NMHC) AS PARAMETER

 ${\rm NMHC/NO_X}$  > 10 generally lead to  ${\rm NO_X}$ -limited conditions for  ${\rm O_3}$  formation. Thus, a high  ${\rm NMHC/NO_X}$  ratio is a necessary condition for ozone to be in the  ${\rm NO_X}$ -limited region.

If  $0_3$  formation in nonurban areas is  $NO_x$ -limited, then the possibility exists that increases in  $NO_x$  emissions from urban areas may enhance ozone levels in nonurban regions downwind of the  $NO_x$  source. Hence, to reduce nonurban ozone, it would be necessary to control urban  $NO_x$  emissions. On the other hand, the case for controlling  $NO_{\overline{X}}$  emissions is less compelling if nonurban ozone levels are not  $NO_x$ -limited. This is the fundamental problem that motivates the literature review described below.

The literature contains conflicting reports on whether adding  $NO_{\chi}$ enhances, inhibits, or has no effect on ozone formation. The most direct evidence of enhancement due to addition of NO, has been recently reported by Miller et al. (1978), who used an airplane to track a power plant plume over Lake Michigan for four hours--a distance of about 90 miles. As expected, ozone in the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ -rich plume initially was below ambient levels, the latter being about 90 ppb and the former approximately 60 ppb. After about one hour, ozone in the plume began to surpass ambient levels, and continued to accumulate. After four hours, ozone levels in the plume reached about 150 ppb compared to 100 ppb for the ambient. The authors offer plausible evidence that the apparent cause of this phenomenon was the addition of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  to an atmosphere with a  $\mathrm{NMHC/NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  ratio that was very high  $\approx 30/1$ ) initially. With such high  $NMHC/NO_x$  ratios, ozone production is  $NO_x$ -limited. Hence, as the  $NO_x$ from the plume mixes with the surrounding air, the extra  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  enhances ozone formation. It seems reasonable to expect that the type of hydrocarbons present would determine the threshold that the  ${\rm NMHC/NO}_{_{\!\!\!\mathbf{Y}}}$  ratio has to exceed for the system to be in the  $NO_x$ -limited region of ozone formation. Unfortunately, no hydrocarbon composition data are given in the published report. Lacking such data, it is risky to attempt to formulate any general rules. Nevertheless, the fact remains that ozone enhancement did occur, and that it appears to be directly related to the addition of  $NO_{X}$ . Thus, under the proper conditions, similar phenomena can occur in nonurban areas impacted by urban  $NO_{Y}$ .

Jeffries et al. (1976) provide indirect evidence in support of the concept of  $NO_X$ -enhanced ozone production in nonurban areas. Their investigation used an outdoor smog chamber irradiated by sunlight. Some of the experiments involved extended irradiations over several days in succession. Their experiments indicate that first-day ozone production is dominated by the initial hydrocarbon concentration. However, second-day ozone levels can be as high as the first day's, even though most of the alkenes were consumed the first day. The implication is that an aged air mass essentially devoid of alkenes can retain the capacity to produce substantial amounts of ozone given a small amount of  $NO_X$ . They estimate that the amount of  $NO_X$  required to produce such behavior is of the order of 5 ppb. However, we have seen in Table 3 that 5 ppb is typically observed in rural areas. Hence, if their conclusions are correct, the chemical conditions may already exist that favor local ozone generation in nonurban areas.

To investigate the effects of dilution, Jeffries et al. (1976) performed a three-day experiment in which the initial charge of the chamber was irradiated and diluted with purified air until only 5 percent of the original mass remained. The remainder was irradiated by sunlight for two successive days, and produced significant amounts of ozone, but generally less than in the first day. These results lend credence to the hypothesis that ozone production can occur in an air mass that has been transported over a long distance, in spite of the substantial dilution that the pollutants undergo.

An independent study by Ripperton et al. (1976), also using an outdoor smog chamber, supports the conclusions of Jeffries et al. (1976) mentioned above. Ripperton et al. concluded that  $NO_X$  levels in the 1- to 5-ppb range can generate substantial amounts of ozone. Their results also parallel Jeffries with regard to dilution effects.

Although highly suggestive, these results should be interpreted with great caution owing to the uncertainties associated with wall effects in smog chambers. Thus, it is generally recognized that the walls can act as a source of free radicals that act to initiate the hydrocarbon oxidation chain, thereby inducing a level of photochemical activity that may not be representative of atmospheric conditions.

While the previous studies suggest that low levels of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  can sustain, and that additional NO, may enhance, local ozone production in rural areas, a report by Lonneman (1976) concludes that ozone transport from urban areas may be the dominant factor in determining rural ozone levels. Lonneman discusses ozone, NO,, and hydrocarbon measurements in Wilmington, Ohio, a rural site surrounded by three metropolitan areas. The key evidence indicating that transport predominates is that the high ozone values occurred in the late afternoon and early evening. This certainly suggests that a polluted air mass arrived at the site, since local ozone generation is tightly coupled to the sunlight cycle. Thus, a locally generated ozone maximum would occur around noon, at the time of the peak in solar radiation intensity, rather than in the late afternoon or early evening. It would have been interesting to see whether the days containing the late ozone peak also displayed an earlier peak around noon, but this is not discussed by Lonneman. In Section IV of this report we examine some recent field data that show this double-peak phenomenon.

That ozone is transported over hundreds of kilometers is by now a well-documented phenomenon. The literature on this subject is extensive and growing; some references of interest are Blumenthal et al. (1974), Cox et al. (1975b), Decker et al. (1977), White et al. (1976), Westberg et al. (1978 a,b,c), Spicer et al. (1976a), Research Triangle Institute (1976), and Kauper and Niemann (1976). The reader should consult the references for descriptions of the transport mechanism; here we summarize the effects associated with transported ozone. In general, it appears that transported ozone combines additively, rather than synergistically, with local ozone to increase the overall concentration at

the ground. This higher level can exceed the ambient standard; in some cases, the transported ozone by itself exceeds the standard. Ozone transport can occur over several days, but the evidence suggests that single-day transport may be a predominant influence because of losses that occur as ozone is subjected to chemical scavenging and dry deposition processes near the ground. Hence regeneration of ozone seems to be required on a daily basis to sustain transport of high ozone concentrations over several days. Thus, while transported ozone can be responsible for high downwind ozone levels observed within a travel time of 24 to 36 hours, the availability of ozone precursors may be necessary to extend the transport range. These precursors may well be carried along with the ozone itself, since the evidence suggests that an "aged" or "spent" polluted air mass can continue to form ozone. Alternatively. the precursors may be present already at the downwind location, for (as we have seen) NO, levels of 1 to 10 ppb prevail in rural areas and such levels are sufficient to form significant quantities of ozone, provided that the necessary hydrocarbons are also available. Thus, in examining the role of NO, in nonurban ozone formation, the concentrations and types of hydrocarbons that are present at the rural sites must also be considered. A full review of this aspect of the problem is beyond the scope of this report, and we shall only take a brief look at it.

Both natural and anthropogenic hydrocarbons are found in rural areas of the United States. The role of natural hydrocarbons (NHC) in the formation of nonurban ozone is a subject of considerable controversy (cf. Coffey and Westberg, 1977; Lonneman et al., 1978 and 1979; Sculley, 1979; Ludlum and Bailey, 1979). Some researchers believe that NHC are unimportant, while others assert that further research is needed to prove or disprove this hypothesis. Others have used statistical arguments to postulate that NHC are significant contributors to urban and suburban smog, a contention that has been hotly debated (Sandberg et al., 1978 and 1979a,b; Bufalini, 1979; Miller et al., 1979). A recent study by Arnts and Gay (1979) examined the photochemistry of selected NHC, including isoprene, p-cymene, and six monoterpenes. The investigation was aimed at estimating the ozone-forming potential of these NHC in

the presence of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  in a controlled laboratory environment. They conclude that monoterpenes do not permit ozone to accumulate because of the fast reaction between ozone and monoterpenes, which agrees with suggestions previously advanced by Westberg (Coffey and Westberg, 1977). Isoprene was found to produce significant amounts of ozone, but not at high carbon/ $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  ratios, where some ozone suppression was observed. However, the hydrocarbon concentrations used in the experiments were higher than have been observed in the atmosphere, and the results of the study, while suggestive, can not be readily extrapolated to the atmospheric milieu. In view of the wide difference of opinion, it is clear that nothing definitive about the role of NHC can be said at this time, which leaves the anthropogenic component to be dealt with.

Light alkanes (e.g., butanes, pentanes, and ethane) and alkenes (e.g., ethylene) have been observed in rural areas. Spicer et al. (1976a) report 3-hour average NMHC levels at a rural site downwind of Dayton, Ohio, ranging from 0.67 to 0.72 ppmC. Ethane, ethylene, and acetylene were also detected at the same site, the latter being an indicator of anthropogenic influence since it is primarily emitted by automobiles. Decker et al. (1977) measured hydrocarbons aloft in the St. Louis urban plume that was tracked with a balloon. While their data contain some anomalies (e.g., one sample was reported to contain 1016 ppbC propane and 112.6 ppm of CHA), they do provide an indication of the hydrocarbon levels that are present in a plume under transport conditions. Table 8 shows the concentration range of several compounds during the day and night portions of the balloon's flight. It is evident that the alkenes and propylene were present in small but significant quantities during the overnight portion of the flight. During this period, ozone remained essentially constant at about 125 ppb; hence, there appears to have been very little ozone lost through 03-alkene reactions. The general meteorological conditions consisted of a subsidence inversion aloft and a strong radiative ground-based inversion, conditions that favor long-range transport. Thus, not only is ozone transported, but the hydrocarbons are also carried along, and will be available to participate in the photochemical smog process the next day,

Table 8

RANGE OF HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATIONS MEASURED ABOARD DA VINCI II BALLOON\*

	Concentration Range (ppbC)					
Compound	Daytime 8 Jun 1976 1100 - 1900	Nighttime 8-9 Jun 1976 2000 - 0600	Daytime 9 Jun 1976 0600 - 0800			
Propane	2.9 - 3.9	2.5 - 10.5	3.8 - 40.0			
Isobutane	1.0 - 3.1	1.1 - 8.8	3.7 - 5.1			
n-Butane	6.4 - 11.8	5.0 - 20.6	10.6 - 65.2			
Isopentane	1.8 - 39.8	0 <sup>†</sup> - 12.2	5.4 - 8.3			
Acetylene	0.9 - 6.1	2.2 - 4.8	3.3 - 5.4			
Propylene	1.5 - 8.8	0.8 - 3.4	1.5 - 13.7			
1-Butene	0 - 3.2	o <sup>†</sup> - 5.4	0.5 - 34.6			
Trans-2-Butene	0 <sup>†</sup> - 2.0	0 - 2.0	0 - 8.4			

<sup>\*</sup>Adapted from Decker et al. (1977). Four out of 22 samples have been excluded due to anomalous concentrations.

when all the species undergo fumigation upon the breakup of the inversion.

Additional evidence of the presence of hydrocarbon precursors at a rural site is given in Table 9, which lists average concentrations of several compounds measured in Elkton, Missouri (Rasmussen et al., 1977). It is apparent that acetylene, propylene, and 1-Butene are at the lower end of the concentration range observed in the St. Louis plume (cf. Table 8). The same is true for the butanes and isopentane. However, propane levels are comparable to the St. Louis data. Obviously, Elkton

Zero concentration denotes levels below limit of detection.

Table 9

AVERAGE HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATIONS
IN ELKTON, MISSOURI

Compound	Concentration (ppbC)	
Propane	5	
Isobutane	2	
n-Butane	3	
Isopentane	3	
n-Pentane	2	
Ethane	5	
Acetylene	1	
Propylene	1	
1-Butene	0	
Ethylene	2	

<sup>\*</sup>Adapted from Rasmussen et al. (1977).

is cleaner than an urban plume, but it still shows evidence of anthropogenic influence. This suggests that some hydrocarbon precursors of ozone are as ubiquitous as  $NO_x$ .

Two very useful studies by Ludwig et al. (1976; 1977) and one by Meyer et al. (1976) investigated the relationship between rural ozone and transported precursors. Ludwig examined high ozone concentrations at several rural sites by analyzing the emission and meteorological history of the air masses arriving at the sites. In general, it was found that  $NO_X$  emissions were positively correlated with ozone. The overall correlation was low (0.27 and 0.32 for two different time lags) but highly statistically significant, and one site showed a correlation of 0.64. By contrast, hydrocarbon emissions showed no significant correlation with ozone. The analysis showed that  $NO_X$  emitted within 12 hours

and between 24 and 36 hours prior to the arrival of the air mass at the site had the most influence on ozone. These time lags respectively reflect the impact of nearby emissions (0 to 12 hours) and long range transport (24 to 36 hours). A half-life of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  of at least one day is suggested by these time intervals, which is consistent with the range of estimates previously mentioned in Section II-D. From Ludwig's work, we can infer that ozone levels at rural sites are probably enhanced by addition of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ , which implies that ozone production is  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ -limited. This further suggests that high  $\mathrm{NMHC/NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  ratios exist at these rural sites, and that the prevailing concentrations of NMHC are sufficient to promote the formation of substantial amounts of ozone. While these inferences cannot be considered definitive, they are consistent with the findings of other studies.

Meyer et al. (1976) independently studied ozone levels at urban and rural sites using a trajectory approach similar to Ludwig's. Their results parallel Ludwig's, showing that NO, emissions with a 6-hour time lag are positively correlated with ozone at rural sites. The overall correlation is low (0.23), but statistically significant. Moreover, it is noteworthy that lagged NO, emissions were not significantly correlated with ozone at the urban sites, whereas the unlagged emissions were correlated. This latter result is precisely what would be expected in view of the disparate distance scales that prevail between sources and receptors in urban and rural areas. Meyer's analysis also showed that hydrocarbon emissions with lags of 24 and 30 hours showed a positive correlation with ozone at the rural sites of the same magnitude as for NO. This is rather puzzling, as one would expect to see hydrocarbonozone correlations with short time lags as well; it also contradicts Ludwig's results. Thus, these results indicate that at the rural sites NO, emissions from sources that are relatively close to the site are more important than from sources that are far away, while the opposite is indicated for hydrocarbons. This may be a consequence of the geography of the sites analyzed, and further investigation is required to confirm this effect. For  $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$ , the positivity of the correlation indicates that ozone levels will tend to be enhanced by the addition of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\chi}$ , which is the same qualitative effect indicated by Ludwig's analysis. Thus, Meyer's study supports the hypothesis that ozone formation in rural areas may well be NO\_-limited.

Various attempts have been made to model rural ozone formation. Chameides (1978) used his model to examine the effect of the rate constant of the reaction  $\mathrm{HO}_2$  +  $\mathrm{NO}$  ->  $\mathrm{NO}_2$  +  $\mathrm{HO}$ , which has been recently revised upward (Howard and Evenson, 1977). He concluded that with the higher rate constant (approximately 1.2 x  $\mathrm{10}^4/\mathrm{ppm/min}$  levels of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  below 1 ppb are sufficient to promote the formation of substantial amounts of ozone. However, his work was limited to steady-state estimates using  $\mathrm{CH}_4$  as the sole source of organic radicals; hence we must discount the quantitative aspects of this work and consider only the qualitative effects. Nevertheless, it is generally agreed that the faster reaction  $\mathrm{HO}_2$  +  $\mathrm{NO}$  ->  $\mathrm{NO}_2$  + HO enhances the ability of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  to generate ozone since in all photochemical smog mechanisms this reaction is one of the key steps (another being  $\mathrm{RO}_2$  +  $\mathrm{NO}$  ->  $\mathrm{RO}$  +  $\mathrm{NO}_2$ ) that oxidize NO to  $\mathrm{NO}_2$ .

Graedel and Allara (1976) report that increasing  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  emissions would result in a small decrease in peak ozone. This is contrary to the hypothesis that ozone production in rural areas may be  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ -limited. However, their model used the lower  $\mathrm{HO}_2$  +  $\mathrm{NO}$  rate constant; hence, their result may be subject to revision. Liu (1977) also reported a decrease in ozone due to increased NO emissions, but he also used the outdated rate constant for  $\mathrm{HO}_2$  +  $\mathrm{NO}_2$ .

Recently, Cleveland and Graedel (1979) have examined the impact of changing NO emissions on ozone downwind of urban areas in the northeast United States. They conclude that increasing NO will initially depress urban ozone levels, but is likely to enhance ozone concentrations downwind. Conversely, reductions in urban NO emissions will increase ozone within the source region, but will probably lead to lower ozone levels downwind. Their results also indicate that, in the northeast, controlling NO emissions is more effective than hydrocarbon controls as

an abatement strategy for photochemical pollutants. These results address directly the problem that concerns us, and imply that  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  emissions should not be allowed to increase in the northeast because nonurban ozone pollution will become worse. However, the conclusions of Cleveland and Graedel are based on extrapolations of model predictions and require further work to test their validity. Nevertheless, their results agree qualitatively with studies previously discussed, notably Ludwig et al. (1976, 1977) and Meyer et al. (1976), which also suggest a positive relationship between  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  emissions and nonurban ozone.

