


Research and Development

---



# A Problem with Flux Chamber Measurements of Biogenic Sulfur Emissions



## **RESEARCH REPORTING SERIES**

Research reports of the Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, have been grouped into nine series. These nine broad categories were established to facilitate further development and application of environmental technology. Elimination of traditional grouping was consciously planned to foster technology transfer and a maximum interface in related fields. The nine series are:

1. Environmental Health Effects Research
2. Environmental Protection Technology
3. Ecological Research
4. Environmental Monitoring
5. Socioeconomic Environmental Studies
6. Scientific and Technical Assessment Reports (STAR)
7. Interagency Energy-Environment Research and Development
8. "Special" Reports
9. Miscellaneous Reports

This report has been assigned to the ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH series. This series describes research on the effects of pollution on humans, plant and animal species, and materials. Problems are assessed for their long- and short-term influences. Investigations include formation, transport, and pathway studies to determine the fate of pollutants and their effects. This work provides the technical basis for setting standards to minimize undesirable changes in living organisms in the aquatic, terrestrial, and atmospheric environments.

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

EPA-600/3-79-033  
April 1979

A PROBLEM WITH FLUX CHAMBER MEASUREMENTS  
OF BIOGENIC SULFUR EMISSIONS

by

Dian R. Hitchcock  
Hitchcock Associates  
Farmington, Connecticut 06032

Contract DA-6-99-6358A

Project Officer

Lester Spiller  
Aerosol Research Branch  
Environmental Sciences Research Laboratory  
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES RESEARCH LABORATORY  
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NORTH CAROLINA 27711

## DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Environmental Sciences Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

## ABSTRACT

Problems associated with identifying and quantifying factors that influence liquid-phase controlled evolution of hydrogen sulfide and organic sulfides through the air-water interface are briefly reviewed. It was found, that at present flux chamber measurements of the release of these biogenic substances from natural systems cannot be regarded as reliable estimates of releases occurring when the system is not enclosed by a chamber.

This report was submitted in fulfillment of Contract No. DA-6-99-6358A by Hitchcock Associates under the sponsorship of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. This report covers the period July 2, 1976, to October 30, 1976.

CONTENTS

Abstract . . . . .	iii
Figures . . . . .	vi
Tables . . . . .	vi
1. Introduction . . . . .	1
2. Methodology . . . . .	2
3. Experimental . . . . .	6
4. Conclusions . . . . .	17
References . . . . .	18

FIGURES

<u>Number</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Enhancement coefficient $\alpha$ for $H_2S$ transfer between seawater and air. (The dependence of $\alpha$ on pH and T is similar for freshwater transfer but calculated values are slightly lower than those plotted here.) . . . . .	9

TABLES

1	Freshwater $H_2S$ Exchange Constants ( $cm\ h^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	10
2	Seawater $H_2S$ Exchange Constants ( $cm\ h^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	11

## SECTION 1

### INTRODUCTION

Biogenic sulfur gases may contribute significantly to the atmospheric load of sulfur species. This possibility has focused interest on the rate of emission of gases such as hydrogen sulfide ( $H_2S$ ) and dimethyl sulfide ( $CH_3SCH_3$ ) to the atmosphere from surface waters overlying sediments where they are naturally produced, or from exposed wet sediments. Several scientists have attempted to measure these emissions using emission flux chambers, a method by which gases released to the head space of a chamber placed over an emitting surface are collected by air or some other suitable carrier gas passing through; the average flux is then estimated from the measured concentrations of the gases of interest in the carrier gas. Such methods have been used by a number of researchers, including Brannon (1973), Aneja (1975), Hill et al. (1978), Adams et al. (1978), and Hansen et al. (1978).

The purpose of this report is to call attention to the possible influence of surface wind speed-induced liquid-phase turbulence on the evolution of  $H_2S$  and other gases from water surfaces. This study indicates that chambers which isolate emitting water surfaces from ambient wind movements may inhibit the evolution of  $H_2S$ ,  $CH_3SCH_3$ , and other biogenic sulfur gases from surface water.



## SECTION 2

### METHODOLOGY

The general problem with the flux chamber technique is that isolating the emitting water surface will modify the exchange characteristics in ways presently impossible to fully evaluate. Liss (1973, 1975) explained some of these problems in his discussions of the applicability of Danckwaert's two-film model of gas exchange through the air-water interface to gas exchanges between natural waters and the atmosphere. (See also Deacon, 1977, for a more general treatment of these phenomena.)

