

State Air Programs



U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Office of Legislation
Division of Intergovernmental Relations
Washington, D.C. 20460

PREFACE

This report has been prepared to provide a national summary on the status of State air pollution control efforts in terms of organization, programs, and operations. It is a companion to the FY 1972 Digest of State Programs for water pollution control and is being published for the first time in response to requests from governmental agencies and others interested in the activities and progress of State air pollution control programs.

The information contained herein was obtained from State Implementation Plans for achieving the National Ambient Air Quality Standards and from surveys and reports prepared by the Office of Air Programs, the former Office of Intergovernmental Programs and the regional offices of the Environmental Protection Agency. Upon completion of the report, draft copies were distributed to the regional offices and to concerned units of OAP for review and general comment. As a result, adjustments were made in the reported data and suggestions for improving the report have been incorporated.

In some instances difficulties were experienced in obtaining and presenting various kinds of information due to the scarcity of existing data for certain areas, differences in baseline reference points and changing program requirements. Adjustments have been made to insure that summaries reflect the latest available information and data for each period covered and estimates, projections or limitations have been footnoted or explained in the accompanying narrative.

Further details or additional information concerning a specific State program, can be obtained by contacting the appropriate Environmental Protection Agency Regional Office listed in Appendix F.

Your comments and suggestions concerning the format, scope and information presented in this FY 1972 Digest of State Air Programs are invited.

Nicholas M. Golubin Assistant Direct Division of Intergovernmental Relations Office of Legislation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTIO	ON	PAGE
Glos	ssary	1
Ι.	ORGÁNIZATION A. State Administrators. B. State Agency Configurations. C. State Boards & Commissions. D. Air Quality Control Regions. E. Map of Air Quality Control Regions. F. Significant EPA Actions.	12
II.	PROGRAMS	
	A. Legal Authority Needed	
	B. Funding	
	1. By State	20 24
	1. By State	
	2. By Occupational Category	
	3. Reasons for Vacancies4. State Assignees	
	D. Salaries	
	1. Range by State	
	 Range by Occupation Mean Range 	
	3. Mean Range E. Rank by Population; Staff; State Funds; Local	41
	Funds; Total Funds	42
ттт	ODEDATIONS	
III.	OPERATIONS A. Percent of Effort by Function	44
	B. Attendance at Training Courses	
	C. Training by Profession	46
	D. Major Sources of Pollution	48
	E. Air Quality Surveillance	
	2. Cost of Needed Equipment	54
	F. Status of Implementation Plans	
	G. Priority I Air Quality Control Regions 1. Number & Population by State	
	2. Percent of Population by State	
	H. Calculated Estimates of Emissions for	
	Selected Pollutants	
	I. Tax Incentives for Industries	
	K. Requests for Assistance	71
	Appendix	
Fig	ure II-Bl - Funding (History Chart)	22
Figu	ure II-B2 - Federally Supported Agencies	23

Abbreviations Used in this Publication

AQCR - Air Quality Control Region

CO - Carbon Monoxide

EPA - Environmental Protection Agency

GS - General Schedule

HC - Hydrocarbon

NO₂ - Nitrogen Dioxide

 $NO_{\mathbf{X}}$ - Nitrogen Oxide

 $0_{\rm x}$ - Oxident

Part. - Particulate

Pop. - Population

R.O. - Regional Office

SIP - State Implementation Plan

SO₂ - Sulfur Dioxide

 SO_X - Sulfur Oxide

Section I

ORGANIZATION

A single agency is designated in all 50 States, the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa, to administer Air Quality Control Programs. In addition 242 local programs are operating in 38 States. Of the 55 State agencies 13 are EPA Type; agencies combining air, water and possibly other environmental protection programs, but not exercising significant natural resource management or traditional medical health protection functions. teen are Other Type; agencies combining pollution control functions with significant natural resources management functions or those agencies specializing predominantly in the control of air pollution. Twentyfive are Health Type; agencies combining air pollution control functions and possibly other environmental protection programs, with traditional medical health protection functions.

Twenty-two State agencies operate under the aegis of or in connection with a Commission, and twenty-one with a board. Eleven utilize a council or other type of authority and four have none of the above. These Boards and/or Commissions may operate the control agency, exercise regulatory authority or serve in an advisory capacity.

In addition, States are divided into a total of 247 Federally designated air quality control regions for the purpose of carrying out the requirements of the Clean Air Act. The number of regions within each State varies from one to fourteen depending on the size of the State and the complexity of the air pollution problem. There are 128 intrastate and 119 interstate Air Quality Control Regions. A map showing the boundaries of these regions is provided in Section I.E. A list of some of the more significant EPA actions required over the next few years to meet the goals and objectives of the Clean Air Act appear in Section I.F. These actions have considerable impact on the operation and resource requirements of State agencies which are responsible for the control of air pollution in each of the various AQCR's within their jurisdiction.

I.A.

STATE AIR POLLUTION CONTROL ADMINISTRATORS

Alabama

W.T. Willis, Director Division of Air Pollution Control Department of Public Health State Office Building Montgomery, AL 36104 PHONE: 205-269-7841

Alaska

James A. Anderegg, Director
Division of Air & Water Quality Control
Department of Environmental Conservation
Pouch O
Juneau, AK 99801
PHONE: 907-586-6721

Arizona

Norman E. Schell, Director Air Pollution Control Division 4019 North 33rd Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85017 PHONE: 602-271-5306

Arkansas

Jarrell Southall, Chief Air Section, State of Arkansas Department of Pollution Control and Ecology 8001 National Drive Little Rock, AR 72209 PHONE: 501-371-1136

California

John A. Maga, Executive Officer Air Resources Board 1025 "P" Street Sacramento, CA 95814 PHONE: 916-445-1511

Colorado

Dr. Gerald P. Wood, Director Air Pollution Control Division Department of Health 4210 East 11th Avenue Denver, CO 80220 PHONE: 303-388-6111

Connecticut

Echert Beck
Director of Air Compliance
Department of Environmental Protection
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CN 06115
PHONE: 203-566-4030

Delaware

Robert R. French, Mgr. Air Resources Sec. Division of Environmental Control Department of Natural Resources and Enviornmental Control Dover, DE 19901 PHONE: 302-678-4791

Dist. of Columbia

John V. Brink, Chief Bureau of Air & Water Pollution Control Dept. of Environmental Services Washington, D.C. 20002 PHONE: 202-629-3748

Florida

Vincent D. Patton, Exec. Director Department of Pollution Control 315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 300 Tallahassee, FL 32304 PHONE: 904-224-9151

Georgia

Robert H. Collom, Jr., Director Air Quality Control Branch Environmental Protection Division Deaprtment of Natural Resources 47 Trinity Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, GA 30303 PHONE: 404-656-4867

Hawaii

Robert S. Nekomoto, Chief Air Sanitation Branch Division of Environmental Health Honolulu, HI 96801 PHONE: 808-548-6355

Idaho

Alfred J. Eiguren, Director Air Pollution Control Commission Idaho Department of Health Statehouse Boise, ID 83707 PHONE: 208-384-2390

Illinois

Dr. John J. Roberts, Manager Division of Air Pollution Control Environmental Protection Agency 2200 Churchill Road Springfield, IL 62706 PHONE: 217-525-3397

Indiana

Harry D. Williams, Director Division of Air Pollution Control State Board of Health 1330 West Michigan Street Indianapolis, IN 46206 PHONE: 317-633-4273

Iowa

Dr. C. L. Campbell, Director Air Pollution Control Division Environmental Engineering Service Department of Health Lucas State Office Building Des Moines, IW 50319 PHONE: 515-281-5345

Kansas

Melville W. Gray, Ch. Eng. & Dir. Division of Environmental Health State Department of Health State Office Building Topeka, KS 66612 PHONE: 913-296-3896

Kentucky

Frank P. Partee, Technical Dir. Air Pollution Control Commission 275 East Main Street Frankfort, KY 40601 PHONE: 502-564-3382

Louisiana

John E. Trygg, Technical Secretary Louisiana Air Control Commission State Department of Health P.O. Box 60630 New Orleans. LA 70160 PHONE: 504-527-5115

Maine

William R. Adams, Jr., Commissioner Department of Environmental Protection State House Augusta, ME 04330 PHONE: 207-289-2811

Maryland

Jean J. Schueneman, Director
Bureau of Air Quality Control
Environ. Health Admn., Dept. of Health
& Mental Hygiene,
601 N. Howard Street
Baltimore, MD 21201
PHONE: 301-383-2779

Massachusetts

Gilbert Joly, Director Bureau of Air Use Management Department of Public Health 600 Washington Street Boston, MA 02111 PHONE: 617-727-2658

Michigan

Lee E. Jager, Chief Air Pollution Control Section Department of Public Health 3500 North Logan Street Lansing, MI 48906 PHONE: 517-373-1410

Minneosta

Edward M. Wiik, Director Division of Air Quality Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 717 Delaware Street, S.E. Minneapolis, MN 55440 PHONE: 612-378-1320

Mississippi

Jack Curry, Chief
Division of Air Pollution
Air & Water Pollution Control Comm.
P.O. Box 827
Jackson, MS 39205
PHONE: 601-354-6783

Missouri

Harvey D. Shell, Actg. Exec. Secy. Air Conservation Commission P.O. Box 1062
Jefferson City, MO 65101
PHONE: 314-635-9145

Montana

Donald R. Holtz, Director Division of Air Pollution Control State Department of Health Cogswell Building Helena, MT 59601 PHONE: 406-449-3454

Nebraska

James L. Higgins, Director Department of Environmental Control P.O. Box 25653 State House Station Lincoln, NB 68509 PHONE: 402-471-2186

Nevada

Dick Serdoz, Air Quality Officer Bureau of Environmental Health Ny Building 201 S. Fall Street Carson City, NV 89701 PHONE: 702-882-7870

New Hampshire

Forrest H. Bumford, Director Air Pollution Control Agency 61 South Spring Concord, NH 03301 PHONE: 603-271-2281

New Jersey

Willaim A. Munroe, Chief Bureau of Air Pollution Control Department of Environmental Protection P.O. Box 1390 Trenton, NJ 08625 PHONE: 609-292-5450

New Mexico

Aaron Bond. Chief
Occ. Health, Rad. Health, & Air Quality
Section
Environmental Improvement Agency
PERA Building
College and West Manhattan
Santa Fe, NM 87501
PHONE: 505-827-2813

New York

Alexander Rihm, Jr., Director Air Pollution Control Program Dept. of Environmental Conservation 50 Wolf Road Albany, NY 12201 PHONE: 518-457-7231

North Carolina

W. E. Knight, Chief, Air Qual. Div. Office of Water & Air Programs Department of Natural & Eco. Resources P.O. Box 27048 Raleigh, NC 27611 PHONE: 919-829-3006

North Dakota

Gene Christianson, Director
Division of Environmental Health
and Engineering Services
Department of Health
State Capitol
Bismarck, ND 58501
PHONE: 701-224-2371

Ohio

Jack Wunderie, Eng. in Charge Air Pollution Unit - Dept. of Health 450 E. Town Street Columbus, OH 43216 PHONE: 614-469-2390

Oklahoma

Robert V. Blanche, Director Air Pollution Control Division Department of Health 3400 North Eastern Avenue Oklahoma City, OK 73105 PHONE: 405-427-6561

Oregon

H. M. Patterson, Director Air Quality Control Division Dept. of Environmental Quality 1234 S.W. Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205 PHONE: 503-229-5267

Pennsylvania

Victor H. Sussman, Director Bureau of Air Quality and Noise Control Dept. of Enviornmental Resources P.O. Box 2351 Harrisburg, PA 17120 PHONE: 717-787-6838

Puerto Rico

Lorenzo R. Inglesias, Chief Air Pollution Control Program Environmetnal Quality Board P.O. Box 11785 San Juan, PR 00910 PHONE: 809-725-5140

Rhode Island

Austin C. Daley, Chief Division of Air Pollution Control 204 Health Bldg; Davis Street Providence, RI 02903 PHONE: 401-277-2808

South Carolina

W. G. Crosby, Chief Division of Air Pollution Control S.C. Pollution Control Authority P.O. Box 11628 Columbia, SC 29201 PHONE: 803-758-2966

South Dakota

Charles E. Carl, Secy & Exec. Officer Division of Sanitary Engineering and Environmental Protection Department of Health Office Building #2 Pierre, SD 57501 PHONE: 605-224-3351

Tennessee

Harold E. Hodges, Director Division of Air Pollution Control Department of Public Health Cordell Hull Building C2-212 Nashville, TN 37219 PHONE: 615-741-3931

Texas

Charles R. Barden, Executive Secretary Texas Air Control Board 820 E. 53rd STreet Austin, TX 78751 PHONE: 512-454-3781

Utah

Grant S. Winn, Ph.D., CHief Air Quality Section State Division of Health 44 Medical Drive Salt Lake City, UT 84113 PHONE: 801-328-6121

Vermont

Richard Valentinetti Air Pollution Control Officer Agency of Environmental Conservation Montpelier, VT 05692 PHONE: 802-223-2311

Virginia

William R. Meyer, Exec. Director State Air Pollution Control Board Ninth St. State Office Bldg., Rm 1106 Richmond, VA 23219 PHONE: 703-770-2378

Virgin Islands

Donald Francois, Asst. Director Division of Environmental Health Department of Health P.O. Box 1442 St. Thomas, VI 00801 PHONE: 809-774-3411

Washington

John A. Biggs, Director Department of Ecology Olympia, WA 98504 PHONE: 206-753-2241

West Virginia

Carl G. Beard, II, Director Air Pollution Control Commission 1558 Washington Street, East Charleston, WV 25311 PHONE: 304-348-3286

Guam

O. V. Natarajan, Ph.D., Administrator Guam Air Pollution Control Commission P.O. Box 2999 Agana, GU 96910 PHONE: Overseas 746-9138


Wisconsin

Douglas W. Evans, Chief Air Pollution Control Section Bureau of Air Pollution Control and Solid Waste Disposal 4610 University Avenue Madison, WI 53705 PHONE: 608-266-0924

Wyoming

Robert E. Sudin , Director Industrial Hygiene Services Department of Health and Social Services State Office Building Cheyenne, WY 82001 PHONE: 307-777-7511

American Samoa
Lt. Gov. Frank Mockler, Chairman
Amer. Samoa Environmental
Quality Commission
Office of the Governor
Pago Pago, AS 96920
PHONE: Overseas 32131



I. C. STATE BOARDS AND/OR COMMISSIONS

STATE	BOARD	COMMISSION		TE BOARDS AND ON COMMISSIONS
Alabama	•	Χ		Air Pollution Control Commission
Alaska	X			Environmental Advisory Board
Arizona	χ			Board of Health/Air Poll. Control Hearing Board
Arkansas		X		Pollution Control Commission
California	Χ			Air Resources Board
Colorado		Х		Air Pollution Control Commission
Connecticut Delaware		V		Naton & Air Descuses Commission
D. C.		Х		Water & Air Resources Commission
Florida	v			Air & Water Pollution Control Board
Georgia	Χ	χ		Fish & Game Commission
Hawaii	χ	^		Board of Health
Idaho	^	Х		Air Pollution Control Commission
Illinois	χ	^		Pollution Control Board
Indiana	χ			Air Pollution Control Board
Iowa	^	Х		Air Pollution Control Commission
Kansas	Χ	^		Board of Health
Kentucky	^	Х		Air Pollution Control Commission
Louisiana		X		Air Control Commission
Maine		X		Environmental Improvement Commission
Maryland	Χ			Air Quality Control Advisory Board
Mass.			Χ	Public Health Council
Michigan		Χ		Air Pollution Control Commission
Minnesota				
Mississippi		Χ		Air & Water Pollution Control Commission
Missouri		Χ		Air Conservation Commission
Montana	Χ		Х	Board of Health/Air Poll. Control Advisory Council
Nebraska			χ	Environmental Control Council
Nevada Neva Hama		Х		Commission of Environmental Protection
New Hamp.		Х	v	Air Pollution Control Commission
New Jersey New Mexico	v		Χ	Clean Air Council Environmental Improvement Board
New York	X X		Χ	Environmental Board/Council of Environmental Advisors
N. Carolina	χ		^	Board of Water & Air Resources
North Dakota	^		χ	Air Pollution Control Advisory Council
Ohio	χ		^	Board of Health/Air Pollution Control Board
Oklahoma	χ̈́		χ	Board of Health/Air Pollution Council
0regon	^	Х	^	Environmental Quality Commission
Pennsylvania	Χ	.,		Environmental Quality Board
Rhode Island	X			Board of Health
S. Carolina			Χ	Pollution Control Authority
South Dakota		Χ		Air Pollution Control Commission
Tennessee	Χ			Air Pollution Control Board
Texas	Χ			Air Control Board
Utah			Χ	Air Conservation Committee
Vermont				
Virginia	Х			Air Pollution Control Board
Washington		X		Ecological Commission
West Va.		Χ		Air Pollution Control Commission
Wisconsin			X	Air Pollution Advisory Council
Wyoming		v	Х	Air Resources Council
Guam Buanta Bisa	V	Х		Air Pollution Control Commission
Puerto Rico	χ	v		Environmental Quality Board
Virgin Island	15	X		Air Pollution Advisory Commission
Amer. Samoa		Х		Environmental Quality Commission

I. D. Air Quality Control Regions

State	# Interstate	# Intrastate	Total
Alabama	3	4	7
Alaska	0	4	4
Arizona	3	1	4
Arkansas	0 3 4	3	7
California	Ó	11	11
Colorado	ĭ	7	8
Connecticut	ż	2	4
Delaware	1	ן ו	2
D. C.	i	Ó	1
		4	6
Florida	2 5		9
Georgia	5	4	
Hawaii	0]	1
Idaho	1	3	4
Nlinois	7	4	11
Indiana	5	5	10
Iowa	6	6	12
Kansas	7	6	7
Kentucky	5	4	9
Louisiana	5 3 1	0	3
Maine	1	4	5
Maryland	2	4	6
Massachusetts	3	3	6
Michigan	2	, 4	6
Minnesota	3	4	7
Mississippi	2 3 2 3 2	2	4
Missouri	2	2 3 5 2 2	5
Montana	0	F	5 5
	2	5	
Nebraska	1	2	4
Nevada			3
New Hamp.	2 3 3]	3
New Jersey	<u>ა</u>	1	4
New Mexico	3	5	8
New York	2	<u>6</u>	8
N. Carolina	1	7	8
N. Dakota	7	1	2
Ohio	6	8	14
0k1ahoma	2	6	8
Oregon	2 1 3 1 3 2 4 3 1	4	5 6
Penna.	3	3	6
Rh. Island	1	0	1
S. Carolina	3	7	10
S. Dakota	2		4
Tennessee	4	2	6
Texas	3	2 2 9 2	
Utah	ì	ž	3
Vermont	i	1	12 3 2 7
Virginia	2		7
Washington	2	5 4	6
	2 2 4		
West Va.	4 4	6	10
Wisconsin	4	4	8

State	# Interstate	# Intrastate	Total
Wyoming	0	3	3
American Samoa	0	1	1
Guam	0	1	1
Puerto Rico	0	1	1
Virgin Islands	0	1	1



IDENTIFICATION OF AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGIONS

l National Capital (I) (D.CHdVa.)	
2 New Jersey-New York- Connecticut (I)	
3 Metropolitan Chicago (IIIInd.)	(1)
4 Metropolitan Philadel phia (I) (PaN.JDel.)	! -
5 Metropolitan Denver	
6 Metropolitan Los Angeles (Calif.)	
7 Metropolitan St. Louis (I) (Mo11	1.)
8 Metropolitan Boston (M	mas.)
9 Metropolitan Cinciuna (Ohio-IndKy.)	ti(I)
<pre>10 San Francisco Bay Are (Calif.)</pre>	•
11 Metropolitan Clevelan (Ohio)	đ
12 Southwest Pennsylvani	
13 Miagara Frontier (N.Y	.)
14 Metropolitan Kansas City (MoKan.) (I)	
15 Metropolitan Detroit- Port Huron (Mich.)	
16 Metropolitan Baltimore	(.bd.)
17 Hartford-New Haven-Spr field (I) (MassConn.	
18 Metropolitan Indianapo (Ind.)	olis
19 Minneapolis-St. Paul (tion.)
20 Southeastern Wisconsin	1
21 Metropolitan Providence (R.IMass.)	e (1)
22 Puget Sound (Wash.)	

