United States
Environmental Protection
Agency

Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory P.O. Box 15027 Las Vegas NV 89114 EPA-600/3-79-118 December 1979

Research and Development



Distribution of Phytoplankton in New Mexico Lakes

RESEARCH REPORTING SERIES

Research reports of the Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, have been grouped into nine series. These nine broad categories were established to facilitate further development and application of environmental technology. Elimination of traditional grouping was consciously planned to foster technology transfer and maximum interface in related fields. The nine series are:

- 1. Environmental Health Effects Research
- 2. Environmental Protection Technology
- 3. Ecological Research
- 4. Environmental Monitoring
- 5. Socioeconomic Environmental Studies
- 6. Scientific and Technical Assessment Reports (STAR)
- 7. Interagency Energy—Environment Research and Development
- 8. "Special" Reports
- 9. Miscellaneous Reports

This report has been assigned to the ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH series. This series describes research on the effects of pollution on humans, plant and animal species, and materials. Problems are assessed for their long-and short-term influences. Investigations include formations, transport, and pathway studies to determine the fate of pollutants and their effects. This work provided the technical basis for setting standards to minimize undesirable changes in living organisms in the aquatic, terrestrial, and atmospheric environments.

DISTRIBUTION OF PHYTOPLANKTON IN NEW MEXICO LAKES

by

V. W. Lambou, F. A. Morris*, M. K. Morris*, W. D. Taylor, L. R. Williams, and S. C. Hern

Water and Land Quality Branch
Monitoring Operations Division
Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory
Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

*Department of Biological Sciences University of Nevada, Las Vegas Las Vegas, Nevada 89154

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114

DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory-Las Vegas, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

FOREWORD

Protection of the environment requires effective regulatory actions which are based on sound technical and scientific information. This information must include the quantitative description and linking of pollutant sources, transport mechanisms, interactions, and resulting effects on man and his environment. Because of the complexities involved, assessment of specific pollutants in the environment requires a total systems approach which transcends the media of air, water, and land. The Environmental Monitoring and Support Lahoratory-Las Vegas contributes to the formation and enhancement of a sound monitoring data base for exposure assessment through programs designed to:

- develop and optimize systems and strategies for monitoring pollutants and their impact on the environment
- demonstrate new monitoring systems and technologies by applying them to fulfill special monitoring needs of the Agency's operating programs

This report presents the species and abundance of phytoplankton in the 8 lakes sampled by the National Eutrophication Survey in the State of New Mexico, along with results from the calculation of several commonly used biological indices of water quality and community structure. These data can be used to biologically characterize the study lakes, and as baseline data for future investigations. This report was written for use by Federal, State, and local governmental agencies concerned with water quality analysis, monitoring, and/or regulation. Private industry and individuals similarly involved with the biological aspects of water quality will find the document useful. For further information contact the Water and Land Quality Branch, Monitoring Operations Division.

Secret B. Morgan

Director

Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory Las Vegas

CONTENTS

<u>Pag</u>	<u>je</u>
Foreword i	ii
Introduction	1
Materials and Methods	2
Lake and Site Selection	2
Sample Preparation	2
Examination	3
Quality Control	4
Results	5
Nygaard's Trophic State Indices	5
Palmer's Organic Pollution Indices	5
Species Diversity and Abundance Indices	7
Species Occurrence and Abundance	Ç
Literature Cited	10
Appendix A. Phytoplankton Species list for the State of New Mexico	1.
Appendix B. Summary of Phytoplankton Data	14

INTRODUCTION

The collection and analysis of phytoplankton data were included in the National Eutrophication Survey in an effort to determine relationships between algal characteristics and trophic status of individual lakes.

During spring, summer, and fall of 1975, the Survey sampled 156 lakes in 11 States. Over 450 algal species and varieties were identified and enumerated from the 430 water samples examined.

This report presents the species and abundance of phytoplankton in the 8 lakes sampled in the State of New Mexico (Table 1). The Nygaard's Trophic State (Nygaard 1949), Palmer's Organic Pollution (Palmer 1969), and species diversity and abundance indices are also included.

TABLE 1. LAKES SAMPLED IN THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

	STORET No.	Lake Name	County
3503 Conchas Reservoir San Miguel 3504 Eagle Nest Lake Colfax 3505 Elephant Butte Reservoir 3506 El Vado Reservoir Rio Arriba 3507 Lake McMillan Eddy	3501	Alamogordo Reservoir	De Baca, Guadalupe
3504 Eagle Nest Lake Colfax 3505 Elephant Butte Sierra Reservoir 3506 El Vado Reservoir Rio Arriba 3507 Lake McMillan Eddy	3502	Bluewater Lake	Valencia, McKinley
3505 Elephant Butte Reservoir Sierra Rio Arriba Sierra Rio Arriba Lake McMillan Eddy	3503	Conchas Reservoir	San Miguel
Reservoir 3506 El Vado Reservoir Rio Arriba Lake McMillan Eddy	3504	Eagle Nest Lake	Colfax
3507 Lake McMillan Eddy	3505		Sierra
	3506	El Vado Reservoir	Rio Arriba
3509 Ute Reservoir Quay	3507	Lake McMillan	Eddy
	3509	Ute Reservoir	Quay

MATERIALS AND METHODS

LAKE AND SITE SELECTION

Lakes and reservoirs included in the Survey were selected through discussions with State water pollution agency personnel and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Regional Offices (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1975). Screening and selection strongly emphasized lakes with actual or potential accelerated eutrophication problems. As a result, the selection was limited to lakes:

- (1) impacted by one or more municipal sewage treatment plant outfalls either directly into the lake or by discharge to an inlet tributary within approximately 40 kilometers of the lake;
- (2) 40 hectares or larger in size; and
- (3) with a mean hydraulic retention time of at least 30 days.

Specific selection criteria were waived for some lakes of particular State interest.

Sampling sites for a lake were selected based on available information on lake morphometry, potential major sources of nutrient input, and on-site judgment of the field limnologist (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1975). Primary sampling sites were chosen to reflect the deepest portion of each major basin in a test lake. Where many basins were present, selection was guided by nutrient source information on hand. At each sampling site, a depth-integrated phytoplankton sample was taken. Depth-integrated samples were uniform mixtures of water from the surface to a depth of 15 feet (4.6 meters) or from the surface to the lower limit of the photic zone representing 1 percent of the incident light, whichever was greater. If the depth at the sampling site was less than 15 feet (4.6 meters), the sample was taken from just off the bottom to the surface. Normally, a lake was sampled three times in 1 year, providing information on spring, summer, and fall conditions.

SAMPLE PREPARATION

To preserve the sample 4 milliliters (ml) of Acid-Lugol's solution (Prescott 1970) were added to each 130-ml sample from each site at the time of collection. The samples were shipped to the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada, where equal volumes from each site

were mixed to form two 130-ml composite samples for a given lake. One composite sample was put into storage and the other was used for the examination.

Prior to examination, the composite samples were concentrated by the settling method. Solids were allowed to settle for at least 24 hours prior to siphoning off the supernate. The volume of the removed supernate and the volume of the remaining concentrate were measured and concentrations determined. A small (8-ml) library subsample of the concentrate was then taken. The remaining concentrate was gently agitated to resuspend the plankton and poured into a capped, graduated test tube. If a preliminary examination of a sample indicated the need for a more concentrated sample, the contents of the test tube were further concentrated by repeating the settling method. Final concentrations varied from 15 to 40 times the original.

Permanent slides were prepared from concentrated samples after analysis was complete. A ring of clear Karo® corn syrup with phenol (a few crystals of phenol were added to each 100 ml of syrup) was placed on a glass slide. A drop of superconcentrate from the bottom of the test tube was placed in the ring. This solution was thoroughly mixed and topped with a coverglass. After the syrup at the edges of the coverglass had hardened, the excess was scraped away and the mount was sealed with clear fingernail polish. Permanent diatom slides were prepared by drying sample material on a coverglass, heating in a muffle furnace at 400° C for 45 minutes, and mounting in Hyrax®. Finally, the mounts were sealed with clear fingernail polish.