#### TWO-FILM MODEL OF AIR-WATER EXCHANGE

The flux  $F$  of a gas through the air-water interface is the product of an exchange constant  $K$  for the gas and  $\Delta c$ , the difference between the concentration of the gas in the liquid near the interface and in the air above it:

$$F = K \Delta c \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

The model used by Liss provides that exchange takes place via molecular diffusion through two stagnant films at the air-water interface: a liquid film and a gas film. The overall exchange is described in terms of two transfer coefficients: the gas-phase transfer coefficient  $k_g$ , and the liquid-phase transfer coefficient  $k_l$ , as well as the gas gradients within each film layer. However, as transfer between both films is limited by the slower of the two transfers through each, the exchange is spoken of as being 'controlled' by the slower of the two.

If exchange is controlled by liquid-phase resistance (assuming the gas obeys Henry's Law), then the overall exchange constant will be  $K_l$ , where

$$\frac{1}{K_1} = \frac{1}{K_1} + \frac{1}{Hk_g} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

and H is the value of Henry's Law constant at the temperature (T) of interest. If exchange is controlled by gas-phase resistance, then the overall exchange constant will be  $K_g$ , where

$$\frac{1}{K_g} = \frac{1}{k_g} + \frac{H}{k_1} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

To predict the value of K, the overall exchange constant for a given gas from these equations requires knowledge of their individual gas- and liquid-phase transfer coefficients  $k_g$  and  $k_1$  for the circumstances in question. Liss (1973) has shown that the transfer characteristics of gases in wind tunnel experiments may be predicted in terms of the gas-phase transfer coefficient  $k_{g(H_2O)}$  for water vapor, and the liquid-phase transfer coefficient  $k_{1(O_2)}$  for oxygen:

$$k_{g(X)} = rk_{g(H_2O)} \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

$$k_{1(X)} = \alpha k_{1(O_2)} \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

where X is the species of interest, r is the ratio of the square roots of the molecular weights of water and X, and  $\alpha$  is an enhancement coefficient. If X is relatively unreactive and does not undergo rapid chemical reactions in water, then  $\alpha = 1$ . However, if X is subject to very rapid chemical reactions, then these will tend to increase its gradient in the liquid film, enhancing its transfer relative to  $O_2$ . The influence of enhancement will be a function of the speed of the reaction and the thickness of the liquid film. The most important chemical reactions leading to enhancement of transfer through the liquid film are dissociation and hydration. For gases such as sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ) and  $H_2S$ , for which these reactions are extremely rapid,

$$\alpha = \frac{\tau}{\tau-1} \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

where  $\tau$  is the ratio of total to ionic forms of the gas in water at the pH of interest. For  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  in natural waters,

$$\tau = \frac{[\text{H}_2\text{S}] + [\text{HS}^-]}{[\text{HS}^-]} \quad (\text{Eq. 7})$$

because at pH ranges characteristic of natural waters, the sulfur is negligible.

For gases such as water vapor and  $\text{SO}_2$ , whose transfer is gas-phase controlled, the value of  $k_g$  varies with turbulence in the atmosphere near the surface of the water. Wind tunnel experiments have shown that  $k_{g(\text{H}_2\text{O})}$  varies directly with surface wind speed  $u$ ; the limited data available from field experiments confirm these observations (Liss, 1973, 1975, Hicks and Liss, 1976). However, in the field, the influence of fetch on the development of turbulence in the air near the air-water interface is important, and should be considered when predictions of  $k_{g(X)}$  are made (Hicks and Liss, 1976).

The situation is considerably more complex for gases such as  $\text{O}_2$ , carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ),  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , and most organic sulfides, whose transfer would seem to be liquid-phase controlled in most circumstances. Wind tunnel experiments indicate that  $k_{l(\text{O}_2)}$  varies with the square of wind speed (Liss, 1973, 1975, Deacon, 1977), but the paucity of field data makes it difficult to evaluate the applicability of this model to the field. One problem is that liquid-phase controlled transfer is a function of turbulence in the liquid phase, and variations in surface wind speed are only one mechanism influencing liquid-phase turbulence. Turbulence induced by currents, surface ripples, or other motions in the water may also influence  $k_{l(\text{O}_2)}$ , as may differences between the temperature of the surface microlayer and the layers immediately beneath it (Liss, 1975, Deacon, 1977). It is also evident that water turbulence may have different effects on the absorption of a gas by the surface than on evolution from it. Thus, Liss (1973) found that breaking the surface of the water from below influenced release of  $\text{O}_2$  from it more than absorption of  $\text{O}_2$  by it. It is therefore evident that the

perturbations in gas-phase transfer caused by a chamber will vary with the manner in which it is used. Furthermore, the limited information available about these phenomena only concerns transfer between water and air; no information is available about transfer between the surfaces of wet sediments and air.