23 Louisville (I) (Ky.-Ind.)

24 Metropolitan Dayton (Obio)

25 Phoenix-Tucson (Ariz.)

26 Metropolitan Houston-

27 Metropolitan Dallas-Fort

28 Metropolitan San Antonio

Galveston (Tex.)

Worth (Tex.)

(Tex.)

- 29 Metropolitan Birmingham (Ala D
- 30 Metropolitan Toledo (I) (Ohio-Mich.)
- 31 Steubenville-Weirton-Wheeling (I) (Ohio-W.Va.)
- 32 :Chattlanooga (I) (Tenn.-Ga.)
- 33 Metropolitan Atlanta (Ga.)
- 34 Metropolitan Memphis (I) (Ark.-Miss.-Tenn.)
- 35 Portland (I) (Ore.-Wash.)
- 36 Wasstch Front (Utah)
- 37 Southern Louisians-Southeast Texas (I)
- 38 Southeast Florida
- 39 Central Oklahoma
- 40 Omaha-Council Bluffs (I) (Neb.-lowa)
- 42 Charlotte (I) (N.C.-5.C.)
- 43 Metropolitan Portland (Me.)
- 44 Albuquerque-Mid Rio Grande (N.M.)
- 45 Merriwack Valley-Southern New Hampshire (N.H.-Mass.)
- 46 El Paso-Las Cruces-Alamogordo (I) (Tex.-N.M.)
- 47 Clark-Mojave(I)(Nev.-Ariz.)
- 48 Metropolitan Fargo-Moorhead (N.D.-Him.) (I)
- 49 Metropolitan Boise (Idaho)
 - 50 Metropolitan Billings (Mont.)

 - 51 Metropolitan Sioux Falls (I) (S.D.-lowa)
 - 52 Metropolitan Cheyenne (Wyo.)
 - 54 Champlain Valley(I)(Vt.-N.Y.)
 - 57 Northeast Pennsylvania-Upper Delaware Valley (I)

(Pa.N.J.)

- 58 Eastern Tennessee-Southwes-
- tern Virginia(1)(Tenn.-Va.)
- 59 Columbus-Phenix City (I) (Ga.-Ala.)
- 60 Cumberland-Keyser (I) (Md.-W.Va.)
- 61 Duluth-Superior (1) (Minn.-Wisc.)

- 62 Evensville-Ovensboro (I) (Ind . - Ky .)
- 63 Northeast Mississippi
- 64 Fort Smith (I) (Ark.-Okla.)
- 65 Huntington-Ashland-Portsmouth-Ironton (I) (W.Va.-Ky.-Ohio)
- 66 Southeast Minnesota-La Crosse (I) (Minn.-Wisc.)
- 67 Lake Michigan (Wisc.)
- 68 Mobile-Pensacola-Panama City-Southern Mississippi (Ala.-Miss.-Fla.) (I)
- 69 Paducah-Cairo (I) (Ky.-Ill.)
- 70 Parkersburg-Marietta (I) (W.Va.-Ohio)
- 71 Rockford-Jamesville-Beloit (Ill.-Wisc.) (I)
- 72 Tennessee River Valley-Cumberland Mountains (I) (Ale. -Tenn.)
- 73 South Bend-Elkhart-Benton Rarbor (I) (Ind.-Mich.)
- 74 Northwest Pennsylvania-Youngstown (I) (Pa.-Ohio)
- 75 Metropolitan Sioux City (Iowa-Neb.-S.D.) (I)
- 76 Androscossin Valley (I) (N.H.-Maine)
- 77 Jacksonville-Brunswick (Fla.-Ga.)
- 78 Monroe-El Dorado (I) (La,-Ark.)
- 79 Hampton Roads (Va.)
- 80 Shreveport-Texarkans-Tyler (La.-Okla.-Tex.-Ark.)(I)
- \$1 Burlington-Keckuk (I) (Ill.-Iowa)
- 82 Arizona-New Mexico-Southern Border (Ariz.-N.M.) (I)
- 83 Eastern Washington-Northern
- Idaho (1) (Wash.-Idaho) 84 Metropolitan Dubuque (I)
- (lowa-111.-Wisc.) 85 Quad Cities (I) (Ill.-Ioua)
- 86 Savannah-Beauford (I) (S.C.-Ga.)
- 87 Augusta-Aiken (I)(Gs.-S.C.)

- 88 Northeast Oklahoma
- 89 Four Corners (I) (Ariz.-Colo.-M.M.-Utah)
- 90 Northwest Mevada
- 91 Central Florida
- 92 West Control Florida
- 93 Southwest Florida
- 94 Central Pennsylvania 95 South Central Pennsylvania
- 96 Greenville-Spartanb-rg(S.C)
- 97 Greenwood (S.C.)
- 98 Columbia (S.C.)
- 99 Florence (S.C.)
- 100 Canden-Sunter (S.C.)
- 101 Georgetown (S.C.)
- 102 Charleston (S.C.)
- 103 Northern Missouri
- 104 Southeast Missouri
- 105 Southwest Missouri
- 106 Western Tennessee
- 107 Middle Tennessee 108 Mississippi Delta
- 109 Southeastern Oklahoma
- 110 North Central Oklahoma
- 111 Southwestern Oklahoma
- 112 Northwestern Oklahoma
- 113 Central New York
- 114 Genesee-Finger Lakes (N.Y.)
- 115 Hudson Walley (N.Y.)
- 116 Southern Tier East (N.Y.)

- 122 Corpus Christi-Victoria (Tex)
- 123 Midland-Odessa-San Angelo (Tex.)
- 124 Central Arkaness
- 126 Northwest Arkansas

- 128 Central Massachusetts
- 129 Central Virginia
- 131 State Capital (Va.)
- 132 Valley of Virginia
- 133 Eastern Mountain (N.C.)
- 134 Eastern Piedmont (N.C.)
- 135 Northern Coastal Plain(N.C)
- 137 Sandhills (N.C.)

- 140 Eastern Shore (MI.)
- 141 Central Maryland
- 142 Southern Maryland
- 143 North Central Wisconsin
 - 144 Southern Wisconsin
- 145 Great Basin Velley (Calif.)
- - 149 Sacramento Valley (Calif.)

- 152 South Central Coast (Calif.)

- 155 Helens (Montans)
- 117 Southern Tier West (N.Y.)
- 118 Abilene-Wichita Falls(Tex.)
- 119 Amerillo-Lubbock (Tex.)
- 120 Austin-Waco (Tex.)
- 121 Brownswille-Laredo (Tex.)

- 125 Northeast Arkansas

- 127 Berkshire (Mass.)

- 130 Northeastern Virginia

- 136 Northern Piedmont (N.C.)
- 138 Southern Coastal Plain(N.C)
- 139 Western Mountain (N.C.)

- 146 North Central Coast (Calif.)
- 147 North Coast (Calif.)
- 148 Northeast Plateau (Calif.)
- 150 San Diego (Calif.)
- 151 San Josquin Valley(Calif.)
- 153 Southeast Desert (Calif.)
- 154 Great Falls (Montana)
- 156 Hiles City (Montana)
- 157 Missoula (Montana)
- 158 Comenche (Colo.)
- 159 Grand Mesa (Colo.)
- 160 Pawnee (Colo.)
- 161 San Isabel (Colo.)
- 162 San Luis (Colo.)
- 163 Yampa (Colo.)
- 164 Southern Delaware 165 Aroostook (Maine)
- 166 Down East (Maine)

- 167 Northwest Maine
- 168 Restern Connecticut
- 169 Northwestern Connecticut
- 170 Northern Washington
- 171 Olympic-Northwest
- Washington
- 172 South Central Washington
- 473 Eastern Idaho
- 174 Appalachian (Ky.)
- 175 Bluegrass (Ky.)
- 176 North Central Kentucky
- 177 South Central Kentucky
- 178 Central Michigan
- 179 South Central Michigan 180 Upper Michigan
- 181 East Alabama
- 182 Alabama and Tombigbee Rivers 183 Southeast Alabama
- 184 Metropolitan Columbus (Ohio)
- 185 Mansfield-Marion (Ohio)
- 186 Northwest Ohio
- 187 Sandusky (Ohio) 188 Wilmington-Chillicothe-Logan (Ohio)
- 169 Zanesville-Cambridge (Ohio)
- 190 North Central Illinois
- 191 East Central Illinois
- 192 West Central Illinois 193 Southeast Illinois
- 194 Casper (Wyoming)
- 195 Rapid City (S.D.) 196 East Central Indiana
- .197 Northeast Indiana
- 198 southern Indiana
- 199 Wabash Valley (Ind.)
- 200 Central Oregon 201 Eastern Oregon
- 202 Southwest Oregon
- 203 Northwest Oregon 104 Northeast Town

- 205 North Central Iowa
- 206 Northwest Iowa
- 207 Southwest Town
- 208 South Central Iowa
- 209 Southeast Iowa
- 210 Lincoln-Restrices Fairbury (Neb.)
- 211 North Central Kansas 212 Northeast Kansas
- 213 Northwest Kansas
- 214 South Central Kansas
- 215 Southeast Kansas
- 216 Southwest Kansas 217 Allegheny (W.Va.)
- 218 Central West Virginia
- 219 Eastern Panhandle (W.Va.)
- 220 Kanawha Valley (W.Va.) 221 North Central West Virginia
- 222 Southern West Virginia
- 223 Central Georgia 224 Northeast Georgia 225 Southwest Georgia
- 226 Upper Rio Crande Valley
- 227 Northeastern Plains (N.M.)
- 228 Southwestern Mountains Augustine Plains (N.M.)
- 229 Pecos-Permian Basin (N.M.)
- 230 Central Minnesota
- 231 Northwest Minnesota
- 232 Southwest Minnesota 236 Idaho
- 237 Nebraska
- 238 Nevada 239 Central New Hampshire
- 240 Jersey 241 North Dakots
- 242 South Dakota
- 243 III ah
- 245 Wyoming
- 244 Vermont

SECTION 1. F. SIGNIFICANT EPA ACTIONS AFFECTING STATE PROGRAMS

- Designation of 247 Air Quality Control Regions covering all geographic areas of the U.S.
- Promulgation of national primary and secondary standards based on published criteria and which are necessary to protect the public health and welfare
- Promulgation of regulations for sumbission of State implementation plans for achieving and maintaining national standards

- -- States have the primary responsibility for assuring achievement and maintenance of national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards within each region of their State.
- -- Standards for particulate matter, sulfur oxide, carbon monoxide, photochemical oxidents, nitrogen oxide and hydrocarbons have been issued.
- -- States must hold public hearings, adopt and submit plans within nine months after promulgation of a national standard.
- -- Plans must provide for: emission limitations, compliance schedules and other control measures needed to attain standards including but not limited to land-use and transportation controls; monitoring and analyzing of ambient air quality; procedures for pre-construction review of new stationary sources subject to a performance standard (see below); intergovernmental cooperation; monitoring and reporting of emissions by owners or operators of stationary sources; an emergency episode plan; assurances of adequate resources to carry out the SIP; and, to the extent necessary, a periodic motor vehicle emission inspection and testing program.
- -- Once the Administrator of EPA approves a plan, or a portion of a plan, States have three years to achieve primary standards and must have set a reasonable specified time period in which to achieve secondary standards.
- -- States must implement such regulations (substitute plans).
- -- Publish list of stationary source categories to be subject to national emission standards. Sources for which standards will be applicable presently include: sulfuric acid plants; steam electric power plants; municipal type refuse incinerators; nitric acid plants; and Portland cement plants.
- -- This does not include pollutants covered by a criteria document or which appear on the hazardous substances list.
- -- Pollutants to be subject to such standards presently include: asbestos, beryllium and mercury.
- -- The Administrator shall, when requested by a State, delegate authority for implementing and enforcing applicable standards, provided the State has developed adequate procedures to insure their attainment. Also applicable in the case of new source Standards of Performance)

14

- Promulgation of regulations for those plans or portions of plans which are not approved
- Promulation of Standards of Performance (emission) for new or modified stationary sources
- Promulgation of regulations by which States will establish performance (emission) standards for existing stationary sources for pollutants covered by the categories listed for new source performance standards
- Promulgation of hazardous pollutant emission standards for new and existing stationary sources

SECTION II

PROGRAMS

II. A.

The tabulation on the following page lists the status of the States authority for carrying out its Air Implementation Plan under the requirements of Title 40, Chapter 1, Part 51, Subpart B, Paragraph 51.11(A), Code of Federal Regulations.

Changes in State authorities will be occurring continuously as legislators enact new laws pertaining to control of air pollution and to meet the requirements of the Federal statues.

The information contained herein is the status of such authority as of June 5, 1972.

II.A. Legal Authority Needed to Carry Out State Implementation Plans - 6/5/72

STATE	ADEQUATE	LESS THAN ADEQUATE FEDERAL DELEGATION AVAILABLE FED. DELE. NOT AVAILABLE			
Nabama		FEDERAL DELEGATION AVAILABLE FED. DELE. NOT AVAILABLE			
laska	X	5(a)			
rizona	**	J(a)			
Arkansas	X	-			
California	X		2		
Colorado	-	5(a)			
Connecticut	X	5(Ъ)*			
Delaware	^	5(a)			
O. C.		5(a)			
Florida	X	J(a)			
Georgia	X				
Hawaii		4 (d)	2		
Idaho		5(a)*			
Illinois	X	<u> </u>			
Indiana	X				
Iowa		5(a) (new law will	correct deficiency)		
Kansas		5(a) (New 14w W111	3,		
Kentucky		5(a)*			
Louisiana	X				
Maine	X				
Maryland			3		
Massachusetts	X		ļ — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		
Michigan	X				
Minnesota	 X		 		
Mississippi	X				
Missouri	 	5(a & b)			
Montana	X	3(0.0.2)			
Nebraska		5(a)			
Nevada	-		2		
New Hampshire	X				
New Jersey		3			
New Mexico	X				
New York	X		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
N. Carolina	X				
N. Dakota	X				
Ohio	X				
Oklahoma	X				
Oregon	X				
Pennsylvania	X				
Rh. Island		4(a), 5			
S. Carolina	 	4* , 5*			
S. Dakota		5 (a)*			
Tennessee			1, 2		
Texas	X				
Utah		5(a).			
Vermont		5(a)			
Virginia	T X				
Washington		5(a)**			
West Virginia	X				
Wisconsin	 	5(a)			
Wyoming		4(c), 5	3		
Guam	X				
Puerto Rico	X				
Vir. Islands	X				
Amer. Samoa	X				

KEY Legal Authority

- 1. State Agency precluded from controlling cotton gins and saw mills.
- 2. State does not have emergency episode authority comparable to Section 303 of the Clean Air Act.
- 3. State may not control construction, modification or operation of certain sources.
- 4. a. State cannot require recordkeeping.
 - b. State cannot require recordkeeping of existing sources.
 - c. State cannot make inspections and conduct tests.
 - d. State cannot require reporting and recordkeeping.
- 5. a. Emission data not available to public.
 - b. State cannot require installation or use of monitoring devices.

II. B. FUNDING

The tabulation on the following page shows the budgeted funds by State and local agencies and by the Federal Government to support air pollution control programs for Fiscal Year 1972.


Figure II.B.1 is a history chart reflecting the growth of State, local and Federal expenditures starting with 1965, the first year in which Federal grant funds were made available, to the present.


Figure II.B.2 indicates the growth in the number of State and local agencies receiving Federal funds for the period of 1965-1972.

II. B. 1. STATE F.Y. 1972 BUDGETED FUNDING

STATE	STATE FUNDS	LOCAL FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS	TOTAL FUNDS
Alabama	\$239,100	\$86,449	\$527,324	\$852,873
Alaska	28,889	51,470	120,334	200,693
Arizona	236,824	206,832	415,762	859,418
Arkansas	136,000		261,000	397,000
California	6,399,601	8,459,665	3,521,972	18,381,238
Colorado	378,651	232,760	610,680	1,222,091
Connecticut	494,500	326,430	1,355,796	2,176,726
Delaware	173,931		234,314	408,245
D. C.	117,068		234,134	351,202
Florida	572,392	421,171	1,097,337	2,090,900
Georgia	291,221	97,578	564,609	953,408
Hawaii	193,989		96,445	290,434
Idaho	61,000		60,000	121,000
Illinois	1,012,631	3,368,858	2,278,292	6,659,781
Indiana	265,000	662,873	748,054	1,675,927
Iowa	212,130	105,771	453,233	771,134
Kansas	110,027	82,947	384,841	577,815
Kentucky	335,902	202,062	602,510	1,140,474
Louisiana	225,535		350,021	575 , 556
Maine	92,750		192,000	284,750
Maryland	430,657	558,642	1,425,688	2,414,987
Mass.	223,212	377,723	1,312,921	1,913,856
Michigan	348,273	1,007,007	1,841,629	3,196,909
Minnesota	472,357	166,093	589,005	1,227,455
Mississippi	64,000		120,000	184,000
Missouri	143,312	686,265	1,021,214	1,850,791
Montana	103,834	34,934	239,007	377,775
Nebraska	25,824	119,788	231,929	377,541
Nevada	15,163	131,634	191,827	338,624
New Hamp.	78,363	'	185,409	263,772
New Jersey	1,212,602	141,547	2,256,850	3,610,999
New Mexico	155,058	62,905	476,898	694,861
New York	1,767,000	7,133,450	3,967,790	12,868,240
N. Carolina	276,850	274,413	816,574	1,367,837
North Dakota	19,660		45,000	64,660
Ohio	290,250	1,564,916	1,850,107	3,705,273
Oklahoma	115,007	172,057	425,900	712,964
Oregon	385,785	317,855	570,784	1,274,424
Penna.	1,588,082	1,537,712	3,332,500	6,458,294
Rh. Island	89,156		133,899	223,055
S. Carolina	332,125	85,022	385,773	802,920
South Dakota	7,000	 ′	21,000	28,000

STATE	STATE FUNDS	LOCAL FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS	TOTAL FUNDS
Tennessee	\$334,830	\$263,339	\$973,179	\$1,571,348
Texas	1,159,719	663,094	3,254,021	5,076,834
Utah	135,252		176,778	312,030
Vermont	97,228		154,426	251,654
Virginia	247,899	180,333	632,197	1,060,429
Washington	818,345	593,031	1,103,199	2,514,575
West Virginia	396,250	14,322	387,308	797,880
Wisconsin	291,000	426,911	754,480	1,472,391
Wyoming	34,722		68,016	102,738
Guam	16,857		33,714	50,571
Puerto Rico	234,047		464,417	698,464
Vir. Islands	41,168		100,043	141,211
Amer. Samoa	8,000		 ´	8,000
TOTAL	\$23,536,028	\$30,817,859	\$43,652,140	\$98,006,027





II. C. STAFFING

The following tables provide information as to the general overall staffing situation of control agencies with regard to:

- II.C.1. Man-year levels in FY 1972 being devoted to the four major functional areas of pollution control activities (as defined in Appendix C), based mostly on data submitted in State implementation plans. For comparison purposes, the FY 1975 OAP manpower model estimates These estimates are not to be are provided. taken as representing the levels that each State will actually need to reach in order to carry out successful control programs. The model was developed to calculate the long-range staffing needs of all State and local agencies predicated upon a data base utilizing factors such as population, area of coverage, manufacturing establishments, capital investments in manufacturing and minimum air monitoring requirements called for by Federal regulations. It represents an attempt to predict the nationwide manpower requirement for planning purposes and, as with any estimating technique, is subject to individual exceptions. variations and future modification based on actual experience among the States.
- II.C.2. Major fields of study for persons employed in the various occupational categories common to air pollution control agencies. General descriptions of the duties of each category are contained in Appendix D.
- II.C.3. The principal reasons for existing staff vacancies as of April 1971, based upon responses received from State and local control agencies.