Backup samples, library samples, permanent sample slides, and Hyrax®mounted diatom slides are being stored and maintained at the Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory-Las Vegas.

EXAMINATION

The phytoplankton samples were examined with the aid of binocular compound microscopes. A preliminary examination was performed to precisely identify and list all forms encountered. The length of this examination varied depending on the complexity of the sample. An attempt was made to find and identify all of the forms present in each sample. Often forms were observed which could not be identified to species or to genus. Abbreviated descriptions were used to keep a record of these forms (e.g., lunate cell, blue-green filament, Navicula #1). Diatom slides were examined using a standard light microscope. If greater resolution was essential to accurately identify the diatoms, a phase-contrast microscope was used.

After the species list was compiled, phytoplankton were enumerated using a Neubauer Counting Chamber with a 40% objective lens and a 10% ocular lens. All forms within each field were counted. The count was continued until a minimum of 100 fields had been viewed, or until the dominant form had been observed a minimum of 100 times.

[®]Registered trademark

QUALITY CONTROL

Project phycologists performed internal quality control intercomparisons regularly on 7 percent of the species identification and counts. Although an individual had primary responsibility for analyzing a sample, taxonomic problems were discussed among the phycologists.

Additional quality control checks were performed on the Survey samples by Dr. G. W. Prescott of the University of Montana at the rate of 5 percent. Quality control checks were made on 75 percent of these samples to verify species identifications while checks were made on the remaining 25 percent of the samples to verify genus counts. Presently, the agreement between quality control checks for species identification and genus enumerations is satisfactory.

RESULTS

A phytoplankton species list for the State is presented in Appendix A. Appendix B summarizes all of the phytoplankton data collected from the State by the Survey. The latter is organized by lake, and includes an alphabetical phytoplankton species list with concentrations for individual species given by sampling date. Results from the application of several indices are presented (Nygaard's Trophic State, Palmer's Organic Pollution, and species diversity and abundance). Each lake has been assigned a four-digit STORET number. (STORET (STOrage and RETrieval) is the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's computer system which processes and maintains water quality data.) The first two digits of the STORET number identify the State; the last two digits identify the lake.

NYGAARD'S TROPHIC STATE INDICES

Five indices devised by Nygaard (1949) were proposed under the assumption that certain algal groups are indicative of levels of nutrient enrichment. These indices were calculated in order to aid in determining the surveyed lakes' trophic status. As a general rule, Cyanophyta, Euglenophyta, centric diatoms, and members of the Chlorococcales are found in waters that are eutrophic (rich in nutrients), while desmids and many pennate diatoms generally cannot tolerate high nutrient levels and so are found in oligotrophic waters (poor in nutrients).

In applying the indices to the Survey data, the number of taxa in each major group was determined from the species list for each sample. The ratios of these groups give numerical values which can be used as a biological index of water richness. The five indices and the ranges of values established for Danish lakes by Nygaard for each trophic state are presented in Table 2. The appropriate symbol, (E) eutrophic and (O) oligotrophic, follows each calculated value in the tables in Appendix B. A question mark (?) following a calculated value in these tables was entered when that value was within the range of both classifications.

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

Palmer (1969) analyzed reports from 165 authors and developed algal pollution indices for use in rating water samples with high organic pollution. Two lists of organic-pollution-tolerant forms were prepared, one containing 20 genera, the other, 20 species (Tables 3 and 4). Each form was assigned a pollution index number ranging from 1 for moderately tolerant forms to 6 for

TABLE 2. NYGAARD'S TROPHIC STATE INDICES ADAPTED FROM HUTCHINSON (1967)

Index	Calculation	Oligotrophic	Eutrophic
Myxophycean	<u>Myxophyceae</u> Desmideae	0.0-0.4	0.1-3.0
Chlorophycean	<u>Chlorococcales</u> Desmideae	0.0-0.7	0.2-9.0
Diatom	Centric Diatoms Pennate Diatoms	0.0-0.3	0.0-1.75
Euglenophyte	Euglenophyta Myxophyceae + Chlorococcales	0.0-0.2	0.0-1.0
Compound	Myxophyceae + Chlorococcales + Centric Diatoms + Euglenophyta Desmideae	0.0-1.0	1.2-25

TABLE 3. ALGAL GENUS POLLUTION INDEX (Palmer 1969)

TABLE 4. ALGAL SPECIES POLLUTION INDEX (Palmer 1969)

	Pollution		Pollution
Genus	Index	Species	Index
Anacystis	1	Ankistrodesmus falcatus	3
Ankistrodesmus	2	Arthrospira jenneri	2
Chlamydomonas	4	Chlorella vulgaris	2
Chlorella	3	Cyclotella meneghiniana	2
Closterium	1	Euglena gracilis	1
Cyclotella	1	<u>Euglena</u> <u>viridis</u>	6
Euglena	5	<u>Gomphonema</u> <u>parvulum</u>	1
Gomphonema	1	Melosira varians	2
Lepocinclis	1	Navicula cryptocephala	1
Melosira	1	Nitzschia acicularis	1
Micractinium	1	Nitzschia palea	5
Navicula	3	Oscillatoria chlorina	2
Nitzschia	3	Oscillatoria limosa	4
Oscillatoria	5	Oscillatoria princeps	1
Pandorina	1	Oscillatoria putrida	1
Phacus	2	Oscillatoria tenuis	4
Phormidium	1	Pandorina morum	3
Scenedesmus	4	Scenedesmus quadricauda	4
Stigeoclonium	2	Stigeoclonium tenue	3
Synedra	2	Synedra ulna	3
			

extremely tolerant forms. Palmer based the index numbers on occurrence records and/or where emphasized by the authors as being especially tolerant of organic pollution.

In analyzing a water sample, any of the 20 genera or species of algae present in concentrations of 50 per milliliter or more are recorded. The pollution index numbers of the algae present are totaled, providing a genus score and a species score. Palmer determined that a score of 20 or more for either index can be taken as evidence of high organic pollution, while a score of 15 to 19 is taken as probable evidence of high organic pollution. Lower figures suggest that the organic pollution of the sample is not high, that the sample is not representative, or that some substance or factor interfering with algal persistence is present and active.

SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

"Information content" of biological samples is being used commonly by biologists as a measure of diversity. Diversity in this connection means the degree of uncertainty attached to the specific identity of any randomly selected individual. The greater the number of taxa and the more equal their proportions, the greater the uncertainty, and hence, the diversity (Pielou 1966). There are several methods of measuring diversity, e.g., the formulas given by Brillouin (1962) and Shannon and Weaver (1963). The method which is appropriate depends on the type of biological sample on hand.

Pielou (1966) classifies the types of biological samples and gives the measure of diversity appropriate for each type. The Survey phytoplankton samples are what she classifies as larger samples (collections in Pielou's terminology) from which random subsamples can be drawn. According to Pielou, the average diversity per individual (H) for these types of samples can be estimated from the Shannon-Wiener formula (Shannon and Weaver 1963):

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} P_i \log_X P_i$$

where P is the proportion of the ith taxon in the sample, which is calculated from n_i/N ; n_i is the number of individuals per milliliter of the ith taxon; N is the total number of individuals per ml; and S is the total number of taxa. However, Basharin (1959) and Pielou (1966) have pointed out that H calculated from the subsample is a biased estimator of the sample H, and if this bias is to be accounted for, we must know the total number of taxa present in the sample since the magnitude of this bias depends on it.