Isaksen et al. (1978a) examined the problem of ozone production in an urban plume using NMHC/NO $_{\rm x}$  ratios of 4 and 0.01 with a constant total emission strength. These ratios are at the lower end of the range of ratios usually found in urban areas, which range from 2 to 50 with a median ratio of 10. (In fact, ratios of 4 and 0.01 are seldom observed in urban areas.) As expected, the higher ratio yielded more efficient ozone production per molecule of NO,, and resulted in higher ozone concentrations downwind. This implies that increased NO, emissions would lower ozone downwind, provided that hydrocarbon emissions are not augmented in the same ratio. From these results one might conclude that nonurban ozone production is not  $NO_x$ -limited, but NMHC-limited instead. While this may be true in the short term, the conditions can change drastically in the long term. Thus, with high  $NO_{_{_{\mathbf{Y}}}}$  emissions and a low initial  $NMHC/NO_x$  ratio, the hydrocarbon consumption is very slow (this is, of course, the cause of the low ozone). This results in a substantial residue of unreacted hydrocarbons, accompanied by a gradual but steady increase in the NMHC/NO, ratio. The net result is that the plume can retain its ozone production potential for several hours, and the eventual high  $\mathrm{NMHC/NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  ratio turns the situation around: from  $\mathrm{NMHC-}$ limited to  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ -limited conditions. The situation is thus analogous to that described by Miller et al. (1978) in the power plant plume over Lake Michigan. Thus, contrary to Isaksen's results, the possibility remains that adding  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  can enhance ozone production downwind, which is consistent with the results of Cleveland and Graedel (1979) previously discussed.

#### III SUMMARY OF EXPERT OPINION

#### A. Introduction

In assessing the state of knowledge about nonurban  $NO_x/O_3$  interactions, SRI contacted leading researchers to obtain information about recent developments in the field. Such a survey of expert opinion helps not only to update the published record, but also identifies areas of uncertainty where further research is required. The latter point is helpful in establishing research priorities.

In this survey, a number of investigators representing government, private, and academic institutions were contacted. Of those who indicated interest in participating, eight subsequently submitted replies to our questions; their names are listed elsewhere in this document. However, no individuals are quoted in the text. The responsibility for the interpretation of the replies is ours.

The survey questionnaire contained 35 questions covering five general categories: measurement methods, sources and sinks of  $NO_X$ , chemical aspects, control strategies, and identification of knowledge and data gaps. Not all respondents answered all the questions. In what follows, we summarize the replies received, and provide some additional comments and explanatory remarks.

## B. Summary of Results

#### 1. Measurement Methods

In this category, the participants were asked to evaluate the quality of routinely collected  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  data for various concentration ranges. The replies are shown below:

Range of $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$			
<u>Levels</u> (ppb)	Assessment of Data Quality		
<1	Poor		
1-5	Fair to poor		
6-10	Good to fair		
>10	Excellent to good.		

This evaluation makes it apparent that the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  data in the higher concentration ranges is generally reliable. While this is encouraging for urban data, it warns that rural and remote measurements below 6 ppb should be treated with caution.

Respondents offered the following comments about NO, data:

- Use of wet chemical methods should be discontinued for routine monitoring.
- NO data are generally better than NO<sub>2</sub>, but can be poor below 10 ppb because of difficulties with zero-setting techniques.
- NO<sub>2</sub> data are subject to interference from other gases. This
  problem is especially important for late afternoon measurements.

As a postscript, we note that wet chemical (i.e., colorimetric) instruments are being gradually replaced by chemiluminescent detectors for routine monitoring applications. Recent work at SRI compared simultaneous chemiluminescent and colorimetric NO<sub>2</sub> measurements made at three urban sites in California during 1975-1977 (Martinez and Nitz, 1979); the comparison was performed for NO<sub>2</sub> levels that exceeded 200 ppb. Colorimetric and chemiluminescent measurements were found to be linearly correlated at two of the three sites, the correlation coefficients being 0.71 and 0.86. At these two sites it was also found that the chemiluminescent data tended to be greater than the corresponding colorimetric concentrations. The third site showed no correlation between the two instruments. For the concentration range considered,

these results show that NO<sub>2</sub> data obtained using colorimetric and chemiluminescent techniques may not be strictly comparable; additional work is required to test this conclusion for NO<sub>2</sub> levels below 200 ppb.

# 2. Sources and Sinks of NO.

The questions in this category were aimed at:

- Obtaining estimates of the half-life of NO.
- Identifying NO<sub>x</sub> removal mechanisms
- Evaluating the importance of indirect sources of NO<sub>x</sub>;
- ullet Obtaining a qualitative assessment of the relationship between  $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$  sources and atmospheric concentrations.

The questions and replies are first given below, and our comments follow.

# • Estimates of half-life of NOx

- Six to twenty-four hours in the boundary layer-
- One to two days in the free troposphere.

# • Important removal mechanisms

- Heterogeneous removal through dry and wet deposition may be the most important mechanism.
- Gas phase reactions HO + NO $_2$  -> HNO $_3$  and RCO $_3$  + NO $_2$  <-> PAN.

# • Indirect Sources of NO<sub>x</sub>

- Anthropogenic NH  $_3$  emissions are considered to be trivial as an indirect source of NO $_{\rm x}$  through conversion of NH  $_3$  to NO $_{\rm x}$ .
- All indirect sources (including  $NH_3$ ), are generally unimportant factors in contributing to the total tropospheric  $NO_x$  burden.
- Assessment of PAN as a reservoir for  $NO_X$ . It is likely that PAN can act as a reservoir for  $NO_X$ .
- Assessment of the relationship between NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and atmospheric levels:
  - In suburban atmospheres,  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  emissions and ambient levels are directly related. However, atmospheric levels tend to be lower than expected from estimated emission rates. Local (suburban) sources can predominate.

- In rural atmospheres,  $NO_X$  levels are weakly influenced by urban sources. Local sources are most important determinants of ambient concentrations of  $NO_Y$ .
- In remote atmospheres, NO<sub>X</sub> concentrations are generally unaffected by man-made sources, because of the short half-life of NO<sub>X</sub>. Infrequent high concentrations are due to transport from anthropogenic sources.\*

Regarding the half-life of NO<sub>x</sub>, the range of estimates given above is at the lower end of the 1- to 20-day estimate mentioned in Section II-D. This is consistent with suggestions that residence time estimates should be revised downward in light of the discovery that background levels are below 1 ppb (rather than several ppb) as previously believed (cf. Section II-C and Ritter et al., 1978).

Residence time estimates are related to the removal mechanisms. Thus, it is instructive to note that the half-life of NO<sub>X</sub> due to gas phase losses only (primarily OH attack) is estimated to be between seven and fifteen hours. For dry deposition, velocities of about 0.3 cm/s have been suggested. Under the appropriate circumstances, (viz., a shallow mixing layer and moderate mixing within the layer), such a velocity can result in a half-life as low as three hours, thereby overwhelming gas phase losses. For comparison, the ozone half-life has been estimated to be about 30 hours (Ripperton et al., 1976). However, near the ground, ozone deposition velocities as high as 1 cm/s have been estimated, (Harrison et al., 1978), which can lead to a half-life as short as one hour. Thus, when the aggregate effect of all removal processes is considered, it is probable the half-life of NO<sub>X</sub> within the mixed layer is comparable to that of ozone.

In Section II-F we discussed the potential impact of indirect sources as contributors to the overall  ${
m NO}_{
m X}$  burden. In that discussion,

<sup>\*</sup>While it may seem contradictory to state that "remote" areas are impacted by anthropogenic sources, such a statement merely reflects the fact that few, if any, areas in the United States are totally free from air pollution.

conversion of ammonia to  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  was estimated to constitute about 10 percent of the total burden. The reply to our questionnaire could be interpreted as being at variance with the literature, because 10 percent is not necessarily a trivial contribution. However, as noted in Section II-F, the  $\mathrm{NH}_3$  ->  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  conversion process is poorly understood, and its occurrence has been questioned. The replies to the questionnaire apparently reflect the latter view.

The reversible reaction  ${
m RCO}_3 + {
m NO}_2 <-> {
m PAN}$  suggests the possibility that PAN can act as a reservoir for  ${
m NO}_2$  by (in effect) "storing"  ${
m NO}_2$ , only to return it later upon decomposing. The reply to the question indicates that this process is now considered to be likely to occur. If this is correct, the transport range of  ${
m NO}_{\rm X}$  would be affected since PAN may be longer-lived than  ${
m NO}_2$  under the proper conditions. However, the atmospheric half-life of PAN depends strongly on temperature and on the  ${
m NO}_2/{
m NO}$  ratio. Table 10 shows estimates of the half-life of PAN obtained by Hendry and Kenley (1978). Based on these data, it is conceivable

Table 10

ATMOSPHERIC HALF-LIFE OF PAN

(Hours)

Tomno vo tuvo	NO <sub>2</sub> /NO Ratio				
Temperature (°C)	0.1	1.0	10	100	
12	4.5	5.5	17	140	
22	0.9	1.1	3.5	28	
32	0.2	0.24	0.8	6.2	

<sup>\*</sup>Adapted from Hendry and Kenley (1978).

that in an urban plume undergoing transport at night, the  $NO_2/NO$  ratio and the temperature may be such that PAN can have a half-life of several hours, and thus could travel a reasonably long distance. The next day, PAN would decompose rapidly as the temperature increased, thereby acting as a source of NO2 and RCO3. The latter could participate in the oxidation of NO (given a low  $NO_2/NO$  ratio), which would eventually result in enhanced ozone levels. Thus, PAN may be a pool not only of NO2, but also of RCO3, and both of these compounds help to promote O3 formation. While the participants in the survey believe that this process is likely to occur, many uncertainties remain and further investigation is needed. In particular, we need to estimate the concentration of PAN that might survive after transport and dilution. Finally, it should be noted that other organic peroxynitrates may undergo similar processes. One respondent commented that organic peroxynitrates are potential reservoirs of odd nitrogen, and that their formation and stability should be studied further.

The replies to the question about the relationship between emissions and ambient levels of NO, seem to reflect the view that transport of NO, from urban areas is important only in suburban locations. view is compatible with the belief that the half-life of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  is too short to allow any significant transport to occur. On the surface, it appears that this view rules out the hypothesis that local ozone synthesis in rural areas is influenced by precursors transported from urban regions. This is not necessarily the case, however, because (as we have seen) the evidence suggests that low levels of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  are sufficient to produce substantial amounts of O3 in rural areas (assuming the necessary NMHC is available). Thus, even though dispersion may obscure or weaken the link with urban emissions, the small amounts of urban-generated  $NO_{\chi}$ that reach the countryside could still play a significant role in local ozone production. This argument is not meant to imply that local rural sources of NO, are not important -- they are -- but rather that the residue from urban emissions cannot be dismissed as a contributing factor in local ozone synthesis.

# 3. Chemical Aspects

The questions posed in this category were intended to elicit judgments about a few specific topics, rather than to range over a broad panorama of chemical kinetics. The questions and answers are given below and are followed by our comments.

### Photochemical Models for Nonurban Areas

- Reliability and verification: Photochemical models for nonurban environments were judged to be essentially unreliable.

  Respondents also view the models as being basically unverified.
- Critical Chemical Parameters: The following reactions were suggested as being critical in the sense that model performance would be significantly affected if their rate constants were modified:
  - \* Surface reactions
  - $* RO_2 + O_3$
  - \* RO<sub>2</sub> + NO
  - \*  $HO_2$  + NO
  - \* HO<sub>2</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub>
  - \* HO + NO2.
- <u>Use of smog chambers for determining NO<sub>X</sub>/O<sub>3</sub> interactions in nonurban areas:</u> Smog chambers were judged to be fairly adequate for establishing the involvement of NO<sub>X</sub> in O<sub>3</sub> formation in nonurban areas.
- Relationship between NO<sub>X</sub> and nitrates: NO<sub>X</sub> and nitrates are related, but the relationship is probably nonlinear. However, there is no relationship between coexisting concentrations. HNO<sub>3</sub> and some organic nitrates may serve as pools for NO<sub>X</sub>, which can be transported long distances; this would likely yield low NO and NO<sub>2</sub> levels in remote areas.
- NO<sub>X</sub> reactions important in nonurban atmospheres: The following reactions or processes were judged to be more important in a nonurban environment than in the urban atmosphere:
  - $HO_2 + NO \rightarrow HO + NO_2$
  - Formation of HNO3.
- Role of natural hydrocarbons in rural 03 formation: This question yielded several answers, demonstrating a split opinion on this topic. The answers are shown below. (The order in which the replies are listed has no particular significance.)

- Natural hydrocarbons are probably very important, but emission data are needed for a complete assessment.
- If present, natural hydrocarbons should contribute to 03 formation.
- Some natural hydrocarbons, e.g., terpenes, consume  $0_3$ , and the net effect may be that  $0_3$  is destroyed.
- Natural hydrocarbon levels in ambient air are too low to play a significant role in ozone formation.
- Effect of ozone intrusion on photochemistry in nonurban atmospheres: The question asked for a judgment about the likelihood that ozone intrusion from an external source (e.g., the stratosphere) might accelerate the photochemistry in nonurban atmospheres. Two contradictory judgments emerged, some respondents replying that it would be unlikely, and some that it would be likely.

We included the questions about photochemical models partly because several hypotheses advanced about nonurban ozone rest on results obtained from models, and partly because some of the participating scientists have developed and applied models and their judgment would be especially valuable in assessing the reliability of the various models. The response to the questions of reliability and verification agrees with our earlier remarks in Section II—G. The implication is that continued research on model development and verification is necessary in order to improve reliability. This is imperative because models can be extremely useful in unraveling the complex interactions.

While photochemical models in their present state of development were judged to be essentially unreliable for application to nonurban areas, smog chambers were considered to be fairly adequate for a similar use. These two responses appear to be inconsistent, since the chemical component of the models is normally tested using smog chamber data. We believe that there is no inconsistency, in that the judgment about the models is probably based on the perception that the verification tests of the models have not gone far enough.

Somewhat akin to the application of models is the use of smog chambers to elucidate chemical effects: Both have their problems, but must be used because of the complexity of the problem. The qualified

judgment of adequacy bestowed on smog chambers for application to a nonurban environment is encouraging, both for model development and for the assessment of chemical effects based on smog chamber data.

Regarding the relationship between  $NO_X$  and nitrates, the replies reiterate a theme mentioned earlier about the potential of some nitrates to serve as reservoirs for  $NO_X$ . Given that the same suggestion was made independently by several individuals, it appears that this possibility merits further investigation. In the context of nitrates and other products of  $NO_X$ , the reader should consult the work reported by Spicer (1976; 1977) and Spicer et al. (1976b; 1977).

The divergent replies to the question about the role played by natural hydrocarbons in rural ozone production reflect the current debate that surrounds this topic, a glimpse of which was seen in Section II-H. It is apparent that the last word on this subject has not been written.

The question about the effect of ozone intrusion was prompted by suggestions in the literature (e.g., Hathorn and Walker, 1976) that such additions of ozone may help trigger ozone episodes by speeding up the photooxidation processes, hence the term "acceleration." If it happens at all, such a phenomenon would be more likely in an urban setting where unreacted alkenes exist. Assuming that the concentration of the injected ozone is high enough, the  $0_3$  + alkene reactions would yield peroxy radicals that in turn oxidize NO, a process that could eventually result in a fast ozone buildup. However, such favorable conditions are unlikely to exist in nonurban areas, where alkene levels tend to be low. On this basis, it seems reasonable to conclude that  $0_3$  intrusions in nonurban locations would simply add to the total ozone load, instead of promoting additional local synthesis.

#### 4. Control Strategies

This category contained questions intended to obtain judgments about the effectiveness of using NO<sub>X</sub> controls as an ingredient of an oxidant control strategy. In addition, we inquired about the appropriateness of applying a popular methodology, the so-called isopleth method, in a nonurban setting. Questions, replies, and comments follow.

- Estimate the likelihood that control of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions will substantially reduce photochemical air pollution in urban and nonurban locations: Although the replies were diverse, the consensus was that NO<sub>x</sub> controls would be generally ineffective in controlling ozone in urban regions, but could be moderately effective in nonurban areas.
- Can the isopleth method for estimating 03 from NO and NMHC precursors be extended for use in nonurban settings? The response was negative.

Regarding the first question, one participant also commented that introduction of  $NO_X$  into rural areas might well trigger  $O_3$  problems where there are none, and could aggravate existing problems. Thus, the view seems to be that anthropogenic  $NO_X$  emissions are at least partly responsible for the elevated ozone levels that are observed in nonurban areas. This is consistent with some of the findings of Section II-H. (cf. Ludwig et al., 1976; 1977; Meyer et al., 1976; Cleveland and Graedel, 1979).