II C.1. Staffing by State

Current Man-year level by function as compared to OAP manpower model estimate of need by 1975

		Technical	of need by 197	<u> </u>		
State	FY	Services	Enforcement	Engineering	Management	Total
Alabama	1972	18.0	6.0	19.0	12.1	55.1
	1975	38.6	51.9	39.5	41.7	171.7
Alaska	1972	1.5	1.5	2.5	2.5	8.0
	1975	4.2	2.8	4.6	5.9	17.5
Arizona	1972	16.3	13.6	10.4	13.7	54.0
	1975	22.0	20.5	14.1	18.1	74.7
Arkansas	1972	4.0	1.8	6.6	4.1	16.5
	1975	18.4	30.0	18.8	21.6	88.8
California	1972	157.0	283.0	209.0	200.0	849.0
	19 7 5	166.4	327 _. 5	213.8	226.7	934.4
Colorado	1972	23.7	22.5	8.3	23.4	77•9
	1975	21.3	28.4	15.6	20.9	86•2
Conn.	1972	26.1	16.9	9.9	20.8	73.7
	1975	41.6	56.1	51.2	47.7	196.6
Delaware	1972	7.2	5.9	3·3	4.6.	21.0
	1975	7.9	6.5	10·4	8.0	32.8
D. C.	1972	6.5	6.0	4.0	7.5	24.0
	1975	8.9	8.0	3.6	6.5	27.0
Florida	1972 1975	51.7	89.8	45.6	59.9	146.0 247.0
Georgia	1972	17.6	24.3	17.6	11.5	71.0
	1975	55.7	71.8	54.4	58.3	240.2
Hawaii	1972	4.0	3.7	2.8	3.5	14.0
	1975	3.9	8.7	4.1	5.4	22.1
Idaho	1972	4.0	1.4	1.5	5.1	12.0
	1975	12.2	11.7	7.0	9.9	40.8
Illinois	1972	52.2	92.5	56.2	111.4	312.3
	1975	117.2	186.0	168.2	151.1	622.5
Indiana	1972	14.7	35•9	15.5	28.3	94.4
	197 5	63.1	74•1	69.1	66.1	272.4
Iowa	1972	9.8	8.2	10.5	10.5	39.0
	1975	29.5	38.2	27.8	30.6	126.1

II C.1. Staffing by State (cont'd)

Current Man-year level by function as compared to OAP manpower model estimate

	of need by 1975						
State	FY	Technical Services	Enforcement	Engineering	Management	Total	
Kansas	1972	7.9	6.9	8.5	8.7	32.0	
	1975	23.3	3.3	20.1	23.9	98.6	
Kentucky	1972	23.0	21.8	18.0	13.0	75.8	
	1975	32.9	38.3	35.7	34.3	141.2	
Louisiana	1972	15.7	10.0	10.6	9.8	46.1	
	1975	20.5	31.0	65.5	37:5	154.5	
Maine	1972	3.8	2.5	2.4	3.4	12.1	
	1 975	16.0	22.3	13.7	16.7	68.7	
Maryland	1972 1975	39.4	43.9	30.7	36.5	191.0 150.5	
Mass.	1972	21.3	41.3	20.5	38.0	121.1	
	1975	77.8	105.9	72.5	82.1	338.3	
Michigan	1972	41.0	47.2	26.2	47.6	162.0	
	1975	82.2	145.3	152.6	121.9	502.0	
Minnesota	1972 1975	37.9	57.1	34•0	41.4	49.0 170.4	
Mi s sissippi	1972	3.4	3.0	2.0	4·3	12.6	
	1975	21.0	30.4	28.7	25·7	105.8	
Missouri	1972	25 . կ	24.2	18.3	25.4	93•3	
	1975	կկ.6	69.5	44.0	50.7	208•8	
Montana	1972 1975	9.0 9.8	11.0	3•5 5•3	6.0 8.0	29.5 33.0	
Nebraska	1972	3.3	3.9	3.0	3.8	14.0	
	1975	12.8	19.2	10.8	13.7	56.5	
Nevada	1972	7.1	7.6	3.2	8.9	26.8	
	1975	8.5	4.8	2.7	5.1	21.1	
New Hamp.	1972	4.0	3.6	3.0	7.0	17.6	
	1975	11.6	14.1	10.5	11.6	47.8	
New Jersey	1972 1975	191.6	353.2	219.2	237.3	208.0	
New Mexico	1972	12.3	9.7	8.5	13.0	43.5	
	1975	12.4	10.4	3.9	8.6	35.3	
New York	1972 1975	213.6	392.8	230.7	268.2	638.0 1105.3	

II C. 1. Staffing by State (cont'd)

Current Man-year level by function as compared to OAP manpower model estimate of need by 1975

			of need by 197	5		
State	FY	Tec h nical Services	Enforcement	Engineering	Management	Total
North	1972	35.6	28.0	17.6	28.1	109.3
Carolina	1975	51.1	83.2	74.8	67.0	276.1
North	1972	1.9	1.2	0.4	1.7	5.2
Dakota	1975	3.9	6.3		3.9	16.1
Ohio	1972	48.0	62.0	32.0	59.0	201.0
	1975	120.5	161.8	185.3	150.0	617.6
Oklahoma	1972	22.1	20.3	5.4	13.9	61.7
	1975	20.6	31.3	15.0	21.4	88.3
Oregon	1972	21.9	16.6	15.9	22.1	76.5
	1975	27.2	41.6	31.4	32.1	132.3
Penna.	1972	77.0	123.4	44.4	86.0	330.8
	1975	125.6	191.2	177.1	158.3	652.2
Rhode	1972	5.0	6.0	1.5	3.5	16.0
Island	1975	17.1	23.7	14.4	17.7	72.9
South	1972	14.2	24.3	13.3	13.3	65.1
Carolina	1975	32.0	41.3	41.3	36.8	151.4
South	1972	1.0	1.0	1.5	3.6	7.1
Dakota	1 975		6.7	2.2	4.2	17.3
Tennessee	1972 1 975	42.8	54.9	47.1	46.4	102.0 191.2
Texas	1972	77.1	205.3	74.7	37.4	394.5
	1975	90.1	145.9	131.7	117.9	485.6
Utah	1972	9.2	2.0	2.0	5.0	18.2
	1975	13.8	13.3	8.8	11.5	47.4
Vermont	1972	2.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	12.0
	1975	6.9	8.7	5.7	6.8	28.1
Virginia	1972	18.8	26.0	12.0	30.0	86.0
	1975	51.1	57.8	42.8	48.6	200.3
Washington	1972	28.5	33.0	21.5	33.0	116.0
	1975	39.3	52.3	48.8	45.0	185.4
West Va.	1972	19.4	12.2	10.2	11.2	53.0
	1975	20.3	21.4	26.4	21.8	89.9

II. C. 1. Staffing by State (cont'd)

Current Man-year level by function as compared to OAP manpower model estimate

State	FY	Technical Services	Enforcement	Engineering	Management	Total
Wisconsin	1972 1975	18.8 47.4	27 <u>-</u> 5 77 • 2	13.4 61.8	13.4 59.8	73.1 246.2
Wyoming	1972 1975	1.0 3.8	1.5 4.0	1.5 1.8	2.2 3.1	6.2 12.7
Puerto Rico	1972 1975	10.2 11.4	5.0 30.3	2.5 13.6	10.0 17.7	32.7 73.0
Guam	1972	1.0	.1	•7	1.2	3.0
American Samoa	1972	• 4	•2	•4	1.0	2.0
Virgin Islands	1972	2.4	.6	1.0	2.0	6.0
		TOTAL FY 7	2 5,	417.7		

TOTAL FY 72 5,417.7
TOTAL FY 75 11,023.9

II.C.2. Staffing by Occupational Category

MAJOR FIELDS		to the state of th			0	CCUP	ATLONA	, ÇA	TEGORY											T
OF STUDY	Director	Supervisor	Engi	necr II		mist II	Metro]	ogist II	Speci I	alist II	Te	chni IT	cian llI	I u	spc AI	ctor	А: [].	ide III	Adainis. Clerical	T A
			-								-						ļ		Other	
Agriculture	5	6	2	1,	2	8	-	_	12	4	1	2	1	2	8	2	-	-	2	58
Biol., Phys. Science	68	80	27	53	117	182	9	13	81	91	16	23	14	15	45	37	4	2	. 33	910
Social Science	4	4	-	-	1	2	1	1	3	4	2	1	3	3	13	3	-	1	18	64
Business, Commerce	8	15	5	7	_	1	_	1	6	2	1	2	-	4	19	4	-	_	18	93
Mathematics	1	6	3	2	2	3	1	1	5	-	2	3	1	2	3	1	-	-	6	42
Liberal Arts, Humanities	2	4	-	2	_	1	~	1	3	9	1	4	-	4	4	3	-		16	54
Education	4	7	_	4	2	2	-	5	5	5	1	2	-	4	14	3	1	1	4	64
Health Professions	40	33	_	6	3	3	_	-	_	9	-	1	5	1	1	2	-	-	10	114
Engineering	146	185	317	403	6	14	1	6	25	15	12	9	8	3	20	12	-	-	22	1204
Other*	51	45	15	39	13	17	1	9	15	29	12	32	11	9	30	34	-	1	70	433
TOTAL	329	385	369	517	146	233	13	37	155	168	48	79	43	471	.57	101	5	5	199	3036

^{*}Includes: Law, General Science, Medicine and Degrees with no field specified

April 1971

II.C.3.
Reasons for Vacancies

75 40	101 58	176	35.8
	58		
10		9 8	19.9
10	53	63	12.8
31	17	48	9.7
11	24	35	7.1
14	12	26	5.3
13	0	13	2.6
5	0	5	1.0
7	21	28	5.7
206	286	492	100.0
	11 14 13 5 7	11 24 14 12 13 0 5 0 7 21	11 24 35 14 12 26 13 0 13 5 0 5 7 21 28

II. C. 4 STATE ASSIGNEES

The EPA State Assignee Program, initiated in July 1971, provides for the hiring of a limited number of professional and technical persons by EPA and assigning them to State and local air pollution control agencies. This Program was developed in accordance with Sections 105(d) and 301(b) of the Clean Air Act. An essential ingredient of this program is the distinctly limited, short-term nature of the assignment. The period of detail is not to exceed two years with the objective being to encourage control agencies to hire assignees during or upon conclusion of the two year period of employment.

Control agencies are sometimes at a disadvantage in hiring professionals immediately upon their graduation (or technicians with experience) due to hiring freezes, ceiling limitations, or merit system regulations such as, residency requirements, etc. Federal regulations and pay scales often permit greater flexibility with respect to an agency's ability to compete for and hire persons in scarcity categories as well as those who possess skill presently not provided for through State or local personnel systems.

During their assignment period, assignees will receive training and on-the-job experience that should enhance their value to the control agency with the result that at the end of two years, the agency will want to make every possible effort to hire them rather than recruit new inexperienced personnel.

The following table reflects the number of State assignees presently on board and pending assignment as of May 31, 1972. A summary of the various occupation categories and the number of persons employed in each is also provided. The majority of persons hired through this program start at Federal entrance level salaries for the professional services. This is evidenced by the following distribution of filled positions at each grade level:

GRADE	NUMBER	GRADE	NUMBER
GS-4	4	GS-7	58
GS-5	50	GS-9	14
GS-6	3	GS-11	4

II.C.4.

STATUS OF STATE ASSIGNEE PROGRAM
As of May 31, 1972

<u>State</u>	Job Category	On Board	Pending
Alabama	Civil Engineer Mechanical Engineer Chemist General Engineer	2 1 1 0	0 0 0 1
Alaska	General Engineer Mechanical Engineer	1	1
Arizona	••	-	-
Arkansas	Civil Engineer	1	0
California	Chemical Engineer	1	0
Colorado	General Engineer Civil Engineer P hysicist	1 1 0	0 0 1
Connecticut	Mechanical Engineer	1	0
Delaware	Chemical Engineer	2	2
D. C.	Mechanical Engineer	1	О
Florida	Mechanical Engineer Electronic Technician General Engineer	1 0 0	0 2 1 ₄
Georgia	General Engineer Mechanical Engineer	1 1	0 0
Hawaii	Electrical Engineer Mechanical Engineer	1 2	1 0
Idaho	Chemist Mechanical Engineer	1	0 0
Illinois	Chemical Engineer Mechanical Engineer	7 1	1 1
Indiana	Mechanical Engineer Chemical Engineer Sanitary Engineer	2 3 1	0 2 1
Iowa	0	0	0
Kansas	Mechanical Engineer Chemical Engineer	3 1	0 0

STATUS OF STATE ASSIGNEE PROGRAM (cont'd.) As of May 31, 1972

~			
State	Job Category	On Board	Pending
Kentucky	Civil Engineer	1	0
	Mechanical Engineer	1	Ö
	5		
Louisiana	Mechanical Engineer	2	0
Maine	Chemist	2	0
Marile	Civil Engineer	0	1
	Engineering Technician	Ö	1
	Mechanical Engineer	ĺ	_
	Howard Highloop	_	
Maryland	Chemical Engineer	2	0
	Mechanical Engineer	1	0
	General Engineer	1	0
Massachusetts	Mechanical Engineer	2	0
	Chemist	0	1
Michigan	Chemical Engineer	1	0
Michigan	Chemical Engineer	Τ	O
Minnesota	Civil Engineer	1	0
11212100000	Industrial Engineer	1	0
Mississippi	Chemical Engineer	1	0
	General Engineer	0	1
	Mechanical Engineer	l	0
Missouri	Mechanical Engineer	2	0
MISSOULI	Engineering Technician	ī	Ö
	22.0-2002		
Montana	Chemist	2	0
	Engineering Technician	1	1
		-	0
Nebraska	Electrical Engineer	1	0
	Engineering Technician	0	1
	Chemical Engineer	0	1
Nevada	Mechanical Engineer	1	1
140 4 4 4 4 4	Chemical Engineer	ī	0
	General Engineer	0	1
	J		
New Hampshire	Meteorologist	1	0
	G	7	0
New Jersey	Computer Programmer	1 1	0
	Meteorologist	Τ	U
New Mexico	•	0	0
74 O 4A 1 TO 32777 O O		-	-
New York	Sanitary Engineer	1	0
	Meteorologist	2	0
	Chemist	1	0

II.C.4. STATUS OF STATE ASSIGNEE PROGRAM (cont'd) As of May 31, 1972

State	Job Category	On Board	Pending
North Carolina	Mechanical Engineer Chemical Engineer Materials Engineer	1 0 1	3 4 0
North Dakota	Civil Engineer	1	0
Ohio	Chemical Engineer Engineering Technician General Engineer Mathematician Mechanical Engineer Meteorologist	2 0 1 0 2 0	1 2 0 1 0
Oklahoma	Physical Science Tech. Engineering Technician	2 2	O O
Oregon	Physicist Mechanical Engineer Civil Engineer	1 2 1	0 0 0
Pennsylvania	Chemical Engineer General Engineer Electrical Engineer Mechanical Engineer Engineering Technician Industrial Engineer Physical Science Tech.	կ 2 3 4 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 1
Rhode Island	Chemist Mechanical Engineer	1	0 0
South Carolina	Mechanical Engineer Chemical Engineer	2 1	0 0
South Dakota	Civil Engineer Chemist Physical Science Tech. Physical Scientist	1 1 2 0	0 0 0
Tennessee	General Engineer	1	0
Texas	Chemical Engineer	1	0
Utah	Mechanical Engineer Chemical Engineer	1	O O
Vermont	Mechanical Engineer	1	0

II.C.4. STATUS OF STATE ASSIGNEE PROGRAM (cont'd)

State	Job Category	On Board	Pending
Virginia	Mechanical Engineer Chemical Engineer	1	0 4
Washington	Mechanical Engineer Chemist Chemical Engineer Civil Engineer	2 2 1 1	0000
West Virginia	Chemist	2	0
Wisconsin	Civil Engineer Chemist General Engineer Mechanical Engineer	1 0 0 1	0 1 1 0
Wyoming	Chemical Engineer Civil Engineer Chemist	1 1 1	0 0 0
American Samoa	-	0	0
Guam	General Engineer	1	0
Puerto Rico	Chemical Engineer Chemist	1 0	1
Virgin Islands	Engineering Technician	0	1
	TOTALS	133	<u>51</u>

Summary by Job Category & Grade

Job Category	On Board	Pending	Grade
Chemical Engineer Civil Engineer Chemist Mechanical Engineer Materials Engineer Meteorologist Electrical Engineer General Engineer Industrial Engineer Sanitary Engineer Physical Scientist Mathematician Physicist Technicians Computer Programmer	31 12 14 43 1 45 9 1 2 0 0 1 9 1	17 0 3 6 0 1 1 9 1 1 1 1 9	GS-5-11 GS-5-7 GS-9-11 GS-5-11 GS-7 GS-5-6 GS-5-9 GS-5 GS-7-11 GS-11 GS-5 GS-7-11 GS-4-6 GS-7
TOTALS	133	51_	

II.D. SALARIES

The following table (II.D.1) provides FY 1971 full-time State and local salary ranges for each of the air pollution control occupational categories contained in Appendix D.

Tables II.D.2 and II.D.3 give the salary range and the mean salary range by occupational categories.

While there is a wide difference between the highs and the lows in terms of salary ranges in Table II.D.2 there is no apparent relationship as to the type of agency.

The data in these tables represent ranges for a specific position and therefore, are not necessarily the actual salary of any given individual.

II.D.1 STATE AIR POLLUTION CONTROL SALARY RANGES WITHIN OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORIES

State	Range					upational Title				
		Director	Supervisor	Engineer	Chemist	Meteorologist	Specialist	Technician	Inspector	Aide
Alabama	Low	10.5	10.0	6.9	6.0		7.5		7.2	
·	High	18.0	13.9	71.7	10.3		9.7		88	<u> </u>
Alaska	Low		13.9		12.0		12.0	10.4	~-	
	High		17.8		15.4		15.4	13.3		
Arizona	Low	12.7	11.0	9.6	7.8		7.8	8.6	4.3	5.8
	High	19.1	16.9	13.4	12.7		12.0	11.0	8.7	6.9
Arkansas	Low	7.7		9.0	7.7			5.7	7	
	High	10.3		12.2	10.3			7.0		
California	Low	11.9	9.0	8.7	8.5	8.4	8.0	7.9	6.9	9.0
	High	34.6	26.4	21.5	16.1	17.7	20.4	18.5	15.7	11.0
Colorado	Low	10.6	12.0	12.0	7.5	11.0	6.7	3.6	7.2	9.4
	High	22.0	18.1	16.5	12.9	16.5	14.9	9.3	11.7	11.8
Connecticut	Low	13.0	10.0	9.5	5.2		6.7	7.5	8.0	T
	High	25.0	15.0	13.5	14.0		11.8	10.0	9.0	<u>-</u>
Delaware	Low			9.0	8.5	7.0	7.0	5.0	6.5	T
	High	l		13.0	13.0	10.0	12.0	9.0	8.3	
D. C.	Low	25.5	13.2	9.0			9.0	9.0	8.5	
	High	33.2	24.3	17.3			17.3	15.7	18.0	
Florida	Low	13.7	10.9	9.0	7.8	11.9	7.4	4.1	7.6	4.6
	High	22.5	20.5	2 2.6	16.6	16.6	13.5	9.0	11.7	7.2
Georgia	Low	16.5	10.2	9.3	7.8		6.5	5.3	6.1	
	High	21.9	18.1	14.6	11.2		13.6	9.0	11.0	
Hawaii	Low	13.8		8.6	8.5		8.5		8.5	
	High	21.5		15.3	15.3		15.3		13.2	
Idaho	Low	13.0	10.0	11.0			7.0			
	High	17.0	T2.5	13.0			10.0			
Illinois	Low	15.0	10.6	6.2	.9.1	9.6	15.8	7.2	5.0	
	High	26.7	20.5	21.0	17.4	14.9	21.0	16.2	21.0	
Indiana	Low	8.2	8.4	10.3	6.0		5.6	4.5	4.5	
	Pigh	21.2	14.0	12.0	12.8		10.8	9.5	10.0	<u> </u>
Iowa	Low	8.5	}	9.3	9.2		8.0	4.0	7.3	
,,	High	19.4		17.6	11.1		11.9	12.0	8.8	
Kansas	Low	13.4	11.9	8.5	9.8		8.0		7.0	
	High	17.6.	14.4	13_1	12.5		12.4		8.6	
Guam	Low	12.0			9.0				5.3	
	High				Į į			İ	1	