Pielou (1966) suggests that if the number of taxa in the subsample falls only slightly short of the number in the larger sample, no appreciable error will result in considering S, estimated from the subsample, as being equal to the sample value. Even though considerable effort was made to find and identify all taxa, the Survey samples undoubtedly contain a fair number of rare phytoplankton taxa which were not encountered.

In the Shannon-Wiener formula, an increase in the number of taxa and/or an increase in the evenness of the distribution of individuals among taxa will increase the average diversity per individual from its minimal value of zero. Sager and Hasler (1969) found that the richness of taxa was of minor importance in determination of average diversity per individual for phytoplankton and they concluded that phytoplankton taxa in excess of the 10 to 15 most abundant ones have little effect on H. This was verified by our own calculations. Our counts are in number per milliliter and since logarithms to the base 2 were used in our calculations, H is expressed in units of bits per individual. When individuals of a taxon were so rare that they were not counted, a value of 1/130 per milliliter or 0.008 per milliliter was used in the calculations since at least one individual of the taxon must have been present in the collection.

A Survey sample for a given lake represents a composite of all phytoplankton collected at different sampling sites on the lake during a given sampling period. Since the number of samples (M) making up a composite is a function of both the complexity of the lake sampled and its size, it should affect the richness-of-taxa component of the diversity of our phytoplankton collections. The maximum diversity (MaxH) (i.e., when the individuals are distributed among the taxa as evenly as possible) was estimated from log₂ S (Pielou 1966), while the minimum diversity (MinH), was estimated from the formula:

MinH =
$$-\frac{S-1}{N} \log_2 \frac{1}{N} - \frac{N - (S-1)}{N} \log_2 \frac{N - (S-1)}{N}$$

given by Zand (1976). The total diversity (D) was calculated from HN (Pielou 1966). Also given in Appendix B are L (the mean number of individuals per taxa per milliliter) and K (the number of individuals per milliliter of the most abundant taxon in the sample).

The evenness component of diversity (J) was estimated from H/MaxH (Pielou 1966). Relative evenness (RJ) was calculated from the formula:

$$RJ = \frac{H-MinH}{MaxH-MinH}$$

given by Zand (1976). Zand suggests that RJ be used as a substitute for both J and the redundancy expression given by Wilhm and Dorris (1968). As pointed out by Zand, the redundancy expression given by Wilhm and Dorris does not properly express what it is intended to show, i.e., the position of H in the range between MaxH and MinH. RJ may range from 0 to 1; being 1 for the most even samples and 0 for the least even samples.

Zand (1976) suggests that diversity indices be expressed in units of "sits", i.e., in logarithms to base S (where S is the total number of taxa in the sample) instead of in "bits", i.e., in logarithms to base 2. Zand points out that the diversity index in sits per individual is a normalized number ranging from 1 for the most evenly distributed samples to 0 for the least evenly distributed samples. Also, it can be used to compare different samples, independent of the number of taxa in each. The diversity in bits per

individual should not be used in direct comparisons involving various samples which have different numbers of taxa. Since MaxH equals log S, the expression in sits is equal to logs S, or 1. Therefore diversity in sits per individual is numerically equivalent to J, the evenness component for the Shannon-Wiener formula.

SPECIES OCCURRENCE AND ABUNDANCE

The alphabetic phytoplankton species list for each lake, presented in Appendix B, gives the concentrations of individual species by sampling date. Concentrations are in cells, colonies, or filaments (CEL, COL, FIL) per milliliter. An "X" after a species name indicates that the species identified in the preliminary examination was in such a low concentration that it did not appear in the count. A blank space indicates that the organism was not found in the sample collected on that date. Column S is used to designate the examiner's subjective opinion of the five dominant taxa in a sample, based upon relative size and concentration of the organism. The percent column (%C) presents, by abundance, the percentage composition of each taxon.

LITERATURE CITED

- Basharin, G. P. 1959. On a statistical estimate for the entropy of a sequence of independent random variables, pp. 333-336. <u>In</u>: Theory of Probability and Its Applications (translation of "Teoriya Veroyatnosei i ee Premeneniya"). N. Artin (ed). 4. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia.
- Brillouin, L. 1962. Science and Information Theory (2nd ed.). Academic Press, New York. 351 pp.
- Hutchinson, G. E. 1967. A Treatise on Limnology. II. Introduction to Lake Biology and the Limnoplankton. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 1,115 pp.
- Nygaard, G. 1949. Hydrobiological studies of some Danish ponds and lakes. II. (K danske Vidensk. Selsk.) Biol. Sci. 7:293.
- Palmer, C. M. 1969. A composite rating of algae tolerating organic pollution. J. Phycol. 5:78-82.
- Pielou, E. C. 1966. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. J. Theor. Biol. 13:131-144.
- Prescott, G. W. 1970. How to Know the Freshwater Algae. William C. Brown Company, Dubuque. 348 pp.
- Sager, P. E., and A. D. Hasler. 1969. Species diversity in lacustrine phytoplankton. I. The components of the index of diversity from Shannon's formula. Amer. Natur. 103(929):51-59.
- Shannon, C. E., and W. Weaver. 1963. The Mathematical Theory of Communication. University of Illinois Press, Urbana. 117 pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1975. National Eutrophication Survey Methods 1973-1976. Working Paper No. 175. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada, and Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon. 91 pp.
- Wilhm, V. L., and T. C. Dorris. 1968. Biological parameters for water quality criteria. Bio-Science. 18:477.
- Zand, S. M. 1976. Indexes associated with information theory in water quality. J. Water Pollut. Contr. Fed. 48(8):2026-2031.

APPENDIX A PHYTOPLANKTON SPECIES LIST FOR THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Achnanthes
Amphora
Anabaena
Anabaenopsis circularis
Anabaenopsis raciborskii
Ankistrodesmus falcatus
Ankistrodesmus falcatus

v. acicularis Ankistrodesmus falcatus

v. mirabilis
Aphanizomenon flos-aquae
Aphanothece
Arthrospira tenuis
Asterionella formosa
Binuclearia eriensis
Carteria
Ceratium hirundinella
Ceratium hirundinella

f. furcoides Chlamydomonas Closterium Coelastrum cambricum

v. intermedium
Coelastrum microporum
Coelastrum reticulatum
Coelastrum sphaericum
Coelosphaerium
Cosmarium
Crucigenia rectangularis
Crucigenia tetrapedia
Cryptomonas erosa
Cryptomonas erosa

v. reflexa
Cryptomonas marssonii
Cryptomonas reflexa
Cyclotella meneghiniana
Cymatopleura elliptica
Cymbella cuspidata
Dactylococcopsis irregularis
Dictyosphaerium ehrenbergianum
Dictyosphaerium pulchellum
Dinobryon divergens
Dinobryon sociale
Dinobryon sociale

V. americanum
Diploneis
Elakatothrix gelatinosa
Entomoneis ornata
Euglena acus
Euglena oxyuris
V. minor

Euglena tripteris Fragilaria capucina

v. mesolepta
Fragilaria crotonensis
Franceia droescheri
Franceia ovalis
Glenodinium edax
Glenodinium gymnodinium

V. biscutelliforme
Glenodinium oculatum
Gyrosigma scalproides
Hantzschia amphioxys
Lepocinclis playfairiana
Mallamonas acaroides
Melosira distans
Melosira granulata
Merismopedia minima
Microcystis aeruginosa
Microcystis incerta
Nephrocytium
Nitzschia acicularis
Nitzschia longissima

V. reversa
Oedogonium
Oocystis
Oscillatoria limnetica
Pascherina tetras
Pediastrum boryanum
Pediastrum duplex
Pediastrum simplex

v. duodenarium Peridinium quadridens Phacus acuminatus Phacus acuminatus

V. drezepolskii
Phacus caudatus
Phacus megalopsis
Phacus pseudonordstedtii
Phormidium mucicola
Pinnularia
Planktosphaeria gelatinosa
Raphidiopsis curvata
Scenedesmus abundans
Scenedesmus bicaudatus
Scenedesmus bijuga
Scenedesmus dimorphus
Scenedesmus intermedius

v. bicaudatus Scenedesmus quadricauda Schroederia setigera Skeletonema potamos
Sphaerocystis schroeteri
Spirulina subsalsa
Staurastrum
Stephanodiscus niagarae
Surirella ovata
Synedra delicatissima
V. angustissima
Synedra ulna

Tetraedron minimum
Tetraedron minimum
V. scrobiculatum
Tetrastrum glabrum
Trachelamonas hispida
Trachelamonas intermedia
Trachelamonas urceolata
Trachelamonas volvocina

APPENDIX B. SUMMARY OF PHYTOPLANKTON DATA

This appendix was generated by computer. Because it was only possible to use upper case letters in the printout, all scientific names are printed in upper case and are not italicized.