# 5. Identification of Areas Requiring Further Research

The participants were asked to name subjects where, in their view, important knowledge or data gaps exist with regard to the relationship between NO<sub>X</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in suburban, rural, or remote locations. They are shown below grouped in an approximate order of importance. It should be noted that the topics within a particular group should be considered equally important, i.e., it is the order of the four groups that is of some consequence, not that of individual topics.

#### IMPORTANT KNOWLEDGE OR DATA GAPS

 $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  sinks

Natural sources of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$ Role of natural hydrocarbons  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  measurements  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  residence time in the atmosphere

Natural sources of  $\mathrm{O}_3$ Atmospheric residence time of  $\mathrm{O}_3$ Basic  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  chemistry

Rate constants  $\mathrm{O}_3$  sinks

The order of the groups reflects rather faithfully those areas which were described earlier as being generally uncertain (cf. Section II). Thus, the first group includes natural hydrocarbons, whose rather controversial status has already been mentioned. As regards priorities, we would go further and aggregate the first six topics into one group, and the last four into another. However, it should be recognized that the differences in priorities are slight — all the topics need further research. It must also be realized that the suggested priorities do not necessarily coincide with regulatory objectives. Thus, the list of topics requires additional screening from a regulatory standpoint.

# IV ANALYSIS OF DATA FROM THE SULFATE REGIONAL EXPERIMENT (SURE)

#### A. Introduction

The SURE is an extensive air quality monitoring and analysis program sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) designed to establish the relative importance of emissions from various source categories in contributing to regional ambient sulfur dioxide ( $\mathrm{SO}_2$ ), particulate sulfate, and  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  (Mueller et al., 1977). To this end, a regional network of monitoring stations was established to obtain a data base of pollutant concentrations. The overall program is being conducted for EPRI by the firm of Environmental Research and Technology Inc. (ERT), whose cooperation in providing SRI with monitoring data is gratefully acknowledged.

The monitoring network established for the SURE contains 54 stations covering a large area whose western boundary consists of all or parts of Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Arkansas, and Louisiana, and extends to the Eastern Seaboard. Nine of these stations, located in nonurban regions in eight states, monitored  $NO_x$ , NO,  $NO_2$ , and  $O_3$ , as well as other parameters. More details about the geographical and temporal coverage of the network and the experimental methods used will be given below.

The data base obtained in the SURE is unique because it is the most extensive set of observations collected in nonurban areas. By contrast, the majority of the data cited earlier in Tables 2 through 4 were obtained during special studies of short duration. Moreover, the SURE data were measured exclusively using the latest monitoring methods and, because the data are of recent vintage, portray better the contemporary air quality conditions that exist in nonurban areas of the United States. Consequently, this data resource merits analysis to supplement the data of Tables 2 through 4.

Before proceeding, it must be noted that the SURE data provided to SRI have undergone only preliminary validation by ERT, and have been released at this early date for purposes of scientific investigation only. We recognize that the data are preliminary, and assume full responsibility for any conclusions and interpretations that may be drawn from the data. We have closely scrutinized the data in the course of our analysis and have detected few inconsistencies; those data that appear to be spurious have been brought to the attention of ERT and have not been considered in the analysis.

## B. Description of SURE Data

# 1. Geographical and Temporal Coverage

The geographical coverage of the monitoring network is displayed in Figure 3, which shows the locations of the nine sites. Table 11 lists the site names, their UTM coordinates, the cities nearest to each site, and any local sources that may affect pollutant levels at the site.

The continuous measurements run from August through December 1977. (The monitoring program will run through 1978, but only the August through December 1977 data were available for release when our study was undertaken.) The data consist of hourly averages of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\chi}$ ,  $\mathrm{NO}$ , and  $\mathrm{O}_3$ ;  $\mathrm{NO}_2$  was estimated from the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\chi}$  and  $\mathrm{NO}$  data. The number of data points available for each parameter for each site is given in Table 12, which shows that the data capture rate (the percent of the maximum possible number of observations for which data are available) for  $\mathrm{NO}_{\chi}$  ranges from 72 percent at Site 3 to 98 percent at Site 4. The data capture rate for  $\mathrm{NO}$  varies from a low of 59 percent at Site 3 to 98 percent at Site 4. For  $\mathrm{O}_3$ , the range of the data capture rate is from 82 percent at Site 3 to 99 percent at Site 6. Thus, except for  $\mathrm{NO}$  at Site 3, the data set is quite complete.

	Station	UTM Coord	linates (km)	UTM				
No.	Name	х	Y	Zone	Nearest City	Miles <sup>†</sup>	Direction <sup>‡</sup>	Local Sources
01	Montague	702.88	4,715.55	18	Amherst, Massachusetts Greenfield, Massachusetts	15 5	N SE	
02	Scranton	410.64	4,604.80	18	Scranton, Pennsylvania	25	WM	Power plant 1 mi SE
03	Indian River	476.16	4,270.48	18	Millsboro, Delaware	1	E	Power plant 0.5 mi N
04	Duncan Falls	424.30	4,411.39	17	Zanesville, Ohio	8	SE	Metal processing plant 3.2 mi NE
05	Rockport	494.80	4,192.40	16	Rockport, Indiana Owensboro, Kentucky	0.5 8	W N	Power plants 8.5 mi S and 13.5 mi WNW Aluminum recycling plant 5 mi NE
06	Giles County	508.90	3,904.48	16	Columbia, Tennessee Huntsville, Alabama Pulaski, Tennessee	24 42 10	SSE NE NE	
07	Ft. Wayne	639.05	4,535.65	16	Ft. Wayne, Indiana	15	SW	Ouarry (sant) 1.5 mi NE
08	Research Triangle	695.54	3,973.00	17	Durham, North Carolina	7	SE	Heavy traffic
	Park				Raleigh, North Carolina	10	NW	
09	Lewisburg (Greenbrier	558.72	4,180.80	17	Lewisburg, West Virginia	5	E	
	Airport)				White Sulfur Springs, West Virginia	2	SW	

<sup>\*</sup>Adapted from Mueller et al. (1977).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Distance between city and site.

Direction is from the city to the site.




FIGURE 3 LOCATION OF SURE MONITORING STATIONS

Table 12

NUMBER OF AVAILABLE OBSERVATIONS
FOR SURE STATIONS
AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977

Site No.	NO *	NO*	03*
1	3330	3349	3419
2	2817	2814	3410
3	2629	2168	3017
4	3616	3616	3441
5	3408	3405	3462
6	3506	2415	3632
7	3216	3195	3438
8	3477	3482	3495
9	3303	3303	3459

<sup>\*</sup>Each observation is an hourly average. Maximum possible number of observations is 3672.

# 2. Instrumentation

Table 13 shows the instruments used to measure the various compounds. The sensitivity limit of the  $NO_X$  and  $O_3$  instruments is 2 ppb, based on manufacturer's specifications. A detailed discussion of the experimental methodology used in the SURE is given in Mueller et al. (1977).

# C. <u>Data Analysis</u>

The analysis will first be concerned with establishing the character of each site, (i.e., suburban, rural, or remote), as revealed by the aerometric data. Although all the monitoring stations were carefully

Table 13

INSTRUMENTATION USED AT THE SURE SITES\*

Parameter	Instrument	Method
NO, NOx	Monitor Labs 8440	Chemiluminescent
03	Monitor Labs 8410	Chemiluminescent

<sup>\*</sup> From Mueller et al. (1977), pp. 54 and 86.

located outside urban areas to maximize exposure to regional-scale phenomena, the sites are susceptible to some degree of influence from nearby sources, and this should be reflected in the data record. We will also analyze temporal and spatial concentration patterns, and will investigate relationships between the various pollutants.

A qualitative indication of the type of source affecting a site can be obtained from the daily cycle of concentration variations. Figures 4 through 12 display average diurnal curves for NO, NO, NO, and O, for Sites 1 through 9, respectively. Figures 5, 6, 8, 10, and 11 (respectively, Sites 2, 3, 5, 7, and 8) show evidence of influence from mobile source emissions. This influence is reflected in the plots of NO, and · NO, which have shapes typically associated with daily traffic patterns. A sign of mobile source influence is the presence of a morning NO, peak, which mainly results from increased NO. Such a pattern is particularly strong in Figures 5, 6, and 11; it is weaker but still clear in Figures 8 and 10. The effect is especially pronounced at Site 8 (Figure 11), which is located in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. This area contains a large U.S. Environmental Protection Agency laboratory and a sizable complex of private companies, but is outside the urban core. Hence, it reflects the influence of commuting patterns. Thus, Site 8 can be classified as suburban.




FIGURE 4 AVERAGE DIURNAL VARIATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES
AND OZONE AT SURE SITE 1 IN MONTAGUE, MASSACHUSETTS,
DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977




FIGURE 5 AVERAGE DIURNAL VARIATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES AND OZONE AT SURE SITE 2 IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977




FIGURE 6 AVERAGE DIURNAL VARIATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES
AND OZONE AT SURE SITE 3 IN INDIAN RIVER, DELAWARE,
DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977

63




FIGURE 7 AVERAGE DIURNAL VARIATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES AND OZONE AT SURE SITE 4 IN DUNCAN FALLS, OHIO, DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977




FIGURE 8 AVERAGE DIURNAL VARIATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES AND OZONE AT SURE SITE 5 IN ROCKPORT, INDIANA, DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977




FIGURE 9 AVERAGE DIURNAL VARIATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES AND OZONE AT SURE SITE 6 IN GILES COUNTY, TENNESSEE, DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977




FIGURE 10 AVERAGE DIURNAL VARIATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES AND OZONE AT SURE SITE 7 IN FORT WAYNE, INDIANA, DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977




FIGURE 11 AVERAGE DIURNAL VARIATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES
AND OZONE AT SURE SITE 8 IN RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK,
NORTH CAROLINA, DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977




FIGURE 12 AVERAGE DIURNAL VARIATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES
AND OZONE AT SURE SITE 9 IN LEWISBURG, WEST VIRGINIA,
DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977

At Sites 3 and 8 (Figures 6 and 11) the NO<sub>x</sub> peak occurs about 0700, whereas it occurs later at Sites 2, 5, and 7 (Figures 5, 8, and 10). This suggests that Sites 3 and 8 are located close to the mobile sources, but that Sites 2, 5, and 7 are somewhat removed from the commuter traffic, yet feel its impact. Thus, it seems appropriate to classify Sites 2, 3, 5, and 7 as suburban.

Sites 1, 4, and 9 (Figures 4, 7, and 12, respectively) show no distinctive diurnal variations in nitrogen oxides; certainly nothing that resembles traffic-related concentrations. The essentially steady level of  $NO_{\chi}$  displayed at these sites suggests that, on the average, they are not particularly influenced by any one source. Thus, we conclude that regional-scale influences are the dominant factors at Sites 1, 4, and 9. Accordingly, these sites can be considered to be rural. The  $NO_{\chi}$  levels observed at these sites are such that none can be considered to be in the remote category.

The diurnal variation of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  at Site 6 displays a very slight NO peak at 0700, the NO decaying rapidly thereafter. This NO peak is largely reflected in the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  concentration, and it is probably not indicative of significant traffic influence. On the other hand, the night-time levels of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  are second only to Site 8, which suggests considerable anthropogenic influence. The most probable cause is transport from urban areas, since there are no local sources nearby. Thus, Site 6 may be classified as a rural site that is a receptor for transported pollutants of urban origin.

Table 14 summarizes the data on nitrogen oxides and ozone at the nine sites. The table shows that, as might be expected, maximum NO levels are lower at rural sites than in the suburbs, except for Site 6. This is not the case for NO<sub>2</sub>, however, some suburban sites having lower maxima than rural locations. The ozone maxima exceed 100 ppb at the four rural sites, but only at one of the five suburban locales (viz., Site 8).

Table 14

SUMMARY OF HOURLY CONCENTRATIONS OF NITROGEN OXIDES AND OZONE MEASURED AT THE SURE SITES DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977

Site No.	Site Type	Maximum (ppb)*			Mean (ppb)			Mean Daily Maximum (ppb)					
		NO <sub>x</sub>	NO	NO <sub>2</sub>	03	NO ×	NO	NO <sub>2</sub>	03	NO x	NO	NO <sub>2</sub>	03
1	Rural	109	78	73	153	10	3	7	21	24	11	16	44
2	Suburban	173	70	64	77	14	3	11	23	26	8	20	35
3	Suburban	151	114	48	99	7	3	5	30	29	17	17	49
4	Rural	94	53	43	107	9	1	8	29	21	4	18	49
5	Suburban	233	184	59	99	13	3	10	25	29	11	20	46
6	Rural	112	96	55	117	15	5	11	27	41	24	24	52
7	Suburban	101	99	35	80	9	3	7	20	24	14	15	39
8	Suburban	263	249	145	118	23	10	13	25	69	49	28	50
9	Rural	49	33	28	106	5	1	4	35	11	3	9	54

<sup>\*</sup> Minimum value of all the species is zero at all sites.

It can be seen from Table 14 that mean values of NO are equal or lower at rural sites than at suburban locations. Once again, Site 6 is seen to be impacted by high NO levels, as evidenced by the mean. However, these high levels occur mostly at night, as shown in Figure 9. Mean  $NO_2$  levels range from 4 to 13 ppb, and all the sites show comparable values. Mean ozone levels follow the same pattern as  $NO_2$  except at Site 9, which shows both the lowest mean  $NO_2$  and the highest mean ozone.

Because many concentrations are zero, the means are not necessarily the best indicators of pollutant levels at a site. Thus, we use the mean daily maximum (MDM) as a descriptor of the ensemble of worst case conditions. Table 14 shows that for NO, the MDM differs only slightly with location for Sites 1 through 5 and 7, with Sites 6, 8, and 9 differing substantially from the other sites. Site 8 has the highest MDM for  $NO_x$ ,  $NO_x$ , and  $NO_2$ , and the third highest for  $O_3$ , whereas Site 9 shows the lowest MDM for the nitrogen compounds but the highest for ozone. Site 6 follows 8 in oxides of nitrogen, and resembles both 8 and 9 with respect to ozone. Thus, Site 9 is the "cleanest" in terms of  $NO_x$ , but contains significant amounts of  $O_3$ . Site 8 has the dubious distinction of being heavily polluted on all counts, as is Site 6. However, these data for Site 6 can be misleading, since the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  maxima are a nighttime phenomenon, whereas this is not the case at Sites 8 and 9. It appears that Site 9 is a receptor of aged pollutant plumes, while at Site 6 local ozone synthesis predominates, the precursors having been transported or otherwise retained overnight. At Site 8, it is likely that a significant fraction of the secondary pollutants is locally produced, thereby adding to the pollution transported from the surrounding urban areas.

It should be noted that almost half of the oxides of nitrogen data for Site 3 consist of zeros. This may be an indication of data problems, and Site 3 data should be treated with caution. The preponderance of zeros will tend to lower the means of  $NO_x$ , NO, and  $NO_2$  shown in Table 14, which is why mean  $NO_x$  is lower at Site 3 than at any other station

except Site 9. No other site exhibits as large a fraction of zeros in the  $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$  data as does Site 3.

It is of interest to examine the  ${\rm NO/NO_X}$  ratio at the various sites, as it provides some insight regarding the presence of unreacted NO. Thus, as was seen in Section II-C, one expects a high  ${\rm NO/NO_X}$  ratio in urban and suburban areas, and lower ratios in rural and remote locations. Table 15 lists the ratio of mean NO to mean  ${\rm NO_X}$  for the various sites.

Table 15

NO/NO<sub>x</sub> RATIOS FOR THE SURE SITES

AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977

Site No.	NO/NO <sub>x</sub>		
1	0.30		
2	0.21		
3	0.43		
4	0.11		
5	0.23		
6	0.33		
. 7	0.33		
8	0.43		
9	0.20		

Table 15 shows that Sites 4 and 9, two rural sites, have the lowest ratios, as expected. However, Sites 1 and 6, which are also rural, have ratios that are closer to those exhibited by the suburban stations. On this basis, it appears that Sites 1 and 6 may be transitional suburban-rural locations. Sites 2, 5, and 7 also seem to be hybrid suburban-rural locations, since their respective NO/NO<sub>x</sub> ratios are lower or equal

to the ratios at Sites 1 and 6. However, as previously noted, the hourly fluctuations of NO and NO $_{\rm X}$  at Sites 2, 5, and 7 indicate the presence of local mobile sources (cf. Figures 5, 8, and 10), which was the reason for classifying them as suburban instead of rural. Sites 3 and 8 show the highest ratios, further indication of the heavy impact of mobile sources at these two locations.

Ozone concentrations greater than 80 ppb occurred infrequently or not at all at the various sites. Table 16 shows the number of hours when  $0_3$  exceeded 80 and 100 ppb at each monitoring station. Exceedances of 80 ppb range from zero at Sites 2 and 7 to a maximum of 81 hours at Site 8. The 100 ppb threshold was surpassed at Sites 1, 4, 6, 8, and 9. All the high  $0_3$  concentrations were recorded during August-October. One concentration of 82 ppb was observed at 1500 on 16 November at Site 3.