### SECTION 3

#### EXPERIMENTAL

##### CALCULATING THEORETICAL LIQUID-PHASE EXCHANGE CONSTANTS

The preceding discussion has shown that numerous uncertainties make it difficult to fully evaluate the possible chamber-induced perturbations in liquid-phase-controlled release of biogenic sulfides emitted from water or other wet surfaces to the air. However, some insight into the possible inhibition that may result from the use of flux chambers may be derived by comparing theoretical values of  $K_1$  calculated from Equations 2 through 7 above as a function of a hypothetical 'wind speed' inside and outside the chamber, using for this purpose the wind tunnel results of Liss (1973). There are, however, many problems associated with this approach.

Liss (1973) has determined the values of  $k_{1(O_2)}$ ,  $k_{1(CO_2)}$ , and  $k_{g(H_2O)}$  in wind tunnel experiments as a function of wind speed  $\underline{u}$  for the range 1.6 to 8.1  $m\ s^{-1}$  (where  $\underline{u}$  is measured at 10 cm above the surface). In this range,  $k_{1(O_2)}$  and  $k_{g(H_2O)}$  are remarkably well described by the following linear equations:

$$k_{1(O_2)} = 0.52 + 0.165 \underline{u}^2 \quad (\text{Eq. 8})$$

$$k_{g(H_2O)} = 18.6 + 1136 \underline{u} \quad (\text{Eq. 9})$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_g$  are in  $cm\ h^{-1}$ , and  $\underline{u}$  in  $m\ s^{-1}$ . In applying these equations to the problem at hand, one must extrapolate them to a wind speed well below the minimum employed by Liss; it is highly unlikely that this extrapolation is valid. Not only is it well outside the range of the observations, but it also assumes that the notion of completely stagnant water is a physically realistic concept, and that in still water the thickness of the hypothetical stagnant

films determines the transfer characteristics. It seems likely that in very still water, other factors such as the composition and thickness of the surface organic film microlayer may be more important (Liss, 1975). I know of no wind tunnel or field experiments relating gas emission rates to extremely low wind speeds. A second problem is that H<sub>2</sub>S and perhaps other biogenic sulfides appear to be released in quantity from wet sediments exposed to the atmosphere. Film thicknesses under these circumstances are unlikely to vary with high speed in the manner implied by Liss's experimental data. A third problem is that there is always some gas-phase turbulence in the chamber, because the carrier gas is usually passed through the chamber in order to sample its composition. Some flux chambers employ a stirring device to ensure good mixing of the emitted gases in the carrier gas (Hill *et al.*, 1978, Aneja *et al.*, 1978) but others do not (Brannon, 1973, Adams *et al.*, 1978, Hansen *et al.*, 1978). Those who have used a stirrer have not reported the effects of stirring on emissions. Lacking any basis for an alternative procedure, I have therefore assumed for the purposes of this illustration that 'wind speed' inside the chamber is equal to zero, and that Equations 8 and 9 may be extrapolated to  $\underline{u} = 0$ .

Some of the problems introduced by these assumptions, and the difficulty of evaluating the effect of the use of chambers on H<sub>2</sub>S emissions are due to the very large range of  $\alpha$  values which are likely to be encountered in nature.  $\tau$  and therefore  $\alpha$  vary exponentially with  $\text{pk}_1$ , the first apparent dissociation constant for H<sub>2</sub>S, since

$$\text{pk}_1 + \text{Log} \frac{[\text{HS}^-]}{[\text{H}_2\text{S}]} = \text{pH} \quad (\text{Eq. 10})$$

and  $\text{pk}_1$  varies with T and chlorinity in seawater, and with T and ionic strength in freshwater. Goldhaber and Kaplan (1975) have shown that the value of  $\text{pk}_1$  for seawater may be very accurately calculated from the following equation:

$$\text{pk}_{1(\text{seawater})} = 2.572 + 1359.96/T - 0.169 \text{Cl}^{1/3} \quad (\text{Eq. 11})$$

where T is °K and Cl is chlorinity (‰). Their work also suggests that this equation may be adapted to determine approximate values of  $\text{pk}_1$  for freshwater as a function of ionic strength and T by assuming that freshwater

constitutes a very dilute solution of seawater. If so, then Equation 12 will be appropriate to many of the ionic strengths encountered in freshwater (about 0.05):

$$pk_{1(\text{freshwater})} = 2.35 + 1359.96/T \quad (\text{Eq. 12})$$

Because of exponential dependence of  $\alpha$  on pH and T (see Figure 1), the value of  $k_{1(\text{H}_2\text{S})}$  may become very large at some pH and T values common in natural habitats. Consequently, at theoretical 'zero' wind speed when  $k_{g(\text{H}_2\text{O})} = 18.6$ , the theoretical value of  $K_{1(\text{H}_2\text{S})}$  is determined by that of  $k_{g(\text{H}_2\text{S})}$ . The extrapolations used in the above calculations imply that  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  transfer may become gas-phase controlled inside the chamber, since

$$\frac{1}{K_{1(\text{H}_2\text{S})}} = \frac{1}{\alpha k_{1(\text{O}_2)}} + \frac{1}{Hr k_{g(\text{H}_2\text{O})}} \quad (\text{Eq. 13})$$

and the values of H employed for these calculations range from 0.2942 at 10°C to 0.5462 at 35°C. If this procedure is correct, it implies that in natural habitats, where gas-phase turbulence above the emitting surface may be low,  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  transfer may be gas-phase limited. Therefore, the question of the influence of gas-phase turbulence on  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  transfer cannot be ignored in considering the release of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  to the atmosphere, either in chambers or in the field.