Salary Range by State

State	Range	Occupational Title								
		Director	Supervisor	Engineer	Chemist	Meteorologist	Specialist	Technician	Inspector	Aide
Kentucky	Low	13.8	9.3	6.9	8.4	9.3	4.9	5.5	4.5	5.7
	High	17.7	14.5	14.9	12.3	11.9	10.8	10.8	11.9	8.1
Louisiana	Low	14.9	11.5	8.6	7.4	7.6		8.3	5.4	6.0
·	High	19.7	18.2	15.4	11.9	11.2		14.4	8.5	9.6
Maine	Low	14.9	9.2	8.0			T	7.0		
	High	19.7	12.2	13.5	i			9.2		
Maryland	Low	11.7	7.9	8.5	8.2	9.6	6.0	5.1	6.0	5.0
	High	23.7	19.8	18.0	16.3	12.6	18.3	16.8	13.7	8.2
Massachusett		21.8	10.4	8.9	7.2		6.8	5.7	6.8	7.7
	High	21.8	18.8	17.1	12.6		8.3	9.2	12.9	7.7
Michigan	Low	20.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	13.3	9.6	7.0	8.8	
	High	25.8	19.7	16.0	12.2	14.2	15.7	13.1	13.9	<u> </u>
Minnesota	Low	10.3	8.3	9.4			8.0	6.3	6.8	5.2
	High	20.5	17.5	12.3			13.6	13.1	14.1	8.0
Mississippi	Low	9.0		8.0				4.8		
	High	12.0						7.2		
Misscuri	Low	12.9	8.3	8.3	8.1		5.1	5.0	5.9	6.6
	High	20.8	13.4	14.1	12.8		13.5	12.2	10.1	8.6
Montana	Low	14.1		8.4	7.2		7.6			'
	<u> High</u>	17.6		14.1	9.0		10.8			
Nebraska	Low									
	high					<u></u>				
Nevada	Low	12.7	8.8	6.9 14.9	9.4			8.9	7.5	
v	<u>High</u>				11.9			11.2	11.2	<u> </u>
New Hampshir					8.0		8.0	6.0		
V	<u>High</u>		8.5	8.9	9.5		9.0	8.0		
New Jersey	Low	13.0	19.9	14.9	8.5	8.1 8.5	8.1	6.7	5.2	4.3
New Mexico	High	23.0 8.3	9.0	7.6	18.7	8.3	18.1	10.0	11.6	6.8
New Mexico	Low	15.3	12.3	12.3	İ	10.4	6.6 9.6	7.1		(
	<u>Fiigh</u>	12.3	10.1	10.5	6.6	9.1	7.5	8.9	$\frac{-1}{6.1}$	5,2
New York	Low High	29.9	29.0	21.7	15.6	17.5	14.7	16.5	12.8	9.2
North	Low	8.0	6.4	8.0	7.6	10.3	5.0	6.1	5.8	
Carolina	High		12.0	15.0	9.8	13.1	12.0	8.8	12.2	
Puerto Rico	Low	10.8	9.6		6.6		9.0	2.9	4.7	
	High	13.2	12.0		10.8		10.8	4.2	5.6	

Salary Range by State

State	Range		Occupational Title							
		Director	Supervisor	Engineer	Chemist	Meteorologist	Specialist	Technician	Inspector	Aide
North	Low									
Dakota	High									<u> </u>
Ohio	Low	9.0	. 8.5	6.9	5.0	<u>-</u>	7.8	4.3	5.5	4.2
	High	21.1	18.7	17.5	14.5		13.5	13.3	13.3	7.5
Oklahoma	Low	9.7	9.9	10.2	6.5	9.2	6.9	5.6	5.7	5.1
	High	19.4	12.6	13.2	12.6	12.7	10.0	9.7	9.1	6.5
Oregon	Low	14.0	10.5	9.0	5.0	10.0	8.5	7.0	8.0	
	High	21.0	17.0	15.0	13.0	12.8	12.0	11.1	11.0	
Pennsylvania		11.0	10.4	6.9	7.8	8.5	7.6	5.0	5.8	5.0
	High	27.2	21.6	20.0	14.6	14.6	12.6	9.4	13.0	6.7
Rh. Island	Low	13.4		9.4	9.0			6.5	5.9	
	High	15.3		11.2	10.7			7.7	8.5	<u> </u>
South	Low		6.0		8.0		9.4	6.1	5.3	
Carolina	High		16.6		10.9		12.1	9.7	7.8	
South:	Low			8.6						
Dakota	<u> High</u>			11.4						
Tennessee	Low	13.8	7.2	9.0	8.0	9.0	6.4	4.3	6.0	5.4
Tavaa	<u>High</u>	17.0	16.9	14.0	12.2	10.8	10.3	8.2	9.0	6.0
Texas	Low	9.7	6.2	9.5	5.2	7.9	6.2	4.5	4.4	3.4
	High	20.0	18.0	18.0	14.0	10.9	14.0	9.7	13.0	10.0
Utah	Low	13.4 18.0		9.9 14.8	7.4		7.4	6.7		
	<u>High</u>					#=	12.1	9.0		
Vermont	Low						_ -			
l.: 	<u>High</u>								 	
Virginia	Low	12.0	9.8	9.0	8.0		6.0	7.0	6.5	5.0
·	High	17.2	15.6	13.7	14.4		12.4	9.1	12.4	6.4
Washington	Low									
1	<u>High</u>									ļ_
West	Low Piah		77							
Virginia Visconsin	Low	17.8	10.0	8.1	8.5		7.9	7.0	9.0	 -
Wisconsin	High	21.0	17.4	14.6	11.4		11.0	10.1	10.0	
Wyoming	Low			7.4			11.0	6.9		
Myoming	High_			9.9			<u> </u>	9.0		
Vir. Islands		12.0		12.0				9.0		
viii. 15 iajids		15.0		15.0			Į.	11.0		
Amer.Samoa	High Low	7.5		13.0				11.0	 	4.5
1	2011	/ . 3	!				<u> </u>		1	4.5

II.D.2
Salary Range by Occupation
 (thousands of dollars)

Occupational Title	State	Range	Loca1	Range
	Low	High	Low	High
Director	7.7	29.9	7.3	34.6
Supervisor	7.6	27.0	6.0	29.0
Engineer I	6.2	19.3	8.4	16.4
Engineer II	7.4	22.6	9.0	21.7
Chemist I	6.2	13.6	5.0	14.5
Chemist II	5.0	18.1	6.0	17.2
Meteorologist I	7.6	13.3	7.9	15.3
Meteorologist II	7.0	17.7	8.3	17.5
Specialist I	6.5	19.3	5.1	15.3
Specialist II	5.6	20.4	4.9	16.1
Technician I (Trainee)	2.9	11.1	4.1	13.5
Technician II	3.5	13.9	4.0	13.5
Technician III	5.2	18.5	5.8	16.5
Inspector I (Trainee)	5.2	18.0	4.3	14.9
Inspector II	4.7	13.8	4.5	21.0
Inspector III	6.1	13.6	5.0	17.2
Aide I	4.6	10.0	3.4	8.0
Aide II	4.3	10.0	5.4	11.8
Administrative, Clerical, and Other	2.9	22.6	3.4	21.4

II.D.3
Mean Salary Range for All Agencies

Occupational Title	Low	High
Director	15.3	18.1
Supervisor	13.5	16.6
Engineer I	10.5	12.9
Engineer II	12.5	15.5
Chemist I	8.6	10.6
Chemist II	10.0	12.5
Meteorologist I	10.3	12.2
Meteorologist II	10.9	14.2
Specialist I	8.3	10.6
Specialist II	9.7	12.2
Technician I (Trainee)	7.0	9.0
Technician II	7.9	9.8
Technician III	8.6	10.9
Inspector I (Trainee)	7.4	9.7
Inspector II	8.4	10.3
Inspector III	9.3	11.2
Aide I	5.8	7.0
Aide II	6.7	8.0
Administrative, Clerical, All Other	6.6	8.0

II.E. STATE BY STATE RANKING

The table on the following page ranks each State according to population; the total staff of State and local control agencies; and the amount of FY 1972 money available for air pollution control on the basis of State, local, and total funds.

The following are the top ten States in descending order for each of the above categories:

POPULATION STAFFING STATE FUNDS LOCAL	FUNDS TOTAL FUNDS
California California California California New York New York New York New York Pennsylvania Texas PennsylvaniaNew Jersey Ohio Illinois Illinois Texas PennsylvaniaNew Jersey Ohio New Jersey Illinois Michigan Ohio Washington Missour New Jersey Maryland Florida Texas Florida Michigan Connecticut Indiana Massachusetts Florida Minnesota Washing	ork New York Dis Illinois Pennsylvania Vlvania Texas Jan Ohio New Jersey Michigan Mashington

TOTALS	POPULATION*	STAFF	STATE FUNDS	LOCAL FUNDS	TOTAL FUNDS
1. Alabama	21	26	25	30	26
2. Alaska	51	49	49	34	47
3. Arizona	33	27	26	20	25
4. Arkansas	32	41	35		36
5. California	1	1	1	1	1 1
6. Colorado	30	18	14	19	21
7. Connecticut	24	21	1	15	111
8. Delaware	47	38	32		35
9. Dist. of Columbia	41	37	37		39
10. Florida	9	10	8	13	$\frac{1}{12}$
11. Georgia	15	~=	19	29	24
12. Hawaii	40	43	31		42
13. Idaho	43	47	46		50
14. Illinois	5	5		7	30
15. Indiana	11	<u>5</u> 	23	3	15
16. Iowa	25	32	30		29
17. Kansas	28			28	33
18. Kentucky	23	34	39	32	22
19. Louisiana	20	20	16	21	34
20. Maine	38	30	28	<u> </u>	43
	18	46	42		10
21. Maryland	10	8	11	11	13
22. Massachusetts	7	11	29	14	8
23. Michigan		9	15	6	20
24. Minnesota	19	29	10	24	48
25. Mississippi	29	45	45		
26. Missouri	13	<u> 16</u>	34	7	14
27. Montana	44	35	40	35	37
28. Nebraska	35	43	50	27	40
29. Nevada	48	36	53	26	44
30. New Hampshire	42	40	44		44
31. New Jersey	8	6	4	25	72
32. New Mexico	37	31	33	33	32
33. New York	2	2	2	2	2
34. North Carolina	12	13	22	17	18
35. North Dakota	46	53	51		52
36. Ohio	6	7	21	4	6
37. Oklahoma	27	25	38	23	30
38. Oregon	31	19	13	16	19
39. Pennsylvania	3	4	3	5	4
40. Rhode Island	39	42	43		46
41. South Carolina	26	24	18	31	27
42. South Dakota	45	50	55		54
43. Tennessee	17	14	17	_ 18	16
44. Texas	4	3	5	8	5
45. Utah	36	39	36		41
46. Vermont	49	47	41		45
47. Virginia	14	17	24	22	23
48. Washington	22	12	7	10	9
49. West Virginia	34	28	12	36	28
50. Wisconsin	16	22	20	12	17
51. Wyoming	50	51	48		51
52. Guam	53	54	52		53
53. Puerto Rico	26	33	27	*-	31
54. Virgin Islands	54	52	47		49
Amer. Samoa	55	<u>55</u>	54		55

^{*1970} Census

SECTION III - OPERATIONS

III. A. Percent of Effort by Function

The table shown below provides the percent of effort being devoted to each of the four functional program areas by State, local and multijurisdictional agencies as of April 1971. (A multijurisdictional agency is defined as one which is responsible for air pollution control program activities within two or more political jurisdictions within the same State or within two or more States.)

It is interesting to note that local agencies were devoting 30 percent of their effort to enforcement activities as compared to 16 percent by State agencies. Based on a summary of FY 1972 funding by function of State and local agencies, it is estimated that more efforts will be devoted to enforcement (34 percent local and 20 percent State). Thus, it appears that local agencies continue to carry out a significant part of this function within many States.

Agency Type	Percent of Effort in Each Function						
	Management	Technical	Enforcement	Engineering	Other		
State	21	34	16	13	16		
Local	14	23	30	13	20		
Multijuris- dictional	20	25	34	11	10		

III. B. Attendence at Training Courses - FY 1972

Listed below are the number of State, local and other personnel, such as those from universities and/or industry attending EPA sponsored short course training at Headquarters and in the field. Normally the courses are one week, but in some instances may be longer. They are especially valuable to new professionals just entering the field of air pollution without such previous training as well as to experienced individuals who need to keep abreast of constantly changing technical developments.

Alabama	54	Nebraska	25
Alaska	34	Nevada	13
Arizona	10	New Hampshire	5
Arkansas	13	New Jersey	71
California	171	New Mexico	13
Colorado	131	New York	169
Connecticut	15	North Carolina	381
Delaware	4	North Dakota	3
D. C.	7 5	Ohio	178
Florida	171	Oklahoma	48
Georgia	78	Oregon	17
Hawaii	8	Pennsylvania	105
Idaho	8 3	Rhode Island	10
Illinois	122	South Carolina	42
Indiana	71	South Dakota	8
Iowa	20	Tennessee	78
Kansas	38	Texas	197
Kentucky	35	Utah	3
Louisiana	13	Vermont	13
Maine	10	Virginia	93
Maryland	94	Washington	120
Massachusetts	53	West Virginia	6
Michigan	25	Wisconsin	67
Minnesota	64	Wyoming	14
Mississippi	10	Vi rgin Islands	6
Missouri	115	Puerto Rico	4
Montana	16	Foreign	74

TOTAL 3216

III. C. Training by Profession *

The table shown below distributes the numbers of persons receiving short course training according to their principal profession and where they come from. The relatively large number of engineers in the "all other" category represents, for the most part, persons from educational institutions preparing for careers in air pollution control.

PROFESSION	STATE	LOCAL	ALL OTHERS	TOTAL
Administrators	40	80	81	201
Chemists	116	36	159	311
Engineers	426	175	649	1250
Health Educators	10	5	14	29
Indus. Hygienists	17	8	14	3 9
Meteorologists	30	8	263	301
Phys. Scientists	20	5	14	39
Sanitarians	55	164	14	233
Statisticians	0	5	7	12
Technicians	153	210	80	443
Others	125	184	49	358
TOTAL	992	880	1344	3216

^{*}Projected, based on 3/31/72 actual and FY 1972 total

III. D. Major Sources

of Pollution

The following table indicates the major sources of air pollution within each State. This table does not represent all sources of pollution. Of the sources listed in the following table; the five major categories are:

Open Burning Transportation Power Generation Industrial Processes Petroleum Refineries and Storage

III .D. MAJOR SOURCES OF AIR POLLUTION WITHIN STATES

STATE	Industrial Processes	na	Incinerator	Transportation	Asphalt Batching	Rock & Stone Handling	ļ	Aluminium Smelters	Wood Working	Cotton Gins	Power Generation	Fuel Burning	Phosphates	Rock Crushing Plants	Animal Rendering	A FIUCESSING	% Storage	Steel Mills	Quarry Operations	Fertilizer Manufacture	Peanut Shellers	Sugar Plants	Cement Plants	Grain Mills	Bauxite Processing	Space Heating	Gas Flaring
Alabama	X	1				Х	-		****											-				-			
Alaska	x			Х	Х						Х															Х	
Arizona				Х			Х	Χ.			Х												Х				
Arkansas					Х				Х	Х													Х	Χ	Х		
California	Х	X		Х	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х		X	Х		X	Х	Х	X			Х	X	Х	X	
Colorado	Х			Х							Χ															Х	
Connecticut	х	Х	Х	х							х																
Delaware	Х	х									Х	х														X	
D. C.			X	Х							Х																
Florida											х		х									Х					
Georgia			Х		χ	Х				х											х						
Hawaii		Х		Х								Х											Х				
Idaho	X	Х	Х		х				Х				x		X					Х			X	Χ			
Illinois		Х															χ	Χ	х	х							
Indiana					X.						х						Χ										
Iowa	Х		Х												Χ					x			Х	Х			
Kansas	Х	Х									х						Χ										
Kentucky							i																				
Louisiana	Χ	Х		х				İ									Χ					х	Х	X			
) } } 	Deplement	and the same	·************	4-102	******	N. Walter			~37.Luca					****	-bCm-HcP4/n		46 15 de 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or 10 or		اردودها		w. esp.R	LIEG IA					

III .D. MAJOR SOURCES OF AIR POLLUTION WITHIN STATES

	Industrial Processes	Open Burning	Incinerator	Transportation		Rock & Stone Handling	Copper Smelters	Aluminium Smelters	Mood Working	Cotton Gins	Power Coneration	Fuel Burning	Phosphates	Rock Crushing Plants	Animal Rendering	& Processing	Petroleum Refincries	& Storage	Steel Mills	Quarry Operations	Fertilizer Manufacture	hel	ante	Coment Plants		ď	Space Heating	Gas Flaring
Maine		X	1									Х																
Maryland	Х		Х	Х							Х	Х													X		Х	
Massachuset	tsX			Х							Х	Χ																
Michigan	Х			X													Х	(Х					Х		 		
Minnesota	Х																		Х					Х	Х	<u> </u>		
Mississippi									Х	Х																		
Missouri	Х	Х		Х							Х																	
Montana		x	X		X						χ						Х	(Х					
Nebraska		Х									Х														X			1
Nevada		k	X	X	Х		X				Х													X	X		1	
New Hamp.		K									Х	Х																
New Jersey	X	X		Х							Х																X	
New Mexico					Х		Х			X	Х						X	(
New York	Х	X	k	X		}					Х								}	(X	
N. Carolina		k		X	X			Х			X										X				K			
North Dakot	a										X)	X										
Ohio)	X	X								X																
Oklahoma	(()	(x																								
Oregon	()	()	ζ x	x	X				Х																X			į
grant a						_									_					1	-			-			-	

III .D. MAJOR SOURCES OF AIR POLLUTION WITHIN STATES

Company Auditorial Principle Company C	[Industrial Processes	Open Burning	Incinerator	Transportation	Asphalt Batching	Rock & Stone Handling	Copper Smelters	Aluminium Smeliers	Wood Working	Cotton Gins	Power Generation	Fuel Burning	Phosphates	Rock Crushing Plants	Animal Rendering	& Processing	Petroleum Refineries	& Storage	Steel Mills	Quarry Operations	Fertilizer Nanufacture	She	Sugar Plants	Cement Plants		Dauxite Processing	He	
Pennsylvania	Х		X	1							χ						Х		х	1				X				
Rhode Island	X	Х									X	χ																
S. Carolina	Х	Х																						χ				
South Dakota																												
Tennessee		Х	Х				χ	Χ			Х													Х				
Texas		X		Х			Χ		χ	χ							Χ		x	ļ	Х			х				
Utah		Х									Х													Х				
Vermont		Х				Χ					Х			Х						X					Ì			
Virginia	Χ	Χ		Х					Х	-	Х	Х									X							
Washington.	X	Х		Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		X				Х		Χ							x	x		1	
West Va.	Χ				Х				Х		Х																	
Wisconsin											Х														х			
Wyoming	į	Х			Χ				Х		Х			į	Х		Χ	.						х				
Guam	İ		X	X							X	X																
Ruerto Rico				Х							X.												х					
Vir. Islands				Х	Х			Х			χ	ļ		Х			Χ							х				
Amer. Samoa				Χ																								
																								Ì				!
		_																				نعت					ا المدسرة	i deserve i

III. E. Air Quality Surveillance

States are required to place an air quality surveillance system, utilizing various kinds of monitoring equipment, in operation no later than two years after the approval of their implementation plans.

The following tabulation is the best currently available estimate of the amount of air pollution monitoring equipment in operation in each State. This information was obtained from a special analysis* which wherever possible, used data contained in the initial January 1972 formal submission of State implementation plans. In those instances where there was insufficient or unclear reporting, other sources of information were used and have been footnoted. Since States are continually increasing their surveillance capabilities, it is to be expected that there is, in most instances, more equipment in operation today than at the time when the plans were submitted or when previous inventories were made. However, this fact is not critical to the purpose of the tabulations on the following pages, which is to give some idea as to the impact of the Federal air surveillance requirements on the States in terms of added equipment needs and to provide a national summary.

The minimum requirements upon which the needs are based are those called for in the operation of a surveillance system for monitoring pollutants within an air quality control region as specified in Federal regulations for the preparation and submission of State implementation plans.** The numbers and types of equipment are based on the pollutant priority classification and population of each region.

It is important to note that it was not possible to make an individual determination of instrument ownership within each AQCR within each State. Therefore, some AQCR's may possess more than the required number of instruments while others have less. Likewise additional items of equipment may be necessary to provide adequate emergency episode monitoring capabilities or for purposes of special or selected sampling needs.

Table III.E.2. indicates the general cost range of various individual items of monitoring equipment and the cumulative national cost based on the needs reflected in the above tabulation using the median price range. Each item is listed at today's prices and is subject to change.