It is apparent from Table 16 that Site 1 exhibits the largest number of exceedances of 100 ppb. The other rural sites, Sites 4, 6, and 9, also show several instances when ozone surpassed 100 ppb, but the frequency is much lower than at Site 1. Thus Site 1 is subject to influences that differ qualitatively from those affecting the other rural stations.

Site 8 has the highest number of exceedances of 80 ppb, but relatively few of those exceed 100 ppb. The probable cause of this phenomenon is that the preponderance of NO at this site (the NO/NO $_{\rm X}$  ratio is 0.43, cf. Table 15) tends to reduce the maximum level that ozone can reach. Moreover, as will be seen shortly, exceedances of 100 ppb at Site 8 tend to occur late in the day, and thus are likely to be associated with transport from surrounding areas.

Table 16 shows that the new ozone standard of 120 ppb was exceeded only at Site 1. The number of exceedances of the standard recorded at Site 1 was 21, with ozone levels ranging from 121 to 153 ppb. Below we investigate these events in detail.

Table 16

NUMBER OF HOURS WITH OZONE CONCENTRATIONS
EXCEEDING 80, 100, AND 120 ppb AT SURE SITES
AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977

Site No.	Total Hours Observed	Number of Hours O <sub>3</sub> > 80 ppb	Number of Hours 0 <sub>3</sub> > 100 ppb	Number of Hours 03 > 120 ppb	Maximum Hourly O <sub>3</sub> Concentration (ppb)
1	3419	60	33	21	153
2	3410	0	0	0	77
3	3017	29	0	0	99
4	3441	52	2	0	107
5	3462	17	0	0	99
6	3632	63	5	0	117
7	3438	0	0	0	80
8	3495	80	10	0	118
9	3459	23	3	0	106

It is noteworthy that Sites 1, 4, 6, and 9, which are considered to be rural, account for a sizable fraction of the exceedances of 80 ppb. Nevertheless, in comparison to urban areas, these sites (indeed, all the sites) are relatively clean with respect to ozone. Thus, although ozone maxima reach levels comparable to those found in urban areas, the frequency of occurrence of these high values is quite low. This suggests that local ozone generation is probably not a main contributor to elevated ozone concentrations. Instead, the low frequencies associated with high ozone values may indicate that an infrequent event such as the wind blowing from a specific direction is required to increase ozone beyond 80 or 100 ppb.

Those days when the hourly ozone maximum exceeded 100 ppb will be investigated below in a series of case studies. The case studies include descriptions of prevailing meteorological conditions and trajectory analyses. It would have been useful to examine ozone levels recorded in neighboring communities on these occasions. However, we were unable to do so because of constraints of time and data availability.

The maximum daily ozone exceeded 100 ppb on 16 days at four sites. The exceedances are listed in Table 17 by site, together with the time of occurrence of the peak. The table shows that Sites 1 and 8 accounted for 11 of the 16 high-ozone days. Except as detailed below, all the maxima are single-day events.

Site 1 appears to have experienced a three-day episode during 3-5 August, a maximum concentration of 97 ppb on 3 August (not shown in Table 17) having preceded the peaks for 4 and 5 August listed in Table 17. The 3 August peak occurred at 1500 local time. Another three-day episode may have taken place in the period 27-29 August at this site.

The 9 August maximum of 109 ppb at Site 8 was preceded by a peak of 83 ppb on 8 August, and was followed by maxima of 87 and 90 ppb on 10 and 11 August, respectively. This suggests a moderate four-day episode. Similarly, at Site 9, the peak on 22 October was followed by maximum

Table 17

OCCURRENCE OF MAXIMUM DAILY OZONE
EXCEEDING 100 ppb AT THE SURE SITES
DURING AUGUST-DECEMBER 1977

Site No.	Date	Day of Week	O <sub>3</sub> Concentration (ppb)	Time of Occurrence (Local Time)	
1	4 Aug	Thu	139	1700	
1	5 Aug	Fri	142	1300	
1	27 Aug	Sat	147	1800	
1	28 Aug	Sun	153	2000	
1	29 Aug	Mon	140	1300	
1	1 Sep	Thu	102	1700	
4	2 Sep	Fri	107	1300	
6	2 Aug	Tue	115	1900	
6	22 Aug	Mon	101	1300	
6	23 Sep	Fri	117	1500	
8	9 Aug	Tue	109	1700	
8	26 Aug	Fri	106	1600	
8	31 Aug	Wed	118	1500	
8	10 Sep	Sat	102	1600	
8	23 Sep	Fri	115	1700	
9	22 Oct	Sat	106	1600	

levels of 88 and 87 ppb on 23-24 October, which could be evidence of a three-day episode. Likewise, the peak of 2 September at Site 4 was bracketed by a maximum of 85 ppb on the previous day, and peaks of 74, 91, and 87 ppb on the three days immediately following.

The ozone peak of 115 ppb observed at Site 6 on 2 August was bracketed by maxima of 86 and 80 ppb on 1 and 3 August, respectively, which suggests a mild three-day episode. A four-day interval of high ozone levels occurred during 21-24 August. In this period, the 101 ppb maximum of 22 August was preceded by a peak of 88 ppb on 21 August, and

followed by two consecutive days with peaks of 94 and 95 ppb, respectively.

Note that all the rural sites (viz., 1, 4, 6, and 9) appear in Table 17. The relatively late time of occurrence of most of the ozone peaks at these sites suggests that, on these days at least, transport is the primary contributor to oxidant pollution at the rural locations. The influence of transport is also evident at Site 8. Thus, the high-ozone events may yield some clues about the effect of transport on oxidant pollution in outlying areas. Case studies of these high-ozone days are provided below.

## 1. <u>Case Study I: High-Ozone Events</u> at Montague, Massachusetts (Site 1)

Figures 13 through 19 display pollutant histories for the highozone days at Site 1. Figures 13 through 15 depict the three-day
episode that occurred during 3-5 August, and Figures 16 through 18 portray a similar incident occurring on 27-29 August. Figure 19 illustrates the single-day event of 1 September.

Analysis of surface weather data revealed that on 3 August a low pressure front had recently passed over New England, and that moderate high pressure prevailed in the Northeast. The high-pressure system remained over the area on 4 and 5 August, being replaced by low pressure and precipitation over New York, Massachusetts, and other parts of New England. Thus, the classic meteorological elements of an air pollution episode prevailed during 3-5 August 1977. A similar situation occurred on 27-29 August, except that the high-pressure system was already well established by 26 August, and spread from North Carolina to Maine. No significant precipitation was reported during this period, but a cold front that spread rain over a large area was approaching on 29 August. It passed over the area on 30 August, ending the episode. A high-pressure system was reestablished by 31 August, and remained over the area through 1 September.




FIGURE 13 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO $_2$ , AND O $_3$  AT SITE 1 ON WEDNESDAY, 3 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 14 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO $_2$ , AND O $_3$  AT SITE 1 ON THURSDAY, 4 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 15 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, AND O<sub>3</sub> AT SITE 1 ON FRIDAY, 5 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 16 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO $_2$ , AND O $_3$  AT SITE 1 ON SUNDAY, 28 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 17 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, AND O<sub>3</sub>
AT SITE 1 ON SUNDAY, 28 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 18 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO<sub>2</sub> AND O<sub>3</sub> AT SITE 1 ON MONDAY, 29 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 19 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, AND O<sub>3</sub> AT SITE 1 ON THURSDAY, 1 SEPTEMBER 1977

A striking feature of Figures 13 and 16 through 18 is the presence of a double peak in the ozone curve. This phenomenon is often observed at locations impacted by pollutants transported from other areas. The first ozone peak is generally associated with local photochemical activity and the second, which occurs in the late afternoon or in the evening, is attributed to transport. The figures show that the two ozone peaks are separated by four to seven hours. In Figure 13, the first peak has a magnitude of 97 ppb and occurred at 1400; the second occurs at 1800 and its magnitude is 70 ppb. The second peak is higher than the first in Figures 16 and 17, but not in Figure 18. Six hours separate the two peaks on 27 August (Figure 16), increasing to seven hours on 29 August (Figure 18). The difference is only four hours on 3 and 28 August (Figures 13 and 17). In all four cases, the second ozone

peak is accompanied by slight but noticeable increases in NO<sub>2</sub>. Levels of NO at the time of the second peak on all four days are less than 1 ppb, which is below the minimum level of detectability of the instrument.

The ozone buildup during the episode of 3 to 5 August is shown in Figures 13 through 15. Ozone concentrations remain rather high until about 2000 on 3 August, and NO<sub>2</sub> levels increase during the day and early evening, peaking at 2000. However, there is little or no ozone carry-over into the next day. Ozone begins to accumulate very early in the morning of 4 August, the accumulation being preceded by a buildup of NO<sub>2</sub>, which is almost certainly due to transport. NO<sub>2</sub> peaks at 0500, and decays slowly but steadily on 4 August until about 1000, remaining essentially constant thereafter. Meanwhile, ozone reaches a late peak of 139 ppb at 1700. Afterwards, ozone remains high until about 0200 on 5 August, when it decays rapidly. The accumulation of ozone begins again after 0500 on 5 August, reaching a peak at 1300, decaying quickly thereafter. NO levels are very low throughout the whole episode.

Figures 20 through 22 show estimated trajectories arriving at Site 1 during 3 to 5 August 1977. Each figure contains at most four individual trajectories which arrive at 0200, 0800, 1400, and 2000 (local time). The trajectories were computed using a procedure devised by Heffter and Taylor (1975). The nodes of each trajectory are separated by six hours.

The trajectories for 3 August are depicted in Figure 20. The air arriving at 0200 and 0800 originated in southern Canada and has passed over areas of precipitation on its way to Site 1. Consequently, it is probably relatively clean. By 1400, the path has shifted, the air passing over industrialized areas of Pennsylvania and New York before arrival, essentially the same track being followed by the air arriving at 2000. It is interesting that these two trajectories coincide respectively with the ozone maximum at 1400 and a peak in NO<sub>2</sub> at 2000. Since the 1400 and 2000 trajectories coincide, it is probable that between




FIGURE 20 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 1, MONTAGUE, MASSACHUSETTS, ON 3 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 21 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 1, MONTAGUE, MASSACHUSETTS, ON 4 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 22 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 1, MONTAGUE, MASSACHUSETTS,
ON 5 AUGUST 1977

1400 and 2000 the air continued to pass over the same pollution sources, which may be responsible for the ozone increase between 1600 and 1800.

The pattern of air movement seen in the afternoon and evening of 3 August is essentially repeated on 4 and 5 August, as shown in Figures 21 and 22, respectively. The air flows from a southwesterly direction into the site, passing over southeastern Pennsylvania and the southern tip of New York along the way. These air masses originated entirely within a high-pressure system with its attendant reduction in the ability to disperse pollutants. Thus, it is highly probable that on 4 August the air masses could accumulate high pollutant levels, which were transported to the site. This process continues through the night of 4 August, and is likely responsible for the carryover of 03 and NO2 into 5 August. From the larger spacing between the nodes of the trajectories

for 5 August (cf. Figure 22), it can be inferred that the wind speed of the 1400 and 2000 trajectories has increased, which may explain the afternoon drop in ozone concentration on 5 August (cf. Figure 15).

The above discussion suggests that it is very likely that transport is responsible for the ozone levels observed on 3 and 4 August. Although the ozone maximum occurred at 1300 on 5 August, it does not appear likely that it is due to local chemical activity. The reason is that NO and NO2 change very little throughout the day, which tends to discount the possibility that local precursors are responsible for the ozone. In fact, the prevailing very low level of NO and the concomitant high NO2/NO ratio suggest that the ozone was formed on the way to the site. Thus, it is probable that transport is responsible for the ozone peak. A potential contributing factor to the total ozone burden at Site I on 5 August is ozone fumigation that accompanies the breakup of a nocturnal radiation inversion. Analysis of three-hour surface weather maps indicated that a ground-based inversion was present in the early morning of 5 August. This is consistent with the fast decay of ozone levels that occurs between midnight and 0500, since such a rapid change is associated with gas-phase and surface reactions that deplete the ozone present in a shallow mixed layer. The weather data also show that the inversion has lifted by about 1000, which suggests that the ozone increase up to that time may result from downward transport of ozone stored aloft. (Note that the ozone concentration at 1000 matches that previously measured at midnight.) In view of the very light to calm wind conditions that prevail in the area, the level of ozone present at midnight can be interpreted as an indication of the concentration of ozone stored aloft. If so, this concentration will be restored upon lifting of the inversion, which is precisely what happens by 1000. Thus, downward ozone transport may have contributed up to 60 ppb during the early stages of the ozone buildup.

Data on pollutant levels are given in Table 18 for the high ozone days at Site 1. The table and the plots show that the level of NO

Table 18

DATA SUMMARY FOR HIGH-OZONE DAYS
AT SURE SITE 1 IN 1977

Date	Mean O <sub>3</sub>	Mean NO 2	Mean NO (ppb)	Maximum <sup>O</sup> 3 (ppb)	Time of Occurrence of Maximum (Local Time)
3 Aug	49	6	<1	97.	1400
4 Aug	77	8	<1	139	1700
5 Aug	57	8	<1	142	1300
27 Aug	59	8	<1	147	1800
28 Aug	73	9	<1	153	2000
29 Aug	64	9	<1	140	1300
1 Sep	44	7	<1	102	1700

for the high ozone days is very low. Comparing the mean NO level from Table 18 with the five-month mean of 3 ppb for Site 1 (cf. Table 14) shows that although this site usually experiences NO concentrations greater than 1 ppb, on high-ozone days essentially all the NO has been converted to NO<sub>2</sub> thereby enhancing net ozone production. Table 18 By contrast, mean NO<sub>2</sub> levels on all high ozone days range from 6 to 9 ppb, and are comparable to the five-month mean of 7 ppb. Thus, NO<sub>2</sub> levels are only slightly affected on these high-ozone days, which is further indication that high concentrations of ozone are probably due to transport, rather than to local influences.

The episode of 27-29 August exhibits the double ozone-peak phenomenon on all three days. The second peak undoubtedly results from the arrival of an aged air mass loaded with pollutants. As Figures 16 through 18 show, these late ozone peaks are accompanied by increases in NO<sub>2</sub> but not in NO, which would be expected in an air mass that has converted essentially all the NO to NO<sub>2</sub>. The occurrence of the late ozone maximum on all three days is in keeping with the fact that a high

pressure system was stationed over the eastern United States on these three days.

Figure 16 shows that on 27 August Site 1 experienced NO levels of the order of 15 ppb from 0600 to 0800. This indicates the arrival of air loaded with emissions from the morning traffic. However, the NO disappears quickly, being undetectable by 1000. On 28 August (cf. Figure 17) ozone levels remain high well into the evening and into the morning of 29 August, which was the same phenomenon observed during 4-5 August (Figures 14 and 15). Ozone decays rapidly from 0100 to 0400 on 29 August, building up again after 0600. On all three days, ozone concentration always exceeded 80 ppb between 1100 and 2100, indicating a persistent pollution condition; such was not the case in the episode of 3-5 August.

Trajectories arriving at Site 1 during 27-29 August are displayed in Figures 23-25. The pattern is remarkably similar to that seen earlier in Figures 20-22. Thus, high ozone levels occurring in the late afternoon and early evening are associated with southwesterly flows into the site. Moreover, the lack of ozone carryover into the early morning of 27 August corresponds to trajectories originating in southern Canada in regions where emissions may be lower, and to less severe stagnation conditions such as prevailed on this day. However, on 28 and 29 August trajectories originate in the southeastern United States and Figures 17 and 18 show evidence of overnight ozone carryover from the 28th into the 29th. As was seen earlier during the events of 4-5 August, the carryover ozone is quickly depleted between midnight and 0400, followed by a rapid ozone buildup that peaks at 1300. As previously suggested, this could indicate fumigation of transported ozone "stored" aloft in a thermally stratified atmosphere.

The high-ozone event on 1 September (Figure 19) shows a very late ozone buildup that begins at 1200 and peaks at 1700. One implication of this condition is that the  $\rm NO/NO_2/O_3$  null reaction is dominant until about 1000, resulting in no net ozone production; it also suggests that




FIGURE 23 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 1, MONTAGUE, MASSACHUSETTS, ON 27 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 24 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 1, MONTAGUE, MASSACHUSETTS, ON 28 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 25 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 1, MONTAGUE, MASSACHUSETTS, ON 29 AUGUST 1977

the sky may have been overcast since ozone chemistry is so tightly coupled to sunlight intensity. The late peak is again indicative of ozone that has been transported to the site. It is interesting, however, that even after sunset the ozone tends to linger and actually increases late at night. This is consistent with the fact that the incoming air has very little NO to scavenge ozone.