Tables 1 and 2 list some theoretical values of  $K_{1(\text{H}_2\text{S})}$  calculated from the equations listed above. Values of  $K_{1(\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3)}$  are also calculated as a function of wind speed, assuming a Henry's Law constant of 0.3, as given by Liss and Slater (1974). (Changes in the value of H with T will not influence the theoretical values of  $K_1$  for this gas, because its transfer is always liquid-phase controlled.)

Examination of these tables confirms that the emission rates of neither organic sulfides nor of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  observed inside flux chambers should be assumed to be representative of the emissions that might be occurring outside them. The liquid-phase controlled exchange constant varies exponentially with wind




Figure 1. Enhancement coefficient  $\alpha$  for  $H_2S$  transfer between seawater and air. (The dependence of  $\alpha$  on pH and  $T$  is similar for freshwater transfer but calculated values are slightly lower than those plotted here.)

speed. In the case of unreactive organic sulfides, the apparent inhibition (calculated as the ratio of the exchange constant at a selected speed to that at 'zero' wind speed) ranges from about 3 at  $2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  to about 16 at  $6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . In freshwater at pH values corresponding to relatively low concentrations of hydrogen sulfide ions ( $HS^-$ ) relative to aqueous  $H_2S$ , the inhibition is similar to that for an unreactive organic sulfide. However, in freshwater at pH values above about 8, and in seawater at all pH values tabulated, emissions inside the chamber appear to be gas-phase controlled, and the inhibition effects are consequently larger and more variable, being critically dependent on  $\alpha$ . Furthermore, where  $\alpha$  is an important variable, the effects of pH are large. Thus, for freshwater (Table 1) at pH 8.0, the inhibition factors range from about 5 to 25 for  $u = 2$  to  $6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  at all temperatures shown. At pH 9.0, these inhibition factors range from 20 to about 100. In seawater (Table 2) the influence of pH is similar, but the inhibition factors tend to be larger. Thus, at pH 7.8, the inhibition factors range from about 5 to 26, but at pH 9.0 they range from about 35 to 150.



TABLE 1. FRESHWATER H<sub>2</sub>S EXCHANGE CONSTANTS (cm h<sup>-1</sup>)

<u>u</u>	K <sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>SCH<sub>3</sub>)</sub>	K <sub>(H<sub>2</sub>S)</sub>						
		pH	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	9.0
T = 10°C pK <sub>1</sub> = 7.15								
0	0.4		0.6	0.7	1.2	2.0*	3.0*	3.6*
2	1.2		1.4	2.0	4	9	26	72
4	3.2		3.8	5.4	10	25	68	183
6	6.4		7.9	10.9	20	50	136	351
T = 20°C pK <sub>1</sub> = 6.99								
0	0.4		0.6	0.9	1.6	2.8*	4.0*	4.8*
2	1.2		1.6	2.4	5	13	37	103
4	3.2		4.2	6.4	13	35	98	261
6	6.4		8.5	13.0	27	70	194	496
T = 25°C pK <sub>1</sub> = 6.91								
0	0.4		0.6	1.0	1.8	3.2*	4.6*	5.4*
2	1.2		1.6	2.6	5.7	15	44	122
4	3.2		4.4	7.0	15.3	41	116	308
6	6.4		8.9	14.3	31.1	83	230	585
T = 35°C pK <sub>1</sub> = 6.76								
0	0.4		0.7	1.2	2.3	4.2*	5.9*	6.8*
2	1.2		1.8	3.2	8	21	61	167
4	3.2		4.9	8.6	20	56	160	421
6	6.4		10.0	17.5	41	113	317	794

\*Transfer is gas-phase controlled.