The alphabetic phytoplankton lists include taxa without species names (e.g., EUNOTIA, EUNOTIA #1, FLAGELLATE, FLAGELLATES, MICROCYSTIS INCERTA?, CHLOROPHYTAN COCCOID CELLED COLONY). When species determinations were not possible, symbols or descriptive phrases were used to separate taxa for enumeration purposes. Each name on a list, however, represents a unique species different from any other name on the same list, unless otherwise noted, for counting purposes.

Numbers were used to separate unidentified species of the same genus. A generic name listed alone is also a unique species. A question mark (?) is placed immediately after the portion of a name which was assigned with uncertainty. Numbered, questioned, or otherwise designated taxa were established on a lake-by-lake basis; therefore NAVICULA #2 from lake A cannot be compared to NAVICULA #2 from lake B. Pluralized categories (e.g., FLAGELLATES, CENTRIC DIATOMS, SPP.) were used for counting purposes when taxa could not be properly differentiated on the counting chamber.

DATE	05 01	75	08 20	75	10 02	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	01/0	E	07/0	ε	05/0	E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	04/0	E	12/0	E	06/0	E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0.40	E	0.42	E	0.18	7
DIATOM	0.33	E	0.40	E	1.00	Ē
COMPOUND	08/0	Ε	29/0	E	15/0	E

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	05 01 75	08 20 75	10 02 75
GENUS	02	15	10
SPECIES	03	04	03

AVERAGE DIVERSITY H 1.70 3.51	3,69
NUMBER OF TAXA S 16,00 41,00	21.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED M 3.00 3.00	3,00
MAXIMUM DIVERSTTY MAXH 4.00 5.36	4.39
MINIMUM DIVERBITY MINH 0,10 0.22	0.04
TOTAL DIVERBITY D 3037.90 8139.69 24	4844.77
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML N 1787.00 2319.00	6733.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT J 0.43 0.65	0.84
RELATIVE EVENNESS RJ 0.42 0.65	0.84
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA L 111.69 56.56	320.62
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON K 759.00 395.00	979.00

			05 01 79	3	00 20 7		10 02 75	
TAXA	FORM		ALGAL UNITS C PER HL	!	ALGAL UNITS C PER HL	 	ALGAL Units Per nl	
AMPHORA	CEL	11	1 X	1 1		11	7	7
ANABARNOPSIS	PII.	1.1	1	1 1	ı x	1 1	i	i
ANKISTRODESMUS FALCATUS ANKISTRODESMUS FALCATUS	CEI	11	1	1 1	i x	!!	!	į
V. ACICULARIS	CEL	12140.	01 715	i i 6.	41 148	1 1	1	1
ANKISTRUDESHUS FALCATUS		1.1	į.	1 1	i	ii	i	i
V, MIRABILIS ARTHRUSPIRA TENUIS	CEL FIL	11	į x	1 1	!	1.1 -	!	į
CARTERIA	CEL	ii	i	11	1 X	111 5,5	1 373 1	!
CENTRIC DIATON	CEL	ii	i x	i i 6.		i i	i	i
CHIANYDOMONAS CHROOMONAS 7	CEL	1.1.	.!	1 !	i x	151 3.5		į
COELASTRUM SPHAERICUM	CEL	11142.	51 759	1 1 2.	1 49	14114,5	1 979	i
CRUCIGENIA IETRAPEDIA	COL	ii	i	i i **	i i	ii	i	i
CRYPTOMONAS EROSA CRYPTOMONAS MARSSONII	CEL	151 2.			41 148	i i	ì x	i
CRYPTCHONAS REFLEXA	CEL Cel	141 2.	51 45	1 1	! .	!!	!	!
CYCLOTELLA MENEGHINIANA	CEL	ii	i		1 X	1 1 7.6	513	i
DACTYLOCOCCUPSIS	CEL	1.1	i	1 1	i	12111.1		i
DICTTOSPHARRIUM PULCHELLUM DIPLOMEIS	COL	!!	!	1 1 2.		11	l	į
EUGLENA #1	CEL		1 x		i x		X	!
EUGLENA #2	CEL	ii	i	ii	ii	ii	•	ì
EUGLENA SPP,	CEI.	i i	i	151 4.		ii	i	i
EUGLENA TRIPTERIS Flagellate #2	CEL	11	!	!!	i x	! !!		į
FRANCEIA DROESCHERI	CEL	11	1		i x	1 111.0		!
FRANCEIA OVALIS	CEL	ii	i	i i	i ^	i i 2.1	-	i
GLENODINIUM EDAX Hanteschia	CEL	1 1	i x	1 1	1	11 1	l	i
LEPOCINCLIS	CEL	11	1		1 X	1 1 0.71	47	i
Muliononas acardides	CEL	ii	i x	ii	•	11 1		!
MERISHOPEDIA HINIHA	COL	1 1	1	i i	1 X	1 110.01	670	i
MICROCYSTIS AERUGINOSA MICROCYSTIS INCERTA	COF	1 1	!	!!	i x	1,1, ,	744	•
MICROCYSTIS MINIMA	COL	ii	1	1 1	i x	[3]11,1		ŀ
MEPHROCYTIUM	CEL	ii	i	ii	i -	1 1 1.4		i
WITZSCHIA ACICULARIS	CEL	!!	!	1.1	1	1 1 2.01		ŧ
NITZBCHIA ACICULAPIS T NITZBCHIA LONGIBSIMA	CEL	11	!	13117,0	1 395	11 1		!
V. REVERSA	CEL	ii	i	i i	i x			ŀ
DOCYSTIS	CEL	1 1	į x	1 1 0,5		1 1 3,51	233	i
OSCILLATORIA LIMNETICA PASCHERINA TETRAS	FIL	11	!	12114.9		1!!!		į
PEDIASTRUM DUPLEX	COL	i i	i	1 1 2.1	1 X		1	!
PENNATE DIATUM	CEL	į į	i	1 1 4.3	1 99	ii i	'	i
PERIDINIUM GUADRIDENS PHACUS	CEL			1 1	, x	!!!!	_	İ
PHACUS #1	CEL	ii	i	1 1	i x		X	!
PHACUS ACUMINATUS		i	i	i i	i ~	ii i		i
V. DREZEPOLSKII	CEL	!!	i x	!!	i X	11 1		Ĺ
PHACUS MEGALOPSIS PHORMIDIUM	CEL Fil	1 1	1	1 1	l X	1 1 2.11	440	i
PHORMIDIUM MUCICOLA	FIL	ii	i	ii	i x	1 2,11	140	i
RAPHIDIOPSIS CURVATA	FIL	13112,6		11117.0	i 395	ii i		i
SCENEDESHUS ABUNDANS SCENEDESHUS DIMORPHUS	COF	1 1	l t		I X	11 1		ļ
SCENEDESHUS GUADRICAUDA	COL	ii	i x	1 1	1 X		x	!
ACHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	i i	i	1 1 2.1	i 49	i i 2,8	107	i
SKELETONENA POTAMOS	CEL	!!	!	1 1 4,3	1 99	1 1 0.31	660	i
SURIRELLA Syncora	CEL	! !	i x	: :	!	!!!!		ŀ
SYNEDRA DELICATISSIMA		ii	i	ii	i			1
V. ANGUSTISBINA	CEL	1 1	1	1 1	i x	ii i		i
TETRAEDRON MINIMUM TETRAEDRON MINIMUM	CEL	!!	!	1 1	!	1 1 1.41		ı
V. SCROBICULATUR	CEL	1 1	1	1 1 2.1	1 49			!
TRACHELOHONAS	CEL	ii	i		i X	11 1		!
TOTAL.						. •		•
THERE			1707		2319		6733	