Air masses arriving at Site 1 on 1 September are tracked in Figure 26, which shows the path of the air arriving at 0200 and 0800. (It was not possible to compute the other two trajectories because of data problems.) The trajectories are quite different from those seen earlier. This time the air takes a circuitous route to the site, implying that stagnation conditions and low wind speeds prevail. The latter may also be inferred from the node spacing in Figure 26. Part of the path of




FIGURE 26 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 1, MONTAGUE, MASSACHUSETTS,
ON 1 SEPTEMBER 1977

each trajectory is over the ocean, which may cause ozone levels to increase in the absence of NO sources (Graedel and Farrow, 1977). The convoluted path of the trajectories also suggests that the same air is being circulated about, which could account for the steady ozone level that prevails from midnight to 1100 (cf. Figure 19). If this meandering pattern were to continue throughout the day, it could also explain the relatively high nighttime ozone levels; however, we cannot confirm this.

In closing, it seems likely that most, if not all, the ozone peaks observed at Site 1 are attributable to transport. In addition to the lateness of some 03 maxima, the evidence suggests the presence of a thermally stratified atmosphere, possibly leading to fumigation and an early afternoon peak the next day. The single-day episode of 1 September offers no evidence of thermal layering, but of a stagnant

atmosphere where the air is being recirculated. This phenomenon is consistent with a transport explanation of the observations at Site 1.

While ozone transport seems to be the best explanation of these observations, this does not preclude the possibility of ozone enhancement by increased levels of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ . In all cases, NO levels are very low, but  $\mathrm{NO}_2$  shows slight fluctuations about 10 ppb, regardless of the ozone concentration. Is it possible, therefore, that increasing  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  would lead to higher  $\mathrm{NO}_2$ , and hence higher ozone? What would happen if the "steady"  $\mathrm{NO}_2$  concentration were 20 ppb instead of 10 ppb? We shall keep these questions in mind as we review the data for the other sites.

## 2. <u>Case Study II: High-Ozone Events</u> at Duncan Falls, Ohio (Site 4)

Ozone reached a maximum of 107 ppb at 1300 and 1400 on 2 September. This event was preceded by a maximum of 85 ppb on 1 September. A high-pressure system prevailed over the eastern United States, extending from North Carolina to Maine. The site appears to be located in what may be termed the back side of this high-pressure system. On 1 September a front was stationed west of Duncan Falls, with precipitation being reported in northern Illinois and western Michigan. This front moved closer to the site on 2 September, causing rain in northern Ohio. However, high-pressure conditions prevailed at the site on this day. The front passed over the site on the afternoon and evening of 2 September, and high-pressure conditions were reestablished by the morning of 3 September.

Figures 27 and 28 show the hourly variations in  $0_3$ , NO, and NO<sub>2</sub> at Site 4 on 1 and 2 September, respectively. Both days are characterized by ozone carryover during the night. Although nighttime ozone is low (about 30 ppb), the concentrations did not vanish, suggesting a relatively deep mixing layer and little or no chemical scavenging. NO and NO<sub>2</sub> were very low on these two days, with very little evidence of NO<sub>2</sub> carryover. Figure 27 displays an increase in  $0_3$  between 1800 and 2000,




FIGURE 27 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO $_2$ , AND O $_3$  AT SITE 4 ON THURSDAY, 1 SEPTEMBER 1977




FIGURE 28 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, AND O<sub>3</sub> AT SITE 4 ON FRIDAY, 2 SEPTEMBER 1977

which is undoubtedly due to transport. On 2 September, the evidence also suggests transport effects, even though  $\mathbf{0}_3$  peaks early. Transport may be inferred, given the rural location and character of the site, but equally important is the fact that NO and NO<sub>2</sub> hardly change during the day, which indicates that the oxidation of NO to NO<sub>2</sub> is essentially complete in the incoming air mass.

Four trajectories arriving at Site 4 on 2 September are depicted in Figure 29. The air masses follow a semicircular path into the site, arriving from the southwest. Some of the trajectories (particularly those arriving between 0800 and 2000) probably passed over Cincinnati, which could account for the high ozone concentrations at Site 4.




FIGURE 29 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 4, DUNCAN FALLS, OHIO, ON 2 SEPTEMBER 1977

An interesting feature of the data in Figures 27 and 28 is the very low level of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  that prevailed on these days. Once again we see substantial increases in  $\mathrm{O}_3$ , but little change in  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ . This would be expected to occur in a spent air mass. It is noteworthy that not only is there a low frequency of occurrence of high ozone levels, but also that the high concentration was below 120 ppb. Thus, while the "spent" air mass may be capable of producing ozone in excess of 80 ppb as suggested by smog chamber results (cf. Section II-H), it may be unable to yield levels above 120 ppb.

## 3. <u>Case Study III: High-Ozone Days</u> at Giles County, <u>Tennessee</u> (Site 6)

Ozone levels greater than 100 ppb were observed on 2 and 22 August and 23 September. A high-pressure system prevailed over the area on the first two days. However, a front was approaching on the 22nd. Mild weather was the norm on 23 September, a weak warm front being present over Indiana and Ohio.

Figures 30 to 32 show the daily variations of  $\rm O_3$  and  $\rm NO_x$  on the three days of interest. We have shown  $\rm NO_x$  instead of NO and  $\rm NO_2$  as in other figures because data for NO are missing. The trajectories that impact the site on these three days are depicted in Figures 33 through 35.

Transport influence is evident in Figures 30 and 32. On both occasions, the ozone peak occurs somewhat late, at 1900 and 1500 respectively, and its advent is sudden rather than gradual. Local photochemistry is apparent during the early morning buildup. These two days also have relatively high ozone levels late at night, reaching a value of about 50 ppb.

Trajectories arriving at Site 6 on 2 August and 23 September are displayed in Figures 33 and 35, respectively. The figures show that the early paths of both sets of trajectories have a similar north-south




FIGURE 30 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF  ${\rm O_3}$  AND  ${\rm NO_x}$  AT SITE 6 ON TUESDAY, 1 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 31 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF  $\rm O_3$  AND  $\rm NO_x$  AT SITE 6 ON MONDAY, 22 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 32 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF  $O_3$  AND  $NO_{\times}$  AT SITE 6 ON FRIDAY, 23 SEPTEMBER 1977




FIGURE 33 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 6, GILES COUNTY, TENNESSEE, ON 2 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 34 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 6, GILES COUNTY, TENNESSEE, ON 22 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 35 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 6, GILES COUNTY, TENNESSEE, ON 23 SEPTEMBER 1977

orientation. However, the trajectories for 2 August (Figure 33) are much longer than for 23 September (Figure 35), which indicates that meteorological conditions were less stagnant on 2 August than on 23 September. The convoluted path of the trajectories shown in Figure 35 is further indication of the presence of stagnant, low-wind conditions on 23 September.

Examination of the path of the trajectories suggests that the ozone peak that occurred at 2000 on 2 August (Figure 30) may be due to precursors that originated in the Nashville area, which is about 100 km northeast of Site 6. On 23 September, the ozone peak may be associated with an urban plume from Huntsville, Alabama, located about 40 km south of the site. It appears, therefore, that short-range transport is the primary influence at work on these two days.

The ozone maximum observed on 22 August is probably the result of local photochemistry. Figure 31 shows high NO $_{\rm X}$  in the morning and its rapid decay is accompanied by a buildup of ozone that peaks at 1300; NO $_{\rm X}$  remains low and essentially constant between 1300 and 2000, when its concentration increases. This day displays a sustained high ozone concentration that lasts from 1200 to 1800. In this interval, ozone concentrations do not differ much from the peak of 101 ppb. While the maximum is tied to local chemistry, the broadening of the ozone curve is most likely induced by transport.

Figure 34 shows that the trajectories on 22 August differ radically from those for 2 August and 23 September. On 22 August the wind flow is consistently from the west and southwest, and the trajectories are clustered over northern Mississippi and southwestern Tennessee. The convoluted track of the trajectories is symptomatic of low wind speeds and relatively stagnant conditions. In contrast to the other two days, 22 August shows no sudden increase in the ozone peak, but displays instead a broadening of the ozone maximum lasting several hours, and the peak ozone level is lower than on the other two days (cf. Figure 31). This discrepancy in pollutant history is undoubtedly a manifestation of

the different wind flow patterns present on 22 August, but the origin of the transported ozone is unclear. No large urban areas appear to be on the path of the trajectories on this day, which may account for the lower ozone level and the absence of a sudden ozone increase.

Carryover ozone from the previous night is not significant on any of the three days. The same is true of NO<sub>X</sub> on 2 August (cf. Figure 30). As the figures show, ozone builds up more rapidly when high levels of NO<sub>X</sub> are present in the morning (cf. Figures 31 and 32) than when morning NO<sub>X</sub> is low (cf. Figure 30). This implies that local photochemistry is most important during the early stages of ozone accumulation, but that its influence wanes as the day wears on. Consequently, the ozone maximum may or may not be due to local effects. At this site, it seems that local ozone production cannot result in very high ozone levels, but that transported ozone combined with local ozone can approach the 120-ppb ozone standard.

# 4. <u>Case Study IV: High-Ozone Days</u> at Research Triangle Park, North Carolina (Site 8)

Site 8 presents an interesting contrast to the monitoring stations previously considered: It is in a suburban location heavily impacted by traffic, and thus has the highest NO<sub>x</sub> levels. Since the site is surrounded by three medium-sized cities, it is also a receptor for urban pollution.

Ozone exceeded 100 ppb on five days. One maximum occurred at 1500, and the others at 1600 and 1700. General weather conditions on the high-ozone days were variable. A high-pressure system was present on 9 and 26 August. High pressure also prevailed on 30 August, which preceded the high-ozone event of the 31st. A low-pressure center was stationed off the coast of Virginia on 10 September, low pressure and precipitation having prevailed in North Carolina for several days previously. On 23 September, a weak warm front was observed across the midwestern states, while stagnant to light wind conditions prevailed

throughout the southeast. Thus, overall weather patterns were quite unlike those that accompanied the elevated ozone levels observed at Site 1. This partly accounts for the fact that four of the five cases are single-day episodes, the lone exception being the event of 9 August, and that ozone concentrations are not as high as were seen in Site 1.

Figures 36 and 37 show the pollutant variations on 8 and 9 August. The high ozone level of 9 August is characterized by considerable carry-over from the previous day. The ozone maximum occurred late on both days, suggesting transport as the cause. NO and NO<sub>2</sub> are more abundant than at the other sites. In view of the abundance of precursors, it is probable that the early ozone peak is locally produced, rather than resulting from transport. The circulation patterns for 9 August are illustrated in Figure 38, the trajectories for 8 August being almost identical to these. As in other cases, the tracks are semicircular with a clockwise orientation typical of anticyclonic circulation. Because these trajectories do not appear to traverse any heavily polluted areas, it is likely that the transported pollutants originate in the Durham-Chapel Hill area, which is several miles west of Site 8.

Conditions on 26 August are depicted in Figure 39. As before, there is substantial carryover from the previous night. However NO<sub>X</sub> levels are low during the day, which indicates the arrival of an aged mass of polluted air. As seen in Figure 40, the trajectories are again typical of anticylonic circulation, with the air passing over the heavily congested Washington D.C. area. Wind speeds near the site are relatively low, and the southeasterly direction points to Raleigh as the source of pollutants.

On 31 August (Figure 41) a typical NO traffic peak is evident in the morning. The NO decays rapidly, but with no attendant increase in NO<sub>2</sub>; in fact, NO<sub>2</sub> decreases sightly in spite of the rapid disappearance of NO, thereby suggesting that, in addition to chemical reaction,




FIGURE 36 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, AND O<sub>3</sub> AT SITE 8 ON MONDAY, 8 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 37 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, AND O<sub>3</sub> AT SITE 8 ON TUESDAY, 9 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 38 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 8, RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NORTH CAROLINA, ON 9 AUGUST 1977

The number shown at one extreme of a trajectory is the local time of arrival at the site.




FIGURE 39 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO $_2$ , AND O $_3$  AT SITE 8 ON FRIDAY, 26 AUGUST 1977




FIGURE 40 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 8, RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NORTH CAROLINA, ON 26 AUGUST 1977

The number shown at one extreme of a trajectory is the local time of arrival at the site.




FIGURE 41 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO $_2$ , AND O $_3$  AT SITE 8 ON WEDNESDAY, 31 AUGUST 1977

dispersion is causing some of the NO and NO<sub>2</sub> decay. The jaggedness in the ozone curve may result from fluctuations in radiation intensity. The sudden appearance of the ozone peak and the relatively late time of its occurrence suggest that transport plays a significant role.

Trajectories arriving at Site 8 on 31 August are depicted in Figure 42. It is evident that between 0200 and 1400 prevailing winds are from the west. Hence, pollutants originating in the vicinity of Durham are probably responsible for the ozone peak that occurs at 1500. The wind has shifted to an easterly direction by 2000, coinciding with an increase in NO<sub>2</sub> that begins at 1900. Since Raleigh is located east of Site 8, it is likely that the increased NO<sub>2</sub> is associated with an urban plume from this city.

Figure 43 illustrates the pollutant history of 10 September. Recall that weather conditions on this day included a low-pressure center off Virginia. Cyclonic conditions are in evidence in Figure 44, which shows air trajectories for this day. Clearly, the trajectories are oriented counterclockwise and meander considerably. The early morning air is probably clean, having come off the Atlantic. The air arriving at 1400 appears to have passed over the Durham area. The indications are that the morning ozone buildup is from local causes, and that the high concentrations between 1300 and 1600 are sustained by transport from nearby communities. The lack of hourly fluctuations in NO is probably explained by the lower level of traffic that would prevail on a Saturday.

The pollutant histories on 23 September, displayed in Figure 45, show that very high NO and  $\mathrm{NO}_2$  levels were present. The large early  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  concentration suggests a low inversion that allowed them to accumulate. In contrast to the other days at Site 8, there is considerably carryover of NO and  $\mathrm{NO}_2$  from the previous day. Since NO is so high, ozone essentially vanishes. NO peaks at 0800 and quickly disappears thereafter, allowing  $\mathrm{O}_3$  to accumulate. Ozone continues to build up until 1700, when




FIGURE 42 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 8, RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NORTH CAROLINA, ON 31 AUGUST 1977

The number shown at one extreme of a trajectory is the local time of arrival at the site. 0.15 0.14 0.12 CONCENTRATION (pom) 0.10 03 0.08 0.06 0.04 NO<sub>2</sub> 0.02 NO 15 20 LOCAL TIME

FIGURE 43 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO $_2$ , AND O $_3$  AT SITE 8 ON SATURDAY, 10 SEPTEMBER 1977




FIGURE 44 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 8, RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NORTH CAROLINA, ON 10 SEPTEMBER 1977

The number shown at one extreme of a trajectory is the local time of arrival at the site.




FIGURE 45 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, AND O<sub>3</sub> AT SITE 8 ON FRIDAY, 23 SEPTEMBER 1977

there is a break in the data. Meanwhile,  $NO_2$  stays at about 10 ppb until 1700, when it begins to increase.

Figure 46 displays the trajectories arriving at Site 8 on 23 September. Between 0200 and 1400 the air travels over the Washington, D.C. area on its way to Site 8, which suggests that pollutants from this region impact Site 8. A long- or medium-range ozone transport hypothesis is consistent with the appearance of the ozone peak at 1700 (cf. Figure 45). Thus, while local factors are no doubt responsible for the ozone buildup between 0800 and 1100, transport effects are the likely causes of the higher ozone levels that occur after 1100.




FIGURE 46 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 8, RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NORTH CAROLINA, ON 23 SEPTEMBER 1977

The number shown at one extreme of a trajectory is the local time of arrival at the site.

The investigation of ozone maxima at Site 8 reveals a mixture of local and transport effects. This is not unexpected for a suburban location where automobile emissions can be a dominant factor. However, if we could separate local and transport impacts, we would hypothesize that the higher ozone levels, which generally occur late in the day, are associated with transport from both Durham and Raleigh, depending on wind direction. The lower values are more likely to be of local origin.

# 5. <u>Case Study V: High Ozone</u> <u>at Lewisburg, West Virginia (Site 9)</u>

A maximum hourly ozone level of 106 ppb was observed at Site 9 on 22 October. Figure 47 illustrates pollution conditions on this day: some ozone carryover from the previous night, an  $0_3$  buildup that begins late, at 0900, and very little  $NO_x$ . Ozone peaks late, at 1600, remains high for the rest of the day, then decays slowly until midnight, when its concentration reaches 60 ppb.




FIGURE 47 HOURLY CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS OF NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, AND O<sub>3</sub> AT SITE 9 ON SATURDAY, 22 OCTOBER 1977

Meteorological patterns on 22 October, a Saturday, include a high pressure system off the coast of North Carolina, the system covering most of the eastern United States and reaching well beyond West Virginia. However, a front approximately aligned across the United States from New Mexico to New York is moving toward the southeast, passing over Site 9 on the morning of 23 October, bringing behind it another high-pressure system. The presence of a high-pressure system on the 22nd is manifested in the trajectories shown in Figure 48. While the trajectories follow a slight anticyclonic pattern, this is weakened by the front. The air masses arrive at the site from directions ranging between west and southwest. It is unlikely that the air masses passed over any major urban areas within 12 hours before arriving at Site 9.