TABLE 2. SEAWATER H<sub>2</sub>S EXCHANGE CONSTANTS (cm h<sup>-1</sup>)

u	K <sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>SCH<sub>3</sub>)</sub>	K <sub>(H<sub>2</sub>S)</sub>					
		pH	7.8	8.0	8.2	8.4	9.0
T = 10°C pk <sub>1</sub> = 6.88							
0	0.4		2.0*	2.6	3.0*	3.3*	3.8*
2	1.2		11	16	25	37	119
4	3.2		29	43	65	97	294
6	6.4		58	86	129	192	541
T = 20°C pk <sub>1</sub> = 6.80							
0	0.4		3.0*	3.5*	4.0*	4.4*	5.0*
2	1.2		15	23	35	54	169
4	3.2		40	61	93	139	415
6	6.4		81	123	184	274	758
T = 25°C pk <sub>1</sub> = 6.64							
0	0.4		3.4*	4.0*	4.6*	5.0*	5.7*
2	1.2		18	27	42	64	199
4	3.2		48	72	110	165	487
6	6.4		96	145	218	324	887
T = 35°C pk <sub>1</sub> = 6.49							
0	0.4		4.4	5.2	5.8	6.3	7.1
2	1.2		25	38	58	88	270
4	3.2		65	99	152	228	656
6	6.4		132	200	301	445	1185

\*Transfer is gas-phase controlled.

These tables also show that the behavior of organic sulfides and  $H_2S$  inside a chamber cannot be readily interpreted as reflecting differences in their relative rates of release outside the chamber. At all T and pH values listed in both tables, the exchange constant for  $H_2S$  is larger than that for  $CH_3SCH_3$ , but the amount of the difference varies with pH and T. In freshwater, at pH values up to 7.5, the differences are small. At higher pH values, the differences become more pronounced, and they also increase with T. Thus, the  $H_2S$  transfer constant calculated for  $H_2S$  exceeds that calculated for  $CH_3SCH_3$  by a factor of about 5 to 10 (depending on T) at pH 8.0, and by a factor of 6 to 17 at pH 9.0. The influence of pH and T are similar for seawater, but the differences are larger.

These calculations indicate a possible serious problem when interpreting flux chamber observations. As numerous uncertainties can be associated with the behavior of gases released from surface water and/or wet sediments, these results must not be viewed as constituting accurate descriptions of the processes occurring inside flux chambers, nor as providing useful predictions of the exchange process in the natural habitat.

These calculations do suggest, however, that in nature the release of  $H_2S$  from natural waters is an extremely complicated process. If  $H_2S$  release is as sensitive to pH, T, and liquid-phase turbulence as these calculations imply, then in some habitats actual releases must be very sensitive to short-term variations in turbulence induced by wave action, currents, and perhaps even disturbances of the surface due to biological activity. The rate of supply of  $H_2S$  to water near the surface is probably not as variable as its release from the surface to the air. It is possible that the concentration of  $H_2S$  in surface water could be highly variable, building up to relatively high levels if liquid-phase turbulence is minimal, and dropping rapidly as a response to emissions resulting from short-term disturbances of the surface. We may speculate that disturbances associated with putting a chamber in place could deplete  $H_2S$  concentrations significantly, and would be followed by low measured emissions until concentrations build up again. If so, then the total duration of the observations may be critical.

These calculations also show that the relative concentrations of organic sulfides and  $H_2S$  in surface water will rarely indicate the strength of their emissions. Especially when pH and T are high, a low concentration of  $H_2S$  may support a very large emission to the atmosphere, while much higher concentrations of  $CH_3SCH_3$  may yield much lower emissions. These considerations throw doubt on the conclusions reported by Rasmussen (1974), who inferred that  $CH_3SCH_3$  emissions from a small pond greatly exceeded  $H_2S$  emissions, because the  $CH_3SCH_3$  concentrations were often one to two orders of magnitude higher than the  $H_2S$  concentrations. Examination of the original data (Bechard, 1974) shows that the values of the enhancement coefficients for  $H_2S$  were usually in the range 1000 to 3000, and that on the few occasions when the enhancement coefficients were less than about 10, the  $H_2S$  concentration exceeded the  $CH_3SCH_3$  concentration. Viewed in this light, the observations reported by Rasmussen imply that the pond was a much larger source of atmospheric  $H_2S$  than of  $CH_3SCH_3$ .

#### TEMPERATURE AND PH VARIATIONS IN NATURE

A further cause for concern is associated with the influence of T and pH on  $H_2S$  evolution from surface waters, and the natural variation of these parameters in habitats of interest. Temperature and pH vary widely throughout the diurnal cycle in most habitats where  $H_2S$  is produced in abundance by the metabolism of the bacterial sulfate reducers, and from which it may escape to the atmosphere. In natural aquatic systems, pH varies with the concentration of  $CO_2$ , which in turn varies diurnally with the ratio of respiratory  $CO_2$  production to photosynthetic  $CO_2$  consumption. The more productive the habitat, the greater the diurnal range of pH values. In relatively shallow bays, creeks, marshes and similar aquatic systems, T varies diurnally with surface heating, and reaches its highest values in synchrony with pH. Skirrow (1965) measured summertime diurnal T and pH ranges from 28°C and 8.0 at night to 35°C and 8.9 the next day in highly productive water in Redfish Bay (Texas). The corresponding values of  $\alpha$  are 42 and 258. In winter, the observed range was from 18°C and 8.0 at night to 23°C and 8.4 the next day ( $\alpha$  equal to 29 and 54). In salt marsh pools and tidal creeks, the range of T and pH values may be greater, because plant productivity may make more demands on the supply of  $CO_2$ , and the very shallow waters get hotter than the surface waters of deeper bays. However,