DATE	05 05	75	08 19	75	10 01	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	01/0	E	03/0	E	01/0	£
CHLOROPHYCEAN	01/0	E	0/0	0	01/0	E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/02	?	0/03	?	0/02	?
DIATOM	0.33	E	1,00	Ē	01/0	E
COMPOUND	03/0	E	04/0	E	03/0	E

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	05 05 75	08 19 75	10 01 75
GENUS	08	06	00
SPECIES	02	00	

	DATE	05 05 75	08 19 75	10 01 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	H	2,58	1.63	1,27
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	11,00	8.00	5.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	3,00	3.00	3.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	3.46	3.00	2,32
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.08	0.05	0.05
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	4117,69	2906.29	1062.99
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	Ň	1596.00	1783.00	837.00
EVENNESS COPPONENT	J	0.75	0.54	0.55
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.74	0.54	0.54
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	145.09	222.88	167.40
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	ĸ	570.00	1213.00	432,00

CONTINUED

			05 05 7	5	00 19 75	i	10 01 75
TAXA	FORM	1 1 18 50	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	!	ALGAL UNITS	; ;	ALGAL UNITS PER ML
1848	FORM	10 40	PER PU		IC PER AU	10 40	PER HD
APHANIZOMENON FLUS-AGUAE	FIL	1 1	<u> </u>	11160.	01 1213	11141.9	1 351
ASTERIONELLA FORMOSA	CEL	ii	i x	1 1	i	11	i
CHLANYDOHONAB	CEL	151 7.1	1 114	121 8.	51 152	ii	i
CHRODNONAS ?	CEL	13110.7		141 0,		12151.6	1 432
CRYPTOMONAS EROSA	CEL	1 1	i x	131 6.		131 6.5	54
CRYPTOMONAS EROSA		1 1	Ī	ii	i	i i	ì
Y. REFLEXA	CEL	1 1	i x	i i	i	1 1	i
CYCLOTELLA	CEL	i i	İ	151 4.	31 76	ii	i
CTCLOTELLA MENEGHINIANA	CEL	11121.4	1 342	1 1	i	1.1	1
DACTYLUCUCCUPSIS	CEL	1 1 7,1		ii	ì	ii	i
FLAGELLATE	CEL	12135,7		ii	i	it	ı
MELOSIRA	CEL	11	i	ii	i	1 1	1 X
MICROCYSTIS AERUGINUSA	COF	1 1	ı	1 1	į X	1 1	ı
WITZBCHIA	CEL	1 1 3,6	1 57	1 1	1 X	1 1	1
PHORMIDIUM	FIL	1 1	1	1 1 4.	31 76	1.1	l .
SCHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	14110.7	1 171	1 1	1	1 1	ı X
SURIRELLA OVATA	CEL	1 1 3,6	1 57	1 1	1	j l	ı
TOTAL			1596		1703		037

DATE	05 01	75	08 21	75	10 02	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/0	O	4.00	E	1.50	E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	04/0	E	3.00	E	4.00	E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/04	?	0.29	E	0.82	E
DIATOM	0,33	E	0/03	7	0.33	£
COMPOUND	05/0	E	9.00	E	10.5	E

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	05 01 75	08 21 75	10 02 75
GENUS	02	07	00
SPECIES	03	03	00

	DATE	05 01 75	09 21 75	10 02 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	1.38	2.24	2,33
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	11.00	20.00	32.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	4.00	4.00	4.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	MAXH	3.46	4,32	5.00
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.08	0.24	1.01
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	1972.02	1968.96	682.69
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	1429.00	879.00	293.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.40	0.52	0.47
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.39	0.50	0.34
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	129.91	43.95	9.16
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	Ř	915.00	402.00	118.00

		-	_,	05 01 75			08 71 15			10 07 75
TAXA	FORM	i i !8	1 C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML] [•c	ALGAL UNITE PER NU	1	•c	ALGAL 1 UNITS 1 PER ML 1
E N B M B I' U B							·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ANABAENA ANKISTRODESMUS FALCATUS	FIL	11	1		1		X	1 .		į.
Y. ACICULARIS	CEL	- 1 1	- 1		13	12,5	110	L I		29
ANKISTRODESHUS FALCATUS		ii				1		1		27
V. MIRABILIA	CEL	121	23,4	335		i i		i i	-	x i
ASTERIONELLA FORMOSA	CEP		3,1	45	ı	1 1	X	i.	i i	•
CHROUMGHAS 7 CLOSTERIUM #1	CEL.		64.0ļ	915		145.71			140.31	114
CLOSTERIUM #2	CEL	1 1				!!	X	1	•	X 1
CUELASTRUM CAMBRICUM	CED	-11	:		•	!!		!	•	X I
V. INTERNEDIUN	CEL	- 1 1	·		1					X
CRIPTOMONAS EROSA	CEL	ii	i	x	15		37		20.1	59
CACCOLATON	CEL	1 1	i		i		• .	1		, i
CYCLOTELIA MENEGHINIANA	CEL	4 1	1	×		i		i 1	iì	~ i
CIMATOPLEUFA ELLIPTICA	CfL	1 1	1	X	-	1 1		1	1 6	i
DACTYLOCOCCOPSIS IRREGULARIS DINOBRYON DIVERGENS	CEL	1 !			1			1		X I
DIMONATON BOCTALE	CEL	1 1	!		1	•	×	1 1		X I
DINDBRYDS SOCIALE	CLL	1 1	:		1 :			1 1		x i
Y. AMERICANUM	CEL	: i	i		H		x	1 1		†
ELAKATOTHRIX GELATENOSA	COL	ii	i		i i	i	•	ii		
EUGGENA 11	CLL	1 1	i		ii	i	x	ii		_ i
EUGERNA 12	CEE	5.3	1		i	i		1 1		x i
RUGLENA 93	CEL	1.1	- 1		1 1	l E		1 1	1	X į
EUGLENA TRIPTERIS Pragilaria crotonensis	CEL	4 !	. !		1 1	1		1 1		X 1
GLENGDINJUM CKOTONEASIS	CEL CEL	11	i	x	1 1	. !	X	1 1		a i
GLENGDINIUM GYMNODINIUM	CEI	ii	i	*	1 1			1 1		
V. BISCUTELLIFORME	CEL	ii	ī		i i	i	x	ii		x i
LEPOCINCLIS	CEL	i i	Ī		ii	i		i i		i i
MALLOHONAS	CEL	1.1	ı			. 1	X	1 1		i
MICROCYSTIS AERUGINOSA MICROCYSTIS INCERTA	COL	!!	. !		1 1	•	×	1 1		:
#1774CHIA	COL	1 1	1	1	1 1	4.21	27	!!		
OCCYATIA	CEL	iii	9.4	134		20.41	103	1 1		•
OSCILLATORIA LINNETICA	PIL	iii	1,11	•	131		73	i i		
PEDIASTRUM SIMPLEX		ii	i		ii	i		ii	i	- 1
A. DADDENVAIAN	COL	1 1	1	x	1 1	i		1 1		i
PERIPINIUM QUADRIDENS	CEL	1 1	Ţ		1 1	- 1	x	1 1		X į
PHACUS PHACUS ACUMINATUS	CEL	1.1			1 1		X	1 1		1
PHACUS ACUMINATUS	CTL	1 1	- !		!!	. !		1 1		X i
V. OREZEPOLAKII	CLL	11	i		1 1	- 1		1 1	9.9	29
PHACUS PSEUDOMORDSTEDII]	CEL	i i	i		: i	i			7,74	r i
PLANTIDSPHARRIA GEGATINOBA	CDP	Ξi	ā	*	ii	i		: :	i	•
SCENEDERIUS BLJUGA	COL	Ĺ	ì		ii	i		iei	9.9	29 i
SCENEDER OULDRICAUDA	COL	1 1			ii	1		1 1	Ĺ	i i
SPHAEROCKSTIS BOURGETERS SPIRULINA BUSSALSA	CBL	1.1	- 1		1 1	- 1		1	- 1	X 1
SYMEORA BUNSALSA	FIL	11	ļ		1 1	j		1 1		¥ !
STREDRA ULNA	CEL	1:			1 1	:	X	! !	•	Xi
TETRAEDHON MININUM	CEL	11	- :		1 1	!		1 1	- 1	* 1
V. 4CHOBICULATUR	CEN	ii	i		1 1	4.2	17	: i	- 1	x i
TRACHEGONONAL	CEL	ii	i		ii	••••		ii	i	îi
TRACHELOHOMAS INTERMEDIA	CEL	ii	i		ii	i		isi	9.91	29 į
TOTAL						-				
				1429			879			29 }