FIGURE 48 TRAJECTORIES ARRIVING AT SITE 9, LEWISBURG, WEST VIRGINIA, ON 22 OCTOBER 1977

The number shown at one extreme of a trajectory is the local time of arrival at the site.

The pollutant histories shown in Figure 47 imply that the high ozone observed at Site 9 is due to transport. However, the origin of the air is unclear from the trajectory analysis. Once again,  $NO_X$  levels are very low, yet  $O_3$  remains at about the same level for several hours. Thus, ozone is neither made nor destroyed;  $NO_X$  appears to be superfluous in this case.

### D. Conclusions

Ozone levels greater than 100 ppb were observed on a total of sixteen days at five sites, which included all four rural sites (Sites 1, 4, 6, and 9) and one suburban location (Site 8). Five of the high-ozone days occurred at Site 8, six at Site 1, three at Site 6, and one each at Sites 4 and 9. It is significant that all the locations that are least susceptible to localized sources exhibited high ozone levels, whereas four of the five suburban locales did not. This phenomenon is typically observed in urban regions, where ozone levels are often lower than in areas with a lower source density.

Influence of transport was evident on all the occassions when ozone was greater than 100 ppb. Transport was the most likely cause of the high ozone on eleven of the sixteen days, and both local chemistry and transport were present on the other five days. Local effects are indicated on 27 August at Site 1 (cf. Figure 16), 22 August and 23 September at Site 6 (cf. Figures 31 and 32), and 31 August and 23 September at Site 8 (cf. Figures 41 and 45). Figure 41 shows that on 31 August the local chemistry at Site 8 is dominated by traffic-related precursors, evidenced by the NO peak that occurs at 0800. Figure 45 also exhibits a traffic-induced NO peak at 0800, but there is evidence of a substantial amount of precursors present before 0500. In the five cases where local chemistry is important, local effects lead to a rapid ozone buildup, which usually reaches levels below 100 ppb. After ozone reaches a maximum, transport effects act to lengthen the time when ozone levels remain high. Transported ozone also combines with the local product to exceed 100 ppb.

An interesting aspect of the high-ozone days at the SURE sites is that the variations in the concentrations of NO, are remarkably small compared to those of ozone. Moreover, the absolute levels of  ${\rm NO}_{\rm x}$  are quite low during the day. At Site 1, for example, NO is less than 1 ppb most of the time, and NO<sub>2</sub> fluctuates between 5 and 15 ppb, while ozone variations span a range of 100 ppb or more. The same is true at Sites 4 and 9, and is often the case at Sites 6 and 8. Using the available data, it is difficult to attribute this phenomenon to a particular factor. Thus, it is apparent that ozone fluctuations are heavily influenced by the sunlight cycle, and that there is sufficient  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  to produce the observed ozone. But we have no data on the NMHC/NO $_{\mathbf{x}}$  ratio at these sites, and the NO levels are so low that the  ${\rm NO}_2/{\rm NO}$  ratio can fluctuate over a wide range owing to uncertainty in the NO measurements. Thus, basic data are lacking to characterize the relationship between  $\mathrm{NO}_{\chi}$  and  $0_3$  at these sites. Recommendations to remedy this situation are provided in Section VII of this report.

### V ANALYSIS OF JETMORE, KANSAS, DATA

#### A. Introduction

SRI recently conducted a study for the Coordinating Research Council (CRC), the main objective of which was to measure concentrations of natural  $0_3$  in the free troposphere and determine mechanisms by which stratospheric  $0_3$  was transported into the troposphere. An aircraft was equipped with  $0_3$ ,  $NO/NO_x$ , and meteorological instrumentation to make these measurements to an altitude of 7 km. To complement aircraft data, a ground station was set up in a rural location.

The ground station operated between 4 April and 18 May 1978 at Jetmore, about 20 miles north of Dodge City (38°N, 100°W), Kansas. The site was specifically chosen because it is a clean site removed from sources of contamination. The ground station continuously measured CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, THC, NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and various meteorological parameters. Other species of interest were measured in a batch mode. Table 19 provides a list of chemical and meteorological parameters measured at the ground station. The air quality instrumentation used is listed in Table 20. In the following sections we discuss the characteristics of the air quality data.

Because of the high quality of the measurements and the rural nature of the monitoring site, the data are useful for the purposes of this study.

#### B. Ground Station Data

Before proceeding with the data analysis, it is useful to note that the Jetmore site was a clean site only infrequently and marginally affected by urban transport. An indication of the cleanliness of the air can be obtained from Table 21, which lists the average concentrations of several chemicals measured during the study. The average methane and CO concentration of 1650 and 175 ppb, respectively, are

Table 19
CHEMICAL AND METEOROLOGICAL PARAMETERS
MEASURED AT JETMORE, KANSAS

Chemical Parameters	Meteorological Parameters	
03	Temperature at two levels	
NO, NO <sub>2</sub>	Wind speed at two levels	
C <sub>1</sub> to C <sub>5</sub> hydrocarbons:	Wind direction at two levels	
CH <sub>4</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> , C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> , C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	Solar flux	
$i-C_4H_{10}$ , $n-C_4H_{10}$ , $i-C_5H_{12}$ and $n-C_5H_{12}$	Relative humidity	
Fluorocarbon 12		
СО		
PAN		
Beryllium-7 ( <sup>7</sup> Be)		

Table 20
SRI MOBILE RESEARCH LABORATORY INSTRUMENTATION

Parameter	Instrument
Ozone	Bendix 8002 Chemiluminescent analyzer
no/no <sub>x</sub>	Monitor Labs Model 8440E (specially modified for greater sensitivity)
C <sub>1</sub> -C Hydrocarbons	Perkin Elmer 3920 GC with FID
Fluorocarbon 12	Perkin Elmer 3920 GC with ECD
CO-CH <sub>4</sub> -THC	Bendix 6800
PAN	Dual ECD Coulometer
Beryllium-7 ( <sup>7</sup> Be)	GIHA high-volume sampler Ge (Li) detector

Table 21

AVERAGE CONCENTRATIONS

OF SELECTED SPECIES

AT JETMORE, KANSAS

Compound Concentration	
сн <sub>4</sub>	1650 ppb
со	175 ppb
с <sub>2</sub> н <sub>6</sub>	20.7 ppbC
<sup>C</sup> 3 <sup>H</sup> 8	9.9 ppbC
i-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>	3.2 ppbC
n-C4 <sup>H</sup> 10	8.4 ppbC
i-C5 <sup>H</sup> 12	3.2 ppbC
n-C5H12	3.6 ppbC
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.8 ppbC
C3H6	0.8 ppbC
Fluorocarbon 12	240 ppt
PAN	≈0.4 ppb
NO <sub>2</sub>	4 ppb
NO	l ppb

close to their expected background levels. The average concentration of 20.7 ppbC for  $C_2H_6$  was higher than expected. Propane, butanes, and pentanes were all present at concentrations of a few ppbC, as shown in Table 21. The NMHC have a concentration of about 50 ppbC. Table 21 makes it clear that alkenes are present at extremely low concentrations.

Figure 49 shows that  $CH_4$  has a steady mean diurnal concentration. The HC levels are typical of clean sites at ground level. It is important to note that all alkenes added up to only 1.6 ppbC. On the other hand the alkanes ( $C_2$  and above) approached 50 ppbC. Even if one




FIGURE 49 MEAN DIURNAL VARIATION IN CH<sub>4</sub> AT JETMORE, KANSAS, 3 APRIL-20 MAY 1978

excluded ethane as being relatively unreactive, the concentration of  $C_3$  to  $C_5$  alkanes was roughly 20 times that of the alkenes. The concentrations of  $CH_4$  and  $C_2H_6$  are higher than what is typically encountered in rural or remote locations. This, however, is not entirely surprising because of the large number of gas fields in the region; such fields are known to be significant sources of  $CH_4$  and  $C_2H_6$ . Furthermore, while we only measured light hydrocarbons, it appears that there were no other organic compounds of higher molecular weight present here. The total HC measurement was nearly identical to the  $CH_4$  level. Attempts made by Washington State University to measure aromatics at this site found these to be virtually nonexistent.

Figure 50 shows the mean diurnal variations of carbon monoxide (CO) at Jetmore. CO is not released from gas fields and is therefore a good indicator of urban transport. The average CO concentrations of




FIGURE 50 MEAN DIURNAL VARIATION IN CO AT JETMORE, KANSAS, 3 APRIL-20 MAY 1978

175 ( $\pm$  40) ppb is typical of background levels at this latitude. It is also clear from Figure 50 that no diurnal variation exists, which is another indication of the lack of impact from urban sources.

An analysis of light HC data suggests that the  $C_3$  to  $C_5$  alkanes and CO levels are typical of clean rural environments. Fluorocarbon-12 (F-12) is an ideal indicator of any urban source of contamination, since it is completely inert and almost exclusively emitted in urban areas. The overall average concentration of about 240 ppt (Table 21) is almost identical to the prevailing geophysical background of F-12. In addition, the variability of F-12 was found to be small (about 5 percent). These observations support the view that there is no significant urbantype contamination above the background levels in the region of operation.

A third indicator of local photochemistry is PAN. The concentration of PAN was found to be very low and did not exceed 1 ppb. On nearly 75 percent of the days, the mean PAN concentration level was less than 0.5 ppb. The daily average PAN concentration was never less than 0.1 ppb. As we shall see later, the very low PAN concentration is consistent with the precursor mix present in this area.

## 1. Qzone-NO<sub>x</sub> Measurements

Figure 51 shows the variation of maximum 1-hour daily  $0_3$  and the daily  $0_3$  average during the study period (3 April to 20 May). It is clear from Figure 51 that the maximum one-hour  $0_3$  never exceeded 70 ppb, while the daily mean never exceeded 55 ppb. Figure 52 shows the average




FIGURE 51 MEAN AND MAXIMUM O<sub>3</sub> AT JETMORE, KANSAS, 3 APRIL-20 MAY 1978




FIGURE 52 MEAN DIURNAL VARIATION IN 03 AT JETMORE, KANSAS, 3 APRIL-20 MAY 1978

diurnal variation of  $0_3$  and the standard deviation associated with this diurnal variation. It is apparent that the  $0_3$  maximum appears somewhat late (1600-1800 LT).

Figures 53 to 55 show the mean diurnal variation of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>x</sub>. Average levels of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>x</sub> are 1.2 ppb, 4.3 ppb, and 5.5 ppb, respectively. The NO/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio of 0.22 is comparable to that observed at some rural sites in the SURE data (cf. Table 15). Although the mean NO levels throughout the day are essentially constant, NO<sub>2</sub> shows a slight decline in the afternoon. The sensitivity of NO and NO<sub>x</sub> measurements was better than 1 ppb; therefore, these data should be reliable. The source of the NO<sub>x</sub> in this region is currently unclear. Agricultural sources of NO<sub>x</sub> cannot be ruled out and should be further studied.




FIGURE 53 MEAN DIURNAL VARIATION IN NO AT JETMORE, KANSAS, 3 APRIL-20 MAY 1978




FIGURE 54 MEAN DIURNAL VARIATION IN NO<sub>2</sub> AT JETMORE, KANSAS 3 APRIL-20 MAY 1978




FIGURE 55 MEAN DIURNAL VARIATION IN NO<sub>x</sub> AT JETMORE, KANSAS, 3 APRIL-20 MAY 1978

Both hydrocarbons and  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  are present at relatively low concentrations at this site. Excluding the essentially unreactive  $\mathrm{CH}_4$  and  $\mathrm{C}_2\mathrm{H}_6$ , there are only about 30 ppbC of alkanes and 1.6 ppbC of alkanes. These low levels of HCs seem to be consistent with the very low levels of PAN at this location. It should also be added that aircraft data taken in the vicinity of Jetmore showed  $\mathrm{O}_3$  levels aloft comparable to or larger than the hourly maximum observed at ground level. Therefore, while  $\mathrm{O}_3$  undergoes a diurnal variation at ground level, we feel it is largely dictated by  $\mathrm{O}_3$  loss at the ground and mixing from aloft. Not only are the HCs here largely slow reactive (alkanes), but they are also present in low concentrations. A small amount of local  $\mathrm{O}_3$  production, however, cannot be ruled out.

The days where the one-hour  $0_3$  maximum was found to be in excess of 58 ppb were studied. Figures 56 to 58 display the  $0_3$ , CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> data for these days, together with the wind speed data. Figure 57 shows somewhat higher than average CO levels in the morning that rapidly decline in the afternoon. The decline in CO and NO<sub>x</sub> is associated with an increase in  $0_3$ . This is indicative of mixing processes that are




FIGURE 56 MEAN DIURNAL VARIATIONS IN WIND SPEED AND SELECTED POLLUTANTS AT JETMORE, KANSAS, ON 27 APRIL 1978




FIGURE 57 DIURNAL VARIATIONS IN WIND SPEED AND SELECTED POLLUTANTS AT JETMORE, KANSAS, ON 28 APRIL 1978




FIGURE 58 DIURNAL VARIATIONS IN WIND SPEED AND SELECTED POLLUTANTS AT JETMORE, KANSAS, ON 15 MAY 1978

replenishing  $0_3$  in the boundary layer from aloft. On 27 April (Figure 56), the CO levels are high throughout the day and may indicate some contamination. The wind speed in the afternoon was high (> 30 mph); therefore, the  $0_3$  maximum, once achieved, was maintained by constant mixing from aloft.

The CO levels on 15 May were somewhat higher, but are within the range of variability of clean continental background of CO. The wind speed was less than 10 mph. It is possible that some  $0_3$  transport may have occurred.

Thus, it appears that this location is probably deficient in HCs and that little photochemical activity is going on. The  $0_3$  is largely brought down to the ground from the free tropospheric reservoir.

# 2. 03 and 7Be Relationship

Since the  $0_3$  at Jetmore did not appear to be photochemically generated, we tested the data to see whether a correlation between  $0_3$  and  $^{7}\mathrm{Be}$  existed. The  $^{7}\mathrm{Be}$  data were averaged over 24 hours, and these were compared with daily average 03. Figure 59 shows the daily average concentrations of 03 and 7Be at Jetmore. Typically, average 7Be concentrations varied between 80 and 400 pci/1000 SCM. In one instance, levels as high as 640 pci/1000 SCM were measured. It is clear from Figure 59 that fairly large variations in <sup>7</sup>Be levels occur. It also appears that peaks in  $0_3$  coincide with corresponding peaks in  $^7\mathrm{Be}$ , at least some of the time. Figure 60 shows a scatter diagram of  $0_3$  versus  $^7\mathrm{Be}$ . As indicated by the scatter in the data, the linear correlation was very low (R = 0.25). The daily one-hour  $0_3$  maximum is more representative of free tropospheric  $O_3$ , and it was tested to see whether any correlation existed with daily average 7Be. This, too, failed to show a substantial correlation although a small improvement (R = 0.31) was observed. When we considered only those days when <sup>7</sup>Be concentration was greater than

300 pci/100 SCM, this correlation showed significant improvement (R = 0.29).

## 3. Conclusions

It appears that a location such as the Jetmore, Kansas, site is low in hydrocarbons abundance, and even the HCs present are alkanes which react slowly compared to alkenes. The NMHC (less  ${\rm C_2H_6}$ ) was present at a concentration of 30 ppbC along with an alkene concentration of less than




FIGURE 59 MEAN DAILY O<sub>3</sub> AND <sup>7</sup>Be AT JETMORE, KANSAS, 3 APRIL-20 MAY 1978

Ozone mean obtained for interval 1700-1700.

2 ppbC and an  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  concentration of 5 ppbC. It does not appear that local photochemical activity that could result in significant  $\mathrm{O}_3$  formation was occurring. The indications are that  $\mathrm{O}_3$  concentration was largely controlled by mixing from the free troposphere and ground level destruction.




FIGURE 60 SCATTER DIAGRAM FOR MEAN DAILY O<sub>3</sub> VERSUS <sup>7</sup>Be AT JETMORE, KANSAS, 3 APRIL-20 MAY 1978

#### VI CONCLUSIONS

A primary objective of this investigation was to survey and summarize the current state of knowledge about nonurban  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}/\mathrm{O}_{3}$  interactions. Our results show that present knowledge can be characterized as fragmentary. Consequently, there is currently no direct quantitative evidence that answers the question that motivated this study, namely, whether increases in urban  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  emissions will enhance, reduce, or have no effect on nonurban ozone. Relevant previous research efforts have not been aimed at this specific problem and the inferences that can be drawn from them are at best qualitative and suggestive, rather than quantitative and conclusive. Therefore, additional research is needed to establish the relationship between  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  and nonurban ozone. Pursuant to another objective of the project, three research programs are proposed in Section VII that respond to this need. The available evidence allows some tentative conclusions to be reached nonetheless, and these are discussed below.