in these habitats the temporal cycles are liable to be more complex, due to tidal effects. Very large pH and T variations are also likely to occur in some freshwater habitats. Bechard (1974) reports 67 measurements of pH, T, and sulfur gas concentrations in a freshwater pond; T values greater than or equal to 25°C were observed in 20% of these, and pH values greater than or equal to 9.5 in 30%.

Temperature is usually reported by many who employ the flux chamber technique, but not the pH or sediment or water H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations. (Brannon, 1973, and Hansen et al., 1978, are exceptions.) As a result, one cannot determine whether the flux measurements some researchers report reflect variations in the relative productivity of H<sub>2</sub>S (and/or other biogenic sulfides), variations in pH, or both. Consequently, even if the chamber method were demonstrated to have little significant effect on the transfer coefficients for these or other gases in some habitats of interest, many results reported in the literature could not be extrapolated to other times when the emitting surface may have other T and pH values.

These studies may be contrasted with those of Hansen et al. (1978), who used a flux chamber to study the emission of H<sub>2</sub>S from two tide pools. They determined H<sub>2</sub>S emissions at hourly intervals for durations of at least 24 hours, and monitored light intensity, surface water pH, T, H<sub>2</sub>S and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations, and redox potential gradients in both water and underlying sediment as a function of depth. In addition, they characterized the sediments in biologically meaningful ways (sediment grain size, organic matter content and distribution, H<sub>2</sub>S and sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) concentrations, and biological H<sub>2</sub>S production as a function of sediment depth). These authors reported the observed H<sub>2</sub>S emissions in relevant units ( $\mu\text{M m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$ , rather than  $\text{g m}^{-2}\text{y}^{-1}$ ) and related the variations in emissions to variations in other relevant parameters. The observed emissions were accurately characterized as "minimum emissions from stagnant water". In consequence, this study provides much valuable information, even though the H<sub>2</sub>S emissions may not be representative of emissions outside the chamber.

## POSSIBLE EFFECT OF H<sub>2</sub>S EVOLUTION INHIBITION ON ITS AQUATIC FATE

Unlike CH<sub>3</sub>SCH<sub>3</sub> and most other organic sulfide gases which are stable in water, H<sub>2</sub>S may be oxidized by aqueous O<sub>2</sub>. The reactions involved have not been studied in detail, but the limited evidence available indicates that they are extremely complex. Reported H<sub>2</sub>S half-lives in natural waters range from about 20 minutes (Östlund and Alexander, 1963) to over 100 hours (Skopintsev *et al.*, 1964), but 2 to about 20 hours appear to be the most common values (Cline, 1968, Cline and Richards, 1969, Bella, 1975, Wheatland, 1954, Almgren and Hagström, 1974). Hydrogen sulfide aqueous oxidation may be catalyzed by a number of transition series metals, and inhibited or catalyzed by organic substances (Chen, 1970, Cline, 1968, Cline and Richards, 1969). There is good evidence that the uncatalyzed reaction occurring in distilled water is less than first order in sulfide and greater than first order in O<sub>2</sub> (Chen, 1970), and suggestive evidence that this may be the case in some natural seawater systems (Broenkow, 1969). The oxidation products include sulfite, sulfate, sulfur, thiosulfate, and a number of polythionates; the relative abundance of these depends in part on the ratio of H<sub>2</sub>S to O<sub>2</sub> (Chen, 1970, Cline, 1968, Cline and Richards, 1969).

On the basis of these reports one may speculate that, if inhibition of H<sub>2</sub>S transfer to the atmosphere occurs in flux chambers, then a greater proportion of the H<sub>2</sub>S present in the water may be lost through aqueous oxidation than would otherwise be the case. It is possible that H<sub>2</sub>S oxidation products could then react with organic substances present in sediments and surface waters where H<sub>2</sub>S is produced to form organic sulfide gases (Hitchcock, 1976). The latter speculation is further strengthened by the results reported by Hill *et al.* (1978), and Adams *et al.* (1978), who found that CH<sub>3</sub>SCH<sub>3</sub> was released in relatively large quantities (on the order of 0.1 mg m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) in the daytime from Spartina alterniflora sediments. Rasmussen (1974) reports that he found high concentrations of CH<sub>3</sub>SCH<sub>3</sub> in water or in the head space of cultures of decaying freshwater algae species when the use of a cotton stopper permitted some entry of O<sub>2</sub>, but only H<sub>2</sub>S when the culture was isolated from the atmosphere and the oxygen concentrations in the head space dropped (see also Lovelock *et al.*, 1972).