DATE	05 06	75	08 21	75	10 07	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/0	0	2.00	E	04/0	E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	01/0	E	3.00	E	02/0	E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/01	?	0/05	?	0/06	?
DIATOM	1.00	E	0.50	£	1.00	E
COMPOUND	02/0	Ε	6,00	E	07/0	E

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE 05	06 75 0	08 21 75	10 07 75
GENUS	00	00	0 5
Species	00	00	00

	DATE	05 06 75	08 21 75	10 07 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	11	0.94	1.96	1.44
NUMBER OF TAXA	5	5.00	11.00	12.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	2.00	3.00	3.00
MAXINUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	2,32	3.46	3,56
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.01	0,16	0.03
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	4460.30	1330,84	8184.96
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	4745.00	679,00	5684,00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0.41	0.57	0.40
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.41	0.55	0.40
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	949.00	61.73	473,67
NUMBER/ML OF MUST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	3042.00	389.00	3639.00

		05 06 75				00 21 75	10 07 75		
TAXA	FORM	1	•c	ALGAL Units Per ML	1 1 6	\$ C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	 	ALGAL UNITS C PER ML
ANABAENA	FIL	1 1			1 1	 -	X	11	1
ANABAENOPSIS CIRCULARIS	FIL	ii	i		1.1	i		ii	į x
ANKISTRODESMUS FALCATUS	CEL	1 1	1	X	1 1	1		1 1	i
APHANIZUMENON FLOS-AQUAE	FLL	ii	i		111	57.31	389	11164.	01 3639
CHRUOMONAS Y	CEL	121	35.91	1703	141	19.11	130	14120.	
CLOSTER (U4	CKL	1 1	i		1 1	1	x	1 1	i
CRYPTOMONAS EROSA	CEL	isi	64.11	3042	131	4.71	32	ii	i x
CRYPTOMONAS MARSSONII	CEL	_ i T	i		ii	Ťi		ii	i i
CRYPTOHUNAS SPP.	CEL	ii	i		ii	i		121 3.	01 173
CYNATOPLEURA	CEL	- i i	i	X	ii	1	x	1 1	i
FRAGILARIA CAPUCINA		ii	i		1 1	i		i i	ì
V. HEBULEPIA	CEL	ii	Ī		1 1	i		ii	i x
MICROCYSTIS AERUGINOSA	COL	ii	i		ii	i		ii	i ï
ODCYSTIA	CEL	ii	i		ii	4.71	32	i i	i
OSCILLATORIA ?	FIL	ii	i		ii	i		13111.	61 659
PINNULAHIA	CEL	ii	i		1.1	ì	x	1.1	i
SCENEDERMUS	COL	ii	i		ii	4.71	32	ii	ì
SCHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	ii	i		151	4.71	32	151 0.	61 35
SPHAEROCYSTIS SCHRUETERI	COL	1 1	ī		1.1	Ī		11	1 X
STEPHANODISCUS NIAGARAE	CEU	ii	i	x	121	4.71	32	1 1	i x
TOTAL				4745			679		5684

DATE 05 02 75 08 19 75 10 03 75 0/01 0 MYXOPHYCEAN 3.00 E 0/01 D CHLOROPHYCEAN 5.00 E 3.00 E 0/01 0 0/06 7 EUGLENOPHYTE 0/05 2 0/0 7 DTATOM 0.50 E 01/0 E 0.50 E CUMPOUND 6.00 E 7.00 E 1.00 0

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE 05 02 75 08 19 75 10 03 75

GENUS 02 02 00 8PECIES 03 00 00

SPECIES DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE INDICES

DATE 05 02 75 08 19 75 10 03 75 1.39 AVERAGE DIVERSITY H 2,83 0,93 5.00 NUMBER OF TAXA 12.00 8 12,00 NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED М 4.00 4.00 4.00 3,58 3.58 MAXIMUM DIVERSITY MAXH 2,32 MINIMUM DIVERSITY MINH 0.09 0.09 0.47 2008.55 4403,48 57,66 TOTAL DIVERSITY D TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML 1445.00 1556,00 62.00 N 0.39 0.38 0.79 0.79 EVENNESS COMPONENT J 0.40 0.25 RELATIVE EVENNESS RJ MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA 120,42 129,67 12,40 L 41.00 NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON K 722.00 437.00

LAKE NAME: ELEPHANT BUTTE RES. STORET NUMBER: 3505

	05 02 75			48 19 78			10 03 75			
TAXA !	FORM	1	ec.	ALGAL UNITS PER NL	1	€C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML) 8	\$ C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML
ANABRENA	FIL				-167	1.71	27			
ANKIBTRODESMUS FALCATUS		- ; ;	i						i	
V. ACICULARIS	CEL	iai	3,91	56	- ; ;	i		i i	i	
CARTERIA	CEL	11		•••	111	21.1	320	i.	ii	
CERATIUM HIRUNDINELLA		ii	i					i	ii	
P. PURCOIDES	CEL	ii	i		ii	i	X	i	i i	
HRODHONAS ?	CEL	121	42.31	511	i i	17.5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	12	33.91	21
LOSTERIUM	CEL	ii		×		3.51		i	1	- x
COELASTRUM MICROPORUM	CUL		3,91	56				i	ii	
RYPTOMONAS EROSA	CEL	iii	1	X	141	5.31	B 2	i.	ii	
RYPTOMONAS MARSSONII	CEL	ii	i	X	- 1 1			i	i i	
YMBELLA	CEL	1 1	í	x	1 1	i		i	ii	
FRAGILARIA CROTONENSIS	CEL	ii	i		ii	i		i	ii	x
MELOSINA GRANULATA	CEL	ii	i		ii	ì		ì	i i	x
MERISHOPEDIA MINIMA	COL	ìi	1		ii	7.01	109	i	i	-
ICROCYSTIS INCERTA	COL	ii	i		131	7.01		Ĭ	ii	
OCYSTIS	CEL	11	1	X	1 1	1.71		i	i	
PEDIASTRUM BORYANUM	COL	ii	j	x	ii			i	i i	
PENNATE DIATOM	CKF	1.1	- 1		1 1	. 1		11	166.11	41
ICENEDERMUS INTERMEDIUS		ii	i		1 1	i		i	ľĺ	
V. BICAUDATUS	COL	1 1		X	1 1	ı		i	l i	
SCHROEDERIA SETIGERA	CEL	1.1	1		ı i	7.01	109	i	ı i	
PHAEROCYSTIS SCHROETERI	CEL	i i	i		1 1	i	X	i	ı i	
STEPHANODIBCUS	CEL	111	50.01	722	121	28.11	417	i	ιi	
Bynedra	CEL	1 1	1	x	1 1	1		ı	1	
TOTAL				1445			1556			62