^{*}The Impact of State Implementation Plan Requirements on Selected Air Pollution Monitoring Instrument Manufacturers; Walter W. Kovalick, Jr., April 13, 1972

^{**}Federal Register, Volume 36, Page 15486, August 14, 1971

POLLUTANT	SU	SPENDE	D PART	ICULATE			SULFUR D					XONOM V			HEMICAL OX	IDANTS		OGEN D	
MONITOR	H	igh Vo	lume	Tape		Bul	bblers		Cont.		Cor	ntinuous	5		Continuous			Bubble	
State	*R	(*E	*N	RIE	N	R	E N	R	E [N	R	E	. N	R	E	N	Ŕ	E	NN
Alabama	43	61	0	15 5	10	18	1 17	5	0	5	3	0	3	5	0	5	00	0	0
Alaska	11	6	5	1 0	1	6	1 5]]	0	1.]	0	1	0	0	0	_ 0	0	0
Arizona	21	33	0	8 7	1	17	4 13	6	7.	0	4	2	2	4	2	_2	_13	4	9
Arkansas	18	20	0	4 2	2	7	3 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0]	3	0	3
California	64	70	0	22 0	22 -	15	24 0	2	16	0	28	41	0	32	68	0	20	0	20
Colorado(1)	29	88	0	9 16	0_	10	1 9	1. 1	2	0	3	1	2	3 _	1	2	0	0	00
Connecticut	23	60	0	10 39	0	17	19 0	6	6_	0	6	. 2	4	6	2	4	19	2	17.
Delaware	7	14	0	2 14	0	5	10 0 -	2	14	0	. 2	4	0_	2	4	0	_ 6	14	0
D. C. (1)	8	7	1	3 7	0	6	0 6	2	3	0	_2	2	0	2	11		88	2	6
Florida(2)(3)	33	87	0	13 6	7	19	21 0	6	13	0	0	4	0	5	4	1	20	13	7
Georgia	55	39	16	17 5	12	32	11 21	111	3	8	0 .	3	00	1_1_	1	0	17	6	11
<u>Hawaii</u>	3	7	0	1 1	0		5 0	0	1	0	· 0]	0	0	1	0	0	5	0
Idaho	18	34	0	3 1	2	8	5 3	2	5	0	1]	00	0	1	0	5	5	0
Illinois	68	114	0	19 22	0_	47	30 17	20	25	0	12	9	3	12	6	6	21	3	18
Indiana	58	91	0	17 24	0_	40	43 0	-13	18	0	5	00	5	7	0	7	26	37	0
Iowa	43	31	12	9 1	8	16	4 12	2	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	1_1	3	1	2
Kansas	39	34	5	9 1	· 8	7	8 0.	0	0	0	2	3	0	4	1	3	0	3	0
Kentucky	40	65	0	10 17	0	20	58 0	5	10	0	00	3	00	3	4	0	14	51	0
Louisiana(2)	9	24	0	3 5	0	6	5 1	1.1	15	0	0	0	0	1 1	0	1	0_	5	0
<u>Maine</u>	14	6	8	3 0	3	12	5 7	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0
Maryland (3)	33	57	0	15 20	0	28	21 7	10		0_	7]]	0	7	10	0	20	8	12
Massachusetts	50	46	4	22 12	10	35	46 0	13	8	5	6	3	3	. 6	. 3	3	36	41	0
Michigan	36	80_	0	11 1	10_	23	6 17	10	16	0	0	0	0		0		25	6	19
Minnesota	29	22	7	11 58	0_	19	15 4	6	7	0	44	4	0	0	3	0	10_	9	1
Mississippi	18	17]	6 0	6	11	1 10	3_	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	44	3	0	3
Missouri	35	68	0	15 15	· 0	13	6 7	4	7	0	6	10	0	6	9	1 0	110	10	0
<u>Montana</u>	13	7	6	3 1 1	2	17	2 9	3	2	1	0	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	12	29	0	3 3	0	6	1 5	1_1_	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0_	7	1	6
Nevada	14	34	0	3 2]	7	6 1	2	0	2	1	1	0]	2	0	6	5	1
New Hampshire	12	25	0	3 0	3	10	4 6	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
New Jersey	24	50	0	8 22	0_	19	0 19	. 7	21	0	_ 7	22	0	5	4]]	22	0	22
New Mexico	21	42	0	3 2	1	14	6 8	3	3	0		2	0	3	0	3	0	6	0
New York	74	230	0	28 47	0	41	6 35	20	45	0	15	22	0	21	9	12	40	7	33
N. Carolina(1)	53	116	0	17 29	0	10	47 0	1 1	2	0	0	4	0	2	5	0	0	123	0
N. Dakota	6	15	0	2 1	1	2]]]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 0

^{*} R - Required (minimum) E - Existing N - Needed

III.E.1 Required & Existing Equipment for Air Quality Monitoring

POLLUTANT				TICUL	ATE			SULF	UR [1001	DE		CARB	ON MONO	(IDE	РНОТО		OXIDANTS			IOXIDE
MONITOR	- H	igh Vo	lume	T	ape		Bu	ıbble	rs		Con	t.	C	ontinuol	15	1	Continuo	ous	1	Bubble	
State	R	Εļ	N	R	Εļ	N	R	ΕI	N	R	E	N	R.	E	N	R	E	. N	R	E	N
Ohio	89	202	0	33	12	21	56	35	21	20	13	. 7	Ω_	4	0	17	5	12	47	32 _	15
Oklahoma	28	79	0	. 8	3	5	8	8	0	0	0.	0	0 .	3	0	4	2	2		0	0
Oregon	23	64	0	10	5	5	7	5	2	1	1	0	3	3	0	3	2	1	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	75	107	0	36	29	7	32	0	32	15	28	0	12	19	0	12	19	0	50	0	50
Rhode Island	10	18	0	4	0	4	7	18	0	2	0	2	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	_10	18	0
S. Carolina	40	55	0	9	3	6	19	20	0	* 4	2	2	• 0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0 _
S. Dakota	6	2	4	1	0	1	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tennessee	46	92	0.	_ 15	4	11	20	5	15	6	3	3	0	4	. 0	5	4	î	13	16	0
Texas	57	142	0	21	_ 3	18	40	52	0	14	0	14	2	0	2	20	0	20	17	0	17
Utan	13	8	5	4	5	0	11	4	7	. 3	5	0	· 2	. 4	0	2	4	0	9	4	5
Vermont	6	7	0	2	1	1	6		5	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Virginia	51	73	0	18	11	7	28	23	5	9	2	7	7	3	4	7	4	3	27	20	7
Washington	35	71	0.	14	18	0	14	0	14	4	21	0	7	9	0	5	7	0	10	_0	10
West Virginia	34	34	0	5	18	0	16	13	3	_ 3	1	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Wisconsin	34	71	0	12	0	12	14	27	0	3	0	3	0	1	0	4	13	0	10	5	5 _
Wyoming	7	6		2	0	2_	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 .
Guam	1 1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	1	0]	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0
Puerto Rico(1)	4	1	3	1	.0	1	3	0	3	1	0]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Virgin Islands	4	6	0	1	1	0	3	2	1		0	1	0	0	_ 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amer. Samoa	1	0	1.	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	0	0
		1																			
TOTAL	1598	2767	80	524	499	211	853	640	362	264	351	75	149	208	29	226	207	98	547	463	299

⁽¹⁾ The 1969 Inventory of Air Pollution Monitoring Equipment operated by State and Local Agencies was used to partially or fully estimate existing network.

(2) Raw data from the Annual Survey of Air Pollution Monitoring, July 1, 1969 - June 30, 1970 was used where possible.

(3) Based on consistencies in available data, certain assumptions were made regarding existing equipment in one or more AQCR's.

III. E. 2.
COST OF NEEDED EQUIPMENT**

Type of Equipment	Number Needed	Cost Range per Item	Cumulative Median Cost
Hi-Volume Sampler	80	\$ 150 - \$ 500*	\$ 26,000
Tape Sampler	211	\$ 400 - \$1,000*	\$148,000
SO ₂ Bubbler	362	\$ 300 - \$ 800	\$199,000
SO ₂ Continuous	75	\$1,500 - \$5,700*	\$270,000
CO Continuous	29	\$1,700 - \$4,500*	\$ 90,000
Oxidant Continuous	98	\$3,000 - \$5,240*	\$404,000
NO ₂ Bubbler	299	\$ 300 - \$ 800	\$ <u>164,000</u>
TOTAL		\$	1,301,000

^{*}Higher figure is with reader and recorder

^{**}Based on <u>Field Operations Guide for Automatic Air Monitoring Equipment</u>, EPA, November 1971, and equipment company catalogs

III. F. Status of Implementation Plans

The following tabulation lists the status of the submission of State implementation plans required by the Clean Air Act of 1970 for the implementation, maintenance and enforcement of national ambient air quality standards within each air quality control region/or portion thereof, within a State.

Such plans were due by January 30, 1972, nine months after EPA promulgated standards,* for the following pollutants:

- 1. Sulfur Oxide
- 2. Particulate matter
- 3. Carbon Monoxide
- 4. Photochemical oxidents
- 5. Hydrocarbons
- 6. Nitrogen Dioxide

An additional period of not to exceed 18 months may be allowed for the adoption and submittal of that portion of a plan relating to implementation of secondary ambient air quality standards. State plans must provide for attainment of national primary ambient air quality standards within 3 years after the date of EPA approval of such plans, except that a 2-year extension of this deadline may be granted by the Administrator upon application by a Governor. A request for such an extension must be based upon the inability of one or more emission sources (or classes of moving sources) to comply with the requirements of the State plan to achieve a primary standard. It must demonstrate that presently available or planned control technology or other reasonable alternative control techniques will not enable the standards to be achieved within the three year time period.

State plans must provide for attainment of national secondary ambient air quality standards within a reasonable time. Within 4 months from the date that State plans are required to be submitted, the Administrator must approve or disapprove such plans or portions thereof. The Administrator must propose and promulgate regulations (substitute plans) for those plans, or portions of plans, which he does not approve unless, prior to such promulgation, a State adopts and submits a plan or a revision of a plan that meets the Federal requirements. Where necessary, proposed regulations have been published for all but 3 States whose plans were not approved.

Considerable technical assistance was provided by each of the ten EPA Regional Offices to the States in preparing their plan and/or in providing financial support for contractual development of all or portions of the plan. Information is as of July 31, 1972.

*See Section I.F. Significant EPA Actions Affecting State Programs.

III. F. STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

	Date Plan				How Prepared	
STATE	Submitted	Approved	Extension Requested*	State	State/EPA/Cont.**	State/EPA
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California	1/28 4/23 1/31 1/31 2/28	5/31 ***	2 years for San Francisco Bay Area; Sacramento;Southern Coast	X	X X X X	
Colorado Connecticut	1/28 3/3	*** 5/31	18 months for New Jersey-New York Connecticut; Hartford- New Haven-Springfield	Х		х
Delaware D.C. Florida Georgia	1/28 1/28 1/28 1/27	5/31	2 years for Tampa; Miami 18 months Hawaii	X X X	X	
Hawaii Idaho	2/1 1/30		10 months Hawaii 18 months E. Wash-No. Idaho; 2 years; E. WashNo. Idaho; 2 years State		X	
Illinois Indiana	2/3 2/1		18 Mo. Chicago; Indianapolis 2 years Chicago; Indianapolis Louisville	TX	X	
Iowa	1/26		2 years Dubuque; Omaha-Council Bluffs	X		
Kansas Kentucky Louisiana	1/31 2/15 1/28	***	2 years for Kansas City	X	x	Х
Maine Maryland	1/31 1/28		18 months for Baltimore 2 years for Baltimore; Nat!1	X	X	
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	1/31 1/31 1/31		Capitol 18 months Boston - 2 yrs.Boston 2 years for Minneapolis-	х	Х	
Mississippi	2/4	5/31	St. Paul		X X	

² years (to attain a primary st'd) **Contractual support provided
18 months (to submit plan for attaining ***Promulgation of EPA regulations not necessary secondary standards)

CTATE	Date Plan			1	How Prepared	
STATE	Submitted	Approved	Extension Requested	State	State/EPA/Cont.*	State/EPA
Missouri	1/28		2 years for Kansas City; St. Louis		x	
Montana	3/1		l gears for mangas of ay, our mounts	}		Χ
Nebraska	$\frac{3}{1/28}$		2 years for Omaha-Council Bluffs		Х	,
Nevada	1/31		2 years for omana-counter bruits	1	X	
New Hampshire	$\frac{1}{31}$	F/37			x	
New Jersey	1/31	5/31	18 months for New Jersey-New York-		x	
New Dersey	1/31				^	
			Connecticut; Philadelphia			
			2 years for New Jersey-New York-			
No. Movies	2 / 77 7		Connecticut; Philadelphia		V	
New Mexico	1/31	į	30 U D CC 3 N 3 N	,	Х	
New York	1/28		18 months Buffalo; New Jersey-New	Х		
			York-Connecticut			
N. Carolina	1/26	5/31		Х	Maria de la compansión	
North Dakota	1/27	5/31				Χ
Ohio	1/31	7/27	18 months Cleveland		χ	
			2 years Dayton; Toledo; Cleveland;			
			Cincinnati; Columbus	-		
Oklahoma	1/28				χ	
Oregon	1/28	5/31			χ	
Pennsylvania	1/28		18 months for Philadelphia;	1	Х	
			Pittsburgh	1		
			2 years for Pittsburgh			
Rhode Island	1/31				Х	
S. Carolina	1/28			1	Х	
South Dakota	1/31	***				Χ
Tennessee	1/28			X		
Texas	1/28		2 years for Houston; Corpus Christi		Χ	
ļ			San Antonio	1		
Utah	1/28			Х		
Vermont	1/27			[X	
Virginia	1/28		2 years for State Capitol; Hampton	l	χ	
		-	Roads	-		
Washington	1/28		2 years for Spokane; Puget Sound			Χ
West Virginia	1/28	5/31		Х		

	Date Plan				How Prepared	
State	Submitted	Approved	Extension Requested	State	State/EPA/Cont.**	State/EPA
Wisconsin Wyoming Guam Puerto Rico Vir. Islands Amer. Samoa	1/31 1/31 1/28 1/31 1/31 2/1	5/31 5/31 5/31			X X	X X X

July 31, 1972

III. G. Priority I Air Quality

Control Regions

Table 1 reflects the number of air quality control regions classified as Priority I within each State on a pollutant by pollutant basis and the population residing therein. These regions exceed national primary ambient air quality standard for a given pollutant which, allowing for an adequate margin of safety, is necessary for the protection of public health.

All of the population residing within a Priority I AQCR may not be continuously subjected to air which exceeds the primary standard. Persons living in outlying areas of a region furtherest from pollutant sources may breathe air of a better quality than those living closer to such sources. However, assuming these individuals must travel and work thoughout the region, they ultimately will be exposed to the lower quality air.

Table 2 provides the percentage of the population of each State residing within Priority I regions for each pollutant. It is possible that from time to time there will be changes in the regional classifications as methods for determining the presence of pollutant concentrations in the ambient air become more sophisticated and indicate that reclassifications are necessary.

III G. I. PRIORITY I AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGIONS (Population in thousands)*.

Makama				(Populaci	011 111	Ciious	۵,,۵5	, —					_
Malabana	State	Total						_		_		(TIC)	
Alashana		Regions	Part.			Pop.	<u> </u>	Pop.			10x		
Airkana 4	Alabama	7					1		1	-			
Arthoria	Alaska	4											
Arkanasa	Artzona	4			1								
Callifornia	Arkansas	1											
Caloratho Commet field 4	California	11								15,495			
Counce (1101 4		8											
Delaware 7	Connecticut	4											
D. C. 1 1 746 1 74		2	1		1								
Total	D. C.	1											
Second	i lovida	6							2				
Hawaii	Georgia	9				_ [
Indition 1	Hawa i i	1											
Indiana		1			2								
Thirth and 10	Illinois												
Lewa													
Name						1		-					
National State	Kansas					- 1				-	4		
Matine	Kentucky						-						
Maryland 6		3						-					
Marss. 6 6 5 5539 4 4902 2 2864 4 4521 2 2864 Michigan 6 3 4669 3 4659 0 0 3 6591 1 118 Michigan 7 2 2190 2 2458 1 1865 0 0 0 0 Miss. 4 2 1213 1 1178 0 0 1 35 2 1213 Missouri 5 3 3550 1 1815 2 2757 1 1815 2 2757 Montana 5 2 318 2 304 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Nebraska 4 1 453 0 0 0 0 1 453 0 0 0 Nebraska 4 1 453 0 0 0 0 1 453 0 0 0 Nevadá 3 3 482 2 332 1 270 1 270 1 270 New Hamp. 3 2 653 2 653 2 653 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 New Hamp. 4 3 6546 3 6879 3 6879 3 6546 2 6328 New Mexico 8 4 579 3 240 1 124 1 78 2 463 New York 8 6 3811 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 862 N. D. C. 8 6 6 3811 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Maine	5											
Mass. 0 3 4659 3 4659 0 0 3 6691 1 118 Minnesota 7 2 2190 2 2488 1 1865 0 0 Miss. 4 2 1213 1 1178 0 0 1 35 2 1213 Missouri 5 3 3550 1 1815 2 2757 1 1815 2 2757 Montana 5 2 318 2 304 0 0 0 0 0 New Ada 3 3 482 2 332 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 270 1 276 1 1 1 28 4	Maryland												
Minnesota 7 2 2190 2 2488 1 1865 1 1865 0 0 Miss. 4 2 1213 1 1178 0 0 1 35 2 1213 Miss. 4 2 1213 1 1178 0 0 1 35 2 1213 Miss. 4 3 3550 1 1815 2 2757 Montana 5 2 318 2 304 0 0 0 0 0 Nobraska 4 1 453 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 New Jersey 4 3 6546 3 6879 3 6879 3 6546 2 6328 New Hexico 8 4 15525 2 12782 2 12782 3 13,884 4 15077 N. C. 8 <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td>			5										
Missouri Missouri Missouri Missouri Missouri Missouri Missouri Mondana Missouri Mondana Missouri Mondana Mobraska Missouri Mobraska Missouri Mohana Mobraska Missouri Mondana Mobraska Missouri Mondana Mobraska Missouri Mohana Mobraska Missouri Mohana Mobraska Missouri Mohana Mobraska Missouri Mohana Mobraska Missouri Mondana Mobraska Missouri Mohana Missouri Mohana Mohana Mohana Mohana Missouri Mohana Mohana Missouri Mohana Mohana Missouri Mohana Mohana Missouri Mohana Mohana Missouri Mohana Missouri Mohana Mohana Missouri Mohana Missouri Mohana Mohana Mohana Mohana Mohana	Michigan												
Missouri Missouri Missouri Missouri Missouri Missouri Montana Nebraska Missouri Nebraska Missouri Montana Nebraska Missouri Montana Nebraska Missouri Montana Nebraska Missouri Montana Nebraska Missouri Montana Nebraska Missouri Missouri Missouri Montana Nebraska Missouri Missouri Montana Nebraska Missouri Mi	Minnesota		2										
Montana	Miss.							-					
Montana 3 2 31 453 0 0 0 0 1 453 0 0 New Addd 3 3 482 2 332 1 270 1 270 1 270 New Hamp. 3 2 653 2 653 0	Missouri	5								_ [
New Hamp. New Hamp. New Hamp. New Jersey New Mexico New York N. C. N. D. Ohio Ohio Ohio Ohio Ohio Ohio Ohio Ohio	Montana					,				- 1			
New Hamp. 3 2 653 2 653 0 0 0 0 0 New Jersey 4 3 6546 3 6879 3 6546 2 6328 New Mexico 8 4 579 3 240 1 124 1 78 2 463 New York 8 4 15525 2 12782 2 12782 3 13,884 4 15077 N. D. 8 6 3811 0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>- 1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>								- 1					
New Jersey 4 3 6546 3 6879 3 6879 3 6546 2 6328 New Mexico 8 4 579 3 240 1 124 1 78 2 463 New York 8 4 15525 2 12782 2 12782 3 13,884 4 15077 N. D. 2 0 1 1452 1 1452 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>													
New Mexico 8 4 579 3 240 1 124 1 78 2 463 New York 8 4 15525 2 12782 2 12782 3 13,884 4 15077 N. C. 8 6 3811 0 1 1452 1 1452 1					1 2								
New York 8 4 15525 2 12782 2 12782 3 13,884 4 15077 N. C. 8 6 3811 0 1 1452 0 0 0 1 1452 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0													
N. C. N. D. Ohio Ohio Oklahoma Segment										13.88/			
N. D. Ohio Ohio Oklahoma Oregon S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		8						_		- [
N. D. 12 9 8679 5 5065 0 0 5 7406 5 7406 Oklahoma 8 2 1511 0 0 0 0 0 2 1511 Oregon 5 1 1452 1 1452 1 1452 0 0 1 1452 Penna. 6 6 11676 2 6680 2 6680 5 10725 2 6680 Rh. Island 1 1 922 1 922 0 0 1 922 0 0 S. C. 10 5 1456 2 419 0		8				- 1	1	- 1		- 1			
Oklahoma 8 2 1511 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11452 0 0 11452 0 0 11452 0 0 11452 0 0 11452 0 0 11452 0													
Oregon 5 1 1452 1 1452 0 0 1 1452 1 1452 0 0 1 1452 0 0 1 1452 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>. 1</td> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						. 1	i			1			
Penna. 6 6 11676 2 6680 2 6680 5 10725 2 6680 Rh. Island 1 1 922 1 922 0 0 1 922 0 0 S. C. 10 5 1456 2 419 0							-			- 1			
Rh. Island S. C. S. D. Tenn. 6 6 3834 2 1377 0 0 2 962 2 1755 Texas 12 4 3588 5 4372 1 366 3 5401 7 8419 Utah Vermont 2 901 2 901 1 53 2 901 1 848 Vermont 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Virginia 7 6 3915 2 1258 1 911 3 2650 3 2650 Washington West Va. 10 6 1004 2 186 0 0 0 0 0 0 Wisconsin Wyoming 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Wyoming 3 1942 1 500 0 0 2 1791 1 1743 Wyoming 9 Rico Amer.Samoa 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0		l _		1432	1 '								
S. C. 10 5 1456 2 419 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1		110/0									
S. D. 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		, ,	1					- 1		_			
Tenn. 6 6 3834 2 1377 0 0 2 962 2 1755 Texas 12 4 3588 5 4372 1 366 3 5401 7 8419 Utah 3 2 901 2 901 1 53 2 901 1 848 Vermont 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Virginia 7 6 3915 2 1258 1 911 3 2650 3 2650 Washington 6 4 2870 3 2559 3 2559 1 1907 2 2156 West Va. 10 6 1004 2 186 0 0 0 0 0 Wisconsin 8 3 1942 1 500 0 0 2 1791 1 1743 Wyoming 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 P. Rico 1 1 2690 1 2690 0 0 0 0 0 Amer.Samoa 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 O 0										-			
Texas						-				- 1			
Utah 3 2 901 2 901 1 53 2 901 1 848 Vermont 2 0			1					-	3	5401			
Vermont 2 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td>										*	•		
Vermiont 2 6 3915 2 1258 1 911 3 2650 3 2650 Washington 6 4 2870 3 2559 3 2559 1 1907 2 2156 West Va. 10 6 1004 2 186 0 0 0 0 0 0 Wisconsin 8 3 1942 1 500 0 0 2 1791 1 1743 Wyoming 3 0<		3								3			
Washington 6 4 2870 3 2559 3 2559 1 1907 2 2156 West Va. 10 6 1004 2 186 0			١٤		2		1 1			7 1			
Washington 10 6 1004 2 186 0 0 0 0 0 0 Wisconsin 8 3 1942 1 500 0 0 2 1791 1 1743 Wyoming 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 P. Rico 1 1 2690 1 2690 0 0 0 0 0 0 Amer.Samoa 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1	i				1	-					
Wisconsin 8 3 1942 1 500 0 0 2 1791 1 1743 Wyoming 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 P. Rico 1 1 2690 1 2690 0 0 0 0 0 0 Amer.Samoa 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0										_			
Wyoming 3 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ų</td> <td></td> <td></td>								-			Ų		
P. Rico 1 1 2690 1 2690 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						_	_			- 1	1		
Amer.Samoa 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		3				_	_			- I			
Amer. Samoa 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		!			1 '	_	1 -	-		- 1			
Guam IIII U IU VIU NI (I NI () V		1 1	•	_	1 -	_		-		- 1	_		
Cuam and a second a s	Guam]	Ϊ́Ω		U			0		0			
Vir. Isds. 1 1 63 1 63 0 0 0 0 0	<u>Vir. Isds.</u>		1	. 63	11	63	1 0	U	U	0]	<u> </u>	U	