The authors of these reports attribute the  $\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3$  to the bacterial decomposition of organic sulfur present in decaying plant matter. However, this explanation seems unlikely on quantitative grounds. Organic sulfur in plants is present only in trace quantities (chiefly in amino acids); during decomposition very little of it is released as an organic sulfide gas. Lovelock et al. (1972) measured  $\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3$  release rates in a number of substances. These rates, corrected for organic sulfur concentrations in the substrate, imply release rates of about  $10^{-11} \text{g g}^{-1}$  of organic sulfur  $\text{h}^{-1}$  or less. This, in turn, suggests that the average  $\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3$  release from organic sulfur decomposition in Spartina sediments may be about  $0.001 \mu\text{g m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$ , assuming organic sulfur concentrations in soils are as high as  $100 \text{g m}^{-2}$  (an estimate that assumes a very large organic sulfur standing crop in bacterial tissue). The production rates are far too low to account for the observed organic sulfide releases; therefore, their source must be sought for elsewhere. The only other source is the  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  which is produced in these soils in enormous quantities by bacterial sulfate reducers.

The dependence of  $\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3$  concentration or release on  $\text{O}_2$  availability, observed by Rasmussen (1974) and Lovelock et al. (1972), point to a possible source: a reaction between an  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  oxidation product and other constituents normally present in muck soils and other habitats where bacteriogenic  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  is produced in quantity. Thus, sulfite, polythionates, or elemental sulfur may react with organic acids or hydrocarbons to yield  $\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3$  and possibly other organic sulfides in the quantities necessary to support the observed emissions.

This line of reasoning suggests that inhibition of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  evolution from surface waters or even from surface sediments could promote its aqueous oxidation. This process could conceivably result in the partial transformation of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  into organic sulfides.

## SECTION 4

### CONCLUSIONS

The foregoing discussion has demonstrated that there are great uncertainties regarding the use of flux chamber measurements to estimate the flux of biogenic gases from aquatic habitats to the atmosphere. These include the nature and magnitude of the effect of chambers on the transfer constants describing release to the atmosphere of H<sub>2</sub>S and inert gases. The extreme variability of T and pH in natural habitats of interest also makes extrapolations from chamber observations to other times extremely questionable.

Until research on mechanisms influencing liquid-phase controlled transfer of gases from water surfaces and wet sediments demonstrates otherwise, flux chamber measurements cannot be regarded as providing reliable estimates of the rate of evolution of H<sub>2</sub>S or other biogenic sulfides to the atmosphere. For the present, chambers should be regarded as useful ancillary tools to be used in the context of well-controlled studies that characterize and carefully monitor the physical and biological characteristics of the systems being examined. Furthermore, the concentrations of gases in the sediment or water under study should be monitored together with T, pH, and the very numerous other chemical properties known to influence the biological production and chemical fate of bacteriogenic sulfides.



#### REFERENCES

- Adams, D.F., S.O. Farwell, N.R. Bamesberger, and A.E. Sherrard. 1978. Measurement of Biogenic Sulfur-Containing Gas Emissions from Soils and Vegetation. Paper presented before the Air Pollution Control Association Annual Meeting, Philadelphia, PA. June.
- Almgren, T., and I. Hagström. 1974. The Oxidation Rate of Sulphide in Seawater. *Water Res.* 8:395-400.
- Aneja, V.P. 1975. Characterization of Atmospheric Sulfur Compounds. Master's Thesis. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC. 144 pp.
- Aneja, V.P., J.H. Overton, Jr., and L.T. Cupitt, 1978. Direct Measurements of Emission Rates of Some Atmospheric Biogenic Sulfur Compounds. Presented at the 176th Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Miami, Fl. September 11-14.
- Bechard, M.J. 1974. Emission of Volatile Organic Sulfides by Freshwater Algae. Master's Thesis. Washington State University, Pullman, WA. 53 pp.
- Bella, D.A. 1975. Tidal Flats in Estuarine Water Quality Analysis. EPA-660/3-75-025, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, OR. 186 pp.
- Brannon, J.M. 1973. Seasonal Variation of Nutrients and Physicochemical Properties in the Salt Marsh Soils of Barataria Bay, Louisiana. Master's Thesis. Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA. 130 pp.