DATE	05 05	75	09 19	75	10 01	75
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/0	0	01/0	E	02/0	E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	0/0	Ω	0/0	0	0/0	0
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/0	7	0/01	?	0/02	?
DIATOM	0.20	?	0/0	?	01/0	E
COMPOUND	01/0	E	01/0	E	03/0	E

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	05 05 75	OR 19 75	10 01 75
GENU5	00	00	00
SPECIES	00	00	00

	DATE	05 05 75	08 19 75	10 01 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	2.05	0.33	1.60
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	10.00	2.00	7.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	3.00	3,00	3.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	3,32	1.00	2.81
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.28	0.02	0.13
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	631,40	171.93	782,40
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	309.00	521,00	489.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	J	0,62	0.33	0.57
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0,59	0.32	0.55
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	30.80	260.50	69,86
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	K	123.00	490.00	234.00

				05 05 75			04 19 75			10 01 7	\$
TAXA	FORM	1 1 5	•c	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1 1 5	₽ C	ALGAL UNITS PER NL	1 1 1 5	₩ C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	
ANABAENA	FIL	11	1		1 1	1		1	1	x	
APHANIZOMENON FLOS-AQUAE	FIL	1.1	i		121	6.01	31	11	47.91	234	
HROOMONAS ?	CEL	1312	9.9	92		94.01	490		36.01	176	
RYPTOMONAS EROSA	CEL		9.91	123	1 1				12.11	89	
RYPTOMONAS MAPSSONII	CEL	1211	0.11	31	1 1	i			4,11	20	
YCLCTEDDA	CEL	1411	0.11	31	ii	i		11			
LENODINIUM OCHLATUM	CEL	1 1	i	X	ii	i		1 1	i	X	
IANTZBCHIA	CEL	1 1	i	X	ii	ì		1.1	i	-	
IANTESCHIA AMPHIOXYS	CEL	1 1	i	x	1 1	i		1.1	1		
EIDIUM 7	CEL	1 1	1	X	1 1	1		1.1	i		
ITESCHIA	CEL	1511	0.11	31	ii	i		i i	i		
TEPHANODISCUS	CEL	1.1	- 1		ii	Ĭ		ii	i	х	
URIRELLA	CEL	1.1	į	X	1.1	ì		i i	i		
TOTAL				300			521			489	

LAKE NAME: LAKE MCMILLAN STORET NUMBER: 3507

NYGAARD TROPHIC STATE INDICES

DATE	05 01	75	08 20 75	10 02 75	,
MYXOPHYCEAN	0/0	0	4.00 E	04/0 E	
CHLOROPHYCEAN	02/0	E	2.00 E	05/0 E	
EUGLENOPHYTE	0/02	?	1,17 E	0.56 E	
DIATOM	0.25	7	0.50 E	0/03 1	
COMPOUND	03/0	-	14.0 E	14/0 E	

PALMER'S ORGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	05 01 75	08 20 75	10 02 75
genus	01	13	13
Species	00	00	

	DATE	05 01 75	08 20 75	10 02 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	H	2,11	2,19	2.41
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	10.00	22.00	21.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	3.00	3.00	3.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	3,32	4.46	4.39
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.02	0.21	0.06
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	15523.27	2573.25	10560.62
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	7357.00	1175.00	4382.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	Ĵ	0.64	0.49	0.55
RELATIVE EVENNESS	RJ	0.64	0.47	0.55
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	735.70	53.41	208.67
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	ĸ	2733.00	550.00	2280.00

				95 01 75			08 20 75			10 02 75
TAXA	FORM	1	•c	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1.	8 C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	1	9 C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML
ACHNANTHES.	CEL	111	37,11	2733	1			11	1	
ANABAEHA	FIL	1 1	1		1 1	1		141	8,71	300
ANABAENOPHIB CIRCULARIS	PIL	1 1	ı		1.1		X	1 1	1,31	50
ANARAENOPSIS RACISORSKII	FIL	1 1	ı		1 1	1		1 1	0,71	29
ANKISTRODESHUS PALCATUS		1 !	ı		1 1			1 (1	
Y. MIRABILIA	CEL	11	į		1 1			1 1		X
DINUCLEARIA ERIENSIS	PIL	1 1	į		1 1	1		1 (146
CHLOROPHYTAN CELL 09	CEL	1 1	ļ		1 1		X	1 1	0.71	29
CHLOROPHYTAN COCCOID CELLED COLONY		1.!	!		1 1	3.11	37	1 1		X
CHLOROPHITAN LUMATE CELL Chroonomas 7	CEL	isi	22.01	1602	!!			1 1		
COELOSPHAERIUM	CEL	, į	8.71	420		ŀ		1 1	ļ	
COSNARIUN	COL		ŀ		1 !	ļ	X		į	
CRYPTOMONAS EROSA	CEL	1 1	•		! . !		X			
CYCLOTELLA	CEL		27.1	1997	121		37	!!		
DICTYOSPHAERIUM PULCHELLUM	COL	111	47.31	1997	. ! !	3.11	37			_
OIPLONEIS	CEL	isi	2.9	210	11	. !		1.1	!	
INTOMONEIS ORNATA	CKL	i'i	***	210	11	•	x	iei		117
UGLENA #1	CEL	ii	:			12.6	147	1 :	0.71	29
UGLENA #2	CEL	i i			11		147	: :	3.3	146
EUGLENA AB	CEL	ii	•		- 1 1	•		11	:	Ĩ
EUGLENA ACUS	CEL	i i				•	x	: :	•	X
EUGLENA OXYURIS	400	ii	i		- 1 1	٠ :	•	: :	:	
V. NINOR	CEL	ii	·		: :	•	x	: :	•	
EUGLENA TRIPTERIA	CEL	ii	i		: i	•	î	::	•	
BLENGDINIUM OCULATUM	CEL	ii	i	x	ii	i	- x	: i	i	
HANTSACHIA AMPHIOXYS	CEL	ii	i	Ĭ	ii	i	-	ii	i	
LEPOCINCLIS PLAYPAIRIANA	ČEL	ii	i	-	ii	i	x	ii	i	
HITEBCHIA	CEL	ii	i		111	44.81	550	isi	52.01	2280
IITESCHIA LONGISSINA		ii	i		ii	i		iii		
Y, REVERAL	CEL	11	ì		isi	10.71	220		10.01	438
DOCYSTIS	COL	141	4.31	318	ii	ì	_	1 1	0.71	29
DACILLATORIA	FIL	1 1	ı.		1 1	ì	x		14,01	614
SCILLATORIA LIMMETICA	PIL	1 1	- 1		141	12.51	147	1 1	ì	
PEDIASTRUM DUPLEX	COL	1 1	1	x	1 1			1 4	1	
HACUA ACUMINATUS	CEL	1 1	1		1 1		x	1 1	1	
HACUS PSEUDONORDSIEDTII	CEL	1 1	1		1 1		X	1 1	0.71	29
CEMEDESHUS BIJUGA	COL	1 1			1 1		¥	1 1	1	X
URIRELLA	CEL	1 1		X	1.1			1 1	1	
ETRAEDRON MINIMUM		1.1	į.		1 1	1		1 1	- 1	
V. SCROBICULATUM	CEL	1 1	ļ		1 1	ļ	X	ii		29
FRACHELONOMAS URCEOLATA	CEL	1 1			1 1	ı		1 1	0.71	29
EGRA!										
TOTAL				7387			1175			4302