^{*} Based on 1970 County Census figures correct to the nearest thousand

III.G.2. PERCENTAGE OF (TOTAL) STATE POPULATION RESIDING WITHIN PRIORITY I REGIONS FOR EACH POLLUTANT

	TOTAL *		// 			
STATE	POPULATION.		SO _X	CO	NO ₂	0 _X (HC)
Alabama	3373	86 %	32 [*] %	30 %	0%	42 %
Alaska	296	71	10	23	0	0
Arizona	1773	100	100	85	94	85
Arkansas	1887	2	0	0	- <u>2</u> - 78	2
California	19717	59	0	92	/8	97
Colorado	2178	88	1	56	1	56
Connecticut	2989	83	83	83	83	83
Delaware	543	71	71	71	71	71
D. C.	746	100	100	100	100	100
Florida	6671	45	29	0	57	23
Georgia	4493	81	54	0	46	4
Hawaii	749	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	693	70	44	16	0	0
Illinois	10981	84	82	69	70	69
Indiana	5144	79	73	33	36	36
Iowa	2829	71	3	0	7	23
Kansas	2220	88	0	20	0	46
Kentucky	3136	53	21	0	30	30
Louisiana	3560	0	77	1 0 1	0	77
Maine	1093	82	52	0	0	0
Maryland	3929	88	88	82	82	82
Massachusetts	5688	97	86	50	79	50
Michigan	8778	53	53	1 0	75	ĺ
Minnesota	3768	58	65	49	49	0
Mississippi	2163	56	54	0	1	56
Missouri	4637	76	39	59	39	59
Montana	682	46	44	0	0	0
Nebraska	1467	30	0	Ö	30	1 0
Nevada	482	100	68	56	56	56
New Hampshire	724		90	0		0
New Jersey	7097	90 92	97	97	92	89
New Mexico	1010	57		12	8	45
New York	18008		23	70	77	83
N. Carolina	4844	86	70	1 0	0	17
N. Dakota	610	77	0	0	0	1 0
Ohio	10518	0	0	0	70	70
0klahoma	2489	82	48	0	70	60
	2056	60	00	70	0	70
Oregon		70	<u>70</u>	57	91	57
Pennsylvania	11676	100	57	0	100	0
Rh. Island	922	100	100	1 0	100	1 7
S. Carolina	2515	57	16	1 0	- 6	
S. Dakota	663	0	0	1 0	25	45
Tennessee	3834	100	35	1	49	76
Texas	10998	32	39	3		80
Utah	1060	85	85	5	85	0
Vermont	437	0	0	0	0	
Virginia	4543	86	28	20	58 56	58
Washington	3358	85	76	76	56	64
West Virginia		60]]	0	0	0
Wisconsin	4366	44	11	0	41	39
Wyoming	328	0	0	0	0	0
Guam	87	0	0	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	2690	100	100	0	0	0
Vir. Islands	63	100 '	100	0	0	
Amer. Samoa			Ω	0	0	- 8_

^{*} Based on 1970 County Census figures correct to the nearest thousand

III. H. CALCULATED ESTIMATES OF EMISSIONS FOR SELECTED POLLUTANTS

The following table presents, on a State by State basis, calculated emission estimates for 1968 for five major pollutants: particulate matter, sulfur oxide, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxide and hydrocarbons.

The estimates are not actual measured pollutant emissions within each State, as this information is impossible to obtain.

The estimates were obtained by applying average emission factors to published data on fuel consumption, vehicle travel, solid waste disposal and industrial production. One of the principal differences between the totals in this table and those of an earlier nation-wide report was the previous omission of a miscellaneous category which included emissions from such sources as forest fires, structural fires, coal refuse burning and agricultural burning.

By early 1973, EPA will have completed a more comprehensive State by State emission inventory based upon information provided by the States and actual surveys by personnel of the Office of Air Programs. This inventory will include the four territories not currently included and will separate the District of Columbia from Maryland.

III. H. 1968
CALCULATED ESTIMATES OF EMISSIONS
FOR SELECTED POLLUTANTS
(thousands metric tons)
(Annual Emissions)

STATE	Particulates	so _x	CO	NO _X	Hydrocarbons
Alabama	680	540	1900	330	430
Alaska	19	22	100	19	28
Arizona	53	2600	1100	98	200
Arkansas	89	40	1300	130	300
California	580	710	11000	1200	2800
Colorado	260	69	1200	150	280
Connecticut	70	310	1400	190	330
Delaware	33	120	330	45	99
Florida	290	790	3400	470	800
Georgia	240	410	2700	330	600
Hawaii	15	58	260	38	69
Idaho	50	270	430	43	97
Illinois	1400	2800	5400	860	1400
Indiana	1600	1800	3100	520	800
Iowa	220	360	1600	220	380
Kansas	160	140	1500	180	410 410
Kentucky	440	900	1600	290	860
Louisiana	160	360	2900	300	120
Maine	40	80	550	66	500
Maryland &	62 0	660	2000	290	500
D. C.	110	600	2300	340	560
Massachusetts	110	690 1400	4600	660	1100
Michigan	1200	420	2000	260	490
Minnesota	310	92	1200	150	320
Mississippi	100 260	760	2600	340	630
Missouri	260 64	900	500	53	130
Montana	93	36	890	100	220
Nebraska Nevada	95 15	250	350	42	83
New Hampshire	20	63	370	39	93
New Jersey	170	1000	3400	480	990
New Mexico	69	280	1100	9 8	310
New York	1100	1800	6200	930	1500
North Carolina	270	440	2700	380	610
North Dakota	84	56	350	50	92
011 5410 54	- .				

STATE	Particulates	S0 _X	CO	NOx	Hydrocarbons
Ohio	2200	2800	5600	900	1400
Oklahoma	73	240	1800	170	470
Oregon	80	48	1200	120	280
Pennsylvania	2500	1900	5500	800	1400
Rhode Island	22	71	400	47	93
South Carolina	110	85	1400	140	320
South Dakota	57	14	390	37	90
Tennessee	360	970	2100	340	490
Texas	560	1100	10000	910	3000
Utah	140	680	610	79	160
Vermont	5	5	170	19	54
Virginia	220	250	2400	290	550
Washington	130	500	1800	180	450
West Virginia	500	820	960	230	240
Wisconsin	210	340	2000	240	500
Wyoming	20	46	320	42	98
Total	18071	31095	108970	14235	27636

III. I. Tax Incentives

The following table indicates the various tax incentives offered by the States to encourage industry to construct treatment facilities to control air pollution.

As may be seen in the summary, 24 States provide for property tax exemptions; 14 States provide a sales and use tax exemption; 6 States allow franchise or income tax credits; and 8 States offer a franchise or income tax deduction.

III. I. INCENTIVES FOR INDUSTRY

STATE	PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION	SALES AND USE TAX EXEMPTION	FRANCHISE OR INCOME TAX CREDIT	FRANCHISE OR INCOME TAX DEDUCTION
Alabama	χ	X		Х
A1aska	-			
Arizona				χ
Arkansas		X		
California				Χ
Colorado	_			
Connecticut	Χ	Χ	Χ	
Delaware	-			
D. C.	-			
Florida	Χ			
Georgia	Х	Χ		
Hawaii	Χ	•		
Idaho	X			
Illinois	X	Χ		
Indiana	X	X		
Iowa	-			
Kansas	-			
Kentucky	-			
Louisiana	_			
Maine		Х		
Maryland	-			
Massachusetts	Χ			
Michigan		Χ		
Minnesota	X X	•	Х	
Mississippi	-		••	
Missouri		Χ		
Montana	Χ			
Nebraska	-			
Nevada	-			
New Hampshire	Χ			
New Jersey	Х			
New Mexico	-			
New York	χ		Χ	
N. Carolina	Х		^	χ
North Dakota	-			Λ.
Ohio	Χ	Χ		Χ
Oklahoma		•	χ	Λ.
Oregon	Χ		X	
Pennsylvania		Х	^	
Rhode Island	Х	X		χ
S. Carolina	X	^		Λ.
South Dakota	-			
Tennessee	Х			
Texas				
Utah	-			
Vermont	χ			
Virginia	.,			Х
Washington		Χ		۸
West Virginia		X	Х	
Wisconsin	Χ	Λ	٨	v
Wyoming	X X			X
Guam	^			
Puerto Rico		66		
		nn		

III. J. TRANSPORTATION CONTROLS

Most of the control techniques presented in the Air Quality Implementation Plans submitted by the States are designed to abate pollutants at the source. Among these are emission control devices on automobiles, fuel switching procedures during air pollution episode emergencies, electrostatic precipitators, scrubbers in stacks, and similar strategies and devices to reduce pollutants before they reach the air.

The following table shows which States have included in their implementation plan, information on strategies for reducing emissions from motor vehicles by some means in addition to the reductions afforded by the Federal vehicle pollution control program, and gives an indication as to the general type of control strategies being considered. In almost every case the control strategy has been related only to certain, regions in the State where additional emission reductions are deemed necessary. In many instances, final details of the transportation control strategy have not been provided in the implementation plan. States will be required to submit detailed transportation control plans and schedules for implementation at the time of their first semiannual progress report in mid-February 1973.

Transportation controls strategies have been summarized into the following categories:

<u>Traffic control</u>: this includes such actions as converting to one-way streets, use of express lanes, and graduated tolls depending on the number of passengers.

Parking restrictions: includes such things as banning on-street parking, increasing parking fees, and graduating parking fees according to the number of passengers.

Retrofit systems: requiring the installation of devices or systems on older cars to reduce their emissions.

Testing and Inspection: testing for emissions or inspecting to ensure that installed control systems are operating properly.

Gaseous Fuel Systems: requiring fleets, such as taxis, government fleets, or a large company fleet, to convert to a fuel system such as LPG, or liquid natural gas.

Improve public transportation: either by improving present systems, or going to new systems.

Work Schedule Changes: staggering working hours to reduce traffic volume at any given time and, thus, speed traffic flow. This may also be accomplished in conjunction with instituting a four day work week

Land Use Controls: includes considerations relating to the siting of highways, parking areas, and other land areas likely to involve dense traffic concentrations having substantial impact on community air quality.

TRANSPORTATION	CONTROLS

	TRANSPORTATION CONTROLS Improve							
State/Region	Traffic Controls	Parking Restrictions	Retrofit Systems		Gaseous Fuel Systems	Public Transporta- tion	Work Schedule Changes	Land Use Controls
Arizona								
Phoenix-Tucson	P	С		P	P		С	
California	-	Ü		-	_			
South Coast	P	P	А	P	P	P	P	P
San Francisco	_	_		_				
Bay Area	A	P	A	P	P	A	P	P
San Diego	P	P	Α	P	P	P	P	P
San Joaquin			P	P				
Sacramento			P	P				
Colorado								
Denver	C		P	P		C		
D.C.								
All	P	p		P	P	P		
Illinois								
Chicago	P			P				
Maryland								
Baltimore & D. C.	C	С	C	С	С	C	С	C
Massachusetts								
Boston	С	P		P		P		
Minnesota								
St. Paul	С	C		С		С	С	
Nevada	_		T.	~		3		
Clark-Mohave-Yuma	A		P	Р		A		
New Jersey				7				
All				Α				
New York NY-NJ-Conn.		С			С	С		
		C				C		
Ohio	С	С	С	С		С		
Dayton	C	•	U	•		C		

69

C - Considered P - Proposed

A - Adopted

_	J
	_

		TRANSPORTAT	ION CONTROLS	(Cont.)				
State/Region	Traffic Controls	Parking Restrictions	Retrofit	esting and spection	Gaseous Fuel Systems	Improve Public Transporta- tion	Work Schedule Changes	Land Use Controls
Oregon				_		_		
Portland	С	С		С		С		С
Pennsylvania Philadelphia	С							
Texas	Č							
All				P				
Utah								
Wasatch Front	С			С		С		
Virginia								
D.C.	P							
Washington								
Puget Sound				C				
Spokane	С	С						
Wisconsin								
Milwaukee				P				

C - Considered

P - Proposed A - Adopted

III. K. REQUESTS FOR

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

This table reflects the various kinds of technical assistance requested by State and local agencies from the Air and Water Programs Division in each of the ten EPA Regional Offices.

It points out some of the more major areas of activity performed by State and local programs during FY 1972 and an indication as to where regional program efforts were being directed.

III. K. State Requests for Assistance from EPA Regions FY 1972

STATE	Air Quality Data	Control Techniques	Data Aquisition	Emergency Episodes	Emission Inventory	Federal Activities	Impact Statements	Implementation Plan	Instrumentation/Monitoring	Land Use Planning	Legal and Enforcement	Meteorological Support	New Source/Hazardous Stds	Permit System	Public Information	Recruitment of Staff	Regulations	Research	< Source Sampling	Special Studies(List*)	Training Program (State)	Transportation Control	LIST OF SPECIAL STUDIES*
Alabama	X	X	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	X				Χ	Χ	X		Х		Χ				
Alaska	X	X		χ	Х	Χ		X	Х		х	х		Χ	Х	Х	Х	X				Χ	
Arizona						X	Χ				Х	X	Х				X			Χ			*Fugitive Dust Study
Arkansas					Х			X			Х						Χ						
California				χ		Х		Χ	Х		X		X	Χ				Х		Χ		X:	*Fugitive Dust Study Trans. Control Strategy
Colorado					Х	Х		χ		Χ	,					X	Х					Χ	for State Imp. Plans Helena Valley SO.
Connecticut	X	X	Х	X	X		χ	Х	Χ		χ			X			Х					Χ	Study
Delaware	Х	Х	-	Х			X				Х			X			Х						
D. C.		Х		х	Х		X				X			X		Х	X					Х	
Florida	X	x	X	X	Х	Х		Χ	X				Χ	χ	х		X		X				
Georgia	X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х		Χ	Χ				Χ	Χ	Х		Х		Х				
Hawaii			X	Х	Х							X	Х						Х	Х	Х		*Sequence Fuel Burning
		L	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	ـــــا	<u> </u>					Ь					<u> </u>	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	J		ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	L	L	L

III. K. State Requests for Assistance from EPA Regions FY 1972

						-				·Υ		97											
	Air Quality Data	Conti	Data Aquis	Emergency	Emissi(Land Use I	1		New Source/Hazardous Stds	Permit System	mat	Recruitment of Staif		Researc	Source Sampling	Special Studies(L	Training Program (State)	Transportation Control	LIST OF SPECIAL STUDIES*
Idaho È	X	Χ		X	X	X		X	X		X						Χ	Χ		X			Indepth Agency Evaluation
Illinois	х			Χ				Х	Х		Χ					Х					Х		
Indiana	Х				χ			Х	X							Х					Х		
Iowa	X		Х	Х	Χ		Χ	Х	Х				Х		X		Χ						
Kansas		Х		Х	Χ		χ	χ	Х				Χ			Х							
Kentucky	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	χ		Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	X		Х		Х					
Louisiana		Х			Χ			Χ			х			X	Χ		Х			Х			Development of HC control strategy
Maine		Х		Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ			Х			Х		X	Х						(contract)
Maryland		X	X	Х	Х	χ		Х	Х		Х			X			X					Х	
Massachusetts					Х			Х			Х						Х					X	
Michigan	X	X				x		Х	Х		х					X							
Minnesota					X			Х	х							X)		