- Broenkow, W.W. 1969. The Distribution of Nonconservative Solutes Related to the Decomposition of Organic Material in Anoxic Marine Basis. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 207 pp.
- Chen, K.Y. 1970. Oxidation of Aqueous Sulfide by Oxygen. Ph.D. Dissertation. Harvard University, Cambridge, MA. 170 pp.
- Cline, J.D. 1968. Kinetics of the Sulfide-Oxygen Reaction in Seawater: An Investigation at Constant Temperature and Salinity. Master's Thesis. University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 68 pp.
- Cline, J.D., and F.A. Richards. 1969. Oxygenation of Hydrogen Sulfide in Seawater at Constant Salinity, Temperature, and PH. Environ. Sci. Technol. 3:838-843.
- Deacon, E.L. 1977. Gas Transfer To and Across an Air-Water Interface. Tellus 29:363-374.
- Goldhaber, M.B., and I.R. Kaplan. 1975. Apparent Dissociation Constants of Hydrogen Sulfide in Chloride Solutions. Mar. Chem. 3:83-104.
- Hansen, M.H., K. Ingvorsen, and B.B. Jørgensen. 1978. Mechanisms of Hydrogen Sulfide Release from Coastal Marine Sediments to the Atmosphere. Limnol. Oceanog. 23:68-76.
- Hicks, R.B., and P.S. Liss. 1976. Transfer of Sulfur Dioxide and Other Reactive Gases Across the Air-Sea Interface. Tellus 28:348-353.
- Hill, F.B., V.P. Aneja, and R.M. Felder. 1978. A Technique for Measurement of Biogenic Sulfur Emission Fluxes. J. Environ. Sci. Health 3:199-225.
- Hitchcock, D.R. 1976. Chemistry of Sulfur in the Natural Atmosphere. Presented at the Workshop on the Chemistry of Atmospheric Sulfur, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PN. October 12-14.

- Liss, P.S. 1973. Processes of Gas Exchange Across an Air-Water Interface. Deep-Sea Res. 20:221-238.
- Liss, P.S. 1975. Chemistry of the Sea Surface Microlayer. In: Chemical Oceanography, Vol II., J.P. Riley and G. Skirrow, eds. Academic Press, NY. pp. 193-243.
- Liss, P.S., and P.G. Slater. 1974. Flux of Gases Across the Air-Sea Interface. Nature 247:181-184.
- Lovelock, J.E., R.J. Maggs, and R.A. Rasmussen. 1972. Atmospheric Dimethyl Sulphide and the Natural Sulphur Cycle. Nature 239:452-453.
- Östlund, H.G., and J. Alexander. 1963. Oxidation Rate of Sulfide in Sea Water, a Preliminary Study. J. Geophys. Res. 68:3995-3997.
- Rasmussen, R.A. 1974. Emission of Biogenic Hydrogen Sulfide. Tellus 26:254-260.
- Skirrow, G. 1965. The Dissolved Gases--Carbon Dioxide. In: Chemical Oceanography, Vol. I, S.P. Riley and G. Skirrow, eds. Academic Press, NY. pp. 227-322.
- Skopintsev, B.A., A.V. Karpov, and O.A. Vershinina. 1964. Study of the Dynamics of Some Sulfur Compounds in the Black Sea Under Experimental Conditions. Soviet Oceanography 4:55-72.
- Wheatland, A.B. 1954. Factors Affecting the Formation and Oxidation of Sulphides in a Polluted Estuary. J. Hyg. 52:194-210.

<b>TECHNICAL REPORT DATA</b> <i>(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)</i>		
1. REPORT NO. EPA-600/3-79-033	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A PROBLEM WITH FLUX CHAMBER MEASUREMENTS OF BIOGENIC SULFUR EMISSIONS	5. REPORT DATE April 1979	
	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE	
7. AUTHOR(S) Dian R. Hitchcock	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Hitchcock Associates Norton Lane Farmington, CT 06023	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 1AA603A AA-145 (FY-79)	
	11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO. DA-6-99-6358A	
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Environmental Sciences Research Laboratory - RTP, NC Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final 7/76 to 10/76	
	14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE EPA/600/09	
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
16. ABSTRACT  Problems associated with identifying and quantifying factors that influence liquid-phase controlled evolution of hydrogen sulfide and organic sulfides through the air-water interface are briefly reviewed. It was found that at present flux chamber measurements of the release of these biogenic substances from natural systems cannot be regarded as reliable estimates of releases occurring when the system is not enclosed by a chamber.		
17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS		
a. DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
*Air pollution      Test chambers *Hydrogen sulfide *Organic sulfides *Biological productivity *Chemical analysis *Flux density		13B 07B 07C 08A 07D 14B
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT  RELEASE TO PUBLIC	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED	21. NO. OF PAGES 26
	20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) UNCLASSIFIED	22. PRICE