LAKE NAME: UTE RES. STURET NUMBER: 3509

	NYGAARD	TROPHIC	STATE	INDICES
--	---------	---------	-------	---------

DATE	05 02	75	08 20	75	10 03 75
MYXOPHYCEAN	02/0	E	1.00	Σ	0.80 E
CHLOROPHYCEAN	04/0	E	2.33	£	1,60 E
EUGLENOPHYTE	0.17	?	0.30	ε	0,33 E
DIATOM	0.40	Ē	0.50	£	2.00 E
COMPOUND	09/0		4.67		3.60 E

PALMER'S OPGANIC POLLUTION INDICES

DATE	05 02 75	08 20 75	10 03 75
GENUS	00	03	03
Species	00	00	00

	DATE	05 02 75	08 20 75	10 03 75
AVERAGE DIVERSITY	н	1,84	3,19	2,93
NUMBER OF TAXA	8	17,00	28.00	27.00
NUMBER OF SAMPLES COMPOSITED	M	4,00	4.00	4.00
MAXIMUM DIVERSITY	HXAM	4.09	4.81	4,75
MINIMUM DIVERSITY	MINH	0.20	0,23	0.53
TOTAL DIVERSITY	D	1654.16	4408,58	1494,30
TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/ML	N	899.00	1382.00	510.00
EVENNESS COMPONENT	Ĵ	0.45	0.66	0.62
RELATIVE EVENNESS	PJ	0.43	0.65	0.57
MEAN NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS/TAXA	L	52.88	49.36	18.89
NUMBER/ML OF MOST ABUNDANT TAXON	ĸ	490.00	280.00	142.00

Bidne Hendert Basi										
				05 02 75			09 20 75			10 03 75
TAXA	FORM	l l	•c	ALGAL Units Per ml	1	S C	ALGAL UNITS PER ML	l 8	10	ALGAL L UNITS ! PER ML !
ANKISTRODESNUS FALCATUS V. MIRABILIS	CEL				1 1			i	! !	x i
APHANIZOMENON PLOS-AGUAE	FIL	ii				i			i	
APHANOTHECE	COL	1 1		Į.	i.	ı i		i	1 1	x i
CERATIUM HIRUNDINELLA	CEL	1.1				1.4	19	•	! (4
CHLAMYDONONAS CHROOMONAS 7	CEL	1.!			1.		200	•	!!	
CLOSTERIUM #1	CEL	141				120,31 1		1.5	l 111,2	•
CLOSTERIUM #2	CEL	i i				ii		ï		-
COELASTRUM RETICULATUM	COL	ii				ii			i i	i
COSMARIUM #1	CEL	1 1		l.		1 1	x		1 5,51	
COBMARIUM #2	CEL	1 !			i,			_	!!!	x i
CRUCIGENIA RECTANGULARIS CRUCIGENIA TETRAPEDIA	COL	1 1			1	1 1.4	19 X	•	1 1	
CRYPTOHONAS EROSA	CEL		10,1	163	iı.				27.0	142
CRYPTOMONAS MARSSONII	CEL		13,7		1				1	· i
CYCLOTELLA	CEL	- 1 (1	l	1 1	5,4	75	ı	1	į.
CYCLOTELLA MENEGHINIANA	CEL	181				!!			1 1	1
CYMBELLA CYMBELLA CUSPIDATA	CEL	-	•		1 1			•	1 1	:
DACTYLOCOCCOPSIS IRREGULARIS	CEL	ii	•		13	• . •	149		5.5	20 1
DICTYUSPHAERTUN EHRENBERGIANUN	COL	ii			i i		• • • •	•	1	x i
DICTYOSPHARRIUM PULCHELLUM	COL	1 1	1	ı	1	1 1		1	1 1	
DINOBRYON SOCIALE	CEL	1.1		l	ı,				. !	
EUGLENA	CEI.	1.		4.0	ļ.			!		X I
FRAGILARIA GLENDDINIUM GYMNODINIUM	CEL	131		62				•	1	
Y, BISCUTELLIFORME	CEL	ii				ii		•	i	i
GLENDDINIUM UCULATUM	CEL	ii			i :			ì	i i	i
GLOEOCYSTIS ?	CEL	i i		l	i.	1 1	X	•	1 1	•
GYROPIGNA SCALPROIDES	CEL							•	!!!	•
MELOSIRA DISTANS	CEL	1 1						•		X !
MEDGERA GRANULATA MERIAMUPEDIA MINIMA	COL	1 1				l 16,2	224	•	111.2	57
ORDOGONIUM	FIL	- 1 3				i i	11	i		
DOCYATIA	COL	i i			- 1	i 4,1i		•	111.2	57 i
DECILLATORIA LIMNETICA	FIL	1 1	1 1	Į.		1 2.71		1	1 1	i x i
PEDLASTRUM SIMPLEX					-	!!		1	. !	1
V. DUODENARIUM Peridinium Quadridens	COL	1 !	,			!!		•	!	!
PHACUS ACUMINATUS	CEL	1 1			1		X		1 1	
Y. DREZEPOLSKII	CEL	_ i i			i i		x		i	x i
PHACUS CAUDATUS	CEL	1.1		Į.	1 1	l i		i	1 5,5	
PHACUS NEGALOPSIS	CEL	1 1				!!	. X	-	1	i
PINHULAHIA BCRNEDESHUS BICAUDATUS	CEL	1 1		•		!!		-	! !	_ !
SCENEDESHUS BIJUGA	COF	1 1	1		1 1		x	7	1 1	XI
SCENEDESHUS QUADRICAUDA	COL	i i			i		19	i	: :	
SPHACROCYSTIS SCHROCTERI	COL	1 1	i	x	1	ì		i	i i	. x i
STAURASTRUM	CEL	1 1			1 1		X		1 1	•
4 TEPHANODISCUS SYNEDRA	CEL	!!			1		•••		1 5.51	
SYMEDRA UCHA	CEL CEL	1 1			12	16,21	224		116.71	• •5 1
TETRAEDRON MINIMUM	~ = 17	i i			i				1 1	
V. SCROBICULATUM	CEL	ii				1,4	19	i		•
TETRASTRUM GLABRUM	COL	1 1			1	1		•	i i	
TRACHELOMONAS HISPIDA	CEL	1 1			1 !		37		!!	
TRACHELOMONAS INTERMEDIA TRACHELOMONAS VOLVOCINA	CEL	1 1		x	1 1			•	!!	
THE THE POST OF THE	CEU				•			1	1 1	1
TOTAL				899			1362			510
				_						

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA (Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)							
1. REPORT NO. EPA-600/3-79-118	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.						
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5. BEPORT DATE December 1979						
DISTRIBUTION OF PHYTOPLANKTON IN NEW MEXICO LAKES	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE						
7. AUTHOR(S) V.W. Lambou, F.A. Morris, M.K. Morris, W.D. Taylor, L.R. Williams, and S.C. Hern	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.						
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.						
Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Las Vegas, NV 89114	11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.						
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-Las Vegas, NV	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 02-21-75 to 12-11-75						
Office of Research and Development	14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE						
Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory Las Vegas, NV 89114	EPA/600/07						

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

16. ABSTRACT

This is a data report presenting the species and abundance of phytoplankton in the 8 lakes sampled by the National Eutrophication Survey in the State of New Mexico. Results from the calculation of several water quality indices are also included (Nygaard's Trophic State Index, Palmer's Organic Pollution Index, and species diversity and abundance indices).

17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS							
a. DESCRIPTORS	b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS C. COSATI Field/Group						
*aquatic microbiology lakes *phytoplankton water quality	New Mexico lake eutrophication Nygaard's trophic indices Palmer's organic pollution indices Species diversity and abundance						
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT RELEASE TO PUBLIC	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED 20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) UNCLASSIFIED 22. PRICE						