 $\mathtt{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  transported from urban to nonurban areas affects ozone formation by participating in two categories of chemical processes: local and nonlocal. In the local domain, transported  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  increases the nonurban NO, level, and thus participates in the local chemical reactions that form ozone. In a nonlocal mode,  $NO_x$  and hydrocarbons of urban origin react to form ozone in an air mass on the way to a nonurban area. Ozone thus arrives ready-made, and combines with existing ozone to increase the concentration at the nonurban locale. The time of occurrence differs for these two effects. Local chemical effects tend to predominate in the morning, which implies that transported NO, must arrive during the night or early in the morning in order to participate in the local photochemical process. Nonlocal effects require several hours to develop, since the air mass must travel distances of the order of tens of miles from source to receptor. Consequently, enhanced levels of nonurban ozone due to nonlocal causes tend to occur in the late afternoon and early evening, and sometimes at night.

We consider the following two issues to be important:

- Which effect, local or nonlocal, is most frequently associated with high nonurban ozone?
- What is the likely impact of nonurban ozone if NO<sub>x</sub> levels increase either in a local or nonlocal mode?

Regarding the first question, the analysis of field data collected in the SURE (see Section IV) indicates that nonlocal effects predominated when ozone levels exceeding 100 ppb occurred in rural and suburban areas. Of the nine SURE sites investigated, those exhibiting at least one hour when ozone exceeded 100 ppb included all four rural sites (Sites 1, 4, 6, and 9) and one suburban location (Site 8). Site 8 is influenced by emissions of ozone precursors from commuter traffic, but is also surrounded by several medium-sized cities. Hence, it is also a receptor for pollutants that originate in these urban centers. The four rural sites, by contrast, show no influence from traffic or other specific sources.

Ozone exceeded 100 ppb on 16 days at these five sites. Nonlocal effects are the probable cause of the high ozone on 11 days, and both local and nonlocal factors are evident on the remaining 5 days. It is apparent, therefore, that nonlocal effects are closely associated with all the high ozone events at the SURE sites. While it is risky to generalize based on this small sample, the evidence does suggest that ozone formation in an air mass en route to a nonurban site is the principal mechanism that leads to high ozone levels, and that ozone synthesized from local precursors (which may be affected by transported NO<sub>x</sub> and hydrocarbons) plays a lesser role. In particular, it appears that locally formed nonurban ozone does not by itself lead to concentrations exceeding 100 ppb, although the local component of the total ozone burden can be substantial depending on time of day. The analysis further suggests that transport times of the order of six to eight hours are most likely to be associated with the observed high ozone.

As noted earlier, it is not possible to answer confidently the question about the likely impact of increased NO, on nonurban ozone. The review of the literature reveals a convergence of several independent lines of research that suggest that the likely impact of increases in  $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$  emissions will be to enhance nonurban ozone. This judgment agrees with the consensus response to a question on  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  control strategies contained in the survey of expert opinion (see Section III-B-4). However, this estimate of likely impact is only qualitative, and cannot serve as a basis for regulatory decisions. Moreover, the impact of  $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$ on nonurban ozone is undoubtedly a function of geographical location since ozone production can be  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ -limited at some sites, but not at others. An example of the latter is the site in Jetmore, Kansas (see Section V), where local ozone production appears to be limited by hydrocarbons, rather than by NO. Hence, it is inappropriate to attempt to state a general rule that applies equally to all locations. Nevertheless, although the signs are uncertain, they seem to point in the direction of deleterious effects, adding a note of urgency to the need to perform more research to test the validity of these preliminary indications.

If confirmed by more detailed studies, the conclusions discussed above imply that more stringent NO<sub>X</sub> controls may be necessary to alleviate (or at least not worsen) the problem of high ozone levels at some nonurban areas. Because of the geographically widespread nature of the problem, control strategies with a regional scope should be the preferred approach to a solution. However, the indications are that not all nonurban areas would benefit from such measures, and that it would be more efficient to tailor controls to specific areas.

#### VII RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

The previous discussions have made it clear that additional study is needed to characterize quantitatively (and perhaps mechanistically) the relationship between  $\mathbf{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{3}}$  in nonurban areas. This can best be done in the context of a program specifically designed for this purpose. Three such programs are outlined below. Two are retrospective analyses of existing data that would be relatively easily performed, whereas the third program entails data collection as well as analysis. These studies are intended to determine the role  $\mathbf{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  has played in oxidant formation in the recent past, and to define the impact of future changes in  $\mathbf{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$  on the formation of nonurban ozone.

#### A. Study I: Analysis of Data from Rural Locations

In many rural locations (as distinct from remote locations), the  ${\tt MO}_{\tt X}$  levels vary from 5- to 50-ppb levels. The reliability of data collected in this concentration range is fair to good. Over the last five years, a number of stations have been operated to collect both shortand long-term  ${\tt MO}_{\tt X}$  data on an essentially continuous basis. (The short-term implies a period of one to three months, while the long term could be three months to several years.) We have in the past looked at a limited amount of long-term  ${\tt MO}_{\tt X}$  data (Singh et al., 1977) and are assured of the availability of much more extensive data bases around the country.

Because of less stringent controls on the emissions of  $NO_x$  compared to HC emissions, the amount of  $NO_x$  released to the atmosphere has steadily increased over the past five years. We suggest that a comprehensive analysis of  $NO_x$  and  $O_3$  data in rural locations within the United States be conducted with the primary view of obtaining answers to the following questions

- Has the atmospheric abundance of NO<sub>X</sub> increased over the last five years?
- Is this increase (if any) consistent with regional increases in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions?
- Have the corresponding 03 levels registered any changes? If so, what kind of changes?
- Are the 0<sub>3</sub> variabilities in any way, statistically or mechanistically, related to the variabilities of NO<sub>x</sub>? If so, what is the nature of this relationship?

It is not our intent here to develop a detailed plan for such a study; rather, we are attempting to outline the nature of analysis that would utilize existing data, obtained at great cost, to study  $NO_{\chi}/O_3$  relationships under actual atmospheric conditions. The effort to conduct such a study should be a small one (six to eight months) and the potential utility of results would more than justify the cost.

## B. Study II: Analysis of Data from the Los Angeles Area

This program would yield direct evidence about the kind of downwind impact that can be expected when urban NO, emissions increase. The program entails a retrospective analysis of air-quality data collected in the 1960s in areas downwind of the Los Angeles basin. It is well known that  $NO_x$  emissions from automobiles increased substantially in Los Angeles in the late 1960s. The increases in NO<sub>x</sub> resulted from attempts to control automobile hydrocarbon emissions. At the time, the technological solution for controlling hydrocarbons consisted of adjusting the gasoline/air mixture for more complete combustion; the desired reduction in hydrocarbon emissions was achieved at the expense of increased NO,. The situation was corrected in the early 1970s, when limits were placed on emissions of both hydrocarbons and  $NO_x$ . Thus, in the late 1960s, the Los Angeles area experienced precisely the condition that concerns the present study, namely, increased NO, and reduced hydrocarbons. An analysis of the air quality and meteorological data before and during the time of increased  $NO_x$  would answer the question: What happens to ozone downwind of an urban area when NO, increased and hydrocarbons decrease?

The method of "intervention analysis" developed by Box and Tiao (1975) would be especially useful for performing the suggested study. In fact, the method has been applied to the problem of determining the impact of the aforementioned engine adjustments on ozone levels in downtown Los Angeles (Tiao et al., 1975). However, the impact on ozone at downwind locations was not examined.

Effects of increased  $NO_x$  emission on NO concentrations at various sites in Los Angeles were recently examined by Phadke et al. (1978), while Trijonis (1978) analyzed long-term trends in  $NO_2$ . Neither of these studies addresses the issue that concerns us here, since they are restricted to NO and  $NO_2$ , and no attempt was made to examine the interactions between  $NO_x$  and  $O_3$ .

#### C. Study III: Data Collection and Analysis Program

One of the major uncertainties in assessing the role of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  in rural locations is simply the lack of available information on which to base such as assessment. No models exist that are adequately validated for rural environments. Besides, there is no reason to believe that most rural areas would have identical  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}/\mathrm{O}_3$  relationships; on the contrary, the precursor compositions in various rural locations may result in completely different  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}/\mathrm{O}_3$  relationships.

Recognizing that we are in the preliminary stages of defining the rural  $NO_{\rm X}/O_3$  relationships, we present here a field program that would provide a great deal of useful information about these relationships, and would lay the groundwork for more extensive field studies in the future, should they be needed. The proposed field program would provide an essential insight into the  $NO_{\rm X}/O_3$  relationships in several rural locations. The basic field program can be outlined as follows:

• Select five to ten representative rural sites in the country. These should include rural sites downwind of large and small urban centers of varying characteristics, downwind of power plant plumes, refineries, isolated rural centers, and the like.

- Equip a mobile environmental laboratory with reliable  $0_3$ ,  $NO_x$  and HC instrumentation. Here we would expect that  $NO_x$  analysis sensitivity be about 1 ppb.
- Go to Site I (say in July) with the mobile laboratory and a dozen Teflon bags of 500-liter volume each. On a given day. fill five bags simultaneously between 0600 and 0900 LT. Analyze them for HC distributions, O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>y</sub>.
- Spike four bags with NO<sub>x</sub> levels of 2 NO<sub>x</sub>, 3 NO<sub>x</sub>, 5 NO<sub>x</sub>, and 10 NO<sub>x</sub>, where 1 NO<sub>x</sub> would be the NO<sub>x</sub> concentration in the unspiked bag.
- Monitor these bags for HCs, NO, and O3 for the next 36 hours.
- Quantify and collect air quality and meteorological information (e.g., solar flux).
- Repeat above experiments on another day.

It is expected that normal scientific procedures (e.g., conduct replicate experiments) be used to ensure reliability. The above steps could then be repeated at other sites.

A five-site experiment of this type could be conducted over a five to eight week period with minimal cost. It should be added that the spiking principle is well known and has been used in the past to obtain valuable information. However, the bags used have been too small, and no attempt at a systematic characterization of  $\mathrm{NO_x/O_3}$  dependence has been made to date. The suggested systematic approach would be relatively inexpensive, and would yield useful information about  $\mathrm{NO_x/O_3}$  relationships. For example, the program would provide data that would answer the question of whether  $\mathrm{NO_x/O_3}$  relationships at various representative rural locations are similar or different. In addition, the potential impact of changes in  $\mathrm{NO_x}$  levels would be demonstrated. Such a field program would benefit from the simplicity of the smog chamber approach and the realism of field conditions.

#### D. Additional Topics for Investigation

The following emerged in the course of the study as important topics that require further research to characterize  $NO_{\chi}/O_{3}$  interactions in the nonurban atmosphere.

- Estimate the tropospheric half-life of NO.
- Establish the role of low-reactive and natural hydrocarbons in nonurban ozone formation.
- ullet Define the significance of organic nitrates as reservoirs of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  and organic radicals.
- Establish the identity and relative importance of sinks and natural sources of NO.

The first item is important in estimating the time (and consequently distance) during which  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  can be transported in significant quantities. Thus, a short half-life would limit the zone of influence of an urban source and vice versa. To be sure, a number of the studies reviewed, and the expert commentary, suggest that the half-life is of the order of 24 hours. However, many uncertainties remain. Thus, the question of sinks and sources of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  in the nonurban environment is one that must be resolved as part of the process of estimating the half-life of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ . Data needs related to the issue of the half-life of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  include nighttime measurements of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  aloft. Preferably, these should be performed in a Lagrangian mode. Also required are highly sensitive measurements of levels  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{Y}}$  as low as 0.10 ppb.

The zone of influence of urban areas can be expanded if longer-lived organic nitrates were to act as reservoirs of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  and organic radicals. Current knowledge about this topic is sketchy and somewhat speculative. To resolve this question, we need to obtain accurate measurements of organic nitrates, expecially PAN, in nonurban areas. From such data it may be possible to estimate the quantity of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  contributed by this source.

No assessment of the role of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  in ozone formation can be complete without considering the third dimension, namely, the hydrocarbons. To do so, we need to obtain more detailed hydrocarbon measurements at rural sites, including aldehydes and natural hydrocarbons. The discussions in Sections II and III make it apparent that the role of natural hydrocarbons is currently the subject of considerable controversy; more data are needed to settle the argument.

Investigation of these topics would require new data to be gathered and analyzed. Such investigations could be pursued independently of the three projects outlined above.

#### REFERENCES

- Arnts, R.R. and B.W. Gay, 1979: "Photochemistry of Naturally Emitted Hydrocarbons: Isoprene, p-Cymene and Selected Monoterpenes," Draft Report, U.S. EPA (April).
- Blumenthal, D.L., W.H. White, R.L. Peace, and T.B. Smith, 1974: "Determination of the Feasibility of the Long-Range Transport of Ozone or Ozone Precursors," EPA-450/3-74-061 (November).
- Box, G.E.P., and G.C. Tiao, 1975: "Intervention Analysis with Applications to Economic and Environmental Problems," J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., Vol. 70, No. 349, pp. 70-79.
- Breeding, R.J., J.P. Lodge, J.B. Pate, D.C. Sheesley, H.B. Klovis, B. Fogle, J.A. Anderson, T.R. Engberg, P.L. Haagenson, R.B. McBeth, A.L. Morris, R. Pogue, and A.F. Wartburg, 1973: "Background Trace Gas Concentrations in the Central U.S.," JGR, Vol. 78, No. 30, pp. 7075-7064.
- Bufalini, J.J., 1979: Correspondence, <u>Science</u>, Vol. 203, p. 81 (January).
- California Air Resources Board, 1978: Summary of 1977 Air Quality Data, Vol. 9, pp. 23-27.
- Chameides, W.L., 1978: "The Photochemical Role of Tropospheric Nitrogen Oxides," <u>Geophysical Research Letters</u>, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 17-20 (January).
- Chameides, W.L. and D.H. Stedman, 1977: "Tropospheric Ozone: Coupling Transport and Photochemistry." J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 82, pp. 1787-1794.
- Chameides, W.L., D.H. Stedman, R.R. Dickerson, D. W. Rusch, and R.J. Cicerone, 1977: NO<sub>x</sub> Production by Lightning," <u>J. Atmos. Sci.</u>, Vol. 34, pp. 143-149.
- Chang, T.Y., and B. Weinstock, 1976a: "Net Ozone Formation in Rural Atmospheres," International Conference on Photochemical Oxidant Pollution and its Control, Raleigh, North Carolina (12-17 September).
- Chang, T.Y., and B. Weinstock, 1976b: "Methane and Nonurban Ozone," Air Pollution Control Association, 69th Annual Meeting, Portland, Oregon (June).
- Cleveland, W.S., and T.E. Graedel, 1979: "Photochemical Air Pollution in the Northeast United States," <u>Science</u>, Vol. 204, No. 4399, pp. 1273-1278 (22 June).

- Coffey, P.E., and K.H. Westberg, 1977: "The Issue of Natural Organic Emissions," EPA-600/3-77-116 (October).
- Council for Agricultural Science and Technology (CAST), 1976: Effect of Increased Nitrogen Fixation on Stratospheric Ozone," Report No. 53, Council for Agricultural Science and Technology, Ames, Iowa.
- Cox. R.A, 1977: "Some Measurements of Ground Level NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub>. Concentrations at an Unpolluted Maritime Site," <u>Tellus</u>, Vol. 29, pp. 356-362.
- Cox, R.A., R.G. Derwent, and P.M. Hold, 1975a: "The Photooxidation of Ammonia in the Presence of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, <u>Chemosphere</u>, No. 4, pp. 201-205.
- Cox, R.A., A.E.J. Eggleton, R.G. Derwent, J.E. Lovelock, and D.H. Pack, 1975b: "Long-Range Transport of Photochemical Ozone in Northwestern Europe," Nature, Vol. 255, No. 5504, pp. 118-121 (8 May).
- Cox, R.A., R.G. Derwent, and A.J.L. Hutton, 1977: "Significance of Peroxynitric Acid in Atmospheric Chemistry of Nitrogen Oxides," Nature, Vol. 270, pp. 328-329 (24 November).
- Decker, C.E., J.J.B. Worth, C.A. Ripperton, and W.D. Bach, 1977:

  "Ambient Monitoring Aloft of Ozone and Precursors Near and Downwind of St. Louis," EPA-450/3-77-009 (January).
- Delwiche, C.C., 1970: The Nitrogen Cycle, <u>Scientific American</u>, Vol. 223, No. 3, pp. 137-146.
- Pemerjian, K.L., J.A. Kerr, and J.G. Calvert, 1974: "The Mechanism of Photochemical Smog Formation," Advances in Environmental Science and Technology, Vol. 4, pp. 1-262.
- Drummond, J.W., 1977: "Atmospheric Measurements of Nitric Oxide Using a Chemiluminescent Detector," Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Wyoming, Laramie.
- Federal Register, 1973: Vol. 38, No. 110, pp. 15174-15175, (8 June).
- Fishman, J., and P.J. Crutzen, 1977: "A Numerical Study of Tropospheric Photochemistry Using a One-Dimensional Model," J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 82, No. 37, pp. 5897-5906 (20 December). [A correction of this paper appeared in the March 20, 1978 issue of the journal, Vol. 83, No. C3, p. 1383.]
- Graedel, T.E., and D.L. Allara, 1976: "The Kinetic Ozone Photochemistry of Natural and Perturbed Nonurban Tropospheres," <u>Int'l Conference on Photochemical Oxidant Pollution and Its Control</u>, Raleigh, North Carolina, pp. 467-473 (12-17 September).