III. K. State Requests for Assistance from EPA Regions FY 1972

	,			-																,		,	7
STATE	Air Quality Data	Control Techniques	Data Aquisition		Emission Inventory		Impact Statements	Implementation Plan	Instrumentation/Monitoring	Land Use Planning	Legal and Enforcement	Suppor	New Source/Hazardous Stds	Permit System	Public Information	Recruitment of Staff	Regulations	Research	mpling	Special Studies(List*)	Program	ation Cor	LIST OF SPECIAL STUDIES*
Mississippi	Х	X	Х	Χ	↑ -	_	_		X	-			Χ				Х		X				
Missouri	X			Х	Х			Х	Х					Χ	Х	Х	Х			Χ			Prog. Eval. Springfiel & State; Eval. Follow up Kansas City, MO.
Montana	X	x	Х		Х		x	х	x		Х	Х	Х			Х	Х		x	Х			
Nebraska	X	X	х	X	Х			Х	Х		х		X		X	X	х						
Nevada		X				X		x	X	X	Х						x			Х		Х	*Fugitive Dust Study
New Hampshire	X	Х	Χ	Х	Х			x	Х		Χ	Χ		Χ		X	Х			х	Х		CO levels in truck cabs
New Jersey		X		X	Х	X	x	x	Х									X	Х	Х	Х		Sources of particulates
New Mexico	Х	х	Х	X	Х	x		x	X		Χ			Х	X		Х		х	Х			EPA/N. Mex. Joint Study to define emission factor for fugitive dust(roads, construction, etc.)
New York		X	X	X	X	x	x	Х	Х			χ						X	X	X	χ	Х	Sources of particulate
N. Carolina	X	Х	x	x	x	x		X	Х				X	x	Х		x		X				
North Dakota							<u> </u>																
Ohio	X	Х	x		X	X	x	X	X		χ	Х		x		X	х				Х		

III. K. State Requests for Assistance from EPA Regions FY 1972

STATE	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	F1 1972
Oklahoma X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	STATE	Air Quality Data Control Techniques Data Aquisition Emergency Episodes Emission Inventory Federal Activities Implementation Plan Instrumentation Plan Recording Information Recruitment of Staff Regulations Recruitment of Staff Regulations Source Sampling Special Studies(List*) Iraining Program (State) Iraining Prog
Pennsylvania X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Oklahoma	y y y y y y y Intend to particulate
Rhode island X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Oregon	X X X X X X X X X X X Indepth Agency Evaluation
S. Carolina	Penn s ylvania	
South Dakota X XX X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Rhode Island	
Tennessee X XX X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	S. Carolina	
Texas X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	South Dakota	
Texas X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Tennessee	x xx x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
Vermont X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Texas	X X X X X Development of HC & NO _X Control strategies
Virginia X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Utah	
Virginia X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Vermont	
	Virginia	
	Washington	

III. K. State Requests for Assistance from EPA Regions $_{\mbox{FY}}$ 1972

STATE	Air Quality Data	ol T	Data Aquisition	\sim	Ä	Federal Activities			Instrumentation/Monitoring	വ	Legal and Enforcement	orological Support	New Source/Hazardous Stds		Public Information	Recruitment of Staff	Regulations	Research	Source Sampling	Special Studies(List*)	00	Transportation Control	LIST OF SPECIAL STUDIES*
West Virginia		X		χ				X	X		X	X		X			Χ						
Wisconsin	Х	Х		χ	χ			X	X		Х	χ		Х		Х							
Wyoming					X	Х		Х	X				Х	Х		Χ	X						
Amer. Samoa	χ		Х	Х	X		X	X						χ									
Guam	Χ		Х	Х	Χ	Χ	х	x						Х		Χ	Χ						
Puerto Rico		Х			Х			X	X		Х			Х	Χ		Х				χ,		
Virgin Islands					Х			X	Χ			χ			χ	Х	X				Х		
Air Quality Data									Meteorological Support15 New Source/Hazardous Stds20 Permit System33 Public Information19 Recruitment of Staff25 Regulations41 Research6 Source Sampling13 Special Studies16 Training Program (State)11 Transportation Control13									ls20 33 19 25 41 6 13 16					

APPENDIX

- A. List of Local Air Pollution Control Agencies by State
- B. Clean Air Act Excerpts

Section 105 Section 106

- C. Control Agency Function Descriptions
- D. Titles and Definitions of Standard State Control Positions
- E. New Air Training Courses Planned for FY 1973
- F. Regional Office Addresses

APPENDIX A

LOCAL AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCIES

ALABAMA

Air Pollution Control Department City of Huntsville P.O. Box 308 Huntsville, AL 35804

Jefferson County Dept. of Health 1912 Eighth Avenue, South Birmingham, AL 35202

Mobile County Board of Health 248 Cox Street Mobile, AL 36601

ALASKA

Cook-Inlet Air Res. Mgmt. District c/o Greater Anchorage Area Borough Pouch 6-650 Anchorage, AK 99502

Environmental Services Division Fairbanks North Star Borough Box 1267; Fairbanks, AK 99707

ARIZONA

Greenlee-Graham County P.O. Box 1475 Clifton, AZ 85533

Maricopa County Dept. of Health 1825 East Roosevelt Street Phoenix, AZ 85006

Pima County Air Pollution Control District 151 West Congress Tucson, AZ 85701

ARKANSAS

CALIFORNIA

Bay Area Air Pollution Control District 939 Ellis Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Alma County Air Pollution Control Dist. RFD, Gadersville, NV 89410

Amador County P.O. Box 1058 Pine Grove, CA

Butte County Air Pollution Control Dist. P.O. Box 1229
Oroville, CA 95965

Calveras County Air Pollution Control District Calveras County Health Department Government Center San Andreas, CA 95249

Colusa County Air Pollution Control District 546 Jay Colusa, CA 95932

Del Norte County Air Pollution Control District 2850 Cold Springs Road Placerville, CA 95667

Fresno County Public Health Department 515 South Cedar Fresno, CA 93702

Glenn County Air Pollution Control District Memorial Building Willows, CA 95988

Humboldt County Air Pollution Control District 5630 South Broadway Eureka, CA 95501

Imperial County Air Pollution
 Control District
935 Broadway
E1 Centro, CA 92243

Inyo County Air Pollution Control Dist. P.O. Box 218
Independence, CA 93526

CALIFORNIA Cont.

Kern County Air Pollution
 Control District
P.O. Box 997
St. Bakersfield, CA 93302

Kings County Air Pollution Control District 1221 West Lacey Hanford, CA 93230

Lake County Air Pollution Control District Rt. #1, Box 315C Kelsyville, CA 95451

Lassen County Air Pollution Control Dist. 175 Russell Avenue Susanville, CA 96130

Los Angeles County Air Pollution Control District 434 South San Pedro Street Los Angeles, CA 90013

Madera County Air Pollution Control District 216 West Sixth Street Madera, CA 93637

Mariposa County Air Pollution Control District P.O. Box 5 Mariposa, CA 95338

Mendocino County Air Pollution Control District Courthouse Ukiah, CA 95182

Merced County Department of Public Health 240 East 15th Street Merced, CA 05340

Modoc County Air Pollution Control District Box 1091 Arturas, CA 96101 Monterey-Santa Cruz County Unified Air Pollution Control District P.O. Box 487 Salinas, CA 93901

Mono County Air Pollution Control Dist. Courthouse Bridgeport, CA 93517

Nevada County Air Pollution Control Dist. P.O. Box 294 Nevada City, CA 95959

Orange County Air Pollution Control Dist. 1010 S. Harbor Blvd. Anaheim, CA 92805

Placer County Air Pollution Control Dist. County Health Department P.O. Box 749 Auburn, CA 95603

Plumas County Health Department P.O. Box 480 Quincy, CA 95971

Riverside County Air Pollution Control District 3575 11th Street Mall Riverside, CA 92501

Air Pollution Control District Sacramento County Health Department 2221 Stockton Blvd. Sacramento, CA 95817

San Benito County Air Pollution Control District 172 West Third Street San Bernardino, CA 92401

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District 1600 Pacific Highway San Diego, CA 92101

San Joaquin County Air Pollution District 1601 East Hazelton Street Stockton, CA 95201

CALIFORNIA Cont.

San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District P.O. Box 1489 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District 4440 Calle Real Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Shasta County Air Pollution Control District 2650 Hospital Lane Redding, CA 96001

Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District Courthouse Annex Yreka, CA 96097

Stanislaus County Air Pollution Control District 820 Scenic Drive Modesto, CA 95350

Sierra County Air Pollution Control District County Court House Downieville, CA 95936

Sutter County Air Pollution Control District 142 Garden Highway Yuba, CA 95991

San Brindino Air Pollution Control District 172 West 3rd St. San Brindino, CA 92401

Tehama County Air Pollution Contro Board P.O. Box 250 Red Bluff, CA 96080

Tulare County Air Pollution Control District County Civic Center Visalia, CA 03277 Truty County Air Pollution Control Dist. P.O. Box AK Weaverville, CA 96093

Tuolumme County Air Pollution Control Dist. 9 N. Washington Street Senora, CA 95370

Ventura County Air Pollution Control District 735 East Santa Barbara Street Santa Paula, CA 93060

Yolo-Solano Air Pollution Control Dist. P.O. Box 1157 Woodland, CA 95695

Yuba County Air Pollution Control District 1420 "I" Street Marysville, CA 95901

COLORADO

Tri-County District Health Dept. 4857 South Broadway Englewood, CO 80110

Boulder City-County Health Dept. 3450 Broadway Boulder, CO 80302

Air Pollution Control Agency Department of Health & Hospitals City-County of Denver W 8th Ave. & Cherokee Streets Denver, CO 80204

El Paso City-County Health Dept. 712 South Tegon Colorado Springs, CO 80902

Jefferson County Health Dept. 260 South Kipling Lakewood, CO 80226

Larimer County Health Dept. County Court House 200 West Oak Street Fort Collins, CO 80521

COLORADO Cont.

Las Animas-Huerfano Counties District Health Department P.O. Box 791 Walsenburg, CO 81089

Mesa County Dept. of Public Health 515 Patterson Grand Junction, CO 81501

Pueblo City-County Health Dept. 151 Central Main Pueblo, CO 81003

Weld County Health Department 1555 17th Avenue Greeley, CO 80631

CONNECTICUT

Air Pollution Control Bureau Office of Humane Affairs City of Bridgeport 835 Washington Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06604

Fairfield Health Department Town Hall Fairfield, CT 06430

Greewich Health Department Town Hall Annex Greenwich, CT 06830

Dept. of Health Stoddard Municipal Bldg. 165 Miller Street Meriden, CT 06450

Middletown Health Dept. Dekoven Drive Middletown, CT 06457

Dept. of Environmental Health Eels Hill Annex Milford, CT 06460 New Britain Health Department 27 West Maine Street New Britain, CT 06051

Bureau of Environmental Health New Haven Health Department One State Street New Haven, CT 06511

Department of Health 137-139 East Avenue Norwalk, CT 06824

Stamford Health Department 229 North Street Stamford, CT 06901

Department of Health Town Hall Stratford, CT 06497

DELAWARE

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FLORIDA

Broward County Air & Water Pollution Control Board 540 S.E. Third Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Bio-Environmental Services Div. Dept. of Health, Welfare, and Bio-Environmental Services 6925 Evergreen Avenue Jacksonville, FL 32208

Hillsborough County Pollution Control Commission 906 Jackson Street Tampa, FL 33602

Manatee County Air & Water Pollution Control Department 202 Sixth Avenue, East Bradenton, FL 33505 Metro Dade County Pollution Control Department 864 N.W. 23rd Street Miami, FL 33127

Environmental Control Board Palm Beach County Courthouse West Palm Beach, FL 33401

Pinellas County Health Dept. 500 Seventh Avenue South St. Petersburg, FL 33721

Sarasota County Health Dept. P.O. Box 2658
Sarasota, FL 33578

GEORGIA

Fulton County Health Dept. 99 Butler Street, S.E. Atlanta, GA 30303

HAWAII

IDAHO

ILLINOIS

Bedford Park Air Pollution Control Board 6700 78th Avenue Bedford Park, IL 60501 c/o Argo, IL Post Office

Bensenville Pollution Control
Department
700 West Irving Park Road
Bensenville, IL 60106

City of Chicago Dept. of Environmental Control 320 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60610

Crystal Lake Pollution Control
Department
121 North Main Street
Crystal Lake, IL 60014

Cook County Environmental Control Bureau Chicago Civic Center, Room 309 Chicago, IL 60602 East St. Louis Air Pollution Control 7 Collinsville Avenue East St. Louis, IL 62201

Air Pollution Control Division Department of Inspections & Permits 828 Davis Street Evanston, IL 60204

Air Pollution Control Board Granite City 2301 Adams St. Granite City, IL 62040

Village of McCook Air Pollution Control Board 50th and Glencoe Avenue McCook, IL 60525

Quad City Area Regional Air Pollution Control Agency 1504 Third Avenue Rock Island, IL 61201

Will County Health Department 510 Ella Avenue Joliet, IL 60433

INDIANA

Air Pollution Control Department Water Pollution Plant Moss Island Road Anderson, IN 46012

Dept. of Air Quality Control 4525 Indianapolis Blvd. East Chicago, IN 46812

Air Pollution Control Dept. City of Evansville Administration Bldg., Rm 207 Evansville, IN 47708

Air Pollution Control Division City of Gary 3600 West Third Avenue Gary, IN 46406

Dept. of Air Pollution Control 5925 Calumet Avenue Hammond, IN 46320

INDIANA Cont.

Bureau of Air Pollution Control Dept. of Public Works Room 2401 City-County Building 200 E. Washington St. Indianapolis, IN 46204

Lake County Health Department 209 West Joliet Street Crown Point, IN 46307

Air Pollution Control Division 100 West Sixth Street Michigan City, IN 46360

Division of Pollution Control St. Joseph County Health Department 1419 South Michigan Street South Bend, IN 46613

Division of Air Pollution Control Vigo County Health Department 120 South Seventh Street Terre Haute, IN 47807

Wayne County Health Department Courthouse Room 113 Richmond, IN 47374

IOWA

Linn County Health Department 445 First Street, S.W. Cedar Rapids, IW 52404

Des Moines-Polk County Health Dept. East First Street & Des Moines Street Des Moines, IW 50309

KANSAS

Kansas City-Wyandotte County
Department of Health
619 Ann Avenue
Kansas City, KS 66101

Wichita-Sedgwick County
Department of Community Health
1900 East 9th Street
Wichita, KS 67203

KENTUCKY

Air Pollution Control District of Jefferson County 2500 S. Third Street Louisville, KY 40202

LOUISIANA

MAINE

MARYLAND

Allegany County Health Dept. P.O. Box 690 111 Union Street Cumberland, MD 21502

Air Quality Control Section Div. of Environmental Health Anne Arundel County Department of Health 101 South Street Annapolis, MD 21401

Division of Air Pollution Control Bureau of Industrial Hygiene Baltimore City Health Department Maryland Avenue & Hillan Road Baltimore, MD 21202

Baltimore County Dept. of Health Maryland Avenue Towson, MD 21204

Frederick County Health Dept. Air Quality Control Section 12 East Church Street Frederick, MD 21701

Howard County Health Department P.O. Box 476 Ellicott City, MD 21043

MARYLAND Cont.

Montgomery County Dept. of Environmental Protection County Office Building Rockville, MD 20850

Prince Georges County Health Department Cheverly, MD 20715

MASSACHUSETTS

Berkshire Air Pollution Control District 1414 State Street Springfield, MA 01009

Air Pollution Control Commission Quincy Market Building, Room 84 Boston, MA 02109

Central Mass. Air Pollution Control
District
City Hall, Room 404
Worcester, MA 01608

Fitchburg Board of Health City Hall, Room 17 Fitchburg, MA 01420

Merrimack Valley Air Pollution Control District 600 Washington Street Boston, MA 02111

Metro Boston Air Pollution Control District 600 Washington Street Boston, MA 02111

Pioneer Valley Air Pollution Control District 1414 State Street Springfield, MA 01109

Southeastern Mass. Air Poll. Control District Lakeville Hospital Lakeville, MA 02346 Air Pollution Control Division Worcester Dept. of Public Health 455 Main Street Worcester, MA 01608

MICHIGAN

Flint Air Pollution Control Division 1100 South Saginaw Street Flint, MI 48502

City of Grand Rapids City Hall, Room 403 Grand Rapids, MI 49503

Macomb County Health Dept. 43525 Elizabeth Road Mt. Clemens, MI 48043

Air Pollution Control Section Muskegon County Health Dept. County Building Terrace Street Muskegon, MI 49440

Wayne County Air Pollution Control Division Wayne County Health Dept. 1311 East Jefferson Street Detroit, MI 48207

MINNESOTA

Dept. of Environmental Services 2215 West Old Shakopee Road Bloomington, MN 55431

Air Pollution Control Division Department of Inspections 220 Grain Exchange Minneapolis, MN 55415

Olmsted County Health Dept. 415 Fourth Street, S.E. Rochester, MN 55901

Richfield Health Department 6700 Portland Avenue Richfield, MN 55423

MINNESOTA Cont.

St. Cloud Health Department 111 South Fourth Avenue St. Cloud, MN 56301

St. Louis County Health Dept. 504 East Second Street Duluth, MN 55805

St. Louis Park Health Dept. 5005 Minnetonka Blvd. St. Louis Park, MN 55416

Air Pollution Control Division Public Utilities Dept. 100 East 10th Street St. Paul, MN 55101

MISSISSIPPI

MISSOURI

Greene County-City of Springfield Air Pollution Control Authority 940 Boonville Springfield, MO 65802

Independence Health Department 210 South Main Street Independence, MO 64050

Kansas City Health Department City Hall, 21st Floor Kansas City, MO 64106

Division of Air Pollution Control City Hall, Room 419 St. Louis, MO 63103

Division of Air Pollution Control St. Louis County Health Department 801 South Brentwood Blvd. Clayton, MO 63105

MONTANA

Billings-Laurel-Yellowstone County Air 60 West Scott Place
Pollution Control Program Elizabeth, NJ 07202
3302 2nd Avenue, North
Billings, MT 59103 Hudson Municipal Air

Cascade County Air Pollution Control Prog. City-County Health Department 1130 17th Avenue, South Great Falls, MT 59401

Missoula City-County Health Department 301 Courthouse Annex Missoula, MT 59801

NEBRASKA

Lincoln-Lancaster County Air Pollution Control Agency Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Dept. 2200 St. Marys Avenue Lincoln, NB 68502

City of Omaha
Public Safety Department
Permits & Inspection Division
108 South 18th Street
Omaha, NB 68102

NEVADA

District Health Department of Clark County 625 Shadow Lane Las Vegas, NV 89106

Washoe County District Health Dept. 10 Kirman Avenue Reno, NV 89502

NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW JERSEY

Central Jersey Regional Air Pollution Control Agency 655 Ambey Avenue Woodbridge, NJ 07095

Department of Health, Welfare, and Housing 60 West Scott Place Elizabeth, NJ 07201

Hudson Municipal Air Pollution Commission 532 Summit Avenue Jersey City, NJ 07306

NEW JERSEY Cont.

Suburban Air Pollution Comm. 49 Mt. Pleasant Avenue West Orange, NJ 07052

NEW MEXICO

Albuquerque Department of Environmental Health Box 1293 Albuquerque, NM 87103

NEW YORK

Albany County Health Department South Ferry and Green Streets Albany, NY 12201

Chemung County Health Dept. John Street-Heritage Park Elmira, NY 14901

Columbia County Dept. of Health 363 Allen Street Hudson, NY 12534

Erie County Dept. of Health 95 Franklin Street Buffalo, NY 14202

Monroe County Department of Health 111 Westfall Road Rochester, NY 14602

Bureau of Air Pollution Control Division of Environmental Health 240 Old Country Road Mineola, NY 11501

Department of Public Health 30 Church Street New Rochelle, NY 10805

Department of Air Resources Environmental Protection Admin. 51 Astor Place New York, NY 10038 Interstate Sanitation Commission 10 Columbus Circle New York, NY 10019

Division of Environmental Health Services Niagara County Health Department 525 Bewley Building Lockport, NY 14094

Division of Environmental Sanitation Onondaga County Dept. of Health 300 South Geddes Street Syracuse, NY 13204

Rensselaer County Dept. of Health Division of Environmental Hygiene Seventh Ave. & State Street Troy, NY 12181

Rockland County Dept. of Health 50 Samsondale Plaza West Haverstraw, NY 10993

Suffolk County Department of Environmental Control 1324 Motor Parkway Hauppauge, NY 11787

Westchester County Department Of Health County Office Building Martine Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

NORTH CAROLINA

Unifour Air Pollution Control Prog. County Office Building Newton, NC 28658

Western N.C. Regional Air Pollution Control Agency P.O. Box 7607 Courthouse Asheville, NC 28807

Air Pollution Control Center Cleveland County Health Dept. 315 Grove Street Shelby, NC 28150

NORTH CAROLINA Cont.