- Graedel, T.E., and L.A. Farrow, 1977: "Kinetic Photochemistry Downwind Over Water from Urban Areas," <u>JGR</u>, Vol. 82, No. 31, pp. 4943-4946 (20 October).
- Harrison, R.M., C.D. Holman, H.A. McCartney, and J.F.R. McIlveen, 1978:
  "Nocturnal Depletion of Photochemical Ozone at a Rural Site,"

  Atmos. Env, Vol. 12, pp. 2021-2026.
- Hathorn, J.W., and H.M. Walker, 1976: "A Texas-Size Episode Tracked To Its Source," Proc. Int'l Conf. Photochemical Oxidant Pollution and Its Control, Vol. 1, Raleigh, North Carolina, pp. 353-380 (12-17 September).
- Heffter, J.L., and A.D. Taylor, 1975: "A Regional Continental Scale Transport, Diffusion and Deposition Model, Part I: Trajectory Model." NOAA Tech. Memo ERL ARL-50.
- Hendry, D.G., 1978: "Reactions of Aromatic Compounds in the Atmosphere," Conference of Chemical Data Needs for Modeling the Lower Troposphere, Reston, Virginia (15-17 May).
- Hendry, D.G., A.C. Baldwin, J.R. Barker, and D.M. Golden, 1978: "Computer Modeling of Simulated Photochemical Smog," EPA 600/3-78-059 (June).
- Hendry, D.G., and R.A. Kenley, 1978: "Importance of Organic Peroxynitrate in Photochemical Smog," paper presented at the meeting of the American Chemical Society, Anaheim, California (12-17 March).
- Hill, A.C., 1971: "Vegetation: A Sink for Atmospheric Pollutants,"
  J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc, Vol. 21, p. 341.
- Holland, H.D., 1973: "Ocean Water, Nutrients, and Atmospheric Oxygen,

  Proc. Internat. Symp. Hydrogeochim. Biogeochem., ed. by E.

  Ingerson, Vol. 1, pp. 68-71, Clarke County, Washington, D.C.
- Hov, O., E. Hesstvedt, and I.S.A. Isaksen, 1978: "Long-Range Transport of Tropospheric Ozone," Nature, Vol. 273, pp. 341-344 (June).
- Howard, C.J., and K.M. Evenson, 1977: "Kinetics of the Reaction of HO<sub>2</sub> with NO," Geophys. Res. Letters, Vol. 4, No. 10, pp. 437-440.
- Isaksen, I.S.A., O. Hov, and E. Hesstvedt, 1978a: "Ozone Generation over Rural Areas," <u>Env. Sci. and Technology</u>, Vol. 12, No. 12, pp. 1279-1284 (November).
- Isaksen, I.S.A., E. Hesstvedt, and O. Hov. 1978b: "A Chemical Model for Urban Plumes: Test for Ozone and Particulate Sulfur Formation in St. Louis Urban Plume," Atmos. Env., Vol. 12, pp. 599-605.

- Jeftries, H.E., J.E. Sickles, and L.A. Ripperton, 1976: "Ozone Transport Phenomena: Observed and Simulated," Paper #76-14.3, 69th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Assoc., Portland, Oregon, (27 June-1 July).
- Kauper, E.K., and B.L. Niemann, 1976: "Transport of Ozone by Upper-Level Land Breeze-An Example of a City's Polluted Wake Upwind From Its Center," Proc. International Conference on Photochemical Oxidant Pollution and Its Control, Vol. I, pp. 227-236, Raleigh, North Carolina (12-17 September).
- Knelson, J.H., and R.E. Lee, 1977: "Oxides of Nitrogen in the Atmosphere: Origin, Fate and Public Health Implications," <u>Ambio</u>, Vol. 6, No. 2-3, pp. 126-130.
- Liu, S.C., 1977: "Possible Effects on Tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> and OH Due to NO Emissions," <u>Geophysical Research Letters</u>, Vol. 4, No. 8, pp. 325-328 (August).
- Liu, S.C., T.M. Donahue, R.J. Cicerone, and W.L. Chameides, 1976:
  "Effect of Water Vapor on the Destruction of Ozone in the Stratosphere Perturbed by C1X or NO<sub>X</sub> Pollutants," <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, Vol.
  61, p. 3111.
- Lodge, J.P., Machado, P.A., Pate, J.B., Sheesley, D.C., and Wartburg, A.F, 1974: "Atmospheric Trace Chemistry in the American Humid Tropics," Tellus, Vol. 26, No. 1-2, pp. 250-253.
- Lodge, J.P., and J.B. Pate, 1966: "Atmospheric Gases and Particulates in Panama," Science, Vol. 153, pp. 408-410 (22 July).
- Lonneman, W.A., 1976: "Ozone and Hydrocarbon Measurements in Recent Oxidant Transport Studies," <a href="Proc.">Proc.</a> International Conference on Photochemical Oxidant Pollution and Its Control, Vol. I, pp. 211-223, Raleigh, North Carolina (12-17 September).
- Lonneman, W.A., R.L. Seila, and J.J. Bufalini, 1978: "Ambient Air Hydro-carbon Concentrations in Florida," <u>Environ</u>. <u>Sci. & Technol</u>., Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 459-463 (April).
- Lonneman, W.A., and J.J. Bufalini, 1979: Correspondence, Environ. Sci. and Technol., Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 237-239 (February).
- Ludlum, K.H., and B.S. Bailey, 1979: Correspondence, Environ. Sci. and Technol., Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 235-236 (February).
- Ludwig, F.L., W.B. Johnson, R.E Ruff, and H.B. Singh, 1976: "Important Factors Affecting Rural Ozone Concentration," <u>Proc. Int'l Conference on Photochemical Oxidants and Its Control</u>, Vol. 1, pp. 425-538, Raleigh, North Carolina (12-17 September).

- Ludwig, F.L., E. Reiter, E. Shelar, and W.B. Johnson, 1977: <u>The Relation of Oxidant Levels to Precursor Emissions and Meteorological Features</u>, EPA-450/3-77-022a.
- Martinez, J.R., and K.C. Nitz, 1979: Analysis of High NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations in California, 1975-1977, Draft Final Report, SRI International Project No. 6780-12-13 (22 June).
- McConnell, J.C., 1973: "Atmospheric Ammonia," J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 78, pp. 7812-7820.
- Meyer, E.L., W. P. Freas, J.E. Summerhays, and P.L. Youngblood, 1976:
  "The Use of Trajectory Analysis for Determining Empirical Relationships Among Ambient Ozone Levels and Meteorological and Emissions
  Variables," <a href="Proc. Int'l Conference on Photochemical Oxidant and Its Control">Proc. Int'l Conference on Photochemical Oxidant and Its Control</a>, Vol. 1, pp. 903-913, Raleigh, North Carolina (12-17 September).
- Miller, D.F., A.L. Alkezweeny, J.M. Hales, and R.N. Lee, 1978: "Ozone Formation Related to Power Plant Emissions," Science, Vol. 202, pp. 1186-1188 (15 December).
- Miller, P.R., J.N. Pitts, and A.M. Winer, 1979: Correspondence, Science, Vol. 203, pp. 81-82 (5 January).
- Mueller, P.K., G.M. Hidy, and E.Y. Tong, 1977: "Implementation and Coordination of the Sulfate Regional Experiment (SURE) and Related Programs," Environmental Research and Technology, Inc., Report on Research Project 682 (October).
- Nash, T., 1974: "Nitrous Acid in the Atmosphere and Laboratory Experiments on its Photolysis," <u>Tellus</u>, Vol. 26, No. 1-2, pp. 175-179.
- National Academy of Sciences, 1978: <u>Nitrates</u>: <u>An Environmental Assessment</u>, Washington, D.C.
- Noxon, J.F., 1978: "Tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub>," <u>JGR</u>, Vol. 83, C6, pp. 3051-3057 (20 June).
- Noxon, J.F., 1975: "Nitrogen Dioxide in the Stratosphere and Troposphere Measured by Ground-Based Absorption Spectroscopy," <u>Science</u>, Vol. 189, pp. 547-549 (15 August).
- Phadke, M.S., M.R. Grupe, and G.C. Tiao, 1978: "Statistical Evaluation of Trends in Ambient Concentrations of Nitric Oxide in Los Angeles," <u>Env. Sci. & Tech.</u> Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 430-435 (April).
- Rasmussen, R.A., R.B. Chatfield, and M.W. Holdren, 1977: "Hydrocarbon Species in Rural Missouri Air," College of Engineering, Washington State University (January).

- Ratsch, H.C., and D.T. Tingey, 1978: "Tampa Bay Area Photochemical Oxidant Study: Emission Rate for Biogenic NO<sub>x</sub>," Appendix C, Final Report, EPA 904/9-77/036 (January).
- Research Triangle Institute, 1975: "Investigation of Rural Oxidant Levels as Related to Urban Hydrocarbon Control Strategies," EPA-450/3-75-036 (March).
- Research Triangle Institute, 1976: "Formation and Transport of Oxidants Along Gulf Coast and in Northern U.S.," EPA-450/3-76-033 (August).
- Ripperton, L.A., L. Kornreich, and J.J.B. Worth, 1970: "Nitrogen Dioxide and Nitric Oxide in Nonurban Air," <u>JAPCA</u>, Vol. 20, No. 9, pp. 589-592 (September).
- Ripperton, L.A., J.E. Sickles, and W.C. Eaton, 1976: "Oxidant-Precursor Relationships During Pollutant Transport Conditions-An Outdoor Smog Chamber Study," EPA-600/3-76-107 (November).
- Ritter, J.A., D.H. Stedman, and T.J. Kelly, 1978: "Ground Level Measurements of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub> in Rural Air," ACS Symposium on Atmospheric Nitrogen Compounds, Anaheim, California (12-17 March).
- Robinson, E., and R.C. Robbins, 1970: "Gaseous nitrogen compound pollutants from urban and natural sources," <u>JAPCA</u>, Vol. 20, pp. 303-306.
- Robinson, E., and R.C. Robbins, 1971: Emissions, Concentrations and Fate of Gaseous Atmospheric Pollutants, Air Pollution Control, Part II, pp. 1-93, W. Strauss, ed., John Wiley and Sons (New York).
- Rogers, H.H., H.E. Jeffries, E.P. Stahel, W.W. Heck, L.A. Ripperton, and A.M. Witherspoon, 1977: "Measuring Air Pollutant Uptake by Plants: A Direct Kinetic Technique," J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc., Vol. 27, pp. 1192-1197.
- Sandberg, J.S., M.J. Basso, and B.A. Okin, 1978: "Winter Rain and Summer Ozone: A Predictive Relationship," <u>Science</u>, Vol. 200, pp. 1051-1054.
- Sandberg J.S., M.J. Basso, and B.A. Okin, 1979: Correspondence, <u>Science</u>, Vol. 203, pp. 82-83 (5 January).
- Sandberg, J.S., R.E. DeMandel, M.J. Basso, and B.A. Okin, 1979b: "Verification of the First Seasonal Ozone Forecast," <u>Proc. 4th Symp. on Turbulence</u>, <u>Diffusion</u>, <u>and Air Pollution</u>, Reno, Nevada, pp. 614-619 (15-18 January).
- Sculley, R.D., 1979: Correspondence, <u>Environ</u>. <u>Sci. and Technology</u>, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 234-235 (February).

- Seinfeld, J.H., and K.R. Wilson, 1977: International Conference on Oxidants, 1976—Analysis of Evidence and Viewpoints. Part VI: The Issue of Air Quality Simulation Model Utility, EPA-600/3-77-118 (November).
- Singh, H.B., F.L. Ludwig, and W.B. Johnson, 1977: "Ozone in Clean Remote Atmospheres: Concentrations and Variabilities," Final Report SRI Project 5661, SRI International (June).
- Singh, H.B., J.R. Martinez, D.G. Hendry, R.J. Jaffe, and W.B. Johnson, 1978: "Estimates of the Oxidant Forming Potential of Light Saturated Hydrocarbons," SRI International, Draft Final Report, SRI Project 6395 (September).
- Spicer, C.W, 1976: "The Fate of Nitrogen Oxides in the Atmosphere," EPA-600/3-76-030 (March).
- Spicer, C.W, 1977: "Photochemical Atmospheric Pollutants Derived from Nitrogen Oxides," Atmos. Env., Vol. 11, pp. 1089-1095.
- Spicer, C.W., J.L. Gemma, D.W. Joseph, P.R. Sticksel, and F.G. Ward, 1976a: "The Transport of Oxidant Beyond Urban Areas," EPA-600/3-76-018 (February).
- Spicer, C.W., J.L. Gemma, P.M. Schumacher, and G.E. Ward, 1976b: "The Fate of Nitrogen Oxides in the Atmosphere," Second Year Report, PB-267784 (August).
- Spicer, C.W., D.W. Joseph, and J. Kouyoumjian, 1977: "The Fate of Nitrogen Oxides in the Atmosphere-Summary of an Exploratory Study in Phoenix, Arizona," Final Report, PB-276355 (March).
- Soderlund, R., and B.H. Svensson, 1976: "The Global Nitrogen Cycle,"

  Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Sulfur-Global Cycles, edited by B.H.

  Svensson and R. Sodurlund, SCOPE Report 7. Ecological Bulletin

  No. 22 (Stockholm, Sweden), pp. 23-73.
- Sundareson, B.B., C.I. Harding, F.P. May, and E.R. Henrichson, 1967:
  "Absorption of Nitrogen Oxides from Waste Gas," Environ. Sci. and
  Technol., Vol. 1, p. 151.
- Tiao, G.C., G.E.P. Box, and W.J. Hamming, 1975: "Analysis of Los Angeles Photochemical Smog Data: Statistical Overview," J. Air Poll. Control Assoc. Vol. 25, pp. 260-269.
- Tingey, D.T. 1968: "Foliar Absorption of Nitrogen Dioxide," M.A. Thesis, Department of Botany, University of Utah.
- Trijonis, J., 1978: "Empirical Relationship Between Atmospheric Nitrogen Dioxide and Its Precursors," EPA-600/3-78-018 (February).

- Westberg, H., K.J. Allwine, and E., Robinson, 1978a: "The Transport of Oxidant Beyond Urban Areas/Light Hydrocarbon and Oxidant Data-New England Study, 1975," EPA-600/3-78-006 (January).
- Westberg, H., K. Allwine, and E. Robinson, 1978b: "Measurement of Light Hydrocarbons and Oxidant Transport--Houston Study, 1976," EPA-600/3-78-062 (July).
- Westberg, H., K. Allwine, E., Robinson, and P. Zimmerman, 1978c: "Light Hydrocarbon and Oxidant Transport Studies in Ohio-1974," EPA-600/3-78-007 (January).
- White, W.H., J.A. Anderson, D.L. Blumenthal, R.B. Husar, N.V. Gillani, and J.D. Husar, 1976: "Formation and Transport of Secondary Air Pollutants: Ozone and Aerosols in the St. Louis Urban Plume," Science, Vol. 194, pp. 187-189 (October).

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA (Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)		
1 REPORT NO.   2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.	
EPA-450/4/79-035		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5. REPORT DATE September 1979	
Survey of the Role of $NO_X$ in Nonurban Ozone Formation	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE	
7. AUTHOR(S)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.	
J. R. Martinez and H. B. Singh	6780-8	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	
SRI International		
333 Ravenswood Avenue	11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.	
Menlo Park, California 94025	68-02-2835	
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED	
Environmental Protection Agency	Final 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE	
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711	14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE	
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
EPA Project Officer - Harold G. Richter		
16. ABSTRACT		
This study surveys and summarizes current knowledge about the role of oxides of nitrogen (NO <sub>X</sub> ) in the formation of ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) in nonurban areas. Project elements include a literature review, a survey of expert opinion, and analyses of field data.		
The results of the study show that present knowledge about $NO_X/O_3$ interactions in nonurban areas is fragmentary, and that there is no direct quantitative description of the link between urban $NO_X$ and nonurban $O_3$ .		
A preliminary analysis of nine rural and suburban sites indicates that transport is the principle mechanism associated with ozone levels that exceed 100 ppb. The analysis further suggests that transport times of the order of six to eight hours are most likely to be associated with the high ozone observed at these sites.		

7. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS		
a. DESCRIPTORS	b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
Nitrogen Dioxide Ozone Formation from NO <sub>2</sub> Nitrogen Dioxide Transport Rural Ozone		
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Unlimited	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) Unclassified	21. NO. OF PAGES 158
	20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) Unclassified	22. PRICE