Cumberland County Health Dept. 515 Person Street Fayetteville, NC 28302

Durham County Air Pollution Control Agency 300 East Main Street Durham, NC 27701

Forsyth County Board of Commissioners 206 Government Circle Third & Maine Street Winston-Salem, NC 27103

Gaston County Health Department Box 819 Gastonia, NC 28502

Guilford County Dept. of Public Health Montgomery County Health Dept. 300 East Northwood Street Greensboro, NC 27401

Mecklenburg County Health Dept. 1200 Blythe Blvd. Charlotte, NC 28203

Rowan County Health Department 1216 West Innes Street Salisbury, NC 28144

NORTH DAKOTA

OHIO

Air Pollution Control 177 South Broadway Akron, OH 44308

Air Pollution Control Division Canton City Health Department City Hall, Second Floor Canton, OH 44702

Division of Air Pollution Control 2400 Beekman Street Cincinnati, OH 45214

Division of Air Pollution Control Department of Public Health and Welfare 2735 Broadway Avenue Cleveland, OH 44115

Division of Air Pollution Control 1313 Chesapeake Avenue Columbus, OH 48212

Lake County Combined General Health District 121 Liberty Street Painsville, OH 44077

Dept. of Air Pollution Control 329 10th Street Lorain, OH 44052

Middletown Division of Air Pollution Control 1240 Central Avenue Middletown, OH 45042

6175 West Third Street Dayton, OH 45427

Portsmouth City Health Dept. 740 Second Street Portsmouth, OH 45662

Department of Health City of Mansfield 600 West Third Street Mansfield, OH 44906

Steubenville Air Quality Region City Annex Building 308 Market Street Steubenville, OH 43952

Pollution Control Agency 26 Main Street Toledo, OH 43605

Wood-Lucas County Air Pollution Agency 541 West Wooster Street Bowling Green, OH 43402

Youngstown Air Pollution Control Agency 7 West Federal Street Youngstown, OH 44503

OKLAHOMA

Air Quality Control Division Okla. City-County Health Dept. 921 N.E. 23rd St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Tulsa City-County Health Department 4616 East 15th Street Tulsa, OK 74112

OREGON

Columbia-Willamette Air Pollution Authority 1010 N.E. Couch Street Portland, OR 97232

Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority Route 1, Box 739 Eugene, OR 97402

Mid-Willamette Valley Air Pollution Authority 2585 State Street Salem, OR 97301

PENNSYLVANIA

Allegeny County Health Dept. Bureau of Air Pollution Control 301 39th Street, Bldg. #7 Pittsburgh, PA 15204

Air Pollution Control Board of Greater York 757 West Market Street York, PA 17404

Lehigh Valley Air Pollution Control District 1516 Main Street Northampton, PA 18067

Air Management Services
Phila. Department of Public Health
1701 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

PUERTO RICO

RHODE ISLAND

SOUTH CAROLINA

Charleston County Council County Office Bldg. 2 Courthouse Square Charleston, SC 29401

Building & Inspection Dept. City of Columbia City Hall 1737 Main Street Columbia, SC 29201

Greenville County Health Dept. 200 Unversity Ridge Greenville, SC 29601

County Pollution Control Authority County Court House Spartanburg, SC 29301

SOUTH DAKOTA

TENNESSEE

Chattanooga-Hamilton County Air Pollution Control Bureau City Hall Annex, Room 201 Chattanooga, TN 37402

Knox County Air Pollution Control Department 307 Locust Street City Park Hall, Bldg. C Knoxville, TN 39702

Memphis-Shelby County Health Dept. 814 Jefferson Avenue Memphis, TN 38105

Metro Health Department of Nashville & Davidson County 311 23rd Avenue, North Nashvill, TN 37203

TEXAS

Corpus Christi-Nueces County
Department of Health & Welfare
1811 N. Shoreline
Corpus Christi, TX 78401

City of Dallas Health Dept. 1936 Amelia Court Dallas, TX 75235

El Paso City-County Health Dept. 222 South Campbell El Paso, TX 79901

City of Fort Worth
Department of Public Health
1800 University Blvd
Fort Worth, TX 76107

Galveston County Air Control Dept. 104 Fourth Street, South Texas City, TX 77590

Houston City Health Dept. Pollution Control Division 1115 North MacGregor Drive Houston, TX 77025

Jefferson County Environmental Control Department 1149 Pearl Street Beaumont, TX 77701

Laredo-Webb County Health Dept. 2600 Cedar Avenue Laredo, TX 78040

Lubbock City-County Health Dept. 1202 Jarvis Street Lubbock, TX 79457

San Antonio Metro Health District 131 West Nueva Street San Antonio, TX 78204

UTAH

VERMONT

VIRGINIA

Alexandria Health Department 517 N St. Asaph St. Alexandria, VA 22314

Arlington County Bureau of Environmental Health 1800 North Edison Arlington, VA 22207

Fairfax County Health Dept. 4080 Chain Bridge Road Fairfax, VA 22030

Air Pollution Control Bureau Department of Public Safety 501 North Ninth Street Richmond, VA 23219

City of Roanoke 215 Church Avenue, S.W. Roanoke, VA

Roanoke County Health Dept. 510 S. College Ave. Salem, VA 24153

VIRGIN ISLANDS

WASHINGTON

N.W. Air Pollution Authority 207 Pioneer Building Second and Pine Mount Vernon, WA 98273

Olympic Air Pollution Control Authority 120 East State Avenue Olympia, WA 98501

Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency 410 West Harrison Street Seattle, WA 98119

S.W. Air Pollution Control Authority 7601 N.E. Hazel Dell Avenue Vancouver, WA 98665

WASHINGTON Cont.

Spokane County Air Pollution Control Authority North 811 Jefferson Spokance, WA 99201

Yakima County Clean Air Authority Yakima County Court House, Room 201 Yakima, WA 98901

WEST VIRGINIA

Wheeling Air Pollution Control Dept. 420 Hawley Bldg.
Main Street
Wheeling, WV 26003

WISCONSIN

City of Beloit Department of Health Municipal Center Beloit, WI 53511

Air Pollution Committee Board of Supervisors Douglas County Courthouse Superior, WI 54880

Eau Claire City-County Health Dept. 414 East Grand Avenue Eau Claire, WI 54701

City of Green Bay 100 North Jefferson Green Bay, WI 54301

Madison Dept. of Public Health 507 City-County Building Madison, WI 53709

Milwaukee County Dept. of Air Pollution Control 9722 West Watertown Plank Road Milwaukee, WI 53226 Racine County Air Pollution Control Department 730 Wisconsin Avenue Racine, WI 53403

WYOMING

Laramie Environmental Commission 203 South Fourth Street Laramie, WY 82070

"GRANTS FOR SUPPORT OF AIR POLLUTION PLANNING AND CONTROL PROGRAMS

"Sec. 105. (a)(1)(A) The Administrator may make grants to air pollution control agencies in an amount up to two-thirds of the cost of planning, developing, establishing, or improving, and up to one-half of the cost of maintaining, programs for the prevention and control of air pollution or implementation of national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards.

- "(B) Subject to subparagraph (C), the Administrator may make grants to air pollution control agencies within the meaning of paragraph (1), (2), or (4) of section 302(b) in an amount up to three-fourths of the cost of planning, developing, establishing, or improving, and up to three-fifths of the cost of maintaining, any program for the prevention and control of air pollution or implementation of national primary and secondary air quality standards in an area that includes two or more municipalities, whether in the same or different States.
- "(C) With respect to any air quality control region or portion thereof for which there is an applicable implementation plan under section 110, grants under subparagraph (B) may be made only to air pollution control agencies which have substantial responsibilities for carrying out such applicable implementation plan."
- "(2) Before approving any grant under this subsection to any air pollution control agency within the meaning of sections 302 (b)(2) and 302(b)(4) the Administrator shall receive assurances that such agency provides for adequate representation of appropriate State, interstate, local, and (when appropriate) international, interests in the air quality control region.
- "(3) Before approving any planning grant under this subsection to any air pollutant control agency within the meaning of sections 302(b)(2) and 302(b)(4), the Administrator shall receive assurances that such agency has the capability of developing a comprehensive air quality plan for the air quality control region, which plan shall include (when appropriate) a recommended system of alerts to avert and reduce the risk of

situations in which there may be imminent and serious danger to the public health or welfare from air pollutants and the various aspects relevant to the establishment of air quality standards for such air quality control region, including the concentration of industries, other commercial establishments, population and naturally occurring factors which shall affect such standards.

- "(b) from the sums available for the purposes of subsection (a) of this section for any fiscal year, the Administrator shall from time to time make grants to air pollution control agencies upon such terms and conditions as the Administrator may find necessary to carry out the purposes of this section. In establishing regulations for the granting of such funds the Administrator shall, so far as practicable, give due consideration to (1) the population, (2) the extent of the actual or potential air pollution problem, and (3) the financial need of the respective agencies. No agency shall receive any grant under this section during any fiscal year when its expenditures of non-Federal funds for other than nonrecurrent expenditures for air pollution control programs will be less than its expenditures were for such programs during the preceding fiscal year; and no agency shall receive any grant under this section with respect to the maintenance of a program for the prevention and control of air pollution unless the Administrator is satisfied that such grant will be so used as to supplement and, to the extent practicable, increase the level of State, local, or other non-Federal funds that would in the absence of such grant be made available for the maintenance of such program, and will in no event supplant such State, local, or other non-Federal funds. No Grant shall be made under this section until the Administrator has consulted with the appropriate official as designated by the Governor or Governors of the State or States affected.
- "(c) Not more than 10 per centum of the total of funds appropriated or allocated for the purposes of subsection (a) of this section shall be granted for air pollution control programs in any one State. In the case of a grant for a program in an area crossing State boundaries, the Administrator shall determine the portion of such grant that is chargeable to the percentage limitation under this subsection for each State into which such area extends.

"(d) The Administrator, with the concurrence of any recipient of a grant under this section, may reduce the payments to such recipient by the amount of the pay, allowances, traveling expenses, and any other costs in connection with the detail of any officer or employee to the recipient under section 30l of this Act, when such detail is for the convenience of, and at the request of, such recipient and for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this Act. The amount by which such payments have been reduced shall be available for payment of such costs by the Administrator, but shall, for the purpose of determining the amount of any grant to a recipient under subsection (a) of this section, be deemed to have been paid to such agency.

"INTERSTATE AIR OUALITY AGENCIES OR COMMISSIONS

"Sec. 106. For the purpose of developing implementation plans for any interstate air quality control region designated pursuant to section 107, the Administrator is authorized to pay, for two years, up to 100 per centum of the air quality planning program costs of any agency designated by the Governors of the affected States, which agency shall be capable of recommending to the Governors plans for implementation of national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards and shall include representation from the States and appropriate political subdivisions within the air quality control region. After the initial two-year period the Administrator is authorized to make grants to such agency in an amount up to three-fourths of the air quality planning program costs of such agency.

CONTROL AGENCY FUNCTION DESCRIPTION

MANAGEMENT:

- A. Policy, public relations, intergovernmental relations, and development of control strategies and plans: These functions include the variety of special activities required of an agency director and his immediate staff in order to conduct a meaningful and dynamic control program. As an agency increases in size, specialists such as systems analysts, public relations experts, and technical writers may be involved. For small agencies, these skills may be part of the duties of major supervisors.
- B. Administrative and clerical support: This function includes budgeting, record keeping, filing, typing, and related work, as normally required to operate an agency. All clerical staff of the agency are included in this category for ease of tabulation.
- C. Staff training: This function includes the activities of training officers and supervisors in providing on-the-job or formal group training.

TECHNICAL SERVICES:

- A. Laboratory operations: This function includes all preparatory and analytical laboratory activities necessary to support source sampling, ambient air monitoring, and special studies.
- B. Operation of monitoring network. This function relates to the routine servicing and operation of all sampling and meteorological instruments deployed in the field for continuous surveillance of air quality and diffusion characteristics. The data generated are used as input to diffusion models for prediction of future air quality and the development of control regulations; to determine the effectiveness of agency operation in reducing and/or preventing air pollution to forecast episode conditions, and for public information and education purposes.
- C. Data processing: This function includes data reduction, processing, and statistical treatment for air sampling, meteorology, permit processing, emission calculations, and development of inspection schedules.
- D. Special studies: This function includes a variety of special studies conducted for purposes of locating sampling stations, determining contribution of specific sources to ambient air pollution levels, and determining need for new regulations.
- D. Instrument calibration and maintenance: This function includes those tasks performed to ensure the proper operation of sampling, analytical, and meteorological instruments operated by the agency.

ENFORCEMENT SERVICES:

A. Scheduled inspections for permit renewal: This function relates to the activities required of an air pollution inspector to determine whether all sources of pollution, operating under a permit are in compliance with the terms of that permit. The function includes travel time, inspection, and report preparation.

B. Complaint-handling and field patrol: This function includes the operation of a continuous field patrol to enforce regulations on open burning, visible emissions, odors, etc.

ENGINEERING SERVICES:

Calculation of emission estimates: This function relates to the work done in estimating emission rates from various sources and source categories to provide information on program effectiveness, potential future problems within an agency's area of jurisdiction, location of sampling stations, and need for new regulations. B. Permit system: This function covers all the work involved in reviewing plans for potential new sources of air pollution; consultation with builder, owner and/or other interested parties to effect changes, where necessary, making inspections to ensure that what is done conforms to the plans: and appearing before hearing boards to substantiate findings. It is assumed that permits are issued to prevent pollution in a comprehensive manner and that the system includes an authority to construct and a permit to operate. C. Development of control regulations, preparation of technical reports on control and review of industrial control plan for episodes: These areas are generally project-oriented or considered part-time responsibilities of the engineering staff.

TITLES AND DEFINITIONS OF STANDARD STATE CONTROL POSITIONS

DIRECTOR:

Plans, organizes, and directs the professional, administrative, and technical activities of an air pollution control program; coordinates the program with local, state, regional, federal, and private agencies and organizations concerned with air pollution and related environmental activities; and evaluates program and personnel effectiveness and initiates improvements.

SUPERVISOR:

Under the direction of the Director, with broad technical latitude, is responsible for planning a major segment of an air pollution control program; coordinating it with other segments of the program; supervising a professional, administrative, and technical staff; and acting for the Director as designated.

ENGINEER I:

Under close supervision, makes beginning professional engineering analyses and evaluations of air pollution sources, problems, and assists in the development of emission reduction strategies; may assist in provision of professional and technical advice; may participate in special studies; may lead and assist in training program personnel; learns to perform more difficult engineering duties.

ENGINEER II:

Under general supervision, with technical latitude, performs professional engineering work in an office or in the field; makes analyses and evaluations of air pollution sources, problems, and permit plans; calculates emission inventories and develops emission control and reduction strategies and emergency episode plans; provides professional and technical advice; plans and conducts special studies; assists in planning the air pollution control program; leads and trains program personnel.

CHEMIST I:

Under close supervision, performs standardized chemical analyses of atmospheric contaminants; assists in the development of analytical procedures and measurement techniques; learns to perform more difficult analyses and to provide professional and technical advice on the chemistry of air pollution; may participate in special studies; my lead and assist in training program personnel.

CHEMIST II:

Under general supervision, with technical latitude. performs professional chemical analyses of atmospheric contaminants; develops analytical procedures and measurement techniques for laboratory and field activities; rpovides professional and technical advice; plans and conducts special studies; assists in planning the air pollution control program; leads and trains program personnel.

METEOROLOGIST I:

Under close supervision, performs beginning professional meteorological analyses and evaluations of meteorological and air pollution data; assists in the relation of meteorological elements to air pollution problems and preparation of periodic air quality forecasts: may participate in special studies; may lead and assist in the training of program personnel; learns to perform more difficult meteorological duties.

METEOROLOGIST II: Under general supervision, with technical latitude, makes professional meteorological analysis and evaluations of meteorological and air pollution data; relates meteorological elements to air pollution problems in control models; prepares periodic air quality forecasts; recommends implementation and termination of emergency episode plans: provides professional and technical advice; plans and conducts special studies; assists in planning the air pollution control program; leads and trains program personnel.

SPECIALIST I:

Under close supervision, makes beginning professional level analyses and evaluations of air pollution sources, problems and permit plans; assists in complex inspections and investigations; assists in the development of recommendations and in the conduct of negotiations for improvement or modification of air pollutants conditions, initiation of enforcement action and provision of professional and technical advice; may participate in special studies; may lead and assist in the training of program personnel, learns to perform more difficult professional level duties.

SPECIALIST II:

Under general supervision, with technical latitude, functions as a professional-level program representative; conducts complex inspections and investigations of reported violations; analyzes and evaluates air pollution sources, problems, and permit plans; develops recommendations and negotiates improvements or corrective actions; initiates enforcement actions; provides professional and technical advice; plans and conducts special studies; assists in planning the air pollution control program; leads and trains program personnel.

TECHNICIAN I:

Under close supervision, following detailed directions, performs routine technical tasks in laboratory, field and shop; assists higher-level staff installing, operating, calibrating and repairing a variety of equipment and instruments used in the air pollution control program; participates in training.

TECHNICIAN II:

Under supervision, following general directions, installs, calibrates, operates, modifies, maintains, and repairs a variety of equipment and instruments used in the air pollution control program; may assist professional staff conducting special studies; and may lead and assist in the training of lower-level staff.

TECHNICIAN III:

Under general supervision, with technical latitude, performs a broad range of technical duties of varying complexity in the laboratory, shop, and field; assists professional staff conducting special studies; and leads and trains lower-level staff.

INSPECTOR I:

Under close supervision, following detailed directions, performs routine field surveillance, facility and equipment inspection, and, and complaint investigation and learns to perform more responsible tasks by observing and assisting higher-level staff and participating in training.

INSPECTOR II:

Under supervision, following general technical directions, performs field surveillance, facility and equipment inspection, and complaint investigation; may assist professional staff conducting special studies; and may lead and assist in the training of lower-level staff.

INSPECTOR III:

Under general supervision, with technical latitude, performs field surveillance, facility and equipment inspection, and complaint investigation; assists professional staff in conducting special studies; and leads and trains lower-level staff.

AIDE I:

Under close supervision, following detailed directions serves as a helper to a higher-level employee and learns to perform routine tasks.

AIDE II:

Under close supervision, serves as a helper to higher-level employees and learns to perform more difficult tasks requiring some technical knowledge and skill.

ADMINISTRATIVE: CLERICAL: AND

Includes any category not included in above occupations1 categories.

OTHER

NEW COURSES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1973

Air Quality Management Section

(5 days)
(3 days)
(3 days)
(3 days)
(3 days)
(3 days)
(3 days)
(4 days)
(2 days)
(5 days)
(10 days)

For further information concerning these and other training courses contact: Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Air Programs
Office of Manpower Development
Research Triangle Park,
North Carolina 27711

REGIONAL OFFICE ADDRESSES

REGION		STATES	COVERED
Regional Administrator, Region U. S. Environmental Protection John F. Kennedy Federal Buildin Boston, MA 02203	Agency	Maine Massachusetts Connecticut Vermont	New Hampshire Rhode Island
Regional Administrator, Region U. S. Environmental Protection 26 Federal Plaza Room 847 New York, NY 10007	II Agency	New York Puerto Rico	New Jersey Virgin Islands
Regional Administrator, Region U. S. Environmental Protection 6th & Walnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19106		Pennsylvania Maryland West Virginia Virginia	Delaware D. C.
Regional Administrator, Region U. S. Environmental Protection 1421 Peachtree Street, N.E. Sui Atlanta, GA 30309	Agency	Kentucky Tennessee Mississippi Florida	Alabama North Carolina South Carolina Georgia
Regional Administrator, Region U. S. Environmental Protection One North Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606		Ohio Minnesota Indiana	Illinois Michigan Wisconsin
Regional Administrator, Region U. S. Environmental Protection 1600 Patterson Street Dallas, TX 75201		Oklahoma Arkansas Texas	Louisiana New Mexico
Regional Administrator, Region U. S. Environmental Protection 1735 Baltimore Avenue Kansas City, MO 64108		Nebraska Kansas	Iowa Missouri
Regional Administrator, Region U. S. Environmental Protection Lincoln Tower Building, Suite 9 1860 Lincoln Street Denver, CO 80203	Agency	Montana Wyoming Colorado	North Dakota South Dakota Utah
Regional Administrator, Region U. S. Environmental Protection 100 California Street San Francisco, CA 94111		Hawaii Nevada Arizona	Gua m American Samoa California
Regional Administrator, Region U. S. Environmental Protection 1200 6th Avenue Seattle, WA 98101		Alaska Oregon	Idaho Washington